

CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS INC  
Form 10-Q  
March 08, 2007

**UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**  
Washington, D.C. 20549  
**FORM 10-Q**

(Mark One)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR  
15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended January 31, 2007

OR

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR  
15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from to

Commission file number 000-23211

**CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS, INC.**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**Delaware**

(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

**03-0338873**

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

**25 Greens Hill Lane, Rutland, Vermont**

(Address of principal executive offices)

**05701**

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: **(802) 775-0325**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check One):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of February 28, 2007:

Edgar Filing: CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

|                      |            |
|----------------------|------------|
| Class A Common Stock | 24,329,420 |
| Class B Common Stock | 988,200    |

**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****(Unaudited)****(in thousands)**

| <b>ASSETS</b>   | <b>April 30,<br/>2006</b> | <b>January 31,<br/>2007</b> |
|---|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| <b>CURRENT ASSETS:</b>  |                           |                             |
| Cash and cash equivalents   | \$ 7,429                  | \$ 11,929                   |
| Restricted cash   | 72                        | 73                          |
| Accounts receivable - trade, net of allowance<br>for doubtful accounts of \$661 and \$1,595                   | 56,269                    | 57,839                      |
| Notes receivable - officers/employees   | 87                        | 87                          |
| Refundable income taxes   |                           | 181                         |
| Prepaid expenses  | 5,126                     | 6,004                       |
| Inventory   | 2,975                     | 3,186                       |
| Deferred income taxes   | 5,034                     | 10,602                      |
| Other current assets  | 1,982                     | 3,335                       |
| <b>Total current assets</b>   | <b>78,974</b>             | <b>93,236</b>               |
| Property, plant and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation<br>and amortization of \$388,808 and \$415,327 | 481,284                   | 506,797                     |
| Goodwill  | 171,258                   | 172,731                     |
| Intangible assets, net  | 2,762                     | 2,276                       |
| Restricted cash   | 17,887                    | 12,518                      |
| Notes receivable - officers/employees   | 916                       | 916                         |
| Investments in unconsolidated entities  | 44,491                    | 48,811                      |
| Net assets under contractual obligation   | 937                       | 88                          |
| Other non-current assets  | 12,602                    | 12,238                      |
|   | 732,137                   | 756,375                     |
|   | <b>\$ 811,111</b>         | <b>\$ 849,611</b>           |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

## CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Continued)

(Unaudited)

(in thousands, except for share and per share data)

| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY  | April 30,<br>2006 | January 31,<br>2007 |
|--|-------------------|---------------------|
| <b>CURRENT LIABILITIES:</b>  |                   |                     |
| Current maturities of long-term debt   | \$ 527            | \$ 1,132            |
| Current maturities of capital lease obligations  | 1,061             | 1,096               |
| Accounts payable   | 46,364            | 41,316              |
| Accrued payroll and related expenses   | 6,818             | 7,598               |
| Accrued interest   | 6,650             | 14,067              |
| Accrued income taxes   | 200               |                     |
| Current accrued capping, closure and post-closure costs  | 4,771             | 3,429               |
| Other accrued liabilities  | 28,374            | 26,740              |
| Total current liabilities  | 94,765            | 95,378              |
| Long-term debt, less current maturities  | 452,720           | 479,370             |
| Capital lease obligations, less current maturities   | 1,747             | 925                 |
| Accrued capping, closure and post-closure costs, less current portion  | 23,245            | 25,670              |
| Deferred income taxes  | 6,957             | 13,457              |
| Other long-term liabilities  | 11,757            | 11,341              |
| <b>COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES</b>   |                   |                     |
| Series A redeemable, convertible preferred stock -<br>Authorized - 55,750 shares, issued and outstanding - 53,000<br>as of April 30, 2006 and January 31, 2007, liquidation preference<br>of \$1,000 per share plus accrued but unpaid dividends | 70,430            | 73,104              |
| <b>STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY:</b>  |                   |                     |
| Class A common stock -<br>Authorized - 100,000,000 shares, \$0.01 par value; issued<br>and outstanding - 24,185,000 and 24,329,000 shares<br>as of April 30, 2006 and January 31, 2007, respectively   | 242               | 243                 |
| Class B common stock -<br>Authorized - 1,000,000 shares, \$0.01 par value, 10 votes per<br>share, issued and outstanding - 988,000 shares  | 10                | 10                  |
| Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income  | 159               | (347 )              |
| Additional paid-in capital   | 274,297           | 274,187             |
| Accumulated deficit  | (125,218 )        | (123,727 )          |
| Total stockholders equity  | 149,490           | 150,366             |
|  | \$ 811,111        | \$ 849,611          |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.



## CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(Unaudited)

(in thousands)

|  | Three Months Ended<br>January 31, |             | Nine Months Ended<br>January 31, |             |
|--|-----------------------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|-------------|
|  | 2006                              | 2007        | 2006                             | 2007        |
| Revenues   | \$ 130,597                        | \$ 133,492  | \$ 399,392                       | \$ 424,828  |
| Operating expenses:                                |                                   |             |                                  |             |
| Cost of operations                                 | 89,034                            | 89,800      | 262,704                          | 279,717     |
| General and administration                         | 17,946                            | 17,653      | 53,296                           | 58,578      |
| Depreciation and amortization                      | 16,525                            | 17,223      | 49,572                           | 54,457      |
| Deferred costs                                     | 1,329                             |             | 1,329                            |             |
|  | 124,834                           | 124,676     | 366,901                          | 392,752     |
| Operating income                                   | 5,763                             | 8,816       | 32,491                           | 32,076      |
| Other expense/(income), net:                       |                                   |             |                                  |             |
| Interest income                                    | (208 )                            | (313 )      | (559 )                           | (910 )      |
| Interest expense                                   | 8,396                             | 10,323      | 23,918                           | 30,234      |
| Income from equity method investments              | (3,319 )                          | (988 )      | (4,762 )                         | (1,978 )    |
| Other income                                       | (1,541 )                          | (50 )       | (1,664 )                         | (351 )      |
| Other expense, net                                 | 3,328                             | 8,972       | 16,933                           | 26,995      |
| Income (loss) before income taxes                  | 2,435                             | (156 )      | 15,558                           | 5,081       |
| Provision for income taxes                         | 1,148                             | 689         | 7,005                            | 3,590       |
| Net income (loss)                                  | 1,287                             | (845 )      | 8,553                            | 1,491       |
| Preferred stock dividend                           | 859                               | 902         | 2,563                            | 2,674       |
| Net (loss) income available to common stockholders | \$ 428                            | \$ (1,747 ) | \$ 5,990                         | \$ (1,183 ) |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

**CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (Continued)**

**(Unaudited)**

**(in thousands, except for per share data)**

|   | <b>Three Months Ended</b> |             | <b>Nine Months Ended</b> |             |
|---|---------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|-------------|
|   | <b>January 31,</b>        |             | <b>January 31,</b>       |             |
|   | <b>2006</b>               | <b>2007</b> | <b>2006</b>              | <b>2007</b> |
| <b>Earnings Per Share:</b>  |                           |             |                          |             |
| <b>Basic:</b>   |                           |             |                          |             |
| Net (loss) income per common share available to common stockholders | \$ 0.02                   | \$ (0.07 )  | \$ 0.24                  | \$ (0.05 )  |
| Basic weighted average common shares outstanding                    | 25,019                    | 25,273      | 24,932                   | 25,257      |
| <b>Diluted:</b>   |                           |             |                          |             |
| Net (loss) income per common share available to common stockholders | \$ 0.02                   | \$ (0.07 )  | \$ 0.24                  | \$ (0.05 )  |
| Diluted weighted average common shares outstanding                  | 25,413                    | 25,273      | 25,296                   | 25,257      |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

## CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Unaudited)

(in thousands)

|   | Nine Months Ended<br>January 31, |                  |
|---|----------------------------------|------------------|
|   | 2006                             | 2007             |
| <b>Cash Flows from Operating Activities:</b>  |                                  |                  |
| Net income  | \$ 8,553                         | \$ 1,491         |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income<br>to net cash provided by operating activities -   |                                  |                  |
| Depreciation and amortization   | 49,572                           | 54,457           |
| Depletion of landfill operating lease obligations                                       | 4,651                            | 5,543            |
| Income from equity method investments   | (4,762 )                         | (1,978 )         |
| Deferred costs  | 1,329                            |                  |
| (Gain) loss on sale of equipment  | 233                              | (591 )           |
| Stock-based compensation  |                                  | 511              |
| Excess tax benefit on the exercise of stock options                                     |                                  | (145 )           |
| Deferred income taxes   | 4,012                            | 464              |
| Changes in assets and liabilities, net of<br>effects of acquisitions and divestitures - |                                  |                  |
| Accounts receivable   | (3,271 )                         | (1,393 )         |
| Accounts payable  | (3,855 )                         | (5,048 )         |
| Other assets and liabilities  | 5,981                            | 2,492            |
|   | 53,890                           | 54,312           |
| <b>Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities</b>  | <b>62,443</b>                    | <b>55,803</b>    |
| <b>Cash Flows from Investing Activities:</b>  |                                  |                  |
| Acquisitions, net of cash acquired  | (19,226 )                        | (2,087 )         |
| Additions to property, plant and equipment growth<br>maintenance                        | (36,552 )                        | (25,757 )        |
|   | (51,608 )                        | (52,592 )        |
| Payments on landfill operating lease contracts  | (8,450 )                         | (4,500 )         |
| Proceeds from sale of equipment   | 936                              | 1,369            |
| Restricted cash from revenue bond issuance  |                                  | 5,535            |
| Investment in unconsolidated entities   | (3,000 )                         | (2,328 )         |
| Proceeds from assets under contractual obligation                                       | 601                              | 849              |
| <b>Net Cash Used In Investing Activities</b>  | <b>(117,299 )</b>                | <b>(79,511 )</b> |
| <b>Cash Flows from Financing Activities:</b>  |                                  |                  |
| Proceeds from long-term borrowings  | 159,733                          | 239,950          |
| Principal payments on long-term debt  | (104,581 )                       | (213,459 )       |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options   | 1,151                            | 1,572            |
| Excess tax benefit on the exercise of stock options                                     |                                  | 145              |
| <b>Net Cash Provided by Financing Activities</b>  | <b>56,303</b>                    | <b>28,208</b>    |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents   | 1,447                            | 4,500            |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period  | 8,578                            | 7,429            |
| <b>Cash and cash equivalents, end of period</b>   | <b>\$ 10,025</b>                 | <b>\$ 11,929</b> |



The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

**CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Continued)**

(Unaudited)

(in thousands)

|   | <b>Nine Months Ended<br/>January 31,</b> |             |
|---|--|-------------|
|   | <b>2006</b>                              | <b>2007</b> |
| <b>Supplemental Disclosures of Cash Flow Information:</b>                       |  |             |
| Cash paid during the period for -   |  |             |
| Interest  | \$ 16,379                                | \$ 21,696   |
| Income taxes, net of refunds  | \$ 1,299                                 | \$ 2,241    |
| <b>Supplemental Disclosures of Non-Cash Investing and Financing Activities:</b> |  |             |
| Summary of entities acquired in purchase business combinations -                |  |             |
| Fair value of assets acquired   | \$ 21,839                                | \$ 2,332    |
| Cash paid, net  | (19,226 )                                | (2,087 )    |
| Liabilities assumed and holdbacks to sellers                                    | \$ 2,613                                 | \$ 245      |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

**CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(Unaudited)**  
**(In thousands, except for per share data)**

**1. ORGANIZATION**

The consolidated balance sheets of Casella Waste Systems, Inc. (the Parent) and Subsidiaries (collectively, the Company) as of April 30, 2006 and January 31, 2007, the consolidated statements of operations for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2006 and 2007 and the consolidated statements of cash flows for the nine months ended January 31, 2006 and 2007 are unaudited. In the opinion of management, such financial statements include all adjustments (which include normal recurring and nonrecurring adjustments) necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position, results of operations, and cash flows for the periods presented. The consolidated financial statements presented herein should be read in conjunction with the Company's audited consolidated financial statements as of and for the twelve months ended April 30, 2006 included as part of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended April 30, 2006 (the Annual Report). The results for the three and nine month periods ended January 31, 2007 may not be indicative of the results that may be expected for the fiscal year ending April 30, 2007.

**2. BUSINESS COMBINATIONS**

During the nine months ended January 31, 2007, the Company acquired eleven solid waste hauling operations. These transactions were in exchange for total consideration of \$2,332, including \$2,087 in cash and \$245 in liabilities assumed. During the nine months ended January 31, 2006, the Company acquired one recycling operation, twelve solid waste hauling operations and recorded additional expenditures for a landfill closure project acquired in the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2005. These transactions were in exchange for total consideration of \$21,839 including \$19,226 in cash and \$2,613 in capital leases, debt and other liabilities assumed. The operating results of these businesses are included in the consolidated statements of operations from the dates of acquisition. The purchase prices have been allocated to the net assets acquired based on their fair values at the dates of acquisition, including the value of non-compete agreements, with the residual amounts allocated to goodwill.

The following unaudited pro forma combined information shows the results of the Company's operations as though each of the acquisitions made in the nine months ended January 31, 2006 and 2007 had been completed as of May 1, 2005.

|  | <b>Three Months Ended<br/>January 31,</b> |             | <b>Nine Months Ended<br/>January 31,</b> |             |
|--|---|-------------|--|-------------|
|  | <b>2006</b>                               | <b>2007</b> | <b>2006</b>                              | <b>2007</b> |
| Revenue                                    | \$ 131,618                                | \$ 133,519  | \$ 406,916                               | \$ 425,773  |
| Net income (loss)                          | 1,378                                     | (841)       | 8,992                                    | 1,589       |
| Diluted net income (loss) per common share | \$ 0.05                                   | \$ (0.03)   | \$ 0.36                                  | \$ 0.06     |

The foregoing pro forma results have been prepared for comparative purposes only and are not necessarily indicative of the actual results of operations had the acquisitions taken place as of May 1, 2005 or the results of future operations of the Company. Furthermore, such pro forma results do not give effect to all cost savings or incremental costs that may occur as a result of the integration and consolidation of the completed acquisitions.

In late September 2005 the Company commenced operations at the Chemung County Landfill, after executing a twenty-five year operation, management and lease agreement with Chemung County, New York.

The Company made initial payments of \$4,931 related to this transaction.

