

SMF ENERGY CORP
Form 10-Q
May 15, 2009

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2009

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OF 15(D) OR THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission File Number 000-21825

SMF ENERGY CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State of Incorporation)

65-0707824
(IRS Employer Identification
Number)

200 West Cypress Creek Road, Suite 400, Fort Lauderdale, Florida
(Address of principal executive offices)

33309
(Zip Code)

(954) 308-4200

(Issuer's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Edgar Filing: SMF ENERGY CORP - Form 10-Q

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes . No .

As of May 12, 2009 there were 15,200,122 shares of the registrant's common stock outstanding.

SMF ENERGY CORPORATION

FORM 10-Q

INDEX

Form 10-Q Part and Item No.

| | | | |
|----------------|-------------------------------|---|----|
| Part I | Financial Information: | | |
| | Item 1. | Condensed Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements | |
| | | Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2009 (unaudited) and June 30, 2008 | 3 |
| | | Condensed Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and nine-months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 | 4 |
| | | Condensed Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine-months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 | 5 |
| | | Notes to Condensed Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements | 7 |
| | Item 2. | Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 18 |
| | Item 3. | Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk | 35 |
| | Item 4. | Controls and Procedures | 36 |
| Part II | Other Information: | | |
| | Item 1. | Legal Proceedings | 37 |
| | Item 1A. | Risk Factors | 37 |
| | Item 2. | Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds | 37 |
| | Item 3. | Defaults Upon Senior Securities | 37 |
| | Item 4. | Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders | 37 |
| | Item 5. | Other Information | 37 |
| | Item 6. | Exhibits | 37 |
| | Signatures | | 38 |

SMF ENERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(in 000's, except share and per share data)

| | March 31, 2009 | June 30, 2008 |
|--|------------------|------------------|
| | (Unaudited) | |
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 59 | \$ 48 |
| Accounts receivable, net of allowances of \$1,229 and \$1,283 | 13,285 | 30,169 |
| Inventories, net of reserve of \$85 and \$99 | 1,939 | 2,535 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 746 | 855 |
| Total current assets | 16,029 | 33,607 |
| Property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$15,068 and \$13,981 | 8,953 | 10,276 |
| Identifiable intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$1,345 and \$1,060 | 2,108 | 2,392 |
| Goodwill | 228 | 228 |
| Deferred debt costs, net of accumulated amortization of \$783 and \$556 | 193 | 348 |
| Other assets | 90 | 133 |
| Total assets | \$ 27,601 | \$ 46,984 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Line of credit payable | \$ 5,853 | \$ 19,789 |
| Current portion of long-term debt, net of unamortized debt discount of \$34 | 8,825 | - |
| Accounts payable | 4,792 | 9,921 |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities | 4,391 | 4,938 |
| Total current liabilities | 23,861 | 34,648 |
| Long-term liabilities: | | |
| Promissory notes, net of unamortized debt discount of \$0 and \$65 | 725 | 8,794 |
| Other long-term liabilities | 404 | 490 |
| Total liabilities | 24,990 | 43,932 |
| Contingencies | | |
| Shareholders' equity: | | |
| Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value; 10,000 Series A Shares authorized, 4,114 and 4,587 issued and outstanding at March 31, 2009 and June 30, 2008, respectively | - | - |
| Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value; 2,000 Series B Shares authorized, 1,985 issued and outstanding at March 31, 2009 and June 30, 2008 | - | - |
| Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value; 2,000 Series C shares authorized, 229 and 0 issued and outstanding at March 31, 2009 and June 30, 2008, respectively | - | - |
| Common stock, \$.01 par value; 50,000, <small>gn="bottom" width="1%"></small> | | |
| See notes to consolidated financial statements. | | |

Table of Contents

Trustmark Corporation and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Income
(\$ in thousands except per share data)
(Unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|--|------------------------------|----------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 |
| Interest Income | | |
| Interest and fees on loans | \$ 118,050 | \$ 118,334 |
| Interest on securities: | | |
| Taxable | 5,857 | 9,080 |
| Tax exempt | 1,356 | 1,711 |
| Interest on federal funds sold and securities purchased | | |
| under reverse repurchase agreements | 179 | 976 |
| Other interest income | 572 | 592 |
| Total Interest Income | 126,014 | 130,693 |
| Interest Expense | | |
| Interest on deposits | 43,363 | 50,355 |
| Interest on federal funds purchased and securities sold under repurchase agreements | | |
| | 3,073 | 3,813 |
| Other interest expense | 4,829 | 4,583 |
| Total Interest Expense | 51,265 | 58,751 |
| Net Interest Income | 74,749 | 71,942 |
| Provision for loan losses | 14,243 | 1,639 |
| Net Interest Income After Provision for Loan Losses | | |
| | 60,506 | 70,303 |
| Noninterest Income | | |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | 12,564 | 12,693 |
| Insurance commissions | 8,256 | 8,772 |
| Wealth management | 7,198 | 5,879 |
| General banking - other | 5,788 | 6,170 |
| Mortgage banking, net | 11,056 | 2,755 |
| Other, net | 3,221 | 1,824 |
| Securities gains, net | 433 | 58 |
| Total Noninterest Income | 48,516 | 38,151 |
| Noninterest Expense | | |
| Salaries and employee benefits | 43,584 | 43,166 |
| Services and fees | 9,430 | 9,558 |
| Net occupancy - premises | 4,801 | 4,414 |
| Equipment expense | 4,074 | 3,904 |
| Other expense | 7,937 | 8,364 |
| Total Noninterest Expense | 69,826 | 69,406 |
| Income Before Income Taxes | 39,196 | 39,048 |
| Income taxes | 13,017 | 13,191 |

Edgar Filing: SMF ENERGY CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|---------------------|----|--------|----|--------|
| Net Income | \$ | 26,179 | \$ | 25,857 |
| Earnings Per Share | | | | |
| Basic | \$ | 0.46 | \$ | 0.44 |
| Diluted | \$ | 0.46 | \$ | 0.44 |
| Dividends Per Share | | | | |
| | \$ | 0.23 | \$ | 0.22 |

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Trustmark Corporation and Subsidiaries
 Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity
 (\$ in thousands)
 (Unaudited)

| | 2008 | 2007 |
|---|------------|------------|
| Balance, January 1, | \$ 919,636 | \$ 891,335 |
| Comprehensive income: | | |
| Net income per consolidated statements of income | 26,179 | 25,857 |
| Other comprehensive income: | | |
| Net change in fair value of securities available for sale | 3,482 | 1,756 |
| Comprehensive income | 29,661 | 27,613 |
| Cash dividends paid | (13,250) | (12,926) |
| Common stock issued, long-term incentive plan | - | 232 |
| Excess tax benefit from stock-based compensation arrangements | 188 | 7 |
| Compensation expense, long-term incentive plan | 1,106 | 1,116 |
| Repurchase and retirement of common stock | - | (13,370) |
| Balance, March 31, | \$ 937,341 | \$ 894,007 |

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Trustmark Corporation and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(\$ in thousands)
(Unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2008 | 2007 |
| Operating Activities | | |
| Net income | \$ 26,179 | \$ 25,857 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided | | |
| by operating activities: | | |
| Provision for loan losses | 14,243 | 1,639 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 6,904 | 6,622 |
| Net amortization of securities | 244 | 600 |
| Securities gains, net | (433) | (58) |
| Gains on sales of loans | (1,090) | (1,451) |
| Deferred income tax (benefit) provision | (4,731) | 192 |
| Proceeds from sales of loans held for sale | 310,355 | 251,382 |
| Purchases and originations of loans held for sale | (356,886) | (278,751) |
| Net increase in mortgage servicing rights | (4,479) | (3,873) |
| Net decrease in other assets | 12,821 | 4,572 |
| Net increase (decrease) in other liabilities | 4,581 | (4,572) |
| Other operating activities, net | 11,055 | 1,650 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 18,763 | 3,809 |
| Investing Activities | | |
| Proceeds from calls and maturities of securities held to maturity | 7,804 | 6,257 |
| Proceeds from calls and maturities of securities available for sale | 79,581 | 75,585 |
| Proceeds from sales of securities available for sale | 42,879 | - |
| Purchases of securities held to maturity | - | - |
| Purchases of securities available for sale | (218,643) | (18,357) |
| Net decrease (increase) in federal funds sold and securities | | |
| purchased under reverse repurchase agreements | 1,975 | (77,641) |
| Net decrease (increase) in loans | 16,494 | (64,944) |
| Purchases of premises and equipment | (2,638) | (7,437) |
| Proceeds from sales of premises and equipment | 1 | 5 |
| Proceeds from sales of other real estate | 361 | 678 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (72,186) | (85,854) |
| Financing Activities | | |
| Net increase in deposits | 475,063 | 338,138 |
| Net decrease in federal funds purchased and securities sold under repurchase agreements | (27,332) | (180,636) |
| Net decrease in short-term borrowings | (384,029) | (105,045) |
| Cash dividends | (13,250) | (12,926) |

Edgar Filing: SMF ENERGY CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|------------|------------|
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | - | 232 |
| Excess tax benefit from stock-based compensation arrangements | 188 | 7 |
| Repurchase and retirement of common stock | - | (13,370) |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 50,640 | 26,400 |
| Decrease in cash and cash equivalents | (2,783) | (55,645) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 292,983 | 392,083 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 290,200 | \$ 336,438 |

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

TRUSTMARK CORPORATION & SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1 – BASIS OF FINANCIAL STATEMENT PRESENTATION AND PRINCIPLES
OF CONSOLIDATION

The consolidated financial statements in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q include the accounts of Trustmark Corporation (Trustmark) and all other entities in which Trustmark has a controlling financial interest. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements and should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements, and notes thereto, included in Trustmark's 2007 annual report on Form 10-K. Operating results for the interim periods disclosed herein are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for a full year or any future period. Certain reclassifications have been made to prior period amounts to conform to the current period presentation.

Management is required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates. In the opinion of Management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for the fair presentation of these consolidated financial statements have been included.

NOTE 2 – LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES

For the periods presented, loans consisted of the following (\$ in thousands):

| | March 31, 2008 | December 31, 2007 |
|---|-------------------|----------------------|
| Loans secured by real estate: | | |
| Construction, land development and other land loans | \$ 1,212,052 | \$ 1,194,940 |
| Secured by 1-4 family residential properties | 1,660,148 | 1,694,757 |
| Secured by nonfarm, nonresidential properties | 1,315,449 | 1,325,379 |
| Other real estate secured | 160,373 | 167,610 |
| Commercial and industrial loans | 1,286,578 | 1,283,014 |
| Consumer loans | 1,056,346 | 1,087,337 |
| Other loans | 321,088 | 287,755 |
| Loans | 7,012,034 | 7,040,792 |
| Less allowance for loan losses | 81,818 | 79,851 |
| Net loans | \$ 6,930,216 | \$ 6,960,941 |

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the activity in the allowance for loan losses for the periods presented (\$ in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2008 | 2007 |
| Beginning balance | \$ 79,851 | \$ 72,098 |
| Loans charged-off | (15,176) | (4,282) |
| Recoveries | 2,900 | 2,594 |
| Net charge-offs | (12,276) | (1,688) |
| Provision for possible loan losses | 14,243 | 1,639 |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 81,818 | \$ 72,049 |

The allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level believed adequate by Management, based on estimated probable losses within the existing loan portfolio. Trustmark's allowance for possible loan loss methodology is based on guidance provided in SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 102, "Selected Loan Loss Allowance Methodology and Documentation Issues," as well as other regulatory guidance. Accordingly, Trustmark's methodology is based on historical loss experience by type of loan and internal risk ratings, homogeneous risk pools and specific loss allocations, with adjustments considering environmental factors such as current economic events, industry and geographical conditions and portfolio performance indicators. The provision for loan losses reflects loan quality trends, including the levels of and trends related to nonaccrual loans, past due loans, potential problem loans and net charge-offs or recoveries, among other factors, in compliance with the Interagency Policy Statement on the Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses published by the governmental regulating agencies for financial services companies. This evaluation is inherently subjective, as it requires material estimates, including the amounts and timings of future cash flows expected to be received on impaired loans that may be susceptible to significant changes. Management believes that the allowance for loan losses adequately provides for probable losses in its loan portfolio at March 31, 2008.

At March 31, 2008 and 2007, the carrying amounts of nonaccrual loans were \$78.0 million and \$37.1 million, respectively. Included in these nonaccrual loans at March 31, 2008 and 2007, are loans that are considered to be impaired, which totaled \$67.3 million and \$31.4 million, respectively. At March 31, 2008, the total allowance for loan losses related to impaired loans was \$10.8 million compared with \$7.1 million at March 31, 2007. The average carrying amounts of impaired loans during the first quarter of 2008 and 2007 were \$64.6 million and \$31.0 million, respectively. No material amounts of interest income were recognized on impaired loans or nonaccrual loans for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007.

NOTE 3 – MORTGAGE BANKING

The fair value of mortgage servicing rights (MSR) is determined using discounted cash flow techniques benchmarked against third-party opinions of value. Estimates of fair value involve several assumptions, including the key valuation assumptions about market expectations of future prepayment rates, interest rates and discount rates. Prepayment rates are projected using an industry standard prepayment model. The model considers other key factors, such as a wide range of standard industry assumptions tied to specific portfolio characteristics such as remittance cycles, escrow payment requirements, geographic factors, foreclosure loss exposure, VA no-bid exposure, delinquency rates and cost of servicing, including base cost and cost to service delinquent mortgages. Prevailing market conditions at the time of analysis are factored into the accumulation of assumptions and determination of servicing value.

Table of Contents

Trustmark utilizes derivative instruments, specifically Treasury note futures contracts and exchange-traded option contracts, to offset changes in the fair value of MSR attributable to changes in interest rates. Changes in the fair value of these derivative instruments are recorded in mortgage banking income and are offset by the changes in the fair value of MSR, as shown in the accompanying table. MSR fair values represent the effect of present value decay and the effect of changes in interest rates. Ineffectiveness of hedging MSR fair value is measured by comparing total hedge cost to the fair value of the MSR asset attributable to market changes. During the first quarter of 2008, the MSR value declined \$10.2 million primarily due to a 25 basis point drop in mortgage rates. The hedge improved in value by \$17.6 million due to three factors: a 60 basis point decline in Treasury market yields; improved value of options and futures due to higher levels of volatility; and additional carry value due to a steeper yield curve. The impact of implementing this strategy resulted in a net positive ineffectiveness of \$7.4 million.

The activity in mortgage servicing rights is detailed in the table below (\$ in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2008 | 2007 |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 67,192 | \$ 69,272 |
| Additions: | | |
| Origination of servicing assets | 5,131 | 4,340 |
| Disposals | (653) | (467) |
| Change in fair value: | | |
| Due to market changes | (10,193) | (447) |
| Due to runoff | (2,430) | (2,104) |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 59,047 | \$ 70,594 |

NOTE 4 - DEPOSITS

At March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007, deposits consisted of the following (\$ in thousands):

| | March 31, 2008 | December 31, 2007 |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| Noninterest-bearing demand deposits | \$ 1,475,976 | \$ 1,477,171 |
| Interest-bearing deposits: | | |
| Interest-bearing demand | 1,394,749 | 1,210,817 |
| Savings | 1,857,726 | 1,577,198 |
| Time | 2,615,884 | 2,604,086 |
| Total interest-bearing deposits | 5,868,359 | 5,392,101 |
| Total deposits | \$ 7,344,335 | \$ 6,869,272 |

NOTE 5 – STOCK AND INCENTIVE COMPENSATION PLANS

Trustmark accounts for stock and incentive compensation following the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123R, "Share-Based Payment," a revision of SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation." This statement establishes fair value as the measurement objective in accounting for stock awards and requires the application of a fair value based measurement method in accounting for compensation cost, which is recognized over the requisite service period. Trustmark implemented the provisions of this statement using the modified prospective approach, which applies to new awards, as well as, any previously granted awards outstanding on January 1, 2006. Compensation cost for the portion of awards for which the requisite service had not been rendered as of the date of adoption, is being recognized over the remaining service period using the

compensation cost calculated for pro forma disclosure purposes previously under SFAS No. 123.

- 9 -

Table of Contents

Stock Option Grants

During the first three months of 2008, there were no stock option awards. Stock option-based compensation expense totaled \$285 thousand and \$502 thousand for the first three months of 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Restricted Stock Grants

Performance Awards

During the first three months of 2008, Trustmark awarded 72,124 shares of performance based restricted stock to 28 key members of Trustmark's executive management team. These performance awards vest based on performance goals of return on average tangible equity (ROATE) and total shareholder return (TSR) compared to a defined peer group. These awards are restricted until December 31, 2010. Awards based on TSR are valued under SFAS No. 123R utilizing a Monte Carlo simulation to estimate fair value of the awards at the grant date, while ROATE awards are valued under SFAS No. 123R, utilizing the fair value of Trustmark's stock at the grant date based on the estimated number of shares expected to vest.

The performance based restricted stock issued in May 2005, vested on December 31, 2007. The stock related to this grant was issued to the participants free of restriction during the first quarter of 2008. As a result of achieving 132% of the performance goals during the performance period, 21,060 excess shares were awarded and will vest at either the date of Trustmark's Annual meeting of Shareholders in 2010 or May 31, 2010, whichever comes first.

Time-Vested Awards

Trustmark's time-vested awards are granted as an incentive in both employee recruitment and retention and are issued to Trustmark's executive management team and non-executive management associates. During the first three months of 2008, Trustmark awarded 37,020 shares of time-vested restricted stock to key members of Trustmark's management team. These time-vested awards are restricted for thirty-six months from the award dates. The weighted average share price of the shares awarded during the first three months of 2008 was \$20.97.

During the first three months of 2008 and 2007, Trustmark recorded compensation expense for restricted stock awards of \$821 thousand and \$614 thousand, respectively.

NOTE 6 – BENEFIT PLANS

Pension Plan

Trustmark maintains a noncontributory defined benefit pension plan (Trustmark Capital Accumulation Plan), which covers substantially all associates employed prior to January 1, 2007. The plan provides retirement benefits that are based on the length of credited service and final average compensation as defined in the plan and vests upon five years of service.

In December 2006, Trustmark adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 158, "Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans" and elected to move its measurement date for the plan to December 31 from October 31. The following table presents information regarding the net periodic benefit cost for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007 (\$ in thousands):

Table of Contents

| | Three months ended March 31, | |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------|---------|
| | 2008 | 2007 |
| Net periodic benefit cost | | |
| Service cost | \$ 411 | \$ 327 |
| Interest cost | 1,234 | 1,174 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (1,398) | (1,322) |
| Amortization of prior service cost | (127) | (127) |
| Recognized net actuarial loss | 501 | 563 |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$ 621 | \$ 615 |

The acceptable range of contributions to the plan is determined each year by the plan's actuary. Trustmark's policy is to fund amounts allowable for federal income tax purposes. In 2008, Trustmark's minimum required contribution is expected to be zero. The actual amount of the contribution will be determined based on the plan's funded status and return on plan assets as of the measurement date, which was December 31, 2007 for amounts related to 2008.

Supplemental Retirement Plan

Trustmark maintains a non-qualified supplemental retirement plan covering directors that elect to defer fees, key executive officers and senior officers. The plan provides for defined death benefits and/or retirement benefits based on a participant's covered salary. Trustmark has acquired life insurance contracts on the participants covered under the plan, which may be used to fund future payments under the plan. The measurement date for the plan is December 31.

The following table presents information regarding the plan's net periodic benefit cost for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007 (\$ in thousands):

| | Three months ended March 31, | |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------|--------|
| | 2008 | 2007 |
| Net periodic benefit cost | | |
| Service cost | \$ 292 | \$ 320 |
| Interest cost | 523 | 454 |
| Amortization of prior service cost | 37 | 35 |
| Recognized net actuarial loss | 60 | 23 |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$ 912 | \$ 832 |

NOTE 7 – CONTINGENCIES**Letters of Credit**

Standby and commercial letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by Trustmark to insure the performance of a customer to a third party. Trustmark issues financial and performance standby letters of credit in the normal course of business in order to fulfill the financing needs of its customers. A financial standby letter of credit irrevocably obligates Trustmark to pay a third-party beneficiary when a customer fails to repay an outstanding loan or debt instrument. A performance standby letter of credit irrevocably obligates Trustmark to pay a third-party beneficiary when a customer fails to perform some contractual, nonfinancial obligation. When issuing letters of credit, Trustmark uses essentially the same policies regarding credit risk and collateral which are followed in the lending process. At March 31, 2008 and 2007, Trustmark's maximum exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other party for standby and commercial letters of credit was \$162.3 million and \$165.2 million, respectively. These amounts consist primarily of commitments with maturities of less than three years, which have an immaterial carrying value. Trustmark holds collateral to support standby letters of credit when deemed necessary. As of March 31, 2008, the fair value of collateral held was \$40.7 million.

Table of Contents

Legal Proceedings

Trustmark and its subsidiaries are parties to lawsuits and other claims that arise in the ordinary course of business. Some of the lawsuits assert claims related to the lending, collection, servicing, investment, trust and other business activities, and some of the lawsuits allege substantial claims for damages. The cases are being vigorously contested. In the regular course of business, Management evaluates estimated losses or costs related to litigation, and provision is made for anticipated losses whenever Management believes that such losses are probable and can be reasonably estimated. At the present time, Management believes, based on the advice of legal counsel and Management's evaluation, that the final resolution of pending legal proceedings will not have a material impact on Trustmark's consolidated financial position or results of operations; however, Management is unable to estimate a range of potential loss on these matters because of the nature of the legal environment in states where Trustmark conducts business.

NOTE 8 – EARNINGS PER SHARE

Basic earnings per share (EPS) is computed by dividing net income by the weighted-average shares of common stock outstanding. Diluted EPS is computed by dividing net income by the weighted-average shares of common stock outstanding, adjusted for the effect of potentially dilutive stock grants outstanding during the period. The following table reflects weighted-average shares used to calculate basic and diluted EPS for the periods presented (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|-----------------|------------------------------|--------|
| | 2008 | 2007 |
| Basic shares | 57,283 | 58,508 |
| Dilutive shares | 29 | 284 |
| Diluted shares | 57,312 | 58,792 |

NOTE 9 - STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

Trustmark paid \$2.1 million in income taxes in the first quarter of 2008, compared to no taxes paid during the first quarter of 2007. Interest paid on deposit liabilities and other borrowings approximated \$54.0 million in the first three months of 2008 and \$59.0 million in the first three months of 2007. For the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007, noncash transfers from loans to foreclosed properties were \$1.6 million and \$381 thousand, respectively.

NOTE 10 – SEGMENT INFORMATION

Trustmark's management reporting structure includes four segments: general banking, wealth management, insurance and administration. General banking is responsible for all traditional banking products and services, including loans and deposits. Wealth management provides customized solutions for affluent customers by integrating financial services with traditional banking products and services such as private banking, money management, full-service brokerage, financial planning, personal and institutional trust, and retirement services, as well as insurance and risk management services provided by TRMK Risk Management, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Trustmark National Bank (TNB). Insurance includes two wholly-owned subsidiaries of TNB: The Bottrell Insurance Agency and Fisher-Brown, Incorporated. Through Bottrell and Fisher-Brown, Trustmark provides a full range of retail insurance products, including commercial risk management products, bonding, group benefits and personal lines coverages. Administration includes all other activities that are not directly attributable to one of the major lines of business. Administration consists of internal operations such as Human Resources, Executive Administration, Treasury (Funds Management) and Corporate Finance.

