

FULL HOUSE RESORTS INC
Form 10QSB
August 14, 2006
Table of Contents

U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-QSB

QUARTERLY REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2006.

OR

TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM _____ TO _____.

Commission File No. 1-32583

FULL HOUSE RESORTS, INC.

(Exact name of small business issuer as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

13-3391527
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

4670 S. Fort Apache Road
Suite 190

Las Vegas, Nevada
(Address of principal executive offices)

89147
(zip code)

(702) 221-7800

(Registrant's telephone number)

Check whether the issuer: (1) filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the past 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Edgar Filing: FULL HOUSE RESORTS INC - Form 10QSB

APPLICABLE ONLY TO CORPORATE ISSUERS

As of July 19, 2006, Registrant had 11,008,380 shares of its \$.0001 par value common stock outstanding.

Transitional Small Business Disclosure Format (check one) Yes No

Table of Contents

FULL HOUSE RESORTS, INC

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--|-------------|
| PART I. Financial Information | |
| Item 1. Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | |
| <u>Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2006, (unaudited) and December 31, 2005</u> | 3 |
| <u>Unaudited Statements of Operations for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2006 and 2005</u> | 4 |
| <u>Unaudited Statement of Stockholders' Equity For the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005</u> | 5 |
| <u>Unaudited Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005</u> | 6 |
| <u>Notes to Unaudited Financial Statements</u> | 7 |
| Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operation</u> | 10 |
| Item 3. <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 20 |
| PART II. Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 21 |
| Item 3. <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> | 21 |
| Item 4. <u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u> | 21 |
| Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u> | 22 |
| <u>Signatures</u> | 22 |

Table of Contents**FULL HOUSE RESORTS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

| | JUNE 30, 2006 (unaudited) | DECEMBER 31, 2005 |
|---|---------------------------------|----------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 820,745 | \$ 3,275,270 |
| Other | 295,066 | 118,810 |
| | 1,115,811 | 3,394,080 |
| Investment in unconsolidated joint venture | 562,413 | |
| Notes receivable, tribal governments | 5,578,419 | 4,268,529 |
| Land held for development | 3,988,832 | 3,988,832 |
| Contract rights, net of accumulated amortization | 5,165,344 | 5,087,752 |
| Deposits and other assets | 965,597 | 199,074 |
| | \$ 17,376,416 | \$ 16,938,267 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 132,617 | \$ 130,580 |
| Accrued expenses | 101,296 | 369,268 |
| Income tax payable | | 321,112 |
| | 233,913 | 820,960 |
| Note payable to co-venturer, including accrued interest | 2,710,277 | 2,619,773 |
| Deferred income tax liability | 79,176 | 124,807 |
| Other long-term liabilities | 272,137 | 272,137 |
| | 3,061,590 | 3,016,717 |
| Non-controlling interest in consolidated joint venture | 2,080,579 | 2,098,628 |
| Stockholders equity: | | |
| Cumulative preferred stock, par value \$.0001, 5,000,000 shares authorized; 700,000 shares issued and outstanding; aggregate liquidation preference of \$5,040,000 and \$4,987,500 including undeclared dividends in arrears of \$2,940,000 and \$2,887,500 | 70 | 70 |
| Common stock, par value \$.0001, 25,000,000 shares authorized; 11,008,380 shares issued and outstanding | 1,101 | 1,034 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 19,607,302 | 17,429,889 |
| Deferred compensation | (1,616,113) | |
| Deficit | (5,992,026) | (6,429,031) |
| | 12,000,334 | 11,001,962 |
| | \$ 17,376,416 | \$ 16,938,267 |

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**FULL HOUSE RESORTS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

| | THREE MONTHS | | SIX MONTHS | |
|--|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| | ENDED JUNE 30, 2006 | ENDED JUNE 30, 2005 | ENDED JUNE 30, 2006 | ENDED JUNE 30, 2005 |
| Equity in net income of unconsolidated joint venture | \$ 1,017,027 | \$ 1,031,217 | \$ 1,994,591 | \$ 1,888,554 |
| Operating costs and expenses | | | | |
| Project development costs | 133,386 | 354,100 | 432,024 | 764,172 |
| General and administrative | 1,288,677 | 572,190 | 1,696,183 | 999,906 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 19,321 | 22,606 | 37,539 | 48,376 |
| | 1,441,384 | 948,896 | 2,165,746 | 1,812,454 |
| Income (loss) from operations before unrealized gains on valuation of notes receivable, tribal governments and arbitration award, net | (424,357) | 82,321 | (171,155) | 76,100 |
| Unrealized gain on notes receivable, tribal governments | 490,557 | 20,409 | 717,749 | 25,577 |
| Arbitration award, net | | | | 848,393 |
| Income from operations | 66,200 | 102,730 | 546,594 | 950,070 |
| Other income (expense) | | | | |
| Interest and other income | 18,077 | 12,167 | 46,332 | 22,666 |
| Interest expense | (45,750) | (35,099) | (90,504) | (67,051) |
| Income before non-controlling interest in net (income) loss of consolidated joint venture and income taxes | 38,527 | 79,798 | 502,422 | 905,685 |
| Non-controlling interest in net (income) loss of consolidated joint venture | (23,296) | 324,860 | 18,049 | 457,143 |
| Income before income tax (provision) benefit | 15,231 | 404,658 | 520,471 | 1,362,828 |
| Income tax (provision) benefit | 134,382 | (213,535) | (83,466) | (557,776) |
| Net income | 149,614 | 191,123 | 437,005 | 805,052 |
| Less undeclared dividends on cumulative preferred stock | (52,500) | (52,500) | (105,000) | (105,000) |
| Net income applicable to common shares | \$ 97,114 | \$ 138,623 | \$ 332,005 | \$ 700,052 |
| Net income per common share | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.01 | \$ 0.01 | \$ 0.03 | \$ 0.07 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.01 | \$ 0.01 | \$ 0.03 | \$ 0.06 |
| Weighted-average number of common shares outstanding | | | | |
| Basic | 10,563,047 | 10,340,380 | 10,451,098 | 10,340,380 |
| Diluted | 11,287,551 | 10,340,380 | 11,179,336 | 11,131,289 |

