| BLACKROCK MUNIVEST FUND INC Form N-CSRS May 06, 2011 UNITED STATES |
|--|
| SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION |
| Washington, D.C. 20549 |
| FORM N-CSR |
| CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES |
| Investment Company Act file number 811-05611 |
| Name of Fund: BlackRock MuniVest Fund, Inc. (MVF) |
| Fund Address: 100 Bellevue Parkway, Wilmington, DE 19809 |
| Name and address of agent for service: John M. Perlowski, Chief Executive Officer, BlackRock MuniVest Fund, Inc., 55 East 52 nd Street, New York, NY 10055 |
| Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (800) 882-0052, Option 4 |
| Date of fiscal year end: 08/31/2011 |
| Date of reporting period: 02/28/2011 |
| Item 1 Report to Stockholders |
| |

February 28, 2011

Semi-Annual Report (Unaudited)

BlackRock Municipal Income Quality Trust (BYM)

BlackRock Municipal Income Investment Quality Trust (BAF)

BlackRock Municipal Bond Trust (BBK)

BlackRock Municipal Bond Investment Trust (BIE)

BlackRock Municipal Income Trust II (BLE)

BlackRock MuniHoldings Investment Quality Fund (MFL)

BlackRock MuniVest Fund, Inc. (MVF)

Not FDIC Insured No Bank Guarantee May Lose Value

Table of Contents

| | Page |
|--|-------------------|
| | 1 age |
| | |
| Dear Shareholder Service Association of the service | 3 |
| Semi-Annual Report: | 4 |
| Municipal Market Overview The Control of the Contro | 4 |
| Trust Summaries | 5 |
| The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging | 12 |
| Derivative Financial Instruments | 12 |
| Financial Statements: | 12 |
| Schedules of Investments | 13 |
| Statements of Assets and Liabilities | 42 |
| Statements of Operations Statements of Operations | 43 |
| Statements of Changes in Net Assets | 44 |
| Statements of Cash Flows | 46 |
| Financial Highlights No. 17 Telephone Telepho | 47 |
| Notes to Financial Statements | 54 |
| Officers and Trustees | 61 |
| Additional Information | 62 |
| | |
| | |
| 2 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT | FEBRUARY 28, 2011 |
| | |

Dear Shareholder

Over the past 12 months, we have seen a sluggish, stimulus-driven economic recovery at long last gain real traction, accelerate, and transition into a consumption-driven expansion. For the most part, 2010 was plagued with widely fluctuating economic data, but as the year drew to a close, it became clear that cyclical stimulus had beaten out structural problems as economic data releases generally became more positive and financial markets showed signs of continuing improvement. Although the sovereign debt crises and emerging market inflation that troubled the global economy in 2010 remain a challenge, overall investor sentiment considerably improved. Near the end of the period, geopolitical tensions across the Middle East North Africa (MENA) region along with rising oil prices introduced new cause for concern about the future of the global economy. As of this writing, economic news remains fairly positive although we face additional uncertainties related to the aftermath of the devastating earthquake in Japan, with particular focus on the damage to nuclear power plants.

In the United States, strength from the corporate sector and increasing consumer spending have been key drivers of economic growth, while the housing and labor markets have been the heaviest burdens. While housing has yet to show any meaningful sign of improvement, labor statistics have delivered a mixed bag month after month, but became increasingly encouraging toward the end of the period when the unemployment rate fell to its lowest level since April 2009.

Global equity markets experienced uneven growth and high volatility over the course of 2010, but ended the year strong. Following a strong start to 2011, stocks lost their momentum on the back of geopolitical events in the MENA region and a sharp rise in oil prices. Overall, equities posted strong returns for the 12-month period. US stocks outpaced most international markets and small cap stocks outperformed large caps as investors moved into higher-risk assets.

Fixed income markets saw yields trend lower over most of 2010, until the fourth quarter brought an abrupt reversal in sentiment and risk tolerance that drove yields sharply upward (pushing bond prices down) through year end. Improving economic data continued to pressure fixed income yields in 2011; however, escalating geopolitical risks have acted as a counterweight, restoring relative stability to yield movements. Nevertheless, the yield curve remained steep and higher-risk sectors outperformed the fixed income market.

The tax-exempt municipal market enjoyed a powerful rally during the period of low interest rates in 2010; however, when the yield trend reversed, the market was dealt an additional blow as it became evident that the Build America Bond program would expire at year end. In addition, negative headlines regarding fiscal challenges faced by state and local governments damaged investor confidence and further heightened volatility in the municipal market. Tax-exempt mutual funds experienced heavy outflows, resulting in wider quality spreads and further downward pressure on municipal bond prices. These headwinds began to abate as the period came to a close and municipals finally posted gains in February, following a five-month run of negative performance.

Cash investments, as represented by the 3-month Treasury bill, returned only a fraction over 0% for the 12-month period as short-term interest rates remained low. Yields on money market securities remain near all-time lows.

| Total returns as of February 28, 2011 | 6-month | 12-month |
|--|---------|----------|
| US large cap equities (S&P 500 Index) | 27.73% | 22.57% |
| US small cap equities (Russell 2000 Index) | 37.55 | 32.60 |
| International equities (MSCI Europe, Australasia, Far East Index) | 23.77 | 20.00 |
| 3-month Treasury bill (BofA Merrill Lynch 3-Month Treasury Bill Index) | 0.07 | 0.14 |
| US Treasury securities (BofA Merrill Lynch 10-Year US Treasury Index) | (6.04) | 4.76 |
| US investment grade bonds (Barclays Capital US Aggregate Bond Index) | (0.83) | 4.93 |
| Tax-exempt municipal bonds (Barclays Capital Municipal Bond Index) | (3.51) | 1.72 |

US high yield bonds (Barclays Capital US Corporate High Yield 2% Issuer Capped Index) 10.05

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Index performance shown for illustrative purposes only. You cannot invest directly in an index.

While no one can peer into a crystal ball and eliminate the uncertainties presented by the economic landscape and financial markets, BlackRock can offer investors the next best thing: partnership with the world s largest asset management firm and a unique global perspective that allows us to identify trends early and capitalize on market opportunities. For additional market perspective and investment insight, visit www.blackrock.com/shareholdermagazine, where you ll find the most recent issue of our award-winning Shareholder Perspectives. As always, we thank you for entrusting BlackRock with your investments, and we look forward to your continued partnership in the months and years ahead.

Sincerely,

Rob Kopito President, BlackRock Advisors, LLC

THIS PAGE NOT PART OF YOUR FUND REPORT

3

17.34

Municipal Market Overview

As of February 28, 2011

The municipal market began the six-month period with yields at historic lows as investor concerns were focused on the possibility of deflation and a double-dip in the US economy. However, as these fears soon abated, yields began drifting higher in October, and ultimately, a perfect storm of negative events resulted in the worst quarterly performance that the municipal market has seen since the Fed tightening cycle of 1994. Treasury yields lost their support as concerns about the US deficit raised questions over the willingness of foreign investors to continue to purchase Treasury securities, at least at the previous historically low yields. Municipal valuations also suffered a quick and severe setback as it became evident that the Build America Bond (BAB) program would expire at year-end. The program had opened the taxable market to municipal issuers, which had successfully alleviated supply pressure in the traditional tax-exempt marketplace, bringing down yields in that space.

The financial media has been replete with interviews, articles and presentations publicizing the stress experienced in municipal finance, resulting in a loss of confidence among retail investors who buy individual bonds or mutual funds. From the middle of November through year-end, funds specializing in tax-exempt bonds witnessed weekly outflows averaging over \$2.5 billion. Long-term and high-yield funds saw the greatest redemptions, followed by state-specific funds to a lesser but still significant degree. Demand usually is strong at the beginning of a new year against a backdrop of low new-issue supply, but the mutual fund outflows continued into February, putting additional upward pressure on municipal yields. Political uncertainty surrounding the midterm elections and the approach taken by the new Congress on issues such as income tax rates and alternative minimum tax (and the previously mentioned BAB non-extension) exacerbated the situation. All these conditions, combined with the seasonal illiquidity surrounding year-end holidays and dealers closing their fiscal books, sapped willing market participation from the trading community.

As demand for municipal securities from traditional retail investors was declining and trading desk liquidity was being curtailed, there was no comparable reduction in supply. As it became evident that the BAB program would be retired, issuers rushed deals to market both in the taxable municipal space and, to a lesser degree, in the traditional tax-exempt space. This imbalance in the supply/demand technicals provided the classic market action, leading to wider quality spreads and higher bond yields. The municipal curve steepened as the issuance was concentrated in longer (greater than 20-year) maturities. Curve steepening that began in October accelerated in November, spurred on by Treasury weakness, heavy supply and record outflows. As measured by Thomson Municipal Market Data, yields on AAA-rated municipals rose nearly 103 basis points (bps) for maturities 25 years and longer from August 31, 2010, to February 28, 2011. The spread between two-year and 30-year maturities widened from 332 bps to 398 bps over the period.

The fundamental picture for municipalities will be subject to scrutiny for months to come, as the challenges to state and local budgets are real and need to be addressed with significant cuts to expenses and tax revenue increases. The debates around austerity measures needed to succeed in balancing these budgets are not over whether action needs to be taken, but over degree, approach and political will to accomplish these needs. The attention shone upon municipal finance has the potential to improve this market for the future if these efforts result in greater means toward disclosure and accuracy (and timeliness) of reporting. Early tests to judge progress will come soon as California, Illinois and Puerto Rico need to take austerity measures and access financing in the municipal market to address relatively immediate fiscal imbalances. BlackRock favors a more constructive outlook for the municipal market as the typical, and this year particularly atypical, weakness passes.

4 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT FEBRUARY 28, 2011

Trust Summary as of February 28, 2011

BlackRock Municipal Income Quality Trust

Trust Overview

Effective November 9, 2010, BlackRock Insured Municipal Income Trust changed its name to BlackRock Municipal Income Quality Trust.

BlackRock Municipal Income Quality Trust s (BYM) (the Trust) investment objective is to provide current income exempt from federal income taxes, including the alternative minimum tax. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing, under normal circumstances, at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds exempt from federal income taxes, including the alternative minimum tax. The Trust also invests primarily in municipal bonds that are investment grade quality at the time of investment. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

Effective November 9, 2010, the Trust s investment policy was changed by the removal of the insurance investment policy that required at least 80% of its assets to be invested in insured municipal securities. Accordingly, the Trust was moved from the Lipper Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category into the Lipper General Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category. For the six months ended February 28, 2011, the Trust returned (9.53)% based on market price and (7.89)% based on net asset value (NAV). For the same period, the closed-end Lipper General Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category posted an average return of (10.86)% based on market price and (7.86)% based on NAV, and the closed-end Lipper Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category posted an average return of (11.51)% based on market price and (6.90)% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Trust s premium to NAV, which narrowed during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV. The Trust s performance was negatively impacted by its long duration during a period of rising rates. The Trust s holdings of longer maturity bonds also detracted from performance as the municipal yield curve bear-steepened (long rates increased more than short rates). Conversely, the Trust s performance was positively impacted by its holdings of pre-refunded bonds and securities with higher coupons that are priced to shorter call dates. These types of securities performed well due to their shorter duration as municipal rates rose sharply. In addition, the Trust benefited from its holdings in the housing sector, which had strong performance over the period.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Information

| Symbol on New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) | BYM |
|--|------------------|
| Initial Offering Date | October 31, 2002 |
| Yield on Closing Market Price as of February 28, 2011 (\$13.34) ¹ | 6.93% |
| Tax Equivalent Yield ² | 10.66% |
| Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³ | \$0.077 |
| Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³ | \$0.924 |

- Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum federal tax rate of 35%.
- The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- Represents Auction Market Preferred Shares (Preferred Shares) and tender option bond trusts (TOBs) as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to Preferred Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 12.

The table below summarizes the changes in the Trust s market price and NAV per share:

| | 2/28/11 | 8/31/10 | Change | High | Low |
|-----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Market Price | \$ 13.34 | \$ 15.26 | (12.58)% | \$ 15.42 | \$ 11.71 |
| Net Asset Value | \$ 13.03 | \$ 14.64 | (11.00)% | \$ 14.69 | \$ 12.20 |

The following charts show the sector and credit quality allocations of the Trust s long-term investments:

Sector Allocations

| | 2/28/11 | 8/31/10 |
|--|---------|---------|
| Transportation | 23% | 21% |
| Utilities | 21 | 24 |
| County/City/Special District/School District | 21 | 21 |
| State | 15 | 15 |
| Health | 7 | 7 |
| Tobacco | 6 | 6 |
| Education | 3 | 3 |
| Corporate | 2 | 2 |
| Housing | 2 | 1 |
| | | |

Credit Quality Allocations⁵

| | 2/28/11 | 8/31/10 |
|---------|---------|---------|
| AAA/Aaa | 25% | 57% |
| AA/Aa | 54 | 24 |
| A | 12 | 12 |
| BBB/Baa | 9 | 5 |

Not Rated 26

- Using the higher of Standard & Poor s ($S\&P \ s$) or Moody s Investors Service (Moody s) ratings.
- The investment advisor has deemed certain of these non-rated securities to be of investment grade quality. As of August 31, 2010, the market value of these securities was \$10,513,600 representing 2% of the Trust s long-term investments.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

FEBRUARY 28, 2011

5

Trust Summary as of February 28, 2011

BlackRock Municipal Income Investment Quality Trust

Trust Overview

Effective November 9, 2010, BlackRock Insured Municipal Income Investment Trust changed its name to BlackRock Municipal Income Investment Quality Trust.

BlackRock Municipal Income Investment Quality Trust s (BAF) (the Trust) investment objective is to provide current income exempt from federal income tax, including the alternative minimum tax and Florida intangible property tax. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing, under normal circumstances, at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds exempt from federal income taxes, including the alternative minimum tax. The Trust also invests primarily in municipal bonds that are investment grade quality at the time of investment. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives. Due to the repeal of the Florida intangible personal property tax, the Board approved an amended policy in September 2008, allowing the Trust the flexibility to invest in municipal obligations regardless of geographical location.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

Effective November 9, 2010, the Trust s investment policy was changed by the removal of the insurance investment policy that required at least 80% of its assets to be invested in insured municipal securities. Accordingly, the Trust was moved from the Lipper Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category into the Lipper General Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category. For the six months ended February 28, 2011, the Trust returned (13.50)% based on market price and (7.61)% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper General Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category posted an average return of (10.86)% based on market price and (7.86)% based on NAV, and the closed-end Lipper Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category posted an average return of (11.51)% based on market price and (6.90)% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Trust moved from a premium to NAV to a discount by period end, which accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV. The Trust s holdings of high-quality essential service bonds with premium coupons (6% or higher) benefited performance, as they held their value better than lower-coupon bonds in the rising interest rate environment. Conversely, exposure to longer-duration and longer-maturity bonds detracted from performance as the long end of the yield curve steepened during the period. Additionally, holdings in health care and transportation hindered performance as both sectors underperformed the broad municipal market.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Information

| Symbol on NYSE | BAF |
|--|------------------|
| Initial Offering Date | October 31, 2002 |
| Yield on Closing Market Price as of February 28, 2011 (\$13.10) ¹ | 6.82% |
| Tax Equivalent Yield ² | 10.49% |

| Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³ | \$0.0745 |
|---|----------|
| Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³ | \$0.8940 |
| Leverage as of February 28, 2011 ⁴ | 37% |

- Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum federal tax rate of 35%.
- The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- Represents Preferred Shares and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to Preferred Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 12.

The table below summarizes the changes in the Trust s market price and NAV per share:

| | 2/28/11 | 8/31/10 | Change | High | Low |
|-----------------|----------|----------|----------|------|----------|
| Market Price | \$ 13.10 | \$ 15.64 | (16.24)% | • | \$ 11.92 |
| Net Asset Value | \$ 13.49 | \$ 15.08 | (10.54)% | | \$ 12.76 |

The following charts show the sector and credit quality allocations of the Trust s long-term investments:

Sector Allocations

| | 2/28/11 | 8/31/10 |
|--|---------|---------|
| | | |
| County/City/Special District/School District | 33% | 36% |
| Utilities | 26 | 27 |
| Transportation | 15 | 15 |
| Health | 12 | 10 |
| State | 11 | 11 |
| Education | 1 | |
| Housing | 1 | 1 |
| Tobacco | 1 | |
| | | |

Credit Quality Allocations⁵

| | 2/28/11 | 8/31/10 |
|---------|---------|---------|
| AAA/Aaa | 8% | 59% |
| AA/Aa | 79 | 25 |
| A | 9 | 13 |
| BBB/Baa | 4 | |

Not Rated 36

- Using the higher of S&P s or Moody s ratings.
- The investment advisor has deemed certain of these non-rated securities to be of investment grade quality. As of August 31, 2010, the market value of these securities was \$5,171,100 representing 3% of the Trust s long-term investments.

6 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT FEBRUARY 28, 2011

Trust Summary as of February 28, 2011

BlackRock Municipal Bond Trust

Trust Overview

BlackRock Municipal Bond Trust s (BBK) (the Trust) investment objective is to provide current income exempt from regular federal income tax. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing primarily in municipal bonds exempt from regular federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax). The Trust invests, under normal market conditions, at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds that are investment grade quality. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

For the six months ended February 28, 2011, the Trust returned (10.59)% based on market price and (8.61)% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper General Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category posted an average return of (10.86)% based on market price and (7.86)% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Trust's premium to NAV, which narrowed during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV. The Trust's exposure to the long end of the yield curve and holdings of low-coupon, long-duration bonds during a period of rising rates had a negative impact on performance. The Trust's exposure to Puerto Rico credits and the health sector also detracted from performance, as did holdings of lower-quality credits, where spreads widened amid the backdrop of poor relative performance across the municipal market. Conversely, the Trust benefited from its holdings of tax-backed credits (state, county, city and school district) as well as its exposure to corporate-backed credits, which experienced some buy-side demand from non-traditional cross-over buyers.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Information

| BBK |
|----------------|
| April 30, 2002 |
| 7.58% |
| 11.66% |
| \$0.086 |
| \$1.032 |
| 38% |
| |

Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

- Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum federal tax rate of 35%.
- A change in the distribution rate was declared on March 1, 2011. The Monthly Distribution per Common Share was increased to \$0.0885. The Yield on Closing Market Price, Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share and Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share do not reflect the new distribution rate. The new distribution rate is not constant and is subject to further change in the future.
- Represents Preferred Shares and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to Preferred Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 12.

The table below summarizes the changes in the Trust s market price and NAV per share:

| | 2/28/11 | 8/31/10 | Change | High | Low |
|-----------------|----------|----------|----------|------|----------|
| Market Price | \$ 13.61 | \$ 15.79 | (13.81)% | | \$ 12.20 |
| Net Asset Value | \$ 13.47 | \$ 15.29 | (11.90)% | | \$ 12.70 |

The following charts show the sector and credit quality allocations of the Trust s long-term investments:

Sector Allocations

| | 2/28/11 | 8/31/10 |
|--|---------|---------|
| | | |
| Health | 24% | 23% |
| Housing | 15 | 14 |
| State | 14 | 15 |
| County/City/Special District/School District | 11 | 13 |
| Corporate | 10 | 8 |
| Education | 10 | 10 |
| Transportation | 9 | 9 |
| Utilities | 5 | 5 |
| Tobacco | 2 | 3 |
| | | |

Credit Quality Allocations⁵

| | 2/28/11 | 8/31/10 |
|-----------------------------------|---------|---------|
| | | |
| AAA/Aaa | 8% | 26% |
| AA/Aa | 40 | 20 |
| A | 19 | 22 |
| BBB/Baa | 19 | 20 |
| BB/Ba | 5 | 1 |
| В | 3 | 3 |
| CCC/Caa Not Rated ⁶ | 1 | 1 |
| Not Rated ⁶ | 5 | 7 |

- Using the higher of S&P s or Moody s ratings.
- The investment advisor has deemed certain of these non-rated securities to be of investment grade quality. As of February 28, 2011 and August 31, 2010, the market value of these securities was \$4,946,681 representing 2% and \$6,207,616 representing 3%, respectively, of the Trust s long-term investments.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

FEBRUARY 28, 2011

7

Trust Summary as of February 28, 2011

BlackRock Municipal Bond Investment Trust

Trust Overview

BlackRock Municipal Bond Investment Trust s (BIE) (the Trust) investment objective is to provide current income exempt from regular federal income tax and Florida intangible personal property tax. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing primarily in municipal bonds exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax). Under normal market conditions, the Trust invests at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds that are investment grade quality at the time of investment. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives. Due to the repeal of the Florida intangible personal property tax, the Board approved an amended policy in September 2008, allowing the Trust the flexibility to invest in municipal obligations regardless of geographical location.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

For the six months ended February 28, 2011, the Trust returned (13.80)% based on market price and (9.43)% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper General Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category posted an average return of (10.86)% based on market price and (7.86)% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Trust moved from a premium to NAV to a discount by period end, which accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV. Exposure to longer-duration and longer-maturity bonds detracted from the Trust s performance as the long end of the yield curve steepened during the period. Additionally, holdings in health care and transportation hindered performance as both sectors underperformed the broad municipal market. Conversely, exposure to housing and corporate-backed bonds aided performance as both sectors outperformed the broad municipal market. The Trust s holdings of high-quality essential service bonds with premium coupons (6% or higher) also benefited performance, as they held their value better than lower-coupon bonds in the rising interest rate environment.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Information

| Symbol on NYSE | BIE |
|--|----------------|
| Initial Offering Date | April 30, 2002 |
| Yield on Closing Market Price as of February 28, 2011 (\$13.00) ¹ | 7.27% |
| Tax Equivalent Yield ² | 11.18% |
| Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³ | \$0.0788 |
| Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³ | \$0.9456 |
| Leverage as of February 28, 2011 ⁴ | 43% |

- Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- ² Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum federal tax rate of 35%.
- A change in the distribution rate was declared on March 1, 2011. The Monthly Distribution per Common Share was increased to \$0.081. The Yield on Closing Market Price, Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share and Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share do not reflect the new distribution rate. The new distribution rate is not constant and is subject to further change in the future.
- Represents Preferred Shares and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to Preferred Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 12.

The table below summarizes the changes in the Trust s market price and NAV per share:

| | 2/28/11 | 8/31/10 | Change | High | Low |
|-----------------|----------|----------|----------|------|----------|
| Market Price | \$ 13.00 | \$ 15.60 | (16.67)% | • | \$ 12.14 |
| Net Asset Value | \$ 13.58 | \$ 15.51 | (12.44)% | | \$ 12.76 |

The following charts show the sector and credit quality allocations of the Trust s long-term investments:

Sector Allocations

| | 2/28/11 | 8/31/10 |
|--|---------|---------|
| | ••• | 22% |
| Health | 23% | 22% |
| Utilities | 19 | 18 |
| Transportation | 18 | 18 |
| County/City/Special District/School District | 17 | 19 |
| State | 8 | 8 |
| Education | 8 | 8 |
| Housing | 5 | 5 |
| Corporate | 1 | 1 |
| Tobacco | 1 | 1 |
| | | |

Credit Quality Allocations⁵

| | 2/28/11 | 8/31/10 |
|--------------------|---------|---------|
| AAA/Aaa | 10% | 14% |
| AA/Aa | 66 | 64 |
| A | 18 | 17 |
| BBB/Baa | 5 | 4 |
| BB/Ba Not Rated | 1 | |
| Not Rated | | 1 |

Using the higher of S&P s or Moody s ratings.

8 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT FEBRUARY 28, 2011

Trust Summary as of February 28, 2011

BlackRock Municipal Income Trust II

Trust Overview

BlackRock Municipal Income Trust II s (BLE) (the Trust) investment objective is to provide current income exempt from regular federal income tax. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing primarily in municipal bonds exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax). The Trust invests, under normal market conditions, at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds that are investment grade quality at the time of investment. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

For the six months ended February 28, 2011, the Trust returned (11.27)% based on market price and (6.98)% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper General Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category posted an average return of (10.86)% based on market price and (7.86)% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Trust moved from a premium to NAV to a discount by period end, which accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV. At the beginning of the period, the Trust s longer duration stance was beneficial as rates declined and credit spreads tightened; however, the sharp reversal of these trends mid-period resulted in an overall negative impact on performance for the period. In addition, the Trust s emphasis on lower-quality bonds hurt performance as credit spreads widened over the period. Contributing positively to performance was the Trust s reduced exposure to the tobacco sector, which was the worst performing sector for the period. Additionally, a general reduction of capital appreciation bond (CAB) holdings proved beneficial in the rising rate environment as CABs are highly sensitive to interest rate fluctuations.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Information

| Symbol on NYSE Amex | BLE |
|--|---------------|
| Initial Offering Date | July 30, 2002 |
| Yield on Closing Market Price as of February 28, 2011 (\$13.02) ¹ | 7.70% |
| Tax Equivalent Yield ² | 11.85% |
| Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³ | \$0.0835 |
| Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³ | \$1.0020 |
| Leverage as of February 28, 2011 ⁴ | 38% |
| | |

- Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- ² Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum federal tax rate of 35%.
- The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- Represents Preferred Shares and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to Preferred Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 12.

The table below summarizes the changes in the Trust s market price and NAV per share:

| | 2/28/11 | 8/31/10 | Change | High | Low |
|-----------------|----------|----------|----------|------|----------|
| Market Price | \$ 13.02 | \$ 15.22 | (14.45)% | • | \$ 11.87 |
| Net Asset Value | \$ 13.12 | \$ 14.63 | (10.32)% | | \$ 12.41 |

The following charts show the sector and credit quality allocations of the Trust s long-term investments:

Sector Allocations

| | 2/28/11 | 8/31/10 |
|--|---------|---------|
| Health | 20% | 19% |
| State | 18 | 17 |
| Utilities | 12 | 13 |
| Corporate | 11 | 11 |
| Transportation | 11 | 11 |
| County/City/Special District/School District | 10 | 12 |
| Education | 8 | 7 |
| Housing | 6 | 6 |
| Tobacco | 4 | 4 |

Credit Quality Allocations⁵

| | 2/28/11 | 8/31/10 |
|-----------------------------------|---------|---------|
| | | |
| AAA/Aaa | 13% | 18% |
| AA/Aa | 28 | 21 |
| A | 29 | 30 |
| BBB/Baa | 15 | 17 |
| BB/Ba | 5 | 1 |
| В | 4 | 6 |
| CCC/Caa | 1 | 1 |
| CCC/Caa Not Rated ⁶ | 5 | 6 |

- Using the higher of S&P s or Moody s ratings.
- The investment advisor has deemed certain of these non-rated securities to be of investment grade quality. As of February 28, 2011 and August 31, 2010, the market value of these securities was \$7,192,827 representing 1% and \$13,839,185 representing 3%, respectively, of the Trust s long-term investments.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

FEBRUARY 28, 2011

9

Trust Summary as of February 28, 2011

BlackRock MuniHoldings Investment Quality Fund

Trust Overview

Effective November 9, 2010, BlackRock MuniHoldings Insured Investment Fund changed its name to BlackRock MuniHoldings Investment Quality Fund.

BlackRock MuniHoldings Investment Quality Fund s (MFL) (the Trust) investment objective is to provide shareholders with current income exempt from federal income tax and to provide shareholders with the opportunity to own shares the value of which is exempt from Florida intangible personal property tax. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing primarily in long-term, investment grade municipal obligations exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax). Under normal market conditions, the Trust invests at least 80% of its assets in municipal obligations with remaining maturities of one year or more. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives. Due to the repeal of the Florida intangible personal property tax, the Board approved an amended policy in September 2008, allowing the Trust the flexibility to invest in municipal obligations regardless of geographical location.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

Effective November 9, 2010, the Trust s investment policy was changed by the removal of the insurance investment policy that required at least 80% of its assets to be invested in insured municipal securities. Accordingly, the Trust was moved from the Lipper Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category into the Lipper General Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category. For the six months ended February 28, 2011, the Trust returned (10.49)% based on market price and (8.76)% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper General Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category posted an average return of (10.86)% based on market price and (7.86)% based on NAV, and the closed-end Lipper Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category posted an average return of (11.51)% based on market price and (6.90)% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Trust s discount to NAV, which widened during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV. Exposure to longer-duration and longer-maturity bonds detracted from the Trust s performance as the long end of the yield curve steepened during the period. Additionally, holdings in health care and transportation hindered performance as both sectors underperformed the broad municipal market. Conversely, exposure to housing bonds aided performance as that sector outperformed the broad municipal market. The Trust s holdings of high-quality essential service bonds with premium coupons (6% or higher) also benefited performance, as they held their value better than lower-coupon bonds in the rising interest rate environment.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Information

MFL Symbol on NYSE September 26, 1997

Initial Offering Date

| 7.10% |
|---------|
| 10.92% |
| \$0.075 |
| \$0.900 |
| 41% |
| |

- Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- The equivalent yield assumes the maximum federal tax rate of 35%.
- A change in the distribution rate was declared on March 1, 2011. The Monthly Distribution per Common Share was increased to \$0.0765. The Yield on Closing Market Price, Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share and Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share do not reflect the new distribution rate. The new distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change in the future.
- Represents Preferred Shares and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to Preferred Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 12.

The table below summarizes the changes in the Trust s market price and NAV per share:

| | 2/28/11 | 8/31/10 | Change | High | Low |
|-----------------|----------|----------|----------|------|----------|
| Market Price | \$ 12.68 | \$ 14.65 | (13.45)% | • | \$ 11.68 |
| Net Asset Value | \$ 12.96 | \$ 14.69 | (11.78)% | | \$ 12.23 |

The following charts show the sector and credit quality allocations of the Trust s long-term investments:

Sector Allocations

| | 2/28/11 | 8/31/10 |
|--|---------|---------|
| | | |
| Utilities | 26% | 26% |
| Transportation | 24 | 27 |
| County/City/Special District/School District | 18 | 18 |
| Health | 12 | 11 |
| State | 11 | 12 |
| Housing | 5 | 4 |
| Education | 3 | 2 |
| Tobacco | 1 | |
| | | |

Credit Quality Allocations⁵

2/28/11 8/31/10

| AAA/Aaa | 6% | 64% |
|-----------------------------------|----|-----|
| AA/Aa | 82 | 24 |
| A | 8 | 11 |
| BBB/Baa | 3 | |
| BBB/Baa Not Rated ⁶ | 1 | 1 |
| | | |

- Using the higher of S&P s or Moody s ratings.
- The investment advisor has deemed certain of these non-rated securities to be of investment grade quality. As of February 28, 2011 and August 31, 2010, the market value of these securities was \$3,022,118 representing 1% and \$5,793,997 representing 1%, respectively, of the Trust s long-term investments.

| 10 | SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT | FEBRUARY 28, 2011 |
|----|--------------------|-------------------|
| | | |

Trust Summary as of February 28, 2011

BlackRock MuniVest Fund, Inc.

Trust Overview

BlackRock MuniVest Fund, Inc. s (MVF) (the Trust) investment objective is to provide shareholders with as high a level of current income exempt from federal income taxes as is consistent with its investment policies and prudent investment management. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing at least 80% of its assets in municipal obligations exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax). The Trust invests, under normal market conditions, primarily in long term municipal obligations rated investment grade at the time of investment and invests primarily in long term municipal obligations with maturities of more than ten years at the time of investment. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved

Performance

For the six months ended February 28, 2011, the Trust returned (9.59)% based on market price and (7.91)% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper General Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category posted an average return of (10.86)% based on market price and (7.86)% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Trust spremium to NAV, which narrowed during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV. At the beginning of the period, the Trust benefited from tightening credit quality spreads driven by generous investor cash flows into tax-exempt mutual funds. Spreads were pushed even tighter by heightened demand from taxable investors for corporate-backed municipal debt due to its attractive valuation relative to the corporate sector. In this environment of strong demand, we were able to sell lower-quality securities that had outperformed and had previously been very limited in their liquidity. Toward the end of the period, the Trust sposition in cash and cash equivalents proved beneficial when the municipal market saw net cash flows into mutual funds turn dramatically negative. However, as municipal rates rose on credit concerns and the Build America Bonds program neared its expiration, while long-term investment rates (i.e., rates on US Treasuries and other fixed income securities) were generally increasing, the Trust s longer duration stance had an overall negative impact on performance.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Information

| Symbol on NYSE Amex | MVF |
|---|--------------------|
| Initial Offering Date | September 29, 1988 |
| Yield on Closing Market Price as of February 28, 2011 (\$9.04) ¹ | 7.83% |
| Tax Equivalent Yield ² | 12.05% |
| Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³ | \$0.059 |
| Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³ | \$0.708 |
| Leverage as of February 28, 2011 ⁴ | 43% |

- Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum federal tax rate of 35%.
- The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- Represents Preferred Shares and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to Preferred Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 12.

The table below summarizes the changes in the Trust s market price and NAV per share:

| | 2/ | 28/11 | 8 | 8/31/10 | Change | High | Low |
|-----------------|----|-------|----|---------|----------|-------------|------------|
| Market Price | \$ | 9.04 | \$ | 10.38 | (12.91)% | \$ 10.45 | \$ 8.53 |
| Net Asset Value | \$ | 8.88 | \$ | 10.01 | (11.29)% | \$ 10.03 | \$ 8.45 |

The following charts show the sector and credit quality allocations of the Trust s long-term investments:

Sector Allocations

| | 2/28/11 | 8/31/10 |
|--|---------|---------|
| IIlal | 246 | 2201 |
| Health | 24% | 22% |
| Corporate | 16 | 17 |
| Transportation | 15 | 13 |
| Utilities | 11 | 12 |
| County/City/Special District/School District | 10 | 10 |
| State | 8 | 8 |
| Education | 7 | 7 |
| Housing | 7 | 7 |
| Tobacco | 2 | 4 |
| | | |

Credit Quality Allocations⁵

| | 2/28/11 | 8/31/10 |
|------------------------|---------|---------|
| AAA/Aaa | 18% | 23% |
| AA/Aa | 41 | 35 |
| A | 23 | 23 |
| BBB/Baa | 15 | 15 |
| В | | 1 |
| Not Rated ⁶ | 3 | 3 |

- Using the higher of S&P s or Moody s ratings.
- The investment advisor has deemed certain of these non-rated securities to be of investment grade quality. As of February 28, 2011 and August 31, 2010, the market value of these securities was \$12,857,530 representing 1% and \$21,938,423 representing 2%, respectively, of the Trust s long-term investments.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT FEBRUARY 28, 2011 11

The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging

The Trusts may utilize leverage to seek to enhance the yield and NAV of their common shares (Common Shares). However, these objectives cannot be achieved in all interest rate environments.

