LEAP WIRELESS INTERNATIONAL INC Form DEFA14A July 19, 2011

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

(RULE 14a-101)

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the

Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by the Registrant x

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant "

Check the appropriate box:

- " Preliminary Proxy Statement
- " Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- " Definitive Proxy Statement
- x Definitive Additional Materials
- " Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

LEAP WIRELESS INTERNATIONAL, INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

x No fee required.

...

- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
 - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

Edgar Filing: LEAP WIRELESS INTERNATIONAL INC - Form DEFA14A

- (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
- (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
- (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
- (5) Total fee paid:
- " Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
- " Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
 - (1) Amount Previously Paid:
 - (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
 - (3) Filing Party:

Edgar Filing: LEAP WIRELESS INTERNATIONAL INC - Form DEFA14A

Leap Wireless International, Inc. (Leap) is filing the attached presentation materials, which update the presentation materials filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) on July 7, 2011 as Exhibit 99.1 to Leap s current report on Form 8-K, the presentation materials filed with the SEC on July 13, 2011 on Schedule 14A, and the presentation materials filed with the SEC on July 15, 2011 on Schedule 14A, in connection with Leap s solicitation of proxies for proposals to be voted on at its 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. Leap may present the attached materials to stockholders and others on future occasions. The information contained in the attached presentation materials is summary information that is intended to be considered in the context of Leap s filings with the SEC and other public announcements. Leap undertakes no duty or obligation to publicly update or revise this information, although it may do so from time to time.

In connection with the 2011 Annual Meeting, Leap mailed to stockholders its definitive proxy statement filed with the SEC on June 28, 2011 (the Definitive Proxy Statement). In addition, Leap files annual, quarterly and special reports, proxy and information statements and other information with the SEC. Stockholders are urged to read the Definitive Proxy Statement and other information because they contain important information about Leap and the proposals to be presented at the 2011 Annual Meeting. These documents are available free of charge at the SEC s website at *www.sec.gov* or from Leap at *www.leapwireless.com*. The contents of the websites referenced herein are not deemed to be incorporated by reference into the Definitive Proxy Statement.

Leap and its directors, executive officers and certain employees may be deemed to be participants in the solicitation of proxies from stockholders in connection with the election of directors and other proposals to be voted on at the 2011 Annual Meeting. Information regarding the interests, if any, of these directors, executive officers and specified employees is included in the Definitive Proxy Statement filed by Leap with the SEC.

Building Value July 18, 2011

2

Presentation of Financial and Other Important Information Presentation of Financial Information

Historical financial and operating data in this presentation reflect the consolidated results of Leap Wireless International, Inc. (joint ventures for the periods indicated. The term voice services refers to the Company s Cricket Wireless, Muve Music a services refers to the Company s Cricket Broadband service. This presentation includes financial information prepared in ac United States (GAAP), as well as other financial measures referred to as non-GAAP. The non-GAAP financial measures, w Customer Addition (CPGA), Cash Cost Per User (CCU) and adjusted operating income before depreciation and amortization (

Edgar Filing: LEAP WIRELESS INTERNATIONAL INC - Form DEFA14A

substitutes for, the information prepared in accordance with GAAP. For definitions of these non-GAAP financial measures and see the information under the heading Financial Reports Non-GAAP Financial Measures in the Investor Relations section Proxy Solicitation

In connection with the solicitation of proxies, Leap filed with the SEC on June 28, 2011 a definitive proxy statement and has fi proposals to be presented at Leap s 2011 Annual Stockholders Meeting (the 2011 Annual Meeting). Leap also mailed the files annual, quarterly and special reports, proxy and information statements and other information with the SEC. Leap s stock information because they contain important information about Leap and the proposals to be presented at the 2011 Annual Meeting website (www.sec.gov) or from Leap (www.leapwireless.com). The contents of the websites referenced herein are not deemed Leap and its directors, executive officers and certain employees may be deemed to be participants in the solicitation of proxies directors and other matters to be proposed at Leap s 2011 Annual Meeting. Information regarding the interests, if any, of these included in the definitive proxy statement filed by Leap with the SEC.