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**FULL HOUSE RESORTS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY****SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2006 AND 2005**

| | Preferred stock | | Common stock | | Additional | | Deferred Compensation | Total Stockholders Equity |
|---|-----------------|--------|--------------|----------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| | Shares | Amount | Shares | Amount | Paid-In Capital | Deficit | | |
| Balances, January 1, 2006 | 700,000 | \$ 70 | 10,340,380 | \$ 1,034 | \$ 17,429,889 | \$ (6,429,031) | \$ | \$ 11,001,962 |
| Issuance of restricted stock grants | | | 668,000 | 67 | 2,177,413 | | (1,698,125) | 479,355 |
| Previously deferred share based compensation recognized | | | | | | | 82,012 | 82,012 |
| Net income | | | | | | 437,005 | | 437,005 |
| Balances, June 30, 2006 | 700,000 | \$ 70 | 11,008,380 | \$ 1,101 | \$ 19,607,302 | \$ (5,992,026) | \$ (1,616,113) | \$ 12,000,334 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | Preferred stock | | Common stock | | Additional | | Deferred Compensation | Total Stockholders Equity |
| | Shares | Amount | Shares | Amount | Paid-In Capital | Deficit | | |
| Balances, January 1, 2005 | 700,000 | \$ 70 | 10,340,380 | \$ 1,034 | \$ 17,429,889 | \$ (7,268,369) | \$ | \$ 10,162,624 |
| Net income | | | | | | 805,052 | | 805,052 |
| Balances, June 30, 2005 | 700,000 | \$ 70 | 10,340,380 | \$ 1,034 | \$ 17,429,889 | \$ (6,463,317) | \$ | \$ 10,967,676 |

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents**FULL HOUSE RESORTS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

| | SIX MONTHS ENDED | |
|---|-------------------------|--------------------|
| | JUNE 30, | |
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | \$ (647,790) | \$ 236,820 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Advances to tribal governments | (818,882) | (910,801) |
| Acquisition of contract rights and other assets | (110,893) | (202,773) |
| Repayments by co-venturer | 37,215 | |
| Deposits and other costs related to the Stockman's Casino acquisition | (863,972) | |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (1,756,532) | (1,113,574) |
| Cash flows used in financing activities: | | |
| Offering costs | (50,203) | |
| Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents | (2,454,525) | (876,754) |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 3,275,270 | 2,466,365 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$ 820,745 | \$ 1,589,611 |

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

FULL HOUSE RESORTS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The interim condensed consolidated financial statements of Full House Resorts, Inc. (the Company) included herein reflect all adjustments that are, in the opinion of management, necessary to present fairly the financial position and results of operations for the interim periods presented. Certain information normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America has been omitted pursuant to the interim financial information rules and regulations of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC).

These unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the annual audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2005, from which the balance sheet information as of December 31, 2005, was derived. Certain minor reclassifications to previously reported balances have been made to conform to the current period presentation. The results of operations for the period ended June 30, 2006, are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the year ending December 31, 2006.

2. SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION

Beginning January 1, 2006, the Company was required to adopt the Financial Accounting Standards Board's Statement of Financial Accounting Standard (SFAS) No. 123R, *Share-Based Payment* (SFAS 123R), to account for its stock-based compensation, and elected the modified prospective method of transition. However, since all outstanding stock options were fully vested as of January 1, 2006, the adoption of SFAS 123R did not have any effect on the Company's results of operations for the current quarter period of adoption.

On May 31, 2006 (the Grant date), the Company's stockholders approved the 2006 Incentive Compensation Plan (the Plan), authorizing the issuance of up to 1,100,000 restricted shares of the Company's common stock as incentive compensation to officers, directors and consultants. Also on the Grant date, Company's compensation committee approved the issuance of 668,000 shares of restricted stock pursuant to the Plan, valued at the closing price of the Company's stock (\$3.25), with no discount. Of the total shares granted, 145,500 vested on the Grant date and the remaining 522,500 are expected to vest through January 7, 2009 upon certain conditions including continuous service of the recipient. The unvested grants are viewed as a series of individual awards and the related share-based compensation expense has initially been recorded as deferred compensation expense, reported as a reduction of stockholder's equity, and will subsequently be amortized into compensation expense on a straight-line basis as services are provided over the vesting period. For the six months ended June 30, 2006, share-based compensation expense of \$554,887 is included general and administrative expenses.

3. INVESTMENT IN UNCONSOLIDATED JOINT VENTURE

The Company's investment in unconsolidated joint venture is comprised of a 50% ownership interest in Gaming Entertainment (Delaware), LLC (GED), a joint venture between the Company and Harrington Raceway Inc., carried on the equity method of accounting.

Table of Contents

Summary information for GED s operations is as follows:

| | Three months ended | | Six months ended | |
|-------------------------|--------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Management fee revenues | \$ 2,142,493 | \$ 2,193,917 | \$ 4,222,433 | \$ 4,091,152 |
| Net income | 2,034,051 | 2,062,433 | 3,989,181 | 3,844,373 |

4. NOTES RECEIVABLE, TRIBAL GOVERNMENTS

As of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, Full House has notes receivable from various tribal governments valued respectively, as follows:

| | June 30, | December 31, |
|---|--------------|--------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| Estimated fair value of notes receivable: | | |
| Michigan tribe | \$ 5,054,083 | \$ 4,038,427 |
| Other | 524,336 | 230,102 |
| | \$ 5,578,419 | \$ 4,268,529 |
| Contractual (face) value of notes | | |
| Michigan tribe | \$ 7,979,002 | \$ 8,243,344 |
| Other | 708,109 | 334,635 |
| | \$ 8,687,111 | \$ 8,577,979 |

Certain portions of the advances to or on behalf of the tribal governments are in dispute, the likely resolution of which has been considered in management s fair value estimates.

5. COMMITMENT AND CONTINGENCY

Casino acquisition. On April 6, 2006, the Company signed an agreement under which it has committed to acquire all of the outstanding shares of Stockman s Casino, Inc., d/b/a Stockman s Casino and Holiday Inn Express in Fallon, Nevada (Stockman s), for \$25.5 million in cash (subject to future upward adjustment if the operation exceeds certain financial targets during the 12 months prior to closing). The closing of the transaction is expected to occur in the first quarter of 2007, subject to the receipt of all necessary regulatory approvals.

The Company plans to use a combination of debt and equity financing in order to fund the proposed acquisition of Stockman s. Accordingly, on August 4, 2006, the Company filed a registration statement on Form SB-2 with the SEC for a secondary public offering of the Company s common stock, which registration statement is not yet effective and which contains additional information, and on July 6, 2006, the Company

Table of Contents

obtained a commitment from a bank for a \$16 million financing facility to be secured by the capital stock and assets of Stockman s. The facility will bear interest at a premium above LIBOR based on our leverage ratio and will require interest payments monthly in addition to semi-annual principal payments, which are currently expected to be \$533,333. Funding is subject to finalizing definitive loan documents, receipt of regulatory approvals, no material or adverse changes, review of financial performance and collateral prior to funding, proof of insurance and endorsement of title insurance policies. We expect to use approximately \$10 million from the equity offering to fund the balance of the Stockman s acquisition price. An estimated adjusted purchase price including acquisition costs can not be reasonably determined at this time.

Preferred stock dividend. Pursuant to an agreement with one of the holders of the Company s preferred stock, the Company has agreed to pay the accrued and unpaid dividends on the Company s total preferred stock from a portion of the net proceeds of the Company s aforementioned secondary public offering in the approximate amount of \$3 million. That agreement also provides for the holder to convert his shares to common stock on a one-for-one basis.

Environmental litigation. Litigation involving environmental issues in Michigan has been filed to prevent the Secretary of the Interior from taking the site for the Michigan project into trust, which in the event of an unfavorable outcome, might prevent or delay the completion of the Michigan project and realization of a portion of the Company s investment therein. The legal challenge is pending in federal district court in Washington, D.C. As a result, a final environmental impact statement (EIS) has been prepared. The Company anticipates the issuance of a formal acceptance from the Bureau of Indian Affairs of the EIS in the third quarter of 2006. However, the U.S. District Court for the D.C. District must also approve the EIS prior to the land being taken into trust by the Bureau of Indian Affairs.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operation.

Overview

Full House Resorts, Inc., a Delaware corporation, develops, manages and/or invests in gaming related opportunities. We continue to actively investigate, on our own and with partners, new business opportunities including commercial and tribal gaming operations. We seek to expand through acquiring, managing, or developing casinos in profitable markets. Currently, we are a 50% investor in Gaming Entertainment (Delaware), LLC, a joint venture with Harrington Raceway, Inc., which manages Midway Slots and Simulcast at the Delaware State Fairgrounds in Harrington, Delaware. Midway Slots has 1,580 gaming devices, a 450-seat buffet, a 50-seat diner, a gourmet steak house and an entertainment lounge area. Harrington Raceway has undertaken an expansion and remodeling of Midway Slots and Simulcast, for which ground breaking occurred in August 2006. When the expansion is completed, Midway will have 2,000 slot machines and improved food and beverage outlets.

We also have a management agreement with the Nottawaseppi Huron Band of Potawatomi Indians, referred to herein as the Michigan tribe, for the development and management of a casino resort in the Battle Creek, Michigan area, which is currently in the pre-development stage. The planned casino resort is expected to have more than 2,000 gaming devices. This management agreement is subject to approval by the National Indian Gaming Commission.

During 2005, we entered into agreements with the Nambé Pueblo of New Mexico and the Northern Cheyenne Tribe of Montana to develop and manage gaming casinos for each. Each management agreement is subject to approval by the National Indian Gaming Commission and the project site must be approved for gaming by appropriate officials in the Department of the Interior. The proposed site for the Nambé Pueblo project is on land that is held in trust for the tribe, has been determined suitable for gaming pursuant to the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act, and is not subject to any further approvals. The proposed site for the Northern Cheyenne Tribe project is on land, which, although it is already held in trust for the tribe, must be approved by the Secretary of the Interior in conjunction with the Governor of Montana pursuant to a process set forth in the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act. In 2005, legislative bills were introduced into committees of both the U.S. Senate and House of Representatives which, if passed into law in their current form, would impact the ability of the Northern Cheyenne Tribe to use its chosen site for gaming. These bills seek to limit or curtail so-called off-reservation gaming by Indian tribes. Section 20 of the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act requires that gaming by Indian tribes be conducted on land which was held in trust for the benefit of the tribe prior to October 17, 1988, the effective date of such act, unless one of several exceptions stated in Section 20 applies. The currently pending legislative bills, if passed and signed into law, would eliminate some of these exceptions and place added burdens on compliance with those that remain, making it more difficult, costly and time consuming for an Indian tribe to obtain permission to use such land for gaming.

In addition, during 2005, we were chosen by the Manuelito Chapter of the Navajo Nation as its designated gaming developer and manager. We have also been in discussions with other chapters of the Navajo Nation concerning development and management of gaming facilities for them. Several determinations must be made by the Tribal Council of the Navajo Nation before gaming can be developed on tribal lands, including whether the Nation as a whole, or individual chapters in particular, will be allowed to conduct gaming, where gaming facilities will be located and which management contractors may be approved.

On April 6, 2006, we signed a Stock Purchase Agreement under which we intend to acquire all of the outstanding shares of capital stock of Stockman's Casino, Inc. for \$25.5 million. Stockman's Casino, Inc. owns and operates Stockman's Casino and Holiday Inn Express in Fallon, Nevada. The purchase price is subject to increase if the operation exceeds certain financial targets during the 12 months prior to closing of the transaction. The closing of the transaction is expected to occur near the end of this year and is subject to the receipt of all regulatory approvals and financing. We intend to finance the transaction with a portion of the net proceeds from our public offering, cash

Table of Contents

on hand and approximately \$16 million of debt. On July 6, 2006, the Nevada State Bank issued a commitment for a \$16 million senior secured facility to be secured by the capital stock and assets of Stockman's Casino. The facility will have a reducing balance and bear interest at a premium above LIBOR based on our leverage ratio. Funding is subject to finalizing definitive loan documents, receipt of regulatory approvals, no material or adverse changes, review of financial performance and collateral prior to funding, proof of insurance and endorsement of title insurance policies.

