ORIENTAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC Form 10-Q August 03, 2012

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

x QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2012

or

"TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from ______ to _____

Commission File Number 001-12647

Oriental Financial Group Inc.

Incorporated in the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, IRS Employer Identification No. 66-0538893

Principal Executive Offices:

997 San Roberto Street

Oriental Center 10th Floor

Professional Offices Park

San Juan, Puerto Rico 00926

Telephone Number: (787) 771-6800

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large Accelerated Filer " Accelerated Filer x Non-Accelerated Filer " Smaller Reporting Company" (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes

"No x

Number of shares outstanding of the registrant's common stock, as of the latest practicable date:

40,738,762 common shares (\$1.00 par value per share) outstanding as of July 31, 2012

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION:	
Item 1 Financial Statements	
Unaudited consolidated statements of financial condition at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011	1
Unaudited consolidated statements of operations for the quarters and six-month periods ended	
June 30,	
2012 and 2011	2
Unaudited consolidated statements of comprehensive income for the quarters and six-month	2
periods	
perious	
ended June 30, 2012 and 2011	3
Unaudited consolidated statements of changes in stockholders' equity for the six-month periods	
ended	
June 30, 2012 and 2011	4
Unaudited consolidated statements of cash flows for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2012	
and	
2011	5
Notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements	7
Item 2 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	70
Item 3 Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	106
Item 4 Controls and Procedures	110
PART II OTHER INFORMATION:	
Item 1 Legal Proceedings	111
Item 1A Risk Factors	111
Item 2 Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	112
Item 3 Defaults Upon Senior Securities	112
Item 5 Other Information	112
Item 6 Exhibits	113
Signatures	114
Certifications	

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

The information included in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q contains certain forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These forward-looking statements may relate to the financial condition, results of operations, plans, objectives, future performance and business of Oriental Financial Group Inc. (the "Group"), including, but not limited to, statements with respect to the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses, delinquency trends, market risk and the impact of interest rate changes, capital markets conditions, capital adequacy and liquidity, and the effect of legal proceedings and new accounting standards on the Group's financial condition and results of operations. All statements contained herein that are not clearly historical in nature are forward-looking, and the words "anticipate," "believe," "continues," "expect," "estimate," "intend," "project" and similar exprand future or conditional verbs such as "will," "would," "should," "could," "might," "can," "may," or similar expressions are generally intended to identify forward-looking statements.

These statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve certain risks, uncertainties, estimates and assumptions by management that are difficult to predict. Various factors, some of which by their nature are beyond the Group's control, could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed in, or implied by, such forward-looking statements. Factors that might cause such a difference include, but are not limited to:

- the rate of growth in the economy and employment levels, as well as general business and economic conditions;
- changes in interest rates, as well as the magnitude of such changes;
- the fiscal and monetary policies of the federal government and its agencies;
- a credit default by the U.S. or Puerto Rico governments or a downgrade in the credit ratings of the U.S. or Puerto Rico governments;
- changes in federal bank regulatory and supervisory policies, including required levels of capital;
- the impact of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the "Dodd-Frank Act") on the Group's businesses, business practices and cost of operations;
- the relative strength or weakness of the consumer and commercial credit sectors and of the real estate market in Puerto Rico;
- the performance of the stock and bond markets;
- competition in the financial services industry;
- additional Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") assessments;
- possible legislative, tax or regulatory changes;

- the receipt and timing of regulatory approvals required to consummate the acquisition of the Puerto Rico operations of Banco Bilbao Vizcaya Argentaria, S.A. ("BBVA"); and
- difficulties in integrating BBVA's Puerto Rico operations into the Group's operations.

Other possible events or factors that could cause results or performance to differ materially from those expressed in these forward-looking statements include the following: negative economic conditions that adversely affect the general economy, housing prices, the job market, consumer confidence and spending habits which may affect, among other things, the level of non-performing assets, charge-offs and provision expense; changes in interest rates and market liquidity which may reduce interest margins, impact funding sources and affect the ability to originate and distribute financial products in the primary and secondary markets; adverse movements and volatility in debt and equity capital markets; changes in market rates and prices which may adversely impact the value of financial assets and liabilities; liabilities resulting from litigation and regulatory investigations; changes in accounting standards, rules and interpretations; increased competition; the Group's ability to grow its core businesses; decisions to downsize, sell or close units or otherwise change the Group's business mix; and management's ability to identify and manage these and other risks.

All forward-looking statements included in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q are based upon information available to the Group as of the date of this report, and other than as required by law, including the requirements of applicable securities laws, the Group assumes no obligation to update or revise any such forward-looking statements to reflect occurrences or unanticipated events or circumstances after the date of such statements.

UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION

JUNE 30, 2012 AND DECEMBER 31, 2011

	June 30, 2012		De	cember 31, 2011
	(In thousands, except share data)			
ASSETS				
Cash and cash equivalents				
Cash and due from banks	\$	459,917	\$	587,624
Money market investments		4,662		3,863
Total cash and cash equivalents		464,579		591,487
Securities purchased under agreements to resell		225,000		-
Investments:				
Trading securities, at fair value, with amortized				
cost of \$211 (December 31, 2011 - \$176)		214		180
Investment securities available-for-sale, at fair				
value, with amortized cost of \$2,536,772 (December				
31, 2011 - \$2,873,682)		2,612,839		2,959,912
Investment securities held-to-maturity, at				
amortized cost, with fair value of \$925,266				
(December 31, 2011 - \$904,556)		895,500		884,026
Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) stock, at cost		22,868		23,779
Other investments		69		73
Total investments		3,531,490		3,867,970
Loans:				
Mortgage loans held-for-sale, at lower of cost or				
fair value		34,718		26,939
Loans not covered under shared-loss agreements				
with the FDIC, net of allowance for loan and lease				
losses of				
\$37,402 (December 31, 2011 - \$37,010)		1,137,918		1,142,978
Loans covered under shared-loss agreements with				
the FDIC, net of allowance for loan and lease losses				
of				
\$58,628 (December 31, 2011 - \$37,256)		447,720		496,276
Total loans, net		1,620,356		1,666,193
FDIC shared-loss indemnification asset		359,767		392,367
Foreclosed real estate covered under shared-loss				
agreements with the FDIC		13,910		13,867
Foreclosed real estate not covered under shared-loss				
agreements with the FDIC		17,721		13,812
Accrued interest receivable		17,258		20,182
Deferred tax asset, net		35,887		32,023
Premises and equipment, net		20,090		21,520
Servicing assets		10,776		10,454
Derivative assets		11,367		9,317

Other assets	47,447	54,483
Total assets	\$ 6,375,648	\$ 6,693,675
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS'		
EQUITY		
Deposits:		
Demand deposits	\$ 1,061,849	\$ 1,043,031
Savings accounts	230,920	230,672
Certificates of deposit	930,173	1,161,482
Total deposits	2,222,942	2,435,185
Borrowings:		
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	3,053,865	3,056,238
Advances from FHLB	286,653	281,753
FDIC-guaranteed term notes	-	105,834
Subordinated capital notes	36,083	36,083
Total borrowings	3,376,601	3,479,908
Derivative liabilities	56,217	47,425
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	27,686	35,602
Total liabilities	5,683,446	5,998,120
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$1 par value; 10,000,000 shares		
authorized; 1,340,000 shares of Series A and		
1,380,000 shares of Series B issued and		
outstanding, \$25 liquidation value	68,000	68,000
Common stock, \$1 par value; 100,000,000 shares		
authorized; 47,841,611 shares issued;		
40,730,831 shares outstanding (December 31,		
2011 - 47,808,657; 41,244,533)	47,842	47,809
Additional paid-in capital	499,852	499,096
Legal surplus	52,668	50,178
Retained earnings	83,982	68,149
Treasury stock, at cost, 7,110,780 shares		
(December 31, 2011 - 6,564,124 shares)	(81,403)	(74,808)
Accumulated other comprehensive income, net of		
tax of -\$1,411 (December 31, 2011 - \$1,848)	21,261	37,131
Total stockholders' equity	692,202	695,555
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 6,375,648	\$ 6,693,675

See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements

UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

FOR THE QUARTERS AND SIX-MONTH PERIODS ENDED JUNE 30, 2012 AND 2011

	Quarter Ended June 30, 2012 2011		Six-Month Period Ender 2012			ed June 30, 2011	
		(In tl	housands, ex	cept per	r share data)		
Interest income:							
Loans not covered under							
shared-loss agreements with the FDIC\$	17,223	\$	15,969	\$	35,345	\$	33,934
Loans covered under shared-loss							
agreements with the FDIC	20,342		13,060		41,884		27,285
Total interest income							
from loans	37,565		29,029		77,229		61,219
Mortgage-backed securities	22,011		51,021		50,337		94,759
Investment securities and other	1,212		2,152		3,142		4,258
Total interest income	60,788		82,202		130,708		160,236
Interest expense:							
Deposits	7,964		11,546		17,210		23,829
Securities sold under agreements							
to repurchase	16,586		23,512		34,156		47,671
Advances from FHLB and other							
borrowings	2,841		3,002		5,845		5,994
FDIC-guaranteed term notes	-		1,021		909		2,042
Subordinated capital notes	321		308		649		611
Total interest expense	27,712		39,389		58,769		80,147
Net interest income	33,076		42,813		71,939		80,089
Provision for non-covered loan and							
lease losses	3,800		3,800		6,800		7,600
Provision for covered loan and lease							
losses, net	1,467		-		8,624		549
Total provision for loan							
and lease losses	5,267		3,800		15,424		8,149
Net interest income after provision	ŕ		•		·		,
for loan and lease losses	27,809		39,013		56,515		71,940
Non-interest income:							
Wealth management revenues	5,903		4,575		11,791		9,257
Banking service revenues	3,407		3,234		6,693		6,958
Mortgage banking activities	2,436		2,435		4,938		4,258
Total banking and							
wealth management revenues	11,746		10,244		23,422		20,473
Net (amortization) accretion of							
FDIC shared-loss indemnification							
asset	(5,583)		1,020		(10,410)		2,231
Net gain (loss) on:							
Sale of securities	11,979		9,132		19,338		9,130
Derivatives	(107)		(3,704)		(108)		(7,660)
Foreclosed real estate	(886)		(3)		(1,284)		(135)

Edgar Filing: ORIENTAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Other	63		70		(777)		125
Total non-interest							
income, net	17,212		16,759		30,181		24,164
Non-interest expense:							
Compensation and employee							
benefits	11,184		11,230		21,550		22,918
Professional and service fees	5,144		5,750		10,442		11,197
Occupancy and equipment	4,270		4,214		8,455		8,619
Insurance	1,442		1,646		3,262		3,632
Electronic banking charges	1,609		1,155		3,166		2,610
Taxes, other than payroll and							
income taxes	(107)		858		1,067		2,237
Loan servicing and clearing							
expenses	955		1,076		1,923		2,097
Foreclosure, repossession and							
other real estate expenses	1,198		761		2,153		1,484
Advertising, business promotion,							
and strategic initiatives	1,564		1,508		2,412		2,700
Communication	415		425		827		822
Director and investor relations	342		339		651		625
Printing, postage, stationary and							
supplies	322		362		629		644
Other	668		1,372		1,555		1,890
Total non-interest			·		·		
expense	29,006		30,696		58,092		61,475
Income before income taxes	16,015		25,076		28,604		34,629
Income tax expense (benefit)	1,057		(1,391)		2,994		5,081
Net income	14,958		26,467		25,610		29,548
Less: Dividends on preferred	,		,		,		,
stock	(1,200)		(1,200)		(2,401)		(2,401)
Income available to common	, ,		, ,		, ,		() /
	\$ 13,758	\$	25,267	\$	23,209	\$	27,147
Income per common share:	,	·	,	•	,	·	,
	\$ 0.34	\$	0.56	\$	0.57	\$	0.60
	\$ 0.34	\$	0.56	\$	0.57	\$	0.59
Average common shares outstanding		•				•	
and equivalents	40,808		45,135		40,986		45,656
Cash dividends per share of	,		,		,		,
<u>=</u>	\$ 0.06	\$	0.05	\$	0.12	\$	0.10

See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements

UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME FOR THE QUARTERS AND SIX-MONTH PERIODS ENDED JUNE 30, 2012 AND 2011

	Quarter Ended June 30, 2012 2011			Six-Month Period Ended June 3 2012 2011			
_	(In tho	usands			(In thousands)		
Net income	\$ 14,958	\$	26,467	\$	25,610	\$	29,548
Other comprehensive income							
(loss) before tax:							
Unrealized gain on securities available-for-sale	7,059		35,365		9,000		21,627
Realized gain on investment							
securities included in net income	(11,979)		(9,132)		(19,338)		(9,130)
Unrealized loss on cash flow	(6,791)		(21,041)		(8,792)		(13,918)
hedges	(0,771)	,771) (21,041)		(0, 772)		(13,910	
Other comprehensive income	(11,711)		5,192		(19,130)		(1,421)
(loss) before taxes	(11,711)		3,172		(17,130)		(1,421)
Income tax effect	2,875		(637)		3,260		(692)
Other comprehensive income (loss) after taxes	(8,836)		4,555		(15,870)		(2,113)
Comprehensive income	\$ 6,122	\$	31,022	\$	9,740	\$	27,435

See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements

UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY FOR THE SIX-MONTH PERIODS ENDED JUNE 30, 2012 AND 2011

Six-Month Period Ended June 30, 2012 2011

	(In thousands)			
Preferred stock:				
Balance at beginning and end of period	\$	68,000	\$	68,000
Common stock:				
Balance at beginning of period		47,809		47,808
Exercised stock options		33		-
Balance at end of period		47,842		47,808
Additional paid-in capital:				
Balance at beginning of period		499,096		498,435
Stock-based compensation expense		787		682
Exercised stock options		361		-
Lapsed restricted stock units		(392)		(561)
Balance at end of period		499,852		498,556
Legal surplus:				
Balance at beginning of period		50,178		46,331
Transfer from retained earnings		2,490		3,083
Balance at end of period		52,668		49,414
Retained earnings:				
Balance at beginning of period		68,149		51,502
Net income		25,610		29,548
Cash dividends declared on common stock		(4,886)		(4,475)
Cash dividends declared on preferred stock		(2,401)		(2,401)
Transfer to legal surplus		(2,490)		(3,083)
Balance at end of period		83,982		71,091
Treasury stock:				
Balance at beginning of period		(74,808)		(16,732)
Stock purchased		(7,022)		(29,242)
Lapsed restricted stock units		392		561
Stock used to match defined contribution pl	lan	35		27
Balance at end of period		(81,403)		(45,386)
Accumulated other comprehensive income,	net of			
tax:				
Balance at beginning of period		37,131		36,987
Other comprehensive loss, net of tax		(15,870)		(2,113)
Balance at end of period		21,261		34,874
Total stockholders' equity	\$	692,202	\$	724,357

See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements

UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

FOR THE SIX-MONTH PERIODS ENDED JUNE 30, 2012 AND 2011

	Six-Month Po	Six-Month Period Ended June 30, 2012 2011			
		thousands)			
Cash flows from operating activities:					
Net income \$	25,610	\$ 29,548			
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash					
provided by (used in) operating activities:					
Amortization of deferred loan origination fees,	297	(27)			
net of costs	271	(27)			
Amortization of investment securities	25,558	6,186			
premiums, net of accretion of discounts					
Amortization of core deposit intangible	75	71			
Net amortization (accretion) of FDIC	10,410	(2,231)			
shared-loss indemnification asset	10,410	(2,231)			
Depreciation and amortization of premises and	2,373	2,748			
equipment	2,313	2,740			
Deferred income taxes, net	(420)	(2,753)			
Provision for covered and non-covered loan	15,424	8,149			
and lease losses, net		·			
Stock-based compensation	787	682			
(Gain) loss on:					
Sale of securities	(19,338)	(9,130)			
Sale of mortgage loans held for sale	(2,898)	(2,441)			
Derivatives	108	7,660			
Foreclosed real estate	1,284	135			
Sale of premises and equipment	(86)	38			
Originations and purchases of loans	(93,940)	(106,955)			
held-for-sale	(55,540)	(100,755)			
Proceeds from sale of loans held-for-sale	49,388	36,608			
Net (increase) decrease in:					
Trading securities	(34)	466			
Accrued interest receivable	2,924	2,286			
Servicing assets	(322)	(145)			
Other assets	6,872	773			
Net increase (decrease) in:					
Accrued interest on deposits and borrowings	(4,498)	(707)			
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	(13,167)	(22,062)			
Net cash provided by (used in)	6,407	(51,101)			
operating activities	U, 1 U/	(31,101)			
Cash flows from investing activities:					
Purchases of:					
Investment securities available-for-sale	(558,201)	(492,533)			

(119,025)

Investment securities held-to-maturity

(209,112)

FHLB stock	-	(1,283)
Equity options	-	(370)
Maturities and redemptions of:		
Investment securities available-for-sale	378,144	446,958
Investment securities held-to-maturity	102,251	33,412
FHLB stock	911	-
Proceeds from sales of:		
Investment securities available-for-sale	553,602	252,836
Foreclosed real estate	4,639	5,806
Other repossessed assets	1,941	2,842
Premises and equipment	368	11
Origination and purchase of loans, excluding	(112.074)	(97.675)
loans held-for-sale	(112,974)	(87,675)
Principal repayment of loans, including covered	128,340	133,000
loans	126,340	155,000
Reimbursements from the FDIC on shared-loss	39,729	39,870
agreements	39,129	39,070
Additions to premises and equipment	(1,225)	(2,474)
Net change in securities purchased under	(225,000)	
agreements to resell	(223,000)	-
Net cash provided by investing activities	193,500	121,288

See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements

UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS – (Continued)

FOR THE SIX-MONTH PERIODS ENDED JUNE 30, 2012 AND 2011

Six-Month Period Ended June 30	0,
2012	2011

	(In thousands)			
Cash flows from financing activities:				
Net increase (decrease) in:				
Deposits		(212,846)		(215,234)
Securities sold under agreements to		_		2,600
repurchase		_		2,000
FHLB advances		5,070		-
FDIC-guaranteed term notes		(105,000)		-
Exercise of stock options		394		-
Purchase of treasury stock		(7,022)		(29,242)
Termination of derivative instruments		(124)		10,648
Dividends paid on preferred stock		(2,401)		(2,401)
Dividends paid on common stock		(4,886)		(4,475)
Net cash used in financing activities		(326,815)		(238,104)
Net change in cash and cash equivalents		(126,908)		(167,917)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of		591,487		448,946
period		•		•
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$	464,579	\$	281,029
Supplemental Cash Flow Disclosure and				
Schedule of Non-cash Activities:				
Interest paid	\$	63,266	\$	80,854
Income taxes paid	\$	8,031	\$	3,848
Mortgage loans securitized into mortgage-backed securities	\$	37,730	\$	71,007
Transfer from loans to foreclosed real estate	\$	11,723	\$	10,464

See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements

ORIENTAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1 - BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accounting and reporting policies of Oriental Financial Group Inc. (the "Group" or "Oriental") conform with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") and to banking industry practices.

The unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"). All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. These unaudited statements are, in the opinion of management, a fair statement of the results for the periods reported and include all necessary adjustments, all of a normal recurring nature, for a fair statement of such results. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP have been condensed or omitted pursuant to SEC rules and regulations. Management believes that the disclosures made are adequate to make the information presented not misleading. The results of operations and cash flows for the periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto for the year ended December 31, 2011, included in the Group's 2011 annual report on Form 10-K.

Nature of Operations

The Group is a publicly-owned financial holding company incorporated under the laws of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico. It has four direct subsidiaries, Oriental Bank and Trust (the "Bank"), Oriental Financial Services Corp. ("Oriental Financial Services"), Oriental Insurance, Inc. ("Oriental Insurance") and Caribbean Pension Consultants, Inc., which is located in Boca Raton, Florida. The Group also has a special purpose entity, Oriental Financial (PR) Statutory Trust II (the "Statutory Trust II"). Through these subsidiaries and their respective divisions, the Group provides a wide range of banking and wealth management services such as mortgage, commercial and consumer lending, leasing, financial planning, insurance sales, money management and investment banking and brokerage services, as well as corporate and individual trust services.

The main offices of the Group and its subsidiaries are located in San Juan, Puerto Rico. The Group is subject to supervision and regulation by the Federal Reserve Board under the U.S. Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended, and the Dodd-Frank Act.

The Bank operates through 28 financial centers located throughout Puerto Rico and is subject to the supervision, examination and regulation of the Office of the Commissioner of Financial Institutions of Puerto Rico (the "OCFI") and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (the "FDIC"). The Bank offers banking services such as commercial and

consumer lending, leasing, savings and time deposit products, financial planning, and corporate and individual trust services, and capitalizes on its commercial banking network to provide mortgage lending products to its clients. Oriental International Bank Inc. ("OIB"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Bank, is an international banking entity pursuant to the International Banking Center Regulatory Act of Puerto Rico, as amended. OIB offers the Bank certain Puerto Rico tax advantages. OIB's activities are limited under Puerto Rico law to persons and assets/liabilities located outside of Puerto Rico.

Oriental Financial Services is a securities broker-dealer and is subject to the supervision, examination and regulation of the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (the "FINRA"), the SEC, and the OCFI. Oriental Insurance is an insurance agency and is subject to the supervision, examination and regulation of the Office of the Commissioner of Insurance of Puerto Rico.

The Group's mortgage banking activities are conducted through a division of the Bank. The mortgage banking activities include the origination of mortgage loans for the Bank's own portfolio, and the sale of loans directly in the secondary market or the securitization of conforming loans into mortgage-backed securities. The Bank originates Federal Housing Administration ("FHA") insured and Veterans Administration ("VA") guaranteed mortgages that are primarily securitized for issuance of Government National Mortgage Association ("GNMA") mortgage-backed securities which can be resold to individual or institutional investors in the secondary market. Conventional loans that meet the underwriting requirements for sale or exchange under certain Federal National Mortgage Association (the "FNMA") or Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (the "FHLMC") programs are referred to as conforming mortgage loans and are also securitized for issuance of FNMA or FHLMC mortgage-backed securities. The Bank is an approved seller of FNMA, as well as FHLMC, mortgage loans for issuance of FNMA and FHLMC mortgage-backed securities. The Bank is the master servicer of the GNMA, FNMA and FHLMC pools that it issues and of its mortgage loan portfolio, and has a subservicing arrangement with a third party.

ORIENTAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

Effective April 30, 2010, the Bank assumed all of the retail deposits and other liabilities and acquired certain assets and substantially all of the operations of Eurobank from the FDIC, as receiver for Eurobank, pursuant to the terms of a purchase and assumption agreement entered into by the Bank and the FDIC on April 30, 2010. This transaction is referred to as the "FDIC-assisted acquisition."

On June 28, 2012, the Group entered into a definitive Acquisition Agreement (the "Acquisition Agreement") with Banco Bilbao Vizcaya Argentaria, S.A. ("BBVA"), pursuant to which BBVA agreed to sell to the Group, and the Group agreed to purchase from BBVA, all of the outstanding common stock of each of BBVA PR Holding Corporation (the sole shareholder of Banco Bilbao Vizcaya Argentaria Puerto Rico, a Puerto Rico chartered commercial bank ("BBVA Puerto Rico"), and BBVA Seguros, Inc., a subsidiary offering insurance services) and BBVA Securities of Puerto Rico, Inc., a registered broker-dealer. This transaction is referred to as the "BBVA-PR Acquisition."

The Group will acquire all of the outstanding common stock of each of BBVA PR Holding Corporation and BBVA Securities of Puerto Rico, Inc. with total assets amounting to \$5.0 billion, including \$3.7 billion in gross loans, and \$3.4 billion in deposits for an aggregate purchase price of \$500 million. Immediately following the closing of the BBVA-PR Acquisition, the Group will merge BBVA Puerto Rico with and into the Bank, with the Bank continuing as the surviving entity. The unaudited consolidated financial statements do not contemplate the effect of the BBVA-PR Acquisition as the transaction has not been consummated at June 30, 2012.

Closing of the transaction is targeted for before year end 2012. Consummation of the BBVA-PR Acquisition is subject to certain customary conditions, including the receipt of required regulatory approvals without the imposition of a materially burdensome regulatory condition, as described in the Acquisition Agreement.

In connection with the BBVA-PR Acquisition, on July 3, 2012, the Group completed its sale to various institutional purchasers of \$84 million of its 8.750% Non-Cumulative Convertible Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series C (the "Convertible Preferred Stock"), with a conversion price of \$11.77, through a private placement, pursuant to a Subscription Agreement dated June 28, 2012, between the Group and each of the purchasers. In addition to the sale of Convertible Preferred Stock, prior to the closing, the Group agreed in the Acquisition Agreement to use its reasonable best efforts to raise at least an additional \$66 million through the sale of additional equity (the "Buyer Capital Raise"). BBVA agreed to use its reasonable best efforts to provide information requested by the Group, and otherwise to cooperate, in connection with the Buyer Capital Raise. The Group intends to use its own excess capital to fund the balance of the purchase price.

Under the Acquisition Agreement, we may be subject to a reverse break-up fee of \$25 million if the closing of the transaction does not occur before one year after execution of the Acquisition Agreement (or before fifteen months after execution of the Acquisition Agreement in certain circumstances), subject to certain conditions. Also, under

certain conditions, if BBVA proves that is sustained losses in connection with such non-consummation in excess of the reverse break-up fee amount, BBVA may recover from us up to an additional \$25 million in losses. There can be no assurance as to when or whether the acquisition will be consummated and what amounts we may be obligated to pay under the Acquisition Agreement

Significant Accounting Policies

The unaudited consolidated financial statements of the Group are prepared in accordance with GAAP as prescribed by the Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") and with the general practices within the banking industry. In preparing the unaudited consolidated financial statements, management is required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the unaudited consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The Group believes that, of its significant accounting policies, the following may involve a higher degree of judgment and complexity.

Investment Securities

Securities are classified as held-to-maturity, available-for-sale or trading. Securities for which the Group has the intent and ability to hold until maturity are classified as held-to-maturity and are carried at amortized cost. Securities that might be sold prior to maturity because of interest rate changes to meet liquidity needs or to better match the repricing characteristics of funding sources are classified as available-for-sale. These securities are reported at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses excluded from earnings and reported net of tax in other comprehensive income.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

The Group has classified certain agency-issued mortgage-backed securities as held-to-maturity. The Group has both the intent and ability to hold such securities until their maturities. Furthermore, the Group believes it will be able to recover substantially all of its recorded investment mainly because these are agency-issued mortgage-backed securities, and also because the securities cannot be contractually prepaid or otherwise settled before their stated maturity by the issuing agency, except for the principal prepayments that may occur throughout their terms based on the payment behavior of the collateral loans. Certain securities of the Group have been classified as held-to-maturity were classified as such in response to management's asset-liability management strategy. The Group believes that it can accomplish its asset-liability management goals and still maximize net interest income without having all its securities classified as available-for-sale. This designation is consistent with held-to-maturity classification requirements, specifically those stated in section 25-18 of ASC 320-10-25.

The Group classifies as trading those securities that are acquired and held principally for the purpose of selling them in the near future. These securities are carried at fair value with realized and unrealized changes in fair value included in earnings in the period in which the changes occur.

The Group's investment in the Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB") of New York stock, a restricted security, has no readily determinable fair value and can only be sold back to the FHLB at cost. Therefore, the carrying value represents its fair value.

Premiums and discounts are amortized to interest income over the life of the related securities using the interest method. Net realized gains or losses on sales of investment securities and unrealized loss valuation adjustments considered other than temporary, if any, on securities classified as either available-for-sale or held-to-maturity are reported separately in the statements of operations. The cost of securities sold is determined by the specific identification method.

Financial Instruments

Certain financial instruments, including derivatives, trading securities and investment securities available-for-sale, are recorded at fair value and unrealized gains and losses are recorded in other comprehensive income or as part of non-interest income, as appropriate. Fair values are based on listed market prices, if available. If listed market prices are not available, fair value is determined based on other relevant factors, including price quotations for similar instruments. The fair values of certain derivative contracts are derived from pricing models that consider current market and contractual prices for the underlying financial instruments as well as time value and yield curve or volatility factors underlying the positions.

The Group determines the fair value of its financial instruments based on the fair value measurement framework, which establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs of valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (level 3 measurements). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are described below:

Level 1 — Level 1 assets and liabilities include equity securities that are traded in an active exchange market, as well as certain U.S. Treasury and other U.S. government agency securities that are traded by dealers or brokers in active markets. Valuations are obtained from readily available pricing sources for market transactions involving identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 — Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities, quoted prices in markets that are not active, or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities. Level 2 assets and liabilities include (i) mortgage-backed securities for which the fair value is estimated based on valuations obtained from third-party pricing services for identical or comparable assets, (ii) debt securities with quoted prices that are traded less frequently than exchange-traded instruments and (iii) derivative contracts and financial liabilities whose value is determined using a pricing model with inputs that are observable in the market or can be derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3 — Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities. Level 3 assets and liabilities include financial instruments whose value is determined using pricing models for which the determination of fair value requires significant management judgment or estimation.

ORIENTAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

Impairment of Investment Securities

The Group conducts periodic reviews to identify and evaluate each investment in an unrealized loss position for other-than-temporary impairments. The Group separates the amount of total impairment into credit and noncredit-related amounts. The term "other-than-temporary impairment" is not intended to indicate that the decline is permanent, but indicates that the prospects for a near-term recovery of value is not favorable, or that there is a lack of evidence to support a realizable value equal to or greater than the carrying value of the investment. Any portion of a decline in value associated with a credit loss is recognized in income, while the remaining noncredit-related component is recognized in other comprehensive income. A credit loss is determined by assessing whether the amortized cost basis of the security will be recovered by comparing it to the present value of cash flows expected to be collected from the security discounted at the rate equal to the yield used to accrete current and prospective beneficial interest for the security. The shortfall of the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected in relation to the amortized cost basis is considered to be the "credit loss."

The Group's review for impairment generally entails, but is not limited to:

- the identification and evaluation of investments that have indications of possible other-than-temporary impairment;
- the analysis of individual investments that have fair values less than amortized cost, including consideration of the length of time the investment has been in an unrealized loss position, and the expected recovery period;
- the financial condition of the issuer or issuers;
- the creditworthiness of the obligor of the security;
- actual collateral attributes;
- any rating changes by a rating agency;
- current analysts' evaluations;
- the payment structure of the debt security and the likelihood of the issuer being able to make payments;
- current market conditions;
- adverse conditions specifically related to the security, industry, or a geographic area;
- the Group's intent to sell the debt security;

- whether it is more-likely-than-not that the Group will be required to sell the debt security before its anticipated recovery; and
- other qualitative factors that could support or not an other-than-temporary impairment.

Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

The Group's overall interest rate risk-management strategy incorporates the use of derivative instruments to minimize significant unplanned fluctuations in earnings that are caused by interest rate volatility. The Group's goal is to manage interest rate sensitivity by modifying the repricing or maturity characteristics of certain balance sheet assets and liabilities so that the net interest margin is not, on a material basis, adversely affected by movements in interest rates. As a result of interest rate fluctuations, hedged fixed-rate assets and liabilities will appreciate or depreciate in market value. Also, for some fixed-rate assets or liabilities, the effect of this variability in earnings is expected to be substantially offset by the Group's gains and losses on the derivative instruments that are linked to the forecasted cash flows of these hedged assets and liabilities. The Group considers its strategic use of derivatives to be a prudent method of managing interest-rate sensitivity as it reduces the exposure of earnings and the market value of its equity to undue risk posed by changes in interest rates. The effect of this unrealized appreciation or depreciation is expected to be substantially offset by the Group's gains or losses on the derivative instruments that are linked to these hedged assets and liabilities. Another result of interest rate fluctuations is that the contractual interest income and interest expense of hedged variable-rate assets and liabilities, respectively, will increase or decrease.

Derivative instruments that are used as part of the Group's interest rate risk-management strategy include interest rate swaps, forward-settlement swaps, futures contracts, and option contracts that have indices related to the pricing of specific balance sheet assets and liabilities. Interest rate swaps generally involve the exchange of fixed and variable-rate interest payments between two parties, based on a common notional principal amount and maturity date. Interest rate futures generally involve exchange-traded contracts to buy or sell U.S. Treasury bonds and notes in the future at specified prices. Interest rate options represent contracts that allow the holder of the option to (i) receive cash or (ii) purchase, sell, or enter into a financial instrument at a specified price within a specified period. Some purchased option contracts give the Group the right to enter into interest rate swaps and cap and floor agreements with the writer of the option. In addition, the Group enters into certain transactions that contain embedded derivatives. When the embedded derivative possesses economic characteristics that are not clearly and closely related to the economic characteristics of the host contract, it is bifurcated and carried at fair value.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

The Group also has offered its customers certificates of deposit with an option tied to the performance of the Standard & Poor's 500 stock market index. The Group purchases options from major financial entities to manage its exposure to changes in this index. Under the terms of the option agreements, the Group receives a certain percentage of the increase, if any, in the initial month-end value of the index over the average of the monthly index observations in a five-year period in exchange for a fixed premium. The changes in fair value of the option agreements used to manage the exposure in the stock market in the certificates of deposit are recorded in earnings. The embedded option in the certificates of deposit is bifurcated, and the changes in the value of that option are also recorded in earnings.

When using derivative instruments, the Group exposes itself to credit and market risk. If a counterparty fails to fulfill its performance obligations under a derivative contract due to insolvency or any other event of default, the Group's credit risk will equal the fair value gain in a derivative plus any cash or securities that may have been delivered to the counterparty as part of the transaction terms. Generally, when the fair value of a derivative contract is positive, this indicates that the counterparty owes the Group, thus creating a repayment risk for the Group. This risk is generally mitigated by requesting cash or securities from the counterparty to cover the positive fair value. When the fair value of a derivative contract is negative, the Group owes the counterparty and, therefore, assumes no credit risk other than to the extent that the cash or value of the collateral delivered as part of the transactions exceeds the fair value of the derivative. The Group minimizes the credit (or repayment) risk in derivative instruments by entering into transactions with high-quality counterparties.

The Group uses forward-settlement swaps to hedge the variability of future interest cash flows of forecasted wholesale borrowings attributable to changes in LIBOR. Once the forecasted wholesale borrowing transactions occur, the interest rate swap will effectively lock-in the Group's interest rate payments on an amount of forecasted interest expense attributable to the one-month LIBOR corresponding to the swap notional amount. By employing this strategy, the Group minimizes its exposure to volatility in LIBOR.

As part of this hedging strategy started in the first quarter of 2011, the Group formally documents all relationships between hedging instruments and hedged items, as well as its risk-management objective and strategy for undertaking various hedge transactions. This process includes linking all derivatives that are designated as cash flow hedges to (i) specific assets and liabilities on the balance sheet or (ii) specific firm commitments or forecasted transactions. The Group also formally assesses (both at the hedge's inception and on an ongoing basis) whether the derivatives that are used in hedging transactions have been highly effective in offsetting changes in the fair value or cash flows of hedged items and whether those derivatives may be expected to remain highly effective in future periods. The changes in fair value of the forward-settlement swaps are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income to the extent there is no significant ineffectiveness.

The Group discontinues hedge accounting prospectively when (i) it determines that the derivative is no longer effective in offsetting changes in the cash flows of a hedged item (including hedged items such as firm commitments or forecasted transactions); (ii) the derivative expires or is sold, terminated, or exercised; (iii) it is no longer probable

that the forecasted transaction will occur; (iv) a hedged firm commitment no longer meets the definition of a firm commitment; or (v) management determines that designating the derivative as a hedging instrument is no longer appropriate or desired.

The Group's derivative activities are monitored by its Asset/Liability Management Committee which is also responsible for approving hedging strategies that are developed through its analysis of data derived from financial simulation models and other internal and industry sources. The resulting hedging strategies are then incorporated into the Group's overall interest rate risk-management.

Loans and Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses

Because of the loss protection provided by the FDIC, the risks of the loans acquired in the FDIC-assisted transaction that are covered under the FDIC shared-loss agreements are significantly different from those loans not covered under the FDIC shared-loss agreements. Accordingly, the Group presents loans subject to the shared-loss agreements as "covered loans" and loans that are not subject to the FDIC shared-loss agreements as "non-covered loans." Non-covered loans include credit card balances acquired in the FDIC-assisted acquisition.

Non-Covered Loans

Non-covered loans that management has the intent and ability to hold for the foreseeable future or until maturity or repayment are reported at their outstanding unpaid principal balances adjusted for (i) charge-offs, (ii) the allowance for non-covered loan and lease losses, (iii) unamortized discount related to mortgage servicing rights sold and (iv) any deferred fees or costs on originated loans. Interest income is accrued on the unpaid principal balance. Loan origination fees and costs, and premiums and discounts on loans

ORIENTAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

purchased, are deferred and amortized over the estimated life of the loans as an adjustment of their yield through interest income using the interest method. When a loan is paid off or sold, any unamortized deferred fee (cost) is credited (charged) to income.

Credit card balances acquired as part of the FDIC-assisted acquisition are accounted for under the guidance of ASC 310-20, which requires that any differences between the contractually required loan payments in excess of the Group's initial investment in the loans be accreted into interest income on a level-yield basis over the life of the loan. Loans accounted for under ASC 310-20 are placed on non-accrual status when past due in accordance with the Group's non-accruing policy, and any accretion of discount is discontinued. These assets were written-down to their estimated fair value on their acquisition date, incorporating an estimate of future expected cash flows. To the extent actual or projected cash flows are less than originally estimated, additional provisions for loan and lease losses are recognized.

On April 1, 2011, the Bank changed on a prospective basis its policy to place on non-accrual status residential mortgage loans well collateralized and in process of collection when reaching 90 days past due. All loans that were between 90 and 365 days past due when the policy was changed were also placed on non-accrual status, and the interest receivable on such loans was evaluated on a periodic basis against the collateral underlying the loans and, if necessary, written-down. On December 31, 2011, the Bank further revised its policy to reverse against income all interest recorded on residential mortgage loans reaching 90 days past due, including the remaining interest on loans that were between 90 and 365 days past due as of April 1, 2011. On December 31, 2011, the Bank also charged-off this remaining accrued interest on residential mortgage loans over 90 days past due. This change in estimate was considered necessary to comply with guidance received from the Group's regulators.

For all other loans, interest recognition is discontinued when loans are 90 days or more in arrears on principal and/or interest based on contractual terms. Loans for which the recognition of interest income has been discontinued are designated as non-accruing. Collections are accounted for on the cash method thereafter, until qualifying to return to accrual status. Such loans are not reinstated to accrual status until interest is received on a current basis and other factors indicative of doubtful collection cease to exist.

The Group follows a systematic methodology to establish and evaluate the adequacy of the allowance for loan and lease losses to provide for inherent losses in the non-covered loan portfolio. This methodology includes the consideration of factors such as economic conditions, portfolio risk characteristics, prior loss experience, and results of periodic credit reviews of individual loans. The provision for loan and lease losses charged to current operations is based on such methodology. Loan and lease losses are charged and recoveries are credited to the allowance for loan and lease losses on non-covered loans.

Larger commercial loans that exhibit potential or observed credit weaknesses are subject to individual review and grading. Where appropriate, allowances are allocated to individual loans based on management's estimate of the borrower's ability to repay the loan given the availability of collateral, other sources of cash flow, and legal options available to the Group.