Forward-Looking Statements

This presentation contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of expectations based on currently available operating, financial and competitive information, but are subject to risks, uncertainties materially from those anticipated in or implied by the forward-looking statements. Our forward-looking statements include our including as a result of our current and future product and service plan offerings, future plans to transition to LTE and expected nominees to the board of directors and are generally identified with words such as believe, think , expect, estimate,

may and similar expressions. Risks, uncertainties and assumptions that could affect our forward-looking stateme should, customers in an extremely competitive marketplace; the duration and severity of the current economic downturn in the United rates, consumer credit conditions, consumer debt levels, consumer confidence, unemployment rates, energy costs and other ma the services we provide; the impact of competitors initiatives; our ability to successfully implement product and service plan on our other strategic activities; our ability to obtain and maintain roaming and wholesale services from other carriers at cost-e over financial reporting; our ability to attract, integrate, motivate and retain an experienced workforce, including members of s services, which could exceed our expectations, and our ability to manage or increase network capacity to meet increasing custo future at a reasonable cost or on a timely basis; our ability to comply with the covenants in any credit agreement, indenture or s indebtedness; our ability to effectively integrate, manage and operate our new joint venture in South Texas; failure of our netw expectations and risks associated with the upgrade or transition of certain of those systems, including our billing system; and o included in our periodic reports filed with the SEC, including our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March All forward-looking statements included in this presentation should be considered in the context of these risks. We undertake r statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. In light of these risks and uncertainties, the forv presentation may not occur and actual results could differ materially from those anticipated or implied in the forward-looking s not to place undue reliance on our forward-looking statements.

Executive Overview

Industry Perspective Rapid Growth of Prepaid

Business Update On Trajectory to Grow Stockholder Value Board of Directors Experienced and Independent

Pentwater Proposal and Proxy Contest Opportunistic and Non-Compliant

Conclusion Vote FOR Leap s nominees on the WHITE Proxy Card 3 Agenda

Leap implemented significant changes in 2010 to better position business Simple, all-inclusive service plans New device portfolio, including smartphones Nationwide voice and data coverage Additions to senior management to better align with customers and improve execution Completion of significant back-office system enhancements Believe initiatives delivered dramatic improvements in operating performance, demonstrated by: Customer churn at lowest levels in nearly a decade, with voice churn of 2.8% in 1Q11 Smartphones and accompanying \$55 service plan comprised approximately 40% of our sales mix at 1Q11, with customer upgrades and migrations continuing at unprecedented rates Significant improvements in average revenue per user (ARPU), driven by adoption of smartphones and higher-revenue service plans Believe changes

position Leap for improved financial results and increased stockholder value -Leap stock up 54%

between August
4,
2010
after
new
initiatives
presented
at
Leap s
Analyst
Day
and
July
12,
2011
-
Poord and management continuing to implement additional initiatives to continue momentum and position the Company

Board and management continuing to implement additional initiatives to continue momentum and position the Company for the future

Leap is led by an experienced and independent Board of Directors 4 **Executive Overview** Leap Keep Leap on track for improved stockholder value vote FOR Leap s nominees on the WHITE proxy card

Pentwater lacks any strategy for the Company

beyond actions Leap is already pursuing Pentwater is interested only in short-term profit and has reduced its net holdings in Leap stock by ~40% since announcing proxy fight What Pentwater Didn t Do Discuss operational proposals/suggestions with the Company prior to initiating proxy fight Disclose all material information when nominating directors Commence action in Delaware for months after

being
informed
on
March
31
that
they
didn t
comply
with
Bylaws
Ask for a waiver under Leap s NOL preservation plan to purchase 5% or more of Leap stock
What Pentwater Did Do
-
Submitted
what
Leap
believes
is
a
non-compliant
nomination
one
day
before
end
of
notice
period
-
Reduced
its
net
holdings
in
Leap
stock
by
~40%
in
the
three
months
after
announcing
proxy
fight,
including

selling on day they filed initial proxy statement

Established short position covering more than 1.6M Leap shares, equal to ~67% of its 2.4M shares held as of 6/20/11 Votes for Pentwater will not be counted absent contrary Delaware court judgment 5 **Executive Overview** Pentwater Capital Management Keep Leap on track for improved stockholder value vote FOR Leap s nominees on the WHITE proxy card st

