Midstates Petroleum Company, Inc. Form 10-Q November 10, 2016
Table of Contents

# **UNITED STATES**

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-Q
(Mark One)
x QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2016
OR
o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transition period from to

Commission File Number: 001-35512

# MIDSTATES PETROLEUM COMPANY, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**Delaware**(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

45-3691816

321 South Boston, Suite 1000 Tulsa, Oklahoma (Address of principal executive offices)

**74103** (Zip Code)

(918) 947-8550

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer O

Accelerated filer O

Non-accelerated filer X (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company O

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No x

The number of shares outstanding of our common stock at November 7, 2016 is shown below:

Class
Common stock, \$0.01 par value

 $\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Number of shares outstanding} \\ 24,699,900 \end{array}$ 

# MIDSTATES PETROLEUM COMPANY, INC.

# QUARTERLY REPORT ON

# FORM 10-Q

# FOR THE THREE AND NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Glossary of Oil and Natural Gas Terms	3
PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1. Financial Statements	
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015 (unaudited)	
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2016	
and 2015 (unaudited)	(
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders Equity (Deficit) for the Nine Months Ended	
<u>September 30, 2016 and 2015 (unaudited)</u>	7
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2016 and 2015 (unaudited)	{
Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	Ç
Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	23
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	42
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	43
PART II - OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	44
Item 1A. Risk Factors	44
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	40
Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities	46
Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures	47
<u>Item 5. Other Information</u>	47
<u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>	47
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	48
EXHIBIT INDEX	49
2	

#### **Table of Contents**

#### GLOSSARY OF OIL AND NATURAL GAS TERMS

**Bbl:** One stock tank barrel, of 42 U.S. gallons liquid volume, used herein in reference to oil, condensate or natural gas liquids.

Boe: Barrels of oil equivalent, with 6,000 cubic feet of natural gas being equivalent to one barrel of oil.

Boelday: Barrels of oil equivalent per day.

*Completion:* The process of treating a drilled well followed by the installation of permanent equipment for the production of natural gas or oil, or in the case of a dry hole, the reporting of abandonment to the appropriate agency.

*Dry hole:* A well found to be incapable of producing hydrocarbons in sufficient quantities such that proceeds from the sale of such production do not exceed production expenses and taxes.

*Exploratory well:* A well drilled to find a new field or to find a new reservoir in a field previously found to be productive of natural gas or oil in another reservoir.

Mcf: One thousand cubic feet of natural gas.

MMBoe: One million barrels of oil equivalent.

MMBtu: One million British thermal units.

Net acres: The percentage of total acres an owner has out of a particular number of acres, or a specified tract.

**NYMEX:** The New York Mercantile Exchange.

Proved reserves: Those quantities of oil and natural gas, which, by analysis of geoscience and engineering data, can be estimated with reasonable certainty to be economically producible from a given date forward, from known reservoirs, and under existing economic conditions, operating methods, and governmental regulations prior to the time at which contracts providing the right to operate or produce expire, unless evidence indicates that renewal is reasonably certain. regardless of whether deterministic or probabilistic methods are used for the estimation. The project to extract the hydrocarbons must have commenced or the operator must be reasonably certain that it will commence the project within a reasonable time. The area of the reservoir considered as proved includes (i) the area identified by drilling and limited by fluid contacts, if any, and (ii) adjacent undrilled portions of the reservoir that can, with reasonable certainty, be judged to be continuous with it and to contain economically producible oil or natural gas on the basis of available geoscience and engineering data. In the absence of data on fluid contacts, proved quantities in a reservoir are limited by the lowest known hydrocarbons, as seen in a well penetration unless geoscience, engineering, or performance data and reliable technology establishes a lower contact with reasonable certainty. Where direct observation from well penetrations has defined a highest known oil elevation and the potential exists for an associated gas cap, proved oil reserves may be assigned in the structurally higher portions of the reservoir only if geoscience, engineering, or performance data and reliable technology establish the higher contact with reasonable certainty. Reserves which can be produced economically through application of improved recovery techniques (including, but not limited to, fluid injection) are included in the proved classification when (i) successful testing by a pilot project in an area of the reservoir with properties no more favorable than in the reservoir as a whole, the operation of an installed program in the reservoir or an analogous reservoir, or other evidence using reliable technology establishes the reasonable certainty of the engineering analysis on which the project or program was based; and (ii) the project has been approved for development by all necessary parties and entities, including governmental entities. Existing economic conditions include prices and costs at which economic producibility from a reservoir is to be determined. The price is the average price during the 12-month period prior to the ending date of the period covered by the report, determined as an unweighted arithmetic average of the first-day-of-the-month price for each month within such period, unless prices are defined by contractual arrangements, excluding escalations based upon future conditions.

#### **Table of Contents**

Reasonable certainty: A high degree of confidence.

**Recompletion:** The process of re-entering an existing wellbore that is either producing or not producing and completing new reservoirs in an attempt to establish or increase existing production.

**Reserves:** Estimated remaining quantities of oil and natural gas and related substances anticipated to be economically producible as of a given date by application of development projects to known accumulations.

**Reservoir:** A porous and permeable underground formation containing a natural accumulation of producible natural gas and/or oil that is confined by impermeable rock or water barriers and is individual and separate from other reservoirs.

Spud or Spudding: The commencement of drilling operations of a new well.

*Wellbore:* The hole drilled by the bit that is equipped for oil or natural gas production on a completed well. Also called well or borehole.

*Working interest:* The right granted to the lessee of a property to explore for and to produce and own oil, natural gas, or other minerals. The working interest owners bear the exploration, development, and operating costs on a cash, penalty, or carried basis.

4

#### PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

# MIDSTATES PETROLEUM COMPANY, INC. (DEBTOR-IN-POSSESSION THROUGH OCTOBER 20, 2016)

# CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

#### (Unaudited)

#### (In thousands, except share amounts)

	September 30, 2016	December 31, 2015
ASSETS		
CURRENT ASSETS:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 279,596	\$ 81,093
Accounts receivable:		
Oil and gas sales	34,282	33,656
Joint interest billing	5,162	12,503
Other	347	17,506
Other current assets	8,658	1,044
Total current assets	328,045	145,802
PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT:		
Oil and gas properties, on the basis of full-cost accounting	3,790,856	3,666,403
Other property and equipment	12,198	14,798
Less accumulated depreciation, depletion, amortization and impairment	(3,438,670)	(3,157,332)
Net property and equipment	364,384	523,869
receptoperty and equipment	501,501	220,000
OTHER NONCURRENT ASSETS	3,263	9,496
TOTAL	\$ 695,692	\$ 679,167
	,	,
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS DEFICIT		
CURRENT LIABILITIES:		
Accounts payable	\$ 5,653	\$ 1,904
Accrued liabilities	71,178	91,712
Debt classified as current (Note 9)	249,383	1,890,944
Total current liabilities	326,214	1,984,560
	,	, ,
ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATIONS	20,266	18,708
OTHER LONG-TERM LIABILITIES	115	1,965
LIABILITIES SUBJECT TO COMPROMISE (Note 2)	1,882,187	
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Note 14)		
CTOCKHOLDEDG DEFICIT		
STOCKHOLDERS DEFICIT:		
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value, 49,675,000 shares authorized; no shares		
issued or outstanding		
Common stock, \$0.01 par value, 100,000,000 shares authorized; 10,914,780		
shares issued and 10,766,173 shares outstanding at September 30, 2016 and	100	110
10,962,105 shares issued and 10,865,814 shares outstanding at December 31, 2015	109	110
Treasury stock	(3,134)	(3,081)
Additional paid-in-capital	889,973	888,247
Retained deficit	(2,420,038)	(2,211,342)
Total stockholders deficit	(1,533,090)	(1,326,066)

TOTAL \$ 695,692 \$ 679,167

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

5

# MIDSTATES PETROLEUM COMPANY, INC. (DEBTOR-IN-POSSESSION THROUGH OCTOBER 20, 2016)

# CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

#### (Unaudited)

# (In thousands, except per share amounts)

		For the Three Months Ended September 30,				For the Ni Ended Sep		
		2016		2015		2016		2015
REVENUES:	ď	25 594	ď	50.684	¢.	104,832	\$	177 420
Oil sales Natural gas liquid sales	\$	35,584 8,939	\$	8,498	Ф	25,073	Ф	177,439 29,747
Natural gas riquid sales  Natural gas sales		17,676		17,375		44,486		52,543
Gains on commodity derivative contracts, net		17,070		33,368		44,460		35,447
Other		1,994		438		4,322		1,106
Other		1,994		430		4,322		1,100
Total revenues		64,193		110,363		178,713		296,282
EXPENSES:								
Lease operating and workover		17,650		18,803		49,520		63,823
Gathering and transportation		4,296		4,017		13,428		11,386
Severance and other taxes		1,788		2,660		4,776		8,729
Asset retirement accretion		452		382		1,316		1,217
Depreciation, depletion, and amortization		15,756		44,714		59,229		158,397
Impairment in carrying value of oil and gas								
properties		33,887		486,895		224,584		1,159,951
General and administrative		3,308		6,677		19,093		29,792
Acquisition and transaction costs				5				256
Debt restructuring costs and advisory fees						7,589		36,141
Other								63
T. 4.1		77.127		564 152		270 525		1 460 755
Total expenses		77,137		564,153		379,535		1,469,755
OPERATING LOSS		(12,944)		(453,790)		(200,822)		(1,173,473)
OF ENGLISH (G EOGS		(12,5 11)		(100,750)		(200,022)		(1,170,170)
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE):								
Interest income				43		81		80
Interest expense net of amounts capitalized								
(excludes interest expense of \$47.6 and \$79.3								
million, respectively, on senior and secured notes								
subject to compromise for the three and nine								
months ended September 30, 2016)		(2,668)		(40,595)		(65,719)		(121,978)
Reorganization items, net (Note 2)		(22,772)				57,764		
Total other expense		(25,440)		(40,552)		(7,874)		(121,898)
·						, , ,		· · ·
LOSS BEFORE TAXES		(38,384)		(494,342)		(208,696)		(1,295,371)
Income tax benefit								9,041
NET LOSS	¢	(30 204)	ø	(404-242)	¢	(200 (00)	ø	(1.20(.220)
NET LOSS	\$	(38,384)	\$	(494,342)	Ф	(208,696)	\$	(1,286,330)

Preferred stock dividend		(148)		(948)
NET LOSS ATTRIBUTABLE TO				
COMMON SHAREHOLDERS	\$ (38,384)	\$ (494,490) \$	(208,696)	\$ (1,287,278)
Basic and diluted net loss per share attributable to				
common shareholders	\$ (3.60)	\$ (72.34) \$	(19.61)	\$ (189.90)
Basic and diluted weighted average number of				
common shares outstanding	10,657	6,835	10,644	6,779

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

# MIDSTATES PETROLEUM COMPANY, INC. (DEBTOR-IN-POSSESSION THROUGH OCTOBER 20, 2016) CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (DEFICIT)

(Unaudited)

#### (In thousands)

	Series A Preferred Stock	C	Common Stock		Treasury Stock		Additional Paid-in-Capital		Retained Deficit	9	Total Stockholders Deficit
Balance as of December 31, 2015	\$	\$	110	\$	(3,081)	\$	888,247	\$	(2,211,342)	\$	(1,326,066)
Share-based compensation	Ψ	Ψ	(1)	Ψ	(5,001)	Ψ	1,726	Ψ	(2,211,042)	Ψ	1,725
Acquisition of treasury stock					(53)						(53)
Net loss									(208,696)		(208,696)
Balance as of September 30, 2016	\$	\$	109	\$	(3,134)	\$	889,973	\$	(2,420,038)	\$	(1,533,090)

	Pre	ries A ferred tock	Common Stock	Treasury Stock	]	Additional Paid-in-Capital	Retained Deficit	~	Total tockholders uity (Deficit)
Balance as of						-			
December 31, 2014	\$	3	\$ 70	\$ (2,592)	\$	882,528	\$ (414,147)	\$	465,862
Share-based compensation			3			4,930			4,933
Acquisition of treasury stock				(476)					(476)
Net loss							(1,286,330)		(1,286,330)
Conversion of preferred shares		(3)	37			(34)			
Balance as of September 30, 2015	\$		\$ 110	\$ (3,068)	\$	887,424	\$ (1,700,477)	\$	(816,011)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

# MIDSTATES PETROLEUM COMPANY, INC. (DEBTOR-IN-POSSESSION THROUGH OCTOBER 20, 2016)

# CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Unaudited)

(In thousands)

		nber 30, 2015		
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:				
Net loss	\$	(208,696)	\$	(1,286,330)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities:				
Gains on commodity derivative contracts, net				(35,447)
Net cash received for commodity derivative contracts				129,105
Asset retirement accretion		1,316		1,217
Depreciation, depletion, and amortization		59,229		158,397
Impairment in carrying value of oil and gas properties		224,584		1,159,951
Share-based compensation, net of amounts capitalized to oil and gas properties		1,275		3,813
Deferred income taxes				(9,041)
Amortization of deferred financing costs and write-off of debt issuance costs		4,495		9,791
Paid-in-kind interest expense		3,531		3,785
Amortization of deferred gain on debt restructuring		(8,246)		(8,979)
Operating lease abandonment		1,574		
Noncash reorganization items		(70,489)		
Transaction costs for debt restructuring		` ' '		34,398
Change in operating assets and liabilities:				
Accounts receivable oil and gas sales		(311)		18,183
Accounts receivable JIB and other		21,411		28,293
Other current and noncurrent assets		(5,572)		(287)
Accounts payable		870		(3,448)
Accrued liabilities		54,520		33,036
Other		(1,247)		(545)
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$	78,244	\$	235,892
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:				
Investment in property and equipment		(129,072)		(271,576)
Proceeds from the sale of oil and gas properties				40,168
Net cash used in investing activities	\$	(129,072)	\$	(231,408)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:				
Proceeds from long-term borrowings				625,000
Proceeds from revolving credit facility		249,384		33,000
Repayment of revolving credit facility				(468,150)
Deferred financing costs				(4,234)
Transaction costs for debt restructuring				(34,398)
Acquisition of treasury stock		(53)		(476)
Net cash provided by financing activities	\$	249,331	\$	150,742
NET INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	\$	198,503	\$	155,226
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	\$	81,093	\$	11,557
	Ψ	31,070	4	11,007

Edgar Filing: Midstates Petroleum Company, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 279,596	\$ 166,783
SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION:		
Non-cash investment in property and equipment	\$ 12,238	\$ 36,373
Non-cash exchange of third lien notes for 2020 senior notes and 2021 senior notes		524,121
Cash paid for interest, net of capitalized interest of \$2.9 million for the nine months		
ended September 30, 2015 (no capitalized interest for the nine months ended		
September 30, 2016)	5,821	70,711
Cash paid for reorganization items	12,725	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

#### MIDSTATES PETROLEUM COMPANY, INC. (DEBTOR-IN-POSSESSION THROUGH OCTOBER 20, 2016)

#### **Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements**

#### 1. Organization and Business

Midstates Petroleum Company, Inc. (Midstates), through its wholly owned subsidiary Midstates Petroleum Company LLC (Midstates Sub), engages in the business of exploring, drilling for, and the production of, oil, natural gas liquids (NGLs) and natural gas. Midstates was incorporated pursuant to the laws of the State of Delaware on October 25, 2011 in connection with its initial public offering to become a holding company for Midstates Sub, which was previously a wholly owned subsidiary of Midstates Petroleum Holdings LLC (Holdings LLC). The terms Company, we, us, our, and similar terms when used in the present tense, prospectively or for historical periods since April 25, 2012, refer to Midstates Sub, unless the context indicates otherwise.

The Company conducts oil and gas operations and owns and operates oil and natural gas properties in Oklahoma, Texas and Louisiana. The Company operates a significant portion of its oil and natural gas properties. The Company s management evaluates performance based on one reportable segment as all of its operations are located in the United States and, therefore, it maintains one cost center.

#### 2. Chapter 11 Proceedings

Voluntary Reorganization Under Chapter 11

On April 30, 2016 (the Petition Date ), Midstates and Midstates Sub (collectively, the Debtors ), filed voluntary petitions (the Bankruptcy Petitions ) for reorganization under Title 11 of Chapter 11 of the United States Code (the Bankruptcy Code ) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of Texas (the Bankruptcy Court ). The Debtors Chapter 11 cases (the Chapter 11 Cases ) were jointly administered under the case styled *In re Midstates Petroleum Company, Inc., et al, No. 16-32237*. The Bankruptcy Court confirmed the *First Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization of Midstates Petroleum Company, Inc., and its Debtor Affiliate* (the Plan ) on September 28, 2016 and the Debtors subsequently emerged from bankruptcy on October 21, 2016 (the Effective Date ). Although the Company is no longer a debtor-in-possession, the Company was a debtor-in-possession for the quarter ended September 30, 2016 and through October 20, 2016, the date immediately prior to the Effective Date. As such, certain aspects of the Chapter 11 Cases and related matters are described below in order to provide context to the Company s financial condition and results of operations for the period presented.

For the quarter ended September 30, 2016, the Debtors operated their businesses as debtors-in-possession under the jurisdiction of the Bankruptcy Court and in accordance with the applicable provisions of the Bankruptcy Code and orders of the Bankruptcy Court. During the Chapter 11 Cases, the Company conducted normal business activities pursuant to certain first day motions filed in the Chapter 11 Cases and approved by the Bankruptcy Court to, among other things and subject to the terms of the orders entered by the Bankruptcy Court, pay employee wages, health benefits and certain other employee obligations, pay certain lienholders or prospective lienholders and forward funds to third parties, including royalty holders and other working interest owners. As a result of these motions, the Company was able to pay all associated obligations for the period following the Petition Date. Additionally, the Company was authorized to pay and has paid pre-petition tax obligations, pre-petition employee wages and benefits, pre-petition amounts owed to certain lienholders or prospective lienholders and funds

belonging to third parties. During the pendency of the Chapter 11 Cases, all transactions outside the ordinary course of business require the prior approval of the Bankruptcy Court. The Company has accounted for the bankruptcy in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 852, *Reorganizations*.

The Debtors Plan was supported by the vast majority of its pre-petition secured creditors and was confirmed by the Bankruptcy Court on September 28, 2016. Pursuant to the confirmed Plan, the significant transactions that occurred upon the Effective Date were as follows:

- Substantial Deleveraging of the Balance Sheet: The permanent pay-down of \$81.3 million of the Company s Credit Facility, with a \$170.0 million exit facility (the Exit Facility ) established upon the Effective Date, (ii) the pay-down of \$60.0 million of the Company s Second Lien Notes in cash, and (iii) the conversion into equity of all of the Company s remaining debt junior to the Credit Facility.
- Credit Facility Claims: Holders of allowed claims arising under the Credit Facility (the Credit Facility Claims ) received their pro rata share of approximately \$81.3 million in cash and the Credit Facility was superseded, pursuant to the Plan, by the Exit Facility, as further described below.

9

- Second Lien Notes Claims: Holders of allowed claims arising under the Second Lien Notes (the Second Lien Notes Claims) received their pro rata share of (a) 96.25% of the equity of the reorganized Company in the form of common stock and (b) a cash payment of \$60.0 million.
- Third Lien Notes Claims: Holders of allowed claims arising under the Third Lien Notes (the Third Lien Notes Claims), pursuant to a settlement with holders of Second Lien Notes Claims on terms more fully set forth in the Plan (the Second/Third Lien Plan Settlement), received their prorata share of 2.5% of the equity in the form of common stock in the reorganized Company and warrants to acquire 4,411,765 shares of common stock in the reorganized Company with a strike price of \$24.00 per common share and expiring 42 months after the Effective Date.
- Unsecured Claims: Holders (the Unsecured Noteholders) of allowed claims arising under the Debtors 10.75% Senior Unsecured Notes due 2020 (the 2020 Notes Claims), the holders of allowed claims arising under the 9.25% Senior Unsecured Notes due 2021 (the 2021 Notes Claims, and together with the 2020 Notes Claims, the Unsecured Notes Claims), and the Holders of other general unsecured claims received their pro rata share of 1.25% of the equity in the form of common stock in the reorganized Company and warrants to acquire 2,213,789 shares of common stock in the reorganized Company (the Unencumbered Assets Equity Distribution) with a strike price of \$46.00 per common share and expiring 42 months after the Effective Date.
- Existing Equity: All existing equity interests of the Company were extinguished, and existing equity holders did not receive any consideration in respect of their equity interests.
- New Equity: On the Effective Date, the Company issued 24,687,500 shares of common stock in the reorganized Company and will issue 312,500 additional common shares pursuant to the Plan in a future distribution. The total authorized capital stock of the reorganized Company consists of 250,000,000 shares of common stock and 50,000,000 shares of preferred stock.
- Exit Facility: The Company s Credit Facility, which was redetermined with a borrowing base of \$170.0 million in April 2016, was superseded, pursuant to the Plan, by the Exit Facility. The Exit Facility has an initial borrowing base of \$170.0 million with no borrowing base redeterminations to occur until April 2018 (provided certain conditions are met) and semiannual borrowing base redeterminations each year on April 1 and October 1 thereafter. The Exit Facility matures on September 30, 2020 with interest payable at LIBOR plus 4.50% per annum, subject to a 1.00% LIBOR floor. The Exit Facility is secured by first priority mortgages on at least 95.0% of the proved oil and gas reserves and all other oil and gas properties included in the most recently delivered reserve report, pledges of capital stock, a first priority security interest in the cash, cash equivalents, deposit, securities and other similar accounts, and a first-priority perfected security interest in substantially all other tangible and intangible assets (including but not limited to as-extracted collateral, accounts receivable, inventory, equipment, general intangibles,

investment property, intellectual property, real property and the proceeds of the foregoing). Until April 2018, unless the borrowing base is redetermined earlier, the amount available to be drawn under the Exit Facility is reduced by \$40.0 million, and thereafter, the Company must maintain liquidity equal to at least 20.0% of the effective borrowing base. In connection therewith, on the the Effective Date, the Company made an additional payment of \$40.0 million to lenders under its Exit Facility. In addition to the aforementioned liquidity covenant, the Exit Facility also contains various other financial covenants, including an EBITDA to interest expense coverage ratio limitation of 3.00:1.00, a ratio limitation of Total Net Indebtedness (as defined in the Exit Facility) to EBITDA of not more than 2.25:1.00 through April 1, 2018 and not more than 3.00:1.00 thereafter, and a capital expenditure limitation of \$50.0 million for the 6 months ended December 31, 2016, \$81.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2017, \$85.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2019. The Exit Facility is also subject to a variety of other terms and conditions including conditions precedent to funding and various other covenants and representations and warranties.

• Management Incentive Plan: A management equity incentive plan (the MIP) was established under which 10% of the equity in the reorganized Company (on a fully-diluted/fully-distributed basis) was reserved for grants to be made from time to time to the directors, officers, and other members of management of the reorganized Company.

In accordance with the Plan, the reorganized Company s new board of directors is made up of seven directors consisting of the President and Chief Executive Officer of the reorganized Company (Frederic F. Brace), one member of the Company s pre-bankruptcy board of directors (Alan J. Carr, who will also serve as non-executive chairman of the Board), and five newly-appointed members selected by the holders of the Second Lien Notes Claims (Patrice Douglas, Neal P. Goldman, Todd R. Snyder, Michael S. Reddin, and Bruce H. Vincent).

Fresh Start Accounting

Upon emergence from the Chapter 11 Cases on the Effective Date, the Company will be required to apply fresh start accounting to its consolidated financial statements because (i) the holders of voting shares of the Company prior to the Effective Date received less than 50% of the voting shares of the Company following its emergence from the Chapter 11 Cases and (ii) the reorganization value of its assets immediately prior to confirmation of the Plan was less than the post-petition liabilities and allowed claims. Under the principles of fresh start accounting, a new reporting entity was considered to be created upon emergence. The Company will allocate the reorganization value of the Company to its individual assets based on their estimated fair values as of that date. As a result of the application of fresh start accounting and the effects of the implementation of the Plan, the Company s consolidated financial statements on and after the Effective Date will not be comparable with the Company s consolidated financial statements prior to that date.

Financial Statement Classification of Liabilities Subject to Compromise

Liabilities subject to compromise represent liabilities incurred prior to the Petition Date which are affected by the Chapter 11 Cases. These amounts represent the Company s allowed claims and its best estimate of claims expected to be allowed which will be resolved as part of the Chapter 11 Cases. Such claims remain subject to future adjustments and resolution of certain of these claims has and will extend beyond the Effective Date. Adjustments may result from negotiations, actions of the Bankruptcy Court, determination as to the value of any collateral securing claims or various other events. A difference between liability amounts estimated by the Company and claims filed by creditors will be investigated and the Bankruptcy Court will make a final determination of the amount of allowable claims. As of September 30, 2016 liabilities subject to compromise consist of the following:

	As of
	nber 30, 2016 housands)
Debt:	
2020 Senior Notes (including accrued interest as of the Petition Date)	\$ 312,039
2021 Senior Notes (including accrued interest as of the Petition Date)	361,050
Second Lien Notes (including accrued interest as of the Petition Date)	651,042
Third Lien Notes (including accrued interest as of the Petition Date)	556,136
Total debt (including accrued interest as of the Petition Date)	1,880,267
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	1,920
Total liabilities subject to compromise	\$ 1,882,187

Interest Expense

The Debtors discontinued recording interest on liabilities subject to compromise upon the Petition Date. Contractual interest on liabilities subject to compromise not reflected in the condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016 was approximately \$47.6 million and \$79.3 million, respectively, representing interest expense incurred subsequent to the Petition Date.

#### Reorganization Items

Reorganization items represent the direct and incremental costs of being in bankruptcy, such as professional fees, pre-petition liability claim adjustments and losses related to terminated contracts that are probable and can be estimated. Unamortized deferred financing costs as well as unamortized gains on the May 2015 troubled debt restructuring associated with debt classified as liabilities subject to compromise were also reclassified to reorganization items in order to reflect the expected amounts of allowed claims. Reorganization items consisted of the following for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016:

	For the Three Months Ended eptember 30, 2016 (in thousa	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2016
Professional fees incurred (1)	\$ (24,131)	\$ (31,593)
Adjustment to unamortized debt issuance costs associated with 2020		
Senior Notes		(10,738)
Adjustment to unamortized debt issuance costs associated with 2021		
Senior Notes		(12,671)
Adjustment to unamortized gain on troubled debt restructuring		
associated with Second Lien Notes		39,599
Adjustment to unamortized gain on troubled debt restructuring		
associated with Third Lien Notes		71,808
Other (2)	1,359	1,359
Total reorganization items, net	\$ (22,772)	\$ 57,764

<sup>(1)</sup> Through September 30, 2016, the Company has incurred significant professional fees associated with various advisors engaged in the restructuring process. In addition, the Company paid certain advisors success fees upon its emergence from bankruptcy on October 21, 2016. Success fees of \$7.3 million were earned on September 28, 2016, the Company s bankruptcy confirmation date, and as such were accrued and included in the above table under the heading professional fees incurred.

#### 3. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

#### Basis of Presentation

These interim financial statements are unaudited and have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) regarding interim financial reporting. Certain disclosures have been condensed or omitted from these financial statements. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and notes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) for complete consolidated financial statements, and should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto for the year ended December 31, 2015 included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K as filed with the

<sup>(2)</sup> Other reorganization items recorded in the third quarter of 2016 include \$0.2 million related to Houston office fixed assets, which were abandoned, as well as a \$1.6 million decrease in the liability previously recorded for the abandonment of the Houston office lease.

SEC on March 30, 2016.

The condensed consolidated financial statements for the quarter ended September 30, 2016 have been prepared in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 852, *Reorganizations*. This guidance requires that transactions and events directly associated with the Chapter 11 reorganization be distinguished from the ongoing operations of the business. In addition, the guidance provides for changes in the accounting for and presentation of liabilities. See Note 2. Chapter 11 Proceedings.

All intercompany transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. In the opinion of the Company's management, the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements include all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring adjustments, necessary to fairly present the financial position as of, and the results of operations for, all periods presented. In preparing the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements, management has made certain estimates and assumptions that affect reported amounts in the condensed consolidated financial statements and disclosures of contingencies. Actual results may differ from those estimates. The results for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of annual results.

On the Effective Date, the Company emerged from the Chapter 11 Cases after completing all required actions and satisfying the remaining conditions to its Plan, which was confirmed by the Bankruptcy Court by an order entered on September 28, 2016. The Company cannot currently estimate the financial effect of its emergence from bankruptcy on its financial statements, although it expects to record material adjustments due to the Plan and the application of fresh start accounting guidance at the Effective Date.

#### Recently Issued Standards Not Yet Adopted

In May 2014, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update ( ASU ) 2014-09, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606)* ( ASU 2014-09 ). ASU 2014-09 provides guidance concerning the recognition and measurement of revenue from contracts with customers. The objective of ASU 2014-09 is to increase the usefulness of information in the financial statements regarding the nature, timing and uncertainty of revenues. ASU 2014-09 requires an entity to (i) identify the contract(s) with a customer, (ii) identify the performance obligations in the contract(s), (iii) determine the transaction price, (iv) allocate the transaction price to the performance obligations in the contract(s), and (v) recognize revenue when, or as, the entity satisfies a performance obligation. ASU 2014-09 will be effective for the Company beginning on January 1, 2018, including interim periods within that reporting period, after considering the one year deferral provided by ASU 2015-14, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606): Deferral of the Effective Date.* The standard permits the use of either the retrospective or cumulative effect transition method and early adoption is permitted. The Company has not selected a transition method and is evaluating the impact this standard will have on its condensed consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-02, *Leases (Topic 842)* ( ASU 2016-02 ). ASU 2016-02 establishes a right-of-use ( ROU ) model that requires a lessee to record a ROU asset and a lease liability on the balance sheet for all leases with terms longer than 12 months. Leases will be classified as either finance or operating, with classification affecting the pattern of expense recognition in the income statement. The new standard is effective for the Company beginning on January 1, 2019, including interim periods within that fiscal year. A modified retrospective transition approach is required for lessees for capital and operating leases existing at, or entered into after, the beginning of the earliest comparative period presented in the financial statements, with certain practical expedients available. The Company is currently evaluating the impact this standard will have on its condensed consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-09, *Compensation Stock Compensation (Topic 718)* (ASU 2016-09). ASU 2016-09 simplifies how certain aspects of share-based payments to employees are recorded. ASU 2016-09 requires that entities recognize the income tax effects of awards in the income statement when the awards vest or are settled, provides guidance on the classification of certain aspects of share-based payments on the statement of cash flows, changes the threshold for awards to qualify for equity classification, and allows an entity to make an accounting policy election to account for forfeitures when they occur. The new standard is effective for the Company beginning on January 1, 2017. The Company is currently evaluating the impact this standard will have on its condensed consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

In August 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-15, Statement of Cash Flows Classification of Certain Cash Receipts and Cash Payments (ASU 2016-15). ASU 2016-15 addresses eight specific cash flow issues with the objective of reducing existing diversity of practice. The eight specific cash flow issues contained within ASU 2016-15 are debt prepayment or debt extinguishment costs, settlement of zero-coupon debt instruments or other debt instruments with coupon interest rates that are insignificant in relation to the effective interest rate of the borrowing, contingent consideration payments made after a business combination, proceeds from the settlement of insurance claims, proceeds from the settlement of corporate-owned life insurance policies, distributions received from equity method investees, beneficial interests in securitization transactions and separately identifiable cash flows and application of the predominance principle. ASU 2016-15 is effective for the Company for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, and interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company does not believe the adoption of ASU 2016-15 will have a material impact on its cash flows.

#### 4. Risk Management and Derivative Instruments

Revenue realized by the Company from the sale of its production is exposed to fluctuations in the prices for crude oil, NGLs and natural gas. The Company has historically utilized various types of derivative financial instruments, including swaps and collars, to reduce fluctuations in cash flows resulting from changes in commodity prices. Although the Company has entered into derivative financial instruments in the past, the Company currently has no derivatives in place.

#### **Commodity Derivative Contracts**

As of September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the Company did not have any open commodity derivative contract positions.

#### Gains on Commodity Derivative Contracts

Historically, the Company has not designated its commodity derivative contracts as hedging instruments for financial reporting purposes. Accordingly, commodity derivative contracts are marked-to-market each quarter with the change in fair value during the periodic reporting period recognized in Gains on commodity derivative contracts - net within revenues in the unaudited condensed consolidated statements of operations.

# Table of Contents

The following table presents net cash received for commodity derivative contracts and unrealized net losses recorded by the Company related to the change in the fair value of the derivative instruments in Gains on commodity derivative contracts, net for the periods presented:

		For the Three Mo Ended Septembe			For the Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	201	16	2015	2016		2015		
			(in tho	usands)				
Net cash received for commodity								
derivative contracts	\$	\$	34,307	\$	\$	129,105		
Unrealized net losses			(939)			(93,658)		
Gains on commodity derivative								
contracts - net	\$	\$	33,368	\$	\$	35,447		

#### 5. Property and Equipment

Property and equipment consisted of the following as of the dates presented:

	September 30, 2016 (in thousands)	<b>December 31, 2015</b>
Oil and gas properties, on the basis of full-cost accounting:		
Proved properties	\$ 3,790,856 \$	3,666,403
Unevaluated properties		
Other property and equipment	12,198	14,798
Less accumulated depreciation, depletion, amortization and		
impairment	(3,438,670)	(3,157,332)
Net property and equipment	\$ 364,384 \$	523,869

#### Oil and Gas Properties

The Company capitalizes internal costs directly related to exploration and development activities to oil and gas properties. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, the Company capitalized the following (in thousands):

	Thr	ee Months End	led Sep	tember 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,				
	2	2016		2015		2016	2015			
				(in thous	sands)					
Internal costs capitalized to oil and gas										
properties(1)	\$	1,049	\$	1,632	\$	3,311	\$	6,547		

(1) Inclusive of \$0.1 million and \$0.3 million of qualifying share-based compensation expense for the three months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively. For the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, inclusive of \$0.5 million and \$1.1 million, respectively.

