META FINANCIAL GROUP INC Form 10-K December 13, 2010 Table of Contents

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

# **FORM 10-K**

**X** ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010

OR

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from

to

Commission file number 0-22140.

META FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.

(Name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

**Delaware** (State or other jurisdiction of

42-1406262 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

121 East Fifth Street, Storm Lake, Iowa

incorporation or organization)

50588

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

Registrant s telephone number: (712) 732-4117

Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share Name of each exchange on which registered NASDAQ Global Market

Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. YES o NO x

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is not required to be file reports pursuant Section 13 and Section 15(d) of the Act. YES o NO x

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. YES x NO o

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to submit and post such files). YES o NO o.

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of Registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer o	Accelerated filer o
Non-accelerated filer o	Smaller Reporting Company x
Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as de	fined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). o YES x NO
As of March 31, 2010, the aggregate market value of the voting stock he average of the closing bid and asked prices of such stock on the NASDA	
As of December 10, 2010, there were outstanding 3,112,463 shares of the	e Registrant s Common Stock.
DOCUMENTS INCORPO	RATED BY REFERENCE
PART III of Form 10-K Portions of the Proxy Statement for the Annua	al Meeting of Stockholders to be held January 20, 2011.

n 1	1 1		c	. ~				
a	h	e	of	(	വ	nt	en	ıts

[This page left intentionally blank.]

## Table of Contents

# META FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.

## FORM 10-K

## **Table of Contents**

	Page No.
Part I.	
Item 1. Business	3
Item 1A. Risk Factors	45
Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments	57
Item 2. Properties	57
<u>Item 3.</u> <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	58
<u>Item 4.</u> <u>Removed and Reserved</u>	59
Part II.	
<u>Item 5.</u> <u>Market for Registrant</u> s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer	
<u>Purchases of Securities</u>	60
<u>Item 6.</u> <u>Selected Financial Data</u>	61
<u>Item 7.</u> <u>Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of</u>	
Operations  Operations	62
Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk	79
Item 8. Consolidated Financial Statements and Supplementary Data  Change In and Discourage With Accounting and Financial	82
<u>Item 9.</u> <u>Changes In and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial</u>	120
<u>Disclosure</u> <u>Item 9A.</u> <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	120
Item 9B. Other Information	120
Other Information	121
Part III.	
Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	122
Item 11. Executive Compensation	122
<u>Item 12.</u> <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related</u>	
Stockholder Matters	123
<u>Item 13.</u> <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	123
<u>Item 14.</u> <u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u>	123
Part IV.	
<u>Item 15.</u> <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>	125
<u>Signatures</u>	126

<b>m</b>	. 1		c	$\sim$			
Tal	hl	e	Ωt	$\mathbf{C}$	าท	te	nts

[This page left intentionally blank.]

#### **Table of Contents**

#### **Forward-Looking Statements**

Meta Financial Group, Inc.®, (Meta Financial or the Company) and its wholly-owned subsidiary, MetaBank (the Bank), may from time to time make written or oral forward-looking statements, including statements contained in its filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), in its reports to stockholders, and in other communications by the Company, which are made in good faith by the Company pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.

These forward-looking statements include statements with respect to the Company s beliefs, expectations, estimates, and intentions that are subject to significant risks and uncertainties, and are subject to change based on various factors, some of which are beyond the Company s control. Such statements address, among others, the following subjects: future operating results; customer retention; loan and other product demand; important components of the Company s balance sheet and income statements; growth and expansion; new products and services, such as those offered by the Bank or Meta Payment Systems® (MPS), a division of the Bank; credit quality and adequacy of reserves; technology; and our employees. The following factors, among others, could cause the Company s financial performance to differ materially from the expectations, estimates, and intentions expressed in such forward-looking statements: the strength of the United States economy in general and the strength of the local economies in which the Company conducts operations; the effects of, and changes in, trade, monetary, and fiscal policies and laws, including interest rate policies of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the Federal Reserve, the FRB or the Board ), as well as efforts of the United States Treasury in conjunction with bank regulatory agencies to stimulate the economy and protect the financial system; inflation, interest rate, market, and monetary fluctuations; the timely development of and acceptance of new products and services offered by the Company as well as risks (including reputational and litigation) attendant thereto and the perceived overall value of these products and services by users; the risks of dealing with or utilizing third-party vendors; the scope of restrictions and compliance requirements and penalties of enforcement actions related to the OTS supervisory directives in August and October of 2010 or any others which may be initiated; the impact of changes in financial services laws and regulations; technological changes, including but not limited to the protection of electronic files or databases; acquisitions; litigation risk in general, including but not limited to those risks involving the MPS division; the growth of the Company s business as well as expenses related thereto; changes in consumer spending and saving habits; and the success of the Company at managing and collecting assets of borrowers in default.

The foregoing list of factors is not exclusive. Additional discussions of factors affecting the Company s business and prospects are contained in the Company s periodic filings with the SEC. The Company expressly disclaims any intent or obligation to update any forward-looking statement, whether written or oral, that may be made from time to time by or on behalf of the Company or its subsidiaries.

#### **Available Information**

The Company s website address is www.bankmeta.com. The Company makes available, through a link with the SEC s EDGAR database, free of charge, its annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act ), and beneficial ownership reports on Forms 3, 4, and 5 as soon as reasonably practicable after electronically filing such material with, or furnishing it to, the SEC. The information found on the Company s website is not incorporated by reference in this or any other report the Company files or furnishes to the SEC.

Table of Contents

[This page left intentionally blank.]

2

n 1	1		0			
Tal	٦le	• U.	† ( '	on	ten	ŧ٩

-	~~	-
$\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{A}}$	D'I'	
1 /	. 18	

Item 1. Business

#### General

Meta Financial, a registered unitary savings and loan holding company, is a Delaware corporation, the principal assets of which are all the issued and outstanding shares of the Bank, a federal savings bank. Meta Financial, on September 20, 1993, acquired all of the capital stock of the Bank in connection with its conversion from the mutual to stock form ownership (the Conversion). On September 30, 1996, Meta Financial became a bank holding company for regulatory purposes upon its acquisition of MetaBank West Central (MetaBank WC) until its sale of MetaBank WC in March 2008, at which time Meta Financial became a unitary savings and loan holding company again, all as discussed below. Unless the context otherwise requires, references herein to the Company include Meta Financial and the Bank, and all subsidiaries on a consolidated basis.

After the Conversion, the Company acquired several financial institutions. On March 28, 1994, Meta Financial acquired Brookings Federal Bank in Brookings, South Dakota (Brookings Federal). On December 29, 1995, Meta Financial acquired Iowa Savings Bank, FSB in Des Moines, Iowa (Iowa Savings). Brookings Federal and Iowa Savings were both merged with, and now operate as market areas of, the Bank. On September 30, 1996, Meta Financial completed the acquisition of Central West Bancorporation (CWB), the holding company for MetaBank WC, which upon the merger of CWB into Meta Financial resulted in MetaBank WC becoming a stand-alone commercial bank subsidiary of Meta Financial. On March 28, 2008, the Company sold MetaBank WC and reclassified financial information as discontinued bank operations in the consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto in the Annual Report for fiscal 2007. As such, information in this Annual Report on Form 10-K has been adjusted to eliminate the effect of discontinued bank operations unless otherwise indicated.

The Bank, the only direct, active full service banking subsidiary of Meta Financial, is a community-oriented financial institution offering a variety of financial services to meet the needs of the communities it serves and a payments company that provides services nationwide. The Company provides a full range of financial services. The principal business of the Bank has historically consisted of attracting retail deposits from the general public and investing those funds primarily in one- to four-family residential mortgage loans, commercial and multi-family real estate, agricultural operations and real estate, construction, and consumer and commercial business loans primarily in the Bank s market areas. Due to local economic factors, originations of commercial and multi-family real estate loans and commercial business loans continue to be lower when compared to prior years. The Bank also purchases loan participations from time to time from other financial institutions, but at a lower level compared to prior years, as well as mortgage-backed securities and other investments permissible under applicable regulations. In 2004, the Bank created a division known as MPS, which issues various prepaid cards, consumer credit products, and sponsors ATMs into various debit networks and offers other payment industry products and services. MPS generates fee income and low- and no-cost deposits for the Bank through its activities. As noted in the Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, which is included in Item 7 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, MPS expanded and played a significant role in the Company s financial performance in fiscal 2010.

The Company s revenues are derived primarily from interest on commercial and residential mortgage loans, mortgage-backed securities, fees generated through the activities of MPS, other investments, consumer loans, agricultural operating loans, commercial business loans, income from service charges, loan origination fees, and loan servicing fee income.

Meta Financial owned Meta Trust Company (Meta Trust), a South Dakota trust corporation. Meta Trust, established in April 2002 as a South Dakota corporation and a wholly-owned subsidiary of Meta Financial, provided a full range of trust services. On September 30, 2010, the Company sold Meta Trust.

First Midwest Financial Capital Trust, also a wholly-owned subsidiary of Meta Financial, was established in July 2001 for the purpose of issuing trust preferred securities.

3

<b>m</b>	. 1		c	$\sim$			
Tal	hl	e	Ωt	$\mathbf{C}$	าท	te	nts

Meta Financial and the Bank are subject to comprehensive regulation and supervision. See Regulation herein.

The home office of the Company is located at 121 East Fifth Street, Storm Lake, Iowa 50588. Its telephone number at that address is (712) 732-4117.

#### **Market Areas**

The Bank has four market areas and the MPS division: Northwest Iowa ( NWI ), Brookings, Central Iowa ( CI ), and Sioux Empire ( SE ). The Bank s headquarters is located at 121 East Fifth Street in Storm Lake, Iowa. NWI operates two offices in Storm Lake, Iowa.Brookings operates one office in Brookings, South Dakota. CI operates a total of six offices in Iowa: Des Moines (3), West Des Moines (2) and Urbandale. SE operates three offices and one administrative office in Sioux Falls, SD. MPS, which offers prepaid cards and other payment industry products and services nationwide, operates out of Sioux Falls, South Dakota and has an administrative office in Omaha, Nebraska. See Meta Payment Systems® Division.

The Company has a total of twelve full-service branch offices, and one non-retail service branch in Memphis, Tennessee.

The Company s primary commercial banking market area includes the Iowa counties of Buena Vista, Dallas and Polk, and the South Dakota counties of Brookings, Lincoln, Minnehaha and Moody. Iowa ranks 13th and South Dakota 17th in The Best States for Business and Careers (Forbes.com, 2010). Iowa has low corporate income and franchise taxes. South Dakota has no corporate income tax, personal income tax, personal property tax, business inventory tax, or inheritance tax.

Storm Lake is located in Iowa s Buena Vista County approximately 150 miles northwest of Des Moines and 200 miles southwest of Minneapolis. Like much of the state of Iowa, Storm Lake and the surrounding market area are highly dependent upon farming and agricultural markets. Major employers in the area include Buena Vista Regional Medical Center, Tyson Foods, Sara Lee Foods, and Buena Vista University. The Northwest Iowa market operates two offices in Storm Lake.

Brookings is located in Brookings County, South Dakota, approximately 50 miles north of Sioux Falls and 200 miles west of Minneapolis. The Bank s market area encompasses approximately a 30-mile radius of Brookings. The area is generally rural, and agriculture is a significant industry in the community. South Dakota State University is the largest employer in Brookings. The community also has several manufacturing companies, including 3M, Larson Manufacturing, Daktronics, Falcon Plastics, Twin City Fan, and Rainbow Play Systems, Inc. The Brookings market operates from an office located in downtown Brookings.

Des Moines, Iowa s capital is located in central Iowa. The Des Moines market area encompasses Polk County and surrounding counties. The Bank s Central Iowa main office is located in the heart of downtown Des Moines. The Urbandale office is in a high growth area just off I-80 at the intersection of two major streets. The West Des Moines office operates near a high-traffic intersection, across from a major shopping mall. The Ingersoll office is located near the heart of Des Moines, on a major thoroughfare, in a densely populated area. The Highland Park facility is located in a historical district approximately five minutes north of downtown Des Moines. The Jordan Creek office is located near Jordan Creek

Town Center in West Des Moines, one of the fastest growing communities in the State of Iowa and the Greater Des Moines area. The Des Moines metro area is one of the top three insurance centers in the world, with sixty-seven insurance company headquarters and over one hundred regional insurance offices. Des Moines ranks 1st as one of The 20 Best Places for Business and Careers (Forbes.com, 2010). Major employers include Principal Life Insurance Company, Iowa Health Des Moines, Mercy Hospital Medical Center, Hy-Vee Food Stores, Inc., City of Des Moines, United Parcel Service, Nationwide Mutual Insurance Co., Pioneer Hi Bred International Inc., and Wells Fargo Financial and Home Mortgage. Universities and colleges in the area include Des Moines Area Community College, Drake University, Simpson College, Des Moines University Osteopathic Medical Center, Grand View College, AIB College of Business, and Upper Iowa University. The unemployment rate in the Des Moines metro area was 6.3% as of September 2010.

#### Table of Contents

Sioux Falls is located at the crossroads of Interstates 29 and 90 in southeast South Dakota, 270 miles southwest of Minneapolis. The Sioux Falls market area encompasses Minnehaha and Lincoln counties. The main branch is located at the high growth area of 57th and Western. Other branches are located at 33rd and Minnesota and the intersection of 12th and Elmwood. Sioux Falls was rated one of America's Best Places to Live by CNN Money Magazine in July 2010. Major employers in the area include Sanford Health, Avera McKennan Hospital, John Morrell & Company, Citibank (South Dakota) NA, and Hy-Vee Food Stores. Sioux Falls is home to Augustana College and The University of Sioux Falls. The unemployment rate in Sioux Falls was 4.1% as of September 2010.

Several of the Company s market areas are dependent on agriculture and agriculture-related businesses, which are exposed to exogenous risk factors such as weather conditions and commodity prices. Presently, economic conditions in the agricultural sector of the Company s market area are stronger than they have been for several years. Abnormally heavy precipitation during the year ended September 30, 2010 that lowered yields in some areas has been offset by significantly higher crop prices. Livestock prices have improved significantly in the past twenty-four months returning the sector to profitability and off-setting the higher feed cost. Farmland prices are increasing in value in all of the Company s market areas. The agricultural economy is accustomed to commodity price fluctuations and is generally able to handle such fluctuations without significant problems. Although there has been minimal effect observed to date, an extended period of low commodity prices, higher input costs or poor weather conditions could result in reduced profit margins in the agricultural sector, thus reducing demand for goods and services provided by agriculture-related businesses. This could also affect other businesses in the Company s market area.

#### **Lending Activities**

General. Historically, the Company originated fixed-rate, one- to four-family mortgage loans. In the early 1980s, the Company began to focus on the origination of adjustable-rate mortgage (ARM) loans and short-term loans for retention in its portfolio in order to increase the percentage of loans in its portfolio with more frequent repricing or shorter maturities, and in some cases higher yields, than fixed-rate residential mortgage loans. The Company, however, has digressed from ARM loans and pursued fixed-rate residential mortgage loan originations in response to consumer demand, although most such loans are generally sold in the secondary market. See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, which is included in Item 7 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for further information on Asset/Liability Management.

More recently, the Company has focused its lending activities on the origination of commercial and multi-family real estate loans and, to a lesser extent, commercial business loans. The Company also continues to originate one-to-four family mortgage loans, consumer loans and agriculturally related loans. The Company originates most of its loans in its primary market area. At September 30, 2010, the Company s net loan portfolio totaled \$366.0 million, or 35.6% of the Company s total assets.

Loan applications are initially considered and approved at various levels of authority, depending on the type and amount of the loan. The Company has a loan committee consisting of senior lenders and Market Presidents, and is led by the Chief Lending Officer. Loans in excess of certain amounts require approval by at least two members of the entire loan committee, a majority of the entire loan committee, or by the Company s Board Loan Committee, which has responsibility for the overall supervision of the loan portfolio. The Company reserves the right to discontinue, adjust or create new lending programs to respond to competitive factors.

At September 30, 2010, the Company s largest lending relationship to a single borrower or group of related borrowers totaled \$13.5 million but a portion of that is sold to other banks. The Company had 24 other lending relationships in excess of \$3.5 million as of September 30, 2010. At September 30, 2010, two of these loans totaling \$4.7 million were classified as substandard. See Non-Performing Assets, Other Loans of Concern, and Classified Assets.

## Table of Contents

**Loan Portfolio Composition.** The following table provides information about the composition of the Company s loan portfolio in dollar amounts and in percentages (before deductions for loans in process, deferred fees and discounts and allowances for losses) as of the dates indicated. Balances related to discontinued bank operations have been eliminated for all periods presented.

	At S 2010 2009					ber 30,	2003	2006		
	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent	Amount (Dollars in Tl	Percent	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent
Real Estate Loans:										
1-4 Family	\$ 40,936	11.0%	\$ 48,770	12.2%	\$ 56,362	13.0%	\$ 45,407	12.6%	\$ 58,165	15.4%
Commercial &										
Multi Family	204,820	55.1%	232,750	58.4%	222,651	51.2%	169,877	47.1%	159,107	42.2%
Agricultural	25,895	7.0%	26,755	6.7%	30,046	6.9%	16,582	4.6%	14,098	3.7%
Total Real Estate										
Loans	271,651	73.1%	308,275	77.3%	309,059	71.1%	231,866	64.3%	231,370	61.3%
Other Loans:										
Consumer Loans:	4 6 00=		10 777	. = ~	21 252		22.022		21.770	
Home Equity	16,897	4.5%	18,555	4.7%	,	4.9%	23,832	6.6%	24,559	6.5%
Automobile	737	0.2%	928	0.2%	922	0.2%	1,241	0.4%	1,708	0.5%
Other (1)	30,479	8.2%	16,516	4.1%	27,054	6.3%	11,690	3.2%	3,800	1.0%
Total Consumer	40.112	12.00	25.000	0.00	40.220	11.467	26.762	10.20	20.067	0.08
Loans	48,113	12.9%	35,999	9.0%	49,329	11.4%	36,763	10.2%	30,067	8.0%
A ami avaltumal										
Agricultural	32,528	8.7%	27,889	7.0%	31,153	7.2%	33,143	9.2%	28,661	7.6%
Operating Commercial	32,328	8.1%	21,889	7.0%	31,133	1.2%	33,143	9.2%	28,001	7.0%
Business	19,709	5.3%	26,869	6.7%	44,972	10.3%	58,705	16.3%	87,202	23.1%
Dusiliess	19,709	3.370	20,809	0.770	44,972	10.5%	36,703	10.5%	67,202	23.170
Total Other Loans	100,350	26.9%	90,757	22.7%	125,454	28.9%	128,611	35.7%	145,930	38.7%
Total Loans	372,001	100.0%	399,032	100.0%	434,513	100.0%	360,477	100.0%	377,300	100.0%
Less:										
Loans in Process	482		264		693		254		1,773	
Deferred Fees and										
Discounts	240		166		160		117		177	
Allowance for										
Losses	5,234		6,993		5,732		4,493		6,391	
Total Loans										
Receivable, Net	\$ 366,045		\$ 391,609		\$ 427,928		\$ 355,612		\$ 368,959	

<sup>(1)</sup> Consist generally of various types of secured and unsecured consumer loans.

## Table of Contents

The following table shows the composition of the Company s loan portfolio by fixed and adjustable rate at the dates indicated. Balances related to discontinued bank operations have been eliminated for all periods presented.

Amount   Percent   Amount   Pe		2010		2000	September 30, 2009 2008			2007	,	2006		
Real Estate:         1-4 Family       \$ 34,513       9.3%       \$ 42,310       10.6%       \$ 42,952       9.9%       \$ 34,157       9.5%       \$ 45,593       11.8%         Commercial & Multi Family       163,843       44.0%       180,891       45.3%       171,114       39.4%       128,495       35.6%       113,072       29.1%         Agricultural       16,937       4.6%       17,317       4.4%       20,262       4.6%       11,610       3.2%       8,229       2.4%         Total Fixed-Rate       Real Estate Loans       215,293       57.9%       240,518       60.3%       234,328       53.9%       174,262       48.3%       166,894       43.3%         Consumer       19,066       5.1%       17,398       4.4%       42,192       9.7%       21,470       6.0%       21,128       5.6%         Agricultural       19,066       5.1%       17,398       4.4%       42,192       9.7%       21,470       6.0%       21,128       5.6%						Amount	Percent					
Real Estate:         1-4 Family       \$ 34,513       9.3%       \$ 42,310       10.6%       \$ 42,952       9.9%       \$ 34,157       9.5%       \$ 45,593       11.8%         Commercial &       Multi Family       163,843       44.0%       180,891       45.3%       171,114       39.4%       128,495       35.6%       113,072       29.1%         Agricultural       16,937       4.6%       17,317       4.4%       20,262       4.6%       11,610       3.2%       8,229       2.4%         Total Fixed-Rate       Real Estate Loans       215,293       57.9%       240,518       60.3%       234,328       53.9%       174,262       48.3%       166,894       43.3%         Consumer       19,066       5.1%       17,398       4.4%       42,192       9.7%       21,470       6.0%       21,128       5.6%         Agricultural       Agricultural	Fixed Rate Loans:											
Commercial & Multi Family 163,843 44.0% 180,891 45.3% 171,114 39.4% 128,495 35.6% 113,072 29.1% Agricultural 16,937 4.6% 17,317 4.4% 20,262 4.6% 11,610 3.2% 8,229 2.4% Total Fixed-Rate Real Estate Loans 215,293 57.9% 240,518 60.3% 234,328 53.9% 174,262 48.3% 166,894 43.3% Consumer 19,066 5.1% 17,398 4.4% 42,192 9.7% 21,470 6.0% 21,128 5.6% Agricultural												
Multi Family       163,843       44.0%       180,891       45.3%       171,114       39.4%       128,495       35.6%       113,072       29.1%         Agricultural       16,937       4.6%       17,317       4.4%       20,262       4.6%       11,610       3.2%       8,229       2.4%         Total Fixed-Rate       Real Estate Loans       215,293       57.9%       240,518       60.3%       234,328       53.9%       174,262       48.3%       166,894       43.3%         Consumer       19,066       5.1%       17,398       4.4%       42,192       9.7%       21,470       6.0%       21,128       5.6%         Agricultural	1-4 Family	\$ 34,513	9.3%	\$ 42,310	10.6%	\$ 42,952	9.9%	\$ 34,157	9.5%	\$ 45,593	11.8%	
Agricultural       16,937       4.6%       17,317       4.4%       20,262       4.6%       11,610       3.2%       8,229       2.4%         Total Fixed-Rate       Real Estate Loans       215,293       57.9%       240,518       60.3%       234,328       53.9%       174,262       48.3%       166,894       43.3%         Consumer       19,066       5.1%       17,398       4.4%       42,192       9.7%       21,470       6.0%       21,128       5.6%         Agricultural	Commercial &											
Total Fixed-Rate         Real Estate Loans       215,293       57.9%       240,518       60.3%       234,328       53.9%       174,262       48.3%       166,894       43.3%         Consumer       19,066       5.1%       17,398       4.4%       42,192       9.7%       21,470       6.0%       21,128       5.6%         Agricultural	Multi Family		44.0%	,	45.3%	171,114	39.4%	128,495	35.6%	- ,	29.1%	
Real Estate Loans       215,293       57.9%       240,518       60.3%       234,328       53.9%       174,262       48.3%       166,894       43.3%         Consumer       19,066       5.1%       17,398       4.4%       42,192       9.7%       21,470       6.0%       21,128       5.6%         Agricultural	Agricultural	16,937	4.6%	17,317	4.4%	20,262	4.6%	11,610	3.2%	8,229	2.4%	
Consumer 19,066 5.1% 17,398 4.4% 42,192 9.7% 21,470 6.0% 21,128 5.6% Agricultural	Total Fixed-Rate											
Agricultural	Real Estate Loans	215,293	57.9%	240,518	60.3%	234,328	53.9%	174,262	48.3%	166,894	43.3%	
č	Consumer	19,066	5.1%	17,398	4.4%	42,192	9.7%	21,470	6.0%	21,128	5.6%	
Operating 22,490 6.0% 15,752 3.9% 16,840 3.9% 16,519 4.6% 15.145 4.1%	Agricultural											
	Operating	22,490	6.0%	15,752	3.9%	16,840	3.9%	16,519	4.6%	15,145	4.1%	
Commercial	Commercial											
Business 11,147 3.1% 15,576 3.9% 25,224 5.8% 31,386 8.7% 36,701 9.6%	Business	11,147	3.1%	15,576	3.9%	25,224	5.8%	31,386	8.7%	36,701	9.6%	
Total Fixed-Rate	Total Fixed-Rate											
Loans 267,996 72.1% 289,244 72.5% 318,584 73.3% 243,637 67.6% 239,868 62.6%	Loans	267,996	72.1%	289,244	72.5%	318,584	73.3%	243,637	67.6%	239,868	62.6%	
Adjustable Rate	Adjustable Rate											
Loans:	Loans:											
Real Estate:	Real Estate:											
1-4 Family 6,423 1.7% 6,460 1.6% 13,410 3.1% 11,250 3.1% 12,572 3.2%	1-4 Family	6,423	1.7%	6,460	1.6%	13,410	3.1%	11,250	3.1%	12,572	3.2%	
Commercial &	Commercial &											
Multi Family 40,977 11.0% 51,859 13.0% 51,537 11.9% 41,382 11.5% 46,035 13.1%	Multi Family	40,977	11.0%	51,859	13.0%	51,537	11.9%	41,382	11.5%	46,035	13.1%	
<b>6</b>		8,958	2.4%	9,438	2.4%	9,784	2.2%	4,972	1.4%	5,869	1.7%	
Total Adjustable	Total Adjustable											
Real Estate Loans 56,358 15.1% 67,757 17.0% 74,731 17.2% 57,604 16.0% 64,476 18.0%	Real Estate Loans	56,358	15.1%	67,757	17.0%	74,731	17.2%	57,604	16.0%	64,476	18.0%	
Consumer 29,047 7.8% 18,601 4.7% 7,137 1.6% 15,293 4.2% 8,939 2.3%	Consumer	29,047	7.8%	18,601	4.7%	7,137	1.6%	15,293	4.2%	8,939	2.3%	
Agricultural	Agricultural											
Operating 10,038 2.7% 12,137 3.0% 14,313 3.3% 16,624 4.6% 13,516 3.5%	Operating	10,038	2.7%	12,137	3.0%	14,313	3.3%	16,624	4.6%	13,516	3.5%	
Commercial	Commercial											
		8,562	2.3%	11,293	2.8%	19,748	4.6%	27,319	7.6%	50,500	13.6%	
Total Adjustable	Total Adjustable											
Loans 104,005 27.9% 109,788 27.5% 115,929 26.7% 116,840 32.4% 137,431 37.4%	Loans	104,005	27.9%	109,788	27.5%	115,929	26.7%	116,840	32.4%	137,431	37.4%	
Total Loans 372,001 100.0% 399,032 100.0% 434,513 100.0% 360,477 100.0% 377,300 100.0%	Total Loans	372,001	100.0%	399,032	100.0%	434,513	100.0%	360,477	100.0%	377,300	100.0%	
Less:	Less:											
Loans in Process 482 264 693 254 1,773	Loans in Process	482		264		693		254		1,773		
Deferred Fees and	Deferred Fees and											
Discounts 240 166 160 117 177	Discounts	240		166		160		117		177		
Allowance for	Allowance for											
Losses 5,234 6,993 5,732 4,493 6,391	Losses	5,234		6,993		5,732		4,493		6,391		
Total Loans	Total Loans											
Receivable, Net \$ 366,045 \$ 391,609 \$ 427,928 \$ 355,612 \$ 368,959		\$ 366.045		\$ 391.609		\$ 427.928		\$ 355.612		\$ 368.959		