INDUSTRY PERSPECTIVE Rapid Growth of Prepaid 6

7

Innovative, leading prepaid wireless carrier in U.S. with ~6 million customers

Nation s 7th largest wireless carrier

Offers unlimited voice, text and data services and

Edgar Filing: LEAP WIRELESS INTERNATIONAL INC - Form DEFA14A

national coverage under Cricket brand; flat rates and no contracts

Targets young, ethnically diverse and valueconscious customer base among the fastest growing market segments

Leverages industry-leading cost structure to provide services at prices below most competitors

Holds spectrum licenses in 35 of top 50 U.S. markets

Offers nationwide service via existing network and strategic roaming partnerships Leap Snapshot Prepaid Wireless Industry Leader

2006 2013E CAGR: 14% 3% Prepaid Postpaid Prepaid % of total 19% 33% Share of Net Adds (%) Subscribers (M) Source: Oppenheimer Equity Research Industry Update, dated March 8, 2011 8 27% Prepaid Segment Drives Wireless Industry Growth

Wireless subscribers increasingly using devices for data services, internet access and mobile applications

Mobile data traffic in North America expected to grow 80% annually through 2015 Explosive Growth of Mobile-Only Internet Users Smartphone Opportunity for Prepaid Carriers

To date, smartphones have been sold predominantly to higher-end customers

With increased adoption of data services and smartphones by the mass market, significant opportunity exists for prepaid carriers Number of Users in North America (M) 4Q10 Smartphone Penetration 9 Source: Morgan Stanley Research Report, dated April 18, 2011 Source: Cisco Visual Networking Index: Global Mobile Data Forecast, February 2011

Smartphones are a Significant Opportunity for Prepaid

Leap s Prepaid Penetration 10 Prepaid Subscribers / Covered POP as

of
1Q11
(1)
6%
3%
5%
7%
9%
Leap
T-Mobile
Sprint
Tracfone
MetroPCS
(1)
Based upon results for the quarter ended March 31, 2011, as reported in filings on Form 10-Q and/or earnings releases for Leap
Sprint, America Movil and MetroPCS; covered POPs data assumes 95M for Leap, 99M for MetroPCS and 280M for T-Mobile
America Movil
(2)
Based on America Movil s earnings release for the quarter ended March 31, 2011

Leap s penetration in the prepaid segment is greater than Sprint and T-Mobile

Although Tracfone has the largest number of prepaid subscribers, it has the lowest EBITDA margin (7%) and lowest ARPU (\$14) among prepaid competitors (2) Subs. (MM) (1) 5.8 7.7 13.1 18.5

8.9

Leap Has Increased ARPU Near Highest In Industry 11 Prepaid ARPU (\$) Leap Sprint T-Mobile 1Q10 1Q11

MetroPCS
1.5%
3.4%
3.2%
5.6%
% Increase
Tracfone
27.3%
Source: Results for the quarter ended March 31, 2011, as reported in filings on Form 10-Q and/or earnings releases
for Leap, MetroPCS, Sprint, T-Mobile and America Movil

Leap Has Significantly Lowered Churn to Best in Industry 12 MetroPCS Leap 1Q10 1Q11 Prepaid Churn (%) Sprint Increase / Decrease (bps) T-Mobile Tracfone Source: Results for the quarter ended March 31, 2011, as reported in filings on Form 10-Q and/or earnings releases for Leap, MetroPCS, Sprint, T-Mobile and America Movil

BUSINESS UPDATE On Trajectory to Grow Stockholder Value 13

Significant Business Initiatives in 2010 to Meet Evolving Customer Needs 14

Introduced all-inclusive, unlimited nationwide voice and broadband service plans Eliminated activation fees and telecommunications taxes to improve customer experience

Experienced significant customer adoption and migration to new service plans at YE10, two-thirds of customer base had migrated to new plans

Introduced robust, new line-up of affordable devices, including smartphones, touchscreens, feature phones and broadband devices