### 3. GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLE ASSETS

The following table shows the activity and balances related to goodwill from April 30, 2006 through January 31, 2007:

|                           | North<br>Eastern<br>Region | South<br>Eastern<br>Region | Central<br>Region | Western<br>Region | FCR<br>Recycling | Total      |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------|
| Balance, April 30, 2006   | \$ 25,327                  | \$ 31,645                  | \$ 31,106         | \$ 55,696         | \$ 27,484        | \$ 171,258 |
| Acquisitions              | 147                        |                            | 687               | 635               | 4                | 1,473      |
| Balance, January 31, 2007 | \$ 25,474                  | \$ 31,645                  | \$ 31,793         | \$ 56,331         | \$ 27,488        | \$ 172,731 |

Intangible assets at April 30, 2006 and January 31, 2007 consist of the following:

|                               | Covenants<br>not to<br>compete | Licensing<br>Agreements | Total     |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|
| Balance, April 30, 2006       |                                |                         |           |
| Intangible assets             | \$ 16,654                      | \$ 920                  | \$ 17,574 |
| Less accumulated amortization | (14,771 )                      | (41 )                   | (14,812 ) |
|                               | \$ 1,883                       | \$ 879                  | \$ 2,762  |
| Balance, January 31, 2007     |                                |                         |           |
| Intangible assets             | \$ 15,469                      | \$ 920                  | \$ 16,389 |
| Less accumulated amortization | (14,029 )                      | (84 )                   | (14,113 ) |
|                               | \$ 1,440                       | \$ 836                  | \$ 2,276  |

Intangible amortization expense for the three months ended January 31, 2006 and 2007 was \$343 and \$151, respectively. Intangible amortization expense for the nine months ended January 31, 2006 and 2007 was \$993 and \$689, respectively. The intangible amortization expense estimated as of January 31, 2007, for the five years following fiscal year 2006 is as follows:

| 2007   | 2008   | 2009   | 2010   | 2011   |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| \$ 838 | \$ 580 | \$ 400 | \$ 288 | \$ 196 |

### 4. NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154, *Accounting Changes and Error Corrections* ( SFAS No. 154 ) which replaces APB Opinion No. 20, *Accounting Changes* ( APB No. 20 ), and SFAS No. 3, *Reporting Accounting Changes in Interim Financial Statements - An Amendment of APB Opinion No. 28*. SFAS No. 154 provides guidance on the accounting for and reporting of accounting changes and error corrections. Specifically, this statement requires retrospective application of the direct effect for a voluntary change in accounting principle to prior periods financial statements, if it is practicable to do so. SFAS No. 154 also strictly redefines the term restatement to mean the correction of an error by revising previously issued financial statements. SFAS No. 154 replaces APB No. 20, which required that most voluntary changes in accounting principles be recognized by including in net income of the period of the change the cumulative

effect of changing to the new accounting principle. The adoption of SFAS No. 154 effective May 1, 2006 had no impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

On July 13, 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes* (FIN No. 48). FIN No. 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial statements in accordance with SFAS No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*. FIN No. 48 requires a company to evaluate whether the tax positions taken by a company will more likely than not be sustained upon examination by the appropriate taxing authority. FIN No. 48 also provides guidance on how a company should measure the amount of benefit that the company is to recognize in its financial statements. Under FIN No. 48, a company should also classify a liability for unrecognized tax benefits as current to the extent the company anticipates making a payment within one year. FIN No. 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. FIN No. 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company is currently evaluating the impact this statement will have on its financial position and results of operations.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* (SFAS No. 157), which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 applies to other existing accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 does not require any new fair value measurements. However, the application of this statement may change the current practice for fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company is currently evaluating the impact this statement will have on its financial position and results of operations.

In September 2006, the SEC issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108, *Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements* (SAB No. 108). SAB No. 108 provides guidance on the consideration of the effects of prior year misstatements in quantifying current year misstatements for the purpose of a materiality assessment. SAB No. 108 is effective for fiscal years ending after November 15, 2006. The Company is currently evaluating the impact this bulletin will have on its financial position and results of operations.

## 5. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

On January 10, 2002, the City of Biddeford, Maine filed a lawsuit in York County Superior Court in Maine alleging breach of the waste handling agreement among the Biddeford-Saco Waste Handling Committee, the cities of Biddeford and Saco, Maine and the Company's subsidiary Maine Energy for (1) failure to pay certain residual cancellation payments in connection with the Company's merger with KTI and (2) processing amounts of waste above contractual limits without notice to the City. On May 3, 2002, the City of Saco filed a lawsuit in York County Superior Court against the Company, Maine Energy and other subsidiaries. The complaint in that action, which was amended by the City of Saco on July 22, 2002, alleges breaches of the 1991 waste handling agreement for failure to pay the residual cancellation payment, which Saco alleges is due as a result of, among other things, (1) the Company's merger with KTI and (2) Maine Energy's failure to pay off certain limited partner loans in accordance with the terms of the agreement. The complaint also seeks damages for breach of contract and a court order requiring the Company to provide an accounting of all transactions since May 3, 1996 involving transfers of assets to or for the benefit of the equity owners of Maine Energy. The litigation brought by the Cities of Biddeford and Saco is currently in the discovery phase. Simultaneously, the Company is engaged in settlement negotiations with the City of Biddeford concerning the claims asserted in these actions and other matters, however, at this stage it is impossible to predict whether a settlement will be reached. After engaging in extensive settlement negotiations with the City of Saco, the Company has been notified by the City of Saco that it intends to

terminate those negotiations and to litigate its claims for breach of the Waste Handling Agreement to conclusion. In connection with the Company's merger with KTI, the Company estimated the fair market value of Maine Energy as of the date the limited partner loans are anticipated to be paid in full, and recorded a liability equal to the applicable percentage of such amount. The obligation has been estimated by the Company at \$5,314. The Company believes that the possibility of material loss in excess of this amount is remote. The Company has vigorously contested the claims asserted by the cities. The Company believes it has meritorious defenses to these claims.

On or about December 3, 2003, Maine Energy was served with a complaint filed in the United States District Court for the District of Maine. The complaint was a citizen suit under the federal Clean Air Act (CAA) and similar state law alleging (1) emissions of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in violation of its federal operating permit; (2) failure to accurately identify emissions; and (3) failure to control VOC emissions through implementation of reasonably available control technology. In addition, the complaint alleged that Maine Energy was negligent and that the subject emissions cause odors and constitute a public nuisance. The allegations related to Maine Energy's waste-to-energy facility located in Biddeford, Maine and its construction, installation and operation of a new odor control system which redirects air from tipping and processing buildings to a boiler building for treatment by three air vents. The complaint sought an unspecified amount of civil penalties, damages, injunctive relief and attorney's fees. The court allowed the City's requests to amend its complaint to assert (1) an additional CAA claim that Maine Energy filed with the Maine DEP a compliance certification for calendar year 2002 which failed to disclose required information concerning VOC emissions, and (2) an additional claim that the installation of the odor control system constituted a major modification under the Maine DEP air rules, which required Maine Energy to obtain emission offsets and to apply the most stringent level of emission control known as the Lowest Available Emission Rate or LAER. This latter amendment sought additional relief in the form of an order requiring that Maine Energy obtain emission offsets and apply LAER to emissions from its tipping and processing operations. On June 2, 2004, the City of Biddeford dismissed the subject complaint without prejudice while settlement negotiations take place. On or about May 25, 2004, Maine Energy received a revised 60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue under the CAA from the Cities of Biddeford and Saco. The Notice states that the Cities intend to refile suit under the CAA in the event that the ongoing settlement negotiations do not resolve the claims. On or about July 22, 2004 and March 28, 2005, Maine Energy received from the United States Environmental Protection Agency (the EPA) a request for information pursuant to section 114(a)(1) of the CAA, which states that the EPA is evaluating whether the Maine Energy facility is in compliance with the CAA, CAA regulations, and licenses issued under the CAA. On September 29, 2006, the EPA notified Maine Energy that the agency was not further pursuing any allegation that Maine Energy emits VOCs at levels in excess of 100 tons per year.

The New Hampshire Superior Court in Grafton County, NH (the Superior Court) ruled on February 1, 1999 that the Town of Bethlehem, NH (the Town) could not enforce an ordinance purportedly prohibiting expansion of the Company's landfill owned by its subsidiary North Country Environmental Services, Inc. (NCES), at least with respect to 51 acres of NCES's 105 acre parcel, based upon certain existing land-use approvals. As a result, NCES was able to construct and operate Stage II, Phase II of the landfill. In May 2001, the New Hampshire Supreme Court (the Supreme Court) denied the Town's appeal. Notwithstanding the Supreme Court's 2001 ruling, the Town continued to assert jurisdiction to conduct unqualified site plan review with respect to Stage III (which is within the 51 acres) and further stated that the Town's height ordinance and building permit process may apply to Stage III. On September 12, 2001, the Company filed a petition for, among other things, declaratory relief. On December 4, 2001, the Town filed an answer to the Company's petition asserting counterclaims seeking, among other things, authorization to assert site plan review over Stage III, which commenced operation in December 2000, as well as the methane gas utilization/leachate handling facility operating in connection with Stage III, and also an order declaring that an ordinance prohibiting landfills applies to Stage IV expansion. The trial on these claims was held in December 2002 and on April 24, 2003, the Grafton Superior Court upheld the Town's 1992 ordinance preventing the location or expansion of any landfill, ruling that the ordinance may be applied to any part of

Stage IV that goes beyond the 51 acres; ruling that the Town's height ordinance is valid within the 51 acres; upholding the Town's right to require Site Plan Review, except that there are certain areas within the Town's Site Plan Review regulation that are preempted; and ruling that the methane gas utilization/leachate handling facility is not subject to the Town's ordinance forbidding incinerators. On May 27, 2003, NCES appealed the Superior Court's ruling to the Supreme Court. On March 1, 2004, the Supreme Court issued an opinion affirming that NCES has all of the local approvals that it needs to operate within the 51 acres and that the Town cannot therefore require site plan review for landfill development within the 51 acres. The Supreme Court's opinion left open for further review the question of whether the Town's 1992 ordinance can prevent expansion of the facility outside the 51 acres, remanding to the Superior Court four issues, including two defenses raised by NCES as grounds for invalidating the 1992 ordinance. On April 19, 2005, the Superior Court judge granted NCES' motion for partial summary judgment, ruling that the 1992 ordinance is invalid because it distinguishes between users of land rather than uses of land, and that a state statute preempts the Town's ability to issue a building permit for the methane gas utilization/leachate handling facility to the extent the Town's regulations relate to design, installation, construction, modification or operation. After this ruling, the Town amended its counterclaim to request a declaration that another zoning ordinance it enacted in March of 2005 is lawful and prevents the expansion of the landfill outside of the 51 acres. In the fall of 2005 NCES and the Town engaged in private mediation in an effort to resolve the disputes between them, but the mediation was unsuccessful. NCES filed a motion with the court on December 15, 2005 for partial summary judgment asserting six different arguments challenging the lawfulness of the March 2005 amendment to the zoning ordinance, and the town filed a cross-motion on January 13, 2006 for partial summary judgment on the same issue. On February 13, 2006, NCES filed its objection with the Grafton Superior Court to the Town's cross-motion for summary judgment. In April 2006, the court ruled against NCES on the applicability of all six arguments challenging the lawfulness of the March 2005 ordinance and NCES filed a motion for reconsideration. On May 30, 2006, the judge issued a ruling on the motion for reconsideration, reversing her prior ruling with respect to two of the six arguments she ruled earlier to be invalid, thereby preserving such arguments for trial. Additionally, several issues related to the March 2005 amendment that were not the subject of such motions remain to be decided by a trial, in addition to the issues remanded by the Supreme Court, which include whether the Town can impose site plan review requirements outside the 51 acres, and whether the 1992 ordinance contravenes the general welfare of the community. On June 6, 2006, the Town rejected a settlement proposal from NCES at a special town meeting. A conference was held on June 30, 2006 with the judge to establish a discovery schedule and a trial date has been set for the second quarter of calendar year 2007.

On March 10, 2005, the Zoning Enforcement Officer ( ZEO ) for the Town of Hardwick, Massachusetts rendered an opinion that a portion of the current Phase II footprint of the Company's Hardwick Landfill is on land on Lot 1 that is not zoned for landfill activities. On April 7, 2005, the Company appealed the opinion to the Hardwick Zoning Board of Appeals ( ZBA ). On July 13, 2005, the ZBA denied the Company's appeal. On August 1, 2005, the Company appealed the ZBA's decision to the Massachusetts Land Court. The Company proposed a plan to implement an interim closure of the affected Lot 1 which included relocation of waste from an unlined area on Lot 2 (a lot unaffected by the decision) to the affected Lot 1. The ZEO issued a letter prohibiting the Company from relocating waste onto Lot 1. The Company appealed the ZEO decision to the ZBA and the ZBA denied the appeal on November 29, 2005. The Company appealed the ZBA decision to the Land Court and those Land Court appeals have been consolidated. On January 18, 2006, the Massachusetts Attorney General approved new general bylaw articles of the town which, among other things, prohibit the use of construction and demolition debris as grading, shaping or closure materials. Such articles may have an adverse impact on the Company's ability to relocate some or all of the waste onto the affected lot. On May 22, 2006, the ZEO issued an order ( Order ) which concluded that only a portion of the Hardwick Landfill's operations on Lot 2 is on land that is grandfathered for purposes of zoning compliance. In a May 22, 2006 letter, the ZEO clarified the Order by indicating that the portion of Lot 2 which is grandfathered is the so-called unlined area (estimated to be approximately 8.6 acres). He also reported he would not



enforce the Order pending HLI's expected appeal and resolution of any such appeal by the Hardwick Zoning Board of Appeals ( ZBA ). In June 2006, HLI and a local group opposed to the landfill each separately appealed the Order to the ZBA. In October 2006, the ZBA issued a decision in the appeal filed by the opposition group, which overturned the Order and found that only 2.2 acres of Lot 2 are grandfathered, and therefore may serve as a landfill in that zoning district. HLI appealed the ZBA decision to the Massachusetts Land Court on October 31, 2006. In December 2006, the ZBA issued a decision denying HLI's appeal of the May 2006 Order and clarification. HLI appealed that decision to the Massachusetts Land Court in late December 2006. On January 24, 2007, Hardwick held a special Town Meeting to vote on three articles, including one to create a landfill zoning district which would include Lots 1 and 2. Although the Company obtained a 54% majority, the article failed to gain the needed two-thirds approval. In February 2007, HLI suspended landfill operations, pending the outcome of the zoning appeals in the Land Court. In the event the Company exhausts its legal and other options to retain the Hardwick Landfill as a regional waste disposal resource, it will need to review this asset for a potential impairment charge. The carrying value of the landfill is approximately \$25,000.

On November 16, 2005, the Town of Ware (adjacent to Hardwick) adopted regulations restricting truck traffic in a manner that affects certain routes into the landfill. On December 20, 2005, the Company filed an action in Massachusetts Superior Court challenging the regulations and seeking a preliminary injunction. On December 30, 2005, the Massachusetts Superior Court denied the preliminary injunction. The Company filed a lawsuit in Massachusetts Superior Court seeking, among other relief, to invalidate the Ware Board of Health regulations. In addition, the Hardwick Board of Health has proposed regulations which, if adopted, will prohibit most commercial solid waste truck traffic from the road leading to the Landfill through Hardwick. The Company is monitoring the status of such proposed regulations.

On July 12, 2005, NCES received notice from the Office of the Attorney General of the State of New Hampshire that it has commenced an official investigation into allegations that asbestos was concealed in loads of construction and demolition debris from a hotel renovation, delivered to the NCES landfill by a third party, and disposed there on several occasions between 1999 and 2002. NCES has cooperated fully in the investigation. NCES is engaged in discussions with the Office of the Attorney General over the terms of a possible civil settlement regarding this matter. The Company is not able to estimate the amount of the potential settlement although the Company does not believe the outcome of this matter will have a material adverse effect on its business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

The Company offers no prediction of the outcome of these proceedings. However, there can be no guarantee that the Company will prevail or that any judgments against the Company, if sustained on appeal, will not have a material adverse effect on its business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

The Company is a defendant in certain other lawsuits alleging various claims incurred in the ordinary course of business, none of which, either individually or in the aggregate, it believes are material to its business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

## **6. ENVIRONMENTAL LIABILITIES**

The Company is subject to liability for environmental damage, including personal injury and property damage, that its solid waste, recycling and power generation facilities may cause to neighboring property owners, particularly as a result of the contamination of drinking water sources or soil, possibly including damage resulting from conditions existing before the Company acquired the facilities. The Company may also be subject to liability for similar claims arising from off-site environmental contamination caused by pollutants or hazardous substances if the Company or its predecessors arrange or arranged to transport, treat or dispose of those materials. Any substantial liability incurred by the Company arising from environmental damage could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of

operations. The Company is not presently aware of any situations that it expects would have a material adverse impact on its business, financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

## 7. EARNINGS PER SHARE

The following table sets forth the numerator and denominator used in the computation of earnings per share:

|  | Three Months<br>Ended January 31, |             | Nine Months<br>Ended January 31, |             |
|--|-----------------------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|-------------|
|  | 2006                              | 2007        | 2006                             | 2007        |
| <b>Numerator:</b>  |                                   |             |                                  |             |
| Net income (loss)  | \$ 1,287                          | \$ (845 )   | \$ 8,553                         | \$ 1,491    |
| Less: preferred stock dividends                              | (859 )                            | (902 )      | (2,563 )                         | (2,674 )    |
| Net (loss) income available to common stockholders           | \$ 428                            | \$ (1,747 ) | \$ 5,990                         | \$ (1,183 ) |
| <b>Denominator:</b>  |                                   |             |                                  |             |
| <b>Number of shares outstanding, end of period:</b>          |                                   |             |                                  |             |
| Class A common stock   | 24,091                            | 24,329      | 24,091                           | 24,329      |
| Class B common stock   | 988                               | 988         | 988                              | 988         |
| Effect of weighted average shares outstanding during period  | (60 )                             | (44 )       | (147 )                           | (60 )       |
| Weighted average number of common shares used in basic EPS   | 25,019                            | 25,273      | 24,932                           | 25,257      |
| <b>Impact of potentially dilutive securities:</b>            |                                   |             |                                  |             |
| Dilutive effect of options and contingent stock              | 394                               |             | 364                              |             |
| Weighted average number of common shares used in diluted EPS | 25,413                            | 25,273      | 25,296                           | 25,257      |

For the three and nine months ended January 31, 2006, 6,499 and 6,748 common stock equivalents related to options and redeemable convertible preferred stock, respectively, were excluded from the calculation of dilutive shares since the inclusion of such shares would be anti-dilutive.