Critical accounting estimates and policies

Although our financial statements necessarily make use of certain accounting estimates by management, we believe that, except as discussed below, no matters that are the subject of such estimates are so highly uncertain or susceptible to change as to present a significant risk of a material impact on our financial condition or operating performance.

The most significant accounting estimates inherent in the preparation of our financial statements are those associated with management's evaluation of the fair value and recoverability of our investments in development projects, including unconsolidated and consolidated joint ventures, advances to tribal governments and intangible contract rights, discussed in detail below under the caption, "Long-term assets related to Indian casino projects". Various assumptions and other factors underlie the determination of these significant estimates. The process of determining significant estimates is fact specific and takes into account factors such as historical experience, current and expected economic conditions and the legal and regulatory environment. We regularly evaluate these estimates and assumptions, particularly in areas, if any, that we consider critical accounting estimates, where changes in such estimates and assumptions could have a material impact on our results of operations, financial position and, generally to a lesser extent, cash flows. Where recoverability of these assets is contingent upon the successful development and management of the projects, we evaluate the likelihood that the projects will be completed and then evaluate the prospective market dynamics and how the proposed facilities should compete in order to forecast future cash flows necessary to recover the recorded value of the assets. In most cases, we engage independent experts to prepare market and/or feasibility studies to assist in the preparation of forecasted cash flows. Our conclusions are reviewed as warranted by changing conditions.

Long-term assets related to Indian casino projects

We account for the estimated fair value of advances made to tribes as in-substance structured notes in accordance with the guidance contained in Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 96-12, *Recognition of Interest Income and Balance Sheet Classification of Structured Notes*.

We evaluate the financial opportunity of each potential service arrangement before entering into an agreement to provide financial support for the development of an Indian casino project. This process includes determining the financial feasibility of the project assuming the project is built, assessing the likelihood that the project will receive the necessary regulatory approvals and funding for construction and operations to commence, and estimating the expected timing of the various elements of the project including commencement of operations. When we enter into a service or lending arrangement, management has concluded that the probable future economic benefit is sufficient to compensate us for our efforts in relation to the perceived financial risks. No asset, including notes receivable or contract rights, related to an Indian casino project is recorded on our books unless it is considered probable that the project will be built and result in an economic benefit sufficient for us to recover the asset.

In initially determining the financial feasibility of the project, we analyze the proposed facilities and their location in relation to market conditions, including customer demographics and existing and proposed competition for

Table of Contents

the project. Typically, independent consultants are also hired to prepare market and financial feasibility reports. These reports are reviewed by management and updated periodically as conditions change.

We also consider the status of the regulatory approval process including whether:

the Bureau of Indian Affairs recognizes the tribe,

the tribe has the right to acquire land to be used as a casino site,

the Department of the Interior has put the land into trust as a casino site,

the tribe has a gaming compact with the state government,

the National Indian Gaming Commission has approved a proposed management agreement, and

other legal or political obstacles exist or are likely to occur.

The development phase of each relationship commences with the signing of the respective agreements and continues until the casinos open for business. Thereafter, the management phase of the relationship, governed by the management contract, continues for a period of up to seven years. We make advances to the tribes, recorded as notes receivable, primarily to fund certain portions of the projects, which may bear no interest or are at below market interest until operations commence. Repayment of the notes receivable and accrued interest is only required if the casino is successfully opened and distributable profits are available from the casino operations. Under the management contract, we typically earn a management fee calculated as a percentage of the net operating income of the gaming facility. In addition, repayment of the loans and the manager's fees are subordinated to certain other financial obligations of the respective operations. Generally, the order of priority of payments from the casinos' cash flows is as follows:

a certain minimum monthly priority payment to the tribe,

repayment of various senior debt associated with construction and equipping of the casino with interest accrued thereon,

repayment of various debt with interest accrued thereon due to us,

management fee to us,

other obligations, and

the remaining funds distributed to the tribe.

Notes receivable. We account for our notes receivable from and management contracts with the tribes as separate assets. Under the contractual terms, the notes do not become due and payable unless and until the projects are completed and operational. However, if our development

Edgar Filing: FULL HOUSE RESORTS INC - Form 10QSB

activity is terminated prior to completion, we generally retain the right to collect in the event of completion by another developer. Because the stated rate of the notes receivable alone is not commensurate with the risk inherent in these projects (at least prior to commencement of operations), the estimated fair value of the notes receivable is generally less than the amount advanced. At the date of each advance, the difference between the estimated fair value of the note receivable and the actual amount advanced is recorded as either an intangible asset, contract rights, or expensed as period costs of retaining such rights if the rights were acquired in a separate unbundled transaction.

Subsequent to its effective initial recording at estimated fair value, the note receivable portion of the advance is adjusted to its current estimated fair value at each balance sheet date using typical market discount rates for prospective Indian casino operations, as affected by project-specific circumstances such as estimated probabilities affecting the expected opening date and changes in the status of regulatory approvals which include the six factors regarding the status of the regulatory approval process described above. The notes receivable are not adjusted to an estimated fair value that exceeds the face value of the note plus accrued interest, if any. Due to the uncertainties surrounding the projects, no interest income is recognized during the development period. However, changes in the estimated fair value of the notes receivable are recorded as unrealized gains or losses in our statement of operations.

Table of Contents

Upon opening of the casino, the difference, if any, between the then recorded estimated fair value of the notes receivable, subject to any appropriate impairment adjustments pursuant to Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 114, *Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan*, and the amount contractually due under the notes would be amortized into income using the effective interest method over the remaining term of the note.

Contract rights. Intangible assets related to the acquisition of the management contracts are periodically evaluated for impairment based on the estimated cash flows from the management contract on an undiscounted basis and amortized using the straight-line method over the lesser of seven years or contractual lives of the agreements, typically beginning upon commencement of casino operations. In the event the carrying value of the intangible assets were to exceed the undiscounted cash flow, the difference between the estimated fair value and carrying value of the assets would be charged to operations.

Summary of long-term assets related to Indian casino projects. At June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, long-term assets associated with Indian casino projects totaled \$14,732,595 and \$13,345,113, respectively, consisting of notes receivable, contract rights, net of accumulated amortization, and land held for future development. Of such amounts, \$13,634,212 and \$12,915,011, respectively, relate to the Michigan project, for which we have a management agreement with the Michigan tribe for the development and operation of a casino resort near Battle Creek, Michigan. To recap the current status of the Michigan project:

the Michigan tribe is federally recognized,

adequate land for the proposed casino resort has not been placed in trust pending the outcome of the environmental impact statement,

the Michigan tribe has a valid gaming compact with the State of Michigan,

the National Indian Gaming Commission has not yet approved the management contract, and

the Bureau of Indian Affairs is expected to issue a record of decision approving the final environmental impact statement during the third quarter of 2006.

At June 30, 2006, the sensitivity of changes in the key assumptions (discussed in greater detail below) related to the Michigan project are illustrated by the following increases (decreases) in the estimated fair value of the note receivable:

| | |
|--|--------------|
| Discount rate increases to 25% | \$ (224,596) |
| Discount rate decreases to 20% | 240,000 |
| Forecasted opening date delayed one year | (928,301) |
| Forecasted opening date accelerated one year | 1,137,169 |

Selected key assumptions and information used to estimate the fair value of the notes receivable for all projects at June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 is as follows:

| | June 30, 2006 | December 31, 2005 |
|---|------------------|----------------------|
| Aggregate face amount of the notes receivable | \$ 8,687,111 | \$ 8,577,979 |
| Estimated years until opening of casino: | | |
| Michigan | 2.25 | 3.00 |
| New Mexico | 1.50 | 2.00 |
| Montana | 1.50 | 2.00 |

Discount rate

22.5%

22.5%

Table of Contents

It is estimated that the stated interest rates during the loan repayment term will be commensurate with the inherent risk at that time.

During the second quarter of 2006, the estimated opening date for the Michigan casino was accelerated from the fourth quarter of 2008 to the third quarter of 2008. Based on our meetings with the Department of Interior and the Justice Department during the second quarter of 2006, and the commencement of construction on Pokagon casino located approximately 100 miles from the intended Michigan project site, the transfer of the Michigan land into trust is estimated to occur sooner than management previously anticipated. The acceleration of the opening date resulted in approximately \$250,000 of additional unrealized gains for the second quarter of 2006.

Factors that we consider in arriving at a discount rate include discount rates typically used by gaming industry investors and appraisers to value individual casino properties outside of Nevada and discount rates produced by the widely accepted Capital Asset Pricing Model, or CAPM, using the following key assumptions:

S&P 500, 10 and 15-year average benchmark investment returns (medium-term horizon risk premiums);

Risk-free investment return equal to the 10-year average for 90-day Treasury Bills;

Investment beta factor equal to the unleveraged five-year average for the hotel / gaming industry; and

Project specific adjustments based on typical size premiums for micro-cap and low-cap companies using 10 and 15-year averages. Management believes that under the circumstances, essentially three critical dates and events that impact the project specific discount rate adjustment when using CAPM: (1) the date that management completes its feasibility assessment and decides to invest in the opportunity; (2) the date that construction financing has been obtained after all legal obstacles have been removed; and (3) the date that operations commence.

Amortization of gaming and contract rights is provided on a straight-line basis over the contractual lives of the assets. The contractual lives may include, or not begin until after a development period and/or the term of the subsequent management agreement. Because the development period may vary based on evolving events, the estimated contractual lives may require revision in future periods. These rights will be assigned to the appropriate operating subsidiary when the related project is operational and, therefore, they are not included in the calculation of the non-controlling interest in our Michigan subsidiary.

Due to our current financing arrangement for the Michigan development through our 50% ownership interest in Gaming Entertainment (Michigan), LLC, we believe we are exposed to the majority of risk of economic loss from the entity's activities. Therefore, in accordance with FIN 46(R), *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities*, we consider this venture to be a variable interest entity that requires consolidation into our financial statements. Accordingly, we adopted FIN 46(R) in 2004, without retroactive restatement to our 2003 financial statements, as permitted under FIN 46(R), by consolidating the 50% in-substance joint venture. Since this venture was previously carried on the equity method of accounting, there was no cumulative effect of an accounting change.

Table of Contents

Recently issued accounting pronouncements:

In June 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes - An Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109*, (FIN 48). FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial statements in accordance with FASB Statement No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*. FIN 48 also prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return that results in a tax benefit. Additionally, FIN 48 provides guidance on de-recognition, income statement classification of interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure, and transition. This interpretation is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. We are currently evaluating the effect that the application of FIN 48 will have on our consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

In 2005, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No 155, *Accounting for Certain Hybrid Instruments* amending the guidance in SFAS 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, and No. 140, *Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities*. SFAS 155 allows financial instruments that have embedded derivatives to be accounted for as a whole (eliminating the need to bifurcate the derivative from its host) if the holder elects to account for the whole instrument on a fair value basis. SFAS155 will be effective for financial instruments acquired or issued during our fiscal year that begins after September 15, 2006. We presently do not expect SFAS 155 to be applicable to any instruments likely to be acquired or issued by us.

Results of operations

Six months ended June 30, 2006, compared to six months ended June 30, 2005

Equity in net income of unconsolidated joint venture. For the six months ended June 30, 2006, our share of income from the Delaware joint venture increased \$106,037, or 6%, compared to the same six months period in 2005. The increase is due to an increase in the number of gaming machines and win per machine per day as well as an increased hold percentage, while expenses remained at prior year or budgeted amounts.

Project development costs. For the six months ended June 30, 2006, project development costs decreased \$332,148 or 43% compared to the same time period in 2005. The decrease in the current year is due primarily to the majority of the costs related to an environmental impact study for the Michigan project were incurred during 2005.

General and administrative expenses. For the six months ended June 30, 2006, general and administrative expenses increased by \$696,277 over the same period in 2005, due primarily to share-based compensation expense of \$554,887 related to stock grants in the second quarter of 2006 to certain officers and directors and to a \$125,000 provision for valuation allowance on a receivable.