To leverage, the Trusts issue preferred shares (Preferred Shares), which pay dividends at prevailing short-term interest rates, and invest the proceeds in long-term municipal bonds. In general, the concept of leveraging is based on the premise that the financing cost of assets to be obtained from leverage, which will be based on short-term interest rates, will normally be lower than the income earned by each Trust on its longer-term portfolio investments. To the extent that the total assets of each Trust (including the assets obtained from leverage) are invested in higher-yielding portfolio investments, each Trust sholders of Common Shares (Common Shareholders) will benefit from the incremental net income.

To illustrate these concepts, assume a Trust s Common Shares capitalization is \$100 million and it issues Preferred Shares for an additional \$50 million, creating a total value of \$150 million available for investment in long-term municipal bonds. If prevailing short-term interest rates are 3% and long-term interest rates are 6%, the yield curve has a strongly positive slope. In this case, the Trust pays dividends on the \$50 million of Preferred Shares based on the lower short-term interest rates. At the same time, the securities purchased by the Trust with assets received from the Preferred Shares issuance earn income based on long-term interest rates. In this case, the dividends paid to holders of Preferred Shares (Preferred Shareholders) are significantly lower than the income earned on the Trust s long-term investments, and therefore the Common Shareholders are the beneficiaries of the incremental net income.

If short-term interest rates rise, narrowing the differential between short-term and long-term interest rates, the incremental net income pickup on the Common Shares will be reduced or eliminated completely. Furthermore, if prevailing short-term interest rates rise above long-term interest rates of 6%, the yield curve has a negative slope. In this case, the Trust pays interest expense on the higher short-term interest rate whereas the Trust s total portfolio earns income based on lower long-term interest rates.

Furthermore, the value of the Trusts portfolio investments generally varies inversely with the direction of long-term interest rates, although other factors can influence the value of portfolio investments. In contrast, the redemption value of the Trusts Preferred Shares and/or debt securities does not fluctuate in relation to interest rates. As a result, changes in interest rates can influence the Trusts NAV positively or negatively in addition to the impact on Trust performance from leverage from Preferred Shares discussed above.

The Trusts may also leverage their assets through the use of TOBs, as described in Note 1 of the Notes to Financial Statements. TOB investments generally will provide the Trusts with economic benefits in periods of declining short-term interest rates, but expose the Trusts to risks during periods of rising short-term interest rates similar to those associated with Preferred Shares issued by the Trusts, as described above. Additionally, fluctuations in the market value of municipal bonds deposited into the TOB may adversely affect each Trust s NAV per share.

The use of leverage may enhance opportunities for increased returns to the Trusts and Common Shareholders, but as described above, it also creates risks as short or long-term interest rates fluctuate. Leverage also will generally cause greater changes in the Trusts NAVs, market prices and dividend rates than comparable portfolios without leverage. If the income derived from securities purchased with assets received from leverage exceeds the cost of leverage, each Trust s net income will be greater than if leverage had not been used. Conversely, if the income from the securities purchased is not sufficient to cover the cost of leverage, the Trusts net income will be less than if leverage had not been used, and therefore the amount available for distribution to Common Shareholders will be reduced. Each Trust may be required to sell portfolio securities at inopportune times or at distressed values in order to comply with regulatory requirements applicable to the use of leverage or as required by the terms of leverage instruments, which may cause a Trust to incur losses. The use of leverage may limit each Trust s ability to invest in certain types of securities or use certain types of hedging strategies, such as in the case of certain restrictions imposed by ratings agencies that rate Preferred Shares issued by the Trust. Each Trust will incur expenses in connection with the use of leverage, all of which are borne by the Common Shareholders and may reduce income to the Common Shares.

Under the Investment Company Act of 1940, the Trusts are permitted to issue Preferred Shares in an amount up to 50% of their total managed assets at the time of issuance. Under normal circumstances, each Trust anticipates that the total economic leverage from Preferred Shares and/or TOBs will not exceed 50% of its total managed assets at the time such leverage is incurred. As of February 28, 2011, the Trusts had economic leverage from Preferred Shares and/or TOBs as a percentage of their total managed assets as follows:

| | Percent of Leverage |
|-------------------|------------------------|
| DVM | 39% |
| BYM BAF | 37% |
| BBK | 38% |
| BBK BIE | 43% |
| BLE MFL MVF | 38% |
| MFL | 41% |
| MVF | 43% |

Derivative Financial Instruments

The Trusts may invest in various derivative instruments, including financial futures contracts, as specified in Note 2 of the Notes to Financial Statements, which may constitute forms of economic leverage. Such instruments are used to obtain exposure to a market without owning or taking physical custody of securities or to hedge market and/or interest rate risks. Such derivative instruments involve risks, including the imperfect correlation between the value of a derivative instrument and the underlying asset, possible default of the counterparty to the transaction or illiquidity of the derivative instrument. Each Trust s ability to use a derivative instrument successfully depends on the investment advisor s ability to predict pertinent market movements accurately, which cannot be assured. The use of derivative instruments may result in losses greater than if they had not been used, may require a Trust to sell or purchase portfolio investments at inopportune times or for distressed values, may limit the amount of appreciation a Trust can realize on an investment, may result in lower distributions paid to shareholders or may cause the Trusts to hold an investment that they might otherwise sell. The Trusts investments in these instruments are discussed in detail in the Notes to Financial Statements.

12 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT FEBRUARY 28, 2011

Schedule of Investments February 28, 2011 (Unaudited)

BlackRock Municipal Income Quality Trust (BYM) (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

| Municipal Bonds | Par (000) | ١ | Value |
|--|------------------|----|------------------------|
| Alabama 3.9% | | | |
| Alabama State Docks Department, Refunding RB, 6.00%, 10/01/40 | \$ 3,800 | \$ | 3,742,848 |
| Birmingham Airport Authority, RB (AGM), 5.50%, | | | , , |
| 7/01/40 Birmingham Special Care Facilities Financing Authority, | 5,800 | | 5,651,230 |
| RB, Children s Hospital (AGC), 6.00%, 6/01/39 | 1,495 | | 1,556,609 |
| County of Jefferson Alabama, RB, Series A, 4.75%, 1/01/25 | 2,800 | 1 | 2,301,124 |
| | | | 13,251,811 |
| Arizona 0.6% | | | |
| State of Arizona, COP, Department of Administration, | | | |
| Series A (AGM): | 1.500 | | 1 504 000 |
| 5.00%, 10/01/27 5.25%, 10/01/28 | 1,500 650 | | 1,504,080 658,034 |
| | | _ | , |
| | | | 2,162,114 |
| California 30.1% | | | |
| California Health Facilities Financing Authority, | | | |
| Refunding RB, St. Joseph Health System, Series A, 5.75%, 7/01/39 | 625 | | 625,356 |
| California Infrastructure & Economic Development | 02. | | 023,330 |
| Bank, RB, Bay Area Toll Bridges, First Lien, Series A | | | |
| (AMBAC), 5.00%, 1/01/28 (a) | 10,100 |) | 11,328,564 |
| Coast Community College District California, GO, | | | |
| Refunding, CAB, Election of 2002, Series C (AGM): 5.58%, 8/01/13 (b) | 7,450 | | 6,009,319 |
| 5.40%, 8/01/13 (c) | 4,200 | | 743,022 |
| Fresno Unified School District California, GO, Election of | 4,200 | | 743,022 |
| 2001, Series E (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/30 | 1,100 |) | 1,047,420 |
| Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corp. California, | | | |
| RB, Series 2003-A-1 (a): 6.63%, 6/01/13 | 6,500 | | 7,320,560 |
| 6.75%, 6/01/13 | 14,500 | | 16,370,790 |
| Los Angeles Municipal Improvement Corp., RB, Series B1 | 14,500 | | 10,570,770 |
| (NPFGC), 4.75%, 8/01/37 | 4,000 |) | 3,406,840 |
| Metropolitan Water District of Southern California, RB, Series B-1 (NPFGC), 5.00%, 10/01/33 | 17,500 |) | 17,600,450 |
| Monterey Peninsula Community College District, GO, | | | |
| CAB, Series C (AGM) (c): 5.15%, 8/01/31 | 12 575 | | 2 250 062 |
| 5.15%, 8/01/31 5.16%, 8/01/32 | 13,575 14,150 | | 3,358,862 3,230,728 |
| Orange County Sanitation District, COP, Series B (AGM), | 14,130 | | 3,230,728 |
| 5.00%, 2/01/31 | 2,500 | | 2,526,700 |
| | | | |

Sacramento Unified School District California, GO, Election of 2002 (NPFGC), 5.00%, 7/01/30

2,700 2,666,871

| San Francisco City & County Public Utilities Commission, Refunding RB, Series A (AGM), 5.0%, 11/01/31 15,000 15,000 15,000 San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor Agency 5,50%, 1/15/31 (c) 53,000 9,08 San Jose Unified School District Santa Clara County California, GO, Election of 2002, Series B (NPFGC), 5,00%, 8/01/29 2,350 | Municipal Bonds | Par (000) | | Value |
|---|---|-----------|----|---------------|
| San Diego Unified School District California, GO, CAB, Election of 2008, Series C, 6.86%, 7701/38 (c) \$ 32 | California (concluded) | | | |
| Election of 2008, Series C, 6.86%, 7/01/38 (c) \$ 2,000 \$ 32 San Francisco City & County Public Utilities Commission, Refunding RB, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 11/01/31 \$ 15,000 \$ 15,000 \$ San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor Agency California, Refunding RB, CAB, Series A (NPFGC), 5.50%, 11/5/31 (c) \$ 53,000 \$ 9,08 San Jose Unified School District Santa Clara County California, GO, Election of 2002, Series B (NPFGC), 5.00%, 8/01/29 \$ 2,350 \$ 2,350 \$ 103,01 \$ 2,350 | | | | |
| San Francisco City & County Public Utilities Commission, Refunding RB, Series A (AGM), 5.09%, 110/131 15,000 15,00 15,00 San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor Agency 53,000 9,08 53,000 9,08 53,000 53,000 53,000 9,08 53,000 | | \$ 2.000 | \$ | 329,980 |
| Refunding RB, Series A (AGM), 5.0%, 11/01/31 15,000 15,00 San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor Agency 2 35,000 9,08 California, Refunding RB, CAB, Series A (NPFGC), 5.5%, 17/15/31 (c) 53,000 9,08 San Jose Unified School District Santa Clara County 2,350 2,350 2,00%, 8/01/29 2,350 2,350 Colorado 0.3% Regional Transportation District, COP, Series A, 5,38%, 6/01/31 960 96 District of Columbia 2.6% District of Columbia Tobacco Settlement Financing 9,500 8,92 Florida 14.6% Broward County School Board Florida, COP, Series A 4,000 1,89 City of Tallahassee Florida, RB (NPFGC), 5.00%, 10/01/33 2,000 1,89 County of Broward Florida, RB, Series A, 5.25%, 10/01/34 5,950 5,98 County of Duval Florida, COP, Master Lease Program (AGM), 5.00%, 17/01/33 2,800 2,63 County of Miami-Dade Florida, RB: 25,520 3,53 COB, Sub-Series A (NPFGC), 5.25%, 10/01/38 (c) 25,520 3,53 Jackson Health System (AGM), 5.00%, 10/01/39 | | -,*** | | 2 _ 2 , 2 2 2 |
| San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor Agency California, Refunding RB, CAB, Series A (NPFGC), 53,000 9,08 San Jose Unified School District Santa Clara County California, GO, Election of 2002, Series B (NPFGC), 5,00%, 18/01/29 2,350 | | 15,000 | | 15,007,800 |
| 5.50%, 1/15/31 (c) 53,000 9,08 San Jose Unified School District Santa Clara County 2,350 2,350 2.00%, 8/01/29 2,350 2,350 2.00%, 8/01/29 2,350 2,350 Colorado 0.3% Regional Transportation District, COP, Series A, 5,38%, 6/01/31 960 96 District of Columbia 2.6% 50 9,500 8,92 District of Columbia Tobacco Settlement Financing 9,500 8,92 Corp., Refunding RB, Asset-Backed, 6,75%, 5/15/40 9,500 8,92 Florida 14.6% 2,000 1,89 Broward County School Board Florida, COP, Series A (AGM), 5,25%, 7/01/33 2,000 2,80 City of Tallahassee Florida, RB (NPFGC), 5.00%, 10/01/32 3,000 2,91 County of Broward Florida, RB, Series A, 5.25%, 10/01/34 5,950 5,950 5,98 County of Duval Florida, COP, Master Lease Program (AGM), 5.00%, 7/01/33 2,800 2,63 County of Miami-Dade Florida, RB: 25,520 3,53 CAB, Sub-Series A (NPFGC), 5.25%, 1/001/38 (c) 25,520 3,53 Jackson Health System (AGC), 5,75%, 6/01/39 2,300 2,32 Water & Sever System (AGM), 5.00%, 1/001/39 | | | | |
| San Jose Unified School District Santa Clara County California, GO, Election of 2002, Series B (NPFGC). | California, Refunding RB, CAB, Series A (NPFGC), | | | |
| California, GO, Election of 2002, Series B (NPFGC), 2,350 2,350 5.00%, 8/01/29 2,350 2,350 Colorado 0.3% Regional Transportation District, COP, Series A, 5.38%, 6/01/31 960 96 District of Columbia 2.6% District of Columbia Tobacco Settlement Financing 9,500 8,92 Florida 14.6% Broward County School Board Florida, COP, Series A 2,000 1,89 City of Tallahassee Florida, RB (NPFGC), 5.00%, 10/01/32 2,000 1,89 County of Broward Florida, RB, Series A, 5.25%, 10/01/34 5,950 5,98 County of Duval Florida, COP, Master Lease Program (AGM), 5.00%, 7/01/33 2,800 2,63 County of Miami-Dade Florida, RB: 25,200 3,53 CAB, Sub-Series A (NPFGC), 5.25%, 10/01/38 (c) 25,520 3,53 Jackson Health System (AGC), 5.75%, 6/01/39 2,300 2,32 Water & Sewer System (AGM), 5.00%, 10/01/39 10,100 9,58 County of Miami-Dade Florida, Refunding RB (AGM), 9,58 | 5.50%, 1/15/31 (c) | 53,000 | | 9,086,850 |
| 5.00%, 8/01/29 2,350 2,350 Colorado 0.3% Regional Transportation District, COP, Series A, 5.38%, 6/01/31 960 96 District of Columbia 2.6% District of Columbia Tobacco Settlement Financing Corp., Refunding RB, Asset-Backed, 6.75%, 5/15/40 9,500 8,92 Florida 14.6% Broward County School Board Florida, COP, Series A 2,000 1,89 City of Tallahassee Florida, RB (NPFGC), 5.00%, 10/01/32 2,000 1,89 County of Broward Florida, RB, Series A, 5.25%, 10/01/34 5,950 5,98 County of Duval Florida, COP, Master Lease Program (AGM), 5.00%, 7/01/33 2,800 2,63 County of Miami-Dade Florida, RB: 25,520 3,53 CAB, Sub-Series A (NPFGC), 5.25%, 10/01/38 (c) 25,520 3,53 Jackson Health System (AGC), 5.75%, 6/01/39 2,300 2,32 Water & Sewer System (AGM), 5.00%, 10/01/39 10,100 9,58 County of Miami-Dade Florida, Refunding RB (AGM), 8 10,100 9,58 | San Jose Unified School District Santa Clara County | | | |
| Colorado 0.3% | California, GO, Election of 2002, Series B (NPFGC), | | | |
| Colorado 0.3% Regional Transportation District, COP, Series A, 5.38%, 6/01/31 960 96 District of Columbia 2.6% District of Columbia Tobacco Settlement Financing \$8.92 Florida 14.6% Broward County School Board Florida, COP, Series A \$8.92 (AGM), 5.25%, 7/01/33 2,000 1,89 City of Tallahassee Florida, RB (NPFGC), 5.00%, 10/01/32 3,000 2,91 County of Broward Florida, RB, Series A, 5.25%, 10/01/34 5,950 5,98 County of Duval Florida, COP, Master Lease Program (AGM), 5.00%, 7/01/33 2,800 2,63 County of Miami-Dade Florida, RB: 25,520 3,53 CAB, Sub-Series A (NPFGC), 5.25%, 10/01/38 (c) 25,520 3,53 Jackson Health System (AGC), 5.75%, 6/01/39 2,300 2,32 Water & Sewer System (AGM), 5.00%, 10/01/39 10,100 9,58 County of Miami-Dade Florida, Refunding RB (AGM), 10,100 9,58 | 5.00%, 8/01/29 | 2,350 | | 2,359,165 |
| Colorado 0.3% Regional Transportation District, COP, Series A, 5.38%, 6/01/31 960 96 District of Columbia 2.6% District of Columbia Tobacco Settlement Financing \$8.92 Florida 14.6% Broward County School Board Florida, COP, Series A \$2,000 1,89 City of Tallahassee Florida, RB (NPFGC), 5.00%, \$3,000 2,91 County of Broward Florida, RB, Series A, 5.25%, \$5,950 5,98 County of Duval Florida, COP, Master Lease Program (AGM), 5.00%, 7/01/33 2,800 2,63 County of Miami-Dade Florida, RB: \$25,520 3,53 County of Miami-Dade Florida, RB: \$25,520 3,53 CAB, Sub-Series A (NPFGC), 5.25%, 10/01/38 (c) 25,520 3,53 Jackson Health System (AGC), 5.75%, 6/01/39 2,300 2,32 Water & Sewer System (AGM), 5.00%, 10/01/39 10,100 9,58 County of Miami-Dade Florida, Refunding RB (AGM), 10,100 9,58 | | | _ | |
| Regional Transportation District, COP, Series A, 5.38%, 6/01/31 960 96 District of Columbia 2.6% District of Columbia Tobacco Settlement Financing Corp., Refunding RB, Asset-Backed, 6.75%, 5/15/40 9,500 8,92 Florida 14.6% Broward County School Board Florida, COP, Series A (AGM), 5.25%, 7/01/33 2,000 1,89 City of Tallahassee Florida, RB (NPFGC), 5.00%, 10/01/32 3,000 2,91 County of Broward Florida, RB, Series A, 5.25%, 10/01/34 5,950 5,98 County of Duval Florida, COP, Master Lease Program (AGM), 5.00%, 7/01/33 2,800 2,63 County of Miami-Dade Florida, RB: 25,520 3,53 CAB, Sub-Series A (NPFGC), 5.25%, 10/01/38 (c) 25,520 3,53 Jackson Health System (AGM), 5.00%, 10/01/39 2,300 2,32 Water & Sewer System (AGM), 5.00%, 10/01/39 10,100 9,58 County of Miami-Dade Florida, Refunding RB (AGM), 5,500 3,53 | | | | 103,019,277 |
| 5.38%, 6/01/31 960 96 District of Columbia 2.6% District of Columbia Tobacco Settlement Financing Corp., Refunding RB, Asset-Backed, 6.75%, 5/15/40 9,500 8,92 Florida 14.6% Broward County School Board Florida, COP, Series A (AGM), 5.25%, 7/01/33 2,000 1,89 City of Tallahassee Florida, RB (NPFGC), 5.00%, 10/01/32 3,000 2,91 County of Broward Florida, RB, Series A, 5.25%, 10/01/34 5,950 5,98 County of Duval Florida, COP, Master Lease Program (AGM), 5.00%, 7/01/33 2,800 2,63 County of Miami-Dade Florida, RB: 25,520 3,53 CAB, Sub-Series A (NPFGC), 5.25%, 10/01/38 (c) 25,520 3,53 Jackson Health System (AGC), 5.75%, 6/01/39 2,300 2,32 Water & Sewer System (AGM), 5.00%, 10/01/39 10,100 9,58 County of Miami-Dade Florida, Refunding RB (AGM), | Colorado 0.3% | | | |
| 5.38%, 6/01/31 960 96 District of Columbia 2.6% District of Columbia Tobacco Settlement Financing Corp., Refunding RB, Asset-Backed, 6.75%, 5/15/40 9,500 8,92 Florida 14.6% Broward County School Board Florida, COP, Series A (AGM), 5.25%, 7/01/33 2,000 1,89 City of Tallahassee Florida, RB (NPFGC), 5.00%, 10/01/32 3,000 2,91 County of Broward Florida, RB, Series A, 5.25%, 10/01/34 5,950 5,98 County of Duval Florida, COP, Master Lease Program (AGM), 5.00%, 7/01/33 2,800 2,63 County of Miami-Dade Florida, RB: 25,520 3,53 CAB, Sub-Series A (NPFGC), 5.25%, 10/01/38 (c) 25,520 3,53 Jackson Health System (AGC), 5.75%, 6/01/39 2,300 2,32 Water & Sewer System (AGM), 5.00%, 10/01/39 10,100 9,58 County of Miami-Dade Florida, Refunding RB (AGM), 5,000 10,100 9,58 | | | | |
| District of Columbia Tobacco Settlement Financing Corp., Refunding RB, Asset-Backed, 6.75%, 5/15/40 Florida 14.6% Broward County School Board Florida, COP, Series A (AGM), 5.25%, 7/01/33 City of Tallahassee Florida, RB (NPFGC), 5.00%, 10/01/32 County of Broward Florida, RB, Series A, 5.25%, 10/01/34 County of Duval Florida, COP, Master Lease Program (AGM), 5.00%, 7/01/33 County of Miami-Dade Florida, RB: CAB, Sub-Series A (NPFGC), 5.25%, 10/01/38 (c) Jackson Health System (AGC), 5.75%, 6/01/39 Water & Sewer System (AGM), 5.00%, 10/01/39 County of Miami-Dade Florida, RE (AGM), Sounty of Miami-Dade Florida, RB, Series A (RGM), 5.00%, 10/01/39 County of Miami-Dade Florida, RE (AGM), 5.00%, 10/01/39 County of Miami-Dade Florida, Refunding RB (AGM), | | 960 | | 965,126 |
| District of Columbia Tobacco Settlement Financing Corp., Refunding RB, Asset-Backed, 6.75%, 5/15/40 Florida 14.6% Broward County School Board Florida, COP, Series A (AGM), 5.25%, 7/01/33 City of Tallahassee Florida, RB (NPFGC), 5.00%, 10/01/32 County of Broward Florida, RB, Series A, 5.25%, 10/01/34 County of Duval Florida, COP, Master Lease Program (AGM), 5.00%, 7/01/33 County of Miami-Dade Florida, RB: CAB, Sub-Series A (NPFGC), 5.25%, 10/01/38 (c) Jackson Health System (AGC), 5.75%, 6/01/39 Water & Sewer System (AGM), 5.00%, 10/01/39 County of Miami-Dade Florida, RE (AGM), Sounty of Miami-Dade Florida, RB, Series A (RGM), 5.00%, 10/01/39 County of Miami-Dade Florida, RE (AGM), 5.00%, 10/01/39 County of Miami-Dade Florida, Refunding RB (AGM), | District of Columbia 2.6% | | | |
| Corp., Refunding RB, Asset-Backed, 6.75%, 5/15/40 Florida 14.6% Broward County School Board Florida, COP, Series A (AGM), 5.25%, 7/01/33 City of Tallahassee Florida, RB (NPFGC), 5.00%, 10/01/32 County of Broward Florida, RB, Series A, 5.25%, 10/01/34 County of Duval Florida, COP, Master Lease Program (AGM), 5.00%, 7/01/33 County of Miami-Dade Florida, RB: CAB, Sub-Series A (NPFGC), 5.25%, 10/01/38 (c) Jackson Health System (AGC), 5.75%, 6/01/39 Water & Sewer System (AGM), 5.00%, 10/01/39 County of Miami-Dade Florida, Refunding RB (AGM), | | | | |
| Broward County School Board Florida, COP, Series A (AGM), 5.25%, 7/01/33 2,000 1,89 City of Tallahassee Florida, RB (NPFGC), 5.00%, 3,000 2,91 10/01/32 3,000 2,91 County of Broward Florida, RB, Series A, 5.25%, 5,950 5,98 County of Duval Florida, COP, Master Lease Program 2,800 2,63 (AGM), 5.00%, 7/01/33 2,800 2,63 County of Miami-Dade Florida, RB: 25,520 3,53 Jackson Health System (AGC), 5.75%, 6/01/39 2,300 2,32 Water & Sewer System (AGM), 5.00%, 10/01/39 10,100 9,58 County of Miami-Dade Florida, Refunding RB (AGM), 5,520 3,53 | | 9,500 | | 8,925,250 |
| Broward County School Board Florida, COP, Series A (AGM), 5.25%, 7/01/33 2,000 1,89 City of Tallahassee Florida, RB (NPFGC), 5.00%, 3,000 2,91 10/01/32 3,000 2,91 County of Broward Florida, RB, Series A, 5.25%, 5,950 5,98 County of Duval Florida, COP, Master Lease Program 2,800 2,63 County of Miami-Dade Florida, RB: 25,520 3,53 CAB, Sub-Series A (NPFGC), 5.25%, 10/01/38 (c) 25,520 3,53 Jackson Health System (AGC), 5.75%, 6/01/39 2,300 2,32 Water & Sewer System (AGM), 5.00%, 10/01/39 10,100 9,58 County of Miami-Dade Florida, Refunding RB (AGM), 5,500 10,100 9,58 | Florido 146% | | | |
| (AGM), 5.25%, 7/01/33 2,000 1,89 City of Tallahassee Florida, RB (NPFGC), 5.00%, 3,000 2,91 10/01/32 3,000 2,91 County of Broward Florida, RB, Series A, 5.25%, 5,950 5,98 County of Duval Florida, COP, Master Lease Program 2,800 2,63 (AGM), 5.00%, 7/01/33 2,800 2,63 County of Miami-Dade Florida, RB: 25,520 3,53 CAB, Sub-Series A (NPFGC), 5.25%, 10/01/38 (c) 25,520 3,53 Jackson Health System (AGC), 5.75%, 6/01/39 2,300 2,32 Water & Sewer System (AGM), 5.00%, 10/01/39 10,100 9,58 County of Miami-Dade Florida, Refunding RB (AGM), 5,000 10,100 9,58 | | | | |
| City of Tallahassee Florida, RB (NPFGC), 5.00%, 3,000 2,91 10/01/32 3,000 2,91 County of Broward Florida, RB, Series A, 5.25%, 5,950 5,98 10/01/34 5,950 5,98 County of Duval Florida, COP, Master Lease Program 2,800 2,63 (AGM), 5.00%, 7/01/33 2,800 2,63 County of Miami-Dade Florida, RB: 25,520 3,53 CAB, Sub-Series A (NPFGC), 5.25%, 10/01/38 (c) 25,520 3,53 Jackson Health System (AGC), 5.75%, 6/01/39 2,300 2,32 Water & Sewer System (AGM), 5.00%, 10/01/39 10,100 9,58 County of Miami-Dade Florida, Refunding RB (AGM), 5,000 10,100 9,58 | • | 2 000 | | 1,896,600 |
| 10/01/32 3,000 2,91 County of Broward Florida, RB, Series A, 5.25%, 5,950 5,98 10/01/34 5,950 5,98 County of Duval Florida, COP, Master Lease Program 2,800 2,63 (AGM), 5.00%, 7/01/33 2,800 2,63 County of Miami-Dade Florida, RB: 25,520 3,53 CAB, Sub-Series A (NPFGC), 5.25%, 10/01/38 (c) 25,520 3,53 Jackson Health System (AGC), 5.75%, 6/01/39 2,300 2,32 Water & Sewer System (AGM), 5.00%, 10/01/39 10,100 9,58 County of Miami-Dade Florida, Refunding RB (AGM), 5,950 5,98 | | 2,000 | | 1,000,000 |
| County of Broward Florida, RB, Series A, 5.25%, 5,950 5,98 10/01/34 5,950 5,98 County of Duval Florida, COP, Master Lease Program 2,800 2,63 (AGM), 5.00%, 7/01/33 2,800 2,63 County of Miami-Dade Florida, RB: 25,520 3,53 CAB, Sub-Series A (NPFGC), 5.25%, 10/01/38 (c) 25,520 3,53 Jackson Health System (AGC), 5.75%, 6/01/39 2,300 2,32 Water & Sewer System (AGM), 5.00%, 10/01/39 10,100 9,58 County of Miami-Dade Florida, Refunding RB (AGM), 5,950 5,98 | | 3.000 | | 2,918,760 |
| 10/01/34 5,950 5,98 County of Duval Florida, COP, Master Lease Program 2,800 2,63 (AGM), 5.00%, 7/01/33 2,800 2,63 County of Miami-Dade Florida, RB: 25,520 3,53 CAB, Sub-Series A (NPFGC), 5.25%, 10/01/38 (c) 25,520 3,53 Jackson Health System (AGC), 5.75%, 6/01/39 2,300 2,32 Water & Sewer System (AGM), 5.00%, 10/01/39 10,100 9,58 County of Miami-Dade Florida, Refunding RB (AGM), 5,950 5,98 | | ., | | ,, |
| County of Duval Florida, COP, Master Lease Program 2,800 2,63 (AGM), 5.00%, 7/01/33 2,800 2,63 County of Miami-Dade Florida, RB: 25,520 3,53 CAB, Sub-Series A (NPFGC), 5.25%, 10/01/38 (c) 25,520 3,53 Jackson Health System (AGC), 5.75%, 6/01/39 2,300 2,32 Water & Sewer System (AGM), 5.00%, 10/01/39 10,100 9,58 County of Miami-Dade Florida, Refunding RB (AGM), 9,58 | | 5,950 | | 5,986,712 |
| County of Miami-Dade Florida, RB: CAB, Sub-Series A (NPFGC), 5.25%, 10/01/38 (c) 25,520 3,53 Jackson Health System (AGC), 5.75%, 6/01/39 2,300 2,32 Water & Sewer System (AGM), 5.00%, 10/01/39 10,100 9,58 County of Miami-Dade Florida, Refunding RB (AGM), | County of Duval Florida, COP, Master Lease Program | | | |
| CAB, Sub-Series A (NPFGC), 5.25%, 10/01/38 (c) 25,520 3,53 Jackson Health System (AGC), 5.75%, 6/01/39 2,300 2,32 Water & Sewer System (AGM), 5.00%, 10/01/39 10,100 9,58 County of Miami-Dade Florida, Refunding RB (AGM), 10,100 9,58 | (AGM), 5.00%, 7/01/33 | 2,800 | | 2,631,272 |
| Jackson Health System (AGC), 5.75%, 6/01/39 2,300 2,32 Water & Sewer System (AGM), 5.00%, 10/01/39 10,100 9,58 County of Miami-Dade Florida, Refunding RB (AGM), 10,100 10,100 | County of Miami-Dade Florida, RB: | | | |
| Water & Sewer System (AGM), 5.00%, 10/01/39 County of Miami-Dade Florida, Refunding RB (AGM), | | ŕ | | 3,538,858 |
| County of Miami-Dade Florida, Refunding RB (AGM), | | | | 2,321,735 |
| | | 10,100 | | 9,584,799 |
| 5 00 C 5 10 1 10 5 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | | 1.200 | | 1 221 (22 |
| | | 1,300 | | 1,231,620 |
| Florida Housing Finance Corp., RB, Homeowner Martraga, Sarias 3 (Cirnia Mar) 5 45%, 7(01/2) | | 4.020 | | 4 020 055 |
| | | 4,020 | | 4,039,055 |
| Florida State Department of Environmental Protection, RB, Series B (NPFGC), 5.00%, 7/01/27 7,500 7,58 | - | 7 500 | | 7,582,200 |
| Miami-Dade County School Board, COP, Refunding, | | 7,500 | | 7,502,200 |
| | | 2 385 | | 2,401,814 |
| Orange County School Board, COP, Series A (AGC), | | 2,303 | | 2,101,017 |
| | — · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 5,590 | | 5,604,702 |
| Sarasota County Public Hospital District, RB, Sarasota | | | | |
| | | 300 | | 297,663 |
| 50.03 | | | | 50,035,790 |

Portfolio Abbreviations

To simplify the listings of portfolio holdings in the Schedules of Investments, the names and descriptions of many of the securities have been abbreviated according to the following list:

ACA ACA Financial Guaranty Corp.
AGC Assured Guaranty Corp.

AGM Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.
AMBAC American Municipal Bond Assurance Corp.
AMT Alternative Minimum Tax (subject to)

ARB Airport Revenue Bonds

BHAC Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp.

Capital Appreciation Bonds CAB CDC IXIS Financial Guaranty **CIFG** COP Certificates of Participation **EDA Economic Development Authority EDC** Economic Development Corp. **Education Revenue Bonds ERB** Federal Housing Administration **FHA GARB** General Airport Revenue Bonds General Obligation Bonds GOHousing Development Authority **HDA HFA** Housing Finance Agency HRB Housing Revenue Bonds

IDA Industrial Development Authority IDB Industrial Development Board

IDRB Industrial Development Revenue Bonds

ISD Independent School District MRB Mortgage Revenue Bonds

NPFGC National Public Finance Guarantee Corp.