For the three and nine months ended January 31, 2007, 7,957 and 7,312 common stock equivalents related to options and redeemable convertible preferred stock, respectively, were excluded from the calculation of dilutive shares since the inclusion of such shares would be anti-dilutive.

## 8. LONG TERM DEBT

On July 25, 2006, the Company amended its existing senior credit facility utilizing the accordion feature and borrowed an additional \$100,000 in the form of an increase of \$10,000 in the revolving facility, under terms consistent with the existing credit facility, and a senior secured term B loan in the principal amount of \$90,000. The proceeds from the issuance of the term B loan were utilized to repay outstanding revolver borrowings under the credit facility. The term B loan matures on April 28, 2010 and bears interest at LIBOR plus 2.00%, with annual principal payments of \$900 for three years, beginning July 31, 2007, with the remaining principal balance due at maturity.

## 9. COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

Comprehensive income (loss) is defined as the change in net assets of a business enterprise during a period from transactions generated from non-owner sources. It includes all changes in equity during a period except those resulting from investments by owners and distributions to owners. Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income included in the accompanying balance sheets consists of changes in the fair value of the Company's interest rate swap and commodity hedge agreements. Also included in accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income is the change in fair value of certain securities classified as available for sale as

Edgar Filing: CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

well as the Company's portion of the change in the fair value of commodity hedge agreements of the Company's equity method investment, US GreenFiber, LLC ( GreenFiber ).

Comprehensive income (loss) for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2006 and 2007 is as follows:

|                             | Three Months Ended<br>January 31, |             | Nine Months Ended<br>January 31, |          |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|----------|
|                             | 2006                              | 2007        | 2006                             | 2007     |
| Net income (loss)           | \$ 1,287                          | \$ (845 )   | \$ 8,553                         | \$ 1,491 |
| Other comprehensive loss    | (198 )                            | (708 )      | (192 )                           | (506 )   |
| Comprehensive income (loss) | \$ 1,089                          | \$ (1,553 ) | \$ 8,361                         | \$ 985   |

The components of other comprehensive loss for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2006 and 2007 are shown as follows:

|  | Three Months Ended January 31,<br>2006 |               |               | 2007        |               |            |
|--|--|---------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|------------|
|  | Gross                                  | Tax<br>effect | Net of<br>Tax | Gross       | Tax<br>effect | Net of Tax |
| Changes in fair value of marketable securities during the period                   | \$ 9                                   | \$ 3          | \$ 6          | \$ (28 )    | \$ (10 )      | \$ (18 )   |
| Change in fair value of interest rate swaps and commodity hedges during period     | 235                                    | 94            | 141           | (800 )      | (324 )        | (476 )     |
| Reclassification to earnings for interest rate swaps and commodity hedge contracts | (488 )                                 | (143 )        | (345 )        | (360 )      | (146 )        | (214 )     |
|  | \$ (244 )                              | \$ (46 )      | \$ (198 )     | \$ (1,188 ) | \$ (480 )     | \$ (708 )  |

|  | Nine Months Ended January 31,<br>2006 |               |               | 2007      |               |            |
|--|---------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|-----------|---------------|------------|
|  | Gross                                 | Tax<br>effect | Net of<br>Tax | Gross     | Tax<br>effect | Net of Tax |
| Changes in fair value of marketable securities during the period                   | \$ (77 )                              | \$ (27 )      | \$ (50 )      | \$ 108    | \$ 38         | \$ 70      |
| Change in fair value of interest rate swaps and commodity hedges during period     | 842                                   | 334           | 508           | 50        | 21            | 29         |
| Reclassification to earnings for interest rate swaps and commodity hedge contracts | (816 )                                | (166 )        | (650 )        | (1,019 )  | (414 )        | (605 )     |
|  | \$ (51 )                              | \$ 141        | \$ (192 )     | \$ (861 ) | \$ (355 )     | \$ (506 )  |

## 10. DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES

The Company's strategy to hedge against fluctuations in the commodity prices of recycled paper is to enter into hedges to mitigate the variability in cash flows generated from the sales of recycled paper at floating prices, resulting in a fixed price being received from these sales. The Company was party to twenty-three commodity hedge contracts as of January 31, 2007. These contracts expire between April 2007 and November 2008. The Company has evaluated these hedges and believes that these instruments qualify for hedge accounting pursuant to SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities* ( SFAS No. 133 ), as amended. As of January 31, 2007 the fair value of these hedges was an obligation of \$1,406, with the net amount (net of taxes of \$569) recorded as an unrealized loss in accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income.



The Company is party to three separate interest rate swap agreements with three banks for a notional amount of \$75,000, which effectively fix the interest index rate on the entire notional amount at 4.4% from May 4, 2006 through May 5, 2008. These agreements are specifically designated to interest payments under the Company's term B loan and are accounted for as effective cash flow hedges pursuant to SFAS No. 133. As of January 31, 2007, the fair value of these swaps was \$769, with the net amount (net of taxes of \$311) recorded as an unrealized gain in accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income.

On August 1, 2006, the Company entered into three separate interest rate zero-cost collars for a notional amount of \$80,000. The collars have an interest index rate cap of 6.00% and an interest index rate floor of approximately 4.48% and are effective from November 6, 2006 through May 5, 2009. These agreements are specifically designated to interest payments under the revolving credit facility and are accounted for as effective cash flow hedges pursuant to SFAS No. 133. As of January 31, 2007, the fair value of these collars was an obligation of \$27, with the net amount (net of taxes of \$11) recorded as an unrealized loss in accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income.

## 11. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

Effective May 1, 2006, the Company adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment* ( SFAS No. 123(R) ). SFAS No. 123(R) establishes accounting for stock based awards exchanged for employee services. The Company previously accounted for these awards under the recognition and measurement principles of APB Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees* ( APB 25 ) and related interpretations and disclosure requirements established by SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation* ( SFAS No. 123 ).

Under APB 25, no expense was recorded in the income statement for the Company's stock options granted at fair market value. The pro forma effects on income for stock options and the Company's employee stock purchase plan were instead disclosed in a footnote to the financial statements.

The Company adopted SFAS No. 123(R) using the modified prospective method. Under this method, all share-based compensation cost is measured at the grant date, based on the estimated fair value of the award, and is recognized as expense over the specified vesting period. Prior periods are not restated. The following table illustrates the effect on net income and earnings per share as if the Company had applied the fair-value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123 to stock options and the employee stock purchase program prior to adoption of SFAS No. 123(R).

|   | <b>Three Months<br/>Ended January<br/>31, 2006</b> | <b>Nine Months<br/>Ended January<br/>31, 2006</b> |
|---|--|---|
| Net income available to common stockholders, as reported      | \$ 428   | \$ 5,990  |
| Deduct: Total stock-based compensation expense determined     |  |   |
| under fair value based method, net                            | 441  | 1,274   |
| Net income (loss) available to common stockholders, pro forma | \$ (13 )   | \$ 4,716  |
| Basic income per common share:                                |  |   |
| As reported   | \$ 0.02  | \$ 0.24   |
| Pro forma   | \$ 0.00  | \$ 0.19   |
| Diluted income per common share:                              |  |   |
| As reported   | \$ 0.02  | \$ 0.24   |
| Pro forma   | \$ 0.00  | \$ 0.19   |

Effective March 2, 2006, the Company accelerated the vesting of all unvested stock options. As a result, stock-based compensation in periods subsequent to the acceleration is significantly reduced. The Company recognized stock-based compensation expense totaling \$39 (\$24 net of tax) related to the accelerated vesting of options previously awarded. This expense was included in General and Administration expenses in the Consolidated Statements of Operations for fiscal year 2006.

Stock-based compensation expense recognized during the three and nine months ended January 31, 2007 totaled approximately \$190 and \$511, respectively, or approximately a \$0.01 and \$0.02 per share decrease to basic and diluted net income per common share for the respective periods. Of these amounts, expense recorded with respect to stock options was \$166 and \$436 for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2007, respectively, and expense recorded with respect to the Company's employee stock purchase plan was \$24 and \$74 for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2007, respectively. This expense is included in General and Administration expenses in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. The total compensation cost at January 31, 2007 related to unvested stock options was \$2,081 and that future expense will be recognized over the remaining vesting periods of the stock options. The weighted average remaining vesting period of those awards is approximately 3.2 years.

The total tax benefit related to the exercise of stock options was approximately \$4 and \$145 during the three and nine months ended January 31, 2007, respectively. Prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R), the Company presented all tax benefits net of deductions resulting from the exercise of stock options as an operating cash flow, in accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 00-15, *Classification in the Statement of Cash Flows of the Income Tax Benefit Received by a Company upon Exercise of a Nonqualified Employee Stock Option*. SFAS No. 123(R) requires the Company to reflect the tax savings resulting from tax deductions in excess of expense reflected in its financial statements as a financing cash flow.

The Company's calculations of stock-based compensation expense for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2006 and 2007 were made using the Black-Scholes valuation model. The fair value of the Company's stock option grants was estimated assuming no expected dividend yield and the following weighted average assumptions for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2006 and 2007, as follows:

|                             | Three Months Ended<br>January 31, |           | Nine Months Ended<br>January 31, |           |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------|----------------------------------|-----------|
|                             | 2006                              | 2007      | 2006                             | 2007      |
| <b>Stock Options:</b>       |                                   |           |                                  |           |
| Expected life               |                                   |           | 5 years                          | 6 years   |
| Risk-free interest rate     |                                   |           | 3.81%                            | 5.10%     |
| Expected volatility         |                                   |           | 40.35%                           | 31.02%    |
| <b>Stock Purchase Plan:</b> |                                   |           |                                  |           |
| Expected life               | 0.5 years                         | 0.5 years | 0.5 years                        | 0.5 years |
| Risk-free interest rate     | 4.30%                             | 5.11%     | 4.13%                            | 5.10%     |
| Expected volatility         | 40.35%                            | 33.50%    | 40.35%                           | 32.87%    |

Expected life is calculated based on the weighted average historical life of the vested stock options, giving consideration to vesting schedules and historical exercise patterns. Risk-free interest rate is based on the U.S. treasury yield curve for the period of the expected life of the stock option. Expected volatility is calculated using the average of weekly historical volatility over the last one, three and six years. One and three year historical volatility is based on the weekly price changes of the Company's Class A Common Stock. The six year historical volatility is based on peer group volatility and the weekly price changes of the common stock of various other publicly traded solid waste companies.

The Black-Scholes valuation model requires extensive use of accounting judgment and financial estimation, including estimates of the expected term option holders will retain their vested stock options before exercising them, the estimated volatility of the Company's common stock price over the expected term, and the number of options that will be forfeited prior to the completion of their vesting requirements. Application of alternative assumptions could produce significantly different estimates of the fair value of stock-based compensation and consequently, the related amounts recognized in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

In January 1998, the Company implemented its Employee Stock Purchase Plan. Under this plan, qualified employees may purchase shares of Class A Common Stock by payroll deduction at a 15% discount from the market price. 600 shares of Class A Common Stock have been reserved for this purpose. As of January 31, 2007, 382 shares of Class A Common Stock were available for distribution under this plan.

During 1996, the Company adopted a stock option plan for employees, officers and directors of, and consultants and advisors to the Company. The 1996 Stock Option Plan (the "1996 Option Plan") provided for the issuance of a maximum of 918 shares of Class A Common Stock pursuant to the grant of either incentive stock options or non-statutory options. As of April 30, 2006, a total of 167 options to purchase Class A Common Stock were outstanding at a weighted average exercise price of \$14.30. As of January 31, 2007, a total of 111 options to purchase Class A common Stock were outstanding at an average exercise price of \$15.23. No further options may be granted under this plan.

On July 31, 1997, the Company adopted a stock option plan for employees, officers and directors of, and consultants and advisors to the Company. The Board of Directors has the authority to select the optionees and determine the terms of the options granted. As amended in 1998, the 1997 Stock Option Plan (the "1997 Plan") provides for the issuance of up to 5,328 shares of Class A Common Stock pursuant to the grant of either incentive stock options or non-statutory options, which includes all authorized, but unissued options under previous plans. As of April 30, 2006, options to purchase 3,056 shares of Class A Common Stock at an average exercise price of \$13.12 were outstanding under the 1997 Plan. As of January 31, 2007, options to purchase 3,416 shares of Class A Common Stock at a weighted average exercise price of \$13.18 were outstanding under the 1997 Plan. As of January 31, 2007, 438 options were available for future grant under the 1997 Plan.

Additionally, options outstanding under the assumed KTI Stock Option Plan totaled 20 and 12 at April 30, 2006 and January 31, 2007, respectively, at weighted average exercise prices of \$18.62 and \$22.54, respectively. Upon assumption of this plan, options under the KTI plan became exercisable for an equal number of shares of the Company's stock. The exercise price of the converted options was increased by 96.1% based on relative fair values of the underlying stock at the date of the KTI acquisition.

On July 31, 1997, the Company adopted a stock option plan for non-employee directors of the Company. The 1997 Non-Employee Director Stock Option Plan (the "Non-Employee Director Plan") provides for the issuance of a maximum of 200 shares of Class A Common Stock pursuant to the grant of non-statutory options. As of April 30, 2006 and January 31, 2007, options to purchase 189 shares of Class A Common Stock at a weighted average exercise price of \$11.87 were outstanding. As of April 30, 2006 and January 31, 2007, 9 options were available for future grant under the Non-Employee Director Plan.

On October 10, 2006, the Company adopted the 2006 Stock Incentive Plan (the "2006 Plan"). Up to an aggregate amount equal to the sum of: (i) 1,275 shares of Class A Common Stock (subject to adjustment in the event of stock splits and other similar events), of which 275 are reserved for issuance to non-employee directors pursuant to the formula grants described below, plus (ii) such additional number of shares of Class A Common Stock as are currently subject to options granted under the Company's 1993 Incentive Stock Option Plan, 1994 Non-statutory Stock Option Plan, 1996 Option Plan, and 1997 Plan (the "Prior

## Edgar Filing: CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

Plans ) which are not actually issued under the Prior Plans because such options expire or otherwise result in shares not being issued, may be issued pursuant to awards granted under the 2006 Plan.