Unrealized gains on notes receivable Unrealized gains on notes receivable are determined based upon the estimated fair value of our notes receivable related to Indian casino projects, as discussed in more detail in *Critical Accounting Estimates and Policies* above. The increase in unrealized gains of \$692,172 over the same period last year is due mainly to our Indian casino projects continuing to progress towards their anticipated opening dates. In addition, in the second quarter of 2006, we revised and accelerated the estimated opening date of our Michigan casino project by one quarter to September 2008, which resulted in additional unrealized gains of \$250,024 for the second quarter of 2006.

Table of Contents

Arbitration award, net The arbitration award is the cost reimbursement and damages resulting from a favorable arbitration ruling regarding terminated development and management agreements entered into in 1995 and 1997 in connection with the now terminated project in California. The settlement income of \$848,393 is net of the write-off of related net gaming rights and advances and collection costs. The settlement was collected in December 2005.

Non-controlling interest in net (income) loss of consolidated joint venture RAM Entertainment, LLC, (RAM), a privately held investment company, has a 50% non-controlling interest in our consolidated Michigan joint venture. The joint venture's income consists of unrealized gains in the note receivable related to the Michigan project offset by Michigan development costs.

Income taxes For the six months ended June 30, 2006, the effective income tax rate is approximately 16%, compared to 41% for the same time period in 2005. The decrease in the effective tax rate from the prior year is due primarily to additional share-based compensation expense in 2006, partially offset by unrealized gains on valuation of notes receivable from tribal governments.

Liquidity and capital resources

The Delaware joint venture is currently our sole source of recurring income and significant positive cash flow. Distributions are governed by the terms of the applicable joint venture agreement. The fifteen year contract, which expires in the year 2011, provides that net cash flow (after certain deductions) is to be distributed monthly to us and our joint venture partner. Our continuing cash flow is dependent on the operating performance of this joint venture and its ability to make monthly distributions to us. Our portion of the management fee is subject to rebates back to the owner of Midway Slots if our fee exceeds \$3,500,000 annually. The owner of Midway Slots is currently funding a renovation of the facility for which we have no financial obligation.

For the six months ended June 30, 2006, cash provided by operations decreased \$884,610 from the same period in 2005, primarily due to prior year's deposits from RAM of approximately \$373,000 towards project development costs. Also, as a result of increased earnings from our Delaware joint venture, GED, the rebate paid during the quarter was approximately \$200,000 higher than in the prior year. Further, this year's June distribution from GED of \$278,341 was received in July. Cash used in investing activities increased \$642,958 from the same six months period of last year primarily due to deposits and other costs paid as part of our casino acquisition plans, partially offset by lower Michigan project development costs due to the completion of the environmental impact study in 2005.

Our future near-term cash requirements will be primarily to fund the acquisition of Stockman's casino. On April 6, 2006, we signed an agreement under which we have committed to acquire for \$25.5 million (subject to upward adjustment if the operation exceeds certain financial targets during the 12 months prior to closing) all of the outstanding shares of Stockman's Casino, Inc., which owns and operates Stockman's Casino and Holiday Inn Express in Fallon, Nevada. The closing of the transaction is expected to occur in the first quarter of 2007, subject to the receipt of all regulatory approvals and financing. On July 6, 2006, the Nevada State Bank issued a commitment for a \$16 million senior secured facility to be secured by the capital stock and assets of Stockman's Casino. The facility will bear interest at a premium above LIBOR based on our leverage ratio and will require interest payments monthly in addition to semi-annual principal payments, which are currently expected to be \$533,333. Funding is subject to finalizing definitive loan documents, receipt of regulatory approvals, no material or adverse changes, review of financial performance and collateral prior to funding, proof of insurance and endorsement of title insurance policies. On August 4, 2006, we filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission a registration statement on Form SB-2 for the public offering of our common stock. We expect to use approximately \$10 million from the offering to fund the balance of the purchase price. Cash and other proceeds of the offering will be used to pay regulatory expenses, fees and costs of the fundraising.

Our remaining near- and long-term cash requirements include the balance of development expenses for the Michigan, Nambe, Northern Cheyenne and other projects and our general and administrative expenses. We believe that adequate financial resources will be available to execute our current growth plan from a combination of operating cash flows and external debt and equity financing. A decrease in our cash receipts or the lack of available funding sources would limit our development.

Table of Contents

Additional projects are considered based on their forecasted profitability, development period and ability to secure the funding necessary to complete the development, among other considerations. As part of our agreements for tribal developments, we typically fund costs associated with projects which may include legal, civil engineering, environmental, design, training, land acquisition and other related advances while assisting the tribes in securing financing for the construction of the project. A majority of these costs are advanced to the tribes and are reimbursable to us, as documented in our management and development agreements, as part of the financing of the project's development. While each project is unique, we forecast these costs when determining the feasibility of each opportunity. Such agreements to finance costs associated with the development and furtherance of projects are typical in this industry and have become expected of Indian gaming developers.

Indian casino projects

Our funding of the Michigan project and our liquidity are affected by an agreement with RAM Entertainment, the owner of a 50% interest in our Michigan joint venture, in exchange for funding a portion of the development costs. RAM advanced \$2,381,260 to us, which is partially convertible into a capital contribution to the Michigan joint venture upon federal approval of the land into trust application and federal approval of the management agreement with the Michigan tribe. As of June 30, 2006, these contingencies had not occurred and we and RAM agreed to, among other items, extend the maturity date of the note payable to RAM to July 1, 2007, with interest continuing to accrue without requiring payment or penalty. As part of that agreement, RAM subordinated its security interest in the collateral to our other borrowings up to \$3,000,000 subject to certain terms.

If RAM were to exercise its conversion option, then \$2.0 million would be converted to a capital contribution to the Michigan joint venture, and the balance of \$381,260, plus any unpaid interest would remain as debt. As stipulated in our agreements, once the land is in trust and the management agreement is approved by the NIGC, development costs up to \$12.5 million will be initially financed by RAM if not financed by another source. Total projected development costs for the Michigan project are approximately \$150 million. If the proposed casino is constructed, then forecasted revenues indicate that the underlying project will generate sufficient excess operating cash flow to repay or refinance the project development costs incurred by us on behalf of the Michigan tribe. Although we expect the existing temporary injunction against taking the land into trust to be vacated by the end of 2006, this may not occur this year or at all.

Our Michigan joint venture has the exclusive right to arrange the financing and provide casino management services to the Michigan tribe in exchange for a management fee of 26% of net profits for seven years and certain other specified consideration from any future gaming or related activities conducted by the Michigan tribe. If the project is developed, then a third party will be paid a royalty fee equating to 15% of the management fees earned by us in lieu of its original ownership interest in earlier contracts with the Michigan tribe.

In February 2005, we were named as the developer and manager of a gaming project to be developed by the Manuelito Chapter of Navajo Indians in New Mexico. In order to pursue this opportunity, we entered into an agreement with NADACS, Inc., a privately held New Mexico company, which has an agreement with the Manuelito Chapter to locate a developer. Pursuant to the agreement, we paid NADACS \$200,000 as partial payment for the right to pursue development and management agreements for future Navajo gaming facilities. This project and other projects with Navajo chapters are subject to the consent of the Navajo Nation, including approval as a manager and grant of a gaming license, compliance with its yet to be created gaming commission rules and regulations, and approval by the National Indian Gaming Commission. As part of the agreements with the Manuelito Chapter, we have provided some advances and paid costs associated with the development and furtherance of this project. Our agreements with the Manuelito Chapter provide for the reimbursement of these advances either from the proceeds of the financing of the development, the actual operation itself or, in the event that we do not complete the development, from the revenues of the tribal gaming operation undertaken by others.

Table of Contents

In May 2005, we entered into development and management agreements with the Northern Cheyenne tribe of Montana for a proposed casino to be built approximately 28 miles north of Sheridan, Wyoming. The Montana tribe currently operates the Charging Horse casino in Lame Deer, Montana, consisting of 125 gaming devices, a 300 seat bingo hall and restaurant. As part of the agreements, we have committed, on a best efforts basis, to arrange the financing for the costs associated with the development and furtherance of this project up to \$18,000,000. Our agreements with the tribe provide for the reimbursement of these advances either from the proceeds of the financing of the development, the actual operation itself or, in the event that we do not complete the development, from the revenues of the tribal gaming operation undertaken by others. The management agreement and related contracts have been submitted to the NIGC for its approval.

In June 2005, we signed gaming development and management agreements with the Nambe Pueblo of New Mexico to develop a 50,000 square foot facility including gaming, restaurants, entertainment and other amenities as part of the tribe's multi-phased master plan of economic development. The agreements have been submitted to the National Indian Gaming Commission for required regulatory approval. As part of the development agreement, we have committed to finance costs associated with the development and furtherance of this project up to \$50,000,000. We have agreed with the Nambé Pueblo tribe to amend the agreement regarding financing of up to \$50,000,000. This amendment would be in keeping with the typical arrangements wherein we contract to assist tribes in securing the necessary financing as opposed to being a primary obligor. Our agreements with the tribe provide for the reimbursement of these advances either from the proceeds of the financing of the development, the actual operation itself or, in the event that we do not complete the development, from the revenues of the tribal gaming operation undertaken by others.

Our agreements with the various Indian tribes contain limited waivers of sovereign immunity and, in many cases, provide for arbitration to enforce the agreements. Generally, our only recourse for collection of funds under these agreements is from revenues, if any, of prospective casino operations.

Other

As of June 30, 2006, we had cumulative undeclared and unpaid dividends in the amount of \$2,940,000 on the 700,000 outstanding shares of our 1992-1 Preferred Stock. Such dividends are cumulative whether or not declared, and are currently in arrears. We plan to declare and pay the accrued dividends in the approximate amount of \$3 million from a portion of the proceeds of the planned public offering in connection with our planned casino acquisition. In conjunction with the public offering, we have an agreement with the holder of 350,000 of the 700,000 outstanding shares of our Series 1992-1 Preferred Stock to pay the accrued and unpaid dividends on the preferred stock held by him from the proceeds of the offering in exchange for his agreement to convert each outstanding share of preferred stock held by him into one share of common stock and to not sell or otherwise transfer any of his shares of common stock at any time prior to the 90th day following the closing of the offering. This agreement expires on September 30, 2006.

As part of the termination of our Hard Rock licensing rights in Biloxi, Mississippi, in November 2002, we agreed to provide consulting services to Hard Rock if and when the Biloxi facility opens, entitling us to annually receive the greater of \$100,000 or 10% of licensing fees for the two year consulting period. However, due to the devastation caused by Hurricane Katrina, which caused severe damage to the Hard Rock Casino in Biloxi, the opening of the facility, which was originally scheduled for the third quarter of 2005, has been delayed indefinitely. We understand that the owners of the casino have located additional financing and are in the process of rebuilding the facility. During 2006, the Mississippi law was changed to allow casinos to be built on land, rather than floating on certain waterways, as previously required. At this time, we do not know the impact of the revised statute on the rebuilding of the casino.

Table of Contents

In furtherance of the termination of our involvement in the Hard Rock Casino in Biloxi, Mississippi, we had a receivable in the amount of \$125,000 from the Allen E. Paulson Family Trust, of which our chairman is a trustee and principal. Our board of directors is reviewing the facts and circumstances of the agreements. As a result, management has reassessed the probability of payment and has provided an allowance for the receivable until this matter is resolved.

See Note 5 to the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements for a discussion of the Company's plans to acquire Stockman's, including its effect on liquidity and capital resources. The following table sets forth selected financial data for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, as derived from our registration statement as filed on Form SB-2:

| | 2005 | 2004 |
|----------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Revenue | \$ 11,256,964 | \$ 10,578,839 |
| Net Income | \$ 2,251,823 | \$ 2,041,493 |
| Cash flows from operations | \$ 2,650,330 | \$ 2,774,492 |

The financial results presented above may not be indicative of future performance.

Quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk

Market risk is the risk of loss from changes in market rates or prices, such as interest rates and commodity prices. We are exposed to market risk in the form of changes in interest rates and the potential impact such changes may have on our variable rate debt. We have not invested in derivative based financial instruments.