## Table of Contents

The following table illustrates the interest rate sensitivity of the Company s loan portfolio at September 30, 2010. Mortgages which have adjustable or renegotiable interest rates are shown as maturing in the period during which the contract reprices. The table reflects management s estimate of the effects of loan prepayments or curtailments based on data from the Company s historical experiences and other third party sources. Balances related to discontinued bank operations have been eliminated for all periods presented.

Due During Years Ending September 30,	Real Est	ate (1) Cons Weighted Average Rate Amount	Weighted Average Rate A	ommercial Business Weighted Average amount Rate ollars in Thousands)	Agricultura  Amount	Weighted Average	Total Weighted Average mount Rate
2011 (2)	\$ 24,608	5.23% \$ 9,538	6.96% \$	6,092 4.59%	6 \$ 23,492	5.06% \$	63,730 5.37%
2012-2013	59,774	5.87% 5,293	6.50%	6,910 5.24%	6 4,300	6.36%	76,277 5.89%
2014 and following	187,269	6.13% 33,282	8.39%	6,707 6.319	6 4,736	6.06% 2	231,994 6.46%
Total	\$ 271,651	\$ 48,113	\$	19,709	\$ 32,528	\$ 3	372,001

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes one-to-four family, multi family, commercial and agricultural real estate loans.

<sup>(2)</sup> Includes demand loans, loans having no stated maturity and overdraft loans.

#### Table of Contents

One- to Four-Family Residential Mortgage Lending. One- to four-family residential mortgage loan originations are generated by the Company s marketing efforts, its present customers, walk-in customers and referrals. At September 30, 2010, the Company s one- to four-family residential mortgage loan portfolio totaled \$40.9 million, or 11% of the Company s total gross loan portfolio. See Originations, Purchases, Sales and Servicing of Loans and Mortgage-Backed Securities. At September 30, 2010, the average outstanding principal balance of a one- to four-family residential mortgage loan was approximately \$64,000.

The Company offers fixed-rate and ARM loans for both permanent structures and those under construction. During the year ended September 30, 2010, the Company originated \$4.3 million of adjustable-rate loans and \$28.0 million of fixed-rate loans secured by one- to four-family residential real estate. The Company s one- to four-family residential mortgage originations are secured primarily by properties located in its primary market area and surrounding areas.

The Company originates one- to four-family residential mortgage loans with terms up to a maximum of 30-years and with loan-to-value ratios up to 100% of the lesser of the appraised value of the security property or the contract price. The Company generally requires that private mortgage insurance be obtained in an amount sufficient to reduce the Company s exposure to at or below the 80% loan-to-value level, unless the loan is insured by the Federal Housing Administration, guaranteed by Veterans Affairs or guaranteed by the Rural Housing Administration. Residential loans generally do not include prepayment penalties.

The Company currently offers one, three, five, seven and ten year ARM loans. These loans have a fixed-rate for the stated period and, thereafter, such loans adjust annually. These loans generally provide for an annual cap of up to a 200 basis points and a lifetime cap of 600 basis points over the initial rate. As a consequence of using an initial fixed-rate and caps, the interest rates on these loans may not be as rate sensitive as is the Company s cost of funds. The Company s ARMs do not permit negative amortization of principal and are not convertible into a fixed rate loan. The Company s delinquency experience on its ARM loans has generally been similar to its experience on fixed rate residential loans. Current market conditions make ARM loans unattractive and very few are originated.

Due to consumer demand, the Company also offers fixed-rate mortgage loans with terms up to 30 years, most of which conform to secondary market, *i.e.*, Fannie Mae, Ginnie Mae, and Freddie Mac standards. Interest rates charged on these fixed-rate loans are competitively priced according to market conditions. The Company currently sells most, but not all, of its fixed-rate loans with terms greater than 15 years.

In underwriting one- to four-family residential real estate loans, the Company evaluates both the borrower's ability to make monthly payments and the value of the property securing the loan. Most properties securing real estate loans made by the Company are appraised by independent fee appraisers approved by the Board of Directors. The Company generally requires borrowers to obtain an attorney's title opinion or title insurance, and fire and property insurance (including flood insurance, if necessary) in an amount not less than the amount of the loan. Real estate loans originated by the Company generally contain a due on sale clause allowing the Company to declare the unpaid principal balance due and payable upon the sale of the security property. The Company has not engaged in sub-prime residential mortgage originations.

Commercial and Multi-Family Real Estate Lending. The Company engages in commercial and multi-family real estate lending in its primary market area and surrounding areas and has purchased whole loan and participation interests in loans from other financial institutions. At September 30, 2010, the Company s commercial and multi-family real estate loan portfolio totaled \$204.8 million, or 55% of the Company s total gross loan portfolio. The purchased loans and loan participation interests are generally secured by properties located in the Midwest and West. See Originations, Purchases, Sales and Servicing of Loans and Mortgage-Backed Securities. The Company, in order to supplement its loan portfolio, purchased \$4.8 million, \$41.7 million, and \$39.8 million, of such loans during fiscal 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. At September 30, 2010, \$4.1 million, or 2.0%, of the Company s commercial and multi-family real estate loans was non-performing. See Non-Performing

Assets, Other Loans of Concern and Classified Assets.

The Company s commercial and multi-family real estate loan portfolio is secured primarily by apartment buildings, office buildings, and hotels. Commercial and multi-family real estate loans generally have terms that do not exceed 20 years, have loan-to-value ratios of up to 80% of the appraised value of the security property, and are

9

#### Table of Contents

typically secured by personal guarantees of the borrowers. The Company has a variety of rate adjustment features and other terms in its commercial and multi-family real estate loan portfolio. Commercial and multi-family real estate loans provide for a margin over a number of different indices. In underwriting these loans, the Company currently analyzes the financial condition of the borrower, the borrower s credit history, and the reliability and predictability of the cash flow generated by the property securing the loan. Appraisals on properties securing commercial real estate loans originated by the Company are performed by independent appraisers.

At September 30, 2010, the Company s largest commercial and multi-family real estate loan was a \$7.8 million loan secured by real estate. At September 30, 2010, the average outstanding principal balance of a commercial or multi-family real estate loan held by the Company was approximately \$686,000.

Commercial and multi-family real estate loans generally present a higher level of risk than loans secured by one- to four-family residences. This greater risk is due to several factors, including the concentration of principal in a limited number of loans and borrowers, the effect of general economic conditions on income producing properties and the increased difficulty of evaluating and monitoring these types of loans. Furthermore, the repayment of loans secured by commercial and multi-family real estate is typically dependent upon the successful operation of the related real estate project. If the cash flow from the project is reduced (for example, if leases are not obtained or renewed, or a bankruptcy court modifies a lease term, or a major tenant is unable to fulfill its lease obligations), the borrower s ability to repay the loan may be impaired. At September 30, 2010, the Bank s nonresidential real estate loans totaled 227% of risk-based capital.

Agricultural Lending. The Company originates loans to finance the purchase of farmland, livestock, farm machinery and equipment, seed, fertilizer and other farm related products. At September 30, 2010, the Company had agricultural real estate loans secured by farmland of \$25.9 million or 7% of the Company s gross loan portfolio. At the same date, \$32.5 million, or 9% of the Company s gross loan portfolio, consisted of secured loans related to agricultural operations.

Agricultural operating loans are originated at either an adjustable or fixed rate of interest for up to a one year term or, in the case of livestock, upon sale. Most agricultural operating loans have terms of one year or less. Such loans provide for payments of principal and interest at least annually or a lump sum payment upon maturity if the original term is less than one year. Loans secured by agricultural machinery are generally originated as fixed-rate loans with terms of up to seven years. At September 30, 2010, the average outstanding principal balance of an agricultural operating loan held by the Company was \$140,000. At September 30, 2010, \$0.4 million, or 1.2%, of the Company s agricultural operating loans was non-performing.

Agricultural real estate loans are frequently originated with adjustable rates of interest. Generally, such loans provide for a fixed rate of interest for the first one to five years, which then balloon or adjust annually thereafter. In addition, such loans generally amortize over a period of ten to 20 years. Adjustable-rate agricultural real estate loans provide for a margin over the yields on the corresponding U.S. Treasury security or prime rate. Fixed-rate agricultural real estate loans generally have terms up to five years. Agricultural real estate loans are generally limited to 75% of the value of the property securing the loan. At September 30, 2010, \$2.7 million, or 10.2%, of the Company s agricultural real estate portfolio was non-performing.

Agricultural lending affords the Company the opportunity to earn yields higher than those obtainable on one- to four-family residential lending. Nevertheless, agricultural lending involves a greater degree of risk than one- to four-family residential mortgage loans because of the typically larger loan amount. In addition, payments on loans are dependent on the successful operation or management of the farm property securing the loan or for which an operating loan is utilized. The success of the loan may also be affected by many factors outside the control of the farm borrower.

Weather presents one of the greatest risks as hail, drought, floods, or other conditions, can severely limit crop yields and thus impair loan repayments and the value of the underlying collateral. This risk can be reduced by the farmer with a variety of insurance coverages which can help to ensure loan repayment. Government support programs and the Company generally require that farmers procure crop insurance coverage. Grain and livestock prices also present a risk as prices may decline prior to sale resulting in a failure to cover production costs. These risks may be reduced by the farmer with the use of futures contracts or options to mitigate price risk. The Company

10

#### Table of Contents

frequently requires borrowers to use future contracts or options to reduce price risk and help ensure loan repayment. Another risk is the uncertainty of government programs and other regulations. During periods of low commodity prices, the income from government programs can be a significant source of cash to make loan payments and if these programs are discontinued or significantly changed, cash flow problems or defaults could result. Finally, many farms are dependent on a limited number of key individuals upon whose injury or death may result in an inability to successfully operate the farm.

Consumer Lending- Retail Bank. The Retail Bank offers a variety of secured consumer loans, including home equity, home improvement, automobile, boat and loans secured by savings deposits. In addition, the Retail Bank offers other secured and unsecured consumer loans. The Retail Bank currently originates most of its consumer loans in its primary market area and surrounding areas. The Retail Bank originates consumer loans on both a direct and indirect basis. At September 30, 2010, the Retail Bank s consumer loan portfolio totaled \$20.4 million, or 6% of its total gross loan portfolio. Of the consumer loan portfolio at September 30, 2010, \$13.9 million were short- and intermediate-term, fixed-rate loans, while \$6.5 million were adjustable-rate loans.

The largest component of the Retail Bank s consumer loan portfolio consists of home equity loans and lines of credit. Substantially all of the Retail Bank s home equity loans and lines of credit are secured by second mortgages on principal residences. The Retail Bank will lend amounts which, together with all prior liens, typically may be up to 100% of the appraised value of the property securing the loan. Home equity loans and lines of credit generally have maximum terms of five years.

The Retail Bank primarily originates automobile loans on a direct basis, but also originates indirect automobile loans on a very limited basis. Direct loans are loans made when the Retail Bank extends credit directly to the borrower, as opposed to indirect loans, which are made when the Retail Bank purchases loan contracts, often at a discount, from automobile dealers which have extended credit to their customers. The Retail Bank s automobile loans typically are originated at fixed interest rates with terms up to 60 months for new and used vehicles. Loans secured by automobiles are generally originated for up to 80% of the N.A.D.A. book value of the automobile securing the loan.

Consumer loan terms vary according to the type and value of collateral, length of contract and creditworthiness of the borrower. The underwriting standards employed by the Company for consumer loans include an application, a determination of the applicant s payment history on other debts and an assessment of ability to meet existing obligations and payments on the proposed loan. Although creditworthiness of the applicant is a primary consideration, the underwriting process also includes a comparison of the value of the security, if any, in relation to the proposed loan amount.

Consumer loans may entail greater credit risk than do residential mortgage loans, particularly in the case of consumer loans which are unsecured or are secured by rapidly depreciable assets, such as automobiles or recreational equipment. In such cases, any repossessed collateral for a defaulted consumer loan may not provide an adequate source of repayment of the outstanding loan balance as a result of the greater likelihood of damage, loss or depreciation. In addition, consumer loan collections are dependent on the borrower s continuing financial stability, and thus are more likely to be affected by adverse personal circumstances. Furthermore, the application of various federal and state laws, including bankruptcy and insolvency laws, may limit the amount which can be recovered on such loans. At September 30, 2010, none of the Company s consumer loan portfolio was non-performing.

Consumer Lending - MPS. MPS has a loan committee consisting of members of Executive Management. The MPS Credit Committee (the Committee ) is charged with monitoring, evaluating, and reporting portfolio performance and the overall credit risk posed by its credit products. All proposed credit programs must first be reviewed and approved by the Committee before such programs are presented to the Company s Board of Directors. The Board of Directors is ultimately responsible for final approval of any credit program.

At September 30, 2010, MPS consumer loan portfolio totaled \$27.7 million, or 7% of its total gross loan portfolio. Of the consumer loan portfolio at September 30, 2010, \$5.2 million were short- and intermediate-term, fixed-rate loans, while \$22.5 million were adjustable-rate loans.

#### **Table of Contents**

The Company believes that well-managed, nationwide credit programs can help meet legitimate credit needs for prime and sub-prime borrowers, and affords the Company an opportunity to diversify the loan portfolio and minimize earnings exposure due to economic downturns. Therefore, MPS designs and administers certain credit programs that accomplish these objectives. MPS programs are managed prudently, in accordance with governing rules and regulations, and without unnecessary exposure to the capital base. To this end, management believes that MPS administers its credit programs in conformance with federal and state laws, regulations, guidance, applicable association rules and regulations, as well as all standards and best practices for safe and sound lending. Notwithstanding this belief, our regulator ordered the termination of our nationwide iAdvance lending program; see Regulation Bank Supervision and Regulation OTS Directives and Related Matters.

MPS has strived to offer consumers innovative payment products, including credit products. Most credit products have fallen into one of two general categories: (1) sponsorship lending and (2) portfolio lending. In a sponsorship lending model, MPS typically originates loans and sells (without recourse) the resulting receivables to third party investors equipped to take the associated credit risk. MPS s sponsorship lending programs are governed by the Policy for Sponsorship Lending which has been approved by the Board of Directors governs portfolio credit initiatives undertaken by MPS, whereby the Company retains some or all receivables and relies on the borrower as the underlying source of repayment. Several portfolio lending programs also have a contractual provision that has indemnified MPS and the Bank for credit losses that meet or exceed predetermined levels. Such a program carries additional risks not commonly found in sponsorship programs, specifically funding and credit risk. Therefore, MPS has strived to employ policies, procedures, and information systems that are commensurate with the added risk and exposure. Due to supervisory directives issued by our regulator, an MPS lending program - iAdvance was eliminated effective October 13, 2010. In addition, the Bank s tax-related programs, which consisted of pre-season tax-related loans and refund transfer services, are subject to prior approval of our regulator. At this time, we do not anticipate offering these programs in fiscal 2011. Finally, our third party relationship programs have been limited to existing third party relationships, absent prior approval to engage in new relationships. For additional discussion, See Regulation Bank Supervision and Regulation OTS Supervisory Directives and Related Matters.

The Company recognizes that concentrations of credit may naturally occur and may take the form of a large volume of related loans to an individual, a specific industry, a geographic location, or an occupation. Credit Concentration is a direct, indirect, or contingent obligation that has a common bond where the aggregate exposure equals or exceeds a certain percentage of the Bank s Tier 1 Capital plus the Allowance for Loan and Credit Card Losses.

The MPS Credit Committee monitors and identifies the credit concentrations and evaluates the specific nature of each concentration to determine the potential risk to the Bank. An evaluation includes the following:

- A recommendation regarding additional controls needed to mitigate the concentration exposure.
- A limitation or cap placed on the size of the concentration.
- The potential necessity of increased capital and/or credit reserves to cover the increased risk caused by the concentration(s).
- A strategy to reduce to acceptable levels those concentration(s) that are determined to create undue risk to the Bank.

Commercial Business Lending. The Company also originates commercial business loans. Most of the Company's commercial business loans have been extended to finance local and regional businesses and include short-term loans to finance machinery and equipment purchases, inventory and accounts receivable. Commercial loans also involve the extension of revolving credit for a combination of equipment acquisitions and working capital in expanding companies. At September 30, 2010, \$19.7 million, or 5% of the Company's total gross loan portfolio, was comprised of commercial business loans.

#### Table of Contents

The maximum term for loans extended on machinery and equipment is based on the projected useful life of such machinery and equipment. Generally, the maximum term on non-mortgage lines of credit is one year. The loan-to-value ratio on such loans and lines of credit generally may not exceed 80% of the value of the collateral securing the loan. The Company s commercial business lending policy includes credit file documentation and analysis of the borrower s character, capacity to repay the loan, the adequacy of the borrower s capital and collateral as well as an evaluation of conditions affecting the borrower. Analysis of the borrower s past, present and future cash flows is also an important aspect of the Company s current credit analysis. Nonetheless, such loans are believed to carry higher credit risk than more traditional investments.

The largest commercial business loan outstanding at September 30, 2010 was a \$4.1 million loan secured by commercial inventory of the borrower. The next largest commercial business loan outstanding at September 30, 2010 was a \$3.9 million loan secured by assets of the borrower. At September 30, 2010, the average outstanding principal balance of a commercial business loan held by the Company was approximately \$80,000.

Unlike residential mortgage loans, which generally are made on the basis of the borrower's ability to make repayment from his or her employment and other income and which are secured by real property whose value tends to be more easily ascertainable, commercial business loans typically are made on the basis of the borrower's ability to make repayment from the cash flow of the borrower's business. As a result, the availability of funds for the repayment of commercial business loans may be substantially dependent on the success of the business itself (which, in turn, is likely to be dependent upon the general economic environment). The Company's commercial business loans are usually, but not always, secured by business assets and personal guarantees. However, the collateral securing the loans may depreciate over time, may be difficult to appraise and may fluctuate in value based on the success of the business. At September 30, 2010, \$0.2 million, or 1.2%, of the Company's commercial business loan portfolio was non-performing. Commercial business loans have been a declining percentage of the Company's loan portfolio since 2005.

#### Originations, Purchases, Sales and Servicing of Loans and Mortgage-Backed Securities

Loans are generally originated by the Company s staff of loan officers. Loan applications are taken and processed in the branches and the main office of the Company. While the Company originates both adjustable-rate and fixed-rate loans, its ability to originate loans is dependent upon the relative customer demand for loans in its market. Demand is affected by the interest rate and economic environment.

The Company, from time to time, sells whole loans and loan participations, generally without recourse. At September 30, 2010, there were no loans outstanding sold with recourse. When loans are sold, the Company sometimes retains the responsibility for collecting and remitting loan payments, making certain that real estate tax payments are made on behalf of borrowers, and otherwise servicing the loans. The servicing fee is recognized as income over the life of the loans. The Company services loans that it originated and sold totaling \$27.1 million at September 30, 2010, of which \$15.8 million were sold to Fannie Mae and \$11.3 million were sold to others.

In periods of economic uncertainty, the Company s ability to originate large dollar volumes of loans may be substantially reduced or restricted, with a resultant decrease in related loan origination fees, other fee income and operating earnings. In addition, the Company s ability to sell loans may substantially decrease as potential buyers (principally government agencies) reduce their purchasing activities.

The following table shows the loan originations (including undisbursed portions of loans in process), purchases, and sales and repayment activities of the Company for the periods indicated. In addition, the table shows mortgage-backed securities purchases, sales and repayment activities. Balances related to discontinued bank operations have been eliminated for all periods presented. By far the largest category of loan originations is Non-Real Estate Consumer loans. Due to the aforementioned OTS Supervisory Directive regarding iAdvance loans, the Non-Real Estate Consumer loan originations will decrease in fiscal 2011. During fiscal 2010, the Company originated \$415.2 million of iAdvance loans.