The 2006 Plan is intended to replace the 1997 Plan, which expires by its terms on July 31, 2007 and the Non-Employee Director Plan. Upon the expiration of the 1997 Plan on July 31, 2007, all then outstanding options will remain in effect, but no additional option grants may be made under the 1997 Plan. As of January 31, 2007, options to purchase 45 shares of Class A Common Stock at a weighted average exercise price of \$10.22 were outstanding under the 2006 plan and 1,230 options were available for future grant.

Options granted under the plans described above generally vest over a one to four year period from the date of grant and are granted at prices at least equal to the prevailing fair market value at the issue date. In general, options are issued with a life not to exceed ten years. Shares issued by the Company upon exercise of stock options are issued from the pool of authorized shares of Class A Common Stock.

A summary of options outstanding as of April 30, 2006, and changes during the nine months ended January 31, 2007, is presented below:

|                               | Unvested<br>Shares | Vested<br>Shares | Total<br>Shares | Weighted<br>Average<br>Exercise<br>Price | Aggregate<br>Intrinsic<br>Value of<br>Vested<br>Options | Weighted<br>Average<br>Remaining<br>Term<br>(Years) |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------------|--|---|---|
| Outstanding, April 30, 2006   |                    | 3,431            | 3,431           | \$ 13.14                                 | \$ 11,206   | 5.2   |
| Granted                       | 488                | 10               | 498             | 12.91                                    |   |   |
| Forfeited                     | (4 )               | (25 )            | (29 )           | 13.93                                    |   |   |
| Exercised                     |                    | (127 )           | (127 )          | 11.19                                    |   |   |
| Outstanding, January 31, 2007 | 484                | 3,289            | 3,773           | 13.17                                    | 4,052   | 5.2   |
| Exercisable, January 31, 2007 |                    | 3,289            | 3,289           | \$ 13.22                                 | \$ 3,950  | 4.6   |

The weighted average grant date fair value per share for the stock options granted during the nine months ended January 31, 2006 and 2007 was \$4.96 and \$5.23, respectively. The total intrinsic value of stock options exercised during the three and nine month periods ended January 31, 2007 was \$11 and \$381, respectively. The total fair value of the zero and 10 stock options vested during the three and nine month periods ended January 31, 2007 was \$0 and \$64, respectively.

## 12. SEGMENT REPORTING

SFAS No. 131, *Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information*, establishes standards for reporting information about operating segments in financial statements. In general, SFAS No. 131 requires that business entities report selected information about operating segments in a manner consistent with that used for internal management reporting.

The Company classifies its operations into North Eastern, South Eastern, Central, Western and FCR Recycling. The Company's revenues in the North Eastern, South Eastern, Central and Western segments are derived mainly from one industry segment, which includes the collection, transfer, recycling and disposal of non-hazardous solid waste. The North Eastern region also includes Maine Energy, which generates electricity from non-hazardous solid waste. The Company's revenues in the FCR Recycling segment are derived from integrated waste handling services, including processing and recycling of paper, metals, aluminum, plastics and glass. Included in Other are ancillary operations, mainly major customer accounts as well as Parent assets.



|  | North Eastern<br>Region | South Eastern<br>Region | Central<br>Region | Western<br>Region | FCR<br>Recycling |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| <b>Three Months Ended January 31, 2006</b> |                         |                         |                   |                   |                  |
| Outside revenues                           | \$ 27,738               | \$ 20,692               | \$ 28,406         | \$ 24,296         | \$ 24,591        |
| Depreciation and amortization              | 4,577                   | 2,609                   | 4,104             | 3,417             | 1,314            |
| Operating income                           | 1,238                   | (2,541)                 | ) 2,719           | 976               | 3,870            |
| Total assets                               | \$ 181,111              | \$ 145,040              | \$ 140,074        | \$ 162,686        | \$ 89,004        |

|  | Other     | Total      |
|--|-----------|------------|
| <b>Three Months Ended January 31, 2006</b> |           |            |
| Outside revenues                           | \$ 4,874  | \$ 130,597 |
| Depreciation and amortization              | 504       | 16,525     |
| Operating income                           | (499)     | ) 5,763    |
| Total assets                               | \$ 84,500 | \$ 802,415 |

|  | North Eastern<br>Region | South Eastern<br>Region | Central<br>Region | Western<br>Region | FCR<br>Recycling |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| <b>Three Months Ended January 31, 2007</b> |                         |                         |                   |                   |                  |
| Outside revenues                           | \$ 28,458               | \$ 17,794               | \$ 29,019         | \$ 26,186         | \$ 25,896        |
| Depreciation and amortization              | 4,882                   | 2,179                   | 4,544             | 3,701             | 1,408            |
| Operating income                           | 777                     | (1,037)                 | ) 2,747           | 2,952             | 3,957            |
| Total assets                               | \$ 190,645              | \$ 154,336              | \$ 150,138        | \$ 168,419        | \$ 94,278        |

|  | Other     | Total      |
|--|-----------|------------|
| <b>Three Months Ended January 31, 2007</b> |           |            |
| Outside revenues                           | \$ 6,139  | \$ 133,492 |
| Depreciation and amortization              | 509       | 17,223     |
| Operating income                           | (580)     | ) 8,816    |
| Total assets                               | \$ 91,795 | \$ 849,611 |

Edgar Filing: CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

|   | North Eastern<br>Region | South Eastern<br>Region | Central<br>Region | Western<br>Region | FCR<br>Recycling |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| <b>Nine Months Ended January 31, 2006</b> |                         |                         |                   |                   |                  |
| Outside revenues                          | \$ 83,399               | \$ 69,014               | \$ 89,156         | \$ 76,636         | \$ 67,228        |
| Depreciation and amortization             | 14,004                  | 8,725                   | 11,917            | 10,003            | 3,529            |
| Operating income                          | 5,680                   | (1,553)                 | ) 12,602          | 7,489             | 9,729            |
| Total assets                              | \$ 181,111              | \$ 145,040              | \$ 140,074        | \$ 162,686        | \$ 89,004        |

|   | Other     | Total      |
|---|-----------|------------|
| <b>Nine Months Ended January 31, 2006</b> |           |            |
| Outside revenues                          | \$ 13,959 | \$ 399,392 |
| Depreciation and amortization             | 1,394     | 49,572     |
| Operating income                          | (1,456)   | ) 32,491   |
| Total assets                              | \$ 84,500 | \$ 802,415 |

|   | North Eastern<br>Region | South Eastern<br>Region | Central<br>Region | Western<br>Region | FCR<br>Recycling |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| <b>Nine Months Ended January 31, 2007</b> |                         |                         |                   |                   |                  |
| Outside revenues                          | \$ 89,501               | \$ 62,263               | \$ 97,275         | \$ 83,612         | \$ 74,081        |
| Depreciation and amortization             | 14,325                  | 7,771                   | 14,889            | 11,729            | 4,209            |
| Operating income                          | 5,584                   | (2,101)                 | ) 11,317          | 8,930             | 10,250           |
| Total assets                              | \$ 190,645              | \$ 154,336              | \$ 150,138        | \$ 168,419        | \$ 94,278        |

|   | Other     | Total      |
|---|-----------|------------|
| <b>Nine Months Ended January 31, 2007</b> |           |            |
| Outside revenues                          | \$ 18,096 | \$ 424,828 |
| Depreciation and amortization             | 1,534     | 54,457     |
| Operating income                          | (1,904)   | ) 32,076   |
| Total assets                              | \$ 91,795 | \$ 849,611 |

Amounts of the Company's total revenue attributable to services provided are as follows:

|                              | Three Months Ended<br>January 31, |            | Nine Months Ended<br>January 31, |            |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------|----------------------------------|------------|
|                              | 2006                              | 2007       | 2006                             | 2007       |
| Collection                   | \$ 61,310                         | \$ 62,478  | \$ 192,729                       | \$ 199,748 |
| Landfill/disposal facilities | 24,167                            | 24,183     | 73,928                           | 82,590     |
| Transfer                     | 10,713                            | 9,255      | 34,275                           | 33,200     |
| Recycling                    | 34,407                            | 37,576     | 98,460                           | 109,290    |
| Total revenues               | \$ 130,597                        | \$ 133,492 | \$ 399,392                       | \$ 424,828 |

### 13. INVESTMENTS IN UNCONSOLIDATED ENTITIES

The Company entered into an agreement in July 2000 with Louisiana-Pacific Corporation to combine their respective cellulose insulation businesses into a single operating entity, GreenFiber, under a joint venture agreement effective August 1, 2000. The Company's investment in GreenFiber amounted to \$30,899 and \$33,653 at April 30, 2006 and January 31, 2007, respectively. The Company accounts for its 50% ownership in GreenFiber under the equity method of accounting.

Summarized financial information for GreenFiber is as follows:



|                        | April 30,<br>2006 | January 31,<br>2007 |
|------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Current assets         | \$ 29,975         | \$ 27,250           |
| Noncurrent assets      | 68,669            | 72,803              |
| Current liabilities    | 23,551            | 18,681              |
| Noncurrent liabilities | \$ 13,295         | \$ 14,066           |

|              | Three Months Ended<br>January 31, |           | Nine Months Ended<br>January 31, |            |
|--------------|-----------------------------------|-----------|----------------------------------|------------|
|              | 2006                              | 2007      | 2006                             | 2007       |
| Revenue      | \$ 57,484                         | \$ 48,999 | \$ 132,022                       | \$ 145,525 |
| Gross profit | 14,236                            | 12,134    | 30,395                           | 36,184     |
| Net income   | \$ 6,632                          | \$ 2,634  | \$ 9,524                         | \$ 5,418   |

The Company purchased membership interests, representing a 34.6% interest, in RecycleBank LLC ( RecycleBank ), a company which markets an incentive-based recycling service that gives homeowners credits for recycling which can be used with participating merchants. This investment is accounted for as an equity method investment.

#### 14. NET ASSETS UNDER CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATION

Effective June 30, 2003, the Company transferred its domestic brokerage operations, as well as a commercial recycling business to former employees who had been responsible for managing those businesses.

Consideration for the transaction was in the form of two notes receivable amounting up to \$6,925. These notes are payable within twelve years of the anniversary date of the transaction, to the extent of free cash flow generated from the operations.

Effective August 1, 2005, the Company transferred a certain Canadian recycling operation to a former employee who had been responsible for managing that business. Consideration for this transaction was in the form of a note receivable amounting up to \$1,313, which is payable within six years of the anniversary date of the transaction to the extent of free cash flow generated from the operations.

The Company has not accounted for these transactions as sales based on an assessment that the risks and other incidents of ownership have not sufficiently transferred to the buyers. The net assets of the operations are disclosed in the balance sheet as net assets under contractual obligation , and are being reduced as payments are made.

Net assets under contractual obligation amounted to \$937 and \$88 at April 30, 2006 and January 31, 2007, respectively.

#### 15. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The Company's senior subordinated notes due 2013 are guaranteed jointly and severally, fully and unconditionally, by the Company's significant wholly-owned subsidiaries. The Parent is the issuer and non-guarantor of the senior subordinated notes. The information which follows presents the condensed consolidating financial position as of April 30, 2006 and January 31, 2007, and the condensed consolidating results of operations for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2006 and 2007 and the condensed consolidating statements of cash flows for the nine months ended January 31, 2006 and 2007 of (a) the Parent company only, (b) the combined guarantors ( the Guarantors ), each of which is 100% wholly-owned by the parent, (c) the combined non-guarantors ( the Non-Guarantors ), (d) eliminating entries and (e) the Company on a consolidated basis.

## CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEET

AS OF APRIL 30, 2006

(in thousands, except for share and per share data)

| ASSETS  | Parent      | Guarantors | Non-Guarantors | Elimination | Consolidated |
|---|-------------|------------|----------------|-------------|--------------|
| <b>CURRENT ASSETS:</b>  |             |            |                |             |              |
| Cash and cash equivalents   | \$ (3,840 ) | \$ 10,747  | \$ 522         | \$          | \$ 7,429     |
| Accounts receivable - trade, net of allowance for doubtful accounts   | 35          | 55,641     | 620            | (27 )       | 56,269       |
| Deferred taxes  | 4,029       |            | 1,005          |             | 5,034        |
| Other current assets  | 2,456       | 7,863      |                | (77 )       | 10,242       |
| Total current assets  | 2,680       | 74,251     | 2,147          | (104 )      | 78,974       |
| Property, plant and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation and amortization   | 3,252       | 478,725    | (693 )         |             | 481,284      |
| Goodwill  |             | 171,258    |                |             | 171,258      |
| Restricted cash   | 5,469       | 3          | 12,415         |             | 17,887       |
| Investment in subsidiaries  | 1,189       |            |                | (1,189 )    |              |
| Assets under contractual obligation   |             | 937        |                |             | 937          |
| Other non-current assets  | 27,467      | 37,563     | 120            | (4,379 )    | 60,771       |
|   | 37,377      | 688,486    | 11,842         | (5,568 )    | 732,137      |
| Intercompany receivable   | 656,623     | (657,153 ) | (3,849 )       | 4,379       |              |
|   | \$ 696,680  | \$ 105,584 | \$ 10,140      | \$ (1,293 ) | \$ 811,111   |
| <b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY</b>  |             |            |                |             |              |
| <b>CURRENT LIABILITIES:</b>   |             |            |                |             |              |
| Current maturities of long term debt  | \$          | \$ 527     | \$             | \$          | \$ 527       |
| Current maturities of capital lease obligations   | 121         | 940        |                |             | 1,061        |
| Accounts payable  | 2,227       | 43,996     | 245            | (104 )      | 46,364       |
| Accrued payroll and related expenses  | 1,413       | 5,376      | 29             |             | 6,818        |
| Accrued interest  | 6,648       | 2          |                |             | 6,650        |
| Accrued income taxes  | 200         |            |                |             | 200          |
| Other current liabilities   | 5,688       | 13,612     | 13,845         |             | 33,145       |
| Total current liabilities   | 16,297      | 64,453     | 14,119         | (104 )      | 94,765       |
| Long-term debt, less current maturities   | 451,824     | 896        |                |             | 452,720      |
| Deferred income taxes   | 6,957       |            |                |             | 6,957        |
| Other long-term liabilities   | 1,682       | 33,372     | 1,695          |             | 36,749       |
| <b>COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES</b>  |             |            |                |             |              |
| Series A redeemable, convertible preferred stock, authorized - 55,750, issued and outstanding - 53,000, liquidation preference of \$1,000 per share plus accrued but unpaid dividends | 70,430      |            |                |             | 70,430       |
| <b>STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY:</b>   |             |            |                |             |              |
| Class A common stock - Authorized - 100,000,000 shares, \$0.01 par value; issued and outstanding - 24,185,000 shares  | 242         | 101        | 100            | (201 )      | 242          |
| Class B common stock - Authorized - 1,000,000 shares, \$0.01 par value, 10 votes per share, issued and outstanding - 988,000 shares   | 10          |            |                |             | 10           |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income  | 159         | 91         | (122 )         | 31          | 159          |
| Additional paid-in capital  | 274,297     | 48,360     | 2,743          | (51,103 )   | 274,297      |
| Accumulated deficit   | (125,218 )  | (41,689 )  | (8,395 )       | 50,084      | (125,218 )   |
| Total stockholders equity   | 149,490     | 6,863      | (5,674 )       | (1,189 )    | 149,490      |

---

|    |         |    |         |    |        |    |         |     |         |
|----|---------|----|---------|----|--------|----|---------|-----|---------|
| \$ | 696,680 | \$ | 105,584 | \$ | 10,140 | \$ | (1,293) | )\$ | 811,111 |
|----|---------|----|---------|----|--------|----|---------|-----|---------|

## CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEET

AS OF JANUARY 31, 2007

(Unaudited)

