

TUTOR PERINI Corp
Form 10-Q
August 05, 2011

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2011

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 1-6314

Tutor Perini Corporation
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

MASSACHUSETTS
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

04-1717070
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

15901 OLDEN STREET, SYLMAR, CALIFORNIA 91342-1093
(Address of principal executive offices)
(Zip code)

(818) 362-8391
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Edgar Filing: TUTOR PERINI Corp - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-Accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).
Yes No

The number of shares of Common Stock, \$1.00 par value per share, of the registrant outstanding at August 2, 2011 was 47,286,104.

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

INDEX

	Page Number
Part I. - Financial Information:	
Item 1.	<u>Financial Statements</u>
	<u>Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets – June 30, 2011 (unaudited) and December 31, 2010 (unaudited)</u> 3
	<u>Consolidated Condensed Statements of Operations – Three Months and Six Months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 (unaudited)</u> 4
	<u>Consolidated Condensed Statement of Stockholders' Equity – Six Months ended June 30, 2011 (unaudited)</u> 5
	<u>Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows – Six Months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 (unaudited)</u> 6
	<u>Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements</u> 7 – 36
Item 2.	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> 37 – 46
Item 3.	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> 46
Item 4.	<u>Controls and Procedures</u> 46
Part II. Other Information:	
-	
Item 1.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u> 47
Item 1A.	<u>Risk Factors</u> 47
Item 2.	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> 47
Item 3.	<u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> 47
Item 4.	<u>(Removed and Reserved)</u> 47
Item 5.	<u>Other Information</u> 47
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u> 47 – 49
	<u>Signatures</u> 50

Index

Part I. – Financial Information

Item 1. Financial Statements

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS (UNAUDITED)
JUNE 30, 2011 AND DECEMBER 31, 2010
(In Thousands, except Share Data)

	JUNE 30, 2011	DECEMBER 31, 2010
ASSETS		
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$420,978	\$ 471,378
Restricted Cash	32,443	23,550
Accounts Receivable, including retainage	1,021,270	880,614
Costs and Estimated Earnings in Excess of Billings	215,117	139,449
Deferred Income Taxes	11,440	3,737
Other Current Assets	52,860	42,314
Total Current Assets	1,754,108	1,561,042
Long-term Investments	88,129	88,129
Property and Equipment (net of Accumulated Depreciation of \$88,237 in 2011 and \$79,942 in 2010)	424,869	362,437
Other Assets:		
Goodwill	691,077	621,920
Intangible Assets, net	160,206	132,551
Other	20,968	13,141
	\$3,139,357	\$ 2,779,220
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current Maturities of Long-term Debt	\$32,413	\$ 21,334
Accounts Payable, including retainage	630,178	653,542
Billings in Excess of Costs and Estimated Earnings	295,013	199,750
Accrued Expenses	97,111	93,488
Total Current Liabilities	1,054,715	968,114
Long-term Debt, less current maturities	605,969	374,350
Deferred Income Taxes	83,598	79,082
Other Long-term Liabilities	48,040	44,680
Contingencies and Commitments		
Stockholders' Equity:		
Common Stock - \$1 par value: 75,000,000 shares authorized;		
Shares issued and outstanding: 47,286,104 and 47,089,593, respectively	47,286	47,090

Edgar Filing: TUTOR PERINI Corp - Form 10-Q

Additional Paid-in Capital	992,546	985,413
Retained Earnings	343,154	316,531
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	(35,951)	(36,040)
Total Stockholders' Equity	1,347,035	1,312,994
	\$3,139,357	\$ 2,779,220

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated condensed financial statements.

Index

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (UNAUDITED)
(In Thousands, Except Per Share Data)

	THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30,		SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Revenues	\$ 819,858	\$ 914,376	\$ 1,435,147	\$ 1,779,451
Cost of Operations	732,648	815,464	1,285,474	1,604,406
Gross Profit	87,210	98,912	149,673	175,045
General and Administrative Expenses	50,175	43,061	94,125	85,037
INCOME FROM CONSTRUCTION OPERATIONS	37,035	55,851	55,548	90,008
Other Income (Expense), net	1,232	(1,102)	785	(757)
Interest Expense	(7,252)	(3,244)	(14,407)	(4,765)
Income before Income Taxes	31,015	51,505	41,926	84,486
Provision for Income Taxes	(11,321)	(18,780)	(15,303)	(30,828)
NET INCOME	\$ 19,694	\$ 32,725	\$ 26,623	\$ 53,658
BASIC EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE	\$ 0.42	\$ 0.67	\$ 0.56	\$ 1.09
DILUTED EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE	\$ 0.41	\$ 0.66	\$ 0.56	\$ 1.09
WEIGHTED AVERAGE COMMON SHARES OUTSTANDING:				
BASIC	47,183	49,001	47,142	49,013
Effect of Dilutive Stock Options and Restricted Stock Units Outstanding	776	535	769	436
DILUTED	47,959	49,536	47,911	49,449

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated condensed financial statements.

Index

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (UNAUDITED)
FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2011
(In Thousands)

	Common Stock	Additional Paid-in Capital	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Total
Balance - December 31, 2010	\$47,090	\$985,413	\$316,531	\$ (36,040)	\$1,312,994
Net Income	-	-	26,623	-	26,623
Other comprehensive income (loss):					
Foreign currency translation	-	-	-	89	89
Total comprehensive income					26,712
Tax effect of stock-based compensation	-	(12)	-	-	(12)
Stock-based compensation expense	-	7,085	-	-	7,085
Issuance of Common Stock, net	196	60	-	-	256
Balance - June 30, 2011	\$47,286	\$992,546	\$343,154	\$ (35,951)	\$1,347,035

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated condensed financial statements.

Index

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED)
FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010
(In Thousands)

	SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30,	
	2011	2010
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:		
Net Income	\$26,623	\$53,658
Adjustments to reconcile Net Income to net cash from operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	16,985	15,889
Stock-based compensation expense	7,085	7,477
Excess income tax benefit from stock-based compensation	(18)	-
Deferred Income Taxes	(1,275)	(2,124)
Loss on sale of equipment	146	434
Other Long-term Liabilities	(3,323)	(3,077)
Other non-cash items	(1,399)	182
Changes in other components of working capital	(132,200)	(59,755)
NET CASH (USED IN) PROVIDED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES	(87,376)	12,684
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:		
Acquisitions, net of cash balance acquired	(161,711)	-
Business acquisition related payments	(3,000)	(6,734)
Acquisition of Property and Equipment	(22,171)	(8,509)
Proceeds from sale of Property and Equipment	3,422	808
Proceeds from sale of available-for-sale securities	7,388	525
Change in Restricted Cash	(3,822)	(23,523)
Investment in other activities	(2,725)	(146)
NET CASH USED IN INVESTING ACTIVITIES	(182,619)	(37,579)
Cash Flows from Financing Activities:		
Proceeds from Debt	258,175	8,724
Repayment of Debt	(36,621)	(16,181)
Common Stock repurchased under share repurchase program	-	(11,200)
Excess income tax benefit from stock-based compensation	18	-
Issuance of Common Stock and effect of cashless exercise	256	63
Debt issuance costs	(2,233)	(1,608)
NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) FINANCING ACTIVITIES	219,595	(20,202)
Net Decrease in Cash and Cash Equivalents	(50,400)	(45,097)
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Year	471,378	348,309
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period	\$420,978	\$303,212
Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Paid During the Period For:		

Edgar Filing: TUTOR PERINI Corp - Form 10-Q

Interest	\$14,273	\$2,868
Income taxes	\$22,356	\$20,306

Supplemental Disclosure of Non-cash Transactions:

Property and Equipment acquired through financing arrangements	\$1,604	\$6,054
Grant date fair value of common stock issued for services	\$4,039	\$18,667

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated condensed financial statements

Index

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(1) Basis of Presentation

The unaudited consolidated condensed financial statements presented herein include the accounts of Tutor Perini Corporation (formerly known as Perini Corporation) and its wholly owned subsidiaries (“Tutor Perini” or the “Company”). The Company’s interests in construction joint ventures are accounted for using the proportionate consolidation method. These unaudited consolidated condensed financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q and do not include all of the information and note disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. These statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited consolidated condensed financial statements include all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, necessary to present fairly the Company's financial position as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, results of operations for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, and cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010. The results of operations for the six months ended June 30, 2011 may not be indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2011 because, among other reasons, such results can vary depending on the timing of progress achieved and changes in estimated profitability of projects being reported.

