

Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405

HEICO CORP  
Form 10-K405  
January 29, 2002

=====

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

Form 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF  
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended October 31, 2001 or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF  
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 (no fee required)

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission file number 1-4604

HEICO CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

FLORIDA	65-0341002
(State or other jurisdiction of Incorporation or organization)	(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
3000 Taft Street, Hollywood, Florida	33021
(Address of principal executive offices)	(Zip Code)

(954) 987-4000

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Common Stock, par value \$.01 per share	New York Stock Exchange
Class A Common Stock, par value \$.01 per share	(Name of Each Exchange On
(Title of Each Class)	Which Registered)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Preferred Stock Purchase Rights  
(Title of Class)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months, and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by nonaffiliates of the registrant was \$238,000,000 based on the closing price of Common Stock and Class A Common Stock on December 31, 2001 as reported by the New York Stock Exchange.

## Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405

The number of shares outstanding of each of the registrant's classes of common stock, as of December 31, 2001:

Common Stock, \$.01 par value	9,325,365 shares
Class A Common Stock, \$.01 par value	11,521,708 shares

### DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the registrant's definitive proxy statement for the 2001 Annual Meeting of Shareholders are incorporated by reference into Part III. See Item 14(a)(3) on page 59 for a listing of exhibits.

=====

Certain statements in this Report constitute "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. All statements contained herein that are not clearly historical in nature are forward-looking and the words "believe," "expect," "estimate" and similar expressions are generally intended to identify forward-looking statements. Any forward-looking statements contained herein, in press releases, written statements or other documents filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission or in communications and discussions with the investors and analysts in the normal course of business through meetings, phone calls and conference calls, concerning our operations, economic performance and financial condition are subject to known and unknown risks, uncertainties and contingencies. We have based these forward-looking statements on our current expectations and projections about future events. All forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties, many of which are beyond our control, which may cause actual results, performance or achievements to differ materially from anticipated results, performance or achievements. Also, forward-looking statements are based upon management's estimates of fair values and of future costs, using currently available information. Therefore, actual results may differ materially from those expressed or implied in those statements. Factors that could cause such differences include, but are not limited to:

- . Our intention to introduce new products;
- . Our ability to make acquisitions and achieve operating synergies from acquired businesses;
- . Our ability to continue to control costs and maintain quality;
- . Product pricing levels;
- . Product specification costs and requirements;
- . Governmental and regulatory demands;
- . Governmental export policies;
- . Competition on military programs;
- . Governmental funding of military programs;
- . Risks inherent in changes in market interest rates;
- . Anticipated trends in our businesses, including trends in the markets for aircraft engine parts, aircraft engine overhaul and electronics equipment and airline fleet changes;
- . The demand for commercial air travel;

## Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405

- . The adverse impact of the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks on commercial airlines and the economy in general;
- . The extent of benefits received by U.S. airlines and air cargo carriers under the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, considering any challenges to and interpretations or amendments of the Act;
- . Increasing cost of insurance coverage as a result of the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks;
- . Credit risk related to receivables from customers; and
- . Economic conditions within and outside of the aerospace, defense and electronics industries.

We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

1

### PART I

#### Item 1. Business

##### The Company

HEICO Corporation (HEICO or the Company) believes it is the world's largest manufacturer of Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) approved jet engine and aircraft replacement parts, other than the original equipment manufacturers (OEMs) and their subcontractors. It is also a leading manufacturer of certain electronic equipment to the aerospace, defense, medical and electronics industries. The Company's operations are divided into two segments, the Flight Support Group (FSG) and the Electronic Technologies Group (ETG). Through our FSG we use proprietary technology to design, manufacture and sell jet engine and aircraft replacement parts for sale at lower prices than those manufactured by OEMs. These parts are approved by the FAA and are the functional equivalent of parts sold by OEMs. In addition, our FSG repairs, refurbishes and overhauls engine and aircraft components for domestic and foreign commercial air carriers and aircraft repair companies, and manufactures thermal insulation products and components parts primarily for aerospace, defense and commercial applications. In fiscal 2001, the FSG accounted for 77% of our revenues. Through our ETG, we manufacture various types of electrical products, including electrical power supplies, back-up power supplies, electromagnetic interference and radio frequency interference shielding, electro-optical products such as infrared simulation and test equipment and analog electronic products including hybrid laser rangefinder receivers, high power laser diode drivers, amplifiers, photodetectors, amplifier modules, flash lamp drivers and power supplies. In addition, ETG also repairs and overhauls inertial navigation systems and various avionics, instruments and components for commercial, military and business aircraft. In fiscal 2000, the ETG accounted for 23% of our revenues. In September 2000, the Company sold Trilectron Industries, Inc. (Trilectron) and its associated product line, which included ground support equipment for commercial airlines and military agencies. See "Management's Discussion of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" for details of the Company's disposition.

We have continuously operated in the aerospace industry for approximately 40 years. Since assuming control in 1990, current management has achieved significant sales and profit growth through expanded product offerings, an expanded customer base, increased research and development expenditures, and the completion of acquisitions. Since fiscal 1998, the Company, through acquisitions, has added eight subsidiaries to its FSG and six subsidiaries to

## Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405

its ETG. See "Management's Discussion of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" for details of the Company's acquisitions. As a result of internal growth and acquisitions, our revenues from continuing operations have grown from \$34.6 million in fiscal 1996 to \$171.3 million in fiscal 2001, a compound annual growth rate of 38% over the five-year period.

In October 1997, we formed a strategic alliance with Lufthansa Technik AG (Lufthansa), the technical services subsidiary of Lufthansa German Airlines AG. Lufthansa is the world's largest independent provider of engineering and maintenance services for aircraft and aircraft engines and supports over 200 airlines, governments and other customers. As part of the transaction, Lufthansa acquired a 20% minority interest in our FSG, investing approximately \$50 million to date. This includes direct equity investments and the funding of specific research and development projects. In connection with acquisitions by our FSG since 1997, Lufthansa invested additional amounts pursuant to its option to maintain a 20% equity interest. This strategic alliance should continue to enable us to expand domestically and internationally by enhancing our ability to (i) identify key jet aircraft and component replacement parts with significant profit potential by utilizing Lufthansa's extensive operating data on engine and component parts, (ii) introduce those parts throughout the world in an efficient manner due to Lufthansa's testing and diagnostic resources, and (iii) broaden our customer base by capitalizing on Lufthansa's established relationships and alliances within the airline industry.

In February 2001, we entered into a joint venture with AMR Corporation (AMR), parent company of American Airlines, one of the world's largest airlines, to develop, design and sell FAA-approved replacement parts through our subsidiary, HEICO Aerospace Holdings Corp. (HEICO Aerospace). As part of the joint venture, AMR will reimburse HEICO Aerospace for a portion of new product research and development costs. The joint venture is 16% owned by AMR. AMR and HEICO Aerospace have agreed to cooperate regarding technical services and

2

marketing support on a worldwide basis. We believe that AMR's investment, along with their vast technical experience as an operator and overhauler of aircraft and engines, will allow us to accelerate the development of new FAA-approved replacement parts and, accordingly, to manufacture and market such parts.

### Flight Support Group

Our FSG is headquartered in Hollywood, Florida and designs, engineers, manufactures, repairs and/or overhauls engine and aircraft parts and components such as combustion chambers, compressor blades, vanes, seals and various other engine and aircraft parts. We also manufacture specialty aviation and defense components as a subcontractor. We serve a broad spectrum of the aviation industry, including (i) commercial airlines and air cargo couriers, (ii) repair and overhaul facilities, (iii) OEMs, and (iv) U.S. and foreign governments. See "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" for a listing of operating subsidiaries included in the FSG.

Aircraft engine and aircraft replacement parts can be categorized by their ongoing ability to be repaired and returned to service. The general categories (in all of which we participate) are as follows: (i) rotatable; (ii) repairable; and (iii) expendable. A rotatable is a part which is removed periodically as dictated by an operator's maintenance procedures or on an as needed basis and is typically repaired or overhauled and re-used an indefinite number of times. An important subset of rotatables is "life limited" parts. A life limited rotatable has a designated number of allowable flight hours and/or cycles (one take-off and landing generally constitutes one cycle) after which it is rendered unusable. A repairable is similar to a rotatable except that it can only be repaired a limited

## Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405

number of times before it must be discarded. An expendable is generally a part which is used and not thereafter repaired for further use.

Engine and aircraft replacement parts are classified within the industry as (i) factory-new, (ii) new surplus, (iii) overhauled, (iv) serviceable, and (v) as removed. A factory-new or new surplus part is one that has never been installed or used. Factory-new parts are purchased from FAA-approved manufacturers (such as HEICO or OEMs) or their authorized distributors. New surplus parts are purchased from excess stock of airlines, repair facilities or other redistributors. An overhauled part has been completely repaired and inspected by a licensed repair facility such as ours. An aircraft spare part is classified repairable if it can be repaired by a licensed repair facility under applicable regulations. A part may also be classified repairable if it can be removed by the operator from an aircraft or engine while operating under an approved maintenance program and is airworthy and meets any manufacturer or time and cycle restrictions applicable to the part. A factory-new, new surplus, overhauled or serviceable part designation indicates that the part can be immediately utilized on an aircraft. A part in "as removed" condition requires inspection and possibly functional testing, repair or overhaul by a licensed facility prior to being returned to service in an aircraft.

Factory-New Jet Engine and Aircraft Replacement Parts. The principal business of the FSG is the research and development, design, manufacture and sale of FAA-approved replacement parts that are sold to domestic and foreign commercial air carriers and aircraft repair and overhaul companies. Our principal competitors are Pratt & Whitney, a division of United Technologies Corporation (UTC) and General Electric Company (General Electric), including its CFM International joint venture. The FSG's factory-new replacement parts include various jet engine and aircraft component replacement parts. A key element of our growth strategy is the continued design and development of an increasing number of Parts Manufacturer Approval (PMA) replacement parts in order to further penetrate our existing customer base and obtain new customers. We select the jet engine and aircraft component replacement parts to design and manufacture through a selection process which analyzes industry information to determine which replacement parts are expected to generate the greatest profitability. As part of Lufthansa's

3

investment in the FSG, Lufthansa has the right to select 50% of the parts for which we will seek PMAs, provided that such parts are technologically and economically feasible and substantially comparable with the profitability of our other PMA parts.

The following table sets forth (i) the lines of engines for which we provide jet engine replacement parts and (ii) the approximate number of such engines currently in service as estimated by us.

OEM	Lines	Number In Service	Principal Engine Application
Pratt & Whitney	JT8D	8,500	Boeing 727 and 737 (100 and 200 series) McDonnell Douglas DC-9 and MD-80
	JT9D	1,800	Boeing 747 (100, 200 and 300 series) and 767 (200 series) Airbus A300 and A310 McDonnell Douglas DC-10

## Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405

	PW2000	1,100	Boeing 757
	PW4000	2,200	Boeing 747-400, 767-300 and 777 Airbus A300, A310 and A330 McDonnell Douglas MD-11
CFM International (a joint venture of General Electric and SNECMA)	CFM56	11,000	Boeing 737 (300, 400, 500, 700, 800 and 900 series) Airbus A320 and A340-200
General Electric	CF6	5,000	Boeing 747 and 767 Airbus A300, A310 and A330 McDonnell Douglas MD-11
IAE (a joint venture of Pratt & Whitney and Rolls Royce)	V2500	1,500	Airbus A320, McDonnell Douglas MD-90

Repair and Overhaul Services. We provide repair and overhaul services on selected engine and aircraft parts, as well as for avionics, instruments, components, composites and flight surfaces for commercial aircraft. Our repair and overhaul operations require a high level of expertise, advanced technology and sophisticated equipment. Services include the repair, refurbishment and overhaul of numerous accessories and parts mounted on gas turbine engines and airframes. Components overhauled include fuel pumps, generators, fuel controls, pneumatic valves, starters and actuators, turbo compressors and constant speed drives, hydraulic pumps, valves and actuators, composite flight controls, electro-mechanical equipment and auxiliary power unit accessories. In June 2000 and August 2001, the Company acquired the assets of Future Aviation, Inc. and Avitech Engineering Corp., respectively, which expanded our repair and overhaul services into the fast-growing regional commuter and business aircraft market.

Manufacture of Specialty Aircraft/Defense Related Parts and Subcontracting for OEMs. We also manufacture thermal insulation blankets primarily for aerospace, defense and commercial applications. We also derive revenue from the sale of specialty components as a subcontractor for OEMs and the U.S. government.

### FAA Approvals and Product Design

Non-OEM manufacturers of jet engine replacement parts must receive a Parts Manufacturing Approval (PMA) from the FAA to sell the part. The PMA approval process includes the submission of sample parts, drawings and testing data to one of the FAA's Aircraft Certification Offices where the submitted data are analyzed. We believe that an applicant's ability to successfully complete the PMA

4

process is limited by several factors, including (i) the agency's confidence level in the applicant, (ii) the complexity of the part, (iii) the volume of PMAs being filed, and (iv) the resources available to the FAA. We also believe that companies such as HEICO that have demonstrated their manufacturing capabilities and established favorable track records with the FAA generally receive a faster turnaround time in the processing of PMA applications. Finally, we believe that the PMA process creates a significant barrier to entry in this market niche through both its technical demands and its limits on the rate at which competitors can bring products to market.

As part of our growth strategy, we have continued to increase our research and development activities. Research and development expenditures by the FSG increased from approximately \$300,000 in 1991 to approximately \$7.1 million in fiscal 2001 including \$1.3 million reimbursed in 2001 under our strategic alliances with Lufthansa and AMR. We believe that our FSG's research and development capabilities are a significant component of our historical success and an integral part of our growth strategy.

## Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405

The Company's expanded research and development activities have included development of more complex jet engine and aircraft replacement parts. In October 1999, the Company received its first PMA for a compressor blade from the FAA and is continuing research and development of other complex parts. The Company believes the development and sale of complex parts represents a significant long-term market opportunity; however, no assurance can be given that the FAA will continue to grant PMAs or that the Company will achieve acceptable levels of net sales and gross profits on such parts in the future.

We benefit from our proprietary rights relating to certain designs, engineering, manufacturing processes and repair and overhaul procedures. Customers often rely on us to provide initial and additional components, as well as to redesign, re-engineer, replace or repair and provide overhaul services on such aircraft components at every stage of their useful lives. In addition, for some products, our unique manufacturing capabilities are required by the customer's specifications or designs, thereby necessitating reliance on us for production of such designed product.

While we have developed proprietary techniques, software and manufacturing expertise for the manufacture of jet engine and aircraft replacement parts, we have no patents for these proprietary techniques and choose to rely on trade secret protection. We believe that although our proprietary techniques, software and expertise are subject to misappropriation or obsolescence, development of improved methods and processes and new techniques by us will continue on an ongoing basis as dictated by the technological needs of our business.

### Impact of September 11th Terrorist Attacks

On September 11, 2001, there were terrorist attacks on New York's World Trade Center towers and on the Pentagon, which involved the hijacking of four U.S. commercial aircraft. In the aftermath of the terrorist attacks, passenger traffic on commercial flights was significantly lower than prior to the attacks and many commercial airlines reduced their operating schedules. The overall result of the terrorist attacks was billions in losses to the airline industry.

While the Company's diversification of its operations beyond commercial aerospace markets has cushioned the impacts of the September 11, 2001 attacks, the Company has seen a directly related decline in sales to the commercial

5

aerospace markets particularly, sales of PMA replacement parts. As a result of the September 11, 2001 events, many of the airlines are accelerating the retirement of their JT8D fleets. Although the Company contemplated these retirements, they are currently expected to occur sooner than previously anticipated. Currently approximately two-thirds of the Company's PMA parts offered for sale are non-JT8D and the Company's strategy is to increase market penetration for its non-JT8D parts. However, revenue generated from the sale of JT8D PMA parts represented approximately 21% of fiscal 2001 consolidated net sales. The Company has also increased its new product development budget for fiscal 2002 by approximately \$3 million (a 50% increase over the FSG's new product development expense in fiscal 2001) and plans to introduce a record number of new commercial aircraft parts. Although the Company has experienced a decline in sales to the commercial aerospace market, demand for the Company's defense products and services appears to have accelerated. The Company cannot currently predict the long-term impact of the September 11th events on its operations.

Electronic Technologies Group

## Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405

Our ETG is headquartered in Miami, Florida and manufactures various types of electrically and electro-optical engineered products, such as power supplies, shielding for communications, computer and aerospace applications, infrared simulation and test equipment, laser diode drivers and hybrid laser rangefinder receivers. In addition, our ETG also repairs and overhauls inertial navigation systems and other avionics, instruments and components for commercial, military and business aircraft. See "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" for a listing of operating subsidiaries included in the ETG.

Until the September 2000 sale of Trilectron, we also served the commercial and military ground support equipment markets. This entire product line was sold in the sale discussed in Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Products of the ETG include:

- . On-board Aircraft Power Supplies and Batteries. Our ETG manufactures power supply and current control products and replacement components used in aircraft. Our products include battery and charger units to support emergency lighting, emergency fuel shut-off devices, emergency exit door power assists, static inverters for emergency lighting and cockpit lighting dimmers. While periodically entire units may require replacement, there is an ongoing replacement market for batteries which have an estimated service life of approximately 3 to 5 years. These products are mainly sold to OEM customers and customers in the retrofit and modification market.
- . Repair and Overhaul Services. ETG is engaged in the repair and overhaul of inertial navigation systems which are used by commercial and military aircraft to ascertain their locations during flight operations. In addition, we also repair and overhaul various avionics, instruments and other components for a wide array of commercial, military and business aircraft.
- . Electro-optical Infrared Simulation and Test Equipment. ETG is a leading international designer and manufacturer of state-of-the-art aerospace and defense electro-optical infrared simulation and test equipment. Our products include high precision blackbody sources, optical systems and fully integrated test calibration systems. In addition, the MIRAGE IR Scene Simulator is used to project infrared scenes to assist with product development and training for complex infrared targeting and imaging systems and other items.
- . Electro-optical Laser Products. ETG is engaged in the design and manufacture of electro-optical laser products primarily for use in the laser industry. Our products include hybrid laser rangefinder receivers, amplifiers, photodetectors, amplifier modules, flash lamp drivers and power supplies.

6

- . Circuit Board Shielding. ETG manufactures electromagnetic interference and radio frequency interference shielding for circuit boards and other items utilized in telecommunications, aerospace, and microwave applications. The circuit board shielding technology reduces electronic noise and protects sensitive components. We have a line of patented products and the ability to fabricate in a wide variety of shapes and applications, which we believe is a manufacturing advantage.

Financial information about operating segments, foreign and domestic operations and export sales



## Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405

See Note 15 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for financial information by operating segment and information about foreign and domestic operations as well as export sales.

### Sales, Marketing and Customers

Each of our operating segments independently conducts sales and marketing efforts directed at their respective customers and industries and, in some cases, collaborates with other operating divisions and subsidiaries within its group for cross-marketing efforts. Sales and marketing efforts are conducted primarily by in-house personnel and, to a lesser extent, by independent manufacturer's representatives. Generally, the in-house sales personnel receive a base salary plus commission and manufacturer's representatives receive a commission on sales.

We believe that direct relationships are crucial to establishing and maintaining a strong customer base and, accordingly, our senior management is actively involved in our marketing activities, particularly with established customers. We are also a member of various trade and business organizations related to the commercial aviation industry, such as the Aerospace Industries Association (AIA), the leading trade association representing the nation's manufacturers of commercial, military and business aircraft, aircraft engines and related components and equipment. Due in large part to our established industry presence, we enjoy strong customer relations, name recognition and repeat business.

We sell our products to a broad customer base consisting of domestic and foreign commercial and cargo airlines, repair and overhaul facilities, other aftermarket suppliers of aircraft engine and airframe materials, OEMs, domestic and foreign military units, electronic manufacturing services companies, manufacturers for the defense industry and telecommunications companies as well as medical, scientific and industrial companies. No one customer accounted for sales of 10% or more of total consolidated sales from continuing operations during any of the last three fiscal years. Net sales to our five largest customers accounted for approximately 22% of total net sales during the year ended October 31, 2001.

### Competition

The aerospace product and service industry is characterized by intense competition and some of our competitors have substantially greater name recognition, inventories, complementary product and service offerings, financial, marketing and other resources than we do. As a result, such competitors may be able to respond more quickly to customer requirements than we can. Moreover, smaller competitors may be in a position to offer more attractive pricing of engine parts as a result of lower labor costs and other factors.

Our jet engine and aircraft replacement parts business competes primarily with Pratt & Whitney, General Electric and Rolls Royce. The competition is principally based on price and service inasmuch as our parts are interchangeable. With respect to other aerospace products and services sold by the FSG, we compete with both the leading jet engine OEMs and a large number of machining, fabrication and repair companies, some of which have greater financial and other resources than we do. Competition is based mainly on price, product performance, service and technical capability.

Competition for the repair and overhaul of airframe and engine components comes from three principal sources: OEMs, major commercial airlines and other independent service companies. Some of these companies have greater financial

## Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405

and other resources than we do. Some major commercial airlines own and operate their own service centers and sell repair and overhaul services to other aircraft operators. Foreign airlines that provide repair and overhaul services typically provide these services for their own components and for third parties. OEMs also maintain service centers that provide repair and overhaul services for the components they manufacture. Other independent service organizations also compete for the repair and overhaul business of other users of aircraft components. We believe that the principal competitive factors in the repair and overhaul market are quality, turnaround time, overall customer service and price.

Our ETG competes with several large and small domestic and foreign competitors, some of which have greater financial and other resources than we do. The market for our electronic products are niche markets with several competitors with competition based mainly on design, technology, quality, price and customer satisfaction.

### Raw Materials

We purchase a variety of raw materials, primarily consisting of high temperature alloy sheet metal and castings, forgings, pre-plated steel, pre-plated phosphor bronze and electrical components from various vendors. The materials used by our operations are generally available from a number of sources and in sufficient quantities to meet current requirements subject to normal lead times.

### Backlogs

Our total backlog of unshipped orders was \$47.0 million on October 31, 2001 versus \$30.5 million on October 31, 2000. Our FSG operations had a backlog of unshipped orders as of October 31, 2001 of \$12.2 million as compared to \$13.9 million as of October 31, 2000. This backlog excludes forecasted shipments for certain contracts of the FSG pursuant to which customers provide only estimated annual usage and not firm purchase orders. Our ETG operations had a backlog of \$34.7 million as of October 31, 2001 and \$16.6 million as of October 31, 2000. Substantially all of the backlog of orders as of October 31, 2001 are expected to be delivered during fiscal 2002. Our backlogs are typically short-lead in nature with many product orders being received by the Company within the month of shipment.

### Government Regulation

The FAA regulates the manufacture, repair and operation of all aircraft and aircraft parts operated in the United States. Its regulations are designed to ensure that all aircraft and aviation equipment are continuously maintained in proper condition to ensure safe operation of the aircraft. Similar rules apply in other countries. All aircraft must be maintained under a continuous condition monitoring program and must periodically undergo thorough inspection and maintenance. The inspection, maintenance and repair procedures for the various types of aircraft and equipment are prescribed by regulatory authorities and can be performed only by certified repair facilities utilizing certified technicians. Certification and conformance is required prior to installation of a part on an aircraft. Aircraft operators must maintain logs concerning the utilization and condition of aircraft engines, life-limited engine parts and airframes. In addition, the FAA requires that various maintenance routines be performed on aircraft engines, some engine parts and airframes at regular intervals based on cycles or flight time. Engine maintenance must also be performed upon the occurrence of certain events, such as foreign object damage in an aircraft engine or the replacement of life-limited engine parts. Such maintenance usually requires that an aircraft engine be taken out of service. Our operations may in the future be subject to new and more stringent regulatory requirements. In that regard, we closely monitor the FAA and industry trade

## Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405

groups in an attempt to understand how possible future regulations might impact us.

8

There has been no material adverse effect to the Company's consolidated financial statements as a result of these government regulations.

### Environmental Regulation

Our operations are subject to extensive, and frequently changing, federal, state and local environmental laws and substantial related regulation by government agencies, including the Environmental Protection Agency (the EPA). Among other matters, these regulatory authorities impose requirements that regulate the operation, handling, transportation, and disposal of hazardous materials, the health and safety of workers, and require us to obtain and maintain licenses and permits in connection with our operations. This extensive regulatory framework imposes significant compliance burdens and risks on us. Notwithstanding these burdens, we believe that we are in material compliance with all federal, state, and local laws and regulations governing our operations.

Other Regulation. We are also subject to a variety of other regulations including work-related and community safety laws. The Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 (OSHA) mandates general requirements for safe workplaces for all employees. In particular, OSHA provides special procedures and measures for the handling of some hazardous and toxic substances. In addition, specific safety standards have been promulgated for workplaces engaged in the treatment, disposal or storage of hazardous waste. Requirements under state law, in some circumstances, may mandate additional measures for facilities handling materials specified as extremely dangerous. We believe that our operations are in material compliance with OSHA's health and safety requirements.

### Insurance

We are a named insured under policies which include the following coverage: (i) product liability, including grounding; (ii) personal property, inventory and business income at our facilities; (iii) general liability coverage; (iv) employee benefit liability; (v) international liability and automobile liability; (vi) umbrella liability coverage; and (vii) various other activities or items subject to certain limits and deductibles. We believe that coverages are adequate to insure against the various liability risks of our business. The Company has seen an increase in insurance costs following the September 11th terrorist attacks, however, the increase in these costs experienced to date are not currently expected to have a significant adverse impact on the Company's operations.

9

### Employees

As of December 31, 2001, the Company had 1,012 full-time employees, of which 730 were in the FSG, 269 were in the ETG, and 13 were corporate. None of our employees are represented by a union. We believe that our employee relations are good.

### Item 2. Properties

We own or lease the following facilities:

Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405

Flight Support Group

Location	Description	Square Footage	Owned/Leased Expiration
Hollywood, Florida	Manufacturing and engineering facility and corporate headquarters	140,000	Owned
Hollywood, Florida	Overhaul and repair facility	45,000	Owned
Atlanta, Georgia	Manufacturing and engineering facility	40,000	Owned
Miami, Florida	Overhaul and repair facility	60,000	Owned
Miami, Florida	Overhaul and repair facility	14,000	September
Miami, Florida	Warehouse facility	14,000	September
Anacortes, Washington	Engineering and manufacturing facility	7,000	June 2003
Glastonbury, Connecticut	Engineering facility	5,000	June 2003
Corona, California	Manufacturing and engineering facility	91,000	August
Roswell, New Mexico	Manufacturing and engineering facility	45,000	Month t
Fort Myers, Florida	Overhaul and repair facility	30,000	Owned
Hayward, California	Overhaul and repair facility	27,000	August
Titusville, Florida	Engineering facility	2,000	April 2
Phoenix, Arizona	Engineering facility	2,000	Decembe

Electronic Technologies Group

Location	Description	Footage	Expirati
Sarasota, Florida	Manufacturing and engineering facility	10,000	March 20
Tampa, Florida	Manufacturing and engineering facility	41,000	August 2
Santa Barbara, California	Manufacturing and engineering facility	14,000	May 2003
Cleveland, Ohio	Overhaul and repair facility	19,000	March 20
Nashville, Tennessee	Manufacturing and engineering facility	6,000	October
Orlando, Florida	Manufacturing and engineering facility	21,000	April 20

10

Corporate

Location	Description	Square Footage	Owned/Leased Expiration
Hollywood, Florida	Corporate headquarters	Included above	Owned
Miami, Florida	Administrative offices	6,000	Owned

## Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405

For additional information with respect to our leases, see Note 7 of Notes to our Consolidated Financial Statements.

We believe that our existing facilities are sufficient to meet our operational needs for the foreseeable future. The loss of any of the Company's facilities could have an adverse impact on operations in the short-term.

### Item 3. Legal Proceedings

In October 2001, the Company settled a lawsuit filed by Travelers Casualty & Surety Co., f/k/a the Aetna Casualty and Surety Co. (Travelers) in May 1998. The Travelers complaint sought reimbursement of legal fees and costs totaling in excess of \$15 million paid by Travelers in defending the Company in litigation with United Technologies Corporation, which was settled in March 2000. In addition, Travelers sought a declaratory judgment that the Company did not have insurance coverage under certain insurance policies with Travelers and, accordingly, that Travelers did not have a duty to defend or indemnify the Company under such policies. The settlement with Travelers did not result in any gain or loss to the Company and all claims were dismissed.

The Company is involved in various legal actions arising in the normal course of business. Based upon the amounts sought by the plaintiffs in these actions, management is of the opinion that the outcome of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's business or financial condition.

### Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Securities Holders

There were no matters submitted to a vote of securities holders during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2001.

### Executive Officers of the Registrant

The Executive Officers are elected by the Board of Directors at the first meeting following the annual meeting of shareholders and serve at the discretion of the Board. The names and ages of, and offices held by, the executive officers of the Company are as follows:

Name	Age	Position(s)	Dir
----	---	-----	S
Laurans A. Mendelson	63	Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer	1
Thomas S. Irwin	55	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	
Eric A. Mendelson	36	Executive Vice President and Director, President of HEICO Aerospace Holdings Corp.	1
Victor H. Mendelson	34	Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Director, President of HEICO Electronics Technologies Corp.	1
James L. Reum	70	Executive Vice President of HEICO Aerospace Holdings Corp.	

Laurans A. Mendelson has served as Chairman of the Board of the Company since

## Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405

December 1990. Mr. Mendelson has also served as Chief Executive Officer of the Company since February 1990, President of the Company since September 1991 and served as President of the Company's former MediTek Health Corporation subsidiary from May 1994 until its sale in July 1996. Mr. Mendelson serves on the board of governors and is a member of the Finance Committee of the Aerospace Industries Association in Washington, D.C. He also serves on the Board of Directors and is Chairman of the Audit Committee of Hawker Pacific Aerospace, which provides overhaul and repair services to the aviation industry. Mr. Mendelson is also a member of the Board of Trustees, the Executive Committee and Founders Club of Mount Sinai Medical Center in Miami Beach, Florida. In addition, Mr. Mendelson served as a Trustee of Columbia University in The City of New York from 1995 to 2001, as well as, Chairman of the Trustees' Audit Committee. Mr. Mendelson currently serves as Trustee Emeritus of Columbia University and maintains membership positions on the Trustee Committees he had before becoming Trustee Emeritus. Mr. Mendelson is a Certified Public Accountant.

Thomas S. Irwin has served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Company since September 1991 and served as Senior Vice President of the Company from 1986 to 1991 and Vice President and Treasurer from 1982 to 1986. Mr. Irwin is a Certified Public Accountant.

Eric A. Mendelson has served as Executive Vice President of the Company since 2001, Vice President of the Company since 1992, and has been President and Chief Executive Officer of HEICO Aerospace, a subsidiary of HEICO, since its formation in 1997 and President of HEICO Aerospace Corporation since 1993. He also served as President of HEICO's Jet Avion Corporation, a wholly owned subsidiary of HEICO Aerospace, from 1993 to 1996 and served as Jet Avion's Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer from 1991 to 1993. From 1990 to 1991, Mr. Mendelson was Director of Planning and Operations of the Company. Mr. Mendelson is a co-founder, and, since 1987, has been Managing Director of Mendelson International Corporation (MIC), a private investment company which is a shareholder of HEICO. Eric Mendelson is the son of Laurans Mendelson and the brother of Victor Mendelson.

Victor H. Mendelson has served as Executive Vice President of the Company since 2001, Vice President of the Company since 1996, as President and Chief Executive Officer of HEICO Electronic Technologies Corp., a subsidiary of HEICO, since September 1996 and as General Counsel of the Company since 1993. He served as Executive Vice President of MediTek Health Corporation from 1994 and its Chief Operating Officer from 1995 until its sale in July 1996. He was the Company's Associate General Counsel from 1992 until 1993. From 1990 until 1992, he worked on a consulting basis with the Company, developing and analyzing various strategic opportunities. Mr. Mendelson is a co-founder, and, since 1987, has been President of MIC (a private investment company which is a shareholder of HEICO). He is a Trustee of St. Thomas University, Miami, Florida and Chairman of its Finance Committee, as well as a Director of the Florida Grand Opera. Victor Mendelson is the son of Laurans Mendelson and the brother of Eric Mendelson.

James L. Reum has served as Executive Vice President of HEICO Aerospace since April 1993 and Chief Operating Officer of HEICO Aerospace from May 1995 until September 1999. He also served as President of LPI Industries Corporation from 1991 to 1998 and President of Jet Avion Corporation from 1996 to 1998. From January 1990 to August 1991, he served as Director of Research and Development for Jet Avion Corporation. From 1986 to 1989, Mr. Reum was self-employed as a management and engineering consultant to companies primarily within the aerospace industry. From 1957 to 1986, he was employed in various management positions with Chromalloy Gas Turbine Corp., Cooper Airmotive (later named Aviall, Inc.), United Airlines, Inc. and General Electric Company. Mr. Reum retired from full-time service to HEICO Aerospace in August 2001, and remains active on a part-time basis with HEICO Aerospace.

# Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405

12

Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934

Section 16(a) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 requires the Company's Directors, Executive Officers and 10% shareholders to file initial reports of ownership and changes in ownership of Common Stock with the Securities and Exchange Commission and the New York Stock Exchange. Directors, Executive Officers and 10% shareholders are required to furnish the Company with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file. Based on the review of such reports furnished to the Company, the Company believes that during 2001, the Company's Directors, Executive Officers and 10% shareholders complied with all Section 16(a) filing requirements applicable to them.

13

## PART II

### Item 5. Market for the Registrant's Common Stock and Related Stockholder Matters

The Company's Class A Common Stock and the Common Stock are listed and traded on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) under the symbols "HEI.A" and "HEI," respectively. The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the high and low sales prices for the Class A Common Stock and the Common Stock as reported on NYSE, as well as the amount of cash dividends paid per share during such periods. Lufthansa Technik, as a 20% shareholder of our FSG, will be entitled to 20% of any dividends paid by our FSG with the balance payable to the Company.

In July 2000 and August 2001, the Company paid 10% stock dividends on all shares outstanding in Class A Common Stock. The quarterly sales prices and cash dividend amounts have been retroactively adjusted for the 10% stock dividends.

#### Class A Common Stock

	High ----	Low ---
Fiscal 2000		
First Quarter.....	\$17.56	\$10.33
Second Quarter.....	14.67	9.09
Third Quarter.....	14.55	9.25
Fourth Quarter.....	14.95	9.89
Fiscal 2001:		
First Quarter.....	\$13.17	\$ 9.15
Second Quarter.....	15.55	11.00
Third Quarter.....	17.91	13.73
Fourth Quarter.....	17.58	9.40

On December 31, 2001 there were 1,133 holders of record of the Class A Common Stock.

# Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405

## Common Stock

	High	Low
	-----	---
Fiscal 2000:		
First Quarter.....	\$18.49	\$11.94
Second Quarter.....	14.93	10.95
Third Quarter.....	18.41	10.17
Fourth Quarter.....	18.30	10.68
Fiscal 2001:		
First Quarter.....	\$17.05	\$11.14
Second Quarter.....	16.64	12.36
Third Quarter.....	19.26	13.91
Fourth Quarter.....	20.58	10.98

On December 31, 2001, there were 1,115 holders of record of the Common Stock.

14

### Item 6. Selected Financial Data

	Year Ended October 3		
	1997	1998	1999
	(In thousands, except per s		
Operating Data:			
Net sales.....	\$ 63,674	\$ 95,351	\$141,269
Gross profit.....	20,629	36,104	57,532
Selling, general and administrative expenses.....	11,515	17,140	24,717
Write-off of receivables(1).....	--	--	--
Operating income.....	9,114	18,964	32,815
Interest expense.....	477	984	2,173
Gain on sale of product line(2).....	--	--	--
Income (loss):			
From continuing operations.....	7,019	10,509	16,337
From gain on sale of discontinued operations(3).....	--	--	--
Net income.....	\$ 7,019	\$ 10,509	\$ 16,337
Weighted average number of common shares			
outstanding: (4)			
Basic.....	14,568	15,124	17,933
Diluted.....	17,446	18,805	21,348



## Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405

Per Share Data:(4)

Income from continuing operations:

Basic.....	\$ .48	\$ .69	\$ .91
Diluted.....	.40	.56	.77

Net income:

Basic.....	.48	.69	.91
Diluted.....	.40	.56	.77

Cash dividends (4).....	.037	.041	.041
-------------------------	------	------	------

Balance Sheet Data (at year end):

Working capital.....	\$ 45,131	\$ 40,587	\$ 63,278
Total assets.....	88,639	133,061	273,163
Total debt (including current portion).....	10,800	30,520	73,501
Minority interests in consolidated subsidiaries.....	3,273	14,892	30,022
Shareholders' equity.....	59,446	67,607	139,289

- (1) Represents write-off of receivables as a result of bankruptcy filings by certain customers.
- (2) Represents the gain on sale of Trilectron Industries, Inc. (Trilectron) in September 2000.
- (3) Represents adjustment to gain from the sale of the discontinued health care operations that were sold in fiscal 1996.
- (4) Information has been adjusted to reflect a three-for-two stock split in December 1997, a 10% stock dividend paid in 1997, a 50% stock distribution paid in shares of Class A Common Stock in April 1998 and 10% stock dividends paid in shares of Class A Common Stock in July 2000 and August 2001.
- (5) Results include the results of acquisitions and disposition of a product line from each respective effective date.
- (6) The gain on sale of Trilectron referenced above increased basic and diluted income per share from continuing operations and net income by \$0.55 and \$0.48, respectively.