(in thousands, except for share and per share data)

| ASSETS  | Parent     | Guarantors  | Non-Guarantors | Elimination | Consolidated |
|---|------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|--------------|
| <b>CURRENT ASSETS:</b>  |            |             |                |             |              |
| Cash and cash equivalents   | \$ (1,844) | ) \$ 12,377 | \$ 1,396       | \$          | \$ 11,929    |
| Accounts receivable - trade, net of allowance for doubtful accounts             | 33         | 57,667      | 166            | (27)        | ) 57,839     |
| Refundable income taxes   | 181        |             |                |             | 181          |
| Deferred taxes  | 9,635      |             | 967            |             | 10,602       |
| Other current assets  | 3,995      | 8,690       |                |             | 12,685       |
| Total current assets  | 12,000     | 78,734      | 2,529          | (27)        | ) 93,236     |
| Property, plant and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation and amortization | 2,826      | 504,447     | (476)          | )           | 506,797      |
| Goodwill  |            | 172,731     |                |             | 172,731      |
| Restricted cash   |            | 4           | 12,514         |             | 12,518       |
| Investment in subsidiaries  | 15,107     |             |                | (15,107)    | )            |
| Assets under contractual obligation   |            | 88          |                |             | 88           |
| Other non-current assets  | 28,336     | 40,164      | 120            | (4,379)     | ) 64,241     |
|   | 46,269     | 717,434     | 12,158         | (19,486)    | ) 756,375    |
| Intercompany receivable   | 682,405    | (680,673)   | ) (6,111)      | ) 4,379     |              |
|   | \$ 740,674 | \$ 115,495  | \$ 8,576       | \$ (15,134) | ) \$ 849,611 |

| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY                     | Parent  | Guarantors | Non-Guarantors | Elimination | Consolidated |
|---|---------|------------|----------------|-------------|--------------|
| <b>CURRENT LIABILITIES:</b>                             |         |            |                |             |              |
| Current maturities of long term debt                    | \$ 900  | \$ 232     | \$             | \$          | \$ 1,132     |
| Accounts payable  | 1,330   | 39,901     | 112            | (27)        | ) 41,316     |
| Accrued interest  | 14,065  | 2          |                |             | 14,067       |
| Accrued closure and post-closure costs, current portion |         | 2,869      | 560            |             | 3,429        |
| Other current liabilities                               | 7,076   | 18,649     | 9,709          |             | 35,434       |
| Total current liabilities                               | 23,371  | 61,653     | 10,381         | (27)        | ) 95,378     |
| Long-term debt, less current maturities                 | 478,819 | 551        |                |             | 479,370      |
| Capital lease obligations, less current maturities      | 32      | 893        |                |             | 925          |
| Deferred income taxes                                   | 13,457  |            |                |             | 13,457       |
| Other long-term liabilities                             | 1,525   | 33,259     | 2,227          |             | 37,011       |

## COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Series A redeemable, convertible preferred stock, authorized - 55,750, issued and outstanding - 53,000, liquidation preference of \$1,000 per share plus accrued but unpaid dividends

|        |  |        |
|--------|--|--------|
| 73,104 |  | 73,104 |
|--------|--|--------|

## STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY:

Class A common stock -

Authorized - 100,000,000 shares, \$0.01 par value; issued and outstanding - 24,329,000 shares

|     |     |     |       |       |
|-----|-----|-----|-------|-------|
| 243 | 101 | 100 | (201) | ) 243 |
|-----|-----|-----|-------|-------|

Class B common stock -

Authorized - 1,000,000 shares, \$0.01 par value, 10 votes per share, issued and outstanding - 988,000 shares

|    |  |  |  |    |
|----|--|--|--|----|
| 10 |  |  |  | 10 |
|----|--|--|--|----|

Edgar Filing: CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

|  |            |            |          |            |              |   |
|--|------------|------------|----------|------------|--------------|---|
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | (347       | ) 100      | (51      | ) (49      | ) (347       | ) |
| Additional paid-in capital             | 274,187    | 46,978     | 3,543    | (50,521    | ) 274,187    |   |
| Accumulated deficit                    | (123,727   | ) (28,040  | ) (7,624 | ) 35,664   | (123,727     | ) |
| Total stockholders' equity             | 150,366    | 19,139     | (4,032   | ) (15,107  | ) 150,366    |   |
|  | \$ 740,674 | \$ 115,495 | \$ 8,576 | \$ (15,134 | ) \$ 849,611 |   |

24



**CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

**THREE MONTHS ENDED JANUARY 31, 2006**

(Unaudited)

(in thousands)

|  | Parent  | Guarantors | Non-Guarantors | Elimination  | Consolidated |
|--|---------|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|
| Revenues   | \$      | \$ 130,408 | \$ 1,975       | \$ (1,786)   | ) \$ 130,597 |
| Operating expenses:                                |         |            |                |              |              |
| Cost of operations                                 | 3       | 88,483     | 2,334          | (1,786)      | ) 89,034     |
| General and administration                         | (105)   | ) 17,847   | 204            |              | 17,946       |
| Depreciation and amortization                      | 440     | 16,044     | 41             |              | 16,525       |
| Deferred costs                                     |         | 1,329      |                |              | 1,329        |
|  | 338     | 123,703    | 2,579          | (1,786)      | ) 124,834    |
| Operating income (loss)                            | (338)   | ) 6,705    | (604)          | )            | 5,763        |
| Other expense/(income), net:                       |         |            |                |              |              |
| Interest income                                    | (6,693) | ) (90)     | ) (116)        | ) 6,691      | (208)        |
| Interest expense                                   | 9,136   | 5,942      | 9              | (6,691)      | ) 8,396      |
| (Income) loss from equity method investments       | (3,750) | ) (3,319)  | )              | 3,750        | (3,319)      |
| Other income                                       | (1,453) | ) (88)     | )              |              | (1,541)      |
| Other expense/(income), net                        | (2,760) | ) 2,445    | (107)          | ) 3,750      | 3,328        |
| Income (loss) before income taxes                  | 2,422   | 4,260      | (497)          | ) (3,750)    | ) 2,435      |
| Provision for income taxes                         | 1,135   |            | 13             |              | 1,148        |
| Net income (loss)                                  | 1,287   | 4,260      | (510)          | ) (3,750)    | ) 1,287      |
| Preferred stock dividend                           | 859     |            |                |              | 859          |
| Net income (loss) available to common stockholders | \$ 428  | \$ 4,260   | \$ (510)       | ) \$ (3,750) | ) \$ 428     |

**CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

**THREE MONTHS ENDED JANUARY 31, 2007**

(Unaudited)

(in thousands)

|                               | Parent  | Guarantors | Non-Guarantors | Elimination | Consolidated |
|-------------------------------|---------|------------|----------------|-------------|--------------|
| Revenues                      | \$      | \$ 133,492 | \$ 2,878       | \$ (2,878)  | ) \$ 133,492 |
| Operating expenses:           |         |            |                |             |              |
| Cost of operations            | 957     | 89,642     | 2,079          | (2,878)     | ) 89,800     |
| General and administration    | (76)    | ) 17,699   | 30             |             | 17,653       |
| Depreciation and amortization | 442     | 16,781     |                |             | 17,223       |
|                               | 1,323   | 124,122    | 2,109          | (2,878)     | ) 124,676    |
| Operating income (loss)       | (1,323) | ) 9,370    | 769            |             | 8,816        |
| Other expense/(income), net:  |         |            |                |             |              |
| Interest income               | (9,453) | ) (136)    | ) (150)        | ) 9,426     | (313)        |
| Interest expense              | 11,009  | 8,669      | 71             | (9,426)     | ) 10,323     |

Edgar Filing: CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

|  |           |            |        |           |             |   |
|--|-----------|------------|--------|-----------|-------------|---|
| (Income) loss from equity method investments       | (2,363    | ) (1,311   | )      | 2,686     | (988        | ) |
| Other income                                       | (13       | ) (37      | )      |           | (50         | ) |
| Other expense/(income), net                        | (820      | ) 7,185    | (79    | ) 2,686   | 8,972       | ) |
| (Loss) income before income taxes                  | (503      | ) 2,185    | 848    | (2,686    | ) (156      | ) |
| Provision for income taxes                         | 342       |            | 347    |           | 689         | ) |
| Net (loss) income                                  | (845      | ) 2,185    | 501    | (2,686    | ) (845      | ) |
| Preferred stock dividend                           | 902       |            |        |           | 902         | ) |
| Net (loss) income available to common stockholders | \$ (1,747 | ) \$ 2,185 | \$ 501 | \$ (2,686 | ) \$ (1,747 | ) |

25

## CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

NINE MONTHS ENDED JANUARY 31, 2006

(Unaudited)

(in thousands)

|  | Parent   | Guarantors | Non Guarantors | Elimination | Consolidated |
|--|----------|------------|----------------|-------------|--------------|
| Revenues   | \$       | \$ 397,491 | \$ 8,141       | \$ (6,240)  | ) \$ 399,392 |
| Operating expenses:                                |          |            |                |             |              |
| Cost of operations                                 | 9        | 261,496    | 7,439          | (6,240)     | ) 262,704    |
| General and administration                         | (207)    | ) 52,886   | 617            |             | ) 53,296     |
| Depreciation and amortization                      | 1,206    | 48,103     | 263            |             | ) 49,572     |
| Deferred costs                                     |          | 1,329      |                |             | ) 1,329      |
|  | 1,008    | 363,814    | 8,319          | (6,240)     | ) 366,901    |
| Operating income (loss)                            | (1,008)  | ) 33,677   | (178)          | )           | ) 32,491     |
| Other expense/(income), net:                       |          |            |                |             |              |
| Interest income                                    | (21,696) | ) (214)    | ) (335)        | ) 21,686    | ) (559)      |
| Interest expense                                   | 25,731   | 19,830     | 43             | (21,686)    | ) 23,918     |
| (Income) loss from equity method investments       | (19,075) | ) (4,762)  | )              | 19,075      | ) (4,762)    |
| Other income                                       | (1,495)  | ) (169)    | )              |             | ) (1,664)    |
| Other expense/(income), net                        | (16,535) | ) 14,685   | (292)          | ) 19,075    | ) 16,933     |
| Income (loss) before income taxes                  |          |            |                |             |              |
|  | 15,527   | 18,992     | 114            | (19,075)    | ) 15,558     |
| Provision for income taxes                         | 6,974    |            | 31             |             | ) 7,005      |
| Net income (loss)                                  | 8,553    | 18,992     | 83             | (19,075)    | ) 8,553      |
| Preferred stock dividend                           | 2,563    |            |                |             | ) 2,563      |
| Net income (loss) available to common stockholders | \$ 5,990 | \$ 18,992  | \$ 83          | \$ (19,075) | ) \$ 5,990   |

## CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

NINE MONTHS ENDED JANUARY 31, 2007

(Unaudited)

(in thousands)

|                               | Parent  | Guarantors | Non Guarantors | Elimination | Consolidated |
|-------------------------------|---------|------------|----------------|-------------|--------------|
| Revenues                      | \$      | \$ 422,749 | \$ 8,811       | \$ (6,732)  | ) \$ 424,828 |
| Operating expenses:           |         |            |                |             |              |
| Cost of operations            | 970     | 278,944    | 6,535          | (6,732)     | ) 279,717    |
| General and administration    | 65      | 58,090     | 423            |             | ) 58,578     |
| Depreciation and amortization | 1,338   | 52,195     | 924            |             | ) 54,457     |
|                               | 2,373   | 389,229    | 7,882          | (6,732)     | ) 392,752    |
| Operating income (loss)       | (2,373) | ) 33,520   | 929            |             | ) 32,076     |

Edgar Filing: CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

|  |         |        |        |    |        |   |         |         |        |
|--|---------|--------|--------|----|--------|---|---------|---------|--------|
| Other expense/(income), net:                       |         |        |        |    |        |   |         |         |        |
| Interest income                                    | (27,676 | )      | (365   | )  | (427   | ) | 27,558  | (910    | )      |
| Interest expense                                   | 32,168  |        | 25,488 |    | 136    |   | (27,558 | )       | 30,234 |
| (Income) loss from equity method investments       | (11,339 | )      | (2,702 | )  |        |   | 12,063  | (1,978  | )      |
| Other income                                       | (247    | )      | (104   | )  |        |   |         | (351    | )      |
| Other expense/(income), net                        | (7,094  | )      | 22,317 |    | (291   | ) | 12,063  | 26,995  |        |
| Income (loss) before income taxes                  |         |        |        |    |        |   |         |         |        |
|  | 4,721   |        | 11,203 |    | 1,220  |   | (12,063 | )       | 5,081  |
| Provision for income taxes                         | 3,230   |        |        |    | 360    |   |         | 3,590   |        |
| Net income (loss)                                  | 1,491   |        | 11,203 |    | 860    |   | (12,063 | )       | 1,491  |
| Preferred stock dividend                           | 2,674   |        |        |    |        |   |         | 2,674   |        |
| Net (loss) income available to common stockholders | \$      | (1,183 | )      | \$ | 11,203 |   | \$      | 860     |        |
|  |         |        |        |    |        |   | \$      | (12,063 | )      |
|  |         |        |        |    |        |   |         | \$      | (1,183 |
|  |         |        |        |    |        |   |         |         | )      |

26

**CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**  
**NINE MONTHS ENDED JANUARY 31, 2006**

(Unaudited)

(in thousands)

|  | Parent     | Guarantors | Non Guarantors | Elimination | Consolidated |
|--|------------|------------|----------------|-------------|--------------|
| Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Operating             |            |            |                |             |              |
| Activities   | \$ (5,914) | \$ 67,428  | \$ 929         | \$          | \$ 62,443    |
| Cash Flows from Investing Activities:                |            |            |                |             |              |
| Acquisitions, net of cash acquired                   |            | (19,226)   | )              |             | (19,226)     |
| Additions to property, plant and equipment           |            | (36,552)   | )              |             | (36,552)     |
| growth   |            | (1,283)    | (49,889)       | (436)       | (51,608)     |
| maintenance  |            | (8,450)    | )              |             | (8,450)      |
| Payments on landfill operating lease contracts       |            | (3,000)    | 1,537          |             | (1,463)      |
| Other  |            | (4,283)    | (112,580)      | (436)       | (117,299)    |
| Net Cash Used In Investing Activities                |            |            |                |             |              |
| Cash Flows from Financing Activities:                |            |            |                |             |              |
| Proceeds from long-term borrowings                   | 158,908    | 825        |                |             | 159,733      |
| Principal payments on long-term debt                 | (103,476)  | (1,105)    | )              |             | (104,581)    |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options              | 1,151      |            |                |             | 1,151        |
| Intercompany borrowings                              | (47,411)   | 48,236     | (825)          | )           |              |
| Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Financing             |            |            |                |             |              |
| Activities   | 9,172      | 47,956     | (825)          | )           | 56,303       |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | (1,025)    | 2,804      | (332)          | )           | 1,447        |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of              |            |            |                |             |              |
| period   | (2,383)    | 10,146     | 815            |             | 8,578        |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period             | \$ (3,408) | \$ 12,950  | \$ 483         | \$          | \$ 10,025    |

**CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**  
**NINE MONTHS ENDED JANUARY 31, 2007**

(Unaudited)

(in thousands)

|  | Parent   | Guarantors | Non Guarantors | Elimination | Consolidated |
|--|----------|------------|----------------|-------------|--------------|
|  | \$ 2,402 | \$ 54,359  | \$ (958)       | \$          | \$ 55,803    |

Edgar Filing: CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

|  |            |          |           |           |
|--|------------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Operating       |            |          |           |           |
| Activities                                     |            |          |           |           |
| Cash Flows from Investing Activities:          |            |          |           |           |
| Acquisitions, net of cash acquired             | (2,087)    | )        |           | (2,087)   |
| Additions to property, plant and equipment     |            |          |           |           |
| growth   | (25,757)   | )        |           | (25,757)  |
| maintenance                                    | (910)      | )        | (50,545)  | (1,137)   |
| Payments on landfill operating lease contracts | (4,500)    | )        |           | (4,500)   |
| Restricted cash from revenue bond issuance     | 5,535      |          |           | 5,535     |
| Other  | (2,328)    | )        | 2,218     | (110)     |
| Net Cash (Used In) Provided by Investing       |            |          |           |           |
| Activities                                     | 2,297      | (80,671) | (1,137)   | (79,511)  |
| Cash Flows from Financing Activities:          |            |          |           |           |
| Proceeds from long-term borrowings             | 239,950    |          |           | 239,950   |
| Principal payments on long-term debt           | (212,160)  | )        | (1,299)   | (213,459) |
| Other  | 1,717      |          |           | 1,717     |
| Intercompany borrowings                        | (32,210)   | )        | 29,241    | 2,969     |
| Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Financing       |            |          |           |           |
| Activities                                     | (2,703)    | )        | 27,942    | 2,969     |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents      |            |          |           |           |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 1,996      |          | 1,630     | 874       |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period       | (3,840)    | )        | 10,747    | 522       |
|  | \$ (1,844) | )        | \$ 12,377 | \$ 1,396  |
|  |            |          |           | \$ 11,929 |

## ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the unaudited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included under Item 1. In addition, reference should be made to the Company's audited Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto and related Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations appearing in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended April 30, 2006.