The Company accounts for its oil and gas properties under the full cost method. Under the full cost method, proceeds realized from the sale or disposition of oil and gas properties are accounted for as a reduction to capitalized costs unless a significant portion of the Company s reserve quantities are sold such that it results in a significant alteration of the relationship between capitalized costs and remaining proved reserves, in which case a gain or loss is generally recognized in income.

The Company performs a full-cost ceiling test on a quarterly basis. The test establishes a limit (ceiling) on the book value of the Company s oil and gas properties. The capitalized costs of oil and gas properties, net of accumulated depreciation, depletion, amortization and impairment (DD&A) and the related deferred income taxes, may not exceed this ceiling. The ceiling limitation is equal to the sum of: (i) the present value of estimated future net revenues from the projected production of proved oil and gas reserves, excluding future cash outflows associated with settling asset retirement obligations accrued on the balance sheet, calculated using the average oil and natural gas sales price received by the Company as of the first trading day of each month over the preceding twelve months (such prices held constant throughout the life of the properties) and a discount factor of 10%; (ii) the cost of unproved and unevaluated properties excluded from the costs being amortized; (iii) the lower of cost or estimated fair value of unproved properties included in the costs being amortized; and (iv) related income tax effects. If capitalized costs exceed this ceiling, the excess is charged to expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016, capitalized costs exceeded the ceiling and the Company recorded an impairment of oil and gas properties of \$33.9 million and \$224.6 million, respectively. The comparable three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2015 included impairments of oil and gas properties of \$486.9 million and \$1.2 billion, respectively. These impairments were primarily the result of continued low commodity prices, which resulted in a reduction of the discounted present value of the Company s proved oil and natural gas reserves.

Depreciation, depletion and amortization is calculated using the Units of Production Method (UOP). The UOP calculation multiplies the percentage of estimated proved reserves produced by the cost of those reserves. The result is to recognize expense at the same pace that the reservoirs are estimated to be depleting. The amortization base in the UOP calculation includes the sum of proved property costs net of accumulated depreciation, depletion, amortization and impairment, estimated future development costs (future costs to access and develop proved reserves) and asset retirement costs that are not already included in oil and gas property, less related salvage value. The following table presents depletion expense related to oil and gas properties for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively:

	Tł	ree N	Months End	led Se	eptember 3	80,		N	ine N	Months Ende	d Sej	ptember 30	),	
	2016		2015		2016		2015	2016		2015		2016		2015
	(in tho	usand	ls)		(per	Boe)		(in tho	usan	ds)		(per	Boe)	
Depletion expense	\$ 15,231	\$	43,814	\$	5.90	\$	14.60	\$ 57,018	\$	155,778	\$	6.92	\$	17.01
Depreciation on														
other property	525		900		0.20		0.30	2,211		2,619		0.27		0.29
Depreciation,														
depletion, and														
amortization	\$ 15,756	\$	44,714	\$	6.10	\$	14.90	\$ 59,229	\$	158,397	\$	7.19	\$	17.30

#### Other Property and Equipment

Other property and equipment consists of vehicles, furniture and fixtures, and computer hardware and software and are carried at cost. Depreciation is calculated principally using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets, which range from five to seven years. Maintenance and repairs are charged to expense as incurred, while renewals and betterments are capitalized.

#### 6. Other Noncurrent Assets

The following table presents the components of other noncurrent assets as of the dates presented:

	Sept	ember 30, 2016	December 31, 2015
		(in thousan	ids)
Deferred financing costs associated with the Credit Facility	\$		\$ 6,105
Field inventory		2,888	3,225
Other		375	166
Other noncurrent assets	\$	3,263	\$ 9,496

During the nine months ended September 30, 2016, approximately \$1.8 million in deferred financing costs associated with the Credit Facility were impaired. In addition, deferred financing costs associated with the Credit Facility were reclassified from other noncurrent assets to other current assets subsequent to December 31, 2015, consistent with the balance sheet classification of outstanding borrowings under the Credit Facility.

#### 7. Accrued Liabilities

The following table presents the components of accrued liabilities as of the dates presented:

	Sept	tember 30, 2016	<b>December 31, 2015</b>			
		(in thous	sands)			
Accrued oil and gas capital expenditures	\$	7,716	\$	19,984		
Accrued revenue and royalty distributions		28,216		27,939		
Accrued lease operating and workover expense		6,709		9,281		
Accrued interest		389		20,193		
Accrued taxes		2,190		1,272		
Accrued professional fees associated with restructuring		18,868				
Compensation and benefit related accruals		3,664		8,414		
Accrued claims and contingencies		1,066		1,066		
Prepayments from joint interest partners		1,197		1,369		
Other		1,163		2,194		
Accrued liabilities	\$	71,178	\$	91,712		

#### 8. Asset Retirement Obligations

Asset Retirement Obligations ( AROs ) represent the estimated future abandonment costs of tangible assets, such as wells, service assets and other facilities. The estimated fair value of the ARO at inception is capitalized as part of the carrying amount of the related long-lived assets.

The following table reflects the changes in the Company s AROs for the periods presented:

	I	e Months Ended ber 30, 2016 (in thou	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2015 isands)			
Asset retirement obligations beginning of period	\$	18,708	\$	21,599		
Liabilities incurred		520		35		
Revisions						
Liabilities settled		(278)				
Liabilities eliminated through asset sales				(4,699)		
Current period accretion expense		1,316		1,217		
Asset retirement obligations end of period	\$	20,266	\$	18,152		

#### 9. Debt

The Company s filing of the Bankruptcy Petitions, described in Note 2 herein, constituted an event of default that accelerated the Company s obligations under the Credit Facility, the Second Lien Notes, the Third Lien Notes and the Senior Notes. As a result of the filing of the Bankruptcy Petitions, subject to certain limited exceptions, the lenders under the Credit Facility, the holders of the Second Lien Notes, the holders of the Third Lien Notes and the holders of the Senior Notes were stayed from taking any actions against the Company as a result of these defaults. On the Effective Date, the Second Lien Notes were exchanged for a cash payment of \$60.0 million and 96.25% of the equity in the reorganized Company, and the Third Lien Notes and Senior Notes were exchanged for a combination of equity in the form of common stock of the reorganized Company and warrants to acquire additional common shares of the reorganized Company. Additionally, the Company paid down \$81.3 million owed under the Credit Facility. See Note 2. Chapter 11 Proceedings for more discussion regarding the Chapter 11 Cases.

#### **Table of Contents**

As of the dates presented, the Company s debt, not including debt instruments classified as liabilities subject to compromise, consisted of the following:

		Princ	ainal		Unamortized Deferred Gain on Debt Forgiven		Unamortized Debt Issuance Costs					To	tal		
	Sept	tember 30, 2016	Î	December 31, 2015	September 30, 2016	D	ecember 61, 2015 (in tho	•	ember 30, 2016	De	cember , 2015	Sep	otember 30, 2016	Ι	December 31, 2015
Credit Facility(1)	\$	249,383	\$		\$	\$	(	\$		\$		\$	249,383	\$	
2020 Senior Notes(2)				293,625							(11,344)				282,281
2021 Senior Notes(2)				347,652							(13,296)				334,356
Second Lien Notes(2)				625,000			42,293								667,293
Third Lien Notes(2)				529,653			77,361								607,014
Total debt	\$	249,383	\$	1,795,930	\$	\$	119,654	\$		\$	(24,640)	\$	249,383	\$	1,890,944

<sup>(1)</sup> As a result of the Company s Chapter 11 filing, borrowings outstanding under the Company s Credit Facility are classified as current at September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015.

#### Reclassification of Senior and Secured Notes

The principal amount of the Company s 2020 Senior Notes outstanding of \$293.6 million, 2021 Senior Notes outstanding of \$347.7 million, Second Lien Notes of \$625.0 million, and Third Lien Notes of \$529.7 million are included in liabilities subject to compromise in the condensed consolidated balance sheet as of September 30, 2016. See Note 2. Chapter 11 Proceedings for further information.

#### Reserve-based Credit Facility

At September 30, 2016, the Company maintained a \$750.0 million Credit Facility with a borrowing base of \$170.0 million. As a result of the semiannual redetermination on April 1, 2016, the borrowing base was reduced by \$82.0 million from the previous borrowing base of \$252.0 million. As of April 1, 2016, the Company had approximately \$252.0 million in aggregate outstanding obligations under the Credit Facility, including outstanding letters of credit, resulting in a borrowing base deficiency of approximately \$82.0 million which had not been cured as of September 30, 2016.

The Company s filing of the Bankruptcy Petitions described in Note 2 herein constituted an event of default that accelerated the Company s obligations under the Credit Facility. In addition, various other defaults existed prior to the filing of the Bankruptcy Petitions, including the failure to receive an unqualified auditor s opinion in relation to the 2015 consolidated financial statements, the failure to make required interest payments on the 2020 Senior Notes and the failure to cure the borrowing base deficiency of the Credit Facility.

<sup>(2)</sup> Principal amount as of the Petition Date has been reclassified to liabilities subject to compromise. See Note 2. Chapter 11 Proceedings.

As discussed in Note 2. Chapter 11 Proceedings herein, on the Effective Date, the existing Credit Facility was amended and the Company entered into the Exit Facility among the Company, as borrower, and the lenders under the existing Credit Facility as lenders. The Exit Facility has an initial borrowing base of \$170.0 million with no borrowing base redeterminations to occur until April 2018 (provided certain conditions are met) and semiannual borrowing base redeterminations thereafter. The Exit Facility matures on September 30, 2020 with interest payable at LIBOR plus 4.50% per annum, subject to a 1.00% LIBOR floor. Additionally, at the Effective Date, the Company made a permanent pay down of \$81.3 million to the lenders under the Credit Facility. Until April 2018, unless the borrowing base is redetermined earlier, the amount available to be drawn under the Exit Facility is reduced by \$40.0 million, and thereafter, the Company must maintain liquidity equal to at least 20.0% of the effective borrowing base. In connection therewith, on the the Effective Date, the Company made an additional payment of \$40.0 million to lenders under its Exit Facility.

In addition to the aforementioned liquidity covenant, the Exit Facility also contains various other financial covenants, including an EBITDA to interest expense coverage ratio limitation of 3.00:1.00, a ratio limitation of Total Net Indebtedness (as defined in the Exit Facility) to EBITDA of not more than 2.25:1.00 through April 1, 2018 and not more than 3.00:1.00 thereafter, and a capital expenditure limitation of \$50.0 million for the 6 months ended December 31, 2016, \$81.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2017, \$85.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2018 and \$78.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2019. The Exit Facility is also subject to a variety of other terms and conditions including conditions precedent to funding and various other covenants and representations and warranties.

#### **Table of Contents**

#### 2020 Senior Notes

On October 1, 2012, the Company issued \$600.0 million in aggregate principal amount of 2020 Senior Notes, conducted pursuant to Rule 144A and Regulation S under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act ). In October 2013, these notes were exchanged for an equal principal amount of identical registered notes. In May 2015 and June 2015, a total of \$306.4 million aggregate principal amount of 2020 Senior Notes were exchanged for Third Lien Notes. As a result, \$293.6 million of 2020 Senior Notes remained outstanding at September 30, 2016 and are included in liabilities subject to compromise in the condensed consolidated balance sheet as of September 30, 2016.

On April 1, 2016, the Company elected to forego payment with respect to an approximately \$15.8 million interest payment due on the 2020 Notes, which, after the expiration of the 30 day grace period, resulted in an event of default.

The Company s filing of the Bankruptcy Petitions described in Note 2 herein constituted an event of default that accelerated the Company s obligations under the 2020 Senior Notes. In addition, various other defaults existed prior to the filing of the Bankruptcy Petitions, including the failure to receive an unqualified auditor s opinion in relation to the 2015 consolidated financial statements, the failure to make required interest payments on the 2020 Senior Notes and the failure to cure the borrowing base deficiency of the Credit Facility.

On the Effective Date, the obligations of the Company with respect to the 2020 Senior Notes were cancelled and holders of the 2020 Senior Notes received their agreed upon pro-rata share of the Unencumbered Assets Equity Distribution. See Note 2. Chapter 11 Proceedings for more discussion.

#### 2021 Senior Notes

On May 31, 2013, the Company issued \$700.0 million in aggregate principal amount of 2021 Senior Notes. In October 2013, these notes were exchanged for an equal principal amount of identical registered notes. In May and June 2015, a total of \$352.3 million aggregate principal amount of 2021 Senior Notes were exchanged for Third Lien Notes. As a result, \$347.7 million of 2021 Senior Notes remain outstanding at September 30, 2016 and are included in liabilities subject to compromise in the condensed consolidated balance sheet as of September 30, 2016.

The Company s filing of the Bankruptcy Petitions described in Note 2 herein constituted an event of default that accelerated the Company s obligations under the 2021 Senior Notes. In addition, various other defaults existed prior to the filing of the Bankruptcy Petitions, including the failure to receive an unqualified auditor s opinion in relation to the 2015 consolidated financial statements, the failure to make required interest payments on the 2020 Senior Notes and the failure to cure the borrowing base deficiency of the Credit Facility.

On the Effective Date, the obligations of the Company with respect to the 2021 Senior Notes were cancelled and holders of the 2021 Senior Notes received their agreed-upon pro-rata share of the Unencumbered Assets Equity Distribution. See Note 2. Chapter 11 Proceedings for more discussion.

#### Second Lien Notes

On May 21, 2015, the Company issued and sold \$625.0 million aggregate principal amount of Second Lien Notes, in a private placement conducted pursuant to Rule 144A under the Securities Act. In November 2015, these notes were exchanged for an equal principal amount of identical registered notes. The outstanding balance of \$625.0 million is included in liabilities subject to compromise in the condensed consolidated balance sheet as of September 30, 2016.

The Company s filing of the Bankruptcy Petitions described in Note 2 herein constituted an event of default that accelerated the Company s obligations under the Second Lien Notes. In addition, various other defaults existed prior to the filing of the Bankruptcy Petitions, including the failure to receive an unqualified auditors opinion in relation to the 2015 consolidated financial statements, the failure to make required interest payments on the 2020 Senior Notes and the failure to cure the borrowing base deficiency of the Credit Facility.

On the Effective Date, the obligations of the Company with respect to the Second Lien Notes were cancelled and holders of the Second Lien Notes received a cash payment of \$60.0 million as well as their agreed-upon pro-rata share of equity in the reorganized Company. See Note 2. Chapter 11 Proceedings for more discussion.

18

Tab:	le o	f Co	ontents

#### Third Lien Notes

On May 21, 2015 and June 2, 2015, the Company issued approximately \$504.1 million and \$20.0 million, respectively, in aggregate principal amount of Third Lien Notes in a private placement and in exchange for an aggregate \$306.4 million of the 2020 Senior Notes and \$352.3 million of the 2021 Senior Notes. In November 2015, these notes were exchanged for an equal principal amount of identical registered notes. The outstanding balance of \$529.7 million is included in liabilities subject to compromise in the condensed consolidated balance sheet as of September 30, 2016.

The Company s filing of the Bankruptcy Petitions described in Note 2 herein constituted an event of default that accelerated the Company s obligations under the Third Lien Notes. In addition, various other defaults existed prior to the filing of the Bankruptcy Petitions, including the failure to receive an unqualified auditor s opinion in relation to the 2015 consolidated financial statements, the failure to make required interest payments on the 2020 Senior Notes and the failure to cure the borrowing base deficiency of the Credit Facility.

On the Effective Date, the obligations of the Company with respect to the Third Lien Notes were cancelled and holders of the Third Lien Notes received their agreed upon pro-rata share of equity and warrants in the reorganized Company as set forth in the Second/Third Lien Plan Settlement embodied in the Plan. See Note 2. Chapter 11 Proceedings for more discussion.

#### 10. Equity and Share-Based Compensation

#### Impact of Bankruptcy Proceedings

At the Effective Date, the Company s current common stock was cancelled and new common stock of the reorganized Company was issued. At the Effective Date, the Company issued 24,687,500 shares of common stock in the reorganized Company, 4,411,765 warrants with a strike price of \$24.00 per common share of the reorganized Company and 2,213,789 warrants with a strike price of \$46.00 per common share of the reorganized Company. The total authorized capital stock of the reorganized Company consists of 250,000,000 shares of common stock and 50,000,000 shares of preferred stock.

The Company s share-based compensation awards that remained unvested at the Effective Date were also cancelled upon the Company s emergence from the Chapter 11 Cases. The cancellation of these share-based compensation awards will result in the recognition of expense on the date of cancellation to record any previously unamortized expense related to the awards. Also at the Effective Date, the Company s 2012 Long Term Incentive Plan (the 2012 LTIP) was replaced by the Company s 2016 Long Term Incentive Plan (the 2016 LTIP). The types of awards that may be granted under the 2016 LTIP include stock options, restricted stock units, restricted stock, performance awards and other forms of awards granted or denominated in shares of common stock of the reorganized Company, as well as certain cash-based awards. The terms of each award are as determined by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors. A total of 3,513,590 shares of common stock of the reorganized Company are

reserved for issuance under the 2016 LTIP as equity-based awards to employees, directors and certain other persons. At the Effective Date, a total of 1,327,192 awards were made consisting of 628,468 options to employees, 628,468 restricted stock units to employees, 57,856 restricted stock units to non-employee directors and 12,400 unrestricted shares of common stock to employees and non-employee directors. Options and restricted stock units granted to employees, excluding the 12,400 unrestricted shares of common stock in the reorganized Company granted to employees and non-employee directors, vest in four installments: 1/6 will vest on the six-month anniversary of the Effective Date, an additional 1/6 will vest on the twelve-month anniversary of the Effective Date, an additional 1/3 will vest on the thirty six-month anniversary of the Effective Date. The awards are subject to accelerated vesting in the event a recipient s employment is terminated prior to the vesting date by the Company without Cause or by the participant with Good Reason (each, as defined in the 2016 LTIP) or due to the participant s death or disability. Restricted stock units granted to non-employee directors vest on December 31, 2017.

## Table of Contents

#### Common Shares

Share Activity

The following table summarizes changes in the number of outstanding shares during the nine months ended September 30, 2016:

	Number of Shares			
	Common	Treasury		
	Stock	Stock		
Share count as of December 31, 2015	10,962,105	(96,291)		
Grants of restricted stock				
Forfeitures of restricted stock	(47,325)			
Acquisition of treasury stock		(52,316)		
Share count as of September 30, 2016	10,914,780	(148,607)		

### **Share-based Compensation**

Non-vested Stock Awards

At September 30, 2016, the Company had 108,421 non-vested shares of restricted common stock to directors, management and employees outstanding pursuant to the 2012 LTIP.

The following table summarizes the Company s non-vested share award activity for the nine months ended September 30, 2016:

	Shares
Non-vested shares outstanding at December 31, 2015	318,031
Granted	
Vested	(162,285)
Forfeited	(47,325)
Non-vested shares outstanding at September 30, 2016	108,421

Unrecognized expense, adjusted for estimated forfeitures, as of September 30, 2016 for all outstanding restricted stock awards was \$1.3 million and will be recognized on the Effective Date.

### 11. Income Taxes

For the nine months ended September 30, 2016, we recorded no income tax expense or benefit. The significant difference between our effective tax rate and the federal statutory income tax rate of 35% is primarily due to the effect of changes in the Company s valuation allowance. During the nine months ended September 30, 2016, the Company recorded \$70.9 million in additional valuation allowance in light of the impairment of oil and gas properties and the settlement of certain hedging contracts that existed at December 31, 2015, bringing the total valuation allowance to \$766.0 million at September 30, 2016. A valuation allowance has been recorded as management does not believe that it is more-likely-than-not that its deferred tax assets are realizable.

The Company expects to incur a tax loss in the current year due to the flexibility in deducting or capitalizing current year intangible drilling costs; thus no current income taxes are anticipated to be paid.

#### **Table of Contents**

#### 12. Loss Per Share

Upon the Effective Date, as discussed in Note 2 herein, the Company s current stock was cancelled and new common stock and warrants of the reorganized Company were issued. The earnings per share amounts disclosed below would have been materially different if the emergence from the Chapter 11 Cases had occurred before the end of the current period.

Prior to conversion on September 30, 2015, the Company s Series A Preferred Stock had the nonforfeitable right to participate on an as converted basis at the conversion rate then in effect in any common stock dividends declared and as such, was considered a participating security. The Company s nonvested stock awards, which were granted as part of the 2012 LTIP, contain nonforfeitable rights to dividends and as such, are considered to be participating securities and, together with the Series A Preferred Stock, are included in the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share, pursuant to the two class method. In the calculation of basic earnings per share attributable to common shareholders, participating securities are allocated earnings based on actual dividend distributions received plus a proportionate share of undistributed net income attributable to common shareholders, if any, after recognizing distributed earnings. The Company s participating securities do not participate in undistributed net losses because they are not contractually obligated to do so.

The computation of diluted earnings per share attributable to common shareholders reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common shares that are dilutive were exercised or converted into common shares (or resulted in the issuance of common shares) and would then share in the earnings of the Company. During the periods in which the Company records a loss from continuing operations attributable to common shareholders, securities would not be dilutive to net loss per share and conversion into common shares is assumed to not occur. Diluted net earnings/(loss) per share attributable to common shareholders is calculated under both the two-class method and the treasury stock method; the more dilutive of the two calculations is presented below.

The following table (in thousands, except per share amounts) provides a reconciliation of net loss to common shareholders for purposes of computing net loss per share as of the dates presented:

	Three Months Ended September 30,					Nine Months Ended September 30,			
		2016		2015		2016	2015		
				(in tho	usands)				
Net loss	\$	(38,384)	\$	(494,342)	\$	(208,696)	\$	(1,286,330)	
Preferred stock dividend				(148)				(948)	
Net loss attributable to common									
shareholders	\$	(38,384)	\$	(494,490)	\$	(208,696)	\$	(1,287,278)	
Weighted average common shares									
outstanding		10,657		6,835		10,644		6,779	
Basic and Diluted Net Loss									
per common share	\$	(3.60)	\$	(72.34)	\$	(19.61)	\$	(189.90)	

### 13. Related Party Transactions

First Reserve Corporation, which as of September 30, 2016, owned an economic interest in the Company through FR Midstates Interholding LP, also owned an economic interest in Dixie Electric. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016, the Company paid approximately \$0.6 million and \$1.7 million, respectively, for electrical equipment and related services from Dixie Electric. No transactions with Dixie Electric occurred in the comparable 2015 periods.

Т	ab	le	of	Cor	itents

### 14. Commitments and Contingencies

#### Litigation

The Company is involved in various matters incidental to its operations and business that might give rise to a loss contingency. These matters may include legal and regulatory proceedings, commercial disputes, claims from royalty, working interest and surface owners, property damage and personal injury claims and environmental authorities or other matters. In addition, the Company may be subject to customary audits by governmental authorities regarding the payment and reporting of various taxes, governmental royalties and fees as well as compliance with unclaimed property (escheatment) requirements and other laws. Further, other parties with an interest in wells operated by the Company have the ability under various contractual agreements to perform audits of its joint interest billing practices.

The Company vigorously defends itself in these matters. If the Company determines that an unfavorable outcome or loss of a particular matter is probable and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated, it accrues a liability for the contingent obligation. As new information becomes available or as a result of legal or administrative rulings in similar matters or a change in applicable law, the Company s conclusions regarding the probability of outcomes and the amount of estimated loss, if any, may change. The impact of subsequent changes to the Company s accruals could have a material effect on its results of operations. As of September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the Company s accrual for all loss contingencies was approximately \$1.1 million.

### Table of Contents

### Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and notes thereto for the year ended December 31, 2015, and the related management s discussion and analysis contained in our annual report on Form 10-K dated and filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) on March 30, 2016, as well as the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q and our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q for the quarters ended March 31, 2016 and June 30, 2016.

#### CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Various statements contained in or incorporated by reference into this report are forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 (the Securities Act ) and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act ). All statements, other than statements of historical fact, regarding our strategy, future operations, financial position, estimated revenues and losses, projected costs, prospects, and the plans, beliefs, expectations, intentions and objectives of management are forward-looking statements. When used in this quarterly report, the words could, believe, anticipate, intend, estimate, expect, may, continue, predict, project, an are intended to identify forward looking statements, although not all forward looking statements contain such identifying words. All forward-looking statements speak only as of the date of this quarterly report. You should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are subject to a number of risks, uncertainties and assumptions, including changes in oil and natural gas prices, the timing of planned capital expenditures, availability of acquisitions, uncertainties in estimating proved reserves and forecasting production results, operational factors affecting the commencement or maintenance of producing wells, the condition of the capital markets generally, as well as our ability to access them, and uncertainties regarding environmental regulations or litigation and other legal or regulatory developments affecting our business, as well as those factors discussed below and elsewhere in this report and in the Annual Report on Form 10-K. Moreover, we operate in a very competitive and rapidly changing environment. It is not possible for our management to predict all risks, nor can we assess the impact of all factors on our business or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements we may make. Although we believe that our plans, intentions and expectations reflected in or suggested by the forward-looking statements we make in this quarterly report are reasonable, we can give no assurance that these plans, intentions or expectations will be achieved or occur, and actual results could differ materially and adversely from those anticipated or implied in the forward-looking statements.

Forward-looking statements may include statements about our:

- business strategy, including our business strategy post-emergence from the Chapter 11 Cases;
- estimated future net reserves and present value thereof;
- technology;
- financial condition, revenues, cash flows and expenses;
- levels of indebtedness, liquidity, borrowing capacity and compliance with debt covenants;
- financial strategy, budget, projections and operating results;

- oil and natural gas realized prices;
- timing and amount of future production of oil and natural gas;
- availability of drilling and production equipment;
- the amount, nature and timing of capital expenditures, including future development costs;
- availability of oilfield labor;
- availability of third party natural gas gathering and processing capacity;
- the amount, nature and timing of capital expenditures, including future development costs;
- availability and terms of capital;
- drilling of wells, including our identified drilling locations;
- successful results from our identified drilling locations;
- marketing of oil and natural gas;
- the integration and benefits of asset and property acquisitions or the effects of asset and property acquisitions or dispositions on our cash position and levels of indebtedness;
- infrastructure for salt water disposal and electricity;
- current and future ability to dispose of salt water;
- sources of electricity utilized in operations and the related infrastructures;
- costs of developing our properties and conducting other operations;
- general economic conditions;
- effectiveness of our risk management activities;
- environmental liabilities;

#### **Table of Contents**

- counterparty credit risk;
- the outcome of pending and future litigation;
- governmental regulation and taxation of the oil and natural gas industry;
- developments in oil producing and natural gas producing countries;
- new capital structure and the adoption of fresh start accounting, including the risk that assumptions and factors used in estimating enterprise value vary significantly from the current estimates in connection with the application of fresh start accounting;
- uncertainty regarding our future operating results; and
- plans, objectives, expectations and intentions contained in this quarterly report that are not historical.

#### Overview

We are an independent exploration and production company focused on the application of modern drilling and completion techniques to oil-prone resources. Our common stock was listed on the New York Stock Exchange (the NYSE) beginning in 2012 under the symbol MPO; however, we were delisted by the NYSE on February 3, 2016 and traded on the OTC Pink marketplace under the symbol MPOY through the Effective Date. Subsequent to the Effective Date, our existing common stock that traded on the over the counter market was cancelled and our common stock in the reorganized company issued in connection with our successful emergence from the Chapter 11 Cases on the Effective Date began trading on the NYSE MKT LLC (the NYSE MKT) under the symbol MPO. The terms Company, we, us, our, and similar terms re and our subsidiary, unless the context indicates otherwise.

Our financial results depend upon many factors, but are largely driven by the volume of our oil and natural gas production and the price that we realize from the sale of that production. The amount we realize for our production depends predominantly upon commodity prices and our related commodity price hedging activities, if any, which are affected by changes in market demand and supply, as impacted by overall economic activity, weather, pipeline capacity constraints, inventory storage levels, basis differentials, and other factors. Accordingly, finding and developing oil and natural gas reserves at economical costs is critical to our long-term success.

### **Recent Developments**

### Emergence from Chapter 11 and Impact of Bankruptcy Proceedings

On April 30, 2016, we filed Bankruptcy Petitions for reorganization under the Bankruptcy Code in the Bankruptcy Court. Our Chapter 11 Cases were jointly administered under the case *styled In re Midstates Petroleum Company, Inc.*, et al, No. 16-32237. The Bankruptcy Court confirmed

our Plan on September 28, 2016 and we subsequently emerged from the Chapter 11 Cases on the Effective Date, October 21, 2016. Although we are no longer debtors-in-possession, we were a debtor-in-possession for the quarter ended September 30, 2016 and through October 20, 2016, the date immediately prior to the Effective Date.

For the quarter ended September 30, 2016, we operated our businesses under the jurisdiction of the Bankruptcy Court and in accordance with the applicable provisions of the Bankruptcy Code and orders of the Bankruptcy Court. During the pendency of the Chapter 11 Cases, we conducted normal course business activities pursuant to certain first day motions filed in the Chapter 11 Cases and approved by the Bankruptcy Court to, among other things and subject to the terms of the orders entered by the Bankruptcy Court, pay tax obligations, pay employee wages, health benefits and certain other employee obligations, pay certain lienholders and forward funds to third parties, including royalty holders and other working interest owners. Accordingly, we were authorized to pay and have paid pre-petition tax obligations, pre-petition employee wages and benefits, pre-petition amounts owed to certain lienholders or prospective lienholders and funds belonging to third parties. During the pendency of the Chapter 11 Cases, all transactions outside the ordinary course of our business required the prior approval of the Bankruptcy Court. The Company has accounted for the bankruptcy in accordance with ASC 852, *Reorganizations*.

#### **Table of Contents**

Our proposed Plan was supported by the vast majority of our pre-petition secured creditors and was confirmed by the Bankruptcy Court on September 28, 2016. On the Effective Date, the Second Lien Notes were exchanged for a cash payment of \$60.0 million and 96.25% of the equity in the form of common stock in the reorganized Company, and the Third Lien Notes and Senior Notes were exchanged for a combination of equity in the form of common stock of the reorganized Company and warrants to acquire additional common shares of the reorganized Company. Additionally, our Credit Facility, which was redetermined with a borrowing base of \$170.0 million in April 2016, was superseded, pursuant to the Plan, and will continue after the Effective Date as the Exit Facility. The Exit Facility has an initial borrowing base of \$170.0 million with no borrowing base redeterminations to occur until April 2018 (provided certain conditions are met) and semiannual borrowing base redeterminations each year on April 1 and October 1 thereafter. On the Effective Date, we paid down \$81.3 million owed under the Credit Facility as well as an additional \$40.0 million to lenders under our Exit Facility. See Note 2. Chapter 11 Proceedings for more discussion regarding the Chapter 11 Cases and our Exit Facility.

Further, at the Effective Date, our existing common stock traded on the over the counter market was cancelled and new common stock in the reorganized Company was issued. At the Effective Date and pursuant to the Plan, we issued 24,687,500 shares of common stock in the reorganized Company, 4,411,765 warrants with a strike price of \$24.00 per common share of the reorganized Company, 2,213,789 warrants with a strike price of \$46.00 per common share of the reorganized Company and we will issue 312,500 shares pursuant to the Plan in a future distribution. The total authorized capital stock of the reorganized Company consists of 250,000,000 shares of common stock and 50,000,000 shares of preferred stock. There are no preferred shares outstanding.

Our share-based compensation awards that remained unvested at the Effective Date were also cancelled upon our emergence from the Chapter 11 Cases. The cancellation of these share-based compensation awards will result in the recognition of expense on the date of cancellation to record any previously unamortized expense related to the awards. Also at the Effective Date, our 2012 LTIP was replaced by our 2016 LTIP. A total of 3,513,590 shares of common stock of the reorganized Company are reserved for issuance as equity-based awards to employees, directors and certain other persons. At the Effective Date, a total of 1,327,192 awards were made consisting of 628,468 options to employees, 628,468 restricted stock units to employees, 57,856 restricted stock units to non-employee directors and 12,400 unrestricted shares of common stock in the reorganized Company to employees and non-employee directors. Options and restricted stock units granted to employees, excluding the 12,400 unrestricted shares of common stock in the reorganized Company granted to employees and non-employee directors, vest in four installments: 1/6 will vest on the six-month anniversary of the Effective Date, an additional 1/6 will vest on the twelve-month anniversary of the Effective Date, an additional 1/3 will vest on the twenty four-month anniversary of the Effective Date and the final 1/3 will vest on the thirty six-month anniversary of the Effective Date. Restricted stock units granted to non-employee directors vest on December 31, 2017.

Upon emergence from the Chapter 11 Cases, we anticipate we will continue to record a full valuation allowance on our deferred tax assets until such time as it is more-likely-than-not that our taxable income will result in the realization of such deferred tax assets. As a result, we anticipate our federal tax expense will remain at zero for at least twelve months subsequent to the Effective Date.

Fresh Start Accounting

Upon emergence from the Chapter 11 Cases on the Effective Date, we are required to apply fresh start accounting to our consolidated financial statements because (i) the holders of voting shares prior to the Effective Date received less than 50% of the voting shares following our emergence from the Chapter 11 Cases and (ii) the reorganization value of our assets immediately prior to confirmation of the Plan are less than the post-petition liabilities and allowed claims. Fresh start accounting will be applied to our consolidated financial statements as of October 21, 2016, the date on which we emerged. Under the principles of fresh start accounting, a new reporting entity was considered to be created upon emergence. We will allocate the reorganization value to our individual assets based on their estimated fair values as of that date. As a result of the anticipated application of fresh start accounting and the effects of the implementation of the Plan Support Agreement, our consolidated financial statements on or after the Effective Date will not be comparable to our consolidated financial statements prior to that date.

Financial Statement Classification of Liabilities Subject to Compromise

Liabilities subject to compromise represent liabilities incurred prior to the Petition Date which are affected by the Chapter 11 Cases. These amounts represent our allowed claims and our best estimate of claims expected to be allowed which will be resolved as part of the Chapter 11 Cases. Such claims remain subject to future adjustments and resolution of certain of these claims has and will extend beyond the Effective Date. Adjustments may result from negotiations, actions of the Bankruptcy Court, determination as to the value of any collateral securing claims or other various events. A difference between liability amounts we estimated and claims filed by creditors will be investigated and the Bankruptcy Court will make a final determination of the amount of allowable claims.

### Table of Contents

Reorganization Items

We have incurred significant costs associated with the Chapter 11 Cases, principally professional fees, that significantly affected our results of operations. Additionally, unamortized deferred financing costs as well as unamortized gains on the May 2015 troubled debt restructuring associated with debt classified as liabilities subject to compromise were also reclassified to reorganization items in order to reflect the expected amounts of probable allowed claims. These reorganization items are discussed in more detail in Note 2. Chapter 11 Proceedings in the notes to our condensed consolidated financial statements included herein.

### **Operations Update**

#### Mississippian Lime

For the three months ended September 30, 2016 and June 30, 2016, our average daily production from the Mississippian Lime area was as follows:

	Three Months Ended	Three Months Ended	Decrease in
	September 30, 2016	June 30, 2016	Production
Average daily production:			
Oil (Bbls)	7,266	8,386	(13.4)%
Natural gas liquids (Bbls)	5,209	5,258	(0.9)%
Natural gas (Mcf)	65,287	69,172	(5.6)%
Net boe/day	23,357	25,173	(7.2)%

The following table shows our total number of horizontal wells spud and brought into production in the Mississippian Lime area during the third quarter of 2016:

	Total Number of Gross Horizontal Wells Spud (1)	Total Number of Gross Horizontal Wells Brought into Production
Mississippian Lime	7	6

<sup>(1)</sup> We had one rig drilling in the Mississippian Lime horizontal well program at September 30, 2016. Of the seven wells spud during the quarter, three were producing, three were awaiting completion and one was being drilled at quarter-end.

In the third quarter of 2016, we incurred approximately \$21.0 million of operational capital expenditures in the Mississippian Lime basin.

### Anadarko Basin

For the three months ended September 30, 2016 and June 30, 2016, our average daily production from our Anadarko Basin area was as follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2016	Three Months Ended June 30, 2016	Decrease in Production
Average daily production:			
Oil (Bbls)	1,728	1,926	(10.3)%
Natural gas liquids (Bbls)	1,204	1,262	(4.6)%
Natural gas (Mcf)	10,624	10,818	(1.8)%
Net boe/day	4,702	4,991	(5.8)%

We did not spud any wells in the Anadarko Basin area and did not have any operated drilling rigs in the area during the third quarter of 2016.

### Table of Contents

### Capital Expenditures

During the three and nine months ended Sepember 30, 2016, we incurred operational capital expenditures of \$21.0 million and \$118.7 million, respectively, which consisted primarily of:

	he Three Months September 30, 2016 (in thous:	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2016		
Drilling and completion activities	\$ 19,843	\$ 112,087		
Acquisition of acreage and seismic data	1,119	6,651		
Operational capital expenditures incurred	\$ 20,962	\$ 118,738		
Capitalized G&A, Office, ARO, & Other	1,286	4,708		
Total capital expenditures incurred	\$ 22,248	\$ 123,446		

Operational capital expenditures were incurred in the following areas:

	the Three Months September 30, 2016		For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2016		
	(in thous	ands)			
Mississippian Lime	\$ 20,962	\$	117,580		
Anadarko Basin			1,158		
Total capital expenditures incurred	\$ 20,962	\$	118.738		

We expect to invest between \$140.0 million to \$150.0 million of capital for exploration, development and lease and seismic acquisition during the year ended December 31, 2016.

### **Factors that Significantly Affect our Results**

Our revenue, profitability and future growth rate depend substantially on factors beyond our control, such as economic, political and regulatory developments, as well as competition from other sources of energy. Oil and natural gas prices historically have been volatile and may fluctuate widely in the future. Sustained periods of low prices for oil or natural gas could materially and adversely affect our financial position, our results of operations, our cash flows, the quantities of oil and natural gas reserves that we can economically produce and our access to capital.

Like all businesses engaged in the exploration and production of oil and natural gas, we face the challenge of natural production declines. As initial reservoir pressures are depleted, oil and natural gas production from any given well is expected to decline. As a result, oil and natural gas exploration and production companies deplete their asset base with each unit of oil or natural gas they produce. We attempt to overcome this natural production decline by developing additional reserves through our drilling operations, acquiring additional reserves and production and implementing secondary recovery techniques. Our future growth will depend on our ability to enhance production levels from our existing reserves and to continue to add reserves in excess of production. We will maintain our focus on the capital investments necessary to produce our reserves as well as to add to our reserves through drilling and acquisition. Our ability to make the necessary capital expenditures is dependent on

cash flow from operations as well as our ability to obtain additional debt and equity financing. That ability can be limited by many factors, including the cost and terms of such capital, our current financial condition, expectations regarding the future price for oil and natural gas, and operational considerations.

The volumes of oil and natural gas that we produce are driven by several factors, including:

- success in the drilling of new wells, including exploratory wells, and the recompletion or workover of existing wells;
- the amount of capital we invest in the leasing and development of our oil and natural gas properties;
- facility or equipment availability and unexpected downtime;
- delays imposed by or resulting from compliance with regulatory requirements;
- the rate at which production volumes on our wells naturally decline; and
- our ability to economically dispose of salt water produced in conjunction with our production of oil and gas.

#### **Table of Contents**

Large volumes of produced water are recovered in conjunction with the oil and natural gas we produce from our wells in the Mississippian Lime. We dispose of this produced water in various ways, but primarily through the use of injection disposal wells. Our disposal operations are conducted pursuant to permits issued to us by governmental authorities overseeing such disposal activities.

There exists a growing concern and heightened regulatory scrutiny surrounding any potential correlation between the injection of produced water into disposal wells and those activities alleged contribution to increased seismic activity in certain areas, including certain areas in which we operate. In 2015, a U.S. Geological Survey report identified eight states, including Oklahoma and Texas, with areas of increased rates of induced seismicity that could be attributed to fluid injection or oil and gas extraction. In response to these concerns, regulators in some states have shut down or imposed moratoria on the use of injection wells or are considering additional requirements related to seismic safety. For example, in October 2014, the Texas Railroad Commission (RRC) adopted a new permit rule for disposal wells that, among other things, requires companies to provide seismic activity data in permit applications and allows the RRC to modify, suspend, or terminate permits if scientific data indicates a disposal well is likely to be or determined to be contributing to seismic activity. Oklahoma has adopted a traffic light system for disposal well operators, wherein the state reviews disposal wells permits for proximity to faults, seismicity in the area and other factors in determining whether such wells should be permitted, permitted only with special restrictions, or not permitted. Under rules that were effective September 2014, the Oil and Gas Conservation Division (OGCD) of the Oklahoma Corporation Commission requires operators of disposal wells permitted for 20,000 barrels/day to conduct mechanical integrity testing and operators of disposal wells in the Arbuckle formation to provide more detailed and frequent volume and pressure data. In addition, in early 2016, the OGCD announced plans to reduce the amount of oil and gas wastewater injected by Arbuckle disposal wells by 40%. On February 16, 2016, the OGCD requested that we curtail our current wastewater disposal injection volumes into the Arbuckle formation in our Mississippian Lime area of operation by approximately 40%. At the time of the notice, the Arbuckle formation was the primary formation into which we disposed of our produced water. In response to additional earthquake activity in Oklahoma in August 2016, the OGCD further limited our disposal activity in the Lincoln County area of Oklahoma, which impacted three of our wells by limiting them to a recent 30-day average as a maximum injection volume. Only one such well was an active injector for us at the time of the OGCD order and the impact to our saltwater disposal volumes was not significant.

After the 5.8 and 4.3 earthquakes in Oklahoma near in the Pawnee area on September 3, 2016 and November 1, 2016, respectively, the OGCD and USEPA also shut down or limited the disposal volume of 64 Arbuckle disposal wells (none of which were owned, operated or utilized by us). The OGCD is currently working on an action plan to further modify Arbuckle disposal well operations after the 5.0 and 4.6 earthquakes in the Cushing area on November 6, 2016. We are currently in discussions with the OGCD regarding these matters; however, the inability to economically dispose of produced saltwater could require us to reduce our oil and gas production by shutting in certain producing wells until alternative disposal wells and methods can be permitted and developed. Potential actions to address any further OGCD curtailment request include, among other things, drilling new or converting existing wells into wastewater injection wells that would inject produced water into formations other than the Arbuckle; selectively shutting in or curtailing production from currently producing wells; or possibly a combination of the above. To date, we have converted 5 vertical wells and drilled 3 new wells for the disposal of produced water into formations other than the Arbuckle. There can be no assurance we will continue to receive the necessary permits from governmental authorities or the consent of impacted parties to convert additional existing wells or drill new wells into alternate wastewater disposal formations. Any forced reduction in oil and gas production could have a material adverse effect on our cash flow and results of operations.

We follow the full cost method of accounting for our oil and gas properties. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016, the results of our full cost ceiling test required us to recognize an impairment of our oil and gas properties of \$33.9 million and \$224.6 million, respectively. While this impairment did not impact cash flow from operating activities, it did decrease our net income. Our full cost impairments have no impact to our cash flow or liquidity.

## Table of Contents

## **Results of Operations**

The following tables summarize our revenue, production and price data for the periods indicated.

#### Revenues

		(in thou	sands	s)			(in thousa	nds)		
REVENUES:		`								
Oil sales	\$ 35,584	57.2%	\$	50,684	66.2% \$	104,832	60.1%	\$	177,439	68.3%
Natural gas liquid sales	8,939	14.4%		8,498	11.1%	25,073	14.4%		29,747	11.5%
Natural gas sales	17,676	28.4%		17,375	22.7%	44,486	25.5%		52,543	20.2%
Total oil, natural gas										
liquids, and natural gas										
sales	62,199	100%		76,557	100%	174,391	100%		259,729	100%
Realized gain/(losses) on										
commodity derivative										
contracts, net				34,307	102.8%				129,105	364.2%
Unrealized gains/(losses) on										
commodity derivative										
contracts, net				(939)	(2.8)%				(93,658)	(264.2)%
Gains on commodity										
derivative contracts - net				33,368	100%				35,447	100%
Other	1,994			438		4,322			1,106	
Total revenues	\$ 64,193		\$	110,363	\$	178,713		\$	296,282	

### Production

	2016	For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2015	% Change	2016	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2015	% Change
PRODUCTION DATA:						
Oil (MBbls)	827	1,171	(29.4)%	2,802	3,752	(25.3)%
Natural gas liquids (MBbls)	590	624	(5.4)%	1,808	1,860	(2.8)%
Natural gas (MMcf)	6,984	7,226	(3.3)%	21,779	21,282	2.3%
Oil equivalents (MBoe)	2,581	3,000	(14.0)%	8,240	9,159	(10.0)%
Oil (Bbls/day)	8,994	12,733	(29.4)%	10,226	13,744	(25.6)%
Natural gas liquids (Bbls/day)	6,413	6,786	(5.5)%	6,600	6,813	(3.1)%
Natural gas (Mcf/day)	75,911	78,541	(3.3)%	79,484	77,955	2.0%
Average daily production (Boe/day)	28,059	32,609	(14.0)%	30,073	33,550	(10.4)%

## Prices

		 Three Months eptember 30, 2015	% Change	2016	 e Nine Months September 30, 2015	% Change
AVERAGE SALES						
PRICES:						
Oil, without realized						
derivatives (per Bbl)	\$ 43.00	\$ 43.27	(0.6)% \$	37.42	\$ 47.29	(20.9)%
Oil, with realized derivatives						
(per Bbl)	\$ 43.00	\$ 66.94	(35.8)% \$	37.42	\$ 76.13	(50.8)%
Natural gas liquids, without						
realized derivatives (per Bbl)	\$ 15.15	\$ 13.61	11.3% \$	13.86	\$ 15.99	(13.3)%
Natural gas liquids, with						
realized derivatives (per Bbl)	\$ 15.15	\$ 13.61	11.3% \$	13.86	\$ 15.99	(13.3)%
Natural gas, without realized						
derivatives (per Mcf)	\$ 2.53	\$ 2.40	5.4% \$	2.04	\$ 2.47	(17.4)%
Natural gas, with realized						
derivatives (per Mcf)	\$ 2.53	\$ 3.32	(23.8)% \$	2.04	\$ 3.45	(40.9)%

m 1	1		•			
Tal	$\gamma 1e$	a ot	. ( '(	าทา	ten	ŧ٩

Three Months Ended September 30, 2016 as Compared to the Three Months Ended September 30, 2015

Oil, natural gas liquids and natural gas sales revenues

Our oil, NGL and natural gas sales revenues decreased by \$14.4 million, or 18.8%, to \$62.2 million during the three months ended September 30, 2016, as compared to \$76.6 million during the three months ended September 30, 2015. The primary contributing factor to this decrease was lower production due to the number of active rigs being utilized for the three months ended September 30, 2016 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2015.

Our oil sales revenues decreased by \$15.1 million, or 29.8%, to \$35.6 million during the three months ended September 30, 2016, as compared to \$50.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2015. Oil volumes sold decreased 3,739 Bbls/d, or 29.4%, to 8,994 Bbls/d for the three months ended September 30, 2016, from 12,733 Bbls/d for the three months ended September 30, 2015. The decrease in oil volumes sold was primarily due to natural production decline attributable to a decrease in drilling activity, resulting in lower production from both our Mississippian basin area of 2,865 bbls/d and our Anadarko basin area of 874 bbls/d in the third quarter of 2016.

Our NGL sales revenues increased by \$0.4 million, or 4.7%, to \$8.9 million during the three months ended September 30, 2016, as compared to \$8.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2015, due to higher pricing during the period. NGL volumes sold decreased 373 Bbls/d, or 5.5%, to 6,413 Bbls/d for the three months ended September 30, 2016, from 6,786 Bbls/d for the three months ended September 30, 2015. This decrease in NGL volumes sold was primarily due to natural production decline attributable to the reduced development drilling activity in both our Mississippian Lime basin and the Anadarko basin, which resulted in lower NGL production of 150 bbls/d and 223 bbls/d, respectively.

Our natural gas sales revenues increased by \$0.3 million, or 1.7%, to \$17.7 million during the three months ended September 30, 2016, as compared to \$17.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2015 due to higher pricing during the period. Natural gas volumes sold decreased 2,630 Mcf/d or 3.3%, to 75,911 Mcf/d for the three months ended September 30, 2016, from 78,541 Mcf/d for the three months ended September 30, 2015. The decrease in natural gas volumes sold was primarily due to natural production decline attributable to reduced development drilling activity in the Anadarko basin, resulting in decreased production of 2,713 Mcf/d in our Andarko basin area offset partially by increased production in the Mississipian Lime basin of 83 Mcf/d.

Gains on commodity derivative contracts - net

During the three months ended September 30, 2015, we had an unrealized loss of \$0.9 million from our mark-to-market (MTM) derivative positions, representing the changes in fair value from new positions and settlements that occurred during the period, as well as the relationship between contract prices and the associated forward curves. This loss was offset by cash receipts for the settlements of derivatives of \$34.3 million during the three months ended September 30, 2015. We had no derivative positions at either September 30, 2016 or December 31, 2015, and as such, had no gains or losses related to derivative positions in 2016.

Oil, natural gas liquids and natural gas sales revenues

Our oil, NGL and natural gas sales revenues decreased by \$85.3 million, or 32.8%, to \$174.4 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2016, as compared to \$259.7 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2015. The primary contributing factor to this decrease was lower production and realized prices for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2015.

Our oil sales revenues decreased by \$72.6 million, or 40.9%, to \$104.8 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2016, as compared to \$177.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2015. Oil volumes sold decreased by an average of 3,518 bbls/d, or 25.6%, to 10,226 bbls/d for the nine months ended September 30, 2016, from 13,744 Bbls/d for the nine months ended September 30, 2015. This decrease in oil volumes sold was attributable to decreased production period over period in both the Mississippian Lime area and Anadarko area of 2,264 bbls/d and 907 bbls/d, respectively, as the result of a decrease in drilling activity during the period and base production declines. Additionally, sales were impacted by 347 bbls/d due to the the lack of production from our Gulf Coast area as a result of the sale of our oil and gas properties in Beauregard and Calcasieu Parishes, Louisana (the Dequincy Divestiture ) in the second quarter of 2015.

