

MARCUS CORP
Form DEF 14A
September 07, 2012

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A**

**Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the
Securities Exchange Act of 1934
(Amendment No. _____)**

Filed by the Registrant ☒ x
Filed by a Party other than the Registrant ☐ o
Check the appropriate box:

☐ o Preliminary Proxy Statement
☒ o **Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))**
☒ x Definitive Proxy Statement
☐ o Definitive Additional Materials
☐ o Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

THE MARCUS CORPORATION

(Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

☒ x No fee required.
☐ o Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(4) and 0-11.

1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

5) Total fee paid:

Edgar Filing: MARCUS CORP - Form DEF 14A

☐ Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

1) Amount Previously Paid:

2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

3) Filing Party:

4) Date Filed:

THE MARCUS CORPORATION

100 East Wisconsin Avenue, Suite 1900
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202-4125

NOTICE OF 2012 ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS To Be Held Wednesday, October 17, 2012

To the Shareholders of

THE MARCUS CORPORATION

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the 2012 Annual Meeting of Shareholders of THE MARCUS CORPORATION will be held on Wednesday, October 17, 2012, at 9:00 a.m., local time, at the Majestic Cinema, 770 N. Springdale Road, Brookfield, Wisconsin for the following purposes:

1. to elect as directors the eleven nominees named in the attached proxy statement;
2. to approve, by advisory vote, the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in the attached proxy statement;
3. to ratify the selection of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent auditor for fiscal 2013; and
4. to consider and act upon any other business that may be properly brought before the meeting or any adjournment thereof.

Only holders of record of our Common Stock and Class B Common Stock as of the close of business on August 6, 2012, will be entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the meeting and any adjournment thereof. Shareholders may vote in person or by proxy. The holders of our Common Stock will be entitled to one vote per share and the holders of our Class B Common Stock will be entitled to ten votes per share on each matter submitted for shareholder consideration.

Shareholders are cordially invited to attend the meeting in person. A map is provided on the following page to assist you in locating the Majestic Cinema. Even if you expect to attend the meeting in person, to help ensure your vote is represented at the meeting, please complete, sign, date and return in the enclosed postage paid envelope the accompanying proxy, which is being solicited by our board of directors. You may revoke your proxy at any time before it is actually voted by giving notice thereof in writing to the undersigned or by voting in person at the meeting.

Interested parties are invited to listen to a live audio Webcast of the meeting by logging onto the Investor Relations section of our website: www.marcuscorp.com. Listeners should go to the website at least 15 minutes prior to the start of the presentation to download and install any necessary audio software.

Accompanying this Notice of 2012 Annual Meeting of Shareholders is a proxy statement and form of proxy.

**Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the
Shareholder Meeting to be Held on October 17, 2012**

Pursuant to rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, we have elected to provide access to our proxy materials both by sending you this full set of proxy materials, including a proxy card, and by notifying you of the availability of our proxy materials on the Internet. This proxy statement and our 2012 annual report to shareholders are available at www.marcuscorp.com/eproxy.

IMPORTANT: If you hold your shares in a brokerage account, you should be aware that your broker is not permitted to vote your shares for the election of directors or the approval, by advisory vote, of the compensation of our named executive officers if you do not instruct your broker how to vote within 10 days prior to our Annual Meeting. Therefore, you must affirmatively take action to vote your shares at our Annual Meeting. If you do not, your shares will not be voted with respect to such matters.

On Behalf of the Board of Directors

Milwaukee, Wisconsin
September 7, 2012

Thomas F. Kissinger
Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary

Important Information for Shareholders Attending The Marcus Corporation 2012 Annual Meeting

**9:00 a.m. Local Time
Wednesday, October 17, 2012
Majestic Cinema
770 N. Springdale Road
Brookfield, Wisconsin 53186**

Directions:

From Madison:

Take I-94 East to Bluemound Rd. (Exit 297). Turn West (left) on W. Bluemound Rd. to N. Springdale Rd. Turn North (right) on N. Springdale Rd. The Majestic Cinema will be on the right side, just past Sam's Club.

From Milwaukee:

Take I-94 West to Barker Rd. (Exit 297). Turn North (right) on N. Barker Rd. to W. Bluemound Rd. Turn West (left) onto W. Bluemound Rd. to N. Springdale Rd. Turn North (right) on N. Springdale Rd. The Majestic Cinema will be on the right side, just past Sam's Club.

Experience The Magic of The Majestic Cinema:

Following the annual meeting on Wednesday, October 17, shareholders are invited to enjoy a complimentary movie at the Majestic Cinema.

THE MARCUS CORPORATION

PROXY STATEMENT

For 2012 Annual Meeting of Shareholders To Be Held Wednesday, October 17, 2012

This proxy statement and accompanying form of proxy are being furnished to our shareholders beginning on or about September 7, 2012, in connection with the solicitation of proxies by our board of directors for use at our 2012 Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on Wednesday, October 17, 2012, at 9:00 a.m., local time, at the Majestic Cinema, 770 N. Springdale Road, Brookfield, Wisconsin and at any postponement or adjournment thereof (collectively, Meeting), for the purposes set forth in the attached Notice of 2012 Annual Meeting of Shareholders and as described herein.

Execution of a proxy will not affect your right to attend the Meeting and to vote in person, nor will your presence revoke a previously submitted proxy. You may revoke a previously submitted proxy at any time before it is exercised by giving written notice of your intention to revoke the proxy to our Secretary, by notifying the appropriate personnel at the Meeting in writing or by voting in person at the Meeting. Unless revoked, the shares represented by proxies received by our board of directors will be voted at the Meeting in accordance with the instructions thereon. If no instructions are specified on a proxy, the votes represented thereby will be voted: (1) for the board's eleven director nominees set forth below; (2) for the approval, by advisory vote, of the compensation of our named executive officers; (3) in favor of the ratification of the selection of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent auditor for fiscal 2013; and (4) on such other matters that may properly come before the Meeting in accordance with the best judgment of the persons named as proxies.

Only holders of record of shares of our Common Stock (Common Shares) and our Class B Common Stock (Class B Shares) as of the close of business on August 6, 2012 (Record Date) are entitled to vote at the Meeting. As of the Record Date, we had 20,168,321 Common Shares and 8,777,714 Class B Shares outstanding and entitled to vote. The record holder of each outstanding Common Share on the Record Date is entitled to one vote per share and the record holder of each outstanding Class B Share on the Record Date is entitled to ten votes per share on each matter submitted for shareholder consideration at the Meeting. The holders of our Common Shares and the holders of our Class B Shares will vote together as a single class on all matters subject to shareholder consideration at the Meeting. The total number of votes represented by outstanding Common Shares and Class B Shares as of the Record Date was 107,945,461, consisting of 20,168,321 votes represented by outstanding Common Shares and 87,777,140 votes represented by outstanding Class B Shares.

IMPORTANT: If you hold your shares in a brokerage account, you should be aware that your broker is not permitted to vote your shares for the election of directors or the approval, by advisory vote, of the compensation of our named executive officers if you do not instruct your broker how to vote within 10 days prior to our Annual Meeting. Therefore, you must affirmatively take action to vote your shares at our Annual Meeting. If you do not, your shares will not be voted with respect to such matters.

PROPOSAL 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

At the Meeting, our shareholders will elect all eleven members of our board of directors. The directors elected at the Meeting will hold office until our 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders and until their successors are duly qualified and elected. If, prior to the Meeting, one or more of the board's nominees becomes unable to serve as a director for any reason, the votes represented by proxies granting authority to vote for all of the board's nominees, or containing no voting instructions, will be voted for a replacement nominee selected by the board of directors. Under Wisconsin law, if a quorum of shareholders is present, directors are elected by a plurality of the votes cast by the shareholders entitled to vote in the election. This means that the individuals receiving the largest number of votes will be elected as directors, up to the maximum number of directors to be chosen at the election. Therefore, any shares that are not voted on this matter at the Meeting, whether by abstention, broker nonvote or otherwise, will have no effect on the election of directors at the Meeting.

All of our director nominees, other than Brian J. Stark, have been elected by our shareholders, and all of our director nominees have served continuously as directors since the date indicated below. The names of the director nominees, together with certain information about each of them as of the Record Date, are set forth below. Unless otherwise indicated, all of our director nominees have held the same principal occupation indicated below for at least the last five years.

<u>Name</u>	<u>Current Principal Occupation</u>	<u>Age</u>	<u>Director Since</u>
Stephen H. Marcus	Our chairman of the board. In January 2009, he retired as our chief executive officer, a position he had held since 1988. Mr. Marcus' long-time service as our chief executive officer and chairman of the board has led to our conclusion that he should serve as a director of the Company, including as our chairman of the board. ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾	77	1969
Gregory S. Marcus	Our chief executive officer since January 2009 and our president since January 2008. Prior thereto, he was our senior vice president - corporate development. Mr. Marcus' experience with our Company since 1999 in various positions, including his current role as our chief executive officer, has led to our conclusion that he should serve as a director of the Company. ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	47	2005
Diane Marcus Gershowitz	Real estate management and investments. Ms. Gershowitz' long-standing service on our board and her expertise in real estate matters has led to our conclusion that she should serve as a director of the Company. ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾	73	1985

<u>Name</u>	<u>Current Principal Occupation</u>	<u>Age</u>	<u>Director Since</u>
Daniel F. McKeithan, Jr.	Chairman and chief executive officer of Tamarack Petroleum Company, Inc. (operator of oil and gas wells) and president of Active Investor Management, Inc. (manager of oil and gas wells). Mr. McKeithan's long-standing service on our board and his extensive business and leadership experience has led to our conclusion that he should serve as a director of the Company.	76	1985
Allan H. Selig	Commissioner of Major League Baseball and president and chief executive officer of Selig Executive Leasing Co., Inc. (automobile leasing agency). Mr. Selig's long-standing service on our board and his experience as commissioner of Major League Baseball has led to our conclusion that he should serve as a director of the Company. ⁽⁴⁾	77	1995
Timothy E. Hoeksema	Retired chairman of the board, president and/or chief executive officer of Midwest Air Group, Inc. (commercial airline carrier). Mr. Hoeksema's long-standing service on our board and his experience as the chief executive officer for many years at one of the nation's most recognized service-oriented regional travel carriers and his experience in the travel industry has led to our conclusion that he should serve as a director of the Company.	65	1995
Bruce J. Olson	Our senior vice president. Mr. Olson's long-standing service on our board and his leadership of our theatre division has led to our conclusion that he should serve as a director of the Company. ⁽²⁾	62	1996
Philip L. Milstein	Principal of Ogden CAP Properties, LLC (real estate and investments) and former co-chairman of Emigrant Savings Bank (savings bank). Mr. Milstein's long-standing service on our board of directors and his financial expertise and experience has led to our conclusion that he should serve as a director of the Company.	63	1996

<u>Name</u>	<u>Current Principal Occupation</u>	<u>Age</u>	<u>Director Since</u>
Bronson J. Haase	Retired president of Pabst Farms Equity Ventures LLC (real estate development organization); retired president and chief executive officer of Wisconsin Gas Company (gas utility) and vice president of WICOR, Inc. (utility holding company); and former president and chief executive officer of Ameritech Wisconsin (telecommunications company). Mr. Haase's long-standing tenure on our board, his experience in real estate development matters and his leadership of public utility and telecommunication companies has led to our conclusion that he should serve as a director of the Company.	68	1998
James D. Ericson	Retired president, chief executive officer and chairman of the board of trustees of The Northwestern Mutual Life Insurance Company (life insurance company). Mr. Ericson's long-standing tenure on our board and his experience as the chief executive officer at one of the country's largest and most recognized mutual life insurance companies has led to our conclusion that he should serve as a director of the Company. ⁽⁵⁾	76	2001
Brian J. Stark	Founding principal, chief executive officer and chief investment officer of Stark Investments (global alternative investment firm). Mr. Stark's extensive executive level experience in the investment industry and financial markets led to our conclusion that he should serve as a director of the Company. ⁽⁶⁾	57	2012

(1) Stephen H. Marcus and Diane Marcus Gershowitz are brother and sister. Gregory S. Marcus is the son of Stephen H. Marcus.

(2) Bruce J. Olson and Gregory S. Marcus are also officers of certain of our subsidiaries.

As a result of their beneficial ownership of Common Shares and Class B Shares, Stephen H. Marcus, Gregory S.

(3) Marcus and/or Diane Marcus Gershowitz may be deemed to control, or share in the control of, the Company. See Stock Ownership of Management and Others.

(4) Allan H. Selig is a director of Oil-Dri Corporation of America.

(5) James D. Ericson is a director of Green Bay Packaging, Inc.

(6) Our board of directors elected Brian J. Stark to our board of directors on January 10, 2012.

**THE BOARD UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR EACH OF THE BOARD'S NOMINEES.
COMMON SHARES OR CLASS B SHARES REPRESENTED AT THE MEETING BY EXECUTED BUT
UNMARKED PROXIES WILL BE VOTED FOR EACH OF THE BOARD'S NOMINEES.**

BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Independence of Directors

Based on a review by our board of directors of the direct and indirect relationships that each of the eleven directors currently serving on the board of directors has with the Company, including the relationships between the Company and Selig Executive Leasing Co., Inc. and Major League Baseball, the board of directors has determined that each of Messrs. McKeithan, Selig, Hoeksema, Milstein, Haase, Ericson and Stark are independent directors as defined by the rules of the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) and the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC).

Board Leadership Structure

Currently, Mr. Gregory Marcus serves as our chief executive officer and Mr. Stephen Marcus serves as our chairman of the board of directors. Our board of directors does not have a policy on whether or not the roles of chief executive officer and chairman of the board should be separate. Instead, our Corporate Governance Policy Guidelines provide that our board of directors has the authority to choose its chairman in any way it deems best for the Company and its shareholders at any given point in time. Since Mr. Stephen Marcus' retirement as chief executive officer in 2009, our board of directors has determined that the separation of these roles most appropriately suits our Company because of Mr. Stephen Marcus' long history with our Company, including his tenure as our chief executive officer, and his skills and experience within the industries that we operate. Further, our board of directors believes that this split in roles allows Mr. Gregory Marcus to focus more of his energies on the management of our Company's business. Our board of directors believes that there is no single board of directors leadership structure that would be most effective in all circumstances and therefore retains the authority to modify this structure to best address our Company's and our board of directors' then current circumstances as and when appropriate.

Our board of directors and, in particular, the Audit Committee are involved on an ongoing basis in the general oversight of our material identified enterprise-related risks. Each of our chief executive officer, chief financial officer and general counsel, with input as appropriate from other management members, report and provide relevant information directly to our board of directors and/or the Audit Committee on various types of identified material financial, reputational, legal, environmental and business risks to which we are or may be subject, as well as mitigation strategies for certain key identified material risks. These reports, information and strategies are then reviewed, approved and monitored on an ongoing basis by our board of directors and/or the Audit Committee. Our board of directors' and Audit Committee's roles in our risk oversight process have not affected our board of directors' leadership structure.

Code of Conduct

The board of directors has adopted The Marcus Corporation Code of Conduct that applies to all of our directors, officers and employees. It is available under the Human Resources section of our corporate web site, www.marcuscorp.com. If you would like us to mail you a copy of our Code of Conduct, free of charge, please contact Thomas F. Kissinger, Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary, The Marcus Corporation, 100 East Wisconsin Avenue, Suite 1900, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202-4125.

Committees of the Board of Directors

Our board of directors has an Audit Committee, Compensation Committee, Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee and Finance Committee. Each committee operates under a written charter and the charters of our Audit, Compensation and Corporate Governance and Nominating Committees are available under the Governance section of our web site at www.marcuscorp.com. Our board of directors and each committee also operate under our Corporate Governance Policy Guidelines, which are available under the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee tab of the Governance section of our web site. If you would like us to mail you a copy of our Corporate Governance Policy Guidelines or a committee charter, free of charge, please contact Mr. Kissinger at the above address.

Audit Committee. Our board of directors has an Audit Committee whose principal functions are to: (1) appoint and establish the compensation for and oversee our independent auditors; (2) review annual audit plans with management and our independent auditors; (3) pre-approve all audit and non-audit services provided by our independent auditors; (4) oversee management's evaluation of the adequacy of our internal and business controls, disclosure controls and procedures, and risk assessment and management; (5) review areas of financial risk that could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition with management and our independent auditors; (6) evaluate the independence of our independent auditors; (7) review, in consultation with management and our independent auditors, financial reporting and accounting practices of comparable companies that differ from our own; and (8) receive, retain and address complaints (including employees' confidential, anonymous submission of concerns) regarding financial disclosure and accounting and auditing matters. During our fiscal 2012, our Audit Committee consisted of Daniel F. McKeithan, Jr. (Chairman), James D. Ericson, Philip L. Milstein and Brian J. Stark. Our board of directors appointed Mr. Stark to the Audit Committee on March 29, 2012. Each member of our Audit Committee is an independent, non-employee director as defined by the rules of the NYSE and the SEC. In addition, the board of directors has determined that each of the members of the Audit Committee is an audit committee financial expert, as that term is defined by the rules and regulations of the SEC. The Audit Committee met four times during our fiscal 2012. See Audit Committee Report.

Compensation Committee. Our board of directors also has a Compensation Committee whose principal functions are to: (1) evaluate and establish the compensation, bonuses and benefits of our officers and other key employees and of the officers and other key employees of our subsidiaries and (2) administer our executive compensation plans, programs and arrangements. See Compensation Discussion and Analysis. During our fiscal 2012, our Compensation Committee consisted of Timothy E. Hoeksema (Chairman), Bronson J. Haase, Daniel F. McKeithan, Jr. and Philip L. Milstein. Each member of our Compensation Committee is an independent, non-employee director as defined by the rules of the NYSE and the SEC. The Compensation Committee met one time during our fiscal 2012. See Compensation Discussion and Analysis.

Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee. Our board of directors also has a Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee whose principal functions are to: (1) develop and maintain our Corporate Governance Policy Guidelines; (2) develop and maintain our Code of Conduct; (3) oversee the interpretation and enforcement of our Code of Conduct; (4) receive and review matters brought to the committee's attention pursuant to our Code of Conduct; (5) evaluate the performance of our board of directors, its committees and committee chairmen and our directors; and (6) recommend individuals to be elected to our board of directors. During our fiscal 2012, our Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee consisted of Bronson J. Haase (Chairman), Timothy E. Hoeksema and Allan H. Selig. Each member of our Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee is an independent, non-employee director as defined by the rules of the NYSE and the SEC. The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee met two times during our fiscal 2012.

The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee performs evaluations of the board of directors as a whole, the non-management directors as a group, and each director individually. In addition, the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee regularly assesses the appropriate size of our board of directors and whether any vacancies on the board of directors are expected due to retirement or otherwise. In the event that vacancies are anticipated or otherwise arise, the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee will identify prospective nominees, including those nominated by management, members of our board of directors and shareholders, and will evaluate such prospective nominees against the standards and qualifications set out in the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee Charter, including the individual's range of experience, wisdom, integrity, ability to make independent analytical inquiries, business experience and acumen, understanding of our business and ability and willingness to devote adequate time to board of directors and committee duties. While the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee does not specifically have a formal policy relating to the consideration of diversity in its process to select and evaluate director nominees, our Corporate Governance Policy Guidelines provide that the board of directors shall

be committed to a diversified membership. Accordingly, the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee seeks to have our board of directors represent a diversity of backgrounds, experience, gender and race. The

Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee does not evaluate shareholder nominees differently from any other nominee. Pursuant to procedures set forth in our By-laws, the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee will consider shareholder nominations for directors if we receive timely written notice, in proper form, of the intent to make a nomination at a meeting of shareholders. We did not receive any shareholder nominations for directors to be considered at the Meeting. To be timely for the 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, any shareholder director nominations must be received by the date identified under the heading Other Matters. To be in proper form, the nomination must, among other things, include each nominee's written consent to serve as a director if elected, a description of all arrangements or understandings between the nominating shareholder and each nominee and information about the nominating shareholder and each nominee. These requirements are detailed in our By-laws, which are attached as an exhibit to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended November 27, 2008. A copy of our By-laws will be provided upon written request to Mr. Kissinger at the above address.

Finance Committee. Our board of directors also has a Finance Committee whose principal functions are to, upon the request of Company management, provide preliminary review, advice, direction, guidance and/or consultation with respect to potential transactions. During our fiscal 2012, our Finance Committee consisted of Stephen H. Marcus (Chairman), James D. Ericson, Diane Marcus Gershowitz, Philip L. Milstein and Allan H. Selig. The Finance Committee did not meet during our fiscal 2012.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

No member of the Compensation Committee has served as one of our officers or employees at any time. None of our executive officers serves as a member of the board of directors or compensation committee of any other company that has one or more executive officers serving as a member of our board of directors or Compensation Committee.

Board Meetings, Director Attendance, Executive Sessions and Presiding Director

Our board of directors met four times during our fiscal 2012. Each of our directors attended at least 75% of the aggregate of the number of board meetings and number of meetings of the committees on which he or she served during his or her tenure during fiscal 2012. Our non-management directors meet periodically in executive sessions without management present. The non-management directors who serve as chairmen of our Audit, Compensation and Corporate Governance and Nominating Committees serve as the chairman of these meetings of non-management directors on a rotating basis.

Directors are expected to attend our annual meeting of shareholders each year. At the 2011 annual meeting of shareholders, all of our directors were in attendance in person.

Contacting the Board

Interested parties may contact our board of directors, a group of directors (including our non-management directors), or a specific director by sending a letter, regular or express mail, addressed to our board of directors or the specific director in care of Mr. Kissinger at the above address. Mr. Kissinger will promptly forward appropriate communications from interested parties to the board of directors or the applicable director.

STOCK OWNERSHIP OF MANAGEMENT AND OTHERS

The following table sets forth information as of the Record Date as to our Common Shares and Class B Shares beneficially owned by: (1) each of our directors; (2) each of our executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table set forth below under Compensation Discussion and Analysis ; (3) all such directors and executive officers as a group; and (4) all other persons or entities known by us to be the beneficial owner of more than 5% of either class of our outstanding capital stock. A row for Class B Share ownership is not included for individuals or entities who do not beneficially own any Class B Shares.

Name of Individual or Group/Class of Stock	Sole Voting and Investment Power ⁽¹⁾	Shared Voting and Investment Power ⁽¹⁾	Total Share Ownership and Percentage of Class ⁽¹⁾	Percentage of Aggregate Voting Power ⁽¹⁾
<i>Directors and Named Executive Officers</i>				
Stephen H. Marcus ⁽²⁾				
Common Shares	21,895	6,003	27,898	
			*	44.5 %
Class B Shares	4,748,878	52,070	4,800,948	
			54.7 %	
Diane Marcus Gershowitz ⁽²⁾				
Common Shares	8,433 (3)	0	8,433 (3)	
			*	29.7 %
Class B Shares	2,963,591	247,104	3,210,695	
			36.6 %	
Daniel F. McKeithan, Jr.				
Common Shares	21,339 (3)	1,426	22,765 (3)	
			*	*
Allan H. Selig				
Common Shares	18,490 (3)	0	18,490 (3)	
			*	*
Timothy E. Hoeksema				
Common Shares	6,657 (3)	12,576	19,233 (3)	
			*	*
Philip L. Milstein				
Common Shares	6,861 (3)(4)	0	6,861 (3)(4)	
			*	*
Class B Shares		62,055 (5)	62,055 (5)	
			*	
Bronson J. Haase				
Common Shares	16,278 (3)	0	16,278 (3)	
			*	*
Brian J. Stark				
Common Shares	1,881 (3)	0	1,881 (3)	
			*	*
James D. Ericson				
Common Shares	13,505 (3)	0	13,505 (3)	

Edgar Filing: MARCUS CORP - Form DEF 14A

				*		*		
Bruce J. Olson								
Common Shares	263,613	(6)(7)	1,350	264,963	(6)(7)			
				1.3	%	*		
Gregory S. Marcus								
Common Shares	247,600	(6)(7)	75	247,675	(6)(7)			
				1.2	%	2.3	%	
Class B Shares	210,230		18,233	228,463				
				2.6	%			

8

Name of Individual or Group/Class of Stock	Sole Voting and Investment Power ⁽¹⁾	Shared Voting and Investment Power ⁽¹⁾	Total Share Ownership and Percentage of Class ⁽¹⁾	Percentage of Aggregate Voting Power ⁽¹⁾
<i>Directors and Named Executive Officers</i>				
William J. Otto ⁽⁸⁾ Common Shares	134,383	0	134,383 *	*
Thomas F. Kissinger Common Shares	137,285 ⁽⁶⁾⁽⁷⁾	0	137,285 ⁽⁶⁾⁽⁷⁾ *	*
Douglas A. Neis Common Shares	140,245 ⁽⁶⁾⁽⁷⁾	0	140,245 ⁽⁶⁾⁽⁷⁾ *	*
All directors and executive officers as a group (14 persons) Common Shares ⁽⁹⁾	1,038,465 ⁽⁶⁾	21,430	1,059,895 ⁽⁶⁾ 5.1 %	77.4 %
Class B Shares	7,922,699	379,462	8,302,161 94.6 %	
<i>Other Five Percent Shareholders</i>				
Private Capital Management, L.P. ⁽¹⁰⁾ Common Shares ⁽¹¹⁾	1,208,226	0	1,208,226 6.0 %	1.1 %
Advisory Research, Inc. ⁽¹²⁾ Common Shares ⁽¹³⁾	2,334,799	0	2,334,799 11.6 %	2.2 %
Keeley Asset Management Corp. ⁽¹⁴⁾ Common Shares ⁽¹⁵⁾	2,409,767	0	2,409,767 11.9 %	2.2 %
Dimensional Fund Advisors Inc. ⁽¹⁶⁾ Common Shares ⁽¹⁷⁾	1,732,883	0	1,732,883 8.6 %	1.6 %
The Vanguard Group, Inc. ⁽¹⁸⁾ Common Shares ⁽¹⁹⁾	1,029,545	0	1,029,545 5.1 %	*
BlackRock, Inc. ⁽²⁰⁾ Common Shares ⁽²¹⁾	1,666,306	0	1,666,306 8.3 %	1.5 %

*

Less than 1%.

(1) The outstanding Class B Shares are convertible on a share-for-share basis into Common Shares at any time at the discretion of each holder. As a result, a holder of Class B Shares is deemed to beneficially own an equal number of Common Shares. However, to avoid overstatement of the aggregate beneficial ownership of both classes of our outstanding capital stock, the Common Shares listed in the table do not include Common Shares that may be acquired upon the conversion of outstanding Class B Shares. Similarly, the percentage of outstanding Common Shares beneficially owned is determined with respect to the total number of outstanding Common Shares,

excluding Common Shares that may be issued upon conversion of outstanding Class B Shares.

- (2) The address of Stephen H. Marcus and Diane Marcus Gershowitz is c/o 100 East Wisconsin Avenue, Suite 1900, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202-4125.

- (3) Includes 5,639 Common Shares subject to acquisition by each of Diane Marcus Gershowitz, Daniel F. McKeithan, Jr., Philip L. Milstein, Allan H. Selig, Timothy E. Hoeksema, Bronson J. Haase and James D. Ericson, and 1,500 Common Shares subject to acquisition by Brian J. Stark, in each case pursuant

to the exercise of stock options held on the Record Date that were then vested or that will vest within 60 days thereafter. This number also includes 1,018 Common Shares subject to certain restrictions on transfer under securities laws held by each of Diane Marcus Gershowitz, Daniel F. McKeithan, Jr., Philip L. Milstein, Allan H. Selig, Timothy E. Hoeksema, Bronson J. Haase and James D. Ericson, of which 509 were granted on October 11, 2011 and 509 were granted on October 13, 2010, and 381 Common Shares subject to certain restrictions on transfer under securities laws held by Brian J. Stark, which were granted on January 10, 2012. See Compensation Discussion and Analysis Non-Employee Director Compensation. The restrictions on these restricted Common Shares terminate on the second anniversary of the date on which they were granted.

- (4) Does not include 98,922 Common Shares that are held in a grantor retained annuity trust. Includes 62,055 Class B Shares held by Philip L. Milstein as a partner of Northmon Investment Co. Excludes the following shares, as to which Mr. Milstein disclaims beneficial interest: (1) 5,625 Common Shares in the AB Elbaum Trust, of which Mr. Milstein is co-trustee; (2) 2,000 Common Shares held by Mr. Milstein's wife; (3) 8,100 Common Shares held by Mr. Milstein's children; (4) 57,500 Common Shares held by the PLM Foundation, of which Mr. Milstein is co-trustee; and (5) 124,111 Common Shares held by the SVM Foundation, of which Mr. Milstein is co-trustee. Includes 9,040, 4,830, 5,350 and 5,560 Common Shares held for the respective accounts of Bruce J. Olson, (6) Thomas F. Kissinger, Gregory S. Marcus and Douglas A. Neis in our Pension Plus Plan as of May 31, 2012, the end of our fiscal 2012. See Compensation Discussion and Analysis Other Benefits Qualified Retirement Plan. Includes 117,071, 102,644, 202,645 and 102,644 Common Shares subject to acquisition by Bruce J. Olson, (7) Thomas F. Kissinger, Gregory S. Marcus and Douglas A. Neis, respectively, pursuant to the exercise of stock options held on the Record Date that were then vested or that will vest within 60 days thereafter. See Compensation Discussion and Analysis Grants of Plan-Based Awards. (8) Amounts reported for William J. Otto reflect the beneficial ownership information reported in the Form 4 filed by Mr. Otto on July 28, 2011. Includes 663,117 Common Shares subject to acquisition pursuant to the exercise of stock options held by our (9) named executive officers and non-employee directors on the Record Date that were then vested or that will vest within 60 days thereafter. See Compensation Discussion and Analysis Grants of Plan Based Awards and Compensation Discussion and Analysis Non-Employee Director Compensation. (10) The address of Private Capital Management, L.P. (PCM) is 8889 Pelican Bay Boulevard, Suite 500, Naples, Florida 34108. (11) Other than share ownership percentage information, the information set forth is as of February 14, 2012, as reported by PCM in its Schedule 13G/A filed with us and the SEC. (12) The address of Advisory Research, Inc. (ARI) is 180 North Stetson Avenue, Suite 5500, Chicago, Illinois 60601. (13) Other than share ownership percentage information, the information set forth is as of February 14, 2012, as reported by ARI in its Schedule 13G filed with us and the SEC. (14) The address of Keeley Asset Management Corp. (KAM) is 401 South LaSalle Street, Chicago, Illinois 60605. (15) Other than share ownership percentage information, the information set forth is as of February 7, 2012, as reported by KAM in its Schedule 13G filed with us and the SEC. (16) The address of Dimensional Fund Advisors LP (DFA) is Palisades West, Building One, 6300 Bee Cave Road, Austin, TX 78746. (17) Other than share ownership percentage information, the information set forth is as of February 13, 2012, as reported by DFA in its Schedule 13G/A filed with us and the SEC. (18) The address of The Vanguard Group, Inc. (TVG) is 100 Vanguard Blvd., Malvern, PA 19355. (19) Other than share ownership percentage information, the information set forth is as of February 9, 2012, as reported by TVG in its Schedule 13G filed with us and the SEC. (20) The address of BlackRock, Inc. (BlackRock) is 40 East 52nd Street, New York, NY 10022. (21) Other than share ownership percentage information, the information set forth is as of February 10, 2012, as reported by BlackRock in its Schedule 13G filed with us and the SEC.

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

This compensation discussion and analysis, or CD&A, is intended to provide information about our compensation philosophy, principles and processes for our chairman of the board, our chief executive officer, our chief financial officer and certain other current and former executive officers (we sometimes collectively refer to these executive officers as our named executive officers), including William J. Otto, former president and chief operating officer of Marcus Hotels, Inc., who resigned from our company effective November 18, 2011. We include the compensation that we paid to Mr. Otto in fiscal 2012 in the compensation tables set forth in this CD&A; however, as a result of his resignation prior to the end of our fiscal 2012, we do not describe the compensation that we paid to Mr. Otto in 2012 in the narrative portion of this CD&A, other than to describe the Separation Agreement and Release of Claims that we entered into with Mr. Otto in connection with his resignation. See Disclosure Regarding Termination and Change in Control Provisions Separation Agreement with William J. Otto for more information regarding Mr. Otto's Separation Agreement and the amounts that we paid to Mr. Otto under the Separation Agreement in fiscal 2012.

This CD&A is intended to provide you with a better understanding of why and how we make our executive compensation decisions and facilitate your reading of the information contained in the tables and descriptions that follow this discussion. Our CD&A is organized as follows:

Overview of Our Executive Compensation Philosophy. In this section, we describe our executive compensation philosophy and the core principles underlying our executive compensation programs and decisions.

Role of Our Compensation Committee. This section describes the process and procedures that our Compensation Committee followed to arrive at its executive compensation decisions.

Total Compensation. In this section, we describe our named executive officers' total compensation.

Elements of Compensation. This section includes a description of the types of compensation paid and payable to our named executive officers.

Executive Stock Ownership. This section describes the stock ownership of our named executive officers.

Impact of Tax, Accounting and Dilution Considerations. This section discusses Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (the Code) and certain accounting, financial reporting and shareholder dilution consequences that have impacted some of our executive compensation programs and decisions.

Overview of Our Executive Compensation Philosophy

Our executive compensation and benefit programs are designed to advance the following core compensation philosophies and principles:

We strive to compensate our executives at competitive levels to ensure that we attract, retain and motivate our key management employees who we expect will contribute significantly to our long-term success and value creation. We link our executives' compensation to the achievement of pre-established financial and individual performance goals that are focused on the creation of long-term shareholder value.

Our executive compensation policies are designed to foster an ownership mentality and an entrepreneurial spirit in our management team. We try to do this by providing our executives with a substantial long-term incentive compensation component that helps to more closely align our management's financial interests with those of our shareholders over an extended performance period, and that otherwise encourages our management team to take appropriate market-responsive risk-taking actions that will facilitate our long-term growth and success.

At our 2011 annual meeting of shareholders, our shareholders were asked to approve, by advisory vote, the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in the proxy statement for our 2011 annual

meeting. At our 2011 annual meeting, over 99% of the votes cast and over 97% of all shares entitled to vote at the meeting were voted in favor of the compensation of our named executive officers. In developing our executive compensation and benefit programs for fiscal 2012, we considered our shareholders' resounding approval of our executive compensation and benefit programs for fiscal 2011 at our 2011 annual meeting. As a result, and as we describe in this CD&A, we kept in place for fiscal 2012 many of the same executive compensation and benefit programs that were overwhelmingly approved by our shareholders at our 2011 annual meeting.

Role of Our Compensation Committee

Our Compensation Committee attempts to ensure that our executive compensation and benefit programs are consistent with our core compensation philosophies and principles by:

Analyzing aggregated composite survey and benchmark data from Towers Watson, a nationally-recognized executive compensation consulting firm, about the compensation levels of similarly situated executives at equivalently-sized companies in various industry sectors.

Reviewing on an annual basis the performance of our company and our named executive officers, with assistance and recommendations from our chief executive officer (other than with respect to himself and our chairman), and determining their total direct compensation based on competitive levels as measured against our surveyed sectors, our company's financial performance, each executive's individual performance and other factors described below.

Reviewing the performance and determining the total compensation earned by, or paid or awarded to, our chairman and chief executive officer independent of input from them.

Maintaining the practice of holding executive sessions (without management present) at every meeting of our Committee.

Taking into account the long-term interests of our shareholders in developing and implementing our executive compensation plans and in making our executive compensation decisions.

Our management annually engages the services of Towers Watson to provide our Committee with then current survey and benchmarking compensation data on a position-by-position basis for each of our named executive officers on a composite aggregated basis for various selected industry sectors. Specifically, Towers Watson has annually provided our Committee with composite aggregated data respecting the base salary and total direct compensation (i.e., base salary and bonus) for similarly situated executives at other companies with comparable annual revenue levels in the following sectors: (1) all organizations (including and excluding financial service organizations); (2) all non-manufacturing organizations; (3) service organizations; (4) leisure, hospitality and entertainment organizations (including motion picture theatre organizations); and (5) hotel organizations. The Committee chose these sectors so as to provide it with both a broad scope of applicable executive compensation data to consider, as well as more specific information at similarly-sized companies in comparable sectors. Towers Watson has not provided, and our Compensation Committee has not received, reviewed or considered, the individual identities of the companies which comprised these general sector categories of benchmarked organizations. For each of these sectors, Towers Watson has provided our Committee with aggregated compiled data and identified the 25th percentile, median and 75th percentile amounts for the base salary and total direct compensation amounts for executives similarly situated to the named executive officers in each sector. In particular, when reviewing this survey data, our Committee has paid particular attention to the composite benchmark salary and direct compensation data related to the leisure, hospitality and entertainment companies and hotel companies because our Committee believes that they are the most similar to our Hotels and Resorts and Theatre Divisions. In connection with our Committee's long-term equity-based incentive award grants, Towers Watson also provided our Committee with composite aggregated data regarding the value of competitive long-term incentive plans for similarly situated executives at other companies with comparable revenue levels, identifying the 25th percentile, median and 75th percentile amounts.

A different division of Towers Watson provides actuarial services and pension plan consulting to us. In fiscal 2012, we paid such division approximately \$51,000 for such actuarial and pension plan services which,

given the relative sizes of both our organizations, we believe to be a relatively immaterial amount. As a result, our Committee believes that Towers Watson is an independent provider of executive compensation advice to it.

In July 2012, our Committee conducted a thorough risk assessment of our compensation policies and practices. Our Committee evaluated the levels of risk-taking that could be potentially encouraged by each of our material compensation arrangements, after taking into account any relevant risk-mitigation features. As a result of this review, our Committee does not believe that our compensation policies and practices encourage excessive or unnecessary risk-taking.

Total Compensation

The compensation paid to our named executive officers consists of four main elements: (1) salary; (2) an annual incentive cash bonus; (3) a long-term incentive compensation award, which includes an annual stock option grant, an annual restricted stock award and an annual long-term performance cash award; and (4) other benefits, including those made available under our employee benefit plans. The combination of these elements is intended to provide our named executive officers with fair and competitive compensation that rewards corporate and individual performance and helps attract, retain and motivate highly qualified individuals who contribute to our long-term success and value creation. Additionally, these compensation elements, particularly our annual incentive cash bonus and long-term incentive awards, are designed to foster a shareholder mentality and the continuation of our entrepreneurial spirit by encouraging our executives to take appropriate market-responsive risk-taking actions that help create long-term shareholder value.

While the relative amounts of salaries and benefits provided to our named executive officers are intended to be set at competitive levels compared to our surveyed group of benchmarked companies, we provide our executives with the opportunity to earn significant additional amounts through performance-based annual cash bonuses and long-term incentive compensation programs.

For fiscal 2012, the total direct compensation (i.e., salary and annual cash bonus) paid to our named executive officers generally fell between the 50th and 75th percentile of the total direct compensation amounts paid to executives holding equivalent positions at our surveyed group of benchmarked sectors. In establishing these relative levels of compensation, our Committee first established the relative level of each of our named executive officer's base salary at between the 50th and 75th percentile of the salary paid to similarly situated executives at our surveyed group of benchmarked sectors. These decisions were based on the considerations discussed in more detail below under Elements of Compensation – Base Salaries. Then, our Committee established the relative level of each of our named executive officer's targeted annual cash bonus award that, if earned, would result in our payment of total direct compensation amounts that would generally fall between the 50th and 75th percentile of the total direct compensation paid to similarly situated executives at our surveyed group of benchmarked companies. These decisions were based on the considerations discussed in more detail below under Elements of Compensation – Annual Cash Bonuses. Our Committee subjectively believed that the targeted relative levels of total direct compensation to our named executive officers resulting from this process generally reflected the highly experienced nature of our senior executive team and was generally consistent with our historical corporate financial performance, the individual performance of our named executive officers and our prior shareholder return. Our Committee also believed that these total direct compensation levels were reasonable in their totality and supported our core compensation philosophies and principles. However, in establishing these relative compensation levels, our Committee did not specifically compare any of the criteria listed in the prior two sentences to our surveyed group of benchmarked sectors. For fiscal 2013, our Committee established the range of potential total direct compensation payable to our named executive officers at the same relative levels compared to updated recent information for our benchmarked sectors.

Mr. Greg Marcus, as our chief executive officer, has a higher percentage of his total compensation based on achieving his incentive bonus targets because our Committee believes that he has the most potential to impact our corporate financial performance. Our Committee believes that this emphasis and allocation most effectively links pay-for-performance.

Elements of Compensation

Base Salary

Our Compensation Committee, in consultation with our chief executive officer (other than with respect to decisions affecting himself and our chairman), strives to establish competitive base salaries for our named executive officers set at between the 50th and 75th percentile of the salaries paid to similarly situated executives at our surveyed group of benchmarked sectors. Each executive officer's salary is initially based on the level of his responsibilities, the relationship of such responsibilities to those of our other executive officers and his tenure at our company. We evaluate and adjust the base salaries of our named executive officers annually as of July 1 of each fiscal year. When evaluating and adjusting the salaries of our named executive officers (other than our chairman and chief executive officer), we consider the recommendations of our chief executive officer. In making his recommendations, our chief executive officer generally takes into account: (1) our corporate financial performance as a whole and on a divisional basis, when appropriate, for the most recent fiscal year compared to our historical and budgeted performance; (2) general economic conditions (including inflation) and the impact such conditions had on our operations and results; (3) each executive officer's past, and anticipated future, contributions to our performance; (4) each executive officer's compensation history with our company and the past levels of each element of total compensation; (5) how each executive officer's salary compares to the range of salaries of similarly situated executives at our surveyed group of benchmarked companies; (6) new responsibilities, if any, recently delegated, or to be delegated, to such officer; and (7) the executive's participation in significant corporate achievements during the prior fiscal year. Our Compensation Committee, while looking to our chief executive officer for his recommendations as to the salaries of our other named executive officers (other than with respect to himself and our chairman), also engages in its own independent review and judgment concerning such base salary adjustments based on the foregoing factors. When evaluating and adjusting our chief executive officer's and chairman's salary, our Compensation Committee independently, and without input from our chief executive officer, considers the factors cited above, as well as their respective ability to inspire subordinates with the vision of our company, and makes decisions accordingly. The seven factors listed above are only generally, and not individually or separately, analyzed, assessed and weighted by our Committee in its determination of the amount of base salary of each individual named executive officer. Our Committee subjectively assesses these factors in the aggregate based on the recommendations of our chief executive officer for all named executive officers other than himself and our chairman and, in the case of our chairman and chief executive officer, by our Committee on its own accord.

As a result of the process described above, for fiscal 2012, Stephen H. Marcus, Gregory S. Marcus, Douglas A. Neis, Bruce J. Olson and Thomas F. Kissinger received increases of 2.8%, 2.9%, 3.1%, 2.4% and 2.9%, respectively, in their base salaries. In fiscal 2012, the base salaries paid to Messrs. Stephen Marcus, Greg Marcus, Neis, Olson and Kissinger represented 33%, 29%, 34%, 32% and 39%, respectively, of their respective total compensation for such fiscal year as set forth below in the Summary Compensation Table. Based on our analyzing similar factors earlier this year, for fiscal 2013, Messrs. Stephen Marcus, Greg Marcus, Neis, Olson and Kissinger received an increase in their annual base salary of 4.1%, 3.7%, 3.0%, 3.4% and 5.0%, respectively.

Annual Cash Bonuses

We establish targeted potential annual cash bonus awards at the beginning of each fiscal year pursuant to our variable incentive plan, which our Compensation Committee administers. Our variable incentive plan allows our Committee to select from a variety of appropriate financial metrics upon which to base the financial targets for achieving a corresponding annual incentive bonus. Under our variable incentive plan, our Committee may choose from one or more of the following financial metrics, either in absolute terms or in comparison to prior year performance or

publicly available industry standards or indices: revenues; gross operating profit; operating income; pre-tax earnings; net earnings; earnings per share; earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (EBITDA); economic profit; operating margins and statistics; financial return and leverage ratios; total shareholder return metrics; or a company-specific financial metric (such as Adjusted EBITDA, adjusted consolidated pre-tax income (API) or adjusted division pre-tax income (ADI)). Additional financial measures not specified in the variable incentive plan may be considered if our

Committee determines that the specific measure contributes to achieving the primary goal of our incentive plan – sustained growth in long-term shareholder value. Our Committee retains the ability to consider whether an adjustment of the selected financial goals for any year is necessitated by exceptional circumstances. This ability is intended to be narrowly and infrequently used.

Targeted annual bonus awards under the variable incentive plan may be based on our relative achievement of the selected consolidated financial targets and/or divisional financial targets, as well as on discretionary individual performance measures that help enhance shareholder value as subjectively determined by our Compensation Committee. Our Committee also from time to time has granted special compensation awards to our named executive officers and other key employees to reward their integral involvement in significant corporate achievements or events. In fiscal 2012, our Committee granted special compensation awards to Messrs. Neis and Kissinger to reward their recent recognition as leaders in their respective areas of professional practice. Our Committee did not grant any special compensation awards to any of our named executive officers in fiscal 2010 or fiscal 2011.

At the beginning of each fiscal year, our Committee establishes applicable financial targets for such fiscal year for purposes of granting our named executive officers' target incentive cash bonus opportunities for that fiscal year. For each selected applicable financial target, our Committee also establishes a threshold minimum level of financial performance and a maximum level of financial performance relative to such target. If our actual financial performance equals our targeted financial metric, then the portion of our incentive bonus payouts based on achieving that financial target will be equal to 100% of the targeted bonus amount. If we do not achieve the specified minimum threshold level of financial performance, then no incentive bonus payouts based on financial performance will be paid. If we equal or exceed the specified maximum level of financial performance, then we will pay out up to 200% of the targeted amount of the incentive bonuses based on the level that we exceed the selected financial performance metric. Financial performance between the threshold and target levels and between the target and maximum levels will result in a prorated portion of the financial-based bonus being paid.

Our Committee established the financial component of each named executive officer's fiscal 2012 target incentive bonus opportunity as a percentage of API for corporate officers and as a percentage of theatre division ADI for Mr. Olson. Additionally, the Committee established each named executive officer's individual performance component of their fiscal 2012 target incentive bonus opportunity as a fixed percentage of their base salary, which was generally intended to approximate 25 – 35% of the total fiscal 2012 target incentive bonus opportunity for our chairman, chief executive officer and Theatre Division president and 40 – 50% for all of our other named executive officers, although these percentages may vary from year to year. If earned, our Committee believed that the bonuses at the target levels would result in our payment of total direct compensation to our named executive officers that would generally fall between the 50th and 75th percentile of total direct compensation paid to similarly situated executives at our benchmarked sectors. For fiscal 2012, targeted incentive bonus amounts for Messrs. Stephen Marcus, Greg Marcus, Neis, Olson and Kissinger were \$97,136, \$321,544, \$99,112, \$136,635 and \$102,112, respectively.

As a result of the foregoing, the targeted fiscal 2012 incentive bonus awards for Mr. Olson was based approximately 70% on achieving the fiscal 2012 ADI target of the theatre division, and approximately 30% on achieving his individual performance measures. The targeted fiscal 2012 Theatre Division ADI was approximately \$12.4 million.

The targeted fiscal 2012 incentive bonus awards for Messrs. Stephen Marcus and Greg Marcus were based approximately 65 – 75% on achieving our fiscal 2012 consolidated API target of \$30.1 million and approximately 25 – 35% on achieving their applicable individual performance measures. The targeted fiscal 2012 incentive bonus amounts for Messrs. Neis and Kissinger were based approximately 50% on achieving our fiscal 2012 consolidated API target and approximately 50% on achieving their applicable individual performance measures. We established these API and ADI targets based on stretch goals for fiscal 2012 for both our company and our theatre division. We established these targets with the belief that the level of achievability of the targets would likely be consistent with the relative level of achievement of our historical financial targets. Since the implementation of our incentive plan, we

have achieved between 25% – 153% of the applicable bonus based upon our consolidated financial targets and 0% – 178% of the applicable bonus based upon our division financial targets. Individual performance measures for fiscal 2012 included such officer's individual contributions and achievements during fiscal 2012, particularly as such

contributions and achievements relate to advancing our entrepreneurial spirit. For purposes of determining the relative achievement of our fiscal 2012 financial targets, we defined API as consolidated earnings before income taxes, excluding gains and losses from dispositions of assets, preopening expenses and unusual items, and less a goodwill amortization charge. Similarly, for these purposes, we defined ADI generally in the same manner as we do API, except that division earnings before income taxes is our beginning baseline metric instead of consolidated earnings before income taxes, and we also subtract an intercompany rent charge for company-owned real estate associated with each division. Our Committee also retained the ability to consider whether an adjustment of the selected financial goals for any year is necessitated by exceptional circumstances. This ability is intended to be narrowly and infrequently used and no such adjustments were made in fiscal 2012.

For fiscal 2012, our actual API was approximately \$35.4 million, or approximately 118% of our target amount of \$30.1 million, resulting in a bonus achievement based upon this financial measure of approximately 153%. Similarly, our actual fiscal 2012 theatre division ADI was approximately \$15.5 million, or 125% of the target amount of \$12.4 million, resulting in a bonus achievement based upon this financial measure of approximately 178%. Our Compensation Committee subjectively analyzed on a discretionary basis an executive officer's relative achievement of other fiscal 2012 individual performance measures, including achievements relating to advancing entrepreneurial spirit, with respect to each individual executive officer based on the recommendations of our chief executive officer for all named executive officers other than himself and our chairman and, in the case of our chief executive officer and our chairman, by our Committee on its own accord. As a result, and because each named executive officer was determined to have successfully achieved each of his respective individual performance criterion, Messrs. Stephen Marcus, Greg Marcus, Neis, Olson and Kissinger earned fiscal 2012 incentive bonus amounts of \$128,743, \$448,326, \$125,303, \$209,044 and \$128,303, respectively.

The targeted fiscal 2013 incentive bonus awards for Mr. Olson is based approximately 65% on achieving the fiscal 2013 ADI target of the theatre division, and approximately 35% on achieving his individual performance measures. The targeted fiscal 2013 incentive bonus awards for Messrs. Stephen Marcus and Greg Marcus is based approximately 60-75% on achieving our fiscal 2013 consolidated API target and approximately 25-40% on achieving his applicable individual performance measures. The targeted fiscal 2013 incentive bonus amounts for Messrs. Neis and Kissinger are based approximately 50% on achieving our fiscal 2013 consolidated API target and approximately 50% on achieving their applicable individual performance measures. As was the case for fiscal 2012, we established these API and ADI targets based on stretch goals for fiscal 2013 for both our company and our theatre division. Similarly, we established these targets with the belief that the level of achievability of the targets would likely be consistent with the relative level of achievement of our historical financial targets.

Long-Term Incentive Awards

We believe that long-term incentive awards support our core compensation philosophies and objectives because they encourage entrepreneurship and help our named executive officers to maintain a shareholder mentality in managing our businesses. We believe that using long-term incentive awards as an important component of our executive compensation package will further our goals of promoting continuity of management and increasing incentive and personal interest in our welfare by those employees who are primarily responsible for shaping or carrying out our long-range plans and securing our continued growth and financial success. Historically, we have granted stock options to a broad range of employees because we believe it is beneficial to our shareholders to have our employees maintain a shareholder orientation and an entrepreneurial spirit. In fiscal 2012, 49% of our employee stock options were granted to employees other than our named executive officers. In fiscal 2013, this percentage remained similarly high at 53%.

Our long-term incentive plan for our senior executives includes a mix of three compensation elements: stock options (typically expected to constitute approximately 50% of each annual long-term incentive award), performance cash (typically expected to constitute approximately 40% of each annual long-term incentive award) and restricted stock (typically expected to constitute approximately 10% of each annual long-term incentive award). Our Committee tries to target the relative size of our annual long-term incentive grants to place us at or above the median level of long-term grants provided by our benchmarked companies. Stock

options granted under the plan generally become exercisable 40% after two years, 60% after three years, 80% after four years and 100% after five years of the date of grant. The options generally expire ten years from the date of grant as long as the optionee is still employed with the Company. Our restricted stock grants have a vesting schedule of 50% after the third anniversary of grant and 100% after the fifth anniversary. Mr. Stephen Marcus is not eligible to receive any equity-based award grants under our stock option and equity awards plans.

Our long-term incentive plan for our senior executives includes a performance cash component in order to increase the plan's emphasis on linking the total compensation of our named executive officers to the relative level of our operating performance over the longer term. The performance cash component's measurement period is three years for grants made in fiscal 2010, four years for grants made in fiscal 2011 and five years for grants made in fiscal 2012 and fiscal 2013. The performance measures for the performance cash component are our average return on invested capital, or

ROIC, during the relevant measurement period, and our Adjusted EBITDA growth rate during the relevant measurement period, each of which is measured and calculated independently of each other, but with the relative achievement of our ROIC levels weighted 75% of the targeted total pay-out amount and our relative achievement of our Adjusted EBITDA growth rate weighted 25%. Under our cash performance plan, if our relative ROIC and/or Adjusted EBITDA growth rate over the relevant measurement period is equal to the 25th percentile of the respective Russell 2000 ROIC and/or Adjusted EBITDA growth rate over the measurement period, then the payment made to our named executive officers will be equal to 25% of the target pay-out amount for such respective performance measure. If we achieve performance of either or both measures equal to the 50th percentile, then the pay-out will be 100% of the target pay-out amount for such respective performance measure. If we achieve performance of either or both measures equal to the 75th percentile, then the pay-out will be 150% of the target pay-out amount for such respective performance measure. Performance achievements in between these percentiles will result in prorated pay-outs based on the foregoing pay-out ratios.

The performance cash grant made in fiscal 2010 had a three year measurement period ending in fiscal 2012. In order to calculate the payout under this performance cash grant, Towers Watson provided the Committee with the relative

ROIC and EBITDA growth rate data for the Russell 2000 during this same measurement period. During this measurement period, our ROIC was 3.3%, which was equal to approximately the 48th percentile of the Russell 2000, resulting in a performance cash achievement based upon this financial measure of approximately 93%. Our Adjusted EBITDA growth rate during this same measurement period was 2.5%, which was equal to approximately the 38th percentile of the Russell 2000, resulting in a performance cash achievement based upon this financial measure of approximately 64%. The combined weighted performance cash achievement for the fiscal 2010 grant equaled approximately 85%. As a result, Messrs. Greg Marcus, Neis, Olson and Kissinger earned fiscal 2012 performance cash amounts of \$175,987, \$63,995, \$95,993 and \$63,995.

Based on the foregoing considerations, our Committee granted the following fiscal 2012 long-term incentive awards to our named executive officers (other than to our chairman) based on benchmarking data provided to it by Towers Watson, as well as the recommendations of our chief executive officer (other than with respect to himself).

Name	Total Dollar Value of Long-Term Incentive Award (100%)	Dollar Value/ Shares ⁽¹⁾ of Option Component (45-48%)	Dollar Value/ Shares ⁽²⁾ of Restricted Stock Component (9-10%)	Dollar Value of Performance Cash Component Target Award (43-46%)
Mr. G. Marcus	\$ 524,630	\$ 243,600 / 60,000	\$ 51,030 / 5,250	\$ 230,000
Mr. D. Neis	\$ 194,818	\$ 91,350 / 22,500	\$ 18,468 / 1,900	\$ 85,000

Edgar Filing: MARCUS CORP - Form DEF 14A

Mr. B. Olson	\$ 273,044	\$ 121,800 / 30,000	\$ 26,244 / 2,700	\$ 125,000
Mr. T. Kissinger	\$ 210,940	\$ 101,500 / 25,000	\$ 19,440 / 2,000	\$ 90,000

Based on a fiscal 2012 FASB ASC Topic 718 option value per share of \$4.06. Each option granted has an exercise price of \$10.00 per share, which is equal to the closing sale price of our Common Shares on the July 26, 2011 stock option grant date.

(2) Based on the closing sale price of \$9.72 per share of our Common Shares on the July 14, 2011 restricted stock grant date.

17

Our Committee granted the following fiscal 2013 long-term incentive awards to our named executive officers (other than to our chairman) based on benchmarking data provided to it by Towers Watson, as well as the recommendations of our chief executive officer (other than with respect to himself).

Name	Total Dollar Value of Long-Term Incentive Award (100%)	Dollar Value/ Shares ⁽¹⁾ of Option Component (48-51%)	Dollar Value/ Shares ⁽²⁾ of Restricted Stock Component (10-11%)	Dollar Value of Performance Cash Component Target Award (39-41%)
Mr. G. Marcus	\$ 582,327	\$ 279,760 / 52,000	\$ 62,567 / 4,450	\$ 240,000
Mr. D. Neis	\$ 216,109	\$ 104,910 / 19,500	\$ 23,199 / 1,650	\$ 88,000
Mr. B. Olson	\$ 302,598	\$ 145,260 / 27,000	\$ 32,338 / 2,300	\$ 125,000
Mr. T. Kissinger	\$ 231,559	\$ 118,360 / 22,000	\$ 23,199 / 1,650	\$ 90,000

- Based on an estimated fiscal 2013 FASB ASC Topic 718 option value per share of \$5.38. Each option granted has
- (1) an exercise price of \$13.12 per share, which is equal to the closing sale price of our Common Shares on the July 31, 2012 stock option grant date.
 - (2) Based on the closing sale price of \$14.06 per share of our Common Shares on the July 17, 2012 restricted stock grant date.