Included in the review of individual loans are those that are impaired. A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Group will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Impaired loans are measured based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, or as a practical expedient, at the observable market price of the loan or the fair value of the collateral, if the loan is collateral dependent. Loans are individually evaluated for impairment, except large groups of small balance homogeneous loans that are collectively evaluated for impairment and loans that are recorded at fair value or at the lower of cost or fair value. The Group measures for impairment all commercial loans over \$250 thousand (i) that are either over 90 days past due or adversely classified, or (ii) when deemed necessary by management. The portfolios of mortgage, leases and consumer loans are considered homogeneous, and are evaluated collectively for impairment.

The Group, using a rating system, applies an overall allowance percentage to each non-covered loan portfolio segment based on historical credit losses adjusted for current conditions and trends. The historical loss experience is determined by portfolio segment and is based on the actual loss history experienced by the Group over the most recent twelve months. The actual loss experience is supplemented with other economic factors based on the risks present for each portfolio segment. These economic factors include consideration of the following: the credit grading assigned to commercial loans, levels of and trends in delinquencies and impaired loans; levels of and trends in charge-offs and recoveries; trends in volume and terms of loans; effects of any changes in risk selection and underwriting standards; other changes in lending policies, procedures, and practices; experience, ability, and depth of lending management and other relevant staff, including the bank's loan review system as graded by regulatory agencies in their last examination; local economic trends and conditions; industry conditions; effects of external factors such as competition and regulatory requirements on the level of estimated credit losses in the current portfolio; and effects of changes in credit concentrations and

ORIENTAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

collateral value. The following portfolio segments have been identified: mortgage loans; commercial loans; consumer loans; and leasing.

Mortgage Loans: These loans are further divided into four classes: traditional mortgages, non-traditional mortgages, loans in loan modification programs and home equity secured personal loans. Traditional mortgage loans include loans secured by dwelling, fixed coupons and regular amortization schedules. Non-traditional mortgages include loans with interest-first amortization schedules and loans with balloon considerations as part of their terms. Mortgages in loan modification programs are loans that are being serviced under such programs. Home equity loans are mainly equity lines of credit. The allowance factor on these loans is impacted by the historical loss factors on the sub-segments, vintages, the environmental risk factors described above and by delinquency buckets. Due to the fact that the traditional mortgage sub-segment represented as of March 31, 2012 approximately 82.6% of the total mortgage loan portfolio, during this quarter the traditional mortgage loans were further segregated by vintages.

<u>Commercial loans</u>: These loans are further divided into two classes: commercial loans secured by existing commercial real estate properties and other commercial loans. The allowance factor assigned to these loans is impacted by historical loss factors, by the environmental risk factors described above and by the credit risk ratings assigned to the loans. These credit risk ratings are based on relevant information about the ability of borrowers to service their debt such as: economic conditions, portfolio risk characteristics, prior loss experience, and results of periodic credit reviews of individual loans.

<u>Consumer loans:</u> These consist of smaller retail loans such as retail credit cards, overdrafts, unsecured personal lines of credit, and personal unsecured loans. The allowance factor on these loans is impacted by the historical loss factors on the segment, the environmental risk factors described above and by delinquency buckets.

<u>Leasing:</u> This segment consists of personal loans guaranteed by vehicles in the form of lease financing. The allowance factor on these loans is impacted by the historical losses on the segment, the environmental risk factors described above and by delinquency buckets.

Loan loss ratios and credit risk categories are updated at least quarterly and are applied in the context of GAAP as prescribed by ASC and the importance of depository institutions having prudent, conservative, but not excessive loan allowances that fall within an acceptable range of estimated losses. While management uses current available information in estimating possible loan and lease losses, factors beyond the Group's control, such as those affecting general economic conditions, may require future changes to the allowance.

Covered Loans

Covered loans acquired in the FDIC-assisted acquisition are accounted under the provisions of ASC 310-30, "Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality," which is applicable when (a) the Group acquires loans deemed to be impaired when there is evidence of credit deterioration and it is probable, at the date of acquisition, that the Group would be unable to collect all contractually required payments and (b) as a general policy election for non-impaired loans that the Group acquired with some discount attributable to credit.

The acquired covered loans were recorded at their estimated fair value at the time of acquisition. Fair value of acquired loans is determined using a discounted cash flow model based on assumptions about the amount and timing of principal and interest payments, estimated prepayments, estimated default rates, estimated loss severity in the event of defaults, and current market rates. Estimated credit losses are included in the determination of fair value; therefore, an allowance for loan and lease losses is not recorded on the acquisition date.

In accordance with ASC 310-30 and in estimating the fair value of covered loans at the acquisition date, the Group (a) calculated the contractual amount and timing of undiscounted principal and interest payments (the "undiscounted contractual cash flows") and (b) estimated the amount and timing of undiscounted expected principal and interest payments (the "undiscounted expected cash flows"). The difference between the undiscounted contractual cash flows and the undiscounted expected cash flows is the non-accretable discount. The non-accretable discount represents an estimate of the loss exposure in the covered loan portfolio, and such amount is subject to change over time based on the performance of the covered loans. The carrying value of covered loans is reduced by payments received and increased by the portion of the accretable yield recognized as interest income.

The excess of undiscounted expected cash flows at acquisition over the initial fair value of acquired loans is referred to as the "accretable yield" and is recorded as interest income over the estimated life of the loans using the effective yield method if the timing and amount of the future cash flows is reasonably estimable. Subsequent to acquisition, the Group aggregates loans into pools of loans

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

with common risk characteristics to account for the acquired loans. Increases in expected cash flows over those originally estimated increase the accretable yield and are recognized as interest income prospectively or reverse previously recognized allowance for loan and lease losses. Decreases in expected cash flows compared to those originally estimated decrease the accretable yield and are recognized by recording a provision for covered loan and lease losses and establishing an allowance for loan and lease losses.

ASC 310-30-40-1 states that, once a pool of loans is assembled, the integrity of the pool shall be maintained. A loan shall be removed from a pool of loans only if (a) the investor sells, forecloses, or otherwise receives assets in satisfaction of the loan or (b) the loan is written off. A refinancing or restructuring of a loan shall not result in the removal of a loan from a pool. Events that result in a loan being removed from a pool are often referred to as "confirming events." When a confirming event occurs and a loan is removed from a pool, ASC 310-30 indicates that the loan should be removed at its carrying amount. ASC 310-30-35-15 states that, if a loan is removed from a pool of loans, the difference between the loan's carrying amount and the fair value of the collateral or other assets received shall not affect the percentage yield calculation used to recognize accretable yield on the pool of loans. That is, the pool's yield should be unaffected by the removal. The Group removes such loans on an "as expected" basis, which assumes cash or other assets received are equal to the original expectation of cash flows.

Under the accounting guidance of ASC 310-30 for acquired loans, the allowance for loan and lease losses on covered loans is measured at each financial reporting period, or measurement date, based on expected cash flows. Accordingly, decreases in expected cash flows on these loans compared to those expected cash flows previously forecasted are recognized by recording a provision for credit losses on covered loans. The portion of the loss on covered loans reimbursable from the FDIC is recorded as an offset to the provision for credit losses and increases the FDIC shared-loss indemnification asset.

Lease Financing

The Group leases vehicles for personal and commercial use to individual and corporate customers. The direct finance lease method of accounting is used to recognize revenue on leasing contracts that meet the criteria specified in the guidance for leases in ASC Topic 840. Aggregate rentals due over the term of the leases less unearned income are included in lease financing contracts receivable. Unearned income is amortized using a method over the average life of the leases as an adjustment to the interest yield.

Troubled Debt Restructuring

A troubled debt restructuring ("TDR") is the restructuring of a receivable in which the Group, as creditor, grants a concession for legal or economic reasons due to the debtor's financial difficulties. A concession is granted when, as a result of the restructuring, the Group does not expect to collect all amounts due, including interest accrued at the original contract rate. These concessions may include a reduction of the interest rate, principal or accrued interest, extension of the maturity date or other actions intended to minimize potential losses.

To assess whether the debtor is having financial difficulties, the Group evaluates whether it is probable that the debtor will default on any of its debt in the foreseeable future. If default is probable, then the debtor is considered to be experiencing financial difficulty even if there is no current default.

Receivables that are restructured in a TDR are presumed to be impaired and are subject to a specific impairment-measurement method. If the payment of principal at original maturity is primarily dependent on the value of collateral, the Group considers the current value of that collateral in determining whether the principal will be paid. For non-collateral dependent loans, the specific reserve is calculated based on the present value of expected cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate. Loans modified in TDRs are placed on non-accrual status until the Group determines that future collection of principal and interest is reasonably assured, which generally requires that the borrower demonstrate performance according to the restructured terms for a period of at least six months.

Reserve for Unfunded Commitments

The reserve for unfunded commitments is maintained at a level believed by management to be sufficient to absorb estimated probable losses related to unfunded credit facilities and is included in other liabilities in the consolidated statements of condition. The determination of the adequacy of the reserve is based upon an evaluation of the unfunded credit facilities. Net adjustments to the reserve for unfunded commitments are included in other operating expenses in the consolidated statements of operations.

ORIENTAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

FDIC Shared-Loss Indemnification Asset

The FDIC shared-loss indemnification asset is accounted for and measured separately from the covered loans acquired in the FDIC-assisted acquisition as it is not contractually embedded in any of the covered loans. The shared-loss indemnification asset related to estimated future loan and lease losses is not transferable should the Group sell a loan prior to foreclosure or maturity. The shared-loss indemnification asset was recorded at fair value at the acquisition date and represents the present value of the estimated cash payments expected to be received from the FDIC for future losses on covered assets, based on the credit adjustment estimated for each covered asset and the shared-loss percentages. This balance also includes incurred expenses under the shared-loss agreements. This asset is presented net of any clawback liability due to the FDIC under the Purchase and Assumption Agreement. These cash flows are then discounted at a market-based rate to reflect the uncertainty of the timing and receipt of the shared-loss reimbursements from the FDIC. The amount ultimately collected for this asset is dependent upon the performance of the underlying covered assets, the passage of time, and claims submitted to the FDIC. The time value of money incorporated into the present value computation is accreted into earnings over the shorter of the life of the shared-loss agreements or the holding period of the covered assets.

The FDIC shared-loss indemnification asset is reduced as losses are recognized on covered loans and shared-loss payments are received from the FDIC. Realized credit losses in excess of acquisition-date estimates result in an increase in the FDIC shared-loss indemnification asset. Conversely, if realized credit losses are less than acquisition-date estimates, the FDIC shared-loss indemnification asset is amortized through the term of the shared-loss agreements.

Core Deposit Intangible

Core deposit intangible ("CDI") is a measure of the value of checking and savings deposits acquired in a business combination. The fair value of the CDI stemming from any given business combination is based on the present value of the expected cost savings attributable to the core deposit funding, relative to an alternative source of funding. CDI is amortized straight-line over a 10-year period. The Group evaluates such identifiable intangible for impairment when an indication of impairment exists. No impairment charges were required to be recorded in the quarters and six month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011.

Foreclosed Real Estate and Other Repossessed Property

Non-Covered Foreclosed Real Estate

Foreclosed real estate is initially recorded at the lower of the related loan balance or the fair value of the real estate less the cost of selling it at the date of foreclosure. At the time properties are acquired in full or partial satisfaction of loans, any excess of the loan balance over the estimated fair value of the property is charged against the allowance for loan and lease losses on non-covered loans. After foreclosure, these properties are carried at the lower of cost or fair value less estimated cost to sell, based on recent appraised values or options to purchase the foreclosed property. Any excess of the carrying value over the estimated fair value, less estimated costs to sell, is charged to non-interest expenses. The costs and expenses associated to holding these properties in portfolio are expensed as incurred.

Covered Foreclosed Real Estate and Other Repossessed Property

Covered foreclosed real estate and other repossessed property is initially recorded at their estimated fair value on the acquisition date, based on appraisal value less estimated selling costs. Any subsequent write-downs due to declines in fair value and costs and expenses associated to holding these properties in portfolio are charged as incurred to non-interest expense with a partially offsetting non-interest income for the loss reimbursement under the FDIC shared-loss agreement. Any recoveries of previous write downs are credited to non-interest expenses with a corresponding charge to non-interest income for the portion of the recovery that is due to the FDIC.

ORIENTAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

Income Taxes

In preparing the consolidated financial statements, the Group is required to estimate income taxes. This involves an estimate of current income tax expense together with an assessment of temporary differences resulting from differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. The determination of current income tax expense involves estimates and assumptions that require the Group to assume certain positions based on its interpretation of current tax laws and regulations. Changes in assumptions affecting estimates may be required in the future, and estimated tax assets or liabilities may need to be increased or decreased accordingly. The accrual for tax contingencies is adjusted in light of changing facts and circumstances, such as the progress of tax audits, case law and emerging legislation. When particular matters arise, a number of years may elapse before such matters are audited and finally resolved. Favorable resolution of such matters could be recognized as a reduction to the Group's effective tax rate in the year of resolution. Unfavorable settlement of any particular issue could increase the effective tax rate and may require the use of cash in the year of resolution.

The determination of deferred tax expense or benefit is based on changes in the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities that generate temporary differences. The carrying value of the Group's net deferred tax assets assumes that the Group will be able to generate sufficient future taxable income based on estimates and assumptions. If these estimates and related assumptions change in the future, the Group may be required to record valuation allowances against its deferred tax assets resulting in additional income tax expense in the consolidated statements of operations.

Management evaluates on a regular basis whether the deferred tax assets can be realized and assesses the need for a valuation allowance. A valuation allowance is established when management believes that it is more likely than not that some portion of its deferred tax assets will not be realized. Changes in valuation allowance from period to period are included in the Group's tax provision in the period of change.

In addition to valuation allowances, the Group establishes accruals for uncertain tax positions when, despite the belief that the Group's tax return positions are fully supported, the Group believes that certain positions are likely to be challenged. The accruals for uncertain tax positions are adjusted in light of changing facts and circumstances, such as the progress of tax audits, case law, and emerging legislation. The accruals for the Group's uncertain tax positions are reflected as income tax payable as a component of accrued expenses and other liabilities. These accruals are reduced upon expiration of the applicable statute of limitations.

The Group follows a two-step approach for recognizing and measuring uncertain tax positions. The first step is to evaluate the tax position for recognition by determining if the weight of available evidence indicates that it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained on audit, including resolution of related appeals or litigation process,

if any. The second step is to measure the tax benefit as the largest amount that is more than 50% likely to be realized upon ultimate settlement.

The Group's policy is to include interest and penalties related to unrecognized income tax benefits within the provision for income taxes on the consolidated statements of operations.

On January 31, 2011, the Governor of Puerto Rico signed into law the second and last phase of the Administration's tax reform bill. It creates the Internal Revenue Code for a New Puerto Rico, which has been subsequently amended several times (the "2011 Code"). The 2011 Code provides for the gradual repeal of the Puerto Rico Internal Revenue Code of 1994 (the "1994 Code"), as its provisions started to take effect, with some exceptions, as of January 1, 2011. For corporate taxpayers, the 2011 Code retains the 20% flat rate on "normal-tax net income" but establishes significantly lower rates applicable to "surtax net income" which is the "normal-tax net income" less the allowed surtax deduction. The 2011 Code provides a surtax rate from 5% to 10% for taxable years commencing after December 31, 2010 and before January 1, 2014. For taxable years commencing after December 31, 2013, the surtax rate may be reduced to 5% if certain economic and budgetary control tests are met by the Government of Puerto Rico. If such economic tests are not met, the reduction of the surtax rate will be postponed until the year when such economic tests are met. In the case of a controlled group of corporations, the determination of which surtax rate applies will be made by adding the "normal-tax net income" of each of the entities that are members of the controlled group reduced by the surtax deduction. The 2011 Code also increased the surtax deduction to \$750,000. In the case of a controlled group of corporations, the surtax deduction should be distributed among the members of the controlled group. The 2011 Code reduces the alternative minimum tax ("AMT") from 22% to 20%. It also eliminates the 5% additional surtax which was established by Act No. 7 of March 9, 2009, and the 5% recapture of the benefit of the income tax tables, except for income earned by international banking entities, which was fully exempt and is subject to a 5% income tax for the taxable years beginning after December 31, 2008 and ending before January 1, 2012. Under the 2011 Code, a corporate taxpayer has a one-time option of determining its income tax liability and filing its income tax return pursuant to the 1994 Code. This election must be made with the filing of the 2011 income tax return and, once made, is irrevocable for the taxable year when the election is made

ORIENTAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

and for each of the next four taxable years. The Group decided to implement the 2011 Code. Under the 2011 Code, all companies are treated as separate taxable entities and are not entitled to file consolidated returns. The Group and its subsidiaries are subject to Puerto Rico regular income tax or AMT on income earned from all sources. The AMT is payable if it exceeds regular income tax. The excess of AMT over regular income tax paid in any one year may be used to offset regular income tax in future years, subject to certain limitations.

Equity-Based Compensation Plan

The Group's Amended and Restated 2007 Omnibus Performance Incentive Plan (the "Omnibus Plan") provides for equity-based compensation incentives through the grant of stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted units and dividend equivalents, as well as equity-based performance awards. The Omnibus Plan was adopted in 2007, amended and restated in 2008, and further amended in 2010.

The purpose of the Omnibus Plan is to provide flexibility to the Group to attract, retain and motivate directors, officers, and key employees through the grant of awards based on performance and to adjust its compensation practices to the best compensation practice and corporate governance trends as they develop from time to time. The Omnibus Plan is further intended to motivate high levels of individual performance coupled with increased shareholder returns. Therefore, awards under the Omnibus Plan (each, an "Award") are intended to be based upon the recipient's individual performance, level of responsibility and potential to make significant contributions to the Group. Generally, the Omnibus Plan will terminate as of (a) the date when no more of the Group's shares of common stock are available for issuance under the Omnibus Plan, or, (b) if earlier, the date the Omnibus Plan is terminated by the Group's Board of Directors.

The Board's Compensation Committee (the "Committee"), or such other committee as the Board may designate, has full authority to interpret and administer the Omnibus Plan in order to carry out its provisions and purposes. The Committee has the authority to determine those persons eligible to receive an Award and to establish the terms and conditions of any Award. The Committee may delegate, subject to such terms or conditions or guidelines as it shall determine, to any employee or group of employees any portion of its authority and powers under the Omnibus Plan with respect to participants who are not directors or executive officers subject to the reporting requirements under Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"). Only the Committee may exercise authority in respect of Awards granted to such participants.

The Omnibus Plan replaced and superseded the Group's 1996, 1998 and 2000 Incentive Stock Option Plans (the "Stock Option Plans"). All outstanding stock options under the Stock Option Plans continue in full force and effect, subject to their original terms and conditions.

The expected term of stock options granted represents the period of time that such options are expected to be outstanding. Expected volatilities are based on historical volatility of the Group's shares of common stock over the most recent period equal to the expected term of the stock options. For stock options issued during 2012, the expected volatilities are based on both historical and implied volatility of the Group's shares of common stock.

The Group follows the fair value method of recording stock-based compensation. The Group uses the modified prospective transition method, which requires measurement of the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the grant date fair value of the award with the cost to be recognized over the service period. It applies to all awards unvested and granted after this effective date and awards modified, repurchased, or cancelled after that date.

Subsequent Events

The Group has evaluated other events subsequent to the balance sheet date and prior to the filing of this quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2012, and has adjusted and disclosed those events that have occurred that would require adjustment or disclosure in the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Reclassifications

When necessary, certain reclassifications have been made to prior year amounts to conform to the current year presentation.

17

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

Recent Accounting Developments

Comprehensive Income — FASB Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") 2011-12, "Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05" (Topic 220) was issued in December 2011. This update defers the effective date for the presentation of reclassification adjustments. The amendments are being made to allow the FASB time to redeliberate whether to present on the face of the financial statements the effects of reclassifications out of accumulated comprehensive income on the components of net income and other comprehensive income for all periods presented. The amendments in this update are effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The Group adopted this guidance for the deferment of the presentation of reclassifications of items out of accumulated comprehensive income.

Fair Value Measurement — FASB ASU 2011-04, "Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs" (Topic 820) was issued in May 2011. This update establishes common fair value measurement and disclosure requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs. Consequently, the amendments change the wording used to describe many of the requirements in U.S. GAAP for measuring fair value and for disclosing information about fair value measurements. Among the changes, additional information about the sensitivity of a fair value measurement categorized within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy to changes in unobservable inputs and any interrelationships between those unobservable inputs will be required. Also, entities now will be required to categorize by level of the fair value hierarchy items that are not measured at fair value in the statement of financial position, but for which the fair value of such items is required to be disclosed. The amendments in this update are effective during interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011, are to be applied prospectively. The Group adopted this guidance for fair value measurements.

Repurchase Agreements — FASB ASU 2011-03, "Reconsideration of Effective Control for Repurchase Agreements" (Topic 860) was issued in April 2011. This update removes from the assessment of effective control the criterion requiring the transferor to have the ability to repurchase or redeem the financial assets on substantially the agreed terms, even in the event of default by the transferee, and the collateral maintenance implementation guidance related to that criterion. Other criteria applicable to the assessment of effective control are not changed by the amendments in this update. The amendments in this update are effective for the first interim or annual period beginning on or after December 15, 2011. The guidance should be applied prospectively to transactions or modifications of existing transactions that occur on or after the effective date. Early adoption was not permitted. The Group adopted this guidance for the repurchase agreements.

ORIENTAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

NOTE 2 - FDIC-ASSISTED ACQUISITION AND FDIC SHARED-LOSS INDEMNIFICATION ASSET

On April 30, 2010, the Bank acquired certain assets and assumed certain deposits and other liabilities of Eurobank from the FDIC as receiver of Eurobank, San Juan, Puerto Rico. As part of the Purchase and Assumption Agreement between the Bank and the FDIC (the "Purchase and Assumption Agreement"), the Bank and the FDIC entered into shared-loss agreements, whereby the FDIC covers a substantial portion of any losses on loans (and related unfunded loan commitments), foreclosed real estate and other repossessed properties.

The acquired loans, foreclosed real estate, and other repossessed property subject to the shared-loss agreements are collectively referred to as "covered assets." Under the terms of the shared-loss agreements, the FDIC absorbs 80% of losses and shares in 80% of loss recoveries on covered assets. The term of the shared-loss agreement covering single family residential mortgage loans is ten years with respect to losses and loss recoveries, while the term of the shared-loss agreement covering commercial loans is five years with respect to losses and eight years with respect to loss recoveries, from the April 30, 2010 acquisition date. The shared-loss agreements also provide for certain costs directly related to the collection and preservation of covered assets to be reimbursed at an 80% level.

The assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of April 30, 2010 were presented at their fair value. In many cases, the determination of these fair values required management to make estimates about discount rates, expected cash flows, market conditions and other future events that are highly subjective in nature and were subject to change. The fair values initially assigned to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed were preliminary and subject to refinement for up to one year after the closing date of the acquisition as new information relative to closing date fair values became available. The process was completed on April 29, 2011.

The Bank has agreed to make a true-up payment, also known as clawback liability, to the FDIC on the date that is 45 days following the last day (such day, the "True-Up Measurement Date") of the final shared-loss month, or upon the final disposition of all covered assets under the shared-loss agreements in the event losses thereunder fail to reach expected levels. Under the shared-loss agreements, the Bank will pay to the FDIC 50% of the excess, if any, of: (i) 20% of the Intrinsic Loss Estimate of \$906.0 million (or \$181.2 million) (as determined by the FDIC) less (ii) the sum of: (A) 25% of the asset discount (per bid) (or \$227.5 million); plus (B) 25% of the cumulative shared-loss payments (defined as the aggregate of all of the payments made or payable to the Bank minus the aggregate of all of the payments made or payable to the FDIC); plus (C) the sum of the period servicing amounts for every consecutive twelve-month period prior to and ending on the True-Up Measurement Date in respect of each of the shared-loss agreements during which the shared-loss provisions of the applicable shared-loss agreement is in effect (defined as the product of the simple average of the principal amount of shared-loss loans and shared-loss assets at the beginning and end of such period times 1%). The true-up payment represents an estimated liability of \$14.3 million and \$13.3 million, net of discount, as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. This estimated liability is accounted for as a reduction of the indemnification asset. The indemnification asset represents the portion of estimated losses covered by the shared-loss agreements between the Bank and the FDIC.

The operating results of the Group for the quarters and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 include the operating results produced by the acquired assets and assumed liabilities.

The FDIC shared-loss indemnification asset activity for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 is as follows:

	Six-Month Period Ended June 30,							
	2012		2011					
	(In							
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 392,367	\$	471,872					
Shared-loss agreements reimbursements from the FDIC	(39,729)		(39,870)					
Recoveries on expected credit impairment losses to be								
covered under shared-loss agreements, net Accretion (amortization) of FDIC shared-loss	12,748		3,201					
indemnification asset, net	(10,410)		2,231					
Incurred expenses to be reimbursed under shared-loss								
agreements	4,791		3,199					
Balance at end of period	\$ 359,767	\$	440,633					

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

NOTE 3 - INVESTMENTS

Money Market Investments

The Group considers as cash equivalents all money market instruments that are not pledged and that have maturities of three months or less at the date of acquisition. At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, money market instruments included as part of cash and cash equivalents amounted to \$4.7 million and \$3.9 million, respectively.

Securities Purchased Under Agreements to Resell

Securities purchased under agreements to resell consist of short-term investments and are carried at the amounts at which the assets will be subsequently resold as specified in the respective agreements. At June 30, 2012, securities purchased under agreements to resell amounted to \$225.0 million. At December 31, 2011, there were no securities purchased under agreements to resell.

The amounts advanced under those agreements are reflected as assets in the unaudited consolidated statements of financial condition. It is the Group's policy to take possession of securities purchased under agreements to resell. Agreements with third parties specify the Group's rights to request additional collateral based on its monitoring of the fair value of the underlying securities on a daily basis. The fair value of the collateral securities held by the Group on these transactions as of June 30, 2012 was approximately \$219.2 million.

Investment Securities

The amortized cost, gross unrealized gains and losses, fair value, and weighted average yield of the securities owned by the Group at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 were as follows:

	Aı	mortized Cost	U	Gross nrealized Gains	Un I	0, 2012 Gross realized Losses usands)	Fair Value	Weighted Average Yield
Available-for-sale Mortgage-backed securities	\$	2,052,066	\$	82,400	\$	_	\$ 2,134,466	3.41%

Edgar Filing: ORIENTAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

FNMA and FHLMC							
certificates							
GNMA certificates		20,952	1,539		-	22,491	4.86%
CMOs issued by US		206,110	876	1	189	206,797	1.81%
Government sponsored agencies		200,110	870	1	109	200,797	1.61%
Total mortgage-backed		2,279,128	84,815	1	189	2,363,754	3.28%
securities		2,279,120	04,013	J	107	2,303,734	3.20 /0
Investment securities							
US Treasury securities		154,998	-		-	154,998	0.06%
Obligations of US		28,629	162		_	28,791	1.46%
Government sponsored agencies		20,02)	102			20,771	1.4070
Obligations of Puerto Rico							
Government and							
malitical subdivisions		22 221	150		10	22.252	5.41%
political subdivisions Structured credit investment	-0	22,221 36,407	130	0.1	19 127	22,352 27,280	2.12%
Other debt securities	.8	15,389	275	9,1	121	15,664	3.42%
Total investment		13,369	213		-	13,004	3.4270
securities		257,644	587	9,1	146	249,085	1.17%
Total securities							
available-for-sale		2,536,772	85,402	9,3	335	2,612,839	3.06%
available-101-sale							
Held-to-maturity							
Mortgage-backed securities							
FNMA and FHLMC		770.002	20.541			007.444	2 200
certificates		778,903	28,541		-	807,444	3.30%
CMOs issued by US		116 507	1 225			117 922	1 000/
Government sponsored agencies		116,597	1,225		-	117,822	1.98%
Total securities		895,500	29,766			925,266	3.13%
held-to-maturity		093,300	29,700		-	925,200	3.13%
Total	\$	3,432,272	\$ 115,168	\$ 9,3	335	\$ 3,538,105	3.08%
			20				

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

		Amortized Cost		Gross Unrealized U Gains		ber 31, 2011 Gross Unrealized Losses housands)		Fair Value	Weighted Average Yield	
Available-for-sale Mortgage-backed securities										
FNMA and FHLMC certificates	\$	2,583,881	\$	92,899	\$	-	\$	2,676,780	3.61%	
GNMA certificates		26,186		2,151		-		28,337	5.94%	
CMOs issued by US Government sponsored agencies		128,505		1,739		199		130,045	2.33%	
Total mortgage-backed securities		2,738,572		96,789		199		2,835,162	3.57%	
Investment securities Obligations of Puerto Rico Government and										
political subdivisions		72,437		225		1,204		71,458	5.37%	
Structured credit investment Other debt securities	lS	46,904 15,769		235		9,616		37,288 16,004	2.92% 3.42%	
Total investment securities		135,110		460		10,820		124,750	4.29%	
Total securities available-for-sale		2,873,682		97,249		11,019		2,959,912	3.60%	
Held-to-maturity Mortgage-backed securities FNMA and FHLMC certificates		884,026		20,530		-		904,556	3.34%	
Total	\$	3,757,708	\$	117,779 21	\$	11,019	\$	3,864,468	3.54%	

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

The amortized cost and fair value of the Group's investment securities at June 30, 2012, by contractual maturity, are shown in the next table. Securities not due on a single contractual maturity date, such as collateralized mortgage obligations, are classified in the period of final contractual maturity. Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because issuers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

	Availab	le-for	June 3	0, 2012		-maturity		
	Amortized Cost		Fair Value	A	mortized Cost	Fair Value		
			(In tho	usands))			
Mortgage-backed securities								
Due after 5 to 10 years FNMA and FHLMC certificates	\$ 49,055	\$	50,294	\$	-	\$ -		
Total due after 5 to 10	40.055		50.204					
years	49,055		50,294		-	-		
Due after 10 years								
FNMA and FHLMC	2,003,011		2,084,172		778,903	807,444		
certificates					770,703	007,111		
GNMA certificates	20,952		22,491		-	-		
CMOs issued by US	206,110		206,797		116,597	117,822		
Government sponsored agencies Total due after 10 years	2,230,073		2,313,460		895,500	925,266		
Total mortgage-backed					•			
securities	2,279,128		2,363,754		895,500	925,266		
Investment securities								
Due in less than one year								
US Treasury securities	154,998		154,998		-	-		
Total due in less than one	154,998		154,998		_	_		
year	134,990		134,270		-	-		
Due from 1 to 5 years								
Other debt securities	10,000		10,016		-	-		
Total due from 1 to 5 years	s 10,000		10,016		-	-		
Due after 5 to 10 years								
Obligations of Puerto Rico Government and political	11,760		11,837					
subdivisions	11,700		11,037		-	-		
Structured credit investments	36,407		27,280					
Obligations of US Governmen	f		·					
and sponsored agencies	28,629		28,791		-	-		
2	76,796		67,908		-	-		

Edgar Filing: ORIENTAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Total due after 5 to 10

Total due after 5 to 10				
years				
Due after 10 years				
Obligations of Puerto Rico				
Government and political	10,461	10,515	-	-
subdivisions				
Other debt securities	5,389	5,648	-	-
Total due after 10 years	15,850	16,163	-	-
Total investment	257,644	249,085		
securities	257,044	249,005	-	-
Total	\$ 2,536,772	\$ 2,612,839	\$ 895,500	\$ 925,266

Keeping with the Group's investment strategy, during the six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, there were certain sales of available-for sale securities because the Group felt at the time of such sales that gains could be realized while at the same time having good opportunities to invest the proceeds in other investment securities with attractive yields and terms that would allow the Group to continue to protect its net interest margin. The Group is also pursuing the strategy of selling securities subject to higher prepayment speeds.

The Group, as part of its asset/liability management, may purchase US Treasury securities and US government sponsored agencies discount notes close to their maturities as a short term vehicle to reinvest the proceeds of sale transactions until investment securities with attractive yields can be purchased.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

The Group recorded a net gain on sale of securities of \$19.3 million and \$9.1 million during the six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The tables below present the gross realized gains and losses by category for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011:

	Six-Month Period Ended June 30, 2012											
			В	ook Value		Gross		Gross				
Description	S	Sale Price		at Sale		Gains		Losses				
				(In thou	isands))						
Sale of Securities Available-for-Sale												
Mortgage-backed securities												
FNMA and FHLMC certificates	\$	367,981	\$	349,400	\$	18,581	\$	-				
GNMA certificates		39,484		39,483		1		-				
CMOs issued by US Government		10.725		10 272		1 252						
sponsored agencies		19,725		18,372		1,353		-				
Total mortgage-backed		427 100		407.255		10.025						
securities and CMOs		427,190		407,255		19,935		-				
Investment securities												
US Treasury securities		80,000		80,000		-		-				
Obligations of Puerto Rico		25.002		26 479		21		620				
Government and political subdivisions		35,882		36,478		31		628				
Structured credit investments		10,530		10,530		-		-				
Total investment securities		126,412		127,008		31		628				
Total	\$	553,602	\$	534,263	\$	19,966	\$	628				

	Six-Month Period Ended June 30, 2011										
Description		Sale Price		Value	Gro	oss Gains	Gross Losses				
-				(In thou	sands)						
Sale of Securities Available-for-Sale											
Mortgage-backed securities											
FNMA and FHLMC certificates	\$	153,740	\$	145,619	\$	8,121	\$	-			
GNMA certificates		84,996		83,987		1,011		2			
Total mortgage-backed securitie	es	238,736		229,606		9,132		2			
Investment securities											
Obligations of U.S. Government sponsored agencies		14,100		14,100		-		-			
Total investment securities		14,100		14,100		_		-			
Total	\$	252,836	\$	243,706	\$	9,132	\$	2			
		23									

ORIENTAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

The following tables show the Group's gross unrealized losses and fair value of investment securities available-for-sale and held-to-maturity, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position, at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

	A	Amortized Cost	12 mo U	ne 30, 2012 onths or more nrealized Loss thousands)		Fair Value
Securities Available-for-sale	¢	26 407	¢	0.127	¢	27.290
Structured credit investments Obligations of Puerto Rico Government and	\$	36,407	\$	9,127	\$	27,280
political subdivisions		1,635		19		1,616
CMOs issued by US Government sponsored		,				
agencies		2,288		189		2,099
	\$	40,330	\$	9,335	\$	30,995
	Aı	nortized Cost	12 moi Ur	aber 31, 2011 nths or more nrealized Loss housands)		Fair Value
Securities Available-for-sale						
Structured credit investments Obligations of Puerto Rico Government and	\$	36,374	\$	9,616	\$	26,758
political subdivisions		24,697		1,204		23,493
CMOs issued by US Government sponsored						
agencies		2,384		199		2,185
	\$	63,455	\$	11,019	\$	52,436

At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, there were no available-for-sale securities in a continuous unrealized loss position for less than 12 months. In addition, at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, there were no individual held-to-maturity securities in an unrealized loss position.

The Group conducts quarterly reviews to identify and evaluate each investment in an unrealized loss position for other-than-temporary impairment.

Any portion of a decline in value associated with credit loss is recognized in income with the remaining noncredit-related component recognized in other comprehensive income. A credit loss is determined by assessing whether the amortized cost basis of the security will be recovered, by comparing the present value of cash flows expected to be collected from the security, discounted at the rate equal to the yield used to accrete current and prospective beneficial interest for the security. The shortfall of the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected in relation to the amortized cost basis is considered to be the "credit loss."

Other-than-temporary impairment analysis is based on estimates that depend on market conditions, and are subject to further change over time. In addition, while the Group believes that the methodology used to value these exposures is reasonable, the methodology is subject to continuing refinement, including those made as a result of market developments. Consequently, it is reasonably possible that changes in estimates or conditions could result in the need to recognize additional other-than-temporary impairment charges in the future.

At June 30, 2012, the Group's portfolio of structured credit investments amounted to \$36.4 million (amortized cost) in the available-for-sale portfolio, with net unrealized losses of approximately \$9.1 million. The Group's structured credit investments portfolio consist of three collateralized loan obligations (CLOs).

The CLOs are collateralized mostly by senior secured (via first liens) "middle market" commercial and industrial loans, which are securitized in the form of obligations. These investments are all floating rate notes, which reset quarterly based on the three-month LIBOR rate.

24

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

The determination of the credit loss assumption in the discounted cash flow analysis related to the Group's structured credit investments is based on the underlying data for each type of security. In the case of the CLOs, the determination of the future cash flows is based on the following factors:

- Identification of the estimated fair value of the contractual coupon of the loans underlying the CLO. Such fair values are calculated based on information that is obtained directly from the trustee's reports for each CLO security.
- Calculation of the yield-to-maturity for each loan in the CLO, and determination of the interest rate spread (yield less the risk-free rate).
- Estimated default probabilities for each loan in the CLO. These are based on the credit ratings for each company in the structure, and this information also is obtained directly from the trustee's reports for each CLO security. The default probabilities are adjusted based on the credit rating assuming the highest default probabilities for the loans of those entities with the lowest credit ratings. In addition to determining the current default probabilities, estimates are developed to calculate the cumulative default probabilities in successive years. To establish the reasonability of the default estimates, market-implied default rates are compared to historical credit ratings-based default rates.
- Once the default probabilities are estimated, the average numbers of defaults is calculated for the loans underlying each CLO security. In those cases where defaults are deemed to occur, a recovery rate is applied to the cash flow determination at the time in which the default is expected to occur. The recovery rate is based on average historical information for similar securities, as well as the actual recovery rates for defaults that have occurred within the pool of loans underlying the securities owned by the Group.
- One hundred simulations are carried out and run through a cash flow engine for the underlying pool of loans in each CLO security. Each one of the simulations uses different default estimates and forward yield curve assumptions.

The three CLOs held by the Group have face values of \$12 million, \$10 million and \$15 million. In light of the other-than-temporary impairment analyses described below, the Group has determined that it is more likely than not that it will recover all interest and principal invested in the CLOs.

The cash flow analysis performed by the Group for the \$12 million CLO did not reflect any scenario where there was a principal impairment. Moreover, on November 23, 2011, S&P increased its rating to "AA-" from "A+," and on November 6, 2011, Moody's increased its rating to "A2" from "Baa1," In addition, as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, the CLO's subordination level is 26.18%.

With respect to the \$10 million CLO, the cash flow analysis performed by the Group also did not reflect any scenario where there was a principal impairment. On February 6, 2012, S&P increased its rating to "A+" from "A," and on November 2, 2011, Moody's increased its rating to "A2" from "A3." Also, as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011,

respectively, the CLO's subordination level is 20.64%.

The cash flow analysis performed by the Group for the \$15 million CLO detected that there was a principal impairment in 20 out of 100 scenarios, with average losses of 9.44% and 3 scenarios where the impairment amount is 100% of the Group's investment. On August 3, 2011, Moody's upgraded its rating to "Baa2" from "Baa3." There have been no other credit actions by S&P since March 4, 2010, when they lowered its rating from "A-" to "BBB+," which is still investment grade. Also, as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, the CLO's subordination level is 7.54%.

The Group estimates that it will recover all interest and principal for the Group's specific tranches of these securities. This assessment is based on the cash flow analysis mentioned above in which the credit quality of the Group's positions was evaluated through a determination of the expected losses on the underlying collateral. The model results show that the estimated future collateral losses, if any, are lower than the Group's subordination levels for each one of these securities. Therefore, these securities are deemed to have sufficient credit support to absorb the estimated collateral losses.