The Company considers events or transactions that occur after the balance sheet date but before the financial statements are issued to provide additional evidence relative to certain estimates or to identify matters that require additional disclosures.

(2) Significant Accounting Policies

The significant accounting policies followed by the Company and its subsidiaries in preparing its consolidated financial statements are set forth in Note 1 to such financial statements included in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. The Company has made no significant changes to these policies during the six month period ended June 30, 2011.

In May 2011, the Financial Accounting Standard Board (“FASB”) issued a staff position amending existing guidance for fair value measurements and disclosures in both interim and annual financial statements. This update expands the disclosures for fair value measurements that are estimated using significant unobservable (Level 3) inputs. This update will be effective for the Company with the interim and annual reporting period beginning January 1, 2012. Other than requiring additional disclosures, adoption of this update will not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In June 2011, the FASB issued a staff position which requires an entity to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income, or in two separate but consecutive statements. This update eliminates the option to present components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of equity. This update will be effective for the Company with the interim and annual reporting period beginning January 1, 2012. The adoption of this update will not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

(3) Acquisitions

(a) Acquisition of Fisk Electric Company

On January 3, 2011, the Company completed the acquisition of Fisk Electric Company (“Fisk”), a privately held electrical construction company based in Houston, Texas. Under the terms of the transaction, the Company acquired 100% of Fisk's stock for total consideration of approximately \$109.2 million, which includes \$105 million in cash paid at closing and additional consideration that may become payable under the terms of the agreement based upon Fisk's operating results over the next three years. The transaction was financed using proceeds from the offering of senior unsecured notes which was completed in October 2010 (see Note 10).

Index

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(3) Acquisitions (continued)

Fisk covers many of the major commercial and industrial electrical construction markets in southwestern and southeastern United States locations with the ability to cover other attractive markets nationwide. Fisk's expertise in the design development of electrical and technology systems for major projects spans a broad variety of project types including: commercial office buildings, sports arenas, hospitals, research laboratories, hospitality and casinos, convention centers, and industrial facilities. Fisk had approximately \$190 million of backlog as of the date of acquisition. Fisk was acquired because the Company believes that it is a strong strategic fit enabling the Company to expand its nationwide electrical construction capabilities and to realize significant synergies and opportunities in support of the Company's non-residential building and civil operations.

The acquisition was effective as of January 1, 2011 for accounting purposes and, accordingly, Fisk's financial results are included in the Company's consolidated results of operations and financial position beginning January 1, 2011. Fisk's operating results were not material to the Company's consolidated results for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011. Fisk's operating results are included in the Company's Building segment.

(b) Anderson Companies

On April 1, 2011, the Company acquired 100% ownership of Anderson Companies ("Anderson"), the privately held parent company of Roy Anderson Corporation, Harrell Contracting Group, LLC and Brice Building Company, LLC. Under the terms of the transaction, the Company acquired 100% of Anderson's stock for total consideration of approximately \$67.4 million, which includes \$61.9 million in cash and additional consideration that may become payable under the terms of the agreement based upon Anderson's operating results over the next three years. The transaction was financed using proceeds from the offering of senior unsecured notes which was completed in October 2010 (see Note 10).

Anderson provides general contracting, design-build, preconstruction, and construction management services to its public and private clients throughout the southeastern United States and has expertise in gaming, hospitality, commercial, government, health care, industrial and educational facilities. Headquartered in Gulfport, Mississippi, Anderson has offices in Birmingham, Alabama; Jackson, Mississippi; New Orleans, Louisiana; and Pensacola, Florida. Anderson had approximately \$475 million of backlog as of the date of acquisition. Anderson was acquired because the Company believes that it is a strong strategic fit for the Company's building business and strengthens the Company's position in the southeastern United States.

The acquisition was effective as of April 1, 2011 for accounting purposes and, accordingly, Anderson's financial results are included in the Company's consolidated results of operations and financial position beginning April 1, 2011. Anderson's operating results were not material to the Company's consolidated results for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011. Anderson's operating results are included in the Company's Building segment.

(c) Frontier-Kemper Constructors, Inc.

On June 1, 2011, the Company acquired 100% ownership of Frontier-Kemper Constructors, Inc. ("Frontier-Kemper"), a privately held Indiana-based corporation. Under the terms of the transaction, the Company acquired 100% of Frontier-Kemper's stock for a purchase price of approximately \$61.7 million in cash, subject to a post-closing adjustment based on the net worth of Frontier-Kemper at closing. In addition, the Company assumed approximately \$52 million of debt, of which approximately \$35 million was paid off at closing. The transaction was financed using

proceeds from the offering of senior unsecured notes which was completed in October 2010 (see Note 10).

Index

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(3) Acquisitions (continued)

Headquartered in Evansville, Indiana, Frontier-Kemper builds tunnels for highways, railroads, subways, rapid transit systems as well as shafts and other facilities for water supply and wastewater transport. It also develops and equips mines with innovative hoisting, elevator and vertical conveyance systems for the mining industry. Frontier-Kemper had approximately \$300 million of backlog as of the date of acquisition. Frontier-Kemper was acquired because the Company believes that it is a strong strategic fit for the Company's civil business, bolstering the Company's tunneling business in the United States and expanding the Company's geographic reach into Canada.

The acquisition was effective as of June 1, 2011 for accounting purposes and, accordingly, Frontier-Kemper's financial results are included in the Company's consolidated results of operations and financial position beginning June 1, 2011. Frontier-Kemper's operating results were not material to the Company's consolidated results for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011. Frontier-Kemper's operating results are included in the Company's Civil segment.

(d) Information regarding acquisitions that are material in the aggregate

The operating results generated by each of these three newly acquired businesses were not material individually to the Company's consolidated results for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011. However, on an aggregate basis, the operating results of these three newly acquired businesses collectively did have a material impact on the Company's consolidated results for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011. In accordance with the accounting guidance on business combinations, the following disclosures provide information on an aggregate basis with respect to the three newly acquired businesses.

The aggregate total purchase consideration related to the acquisitions consisted of the following:

(In thousands)	Amount
Cash consideration	\$ 228,626
Fair value of contingent consideration	9,700
Total purchase price consideration	\$ 238,326

The following table summarizes the aggregate preliminary estimates of the fair values of identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the acquisitions and the resulting Goodwill as of the acquisition dates. The Company has not yet completed the final allocation of the purchase price to the tangible and Intangible Assets for these acquisitions. The Company is in the process of finalizing the valuations of Property and Equipment of Anderson and Frontier-Kemper, as well as the allocation of identifiable Intangible Assets for Frontier-Kemper. Pending the outcome of further analysis, the preliminary purchase price allocation could change.

Index

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(3) Acquisitions (continued)

	Amount	Weighted Average Useful Life
(in thousands)		
Identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed:		
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$ 105,128	
Accounts Receivable	192,629	
Other Assets	70,771	
Property and Equipment	54,077	
Identifiable Intangible Assets:		
Customer relationships	4,900	11 years
Contract backlog	4,600	1.5 years
Trade name	22,100	
Goodwill	69,157	
Total assets acquired	523,362	
Current liabilities	224,644	
Net deferred tax liabilities	12,329	
Long-term Liabilities	48,063	
Total purchase price	\$238,326	

Intangible assets – Of the total purchase price, \$31.6 million has been allocated to customer relationships, contract backlog and trade names. The fair value of these assets will be amortized based on the period over which the economic benefits of the Intangible Assets are expected to be realized. During the period from the acquisition dates through June 30, 2011, the Company recorded \$1.5 million of amortization of Intangible Assets related to these acquisitions.

Goodwill – Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the underlying net tangible and Intangible Assets. The factors that contributed to the recognition of Goodwill included talented management teams in place and established market positions that contribute to future cash flow generation. These acquisitions generated \$69.2 million of Goodwill, of which \$63.3 million is allocated to the Company's Building segment and \$5.9 million is allocated to the Company's Civil segment. Approximately \$63.3 million of Goodwill acquired is expected to be deductible for tax purposes.

The Company recognized \$0.7 million and \$1.1 million of acquisition related expenses, respectively, for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011, which are included in General and Administrative Expenses in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Operations. These expenses included legal fees, bank fees, consultation fees, travel expenses, and other miscellaneous direct and incremental administrative expenses associated with the acquisitions.