### Table of Contents

Our NGL sales revenues decreased by \$4.7 million, or 15.8%, to \$25.1 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2016, as compared to \$29.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2015. NGL volumes sold decreased 213 bbls/d, or 3.1%, to 6,600 bbls/d for the nine months ended September 30, 2016, from 6,813 bbls/d for the nine months ended September 30, 2015. This decrease in NGL volumes is attributable to the lack of Gulf Coast production of 108 bbls/d due to the Dequincy Divestiture, as well as a decrease of 105 bbls/d from our Anadarko basin area as the result of reduced development drilling activity.

Our natural gas sales revenues decreased by \$8.0 million, or 15.2%, to \$44.5 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2016, as compared to \$52.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2015, primarily due to lower realized pricing period over period. Natural gas volumes sold increased 1,529 Mcf/d, or 2.0%, to 79,484 Mcf/d for the nine months ended September 30, 2016, from 77,955 Mcf/d for the nine months ended September 30, 2015. This increase in natural gas volumes sold was attributable to increased production of 4,117 Mcf/day in the Mississippian Lime area, partially offset by reduced development drilling activity in the Anadarko basin which contributed a decrease of 2,310 Mcf/d and a decrease in production of 278 Mcf/d from our Gulf Coast area due to the Dequincy Divestiture.

Gains on commodity derivative contracts - net

During the nine months ended September 30, 2015, we had an unrealized loss of \$93.7 million from our MTM derivative positions, representing the changes in fair value from new positions and settlements that occurred during the period, as well as the relationship between contract prices and the associated forward curves. This loss was offset by cash receipts for the settlements of derivatives of \$129.1 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2015. We had no derivative positions at either September 30, 2016 or December 31, 2015, and as such, had no gains or losses related to derivative positions during the 2016 period.

#### **Operating Expenses**

The table below presents a comparison of our expenses on an absolute dollar basis and a per Boe basis. Depending on the relevance, our discussion may reference expenses on an absolute dollar basis, a per Boe basis, or both.

	T	hree N	Aonths End	ed S	eptember 30	),			Nine	Months Ended	Sept	tember 30,		
	2016		2015		2016		2015	2016		2015		2016		2015
	(in the	ousand	s)		(per	Boe)		(in th	ousai	ıds)		(per	Boe)	
<b>EXPENSES:</b>														
Lease operating														
and workover	\$ 17,650	\$	18,803	\$	6.84	\$	6.27	\$ 49,520	\$	63,823	\$	6.01	\$	6.97
Gathering and														
transportation	4,296		4,017		1.66		1.34	13,428		11,386		1.63		1.24
Severance and														
other taxes	1,788		2,660		0.69		0.89	4,776		8,729		0.58		0.95
Asset retirement														
accretion	452		382		0.17		0.13	1,316		1,217		0.16		0.13
Depreciation,								·		,				
depletion, and														
amortization	15,756		44,714		6.10		14.90	59,229		158,397		7.19		17.30
	33,887		486,895		13.13		162.30	224,584		1,159,951		27.26		126.65
	,		,					,		, - ,				

Edgar Filing: Midstates Petroleum Company, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Impairment of oil								
and gas properties								
General and								
administrative	3,308	6,677	1.27	2.23	19,093	29,792	2.32	3.25
Acquisition and								
transaction costs		5				256		
Debt restructuring								
costs and advisory								
fees					7,589	36,141	0.92	3.97
Other						63		
Total expenses	\$ 77,137	\$ 564,153	\$ 29.86	\$ 188.06	\$ 379,535	\$ 1,469,755	\$ 46.07	\$ 160.46

Three Months Ended September 30, 2016 as Compared to the Three Months Ended September 30, 2015

Lease operating and workover expenses

Lease operating and workover expenses decreased \$1.1 million, or 5.9%, to \$17.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2016 compared to \$18.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2015, primarily due to decreased production between the periods, which impacts various costs that correlate with production, such as saltwater disposal, chemicals and fuel/power costs. Lease operating and workover expenses increased to \$6.84 per Boe for the three months ended September 30, 2016, an increase of \$0.57, or 9.1%, from \$6.27 per Boe for the three months ended September 30, 2015, primarily due to lower overall production period over period and the impact of various costs that do not correlate with production, such as salaries and wages and equipment rental costs.

### Table of Contents

Gathering and transportation

Gathering and transportation expenses increased \$0.3 million, or 7.5%, to \$4.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2016 compared to \$4.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2015, due primarily to increased natural gas production volumes in our Mississippian Lime area, which are subject to gathering and/or transportation fees.

Severance and other taxes

		Three I Ended Sep		),
	:	2016		2015
		(in thou	ısands)	
Total oil, natural gas, and natural gas liquids sales	\$	62,199	\$	76,557
Severance taxes		1,353		1,531
Ad valorem and other taxes		435		1,129
Severance and other taxes	\$	1,788	\$	2,660
Severance taxes as a percentage of sales		2.2%		2.0%
Severance and other taxes as a percentage of sales		2.9%		3.5%

Severance and other taxes decreased \$0.9 million, or 33.3%, to \$1.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2016 compared to \$2.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2015. Severance taxes decreased \$0.2 million, or 13.3%, to \$1.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2016, as compared to \$1.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2015. Severance taxes as a percentage of sales increased to 2.2% for the three months ended September 30, 2016, as compared to 2.0% for the corresponding 2015 period. Ad valorem taxes decreased \$0.7 million, or 63.6%, to \$0.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2016 compared to \$1.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2015 due to a decrease in the taxable value of our proved oil and gas reserves.

Depreciation, depletion and amortization (DD&A)

DD&A expense decreased \$28.9 million, or 64.7%, to \$15.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2016 compared to \$44.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2015. The decrease in DD&A expense was driven by ceiling impairments recorded during 2015 and the first three quarters of 2016, decreasing our depletable base. Consequently, the depletion rate per Boe decreased from \$14.60 per Boe for the three months ended September 30, 2015 to \$5.90 per Boe for the three months ended September 30, 2016.

Impairment of oil and gas properties

We recorded impairment expense related to our oil and natural gas properties for the three months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015 of \$33.9 million and \$486.9 million, respectively, as a result of our full-cost ceiling test. Under the full cost method, we are subject to quarterly calculations of a ceiling or limitation on the amount of capitalized costs associated with our oil and natural gas properties in our condensed consolidated balance sheets. The impairment expense for the three months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015 was primarily due to a decrease in the value of our proven oil and natural gas reserves as a result of an extended period of low commodity prices.

General and administrative (G&A)

Our G&A expenses decreased by \$3.4 million, or 50.7%, to \$3.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2016, compared to \$6.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2015. This decrease is primarily attributable to a \$2.0 million reduction in employee related expenses in the three months ended September 30, 2016 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2015 as a result of lower headcount. Also contributing to the decrease was lower professional fees of \$1.0 million and a \$0.5 million increase in overhead costs recovered from third-party working interest owners.

### Table of Contents

### Nine Months Ended September 30, 2016 as Compared to the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2015

Lease operating and workover expenses

Lease operating and workover expenses decreased \$14.3 million, or 22.4%, to \$49.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 compared to \$63.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2015. The decrease in lease operating expenses is the result of decreased production and ongoing cost reduction efforts in our Mississippian Lime and Anadarko basin areas, specifically in chemical well treatment, artificial lift, compression, and surface maintenance and repair expenses. Lease operating and workover expenses decreased to \$6.01 per Boe for the nine months ended September 30, 2016, a decrease of \$0.96, or 13.8%, from the \$6.97 per Boe for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 primarily due to the reasons noted above.

#### Gathering and transportation

Gathering and transportation expenses were \$13.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2016, as compared to \$11.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2015, an increase of \$2.0 million or 17.5% This increase is primarily the result of increased natural gas production volumes in our Mississippian Lime area, which are subject to gathering and/or transportation fees.

Severance and other taxes

		Nine Months Ended September 30,				
		2015				
		(in tho	usands)			
Total oil, natural gas, and natural gas liquids sales	\$	174,391	\$	259,729		
Severance taxes		3,717		4,542		
Ad valorem and other taxes		1,059		4,187		
Severance and other taxes	\$	4,776	\$	8,729		
Severance taxes as a percentage of sales		2.1%		1.8%		
Severance and other taxes as a percentage of sales		2.7%		3.4%		

Severance and other taxes decreased \$3.9 million, or 44.8%, to \$4.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2016, compared to \$8.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2015. Severance taxes decreased \$0.8 million, or 17.8%, to \$3.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2016, as compared to \$4.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 due primarily to lower realized pricing, as well as decreased production. Severance taxes as a percentage of sales changed from 1.8% for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 to 2.1% for the corresponding 2016 period. Ad valorem taxes decreased \$3.1 million, or 73.8%, to \$1.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2016

compared to \$4.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 due to the decrease in the taxable value of our proved oil and gas reserves.

Depreciation, depletion and amortization

DD&A expense decreased \$99.2 million, or 62.6%, to \$59.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 compared to \$158.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2015. The decrease in DD&A expense was driven by ceiling impairments recorded during 2015 and the first three quarters of 2016, decreasing our depletable base. Consequently, the depletion rate per Boe also decreased from \$17.01 per Boe for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 to \$6.92 per Boe for the nine months ended September 30, 2016.

Table of Contents
Impairment of oil and gas properties
We recorded impairment expense related to our oil and natural gas properties for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015 of \$224. million and \$1.2 billion, respectively, as a result of our full cost ceiling test. Under the full cost method, we are subject to quarterly calculations of a ceiling or limitation on the amount of capitalized costs associated with our oil and natural gas properties in our condensed consolidated balance sheets. The impairment expense recognized in both periods was primarily due to a decrease in the value of our proven oil and gas reserves as the result of sustained low commodity prices.
General and administrative
Our G&A expenses decreased by \$10.7 million, or 35.9%, to \$19.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2016, compared to \$29.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2015. The decrease is primarily attributable to a decrease of \$7.5 million in employee related expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 as compared to the comparable period in 2015 due to lower headcount. Also contributing to the decrease was a reduction of \$2.1 million in professional fees incurred. Partially offsetting these decreases was an increase o \$2.5 million in accelerated rent expense associated with the Houston office lease abandonment during the nine months ended September 30, 2016.
Acquisition and transaction costs
We did not incur any acquisition and transaction costs for the nine months ended September 30, 2016, compared to \$0.3 million related to the Dequincy Divestiture for the nine months ended September 30, 2015.
Debt restructuring costs and advisory fees
Debt restructuring costs decreased by \$28.5 million, or 78.9%, to \$7.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 compared to \$36. million for the nine months ended September 30, 2015. During both the 2016 and 2015 periods, we engaged various advisors to assist us in analyzing options to improve our financial flexibility and provide additional long-term liquidity. Expenses recognized for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 relate to expenses associated with our bankruptcy and restructuring process incurred prior to the Petition Date. Cost for the corresponding period in 2015 related to our debt restructuring process in May 2015 as well as issuance costs associated with the Second Lien Notes offering and Third Lien Notes exchange.
Other Income (Expense)

Edgar Filing: Midstates Petroleum Company, Inc. - Form 10-Q

	For the Thre Ended Septe 2016			For the Nin Ended Sept 2016		
	(in thous	ands)	2015	(in thou	sands)	2013
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE)						
Interest income	\$	\$	43 \$	81	\$	80
Interest expense	(2,668)		(41,453)	(65,719)		(124,901)
Capitalized Interest			858			2,923
Interest expense net of amounts capitalized	(2,668)		(40,595)	(65,719)		(121,978)
Reorganization items	(22,772)			57,764		
Total other expense	\$ (25,440)	\$	(40,552) \$	(7,874)	\$	(121,898)

Table of Contents
Three Months Ended September 30, 2016 as Compared to the Three Months Ended September 30, 2015
Interest expense
Interest expense, net of amounts capitalized, for the three months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015 was \$2.7 million and \$40.6 million, respectively. The primary driver in the decrease in interest expense is the reclassification of our Senior Notes, Second Lien Notes and Third Lien Notes to liabilities subject to compromise in connection with the Chapter 11 Cases. As such, we ceased recognizing interest expense for all debt except for amounts outstanding under the Credit Facility beginning at the Petition Date. Contractual interest for the Senior Notes, Second Lien Notes and Third Lien Notes not recorded by us during the quarter ended September 30, 2016 was \$47.6 million. No interest expense was capitalized for the three months ended September 30, 2016, due to the transfer of all balances related to unevaluated properties to the full cost pool at December 31, 2015. For the three months ended September 30, 2015, \$0.9 million was capitalized to oil and gas properties.
Reorganization items
We recognized expenses of \$22.8 million in reorganization items during the three months ended September 30, 2016. Reorganization items represent the direct and incremental costs of being in bankruptcy, such as professional fees, pre-petition liability claim adjustments and losses related to terminated contracts that are probable and can be estimated. Reorganization items recorded for the three months ended September 30, 2016 consisted primarily of \$24.1 million of professional fees incurred during the period, including \$7.3 million accrued for success fees that were earned on September 28, 2016, our bankruptcy confirmation date, and paid upon our emergence from the Chapter 11 Cases at the Effective Date.
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2016 as Compared to the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2015
Interest expense
Interest expense, net of amounts capitalized, for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015 was \$65.7 million and \$121.9 million, respectively. Interest expense was lower in the nine months ended September 30, 2016 as compared to the comparable period in 2015 due to the cessation of recognizing interest expense for all debt except for amounts outstanding under the Credit Facility beginning at the Petition Date. Contractual interest for the Senior Notes, Second Lien Notes and Third Lien Notes not recorded by us for the period from April 30, 2016 through September 30, 2016 was \$79.3 million. No interest expense was capitalized for the nine months ended September 30, 2016, due to the transfer of all balances related to unevaluated properties to the full cost pool at December 31, 2015. For the nine months ended September 30, 2015, \$2.9 million was capitalized to oil and gas properties.
Reorganization Items

We recognized a net gain of \$57.8 million in reorganization items during the nine months ended September 30, 2016. These reorganization items consist of the following:

	Mo Septe	r the Nine nths Ended nber 30, 2016 thousands)
Professional fees incurred (1)	\$	(31,593)
Adjustment to unamortized debt issuance costs associated with 2020 Senior Notes		(10,738)
Adjustment to unamortized debt issuance costs associated with 2021 Senior Notes		(12,671)
Adjustment to unamortized gain on troubled debt restructuring associated with Second Lien Notes		39,599
Adjustment to unamortized gain on troubled debt restructuring associated with Third Lien Notes		71,808
Other (2)		1,359
Total reorganization items	\$	57,764

<sup>(1)</sup> Through September 30, 2016, we have incurred significant professional fees associated with various advisors engaged in the restructuring process. In addition, we paid certain advisors success fees upon our emergence from bankruptcy on October 21, 2016. Success fees of \$7.3 million were earned on September 28, 2016, our bankruptcy confirmation date, and as such were accrued and included in the above table under the heading professional fees incurred.

<sup>(2)</sup> Other reorganization items recorded in the third quarter of 2016 include \$0.2 million related to Houston office fixed assets, which were abandoned, as well as a \$1.6 million decrease in the liability previously recorded for the abandonment of the Houston office lease.

Table	$\alpha f$	Contents

#### **Provision for Income Taxes**

Three Months Ended September 30, 2016 as Compared to the Three Months Ended September 30, 2015

For the three months ended September 30, 2016, we recorded no income tax expense or benefit. Our effective tax rate for the third quarter of 2016 differs from the federal statutory rate of 35% due to the effect of recurring permanent adjustments, state income taxes and changes in our valuation allowance. A valuation allowance has been recorded as management does not believe that it is more-likely-than-not that its deferred tax assets are realizable.

We expect to incur a tax loss in the current year due to the flexibility in deducting or capitalizing current year intangible drilling costs; thus no current income taxes are anticipated to be paid.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2016 as Compared to the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2015

For the nine months ended September 30, 2016, we recorded no income tax expense or benefit. Our effective tax rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 differs from the federal statutory rate of 35% due to the effect of recurring permanent adjustments, state income taxes and changes in our valuation allowance.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2016, we recorded \$70.9 million in additional valuation allowance, bringing the total valuation allowance to \$766.0 million at September 30, 2016.

#### **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

As of September 30, 2016, the total outstanding principal amount of our debt obligations was approximately \$2.045 billion, consisting of approximately \$249.4 million of borrowings under the Credit Facility (excluding outstanding letters of credit of \$1.9 million), \$293.6 million of 2020 Senior Notes, \$347.7 million of 2021 Senior Notes, \$625.0 million of Second Lien Notes and \$529.7 million of Third Lien Notes. The Company s filing of the Bankruptcy Petitions constituted an event of default that accelerated the Company s obligations under these various debt agreements. In addition, various other defaults existed prior to the filing of the Bankruptcy Petitions, including the failure to receive a unqualified auditor s opinion in relation to the 2015 consolidated financial statements, the failure to make required interest payments on the 2020 Senior Notes and the failure to cure the borrowing base deficiency of the Credit Facility. Other than amounts outstanding under the Credit Facility, such amounts have been reclassified as liabilities subject to compromise in our condensed combined balance sheet at September 30, 2016.