### Company Overview

Casella Waste Systems, Inc., together with its subsidiaries, is a vertically integrated regional solid waste services company that provides collection, transfer, disposal and recycling services to residential, industrial and commercial customers, primarily throughout the eastern region of the United States. As of February 28, 2007, the Company owned and/or operated nine Subtitle D landfills, two landfills permitted to accept construction and demolition materials, 39 solid waste collection operations, 33 transfer stations, 39 recycling facilities and one waste-to-energy facility, as well as a 50% interest in a joint venture that manufactures, markets and sells cellulose insulation made from recycled fiber and a 34.6% interest in a company that markets an incentive based recycling service.

The Company's revenues increased from \$130.6 million for the quarter ended January 31, 2006 to \$133.5 million for the quarter ended January 31, 2007. From May 1, 2002 through April 30, 2006, the Company acquired 45 solid waste collection, transfer, disposal and recycling operations. Between May 1, 2006 and January 31, 2007 the Company acquired 11 solid waste hauling operations. Under the rules of purchase accounting, the acquired companies' revenues and results of operations have been included from the date of acquisition and affect the period-to-period comparisons of the Company's historical results of operations.

On January 29, 2007 the Company announced that a zoning amendment it proposed for its Hardwick, Massachusetts landfill failed to gain approval by the town. As a result, the landfill, which has a carrying value of approximately \$25.0 million, was ordered closed on February 4, 2007. The Company is pursuing legal options to keep the landfill operating as a regional waste disposal resource. The company has filed several appeals of decisions made by the Hardwick Zoning Board of Appeals which remain pending in Massachusetts Land Court. In the event the Company exhausts its legal and other options to retain the Hardwick Landfill as a regional waste disposal resource, the Company will need to review this asset for a potential impairment charge.

### Forward Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and, in particular, this management discussion and analysis contain or incorporate a number of forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended and Section 21E of the Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), including statements regarding:

- expected future revenues, operations, expenditures and cash needs;
- fluctuations in the commodity pricing of the Company's recyclables, increases in landfill tipping fees and fuel costs, and general economic and weather conditions;
- projected future obligations related to capping, closure and post-closure costs of the Company's existing landfills and any disposal facilities which the Company may own or operate in the future;

- the projected development of additional disposal capacity;
- estimates of the potential markets for the Company's products and services, including the anticipated drivers for future growth;
- sales and marketing plans;
- potential business combinations; and
- projected improvements to the Company's infrastructure and impact of such improvements on the Company's business and operations.

In addition, any statements contained in or incorporated by reference into this report that are not statements of historical fact should be considered forward-looking statements. You can identify these forward-looking statements by the use of the words *believes*, *expects*, *anticipates*, *plans*, *may*, *will*, *would*, *intends*, *estimates* and other similar expressions, whether in the negative or affirmative. These forward-looking statements are based on current expectations, estimates, forecasts and projections about the industry and markets in which the Company operates as well as management's beliefs and assumptions, and should be read in conjunction with the Company's consolidated financial statements and notes to consolidated financial statements included in this report. The Company cannot guarantee that the Company actually will achieve the plans, intentions or expectations disclosed in the forward-looking statements made. There are a number of important risks and uncertainties that could cause the Company's actual results to differ materially from those indicated by such forward-looking statements. These risks and uncertainties include, without limitation, those detailed in Item 1A, *Risk Factors* in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended April 30, 2006. The Company does not intend to update publicly any forward-looking statements whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as otherwise required by law.

## General

### *Revenues*

The Company's revenues in the North Eastern, South Eastern, Central and Western regions are attributable primarily to fees charged to customers for solid waste disposal and collection, landfill, waste-to-energy, transfer and recycling services. The Company derives a substantial portion of its collection revenues from commercial, industrial and municipal services that are generally performed under service agreements or pursuant to contracts with municipalities. The majority of the Company's residential collection services are performed on a subscription basis with individual households. Landfill, waste-to-energy facility and transfer customers are charged a tipping fee on a per ton basis for disposing of their solid waste at the Company's disposal facilities and transfer stations. The majority of the Company's disposal and transfer customers are under one to ten year disposal contracts, with most having clauses for annual cost of living increases. Recycling revenues, which are included in FCR and the Central and Western regions, consist of revenues from the sale of recyclable commodities and operations and maintenance contracts of recycling facilities for municipal customers.

In the *Other* segment, the Company has ancillary revenues comprising major customer accounts and earnings from equity method investees. The Company's cellulose insulation business is conducted through a 50/50 joint venture with Louisiana-Pacific, US GreenFiber LLC (*GreenFiber*). The Company purchased membership interests, representing a 34.6% interest, in RecycleBank LLC (*RecycleBank*), a company which markets an incentive-based recycling service that gives homeowners credits for recycling which can be used with participating merchants.



The Company's revenues are shown net of inter-company eliminations. The Company typically establishes its inter-company transfer pricing based upon prevailing market rates. The table below shows, for the periods indicated, the percentages and dollars of revenue attributable to services provided.

Despite an increase in the absolute dollar amounts, collection revenues as a percentage of total revenues in the nine months ended January 31, 2007 were lower compared to the prior year, mainly because of the increase in landfill and recycling revenues. Overall, the dollar increase in collection revenues in the three and nine months ended January 31, 2007, compared to the prior year, was due to the positive impact of acquisitions in the Central, Western and North Eastern regions and price increases throughout the solid waste segment. These increases were partially offset by lower collection volumes, with the most significant impact coming from the South Eastern region. The South Eastern region collection volumes declined as a result of overall market declines in construction activity. The Central region collection volumes were down slightly in the three months ended January 31, 2007 mainly due to reductions in rolloff/industrial services because of economic and construction slowdowns in core markets. Transfer volumes in the South Eastern and Central regions were also impacted by these market conditions, which mainly accounted for the decrease in transfer revenues in the three and nine-months ended January 31, 2007 compared to the prior year.

Landfill/disposal revenues as a percentage of total revenues increased in the nine months ended January 31, 2007 primarily due to the addition of the Chemung landfill in the Western region and the Colebrook closure project in the Central region. Landfill price increases came from the North Eastern and Western regions in the nine months ended January 31, 2007, however, the price increases were partially offset by lower volumes in the South Eastern and North Eastern regions.

Recycling revenues are primarily from recycling facilities in the FCR region. The increase in recycling revenue dollars for the three and nine months ended January 31, 2007 is primarily attributable to higher volumes and commodity prices from the Company's existing facilities. The dollar increase in the nine months ended January 31, 2007 was also due in part to the acquisition of Blue Mountain Recycling which included two recycling facilities and a small recyclable material transfer station.

|                                | Three Months Ended January 31, |        |            |        | Nine Months Ended January 31, |        |            |        |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------|------------|--------|-------------------------------|--------|------------|--------|
|                                | 2006                           |        | 2007       |        | 2006                          |        | 2007       |        |
| Collection                     | \$ 61,310                      | 46.9%  | \$ 62,478  | 46.8%  | \$ 192,729                    | 48.3%  | \$ 199,748 | 47.0%  |
| Landfill / disposal facilities | 24,167                         | 18.5%  | 24,183     | 18.1%  | 73,928                        | 18.5%  | 82,590     | 19.4%  |
| Transfer                       | 10,713                         | 8.2%   | 9,255      | 6.9%   | 34,275                        | 8.6%   | 33,200     | 7.8%   |
| Recycling                      | 34,407                         | 26.4%  | 37,576     | 28.2%  | 98,460                        | 24.6%  | 109,290    | 25.8%  |
| Total revenues                 | \$ 130,597                     | 100.0% | \$ 133,492 | 100.0% | \$ 399,392                    | 100.0% | \$ 424,828 | 100.0% |

#### *Operating Expenses*

Cost of operations includes labor, tipping fees paid to third-party disposal facilities, fuel, maintenance and repair of vehicles and equipment, worker's compensation and vehicle insurance, the cost of purchasing materials to be recycled, third party transportation expense, district and state taxes, host community fees and royalties. Cost of operations also includes accretion expense related to landfill capping, closure and post closure, leachate treatment and disposal costs and depletion of landfill operating lease obligations.

General and administration expenses include management, clerical and administrative compensation and overhead, professional services and costs associated with marketing, sales force and community relations efforts.

Depreciation and amortization expense includes depreciation of fixed assets over the estimated useful life of the assets using the straight-line method, amortization of landfill airspace assets under the units-of-consumption method, and the amortization of intangible assets (other than goodwill) using the straight-line

method. In accordance with SFAS No. 143, *Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations*, except for accretion expense, the Company amortizes landfill retirement assets through a charge to cost of operations using a straight-line rate per ton as landfill airspace is utilized. The amount of landfill amortization expense related to airspace consumption can vary materially from landfill to landfill depending upon the purchase price and landfill site and cell development costs. The Company depreciates all fixed and intangible assets, other than goodwill, to a zero net book value, and does not apply a salvage value to any fixed assets.

The Company capitalizes certain direct landfill development costs, such as engineering, permitting, legal, construction and other costs associated directly with the expansion of existing landfills. Additionally, the Company also capitalizes certain third party expenditures related to pending acquisitions, such as legal and engineering costs. The Company routinely evaluates all such capitalized costs, and expenses those costs related to projects not likely to be successful. Internal and indirect landfill development and acquisition costs, such as executive and corporate overhead, public relations and other corporate services, are expensed as incurred.

The Company will have material financial obligations relating to capping, closure and post-closure costs of its existing landfills and any disposal facilities which it may own or operate in the future. The Company has provided, and will in the future provide, accruals for these future financial obligations based on engineering estimates of consumption of permitted landfill airspace over the useful life of any such landfill. There can be no assurance that the Company's financial obligations for capping, closure or post-closure costs will not exceed the amount accrued and reserved or amounts otherwise receivable pursuant to trust funds.

## Results of Operations

The following table sets forth for the periods indicated the percentage relationship that certain items from the Company's consolidated financial statements bear in relation to revenues.

|                                       | Three Months Ended<br>January 31,<br>2006 |   | Nine Months Ended<br>January 31,<br>2006 |    | Three Months Ended<br>January 31,<br>2007 |   | Nine Months Ended<br>January 31,<br>2007 |   |
|---------------------------------------|---|---|--|----|---|---|--|---|
|                                       |   | % |  | %  |   | % |  | % |
| Revenues                              | 100.0                                     | % | 100.0                                    | %  | 100.0                                     | % | 100.0                                    | % |
| Cost of operations                    | 68.2                                      |   | 67.3                                     |    | 65.8                                      |   | 65.8                                     |   |
| General and administration            | 13.7                                      |   | 13.2                                     |    | 13.3                                      |   | 13.8                                     |   |
| Depreciation and amortization         | 12.7                                      |   | 12.9                                     |    | 12.4                                      |   | 12.8                                     |   |
| Deferred costs                        | 1.0                                       |   | 0.0                                      |    | 0.3                                       |   | 0.0                                      |   |
| Operating income                      | 4.4                                       |   | 6.6                                      |    | 8.2                                       |   | 7.6                                      |   |
| Interest expense, net                 | 6.3                                       |   | 7.5                                      |    | 5.8                                       |   | 6.9                                      |   |
| Income from equity method investments | (2.5)                                     | ) | (0.7)                                    | )  | (1.2)                                     | ) | (0.5)                                    | ) |
| Other income                          | (1.2)                                     | ) | 0.0                                      |    | (0.4)                                     | ) | (0.1)                                    | ) |
| Provision for income taxes            | 0.9                                       |   | 0.5                                      |    | 1.8                                       |   | 0.8                                      |   |
| Net income (loss)                     | 0.9                                       | % | (0.7)                                    | %) | 2.2                                       | % | 0.5                                      | % |

### Three months ended January 31, 2007 versus January 31, 2006

*Revenues* - Revenues increased \$2.9 million, or 2.2% to \$133.5 million in the quarter ended January 31, 2007 from \$130.6 million in the quarter ended January 31, 2006. Revenues from the rollover effect of acquired businesses accounted for \$1.6 million of the increase, including tuck-in hauling acquisitions in the Central, Western and North Eastern regions and Colebrook, a newly acquired landfill closure project in the Central region. Excluding the rollover effect of acquisitions, the FCR region revenue increased \$1.3 million in the quarter ended January 31, 2007 compared to the quarter ended January 31, 2006 mainly due to higher volumes.



*Cost of operations* - Cost of operations increased \$0.8 million or 0.9% to \$89.8 million in the quarter ended January 31, 2007 from \$89.0 million in the quarter ended January 31, 2006. Cost of operations as a percentage of revenues decreased to 67.3% in the quarter ended January 31, 2007 from 68.2% in the prior year comparable period. The percentage decrease in cost of operations expense is primarily due to lower third party disposal costs, lower maintenance and direct operating costs.

*General and administration* - General and administration expenses decreased \$0.3 million, or 1.7% to \$17.6 million in the quarter ended January 31, 2007 from \$17.9 million in the quarter ended January 31, 2006, and decreased to 13.2% from 13.7% as a percentage of revenues. The percentage decrease in general and administration expenses was due primarily to lower compensation, consulting costs and audit costs.

*Depreciation and amortization* - Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$0.7 million, or 4.2%, to \$17.2 million in the quarter ended January 31, 2007 from \$16.5 million in the quarter ended January 31, 2006. Depreciation expense increased by \$0.5 million between periods due to the effect of capital additions. Landfill amortization expense increased by \$0.4 million primarily due to the startup of the Colebrook closure project in the Central region, partially offset by a decrease due to lower volumes at the Worcester closure project. Depreciation and amortization expense as a percentage of revenues increased to 12.9% for the quarter ended January 31, 2007 from 12.7% for the prior year comparable period.

*Deferred costs*. As further time is required before the project is restarted, a charge of \$1.3 million was recorded in the quarter ended January 31, 2006 to write-off the development costs incurred in pursuit of a contract to develop and operate the Town of Templeton, Massachusetts sanitary landfill.

*Operating income* - Operating income increased by \$3.0 million, or 51.7%, to \$8.8 million in the quarter ended January 31, 2007 from \$5.8 million in the quarter ended January 31, 2006 and increased to 6.6% as a percentage of revenues in the quarter ended January 31, 2007 from 4.4% for the quarter ended January 31, 2006. Despite a decrease in revenues, the South Eastern region's operating income increased year over year due primarily due to the write-off of development costs relating to the Templeton landfill taken in the prior year, as described above. The Western region's operating income increased in the quarter ended January 31, 2007 due to higher revenue levels as result of increased pricing and acquisition effect, while operating costs were down slightly year over year. The Central and FCR region's operating income remained relatively consistent with the prior year. The North Eastern region's operating income decreased year over year primarily due to higher landfill operating costs as well as interruptions in power production at the Maine Energy waste to energy facility due to boiler repairs.