On January 12, 2012, the Group made the strategic decision to sell its \$25.5 million investment in a corporate bond that was partially invested in a synthetic collateralized debt obligation (CDO) at a loss of \$15.0 million. This loss was accounted for as an other-than-temporary impairment in the fourth quarter of 2011, and no additional gain or loss was realized on the sale in January 2012, since this asset was sold at the same value reflected at December 31, 2011. The main considerations underlying the Group's decision to sell the security included the continued deteriorating credit conditions surrounding the underlying reference portfolio of the CDO, including an event of default that occurred during the fourth quarter of 2011, and the projected analysis prepared by a third-party specialist that

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

showed defaults in excess of the deal's subordination level in more than 50% of the scenarios modeled. The corporate bond sold was a unique and completely distinguishable investment from the rest of the Group's portfolio, including the remaining structured credit investments. As a result of this transaction, the Group continued to reduce its exposure to non-agency securities, as well as to credit risk in the U.S. economy, and also was able to improve its risk-based capital position.

Other securities in an unrealized loss position at June 30, 2012 are mainly composed of highly liquid securities that in most cases have a large and efficient secondary market. Valuations are performed on a monthly basis. The Group's management believes that the unrealized losses of such other securities at June 30, 2012 are temporary and are substantially related to market interest rate fluctuations and not to deterioration in the creditworthiness of the issuer or guarantor. At June 30, 2012, the Group does not have the intent to sell these investments in an unrealized loss position.

NOTE 4 - PLEDGED ASSETS

The following table shows a summary of pledged and not pledged assets at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. Investment securities are presented at fair value, and residential mortgage loans, commercial loans and leases are presented at amortized cost:

	June 30, 2012		December 31, 2011
	(In th	ousands)	
Pledged investment securities to secure:			
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	\$ 3,334,812	\$	3,399,145
Puerto Rico public fund deposits	11,324		71,863
Puerto Rico Cash & Money Market Fund	71,202		62,739
Interest rate risk swap contracts	61,807		56,189
Federal Reserve Bank Credit Facility	11,936		15,560
Bond for the Bank's trust operations	125		126
Total pledged investment securities	3,491,206		3,605,622
Pledged residential mortgage loans to secure:			
Advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank	522,148		548,809
Pledged commercial loans to secure:			
Advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank	50,504		40,937
Pledged leases to secure:			
Federal Reserve Bank Credit Facility	9,668		7,821
Total pledged assets	\$ 4,073,526	\$	4,203,189
Financial assets not pledged:			
Investment securities	\$ 266,071	\$	258,845

Residential mortgage loans	435,970	435,716
Commercial loans	588,714	586,468
Leases	39,011	54,069
Total assets not pledged	\$ 1,329,766	\$ 1,335,098

At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, Oriental International Bank, Inc. held a certificate of deposit free of liens in the amount of \$300 thousand as the legal reserve required for international banking entities by Puerto Rico law.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

NOTE 5 - LOANS RECEIVABLE AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN AND LEASE LOSSES

Loans Receivable Composition

The composition of the Group's loan portfolio at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 was as follows:

	June 30, 2012	Ι	December 31, 2011
	(In the	ousands))
Loans not covered under shared-loss agreements with FDIC:			
Loans secured by real estate:			
Residential	\$ 787,359	\$	819,651
Home equity loans and others	840		1,411
Commercial	227,012		218,261
Deferred loan costs, net	(4,035)		(4,300)
	1,011,176		1,035,023
Other loans:			
Commercial	94,672		83,312
Personal consumer loans and credit lines	39,442		36,130
Leasing	30,024		25,768
Deferred loan fees (costs), net	6		(245)
	164,144		144,965
Loans receivable	1,175,320		1,179,988
Allowance for loan and lease losses on non-covered loans	(37,402)		(37,010)
Loans receivable, net	1,137,918		1,142,978
Mortgage loans held-for-sale	34,718		26,939
Total loans not covered under shared-loss agreements with	1 170 (2)		1 170 017
FDIC, net	1,172,636		1,169,917
Loans covered under shared-loss agreements with FDIC:			
Loans secured by 1-4 family residential properties	139,237		140,824
Construction and development secured by 1-4 family residential	10 120		16,976
properties	19,130		10,970
Commercial and other construction	317,534		325,832
Leasing	18,655		36,122
Consumer	11,792		13,778
Total loans covered under shared-loss agreements with FDIC	506,348		533,532
Allowance for loan and lease losses on covered loans	(58,628)		(37,256)
Total loans covered under shared-loss agreements with FDIC,	447 730		406 276
net	447,720		496,276
Total loans receivable, net	\$ 1,620,356	\$	1,666,193

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

Non-Covered Loans

The Group's credit activities are mainly with customers located in Puerto Rico. The Group's loan transactions are encompassed within four portfolio segments: mortgage, commercial, consumer and leasing.

The following table presents the aging of the recorded investment in gross loans not covered under shared-loss agreements with the FDIC, excluding mortgage loans held for sale, as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 by class of loans:

	June 30, 2012							
	30-59 Days	60-89 Days	90+ Days	Total Past				
	Past Due	Past Due	Past Due Due		Current	Total Loans		
			(In t	housands)				
Mortgage								
Residential								
Traditional								
Originated up to the year 2002	\$ 2,336	\$ 660	\$ 12,320	\$ 15,316	\$ 92,325	\$ 107,641		
Originated in the years 2003 and 2004	5,691	2,592	17,640	25,923	132,666	158,589		
Originated in the year 2005	2,004	1,011	9,418	12,433	75,104	87,537		
Originated in the year 2006	3,243	1,811	15,077	20,131	101,214	121,345		
Originated in the								
years 2007, 2008 and 2009	1,739	2,615	7,847	12,201	118,053	130,254		
Originated in the								
years 2010, 2011 and 2012	402	252	879	1,533	41,894	43,427		
2012	15,415	8,941	63,181	87,537	561,256	648,793		
Non-traditional	1,197	324	11,597	13,118	49,910	63,028		
Loss mitigation	ŕ	1.064			,	,		
program	6,461	1,364	15,509	23,334	52,204	75,538		
	23,073	10,629	90,287	123,989	663,370	787,359		
Home equity secured personal loans	-	-	15	15	825	840		
1	23,073	10,629	90,302	124,004	664,195	788,199		

Edgar Filing: ORIENTAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Commercial						
Commercial secured by real estate	1,622	357	16,774	18,753	208,259	227,012
Other commercial and industrial	1,348	282	609	2,239	92,433	94,672
	2,970	639	17,383	20,992	300,692	321,684
Consumer	238	218	281	737	38,705	39,442
Leasing	471	82	77	630	29,394	30,024
Total loans not covered under shared-loss						
agreements with the FDIC	\$ 26,752	\$ 11,568	\$ 108,043	\$ 146,363	\$ 1,032,986	\$ 1,179,349
			28			

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

	December 31, 2011							
	30-59 Days 60-89 Days		90+ Days	Total Past				
	Past Due	Past Due	Past Due	Due	Current	Total Loans		
			(In t	housands)				
Mortgage								
Residential								
Traditional								
Originated up to the year 2002	\$ 2,009	\$ 1,514	\$ 15,638	\$ 19,161	\$ 95,886	\$ 115,047		
Originated in the years 2003 and 2004	7,835	3,140	19,692	30,667	138,490	169,157		
Originated in the year 2005	2,137	2,573	10,325	15,035	77,256	92,291		
Originated in the year 2006	4,018	1,358	17,673	23,049	107,526	130,575		
Originated in the years 2007, 2008 and 2009	2,562	655	8,996	12,213	124,594	136,807		
Originated in the years 2010, 2011 and 2012	370	194	513	1,077	40,848	41,925		
	18,931	9,434	72,837	101,202	584,600	685,802		
Non-traditional	1,109	819	10,857	12,785	57,240	70,025		
Loss mitigation program	4,887	2,113	13,323	20,323	43,501	63,824		
	24,927	12,366	97,017	134,310	685,341	819,651		
Home equity secured personal loans	142	-	323	465	946	1,411		
	25,069	12,366	97,340	134,775	686,287	821,062		
Commercial								
Commercial secured by real estate	1,205	1,697	27,741	30,643	187,618	218,261		
Other commercial and industrial	1,536	99	616	2,251	81,061	83,312		
	2,741	1,796	28,357	32,894	268,679	301,573		
Consumer	557	226	334	1,117	35,013	36,130		
Leasing	339	-	102	441	25,327	25,768		
Total loans not covered under								
shared-loss								
agreements with the FDIC	\$ 28,706	\$ 14,388	\$ 126,133 29	\$ 169,227	\$ 1,015,306	\$ 1,184,533		

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

The following table presents the recorded investment in non-covered loans on non-accrual status by class of loans as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

	June 30, 2012		December 31, 2011
	(In the	ousands)	1
Mortgage			
Residential			
Traditional			
Originated up to the year 2002	\$ 12,320	\$	15,637
Originated in the years 2003 and 2004	17,640		19,693
Originated in the year 2005	9,418		10,325
Originated in the year 2006	15,077		17,673
Originated in the years 2007, 2008 and 2009	7,847		8,996
Originated in the years 2010, 2011 and 2012	879		513
•	63,181		72,837
Non-traditional	11,597		10,857
Loss mitigation program	15,509		13,323
	90,287		97,017
Home equity secured personal loans	15		323
	90,302		97,340
Commercial	,		,
Commercial secured by real estate	27,804		34,789
Other commercial and industrial	2,083		2,199
	29,887		36,988
Consumer	281		334
Leasing	77		102
Total non-accrual loans	\$ 120,547	\$	134,764

At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, loans whose terms have been extended and which are classified as troubled-debt restructuring that are not included in non-accrual loans amounted to \$55.7 million and \$41.3 million, respectively.

ORIENTAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

Credit Quality Indicators

The Group categorizes non-covered loans into risk categories based on relevant information about the ability of borrowers to service their debt such as: economic conditions, portfolio risk characteristics, prior loss experience, and results of periodic credit reviews of individual loans.

Larger commercial loans that exhibit potential or observed credit weaknesses are subject to individual review and grading. Where appropriate, allowances are allocated to individual loans based on management's estimate of the borrower's ability to repay the loan given the availability of collateral, other sources of cash flow, and legal options available to the Group.

Included in the review of individual loans are those that are impaired. A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Group will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Impaired loans are measured based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, or as a practical expedient, at the observable market price of the loan or the fair value of the collateral, if the loan is collateral dependent. Loans are individually evaluated for impairment, except large groups of small balance homogeneous loans that are collectively evaluated for impairment, and loans that are recorded at fair value or at the lower of cost or fair value. The Group measures for impairment all commercial loans over \$250 thousand that are either over 90 days past due or adversely classified, or when deemed necessary by management. The portfolios of loans secured by residential properties, leases and consumer loans are considered homogeneous, and are evaluated collectively for impairment.

The Group uses the following definitions for risk ratings:

Special Mention: Loans classified as special mention have a potential weakness that deserves management's close attention. If left uncorrected, these potential weaknesses may result in deterioration of the repayment prospects for the loan or of the institution's credit position at some future date.

Substandard: Loans classified as substandard are inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Loans so classified have a well defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. They are characterized by the distinct possibility that the institution will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected.

Doubtful: Loans classified as doubtful have all the weaknesses inherent in those classified as substandard, with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions, and values, questionable and improbable.

Loss: Loans classified loss are considered uncollectible and of such little value that their continuance as bankable assets is not warranted. This classification does not mean that the asset has absolutely no recovery or salvage value, but rather that it is not practical or desirable to defer writing off this worthless loan even though partial recovery may be affected in the future.

Loans not meeting the criteria above that are analyzed individually as part of the above described process are considered to be pass rated loans.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, and based on the most recent analysis performed, the risk category of gross non-covered loans subject to risk rating, by class of loans, is as follows:

	June 30, 2012 Risk Ratings									Individually			
]	Balance			\$	Special					Measured for Impairment 9 \$ 33,755		
	Ou	ıtstanding		Pass Mention Sul (In thousands				Substandard Doubtful					
Commercial Commercia secured	.1					(III tilous	anas						
by real estate Other commercial	\$	227,012	\$	168,005	\$	23,675	\$	1,478	\$	99	\$	33,755	
and industrial Total	\$	94,672 321,684	\$	81,406 249,411	\$	6,417 30,092	\$	647 2,125	\$	177 276	\$		
				December 31, 2011 Risk Ratings								Individually	
Balance		Special								leasured for			
	Οι	ıtstanding		Pass	N	Mention (In thous	Substandard Doubti		oubtful	Impairment			
Commercial Commercia secured	.1					(222 022 022 022 022 022 022 022 022 022							
by real estate Other commercial	\$	218,261	\$	148,894	\$	25,185	\$	1,957	\$	13	\$	42,212	
and industrial		83,312		74,714		3,517		929		-		4,152	

Total \$ 301,573 \$ 223,608 \$ 28,702 \$ 2,886 \$ 13 \$ 46,364

32

ORIENTAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

For residential and consumer loan classes, the Group also evaluates credit quality based on the delinquency status of the loan, which was previously presented. As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, and based on the most recent analysis performed, the risk category of gross non-covered loans not subject to risk rating, by class of loans, is as follows:

			Individually						
	Balance					Measured for			
	Outstanding	0-29 days	30-59 days			120-364 days	365+ days	Impairment	
Mortgage Traditional				`	,				
(by origination year):									
Up to the year 2002	\$ 107,641	\$ 92,327	\$ 2,336	\$ 660	\$ 905	\$ 2,647	\$ 8,766	\$ -	
Years 200 and 2004	158,589	132,666	5,691	2,592	914	5,732	10,994	-	
Year 2005 Year 2006 Years 2007, 2008	,	75,103 101,214	2,004 3,243	1,011 1,811	254 1,443	3,682 4,044	5,483 9,590	-	
and 2009 Years 2010, 2011	130,254	118,052	1,739	2,615	157	2,662	5,029	-	
and 2012	43,427 648,793	41,894 561,256	402 15,415	252 8,941	3,673	656 19,423	223 40,085	- -	
Non-traditional	63,028	49,910	1,197	324	446	3,868	7,283	-	
mitigation program	75,538	9,051	228	-	70	1,304	2,566	62,319	
Home equity secured	787,359	620,217	16,840	9,265	4,189	24,595	49,934	62,319	
personal									
loans Consumer	840 788,199 39,442	825 621,042 38,705	16,840 238	9,265 218	4,189 154	24,595 125	15 49,949 2	62,319	

Leasing 30,024 29,394 471 82 44 26 7 Total \$857,665 \$689,141 \$17,549 \$9,565 \$4,387 \$24,746 \$49,958 \$62,319

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

December 31, 201	1
Delinguency	

				Dennqu	iency			
	Balance Outstanding	0-29 days	30-59 days	60-89 days	90-119 days	120-364 days	365+ days	Individually Measured for Impairment
			duys	(In thous	_	days		
Mortgage Traditional				(III thous	sanus)			
(by origination year) Up to the year 2002	\$ 115,047	\$ 95,886	\$ 2,009	\$ 1,514	\$ 406	\$ 4,972	\$ 10,260	\$ -
Years 200								
and 2004	169,157	138,490	7,835	3,140	939	7,318	11,435	-
Year 2005	·	77,255	2,137	2,573	945	3,117	6,264	-
Year 2006	130,575	107,526	4,018	1,358	446	7,416	9,811	-
Years 2007, 2008								
and 2009 Years 2010, 2011	136,807	124,595	2,562	655	1,039	3,224	4,732	-
and								
2012	41,925	40,848	370	194	_	410	103	_
	685,802	584,600	18,931	9,434	3,775	26,457	42,605	_
Non-traditional	70,025	57,240	1,109	819	849	2,725	7,283	-
Loss mitigation program	63,824	7,928	601	757	-	1,002	2,054	51,482
1 -8	819,651	649,768	20,641	11,010	4,624	30,184	51,942	51,482
Home equity secured	•	,	,	,	,	,	,	,
personal								
loans	1,411	946	142	_	_	_	323	_
×	821,062	650,714	20,783	11,010	4,624	30,184	52,265	51,482
Consumer	36,130	35,013	557	226	202	132	,	- ,
-	-,	- ,		_	- -			

Leasing 25,768 25,327 339 - 21 81 - - Total \$882,960 \$711,054 \$21,679 \$11,236 \$4,847 \$30,397 \$52,265 \$51,482

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

The following table presents the troubled-debt restructurings modified during the six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011:

D...

Six-Month Period Ended June 30, 2012

	Number of	Recorded	Pre-Modification Weighted Average Rate	Pre-ModificatRus Weighted Average Term (in Months) (Dollars in thou	Outstanding Recorded Investment	on Post-Modification Weighted Average Rate	Post-Modification Weighted Average Term (in Months)
Mortgage				(=	,		
loans	103	\$15,473	6.50%	313	\$16,419	4.96%	393
Commercial							
loans	6	5,600	5.80%	49	5,407	6.22%	65
			Six-M	onth Period Ende	d June 30, 20)11	
		Pre					
		Modification		Pre-ModificatRox			Post-Modification
		_	Pre-Modification	U	U	Post-Modification	O
	of	Recorded	Weighted	Average Term (in Months)		Weighted	Average Term (in
	contracts	mvestment	Average Rate	(Dollars in thou		Average Rate	Months)
Mortgage							
loans	90	\$12,680	6.87%	325	\$13,671	5.87%	374
Commercial							
loans	2	963	7.65%	110	1,058	5.44%	104

The following table presents troubled-debt restructurings modified and for which there was a payment default during the twelve-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011:

	Twelve-Month Period Ended June 30,										
	2	2012		2011							
	Number of contracts		corded estment	Number of contracts	Recorded Investment						
			(Dollars in	thousands)							
Mortgage loans	32	\$	4,110	24	\$	3,631					
Commercial	-	\$	-	1	\$	1,594					
		3	35								

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses

Non-Covered Loans

The Group maintains an allowance for loan and lease losses at a level that management considers adequate to provide for probable losses based upon an evaluation of known and inherent risks. The Group's allowance for loan and lease losses policy provides for a detailed quarterly analysis of probable losses. The analysis includes a review of historical loan loss experience, value of underlying collateral, current economic conditions, financial condition of borrowers and other pertinent factors. While management uses available information in estimating probable loan losses, future additions to the allowance may be required based on factors beyond the Group's control.

The following table presents the changes and the balance in the allowance for loan and lease losses for the quarters and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011:

	Quarter Ended June 30, 2012											
	M	lortgage	Co	mmercial	Co	onsumer (In tho		easing ls)	Un	allocated		Total
Allowance for loan and lease losses for						(III till)	usun					
non-covered loans:												
Balance at beginning of period	\$	18,967	\$	15,045	\$	1,328	\$	510	\$	1,511	\$	37,361
Charge-offs		(1,948)		(1,721)		(184)		-		-		(3,853)
Recoveries		-		34		56		4		-		94
Provision for												
(recapture of)												
non-covered												
loan and lease												
losses		2,769		2,620		(202)		(317)		(1,070)		3,800
Balance at end of period	\$	19,788	\$	15,978	\$	998	\$	197	\$	441	\$	37,402

Quarter Ended June 30, 2011

Mortgage – Allowance for loan and lease losses for		Commerci		Consumer (In thousa			Leasing usands)		Unallocated		Total
non-covered loans: Balance at beginning of period Charge-offs Recoveries Provision for (recapture of) non-covered	\$ 17,865 (1,268)	\$	12,007 (729) 16	\$	1,885 (345) 58	\$	959 (31) 1	\$	11 - -	\$	32,727 (2,373) 75
loan and lease losses Balance at end of period	1,173 17,770	\$	2,506 13,800	\$	(78) 1,520	\$	(61) 868	\$	260 271	\$	3,800 34,229
– Allowance for loan and lease losses for	Mortgage	Cor	Six-Month Period Ended Ju Commercial Consumer Leas (In thousands)								Total
non-covered loans: Balance at beginning of period Charge-offs Recoveries Provision for (recapture of) non-covered	(2,869)	\$	12,548 (3,358) 101	\$	1,423 (366) 107	\$	845 (31) 8	\$	542 - -	\$	37,010 (6,624) 216
loan and lease losses Balance at end of period	1,005 19,788	\$	6,687 15,978	\$ 36	(166) 998	\$	(625) 197	\$	(101) 441	\$	6,800 37,402

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

		Six-Month Period Ended June 30, 2011												
		ortgage	Co	mmercial	Co	onsumer (In thou		easing s)	Unallocated			Total		
Allowance for loan and lease losses for						(111 1110)		,						
non-covered loans:														
Balance at beginning of period	\$	16,179	\$	11,153	\$	2,286	\$	860	\$	952	\$	31,430		
Charge-offs		(3,088)		(1,038)		(792)		(92)		-		(5,010)		
Recoveries		45		53		111		1		-		209		
Provision for														
(recapture of) non-covered														
loan and lease														
losses		4,634		3,633		(84)		98		(681)		7,600		
Balance at end of period	¹ \$	17,770	\$	13,801	\$	1,520	\$	868	\$	271	\$	34,229		

The following table presents the recorded investment in gross loans by portfolio segment and based on the impairment method as of June 30, 2012 and 2011:

Mortgage				June 30, 2012 Commercial Consumer Leasing (In thousands)						llocated	Total	
Allowance for loan and lease losses for												
non-covered loans: Ending allowance balance attributable to loans: Individually												
5	\$	4,383	\$	532	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	4,915
evaluated for impairment		15,405		15,446		998		197		441		32,487
Total ending allowance balance	\$	19,788	\$	15,978	\$	998	\$	197	\$	441	\$	37,402

Edgar Filing: ORIENTAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

\$

\$

\$

102,099

\$

Loans:

evaluated for

impairment

Individually

Collectively

\$

62,319

\$

39,780

evaluated for impairment		725,880		281,904		39,442		30,024		-		1,077,250
Total ending non-covered loans balance	\$	788,199	\$	321,684	\$	39,442	\$	30,024	\$	-	\$	1,179,349
– Allowance for loan and lease losses for		Aortgage	Co	ommercial	Co	June 3 onsumer (In tho	Ī	Leasing	Una	illocated		Total
non-covered loans: Ending allowance balance attributable to loans:												
Individually evaluated for impairment Collectively	\$	2,933	\$	1,217	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	4,150
evaluated for impairment		14,837		12,583		1,520		868		271		30,079
Total ending allowance balance	\$	17,770	\$	13,800	\$	1,520	\$	868	\$	271	\$	34,229
Loans: Individually evaluated for	\$	45,210	\$	36,861	\$		\$		\$		\$	82,071
impairment Collectively	Φ	43,210	Ф	30,801	φ	-	φ	-	Φ	-	Ф	82,071
evaluated for impairment		806,208		228,101		31,462		17,104		-		1,082,875
Total ending non-covered loans balance	\$	851,418	\$	264,962	\$	31,462	\$	17,104	\$	-	\$	1,164,946

The Group evaluates all loans, some individually and others as homogeneous groups, for purposes of determining impairment. The total investment in impaired commercial loans was \$39.8 million and \$46.4 million at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. The impaired commercial loans were measured based on the fair value of collateral or the present value of cash flows method, including those identified as troubled-debt restructurings. The valuation allowance for impaired commercial loans amounted to approximately \$532 thousand and \$3.5 million at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. The total investment in impaired mortgage loans was \$62.3 million and \$51.5 million at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. Impairment on mortgage loans assessed as troubled-debt restructurings was measured using the present value of cash flows. The valuation allowance for impaired mortgage loans amounted to approximately \$4.4 million and \$3.4 million at June 30, 2012 and December

31, 2011, respectively.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

The Group's recorded investment in commercial and mortgage loans that were individually evaluated for impairment, excluding FDIC covered loans, and the related allowance for loan and lease losses at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 are as follows:

		Unpaid Principal	June 30, 2 Recorded Investment (In thousa	Specific Allowance	Coverage	
Impaired loans with specific						
allowance						
Commercial	\$	8,582	\$ 8,341	\$	532	6%
Residential troubled-debt restructuring		63,541	62,319		4,383	7%
Impaired loans with no specifi	c					
allowance						
Commercial		37,399	31,439		N/A	N/A
Total investment in impaired loans	\$	109,522	\$ 102,099	\$	4,915	5%

		Unpaid		Recorded		Specific	
		Principal		Investment		Allowance	Coverage
				(In thousa	nds)		
Impaired loans with specific							
allowance							
Commercial	\$	25,974	\$	23,368	\$	3,518	15%
Residential troubled-debt		52,480		51,482		3,355	7%
restructuring		32,400		31,462		3,333	170
Impaired loans with no specific	2						
allowance							
Commercial		23,780		22,996		N/A	N/A
Total investment in	\$	102,234	\$	97,846	\$	6,873	7%
impaired loans	Þ	102,234	Ф	97,040	Ф	0,873	1%

The following table presents the interest recognized in commercial and mortgage loans that were individually evaluated for impairment, excluding FDIC covered loans for the quarters ended June 30, 2012 and 2011:

Edgar Filing: ORIENTAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

		20	12		2011				
	Interest		A	Average	In	terest	Average		
	Income		R	Recorded	In	come	Recorded		
	Rec	Recognized		vestment	Rec	ognized	In	vestment	
				(In tho	ousands)				
Impaired loans with specific allowance	e								
Commercial	\$	132	\$	16,105	\$	338	\$	14,702	
Residential troubled-debt		461		62,548		338		38,062	
restructuring		401		02,346		336		38,002	
Impaired loans with no specific									
allowance									
Commercial		49		25,031		319		17,102	
Total interest income from	\$	642	\$	102 694	Φ	995	Φ	<i>4</i> 0 9 <i>44</i>	
impaired loans	Ф	042	Þ	103,684	\$	995	\$	69,866	

Siv.	M ₀	nth P	erind	Ended	June 30.
OIX:	- V I ()	HUH I	ei iou	randed	.iuiie .yv.

	2012			2011				
	I	nterest ncome cognized	R	Average Recorded vestment	Iı	nterest ncome cognized	R	Average ecorded vestment
Impaired loans with specific allowand	ce							
Commercial	\$	264	\$	20,516	\$	482	\$	15,639
Residential troubled-debt restructuring		874		59,466		677		36,193
Impaired loans with no specific								
allowance								
Commercial		104		21,864		516		13,822
Total interest income from impaired loans	\$	1,242	\$	101,846	\$	1,675	\$	65,654

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

Covered Loans under ASC 310-30

The Group's acquired loans under the FDIC-assisted acquisition of Eurobank were initially recorded at fair value, and no separate valuation allowance was recorded at the date of acquisition. The Group reviewed each loan at acquisition to determine if it should be accounted for under ASC 310-30 and, if so, determine whether each loan is to be accounted for individually or whether loans will be aggregated into pools of loans based on common risk characteristics. During the evaluation of whether a loan was considered impaired under ASC 310-30, the Group considered a number of factors, including the delinquency status of the loan, payment options and other loan features (i.e., reduced documentation, interest only, or negative amortization features), the geographic location of the borrower or collateral and the risk ratings assigned to the loans. In instances where it was determined that for some of the loans in the acquired loan pool that it is probable that all the contractual payments would be received (therefore the loans meet the first criteria of ASC 310-30-15-2 but not the second), the Group applied the discount accretion guidance as ASC 310-30, instead of the standard loan discount accretion guidance of ASC 310-20 to those loans (the loans under SOP 03-3). As documented in a letter from the AICPA Depository Institution Expert Panel to the Office of Chief Accountant of the SEC, on December 5, 2009, the SEC addressed the recognition of discount accretion for loans acquired under these circumstances. As referred to in the AICPA's letter, when loans are acquired with at a discount attributable in part to credit (e.g., at a fair value lower than the contractual amounts due) and such loans are not within the scope of ASC 310-30, the AICPA believed that the SEC would not object to an accounting policy based on contractual cash flows or an accounting policy based on expected cash flows, meaning that an entity could either apply the accretion guidance of ASC 310-20 or that of the ASC 310-30 to such loans. Consistent with the AICPA's views, the Group applied the guidance of ASC 310-30 to all loans acquired in the transaction including loans that do not meet the scope of ASC 310-30. Based on the criteria, the Group considered the entire Eurobank portfolio, except for credit cards, to be impaired and accounted for under ASC 310-30. Credit cards were accounted under ASC 310-20.

To the extent credit deterioration occurs in covered loans after the date of acquisition, the Group will record an allowance for loan and lease losses and an increase in the FDIC shared-loss indemnification asset for the expected reimbursement from the FDIC under the shared-loss agreements. The covered loans carrying amounts included in the balance sheet at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 are as follows:

	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011		
	(In thousands)			
Contractual required payments receivable	\$ 998,000 \$	1,134,524		
Less: Non-accretable discount	314,404	412,170		
Cash expected to be collected	683,596	722,354		
Less: Accretable yield	177,248	188,822		
Carrying amount, gross	506,348	533,532		
Less: Allowance for loan and lease losses	58,628	37,256		
Carrying amount, net	\$ 447,720 \$	496,276		

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

The following tables describe the accretable yield and non-accretable discount activity for the quarters and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011:

	Quarter En 2012	ded June	e 30, 2011	Six-Month Perio 2012	d Ende	ed June 30, 2011
	(In tho	ousands)		(In tho	usands))
Accretable Yield Activity Balance at beginning of						
period	\$ 174,878	\$	130,533	\$ 188,822	\$	148,556
Accretion	(20,342)		(13,060)	(41,884)		(27,285)
Transfer from (to) non-accretable discount	22,712		(1,751)	30,310		(5,549)
Balance at end of period	\$ 177,248	\$	115,722	\$ 177,248	\$	115,722
	Quarter En	ded June		Six-Month Perio	d Ende	
	2012		e 30, 2011	2012		2011
	2012	nded June ousands)		2012	d Ende	2011
Non-Accretable Discount Activity	2012			2012		2011
Activity Balance at beginning of	\$ 2012			\$ 2012		2011
Activity Balance at beginning of period Principal losses	\$ 2012 (In the	ousands)	2011	\$ 2012 (In tho	usands)	2011
Activity Balance at beginning of period	\$ 2012 (In the	ousands)	2011 564,230	\$ 2012 (In tho 412,170	usands)	2011 603,296

For covered loans, as part of the evaluation of actual versus expected cash flows, the Group assesses on a quarterly basis the credit quality of these loans based on delinquency, severity factors and risk ratings, among other assumptions. Migration and credit quality trends are assessed at the pool level, by comparing information from the latest evaluation period through the end of the reporting period. During the first quarter of the six-month period ended June 30, 2012, the Group further reviewed certain pools with commercial real estate, construction and development loans, which have been in non-accrual status since acquisition, whose cash flows were lower than the expectations exceeding the established thresholds. The Group reviewed the timing of the collections expected through workouts and/or the timing assessed for the particular workout loan to foreclose for the most significant loans comprising the particular pools selected for review. The credit quality assumptions for these pools were updated to reflect increases in default rates, severities and extension of recovery lags resulting in an increase in the provision for covered loan and lease losses. For other pools composed of performing commercial real estate loans and leases, the Group noted that the actual cash flows were better than expected. Thus, for these pools a reversal of previously recorded allowance and a re-yielding of the leasing pools were recorded. As result, during such first quarter of 2012 assessment the Group recorded a net increase in the allowance for covered loans of \$19.2 million which was partially offset by an increase in the FDIC shared-loss indemnification asset of \$12.0 million. Also as part of our quarterly assessment of the actual

versus expected cash flows of covered loans, during the quarter ended June 30, 2012, the Group further reviewed particular loan performances and expected workout developments to derive more assumptions on certain pools secured by real estate and pools of commercial and industrial loans. Certain pools of loans secured by real estate and a pool of loan secured by farmland underperformed in their actual cash flows and an impairment of \$2.2 million was recorded during the quarter. Such amount was partially offset by an increase in the FDIC shared-loss indemnification asset of \$724 thousand. For other pools, losses projected were deemed lower than our previous assessment and thus the pools were re-yielded. The reduced losses effect on the FDIC shared-loss indemnification asset is to be amortized through the life of such agreement.

The changes in the allowance for loan and lease losses on covered loans for the quarters and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 were as follows:

_	Quarter I 2012	Ended Jun	e 30, 2011	Six-Month Perio 2012	d End	ed June 30, 2011
_	(In t	housands)		(In tho	usands	s)
Balance at beginning of the period	56,437	\$	53,480	\$ 37,256	\$	49,286
Provision for covered loan and lease losses, net FDIC shared-loss portion of provision for covered loan	1,467		-	8,624		549
and lease losses, net Balance at end of the period	724 58,628	\$ 40	(444) 53,036	\$ 12,748 58,628	\$	3,201 53,036

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

The Group's recorded investment in covered loan pools that have recorded impairments and their related allowance for covered loan and lease losses as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 are as follows:

June 30, 2012

		Unpaid Principal	_	Recorded nvestment (In thousa	Specific Allowance	Coverage
Impaired covered loan pools with specific allowance Loans secured by 1-4 family residential properties Construction and development secured by 1-4 family		60,236	\$	35,580	\$ 3,464	10%
residential properties		80,072		19,130	9,147	48%
Commercial and other construction		198,946		87,761	44,125	50%
Consumer		17,260		11,792	1,892	16%
Total investment in impaired covered loan pools	\$	356,514	\$	154,263	\$ 58,628	38%

December 31, 2011

	Unpaid Principal	_	Recorded nvestment (In thousa	ands)	Specific Allowance	Coverage
Impaired covered loan pools with specific allowance Loans secured by 1-4 family residential properties Construction and development secured by 1-4 family	\$ 55,901	\$	32,130	\$	1,657	5%
residential properties	80,821		16,976		2,042	12%
Commercial and other construction	357,596		146,924		31,665	22%
Consumer	19,619		13,778		1,892	14%
Total investment in impaired covered loan pools	\$ 513,937	\$	209,808	\$	37,256	18%

NOTE 6 - SERVICING ASSETS

The Group periodically sells or securitizes mortgage loans while retaining the obligation to perform the servicing of such loans. In addition, the Group may purchase or assume the right to service mortgage loans originated by others. Whenever the Group undertakes an obligation to service a loan, management assesses whether a servicing asset and/or liability should be recognized. A servicing asset is recognized whenever the compensation for servicing is expected to more than adequately compensate the Group for servicing the loans and leases. Likewise, a servicing liability would be recognized in the event that servicing fees to be received are not expected to adequately compensate the Group for its expected cost.

All separately recognized servicing assets are recognized at fair value using the fair value measurement method. Under the fair value measurement method, the Group measures servicing rights at fair value at each reporting date, reports changes in fair value of servicing assets in earnings in the period in which the changes occur, and includes these changes, if any, with mortgage banking activities in the consolidated statements of operations. The fair value of servicing rights is subject to fluctuations as a result of changes in estimated and actual prepayment speeds and default rates and losses.

The fair value of servicing rights is estimated by using a cash flow valuation model which calculates the present value of estimated future net servicing cash flows, taking into consideration actual and expected loan prepayment rates, discount rates, servicing costs, and other economic factors, which are determined based on current market conditions.

At June 30, 2012, servicing assets are composed of \$10.7 million (\$10.2 million — December 31, 2011) related to residential mortgage loans and \$118 thousand (\$221 thousand — December 31, 2011) of leasing servicing assets acquired in the FDIC-assisted acquisition on April 30, 2010.

41

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

The following table presents the changes in servicing rights measured using the fair value method for the quarters and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011:

	Quarter Ended June 30, 2012 2011 (In thousands)			Six-Month Period Ended June 30 2012 2011 (In thousands)			
Fair value at beginning of period	\$ 10,725	Susanu;	9,963	\$ 10,454	susano \$	9,695	
Servicing from mortgage securitizations or assets transfers Changes due to payments on	499		693	919		1,213	
loans Changes in fair value due to changes in valuation model	(241)		(237)	(476)		(481)	
inputs or assumptions Fair value at end of period	\$ (207) 10,776	\$	(579) 9,840	\$ (121) 10,776	\$	(587) 9,840	

The following table presents key economic assumptions ranges used in measuring the mortgage related servicing asset fair value for the quarters and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011:

	Quarter End	led June 30,	Six-Month Period Ended June 30,			
	2012	2011	2012	2011		
Constant prepayment rate	9.69% - 28.53%	9.01% - 32.55%	9.69% - 33.05%	7.87% - 32.55%		
Discount note	10.50% -	11.00% -	10.50% -	11.00% -		
Discount rate	13.50%	14.00%	13.50%	14.00%		

The following table presents key economic assumptions ranges used in measuring the leasing related servicing asset fair value for the quarters and six month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011:

	Quarter Ended	l June 30,	Six-Month Period Ended June 30,			
	2012	2011	2012	2011		
Diagonal mate	13.19% -	13.22% -	13.19% -	13.22% -		
Discount rate	15.52%	16.60%	15.52%	17.38%		

The sensitivity of the current fair value of servicing assets to immediate 10 percent and 20 percent adverse changes in the above key assumptions were as follows:

		June 30, 2012 (In thousands)
Mortgage related servicing asset	_	
Carrying value of mortgage servicing asset	\$	10,658
Constant prepayment rate		
Decrease in fair value due to 10% adverse change	\$	(441)
Decrease in fair value due to 20% adverse change	\$	(854)
Discount rate		
Decrease in fair value due to 10% adverse change	\$	(456)
Decrease in fair value due to 20% adverse change	\$	(877)
Leasing servicing asset	_	
Carrying value of leasing servicing asset	\$	118
Discount rate		
Decrease in fair value due to 10% adverse change	\$	(1)
Decrease in fair value due to 20% adverse change	\$	(2)
	42	

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

These sensitivities are hypothetical and should be used with caution. As the figures indicate, changes in fair value based on a 10 percent variation in assumptions generally cannot be extrapolated because the relationship of the change in assumption to the change in fair value may not be linear. Also, in this table, the effect of a variation in a particular assumption on the fair value of the retained interest is calculated without changing any other assumption.

In reality, changes in one factor may result in changes in another (for example, increases in market interest rates may result in lower prepayments), which may magnify or offset the sensitivities. Mortgage banking activities, a component of total banking and wealth management revenues in the unaudited consolidated statements of operations, include the changes from period to period in the fair value of the mortgage loan servicing rights, which may result from changes in the valuation model inputs or assumptions (principally reflecting changes in discount rates and prepayment speed assumptions) and other changes, including changes due to collection/realization of expected cash flows.

Servicing fee income is based on a contractual percentage of the outstanding principal and is recorded as income when earned. Servicing fees on mortgage loans totaled \$889 thousand and \$751 thousand for the quarters ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. These fees totaled \$1.7 million and \$1.5 million for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. There were no late fees and ancillary fees recorded in such periods because these fees belong to the third party with which the Group is engaged in a subservicing agreement. Servicing fees on leases amounted to \$64 thousand and \$183 thousand for the quarters ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. These fees totaled \$147 thousand and \$399 thousand for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

NOTE 7 — PREMISES AND EQUIPMENT

Premises and equipment at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization as follows:

	Useful Life (Years)	June 30, 2012 (In thousands)	December 31, 2011
Land	_	\$ 2,254	\$ 2,254
Buildings and improvements	40	6,286	5,890
Leasehold improvements	5 — 10	18,264	18,182
Furniture and fixtures	3 — 7	9,165	9,718
Information technology and other	3 — 7	19,415	20,008
		55,384	56,052

Less: accumulated depreciation and	(35,294)	(34,532)
amortization	(33,277)	(34,332)
	\$ 20,090	\$ 21,520

Depreciation and amortization of premises and equipment for the quarters ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 totalled \$1.2 million and \$1.3 million, respectively. For the six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, these expenses amounted to \$2.4 million and \$2.7 million, respectively. These are included in the unaudited consolidated statements of operations as part of occupancy and equipment expenses.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

NOTE 8 — DERIVATIVE ACTIVITIES

During the six-month period ended June 30, 2012, there were no significant transactions impacting our operations reflected as "Derivative Activities" in the unaudited consolidated statements of operations. During the six-month period ended June 30, 2011, losses of \$7.7 million were recognized and reflected as "Derivative Activities" in the unaudited consolidated statements of operations. These losses were mainly due to realized losses of \$4.3 million from terminations of forward-settlement swaps with a notional amount of \$1.25 billion, and to realized losses of \$2.2 million from terminations of options to enter into interest rate swaps with a notional amount of \$250 million.

The following table details "Derivative Assets" and "Derivative Liabilities" as reflected in the unaudited consolidated statements of financial condition at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

	June 30, 2012 (In thousands)			December 31, 2011	
Derivative assets: Options tied to Standard & Poor's 500 Stock Market Index	\$	11,367	\$	9,317	
Derivative liabilities: Forward settlement swaps and interest rate swaps	\$	56,217	\$	47,425	

Forward-Settlement Swaps and Interest Rate Swaps

The Group enters into forward-settlement swaps to hedge the variability of future interest cash flows of forecasted wholesale borrowings, attributable to changes in the one-month LIBOR rate. Once the forecasted wholesale borrowings transactions occur, the interest rate swap will effectively fix the Group's interest payments on an amount of forecasted interest expense attributable to the one-month LIBOR rate corresponding to the swap notional stated rate. These forward-settlement swaps are designated as cash flow hedges for the forecasted wholesale borrowings transactions and properly documented as such, and therefore, qualifying for cash flow hedge accounting. Changes in the fair value of these derivatives are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income to the extent there is no significant ineffectiveness in the cash flow hedging relationships. Currently, the Group does not expect to reclassify any amount included in other comprehensive income related to these forward-settlement swaps to earnings in the next twelve months.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

The following table shows a summary of these swaps and their terms at June 30, 2012:

Туре		Notional Amount	Fixed Rate	Trade Date	Settlement Date	Maturity Date
		(In thousands)				
Interest Rate Swaps	\$	100,000	1.9275%	03/18/11	12/28/11	01/28/15
		100,000	2.0000%	03/18/11	12/28/11	03/28/15
		100,000	2.1100%	03/18/11	12/28/11	06/28/15
		25,000	2.4365%	05/05/11	05/04/12	05/04/16
		125,000	1.6550%	03/18/11	05/09/12	02/09/14
		125,000	1.7700%	03/18/11	05/09/12	05/09/14
		100,000	1.8975%	03/18/11	05/09/12	08/09/14
	\$	675,000				
Forward-Settlement	\$					
Swaps	Ф	25,000	2.6200%	05/05/11	07/24/12	07/24/16
		25,000	2.6350%	05/05/11	07/30/12	07/30/16
		50,000	2.6590%	05/05/11	08/10/12	08/10/16
		100,000	2.2225%	05/05/11	08/14/12	05/14/15
		100,000	2.6750%	05/05/11	08/16/12	08/16/16
		150,000	2.7795%	05/05/11	12/06/12	06/06/16
	\$	450,000				

An unrealized loss of \$56.2 million was recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income related to the valuation of these swaps at June 30, 2012, and the related liability is being reflected in the accompanying unaudited consolidated statements of financial condition.

Swap Options

In May 2011, the Group sold all options to enter into interest rate swaps, not designated as cash flow hedges, with an aggregate notional amount of \$250 million, recording a loss of \$2.2 million.

Options Tied to Standard & Poor's 500 Stock Market Index

The Group has offered its customers certificates of deposit with an option tied to the performance of the Standard & Poor's 500 stock market index ("S&P Index"). The Group uses option agreements with major broker-dealer companies to manage its exposure to changes in this index. Under the terms of the option agreements, the Group receives the average increase in the month-end value of the index in exchange for a fixed premium. The changes in fair value of the option agreements used to manage the exposure in the stock market in the certificates of deposit are recorded in earnings. At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the purchased options used to manage the exposure to the stock market on stock indexed deposits represented an asset of \$11.4 million (notional amount of \$78.5 million) and \$9.3 million (notional amount of \$130.9 million), respectively; the options sold to customers embedded in the certificates of deposit and recorded as deposits in the unaudited consolidated statements of financial condition, represented a liability of \$10.9 million (notional amount of \$73.9 million) and \$9.4 million (notional amount of \$125.8 million), respectively.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

NOTE 9 — ACCRUED INTEREST RECEIVABLE AND OTHER ASSETS

Accrued interest receivable at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 consists of the following:

	June 30, 2012		December 31, 2011		
	(In tho	usands)			
Non-covered loans	\$ 5,283	\$	5,519		
Investments	11,975		14,663		
	\$ 17,258	\$	20,182		

Other assets at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 consist of the following:

		June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011	
		usands)		
Prepaid FDIC insurance	\$	8,986	\$	11,599
Other prepaid expenses		11,762		6,498
Servicing advance		7,717		13,990
Goodwill		2,701		2,701
Mortgage tax credits		1,303		1,303
Core deposit intangible		1,114		1,185
Investment in Statutory Trust		1,086		1,086
Debt issuance costs		751		1,067
Other repossessed assets (covered by FDIC shared-loss				
agreements)		565		708
Accounts receivable and other assets		11,462		14,346
	\$	47,447	\$	54,483

On November 12, 2009, the FDIC adopted a final rule requiring insured depository institutions to prepay their estimated quarterly risk-based assessments for the fourth quarter of 2009, and for all of 2010, 2011, and 2012, on December 31, 2009, along with each institution's risk-based deposit insurance assessment for the third quarter of 2009. The prepayment balance of the assessment covering fiscal years 2011 and 2012 amounted to \$9.0 million and \$11.6 million at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

Other prepaid expenses amounting to \$11.8 million and \$6.5 million at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, include prepaid municipal, property and income taxes aggregating to \$8.7 million and \$3.2 million, respectively.

Servicing advance amounting to \$7.7 million and \$14.0 million at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, represents the advances made to Bayview in order to service some of the Group's acquired loan portfolio.

In December 2007, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico established mortgage loan tax credits for financial institutions that provided financing for the acquisition of new homes. Under an agreement reached during the quarter ended September 30, 2011 with the Puerto Rico Treasury Department, the Group may use half of these credits to reduce taxable income in taxable year 2011, and the remaining half of the credits in taxable year 2012. At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, tax credits for the Group amounted \$1.3 million for both periods.

As part of the FDIC-assisted acquisition, the Group registered a core deposit intangible representing the value of checking and savings deposits acquired. At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the core deposit intangible amounted to \$1.1 million and 1.2 million, respectively. Also, as part of this transaction the Group recorded a goodwill amounting to \$695 thousand.

Other repossessed assets amounting to \$565 thousand and \$708 thousand at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, represent covered assets under the FDIC shared-loss agreements and are related to the leasing portfolio acquired in the FDIC-assisted acquisition.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

NOTE 10 — DEPOSITS AND RELATED INTEREST

Total deposits as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 consist of the following:

	Jı	ine 30, 2012 (In tho	December 31, 2011 busands)	
Non-interest bearing demand deposits	\$	188,328	\$	192,256
Interest-bearing savings and demand deposits		1,104,441		1,081,447
Individual retirement accounts		368,051		361,411
Retail certificates of deposit		330,598		375,891
Total retail deposits		1,991,418		2,011,005
Institutional deposits		85,376		168,301
Brokered deposits		146,148		255,879
•	\$	2,222,942	\$	2,435,185

At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the weighted average interest rate of the Group's deposits was 1.49%, and 1.88%, respectively, inclusive of non-interest bearing deposits of \$188.3 million and \$192.3 million, respectively. Interest expense for the quarters ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 is set forth below:

	Quarter Ended June 30,				Six-Month Period Ended June 30,		
	2012		2011		2012		2011
	(In thousands)				(In tho	usands)
Demand and savings							
deposits	\$ 2,848	\$	3,971	\$	6,024	\$	8,625
Certificates of deposit	5,116		7,575		11,186		15,204
-	\$ 7,964	\$	11,546	\$	17,210	\$	23,829

At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, interest-bearing savings and demand deposits included deposits of the Puerto Rico Cash & Money Market Fund, which amounted to \$55.6 million and \$39.9 million, respectively, with a weighted average rate of 0.79% and 0.80%, and were collateralized with investment securities with a fair value of \$71.2 million and \$62.7 million, respectively.

At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, time deposits in denominations of \$100 thousand or higher, excluding unamortized discounts, amounted to \$341.3 million and \$456.1 million, including public fund time deposits from various Puerto Rico government agencies of \$195 thousand and \$65.2 million, respectively, at a weighted average rate of 0.5% in both periods.

At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, public fund deposits from various Puerto Rico government agencies were collateralized with investment securities with fair value of \$11.3 million and \$71.9 million, respectively.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

Excluding equity indexed options in the amount of \$10.9 million, which are used by the Group to manage its exposure to the S&P Index, and also excluding accrued interest of \$3.0 million and unamortized deposit discounts in the amount of \$3.8 million, the scheduled maturities of certificates of deposit at June 30, 2012 are as follows:

	June 30, 2012 (In thousands)			
Within one year:				
Three (3) months or less	\$	146,871		
Over 3 months through 1 year		348,958		
		495,829		
Over 1 through 2 years		231,499		
Over 2 through 3 years		99,051		
Over 3 through 4 years		58,745		
Over 4 through 5 years		34,646		
	\$	919,770		

The aggregate amount of overdraft in demand deposit accounts that were reclassified to loans amounted to \$727 thousand and \$456 thousand as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

NOTE 11 — BORROWINGS

Securities Sold under Agreements to Repurchase

At June 30, 2012, securities underlying agreements to repurchase were delivered to, and are being held by, the counterparties with whom the repurchase agreements were transacted. The counterparties have agreed to resell to the Group the same or similar securities at the maturity of the agreements.

At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, securities sold under agreements to repurchase (classified by counterparty), excluding accrued interest in the amount of \$3.9 million in both periods, were as follows:

June 30, December 31,

Edgar Filing: ORIENTAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

		2012				2011				
	Borrowing Balance		Fair Value of Underlying Collateral (In tho	usands	Borrowing Balance		Fair Value of Underlying Collateral			
Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC	\$ 1,250,000	\$	1,299,981	\$	1,250,000	\$	1,337,394			
Citigroup Global Markets Inc.	550,000		599,753		900,000		974,286			
UBS Financial Services Inc.	500,000		621,770		500,000		620,888			
JP Morgan Chase Bank NA	300,000		332,461		300,000		357,400			
Deutsche Bank	225,000		240,460		100,000		109,177			
Goldman Sachs & Co.	125,000		131,812		-		-			
Barclays Bank	100,000		108,575		-		-			
Total	\$ 3,050,000	\$	3,334,812 48	\$	3,050,000	\$	3,399,145			

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

The original terms of the Group's structured repurchase agreements range between three and ten years, and except for four repurchase agreements totaling \$600 million and maturing in the years 2014 and 2015 and four repurchase agreements totaling \$450 million maturing during the next quarter (as described below), the counterparties have the right to exercise put options at par on a quarterly basis before their contractual maturities from one to three years after the agreements' settlement dates. The Group's \$500 million repurchase agreement maturing on March 2, 2017 also provides for an optional early termination by either party upon no less than two business days' prior written notice to the other party. In the event of any such optional early termination, the amounts owed by or to the terminating party by the other party on the optional early termination date must take account of the termination value of the transaction, as determined by the calculation agent in the manner described in the repurchase agreement. The following table shows a summary of the Group's structured repurchase agreements and their terms, excluding accrued interest in the amount of \$3.9 million, at June 30, 2012:

Year of Maturity		Borrowing Balance	Weighted- Average Coupon	Settlement Date	Maturity Date	Next Put Date
i car of wraturity		(In thousands)	Coupon	Settlement Date	Date	Date
2012		(III tilousulus)				
2012	\$	100,000	0.52%	6/11/2012	7/9/2012	N/A
	_	125,000	0.38%	6/11/2012	7/9/2012	N/A
		125,000	0.37%	6/11/2012	7/9/2012	N/A
		100,000	4.50%	8/14/2007	8/14/2012	N/A
		150,000	4.31%	3/6/2007	12/6/2012	9/6/2012
		600,000				
2014						
		100,000	4.72%	7/27/2007	7/27/2014	7/27/2012
		300,000	2.86%	3/28/2011	9/28/2014	N/A
		400,000				
2015						
		100,000	0.54%	12/28/2011	3/30/2015	N/A
		100,000	0.63%	12/29/2011	1/28/2015	N/A
		100,000	0.59%	12/28/2011	6/28/2015	N/A
		300,000				
2017						
		500,000	4.67%	3/2/2007	3/2/2017	9/4/2012
		250,000	0.25%	3/2/2007	3/2/2017	9/4/2012
		100,000	0.00%	6/6/2007	3/6/2017	9/6/2012
		900,000	0.00%	3/6/2007	6/6/2017	9/6/2012
		1,750,000	_			
	\$	3,050,000	1.69%			

All of the structured repurchase agreements referred to above with maturity dates up to the date of this filing were renewed as one-month short-term repurchase agreements.

The structured repurchase agreement referred to above with a next put date of July 27, 2012 was not put by the counterparty.

Repurchase agreements include \$1.25 billion, which reset at each put date at a formula which is based on the three-month LIBOR rate less fifteen times the difference between the ten-year SWAP rate and the two-year SWAP rate, with a minimum of 0.00% on \$1.0 billion and 0.25% on \$250 million, and a maximum of 10.6%. These repurchase agreements bear the respective minimum rates of 0.00% and 0.25% to at least their next put dates scheduled for September 2012.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

Advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank

Advances are received from the FHLB under an agreement whereby the Group is required to maintain a minimum amount of qualifying collateral with a fair value of at least 110% of the outstanding advances. At June 30, 2012, these advances were secured by mortgage and commercial loans amounting to \$522.1 million and \$50.5 million, respectively. Also, at June 30, 2012, the Group has an additional borrowing capacity with the FHLB of \$157.8 million. At June 30, 2012 and December 31 2011, the weighted average remaining maturity of FHLB's advances was 6.3 months and 11.2 months, respectively. The original terms of these advances range between five and seven years, and the FHLB has the right to exercise put options at par on a quarterly basis before the contractual maturity of the advances from nine months to one year after the advances' settlement dates. The following table shows a summary of these advances and their terms, excluding accrued interest in the amount of \$1.6 million, at June 30, 2012:

	n ·	Weighted-		D. F	NI 4 D 4
T 7 6	Borrowing	Average		Maturity	Next Put
Year of Maturity	Balance	Coupon	Settlement Date	Date	Date
	(In thousands)				
2012					
	\$ 25,000	0.37%	6/4/2012	7/5/2012	N/A
	25,000	4.57%	7/24/2007	7/24/2012	N/A
	25,000	4.26%	7/30/2007	7/30/2012	N/A
	50,000	4.33%	8/10/2007	8/10/2012	N/A
	100,000	4.09%	8/16/2007	8/16/2012	N/A
	225,000				
2014					
	25,000	4.20%	5/8/2007	5/8/2014	8/8/2012
	30,000	4.22%	5/11/2007	5/11/2014	8/11/2012
	55,000				
2017					
	5,070	1.24%	4/3/2012	4/3/2017	N/A
	5,070				
	\$ 285,070	3.83%			

All of the advances referred to above with maturity dates up to the date of this filing were renewed as one-month short-term advances.

On March 16, 2012, the Group's banking subsidiary repaid at maturity the \$105 million in senior unsecured notes that it issued in March 2009 under the FDIC's Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program.

50

ORIENTAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

Subordinated Capital Notes

Subordinated capital notes amounted to \$36.1 million at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

In August 2003, the Statutory Trust II, a special purpose entity of the Group, was formed for the purpose of issuing trust redeemable preferred securities. In September 2003, \$35.0 million of trust redeemable preferred securities were issued by the Statutory Trust II as part of pooled underwriting transactions. Pooled underwriting involves participating with other bank holding companies in issuing the securities through a special purpose pooling vehicle created by the underwriters.

The proceeds from this issuance were used by the Statutory Trust II to purchase a like amount of floating rate junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures ("subordinated capital note") issued by the Group. The subordinated capital note has a par value of \$36.1 million, bears interest based on 3-month LIBOR plus 295 basis points (3.42% at June 30, 2012; 3.30% at December 31, 2011), is payable quarterly, and matures on September 17, 2033. The subordinated capital note purchased by the Statutory Trust II may be called at par after five years and quarterly thereafter (next call date September 2012). The trust redeemable preferred securities have the same maturity and call provisions as the subordinated capital notes. The subordinated deferrable interest debentures issued by the Group are accounted for as a liability denominated as subordinated capital note on the unaudited consolidated statements of financial condition.

The subordinated capital note is treated as Tier 1 capital for regulatory purposes. Under Federal Reserve Board rules, restricted core capital elements, which are qualifying trust preferred securities, qualifying cumulative perpetual preferred stock (and related surplus) and certain minority interests in consolidated subsidiaries, are limited in the aggregate to no more than 25% of a bank holding company's core capital elements (including restricted core capital elements), net of goodwill less any associated deferred tax liability. However, under the Dodd-Frank Act, bank holding companies are prohibited from including in their Tier 1 capital hybrid debt and equity securities, including trust preferred securities, issued on or after May 19, 2010. Any such instruments issued before May 19, 2010 by a bank holding company, such as the Group, with total consolidated assets of less than \$15 billion as of December 31, 2009, may continue to be included as Tier 1 capital. Therefore, the Group is permitted to continue to include its existing trust preferred securities as Tier 1 capital.

NOTE 12 — RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The Bank grants loans to its directors, executive officers and to certain related individuals or organizations in the ordinary course of business. These loans are offered at the same terms as loans to unrelated third parties. As of June

30, 2012 and December 31, 2012, these loans balances amounted to \$5.1 million and \$3.8 million, respectively. The activity and balance of these loans for the quarters and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 were as follows:

	Quarter End 2012	led Jun	e 30, 2011	L	Six-Month Period 3	Ended .	June 30, 2011
	(In thou	ısands)			(In thous	ands)	
Balance at the							
beginning of period	\$ 5,238			3,242	\$ 3,772		3,452
New loans	-			-	1,505		-
Repayments	(180)			(29)	(219)		(52)
Credits of persons no							
longer							
considered related							
parties	-			-	-		(187)
Balance at the end of							
period	\$ 5,058	\$		3,213	\$ 5,058	\$	3,213
-	*		51	·			

ORIENTAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

NOTE 13 — INCOME TAXES

At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the Group's net deferred tax asset amounted to \$35.9 million and \$32.0 million, respectively. Income tax for the quarters ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 totaled an expense of \$1.1 million and a benefit of \$1.4 million, respectively. Income tax expense for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 totaled \$3.0 million and \$5.1 million, respectively.

At December 31, 2011, OIB had \$2.9 million in the income tax effect of unrecognized gain on available-for-sale securities included in other comprehensive income. Following the change in OIB's applicable tax rate from 5% to 0% as a result of new legislation adopted in 2011, this remaining tax balance will flow through income as these securities are repaid or sold in future periods, due to OIB becoming tax exempt under Puerto Rico law. During the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2012, the income tax provision included \$166 thousand and \$724 thousand, respectively, related to this residual tax effect from OIB.

The Group classifies unrecognized tax benefits in income taxes payable. These gross unrecognized tax benefits would affect the effective tax rate if realized. The balance of unrecognized tax benefits at June 30, 2012 was \$1.3 million (December 31, 2011 - \$1.4 million). The Group had accrued \$739 thousand at June 30, 2012 (December 31, 2011 - \$342 thousand) for the payment of interest and penalties relating to unrecognized tax benefits.

NOTE 14 — STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

Regulatory Capital Requirements

The Group (on a consolidated basis) and the Bank are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possibly additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Group's and the Bank's financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Group and the Bank must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of their assets, liabilities and certain off-balance sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings, and other factors. This has changed under the Dodd-Frank Act, which requires federal banking regulators to establish minimum leverage and risk-based capital requirements, on a consolidated basis, for insured institutions, depository institutions, depository institution holding companies, and non-bank financial companies supervised by the Federal Reserve Board. The minimum leverage and risk-based capital requirements are

to be determined based on the minimum ratios established for insured depository institutions under prompt corrective action regulations.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Group and the Bank to maintain minimum amounts and ratios (set forth in the following table) of total and Tier 1 capital (as defined in the regulations) to risk-weighted assets (as defined in the regulations) and of Tier 1 capital to average assets (as defined in the regulations). As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the Group and the Bank met all capital adequacy requirements to which they are subject. As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the FDIC categorized the Bank as "well capitalized" under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. To be categorized as "well capitalized," an institution must maintain minimum total risk-based, Tier 1 risk-based and Tier 1 leverage ratios as set forth in the following tables.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

The Group's and the Bank's actual capital amounts and ratios as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 are as follows:

	Actual				Minimum Capital Requirement			
		Amount	Ratio		Amount	Ratio		
	(Dollars in thousands)							
Group Ratios								
As of June 30, 2012								
Total Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets	\$	701,632	33.79%	\$	166,117	8.00%		
Tier 1 Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets	\$	674,804	32.50%	\$	83,059	4.00%		
Tier 1 Capital to Total Assets	\$	674,804	10.75%	\$	251,187	4.00%		
As of December 31, 2011								
Total Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets	\$	688,452	32.80%	\$	167,929	8.00%		
Tier 1 Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets	\$	661,614	31.52%	\$	83,964	4.00%		
Tier 1 Capital to Total Assets	\$	661,614	9.65%	\$	274,230	4.00%		

		Actual			Minimum Capital Requirement			Minimum to be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Provisions		
		Amount	Ratio		Amount	Ratio		Amount	Ratio	
Daula Da4'aa		(Dollars in thousands)								
Bank Ratios As of June 30, 2012 Total Capital to										
Risk-Weighted Assets	\$	670,024	32.48%	\$	165,026	8.00%	\$	206,282	10.00%	
Tier 1 Capital to										
Risk-Weighted Assets	\$	643,364	31.19%	\$	82,513	$\boldsymbol{4.00\%}$	\$	123,769	6.00%	
Tier 1 Capital to Total										
Assets	\$	643,364	10.30%	\$	249,852	$\boldsymbol{4.00\%}$	\$	312,315	5.00%	
As of December 31, 201	<u>1</u>									
Total Capital to										
Risk-Weighted Assets	\$	643,266	30.84%	\$	166,876	8.00%	\$	208,595	10.00%	
Tier 1 Capital to										
Risk-Weighted Assets	\$	616,590	29.56%	\$	83,438	$\boldsymbol{4.00\%}$	\$	125,157	6.00%	
Tier 1 Capital to Total										
Assets	\$	616,590	9.06%	\$	272,177	4.00%	\$	340,221	5.00%	

The Group's ability to pay dividends to its shareholders and other activities can be restricted if its capital falls below levels established by the Federal Reserve Board's guidelines. In addition, any bank holding company whose capital falls below levels specified in the guidelines can be required to implement a plan to increase capital.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

Equity-Based Compensation Plan

The Omnibus Plan provides for equity-based compensation incentives through the grant of stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units, and dividend equivalents, as well as equity-based performance awards. The Omnibus Plan replaced and superseded the Stock Option Plans. All outstanding stock options under the Stock Option Plans continue in full force and effect, subject to their original terms.

The activity in outstanding options for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 is set forth below:

	Six-Month Period Ended June 30,							
	2	2012						
		Weighted			We	ighted		
	Number	Number Average Of Exercise		Number	Average			
	Of			Of Exc		xercise		
	Options	P	rice	Options	Price			
Beginning of period	786,704	\$	15.02	765,989	\$	15.25		
Options granted	204,543		11.83	85,000		11.90		
Options exercised	(32,954)		11.98	(550)		9.19		
Options forfeited	(23,500)		11.93	(26,696)		15.69		
End of period	934,793	\$	14.51	823,743	\$	14.89		

The following table summarizes the range of exercise prices and the weighted average remaining contractual life of the options outstanding at June 30, 2012:

		Exercisable					
	Number of		eighted	Weighted Average Contract Life	Numb on of		Weighted
Range of Exercise	Number of	A	verage	Remaining	Number of		Average Exercise
Prices	Options	Exer	cise Price	(Years)	Options		Price
\$5.63 to \$8.45	12,432	\$	8.28	6.8	5,885	\$	8.28
8.46 to 11.27	1,000		10.29	5.1	500		10.29
11.28 to 14.09	691,876		12.06	7.5	189,433		12.32

Edgar Filing: ORIENTAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Value	\$ 35,600		\$	16,873	
Aggregate Intrinsic					
	934,793	\$ 14.51	6.2	423,178	\$ 17.60
25.36 to 28.17	59,050	27.46	2.3	59,050	27.46
22.55 to 25.35	83,350	23.99	1.8	83,350	23.99
19.72 to 22.54	25,050	20.68	2.7	22,925	20.57
14.10 to 16.90	62,035	15.60	2.1	62,035	15.60

The average fair value of each option granted during the six-month period ended June 30, 2012 was \$5.41. The average fair value of each option granted was estimated at the date of the grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The Black-Scholes option-pricing model was developed for use in estimating the fair value of traded options that have no restrictions and are fully transferable and negotiable in a free trading market. Black-Scholes does not consider the employment, transfer or vesting restrictions that are inherent in the Group's stock options. Use of an option valuation model, as required by GAAP, includes highly subjective assumptions based on long-term predictions, including the expected stock price volatility and average life of each option grant.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

The following assumptions were used in estimating the fair value of the options granted during the six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011:

	Six-Month Period Ended June 30,			
	2012	2011		
Weighted average assumptions:				
Dividend yield	1.80%	1.63%		
Expected volatility	51.13%	59.04%		
Risk-free interest rate	1.70%	3.11%		
Expected life (in years)	8.0	8.0		

The following table summarizes the restricted units' activity under the Omnibus Plan for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011:

	Six-Month Period Ended June 30,						
		2012			2011		
			Weighted Average			Weighted Average	
	Restricted		Grant Date	Restricted		Grant Date Fair Value	
	Units		Fair Value	Units			
Beginning of period	205,149	\$	11.27	243,525	\$	13.43	
Restricted units granted	57,350		11.85	39,500		11.82	
Restricted units lapsed	(42,974)		8.29	(45,616)		20.74	
Restricted units forfeited	(2,789)		11.71	(9,238)		13.38	
End of period	216,736	\$	12.01	228,171	\$	11.69	

Legal Surplus

The Banking Act requires that a minimum of 10% of the Bank's net income for the year be transferred to a reserve fund until such fund (legal surplus) equals the total paid in capital on common and preferred stock. At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, legal surplus amounted to \$52.7 million and \$50.2 million, respectively. The amount transferred to the legal surplus account is not available for the payment of dividends to shareholders.

Earnings per Common Share

The calculation of earnings per common share for the quarters and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 is as follows:

		Quarter Ended June 30,			Six-Month Period Ended June 30,					
		2012	2	2011	2012		2011			
	(In thousands, except per share data)									
Net income	\$	14,958	\$	26,467	\$	25,610	\$	29,548		
Less: Dividends on										
preferred stock		(1,200)		(1,200)		(2,401)		(2,401)		
Income available to										
common shareholders	\$	13,758	\$	25,267	\$	23,209	\$	27,147		
Average common shares outstanding										
and equivalents		40,808		45,135		40,986		45,656		
Earnings per common										
share - basic	\$	0.34	\$	0.56	\$	0.57	\$	0.60		
Earnings per common										
share - diluted	\$	0.34	\$	0.56	\$	0.57	\$	0.59		

For the quarters ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, weighted-average stock options with an anti-dilutive effect on earnings per share not included in the calculation amounted to 708,976 and 565,178, respectively. For the six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011,

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

weighted-average stock options with an anti-dilutive effect on earnings per share not included in the calculation amounted to 707,143 and 588,610, respectively.

Treasury Stock

On February 3, 2011, the Group announced that its Board of Directors had approved a stock repurchase program pursuant to which the Group was authorized to purchase in the open market up to \$30 million of its outstanding shares of common stock. On June 29, 2011, the Group announced the completion of this \$30 million stock repurchase program and the approval by the Board of Directors of a new program to purchase an additional \$70 million of common stock in the open market.

Any shares of common stock repurchased are held by the Group as treasury shares. The Group records treasury stock purchases under the cost method whereby the entire cost of the acquired stock is recorded as treasury stock. Under the \$30 million program, initiated in February 2011, the Group purchased a total of 2,406,303 shares at an average price of \$12.10 per share. During 2011, the Group purchased approximately 2,783,000 shares under the \$70 million program for a total of \$29.4 million, at an average price of \$10.57 per share. During the six-month period ended June 30, 2012 the Group purchased approximately 603,000 additional shares under this program for a total of \$7.0 million, at an average price of \$11.61 per share.

The activity in connection with common shares held in treasury by the Group for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 is set forth below:

	Six-Month Period Ended June 30,						
	2	2012		2	2011		
	Dollar				D	Dollar	
	Shares An		mount	Shares	Amount		
		(In t	housands, ex	cept shares data)			
Beginning of period	6,564,124	\$	74,808	1,459,067	\$	16,732	
Common shares used upon lapsing of							
restricted stock units	(37,446)		(392)	(51,116)		(561)	
Common shares repurchased as part of							
the stock repurchase program	603,000		7,022	2,406,303		29,242	
Common shares used to match defined							
contribution plan, net	(18,898)		(35)	(15,350)		(27)	
End of period	7,110,780	\$	81,403	3,798,904	\$	45,386	

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

Accumulated other comprehensive income, net of income tax, as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 consisted of:

	June 30, 2012]	December 31, 2011
	(In thousa	nds)	
Unrealized gain on securities available-for-sale which are			
not			
other-than-temporarily impaired	\$ 76,067	\$	86,404
Income tax effect of unrealized gain on securities			
available-for-sale	(5,367)		(7,160)
Unrealized loss on cash flow hedges	(56,217)		(47,425)
Income tax effect of unrealized loss on cash flow hedges	6,778		5,312
	\$ 21,261	\$	37,131

At December 31, 2011, OIB had \$2.9 million in the income tax effect of unrecognized gain on available-for-sale securities included in other comprehensive income. Following the change in OIB's applicable tax rate from 5% to 0% as a result of new legislation adopted in 2011, this remaining tax balance will flow through income as these securities are repaid or sold in future periods, due to OIB becoming tax exempt under Puerto Rico law. During the six-month period ended June 30, 2012, \$724 thousand related to this residual tax effect from OIB were reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income into income tax provision.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

NOTE 15 — COMMITMENTS

Loan Commitments

At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, there were \$139.5 million and \$106.1 million, respectively, in loan commitments, which represent unused lines of credit and letters of credit provided to customers. These lines of credit had a reserve of \$568 thousand and \$518 thousand at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to customers as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates, bear variable interest and may require payment of a fee. Since the commitments may expire unexercised, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. The Group evaluates each customer's credit-worthiness on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral obtained, if deemed necessary by the Group upon the extension of credit, is based on management's credit evaluation of the customer. Commitments for loans covered under the FDIC shared-loss agreements amounted to \$13.2 million and \$31.1 million at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, commitments to sell or securitize mortgage loans amounted to approximately \$32.8 million and \$66.1 million, respectively.

Lease Commitments

The Group has entered into various operating lease agreements for branch facilities and administrative offices. Rent expense for the quarters ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 amounted to \$1.6 million and 1.5 million, respectively. Rent expense for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 amounted to \$3.3 million and \$3.0 million, respectively. Both are included in the "occupancy and equipment" caption in the unaudited consolidated statements of operations. Future rental commitments under leases in effect at June 30, 2012, exclusive of taxes, insurance, and maintenance expenses payable by the Group, are summarized as follows:

Year Ending December 31,	Minimum Rent
	(In thousands)
2012	\$ 2,285
2013	4,572
2014	4,569
2015	4,323
2016	3,741

Thereafter		17,341
	\$	36,831
	57	

ORIENTAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

NOTE 16 — CONTINGENCIES

The Group and its subsidiaries are defendants in a number of legal proceedings incidental to their business. In the ordinary course of business, the Group and its subsidiaries are also subject to governmental and regulatory examinations. Certain subsidiaries of the Group, including the Bank, Oriental Financial Services, and Oriental Insurance, are subject to regulation by various U.S., Puerto Rico and other regulators.

The Group seeks to resolve all litigation and regulatory matters in the manner management believes is in the best interests of the Group and its shareholders, and contests allegations of liability or wrongdoing and, where applicable, the amount of damages or scope of any penalties or other relief sought as appropriate in each pending matter.

Subject to the accounting and disclosure framework under the provisions of ASC 450, it is the opinion of the Group's management, based on current knowledge and after taking into account its current legal accruals, that the eventual outcome of all matters would not be likely to have a material adverse effect on the unaudited consolidated financial condition of the Group. Nonetheless, given the substantial or indeterminate amounts sought in certain of these matters, and the inherent unpredictability of such matters, an adverse outcome in certain of these matters could, from time to time, have a material adverse effect on the Group's unaudited consolidated results of operations or cash flows in particular quarterly or annual periods. The Group has evaluated all litigation and regulatory matters where the likelihood of a potential loss is deemed reasonably possible. The Group has determined that the estimate of the reasonably possible loss is not significant.

ORIENTAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

NOTE 17 - FAIR VALUE

As discussed in Note 1, the Group follows the fair value measurement framework under GAAP.

Fair Value Measurement

The fair value measurement framework defines fair value as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. This framework also establishes a fair value hierarchy which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The standard describes three levels of inputs previously described that may be used to measure fair value.

Money market investments

The fair value of money market investments is based on the carrying amounts reflected in the unaudited consolidated statements of financial condition as these are reasonable estimates of fair value given the short-term nature of the instruments.

Investment securities

The fair value of investment securities is based on quoted market prices, when available, or market prices provided by recognized broker-dealers. If listed prices or quotes are not available, fair value is based upon externally developed models that use both observable and unobservable inputs depending on the market activity of the instrument. Structured credit investments are classified as Level 3. The estimated fair value of the structured credit investments are determined by using a third-party cash flow valuation model to calculate the present value of projected future cash flows. The assumptions, which are highly uncertain and require a high degree of judgment, include primarily market discount rates, current spreads, duration, leverage, default, home price depreciation, and loss rates. The assumptions used are drawn from a wide array of data sources, including the performance of the collateral underlying each deal. The external-based valuation, which is obtained at least on a quarterly basis, is analyzed and its assumptions are evaluated and incorporated in either an internal-based valuation model when deemed necessary or compared to counterparties' prices, and agreed by management.

Derivative instruments

The fair value of the forward-starting interest rate swaps is largely a function of the financial market's expectations regarding the future direction of interest rates. Accordingly, current market values are not necessarily indicative of the future impact of derivative instruments on earnings. This will depend, for the most part, on the shape of the yield curve, the level of interest rates, as well as the expectations for rates in the future. The fair value of most of these derivative instruments is based on observable market parameters, which include discounting the instruments' cash flows using the U.S. dollar LIBOR-based discount rates, and also applying yield curves that account for the industry sector and the credit rating of the counterparty and/or the Group.

Certain other derivative instruments with limited market activity are valued using externally developed models that consider unobservable market parameters. Based on their valuation methodology, derivative instruments are classified as Level 3. The Group has offered its customers certificates of deposit with an option tied to the performance of the S&P Index and uses equity indexed option agreements with major broker-dealer companies to manage its exposure to changes in this index. Their fair value is obtained through the use of an external based valuation that was thoroughly evaluated and adopted by management as its measurement tool for these options. The payoff of these options is linked to the average value of the S&P Index on a specific set of dates during the life of the option. The methodology uses an average rate option or a cash-settled option whose payoff is based on the difference between the expected average value of the S&P Index during the remaining life of the option and the strike price at inception. The assumptions, which are uncertain and require a degree of judgment, include primarily S&P Index volatility, forward interest rate projections, estimated index dividend payout, and leverage.

Servicing assets

Servicing assets do not trade in an active market with readily observable prices. Servicing assets are priced using a discounted cash flow model. The valuation model considers servicing fees, portfolio characteristics, prepayment assumptions, delinquency rates, late charges, other ancillary revenues, cost to service and other economic factors. Due to the unobservable nature of certain valuation inputs, the servicing rights are classified as Level 3.

59

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

Loans receivable considered impaired that are collateral dependent

The impairment is measured based on the fair value of the collateral, which is derived from appraisals that take into consideration prices in observed transactions involving similar assets in similar locations, in accordance with the provisions of ASC 310-10-35. Currently, the associated loans considered impaired are classified as Level 3.

Foreclosed real estate

Foreclosed real estate includes real estate properties securing residential mortgage and commercial loans. The fair value of foreclosed real estate may be determined using an external appraisal, broker price option or an internal valuation. These foreclosed assets are classified as Level 3 given certain internal adjustments that may be made to external appraisals.

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring and non-recurring basis, including financial liabilities for which the Group has elected the fair value option, are summarized below:

	June 30, 2012 Fair Value Measurements											
	Level 1		Level 2		Level 3		Total					
			(In the									
Recurring fair value												
measurements:												
Investment securities												
available-for-sale	\$ -	\$	2,575,543	\$	37,296	\$	2,612,839					
Securities purchased under												
agreements to resell	-		225,000		-		225,000					
Money market investments	4,662		-		-		4,662					
Derivative assets	-		-		11,367		11,367					
Servicing assets	-		-		10,776		10,776					
Derivative liabilities	-		(56,217)		(10,912)		(67,129)					
	\$ 4,662	\$	2,744,326	\$	48,527	\$	2,797,515					
Non-recurring fair value measurements:												
Impaired commercial loans	\$ -	\$	-	\$	39,780	\$	39,780					

Foreclosed real estate	-	-	31,631	31,631
	\$ - \$	- \$	71,411 \$	71,411

		Level 1	Level 2		Level 3		Total	
			(In the	ousands	s)			
Recurring fair value								
measurements:								
Investment securities								
available-for-sale	\$	-	\$ 2,912,600	\$	47,312	\$	2,959,912	
Money market investments		3,863	-		-		3,863	
Derivative assets		-	-		9,317		9,317	
Servicing assets		-	-		10,454		10,454	
Derivative liabilities		-	(47,425)		(9,362)		(56,787)	
	\$	3,863	\$ 2,865,175	\$	57,721	\$	2,926,759	
Non-recurring fair value								
measurements:								
Impaired commercial loans	\$	-	\$ -	\$	46,364	\$	46,364	
Foreclosed real estate		-	-		27,679		27,679	
	\$	-	\$ -	\$	74,043	\$	74,043	
			60					

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

The table below presents a reconciliation of all assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the quarters and six-month period ended June 30, 2012 and 2011:

Quarter Ended June 30, 2012

Investment securities available-for-sale

Level 3 Instruments Only	CDO		u (u)	CLOs	Other debt ecurities	Pu	asset (S&P urchased		ervicing assets	E	Derivative liability (S&P mbedded Options)	Total
						(I	n thousan	ds)				
Balance at beginning of period Gains (losses)	\$	-	\$	29,643	\$ 9,882	\$	12,515	\$	10,725	\$	(12,138)	\$ 50,627
included in earnings Changes in fair value of investment		-		-	-		(1,148)		-		1,119	(29)
securities available for sale included												
in other comprehensive												
income New instruments		-		(2,381)	134		-		-		-	(2,247)
acquired Principal		-		-	-		-		499		-	499
repayments		_		18	_		_		(241)		_	(223)
Amortization Changes in fair value of servicing		-		-	-		-		-		107	107
assets Balance at end of		-		-	-		-		(207)		-	(207)
period	\$	-	\$	27,280	\$ 10,016	\$	11,367	\$	10,776	\$	(10,912)	\$ 48,527

Quarter Ended June 30, 2011

Investment securities available-for-sale

Edgar Filing: ORIENTAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Y 12Y 1				1	Other debt	erivative asset (S&P archased	Se	ervicing		erivative liability (S&P mbedded	
Level 3 Instruments Only		CDOs	CLOs	se	curities	ptions) thousands		assets	(Options)	Total
Balance at beginning	3										
of period	\$	16,380	\$ 28,782	\$	9,953	\$ 11,764	\$	9,963	\$	(14,316)	\$ 62,526
Gains (losses) included in earnings Changes in fair value of investment		-	-		-	(64)		-		222	158
securities available for sale included											
in other comprehensive											
income		1,104	(554)		117	-		-		-	667
New instruments acquired		_	_		-	225		693		(290)	628
Amortization Changes in fair		-	1		(2)	-		(237)		1,507	1,269
value of servicing											
assets		-	-		-	-		(579)		-	(579)
Balance at end of											
period	\$	17,484	\$ 28,229	\$	10,068 61	\$ 11,925	\$	9,840	\$	(12,877)	\$ 64,669

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

Six-Month Period Ended June 30, 2012

Investment securities available-for-sale

				Other debt		erivative asset (S&P urchased	Se	ervicing		erivative liability (S&P mbedded	
Level 3 Instruments Only	CDOs	CLOs	Se	ecurities		options)		assets	(Options)	Total
Balance at					(In	thousand	s)				
beginning of period \$ Gains (losses)	10,530	\$ 26,758	\$	10,024	\$	9,317	\$	10,454	\$	(9,362)	\$ 57,721
included in earnings Changes in fair value of investment	-	-		-		2,050		-		(2,035)	15
securities available for sale included											
in other comprehensive											
income New instruments	-	488		(7)		-		-		-	481
acquired Principal	-	-		-		-		919		-	919
repayments	-	34		-		-		(476)		-	(442)
Amortization Sales of	-	-		(1)		-		-		485	484
instruments	(10,530)	-		-		-		-		-	(10,530)
Changes in fair value of servicing											
assets	-	-		-		-		(121)		-	(121)
Balance at end of period \$	-	\$ 27,280	\$	10,016	\$	11,367	\$	10,776	\$	(10,912)	\$ 48,527

Six-Month Period Ended June 30, 2011

Investment securities available-for-sale

	Derivative	Derivative
	asset	liability
Other	(S&P	(S&P

Edgar Filing: ORIENTAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

					debt	Pı	ırchased	Se	rvicing	E	mbedded	
Level 3 Instruments Only	S	CDOs	CLOs	se	curities		options) thousands		assets	(Options)	Total
Balance at beginning of period Gains (losses) included in earnings Changes in fair value of investment	\$	16,143	\$ 25,550	\$	-	\$	9,870 1,685	\$	9,695	\$	(12,830) (1,284)	\$ 48,428 401
securities available for sale included												
in other comprehensive income		1,341	2,678		65		-		-		_	4,084
New instruments acquired		-	-		10,005		370		1,213		(701)	10,887
Principal repayments Amortization		-	1		(2)		-		(481)		1,938	(481) 1,937
Changes in fair value of servicing assets		-	-		-		-		(587)		-	(587)
Balance at end of period	\$	17,484	\$ 28,229	\$	10,068	\$	11,925	\$	9,840	\$	(12,877)	\$ 64,669

Although there were purchases and sales of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis, there were no transfers into and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements during the quarters and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

The table below presents quantitative information for all assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) at June 30, 2012:

			June 30		
]	Fair Value	Valuation Technique	Unobservable Input	Range
Investment securities	(Ir	thousands)	-	•	
available-for-sale:					
			Discounted cash		
CLOs	\$	27,280	flow	Prepayment rate Probability of	0% static
				default	1% - 30%
				Loss severity	44% - 55%
Other debt			Market comparable		101.7% -
securities	\$	10,016	bonds	Indicative pricing	107.4%
				Option adjusted	146.9% -
				spread	232.5%
				Yield to maturity	1.9% - 2.7%
				0 1,	146.2% -
Davissatissa saat (COD				Spread to maturity	231.9%
Derivative asset (S&P			Ontion priging	Implied option	
Purchased Options)	\$	11,367	Option pricing model	volatility	13.4% - 36.6%
ruichaseu Options)	Ф	11,507	model	Counterparty credit	13.4% - 30.0%
				risk	
				115K	
				(based on 5-year	
				CDS	
					141.0% -
				spread)	351.7%
			Cash flow	Constant	9.69% -
Servicing assets	\$	10,776	valuation	prepayment rate	33.05%
					10.50% -
				Discount rate	15.11%
Derivative liability (S&P					
•			Option pricing	Implied option	
Embedded Options)	\$	(10,912)	model	volatility	13.4% - 36.6%
* /		•		Counterparty credit	141.0% -
				risk (based on	351.7%

5-year CDS spread)

Information about Sensitivity to Changes in Significant Unobservable Inputs

<u>CLOs</u> – The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the Group's CLOs are prepayment rates, probability of default, and loss severity in the event of default. Significant increases (decreases) in any of those inputs in isolation would result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement. Generally, a change in the assumption used for the probability of default is accompanied by a directionally similar change in the assumption used for the loss severity and a directionally, although not equally proportional, opposite change in the assumption used for prepayment rates.

Other debt securities – The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of one of the Group's other debt securities are indicative comparable pricing, option adjusted spread ("OAS"), yield to maturity, and spread to maturity. Significant increases (decreases) in any of those inputs in isolation would result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement. Generally, a change in the assumption used for indicative comparable pricing is accompanied by a directionally opposite change in the assumption used for OAS and a directionally, although not equally proportional, opposite change in the assumptions used for yield to maturity and spread to maturity.

<u>Derivative asset (S&P Purchased Options)</u> – The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of Group's derivative assets related to S&P purchased options are implied option volatility and counterparty credit risk. Significant increases (decreases) in any of those inputs in isolation would result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement. Generally, a change in the assumption used for implied option volatility is not necessarily accompanied by directionally similar or opposite changes in the assumption used for counterparty credit risk.

<u>Servicing assets</u> – The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the Group's servicing assets are constant prepayment rates and discount rates. Changes in one factor may result in changes in another (for example, increases in market interest rates may result in lower prepayments), which may magnify or offset the sensitivities. Mortgage banking activities, a component of total banking and wealth management revenues in the unaudited consolidated statements of operations, include the changes from period to period in the fair value of the mortgage loan servicing rights, which may result from changes in the valuation model inputs or assumptions (principally reflecting changes in discount rates and prepayment speed assumptions) and other changes, including changes due to collection/realization of expected cash flows.

<u>Derivative liability (S&P Embedded Options)</u> – The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the Group's derivative liability related to S&P purchased options are implied option volatility and counterparty credit risk. Significant increases

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

(decreases) in any of those inputs in isolation would result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement. Generally, a change in the assumption used for implied option volatility is not necessarily accompanied by directionally similar or opposite changes in the assumption used for counterparty credit risk.

The table below presents a detail of investment securities available-for-sale classified as level 3 at June 30, 2012:

	June 30, 2012											
<u>Type</u>	Amortized Cost			nrealized Gains Losses)	Fa	iir Value	Weighted Average Yield	Principal Protection				
Other debt securities Structured credit investments	\$	10,000	\$	16	\$	10,016	3.50%	N/A				
CLO		15,000		(4,198)		10,802	2.62%	7.54%				
CLO		11,979		(2,814)		9,165	2.01%	26.18%				
CLO		9,428		(2,115)		7,313	1.47%	20.64%				
		36,407		(9,127)		27,280	2.12%					
Total	\$	46,407	\$	(9,111)	\$	37,296	2.42%					
				64								

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The information about the estimated fair value of financial instruments required by GAAP is presented hereunder. The aggregate fair value amounts presented do not necessarily represent management's estimate of the underlying value of the Group.

The estimated fair value is subjective in nature, involves uncertainties and matters of significant judgment, and therefore, cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions could affect these fair value estimates. The fair value estimates do not take into consideration the value of future business and the value of assets and liabilities that are not financial instruments. Other significant tangible and intangible assets that are not considered financial instruments are the value of long-term customer relationships of the retail deposits, and premises and equipment.

The estimated fair value and carrying value of the Group's financial instruments at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 is as follows:

	June 20 1		December 31, 2011					
	Fair Value	arrying Value		Fair Value	C	Carrying Value		
		(In thou	sands)					
<u>Level 1</u>								
Financial Assets:								
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 464,579	\$ 464,579	\$	591,487	\$	591,487		
Level 2								
Financial Assets:								
Securities purchased under								
agreements to resell	225,000	225,000		-		-		
Trading securities	214	214		180		180		
Investment securities								
available-for-sale	2,575,543	2,575,543		2,959,912		2,959,912		
Investment securities								
held-to-maturity	925,266	895,500		904,556		884,026		
Federal Home Loan Bank								
(FHLB) stock	22,868	22,868		23,779		23,779		
Financial Liabilities:								
Derivative liabilities	56,217	56,217		47,425		47,425		

Level 3

Financial Assets:				
Investment securities				
available-for-sale	37,296	37,296	47,312	47,312
Total loans (including loans				
held-for-sale)				
Non-covered loans, net	1,188,608	1,172,636	1,211,539	1,169,917
Covered loans, net	524,603	447,720	584,110	496,276
Derivative assets	11,367	11,367	9,317	9,317
FDIC shared-loss				
indemnification asset	274,847	359,767	312,957	392,367
Accrued interest receivable	17,258	17,258	20,182	20,182
Servicing assets	10,776	10,776	10,454	10,454
Financial Liabilities:				
Deposits	2,328,775	2,222,942	2,567,352	2,435,187
Securities sold under				
agreements to repurchase	3,124,827	3,053,865	3,092,122	3,056,238
Advances from FHLB	288,958	286,653	285,663	281,753
FDIC-guaranteed term notes	-	-	105,752	105,834
Subordinated capital notes	36,228	36,083	36,235	36,083
Accrued expenses and other				
liabilities	27,686	27,686	35,602	35,602
		65		

ORIENTAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair values of significant financial instruments at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

- Cash and cash equivalents (including money market investments and time deposits with other banks), accrued interest receivable, securities purchased under agreements to resell, accrued expenses and other liabilities have been valued at the carrying amounts reflected in the unaudited consolidated statements of financial condition as these are reasonable estimates of fair value given the short-term nature of the instruments.
- Investments in FHLB stock are valued at their redemption value.
- The fair value of investment securities, including trading securities, is based on quoted market prices, when available, or market prices provided by recognized broker dealers. If listed prices or quotes are not available, fair value is based upon externally developed models that use both observable and unobservable inputs depending on the market activity of the instrument. The estimated fair value of the structured credit investments is determined by using a third-party cash flow valuation model to calculate the present value of projected future cash flows. The assumptions used, which are highly uncertain and require a high degree of judgment, include primarily market discount rates, current spreads, duration, leverage, default, home price depreciation, and loss rates. The assumptions used are drawn from a wide array of data sources, including the performance of the collateral underlying each deal. The external-based valuation, which is obtained at least on a quarterly basis, is analyzed and its assumptions are evaluated and incorporated in either an internal-based valuation model when deemed necessary, or compared to counterparties' prices and agreed by management.
- The fair value of the FDIC shared-loss indemnification asset represents the present value of the estimated cash payments (net of amounts owed to the FDIC) expected to be received from the FDIC for future losses on covered assets based on the credit assumptions on estimated cash flows for each covered asset pool and the loss sharing percentages. The ultimate collectability of the FDIC shared-loss indemnification asset is dependent upon the performance of the underlying covered loans, the passage of time and claims paid by the FDIC which are impacted by the Bank's adherence to certain guidelines established by the FDIC.
- The fair value of servicing assets is estimated by using a cash flow valuation model which calculates the present value of estimated future net servicing cash flows, taking into consideration actual and expected loan prepayment rates, discount rates, servicing costs, and other economic factors, which are determined based on current market conditions.

- The fair values of the derivative instruments are provided by valuation experts and counterparties. Certain derivatives with limited market activity are valued using externally developed models that consider unobservable market parameters. The Group has offered its customers certificates of deposit with an option tied to the performance of the S&P Index, and uses equity indexed option agreements with major broker-dealer companies to manage its exposure to changes in this index. Their fair value is obtained through the use of an external based valuation that was thoroughly evaluated and adopted by management as its measurement tool for these options. The payoff of these options is linked to the average value of the S&P Index on a specific set of dates during the life of the option. The methodology uses an average rate option or a cash-settled option whose payoff is based on the difference between the expected average value of the S&P Index during the remaining life of the option and the strike price at inception. The assumptions, which are uncertain and require a degree of judgment, include primarily S&P Index volatility, forward interest rate projections, estimated index dividend payout, and leverage.
- Fair value of derivative liabilities, which include interest rate swaps and forward-settlement swaps, are based on the net discounted value of the contractual projected cash flows of both the pay-fixed receive-variable legs of the contracts. The projected cash flows are based on the forward yield curve, and discounted using current estimated market rates.
- The fair value of the covered and non-covered loan portfolio (including loans held-for-sale) is estimated by segregating by type, such as mortgage, commercial, consumer, and leasing. Each loan segment is further segmented into fixed and adjustable interest rates and by performing and non-performing categories. The fair value of performing loans is calculated by discounting contractual cash flows, adjusted for prepayment estimates (voluntary and involuntary), if any, using estimated current market discount rates that reflect the credit and interest rate risk inherent in the loan. This fair value is not currently an indication of an exit price as that type of assumption could result in a different fair value estimate.
- The fair value of demand deposits and savings accounts is the amount payable on demand at the reporting date. The fair value of fixed-maturity certificates of deposit is based on the discounted value of the contractual cash flows, using estimated current market discount rates for deposits of similar remaining maturities.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

- The fair value of long-term borrowings, which include securities sold under agreements to repurchase, advances from FHLB, FDIC-guaranteed term notes, and subordinated capital notes is based on the discounted value of the contractual cash flows using current estimated market discount rates for borrowings with similar terms, remaining maturities and put dates.
- The fair value of commitments to extend credit and unused lines of credit is based on fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the counterparties' credit standings.

NOTE 18 - SEGMENT REPORTING

The Group segregates its businesses into the following major reportable segments of business: Banking, Wealth Management, and Treasury. Management established the reportable segments based on the internal reporting used to evaluate performance and to assess where to allocate resources. Other factors such as the Group's organization, nature of its products, distribution channels and economic characteristics of the products were also considered in the determination of the reportable segments. The Group measures the performance of these reportable segments based on pre-established goals of different financial parameters such as net income, net interest income, loan production, and fees generated. The Group's methodology for allocating non-interest expenses among segments is based on several factors such as revenues, employee headcount, occupied space, dedicated services or time, among others. These factors are reviewed on a periodical basis and may change if the conditions warrant.

Banking includes the Bank's branches and mortgage banking, with traditional banking products such as deposits and mortgage, commercial and consumer loans. Mortgage banking activities are carried out by the Bank's mortgage banking division, whose principal activity is to originate mortgage loans for the Group's own portfolio. As part of its mortgage banking activities, the Group may sell loans directly into the secondary market or securitize conforming loans into mortgage-backed securities.

Wealth Management is comprised of the Bank's trust division, Oriental Financial Services, Oriental Insurance, and the pension plan administration subsidiary (Caribbean Pension Consultants, Inc.). The core operations of this segment are financial planning, money management and investment banking, brokerage services, insurance sales activity, corporate and individual trust and retirement services, as well as pension plan administration services.

The Treasury segment encompasses all of the Group's asset/liability management activities such as: purchases and sales of investment securities, interest rate risk management, derivatives, and borrowings. Intersegment sales and

transfers, if any, are accounted for as if the sales or transfers were to third parties, that is, at current market prices. The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in the "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies" included in the Group's annual report on Form 10-K.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

Following are the results of operations and the selected financial information by operating segment as of and for the quarters and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011:

	Quarter Ended June 30, 2012												
			Wealth			T	otal Major	tal Major					
	Banking	M	anagement	gement Treasury Segments					liminations		Total		
					(In th	ousa	ands)						
Interest income	37,50	55 \$	-	\$	23,223	\$	60,788	\$	-	\$	60,788		
Interest expense	(5,76	5)	-		(21,947)		(27,712)		-		(27,712)		
Net interest income	31,80	00	-		1,276		33,076		-		33,076		
Provision for													
non-covered loan													
and lease losses	(3,80	0)	-		-		(3,800)		-		(3,800)		
Provision for													
covered loan and													
lease losses, net	(1,46	7)	-		-		(1,467)		-		(1,467)		
Non-interest income													
(loss)	(59	1)	5,941		11,862		17,212		-		17,212		
Non-interest													
expenses	(23,66	1)	(3,611)		(1,734)		(29,006)		-		(29,006)		
Intersegment													
revenues	4	40	-		-		440		(440)		-		
Intersegment													
expenses		-	(296)		(144)		(440)		440		-		
Income before													
	2,72		,	\$	11,260	\$	16,015	\$	-	\$	16,015		
Total assets	3,116,6	55 \$	15,143	\$	3,951,720	\$	7,083,518	\$	(707,240)	\$	6,375,648		

		Quarter Ended June 30, 2011													
			Wealth Total Major												
		Banking	Management			Treasury Segments			Elim	inations	Total				
		(In thousands)													
Interest income	\$	29,029	\$	-	\$	53,173	\$	82,202	\$	-	\$	82,202			
Interest expense		(8,404)		-		(30,985)		(39,389)		-		(39,389)			
Net interest income		20,625		-		22,188		42,813		-		42,813			
Provision for															
non-covered loan															
and lease losses		(3,800)		-		-		(3,800)		-		(3,800)			
Non-interest income	,	6,637		4,624		5,498		16,759		-		16,759			
Non-interest															
expenses		(24,176)		(4,177)		(2,343)		(30,696)		-		(30,696)			

Intersegment						
revenues	311	-	-	311	(311)	-
Intersegment						
expenses	-	(218)	(93)	(311)	311	-
Income (loss)						
before income						
taxes	\$ (403)	\$ 229	\$ 25,250	\$ 25,076	\$ -	\$ 25,076
Total assets	\$ 3,171,839	\$ 14,340	\$ 4,643,788	\$ 7,829,967	\$ (747,502)	\$ 7,082,465
			68			

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

Six-Month Peri	od Ended Ju	ne 30, 2012
----------------	-------------	-------------

			1	Wealth			Total Major					nsolidated
		Banking Management			,	Treasury Segments			Elir	ninations		Total
						(In tho	usan	ids)				
Interest income	\$	77,229	\$	-	\$	53,479	\$	130,708	\$	-	\$	130,708
Interest expense		(12,297)		-		(46,472)		(58,769)		-		(58,769)
Net interest income		64,932		-		7,007		71,939		-		71,939
Provision for												
non-covered loan and												
lease losses		(6,800)		-		-		(6,800)		-		(6,800)
Provision for covered												
loan and lease losses,												
net		(8,624)		-		-		(8,624)		-		(8,624)
Non-interest income												
(loss)		(113)		11,731		18,563		30,181		-		30,181
Non-interest expenses		(45,935)		(8,500)		(3,657)		(58,092)		-		(58,092)
Intersegment revenues	S	844		-		-		844		(844)		-
Intersegment expenses	S	-		(605)		(239)		(844)		844		-
Income before												
income taxes	\$	4,304	\$	2,626	\$	21,674	\$	28,604	\$	-	\$	28,604

Six-Month Period Ended June 30, 2011

			1	Wealth			To	tal Major	Co	nsolidated			
		Banking	anking Management			Treasury Segments			Elir	minations		Total	
						(In tho	usan	ds)					
Interest income	\$	61,219	\$	-	\$	99,017	\$	160,236	\$	-	\$	160,236	
Interest expense		(17,884)		-		(62,263)		(80,147)		-		(80,147)	
Net interest income		43,335		-		36,754		80,089		-		80,089	
Provision for													
non-covered loan and													
lease losses		(7,600)		-		-		(7,600)		-		(7,600)	
Provision for covered													
loan and lease losses,													
net		(549)		-		-		(549)		-		(549)	
Non-interest income		13,135		9,376		1,653		24,164		-		24,164	
Non-interest expenses		(48,416)		(8,195)		(4,876)		(61,487)		-		(61,475)	
Intersegment revenues	3	723		-		_		723		(723)		-	
Intersegment expenses	3	-		(506)		(217)		(723)		723		-	
Income before													
income taxes	\$	628	\$	675	\$	33,314	\$	34,617	\$	-	\$	34,629	

In connection with the BBVA-PR Acquisition, as discussed in Note 1, on July 3, 2012, the Group completed its sale to various institutional purchasers of \$84 million of its 8.750% Non-Cumulative Convertible Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series C (the "Convertible Preferred Stock"), with a conversion price of \$11.77, through a private placement, pursuant to a Subscription Agreement dated June 28, 2012, between the Group and each of the purchasers.

Item 2 — MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

		Quar	anded June	30,	Six-Month Period Ended June 30,					
					Variance	Variance				Variance
		2012		2011	%		2012		2011	%
EARNINGS DATA:				(In	thousands, ex	cept	per share da	ıta)		
Interest income	\$	60,788	\$	82,202	-26.1%	\$	130,708	\$	160,236	-18.4%
Interest expense		27,712		39,389	-29.6%		58,769		80,147	-26.7%
Net interest income		33,076		42,813	-22.7%		71,939		80,089	-10.2%
Provision for non-covered										
loan and lease losses		3,800		3,800	0.0%		6,800		7,600	-10.5%
Provision for covered loan										
and lease losses, net		1,467		-	100.0%		8,624		549	1470.9%
Total provision for loan										
and lease losses, net		5,267		3,800	38.6%		15,424		8,149	89.3%
Net interest income										
after provision for loan										
and lease losses		27,809		39,013	-28.7%		E6 E1E		71 040	-21.4%
Non-interest income				,	-2 3. 7%		56,515		71,940 24,164	-21.4 % 24.9%
		17,212		16,759			30,181		,	
Non-interest expenses		29,006		30,696	-5.5%		58,092		61,475	-5.5%
Income before taxes	`	16,015		25,076	-36.1%		28,604		34,629	-17.4%
Income tax expense (benefit	()	1,057		(1,391)	176.0%		2,994		5,081	-41.1%
Net Income	1	14,958		26,467	-43.5%		25,610		29,548	-13.3%
Less: Dividends on preferre	a	(1.200)		(1.200)	0.00		(0.401)		(2.401)	0.00
stock		(1,200)		(1,200)	0.0%		(2,401)		(2,401)	0.0%
Income available to	ф	12 550	ф	25.265	45 500	ф	22 200	ф	25 1 45	1450
common shareholders	\$	13,758	\$	25,267	-45.5%	\$	23,209	\$	27,147	-14.5%
PER SHARE DATA:	ф	0.24	ф	0.76	20.2%	ф	0.55	ф	0.60	7 0 64
Basic	\$	0.34	\$	0.56	-39.3%	\$	0.57	\$	0.60	-5.0%
Diluted	\$	0.34	\$	0.56	-39.3%	\$	0.57	\$	0.59	-3.4%
Average common shares										
outstanding and		40.000					10.004			
equivalents		40,808		45,135	-9.6%		40,986		45,656	-10.2%
Book value per common										
share	\$	15.32	\$	14.91	2.7%	\$	15.32	\$	14.91	2.7%
Tangible book value per										
common share	\$	15.23	\$	14.82	2.8%	\$	15.23	\$	14.82	2.8%
Market price at end of										
period	\$	11.08	\$	12.63	-12.3%	\$	11.08	\$	12.63	-12.3%
Cash dividends declared			_							
per common share	\$	0.06	\$	0.05	20.0%	\$	0.12	\$	0.10	20.0%
	\$	2,444	\$	2,206	10.8%	\$	4,887	\$	4,477	9.2%

Edgar Filing: ORIENTAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Cash dividends declared on
common shares
PERFORMANCE
RATIOS:
D 4

10111001						
Return on average assets						
(ROA)	0.94%	1.48%	-36.5%	0.79%	0.82%	-3.7%
Return on average commo	n					
equity (ROE)	8.73%	15.37%	-43.2%	7.38%	8.26%	-10.7%
Equity-to-assets ratio	10.86%	10.23%	6.2%	$\boldsymbol{10.86\%}$	10.23%	6.2%
Efficiency ratio	64.71%	57.85 %	11.9%	60.92%	61.13%	-0.3%
Expense ratio	1.19%	1.26%	-5.6%	1.18%	1.25%	-5.6%
Interest rate spread	2.23%	2.61%	-14.6%	2.38%	2.41%	-1.2%
Interest rate margin	2.28%	2.64%	-13.6%	2.44%	2.45%	-0.4%

SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

	•	June 30, 2012	De	ecember 31, 2011	Variance %	
PERIOD END BALANCES AND CAPITAL		ccept per share data)				
RATIOS:						
Investments and loans						
Investments securities	\$	3,531,490	\$	3,867,970	-8.7%	
Loans and leases not covered under shared-loss						
agreements with the FDIC, net		1,172,636		1,169,917	0.2%	
Loans and leases covered under shared-loss						
agreements with the FDIC, net		447,720		496,276	-9.8%	
Total investments and loans	\$	5,151,846	\$	5,534,163	-6.9%	
Deposits and borrowings						
Deposits	\$	2,222,942	\$	2,435,185	-8.7%	
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase		3,053,865		3,056,238	-0.1%	
Other borrowings		322,736		423,670	-23.8%	
Total deposits and borrowings	\$	5,599,543	\$	5,915,093	-5.3%	
Stockholders' equity						
Preferred stock	\$	68,000	\$	68,000	0.0%	
Common stock		47,842		47,809	0.1%	
Additional paid-in capital		499,852		499,096	0.2%	
Legal surplus		52,668		50,178	5.0%	
Retained earnings		83,982		68,149	23.2%	
Treasury stock, at cost		(81,403)		(74,808)	-8.8%	
Accumulated other comprehensive income		21,261		37,131	-42.7%	
Total stockholders' equity	\$	692,202	\$	695,555	-0.5%	
Capital ratios						
Leverage capital		10.75%		9.65%	11.4%	
Tier 1 risk-based capital		32.50%		31.52%	3.1%	
Total risk-based capital		33.79%		32.80%	3.0%	
Tier 1 common equity to risk-weighted assets		29.22%		28.28%	3.3%	
Financial assets managed						
Trust assets managed	\$	2,412,785	\$	2,216,088	8.9%	
Broker-dealer assets gathered		2,097,095		1,926,147	8.9%	
Total assets managed	\$	4,509,880	\$	4,142,235	8.9%	
	71					

OVERVIEW OF FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE

The following discussion of the Group's financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with the foregoing "Selected Financial Data" and the Group's unaudited consolidated financial statements and related notes. This discussion and analysis contains forward-looking statements. Please see "Forward-Looking Statements" and "Risk Factors" for discussion of the uncertainties, risks and assumptions associated with these statements.

The Group is a publicly-owned financial holding company that provides a full range of banking and wealth management services through its subsidiaries. It provides comprehensive banking and wealth management services through a complete range of banking and financial solutions, including mortgage, commercial and consumer lending; financing leases; checking and savings accounts; financial planning, insurance, wealth management, and investment brokerage; and corporate and individual trust and retirement services. The Group operates through three major business segments: Banking, Wealth Management, and Treasury, and distinguishes itself based on quality service and marketing efforts focused on mid and high net worth individuals and families, including professionals and owners of small and mid-sized businesses, primarily in Puerto Rico. The Group has 28 financial centers in Puerto Rico and a subsidiary in Boca Raton, Florida. The Group's long-term goal is to strengthen its banking and wealth management franchise by expanding its lending businesses, increasing the level of integration in the marketing and delivery of banking and wealth management services, maintaining effective asset-liability management, growing non-interest revenues from banking and wealth management services, and improving operating efficiencies.

The Group's diversified mix of businesses and products generates both the interest income traditionally associated with a banking institution and non-interest income traditionally associated with a financial services institution (generated by such businesses as securities brokerage, fiduciary services, investment banking, insurance and retirement plan administration). Although all of these businesses, to varying degrees, are affected by interest rate and financial market fluctuations and other external factors, the Group's commitment is to continue producing a balanced and growing revenue stream.

During the quarter ended June 30, 2012, the Group benefited from new commercial, consumer and auto lending; lower cost of deposits as well as reduced cost of wholesale funding; and increased banking activity and cross selling of services from the Group's growing customer base. The Group also continued to effectively manage non-interest expenses, while reducing its reliance on investment securities.

On June 28, 2012, the Group entered into a definitive Acquisition Agreement (the "Acquisition Agreement") with Banco Bilbao Vizcaya Argentaria, S.A. ("BBVA"), pursuant to which BBVA agreed to sell to the Group, and the Group agreed to purchase from BBVA, all of the outstanding common stock of each of BBVA PR Holding Corporation (the sole shareholder of Banco Bilbao Vizcaya Argentaria Puerto Rico, a Puerto Rico chartered commercial bank ("BBVA").

Puerto Rico"), and BBVA Seguros, Inc., a subsidiary offering insurance services) and BBVA Securities of Puerto Rico, Inc., a registered broker-dealer (the "BBVA-PR Acquisition") for an aggregate purchase price of \$500.0 million in cash. Immediately following the closing of the BBVA-PR Acquisition (the "Closing"), the Group will merge BBVA Puerto Rico with and into Oriental Bank and Trust, the Group's wholly owned banking subsidiary (the "Bank"), with the Bank continuing as the surviving entity. Consummation of the BBVA-PR Acquisition is subject to certain customary conditions, including the receipt of required regulatory approvals without the imposition of a materially burdensome regulatory condition, as described in the Acquisition Agreement, and is targeted for before December 31, 2012. The Group may be subject to a reverse break-up fee of \$25.0 million if the closing of the transaction does not occur before one year after execution of the Acquisition Agreement (or before fifteen months after execution of the Acquisition Agreement in certain circumstances), subject to certain conditions. Also, under certain conditions, if BBVA proves that is sustained losses in connection with such non-consummation in excess of the reverse break-up fee amount, BBVA may recover from us up to an additional \$25.0 million in losses.

To finance the purchase price, on July 3, 2012, the Group completed its sale to various institutional purchasers of \$84.0 million of its 8.750% Non-Cumulative Convertible Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series C (the "Convertible Preferred Stock"), through a private placement, pursuant to a Subscription Agreement dated June 28, 2012, between the Group and each of the purchasers. In addition to the sale of the Convertible Preferred Stock, prior to the Closing, the Group expects to raise at least an additional \$66.0 million through the sale of additional equity. The Group intends to use its own excess capital to fund the balance of the purchase price.

The BBVA-PR Acquisition will combine two of the healthiest banks in Puerto Rico to create a market leading bank with an expanded customer base and a greater share of the core deposit market. Also, it will provide the Group with a strategic advantage in continuing to serve a market that desires both personalized attention and access to a broad array of financial products and services offered at competitive prices. The Group believes that the Puerto Rico customers of BBVA will generally fit the Group's traditional customer

profile. The BBVA-PR Acquisition is anticipated to result in earnings growth and strengthen the Group's franchise. Moreover, in keeping with the Bank's strategy of expanding its banking business, the Bank's balance sheet will be transformed with a larger and more diversified loan portfolio, a diminished reliance on investment securities and wholesale funding, and improved earnings stability. The Group believes that the BBVA-PR Acquisition is well timed in light of the ongoing stabilization of the Puerto Rico economy. The unaudited consolidated financial statements do not contemplate the effect of the BBVA-PR Acquisition as the transaction has not been consummated at June 30, 2012.

Operating revenues for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 decreased 15.6%, or \$9.3 million, to \$50.3 million from the same period in 2011. Operating revenues for the six-month period ended June 30, 2012 decreased 2.0%, or \$2.1 million, to \$102.1 million from the same period in 2011. Following is a tabular presentation of the Group's operating revenues for the quarters and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011:

		Quarter En	ded Jun	ne 30,	Six	d Ende	Ended June 30,				
	2012			2011		2012	201				
		(In thousands)									
OPERATING REVENUE	_		_		_		_				
Net interest income	\$	33,076	\$	42,813	\$	71,939	\$	80,089			
Non-interest income, net		17,212		16,759		30,181		24,164			
Total operating revenues	\$	50,288	\$	59,572	\$	102,120	\$	104,253			

Interest Income

Total interest income for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2012 decreased 26.1% to \$60.8 million and 18.4% to \$130.7 million, as compared to the same periods in 2011. Such decrease primarily reflects a 56.3% and 46.0% decrease, respectively, on interest income from investments, related to lower yields and a lower balance in the investment securities portfolio as a result of the sale of \$407.3 million in mortgage-backed securities. Also, there was an increase in premium amortization due to increased prepayment speeds. The yield on investments decreased from 4.49% and 4.14% for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2011 to 2.25% and 2.53% for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2012.

The decrease in interest income on investments was mitigated by an increase in interest income from covered loans from \$13.1 million and \$27.3 million for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2011 to \$20.3 million and \$41.9 million for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2012. Also, the yield on covered loans increased from 9.12% and 9.21% for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2011 to 17.75% and 17.64% for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2012. This increase in yield was the result of the Group's assessment in 2011 of higher projected cash flows on certain pools of covered loans, as credit losses have been lower than initially estimated for these loan pools. The accretable yield amounted to \$177.2 million at June 30, 2012 compared to \$188.8 million at December 31, 2011. Interest income from non-covered loans remained level.

Interest Expense

Total interest expense for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2012 fell 29.6% to \$27.7 million and 26.7% to \$58.8 million, as compared to the same periods in 2011. This reflects the lower cost of both securities sold under agreements to repurchase (2.17% vs. 2.72%; 2.23% vs. 2.75%) and deposits (1.42% vs. 1.86%; 1.49% vs. 1.88%) for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2012 as compared to the same periods in 2011, which reflects continuing progress in the repricing of the Group's core retail deposits and further reductions in its cost of funds.

In December 2011, \$600 million in repurchase agreements, with an average cost of 4.23%, matured. The Group paid off \$300 million of these repurchase agreements. The remaining balance of \$300 million was renewed for an average period of approximately three and a half years at an effective fixed rate of 2.36%. To further reduce cost of borrowings, in May 2012, the Group renewed \$350 million in repurchase agreements, with an average cost of 4.26%, at a new effective rate of approximately 1.90%. As a result of the aforementioned transactions, total interest expense on securities sold under agreements to repurchase declined 29.5% and 28.4% as compared to quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2011, respectively.

Net Interest Income

Net interest income for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2012 was \$33.1 million and \$71.9 million, decreasing 22.7% and 10.2% when compared with the same periods of the previous year. Such decrease was mostly due to a decrease of 56.3% and 46.0% decrease, respectively, for such periods on interest income from investments, related to lower yields and a lower balance in the investment securities portfolio, and an increase in premium amortization.

Net interest margin of 2.28% and 2.44% for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2012 decreased 36 and 1 basis points, respectively, when compared with the same periods in 2011.

Provision for Loan and Lease Losses

Provision for non-covered loans and lease losses for the quarter remained unchanged compared with the same quarter of the previous year. For the six-month period ended June 30, 2012, the provision for non-covered loans and lease losses decreased 10.5% as compared to the same period in 2011. Provision for covered loans and lease losses for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2012 was \$1.5 million and \$8.6 million, reflecting the Group's revision to the expected cash flows in the covered loan portfolio considering actual experiences and changes in the Group's expectations for the remaining terms of the loan pools. During the first quarter of the six-month period ending June 30, 2012, some covered construction and development and commercial real estate loan pools underperformed, which required a provision amounting to \$7.2 million, net of the estimated reimbursement from the FDIC.

Non-Interest Income

The Group's niche market approach to the integrated delivery of services to mid and high net worth clients performed well as the Group expanded its market share through the 2010 FDIC-assisted acquisition of Eurobank and the Group's capital strength and focus on providing a market-leading service, as opposed to using rates to attract loans or deposits. During the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2012, core banking and wealth management revenues increased 14.7% and 14.4% to \$11.7 million and \$23.4 million, respectively, as compared to the same periods in 2011, primarily reflecting a \$1.2 million and \$2.5 million increase in wealth management revenues to \$5.9 million and \$11.8 million, respectively, attributed to an increase of 9.3% in assets under management.

Net amortization of the FDIC shared-loss indemnification asset of \$5.6 million and \$10.4 million for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2012, respectively, compared to a net accretion of \$1.0 million and \$2.2 million for

the same periods in 2011, resulted from the ongoing evaluation of expected cash flows of the loan portfolio acquired in the FDIC-assisted acquisition of Eurobank. As a result of such evaluation, the Group expects a decrease in losses to be collected from the FDIC and the improved re-yielding of the accretable yield on the covered loans. This reduction in claimable losses amortizes the shared-loss indemnification asset through the life of the shared-loss agreements. This amortization is net of the accretion of the discount recorded to reflect the expected claimable loss at its net present value.

Results for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2012 also include a \$12.0 million and \$19.3 million gains on the sale of investment securities with a book value of \$331.4 million and \$534.3 million, respectively, as the Group took advantage of market opportunities.

Non-Interest Expenses

Due to effective cost controls, non-interest expenses decreased to \$29.0 million for the quarter, and \$58.1 million for the six-month period, ended June 30, 2012, compared to \$30.7 and \$61.5 million, respectively, in the same periods of the previous year. As a result, the efficiency ratio for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2012 was 64.71% and 60.92%, respectively, compared to 57.85% and 61.13% for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2011.

Income Tax Expense

Income tax expense was \$1.1 million and \$3.0 million for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2012, respectively, compared to a benefit of \$1.4 million and an expense of \$5.1 million for the same periods in 2011. The effective tax rate for 2012 decreased from 2011 primarily as a result of an increase in tax exempt income associated with OIB.

At December 31, 2011, OIB had \$2.9 million in the income tax effect of unrecognized gain on available-for-sale securities included in other comprehensive income. Following the change in OIB's applicable tax rate from 5% to 0% as a result of new legislation adopted

in 2011, this remaining tax balance will flow through income as these securities are repaid or sold in future periods, due to the OIB becoming tax exempt under Puerto Rico law. During the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2012, income tax provision included \$166 thousand and \$724 thousand, respectively, related to this residual tax effect from OIB.

Income Available to Common Shareholders

For the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2012, the Group's income available to common shareholders amounted to \$13.8 million and \$23.2 million, respectively, compared to \$25.3 million and \$27.1 million, respectively, for the same periods in 2011. Earnings per basic and fully diluted common share were \$0.34 and \$0.57 for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2012, compared to income per basic common share and fully diluted common share of \$0.56 for the quarter, and \$0.60 of income per basic common share and \$0.59 per fully diluted common share for the six-month period ended June 30, 2011.

Interest Earning Assets

The investment portfolio amounted to \$3.531 billion at June 30, 2012, an 8.7% decrease compared to \$3.868 billion at December 31, 2011, while the loan portfolio decreased 2.8% to \$1.620 billion at June 30, 2012, compared to \$1.666 billion at December 31, 2011. The decrease in the investment portfolio reflects a reduction of 11.7%, or \$347.1 million, in the available-for-sale portfolio, due to the sale of approximately \$534.3 million in investment securities as part of the Group's ongoing strategy of replacing securities with loans and selling mortgage-backed securities subject to high prepayment speeds, which was partially offset by an increase of 1.3%, or \$11.5 million, in the held-to-maturity portfolio. The decrease in the loan portfolio is mostly due to an increase of \$21.4 million, in the allowance for loan and lease losses on covered loans. In addition, the loan portfolio had a decrease in covered loans of 5.1% as they continue to be repaid.