Index

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(3) Acquisitions (continued)

Collectively, the amount of revenues and income from construction operations recorded in 2011 related to these three acquisitions is as follows:

(in thousands)	Revenues	Income from Construction Operations
Three months ended June 30, 2011	\$ 184,494	\$ 3,865
Six months ended June 30, 2011	\$ 253,859	\$ 6,181

Unaudited pro forma results of operations

The following pro forma financial information presents the results of the Company assuming the acquisitions of Fisk, Anderson and Frontier-Kemper all took place on January 1, 2010.

Pro Forma (unaudited)	Three Months Ended	
(in thousands, except per share data)	June 30, 2011	June 30, 2010
Revenues	\$ 858,255	\$ 1,089,557
Income from Construction Operations	\$ 42,094	\$ 60,858
Net Income	\$ 22,664	\$ 34,123
Basic earnings per common share	\$ 0.48	\$ 0.70
Diluted earnings per common share	\$ 0.47	\$ 0.69
Pro Forma (unaudited)	Six Months Ended	
(in thousands, except per share data)	June 30, 2011	June 30, 2010
Revenues	\$ 1,600,435	\$ 2,091,948
Income from Construction Operations	\$ 68,691	\$ 98,489
Net Income	\$ 33,743	\$ 55,246
Basic earnings per common share	\$ 0.72	\$ 1.13
Diluted earnings per common share	\$ 0.70	\$ 1.12

The pro forma results have been prepared for comparative purposes only and include certain adjustments such as (i) interest expense on acquisition debt; (ii) adjustments to depreciation expense resulting from the adjustment of fixed asset bases to fair value at acquisition; (iii) additional amortization expense related to identifiable intangible assets arising from the acquisitions; (iv) elimination of acquisition related expenses incurred; and (v) to reflect a statutory income tax rate on the pretax income of Fisk, Anderson and Frontier-Kemper, as well as on the applicable pro forma adjustments made. The pro forma results are not necessarily indicative either of the results of operations that actually would have resulted had the acquisitions been in effect on January 1, 2010 or of future results.

Index

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(4) Cash, Cash Equivalents and Restricted Cash

Cash and Cash Equivalents, as reported in the accompanying Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets, consist of amounts held by the Company that are available for general corporate purposes and the Company's proportionate share of amounts held by construction joint ventures that are available only for joint venture-related uses, including future distributions to joint venture partners. Restricted Cash is primarily held to secure insurance-related contingent obligations, such as insurance claim deductibles, in lieu of letters of credit. At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, Cash and Cash Equivalents and Restricted Cash consisted of the following (in thousands):

	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Corporate Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$ 342,454	\$ 455,464
Company's share of joint venture Cash and Cash Equivalents	78,524	15,914
Total Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$ 420,978	\$ 471,378
Restricted Cash	\$ 32,443	\$ 23,550

Index

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(5) Fair Value Measurements

The Company measures certain financial instruments, including Cash and Cash Equivalents, such as money market funds, at their fair value. The fair value was determined based on a three-tier valuation hierarchy for disclosure of significant inputs. These hierarchical tiers are defined as follows:

Level 1 – inputs are unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 – inputs are other than quoted prices in active markets that are either directly or indirectly observable through market corroboration.

Level 3 – inputs are unobservable inputs in which little or no market data exists, therefore requiring an entity to develop its own assumptions based on the best information available in the circumstances.

The following tables provide the assets and liabilities carried at fair value measured on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 (in thousands):

	Total Carrying Value at June 30, 2011	Fair Value Measurements at June 30, 2011 Using		
		Quoted prices in active markets (Level 1)	Significant other observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)
Cash and Cash Equivalents (1)	\$ 420,978	\$ 420,978	\$ -	\$ -
Restricted Cash (1)	32,443	32,443	-	-
Short-term investments (2)	1,154	1,154	-	-
Long-term Investments – Auction rate securities (3)	88,129	-	-	88,129
Total	\$ 542,704	\$ 454,575	\$ -	\$ 88,129

	Total Carrying Value at December 31, 2010	Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2010 Using		
		Quoted prices in active markets (Level 1)	Significant other observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)
Cash and Cash Equivalents (1)	\$ 471,378	\$ 471,378	\$ -	\$ -
Restricted Cash (1)	23,550	23,550	-	-
Short-term investments (2)	28	28	-	-
Long-term Investments – Auction rate securities (3)	88,129	-	-	88,129
Total	\$ 583,085	\$ 494,956	\$ -	\$ 88,129

(1)

Cash, Cash Equivalents and Restricted Cash consist primarily of money market funds with original maturity dates of three months or less, for which fair value is determined through quoted market prices.

- (2) Short-term Investments are included in Other Current Assets and consist of certificates of deposit with original maturity dates in excess of three months and an S&P 500 index mutual fund. The fair values are determined through quoted market prices.
- (3) At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company had \$88.1 million invested in auction rate securities (“ARS”) which the Company considers as available-for-sale. The majority of the ARS held by the Company at June 30, 2011, are in securities collateralized by student loan portfolios, totaling \$67.9 million, which are guaranteed by the U.S. government. Additional amounts totaling \$12.2 million are invested in securities collateralized by student loan portfolios, which are privately insured. The remainder of the securities, totaling \$8.0 million, is invested in tax-exempt bonds. Most of the Company’s ARS are rated AAA or AA. The Company estimated the fair value of its ARS utilizing an income approach valuation model which considered, among other items, the following inputs: (i) the underlying structure of each security; (ii) the present value of future principal and interest payments discounted at rates considered to reflect current market conditions; and (iii) consideration of the probabilities of default or repurchase at par for each period.

Index

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(5) Fair Value Measurements (continued)

Assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) during the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 are as follows (in thousands):

	Auction Rate Securities
Balance at December 31, 2010	\$ 88,129
Purchases	-
Settlements	-
Balance at March 31, 2011	88,129
Purchases	-
Settlements	-
Balance at June 30, 2011	\$ 88,129

	Auction Rate Securities
Balance at December 31, 2009	\$ 101,201
Purchases	-
Settlements	(375)
Balance at March 31, 2010	100,826
Purchases	-
Settlements	(150)
Impairment charge included in Other Income (Expense), net	(360)
Balance at June 30, 2010	\$ 100,316

Due to the Company's belief that the market for both government-backed and privately insured student loans, as well as for tax-exempt municipal bonds, may take in excess of twelve months to fully recover, the Company has classified its \$88.1 million investment in these securities as non-current and this amount is included in Long-term Investments in the Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheet at June 30, 2011.

The carrying amount of Cash and Cash Equivalents approximates fair value due to the short-term nature of these items. The carrying value of receivables, payables, other amounts arising out of normal contract activities, including retainage, which may be settled beyond one year, is estimated to approximate fair value. Of the Company's Long-term Debt, the fair value of the fixed rate senior unsecured notes as of June 30, 2011 is \$285.8 million, compared to its carrying value of \$297.9 million. The fair value of the senior unsecured notes was estimated based on market quotations at June 30, 2011. For the remainder of the Company's Long-term Debt, the carrying value is estimated to approximate fair value.

Index

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(6) Goodwill and Intangible Assets

There were no changes in the carrying amount of Goodwill and Intangible Assets during the six months ended June 30, 2011, except in connection with the acquisitions of Fisk, Anderson and Frontier (see Note 3), as shown in the tables below (in thousands):

	Building	Civil	Management Services	Total
Gross Goodwill	\$402,926	\$319,254	\$ 66,638	\$788,818
Accumulated impairment	(146,847)	-	(20,051)	(166,898)
Balance at December 31, 2010	256,079	319,254	46,587	621,920
Goodwill recorded in connection with the acquisitions of Fisk, Anderson and Frontier-Kemper	63,309	5,848	-	69,157
Balance at June 30, 2011	\$319,388	\$325,102	\$ 46,587	\$691,077

Intangible Assets consist of the following (in thousands):

	As of June 30, 2011		
	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Carrying Value
Trade names	\$ 123,350	\$ -	\$ 123,350
Contractor license	5,320	-	5,320
Customer relationships	36,600	(8,771)	27,829
Construction contract backlog	39,140	(35,433)	3,707
Total	\$ 204,410	\$ (44,204)	\$ 160,206

	As of December 31, 2010		
	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Carrying Value
Trade names	\$ 101,250	\$ -	\$ 101,250
Contractor license	5,320	-	5,320
Customer relationships	31,700	(7,113)	24,587
Construction contract backlog	34,540	(33,146)	1,394
Total	\$ 172,810	\$ (40,259)	\$ 132,551

Amortization expense for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011 was \$2.2 million and \$3.9 million, respectively. Amortization expense for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2010 was \$2.0 million and \$4.3 million, respectively. As of June 30, 2011, amortization expense is estimated to be \$3.7 million for the remainder of 2011, \$5.0 million in 2012, \$3.3 million in 2013 and 2014, \$2.7 million in 2015 and \$13.5 million thereafter.