*Interest expense, net* - Net interest expense increased \$1.8 million, or 22.0% to \$10.0 million in the quarter ended January 31, 2007 from \$8.2 million in the quarter ended January 31, 2006. This increase is attributable to higher average interest rates and higher debt levels in the quarter ended January 31, 2007 compared to the prior year comparable period. Net interest expense, as a percentage of revenues, increased to 7.5% in the quarter ended January 31, 2007 from 6.3% in the quarter ended January 31, 2006.

*Income from equity method investments* - The income from equity method investment in the quarter ended January 31, 2007 relates to the Company's 50% joint venture interest in GreenFiber and the Company's 34.6% interest in RecycleBank. GreenFiber reported income of which the Company's share was \$1.3 million in the quarter ended January 31, 2007, compared to income of \$3.3 million in the quarter ended January 31, 2006. GreenFiber revenue and income were down in the quarter ended January 31, 2007 due to a slowdown in new home construction, higher fiber prices and unseasonably warm weather. RecycleBank reported a loss for the quarter ended January 31, 2007, of which the Company's share was \$0.3 million.

*Other income* - Other income in the quarter ended January 31, 2007 was \$0.1 million compared to \$1.5 million in the quarter ended January 31, 2006. Other income in the quarter ended January 31, 2006 consisted

32

---

primarily of a gain on the sale of Sterling Construction, Inc. (formerly Oakhurst Company, Inc.) warrants in the amount of \$1.2 million. At the time of sale, there was no book value associated with these warrants as they had been previously written off.

*Provision for income taxes* - Provision for income taxes decreased \$0.4 million to \$0.7 million in the quarter ended January 31, 2007 from \$1.1 million in the quarter ended January 31, 2006. The effective tax rate changed to 442.3% in the quarter ended January 31, 2007 from 47.1% in the quarter ended January 31, 2006 primarily due to lower pre-tax book income. The high rate in the current period was due mainly to a lower level of book income and the add back of non-deductible items, including non-deductible stock option expense.

**Nine months ended January 31, 2007 versus January 31, 2006**

*Revenues* - Revenues increased \$25.4 million, or 6.4% to \$424.8 million in the nine months ended January 31, 2007 from \$399.4 million in the nine months ended January 31, 2006. Revenues from the rollover effect of acquired businesses accounted for \$14.2 million of the increase, including tuck-in hauling acquisitions in the Central, Western and North Eastern regions, a newly acquired landfill closure project in the Central region, the acquisition of three recycling facilities and a small recyclable material transfer station in the FCR region and the new Chemung contract to operate a landfill and transfer station in the Western region. The effect of acquisitions was partially offset by \$0.5 million as a result of the transfer of a Canadian recycling operation to a former employee. The revenue increase is also attributable to an increase in solid waste million, due to higher prices, accounting for \$9.4 million, partially offset by decrease of \$1.4 million primarily due to lower volumes in the Central, Western and South Eastern regions. The South Eastern region reductions in volume were partially offset by revenues from the true-up of the Brockton closure project. Excluding the rollover effect of acquisitions, FCR revenue increased \$3.7 million in the nine months ended January 31, 2007 compared to the nine months ended January 31, 2006 due to increases in volume and commodity pricing.

*Cost of operations* - Cost of operations increased \$17.0 million, or 6.5% to \$279.7 million in the nine months ended January 31, 2007 from \$262.7 million in the nine months ended January 31, 2006. Cost of operations as a percentage of revenues remained constant at 65.8 % in the nine months ended January 31, 2007 and 2006.

*General and administration* - General and administration expenses increased \$5.3 million, or 9.9% to \$58.6 million in the nine months ended January 31, 2007 from \$53.3 million in the nine months ended January 31, 2006, and increased as a percentage of revenues to 13.8% in the nine months ended January 31, 2007 from 13.3% in the nine months ended January 31, 2006. The dollar increase in general and administration expenses was due primarily to higher compensation which includes the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R), legal, and bad debt allowances.

*Depreciation and amortization* - Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$4.9 million, or 9.9%, to \$54.5 million in the nine months ended January 31, 2007 from \$49.6 million in the nine months ended January 31, 2006. Depreciation expense increased by \$2.5 million between periods due to capital additions. Landfill amortization expense increased by \$2.7 million primarily due to the startup of the Colebrook closure project in the Central region and the true up of the Brockton closure project in the South Eastern region, partially offset by a decrease due to lower volumes at the Worcester closure project. Depreciation and amortization expense as a percentage of revenue increased to 12.8% for the nine months ended January 31, 2007 from 12.4% for the nine months ended January 31, 2006.

*Deferred costs.* As further time is required before the project is restarted, a charge of \$1.3 million was recorded in the nine months ended January 31, 2006 to write-off the development costs incurred in pursuit of a contract to develop and operate the Town of Templeton, Massachusetts sanitary landfill.

*Operating income* - Operating income decreased slightly by \$0.4 million, or 1.2%, to \$32.1 million in the nine months ended January 31, 2007 from \$32.5 million in the nine months ended January 31, 2006 and decreased as a percentage of revenues to 7.6% in the nine months ended January 31, 2007 from 8.1% in the nine months ended January 31, 2006. All regions reported an increase in revenues year over year; however operating income for the solid waste regions was relatively flat as higher revenues were offset by higher operating costs as described above. FCR's operating income increased in the nine months ended January 31, 2007 compared to the prior year mainly due to the effect of acquisitions, higher volumes and commodity prices.

*Interest expense, net* - Net interest expense increased \$5.9 million, or 25.2% to \$29.3 million in the nine months ended January 31, 2007 from \$23.4 million in the nine months ended January 31, 2006. This increase is attributable to higher average interest rates along with higher debt levels in the nine months ended January 31, 2007 compared to the prior year period. Net interest expense, as a percentage of revenues, increased to 6.9% for the nine months ended January 31, 2007 from 5.8% for the nine months ended January 31, 2006.

*Income from equity method investments* - The income from equity method investments for the nine months ended January 31, 2007, relates to the Company's interests in GreenFiber and RecycleBank. GreenFiber reported income of which the Company's share was \$2.7 million in the nine months ended January 31, 2007, compared to \$4.8 million for the nine months ended January 31, 2006. GreenFiber revenue and income were down for the nine months ended January 31, 2007 due to a slowdown in new home construction, higher fiber prices and unseasonably warm weather. RecycleBank reported a loss for the nine months ended January 31, 2007, of which the Company's share was \$0.7 million.

*Other income* - Other income for the nine months ended January 31, 2007 amounted to \$0.3 million compared to \$1.7 million in the nine months ended January 31, 2006. Other income for the nine months ended January 31, 2007 consisted primarily of a dividend from the Company's investment in Evergreen. Other income in the nine months ended January 31, 2006 consisted primarily of a gain on the sale of Sterling Construction, Inc. (formerly Oakhurst Company, Inc.) warrants in the amount of \$1.2 million. At the time of sale, there was no book value associated with these warrants as they had been previously written off.

*Provision for income taxes* - Provision for income taxes decreased \$3.4 million in the nine months ended January 31, 2007 to \$3.6 million from \$7.0 million in the nine months ended January 31, 2006. The effective tax rate increased to 70.7% in the nine months ended January 31, 2007 from 45.0% in the nine months ended January 31, 2006 primarily due to lower pre-tax book income. The high rate in the current period was due mainly to a lower level of book income and the add back of non-deductible items, including non-deductible stock option expense. The tax rate for the remainder of the year is likely to be volatile, since it is sensitive to changes in pre-tax book income.

#### **Liquidity and Capital Resources**



## Edgar Filing: CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

The Company's business is capital intensive. The Company's capital requirements include acquisitions, fixed asset purchases and capital expenditures for landfill development and cell construction, as well as site and cell closure. The Company's capital expenditures are broadly defined as pertaining to either growth or maintenance activities. Growth capital expenditures are defined as costs related to development of new airspace, permit expansions, new recycling contracts along with incremental costs of equipment and infrastructure added to further such activities. Growth capital expenditures include the cost of equipment added directly as a result of new business, as well as expenditures associated with increasing infrastructure to increase throughput at transfer stations and recycling facilities. Growth capital expenditures also include those outlays associated with acquiring landfill operating leases, which do not meet the operating lease payment definition, but which were included as a commitment in the successful bid. Maintenance capital

34

---

expenditures are defined as landfill cell construction costs not related to expansion airspace, costs for normal permit renewals and replacement costs for equipment due to age or obsolescence.

The Company had a net working capital deficit of \$14.1 million and \$23.2 million at January 31, 2007 and April 30, 2006, respectively. Net working capital comprises current assets, excluding cash and cash equivalents, minus current liabilities. The increase in net working capital at January 31, 2007 was due to a higher current deferred income tax asset position due to projected utilization of loss carryforwards along with lower accounts payable associated with lower general business levels partially offset by higher accrued interest associated primarily with the Company's senior notes.

On April 29, 2005, the Company entered into a senior credit facility with a group of banks for which Bank of America, N.A. is acting as agent. The facility consists of a senior secured credit facility in the amount of \$450.0 million, including a revolving credit facility of \$360.0 million and a term B loan in the amount of \$90.0 million. This credit facility is secured by all of the Company's assets, including the Company's interest in the equity securities of the Company's subsidiaries. The senior credit facility matures on April 28 2010. There are required annual principal payments on the term B loan of \$0.9 million for three years, beginning July 31, 2007, with the remaining principal due at maturity. The Company was in compliance with all covenants at January 31, 2007.

Further advances were available under the revolver in the amount of \$139.2 million and \$65.4 million as of January 31, 2007 and April 30, 2006, respectively. These available amounts are net of outstanding irrevocable letters of credit totaling \$55.6 million and \$57.7 million as of January 31, 2007 and April 30, 2006, respectively, at which dates no amounts had been drawn.

The Company is party to three separate interest rate swap agreements with three banks for a notional amount of \$75.0 million, which effectively fix the interest index rate on the entire notional amount at 4.4% from May 4, 2006 through May 5, 2008. These agreements are specifically designated to interest payments under the Company's term B loan and are accounted for as effective cash flow hedges pursuant to SFAS No. 133.

On August 1, 2006, the Company entered into three separate interest rate zero-cost collars ( Collars ) for a notional amount of \$80.0 million. The Collars have an interest index rate cap of 6.00% and an interest index rate floor of approximately 4.48% and are effective from November 6, 2006 through May 5, 2009. These agreements are specifically designated to interest payments under the revolving credit facility and are accounted for as effective cash flow hedges pursuant to SFAS No. 133.

As of January 31, 2007, the Company had outstanding \$195.0 million of 9.75% senior subordinated notes (the Notes ) which mature in January 2013. The Notes contain covenants that restrict dividends, stock repurchases and other payments, and limit the incurrence of debt and issuance of preferred stock. The Notes are guaranteed jointly and severally, fully and unconditionally by the Company's significant wholly-owned subsidiaries.

On December 28, 2005, the Company completed a \$25.0 million financing transaction involving the issuance by the Finance Authority of Maine of \$25.0 million aggregate principal amount of its Solid Waste Disposal Revenue Bonds Series 2005 (the Bonds ) which mature in January 2025. The Bonds are issued pursuant to an indenture, dated as of December 1, 2005 and are enhanced by an irrevocable, transferable direct-pay letter of credit issued by Bank of America, N.A. Pursuant to a Financing Agreement, dated as of December 1, 2005, the Company has borrowed the proceeds of the Bonds to pay for certain costs relating to equipment acquisition for solid waste collection and transportation services, all located in Maine.

Net cash provided by operating activities amounted to \$55.8 million for the nine months ended January 31, 2007 compared to \$62.4 million for the same period of the prior fiscal year. A decrease in net income of \$7.1 million in the nine months ended January 31, 2007 compared to the nine months ended January 31, 2006 was offset by higher depreciation and amortization expense of \$4.9 million and higher depletion of

landfill lease obligations of \$0.9 million for the nine months ended January 31, 2007 compared to the same period of the prior fiscal year. Depreciation expense increased by \$2.2 million for the nine months ended January 31, 2007 compared to the prior year comparable period due to capital additions. Landfill amortization expense increased by \$2.7 million primarily due to the startup of the Colebrook closure project in the Central region and the true up of the Brockton closure project in the South Eastern region, partially offset by a decrease due to lower volumes at the Worcester closure project. Deferred taxes decreased \$3.5 million for the nine months ended January 31, 2007. Changes in assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisitions and divestitures, decreased \$2.8 million for the nine months ended January 31, 2007 compared to the nine months ended January 31, 2006. Changes in accounts receivable amounted to a \$1.4 million decrease for the nine months ended January 31, 2007 compared to a decrease of \$3.3 million in the prior year comparable period. The decrease in accounts payable during the nine months ended January 31, 2007 amounted to a \$5.0 million decrease compared with a decrease of \$3.9 million in the prior year comparable period. The decrease is due to lower accounts payable at January 31, 2007 versus the prior year related to the timing of capital and other expenditures. Changes in other assets and liabilities amounted to a \$2.5 million increase for the nine months ended January 31, 2007 compared to a \$6.0 million increase for the nine months ended January 31, 2006. The decrease of \$3.5 million from the prior year is due primarily to the following: (1) lower accruals in the nine months ended January 31, 2007 associated primarily with various capital projects and other accruals resulting in a \$3.5 million decrease, (2) reductions associated with higher net refundable income taxes amounting to \$2.2 million decrease in the nine months ended January 31, 2007 compared to the nine months ended January 31, 2006 partially offset by (3) lower payroll accruals at April 30, 2006 associated with year end bonus accruals amounting to a \$1.9 million increase as well as (4) higher accrued interest associated with higher debt levels and interest rates amounting to \$0.3 million.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$79.5 million for the nine months ended January 31, 2007 compared to \$117.3 million used in investing activities in the same period of the prior fiscal year. The decrease in cash used in investing activities was due to (1) higher acquisition activity in the nine months ended January 31, 2006 when the Company acquired the entire membership interest in Blue Mountain Recycling, LLC, amounting to a \$17.1 million reduction in acquisition activity in the nine months ended January 31, 2007, (2) lower capital expenditures in the nine months ended January 31, 2007 amounting to \$9.8 million, (3) lower payments on landfill operating lease contracts amounting to a \$3.9 million reduction as the Company made initial payments associated with the Chemung County landfill in the prior period and (4) the result of \$5.5 million in funds becoming available from escrow associated with the Company's revenue bonds during the nine months ended January 31, 2007.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$28.2 million for the nine months ended January 31, 2007 compared to \$56.3 million in the same period of the prior fiscal year. The decrease in cash provided by financing activities is primarily due to lower net borrowings to fund investing activities in the current period. The term B loan proceeds were used to pay down the revolver for no net change in total borrowings.

The Company generally meets liquidity needs from operating cash flow and its senior credit facility. These liquidity needs are primarily for capital expenditures for vehicles, containers and landfill development, debt service costs and capping, closure and post-closure expenditures and acquisitions. It is the Company's intention to continue to grow organically and through acquisitions.

The Company's leverage may further increase as the Company may be required to redeem its outstanding Series A redeemable preferred stock on August 11, 2007, if it is not otherwise repurchased by the Company or converted by the holder prior to that time. The aggregate redemption price is expected to be approximately \$75.1 million. The Company would need to incur more debt or raise equity to effect this redemption.