## Table of Contents

		2010	September 30, 2009 (Dollars in Thousands)	2008
Originations by Type:				
Adjustable Rate:				
Real Estate - 1-4 Family	\$	4,298	\$ 5,783	\$ 14,068
-Commercial and Multi-Family		18,157	13,168	34,894
-Agricultural Real Estate		3,012	6,847	2,058
Non-Real Estate - Consumer		10,612	60,393	183,643
-Commercial Business		20,020	27,224	60,502
-Agricultural Operating		20,345	22,374	27,674
Total Adjustable Rate		76,444	135,789	322,839
Fixed Rate:				
Real Estate - 1-4 Family		28,007	49,566	38,090
-Commercial and Multi-Family		45,863	43,688	107,296
-Agricultural Real Estate		4,133	3,106	8,978
Non-Real Estate - Consumer		984,415	405,001	180,210
-Commercial Business		7,797	9,471	29,647
-Agricultural Operating		54,760	39,512	39,143
Total Fixed-Rate		1,124,975	550,344	403,364
Total Loans Originated		1,201,419	686,133	726,203
Purchases:				
Real Estate - 1-4 Family			1,116	1,079
-Commercial and Multi-Family		4,795	41,745	39,830
- Agricultural Real Estate		392	7,497	215
Non-Real Estate - Commercial Business		400	,,.,,	7,561
- Agricultural Operating		3,343		6,605
Total Loans		8,930	50,358	55,290
Total Mortgage-Backed Securities		435,788	287,113	102,790
Total Purchased		444,718	337,471	158,080
Sales and Repayments: Sales:				
Real Estate - 1-4 Family				6,152
Real Estate - Commercial and Multi-Family				2,296
Non-Real Estate - Consumer		804,171	268,730	257,119
Total Loans		804,171	268,730	265,567
Mortgage-Backed Securities		97,609	32,478	16,990
Total Sales		901,780	301,208	282,557
Repayments:				
Loan Principal Repayments		438,226	511,200	431,372
Mortgage-Backed Securities Repayments		197,841	91,486	34,417
Total Principal Repayments Total Reductions		636,067	602,686 903,894	465,789
Total Reductions		1,537,847	903,894	748,346
Increase (decrease) in other items, net		4,259	7,120	(4,682)
Net Increase (Decrease)	\$	112,549	\$ 126,830	\$ 131,255
	14			

#### Table of Contents

At September 30, 2010, approximately \$55.1 million, or 15.0%, of the Company s gross loan portfolio consisted of purchased loans. The Company believes that purchasing loans outside of its market area assists the Company in diversifying its portfolio and may lessen the adverse affects on the Company s business or operations which could result in the event of a downturn or weakening of the local economy in which the Company conducts its primary operations. However, additional risks are associated with purchasing loans outside of the Company s market area, including the lack of knowledge of the local market and difficulty in monitoring and inspecting the property securing the loans.

At September 30, 2010, the Company s purchased loans were secured by properties located, as a percentage of total loans, as follows: 6% in Oregon, 3% in Iowa, 1% each in Washington, Florida, Minnesota, Missouri, and California and the remaining 1% in seven other states.

#### Non-Performing Assets, Other Loans of Concern, and Classified Assets

When a borrower fails to make a required payment on real estate secured loans and consumer loans within 16 days after the payment is due, the Company generally initiates collection procedures by mailing a delinquency notice. The customer is contacted again, by written notice or telephone, before the payment is 30 days past due and again before 60 days past due. In most cases, delinquencies are cured promptly; however, if a loan has been delinquent for more than 90 days, satisfactory payment arrangements must be adhered to or the Company will initiate foreclosure or repossession.

The following table sets forth the Company s loan delinquencies by type, before allowance for loan losses, by amount and by percentage of type at September 30, 2010.

		Loans Delinquent For: 30-59 Days 60-89 Days 90 Days and Over											
				Percent of			Percent of					Percent of	
	Number	A	mount	Category	Number (Do		mount in Thousa	Category ands)	Number	A	mount	Category	
Real Estate:													
1-4 Family	6	\$	192	4.0%	1	\$	10	1.0%	3	\$	442	5.7%	
Commercial &													
Multi-Family	1		3,900	82.1%	1		746	76.1%	10		4,394	56.6%	
Agricultural Real Estate				0.0%				0.0%	3		2,197	28.3%	
Consumer	7		330	7.0%	5		225	22.9%	3		124	1.6%	
Agricultural Operating				0.0%				0.0%	1		400	5.2%	
Commercial Business	4		329	6.9%				0.0%	4		202	2.6%	
Total	18	\$	4,751	100.0%	7	\$	981	100.0%	24	\$	7,759	100.0%	

Delinquencies 90 days and over constituted 2.1% of total gross loans and 0.7% of total assets.

Generally, when a loan becomes delinquent 90 days or more or when the collection of principal or interest becomes doubtful, the Company will place the loan on a non-accrual status and, as a result, previously accrued interest income on the loan is taken out of current income. The loan will remain on a non-accrual status until the loan becomes current. Loans, with some exceptions, are typically placed on non-accrual status

when the loan becomes 90 days or more delinquent or when the collection of principal and/or interest becomes doubtful. For all years presented, the Company s troubled debt restructurings (which involved forgiving a portion of interest or principal on any loans or making loans at a rate materially less than that of market rates) are included in the table and were performing as agreed. Balances related to discontinued bank operations have been eliminated for all periods presented. The table below sets forth the amounts and categories of non-performing assets in the Company s loan portfolio.

## Table of Contents

	2010		2009		eptember 30, 2008 s in Thousands)	2	2007	2006
Non-Performing Loans					,			
Non-Accruing Loans:								
1-4 Family	\$ 39	\$	266	\$	942	\$	243	\$ 22
Commercial & Multi Family	 4,137	-	11,512		1,302	T		
Agricultural Real Estate	2,650		,		12		13	
Consumer	_,				1		5	
Agricultural Operating	400							182
Commercial Business	241		871		538		1,867	5,076
Total	7,467		12,649		2,795		2,128	5,280
Accruing Loans Delinquent 90 Days or More:	404							
1-4 Family	257							
Commercial & Multi Family Consumer	124							
Commercial Business	124				4,600			
Total	785				4,600			
1 Otal	/83				4,000			
Restructured Loans:								
Agricultural Operating					121		150	
Commercial Business							15	
Total					121		165	
Total Non-Performing Loans	8,252		12,649		7,516		2,293	5,280
Other Assets								
Non-Accruing Investments:								
Trust Preferred Securities	150							
Total	150							
Total	150							
Foreclosed Assets:								
1-4 Family	143							15
Commercial & Multi Family	606		957				229	35
Consumer							24	
Commercial Business	546		1,096				65	
Total	1,295		2,053				318	50
Total Other Assets	1,445		2,053				318	50
Total Other Assets	1,773		2,033				310	50
Total Non-Performing Assets	\$ 9,697	\$	14,702	\$	7,516	\$	2,611	\$ 5,330
Total as a Percentage of Total Assets	0.94%		1.76%	6	1.06%		0.38%	0.72%

For the year ended September 30, 2010, gross interest income which would have been recorded had the non-accruing loans been current in accordance with their original terms amounted to approximately \$656,000, of which none was included in interest income.

#### Table of Contents

*Non-Accruing Loans*. At September 30, 2010, the Company had \$7.5 million in non-accruing loans, which constituted 2.0% of the Company s gross loan portfolio. This represents an improvement from September 30, 2009, when the Company had \$12.6 million in non-accruing loans or 3.2% of its gross loan portfolio. The fiscal 2010 decrease in non-performing loans relates to a decreased in non-accruing loans in the commercial and multifamily category from \$11.5 million to \$4.1 million. There are 8 commercial and multi-family loans in non-accrual status at September 30, 2010.

Accruing Loans Delinquent 90 Days or More. At September 30, 2010, the Company had \$0.8 million in accruing loans delinquent 90 days or more.

Classified Assets. Federal regulations provide for the classification of loans and other assets such as debt and equity securities considered by the Office of Thrift Supervision (the OTS) to be of lesser quality as substandard, doubtful or loss. An asset is considered substandard if it is inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Substandard assets include those characterized by the distinct possibility that the savings association will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected. Assets classified as doubtful have all of the weaknesses inherent in those classified substandard, with the added characteristic that the weaknesses present make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions, and values, highly questionable and improbable. Assets classified as loss are those considered uncollectible and of such minimal value that their continuance as assets without the establishment of a specific loss reserve is not warranted.

When assets are classified as either substandard or doubtful, the Bank may establish general allowances for loan losses in an amount deemed prudent by management. General allowances represent loss allowances which have been established to recognize the inherent risk associated with lending activities, but which, unlike specific allowances, have not been allocated to particular problem assets. When assets are classified as loss, the Bank is required either to establish a specific allowance for losses equal to 100% of that portion of the asset so classified or to charge-off such amount. The Banks determinations as to the classification of their assets and the amount of their valuation allowances are subject to review by their regulatory authorities, who may order the establishment of additional general or specific loss allowances.

On the basis of management s review of its assets, at September 30, 2010, the Company had classified a total of \$33.1 million of its assets as substandard, \$2.1 million as doubtful and none as loss. There were \$1.3 million real estate owned or other foreclosed assets at September 30, 2010.

Allowance for Loan Losses. The allowance for loan losses is established through a provision for loan losses based on management sevaluation of the risk inherent in its loan portfolio and changes in the nature and volume of its loan activity, including those loans which are being specifically monitored by management. Such evaluation, which includes a review of loans for which full collectibility may not be reasonably assured, considers, among other matters, the estimated fair value of the underlying collateral, economic conditions, historical loan loss experience and other factors that warrant recognition in providing for an adequate loan loss allowance.

Management closely monitors economic developments both regionally and nationwide, and considers these factors when assessing the adequacy of its allowance for loan losses. While the Company has no direct exposure to sub-prime mortgage loans, management recognizes that the current recessionary environment may strain the financial condition of some borrowers. Management therefore believes that future losses in the residential portfolio may be somewhat higher than historical experience. Concerns regarding the economic slowdown, has led management to the conclusion that future losses in its commercial and multi-family and commercial business portfolio may be somewhat higher than historical experience. On the other hand, current trends in agricultural markets remain reasonable. Reasonable commodity prices as well as above average yields created positive economic conditions for most farmers in our markets in 2010. Nonetheless, management still expects that future losses in

this portfolio, which have been very low, could be higher than recent historical experience. Management believes that the aforementioned recession may also negatively impact consumers repayment capacities. Additionally, a sizable portion of the Company s consumer loan portfolio is secured by residential real estate, as discussed above, which is an area to be closely monitored by management in view of its stated concerns.

#### **Table of Contents**

The allowance for loan losses established by MPS results from an estimation process that evaluates relevant characteristics of its credit portfolio(s). MPS also considers other internal and external environmental factors such as changes in operations or personnel and economic events that may affect the adequacy of the allowance for credit losses. Adjustments to the allowance for loan losses are recorded periodically based on the result of this estimation process. Due to the varied and unknown nature and structures of future credit programs, the exact methodology to determine the ALL for each program will not be identical. Each program may have differing attributes including such factors as levels of risk, definitions of delinquency and loss, inclusion/exclusion of credit bureau criteria, roll rate migration dynamics, and other factors. Similarly, the additional capital required to offset the increased risk in subprime lending activities may vary by credit program. Each program will need to be evaluated separately and with potentially different methodologies. The increased charge-offs for MPS credit resulted primarily from borrowers in a pre-season tax-related program that peaked in January 2010. Management pro-actively established a provision for loan losses for these loans during the tax pre-season offering period. The majority of the charge-offs for these pre-season tax loans were recorded against the allowance for loan losses in the third quarter of fiscal 2010. The charge-offs were in accordance with management s expectations of the pre-season tax loan program.

Management believes that, based on a detailed review of the loan portfolio, historic loan losses, current economic conditions, the size of the loan portfolio, and other factors, the current level of the allowance for loan losses at September 30, 2010 reflects an adequate allowance against probable losses from the loan portfolio. Although the Company maintains its allowance for loan losses at a level that it considers to be adequate, investors and others are cautioned that there can be no assurance that future losses will not exceed estimated amounts, or that additional provisions for loan losses will not be required in future periods. In addition, the Company s determination of the allowance for loan losses is subject to review by its bank regulator, which can require the establishment of additional general or specific allowances.

Real estate properties acquired through foreclosure are recorded at the lower of cost or fair value. If fair value at the date of foreclosure is lower than the balance of the related loan, the difference will be charged-off to the allowance for loan losses at the time of transfer. Valuations are periodically updated by management and, if the value declines, a specific provision for losses on such property is established by a charge to operations.

## Table of Contents

The following table sets forth an analysis of the Company s allowance for loan losses.

	2010	2009	•	ptember 30, 2008 s in Thousands)	2007	2006
Balance at Beginning of Period	\$ 6,993	\$ 5,732	\$	4,493	\$ 6,391	\$ 6,793
Charge Offs:						
1-4 Family	(185)	(28)		(2)		
Commercial & Multi Family	(6,979)	(2,052)			(1,762)	
Consumer	(12,139)	(8,168)		(5)	(50)	(6)
Commercial Business	(102)	(7,685)		(1,542)	(3,803)	(1,036)
Agricultural Operating		(151)				
Total Charge Offs	(19,405)	(18,084)		(1,549)	(5,615)	(1,042)
Recoveries:						
1-4 Family	1	465		7		
Consumer	1,242	90		12	3	5
Commercial Business	402	39		38	546	324
Agricultural Operating	210	38		16		
Total Recoveries	1,855	632		73	549	329
Net (Charge Offs) Recoveries	(17,550)	(17,452)		(1,476)	(5,066)	(713)
Additions Charged to Operations	15,791	18,713		2,715	3,168	311
Balance at End of Period	\$ 5,234	\$ 6,993	\$	5,732	\$ 4,493	\$ 6,391
Ratio of Net Charge Offs During the Period to						
Average Loans Outstanding During the						
Period	4.36%	4.12%		0.36%	1.43%	0.18%
Ratio of Net Charge Offs During the Period to						
Non-Performing Assets at Year End	180.98%	118.70%		19.64%	194.03%	13.38%

For more information on the Provision for Loan Losses, see Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, which is included in Item 7 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The distribution of the Company s allowance for losses on loans at the dates indicated is summarized as follows:

#### Table of Contents

	At September 30,														
		20	)10		20	009		2	008		20	007		2	006
	An	nount	Percent of Loans in Each Category of Total Loans	A	mount	Percent of Loans in Each Category of Total Loans (Dollars in	-	Amount housands)	Percent of Loans in Each Category of Total Loans	A	mount	Percent of Loans in Each Category of Total Loans	A	mount	Percent of Loans in Each Category of Total Loans
One-to-Four Family	\$	50	11.00%	\$	59	12.21%	\$	98	12.96%	\$	111	12.59%	\$	120	15.41%
Commercial & Multi Family Real															
Estate		3,053	55.06%		4,231	58.33%		3,236	51.24%		1,246	47.13%		1,403	42.17%
Agricultural Real															
Estate		111	6.97%		111	6.70%		94	6.91%		70	4.60%		101	3.74%
Consumer		738	12.93%		243	9.03%		207	11.36%		153	10.20%		116	7.97%
Agricultural															
Operating		125	8.74%		569	7.00%		1,645	7.18%		178	9.19%		205	7.60%
Commercial															
Business		131	5.30%		792	6.73%		148	10.35%		2,404	16.29%		4,140	23.11%
Unallocated		1,026	0.00%		988			304			331			306	
Total	\$	5,234	100.00%	\$	6,993	100.00%	\$	5,732	100.00%	\$	4,493	100.00%	\$	6,391	100.00%

#### **Investment Activities**

General. The investment policy of the Company generally is to invest funds among various categories of investments and maturities based upon the Company s need for liquidity, to achieve the proper balance between its desire to minimize risk and maximize yield, to provide collateral for borrowings, and to fulfill the Company s asset/liability management policies. The Company s investment and mortgage-backed securities portfolios are managed in accordance with a written investment policy adopted by the Board of Directors, which is implemented by members of the Company s Investment Committee. As a result, the Company closely monitors balances in these accounts, and maintains a portfolio of highly liquid assets to fund potential deposit outflows. To date, the Company has not experienced any significant outflows related to MPS over the past six years, though no assurance can be given that this will continue to be the case.

As of September 30, 2010, the Company s entire investment and mortgage-backed securities portfolios were classified as available for sale. For additional information regarding the Company s investment and mortgage-backed securities portfolios, see Notes 1 and 4 to the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, which is included in Part II, Item 8 Consolidated Financial Statements and Supplementary Data of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

As of September 30, 2010, investment and mortgage-backed securities with fair values of approximately \$198.3 million were pledged as collateral for the Bank s Federal Home Loan Bank of Des Moines (FHLB) advances and reverse repurchase agreements. For additional information regarding the Company s collateralization of borrowings, see Notes 9 and 10 to the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statement, which is included in Part II, Item 8 Consolidated Financial Statements and Supplementary Data of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

*Investment Securities*. It is the Company s general policy to purchase investment securities which are U.S. Government securities and federal agency obligations, state and local government obligations, commercial paper, corporate debt securities and overnight federal funds.

The following table sets forth the carrying value of the Company s investment security portfolio, excluding mortgage-backed securities and other equity securities, at the dates indicated. Balances related to discontinued bank operations have been eliminated for all periods presented.

### Table of Contents

	2010	September 30, 2009 rs in Thousands)	2008
Investment Securities			
Trust Preferred & Corporate Securities (1)	\$ 17,551	\$ 15,201 \$	18,174
Municipal Bonds	3,916	2,365	1,537
Subtotal	21,467	17,566	19,711
FHLB Stock	5,283	7,050	8,092
Total Investment Securities and FHLB Stock	\$ 26,750	\$ 24,616 \$	27,803
Other Interest-Earning Assets:			
Interest bearing deposits in other financial institutions and			
Federal Funds Sold (2)	\$ 80,811	\$ 1,198 \$	5,188

Within the trust preferred securities presented above, there are no securities from individual issuers that exceed 10% of the Company s total equity. The name and the aggregate market value of securities of each individual issuer as of September 30, 2010 are as follows: Key Corp Capital I, \$3.2 million; Bank Boston Capital Trust IV, \$3.5 million; BankAmerica Capital III, \$3.5 million; PNC Capital Trust, \$3.8 million; Huntington Capital Trust II, \$2.8 million; CNB, \$507,500; Cascade, \$150,000.

<sup>(2)</sup> The Company at times maintains balances in excess of insured limits at various financial institutions including the FHLB, the FRB, and other private institutions. At September 30, 2010, the Company had no interest bearing deposits held at the FHLB and \$80.8 million in interest bearing deposits held at the FRB, respectively. At September 30, 2010, the Company had no federal funds sold at any private institution.

#### **Table of Contents**

The composition and maturities of the Company s investment securities portfolio, excluding equity securities, FHLB stock and mortgage-backed securities, are indicated in the following table.

		September 30, 2010 After 1 After 5												
	Ca	Year or Less arrying Value		Year Through 5 Years Carrying Value		Years Through 10 Years Carrying Value (Dollars in		After 10 Years Carrying Value usands)		Total Inve- Securit Amortized Cost		nt Fair Value		
Trust Preferred & Corporate														
Securities	\$		\$		\$		\$	17,551	\$	25,467	\$	17,551		
Municipal Bonds		101		1,820		1,582		413		3,769		3,916		
<b>Total Investment Securities</b>	\$	101	\$	1,820	\$	1,582	\$	17,964	\$	29,236	\$	21,467		
Weighted Average Yield (1)		5.53%		4.57%		3.88%		1.14%		1.48%		1.65%		

<sup>(1)</sup> Yields on tax-exempt obligations have not been computed on a tax-equivalent basis.

Mortgage-Backed Securities. The Company s mortgage-backed and related securities portfolio consists primarily of securities issued under government-sponsored agency programs, including those of Ginnie Mae, Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. The Company historically has held Collateralized Mortgage Obligations ( CMOs ), as well as a limited amount of privately issued mortgage pass-through certificates. The Ginnie Mae, Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac certificates are modified pass-through mortgage-backed securities that represent undivided interests in underlying pools of fixed-rate, or certain types of adjustable-rate, predominantly single-family and, to a lesser extent, multi-family residential mortgages issued by these government-sponsored entities. Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac generally provide the certificate holder a guarantee of timely payments of interest, whether or not collected. Ginnie Mae s guarantee to the holder is timely payments of principal and interest, backed by the full faith and credit of the U.S. Government. Privately issued mortgage pass-through certificates generally provide no guarantee as to timely payment of interest or principal, and reliance is placed on the creditworthiness of the issuer, which the Company monitors on a regular basis

At September 30, 2010, the Company had mortgage-backed securities with an amortized cost of \$418.0 million, representing 83% of the total portfolio, which had fixed rates of interest and \$57 million, representing 11% of the total portfolio, which had adjustable rates of interest.

Mortgage-backed securities generally increase the quality of the Company s assets by virtue of the insurance or guarantees that back them, are more liquid than individual mortgage loans and may be used to collateralize borrowings or other obligations of the Company. At September 30, 2010, \$276.9 million or 57% of the Company s mortgage-backed securities were pledged to secure various obligations of the Company.

While mortgage-backed securities carry a reduced credit risk as compared to whole loans, such securities remain subject to the risk that a fluctuating interest rate environment, along with other factors such as the geographic distribution of the underlying mortgage loans, may alter the prepayment rate of such mortgage loans and so affect both the prepayment speed, and value, of such securities. The prepayment risk associated with mortgage-backed securities is monitored periodically, and prepayment rate assumptions adjusted as appropriate to update the Company s

mortgage-backed securities accounting and asset/liability reports.

#### Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the carrying value of the Company s mortgage-backed securities at the dates indicated. Balances related to discontinued bank operations have been eliminated for all periods presented.

	2010	September 30, 2009 ars in Thousands)	2008
CMO	\$	\$	\$ 4
Freddie Mac	13,590	53,353	59,479
Fannie Mae	41,015	118,805	124,577
Ginnie Mae	430,780	175,114	
Privately Issued Mortgages Pass-Through Certificates			63
Total	\$ 485,385	\$ 347,272	\$ 184,123

The following table sets forth the contractual maturities of the Company s mortgage-backed securities at September 30, 2010. Not considered in the preparation of the table below is the effect of prepayments, periodic principal repayments and the adjustable-rate nature of these instruments.

	September 30, 2010											
	r or Less ing Value	After 1 Year Through 5 Years Carrying Value		After 5 Years brough 10 Years Carrying Value (Dollars in	(	After 10 Years Carrying Value isands)		Total Inv Secur Amortized Cost	ities	nt 'air Value		
CMO	\$	\$	\$		\$		\$		\$			
Freddie Mac	5,815					7,775		13,306		13,590		
Fannie Mae				16,241		24,774		38,420		41,015		
Ginnie Mae				19,207		411,573		423,300		430,780		
Total Investment Securities	\$ 5,815	\$	\$	35,448	\$	444,122	\$	475,026	\$	485,385		
Weighted Average Yield	4.30%	0.00	%	3.45%		3.40%		3.41%		3.41%		

At September 30, 2010, the contractual maturity of 91.5% of all of the Company s mortgage-backed securities was in excess of ten years. The actual maturity of a mortgage-backed security is typically less than its stated maturity due to scheduled principal payments and prepayments of the underlying mortgages. Prepayments that are different than anticipated will affect the yield to maturity. The yield is based upon the interest income and the amortization of any premium or discount related to the mortgage-backed security. In accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP), premiums and discounts are amortized over the estimated lives of the loans, which decrease and increase interest income, respectively. The prepayment assumptions used to determine the amortization period for premiums and discounts can significantly affect the yield of the mortgage-backed security, and these assumptions are reviewed periodically to reflect actual prepayments. Although prepayments of underlying mortgages depend on many factors, including the type of mortgages, the coupon rate, the age of mortgages, the geographical location of the underlying real estate collateralizing the mortgages and general levels of market interest rates, the difference between the interest rates on the underlying mortgages and the prevailing mortgage interest rates generally is the most significant determinant of the rate of prepayments. During periods of falling mortgage interest rates, if the coupon rate of the underlying mortgages exceeds the prevailing market interest rates offered for mortgage loans, refinancing generally increases and accelerates the prepayment of the underlying mortgages and the related security. Under such circumstances, the Company may be subject to reinvestment risk because, to the extent that the Company s mortgage-backed securities amortize or prepay faster than anticipated, the Company may not be able to reinvest the proceeds of such repayments

and prepayments at a comparable rate.