Increasing customer demand for new smartphones driving selection of higherrevenue service plans and increased ARPU 40% of new handset sales in 1Q11 were for smartphones

Entered into nationwide roaming agreements to allow nationwide product and service offerings

Entered into nationwide wholesale agreement to supplement 95M CPOP network with Sprint s nationwide 3G network

Believe agreements improve competitive position and enable Leap to strengthen brand, attract new customers and enhance and expand nationwide retail distribution New Plans New Devices Nationwide Reach

Other Key Initiatives Furthering Leap Performance

Transitioned executive management team to more closely align with customers and improve execution

Appointed new EVP/COO to lead customer focused support organizations

Appointed new EVP, Field Operations and appointed three area presidents to improve field execution

33

Edgar Filing: LEAP WIRELESS INTERNATIONAL INC - Form DEFA14A

Added other senior management leaders focused on vision and execution

Fundamentally overhauled back-office systems

Replaced billing, inventory and point-of-sale systems

Believe new systems significantly improved planning, forecasting, supply chain and procurement capabilities

Continued management of balance sheet for liquidity and growth

Refinanced \$1.1B of senior unsecured debt to 2020, reducing cash interest expense by \$10M annually

Recently issued \$400M of senior notes to provide additional working capital for growth initiatives

Entered into key strategic transactions

Formed new joint venture in South Texas, acquiring ~323,000 former customers of Pocket Communications to create Leap sideeply-penetrated market

Acquired complete ownership and control of Cricket markets in Chicago, Southern Wisconsin and Oregon

Believe transactions improved competitive position by increasing our strength and scale while expanding offerings to customers

Better utilization of spectrum resources

Entered into agreement to swap 10-MHz of unused spectrum in exchange for 10-MHz of additional spectrum in 7 existing Cric markets

Developed and launched unique Muve Music service -Unlimited music-download service designed specifically for mobile devices -Expect service will provide Leap with differentiated product in nationwide, mass-merchant retail channels 15

TM

New Initiatives Driving Churn Down 16

1Q11 churn reached lowest level in almost 10 years:

Consolidated churn of 3.1%, improving 140 basis points Y-O-Y

1Q11 voice churn of 2.8%, improving 170 basis points Y-O-Y

Churn improvements believed to be direct result of changes management implemented:

All-inclusive pricing

Smartphones at affordable prices

Nationwide voice and data coverage

Believe lower levels of churn signal productive, structural business change

May experience some near-term moderation in churn improvement Voice Churn 2011 2010 2009 2008 Y-O-Y Change in Voice Churn Worse Better 2010 2010 2011 Source: Leap earnings releases for each of the fiscal quarters in 2008, 2009 and 2010 and for the quarter ended March 31, 201 other internal data

And Improving ARPU 17 Average Revenue Per User 2010 2011

Edgar Filing: LEAP WIRELESS INTERNATIONAL INC - Form DEFA14A

1Q11 ARPU increased over \$1 Y-O-Y and Q-O-Q due to:

Improved device portfolio

All-inclusive service plans

Improved voice churn

Expect ARPU improvements to continue in coming quarters due to:

Increased smartphone penetration

Higher Broadband ARPU and potential Muve Music uptake Source: Leap s quarterly reports on Form 10-Q for the quarters ended March 31, 2010, June 30, 2010, September 30, 2010 ar March 31, 2011 and Leap s annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010

Putting Leap On Trajectory for Improved Financial Performance 18 2011 2010 \$5.1 \$49.2 (\$478.1) (\$27.0) (\$18.1) \$614.6 \$630.8 \$600.6 \$636.6 \$678.4 **Operating Income** (Loss) Adjusted OIBDA **Broadband Services** Adjusted OIBDA (Investment) Voice Services Adjusted OIBDA (\$ in millions) Service Revenues

Q-O-Q improvements in 1Q11 service revenues due to:

Subscriber growth

Uptake of higher-ARPU service plans

Y-O-Y decrease in 1Q11 adjusted OIBDA reflects: All-in-monthly pricing which eliminated ~\$130M of annual revenue from late/other fees Investments in equipment subsidy and product cost to support transition to smartphones and national coverage