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Overview

Our Flight Support Group (FSG) consists of HEICO Aerospace Holdings Corp. (HEICO Aerospace) and the following principal operating subsidiaries:

Name	Description of Principal Operations
Jet Avion Corporation (Jet Avion).....	Design and manufacture of FAA-approved replacement parts
McClain International, Inc. (McClain).....	Design, manufacture and overhaul of FAA-approved replacement parts
Rogers-Dierks, Inc. (Rogers-Dierks).....	Design and manufacture of FAA-approved replacement parts
Turbine Kinetics, Inc. (Turbine).....	Design and manufacture of FAA-approved replacement parts

**Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405**

Aviation Facilities, Inc. (AFI).....	Design and manufacture of FAA-approved replacement parts
HEICO Aerospace Parts Corp. (HAPC).....	Design and manufacture of FAA-approved replacement parts
HEICO Aerospace Corporation (HAC).....	Sale and distribution of FAA-approved repla
LPI Industries Corporation (LPI).....	Original equipment manufacturer subcontract
Aircraft Technology, Inc. (Aircraft Technology)....	Repair and overhaul of jet engine and aircr
Northwings Accessories Corporation (Northwings)....	Repair and overhaul of jet engine and aircr components and accessories
Associated Composite, Inc. (ACI).....	Repair and overhaul of aircraft composites flight surfaces
Air Radio & Instruments Corp. (Air Radio).....	Repair and overhaul of avionics, instrument electronic equipment for aircraft
Future Aviation, Inc. (Future).....	Repair and overhaul of regional, commuter a aircraft components and accessories
Avitech Engineering Corporation (Avitech).....	Repair and overhaul of regional, commuter a aircraft components and accessories
Thermal Structures, Inc. (Thermal).....	Manufacture of thermal insulation products components

16

Our Electronic Technologies Group (ETG) consists of HEICO Electronic Technologies Corp. and the following operating subsidiaries:

Name -----	Description of Principal Operations -----
Radiant Power Corp. (Radiant).....	Design and manufacture of electrical back-u supplies and battery packs for commercial aircraft applications
Aero Design, Inc. (Aero Design).....	Design and manufacture of electrical back-u supplies and battery packs for commercial aircraft applications
Leader Tech, Inc. (Leader Tech).....	Manufacture of electromagnetic interference frequency interference shielding for primar communications, computer and aerospace applications
Santa Barbara Infrared, Inc. (SBIR).....	Design and manufacture of aerospace and def electronically controlled electro-optical i simulation and test equipment
Analog Modules, Inc. (AMI).....	Design and manufacture of electro-optical products primarily for use in the laser ind

## Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405

Inertial Airline Services, Inc. (IAS)..... Repair and overhaul of inertial navigation  
other avionics equipment used in commercial  
military and business aircraft

In September 2000, the Company sold Trilectron Industries, Inc. (Trilectron), which was formerly a part of the ETG. Trilectron designed and manufactured electronically controlled ground support equipment for aircraft. The sale of this product line is further discussed below and in Note 3 to our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Our results of operations during the current and prior fiscal years have been affected by a number of significant transactions. This discussion of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with our Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto included or incorporated by reference herein. For further information regarding the acquisitions and strategic alliances discussed below, see Note 2 to our Consolidated Financial Statements. The acquisitions have been accounted for using the purchase method of accounting and are included in the Company's results of operations from the effective date of acquisition.

In October 1997, the Company entered into a strategic alliance with Lufthansa, the technical services subsidiary of Lufthansa German Airlines, whereby Lufthansa invested approximately \$26 million in HEICO Aerospace, including \$10 million paid at closing pursuant to a stock purchase agreement and approximately \$16 million paid to HEICO Aerospace pursuant to a research and development cooperation agreement, which has partially funded the accelerated development of additional Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)-approved replacement parts for jet engines and aircraft. The funds received as a result of the research and development cooperation agreement reduce research and development expenses in the period such expenses are incurred. In addition, Lufthansa and HEICO Aerospace have agreed to cooperate regarding technical services and marketing support for replacement parts on a worldwide basis. In connection with subsequent acquisitions by HEICO Aerospace, Lufthansa invested additional amounts aggregating \$21 million pursuant to its option to maintain a 20% equity interest.

Between December 1998 and June 2000, the Company acquired Rogers-Dierks, Radiant, Air Radio, Leader Tech, Turbine, Thermal, SBIR and Future for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$121 million.

17

In April 2001, the Company acquired substantially all of the assets and certain liabilities of Analog Modules, Inc. (AMI) for \$15.6 million in cash paid at closing.

In August 2001, the Company acquired IAS pursuant to a stock purchase agreement, for \$20 million in cash and \$5 million in HEICO Class A Common shares (289,964 shares) paid at closing. The Company has guaranteed that the resale value of such Class A Common shares will be at least \$5 million through August 31, 2002. Should the market value be lower than \$5 million by August 31, 2002, the Company would have to pay the difference in cash. Based on the closing market price of the HEICO Class A Common shares on October 31, 2001, the Company would have to pay the seller an additional amount of approximately \$1.5 million. In addition, subject to meeting certain earnings targets during the first two years following acquisition, the Company could pay additional consideration of \$6 million in cash. Concurrent with the purchase, the Company loaned the seller \$5 million which is due August 31, 2002 and is secured by the 289,964 shares of HEICO Class A Common Stock.

## Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405

In addition, during fiscal 2001, the Company acquired certain assets and liabilities of other companies, (including Avitech, AFI and Aero Design) with an aggregate purchase price totaling approximately \$9 million.

In September 2000, the Company consummated the sale of all of the outstanding capital stock of HEICO Electronic's wholly-owned subsidiary, Trilectron. In consideration of the sale of Trilectron's capital stock, the Company received an aggregate of \$69.0 million in cash and retained certain property having a book value of approximately \$1.5 million which was sold in fiscal 2001. The proceeds from the sale were used to pay down the outstanding balance on the Company's Credit Facility.

The sale of Trilectron did not meet the requirements for classification as a discontinued operation in accordance with Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 30 because its activities could not be clearly distinguished, physically and operationally and for financial reporting purposes, from the other assets, results of operations, and activities of the Company's Electronic Technologies Group (ETG) operating segment of which it was a part. Trilectron was managed as part of the ETG and the ETG was treated as a single operating segment. The ETG shared facilities, staff, information technology processing and other centrally provided services with no allocation of costs and interest expense between the divisions within the ETG. Accordingly, the sale was reported as a sale of a product line and Trilectron's results of operations through the date of the closing have been reported in the Company's consolidated statements of operations.

The sale of Trilectron resulted in a pretax gain in fiscal 2000 of \$17,296,000 (\$10,542,000 or \$.48 per diluted share, net of income tax). The pretax gain is net of expenses of \$10.8 million directly related to the transaction. Expenses related to the sale included Board-approved management incentive bonuses, professional service fees, contract indemnification costs, required reserves and miscellaneous costs and expenses. See Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further detail of expenses related to the sale.

In February 2001, the Company, through its subsidiary, HEICO Aerospace entered into a joint venture with AMR Corporation (AMR) to develop, design and sell FAA-approved replacement parts. As part of the joint venture, AMR will reimburse HEICO Aerospace a portion of new product research and development costs. The funds received as a result of the new product research and development costs paid by AMR generally reduce new product research and development expenses in the period such expenses are incurred. The balance of the development costs are incurred by the joint venture, which is 16% owned by AMR. In addition, AMR and HEICO Aerospace have agreed to cooperate regarding technical services and marketing support on a worldwide basis.

In April 1998, the Company paid a 50% stock distribution in shares of Class A Common Stock. In July 2000 and August 2001, the Company paid 10% stock dividends in shares of Class A Common Stock. All net income per

18

share, dividends per share and common stock outstanding information has been adjusted for all years presented to give retroactive effect to stock distributions and stock dividends.

### Results of Operations

For the periods indicated, the following table sets forth the results of operations, net sales and operating income before and after goodwill amortization by operating segment and the percentage of net sales represented by

## Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405

the respective items including fiscal 2000 and 1999 results as adjusted to exclude the direct results of operations of the Trilectron product line. The Company believes fiscal 2000 and 1999 results as adjusted provide more meaningful information in certain cases for comparing the results of operations in fiscal 2001. Accordingly, certain discussion of fiscal 2001 results below reflects comparisons to the Company's fiscal 2000 results as adjusted to exclude the direct results of operations of Trilectron.

	1999		2000	
	As Reported	As Adjusted	As Reported	As Adj
Net sales	\$141,269,000	\$104,652,000	\$202,909,000	\$152,7
Cost of sales	83,737,000	54,369,000	127,098,000	86,0
Selling, general and administrative expenses	24,717,000	20,250,000	36,576,000	30,8
Write-off of receivables	--	--	1,312,000	1,3
Total operating costs and expenses	108,454,000	74,619,000	164,986,000	118,2
Operating income	\$ 32,815,000	\$ 30,033,000	\$ 37,923,000	\$ 34,4
Net sales by segment:				
FSG	\$ 94,617,000	\$ 94,617,000	\$119,304,000	\$119,3
ETG	46,652,000	10,035,000	83,605,000	33,4
	\$141,269,000	\$104,652,000	\$202,909,000	\$152,7
Operating income (before goodwill amortization):				
Flight Support Group	\$ 34,337,000	\$ 34,337,000	\$ 34,054,000	\$ 34,0
Electronic Technologies Group	6,603,000	3,666,000	14,144,000	10,4
Other, primarily corporate	(4,460,000)	(4,460,000)	(4,162,000)	(4,1
	\$ 36,480,000	\$ 33,543,000	\$ 44,036,000	\$ 40,3
Operating income (after goodwill amortization):				
Flight Support Group	\$ 31,338,000	\$ 31,338,000	\$ 29,621,000	\$ 29,6
Electronic Technologies Group	5,937,000	3,155,000	12,464,000	9,0
Other, primarily corporate	(4,460,000)	(4,460,000)	(4,162,000)	(4,1
	\$ 32,815,000	\$ 30,033,000	\$ 37,923,000	\$ 34,4
Net sales	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Gross profit	40.7%	48.0%	37.4%	
Selling, general and administrative expenses	17.5%	19.3%	18.0%	
Write-off receivables	--	--	0.6%	
Operating income	23.2%	28.7%	18.7%	
Interest expense	1.5%	N/A	2.8%	
Interest and other income	0.6%	N/A	0.5%	
Gain on sale of product line	--	N/A	8.5%	
Income tax expense	8.2%	N/A	9.6%	
Minority interests	2.5%	N/A	1.6%	
Net income	11.6%	N/A	13.0%	

Comparison of Fiscal 2001 to Fiscal 2000

Net Sales

Net sales in fiscal 2001 totaled \$171.3 million, up 12% when compared to fiscal 2000 net sales of \$152.8 million as adjusted (to exclude Trilectron) in fiscal 2000.

The increase in sales for fiscal 2001 reflects an increase of \$12.3 million (a 10% increase) to \$131.6 million from the Company's FSG and an increase of \$6.2 million as adjusted (an 18% increase) to \$39.6 million in revenues from the Company's ETG. The FSG sales increase primarily represents revenues resulting from an increase in FAA-approved (PMA) replacement parts sales and an increase in component repair and overhaul revenues. PMA replacement parts sales in fiscal 2001 increased over fiscal 2000 primarily as a result of new products while component repair and overhaul revenues increased as a result of the Company's entry into the regional and business aviation maintenance repair and overhaul (MRO) market with the acquisition of Future in June 2000 partially offset by softness in the commercial MRO market. The FSG sales increase includes additional revenue of \$9.0 million from businesses acquired during fiscal 2000 (Future) and fiscal 2001 (Avitech and AFI). The FSG's commercial aerospace operations experienced a decline in sales after the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks discussed below. The ETG sales increase is primarily attributed to revenues of \$9.8 million resulting from the acquisition of AMI in April 2001 and IAS in August 2001, partially offset by weakness in sales of EMI shielding products of Leader Tech to the electronics and communications industries reflecting the general economic weakness within some of the technology industries.

Gross Profits and Operating Expenses

The Company's gross profit margins averaged 41.5% for fiscal 2001 as compared to 43.7% as adjusted for fiscal 2000. This decrease reflects lower margins within the FSG contributed by a budgeted increase in new product research and development expenses of \$3.5 million resulting from lower new product research and development reimbursements as discussed below and softness within the commercial component repair and overhaul market, partially offset by the impact of higher PMA replacement parts sales. The decrease also reflects lower margins within ETG as a result of lower sales of higher margin EMI shielding products. Cost of sales amounts for fiscal 2001 and 2000 include approximately \$5.8 million and \$2.3 million, respectively, of new product research and development expenses of HEICO Aerospace. These amounts are net of \$700,000 and \$5.2 million received from Lufthansa pursuant to the research and development cooperation agreement in fiscal 2001 and 2000, respectively. As of October 31, 2001, the Company has no future reimbursements to be received from Lufthansa under the agreement. The new product research and development expenses for fiscal 2001 are also net of \$575,000 receivable from AMR Corporation, parent of American Airlines, under their joint venture agreement with HEICO Aerospace (see Note 2 to consolidated financial statements). FSG's new product research and development expense for fiscal 2002 is expected to increase by approximately \$3 million as the Company plans to introduce additional new commercial jet engine and aircraft parts.

Selling, general and administrative (SG&A) expenses increased \$8.7 million to \$39.6 million for fiscal 2001 from \$30.9 million as adjusted for fiscal 2000. As a percentage of net sales, SG&A expenses increased to 23.1% for fiscal 2001 compared to 20.2% as adjusted for fiscal 2000. The increases in SG&A expenses

## Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405

and SG&A expenses as a percent of net sales are primarily a result of higher marketing costs in the FSG associated with expanding product lines and a \$700,000 increase in goodwill amortization primarily resulting from the acquisition of Future and AMI.

In fiscal 2001 and fiscal 2000, the Company wrote-off receivables of \$577,000 and \$1,312,000, respectively, as a result of bankruptcy filings by certain customers. The charge reduced fiscal 2001 and 2000 net income by \$291,000 (\$.01 per diluted share) and \$651,000 (\$.03 per diluted share), respectively. There were no significant receivable write-offs resulting from bankruptcies during 1999.

20

### Operating Income

Operating income decreased \$3.5 million to \$31.0 million (a 10% decrease) for fiscal 2001 from \$34.5 million as adjusted for fiscal 2000. As a percent of net sales, operating income decreased from 22.6% in fiscal 2000 as adjusted to 18.1% in fiscal 2001. The decrease in operating income and operating income as a percent of net sales reflects a decrease of \$2.2 million (a 7% decrease) from \$29.6 million to \$27.4 million in the Company's FSG and a decrease of \$1.2 million (a 13% decrease) from \$9.0 million as adjusted to \$7.9 million in the Company's ETG. The FSG's operating income as a percent of net sales declined from 24.8% in fiscal 2000 to 20.8% in fiscal 2001 while the ETG's operating income as a percent of net sales decreased from 27.0% in fiscal 2000 to 19.9% in fiscal 2001. The decrease in FSG operating income and operating income as a percent of net sales in fiscal 2001 was due primarily to the impact of higher PMA replacement parts sales discussed above being more than offset by lower gross profit margins reflecting lower new product research and development reimbursements, higher marketing costs and higher goodwill amortization. Operating income for fiscal 2001 was also affected by softness in the commercial MRO market and the impact of the September 11, 2001 events on commercial airline customers, discussed below. The decrease in ETG operating income and operating income as a percent of net sales was due primarily to lower sales of higher margin EMI shielding products discussed above, partially offset by additional earnings of AMI (acquired April 2001) and IAS (acquired August 2001).

### Operating Income before Goodwill

Operating income before goodwill amortization decreased \$2.6 million to \$37.8 million for fiscal 2001 from \$40.4 million as adjusted for fiscal 2000. The decrease in operating income before goodwill reflects the lower operating income discussed above.

### Interest Expense

Interest expense decreased \$3.1 million to \$2.5 million from fiscal 2000 to fiscal 2001. The decrease was principally due to a decrease in the outstanding debt balances during the period related to repayment of borrowings on the Company's Credit Facility from the proceeds from the sale of Trilectron and a decrease in interest rates partially offset by additional borrowings to partially fund acquisitions.

### Interest and Other Income

Interest and other income increased by \$669,000 to \$1.6 million from fiscal 2000 to fiscal 2001 due principally to a pretax gain of \$657,000 realized on the sale of property retained in the sale of the Trilectron and a realized gain of \$180,000 on the sale of long-term investments.

## Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405

### Income Tax Expense

The Company's effective tax rate decreased to 38.1% in fiscal 2001 from 38.6% in fiscal 2000, primarily due to a higher tax benefit on export sales partially offset by higher non-deductible goodwill resulting from acquisitions. For a detailed analysis of the provisions for income taxes, see Note 8 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

### Minority Interests

Minority interests represents the 20% minority interest held by Lufthansa in HEICO Aerospace and the 16% minority interest held by AMR in the joint venture with HEICO Aerospace. Minority interests decreased \$499,000 to \$2.8 million in fiscal 2001 from \$3.3 million in fiscal 2000 mainly due to minority interest income of \$342,000 representing AMR's share in the new product research and development costs incurred within the joint venture.

21

### Income from Continuing Operations

The Company's income from continuing operations was \$15.8 million, or \$.71 per diluted share, in fiscal 2001. Income from continuing operations in fiscal 2000 was \$27.7 million, or \$1.27 per diluted share, including the impact of the gain on sale of Trilectron which was \$10.5 million (\$.48 per diluted share). The decrease in income from continuing operations is primarily due to the gain on the sale of product line in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2000 and the lower operating income discussed above.

Cash earnings (net income adjusted to exclude goodwill amortization) per diluted share was \$.91 in fiscal 2001 and \$.97 on income from continuing operations in fiscal 2000 before the Trilectron gain. Including the gain on the sale of Trilectron, cash earnings was \$31,745,000, or \$1.45 per share, in fiscal 2000. Cash earnings per diluted share is currently a financial indicator used by management to assess results of operations on the basis of operating performance. However, cash earnings per diluted share should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for measuring performance in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. Our calculation of cash earnings per share may be different from the calculation used by others, and therefore comparability may be affected.

### Net Income

The Company's net income was \$15.8 million, or \$0.71 per diluted share, in fiscal 2001. In fiscal 2000, net income was \$26.3 million, or \$1.20 per diluted share, including the impact of the gain on sale of Trilectron, which was \$10.5 million (\$.48 per diluted share). The lower net income in fiscal 2001 is primarily due to the Trilectron gain and the lower operating income discussed above. Trilectron, which was sold in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2000, contributed approximately 5 cents per diluted share to earnings in fiscal 2000.

### September 11th Terrorist Attacks

On September 11, 2001, there were terrorist attacks on New York's World Trade Center towers and on the Pentagon, which involved the hijacking of four U.S. commercial aircraft. In the aftermath of the terrorist attacks, passenger traffic on commercial flights was significantly lower than prior to the attacks and many commercial airlines reduced their operating schedules. The overall result of the terrorist attacks was billions in losses to the airline industry.

While the Company's diversification of its operations beyond commercial



## Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405

aerospace markets has cushioned the impacts of the September 11, 2001 attacks, the Company has seen a directly related decline in sales to the commercial aerospace markets, particularly sales of PMA replacement parts. As a result of the September 11, 2001 events, many of the airlines are accelerating the retirement of their JT8D fleets. Although the Company contemplated these retirements, they are currently expected to occur sooner than previously anticipated. Currently approximately two-thirds of the Company's PMA parts offered for sale are non-JT8D and the Company's strategy is to increase market penetration for its non-JT8D parts. However, revenue from the sale of JT8D PMA parts represented approximately 21% of fiscal 2001 consolidated net sales. The Company has also increased its new product development budget for fiscal 2002 by approximately \$3 million (a 50% increase over the FSG's new product development expense in fiscal 2001) and plans to introduce a record number of

22

new commercial jet engine and aircraft replacement parts. Although the Company has experienced a decline in sales to the commercial aerospace market, demand for the Company's defense products and services has accelerated. The Company has also seen an increase in insurance costs following the terrorist attacks; however, the increase in these costs experienced to date are not currently expected to have a significant adverse impact on the Company's results of operations.

### Outlook

The Company is unable to predict when, or if, the commercial aviation market will rebound with certainty, but presently most within the industry expect the commercial markets to rebound in the second half of 2002. Because the Company's products reduce airlines' operating expenses, management believes the Company's sales should recover before many other participants in the commercial aviation industry. The Company believes that its joint ventures with American Airlines and Lufthansa are evidence of how critical the Company is to the airlines' ability to operate cost effectively.

During the past two years, through a series of acquisitions, the Company has substantially diversified its revenue base so that currently approximately 35% of its revenues are derived from customers outside of commercial aviation. In the fourth quarter of fiscal 2001, approximately 25% of the Company's revenues were derived from defense activities and approximately 10% was derived from medical, electronics and other manufacturing markets. The Company currently expects continued strengthening in its defense markets and low to modest growth in the other markets. The Company has increased its defense-related Operations and Maintenance ("O & M") activities at a time when it appears that the O&M portion of the United States defense budget will receive one of the largest shares of the anticipated spending increase.

Because of the uncertainties in the commercial aviation market since September 11th and the current weak domestic economy, the Company cannot predict with certainty the near term impact of recent events. The Company believes, however, that its basic strategies will result in long-term growth.

### Comparison of Fiscal 2000 to Fiscal 1999

#### Net Sales

Net sales in fiscal 2000 totaled \$202.9 million, up 44% when compared to fiscal 1999 net sales of \$141.3 million.

The increase in sales for fiscal 2000 reflects an increase of \$24.7 million (a 26% increase) to \$119.3 million from the Company's FSG and an increase of \$37.0

## Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405

million (a 79% increase) to \$83.6 million in revenues from the Company's ETG. Sales from the FSG reflect the addition of newly-acquired businesses and increases in sales of new products and services, including newly developed and acquired FAA-approved jet engine replacement parts, partially offset by softness within the aviation aftermarket in the second half of fiscal 2000. The FSG sales increase includes revenues of \$17.9 million from businesses acquired during fiscal 1999 (Air Radio and Thermal) and fiscal 2000 (Future). Sales from the ETG reflect revenues from new products and increased market penetration as well as \$12.7 million from businesses acquired during fiscal 1999 (Radiant, Leader Tech, and SBIR), net of the decrease in sales resulting from the product line sold in September 2000 (Trilectron). Excluding sales of Trilectron, consolidated sales were \$152.8 million for fiscal 2000 and \$104.7 million for fiscal 1999.

### Gross Profits and Operating Expenses

The Company's gross profit margins averaged 37.4% for fiscal 2000 as compared to 40.7% for fiscal 1999. Excluding the results of operations of Trilectron, gross margins in fiscal 2000 were 43.7% compared to 48.0% in fiscal 1999. The lower gross margins in fiscal 2000 were primarily due to a decrease in FSG gross profit margins. The

23

decrease in the FSG gross margins was primarily due to lower margins contributed by certain acquired businesses and higher new product research and development expense due to lower reimbursements from Lufthansa, softness in demand for our higher margin replacement parts, less favorable product pricing and the benefit realized in fiscal 1999 from favorable pricing under certain contracts. Fiscal 2000 and 1999 cost of sales amounts include approximately \$2.3 million and \$1.2 million of new product research and development expenses of the FSG, respectively. These amounts are net of \$5.2 million and \$6.7 million received from Lufthansa in 2000 and 1999, respectively, and spent by the Company pursuant to the research and development agreement with Lufthansa. The decrease in the gross margin of the FSG was offset by an increase in the gross margin of the ETG. The gross margin improvement in the ETG primarily reflects higher gross profit margins contributed by businesses acquired in fiscal 1999 and the addition of new products with higher profit margins.

SG&A expenses were \$36.6 million for fiscal 2000 and \$24.7 million for fiscal 1999. The increase results from the inclusion of SG&A expenses of the newly acquired companies, including additional amortization of goodwill which totaled \$6.1 million in fiscal 2000 versus \$3.7 million in fiscal 1999, and higher marketing costs. As a percentage of net sales, SG&A expenses remained stable at 18.0% in fiscal 2000 versus 17.5% in fiscal 1999 reflecting continuing efforts to control costs while increasing revenues.

In fiscal 2000, the Company wrote-off receivables of \$1,312,000 as a result of bankruptcy filings by certain customers. These customers contributed sales of approximately \$2 million in the first half of fiscal year 2000, substantially all occurring prior to the bankruptcy filings. The charge reduced fiscal net income by \$651,000 or \$.03 per diluted share, net of income tax. There were no significant receivable write-offs resulting from bankruptcies during 1999.

### Operating Income

Operating income increased \$5.1 million to \$37.9 million (a 16% increase) for fiscal 2000 from \$32.8 million for fiscal 1999. The increase in operating income reflects an increase of \$6.6 million (a 110% increase) from \$5.9 million to \$12.5 million in the Company's ETG offset by a decrease of \$1.7 million (a 5% decrease) from \$31.3 million to \$29.6 million in the Company's FSG. The

## Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405

decrease in FSG operating income in fiscal 2000 was due primarily to the \$1.3 million write-off of receivables referenced above and higher new product research and development expense discussed above. The increase in ETG operating income was due primarily to increases in sales and gross profits in the ETG discussed above. Operating income for fiscal 2000 was also affected by softness in certain segments of the aviation aftermarket and the sale of Trilectron in September 2000.

As a percentage of net sales, operating income decreased from 23.2% in fiscal 1999 to 18.7% in fiscal 2000 reflecting lower margins within the FSG discussed above. The FSG's operating income as a percentage of net sales declined from 33.1% in fiscal 1999 to 24.8% in fiscal 2000 due principally to the receivable write-off referenced above, lower margins contributed by certain acquired businesses and higher new product research and development expense. The operating margins in the FSG were partially offset by improvements in the operating margins of the ETG, as well as lower corporate costs. The ETG's operating income as a percentage of net sales improved from 12.7% in fiscal 1999 to 14.9% in fiscal 2000. This improvement reflects higher operating margins contributed by businesses acquired in fiscal 1999 and new products. Corporate costs as a percent of consolidated sales were down 1% in fiscal 2000 versus fiscal 1999.

Adjusted to exclude Trilectron's operations and the impact of the aforementioned accounts receivable write-off, operating margins were 23.4% in fiscal 2000.

24

### Interest Expense

Interest expense increased \$3.4 million to \$5.6 million from fiscal 1999 to fiscal 2000. The increase was principally due to increased outstanding debt balances during the period related to borrowings on the Company's Credit Facility used principally to finance acquisitions.

### Interest and Other Income

Interest and other income increased by \$35,000 to \$929,000 from fiscal 1999 to fiscal 2000 due to an increase in invested funds.

### Gain on Sale of Product Line

The gain represents the pretax gain on the aforementioned sale of Trilectron.

### Income Tax Expense

The Company's effective tax rate increased to 38.6% in fiscal 2000 from 36.8% in fiscal 1999, primarily due to lower tax benefit on export sales attributable to the inclusion of the gain on the sale of Trilectron, increased state taxes and non-deductible goodwill resulting from acquisitions. For a detailed analysis of the provisions for income taxes, see Note 8 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

### Minority Interest

Minority interest represents the 20% minority interest held by Lufthansa, which decreased \$304,000 from fiscal 1999 to fiscal 2000 due primarily to lower net income of the FSG and higher corporate expenses allocable to the minority interest.

### Income from Continuing Operations

## Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405

The Company's income from continuing operations totaled \$27.7 million, or \$1.27 per diluted share, in fiscal 2000 improving 70% from income from continuing operations of \$16.3 million, or \$.77 per diluted share, in fiscal 1999.

The increase in income from continuing operations is primarily due to the gain on the sale of product line and increased operating income partially offset by higher interest expense discussed above.

The impact of the gain on sale of product line was an increase of \$10,542,000 (\$.48 per diluted share) to income from continuing operations in fiscal 2000.

Cash earnings per diluted share from continuing operations, or income from continuing operations per diluted share before goodwill amortization (adjusted for the after tax impact of goodwill), increased 66% to \$1.45 in fiscal 2000 from \$.87 in fiscal 1999.

### Adjustment to Gain on Sale of Discontinued Operations

The adjustment to gain on sale of discontinued operations of \$1.4 million (\$.07 per diluted share) represents the additional taxes and related interest incurred in connection with the Company's settlement of a tax adjustment whereby the IRS conceded one-third of the original tax adjustment proposed by the IRS. For further information, see Note 4 to Consolidated Financial Statements.

25

### Net Income

The Company's net income totaled \$26.3 million, or \$1.20 per diluted share, in fiscal 2000, improving 61% from net income of \$16.3 million, or \$.77 per diluted share, in fiscal 1999.

The improvement in net income for fiscal 2000 over fiscal 1999 reflects the increase in income from continuing operations partially offset by the adjustment to the gain on sale of the discontinued health care operations.

### Inflation

The Company has generally experienced increases in its costs of labor, materials and services consistent with overall rates of inflation. The impact of such increases on the Company's net income has been generally minimized by efforts to lower costs through manufacturing efficiencies and cost reductions.

### Liquidity and Capital Resources

The Company generates cash primarily from operating activities and financing activities, including borrowings under long-term credit agreements.

Principal uses of cash by the Company include acquisitions, payments of interest and principal on debt, capital expenditures and increases in working capital.

The Company believes that operating cash flow and available borrowings under the Company's Credit Facility will be sufficient to fund cash requirements for the foreseeable future.

### Operating Activities

Excluding the payment of income taxes on the Trilectron gain, cash flow from

## Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405

operations totaled approximately \$24 million in fiscal 2001. Cash flow from operations, including the payment of income taxes on the gain, was \$16.5 million for fiscal 2001, principally reflecting net income of \$15.8 million, depreciation and amortization and minority interest of \$10.6 million and \$2.8 million, respectively, offset by an increase in net operating assets of \$12.9 million. The increase in net operating assets (current assets used in operations net of current liabilities) primarily resulted from an increase in inventories to meet increased PMA sales and payment of income taxes of approximately \$7 million on the fiscal 2000 gain from the sale of Trilectron.

Cash flow from operations was \$12.1 million in fiscal 2000 principally reflecting net income of \$26.3 million, adjustments for gain on sale of product line, depreciation and amortization, minority interest, and tax benefits related to stock option exercises of \$17.3 million, \$9.8 million, \$3.3 million and \$1.7 million, respectively, offset by an increase in net operating assets of \$11.5 million. The increase in net operating assets primarily resulted from an increase in accounts receivable resulting from extended payment terms, and an increase in inventories to meet increased sales orders under certain ETG contracts, as well as increases in income taxes payable and accrued expenses of \$7.9 million and \$1.2 million, respectively, mainly due to the sale of Trilectron. Excluding cash flow used in the operations of Trilectron prior to its sale, cash flow from operations totaled approximately \$21 million in fiscal 2000.

Cash flow from operations was \$9.6 million in fiscal 1999 principally reflecting net income of \$16.3 million, adjustments for depreciation and amortization, minority interest, and tax benefits related to stock option exercises of \$6.3 million, \$3.6 million and \$1.6 million, respectively, offset by an increase in net operating assets of \$18.2 million. This increase in net operating assets primarily resulted from the net effect of an increase in inventories to meet increased sales orders and an increase in accounts receivable resulting from extended payment terms under

26

certain ETG contracts, offset by an increase in trade payables and other current liabilities associated with higher levels of operations and deferred reimbursement of research and development costs from Lufthansa.

### Investing Activities

The principal cash provided by investing activities was \$12.4 million and \$48.4 million generated in fiscal 2001 and fiscal 2000, respectively, as a result of the sale of Trilectron in fiscal 2000. In addition, the Company received proceeds of \$9.2 million in fiscal 2001 from the sale of long-term investments and property that was held for disposition. Cash used in investing activities the last three years was primarily cash used in acquisitions totaling \$190.9 million, including \$61.2 million in fiscal 2001. For further detail on acquisitions see Notes 2 and 16 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. Capital expenditures totaled \$29.8 million primarily representing the purchase of new facilities and expansion of existing production facilities and capabilities.

### Financing Activities

The Company's principal financing activities over the last three years included proceeds from long-term debt of \$183.0 million, including \$180.5 million from the Company's Credit Facility, proceeds from stock option exercises of \$4.8 million and net proceeds from the offering of 3.0 million shares of Class A Common Stock totaling \$56.3 million in fiscal 1999. In addition, the Company received \$11.9 million in minority interest investments which includes

## Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405

amounts from Lufthansa during fiscal 1999 to maintain its 20% equity position in the FSG and AMR in fiscal 2001. The Company repaid \$140.6 million of the outstanding balance on its Credit Facility and other long-term debt. The Company also used an aggregate of \$2.7 million to repurchase common stock during fiscal 2000 and fiscal 1999.

In 1998, the Company entered into a \$120 million revolving credit facility with a bank syndicate, which contains both revolving credit and term loan features. The credit facility may be used for working capital and general corporate needs of the Company and to finance acquisitions (generally not in excess of \$25.0 million for any single acquisition nor in excess of an aggregate of \$25.0 million for acquisitions during any four fiscal quarter period without the requisite approval of the bank syndicate) on a revolving basis through July 2003. The Company has the option to convert outstanding advances to term loans amortizing over a period through July 2005. Advances under the credit facility accrue interest, at the Company's option, at a premium (based on the Company's ratio of total funded debt to earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization) over the LIBOR rate or the higher of the prime lending rate and the Federal Funds Rate. The Company is required to maintain certain financial covenants, including minimum net worth, limitations on capital expenditures (excluding expenditures for the acquisition of businesses) and limitations on additional indebtedness. See Note 6 to the consolidated financial statements for further information regarding credit facilities.

### New Accounting Standards

In June 1998, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133 (SFAS 133), "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities". SFAS 133, as amended by SFAS 137 and SFAS 138, establishes standards for the accounting and reporting of derivative instruments embedded in other contracts (collectively referred to as derivatives) and of hedging activities. It requires that an entity recognize all derivatives as either assets or liabilities in the balance sheet and measure those instruments at fair value. Derivatives that are not hedges must be adjusted to fair value through income. If the derivative is a hedge, depending on the nature of the hedge, changes in the fair value of derivatives are either offset against the change in fair value of assets, liabilities, or firm commitments through earnings or recognized in other comprehensive income until the hedged item is recognized in earnings. The ineffective portion of a derivative's change in fair value will be immediately recognized in earnings. The Company adopted SFAS 133 effective November 1, 2000.

27

In December 1999, the Securities and Exchange Commission issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 101, "Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements" (SAB 101), which among other guidance, clarifies certain conditions to be met in order to recognize revenue. In October 2000, the staff deferred the implementation date of SAB 101 until no later than the fourth quarter of fiscal years beginning after December 15, 1999. The Company believes its revenue recognition policies are in accordance with SAB 101.

In September 2000, the EITF issued "Accounting for Shipping and Handling Fees and Costs" (EITF 00-10). This Issue addresses the income statement classification for shipping and handling fees and costs by companies that record revenue based on the gross amount billed to customers. EITF 00-10 concludes that all amounts billed to a customer in a sale transaction related to shipping and handling, if any, represent revenues earned for goods provided and should be classified as revenue. In addition, the shipping and handling costs should be included in cost of sales. If shipping costs or handling costs are significant and are not included in cost of sales, the amount and the line item on the

## Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405

income statement that include them should be disclosed. The Company adopted EITF 00-10 in the quarter ended October 31, 2001. The adoption of EITF 00-10 did not have a significant impact on the Company's results of operations.

In July 2001, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 141, "Business Combinations" and SFAS No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets". SFAS No. 141 requires that all business combinations be accounted for under the purchase method. The statement further requires separate recognition of intangible assets that meet one of two criteria. The statement applies to all business combinations initiated after June 30, 2001. SFAS No. 142 requires that an intangible asset that is acquired shall be initially recognized and measured based on its fair value. The statement also provides that goodwill should not be amortized, but shall be tested for impairment annually, or more frequently if circumstances indicate potential impairment, through a comparison of fair value to its carrying amount. Existing goodwill has been amortized through the end of fiscal 2001 at which time amortization ceased. The Company is in the process of performing transitional goodwill impairment tests. SFAS No. 142 is effective for fiscal periods beginning after December 15, 2001. Early adoption of SFAS 142 is permitted for entities with fiscal years beginning after March 15, 2001, provided that the first interim financial statements have not been previously issued. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of the new accounting standards on existing goodwill and other intangible assets. The Company will adopt SFAS 142 effective November 1, 2001. During the year ended October 31, 2001, goodwill amortization was \$6,835,000.

In August 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets". SFAS 144 supercedes SFAS Statement No. 121 (FAS 121), "Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to Be Disposed of." SFAS 144 applies to all long-lived assets (including discontinued operations) and consequently amends APB 30, "Reporting Results of Operations Reporting the Effects of Disposal of a Segment of a Business." SFAS 144 develops one accounting model (based on the model in SFAS 121) for long-lived assets that are to be disposed of by sale, as well as addresses the principal implementation issues. SFAS 144 requires that long-lived assets that are to be disposed of by sale be measured at the lower of book value or fair value less cost to sell. That requirement eliminates the requirement of APB 30 that discontinued operations be measured at net realizable value or that entities include under "discontinued operations" in the financial statements amounts for operating losses that have not yet occurred. Additionally, SFAS 144 expands the scope of discontinued operations to include all components of an entity with operations that (1) can be distinguished from the rest of the entity and (2) will be eliminated from the ongoing operations of the entity in a disposal transaction. While the Company has not completed the process of determining the effect of this new accounting pronouncement on its consolidated financial statements, the Company currently expects that the effect of SFAS No. 144 on the Company's financial statements, when it becomes effective, will not be significant. SFAS 144 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001 and generally the provisions of the statement will be applied prospectively.

28

### Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Market risk is the risk of loss arising from changes in values of financial instruments, including interest rate risk and liquidity risk. We engage in transactions in the normal course of business that expose us to market risks. The primary market risk to which the Company has exposure is interest rate risk, mainly related to its revolving credit facility and industrial revenue bonds, which had an aggregate outstanding balance of \$67 million and \$40 million at

## Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405

October 31, 2001 and 2000, respectively. Interest rates on the revolving credit facility borrowings are based on LIBOR plus a variable margin, while interest rates on the industrial development revenue bonds are based on variable rates. Changes in interest rates can affect the Company's net income and cash flows. In order to manage its interest rate risk, in February 2000, the Company entered into an interest rate swap with a bank pursuant to which it exchanged floating rate interest based on three-month LIBOR on a notional principal amount of \$20 million for a fixed rate payment obligation of 6.59% for a two-year period ending February 2, 2002. This allows the Company to reduce the effects (positive or negative) of interest rate changes on operations. Based on the outstanding debt balance at October 31, 2001 and 2000, a change of 1% in interest rates would cause a change in interest expense of approximately \$470,000 and \$100,000, respectively, on an annual basis.

As of October 31, 2001 and 2000, the Company maintains a portion of its cash and cash equivalents in financial instruments with original maturities of three months or less. These financial instruments are subject to interest rate risk and will decline in value if interest rates increase. Due to the short duration of these financial instruments, an immediate increase of 1% in interest rates would not have a material effect on the Company's financial condition.

29

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data HEICO Corporation and Subsidiaries

### HEICO CORPORATION

#### INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Independent Auditors' Report.....	
Consolidated Balance Sheets at October 31, 2001 and 2000.....	
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended October 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999.....	
Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income for the years ended October 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999.....	
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended October 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999.....	
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.....	

30

#### INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of HEICO Corporation:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of HEICO Corporation and subsidiaries (the Company) as of October 31, 2001 and 2000, and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders' equity and comprehensive income, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended October 31, 2001. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.



## Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of October 31, 2001 and 2000, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended October 31, 2001, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP  
 Certified Public Accountants

Fort Lauderdale, Florida  
 December 21, 2001

31

### HEICO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

#### CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS October 31, 2001 and 2000

	2001	2000
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents.....	\$ 4,333,000	\$ 4,800,000
Accounts receivable, net.....	31,506,000	29,550,000
Receivable from sale of product line (Note 3).....	--	12,410,000
Inventories.....	52,017,000	34,360,000
Prepaid expenses and other current assets.....	5,281,000	2,970,000
Deferred income taxes.....	3,180,000	2,540,000
Total current assets.....	96,317,000	86,650,000
Property, plant and equipment, net.....	39,298,000	26,900,000
Intangible assets, net.....	183,048,000	152,770,000
Long-term investments.....	--	5,830,000
Other assets.....	6,977,000	9,570,000
Total assets.....	\$325,640,000	\$281,730,000

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

32

### HEICO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS  
October 31, 2001 and 2000

	200
	-----
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY	
Current liabilities:	
Current maturities of long-term debt.....	\$ 2
Trade accounts payable.....	7,76
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities.....	16,44
Income taxes payable.....	56
	-----
Total current liabilities.....	24,80
Long-term debt, net of current maturities.....	66,98
Deferred income taxes.....	2,06
Other non-current liabilities.....	6,17
	-----
Total liabilities.....	100,02
	-----
Minority interests in consolidated subsidiaries.....	36,84
	-----
Commitments and contingencies (Notes 2, 3, 6, 7 and 17)	
Shareholders' equity:	
Preferred Stock, par value \$.01 per share;	
Authorized -- 10,000,000 shares issuable in series, 200,000	
designated as Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock,	
none issued.....	
Common Stock, \$.01 par value; Authorized -- 30,000,000 shares;	
Issued and Outstanding 9,317,453 shares in 2001 and 8,514,056 in 2000.....	9
Class A Common Stock, \$.01 par value; Authorized -- 30,000,000 shares;	
Issued and Outstanding 11,515,779 shares in 2001 and 10,734,620 in 2000	
(as restated).....	11
Capital in excess of par value.....	150,60
Accumulated other comprehensive loss.....	(22
Retained earnings.....	43,83
	-----
	194,41
Less: Note receivable from employee savings and investment plan.....	(64
Note receivable secured by Class A Common Stock.....	(5,00
	-----
Total shareholders' equity.....	188,76
	-----
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity.....	\$325,64
	=====

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

HEICO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

For the years ended October 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999

Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405

	2001	2000
	-----	-----
Net sales.....	\$171,259,000	\$202,000,000
Operating costs and expenses:		
Cost of sales.....	100,113,000	127,000,000
Selling, general and administrative expenses.....	39,578,000	36,000,000
Write-off of receivables (Note 16).....	577,000	1,000,000
	-----	-----
Total operating costs and expenses.....	140,268,000	164,000,000
Operating income.....	30,991,000	37,000,000
Interest expense.....	(2,486,000)	(5,000,000)
Interest and other income.....	1,598,000	1,000,000
Gain on sale of product line.....	--	17,000,000
	-----	-----
Income from continuing operations before income taxes and minority interests.....	30,103,000	50,000,000
Income tax expense.....	11,480,000	19,000,000
	-----	-----
Income from continuing operations before minority interests....	18,623,000	31,000,000
Minority interests.....	2,790,000	3,000,000
	-----	-----
Income from continuing operations.....	15,833,000	27,000,000
Adjustment to gain on sale of discontinued health care opera- tions, net of applicable income tax benefit of \$208,000.....	--	(1,000,000)
	-----	-----
Net income.....	\$ 15,833,000	\$ 26,000,000
	=====	=====
Basic per share data:		
Income from continuing operations.....	\$ .79	\$ .79
Adjustment to gain on sale of discontinued health care operations.....	--	--
	-----	-----
Net income.....	\$ .79	\$ .79
	=====	=====
Diluted per share data:		
Income from continuing operations.....	\$ .71	\$ .71
Adjustment to gain on sale of discontinued health care operations.....	--	--
	-----	-----
Net income.....	\$ .71	\$ .71
	=====	=====
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding:		
Basic.....	19,924,962	19,924,962
	=====	=====
Diluted.....	22,305,365	21,305,365
	=====	=====

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME  
For the years ended October 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999

	Common Stock	Class A Common Stock	Capital in Excess of Par Value	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Retained Earnings
Balances, October 31, 1998.....	\$83,000	\$ 41,000	\$ 34,474,000	\$(1,142,000)	\$ 36,000
Secondary offering of Class A Common shares.....	--	30,000	56,235,000	--	--
Repurchase of stock.....	(1,000)	--	(2,625,000)	--	--
Exercise of stock options.....	2,000	2,000	1,335,000	--	--
Tax benefit for stock option exercises.	--	--	1,610,000	--	--
Payment on note receivable from employee savings and investment plan..	--	--	--	--	--
Cash dividends (\$.041 per share).....	--	--	--	--	--
Net income for the year.....	--	--	--	--	16,000
Unrealized loss on investments, net of tax of \$721,000.....	--	--	--	(1,093,000)	--
Comprehensive income.....	--	--	--	--	--
Other.....	--	--	65,000	--	--
Balances, October 31, 1999.....	84,000	73,000	91,094,000	(2,235,000)	52,000
10% Common and Class A stock dividend paid in Class A shares.....	--	15,000	17,125,000	--	(17,000)
Repurchase of stock.....	--	--	(105,000)	--	--
Exercise of stock options.....	1,000	2,000	978,000	--	--
Tax benefit for stock option exercises.	--	--	1,736,000	--	--
Payment on note receivable from employee savings and investment plan..	--	--	--	--	--
Cash dividends (\$.044 per share).....	--	--	--	--	--
Net income for the year.....	--	--	--	--	26,000
Unrealized gain on investments, net of tax of \$998,000.....	--	--	--	1,603,000	--
Comprehensive income.....	--	--	--	--	--
Other.....	--	--	310,000	--	--
Balances, October 31, 2000.....	85,000	90,000	111,138,000	(632,000)	60,000
10% Common and Class A stock dividend paid in Class A shares.....	--	19,000	31,648,000	--	(31,000)
Shares issued in connection with the acquisition (Note 2).....	--	3,000	4,997,000	--	--
Exercise of stock options.....	8,000	3,000	2,420,000	--	--
Tax benefit for stock option exercises.	--	--	334,000	--	--
Payment on note receivable from employee savings and investment plan..	--	--	--	--	--
Cash dividends (\$.045 per share).....	--	--	--	--	--
Net income for the year.....	--	--	--	--	15,000
Unrealized gain on investments, net of tax of \$394,000.....	--	--	--	632,000	--
Unrealized loss on interest rate swap, net of tax of \$144,000.....	--	--	--	(226,000)	--
Comprehensive income.....	--	--	--	--	--

Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405

Other.....	--	--	68,000	--	--
Balances, October 31, 2001.....	\$93,000	\$115,000	\$150,605,000	\$ (226,000)	\$ 43,000

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

HEICO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

For the years ended October 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999

	2001
	-----
Cash flows from operating activities:	
Net income.....	\$ 15,833,000
Adjustments to reconcile net income to cash provided by operating activities:	
Gain on sale of product line.....	--
Gain on sale of property held for disposition.....	(657,000)
Gain on sale of investments.....	(180,000)
Depreciation and amortization.....	10,588,000
Deferred income taxes.....	760,000
Deferred financing costs.....	--
Minority interests in consolidated subsidiaries.....	2,790,000
Tax benefit on stock option exercises.....	334,000
Change in assets and liabilities, net of acquisitions and dispositions:	
Decrease (increase) in accounts receivable.....	1,194,000
Increase in inventories.....	(6,773,000)
Increase in prepaid expenses and other current assets.....	(329,000)
Increase in trade payables, accrued expenses and other current liabilities.....	1,154,000
(Decrease) increase in income taxes payable.....	(8,147,000)
Other.....	(37,000)
Net cash provided by operating activities.....	16,530,000
Cash flows from investing activities:	
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired.....	(61,207,000)
Proceeds from sale of product line, net of expenses.....	--
Proceeds from receivable from sale of product line.....	12,412,000
Proceeds from property held for disposition.....	2,157,000
Capital expenditures.....	(6,927,000)
Net change in long-term investments.....	7,039,000
Payment received from employee savings and investment plan note receivable.....	803,000
Other.....	(160,000)
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities.....	(45,883,000)

## Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405

Cash flows from financing activities:	
Proceeds from the issuance of long-term debt:	
Revolving credit facility.....	56,000,000
Other.....	--
Principal payments on long-term debt.....	(29,028,000)
Proceeds from Class A Common Stock offering, net.....	--
Minority interest investments.....	414,000
Proceeds from the exercise of stock options.....	2,431,000
Repurchases of common stock.....	--
Cash dividends paid (including fractional Class A share payments of \$41,000 and \$18,000 in 2001 and 2000, respectively).....	(941,000)
Other.....	3,000
<hr/>	
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities.....	28,879,000
<hr/>	
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents.....	(474,000)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year.....	4,807,000
<hr/>	
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year.....	\$ 4,333,000
<hr/>	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

36

### HEICO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the years ended October 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999

#### 1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

##### Nature of business

HEICO Corporation, through its principal subsidiaries HEICO Aerospace Holdings Corp. (HEICO Aerospace) and HEICO Electronic Technologies Corp. (HEICO Electronic) and their subsidiaries (collectively, the Company), is principally engaged in the design, manufacture and sale of aerospace, defense and electronics related products and services throughout the United States and internationally. HEICO Aerospace's subsidiaries include HEICO Aerospace Corporation, Jet Avion Corporation (Jet Avion), LPI Industries Corporation (LPI), Aircraft Technology, Inc. (Aircraft Technology), Northwings Accessories Corporation (Northwings), McClain International, Inc. (McClain), Associated Composite, Inc. (ACI), Rogers-Dierks, Inc. (Rogers-Dierks), Air Radio & Instruments Corp. (Air Radio), Turbine Kinetics, Inc. (Turbine), Thermal Structures, Inc. (Thermal), Future Aviation, Inc. (Future), Avitech Engineering Corporation (Avitech) acquired August 2001, HEICO Aerospace Parts Corp. (HAPC) a company formed September 2001 and Aviation Facilities, Inc. (AFI) acquired October 2001. HEICO Electronic's subsidiaries include Radiant Power Corp. (Radiant Power), Leader Tech, Inc. (Leader Tech), Santa Barbara Infrared, Inc. (SBIR), Analog Modules, Inc. (Analog Modules) acquired April 2001, Aero Design, Inc. (Aero Design) acquired June 2001 and Inertial Airline Services (IAS) acquired August 2001. Trilectron Industries, Inc. (Trilectron), which was sold September 2000, was formerly a subsidiary of HEICO Electronic. For further detail of acquired and sold subsidiaries discussed above, see Notes 2 and 3. The Company's customer base is primarily the commercial airline, defense and

## Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405

electronics industries. As of October 31, 2001, the Company's principal operations are located in Atlanta, Georgia; Anacortes, Washington; Glastonbury, Connecticut; Corona, Hayward, and Santa Barbara, California; Cleveland, Ohio and Hollywood, Miami, Tampa, Titusville, Naples, Orlando and Sarasota, Florida.

### Basis of presentation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of HEICO Corporation and its subsidiaries, all of which are wholly-owned except for HEICO Aerospace, of which a 20% interest was sold to Lufthansa Technik AG (Lufthansa) in October 1997. In addition, HEICO Aerospace consolidates a joint venture formed in February 2001, which is 16% owned by American Airlines' parent company, AMR Corporation (AMR) (Note 2). All significant intercompany balances and transactions are eliminated.

### Use of estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

37

### Reclassifications

Certain amounts in the prior years' financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

### Cash and cash equivalents

For purposes of the consolidated financial statements, the Company considers all highly liquid investments purchased with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

### Inventories

Portions of the inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market, with cost being determined on the first-in, first-out basis. The remaining portions of the inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market, on a per contract basis, with estimated total contract costs being allocated ratably to all units. The effects of changes in estimated total contract costs are recognized in the period determined. Losses, if any, are recognized fully when identified.

### Property, plant and equipment

Property, plant and equipment is stated at cost. Depreciation and amortization is provided mainly on the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the various assets. Property, plant and equipment useful lives are as follows:

Buildings and components.....	7 to 55 years
Building and leasehold improvements.....	3 to 15 years
Machinery and equipment.....	3 to 20 years

The costs of major renewals and betterments are capitalized. Repairs and maintenance are charged to operations as incurred. Upon disposition, the cost and related accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts and any

## Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405

related gain or loss is reflected in earnings.

### Intangible assets

Intangible assets include the excess of cost over the fair value of net assets acquired and deferred charges which are amortized on the straight-line method over their legal or estimated useful lives, whichever is shorter, as follows:

Excess of cost over the fair market value of net assets acquired.....	20 to 40 years
Deferred charges.....	3 to 20 years

The Company reviews the carrying value of the excess of cost over the fair value of net assets acquired (goodwill) for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that it may not be recoverable. An impairment would be recognized in operating results, based upon the difference between each consolidated entities' respective present value of future cash flows and the carrying value of the goodwill, if a permanent diminution in value were to occur. As of October 31, 2001, the Company determined there has been no impairment of goodwill.

### Financial instruments

The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued expenses and other current liabilities approximate fair value due to the relatively short maturity of the respective instruments. The carrying value of long-term debt approximates fair market value due to its floating interest rates.

38

Financial instruments which potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk consist principally of temporary cash investments and trade receivables. The Company places its temporary cash investments with high credit quality financial institutions and limits the amount of credit exposure to any one financial institution. Concentrations of credit risk with respect to trade receivables are limited due to the large number of customers comprising the Company's customer base, and their dispersion across many different geographical regions.

Long-term investments are stated at fair value based on quoted market prices.

### Revenue recognition

Revenue is recognized on an accrual basis, primarily upon shipment of products and the rendering of services. Revenue from certain fixed price contracts for which costs can be dependably estimated are recognized on the percentage of completion method, measured by the cost-to-cost method. Revisions in cost estimates as contracts progress have the effect of increasing or decreasing profits in the current period. For contracts in which costs cannot be dependably estimated, revenue is recognized on the completed-contract method. A contract is considered complete when all costs except insignificant items have been incurred or the item has been accepted by the customer. The aggregate effects of changes in estimates relating to inventories and/or long-term contracts were not material except as noted in the unaudited quarterly financial information presented in Note 14 to the Financial Statements. Revenues earned from rendering services represented less than 10% of consolidated net sales for all periods presented.



## Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405

### Long-term contracts

Accounts receivable and accrued expenses and current liabilities include amounts related to the production of products under fixed-price contracts exceeding terms of one year. Certain of these contracts recognize revenues on the percentage-of-completion method, measured by the percentage of costs incurred to date to estimated total costs for each contract. This method is used because management considers costs incurred to be the best available measure of progress on these contracts. Certain other contracts have revenues recognized on the completed-contract method. This method is used where the Company does not have adequate historical data to ensure that estimates are reasonably dependable.

Contract costs include all direct material and labor costs and those indirect costs related to contract performance, such as indirect labor, supplies, tools, repairs, and depreciation costs. Selling, general and administrative costs are charged to expense as incurred. Provisions for estimated losses on uncompleted contracts are made in the period in which such losses are determined. Changes in job performance, job conditions, estimated profitability and final contract settlements may result in revisions to costs and income and are recognized in the period in which the revisions are determined.

The asset, "Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted percentage of completion contracts," included in accounts receivable, represents revenues recognized in excess of amounts billed. The liability, "Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted percentage of completion contracts," included in accrued expenses and other current liabilities, represents billings in excess of revenues recognized. Billings are made based on the completion of certain milestones as provided for in the contracts.

### Income taxes

Deferred income taxes are provided on elements of income that are recognized for financial accounting purposes in periods different from such items recognized for income tax purposes in accordance with the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes."

39

### Net income per share

Basic net income per share is calculated on the basis of the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the period, excluding dilution. Diluted net income per share is computed on the basis of the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the period plus potentially dilutive common shares arising from the assumed exercise of stock options, if dilutive. The dilutive impact of potentially dilutive common shares is determined by applying the treasury stock method.

### Stock based compensation

The Company measures compensation cost for stock options using the intrinsic value method of accounting prescribed by Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees." The Company has elected to continue using the accounting methods prescribed by APB No. 25 and to provide in Note 12 the proforma disclosures required by Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123.

## Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405

### Contingencies

Losses for contingencies such as product warranties, litigation and environmental matters are recognized in income when they are probable and can be reasonably estimated. Gain contingencies are not recognized in income.

### New accounting standards

In June 1998, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133 (SFAS 133), "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities". SFAS 133, as amended by SFAS 137 and SFAS 138, establishes standards for the accounting and reporting of derivative instruments embedded in other contracts (collectively referred to as derivatives) and of hedging activities. It requires that an entity recognize all derivatives as either assets or liabilities in the balance sheet and measure those instruments at fair value. Derivatives that are not hedges must be adjusted to fair value through income. If the derivative is a hedge, depending on the nature of the hedge, changes in the fair value of derivatives are either offset against the change in fair value of assets, liabilities, or firm commitments through earnings or recognized in other comprehensive income until the hedged item is recognized in earnings. The ineffective portion of a derivative's change in fair value will be immediately recognized in earnings. The Company adopted SFAS 133 effective November 1, 2000.

In December 1999, the Securities and Exchange Commission issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 101, "Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements" (SAB 101), which among other guidance, clarifies certain conditions to be met in order to recognize revenue. In October 2000, the staff deferred the implementation date of SAB 101 until no later than the fourth quarter of fiscal years beginning after December 15, 1999. The Company believes its revenue recognition policies are in accordance with SAB 101.

In September 2000, the EITF issued "Accounting for Shipping and Handling Fees and Costs" (EITF 00-10). This Issue addresses the income statement classification for shipping and handling fees and costs by companies that record revenue based on the gross amount billed to customers. EITF 00-10 concludes that all amounts billed to a customer in a sale transaction related to shipping and handling, if any, represent revenues earned for goods provided and should be classified as revenue. In addition, the shipping and handling costs should be included in cost of sales. If shipping costs or handling costs are significant and are not included in cost of sales, the amount and the line item on the income statement that include them should be disclosed. The Company adopted EITF 00-10 in the quarter ended October 31, 2001. The adoption of EITF 00-10 did not have a significant impact on the Company's results of operations.

40

In July 2001, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 141, "Business Combinations" and SFAS No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets". SFAS No. 141 requires that all business combinations be accounted for under the purchase method. The statement further requires separate recognition of intangible assets that meet one of two criteria. The statement applies to all business combinations initiated after June 30, 2001. SFAS No. 142 requires that an intangible asset that is acquired shall be initially recognized and measured based on its fair value. The statement also provides that goodwill should not be amortized, but shall be tested for impairment annually, or more frequently if circumstances indicate potential impairment, through a comparison of fair value to its carrying amount. Existing goodwill has been amortized through the end of fiscal 2001 at which time amortization ceased. The Company is in the process of performing a transitional goodwill impairment test. SFAS No. 142 is effective for fiscal periods beginning after December 15, 2001. Early

## Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405

adoption of SFAS 142 is permitted for entities with fiscal years beginning after March 15, 2001, provided that the first interim financial statements have not been previously issued. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of the new accounting standards on existing goodwill and other intangible assets. The Company will adopt SFAS 142 effective November 1, 2001. During the year ended October 31, 2001, goodwill amortization was \$6,835,000.

In August 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets". SFAS 144 supercedes SFAS Statement No. 121 (FAS 121), "Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to Be Disposed of." SFAS 144 applies to all long-lived assets (including discontinued operations) and consequently amends APB 30, "Reporting Results of Operations Reporting the Effects of Disposal of a Segment of a Business." SFAS 144 develops one accounting model (based on the model in SFAS 121) for long-lived assets that are to be disposed of by sale, as well as addresses the principal implementation issues. SFAS 144 requires that long-lived assets that are to be disposed of by sale be measured at the lower of book value or fair value less cost to sell. That requirement eliminates the requirement of APB 30 that discontinued operations be measured at net realizable value or that entities include under "discontinued operations" in the financial statements amounts for operating losses that have not yet occurred. Additionally, SFAS 144 expands the scope of discontinued operations to include all components of an entity with operations that (1) can be distinguished from the rest of the entity and (2) will be eliminated from the ongoing operations of the entity in a disposal transaction. While the Company has not completed the process of determining the effect of this new accounting pronouncement on its consolidated financial statements, the Company currently expects that the effect of SFAS No. 144 on the Company's financial statements, when it becomes effective, will not be significant. SFAS 144 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001 and generally the provisions of the statement will be applied prospectively.

## 2. ACQUISITIONS AND STRATEGIC ALLIANCES

### Acquisitions

Between December 1998 and September 1999, the Company acquired substantially all of the assets of Rogers-Dierks, Radiant, Turbine and all of the outstanding capital stock of Air Radio, Leader Tech and SBIR for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$72.6 million. Rogers-Dierks, Turbine and Air Radio were acquired through HEICO Aerospace. Radiant, Leader Tech, and SBIR were acquired through HEICO Electronic. The source of the purchase price for these acquisitions was proceeds from the Company's Credit Facility, excluding Air Radio and Turbine, which were funded primarily from the proceeds of the Company's public offering discussed in Note 11. Subsequent to the closings of the HEICO Aerospace transactions, Lufthansa made additional investments of approximately \$5.0 million in HEICO Aerospace pursuant to Lufthansa's option to maintain its 20% equity interest in HEICO Aerospace.

In connection with the Rogers-Dierks acquisition, the Company paid \$1.1 million of deferred payments over the two-year period ended October 31, 2001. As a result of Rogers-Dierks meeting earnings objectives, the Company paid a total of \$5.9 million in additional purchase consideration between fiscal 2000 and fiscal 2001 to the former shareholders. Rogers-Dierks formerly designed and manufactured FAA-approved, factory-new jet engine

replacement parts for sale to commercial airlines. The Company has continued to use the acquired assets for the same purposes as formerly used by Rogers-Dierks. The Radiant Power product line includes back-up power supplies and battery packs

## Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405

for a variety of aircraft applications. Turbine is engaged in the design and manufacture of FAA-approved, factory-new replacement parts. Air Radio is engaged in the overhaul and repair of avionics, instruments and electronic equipment for commercial aircraft. As a result of meeting certain earnings objectives, the former shareholders of Air Radio received additional consideration of \$1.25 million in fiscal 2000 under the terms of the acquisition. Leader Tech manufactures electromagnetic and radio frequency shielding for circuit boards primarily utilized in telecommunications, computer, aerospace and microwave applications. SBIR is an international designer and manufacturer of aerospace and defense infrared simulation and ground test equipment. The former shareholders of SBIR received additional consideration of \$3.6 million in fiscal 2001 as part of the final purchase price adjustment.

In June 1999, the Company, through HEICO Aerospace, acquired all of the outstanding capital stock of Thermal. Thermal manufactures thermal insulation products and related components primarily for aerospace and defense applications. In consideration of this acquisition, the Company paid approximately \$28.9 million in cash, and assumed approximately \$4 million in debt. The assumed debt was repaid by the Company at closing. Subject to meeting certain earnings objectives, one of Thermal's selling shareholders would receive additional consideration of up to \$1 million over the three years following the acquisition date. As of the end of fiscal 2001, Thermal's selling shareholders have received additional consideration of \$300,000. The source of the purchase price was proceeds from the Company's Credit Facility. Subsequent to the closing of the transaction, Lufthansa made an additional investment of \$6.7 million in HEICO Aerospace pursuant to Lufthansa's option to maintain its 20% equity interest in HEICO Aerospace.

In June 2000, the Company, through a subsidiary, acquired substantially all of the assets and certain liabilities of Future for \$14.7 million in cash. The source of the purchase price was proceeds from the Company's Credit Facility. Future is engaged in the repair and overhaul of aircraft accessory components principally serving the regional and commuter aircraft market.

In April 2001, the Company, through a subsidiary, acquired substantially all of the assets and certain liabilities of Analog Modules, Inc. (AMI) for \$15.6 million in cash paid at closing. AMI is engaged in the design and manufacture of electronic products primarily for use in the laser and electro-optics industries. The source of the purchase price was proceeds from the Company's Credit Facility.

In August 2001, the Company, through a subsidiary, acquired Inertial Airline Services, Inc. (IAS) pursuant to a stock purchase agreement, for \$20 million in cash and \$5 million in HEICO Class A Common shares (289,964 shares) paid at closing. The Company has guaranteed that the resale value of such Class A Common shares will be at least \$5 million through August 31, 2002. Should the market value be lower than \$5 million by August 31, 2002, the Company would have to pay the difference in cash. Based on the closing market price of the HEICO Class A Common shares on October 31, 2001, the Company would have to pay the seller an additional amount of approximately \$1.5 million. In addition, subject to meeting certain earnings targets during the first two years following acquisition, the Company could pay additional consideration of \$6 million in cash. Concurrent with the purchase, the Company loaned the seller \$5 million which is due August 31, 2002 and is secured by the 289,964 shares of HEICO Class A Common Stock. The loan is reflected as a reduction in the equity section of the Company's consolidated balance sheet as note receivable secured by Class A Common Stock. The source of the purchase price, including the loan, was proceeds from the Company's Credit Facility. IAS is engaged primarily in the repair and overhaul of inertial navigation systems and other avionics equipment which are used by commercial, military and business aircraft.

During fiscal 2001, the Company, through subsidiaries, also acquired certain

## Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405

assets and liabilities of other companies (including Avitech, AFI and Aero Design) with individually insignificant purchase prices and an aggregate totaling approximately \$9 million. These acquisitions are in the business of design and manufacture of

42

FAA-approved replacement parts except Avitech which is an aircraft accessory components repair and overhaul facility.

Had the fiscal 2001 acquisitions been acquired as of the beginning of fiscal 2001, the proforma consolidated results would not have been materially different from the reported results.

All of the acquisitions described above were accounted for using the purchase method of accounting and the results of each company were included in the Company's results from their effective purchase dates. The costs of each acquisition have been allocated to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their fair values at the date of acquisition as determined by management (See Note 16 - Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information). The excess of the purchase prices over the fair value of the identifiable net assets acquired aggregated approximately \$37.6 million, \$20.0 million, and \$92.6 million in fiscal 2001, 2000, and 1999, respectively, and have been amortized over a range of 20 to 30 years using the straight-line method for all acquisitions through June 30, 2001. The fiscal 2001 excess of purchase prices over the fair value of identifiable net assets acquired includes \$31.9 million related to HEICO Electronic acquisitions and \$5.7 million related to HEICO Aerospace acquisitions. These amounts are deductible for income tax purposes.

For acquisitions subsequent to June 30, 2001 (IAS, Avitech, and AFI) the allocation of the purchase price is preliminary while management obtains final information regarding the fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed. Although the allocation and amortization periods are subject to adjustment, the Company does not expect that such adjustment will have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements. In accordance with SFAS 142, goodwill related to these acquisitions is not being amortized. Effective November 1, 2001, goodwill for all acquisitions will be tested for impairment annually, or more frequently if circumstances indicate potential impairment.

Strategic alliances and sale of minority interests in consolidated subsidiaries

In October 1997, the Company entered into a strategic alliance with Lufthansa, the technical services subsidiary of Lufthansa German Airlines, whereby Lufthansa invested approximately \$26 million in HEICO Aerospace, including \$10 million paid at closing pursuant to a stock purchase agreement and approximately \$16 million paid to HEICO Aerospace pursuant to a research and development cooperation agreement, which has partially funded the accelerated development of additional FAA-approved replacement parts. The funds received as a result of the research and development cooperation agreement reduce research and development expenses in the period such expenses are incurred (Note 16). In addition, Lufthansa and HEICO Aerospace have agreed to cooperate regarding technical services and marketing support for jet engine parts on a worldwide basis. In connection with subsequent acquisitions by HEICO Aerospace, Lufthansa invested additional amounts aggregating \$21 million pursuant to its option to maintain a 20% equity interest.

In February 2001, the Company, through its subsidiary HEICO Aerospace, entered into a joint venture with AMR to develop, design and sell FAA-approved replacement parts. As part of the joint venture, AMR will reimburse HEICO Aerospace a portion of new product research and development costs. The funds received as a result of the new product research and development costs paid by

## Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405

AMR generally reduce new product research and development expenses in the period such expenses are incurred. The balance of the development costs are incurred by the joint venture, which is 16% owned by AMR. In addition, AMR and HEICO Aerospace have agreed to cooperate regarding technical services and marketing support on a worldwide basis.

### 3. SALE OF PRODUCT LINE

In September 2000, the Company consummated the sale of all of the outstanding capital stock of HEICO Electronic's wholly-owned subsidiary, Trilectron, to a subsidiary of Illinois Tool Works Inc. In consideration of the sale of Trilectron's capital stock, the Company received \$52,500,000 in cash, an unsecured non-interest bearing

43

promissory note for \$12.0 million payable in three equal installments over 90 days, a purchase price adjustment of \$4.5 million based on the net worth of Trilectron as of the closing date of the sale, and retained certain property having a book value of approximately \$1.5 million which was sold in fiscal 2001. The proceeds from the sale were used to pay down the outstanding balance on the Company's Credit Facility.

The sale of Trilectron did not meet the requirements for classification as a discontinued operation in accordance with APB No. 30 because its activities could not be clearly distinguished, physically and operationally and for financial reporting purposes, from the other assets, results of operations, and activities of the Company's Electronic Technologies Group (ETG) operating segment of which it was a part. Trilectron was managed as part of the ETG and the ETG was treated as a single operating segment. The ETG shared facilities, staff, information technology processing and other centrally provided services with no allocation of costs and interest expense between the divisions within the ETG. Accordingly, the sale was reported as a sale of a product line and Trilectron's results of operations through the date of the closing have been reported in the Company's consolidated statements of operations.

The sale of Trilectron resulted in a pretax gain in fiscal 2000 of \$17,296,000 (\$10,542,000 or \$.48 per diluted share, net of income tax). The pretax gain is net of expenses of \$10.8 million directly related to the transaction.

A summary of the components of the expenses of the sale of the Trilectron product line are as follows:

Bonuses and related costs	\$ 6,700,000 (a)
Professional service fees	2,500,000 (b)
Contract indemnification, reserves and miscellaneous costs and expenses	1,600,000 (c)
	-----
Total expenses of sale	\$ 10,800,000
	=====

- (a) Represents incentive bonus payments which were approved by the Board of Directors contingent upon the sale of Trilectron and paid from the proceeds of the sale.
- (b) Represents investment banking, legal, accounting and tax consulting fees, all of which were incurred in connection with the sale.
- (c) Represents reserves related to indemnification provisions entered into in connection with the sale of Trilectron, estimated expenses of relocating Radiant Power from the Trilectron facility to new facilities

**Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405**

and miscellaneous other expenses and costs which were incurred in connection with the sale of Trilectron.

The receivable from sale of product line of \$12,412,000 reported in the October 31, 2000 consolidated balance sheet was fully collected in fiscal 2001.

**4. ADJUSTMENT TO GAIN ON SALE OF DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS**

In January 1999, the Company received notice of a proposed adjustment pursuant to an examination by the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) of the Company's fiscal 1995 and 1996 tax returns, disallowing the utilization of a \$4.6 million capital loss carryforward to partially offset the gain recognized by the Company in connection with the sale of its health care operations in July 1996. In fiscal 2000, the Company reached a settlement pursuant to which the IRS conceded one-third of the original tax adjustment. Accordingly, the additional taxes and related interest, aggregating \$1.4 million (\$.07 per diluted share) is reflected as adjustment to gain on sale of discontinued health care operations in the consolidated statement of operations.

44

**5. INVESTMENTS**

Long-term investments consisted of equity securities with an aggregate cost of \$6,858,000 as of October 31, 2000. These investments were classified as available-for-sale and stated at a fair value of \$5,832,000 as of October 31, 2000. The Company sold the long-term investments during fiscal 2001 with pre-tax realized gains of \$180,000 calculated using the average cost method. The gross unrealized loss was \$1,026,000 as of October 31, 2000. The gross unrealized loss, net of deferred taxes, is reflected as a component of comprehensive income. There were no realized gains or losses during fiscal 2000 and 1999.

**6. CREDIT FACILITIES AND LONG-TERM DEBT**

Long-term debt consists of:

	O
	-----
	2001
	-----
Borrowings under revolving credit facility.....	\$65,000,00
Industrial Development Revenue Refunding Bonds -- Series 1988.....	1,980,00
Equipment loans.....	34,00
	-----
	67,014,00
Less current maturities.....	(27,00
	-----
	\$66,987,00
	=====

The amount of long-term debt maturing in each of the next five years is \$27,000 in fiscal 2002, \$8,131,000 in fiscal 2003, \$32,501,000 in fiscal 2004, \$24,375,000 in fiscal 2005, \$0 in fiscal 2006 and \$1,980,000 thereafter. The amount of long-term debt maturing in each of the next five years assumes the outstanding borrowings under the revolving credit facility of \$65,000,000 will be converted to term loans in July 2003 and amortized over a two-year period in accordance with the terms of the facility.

## Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405

### Revolving credit facility

In July 1998, the Company entered into a \$120 million credit facility (Credit Facility) with a bank syndicate. Funds are available for funding acquisitions, working capital and general corporate requirements on a revolving basis through July 2003. The Company has the option to convert outstanding advances to term loans amortizing over a period through July 2005. Outstanding borrowings bear interest at the Company's choice of prime rate or London Interbank Offering Rates (LIBOR) plus applicable margins. The applicable margins range from .00% to .50% for prime rate borrowings and from .75% to 2.00% for LIBOR based borrowings depending on the leverage ratio of the Company. A fee of .20% to .40% is charged on the amount of the unused commitment depending on the leverage ratio of the Company. The Credit Facility is secured by all the assets, excluding real estate, of the Company and its subsidiaries and contains covenants which, among other things, requires the maintenance of certain working capital, leverage and debt service ratios as well as minimum net worth requirements. At October 31, 2001 and 2000, the Company had a total of \$65 million and \$38 million borrowed under the Credit Facility at weighted average interest rates of 3.4% and 7.6%, respectively. The amounts were borrowed to partially fund acquisitions (Note 2).

### Interest rate swap

In order to manage its interest rate risk related to its revolving credit facility borrowings which has interest based on LIBOR plus a variable margin (see above), the Company has an interest rate swap agreement with a bank expiring February 2002. This allows the Company to reduce the effects (positive or negative) of interest rate changes on operations. The Company has designated the interest rate swap as a hedge of the variability of cash flows to be received or paid related to a recognized liability (cash flow hedge). Changes in the fair value of the interest rate swap, which is considered effective, are recorded as a component of other comprehensive income and reclassified into earnings to the extent the hedge, or a part thereof, becomes ineffective.

45

The Company has formally documented the relationship between the interest rate swap and the variable rate debt and its strategy for undertaking the hedge transactions. The Company also formally assesses, both at the hedge's inception and on an ongoing basis, whether the derivatives that are used in hedging transactions are highly effective in offsetting changes in fair values or cash flows of hedged items. If it is determined that a derivative is not highly effective as a hedge or that it has ceased to be a highly effective hedge, the Company will discontinue hedge accounting prospectively.

The cumulative effect on the accumulated other comprehensive income of the Company's derivative instruments and hedging activities discussed above as of November 1, 2000 was not significant and as of October 31, 2001 was a loss of \$226,000 (net of \$144,000 in income tax benefit).

### Industrial development revenue bonds

The industrial development revenue bonds outstanding October 31, 2001 represent bonds issued by Broward County, Florida in 1988 (the 1988 bonds). The 1988 bonds are due April 2008 and bear interest at a variable rate calculated weekly (2.05% and 4.25% at October 31, 2001 and 2000, respectively). The 1988 bonds as amended are secured by a letter of credit expiring February 2004 and a mortgage on the related properties pledged as collateral.

## 7. LEASE COMMITMENTS



## Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405

The Company leases certain property and equipment, including manufacturing facilities and office equipment under operating leases. Some of these leases provide the Company with the option after the initial lease term either to purchase the property at the then fair market value or renew its lease at the then fair rental value. Generally, management expects that leases will be renewed or replaced by other leases in the normal course of business.

Minimum payments for operating leases having initial or remaining non-cancelable terms in excess of one year are as follows:

Year ending October 31,	
2002.....	\$2,341,000
2003.....	2,021,000
2004.....	988,000
2005.....	628,000
2006.....	328,000
Thereafter.....	900,000
	-----
Total minimum lease commitments.....	\$7,206,000
	=====

Total rent expense charged to operations for operating leases in fiscal 2001, fiscal 2000 and fiscal 1999 amounted to \$2,217,000, \$2,041,000 and \$976,000, respectively.

### 8. INCOME TAXES

The provision for income taxes on income from continuing operations for each of the three years ended October 31 was as follows:

	2001	2000
	-----	-----
Current:		
Federal.....	\$ 9,611,000	\$17,690,000
State.....	1,109,000	1,994,000
	-----	-----
Deferred.....	10,720,000	19,684,000
	760,000	(175,000)
	-----	-----
Total income tax expense.....	\$11,480,000	\$19,509,000
	=====	=====

A deferred tax charge of \$250,000, a deferred tax charge of \$998,000 and deferred tax benefit of \$721,000, relating to unrealized gains and losses on the interest rate swap and long-term investments were recorded as adjustments to shareholders' equity in fiscal 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively.

In connection with its acquisitions, the Company assumed net deferred tax assets of \$37,000 in fiscal 2000 and net deferred liabilities of \$295,000 in fiscal 1999. No deferred tax assets or liabilities were assumed in fiscal 2001.

## Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405

The following table reconciles the federal statutory tax rate to the Company's effective tax rate from continuing operations:

	2001	2000
	----	----
Federal statutory tax rate.....	35.0%	35
State taxes, less applicable federal income tax reduction.....	2.6	2
Net tax benefits on export sales.....	(2.4)	(1)
Nondeductible amortization of intangible assets.....	2.7	1
Other, net.....	.2	--
	----	----
Effective tax rate.....	38.1%	38
	====	==

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. Significant components of the Company's deferred tax assets and liabilities as of October 31, 2001 and 2000 are as follows:

	October 31
	-----
	2001
	-----
Deferred tax assets:	
Inventories.....	\$ 1,296,000
Bad debt allowances.....	468,000
Deferred compensation liability.....	1,650,000
Vacation accruals.....	253,000
Customer rebates and credits.....	480,000
Retirement plan liability.....	226,000
Warranty accruals.....	327,000
Unrealized loss on interest rate swap/investments.....	145,000
Accrued items related to sale of product line.....	720,000
Other.....	133,000
	-----
Total deferred tax assets.....	5,698,000
	-----
Deferred tax liabilities:	
Accelerated depreciation.....	1,120,000
Intangible asset amortization.....	3,201,000
Other.....	261,000
	-----
Total deferred tax liabilities.....	4,582,000
	-----
Net deferred tax asset.....	1,116,000
Less current portion.....	(3,180,000)
	-----
Net deferred tax liability, long-term portion.....	\$(2,064,000)
	=====

### 9. STOCK DIVIDENDS

## Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405

In June 2000 and July 2001, the Board of Directors declared 10% stock dividends on all shares outstanding, payable in Class A Common shares. The dividend was paid on July 21, 2000 and August 21, 2001 to shareholders of record July 10, 2000 and August 10, 2001, respectively. The 10% dividend was valued based on the closing market price of the Company's Class A Common stock as of the day prior to the declaration date. All income per share, dividend per share, price per share, exercise price, stock options and common shares outstanding information has been retroactively restated to reflect stock dividends and splits.

47

### 10. PREFERRED STOCK PURCHASE RIGHTS PLAN

In 1993, pursuant to a plan adopted by the Board of Directors on such date, the Board declared a distribution of one Preferred Stock Purchase Right (the Rights) for each outstanding share of common stock of the Company. The Rights trade with the common stock and are not exercisable or transferable apart from the Common Stock and Class A Common Stock until after a person or group either acquires 15% or more of the outstanding common stock or commences or announces an intention to commence a tender offer for 30% or more of the outstanding common stock. Absent either of the aforementioned events transpiring, the Rights will expire at the close of business on November 2, 2003.

The Rights have certain anti-takeover effects and, therefore, will cause substantial dilution to a person or group who attempts to acquire the Company on terms not approved by the Company's Board of Directors or who acquires 15% or more of the outstanding common stock without approval of the Company's Board of Directors. The Rights should not interfere with any merger or other business combination approved by the Board since they may be redeemed by the Company at \$.01 per Right at any time until the close of business on the tenth day after a person or group has obtained beneficial ownership of 15% or more of the outstanding common stock or until a person commences or announces an intention to commence a tender offer for 30% or more of the outstanding common stock.

### 11. COMMON STOCK AND CLASS A COMMON STOCK

In February and March 1999, the Company completed, through a public offering, the issuance of an aggregate of 3,622,801 shares of Class A Common Stock, including over-allotment options granted to the underwriters. The net proceeds of the offering to the Company were \$56.3 million. A portion of the proceeds of the offering were used to repay the outstanding balance under the Company's Credit Facility and to acquire Air Radio and Turbine (Note 2). The remaining proceeds were used for working capital and general corporate purposes.

In accordance with the Company's share repurchase program, 96,300 and 56,039 shares of Common Stock and Class A Common Stock, respectively, were repurchased in fiscal 1999 at a total cost of approximately \$2.6 million. In fiscal 2000, 6,600 shares of Common Stock were repurchased at a total cost of approximately \$105,000. No shares were repurchased in fiscal 2001.

Each share of Common Stock is entitled to one vote per share. Each share of Class A Common Stock is entitled to a 1/10 vote per share. Holders of the Company's Common Stock and Class A Common Stock are entitled to receive when, as and if declared by the Board of Directors, dividends and other distributions payable in cash, property, stock, or otherwise. In the event of liquidation, after payment of debts and other liabilities of the Company, and after making provision for the holders of preferred stock, if any, the remaining assets of the Company will be distributable ratably among the holders of all classes of common stock.

## Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405

### 12. STOCK OPTIONS

The Company currently has two stock option plans, the 1993 Stock Option Plan (1993 Plan) and the Non-Qualified Stock Option Plan (NQSOP). A total of 2,038,332 Common and 2,307,990 Class A Common shares of the Company's stock are reserved for issuance to directors, officers and key employees as of October 31, 2001. Options issued under the 1993 Plan may be designated incentive stock options (ISO) or non-qualified stock options (NQSO). ISOs are granted at not less than 100% of the fair market value at the date of grant (110% thereof in certain cases) and are exercisable in percentages specified at date of grant over a period up to ten years. Only employees are eligible to receive ISOs. NQSOs may be granted at less than fair market value and may be immediately exercisable. Options granted under the NQSOP may be granted to directors, officers and employees at no less than the fair

48

market value at the date of grant and are generally exercisable in four equal annual installments commencing one year from date of grant.

All stock option share and price per share information has been retroactively restated for stock dividends and splits.

Information concerning all of the stock option transactions for the three years ended October 31, 2001 is as follows:

	Shares Available For Option -----	Shares U ----- Shares -----
Outstanding, October 31, 1998.....	277,266	5,042,948
Additional shares approved by shareholders for 1993 Stock Option Plan.....	726,000	--
Shares approved by Board of Directors for grant to former shareholders of SBIR.....	458,893	--
Granted.....	(1,077,021)	1,077,021
Cancelled.....	31,878	(32,333)
Exercised.....	--	(510,187)
	-----	-----
Outstanding, October 31, 1999.....	417,016	5,577,449
Granted.....	(338,377)	338,377
Cancelled.....	727,339	(760,340)
Exercised.....	--	(208,196)
	-----	-----
Outstanding, October 31, 2000.....	805,978	4,947,290
Shares approved by Board of Directors for grant to former shareholders of SBIR.....	229,900	--
Granted.....	(995,200)	995,200
Cancelled.....	153,370	(415,406)
Exercised.....	--	(1,374,810)
	-----	-----
Outstanding, October 31, 2001.....	194,048	4,152,274
	=====	=====

Summary of shares available for option and shares under option by class of common stock is as follows:

Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405

	Shares Available For Option -----	Shares U ----- Shares -----
Common Stock.....	532,869	2,358,403
Class A Common Stock.....	273,109	2,588,887
	-----	-----
Outstanding, October 31, 2000	805,978	4,947,290
	=====	=====
Common Stock.....	29,819	2,008,513
Class A Common Stock.....	164,229	2,143,761
	-----	-----
Outstanding, October 31, 2001	194,048	4,152,274
	=====	=====

49

Information concerning stock options outstanding and exercisable by class of common stock as of October 31, 2001 is as follows:

Common Stock

Range of Exercise Prices -----	Options Outstanding -----	Weighted Average Exercise Price -----	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years) -----	Options Exercisable -----
\$ 1.20 - \$ 2.75	600,138	\$ 1.81	2.0	600,138
\$ 2.76 - \$ 6.05	336,465	3.85	3.8	334,965
\$ 6.06 - \$10.22	365,008	8.32	5.5	334,215
\$10.23 - \$25.32	706,902	15.64	9.2	216,501
	-----	-----	---	-----
	2,008,513	\$ 8.21	5.5	1,485,819
	=====	=====	===	=====

Class A Common Stock

Range of Exercise Prices -----	Options Outstanding -----	Weighted Average Exercise Price -----	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years) -----	Options Exercisable -----
\$ 1.20 - \$ 2.75	495,708	\$ 1.82	2.0	495,708
\$ 2.76 - \$ 6.05	276,345	3.86	3.8	275,122
\$ 6.06 - \$10.22	358,288	8.54	6.0	277,337
\$10.23 - \$24.11	1,013,420	15.89	8.5	625,937
	-----	-----	---	-----
	2,143,761	\$ 9.85	6.0	1,674,104
	=====	=====	===	=====

## Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405

If there were a change in control of the Company, options for an additional 522,694 shares of Common Stock and 469,657 shares of Class A Common Stock would become immediately exercisable.

The Company applies APB Opinion No. 25 and related Interpretations in accounting for its stock option plans. Accordingly, compensation expense has been recorded in the accompanying consolidated financial statements for those options granted below the fair market value of the stock on the date of grant. Had the fair value of all grants under these plans been recognized as compensation expense over the vesting period of the grants, consistent with SFAS No. 123, the Company's net income would have been \$10,469,000 (\$.53 and \$.47 basic and diluted net income per share, respectively) for fiscal 2001, \$22,953,000 (\$1.20 and \$1.05 basic and diluted net income per share, respectively) for fiscal 2000, and \$10,666,000 (\$.59 and \$.50 basic and diluted net income per share, respectively) for fiscal 1999.

The estimated weighted average fair value of options granted was \$11.23 per share for Common Stock and \$8.94 per share for Class A Common Stock in fiscal 2001, \$9.15 per share for Common Stock and \$8.95 per share for Class A Common Stock in fiscal 2000 and \$14.95 per share for Common Stock and \$11.78 per share for Class A Common Stock in fiscal 1999.

The fair value of each option grant is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions:

	2001		2000		Common Stock
	Common Stock	Class A Common Stock	Common Stock	Class A Common Stock	
Volatility.....	55.65%	55.47%	55.83%	55.12%	59.4
Risk free interest rate (weighted average)....	5.24%	5.22%	6.22%	6.16%	5.2
Dividend yield (weighted average).....	.0030%	.0034%	.0031%	.0033%	.001
Expected life (years).....	8	8	8	8	

50

### 13. RETIREMENT PLANS

The Company has a qualified defined contribution retirement plan (the Plan) under which eligible employees of the Company and its participating subsidiaries may contribute up to 10% of their annual compensation, as defined, and the Company will contribute specified percentages ranging from 25% to 50% of employee contributions up to 3% of annual pay in Company stock or cash, as determined by the Company. The Plan also provides that the Company may contribute additional amounts in its common stock or cash at the discretion of the Board of Directors. Employee contributions can not be invested in Company stock.

In 1992, the Company sold 987,699 shares of the Company's Common Stock and 804,975 shares of Class A Common Stock to the Plan for an aggregate price of \$4,122,000 entirely financed through a promissory note with the Company. The promissory note is payable in nine equal annual installments, inclusive of principal and interest at the rate of 8% per annum, of \$655,000 each and a final

## Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405

installment of \$640,000 in September 2002 and is prepayable in full or in part without penalty at any time. As the Plan accrues each payment of principal, an appropriate percentage of stock is allocated to eligible employees' accounts in accordance with applicable regulations under the Internal Revenue Code. The unallocated shares of stock collateralize the 1992 promissory note. The per share cost to the Plan for the 1992 stock sale (\$2.30 per share) was determined based on the average closing market price of the Company's stock on the twenty business days prior to the effective date of the sale. In accordance with the provisions of the Plan, the Company is obligated to make cash contributions in amounts sufficient to meet the debt service requirements on the promissory note. Principal amounts repaid on the promissory note are determined based on the value of the shares released during the preceding twelve months but cannot be less than the minimum annual installments required. Dividends on allocated shares are issued to participants' accounts. Dividends on unallocated shares are held in the Plan and may be used to make note payments.

Participants receive 100% vesting in employee contributions. Vesting in Company contributions is based on number of years of service. Contributions to the Plan charged to income for fiscal 2001, 2000 and 1999 totaled \$493,000, \$907,000, and \$503,000, respectively, net of interest income earned on the note received from the Plan of \$52,000 in fiscal 2001, \$168,000 in fiscal 2000 and \$202,000 in fiscal 1999.

In 1991, the Company established a Directors Retirement Plan covering its then current directors. The net assets of this plan as of October 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999 are not material to the financial position of the Company. During fiscal 2001, 2000 and 1999, \$21,000, \$62,000, and \$67,000, respectively, was expensed for this plan.

51

### 14. QUARTERLY FINANCIAL INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter
	-----	-----	-----
Net sales:			
2001.....	\$39,650,000	\$41,742,000	\$43,845,000
2000.....	47,940,000	53,548,000	53,912,000
1999.....	28,211,000	32,731,000	35,593,000
Gross profit:			
2001.....	17,032,000	18,376,000	18,043,000
2000.....	17,858,000	19,679,000	19,679,000
1999.....	11,683,000	13,429,000	14,479,000
Income from continuing operations:			
2001.....	3,908,000	4,814,000	3,964,000
2000.....	4,015,000	4,789,000	4,721,000
1999.....	3,203,000	4,090,000	4,351,000
Net income:			
2001.....	3,908,000	4,814,000	3,964,000
2000.....	4,015,000	4,789,000	4,721,000
1999.....	3,203,000	4,090,000	4,351,000
Income per share from continuing operations:			
Basic			
2001.....	.20	.25	.
2000.....	.21	.25	.
1999.....	.21	.22	.
Diluted			

Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405

2001.....	.18	.22
2000.....	.18	.22
1999.....	.17	.19
Net income per share:		
Basic		
2001.....	.20	.25
2000.....	.21	.25
1999.....	.21	.22
Diluted		
2001.....	.18	.22
2000.....	.18	.22
1999.....	.17	.19

Income from continuing operations in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2000 includes the gain on sale of product line and write-off of certain receivables referenced in Notes 3 and 16, respectively. The impact of the gain and the write-off was an increase of \$10,542,000 (\$.48 per diluted share) and a decrease of \$651,000 (\$.03 per diluted share), respectively, to income from continuing operations in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2000. Net income in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2000 also includes the adjustment to gain on sale of discontinued operations referenced in Note 4, which reduced net income by \$1,422,000 (\$.07 per diluted share).

During the first and second quarter of 2001, the Company made certain changes in estimates due to estimated costs to complete long-term contracts accounted for under the percentage of completion method being lower than originally projected. The change in estimates increased net income and diluted net income per share by \$200,000 (\$.01 per diluted share) and \$400,000 (\$.02 per diluted share) in the first and second quarter of 2001, respectively.

52

Changes in estimates did not have a significant impact on net income and diluted net income per share in the third and fourth quarters of fiscal 2001.

Due to changes in the average number of common shares outstanding, net income per share for the full fiscal year does not equal the sum of the four individual quarters.

#### 15. OPERATING SEGMENTS

The Company has two operating segments: the Flight Support Group (FSG) consisting of HEICO Aerospace and its subsidiaries and the Electronic Technologies Group (ETG), consisting of HEICO Electronic and its subsidiaries. See Note 1 for the list of operating subsidiaries aggregated in each reportable operating segment. The FSG designs and manufactures FAA-approved replacement parts, provides FAA-authorized repair and overhaul services and provides subcontracting services to OEMs in the aviation industry and the U.S. Government. The ETG designs and manufactures commercial and military power supplies, circuit board shielding, laser and electro-optical products and infrared simulation and test equipment and repairs and overhauls aircraft electronic equipment primarily for the aerospace, defense and electronics industries.

The Company's reportable business divisions offer distinctive products and services that are marketed through different channels. They are managed separately because of their unique technology and service requirements.

Segment profit or loss



## Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405

The accounting policies for segments are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies (Note 1). Management evaluates segment performance based on segment operating income.

	Segments		Other, Primarily Corporate -----
	FSG ---	ETG ---	
For the year ended October 31, 2001: -----			
Net sales	\$131,621,000	\$ 39,638,000	\$ --
Depreciation and amortization	7,587,000	2,702,000	299,000
Operating income	27,404,000	7,885,000	(4,298,000)
Total assets	209,367,000	105,451,000	10,822,000
Capital expenditures	4,897,000	1,300,000	730,000
For the year ended October 31, 2000: -----			
Net sales	\$119,304,000	\$ 83,605,000	\$ --
Depreciation and amortization	6,808,000	2,762,000	205,000
Operating income	29,621,000	12,464,000	(4,162,000)
Total assets	197,442,000	54,997,000	29,293,000
Capital expenditures	7,301,000	1,360,000	4,000
For the year ended October 31, 1999: -----			
Net sales	\$ 94,617,000	\$ 46,652,000	\$ --
Depreciation and amortization	4,727,000	1,364,000	198,000
Operating income	31,338,000	5,937,000	(4,460,000)
Total assets	173,635,000	89,486,000	10,042,000
Capital expenditures	13,359,000	835,000	23,000

53

### Major customer and geographic information

No one customer accounted for 10 percent or more of the Company's consolidated net sales during the last three fiscal years. The Company had no material sales originating or long-lived assets held outside of the United States during the last three fiscal years.

Export sales were \$46,014,000 in fiscal 2001, \$56,626,000 in fiscal 2000 and \$42,167,000 in fiscal 1999.

### 16. OTHER CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS, STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS INFORMATION

Accounts receivable are composed of the following:

	Balance at October 31,	
	2001	2000
Accounts receivable.....	\$32,415,000	\$30,110,000
Less allowance for doubtful accounts.....	(909,000)	(557,000)

Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405

Accounts receivable, net.....	----- \$31,506,000 =====	----- \$29,553,000 =====
-------------------------------	--------------------------------	--------------------------------

In fiscal 2001 and 2000, the Company wrote off receivables aggregating \$577,000 and \$1,312,000 as a result of bankruptcy filings by certain customers. The charge is included in the operating income section of the consolidated results of operations. The charge reduced fiscal 2001 and 2000 net income by \$291,000 (\$.01 per diluted share) and \$651,000 (\$.03 per diluted share), respectively.

Costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted percentage of completion contracts are as follows:

	October 31, 2001	October 31, 2000
	-----	-----
Costs incurred on uncompleted contracts.....	\$ 7,709,000	\$ 13,933,000
Estimated earnings.....	6,224,000	1,312,000
	-----	-----
	13,933,000	1,312,000
Less billings to date.....	(14,770,000)	(1,312,000)
	-----	-----
	\$ (837,000)	\$ (837,000)
	=====	=====
Included in accompanying balance sheets under the following captions:		
Accounts receivable, net (costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings).....	\$ 234,000	\$ 234,000
Accrued expenses, net of other current liabilities (billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings).....	(1,071,000)	(1,071,000)
	-----	-----
	\$ (837,000)	\$ (837,000)
	=====	=====

During fiscal 2001, the Company made certain changes in estimates due to estimated costs to complete long-term contracts accounted for under the percentage of completion method being lower than originally projected. The change in estimates increased net income and diluted net income per share by \$700,000 (\$.03 per diluted share). Changes in estimates did not have a significant impact on net income and diluted net income per share in fiscal 2000 or fiscal 1999.

Inventories are composed of the following:

	Balance at October 31	
	2001	2000
	-----	-----
Finished products.....	\$ 27,791,000	\$ 17,360,000
Work in process.....	7,883,000	6,070,000
Materials, parts, assemblies and supplies.....	16,343,000	10,920,000
	-----	-----

Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405

Total inventories.....	\$ 52,017,000	\$ 34,36
	=====	=====

Inventories related to long-term contracts were not significant as of October 31, 2001 and 2000.

Property, plant and equipment are composed of the following:

	Balance at October 31	
	2001	2000
	-----	-----
Land.....	\$ 2,627,000	\$ 2,25
Buildings and improvements.....	18,380,000	16,54
Machinery and equipment.....	37,398,000	26,92
Construction in progress.....	3,566,000	95
	-----	-----
	61,971,000	46,69
Less accumulated depreciation.....	(22,673,000)	(19,78
	-----	-----
Property, plant and equipment, net.....	\$ 39,298,000	\$ 26,90
	=====	=====

Depreciation and amortization expense on property, plant, and equipment amounted to approximately \$3,090,000, \$3,011,000 and \$2,430,000 for the years ended October 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively.

Included in the Company's property, plant and equipment is rotatable equipment located at various customer locations in connection with certain repair and maintenance agreements. The rotatables are stated at a net book value of \$5,508,000. Under the terms of the agreements, the customers may cancel the agreements and purchase the equipment at specified prices. The equipment is currently being depreciated over its estimated life.

Intangible assets are composed of the following:

	Balance at October 31	
	2001	2000
	-----	-----
Excess of cost over the fair value of net assets acquired.....	\$ 199,661,000	\$ 161,97
Deferred charges.....	2,841,000	2,74
	-----	-----
	202,502,000	164,72
Less accumulated amortization.....	(19,454,000)	(11,95
	-----	-----
Intangible assets, net.....	\$ 183,048,000	\$ 152,77
	=====	=====

Amortization expense related to excess of costs over the fair value of net assets acquired and deferred charges amounted to approximately \$7,498,000, \$6,764,000 and \$3,859,000 for the years ended October 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively.

Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405

Accrued expenses and other current liabilities are composed of the following:

	Balance at Oct	
	-----	-----
	2001	
	-----	-----
Accrued employee compensation.....	\$ 4,869,000	\$
Accrued customer rebates and credits.....	3,418,000	
Accrued expenses related to sale of product line.....	1,890,000	
Deferred purchase price adjustments related to acquisitions.....	29,000	
Billings in excess of revenue.....	1,071,000	
Other.....	5,166,000	
	-----	-----
Total accrued expenses and other current liabilities.....	\$16,443,000	\$1
	=====	==

Other non-current liabilities include deferred compensation of \$3,983,000 and \$4,117,000 as of October 31, 2001 and 2000, respectively.

Research and development expenses

Fiscal 2001, 2000 and 1999 cost of sales amounts include approximately \$5,800,000, \$2,300,000 and \$1,200,000, respectively, of new product research and development expenses of HEICO Aerospace. The expenses for fiscal 2001, 2000 and 1999 are net of \$700,000, \$5,200,000 and \$6,700,000, respectively, received from Lufthansa and spent by the Company for all three years pursuant to a research and development cooperation agreement entered into October 1997 (Note 2). As of October 31, 2001, all reimbursements for research and development expenses have been paid by Lufthansa. The new product research and development expenses for fiscal 2001 are also net of \$575,000 received from American Airlines under their joint venture agreement with HEICO Aerospace (Note 2).

Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information are as follows:

Cash paid for interest was \$2,379,000, \$5,575,000 and \$2,052,000 in fiscal 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively. Cash paid for income taxes was \$18,563,000, \$10,248,000 and \$10,312,000 in fiscal 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively.

Non-cash investing and financing activities related to the acquisitions including contingent note payments during fiscal 2001, 2000 and 1999 were as follows:

	2001	2000
	-----	-----
Fair value of assets acquired:		
Liabilities assumed.....	\$ 468,000	\$ 31,000
	-----	-----
Less:		
Intangible assets.....	37,579,000	19,974,000
Inventories.....	10,882,000	1,698,000
Accounts receivable.....	3,147,000	1,567,000

## Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405

Property, plant and equipment.....	8,479,000	83,000
Other assets.....	1,588,000	1,508,000
	-----	-----
Cash paid, including contingent note payments.....	\$ (61,207,000)	\$ (24,799,000)
	=====	=====

56

As part of the consideration in connection with the sale of the Trilectron product line in fiscal 2000, the Company received an unsecured promissory note for \$12.0 million that was paid in full in fiscal 2001 (Note 3). In connection with the purchase of IAS (Note 2), the Company issued 289,964 HEICO Class A Common shares then valued at \$5 million and issued a \$5 million note receivable guaranteed by the issued shares. Additionally, retained earnings was charged \$31,709,000 and \$17,158,000 as a result of the 10% stock dividends described in Note 9 above in fiscal 2001 and 2000, respectively. There were no significant capital lease financing activities during fiscal 2001, 2000 and 1999.

### 17. CONTINGENCIES

Pending litigation

In October 2001, the Company settled a lawsuit filed by Travelers Casualty & Surety Co., f/k/a the Aetna Casualty and Surety Co. (Travelers) in May 1998. The Travelers complaint sought reimbursement of legal fees and costs totaling in excess of \$15 million paid by Travelers in defending the Company in litigation with United Technologies Corporation, which was settled in March 2000. In addition, Travelers sought a declaratory judgment that the Company did not have insurance coverage under certain insurance policies with Travelers and, accordingly, that Travelers did not have a duty to defend or indemnify the Company under such policies. The settlement with Travelers did not result in any gain or loss to the Company and all claims were dismissed.

The Company is involved in various legal actions arising in the normal course of business. Based upon the amounts sought by the plaintiffs in these actions, management is of the opinion that the outcome of these matters will not have a significant effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

### Item 9. Changes In and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

Not applicable.

57

## PART III

### Item 10. Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant

Information concerning the Directors of the Company is incorporated by reference to the Company's definitive proxy statement which will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (Commission) within 120 days after the close of fiscal 2001.

Information concerning the executive officers of the Company is set forth at Part I hereof under the caption "Executive Officers of the Registrant."

### Item 11. Executive Compensation

## Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405

Information concerning executive compensation is hereby incorporated by reference to the Company's definitive proxy statement which will be filed with the Commission within 120 days after the close of fiscal 2001.

### Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management

Information concerning security ownership of certain beneficial owners and management is hereby incorporated by reference to the Company's definitive proxy statement which will be filed with the Commission within 120 days after the close of fiscal 2001.

### Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

Information concerning certain relationships and related transactions is hereby incorporated by reference to the Company's definitive proxy statement which will be filed with the Commission within 120 days after the close of fiscal 2001.

## PART IV

### Item 14. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules and Reports on Form 8-K

#### (a) (1) Financial Statements:

The following consolidated financial statements of the Company and subsidiaries are included in Part II, Item 8:

	Page ----
Independent Auditors' Report.....	31
Consolidated Balance Sheets at October 31, 2001 and 2000.....	32-33
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended October 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999.....	34
Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income for the years ended October 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999.....	35
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended October 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999.....	36
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.....	37-57

#### (a) (2) Financial Statement Schedules:

No schedules have been submitted because they are not applicable or the required information is included in the financial statements or notes thereto.

58

#### (a) (3) Exhibits

Exhibit Number -----	Description -----
2.1	-- Amended and Restated Agreement of Merger and Plan of Reorganization, dated as of March 22, 1993, by and among HEICO Corporation, HEICO Industries, Corp. and New HEICO, Inc. is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-4 (Registration No. 33-57624) Amendment No. 1 filed on March 19, 1993.*

## Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405

- 2.2 -- Stock Purchase Agreement, dated as of July 12, 1999, among HEICO Corporation, Thermal Structures, Inc., Quality Honeycomb, Inc., David A. Janes, Vaughn Barnes, Stephen T. Braunheim, DLD Investments, LLC, and Acme Freight, LLC (without schedules and exhibits) is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Form 8-K dated July 30, 1999.\*
- 2.3 -- Stock Purchase Agreement, dated August 1, 2000, by and between HEICO Aviation Products Corp., N/K/A HEICO Electronic Technologies Corp. and Hobart Brothers Company (without schedules and exhibits) is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Form 8-K dated September 14, 2000.\*
- 2.4 -- First Amendment to Stock Purchase Agreement, effective as of September 14, 2000, between HEICO Aviation Products Corp. N/K/A HEICO Electronic Technologies Corp. and Hobart Brothers Company is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.2 to Form 8-K dated September 14, 2000.\*
- 3.1 -- Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant are incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-4 (Registration No. 33-57624) Amendment No. 1 filed on March 19, 1993.\*
- 3.2 -- Articles of Amendment of the Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant, dated April 27, 1993, are incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form 8-B dated April 29, 1993.\*
- 3.3 -- Articles of Amendment of the Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant, dated November 3, 1993, are incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 to the Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 1993.\*
- 3.4 -- Articles of Amendment of the Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant, dated March 19, 1998, are incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.4 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-3 (Registration No. 333-48439) filed on March 23, 1998.\*
- 3.5 -- Bylaws of the Registrant are incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.4 to the Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 1996.\*
- 4.0 -- The description and terms of Preferred Stock Purchase Rights are set forth in a Rights Agreement between the Company and SunBank, N.A., as Rights Agent, dated as of November 2, 1993, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1 to the Form 8-K dated November 2, 1993.\*
- 10.1 -- Loan Agreement, dated March 1, 1988, between HEICO Corporation and Broward County, Florida is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 1994\*
- 10.2 -- SunBank Reimbursement Agreement, dated February 28, 1994, between HEICO Aerospace Corporation and SunBank/South Florida, N.A. is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 1994.\*

## Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405

- 10.3 -- Amendment, dated March 1, 1995, to the SunBank Reimbursement Agreement dated February 28, 1994 between HEICO Aerospace Corporation and SunBank/South Florida, N.A. is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Form 10-K from the year ended October 31, 1995.\*
- 10.4 -- Amendment and Extension, dated February 28, 1999 to Loan Agreement dated February 28, 1994, between SunTrust Bank, South Florida, N.A. and HEICO Aerospace Corporation is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 1999.\*
- 10.5 -- Amendment, dated July 20, 2000, to the SunBank Reimbursement Agreement dated February 28, 1994, between HEICO Aerospace Corporation and SunTrust Bank is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 2000.\*
- 10.6 -- HEICO Savings and Investment Plan and Trust, as amended and restated effective January 2, 1987 is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 1987.\*
- 10.7 -- HEICO Savings and Investment Plan, as amended and restated December 19, 1994, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 to the Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 1994.\*
- 10.8 -- HEICO Corporation 1993 Stock Option Plan, as amended, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.7 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (Registration No. 333-81789) filed on June 29, 1999.\*
- 10.9 -- HEICO Corporation Combined Stock Option Plan, dated March 15, 1988, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 1989.\*
- 10.10 -- Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement for Directors, Officers and Employees is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to the Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 1985.\*
- 10.11 -- HEICO Corporation Directors' Retirement Plan, as amended, dated as of May 31, 1991, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 to the Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 1992.\*
- 10.12 -- Key Employee Termination Agreement, dated as of April 5, 1988, between HEICO Corporation and Thomas S. Irwin is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 to the Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 1992.\*
- 10.13 -- Loan Agreement, dated as of March 1, 1997, between Trilectron Industries, Inc. and Manatee County, Florida is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Form 10-Q for the three months ended April 30, 1997.\*
- 10.14 -- Letter of Credit and Reimbursement Agreement, dated as of March 1, 1997, between Trilectron Industries, Inc., and First Union National Bank of Florida (excluding referenced exhibits) is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Form 10-Q for



## Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405

the three months ended April 30, 1997.\*

- 10.15 -- Stock Purchase Agreement, dated October 30, 1997, by and among HEICO Corporation, HEICO Aerospace Holdings Corp. and Lufthansa Technik AG is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.31 to Form 10-K/A for the year ended October 31, 1997.\*
- 10.16 -- Shareholders Agreement, dated October 30, 1997, by and between HEICO Aerospace Holdings Corp., HEICO Aerospace Corporation and all of the shareholders of HEICO Aerospace Holdings Corp. and Lufthansa Technik AG is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.32 to Form 10-K/A for the year ended October 31, 1997.\*
- 10.17 -- Credit Agreement among HEICO Corporation and SunTrust Bank, South Florida, N.A., as Agent, dated as of July 30, 1998, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Form 8-K dated August 4, 1998.\*
- 10.18 -- First Amendment, dated July 30, 1998 to Credit Agreement among HEICO Corporation and SunTrust Bank, South Florida, N.A., as agent, dated as of July 31, 1998 is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.31 to the Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 1999.\*
- 10.19 -- Second Amendment, dated May 12, 1999, to Credit Agreement among HEICO Corporation and SunTrust Bank, South Florida, N.A., as agent, dated as of July 31, 1998 is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.32 to the Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 1999.\*
- 10.20 -- Third Amendment, dated as of June 23, 2000, to Credit Agreement among HEICO Corporation and SunTrust Bank (formerly known as SunTrust Bank, South Florida, N.A.) as Agent dated as of July 31, 1998, is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended July 31, 2000.\*
- 10.21 -- Asset Purchase Agreement, dated as of December 4, 1998, among RDI Acquisition Corp., HEICO Aerospace Holdings Corp., HEICO Corporation, Rogers-Dierks, Inc., William Rogers and John Dierks (without schedules and exhibits) is incorporated by Reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Form 8-K dated December 22, 1998.\*
- 21 -- Subsidiaries of the Company is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 21 to the Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 2001.\*\*
- 23 -- Consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP.\*\*

---

\* Previously filed.

\*\* Filed herewith.

(b) Reports on Form 8-K

There were no reports filed on Form 8-K by the Company during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2001.

(c) Exhibits

Edgar Filing: HEICO CORP - Form 10-K405

See Item 14(a)(3).

(d) Separate Financial Statements Required

Not applicable.

62

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this amendment to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

HEICO CORPORATION

By: /s/ THOMAS S. IRWIN

-----  
Thomas S. Irwin  
Executive Vice President  
and Chief Financial Officer  
(Principal Financial and  
Accounting Officer)

Date: January 29, 2002

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

/s/ LAURANS A. MENDELSON ----- Laurans A. Mendelson	Chairman, President, Chief Executive Officer and Director (Principal Executive Officer)
/s/ SAMUEL L. HIGGINBOTTOM ----- Samuel L. Higginbottom	Director
----- Wolfgang Mayrhuber	Director
/s/ ERIC A. MENDELSON ----- Eric A. Mendelson	Director
/s/ VICTOR H. MENDELSON ----- Victor H. Mendelson	Director
/s/ ALBERT MORRISON, JR ----- Albert Morrison, Jr.	Director
/s/ ALAN SCHRIESHEIM ----- Alan Schriesheim	Director

Exhibit Index

Exhibit # -----	Description -----
21	Subsidiaries of the Company
23	Consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP