

ProtoKinetix, Inc.  
Form 10KSB/A  
April 17, 2007

**U. S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549**

**FORM 10-KSB/A**

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended **December 31, 2005**

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File Number: **0-32917**

**PROTOKINETIX, INC.**

**Formerly known as RJV Networks, Inc.**

(Name of small business issuer as specified in its charter)

**Nevada**

(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

**94-3355026**

(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

**Suite 1500-885 West Georgia Street  
Vancouver, British Columbia Canada V6C 3E8**

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Address of principal executive offices, including zip code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: **(604) 687-9887**

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: **None**

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: **\$.001 par value common stock**

Check whether the issuer (1) filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act of 1934 during the past 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Check if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-B is not contained in this form, and no disclosure will be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-KSB or any amendment to this Form 10-KSB.

The issuer's revenues for the most recent fiscal year were USD \$2,000

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates of the registrant was approximately \$20,954,192 based upon the closing price of our common stock which was \$0.66 on April 12, 2006. Shares of common stock held by each officer and director and by each person or group who owns 10% or more of the

Edgar Filing: ProtoKinetix, Inc. - Form 10KSB/A

outstanding common stock amounting to 7,918,780 shares have been excluded in that such persons or groups may be deemed to be affiliates. This determination of affiliate status is not necessarily a conclusive determination for other purposes.

As of April 12, 2006, there were 39,667,556 shares of our common stock were issued and outstanding.

Documents Incorporated by Reference: None.

Transitional Small Business Disclosure Format: No.

**Introduction: This Form 10-KSB/A for the year ended December 31, 2005 is being filed in order to amend incorrect financial statements in the original filing on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2005.**

---

## INTRODUCTION

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our audited financial statements and notes thereto. Because we desire to take advantage of, the "safe harbor" provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, we caution readers regarding certain forward looking statements in the following discussion and elsewhere in this report and in any other statement made by, or on our behalf, whether or not in future filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Forward looking statements are statements not based on historical information and which relate to future operations, strategies, financial results or other developments. Forward looking statements are necessarily based upon estimates and assumptions that are inherently subject to significant business, economic and competitive uncertainties and contingencies, many of which are beyond our control and many of which, with respect to future business decisions, are subject to change. These uncertainties and contingencies can affect actual results and could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed in any forward looking statements made by, or our behalf. We disclaim any obligation to update forward looking statements.

Forward looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause our actual results, levels of activity, performance, or achievements to be materially different from any future results, levels of activity, performance, or achievement expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as "may," "will," "should," "could," "intend," "expects," "plan," "anticipates," "believes," "estimates," "predicts," "potential," or "continue" or the negative of such terms or other comparable terminology. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot guarantee future results, levels of activity, performance, or achievements. Moreover, neither we nor any other person assumes responsibility for the accuracy and completeness of such statements.

**WE ARE A DEVELOPMENT STAGE BUSINESS AND AN INVESTMENT IN OUR COMPANY IS EXTREMELY RISKY.**

---

TABLE OF CONTENTS  
FORM 10-KSB ANNUAL REPORT

---

PROTOKINETIX, INC.

Section	Heading	Page
Part I		
Item 1	Description of Business	5
Item 2	Description of Property	10
Item 3	Legal Proceedings	10
Item 4	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	10
Part II		
Item 5	Market for the Registrant's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters	11
Item 6	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations or Plan of Operation	16
Item 6A	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	22
Item 7	Financial Statements	22
Item 8	Changes in and Disagreements on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	22
Item 8A	Controls and Procedures	22
Item 8B	Other Information	22
Part III		
Item 9	Directors, Executive Officers, Promoters and Control Persons, Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act	23
Item 10	Executive Compensation	24
Item 11	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	25
Item 12	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions	25
Part IV		
Item 13	Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K	25
Item 14	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	26
	Certifications and Signatures	27

## PART I

### ITEM 1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

#### Important Disclosures and Disclaimers.

*Please note that ProtoKinetix, Inc. (the "Company") is a development stage company that has not yet sold or marketed any products. The Company had \$2,000 in revenues for the year ended December 31, 2005.*

*It is important to understand that although the Company (as is discussed below) is focused on various promising scientific efforts, to date, there has not been a commercial product developed by the Company. The Company continues to conduct research; however, the ultimate commercialization of a viable product may never occur. Further, even if a product is developed, the desired results for which it was originally intended may not be achieved.*

#### **General**

ProtoKinetix is a biotechnical company headquartered in Vancouver, British Columbia that owns the world-wide rights to a family of synthetic anti-freeze glycoproteins (trademarked by the Company as AAGP™). The Company is dedicated to the commercial development of AAGP™ for use in human and veterinary medicine, food additives and supplements, and the biotechnology and cosmetic industry. ProtoKinetix is making rapid and meaningful progress in this domain by coordinating a team of world recognized intellectual talent in a networked environment. This team has been able to use previously published research on native antifreeze proteins and antifreeze glycoproteins as a guide to the expansion and development of markets for this valuable family of molecules.

The ProtoKinetix business plan is based primarily on the furtherance of certain intellectual property rights obtained by way of "sub-licenses" of technology from other companies. At present, although the Company has engaged the prestigious patent law firm of Cabinet-Moutard of Versailles, France, to file a number of international patent applications (consistent with our agreements with the licensors of various technologies we license), the Company itself has no finished commercial product or products, and has received no final patents awards or FDA approvals for any product or diagnostic procedures.

The Company currently has no full time employees. The Company operates with a skeletal management team headed by John Todd, M.D. In addition to Dr. Todd, the Company receives advice and counsel from its Scientific Advisory Board. A short biography of Dr. Todd may be found within this Form 10-KSB, and the biographies of other members of the ProtoKinetix Scientific Advisory Board may be found within the "About Us" section of the Company's website located at [www.protokinetix.com](http://www.protokinetix.com).

The Company is focused on the research and development of one primary compound which it has filed a trademark application for. This compound is called AFGP.

#### **AFGP Project**

The Company has undertaken is to develop and test synthetic antifreeze glycoproteins (AFGP).

ProtoKinetix has entered into agreements to acquire the exclusive right to develop products derived from patent pending technologies related to synthetic AFGPs. The ProtoKinetix intellectual property rights were developed by Dr. Jean-Charles Quirion.

#### **Intellectual Property**

As of the date of this report, although the Company's development agents, including the parties the Company has licensed AFGP technologies from, have applied to receive patents for technologies ProtoKinetix has licensed and continues to primarily base its research efforts on, no patents have been issued by a governmental or quasi-governmental agency. The references of applications that the Company has filed to date are PCT/IB2005/003940, filed on December 2, 2005 under the priority of the French patent application FR 0412782 which was filed on December 2, 2004.

Subject to our available financial resources, our intellectual property strategy is: (1) to pursue licenses, trade secrets, and know-how within the Company's primary research areas, and (2) to develop and acquire proprietary positions to reagents and new platforms for the development of products related to these technologies.

### **Trade Secrets and Know-How**

We believe that even if the Company's intellectual property position is ultimately diminished as a result of our development agents and licensors to receive patent protection for the licenses ProtoKinetix has contracted to access, we have developed a substantial body of trade secrets and know-how relating to the development of AAGP™, including but not limited to the optimization of materials for efforts, and how to maximize sensitivity, speed-to-result, specificity, stability and reproducibility.

### **Competition**

The markets that the Company is attempting to enter are multi-billion dollar international industries. They are intensely competitive. Many of our competitors (from every perspective) are substantially larger and have greater financial, research, manufacturing, and marketing resources.

Industry competition in general is based on the following:

- Scientific and technological capability;
  - Proprietary know-how;
- The ability to develop and market products and processes;
- The ability to obtain FDA or other required regulatory approvals;
- The ability to manufacture products that meet applicable FDA requirements, (i.e. FDA's Quality System Regulations) see Governmental Regulation section;
  - Access to adequate capital;
- The ability to attract and retain qualified personnel; and
  - The availability of patent protection.

We believe our scientific and technological capabilities are significant. Some of the results of our research are available at our website located at [www.protokinetix.com](http://www.protokinetix.com).

Our ability to develop our research is in large measure dependent on our having additional resources and/or collaborative relationships, particularly where we can have our product development efforts funded on a project or milestone basis. We believe that our know-how with our AFGP project, in spite of not yet receiving any patent protected rights, has been instrumental in our obtaining the collaborations we have developed.

Although there is no such immediate need to make any regulatory filing in the United States or abroad, one should know that we have limited experience with regard to obtaining FDA or other required regulatory approvals, and no experience with obtaining pre-marketing approval of a biologic product. (See "Governmental Regulation" for definition of pre-marketing approval.) For this reason, should our research efforts continue to show promise, we will likely need to hire consultants to assist the Company with such governmental regulations.

Our access to capital is more challenging, relative to most of our competitors. This is a competitive disadvantage. We believe however that our access to capital may increase as we get closer to the development of a commercially viable product.

To date, we believe our research has enabled us to attract and retain qualified consultants. Because of the greater financial resources of many of our competitors, we may not be able to complete effectively for the same individuals to the extent that a competitor uses its substantial resources to attract any such individuals.

As is discussed above, with respect to the availability of patent protection, we do not have our own portfolio of patents or the financial resources to develop and/or acquire a portfolio of patents similar to those of our larger competitors. We have been able to obtain access to patent-pending technology by entering into licensing arrangements. However, there can be no certainty that any of the patent-pending technologies we have licensed will ever receive final approval by any patent office.

### **Abandonment of the RECAF Project**

The Company has completed its evaluation of the existence on the RECAF receptor site. Validation trials were set up in order to determine the specificity of the RECAF receptor site with a view towards developing a therapeutic antibody to destroy the cancer target the super antibody binds to. These trials failed to provide the Company with the specificity required necessary to fund the development of a therapeutic hunter killer antibody. The Company continues to own the rights to both the Super Anti-Body and the catalytic antibody platform technologies. The Company will continue to search for a receptor site that exists only on cancer cells, as well as one that is patentable.

The Company is not currently directing significant resources towards the RECAF Antibody Project

### **Governmental Regulation**

As was discussed above, the Company currently has no commercially viable products. The below discussion relates to factors that may come into play *when and if* the Company has a commercially viable product.

All of the Company's research relates to products that are regulated by the European regulatory agencies, FDA, U.S. Department of Agriculture, certain state and local agencies, and/or comparable regulatory bodies in other countries (collectively, these agencies shall be referred to as the "Agencies"). Government regulation affects almost all aspects of development, production, and marketing, including product testing, authorizations to market, labeling, promotion, manufacturing, and record keeping. The FDA - and U.S. Department of Agriculture - regulated products require some form of action by that agency before they can be marketed in the United States, and, after approval or clearance, the Company must continue to comply with other FDA requirements applicable to marketed products. Both before and after approval or clearance, failure to comply with the FDA's requirements can lead to significant penalties.

The Company's proposed AAGP™ products may be regulated as medical devices and/or biologics. There are two review procedures by which medical devices can receive FDA clearance or approval. Some products may qualify for clearance under Section 510(k) of the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act, in which the manufacturer provides a pre-market notification that it intends to begin marketing the product, and shows that the product is substantially equivalent to another legally marketed product (i.e., that it has the same intended use and is as safe and effective as a legally marketed device and does not raise different questions of safety and effectiveness). In some cases, the submission must include data from human clinical studies. Marketing may commence when the FDA issues a clearance letter finding such substantial equivalence. An applicant must submit a 510(k) application at least 90 days before marketing of the affected product commences. Although FDA clearance may be granted within that 90-day period, in some cases as much as a year or more may be required before clearance is obtained, if at all.

If the medical device does not qualify for the 510(k) procedure (either because it is not substantially equivalent to a legally marketed device or because it is required by statute and the FDA's implementing regulations to have an approved application), the FDA must approve a pre-market approval application before marketing can begin. Pre-market approvals must demonstrate, among other matters, that the medical device provides a reasonable assurance of safety and effectiveness. A pre-market approval is typically a complex submission, including the results of preclinical and clinical studies. Preparing a pre-market approval is a detailed and time-consuming process. Once a pre-market approval has been submitted, the FDA is required to review the submission within a statutory period of time. However, the FDA's review may, and often is, much longer, often requiring one year or more, and may include requests for additional data.

Biologic products must be the subject of an approved biologics license application before they can be marketed. The FDA approval process for a biologic product is similar to the pre-market approval process, involving a demonstration of the product's safety and effectiveness based in part on both preclinical and clinical studies.

The Company's *proposed* AAGP™ products may be considered by FDA to be a biologic and will therefore be submitted to the biologics division of FDA, the Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research.

Every company that manufactures biologic products or medical devices distributed in the United States must comply with the FDA's Quality System Regulations. These regulations govern the manufacturing process, including design, manufacture, testing, release, packaging, distribution, documentation, and purchasing. Compliance with the Quality System Regulations is required before the FDA will approve an application, and these requirements also apply to marketed products. Companies are also subject to other post-market and general requirements, including compliance with restrictions imposed on marketed products, compliance with promotional standards, record keeping, and reporting of certain adverse reactions or events. The FDA regularly inspects companies to determine compliance with the Quality System Regulations and other post-approval requirements. Failure to comply with statutory requirements and the FDA's regulations can lead to substantial penalties, including monetary penalties, injunctions, product recalls, seizure of products, and criminal prosecution.

The Clinical Laboratory Improvement Act of 1988 prohibits laboratories from performing in vitro tests for the purpose of providing information for the diagnosis, prevention or treatment of any disease or impairment of, or the assessment of, the health of human beings unless there is in effect for such laboratories a certificate issued by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services applicable to the category of examination or procedure performed. Although a certificate is not required for ProtoKinetix, ProtoKinetix considers the applicability of the requirements of the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Act in the potential design and development of its products.

In addition, the FDA regulates the export of medical devices that have not been approved for marketing in the United States. The Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act contains general requirements for any medical device that may not be sold in the United States and is intended for export. Specifically, a medical device intended for export is not deemed to be adulterated or misbranded if the product: (1) accords to the specifications of the foreign purchaser; (2) is not in conflict with the laws of the country to which it is intended for export; (3) is labeled on the outside of the shipping package that it is intended for export; and (4) is not sold or offered for sale in the United States. Some medical devices face additional statutory requirements before they can be exported. If an unapproved device does not comply with an applicable performance standard or premarket approval requirement, is exempt from either such requirement because it is an investigational device, or is a banned device, the device may be deemed to be adulterated or misbranded unless the FDA has determined that exportation of the device is not contrary to the public health and safety and has the approval of the country to which it is intended for export. However, the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act does permit the export of devices to any country in the world, if the device complies with the laws of the importing country and has valid marketing authorization in one of several "listed" countries under the theory that these listed countries have sophisticated mechanisms for the review of medical devices for safety and effectiveness.



ProtoKinetix is also subject to regulations in foreign countries governing products, human clinical trials and marketing, and may need to obtain approval or evaluations by international public health agencies, such as the World Health Organization, in order to sell products in certain countries. Approval processes vary from country to country, and the length of time required for approval or to obtain other clearances may in some cases be longer than that required for U.S. governmental approvals. The extent of potentially adverse governmental regulation affecting ProtoKinetix that might arise from future legislative or administrative action cannot be predicted.

### **Environmental Laws**

To date, we have not encountered any costs relating to compliance with any environmental laws.

### **ITEM 2. DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY**

The Company does not own any real property. The Company is not currently paying a rental fee where it is located.

### **ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

There are currently no legal matters pending.

### **ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS**

A shareholder meeting was not held during fiscal year 2005.

---

**PART II****ITEM 5. MARKET FOR THE REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS**

Trades of our common stock are subject to Rule 15c-9 of the Securities and Exchange Commission, known as the Penny Stock Rule. This rule imposes requirements on broker/dealers who sell securities subject to the rule to persons other than established customers and accredited investors. For transactions covered by the rule, brokers/dealers must make a special suitability determination for purchasers of the securities and receive the purchaser's written agreement to the transaction prior to sale. The Securities and Exchange Commission also has rules that regulate broker/dealer practices in connection with transactions in "penny stocks." Penny stocks generally are equity securities with a price of less than \$5.00 (other than securities registered on certain national securities exchanges or quoted on the NASDAQ system, provided that current price and volume information with respect to transactions in that security is provided by the exchange or system). The Penny Stock Rules requires a broker/ dealer, prior to a transaction in a penny stock not otherwise exempt from the rules, to deliver a standardized risk disclosure document prepared by the Commission that provides information about penny stocks and the nature and level of risks in the penny stock market. The broker/dealer also must provide the customer with current bid and offer quotations for the penny stock, the compensation of the broker/dealer and its salesperson in the transaction, and monthly account statements showing the market value of each penny stock held in the customer's account. The bid and offer quotations, and the broker/dealer and salesperson compensation information, must be given to the customer orally or in writing prior to effecting the transaction and must be given to the customer in writing before or with the customer's confirmation. These disclosure requirements have the effect of reducing the level of trading activity in the secondary market for our common stock. As a result of these rules, investors may find it difficult to sell their shares.

The Company's Common Stock is quoted on the over-the-counter market and quoted on the National Association of Securities Dealers Electronic Bulletin Board ("OTC Bulletin Board") under the symbol "PKTX". The high and low bid prices for the Common Stock, as reported by the National Quotation Bureau, Inc., are indicated for the periods described below. Such prices are inter-dealer prices without retail markups, markdowns or commissions, and may not necessarily represent actual transactions.

<b>2004</b>	<b>Low</b>	<b>High</b>
As of March 31, 2004	\$.47	.55
As of June 30, 2004	.90	.98
As of September 30, 2004	.54	.62
As of December 31, 2004	.60	.70
<b>2005</b>	<b>Low</b>	<b>High</b>
As of March 31, 2005	\$.45	\$.55
As of June 30, 2005	.87	.94
As of September 30, 2005	.52	.58

As of December 31, 2005	.60	.63
-------------------------------	-----	-----

## Dividends

We have never paid cash dividends and have no plans to do so in the foreseeable future. Our future dividend policy will be determined by our board of directors and will depend upon a number of factors, including our financial condition and performance, our cash needs and expansion plans, income tax consequences, and the restrictions that applicable laws, our current preferred stock instruments, and our future credit arrangements may then impose.

Currently under Nevada law, a dividend may not be made by a corporation if, after giving it effect:

- the corporation would not be able to pay its debts as they become due in the usual course of business; or
- except as otherwise specifically allowed by the corporation's articles of incorporation, the corporation's total assets would be less than the sum of its total liabilities plus the amount that would be needed, if the corporation were to be dissolved at the time of distribution, to satisfy the preferential rights upon dissolution of stockholders whose preferential rights are superior to those receiving the distribution.

## Holdings

As of April 12, 2006, there were approximately 76 shareholders of record of the company's Common Stock.

As of April 12, 2006, the Company had 39,667,556 shares issued and outstanding. During the year ended December 31, 2005, the Company issued 12,507,991 new common shares. From January 1, 2006 through April 12, 2006 the Company issued 166,359 common shares.

## Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities; Use of Proceeds From Registered Securities

The previously filed Form 10-QSBs outline transactions related to new issuances for the first, second and third calendar quarters of 2005. Below is a table showing the number of newly issued shares by quarter:

Period	Number of Newly Issued Common Shares
First Quarter	2,000,000
Second Quarter	7,428,922
Third Quarter	147,344
Fourth Quarter	2,931,725
<b>Total</b>	<b>12,507,991</b>

There have been no sales of unregistered securities during calendar 2005 which would be required to be disclosed pursuant to Item 701 of Regulation S-B, except for the following:

On March 8, 2005 the Company issued a total of 2,000,000 common shares that were previously issueable, pursuant to a consulting/licensing agreement. These issuances were made in lieu of cash payments for services rendered and were considered exempt transactions under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

On April 4, 2005 the Company issued a total of 3,050,000 common shares pursuant to , of the exercise of prior issued Warrants. 3,450,000 issueable common shares represented by the issuance of 2,050,000 shares of common stock for warrants exercised and the issuance of 1,400,000 shares of common stock for stock subscriptions received. These issuances were considered exempt transactions under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

On April 5, 2005 the Company issued a total of 285,832 common shares to Thunderbird Global Corporation in consideration of the conversion of \$85,749.60 of the outstanding debentures Thunderbird Global Corporation holds. These issuances were considered exempt transactions under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

On April 30, 2005, the Company issued a total of 30,000 common shares pursuant to a due diligence fee agreement. These issuances were made in lieu of cash payments for services rendered and were considered exempt transactions under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

On May 9, 2005 the Company issued a total of 353,090 common shares to Thunderbird Global Corporation in consideration of the conversion of \$105,927 of the outstanding debentures Thunderbird Global Corporation holds. These issuances were considered exempt transactions under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

On May 10, 2005 the Company issued a total of 1,150,000 common shares pursuant to a consulting agreement. These issuances were made in lieu of cash payments for services rendered and were considered exempt transactions under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

On May 11, 2005 the Company issued a total of 1,200,000 common shares pursuant to a consulting agreement with Sedona West Investment Group, Inc. These issuances were made in lieu of cash payments for services rendered and were considered exempt transactions under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. The Company has cancelled these shares and is in the process of filing a complaint and a request for an order from a state court in Nevada against Sedona in order to have these shares returned to the Company treasury.

On May 20, 2005 the Company issued a total of 300,000 common shares pursuant to the exercise of outstanding options. These issuances were considered exempt transactions under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

On June 23, 2005 the Company issued a total of 810,000 common shares of which 775,000 were pursuant to consulting agreement.s, 725,000 of which were payable on May 10, 2005. The remaining 35,000 common shares were issued pursuant to the exercise of outstanding options. These issuances were made in lieu of cash payments for services rendered and were considered exempt transactions under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

On August 10, 2005 the Company issued a total of 36,233 common shares pursuant to a consulting agreement. These issuances were made in lieu of cash payments for services rendered and were considered exempt transactions under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

On August 25, 2005 the Company issued a total of 111,111 common shares pursuant to a consulting agreement. These issuances were made in lieu of cash payments for services rendered and were considered exempt transactions under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

On November 7, 2005 the Company issued a total of 1,000,000 common shares pursuant to a prior executed contract for AFGP License. These issuances were made in lieu of cash payments for services rendered and were considered exempt transactions under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

On November 15, 2005 the Company issued a total of 311,725 common shares pursuant to a consulting agreement. These issuances were made in lieu of cash payments for services rendered and were considered exempt transactions under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

On December 2, 2005 the Company issued a total of 400,000 common shares, of the 3,450,000 common shares which were issueable on April 4, 2005, pursuant to a consulting agreement.the exercise of prior issued Warrants. These issuances were made in lieu of cash payments for services rendered and were considered exempt transactions under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

On December 23 2005 the Company issued a total of 1,220,000 common shares pursuant to a consulting agreement. These issuances were made in lieu of cash payments for services rendered and were considered exempt transactions under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

There have been no sales of unregistered securities during calendar 2006 which would be required to be disclosed pursuant to Item 701 of Regulation S-B, except for the following:

On February 22, 2006 the company issued a total of 166,359 common shares pursuant to three consulting agreements. These issuances were made in lieu of cash payments for services rendered and were considered exempt transactions under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

### Warrants

On November 21, 2005, in lieu of payment for advisory services rendered to the Company, the Company issued the following parties warrants to purchase common shares of the Company's stock:

	No. of shares	Exercise Price	Date Exercised	Date Expired
Murdock Capital Partners	100,000	0.60	Not Exercised <sup>(1)</sup>	11/21/06
Murdock Capital Partners	100,000	0.58	Not Exercised <sup>(2)</sup>	11/21/06
Total	200,000			

<sup>(1)</sup> As of April 12, 2006 these warrants have not been exercised.

<sup>(2)</sup> As of April 12, 2006 these warrants have not been exercised.

### Disclosure Related to Form S-8 Issuances

Prior to issuing any common shares under Form S-8, the Company requests and receives an executed verification from all issuees stating that the issuee is a natural person and that: (a) the shares being issued are not being provided to create or sustain a market for the Company's securities, and (b) that the shares are not being issued as a part of a capital raising transaction. All consultants to the Company are required to provide work product as a part of and condition to their relationship with the Company. Consultant work product is delivered in accordance with the terms and conditions of each respective Consultants' agreement.



## **ITEM 6. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OR PLAN OF OPERATION**

This discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements and related notes. Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosure of any contingent liabilities at the financial statement date and reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. On an on-going basis we review our estimates and assumptions. Our estimates were based on our historical experience and other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results are likely to differ from those estimates under different assumptions or conditions, but we do not believe such differences will materially affect our financial position or results of operations. Our critical accounting policies, the policies we believe are most important to the presentation of our financial statements and require the most difficult, subjective and complex judgments, are outlined below in "Critical Accounting Policies," and have not changed significantly.

In addition, certain statements made in this report may constitute "forward-looking statements." These forward-looking statements involve known or unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause the actual results, performance, or achievements of the Company to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. Specifically, 1) our ability to obtain necessary regulatory approvals for our products; and 2) our ability to increase revenues and operating income, is dependent upon our ability to develop and sell our products, general economic conditions, and other factors. You can identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as "may," "will," "should," "expects," "intends," "plans," "anticipates," "believes," "estimates," "predicts," "potential," "continues" or the negative of these terms or other comparable terminology. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot guarantee future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements.

### **Critical Accounting Policies**

Our critical and significant accounting policies, including the assumptions and judgments underlying them, are disclosed in the Notes to the Financial Statements. These policies have been consistently applied in all material respects and address such matters as revenue recognition and depreciation methods. The preparation of the financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reported period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The accounting treatment of a particular transaction is specifically dictated by accounting principles, generally accepted in the United States of America, with no need for management's judgment in their application. There are also areas in which management's judgment in selecting any viable alternative would not produce a materially different result. See our audited financial statements and notes thereto which contain accounting policies and other disclosures required by accounting principles, generally accepted in the United States of America.

### **Overview**

ProtoKinetix is a biotechnical company headquartered in Vancouver, British Columbia that owns the world-wide rights to a family of synthetic anti-freeze glycoproteins (trademarked by the Company as AAGP™). The Company is dedicated to the commercial development of AAGP™ for use in human and veterinary medicine, food additives and supplements, and the biotechnology and cosmetic industry. ProtoKinetix is making rapid and meaningful progress in this domain by coordinating a team of world recognized intellectual talent in a networked environment. This team has

been able to use previously published research on native antifreeze proteins and antifreeze glycoproteins as a guide to the expansion and development of markets for this valuable family of molecules.

The ProtoKinetix business plan is based primarily on the furtherance of certain intellectual property rights obtained by way of "sub-licenses" of technology from other companies. At present, although the Company has engaged the prestigious patent law firm of Cabinet-Moutard of Versailles, France, to file a number of international patent applications (consistent with our agreements with the licensors of various technologies we license), the Company itself has no finished commercial product or products, and has received no final patents awards or FDA approvals for any product or diagnostic procedures.

The Company currently has no full time employees. The Company operates with a skeletal management team headed by John Todd, M.D. In addition to Dr. Todd, the Company receives advice and counsel from its Scientific Advisory Board. A short biography of Dr. Todd may be found within this Form 10-KSB, and the biographies of other members of the ProtoKinetix Scientific Advisory Board may be found within the "About Us" section of the Company's website located at [www.protokinetix.com](http://www.protokinetix.com).

The Company is focused on the research and development of one primary compound which it has filed a trademark application for. This compound is called AFGP.

### **Project**

The Company has undertaken is to develop and test synthetic antifreeze glycoproteins (AFGP).

ProtoKinetix has entered into agreements to acquire the exclusive right to develop products derived from patent pending technologies related to synthetic AFGPs. The ProtoKinetix intellectual property rights were developed by Dr. Jean-Charles Quirion.

### **Intellectual Property**

As of the date of this report, although the Company's development agents, including the parties the Company has licensed AFGP technologies from, have applied to receive patents for technologies ProtoKinetix has licensed and continues to primarily base it's research efforts on, no patents have issued by a governmental or quasi-governmental agency. The references of applications that the Company has filed to date are PCT/IB2005/003940, filed on December 2, 2005 under the priority of the French patent application FR 0412782 which was filed on December 2, 2004.

Subject to our available financial resources, our intellectual property strategy is: (1) to pursue licenses, trade secrets, and know-how within the Company's primary research areas, and (2) to develop and acquire proprietary positions to reagents and new platforms for the development of products related to these technologies.

### **Trade Secrets and Know-How**

We believe that even if the Company's intellectual property position is ultimately diminished as a result of our development agents and licensors to receive patent protection for the licenses ProtoKinetix has contracted to access, we have developed a substantial body of trade secrets and know-how relating to the development of AAGP™, including but not limited to the optimization of materials for efforts, and how to maximize sensitivity, speed-to-result, specificity, stability and reproducibility.

### **Competition**

The markets that the Company is attempted to enter are multi-billion dollar international industries. They are intensely competitive. Many of our competitors (from every perspective) are substantially larger and have greater financial,



research, manufacturing, and marketing resources.

Industry competition in general is based on the following:

- Scientific and technological capability;
  - Proprietary know-how;
  - The ability to develop and market products and processes;
- The ability to obtain FDA or other required regulatory approvals;
- The ability to manufacture products that meet applicable FDA requirements, (i.e. FDA's Quality System Regulations) see Governmental Regulation section;
  - Access to adequate capital;
  - The ability to attract and retain qualified personnel; and
  - The availability of patent protection.

We believe our scientific and technological capabilities are significant. Some of the results of our research are available at our website located at [www.protokinetix.com](http://www.protokinetix.com).

Our ability to develop our research is in large measure dependent on our having additional resources and/or collaborative relationships, particularly where we can have our product development efforts funded on a project or milestone basis. We believe that our know-how with our AFGP project, in spite of not yet receiving any patent protected rights, has been instrumental in our obtaining the collaborations we have developed.

Although there is no such immediate need to make any regulatory filing in the United States or abroad, one should know that we have limited experience with regard to obtaining FDA or other required regulatory approvals, and no experience with obtaining pre-marketing approval of a biologic product. (See "Governmental Regulation" for definition of pre-marketing approval.) For this reason, should our research efforts continue to show promise, we will likely need to hire consultants to assist the Company with such governmental regulations.

Our access to capital is more challenging, relative to most of our competitors. This is a competitive disadvantage. We believe however that our access to capital may increase as we get closer to the development of a commercially viable product.

To date, we believe our research has enabled us to attract and retain qualified consultants. Because of the greater financial resources of many of our competitors, we may not be able to complete effectively for the same individuals to the extent that a competitor uses its substantial resources to attract any such individuals.

As is discussed above, with respect to the availability of patent protection, we do not have our own portfolio of patents or the financial resources to develop and/or acquire a portfolio of patents similar to those of our larger competitors. We have been able to obtain access to patent-pending technology by entering into licensing arrangements. However, there can be no certainty that any of the patent-pending technologies we have licensed will ever receive final approval by any patent office.

### **AFGP Project**

The second project that the Company has undertaken is to develop and test synthetic antifreeze proteins (AFP) and antifreeze glycoproteins (AFGP).

ProtoKinetix has entered into agreements to acquire the exclusive right to develop products derived from patent pending technologies related to synthetic AFGPs. The ProtoKinetix intellectual property rights were developed by Dr. Jean-Charles Quirion.

As of the date of this report, although the Company's development agents, including the parties the Company has licensed AFGP technologies from, have applied to receive patents for technologies ProtoKinetix has licensed and continues to primarily base its research efforts on, no patents have issued by a governmental or quasi-governmental agency.

Below is a further discussion of the Company's AFGP Project:

One of many accomplishments from pioneering research of the U.S. Antarctic Program was the discovery, in the early sixties, that fish living year-long in subzero temperature are extremely resistant to freezing. The substances that prevent these fish from freezing were isolated, characterized and designated as antifreeze glycoproteins or AFGP. Over the years, various kinds of AFGP were isolated from many species of fishes, and in some amphibians, plants and insects. All of the AFGPs share a common characteristic that prevents ice crystals from growing and connecting to each other.

A review of the scientific literature will confirm that there has been a great deal of interest around the world in these natural antifreeze glycoproteins which are able to protect a great many creatures which are subjected to freezing temperatures. A further review will also confirm that the natural antifreeze is able to preserve mammalian cells tissue and organs. The metabolic rate in living cells is reduced as the temperature is lowered. Keeping cells and tissue at a low temperature enables their preservation for a longer time than cells can be preserved for at a higher temperature. Yet, when cells are exposed to sub zero temperatures, they are destroyed by the formation of ice crystals which disrupts the cell membrane.

Scientists have conducted many experiments in which they extracted naturally occurring AFGP from a variety of fish and then used these naturally occurring antifreeze glycoproteins to reduce the temperature at which ice crystals are formed. It has been determined in experiments by many scientists that mammalian cells in a solution containing natural AFGP could be successfully preserved at temperatures several degrees below zero C (see attached). At this temperature the metabolic rate of the cells is very low, and these cells can be preserved for a longer period of time at sub zero temperatures as long as the cells are not destroyed by the formation of ice crystals. However, until today, applications of AFGP were limited since researchers were unable to produce sufficient quantities or stable enough copies of these antifreeze glycoproteins for commercial applications, and the use of naturally occurring compounds extracted from fish is too labour and cost-intensive to be practical.

Researchers, headed by Dr. Jean Charles Quirion in Rouen, France have developed an innovative and patented chemical synthesis protocol for manufacturing and stabilizing AFGP molecules using a chemical bond that protects these compounds from degradation by naturally occurring enzymes. Dr. Quirion and his team have produced several synthetic antifreeze glycoproteins and have the ability to produce many more different types of these molecules. The synthetic AFGP which has been made has been tested and we were able to show:

- The molecules are stable down to a pH of 1.8
- There is no toxicity demonstrated in 2 separate trials
- The molecules tested have shown that they reduce the freezing point to minus 18 degrees celcius
- We have been able to preserve red cells at temperatures below zero Celcius using 1 mg per ml of the synthetic antifreeze

Current research is being conducted to confirm the efficacy of these chemically synthesized new molecules and applications are being sought for the use of the synthetic AFGP to prolong the shelf-life of human blood and blood products as well as for other cell types, live vaccines, tissue and organs. The market for the preservation of blood and blood products is very large, as is the market for the preservation of human and animal cells for research purposes. The subzero cryopreservation of organs using our synthetic AFGP will be a major milestone in transplantation medicine

ProtoKinetix will continue to conduct research on the synthetic AFGP which are being manufactured. This work will be conducted by government agencies as well as by contract with private laboratory facilities.

### **Expenses**

Expenses in 2005 arose primarily from professional and consulting fees. Our expenses in 2005 were \$4,828,540 which consisted of \$333,186 in professional legal and accounting expenses. We operate the company by hiring outside consultants to assist us with management, strategic planning, organization and daily operations. We also incurred professional consulting fees of \$3,915,676. These professional consulting services related to marketing, product and market research and development and investment banking services including financing, capitalization and merger opportunities.

### **Plan of Operation**

Our current operations are centered around the Company's relationships with various research and development consultants who are conducting research on behalf of the company at discrete and established laboratories in various parts of the world. The Company intends to continue these efforts throughout 2006.

### **Sales and Marketing**

The Company is currently not selling or marketing any products.

### **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

At December 31, 2005, we had \$96,571 in cash and \$109,310 in total current assets. As of the date of this report, we require additional capital investments or borrowed funds to meet cash flow projections and carry forward our business objectives. There can be no assurance that we will be able to raise capital from outside sources in sufficient amounts to fund our new business.

The failure to secure adequate outside funding would have an adverse affect on our plan of operation and results therefrom and a corresponding negative impact on shareholder liquidity.

### **Inflation**

Although management expects that our operations will be influenced by general economic conditions, we do not believe that inflation had a material effect on our results of operations during the year ending December 31, 2005.

### **Going Concern**

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles, which contemplate continuation of the Company as a going concern. The history of losses and the inability for the Company to make a profit from selling a good or service has raised substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern.

In spite of the fact that the current cash obligations of the Company are relatively minimal, given the cash position of the Company, we have very little cash to operate.

We intend to fund the Company and attempt to meet corporate obligations by selling common stock. However the Company's common stock is at a low price and is not actively traded.

### **Results of Operations for the Year Ended December 31, 2005**

We had \$2,000 in net revenues.

We had a \$4,826,540 loss from operations for 2005.

Operating expenses were \$4,828,540 in 2005. These expenses were primarily incurred for professional fees, consulting services related to the operations of the Company's business, specifically, research and development related expenses, and other general and administrative expenses.

## **ITEM 6A QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

We face exposure to fluctuations in the price of our common stock due to the very limited cash resources we have. For example, the Company has very limited resources to pay legal and accounting professionals. If we are unable to pay a legal or accounting professional in order to perform various professional services for the company, it may be difficult, if not impossible, for the Company to maintain its reporting status under the '34 Exchange Act. If the Company felt that it was likely that it would not be able to maintain its reporting status, it would make a disclosure by filing a Form 8-K with the SEC. In any case, if the Company was not able to maintain its reporting status, it would become "delisted" and this would potentially cause an investor or an existing shareholder to lose all or part of his investment.

## **ITEM 7. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

The Consolidated Financial Statements and schedules that constitute Item 7 are attached at the end of this Annual Report on Form 10-KSB on the "F" pages.

## **ITEM 8. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE**

Not applicable.

## **ITEM 8A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

As of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company conducted an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of the principal executive officer and principal financial officer, of the Company's Chief Executive Officer and principal financial officer, we have evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act")). Based on this evaluation, the principal executive officer and principal financial officer, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that the Company's these disclosure controls and procedures are effective in timely alerting them to ensure that material information relating to the Company required to be disclosed by the Company in reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms. There was no change in the Company's internal controls over financial reporting during the Company's most recently completed fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting the Company's periodic SEC filings. There were no significant changes in our internal control over financial reporting that could significantly affect this control since our last fiscal quarter.

Disclosure controls and procedures are the controls and other procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported, within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file under the Exchange Act is accumulated and

communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

**ITEM 8B. OTHER INFORMATION**

Not applicable.

**PART III**

**ITEM 9. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, PROMOTERS AND CONTROL PERSONS; COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 16(A) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT**

As of April 12, 2006, the Company's current officers and directors consist of the following persons:

<b>Name</b>	<b>Age</b>	<b>Office</b>	<b>Since</b>
Dr. John Todd	61	Chairman of the Board, President, CEO and CFO	Inception
Mr. C. Fred Whittaker	63	Director	2005

Dr. John Todd

Dr. John Todd has held the position of Chairman and President of ProtoKinetix, Inc since July 2003. From 1999 to 2003 Dr. Todd was a visiting consultant at the BC Women's Hospital. Dr. Todd received his Doctor of Medicine from the University of Calgary in 1974.

C. Fred Whittaker

Mr. C. Fred Whittaker was elected to our Board of Directors in 2005. Mr. Whittaker has been in the accounting profession for over 40 years. Mr. Whittaker received his Chartered Accounting designation in 1967, and has worked for various accounting firms, including KPMG, as well as for himself at different times in the past. For the last 15 years, he has worked exclusively for Whittaker & Associates, a regional accounting firm which he founded located in Vancouver, British Columbia. Currently, Mr. Whittaker is a senior partner at the accounting firm of Whittaker & Associates and has been for the past 30 years.

**Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliances**

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), requires the Company's directors, executive officers and holders of more than 10% of the Company's common stock to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership of common stock and other equity securities of the Company. The Company believes that during the year ended December 31, 2005, its officers, directors and holders of more than 10% of the Company's common stock complied with all Section 16(a) filing requirements.

**Code of Ethics**

Effective March 31, 2006, our board of directors adopted the ProtoKinetix, Inc. Code of Business Conduct and Ethics. The board of directors believes that our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics provides standards that are reasonably designed to deter wrongdoing and to promote the following: (1) honest and ethical conduct, including the ethical

handling of actual or apparent conflicts of interest between personal and professional relationships; (2) full, fair, accurate, timely, and understandable disclosure in reports and documents that we file with, or submits to, the Securities and Exchange Commission; (3) compliance with applicable governmental laws, rules and regulations; the prompt internal reporting of violations of the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics to an appropriate person or persons; and (4) accountability for adherence to the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics.

### Identification of Audit Committee; Audit Committee Financial Expert

The Company currently does not have an audit committee and has not made a determination of whether there is a financial expert. The Company plans to establish an audit committee during the third quarter of the current fiscal year.

### ITEM 10. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The following table summarizes the annual compensation paid to ProtoKinetix's named executive officers for the two years ended December 31, 2005, and 2004:

Name and Position	Year	Annual Compensation			Long-Term Compensation		
		Salary	Bonus	Other Annual Compensation	Restricted Stock Awards (\$)	Common Shares Underlying Options Granted (# Shares)	All Other Compensation
Dr. John Todd	2005	\$0	-0-	-0-	-0-	-----	-0-
<i>President, Chief Executive Officer and Director</i>	2004	0	-0-	-0-	-0-	-----	-0-
Mr. C. Fred Whittaker	2005	\$0	-0-	-0-	-0-	-----	-0-
<i>Director And Director</i>	2004	0	-0-	-0-	-0-	-----	-0-

### Options/SAR Grants in the Last Fiscal Year

N/A

### Employment Agreements

None

**Chief Executives Officer's compensation**

During fiscal year 2005, Dr. John Todd did not draw a salary nor did the Company accrue a salary for any obligation.

**Compensation of Directors**

Directors receive no remuneration for their services as directors at this time. The Company has adopted no retirement, pension, profit sharing or other similar programs.

**ITEM 11. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS**

The following table sets forth certain information regarding the beneficial ownership of the Company's Common Stock as of December 31, 2005 based on information available to the Company by (i) each person who is known by the Company to own more than 5% of the outstanding Common Stock based upon reports filed by such persons within the Securities and Exchange Commission; (ii) each of the Company's directors; (iii) each of the Named Executive Officers; and (iv) all officers and directors of the Company as a group.

<b>Name and Address</b>	<b>Shares Beneficially Owned</b>	<b>Percent of Class</b>
Dr. John Todd <sup>(2)</sup>	3,130,000 <sup>(1)</sup>	.076%
Mr. C. Fred Whittaker <sup>(3)</sup>	120,000	.002%
Centrum Bank AG <sup>(4)</sup>	4,668,780	.113%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>7,918,780</b>	<b>.193%</b>

<sup>(1)</sup> This amount includes 400,000 shares beneficially owned J.D. Todd Medical Inc.

<sup>(2)</sup> The address is 1500-885 Georgia Street, Vancouver, BC V6C 3E8 Canada

<sup>(3)</sup> The address is 1500-885 Georgia Street, Vancouver, BC V6C 3E8 Canada

<sup>(4)</sup> The address is Kirchstrasse 3, 9490 Vaduz Liechtenstein

A person is deemed to be the beneficial owner of securities that can be acquired by such person within 60 days from the date of the registration statement upon the exercise of options or warrants. Each beneficial owner's percentage ownership is determined by assuming that options or warrants that are held by such person and which are exercisable within 60 days of the date of this registration statement have been exercised. Unless otherwise indicated, the company believes that all persons named in the table have voting and investment power with respect to all shares of common stock beneficially owned by them.

**ITEM 12. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS**

N/A

## ITEM 13. EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

(a) Exhibits.

3.1.i Certificate of Incorporation filed as an exhibit to the Company's registration statement on Form 10SB/A filed on July 24, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference.

3.1.ii By-Laws filed as an exhibit to the Company's registration statement on Form 10SB/A filed on July 24, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference.

14.1 ProtoKinetix, Inc. Code of Ethics attached.

23.1 Consent of Experts and Counsel attached.

31.1 Rule 13a-12(a)/15d-14(a) Certifications attached.

32.1 Section 1350 Certifications attached.

Exhibit #	Description
3.1(i)	Certificate of Incorporation filed as an exhibit to the Company's registration statement on Form 10-SB/A filed on July 24, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference.
3.1(ii)	By-Laws filed as an exhibit to the Company's registration statement on Form 10-SB/A filed on July 24, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference.
14.1	ProtoKinetix, Inc. Code of Ethics (Attached)
23.1	Consent of Experts (Attached)
31.1	Rule 13a-12(a)/15d-14(a) Certification (Attached).
32.1	Section 1350 Certification attached.

## ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

### Audit Fees

For the years ended December 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004, Peterson Sullivan PLLC, the Company's principal accountants, billed the Company \$30,292 and \$27,403, respectively, for fees for the audit of the Company's annual financial statements and review of financial statements included in the Company's Forms 10-QSB.

### Audit-Related Fees

For the years ended December 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004, Peterson Sullivan PLLC did not provide the Company with any assurances or related services reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of the Company's financial statements and are not reported above under "Audit Fees."



**Tax Fees**

For the years ended December 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004, Peterson Sullivan PLLC did not bill for professional services for tax compliance, tax advice, and tax planning.

**All Other Fees**

For the years ended December 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004, Peterson Sullivan PLLC did not bill the Company for fees associated with the preparation and filing of the Company's registration statements, the creation of pro forma financial statements and other related matters.

**Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policies**

The Company currently does not have an audit committee. The Company's Board of Directors currently approves in advance all audit and non-audit related services performed by the Company's principal accountants.

---

**SIGNATURES**

In accordance with Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, the registrant has caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

**PROTOKINETIX, INC.**

Date: April 17, 2007

By: /s/ Dr. John Todd  
Dr. John Todd  
*President, CEO and CFO*

In accordance with the requirements of the Exchange Act, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signatures	Title	Date
/s/Dr. John Todd Dr. John Todd	Chief Executive Officer, President, Chief Financial Officer and Chairman Of The Board	April 17, 2007



**PROTOKINETIX, INCORPORATED**  
**(A Development Stage Company)**

FINANCIAL REPORT

DECEMBER 31, 2005

---

**C O N T E N T S**

**REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

**FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

BALANCE SHEET

STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

---

**REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders  
Protokinetix, Incorporated

We have audited the accompanying balance sheet of Protokinetix, Incorporated (a development stage company) as of December 31, 2005, and the related statements of operations, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, and for the period from December 23, 1999 (date of inception) through December 31, 2005. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company has determined that it is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Protokinetix, Incorporated (a development stage company) as of December 31, 2005, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, and for the period from December 23, 1999 (date of inception) through December 31, 2005, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 1 to the financial statements, the Company has not generated revenues or positive cash flows from operations and has an accumulated deficit at December 31, 2005. These conditions raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plan regarding those matters is also described in Note 1. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

As discussed in Note 2, the accompanying financial statements as of December 31, 2005, and for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, and for the period from December 23, 1999 (date of inception) through December 31, 2005, have been restated.

/S/ PETERSON SULLIVAN PLLC

March 27, 2006, except as it relates to the restatement described in Note 2, for which the date is April 11, 2007  
Seattle, Washington



**PROTOKINETIX, INCORPORATED**

(A Development Stage Company)

***BALANCE SHEET***

December 31, 2005

(Restated)

ASSETS	
Current Asset	
Cash	\$ 96,571
Accounts receivable	6,539
Prepaid expenses	6,200
Total current assets	109,310
Computer Equipment, net	2,461
	\$ 111,771
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	
Current Liabilities	
Due to outside management consultants	\$ 306,892
Accounts payable	31,087
Accrued interest	36,294
Total current liabilities	374,273
Convertible Note Payable	123,323
Total liabilities	497,596
Stockholders' Equity	
Common stock, \$.0000053 par value; 100,000,000 common	
shares authorized; 40,801,197 shares issued and outstanding	220
Common stock issuable; 608,375 shares	6
Additional paid-in capital	14,503,079
Deficit accumulated during the development stage	(14,889,130)
	(385,825)
	\$ 111,771

*See Notes to Financial Statements*



**PROTOKINETIX, INCORPORATED**

(A Development Stage Company)

**STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

For the Years Ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, and for the  
 Period from December 23, 1999 (Date of Inception) to December 31, 2005  
 (Restated)

	2005	2004	Cumulative During the Development Stage
Revenues	\$ 2,000	\$ -	\$ 2,000
Expenses			
Licenses, as restated		979,756	3,379,756
Professional fees	333,186	1,573,933	2,426,693
Consulting fees	3,915,676	3,460,613	8,037,679
Research and development	410,650	209,532	620,182
General and administrative	155,835	121,096	347,061
Interest	13,193	23,100	36,293
	4,828,540	6,368,030	14,847,664
Loss from continuing operations, as restated	(4,826,540)	(6,368,030)	(14,845,664)
Discontinued Operations			
Loss from operations of the discontinued segment			(43,466)
Net loss, as restated	\$ (4,826,540)	\$ (6,368,030)	\$ (14,889,130)
Net Loss per Common Share (basic and fully diluted), as restated	\$ (0.13)	\$ (0.21)	
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding	38,598,215	29,941,359	

See Notes to Financial Statements

**PROTOKINETIX, INCORPORATED**

(A Development Stage Company)

**STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY**

For the Years Ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, and for the  
 Period from December 23, 1999 (Date of Inception) to December 31, 2005  
 (Restated)

	Common Stock		Common Stock		Additional Paid-in Capital	Stock Subscriptions Receivable	Deficit	
			Issuable				During the Development Stage	Total
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount				
Issuance of common stock, December 1999	9,375,000	\$ 50	-	\$ -	\$ 4,950	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5,000
Net loss for period							(35)	(35)
Balance, December 31, 2000	9,375,000	50	-	-	4,950		(35)	4,965
Issuance of common stock, April 2001	5,718,750	30			15,220			15,250
Net loss for year							(16,902)	(16,902)
Balance, December 31, 2001	15,093,750	80	-	-	20,170		(16,937)	3,313
Net loss for year							(14,878)	(14,878)
Balance, December 31, 2002	15,093,750	80	-	-	20,170		(31,815)	(11,565)
Issuance of common stock for services:								
July 2003	2,125,000	11			424,989			425,000
August 2003	300,000	2			14,998			15,000
September 2003	1,000,000	5			49,995			50,000
October 2003	1,550,000	8			619,992			620,000
Issuance of common stock for licensing rights	14,000,000	74			2,099,926			2,100,000
Common stock issuable for licensing rights			2,000,000	11	299,989			300,000
	(9,325,000)	(49)			49			-

Edgar Filing: ProtoKinetix, Inc. - Form 10KSB/A

Shares cancelled on September 30, 2003									
Net loss for year, as restated							(3,662,745)	(3,662,745)	
Balance, December 31, 2003, as restated	24,743,750	131	2,000,000	11	3,530,108	-	(3,694,560)	(164,310)	
Issuance of common stock for services:									
March 2004	1,652,300	9			991,371			991,380	
May 2004	500,000	3			514,997			515,000	
July 2004	159,756	1			119,694			119,695	
August 2004	100,000	1			70,999			71,000	
October 2004	732,400	4			479,996			480,000	
November 2004	650,000	4			454,996			455,000	
December 2004	255,000	1			164,425			164,426	
Common stock issuable for AFGP license			1,000,000	5	709,995			710,000	
Common stock issuable for Recaf license			400,000	2	223,998			224,000	
Warrants granted (for 3,450,000 shares) for services,									
October 2004					1,716,253			1,716,253	
Options granted for services, October 2004					212,734			212,734	
Stock subscriptions receivable			1,800,000	10	329,990	(330,000)		-	
Warrants exercised:									
August 2004			50,000		15,000			15,000	
October 2004			600,000	3	134,997			135,000	
December 2004			1,000,000	5	224,995			225,000	
Options exercised, December 2004			100,000	1	29,999			30,000	
Net loss for period, as restated							-	(6,368,030)	(6,368,030)
Balance, December 31, 2004, as	28,793,206	\$ 154	6,950,000	\$ 37	\$ 9,924,547	(330,000)	\$ \$(10,062,590)	\$ \$(467,852)	

restated

See Notes to Financial Statements

---

**PROTOKINETIX, INCORPORATED**

(A Development Stage Company)

**STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY**

(Continued)

For the Years Ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, and for the  
 Period from December 23, 1999 (Date of Inception) to December 31, 2005  
 (Restated)

	Common Stock		Common Stock		Additional Paid-in Capital	Stock Subscriptions Receivable	Deficit	Total
			Issuable				Accumulated	
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount			During the Development Stage	
Balance, December 31, 2004	28,793,206	\$ 154	6,950,000	\$ 37	\$ 9,924,547	(330,000)	\$(10,062,590)	\$ (467,852)
Issuance of common stock for stock subscriptions received						240,000		240,000
Issuance of common stock for licensing rights	2,000,000	11	(2,000,000)	(11)				-
Issuance of stock for warrants exercised	2,050,000	10	(2,050,000)	(10)				-
Options exercised, February 2005			35,000	1	10,499			10,500
May 2005	200,000	1			59,999			60,000
Note payable conversion, February 2005			285,832	1	85,749			85,750
Issuance of common stock for note payable conversion April 2005	285,832	1	(285,832)	(1)				-
May 2005	353,090	2			105,925			105,927
Issuance of common stock for AFGP license	1,000,000	5	(1,000,000)	(5)				-
Issuance of common stock for stock subscriptions received	1,400,000	6	(1,400,000)	(6)		90,000		90,000
	135,000	2	(135,000)	(2)				-

Issuance of stock for options exercised									
Issuance of common stock for services:									
April 2005	30,000	1			14,999				15,000
May 2005	3,075,000	15			3,320,985				3,321,000
June 2005	50,000	1			50,499				50,500
August 2005	111,111	1	(92,593)	(1)	15,000				15,000
October 2005	36,233	1	(36,233)	(1)					-
November 2005	311,725	2	(245,000)	(1)	36,249				36,250
December 2005	1,220,000	8			756,392				756,400
Common stock canceled;									
August 2005	(250,000)	(1)			(257,499)				(257,500)
Common stock issuable for services rendered									
June 2005			200,000	1	149,999				150,000
August 2005			36,233	1	21,739				21,740
September 2005			125,000	1	74,999				75,000
September 2005 (Proteocell)			100,000	1	57,999				58,000
December 2005			120,968	1	74,999				75,000
Net loss for the year, as restated							(4,826,540)	(4,826,540)	
Balance, December 31, 2005, as restated									
	40,801,197	\$ 220	608,375	\$ 6	14,503,079	\$ -	\$(14,889,130)	\$ (385,825)	

See Notes to Financial Statements

**PROTOKINETIX, INCORPORATED**

(A Development Stage Company)

**STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

For the Years Ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, and for the  
Period from December 23, 1999 (Date of Inception) to December 31, 2005  
(Restated)

	2005	2004	Cumulative During the Development Stage
<b>Cash Flows from Operating Activities</b>			
	\$	\$	\$
Net loss for period, as restated	(4,826,540)	(6,368,030)	(14,889,130)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash			
used in operating activities			
Depreciation expense	674	253	927
Issuance of common stock for services			
and expenses	4,316,390	3,730,501	11,556,891
Warrants issued for consulting services		1,716,253	1,716,253
Stock options issued for consulting services		212,734	212,734
Changes in operating assets and liabilities			
Accounts receivable	(6,539)		(6,539)
Prepaid expenses	(6,200)		(6,200)
Due to outside management consultants	(86,958)	270,984	306,892
Accounts payable	10,199	(20,660)	31,087
Accrued interest payable	13,194	23,100	36,294
Net cash used in operating activities	(585,780)	(434,865)	(1,040,791)
<b>Net Cash Used in Investing Activity</b>			
Purchase of computer equipment	(1,705)	(1,683)	(3,388)
<b>Cash Flows from Financing Activities</b>			
Warrants exercised	330,000	375,000	705,000
Stock options exercised	70,500	30,000	100,500
Issuance of common stock for cash			20,250
Proceeds from convertible note		315,000	315,000
Net cash provided by financing	400,500	720,000	1,140,750

Edgar Filing: ProtoKinetix, Inc. - Form 10KSB/A

activities			
Net change in cash	(186,985)	283,452	96,571
Cash, beginning of year	283,556	104	
Cash, end of year	\$ 96,571	\$ 283,556	\$ 96,571
Cash paid for interest	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Cash paid for income taxes	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Supplementary Information -			
Non-cash Transactions:			
Common stock issuable for acquisition of licenses	\$ -	\$ 934,000	\$ 934,000
Stock subscriptions received		330,000	330,000
Note payable converted to common stock	191,677		191,677

See Notes to Financial Statements



## NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

### Note 1. The Company and Significant Accounting Policies

#### **Organization**

ProtoKinetix, Incorporated (the "Company"), a development stage company, was incorporated under the laws of the State of Nevada on December 23, 1999. The Company is a medical research company whose mission is the advancement of human health care.

In 2003, the Company entered into an assignment of license agreement (the "Agreement") with BioKinetix, Inc., an Alberta, Canada, corporation. The Agreement provided the Company with an exclusive assignment of all of the rights (the "Rights") that BioKinetix possessed relating to proprietary technologies that are being developed for the creation and commercialization of "superantibodies," an enhancement of antibody technology that makes ordinary antibodies much more lethal. In consideration, the Company's Board of Directors authorized the Company to issue 16,000,000 shares of its common stock to the shareholders of BioKinetix.

The Company is also currently researching the benefits and feasibility of proprietary synthesized Antifreeze Glycoproteins ("AFGP"). In preliminary studies, AFGP has demonstrated an ability to protect and preserve human cells at temperatures below freezing.

#### **Going Concern**

As shown in the financial statements, the Company has not developed a commercially viable product, has not generated any significant revenue to date, and has incurred losses since inception, resulting in a net accumulated deficit at September 30, 2005. These factors raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern.

The Company needs additional working capital to continue its medical research or to be successful in any future business activities and continue to pay its liabilities. Therefore, continuation of the Company as a going concern is dependent upon obtaining the additional working capital necessary to accomplish its objective. Management is presently engaged in seeking additional working capital.

The accompanying financial statements do not include any adjustments to the recorded assets or liabilities that might be necessary should the Company fail in any of the above objectives and is unable to operate for the coming year.

#### **Cash**

Cash consists of funds held in checking accounts. Cash balances may exceed federally insured limits from time to time.

#### **Accounts Receivable**

Receivables consist of cost advances and \$2,000 due from a veterinary center that purchased the Company's AFGP product for research.

#### **Computer Equipment**

Computer equipment is stated at cost and is depreciated using straight-line methods over the estimated useful lives.

### **Due to Outside Management Consultants**

The Company's offices are currently provided by outside management consultants and costs are allocated to the Company. The amounts due are unsecured, bear no interest and are due on demand.

### **Convertible Note Payable**

On February 1, 2004, the Company executed a subscription agreement under which the Company issued to a corporation an 8% secured convertible note in exchange for \$315,000. The note was due February 1, 2006, and is convertible into shares of the Company's common stock at the lower of \$.30 per share or 70% of the average of the three lowest trading prices for the 30 days prior to the conversion date. No beneficial conversion feature was applicable to this convertible note.

### **Fair Value of Financial Instruments**

Financial instruments consist of cash, accounts receivable, due to outside management consultants, accounts payable, accrued interest and convertible note payable. The fair value of these financial instruments approximates the carrying amounts due to the short-term nature.

### **Revenue Recognition**

The Company recognizes revenue when a sale is made, the fee is fixed or determinable, collectibility is probable and no significant company obligations remain.

### **Income Taxes**

The Company accounts for income taxes under an asset and liability approach that requires the recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities for expected future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in the Company's financial statements or tax returns. In estimating future tax consequences, the Company generally considers all expected future events other than enactments of changes in the tax laws or rates.

### **Research and Development Costs**

Research and development costs are expensed as incurred.

### **Earnings per Share and Potentially Dilutive Securities**

Basic loss per share is computed by dividing the net loss available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding in the period. The Company's stock split 1:75 on August 24, 2001. In April 2002, the Board of Directors approved a 2.5 for 1 split of the Company's stock. The accompanying financial statements are presented on a post-split basis. The loss per share for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, have been adjusted accordingly. Diluted loss per share takes into consideration common shares outstanding (computed under basic earnings per share) and potentially dilutive securities. The effect of debt convertible into common shares was not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share for all periods presented because it was anti-dilutive due to the Company's losses. Common stock issuable is considered outstanding as of the original approval date for purposes of earnings per share computations.

There are 200,000 warrants outstanding of which 100,000 are exercisable at \$0.60 per share and 100,000 are exercisable at \$0.58 per share; all with an expiration date of November 21, 2006.

### **Stock-Based Compensation**

The Company has a stock-based equity incentive plan, which is described more fully in Note 4. The Company accounts for the plan under the recognition and measurement principles of APB Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," and related interpretations. No stock-based employee compensation cost is reflected in the net loss when options granted under the plan have an exercise price equal to or greater than the market value of the underlying common stock on the date of grant. No options have been granted to employees under the plan, therefore no reconciliation is provided of the effects on net loss in applying the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation." Compensation for stock options and warrants to purchase stock granted to non-employees is measured using the Black-Scholes valuation model at the date of grant multiplied by the number of options or warrants granted. The issuance of common shares for services is recorded at the quoted price of the shares on the date the services are rendered.

### **Estimates**

The preparation of these financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of these financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the period. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

### **Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

SFAS No. 151, "Inventory Costs," is effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2005. This Statement amends the guidance in APB No. 43, Chapter 4, "Inventory Pricing," to clarify the accounting for abnormal amounts of idle facility expense, freight, handling costs, and wasted material (spoilage). The adoption of SFAS No. 151 is expected to have no impact on the Company's financial statements.

SFAS No. 152, "Accounting for Real Estate Time-Sharing Transactions," is effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2005. This Statement amends SFAS No. 66, "Accounting for Sales of Real Estate," to reference the financial accounting and reporting guidance for real estate time-sharing transactions that is provided in AICPA Statement of Position 04-2, "Accounting for Real Estate Time-Sharing Transactions." The adoption of SFAS No. 152 is expected to have no impact on the Company's financial statements.

SFAS No. 123(R), "Share-Based Payment," replaces SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," and supersedes APB Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees." This Statement requires that the compensation cost relating to share-based payment transactions be recognized at fair value in the financial statements. The Company is required to apply this statement in the first interim period that begins after December 15, 2005. The Company is currently researching the effect of SFAS No. 123(R) on the financial statements.

SFAS No. 153, "Exchanges of Nonmonetary Assets - an amendment of APB Opinion No. 29," is effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2005. This Statement addresses the measurement of exchange of nonmonetary assets and eliminates the exception from fair value measurement for nonmonetary exchanges of similar productive assets in paragraph 21(b) of APB Opinion No. 29, "Accounting for Nonmonetary Transactions," and replaces it with an exception for exchanges that do not have commercial substance. The adoption of SFAS No. 153 is expected to have no impact on the Company's financial statements.

The EITF reached consensus on Issue No. 03-1, "The Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and Its Application to Certain Investments," which provides guidance on determining when an investment is considered impaired, whether that impairment is other than temporary, and the measurement of an impairment loss. The FASB issued FSP EITF 03-1-1, "Effective Date of Paragraphs 10-20 of EITF Issue No. 03-1," "The Meaning of

Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and Its Application to Certain Investments," which delays the effective date for the measurement and recognition criteria contained in EITF 03-1 until final application guidance is issued. The adoption of this consensus or FSP is expected to have no impact on the Company's financial statements.

SFAS No. 154, "Accounting Changes and Error Corrections," a replacement of APB No. 20, "Accounting Changes," and SFAS No. 3, "Reporting Accounting Changes in Interim Financial Statements." SFAS No. 154 changes the requirements for the accounting for and reporting of a change in accounting principle. Previously, most voluntary changes in accounting principles required recognition via a cumulative effect adjustment within net income of the period of the change. SFAS No. 154 requires retrospective application to prior periods' financial statements, unless it is impracticable to determine either the period-specific effects or the cumulative effect of the change. SFAS No. 154 is effective for accounting changes made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005; however, this Statement does not change the transition provisions of any existing accounting pronouncements. The Company is considering any effect of the adoption of SFAS No. 154 on the Company's financial statements.

In September 2005, the EITF reached consensus on Issue No. 05-08, "Income Tax Consequences of Issuing Convertible Debt with a Beneficial Conversion Feature." EITF 05-08 is effective for financial statements beginning in the first interim or annual reporting period beginning after December 15, 2005. The adoption of EITF 05-08 is expected to have no impact on the Company's financial statements.

In September 2005, the EITF reached consensus on Issue No. 05-02, "The Meaning of 'Conventional Convertible Debt Instrument' in EITF Issue No. 00-19, 'Accounting for Derivative Financial Instruments Indexed to, and Potentially Settled in, a Company's Own Stock.'" EITF 05-02 is effective for new instruments entered into and instruments modified in reporting periods beginning after June 29, 2005. The adoption of EITF 05-02 is expected to have no impact on the Company's financial statements.

In September 2005, the EITF reached consensus on Issue No. 05-07, "Accounting for Modifications to Conversion Options Embedded in Debt Instruments and Related Issues." EITF 05-07 is effective for future modifications of debt instruments beginning in the first interim or annual reporting period beginning after December 15, 2005. The adoption of EITF 05-07 is expected to have no impact on the Company's financial statements.

## **Note 2. Restatement**

During 2003 and 2004, the Company acquired license rights to proprietary medical research technologies, which were capitalized at the time of acquisition as intangible assets having indefinite lives. While the Company's management continues to believe the license rights are of probable future benefit to the Company in its continuing efforts to pursue the development of commercially viable products, it was appropriate for accounting purposes to expense the cost of the acquisition of the license rights. Accordingly, the accompanying financial statements have been restated to correct the error and recognize as expense the cost of those acquired license rights at the time of their acquisition.

During 2005, one of the acquired license rights was deemed to have had no remaining useful life and, accordingly, an impairment loss of \$269,756 was recognized. Because of the 2003 and 2004 restatements, this impairment expense is eliminated for 2005.

The effects of the restatement on the 2005 financial statements are as follows:

Intangible assets decreased by \$3,110,000 and the Accumulated Deficit increased by \$3,110,000. The impairment loss of \$269,756 was eliminated, decreasing the Loss from Operations and Net Loss by the same amount to (\$4,826,540) for each. The loss per share did not change from (\$0.13).

The effects of the restatement on the 2004 financial statements are as follows:

Expenses, specifically Licenses, increased by \$979,756 to \$979,756, increasing the Loss from Continuing Operations and the Net Loss by the same amount to (\$6,368,030) for each. The loss per share increased from (\$0.18) to (\$0.21).

For purposes of the Statement of Cash Flows, the Net Loss for the Period increased to (\$6,368,030) and the Issuance of Common Stock for Services and Expenses increased by \$979,756 to \$3,730,501 and the Acquisition of Intangible Assets for \$45,756 was eliminated.

The effect of the restatement on the amounts in the Cumulative During the Development Stage period are as follows:

Expenses, specifically Licenses, increased by \$3,379,756 to \$3,379,756, and the Impairment Loss of \$269,756 was eliminated, increasing total expenses by a net amount of \$3,110,000 to \$14,847,664. The Loss from Continuing Operations increased by \$3,110,000 to (\$14,845,664) and the Net Loss increased by \$3,110,000 to (\$14,889,130).

For purposes of the Statement of Cash Flows, the Net Loss for the Period increased to (\$14,889,130) and the Issuance of Common Stock for Services and Expenses increased by \$3,379,756 to \$11,556,891, and the Acquisition of Intangible Assets for \$45,756 was eliminated.

### Note 3. Income Taxes

The Company is liable for taxes in the United States. As of December 31, 2005, the Company did not have any income for tax purposes and therefore, no tax liability or expense has been recorded in these financial statements.

The Company has tax losses of approximately \$15,160,000 available to reduce future taxable income. The tax loss expires in years between 2022 and 2024.

The deferred tax asset associated with the tax loss carry forward is approximately \$5,154,000. The Company has provided a valuation allowance against the deferred tax asset. The valuation allowance increased by \$1,731,000 and \$2,282,000 for 2005 and 2004, respectively.

### Note 4. Discontinued Operations

In 2003, the Company signed the licensing agreement described in Note 1. This agreement changed the Company's business plan to that of a medical research company. Accordingly, the operating results related to the internet-based real estate listing segment have been presented as discontinued operations in these financial statements for all periods presented. There were no revenues for the years presented in losses from discontinued operations.

### Note 5. Stock-Based Compensation

In 2003, the Company adopted its 2003 and 2004 Stock Incentive Plans. Each plan provides for the issuance of incentive and non-qualified shares of the Company's stock to officers, directors, employees and non-employees. The Board of Directors determines the terms of the shares or options to be granted, including the number of shares or options, the exercise price, and the vesting schedule, if applicable. In 2004 and 2005, the Company issued common shares from both plans to non-employee consultants for services rendered as follows:

	2004	Number of Shares	Value per Share
March		1,652,300	\$0.60
May		500,000	\$1.03
July		159,756	\$0.75

Edgar Filing: ProtoKinetix, Inc. - Form 10KSB/A

August	100,000	\$0.71
October	732,400	\$0.65
November	650,000	\$0.70
December	255,000	\$0.65
Total 2004	4,049,456	

2005	Number of Shares	Value per Share
April	30,000	\$0.50
May	3,075,000	\$1.08
June	50,000	\$1.01
August	18,518	\$0.81
November	66,725	\$0.54
December	1,220,000	\$0.62
Total 2005	4,460,243	

In addition, during 2004 and 2005, the Company issued stock options to directors, advisors and consultants. A summary of the Company's outstanding stock options is as follows:

	Number of Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Outstanding at December 31, 2003	-	\$ -
Granted	400,000	\$0.30
Exercised	(100,000)	\$0.30
Outstanding at December 31, 2004	300,000	\$0.30
Granted		
Exercised	(235,000)	\$0.30
Forfeited	(65,000)	\$0.30
Outstanding at December 31, 2005	-	
Options exercisable at December 31, 2005	-	

The fair value of each option granted is estimated at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The assumptions used in calculating the fair value of the options granted were a risk-free interest rate of 4.75%, a one-year expected life (except for the options exercised for which a two-week expected life was used), volatility of

118% and a dividend yield of 0.0%.

**Note 6. Subsequent Event**

In 2006, the Company entered into letter agreements for a private placement offering raising a total of \$450,000 by issuing 900,000 shares of common stock at \$0.50 per share including warrants to purchase 450,000 shares of common stock. The warrants are exercisable at \$0.50 per share until February 1, 2007.

In February 2006, the Company issued 166,359 shares of common stock for consulting services.