(7) Contingencies and Commitments

The Company and certain of its subsidiaries are involved in litigation and are contingently liable for commitments and performance guarantees arising in the ordinary course of business. The Company and certain of its clients have made claims arising from the performance under its contracts. The Company recognizes certain significant claims for recovery of incurred cost when it is probable that the claim will result in additional contract revenue and when the amount of the claim can be reliably estimated. Several matters are in the litigation and dispute resolution process. The following discussion provides a background and current status of these matters.

Index

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(7) Contingencies and Commitments (continued)

Tutor-Saliba-Perini Joint Venture vs. Los Angeles MTA Matter

During 1995 Tutor-Saliba-Perini (“Joint Venture”) filed a complaint in the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles against the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (“LAMTA”), seeking to recover costs for extra work required by LAMTA in connection with the construction of certain tunnel and station projects. In 1999, LAMTA countered with civil claims under the California False Claims Act (“CFCA”) against the Joint Venture, Tutor-Saliba and the Company jointly and severally (together, “TSP”).

Between 2005 and 2010, the court granted certain Joint Venture motions and LAMTA capitulated on others which reduced the number of false claims LAMTA may seek and limited LAMTA’s claims for damages and penalties. In September 2010, LAMTA dismissed its remaining claims and agreed to pay the entire amount of the Joint Venture’s remaining claims plus interest. The Court subsequently entered judgment in favor of TSP and against LAMTA in the amount of \$3 million. This amount is after deducting the amount of \$0.5 million, representing the tunnel handrail verdict plus accrued interest against TSP. The parties have filed post-trial motions for costs and fees, which have been submitted to the Court. In April 2011, TSP filed a notice of appeal regarding the false claims jury verdict on the tunnel handrail claim and other issues, and LAMTA subsequently filed its notice of cross-appeal. The appeal of this case is expected to take at least a year.

The Company does not expect this matter to have any material adverse effect on its consolidated financial statements.

Perini/Kiewit/Cashman Joint Venture-Central Artery/Tunnel Project Matter

Perini/Kiewit/Cashman Joint Venture (“PKC”), a joint venture in which the Company holds a 56% interest and is the managing partner, is currently pursuing a series of claims, instituted at different times over the course of the past ten years, for additional contract time and/or compensation against the Massachusetts Highway Department (“MHD”) for work performed by PKC on a portion of the Central Artery/Tunnel (“CA/T”) project in Boston, Massachusetts. During construction, MHD ordered PKC to perform changes to the work and issued related direct cost changes with an estimated value, excluding time delay and inefficiency costs, in excess of \$100 million. In addition, PKC encountered a number of unforeseen conditions during construction that greatly increased PKC’s cost of performance. MHD has asserted counterclaims for liquidated damages and backcharges.

Certain of PKC’s claims have been presented to a Disputes Review Board (“DRB”) which consists of three construction experts chosen by the parties. To date, four DRB panels have issued twelve awards and several interim decisions in favor of PKC’s claims, amounting to total awards to PKC in excess of \$127 million, of which \$109 million were binding awards.

In December 2010, the Suffolk County Superior Court granted MHD’s motion for summary judgment to vacate the Third DRB Panel’s award to PKC for approximately \$55 million. The Court granted the motion on the grounds that the arbitrators do not have authority to decide whether particular claims are subject to the arbitration provision of the contract. PKC also filed a motion for reconsideration in the trial court which was denied. MHD has also moved to vacate approximately \$12 million of the Fourth DRB Panel’s total awards to PKC on the same arbitrability basis that the Third DRB’s awards were vacated. In April 2011, PKC filed a notice of appeal regarding the Court’s ruling.

Subject to the results of further proceedings as a result of the Court's decision with respect to the Third DRB Panel's award to PKC, it is PKC's position that the remaining claims to be decided by the DRB on a binding and non-binding basis have an anticipated value of approximately \$4.5 million, plus interest. Hearings before the DRB are scheduled to continue through 2011.

Management has made an estimate of the anticipated recovery on this project and it is included in revenue recorded to date. To the extent new facts become known or the final recovery included in the claim settlement varies from the estimate, the impact of the change will be reflected in the financial statements at that time.

Index

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(7) Contingencies and Commitments (continued)

Long Island Expressway/Cross Island Parkway Matter

The Company reconstructed the Long Island Expressway/Cross Island Parkway Interchange (the "Project") for the New York State Department of Transportation (the "NYSDOT"). The \$130 million project was substantially completed in January 2004 and was accepted by the NYSDOT as finally complete in February 2006.

The Company incurred significant added costs in completing its work and suffered extended schedule costs due to numerous design errors, undisclosed utility conflicts, lack of coordination with local agencies and other interferences for which the Company believes that the NYSDOT is responsible.

In April 2009, the Company made a presentation of its position to the NYSDOT regarding additional relief it seeks from the NYSDOT. In June 2010, the Company requested that NYSDOT close-out the Project, after which the NYSDOT notified the Company that it will conduct an audit of the Company's costs under the project.

The Company's final claim and complaint was filed with the New York State Court of Claims and served to the New York State Attorney General's Office on March 31, 2011, in the amount of \$53.8 million.

In May 2011, the NYSDOT filed a motion to dismiss the Company's claim on the grounds that the Company had not provided required documentation for project closeout and filing of a claim. The Company and the NYSDOT agreed that the Company would re-file it subject to the NYSDOT's agreement on a reasonable time frame to acknowledge that the final payment is due and that the Company has now provided all required documentation.

Management has made an estimate of the total anticipated recovery on this project and it is included in revenue recorded to date. To the extent new facts become known or the final recovery included in the claim settlement varies from the estimate, the impact of the change will be reflected in the financial statements at that time.

Queensridge Matter

Perini Building Company, Inc. ("PBC") was the general contractor for the construction of One Queensridge Place, a condominium project in Las Vegas, Nevada. The developer of the project, Queensridge Towers, LLC / Executive Home Builders, Inc. ("Queensridge"), has failed to pay PBC for work which PBC and its subcontractors performed on the project.

Subcontractors have brought claims against PBC and have outstanding liens on the property in the amount of approximately \$19 million. PBC also has an outstanding lien on the property in the amount of approximately \$24 million, representing unpaid contract balances and additional work; \$19 million of PBC's \$24 million lien amount would be paid to subcontractors. Queensridge has alleged that PBC and its subcontractors are not due amounts sought and that it has back charges from incomplete and defective work. PBC filed an arbitration demand, asserting \$35 million in claims against Queensridge, including \$25 million for contract damages and \$10 million for punitive damages.

The arbitration process is proceeding. Queensridge simultaneously filed a motion for reconsideration of the Supreme Court's denial of Queensridge's appeal relating to the resolved spoliation issue. The Nevada Supreme Court has denied Queensridge's appeal. In March 2011, Queensridge filed a motion for an en banc hearing on this matter. The Nevada

Supreme Court denied Queensridge's motion in July 2011.

Index

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(7) Contingencies and Commitments (continued)

In April 2011, the American Arbitration Association granted PBC's request for consolidation of claims. All claims will be arbitrated.

Management has made an estimate of the total anticipated recovery on this project and it is included in revenue recorded to date. To the extent new facts become known or the final recovery included in the claim settlement varies from the estimate, the impact of the change will be reflected in the financial statements at that time.

Gaylord Hotel and Convention Center Matter

In 2005, Gaylord National, LLC ("Gaylord"), as Owner, and Perini Building Company, Inc. / Tompkins Builders, Joint Venture ("PTJV"), as Construction Manager, entered into a contract to construct the Gaylord National Resort and Convention Center (the "Project") in Maryland. The Project is complete and as part of its settlement with Gaylord reached in November 2008, PTJV agreed to pay all subcontractors and defend all claims and lien actions by them relating to the Project. PTJV has closed out most subcontracts. Resolution of the issues with the remaining subcontractors may require mediation, arbitration and/or trial.

PTJV is pursuing an insurance claim for approximately \$40 million related to work performed by Banker Steel Company, Inc. ("Banker Steel"), a subcontractor, including \$11 million for business interruption costs incurred by Gaylord which have effectively been assigned to PTJV. In November 2009, PTJV filed suit against Factory Mutual Insurance Co. ("FM") in the Maryland federal district court alleging FM breached the insurance contracts and for declaratory judgment with respect to the insurance coverage. In December 2010, PTJV filed suit against ACE American Insurance Company ("ACE") in Maryland federal district court alleging ACE breached the general liability insurance contract, requesting a declaratory judgment with respect to the insurance coverage and for bad faith.