Our total outstanding variable rate debt of approximately \$2.4 million at June 30, 2006, is subject to variable interest rates, which averaged 7.9% during the current quarter. The applicable interest rate is based on the prime lending rate and therefore, the interest rate will fluctuate as the prime lending rate changes. Based on our outstanding variable rate debt at June 30, 2006, a hypothetical 100 basis point (1%) change in rates would result in an annual interest expense change of approximately \$24,000. At this time, we do not anticipate that either inflation or interest rate variations will have a material impact on our future operations.

Safe harbor provision

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, relating to our financial condition, profitability, liquidity, resources, business outlook, market forces, corporate strategies, contractual commitments, legal matters, capital requirements and other matters. The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 provides a safe harbor for forward-looking statements. We note that many factors could cause our actual results and experience to change significantly from the anticipated results or expectations expressed in our forward-looking statements. When words and expressions such as: believes, expects, anticipates, estimates, plans, intends, objectives, goals, aims, projects, forecasts, possible, seeks, may, could, should, shall, might, or will, similar words or expressions are used in this Form 10-QSB, as well as statements containing phrases such as in our view,

Table of Contents

there can be no assurance, although no assurance can be given, or there is no way to anticipate with certainty, forward-looking statements are being made.

Various risks and uncertainties may affect the operation, performance, development and results of our business and could cause future outcomes to change significantly from those set forth in our forward-looking statements, including the following factors:

our growth strategies;

our development and potential acquisition of new facilities;

risks related to development and construction activities;

anticipated trends in the gaming industries;

patron demographics;

general market and economic conditions;

access to capital, including our ability to finance future business requirements;

the availability of adequate levels of insurance;

changes in federal, state, and local laws and regulations, including environmental and gaming license legislation and regulations;

regulatory approvals;

competitive environment;

risks, uncertainties and other factors described from time to time in this and our other SEC filings and reports.

We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements as a result of future developments, events or conditions. New risk factors emerge from time to time and it is not possible for us to predict all such risk factors, nor can we assess the impact of all such risk factors on its business or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause actual results to differ significantly from those forecast in any forward-looking statements.

Item 3. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures. Our chief executive and financial officers, after evaluating the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Section 13a-15 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) have concluded that as of June 30,

Edgar Filing: FULL HOUSE RESORTS INC - Form 10QSB

2006, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective and designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in reports filed under the Securities Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to them to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures.

-20-

Table of Contents

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Management believes that there have been no changes in our internal control during the last fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, its internal control over financial reporting.

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION**Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

We have a management agreement with the Michigan tribe for the development and operation of a casino upon federal approval of the land into trust application and federal approval of the management agreement with the Michigan tribe. A legal challenge preventing the land from being taken into trust is pending in Federal District Court in Washington, D.C. A ruling of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia in the case of *CETAC vs. Norton* entered on April 23, 2004, required a reassessment of the environmental analysis of the Michigan project. A final environmental impact statement has been prepared by the Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA), as the lead agency for these purposes. The BIA issued the final environmental impact statement on July 3, 2006. We anticipate the issuance of a record of decision, or formal acceptance of the EIS in the third quarter of 2006, following the required 30 day waiting period, which expired on August 2, 2006. The BIA received several comments during the waiting period, mostly from CETAC, which comments will be addressed in the record of decision. The Michigan tribe has submitted a motion to dismiss the lawsuit based on completion of the environmental impact statement. If successful in court, the BIA will be free to take the land into trust, as it commenced in 2002, which is the final step in utilizing the parcel for gaming.

Item 3. Defaults upon Senior Securities

As of June 30, 2006, we had cumulative undeclared and unpaid dividends in the amount of \$2,940,000 on the 700,000 outstanding shares of our 1992-1 Preferred Stock. Such dividends are cumulative whether or not declared, and are currently in arrears. The preferred stock's class ranks prior to the Company's common stock with regard to dividend and liquidation rights.

Item 4. Submission Of Matters To A Vote Of Security Holders

We held our 2006 annual meeting on May 31, 2006 at which Carl G. Braunlich, Andre M. Hilliou, Lee A. Iacocca, William P. McComas, Mark J. Miller and J. Michael Paulson were elected to our board of directors. At the meeting our stockholders approved the amendment and restatement of our certificate of incorporation to include a new stock ownership restriction provision in connection with our application for gaming licenses in Nevada and another minor technical amendment. In addition, our stockholders adopted the 2006 Incentive Compensation Plan and ratified the appointment of Piercy Bowler Taylor & Kern, Certified Public Accountants and Business Advisors, a Professional Corporation (PBTk), as our independent auditors. No other proposals were presented at the 2006 annual meeting. At the meeting the votes were cast as follows:

| | In Favor | Withheld |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Election of Carl G. Braunlich | 9,998,334 | 21,800 |
| Election of Andre M. Hilliou | 9,998,604 | 21,530 |
| Election of Lee A. Iacocca | 9,998,334 | 21,800 |
| Election of William McComas | 6,816,834 | 3,203,300 |
| Election of Mark J. Miller | 9,998,334 | 21,800 |
| Election of J. Michael Paulson | 9,692,234 | 327,900 |

Table of Contents

| | In Favor | Against | Abstain | Broker Non-vote |
|--|-----------------|----------------|----------------|------------------------|
| Approval Of The Amendment And Restatement Of Our Certificate Of Incorporation | 7,744,076 | 22,000 | 204 | 2,253,854 |
| Approval And Adoption Of The Full House Resorts, Inc. 2006 Incentive Compensation Plan | 7,737,707 | 26,440 | 2,133 | 2,253,854 |
| Ratification of PBTK as independent auditors | 9,998,283 | 20,900 | 951 | 0 |

Item 6. Exhibits

- 10.75+ Form of Restricted Stock Agreement
- 31.1 Certification of principal executive officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 31.2 Certification of principal financial officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.1 Certification of principal executive and financial officers pursuant to 18 U.S.C. section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

+ Executive Compensation Plan or Arrangement

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the Company has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

FULL HOUSE RESORTS, INC.

Date: August 14, 2006

By: /s/ JAMES MEIER
James Meier
Chief Financial Officer
(on behalf of the Registrant and
as principal financial officer)

-23-