PILOT Payment in Lieu of Taxes

PSF-GTD Permanent School Fund Guaranteed

RB Revenue Bonds

SBPA Stand-by Bond Purchase Agreement

S/F Single-Family

VRDN Variable Rate Demand Notes

See Notes to Financial Statements.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT FEBRUARY 28, 2011

13

Schedule of Investments (continued)

BlackRock Municipal Income Quality Trust (BYM) (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

| Municipal Bonds | Par (000) | | Value |
|--|-------------|----|----------------------------|
| Georgia 2.6% | | | |
| City of Atlanta Georgia, Refunding RB, General, Series C, | | | |
| 6.00%, 1/01/30 | \$ 7,500 | \$ | 8,155,875 |
| Gwinnett County Hospital Authority, Refunding RB, | | | |
| Gwinnett Hospital System, Series D (AGM), 5.50%, | 000 | | 952,002 |
| 7/01/41 | 900 | _ | 853,002 |
| | | | 9,008,877 |
| Hawaii 1.4% | | | |
| Hawaii State Harbor, RB, Series A, 5.50%, 7/01/35 | 5,000 | | 4,843,250 |
| Illinois 5.3% | | | |
| Chicago Board of Education Illinois, GO, Refunding, | | | |
| Chicago School Reform Board, Series A (NPFGC), | | | |
| 5.50%, 12/01/26 | 2,500 | | 2,550,050 |
| Chicago Park District, GO, Harbor Facilities, Series C, | | | 7 0.4. 2 0.4 |
| 5.25%, 1/01/40 City of Chicago Himsis DB. Sovies A (ACC) 5.00% | 600 | | 584,304 |
| City of Chicago Illinois, RB, Series A (AGC), 5.00%, 1/01/38 | 7,310 | | 6,838,651 |
| County of Cook Illinois, GO, Refunding, Series A, | 7,310 | | 0,030,031 |
| 5.25%, 11/15/33 | 1,475 | | 1,449,911 |
| Illinois Municipal Electric Agency, RB, Series A (NPFGC), | -, | | -, , |
| 5.25%, 2/01/27 | 4,800 | | 4,853,328 |
| Railsplitter Tobacco Settlement Authority, RB, 6.00%, | | | |
| 6/01/28 | 710 | | 693,535 |
| State of Illinois, RB, Build Illinois, Series B, 5.25%, | 1 400 | | 1.050.664 |
| 6/15/34 | 1,400 | | 1,350,664 |
| | | | 18,320,443 |
| Indiana 2.1% | | | |
| Indiana Municipal Power Agency, RB: | | | |
| Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 1/01/37 | 2,050 | | 1,901,355 |
| Series B, 5.75%, 1/01/34 | 450 | | 453,591 |
| Indianapolis Local Public Improvement Bond Bank, | | | |
| Refunding RB, Waterworks Project, Series A: | | | |
| (AGC), 5.50%, 1/01/38 | 2,000 | | 2,051,020 |
| (NPFGC), 5.50%, 7/01/20 | 2,630 | | 2,912,146 |
| | | | 7,318,112 |
| Iowa 1.5% | | | |
| Iowa Finance Authority, RB, Series A (AGC), 5.63%, | | | |
| 8/15/37 | 5,000 | | 5,011,550 |

| Edgar Filing: BLACKROCK MUNIVEST FUN | ND INC - Form N-CS | RS | |
|---|--------------------|----------------|---|
| Kentucky State Property & Buildings Commission, Refunding RB, Project No. 93 (AGC), 5.25%, 2/01/29 | | 1,500 | 1,537,050 |
| Louisiana 2.2% State of Louisiana, RB, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 5/01/31 | | 7,500 | 7,557,225 |
| State of Edulatiana, RE, Series II (IIGH), 3.00 %, 5/01/31 | | 7,500 | 7,557,225 |
| Michigan 2.8% | | | |
| City of Detroit Michigan, RB: | | 1.000 | 002 220 |
| Senior Lien, Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 7/01/30 Senior Lien, Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 7/01/34 | | 1,000 2,480 | 903,330 2,263,223 |
| System, Second Lien, Series A (BHAC), 5.50%, | | 2,400 | 2,203,223 |
| 7/01/36 | | 2,900 | 2,856,906 |
| System, Second Lien, Series B (NPFGC), 5.00%, 7/01/36 | | 100 | 87,876 |
| City of Detroit Michigan, Refunding RB, Second Lien, | | | |
| Series E (BHAC), 5.75%, 7/01/31 | | 3,000 | 3,048,180 |
| Kalamazoo Hospital Finance Authority, RB, Bronson Methodist Hospital (AGM), 5.25%, 5/15/36 | | 465 | 427,265 |
| Fredorist 1105phar (11011), 5.25 %, 5/15/50 | | 103 | |
| | | | 9,586,780 |
| Nebraska 1.3% | | | |
| Nebraska Investment Finance Authority, Refunding RB, | | | |
| Series A: | | | |
| 5.90%, 9/01/36 | | 2,450 | 2,507,232 |
| 6.05%, 9/01/41 | | 1,860 | 1,882,766 |
| | | | 4,389,998 |
| Municipal Bonds | | Par (000) | Value |
| | | | |
| Nevada 1.6% | | | |
| County of Clark Nevada, RB: Las Vegas-McCarran International Airport, Series A | | | |
| (AGC), 5.25%, 7/01/39 | \$ | 4,100 | \$ 3,841,823 |
| System, Subordinate Lien, Series C (AGM), | | | |
| 5.00%, 7/01/26 | | 1,650 | 1,625,580 |
| | | | 5 467 400 |
| | | | 5,467,403 |
| New York 2.4% | | _ | _ |
| New York City Transitional Finance Authority, RB, Fiscal | | | |
| 2009, Series S-4, 5.50%, 1/15/33 | | 1,950 | 2,002,533 |
| New York State Dormitory Authority, ERB, Series B, | | | |
| 5.75%, 3/15/36 Port Authority of Now York & Now Jorgay, Pofunding PP | | 1,300 | 1,383,382 |
| Port Authority of New York & New Jersey, Refunding RB, Consolidated, 140th Series, 5.00%, 12/01/34 | | 5,000 | 4,965,250 |
| | | 2,000 | .,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, |

| | | 8,351,165 |
|--|-------|-----------|
| North Carolina 0.7% | | |
| North Carolina Medical Care Commission, RB, Novant Health Obligation, Series A, 4.75%, 11/01/43 | 2,875 | 2,438,345 |
| | | |

| County of Lucas Ohio, Refunding RB, Promedica Healthcare, Series A, 6.50%, 11/15/37 Ohio Higher Educational Facility Commission, | 610 | 645,649 |
|--|--|---|
| Refunding RB, Summa Health System, 2010 Project (AGC), 5.25%, 11/15/40 | 1,125 | 1,042,661 |
| | | 1,688,310 |
| Puerto Rico 1.6% | | |
| Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., RB, First Sub-Series A, 6.38%, 8/01/39 | 5,300 | 5,486,295 |
| Rhode Island 0.8% | | |
| Rhode Island Health & Educational Building Corp., | | |
| Refunding RB, Public Schools Financing Program, Series E (AGC), 6.00%, 5/15/29 | 2,625 | 2,799,825 |
| South Carolina 1.4% | | |
| South Carolina State Ports Authority, RB, 5.25%, | | |
| 7/01/40 | 5,000 | 4,854,000 |
| Tennessee 4.1% | | |
| Knox County Health Educational & Housing Facilities | (-). | |
| Board Tennessee, Refunding RB, CAB, Series A (AGM) 5.88%, 1/01/23 | 9,260 | 4,757,418 |
| 5.90%, 1/01/24 | 8,500 | 4,101,930 |
| 5.91%, 1/01/25 | 6,850 | 3,108,119 |
| 5.93%, 1/01/26 | 5,000 | 2,125,000 |
| | | 14,092,467 |
| Texas 27.8% | | |
| City of Houston Texas, RB, Senior Lien, Series A, | | |
| 5.50%, 7/01/34 | 4,165 | 4,199,694 |
| City of San Antonio Texas, Refunding RB (NPFGC): 5.13%, 5/15/29 | 9,250 | 9,296,805 |
| 5.13%, 5/15/34 | 10,000 | 10,030,000 |
| Comal ISD, GO, School Building (PSF-GTD), 5.00%, | 10,000 | 10,030,000 |
| | | |
| 2/01/36 | 2,500 | 2,525,975 |
| Coppell ISD Texas, GO, Refunding, CAB (PSF-GTD), | · | 2,525,975 |
| Coppell ISD Texas, GO, Refunding, CAB (PSF-GTD), 5.64%, 8/15/30 (c) | 2,500 10,030 | 2,525,975 3,792,243 |
| Coppell ISD Texas, GO, Refunding, CAB (PSF-GTD), 5.64%, 8/15/30 (c) County of Harris Texas, GO (NPFGC) (c): | 10,030 | 3,792,243 |
| Coppell ISD Texas, GO, Refunding, CAB (PSF-GTD), 5.64%, 8/15/30 (c) County of Harris Texas, GO (NPFGC) (c): 5.57%, 8/15/25 | 10,030 7,485 | 3,792,243 3,936,811 |
| Coppell ISD Texas, GO, Refunding, CAB (PSF-GTD), 5.64%, 8/15/30 (c) County of Harris Texas, GO (NPFGC) (c): 5.57%, 8/15/25 5.60%, 8/15/28 | 10,030 | 3,792,243 |
| Coppell ISD Texas, GO, Refunding, CAB (PSF-GTD), 5.64%, 8/15/30 (c) County of Harris Texas, GO (NPFGC) (c): 5.57%, 8/15/25 5.60%, 8/15/28 County of Harris Texas, Refunding RB, Senior Lien, | 7,485 10,915 | 3,792,243 3,936,811 4,742,895 |
| Coppell ISD Texas, GO, Refunding, CAB (PSF-GTD), 5.64%, 8/15/30 (c) County of Harris Texas, GO (NPFGC) (c): 5.57%, 8/15/25 5.60%, 8/15/28 | 10,030 7,485 | 3,792,243 3,936,811 |
| Coppell ISD Texas, GO, Refunding, CAB (PSF-GTD), 5.64%, 8/15/30 (c) County of Harris Texas, GO (NPFGC) (c): 5.57%, 8/15/25 5.60%, 8/15/28 County of Harris Texas, Refunding RB, Senior Lien, Toll Road (AGM), 5.00%, 8/15/30 Harris County-Houston Sports Authority, Refunding RB (NPFGC) (c): | 10,030 7,485 10,915 5,510 | 3,792,243 3,936,811 4,742,895 5,515,290 |
| Coppell ISD Texas, GO, Refunding, CAB (PSF-GTD), 5.64%, 8/15/30 (c) County of Harris Texas, GO (NPFGC) (c): 5.57%, 8/15/25 5.60%, 8/15/28 County of Harris Texas, Refunding RB, Senior Lien, Toll Road (AGM), 5.00%, 8/15/30 Harris County-Houston Sports Authority, Refunding RB (NPFGC) (c): CAB, Junior Lien, Series H, 5.93%, 11/15/38 | 10,030 7,485 10,915 5,510 | 3,792,243 3,936,811 4,742,895 5,515,290 593,830 |
| Coppell ISD Texas, GO, Refunding, CAB (PSF-GTD), 5.64%, 8/15/30 (c) County of Harris Texas, GO (NPFGC) (c): 5.57%, 8/15/25 5.60%, 8/15/28 County of Harris Texas, Refunding RB, Senior Lien, Toll Road (AGM), 5.00%, 8/15/30 Harris County-Houston Sports Authority, Refunding RB (NPFGC) (c): CAB, Junior Lien, Series H, 5.93%, 11/15/38 CAB, Junior Lien, Series H, 5.94%, 11/15/39 | 10,030 7,485 10,915 5,510 5,785 6,160 | 3,792,243 3,936,811 4,742,895 5,515,290 593,830 582,490 |
| Coppell ISD Texas, GO, Refunding, CAB (PSF-GTD), 5.64%, 8/15/30 (c) County of Harris Texas, GO (NPFGC) (c): 5.57%, 8/15/25 5.60%, 8/15/28 County of Harris Texas, Refunding RB, Senior Lien, Toll Road (AGM), 5.00%, 8/15/30 Harris County-Houston Sports Authority, Refunding RB (NPFGC) (c): CAB, Junior Lien, Series H, 5.93%, 11/15/38 | 10,030 7,485 10,915 5,510 | 3,792,243 3,936,811 4,742,895 5,515,290 593,830 |
| Coppell ISD Texas, GO, Refunding, CAB (PSF-GTD), 5.64%, 8/15/30 (c) County of Harris Texas, GO (NPFGC) (c): 5.57%, 8/15/25 5.60%, 8/15/28 County of Harris Texas, Refunding RB, Senior Lien, Toll Road (AGM), 5.00%, 8/15/30 Harris County-Houston Sports Authority, Refunding RB (NPFGC) (c): CAB, Junior Lien, Series H, 5.93%, 11/15/38 CAB, Junior Lien, Series H, 5.94%, 11/15/39 Third Lien, Series A-3, 5.97%, 11/15/38 | 10,030 7,485 10,915 5,510 5,785 6,160 26,890 | 3,792,243 3,936,811 4,742,895 5,515,290 593,830 582,490 2,687,924 |
| Coppell ISD Texas, GO, Refunding, CAB (PSF-GTD), 5.64%, 8/15/30 (c) County of Harris Texas, GO (NPFGC) (c): 5.57%, 8/15/25 5.60%, 8/15/28 County of Harris Texas, Refunding RB, Senior Lien, Toll Road (AGM), 5.00%, 8/15/30 Harris County-Houston Sports Authority, Refunding RB (NPFGC) (c): CAB, Junior Lien, Series H, 5.93%, 11/15/38 CAB, Junior Lien, Series H, 5.94%, 11/15/39 Third Lien, Series A-3, 5.97%, 11/15/38 | 10,030 7,485 10,915 5,510 5,785 6,160 26,890 | 3,792,243 3,936,811 4,742,895 5,515,290 593,830 582,490 2,687,924 |
| Coppell ISD Texas, GO, Refunding, CAB (PSF-GTD), 5.64%, 8/15/30 (c) County of Harris Texas, GO (NPFGC) (c): 5.57%, 8/15/25 5.60%, 8/15/28 County of Harris Texas, Refunding RB, Senior Lien, Toll Road (AGM), 5.00%, 8/15/30 Harris County-Houston Sports Authority, Refunding RB (NPFGC) (c): CAB, Junior Lien, Series H, 5.93%, 11/15/38 CAB, Junior Lien, Series H, 5.94%, 11/15/39 Third Lien, Series A-3, 5.97%, 11/15/38 Third Lien, Series A-3, 5.98%, 11/15/39 | 10,030 7,485 10,915 5,510 5,785 6,160 26,890 27,675 | 3,792,243 3,936,811 4,742,895 5,515,290 593,830 582,490 2,687,924 2,559,937 |

Schedule of Investments (continued)

BlackRock Municipal Income Quality Trust (BYM) (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

| Municipal Bonds | | Par (000) | | Value |
|---|----|---------------|----|-------------|
| Texas (concluded) | | | | |
| Lewisville ISD Texas, GO, Refunding, CAB, School | | | | |
| Building (NPFGC), 4.67%, 8/15/24 (c) | \$ | 3,815 | \$ | 1,947,214 |
| Mansfield ISD Texas, GO, School Building (PSF-GTD), | | | | |
| 5.00%, 2/15/33 | | 2,980 | | 3,050,864 |
| North Texas Tollway Authority, Refunding RB, First Tier: | | (25 | | (55.004 |
| Series A, 6.00%, 1/01/28 System (NIDECO) 5.75%, 1/01/40 | | 625 23,050 | | 655,994 |
| System, (NPFGC), 5.75%, 1/01/40 Texas State Turnpike Authority, RB, First Tier, Series A | | 25,030 | | 22,019,204 |
| (AMBAC), 5.00%, 8/15/42 | | 20,000 | | 17,085,400 |
| (1111111111), 3.00 %, 6/13/12 | | 20,000 | _ | 17,005,100 |
| | | | | 95,222,570 |
| Washington 5.2% | | | | |
| Chelan County Public Utility District No. 1, RB, Chelan | | | | |
| Hydro System, Series C (AMBAC), 5.13%, 7/01/33 | | 3,655 | | 3,657,339 |
| County of King Washington, Refunding RB (AGM), | | | | |
| 5.00%, 1/01/36 | | 2,200 | | 2,184,380 |
| Port of Seattle Washington, RB, Series A (NPFGC), | | 4.500 | | 4 460 400 |
| 5.00%, 4/01/31 Washington Health Core Facilities Authority, P.P. | | 4,500 | | 4,460,400 |
| Washington Health Care Facilities Authority, RB: MultiCare Health Care, Series C (AGC), 5.50%, | | | | |
| 8/15/43 | | 6,400 | | 6,120,512 |
| Providence Health & Services, Series A, 5.00%, | | 0,100 | | 0,120,312 |
| 10/01/39 | | 1,000 | | 885,110 |
| Providence Health & Services, Series A, 5.25%, | | , | | , |
| 10/01/39 | | 675 | | 620,798 |
| | | | | 17,928,539 |
| | | | | |
| Wisconsin 0.4% | | | | |
| Wisconsin Health & Educational Facilities Authority, RB, | | | | |
| Ascension Health Senior Credit Group, 5.00%, | | | | |
| 11/15/33 | | 1,500 | | 1,381,380 |
| Total Municipal Bonds 118.3% | _ | | | 405,642,952 |

Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts (d)

Arizona 0.4%

| Phoenix Civic Improvement Corp., RB, Junior Lien, | | |
|--|-----------------|---|
| Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/34 | 1,300 | 1,311,388 |
| California 10.9% | | |
| California State University, RB, Systemwide, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 11/01/33 | 3,379 | 3,202,755 |
| California State University, Refunding RB, Systemwide, | | |
| Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 11/01/32 Foothill-De Anza Community College District, GO, | 8,000 | 7,682,320 |
| Election of 1999, Series C (NPFGC), 5.00%, 8/01/36 Los Angeles Community College District California, GO, | 7,500 | 7,320,450 |
| Election of 2001, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/32 | 5,000 | 4,890,450 |
| San Diego Community College District California, GO, Election of 2002, 5.25%, 8/01/33 | 449 | 452,607 |
| San Diego County Water Authority, COP, Refunding, Series 2008-A (AGM), 5.00%, 5/01/33 | 4,870 | 4,736,075 |
| University of California, RB, Series C (NPFGC), 4.75%, | , | |
| 5/15/37 | 10,000 | 8,964,400 |
| | | 37,249,057 |
| District of Columbia 0.3% | | |
| District of Columbia, RB, Series A, 5.50%, 12/01/30 | 1,080 | 1,162,242 |
| | | |
| Municipal Bonds Transferred to | Par | |
| Tender Option Bond Trusts (d) | (000) | Value |
| Florida 4.0% | | |
| City of Tallahassee Florida, RB (NPFGC), 5.00%, 10/01/37 | \$ 5,000 | \$ 4,787,150 |
| Florida State Board of Education, GO, Series D, 5.00%, 6/01/37 | 2,999 | 2,994,075 |
| | 2,777 | 2,771,073 |
| Orange County School Board, COP, Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 8/01/30 | 6,000 | 5,911,800 |
| | | 12 602 025 |
| | | 13,693,025 |
| Hawaii 2.9% | | |
| Honolyty Catr. V. County Board of Woton Cumply, DD | | |
| Honolulu City & County Board of Water Supply, RB, Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 7/01/33 | 10,000 | 10,042,100 |
| Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 7/01/33 | 10,000 | 10,042,100 |
| Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 7/01/33 Illinois 8.2% Illinois State Toll Highway Authority, RB, Series B, | | |
| Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 7/01/33 Illinois 8.2% Illinois State Toll Highway Authority, RB, Series B, 5.50%, 1/01/33 | 10,000 | |
| Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 7/01/33 Illinois 8.2% Illinois State Toll Highway Authority, RB, Series B, 5.50%, 1/01/33 Metropolitan Pier & Exposition Authority, RB, McCormick Place Expansion Project, Series A (NPFGC), | 4,499 | 4,514,114 |
| Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 7/01/33 Illinois 8.2% Illinois State Toll Highway Authority, RB, Series B, 5.50%, 1/01/33 Metropolitan Pier & Exposition Authority, RB, McCormick | | 4,514,114 |
| Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 7/01/33 Illinois 8.2% Illinois State Toll Highway Authority, RB, Series B, 5.50%, 1/01/33 Metropolitan Pier & Exposition Authority, RB, McCormick Place Expansion Project, Series A (NPFGC), | 4,499 | 4,514,114 23,564,375 |
| Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 7/01/33 Illinois 8.2% Illinois State Toll Highway Authority, RB, Series B, 5.50%, 1/01/33 Metropolitan Pier & Exposition Authority, RB, McCormick Place Expansion Project, Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 12/15/28 Massachusetts 3.8% | 4,499 | 4,514,114 23,564,375 |
| Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 7/01/33 Illinois 8.2% Illinois State Toll Highway Authority, RB, Series B, 5.50%, 1/01/33 Metropolitan Pier & Exposition Authority, RB, McCormick Place Expansion Project, Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 12/15/28 | 4,499 | 10,042,100 4,514,114 23,564,375 28,078,489 13,158,069 |
| Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 7/01/33 Illinois 8.2% Illinois State Toll Highway Authority, RB, Series B, 5.50%, 1/01/33 Metropolitan Pier & Exposition Authority, RB, McCormick Place Expansion Project, Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 12/15/28 Massachusetts 3.8% Massachusetts School Building Authority, RB, Series A | 4,499 24,010 | 23,564,375 28,078,489 |

| City of Las Vegas Nevada, GO, Limited Tax, Performing Arts Center, 6.00%, 4/01/39 | | |
|--|-------|-------------|
| Clark County Water Reclamation District, GO, Series B, 5.75%, 7/01/34 | 2,024 | 2,157,979 |
| | | 6,549,398 |
| New York 4.8% | | |
| Erie County Industrial Development Agency, RB, City School District of Buffalo Project, Series A (AGM), 5.75%, 5/01/28 | 4,494 | 4,801,911 |
| Metropolitan Transportation Authority, RB, Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 11/15/31 | 7,002 | 6,992,433 |
| Triborough Bridge & Tunnel Authority, RB, General, Series A-2, 5.25%, 11/15/34 | 4,500 | 4,559,445 |
| | | 16,353,789 |
| Ohio 0.2% | | |
| State of Ohio, RB, Cleveland Clinic Health, Series B, 5.50%, 1/01/34 | 620 | 620,732 |
| South Carolina 0.2% | | |
| South Carolina State Public Service Authority, RB, Santee Cooper, Series A, 5.50%, 1/01/38 | 600 | 621,978 |
| Texas 2.8% Northside ISD Texas, GO, School Building (PSF-GTD), 5.13%, 6/15/29 | 9,500 | 9,755,913 |
| Utah 1.5% Utah Transit Authority, RB, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 6/15/36 | 5,000 | 5,014,800 |
| Virginia 0.1% | | |
| Fairfax County IDA Virginia, Refunding RB, Health Care, Inova Health System, Series A, 5.50%, 5/15/35 | 400 | 403,364 |
| Washington 1.0% | | |
| Central Puget Sound Regional Transit Authority, RB, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 11/01/32 | 3,494 | 3,529,596 |
| Total Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts 43.0% | | 147,543,940 |
| Total Long-Term Investments (Cost \$576,752,468) 161.3% | | 553,186,892 |
| | | |
| See Notes to Financial Statements. | | |

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

15

FEBRUARY 28, 2011

Schedule of Investments (concluded)

BlackRock Municipal Income Quality Trust (BYM) (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

| Short-Term Securities | Par (000) | Value |
|---|-------------|-----------------|
| New York 0.5% City of New York New York, GO, VRDN, Sub-Series A-6 (AGM Insurance, Dexia Credit Local SBPA), 0.23%, 3/01/11 (e) | \$ 1,700 | \$ 1,700,000 |

| | Shares | |
|---|-----------|----------------|
| Money Market Fund 0.7% | | |
| FFI Institutional Tax-Exempt Fund, 0.13% (f)(g) | 2,376,259 | 2,376,259 |
| Total Short-Term Securities | | |
| (Cost \$4,076,259) 1.2% | | 4,076,259 |
| | | |
| Total Investments (Cost \$580,828,727*) 162.5% | | 557,263,151 |
| Other Assets Less Liabilities 1.1% | | 3,821,897 |
| Liability for Trust Certificates, Including | | |
| Interest Expense and Fees Payable (23.6)% | | (80,966,277) |
| Preferred Shares, at Redemption Value (40.0)% | | (137,256,220) |
| | | |
| Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0% | | \$ 342,862,551 |
| | | |

^{*} The cost and unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments as of February 28, 2011, as computed for federal income tax purposes, were as follows:

| Aggregate cost | \$ 500,494,695 |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| | |
| Gross unrealized appreciation | \$ 10,332,280 |
| Gross unrealized depreciation | (34,478,336) |
| | |
| Net unrealized depreciation | \$ (24,146,056) |
| | |

- (a) US government securities, held in escrow, are used to pay interest on this security as well as to retire the bond in full at the date indicated, typically at a premium to par.
- (b) Represents a step-up bond that pays an initial coupon rate for the first period and then a higher coupon rate for the following periods. Rate shown reflects the current yield as of report date.

- (c) Represents a zero-coupon bond. Rate shown reflects the current yield as of report date.
- (d) Securities represent bonds transferred to a TOB in exchange for which the Trust acquired residual interest certificates. These securities serve as collateral in a financing transaction. See Note 1 of the Notes to Financial Statements for details of municipal bonds transferred to TOBs.
- (e) Variable rate security. Rate shown is as of report date and maturity shown is the date the principal owed can be recovered through demand.
- (f) Investments in companies considered to be an affiliate of the Trust during the period, for purposes of Section 2(a)(3) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, were as follows:

| Affiliate | Shares Held at August 31, 2010 | Net Activity | Shares Held at February 28, ty 2011 | | Income |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|---|----|--------|
| FFI Institutional Tax-Exempt Fund | 9,416,737 | (7,040,478) | 2,376,259 | \$ | 6,948 |

(g) Represents the current yield as of report date.

Financial futures contracts sold as of February 28, 2011 were as follows:

| Contracts | Issue | Exchange | Expiration | Notional Value | Unrealized Depreciation |
|-----------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|-------------------|----------------------------|
| 195 | 10-Year U.S. Treasury Note | Chicago Board of Trade | June 2011 | \$ 23,110,176 | \$ (103,964) |

Fair Value Measurements Various inputs are used in determining the fair value of investments and derivatives. These inputs are summarized in three broad levels for financial statement purposes as follows:

Level 1 price quotations in active markets/exchanges for identical assets and liabilities

Level 2 other observable inputs (including, but not limited to: quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in markets that are active, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the assets or liabilities (such as interest rates, yield curves, volatilities, prepayment speeds, loss severities, credit risks and default rates) or other market-corroborated inputs)

Level 3 unobservable inputs based on the best information available in the circumstances, to the extent observable inputs are not available (including the Trust s own assumptions used in determining the fair value of investments and derivatives)

The inputs or methodologies used for valuing securities are not necessarily an indication of the risk associated with investing in those securities. For information about the Trust s policy regarding valuation of investments and derivatives and other significant accounting policies, please refer to Note 1 of the Notes to Financial Statements.

The following tables summarize the inputs used as of February 28, 2011 in determining the fair valuation of the Trust s investments and derivatives:

| Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
|--------------|----------------|--|--|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | \$ 553,186,892 | | \$ 553,186,892 |
| | | | |
| \$ 2,376,259 | 1,700,000 | | 4,076,259 |
| \$ 2,376,259 | \$ 554,886,892 | | \$ 557,263,151 |
| | \$ 2,376,259 | \$ 553,186,892 \$ 2,376,259 1,700,000 | \$ 553,186,892 \$ 2,376,259 1,700,000 |

See above Schedule of Investments for values in each state or political subdivision.

| | | Derivative Financial Instruments ² | | | | | |
|-------------------------|--------------|---|---------|--------------|--|--|--|
| Valuation Inputs | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total | | | |
| Liabilities: | | | | | | | |
| Interest rate contracts | \$ (103,964) | | | \$ (103,964) | | | |

Derivative financial instruments are financial futures contracts, which are shown at the unrealized appreciation/depreciation on the instrument.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

16 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT FEBRUARY 28, 2011

Schedule of Investments February 28, 2011 (Unaudited)

BlackRock Municipal Income Investment Quality Trust (BAF)
(Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

| Municipal Bonds | Par (000) | Value |
|---|-----------|---------------|
| Alabama 2.2% | | |
| Birmingham Airport Authority, RB (AGM), 5.00%, | | |
| 7/01/25 | \$ 520 | \$ 522,662 |
| Birmingham Special Care Facilities Financing Authority, | | |
| RB, Children s Hospital (AGC): | | |
| 6.13%, 6/01/34 | 1,000 | 1,059,990 |
| 6.00%, 6/01/39 | 1,000 | 1,041,210 |
| | | 2,623,862 |
| Arizona 0.4% | | |
| Arizona 0.4% State of Arizona, COP, Department of Administration, | | |
| Series A (AGM), 5.25%, 10/01/28 | 465 | 470,747 |
| SCHOS A (ACIVI), 5.25 %, 10/01/20 | 403 | 470,747 |
| California 12.3% | | |
| California Educational Facilities Authority, RB, University | | |
| of Southern California, Series A, 5.25%, 10/01/38 | 2,155 | 2,179,093 |
| California Health Facilities Financing Authority, | 2,133 | 2,177,073 |
| Refunding RB, Sutter Health, Series B, 6.00%, | | |
| 8/15/42 | 1,120 | 1,109,024 |
| County of Sacramento California, RB, Senior Series A | 1,120 | 1,100,024 |
| (AGC), 5.50%, 7/01/41 | 1,400 | 1,373,554 |
| Los Angeles Community College District California, GO: | 1,100 | 1,575,551 |
| Election of 2001, Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, | | |
| 8/01/32 | 1,000 | 975,560 |
| Election of 2008, Series C, 5.25%, 8/01/39 | 1,000 | 985,430 |
| Los Angeles Department of Water & Power, RB, Power | , | , |
| System, Sub-Series A-1, 5.25%, 7/01/38 | 1,175 | 1,163,132 |
| Los Angeles Municipal Improvement Corp., Refunding | | |
| RB, Real Property, Series B (AGC), 5.50%, 4/01/39 | 3,810 | 3,693,300 |
| Oxnard Union High School District, GO, Refunding, | · | , i |
| Election of 2004, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/40 | 1,000 | 890,240 |
| San Diego Public Facilities Financing Authority, | | |
| Refunding RB, Series B (AGC), 5.38%, 8/01/34 | 1,125 | 1,145,160 |
| San Jacinto Unified School District, GO, Election of | | |
| 2006 (AGM), 5.25%, 8/01/32 | 1,000 | 968,710 |
| | | |
| | | 14,483,203 |
| Colorado 1.6% | | |
| Colorado Health Facilities Authority, RB, Hospital, | | |
| NCMC Inc. Project, Series B (AGM), 6.00%, 5/15/26 | 1,425 | 1,531,818 |
| Regional Transportation District, COP, Series A, 5.00%, | , | |
| 6/01/25 | 300 | 300,417 |
| | | |
| | | 1,832,235 |
| | | 1,032,233 |

| 5,000 | 4,968,000 |
|--------|-------------------------|
| 1,660 | 1,664,615 |
| | |
| 10,000 | 8,178,100 |
| | 14,810,715 |
| | |
| | |
| 1,000 | 1,000,600 |
| | |
| 2,500 | 2,718,625 |
| | |
| 1,350 | 1,279,503 |
| | 4,998,728 |
| | 1,000 1,000 2,500 |

| Municipal Bonds | Par (000) | Value |
|---|--------------|---------------|
| Illinois 11.5% | | |
| Chicago Board of Education Illinois, GO, Refunding, | | |
| Chicago School Reform Board, Series A (NPFGC), | | |
| 5.50%, 12/01/26 | \$ 745 | \$ 759,915 |
| Chicago Transit Authority, RB, Federal Transit | | |
| Administration Section 5309, Series A (AGC), | | |
| 6.00%, 6/01/26 | 1,300 | 1,405,196 |
| City of Chicago Illinois, GO, Refunding, Projects, | | |
| Series A (AGM): | | |
| 5.00%, 1/01/29 | 1,425 | 1,353,878 |
| 5.00%, 1/01/30 | 570 | 538,057 |
| City of Chicago Illinois, RB, General, Third Lien, | | |
| Series C (AGM): | | |
| 5.25%, 1/01/30 | 1,000 | 1,001,330 |
| 5.25%, 1/01/35 | 820 | 798,196 |
| City of Chicago Illinois, Refunding RB, Second Lien | | |
| (NPFGC), 5.50%, 1/01/30 | 1,000 | 1,023,080 |
| Illinois Municipal Electric Agency, RB, Series A (NPFGC): | | |
| 5.25%, 2/01/28 | 1,560 | 1,572,558 |
| 5.25%, 2/01/35 | 1,250 | 1,216,750 |
| Railsplitter Tobacco Settlement Authority, RB: | | |
| 5.50%, 6/01/23 | 915 | 874,072 |
| 6.00%, 6/01/28 | 260 | 253,971 |
| State of Illinois, RB: | | |
| (AGM), 5.00%, 6/15/27 | 1,000 | 996,620 |
| Build Illinois, Series B, 5.25%, 6/15/28 | 1,750 | 1,762,723 |
| | | 13,556,346 |
| Indiana 4.2% | | |
| Indiana Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Trinity Health, | | |
| Series B, 4.50%, 12/01/37 | 3,000 | 2,451,960 |
| Indianapolis Local Public Improvement Bond Bank, | · · | , |

| Refunding RB, Waterworks Project, Series A (AGC), 5.50%, 1/01/38 | 2,415 | 2,476,607 | | |
|--|-------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| | _ | 4,928,567 | | |
| Iowa 0.9% | | | | |
| Iowa Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Iowa Health System (AGC), 5.25%, 2/15/29 | 1,125 | 1,110,814 | | |
| Louisiana 4.7% | | | | |
| East Baton Rouge Sewerage Commission, RB, Series A, | 2.250 | 2.257.122 | | |
| 5.25%, 2/01/39 Louisiana State Citizens Property Insurance Corp., RB, | 2,250 | 2,257,132 | | |
| Series C-3 (AGC), 6.13%, 6/01/25 | 2,510 | 2,748,425 | | |
| New Orleans Aviation Board Louisiana, Refunding GARB, | | | | |
| Restructuring (AGC): | 275 | 414 142 | | |
| Series A-1, 6.00%, 1/01/23 Series A-2, 6.00%, 1/01/23 | 375 150 | 414,143 165,657 | | |
| Selies A-2, 0.00 %, 1/01/25 | | 103,037 | | |
| | | 5,585,357 | | |
| Maine 0.9% | | | | |
| City of Portland Maine, RB, General (AGM), 5.25%, | | | | |
| 1/01/35 | 1,095 | 1,091,967 | | |
| Michigan 16.6% | | | | |
| City of Detroit Michigan, RB: | | | | |
| Second Lien, Series B (AGM), 6.25%, 7/01/36 | 1,700 | 1,804,074 | | |
| Second Lien, Series B (AGM), 7.00%, 7/01/36 | 200 | 223,666 | | |
| Second Lien, Series B (NPFGC), 5.50%, 7/01/29 | 1,790 | 1,731,020 | | |
| Senior Lien, Series B (AGM), 7.50%, 7/01/33 | 1,500 | 1,772,175 | | |
| Senior Lien, Series B (BHAC), 5.50%, 7/01/35 System, Second Lien, Series A (BHAC), 5.50%, | 4,750 | 4,724,398 | | |
| 7/01/36 | 2,330 | 2,295,376 | | |
| City of Detroit Michigan, Refunding RB, Second Lien: | 2,000 | 2,2,0,0,0 | | |
| Series C-1 (AGM), 7.00%, 7/01/27 | 1,800 | 2,069,424 | | |
| Series E (BHAC), 5.75%, 7/01/31 | 2,300 | 2,336,938 | | |
| Michigan State Building Authority, RB, Facilities Program, | | | | |
| Series H (AGM), 5.00%, 10/15/26 | 365 | 365,485 | | |
| See Notes to Financial Statements. | | | | |
| SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT | FEBRUARY 28, 2011 | 17 | | |