The parties have scheduled expert depositions through September 2011 and intend to discuss settlement thereafter.

Management has made an estimate of the total anticipated recovery on this project and it is included in revenue recorded to date. To the extent new facts become known or the final recovery included in the claim settlement varies from the estimate, the impact of the change will be reflected in the financial statements at that time.

Fontainebleau Matter

Desert Mechanical, Inc., (formerly known as Desert Plumbing & Heating Co.) ("DMI") and Fisk, wholly owned subsidiaries of the Company, were subcontractors on the Fontainebleau Project in Las Vegas ("Fontainebleau"), a hotel/casino complex with approximately 3,800 rooms. In June 2009, Fontainebleau filed for bankruptcy protection, under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code, in the Southern District of Florida. Fontainebleau is headquartered in Miami, Florida.

DMI and Fisk filed liens in Nevada for approximately \$44 million, representing unreimbursed costs to date and lost profits, including anticipated profits. Other unaffiliated subcontractors have also filed liens. In June 2009, DMI filed suit against Turnberry West Construction, Inc. ("Turnberry"), the general contractor, in the 8th Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada, seeking damages based on contract theories. In April 2010, the court entered a default judgment in favor of DMI and against Turnberry for Turnberry's failure to answer the DMI complaint and in May 2010, the court entered an order on the default judgment in favor of DMI for approximately \$45 million. DMI is

uncertain as to Turnberry's present financial condition.

In January 2010, the Bankruptcy Court approved the sale of the property to Icahn Nevada Gaming Acquisition, LLC and this transaction closed in February 2010. As a result of a July 2010 ruling relating to certain priming liens there is now approximately \$125 million set aside from this sale, which is available for distribution to satisfy the creditor claims based on seniority. The total estimated sustainable lien amount is approximately \$350 million. The project lender filed suit against the mechanic's lien claimants, including DMI and Fisk, alleging that certain mechanic's liens are invalid and that all mechanic's liens are subordinate to the lender's claims against the property. Mediation efforts to resolve lien priority have been unsuccessful. The Nevada Supreme Court has agreed to hear the case and rule on the issue of lien priority, which once received will be referred to the Bankruptcy Court for further proceedings.

Index

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(7) Contingencies and Commitments (continued)

Management has made an estimate of the total anticipated recovery on this project and it is included in revenue recorded to date. To the extent new facts become known or the final recovery included in the claim settlement varies from the estimate, the impact of the change will be reflected in the financial statements at that time.

MGM CityCenter Matter

Perini Building Company, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, contracted with MGM MIRAGE Design Group (“MGM”) in March 2005 to construct the CityCenter project in Las Vegas, Nevada (the “Project”). The Project, which encompasses nineteen separate contracts, is a 66-acre urban mixed use development consisting of hotels, condominiums, retail space and a casino.

The Company achieved substantial completion of the Project in December 2009, and MGM opened the Project to the public on the same date. In March 2010, the Company filed suit against MGM and certain other property owners in the Clark County District Court alleging (1) breach of contract, (2) breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, (3) tortious breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, (4) unjust enrichment, (5) fraud and intentional misrepresentation, (6) foreclosure of mechanic’s lien, and (7) claim of priority. In March 2010, the Company also filed a \$491 million mechanic’s lien against the Project.

In a Current Report on Form 8-K filed by MGM in March 2010, and in subsequent communications issued, MGM has asserted that it believes it owes substantially less than the claimed amount and that it has claims for losses in connection with the construction of the Harmon Hotel and is entitled to unspecified offsets for other work on the Project. According to MGM, the total of the offsets and the Harmon Hotel claims exceed the amount claimed by the Company. MGM’s filing and subsequent communications do not specify in any detail the basis for MGM’s belief that it has such claims against the Company.

In May 2010, MGM filed a counterclaim and third party complaint against the Company and its subsidiary Perini Building Company. In June 2010, MGM filed its First Amended Third Party Complaint in which MGM removed certain causes of actions against the Company. The court granted the Company and MGM’s joint motion to consolidate all subcontractor initiated actions into the main CityCenter lawsuit. Trial was scheduled for September 2011, but will likely be postponed since the Nevada Supreme Court stayed the case in November 2010, in response to MGM’s request after an adverse ruling against MGM to disqualify MGM’s local counsel.

In public statements, MGM asserted its intent to enter into settlement discussions directly with subcontractors under contract with the Company. As of June 2011, MGM has reached agreements with subcontractors to settle at a discount \$268 million of amounts previously billed to MGM. The Company has reduced amounts included in revenues, cost of construction operations, accounts receivable and accounts payable for the reduction in subcontractor pass-through billings. At June 30, 2011, the Company had approximately \$222 million recorded as contract receivables for amounts due and owed to the Company and its subcontractors. Included in the Company’s receivables are pass-through subcontractor billings for contract work and retention, and other requests for equitable adjustment for additional work in the amount of \$89 million. As pass-through subcontractor billings are settled, the Company will reduce its mechanic’s lien as appropriate. As of June 30, 2011, the Company’s mechanic’s lien has been reduced to \$313 million, and this amount will not change until the Court stay is lifted. In the event MGM reaches additional settlements with subcontractors for amounts less than currently due and the settlement is agreed to by the Company, the Company will reduce amounts included in revenues, cost of construction operations, accounts receivable and

accounts payable for the reduction in subcontractor pass-through billings, which the Company would not expect to have an impact on recorded profit.

Index

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(7) Contingencies and Commitments (continued)

With respect to alleged losses at the Harmon Hotel, the Company has contractual indemnities from the responsible subcontractor, as well as existing insurance coverage that it expects will be available and sufficient to cover any liability that may be associated with this matter. The Company is not aware of a basis for other claims that would amount to material offsets against what MGM owes to the Company. The Company does not expect this matter to have any material adverse effect on its consolidated financial statements.

Management has made an estimate of the total anticipated recovery on this project and it is included in revenue recorded to date. To the extent new facts become known or the final recovery included in the claim settlement varies from the estimate, the impact of the change will be reflected in the financial statements at that time.

Honeywell Street/Queens Boulevard Bridges Matter

In 1999, the Company was awarded a contract for reconstruction of the Honeywell Street/Queens Boulevard Bridges (the "Project") for the City of New York (the "City"). In June 2003, after substantial completion of the Project, the Company initiated an action to recover \$8.75 million in claims from the City on behalf of itself and its subcontractors. In February 2010, the Company initiated a second action in the Supreme Court of the State of New York to recover an additional \$0.7 million in claims against the City for unpaid retention. In March 2010, the City filed counterclaims for \$74.6 million and other relief, alleging fraud in connection with the DBE requirements for the Project. In May 2010, the Company served the City with its response to the City's counterclaims and affirmative defenses. Parties are discussing settlement possibilities. No trial date has been set.

The Company does not expect this matter to have any material adverse effect on its consolidated financial statements.

Westgate Planet Hollywood Matter

Tutor-Saliba Corporation ("TSC"), a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, contracted to construct a time share development in Las Vegas (the "Project") which was substantially completed in December 2009. The Company's claims against the owner, Westgate Planet Hollywood Las Vegas, LLC ("WPH"), relate to unresolved owner change orders and other claims. The Company filed a lien on the project in April 2010 in the amount of \$19.3 million, and filed its complaint in May 2010 with the District Court, Clark County, Nevada. Included in the Company's receivables are pass-through subcontractor billings for contract work and retention of approximately \$12 million. Several subcontractors have also recorded liens, some of which have been released by bonds and some of which have been released as a result of subsequent payment. Westgate has posted a mechanic's lien release bond for \$22.3 million.

WPH filed a cross-complaint alleging non-conforming and defective work for approximately \$51 million, primarily related to alleged defects, misallocated costs, and liquidated damages. Some or all of the allegations will be defended by counsel appointed by TSC's insurance carrier.

TSC filed an amended complaint in May 2011, which increases TSC's claim to \$22.3 million, and replaces the cause of action to foreclose its mechanic's lien with an action against WPH's lien release bond.

The Court entered an order setting this case for mediation in October 2011, and a trial is set for September 2012. The Company does not expect this matter to have any material adverse effect on its consolidated financial statements.