#### Table of Contents

Management has implemented a process to identify securities that could potentially have a credit impairment that is other-than-temporary. This process involves evaluation of the length of time and extent to which the fair value has been less than the amortized cost basis, review of available information regarding the financial position of the issuer, monitoring the rating of the security, cash flow projections, and the Company s intent to sell a security or whether it is more likely than not we will be required to sell the security before the recovery of its amortized cost which, in some cases, may extend to maturity. To the extent we determine that a security is deemed to be other-than-temporarily impaired, an impairment loss is recognized. In fiscal year 2010, the other-than-temporary impairment losses taken against the trust preferred securities was \$350,000.

No other-than-temporary impairments were recorded against earnings during fiscal years 2009 or 2008.

#### Sources of Funds

*General.* The Company s sources of funds are deposits, borrowings, amortization and repayment of loan principal, interest earned on or maturation of investment securities and short-term investments, mortgage-backed securities, and funds provided from operations.

Borrowings, including FHLB advances, repurchase agreements and FRB Discount Window, may be used at times to compensate for seasonal reductions in deposits or deposit inflows at less than projected levels, may be used on a longer-term basis to support expanded lending activities, and may also be used to match the funding of a corresponding asset.

Deposits. The Company offers a variety of deposit accounts having a wide range of interest rates and terms. The Company s deposits consist of statement savings accounts, money market savings accounts, NOW and regular checking accounts, deposits related to prepaid cards that are primarily categorized as checking accounts, and certificate accounts currently ranging in terms from fourteen days to 60 months. The Company solicits deposits from its primary market area and does not currently use brokers to obtain deposits. The Company relies primarily on competitive pricing policies, advertising and high-quality customer service to attract and retain these deposits. The Company has no brokered deposits.

The flow of deposits is influenced significantly by general economic conditions, changes in money market and prevailing interest rates, and competition.

The variety of deposit accounts offered by the Company has allowed it to be competitive in obtaining funds and to respond with flexibility to changes in consumer demand. The Company endeavors to manage the pricing of its deposits in keeping with its asset/liability management and profitability objectives. Based on its experience, the Company believes that its savings, money market accounts, NOW and regular checking accounts are relatively stable sources of deposits. However, the ability of the Company to attract and maintain certificates of deposit and the rates paid on these deposits has been and will continue to be significantly affected by market conditions and potentially by the restrictions imposed under the supervisory directives.

\$655.2 million of the Company s deposit portfolio is attributable to MPS. The majority of these deposits represent un-spent funds on prepaid debit cards and other stored value products. \$655.0 million are included with non-interest-bearing checking accounts and \$0.2 million are included with money market accounts on the Company s Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition. Generally, these deposits do not earn interest. MPS originates debit card programs through outside sales agents and other financial institutions. As such, these deposits carry a somewhat higher degree of concentration risk than traditional consumer products. If a major client or card program were to leave the Bank, deposit outflows could be more significant than if the bank were to lose a more traditional customer, although it is considered unlikely that all deposits related to a program would leave the Bank without significant advance notification. MPS has not experienced any significant outflows thus far related to card programs over the past six years, although the potential for migration is higher now due to the supervisory directives. See Regulation Bank Supervision and Regulation OTS Supervisory Directives and Related Matters. The Company takes this additional risk into account when planning its investment and liquidity strategies. The increase in deposits arising from MPS has also allowed the Bank thus far to reduce its reliance on higher costing certificates of deposits and public funds.

## Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the deposit flows at the Company during the periods indicated. Balances related to discontinued bank operations have been eliminated for all periods presented.

	September 30, 2010 2009 (Dollars in Thousands)				2008
Opening Balance	\$ 653,747	\$	499,804	\$	522,978
Deposits	112,086,496		91,862,382		42,199,490
Withdrawals	(111,845,663)		(91,713,207)		(42,218,736)
Sale of Deposits					(9,313)
Interest Credited	2,874		4,768		5,385
Ending Balance	\$ 897,454	\$	653,747	\$	499,804
Net Increase (Decrease)	\$ 243,707	\$	153,943	\$	(23,174)
Percent Increase (Decrease)	37.28%		30.80%		-4.43%

The following table sets forth the dollar amount of savings deposits in the various types of deposit programs offered by the Company for the periods indicated. Balances related to discontinued bank operations have been eliminated for all periods presented.

	2010	2008	2008				
	Amount	Percent of Total	Amount (Dollars in Th	Percent of Total ousands)		Amount	Percent of Total
Transactions and Savings Deposits:							
Non-Interest Bearing Demand Accounts	\$ 675,163	75.23%	\$ 442,158	67.63%	\$	308,852	61.79%
Interest Bearing Demand Accounts	29,976	3.34	15,602	2.39		15,029	3.01
Savings Accounts  Money Market Accounts	10,821 35,422	1.20 3.95	10,001 39,823	1.53 6.09		9,394 43,038	1.88 8.61
Total Non-Certificate	751,382	83.72	507,584	77.64		376,313	75.29
Certificates:							
Variable	380	0.04	524	0.08		779	0.16
0.00 - 1.99% 2.00 - 3.99%	82,716 51,852	9.22 5.78	36,523 78,288	5.59 11.98		7,039 57,977	1.41 11.60
4.00 - 5.99%	11,114	1.24	30,806	4.71		57,686	11.54
6.00 - 7.99%	10	0.00	22	0.00		10	0.00
Total Certificates Total Deposits	\$ 146,072 897,454	16.28 100.00%	\$ 146,163 653,747	22.36 100.00%	\$	123,491 499,804	24.71 100.00%

## Table of Contents

The following table shows rate and maturity information for the Company s certificates of deposit as of September 30, 2010.

	Va	ariable	0.0	0- 1.99%	2.0	00- 3.99% (D	4.00- 5.99% (Dollars in Thousan				Total	Percent of Total
Certificate accounts maturing in quarter ending:												
December 31,												
2010	\$	83	\$	45,767	\$	16,719	\$	1,477	\$		\$ 64,046	43.8%
March 31, 2011		47		9,862		3,448		969			14,326	9.8
June 30, 2011		42		10,297		3,722		719			14,780	10.1
September 30,												
2011		83		4,130		2,531		1,066		10	7,820	5.4
December 31,												
2011		45		6,317		2,213		485			9,060	6.2
March 31, 2012		80		1,214		1,393		1,181			3,868	2.6
June 30, 2012				997		1,441		1,005			3,443	2.4
September 30,												
2012				1,905		737		800			3,442	2.4
December 31,												
2012				1,364		11,121		2,832			15,317	10.5
March 31, 2013				563		1,076		147			1,786	1.2
June 30, 2013				62		1,449		20			1,531	1.0
September 30,												
2013				234		506					740	0.5
Thereafter				4		5,496		413			5,913	4.0
Total	\$	380	\$	82,716	\$	51,852	\$	11,114	\$	10	\$ 146,072	100.0%
		0.451		<u> </u>		A =				0.0-1	100.5	
Percent of total		0.2%		56.6%		35.5%		7.6%		0.0%	100.0%	

The following table indicates the amount of the Company s certificates of deposit and other deposits by time remaining until maturity as of September 30, 2010.

	3 Mo	nths or Less	After	3 to 6 Months	After	Maturity 6 to 12 Months in Thousands)	Aft	er 12 Months	Total		
Certificates of deposit less than \$100,000	\$	26,710	\$	8,325	\$	16,728	\$	32,475	\$	84,238	
Certificates of deposit of \$100,000 or more		37,336		6,001		5,872		12,625	\$	61,834	
Total certificates of deposit	\$	64,046	\$	14,326	\$	22,600	\$	45,100	\$	146,072	

At September 30, 2010, there were \$31.0 million in deposits from governmental and other public entities included in certificates of deposit.

*Borrowings*. Although deposits are the Company s primary source of funds, the Company s policy has been to utilize borrowings when they are a less costly source of funds, can be invested at a positive interest rate spread, or when the Company desires additional capacity to fund loan demand.

The Company s borrowings historically have consisted primarily of advances from the FHLB upon the security of a blanket collateral agreement of a percentage of unencumbered loans and the pledge of specific investment securities. Such advances can be made pursuant to several different credit programs, each of which has its own interest rate and range of maturities. At September 30, 2010, the Bank had \$22.0 million of advances from the FHLB and the ability to borrow up to an approximate additional \$253.9 million. At September 30, 2010, advances totaling \$11.0 million had terms to maturity of one year or less. The remaining \$11.0 million had maturities ranging up to 9 years.

#### Table of Contents

On July 16, 2001, the Company issued all of the 10,000 authorized shares of Company Obligated Mandatorily Redeemable Preferred Securities of First Midwest Financial Capital Trust I (preferred securities of subsidiary trust) holding solely subordinated debt securities. Distributions are paid semi-annually. Cumulative cash distributions are calculated at a variable rate of the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus 3.75%, not to exceed 12.5%. The Company may, at one or more times, defer interest payments on the capital securities for up to 10 consecutive semi-annual periods, but not beyond July 25, 2031. At the end of any deferral period, all accumulated and unpaid distributions will be paid. The capital securities are required to be redeemed on July 25, 2031; however, the Company has a semi-annual option to shorten the maturity date to a date not earlier than July 25, 2007. The option has not been exercised as of the date of this filing. The redemption price is \$1,000 per capital security plus any accrued and unpaid distributions to the date of redemption plus, if redeemed prior to July 25, 2011, a redemption premium as defined in the Indenture Agreement. Holders of the capital securities have no voting rights, are unsecured and rank junior in priority of payment to all of the Company s indebtedness and senior to the Company s common stock. The trust preferred securities have been includable in the Company s capital calculations since they were issued.

From time to time, the Company has offered retail repurchase agreements to its customers. These agreements typically range from 14 days to five years in term, and typically have been offered in minimum amounts of \$100,000. The proceeds of these transactions are used to meet cash flow needs of the Company. At September 30, 2010, the Company had \$8.9 million of retail repurchase agreements outstanding.

Historically, the Company has entered into wholesale repurchase agreements through nationally recognized broker-dealer firms. These agreements are accounted for as borrowings by the Company and are secured by certain of the Company s investment and mortgage-backed securities. The broker-dealer takes possession of the securities during the period that the reverse repurchase agreement is outstanding. The terms of the agreements have usually ranged from 7 days to six months, but on occasion longer term agreements have been entered into. At September 30, 2010, the Company had no wholesale repurchase agreements outstanding.

The Company has a line of credit for \$20.0 million with First Tennessee Bank, NA, at September 30, 2010. The Company has pledged various securities as collateral on the line of credit. There were no outstanding advances at September 30, 2010. See Note 9 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, which is included in Part II, Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for further detail of the Company s borrowings.

## Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the maximum month-end balance and average balance of FHLB advances, retail and reverse repurchase agreements, Subordinated Debentures and under the FRB s Temporary Term Auction Facility ( TAF ) Program borrowings for the periods indicated. Balances related to discontinued bank operations have been eliminated for all periods presented.

	2010	2008	
Maximum Balance:			
FHLB advances	\$ 148,000	\$ 100,950	\$ 162,525
Repurchase agreements	11,880	24,351	51,439
Subordinated debentures	10,310	10,310	10,310
FRB TAF Borrowings	50,000	25,000	
Overnight Fed Funds Purchased	10,000		
Average Balance:			
FHLB advances	\$ 75,067	\$ 46,844	\$ 103,768
Repurchase agreements	7,479	13,299	9,794
Subordinated debentures	10,310	10,310	10,310
FRB TAF Borrowings	6,113	2,123	
Overnight Fed Funds Purchased	1,689		

The following table sets forth certain information as to the Company s FHLB advances and other borrowings at the dates indicated. Balances related to discontinued bank operations have been eliminated for all periods presented.

	2010	2008		
FHLB advances	\$ 22,000	\$ 74,800	\$	132,025
Repurchase agreements	8,904	6,686		5,348
Subordinated debentures	10,310	10,310		10,310
FRB TAF Borrowings		25,000		
Total borrowings	\$ 41,214	\$ 116,796	\$	147,683
Weighted average interest rate of FHLB advances	5.10%	3.26%		4.28%
Weighted average interest rate of repurchase				
agreements	0.50%	0.49%		3.00%
Weighted average interest rate of subordinated				
debentures	4.21%	4.38%		7.83%
Weighted average interest rate of FRB TAF				
Borrowings	0.25%	0.25%		0.00%

#### **Subsidiary Activities**

The subsidiaries of the Company are the Bank, Meta Trust and First Midwest Financial Capital Trust I. The Bank has one service corporation subsidiary, First Services Financial Limited (First Services). At September

#### Table of Contents

30, 2010, the net book value of the Bank s investment in First Services was approximately \$115,000. The Bank organized First Services, its sole service corporation, in 1983. First Services currently has no active operations. Meta Trust was sold to a third party on September 30, 2010. The impact to the financial results of the Company is nominal.

#### Meta Payment Systems® Division

Meta Financial, through the MPS division of its bank subsidiary, is focused on the electronic payments industry and offers a complement of prepaid cards, consumer credit products and other payment industry related products and services that are marketed to consumers through financial institutions and other commercial entities. The products and services offered by MPS are generally designed to facilitate the processing and settlement of authorized electronic transactions involving the movement of funds, some of which were previously deposited at the Bank. MPS offers specific product solutions in the following areas: (i) prepaid cards, (ii) consumer credit products, and (iii) ATM sponsorship. MPS products and services generally target banks, card processors and third parties who market and distribute the cards.

Each segment of MPS business is discussed generally below. With respect to the segments, there can be a significant amount of cross-selling and cross-utilization of personnel and resources (*e.g.*, a client asks MPS to develop products for both prepaid and consumer credit needs). As described elsewhere herein, the Bank is subject to restrictions set forth in the supervisory directives issued by the OTS. For further discussion see Regulation Bank Supervision and Regulation OTS Supervisory Directives and Related Matters.

*Prepaid Cards*. Prepaid cards take the form of credit card-sized plastics embedded with a magnetic stripe which encodes relevant card data (which may or may not include information about the user and/or purchaser of such card). When the holder of such a card attempts a permitted transaction, necessary information, including the authorization for such transaction, is shared between the point of use or point of sale and authorization systems maintaining the account of record.

The funds associated with such cards are typically held in pooled accounts at the Bank representing the aggregate value of all cards issued in connection with particular products or programs, further described below. The cards may work in a closed loop (*e.g.*, the card will only work at one particular merchant and will not work anywhere else), a semi-closed loop (*e.g.*, the card will only work at a specific set of merchants such as a shopping mall), or open loop which function as a Visa, MasterCard, or Discover branded debit card that will work wherever such cards are accepted for payment. Most of MPS prepaid cards are open-loop.

This segment of MPS business can generally be divided into three categories: reloadable cards, non-reloadable cards, and benefit/insurance cards. These programs are typically offered via a third party relationship. We are under restriction, by order of OTS, not to enter into new third party relationships or amend same absent prior approval. For further information, see Regulation Bank Supervision and Regulation OTS Supervisory Directives and Related Matters. Government benefits are another growing application for prepaid cards; however, MPS has not focused on this category to date.

Reloadable Cards. The most common reloadable prepaid card programs are payroll cards, whereby an employee s payroll is loaded to the card by their employer utilizing direct deposit, or General Purpose Reloadable (GPR) whereby cards are usually distributed by retailers and can be reloaded an indefinite number of times at participating retail load networks. Other examples of reloadable cards are travel cards which are used to replace travelers checks and can be reloaded a predetermined number of times and tax cards where a taxpayer s refund, refund anticipation

loan, or preseason tax loan proceeds are placed on the card. Reloadable cards are generally open loop cards that consumers can use to obtain cash at ATMs or purchase goods and services wherever such cards are accepted for payment.

Non-Reloadable Cards. Non-reloadable prepaid cards are sometimes referred to as disposable and may only be used until the relevant funds initially loaded to the card have been exhausted. These include gift cards, rebate cards, and promotional or incentive cards. These cards may be closed loop or open loop but are generally not available to obtain cash. Under certain conditions, these cards may be anonymous, whereby no customer

#### Table of Contents

relationship is created and the identity of the cardholder is unknown. Except for gift cards, many non-reloadable card programs are funded by a corporation as a marketing expense rather than from consumer funds.

Benefit/Insurance Cards. Benefit/insurance cards are traditionally used by employers and large commercial companies (such as property insurers) to distribute benefits to persons entitled to such funds. Possible uses of benefit cards could be the distribution of money for qualified expenses related to an employer sponsored flexible spending account program (FSA) or the distribution of insurance claim proceeds to insureds who have made a payable claim against an existing insurance policy. These cards are generally open loop or semi-closed loop as in the case of an FSA card that can only be used for qualified medical expenses.

Consumer Credit Products. In its belief that credit programs can help meet legitimate credit needs for prime and sub-prime borrowers, and afford the Company an opportunity to diversify the loan portfolio and minimize earnings exposure due to economic downturns, the Company has offered certain credit programs that were designed to accomplish these objectives. One such program iAdvance was eliminated at the direction of the OTS effective October 13, 2010. Further, we may not offer tax-related programs, such as pre-season or refund anticipation loans or refund transfer services in fiscal 2011 unless we receive prior approval from OTS. As described elsewhere herein and in our Form 8-K filed on December 2, 2010, we do not anticipate offering tax loan or refund transfer programs in 2011. For further information, see Regulation Bank Supervision and Regulation OTS Supervisory Directives and Related Matters.

MPS strives to offer consumers innovative payment products, including credit products. Most credit products will fall into one of two general categories: (1) sponsorship lending and (2) portfolio lending. In a sponsorship lending model, MPS typically originates loans and sells (without recourse) the resulting receivables to third party investors equipped to take the associated credit risk. In portfolio lending, the Company retains some or all receivables and relies on the borrower as the underlying source of repayment. Several portfolio lending programs also have a contractual provision that indemnifies MPS and the Bank for credit losses that meet or exceed predetermined levels. Such a program carries additional risks not commonly found in sponsorship programs, specifically funding and credit risk. Under such programs, MPS typically utilizes vendors to market and service the loans.

ATM Sponsorship. MPS sponsors financial institutions into various networks to enable them to issue network-branded debit cards and accept cards issued by other financial institutions at their ATM terminals. The division also sponsors ATM independent sales organizations ( ISOs ) into various networks and provides associated sponsorships of encryption support organizations and third party processors in support of the financial institutions and the ATM ISO sponsorships. Sponsorship consists of the review and oversight of entities participating in debit and credit networks. In certain instances, MPS also has certain leasehold interests in certain ATMs which require bank ownership and registration for compliance with applicable state law.

While the Company believes that it has adopted policies and procedures to manage and monitor the risks attendant to this line of business, and while the executives who manage the Company s program have years of experience, no guarantee can be made that the Company will not experience losses in this division.

The same restrictions applicable to third-party relationships as described above also apply to our ATM Sponsorship business; See Regulation Bank Supervision and Regulation OTS Supervisory Directives and Related Matters.

### Regulation

The recent financial crisis has generated numerous legislative proposals now under consideration by Congress and the various federal banking agencies. Some of these proposals would dramatically alter the financial landscape, from both operational and regulatory points of view, and could materially impact financial institutions, including the Bank. At this time, no prediction can be made when, whether, or to what extent these proposals will be adopted into law or regulatory policy. See Risk Factors which is included in Item 1A of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 ( the Dodd-Frank Act ). In response to the current national and international economic recession and to strengthen supervision of financial institutions and

#### Table of Contents

systemically important nonbank financial institutions, Congress and the U.S. government have taken a variety of actions, including the enactment of the Dodd-Frank Act on July 21, 2010. The Dodd-Frank Act represents the most comprehensive change to banking laws since the Great Depression of the 1930s and mandates changes in several key areas: regulation and compliance (both with respect to financial institutions and systemically important nonbank financial companies), securities regulation, executive compensation, regulation of derivatives, corporate governance, transactions with affiliates, deposit insurance assessments and consumer protection. Importantly for the Bank, the Dodd-Frank Act also abolishes the OTS on July 21, 2011, and transfers rulemaking authority and regulatory oversight to the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC) with respect to federal savings banks, such as the Bank, and to the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System with respect to savings and loan holding companies, such as the Company. While the changes in the law required by the Dodd-Frank Act will most significantly have a major impact on large institutions, even relatively small institutions such as ours will be affected.

Pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Act, the Bank will be subject to regulations promulgated by a new consumer protection bureau housed within the Federal Reserve, known as the Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection (the Bureau or BCFP). The Bureau will consolidate rules and orders with respect to consumer financial products and services and will have substantial power to define the rights of consumers and responsibilities of lending institutions, such as the Bank. The Bureau will not, however, examine or supervise the Bank for compliance with such regulations; rather, based on its size, enforcement authority will remain with the Bank s primary federal regulator although the Bank may be required to submit reports or other materials to the Bureau upon its request. The date upon which the Bureau will begin to exercise its authority has been designated by the Secretary of the Treasury as July 21, 2011.

With respect to MPS, the Dodd-Frank Act also contains a provision that requires the Federal Reserve to adopt regulations setting standards to determine whether an interchange fee charged by a larger bank (i.e., one with assets of more than \$10 billion) for debit card transactions is reasonable and proportional to the cost incurred by the issuer with respect to the transaction. Given the synergies and interconnectivity between all parties in payment systems processing in the United States, this requirement may mean that the Federal Reserve s limitations will apply to all participants in the card market because the networks may determine to pay the same interchange to all issuers, regardless of asset size.

The Dodd-Frank Act also included a provision that supplements the Federal Trade Commission Act s (the FTC Act ) prohibitions against practices that are unfair or deceptive by also prohibiting practices that are abusive. This term has not yet been defined by implementing regulations but, once it is defined, the Bank will be required to evaluate all of its consumer financial products and services to ensure they are in compliance with this provision.

In addition, and among other legislative changes that we and the Bank will need to assess, the Dodd-Frank Act requires us to: (1) be subject to a new assessment model from the FDIC based upon assets, not deposits (as described herein); (2) be subject to enhanced executive compensation and corporate governance requirements; and (3) evaluate our capital compliance at the holding company level due to our issuance of trust preferred securities.

The extent to which the new legislation and existing and planned governmental initiatives thereunder will succeed in ameliorating tight credit conditions or otherwise result in an improvement in the national economy is uncertain. In addition, because most of the component parts of the Dodd-Frank Act will be subject to intensive agency rulemaking and subsequent public comment over the next several months, it is difficult to predict the ultimate effect of the Dodd-Frank Act on us or the Bank at this time. It is likely, however, that our operational expenses will increase as a result of new compliance requirements.

*Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008.* On October 3, 2008, President Bush signed into law the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 (EESA), giving the US Treasury authority to take certain actions to restore liquidity and stability to the U.S. banking markets.

Based upon its authority in the EESA, a number of programs to implement the EESA have been announced. Those programs include the following:

• Capital Purchase Program ( CPP ). Pursuant to this program, the US Treasury, on behalf of the US government, purchased up to \$250 billion of preferred stock, along with warrants to purchase common stock, from certain financial institutions, including bank holding companies, savings and loan holding

31

#### Table of Contents

companies and banks or savings associations not controlled by a holding company. The investment had a dividend rate of 5% per year, until the fifth anniversary of the US Treasury s investment and a dividend of 9% thereafter. The program was terminated and no new investments could be made after October 3, 2010. Neither the Bank nor the Company participated in this program.

• Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program. That program contained both a debt guarantee component, whereby the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) guaranteed certain senior unsecured debt issued by eligible financial institutions, and a transaction account guarantee component, whereby the FDIC insured 100% of non-interest bearing deposit transaction accounts held at eligible financial institutions, such as payment processing accounts, payroll accounts and working capital accounts through June 30, 2010. The Bank opted out of both components of this program. Importantly, however, the Dodd-Frank Act requires all insured financial institutions, such as the Bank, to provide such products with FDIC insurance from December 31, 2010 until December 31, 2012. This protection will not apply to interest-bearing NOW accounts or Interest on Lawyers Trust Accounts, however. The FDIC has not stated that deposit insurance premiums will increase because of the provision.

Given the current international, national and regional economic climate, it is unclear what effect the provisions of the EESA will have with respect to the profitability and operations of both the Company and the Bank. In addition to the EESA, the US government, either through the US Treasury or some other federal agency, may also advance additional programs that could materially impact the profitability and operations of both the Company and the Bank. The failure of these governmental efforts to stabilize national and international markets could have a material effect on the Company s business, financial condition, results of operations or access to the credit markets.

S.A.F.E. Act Requirements. On July 28, 2010, the OTS issued final rules requiring residential mortgage loan originators who are employees of federal savings banks to meet the registration requirements of the Secure and Fair Enforcement for Mortgage Licensing Act of 2008 (the S.A.F.E. Act ). The S.A.F.E. Act requires residential mortgage loan originators who are employees of regulated financial institutions to be registered with the Nationwide Mortgage Licensing System and Registry, a database created by the Conference of State Bank Supervisors and the American Association of Residential Mortgage Regulators to support the licensing of mortgage loan originators by the states (the Registry ). Employees of regulated financial institutions are generally prohibited from originating residential mortgage loans unless they are registered. According to the final rule, due to various system modifications and enhancements required to make the existing system capable to accept Federal Registrants, the system is not expected to be available to accept Federal Registrants before January 2011. Individuals and Institutions will be directed on how to proceed by the appropriate agency.

Incentive Compensation Regulation. The OTS issued on June 21, 2010 final guidance to ensure that incentive compensation arrangements at federal savings banks take into account risk and are consistent with safe and sound banking practices. The guidance was designed to ensure that incentive compensation arrangements appropriately tie rewards to longer-term performance and do not undermine the safety and soundness of the entity or create undue risks to the financial system. As a result of this guidance, the Company and the Bank will incorporate the risks related to incentive compensation into their broader risk-management framework.

The Public-Private Partnership Investment Program for Legacy Assets. Announced by the FRB and the FDIC on March 23, 2009, this program consists of two plans (the Legacy Loan Program and the Legacy Securities Program) designed to assist insured depository institutions in the sale of certain assets. MetaBank will not participate in either program.

USA Patriot Act of 2001. In October 2001, the USA Patriot Act of 2001 (the Patriot Act ) was enacted in response to the terrorist attacks in New York, Pennsylvania and Washington, D.C. which occurred on September 11, 2001. The Patriot Act is intended to strengthen U.S. law enforcement s and the intelligence communities abilities to work cohesively to combat terrorism on a variety of fronts. The potential impact of

the Patriot Act on financial institutions of all kinds is significant and wide-ranging. The Patriot Act contains sweeping anti-money laundering and financial transparency laws and imposes various regulations, including standards for verifying client identification at account opening, and rules to promote cooperation among financial institutions, regulators and law enforcement entities in identifying parties that may be involved in terrorism or money laundering. Among other provisions, the Patriot Act requires financial institutions to have anti-money laundering programs in place and

#### Table of Contents

requires banking regulators to consider a holding company s effectiveness in combating money laundering when ruling on certain merger or acquisition applications.

Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. On July 30, 2002, President Bush signed into law the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (the SOA). The SOA is the most far-reaching U.S. securities legislation enacted in many years, and includes many substantive and disclosure-based requirements. The stated goals of the SOA are to increase corporate responsibility, to provide for enhanced penalties for accounting and auditing improprieties at publicly traded companies and to protect investors by improving the accuracy and reliability of corporate disclosures pursuant to the securities laws. The SOA generally applies to all companies, both U.S. and non-U.S., that file or are required to file periodic reports with the SEC under the Exchange Act.

Pursuant to Section 302 of the SOA, Meta Financial s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer are required to certify that the Company s quarterly and annual reports filed with the SEC fairly present, in all material respects, the operations and conditions of Meta Financial. In addition, as required by Section 404 of the SOA, management must make an assessment regarding the effect of internal controls on financial reporting. Management s assessment of the effectiveness of its internal control over financial reporting is included in Item 9A. This Annual Report does not include an attestation report of the Company s independent registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management s report is not subject to attestation by the Company s independent registered public accounting firm pursuant to the permanent exemption provided to issuers that are not large accelerated filers or accelerated filers under the Dodd-Frank Act.

Meta Financial has developed policies, procedures and internal processes to ensure compliance with the SOA. It is believed, however, that the implementation of the SOA s compliance requirements will result in additional expense for Meta Financial.

Credit Card Regulation. The Credit Card Accountability Responsibility and Disclosure Act was signed into law on May 22, 2009 (the Credit Card Act ). The Credit Card Act bans retroactive rate increases, requires that bills be due no less than 21 days from the time of mailing, requires that credit card contracts be accessable on the Internet, and allows consumers to opt-in if they choose to use a card issuer s over-limit protection. While certain open-end credit programs of the Bank will be impacted by the Credit Card Act, the operational and financial impact to the Bank will be immaterial. The Bank does not anticipate that any of its open-end line of credit programs will be discontinued or extensively modified as a result of the Credit Card Act. Two of the Bank s credit card programs will have significant changes to the terms and conditions as a result of the Credit Card Act. However, the account portfolio for these programs is relatively small, so any financial impact to the Bank due to any modifications to these programs will be minimal.

Gift card provisions in the Credit Card Act took effect on August 22, 2010. These provisions impose new restrictions on the use of expiration dates and fees on gift cards, including both open-loop and closed-loop cards. Certain provisions can also apply to general purpose reloadable and promotional cards (i.e., reloadable cards that are not marketed or labeled as gift cards, cards not marketed to the general public and cards that are loyalty or award cards are not subject to the fee and expiration restrictions). If a card is subject to the Credit Card Act s fee restrictions, then (1) fees cannot be imposed within a year after the card was issued or within a year after the cardholder s last use, (2) only one fee is permitted in any month, and (3) certain disclosures related to the fees and the timing of their imposition must be clearly and conspicuously disclosed. If a card is subject to the Credit Card Act s expiration provisions, then the card must give consumers a reasonable opportunity to purchase the card with at least five years remaining until the card expiration date and the funds loaded onto such card must not expire before the later of five years after the date on which the card was issued or the card expiration date (if any). As a result of these changes, we expect there will be a reduction in the Company s revenue of approximately \$2.0 million in fiscal 2011.

The Homeowners Affordability and Stability Plan ( HASP ). Announced in February 2009, the HASP is a \$75.0 billion dollar federal program providing for loan modifications targeted at borrowers who are at risk of foreclosure because their incomes are not sufficient to meet their mortgage payments. It is anticipated that this program will have minimal impact on the Company.

#### **Table of Contents**

*Privacy*. The Bank is required by statute and regulation to disclose their privacy policies to its consumers and, on an annual basis, to its customers. Pursuant to such privacy notices, the Bank is customers may opt out of the sharing of their nonpublic personal information with non-affiliated third parties. The Bank is also required to appropriately safeguard its customers personal information.

Other Regulation. The Bank is also subject to a variety of other regulations with respect to their business operations including, but not limited to, the Truth in Lending Act, the Truth in Savings Act, the Equal Credit Opportunity Act, the Electronic Funds Transfer Act, the Fair Housing Act, the Home Mortgage Disclosure Act, the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, and the Fair Credit Reporting Act. As discussed below, any change in the regulations affecting the Bank's operations is not predicable and could affect the Bank's operations and profitability.

#### **Bank Supervision & Regulation**

General. The Bank, a federal savings bank subsidiary of Meta Financial, a unitary savings and loan holding company, is subject to regulation, examination and supervision by both the OTS and the FDIC. The Bank must file regular reports with the OTS, which regularly inspects the Bank and its subsidiaries to evaluate their compliance with regulatory requirements and their safety and soundness. OTS and, depending on the transaction, other bank regulatory agencies also possess approval authority before the Bank may enter into certain transactions such as mergers with or acquisitions of other financial institutions. As discussed below, the Bank s deposits are insured up to applicable limits by the Depositors Insurance Fund (the DIF) which is administered by the FDIC.

The Bank is also a member of the FHLB System and is subject to certain limited regulation by the FRB.

Meta Financial currently has two wholly-owned subsidiaries: the Bank, a federally chartered thrift institution; and First Midwest Financial Capital Trust I, a statutory business trust organized under the Delaware Business Trust Act.

Regulatory authorities have been granted extensive discretion in connection with their supervisory and enforcement activities which are intended to strengthen the financial condition of the banking industry, including the imposition of restrictions on the operation of an institution, the classification of assets by the institution, and the adequacy of an institution s allowance for loan losses. Typically, these actions are undertaken due to violations of laws or regulations or conduct of operations in an unsafe or unsound manner.

Any change in the nature of such regulation and oversight, whether by the OTS, the FDIC, the FRB or legislatively by Congress, could have a material impact on Meta Financial or the Bank and their respective operations. The discussion herein of the regulatory and supervisory structure within which the Bank operates is general and does not purport to be exhaustive or a complete description of the laws and regulations involved in the Bank soperations. The discussion is qualified in its entirety by the actual laws and regulations.

Federal Regulation of the Bank. Federal law and regulation, including but not limited to the Home Owners Loan Act and the related regulations issued by the OTS, govern the activities of all federal savings associations, including the Bank. For example, as discussed in further detail below, certain lending authority for federal savings associations (such as commercial, nonresidential real property and consumer loans) is limited to a specified percentage of the association s capital or assets.

The OTS has extensive supervisory and regulatory authority over the operations of savings associations. As part of this authority, the Bank is required to file periodic reports with the OTS and is subject to periodic examination by the OTS, as discussed above. The last regular examination of the Bank was conducted in late 2010.

OTS also has extensive enforcement authority over its regulated institutions. This enforcement authority includes, among other things, the power to compel higher reserves, the ability to assess civil money penalties, the ability to issue cease-and-desist or removal orders and the ability to initiate injunctive actions. In general, these enforcement actions may be initiated for violations of laws and regulations and unsafe or unsound practices. Other actions or inactions may provide the basis for enforcement action, including misleading or untimely reports. The federal banking agencies have adopted guidelines establishing safety and soundness standards on such matters as

#### **Table of Contents**

loan underwriting and documentation, asset quality, earnings standards, internal controls and audit systems, interest rate risk exposure and compensation and other employee benefits. Generally, any institution which fails to comply with these standards must submit a compliance plan. For further discussion, see Standards for Safety and Soundness and OTS Supervisory Directives and Related Matters, herein.

In addition, the investment, lending and branching authority of the Bank is prescribed by federal law and it is prohibited from engaging in any activities not permitted by such laws. For example, a federal savings association is generally permitted to branch into any state or multiple states subject to OTS approval.

The Bank's general permissible lending limit to one borrower is equal to the greater of \$500,000 or 15% of unimpaired capital and surplus (except for loans fully secured by certain readily marketable collateral, in which case this limit is increased to 25% of unimpaired capital and surplus). At September 30, 2010, the Bank's lending limit under these restrictions was \$12.0 million. The Bank is in compliance with this lending limit.

OTS Supervisory Directive and Related Matters. The Company has previously disclosed in a Form 8-K that it had received on October 6, 2010 a Supervisory Directive that clarified and supplemented an initial Supervisory Directive dated August 31, 2010, which was followed by communications and meetings between the OTS and senior management of the Bank. The OTS supervisory directives arose from a comprehensive examination of the Bank. The OTS has not yet presented its final report of examination to the Bank.

The OTS Directives, based on OTS assessment of the Bank's third-party relationship risk, enterprise risk management, and rapid growth (in the MPS segment), require the Bank to obtain the prior written approval of the OTS Regional Director to:

- enter into any new third party relationship agreements concerning any credit product, deposit product (including prepaid access), or automatic teller machine or materially amend any such existing agreements (except for amendments to achieve compliance with applicable laws and regulations) or publicly announce any new third party relationship agreements or material amendments to existing agreements;
- originate, directly or through any third party, income tax refund anticipation loans or other loans where the expected source of repayment is a tax refund; and
- offer an income tax refund transfer processing service directly or through any third party during the 2011 tax season.

Further, the Form 8-K stated that the OTS advised us on October 6 that it has determined that the Bank engaged in unfair or deceptive acts or practices in violation of Section 5 of the Federal trade Commission Act and the OTS Advertising Regulation in connection with the Bank s operation of the iAdvance program, and required the Bank to discontinue all iAdvance line of credit origination activity by October 13, 2010. The Form 8-K also indicated the OTS will address in the future its expectations with respect to reimbursement of borrowers under the iAdvance program. The OTS has not yet informed us of its expectations regarding such reimbursement.

The Bank is contesting the OTS findings with respect to the alleged unfair or deceptive alleged acts or practices. We cannot predict at this time the outcome, whether any reimbursement or fine will be imposed on the Bank, or if imposed what the amount of such reimbursement fine would be.

#### Table of Contents

It is customary, following the OTS examination of a bank, for the OTS to issue a report of examination which requires the bank to correct any deficiencies noted during the course of the examination. Bank regulatory agencies such as the OTS, which have broad discretionary powers to enforce banking laws and regulations, may also seek to take formal supervisory enforcement action if such actions are necessary or required. Under applicable laws, the OTS has the ability to impose substantial sanctions, restrictions, and requirements on the Bank if it determines, upon examination or otherwise, that violations of laws with which a bank must comply have occurred, or weaknesses or failures exist with respect to general standards of safety and soundness. Applicable law prohibits disclosure by the institution of specific OTS examination findings, although formal enforcement actions are routinely disclosed by the regulatory authorities. If a bank regulatory agency such as the OTS issues a formal order it may require corrective steps, impose limits on activities, fines, prescribe lending parameters or impose reporting requirements, and could conceivably require additional capital to be raised. Often, corrective steps result in increased compliance costs for internal and external resources. In many cases, policies and procedures must be revised by the institution and submitted to the regulatory authority for approval within time frames prescribed by the regulatory authorities. Failure to adhere to the requirements of the actions, once issued, can result in more severe restrictions. Generally, these enforcement actions can be lifted only after subsequent examinations substantiate correction of the underlying deficiencies. Such deficiencies may encompass any number of subjects, including risk management policies and procedures, compliance with the Bank Secrecy Act, other compliance rules, as well as other bank regulatory requirements. The OTS will typically seek to have a bank take corrective actions with respect to any deficiencies identified in the report of examination. With respect to our exam, we cannot predict with certainty the subjects that will be addressed and the means used to achieve these corrections. See Item 1A. Risk Factors Related to Our Industry and Business difficult economic and market conditions have adversely affected our industry and Risks Related to the Company s Business We cannot predict the possibility of future regulatory action.

Insurance of Accounts and Regulation by the FDIC. The Bank is a member of the DIF, which is administered by the FDIC. Deposits are insured up to applicable limits by the FDIC and such insurance is backed by the full faith and credit of the United States Government. As insurer, the FDIC imposes deposit insurance premiums and is authorized to conduct examinations of and to require reporting by FDIC-insured institutions. It also may prohibit any FDIC-insured institution from engaging in any activity the FDIC determines by regulation or order to pose a serious risk to the DIF. The FDIC also has authority to initiate enforcement actions against any FDIC-insured institution after giving its primary federal regulator the opportunity to take such action, and may terminate the deposit insurance if it determines that the institution has engaged in unsafe or unsound practices or is in an unsafe or unsound condition.

The FDIC imposes an assessment against all depository institutions for deposit insurance. This assessment is based on the risk category of the institution and, prior to 2009, ranged from five to 43 basis points of the institution s deposits. The FDIC issued a final rule on December 22, 2008, that raised the current deposit insurance assessment rates uniformly by seven basis points (to a range from 12 to 50 basis points) effective for the first quarter 2009. On February 27, 2009, the FDIC issued a final rule that changed the calculation of FDIC insurance rates beginning in the second quarter of 2009. Under this rule, the FDIC first establishes an institution s initial base assessment rate. This initial base assessment rate ranges, depending on the risk category of the institution, from 12 to 45 basis points. The FDIC then adjusts the initial base assessment (higher or lower) to obtain the total base assessment rate. The adjustment to the initial base assessment rate is based upon an institution s levels of unsecured debt, secured liabilities, and brokered deposits. The total base assessment rate currently ranges from seven to 77.5 basis points of the institution s deposits.

Adequately capitalized banks cannot normally pay dividends or make any capital contributions that would leave it undercapitalized; they cannot pay a management fee to a controlling person if, after paying the fee, it would be undercapitalized; and they cannot accept, renew or roll over any brokered deposit unless the bank has applied for and been granted a waiver by the FDIC. Under a new interest rate restriction rule that became effective in January 2010, the FDIC has defined the national rate for all interest-bearing deposits held by less-than-well capitalized institutions as a simple average of rates paid by all insured depository institutions and branches for which data are available and has stated that its presumption is that this national rate is the prevailing rate in any market. As such, less-than-well capitalized institutions generally may not pay an interest rate in excess of the national rate plus 75 basis points.

#### Table of Contents

Undercapitalized banks may not accept, renew or rollover brokered deposits, and are subject to restrictions on the soliciting of deposits over prevailing rates. In addition, undercapitalized banks are subject to certain regulatory restrictions. These restrictions include, among others, that such a bank generally may not make any capital distributions, must submit an acceptable capital restoration plan to the FDIC, may not increase its average total assets during a calendar quarter in excess of its average total assets during the preceding calendar quarter unless any increase in total assets is consistent with a capital restoration plan approved by the FDIC and the bank s ratio of equity to total assets increases during the calendar quarter at a rate sufficient to enable the Bank to become adequately capitalized within a reasonable time. In addition such banks may not acquire a business, establish or acquire a branch office or engage in a new line of business without regulatory approval. In addition, as part of a capital restoration plan, the Company must generally guarantee that the bank will return to adequately capitalized status and provide appropriate assurances of performance of that guarantee. If a capital restoration plan is not approved, or if the bank fails to implement the plan in any material respect, the bank would be treated as if it were significantly undercapitalized, which would result in the imposition of a number of additional requirements and restrictions. It should also be noted that all FDIC insured institutions are assigned an assessment risk. In general, weaker banks (those with a higher assessment risk) are subject to higher assessments than stronger banks. An adverse change in category can lead to materially higher expenses for insured institutions. Finally, bank regulatory agencies have the ability to seek to impose higher than normal capital requirements known as individual minimum capital requirements ( IMCR ) for institutions with higher risk profiles. If the Bank s capital status now well-capitalized changes as a result of future operations or regulatory order, the company s financial condition or result of operations could be adversely affected. See OTS Supervisory Directives and Related Matters.

The FDIC announced on November 12, 2009, that insured depository institutions were required to prepay three years of deposit insurance premiums on December 30, 2009. Under the rule, the prepaid amount was based on an estimate of the institution s assessment rate in effect on September 30, 2009, its third quarter 2009 assessment base, and an estimated rate of increase in that assessment base. MetaBank was assessed \$4.1 million. At September 30, 2010, the Bank s risk category assignment required a payment of 14.36 cents per \$100 of assessable deposits.

Under the Dodd-Frank Act, a permanent increase in deposit insurance was authorized to \$250,000 (insurance coverage had previously been temporarily raised to that level until December 13, 2013). The coverage limit is per depositor, per insured depository institution for each account ownership category.

The Dodd-Frank Act also set a new minimum DIF reserve ratio at 1.35% of estimated insured deposits. The FDIC is required to attain this ratio by September 30, 2020. In addition, the Dodd-Frank Act will have a significant impact on the calculation of deposit insurance assessment premiums going forward. Specifically, the Dodd-Frank Act generally requires the FDIC to define the deposit insurance assessment base for an insured depository institution as an amount equal to the institution s average consolidated total assets during the assessment period minus average tangible equity. The FDIC has issued a proposed rulemaking that implements this change to the assessment calculation but has said that the new assessment rate schedule should result in the collection of assessment revenue that is approximately revenue neutral even though the new assessment base under Dodd-Frank Act is larger than the current assessment base. Because of this change, the new proposed assessment rates are lower than current rates and range generally from 2.5 basis points to 45 basis points. Notably, there is a separate insurance assessment rate schedule that has been proposed for larger institutions (i.e., institutions with at least \$10 billion in assets).

The proposed FDIC rules also provide the FDIC s board with the flexibility to adopt actual rates that are higher or lower than the total base assessment rates adopted without notice and comment if certain restrictions are met.

DIF-insured institutions pay a Financing Corporation (FICO) assessment in order to fund the interest on bonds issued to resolve thrift failures in the 1980s. For the fourth quarter of 2010, the FICO assessment was equal to 1.04 basis points for each \$100 in domestic deposits. These assessments will continue until the bonds mature in 2019.

Under the Federal Deposit Insurance Act (FDIA), the FDIC may terminate deposit insurance upon a finding that the institution has engaged in unsafe or unsound practices, is in an unsafe or unsound condition to continue operations, or has violated any applicable law, regulation, rule, order or condition imposed by the FDIC or the OTS. Management of the Bank does not know of any practice, condition or violation that might lead to termination of deposit insurance.

#### **Table of Contents**

Assessments. As a federal savings bank, the Bank is required to pay assessments to the OTS to fund its operations. Paid semi-annually, these assessments are based upon the institution s total assets, including consolidated subsidiaries, as reported in the Bank s latest quarterly financial report, its general financial condition and the complexity of the Bank s portfolio.

Regulatory Capital Requirements. Federally insured financial institutions, such as the Bank, are required to maintain a minimum level of regulatory capital. These capital requirements mandate that an institution maintain at least the following ratios: (1) a core (or Tier 1) capital to adjusted total assets ratio of 4% (which can be reduced to 3% for highly rated institutions); (2) a Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets ratio of 4%; and (3) a risk-based capital to risk-weighted assets ratio of 8%. Core (Tier 1) capital is defined as common stockholders—equity (including retained earnings), certain noncumulative perpetual preferred stock and related surplus and minority investments in equity accounts of consolidated subsidiaries, less intangibles other than certain mortgage servicing rights and credit card relationships. Supplementary capital is currently defined to include cumulative preferred stock, long-term perpetual preferred stock, mandatory convertible securities, subordinated debt and intermediate preferred stock, the allowance for loan and lease losses limited to a maximum of 1.25% of risk-weighted assets and up to 45% of unrealized gains on available-for-sale equity securities with readily determinable fair market values. Overall, the amount of supplementary capital included as part of total capital cannot exceed 100% of core capital.

Generally, in meeting the tangible, leverage and risk-based capital standards, federal savings associations must deduct investments in and loans to subsidiaries engaged in activities as principal that are not permissible for a national bank. If a subsidiary s activities are permitted to a national bank, that subsidiary s assets are generally consolidated with those of the parent s on a line-for-line basis.

Capital requirements in excess of the standards set forth above may be imposed on individual institutions on a case-by-case basis upon a determination that the association s capital level is or may become inadequate in light of the particular circumstances. The OTS and the FDIC are generally permitted to take enforcement action against a savings bank that fails to meet its capital requirements. Such action may include restrictions on operations and banking activities, the imposition of a capital directive, a cease-and-desist order, civil money penalties, or more stringent measures such as the appointment of a conservator or receiver or a forced merger with another institution.