Schedule of Investments (continued)

BlackRock Municipal Income Investment Quality Trust (BAF) (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

| Municipal Bonds | Par (000) | Value |
|--|-----------|------------|
| Michigan (concluded) | | |
| Michigan State Building Authority, Refunding RB, | | |
| Facilities Program, Series I (AGC): | | |
| 5.25%, 10/15/24 | \$ 565 | \$ 584,374 |
| 5.25%, 10/15/25 | 300 | 306,495 |
| Royal Oak Hospital Finance Authority Michigan, | | |
| Refunding RB, William Beaumont Hospital, 8.25%, | | |
| 9/01/39 | 1,205 | 1,344,611 |
| | | 19,558,036 |
| | | 17,550,050 |
| Minnesota 5.1% | | |
| City of Minneapolis Minnesota, Refunding RB, Fairview | | |
| Health Services, Series B (AGC), 6.50%, 11/15/38 | 5,680 | 5,999,670 |
| Nevada 1.8% | | |
| County of Clark Nevada, RB, Las Vegas-McCarran | | |
| International Airport, Series A (AGC), 5.25%, 7/01/39 | 2,295 | 2,150,484 |
| New Jersey 5.1% | | |
| New Jersey EDA, RB, School Facilities Construction, | | |
| Series Z (AGC), 6.00%, 12/15/34 | 1,000 | 1,052,550 |
| New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, | | |
| RB, Virtua Health (AGC), 5.50%, 7/01/38 | 1,300 | 1,320,748 |
| New Jersey State Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency, | | |
| RB, S/F Housing, Series CC, 5.25%, 10/01/29 | 1,575 | 1,573,063 |
| New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, RB, | | |
| Transportation System, Series A (AGC), 5.50%, | 2 000 | 2.050.700 |
| 12/15/38 | 2,000 | 2,050,780 |
| | | 5,997,141 |
| New York 4.3% | | |
| New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority, RB, | | |
| Second General Resolution, Series EE, 5.38%, 6/15/43 | 1,545 | 1,566,614 |
| New York City Transitional Finance Authority, RB, | 1,5 15 | 1,500,014 |
| Fiscal 2009: | | |
| Series S-3, 5.25%, 1/15/39 | 900 | 906,291 |
| Series S-4 (AGC), 5.50%, 1/15/29 | 2,465 | 2,573,682 |
| | | |
| | | 5,046,587 |
| Ohio 0.5% | | |
| Ohio Higher Educational Facility Commission, Refunding | | |
| | | |
| RB, Summa Health System, 2010 Project (AGC), | | |

| D | | |
|--|----------|--------------|
| Pennsylvania 0.8% Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, Refunding RB, | | |
| Sub-Series B-1 (AGM), 5.00%, 12/01/37 | 1,000 | 932,940 |
| | | |
| Puerto Rico 2.2% Duarto Rico Highway & Transportation Authority | | |
| Puerto Rico Highway & Transportation Authority, Refunding RB, Series CC (AGM), 5.50%, 7/01/30 | 1,170 | 1,167,145 |
| Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., RB, First | 1,170 | 1,107,143 |
| Sub-Series A, 6.38%, 8/01/39 | 1,350 | 1,397,453 |
| | | 2,564,598 |
| | | 2,00.,000 |
| Texas 21.4% | | |
| Austin Community College District, RB, Educational | | |
| Facilities Project, Round Rock Campus, 5.25%, | 2,000 | 2.012.120 |
| 8/01/33 City of Austin Texas, Refunding RB, Series A (AGM): | 2,000 | 2,012,120 |
| 5.00%, 11/15/28 | 705 | 717,436 |
| 5.00%, 11/15/29 | 895 | 904,541 |
| City of Houston Texas, Refunding RB, Combined, | 9,5 | 7 0 1,0 1 1 |
| First Lien, Series A (AGC): | | |
| 6.00%, 11/15/35 | 2,600 | 2,822,378 |
| 6.00%, 11/15/36 | 2,215 | 2,399,753 |
| 5.38%, 11/15/38 | 1,000 | 1,020,200 |
| | | |
| | Par | |
| Municipal Bonds | (000) | Value |
| Texas (concluded) | | |
| Dallas Area Rapid Transit, Refunding RB, Senior Lien, | | |
| 5.25%, 12/01/38 | \$ 1,500 | \$ 1,511,280 |
| Frisco ISD Texas, GO, School Building (AGC): | | |
| 5.38%, 8/15/39 | 1,415 | 1,440,470 |
| 5.50%, 8/15/41 | 3,365 | 3,465,849 |
| Harris County Health Facilities Development Corp., | | |
| Refunding RB, Memorial Hermann Healthcare System, Series B, 7.13%, 12/01/31 | 500 | 542,810 |
| Lubbock Cooper ISD Texas, GO, School Building (AGC), | 300 | 342,010 |
| 5.75%, 2/15/42 | 500 | 512,495 |
| North Texas Tollway Authority, RB, System, First Tier, | | ,,,, |
| Series K-1 (AGC), 5.75%, 1/01/38 | 1,500 | 1,510,215 |
| North Texas Tollway Authority, Refunding RB, System, | | |
| First Tier: | | |
| (AGM), 6.00%, 1/01/43 | 1,000 | 1,029,860 |
| Series A (AGC), 5.75%, 1/01/40 | 1,500 | 1,507,440 |
| Series A (NPFGC), 5.13%, 1/01/28 Tarrant County Cultural Education Facilities Finance | 2,895 | 2,864,226 |
| Corp., Refunding RB, Christus Health, Series A (AGC), | | |
| 6.50%, 7/01/37 | 1,000 | 1,031,440 |
| pro-11, 11-21-21 | -,,,,, | |
| | | 25,292,513 |
| Utah 1.3% | | |
| City of Riverton Utah, RB, IHC Health Services Inc., | | |
| 5.00%, 8/15/41 | 1,625 | 1,521,439 |
| Vincinio 0.00/ | | |
| Virginia 0.9% Virginia Public School Authority, RB, School Financing, | | |
| 6.50%, 12/01/35 | 1,000 | 1,094,710 |
| | | |

| Total Municipal Bonds | 115.5% | 136,229,915 |
|------------------------------|--------|-------------|
| | | |

| Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts (a) | | |
|--|------------------|------------|
| Alabama 1.3% | | |
| Mobile Board of Water & Sewer Commissioners, RB (NPFGC), 5.00%, 1/01/31 | 1,500 | 1,498,020 |
| California 2.1% | | |
| San Diego Community College District California, GO, Election of 2002 (AGM), 5.00%, 5/01/30 | 2,500 | 2,481,550 |
| District of Columbia 0.7% | | |
| District of Columbia Water & Sewer Authority, RB, Series A, 6.00%, 10/01/35 | 760 | 825,081 |
| Florida 26.5% | | |
| City of Jacksonville Florida, RB, Better Jacksonville NPFGC), 5.00%, 10/01/27 | 3.930 | 3,956,370 |
| County of Pinellas Florida, RB (AGM), 5.00%, 10/01/32 | 9,500 | 9,076,328 |
| Florida State Board of Education, GO, Public Education, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 6/01/27 | 9,000 | 9,108,450 |
| Palm Beach County School District, COP, Refunding, | 0.100 | |
| Series D (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/28 | 9,190 | 9,147,359 |
| | | 31,288,507 |
| Illinois 5.0% | | |
| Chicago Transit Authority, Refunding RB, Federal Fransit Administration Section 5309 (AGM), | | |
| 5.00%, 6/01/28 | 2,999 | 2,832,783 |
| Illinois State Toll Highway Authority, RB, Series B, 5.50%, 1/01/33 | 2,999 | 3,009,410 |
| | • | 5,842,193 |
| | | |
| See Notes to Financial Statements. | | |
| 18 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT | FEBRUARY 28, 201 | 1 |

Schedule of Investments (concluded)

BlackRock Municipal Income Investment Quality Trust (BAF) (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

| Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts (a) | Par (000) | Value |
|---|-----------|---------------|
| Kentucky 0.8% | | |
| Kentucky State Property & Building Commission, | | |
| Refunding RB, Project No. 93 (AGC), 5.25%, 2/01/27 | \$ 898 | \$ 931,964 |
| 1 100 | | |
| Nevada 1.8% | | |
| Clark County Water Reclamation District, GO, Limited | 2,000 | 2 144 220 |
| Tax, 6.00%, 7/01/38 | 2,000 | 2,144,320 |
| Total Municipal Bonds Transferred to | | |
| Tender Option Bond Trusts 38.2% | | 45,011,635 |
| | | ,, |
| Total Long-Term Investments | | |
| (Cost \$183,499,520) 153.7% | | 181,241,550 |

| Short-Term Securities | Shares |
|---|---------------------|
| FFI Institutional Tax-Exempt Fund, 0.13% (b)(c) | 5,323,892 5,323,892 |
| Total Short-Term Securities | |
| (Cost \$5,323,892) 4.5% | 5,323,892 |
| Total Investments (Cost \$188,823,412*) 158.2% | 186,565,442 |
| Liabilities in Excess of Other Assets (0.4)% | (480,374) |
| Liability for Trust Certificates, Including | |
| Interest Expense and Fees Payable (22.0)% | (25,887,547) |
| Preferred Shares, at Redemption Value (35.8)% | (42,278,454) |
| Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0% | \$ 117,919,067 |

^{*} The cost and unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments as of February 28, 2011, as computed for federal income tax purposes, were as follows:

| Aggregate cost | \$ 163,232,643 |
|-------------------------------|----------------|
| | |
| Gross unrealized appreciation | \$ 2,730,254 |
| Gross unrealized depreciation | (5,263,721) |

Net unrealized depreciation \$ (2,533,467)

(a) Securities represent bonds transferred to a TOB in exchange for which the Trust acquired residual interest certificates. These securities serve as collateral in a financing transaction. See Note 1 of the Notes to Financial Statements for details of municipal bonds transferred to TOBs.

(b) Investments in companies considered to be an affiliate of the Trust during the period, for purposes of Section 2(a)(3) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, were as follows:

| Affiliate | Shares Held at August 31, Net February 28, 2010 Activity 2011 | | Income | |
|--------------------------------------|---|-----------|-----------|-------------|
| FFI Institutional Tax-Exempt Fund | 1,211,264 | 4,112,628 | 5,323,892 | \$ 2,595 |

(c) Represents the current yield as of report date.

Financial futures contracts sold as of February 28, 2011 were as follows:

| Contracts | Issue | Exchange | Expiration | Notional Value | _ | nrealized preciation |
|-----------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|------------|-------------------|----|-------------------------|
| 47 | 10-Year U.S. Treasury Note | Chicago Board of Trade | June 2011 | \$ 5,570,145 | \$ | (25,058) |

Fair Value Measurements Various inputs are used in determining the fair value of investments and derivatives. These inputs are summarized in three broad levels for financial statement purposes as follows:

Level 1 price quotations in active markets/exchanges for identical assets and liabilities

Level 2 other observable inputs (including, but not limited to: quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in markets that are active, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the assets or liabilities (such as interest rates, yield curves, volatilities, prepayment speeds, loss severities, credit risks and default rates) or other market-corroborated inputs)

Level 3 unobservable inputs based on the best information available in the circumstances, to the extent observable inputs are not available (including the Trust s own assumptions used in determining the fair value of investments and derivatives)

The inputs or methodologies used for valuing securities are not necessarily an indication of the risk associated with investing in those securities. For information about the Trust spolicy regarding valuation of investments and derivatives and other significant accounting policies, please refer to Note 1 of the Notes to Financial Statements.

The following tables summarize the inputs used as of February 28, 2011 in determining the fair valuation of the Trust s investments and derivatives:

| Valuation Inputs | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total | |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------|-------|-------------|
| Assets: | | | | | |
| Investments in | | | | | |
| Securities: | | | | | |
| Long-Term | | | | | |
| Investments ¹ | | \$ 181,241,550 | | \$ | 181,241,550 |
| Short-Term | | | | | |
| Securities | \$ 5,323,892 | | | | 5,323,892 |
| | | | | | |
| Total | \$ 5,323,892 | \$ 181,241,550 | | \$ | 186,565,442 |

See above Schedule of Investments for values in each state or political subdivision.

| | | Derivative Finan | icial Instruments ² | |
|----------------------------|----------------|------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|
| Valuation Inputs | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
| Liabilities: Interest rate | | | | |
| contracts | \$ (25,058) | | | \$ (25,058) |

Derivative financial instruments are financial futures contracts, which are shown at the unrealized appreciation/depreciation on the instrument.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

| | | _ |
|--------------------|-------------------|----|
| SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT | FEBRUARY 28, 2011 | 19 |

Schedule of Investments February 28, 2011 (Unaudited)

BlackRock Municipal Bond Trust (BBK) (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

| Alabama 3.4% | Municipal Bonds | Par (000) | Value |
|--|--|-------------|-----------------|
| 6.00%, 6/01/39 | Alabama 3.4% | | |
| 6.00%, 6/01/39 | Birmingham Special Care Facilities Financing Authority, RB, Children s Hospital (AGC): | | |
| Hoover City Board of Education, GO, Refunding, 4.25%, 2/15/40 3,750 4,805,616 | | \$ 1,150 | \$ 1,205,522 |
| Arizona 4.8% | 6.00%, 6/01/39 | 450 | 468,544 |
| Arizona 4.8% Arizona 548te University, RB, Series D, 5.50%, 7/01/26 Mohave County Unified School District No. 20 Kingman, GO, School Improvement Project of 2006. Series C (AGC), 5.00%, 7/01/26 Mohave County Unified School District, Co. 20 Kingman, GO, School Improvement Project of 2006. Series C (AGC), 5.00%, 7/01/29 200 205.338 Pima County IDA, Refunding IDRB, Tucson Electric Power, 5.75%, 9/01/29 900 872.802 Salt Verde Financial Corp., RB, Senior: 500%, 12/01/37 1,500 1,297,680 5,00%, 12/01/37 2,065 1,733,712 San Luis Facility Development Corp., RB, Senior Lien, Regional Detention Center Project: 6,25%, 5/01/15 300 289,518 7,00%, 5/01/20 300 286,317 7,00%, 5/01/20 300 286,317 7,00%, 5/01/20 300 286,317 7,00%, 5/01/20 600 561,066 State of Arizona, COP, Department of Administration, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 10/01/29 750 748,163 University Medical Center Corp. Arizona, RB, 6.50%, 7/01/39 500 600 600/01/55 (a) 600 600/01/55 (a) 600 600/155 (a) 600 600 600/155 (a) 600 600 600/155 (a) 600 600 600 600 600 600 600 600 600 60 | Hoover City Board of Education, GO, Refunding, 4.25%, 2/15/40 | 3,750 | 3,131,550 |
| Arizona State University, RB, Series D, 5.50%, 7/01/26 Mohave County Unified School District No. 20 Kingman, GO, School Improvement Project of 200 205, 338 Pima County IDA, Refunding IDRB, Tucson Electric Power, 5.75%, 9/01/29 900 872, 802 Salt Verde Financial Corp., RB, Senior: 5.00%, 12/01/32 1,500 1,297,680 2,065 1,733,712 San Luis Facility Development Corp., RB, Senior Lien, Regional Detention Center Project: 6.25%, 5/01/13 300 289,518 California County Tobacco Securitization, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 10/01/29 750 748,163 University Medical Center Corp. Arizona, RB, 6.50%, 7/01/39 500 509,600 California 15.3% California HEA, RB, Home Mortgage, Series G, AMT, 5.05%, 2/01/29 2,285 2,129,186 California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Sutter Health, Series B, 5.88%, 8/15/31 1,000 15trict, GO, Election of 2006, Gries B, 6.09%, 5/01/34 (b) 1,000 568,640 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 | | | 4,805,616 |
| Mohave County Unified School District No. 20 Kingman, GO, School Improvement Project of 2006. Series C (AGC), 5.00%. 7/01/26 2006. Series C (AGC), 5.00%. 7/01/26 2006. Series C (AGC), 5.00%. 7/01/26 2006. Series C (AGC), 5.00%. 7/01/29 2006. Series C (AGC), 5.00%. 12/01/32 1,500 1,297.680 5.00%, 12/01/32 1,500 1,297.680 5.00%, 12/01/37 2,065 1,733.712 2,065 5.00%, 12/01/37 2,065 5.00%, 12/01/37 2,065 5.00%, 12/01/37 2,065 5.00%, 12/01/37 300 2,89.518 7.00%, 5/01/20 300 2,86.317 7,00%, 5/01/20 300 2,86.317 7,00%, 5/01/20 300 2,86.317 7,00%, 5/01/20 300 2,86.317 3,00%, 5/01/20 300 2,86.317 3,00%, 5/01/20 300 2,86.317 3,00%, 5/01/20 300 2,86.317 3,00%, 5/01/20 300 2,86.317 3,00%, 5/01/20 300 2,86.317 3,00%, 5/01/20 300 2,86.317 3,00%, 5/01/20 300 2,86.317 3,00%, 5/01/20 300 2,86.317 3,00%, 5/01/20 300 2,86.317 3,00%, 5/01/20 300 2,86.317 3,00%, 5/01/20 300 2,86.317 3,00%, 5/01/20 300 2,86.317 3,00%, 5/01/20 300 2,86.317 3,00%, 5/01/20 300 2,86.317 3,00%, 5/01/20 3,00% | Arizona 4.8% | | |
| 200 | Arizona State University, RB, Series D, 5.50%, 7/01/26 | 200 | 211,662 |
| 200 | | | |
| Salt Verde Financial Corp., RB, Senior: 1,207, 80 1,297,80 1,207,32 1,207,37 | | 200 | 205,338 |
| 1,500 | Pima County IDA, Refunding IDRB, Tucson Electric Power, 5.75%, 9/01/29 | 900 | 872,802 |
| Son No. 12/01/37 2,065 1,733,712 2 | Salt Verde Financial Corp., RB, Senior: | | |
| San Luis Facility Development Corp., RB, Senior Lien, Regional Detention Center Project: 6.25%, 5/01/15 300 289,518 7.09%, 5/01/20 300 286,317 7.25%, 5/01/27 600 561,066 State of Arizona, COP, Department of Administration, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 10/01/29 750 748,163 University Medical Center Corp. Arizona, RB, 6.50%, 7/01/39 500 509,600 | 5.00%, 12/01/32 | 1,500 | 1,297,680 |
| 6.25%, 5/01/15 7.00%, 5/01/20 300 289,518 7.00%, 5/01/20 300 286,317 7.25%, 5/01/27 600 561,066 State of Arizona, COP, Department of Administration, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 10/01/29 750 748,163 University Medical Center Corp. Arizona, RB, 6.50%, 7/01/39 500 6,715,858 California 15.3% California County Tobacco Securitization Agency, RB, CAB, Stanislaus, Sub-Series C, 6.30%, 6/01/55 (a) 4,500 25,875 California HFA, RB, Home Mortgage, Series G, AMT, 5.05%, 2/01/29 2,285 2,129,186 California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Sutter Health, Series B, 5.88%, 8/15/31 1,900 1,908,835 Carlsbad Unified School District, GO, Election of 2006, Series B, 6.09%, 5/01/34 (b) 1,000 568,640 Dinuba Unified School District, GO, Election of 2006 (AGM): 5,563%, 8/01/31 5,00 5,75%, 8/01/33 5,00 5,75%, 8/01/34 (b) 1,650 803,847 Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District California, GO, CAB, Election of 2002, Series D, 7.46%, 8/01/34 (b) 1,650 803,847 Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District California, GO, Refunding, CAB, Election of 2002, Series E (AGC), 6.47%, 8/01/38 (a) 8,000 1,212,320 Palomar Community College District California, GO, CAB, Election of 2002, 6.24%, 8/01/39 (b) 2,000 397,860 7,57%, 8/01/39 (b) 2,000 397,860 7,57%, 8/01/39 (b) 2,000 397,860 7,57%, 8/01/39 (b) 2,000 397,860 397,860 397,960 2002, Series E (AGC), 6.47%, 8/01/38 (a) 397,860 397,860 397,960 2002, Series E (AGC), 6.47%, 8/01/38 (a) 397,860 397,860 2009, 8/01/30 (a) 397,860 2009, 8/01/30 (a) 397,860 2009, 8/01/30 (a) 397,860 2009, 8/01/30 (a) 2000 2001 2002, Series E (AGC), 6.47%, 8/01/38 (a) 2000 2002, Series E (AGC), 6.47%, 8/01/38 (a) 2001 2003 2004 2005 2005 2006 2007 2007 2008 2007 2008 2008 2009 2009 2009 2009 2009 2009 | 5.00%, 12/01/37 | 2,065 | 1,733,712 |
| 7.00%, 5/01/20 7.05%, 5/01/27 7.25%, 5/01/27 8.00 8.00 8.00 8.01/26 8.00 8.00 8.00 8.00 8.00 8.00 8.00 8.0 | | | |
| 7.25%, 5/01/27 600 561,066 State of Arizona, COP, Department of Administration, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 10/01/29 750 748,163 University Medical Center Corp. Arizona, RB, 6.50%, 7/01/39 500 509,600 California 15.3% | 6.25%, 5/01/15 | 300 | 289,518 |
| State of Arizona, COP, Department of Administration, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 10/01/29 750 748,163 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1 | | 300 | 286,317 |
| University Medical Center Corp. Arizona, RB, 6.50%, 7/01/39 | | 600 | 561,066 |
| California 15.3% | | | |
| California 15.3% California County Tobacco Securitization Agency, RB, CAB, Stanislaus, Sub-Series C, 6.30%, 6/01/55 (a) 4,500 25,875 California HFA, RB, Home Mortgage, Series G, AMT, 5.05%, 2/01/29 2,285 2,129,186 California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Sutter Health, Series B, 5.88%, 8/15/31 1,900 1,908,835 Carlsbad Unified School District, GO, Election of 2006, Series B, 6.09%, 5/01/34 (b) 1,000 568,640 Dinuba Unified School District, GO, Election of 2006 (AGM): 250 258,715 5.63%, 8/01/31 250 258,715 5.75%, 8/01/33 500 517,855 Hartnell Community College District California, GO, CAB, Election of 2002, Series D, 7.46%, 8/01/34 (b) 1,650 803,847 Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District California, GO, Refunding, CAB, Election of 2002, Series E (AGC), 6.47%, 8/01/38 (a) 8,000 1,212,320 Palomar Community College District, GO, CAB, Election of 2006, Series B: 397,860 6.09%, 8/01/30 (a) 1,500 397,860 7.57%, 8/01/39 (b) 2,000 708,220 San Diego Community College District California, GO, CAB, Election of 2002, 6.24%, 8/01/19 (b) 2,800 1,592,080 State of California, GO, Refunding: CCIFG), 4.50%, 8/01/28< | University Medical Center Corp. Arizona, RB, 6.50%, 7/01/39 | 500 | 509,600 |
| California County Tobacco Securitization Agency, RB, CAB, Stanislaus, Sub-Series C, 6.30%, 6/01/55 (a) 4,500 25,875 California HFA, RB, Home Mortgage, Series G, AMT, 5.05%, 2/01/29 2,285 2,129,186 California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Sutter Health, Series B, 5.88%, 8/15/31 1,900 1,908,835 Carlsbad Unified School District, GO, Election of 2006, Series B, 6.09%, 5/01/34 (b) 1,000 568,640 Dinuba Unified School District, GO, Election of 2006 (AGM): 250 258,715 5.75%, 8/01/33 500 517,855 Hartnell Community College District California, GO, CAB, Election of 2002, Series D, 7.46%, 8/01/34 (b) 1,650 803,847 Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District California, GO, Refunding, CAB, Election of 8,000 1,212,320 Palomar Community College District, GO, CAB, Election of 2006, Series B: 8,000 1,212,320 Palomar Community College District, GO, CAB, Election of 2006, Series B: 1,500 397,860 7.57%, 8/01/39 (b) 2,000 708,220 San Diego Community College District California, GO, CAB, Election of 2002, 6.24%, 8/01/19 (b) 2,800 1,592,080 State of California, GO, Refunding: 2,800 1,592,080 CIFG), 4.50%, 8/01/28 500 452,200 <th></th> <th></th> <th>6,715,858</th> | | | 6,715,858 |
| 6/01/55 (a) 4,500 25,875 California HFA, RB, Home Mortgage, Series G, AMT, 5.05%, 2/01/29 2,285 2,129,186 California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Sutter Health, Series B, 5.88%, 8/15/31 1,900 1,908,835 Carlsbad Unified School District, GO, Election of 2006, Series B, 6.09%, 5/01/34 (b) 1,000 568,640 Dinuba Unified School District, GO, Election of 2006 (AGM): 250 258,715 5.63%, 8/01/31 500 517,855 Hartnell Community College District California, GO, CAB, Election of 2002, Series D, 7.46%, 300 517,855 Hartnell Community College District California, GO, Refunding, CAB, Election of 2002, Series E (AGC), 6.47%, 8/01/38 (a) 8,000 1,212,320 Palomar Community College District, GO, CAB, Election of 2006, Series B: 397,860 6.09%, 8/01/30 (a) 1,500 397,860 7.57%, 8/01/39 (b) 2,000 708,220 San Diego Community College District California, GO, CAB, Election of 2002, 6.24%, 2,800 1,592,080 State of California, GO, Refunding: 2,800 1,592,080 Cliff, 4.50%, 8/01/28 500 452,200 | | | |
| California HFA, RB, Home Mortgage, Series G, AMT, 5.05%, 2/01/29 2,285 2,129,186 California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Sutter Health, Series B, 1,900 1,908,835 5.88%, 8/15/31 1,900 568,640 Dinuba Unified School District, GO, Election of 2006, Series B, 6.09%, 5/01/34 (b) 1,000 568,640 Dinuba Unified School District, GO, Election of 2006 (AGM): 250 258,715 5.63%, 8/01/31 500 517,855 Hartnell Community College District California, GO, CAB, Election of 2002, Series D, 7.46%, 300 517,855 8/01/34 (b) 1,650 803,847 Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District California, GO, Refunding, CAB, Election of 8,000 1,212,320 Palomar Community College District, GO, CAB, Election of 2006, Series B: 8,000 1,500 397,860 6.09%, 8/01/30 (a) 1,500 397,860 7.57%, 8/01/39 (b) 2,000 708,220 San Diego Community College District California, GO, CAB, Election of 2002, 6.24%, 2,800 1,592,080 State of California, GO, Refunding: 2,800 1,592,080 CUFG), 4.50%, 8/01/28 500 452,200 | California County Tobacco Securitization Agency, RB, CAB, Stanislaus, Sub-Series C, 6.30%, | | |
| California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Sutter Health, Series B, 5.88%, 8/15/31 1,900 1,908,835 Carlsbad Unified School District, GO, Election of 2006, Series B, 6.09%, 5/01/34 (b) 1,000 568,640 Dinuba Unified School District, GO, Election of 2006 (AGM): 350 258,715 5.63%, 8/01/31 250 258,715 5.75%, 8/01/33 500 517,855 Hartnell Community College District California, GO, CAB, Election of 2002, Series D, 7.46%, 360 803,847 Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District California, GO, Refunding, CAB, Election of 2002, Series E (AGC), 6.47%, 8/01/38 (a) 8,000 1,212,320 Palomar Community College District, GO, CAB, Election of 2006, Series B: 8,000 1,212,320 6.09%, 8/01/30 (a) 1,500 397,860 7.57%, 8/01/39 (b) 2,000 708,220 San Diego Community College District California, GO, CAB, Election of 2002, 6.24%, 2,800 1,592,080 State of California, GO, Refunding: 2,800 1,592,080 State of California, GO, Refunding: 452,200 | | 4,500 | |
| 5.88%, 8/15/31 1,900 1,908,835 Carlsbad Unified School District, GO, Election of 2006, Series B, 6.09%, 5/01/34 (b) 1,000 568,640 Dinuba Unified School District, GO, Election of 2006 (AGM): \$\$\$\$-\$\$\$\$-\$\$\$-\$\$\$ \$\$\$\$\$\$\$-\$\$\$\$\$\$ 250 258,715 5.63%, 8/01/31 500 517,855 5.75%, 8/01/33 500 517,855 Hartnell Community College District California, GO, CAB, Election of 2002, Series D, 7.46%, \$\$\$\$\$\$\$801,847 Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District California, GO, Refunding, CAB, Election of \$ | | 2,285 | 2,129,186 |
| Carlsbad Unified School District, GO, Election of 2006, Series B, 6.09%, 5/01/34 (b) 1,000 568,640 Dinuba Unified School District, GO, Election of 2006 (AGM): 250 258,715 5.63%, 8/01/31 250 517,855 5.75%, 8/01/33 500 517,855 Hartnell Community College District California, GO, CAB, Election of 2002, Series D, 7.46%, 1,650 803,847 Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District California, GO, Refunding, CAB, Election of 8,000 1,212,320 Palomar Community College District, GO, CAB, Election of 2006, Series B: 5,000 397,860 6.09%, 8/01/30 (a) 1,500 397,860 7.57%, 8/01/39 (b) 2,000 708,220 San Diego Community College District California, GO, CAB, Election of 2002, 6.24%, 2,800 1,592,080 State of California, GO, Refunding: 2,800 1,592,080 CIFG), 4.50%, 8/01/28 500 452,200 | | | |
| Dinuba Unified School District, GO, Election of 2006 (AGM): 5.63%, 8/01/31 250 258,715 5.75%, 8/01/33 500 517,855 Hartnell Community College District California, GO, CAB, Election of 2002, Series D, 7.46%, 8/01/34 (b) 1,650 803,847 Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District California, GO, Refunding, CAB, Election of 8,000 1,212,320 2002, Series E (AGC), 6.47%, 8/01/38 (a) 8,000 1,212,320 Palomar Community College District, GO, CAB, Election of 2006, Series B: 1,500 397,860 7.57%, 8/01/39 (b) 2,000 708,220 San Diego Community College District California, GO, CAB, Election of 2002, 6.24%, 2,800 1,592,080 State of California, GO, Refunding: 2,800 1,592,080 CIFG), 4.50%, 8/01/28 500 452,200 | | | |
| 5.63%, 8/01/31 250 258,715 5.75%, 8/01/33 500 517,855 Hartnell Community College District California, GO, CAB, Election of 2002, Series D, 7.46%, 1,650 803,847 Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District California, GO, Refunding, CAB, Election of 8,000 1,212,320 Palomar Community College District, GO, CAB, Election of 2006, Series B: 1,500 397,860 6.09%, 8/01/30 (a) 1,500 397,860 7.57%, 8/01/39 (b) 2,000 708,220 San Diego Community College District California, GO, CAB, Election of 2002, 6.24%, 2,800 1,592,080 State of California, GO, Refunding: 2,800 1,592,080 CIFG), 4.50%, 8/01/28 500 452,200 | | 1,000 | 568,640 |
| 5.75%, 8/01/33 500 517,855 Hartnell Community College District California, GO, CAB, Election of 2002, Series D, 7.46%, 1,650 803,847 8/01/34 (b) 1,650 803,847 Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District California, GO, Refunding, CAB, Election of 8,000 1,212,320 2002, Series E (AGC), 6.47%, 8/01/38 (a) 8,000 1,212,320 Palomar Community College District, GO, CAB, Election of 2006, Series B: 1,500 397,860 6.09%, 8/01/30 (a) 1,500 397,860 7.57%, 8/01/39 (b) 2,000 708,220 San Diego Community College District California, GO, CAB, Election of 2002, 6.24%, 2,800 1,592,080 State of California, GO, Refunding: (CIFG), 4.50%, 8/01/28 500 452,200 | | 250 | 258 715 |
| Hartnell Community College District California, GO, CAB, Election of 2002, Series D, 7.46%, 8/01/34 (b) 1,650 803,847 Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District California, GO, Refunding, CAB, Election of 2002, Series E (AGC), 6.47%, 8/01/38 (a) 8,000 1,212,320 Palomar Community College District, GO, CAB, Election of 2006, Series B: 6.09%, 8/01/30 (a) 1,500 397,860 7.57%, 8/01/39 (b) 2,000 708,220 San Diego Community College District California, GO, CAB, Election of 2002, 6.24%, 8/01/19 (b) 2,800 1,592,080 State of California, GO, Refunding: (CIFG), 4.50%, 8/01/28 500 452,200 | | | |
| 8/01/34 (b) 1,650 803,847 Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District California, GO, Refunding, CAB, Election of 8,000 1,212,320 2002, Series E (AGC), 6.47%, 8/01/38 (a) 8,000 1,212,320 Palomar Community College District, GO, CAB, Election of 2006, Series B: 1,500 397,860 6.09%, 8/01/30 (a) 1,500 397,860 7.57%, 8/01/39 (b) 2,000 708,220 San Diego Community College District California, GO, CAB, Election of 2002, 6.24%, 2,800 1,592,080 State of California, GO, Refunding: (CIFG), 4.50%, 8/01/28 500 452,200 | | 200 | 317,033 |
| Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District California, GO, Refunding, CAB, Election of 2002, Series E (AGC), 6.47%, 8/01/38 (a) 8,000 1,212,320 Palomar Community College District, GO, CAB, Election of 2006, Series B: | | 1 650 | 803 847 |
| 2002, Series E (AGC), 6.47%, 8/01/38 (a) 8,000 1,212,320 Palomar Community College District, GO, CAB, Election of 2006, Series B: 1,500 397,860 6.09%, 8/01/30 (a) 1,500 397,860 7.57%, 8/01/39 (b) 2,000 708,220 San Diego Community College District California, GO, CAB, Election of 2002, 6.24%, 2,800 1,592,080 State of California, GO, Refunding: (CIFG), 4.50%, 8/01/28 500 452,200 | | 1,000 | 000,017 |
| Palomar Community College District, GO, CAB, Election of 2006, Series B: 1,500 397,860 6.09%, 8/01/30 (a) 1,500 397,860 7.57%, 8/01/39 (b) 2,000 708,220 San Diego Community College District California, GO, CAB, Election of 2002, 6.24%, 2,800 1,592,080 8/01/19 (b) 2,800 1,592,080 State of California, GO, Refunding: 500 452,200 | | 8,000 | 1.212.320 |
| 6.09%, 8/01/30 (a)1,500397,8607.57%, 8/01/39 (b)2,000708,220San Diego Community College District California, GO, CAB, Election of 2002, 6.24%,2,8001,592,0808/01/19 (b)2,8001,592,080State of California, GO, Refunding:500452,200 | | 2,222 | , ,- ,- |
| 7.57%, 8/01/39 (b) 2,000 708,220 San Diego Community College District California, GO, CAB, Election of 2002, 6.24%, 8/01/19 (b) 2,800 1,592,080 State of California, GO, Refunding: (CIFG), 4.50%, 8/01/28 500 452,200 | | 1,500 | 397,860 |
| San Diego Community College District California, GO, CAB, Election of 2002, 6.24%, 2,800 1,592,080 State of California, GO, Refunding: (CIFG), 4.50%, 8/01/28 500 452,200 | | | |
| 8/01/19 (b)2,8001,592,080State of California, GO, Refunding:(CIFG), 4.50%, 8/01/28500452,200 | | | • |
| State of California, GO, Refunding: (CIFG), 4.50%, 8/01/28 500 452,200 | | 2,800 | 1,592,080 |
| | State of California, GO, Refunding: | | |
| Veterans, AMT, 5.05%, 12/01/36 1,000 865,550 | (CIFG), 4.50%, 8/01/28 | 500 | 452,200 |
| | Veterans, AMT, 5.05%, 12/01/36 | 1,000 | 865,550 |