Interest Bearing Liabilities

Total deposits amounted to \$2.223 billion at June 30, 2012, a decrease of 8.7% compared to \$2.435 billion at December 31, 2011. Core retail deposits, which exclude institutional and brokered deposits, declined \$19.6 million, or 1.0%, compared to December 31, 2011, while wholesale deposits decreased \$192.7 million or 45.4% as higher cost deposits matured during the period. Interest-bearing savings and demand deposits and individual retirement accounts increased 2.1% and 1.8%, respectively, while non-interest bearing demand deposits and retail certificates of deposits decreased 2.0% and 12.0%, respectively.

Using available cash, in March 2012, the Group's banking subsidiary repaid at maturity the \$105.0 million in senior unsecured notes issued in March 2009 under the FDIC's Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program ("TLGP") with an all-in cost of 3.75%. Due to the repayment of the TLGP notes, total borrowings decreased 3.0% to \$3.377 billion at June 30, 2012, compared to \$3.480 billion at December 31, 2011.

During the quarter ended June 30, 2012, the Group renewed \$350 million in repurchase agreements costing 4.26% at a new effective rate of approximately 1.90%, as one-month short-term repurchase agreements. The repurchase agreements are being rolled over on a monthly basis on the same terms as the variable rate leg of the interest rate swaps that are designated as cash flow hedges of such repurchase agreements.

Stockholders' Equity

Stockholders' equity at June 30, 2012 was \$692.2 million, compared to \$695.6 million at December 31, 2011, a decrease of 0.5%. This decrease reflects a decrease in other comprehensive income in addition to the stock repurchases by the Group during the first quarter of 2012, partially offset by the net income for the six-month period ended June 30, 2012.

Book value per share was \$15.32 at June 30, 2012 compared to \$15.22 at December 31, 2011.

The Group maintains capital ratios in excess of regulatory requirements. At June 30, 2012, Tier 1 Leverage Capital Ratio was 10.75% (2.69 times the requirement of 4.00%), Tier 1 Risk-Based Capital Ratio was 32.50% (8.13 times the requirement of 4.00%), and Total Risk-Based Capital Ratio was 33.79% (4.22 times the requirement of 8.00%).

Return on Average Assets and Common Equity

Return on average common equity ("ROE") for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2012 was 8.73% and 7.38%, respectively, down from 15.37% and 8.26% for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2011. Return on average assets ("ROA") for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2012 was 0.94% and 0.79%, respectively, down from 1.48% and 0.82% for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2011. The decrease is mostly due to a 43.5% and 13.3% decrease in net income from \$26.5 million and \$29.5 million in the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2011 to \$15.0 million and \$25.6 million in the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2012, respectively.

Assets under Management

Assets managed by the Group's trust division, the pension plan administration subsidiary (CPC), and Oriental Financial Services increased from \$4.142 billion as of December 31, 2011 to \$4.510 billion as of June 30, 2012. The trust division offers various types of individual retirement accounts ("IRA") and manages 401(k) and Keogh retirement plans and custodian and corporate trust accounts, while CPC manages the administration of private retirement plans. At June 30, 2012, total assets managed by the Group's trust division and CPC increased to \$2.413 billion, compared to \$2.216 billion at December 31, 2011, mainly related to capital market appreciation. At June 30, 2012, total assets managed by Oriental Financial Services from its customer investment accounts increased to \$2.097 billion, compared to \$1.926 billion at December 31, 2011, also mainly because of capital market appreciation.

Lending

Total loan production of \$206.9 million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2012 increased 6.3% year over year, including \$96.4 million in the quarter ended June 30, 2012. Total commercial loan production of \$91.2 million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2012, increased 46.5% from the year ago period, including \$35.5 million in the quarter ended June 30, 2012.

The Group sells most of its conforming mortgages in the secondary market, and retains servicing rights. As a result, mortgage banking activities now reflect originations as well as a growing servicing portfolio, a source of recurring revenue.

Mortgage loan production of \$48.9 million and \$93.9 million for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2012, respectively, decreased 18.1% and 16.5% from the same periods in 2011. Leasing and consumer loans

production for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2012 totaled \$12.0 million and \$21.8 million, up 6.1% and 9.6% from the same periods in 2011.

Credit Quality on Non-Covered Loans

Net credit losses increased \$1.6 million to \$6.4 million during the six-month period ended June 30, 2012, representing 1.07% of average non-covered loans outstanding, versus 0.82% in 2011. The allowance for loan and lease losses on non-covered loans increased to \$37.4 million (3.17% of total non-covered loans) at June 30, 2012, compared to \$37.0 million (3.12% of total non-covered loans) at December 31, 2011.

Non-performing loans ("NPLs"), which excludes loans covered under shared-loss agreements with the FDIC, decreased 10.5%, or \$14.2 million, in the six-month period ended June 30, 2012. The Group does not expect NPLs to result in significantly higher losses as most are well-collateralized with adequate loan-to-value ratios. In residential mortgage lending, more than 90% of the Group's portfolio consists of fixed-rate, fully amortizing, fully documented loans that do not have the level of risk generally associated with subprime loans. In commercial lending, more than 90% of all loans are collateralized by real estate. Early delinquency loans (30-89 days past due) dropped 11.1% from December 31, 2011. Covered loans are considered to be performing due to the application of the accretion method under ASC 310-30.

Non-GAAP Measures

The Group uses certain non-GAAP measures of financial performance to supplement the financial statements presented in accordance with GAAP. The Group presents non-GAAP measures that management believes is useful and meaningful to investors. Non-GAAP measures do not have any standardized meaning and are therefore unlikely to be comparable to similar measures presented by other companies. The presentation of non-GAAP measures is not intended to be a substitute for, and should not be considered in isolation from, the financial measures reported in accordance with GAAP.

76

The Group's management has reported and discussed the results of operations herein both on a GAAP basis and on a pre-tax pre-provision operating income basis (defined as net interest income, plus banking and wealth management revenues, less non-interest expenses, as calculated on the table below). The Group's management believes that, given the nature of the items excluded from the definition of pre-tax pre-provision operating income, it is useful to state what the results of operations would have been without them so that investors can see the financial trends from the Group's continuing business.

Tangible common equity consists of common equity less goodwill and core deposit intangibles. Management believes that the ratios of tangible common equity to total assets and to risk-weighted assets assist investors in analyzing the Group's capital position.

During the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2012, the Group's pre-tax pre-provision operating income was approximately \$15.8 million and \$37.3 million, respectively, a decrease of 29.3% and 4.7% from \$22.4 million and \$39.1 million in the same periods of last year. Pre-tax pre-provision operating income is calculated as follows:

		Quarter En	ded Ju	ne 30,	Six-Month Period Ended June					
		2012		2011		2012		2011		
				(In thou	sands)	ı				
PRE-TAX PRE-PROVISION										
OPERATING INCOME	-		_		-		-			
Net interest income	\$	33,076	\$	42,813	\$	71,939	\$	80,089		
Core non-interest income:										
Wealth management revenue	S	5,903		4,575		11,791		9,257		
Banking service revenues		3,407		3,234		6,693		6,958		
Mortgage banking activities		2,436		2,435		4,938		4,258		
Total core non-interest		11,746		10,244		23,422		20,473		
income		11,740		10,244		23,422		20,475		
Less non interest expenses		(29,006)		(30,696)		(58,092)		(61,475)		
Total pre-tax pre-provision operating income	\$	15,816	\$	22,361	\$	37,269	\$	39,087		

At June 30, 2012, tangible common equity to total assets was 9.73% compared to 9.32% at December 31, 2011. Tangible common equity to risk-weighted assets and total equity to risk-weighted assets at June 30, 2012 increased to 29.88% and 33.34%, respectively, from 29.71% and 33.14% at December 31, 2011.

ANALYSIS OF RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

TABLE 1 - QUARTERLY ANALYSIS OF NET INTEREST INCOME AND CHANGES DUE TO VOLUME/RATE FOR THE QUARTERS ENDED JUNE 30, 2012 AND 2011

	Int	erest	t	Averag	ge rate		Average balance		
	June 2012		June 2011	June 2012	June 2011 in thousand	ds)	June 2012		June 2011
A - TAX EQUIVALENT				`					
SPREAD									
Interest-earning assets \$	60,788	\$	82,202	4.20%	5.08%	\$	5,794,684	\$	6,477,778
Tax equivalent adjustment	13,097		27,615	0.90%	1.71%		-		-
Interest-earning assets -	73,885		109,817	5.10%	6.79%		5,794,684		6,477,778
tax equivalent	,		•				, ,		
Interest-bearing liabilities	27,712		39,389	1.97%	2.47%		5,626,256		6,369,156
Tax equivalent net interest income / spread	46,173		70,428	3.13%	4.32%		168,428		108,622
Tax equivalent interest rate margin				3.19%	4.35%				
B - NORMAL SPREAD									
Interest-earning assets:									
Investments:									
Investment securities	22,842		52,903	2.61%	4.82%		3,501,015		4,389,403
Money market	381		270	0.24%	0.31%		634,707		348,761
investments Total investments	23,223		53,173	2.25%	4.49%		4,135,722		4,738,164
Loans not covered under	23,223		55,175	2.25 70	4.49 70		4,133,722		4,/30,104
shared-loss agreements									
with the FDIC:									
Mortgage	11,909		11,349	5.80%	5.16%		821,807		879,551
Commercial	4,054		3,500	5.21%	5.78%		311,299		242,006
Leasing	570		286	8.17%	8.78%		27,908		13,026
Consumer	690		834	6.97%	10.39%		39,623		32,093
Total non-covered	17,223		15,969	5.74%	5.48%		1,200,637		1,166,676
loans Loans covered under									
shared-loss agreements									
with the FDIC:	£ 100		2 207	12.22	5 6 4 6 7		154.004		170 170
	5,133		3,385	13.33%	7.64%		154,004		173,173

Edgar Filing: ORIENTAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Loans secured by residential properties							
Commercial and							
construction	13,054	7,516	19.37%	8.19%	269,509		320,247
Leasing	1,882	1,794	31.64%	11.01%	23,793		65,162
Consumer	273	365	9.91%	8.58%	11,019		14,356
Total covered loans	20,342	13,060	9.91% 17.75%	9.12%	458,325		572,938
Total loans	20,542 37,565	29,029	9.01%	9.12% 6.67%	1,658,962		1,739,614
Total interest	37,303	29,029	9.01%	0.07 70	1,050,902		1,739,014
earning assets	60,788	82,202	4.20%	5.08%	5,794,684		6,477,778
Interest-bearing							
liabilities:							
Deposits:							
Non-interest bearing							
deposits	-	-	-	-	172,615		182,521
Now accounts	2,268	3,140	1.04%	1.57%	876,041		799,960
Savings and money	,				670,041		777,700
market	580	832	0.99%	1.40%	234,762		238,536
Individual retirement							
accounts	2,122	2,451	2.30%	2.74%	369,519		358,426
Retail certificates of							
deposits	1,653	2,738	2.00%	2.36%	330,644		463,143
Total retail deposits	6,623	9,161	1.34%	1.79%	1,983,581		2,042,586
Institutional deposits	411	920	1.72%	1.67%	95,382		220,736
Brokered deposits	930	1,465	2.22%	2.68%	167,207		218,430
Total wholesale							
deposits	1,341	2,385	2.04%	2.17%	262,589		439,166
Total deposits	7,964	11,546	1.42%	1.86%	2,246,170		2,481,752
Borrowings:	.,, .	11,010	2012 /0	2,00 /0	_,_ 10,17		_,,
Securities sold under							
agreements to repurchase	16,586	23,512	2.17%	2.72%	3,057,598		3,462,085
Advances from FHLB			- 0				
and other borrowings	2,841	3,002	3.97%	4.23%	286,405		283,875
FDIC-guaranteed term							
notes	-	1,021	0.00%	3.88%	-		105,361
Subordinated capital							
notes	321	308	3.56%	3.41%	36,083		36,083
Total borrowings	19,748	27,843	2.34%	2.86%	3,380,086		3,887,404
Total interest bearing liabilities	27,712	39,389	1.97%	2.47%	5,626,256		6,369,156
Net interest income /	\$ 33,076	\$ 42,813	2.23%	2.61%			
spread	Ψ 33,070	Ψ 42,013					
Interest rate margin			2.28%	2.64%			
Excess of average							
interest-earning assets						_	
					\$ 168,428	\$	108,622
over average							
interest-bearing liabilities					404.00=		404 = 451
Average interest-earning					102.99%		101.71%
assets to average							

C - CHANGES IN NET INTEREST INCOME DUE TO:

	`	Volume Rate (In thousands)			Total		
Interest Income:							
Investments	\$	(6,761)	\$	(23,189)	\$	(29,950)	
Loans		(2,148)		10,684		8,536	
Total interest income		(8,909)		(12,505)		(21,414)	
Interest Expense:							
Deposits		(1,096)		(2,486)		(3,582)	
Securities sold under agreements to		(2,747)		(4,179)		(6,926)	
repurchase		(2,777)		(4,177)		(0,720)	
Other borrowings		(1,047)		(122)		(1,169)	
Total interest expense		(4,890)		(6,787)		(11,677)	
Net Interest Income	\$	(4,019)	\$	(5,718)	\$	(9,737)	
		79					

TABLE 1/A - YEAR-TO-DATE ANALYSIS OF NET INTEREST INCOME AND CHANGES DUE TO VOLUME/RATE FOR THE SIX-MONTH PERIODS ENDED JUNE 30, 2012 AND 2011

		Inte	erest		Avera	ge rate		Average balance		
		June 2012		June 2011	June 2012	June 2011	• `	June 2012		June 2011
A TAN EQUINALENT					(Dollars i	n thousand	is)			
A - TAX EQUIVALENT SPREAD										
Interest-earning assets	\$	130,708	\$	160,236	4.43%	4.90%	\$	5,900,367	\$	6,535,278
Tax equivalent	Ψ	•	Ψ	ŕ			Ψ	2,500,207	Ψ	0,000,270
adjustment		28,201		31,332	0.96%	0.96%		-		-
Interest-earning assets -		158,909		101 540	5.39%	5.86%		5 000 267		<i>(525)70</i>
tax equivalent		158,909		191,568	5.39%	5.80%		5,900,367		6,535,278
Interest-bearing		58,769		80,147	2.05%	2.49%		5,724,700		6,426,420
liabilities		30,707		00,147	2.05 /0	2.7770		3,724,700		0,720,720
Tax equivalent net		100,140		111,421	3.34%	3.37%		175,667		108,858
interest income / spread		,		,				,		
Tax equivalent interest					3.39%	3.41%				
rate margin B - NORMAL SPREAD										
Interest-earning assets:										
Investments:										
Investment securities		52,692		98,497	2.92%	4.45%		3,611,510		4,425,391
Money market										
investments		787		520	0.26%	0.30%		614,517		353,241
Total investments		53,479		99,017	2.53%	4.14%		4,226,027		4,778,632
Loans not covered under										
shared-loss agreements										
with the FDIC:										
Mortgage		24,721		24,739	5.97%	5.59%		828,700		885,720
Commercial		8,150		7,004	5.34%	5.91%		305,116		237,114
Leasing		1,118		561	8.37%	10.70%		26,719		10,490
Consumer		1,356		1,630	6.99%	10.54%		38,798		30,934
Total non-covered loans		35,345		33,934	5.89%	5.83%		1,199,333		1,164,258
Loans covered under										
shared-loss agreements										
with the FDIC:										
Loans secured by										
residential properties		10,331		6,881	13.32%	7.63%		155,084		176,471
Commercial and		26 721		15 074	10 100	0.100		270 015		221 047
construction		26,721		15,274	19.10%	8.12%		279,815		331,047

Edgar Filing: ORIENTAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

	3 -					
Leasing	4,254	4,278	30.00%	12.28%	28,362	69,654
Consumer	578	852	9.84%	9.59%	11,746	15,216
Total covered loans	41,884	27,285	17.64%	9.21%	475,007	592,388
Total loans	77,229	61,219	9.23%	6.97%	1,674,340	1,756,646
Total interest	130,708	160,236	4.43%	4.90%	5,900,367	6,535,278
earning assets	130,700	100,230	4.43 /0	4. 70 /0	3,200,307	0,555,270
Interest-bearing						
liabilities:						
Deposits:						
Non-interest bearing	-	-	-	-	174,497	179,640
deposits Now accounts	4,817	6,766	1.11%	1.67%	869,525	808,561
Savings and money	4,617	0,700	1.1170	1.0770	009,323	000,301
market	1,207	1,859	1.03%	1.53%	235,019	243,122
Individual retirement						
accounts	4,452	5,084	2.43%	2.83%	367,009	359,388
Retail certificates of	2.760	7.604	2 100	2.40%	245.644	166.762
deposits	3,769	5,604	2.18%	2.40%	345,644	466,563
Total retail deposits	14,245	19,313	1.43%	1.88%	1,991,694	2,057,274
Institutional deposits	870	1,823	1.66%	1.53%	104,648	237,870
Brokered deposits	2,095	2,693	2.03%	2.19%	206,049	245,413
Total wholesale	2,965	4,516	1.91%	1.87%	310,697	483,283
deposits		•			•	·
Total deposits	17,210	23,829	1.49%	1.88%	2,302,391	2,540,557
Borrowings:						
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	34,156	47,671	2.23%	2.75%	3,057,858	3,462,170
Advances from FHLB						
and other borrowings	5,845	5,994	4.11%	4.25%	284,188	282,009
FDIC-guaranteed term						
notes	909	2,042	4.11%	3.87%	44,180	105,601
Subordinated capital	640	(11	2 (00	2 200	26,002	26,002
notes	649	611	3.60%	3.39%	36,083	36,083
Total borrowings	41,559	56,318	2.43%	2.90%	3,422,309	3,885,863
Total interest bearing liabilities	58,769	80,147	2.05%	2.49%	5,724,700	6,426,420
Net interest income /	\$ 71,939	\$ 80,089	2.38%	2.41%		
spread	Ψ 11,707	Ψ 00,009				
Interest rate margin			2.44%	2.45%		
Excess of average						
interest-earning assets					\$ 175,667	\$ 108,858
over average					φ 175,007	φ 100,030
interest-bearing liabilities	S					
Average interest-earning						
assets to average						
J					103.07%	101.69%
interest-bearing						
liabilities ratio						

C - CHANGES IN NET INTEREST INCOME DUE TO:

	Volume	Total	
Interest Income:		thousands)	
Investments	\$ (11,450)	\$ (34,088)	\$ (45,538)
Loans	(4,384)	20,394	16,010
Total interest income	(15,834)	(13,694)	(29,528)
Interest Expense:			
Deposits	(2,234)	(4,385)	(6,619)
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	(5,567)	(7,948)	(13,515)
Other borrowings	(1,209)	(35)	(1,244)
Total interest expense	(9,010)	(12,368)	(21,378)
Net Interest Income	\$ (6,824) 81	\$ (1,326)	\$ (8,150)

Net Interest Income

Net interest income is a function of the difference between rates earned on the Group's interest-earning assets and rates paid on its interest-bearing liabilities (interest rate spread) and the relative amounts of its interest earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities (interest rate margin). The Group constantly monitors the composition and re-pricing of its assets and liabilities to maintain its net interest income at adequate levels. Tables 1 and 1A above show the major categories of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, their respective interest income, expenses, yields and costs, and their impact on net interest income due to changes in volume and rates for the quarters and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Net interest income amounted to \$33.1 million and \$71.9 million for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2012, a decrease of 22.7% and 10.2% from \$42.8 million and \$80.1 million for the same periods in 2011. These changes reflect a decrease of 56.3% in interest income from investments, partially offset by a 29.4% increase in interest income from loans and a decrease of 29.6% in interest expense for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011. They also reflect a decrease of 46.0% in interest income from investments, partially offset by a 26.2% increase in interest income from loans and a decrease of 26.7% in interest expense for the six-month period ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011.

Interest rate spread decreased 38 basis points to 2.23% for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 from 2.61% in the quarter ended June 30, 2011. This decrease reflects an 88 basis points decrease in the average yield of interest earning assets to 4.20% from 5.08%, as further explained below, partially offset by a 50 basis points decrease in the average cost of funds to 1.97% from 2.47%. For the six-month period ended June 30, 2012, interest rate spread decreased 3 basis points to 2.38% from 2.41% in the same period of 2011. This decrease reflects a 47 basis points decrease in the average yield of interest earning assets to 4.43% from 4.90%, partially offset by a 44 basis points decrease in the average cost of funds to 2.05% from 2.49%.

The decrease in interest income for the quarter was primarily the result of a decrease of \$8.9 million in interest-earning assets volume variance, and a \$12.5 million decrease in interest rate variance. The six-month period decrease in interest income was primarily the result of a decrease of \$15.8 million in interest-earning assets volume variance, and a \$13.7 million decrease in interest rate variance. Interest income from loans increased 29.4% to \$37.6 million and 26.2% to \$77.2 million for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2012, respectively. During the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2012, interest income from covered loans increased 55.8% to \$20.3 million and 53.5% to \$41.9 million, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2011, mainly due to the re-yielding of various pools of covered loans mainly during the second half of 2011. Interest income on investments decreased 56.3% to \$23.2 million and 46.0% to \$53.5 million for the quarter and six-month period ended June 31, 2012, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2011, reflecting lower balance in the investment securities portfolio, lower yield on investment securities and an increase in premium amortization due to increased prepayment speeds.

Interest expense decreased 29.6% to \$27.7 million and 26.7% to \$58.8 million for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2012, respectively. The decrease for the quarter was primarily the result of a \$6.8 million decrease in interest rate variance, and a \$4.9 million decrease in interest-bearing liabilities volume variance. The six-month period decrease was primarily the result of a \$12.4 million decrease in interest rate variance, and a \$9.0 million decrease in interest-bearing liabilities volume variance. These decreases are due to a reduction in the balance of interest bearing liabilities and the cost of funds, which decreased 50 basis points to 1.97% and 44 basis points to 2.05% for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2012, respectively, from the same periods in 2011. The cost of deposits decreased by 44 basis points to 1.42% and 39 basis points to 1.49% during the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2012, respectively, compared to 1.86% and 1.88% for the same periods in 2011 primarily due to continuing progress in repricing the core retail deposits and to the maturity during the period of higher cost wholesale deposits. The cost of borrowings decreased by 52 basis points to 2.34% and 47 basis points to 2.43% during the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2012, respectively, compared to 2.86% and 2.90% for the same periods in 2011. This decrease in the cost of borrowing is attributable to the fact that during December 2011, as previously reported, \$600 million in repurchase agreements, with an average cost of 4.23%, matured. The Group paid off \$300 million of these repurchase agreements, and the remaining balance of \$300 million was renewed for an average period of approximately three and a half years at an effective fixed rate of 2.36%. To further reduce cost of borrowings, in May 2012, the Group renewed \$350.0 million in repurchase agreements, with an average cost of 4.26%, at a new effective rate of approximately 1.90%. Also, total borrowings decreased due to the repayment in March 2012, at maturity, of the notes issued under the FDIC's Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program.

For the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2012, the average balance of total interest-earning assets was \$5.795 billion and \$5.900 billion, respectively, a decrease of 10.5% and 9.7% from the same periods in 2011. This decrease in average balance of interest-earning assets was mainly attributable to a 12.7% and 11.6% decrease for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2012, respectively, in average investments, in addition to a 4.6% and 4.7% decrease in average loans compared to the same periods in

2011, resulting from normal pay downs of covered loans and to the re-yielding of various pools of covered loans mainly during the second half of 2011. The decrease in the investment portfolio reflects a reduction in the available-for-sale portfolio, due to the sale of approximately \$534.3 million in investment securities during the six-month period ended June 30, 2012. As of June 30, 2012, the Group had \$464.6 million in cash and cash equivalents and \$225.0 million in securities purchased under agreements to resell, compared to \$591.5 million in cash and cash equivalents and no securities purchased under agreements to resell as of December 31, 2011.

For the quarter ended June 30, 2012, the average yield on interest-earning assets was 4.20% compared to 5.08% for the same period in 2011, and for the six-month period ended June 30, 2012 was 4.43% compared to 4.90% for the same period in 2011. This was mainly due to a decrease in the investment portfolio yield to 2.25% from 4.49% and to 2.53% from 4.14% for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2012, respectively. However, such decrease was partially offset by the higher average yields in the loan portfolio, mainly due to the covered loans that had an average yield of 17.75% and 17.64% for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2012, respectively, compared to 9.12% and 9.21% for the same periods in 2011.

TABLE 2 - NON-INTEREST INCOME SUMMARY

		Qua	rter E	Ended June 3	0,	Six-Month Period Ended June 30,				
		2012		2011	Variance		2012		2011	Variance
				(1	Dollars in tho	usai	nds)			
Wealth management	Φ									
revenues	\$	5,903	\$	4,575	29.0%	\$	11,792	\$	9,257	27.4%
Banking service revenues		3,407		3,234	5.3%		6,692		6,958	-3.8%
Mortgage banking										
activities		2,436		2,435	0.0%		4,938		4,258	16.0%
Total banking and										
wealth management										
revenues		11,746		10,244	14.7%		23,422		20,473	14.4%
Net accretion										
(amortization) of FDIC										
shared-loss										
indemnification asset		(5,583)		1,020	-647.4%		(10,410)		2,231	-566.6%
Net gain (loss) on:										
Sale of securities		11,979		9,126	31.3%		19,331		9,093	112.6%
Derivatives		(107)		(3,704)	97.1%		(108)		(7,660)	98.6%
Foreclosed real estate		(886)		(3)	-100.0%		(1,284)		(135)	-851.1%
Other		63		76	-17.1%		(770)		162	-575.3%
		5,466		6,515	-16.1%		6,759		3,691	83.1%
Total non-interest										
income, net	\$	17,212	\$	16,759	2.7%	\$	30,181	\$	24,164	24.9%

Non-Interest Income

Non-interest income is affected by the amount of securities, derivatives and trading transactions, the level of trust assets under management, transactions generated by clients' financial assets serviced by the securities broker-dealer and insurance subsidiaries, the level of mortgage banking activities, and the fees generated from loans and deposit accounts. It is also affected by the net accretion (amortization) of the FDIC shared-loss indemnification asset, which varies depending on the results of the on-going evaluation of expected cash flows of the loan portfolio acquired in the 2010 FDIC-assisted acquisition of Eurobank.

As shown in Table 2 above, the Group recorded non-interest income in the amount of \$17.2 million and \$30.2 million for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2012, respectively, compared to \$16.8 million and \$24.2 million for the same periods in 2011, an increase of \$453 thousand and \$6.0 million, respectively. This increase is mainly related to the \$12.0 million and \$19.3 million gains on sale of securities, as the Group took advantage of market opportunities during the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2012, which was partially offset by the amortization of the FDIC shared-loss indemnification asset during the periods.

The net amortization of the FDIC shared-loss indemnification asset of \$5.6 million and \$10.4 million for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2012, respectively, compared to net accretion of \$1.0 million and \$2.2 million for the same periods in 2011, resulted from the ongoing evaluation of expected cash flows of the covered loan portfolio, which resulted in reduced losses expected to be collected from the FDIC and the improved re-yielding of the accretable yield on the covered loans. This reduction in claimable losses amortizes the loss-share indemnification asset at a rate that mirrors the aforementioned re-yielding on the covered loans. This amortization is net of the accretion of the discount recorded to reflect the expected claimable loss at its net present value.

The losses related to derivatives decreased approximately \$3.6 million and \$7.6 million as compared to the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2011, respectively, during which period the Group realized a loss of \$4.3 million on the termination of forward-settlement swaps with a notional amount of \$1.25 billion, which were not designated as cash flow hedges, and a realized loss of \$2.2 million on the sale of the remaining swap options. At the same time, the Group entered into new forward-settlement swap contracts with a notional amount of \$1.43 billion, all of which were designated as cash flow hedges.

Wealth management revenues, which consist of commissions and fees from fiduciary activities, and securities brokerage and insurance activities, increased 29.0% to \$5.9 million and 27.4% to \$11.8 million in the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2012, respectively, from \$4.6 million and \$9.3 million for the same periods in 2011, due to increased brokerage, trust and insurance business and transactions.

Banking service revenues, which consist primarily of fees generated by deposit accounts, electronic banking services, and customer services, increased 5.3% to \$3.4 million in the quarter ended June 30, 2012 and decreased 3.8% to \$6.7 million in the six-month period ended June 30, 2012, from \$3.2 million and \$7.0 million, respectively, for the same periods in 2011. This decrease for the six-month period is attributable to a decrease in loan fees.

Income generated from mortgage banking activities remained level at \$2.4 million in the quarter ended June 30, 2012 and increased 16.0% to \$4.9 million in the six-month period ended June 30, 2012, compared to the same periods in 2011. Such increase is mainly a result of favorable pricing of mortgages sold into the secondary market.

TABLE 3 - NON-INTEREST EXPENSES SUMMARY

		Quarter Ended June 30,			9	Six-Month Period Ended June 30,				
	2012			2011	Variance %	2012		2011		Variance %
		(Dollars in thousands)								
Compensation and										
employee benefits	\$	11,184	\$	11,230	-0.4%	\$	21,550	\$	22,918	-6.0%
Professional and servi	ice									
fees		5,144		5,750	-10.5%		10,442		11,197	-6.7%
Occupancy and										
equipment		4,270		4,214	1.3%		8,455		8,619	-1.9%
Insurance		1,442		1,646	-12.4%		3,262		3,632	-10.2%
Electronic banking										
charges		1,609		1,155	39.3%		3,166		2,610	21.3%
Taxes, other than										
payroll and income										
taxes		(107)		858	-112.5%		1,067		2,237	-52.3%
		955		1,076	-11.2%		1,923		2,097	-8.3%

Edgar Filing: ORIENTAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Loan servicing and clearing expenses Foreclosure and repossession expenses Advertising, business promotion,		1,198		761	57.4%		2,153		1,484	45.1%
and strategic										
initiatives		1,564		1,508	3.7%		2,412		2,700	-10.7%
Communication		415		425	-2.4%		827		822	0.6%
Director and investors										
relations		342		339	0.9%		651		625	4.2%
Printing, postage,		222		2.62	11.0~		600		~	
stationery and supplies		322		362	-11.0%		630		644	-2.2%
Other operating		668		1 272	-51.3%		1 554		1 200	-17.8%
expenses Total non-interest		008		1,372	-31.3%		1,554		1,890	-17.8%
expenses	\$	29,006	\$	30,696	-5.5%	\$	58,092	\$	61,475	-5.5%
Relevant ratios and	Ψ	2>,000	Ψ	20,070	2.2 /0	Ψ	20,072	Ψ	01,170	2.2 70
data:										
Efficiency ratio		64.71%		57.85%			60.92%		61.13%	
Expense ratio		1.19%		1.26%			1.18%		1.25%	
Compensation and										
benefits to										
• , ,										
non-interest		38.56%		37.97%			37.10%		37.28%	
expense Compensation to tot	-1	30.30%		31.9170			37.10%		31.2070	
assets owned	aı	0.70%		0.63%			0.68%		0.65%	
Average number of		0.70 /6		0.03 /6			0.00 /0		0.05 /6	
employees		751		728			748		726	
Average										
compensation per										
employee	\$	59.6	\$	61.7		\$	57.6	\$	63.1	
Assets owned per										
average employee	\$	8,490	\$	9,729		\$	8,524	\$	9,755	

Non-Interest Expenses

Non-interest expenses for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 decreased 5.5% to \$29.0 million, compared to \$30.7 million for the same period in 2011. For the six-month period ended June 30, 2012, non-interest expense reached \$58.1 million, representing a decrease of 5.5% compared to \$61.5 million for the same period in 2011 as a result of the effective implementation of cost controls.

Taxes, other than payroll and income taxes, for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2012 decreased 112.5% to a benefit of \$107 thousand and 52.3% to an expense of \$1.1 million, respectively, as compared to the same periods in 2011, principally due to the effect of the Eurobank integration, which for 2011 contemplated municipal license and property taxes for all of Eurobank's former facilities. During the quarter ended June 30, 2012, the Group recorded a municipal license benefit of \$1.4 million, attributed to a settlement reached with the municipality of San Juan, in which the Group paid \$60 thousand in full and final satisfaction of any and all claims for municipal license taxes for all fiscal years prior to and including 2011.

Compensation and employee benefits decreased 0.4% and 6.0% to \$11.2 million and \$21.6 million for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2012, respectively, from \$11.2 million and \$22.9 million for the same periods in 2011. The decrease is related to an excess provision for bonus amounting to \$857 thousand compared to the amount finally paid during the first quarter of 2012, resulting in a benefit in the six-month period ended June 30, 2012.

Insurance expense decreased 12.4% and 10.2% for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2012, respectively, as compared to the same periods in 2011, principally due to a change in FDIC assessment rates and a change in the methodology of the assessment applied to the Bank. The current methodology is based on average consolidated total assets less average tangible equity, instead of average total domestic deposits.

The Group's cost control initiatives also yielded savings in professional and service fees and loan servicing and clearing expenses by 10.5% and 11.2%, respectively, in the quarter ended June 30, 2012, and 6.7% and 8.3%, respectively, in the six-month period ended June 30, 2012. This is mainly driven by consulting and outsourcing services that were eliminated or renegotiated.

Other expenses decreased 51.3% to \$668 thousand and 17.8% to \$1.6 million for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2012, respectively, as compared to \$1.4 million and \$1.9 million for the same periods in 2011, mainly due to a non-recurring operational loss item amounting to \$350 thousand recorded in 2011 in addition to cost control initiatives during the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2012.

The decrease in the Group's net-interest income resulted in an increase in the efficiency ratio to 64.71% for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 compared to 57.85% for the quarter ended June 30, 2011, while it decreased to 60.92% for the six-month period ended June 30, 2012 from 61.13% in the prior year. The efficiency ratio measures how much of a company's revenue is used to pay operating expenses. The Group computes its efficiency ratio by dividing non-interest expenses by the sum of its net interest income and non-interest income, but excluding gains on sale of investments securities, derivatives gains or losses, credit-related other-than-temporary impairment losses, net accretion or amortization of FDIC shared-loss indemnification assets, and other income that may be considered volatile in nature. Management believes that the exclusion of those items permits greater comparability. Amounts presented as part of non-interest income that are excluded from the efficiency ratio computation amounted to gains of \$5.5 million and \$6.8 million for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2012, respectively, compared to gains of \$6.5 million and \$3.7 million for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2011. Revenues for purposes of the efficiency ratio for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2012 amounted to \$44.8 million and \$95.4 million,

respectively, compared to \$53.1 million and \$100.6 million for the same periods in 2011.

Provision for Loan and Lease Losses

The provision for non-covered loan and lease losses for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 remained level at \$3.8 million, and totaled \$6.8 million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2012, a 10.5% decrease from the \$7.6 million reported for the same period in 2011. Based on an analysis of the credit quality and the composition of the Group's loan portfolio, management determined that the provision for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2012 was adequate in order to maintain the allowance for loan and lease losses at an adequate level to provide for probable losses based upon an evaluation of known and inherent risks. The Group's allowance for loan and lease losses policy provides for a detailed quarterly analysis of probable losses. The six-month period decrease in the provision for loan and lease losses is supported by the following observed trends during the six-month period ended June 30, 2012:

- Current loans to total gross loans increased from 85.8% at December 31, 2011 to 87.6% at June 30, 2012.
- Loans 90 days past due to total gross loans decreased from 10.6% at December 31, 2011 to 9.2% at June 30, 2012.
- Non-accruing loans decreased from \$134.8 million at December 31, 2011 to \$120.5 million at June 30, 2012.

85

The decrease in non-accruing loans is mainly related to an improvement in the non-performing residential mortgage and commercial loan portfolios as described in Table 12 below.

During the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2012, net credit losses amounted to \$3.8 million and \$6.4 million, respectively, a 63.6% and 33.5% increase when compared to \$2.3 million and \$4.8 million reported for the same periods in 2011. The increase was primarily due to a \$974 thousand and \$2.3 million increase in net credit losses for commercial loans during the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2012, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2011. Net credit losses on mortgage loans increased 53.6% during the quarter ended June 30, 2012, but decreased 5.7% during the six-month period ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same periods in 2011. Net credit losses on consumer loans and leases decreased \$159 thousand and \$34 thousand, respectively, for the quarter ended June 30, 2012, and \$423 thousand and \$68 thousand during the six-month period ended June 30, 2012, compared to the same periods in 2011. Total charge-offs increased 62.4% to \$3.9 million and 32.2% to \$6.6 million in the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2012, respectively, as compared to the same periods in 2011, and total recoveries increased from \$75 thousand and \$209 thousand in the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2012, respectively, to \$94 thousand and \$216 thousand in the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2012, respectively. As a result, the recoveries to charge-offs ratio decreased from 3.16% and 4.17% in the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2012.

The loans covered by the FDIC shared-loss agreement were recognized at fair value as of April 30, 2010, which included the impact of expected credit losses. To the extent credit deterioration occurs in covered loans after the date of acquisition, the Group would record an allowance for loan and lease losses. Also, the Group would record an increase in the FDIC share-loss indemnification asset for the expected reimbursement from the FDIC under the shared-loss agreements. As part of the Group's assessment of actual versus expected cash flows on covered loans during the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2012, the Group observed that some pools of construction and development loans and commercial real estate loans underperformed. These observations required a provision for covered loan and lease losses of \$8.6 million, net of the effect from the FDIC shared-loss indemnification asset, for the six-month period ended June 30, 2012, an increase from the provision for covered loans and lease losses of \$8.1 million for the same period in 2011.

Please refer to the "Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses and Non-Performing Assets" section in this MD&A and Table 8 through Table 13 below for a more detailed analysis of the allowances for loan and lease losses, net credit losses and credit quality statistics.

Income Taxes

Income tax expense was \$1.1 million and \$3.0 million for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2012, respectively, compared to a benefit of \$1.4 million and an expense of \$5.1 million for the same periods in 2011. The increase during the quarter ended June 30, 2012 was attributed to various contingencies settled with the Puerto Rico

Treasury Department during the quarter ended June 30, 2011. The decrease during the six-month period ended June 30, 2012 was mainly due to the \$5.4 million income tax expense recorded during the quarter ended March 31, 2011 related to the re-measurement of the net deferred tax assets due to a reduction in the marginal corporate income tax rates from 40.95% to 30%, which was partially offset by the contingencies settled during the quarter ended June 30, 2011 mentioned before.

At December 31, 2011, OIB had \$2.9 million in the income tax effect of unrecognized gain on available-for-sale securities included in other comprehensive income. Following the change in OIB's applicable tax rate from 5% to 0% as a result of new legislation adopted in 2011, this remaining tax balance will flow through income as these securities are repaid or sold in future periods, due to OIB becoming tax exempt under Puerto Rico law. During the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2012, income tax provision included \$166 thousand and \$724 thousand, respectively, related to this residual tax effect from the OIB.

ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION

TABLE 4 - ASSETS SUMMARY AND COMPOSITION

	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011		Variance %	
		(Dollars in	thousands)	70	
Investments:					
FNMA and FHLMC certificates \$ Obligations of US Government sponsored	2,913,369	\$	3,560,807	-18.2%	
agencies	28,791		-	100.0%	
US Treasury Securities	154,998		-	100.0%	
CMOs issued by US Government					
sponsored agencies	323,394		130,045	148.7%	
GNMA certificates	22,491		28,336	-20.6%	
Structured credit investments	27,280		37,288	-26.8%	
Puerto Rico Government and agency					
obligations	22,352		81,482	-72.6%	
FHLB stock	22,868		23,779	-3.8%	
Other debt securities	15,664		5,980	161.9%	
Other investments	283		253	11.9%	
Total investments	3,531,490		3,867,970	-8.7%	
Loans:	, ,				
Loans not covered under shared-loss					
agreements with the FDIC	1,175,320		1,179,988	-0.4%	
Allowance for loan and lease losses on non					
covered loans	(37,402)		(37,010)	-1.1%	
Non covered loans receivable, net	1,137,918		1,142,978	-0.4%	
Mortgage loans held for sale	34,718		26,939	28.9%	
Total loans not covered under					
shared-loss agreements with the FDIC, net	1,172,636		1,169,917	0.2%	
Loans covered under shared-loss	, ,				
agreements with the FDIC	506,348		533,532	-5.1%	
Allowance for loan and lease losses on					
covered loans	(58,628)		(37,256)	-57.4%	
Total loans covered under	, , ,		, ,		
shared-loss agreements with the FDIC, net	447,720		496,276	-9.8%	
Total loans, net	1,620,356		1,666,193	-2.8%	
Securities purchased under agreements to	, ,		, ,		
resell	225,000		-	100.0%	
Total securities and loans	5,376,846		5,534,163	-2.8%	
Other assets:	, , ,		, ,		
Cash and due from banks	459,917		587,624	-21.7%	

Edgar Filing: ORIENTAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Money market investments	4,662	3,863	20.7%	
FDIC shared-loss indemnification	359,767	392,367	-8.3%	
Foreclosed real estate	31,631	27,679	14.3%	
Accrued interest receivable		17,258	20,182	-14.5%
Deferred tax asset, net		35,887	32,023	12.1%
Premises and equipment, net		20,090	21,520	-6.6%
Servicing assets		10,776	10,454	3.1%
Derivative assets		11,367	9,317	22.0%
Other assets		47,447	54,483	-12.9%
Total other assets		998,802	1,159,512	-13.9%
Total assets	\$	6,375,648	\$ 6,693,675	-4.8%
Investments portfolio composition:				
FNMA and FHLMC certificates		82.5%	92.1%	
Obligations of US Government spo	onsored			
agencies		0.8%	0.0%	
US Treasury Securities	4.4%	0.0%		
CMOs issued by US Government				
sponsored agencies	9.2%	3.4%		
GNMA certificates	0.6%	0.7%		
Structured credit investments	0.8%	1.0%		
Puerto Rico Government and agen	су			
obligations	0.6%	2.1%		
FHLB stock	0.6%	0.6%		
Other debt securities and other inv	0.5%	0.1%		
		$\boldsymbol{100.0\%}$	$\boldsymbol{100.0\%}$	
		87		

Assets Owned

At June 30, 2012, the Group's total assets amounted to \$6.376 billion, a decrease of 4.8% when compared to \$6.694 billion at December 31, 2011, and interest-earning assets decreased 2.8% from \$5.534 billion at December 31, 2011 to \$5.377 billion at June 30, 2012.

As set forth in Table 4 above, investments are the Group's largest component of interest-earning assets. Investments principally consist of U.S. treasury securities, U.S. government and agency bonds, mortgage-backed securities and Puerto Rico government and agency bonds. At June 30, 2012, the investment portfolio decreased 8.7% to \$3.531 billion from \$3.868 billion at December 31, 2011. This decrease is mostly due to a decrease of \$647.4 million, or 18.2%, in FNMA and FHLMC certificates. During the six-month period ended June 30, 2012, the Group had net realized gains of \$19.3 million, mainly due to sales of mortgage-backed securities and CMOs of \$427.2 million with a book value at sales of \$407.3 million. During the six-month period ended June 30, 2011, the Group had gross realized gains of \$9.1 million, mainly due to sales of mortgage-backed securities of \$238.7 million with a book value at sales of \$229.6 million.

At June 30, 2012, approximately 98% of the Group's investment securities portfolio consists of fixed-rate mortgage-backed securities or notes guaranteed or issued by FNMA, FHLMC or GNMA, and U.S. agency senior debt obligations backed by a U.S. government-sponsored entity or the full faith and credit of the U.S. government, compared to 96% at December 31, 2011.

The Group's loan portfolio is comprised of residential loans, home equity loans, commercial loans collateralized by mortgages on real estate located in Puerto Rico, other commercial and industrial loans, consumer loans, and leases. At June 30, 2012, the Group's loan portfolio, the second largest category of the Group's interest-earning assets, decreased 2.8% to \$1.620 billion compared to the loan portfolio at December 31, 2011 of \$1.666 billion. The covered loan portfolio decreased \$48.6 million, or 9.8%, mainly due an increase of \$21.4 million in the allowance for loan and lease losses on covered loans, and as a net effect of the repayment of loans and the portion of the accretable yield recognized as interest income. The non-covered loan portfolio increased \$2.7 million, or 0.2%.

At June 30, 2012, the Group's non-covered loan portfolio composition and trends were as follows:

• Mortgage loan portfolio amounted to \$788.2 million (66.8% of the gross non-covered loan portfolio), compared to \$821.1 million (69.1% of the gross non-covered loan portfolio) at December 31, 2011. Mortgage loan production and purchases totaled \$48.9 million and \$93.9 million for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2012,

respectively, decreases of 18.1% and 16.5% from \$59.7 million and \$112.5 million in the previous year quarter and six-month period, respectively.

- Commercial loan portfolio amounted to \$321.7 million (27.3% of the gross non-covered loan portfolio), compared to \$301.6 million (25.3% of the gross non-covered loan portfolio) at December 31, 2011. Commercial loan production decreased 22.3% to \$35.5 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2012, but increased 46.5% to \$91.2 million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2012 from \$45.6 million and \$62.3 million for the same periods in 2011.
- Consumer loan portfolio amounted to \$39.4 million (3.3% of the gross non-covered loan portfolio), compared to \$36.1 million (3.1% of the gross non-covered loan portfolio) at December 31, 2011. Consumer loan production increased 35.5% to \$7.7 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 and 38.1% to \$12.8 million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2012 from \$5.7 million and \$9.3 million for the same periods in 2011.
- Leasing portfolio amounted to \$30.0 million (2.5% of the gross non-covered loan portfolio), compared to \$25.8 million (2.2% of the gross non-covered loan portfolio) at December 31, 2011. Leasing production decreased 23.3% to \$4.4 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 and 15.4% to \$8.9 million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2012 from \$5.7 million and \$10.5 million for the same periods in 2011.

The FDIC shared-loss indemnification asset amounted to \$359.8 million and \$392.4 million as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. The FDIC shared-loss indemnification asset is reduced as claims over losses recognized on covered loans are collected from the FDIC. Realized credit losses in excess of previously forecasted estimates result in an increase in the FDIC shared-loss indemnification asset. Conversely, if realized credit losses are less than previously forecasted estimates, the FDIC shared-loss indemnification asset is amortized through the term of the shared-loss agreements. The decrease in the FDIC shared-loss indemnification asset is mainly related to reimbursements received from the FDIC during the six-month period ended June 30, 2012 of \$39.7 million and to an amortization of \$10.4 million, which was partially offset by an increase of \$12.7 million in expected credit impairment losses to be covered under shared-loss agreements, net.

TABLE 5 — LOANS RECEIVABLE COMPOSITION

	June 30, 2012		ecember 31, 2011 ousands)	Variance %	
Loans not covered under shared-loss		(=== ====			
agreements with FDIC:					
Loans secured by real estate:					
Residential	787,359	\$	819,651	-3.9%	
Home equity loans and others	840		1,411	-40.5%	
Commercial	227,012		218,261	4.0%	
Deferred loan fees, net	(4,035)		(4,300)	6.2%	
Total loans secured by real estate	1,011,176		1,035,023	-2.3%	
Other loans:					
Commercial	94,672		83,312	13.6%	
Personal consumer loans and credit	20.442		26 120	0.20	
lines	39,442		36,130	9.2%	
Leasing	30,024		25,768	16.5%	
Deferred loan fees, net	6		(245)	102.4%	
Total other loans	164,144		144,965	13.2%	
Loans receivable	1,175,320		1,179,988	-0.4%	
Allowance for loan and lease losses on	(27.402)		(27.010)	1 10	
non-covered loans	(37,402)		(37,010)	-1.1%	
Loans receivable, net	1,137,918		1,142,978	-0.4%	
Mortgage loans held-for-sale	34,718		26,939	28.9%	
Total loans not covered under				0.29	
shared-loss agreements with FDIC, net	1,172,636		1,169,917	0.2%	
Loans covered under shared-loss					
agreements with FDIC:					
Loans secured by 1-4 family residential	120 227		1.40.004	1 10	
properties	139,237		140,824	-1.1%	
Construction and development secured	10 120		16.076	10.79	
by 1-4 family residential properties	19,130		16,976	12.7%	
Commercial and other construction	317,534		325,832	-2.5%	
Leasing	18,655		36,122	-48.4%	
Consumer	11,792		13,778	-14.4%	
Total loans covered under shared-loss					
agreements with FDIC	506,348		533,532	-5.1%	
Allowance for loan and lease losses on	(50, 630)		(27.256)	57 48	
covered loans	(58,628)		(37,256)	-57.4%	
Total loans covered under shared-loss	44= =00		40 < 27 <	22~	
agreements with FDIC, net	447,720		496,276	-9.8%	
Total loans receivable, net	1,620,356	\$	1,666,193	-2.8%	
•	89		•		

TABLE 6 - LIABILITIES SUMMARY AND COMPOSITION

		June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011		Variance %
D 4			(Dollars in	thousands)	
Deposits:	Ф	100 220	¢	102 257	2.007
Non-interest bearing deposits	\$	188,329	\$	192,257	-2.0%
Now accounts		873,510		850,762	2.7%
Savings and money market accounts		230,920		230,672	0.1%
Certificates of deposit		927,124		1,157,002	-19.9%
Total deposits		2,219,883		2,430,693	-8.7%
Accrued interest payable		3,059		4,492	-31.9%
Total deposits and accrued interest		2 222 0 42		2 425 105	0.50
payable		2,222,942		2,435,185	-8.7%
Borrowings:					
Securities sold under agreements to		2.052.065		2.056.220	0.10
repurchase		3,053,865		3,056,238	-0.1%
Advances from FHLB		286,653		281,753	1.7%
FDIC-guaranteed term notes		-		105,834	-100.0%
Subordinated capital notes		36,083		36,083	0.0%
Total borrowings		3,376,601		3,479,908	-3.0%
Total deposits and borrowings		5,599,543		5,915,093	-5.3%
Derivative liabilities		56,217		47,425	18.5%
Other liabilities		27,686		35,602	-22.2%
Total liabilities	\$	5,683,446	\$	5,998,120	-5.2%
Deposits portfolio composition percentago	es:				
Non-interest bearing deposits		8.5%		7.9%	
Now accounts		39.3%		35.0%	
Savings and money market accounts		10.4%		9.5%	
Certificates of deposit		41.8%		47.6%	
		100.0%		100.0%	
Borrowings portfolio composition					
percentages:					
Securities sold under agreements to					
repurchase		90.4%		87.9%	
Advances from FHLB		8.5%		8.1%	
FDIC-guaranteed term notes		0.0%		3.0%	
Subordinated capital notes		1.1%		1.0%	
		100.0%		100.0%	
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase					
Amount outstanding at quarter-end	\$	3,053,865	\$	3,056,238	
Daily average outstanding balance	\$	3,057,858	\$	3,417,892	
Maximum outstanding balance at any		• •		•	
month-end	\$	3,060,578 90	\$	3,466,480	

Liabilities and Funding Sources

As shown in Table 6 above, at June 30, 2012, the Group's total liabilities were \$5.683 billion, 5.2% lower than the \$5.998 billion reported at December 31, 2011. Deposits and borrowings, the Group's funding sources, amounted to \$5.600 billion at June 30, 2012 versus \$5.915 billion at December 31, 2011, a 5.3% decrease.

At June 30, 2012, borrowings represented 60.3% of interest-bearing liabilities and deposits represented 39.7%. Borrowings consist mainly of funding sources through the use of repurchase agreements, FHLB advances, and subordinated capital notes. At June 30, 2012, borrowings amounted to \$3.377 billion, 3.0% lower than the \$3.480 billion reported at December 31, 2011. The Bank's FDIC guaranteed senior unsecured notes issued under the TLGP totaling \$105 million were repaid in full at maturity in March 2012. Repurchase agreements as of June 30, 2012 remained level at \$3.054 billion.

As a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB"), the Bank can obtain advances from the FHLB, secured by the FHLB stock owned by the Bank, as well as by certain of the Bank's mortgage loans and investment securities. Advances from FHLB amounted to \$286.7 million and \$281.8 million as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. These advances mature from July 2012 through April 2017.

At June 30, 2012, deposits and accrued interest payable, the second largest category of the Group's interest-bearing liabilities, were \$2.223 billion, down 8.7% from \$2.435 billion at December 31, 2011. This decrease was mainly attributable to a decrease in higher cost brokered deposits and other wholesale institutional deposits which decreased 42.9% and 49.3%, respectively, to \$146.1 million and \$85.4 million in June 30, 2012 from \$255.9 million and \$168.3 million as of December 31, 2011.

Stockholders' Equity

At June 30, 2012, the Group's total stockholders' equity was \$692.2 million, a 0.5% decrease when compared to \$695.6 million at December 31, 2011. This decrease reflects stock repurchases under the Group's \$70 million stock repurchase program during the first quarter of 2012 and a decrease of 42.7% or \$15.9 million in other comprehensive income, partially offset by the net income for the six-month period ended June 30, 2012.

Tangible common equity to risk-weighted assets and total equity to risk-weighted assets at June 30, 2012 increased to 29.88% and 33.34%, respectively, from 29.71% and 33.14% at December 31, 2011.

The Group maintains capital ratios in excess of regulatory requirements. At June 30, 2012, Tier 1 Leverage Capital Ratio was 10.75% (2.69 times the requirement of 4.00%), Tier 1 Risk-Based Capital Ratio was 32.50% (8.13 times the requirement of 4.00%), and Total Risk-Based Capital Ratio was 33.79% (4.22 times the requirement of 8.00%).

Taking into consideration the Group's strong capital position, the quarterly cash dividend per common share was increased by 20% to \$0.06 per share on November 30, 2011. On an annualized basis, this represents an increase from \$0.20 to \$0.24 per share.

The following are the consolidated capital ratios of the Group at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

TABLE 7 — CAPITAL, DIVIDENDS AND STOCK DATA

		June 30, 2012		ecember 31, 2011 except per share data)	Variance %
Capital data:	.	600.000	4	60 	0.5~
Stockholders' equity	\$	692,202	\$	695,555	-0.5%
Regulatory Capital Ratios data:		10.77		0.689	
Leverage Capital Ratio		10.75%		9.65%	11.4%
Minimum Leverage Capital Ratio					
Required		4.00%		4.00%	
Actual Tier 1 Capital	\$	674,804	\$	661,614	2.0%
Minimum Tier 1 Capital Required	\$ \$	251,187	\$	274,230	-8.4%
Excess over regulatory requirement	\$	423,617	\$	387,384	9.4%
Tier 1 Risk-Based Capital Ratio		32.50%		31.52%	3.1%
Minimum Tier 1 Risk-Based Capital					
Ratio Required		4.00%		4.00%	
Actual Tier 1 Risk-Based Capital	\$	674,804	\$	661,614	2.0%
Minimum Tier 1 Risk-Based Capital					
Required	\$	83,059	\$	83,964	-1.1%
Excess over regulatory requirement	\$ \$	591,746	\$	577,650	2.4%
Risk-Weighted Assets	\$	2,076,467	\$	2,099,109	-1.1%
Total Risk-Based Capital Ratio		33.79%		32.80%	3.0%
Minimum Total Risk-Based Capital					
Ratio Required		8.00%		8.00%	
Actual Total Risk-Based Capital	\$	701,632	\$	688,452	1.9%
Minimum Total Risk-Based Capital	·	,	·	,	
Required	\$	166,117	\$	167,929	-1.1%
Excess over regulatory requirement	\$ \$ \$	535,515	\$	520,523	2.9%
Risk-Weighted Assets	\$	2,076,467	\$	2,099,109	-1.1%
Tangible common equity (common	Ψ	2,070,107	4	2,0>>,10>	2.17,0
equity less goodwill					
equity less goodwin					
and core deposit intangible) to total					
assets		9.73%		9.32%	4.4%
Tangible common equity to		7.1370		7.5270	4.470
risk-weighted assets		29.88%		29.71%	0.6%
Total equity to total assets		10.86%		10.39%	4.5%
Total equity to risk-weighted assets		33.34%		33.14%	0.6%
Tier 1 common equity to risk-weighted		JJ.J4 /0		JJ.17/0	0.070
		29.22%		28.28%	3.3%
assets Tier 1 Common Equity Capital	\$	606,804	\$	28.28% 593,614	3.3% 2.2%
rici i Common Equity Capitai	Φ	000,804	Ф	393,014	2.2%

Stock	, A	ata	
Stuci	۱ u	lata	

Outstanding common shares	40,730,831	41,244,533	-1.2%
Book value per common share	\$ 15.32	\$ 15.22	0.7%
Market price at end of period	\$ 11.08	\$ 12.11	-8.5%
Market capitalization at end of period	\$ 451,298	\$ 499,471	-9.6%

	Si	x-Month Period	l Fnded	Iune 30	Variance
	51.	2012		2011	%
		(Dollars in the	ousands,	except per shar	e data)
Common dividend data:					
Cash dividends declared	\$	4,887	\$	4,477	9.2%
Cash dividends declared per share	\$	0.12	\$	0.10	20.0%
Payout ratio		21.19%		17.11%	23.8%
Dividend yield		2.17%		1.55%	39.6%
	92				

The table that follows presents a reconciliation of the Group's total stockholders' equity to tangible common equity and total assets to tangible assets at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

	June 30, 2012		December 31, 2011		
			thousands, except share or per		
		share	informatio	on)	
Total stockholders' equity	\$	692,202	\$	695,555	
Preferred stock		(68,000)		(68,000)	
Goodwill		(2,701)		(2,701)	
Core deposit intangible		(1,114)		(1,185)	
Total tangible common equity	\$	620,387	\$	623,669	
Total assets		6,375,648		6,693,675	
Goodwill		(2,701)		(2,701)	
Core deposit intangible		(1,114)		(1,185)	
Total tangible assets	\$	6,371,833	\$	6,689,789	
Tangible common equity to tangible assets		9.73%		9.32%	
Common shares outstanding at end of period		40,730,831		41,244,533	
Tangible book value per common share	\$	15.23	\$	15.12	

The tangible common equity ratio and tangible book value per common share are non-GAAP measures. Management and many stock analysts use the tangible common equity ratio and tangible book value per common share in conjunction with more traditional bank capital ratios to compare the capital adequacy of banking organizations. Neither tangible common equity nor tangible assets or related measures should be considered in isolation or as a substitute for stockholders' equity, total assets or any other measure calculated in accordance with GAAP. Moreover, the manner in which the Group calculates its tangible common equity, tangible assets and any other related measures may differ from that of other companies reporting measures with similar names.

The Tier 1 common equity to risk-weighted assets ratio is another non-GAAP measure. Ratios calculated based upon Tier 1 common equity have become a focus of regulators and investors, and management believes ratios based on Tier 1 common equity assist investors in analyzing the Group's capital position. In connection with the Supervisory Capital Assessment Program, the Federal Reserve Board began supplementing its assessment of the capital adequacy of a bank holding company based on a variation of Tier 1 capital, known as Tier 1 common equity.

Because Tier 1 common equity is not formally defined by GAAP or, unlike Tier 1 capital, codified in the federal banking regulations, this measure is considered to be a non-GAAP financial measure. Non-GAAP financial measures have inherent limitations, are not required to be uniformly applied and are not audited. To mitigate these limitations, the Group has procedures in place to calculate these measures using the appropriate GAAP or regulatory components.

Although these non-GAAP financial measures are frequently used by stakeholders in the evaluation of a company, they have limitations as analytical tools and should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for analyses of results as reported under GAAP.

The table below presents a reconciliation of the Group's total common equity (GAAP) at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 to Tier 1 common equity as defined by the Federal Reserve Board, FDIC and other bank regulatory agencies (non-GAAP):

	June 30, 2012		December 31, 2011	
		(In t	housands)	
Common stockholders' equity	\$	624,202	\$	627,555
Unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities, net of income				
tax		(70,700)		(79,244)
Unrealized losses on cash flow hedges, net of income tax		49,439		42,113
Disallowed deferred tax assets		(26,243)		(26,879)
Disallowed servicing assets		(1,079)		(1,045)
Intangible assets:				
Goodwill		(2,701)		(2,701)
Other disallowed intangibles		(1,114)		(1,185)
Subordinated capital notes		35,000		35,000
Total Tier 1 common equity	\$	606,804	\$	593,614
Tier 1 common equity to risk-weighted assets		29.22%		28.28%

The following table presents the Group's capital adequacy information at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

	June 30, 2012		December 31, 2011	
		(In t	housands)	
Risk-based capital:				
Tier 1 capital	\$	674,804	\$	661,614
Supplementary (Tier 2) capital		26,828		26,838
Total Capital	\$	701,632	\$	688,452
Risk-weighted assets:				
Balance sheet items	\$	2,034,458	\$	2,047,906
Off-balance sheet items		42,009		51,203
Total risk-weighted assets	\$	2,076,467	\$	2,099,109
Ratios:				
Tier 1 capital (minimum required - 4%)		32.50%		31.52%
Total capital (minimum required - 8%)		33.79%		32.80%
Leverage ratio		10.75%		9.65%
Equity to assets		10.86%		10.39%
Tangible common equity to assets		9.73%		9.32%

The Federal Reserve Board has risk-based capital guidelines for bank holding companies. Under the guidelines, the minimum ratio of qualifying total capital to risk-weighted assets is 8%. At least half of the total capital is to be comprised of qualifying common stockholders' equity, qualifying noncumulative perpetual preferred stock (including related surplus), minority interests related to qualifying common or noncumulative perpetual preferred stock directly issued by a consolidated U.S. depository institution or foreign bank subsidiary, and restricted core capital elements (collectively "Tier 1 Capital"). Banking organizations are expected to maintain at least 50% of their Tier 1 Capital as common equity. Except as otherwise discussed below in light of the Dodd-Frank Act in connection with certain debt or equity instruments issued on or after May 19, 2010, not more than 25% of qualifying Tier 1 Capital may consist of qualifying cumulative perpetual preferred stock, trust preferred securities or other so-called restricted core capital elements. "Tier 2 Capital" may consist, subject to certain limitations, of allowance for loan and lease losses; perpetual preferred stock and related surplus; hybrid capital instruments, perpetual debt, and mandatory convertible debt securities; term subordinated debt and intermediate-term preferred stock, including related surplus; and unrealized holding gains on equity securities. "Tier 3 Capital" consists of qualifying unsecured subordinated debt.

The sum of Tier 2 and Tier 3 Capital may not exceed the amount of Tier 1 Capital. At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the Group was a "well capitalized" institution for regulatory purposes.

The Federal Reserve Board has regulations with respect to risk-based and leverage capital ratios that require most intangibles, including goodwill and core deposit intangibles, to be deducted from Tier 1 Capital. The only types of identifiable intangible assets that may be included in, that is, not deducted from, an organization's capital are readily marketable mortgage servicing assets, nonmortgage servicing assets, and purchased credit card relationships.

In addition, the Federal Reserve Board has established minimum leverage ratio (Tier 1 Capital to total assets) guidelines for bank holding companies and member banks. These guidelines provide for a minimum leverage ratio of 3% for bank holding companies and member banks that meet certain specified criteria, including that they have the highest regulatory rating. All other bank holding companies and member banks are required to maintain a minimum ratio of Tier 1 Capital to total assets of 4%. The guidelines also provide that banking organizations experiencing internal growth or making acquisitions are expected to maintain strong capital positions substantially above the minimum supervisory levels without significant reliance on intangible assets. Furthermore, the guidelines state that the Federal Reserve Board will continue to consider a "tangible Tier 1 leverage ratio" and other indicators of capital strength in evaluating proposals for expansion or new activities.

Under the Dodd-Frank Act, federal banking regulators are required to establish minimum leverage and risk-based capital requirements on a consolidated basis for insured institutions, depository institution holding companies, and non-bank financial companies supervised by the Federal Reserve Board. The minimum leverage and risk based capital requirements are to be determined based on the minimum ratios established for insured depository institutions under prompt corrective action regulations. In effect, such provision of the Dodd-Frank Act (i.e., Section 171), which is commonly known as the Collins Amendment, applies to bank holding companies the same leverage and risk based capital requirements that apply to insured depository institutions. Because the capital requirements must be the same for insured depository institutions and their holding companies, the Collins Amendment generally excludes certain debt or equity instruments, such as cumulative perpetual preferred stock and trust preferred securities, from Tier 1 Capital, subject to a three-year phase-out from Tier 1 qualification for such instruments issued before May 19, 2010, with the phase-out commencing on January 1, 2013. However, such instruments issued before May 19, 2010 by a bank holding company, such as the Group, with total consolidated assets of less than \$15 billion as of December 31, 2009, are not affected by the Collins Amendment and may continue to be included in Tier 1 Capital as a restricted core capital element.

On June 12, 2012, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (the "OCC"), the Federal Reserve Board, and the FDIC issued three notices of proposed rulemaking ("NPRs") that would revise and replace the agencies current capital rules. The agencies also announced the finalization of the market risk capital rule that was proposed in 2011.

In the first Basel III NPR, "Regulatory Capital Rules: Regulatory Capital, Implementation of Basel III, Minimum Regulatory Capital Ratios, Capital Adequacy, and Transition Provisions" (the "Basel III NPR"), the agencies are proposing to revise their risk-based and leverage capital requirements consistent with agreements reached by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision ("Basel III"). The Basel III NPR would apply to all insured banks and savings

associations, top-tier bank holding companies domiciled in the United States with more than \$500 million in assets, and savings and loan holding companies that are domiciled in the United States. Provisions of the Basel III NPR that would apply to such banking organizations include implementation of a new common equity Tier 1 minimum capital requirement, a higher minimum Tier 1 capital requirement, and, for banking organizations subject to the advanced approaches capital rules, a supplementary leverage ratio that incorporates a broader set of exposures. Additionally, consistent with Basel III, the agencies propose to apply limits on a banking organization's capital distributions and certain discretionary bonus payments if the banking organization does not hold a specified "buffer" of common equity Tier 1 capital in addition to the minimum risk-based capital requirements. The revisions set forth in the Basel III NPR are consistent with Section 171 of the Dodd-Frank Act, which requires the agencies to establish minimum risk-based and leverage capital requirements.

The Basel III NPR also would revise the agencies' prompt corrective action framework by incorporating the new regulatory capital minimums and updating the definition of tangible common equity. Prompt corrective action is an enforcement framework that constrains the activities of insured depository institutions based on their level of regulatory capital.

The second Basel III NPR, "Regulatory Capital Rules: Advanced Approaches Risk-based Capital Rules; Market Risk Capital Rule" (the "Advanced Approaches and Market Risk NPR"), would revise the advanced approaches risk-based capital rules consistent with Basel III and other changes to the Basel Committee's capital standards. The agencies also propose revising the advanced approaches risk-based capital rules to be consistent with Section 939A and Section 171 of the Dodd-Frank Act. Additionally in the Advanced Approaches and Market Risk NPR, the OCC and the FDIC propose that the market risk capital rules apply to federal and state savings associations, and the Federal Reserve Board proposes that the advanced approaches and market risk capital rules apply to top-tier savings and loan holding companies domiciled in the United States, if stated thresholds for trading activity are met. Generally, the

advanced approaches rules would apply to such institutions with \$250 billion or more in consolidated assets or \$10 billion or more in foreign exposure, and the market risk rule would apply to savings and loan holding companies with significant trading activity.

In the third capital NPR, "Regulatory Capital Rules: Standardized Approach for Risk-weighted Assets; Market Discipline and Disclosure Requirements" (the "Standardized Approach NPR"), the agencies propose to revise and harmonize rules for calculating risk-weighted assets to enhance risk sensitivity and address weaknesses identified over recent years, including by incorporating aspects of the Basel II standardized framework, and alternatives to credit ratings, consistent with Section 939A of the Dodd-Frank Act. The revisions include methods for determining risk-weighted assets for residential mortgages, securitization exposures, and counterparty credit risk. The Standardized Approach NPR also would introduce disclosure requirements that would apply to U.S. banking organizations with \$50 billion or more in total assets. The Standardized Approach NPR would apply to the same set of institutions as the Basel III NPR.

The Group's common stock is traded on the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") under the symbol "OFG." At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the Group's market capitalization for its outstanding common stock was \$451.3 million (\$11.08 per share) and \$499.5 million (\$12.11 per share), respectively.

The following table provides the high and low prices and dividends per share of the Group's common stock for each quarter of the last three calendar years:

		Price				Cash Dividend		
]	High		Low		Per share		
2012								
June 30, 2012	\$	12.37	\$	9.87	\$	0.06		
March 31, 2012	\$	12.69	\$	11.25	\$	0.06		
2011								
December 31, 2011	\$	12.35	\$	9.19	\$	0.06		
September 30, 2011	\$	13.20	\$	9.18	\$	0.05		
June 30, 2011	\$	13.07	\$	11.26	\$	0.05		
March 31, 2011	\$	12.84	\$	11.40	\$	0.05		
2010								
December 31, 2010	\$	13.72	\$	11.50	\$	0.05		
September 30, 2010	\$	14.45	\$	12.13	\$	0.04		
June 30, 2010	\$	16.72	\$	12.49	\$	0.04		
March 31, 2010	\$	14.09	\$	10.00	\$	0.04		
		96						

The Bank is considered "well capitalized" under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. The table below shows the Bank's regulatory capital ratios at June 30, 2012 and at December 31, 2011:

	June 30, 2012 (Dolla		December 31, 2011 in thousands)	Variance %
Oriental Bank and Trust Regulatory Capital				
Ratios:				
Total Tier 1 Capital to Total Assets	10.30%		9.06%	13.7%
Actual Tier 1 Capital	\$ 643,364	\$	616,590	4.3%
Minimum Capital Requirement (4%)	\$ 249,852	\$	272,177	-8.2%
Minimum to be well capitalized (5%)	\$ 312,315	\$	340,221	-8.2%
Tier 1 Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets	31.19%		29.56%	5.5%
Actual Tier 1 Risk-Based Capital	\$ 643,364	\$	616,590	4.3%
Minimum Capital Requirement (4%)	\$ 82,513	\$	83,438	-1.1%
Minimum to be well capitalized (6%)	\$ 123,769	\$	125,157	-1.1%
Total Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets	32.48%		30.84%	5.3%
Actual Total Risk-Based Capital	\$ 670,024	\$	643,266	4.2%
Minimum Capital Requirement (8%)	\$ 165,026	\$	166,876	-1.1%
Minimum to be well capitalized (10%)	\$ 206,282	\$	208,595	-1.1%

Group's Financial Assets Managed

The Group's financial assets managed include those managed by the Group's trust division, retirement plan administration subsidiary, and Oriental Financial Services. Assets managed by the trust division and Oriental Financial Services increased from \$4.142 billion as of December 31, 2011 to \$4.510 billion as of June 30, 2012, mainly as a result of market appreciation.

The Group's trust division offers various types of IRAs and manages 401(k) and Keogh retirement plans and custodian and corporate trust accounts, while the retirement plan administration subsidiary, CPC, manages private retirement plans. At June 30, 2012, total assets managed by the Group's trust division and CPC amounted to \$2.413 billion, compared to \$2.216 billion at December 31, 2011. Oriental Financial Services offers a wide array of investment alternatives to its client base, such as tax-advantaged fixed income securities, mutual funds, stocks, bonds and money management wrap-fee programs. At June 30, 2012, total assets gathered by Oriental Financial Services from its customer investment accounts increased to \$2.097 billion, compared to \$1.926 billion at December 31, 2011.

The Group maintains an allowance for loan and lease losses at a level that management considers adequate to provide for probable losses based upon an evaluation of known and inherent risks. The Group's allowance for loan and lease losses policy provides for a detailed quarterly analysis of probable losses. Tables 8 through 13 set forth an analysis of activity in the allowance for loan and lease losses and present selected loan loss statistics. In addition, refer to Table 5 for the composition of the loan portfolio.

Non-covered Loans

At June 30, 2012, the Group's allowance for non-covered loan and lease losses amounted to \$37.4 million or 3.17% of total non-covered loans versus \$37.0 million, or 3.12%, of total non-covered loans at December 31, 2011. The allowance for residential mortgage loans and consumer loans decreased by 8.6% (or \$1.9 million) and 29.9% (or \$425 thousand), respectively, when compared with balances recorded at December 31, 2011. The allowance for commercial loans increased by 27.3%, or \$3.4 million, when compared with balances recorded at December 31, 2011. The unallocated allowance decreased by 18.6%, or \$101 thousand, when compared with balances recorded at December 31, 2011.

Please refer to the "Provision for Loan and Lease Losses" section in this MD&A for a more detailed analysis of provisions for loan and lease losses.

The Group follows a systematic methodology to establish and evaluate the adequacy of the allowance for loan and lease losses to provide for inherent losses in the loan portfolio. This methodology includes the consideration of factors such as economic conditions, portfolio risk characteristics, prior loss experience, and results of periodic credit reviews of individual loans. The provision for loan losses charged to current operations is based on such methodology. Loan losses are charged and recoveries are credited to the allowance for loan and lease losses.

Larger commercial loans that exhibit potential or observed credit weaknesses are subject to individual review and grading. Where appropriate, allowances are allocated to individual loans based on management's estimate of the borrower's ability to repay the loan given the availability of collateral and other sources of cash flow and legal options available to the Group.

Included in the review of individual loans are those that are impaired. A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Group will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Impaired loans are measured based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, or as a practical expedient, at the observable market price of the loan or the fair value of the collateral, if the loan is collateral dependent. Loans are individually evaluated for impairment, except large groups of small balance homogeneous loans that are collectively evaluated for impairment and loans that are recorded at fair value or at the lower of cost or market value. The portfolios of mortgage loans, leases and consumer loans are considered homogeneous, and are evaluated collectively for impairment. For the commercial loans portfolio, all loans over \$250 thousand that are either over 90 days past due or adversely classified, or when deemed necessary by management, are evaluated for impairment. At June 30, 2012, the total investment in impaired commercial loans was \$39.8 million, compared to \$46.4 million at December 31, 2011. Most of the impaired commercial loans are measured based on the fair value of collateral method, since most impaired loans during the period were collateral dependent, all other loans are measured using the present value of cash flows method. The valuation allowance for impaired commercial loans amounted to approximately \$532 thousand and \$3.5 million at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. At June 30, 2012, the total investment in impaired mortgage loans was \$62.3 million, compared to \$51.5 million at December 31, 2011. Impairment on mortgage loans assessed as troubled-debt restructuring was measured using the present value of cash flows. The valuation allowance for impaired mortgage loans amounted to approximately \$4.4 million and \$3.4 million at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

The Group, using a rating system, applies an overall allowance percentage to each non-covered loan portfolio segment based on historical credit losses adjusted for current conditions and trends. The historical loss experience is determined by portfolio segment and is based on the actual loss history experienced by the Group over the most recent twelve months. The actual loss experience is supplemented with other economic factors based on the risks present for each portfolio segment. These economic factors include consideration of the following: levels of and trends in delinquencies and impaired loans; levels of and trends in charge-offs and recoveries; trends in volume and terms of loans; effects of any changes in risk selection and underwriting standards, and other changes in lending policies, procedures and practices; experience, ability, and depth of lending management and other relevant staff, including the bank's loan review system as graded by regulatory agencies in their last examination; local economic trends and industry conditions; effects of external factors such as competition and regulatory requirements on the level of estimated credit losses in the current portfolio; and effects of changes in credit concentrations and collateral value. The

following portfolio segments have been identified: mortgage loans; commercial loans; consumer loans; and leasing.

Loan loss ratios and credit risk categories are updated quarterly and are applied in the context of GAAP and the 2006 Interagency Policy Statement on the Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses, which requires that depository institutions have prudent, conservative, but not excessive loan loss allowances that fall within an acceptable range of estimated losses. While management uses available information in estimating probable loan losses, future changes to the allowance may be necessary, based on factors beyond the Group's control, such as factors affecting general economic conditions.

There have been no material changes in criteria or estimation techniques as compared to prior periods that impacted the determination of the current period allowance for loan and lease losses, except for the further segregation of the traditional residential mortgage portfolio by origination vintages. The traditional mortgage sub-segment represented as of March 31, 2012 approximately 82.6% of the total mortgage loan portfolio and this new segregation allows management to perform more efficient assessment of the traditional mortgage portfolio historical losses and risk, and it represented a reduction of approximately \$394 thousand in the allowance for loan and lease losses calculation. Also, the following changes were made to the current environmental factors consider for the determination of the allowance for loan and lease losses:

- consolidation of national and local economic trends and industry conditions as one factor;
- the addition of the quality of the Bank's loan review system as graded in the last FDIC report of examination to the factor dealing with management's experience, ability, and depth of lending management and other relevant staff; and

• the addition of the changes in the value of underlying collateral for collateral dependant loans.

The Group's non-performing assets include non-performing loans and foreclosed real estate (see Tables 11 and 12). At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the Group had \$120.6 million and \$134.8 million, respectively, of non-accrual non-covered loans including credit cards accounted under ASC 310-20, a decrease of 10.5%. Covered loans are considered to be performing due to the application of the accretion method under ASC 310-30. At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, loans whose terms have been extended and which are classified as troubled-debt restructuring that are not included in non-performing assets amounted to \$55.7 million and \$41.3 million, respectively.

At June 30, 2012, the Group's non-performing assets decreased 6.4% to \$139.7 million (2.36% of total assets, excluding covered loans) from \$149.8 million (2.42% of total assets, excluding covered loans) at December 31, 2011. The decrease in the Group's non-performing loans generally reflects the ongoing stabilization of the economic environment in Puerto Rico. The Group does not expect non-performing loans to result in significantly higher losses as most are well-collateralized with adequate loan-to-value ratios. At June 30, 2012, the allowance for non-covered loan and lease losses to non-performing loans coverage ratio was 31.03% (27.46% at December 31, 2011).

The Group follows a conservative residential mortgage lending policy, with more than 90% of its residential mortgage portfolio consisting of fixed-rate, fully amortizing, fully documented loans that do not have the level of risk associated with subprime loans offered by certain major U.S. mortgage loan originators. Furthermore, the Group has never been active in negative amortization loans or adjustable rate mortgage loans, including those with teaser rates, and does not originate construction and development loans.