Index

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(7) Contingencies and Commitments (continued)

Management has made an estimate of the total anticipated recovery on this project and it is included in revenue recorded to date. To the extent new facts become known or the final recovery included in the claim settlement varies from the estimate, the impact of the change will be reflected in the financial statements at that time.

100th Street Bus Depot Matter

The Company constructed the 100th Street Bus Depot for the New York City Transit Authority (“NYCTA”) in New York. Prior to receiving notice of final acceptance from the NYCTA, this project experienced a failure of the brick façade on the building due to faulty subcontractor work. The Company has not yet received notice of final acceptance of this project from the NYCTA. The Company contends defective structural installation by the Company’s steel subcontractor caused or was a causal factor of the brick façade failure.

The Company has tendered its claim to the NYCTA Owner Controlled Insurance Program (“OCIP”) and to Chartis Claims, Inc. (“Chartis”), its insurance carrier. Coverage was denied in January 2011. The OCIP and general liability carriers have filed a declaratory relief action against the Company seeking court determination that no coverage is afforded under their policies. The Company believes it has legal entitlement to recover costs under the policies and intends to defend and pursue its claim against the carriers for breach of contract and appropriate associated causes of action. The Company filed a lawsuit against certain underwriters at Lloyds, London, the excess carrier, Illinois National Insurance Company, the insurance company of the state of Pennsylvania, and National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, with respect to this claim in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, Suffolk County Superior Court, in June 2011.

Management has made an estimate of the total anticipated recovery on this project and it is included in revenue recorded to date. To the extent new facts become known or the final recovery included in the claim settlement varies from the estimate, the impact of the change will be reflected in the financial statements at that time.

Brightwater Matter

In 2006, the Department of Natural Resources and Parks Wastewater Treatment Division of King County (“King County”), as Owner, and Vinci Construction Grands Projects/Parsons RCI/Frontier-Kemper, Joint Venture (“VPFK”), as Contractor, entered into a contract to construct the Brightwater Conveyance System and tunnel sections (the “Project”) in Washington State. Frontier-Kemper, a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, is a 20% minority partner in the joint venture.

In April 2010, King County filed a lawsuit alleging damages in the amount of \$74 million, plus costs, for VPFK’s failure to complete specified components of the project in the King County Superior Court, State of Washington. Shortly thereafter, VPFK filed a counterclaim in the amount of approximately \$75 million, seeking reimbursement for additional costs incurred as a result of differing site conditions, King County’s defective specifications, for damages sustained on VPFK’s tunnel boring machines (“TBM”), and increased costs as a result of hyperbaric interventions. VPFK’s claims related to differing site conditions, defective design specifications and damages to the TBM were presented to a Dispute Resolution Board (“DRB”). The DRB recommendations, dated August 1, 2011, generally found that King County was liable to VPFK for VPFK’s claims for encountering differing site conditions, including damages to the TBM, but not on VPFK’s alternative theory of defective specifications. King County has proposed the parties mediate the hyperbaric work claim. VPFK agreed to this request.

Discovery is expected to continue through June 2012. The original trial date was continued by stipulation to September 2012.

Index

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(7) Contingencies and Commitments (continued)

The ultimate financial impact of King County's lawsuit is not yet determinable. Management has made an estimate of the total anticipated recovery on the submitted claims and it is included in revenue recorded to date. To the extent new facts become known or the final recovery included in the claim settlement varies from the estimate, the impact of the change will be reflected in the financial statements at that time.

(8) Common Stock Repurchase Program

The Company's Common Stock repurchase program expired on March 31, 2011. There were no repurchases of Common Stock made under the program during the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011. During the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2010, the Company repurchased 617,091 shares under the program for an aggregate purchase price of \$11.2 million. On a cumulative basis during 2009 and 2010, the Company repurchased 4,168,238 shares under the program for an aggregate purchase price of \$71.2 million, or an average purchase price per share of \$17.08.

(9) Stock-Based Compensation

For the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011, the Company recognized total compensation expense of \$3.5 million and \$7.1 million, respectively, related to stock-based compensation awards, which is included in General and Administrative Expenses in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Operations. For the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2010 the Company recognized total compensation expense of \$3.6 million and \$7.5 million, respectively, related to stock-based compensation awards.

Restricted Stock Awards

Restricted stock awards vest subject to the satisfaction of service requirements or the satisfaction of both service requirements and achievement of certain pre-established pre-tax income performance targets. Upon vesting, each award is exchanged for one share of the Company's Common Stock. As of June 30, 2011, the Compensation Committee has approved the grant of an aggregate of 3,952,500 restricted stock awards to eligible participants.

In March 2011, the Compensation Committee established the 2011 pre-tax income performance targets for 269,998 restricted stock units awarded in 2009 and 2010. The grant date fair value for these shares was determined based on the closing price of the Company's Common Stock on the date the performance criteria was established.

For the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011, the Company recognized compensation expense of \$2.6 million and \$5.3 million, respectively, related to restricted stock awards. As of June 30, 2011 there was \$13.4 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to the unvested awards which, absent significant forfeitures in the future, is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of approximately 2.0 years. A summary of restricted stock awards activity under the plan for the six months ended June 30, 2011 is as follows:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Granted and Unvested - January 1, 2011	1,220,833	\$21.62	\$ 26,138,035
Vested	(183,333)	20.64	3,751,992

Edgar Filing: TUTOR PERINI Corp - Form 10-Q

Granted	269,998	24.36	5,178,562
Forfeited	(30,000)	26.19	-
Total Granted and Unvested	1,277,498	22.21	24,502,412
Approved for grant	431,669	(a)	8,279,411
Total Awarded and Unvested - June 30, 2011	1,709,167	n.a.	32,781,823

(a) Grant date fair value cannot be determined currently because the related performance targets for future years have not yet been established by the Compensation Committee.

Index

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(9) Stock-Based Compensation (continued)

The outstanding unvested awards at June 30, 2011 are scheduled to vest as follows, subject where applicable to the achievement of performance targets. As described above, certain performance targets are not yet established.

Vesting Date	Number of Awards
2011	50,000
2012	294,998
2013	1,152,500
2014	211,669
Total	1,709,167

Approximately 100,000 of the unvested awards will vest based on the satisfaction of service requirements and 1,609,167 will vest based on the satisfaction of both service requirements and the achievement of pre-tax income performance targets.

Stock Options

There were no stock options granted during the six months ended June 30, 2011; however, the Compensation Committee did establish the respective 2011 pre-tax income performance target for 150,000 stock options awarded in 2009. The exercise price of the options is equal to the closing price of the Company's Common Stock on the date the awards were approved by the Compensation Committee. The options expire on May 28, 2019.

For the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011, the Company recognized compensation expense of \$0.9 million and \$1.8 million, respectively, related to stock option grants. As of June 30, 2011, there was \$5.0 million of unrecognized compensation expense related to the outstanding options which, absent significant forfeitures in the future, is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of approximately 2.1 years.

Index

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(9) Stock-Based Compensation (continued)

A summary of stock option activity under the plan for the six months ended June 30, 2011 is as follows:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date	
		Fair Value	Exercise Price
Total Awarded and Outstanding - January 1, 2011	1,040,000	\$ 11.18	\$ 20.50
Granted	150,000	13.35	20.33
Forfeited	(30,000)	14.84	26.19
Total Granted and Outstanding	1,160,000	11.37	20.33
Approved for grant	300,000	(a)	20.33
Total Awarded and Outstanding - June 30, 2011	1,460,000	n.a.	20.33

(a) Grant date fair value cannot be determined currently because the related performance targets for future years have not yet been established by the Compensation Committee.

Approximately 710,000 of the outstanding options will vest based on the satisfaction of service requirements and 750,000 will vest based on the satisfaction of both service requirements and the achievement of pre-tax income performance targets.

The outstanding options had an intrinsic value of \$2.0 million and a weighted average remaining contractual life of 7.5 years at June 30, 2011. There were 300,000 options exercisable at June 30, 2011 at a weighted average exercise price of \$20.33 per share.

The fair value of the third tranche of the 2009 awards, amounting to 150,000 options, was determined using the Black-Scholes option pricing model using the following key assumptions:

Risk-free interest rate	2.74	%
	6.5	
Expected life of options		years
Expected volatility of underlying stock	46.94	%
Expected quarterly dividends (per share)	\$ 0.00	

(10) Financial Commitments

7.625% Senior Notes due 2018

On October 20, 2010, the Company completed a private placement offering of \$300 million in aggregate principal amount of its 7.625% senior unsecured notes due November 1, 2018 (the "Senior Notes"). The Senior Notes were priced at 99.258%, resulting in a yield to maturity of 7.75%. The Senior Notes were made available in a private offering that is exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"). The private placement of the Senior Notes resulted in proceeds to the Company of approximately \$293.2 million after a debt discount of \$2.2 million and initial debt issuance costs of \$4.6 million. The Senior Notes were issued pursuant to an indenture (the "Indenture"), dated as of October 20, 2010 by and among the Company, its subsidiary guarantors and Wilmington Trust FSB, as trustee (the "Trustee").

The Senior Notes mature on November 1, 2018, and bear interest at a rate of 7.625% per annum, payable semi-annually in cash in arrears on May 1 and November 1 of each year, beginning on May 1, 2011. The Senior Notes are senior unsecured obligations of the Company and are guaranteed by substantially all of the Company's existing and future subsidiaries that guarantee obligations under the Company's Amended Credit Agreement.

In April 2011, the Company filed an amended registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission with respect to an offer to exchange the Senior Notes for a new issue of debt securities registered under the Securities Act (the "Exchange Offer"), with terms substantially identical to those of the Senior Notes, (except for provisions relating to the transfer restrictions and payment of additional interest), and to keep the Exchange Offer open for at least 30 business days (the "Registration Statement"). The Registration Statement was consummated in June 2011.

Index

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(10) Financial Commitments (continued)

Amended Credit Agreement

On August 3, 2011, the Company entered into a Fifth Amended and Restated Credit Agreement (the "Credit Agreement"), as Borrower, with Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent, Swing Line Lender and L/C Issuer (the "Lender"). The Credit Agreement amends and restates in its entirety an existing Fourth Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated May 4, 2011 among the Company, as Borrower, and the Lender, as Administrative Agent (the "Existing Agreement"), which provided for a \$260 million revolving credit facility and an additional \$99.6 million under a supplemental facility.

The Credit Agreement replaces the Existing Agreement and allows the Company to borrow up to \$300 million on a revolving credit basis (the "Revolving Facility"), with a \$50 million sublimit for letters of credit, and an additional \$200 million term loan (the "Term Loan"). Subject to certain conditions, the Company has the option to increase the base facility by up to an additional \$50 million. Substantially all of the Company's subsidiaries unconditionally guarantee the obligations of the Company under the Credit Agreement. The obligations under the Credit Agreement are secured by a lien on all personal property of the Company and its subsidiaries party thereto. Amounts outstanding under the Credit Agreement bear interest at a rate equal to, at the Company's option, (a) the adjusted British Bankers Association LIBOR rate, as defined, plus 200 to 300 basis points (floor of 200 basis points) based on the ratio of consolidated funded indebtedness of the Company and its subsidiaries to consolidated EBITDA or (b) the higher of the Federal Funds Rate plus 50 basis points, or the prime rate announced by Bank of America, N.A., plus up to 200 basis points based on the ratio of consolidated funded indebtedness of the Company and its subsidiaries to consolidated EBITDA. In addition, the Company has agreed to pay quarterly facility fees ranging from 0.375% to 0.50% per annum of the unused portion of the credit facility. Any outstanding loans under the Revolving Facility mature on August 3, 2016, while the Term Loan includes annual installments of principal and interest payable over a five-year period.

Similar to the Existing Agreement, the Credit Agreement requires the Company to comply with certain financial and other covenants including minimum net worth, minimum fixed charge coverage and maximum leverage ratios. The Company is currently in compliance with the covenants of the Credit Agreement.

The Credit Agreement also includes certain customary provisions for this type of facility, including operational covenants restricting liens, investments, indebtedness, fundamental changes in corporate organization, and dispositions of property, and events of default, certain of which include corresponding grace periods and notice requirements.

The Credit Agreement provides for customary events of default with corresponding grace periods, including (i) failure to pay any principal or interest when due, (ii) failure to comply with covenants, (iii) any material representation or warranty made by the Company proving to be incorrect in any material respect, (iv) defaults relating to or acceleration of other material indebtedness, (v) certain insolvency or receivership events affecting the Company, (vi) a change in control of the Company, or (vii) the Company becoming subject to certain material judgments.

In the event of a default, the Administrative Agent, at the request of the requisite number of lenders, must terminate the lenders' commitments to make loans under the Credit Agreement and declare all obligations under the Credit Agreement immediately due and payable. For certain events of default related to insolvency and receivership, the commitments of the lenders will be automatically terminated and all outstanding obligations of the Company under

the Credit Agreement will become immediately due and payable.

25

Index

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(10) Financial Commitments (continued)

The Company had \$200 million of outstanding borrowings under its Revolving Facility as of June 30, 2011 and no outstanding borrowings as of December 31, 2010. The Company utilized the Revolving Facility for letters of credit in the amount of \$3.0 million and \$0.2 million as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. Accordingly, at June 30, 2011, the Company had \$156.6 million available to borrow under the Existing Agreement, including the Supplemental Facility.

Debt Agreements from Recent Acquisitions

In connection with the acquisition of Frontier-Kemper (see Note 3), the Company assumed approximately \$52 million of debt of which approximately \$35 million was paid off upon the closing of the transaction. Substantially all of the debt remaining exists in the form of a promissory note payable from Frontier-Kemper to the former sole shareholder of Frontier-Kemper in the amount of \$17.3 million (the "Frontier-Kemper Seller Note"). Interest under the Frontier-Kemper Seller Note accrues at the rate of 2% per annum with all accrued but unpaid interest payable on September 30, 2011. Additionally, the Frontier-Kemper Seller Note contains an indemnification provision allowing the Company to offset balances against the principal due under certain circumstances. The Frontier-Kemper Seller Note matures on December 31, 2011. The Company may prepay all or any portion of the Frontier-Kemper Seller Note at any time without premium or penalty.

In connection with the July 1, 2011 acquisitions of Lunda Construction Company ("Lunda") and GreenStar Services Corporation ("GreenStar"), the Company issued additional promissory notes. The material terms of the promissory notes are described in further detail in Note 16.

Collateralized Loans

In March 2011, the Company paid off and subsequently refinanced several of its equipment financing agreements at lower interest rates and also entered into several new equipment financing agreements. The refinancing resulted in payments totaling \$27.4 million which paid off the previous agreements in place. The Company obtained loans totaling \$59.7 million, which are collateralized by construction equipment owned by the Company. The terms of the loans include equal monthly installments inclusive of principal and interest at an interest rate of 3.98% payable over a five-year period, with balloon payments totaling \$9.0 million in 2016.

(11) Earnings per Common Share

Basic earnings per common share were computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding. Diluted earnings per common share was similarly computed after giving consideration to the dilutive effect of stock options and restricted stock awards outstanding on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding. The computations of diluted earnings per common share for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011 exclude 405,000 stock options because the awards would have an antidilutive effect. The computations of diluted earnings per common share for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2010 exclude 585,000 stock options because the awards would have an antidilutive effect.

Index

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(12) Business Segments

The following tables set forth certain business segment information relating to the Company's operations for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2011		Three Months Ended June 30, 2010	
	Revenues	Income from Construction Operations	Revenues	Income from Construction Operations
Building	\$599,977	\$ 24,921	\$663,157	\$ 29,686
Civil	141,442	15,283	197,431	29,720
Management Services	78,439	6,519	53,788	6,848
	819,858	46,723	914,376	66,254
Corporate *	-	(9,688)	-	(10,403)
Total	\$819,858	\$ 37,035	\$914,376	\$ 55,851

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2011		Six Months Ended June 30, 2010	
	Revenues	Income from Construction Operations	Revenues	Income from Construction Operations
Building	\$1,040,406	\$ 38,039	\$1,349,441	\$ 61,996
Civil	270,267	27,397	322,091	38,010
Management Services	124,474	9,160	107,919	9,941
	1,435,147	74,596	1,779,451	109,947
Corporate *	-	(19,048)	-	(19,939)
Total	\$1,435,147	\$ 55,548	\$1,779,451	\$ 90,008

* Consists primarily of corporate general and administrative expenses.