Stock Option Policies

We generally follow a practice of granting stock options to all selected and then serving executives once a year on an annual fixed-date basis, with an effective grant date as of the third business day after the public release of our prior fiscal year financial results. We follow this practice so that the exercise price associated with our annual stock option grants is generally then most likely to reflect all then currently publicly available material information about our company. For newly hired executives or other employees to whom we determine to grant equity-based awards, such grants have an effective date upon our Committee's approval of such grants. We only grant options with an exercise price equal to the closing sale price of our Common Shares on the effective date of grant. All options are granted with an exercise price equal to 100% of the fair market value (i.e., closing sale price) of our Common Shares on the date of grant. Our options generally vest and become exercisable with respect to 40% of the shares after two years from the grant date, 60% after three years, 80% after four years and 100% after five years, and expire ten years after the grant date.

We have adopted a policy that prohibits the repricing of stock options, and we have never repriced any options (other than in connection with making equitable adjustments as required under our stock option and equity awards plans in connection with stock splits and our special cash dividend). Similarly, we have never engaged in any type of so-called stock option back dating practices or other similar grant date manipulations of stock options, and we will never do so in the future. While our chief executive officer recommends the recipients of our equity-based awards and the relative level of such awards, we do not delegate grant authority to him or any other members of our management.

We try to maintain our so-called burn rate of annual equity grants at around 1% of our fully-diluted outstanding Common Shares. In fiscal 2012 and fiscal 2013 to date, our total annual burn rate was approximately 0.9%, with less than 0.5% attributable to stock options and restricted stock granted to our executive officers.

Other Benefits

Qualified Retirement Plan

Our Pension Plus Plan is a profit-sharing plan with Code Section 401(k) features and covers all of our eligible employees and eligible employees of our subsidiaries, including our named executive officers, and uses a participating employee's aggregate direct compensation as the basis for determining the employee and employer contributions that are allocated to the employee's account. A participating employee may elect to make pre-tax deposits of up to 60% of his or her annual compensation. The Pension Plus Plan also provides for three types of employer contributions: (1) a basic contribution equal to 1% of a participating employee's annual compensation; (2) a discretionary matching contribution, which is currently equal to one-fourth of the

employee's pre-tax deposits not exceeding 6% of such annual compensation; and (3) a discretionary profit performance contribution determined by our board of directors each year. For purposes of the profit performance contribution, we and our subsidiaries are divided into three profit sharing groups, and the profit performance contribution for the participating employees employed by a particular profit sharing group is dependent on our overall operations meeting profitability targets, our having achieved a positive return on shareholders' equity and that profit sharing group's operating performance having been profitable. A participating employee's share of the annual profit performance contribution, if any, for the employee's profit sharing group is determined by multiplying the contribution amount by the ratio of the participating employee's annual compensation to the aggregate annual compensation of all participating employees in that profit sharing group. The employee's pre-tax savings deposits and the employer basic contributions allocated to a participating employee's account are fully vested upon deposit, and the employer matching and profit performance contribution are subject to a graduated vesting schedule resulting in full vesting after six or seven years of service. Each participating employee has the right to direct the investment of the employee's account in one or more of several available investment funds, including Common Shares. The vested portion of a participating employee's account balance becomes distributable only after the employee's termination of employment or upon attainment of age 59½, although the employee has the right while employed to borrow a portion of such vested portion or make a withdrawal of pre-tax savings deposits for certain hardship reasons that are prescribed by applicable federal law.

Nonqualified Deferred Compensation

Our Deferred Compensation Plan is a nonqualified defined contribution program whereby our eligible employees, including our named executive officers, may voluntarily make irrevocable elections to defer receipt of up to 100% of their annual direct compensation (*i.e.*, salary and/or incentive bonus) on a pre-tax basis. The irrevocable election must be made prior to the start of any calendar year to which it applies and must specify both a benefit payment commencement date and a form of payment (*i.e.*, lump sum or periodic installments). During each quarter of the deferral period, we apply to the deferred amount an earnings credit based on the average prime interest rate of a designated Milwaukee, Wisconsin bank. The benefits payable under the Deferred Compensation Plan (*i.e.*, the employee's deferred amount plus his or her earnings credits) will be paid out of our general corporate assets as they become due (*i.e.*, after the employee's specified commencement date).

Our Retirement Income and Supplemental Retirement Plan, or our Supplemental Plan, is available to eligible employees with annual compensation in excess of a specified level (*i.e.*, \$110,000 for calendar year 2012), including each of our named executive officers. The Supplemental Plan includes two components. The first component applies to certain participants (called RIP Participants) and provides nonqualified pension benefits consistent with those that the Supplemental Plan has historically provided. The second component applies to all other participants (called SRP Participants) and provides an account-based supplemental retirement benefit. All benefits payable under the Supplemental Plan are paid out of our general corporate assets as they become due after retirement or other termination.

A RIP Participant is an eligible employee who was a participant in the Supplemental Plan on December 31, 2008, and who met at least one of the following requirements on January 1, 2009: (1) the participant was age 50 or older; (2) the participant had 20 or more years of service; or (3) the participant was a member of the Corporate Executive Committee. All of our named executive officers are RIP Participants.

A RIP Participant in the Supplemental Plan is entitled to receive annual benefits substantially in accordance with the table set forth below, except that the amounts shown in the table do not reflect the applicable reductions for Social Security benefits and benefits funded by employer contributions that are payable under our other employee benefit plans. For a RIP Participant entitled to the highest level of Social Security benefits who retires at age 65 during calendar year 2012, the reduction in annual Supplemental Plan benefits would equal approximately \$13,944.

Final Five-Year Average Compensation	Estimated Annual Pension Plan Benefits for Representative Years of Service			
	15	20	25	30
\$100,000	\$25,000	\$33,300	\$41,667	\$50,000
200,000	50,000	66,600	83,334	100,000
350,000	87,500	116,550	145,834	175,000
500,000	125,000	166,500	208,335	250,000
650,000	162,500	216,450	270,835	325,000
800,000	200,000	266,400	333,333	400,000
950,000	237,500	316,350	395,836	475,000

The Supplemental Plan provides annual benefits to RIP Participants (calculated on a straight life annuity basis assuming the benefits commence at age 65) based on a formula that takes into account the employee's average total compensation for the five highest compensation years within the employee's last ten compensation years and the employee's years of service (up to a maximum of 30). In calculating employee compensation for purposes of determining contributions to the Supplemental Plan for RIP Participants, we use a participating employee's total direct compensation (which, for the named executive officers, is comprised of the salary and bonus amounts listed in the Summary Compensation Table below). In addition to a reduction equal to 50% of Social Security benefits, the Supplemental Plan reduces its benefits for RIP Participants by the benefits attributable to the employer contributions received by the participating employee under our other employee benefit plans, such as the Pension Plus Plan and our former qualified pension plans.

A RIP Participant is entitled to benefits under the Supplemental Plan upon normal retirement on or after age 65, early retirement after age 60 with at least five years of service, disability retirement after at least five years of service and other termination of employment after at least five years of service. A graduated vesting schedule, which provides for 50% vesting after five years of service and an additional 10% for each year of service thereafter, applies in the case of termination of employment before completing ten years of service or qualifying for normal, early or disability retirement.

The Supplemental Plan provides that the retirement benefits to which Mr. Stephen Marcus, who is a RIP Participant, is entitled upon his separation from service with us commenced effective January 1, 2009 and we calculate such benefits as if Mr. Stephen Marcus had terminated his employment with us on December 31, 2008. As a result, Mr. Stephen Marcus receives approximately \$300,127 in payments under our Supplemental Plan each fiscal year until his death.

The SRP Participants in the Supplemental Plan as of December 31, 2008 had their nonqualified supplemental pension benefits converted into an account balance benefit. The opening account balance for each of these individuals equaled the present value of his or her vested accrued supplemental pension benefit calculated under the Supplemental Plan as if such participant terminated employment on December 31, 2008. Each new SRP Participant in the Supplemental Plan will have an account balance benefit with an opening balance of zero.

Each SRP Participant's account is credited with an allocation as of the last day of each calendar year if (1) the participant is considered a highly compensated employee for such year (i.e., for 2012, had annual compensation in excess of \$110,000 during the prior year), and (2) the participant has been credited with 1,000 hours of service during such year and is employed by us on the last day of such year, or has terminated employment during such year as a result of death, total and permanent disability, or retirement on or after age 65 with five years of service.

Each SRP Participant's annual allocation depends on his or her employment status as of the last day of the calendar year. A SRP Participant who is a member of our corporate executive committee (group one), or who is a senior vice president, vice president, senior corporate associate or hotel general manager (group two), receives an allocation equal to a percentage of such participant's compensation depending on such participant's number of points. Points are determined by combining a participant's age (as of his or her most recent birthday) and years of service as of the last day of a calendar year. The participant's compensation for

this purpose is his or her total direct compensation. Each participant in group one or group two is eligible for an allocation equal to the percentage of compensation as forth in the following table:

Points	Group One Percentage of Compensation	Group Two Percentage of Compensation
<60	4%	2.0%
60 69	5%	2.5%
70 79	6%	3.0%
80+	7%	3.5%

Each other SRP Participant receives an allocation equal to 0.5% of his or her compensation, without regard to points.

All accounts are credited quarterly with simple interest at the reference rate declared by Chase Bank N.A.

Each SRP Participant is 100% vested in his or her account upon termination of employment due to death, total and permanent disability, or retirement on or after age 65 with five years of service. In all other cases, an SRP Participant is vested in accordance with a graduated vesting schedule which provides for 50% vesting after five years of service and an additional 10% for each year of service thereafter. Each SRP Participant was required prior to December 31,

2008, to irrevocably elect the benefit payment date (or commencement date) and a form of payment for his or her account. Each new SRP Participant is required to make this election within the first 30 days of his or her participation date. Thereafter, every five years (e.g., 2010, 2015, 2020), a participant may make a new irrevocable election to apply to the allocations made to his or her account in the subsequent five years. An SRP Participant's vested account will be paid on the later of his or her separation from service or the age elected by him or her, which must not be earlier than age 60 or later than age 65. An SRP Participant may elect to have his or her vested account paid in a single lump sum payment or installment payments over a number of years selected by the participant (not more than 10). If no election is made, an SRP Participant's vested account will be paid in the form of a lump sum at the participant's attainment of age 65, or separation from service, if later.

Executive Long Term Disability Plan

Our Executive Long Term Disability Plan provides supplemental long term disability insurance coverage for certain of our senior employees, including our named executive officers. The long term disability benefits that we provide under our Executive Long Term Disability Plan are in addition to any long term disability benefits that we provide to our employees generally and are fully insured under one or more individual insurance policies that we issue to each participant in the Executive Long Term Disability Plan. We are the named fiduciary for benefit claims under our Executive Long Term Disability Plan, and we have the right to determine all claims and appeals relating to the benefits that we provide under our Executive Long Term Disability Plan.

Perquisites

While our named executive officers may from time to time use certain of our properties for personal reasons, we generally incur no, or in some cases only nominal, incremental costs associated with such usage. We encourage our executive officers to personally use our properties because we believe that it is very important for our executives to be intimately familiar with our properties, our service and product offerings, and our markets. We believe that such personal hands-on experiences help us to enhance our customer services and be better positioned to understand, manage and operate our businesses. We otherwise provide only nominal perquisites to our named executive officers. No perquisites that we provided in fiscal 2012 to any named executive officer, individually or in the aggregate, had an incremental cost to us of in excess of \$10,000.

Separation Agreement with William J. Otto

In connection with Mr. Otto's resignation from our company effective November 18, 2011, we entered into a Separation Agreement and Release of Claims with Mr. Otto. We entered into the Separation Agreement with Mr. Otto in recognition of Mr. Otto's years of dedicated and successful service to Marcus Hotels, Inc. See Disclosure Regarding Termination and Change in Control Provisions Separation Agreement with

William J. Otto for more information regarding Mr. Otto's Separation Agreement and the amounts that we paid to Mr. Otto under the Separation Agreement in fiscal 2012.

Executive Stock Ownership

We have not adopted any executive or director stock ownership guidelines, although the Marcus family beneficially owns approximately 29.4% of our outstanding Common Shares and, therefore, is the largest shareholder group in our company. Our other named executives each beneficially own significant amounts of our Common Shares through direct stock ownership, restricted stock awards and stock option grants. As of the Record Date, Messrs. Olson, Kissinger and Neis beneficially owned 264,963 shares, 137,285 shares, and 140,245 shares, respectively. As a result, we believe that our senior management team's financial interests are significantly and directly related to the economic interests of our shareholders without the necessity of imposing arbitrary stock ownership guidelines. We have no policy prohibiting our executive officers or directors from hedging their Common Shares or otherwise pledging their Common Shares as collateral security for personal loans.

Impact of Tax, Accounting and Dilution Considerations

Prior to fiscal 2012, as a result of our executives' compensation levels at the time, we did not take any action to qualify bonuses earned under our annual incentive bonus plan or restricted stock awards to comply with the regulations under Section 162(m) of the Code relating to the \$1 million cap on executive compensation deductibility. We believed that stock options granted under our various stock option plans would qualify for tax deductibility under Section 162(m). At our 2011 annual meeting of shareholders, our shareholders approved an amendment and restatement of our 2004 Equity and Incentive Awards Plan (the "Plan") to, among other things, make compensation awarded under the Plan eligible to qualify as performance-based compensation for purposes of Section 162(m), which qualification preserves the tax deductibility of such compensation in excess of the \$1 million cap. The amendment and restatement also authorized the grant of cash-based incentive awards under the Plan to enable us to establish our future incentive award programs (annual and long-term) under the Plan and qualify the programs as performance-based compensation for purposes of Section 162(m) of the Code.

In addition to Section 162(m) deductibility considerations, our Compensation Committee carefully considers the accounting and financial reporting expenses associated with our grants of equity-based awards. We also consider the relative level of potential dilution to our shareholders resulting from such grants. As a result, we attempt to maintain an annual equity-based grant burn rate level of approximately 1% of our fully-diluted outstanding Common Shares.