The following items comprise non-performing assets:

• Mortgage loans — are placed on non-accrual status when they become 90 days or more past due and are written-down, if necessary, based on the specific evaluation of the collateral underlying the loan. At June 30, 2012, the Group's non-performing mortgage loans totaled \$90.3 million (74.9% of the Group's non-performing loans), a 7.2% decrease from \$97.3 million (72.2% of the Group's non-performing loans) at December 31, 2011. Non-performing loans in this category are primarily residential mortgage loans. On April 1, 2011, the Bank changed on a prospective basis its policy to place on non-accrual status residential mortgage loans well collateralized and in process of collection when reaching 90 days past due. All loans that were between 90 and 365 days past due when the policy was changed were also placed on non-accrual status. The interest receivable on such loans is evaluated on a periodic basis against the collateral underlying the loans, and written-down, if necessary. On December 31, 2011, the Bank further revised its policy to reverse against income all interest recorded on residential mortgage loans reaching 90 days past due, including the remaining interest on loans that were between 90 and 365 days past due as of April 1, 2011. On December 31, 2011, the Bank also charged-off this remaining accrued interest on residential mortgage loans over 90 days past due. This change in estimate was considered necessary to comply with guidance received from the Group's regulators.

- <u>Commercial loans</u> are placed on non-accrual status when they become 90 days or more past due and are written-down, if necessary, based on the specific evaluation of the underlying collateral, if any. At June 30, 2012, the Group's non-performing commercial loans amounted to \$29.9 million (24.8% of the Group's non-performing loans), a 19.2% decrease when compared to non-performing commercial loans of \$37.0 million at December 31, 2011 (27.4% of the Group's non-performing loans). Approximately 71% of this portfolio is collateralized by commercial real estate properties.
- <u>Consumer loans</u> are placed on non-accrual status when they become 90 days past due and written-off when payments are delinquent 120 days in personal loans and 180 days in credit cards and personal lines of credit. At June 30, 2012, the Group's non-performing consumer loans amounted to \$281 thousand (0.2% of the Group's total non-performing loans), a 15.9% decrease from \$334 thousand at December 31, 2011 (0.3% of total non-performing loans).
- <u>Leases</u> are placed on non-accrual status when they become 90 days past due and partially written-off to collateral value when payments are delinquent 120 days, and fully written-off when payments are delinquent 180 days. At June 30, 2012, the Group's non-performing leases amounted to \$77 thousand (0.1% of the Group's total non-performing loans), a decrease of 24.5% from \$102 thousand at December 31, 2011 (0.1% of total non-performing loans).
- <u>Foreclosed real estate</u> is initially recorded at the lower of the related loan balance or fair value less cost to sell as of the date of foreclosure. Any excess of the loan balance over the fair value of the property is charged against the allowance for loan and lease losses. Subsequently, any excess of the carrying value over the estimated fair value less disposition cost is charged to operations. Net losses on the sale of foreclosed real estate for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2012 amounted to \$886

thousand and \$1.3 million, respectively, compared to \$3 thousand and \$135 thousand in the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2011.

The Group has two mortgage loan modification programs. These are the Loss Mitigation Program and the Non-traditional Mortgage Loan Program. Both programs are intended to help responsible homeowners to remain in their homes and avoid foreclosure, while also reducing the Group's losses on non-performing mortgage loans.

The Loss Mitigation Program helps mortgage borrowers who are or will become financially unable to meet the current or scheduled mortgage payments. Loans that qualify under this program are those guaranteed by FHA, VA, RHS, "Banco de la Vivienda de Puerto Rico," conventional loans guaranteed by Mortgage Guaranty Insurance Corporation (MGIC), conventional loans sold to the FNMA and FHLMC, and conventional loans retained by the Group. The program offers diversified alternatives such as regular or reduced payment plans, payment moratorium, mortgage loan modification, partial claims (only FHA), short sale, and payment in lieu of foreclosure.

The Non-traditional Mortgage Loan Program is for non-traditional mortgages, including balloon payment, interest only/interest first, variable interest rate, adjustable interest rate and other qualified loans. Non-traditional mortgage loan portfolios are segregated into the following categories: performing loans that meet secondary market requirement and are refinanced by the credit underwriting guidelines of FHA/VA/FNMA/FMAC, and performing loans not meeting secondary market guidelines, processed by the Group's current credit and underwriting guidelines. The Group achieved an affordable and sustainable monthly payment by taking specific, sequential, and necessary steps such as reducing the interest rate, extending the loan term, capitalizing arrearages, deferring the payment of principal or, if the borrower qualifies, refinancing the loan.

There may not be a foreclosure sale scheduled within 60 days prior to a loan modification under any such programs. This requirement does not apply to loans where the foreclosure process has been stopped by the Group. In order to apply for any of the loan modification programs, the borrower may not be in active bankruptcy or have been discharged from Chapter 7 bankruptcy since the loan was originated. Loans in these programs will be evaluated by management for troubled debt restructuring classification if the Group grants a concession for legal or economic reasons due to the debtor's financial difficulties.

On October 24, 2011, the Federal Housing Finance Agency ("FHFA"), FHLMC and FNMA announced a series of FHFA-directed enhancements to the Home Affordable Refinance Program ("HARP") in an effort to attract more borrowers who can benefit from refinancing their home mortgages under this program. These enhancements entail the relaxation of underwriting guidelines, such as loan-to-value ratio, appraisals, and certain fees, among other things, subject to a variety of qualifications, and the extension of HARP until December 31, 2013. The enhancements do not change the time period for eligible loans, maintaining the requirement that the loans must have been guaranteed by Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac prior to June 2009. The Group does not expect these changes to have a significant impact on its results of operations.

Covered Loans

The allowance for loan and lease losses on covered loans acquired in the FDIC-assisted acquisition is accounted under the provisions of ASC 310-30. Under this accounting guidance, the allowance for loan and lease losses on covered loans is evaluated at each financial reporting period, based on forecasted cash flows. Credit related decreases in expected cash flows, compared to those previously forecasted are recognized by recording a provision for credit losses on covered loans. The portion of the loss on covered loans reimbursable from the FDIC is recorded as an offset to the provision for credit losses and increases the FDIC shared-loss indemnification asset.

As part of the evaluation of actual versus expected cash flows, the Group assesses on a quarterly basis the credit quality of these loans based on delinquency, severity factors and risk ratings, among other assumptions. The credit quality assumptions for certain pools were updated to reflect an increase in default rates, severities and extension of recovery lags resulting in an increase in the provision for covered loan and lease losses of \$1.5 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2012. Such increase in provision was net of the effect of the increase in the FDIC shared-loss indemnification asset. As a result, the allowance for covered loans increased from \$37.3 million at December 31, 2011 to \$58.6 million at June 30, 2012. This increase is also the effect of the assessment of actual versus expected cash flows of the previous quarter which resulted in a net provision for covered loans of \$7.2 million.

TABLE 8 — ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN AND LEASE LOSSES SUMMARY

					Six	-Month Per			
	Quarter En 2012 (Dollars in		2011	Variance %		2012 (Dollars in	0, thou	2011 (sands)	Variance %
Non-covered loans Balance at beginning of period \$ Provision for non-covered	37,361	\$	32,727	14.2%	\$	37,010	\$	31,430	17.8%
loan and lease losses Charge-offs Recoveries Balance at end of period \$ Allowance for loans and lease	3,800 (3,853) 94 37,402	\$	3,800 (2,373) 75 34,229	0.0% 62.3% 22.7% 9.3 %	\$	6,800 (6,624) 216 37,402	\$	7,600 (5,010) 209 34,229	-10.5% 32.2% 2.4% 9.3 %
losses to: Total loans, excluding covered loans Non-performing loans,	3.17%		2.94%	7.9%					
excluding covered loans Covered loans Balance at	31.03%		26.38%	17.6%					
beginning of period \$ Provision for covered loan	56,437	\$	53,480	5.5%	\$	37,256	\$	49,286	-24.4%
and lease losses, net FDIC shared-loss portion on	1,467 724		- (444)	100.0% -263.1%		8,624 12,748		549 3,201	1470.9% 298.3%

provision for loan and

lease losses

Balance at end of period \$ 58,628 \$ 53,036 10.5% \$ 58,628 \$ 53,036 10.5%

TABLE 9 — ALLOWANCE FOR NON-COVERED LOAN AND LEASE LOSSES BREAKDOWN

	June 30, 2012		De	cember 31, 2011	Variance %
		(Dollars in	thousands)	
Allowance balance:					
Mortgage	\$	19,788	\$	21,652	-8.6%
Commercial		15,978		12,548	27.3%
Consumer		998		1,423	-29.9%
Leasing		197		845	-76.7%
Unallocated allowance		441		542	-18.6%
Total allowance balance	\$	37,402	\$	37,010	1.1%
Allowance composition:					
Mortgage		52.90%		58.52%	-9.6%
Commercial		42.72%		33.90%	26.0%
Consumer		2.67%		3.84%	-30.5%
Leasing		0.53%		2.28%	-76.8%
Unallocated allowance		1.18%		1.46%	-19.2%
		100.00%		100.00%	
Allowance coverage ratio at end of per	riod				
applicable to:					
Mortgage		2.51%		2.64%	-4.9%
Commercial		4.97%		4.16%	19.5%
Consumer		2.53%		3.57%	-29.1%
Leasing		0.66%		3.28%	-79.9%
Unallocated allowance to total loans		0.04%		0.05%	-20.0%
Total allowance to total loans		3.17%		3.11%	1.9%
Allowance coverage ratio to					
non-performing loans:					
Mortgage		21.91%		22.32%	-1.8%
Commercial		53.46%		33.92%	57.6%
Consumer		355.16%		216.59%	64.0%
Leasing		255.84%		828.43%	-69.1%
Total		31.03%		27.46%	13.0%
		102			

TABLE 10 — NET CREDIT LOSSES STATISTICS ON NON-COVERED LOAN AND LEASES

						Six-Month Period Ended June					
		Quarter Ended June 30,			Variance		3	0,		Variance	
		2012 2011		%		2012		2011	%		
		(In tho	s)			(In tho	usan	ds)			
Mortgage											
Charge-offs	\$	(1,948)	\$	(1,268)	53.6%	\$	(2,869)	\$	(3,088)	-7.1%	
Recoveries		-		-	-		-		44	-100.0%	
Total		(1,948)		(1,268)	53.6%		(2,869)		(3,044)	-5.7%	
Commercial											
Charge-offs		(1,721)		(729)	136.1%		(3,358)		(1,038)	223.5%	
Recoveries		34		16	112.5%		101		53	90.6%	
Total		(1,687)		(713)	136.6%		(3,257)		(985)	230.7%	
Consumer											
Charge-offs		(184)		(345)	-46.7%		(366)		(792)	-53.8%	
Recoveries		56		58	-3.4%		107		111	-3.6%	
Total		(128)		(287)	-55.4%		(259)		(681)	-62.0%	
Leasing											
Charge-offs		-		(31)	-100.0%		(31)		(92)	-66.3%	
Recoveries		4		1	300.0%		8		1	700.0%	
Total		4		(30)	-113.3%		(23)		(91)	-74.7%	
Net credit losses											
Total											
charge-offs		(3,853)		(2,373)	62.4%		(6,624)		(5,010)	32.2%	
Total recoveries		94		75	25.3%		216		209	3.3%	
Total	\$	(3,759)	\$	(2,298)	63.6%	\$	(6,408)	\$	(4,801)	33.5%	
Net credit losses											
to average											
loans											
outstanding:											
Mortgage		0.47%		0.29%	62.1%		0.69%		0.69%	0.0%	
Commercial		1.08%		0.59%	83.1%		2.13%		0.83%	156.6%	
Consumer		0.65%		1.79%	-63.7%		1.34%		4.40%	-69.5%	
Leasing		-0.03%		0.46%	-106.5%		0.17%		1.73%	-90.2%	
Total		0.63%		0.39%	61.5%		1.07%		0.82%	30.5%	
Recoveries to											
charge-offs		2.44%		3.16%	-22.8%		3.26%		4.17%	-21.8%	
Average loans not	t										
covered under											
-											

shared-loss agreements

Edgar Filing: ORIENTAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

with	the	FDIC:
------	-----	--------------

				1,199,333		
Leasing	27,908	13,026	114.2%	26,719	10,490	154.7%
Consumer	39,623	32,093	23.5%	38,798	30,934	25.4%
Commercial	311,299	242,006	28.6%	305,116	237,114	28.7%
Mortgage	\$ 821,807	\$ 879,551	-6.6%	\$ 828,700	\$ 885,720	-6.4%

TARLE 11 -	_ NON	-PERFORMING	ASSETS
	_ ,,,,,	-1 17121.471218111447	

TABLE II — NON-I EXPONMING ASSE	115				
		June 30,	De	cember 31,	Variance
		2012		2011	(%)
		(Dollars in	thousands	s)	
Non-performing assets:					
Non-accruing loans					
Troubled Debt Restructuring loans	\$	24,612	\$	27,533	-10.6%
Other loans		95,935		107,231	-10.5%
Total non-performing loans	\$	120,547	\$	134,764	-10.5%
Foreclosed real estate not covered under					
the					
shared-loss agreements with the FDIC		17,721		13,812	28.3%
Mortgage loans held for sale in		,		,	
non-accrual		1,418		1,223	15.9%
;	\$	139,686	\$	149,799	-6.8%
Non-performing assets to total assets,					
excluding covered assets		2.36%		2.42%	-2.5%
Non-performing assets to total capital		20.18%		21.54%	-6.3%

		Quarter Ended June 30,			Six-Month Period Ended . 30,					
		2012		2011		2012		2011		
		(In thousands)								
Interest that would have been recorde in the period if the	d									
loans had not been classified as non-accruing loans	\$	1.642	\$	1.654	\$	3.075	\$	3.023		

TABLE 12 — NON-PERFORMING LOANS

	June 30, 2012		De	cember 31, 2011	Variance %	
		(Dollars in	thousands)		
Non-performing loans:						
Mortgage	\$	90,302	\$	97,340	-7.2%	
Commercial		29,887		36,988	-19.2%	
Consumer		281		334	-15.9%	
Leasing		77		102	-24.5%	
Total	\$	120,547	\$	134,764	-10.5%	
Non-performing loans composition						
percentages:						

Mortgage	74.9%	72.2%	
Commercial	24.8%	27.4%	
Consumer	0.2%	0.3%	
Leasing	0.1%	0.1%	
Total	100.0%	$\boldsymbol{100.0\%}$	
Non-performing loans to:			
Total loans, excluding covered loans	10.26%	11.42%	-10.2%
Total assets, excluding covered assets	2.04%	2.18%	-6.4%
Total capital	17.42%	19.38%	-10.1%
Non-performing loans with partial			
charge-offs to:			
Total loans, excluding covered loans	3.07%	2.77%	10.8%
Non-performing loans, excluding			
covered loans	30.04%	24.45%	22.9%
Other non-performing loans ratios:			
Charge-off rate on non-performing			
loans to non-performing loans			
on which charge-offs have been			
taken, excluding covered loans	27.74%	23.73%	16.9%
Allowance for loan and lease losses to			
non-performing loans on			
which no charge-offs have been			
taken, excluding covered loans	44.35%	36.35%	22.0%
	104		

TABLE 13 — HIGHER RISK RESIDENTIAL MORTGAGE LOANS

June 30, 2012 Higher-Risk Residential Mortgage Loans*

High Loan-to-Value Ratio Mortgages Junior Lien Mortgages **Interest Only Loans** LTV 90% and over **Carrying** Carrying Carrying Value Allowance Coverage Value AllowanceCoverage Value Allowance Coverage (In thousands) **Delinquency:** \$ 16,175 \$ 0 - 89 days \$ 267 1.65% \$ 28,809 510 \$ 76,530 \$ 1,673 2.19% 1.77% 90 - 119 days 69 1 1.45% 446 9 2.02% 4.49% 1,070 48 120 - 179 days 65 782 90 840 7.74% 11.51% 1,810 143 7.90% 180 - 364 days 873 72 8.25% 723 83 11.48% 159 7.29% 2,181 365+ days 251 1.676 14.98% 2,885 562 19.48% 10,374 1,455 14.03% 3.34% \$ 33,645 Total \$ 19,633 \$ 656 \$ 1,254 3.73% \$ 91,965 \$ 3,478 3.78% Percentage of total loans not covered by FDIC shared-loss agreements 1.62% 2.78% 7.60% Refinanced or **Modified** Loans: \$ 2,905 \$ 265 9.12% \$ \$ 0.00% \$ 17,458 \$ 1,391 7.97% Amount Percentage of Higher-Risk Loan 14.80% 0.00% 18.98% Category Loan-to-Value Ratio: Under 70% \$ 14,703 \$ 453 3.08% \$ 5,064 2.80% \$ \$ \$ 142 70% - 79% 3,036 51 6,891 333 4.83% 1.68% 299 80% - 89% 1.064 39 3.67% 3.27% 9,143 90% and over 830 13.61% 480 3.83% 113 12,547 91,965 3,478 3.78% \$ 19,633 \$ 656 3.34% \$ 33,645 3.73% \$ 91,965 \$ 3,478 \$ 1,254 3.78%

^{*} Loans may be included in more than one higher-risk loan category.

Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Background

The Group's risk management policies are established by its Board of Directors (the "Board") and implemented by management through the adoption of a risk management program, which is overseen and monitored by the Chief Risk Officer and the Risk Management and Compliance Committee. The Group has continued to refine and enhance its risk management program by strengthening policies, processes and procedures necessary to maintain effective risk management.

All aspects of the Group's business activities are susceptible to risk. Consequently, risk identification and monitoring are essential to risk management. As more fully discussed below, the Group's primary risk exposures include, market, interest rate, credit, liquidity, operational and concentration risks.

Market Risk

Market risk is the risk to earnings or capital arising from adverse movements in market rates or prices, such as interest rates or prices. The Group evaluates market risk together with interest rate risk. The Group's financial results and capital levels are constantly exposed to market risk. The Board and management are primarily responsible for ensuring that the market risk assumed by the Group complies with the guidelines established by policies approved by the Board. The Board has delegated the management of this risk to the Asset/Liability Management Committee ("ALCO") which is composed of certain executive officers from the business, treasury and finance areas. One of ALCO's primary goals is to ensure that the market risk assumed by the Group is within the parameters established in such policies.

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the exposure of the Group's earnings or capital to adverse movements in interest rates. It is a predominant market risk in terms of its potential impact on earnings. The Group manages its asset/liability position in order to limit the effects of changes in interest rates on net interest income. ALCO oversees interest rate risk, liquidity management and other related matters.

In discharging its responsibilities, ALCO examines current and expected conditions in world financial markets, competition and prevailing rates in the local deposit market, liquidity, unrealized gains and losses in securities, recent or proposed changes to the investment portfolio, alternative funding sources and their costs, hedging and the possible

purchase of derivatives such as swaps, and any tax or regulatory issues which may be pertinent to these areas.

On a monthly basis, the Group performs a net interest income simulation analysis on a consolidated basis to estimate the potential change in future earnings from projected changes in interest rates. These simulations are carried out over a one-year time horizon, assuming certain gradual upward and downward interest rate movements, achieved during a twelve-month period. Simulations are carried out in two ways:

- (1) using a static balance sheet as the Group had on the simulation date, and
- (2) using a dynamic balance sheet based on recent growth patterns and business strategies.

The balance sheet is divided into groups of assets and liabilities detailed by maturity or re-pricing and their corresponding interest yields and costs. As interest rates rise or fall, these simulations incorporate expected future lending rates, current and expected future funding sources and costs, the possible exercise of options, changes in prepayment rates, deposits decay and other factors which may be important in projecting the future growth of net interest income.

The Group uses a software application to project future movements in the Group's balance sheet and income statement. The starting point of the projections generally corresponds to the actual values of the balance sheet on the date of the simulations.

These simulations are highly complex, and use many simplifying assumptions that are intended to reflect the general behavior of the Group over the period in question. There can be no assurance that actual events will match these assumptions in all cases. For this reason, the results of these simulations are only approximations of the true sensitivity of net interest income to changes in market interest rates. The following table presents the results of the simulations at June 30, 2012 for the most likely scenario, assuming a one-year time horizon:

	Net Interest Income Risk (one year projection)								
		Static Balance	Sheet		Growing Simul	ation			
		Amount	Percent		Amount	Percent Change			
		Change	Change		Change				
Change in interest rate			(Dollars in t	thousands)					
+ 200 Basis points	\$	29,125	20.11%_	\$	27,777 _	19.81%			
+ 100 Basis points	\$	13,916	9.61%_	\$	13,277 _	9.47%			
- 50 Basis points	\$	(6,320)	-4.36% _	\$	(6,110) _	-4.36%			

The impact of -100 and -200 basis point reductions in interest rates is not presented in view of current level of the federal funds rate and other short-term interest rates.

Future net interest income could be affected by the Group's investments in callable securities, prepayment risk related to mortgage loans and mortgage-backed securities, and its structured repurchase agreements and advances from the FHLB. As part of the strategy to limit the interest rate risk and reduce the re-pricing gaps of the Group's assets and liabilities, the maturity and the re-pricing frequency of the liabilities has been extended to longer terms.

The Group maintains an overall interest rate risk management strategy that incorporates the use of derivative instruments to minimize significant unplanned fluctuations in earnings that are caused by interest rate volatility. The Group's goal is to manage interest rate sensitivity by modifying the repricing or maturity characteristics of certain balance sheet assets and liabilities so that the net interest margin is not, on a material basis, adversely affected by movements in interest rates. As a result of interest rate fluctuations, hedged fixed-rate assets and liabilities will appreciate or depreciate in market value. Also, for some fixed-rate assets or liabilities, the effect of

this variability in earnings is expected to be substantially offset by the Group's gains and losses on the derivative instruments that are linked to the forecasted cash flows of these hedged assets and liabilities. The Group considers its strategic use of derivatives to be a prudent method of managing interest-rate sensitivity as it reduces the exposure of earnings and the market value of its equity to undue risk posed by changes in interest rates. The effect of this unrealized appreciation or depreciation is expected to be substantially offset by the Group's gains or losses on the derivative instruments that are linked to these hedged assets and liabilities. Another result of interest rate fluctuations is that the contractual interest income and interest expense of hedged variable-rate assets and liabilities, respectively, will increase or decrease.

Derivative instruments that are used as part of the Group's interest risk management strategy include interest rate swaps, forward-settlement swaps, futures contracts, and option contracts that have indices related to the pricing of specific balance sheet assets and liabilities. Interest rate swaps generally involve the exchange of fixed and variable-rate interest payments between two parties based on a common notional principal amount and maturity date. Interest rate futures generally involve exchanged-traded contracts to buy or sell U.S. Treasury bonds and notes in the future at specified prices. Interest rate options represent contracts that allow the holder of the option to (i) receive cash or (ii) purchase, sell, or enter into a financial instrument at a specified price within a specified period. Some purchased option contracts give the Group the right to enter into interest rate swaps and cap and floor agreements with the writer of the option. In addition, the Group enters into certain transactions that contain embedded derivatives. When the embedded derivative possesses economic characteristics that are not clearly and closely related to the economic characteristics of the host contract, it is bifurcated and carried at fair value. Please refer to Note 8 to the accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements for further information concerning the Group's derivative activities.

Following is a summary of certain strategies, including derivative activities, currently used by the Group to manage interest rate risk:

<u>Interest rate swaps</u> — The Group entered into forward-settlement swaps to hedge the variability of future interest cash flows of forecasted wholesale borrowings, attributable to changes in the one-month LIBOR rate. Once the forecasted wholesale borrowings transactions occur, the interest rate swap will effectively fix the Group's interest payments on an amount of forecasted interest expense attributable to the one-month LIBOR rate corresponding to the swap notional stated rate. A derivative liability of \$56.2 million was

recognized at June 30, 2012, related to the valuation of these swaps. Refer to Note 8 of the unaudited consolidated financial statements for a description of these swaps.

<u>S&P options</u> — The Group has offered its customers certificates of deposit with an option tied to the performance of the S&P Index. At the end of five years, the depositor receives a minimum return or a specified percentage of the average increase of the month-end value of the S&P Index. The Group uses option agreements with major money center banks and major broker-dealer companies to manage its exposure to changes in that index. Under the terms of the option agreements, the Group receives the average increase in the month-end value of S&P Index in exchange for a fixed premium. The changes in fair value of the options purchased and the options embedded in the certificates of deposit are recorded in earnings.

At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the fair value of the purchased options used to manage the exposure to the S&P Index on stock-indexed certificates of deposits represented an asset of \$11.4 million and \$9.3 million, respectively, and the options sold to customers embedded in the certificates of deposit represented a liability of \$10.9 million and \$9.4 million, respectively, recorded in deposits.

Wholesale borrowings — The Group uses interest rate swaps to hedge the variability of interest cash flows of certain repurchase agreements and advances from FHLB that are tied to a variable rate index. The interest rate swaps effectively fix the Group's interest payments on these borrowings. As of June 30, 2012, the Group had \$675.0 million in interest rate swaps at an average rate of 1.90% designated as cash flow hedges for \$650.0 million in repurchase agreements and \$25.0 million in advances from FHLB that reprice or are being rolled over on a monthly basis. As of June 30, 2012, the Group had \$450.0 million in forward-settle interest rate swaps at an average rate of 2.60% designated as cash flow hedges for \$250.0 million in repurchase agreements and \$200.0 million in advances from FHLB that are forecasted to be executed between July 2012 and December 2012.

In addition, the Group has outstanding structured repurchase agreements and advances from FHLB in which the counterparty has the right to put back the borrowing to the Group before their stated maturity under certain conditions.

Credit Risk

Credit risk is the possibility of loss arising from a borrower or counterparty in a credit-related contract failing to perform in accordance with its terms. The principal source of credit risk for the Group is its lending activities. In Puerto Rico, the Group's principal market, according to government data, the economic recession moderated in calendar year 2011 and is expected to improve in calendar year 2012. However, economic growth remains a challenge due to the lack of significant employment growth and a housing sector that remains under pressure in spite of progress made by the Puerto Rico government in reducing its fiscal deficit.

The Group manages its credit risk through a comprehensive credit policy which establishes sound underwriting standards by monitoring and evaluating loan portfolio quality, and by the constant assessment of reserves and loan concentrations. The Group also employs proactive collection and loss mitigation practices.

The Group may also encounter risk of default in relation to its securities portfolio. The securities held by the Group are principally agency mortgage-backed securities. Thus, a substantial portion of these instruments are guaranteed by mortgages, a U.S. government-sponsored entity, or the full faith and credit of the U.S. government. At June 30, 2012, the available-for-sale securities portfolio also includes approximately \$27.3 million in structured credit investments that are considered of a higher credit risk than agency securities.

Management's Executive Credit Committee, composed of the Group's Chief Executive Officer, Chief Credit Risk Officer and other senior executives, has primary responsibility for setting strategies to achieve the Group's credit risk goals and objectives. Those goals and objectives are set forth in the Group's Credit Policy as approved by the Board.

Liquidity Risk

Liquidity risk is the risk of the Group not being able to generate sufficient cash from either assets or liabilities to meet obligations as they become due without incurring substantial losses. The Board has established a policy to manage this risk. The Group's cash requirements principally consist of deposit withdrawals, contractual loan funding, repayment of borrowings as these mature, and funding of new and existing investments as required.

The Group's business requires continuous access to various funding sources. While the Group is able to fund its operations through deposits as well as through advances from the FHLB of New York and other alternative sources, the Group's business is dependent upon other wholesale funding sources. Although the Group has selectively reduced its use of wholesale funding sources, such as repurchase agreements and brokered deposits, it is still significantly dependent on repurchase agreements. The Group's repurchase agreements have been structured with initial terms that mature between three and ten years, and for six repurchase agreements totaling \$2.0 billion, the counterparties have the right to exercise at par on a quarterly basis put options before their contractual maturities. The Group's \$500 million repurchase agreement that matures on March 2, 2017 also provides for an optional early termination by either party upon no less than two business days' prior written notice to the other party. In the event of any such optional early termination, the amounts owed by or to the terminating party by the other party on the optional early termination date must take account of the termination value of the transaction, as determined by the calculation agent in the manner described in the repurchase agreement.

Brokered deposits are typically offered through an intermediary to small retail investors. The Group's ability to continue to attract brokered deposits is subject to variability based upon a number of factors, including volume and volatility in the global securities markets, the Group's credit rating, and the relative interest rates that it is prepared to pay for these liabilities. Brokered deposits are generally considered a less stable source of funding than core deposits obtained through retail bank branches. Investors in brokered deposits are generally more sensitive to interest rates and will generally move funds from one depository institution to another based on small differences in interest rates offered on deposits.

Although the Group expects to have continued access to credit from the foregoing sources of funds, there can be no assurance that such financing sources will continue to be available or will be available on favorable terms. In a period of financial disruption or if negative developments occur with respect to the Group, the availability and cost of the Group's funding sources could be adversely affected. In that event, the Group's cost of funds may increase, thereby reducing its net interest income, or the Group may need to dispose of a portion of its investment portfolio, which depending upon market conditions, could result in realizing a loss or experiencing other adverse accounting consequences upon the dispositions. The Group's efforts to monitor and manage liquidity risk may not be successful to deal with dramatic or unanticipated changes in the global securities markets or other reductions in liquidity driven by the Group or market-related events. In the event that such sources of funds are reduced or eliminated and the Group is not able to replace these on a cost-effective basis, the Group may be forced to curtail or cease its loan origination business and treasury activities, which would have a material adverse effect on its operations and financial condition.

As of June 30, 2012, the Group had approximately \$464.6 million in cash and cash equivalents, \$266.1 million in investment securities, \$588.7 million in commercial loans, \$436.0 million in mortgage loans, and \$39.0 million in leases available to cover liquidity needs.

Operational Risk

Operational risk is the risk of loss from inadequate or failed internal processes, personnel and systems or from external events. All functions, products and services of the Group are susceptible to operational risk.

The Group faces ongoing and emerging risk and regulatory pressure related to the activities that surround the delivery of banking and financial products. Coupled with external influences such as market conditions, security risks, and legal risk, the potential for operational and reputational loss has increased. In order to mitigate and control operational risk, the Group has developed, and continues to enhance, specific internal controls, policies and procedures that are designed to identify and manage operational risk at appropriate levels throughout the organization. The purpose of these policies and procedures is to provide reasonable assurance that the Group's business operations are functioning within established limits.

The Group classifies operational risk into two major categories: business specific and corporate-wide affecting all business lines. For business specific risks, a risk assessment group works with the various business units to ensure consistency in policies, processes and assessments. With respect to corporate-wide risks, such as information security, business recovery, legal and compliance, the Group has specialized groups, such as Information Security, Enterprise Risk Management, Corporate Compliance, Information Technology and Operations. These groups assist the lines of business in the development and implementation of risk management practices specific to the needs of the business groups. All these matters are reviewed and discussed in the Information Technology Steering Committee, and the Risk Management and Compliance Committee.

The Group is subject to extensive federal and Puerto Rico regulation, and this regulatory scrutiny has been significantly increasing over the last several years. The Group has established and continues to enhance procedures based on legal and regulatory requirements that are reasonably designed to ensure compliance with all applicable statutory and regulatory requirements. The Group has a corporate compliance function headed by a Compliance Director who reports to the Chief Risk Officer and is responsible for the oversight of regulatory compliance and implementation of a company-wide compliance program.

Concentration Risk

Substantially all of the Group's business activities and a significant portion of its credit exposure are concentrated in Puerto Rico. As a consequence, the Group's profitability and financial condition may be adversely affected by an extended economic slowdown, adverse political or economic developments in Puerto Rico or the effects of a natural disaster, all of which could result in a reduction in loan originations, an increase in non-performing assets, an increase in foreclosure losses on mortgage loans, and a reduction in the value of its loans and loan servicing portfolio.

Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report on Form 10-Q, an evaluation was carried out under the supervision and with the participation of the Group's management, including the Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") and the Chief Financial Officer ("CFO"), of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Group's disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act). Based upon such evaluation, the CEO and the CFO have concluded that, as of the end of such period, the Group's disclosure controls and procedures provided reasonable assurance of effectiveness in recording, processing, summarizing and reporting, on a timely basis, information required to be disclosed by the Group in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act. Notwithstanding the foregoing, a control system, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute assurance that it will detect or uncover failures within the Group to disclose material information otherwise required to be set forth in the Group's periodic reports.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There was no change in the Group's internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined on rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the quarter ended June 30, 2012 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Group's internal control over financial reporting.

PART - II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The Group and its subsidiaries are defendants in a number of legal proceedings incidental to their business. The Group is vigorously contesting such claims. Based upon a review by legal counsel and the development of these matters to date, management is of the opinion that the ultimate aggregate liability, if any, resulting from these claims will not have a material adverse effect on the Group's financial condition or results of operations.

Item 1A. RISK FACTORS

In addition to other information set forth in this report, you should carefully consider the risk factors included in the Group's annual report on Form 10-K, as updated by this report and other filings the Group makes with the SEC under the Exchange Act. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us at this time or that the Group currently deems immaterial may also adversely affect the Group's business, financial condition or results of operations.

The Group may fail to successfully consummate the BBVA-PR Acquisition, which may subject us to penalties of up to \$50 million.

While the Group intends and expects to meet all of the conditions required to consummate the BBVA-PR Acquisition, there are certain closing conditions, which are beyond our control, required for the consummation of the Acquisition Agreement. These factors include the successful issuance by the Group of additional equity necessary to finance the purchase price (the "Second Capital Raise") and the receipt of regulatory approvals from the FDIC, the Federal Reserve Board, FINRA, and the OCFI (the "Approvals").

In determining whether to approve the BBVA-PR Acquisition, federal bank regulators will consider, among other factors, its effect on our competitors, our financial condition and our future prospects. The regulators also review current and projected capital ratios and levels, the competence, experience, and integrity of management and its record of compliance with laws and regulations, the convenience and needs of the communities to be served (including the acquiring institution's record of compliance under the Community Reinvestment Act) and the effectiveness of the acquiring institution in combating money laundering activities. Such regulatory approvals may not be granted on terms that are acceptable to us, or at all. We may also be required to sell branches as a condition to receiving regulatory approval, which condition may not be acceptable to us or, if acceptable to us, may reduce the benefit of the BBVA-PR Acquisition. There can be no assurance as to when or whether these regulatory approvals will be received

or the conditions associated with any approval.

Under the Acquisition Agreement, the Group may be subject to a reverse break-up fee of \$25 million if the Closing does not occur before one year after execution of the Acquisition Agreement (or before fifteen months after execution of the Acquisition Agreement in certain circumstances), if the failure to close within one year is due to the inability of the Group to consummate the Second Capital Raise or to obtain the Approvals, subject to certain conditions. In addition, BBVA could seek damages in excess of \$25 million if BBVA terminates the Acquisition Agreement due to the failure of the Group to complete the Second Capital Raise or if the Group's willful and knowing breach of the Acquisition Agreement is the proximate cause of the Closing not having been consummated, and BBVA suffers losses as a result in excess of the \$25 million reverse break-up fee. Such additional damages would be limited to BBVA's losses in excess of the reverse break-up fee, and could not exceed an additional \$25 million.

If the BBVA-PR Acquisition fails to be consummated, the Group would be obligated to pay its expenses incurred in the transaction (which could be material and would have to be expensed immediately), including costs related to the sale of equity and assets to finance the purchase. The Group could also experience material operational disruptions, including a loss of key employees and/or key customer relationships. Failure of the transaction to be consummated could have a material adverse effect on our business, future prospects, financial condition or results of operations.

The Group may fail to realize the anticipated benefits of the BBVA-PR Acquisition.

The success of the BBVA-PR Acquisition will depend on, among other things, the Group's ability to realize anticipated cost savings and to integrate the assets and operations to be acquired in a manner that permits growth opportunities and does not materially disrupt the Group's existing customer relationships or result in decreased revenues resulting from any loss of customers. If the Group is not able to successfully achieve these objectives, the anticipated benefits of the BBVA-PR Acquisition may not be realized fully or at all or may take longer to realize than expected. Additionally, the Group made assumptions and estimates concerning the fair value of

assets and liabilities to be acquired in evaluating the BBVA-PR Acquisition and the purchase price. Actual values of these assets and liabilities could differ from the Group's assumptions and estimates, which could result in not achieving the anticipated benefits of the BBVA-PR Acquisition.

At Closing, BBVA and the Group will enter into a transition services agreement pursuant to which BBVA will provide the Group with services necessary to assist the Group with the day-to-day operations of the acquired companies and their transition to our infrastructure, and to provide data for the integration of information, for a period of up to twelve months. The Acquisition Agreement contains customary indemnification rights for each of BBVA and the Group, including with respect to breaches of representations, warranties or covenants and certain other specified matters. Certain of the indemnification obligations of each party are subject to a minimum claim size threshold, an aggregate claim threshold, a cap on indemnification and other limitations on liability.

There can be no assurance that the BBVA-PR Acquisition will have positive results, including results relating to: correctly assessing the asset quality of the assets acquired; the total cost of integration, including management attention and resources; the time required to complete the integration successfully; the amount of longer-term cost savings; being able to profitably deploy funds acquired in the transaction; or the overall performance of the combined business. The Group's future growth and profitability depends, in part, on the ability to successfully manage the combined operations. Integration of an acquired business can be complex and costly, sometimes including combining relevant accounting and data processing systems and management controls, as well as managing relevant relationships with employees, clients, suppliers and other business partners. Integration efforts could divert management attention and resources, which could adversely affect the Group's operations or results. The loss of key employees in connection with the BBVA-PR Acquisition could adversely affect our ability to successfully conduct the combined operations.

Given the economic conditions in Puerto Rico, we may continue to experience increased credit costs or need to take greater than anticipated markdowns and make greater than anticipated provisions to the allowances for loan and lease losses on the assets and loans to be acquired that could adversely affect the Group's financial condition and results of operations in the future. There is no assurance that as our integration efforts will not result in other unanticipated costs, including the diversion of personnel, or losses.

The BBVA-PR Acquisition may also result in business disruptions that cause us to lose customers or cause customers to move their accounts or business to competing financial institutions. It is possible that the integration process related to this acquisition could disrupt the Group's ongoing business or result in inconsistencies in customer service that could adversely affect the Group's ability to maintain relationships with clients, customers, depositors and employees. Our inability to overcome these risks could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and future prospects.

Information as to the sale of the Convertible Preferred Stock was furnished in the Group's current report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on July 3, 2012. The shares of Convertible Preferred Stock were purchased by "qualified institutional buyers" as defined in Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities	
None.	
Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures	
Not applicable.	
Item 5. Other Information	
None.	
	112

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit No.

Description of Document:

	Acquisition Agreement, dated June 28, 2012, between the Group and Banco Bilbao Vizcaya Argentaria, S.A., g to the purchase and sale of 100% of the common stock of each of BBVA PR Holding Corporation and BBVA ties of Puerto Rico, Inc. (1)
3.1 Non-V	Certificate of Withdrawal of Certificate of Designations of Mandatorily Convertible Non-Cumulative Voting Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series C. (2)
3.2	Certificate of Designations of 8.750% Non-Cumulative Convertible Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series C. (3)
4.1	Form of Certificate for the 8.750% Non-Cumulative Convertible Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series C. (4)
10.1 Non-C	Subscription Agreement, dated June 28, 2012, between the Group and each of the purchasers of the 8.750% Cumulative Convertible Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series C.
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

The following materials from Oriental Financial Group Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2012, formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language): (i) Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition, (ii) Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Operations, (iii) Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity, (v) Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and (vi) Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements.
(1) Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 2.1 of the Group's current report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on July 3, 2012.
(2) Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Group's current report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on May 29, 2012.
(3) Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Group's current report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on July 3, 2012.
(4) Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of the Group's current report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on July 3, 2012.
113

Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

ORIENTAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC.

(Registrant)

By: /s/ José Rafael Fernández Date: August 3, 2012

José Rafael Fernández

President and Chief Executive Officer

By: /s/ Ganesh Kumar Date: August 3, 2012

Ganesh Kumar Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer