REUNION INDUSTRIES INC Form 10-Q May 15, 2002

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549-1004

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

X QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES ---- EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2002

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES ---- EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

__ ____

Commission File Number 33-64325

REUNION INDUSTRIES, INC.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE 06-1439715

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

(State of Incorporation)

11 STANWIX STREET, SUITE 1400
PITTSBURGH, PENNSYLVANIA 15222

(Address of principal executive offices, including zip code)

(412) 281-2111

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes X No

At April 30, 2002, 15,590,619 shares of common stock, par value \$.01 per share, were outstanding.

Page 1 of 30 pages.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS AND ASSOCIATED RISKS

This report contains certain forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act and Section 21E of the Exchange Act which are intended to be covered by the safe harbors created thereby. The forward-looking statements contained in this report are enclosed in brackets [] for ease of identification. All forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties which could cause the future results and shareholder values to differ materially from those expressed in the forward-looking statements. Although the Company believes that the assumptions underlying the forward-looking statements contained in this report are reasonable, any of the assumptions could be inaccurate and, therefore, there can be no assurances that the forward-looking statements included or incorporated by reference in this report will prove to be accurate. Factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those described in the forward-looking statements include, without limitation, the strengths/weaknesses of the Company's primary markets, the Company's ability to complete the sale of certain assets on acceptable terms, the Company's ability to realize the benefits of its restructuring plan, the Company's ability to negotiate trade terms with its vendors, the continued forbearance of the Company's creditors with respect to indebtedness in default and the Company's ability to restructure and renegotiate the terms of the Company's indebtedness. In light of the significant uncertainties inherent in the forward-looking statements included or incorporated by reference herein, the inclusion of such information should not be regarded as a representation by the Company or any other person that the Company's objectives and plans will be achieved. In addition, the Company does not intend to, and is not obligated to, update these forward-looking statements after filing and distribution of this report, even if new information, future events or other circumstances have made them incorrect or misleading as of any future date.

- 2 -

REUNION INDUSTRIES, INC.

TNDEX

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION Item 1. Financial Statements Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet at March 31, 2002 (unaudited) and December 31, 2001 Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income (Loss) and Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the three months ended March 31, 2002 and 2001 (unaudited) Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the three months ended March 31, 2002 and 2001 (unaudited) Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	
<pre>Item 1. Financial Statements Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet at March 31, 2002 (unaudited) and December 31, 2001 Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income (Loss) and Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the three months ended March 31, 2002 and 2001 (unaudited) Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the three months ended March 31, 2002 and 2001 (unaudited)</pre>	No
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet at March 31, 2002 (unaudited) and December 31, 2001 Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income (Loss) and Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the three months ended March 31, 2002 and 2001 (unaudited) Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the three months ended March 31, 2002 and 2001 (unaudited)	
March 31, 2002 (unaudited) and December 31, 2001 Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income (Loss) and Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the three months ended March 31, 2002 and 2001 (unaudited) Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the three months ended March 31, 2002 and 2001 (unaudited)	
Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the three months ended March 31, 2002 and 2001 (unaudited) Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the three months ended March 31, 2002 and 2001 (unaudited)	4
the three months ended March 31, 2002 and 2001 (unaudited)	5
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	6
	7
Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations 1	7
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk 2	5

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	25
Item 3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities	29
SIGNATURES		30

- 3 -

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

REUNION INDUSTRIES, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET AT MARCH 31, 2002 AND DECEMBER 31, 2001 (in thousands)

	At March 31, 2002	At December 31, 2001
	(unaudited)	
ASSETS:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 712	\$ 686
Receivables, net	9,819	12,347
Advances to employees	213	213
Inventories, net	10,708	10,814
Other current assets	1,121	1,219
Net assets of discontinued operations	4,259	5,162
Total current assets	26,832	30,441
Property, plant and equipment, net	18,595	19,134
Due from related parties	1,499	1,488
Goodwill, net	11,443	11,443
Net assets of discontinued operations	18,493	18,641
Other assets, net	3,196	3,269
Total assets	\$ 80,058	\$ 84,416
	=======	======
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT:		
Debt in default	\$ 59 , 791	\$ 64,389
Current maturities of debt	87	87
Trade payables	13,218	11,212
Due to related parties	1,565	1,107
Other current liabilities	15,777	13,825
Total current liabilities	90,438	90,620
Long-term debt	4,789	4,810
Long-term debt - related party	4,615	4,615
Other liabilities	1,745	1,616
Total liabilities	101,587	101,661
Commitments and contingent liabilities	_	_
Stockholders' deficit	(21,529)	(17,245)
Total liabilities and stockholders' deficit	\$ 80,058	\$ 84 , 416
	=======	======

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

- 4 -

REUNION INDUSTRIES, INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF INCOME (LOSS)

AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2002 AND 2001

(in thousands, except per share information) (unaudited)

	Three Mon	ths Ended
	March 31,	March 31,
	2002	2001
Operating revenue:		
Sales	\$ 14,844	\$ 32,472
Cost of Sales	14,142	25 , 072
Gross profit	702	7,400
Selling, general & administrative	3,669	4,427
Other expense (income), net	(/6⊥)	469
Operating profit (loss)	(2,206)	2,504
Interest expense, net		1,601
Income (loss) from continuing operations		
before income taxes	(4,284)	903
Provision for income taxes	-	392
Income (loss) from continuing operations	(4,284)	511
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax of $\$-0-$	-	250
Net and comprehensive income (loss)	\$ (4,284)	\$ 761
	======	======
Income (loss) applicable to common stockholders		\$ 761
Earnings (loss) per common share - basic and diluted:	======	======
From continuing operations	\$ (0.27)	\$ 0.03
From discontinued operations	-	0.02
Earnings (loss) per common share - basic and diluted		\$ 0.05
Weighted average shares outstanding - basic	15,591	15 , 236
Weighted average shares outstanding - diluted	======	15,340
- J	======	======

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

- 5 -

REUNION INDUSTRIES, INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2002 AND 2001

(in thousands)

(unaudited)

Three Months Ended March 31, March 31,

	2002	2001
Cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ 4,107	\$ (4,507)
Cash flow from investing activities:		
Proceeds from sale of property	375	_
Capital expenditures	(260)	(799)
Acquisition of NPSAC common stock	_	(10)
Cash provided by (used in) investing activities	115	(809)
Cash flow from financing activities:		
Net change in revolving credit facility	(3,618)	5 , 396
Repayments of debt	(1,003)	(1,632)
Cash provided by (used in) financing activities		3,764
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(399)	(1,552)
Net change in cash of discontinued operations		612
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year		1,814
- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1		
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 712	\$ 874
	======	=======

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

- 6 -

REUNION INDUSTRIES, INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
MARCH 31, 2002

NOTE 1: CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all normal recurring adjustments considered necessary for a fair statement of the results of operations have been included. The results of operations for the three month period ended March 31, 2002 are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations for the full year. When reading the financial information contained in this Quarterly Report, reference should be made to the financial statements, schedule and notes contained in Reunion's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001.

Going Concern

These condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared on a going concern basis, which contemplates the realization of assets and the satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business. The negative working capital position of \$63.6 million at March 31, 2002, the defaults of the Bank of America (BOA) Financing and Security Agreement and the 13% senior notes, and the lack of borrowing capacity under its revolving credit facility indicate that the Company may not be able to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time. These condensed consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

The Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 141, "Business Combinations." This statement eliminates the pooling-of-interest method for business combinations and changes the criteria for recognizing intangible assets apart from goodwill. This statement is effective for purchases completed after June 30, 2001. The Company has not engaged in any acquisitions since June 30, 2001. Acquisitions prior to June 30, 2001 were recorded as purchases in accordance with Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 16.

The Financial Accounting Standards Board issued SFAS No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets." This statement eliminates the amortization of goodwill and indefinite lived intangible assets and requires such assets be reviewed for impairment at least annually. This statement is effective for goodwill and intangible assets acquired prior to July 1, 2001 upon adoption, which is required for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001.

The Company has recorded goodwill totaling \$11.4 million at both March 31, 2002 and December 31, 2001. Effective January 1, 2002, we ceased amortizing goodwill and we will review goodwill for impairment prior to the issuance of our second quarter 2002 interim financial statements. Had we stopped amortizing goodwill at the beginning of 2001, the effect on net income (loss) and diluted earnings (loss) per share for the three-month period ended March 31, 2001 and the year ended December 31, 2001 are as follows (in thousands, except per share information):

- 7 -

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2001				Year E		
	Net	Income		EPS	N 	et Loss	 LPS
As reported Add back goodwill amortization	\$	761 468	\$	0.05	\$	(38,128) 1,903	\$ (2.45) 0.13
Without goodwill amortization	\$	1,229	\$	0.08	\$	(36,225)	\$ (2.32)

The Financial Accounting Standards Board issued SFAS No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets." This statement supersedes SFAS 121, "Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to Be Disposed Of" but retains many of its fundamental provisions. SFAS 144 also supersedes certain provisions of Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 30, "Reporting the Effects of Disposal of a Segment of a Business, and Extraordinary, Unusual and Infrequently Occurring Events and Transactions" but retains its provision to separately report discontinued operations and extends that reporting to a component of an entity, as defined therein, that either has been disposed of or is classified as held for sale, thus broadening the presentation of discontinued operations to include more disposal transactions. Effective January 1, 2002, the Company adopted this statement with no effect on financial position or results of operations.

NOTE 2: RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

Restructuring and Other Actions

In the fourth quarter of 2001, we developed and adopted a plan to restructure our continuing operations. During 2002, the Company has made

significant progress towards implementation of this plan.

On April 30, 2002, we entered into a non-binding letter of intent (LOI) for the sale of substantially all of the assets and business of Kingway, our discontinued materials handling systems operations, for a total of \$34.0 million in cash (\$29.0 million), subordinated notes (\$3.0 million) and subordinated contingent notes (\$2.0 million). The due diligence process by the buyer is in its early stages. The closing date set in the LOI is June 30, 2002.

On April 2, 2002, we entered into a non-binding letter of intent for the sale of substantially all of the assets of Alliance, our discontinued bridges and cranes operations, for \$3.3 million in cash plus the assumption by the buyer of certain liabilities. The due diligence process by the buyer is proceeding and a definitive asset purchase agreement has been drafted. The closing date set in the LOI and definitive asset purchase agreement is May 31, 2002.

The closings of each of these transactions are subject to certain conditions, including the executions of definitive asset purchase agreements. There are no assurances that both or either of these transactions will close on the terms set forth in each LOI or definitive asset purchase agreement or at all.

- 8 -

During the first quarter of 2002, NPSAC, the Company's former pressure vessel operations in Clearfield, Utah, was relocated to and combined with the pressure vessel operations in McKeesport, PA. The cylinder operations in Milwaukee, WI have been relocated to our leased facility in Libertyville, IL. The land and building in Milwaukee, WI is being prepared for sale. We are preparing to move the cylinder operations in Chicago, IL to the Libertyville facility during the third quarter of 2002. We closed the Plastics' corporate headquarters in Charlotte, NC and all administrative and managerial positions were eliminated. Plastics' corporate responsibilities have been returned to our manufacturing and administrative operations in Oneida, NY.

We reevaluated the shut-down of our Plastics operation in Siler City, NC and have determined to maintain our presence in the southern U.S. and service the customers of the Siler City, NC location, [possibly by relocating the operations to a smaller leased facility in the area]. This reevaluation does not change our intent to dispose of the land, building and a large portion of the existing machinery and equipment or the fourth quarter restructuring charge related thereto.

13% Senior Notes and Semi-Annual Interest Payments

We have a total of \$24.855 million of 13% senior notes outstanding, of which a sinking fund payment of \$12.5 million was due on May 1, 2002 and the remainder is due on May 1, 2003. The senior notes require semi-annual interest payments every November 1st and May 1st. We were unable to make the semi-annual interest payments of \$1.616 million on each of November 1, 2001 and May 1, 2002. We were also unable to make the \$12.5 million sinking fund payment due May 1, 2002. This inability to fund our obligations under the 13% senior notes is due to a lack of liquidity and availability under our revolving credit facility with BOA.

An event of default as defined in the indenture governing the senior notes has existed since December 1, 2001 as we were not able to make the November 1, 2001 semi-annual interest payment within the 30-day cure period provided for in the indenture. Although they have not moved to do so while we execute our plan to restructure and generate liquidity through asset sales,

the senior notes holders have the right to accelerate all amounts outstanding, including accrued and unpaid interest of \$3.35 million, totaling \$27.9 million at March 31, 2002. Interest accrues at approximately \$0.3 million per month, including compounded interest at 13% per annum on the unpaid semi-annual interest payments.

Bank of America Revolving and Term Loan Credit Facilities

We have a total of \$34.9 million of senior secured revolving and term loan credit facilities outstanding at March 31, 2002 with BOA. We have been in default under these facilities since September 30, 2001 due to our inability to achieve our financial ratio covenants contained in the financing and security agreement with BOA. During the third quarter of 2001 we were also unable to maintain the \$1.5 million minimum availability under the revolving credit facility as required by a December 2000 amendment.

In November 2001, BOA informed us that a borrowing base deficiency existed. Since that time, the Company and BOA have entered into six side letter agreements wherein BOA and the other lenders that participated in the BOA refinancing of the Company in March 2000 agreed to provide monthly advances in excess of our calculated borrowing base for working capital needs while we execute our plan to restructure and generate liquidity by selling assets. The sixth side letter agreement, dated April 1, 2002, provides overadvance approval on a day-to-day basis whereby the overadvance may not

- 9 -

exceed \$3.5 million. As of May 15, 2002, we have not exceeded the \$3.5 million overadvance availability. However, nothing in these six side letter agreements waives or otherwise alters BOA's already existing remedies under the BOA financing and security agreement including acceleration of all amounts outstanding under the BOA financing and security agreement.

In consideration of providing its approval of overadvance availability, we have been paying a weekly fee of \$25,000 or \$50,000, depending on the amount of the overadvance. Since entering into the side letter agreements beginning in November 2001, the Company has paid BOA a total of \$800,000 in such fees.

NOTE 3: DEBT IN DEFAULT AND LONG-TERM DEBT

Debt in default consists of the following (in thousands):

	At March 31, 2002	At December 31, 2001
	(unaudited)	
13% senior notes (net of unamortized		
discount of \$0 and \$2)	\$ 24,855	\$ 24,853
BOA revolving credit facility	18 , 857	22,475
BOA term loan A due March 16, 2007	15,149	16,071
BOA capital expenditure facility	930	990
Total debt in default	\$ 59 , 791	\$ 64,389
	======	=======
Long-term debt consists of the following	(in thousands):	
	At March 31, 2002	At December 31, 2001

Total long-term debt, less current maturities	\$ 9,404	\$ 9 , 425
m	â 0 101	A 0 40E
ouriene macurities		
Current maturities	(87)	(87)
Total long-term debt	9,491	9,512
Other - related parties	4,615	4,615
Other	1,232	1,253
·	•	
Note payable due February 28, 2003	\$ 3,644	\$ 3,644
(u	ınaudited)	

NOTE 4: INVENTORIES

Inventories are comprised of the following (in thousands):

	At March 31, 2002	At December 31, 2001
	(unaudited)	
Raw material	\$ 3 , 918	\$ 5,012
Work-in-process	3,794	2,329
Finished goods	2,865	3,342
Gross inventories	10,577	10,683
Less: LIFO reserves	131	131
Inventories	\$ 10,708	\$ 10,814
	======	=======

- 10 -

NOTE 5: STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT AND EARNINGS PER SHARE

The following represents a reconciliation of the change in stockholders' deficit for the three month period ended March 31, 2002 (in thousands):

				Accum-	
	Par	Capital		ulated	
	Value	in		Other	
	of	Excess	Accum-	Compre-	
	Common	of Par	ulated	hensive	
	Stock	Value	Deficit	Loss	Total
At January 1, 2002	\$156	\$25,064	\$(41,329)	\$ (1,136)	\$(17,245)
Activity (unaudited):					
Net loss	_	_	(4,284)	_	(4,284)
At March 31, 2002	\$156	\$25,064	\$(45,613)	\$ (1,136)	\$(21,529)
	====	======	=======		=======

The computations of basic and diluted earnings (loss) per common share [E(L)PS] for the three month periods ended March 31, 2002 and 2001 are as follows (in thousands, except per share amounts) (unaudited):

	Net		
	Income		
	(Loss)	Shares	E(L)PS
Three months ended March 31, 2002:			
Loss applicable to common stockholders,			
weighted average shares outstanding			
and basic and diluted LPS	\$ (4,284)	15 , 591	(0.27)

	===			==	
Three months ended March 31, 2001: Income applicable to common stockholders, weighted average shares outstanding					
and basic EPS	\$	761	15,236	\$	0.05
				==	
Dilutive effect of stock options			104		
Income applicable to common stockholders,					
shares outstanding and diluted EPS	\$	761	15,340	\$	0.05
	===	=====	=======	==	

At March 31, 2002, the Company's stock options outstanding totaled 1,089,000, none of which were at exercise prices below the average market price of the underlying security during the first quarter 2002. Therefore, basic and diluted LPS are equal.

NOTE 6: COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

Legal Proceedings

The Company and its subsidiaries are defendants in a number of lawsuits and administrative proceedings, which have arisen in the ordinary course of business of the Company and its subsidiaries. The Company believes that any material liability which can result from any of such lawsuits or proceedings

- 11 -

has been properly reserved for in the Company's consolidated financial statements or is covered by indemnification in favor of the Company or its subsidiaries, and therefore the outcome of these lawsuits or proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In June 1993, the U.S. Customs Service (Customs) made a demand on Chatwins Group's former industrial rubber distribution division for \$612,948 in marking duties pursuant to 19 U.S.C. Sec. 1592. The duties are claimed on importations of "unmarked" hose products from 1982 to 1986. Following Chatwins Group's initial response raising various arguments in defense, including expired statute of limitations, Customs responded in January 1997 by reducing its demand to \$370,968 and reiterating that demand in October 1997. Chatwins Group restated its position and continues to decline payment of the claim. Should the claim not be resolved, Customs threatens suit in the International Courts of Claims. The Company continues to believe, based on consultation with counsel, that there are facts which raise a number of procedural and substantive defenses to this claim, which will be vigorously defended. There is no applicable insurance coverage.

In December 1999, a stockholder of Reunion filed a purported class-action lawsuit in Delaware Chancery Court alleging, among other things, that Reunion's public stockholders would be unfairly diluted in the merger with Chatwins Group. The lawsuit sought to prevent completion of the merger and, the merger having been completed, seeks rescission of the merger or awarding of damages. The lawsuit remains in the initial stages of discovery. Reunion intends to vigorously contest the suit.

The Company has been named as a defendant in fifteen consolidated lawsuits filed in December 2000 or early 2001 in the Superior Court for Los Angeles County, California, three of which are purported class actions asserted on behalf of approximately 200 payees. The plaintiffs in these suits, except one, are structured settlement payees to whom Stanwich Financial

Services Corp. (SFSC) is indebted. The Company and SFSC are related parties.

In addition to the Company, there are numerous defendants in these suits, including SFSC, Mr. Charles E. Bradley, Sr., Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer and a director of the Company (Mr. Bradley), the sole shareholder of SFSC's parent, several major financial institutions and certain others. All of these suits arise out of the inability of SFSC to make structured settlement payments when due. Pursuant to the court's order, plaintiffs in the purported class actions and plaintiffs in the individual cases actions filed a model complaint. Except for the class allegations, the two model complaints are identical. The plaintiffs seek compensatory and punitive damages, restoration of certain alleged trust assets, restitution and attorneys' fees and costs.

The plaintiffs in one of the suits are former owners of a predecessor of SFSC and current operators of a competing structured settlement business. These plaintiffs claim that their business and reputations have been damaged by SFSC's structured settlement defaults, seek damages for unfair competition and purport to sue on behalf of the payees.

The plaintiffs allege that the Company borrowed funds from SFSC and has not repaid these loans. The plaintiffs' theories of liability against the Company are that it is the alter ego of SFSC and Mr. Bradley and that the Company received fraudulent transfers of SFSC's assets. The plaintiffs also assert direct claims against the Company for inducing breach of contract and aiding and abetting an alleged breach of fiduciary duty by SFSC.

- 12 -

On June 25, 2001, SFSC filed a Chapter 11 Bankruptcy Petition in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the District of Connecticut. SFSC filed an adversary proceeding in the bankruptcy case against the plaintiffs seeking a declaration that the structured settlement trust assets are the property of the bankruptcy estate. On July 16, 2001, the bankruptcy court granted a temporary restraining order enjoining the plaintiffs from prosecuting their claims against the Company, SFSC, Mr. Bradley and others. As a result of this restraining order of the bankruptcy court, the Company entered a standstill agreement with the plaintiffs on August 22, 2001. Pursuant to the standstill agreement, and the stipulation of the parties to the SFSC bankruptcy case, the plaintiffs agreed to take no further action to prosecute any claim in the litigation against the Company, Mr. Bradley and others to recover any structured settlement trust assets or any derivative claims or claims based on allegations of alter ego, fraudulent transfer or conversion. The plaintiffs did not agree to waive or release their direct personal claims against the Company for damages, but the plaintiffs agreed to cease and desist the prosecution of those claims until no earlier than sixty days following service of written notice to the Company stating that they have elected to unilaterally terminate the standstill.

Plaintiffs filed second amended model complaints in the class actions and individual cases on August 24, 2001. Both model complaints allege causes of action against the Company for interference with contract and aiding and abetting breach of fiduciary duty. However, pursuant to the standstill agreement, the plaintiffs are taking no action to prosecute these claims against the Company at this time.

Certain of the financial institution defendants have asserted cross-complaints against the Company for implied and express indemnity and contribution and negligence. The Company denies the allegations of the plaintiffs and the cross-complainant financial institutions and intends to vigorously defend against these actions and cross-actions.

The Company has been named in approximately 250 separate asbestos suits filed since January 1, 2001 by three plaintiffs' law firms in Wayne County, Michigan. The claims allege that cranes from the Company's crane manufacturing location in Alliance, OH were present in various parts of McLouth and Great Lakes Steel Mills in Wayne County, Michigan and that those cranes contained asbestos to which plaintiffs were exposed over a 40 year span. Counsel for the Company has filed an answer to each complaint denying liability by the Company and asserting all alternative defenses permitted under the Court's Case Management Order. Counsel for the Company has negotiated dismissal of 95 cases without any cost to the Company. The Company denies that it manufactured any products containing asbestos or otherwise knew or should have known that any component part manufacturers provided products containing asbestos. The Company intends to vigorously defend against these lawsuits.

Since July 10, 2001, various lawsuits, some involving multiple plaintiffs, alleging personal injury/wrongful death from asbestos exposure have been filed in multiple states, including California, Oregon and Mississippi, against a large number of defendants, including Oneida Rostone Corporation (ORC), pre-merger Reunion's Plastics subsidiary and the Company's Plastics segment. In October 2001, Allen-Bradley Company, a former owner of the Rostone business of ORC, accepted Reunion Industries' tender of its defense and indemnification in the first such lawsuit filed pursuant to a contractual obligation to do so. Subsequent to the acceptance of the tender of defense and indemnification in the first lawsuit, Allen-Bradley Company has accepted the Company's tender of defense and indemnification in a total of 43 separate actions, all of which are being defended by Allen-Bradley Company.

- 13 -

Environmental Compliance

Various U.S. federal, state and local laws and regulations including, without limitation, laws and regulations concerning the containment and disposal of hazardous waste, oil field waste and other waste materials, the use of storage tanks, the use of insecticides and fungicides and the use of underground injection wells directly or indirectly affect the Company's operations. In addition, environmental laws and regulations typically impose "strict liability" upon the Company for certain environmental damages. Accordingly, in some situations, the Company could be liable for clean up costs even if the situation resulted from previous conduct of the Company that was lawful at the time or from improper conduct of, or conditions caused by, previous property owners, lessees or other persons not associated with the Company or events outside the control of the Company. Such clean up costs or costs associated with changes in environmental laws and regulations could be substantial and could have a materially adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Except as described in the following paragraphs, the Company believes it is currently in material compliance with existing environmental protection laws and regulations and is not involved in any significant remediation activities or administrative or judicial proceedings arising under federal, state or local environmental protection laws and regulations. In addition to management personnel who are responsible for monitoring environmental compliance and arranging for remedial actions that may be required, the Company has also employed outside consultants from time to time to advise and assist the Company's environmental compliance efforts. Except as described in the following paragraphs, the Company has not recorded any accruals for environmental costs.

In February 1996, Reunion was informed by a contracted environmental services consulting firm that soil and ground water contamination exists at

its Lafayette, Indiana site. Since then, the Company has expended \$376,000 of remediation costs and accrued an additional \$20,000.

In connection with the sale of its former oil and gas operations, premerger Reunion retained certain oil and gas properties in Louisiana because of litigation concerning environmental matters. The Company is in the process of environmental remediation under a plan approved by the Louisiana Department of Natural Resources Office of Conservation (LDNROC). The Company has recorded an accrual for its proportionate share of the remaining estimated costs to remediate the site based on plans and estimates developed by the environmental consultants hired by the Company. During 1999, the Company conducted remediation work on the property. The Company paid \$172,000 of the total cost of \$300,000. Regulatory hearings were held in January 2000 and 2001 to consider the adequacy of the remediation conducted to date. In August 2001, LDNROC issued its order for the Company to complete the soil remediation under the plan approved in 1999 and to perform additional testing to determine to what extent groundwater contamination might exist. The Company's environmental consultant is in the process of updating the estimate of the costs to comply with this order, but the Company does not believe that the cost of future remediation will exceed the amount accrued. No remediation was performed in 2000 or 2001 pending the decision. However, the Company has paid \$232,000 for its share of consulting services in connection with the hearings. At March 31, 2002, the balance accrued for these remediation costs is approximately \$1,076,000. Owners of a portion of the property have objected to the Company's cleanup methodology and have filed suit to require additional procedures. The Company is contesting this litigation, and believes its proposed methodology is well within accepted industry practice for remediation efforts of a similar nature. No accrual has been made for costs

- 14 -

of any alternative cleanup methodology which might be imposed as a result of the litigation.

On March 15, 2002, the Company received a Request for Information from the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) regarding the Gambonini Mine Site in Marin County, California. The Company operated a mercury mine on this site from 1965 to 1970. The Company has gathered and forwarded to the USEPA the information it requested. At this time, the Company has not been formally named as a potentially responsible party. As such and because the USEPA's interest in the Gambonini Mine Site is not known, the Company has not made any assessment of potential liability, if any.

NOTE 7: OPERATING SEGMENT DISCLOSURES

The following represents the disaggregation of financial data (in thousands) (unaudited):

	Net Sales	EBITDA(1)	Capital Spending	Total Assets(2)
Three months ended and at March 31, 2002:				
Metals: Pressure vessels and springs Cylinders	\$ 2,481 4,441	\$ (871) (87)	\$ 41 25	\$ 14,362 10,222
Subtotal Metals	6,922	(958)	66	24,584
Plastics Corporate and other	7 , 922	172 (710)	113	18,367 14,355

Discontinued operations		-	_	 81	 22,752
Totals	\$	14,844	(1,496)	260	80,058
Depreciation and amortization(3) Interest expense)		 (710) (2,078)		
Loss from continuing operation before income taxes	ns		(4,284)		
Three months ended March 31, 200 and at December 31, 2001:	01				
Metals: Pressure vessels and springs Cylinders		14,084 6,153		60 20	17,936 9,662
Subtotal Metals		20,237	 4,101	 80	 27 , 598
Plastics Corporate and other Discontinued operations		12,235	753 (966) –		18,443 14,572 23,803
Totals		32,472	3,888	799	84,416
Depreciation and amortization(3) Interest expense)		 (1,384) (1,601)		
<pre>Income from continuing operat: before income taxes</pre>	ior	ns	903		

- 15 -

- (1) EBITDA is presented as it is the primary measurement used by management in assessing segment performance and not as an alternative measure of operating results or cash flow from operations as determined by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, but because it is a widely accepted financial indicator of a company's ability to incur and service debt.
- (2) Headquarters total assets at March 31, 2002 and December 31, 2001 is primarily comprised of goodwill related to its pressure vessel and springs segment (\$9.9 million) and cylinder segment (\$1.5 million). Because of the historically positive operating results and cash generation of the pressure vessel and springs segment, management does not consider its related goodwill to be impaired. Also, management does not consider the cylinders segment goodwill to be impaired as the Company believes this segment is in a temporary cyclical downturn.
- (3) Excludes amortization of debt issuance expenses and fees of \$132,000 and \$174,000 for the three month periods ended March 31, 2002 and 2001, respectively, which is included in interest expense.

NOTE 8: DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

At March 31, 2002 and December 31, 2001, the assets and liabilities of discontinued operations are comprised of the assets and liabilities of the discontinued bridges and cranes and material handling systems businesses and the remaining reserve for expenses of the discontinued grating business. The assets and liabilities of discontinued operations have been separately

classified on the balance sheet as follows (in thousands):

	At March 31, 2002	At December 31, 2001
ASSETS: Cash and cash equivalents Receivables, net Inventories, net Other current assets	(unaudited) \$ 81 11,992 2,717 379	\$ 506 14,463 2,093 249
Total current assets	15 , 169	17,311
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY: Trade payables Other current liabilities Reserve for operating loss during phase-out Reserve for estimated expenses	7,339 1,520 1,772 279	7,182 2,014 2,628 325
Total liabilities	10,910	12,149
Net assets of discontinued operations, current	\$ 4,259 ======	\$ 5,162 ======
NET ASSETS, NON-CURRENT: Property, plant and equipment, net Goodwill, net Other assets, net	\$ 6,749 11,628 116	\$ 6,985 11,628 28
Net assets of discontinued operations, non-current	\$ 18,493 ======	\$ 18,641 ======

- 16 -

Summarized results of discontinued operations for the three month periods ended March 31, 2002 and 2001 follow (in thousands):

	2002	2001
Net sales	\$ 13 , 248	\$ 17 , 151
Income before taxes	_	250

The above results of discontinued operations include actual and allocated interest expense for the three month periods ended March 31, 2002 and 2001 totaling \$627,000 and \$808,000, respectively.

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The following discussion and analysis is provided to assist readers in understanding financial performance during the periods presented and significant trends which may impact future performance. It should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes included elsewhere in this Form 10-Q and in conjunction with our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001.

We adopted a plan to restructure continuing operations and dispose of or shut-down other businesses. Such businesses are being reported as discontinued operations. Prior year information has been restated for

comparative purposes and the following discussion of results of operations is separated into continuing and discontinued operations.

GENERAL.

The Company owns and operates a diverse group of industrial manufacturing operations that design and manufacture engineered, high-quality products for specific customer requirements, such as large-diameter seamless pressure vessels, hydraulic and pneumatic cylinders, leaf springs and precision plastic components. Until December 2001, the Company's products also included heavy-duty cranes, bridge structures and materials handling systems. The Company classified its heavy-duty cranes, bridge structures and materials handling systems businesses as discontinued operations.

RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

Restructuring and Other Actions

In the fourth quarter of 2001, we developed and adopted a plan to restructure our continuing operations. During 2002, the Company has made significant progress towards implementation of this plan.

On April 30, 2002, we entered into a non-binding letter of intent (LOI) for the sale of substantially all of the assets and business of Kingway, our discontinued materials handling systems operations, for a total of \$34.0 million in cash (\$29.0 million), subordinated notes (\$3.0 million) and subordinated contingent notes (\$2.0 million). The due diligence process by the buyer is in its early stages. The closing date set in the LOI is June 30, 2002.

- 17 -

On April 2, 2002, we entered into a non-binding letter of intent for the sale of substantially all of the assets of Alliance, our discontinued bridges and cranes operations, for \$3.3 million in cash plus the assumption by the buyer of certain liabilities. The due diligence process by the buyer is proceeding and a definitive asset purchase agreement has been drafted. The closing date set in the LOI and definitive asset purchase agreement is May 31, 2002.

The closings of each of these transactions are subject to certain conditions, including the executions of definitive asset purchase agreements. There are no assurances that both or either of these transactions will close on the terms set forth in each LOI or definitive asset purchase agreement or at all.

During the first quarter of 2002, NPSAC, the Company's former pressure vessel operations in Clearfield, Utah, was relocated to and combined with the pressure vessel operations in McKeesport, PA. The cylinder operations in Milwaukee, WI have been relocated to our leased facility in Libertyville, IL. The land and building in Milwaukee, WI is being prepared for sale. We are preparing to move the cylinder operations in Chicago, IL to the Libertyville facility during the third quarter of 2002. We closed the Plastics' corporate headquarters in Charlotte, NC and all administrative and managerial positions were eliminated. Plastics' corporate responsibilities have been returned to our manufacturing and administrative operations in Oneida, NY.

We reevaluated the shut-down of our Plastics operation in Siler City, NC and have determined to maintain our presence in the southern U.S. and service the customers of the Siler City, NC location, [possibly by relocating the

operations to a smaller leased facility in the area]. This reevaluation does not change our intent to dispose of the land, building and a large portion of the existing machinery and equipment or the fourth quarter restructuring charge related thereto.

13% Senior Notes and Semi-Annual Interest Payments

We have a total of \$24.855 million of 13% senior notes outstanding, of which a sinking fund payment of \$12.5 million was due on May 1, 2002 and the remainder is due on May 1, 2003. The senior notes require semi-annual interest payments every November 1st and May 1st. We were unable to make the semi-annual interest payments of \$1.616 million on each of November 1, 2001 and May 1, 2002. We were also unable to make the \$12.5 million sinking fund payment due May 1, 2002. This inability to fund our obligations under the 13% senior notes is due to a lack of liquidity and availability under our revolving credit facility with Bank of America (BOA).

- 18 -

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Three Months Ended March 31, 2002 Compared to Three Months Ended March 31, 2001

Continuing Operations

Sales, gross margins and EBITDA percentages for the three months ended March 31, 2002 and 2001 are as follows:

		Net	Sal	es	Gross l	Margin	EBI'	TDA
		2002		2001	2002	2001	2002	2001
Pressure vessels								
and springs	\$	2,481	\$	14,084	(16.6%)	30.1%	(35.1%)	25.6%
Cylinders		4,441		6 , 153	8.2%	18.9%	(2.0%)	8.2%
Plastics		7,922		12,235	9.5%	16.4%	2.2%	6.2%
Totals	\$	14,844	\$	32,472	4.7%	22.8%	(5.3%)	15.0%
	==	======	==	======	=====	=====	=====	=====

Pressure vessels and springs sales are down significantly in the first quarter of 2002 compared to the same period in 2001. This decrease is due primarily to management's decision to shut-down our pressure vessels facility for the first two months of 2002 with a limited production schedule thereafter. We made this decision to reduce spending due to our liquidity problems and to lessen the strain on this segment's raw material vendors. The decrease was also caused by the fact that the first quarter of 2001 included the recognition of \$2.8 million of revenues on a large NASA contract manufactured in 2000 but shipped in the first quarter of 2001. The backlog for pressure vessels is up \$3.3 million since the beginning of the year [and we anticipate correcting vendor-related issues in the near-term with cash proceeds from asset sales].

Sales of cylinders was affected by the temporary disruption caused by the relocation of our Milwaukee, WI cylinder operations to Libertyville, IL and continues to be affected by a softness in this market, [a trend which the Company believes will bottom-out but possibly not reverse during 2002.]

The decrease in Plastics revenues is the continuation of a downward trend which began in 1999 and resulted from several factors, including certain customers relocating manufacturing operations to Mexico and Asia, reduced

customer orders for continuing programs, end of product cycles and delays in new program starts, which affected all Plastics facilities. Plastics also lost a top ten customer in the second half of 2001 to competitive bidding on the internet. [Management is seeking to expand Plastics' product offerings in the business machines, consumer products and medical products industries to mitigate this trend. However, if not successful, this trend in Plastics revenue could continue during the remainder of 2002.]

The decreases in gross margins across all segments is related to volume declines resulting in a decrease in production activity and our ability to absorb costs. We are responding to these conditions by making progress on our plan to restructure, including combining certain operations and eliminating various administrative and management positions. [However, the benefits of these actions may not materialize immediately.]

- 19 -

Management evaluates the Company's segments based on EBITDA, a measure of cash generation, which is presented, not as an alternative measure of operating results or cash flow from operations as determined by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, but because it is a widely accepted financial indicator of a company's ability to incur and service debt and due to the close relationship it bears to Reunion's financial covenants in its borrowing agreements. EBITDA and EBITDA as a percentage of sales decreased significantly during the 2002 first quarter compared to the same period in 2001 primarily due to the same factors affecting gross profit margin discussed above. Total EBITDA as a percentage of sales in the first quarters of 2002 and 2001 exclude corporate and other EBITDA in each period.

Selling, General and Administrative

Selling, general and administrative (SGA) expenses for the first quarter of 2002 were \$3.7 million, compared to \$4.4 million for the first quarter of 2001. This decrease in SGA is directly related to the decreasing trend in sales, resulting in lower commissions expense, cost cutting measures taken during June 2001 and progress made on the restructuring, both of which included personnel reductions in sales and administration. [Management estimates the savings from these reductions to be approximately \$1.8 million annually.] However, the benefits of these cost cutting measures are being offset by the continuation of the negative trend in sales and the resulting effect on the Company's ability to absorb costs. SGA expenses as a percentage of sales increased to 24.7% for the 2002 first quarter compared to 13.6% in the 2001 first quarter. SGA as a percentage of sales was higher in 2002 first quarter compared to the first quarter of 2001 due to the faster rate at which volume has decreased compared to decreases in relatively fixed administrative costs.

Other (Income) Expense

Other income for the first quarter of 2002 was \$0.8 million, compared to other expense of \$0.5 million for the first quarter of 2001. The components are as follows:

	2002		2001		C	hange
Goodwill and other intangibles amortization	\$	3	\$	492	\$	(489)
Gain on sale of equipment with zero book value		(375)		_		(375)
Other (income) expense, net		(389)		(23)		(366)
Total other (income) expense, net	\$	(761)	\$	469	\$	(1,230)
	===	=====	===		==	=====

We stopped amortizing goodwill effective January 1, 2002. In January

2002, we sold equipment that had no book value. The increase in the remaining other income is primarily due to higher levels of sales of scrap and miscellaneous parts due to cleaning out idled facilities.

Interest Expense

Interest expense, net, for the first quarter of 2002 was \$2.1 million compared to \$1.6 million for the first quarter of 2001. For the first quarters of 2002 and 2001, a total of \$0.6 million and \$0.8 million, respectively, of interest expense has been allocated to or actually incurred in discontinued operations. On a combined basis interest expense was \$2.7 million in the first quarter of 2002 compared to \$2.4 million in the first quarter of 2001. Although debt has decreased significantly from first quarter

- 20 -

2001 levels to first quarter 2002, the resulting decrease was more than offset by the increased default rate being paid on the BOA revolving credit and term loan facilities and \$625,000 in overadvance fees paid in the first quarter of 2002. [Interest expense for 2002 may decrease compared to 2001 depending on the amount of proceeds and timing of asset dispositions in 2002 as part of the restructuring plan.]

Income Taxes

There was no tax provision from continuing operations in the first quarter of 2002 compared to a provision of \$0.4 million for the first quarter of 2001. The Company has net operating loss carryforwards for Federal tax return reporting purposes totaling \$124.1 million at December 31, 2001, \$79.2 million of which expire by 2004. [The Company may be able to utilize its loss carryforwards against possible increased profitability as the result of the Company's corporate-wide restructuring plan. However, until the amount of proceeds from and timing of asset dispositions as part of such plan are known], management has determined to fully reserve for the total amount of net deferred tax assets as of December 31, 2001 [and to continue to do so during 2002 until assets are disposed]. The tax provision from continuing operations in the first quarter of 2001 was based on income from continuing operations before tax adjusted for permanent differences.

Discontinued Operations

There was no income or loss from discontinued operations for the first quarter of 2002 compared to income from discontinued operations of \$0.3 million in the first quarter of 2001. For the first quarters of 2002 and 2001, discontinued operations includes a total of \$0.6 million and \$0.8 million, respectively, of interest expense.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

General

The Company manages its liquidity as a consolidated enterprise. The operating groups of the Company carry minimal cash balances. Cash generated from group operating activities generally is used to repay borrowings under revolving credit arrangements, as well as other uses (e.g. corporate headquarters expenses, debt service, capital expenditures, etc.). Conversely, cash required for group operating activities generally is provided from funds available under the same revolving credit arrangements.

Restructuring and Other Actions

In the fourth quarter of 2001, we developed and adopted a plan to restructure our continuing operations. During 2002, the Company has made significant progress towards implementation of this plan.

On April 30, 2002, we entered into a non-binding letter of intent (LOI) for the sale of substantially all of the assets and business of Kingway, our discontinued materials handling systems operations, for a total of \$34.0 million in cash (\$29.0 million), subordinated notes (\$3.0 million) and subordinated contingent notes (\$2.0 million). The due diligence process by the buyer is in its early stages. The closing date set in the LOI is June 30, 2002.

- 21 -

On April 2, 2002, we entered into a non-binding letter of intent for the sale of substantially all of the assets of Alliance, our discontinued bridges and cranes operations, for \$3.3 million in cash plus the assumption by the buyer of certain liabilities. The due diligence process by the buyer is proceeding and a definitive asset purchase agreement has been drafted. The closing date set in the LOI and definitive asset purchase agreement is May 31, 2002.

[We anticipate using the cash proceeds from these asset sales to pay accrued interest on our senior notes, reduce debt, pay our overextended vendors and for other corporate purposes. Debt reductions will include a combination of BOA revolving credit and term loan facilities and 13% senior notes. Cash proceeds to be applied to reducing debt is dependent on a number of factors including, but not limited to, finalization of the net cash to be received in each asset sale and limitations imposed by BOA, if any.]

The closings of each of these transactions are subject to certain conditions, including the executions of definitive asset purchase agreements. There are no assurances that both or either of these transactions will close on the terms set forth in each LOI or definitive asset purchase agreement or at all.

During the first quarter of 2002, NPSAC, the Company's former pressure vessel operations in Clearfield, Utah, was relocated to and combined with the pressure vessel operations in McKeesport, PA. The cylinder operations in Milwaukee, WI have been relocated to our leased facility in Libertyville, IL. The land and building in Milwaukee, WI is being prepared for sale. We are preparing to move the cylinder operations in Chicago, IL to the Libertyville facility during the third quarter of 2002. We closed the Plastics' corporate headquarters in Charlotte, NC and all administrative and managerial positions were eliminated. Plastics' corporate responsibilities have been returned to our manufacturing and administrative operations in Oneida, NY.

- 22 -

The restructuring charge we recorded in the fourth quarter of 2001 included estimated cash and non-cash components. The cash components were comprised of reserves for lease termination costs and employee separations. We think the estimates made last year are still valid now. The following represents a summary of first quarter 2002 cash activity for the estimated cash components of our fourth quarter 2001 restructuring charge (in thousands).

Description	1.2	At /31/01	_	002	03	At
Description						
Lease termination costs Employee separations	\$	1,172 20	\$	(102)	\$	1,070 20

Reserve for restructuring

\$ 1,192 \$ (102) \$ 1,090 -----

We reevaluated the shut-down of our Plastics operation in Siler City, NC and have determined to maintain our presence in the southern U.S. and service the customers of the Siler City, NC location, [possibly by relocating the operations to a smaller leased facility in the area]. This reevaluation does not change our intent to dispose of the land, building and a large portion of the existing machinery and equipment or the fourth quarter restructuring charge related thereto.

13% Senior Notes and Semi-Annual Interest Payments

We have a total of \$24.855 million of 13% senior notes outstanding, of which a sinking fund payment of \$12.5 million was due on May 1, 2002 and the remainder is due on May 1, 2003. The senior notes require semi-annual interest payments every November 1st and May 1st. We were unable to make the semi-annual interest payments of \$1.616 million on each of November 1, 2001 and May 1, 2002. We were also unable to make the \$12.5 million sinking fund payment due May 1, 2002. This inability to fund our obligations under the 13% senior notes is due to a lack of liquidity and availability under our revolving credit facility with BOA.

An event of default as defined in the indenture governing the senior notes has existed since December 1, 2001 as we were not able to make the November 1, 2001 semi-annual interest payment within the 30-day cure period provided for in the indenture. Although they have not moved to do so while we execute our plan to restructure and generate liquidity through asset sales, the senior notes holders have the right to accelerate all amounts outstanding, including accrued and unpaid interest of \$3.35 million, totaling \$27.9 million at March 31, 2002. Interest accrues at approximately \$0.3 million per month, including compounded interest at 13% per annum on the unpaid semi-annual interest payments.

Bank of America Revolving and Term Loan Credit Facilities

We have a total of \$34.9 million of senior secured revolving and term loan credit facilities outstanding at March 31, 2002 with BOA. We have been in default under these facilities since September 30, 2001 due to our inability to achieve our financial ratio covenants contained in the financing and security agreement with BOA. During the third quarter of 2001 we were also unable to maintain the \$1.5 million minimum availability under the revolving credit facility as required by a December 2000 amendment.

- 23 -

In November 2001, BOA informed us that a borrowing base deficiency existed. Since that time, the Company and BOA have entered into six side letter agreements wherein BOA and the other lenders that participated in the BOA refinancing of the Company in March 2000 agreed to provide monthly advances in excess of our calculated borrowing base for working capital needs while we execute our plan to restructure and generate liquidity by selling assets. The sixth side letter agreement, dated April 1, 2002, provides overadvance approval on a day-to-day basis whereby the overadvance may not exceed \$3.5 million. As of May 15, 2002, we have not exceeded the \$3.5 million overadvance availability. However, nothing in these six side letter agreements waives or otherwise alters BOA's already existing remedies under the BOA financing and security agreement including acceleration of all amounts outstanding under the BOA financing and security agreement.

In consideration of providing its approval of overadvance availability, we have been paying a weekly fee of \$25,000 or \$50,000, depending on the

amount of the overadvance. Since entering into the side letter agreements beginning in November 2001, the Company has paid BOA a total of \$800,000 in such fees.

[Since we could not repay our senior noteholders or bank lenders if either or both of them exercised their existing rights to accelerate what we owe them, they could pursue all remedies available to creditors in the normal course of business, including filing of involuntary bankruptcy petitions.]

SUMMARY OF 2002 ACTIVITIES

Cash and cash equivalents totaled \$0.8 million (including \$0.1 million classified within discontinued operations) at March 31, 2002, compared to \$1.2 million (including \$0.5 million classified within discontinued operations) at December 31, 2001, a decrease of \$0.4 million. This decrease resulted from \$4.1 million of cash provided by operations and \$0.1 million of cash provided by investing activities being more than offset by \$4.6 million used in financing activities. Cash and cash equivalents at the end of a period generally represents lockbox receipts from customers to be applied to our BOA revolving credit facility the following business day.

Operating Activities

Cash provided by operating activities of \$4.1 million in the first quarter of 2002 was the result of a decrease in net working capital as lower volume levels led to a reduction in receivables and as tighter liquidity resulted in a slowdown in payments to vendors and an increase in trade payables.

Investing Activities

The Company disposed of machinery and equipment with no book value during the first quarter of 2002, generating \$0.4\$ million in cash proceeds. Capital expenditures were \$0.3\$ million.

Financing Activities

The Company made scheduled repayments of debt totaling \$1.0 million, which included \$0.9 million on its term loan A and \$0.1 million on its capital expenditures facility. Revolving credit facility borrowings decreased \$3.6 million during the first quarter of 2002. These reductions were funded primarily with working capital. Other debt repayments totaling \$22,000 represent payments on capital lease obligations and other debt.

- 24 -

FACTORS AFFECTING CURRENT AND FUTURE LIQUIDITY

During the third and fourth quarters of 2001, downturns in several of the markets we serve adversely affected our ability to absorb costs and we experienced an increase in ineligible receivables and inventory. This increase in ineligibles resulted in a decrease in borrowing availability under the revolving credit facility. These events have and continue to adversely affect our ability to meet obligations. We have extended our vendors and failed to make the November 1, 2001 and May 1, 2002 \$1.616 million semi-annual interest payments and the May 1, 2002 \$12.5 million sinking fund payment on our 13% senior notes.

During 2002, we have made significant progress towards implementation of our restructuring plan, including entering into LOIs for the sales of our discontinued materials handling systems and bridges and cranes operations.

[We believe we will generate adequate proceeds from these asset sales to alleviate the current and future strain on liquidity and meet past due, immediate and near-term obligations. However, no assurances can be given that such pursuits will be successful.]

If we are not able to complete the sales of assets on acceptable terms or if other anticipated benefits of our plan to restructuring do not materialize, [we may not be able to continue as a going concern].

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

There have been no significant changes in the market risk factors which affect the Company since the end of the preceding fiscal year.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Legal Proceedings

The Company and its subsidiaries are defendants in a number of lawsuits and administrative proceedings, which have arisen in the ordinary course of business of the Company and its subsidiaries. The Company believes that any material liability which can result from any of such lawsuits or proceedings has been properly reserved for in the Company's consolidated financial statements or is covered by indemnification in favor of the Company or its subsidiaries, and therefore the outcome of these lawsuits or proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In June 1993, the U.S. Customs Service (Customs) made a demand on Chatwins Group's former industrial rubber distribution division for \$612,948 in marking duties pursuant to 19 U.S.C. Sec. 1592. The duties are claimed on importations of "unmarked" hose products from 1982 to 1986. Following Chatwins Group's initial response raising various arguments in defense, including expired statute of limitations, Customs responded in January 1997 by reducing its demand to \$370,968 and reiterating that demand in October 1997. Chatwins Group restated its position and continues to decline payment of the claim. Should the claim not be resolved, Customs threatens suit in the International Courts of Claims. The Company continues to believe, based on consultation with counsel, that there are facts which raise a number of procedural and substantive defenses to this claim, which will be vigorously defended. There is no applicable insurance coverage.

- 25 -

In December 1999, a stockholder of Reunion filed a purported class-action lawsuit in Delaware Chancery Court alleging, among other things, that Reunion's public stockholders would be unfairly diluted in the merger with Chatwins Group. The lawsuit sought to prevent completion of the merger and, the merger having been completed, seeks rescission of the merger or awarding of damages. The lawsuit remains in the initial stages of discovery. Reunion intends to vigorously contest the suit.

The Company has been named as a defendant in fifteen consolidated lawsuits filed in December 2000 or early 2001 in the Superior Court for Los Angeles County, California, three of which are purported class actions asserted on behalf of approximately 200 payees. The plaintiffs in these suits, except one, are structured settlement payees to whom Stanwich Financial Services Corp. (SFSC) is indebted. The Company and SFSC are related parties.

In addition to the Company, there are numerous defendants in these suits, including SFSC, Mr. Bradley, the sole shareholder of SFSC's parent, several major financial institutions and certain others. All of these suits arise out of the inability of SFSC to make structured settlement payments when due. Pursuant to the court's order, plaintiffs in the purported class actions and plaintiffs in the individual cases actions filed a model complaint. Except for the class allegations, the two model complaints are identical. The plaintiffs seek compensatory and punitive damages, restoration of certain alleged trust assets, restitution and attorneys' fees and costs.

The plaintiffs in one of the suits are former owners of a predecessor of SFSC and current operators of a competing structured settlement business. These plaintiffs claim that their business and reputations have been damaged by SFSC's structured settlement defaults, seek damages for unfair competition and purport to sue on behalf of the payees.

The plaintiffs allege that the Company borrowed funds from SFSC and has not repaid these loans. The plaintiffs' theories of liability against the Company are that it is the alter ego of SFSC and Mr. Bradley and that the Company received fraudulent transfers of SFSC's assets. The plaintiffs also assert direct claims against the Company for inducing breach of contract and aiding and abetting an alleged breach of fiduciary duty by SFSC.

On June 25, 2001, SFSC filed a Chapter 11 Bankruptcy Petition in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the District of Connecticut. SFSC filed an adversary proceeding in the bankruptcy case against the plaintiffs seeking a declaration that the structured settlement trust assets are the property of the bankruptcy estate. On July 16, 2001, the bankruptcy court granted a temporary restraining order enjoining the plaintiffs from prosecuting their claims against the Company, SFSC, Mr. Bradley and others. As a result of this restraining order of the bankruptcy court, the Company entered a standstill agreement with the plaintiffs on August 22, 2001. Pursuant to the standstill agreement, and the stipulation of the parties to the SFSC bankruptcy case, the plaintiffs agreed to take no further action to prosecute any claim in the litigation against the Company, Mr. Bradley and others to recover any structured settlement trust assets or any derivative claims or claims based on allegations of alter ego, fraudulent transfer or conversion. The plaintiffs did not agree to waive or release their direct personal claims against the Company for damages, but the plaintiffs agreed to cease and desist the prosecution of those claims until no earlier than sixty days following service of written notice to the Company stating that they have elected to unilaterally terminate the standstill.

- 26 -

Plaintiffs filed second amended model complaints in the class actions and individual cases on August 24, 2001. Both model complaints allege causes of action against the Company for interference with contract and aiding and abetting breach of fiduciary duty. However, pursuant to the standstill agreement, the plaintiffs are taking no action to prosecute these claims against the Company at this time.

Certain of the financial institution defendants have asserted cross-complaints against the Company for implied and express indemnity and contribution and negligence. The Company denies the allegations of the plaintiffs and the cross-complainant financial institutions and intends to vigorously defend against these actions and cross-actions.

The Company has been named in approximately 250 separate asbestos suits filed since January 1, 2001 by three plaintiffs' law firms in Wayne County, Michigan. The claims allege that cranes from the Company's crane

manufacturing location in Alliance, OH were present in various parts of McLouth and Great Lakes Steel Mills in Wayne County, Michigan and that those cranes contained asbestos to which plaintiffs were exposed over a 40 year span. Counsel for the Company has filed an answer to each complaint denying liability by the Company and asserting all alternative defenses permitted under the Court's Case Management Order. Counsel for the Company has negotiated dismissal of 95 cases without any cost to the Company. The Company denies that it manufactured any products containing asbestos or otherwise knew or should have known that any component part manufacturers provided products containing asbestos. The Company intends to vigorously defend against these lawsuits.

Since July 10, 2001, various lawsuits, some involving multiple plaintiffs, alleging personal injury/wrongful death from asbestos exposure have been filed in multiple states, including California, Oregon and Mississippi, against a large number of defendants, including Oneida Rostone Corporation (ORC), pre-merger Reunion's Plastics subsidiary and the Company's Plastics segment. In October 2001, Allen-Bradley Company, a former owner of the Rostone business of ORC, accepted Reunion Industries' tender of its defense and indemnification in the first such lawsuit filed pursuant to a contractual obligation to do so. Subsequent to the acceptance of the tender of defense and indemnification in the first lawsuit, Allen-Bradley Company has accepted the Company's tender of defense and indemnification in a total of 43 separate actions, all of which are being defended by Allen-Bradley Company.

Environmental Compliance

Various U.S. federal, state and local laws and regulations including, without limitation, laws and regulations concerning the containment and disposal of hazardous waste, oil field waste and other waste materials, the use of storage tanks, the use of insecticides and fungicides and the use of underground injection wells directly or indirectly affect the Company's operations. In addition, environmental laws and regulations typically impose "strict liability" upon the Company for certain environmental damages. Accordingly, in some situations, the Company could be liable for clean up costs even if the situation resulted from previous conduct of the Company that was lawful at the time or from improper conduct of, or conditions caused by, previous property owners, lessees or other persons not associated with the Company or events outside the control of the Company. Such clean up costs or costs associated with changes in environmental laws and regulations could be substantial and could have a materially adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

- 27 -

Except as described in the following paragraphs, the Company believes it is currently in material compliance with existing environmental protection laws and regulations and is not involved in any significant remediation activities or administrative or judicial proceedings arising under federal, state or local environmental protection laws and regulations. In addition to management personnel who are responsible for monitoring environmental compliance and arranging for remedial actions that may be required, the Company has also employed outside consultants from time to time to advise and assist the Company's environmental compliance efforts. Except as described in the following paragraphs, the Company has not recorded any accruals for environmental costs.

In February 1996, Reunion was informed by a contracted environmental services consulting firm that soil and ground water contamination exists at its Lafayette, Indiana site. Since then, the Company has expended \$376,000 of remediation costs and accrued an additional \$20,000.

In connection with the sale of its former oil and gas operations, premerger Reunion retained certain oil and gas properties in Louisiana because of litigation concerning environmental matters. The Company is in the process of environmental remediation under a plan approved by the Louisiana Department of Natural Resources Office of Conservation (LDNROC). The Company has recorded an accrual for its proportionate share of the remaining estimated costs to remediate the site based on plans and estimates developed by the environmental consultants hired by the Company. During 1999, the Company conducted remediation work on the property. The Company paid \$172,000 of the total cost of \$300,000. Regulatory hearings were held in January 2000 and 2001 to consider the adequacy of the remediation conducted to date. In August 2001, LDNROC issued its order for the Company to complete the soil remediation under the plan approved in 1999 and to perform additional testing to determine to what extent groundwater contamination might exist. The Company's environmental consultant is in the process of updating the estimate of the costs to comply with this order, but the Company does not believe that the cost of future remediation will exceed the amount accrued. No remediation was performed in 2000 or 2001 pending the decision. However, the Company has paid \$232,000 for its share of consulting services in connection with the hearings. At March 31, 2002, the balance accrued for these remediation costs is approximately \$1,076,000. Owners of a portion of the property have objected to the Company's cleanup methodology and have filed suit to require additional procedures. The Company is contesting this litigation, and believes its proposed methodology is well within accepted industry practice for remediation efforts of a similar nature. No accrual has been made for ${\hbox{\rm costs}}$ of any alternative cleanup methodology which might be imposed as a result of the litigation.

On March 15, 2002, the Company received a Request for Information from the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) regarding the Gambonini Mine Site in Marin County, California. The Company operated a mercury mine on this site from 1965 to 1970. The Company has gathered and forwarded to the USEPA the information it requested. At this time, the Company has not been formally named as a potentially responsible party. As such and because the USEPA's interest in the Gambonini Mine Site is not known, the Company has not made any assessment of potential liability, if any.

- 28 -

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

Debt in default consists of the following (in thousands):

	At March 31, 2002	At December 31, 2001
	(unaudited)	
13% senior notes (net of unamortized		
discount of \$-0- and \$2)	\$ 24,855	\$ 24,853
BOA revolving credit facility	18,857	22,475
BOA term loan A due March 16, 2007	15,149	16,071
BOA capital expenditure facility	930	990
Total debt in default	\$ 59 , 791	\$ 64,389
	=======	=======

13% Senior Notes and Semi-Annual Interest Payments

We have a total of \$24.855 million of 13% senior notes outstanding, of which a sinking fund payment of \$12.5 million was due on May 1, 2002 and the remainder is due on May 1, 2003. The senior notes require semi-annual interest payments every November 1st and May 1st. We were unable to make the

semi-annual interest payments of \$1.616 million on each of November 1, 2001 and May 1, 2002. We were also unable to make the \$12.5 million sinking fund payment due May 1, 2002.

An event of default as defined in the indenture governing the senior notes has existed since December 1, 2001 as we were not able to make the November 1, 2001 semi-annual interest payment within the 30-day cure period provided for in the indenture. As such, the senior notes holders have the right to accelerate all amounts outstanding, including accrued and unpaid interest of \$3.35 million, totaling \$27.9 million at March 31, 2002. Interest accrues at approximately \$0.3 million per month, including compounded interest at 13% per annum on the unpaid semi-annual interest payments.

Bank of America Revolving and Term Loan Credit Facilities

We have a total of \$34.9 million of senior secured revolving and term loan credit facilities outstanding at March 31, 2002 with BOA. We have been in default under these facilities since September 30, 2001 due to our inability to achieve our financial ratio covenants contained in the financing and security agreement with BOA. During the third quarter of 2001 we were also unable to maintain the \$1.5 million minimum availability under the revolving credit facility as required by a December 2000 amendment.

In November 2001, BOA informed us that a borrowing base deficiency existed. Since that time, the Company and BOA have entered into six side letter agreements wherein BOA and the other lenders that participated in the BOA refinancing of the Company in March 2000 agreed to provide monthly advances in excess of our calculated borrowing base for working capital needs while we execute our plan to restructure and generate liquidity by selling assets. The sixth side letter agreement, dated April 1, 2002, provides overadvance approval on a day-to-day basis whereby the overadvance may not exceed \$3.5 million. As of May 15, 2002, we have not exceeded the \$3.5 million overadvance availability. However, nothing in these six side letter agreements waives or otherwise alters BOA's already existing remedies under the BOA financing and security agreement including acceleration of all amounts outstanding under the BOA financing and security agreement.

- 29 -

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereto duly authorized.

Date: May 15, 2002 REUNION INDUSTRIES, INC. (Registrant)

> By: /s/ Kimball J. Bradley _____ Kimball J. Bradley President and Chief

Operating Officer

By: /s/ John M. Froehlich -----John M. Froehlich Executive Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer

(chief financial and accounting officer)

- 30 -