(13) Employee Pension Plans

The Company has a defined benefit pension plan and an unfunded supplemental retirement plan. Effective June 1, 2004, all benefit accruals under the Company's pension plan were frozen; however, the current vested benefit was preserved. The pension disclosure presented below includes aggregated amounts for both of the Company's plans. The following table sets forth the net periodic benefit cost by component for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Interest cost	\$ 1,108	\$ 1,139	\$ 2,216	\$ 2,279

Edgar Filing: TUTOR PERINI Corp - Form 10-Q

Expected return on plan assets	(1,254)	(1,241)	(2,509)	(2,483)
Amortization of net loss	992	612	1,984	1,224
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 846	\$ 510	\$ 1,691	\$ 1,020

27

Index

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(13) Employee Pension Plans (continued)

The Company contributed \$1.4 million and \$1.1 million to its defined benefit pension plan during the six month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The Company expects to contribute an additional \$2.8 million to its defined benefit pension plan during the remainder of fiscal year 2011.

(14) Related Party Transactions

The Company leases certain facilities from Ronald N. Tutor, the Company's Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, and an affiliate owned by Mr. Tutor under non-cancelable operating lease agreements with monthly payments of \$0.2 million, which increase at 3% per annum beginning August 1, 2009 and expire on July 31, 2016. Lease expense for these leases, recorded on a straight-line basis, was \$1.2 million for each of the six month periods ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010, and \$0.6 million for each of the three month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010.

The Vice Chairman of O&G Industries, Inc. ("O&G") is a director of the Company. O&G occasionally participates in joint ventures with the Company. The Company's share of revenues related to these joint ventures amounted to \$1.9 million (or less than 1%) and \$6 thousand (or less than 1%) for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and \$0.4 million and \$0 for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. O&G's cumulative holdings of the Company's stock as of June 30, 2011 and 2010 were 600,000 shares, or 1.27% of total common shares outstanding at June 30, 2011.

(15) Separate Financial Information of Subsidiary Guarantors of Indebtedness

As discussed in Note 10, the Company's obligation to pay principal and interest on its 7.625% Senior Notes due November 1, 2018, is guaranteed on a joint and several basis by substantially all of the Company's existing and future subsidiaries that guarantee obligations under the Company's Existing Agreement, with certain exceptions (the "Guarantors"). The guarantees are full and unconditional and the Guarantors are 100%-owned by the Company. Fisk and Anderson were added as Guarantors in May 2011. The following supplemental condensed consolidating financial information reflects the summarized financial information of the Company as the issuer, the Guarantors and the Company's non-guarantor subsidiaries on a combined basis.

Index

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEET - JUNE 30, 2011 (UNAUDITED)
(In Thousands)

	Tutor Perini Corporation	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Total Consolidated
ASSETS					
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$ 344,168	\$ 54,145	\$ 22,665	\$ -	\$ 420,978
Restricted Cash	26,967	4,853	623	-	32,443
Accounts Receivable	109,174	916,670	63,484	(68,058)	1,021,270
Costs and Estimated Earnings in Excess of Billings	105,683	94,207	15,227	-	215,117
Deferred Income Taxes	3,516	298	7,626	-	11,440
Other Current Assets	20,177	17,150	24,762	(9,229)	52,860
Total Current Assets	609,685	1,087,323	134,387	(77,287)	1,754,108
Long-term Investments	88,129	-	-	-	88,129
Property and Equipment, net	44,435	331,447	48,987	-	424,869
Intercompany Notes and Receivables	29,865	538,572	(8,843)	(559,594)	-
Other Assets:					
Goodwill	-	685,229	5,848	-	691,077
Intangible Assets, net	-	160,206	-	-	160,206
Investment in Subsidiaries	1,921,902	95	249	(1,922,246)	-
Other	11,871	7,991	1,106	-	20,968
	\$ 2,705,887	\$ 2,810,863	\$ 181,734	\$ (2,559,127)	\$ 3,139,357
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY					
Current Maturities of Long-term Debt	\$ 2,427	\$ 11,259	\$ 18,727	\$ -	\$ 32,413
Accounts Payable	32,099	645,453	20,684	(68,058)	630,178
Billings in Excess of Costs and Estimated Earnings	36,305	217,121	41,587	-	295,013
Accrued Expenses	4,550	72,097	29,693	(9,229)	97,111
Total Current Liabilities	75,381	945,930	110,691	(77,287)	1,054,715
Long-term Debt, less current maturities	519,326	85,611	1,032	-	605,969
Deferred Income Taxes	77,407	503	5,688	-	83,598
Other Long-term Liabilities	42,732	5,308	-	-	48,040
Contingencies and Commitments					
Intercompany Notes and Advances Payable	644,006	(76,856)	(7,556)	(559,594)	-
Stockholders' Equity	1,347,035	1,850,367	71,879	(1,922,246)	1,347,035
	\$ 2,705,887	\$ 2,810,863	\$ 181,734	\$ (2,559,127)	\$ 3,139,357

Index

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEET - DECEMBER 31, 2010
(In Thousands)

	Tutor Perini Corporation	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Total Consolidated
ASSETS					
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$ 222,156	\$ 220,086	\$ 29,136	\$ -	\$ 471,378
Restricted Cash	23,550	-	-	-	23,550
Accounts Receivable	116,718	802,059	643	(38,806)	880,614
Costs and Estimated Earnings in Excess of Billings	83,337	55,960	152	-	139,449
Deferred Income Taxes	3,515	222	-	-	3,737
Other Current Assets	9,833	22,784	9,993	(296)	42,314
Total Current Assets	459,109	1,101,111	39,924	(39,102)	1,561,042
Long-term Investments	88,129	-	-	-	88,129
Property and Equipment, net	44,065	312,965	5,407	-	362,437
Intercompany Notes and Receivables	(4,331)	565,701	(5,196)	(556,174)	-
Other Assets:					
Goodwill	-	621,920	-	-	621,920
Intangible Assets, net	-	132,551	-	-	132,551
Investment in Subsidiaries	1,696,321	-	-	(1,696,321)	-
Other	8,015	4,751	375	-	13,141
	\$ 2,291,308	\$ 2,738,999	\$ 40,510	\$ (2,291,597)	\$ 2,779,220
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS'					
EQUITY					
Current Maturities of Long-term Debt	\$ 6,198	\$ 15,136	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 21,334
Accounts Payable	48,139	643,462	747	(38,806)	653,542
Billings in Excess of Costs and Estimated Earnings	20,424	179,293	33	-	199,750
Accrued Expenses	17,880	60,267	15,637	(296)	93,488
Total Current Liabilities	92,641	898,158	16,417	(39,102)	968,114
Long-term Debt, less current maturities	316,113	58,237	-	-	374,350
Deferred Income Taxes	78,525	557	-	-	79,082
Other Long-term Liabilities	36,121	8,559	-	-	44,680
Contingencies and Commitments					
Intercompany Notes and Advances Payable	454,914	86,188	15,072	(556,174)	-
Stockholders' Equity	1,312,994	1,687,300	9,021	(1,696,321)	1,312,994
	\$ 2,291,308	\$ 2,738,999	\$ 40,510	\$ (2,291,597)	\$ 2,779,220

Index

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS (UNAUDITED)
THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2011
(In Thousands)

	Tutor Perini Corporation	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Total Consolidated
Revenues	\$ 67,629	\$ 763,017	\$ 13,526	\$ (24,314)	\$ 819,858
Cost of Operations	57,737	691,348	7,877	(24,314)	732,648
Gross Profit	9,892	71,669	5,649	-	87,210
General and Administrative Expenses	15,247	33,540	1,388	-	50,175
INCOME (LOSS) FROM CONSTRUCTION OPERATIONS	(5,355)	38,129	4,261	-	37,035
Equity in Earnings of Subsidiaries	27,297	-	-	(27,297)	-
Other Income (Expense), net	77	1,106	49	-	1,232
Interest Expense	(6,693)	(521)	(38)	-	(7,252)
Income before Income Taxes	15,326	38,714	4,272	(27,297)	31,015
(Provision) Credit for Income Taxes	4,368	(14,130)	(1,559)	-	(11,321)
NET INCOME	\$ 19,694	\$ 24,584	\$ 2,713	\$ (27,297)	\$ 19,694

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS (UNAUDITED)
THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2010
(In Thousands)

	Tutor Perini Corporation	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Total Consolidated
Revenues	\$ 156,802	\$ 784,290	\$ (6)	\$ (26,710)	\$ 914,376
Cost of Operations	125,328	720,319	(3,473)	(26,710)	815,464
Gross Profit	31,474	63,971	3,467	-	98,912
General and Administrative Expenses	14,315	28,511	235	-	43,061
INCOME FROM CONSTRUCTION OPERATIONS	17,159	35,460	3,232	-	55,851
Equity in Earnings of Subsidiaries	20,955	-	-	(20,955)	-
Other Income (Expense), net	2,122	(3,225)	1	-	(1,102)

Interest Expense

(773)