Summary Compensation Table

Set forth below is information regarding the compensation earned by, paid or awarded to the following executive officers during fiscal 2012, fiscal 2011 and fiscal 2010: (1) Stephen H. Marcus, our chairman of the board; (2) Gregory S. Marcus, our president and our chief executive officer; (3) Douglas A. Neis, our chief financial officer and treasurer; (4) Bruce J. Olson, our senior vice president and president of Marcus Theatres Corporation; (5) William J. Otto, former president and chief operating officer of Marcus Hotels, Inc.; and (6) Thomas F. Kissinger, our vice president, general counsel and secretary. Messrs. Stephen Marcus, Greg Marcus, Neis, Olson, Otto and Kissinger comprised our named executive officers for fiscal 2012.

The following table sets forth for our named executive officers the following information for each of our last three fiscal years: (1) the dollar amount of base salary earned; (2) the grant date fair value of all long-term equity-based awards held by each named executive officer; (3) the dollar amount of cash bonuses earned under our incentive bonus plan; (4) the change in pension value and the dollar amount of above-market earnings on nonqualified deferred compensation; (5) the dollar amount of all other compensation; and (6) the dollar value of total compensation.

Name and Current Principal Position	Fiscal Year	Salary	Bonus	Restricted Stock Awards ⁽¹⁾	Option Awards ⁽²⁾	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation ⁽³⁾	Change in Pension Value and Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Earnings ⁽⁴⁾	All Other Compensation ⁽⁵⁾	Total ⁽⁶⁾
Stephen H. Marcus Chairman of the Board	2012	\$368,884	\$	\$	\$	\$128,743	\$265,000	\$347,822	\$1,110,449
	2011	358,823				63,931	60,000	361,602	844,456
	2010	350,000				64,451	169,000	295,199	878,650
Gregory S. Marcus CEO and President	2012	538,327		51,030	243,600	624,313	406,000	18,110	1,881,380
	2011	522,307		54,548	299,400	150,000	229,000	10,005	1,265,260
	2010	500,000		49,500	319,550	162,557	194,000	8,507	1,234,299
Douglas A. Neis CFO and Treasurer	2012	328,884	5,000	18,468	91,350	189,298	309,000	15,720	957,720
	2011	318,923		19,741	112,275	61,108	177,000	9,588	698,635
	2010	308,962		18,000	116,200	64,505	151,000	8,184	666,851
Bruce J. Olson Senior Vice President, President of Marcus Theatres Corporation	2012	433,884		26,244	121,800	305,037	430,000	22,407	1,339,372
	2011	423,923		28,053	149,700	42,500	258,000	10,669	912,845
	2010	413,962		27,000	174,300	93,869	433,000	10,345	1,152,476
William J. Otto Former President and COO Marcus Hotels, Inc. ⁽⁷⁾	2012	191,115		19,440	91,350		283,000	385,364	970,269
	2011	328,923		23,378	124,750	50,000	134,000	8,823	669,874
	2010	320,000		22,500	145,250	46,400	127,000	7,857	669,007
Thomas F. Kissinger Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary	2012	348,885	5,000	19,440	101,500	192,298	222,000	14,759	903,882
	2011	338,923		19,741	112,275	75,000	107,000	9,311	662,250
	2010	328,961		18,000	116,200	67,500	89,000	8,725	628,386

(1) Reflects the grant date fair value of the restricted stock awarded as determined using the closing sale price of our Common Shares on such date. The amount was computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718.

(2) Reflects the grant date fair value of the options awarded as determined using the closing sale price of our Common Shares on such date. The amount was computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. The assumptions made in the valuations are discussed in Footnote 5 to our fiscal 2012 financial statements.

(3) Reflects cash bonuses earned under our incentive bonus plan and cash awards earned under the performance cash component of our long-term incentive plan in connection with our achievement of the specific performance targets described above in the CD&A under Cash Bonuses and Long-Term Incentive Awards.

(4) The numbers in this column reflect the sum of (1) the aggregate change in the actuarial present value of accumulated benefits under our Supplemental Plan from the plan measurement date used for financial statement reporting purposes with respect to the applicable fiscal year to the plan measurement date used for financial

statement reporting purposes with respect to the applicable fiscal year, and (2) above-market earnings in our Deferred Compensation Plan. The present value of accumulated benefits under our Supplemental Plan increased during fiscal 2012 due to the fact that the assumed discount rate used to calculate the actuarial present value was reduced during the year.

- (5) \$44,883, \$58,625 and \$(7,794) of the figures in this column for Mr. Stephen Marcus represents imputed income (loss) on split-dollar life insurance premiums paid by us for fiscal 2012, fiscal 2011 and fiscal 2010, respectively. \$300,127, \$300,127 and \$300,127 of the amount in this column for Mr. Stephen Marcus represents payments received under our Supplemental Plan in fiscal 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.
- (6) We paid \$7,700, \$6,707, \$12,200, \$4,574 and \$6,121 in premiums in fiscal 2012 under our Executive Long Term Disability Plan on behalf of Messrs. Greg Marcus, Neis, Olson, Otto and Kissinger, respectively.
- (7) Mr. Otto resigned from our company effective November 18, 2011. The amount shown in the All Other Compensation column for Mr. Otto includes (a) \$170,000 in respect of base salary that we paid to Mr. Otto

23

following the date of Mr. Otto's resignation, (b) \$179,990 in respect of certain stock option and restricted stock awards that vested in connection with Mr. Otto's resignation, (c) \$21,138 in respect of the value of a company vehicle, ownership of which was transferred to Mr. Otto following the date of Mr. Otto's resignation, and (d) \$3,471 in respect of COBRA premiums that we paid on behalf of Mr. Otto following the date of Mr. Otto's resignation, in each case, pursuant to the terms of the Separation Agreement and Release of Claims that we entered into with Mr. Otto. We previously reported the value of the stock option and restricted stock awards in the fiscal year in which they were granted.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards

We maintain our 1995 Equity Incentive Plan, 2004 Equity and Incentive Awards Plan and our Long Term Incentive Plan, pursuant to which grants of restricted stock, stock options, performance stock awards and performance cash awards may be made to our named executive officers (other than Mr. Stephen Marcus, who is not eligible to receive any equity-based awards under our equity plans), as well as other employees. The following table sets forth information regarding all such incentive plan awards that were granted to our named executive officers in fiscal 2012. The amounts set forth below should not be added to amounts set forth in the Summary Compensation Table.

Name	Grant Date ⁽¹⁾	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards ⁽²⁾		Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards		All Other Stock Awards: No. of Shares of Stock or Units	All Other Awards: No. of Securities Underlying Options	Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards ⁽³⁾
		Through Target	Maximum	Through Target	Maximum				
Mr. S. Marcus	N/A	0	\$97,136	\$229,435					
Mr. G. Marcus	07/14/11 07/26/11	0	551,544	1,195,741	5,250		60,000	\$10.00	\$51,030 \$243,600
Mr. Neis	07/14/11 07/26/11	0	184,112	335,758	1,900		22,500	\$10.00	\$18,468 \$91,350
Mr. Olson	07/14/11 07/26/11	0	261,635	529,032	2,700		30,000	\$10.00	\$262,444 \$121,800
Mr. Otto	07/14/11 07/26/11	0	241,280	535,796	2,000		22,500	\$10.00	\$19,440 \$91,350
Mr. Kissinger	07/14/11 07/26/11	0	192,112	346,258	2,000		25,000	\$10.00	\$19,440 \$101,500

(1) Our equity award granting practices are described above in the CD&A.

(2) Reflects potential payouts under our annual incentive bonus plan and our performance cash component of our long-term incentive plan. For fiscal 2012, maximum awards were limited to approximately 200-250% of the named executive officer's target award under our incentive bonus plan and 150% of the target award under the

performance cash component of our long-term incentive plan.

(3) The full grant date fair value of each equity award calculated in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718.

The portion of the above amounts of non-equity incentive plan (i.e., cash bonus) awards under our incentive plan were determined pursuant to our achievement in fiscal 2012 of the specific performance targets described above in the CD&A. The portion of the above amounts of non-equity incentive plan (i.e., long-term performance cash) awards under our long-term incentive plan were determined pursuant to our achievement in fiscal 2016 of the specific five-year performance targets described above in the CD&A. The number of our Common Shares subject to stock options and restricted stock awards granted to our named executive officers were also determined as described above in the CD&A.

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End

The following table sets forth information on outstanding stock option and restricted stock awards held by our named executive officers at our fiscal 2012 year-end on May 31, 2012, including the number of Common Shares underlying both exercisable and unexercisable portions of each stock option, as well as the exercise price and expiration date of each outstanding option.

Name	Option Awards					Restricted Stock Awards				
	No. of Common Shares Underlying Unexercised Options (#Exercisable)	No. of Common Shares Underlying Exercised Options (#Unexercisable)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: No. of Common Shares Underlying Unexercised Options	Option Exercise Price	Option Expiration Date	No. of Common Shares That Have Not Vested	Market Value of Common Shares That Have Not Vested ⁽¹⁾	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: No. of Common Shares That Have Not Vested	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market Payout Value of Unearned Shares That Have Not Vested	
Mr. S. Marcus	N/A									
Mr. G. Marcus	35,645			10.91	07/11/2012					
	10,693			10.25	09/08/2013					
	10,694			12.73	08/18/2014					
	14,258			14.07	10/06/2015					
	15,000			19.74	07/31/2016					
	12,000	3,000	(2)	20.40	08/02/2017					
	60,000	40,000	(3)	15.59	07/29/2018					
	22,000	33,000	(4)	13.34	07/28/2019					
		60,000	(5)	11.89	07/27/2020					
		60,000	(6)	10.00	07/26/2021					
						3,750 ⁽⁷⁾	\$49,988			
						1,575 ⁽⁸⁾	20,995			
						1,575 ⁽⁹⁾	20,995			
						4,950 ⁽¹⁰⁾	65,984			
						5,250 ⁽¹¹⁾	69,983			
						5,250 ⁽¹²⁾	69,983			
Mr. Neis	10,693			10.25	09/08/2013					
	10,693			12.73	08/18/2014					
	14,258			14.07	10/06/2015					
	15,000			19.74	07/31/2016					
	12,000	3,000	(2)	20.40	08/02/2017					
	12,000	8,000	(13)	15.59	07/29/2018					
	8,000	12,000	(14)	13.34	07/28/2019					

Edgar Filing: MARCUS CORP - Form DEF 14A

	22,500	(15)	11.89	07/27/2020		
	22,500	(16)	10.00	07/26/2021		
					2,500 ⁽¹⁷⁾	33,325
					625 ⁽¹⁸⁾	8,331
					625 ⁽¹⁹⁾	8,331
					1,800 ⁽²⁰⁾	23,994
					1,900 ⁽²¹⁾	25,327
					1,900 ⁽²²⁾	25,327
Mr. Olson	11,407		10.91	07/11/2012		
	8,555		10.25	09/08/2013		
	14,258		12.73	08/18/2014		
	14,258		14.07	10/06/2015		
	15,000		19.74	07/31/2016		
	12,000	3,000 ⁽²⁾	20.40	08/02/2017		
	15,000	10,000 ⁽²³⁾	15.59	07/29/2018		
	12,000	18,000 ⁽²⁴⁾	13.34	07/28/2019		
		30,000 ⁽²⁵⁾	11.89	07/27/2020		
		30,000 ⁽²⁶⁾	10.00	07/26/2021		
					3,750 ⁽⁷⁾	49,988
					2,700 ⁽²⁷⁾	35,991
					2,700 ⁽²⁸⁾	35,991
					2,700 ⁽²⁹⁾	35,991
Mr. Otto	7,882		12.73	08/18/2014		
	14,258		14.07	10/06/2015		
	15,000		19.74	07/31/2016		
	15,000		20.40	08/02/2017		
	25,000		15.59	07/29/2018		
	25,000		13.34	07/28/2019		
	15,351		10.00	07/26/2021		

25

Name	Option Awards			Restricted Stock Awards			Equity Incentive Plan Awards:	
	No. of Common Shares Underlying Unexercised Options (#Exercisable)	No. of Common Shares Underlying Exercised Options (#Exercised)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: No. of Common Shares Underlying Unexercised Options	Option Exercise Price	Option Expiration Date	No. of Common Shares That Have Not Vested	Market Value of Common Shares That Have Not Vested ⁽¹⁾	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: No. of Common Shares That Have Not Vested
Mr. Kissinger	10,693			10.25	09/08/2013			
	10,693			12.73	08/18/2014			
	14,258			14.07	10/06/2015			
	15,000			19.74	07/31/2016			
	12,000	3,000	(2)	20.40	08/02/2017			
	12,000	8,000	(13)	15.59	07/29/2018			
	8,000	12,000	(14)	13.34	07/28/2019			
		22,500	(15)	11.89	07/27/2020			
		25,000	(30)	10.00	07/26/2021			
						2,500 ⁽¹⁷⁾	33,325	
						925 ⁽³¹⁾	12,330	
						925 ⁽³²⁾	12,330	
						1,800 ⁽²⁰⁾	23,994	
						1,900 ⁽²¹⁾	25,327	
						2,000 ⁽³³⁾	26,660	

(1) Reflects the amount calculated by multiplying the number of unvested restricted shares by the closing price of our Common Stock as of May 31, 2012 of \$13.33.

(2) 3,000 options vested on August 2, 2012.

(3) 20,000 options vested on July 29, 2012 and 20,000 options will vest on July 29, 2013.

(4) 11,000 options vested on July 28, 2012, and 11,000 options will vest on each of July 28, 2013 and July 28, 2014.

(5) 24,000 options vested on July 27, 2012, and 12,000 options will vest on each of July 27, 2013, July 27, 2014 and July 27, 2015.

(6) 24,000 options will vest on July 26, 2013, and 12,000 options will vest on each of July 26, 2014, July 26, 2015 and July 26, 2016.

(7) 1,875 shares of restricted stock will vest on September 8, 2013, and any shares remaining will vest upon retirement, permanent disability or death.

(8) 787 shares of restricted stock will vest on December 3, 2014, and any shares remaining will vest upon retirement, permanent disability or death.

(9) 787 shares of restricted stock will vest on August 31, 2015, and any shares remaining will vest upon retirement, permanent disability or death.

(10) 2,475 shares of restricted stock vested on July 7, 2012 and 2,475 options will vest on July 7, 2014.

- (11) 2,625 shares of restricted stock will vest on each of July 20, 2013 and July 20, 2015.
 - (12) 2,625 shares of restricted stock will vest on each of July 14, 2014 and July 14, 2016.
 - (13) 4,000 options vested on July 29, 2012 and 4,000 options will vest on July 29, 2013.
 - (14) 4,000 options vested on July 28, 2012, and 4,000 options will vest on each of July 28, 2013 and July 28, 2014.
 - (15) 9,000 options vested on July 27, 2012, and 4,500 options will vest on each of July 27, 2013, July 27, 2014 and July 27, 2015.
 - (16) 9,000 options will vest on July 26, 2013, and 4,500 options will vest on each of July 26, 2014, July 26, 2015 and July 26, 2016.
 - (17) 1,250 shares of restricted stock will vest on September 8, 2013, and any shares remaining will vest upon retirement, permanent disability or death.
 - (18) 312 shares of restricted stock will vest on December 3, 2014, and any shares remaining will vest upon retirement, permanent disability or death.
 - (19) 312 shares of restricted stock will vest on August 31, 2015, and any shares remaining will vest upon retirement, permanent disability or death.
 - (20) 900 shares of restricted stock vested on July 7, 2012 and 900 shares of restricted stock will vest on July 7, 2014.
-

- (21) 950 shares of restricted stock will vest on each of July 20, 2013 and July 20, 2015.
- (22) 950 shares of restricted stock will vest on each of July 14, 2014 and July 14, 2016.
- (23) 5,000 options vested on July 29, 2012 and 5,000 options will vest on July 29, 2013.
- (24) 6,000 options vested on July 28, 2012 and 6,000 options will vest on each of July 28, 2013 and July 28, 2014.
- (25) 12,000 options vested on July 27, 2012, and 6,000 options will vest on each of July 27, 2013, July 27, 2014 and July 27, 2015.
- (26) 12,000 options will vest on July 26, 2013, and 6,000 options will vest on each of July 26, 2014, July 26, 2015 and July 26, 2016.
- (27) 1,350 shares of restricted stock vested on July 7, 2012 and 1,350 shares of restricted stock will vest on July 7, 2014.
- (28) 1,350 shares of restricted stock will vest on each of July 20, 2013 and July 20, 2015.
- (29) 1,350 shares of restricted stock will vest on each of July 14, 2014 and July 14, 2016.
- (30) 10,000 options will vest on July 26, 2013, and 5,000 options will vest on each of July 26, 2014, July 26, 2015 and July 26, 2016.
- (31) 462 shares of restricted stock will vest on December 3, 2014, and any shares remaining will vest upon retirement, permanent disability or death.
- (32) 462 shares of restricted stock will vest on August 31, 2015, and any shares remaining will vest upon retirement, permanent disability or death.
- (33) 1,000 shares of restricted stock will vest on each of July 14, 2014 and July 14, 2016.

Option Exercises and Restricted Stock Vested

The following table sets forth information regarding each exercise of stock options and vesting of restricted stock that occurred during fiscal 2012 for each of our named executive officers on an aggregated basis. The amounts set forth below should not be added to the amounts set forth in the Summary Compensation Table.

Name	Number of Shares Acquired on Option Exercise	Value Realized on Option Exercise ⁽¹⁾	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting of Restricted Shares	Value Realized on Vesting of Restricted Shares ⁽²⁾
Mr. S. Marcus	N/A		N/A	
Mr. G. Marcus			3,750	\$ 32,325
Mr. Neis	14,258	\$ 27,430	3,750	32,325
Mr. Olson	14,257	796	3,750	32,325
Mr. Otto	35,000	71,446	14,000	156,965
Mr. Kissinger	22,216	33,512	3,750	32,325

Reflects the amount calculated by multiplying the number of shares received upon exercise of options by the difference between the closing price of our Common Shares on the exercise date and the exercise price of the exercised options.

Reflects the amount calculated by multiplying the number of vested restricted shares by the closing price of our Common Shares on the date the restricted shares vested.

Pension Benefits

The following table sets forth the actuarial present value of each named executive officer's accumulated benefits under our Supplemental Plan as of our fiscal 2012 year-end on May 31, 2012, assuming benefits are paid at normal retirement age based on current levels of compensation, as well as payments made to Mr. Stephen Marcus during fiscal 2012 under our Supplemental Plan as a result of his retirement on January 6, 2009 as our chief executive officer. The table also shows the number of years of credited service under each such plan, which are subject to a maximum of 30. The amounts set forth below should not be added to the amounts set forth in the Summary Compensation Table.

Name	Plan Name	Number of Years Credited Service	Present Value of Accumulated Benefits	Payments During Last Fiscal Year
Mr. S. Marcus	Supplemental Plan	30 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 3,629,000	\$ 300,127
Mr. G. Marcus	Supplemental Plan	20	1,037,000	
Mr. Neis	Supplemental Plan	26	951,000	
Mr. Olson	Supplemental Plan	30 ⁽¹⁾	2,669,000	
Mr. Otto	Supplemental Plan	18	872,000	
Mr. Kissinger	Supplemental Plan	18	591,000	

Mr. Stephen Marcus has been employed by us for 50 years, but his years of credited service under the (1) Supplemental Plan are subject to a maximum of 30. Similarly, Mr. Olson has been employed by us for 38 years, but his years of credited service under the Supplemental Plan are subject to a maximum of 30.

Our Supplemental Plan benefits payable to Messrs. Stephen Marcus, Greg Marcus, Neis, Olson, Otto and Kissinger are determined under the formula illustrated above in the CD&A. Covered compensation for purposes of the Supplemental Plan consists of salary, bonus and non-equity incentive compensation. As of our fiscal 2012 year-end on May 31, 2012, the estimated annual benefits payable under the Supplemental Plan at normal retirement age to Messrs.

Stephen Marcus, Greg Marcus, Neis, Olson, Otto and Kissinger were \$300,000, \$163,000, \$117,000, \$225,000, \$70,000 and \$79,000, respectively. The payments to Mr. Stephen Marcus under the Supplemental Plan in fiscal 2012 are discussed above under Nonqualified Deferred Compensation.

Nonqualified Deferred Compensation

The following table sets forth annual executive and Company contributions under our Deferred Compensation Plan, as well as each named executive officer's withdrawals, earnings and fiscal year end balances in those plans. The amounts set forth below should not be added to the amounts set forth in the Summary Compensation Table.

Name	Executive Contributions in Fiscal 2012	Company Contributions in Fiscal 2012	Aggregate Earnings in Fiscal 2012 ⁽¹⁾	Aggregate Withdrawals/ Distributions In Fiscal 2012	Aggregate Balance at May 31, 2012
Mr. S. Marcus	\$	\$	\$ 14,560	\$ 99,976	\$ 379,616
Mr. G. Marcus	27,967		2,165		81,382
Mr. Neis					
Mr. Olson	16,215		1,027	40,145	21,566

Mr. Otto	3,378	2,389	73,714
Mr. Kissinger	48,134	17,804	567,131

(1) The amounts reported in this column were not considered above-market earnings and therefore are not reported as compensation in the Summary Compensation Table.

Disclosure Regarding Termination and Change in Control Provisions

Employment, Severance and Change in Control Agreements

We do not provide our executives with individual employment, severance or change-in-control agreements, other than the benefit plans otherwise described above in the CD&A and our standard policies generally applicable to all salaried employees. Generally, the vesting period for our stock option grants, restricted stock awards and performance cash awards will be accelerated upon normal retirement or death.

Our Compensation Committee has discretion to accelerate the vesting of such grants and awards upon a potential future change-in-control of our company.

Separation Agreement with William J. Otto

William J. Otto resigned from our company effective November 18, 2011. In connection with Mr. Otto's resignation, we entered into a Separation Agreement and Release of Claims with Mr. Otto. Pursuant to the Separation Agreement, we agreed to provide to Mr. Otto, among other things: (a) continued payment of Mr. Otto's then current annual salary of \$340,000 payable over a 12-month period; (b) a lump sum payment of \$45,120 payable in December 2012, subject to Mr. Otto's compliance with certain restrictive covenants set forth in the Separation Agreement, representing a portion of Mr. Otto's long-term cash incentive grant that he may have earned had he remained employed by us; and (c) a portion of Mr. Otto's COBRA premiums (if Mr. Otto qualifies for and elects COBRA benefit continuation coverage) for a period of up to 18 months. In addition, all unvested stock option and restricted stock awards that we had previously granted to Mr. Otto became fully vested on November 18, 2011. Also pursuant to the Separation Agreement, Mr. Otto agreed to certain confidentiality, non-solicitation and non-competition covenants in favor of us.

Non-Employee Director Compensation

The following table sets forth information regarding the compensation received by each of our non-employee directors during fiscal 2012. Our other directors are named executive officers and receive no compensation for their services as directors and are therefore omitted from the table.

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash	Stock Awards ⁽¹⁾	Restricted Stock Awards ⁽²⁾	Option Awards ⁽³⁾	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation	Change in Pension Value and Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Earnings	All Other Compensation ⁽⁴⁾	Total
Diane Marcus Gershowitz	\$24,500	\$5,736	\$13,330	\$2,622			\$57,900	\$104,088
Daniel F. McKeithan, Jr.	31,250	5,736	13,330	2,622				52,938
Allan H. Selig	26,750	5,736	13,330	2,622				48,438
Timothy E. Hoeksema	27,750	5,736	13,330	2,622				49,438
Philip L. Milstein	29,250	5,736	13,330	2,622				50,938
Bronson J. Haase	28,250	5,736	13,330	2,622				49,938
James D. Ericson	25,500	5,736	13,330	2,622				47,188
Brian J. Stark ⁽⁵⁾	16,375	4,911	19,775	7,677				48,738

Other than with respect to Brian J. Stark, the dollar amount is equal to the number of shares issued multiplied by our closing share price on October 11, 2011, the date the shares were issued. With respect to Mr. Stark, the dollar amount is equal to the number of shares issued multiplied by our closing share price on January 10, 2012, the date the shares were issued upon his election to the board of directors.

(2)

Reflects the grant date fair value of the restricted stock awarded as determined using the closing sale price of our Common Shares on such date. The amount was computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718.

Reflects the grant date fair value of the options awarded as determined using the closing sale price of our Common Shares on such date. The amount was computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. The assumptions made in the valuations are discussed in Footnote 5 to our fiscal 2012 financial statements.

- (4) The amount of \$57,900 included for Diane Marcus Gershowitz represents imputed income on split-dollar life insurance premiums paid by us.

- (5) Our board of directors elected Brian J. Stark to our board of directors on January 10, 2012. In connection with his election to our board of directors, we awarded options to purchase 1,000 Common Shares at a price of \$12.89 per share and 500 shares of restricted stock to Mr. Stark. The stock option and restricted stock awards were each made under our non-employee director compensation plan. In addition, we paid to Mr. Stark a prorated portion of the annual retainer paid to our non-employee directors based on his January 10, 2012 election date.

Pursuant to our non-employee director compensation plan, prior to August 1, 2012, our non-employee directors were entitled to receive: (a) an annual cash retainer of \$12,500; (b) a board meeting attendance fee of \$3,000; and an annual fiscal year end restricted stock grant of 1,000 Common Shares that vest at the

earlier of (1) 100% upon the director's normal retirement from the board of directors or (2) 50% upon the third anniversary of the grant date if the individual is then still serving as a director and the remaining 50% upon the fifth anniversary of the grant date if the individual is then still serving as a director. In addition to the foregoing, in fiscal 2012, each non-employee director received: (A) 509 Common Shares on our annual shareholders' meeting date; (B) \$750 for each board committee meeting attended (or \$1,000 per committee meeting attended if that person served as the committee's chairperson), except that each member of the Audit Committee received \$1,000 per committee meeting attended, and the chairman of the Audit Committee received \$1,500 per committee meeting attended; and (C) an option to purchase 500 Common Shares at the end of our fiscal year. The exercise price of all options granted to non-employee directors is equal to 100% of the fair market value of the Common Shares on the date of grant. At the end of our fiscal 2012, on May 31, 2012, each non-employee director received his or her annual automatic 1,000 share restricted stock grant and option grant to purchase 500 shares of Common Shares at an exercise price of \$13.33 per share. All options granted to our non-employee directors have a term of ten years and are fully vested and exercisable immediately after grant.

Effective August 1, 2012, our Board of Directors amended our non-employee director compensation plan. Pursuant to our non-employee director compensation plan, as amended, our non-employee directors are entitled to receive: (a) an annual cash retainer of \$13,000; (b) a board meeting attendance fee of \$3,500; and an annual fiscal year end restricted stock grant of 1,250 Common Shares that vest at the earlier of (1) 100% upon the director's normal retirement from the board of directors or (2) 50% upon the third anniversary of the grant date if the individual is then still serving as a director and the remaining 50% upon the fifth anniversary of the grant date if the individual is then still serving as a director. In addition to the foregoing, each non-employee director is entitled to receive: (A) 753 Common Shares on our 2012 annual shareholders' meeting date; (B) \$1,250 for each board committee meeting attended (or \$1,500 per committee meeting attended if that person served as the committee's chairperson), except that each member of the Audit Committee is entitled to receive \$1,500 per committee meeting attended, and the chairman of the Audit Committee is entitled to receive \$2,000 per committee meeting attended; and (C) an option to purchase 1,000 Common Shares at the end of our fiscal year. The exercise price of all options granted to non-employee directors is equal to 100% of the fair market value of the Common Shares on the date of grant.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed the above CD&A with management and, based on such review and discussion, has recommended to the board of directors that the CD&A be included in this proxy statement.

Timothy E. Hoeksema, Chairman
Bronson J. Haase
Daniel F. McKeithan, Jr.
Philip L. Milstein

AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

To the Board of Directors of The Marcus Corporation:

Each of the undersigned Audit Committee members: (1) served on the Audit Committee during the Company's entire fiscal year ended May 31, 2012, other than Brian J. Stark who served on the Audit Committee since March 29, 2012; (2) is an independent, non-employee director as defined by the rules of the NYSE and the SEC; and (3) is an audit committee financial expert, as defined by the SEC. Our Audit Committee has a written charter, which is available on the Company's website at www.marcuscorp.com.

Our Audit Committee oversees the Company's financial reporting process on behalf of the board of directors. Our management is responsible for the Company's financial reporting process, including its system of internal controls, and for the preparation of the Company's consolidated financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. The Company's independent registered public accounting firm, Deloitte & Touche LLP, is responsible for auditing those financial statements. Our responsibility is to monitor and review these processes. It is not our duty or our responsibility to conduct auditing or accounting reviews or procedures. We are not employees of the Company and we are not accountants or auditors by profession or experts in the fields of accounting or auditing. Therefore, we have relied, without independent verification, on management's representation that the Company's financial statements have been prepared with integrity and objectivity and in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. We have also relied on the representations of Deloitte & Touche LLP included in its report on the Company's fiscal 2012 financial statements. Our discussions with management and Deloitte & Touche LLP do not assure that the Company's financial statements are presented in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, that the audit of the Company's financial statements has been carried out in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards or that Deloitte & Touche LLP is in fact independent.

Our Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed the audited consolidated financial statements of the Company for the fiscal year ended May 31, 2012 with management and has discussed with Deloitte & Touche LLP its judgments as to the quality, not just the acceptability, of the Company's accounting principles and other matters required to be discussed by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61, as amended, Communication with Audit Committees (AICPA Professional Standards, Vol. 1. AU section 380), as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board in Rule 3200T, and Rule 2-07 of Regulation S-X promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Deloitte & Touche LLP has provided the Audit Committee with the written disclosures and the letter required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding Deloitte & Touche LLP's communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence, and the Audit Committee has discussed with Deloitte & Touche LLP its independence from management and the Company and considered the compatibility of Deloitte & Touche LLP's provision of non-audit services with its independence.

Our Audit Committee discussed with Deloitte & Touche LLP the overall scope and plans for its audit. We met with Deloitte & Touche LLP, with and without management present, to discuss the results of its examination, its evaluation of the Company's internal controls, and the overall quality of the Company's financial reporting.

In reliance on the reviews and discussions referred to above, our Audit Committee recommended to the board of directors that the Company's audited consolidated financial statements be included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K at and for the fiscal year ended May 31, 2012 for filing with the SEC.

This report and the information herein do not constitute soliciting material and shall not be deemed to be incorporated by reference by any general statement incorporating by reference this proxy statement into any filing by or of the Company under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and

shall not otherwise be deemed filed under such Acts.

By the Audit Committee:
Daniel F. McKeithan, Jr., Chairman
Philip L. Milstein
James D. Ericson
Brian J. Stark

PROPOSAL 2 APPROVAL, BY ADVISORY VOTE, OF THE COMPENSATION OF OUR NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

As indicated by the preceding discussion, executive compensation is an important matter both to us and to our shareholders. In addition, Section 14A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, requires that we provide our shareholders with an opportunity to approve the compensation of our named executive officers by a non-binding advisory vote. Accordingly, we are seeking the approval of our shareholders through this advisory vote of the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section and the accompanying compensation tables and narratives contained in this proxy statement. At our 2011 annual meeting, over 99% of the votes cast and over 97% of all shares entitled to vote at the meeting were voted in favor of the compensation of our named executive officers. In developing our executive compensation and benefit programs for fiscal 2012 as disclosed in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section and the accompanying compensation tables and narratives contained in this proxy statement, we kept in place many of the same executive compensation and benefit programs that were overwhelmingly approved by our shareholders at our 2011 annual meeting.

We have designed our executive compensation program to attract, motivate and retain people with the skills required to achieve our performance goals in a competitive business environment, and to support our overall performance goals. Our compensation programs are based on the principle of pay for performance. Our intention is for our executive compensation programs to reflect the level of our executive officers' individual contributions and our corporate performance, while striking an appropriate balance between short-term and longer-term corporate performance. We evaluate performance over several periods of time, and while the specific elements of executive compensation vary from time to time, our executive compensation programs focus on the principle of pay for performance, both in program design and in the specific awards.

In addition, we and the Compensation Committee of our board of directors consider the following principles when designing and implementing compensation programs for our executive officers:

We strive to compensate our executives at competitive levels to ensure that we attract, retain and motivate our key management employees who we expect will contribute significantly to our long-term success and value creation. We link our executives' compensation to the achievement of pre-established financial and individual performance goals that are focused on the creation of long-term shareholder value. Our executive compensation policies are designed to foster an ownership mentality and an entrepreneurial spirit in our management team. We try to do this by providing our executives with a substantial long-term incentive compensation component that helps to more closely align our management's financial interests with those of our shareholders over an extended performance period, and that otherwise encourages our management team to take appropriate market-responsive risk-taking actions that will facilitate our long-term growth and success.

Our board of directors would like the approval of our shareholders of the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section and the accompanying compensation tables and narratives in this proxy statement. Accordingly, for the reasons we discuss above, our board of directors unanimously recommends that shareholders vote in favor of the following resolution:

RESOLVED, that the shareholders approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the named executive officers as disclosed in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section, compensation tables, and accompanying narratives contained in this proxy statement.

The compensation of the named executive officers as disclosed in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section and compensation tables and narratives contained in this proxy statement will be approved if the votes cast in favor of the proposal exceed those cast against the proposal. Abstentions and broker non-votes will not affect the voting results for this proposal.

As this is an advisory vote, the results of the vote will not be binding on our board of directors, although our Compensation Committee will consider the outcome of the vote when evaluating the

effectiveness of our compensation principles and practices and our Compensation Committee will review and consider the outcome of the vote when making future compensation decisions for our named executive officers. We believe our company benefits from constructive dialogue with our shareholders on these important matters, and while we continue to reach out to our shareholders on these and other issues, we also encourage our shareholders to contact us if they would like to communicate their views on our executive compensation programs. Shareholders who wish to communicate with our non-management directors concerning our executive compensation programs should refer to the section above entitled "Contacting the Board."

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR THE APPROVAL, BY ADVISORY VOTE, OF THE COMPENSATION OF OUR NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AS DISCLOSED IN THE COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS SECTION AND ACCOMPANYING COMPENSATION TABLES AND NARRATIVES IN THIS PROXY STATEMENT. COMMON SHARES OR CLASS B SHARES REPRESENTED BY EXECUTED BUT UNMARKED PROXIES WILL BE VOTED FOR THE APPROVAL OF THE COMPENSATION OF OUR NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AS DISCLOSED IN THE COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS SECTION AND ACCOMPANYING COMPENSATION TABLES AND NARRATIVES IN THIS PROXY STATEMENT.

PROPOSAL 3 RATIFICATION OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR FISCAL 2013

The Audit Committee has selected Deloitte & Touche LLP as the Company's independent auditors for fiscal year 2013. Although not required to be submitted to a shareholder vote, our board of directors believes it appropriate to obtain shareholder ratification of the Audit Committee's action in appointing Deloitte & Touche LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm. Should such appointment not be ratified by the shareholders, the Audit Committee will reconsider the matter. The Audit Committee expects that the full board of directors will ratify the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm at their first meeting after the Annual Meeting.

THE BOARD RECOMMENDS THE RATIFICATION OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE'S SELECTION OF DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP AS THE COMPANY'S INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR FISCAL 2013 AND URGES EACH SHAREHOLDER TO VOTE FOR SUCH RATIFICATION. COMMON SHARES OR CLASS B SHARES REPRESENTED BY EXECUTED BUT UNMARKED PROXIES WILL BE VOTED FOR THE RATIFICATION OF DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP AS THE COMPANY'S INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR FISCAL 2013.

POLICIES AND PROCEDURES GOVERNING RELATED PERSON TRANSACTIONS

Our board of directors has adopted written policies and procedures regarding related person transactions. For purposes of these policies and procedures:

a related person means any of our directors, executive officers or nominees for director, any immediate family members of those individuals, and any holders of more than 5% of our Common Shares or Class B Common Shares; and

a related person transaction generally is a transaction (including any indebtedness or a guarantee of indebtedness) in which we were or are to be a participant, the amount involved exceeds \$120,000, and in which a related person had or will have a direct or indirect material interest.

Each of our executive officers, directors and nominees for director is required to disclose to our Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee certain information relating to related person transactions for review, approval or ratification by the Committee. Disclosure to the Committee should occur before, if possible, or as soon as practicable after the executive officer, director or nominee for director becomes aware of the related person transaction. The decision of the Committee whether or not to approve or ratify a related person transaction is to be made in light of the Committee's determination of whether the transaction is in our best interests and/or whether the transaction is on terms at least as favorable as could be obtained from a non-affiliated third party. Any related person transaction must be disclosed to our Audit Committee and to our full board of directors.

Pursuant to these policies and procedures, our Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee ratified the following ongoing related person transactions:

As in prior years, during our 2012 fiscal year, we leased automobiles from Selig Executive Leasing Co., Inc. Aggregate lease payments from the lease of approximately 68 vehicles were \$339,000. As in past years, virtually all of these lease payments represent reimbursement of actual costs incurred by Selig Executive Leasing to purchase and finance the vehicles, with Selig Executive Leasing retaining approximately \$20,000 as an administrative fee. Allan H. Selig, one of our directors, is the president, chief executive officer and sole shareholder of Selig Executive Leasing.

We have an administrative services agreement with Marcus Investments, LLC, which is owned by the three sons of Stephen H. Marcus, our chairman, including Gregory S. Marcus, our president and chief executive officer. The agreement provides that Marcus Investments may not invest in businesses which compete with our motion picture theatre exhibition or hotels or resorts businesses. Pursuant to the agreement, we from time to time provide various administrative support services, legal services, payroll services and related equipment to Marcus Investments in support of its business. Such services are provided solely at our discretion so that the performance of these services does not interfere with or otherwise adversely affect our business or operations. Marcus Investments pays us not less than our fully-allocated direct and indirect costs and expenses for providing any such services. During our fiscal 2012, Marcus Investments made aggregate payments to us of \$1,027,000, of which less than \$96,000 was for the provision of the aforementioned services. The remaining payments represented reimbursement of payroll related costs for Marcus Investments associates paid using our payroll service. The agreement is subject to annual review and re-approval, by our Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee, which reapproved the agreement in June 2012. Additionally, during our fiscal 2012, our theatre division licensed the *Zaffiro's* pizza recipe and related intellectual property rights for three theatre locations from an entity that is owned by Marcus Investments, LLC. During our fiscal 2012, we paid such entity approximately \$74,000 in licensing fees.

SECTION 16(A) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Our directors and executive officers are required to report their ownership of Common Shares and Class B Shares and any changes in that ownership to the SEC and the NYSE. Based upon our review of copies of the reports filed with the SEC and the representations of the persons involved, we believe that all of our directors and executive officers of the

Company have complied with the requirements for fiscal 2012, except for (a) two Form 4s that were filed late for Diane Gershowitz on January 24, 2012 and February 29, 2012 to report conversion transactions in connection with gifts that occurred on January 12, 2012 and February 22, 2012, respectively, and (b) one Form 4 that was filed late for Brian Stark on August 13, 2012 to report a transaction related to the equity portion of the prorated annual retainer that we paid to Mr. Stark on January 10, 2012 in connection with his election to our Board of Directors on that date. In making the above statements, we have relied upon the representations of the persons involved and on copies of their reports filed with the SEC.

OTHER MATTERS

Deloitte & Touche LLP acted as our independent auditors during our fiscal 2012. Representatives from Deloitte & Touche LLP are expected to be present at the Meeting and will have an opportunity to make a statement if they so desire and will be available to respond to appropriate shareholder questions. Deloitte & Touche LLP's fees for the most recent two fiscal years are summarized in the following table:

	2012	2011
Audit Fees	\$ 395,000	\$ 396,500
Audit-Related Fees ⁽¹⁾		
Tax Fees ⁽²⁾		
All Other Fees		
Total Fees	\$ 395,000	\$ 396,500

(1) Audit-related fees consist of the fees billed for consultation services on various accounting matters.

(2) Tax fees consist of the fees billed for consultation services on various tax matters.

Our Audit Committee pre-approves the provision of all auditing and non-audit services by our independent auditors.

During our fiscal 2011 and 2012, all of the services related to the audit and other fees described above were pre-approved by our Audit Committee and none were provided pursuant to any waiver of the pre-approval requirement.

As noted in the Audit Committee Report, our Audit Committee has considered whether Deloitte & Touche LLP's provision of non-audit services is compatible with its independence.

We have filed an Annual Report on Form 10-K with the SEC for our fiscal 2012, which ended on May 31, 2012. A copy of our Form 10-K (excluding exhibits) has been provided to each person who was a record or beneficial owner of Common Shares or Class B Shares as of the Record Date and is available on our corporate web site (www.marcuscorp.com). Exhibits to the Form 10-K will be furnished upon payment of the fee described in the list of exhibits accompanying the copy of Form 10-K. Requests for any exhibits to our Form 10-K should be addressed to Thomas F. Kissinger, Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary, The Marcus Corporation, 100 East Wisconsin Avenue, Suite 1900, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202-4125.

Our board of directors does not intend to present at the Meeting any matters for shareholder action other than the matters described in the Notice of Annual Meeting. Our board of directors does not know of any other matters to be brought before the Meeting that will require the vote of shareholders. If any other business or matters properly come before the Meeting, the proxies named in the accompanying proxy will vote on such business or matters in accordance with their best judgment.

We did not receive any shareholder proposals for consideration at the Meeting. A shareholder wishing to include a proposal in our proxy statement for our 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders pursuant to Rule

14a-8 under the Exchange Act must forward the proposal to us by May 10, 2013. In addition, a shareholder who otherwise intends to present business at our 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders (including nominating persons for election as directors) must comply with the requirements set forth in our By-laws. Among other things, to bring business before an annual meeting, a shareholder must give written notice thereof, complying with the By-laws, to our Secretary not later than 45 days prior to the date in the current year corresponding to the date on which we first mailed our proxy materials for the prior year's annual meeting. Accordingly, if we do not receive notice of a shareholder proposal submitted otherwise than pursuant to Rule 14a-8 prior to July 25, 2013, the notice will be considered untimely and we will not be required to present such proposal at the 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders. If our board of directors chooses to present such proposal at our 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, the persons named in proxies solicited by the board of directors for the 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders may exercise discretionary voting power with respect to such proposal.

We have paid the cost of soliciting proxies. We expect to solicit proxies primarily by mail. Proxies may also be solicited personally and by telephone by certain of our officers and employees. We will reimburse brokers and other holders of record for their expenses in communicating with the persons for whom they hold Common Shares or Class B Shares. It is not anticipated that anyone will be specially engaged to solicit proxies or that special compensation will be paid for that purpose, but we reserve the right to do so should we conclude that such efforts are needed.

On Behalf of the Board of Directors

Thomas F. Kissinger

Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary

Milwaukee, Wisconsin

September 7, 2012