Table of Contents

The accounting policies of each reportable segment are the same as those of Trustmark except for its internal allocations. Trustmark uses a match-funded transfer pricing process to assess operating segment performance. Noninterest expenses for back-office operations support are allocated to segments based on estimated uses of those services.

The following tables disclose financial information by reportable segment for the periods ended March 31, 2008 and 2007.

- 13 -

Table of Contents

Trustmark Corporation
Segment Information
(\$ in thousands)

| For the three months ended | General Banking Division | Wealth Management Division | Insurance Division | Administration Division | Total |
|---|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|-----------|
| March 31, 2008 | | | | | |
| Net interest income from external customers | \$ 72,741 | \$ 1,307 | \$ - | \$ 701 | \$ 74,749 |
| Internal funding | (7,686) | (247) | 11 | 7,922 | - |
| Net interest income | 65,055 | 1,060 | 11 | 8,623 | 74,749 |
| Provision for loan losses | 14,213 | - | - | 30 | 14,243 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 50,842 | 1,060 | 11 | 8,593 | 60,506 |
| Noninterest income | 31,708 | 7,418 | 8,253 | 1,137 | 48,516 |
| Noninterest expense | 50,431 | 5,500 | 5,988 | 7,907 | 69,826 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 32,119 | 2,978 | 2,276 | 1,823 | 39,196 |
| Income taxes | 11,079 | 1,061 | 881 | (4) | 13,017 |
| Segment net income | \$ 21,040 | \$ 1,917 | \$ 1,395 | \$ 1,827 | \$ 26,179 |

Selected Financial Information

| | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|--------------|--------------|
| Average assets | \$ 7,622,452 | \$ 96,023 | \$ 19,645 | \$ 1,113,591 | \$ 8,851,711 |
| Depreciation and amortization | \$ 5,453 | \$ 83 | \$ 96 | \$ 1,272 | \$ 6,904 |

For the three months ended

March 31, 2007

| | | | | | |
|---|-----------|----------|----------|------------|-----------|
| Net interest income (expense) from external customers | \$ 68,094 | \$ 1,140 | \$ (1) | \$ 2,709 | \$ 71,942 |
| Internal funding | 730 | (174) | - | (556) | - |
| Net interest income (expense) | 68,824 | 966 | (1) | 2,153 | 71,942 |
| Provision for loan losses | 2,128 | (1) | - | (488) | 1,639 |
| Net interest income (expense) after provision for loan losses | 66,696 | 967 | (1) | 2,641 | 70,303 |
| Noninterest income | 23,612 | 5,997 | 8,806 | (264) | 38,151 |
| Noninterest expense | 50,329 | 5,022 | 5,844 | 8,211 | 69,406 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 39,979 | 1,942 | 2,961 | (5,834) | 39,048 |
| Income taxes | 13,816 | 693 | 1,145 | (2,463) | 13,191 |
| Segment net income (loss) | \$ 26,163 | \$ 1,249 | \$ 1,816 | \$ (3,371) | \$ 25,857 |

Selected Financial Information

| | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|--------------|--------------|
| Average assets | \$ 7,193,223 | \$ 85,718 | \$ 19,360 | \$ 1,516,486 | \$ 8,814,787 |
| Depreciation and amortization | \$ 5,002 | \$ 110 | \$ 98 | \$ 1,412 | \$ 6,622 |

Table of Contents

NOTE 11 – FAIR VALUE

On January 1, 2008, Trustmark adopted SFAS No. 157, “Fair Value Measurements.” SFAS No. 157 established a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles and expanded disclosures about fair value measurements. In accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board Staff Position (FSP) No. 157-2, “Effective Date of Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statement No. 157,” Trustmark will defer application of SFAS No. 157 for nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities until January 1, 2009.

Trustmark measures a portion of its assets and liabilities on a fair value basis. Fair value is used for certain assets and liabilities in which fair value is the primary basis of accounting. Examples of these include derivative instruments, available for sale securities, loans held for sale and MSR. Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Depending on the nature of the asset or liability, Trustmark uses various valuation techniques and assumptions when estimating fair value, which are in accordance with SFAS No. 157.

In accordance with SFAS No. 157, Trustmark groups its assets and liabilities carried at fair value in three levels, based on the markets in which the assets and liabilities are traded and the reliability of assumptions used to determine fair value. These levels are:

Level 1 – Valuation is based upon quoted prices for identical instruments traded in active markets.

Level 2 – Valuation is based upon quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets or quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active.

Level 3 – Valuation is based on significant valuation assumptions that are not readily observable in the market.

When determining the fair value measurements for assets and liabilities required or permitted to be recorded at and/or marked to fair value, Trustmark considers the principal or most advantageous market in which it would transact and considers assumptions that market participants would use when pricing the asset or liability. When possible, Trustmark looks to active and observable markets to price identical assets or liabilities. When identical assets and liabilities are not traded in active markets, Trustmark looks to market observable data for similar assets and liabilities. Nevertheless, certain assets and liabilities are not actively traded in observable markets and Trustmark must use alternative valuation techniques to derive a fair value measurement.

The methodologies Trustmark uses in determining the fair values are based primarily on the use of independent, market-based data to reflect a value that would be reasonably expected upon exchange of the position in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The large majority of assets that are stated at fair value are of a nature that can be valued using prices or inputs that are readily observable through a variety of independent data providers. The providers selected by Trustmark for fair valuation data are widely recognized and accepted vendors whose evaluations support the pricing functions of financial institutions, investment and mutual funds, and portfolio managers. Trustmark has documented and evaluated the pricing methodologies used by the vendors and has maintained internal processes that regularly test valuations for anomalies.

Mortgage loan commitments are valued based on the securities prices of similar collateral, term, rate and delivery for which the loan is eligible to deliver in place of the particular security. Trustmark acquires a broad array of mortgage security prices that are supplied by a market data vendor, which in turn accumulates prices from a broad list of securities dealers. Prices are processed through a mortgage pipeline management system that accumulates and segregates all loan commitment and forward-sale transactions according to the similarity of various characteristics (maturity, term, rate, and collateral). Prices are matched to those positions that are deemed to be an eligible substitute or offset (i.e. “deliverable”) for a corresponding security observed in the market place.

Table of Contents

In situations where there is little market activity such as MSR, Trustmark uses independent experts to evaluate fair value through the use of prevailing market participant assumptions, and market participant valuation processes. These values and processes are periodically tested and validated by other third-party experts.

At this time, Trustmark presents no fair values that are derived through internal modeling. Should positions requiring fair valuation arise that are not relevant to existing methodologies, Trustmark will make every reasonable effort to obtain market participant assumptions, or independent evaluation.

The following table presents financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of March 31, 2008 (\$ in thousands):

| | Total | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
|---------------------------------|------------|----------|------------|---------|
| Securities available for sale | \$ 585,746 | \$ 7,043 | \$ 578,703 | \$ - |
| Loans held for sale | 198,245 | - | 198,245 | - |
| Mortgage servicing rights | 59,047 | - | - | 59,047 |
| Other assets - derivatives | 9,251 | 8,336 | - | 915 |
| Other liabilities - derivatives | 4,257 | 2,545 | 1,712 | - |

The changes in Level 3 assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of March 31, 2008 are summarized as follows (\$ in thousands):

| | Other Assets - Derivatives | MSR |
|--|----------------------------------|-----------|
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 198 | \$ 67,192 |
| Total net gains (losses) included in net income | 1,301 | (12,623) |
| Purchases, sales, issuances and settlements, net | (584) | 4,478 |
| Net transfers into/out of Level 3 | - | - |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 915 | \$ 59,047 |

| | | |
|---|--------|-------------|
| The amount of total gains (losses) for the period included in earnings that are attributable to the change in unrealized gains or losses still held at March 31, 2008 | \$ 499 | \$ (10,193) |
|---|--------|-------------|

Trustmark may be required, from time to time, to measure certain assets at fair value on a nonrecurring basis in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Assets at March 31, 2008 which have been measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis include impaired loans. Loans for which it is probable Trustmark will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement are considered impaired. Once a loan is identified as individually impaired, Management measures impairment in accordance with SFAS No. 114, "Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan," (SFAS No. 114). Specific allowances for impaired loans are based on comparisons of the recorded carrying values of the loans to the present value of the estimated cash flows of these loans at each loan's original effective interest rate, the fair value of the collateral or the observable market prices of the loans. At March 31, 2008, impaired loans were evaluated based on the fair value of the collateral or other unobservable input and are classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy. Trustmark had outstanding balances of \$67.3 million and \$31.4 million in impaired loans as of March 31, 2008 and March 31, 2007, respectively.

Table of Contents

During the first quarter of 2008, Trustmark recognized a \$9.3 million write-down related to nonrecurring fair value measurements of impaired loans. Reserves were previously allocated in anticipation of this impairment review.

Certain nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis include reporting units measured at fair value in the first step of a goodwill impairment test, as well as intangible assets. As stated above, SFAS No. 157 will be applicable to these fair value measurements beginning January 1, 2009.

NOTE 12 – RECENT PRONOUNCEMENTS

Accounting Standards Adopted in 2008

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, “The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities.” SFAS No. 159 allows entities the option to measure eligible financial instruments at fair value as of specified dates. Such election, which may be applied on an instrument-by-instrument basis, is typically irrevocable once elected. SFAS No. 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and early application is allowed under certain circumstances. Management elected not to apply the fair value option to any of its assets or liabilities at January 1, 2008.

In June 2007, the Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) reached a consensus on Issue No. 06-11, “Accounting for Income Tax Benefits of Dividends on Share-Based Payment Awards.” EITF 06-11 states that an entity should recognize a realized tax benefit associated with dividends on nonvested equity shares, nonvested equity share units and outstanding equity share options charged to retained earnings as an increase in additional paid in capital. EITF 06-11 should be applied prospectively to income tax benefits of dividends on equity-classified share-based payment awards that are declared in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2007. The adoption of EITF 06-11 did not have a material impact on Trustmark’s balance sheets or results of operations.

In November 2007, the SEC issued SAB No. 109 (SAB 109), “Written Loan Commitments Recorded at Fair Value Through Earnings.” SAB 109 rescinds SAB 105’s prohibition on inclusion of expected net future cash flows related to loan servicing activities in the fair value measurement of a written loan commitment. SAB 109 also applies to any loan commitments for which fair value accounting is elected under SFAS No. 159. SAB 109 is effective prospectively for derivative loan commitments issued or modified in fiscal quarters beginning after December 15, 2007. The adoption of SAB 109 did not have a material impact on Trustmark’s balance sheets or results of operations.

New Accounting Standards

Other new pronouncements issued but not effective until after March 31, 2008, are not expected to have a significant effect on Trustmark’s balance sheets or results of operations, with the possible exception of the following:

On April 25, 2008, the FASB posted FSP 142-3, “Determination of the Useful Life of Intangible Assets”. This FSP amends the factors that should be considered in developing renewal or extension assumptions used to determine the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under FASB Statement No. 142, “Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets.” The intent of this FSP is to improve the consistency between the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under Statement 142 and the period of expected cash flows used to measure the fair value of the asset under FASB Statement No. 141R, “Business Combinations.” This FSP is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. Management is currently evaluating the impact that FSP 142-3 will have on Trustmark’s balance sheets and results of operations.

Table of Contents

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, “Disclosures About Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities – an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133” (SFAS No. 161). SFAS No. 161 expands quarterly disclosure requirements in SFAS No. 133 about an entity’s derivative instruments and hedging activities. SFAS No. 161 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008. Management is currently evaluating the impact that SFAS No. 161 will have on Trustmark’s consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141(revised), “Business Combinations.” SFAS No. 141R expands the definition of transactions and events that qualify as business combinations; requires that the acquired assets and liabilities, including contingencies, be recorded at fair value determined on the acquisition date; changes the recognition timing for restructuring costs; and requires the expensing of acquisition costs as incurred. SFAS No. 141R is required to be applied to business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008, with earlier adoption being prohibited. Management is currently evaluating the impact that the adoption of SFAS No. 141R will have on Trustmark’s balance sheets and results of operations.

Also in December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, “Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements - an Amendment of ARB No. 51.” SFAS No. 160 states that accounting and reporting for minority interests will be recharacterized as noncontrolling interests and classified as a component of equity. The statement also establishes reporting requirements that provide sufficient disclosures that clearly identify and distinguish between the interests of the parent and the interests of the noncontrolling owners. This statement is effective prospectively for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. Management is currently evaluating the impact of SFAS No. 160 on its balance sheets and results of operations.

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

The following provides a narrative discussion and analysis of Trustmark Corporation's (Trustmark) financial condition and results of operations. This discussion should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and the supplemental financial data included elsewhere in this report.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Certain statements contained in this Management's Discussion and Analysis are not statements of historical fact and constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements relating to anticipated future operating and financial performance measures, including net interest margin, credit quality, business initiatives, growth opportunities and growth rates, among other things and encompass any estimate, prediction, expectation, projection, opinion, anticipation, outlook or statement of belief included therein as well as the management assumptions underlying these forward-looking statements. Should one or more of these risks materialize, or should any such underlying assumptions prove to be significantly different, actual results may vary significantly from those anticipated, estimated, projected or expected.

These risks could cause actual results to differ materially from current expectations of Management and include, but are not limited to, changes in the level of nonperforming assets and charge-offs, local, state and national economic and market conditions, material changes in market interest rates, the costs and effects of litigation and of unexpected or adverse outcomes in such litigation, competition in loan and deposit pricing, as well as the entry of new competitors into our markets through de novo expansion and acquisitions, changes in existing regulations or the adoption of new regulations, natural disasters, acts of war or terrorism, changes in consumer spending, borrowing and saving habits, technological changes, changes in the financial performance or condition of Trustmark's borrowers, the ability to control expenses, changes in Trustmark's compensation and benefit plans, greater than expected costs or difficulties related to the integration of new products and lines of business and other risks described in Trustmark's filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Although Management believes that the expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are reasonable, it can give no assurance that such expectations will prove to be correct. Trustmark undertakes no obligation to update or revise any of this information, whether as the result of new information, future events or developments or otherwise.

OVERVIEW

Business

Trustmark is a multi-bank holding company headquartered in Jackson, Mississippi, incorporated under the Mississippi Business Corporation Act on August 5, 1968. Trustmark commenced doing business in November 1968. Through its subsidiaries, Trustmark operates as a financial services organization providing banking and financial solutions through approximately 150 offices and 2,600 associates predominantly within the states of Florida, Mississippi, Tennessee and Texas.

Trustmark National Bank (TNB), Trustmark's wholly-owned subsidiary, accounts for over 98% of the assets and revenues of Trustmark. Initially chartered by the state of Mississippi in 1889, TNB is also headquartered in Jackson, Mississippi. In addition to banking activities, TNB provides investment and insurance products and services to its customers through its wholly-owned subsidiaries, Trustmark Investment Advisors, Inc., The Bottrell Insurance Agency, Inc. (Bottrell), TRMK Risk Management, Inc., and Fisher-Brown, Incorporated (Fisher-Brown). TNB also owns all of the stock of Trustmark Securities, Inc., an inactive subsidiary.

Table of Contents

Trustmark also engages in banking activities through its wholly-owned subsidiary, Somerville Bank & Trust Company (Somerville), headquartered in Somerville, Tennessee. Somerville presently has five locations in Somerville, Hickory Withe and Rossville, Tennessee. Trustmark also owns all of the stock of F. S. Corporation and First Building Corporation, both inactive nonbank Mississippi corporations.

In order to facilitate a private placement of trust preferred securities, Trustmark formed a Delaware trust affiliate, Trustmark Preferred Capital Trust I (Trustmark Trust). Also, as a result of the acquisition of Republic Bancshares of Texas, Inc., Trustmark now owns Republic Bancshares Capital Trust I (Republic Trust), a Delaware trust affiliate. As defined in applicable accounting standards, both Trustmark Trust and Republic Trust, wholly-owned subsidiaries of Trustmark, are considered variable interest entities for which Trustmark is not the primary beneficiary. Accordingly, the accounts of both trusts are not included in Trustmark's consolidated financial statements.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Trustmark's consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and follow general practices within the financial services industry. Application of these accounting principles requires Management to make estimates, assumptions and judgments that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. These estimates, assumptions and judgments are based on information available as of the date of the consolidated financial statements; accordingly, as this information changes, actual financial results could differ from those estimates.

Certain policies inherently have a greater reliance on the use of estimates, assumptions and judgments and, as such, have a greater possibility of producing results that could be materially different than originally reported. There have been no significant changes in Trustmark's critical accounting estimates during the first three months of 2008.

FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

Trustmark's net income totaled \$26.2 million, or \$0.46 basic and diluted earnings per share, for the three months ended March 31, 2008, compared to \$25.9 million, or \$0.44 basic and diluted earnings per share, for the three months ended March 31, 2007.

Net income for the three months ended March 31, 2008, increased \$322 thousand, or 1.2% compared to the same time period in 2007. The increase was primarily the result of an increase of \$10.4 million in noninterest income, a \$2.8 million increase in net interest income and a \$174 thousand decrease in income tax expense partly offset by a \$12.6 million increase in the provision for loan losses and a \$420 thousand increase in noninterest expenses.

Table of Contents

Selected income statement data and other selected data for the comparable periods were as follows (\$ in thousands except per share data):

| | Three Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|----------------|
| | March 31, 2008 | March 31, 2007 |
| Net interest income - fully taxable equivalent | \$ 77,070 | \$ 74,495 |
| Taxable equivalent adjustment | 2,321 | 2,553 |
| Net interest income | 74,749 | 71,942 |
| Provision for loan losses | 14,243 | 1,639 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 60,506 | 70,303 |
| Noninterest income | 48,516 | 38,151 |
| Noninterest expense | 69,826 | 69,406 |
| Income before income taxes | 39,196 | 39,048 |
| Income taxes | 13,017 | 13,191 |
| Net income | \$ 26,179 | \$ 25,857 |
| Earnings per common share - basis | \$ 0.46 | \$ 0.44 |
| Earnings per common share - diluted | 0.46 | 0.44 |
| Dividends per common share | 0.23 | 0.22 |
| Return on average assets | 1.19% | 1.19% |
| Return on average tangible equity | 17.59% | 18.76% |

Details of the changes in the various components of net income are further discussed in the section captioned "Results of Operations."

Banking Center Expansion Program

During the first quarter of 2008, Trustmark continued to make investments to support additional revenue growth and profitability as well as to reallocate resources to areas with additional growth potential. To this end, Trustmark opened two new banking centers in its Houston and Memphis markets during the first quarter of 2008 and anticipates the opening of four additional banking centers serving the Biloxi, Houston, Jackson and Panama City markets during the remainder of this year.

Non-GAAP Disclosures

Management is presenting, in the accompanying table, adjustments to net income as reported in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for significant items occurring during the periods presented. Management believes this information will help users compare Trustmark's current results to those of prior periods as presented in the table below (\$ in thousand, except per share data):

Table of Contents

| | Quarter Ended | | | |
|---|---------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | 3/31/2008 | | 3/31/2007 | |
| | Amount | Basic EPS | Amount | Basic EPS |
| Net Income as reported-GAAP | \$ 26,179 | \$ 0.457 | \$ 25,857 | \$ 0.442 |
| Adjustments (net of taxes): | | | | |
| Visa Litigation Contingency | (936) | (0.016) | - | - |
| Hurricane Katrina | - | - | (665) | (0.011) |
| | (936) | (0.016) | (665) | (0.011) |
| Net Income adjusted for specific items (Non-GAAP) | \$ 25,243 | \$ 0.441 | \$ 25,192 | \$ 0.431 |

Visa Litigation Contingency

In the first quarter of 2008, Trustmark recognized a gain of \$1.5 million resulting from the Visa initial public offering. This gain more than offsets an accrual of \$800 thousand that Trustmark recorded in the fourth quarter of 2007 for the Visa litigation contingency relating to the Visa USA Inc. antitrust lawsuit settlement with American Express and other pending Visa litigation (reflecting Trustmark's share as a Visa member).

Hurricane Katrina

In the third quarter of 2005, immediately following in the aftermath of Hurricane Katrina, Trustmark estimated possible pre-tax losses resulting from this storm of \$11.7 million. Trustmark revised these estimates quarterly and any subsequent adjustments are reflected in the table above, net of taxes. At March 31, 2008, the allowance for loan losses included \$564 thousand related to possible Hurricane Katrina losses.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Net Interest Income

Net interest income is the principal component of Trustmark's income stream and represents the difference, or spread, between interest and fee income generated from earning assets and the interest expense paid on deposits and borrowed funds. Fluctuations in interest rates, as well as volume and mix changes in earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, can materially impact net interest income. The net interest margin (NIM) is computed by dividing fully taxable equivalent net interest income by average interest-earning assets and measures how effectively Trustmark utilizes its interest-earning assets in relationship to the interest cost of funding them. The accompanying Yield/Rate Analysis Table shows the average balances for all assets and liabilities of Trustmark and the interest income or expense associated with earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. The yields and rates have been computed based on interest income and expense adjusted to a fully taxable equivalent (FTE) basis using a 35% federal marginal tax rate for all periods shown. Nonaccruing loans have been included in the average loan balances, and interest collected prior to these loans having been placed on nonaccrual has been included in interest income. Loan fees included in interest associated with the average loan balances are immaterial.

Net interest income-FTE for the first three months of 2008 increased \$2.6 million, or 3.5%, when compared with the same time period in 2007. Trustmark has achieved a richer mix of earning assets when compared to the previous year with growth in higher yielding loans being funded primarily by the maturities and calls of lower yielding investment securities. In addition, interest rates continued to fall during the first quarter of 2008 which resulted in lower loan yields being effectively offset by lower deposit and borrowing costs. The combination of these factors resulted in a five basis point increase in NIM to 3.94%, when the first three months of 2008 is compared with the same time period in 2007. For additional discussion, see Market/Interest Rate Risk Management included later in Management's Discussion and Analysis.

Table of Contents

Average interest-earning assets for the first three months of 2008 were \$7.861 billion, compared with \$7.763 billion for the same time period in 2007, an increase of \$98.0 million. More importantly, the mix of average earning assets changed dramatically when comparing 2008 to 2007. Average total loans during the first three months of 2008 increased \$513.6 million, or 7.7%, relative to the same time period in 2007, while average investment securities decreased by \$364.5 million, or 35.6%, during the same time period. However, interest rates during the quarter continued to fall which contributed to a decline in the yield on loans of 60 basis points for the first quarter of 2008 when compared to the same time period in 2007. The combination of these factors resulted in a decline in interest income-FTE of \$4.9 million, or 3.7%, when the first three months of 2008 are compared with the same time period in 2007. The impact of these factors is also illustrated by the yield on total earning assets decreasing from 6.96% for the first three months of 2007, to 6.57% for the same time period of 2008, a decrease of 39 basis points.

Average interest-bearing liabilities for the first three months of 2008 totaled \$6.387 billion compared with \$6.294 billion for the same time period in 2007, an increase of \$92.6 million, or 1.5%. However, the mix of these liabilities has changed when these two periods are compared. Management's decision to control deposit costs resulted in a reduction in average interest-bearing deposits of approximately \$22.3 million while reducing the average yield by 51 basis points. Management considers this an integral decision in helping to sustain the net interest margin. In order to sustain its funding ability, Trustmark increased its use of federal funds purchased and securities sold under repurchase agreements as well as borrowings by \$115.0 million. The impact of these higher cost interest-bearing liabilities was offset somewhat by the decrease in the overall yield of 102 basis points on these products. As a result of these factors, total interest expense for the first three months of 2008 decreased \$7.5 million, or 12.7%, when compared with the same time period in 2007.

Table of Contents

Yield/Rate Analysis Table

(\$ in thousands)

| | Quarter Ended March 31, | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|
| | 2008 | | | 2007 | | |
| | Average Balance | Interest | Yield/ Rate | Average Balance | Interest | Yield/ Rate |
| Assets | | | | | | |
| Interest-earning assets: | | | | | | |
| Federal funds sold and securities purchased | | | | | | |
| under reverse repurchase agreements | \$ 22,921 | \$ 179 | 3.14% | \$ 74,076 | \$ 976 | 5.34% |
| Securities - taxable | 542,683 | 5,857 | 4.34% | 880,171 | 9,080 | 4.18% |
| Securities - nontaxable | 117,800 | 2,086 | 7.12% | 144,803 | 2,633 | 7.37% |
| Loans (including loans held for sale) | 7,177,233 | 120,213 | 6.74% | 6,663,620 | 120,557 | 7.34% |
| Total interest-earning assets | 7,860,637 | 128,335 | 6.57% | 7,762,670 | 133,246 | 6.96% |
| Cash and due from banks | 259,392 | | | 345,974 | | |
| Other assets | 812,680 | | | 778,595 | | |
| Allowance for loan losses | (80,998) | | | (72,452) | | |
| Total Assets | \$ 8,851,711 | | | \$ 8,814,787 | | |
| Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity | | | | | | |
| Interest-bearing liabilities: | | | | | | |
| Interest-bearing deposits | \$ 5,597,220 | 43,363 | 3.12% | \$ 5,619,546 | 50,355 | 3.63% |
| Federal funds purchased and securities sold | | | | | | |
| under repurchase agreements | 417,338 | 3,073 | 2.96% | 351,797 | 3,813 | 4.40% |
| Borrowings | 372,050 | 4,829 | 5.22% | 322,622 | 4,583 | 5.76% |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 6,386,608 | 51,265 | 3.23% | 6,293,965 | 58,751 | 3.79% |
| Noninterest-bearing demand deposits | 1,390,843 | | | 1,495,447 | | |
| Other liabilities | 141,741 | | | 127,264 | | |
| Shareholders' equity | 932,519 | | | 898,111 | | |
| Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity | \$ 8,851,711 | | | \$ 8,814,787 | | |
| Net Interest Margin | | 77,070 | 3.94% | | 74,495 | 3.89% |
| Less tax equivalent adjustment | | 2,321 | | | 2,553 | |
| Net Interest Margin per Consolidated Statements of Income | | \$ 74,749 | | | \$ 71,942 | |

Provision for Loan Losses

The provision for loan losses is determined by Management as the amount necessary to adjust the allowance for loan losses to a level, which, in Management's best estimate, is necessary to absorb probable losses within the existing loan portfolio. The provision for loan losses reflects loan quality trends, including the levels of and trends related to nonaccrual loans, past due loans, potential problem loans, criticized loans, net charge-offs or recoveries and growth in the loan portfolio among other factors. Accordingly, the amount of the provision reflects both the necessary increases in the allowance for loan losses related to newly identified criticized loans, as well as the actions taken related to other loans including, among other things, any necessary increases or decreases in required allowances for specific loans or loan pools.

| PROVISION FOR LOAN LOSSES BY REGION (\$ in thousands) | Total | Florida | Mississippi (Central and Southern Regions) | Tennessee (Memphis, TN and Northern MS Regions) | Texas |
|---|-----------|----------|---|--|----------|
| Provision for loan losses for the quarter ended: | | | | | |
| March 31, 2008 | \$ 14,243 | \$ 9,557 | \$ 2,807 | \$ 779 | \$ 1,100 |
| March 31, 2007 | 1,639 | 94 | 1,202 | (4) | 347 |

Table of Contents

The provision for loan losses for the first three months of 2008 totaled \$14.2 million, or 0.80% of average loans, compared with \$1.6 million during the same time period in 2007. Trustmark's provision for the first quarter of 2008 was impacted by an increase of \$40.9 million in nonperforming loans when compared with the first quarter of 2007, which is largely attributable to residential real estate loans in Trustmark's Florida Panhandle market. The Florida Panhandle has experienced a significant decrease in real estate demand, resulting in nonaccrual loans for that market increasing to \$49.7 million at March 31, 2008, or 7.2% of its Florida loan portfolio. In addition, net charge-offs in the Florida market during the first quarter of 2008 totaled \$9.7 million. Trustmark's Management is actively engaged in the resolution of credit issues in the Florida Panhandle. While Trustmark expects these actions will assist in the mitigation of the impact of the current credit cycle, weakness in the Florida residential real estate portfolio may continue. The loan portfolios in other geographic markets are not experiencing any significant credit issues at this time as seen by the changes in provision for loan losses included in the accompanying table.

See the section captioned "Loans and Allowance for Loan Losses" elsewhere in this discussion for further analysis of the provision for loan losses.

Noninterest Income

Trustmark's noninterest income continues to play an important role in improving net income and total shareholder value. Total noninterest income before security gains, net for the first quarter of 2008 increased \$10.0 million, or 26.2%, compared to the same time period in 2007. Please see the discussion below for the selected items which supported this increase. The comparative components of noninterest income for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007 are shown in the accompanying table.

The single largest component of noninterest income continues to be service charges for deposit products and services, which totaled \$12.6 million for the first three months of 2008 compared with \$12.7 million for the first three months of 2007, a decrease of \$0.1 million, or 1.0%. During the first quarter of 2008, revenues from service charges continued to be negatively impacted by the increased usage of accounts that do not charge a monthly fee and increased usage of electronic transactions.

Insurance commissions were \$8.3 million during the first quarter of 2008, a decrease of \$0.5 million, or 5.9%, when compared with \$8.8 million in the first quarter of 2007. The decline during 2008 is attributable to a decrease in rates along the Gulf Coast and the Florida Panhandle.

Wealth management income totaled \$7.2 million for the first three months of 2008, compared with \$5.9 million during the same time period in 2007, an increase of \$1.3 million, or 22.4%. Wealth management consists of income related to investment management, trust and brokerage services. The growth in wealth management income during the first quarter of 2008 is largely attributed to an increase in trust and investment management fee income resulting from new account growth. In addition, revenues from brokerage services have increased due to solid production and the addition of new investment representatives. At March 31, 2008 and 2007, Trustmark held assets under management and administration of \$7.4 billion and \$6.9 billion, respectively and brokerage assets of \$1.2 billion at both period ends.

General banking-other totaled \$5.8 million during the first three months of 2008, compared with \$6.2 million in the same time period in 2007. General banking-other income consists primarily of fees on various bank products and services as well as bankcard fees and safe deposit box fees. This decrease is primarily related to a decline in fees earned on an interest rate driven product.

Table of Contents

Noninterest Income

(\$ in thousands)

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | | | |
|--|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | \$ Change | % Change |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | \$ 12,564 | \$ 12,693 | \$ (129) | -1.0% |
| Insurance commissions | 8,256 | 8,772 | (516) | -5.9% |
| Wealth management | 7,198 | 5,879 | 1,319 | 22.4% |
| General banking - other | 5,788 | 6,170 | (382) | -6.2% |
| Mortgage banking, net | 11,056 | 2,755 | 8,301 | n/m |
| Other, net | 3,221 | 1,824 | 1,397 | 76.6% |
| Total Noninterest Income before sec gains, net | 48,083 | 38,093 | 9,990 | 26.2% |
| Securities gains, net | 433 | 58 | 375 | n/m |
| Total Noninterest Income | \$ 48,516 | \$ 38,151 | \$ 10,365 | 27.2% |

n/m - percentages greater than +/- 100% are considered not meaningful

Net revenues from mortgage banking were \$11.1 million during the first three months of 2008, compared with \$2.8 million in the first three months of 2007. As shown in the accompanying table, net mortgage servicing income has increased \$269 thousand, or 7.7% when the first quarter of 2008 is compared with the same time period in 2007. This increase coincides with growth in the balance of the mortgage servicing portfolio as well as an increase in mortgage production. Loans serviced for others totaled \$4.6 billion at March 31, 2008 compared with \$4.2 billion at March 31, 2007. Trustmark's highly regarded mortgage banking reputation has enabled it to take advantage of competitive disruptions and expand market share.

The following table illustrates the components of mortgage banking revenues included in noninterest income in the accompanying income statements (\$ in thousands):

Mortgage Banking Income

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | | | |
|--|------------------------------|----------|-----------|----------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | \$ Change | % Change |
| Mortgage servicing income, net | \$ 3,747 | \$ 3,478 | \$ 269 | 7.7% |
| Change in fair value-MSR from market changes | (10,193) | (447) | (9,746) | n/m |
| Change in fair value of derivatives | 17,599 | 715 | 16,884 | n/m |
| Change in fair value-MSR from runoff | (2,430) | (2,104) | (326) | 15.5% |
| Gains on sales of loans | 1,078 | 1,345 | (267) | -19.9% |
| Other, net | 1,255 | (232) | 1,487 | n/m |
| Mortgage banking, net | \$ 11,056 | \$ 2,755 | \$ 8,301 | n/m |

n/m - percentages greater than +/- 100% are considered not meaningful

Table of Contents

Trustmark utilizes derivative instruments such as Treasury note futures contracts and exchange-traded option contracts to offset changes in the fair value of mortgage servicing rights (MSR) attributable to changes in interest rates. Changes in the fair value of these derivative instruments are recorded in mortgage banking income and are offset by the changes in the fair value of MSR, as shown in the accompanying table. MSR fair values represent the effect of present value decay and the effect of changes in interest rates. During the first quarter of 2008, the MSR value declined \$10.2 million primarily due to a 25 basis point drop in mortgage rates. The hedge improved in value by \$17.6 million due to three factors: a 60 basis point decline in Treasury market yields; improved value of options and futures due to higher levels of volatility; and additional carry value due to a steeper yield curve. The impact of implementing this strategy resulted in a net positive ineffectiveness of \$7.4 million. Changes in the fair value of MSR from present value decay, also referred to as “runoff,” reduced total mortgage banking income by \$2.4 million and \$2.1 million for the first three months of 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Other income for the first quarter of 2008 was \$3.2 million, compared to \$1.8 million in the first quarter of 2007. This increase is primarily related to a \$1.0 million gain from the redemption of Trustmark’s shares in Visa upon their initial public offering along with \$1.1 million in insurance benefits resulting from insurance policies used to cover participants in Trustmark’s supplemental retirement plan offset by a decrease of \$571 thousand in income earned from Trustmark’s investment in various limited partnerships.

Securities gains totaled \$433 thousand during the first three months of 2008 compared with securities gains of \$58 thousand during the same time period in 2007. The securities gains for 2008 came primarily from an effort to reduce Trustmark’s holding of corporate bonds. This portfolio had been one of Trustmark’s lowest yielding portfolios as well as one that contained a higher level of risk.

Noninterest Expense

Trustmark’s noninterest expense for the first quarter of 2008 increased \$420 thousand, or 0.6%, compared to the same time period in 2007. The comparative components of noninterest expense for the first three months of 2008 and 2007 are shown in the accompanying table.

Management considers expense control a key area of focus in the support of improving shareholder value. In the first quarter of 2008, noninterest expense totaled \$69.8 million, marking the fourth consecutive quarter below Management’s target of \$70.0 million. Trustmark’s success in this regard was the result of ongoing technology enhancements, vendor and contract management programs and human capital management. Management remains committed to identifying additional reengineering and efficiency opportunities designed to enhance shareholder value.

Noninterest Expense

(\$ in thousands)

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | | | |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | \$ Change | % Change |
| Salaries and employee benefits | \$ 43,584 | \$ 43,166 | \$ 418 | 1.0% |
| Services and fees | 9,430 | 9,558 | (128) | -1.3% |
| Net occupancy - premises | 4,801 | 4,414 | 387 | 8.8% |
| Equipment expense | 4,074 | 3,904 | 170 | 4.4% |
| Other expense | 7,937 | 8,364 | (427) | -5.1% |
| Total Noninterest Expense | \$ 69,826 | \$ 69,406 | \$ 420 | 0.6% |

Table of Contents

Salaries and employee benefits, the largest category of noninterest expense, were \$43.6 million in the first three months of 2008 and \$43.2 million in the same time period in 2007. This minor increase of 1.0% can be attributed to normal merit increases, offset by a decrease in the number of FTE employees from 2,729 at March 31, 2007 to 2,627 at March 31, 2008.

Changes in net occupancy and equipment expenses have resulted from Trustmark's continued banking center expansion program as well as the initial implementation of technology enhancements. Overall, these two categories increased by approximately \$557 thousand when comparing the first quarter of 2008 with the same time period in 2007.

Other expenses decreased \$427 thousand, or 5.1%, when comparing the first quarter of 2008 to the same time period in 2007. In March 2008, in connection with its initial public offering (IPO), Visa used a portion of the IPO proceeds to fund an escrow account with respect to its previously mentioned litigation matters. This has enabled Trustmark to recognize its portion of the escrow account totaling \$473 thousand as a reduction to other expenses.

Income Taxes

For the three months ended March 31, 2008, Trustmark's combined effective tax rate was 33.2% compared to 33.8% for the same time period in 2007. The slight decrease in Trustmark's effective tax rate is due to immaterial changes in permanent items as a percentage of pretax income.

LIQUIDITY

Liquidity is the ability to meet asset funding requirements and operational cash outflows in a timely manner, in sufficient amount and without excess cost. Consistent cash flows from operations and adequate capital provide internally generated liquidity. Furthermore, Management maintains funding capacity from a variety of external sources to meet daily funding needs, such as those required to meet deposit withdrawals, loan disbursements and security settlements. Liquidity strategy also includes the use of wholesale funding sources to provide for the seasonal fluctuations of deposit and loan demand and the cyclical fluctuations of the economy that impact the availability of funds. Management keeps excess funding capacity available to meet potential demands associated with adverse circumstances.

The asset side of the balance sheet provides liquidity primarily through maturities and cash flows from loans and securities, as well as the ability to sell certain loans and securities while the liability portion of the balance sheet provides liquidity primarily through noninterest and interest-bearing deposits. Trustmark utilizes Federal funds purchased, brokered deposits, FHLB advances and securities sold under agreements to repurchase to provide additional liquidity. Access to these additional sources represents Trustmark's incremental borrowing capacity.

At March 31, 2008, Trustmark had \$218.3 million of Federal funds purchased from upstream correspondents, compared to \$279.5 million at December 31, 2007. At March 31, 2008, Trustmark had an estimated additional capacity of \$1.602 billion, compared with \$1.337 billion at December 31, 2007. Based on internal guidelines, Trustmark had the capacity to increase brokered deposits by \$334.4 million, compared to \$328.8 million at year end. Trustmark also maintains a relationship with the FHLB, which provided no short-term advances at March 31, 2008, compared with \$375.0 million in short-term advances at December 31, 2007. Under the existing borrowing agreement, Trustmark had sufficient qualifying collateral to increase FHLB advances by \$1.222 billion.

During the first quarter of 2008, Trustmark requested a second amendment to its \$50.0 million revolving line of credit. This amendment, which was mutually agreed upon in March 2008, revised certain debt covenants as well as extended the commitment termination date to March 31, 2010. At March 31, 2008, Trustmark was in compliance with all financial covenants and had \$7.0 million in draws on this line of credit.

Table of Contents

During 2006, TNB issued \$50.0 million aggregate principal amount of Subordinated Notes (the Notes) due December 15, 2016. At March 31, 2008, the carrying amount of the Notes was \$49.7 million. The Notes were sold pursuant to the terms of regulations issued by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC) and in reliance upon an exemption provided by the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. The Notes are unsecured and subordinate and junior in right of payment to TNB's obligations to its depositors, its obligations under bankers' acceptances and letters of credit, its obligations to any Federal Reserve Bank or the FDIC and its obligations to its other creditors, and to any rights acquired by the FDIC as a result of loans made by the FDIC to TNB. The Notes, which are not redeemable prior to maturity, qualify as Tier 2 capital for both TNB and Trustmark. Proceeds from the sale of the Notes were used for general corporate purposes.

Also during 2006, Trustmark completed a private placement of \$60.0 million of trust preferred securities through a newly formed Delaware trust affiliate, Trustmark Preferred Capital Trust I, (the Trust). The trust preferred securities mature September 30, 2036 and are redeemable at Trustmark's option beginning after five years. Under applicable regulatory guidelines, these trust preferred securities qualify as Tier 1 capital. The proceeds from the sale of the trust preferred securities were used by the Trust to purchase \$61.856 million in aggregate principal amount of Trustmark's junior subordinated debentures. The net proceeds to Trustmark from the sale of the junior subordinated debentures to the Trust were used to assist in financing Trustmark's merger with Republic.

Another funding mechanism set into place in 2006 was Trustmark's grant of a Class B banking license from the Cayman Islands Monetary Authority. Subsequently, Trustmark established a branch in the Cayman Islands through an agent bank. The branch was established as a mechanism to attract dollar denominated foreign deposits (i.e. Eurodollars) as an additional source of funding. At March 31, 2008, Trustmark had no Eurodollar deposits outstanding.

Trustmark also has available its "shelf" registration statement filed on Form S-3 with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) as another possible source of liquidity. Under this shelf process, Trustmark may offer from time to time any combination of securities described in the prospectus in one or more offerings up to a total amount of \$200.0 million. The securities described in the prospectus include common and preferred stock, depositary shares, debt securities, junior subordinated debt securities and trust preferred securities. Net proceeds from the sales of the offered securities may be used to redeem or repurchase outstanding securities, repay outstanding debt, finance acquisitions of companies and other assets and provide working capital.

The Board of Directors currently has the authority to issue up to 20 million preferred shares with no par value. The ability to issue preferred shares in the future will provide Trustmark with additional financial and management flexibility for general corporate and acquisition purposes. At March 31, 2008, no such shares have been issued.

Liquidity position and strategy are reviewed regularly by the Asset/Liability Committee and continuously adjusted in relationship to Trustmark's overall strategy. Management believes that Trustmark has sufficient liquidity and capital resources to meet presently known cash flow requirements arising from ongoing business transactions.

CAPITAL RESOURCES

At March 31, 2008, Trustmark's shareholders' equity was \$937.3 million, an increase of \$17.7 million, or 1.9%, from its level at December 31, 2007. During the first three months of 2008, shareholders' equity increased primarily as a result of net income of \$26.2 million and a \$3.5 million decrease in accumulated other comprehensive loss, which was partially offset by dividends paid of \$13.3 million. Trustmark utilizes a sophisticated capital model in order to provide Management with a monthly tool for analyzing changes in its strategic capital ratios. This allows Management to hold sufficient capital to provide for growth opportunities, protect the balance sheet against sudden adverse market conditions while maintaining an attractive return on equity to shareholders.

Table of Contents

Common Stock Repurchase Program

At March 31, 2008, Trustmark had remaining authorization for the repurchase of up to 1.4 million shares of its common stock. Collectively, the capital management plans adopted by Trustmark since 1998 have authorized the repurchase of 24.3 million shares of common stock. Pursuant to these plans, Trustmark has repurchased approximately 22.7 million shares for \$518.1 million. Trustmark did not repurchase any shares during the first three months of 2008.

Dividends

Dividends for the three months ended March 31, 2008, were \$0.23 per share, increasing 4.5% when compared with dividends of \$0.22 per share for the same time period in 2007. Trustmark's indicated dividend for 2008 is currently \$0.92 per share, up from \$0.88 per share for 2007.

Regulatory Capital

Trustmark and TNB are subject to minimum capital requirements, which are administered by various federal regulatory agencies. These capital requirements, as defined by federal guidelines, involve quantitative and qualitative measures of assets, liabilities and certain off-balance sheet instruments. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory, and possibly additional discretionary, actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the financial statements of both Trustmark and TNB. Trustmark aims to exceed the well-capitalized guidelines for regulatory capital. Management believes, as of March 31, 2008, that Trustmark and TNB have exceeded all of the minimum capital standards for the parent company and its primary banking subsidiary as established by regulatory requirements. At March 31, 2008, Management also believes that TNB has met applicable regulatory guidelines to be considered well-capitalized. To be categorized in this manner, TNB must maintain minimum total risk-based, Tier 1 risk-based and Tier 1 leverage ratios as set forth in the accompanying table. There are no significant conditions or events that have occurred since March 31, 2008, which Management believes have affected TNB's present classification.

In addition, during 2006, Trustmark enhanced its capital structure with the issuance of trust preferred securities and Subordinated Notes. For regulatory capital purposes, the trust preferred securities qualify as Tier 1 capital while the Subordinated Notes qualify as Tier 2 capital. The addition of these capital instruments provided Trustmark a cost effective manner in which to manage shareholders' equity and enhance financial flexibility.

Regulatory Capital Table

(\$ in thousands)

| | Actual Regulatory Capital | | March 31, 2008 Minimum Regulatory Capital Required | | Minimum Regulatory Provision to be Well-Capitalized | |
|---|---------------------------|--------|---|-------|---|--------|
| | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio |
| Total Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets) | | | | | | |
| Trustmark Corporation | \$ 823,700 | 11.21% | \$ 588,074 | 8.00% | n/a | n/a |
| Trustmark National Bank | 800,921 | 11.04% | 580,286 | 8.00% | \$ 725,357 | 10.00% |
| Tier 1 Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets) | | | | | | |
| Trustmark Corporation | \$ 692,165 | 9.42% | \$ 294,037 | 4.00% | n/a | n/a |
| Trustmark National Bank | 674,162 | 9.29% | 290,143 | 4.00% | \$ 435,214 | 6.00% |
| Tier 1 Capital (to Average Assets) | | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: SMF ENERGY CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|------------|-------|------------|-------|------------|-------|
| Trustmark Corporation | \$ 692,165 | 8.12% | \$ 255,827 | 3.00% | n/a | n/a |
| Trustmark National | | | | | | |
| Bank | 674,162 | 8.05% | 251,160 | 3.00% | \$ 418,600 | 5.00% |

- 30 -

Table of Contents

EARNING ASSETS

Earning assets serve as the primary revenue streams for Trustmark and are comprised of securities, loans, federal funds sold and securities purchased under resale agreements. At March 31, 2008, earning assets were \$8.079 billion, or 88.8% of total assets, compared with \$7.924 billion, or 88.4% of total assets at December 31, 2007, an increase of \$155.6 million, or 2.0%.

Securities

Over the past few years, Trustmark has been reducing its level of investment portfolio securities in favor of higher-yielding loans. While the approach has proved beneficial for Trustmark's net interest margin, providing collateral for public depositors and customer repurchase agreements became a challenge. In response, Trustmark began purchasing securities during the first quarter of 2008. When compared with December 31, 2007, total investment securities increased by \$135.6 million during the first quarter of 2008. This increase resulted primarily from \$256.1 million in purchases of Agency issued or guaranteed collateralized mortgage obligation securities offset by maturities and paydowns. In addition, during the first quarter of 2008, Trustmark sold approximately \$42.5 million of corporate bonds, which carried a high risk-rating for risk-based capital purposes, generating a gain of approximately \$401 thousand.

Management uses the securities portfolio as a tool to control exposure to interest rate risk. Interest rate risk can be adjusted by altering both the duration of the portfolio and the balance of the portfolio. Trustmark has maintained a strategy of offsetting potential exposure to higher interest rates by keeping both the duration and the balances of investment securities at relatively low levels. The estimated duration of the portfolio was 2.23 years at March 31, 2008 and 1.77 years at December 31, 2007.

AFS securities are carried at their estimated fair value with unrealized gains or losses recognized, net of taxes, in accumulated other comprehensive loss, a separate component of shareholders' equity. At March 31, 2008, AFS securities totaled \$585.7 million, which represented 68.7% of the securities portfolio, compared to \$442.3 million, or 61.7%, at December 31, 2007. At March 31, 2008, net unrealized gains on AFS securities of \$4.5 million, net of \$1.7 million of deferred income taxes, were included in accumulated other comprehensive loss, compared with net unrealized losses of \$1.2 million, net of \$0.4 million in deferred income taxes, at December 31, 2007. At March 31, 2008, AFS securities consisted of U.S. Treasury securities, obligations of states and political subdivisions, mortgage related securities, corporate securities and other securities.

Held to maturity (HTM) securities are carried at amortized cost and represent those securities that Trustmark both intends and has the ability to hold to maturity. At March 31, 2008, HTM securities totaled \$267.3 million and represented 31.3% of the total portfolio, compared with \$275.1 million, or 38.3%, at the end of 2007.

Management continues to focus on asset quality as one of the strategic goals of the securities portfolio, which is evidenced by the investment of approximately 87% of the portfolio in U.S. Treasury, U.S. Government agencies obligations and other AAA rated securities. None of the securities in the portfolio are considered to be sub-prime.

Loans and Allowance for Loan Losses

Loans represented 86.8% of earning assets at March 31, 2008, compared with 88.9% at December 31, 2007. Average loans (including loans held for sale) were \$7.177 billion for the first three months of 2008, an increase of \$513.6 million, or 7.7%, when compared with the same time period in 2007. Commercial and consumer loan growth was well diversified during the first quarter of 2008 and the disciplined reduction in Trustmark's home mortgage loan and auto loan portfolio continued. From a geographic perspective, loan growth was most pronounced in Trustmark's Houston, Jackson, and South Mississippi markets. Trustmark's successful expansion into higher growth markets is reflected in its loan portfolio. At the end of the first quarter, Trustmark's Texas, Florida and Tennessee loan portfolios

represented 12%, 10%, and 9% of total loans, respectively. Trustmark's loan composition by region is as follows (\$ in thousands):

- 31 -

Table of Contents

| LOAN COMPOSITION BY REGION | Total | Florida | March 31, 2008 | | |
|---|--------------|------------|--|--|------------|
| | | | Mississippi (Central and Southern Regions) | Tennessee (Memphis, TN and Northern MS Regions) | Texas |
| Loans secured by real estate: | | | | | |
| Construction, land development and other land loans | \$ 1,212,052 | \$ 371,187 | \$ 472,312 | \$ 118,346 | \$ 250,207 |
| Secured by 1-4 family residential properties | 1,660,148 | 95,664 | 1,348,258 | 177,571 | 38,655 |
| Secured by nonfarm, nonresidential properties | 1,315,449 | 179,658 | 714,570 | 196,103 | 225,118 |
| Other real estate secured | 160,373 | 12,388 | 120,034 | 9,204 | 18,747 |
| Commercial and industrial loans | 1,286,578 | 22,850 | 887,765 | 72,802 | 303,161 |
| Consumer loans | 1,056,346 | 2,604 | 1,004,490 | 34,123 | 15,129 |
| Other loans | 321,088 | 8,201 | 291,544 | 16,837 | 4,506 |
| Loans | \$ 7,012,034 | \$ 692,552 | \$ 4,838,973 | \$ 624,986 | \$ 855,523 |

Trustmark makes loans in the normal course of business to certain directors, their immediate families and companies in which they are principal owners. Such loans are made on substantially the same terms, including interest rates and collateral, as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with unrelated persons and do not involve more than the normal risk of collectibility at the time of the transaction.

The allowance for loan losses is established through provisions for estimated loan losses charged against earnings. The allowance reflects Management's best estimate of the probable loan losses related to specifically identified loans, as well as, probable incurred loan losses in the remaining loan portfolio and requires considerable judgement. The allowance is based upon Management's current judgments and the credit quality of the loan portfolio, including all internal and external factors that impact loan collectibility. SFAS No. 5, "Accounting for Contingencies," and SFAS No. 114, "Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan," limit the amount of the loss allowance to the estimate of losses that have been incurred at the balance sheet reporting date. Accordingly, the allowance is based upon past events and current economic conditions.

Trustmark's allowance has been developed using different factors to estimate losses based upon specific evaluation of identified individual loans considered impaired, estimated identified losses on various pools of loans and/or groups of risk rated loans with common risk characteristics and other external and internal factors of estimated probable losses based on other facts and circumstances.

Trustmark's allowance for probable loan loss methodology is based on guidance provided in SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 102, "Selected Loan Loss Allowance Methodology and Documentation Issues," as well as on other regulatory guidance. The level of Trustmark's allowance reflects Management's continuing evaluation of industry concentrations, specific credit risks, loan loss experience, current loan portfolio growth, present economic, political and regulatory conditions and unidentified losses inherent in the current loan portfolio. This evaluation takes into account other qualitative factors including recent acquisitions, national, regional and local economic trends and conditions, changes in credit concentration, changes in levels and trends of delinquencies and nonperforming loans, changes in levels and trends of net charge-offs, changes in interest rates and collateral, financial and underwriting exceptions. The allowance for loan losses consists of three elements: (i) specific valuation allowances determined in accordance with SFAS No. 114 based on probable losses on specific loans; (ii) historical valuation allowances determined in accordance with SFAS No. 5 based on historical loan loss experience for similar loans with similar

characteristics and trends; and (iii) qualitative risk valuation allowances determined in accordance with SFAS No. 5 based on general economic conditions and other qualitative risk factors, both internal and external, to Trustmark.

- 32 -

Table of Contents

At March 31, 2008, the allowance for loan losses was \$81.8 million, resulting in an allowance coverage of nonperforming loans of 104.9%. Trustmark's allocation of its allowance for loan losses represents 1.52% of commercial loans and 0.60% of consumer and home mortgage loans, resulting in an allowance to total loans of 1.17% at March 31, 2008. Based upon current economic conditions, Management believes that the allowance for loan losses adequately provides for probable losses in the loan portfolio.

Nonperforming assets totaled \$87.6 million at March 31, 2008, up \$14.1 million relative to December 31, 2007, to represent 1.21% of total loans and other real estate. This change was largely attributable to residential real estate loans in Trustmark's Florida Panhandle market.

The details of Trustmark's nonperforming assets are shown in the accompanying table (\$ in thousands):

| NONPERFORMING ASSETS | March 31, 2008 | December 31, 2007 |
|---|----------------|-------------------|
| Nonaccrual loans | | |
| Florida | \$ 49,654 | \$ 43,787 |
| Mississippi (1) | 14,583 | 13,723 |
| Tennessee (2) | 6,550 | 4,431 |
| Texas | 7,207 | 3,232 |
| Total nonaccrual loans | 77,994 | 65,173 |
| Other real estate | | |
| Florida | 1,067 | 995 |
| Mississippi (1) | 1,741 | 1,123 |
| Tennessee (2) | 6,634 | 6,084 |
| Texas | 146 | 146 |
| Total other real estate | 9,588 | 8,348 |
| Total nonperforming assets | 87,582 | 73,521 |
| Loans past due over 90 days | | |
| Included in loan portfolio | 4,986 | 4,853 |
| Serviced GNMA loans eligible for repurchase | 15,868 | 11,847 |
| Total loans past due over 90 days | 20,854 | 16,700 |
| Total nonperforming assets plus past due over 90 days | \$ 108,436 | \$ 90,221 |

(1) - Mississippi includes Central and Southern Mississippi Regions

(2) - Tennessee includes Memphis, Tennessee and Northern Mississippi Regions

Net charge-offs for the first quarter of 2008 totaled \$12.3 million, or 0.69% of average loans, compared to \$1.7 million, or 0.10%, in the same time period in 2007. This was primarily the result of a continued lack of residential real estate sales activity in Trustmark's Florida Panhandle market. Management continues to monitor the impact of declining real estate values on borrowers and is proactively managing these situations. Trustmark's total construction and land development exposure in the Florida Panhandle was \$371.2 million at March 31, 2008.

| NET CHARGE-OFFS BY REGION | Total | Florida | Mississippi (Central and Southern Regions) | Tennessee (Memphis, TN and Northern MS Regions) | Texas |
|---------------------------|-------|---------|--|--|-------|
| (\$ in thousands) | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: SMF ENERGY CORP - Form 10-Q

Net charge-offs for the quarter ended:

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|----|--------|----|-------|----|-------|----|-----|----|-----|
| March 31, 2008 | \$ | 12,276 | \$ | 9,688 | \$ | 1,574 | \$ | 186 | \$ | 828 |
| March 31, 2007 | | 1,688 | | 99 | | 1,235 | | 1 | | 353 |

- 33 -

Table of Contents

Trustmark's loan policy dictates the guidelines to be followed in determining when a loan is charged-off. Commercial purpose loans are charged-off when a determination is made that the loan is uncollectible and continuance as a bankable asset is not warranted. Consumer loans secured by residential real estate are generally charged-off or written down when the credit becomes severely delinquent, and the balance exceeds the fair value of the property less costs to sell. Non-real estate consumer purpose loans, including both secured and unsecured, are generally charged-off in full no later than when the loan becomes 120 days past due. Credit card loans are generally charged-off in full when the loan becomes 180 days past due.

Other Earning Assets

Federal funds sold and securities purchased under reverse repurchase agreements were \$16.0 million at March 31, 2008, a decrease of \$2.0 million when compared with December 31, 2007. Trustmark utilizes these products as a short-term investment alternative whenever it has excess liquidity.

DEPOSITS AND OTHER INTEREST-BEARING LIABILITIES

Trustmark's deposit base is its primary source of funding and consists of core deposits from the communities served by Trustmark. Total deposits were \$7.344 billion at March 31, 2008, compared with \$6.869 billion at December 31, 2007, an increase of \$475.1 million, or 6.9%. This increase is comprised of a decline in noninterest-bearing deposits of \$1.2 million which is more than offset by an increase in interest-bearing deposits of \$476.3 million. The increase in interest-bearing deposits comes primarily from growth in interest-bearing demand and savings accounts which have been impacted by seasonal deposits from the public sector. Management will continue to emphasize the growth of core deposit products as the primary component of its funding sources.

Trustmark's commitment to increasing its presence in higher-growth markets is illustrated by its strategic initiative to build additional banking centers within its four-state banking franchise. This commitment will also benefit Trustmark's continued focus on increasing core deposit relationships. During the first three months of 2008, Trustmark opened two new banking centers in Houston and Memphis, and anticipates opening four additional offices serving the Biloxi, Houston, Jackson and Panama City markets during the remainder of 2008.

Trustmark uses short-term borrowings to fund growth of earning assets in excess of deposit growth. Short-term borrowings consist of federal funds purchased, securities sold under repurchase agreements, short-term FHLB advances and the treasury tax and loan note option account. Short-term borrowings totaled \$526.9 million at March 31, 2008, a decrease of \$408.2 million, compared with \$935.1 million at December 31, 2007. This decrease is largely attributed to a \$375.0 million decline in outstanding FHLB advances during the first quarter of 2008. Trustmark also had \$70.1 million in junior subordinated debentures and \$49.7 million in subordinated notes outstanding at March 31, 2008.

LEGAL ENVIRONMENT

Trustmark and its subsidiaries are parties to lawsuits and other claims that arise in the ordinary course of business. Some of the lawsuits assert claims related to lending, collection, servicing, investment, trust and other business activities, and some of the lawsuits allege substantial claims for damages. The cases are being vigorously contested. In the regular course of business, Management evaluates estimated losses or costs related to litigation, and provision is made for anticipated losses whenever Management believes that such losses are probable and can be reasonably estimated. In recent years, the legal environment in Mississippi has been considered by many to be adverse to business interests, with regards to the overall treatment of tort and contract litigation as well as the award of punitive damages. However, tort reform legislation that became effective during recent years may reduce the likelihood of unexpected, sizable awards. At the present time, Management believes, based on the advice of legal counsel and Management's evaluation, that the final resolution of pending legal proceedings will not have a material

impact on Trustmark's consolidated financial position or results of operations; however, Management is unable to estimate a range of potential loss on these matters because of the nature of the legal environment in states where Trustmark conducts business.

- 34 -

Table of Contents

OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

Trustmark makes commitments to extend credit and issues standby and commercial letters of credit in the normal course of business in order to fulfill the financing needs of its customers. These loan commitments and letters of credit are off-balance sheet arrangements.

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend money to customers pursuant to certain specified conditions. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses. Since many of these commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. Trustmark applies the same credit policies and standards as it does in the lending process when making these commitments. The collateral obtained is based upon the assessed creditworthiness of the borrower. At March 31, 2008 and 2007, Trustmark had commitments to extend credit of \$1.8 billion and \$1.9 billion, respectively.

Standby and commercial letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by Trustmark to ensure the performance of a customer to a first party. When issuing letters of credit, Trustmark uses essentially the same policies regarding credit risk and collateral which are followed in the lending process. At March 31, 2008 and 2007, Trustmark's maximum exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other party for letters of credit was \$162.3 million and \$165.1 million, respectively. These amounts consist primarily of commitments with maturities of less than three years. Trustmark holds collateral to support certain letters of credit when deemed necessary.

Asset/Liability Management

Overview

Market risk reflects the potential risk of loss arising from adverse changes in interest rates and market prices. Trustmark has risk management policies to monitor and limit exposure to market risk. Trustmark's primary market risk is interest rate risk created by core banking activities. Interest rate risk is the potential variability of the income generated by Trustmark's financial products or services, or the value of same, which results from changes in various market interest rates. Market rate changes may take the form of absolute shifts, variances in the relationships between different rates and changes in the shape or slope of the interest rate term structure.

Management continually develops and applies cost-effective strategies to manage these risks. The Asset/Liability Committee sets the day-to-day operating guidelines, approves strategies affecting net interest income and coordinates activities within policy limits established by the Board of Directors. A key objective of the asset/liability management program is to quantify, monitor and manage interest rate risk and to assist Management in maintaining stability in the net interest margin under varying interest rate environments.

Market/Interest Rate Risk Management

The primary purpose in managing interest rate risk is to invest capital effectively and preserve the value created by the core banking business. This is accomplished through the development and implementation of lending, funding, pricing and hedging strategies designed to maximize net interest income performance under varying interest rate environments subject to specific liquidity and interest rate risk guidelines.

Financial simulation models are the primary tools used by Trustmark's Asset/Liability Committee to measure interest rate exposure. Using a wide range of sophisticated simulation techniques provides Management with extensive information on the potential impact to net interest income caused by changes in interest rates. Models are structured to simulate cash flows and accrual characteristics of Trustmark's balance sheet. Assumptions are made about the direction and volatility of interest rates, the slope of the yield curve and the changing composition of Trustmark's balance sheet, resulting from both strategic plans and customer behavior. In addition, the model incorporates Management's assumptions and expectations regarding such factors as loan and deposit growth, pricing, prepayment

speeds and spreads between interest rates.

- 35 -

Table of Contents

Based on the results of the simulation models using static balances at March 31, 2008, it is estimated that net interest income may increase 3.3% in a one-year, shocked, up 200 basis point rate shift scenario, compared to a base case, flat rate scenario for the same time period. At March 31, 2007, the results of the simulation models using static balances indicated that net interest income would increase 3.6% in the same one-year, shocked, up 200 basis point shift scenario. In the event of a 100 basis point decrease in interest rates using static balances at March 31, 2008, it is estimated net interest income may decrease by 2.3%, while a 200 basis point decline in interest rates would yield an estimated decrease in net interest income of 6.3%. Once again, changes are very minimal when compared to forecasts at March 31, 2007, as seen by estimates of a 2.3% decrease in net interest income in a 100 basis point decrease in interest rates and 6.8% decrease in net interest income in a 200 basis point decline in interest rates. These minor changes in forecasted net interest income for both March 31, 2008 and 2007, illustrate Management's strategy to mitigate Trustmark's exposure to cyclical increases in rates by maintaining a neutral position in its interest rate risk position. Management cannot provide any assurance about the actual effect of changes in interest rates on net interest income. The estimates provided do not include the effects of possible strategic changes in the balances of various assets and liabilities throughout 2008 or additional actions Trustmark could undertake in response to changes in interest rates. Management will continue to prudently manage the balance sheet in an effort to control interest rate risk and maintain profitability over the long term.

Another component of interest rate risk management is measuring the economic value-at-risk for a given change in market interest rates. The economic value-at-risk may indicate risks associated with longer term balance sheet items that may not affect net interest income at risk over shorter time periods. Trustmark also uses computer-modeling techniques to determine the present value of all asset and liability cash flows (both on- and off-balance sheet), adjusted for prepayment expectations, using a market discount rate. The net change in the present value of the asset and liability cash flows in the different market rate environments is the amount of economic value at risk from those rate movements which is referred to as net portfolio value. As of March 31, 2008, the economic value of equity at risk for an instantaneous up 200 basis point shift in rates produced a decline in net portfolio value of 0.2%, while an instantaneous 200 basis point decrease in interest rates produced a decrease in net portfolio value of 2.4%. In comparison, the models indicated a net portfolio value decrease of 4.4% as of March 31, 2007, had interest rates moved up instantaneously 200 basis points, and a decrease of 2.0%, had an instantaneous 200 basis points decrease in interest rates occurred.

Derivatives

Trustmark uses financial derivatives for management of interest rate risk. The Asset/Liability Committee, in its oversight role for the management of interest rate risk, approves the use of derivatives in balance sheet hedging strategies. The most common derivatives employed by Trustmark are interest rate lock commitments, forward contracts and both futures contracts and options on futures contracts.

As part of Trustmark's risk management strategy in the mortgage banking area, various derivative instruments such as interest rate lock commitments and forward sales contracts are utilized. Rate lock commitments are residential mortgage loan commitments with customers, which guarantee a specified interest rate for a specified period of time. Trustmark's obligations under forward contracts consist of commitments to deliver mortgage loans, originated and/or purchased, in the secondary market at a future date. These derivative instruments are designated as fair value hedges for certain of these transactions that qualify as fair value hedges under SFAS No. 133. Trustmark's off-balance sheet obligations under these derivative instruments totaled \$383.8 million at March 31, 2008, with a valuation adjustment of negative \$797 thousand, compared to \$211.3 million at December 31, 2007, with a valuation adjustment of negative \$686 thousand.

Table of Contents

Trustmark utilizes derivative instruments, specifically Treasury note futures contracts and exchange-traded option contracts, to offset changes in the fair value of MSR attributable to changes in interest rates. These transactions are considered freestanding derivatives that do not otherwise qualify for hedge accounting. Changes in the fair value of these derivative instruments are recorded in noninterest income in mortgage banking, net and are offset by the changes in the fair value of MSR. MSR fair values represent the effect of present value decay and the effect of changes in market rates. Ineffectiveness of hedging MSR fair value is measured by comparing total hedge cost to the fair value of the MSR attributable to market changes. This hedge is discussed further in the mortgage banking section of the noninterest income discussion earlier in this document.

RECENT PRONOUNCEMENTS

Accounting Standards Adopted in 2008

For additional information on accounting standards adopted by Trustmark in 2008, please refer to Note 12 – Recent Pronouncements.

New Accounting Standards

Other new pronouncements issued but not effective until after March 31, 2008, are not expected to have a significant effect on Trustmark's balance sheets or results of operations, with the possible exception of the following:

On April 25, 2008, the FASB posted FSP 142-3, "Determination of the Useful Life of Intangible Assets". This FSP amends the factors that should be considered in developing renewal or extension assumptions used to determine the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under FASB Statement No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets." The intent of this FSP is to improve the consistency between the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under Statement 142 and the period of expected cash flows used to measure the fair value of the asset under FASB Statement No. 141R, "Business Combinations." This FSP is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. Management is currently evaluating the impact that FSP 142-3 will have on Trustmark's balance sheets and results of operations.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, "Disclosures About Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities – an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133" (SFAS No. 161). SFAS No. 161 expands quarterly disclosure requirements in SFAS No. 133 about an entity's derivative instruments and hedging activities. SFAS No. 161 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008. Management is currently evaluating the impact that SFAS No. 161 will have on Trustmark's consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141(revised), "Business Combinations." SFAS No. 141R expands the definition of transactions and events that qualify as business combinations; requires that the acquired assets and liabilities, including contingencies, be recorded at fair value determined on the acquisition date; changes the recognition timing for restructuring costs; and requires the expensing of acquisition costs as incurred. SFAS No. 141R is required to be applied to business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008, with earlier adoption being prohibited. Management is currently evaluating the impact that the adoption of SFAS No. 141R will have on Trustmark's balance sheets and results of operations.

Also in December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, "Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements - an Amendment of ARB No. 51." FAS 160 states that accounting and reporting for minority interests will be recharacterized as noncontrolling interests and classified as a component of equity. The statement also establishes reporting requirements that provide sufficient disclosures that clearly identify and distinguish between the interests of the parent and the interests of the noncontrolling owners. This statement is effective prospectively for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. Management is currently evaluating the impact of SFAS No. 160 on its balance sheets and results of operations.

Table of Contents

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The information required by this item is included in the discussion of Market/Interest Rate Risk Management found in Management's Discussion and Analysis.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, an evaluation was carried out by Trustmark's Management, with the participation of its Chief Executive Officer and Treasurer and Principal Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer), of the effectiveness of Trustmark's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and the Principal Financial Officer concluded that Trustmark's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this report.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There has been no change in Trustmark's internal control over financial reporting during the last fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, Trustmark's internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

There were no material developments for the quarter ended March 31, 2008, other than those disclosed in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements and Management's Discussion and Analysis of this Form 10-Q.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

There has been no material change in the risk factors previously disclosed in Trustmark's Annual Report on Form 10-K for its fiscal year ended December 31, 2007.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

The following table shows information relating to the repurchase of common shares by Trustmark Corporation during the three months ended March 31, 2008:

| Period | Total Number of Shares Purchased | Average Price Paid Per Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs | Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs |
|--|----------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|
| January 1, 2008 through January 31, 2008 | - | \$ - | - | 1,370,581 |
| February 1, 2008 through February 29, 2008 | - | \$ - | - | 1,370,581 |

Edgar Filing: SMF ENERGY CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|-----------------------|---|----|---|-----------|
| March 1, 2008 through | | | | |
| March 31, 2008 | - | \$ | - | 1,370,581 |
| Total | - | | - | |

- 38 -

Table of Contents

The repurchase program is subject to Management's discretion and will continue to be implemented through open market purchases or privately negotiated transactions.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

The exhibits listed in the Exhibit Index are filed herewith or are incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

TRUSTMARK CORPORATION

BY: /s/ Richard G. Hickson
Richard G. Hickson
Chairman of the Board, President
& Chief Executive Officer

BY: /s/ Louis E. Greer
Louis E. Greer
Treasurer and Principal
Financial Officer

DATE: May 9, 2008

DATE: May 9, 2008

- 40 -

Table of Contents
EXHIBIT INDEX

- 31-a Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31-b Certification of the Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32-a Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32-b Certification of the Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

All other exhibits are omitted, as they are inapplicable or not required by the related instructions.