As of September 30, 2010, the Bank exceeded all of its regulatory capital requirements with core, tangible and risk-based capital ratios of 7.29%, 7.29% and 18.80% respectively, and was designated as well-capitalized under federal guidelineSee Note 15 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, which is included in Part II, Item 8 Consolidated Financial Statements and Supplementary Data of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Prompt Corrective Action. Federal banking regulators are authorized and, under certain circumstances, required to take certain actions against banks that fail to meet their capital requirements. Effective December 19, 1992, the federal banking agencies were given additional enforcement authority with respect to undercapitalized depository institutions. Under the regulations, an institution is deemed to be (a) well capitalized if it has total risk-based capital of 10.0% or more, has a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of 6.0% or more, has a Tier 1 leverage capital ratio of 5.0% or more and is not subject to any order or final capital directive to meet and maintain a specific capital level for any capital measure; (b) adequately capitalized if it has a total risk-based capital ratio of 8.0% or more, a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of 4.0% or more and a Tier 1 leverage capital ratio of 4.0% or more (3.0% under certain circumstances) and does not meet the definition of well capitalized; (c) undercapitalized if it has a total risk-based capital ratio that is less than 8.0%, a tier 1 risk-based capital ratio that is less than 4.0% (3.0% under certain circumstances); (d) significantly undercapitalized if it has a total risk-based capital ratio that is less than 6.0%, a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio that is less than 3.0% or a Tier 1 leverage capital ratio that is less than 6.0%, a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio that is less than 3.0% or a Tier 1 leverage capital ratio that is less than 6.0%, a federal banking agency may reclassify a well capitalized institution as adequately capitalized and may require an adequately capitalized or undercapitalized institution to comply with supervisory actions as if the institution were in the next lower category.

The federal banking agencies are generally required to take action to restrict the activities of an undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized or critically undercapitalized bank. Any such bank must submit a capital restoration plan that is guaranteed by the parent holding company. Until such plan is approved, it may not increase its assets, acquire another institution, establish a branch or engage in any new activities, and

### Table of Contents

generally may not make capital distributions. The banking regulators are authorized to impose additional restrictions, discussed below, that are applicable to significantly undercapitalized institutions.

Any institution that fails to comply with its capital plan or is significantly undercapitalized (*ie*, Tier 1 risk-based or core capital ratios of less than 3% or a risk-based capital ratio of less than 6%) must be made subject to one or more of additional specified actions and operating restrictions mandated by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991 (FDICIA). These actions and restrictions include requiring the issuance of additional voting securities; limitations on asset growth; mandated asset reduction; changes in senior management; divestiture, merger or acquisition of the association; restrictions on executive compensation; and any other action the OTS deems appropriate. An institution that becomes critically undercapitalized is subject to further mandatory restrictions on its activities in addition to those applicable to significantly undercapitalized associations. In addition, the appropriate banking regulator must appoint a receiver (or conservator with the FDIC s concurrence) for an institution, with certain limited exceptions, within 90 days after it becomes critically undercapitalized. Any undercapitalized institution is also subject to other possible enforcement actions, including the appointment of a receiver or conservator. The appropriate regulator is also generally authorized to reclassify an institution into a lower capital category and impose restrictions applicable to such category if the institution is engaged in unsafe or unsound practices or is in an unsafe or unsound condition.

Institutions must file a capital restoration plan with the OTS within 45 days of the date it receives a notice from the OTS that it is undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized, or critically undercapitalized. Compliance with a capital restoration plan must be guaranteed by a parent holding company. In addition, the OTS is permitted to take any one of a number of discretionary supervisory actions, including but not limited to the issuance of a capital directive and the replacement of senior executive officers and directors.

The imposition of any of these measures on the Bank may have a substantial adverse effect on it and on the Company s operations and profitability. Meta Financial shareholders do not have preemptive rights and, therefore, if Meta Financial is directed by the OTS or the FDIC to issue additional shares of Common Stock, such issuance may result in the dilution in shareholders percentage of ownership of Meta Financial.

Institutions in Troubled Condition. Certain events, including entering into a formal written agreement with a bank s regulator that requires action to improve the bank s financial condition, or simply being informed by the regulator that the bank is in troubled condition, will automatically result in limitations on so-called golden parachute agreements pursuant to Section 18(K) of the FDIA. In addition, organizations that are in troubled condition must give 30 days written notice before appointing a Director or Senior Executive Officer, pursuant to Section 32 of the FDIA.

Branching by Federal Savings Associations. Generally, subject to OTS approval, federal savings banks like the Bank are able to branch nationwide without state law interference. Community Reinvestment Act performance is assessed, however, and could be the basis for the denial of branching (or other) applications submitted to the OTS by a federal savings bank.

Standards for Safety and Soundness. The federal banking agencies have adopted the Interagency Guidelines Establishing Standards for Safety and Soundness. The guidelines establish certain safety and soundness standards for all depository institutions. The operational and managerial standards in the guidelines relate to the following: (1) internal controls and information systems; (2) internal audit systems; (3) loan documentation; (4) credit underwriting; (5) interest rate exposure; (6) asset growth; (7) compensation, fees and benefits; (8) asset quality; and (9) earnings. Again, rather than providing specific rules, the guidelines set forth basic compliance considerations and guidance with respect to a depository institution. Failure to meet the standards in the guidelines, however, could result in a request by the OTS to the Bank to provide a written compliance plan to demonstrate its efforts to come into compliance with such guidelines.

Limitations on Dividends and Other Capital Distributions. The OTS imposes various restrictions on savings associations with respect to their ability to make distributions of capital, which include dividends, stock redemptions or repurchases, cash-out mergers and other transactions charged to the capital account. The OTS also prohibits a savings association from declaring or paying any dividends or from repurchasing any of its stock if, as a

### Table of Contents

results of such action, the regulatory capital of the association would be reduced below the amount required to be maintained for the liquidation account established in connection with the Bank s mutual to stock conversion.

Savings institutions such as the Bank may make a capital distribution without the approval of the OTS, provided they notify the OTS 30 days before they declare the capital distribution and they meet the following requirements: (i) have a regulatory rating in one of two top examination categories; (ii) are not of supervisory concern, and will remain adequately or well-capitalized, as found in the OTS prompt corrective action regulations, following the proposed distribution; and (iii) the distribution does not exceed their net income for the calendar year-to-date plus retained net income for the previous two calendar years (less any dividends previously paid). If a savings institution does not meet the above state requirements, it must obtain prior approval of the OTS before declaring any proposed distributions.

The OTS has the authority, however, to prohibit a proposed capital distribution that would otherwise be permitted by regulation if it determines that such distribution would be an unsafe or unsound activity. The Bank is also subject to certain restrictions on dividends as a result of its conversion from the mutual form of ownership.

Qualified Thrift Lender Test. All savings associations, including the Bank, are required to meet a qualified thrift lender (QTL) test to avoid certain restrictions on their operations. This test requires a savings association to have at least 65% of its portfolio assets (as defined by regulation) in qualified thrift investments (primarily residential mortgages and related investments, including certain mortgage-backed securities) on a monthly average for nine out of every 12 months on a rolling basis or meet the requirements for a domestic building and loan association under the Internal Revenue Code. Under either test, the required assets primarily consist of residential housing related to loans and investments. At September 30, 2010, the Bank met the test and always has since its effectiveness.

Any savings association that fails to meet the QTL test must convert to a national bank charter, unless it qualifies as a QTL within one year and thereafter remains a QTL, or limits its new investments and activities to those permissible for both a savings association and a national bank. In addition, the association is subject to national bank limits for payment of dividends and branching authority. If such association has not requalified or converted to a national bank within three years after the failure, it must divest all investments and cease all activities not permissible for a national bank.

Community Reinvestment Act. Under the Community Reinvestment Act ( CRA ), every FDIC-insured institution has a continuing and affirmative obligation consistent with safe and sound banking practices to help meet the credit needs of its entire community, including low- and moderate-income neighborhoods. The CRA does not establish specific lending requirements or programs for financial institutions nor does it limit an institution s discretion to develop the types of products and services that it believes are best suited to a particular community. The CRA requires the OTS, in connection with the examination of the Bank, to assess the institution s record of meeting the credit needs of its community and to take such record into account in its evaluation of certain applications, such as a merger or the establishment of a branch by the institution. An unsatisfactory rating may be used as the basis for the denial of such an application. The Bank was examined for CRA compliance in October 2007 and received a satisfactory rating.

Interstate Banking and Branching. The FRB may approve an application of an adequately capitalized and adequately managed bank holding company to acquire control of, or acquire all or substantially all of the assets of, a bank located in a state other than such holding company s home state, without regard to whether the transaction is prohibited by the laws of any state. In general, the FRB may not approve the acquisition of a bank that has not been in existence for the minimum time period (not exceeding five years) specified by the statutory law of the host state or if the applicant (and its depository institution affiliates) controls or would control more than 10% of the insured deposits in the United States or 30% or more of the deposits in the target bank s home state or in any state in which the target bank maintains a branch. Iowa has adopted a five

year minimum existence requirement.

The federal banking agencies are also generally authorized to approve interstate merger transactions without regard to whether such transaction is prohibited by the law of any state. Interstate acquisitions of branches or the establishment of a new branch is permitted only if the law of the state in which the branch is located permits

### Table of Contents

such acquisitions. Interstate mergers and branch acquisitions are also subject to the nationwide and statewide insured deposit concentration amounts described above. Iowa permits interstate branching only by merger.

Transactions with Affiliates. The Bank must comply with Sections 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act relative to transactions with affiliates, generally defined to mean any company that controls or is under common control with the institution (as such, Meta Financial is an affiliate of the Bank for these purposes). Transactions between an institution or its subsidiaries and its affiliates are required to be on terms as favorable to the Bank as terms prevailing at the time for transactions with nonaffiliates. In addition, certain of these transactions, such as loans to an affiliate, are restricted to a percentage of the institutions capital (*e.g.*, the aggregate amount of covered transactions with any individual affiliate is limited to 10% of the capital and surplus of the institution; the aggregate amount of covered transactions with all affiliates is limited to 20% of the institution s capital and surplus). In addition, a savings and loan holding company may not lend to any affiliate engaged in activities not permissible for a savings and loan holding company or acquire the securities of most affiliates. The OTS has the discretion to treat subsidiaries of savings institutions as affiliates on a case-by-case basis.

On April 1, 2003, the Federal Reserve s Regulation W, which comprehensively amends sections 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act, became effective. The Federal Reserve Act and Regulation W are applicable to the Bank. The Regulation unifies and updates staff interpretations issued over the years, incorporates several new interpretive proposals (such as to clarify when transactions with an unrelated third party will be attributed to an affiliate) and addresses new issues arising as a result of the expanded scope of non-banking activities engaged in by banks and bank holding companies in recent years and authorized for financial holding companies under the Financial Services Modernization Act of 1999.

The Dodd-Frank Act also included specific changes to the law related to the definition of covered transaction in Sections 23A and 23B and limitations on asset purchases from insiders. With respect to the definition of covered transaction, the Dodd-Frank Act now defines that term to include the acceptance of debt obligations issued by an affiliate as collateral for a bank s loan or extension of credit to another person or company. In addition, a derivative transaction with an affiliate is now deemed to be a covered transaction to the extent that such a transaction causes a bank or its subsidiary to have a credit exposure to the affiliate. A separate provision of the Dodd-Frank Act states that an insured depository institution may not purchase an asset from, or sell an asset to a bank insider (or their related interests) unless (1) the transaction is conducted on market terms between the parties, and (2) if the proposed transaction represents more than 10 percent of the capital stock and surplus of the insured institution, it has been approved in advance by a majority of the institution s non-interested directors.

Certain transactions with directors, officers or controlling persons are also subject to conflict of interest regulations. These conflict of interest regulations and other statutes also impose restrictions on loans to such persons and their related interests. Among other things, such loans must be made on terms substantially the same as for loans to unaffiliated individuals and must not create an abnormal risk of repayment or other unfavorable features for the Bank.

Federal Home Loan Bank System. The Bank is a member of the FHLB, one of 12 regional FHLBs that administers the home financing credit function of savings associations that is subject to supervision and regulation by the Federal Housing Finance Agency. All advances from the FHLB are required to be fully secured by sufficient collateral as determined by the FHLB. In addition, all long-term advances must be used for residential home financing.

As members of the FHLB System, the Bank is required to purchase and maintain activity-based capital stock in the FHLB in the amount of 4.45% to support outstanding advances and mortgage loans. At September 30, 2010, the Bank had in the aggregate \$5.3 million in FHLB stock, which was in compliance with this requirement. For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010, dividends paid by the FHLB to the Bank totaled

\$138,000.

Under federal law, the FHLBs are required to provide funds for the resolution of troubled savings associations and to contribute to low- and moderately priced housing programs through direct loans or interest subsidies on advances targeted for community investment and low- and moderate-income housing projects. These contributions have affected adversely the level of FHLB dividends paid and could continue to do so in the future. These contributions could also have an adverse effect on the value of FHLB stock in the future. A reduction in

41

#### **Table of Contents**

value of the Bank s FHLB stock may result in a corresponding reduction in the Bank s capital. In addition, the federal agency that regulates the FHLBs has required each FHLB to register its stock with the SEC, which will increase the costs of each FHLB and may have other effects that are not possible to predict at this time.

Federal Securities Law. The common stock of Meta Financial is registered with the SEC under the Exchange Act, as amended. Meta Financial is subject to the information, proxy solicitation, insider trading restrictions and other requirements under the Exchange Act.

Meta Financial s stock held by persons who are affiliates (generally officers, directors and principal stockholders) of the Company may not be resold without registration unless sold in accordance with certain resale restrictions. If Meta Financial meets specified current public information requirements, each affiliate of the Company, subject to certain requirements, will be able to sell, in the public market, without registration, a limited number of shares in any three-month period.

#### **Holding Company Supervision & Regulation**

Meta Financial is a unitary savings and loan holding company within the meaning of the Home Owners Loan Act. (Meta Financial deregistered as a bank holding company once it completed the sale of MetaBank WC in the spring of 2008.) As such, it is registered with the Office of Thrift Supervision and is subject to OTS regulations, examinations, supervision, reporting requirements and regulations concerning corporate governance and activities. The OTS requires payment of a semi-annual assessment which includes a \$3,000 base assessment with an additional assessment based upon the holding company s risk or complexity, organizational form and condition.

Pursuant to federal law, a savings and loan holding company may not, directly or indirectly: (i) acquire control of a savings institution without prior OTS approval; (ii) through one or more subsidiaries, acquire more than 5% of the voting stock of another savings institution, or its holding company, without the prior written approval of the OTS; (iii) acquire through merger, consolidation or purchase of assets of another savings institution (or holding company thereof) without prior OTS approval, or (iv) acquire control of an uninsured institution. When considering applications made by holding companies to it, the OTS must consider the financial and managerial resources and future prospects of the company and institution(s) involved, the effect of the potential acquisition on the DIF, the convenience and needs of the community and competitive issues.

Failure to Meet QTL Test. If a banking subsidiary of a savings and loan holding company fails to meet the QTL test, the holding company must register with the FRB as a bank holding company within one year of the savings institution s failure to comply.

Acquisition of Control. Under the Change in Bank Control Act, a notice must be submitted to the OTS if any person (defined to include both individuals and corporate entities) or group of persons acting in concert seeks to acquire control of a savings and loan holding company or its depository institution subsidiary. An acquisition of control can occur upon the acquisition of 10% or more of the voting stock of a savings and loan holding company or savings institution subsidiary or as otherwise defined by the OTS. This presumption of control at the 10% level of ownership of voting securities can by rebutted with a submission to the OTS prior to the acquisition of stock or the occurrence of any other circumstance giving rise to such presumption.

Pursuant to the CIBC Act, the OTS has 60 days from the filing of a complete notice to act; taking into consideration certain factors, including the effect the acquisition could have on the depositors or the public, the competitive effects of the acquisition and the financial and managerial resources of the acquirer. Any company deemed to be in control would then be subject to regulation as a savings and loan holding company and subject to registration with the OTS and the agency s registration, examination and regulation.

Pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Act, as described previously, it is expected that the Federal Reserve will have jurisdiction over the Company (and that the OCC will have jurisdiction over the Bank) upon the merger of OTS into the OCC.

### Table of Contents

#### **Federal and State Taxation**

Federal Taxation. Meta Financial and its subsidiaries file consolidated federal income tax returns on a fiscal year basis using the accrual method of accounting. In addition to the regular income tax, corporations, including savings banks such as the Bank, generally are subject to a minimum tax. An alternative minimum tax is imposed at a minimum tax rate of 20% on alternative minimum taxable income, which is the sum of a corporation s regular taxable income (with certain adjustments) and tax preference items, less any available exemption. The alternative minimum tax is imposed to the extent it exceeds the corporation s regular income tax and net operating losses can offset no more than 90% of alternative minimum taxable income.

To the extent earnings appropriated to a savings bank s bad debt reserves and deducted for federal income tax purposes exceed the allowable amount of such reserves computed under the experience method and to the extent of the bank s supplemental reserves for losses on loans (Excess), such Excess may not, without adverse tax consequences, be utilized for the payment of cash dividends or other distributions to a shareholder (including distributions on redemption, dissolution or liquidation) or for any other purpose (except to absorb bad debt losses). As of September 30, 2010, the Bank s Excess for tax purposes totaled approximately \$6.7 million.

*Iowa Taxation*. The Bank files Iowa franchise tax returns. Meta Financial and First Services Financial, a subsidiary of the Bank file a consolidated Iowa corporation tax return on a fiscal year-end basis.

Iowa imposes a franchise tax on the taxable income of mutual and stock savings banks and commercial banks. The tax rate is 5%, which may effectively be increased, in individual cases, by application of a minimum tax provision. Taxable income under the franchise tax is generally similar to taxable income under the federal corporate income tax, except that, under the Iowa franchise tax, no deduction is allowed for Iowa franchise tax payments and taxable income includes interest on state and municipal obligations. Interest on U.S. obligations is taxable under the Iowa franchise tax and under the federal corporate income tax. The taxable income for Iowa franchise tax purposes is apportioned to Iowa through the use of a one-factor formula consisting of gross receipts only.

Taxable income under the Iowa corporate income tax is generally similar to taxable income under the federal corporate income tax, except that, under the Iowa tax, no deduction is allowed for Iowa income tax payments; interest from state and municipal obligations is included in income; interest from U.S. obligations is excluded from income; and 50% of federal corporate income tax payments are deductible from income. The Iowa corporate income tax rates range from 6% to 12% and may be effectively increased, in individual cases, by application of a minimum tax provision.

South Dakota Taxation. The Bank and Meta Trust Company file a consolidated South Dakota franchise tax return due to their operations in Sioux Falls and Brookings. The South Dakota franchise tax is imposed on depository institutions and trust companies. Meta Financial and the Bank s subsidiaries are therefore not subject to the South Dakota franchise tax.

South Dakota imposes a franchise tax on the taxable income of depository institutions and trust companies at the rate of 6%. Taxable income under the franchise tax is generally similar to taxable income under the federal corporate income tax, except that, under the South Dakota franchise tax, no deduction is allowed for state income and franchise taxes, income from municipal obligations exempt from federal taxes are included in the franchise taxable income, and there is a deduction allowed for federal income taxes accrued for the fiscal year. The taxable

income for South Dakota franchise tax purposes is apportioned to South Dakota through the use of a three-factor formula consisting of tangible real and personal property, payroll and gross receipts.

*Delaware Taxation.* As a Delaware holding company, Meta Financial is exempted from Delaware corporate income tax but is required to file an annual report with and pay an annual fee to the State of Delaware. Meta Financial is also subject to an annual franchise tax imposed by the State of Delaware.

43

#### **Table of Contents**

### Competition

The Company s retail banking operation faces strong competition, both in originating real estate and other loans and in attracting deposits. Competition in originating real estate loans comes primarily from commercial banks, savings banks, credit unions, captive finance companies, insurance companies, and mortgage bankers making loans secured by real estate located in the Company s market area. Commercial banks and credit unions provide vigorous competition in consumer lending. The Company competes for real estate and other loans principally on the basis of the quality of services it provides to borrowers, interest rates and loan fees it charges, and the types of loans it originates.

The Company s retail banking operation attracts deposits through its retail banking offices, primarily from the communities in which those retail banking offices are located; therefore, competition for those deposits is principally from other commercial banks, savings banks, credit unions and brokerage offices located in the same communities. The Company competes for these deposits by offering a variety of deposit accounts at competitive rates, convenient business hours, and convenient branch locations with interbranch deposit and withdrawal privileges at each.

The Company s payment systems division serves customers nationally and faces competition from large commercial banks and specialty providers of electronic payments processing and servicing, including prepaid, debit, and credit card issuers, ACH processors, and ATM network sponsors. Many of these national players are aggressive competitors, leveraging relationships and economies of scale.

#### **Employees**

At September 30, 2010, the Company and its subsidiaries had a total of 415 full-time equivalent employees. The Company s employees are not represented by any collective bargaining group. Management considers its employee relations to be good.

## **Executive Officers of the Company Who Are Not Directors**

The following information as to the business experience during the past five years is supplied with respect to the executive officers of the Company who do not serve on the Company s Board of Directors. There are no arrangements or understandings between such persons named and any persons pursuant to which such officers were selected.

Mr. Troy Moore III, age 42, is Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of the Company and the Bank after being appointed to the position on June 27, 2005. In addition, Mr. Moore is a member of the Executive Committees for both the Company and the Bank. Mr. Moore had been the president of the Central Iowa Market of the Bank, a position he had held since 1998. He joined the Bank in 1997 as a Vice President in the Central Iowa Market. Previously, Mr. Moore served as District Representative and District Manager for Maytag for 7 years. Mr. Moore received a Bachelor of Business Administration degree from Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa. Mr. Moore is the son-in-law of James S. Haahr, the Company s Chairman of the Board, and the brother-in-law of J. Tyler Haahr, the Company s President and Chief Executive Officer.

Mr. David W. Leedom, age 56, is Executive Vice President, Secretary, Treasurer, and Chief Financial Officer of the Company after being appointed to the position on January 28, 2008. Additionally, Mr. Leedom is a member of the Executive Committees for both the Company and the Bank. Mr. Leedom has over 25 years of experience in the banking and financial services industry to the company. Mr. Leedom previously served as Senior Vice President of Portfolio Credit and Business Analytics at the Bank from January 2007 to October 2007 when he was appointed Acting Chief Financial Officer. He previously served as a Senior and as an Executive Vice President for BankFirst for 11 years prior to joining Meta in January 2007; his experience at BankFirst included his positions as EVP of Accounting and Finance and Credit Portfolio Management. Mr. Leedom s experience also includes 11 years at Citibank. Mr. Leedom received a Bachelor of Business Administration in Accounting degree from the University of Iowa.

#### **Table of Contents**

#### Item 1A. Risk Factors

Factors that, individually or in the aggregate, we think could cause our actual results to differ materially from expected and historical results include those described below as well as other risks and factors identified from time to time in our SEC filings. The Company s business could be harmed by any of the risks noted below. The trading price of the Company s common stock could decline due to any of these risks, and you may lose all or part of your investment. In assessing these risks, you should also refer to the other information contained in this annual report on Form 10-K, including the Company s financial statements and related notes.

#### Risks Related to Our Industry and Business

Difficult economic and market conditions have adversely affected our industry.