| State of California, GO, Various Purpose: | | |
|--|-------|------------|
| 5.75%, 4/01/31 | 2,000 | 2,063,800 |
| 6.00%, 3/01/33 | 2,050 | 2,151,721 |
| 6.50%, 4/01/33 | 1,950 | 2,115,594 |
| 5.50%, 3/01/40 | 2,350 | 2,326,453 |
| Val Verde Unified School District California, Special Tax Bonds, Refunding, Junior Lien, | | |
| 6.25%, 10/01/28 | 1,585 | 1,459,674 |
| | | |
| | | 21,558,425 |

| Municipal Bonds | | Par (000) | | Value |
|--|----|--------------|----|----------------------|
| Colorado 1.9% | | | | |
| Colorado Health Facilities Authority, RB, Catholic Health Initiatives, Series D, 6.25%, | Φ. | 1.050 | Φ. | 1 100 515 |
| 10/01/33 Sand Creek Metropolitan District, GO, Refunding, Limited Tax, Series B: | \$ | 1,070 | \$ | 1,120,547 |
| 4.75%, 12/01/35 | | 1,000 | | 879,510 |
| 5.00%, 12/01/40 | | 800 | | 720,136 |
| | | | | 2,720,193 |
| Delaware 0.8% | | | | |
| County of Sussex Delaware, RB, NRG Energy, Inc., Indian River Project, 6.00%, 10/01/40 | | 1,200 | | 1,168,356 |
| District of Columbia 6.3% | | | | |
| District of Columbia, Refunding RB, Friendship Public Charter School Inc. (ACA), 5.25%, | | | | 4.5.5.4.0 |
| 6/01/33 District of Columbia, Tax Allocation Bonds, Gallery Place Project (AGM), 5.40%, 7/01/31 | | 595 6,000 | | 452,140 6,019,560 |
| District of Columbia, Tax Anocation Boilds, Ganery Frace Project (AGM), 5.40%, 7/01/51 District of Columbia Tobacco Settlement Financing Corp., Refunding RB, Asset-Backed, | | 0,000 | | 0,019,300 |
| 6.75%, 5/15/40 | | 2,500 | | 2,348,750 |
| | | | | 8,820,450 |
| Florida 6.4% | | | | |
| Miami Beach Health Facilities Authority, RB, Mount Sinai Medical Center of Florida, 6.75%, 11/15/21 | | 1 100 | | 1 106 024 |
| Palm Beach County Housing Finance Authority, HRB, Indian Trace Apartments, Series A, | | 1,180 | | 1,196,024 |
| AMT (AGM), 5.63%, 1/01/44 | | 7,255 | | 7,121,145 |
| Stevens Plantation Community Development District, Special Assessment Bonds, Series A, 7.10%, | | | | |
| 5/01/35 | | 940 | | 721,817 |
| | | | | 9,038,986 |
| | | | | <u> </u> |
| Idaho 1.7% | | | | |
| Idaho Health Facilities Authority, RB, St. Luke s Regional Medical Center (AGM), 5.00%, 7/01/35 | | 500 | | 474,350 |
| Idaho Health Facilities Authority, Refunding RB, Trinity Health Group, Series B, 6.25%, | | 300 | | 474,330 |
| 12/01/33 | | 1,750 | | 1,845,358 |
| | | | | 2,319,708 |
| Illinois 10.6% | | | | |
| Illinois Finance Authority, RB: | | | | |
| MJH Education Assistance IV LLC, Sub-Series B, 5.38%, 6/01/35 (c)(d) | | 425 | | 114,104 |

| 20 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT | FEBRUARY 28, | 2011 |
|--|-----------------|------------|
| See Notes to Financial Statements. | | |
| | | 2,834,213 |
| | _ | 2 924 212 |
| Indiana Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Improvement, U.S. Steel Corp., 6.00%, 12/01/26 | 1,000 | 988,460 |
| County of Monroe Indiana, Multifamily Housing Revenue Bond Pass-Through Certificates, RB, Series 1, Canterbury House Apartments, Mandatory Put Bonds, AMT, 5.90%, 12/01/34 (e) | 1,835 | 1,845,753 |
| Indiana 2.0% | | |
| | | 14,847,411 |
| Vinage of Bollingbrook Hillions, GO, Refunding, Series B (NPFGC), 0.22%, 1/01/50 (a) | 23,003 | 4,465,615 |
| 5.00%, 6/01/28 Village of Bolingbrook Illinois, GO, Refunding, Series B (NPFGC), 6.22%, 1/01/36 (a) | 1,150 23,065 | 1,123,331 |
| 5.25%, 6/01/24 | 1,000 | 1,002,050 |
| Railsplitter Tobacco Settlement Authority, RB: | | |
| OSF Healthcare System, 6.00%, 5/15/39 | 1,050 | 976,017 |
| Lake Forest Hospital, 5.75%, 7/01/29 | 4,000 | 4,040,240 |
| Friendship Village Schaumburg, 5.63%, 2/15/37 | 210 | 160,220 |
| Ilinois Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Series A: | 050 | 003,310 |
| Rush University Medical Center, Series C, 6.63%, 11/01/39 | 650 | 665,346 |
| Loosevelt University Project, 6.50%, 4/01/44 | 1,000 | 1,001,880 |
| Navistar International, Recovery Zone, 6.50%, 10/15/40 | 1,285 | 1,298,60 |

Schedule of Investments (continued)

BlackRock Municipal Bond Trust (BBK) (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

| Municipal Bonds | Par (000) | Value |
|--|-----------|---------------|
| Iowa 1.1% | | |
| Iowa Higher Education Loan Authority, Refunding RB, Private College Facility: | | |
| 5.75%, 9/01/30 | \$ 500 | \$ 501,810 |
| 6.00%, 9/01/39 | 1,000 | 1,005,750 |
| | | 1,507,560 |
| Kansas 3.5% | | |
| Kansas Development Finance Authority, RB, University of Kansas Tenant, Series O, 4.75%, 6/15/41 | 700 | 632,457 |
| Wichita Airport Authority, RB, Special, Cessna Citation Service Center, Series A, AMT, | 700 | 032, 137 |
| 6.25%, 6/15/32 | 5,000 | 4,308,450 |
| | | 4,940,907 |
| Kentucky 0.4% | | |
| Kentucky Economic Development Finance Authority, RB, Louisville Arena, Sub-Series A-1 | | |
| (AGC), 6.00%, 12/01/38 | 500 | 509,655 |
| Louisiana 2.2% | | |
| Louisiana Local Government Environmental Facilities & Community Development Authority, | 1.050 | 1.0/0.722 |
| RB, Westlake Chemical Corp., Series A-1, 6.50%, 11/01/35 Louisiana Public Facilities Authority, Refunding RB, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, LLC | 1,050 | 1,068,722 |
| Project, Series A, 5.00%, 9/01/28 | 2,000 | 1,967,920 |
| | | 3,036,642 |
| | | 2,020,012 |
| Maryland 2.1% | 500 | 467.015 |
| Maryland EDC, Refunding RB, CNX Marine Terminals, Inc., 5.75%, 9/01/25 Maryland Health & Higher Educational Facilities Authority, Refunding RB, Doctor s | 500 | 467,915 |
| Community Hospital, 5.63%, 7/01/30 | 2,900 | 2,477,876 |
| | | 2,945,791 |
| | | 2,773,771 |
| Michigan 3.8% Michigan State Building Authority, Refunding RB, Facilities Program, Series I, 6.25%, | | |
| 10/15/38 | 1,250 | 1,315,637 |
| Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Refunding RB: | -, | |
| Henry Ford Health System, Series A, 5.25%, 11/15/46 | 1,065 | 888,306 |
| Hospital, Henry Ford Health, 5.75%, 11/15/39 | 1,000 | 928,540 |
| Royal Oak Hospital Finance Authority Michigan, Refunding RB, William Beaumont Hospital, 8.25%, 9/01/39 | 1,950 | 2,175,927 |
| | | |
| | | 5,308,410 |

| Minnesota 4.0% | | |
|--|--------|------------|
| City of Minneapolis Minnesota, Refunding RB, Fairview Health Services, Series B (AGC), 6.50%, 11/15/38 | 5,350 | 5,651,098 |
| Mississippi 3.1% | | |
| Mississippi Development Bank Special Obligation, RB, Jackson County Limited Tax Note (AGC), 5.50%, 7/01/32 | 1,750 | 1,780,292 |
| University of Southern Mississippi, RB, Campus Facilities Improvements Project, 5.38%, 9/01/36 | 2,500 | 2,522,925 |
| | | 4,303,217 |
| Montana 1.8% | | |
| Montana Facility Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Sisters of Leavenworth, Series A, 4.75%, 1/01/40 | 2,750 | 2,489,988 |
| Multi-State 8.1% | | |
| Centerline Equity Issuer Trust, 7.20%, 11/15/52 (f)(g) | 10,500 | 11,416,230 |

| Municipal Bonds | Par (000) | Value |
|--|--------------|------------|
| Nebraska 2.0% | | |
| Douglas County Hospital Authority No. 2, RB, Health Facilities, Immanuel Obligation Group, | | |
| 5.50%, 1/01/30 | \$ 425 | \$ 415,705 |
| Nebraska Investment Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Series A: | | |
| 5.90%, 9/01/36 | 1,200 | 1,228,032 |
| 6.05%, 9/01/41 | 1,165 | 1,179,260 |
| | | 2,822,997 |
| Nevada 1.2% | | |
| City of Las Vegas Nevada, Special Assessment Bonds, Summerlin Area, 5.65%, 6/01/23 | 1,315 | 1,095,145 |
| County of Clark Nevada, Refunding RB, Alexander Dawson School Nevada Project, 5.00%, 5/15/29 | 575 | 538,994 |
| | | 1,634,139 |
| New Jersey 14.3% Middlesex County Improvement Authority, RB, Subordinate, Heldrich Center Hotel, Series B, | | |
| 6.25%, 1/01/37 (c)(d) | 915 | 91,500 |
| New Jersey EDA, RB: | | |
| Cigarette Tax, 5.50%, 6/15/24 | 3,710 | 3,419,878 |
| Cigarette Tax (Radian), 5.50%, 6/15/31 | 1,500 | 1,288,350 |
| Continental Airlines Inc. Project, AMT, 7.20%, 11/15/30 (e) | 3,000 | 3,004,680 |
| New Jersey EDA, Refunding RB, First Mortgage, Winchester, Series A, 5.80%, 11/01/31 | 1,500 | 1,423,770 |
| New Jersey EDA, Special Assessment Bonds, Refunding, Kapkowski Road Landfill Project, | - -00 | - 400 / |
| 6.50%, 4/01/28 | 7,500 | 7,488,675 |
| New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, Refunding RB: | 1.000 | 007.450 |
| College of New Jersey, Series D (AGM), 5.00%, 7/01/35 | 1,000 | 987,450 |
| University of Medicine & Dentistry, Series B, 7.13%, 12/01/23 | 630 800 | 708,492 |
| University of Medicine & Dentistry, Series B, 7.50%, 12/01/32 | 800 810 | 878,944 |
| New Jersey State Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency, RB, Series AA, 6.50%, 10/01/38 | 810 | 872,167 |
| | | 20,163,906 |

| New Mexico 1.5% | | |
|--|-------|------------|
| Village of Los Ranchos de Albuquerque New Mexico, Refunding RB, Albuquerque Academy | | |
| Project, 4.50%, 9/01/40 | 2,500 | 2,108,325 |
| | | |
| New York 7.9% | | |
| Albany Industrial Development Agency, RB, New Covenant Charter School Project, Series A, | | |
| 7.00%, 5/01/35 (c)(d) | 455 | 136,486 |
| Hudson Yards Infrastructure Corp., RB, Series A, 5.00%, 2/15/47 | 500 | 419,205 |
| New York City Housing Development Corp., RB, Series A, AMT, 5.50%, 11/01/34 | 3,000 | 2,968,410 |
| New York City Industrial Development Agency, RB: | | |
| American Airlines Inc., JFK International Airport, AMT, 7.75%, 8/01/31 (e) | 3,165 | 3,228,933 |
| Queens Baseball Stadium, PILOT (AGC), 6.50%, 1/01/46 | 700 | 727,776 |
| New York Liberty Development Corp., Refunding RB, Second Priority, Bank of America | | |
| Tower at One Bryant Park Project, 6.38%, 7/15/49 | 800 | 795,944 |
| New York State Dormitory Authority, RB: | | |
| The New School (AGM), 5.50%, 7/01/43 | 1,550 | 1,555,487 |
| Rochester Institute of Technology, Series A, 6.00%, 7/01/33 | 1,000 | 1,056,970 |
| University of Rochester, Series A, 5.13%, 7/01/39 | 250 | 245,400 |
| | _ | |
| | | 11,134,611 |
| | | . , |

See Notes to Financial Statements.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT FEBRUARY 28, 2011 21

Schedule of Investments (continued)

BlackRock Municipal Bond Trust (BBK) (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

| Municipal Bonds | Par (000) | Value |
|--|--------------|------------|
| North Carolina 8.2% | | |
| City of Charlotte North Carolina, Refunding RB, Series A, | | |
| 5.50%, 7/01/34 | \$ 225 | \$ 228,265 |
| Gaston County Industrial Facilities & Pollution Control | | |
| Financing Authority North Carolina, RB, Exempt | | |
| Facilities, National Gypsum Co. Project, AMT, 5.75%, 8/01/35 | 2,945 | 2,218,498 |
| North Carolina Capital Facilities Finance Agency, RB, | 2,543 | 2,210,490 |
| Duke Energy Carolinas, Series B, 4.38%, 10/01/31 | 2,475 | 2,283,410 |
| North Carolina Medical Care Commission, RB, Series A: | _, | _,, |
| Novant Health Obligation, 4.75%, 11/01/43 | 4,000 | 3,392,480 |
| WakeMed, (AGC), 5.88%, 10/01/38 | 1,000 | 1,015,910 |
| North Carolina Medical Care Commission, Refunding RB, | | |
| Caromont Health (AGC): | | |
| 4.50%, 2/15/30 | 750 | 673,620 |
| 4.63%, 2/15/35 | 1,000 | 876,760 |
| University Health System, Series D, 6.25%, 12/01/33 | 800 | 835,856 |
| | | 11,524,799 |
| | | |
| Oklahoma 1.3% | | |
| Tulsa Airports Improvement Trust, RB, Series A, | 1 725 | 1.762.416 |
| Mandatory Put Bonds, AMT, 7.75%, 6/01/35 (e) | 1,725 | 1,763,416 |
| Oregon 2.1% | | |
| City of Portland Oregon, Multifamily Housing Revenue | | |
| Bond Pass-Through Certificates, RB, Series 6, Pacific | | |
| Tower Apartments, AMT, 6.05%, 11/01/34 | 515 | 515,438 |
| Oregon Health & Science University, RB, Series A, | | |
| 5.75%, 7/01/39 | 1,250 | 1,273,925 |
| Oregon State Facilities Authority, Refunding RB, Limited | | |
| College Project, Series A: | 0.50 | 560.005 |
| 5.00%, 10/01/34 | 850 | 769,207 |
| 5.25%, 10/01/40 | 500 | 461,955 |
| | | 3,020,525 |
| Demography 2.00 | | |
| Pennsylvania 3.0% Delaware River Port Authority, RB, Series D (AGC), | | |
| 5.00%, 1/01/40 | 2,600 | 2,515,032 |
| Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing | 2,000 | 2,313,032 |
| Authority, RB, Aqua Pennsylvania Inc. Project, Series B, | | |
| 4.50%, 12/01/42 | 2,000 | 1,758,080 |
| | | |
| | | 4,273,112 |
| | | |

| Puerto Rico 1.99 | 0 |
|------------------|---|
|------------------|---|

| Tuesto Nico 1570 | | |
|---|-------|-----------|
| Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., RB, First | | |
| Sub-Series A, 5.75%, 8/01/37 | 2,000 | 1,975,800 |
| Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., Refunding RB, | | |
| CAB, Series A (NPFGC), 5.75%, 8/01/41 (a) | 5,000 | 666,350 |
| | | |
| | | 2,642,150 |
| | | 2,012,130 |
| Rhode Island 2.4% | | |
| Rhode Island Health & Educational Building Corp., RB, | | |
| Hospital Financing, LifeSpan Obligation, Series A | | |
| (AGC), 7.00%, 5/15/39 | 1,000 | 1,099,760 |
| Rhode Island Housing & Mortgage Finance Corp., RB, | 1,000 | 1,077,700 |
| Homeownership Opportunity, Series 54, AMT, 4.85%, | | |
| 10/01/41 | 1.500 | 1 222 205 |
| | 1,500 | 1,322,205 |
| State of Rhode Island, COP, Series C, School for the | 000 | 005 000 |
| Deaf (AGC), 5.38%, 4/01/28 | 900 | 925,209 |
| | | |
| | | 3,347,174 |
| South Carolina 0.9% | | |
| County of Florence South Carolina, RB, McLeod | | |
| Regional Medical Center, Series A, 5.00%, 11/01/37 | 1,350 | 1,210,923 |
| Regional Medical Center, Series 11, 5.00 /6, 11/01/57 | 1,550 | 1,210,723 |
| Tennessee 0.2% | | |
| Memphis-Shelby County Sports Authority Inc., | | |
| | | |
| Refunding RB, Memphis Arena Project, Series A, | 275 | 276 777 |
| 5.38%, 11/01/28 | 275 | 276,777 |
| | | |

| Municipal Bonds | Par (000) Value | | | Value |
|---|-----------------|--------|----|-----------|
| Texas 14.9% | | | | |
| Harris County Health Facilities Development Corp., | | | | |
| Refunding RB, Memorial Hermann Healthcare | | | | |
| System, Series B: | | | | |
| 7.13%, 12/01/31 | \$ | 500 | \$ | 542,810 |
| 7.25%, 12/01/35 | | 1,750 | | 1,895,162 |
| Harris County Housing Finance Corp., Multifamily | | | | |
| Housing Revenue Bond Pass-Through Certificates, | | | | |
| RB, Series 9, Copperwood Ranch Apartments, | | | | |
| Mandatory Put Bonds, AMT, 5.95%, 11/01/35 (e) | | 2,420 | | 2,441,901 |
| Harris County-Houston Sports Authority, Refunding RB, | | | | |
| CAB, Senior Lien, Series G (NPFGC), 6.17%, | | | | |
| 11/15/41 (a) | | 11,690 | | 1,071,155 |
| Love Field Airport Modernization Corp., RB, Southwest | | | | |
| Airlines Co. Project, 5.25%, 11/01/40 | | 2,500 | | 2,222,450 |
| Lower Colorado River Authority, Refunding RB (NPFGC), | | | | |
| 5.00%, 5/15/13 (h) | | 15 | | 16,376 |
| Matagorda County Navigation District No. 1 Texas, | | | | |
| Refunding RB, Central Power & Light Co. Project, | | | | |
| Series A, 6.30%, 11/01/29 | | 1,500 | | 1,545,810 |
| Texas Private Activity Bond Surface Transportation Corp., | | | | |
| RB, Senior Lien, LBJ Infrastructure Group LLC, LBJ | | | | |
| Freeway Managed Lanes Project, 7.00%, 6/30/40 | | 2,000 | | 2,028,440 |
| Texas State Turnpike Authority, RB (AMBAC): | | | | |
| CAB, 6.08%, 8/15/35 (a) | | 50,000 | | 8,592,500 |
| First Tier, Series A, 5.00%, 8/15/42 | | 750 | | 640,703 |

| | | 20,997,307 |
|--|-------|-------------|
| Virginia 0.5% | | |
| Henrico County EDA, RB, Bon Secours Health, Series B-1 (AGC), 4.50%, 11/01/42 | 860 | 715,967 |
| Washington 1.0% | | |
| Washington Health Care Facilities Authority, RB, MultiCare Health System, Series B (AGC), | | |
| 6.00%, 8/15/39 | 1,400 | 1,431,052 |
| Wisconsin 1.8% | | |
| Wisconsin Health & Educational Facilities Authority, RB, Aurora Health Care, 6.40%, 4/15/33 | 1,350 | 1,362,946 |
| Wisconsin Housing & EDA, Refunding RB, Series A, AMT, 4.75%, 9/01/33 | 1,340 | 1,217,578 |
| | | 2,580,524 |
| Wyoming 0.9% | | |
| County of Sweetwater Wyoming, Refunding RB, Idaho Power Co. Project, 5.25%, 7/15/26 | 1,200 | 1,237,248 |
| Total Municipal Bonds 149.3% | | 209,823,666 |

| Municipal Bonds Transferred to |) |
|--------------------------------|---|
| Tender Ontion Bond Trusts (i) | |

| Colorado 2.5% | | |
|--|-------|-----------|
| Colorado Health Facilities Authority, RB, Catholic Health, | | |
| Series C-7 (AGM), 5.00%, 9/01/36 | 3,750 | 3,504,225 |
| | | |
| Massachusetts 1.0% | | |
| Massachusetts Water Resources Authority, Refunding RB, | | |
| General, Series A, 5.00%, 8/01/41 | 1,450 | 1,450,623 |
| | | |
| New York 4.3% | | |
| New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority, RB: | | |
| Fiscal 2009, Series A, 5.75%, 6/15/40 | 450 | 474,776 |
| Series FF-2, 5.50%, 6/15/40 | 405 | 416,807 |
| New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority, | | |
| Refunding RB, Series A, 4.75%, 6/15/30 | 3,000 | 2,973,600 |
| New York State Dormitory Authority, RB, New York | | |
| University, Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/38 | 2,199 | 2,147,937 |
| | | |
| | | 6.013.120 |
| | | 0,010,120 |

See Notes to Financial Statements.

22 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT FEBRUARY 28, 2011

Schedule of Investments (concluded)

BlackRock Municipal Bond Trust (BBK) (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

| Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts (i) | Par (000) | Value |
|---|--------------|-----------------|
| Ohio 2.2% | | |
| County of Montgomery Ohio, RB, Catholic Health, | | |
| Series C-1 (AGM), 5.00%, 10/01/41 | \$ 1,260 | \$ 1,153,291 |
| Ohio Higher Educational Facility Commission, | | |
| Refunding RB, Hospital, Cleveland Clinic Health, | | |
| Series A, 5.25%, 1/01/33 | 2,000 | 1,934,260 |
| | | |
| | | 3,087,551 |
| Total Municipal Bonds Transferred to | | |
| Tender Option Bond Trusts 10.0% | | 14,055,519 |
| Total Long-Term Investments | | |
| (Cost \$233,041,707) 159.3% | | 223,879,185 |

| Short-Term Securities | Shares | |
|--|-----------|---|
| FFI Institutional Tax-Exempt Fund, 0.13% (j)(k) | 1,592,687 | 1,592,687 |
| Total Short-Term Securities (Cost \$1,592,687) 1.1% | | 1,592,687 |
| Total Investments (Cost \$234,634,394*) 160.4% Other Assets Less Liabilities 1.7% Liability for Trust Certificates, Including Interest Expense and Fees Payable (5.3)% | | 225,471,872 2,421,499 (7,405,015) |
| Preferred Shares, at Redemption Value (56.8)% Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0% | \$ | (79,904,531) 140,583,825 |

Aggregate cost \$ 226,514,765

^{*} The cost and unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments as of February 28, 2011, as computed for federal income tax purposes, were as follows:

| Gross unrealized appreciation | \$ | 5,625,658 |
|-------------------------------|----|--------------|
| Gross unrealized depreciation | | (14,067,699) |
| | _ | |
| Net unrealized depreciation | \$ | (8,442,041) |

- (a) Represents a zero-coupon bond. Rate shown reflects the current yield as of report date.
- (b) Represents a step-up bond that pays an initial coupon rate for the first period and then a higher coupon rate for the following periods. Rate shown reflects the current yield as of report date.
- (c) Issuer filed for bankruptcy and/or is in default of interest payments.
- (d) Non-income producing security.
- (e) Variable rate security. Rate shown is as of report date.
- (f) Security exempt from registration under Rule 144A of the Securities Act of 1933. These securities may be resold in transactions exempt from registration to qualified institutional investors.
- (g) Security represents a beneficial interest in a trust. The collateral deposited into the trust is federally tax-exempt revenue bonds issued by various state or local governments, or their respective agencies or authorities. The security is subject to remarketing prior to its stated maturity.
- (h) US government securities, held in escrow, are used to pay interest on this security as well as to retire the bond in full at the date indicated, typically at a premium to par.
- (i) Securities represent bonds transferred to a TOB in exchange for which the Trust acquired residual interest certificates. These securities serve as collateral in a financing transaction. See Note 1 of the Notes to Financial Statements for details of municipal bonds transferred to TOBs
- (j) Investments in companies considered to be an affiliate of the Trust during the period, for purposes of Section 2(a)(3) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, were as follows:

| Affiliate | Shares Held at August 31, 2010 | Net Activity | Shares Held at February 28, 2011 | Income |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|--|-------------|
| FFI Institutional Tax-Exempt Fund | 4,831,353 | (3,238,666) | 1,592,687 | \$ 2,086 |

(k) Represents the current yield as of report date.

Financial futures contracts sold as of February 28,2011 were as follows:

| Contracts | Issue | Exchange | Expiration | Notional Value | - | nrealized preciation |
|-----------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|-------------------|----|-------------------------|
| 79 | 30-Year U.S. Treasury Bond | Chicago Board of Trade | June 2011 | \$ 9,412,037 | \$ | (95,119) |

Fair Value Measurements Various inputs are used in determining the fair value of investments and derivatives. These inputs are summarized in three broad levels for financial statements purposes as follows:

Level 1 price quotations in active markets/exchanges for identical assets and liabilities

Level 2 other observable inputs (including, but not limited to: quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in markets that are active, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the assets or liabilities (such as interest rates, yield curves, volatilities, prepayment speeds, loss severities, credit risks and default rates) or other market-corroborated inputs)

Level 3 unobservable inputs based on the best information available in the circumstances, to the extent observable inputs are not available (including the Trust s own assumptions used in determining the fair value of investments and derivatives)

The inputs or methodologies used for valuing securities are not necessarily an indication of the risk associated with investing in those securities. For information about the Trust spolicy regarding valuation of investments and derivatives and other significant accounting policies, please refer to Note 1 of the Notes to Financial Statements.

The following tables summarize the inputs used as of February 28, 2011 in determining the fair valuation of the Trust s investments and derivatives:

| Level 1 | | Level 2 | Level 3 | | Total |
|-----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | \$ | 223,879,185 | | \$ | 223,879,185 |
| | | | | | |
| \$ 1,592,687 | | | | | 1,592,687 |
| | | | | | |
| \$ 1,592,687 | \$ | 223,879,185 | | \$ | 225,471,872 |
| \$ | \$ 1,592,687 | \$ 1,592,687 | \$ 223,879,185 \$ 1,592,687 | \$ 223,879,185 \$ 1,592,687 | \$ 223,879,185 \$ \$ 1,592,687 |

See above Schedule of Investments for values in each state or political subdivision.

| | | Derivative Fina | ncial Instruments ² | |
|-------------------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|----------------|
| Valuation Inputs | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
| Liabilities: | | | | |
| Interest rate contracts | \$ (95,119) | | | \$ (95,119) |

Derivative financial instruments are financial futures contracts, which are shown at the unrealized appreciation/depreciation on the instrument.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

| | FFDDMADW 20, 2011 |
|--------------------|----------------------|
| SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT | FEBRUARY 28, 2011 23 |
| | |

Schedule of Investments February 28, 2011 (Unaudited)

BlackRock Municipal Bond Investment Trust (BIE) (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

| Municipal Bonds | ı | Par (000) | | Value |
|--|----|--------------|----|-------------|
| Arizona 0.7% | ф | 220 | ф | 220.027 |
| Pima County IDA, Refunding IDRB, Tucson Electric Power, 5.75%, 9/01/29 | \$ | 330 | \$ | 320,027 |
| California 20.2% | | | | |
| Bay Area Toll Authority, Refunding RB, San Francisco Bay Area, Series F-1, 5.63%, 4/01/44 | | 720 | | 729,058 |
| California Educational Facilities Authority, RB, University of Southern California, Series A, 5.25%, 10/01/38 | | 700 | | 707,826 |
| California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Series A: | | | | |
| Catholic Healthcare West, 6.00%, 7/01/39 | | 120 | | 120,300 |
| St. Joseph Health System, 5.75%, 7/01/39 | | 730 | | 730,416 |
| California State Public Works Board, RB: | | | | |
| Department of General Services, Buildings 8 & 9, Series A, 6.25%, 4/01/34 | | 1,100 | | 1,115,235 |
| Various Capital Projects, Sub-Series I-1, 6.38%, 11/01/34 | | 340 | | 348,605 |
| Grossmont Union High School District, GO, Election of 2008, Series B, 4.75%, 8/01/45 Los Angeles Department of Airports, Refunding RB, Senior, Los Angeles International Airport, | | 965 | | 814,663 |
| Series A, 5.00%, 5/15/35 | | 1,460 | | 1,389,059 |
| Los Angeles Department of Water & Power, RB, Power System, Sub-Series A-1, 5.25%, | | 2,100 | | 2,2 02 ,022 |
| 7/01/38 | | 1,660 | | 1,643,234 |
| San Diego Regional Building Authority California, RB, County Operations Center & Annex, | | | | |
| Series A, 5.38%, 2/01/36 | | 850 | | 843,616 |
| State of California, GO, Various Purpose, 6.00%, 3/01/33 | | 685 | | 718,990 |
| | | | | 9,161,002 |
| Colorado 3.7% | | | | |
| City & County of Denver Colorado, Refunding RB, Series A, 5.25%, 11/15/36 | | 1,095 | | 1,075,202 |
| Colorado Health Facilities Authority, Refunding RB, Catholic Healthcare, Series A, 5.50%, | | 2,072 | | -,, |
| 7/01/34 | | 580 | | 579,194 |
| | | | | 1,654,396 |
| Delaware 1.4% | | | | |
| County of Sussex Delaware, RB, NRG Energy, Inc., Indian River Project, 6.00%, 10/01/40 | | 655 | | 637,728 |
| District of Columbia 1.5% | | | | |
| District of Columbia Water & Sewer Authority, RB, Series A, 5.25%, 10/01/29 | | 640 | | 670,131 |
| Florida 0.4% | | | | |
| County of St. John s Florida, RB, CAB (AMBAC), 5.40%, 6/01/32 (a) | | 565 | | 164,144 |
| Georgia 2.4% | | | | |
| Municipal Electric Authority of Georgia, Refunding RB, Project One, Sub-Series D, 6.00%, | | | | |
| 1/01/23 | | 1,000 | | 1,104,670 |
| | | | | |

Illinois 8.4%

| Chicago Park District, GO, Harbor Facilities, Series C, 5.25%, 1/01/40 | 710 | 691,426 |
|--|-------|-----------|
| County of Cook Illinois, GO, Refunding, Series A, 5.25%, 11/15/33 | 900 | 884,691 |
| Illinois Finance Authority, RB, Navistar International, Recovery Zone, 6.50%, 10/15/40 | 270 | 272,859 |
| Illinois Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Series A: | | |
| Northwestern Memorial Hospital, 6.00%, 8/15/39 | 1,000 | 1,032,080 |
| OSF Healthcare System, , 6.00%, 5/15/39 | 525 | 488,008 |
| Railsplitter Tobacco Settlement Authority, RB: | | |
| 5.50%, 6/01/23 | 365 | 348,674 |
| 6.00%, 6/01/28 | 105 | 102,565 |
| | _ | |
| | | 3,820,303 |

| Municipal Bonds | Par (000) | | | Value | | |
|---|--------------|----------|----|-----------|--|--|
| Indiana 2.7% Indiana Municipal Power Agency, RB, Series B, 6.00%, 1/01/39 | \$ | 1,190 | \$ | 1,232,745 | | |
| | | <u> </u> | | <u> </u> | | |
| Kansas 2.0% Kansas Development Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Adventist Health, 5.50%, 11/15/29 | | 900 | | 913,608 | | |
| Kentucky 4.2% | | | | | | |
| Kentucky Economic Development Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Owensboro Medical Health System, Series A, 6.38%, 6/01/40 | | 350 | | 328,230 | | |
| Louisville & Jefferson County Metropolitan Government Parking Authority, RB, Series A, 5.75%, 12/01/34 | | 800 | | 838,016 | | |
| Louisville & Jefferson County Metropolitan Government, Refunding RB, Jewish Hospital & St. Mary s HealthCare, 6.13%, 2/01/37 | | 775 | | 749,107 | | |
| | | | | 1,915,353 | | |
| Louisiana 0.9% | | | | | | |
| Louisiana Local Government Environmental Facilities & Community Development Authority, RB, Westlake Chemical Corp., Series A-1, 6.50%, 11/01/35 | | 380 | | 386,775 | | |
| Maryland 1.1% Maryland EDC, Refunding RB, CNX Marine Terminals, Inc., 5.75%, 9/01/25 | | 525 | | 491,311 | | |
| | | | | - ,- | | |
| Massachusetts 3.6% Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, Refunding RB, Trustees of Deerfield Academy, 5.00%, 10/01/40 | | 375 | | 379,830 | | |
| Massachusetts Health & Educational Facilities Authority, Refunding RB, Partners Healthcare System, Series B, 5.25%, 7/01/29 | | 1,000 | | 998,760 | | |
| Massachusetts State College Building Authority, RB, Series A, 5.50%, 5/01/39 | | 250 | | 256,430 | | |
| | | | | 1,635,020 | | |
| Michigan 4.7% | | | | | | |
| Kalamazoo Hospital Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Bronson Methodist Hospital, 5.50%, 5/15/36 | | 1,060 | | 1,001,965 | | |
| Michigan State Building Authority, Refunding RB, Facilities Program, Series I, 6.00%, 10/15/38 | | 500 | | 518,520 | | |
| Royal Oak Hospital Finance Authority Michigan, Refunding RB, William Beaumont Hospital, 8.25%, 9/01/39 | | 530 | | 591,406 | | |
| | | | | 2,111,891 | | |

| Multi-State 7.2% Centerline Equity Issuer Trust, 7.20%, 11/15/52 (b)(c) | 3,000 | 3,261,780 |
|---|-----------------|-----------|
| Nebraska 0.4% | | |
| Lancaster County Hospital Authority No. 1, RB, Immanuel Obligation Group, 5.63%, 1/01/40 | 170 | 165,147 |
| Nevada 8.4% | | _ |
| City of Las Vegas Nevada, GO, Limited Tax, Performing Arts Center, 6.00%, 4/01/34 | 1,000 | 1,054,940 |
| County of Clark Nevada, RB: Motor Vehicle Fuel Tax, 5.00%, 7/01/28 | 1,130 | 1,130,689 |
| Series B, 5.75%, 7/01/42 | 1,630 | 1,629,854 |
| Series 2, 5.75 %, 17017 12 | | 1,025,031 |
| | | 3,815,483 |
| New Jersey 4.7% | | |
| New Jersey EDA, Refunding RB, School Facilities Construction, Series AA, 5.50%, 12/15/29 | 750 | 775,155 |
| New Jersey State Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency, RB, S/F Housing, Series CC, 5.25%, | 700 | 770,100 |
| 10/01/29 | 620 | 619,237 |
| New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, RB, Transportation System, Series A, 5.88%, | | |
| 12/15/38 | 695 | 721,535 |
| | _ | 2 115 027 |
| | | 2,115,927 |
| | | |
| | | |
| See Notes to Financial Statements. | | |
| 24 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT | FEBRUARY 28, 20 | 11 |

Schedule of Investments (continued)

BlackRock Municipal Bond Investment Trust (BIE) (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

| Municipal Bonds | Par (000) | Value |
|---|--------------|------------|
| New York 7.2% | | |
| City of Troy New York, Refunding RB, Rensselaer Polytechnic, Series A, 5.13%, 9/01/40 New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority, RB, Second General Resolution, Series EE, | \$ 955 | \$ 885,973 |
| 5.38%, 6/15/43 | 205 | 207,868 |
| New York City Transitional Finance Authority, RB, Fiscal 2009, Series S-3, 5.25%, 1/15/39 | 1,000 | 1,006,990 |
| New York Liberty Development Corp., Refunding RB, Second Priority, Bank of America Tower at | 225 | 222.252 |
| One Bryant Park Project, 6.38%, 7/15/49 | 325 | 323,352 |
| Triborough Bridge & Tunnel Authority, RB, General, Series A-2, 5.38%, 11/15/38 | 840 | 852,902 |
| | | 3,277,085 |
| North Carolina 2.7% | | |
| North Carolina Medical Care Commission, RB, Novant Health Obligation, Series A, 4.75%, | | |
| 11/01/43 | 1,450 | 1,229,774 |
| Pennsylvania 2.8% | | |
| Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority, RB, American Water Co. Project, | | |
| 6.20%, 4/01/39 | 300 | 312,159 |
| Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, RB: | | |
| Sub-Series B, 5.25%, 6/01/39 | 425 | 405,135 |
| Sub-Series C (AGC), 6.25%, 6/01/38 | 500 | 530,575 |
| | | 1,247,869 |
| Texas 8.3% | | |
| Conroe ISD Texas, GO, School Building, Series A, 5.75%, 2/15/35 | 470 | 495,305 |
| Harris County Health Facilities Development Corp., Refunding RB, Memorial Hermann Healthcare | | |
| System, Series B, 7.13%, 12/01/31 | 250 | 271,405 |
| Lower Colorado River Authority, RB, 5.75%, 5/15/28 | 450 | 467,140 |
| North Texas Tollway Authority, RB, System, First Tier, Series K-1 (AGC), 5.75%, 1/01/38 | 500 | 503,405 |
| Tarrant County Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corp., RB, Scott & White Healthcare, 6.00%, | | |
| 8/15/45 | 1,020 | 1,029,496 |
| Texas Private Activity Bond Surface Transportation Corp., RB, Senior Lien, NTE Mobility Partners LLC, North Tarrant Express Managed Lanes Project, 6.88%, 12/31/39 | 1,000 | 1,009,150 |
| | | 3,775,901 |
| Utah 1.3% | | |
| City of Riverton Utah, RB, IHC Health Services Inc., 5.00%, 8/15/41 | 640 | 599,213 |
| Virginia 1.8% | | |
| Virginia Public School Authority, RB, School Financing, 6.50%, 12/01/35 | 750 | 821,033 |
| West Virginia 1.2% | | |
| West Virginia EDA, Refunding RB, Appalachian Power Co., Amos Project, Series A, 5.38%, | | |
| 12/01/38 (d) | 580 | 540,850 |

Total Municipal Bonds 103.9% 47,069,166

| Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts (e) | Par (000 | | | Value |
|--|-------------|-------|----|-----------|
| California 20.2% | | | | |
| California Educational Facilities Authority, RB, University of Southern California, Series A, 5.25%, | | | | |
| 10/01/39 | \$ | 1,005 | \$ | 1,015,603 |
| Grossmont Union High School District, GO, Election of 2008, Series B, 5.00%, 8/01/40 | | 1,300 | | 1,191,580 |
| Los Angeles Community College District California, GO, Election of 2008: | | | | |
| Series A, 6.00%, 8/01/33 | | 2,079 | | 2,224,906 |
| Series C, 5.25%, 8/01/39 | | 1,410 | | 1,389,456 |
| Los Angeles Unified School District California, GO, Series I, 5.00%, 1/01/34 | | 200 | | 190,820 |
| San Diego Public Facilities Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Series B, 5.50%, 8/01/39 | | 2,234 | | 2,268,772 |
| University of California, RB, Series O, 5.75%, 5/15/34 | | 810 | | 849,334 |
| | | | | 9,130,471 |
| District of Columbia 3.8% | | | | |
| District of Columbia, RB, Series A, 5.50%, 12/01/30 | | 735 | | 790,970 |
| District of Columbia Water & Sewer Authority, RB, Series A, 5.50%, 10/01/39 | | 899 | | 931,479 |
| | | | | 1,722,449 |
| Florida 7.7% | | | | |
| Jacksonville Economic Development Commission, RB, Mayo Clinic Jacksonville, Series B, 5.50%, | | | | |
| 11/15/36 | | 3,510 | | 3,509,684 |
| Illinois 8.1% | | | | |
| Illinois Finance Authority, RB, University of Chicago, Series B, 6.25%, 7/01/38 | | 1,500 | | 1,647,720 |
| Illinois State Toll Highway Authority, RB, Series B, 5.50%, 1/01/33 | | 2,000 | | 2,006,273 |
| | | , | _ | , , |
| | | | | 3,653,993 |
| Nevada 3.5% | | | | |
| Clark County Water Reclamation District, GO, Limited Tax, 6.00%, 7/01/38 | | 1,500 | | 1,608,240 |
| New Hampshire 1.3% | | | | |
| New Hampshire Health & Education Facilities Authority, Refunding RB, Dartmouth College, 5.25%, 6/01/39 | | 585 | | 599,631 |
| 0/01/39 | | 363 | | 399,031 |
| New Jersey 2.2% | | | | |
| New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, RB, Transportation System, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 12/15/32 | | 1,000 | | 1,001,640 |
| New York 6.2% | | | | |
| New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority, RB: | | | | |
| Fiscal 2009, Series A, 5.75%, 6/15/40 | | 750 | | 791,294 |
| Series FF-2, 5.50%, 6/15/40 | | 990 | | 1,018,861 |
| New York State Dormitory Authority, ERB, Series B, 5.25%, 3/15/38 | | 1,000 | | 1,007,800 |
| | | | | 2,817,955 |
| Ohio 1.8% | | | | |
| V 210 / V | | | | |

| County of Allen Ohio, Refunding RB, Catholic Healthcare, Series A, 5.25%, 6/01/38 | 840 | 795,707 |
|--|--------------|-----------|
| South Carolina 2.3% | | |
| South Carolina State Public Service Authority, RB, Santee Cooper, Series A, 5.50%, 1/01/38 | 1,005 | 1,041,813 |
| Texas 5.6% | | |
| City of San Antonio Texas, Refunding RB, Series A, 5.25%, 2/01/31 | 1,050 | 1,096,035 |
| Harris County Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corp., RB, Hospital, Texas Children s Hospital | | |
| Project, 5.50%, 10/01/39 | 1,450 | 1,431,396 |
| | - | |
| | | 2,527,431 |
| | | 2,527,43 |

See Notes to Financial Statements.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT FEBRUARY 28, 2011 25

Schedule of Investments (concluded)

BlackRock Municipal Bond Investment Trust (BIE) (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

| Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts (e) | Par (000) | | Value | |
|--|--------------|-----|---------------|--|
| Virginia 1.0% Fairfax County IDA Virginia, Refunding RB, Health Care, Inova Health System, Series A, 5.50%, 5/15/35 | \$ | 460 | \$ 463,869 | |
| Wisconsin 1.8% Wisconsin Health & Educational Facilities Authority, Refunding RB, Froedtert & Community Health Inc., 5.25%, 4/01/39 | | 890 | 818,852 | |
| Total Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts 65.5% | | | 29,691,735 | |
| Total Long-Term Investments (Cost \$76,772,880) 169.4% | | | 76,760,901 | |

| Short-Term Securities | Shares | |
|---|-----------|---------------|
| FFI Institutional Tax-Exempt Fund, 0.13% (f)(g) | 1,965,149 | 1,965,149 |
| Total Short-Term Securities (Cost \$1,965,149) 4.3% | | 1,965,149 |
| Total Investments (Cost \$78,738,029*) 173.7% | | 78,726,050 |
| Other Assets Less Liabilities 1.6% | | 714,160 |
| Liability for Trust Certificates, Including Interest Expense and Fees Payable (35.9)% | | (16,285,810) |
| Preferred Shares, at Redemption Value (39.4)% | | (17,851,005) |
| | | |
| Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0% | | \$ 45,303,395 |

^{*} The cost and unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments as of February 28, 2011, as computed for federal income tax purposes, were as follows:

| Aggregate cost | \$ 62,665,287 |
|-------------------------------|---------------|
| | |
| Gross unrealized appreciation | \$ 1.572.211 |

| Gross unrealized depreciation | (1,787,280) |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| Net unrealized depreciation | \$ (215,069) |

- (a) Represents a zero-coupon bond. Rate shown reflects the current yield as of report date.
- (b) Security exempt from registration under Rule 144A of the Securities Act of 1933. These securities may be resold in transactions exempt from registration to qualified institutional investors.
- (c) Security represents a beneficial interest in a trust. The collateral deposited into the trust is federally tax-exempt revenue bonds issued by various state or local governments, or their respective agencies or authorities. The security is subject to remarketing prior to its stated maturity.
- (d) Variable rate security. Rate shown is as of report date.
- (e) Securities represent bonds transferred to a TOB in exchange for which the Trust acquired residual interest certificates. These securities serve as collateral in a financing transaction. See Note 1 of the Notes to Financial Statements for details of municipal bonds transferred to TOBs.
- (f) Investments in companies considered to be an affiliate of the Trust during the period, for purposes of Section 2(a)(3) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, were as follows:

| Affiliate | Shares Held at August 31, 2010 | Net Activity | | | Income |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------|----|--------|
| FFI Institutional Tax-Exempt Fund | 1,698,254 | 266,895 | 1,965,149 | \$ | 462 |

(g) Represents the current yield as of report date.

Financial futures contracts sold as of February 28,2011 were as follows:

| Contracts | Issue | Exchange | Expiration | Notional Value | nrealized preciation |
|-----------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|-------------------|-------------------------|
| 34 | 10-Year U.S. Treasury Note | Chicago Board of Trade | June 2011 | \$ 4,029,467 | \$ (18,127) |

Fair Value Measurements Various inputs are used in determining the fair value of investments and derivatives. These inputs are summarized in three broad levels for financial statements purposes as follows:

Level 1 price quotations in active markets/exchanges for identical assets and liabilities

Level 2 other observable inputs (including, but not limited to: quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in markets that are active, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the assets or liabilities (such as interest rates, yield curves, volatilities, prepayment speeds, loss severities, credit risks and default rates) or other market-corroborated inputs)

Level 3 unobservable inputs based on the best information available in the circumstances, to the extent observable inputs are not available (including the Trust s own assumptions used in determining the fair value of investments and derivatives)

The inputs or methodologies used for valuing securities are not necessarily an indication of the risk associated with investing in those securities. For information about the Trust—s policy regarding valuation of investments and derivatives and other significant accounting policies, please refer to Note 1 of the Notes to Financial Statements.

The following tables summarize the inputs used as of February 28, 2011 in determining the fair valuation of the Trust s investments and derivatives:

| Valuation Inputs | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|---------|------------------|
| Assets: | | | | |
| Investments in Securities: | | | | |
| Long-Term Investments ¹ | | \$ 76,760,901 | | \$ 76,760,901 |
| Short-Term Securities | \$ 1,965,149 | | | 1,965,149 |
| Total | \$ 1,965,149 | \$ 76,760,901 | | \$ 78,726,050 |

See above Schedule of Investments for values in each state or political subdivision.

| | | Derivative Finar | ncial Instruments ² | |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|
| Valuation Inputs | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
| Liabilities: Interest rate contracts | \$ (18,127) | | | \$ (18,127) |

Derivative financial instruments are financial futures contracts, which are shown at the unrealized appreciation/depreciation on the instrument.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

| 26 | SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT | FEBRUARY 28, 2011 |
|----|--------------------|-------------------|
| | | |

Schedule of Investments February 28, 2011 (Unaudited)

BlackRock Municipal Income Trust II (BLE) (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

| Municipal Bonds | Par (000) | Value |
|--|--------------|--------------|
| Arizona 3.4% | | |
| Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement & Power | | |
| District, RB, Series A, 5.00%, 1/01/38 | \$ 2,135 | \$ 2,125,478 |
| Salt Verde Financial Corp., RB, Senior: | | |
| 5.00%, 12/01/32 | 5,635 | 4,874,951 |
| 5.00%, 12/01/37 | 3,990 | 3,349,884 |
| | | 10,350,313 |
| Arkansas 0.5% | | |
| County of Little River Arkansas, Refunding RB, | | |
| Georgia-Pacific Corp. Project, AMT, 5.60%, 10/01/26 | 1,825 | 1,667,064 |
| California 21.2% | | |
| Bay Area Toll Authority, Refunding RB, San Francisco Bay | | |
| Area, Series F-1, 5.63%, 4/01/44 | 2,480 | 2,511,198 |
| California County Tobacco Securitization Agency, RB, | | |
| CAB, Stanislaus, Sub-Series C, 6.30%, 6/01/55 (a) | 9,710 | 55,833 |
| California HFA, RB, AMT, Home Mortgage: | | |
| Series G, 5.50%, 8/01/42 | 7,270 | 7,174,109 |
| Series K, 5.50%, 2/01/42 | 2,535 | 2,573,684 |
| California Health Facilities Financing Authority, | | |
| Refunding RB, Sutter Health, Series B, 6.00%, | | |
| 8/15/42 | 3,500 | 3,465,700 |
| California State Public Works Board, RB, Various Capital | | |
| Projects, Sub-Series I-1, 6.38%, 11/01/34 | 1,280 | 1,312,397 |
| California Statewide Communities Development | | |
| Authority, RB, Health Facility, Memorial Health | | |
| Services, Series A, 5.50%, 10/01/33 | 5,000 | 5,014,400 |
| Los Angeles Department of Airports, RB, Series A, 5.25%, 5/15/39 | 860 | 927 700 |
| Los Angeles Department of Airports, Refunding RB, | 800 | 837,709 |
| Senior, Los Angeles International Airport, Series A, | | |
| 5.00%, 5/15/40 | 6,500 | 6,074,315 |
| Los Angeles Unified School District California, GO: | 0,500 | 0,071,515 |
| Series D, 5.00%, 7/01/27 | 2,375 | 2,374,834 |
| Series I, 5.00%, 7/01/26 | 1,250 | 1,256,687 |
| San Francisco City & County Public Utilities Commission, | , | , , |
| RB, Series B, 5.00%, 11/01/39 | 10,340 | 9,988,026 |
| San Francisco City & County Redevelopment Agency, | | |
| Special Tax Bonds, District No. 6, Mission Bay South | | |
| Public Improvements, 6.63%, 8/01/27 | 3,120 | 3,096,694 |
| State of California, GO, Various Purpose: | | |
| 6.00%, 3/01/33 | 2,760 | 2,896,951 |
| 6.50%, 4/01/33 | 10,670 | 11,576,096 |
| University of California, RB, Limited Project, Series B, | | |
| 4.75%, 5/15/38 | 5,095 | 4,545,351 |

${\bf Edgar\ Filing:\ BLACKROCK\ MUNIVEST\ FUND\ INC\ -\ Form\ N-CSRS}$

| | _ | 64,753,984 |
|---|----------------|------------------------|
| Colorado 2.3% | | |
| City of Colorado Springs Colorado, RB, Subordinate Lien, Improvement, Series C (AGM), 5.00%, 11/15/45 Colorado Health Facilities Authority, Refunding RB: | 1,375 | 1,333,049 |
| Catholic Healthcare, Series A, 5.50%, 7/01/34 Sisters of Leavenworth, Series A, 5.00%, 1/01/40 | 2,330 2,400 | 2,326,761 2,169,624 |
| Park Creek Metropolitan District Colorado, Refunding RB, Senior, Limited Tax, Property Tax, 5.50%, 12/01/37 | 1,375 | 1,195,274 |
| | - | 7,024,708 |
| Connecticut 0.5% | | |
| Connecticut State Health & Educational Facility | | |
| Authority, RB, Ascension Health Senior Credit, 5.00%, 11/15/40 | 1,505 | 1,429,073 |
| | | |
| Manistral Danda | Par | V -1 |
| Municipal Bonds | (000) | Value |
| Delaware 1.7% | | |
| County of Sussex Delaware, RB, NRG Energy, Inc., Indian | | |
| River Project, 6.00%, 10/01/40 | \$ 1,240 \$ | 1,207,301 |
| Delaware State EDA, RB, Exempt Facilities, Indian River Power, 5.38%, 10/01/45 | 4,430 | 3,848,164 |
| 2010,01007, 10101110 | -,,,,,,,, | 2,010,101 |
| | | 5,055,465 |
| District of Columbia 6.7% | | |
| District of Columbia, Refunding RB, Friendship Public | | |
| Charter School Inc. (ACA), 5.25%, 6/01/33 | 1,265 | 961,274 |
| District of Columbia Tobacco Settlement Financing Corp., | | |
| Refunding RB, Asset-Backed: 6.50%, 5/15/33 | 7,500 | 7,243,050 |
| 6.75%, 5/15/40 | 11,500 | 10,804,250 |
| Metropolitan Washington Airports Authority, RB, First | | , |
| Senior Lien, Series A: | | |
| 5.00%, 10/01/39 | 550 | 517,352 |
| 5.25%, 10/01/44 | 865 | 816,854 |
| | | 20,342,780 |
| Florida 5.5% | | |
| City of Leesburg Florida, RB, Leesburg Regional Medical | | |
| Center Project, 5.50%, 7/01/32 | 1,440 | 1,287,086 |
| County of Miami-Dade Florida, RB, Miami International Airport, Series A, AMT (AGC), 5.25%, 10/01/38 | 2,855 | 2,574,896 |
| County of Miami-Dade Florida, Refunding RB, Miami | 2,000 | =,= / 1,000 |
| International Airport, Series A-1, 5.38%, 10/01/41 | 1,255 | 1,162,105 |
| County of Orange Florida, Refunding RB (Syncora), | 20- | 0.0 ==== |
| 4.75%, 10/01/32 | 905 | 818,735 |
| Live Oak Community Development District No. 1, Special Assessment Bonds, Series A 6 30% | | |

Special Assessment Bonds, Series A, 6.30%,

5/01/34

3,092,136

3,952,962

3,115

3,900

| Miami Beach Health Facilities Authority, RB, Mount Sinai Medical Center of Florida, 6.75%, 11/15/21 | | |
|--|-------------------|------------|
| Mid-Bay Bridge Authority, RB, Series A, 7.25%, 10/01/40 | 2,500 | 2,483,475 |
| Stevens Plantation Community Development District, | 2,300 | 2,103,173 |
| Special Assessment Bonds, Series A, 7.10%, | | |
| 5/01/35 | 1,960 | 1,505,065 |
| | | 16,876,460 |
| Georgia 1.0% | | |
| DeKalb Private Hospital Authority, Refunding RB, Children s Healthcare, 5.25%, 11/15/39 | 915 | 874,466 |
| Private Colleges & Universities Authority, Refunding RB, | 913 | 8/4,400 |
| Emory University, Series C, 5.00%, 9/01/38 | 2,150 | 2,127,790 |
| | | 3,002,256 |
| Guam 0.8% | | _ |
| Territory of Guam, GO, Series A: | | |
| 6.00%, 11/15/19 | 695 | 696,328 |
| 6.75%, 11/15/29 | 995 | 1,026,561 |
| 7.00%, 11/15/39 | 680 | 708,220 |
| | | 2,431,109 |
| Hawaii 0.5% | | |
| State of Hawaii, Refunding RB, Series A, 5.25%, 7/01/30 | 1,480 | 1,474,554 |
| Illinois 9.5% | | |
| Illinois Finance Authority, RB: | | |
| MJH Education Assistance IV LLC, Sub-Series B, | 900 | 241 622 |
| 5.38%, 6/01/35 (b)(c) Navistar International, Recovery Zone, 6.50%, | 900 | 241,632 |
| 10/15/40 | 1,675 | 1,692,738 |
| Illinois Finance Authority, Refunding RB: Central DuPage Health, Series B, 5.50%, 11/01/39 | 1,750 | 1,686,352 |
| Friendship Village Schaumburg, Series A, 5.63%, | 455 | 2.45.1.42 |
| 2/15/37 Illimair Charte Facilities Authority, DD, State Toy | 455 | 347,142 |
| Illinois Sports Facilities Authority, RB, State Tax Supported (AMBAC), 5.50%, 6/15/30 | 15,000 | 15,260,250 |
| See Notes to Financial Statements. | | |
| | | |
| SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT | FEBRUARY 28, 2011 | 27 |

Schedule of Investments (continued)

BlackRock Municipal Income Trust II (BLE) (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

| Municipal Bonds | Par (000) | |
|---|--------------|--------------|
| Illinois (concluded) | | |
| Metropolitan Pier & Exposition Authority, Refunding RB | | |
| (AGM), McCormick Place Extension Project: | | |
| CAB, Series B, 6.23%, 6/15/43 (a) | \$ 10,340 | \$ 1,163,353 |
| Series B, 5.00%, 6/15/50 | 3,430 | 2,927,608 |
| Series B-2, 5.00%, 6/15/50 | 2,725 | 2,258,889 |
| Railsplitter Tobacco Settlement Authority, RB: | | |
| 5.50%, 6/01/23 | 1,470 | 1,404,247 |
| 6.00%, 6/01/28 | 1,255 | 1,225,897 |
| State of Illinois, RB, Build Illinois, Series B, 5.25%, 6/15/34 | 685 | 660,861 |
| | | 28,868,969 |
| Indiana 2.9% | | |
| Indiana Finance Authority, RB, Sisters of St. Francis | | |
| Health, 5.25%, 11/01/39 | 915 | 841,415 |
| Indiana Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Ascension | , , , | 3.12,122 |
| Health Senior Credit, Series B-5, 5.00%, 11/15/36 | 1,500 | 1,366,605 |
| Indiana Health Facility Financing Authority, | · | |
| Refunding RB: | | |
| Ascension Health, Series F, 5.38%, 11/15/25 | 2,095 | 2,131,558 |
| Methodist Hospital Inc., 5.38%, 9/15/22 | 3,675 | 3,359,428 |
| Indiana Municipal Power Agency, RB, Series B, 6.00%, | | |
| 1/01/39 | 1,200 | 1,243,104 |
| | | 8,942,110 |
| Kansas 0.6% | | |
| Kansas Development Finance Authority, Refunding RB, | | |
| Sisters of Leavenworth, Series A, 5.00%, 1/01/40 | 1,820 | 1,714,058 |
| Kentucky 0.3% | | |
| Kentucky Economic Development Finance Authority, | | |
| Refunding RB, Owensboro Medical Health System, | | |
| Series A, 6.38%, 6/01/40 | 1,105 | 1,036,269 |
| Louisiana 1.2% | | |
| Louisiana Local Government Environmental Facilities & | | |
| Community Development Authority, RB, Westlake | | |
| Chemical Corp., Series A-1, 6.50%, 11/01/35 | 3,650 | 3,715,079 |
| Maryland 1.5% | | |
| Maryland EDC, RB, Transportation Facilities Project, | | |
| Series A, 5.75%, 6/01/35 | 475 | 426,327 |
| | 1,000 | 935,830 |
| | | |

| 1,000 | 1,001,260 |
|-------|-----------|
| | |
| 2.400 | 2 221 112 |
| 2,400 | 2,321,112 |
| | 4,684,529 |
| | 4,084,329 |
| | |
| | |
| 2.000 | 2,199,040 |
| ,,,,, | , , . |
| | |
| 1,955 | 1,850,153 |
| _ | |
| | 4,049,193 |
| | |
| | |
| 1,500 | 1,417,875 |
| | |
| | |
| | 1 022 577 |
| 2,305 | 1,922,577 |
| | 1,500 |

| Municipal Bonds | Pa (00 | | | Value |
|---|-----------|-------|----|------------|
| Missouri 2.1% | | | | |
| 370/Missouri Bottom Road/Taussig Road | | | | |
| Transportation Development District, RB, 7.20%, 5/01/33 | \$ | 6.000 | \$ | 5 424 440 |
| Missouri State Health & Educational Facilities Authority, | Þ | 0,000 | Ф | 5,434,440 |
| RB, Senior Living Facilities, Lutheran Senior Home, | | | | |
| 5.50%, 2/01/42 | | 1,135 | | 973,807 |
| | | | | |
| | | | | 6,408,247 |
| Multi-State 4.3% | | | | |
| Centerline Equity Issuer Trust (d)(e): | | | | |
| 5.75%, 5/15/15 | | 1,000 | | 1,050,640 |
| 6.00%, 5/15/15 | | 5,000 | | 5,237,300 |
| 6.00%, 5/15/19 | | 3,500 | | 3,647,385 |
| 6.30%, 5/15/19 | | 3,000 | | 3,138,900 |
| | | | | 13,074,225 |
| | | | | 13,074,223 |
| Nebraska 0.9% | | | | |
| Lancaster County Hospital Authority No. 1, RB, | | | | |
| Immanuel Obligation Group, 5.63%, 1/01/40 | | 1,245 | | 1,209,455 |
| Sarpy County Hospital Authority No. 1, RB, Immanuel | | | | |
| Obligation Group, 5.63%, 1/01/40 | | 1,635 | | 1,536,344 |

| | | 2,745,799 |
|---|--------|------------|
| Nevada 0.8% | | |
| County of Clark Nevada, Refunding RB, Alexander | | |
| Dawson School Nevada Project, 5.00%, 5/15/29 | 2,465 | 2,310,642 |
| New Jersey 9.9% | | |
| New Jersey EDA, RB: | | |
| Cigarette Tax, 5.75%, 6/15/34 | 3,810 | 3,270,047 |
| Continental Airlines Inc. Project, AMT, 7.20%, | | |
| 11/15/30 (f) | 10,100 | 10,115,756 |
| Kapkowski Road Landfill Project, Series 1998B, | 10,000 | 0.507.500 |
| AMT, 6.50%, 4/01/31 New Jersey EDA Special Assessment Bonds Refunding | 10,000 | 9,507,500 |
| New Jersey EDA, Special Assessment Bonds, Refunding, Kapkowski Road Landfill Project, 6.50%, 4/01/28 | 7,475 | 7,463,713 |
| • | | |
| | | 30,357,016 |
| New Mexico 1.9% | | |
| New Mexico Income Housing Authority, RB, Villa Del | | |
| Oso Apartments Project, Series A, 6.00%, 1/01/13 (g) | 5,200 | 5,807,048 |
| New York 4.1% | | |
| Albany Industrial Development Agency, RB, New | | |
| Covenant Charter School Project, Series A, 7.00%, | | |
| 5/01/35 (b)(c) | 985 | 295,471 |
| Metropolitan Transportation Authority, Refunding RB, | | |
| Transportation, Series D, 5.25%, 11/15/40 | 1,325 | 1,263,639 |
| New York City Industrial Development Agency, RB, | | |
| American Airlines Inc., JFK International Airport, AMT, | 6.500 | 6 005 040 |
| 7.75%, 8/01/31 (f) | 6,700 | 6,835,340 |
| New York Liberty Development Corp., Refunding RB, Second Priority, Bank of America Tower at One Bryant | | |
| Park Project, 6.38%, 7/15/49 | 1,335 | 1,328,232 |
| Port Authority of New York & New Jersey, RB, JFK | 1,333 | 1,320,232 |
| International Air Terminal: | | |
| 6.00%, 12/01/36 | 1,410 | 1,377,330 |
| 6.00%, 12/01/42 | 1,375 | 1,330,945 |
| | | 12 420 057 |
| | | 12,430,957 |

See Notes to Financial Statements.

28 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT FEBRUARY 28, 2011

Schedule of Investments (continued)

BlackRock Municipal Income Trust II (BLE) (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

| Municipal Bonds | Par (000) | Value |
|--|--------------|---------------|
| North Carolina 2.8% | | |
| Gaston County Industrial Facilities & Pollution Control | | |
| Financing Authority North Carolina, RB, Exempt | | |
| Facilities, National Gypsum Co. Project, AMT, 5.75%, | | |
| 8/01/35 | \$ 7,500 | \$ 5,649,825 |
| North Carolina Capital Facilities Finance Agency, | | |
| Refunding RB, Duke Energy Carolinas, Series B, | 1.760 | 1.565.106 |
| 4.63%, 11/01/40 North Carolina Madical Come Commission, DR, Dules | 1,760 | 1,565,186 |
| North Carolina Medical Care Commission, RB, Duke University Health System, Series A, 5.00%, 6/01/42 | 1,525 | 1,435,452 |
| University Health System, Series A, 5.00%, 0/01/42 | 1,323 | 1,433,432 |
| | | 8,650,463 |
| Ohio 2.0% | | |
| County of Allen Ohio, Refunding RB, Catholic Healthcare, | | |
| Series A, 5.25%, 6/01/38 | 3,405 | 3,225,454 |
| County of Montgomery Ohio, Refunding RB, Catholic | | |
| Healthcare, Series A, 5.00%, 5/01/39 | 3,025 | 2,789,111 |
| | | 6,014,565 |
| | | 0,014,303 |
| Oklahoma 1.3% | | |
| Tulsa Airports Improvement Trust, RB, Series A, | 2.025 | 4 0 1 2 4 1 0 |
| Mandatory Put Bonds, AMT, 7.75%, 6/01/35 (f) | 3,925 | 4,012,410 |
| Pennsylvania 3.3% | | |
| Allegheny County Hospital Development Authority, | | |
| Refunding RB, Health System, West Penn, Series A, | | |
| 5.38%, 11/15/40 | 2,000 | 1,281,540 |
| Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing | | |
| Authority, RB: | | 7 402 04 4 |
| Amtrak Project, Series A, AMT, 6.38%, 11/01/41 | 5,175 | 5,182,814 |
| Aqua Pennsylvania Inc. Project, 5.00%, 11/15/40 Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, RB, Sub-Series D, | 2,065 | 1,996,628 |
| 5.13%, 12/01/40 | 1,700 | 1,583,533 |
| 5.13 %, 12/01/40 | 1,700 | 1,303,333 |
| | | 10,044,515 |
| Puerto Rico 7.9% | | |
| Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, GO, Refunding, Public | | |
| Improvement, Series C, 6.00%, 7/01/39 | 3,220 | 3,125,847 |
| Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., RB: | , | · · · |
| CAB, Series A, 6.48%, 8/01/31 (a) | 11,700 | 3,124,368 |
| CAB, Series A, 6.55%, 8/01/32 (a) | 8,600 | 2,130,564 |
| CAB, Series A, 6.57%, 8/01/33 (a) | 13,600 | 3,143,232 |
| CAB, Series A, 6.60%, 8/01/34 (a) | 5,500 | 1,190,750 |
| | | |

| CAB, Series A, 6.61%, 8/01/35 (a) | 14,055 | 2,823,649 |
|---|--------|-------------|
| CAB, Series A, 6.63%, 8/01/36 (a) | 11,875 | 2,217,538 |
| First Sub-Series A, 6.50%, 8/01/44 | 6,100 | 6,339,913 |
| 1130 500 50103 14, 0.50 70, 0/01/11 | 0,100 | 0,557,715 |
| | | |
| | | 24,095,861 |
| | | |
| South Carolina 3.8% | | |
| County of Greenwood South Carolina, RB, Facilities, | | |
| Self Memorial Hospital: | | |
| 5.50%, 10/01/26 | 2,280 | 2,280,684 |
| 5.50%, 10/01/31 | 3,250 | 3,190,915 |
| South Carolina Jobs-EDA, Refunding RB, Palmetto | -, | 2,2,2,5 |
| Health Alliance, Series A, 6.25%, 8/01/31 | 2,640 | 2,627,777 |
| South Carolina State Ports Authority, RB, 5.25%, | 2,810 | 2,027,777 |
| 7/01/40 | 3,595 | 3,490,026 |
| 7/01/40 | 3,393 | 3,490,020 |
| | | |
| | | 11,589,402 |
| | | |
| Tennessee 3.9% | | |
| Knox County Health Educational & Housing Facilities | | |
| Board Tennessee, Refunding RB, CAB, Series A (AGM), | | |
| 5.77%, 1/01/21 (a) | 20,405 | 11,943,455 |
| | 23,102 | 11,5 .5,155 |

| Municipal Bonds | Par (00) | Va | llue |
|--|-------------|----|-----------|
| Texas 17.5% | | | |
| Brazos River Authority, RB, TXU Electric, Series A, AMT, | | | |
| 8.25%, 10/01/30 | \$ 2,400 | \$ | 767,976 |
| Brazos River Authority, Refunding RB, TXU Electric Co. | | | |
| Project, Series C, Mandatory Put Bonds, AMT, 5.75%, | | | |
| 5/01/36 (f) | 1,350 | | 1,255,500 |
| City of Dallas Texas, Refunding RB, 5.00%, 10/01/35 | 1,650 | | 1,667,474 |
| City of Houston Texas, RB, Senior Lien, Series A, 5.50%, | | | |
| 7/01/39 | 1,675 | | 1,675,871 |
| City of Houston Texas, Refunding RB, Combined, First | | | |
| Lien, Series A (AGC), 6.00%, 11/15/35 | 9,145 | | 9,927,172 |
| Gulf Coast Waste Disposal Authority, Refunding RB, | | | |
| Series A, AMT, 6.10%, 8/01/24 | 10,000 | 1 | 0,029,100 |
| Harris County-Houston Sports Authority, Refunding RB, | | | |
| Third Lien, Series A-3 (NPFGC), 5.96%, 11/15/36 (a) | 25,375 | | 2,995,011 |
| Lower Colorado River Authority, Refunding RB | | | |
| (NPFGC) (g): | | | |
| 5.00%, 5/15/13 | 35 | | 38,171 |
| 5.00%, 5/15/13 | 30 | | 32,753 |
| Series A, 5.00%, 5/15/13 | 5 | | 5,459 |
| North Texas Tollway Authority, RB, Toll, 2nd Tier, Series F, | | | |
| 6.13%, 1/01/31 | 6,790 | | 6,881,394 |
| San Antonio Energy Acquisition Public Facility Corp., RB, | | | |
| Gas Supply, 5.50%, 8/01/24 | 3,600 | | 3,593,412 |
| Tarrant County Cultural Education Facilities Finance | | | |
| Corp., RB, Scott & White Healthcare, 6.00%, 8/15/45 | 4,410 | | 4,451,057 |
| Texas Private Activity Bond Surface Transportation Corp., | | | |
| RB, Senior Lien: | | | |
| LBJ Infrastructure Group LLC, LBJ Freeway Managed | | | |
| Lanes Project, 7.00%, 6/30/40 | 4,710 | | 4,776,976 |
| NTE Mobility Partners LLC, North Tarrant Express | | | |
| Managed Lanes Project, 6.88%, 12/31/39 | 4,200 | | 4,238,430 |
| | | | |

| Utah 1.2% City of Riverton Utah, RB, IHC Health Services Inc., 3,960 3,707, 5.00%, 8/15/41 3,960 3,707, Virginia 2.9% City of Norfolk Virginia, Refunding RB, Series B (AMBAC), 1,240 1,152, Halifax County IDA, Refunding RB, Old Dominion Electric 5,000 5,097, Co-op Project, AMT (AMBAC), 5,63%, 6/01/28 5,000 5,097, Virginia HDA, RB, Sub-Series H-1 (NPFGC), 5,35%, 2,490 2,492, 7/01/31 2,490 2,492, Washington 0.7% 8,741, Washington Health Care Facilities Authority, RB, Swedish 2,190 2,259, Wisconsin 2.1% Wisconsin Health Services, Series A, 6,75%, 11/15/41 (h) 2,190 2,259, Wisconsin Health & Educational Facilities Authority, RB: 8 4 Ascension Health Senior Credit Group, 5,00%, 11/15/30 1,790 1,701, 2 Ascension Health Senior Credit Group, 5,00%, 11/15/33 910 838, 2 Aurora Health Care, 6,40%, 4/15/33 3,930 3,950 6,507, 3 Wyoming 1,6% 3,355 3,459, 3 3,459, 3 Wyoming Municipal Power Agency, RB, Series A: 800 | Texas State Turnpike Authority, RB, First Tier, Series A (AMBAC), 5.00%, 8/15/42 | 1,390 | 1,187,435 |
|--|--|-------------------|-------------|
| City of Riverton Utah, RB, IHC Health Services Inc., 3,960 3,707, 5.00%, 8/15/41 3,960 3,707, Virginia 2.9% | | _ | 53,523,191 |
| S.00%, 8/15/41 3,960 3,707, | Utah 1.2% | | |
| City of Norfolk Virginia, Refunding RB, Series B (AMBAC), 5.50%, 201/31 1,152, 1,152, 1,152, 1,152, 1,153, 201/31 5,000 5,007, 2,150, 2,101/31 5,000 5,007, 2,101/31 5,000 | · | 3,960 | 3,707,629 |
| 1,240 1,152 1,15 | | | |
| Halifax County IDA, Refunding RB, Old Dominion Electric Co-op Project, AMT (AMBAC), 5.63%, 6/01/28 Virginia HDA, RB, Sub-Series H-1 (NPFGC), 5.35%, 7/01/31 Washington 0.7% Washington Health Care Facilities Authority, RB, Swedish Health Services, Series A, 6.75%, 11/15/41 (h) Wisconsin 2.1% Wisconsin 1.6% Wisconsin Health Senior Credit Group, 5.00%, 11/15/30 1,790 1,701, Ascension Health Senior Credit Group, 5.00%, 11/15/30 1,790 1,701, Ascension Health Care, 6.40%, 4/15/33 3,930 3,967, Wyoming 1.6% County of Sweetwater Wyoming, Refunding RB, Idaho Power Co. Project, 5.25%, 7/15/26 Sow, 1/01/38 750 752, Total Municipal Bonds 137.5% 42,006, | | 1,240 | 1,152,109 |
| Virginia HDA, RB, Sub-Series H-1 (NPFGC), 5.35%, 2,490 2,492. 8,741. 8,741. Washington 0.7% 8,741. Washington Health Care Facilities Authority, RB, Swedish 2,190 2,259. Wisconsin 2.1% 2,190 2,259. Wisconsin Health & Educational Facilities Authority, RB: 3,200 1,701. Ascension Health Senior Credit Group, 5.00%, 1,790 1,701. 11/15/30 1,790 1,701. Ascension Health Senior Credit Group, 5.00%, 910 838. 11/15/33 3,930 3,967. Aurora Health Care, 6.40%, 4/15/33 3,930 3,967. Wyoming 1.6% 5,007. County of Sweetwater Wyoming, Refunding RB, Idaho 3,355 3,459. Power Co. Project, 5.25%, 7/15/26 3,355 3,459. Wyoming Municipal Power Agency, RB, Series A: 5,50%, 1/01/38 800 811, 5,50%, 1/01/38 Total Municipal Bonds 137.5% 420,006. | Halifax County IDA, Refunding RB, Old Dominion Electric | | |
| Section Sect | Virginia HDA, RB, Sub-Series H-1 (NPFGC), 5.35%, | 3,000 | 3,097,000 |
| Washington 0.7% Washington Health Care Facilities Authority, RB, Swedish Health Services, Series A, 6.75%, 11/15/41 (h) 2,190 2,259, Wisconsin 2.1% Wisconsin Health & Educational Facilities Authority, RB: Ascension Health Senior Credit Group, 5.00%, 1,790 1,701, 11/15/30 1,790 1,701, Ascension Health Senior Credit Group, 5.00%, 11/15/33 910 838, Aurora Health Care, 6.40%, 4/15/33 3,930 3,967, 6,507, Wyoming 1.6% County of Sweetwater Wyoming, Refunding RB, Idaho Power Co. Project, 5.25%, 7/15/26 3,355 3,459, Wyoming Municipal Power Agency, RB, Series A: 800 811, 5.50%, 1/01/38 750 752, 5,023, 5,023, Total Municipal Bonds 137.5% 420,006, | 7/01/31 | 2,490 | 2,492,689 |
| Washington Health Care Facilities Authority, RB, Swedish 2,190 2,259, Wisconsin 2.1% Wisconsin Health & Educational Facilities Authority, RB: Ascension Health Senior Credit Group, 5.00%, 11/15/30 1,790 1,701, Ascension Health Senior Credit Group, 5.00%, 11/15/33 910 838, Aurora Health Care, 6.40%, 4/15/33 3,930 3,967, Wyoming 1.6% County of Sweetwater Wyoming, Refunding RB, Idaho Power Co. Project, 5.25%, 7/15/26 3,355 3,459, Wyoming Municipal Power Agency, RB, Series A: 5.50%, 1/01/38 800 811, 5.50%, 1/01/38 750 752, Total Municipal Bonds 137.5% 420,006, | | | 8,741,798 |
| Health Services, Series A, 6.75%, 11/15/41 (h) 2,190 2,259, Wisconsin 2.1% Wisconsin Health & Educational Facilities Authority, RB: Ascension Health Senior Credit Group, 5.00%. 11/15/30 1,790 1,701, Ascension Health Senior Credit Group, 5.00%. 11/15/33 Aurora Health Care, 6.40%, 4/15/33 3,930 3,967, Wyoming 1.6% County of Sweetwater Wyoming, Refunding RB, Idaho Power Co. Project, 5.25%, 7/15/26 Wyoming Municipal Power Agency, RB, Series A: 5.50%, 1/01/38 800 811, 5.50%, 1/01/38 750 752, Total Municipal Bonds 137.5% 420,006, | Washington 0.7% | | |
| Wisconsin Health & Educational Facilities Authority, RB: 1,790 1,701 Ascension Health Senior Credit Group, 5.00%, 1,790 1,701 11/15/33 910 838, Aurora Health Care, 6.40%, 4/15/33 3,930 3,967, Wyoming 1.6% County of Sweetwater Wyoming, Refunding RB, Idaho Power Co. Project, 5.25%, 7/15/26 3,355 3,459, Wyoming Municipal Power Agency, RB, Series A: 5,50%, 1/01/33 800 811, 5.50%, 1/01/38 750 752, 5,023, Total Municipal Bonds 137.5% 420,006, | | 2,190 | 2,259,795 |
| Ascension Health Senior Credit Group, 5.00%, 11/15/30 1,701, Ascension Health Senior Credit Group, 5.00%, 11/15/33 910 838, Aurora Health Care, 6.40%, 4/15/33 3,930 3,967, 6,507, 6,507, 10/173 1,60 | Wisconsin 2.1% | | |
| 11/15/30 1,790 1,701, Ascension Health Senior Credit Group, 5.00%, 910 838, 11/15/33 910 838, Aurora Health Care, 6.40%, 4/15/33 3,930 3,967, Wyoming 1.6% County of Sweetwater Wyoming, Refunding RB, Idaho Power Co. Project, 5.25%, 7/15/26 3,355 3,459, Wyoming Municipal Power Agency, RB, Series A: 5.50%, 1/01/33 800 811, 5.50%, 1/01/38 750 752, 5,023, Total Municipal Bonds 137.5% 420,006, | | | |
| 11/15/33 910 838, Aurora Health Care, 6.40%, 4/15/33 3,930 3,967, Wyoming 1.6% County of Sweetwater Wyoming, Refunding RB, Idaho Power Co. Project, 5.25%, 7/15/26 3,355 3,459, Wyoming Municipal Power Agency, RB, Series A: 5.50%, 1/01/33 800 811, 5.50%, 1/01/38 750 752, 5,023, 420,006, 420 | | 1,790 | 1,701,556 |
| Aurora Health Care, 6.40%, 4/15/33 3,930 3,967, 6,507, Wyoming 1.6% County of Sweetwater Wyoming, Refunding RB, Idaho Power Co. Project, 5.25%, 7/15/26 Wyoming Municipal Power Agency, RB, Series A: 5.50%, 1/01/33 800 811, 5.50%, 1/01/38 750 752, Total Municipal Bonds 137.5% 420,006, | · | 010 | 838,037 |
| Wyoming 1.6% County of Sweetwater Wyoming, Refunding RB, Idaho Power Co. Project, 5.25%, 7/15/26 3,355 3,459, Wyoming Municipal Power Agency, RB, Series A: 800 811, 5.50%, 1/01/38 750 752, 5,023, Total Municipal Bonds 137.5% 420,006, | | | 3,967,689 |
| County of Sweetwater Wyoming, Refunding RB, Idaho Power Co. Project, 5.25%, 7/15/26 3,355 3,459, Wyoming Municipal Power Agency, RB, Series A: 5.50%, 1/01/33 800 811, 5.50%, 1/01/38 750 752, Total Municipal Bonds 137.5% 420,006, | | | 6,507,282 |
| County of Sweetwater Wyoming, Refunding RB, Idaho Power Co. Project, 5.25%, 7/15/26 3,355 3,459, Wyoming Municipal Power Agency, RB, Series A: 5.50%, 1/01/33 800 811, 5.50%, 1/01/38 750 752, Total Municipal Bonds 137.5% 420,006, | Wyoming 16% | | |
| Wyoming Municipal Power Agency, RB, Series A: 800 811, 5.50%, 1/01/38 750 752, 5,023, Total Municipal Bonds 137.5% 420,006, | County of Sweetwater Wyoming, Refunding RB, Idaho | | |
| 5.50%, 1/01/33 800 811, 5.50%, 1/01/38 750 752, Total Municipal Bonds 137.5% 420,006, | | 3,355 | 3,459,139 |
| 5,023, Total Municipal Bonds 137.5% 420,006, | 5.50%, 1/01/33 | | 811,768 |
| Total Municipal Bonds 137.5% 420,006, | 5.50%, 1/01/38 | 750 | 752,910 |
| | | | 5,023,817 |
| See Notes to Financial Statements. | Total Municipal Bonds 137.5% | | 420,006,542 |
| See Notes to Financial Statements. | | | |
| | See Notes to Financial Statements. | | |
| SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT FEBRUARY 28, 2011 | SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT | FEBRUARY 28, 2011 | 29 |

Schedule of Investments (continued)

BlackRock Municipal Income Trust II (BLE) (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

| Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts (i) | Par 000) | Value |
|--|----------------|------------------------|
| Alabama 0.8% Alabama Special Care Facilities Financing Authority-Birmingham, Refunding RB, Ascension Health Senior Credit, Series C-2, 5.00%, 11/15/36 | \$ 2,519 | \$ 2,392,955 |
| California 2.4% California Educational Facilities Authority, RB, University | | |
| of Southern California, Series A, 5.25%, 10/01/39 Los Angeles Community College District California, GO, | 2,850 | 2,880,067 |
| Election of 2001, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/32 San Diego Community College District California, GO, Election of 2002, 5.25%, 8/01/33 | 2,530 1,840 | 2,474,568 1,855,691 |
| | | 7,210,326 |
| Colorado 2.1% Colorado Health Facilities Authority, RB (AGM), | | |
| Catholic Health: | | |
| Series C-3, 5.10%, 10/01/41 Series C-7, 5.00%, 9/01/36 | 4,230 2,710 | 3,933,477 2,532,387 |
| Series C-1, 5.00 %, 7/01/30 | 2,710 | 6,465,864 |
| Connecticut 3.4% | | |
| Connecticut State Health & Educational Facility | | |
| Authority, RB, Yale University: Series T-1, 4.70%, 7/01/29 | 5,170 | 5,293,253 |
| Series X-3, 4.85%, 7/01/37 | 5,130 | 5,131,898 |
| | | 10,425,151 |
| Georgia 1.5% Driveto Colleges & Universities Authority Defunding DD | | |
| Private Colleges & Universities Authority, Refunding RB, Emory University, Series C, 5.00%, 9/01/38 | 4,638 | 4,590,517 |
| Massachusetts 1.0% | | |
| Massachusetts Water Resources Authority, Refunding RB, General, Series A, 5.00%, 8/01/41 | 3,150 | 3,151,355 |
| New Hampshire 0.7% | | |
| New Hampshire Health & Education Facilities Authority, Refunding RB, Dartmouth College, 5.25%, 6/01/39 | 2,219 | 2,275,522 |
| New York 4.5% | 1,710 | 1,759,851 |
| | 1,/10 | 1,739,031 |

| New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority, RB, | | |
|--|-------|-------------|
| Series FF-2, 5.50%, 6/15/40 | | |
| New York State Dormitory Authority, ERB, Series F, 5.00%, 3/15/35 | 9,284 | 9,194,276 |
| New York State Environmental Facilities Corp., RB, | 9,204 | 9,194,270 |
| Revolving Funds, New York City Municipal Water | | |
| Project, Series B, 5.00%, 6/15/31 | 2,850 | 2,868,639 |
| | _ | |
| | | 13,822,766 |
| Virginia 2.0% | | |
| University of Virginia, Refunding RB, General, 5.00%, | | |
| 6/01/40 | 5,910 | 6,006,628 |
| Washington 3.7% | | |
| Central Puget Sound Regional Transit Authority, RB, | | |
| Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 11/01/32 | 3,029 | 3,059,993 |
| State of Washington, GO, Various Purpose, Series E, | | |
| 5.00%, 2/01/34 | 8,113 | 8,192,336 |
| | _ | 11,252,329 |
| Total Municipal Danda Tuonafamad 4a | | |
| Total Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts 22.1% | | 67,593,413 |
| | | |
| Total Long-Term Investments | | |
| (Cost \$502,560,543) 159.6% | | 487,599,955 |

| Short-Term Securities | Shares | | Value |
|---|-----------|----|---------------|
| FFI Institutional Tax-Exempt Fund, 0.13% (j)(k) | 4,910,889 | \$ | 4,910,889 |
| Total Short-Term Securities | | | |
| (Cost \$4,910,889) 1.6% | | | 4,910,889 |
| Total Investments (Cost \$507,471,432*) 161,2% | | | 492,510,844 |
| Other Assets Less Liabilities 1.2% | | | 3,686,182 |
| Liability for Trust Certificates, Including | | | |
| Interest Expense and Fees Payable (12.9)% | | | (39,282,372) |
| Preferred Shares, at Redemption Value (49.5)% | | | (151,309,510) |
| | | | |
| Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0% | | \$ | 305,605,144 |
| | | _ | |

^{*} The cost and unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments as of February 28, 2011, as computed for federal income tax purposes, were as follows:

| Aggregate cost | \$ 467,400,876 |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|
| Gross unrealized appreciation | \$ 8,280,053 |
| Gross unrealized depreciation | (22,422,522) |

Net unrealized depreciation \$ (14,142,469)

- (a) Represents a zero-coupon bond. Rate shown reflects the current yield as of report date.
- (b) Issuer filed for bankruptcy and/or is in default of interest payments.
- (c) Non-income producing security.
- (d) Security exempt from registration under Rule 144A of the Securities Act of 1933. These securities may be resold in transactions exempt from registration to qualified institutional investors.
- (e) Security represents a beneficial interest in a trust. The collateral deposited into the trust is federally tax-exempt revenue bonds issued by various state or local governments, or their respective agencies or authorities. The security is subject to remarketing prior to its stated maturity.
- (f) Variable rate security. Rate shown is as of report date.
- (g) US government securities, held in escrow, are used to pay interest on this security as well as to retire the bond in full at the date indicated, typically at a premium to par.
- (h) When-issued security. Unsettled when-issued transactions were as follows:

| Counterparty | Value | _ | ealized eciation |
|--------------------------|-----------------|----|---------------------|
| Citigroup Global Markets | \$ 2,259,795 | \$ | 11,651 |

- (i) Securities represent bonds transferred to a TOB in exchange for which the Trust acquired residual interest certificates. These securities serve as collateral in a financing transaction. See Note 1 of the Notes to Financial Statements for details of municipal bonds transferred to TOBs.
- (j) Investments in companies considered to be an affiliate of the Trust during the period, for purposes of Section 2(a)(3) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, were as follows:

| Affiliate | Shares Held at August 31, 2010 | Net Activity | Shares Held at February 28, 2011 | I | ncome |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|--|----|-------|
| FFI Institutional Tax-Exempt Fund | 9,440,330 | (4,529,441) | 4,910,889 | \$ | 5,195 |

(k) Represents the current yield as of report date.

Financial futures contracts sold as of February 28,2011 were as follows:

| Contracts | Issue | Exchange | Notional Exchange Expiration Value | | Unrealized Depreciation |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------------|
| 228 | 10-Year U.S. Treasury Note | Chicago Board of Trade | June 2011 | \$ 27,021,129 | \$ (121,558) |
| See Notes to Financial Statements | i. | | | | |
| 30 | SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT | | FEBRUARY 28, 2011 | | |

Schedule of Investments (concluded)

BlackRock Municipal Income Trust II (BLE)

Fair Value Measurements Various inputs are used in determining the fair value of investments and derivatives. These inputs are summarized in three broad levels for financial statement purposes as follows:

Level 1 price quotations in active markets/exchanges for identical assets and liabilities

Level 2 other observable inputs (including, but not limited to: quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in markets that are active, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the assets or liabilities (such as interest rates, yield curves, volatilities, prepayment speeds, loss severities, credit risks and default rates) or other market-corroborated inputs)

Level 3 unobservable inputs based on the best information available in the circumstances, to the extent observable inputs are not available (including the Trust s own assumptions used in determining the fair value of investments and derivatives)

The inputs or methodologies used for valuing securities are not necessarily an indication of the risk associated with investing in those securities. For information about the Trust s policy regarding valuation of investments and derivatives and other significant accounting policies, please refer to Note 1 of the Notes to Financial Statements.

The following tables summarize the inputs used as of February 28, 2011 in determining the fair valuation of the Trust s investments and derivatives:

| Valuation Inputs | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
|--------------------------|-----------------|----------------|---------|----------------|
| Assets: | | | | |
| Investments in | | | | |
| Securities: | | | | |
| Long-Term | | | | |
| Investments ¹ | | \$ 487,599,955 | | \$ 487,599,955 |
| Short-Term | | | | |
| Securities | \$ 4,910,889 | | | 4,910,889 |
| Total | \$ 4,910,889 | \$ 487,599,955 | | \$ 492,510,844 |
| | | , | | |

See above Schedule of Investments for values in each state or political subdivision.

| | | Derivative Finar | ncial Instruments ² | |
|-------------------------|-----------------|------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|
| Valuation Inputs | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
| Liabilities: | | | | |
| Interest rate contracts | \$ (121,558) | | | \$ (121,558) |

Derivative financial instruments are financial futures contracts, which are shown at the unrealized appreciation/depreciation on the instrument.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

FEBRUARY 28, 2011

31

Schedule of Investments February 28, 2011 (Unaudited)

BlackRock MuniHoldings Investment Quality Fund (MFL) (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

| Municipal Bonds | Par 000) | Value |
|--|----------------|------------|
| Alabama 3.9% | | |
| Birmingham Airport Authority, RB (AGM), 5.00%, | | |
| 7/01/25 | \$ 2,480 \$ | 2,492,698 |
| Birmingham Special Care Facilities Financing Authority, | | |
| RB, Children s Hospital (AGC): | | |
| 6.13%, 6/01/34 | 4,980 | 5,278,750 |
| 6.00%, 6/01/39 | 10,995 | 11,448,104 |
| | | 19,219,552 |
| Arizona 2.6% | | |
| State of Arizona, COP, Department of Administration, | | |
| Series A (AGM): | | |
| 5.25%, 10/01/24 | 10,260 | 10,603,402 |
| 5.25%, 10/01/28 | 2,240 | 2,267,687 |
| | _ | 12,871,089 |
| California 19.9% | | |
| California 19.9% California Educational Facilities Authority, RB, University | | |
| of Southern California, Series A, 5.25%, 10/01/38 | 8,920 | 9,019,726 |
| California Health Facilities Financing Authority, | 0,720 | 7,017,720 |
| Refunding RB, Sutter Health, Series B, 6.00%, | | |
| 8/15/42 | 5,370 | 5,317,374 |
| California State Public Works Board, RB, Various Capital | -,-,- | 2,227,27 |
| Projects, Series G-1 (AGC), 5.25%, 10/01/24 | 5,000 | 5,203,100 |
| California State University, RB, Systemwide, Series A | , | , , |
| (AGM), 5.00%, 11/01/39 | 4,000 | 3,669,720 |
| County of Sacramento California, RB, Senior Series A | | |
| (AGC), 5.50%, 7/01/41 | 6,600 | 6,475,326 |
| Los Angeles Community College District California, GO: | | |
| Election of 2001, Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, | | |
| 8/01/32 | 10,000 | 9,755,600 |
| Election of 2008, Series C, 5.25%, 8/01/39 | 7,150 | 7,045,824 |
| Los Angeles Department of Water & Power, RB, Power | | |
| System, Sub-Series A-1, 5.25%, 7/01/38 | 5,000 | 4,949,500 |
| Los Angeles Municipal Improvement Corp., Refunding | | |
| RB, Real Property, Series B (AGC), 5.50%, 4/01/39 | 2,980 | 2,888,723 |
| Los Angeles Unified School District California, GO, | | |
| Series D, 5.25%, 7/01/25 | 3,485 | 3,587,912 |
| Manteca Financing Authority California, RB, Manteca | | |
| Sewer (AGC): | 2.450 | 2.502.262 |
| 5.63%, 12/01/33 | 2,450 | 2,503,263 |
| 5.75%, 12/01/36 Overald Union High School District CO. Refunding | 3,285 | 3,336,607 |
| Oxnard Union High School District, GO, Refunding, | 6.750 | 6,000,120 |
| Election of 2004, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/40 | 6,750 5,000 | 6,009,120 |
| | 5,000 | 4,963,100 |

| San Diego Community College District California, GO, Election of 2002 (AGM), 5.00%, 5/01/30 San Diego Public Facilities Financing Authority, | | |
|--|--------|------------|
| Refunding RB, Series B (AGC), 5.38%, 8/01/34 | 4,690 | 4,774,045 |
| San Jacinto Unified School District, GO, Election of 2006 (AGM), 5.25%, 8/01/32 | 3,000 | 2,906,130 |
| State of California, GO, Various Purpose (AGC), 5.50%, 11/01/39 | 15,000 | 15,039,750 |
| | • | 97,444,820 |
| Colorado 1.6% | | |
| Colorado Health Facilities Authority, RB, Hospital, | | |
| NCMC Inc. Project, Series B (AGM), 6.00%, 5/15/26 | 5,925 | 6,369,138 |
| Regional Transportation District, COP, Series A, 5.00%, | 1 405 | 1 426 001 |
| 6/01/25 | 1,425 | 1,426,981 |
| | | 7,796,119 |
| District of Columbia 0.7% | | |
| District of Columbia, Refunding RB, Georgetown | | |
| University, Series D (BHAC), 5.50%, 4/01/36 | 775 | 800,180 |
| District of Columbia Water & Sewer Authority, RB, Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 10/01/38 | 2,545 | 2,492,649 |
| | • | 3,292,829 |

| Florida 21.9% | | |
|--|-------------|-----------------|
| riorida 21.9% | | |
| City of Sunrise Florida, Refunding RB (AMBAC), | | |
| 5.20%, 10/01/22 | \$ 1,225 | \$ 1,293,686 |
| County of Lee Florida, RB, Series A, AMT (AGM), | | |
| 6.00%, 10/01/29 | 19,925 | 20,022,433 |
| County of Miami-Dade Florida, RB, AMT, Miami | | |
| International Airport, Series A: | | |
| (AGM), 5.50%, 10/01/41 | 11,260 | 10,474,728 |
| (NPFGC), 6.00%, 10/01/29 | 8,000 | 8,083,760 |
| Series A (AGM), 5.13%, 10/01/35 | 8,605 | 7,724,192 |
| County of Osceola Florida, RB, Series A (NPFGC), | | |
| 5.50%, 10/01/27 | 5,560 | 5,604,258 |
| County of St. John s Florida, RB (AGM): | | |
| 5.00%, 10/01/31 | 1,340 | 1,338,231 |
| 5.00%, 10/01/37 | 2,655 | 2,523,843 |
| Florida Housing Finance Corp., HRB, Waverly | | |
| Apartments, Series C-1, AMT (AGM), 6.30%, 7/01/30 | 2,055 | 2,075,529 |
| Florida Housing Finance Corp., RB, Homeowner | | |
| Mortgage, Series 11, AMT (AGM), 5.95%, 1/01/32 | 5,500 | 5,501,430 |
| Florida Housing Finance Corp., Refunding RB, AMT, | | |
| Homeowner Mortgage: | | |
| Series 2 (NPFGC), 5.75%, 7/01/14 | 525 | 526,050 |
| Series 2 (NPFGC), 5.90%, 7/01/29 | 6,700 | 6,775,576 |
| Series 4 (AGM), 6.25%, 7/01/22 | 510 | 516,309 |
| Jacksonville Port Authority, RB, AMT (AGC), 6.00%, | | |
| 11/01/38 | 6,740 | 6,776,531 |
| Manatee County Housing Finance Authority, RB, | | |
| Series A, AMT (Ginnie Mae), 5.90%, 9/01/40 | 2,540 | 2,659,431 |

| Miami-Dade County Housing Finance Authority Florida, MRB, Marbrisa Apartments Project, Series 2A, AMT | | |
|---|-------------------------|--|
| (AGM), 6.00%, 8/01/26 | 2,185 | 2,206,326 |
| Miami-Dade County IDA, RB, Airis Miami II LLC Project, | 2,103 | 2,200,320 |
| AMT (AMBAC), 6.00%, 10/15/19 | 3,195 | 3,002,118 |
| St. Lucie West Services District, RB (NPFGC): | 3,173 | 3,002,110 |
| 5.25%, 10/01/34 | 1,720 | 1,534,360 |
| 5.00%, 10/01/38 | 4,125 | 3,488,842 |
| St. Lucie West Services District, Refunding RB, Senior | 7,123 | 3,400,042 |
| Lien (NPFGC), 6.00%, 10/01/22 | 3,250 | 3,325,790 |
| Village Center Community Development District, RB, | 3,230 | 3,323,190 |
| Series A (NPFGC): | | |
| 5.38%, 11/01/34 | 10,775 | 8,935,600 |
| 5.13%, 11/01/36 | 1,750 | 1,380,277 |
| Volusia County IDA, RB, Student Housing, Stetson | 1,730 | 1,300,277 |
| University Project, Series A (CIFG), 5.00%, 6/01/35 | 1,740 | 1,387,580 |
| Chroshy Troject, defies II (chr d), 5.00%, 0.01755 | 1,7 10 | 1,507,500 |
| | _ | |
| | _ | 107,156,880 |
| Georgia 6.1% | _ | 107,156,880 |
| Georgia 6.1% Augusta-Richmond County Georgia, RB (AGM), | _ | 107,156,880 |
| 8 | 4,350 | 107,156,880 4,352,610 |
| Augusta-Richmond County Georgia, RB (AGM), | 4,350 | , , |
| Augusta-Richmond County Georgia, RB (AGM), 5.25%, 10/01/39 | 4,350 2,735 | , , |
| Augusta-Richmond County Georgia, RB (AGM), 5.25%, 10/01/39 City of Atlanta Georgia, RB, General, Series A (AGM), | , | 4,352,610 |
| Augusta-Richmond County Georgia, RB (AGM), 5.25%, 10/01/39 City of Atlanta Georgia, RB, General, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 1/01/40 County of Fulton Georgia, RB (NPFGC), 5.25%, 1/01/35 Gwinnett County Hospital Authority, Refunding RB, | 2,735 | 4,352,610 2,579,597 |
| Augusta-Richmond County Georgia, RB (AGM), 5.25%, 10/01/39 City of Atlanta Georgia, RB, General, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 1/01/40 County of Fulton Georgia, RB (NPFGC), 5.25%, 1/01/35 Gwinnett County Hospital Authority, Refunding RB, Gwinnett Hospital System, Series D (AGM), 5.50%, | 2,735 7,575 | 4,352,610 2,579,597 7,574,470 |
| Augusta-Richmond County Georgia, RB (AGM), 5.25%, 10/01/39 City of Atlanta Georgia, RB, General, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 1/01/40 County of Fulton Georgia, RB (NPFGC), 5.25%, 1/01/35 Gwinnett County Hospital Authority, Refunding RB, Gwinnett Hospital System, Series D (AGM), 5.50%, 7/01/41 | 2,735 | 4,352,610 2,579,597 |
| Augusta-Richmond County Georgia, RB (AGM), 5.25%, 10/01/39 City of Atlanta Georgia, RB, General, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 1/01/40 County of Fulton Georgia, RB (NPFGC), 5.25%, 1/01/35 Gwinnett County Hospital Authority, Refunding RB, Gwinnett Hospital System, Series D (AGM), 5.50%, 7/01/41 Metropolitan Atlanta Rapid Transit Authority, RB, Third | 2,735 7,575 6,445 | 4,352,610 2,579,597 7,574,470 6,108,442 |
| Augusta-Richmond County Georgia, RB (AGM), 5.25%, 10/01/39 City of Atlanta Georgia, RB, General, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 1/01/40 County of Fulton Georgia, RB (NPFGC), 5.25%, 1/01/35 Gwinnett County Hospital Authority, Refunding RB, Gwinnett Hospital System, Series D (AGM), 5.50%, 7/01/41 | 2,735 7,575 | 4,352,610 2,579,597 7,574,470 |
| Augusta-Richmond County Georgia, RB (AGM), 5.25%, 10/01/39 City of Atlanta Georgia, RB, General, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 1/01/40 County of Fulton Georgia, RB (NPFGC), 5.25%, 1/01/35 Gwinnett County Hospital Authority, Refunding RB, Gwinnett Hospital System, Series D (AGM), 5.50%, 7/01/41 Metropolitan Atlanta Rapid Transit Authority, RB, Third | 2,735 7,575 6,445 | 4,352,610 2,579,597 7,574,470 6,108,442 |

See Notes to Financial Statements.

32 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT FEBRUARY 28, 2011

Schedule of Investments (continued)

BlackRock MuniHoldings Investment Quality Fund (MFL) (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

| Municipal Bonds | Par 000) | Value |
|---|----------------|------------------------|
| Illinois 13.5% | | |
| Chicago Board of Education Illinois, GO, Refunding, | | |
| Chicago School Reform Board, Series A (NPFGC), | | |
| 5.50%, 12/01/26 | \$ 3,745 \$ | 3,819,975 |
| Chicago Transit Authority, RB, Federal Transit | | |
| Administration Section 5309, Series A (AGC), | | |
| 6.00%, 6/01/26 | 6,315 | 6,826,010 |
| City of Chicago Illinois, GO, Refunding, Projects, | | |
| Series A (AGM): | | |
| 5.00%, 1/01/29 | 6,845 | 6,503,366 |
| 5.00%, 1/01/30 | 2,730 | 2,577,011 |
| City of Chicago Illinois, RB, Third Lien: | | |
| General Airport, Series A (NPFGC), 5.00%, 1/01/33 | 5,000 | 4,525,000 |
| General, Series C (AGM), 5.25%, 1/01/35 | 4,905 | 4,774,576 |
| City of Chicago Illinois, Refunding RB: | | |
| General, Third Lien, Series C (AGC), 5.25%, | | |
| 1/01/23 | 3,975 | 4,148,986 |
| Second Lien (NPFGC), 5.50%, 1/01/30 | 4,075 | 4,169,051 |
| Illinois Finance Authority, RB, University of Chicago, | 10.000 | 10.251.000 |
| Series B, 5.50%, 7/01/37 | 10,000 | 10,371,800 |
| Illinois Municipal Electric Agency, RB, Series A (NPFGC), | 4.150 | 4.020.610 |
| 5.25%, 2/01/35 | 4,150 | 4,039,610 |
| Railsplitter Tobacco Settlement Authority, RB: | 1 265 | 4 160 754 |
| 5.50%, 6/01/23 | 4,365 | 4,169,754 |
| 6.00%, 6/01/28 | 1,245 | 1,216,128 |
| State of Illinois, RB: | 3,000 | 2 090 960 |
| (AGM), 5.00%, 6/15/27 Puild Illinois, Spring P. 5.25%, 6/15/26 | 5,625 | 2,989,860 5,703,862 |
| Build Illinois, Series B, 5.25%, 6/15/26 | 5,025 - | 3,703,802 |
| | | 65,834,989 |
| Indiana 3.0% | | |
| Indianapolis Local Public Improvement Bond Bank, | | |
| Refunding RB, Waterworks Project, Series A (AGC), | | |
| 5.50%, 1/01/38 | 14,105 | 14,464,819 |
| Iowa 1.1% | | |
| Iowa Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Iowa Health | | |
| System (AGC), 5.25%, 2/15/29 | 5,500 | 5,430,645 |
| Kentucky 0.5% | | |
| Kentucky State Property & Buildings Commission, | | |
| Refunding RB, Project No. 93 (AGC), 5.25%, 2/01/28 | 2,500 | 2,588,325 |
| Louisiana 1.8% | | |
| | | |
| East Baton Rouge Sewerage Commission, RB, Series A, | 1 270 | 1 294 007 |
| 5.25%, 2/01/34 | 1,370 | 1,384,097 |

| Louisiana State Citizens Property Insurance Corp., RB, Series C-3 (AGC), 6.13%, 6/01/25 | 5,475 | 5,995,070 |
|--|-------|---|
| New Orleans Aviation Board Louisiana, Refunding GARB | ., | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, |
| (AGC), Restructuring: | | |
| Series A-1, 6.00%, 1/01/23 | 500 | 552,190 |
| Series A-2, 6.00%, 1/01/23 | 720 | 795,154 |
| | _ | |
| | | 8,726,511 |
| | | |
| Maine 0.8% | | |
| City of Portland Maine, RB, General (AGM), 5.25%, | | |
| 1/01/35 | 3,735 | 3,724,654 |
| | | |
| Maryland 0.4% | | |
| Maryland Health & Higher Educational Facilities | | |
| Authority, Refunding RB, University of Maryland | | |
| Medical System, 5.13%, 7/01/39 | 2,225 | 2,090,566 |

| Municipal Bonds | Par (000) | Value |
|--|--------------|--------------|
| Michigan 16.7% | | |
| City of Detroit Michigan, RB: | | |
| Second Lien, Series B (AGM), 6.25%, 7/01/36 | \$ 6,320 | \$ 6,706,910 |
| Second Lien, Series B (AGM), 7.00%, 7/01/36 | 850 | 950,581 |
| Second Lien, Series B (NPFGC), 5.50%, 7/01/29 | 7,490 | 7,243,204 |
| Senior Lien, Series B (AGM), 7.50%, 7/01/33 | 6,600 | 7,797,570 |
| System, Second Lien, Series A (BHAC), 5.50%, 7/01/36 | 20,540 | 20,234,776 |
| City of Detroit Michigan, Refunding RB: | | |
| Second Lien, Series E (BHAC), 5.75%, 7/01/31 | 6,000 | 6,096,360 |
| Senior Lien, Series C-1 (AGM), 7.00%, 7/01/27 | 9,055 | 10,410,352 |
| Kalamazoo Hospital Finance Authority, RB, Bronson | | |
| Methodist Hospital (AGM), 5.25%, 5/15/36 | 3,235 | 2,972,480 |
| Michigan State Building Authority, RB, Facilities Program, | | |
| Series H (AGM), 5.00%, 10/15/26 | 1,760 | 1,762,341 |
| Michigan State Building Authority, Refunding RB, | | |
| Facilities Program, Series I (AGC): | | |
| 5.25%, 10/15/22 | 6,150 | 6,550,303 |
| 5.25%, 10/15/24 | 2,755 | 2,849,469 |
| 5.25%, 10/15/25 | 1,435 | 1,466,068 |
| Royal Oak Hospital Finance Authority Michigan, | | |
| Refunding RB, William Beaumont Hospital, 8.25%, | | |
| 9/01/39 | 5,780 | 6,449,671 |
| | | 81,490,085 |
| Minnesota 2.1% | | |
| City of Minneapolis Minnesota, Refunding RB, Fairview | | |
| Health Services, Series B (AGC), 6.50%, 11/15/38 | 9,900 | 10,457,172 |
| Nebraska 1.0% | | |
| Nebraska Investment Finance Authority, Refunding RB, | | |
| Series A: | | |
| 5.90%, 9/01/36 | 3,650 | 3,735,264 |
| 6.05%, 9/01/41 | 1,350 | 1,366,524 |
| | 1,000 | |

| | | 5,101,788 |
|---|---------------------------------------|------------|
| Nevada 5.8% | | |
| County of Clark Nevada, RB: | | |
| Las Vegas-McCarran International Airport, Series A | | |
| (AGC), 5.25%, 7/01/39 | 15,005 | 14,060,135 |
| Subordinate Lien, Series A-2 (NPFGC), 5.00%, | | |
| 7/01/36 | 15,500 | 14,053,385 |
| | | 28,113,520 |
| New Jersey 2.3% | | |
| New Jersey EDA, RB, School Facilities Construction, | | |
| Series Z (AGC), 6.00%, 12/15/34 | 4,350 | 4,578,592 |
| New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, | | |
| RB, Virtua Health (AGC), 5.50%, 7/01/38 | 6,500 | 6,603,740 |
| | _ | 11,182,332 |
| New York 5.3% | | |
| New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority, RB: | | |
| Second General Resolution, Series EE, 5.38%, | | |
| 6/15/43 | 6,705 | 6,798,803 |
| Series FF-2, 5.50%, 6/15/40 | 4,000 | 4,117,720 |
| New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority, | | |
| Refunding RB, Series D, 5.00%, 6/15/37 | 5,000 | 4,941,950 |
| New York City Transitional Finance Authority, Fiscal 2009 RB: | | |
| | 5,625 | 5,664,319 |
| Series S-4 (AGC), 5.50%, 1/15/29 | 4,000 | 4,176,360 |
| | - | 25,699,152 |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| tes to Financial Statements. | | |
| SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT | FEBRUARY 28, | 2011 |

Schedule of Investments (continued)

BlackRock MuniHoldings Investment Quality Fund (MFL) (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

| Ohio 1.5% Ohio Higher Educational Facility Commission, Refunding RB, Summa Health System, 2010 Project (AGC), 5.25%, 11/15/40 \$ 7,725 \$ Pennsylvania 2.7% Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, Refunding RB, Sub-Series B-1 (AGM), 5.00%, 12/01/37 9,000 Pittsburgh & Allegheny County Sports & Exhibition Authority, Refunding RB, Regional Asset District Sales Tax (AGM), 5.00%, 2/01/31 4,895 Puerto Rico 2.2% Puerto Rico Highway & Transportation Authority, Refunding RB, Series CC (AGM), 5.50%, 7/01/30 4,000 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., RB, First Sub-Series A, 6.38%, 8/01/39 6,610 | 7,159,607 8,396,460 4,888,685 |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| Refunding RB, Summa Health System, 2010 Project (AGC), 5.25%, 11/15/40 \$ 7,725 \$ Pennsylvania 2.7% Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, Refunding RB, Sub-Series B-1 (AGM), 5.00%, 12/01/37 9,000 Pittsburgh & Allegheny County Sports & Exhibition Authority, Refunding RB, Regional Asset District Sales Tax (AGM), 5.00%, 2/01/31 4,895 Puerto Rico 2.2% Puerto Rico Highway & Transportation Authority, Refunding RB, Series CC (AGM), 5.50%, 7/01/30 4,000 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., RB, First | 8,396,460 |
| Pennsylvania 2.7% Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, Refunding RB, Sub-Series B-1 (AGM), 5.00%, 12/01/37 Pittsburgh & Allegheny County Sports & Exhibition Authority, Refunding RB, Regional Asset District Sales Tax (AGM), 5.00%, 2/01/31 Puerto Rico 2.2% Puerto Rico Highway & Transportation Authority, Refunding RB, Series CC (AGM), 5.50%, 7/01/30 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., RB, First | 8,396,460 |
| Pennsylvania 2.7% Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, Refunding RB, Sub-Series B-1 (AGM), 5.00%, 12/01/37 9,000 Pittsburgh & Allegheny County Sports & Exhibition Authority, Refunding RB, Regional Asset District Sales Tax (AGM), 5.00%, 2/01/31 4,895 Puerto Rico 2.2% Puerto Rico Highway & Transportation Authority, Refunding RB, Series CC (AGM), 5.50%, 7/01/30 4,000 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., RB, First | 8,396,460 |
| Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, Refunding RB, Sub-Series B-1 (AGM), 5.00%, 12/01/37 9,000 Pittsburgh & Allegheny County Sports & Exhibition Authority, Refunding RB, Regional Asset District Sales Tax (AGM), 5.00%, 2/01/31 4,895 Puerto Rico 2.2% Puerto Rico Highway & Transportation Authority, Refunding RB, Series CC (AGM), 5.50%, 7/01/30 4,000 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., RB, First | |
| Sub-Series B-1 (AGM), 5.00%, 12/01/37 Pittsburgh & Allegheny County Sports & Exhibition Authority, Refunding RB, Regional Asset District Sales Tax (AGM), 5.00%, 2/01/31 4,895 Puerto Rico 2.2% Puerto Rico Highway & Transportation Authority, Refunding RB, Series CC (AGM), 5.50%, 7/01/30 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., RB, First | |
| Pittsburgh & Allegheny County Sports & Exhibition Authority, Refunding RB, Regional Asset District Sales Tax (AGM), 5.00%, 2/01/31 4,895 Puerto Rico 2.2% Puerto Rico Highway & Transportation Authority, Refunding RB, Series CC (AGM), 5.50%, 7/01/30 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., RB, First | |
| Authority, Refunding RB, Regional Asset District Sales Tax (AGM), 5.00%, 2/01/31 4,895 Puerto Rico 2.2% Puerto Rico Highway & Transportation Authority, Refunding RB, Series CC (AGM), 5.50%, 7/01/30 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., RB, First | 4,888,685 |
| Puerto Rico 2.2% Puerto Rico Highway & Transportation Authority, Refunding RB, Series CC (AGM), 5.50%, 7/01/30 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., RB, First | 4,888,685 |
| Puerto Rico 2.2% Puerto Rico Highway & Transportation Authority, Refunding RB, Series CC (AGM), 5.50%, 7/01/30 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., RB, First | |
| Puerto Rico Highway & Transportation Authority, Refunding RB, Series CC (AGM), 5.50%, 7/01/30 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., RB, First | |
| Puerto Rico Highway & Transportation Authority, Refunding RB, Series CC (AGM), 5.50%, 7/01/30 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., RB, First | 13,285,145 |
| Refunding RB, Series CC (AGM), 5.50%, 7/01/30 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., RB, First 4,000 | |
| Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., RB, First | |
| | 3,990,240 |
| Sub-Series A, 6.38%, 8/01/39 6,610 | 6 942 241 |
| | 6,842,341 |
| | 10,832,581 |
| Texas 19.4% | |
| City of Austin Texas, Refunding RB, Series A (AGM): | |
| 5.00%, 11/15/28 | 3,419,270 |
| 5.00%, 11/15/29 4,255 | 4,300,358 |
| City of Dallas Texas, Refunding RB (AGC), 5.25%, 8/15/38 3,350 | 2 226 560 |
| 8/15/38 City of Houston Texas, Refunding RB, Combined, | 3,236,569 |
| First Lien, Series A (AGC): | |
| 6.00%, 11/15/35 | 13,786,231 |
| 6.00%, 11/15/36 9,435 | 10,221,973 |
| 5.38%, 11/15/38 5,000 | 5,101,000 |
| Dallas Area Rapid Transit, Refunding RB, Senior Lien, 5.25%, 12/01/38 6,500 | 6,548,880 |
| Harris County Health Facilities Development Corp., | 0,540,660 |
| Refunding RB, Memorial Hermann Healthcare | |
| System, Series B, 7.25%, 12/01/35 1,500 | 1,624,425 |
| Lower Colorado River Authority, Refunding RB, LCRA | |
| Transmission Services Project (AGC), 5.50%, | 0.000 100 |
| 5/15/36 Lykhook Cooper ISD Toyos, CO. School Building (ACC) | 3,329,400 |
| Lubbock Cooper ISD Texas, GO, School Building (AGC), 5.75%, 2/15/42 2,300 | |
| North Texas Tollway Authority, RB, System, First Tier, | 2 357 477 |
| Series K-1 (AGC), 5.75%, 1/01/38 12,400 | 2,357,477 |
| North Texas Tollway Authority, Refunding RB, System, | 2,357,477 12,484,444 |
| First Tier: | |

| (AGM), 6.00%, 1/01/43 | 5,555 | 5,720,872 |
|---|--------|-------------|
| Series A (AGC), 5.75%, 1/01/40 | 7,000 | 7,034,720 |
| Series A (NPFGC), 5.13%, 1/01/28 | 14,150 | 13,999,586 |
| Tarrant County Cultural Education Facilities Finance | | |
| Corp., Refunding RB, Christus Health, Series A (AGC), | | |
| 6.50%, 7/01/37 | 1,770 | 1,825,649 |
| | _ | |
| | | 94,990,854 |
| | | |
| Utah 1.5% | | |
| City of Riverton Utah, RB, IHC Health Services Inc., | | |
| 5.00%, 8/15/41 | 7,795 | 7,298,225 |
| YII 11 400 | | |
| Virginia 1.0% | | |
| Virginia Public School Authority, RB, School Financing, | | |
| 6.50%, 12/01/35 | 4,300 | 4,707,253 |
| | | |
| Total Municipal Bonds 139.3% | | 680,868,870 |
| | | ,,,,,,,,,, |

| Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts (a) | Par (000) | Value |
|---|--------------|--------------|
| Alabama 1.3% | | |
| Mobile Board of Water & Sewer Commissioners, RB (NPFGC), 5.00%, 1/01/31 | \$ 6,500 | \$ 6,491,420 |
| California 3.0% | | |
| California State University, Refunding RB, Systemwide, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 11/01/32 | 7,960 | 7,643,908 |
| Los Angeles Unified School District California, GO, Series I, 5.00%, 1/01/34 | 2,400 | 2,289,840 |
| San Diego Community College District California, GO, Election of 2002 (AGM), 5.00%, 5/01/30 | 5,000 | 4,963,100 |
| | | 14,896,848 |
| District of Columbia 0.8% | | |
| District of Columbia Water & Sewer Authority, RB, Series A, 6.00%, 10/01/35 | 3,381 | 3,669,441 |
| Florida 5.1% | | |
| City of Jacksonville Florida, RB, Better Jacksonville (NPFGC), 5.00%, 10/01/27 | 10,000 | 10,067,100 |
| Hillsborough County Aviation Authority, RB, Series A, AMT (AGC), 5.50%, 10/01/38 | 10,657 | 9,968,923 |
| Lee County Housing Finance Authority, RB, Multi-County Program, Series A-2, AMT (Ginnie Mae), 6.00%, | 4.505 | 5.054.012 |
| 9/01/40 | 4,785 | 5,054,013 |
| | | 25,090,036 |
| Illinois 1.5% | | |
| Chicago Transit Authority, Refunding RB, Federal Transit Administration Section 5309 (AGM), 5.00%, 6/01/28 | 7,737 | 7,308,580 |
| Indiana 1.9% | | |

Indiana Health & Educational Facilities Financing Authority, Refunding RB, St. Francis, Series E (AGM), 5.25%, 5/15/41 9,850 9,294,854 Kentucky 0.1% Kentucky State Property & Building Commission, Refunding RB, Project No. 93 (AGC), 5.25%, 2/01/27 404 419,384 Nevada 2.8% Clark County Water Reclamation District, GO: Limited Tax, 6.00%, 7/01/38 8,000 8,577,280 Series B, 5.50%, 7/01/29 5,008 5,264,380 13,841,660 New Jersey 3.2% New Jersey State Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency, RB, S/F Housing, Series CC, 5.25%, 10/01/29 7,532 7,522,854 New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, RB, Transportation System, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 8,000 12/15/32 8,013,120 15,535,974 New York 3.8% New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority, RB, 5,140,618 Series FF-2, 5.50%, 6/15/40 4,994 New York State Dormitory Authority, ERB, Series B, 5.25%, 3/15/38 13,500 13,605,300 18,745,918 **Texas 2.6%** City of San Antonio Texas, Refunding RB, Series A, 5.25%, 2/01/31 12,027 12,557,427 **Total Municipal Bonds Transferred to** 127,851,542 Tender Option Bond Trusts 26.1% **Total Long-Term Investments** (Cost \$819,977,712) 165.4% 808,720,412 See Notes to Financial Statements. 34 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT FEBRUARY 28, 2011

Schedule of Investments (concluded)

BlackRock MuniHoldings Investment Quality Fund (MFL) (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

| Short-Term Securities | Shares | Value |
|---|------------|-------------------------------|
| FFI Institutional Tax-Exempt Fund, 0.13% (b)(c) | 13,487,527 | \$ 13,487,527 |
| Total Short-Term Securities (Cost \$13,487,527) 2.8% | | 13,487,527 |
| Total Investments (Cost \$833,465,239*) 168.2% Other Assets Less Liabilities 2.0% Liability for Trust Certificates, Including | | 822,207,939 9,719,958 |
| Interest Expense and Fees Payable (14.0)% Preferred Shares, at Redemption Value (56.2)% | | (68,464,588) (274,663,843) |
| Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0% | | \$ 488,799,466 |

^{*} The cost and unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments as of February 28, 2011, as computed for federal income tax purposes, were as follows:

| Aggregate cost | \$ | 765,322,314 |
|-------------------------------|----|--------------|
| | _ | |
| Gross unrealized appreciation | \$ | 10,370,873 |
| Gross unrealized depreciation | | (21,885,307) |
| | _ | |
| Net unrealized depreciation | \$ | (11,514,434) |
| | | |

- (a) Securities represent bonds transferred to a TOB in exchange for which the Trust acquired residual interest certificates. These securities serve as collateral in a financing transaction. See Note 1 of the Notes to Financial Statements for details of municipal bonds transferred to TOBs.
- (b) Investments in companies considered to be an affiliate of the Trust during the period, for purposes of Section 2(a)(3) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, were as follows:

| Affiliate | Shares Held at August 31, 2010 | Net Activity | Shares Held at February 28, 2011 | Income | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|--|-----------|--|
| FFI Institutional Tax-Exempt Fund | 32,301,054 | (18,813,527) | 13,487,527 | \$ 18,710 | |

(c) Represents the current yield as of report date.

Financial futures contracts sold as of February 28, 2011 were as follows:

| Contracts | Issue | Exchange | Expiration | Notional Value | | Unrealized Depreciation | | |
|-----------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|-------------------|------------|----------------------------|--|--|
| 363 | 10-Year U.S. Treasury Note | Chicago Board of Trade | June 2011 | \$ | 43,020,482 | \$ (193,533) | | |

Fair Value Measurements Various inputs are used in determining the fair value of investments and derivatives. These inputs are summarized in three broad levels for financial statement purposes as follows:

Level 1 price quotations in active markets/exchanges for identical assets and liabilities

Level 2 other observable inputs (including, but not limited to: quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in markets that are active, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the assets or liabilities (such as interest rates, yield curves, volatilities, prepayment speeds, loss severities, credit risks and default rates) or other market-corroborated inputs)

Level 3 unobservable inputs based on the best information available in the circumstances, to the extent observable inputs are not available (including the Trust s own assumptions used in determining the fair value of investments and derivatives)

The inputs or methodologies used for valuing securities are not necessarily an indication of the risk associated with investing in those securities.

For information about the Trust s policy regarding valuation of investments and derivatives and other significant accounting policies, please refer to Note 1 of the Notes to Financial Statements.

The following tables summarize the inputs used as of February 28, 2011 in determining the fair valuation of the Trust s investments and derivatives:

| Valuation Inputs | Lev | vel 1 Level | 2 Level 3 | Total |
|------------------------------------|--------|-------------------|-----------|--------------|
| Assets: | | | | |
| Investments in Securities: | | | | |
| Long-Term Investments ¹ | | \$ 808,72 | 0,412 | \$ 808,720,4 |
| Short-Term Securities | \$ 13, | 487,527 | | 13,487,5 |
| Total | \$ 13, | 487,527 \$ 808,72 | 20,412 | \$ 822,207,9 |
| | | | | |

See above Schedule of Investments for values in each state or political subdivision.

| | | Derivative Financial Instruments ² | | | | |
|------------------|---------|---|---------|-------|--|--|
| Valuation Inputs | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total | | |

| Liabilities: | | |
|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Interest rate contracts | \$ (193,533) | \$ (193,533) |

Derivative financial instruments are financial futures contracts, which are shown at the unrealized appreciation/depreciation on the instrument.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

FEBRUARY 28, 2011

35

Schedule of Investments February 28, 2011 (Unaudited)

BlackRock MuniVest Fund, Inc. (MVF) (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

| Municipal Bonds | Pai (000 | | Value |
|--|-------------|---------|--------------|
| Alabama 3.7% | | | |
| Alabama State Docks Department, Refunding RB, | | | |
| 6.00%, 10/01/40 | \$ | 7,610 | \$ 7,495,546 |
| Camden IDB Alabama, RB, Weyerhaeuser Co. | | | |
| Project, Series A, 6.13%, 12/01/13 (a) | | 2,550 | 2,904,118 |
| Prattville IDB Alabama, RB, International Paper Co. | | 4.000 | 2 422 720 |
| Project, Series A, AMT, 4.75%, 12/01/30 Selma IDB Alabama, Refunding RB, International | | 4,000 | 3,433,720 |
| Paper Co. Project, Series B, 5.50%, 5/01/20 | | 5,000 | 5,016,900 |
| Selma IDB, RB, Gulf Opportunity Zone, International | | 3,000 | 3,010,700 |
| Paper, 5.80%, 5/01/34 | | 1,850 | 1,831,223 |
| | | | |
| | | | 20,681,507 |
| Arizona 3.2% | | | |
| Maricopa County IDA Arizona, RB, Arizona Charter | | | |
| Schools Project, Series A, 6.75%, 7/01/29 | | 4,100 | 2,707,025 |
| Maricopa County Pollution Control Corp., Refunding RB, | | .,100 | 2,707,020 |
| Southern California Edison Co., Series A, 5.00%, | | | |
| 6/01/35 | | 3,300 | 3,174,171 |
| Phoenix Civic Improvement Corp., RB, Junior Lien, | | | |
| Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/40 | | 2,000 | 1,796,220 |
| Pima County IDA, RB, Arizona Charter Schools Project, | | • • • • | 4.040.400 |
| Series E, 7.25%, 7/01/31 | | 2,005 | 1,968,188 |
| Pima County IDA, Refunding RB: | | | |
| Arizona Charter Schools Project, Series I, 6.10%, 7/01/13 (a)(b) | | 110 | 122,353 |
| Arizona Charter Schools Project, Series I, 6.30%, | | 110 | 122,333 |
| 7/01/13 (a)(b) | | 230 | 256,887 |
| Arizona Charter Schools Project, Series O, 5.00%, | | | / |
| 7/01/26 | | 1,455 | 1,157,031 |
| Arizona Charter Schools, Series I, 6.10%, | | | |
| 7/01/24 (b) | | 380 | 347,841 |
| Arizona Charter Schools, Series I, 6.30%, | | | |
| 7/01/31 (b) | | 755 | 662,973 |
| Charter Schools II, Series A, 6.75%, 7/01/21 Salt Verde Financial Corp., RB, Senior, 5.00%, | | 920 | 910,809 |
| 12/01/37 | | 5,000 | 4,197,850 |
| State of Arizona, COP, Department of Administration, | | 5,000 | 4,177,030 |
| Series A (AGM), 5.25%, 10/01/28 | | 650 | 658,034 |
| | | | |
| | | | 17,959,382 |
| California 11.7% | | | |
| California HFA, RB, Home Mortgage, Series K, AMT, | | | |
| 5.50%, 2/01/42 | | 3,350 | 3,401,121 |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| Edgar Filing: BLACKROCK MUNIVEST FUND IN | C - Form I | N-CSRS | | |
|--|------------|--------------|----|------------|
| California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Refunding RB: | | | | |
| Catholic Healthcare West, Series A, 6.00%, 7/01/34 | | 1,055 | | 1,065,919 |
| St. Joseph Health System, Series A, 5.75%, 7/01/39 | | 5,000 | | 5,002,850 |
| Sutter Health, Series B, 6.00%, 8/15/42 | | 5,600 | | 5,545,120 |
| California State Public Works Board, RB: Department of Corrections, Series C, 5.50%, | | | | |
| 6/01/22 Department of Corrections, Series C, 5.50%, | | 5,000 | | 5,092,750 |
| 6/01/23 | | 6,000 | | 6,078,840 |
| Department of Mental Health, Coalinga, Series A, 5.13%, 6/01/29 | | 10,435 | | 9,669,384 |
| California Statewide Communities Development | | | | |
| Authority, RB, Health Facility, Memorial Health Services, Series A, 6.00%, 10/01/23 | | 5,240 | | 5,424,605 |
| | | | | |
| Municipal Bonds | | Par (000) | | Value |
| Numcipal Donus | | (000) | | value |
| California (concluded) Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corp. California, | | | | |
| RB, Asset-Backed, Series A-3, 7.88%, 6/01/13 (a) | \$ | 10,725 | \$ | 12,347,586 |
| Los Angeles Department of Airports, RB, Series A, 5.25%, 5/15/39 | | 1,200 | | 1,168,896 |
| State of California, GO, Various Purpose, 6.50%, 4/01/33 | | 9,700 | | |
| 4/01/55 | | 9,700 | | 10,523,724 |
| | | | | 65,320,795 |
| Colorado 1.1% | | | | |
| Colorado Health Facilities Authority, RB, Catholic Health Initiatives, Series D, 6.25%, 10/01/33 | | 2,500 | | 2,618,100 |
| Colorado Health Facilities Authority, Refunding RB, | | 2 000 | | |
| Evangelical Lutheran, Series A, 5.25%, 6/01/34 Colorado Housing & Finance Authority, Refunding RB, | | 3,000 | | 2,751,150 |
| S/F Program, Senior Series A-2, AMT: 6.60%, 5/01/28 | | 355 | | 358,266 |
| 7.50%, 4/01/31 | | 130 | | 135,607 |
| Regional Transportation District, COP, Series A, 5.38%, 6/01/31 | | 385 | | 387,056 |
| | | | _ | 6,250,179 |
| | | | | 0,230,179 |
| Connecticut 0.4% | | | | |
| Mohegan Tribe of Indians of Connecticut, RB, Public Improvement, Priority Distribution, 6.25%, 1/01/31 | | 2,810 | | 2,151,364 |
| Delaware 0.3% | | | | |
| County of Sussex Delaware, RB, NRG Energy, Inc., | | 4.500 | | 1.460.445 |
| Indian River Project, 6.00%, 10/01/40 | | 1,500 | | 1,460,445 |
| District of Columbia 0.2% | | | | |
| Metropolitan Washington Airports Authority, RB, First Senior Lien, Series A: | | | | |
| 5.00%, 10/01/39 | | 415 | | 390,366 |
| 5.25% 10/01/44 | | 650 | | 613 821 |

5.25%, 10/01/44

613,821

650

1,004,187

| Florida 6.0% | | |
|--|--------|------------|
| County of Miami-Dade Florida, GO Building Better | | |
| Communities Program: | | |
| Series B, 6.38%, 7/01/28 | 4,630 | 5,101,936 |
| Series B-1, 5.63%, 7/01/38 | 5,000 | 5,085,200 |
| County of Miami-Dade Florida, Refunding RB, Miami | | |
| International Airport: | | |
| AMT (AGC), 5.00%, 10/01/40 | 10,000 | 8,622,600 |
| Series A-1, 5.38%, 10/01/41 | 10,290 | 9,528,334 |
| Hillsborough County IDA, RB, H. Lee Moffitt Cancer | | |
| Center Project, Series A, 5.25%, 7/01/37 | 5,500 | 4,916,945 |
| | | |
| | | 33,255,015 |
| | | 22,233,013 |