The Company has filed a universal shelf registration statement with the SEC. The Company could from time to time issue securities thereunder in an amount of up to \$250.0 million. However, the Company's ability and willingness to issue securities pursuant to this registration statement will depend on market conditions at

the time of any such desired offering and therefore the Company may not be able to issue such securities on favorable terms, if at all.

**Inflation and Prevailing Economic Conditions**

To date, inflation has not had a significant impact on the Company's operations. Consistent with industry practice, most of the Company's contracts provide for a pass-through of certain costs, including increases in landfill tipping fees and, in some cases, fuel costs. The Company therefore believes it should be able to implement price increases sufficient to offset most cost increases resulting from inflation. However, competitive factors may require the Company to absorb at least a portion of these cost increases, particularly during periods of high inflation.

The Company's business is located mainly in the eastern United States. Therefore, the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations are susceptible to downturns in the general economy in this geographic region and other factors affecting the region, such as state regulations and severe weather conditions. The Company is unable to forecast or determine the timing and /or the future impact of a sustained economic slowdown.

**ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

**Interest rate volatility**

## Edgar Filing: CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

The Company had interest rate risk relating to approximately \$125.2 million of long-term debt at January 31, 2007. The interest rate on the variable rate portion of long-term debt was approximately 7.49% at January 31, 2007. Should the average interest rate on the variable rate portion of long-term debt change by 100 basis points, it would have an approximate interest expense change of \$0.3 million for the quarter reported.

The remainder of the Company's long-term debt is at fixed rates and not subject to interest rate risk.

### **Commodity price volatility**

## Edgar Filing: CASELLA WASTE SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q

The Company is subject to commodity price fluctuations related to the portion of its sales of recyclable commodities that are not under floor or flat pricing arrangements. As of January 31, 2007, to minimize the Company's commodity exposure, the Company was party to twenty-three commodity hedging agreements. If commodity prices were to have changed by 10% in the quarter ended January 31, 2007, the impact on the Company's operating income is estimated at \$1.5 million, without considering the Company's hedging agreements. The effect of the hedge position would reduce the impact by approximately \$0.2 million. The Company does not use financial instruments for trading purposes and is not a party to any leveraged derivatives.

### ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

a) *Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures.* The Company's management, with the participation of its chief executive officer and chief financial officer, evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as of January 31, 2007. The term "disclosure controls and procedures," as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act"), means controls and other procedures of a company that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and

37

---

communicated to the company's management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives and management necessarily applies its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. Based on the evaluation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as of January 31, 2007, the Company's chief executive officer and chief financial officer have concluded that, as of such date, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level.

b) *Changes in internal controls.* No change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) occurred during the fiscal quarter ended January 31, 2007 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

38

---

## PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

### ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

On January 10, 2002, the City of Biddeford, Maine filed a lawsuit in York County Superior Court in Maine alleging breach of the waste handling agreement among the Biddeford-Saco Waste Handling Committee, the cities of Biddeford and Saco, Maine and the Company's subsidiary Maine Energy for (1) failure to pay certain residual cancellation payments in connection with the Company's merger with KTI and (2) processing amounts of waste above contractual limits without notice to the City. On May 3, 2002, the City of Saco filed a lawsuit in York County Superior Court against the Company, Maine Energy and other subsidiaries. The complaint in that action, which was amended by the City of Saco on July 22, 2002, alleges breaches of the 1991 waste handling agreement for failure to pay the residual cancellation payment, which Saco alleges is due as a result of, among other things, (1) the Company's merger with KTI and (2) Maine Energy's failure to pay off certain limited partner loans in accordance with the terms of the agreement. The complaint also seeks damages for breach of contract and a court order requiring the Company to provide an accounting of all transactions since May 3, 1996 involving transfers of assets to or for the benefit of the equity owners of Maine Energy. The litigation brought by the Cities of Biddeford and Saco is currently in the discovery phase. Simultaneously, the Company is engaged in settlement negotiations with the City of Biddeford concerning the claims asserted in these actions and other matters, however, at this stage it is impossible to predict whether a settlement will be reached. After engaging in extensive settlement negotiations with the City of Saco, the Company has been notified by the City of Saco that it intends to terminate those negotiations and to litigate its claims for breach of the Waste Handling Agreement to conclusion. In connection with the Company's merger with KTI, the Company estimated the fair market value of Maine Energy as of the date the limited partner loans are anticipated to be paid in full, and recorded a liability equal to the applicable percentage of such amount. The obligation has been estimated by the Company at \$5.3 million. The Company believes that the possibility of material loss in excess of this amount is remote. The Company has vigorously contested the claims asserted by the cities. The Company believes it has meritorious defenses to these claims.

On or about December 3, 2003, Maine Energy was served with a complaint filed in the United States District Court for the District of Maine. The complaint was a citizen suit under the federal Clean Air Act (CAA) and similar state law alleging (1) emissions of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in violation of its federal operating permit; (2) failure to accurately identify emissions; and (3) failure to control VOC emissions through implementation of reasonably available control technology. In addition, the complaint alleged that Maine Energy was negligent and that the subject emissions cause odors and constitute a public nuisance. The allegations related to Maine Energy's waste-to-energy facility located in Biddeford, Maine and its construction, installation and operation of a new odor control system which redirects air from tipping and processing buildings to a boiler building for treatment by three air vents. The complaint sought an unspecified amount of civil penalties, damages, injunctive relief and attorney's fees. The court allowed the City's requests to amend its complaint to assert (1) an additional CAA claim that Maine Energy filed with the Maine DEP a compliance certification for calendar year 2002 which failed to disclose required information concerning VOC emissions, and (2) an additional claim that the installation of the odor control system constituted a major modification under the Maine DEP air rules, which required Maine Energy to obtain emission offsets and to apply the most stringent level of emission control known as the Lowest Available Emission Rate or LAER. This latter amendment sought additional relief in the form of an order requiring that Maine Energy obtain emission offsets and apply LAER to emissions from its tipping and processing operations. On June 2, 2004, the City of Biddeford dismissed the subject complaint without prejudice while settlement negotiations take place. On or about May 25, 2004, Maine Energy received a revised 60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue under the CAA from the Cities of Biddeford and Saco. The Notice states that the Cities intend to refile suit under the CAA in the event that the ongoing settlement negotiations do not resolve the claims. On or about July 22, 2004 and March 28, 2005, Maine Energy received from the



United States Environmental Protection Agency (the EPA) a request for information pursuant to section 114(a)(1) of the CAA, which states that the EPA is evaluating whether the Maine Energy facility is in compliance with the CAA, CAA regulations, and licenses issued under the CAA. On September 29, 2006, the EPA notified Maine Energy that the agency was not further pursuing any allegation that Maine Energy emits VOCs at levels in excess of 100 tons per year.

The New Hampshire Superior Court in Grafton County, NH (the Superior Court) ruled on February 1, 1999 that the Town of Bethlehem, NH (the Town) could not enforce an ordinance purportedly prohibiting expansion of the Company's landfill owned by its subsidiary North Country Environmental Services, Inc. (NCES), at least with respect to 51 acres of NCES's 105 acre parcel, based upon certain existing land-use approvals. As a result, NCES was able to construct and operate Stage II, Phase II of the landfill. In May 2001, the New Hampshire Supreme Court (the Supreme Court) denied the Town's appeal. Notwithstanding the Supreme Court's 2001 ruling, the Town continued to assert jurisdiction to conduct unqualified site plan review with respect to Stage III (which is within the 51 acres) and further stated that the Town's height ordinance and building permit process may apply to Stage III. On September 12, 2001, the Company filed a petition for, among other things, declaratory relief. On December 4, 2001, the Town filed an answer to the Company's petition asserting counterclaims seeking, among other things, authorization to assert site plan review over Stage III, which commenced operation in December 2000, as well as the methane gas utilization/leachate handling facility operating in connection with Stage III, and also an order declaring that an ordinance prohibiting landfills applies to Stage IV expansion. The trial on these claims was held in December 2002 and on April 24, 2003, the Grafton Superior Court upheld the Town's 1992 ordinance preventing the location or expansion of any landfill, ruling that the ordinance may be applied to any part of Stage IV that goes beyond the 51 acres; ruling that the Town's height ordinance is valid within the 51 acres; upholding the Town's right to require Site Plan Review, except that there are certain areas within the Town's Site Plan Review regulation that are preempted; and ruling that the methane gas utilization/leachate handling facility is not subject to the Town's ordinance forbidding incinerators. On May 27, 2003, NCES appealed the Superior Court's ruling to the Supreme Court. On March 1, 2004, the Supreme Court issued an opinion affirming that NCES has all of the local approvals that it needs to operate within the 51 acres and that the Town cannot therefore require site plan review for landfill development within the 51 acres. The Supreme Court's opinion left open for further review the question of whether the Town's 1992 ordinance can prevent expansion of the facility outside the 51 acres, remanding to the Superior Court four issues, including two defenses raised by NCES as grounds for invalidating the 1992 ordinance. On April 19, 2005, the Superior Court judge granted NCES' motion for partial summary judgment, ruling that the 1992 ordinance is invalid because it distinguishes between users of land rather than uses of land, and that a state statute preempts the Town's ability to issue a building permit for the methane gas utilization/leachate handling facility to the extent the Town's regulations relate to design, installation, construction, modification or operation. After this ruling, the Town amended its counterclaim to request a declaration that another zoning ordinance it enacted in March of 2005 is lawful and prevents the expansion of the landfill outside of the 51 acres. In the fall of 2005 NCES and the Town engaged in private mediation in an effort to resolve the disputes between them, but the mediation was unsuccessful. NCES filed a motion with the court on December 15, 2005 for partial summary judgment asserting six different arguments challenging the lawfulness of the March 2005 amendment to the zoning ordinance, and the town filed a cross-motion on January 13, 2006 for partial summary judgment on the same issue. On February 13, 2006, NCES filed its objection with the Grafton Superior Court to the Town's cross-motion for summary judgment. In April 2006, the court ruled against NCES on the applicability of all six arguments challenging the lawfulness of the March 2005 ordinance and NCES filed a motion for reconsideration. On May 30, 2006, the judge issued a ruling on the motion for reconsideration, reversing her prior ruling with respect to two of the six arguments she ruled earlier to be invalid, thereby preserving such arguments for trial. Additionally, several issues related to the March 2005 amendment that were not the subject of such motions remain to be decided by a trial, in addition to the issues remanded by the Supreme Court, which include whether the Town can impose site plan review requirements outside the 51 acres, and whether the 1992 ordinance contravenes the general welfare of the community. On June 6, 2006, the Town rejected a settlement proposal from NCES at a special town meeting. A conference

was held on June 30, 2006 with the judge to establish a discovery schedule and a trial date has been set for the second quarter of calendar year 2007.

On March 10, 2005, the Zoning Enforcement Officer ( ZEO ) for the Town of Hardwick, Massachusetts rendered an opinion that a portion of the current Phase II footprint of the Company s Hardwick Landfill is on land on Lot 1 that is not zoned for landfill activities. On April 7, 2005, the Company appealed the opinion to the Hardwick Zoning Board of Appeals ( ZBA ). On July 13, 2005, the ZBA denied the Company s appeal. On August 1, 2005, the Company appealed the ZBA s decision to the Massachusetts Land Court. The Company proposed a plan to implement an interim closure of the affected Lot 1 which included relocation of waste from an unlined area on Lot 2 (a lot unaffected by the decision) to the affected Lot 1. The ZEO issued a letter prohibiting the Company from relocating waste onto Lot 1. The Company appealed the ZEO decision to the ZBA and the ZBA denied the appeal on November 29, 2005. The Company appealed the ZBA decision to the Land Court and those Land Court appeals have been consolidated. On January 18, 2006, the Massachusetts Attorney General approved new general bylaw articles of the town which, among other things, prohibit the use of construction and demolition debris as grading, shaping or closure materials. Such articles may have an adverse impact on the Company s ability to relocate some or all of the waste onto the affected lot. On May 22, 2006, the ZEO issued an order ( Order ) which concluded that only a portion of the Hardwick Landfill s operations on Lot 2 is on land that is grandfathered for purposes of zoning compliance. In a May 22, 2006 letter, the ZEO clarified the Order by indicating that the portion of Lot 2 which is grandfathered is the so-called unlined area (estimated to be approximately 8.6 acres). He also reported he would not enforce the Order pending HLI s expected appeal and resolution of any such appeal by the Hardwick Zoning Board of Appeals ( ZBA ). In June 2006, HLI and a local group opposed to the landfill each separately appealed the Order to the ZBA. In October 2006, the ZBA issued a decision in the appeal filed by the opposition group, which overturned the Order and found that only 2.2 acres of Lot 2 are grandfathered, and therefore may serve as a landfill in that zoning district. HLI appealed the ZBA decision to the Massachusetts Land Court on October 31, 2006. In December 2006, the ZBA issued a decision denying HLI s appeal of the May 2006 Order and clarification. HLI appealed that decision to the Massachusetts Land Court in late December 2006. On January 24, 2007, Hardwick held a special Town Meeting to vote on three articles, including one to create a landfill zoning district which would include Lots 1 and 2. Although the Company obtained a 54% majority, the article failed to gain the needed two-thirds approval. In February 2007, HLI suspended landfill operations, pending the outcome of the zoning appeals in the Land Court. In the event the Company exhausts its legal and other options to retain the Hardwick Landfill as a regional waste disposal resource, it will need to review this asset for a potential impairment charge. The carrying value of the landfill is approximately \$25.0 million.

On November 16, 2005, the Town of Ware (adjacent to Hardwick) adopted regulations restricting truck traffic in a manner that affects certain routes into the landfill. On December 20, 2005, the Company filed an action in Massachusetts Superior Court challenging the regulations and seeking a preliminary injunction. On December 30, 2005, the Massachusetts Superior Court denied the preliminary injunction. The Company filed a lawsuit in Massachusetts Superior Court seeking, among other relief, to invalidate the Ware Board of Health regulations. In addition, the Hardwick Board of Health has proposed regulations which, if adopted, will prohibit most commercial solid waste truck traffic from the road leading to the Landfill through Hardwick. The Company is monitoring the status of such proposed regulations.

On July 12, 2005, NCES received notice from the Office of the Attorney General of the State of New Hampshire that it has commenced an official investigation into allegations that asbestos was concealed in loads of construction and demolition debris from a hotel renovation, delivered to the NCES landfill by a third party, and disposed there on several occasions between 1999 and 2002. NCES has cooperated fully in the investigation. NCES is engaged in discussions with the Office of the Attorney General over the terms of a

possible civil settlement regarding this matter. The Company is not able to estimate the amount of the potential settlement although the Company does not believe the outcome of this matter will have a material adverse effect on its business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

The Company offers no prediction of the outcome of these proceedings. However, there can be no guarantee that the Company will prevail or that any judgments against the Company, if sustained on appeal, will not have a material adverse effect on its business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

The Company is a defendant in certain other lawsuits alleging various claims incurred in the ordinary course of business, none of which, either individually or in the aggregate, it believes are material to its business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

**ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS**

See the Company's risk factors as previously disclosed in its Form 10-K for the year ended April 30, 2006.

**ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS**

None.

**ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES**

None.

**ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS**

None

**ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION**

None

**ITEM 6. EXHIBITS**

The exhibits that are filed as part of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q or that are incorporated by reference herein are set forth in the Exhibit Index hereto.

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Casella Waste Systems, Inc.

Date: March 8, 2007

By: /s/ Richard A. Norris  
Richard A. Norris Officer  
Chief Financial Officer  
(Principal Financial and Accounting  
Officer and Duly Authorized Officer)

43

---

**Exhibit Index**

- 31.1 + Certification of John W. Casella, Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 + Certification of Richard A. Norris, Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 ++ Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. S 1350 of John W. Casella, Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer, pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2 ++ Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. S 1350 of Richard A. Norris, Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002.

---

+ - Filed herewith

++ - Furnished herewith

44

---