Dramatic declines in the housing market, with decreasing home prices and increasing delinquencies and foreclosures, have negatively impacted the credit performance of mortgage and construction loans and resulted in significant write-downs of assets by many financial institutions across the United States. General downward economic trends, reduced availability of commercial credit and increasing unemployment have negatively impacted the credit performance of commercial and consumer credit, resulting in additional write-downs. Concerns over the stability of the financial markets and the economy have resulted in decreased lending by financial institutions to their customers and to each other. This market turmoil and tightening of credit has led to increased commercial and consumer deficiencies, lack of customer confidence, increased market volatility and widespread reduction in general business activity. Financial institutions have experienced decreased access to deposits and borrowings. The resulting economic pressure on consumers and businesses and the lack of confidence in the financial markets may adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and stock price. A worsening of these conditions would likely exacerbate the adverse effects of these difficult market conditions on us and others in the financial institutions industry. In particular, we may face the following risks in connection with these events:

- We face increased regulation of our industry. Compliance with such regulation may increase our costs and limit our ability to pursue business opportunities;
- Customer demand for loans secured by real estate could be reduced due to weaker economic conditions, an increase in unemployment, a decrease in real estate values or an increase in interest rates;
- The process we use to estimate losses inherent in our credit exposure requires difficult, subjective and complex judgments, including forecasts of economic conditions and how these economic conditions might impair the ability of our borrowers to repay their loans. The level of uncertainty concerning economic conditions may adversely affect the accuracy of our estimates which may, in turn, impact the reliability of the process;
- The value of the portfolio of investment securities that we hold, including our trust preferred securities, may be adversely affected; and

• If, due to financial setbacks or as a result of any OTS-ordered reimbursement, fine, or order our FDIC risk assessment category changes, we may be required to pay significantly higher FDIC insurance premiums in the future. See Regulation.

The full impact of the recently enacted Dodd-Frank Act is currently unknown given that much of the details and substance of the new laws will be determined through agency rulemaking.

The compliance burden and impact on our operations and profitability with respect to the Dodd-Frank Act are currently unknown, as the Dodd-Frank Act delegates to various federal agencies the task of implementing its many provisions through regulation. Hundreds of new federal regulations, studies and reports addressing all of the major areas of the new law, including the regulation of federal savings associations and their holding companies, will be required, ensuring that federal rules and policies in this area will be further developing for months and years to come. Based on the provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act and anticipated implementing regulations, it is highly likely

### Table of Contents

that banks and thrifts as well as their holding companies will be subject to significantly increased regulation and compliance obligations that expose us to noncompliance risk and consequences.

The elimination of the OTS and transfer of the OTS s supervisory and rulemaking functions to various federal banking agencies will change the way that we are regulated.

As the OTS is due to be abolished 90 days after transferring its supervisory and rulemaking functions to various federal agencies, both the Bank and Company will be transitioning to the jurisdiction of new primary federal regulators, which will change the way that we are regulated. Specifically, the OTS is supervisory and rulemaking functions (except for consumer protection) relating to all federal savings associations will be transferred to the OCC, while the OTS is supervisory and rulemaking functions relating to savings and loan holding companies and their non-depository institution subsidiaries will be transferred to the FRB. While the OCC and FRB are directed to implement existing OTS regulations, orders, resolutions, determinations and agreements for thrifts and their holding companies under the HOLA, the transition of supervisory functions from the OTS to these agencies could alter the operations of the Bank and Company so as to be more closely aligned with the OCC is and FRB is respective supervision of national banks and bank holding companies. While the functions of the OTS will formally be transferred to the OCC and FRB in July 2011 (or else by January 2012 if a six-month extension is required), we may see changes in the way we are supervised and examined sooner, and may experience operational challenges in the course of transition to our new regulators.

The BCFP may reshape the consumer financial laws through rulemaking and enforcement of unfair, deceptive or abusive practices, which may directly impact the business operations of depository institutions offering consumer financial products or services including the Bank.

The BCFP has broad rulemaking authority to administer and carry out the purposes and objectives of the Federal consumer financial laws, and to prevent evasions thereof, with respect to all financial institutions that offer financial products and services to consumers. The BCFP is also authorized to prescribe rules, applicable to any covered person or service provider, identifying and prohibiting acts or practices that are unfair, deceptive, or abusive in connection with any transaction with a consumer for a consumer financial product or service, or the offering of a consumer financial product or service (UDAP authority). The full reach and impact of the BCFP s broad new rulemaking powers and UDAP authority on the operations of financial institutions offering consumer financial products or services is currently unknown. Notwithstanding, insured depository institutions with assets of \$10 billion or less will continue to be supervised and examined by their primary federal regulators, rather than the BCFP, with respect to compliance with the federal consumer protection laws.

Legislative and regulatory initiatives taken to date may not achieve their intended objectives; proposed and future initiatives may substantially increase compliance burdens and further impact our financial condition or results of operations.

Legislative and regulatory initiatives taken to date by Congress and the federal banking regulators to address financial regulatory reform may not achieve their intended objectives, thereby requiring additional legislation or regulation of the financial services industry. Furthermore, the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision and other international bodies comprised of banking regulators have committed to raise capital standards and liquidity buffers within the banking system by imposing new requirements, for example a requirement that all regulatory capital instruments issued by depository institutions must include contractual terms that allow regulators to write them off or convert them to common shares in the event of the institution s failure. Such proposals may impose more stringent standards than currently applicable or anticipated with respect to capital and liquidity requirements for depository institutions. We cannot predict whether such proposals will ultimately be adopted, or the impact that such proposals, if adopted, would have upon our financial condition or results of operations.

#### **Table of Contents**

We have a concentration of our assets in mortgage-backed securities.

As of September 30, 2010 approximately 47.1% of the Bank s assets were invested in mortgage-backed securities.

The Company s mortgage-backed and related securities portfolio consists primarily of securities issued under government-sponsored agency programs, including those of Ginnie Mae, Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. The Ginnie Mae, Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac certificates are modified pass-through mortgage-backed securities that represent undivided interests in underlying pools of fixed-rate, or certain types of adjustable-rate, predominantly single-family and, to a lesser extent, multi-family residential mortgages issued by these government-sponsored entities. Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac generally provide the certificate holder a guarantee of timely payments of interest, whether or not collected. Ginnie Mae s guarantee to the holder is timely payments of principal and interest, backed by the full faith and credit of the U.S. Government. Privately issued mortgage pass-through certificates generally provide no guarantee as to timely payment of interest or principal, and reliance is placed on the creditworthiness of the issuer.

Mortgage-backed securities generally increase the quality of the Company s assets by virtue of the insurance or guarantees that back them, are more liquid than individual mortgage loans and may be used to collateralize borrowings or other obligations of the Company.

While mortgage-backed securities carry a reduced credit risk as compared to whole loans, such securities remain subject to the risk that a fluctuating interest rate environment, along with other factors such as the geographic distribution of the underlying mortgage loans, may alter the prepayment rate of such mortgage loans and so affect both the prepayment speed, and value, of such securities. The prepayment risk associated with mortgage-backed securities is monitored periodically, and prepayment rate assumptions adjusted as appropriate to update the Company s mortgage-backed securities accounting and asset/liability reports.

We recorded other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) charges in our trust preferred securities (TRUPS) portfolio in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2010, and we could record additional losses in the future.

We determine the fair value of our investment securities based on GAAP and three levels of informational inputs that may be used to measure fair value. The price at which a security may be sold in a market transaction could be significantly lower than the quoted market price for the security, particularly if the quoted market price is based on infrequent trading history, the market for the security is illiquid, or a significant amount of securities are being sold.

During fiscal 2010, we determined that one debt security TRUPS exhibited OTTI. The aggregate OTTI loss recorded for the security was \$350,000 which was recognized through earnings.

The valuation of our TRUPS will continue to be influenced by external market and other factors, including implementation of Securities and Exchange Commission and Financial Accounting Standards Board guidance on fair value accounting, the financial condition of specific issuers deferral and default rates of specific issuer financial institutions, rating agency actions, and the prices at which observable market transactions occur. If we are required to record additional OTTI charges on our TRUPS portfolio, we could experience potentially significant earnings losses

as well as an adverse impact to our capital position.

Under the recently enacted Dodd-Frank Act, TRUPS issued on or after May 19, 2010 are no longer eligible for inclusion in Tier 1 capital. For trust preferred securities issued before May 19, 2010, regulatory capital deductions will generally be required during a phase in period from January 1, 2013 to January 1, 2016; however, depository institution holding companies with assets of less than \$15 billion as of year-end 2009 enjoy a permanent grandfather right with respect to such securities for purposes of calculating regulatory capital.

47

Tabl	le of	Contents

Risks Related to the Banking Industry

Our reputation and business could be damaged by negative publicity.

Reputational risk, or the risk to our business, earnings and capital from negative publicity, is inherent in our business. Negative publicity can result from actual or alleged conduct in a number of areas, including legal and regulatory compliance, lending practices, corporate governance, litigation, inadequate protection of customer data, ethical behavior of our employees, and from actions taken by regulators and others as a result of that conduct. Damage to our reputation, including as a result of negative publicity associated with the supervisory directives, could impact our ability to attract new and maintain existing loan and deposit customers, employees and business relationships.

We are subject to certain operational risks, including, but not limited to, data processing system failures and errors and customer or employee fraud, identity theft, and unauthorized intrusion.

There have been a number of publicized cases involving fraud or other misconduct by employees of financial services firms in recent years. Misconduct by our employees could include hiding unauthorized activities from us, improper or unauthorized activities on behalf of our customers or improper use of confidential information. Employee fraud, errors and employee and customer misconduct could subject us to financial losses or regulatory sanctions and seriously harm our reputation. It is not always possible to prevent employee errors and misconduct, and the precautions we take to prevent and detect this activity may not be effective in all cases. Employee errors could also subject us to civil claims for negligence. See Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

Although we maintain a system of internal controls and procedures designed to reduce the risk of loss from employee or customer fraud or misconduct and employee errors as well as insurance coverage to mitigate against operational risks, including data processing system failures and errors and customer or employee fraud, these internal controls may fail to prevent or detect such an occurrence, or such an occurrence may not be insured or exceeds applicable insurance limits.

In addition, there have also been a number of cases where financial institutions have been the victim of fraud related to unauthorized wire and Automated Clearinghouse transactions. The facts and circumstances of each case vary but generally involve criminals posing as customers (i.e., stealing bank customers identities) to transfer funds out of the institution quickly in an effort to place the funds beyond recovery prior to detection. Although we have policies and procedures in place to verify the authenticity of our customers and prevent identity theft, we can provide no assurances that these policies and procedures will prevent all fraudulent transfers. In addition, although we have safeguards in place, it is possible that our computer systems could be infiltrated by hackers or other intruders. We can provide no assurances that these safeguards will prevent all unauthorized infiltrations. Identity theft and successful unauthorized intrusions could result in reputational damage and financial losses to the Company. See Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation Recent Developments.

Changes in economic and political conditions could adversely affect the Company's earnings, as the Company's borrowers ability to repay loans and the value of the collateral securing the Company's loans decline.

The Company s success depends, to a certain extent, upon economic and political conditions, local and national, as well as governmental monetary policies. Conditions such as inflation, recession, unemployment, changes in interest rates, money supply and other factors beyond the Company s control may adversely affect the Company s asset quality, deposit levels and loan demand and, therefore, the Company s earnings. Because the Company has a significant amount of real estate loans, decreases in real estate values could adversely affect the value of property used as collateral. Among other things, adverse changes in the economy, including but not limited to the current economic downturn, may also have a negative effect on the ability of the Company s borrowers to make timely repayments of their loans, which would have an adverse impact on the Company s earnings. In addition, the vast majority of the Company s loans are to individuals and businesses in the Company s market area. Consequently, any economic decline in the Company s market area could have an adverse impact on the Company s earnings.

Recessionary environment may adversely impact the Company s earnings and new governmental initiatives may not prove effective.

The national and global economic downturn has recently resulted in extreme levels of market volatility locally, nationally and internationally. This downturn has depressed the overall market value of financial institutions, and may limit or impede industry access to capital, or have a material adverse effect on the financial condition or results of operations of banking companies in general, including the Company, with respect to, for example, the establishment of reserves should conditions deteriorate further.

In this respect, while the duration and severity of the adverse economic cycle appears to be lessening at the moment, and although the U.S. Department of the Treasury and the FDIC, among others, have implemented

#### **Table of Contents**

programs in an effort to stabilize the national economy, the ultimate effectiveness of these programs remains uncertain at this time.

Changes in interest rates could adversely affect the Company s results of operations and financial condition.

The Company s earnings depend substantially on the Company s interest rate spread, which is the difference between (i) the rates we earn on loans, securities and other earning assets, and (ii) the interest rates we pay on deposits and other borrowings. These rates are highly sensitive to many factors beyond the Company s control, including general economic conditions and the policies of various governmental and regulatory authorities. As market interest rates rise, we will have competitive pressures to increase the rates we pay on deposits, which may result in a decrease of the Company s net interest income. Conversely, if interest rates fall, yields on loans and investments may fall. Because a significant portion of the Company s deposit portfolio is in non-interest bearing accounts, such a change in rates would likely result in a decrease in the Company s net interest income. For additional information, see Item 7A, herein.

The Company operates in a highly regulated environment, and changes in laws and regulations to which we are subject may adversely affect the Company s results of operations.

The Company operates in a highly regulated environment and are subject to extensive regulation, supervision and examination by the OTS and the FDIC. As described above, beginning with the fourth quarter of fiscal 2011, we will be supervised by the Federal Reserve and the Bank will be supervised by the OCC and the FDIC. See Business Regulation herein. Applicable laws and regulations may change, and there is no assurance that such changes will not adversely affect the Company's business. In this respect, potentially landscape-changing legislative proposals have been introduced in Congress, and by regulatory agencies with respect to their respective regulatory powers. Such regulation and supervision govern the activities in which an institution may engage, including the activities of MPS, and are intended primarily for the protection of the Bank, its depositors and the FDIC. Regulatory authorities have extensive discretion in connection with their supervisory and enforcement activities, including but not limited to the imposition of restrictions on the operation of an institution, the classification of assets by the institution and the adequacy of an institution s allowance for loan losses. Any change in such regulation and oversight, whether in the form of restrictions on activities, regulatory policy, regulations, or legislation, including but not limited to changes in the regulations governing savings banks, could have a material impact on the Company s operations. It is unknown at this time to what extent legislation will be passed into law or regulatory proposals will be adopted, or the effect that such passage or adoption will have on the banking industry or the Company.

## Changes in technology could be costly.

The banking industry is undergoing technological innovation at a fast pace. To keep up with its competition, the Company needs to stay abreast of innovations and evaluate those technologies that will enable it to compete on a cost-effective basis. This is especially true with respect to MPS. The cost of such technology, including personnel, can be high in both absolute and relative terms. There can be no assurance, given the fast pace of change and innovation, that the Company s technology, either purchased or developed internally, will meet or continue to meet the needs of the Company.

The recently enacted Dodd-Frank Act may have unpredictable effects on the Company and adversely impact the Company s results of operations, financial condition or liquidity.

On July 21, 2010, the Dodd-Frank Act was signed into law. The Dodd-Frank Act represents a comprehensive overhaul of the financial services industry within the United States and, among many other things, eliminates the Company s bank regulator (the OTS, the duties of which will be taken over by the OCC and the Federal Reserve as the new regulators of the Bank and the Company, respectively), establishes the new federal BCFP, requires the BCFP and other federal agencies to implement over the next six to eighteen months many new and significant rules and regulations. At this time, it is difficult to predict the extent to which the Dodd-Frank Act or the resulting rules and regulations will impact the Company s and the Bank s business. Compliance with the new law

49

### Table of Contents

and regulations will, however, likely result in additional costs, which could be significant, and could adversely impact the Company s results of operations, financial condition or liquidity.

#### Risks Related to the Company s Business

We cannot predict the possibility of future regulatory action.

As has been described in this Annual Report, the OTS issued supervisory directives requiring the Bank to take certain actions and to refrain from taking certain actions. In addition, during 2010 the Bank was examined by OTS, but we have not yet received a report of examination. While we believe it is likely that OTS will seek to have the Bank take corrective actions in connection with deficiencies identified in the report of examination, we cannot predict with certainty the subjects that will be addressed and the means used to achieve such corrections. Bank regulatory agencies such as the OTS, which have broad discretionary powers to enforce banking laws and regulations, may seek to take formal supervisory action if such actions are necessary or required. Corrective steps could result in increased compliance costs for internal and external resources. See Item 1. Regulation Bank Supervision and Regulation OTS Supervisory Directives and Related Matters.

The Company operates in an extremely competitive market, and the Company s business will suffer if it is unable to compete effectively.

The Company encounters significant competition in the Company s market area from other commercial banks, savings and loan associations, credit unions, mortgage banking firms, consumer finance companies, securities brokerage firms, insurance companies, money market mutual funds and other financial intermediaries. Many of the Company s competitors have substantially greater resources and lending limits and may offer services that the Company does not or cannot provide. The Company s profitability depends upon the Company s continued ability to compete successfully in the Company s market area. MPS operates on a national scale against competitors with substantially greater resources and limited barriers to entry. The success of MPS depends upon its ability to compete in such an environment.

Existing insurance policies may not adequately protect the Company and its subsidiaries.

Business interruption and property insurance policies are in place with respect to the operations of the Company. Should any event triggering such policies occur, however, it is possible that our policies would not fully reimburse us for the losses we could sustain.

The loss of key members of the Company s senior management team could adversely affect the Company s business.

We believe that the Company s success depends largely on the efforts and abilities of the Company s senior management. Their experience and industry contacts significantly benefit us. The competition for qualified personnel in the financial services industry is intense, and the loss of any of the Company s key personnel or an inability to continue to attract, retain and motivate key personnel could adversely affect the Company s

	Lugar Filling. META FINANOIAL GITOOT	1110 - 1 01111 10-1
business.		

The Company s loan portfolio includes loans with a higher risk of loss.

The Company originates commercial mortgage loans, commercial loans, consumer loans, agricultural mortgage loans, agricultural loans and residential mortgage loans primarily within the Company s market areas. Commercial mortgage, commercial, and consumer loans may expose a lender to greater credit risk than loans secured by residential real estate because the collateral securing these loans may not be sold as easily as residential real estate. These loans also have greater credit risk than residential real estate for the following reasons:

- Commercial Mortgage Loans. Repayment is dependent upon income being generated in amounts sufficient to cover operating expenses and debt service.
- Commercial Loans. Repayment is dependent upon the successful operation of the borrower s business.
- *Consumer Loans.* Consumer loans (such as personal lines of credit) are collateralized, if at all, with assets that may not provide an adequate source of payment of the loan due to depreciation, damage, or loss.

50

#### **Table of Contents**

- Agricultural Loans. Repayment is dependent upon the successful operation of the business, which is greatly dependent on many things outside the control of either the Bank or the borrowers. These factors include weather, commodity prices, and interest rates, among others.
- MPS Program Loans. MPS previously originated consumer loans, in some cases related to anticipated tax refunds that are funded to prepaid cards. For certain of these loans, repayment is based on the customer filing a tax return and the Internal Revenue Service funding the tax refund. If either or both do not occur, losses could result. MPS has loss protection agreements in place with certain business partners in which they will absorb some or all of such losses in the event they were to exceed certain levels. We do not anticipate offering tax loan or refund transfer programs in fiscal 2011.

If the Company's actual loan losses exceed the Company's allowance for loan losses, the Company's net income will decrease.

The Company makes various assumptions and judgments about the collectibility of the Company s loan portfolio, including the creditworthiness of the Company s borrowers and the value of the real estate and other assets serving as collateral for the repayment of the Company s loans. Despite the Company s underwriting and monitoring practices, the Company s loan customers may not repay their loans according to their terms, and the collateral securing the payment of these loans may be insufficient to pay any remaining loan balance. The Company may experience significant loan losses, which could have a material adverse effect on its operating results. Because the Company must use assumptions regarding individual loans and the economy, the current allowance for loan losses may not be sufficient to cover actual loan losses, and increases in the allowance may be necessary. The Company may need to significantly increase the Company s provision for losses on loans if one or more of the Company s larger loans or credit relationships becomes delinquent or if we continue to expand the Company s commercial real estate and commercial lending. In addition, federal and state regulators periodically review the Company s allowance for loan losses and may require the Company to increase the Company s provision for loan losses or recognize loan charge-offs. Material additions to the Company s allowance would materially decrease the Company s net income. The Company cannot assure you that its monitoring procedures and policies will reduce certain lending risks or that the Company s allowance for loan losses will be adequate to cover actual losses.

If the Company forecloses on and takes ownership of real estate collateral property, it may be subject to the increased costs associated with the ownership of real property, resulting in reduced revenues.

The Company may have to foreclose on collateral property to protect its investment and may thereafter own and operate such property. In such case, the Company will be exposed to the risks inherent in the ownership of real estate. The amount that the Company, as a mortgagee, may realize after a default is dependent upon factors outside of the Company s control, including, but not limited to: (i) general or local economic conditions; (ii) neighborhood values; (iii) interest rates; (iv) real estate tax rates; (v) operating expenses of the mortgaged properties; (vi) supply of and demand for rental units or properties; (vii) ability to obtain and maintain adequate occupancy of the properties; (viii) zoning laws; (ix) governmental rules, regulations and fiscal policies; and (x) acts of God. Certain expenditures associated with the ownership of real estate, principally real estate taxes and maintenance costs, may adversely affect the income from the real estate. Therefore, the cost of operating a real property may exceed the rental income earned from such property, and the Company may have to advance funds in order to protect the Company s investment, or may be required to dispose of the real property at a loss. The foregoing expenditures and costs could adversely affect the Company s ability to generate revenues, resulting in reduced levels of profitability.

Environmental liability associated with commercial lending could have a material adverse effect on the Company s business, financial condition and results of operations.

In the course of the Company s business, it may acquire, through foreclosure, commercial properties securing loans that are in default. There is a risk that hazardous substances could be discovered on those properties. In this event, the Company could be required to remove the substances from and remediate the properties at its own cost and expense. The cost of removal and environmental remediation could be substantial. The Company may not have adequate remedies against the owners of the properties or other responsible parties and could find it difficult or

#### **Table of Contents**

impossible to sell the affected properties. These events could have a material adverse effect on the Company s business, financial condition and operating results.

If the Company fails to maintain an effective system of internal control over financial reporting, it may not be able to accurately report the Company's financial results or prevent fraud, and, as a result, investors and depositors could lose confidence in the Company's financial reporting, which could adversely affect the Company's business, the trading price of the Company's stock and the Company's ability to attract additional deposits.

In recent years, the Company has been required to include in its annual reports filed with the SEC a report of the Company s management regarding internal control over financial reporting. We have documented and evaluated the Company s internal control over financial reporting in order to satisfy the requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and SEC rules and regulations, which require an annual management report on the Company s internal control over financial reporting, including, among other matters, management s assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. In order to comply with this requirement, management retained outside consultants to assist the Company in (i) assessing and documenting the adequacy of the Company s internal control over financial reporting, (ii) improving control processes, where appropriate, and (iii) verifying through testing or other means that controls are functioning as documented.

Management s Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting is contained in Item 9A below. If the Company fails to identify and correct any significant deficiencies in the design or operating effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting or fails to prevent fraud, current and potential stockholders and depositors could lose confidence in the Company s financial reporting, which could adversely affect the Company s business, financial condition and results of operations, the trading price of the Company s stock, and the Company s ability to attract additional deposits.

No material weaknesses have been identified in connection with the Company s fiscal year 2010 audit. If material weaknesses are identified in the future, such weaknesses could have a material impact on the profitability and performance of the Company.

A breach of information security or compliance breach by one of the Company s agents or vendors could negatively affect the Company s reputation and business.

The Company depends on data processing, communication and information exchange on a variety of computing platforms and networks and over the internet. The Company cannot be certain all of its systems are entirely free from vulnerability to attack, despite safeguards it has installed. Additionally, the Company relies on and does business with a variety of third-party service providers, agents and vendors with respect to the Company s business, data and communications needs. If information security is breached, or one of the Company s agents or vendors breaches compliance procedures, information could be lost or misappropriated, resulting in financial loss or costs to the Company or damages to others. These costs or losses could materially exceed the Company s amount of insurance coverage, if any, which would adversely affect the Company s business.

Risks Related to the Company s Stock

The price of the Company s common stock may be volatile, which may result in losses for investors.

	t price for shares of the Company's common stock has been volatile in the past, and several factors could cause the price to fluctuate ly in the future. These factors include:
•	announcements of developments related to the Company s business,
•	fluctuations in the Company s results of operations,
•	sales of substantial amounts of the Company s securities into the marketplace,
•	general conditions in the Company s banking niche or the worldwide economy,
•	a shortfall in revenues or earnings compared to securities analysts expectations,

## Table of Contents

lack of an active trading market for the common stock,

• changes in analysts recommendations or projections, and
• the Company s announcement of new acquisitions or other projects.
The market price of the Company s common stock may fluctuate significantly in the future, and these fluctuations may be unrelated to the Company s performance. General market price declines or market volatility in the future could adversely affect the price of the Company s common stock, and the current market price may not be indicative of future market prices.
The Company's common stock is thinly traded, and thus your ability to sell shares or purchase additional shares of the Company's common stock will be limited, and the market price at any time may not reflect true value.
Your ability to sell shares of the Company s common stock or purchase additional shares largely depends upon the existence of an active market for the common stock. The Company s common stock is quoted on NASDAQ Stock Market, but the volume of trades on any given day is light, and you may be unable to find a buyer for shares you wish to sell or a seller of additional shares you wish to purchase. In addition, a fair valuation of the purchase or sales price of a share of common stock also depends upon active trading, and thus the price you receive for a thinly traded stock, such as the Company s common stock, may not reflect its true value.
Future sales or additional issuances of the Company s capital stock may depress prices of shares of the Company s common stock or otherwise dilute the book value of shares then outstanding.
Sales of a substantial amount of the Company s capital stock in the public market or the issuance of a significant number of shares could adversely affect the market price for shares of the Company s common stock. As of September 30, 2010, the Company was authorized to issue up to 5,200,000 shares of common stock, of which 3,111,413 shares were outstanding, and 261,586 shares were held as treasury stock. The Company was also authorized to issue up to 800,000 shares of preferred stock, none of which is outstanding or reserved for issuance. Accordingly, without further stockholder approval, the Company may issue up to 2,088,587 additional shares of common stock. This factor may affect the market price for shares of the Company s common stock.
Federal regulations may inhibit a takeover, prevent a transaction you may favor or limit the Company s growth opportunities, which could cause the market price of the Company s common stock to decline.

Certain provisions of the Company s charter documents and federal regulations could have the effect of making it more difficult for a third party to acquire, or of discouraging a third party from attempting to acquire, control of the company. In addition, the Company must obtain approval

from regulatory authorities before it can acquire control of any other company.

The Company may not be able to pay dividends in the future in accordance with past practice.

The Company pays a quarterly dividend to stockholders. The payment of dividends is subject to legal and regulatory restrictions. Any payment of dividends in the future will depend, in large part, on the Company s earnings, capital requirements, financial condition, regulatory review, and other factors considered relevant by the Company s Board of Directors.

Our agricultural loans are subject to factors beyond the Bank s control.

The agricultural community is subject to commodity price fluctuations. Although our agricultural loans are a relatively limited part of our overall portfolio, extended periods of low commodity prices, higher input costs, or poor weather conditions could result in reduced profit margins, reducing demand for goods and services provided by agriculture-related businesses, which in turn, could affect other businesses in the Company s market area.

n 1	1	c	$\sim$		
Tar	Nе	ΩŤ	( '0	ntent	2

Risks Related to Meta Payment Systems®, a division of the Bank

MPS products and services are highly regulated financial products subject to extensive supervision and regulation.

The products and services offered by MPS are highly regulated by federal banking agencies, state banking agencies, and other federal and state regulators. Some of the laws and related regulations affecting its operations include consumer protection laws, escheat laws, privacy laws, and data protection laws. Compliance with the relevant legal paradigm in which the division operates is costly and requires significant personnel resources, as well as extensive contacts with outside lawyers and consultants hired by MPS to stay abreast of the applicable regulatory schemes.

While some proposed legislation would benefit MPS, it is possible that new legislation or more stringent focus by banking agencies could further restrict MPS current operations or change the regulatory environment in which the division s customers operate.

Although it is possible that some legislation under consideration could have either a positive or *de minimis* impact on its operations and profitability it is just as likely that any new legislation affecting the operations of MPS or its customers, some of which are also regulated entities, would have a negative impact on the conduct of the relevant business. There is no way to quantify the impact that such changes could have on the profitability or operations of MPS at this time given the unpredictable nature of the risk.

In addition to the relevant legal paradigm set forth above, it should also be noted that there has been concern within the bank regulatory environment over the use of credit and, in particular, prepaid cards as a means by which to illegally launder and move money. We believe such concerns in general will continue for the foreseeable future for the entire banking industry, with a continued emphasis on heightened compliance expectations. See Item 1. Regulation Bank Supervision and Regulation OTS Supervisory Directives and Related Matters.

MPS, through the Bank, owns or is seeking a number of patents, trademarks and other forms of intellectual property with respect to the operation of its business and the protection of such intellectual property may in the future require material expenditures.

In its operations, MPS, through the Bank, is seeking protection for various forms of intellectual property. No assurance can be given that such protection will be granted. In addition, the competitive market environment of its business, MPS must be vigilant in ensuring that its patents and other intellectual property are protected and not exploited by unlicensed third parties.

MPS must also protect itself and defend against intellectual property challenges initiated by third parties making various claims against MPS. With respect to these claims, regardless of whether we are pursuing our claims against perceived infringers or defending our intellectual property from third parties asserting various claims of infringement, it is possible that significant personnel time and monetary resources could be used to pursue or defend such claims.

It should also be noted that intellectual property risks extend to foreign countries whose protections of such property are not as extensive as those in the United States. As such, MPS may need to spend additional sums to ensure that its intellectual property protections are maximized globally. Moreover, should there be a material, improper use of MPS intellectual property, this could have an impact on the division s operations.

Contracts with third-parties, some of which are material to the Company, may not be renewed, may be renegotiated on terms that are not as favorable to MPS, may not be fulfilled or could be subject to cancellation by regulatory authorities.

MPS has entered into numerous contracts with third parties with respect to the operations of its business. In some instances, the third parties provide services to MPS; in other instances, MPS provides products and services to such third parties. Were such agreements not to be renewed by the third party or were such agreements to be

#### **Table of Contents**

renewed on terms less favorable to MPS, such actions could have a material impact on the division s profitability and deposit levels.

Similarly, were one of these parties unable to meet their obligations to us for any reason (including but not limited to bankruptcy, computer or other technological interruptions or failures, personnel loss or Acts of God), we may need to seek alternative service providers and the terms with such alternate providers may not be as favorable as those currently in place. In addition, were such failures to cause a material disruption in our ability to service our customers, it is possible that our customer base would shrink. Moreover, were the disruptions in our ability to provide services significant, this could negatively affect the perception of our business in the card industry.

In addition, as described earlier, in the supervisory directive our regulator noted deficiencies with respect to our third party relationships. As a result, we may seek modifications to certain of our contracts with third-parties, and we cannot offer any assurance that we will be able to achieve such modifications. If we are unsuccessful, it is possible that OTS could order us to abrogate certain contracts, which could have an adverse impact on our financial condition or results of operations. See Regulation Bank Supervision and Regulation- OTS Supervisory Directives and Related Matters.

Costs of conforming products and services to the Payment Card Industry Data Security Standards (the PCI DSS) are costly and could continue to affect the operations of MPS.

The PCI DSS is a multifaceted standard that includes data security management, policies and procedures, as well as other protective measures, that was created by the largest credit card associations in the world in an effort to protect the nonpublic personal information of all types of cardholders, including prepaid cardholders and holders of network branded credit cards (such as Discover, MasterCard, and Visa). The PCI DSS mandates a prescribed technical foundation for the collection, storage and transmission of cardholder data and also contains significant provisions regarding the testing of security protections by various entities in the payment card industry, including MPS. Compliance with the PCI DSS is costly and changes to the standards could have an equal, or greater, effect on profitability of the relevant business division.

#### The potential for fraud in the card payment industry is significant.

Issuers of prepaid and credit cards have suffered significant losses in recent years with respect to the theft of cardholder data that has been illegally exploited for personal gain. The theft of such information is regularly reported and affects not only individuals but businesses as well (albeit to a lesser degree). Many types of credit card fraud exist, including the counterfeiting of cards and skimming. Skimming is the term for a specialized type of credit card information theft whereby, typically, an employee of a merchant will copy the cardholder s number and security code (either by handwriting the information onto a piece of paper, entering such information into a keypad or other device, or using a handheld device which reads and then stores the card information embedded in the magnetic strip). Once a credit card number and security code has been skimmed, the skimmer can use such information for purchases until the unauthorized use is detected either by the cardholder or the card issuer.

Losses from skimming have been substantial for certain card industry participants. Although skimming has not had a material impact on the profitability of MPS, it is possible that such activity could impact this division at some time in the future.

Part of our business depends on sales agents who do not sell our products exclusively.

Our business model, to some degree, depends upon the use of sales agents who are not our employees. These agents sell the products and services of many different processors to merchants and other parties in need of a card services. Failure to maintain good relations with such sales agents could have a negative impact on our business. In addition, new third party relationships have been restricted absent prior approval of OTS. See Item 1. Regulation Bank Supervision and Regulation OTS Supervisory Directives and Related Matters.

#### **Table of Contents**

Products and services offered by MPS involve many business parties and the possibility of collusion exists.

As described above, the theft of cardholder data is a significant threat in the industry in which MPS operates. This threat also includes the possibility that there is collusion between certain participants in the card system to act illegally. Although MPS is not aware of any instances to date, it is possible that such activities could occur in the future, thereby impacting its operation and profitability.

Competition in the card industry is significant. In order to maintain an edge to its products and offerings, MPS must invest significantly in technology and research and development.

The heavy emphasis upon technology in the products and services offered by MPS requires significant expenditures with respect to research and development both to exploit technological gains and to develop new products and services to meet customers needs. As is common with most research and development, while some efforts may yield substantial benefits for the division, others will not, thereby resulting in expenditures for which profits will not be realized. MPS is not able to predict with any degree of certainty as to the level of research and development that will be required in the future, how much those efforts will cost, or how profitable such developments will be for the division once undertaken.

Discover, MasterCard, and Visa, as well as other electronic funds networks in which MPS operates, could change their rules.

Pursuant to the agreements between MPS and Discover, MasterCard, Visa and other card networks, these third parties typically have retained the right to prescribe certain business practices and procedures with respect to parties such as MPS. Such prescribed terms include, but are not limited to, a contracting party s level of capital as well as other business requirements.

Discover, MasterCard, and Visa also retain the right in their agreements with industry participants such as MPS to unilaterally change the rules under which such transactions are processed with little or no advance warning. This power includes the power to prevent MPS from accessing their networks in order to process transactions. Should any third party choose to invoke this right unilaterally, such changes could materially impact the operations of MPS.

Our business is heavily dependent upon the Internet and any negative disruptions to its operation could negatively impact our business.

Much of our business depends upon transactions being processed through the Internet. Like nearly all other commercial enterprises, we rely upon others to provide the Internet so that commerce can be conducted. Were there to be a failure in the operation of the Internet or a significant impairment in our ability to move information on the Internet or our ability to do so in accordance with customer safeguard protocols, MPS would develop alternative processes during which time profitability may be somewhat lower.

Our ability to process transactions requires functioning communication and electricity lines.

The nature of the credit card and debit card industry is that it must be operational every day of the week every hour of the week. Any disruption in the utilities utilized by MPS could have a negative effect on our operations and extensive disruptions could materially affect our operations.

Data encryption technology has not been perfected and vigilance in MPS information technology systems is costly.

MPS holds sensitive business and personal information with respect to the products and services it offers. This information, which is generally digitally encrypted, is passed along various technology channels, including the Internet. Although MPS encrypts its customer and other sensitive information and expends significant financial and personnel resources to maintain the integrity of its technology networks and the confidentiality of nonpublic customer information, because such information may travel on public technology and other non-secure channels, the

### Table of Contents

confidential information is susceptible to hacking and other illegal intrusions. Were such a security breach to occur, the provision of products and services to customers of MPS would be impaired and could incur significant fines from the electronic funds associations involved, significant regulatory fines imposed by federal and/or state regulators and other prohibitions, as well as extensive litigation from commercial parties and consumers affected by such breach.

Unclaimed funds represented by unused value on the cards presents compliance and other risks.

The notion of escheatment involves property that is abandoned and its rightful owner cannot be readily located and/or identified. In the context of prepaid cards, the funds represented on such cards can sometimes be abandoned or unused for the relevant period of time set forth in each applicable state is abandoned property laws. Although MPS utilizes automated programs to ensure its operations are compliant with such applicable laws and regulations, there appears to be a movement among some state regulators to interpret definitions in those statutes and regulations in a manner that is different from standard industry interpretations. Should such state regulators choose to do so, they may initiate enforcement or other litigation action against prepaid card issuers such as MPS.

MPS operates in a highly competitive environment and the ability to attract and retain qualified personnel may be difficult.

MPS competes in a highly competitive environment with other larger and better capitalized financial intermediaries. In addition, the field of professionals involved in the design and production of products and services offered by MPS is highly skilled and actively sought after by financial institutions, electronic card networks and other commercial entities. As such, MPS must spend significant sums to attract employees and executives and must monitor compensation and other employment trends to ensure that compensation packages both foster the necessary creative environment and appropriately compensate such individuals in order to retain them.

## MPS Revenue Concentration.

MPS works with a large number of business partners to derive its revenue. The Company believes two of its partners have reached a size that, should these partners business with the Company end, the earnings attributable to them would have a material effect on the financial results of the Company.

#### Item 1B. <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>

Not Applicable.

## Item 2. <u>Properties</u>

The Company conducts its business at its main office and branch office in Storm Lake, Iowa. The Company operates six offices in metro Des Moines, Iowa. The Company also operates one office in Brookings, South Dakota and three offices in Sioux Falls, South Dakota. In addition, the

Company has space at another facility in Sioux Falls, South Dakota, which houses general corporate and MPS functions, in Omaha, Nebraska, which houses certain MPS functions and a non-retail service branch in Memphis, Tennessee.

The Company owns all of its offices, except for the branch offices located in Storm Lake Plaza, Storm Lake, Iowa, on Westown Parkway, West Des Moines, Iowa, on North Minnesota Avenue, Sioux Falls, South Dakota, on South Western Avenue, Sioux Falls, South Dakota, on West 12th Street, Sioux Falls, South Dakota, the administrative and MPS offices located on Broadband Lane in Sioux Falls, Omaha and the non-retail service branch in Memphis, Tennessee. In regard to the South Western and West 12th Street locations in Sioux Falls, South Dakota, the land on which the buildings were constructed is leased. The total net book value of the Company s premises and equipment (including land, building and leasehold improvements and furniture, fixtures and equipment) at September 30, 2010 was \$19.4 million. See Note 7 to the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements which is included in Part II, Item 8 Consolidated Financial Statements and Supplementary Data of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

### Table of Contents

The Company has experienced rapid growth, particularly as a result of growth of MPS. Management believes current facilities are adequate to meet its present needs.

The Bank maintains an on-line data base with a service bureau, whose primary business is providing such services to financial institutions. The net book value of the data processing and computer equipment utilized by the Company at September 30, 2010 was approximately \$3.0 million.

#### Item 3. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>

Lawsuits against MetaBank involving the sale of purported MetaBank certificates of deposit continue to be addressed. Since its filing of Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2009, three such lawsuits have been settled for a payment and dismissed with prejudice: (i) the matter of Methodist Hospitals of Dallas v. MetaBank and Meta Financial Group, Inc., filed in the 95th Judicial District Court of Dallas County, TX, Cause No. 08-06994: (ii) the matter of St. Paul Mercury Insurance Co., as assignee and subrogate of Lemont National Bank, v. Metabank, filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, Case No. 1:09-cv-01031; and (iii) Coreplus Federal Credit Union and Waterbury CT Teachers Federal Credit Union, v. MetaBank and Meta Financial Group, filed in the United States District Court for the District of South Dakota, Southern Division, Case No. 09-cv-4130. In all, nine cases have been filed to date, and of those nine, three have been dismissed, and four have been settled for payments that the Company deemed reasonable under the circumstances, including the costs of litigation. Of the two remaining cases, one is a class action case. On May 5, 2010, in that class action, Guardian Angel Credit Union v. MetaBank et al., Case No. 08-cv-261-PB (USDC, District of NH), the court granted the plaintiff s motion to certify the class. Additionally, a lawsuit relating to this matter has been filed by Airline Pilots Assoc Federal Credit Union in the Iowa District court for Polk County, Case number CL-118792. The underlying matter was first disclosed in the Company s quarterly report for the period ended December 31, 2007, which stated that an employee of the Bank had sold fraudulent CDs for her own benefit. The unauthorized and illegal actions of the employee have since prompted a number of demands and lawsuits seeking recovery on the fraudulent CDs to be filed against the Bank, which have been disclosed in subsequent filings. The employee was prosecuted, convicted and, on June 2, 2010, sentenced to more than seven years in federal prison and ordered to pay more than \$4 million in restitution. Notwithstanding the nature of her crimes, which were unknown by the Bank and its management, plaintiffs in the two remaining cases seek to impose liability on the Bank under a number of legal theories with respect to the remaining \$3.6 million of fraudulent CDs that were issued by the former employee. The Bank and its insurer, which has assumed defense of the action and which is advancing defense costs subject to a reservation of rights, continue to vigorously contest liability in the remaining actions.

Cedar Rapids Bank & Trust Company v MetaBank, Case No. LACV007196. In a separate matter, on November 3, 2009, Cedar Rapids Bank & Trust Company ( CRBT ) filed a Petition against MetaBank in the Iowa District Court in and for Linn County claiming an unspecified amount of money damages against MetaBank arising from CRBT s participation in loans originated by MetaBank to companies owned or controlled by Dan Nelson. The complaint states that the Nelson companies eventually filed for bankruptcy and the loans, including CRBT s portion, were not fully repaid. Under a variety of theories, CRBT claims that MetaBank had material negative information about Dan Nelson, his companies and the loans that it did not share with CRBT prior to CRBT taking a participation interest. MetaBank believes that CRBT s loss of principal was limited to approximately \$200,000, and in any event intends to vigorously defend the case.

Thirumalesh Bhat v. Meta Financial Group, Inc., J. Tyler Haahr, David W. Leedom, Bradley C. Hanson and Troy Moore; Case No. 5:10-cv-04099-DEO, and Alaa M. Elgaouni v. Meta Financial Group, Inc., J. Tyler Haahr, David W. Leedom; Case No. C10-4108MWB. The Company has been informed that two former stockholders, Thirumalesh Bhat and Alaa M. Elgaouni, have separately filed purported class action lawsuits against the Company and certain of its officers alleging violations of certain federal securities laws. The cases were filed on October 22, 2010 and November 5, 2010 in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Iowa purportedly on behalf of those who purchased the Company s stock between May 14, 2009 and October 15, 2010. The complaints allege that the named officers violated Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act and SEC Rule 10b-5 in connection with certain allegedly false and misleading public statements made during this period by the Company and its officers. The complaints do not specify an amount of damages sought. The Company denies the allegations in the complaints and intends to vigorously pursue its defense.

### Table of Contents

Supervisory Action. In its Supervisory Directive of October 6, 2010 ( Supervisory Directive ) ordering the Bank to discontinue its iAdvance loan product, the Regional Director of the OTS informed the Bank of its determination that the Bank had committed unfair or deceptive acts and practices with respect to its iAdvance lending program. OTS also informed the Bank in the Supervisory Directive that it will address in the future its reimbursement expectations regarding iAdvance borrowers. The OTS has not yet informed us of its expectations with respect to reimbursement of borrowers under the iAdvance program. If the OTS decides to impose on the Bank, pursuant to its enforcement authority, a monetary component of corrective action in connection with its findings in respect of the iAdvance program, such measures could include reimbursement of consumers as well as a potential fine. We cannot predict whether any reimbursement will be sought or fine will be imposed, or if sought or imposed, what the amount of such reimbursement or fine would be. The Bank is contesting the OTS findings with respect to its iAdvance lending program.

See Item 1. Business Bank Supervision and Regulation OTS Supervisory Directives and Related Matters for a discussion of existing OTS enforcement matters and potential future corrective actions.

Other than the matters set forth above, there are no other new material pending legal proceedings or updates to which the Company or its subsidiaries is a party other than ordinary litigation routine to their respective businesses.

#### Item 4. Removed and Reserved

59

### **Table of Contents**

#### **PART II**

# Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholders Matters andssuer Purchases of Securities

The Company s common stock trades on the NASDAQ Global Market® under the symbol CASH. Quarterly dividends for 2010 and 2009 were \$0.13. The price range of the common stock, as reported on the NASDAQ System, was as follows:

		Fiscal Yo	ear 2010	)	Fiscal Year 2009					
	L	ow		High	Low		High			
First Quarter	\$	20.45	\$	23.76	\$ 6.75	\$	16.94			
Second Quarter	•	17.40	·	25.25	6.59	•	12.28			
Third Quarter		24.97		32.67	8.50		21.52			
Fourth Quarter		29.28		36.72	19.27		24.05			

Prices disclose inter-dealer quotations without retail mark-up, mark-down or commissions, and do not necessarily represent actual transactions.

Dividend payment decisions are made with consideration of a variety of factors including earnings, financial condition, market considerations, and regulatory restrictions.

As of September 30, 2010, the Company had 3,111,413 shares of common stock outstanding, which were held by 206 shareholders of record, and 490,993 shares subject to outstanding options. The shareholders of record number does not reflect approximately 1000 persons or entities that hold their stock in nominee or street name.

The transfer agent for the Company s common stock is Registrar & Transfer Company, 10 Commerce Drive, Cranford, New Jersey, 07016.

There have been no purchases by the Company during the quarter ended September 30, 2010 of equity securities that are registered by the Company pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act.

# Table of Contents

Item 6. <u>Selected Financial Data</u>

SEPTEMBER 30,	2010			20	2009			2008		2007		2006	
SELECTED FINANCIAL CONDITION DATA													
(Dollars in Thousands)													
Total assets \$	1	029,766	\$		834,777	\$	,	710,236	\$	686,080	\$	740,921	
Loans receivable, net		366.045	Ψ		391,609	Ψ		427,928	Ψ	355,612	Ψ	368,959	
Securities available for sale		506,852			364,838			203.834		158,701		172,444	
Goodwill and intangible assets		2,663			2,215		-	2,206		1,508		1,508	
Deposits		897,454			653,747		4	499,804		522,978		538,169	
Total borrowings		41,214			116,796			147,683		78,534		114,789	
Shareholders equity		72,044			47,345			45,733		48,098		45,099	
YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30,		2010			2009		2	008		2007		2006	
SELECTED OPERATIONS DATA													
(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Data)													
(=													
Total interest income	\$	39,08	3	\$	36,726	5	\$	37,418	\$	37,774	\$	38,112	
Total interest expense		5,99	3		8,907	7		13,415		16,967		19,611	
Net interest income		33,09	0		27,819	)		24,003		20,807		18,501	
Provision for loan losses		15,79	1		18,713	3		2,715		3,168		310	
Net interest income after provision for loan losses		17,29	9		9,106	5		21,288		17,639		18,191	
Total non-interest income		97,44	4		79,969	)		37,696		21,858		13,495	
Total non-interest expense		94,93	0		91,081	1		61,820		36,958		26,641	
Income (loss) from continuing operations before													
income tax expense (benefit)		19,81	3		(2,006)	5)		(2,836)	)	2,539		5,045	
Income tax expense (benefit)		7,42	0.		(543	3)		(1,002)	)	1,227		1,666	
Income (loss) from continuing operations		12,39	3		(1,463	3)		(1,834)	)	1,312		3,379	
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of													
tax								811		(141)		309	
Net income (loss)		12,39	3		(1,463	3)		(1,023)	)	1,171		3,688	
D ' ' (1 ) 1													