On the Effective Date, the Second Lien Notes were exchanged for a cash payment of \$60.0 million and 96.25% of the equity in the form of common stock in the reorganized Company, and the Third Lien Notes and Senior Notes were exchanged for a combination of equity in the form of common stock of the reorganized Company and warrants to acquire additional common shares of the reorganized Company. Additionally, we paid down \$81.3 million owed under the Credit Facility as well as an additional payment of \$40.0 million to lenders under our Exit Facility. As a result of our restructuring, we estimate cash paid for interest will decrease from approximately \$173.7 million per year to approximately \$7.0 million per year, a cash interest savings of approximately \$166.7 million per year.

The following table provides pro forma debt information, excluding the Credit Facility, as of the Effective Date:

	Oct	As of sober 21, 2016	1	destructuring Adjustments thousands)	Pro Forma as of October 21, 2016
Unsecured Notes:					
2020 Senior Notes	\$	293,625	\$	(293,625)	\$
2021 Senior Notes		347,652		(347,652)	
Total Unsecured Notes	\$	641,277	\$	(641,277)	\$
Secured Notes:					
Second Lien Term Loan	\$	625,000	\$	(625,000)	\$
Third Lien Term Loan		529,653		(529,653)	
Total Secured Notes	\$	1,154,653	\$	(1,154,653)	\$
Total Debt (excluding Credit Facility)	\$	1.795.930	\$	(1.795.930)	\$

#### **Table of Contents**

After taking into account the cash payments to the holders of Second Lien Notes and the lenders under the Credit Facility and the Exit Facility discussed above, as well as certain liquidity requirements under the Exit Facility discussed further below, our pro forma liquidity at the Effective Date consisted of cash on hand of approximately \$77.8 million. At the Effective Date, approximately \$128.1 million was outstanding under the Exit Facility.

Historically, our primary sources of liquidity have been cash flows from operations, borrowings under our Credit Facility and issuances of senior unsecured and secured notes. We currently expect to fund the reorganized Company s operations, capital expenditures and debt service obligations primarily through cash flows generated by our operations and cash on hand or availability under our Exit Facility. We believe such sources will be adequate to meet our operating, capital and debt service needs. Nevertheless, our ability to fund these obligations will be affected by many factors, including prevailing economic conditions, which are beyond our control. To the extent operating cash flow is materially different than expected, future liquidity may be adversely affected.

The condensed consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q have been prepared on a going concern basis of accounting, which contemplates continuity of operations, realization of assets, and satisfaction of liabilities and commitments in the normal course of business. The condensed consolidated financial statements do not reflect any adjustments that will result from our emergence from the Chapter 11 Cases and the application of fresh start accounting.

### Significant Sources of Capital

Reserve-based Credit Facility

At September 30, 2016, our Credit Facility consisted of a \$750.0 million senior revolving credit facility with a borrowing base of \$170.0 million, supported by our Mississippian Lime and Anadarko Basin oil and gas assets.

As a result of the semiannual redetermination on April 1, 2016, the borrowing base was reduced by \$82.0 million from the previous borrowing base of \$252.0 million. As of April 1, 2016, we had approximately \$252.0 million in aggregate outstanding obligations under the Credit Facility, including outstanding letters of credit, resulting in a borrowing base deficiency of approximately \$82.0 million. As of September 30, 2016 we had not cured this borrowing base deficiency.

Our filing of the Bankruptcy Petitions, as described in Note 2. Chapter 11 Proceedings in the notes to our condensed consolidated financial statements included herein, constituted an event of default that accelerated our obligations under the Credit Facility. In addition, various other defaults existed prior to the filing of the Bankruptcy Petitions, including the failure to receive an unqualified auditor s opinion in relation to the 2015 consolidated financial statements, the failure to make required interest payments on the 2020 Senior Notes and the failure to cure the borrowing base deficiency of the Credit Facility.

As discussed in Note 2. Chapter 11 Proceedings herein, on the Effective Date, the existing Credit Facility was amended and we entered into the Exit Facility with the lenders under the existing Credit Facility. The Exit Facility has an initial borrowing base of \$170.0 million with no borrowing base redeterminations to occur until April 2018 (provided certain conditions are met) and semiannual borrowing base redeterminations thereafter. The Exit Facility matures on September 30, 2020, with interest payable at LIBOR plus 4.50% per annum, subject to a 1.00% LIBOR floor. Additionally, at the Effective Date, we made a permanent pay down of \$81.3 million to the lenders under the Credit Facility. Until April 2018, unless the borrowing base is redetermined earlier, the amount available to be drawn under the Exit Facility is reduced by \$40.0 million, and thereafter, we must maintain liquidity equal to at least 20.0% of the effective borrowing base. In connection therewith, on the the Effective Date, we made an additional payment of \$40.0 million to lenders under our Exit Facility.

In addition to the aforementioned liquidity covenant, the Exit Facility also contains various other financial covenants, including an EBITDA to interest expense coverage ratio limitation of 3.00:1.00, a ratio limitation of Total Net Indebtedness (as defined in the Exit Facility) to EBITDA of not more than 2.25:1.00 through April 1, 2018 and not more than 3.00:1.00 thereafter, and a capital expenditure limitation of \$50.0 million for the 6 months ended December 31, 2016, \$81.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2017, \$85.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2018 and \$78.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2019. The Exit Facility is also subject to a variety of other terms and conditions including conditions precedent to funding and various other covenants and representations and warranties.

Tab:	le o	f Co	ontents

2020 Senior Notes

On October 1, 2012, we issued \$600.0 million in aggregate principal amount of 2020 Senior Notes conducted pursuant to Rule 144A and Regulation S under the Securities Act. In October 2013, these notes were exchanged for an equal principal amount of identical registered notes. In May 2015 and June 2015, a total of \$306.4 million aggregate principal amount of 2020 Senior Notes were exchanged for Third Lien Notes. As a result, \$293.6 million of 2020 Senior Notes remain outstanding at September 30, 2016 and is classified as liabilities subject to compromise in our condensed consolidated balance sheet.

On April 1, 2016, we elected to forego payment with respect to an approximately \$15.8 million interest payment due on the 2020 Notes, which after the expiration of the 30 day grace period resulted in an event of default.

Our filing of the Bankruptcy Petitions, as described in Note 2. Chapter 11 Proceedings in the notes to our condensed consolidated financial statements included herein, constituted an event of default that accelerated our obligations under the 2020 Senior Notes. In addition, various other defaults existed prior to the filing of the Bankruptcy Petitions, including the failure to receive an unqualified auditor s opinion in relation to the 2015 consolidated financial statements, the failure to make required interest payments on the 2020 Senior Notes and the failure to cure the borrowing base deficiency of the Credit Facility.

Upon the Effective Date, our obligations with respect to the 2020 Senior Notes were cancelled and holders of the 2020 Senior Notes received their agreed upon pro-rata share of the Unencumbered Assets Equity Distribution. See Note 2. Chapter 11 Proceedings for further discussion.

2021 Senior Notes

On May 31, 2013, we issued \$700.0 million in aggregate principal amount of 2021 Senior Notes. In October 2013, these notes were exchanged for an equal principal amount of identical registered notes. In May 2015 and June 2015, a total of \$352.3 million aggregate principal amount of 2021 Senior Notes were exchanged for Third Lien Notes. As a result, \$347.7 million of 2021 Senior Notes remain outstanding at September 30, 2016 and is classified as liabilities subject to compromise in our condensed consolidated balance sheet.

Our filing of the Bankruptcy Petitions, as described in Note 2. Chapter 11 Proceedings in the notes to our condensed consolidated financial statements included herein, constituted an event of default that accelerated our obligations under the 2021 Senior Notes. In addition, various other defaults existed prior to the filing of the Bankruptcy Petitions, including the failure to receive an unqualified auditor s opinion in relation to the 2015 consolidated financial statements, the failure to make required interest payments on the 2020 Senior Notes and the failure to cure the borrowing base deficiency of the Credit Facility.

Upon the Effective Date, our obligations with respect to the 2021 Senior Notes were cancelled and holders of the 2021 Senior Notes received their agreed upon pro-rata share of the Unencumbered Assets Equity Distribution. See Note 2. Chapter 11 Proceedings for further discussion.

Second Lien Notes

On May 21, 2015, we and Midstates Sub issued and sold \$625.0 million aggregate principal amount of Second Lien Notes in a private placement conducted pursuant to Rule 144A under the Securities Act. In November 2015, these notes were exchanged for an equal principal amount of identical registered notes. The balance of \$625.0 million is included in liabilities subject to compromise in our condensed consolidated balance sheet.

Our filing of the Bankruptcy Petitions, as described in Note 2. Chapter 11 Proceedings in the notes to our condensed consolidated financial statements included herein, constituted an event of default that accelerated our obligations under the Second Lien Notes. In addition, various other defaults existed prior to the filing of the Bankruptcy Petitions, including the failure to receive an unqualified auditor s opinion in relation to the 2015 consolidated financial statements, the failure to make required interest payments on the 2020 Senior Notes and the failure to cure the borrowing base deficiency of the Credit Facility.

Upon the Effective Date, our obligations with respect to the Second Lien Notes were cancelled and holders of the Second Lien Notes received a cash payment of \$60.0 million as well as their agreed upon pro-rata share of equity in the reorganized Company as more fully set forth in the Plan. See Note 2. Chapter 11 Proceedings for further discussion.

### **Table of Contents**

Third Lien Notes

On May 21, 2015 and June 2, 2015, we issued approximately \$504.1 million and \$20.0 million, respectively, in aggregate principal amount of Third Lien Notes in a private placement and in exchange for an aggregate of \$306.4 million of the 2020 Senior Notes and \$352.3 million of the 2021 Senior Notes. In November 2015, these notes were exchanged for an equal principal amount of identical registered notes. The balance of \$529.7 million is included in liabilities subject to compromise in our condensed consolidated balance sheet.

Our filing of the Bankruptcy Petitions, as described in Note 2. Chapter 11 Proceedings in the notes to our condensed consolidated financial statements included herein, constituted an event of default that accelerated our obligations under the Third Lien Notes. In addition, various other defaults existed prior to the filing of the Bankruptcy Petitions, including the failure to receive an unqualified auditor s opinion in relation to the 2015 consolidated financial statements, the failure to make required interest payments on the 2020 Senior Notes and the failure to cure the borrowing base deficiency of the Credit Facility.

Upon the Effective Date, our obligations with respect to the Third Lien Notes were cancelled and holders of the Third Lien Notes received their agreed upon pro-rata share of equity and warrants in the reorganized Company as more fully set forth in the Plan. See Note 2. Chapter 11 Proceedings for further discussion.

# Cash Flows from Operating, Investing and Financing Activities

The following table summarizes our consolidated cash flows from operating, investing and financing activities for the periods presented. For information regarding the individual components of our cash flow amounts, please refer to the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows included under Item 1 of this quarterly report.

Our operating cash flows are sensitive to a number of variables, the most significant of which is the volatility of oil and gas prices. Regional and worldwide economic activity, weather, infrastructure capacity to reach markets and other variable factors significantly impact the prices of these commodities. These factors are beyond our control and are difficult to predict. For additional information on the impact of changing prices on our financial position, see — Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

The following information highlights the significant period-to-period variances in our cash flow amounts:

	For the Nin Ended Sep		
	2016		2015
	(in thousands)		
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 78,244	\$	235,892
Net cash used in investing activities	(129,072)		(231,408)

Net cash provided by financing activities	249,331	150,742
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	\$ 198,503	\$ 155,226

# Cash flows provided by operating activities

Net cash provided by operating activities decreased by \$157.7 million to \$78.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 as compared to \$235.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2015. The decrease in net cash provided by operating activities was primarily the result of the expiration of our commodity derivative contracts in 2015. No cash was received from commodity derivative contracts for the nine months ending September 30, 2016 compared to \$129.1 million received in the nine months ended September 30, 2015. Additionally, lower commodity pricing during the 2016 period resulted in a decrease of \$85.3 million in our oil and gas revenues between the periods. Partially offsetting these amounts was a decrease in cash interest payments during the nine months ended September 30, 2016 of \$64.9 million compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2015. The significant decrease in interest payments is due to the Chapter 11 Cases described within Note 2. Chapter 11 Proceedings.

### Cash flows used in investing activities

Net cash used in investing activities was \$129.1 million and \$231.4 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively. The decrease in our capital expenditures is the result of a lower rig count during the 2016 period as we are currently utilizing one rig for drilling activities in the Mississippian Lime area. In addition, the 2015 period included proceeds of \$40.2 million associated with the Dequincy Divestiture.

Table of Contents
Cash flows provided by financing activities
Net cash provided by financing activities was \$249.3 million and \$150.7 million, respectively, for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015. Net cash provided by financing activities in the nine months ended September 30, 2016 related to the drawdown of the Credit Facility in February 2016, while the net cash provided by financing activities in the nine months ended September 30, 2015 related primarily to the issuance of the Second Lien Notes of \$625.0 million, offset by the repayment of the Credit Facility of \$468.2 million and transaction costs incurred of \$34.4 million.
Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates
A discussion of our critical accounting policies and estimates is included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015. When used in the preparation of our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements, estimates are based on our current knowledge and understanding of the underlying facts and circumstances and may be revised as a result of actions we take in the future. Changes in these estimates will occur as a result of the passage of time and the occurrence of future events. Subsequent changes in these estimates may have a significant impact on our condensed consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows.
The following change to our accounting policies was made during the second quarter of 2016:
Application of ASC 852
The consolidated financial statements for the quarter ended September 30, 2016 have been prepared in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 852, <i>Reorganizations</i> . ASC 852 requires that transactions and events directly associated with the Chapter 11 reorganization be distinguished from thongoing operations of the business. Additionally, ASC 852 provides guidance for changes in the accounting for and presentation of liabilities.

As a result of the application of ASC 852, we made the following adjustments to our condensed consolidated financial statements:

• We segregated certain liabilities that are impacted by the restructuring within our condensed consolidated balance sheet at September 30, 2016. These liabilities, entitled Liabilities Subject to Compromise, were recorded at the estimated allowable claim amount. Any differences between the estimated allowed claim amount and the carrying amount of such liability was included within Reorganization Items in our condensed consolidated statement of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016.

- We segregated costs associated with the restructuring within our condensed consolidated statement of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016. These costs, entitled Reorganization Items included professional fees incurred since the Petition Date and any differences between the estimated allowed claim and the carrying amount of certain liabilities included within our condensed consolidated balance sheet at September 30, 2016.
- Non-cash Reorganization Items were separately reported within our condensed consolidated statement of cash flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2016.

On October 21, 2016, the Effective Date, we emerged from the Chapter 11 Cases after completing all required actions and satisfying the remaining conditions to our Plan, which was confirmed by the Bankruptcy Court by an order entered on September 28, 2016. We cannot currently estimate the financial effect of our emergence from the Chapter 11 Cases on our financial statements, although we expect to record material adjustments due to the Plan and the application of fresh start accounting guidance at the Effective Date.

Table of Contents
Other Items
Obligations and Commitments
We have various contractual obligations for operating leases, including drilling contracts, as well as lease commitments and commitments under our debt instruments. Our filing of the Bankruptcy Petitions, as described in Note 2 herein, automatically enjoined or stayed our creditors from taking any actions against us in regards to these obligations.

### Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not currently utilize any off-balance sheet arrangements with unconsolidated entities to enhance our liquidity and capital resource positions or for any other purpose. However, as is customary in the oil and gas industry, we have various contractual work commitments and letters of credit as described in our notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

#### Recently Issued Standards Not Yet Adopted

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606)* ( ASU 2014-09 ). ASU 2014-09 provides guidance concerning the recognition and measurement of revenue from contracts with customers. The objective of ASU 2014-09 is to increase the usefulness of information in the financial statements regarding the nature, timing and uncertainty of revenues. ASU 2014-09 requires an entity to (i) identify the contract(s) with a customer, (ii) identify the performance obligations in the contract(s), (iii) determine the transaction price, (iv) allocate the transaction price to the performance obligations in the contract(s), and (v) recognize revenue when, or as, the entity satisfies a performance obligation. ASU 2014-09 will be effective for us beginning on January 1, 2018, including interim periods within that reporting period, considering the one year deferral provided by ASU 2015-14, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606): Deferral of the Effective Date.* The standard permits the use of either the retrospective or cumulative effect transition method and early adoption is permitted. We have not selected a transition method and are evaluating the impact this standard will have on our consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-02, *Leases (Topic 842)* ( ASU 2016-02 ). ASU 2016-02 establishes ROU model that requires a lessee to record a ROU asset and a lease liability on the balance sheet for all leases with terms longer than 12 months. Leases will be classified as either finance or operating, with classification affecting the pattern of expense recognition in the income statement. The new standard is effective for us beginning on January 1, 2019, including interim periods within those fiscal years. A modified retrospective transition approach is required for lessees for capital and operating leases existing at, or entered into after, the beginning of the earliest comparative period presented in the financial statements, with certain practical expedients available. We are currently evaluating the impact this standard will have on our consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-09, *Compensation Stock Compensation (Topic 718)* ( ASU 2016-09 ). ASU 2016-09 simplifies how certain aspects of share-based payments to employees are recorded. ASU 2016-09 requires that entities recognize the income tax effects of

awards in the income statement when the awards vest or are settled, provides guidance on the classification of certain aspects of share-based payments on the statement of cash flows, changes the threshold for awards to qualify for equity classification, and allows an entity to make an accounting policy election to account for forfeitures when they occur. The new standard is effective for us beginning on January 1, 2017. We are currently evaluating the impact this standard will have on our condensed consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

In August 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-15, Statement of Cash Flows Classification of Certain Cash Receipts and Cash Payments (ASU 2016-15). ASU 2016-15 addresses eight specific cash flow issues with the objective of reducing existing diversity of practice. The eight specific cash flow issues contained within ASU 2016-15 are debt prepayment or debt extinguishment costs, settlement of zero-coupon debt instruments or other debt instruments with coupon interest rates that are insignificant in relation to the effective interest rate of the borrowing, contingent consideration payments made after a business combination, proceeds from the settlement of insurance claims, proceeds from the settlement of corporate-owned life insurance policies, distributions received from equity method investees, beneficial interests in securitization transactions and separately identifiable cash flows and application of the predominance principle. ASU 2016-15 is effective for the us for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, and interim periods within those fiscal years. We do not believe the adoption of ASU 2016-15 will have a material impact on our cash flows.

## Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

We are exposed to a variety of market risks including commodity price risk, interest rate risk and counterparty and customer risk. We address these risks through a program of risk management including the use of derivative instruments.

The primary objective of the following information is to provide forward-looking quantitative and qualitative information about our potential exposure to market risks. The disclosures are not meant to be precise indicators of expected future losses or gains, but rather indicators of reasonably possible losses or gains. This forward-looking information provides indicators of how we view and manage our ongoing market risk exposures. All of our market risk sensitive instruments were entered into for purposes other than speculative trading. These derivative instruments are discussed in Item 1. Financial Statements Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements Note 4. Risk Management and Derivative Instruments.

Commodity Price Exposure. We are exposed to market risk as the prices of oil, NGLs and natural gas fluctuate due to changes in supply and demand. To partially reduce price risk caused by these market fluctuations, we have historically utilized derivative financial instruments, including fixed price swaps, to reduce the volatility of oil, NGL and natural gas prices on a portion of our future expected oil and natural gas production in order to manage risks related to changes in these prices. We continually reevaluate and consider whether in the long-term we will hedge any of our future production. At September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, we had no outstanding commodity derivative contracts.

*Interest Rate Risk.* At September 30, 2016, we had indebtedness outstanding under our Credit Facility of \$249.3 million, which bears interest at floating rates. Our senior and secured notes bore interest at fixed rates and have been classified as liabilities subject to compromise in our balance sheet. As such, we did not record interest expense on our senior and secured notes from the Petition Date through September 30, 2016.

A 1.0% increase in each of the average LIBOR and federal funds rate for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016 would have resulted in an estimated \$0.6 million and \$1.9 million increase in interest expense, respectively.

At September 30, 2016 we do not have any interest rate derivatives in place. In the future, we may utilize interest rate derivatives to mitigate our exposure to change in interest rates. Interest rate derivatives are used solely to modify interest rate exposure and not to modify the overall leverage of the debt portfolio.

Edgar Filing: Midstates Petroleum	Company.	Inc Form 10-Q
-----------------------------------	----------	---------------

Tabl	le of	Contents

#### **Item 4. Controls and Procedures**

#### **Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

During the period covered by this report, our management carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-15. Our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports we file with the SEC is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the SEC, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our President and Chief Executive Officer and our Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures. Based on that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that as of September 30, 2016, these disclosure controls and procedures were effective and ensured that the information required to be disclosed in our reports filed with the SEC is recorded, processed, summarized and reported on a timely basis.

### Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended September 30, 2016 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

#### **PART II - OTHER INFORMATION**

#### **Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

From time to time, we are party to various legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business. Although we cannot predict the outcomes of any such legal proceedings, our management believes that the resolution of currently pending legal actions will not have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. See Part I, Item 1, Note 14 to our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements entitled Commitments and Contingencies - Litigation, which is incorporated in this item by reference.

#### Item 1A. Risk Factors

Our business faces many risks. Any of the risks discussed in this Quarterly Report and our other SEC filings could have a material impact on our business, financial position or results of operations. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us or that we currently believe to be immaterial may also impair our business operations.

There have been no material changes to the risks described in Part I, Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015, filed with the SEC on March 30, 2016 and Part II, Item 1A of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarters ended March 31, 2016 and June 30, 2016 filed with the SEC on May 13, 2016 and August 12, 2016, respectively, except for the following:

# We recently emerged from bankruptcy, which could adversely affect our business and relationships

It is possible that our having filed for bankruptcy and our recent emergence from the Chapter 11 Cases could adversely affect our business and relationships with our customers, vendors, royalty or working interest owners, contractors, employees or suppliers. Due to these uncertainties, many risks exist, including the following:

- key suppliers or vendors could terminate their relationship with us or require additional financial assurances or enhanced performance from us;
- the ability to renew existing contracts and compete for new business may be adversely affected;
- the ability to attract, motivate and/or retain key executives and employees may be adversely affected;

- employees may be distracted from performance of their duties or more easily attracted to other employment opportunities; and
- competitors may take business away from us.

The occurrence of one or more of these events could have a material and adverse effect on our operations, financial condition and reputation. We cannot assure you that having been subject to bankruptcy protection will not adversely affect our operations in the future.

# Restrictive covenants in our Exit Facility may restrict our ability to pursue our business strategies

The Exit Facility limits our ability, among other things, to:

- incur additional indebtedness;
- incur liens;
- enter into sale and lease back transactions;
- make certain investments;
- make certain capital expenditures;
- consolidate, merge, sell, or otherwise dispose of all or substantially all of our assets;
- pay dividends or make other distributions or repurchase or redeem our stock;
- enter into transactions with our affiliates;
- engage or enter into any new lines of business;
- enter into certain marketing activities for hydrocarbons;
- create additional subsidiaries;
- prepay, redeem, or repurchase certain of our indebtedness; and
- amend or modify certain provisions of our (and Midstates Sub s) organizational documents.

The Exit Facility also requires us to comply with certain financial maintenance covenants as discussed above.

## Table of Contents

A breach of any of these restrictive covenants could result in a default under our Exit Facility. If a default occurs, the lenders under the Exit Facility may elect to declare all borrowings thereunder outstanding, together with accrued interest and other fees, to be immediately due and payable. The lenders under the Exit Facility would also have the right in these circumstances to terminate any commitments they have to provide further borrowings. If we are unable to repay our indebtedness when due or declared due, the lenders thereunder will also have the right to proceed against the collateral pledged to them to secure the indebtedness. If such indebtedness were to be accelerated, our assets may not be sufficient to repay in full our secured indebtedness.

Our actual financial results after emergence from the Chapter 11 Cases may not be comparable to our historical financial information as a result of the implementation of the Plan and the transactions contemplated thereby and our adoption of fresh start accounting

In connection with the disclosure statement we filed with the Bankruptcy Court in the Chapter 11 Cases, and the hearing to consider confirmation of the Plan, we prepared projected financial information to demonstrate to the Bankruptcy Court the feasibility of the Plan and our ability to continue operations upon our emergence from the Chapter 11 Cases. Those projections were prepared solely for the purpose of the Chapter 11 Cases and have not been, and will not be, updated on an ongoing basis and should not be relied upon by investors. At the time they were prepared, the projections reflected numerous assumptions concerning our anticipated future performance and with respect to prevailing and anticipated market and economic conditions that were and remain beyond our control and that may not materialize. Projections are inherently subject to substantial and numerous uncertainties and to a wide variety of significant business, economic and competitive risks and the assumptions underlying the projections and/or valuation estimates may prove to be wrong in material respects. Actual results may vary significantly from those contemplated by the projections. As a result, investors should not place undue reliance on these projections.

In addition, upon our emergence from the Chapter 11 Cases, we will adopt fresh start accounting. Accordingly, our future financial conditions and results of operations may not be comparable to the financial condition or results of operations reflected in our historical financial statements. The lack of comparable historical financial information may discourage investors from purchasing our common stock.

Upon our emergence from bankruptcy, the composition of our board of directors changed significantly

Pursuant to the Plan, the composition of the board of directors changed significantly. Upon emergence, the board of directors is now made up of seven directors consisting of the Chief Executive Officer of the post-emergence Company (Frederic F. Brace), one member of our pre-bankruptcy board of directors (Alan J. Carr, who will also serve as non-executive chairman of the Board), and five members newly appointed by the holders of the Second Lien Notes Claims (Patrice Douglas, Neal P. Goldman, Todd R. Snyder, Michael S. Reddin, and Bruce H. Vincent). The new directors have different backgrounds, experiences and perspectives from those individuals who previously served on the Board and, thus, may have different views on the issues that will determine our future. There is no guarantee that the new board of directors will pursue, or will pursue in the same manner, our current strategic plans. As a result, the future strategy and our plans may differ materially from those of the past.

The ability to attract and retain key personnel is critical to the success of our business and may be affected by our emergence from the Chapter 11 Cases

The success of our business depends on key personnel. The ability to attract and retain these key personnel may be difficult in light of our emergence from the Chapter 11 Cases, the uncertainties currently facing the business and changes we may make to the organizational structure to adjust to changing circumstances. We may need to enter into retention or other arrangements that could be costly to maintain. If executives, managers or other key personnel resign, retire or are terminated, or their service is otherwise interrupted, we may not be able to replace them in a timely manner and we could experience significant declines in productivity.

An active trading market for our common stock may not develop

During the Chapter 11 Cases, our old common stock was traded in the OTC Pink marketplace. Upon emergence from the Chapter 11 Cases, our new common stock, par value \$0.01 per share was listed on the NYSE MKT. We cannot predict the extent to which investor interest in us will lead to the development of an active trading market or how liquid that market might become. If an active trading market does not develop, you may have difficulty selling any of our common stock that you may now own or may later buy.

### **Table of Contents**

## The price and trading volume of our common stock may fluctuate significantly

Even if an active trading market develops for our common stock, the market price of our common stock may be highly volatile and could be subject to wide fluctuations. In addition, the trading volume of our common stock may fluctuate and cause significant price variations to occur. Volatility in the market price of our common stock may prevent you from being able to sell your shares at or above the price at which you were granted your shares of common stock or above the price you paid to acquire your shares of common stock. The market price for our common stock could fluctuate significantly for various reasons, including:

- our new capital structure as a result of the transactions contemplated by the Plan;
- our limited trading history subsequent to our emergence from the Chapter 11 Cases;
- our limited trading volume;
- the concentration of holdings of our common stock;
- the lack of comparable historical financial information due to our adoption of fresh start accounting;
- actual or anticipated variations in our operating results and cash flow;
- the nature and content of our earnings releases, announcements or events that impact our products, customers, competitors or markets; and
- business conditions in our markets and the general state of the securities markets and the market for energy-related stocks, as well as general economic and market conditions.

The exercise of all or any number of outstanding warrants or the issuance of stock-based awards may dilute your holding of shares of our common stock

At the Effective Date, we issued 24,687,500 shares of common stock in the reorganized Company, 4,411,765 warrants with a strike price of \$24.00 per common share of the reorganized Company and 2,213,789 warrants with a strike price of \$46.00 per common share of the reorganized Company. Additionally, a total of 3,513,590 shares of common stock of the reorganized Company are reserved for issuance under the 2016 LTIP as equity-based awards to employees, directors and certain other persons. The exercise of equity awards, including any stock options that we may grant in the future, and warrants, and the sale of shares of our common stock underlying any such options or the warrants, could have an adverse effect on the market for our common stock, including the price that an investor could obtain for their shares. Investors may experience dilution in the net tangible book value of their investment upon the exercise of the warrants and any stock options that may be granted or issued pursuant to the 2016 LTIP in the future.

We do not expect to pay dividends in the near future

We do not anticipate that cash dividends or other distributions will be paid with respect to our common stock in the foreseeable future. In addition, restrictive covenants in certain debt instruments to which we are, or may be, a party, may limit our ability to pay dividends or for us to receive dividends from Midstates Sub, any of which may negatively impact the trading price of our common stock.

### Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

On the Effective Date, pursuant to the terms of the Plan, we (i) issued 24,062,500 shares of its common stock to the holders of Second Lien Notes Claims (ii) issued 625,000 shares of its common stock and 4,411,765 warrants to acquire shares of common stock in the reorganized Company with a strike price of \$24.00 per common share and expiring 42 months after the Effective Date to holders of Third Lien Note Claims and (iii) will issue 312,500 shares of its common stock and 2,213,789 warrants to acquire shares of common stock in the reorganized Company with a strike price of \$46.00 per common share and expiring 42 months after the Effective Date to holders of Unsecured Notes Claims and other general unsecured claims.

The common stock and the warrants were issued under the Plan pursuant to an exemption from the registration requirements of the Securities Act under Section 1145 of the Bankruptcy Code.

#### **Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities**

See Part I, Item 1, Note 2 to our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements entitled Chapter 11 Proceedings which is incorporated in this item by reference.

Table of Contents
Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures
Not applicable.
Item 5. Other Information
None.
Item 6. Exhibits
Exhibits included in this Quarterly Report are listed in the Exhibit Index and incorporated herein by reference.
47

## **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

## MIDSTATES PETROLEUM COMPANY, INC.

Dated: November 10, 2016 /s/ Frederic F. Brace

Frederic F. Brace

President and Chief Executive Officer

(Principal Executive Officer)

Dated: November 10, 2016 /s/ Nelson M. Haight

Nelson M. Haight

Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

(Principal Financial Officer)

Dated: November 10, 2016 /s/ Richard W. McCullough

Richard W. McCullough

Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer

(Principal Accounting Officer)

48

#### **EXHIBIT INDEX**

- 2.1 First Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan Of Reorganization of Midstates Petroleum Company, Inc. and its Debtor Affiliate, dated September 28, 2016 (filed as Exhibit 2.1 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 4, 2016, and incorporated herein by reference).
- 3.1 Second Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Midstates Petroleum Company, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form 8-A filed on October 21, 2016, and incorporated herein by reference).
- 3.2 Amended and Restated Bylaws of Midstates Petroleum Company, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 3.2 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form 8-A filed on October 21, 2016, and incorporated herein by reference).
- 4.1 Warrant Agreement, dated as of October 21, 2016 between Midstates Petroleum Company, Inc. and American Stock
  Transfer & Trust Company, LLC (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 27, 2016,
  and incorporated herein by reference).
- 4.2 Warrant Agreement, dated as of October 21, 2016, between Midstates Petroleum Company, Inc. and American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, LLC (filed as Exhibit 4.2 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 27, 2016, and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.1 Plan Support Agreement, dated as of April 30, 2016, by and among Midstates Petroleum Company, Inc., Midstates Petroleum Company LLC and the supporting parties thereto (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 2, 2016, and incorporated herein by reference).
- First Amendment to Plan Support Agreement, dated as of June 29, 2016, by and among Midstates Petroleum Company, Inc., Midstates Petroleum Company LLC and the supporting parties thereto (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 6, 2016, and incorporated herein by reference).
- Second Amendment to Plan Support Agreement, dated as of August 31, 2016, by and among Midstates Petroleum Company, Inc., Midstates Petroleum Company LLC and the supporting parties thereto. (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 7, 2016, and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.4 Registration Rights Agreement, dated October 21, 2016, between Midstates Petroleum Company, Inc. and certain holders party thereto (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form 8-A filed on October 21, 2016, and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.5 Midstates Petroleum Company, Inc. 2016 Long Term Incentive Plan (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-8 filed on October 24, 2016, and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.6 Senior Secured Credit Agreement, dated as of October 21, 2016, by and among Midstates Petroleum Company, Inc., Midstates Petroleum Company LLC, as borrower, SunTrust Bank, as administrative agent, and certain lenders party thereto (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 27, 2016, and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.7 Employment Agreement of Frederic F. Brace, dated October 21, 2016 (filed as Exhibit 10.3 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 27, 2016, and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.8 Employment Agreement of Nelson M. Haight, dated October 21, 2016 (filed as Exhibit 10.4 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 27, 2016, and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.9 Employment Agreement of Mitchell G. Elkins, dated October 21, 2016 (filed as Exhibit 10.5 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 27, 2016, and incorporated herein by reference).
- 31.1\* Sarbanes-Oxley Section 302 certification of Principal Executive Officer.

# Table of Contents

31.2*	Sarbanes-Oxley Section 302 certification of Principal Financial Officer.
32.1**	Sarbanes-Oxley Section 906 certification of Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer
101.INS*	XBRL Instance Document.
101.SCH*	XBRL Schema Document.
101.CAL*	XBRL Calculation Linkbase Document.
101.DEF*	XBRL Definition Linkbase Document.
101.LAB*	XBRL Labels Linkbase Document
101.PRE*	XBRL Presentation Linkbase Document.

<sup>\*</sup> Filed herewith

<sup>\*\*</sup> Furnished herewith