Believe beginning to benefit from cost leverage

Expect adjusted OIBDA margin improvement in the coming quarters Source: Leap s quarterly reports on Form 10-Q for the quarters ended March 31, 2010, June 30, 2010, September 30, 2010 and March 31, 2011 and Leap s annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010; note minor calculation differences in reported numbers due to rounding (1)Includes one-time ~\$3M expense associated with South Texas joint venture Adjusted OIBDA \$127.5 \$154.6 \$111.1

¢076
\$82.6
\$90.3
(\$4.5)
\$17.4
\$12.1
\$24.5
\$22.2
1Q
2Q
3Q
4Q
1Q
\$123.0
\$123.2
\$172.0
\$107.0
\$112.5
(1)

Positive Trends Continuing 19 Outlook Discussed at 1Q11 Earnings Conference Call Current 2Q11 Outlook Expect positive voice net additions in 2Q11 and voice gross additions closer to 2Q10 reported levels Expect decrease in number of Broadband customers Expect adjusted OIBDA margin improvement from 1Q11 to 2Q11

Edgar Filing: LEAP WIRELESS INTERNATIONAL INC - Form DEFA14A

Upgrade activity and associated cost expected to decline seasonally in 2Q11 Expect ARPU improvements to continue at pace similar to recent improvements Churn expected to follow 2008 patterns but at reduced levels

2Q11 Update: Y-O-Y churn improvement in 2Q11, with improvement moderated Expect Cash Cost Per User (CCU) to flatten or decline from 1Q11 to 2Q11 Cost Per Gross Customer Addition (CPGA) Expect device subsidy expense to increase due to change in dealer compensation 2Q11 Update: Increased subsidy expense in 2Q11 will also reflect successful promotional activity in the quarter CPGA

Expect sales & marketing spending levels to remain similar quarter over quarter Expect to have over 100,000 Muve Music customers soon

Strategic Initiatives To Drive Growth in Voice Customers 20 Expanded Branded Distribution Competitive Devices, Service Plans & Coverage Expansion of Unlimited Music Product Improved Customer Awareness LG Optimus C Ground-breaking music service Unlimited access to millions of full-track songs and ringtones that reside on mobile device, as part of \$55/month service plan Believe will provide Leap with differentiated product in national retail Broadened marketing efforts Expanding marketing programs outside of traditional customer demographic to appeal to all value-conscious consumers

Leap s retail presence is growing

Expanding distribution in Cricket-branded stores and national retail

Expect significant portion of retail expansion in time for holiday selling season

Improved offerings to increase competitive position

Building on introduction of all-in monthly service plans and nationwide coverage with introduction of new and evolving smartphone devices

MVNO Agreement Rapid Path to Nationwide Scale

National retail playing increasingly important role in prepaid wireless

Scale becoming a competitive advantage

MVNO agreement with Sprint entered into in August 2010 expected to provide Leap with nationwide reach and scale

Believe rapid, efficient means for Leap to gain major presence in national retail

Believe provides more cost-effective path to launching service in additional markets

Launch expected in second half of 2011

Facilitates launch of Muve Music nationwide 21

22

Optimizing our Network for Multiple Product Opportunities Utilizing Data Network Capacity Source: Internal Leap projections of network capacity and current and expected customer data product usage and performance, which are subject to change. Continue to see strong demand for data services due to continued strength of smartphone service plans Expanding and managing network capacity through: Device mix, market-level focus Network management initiatives Additional equipment and cell sites Potential for session-based data Consumer trends driving strong uptake in smartphones and other higher-ARPU services Opportunity to generate significant cash flow ~1M ~2.8M ~1.8M Approximate capacity usage as of 1Q11 4Q10 Capacity Forecast 1Q11 Capacity Forecast

Strategically Implementing LTE to Support Next Phase of Growth 23 Leap s LTE implementation being managed through gradual ramp-up and phased to be in

place when costs of LTE devices reach attractive levels for our customers Leap is launching its own LTE network beginning in 2011 and expects to supplement its LTE facilities coverage through roaming arrangements **Industry Milestones** 2013 2012 2011 2010 Leap Milestones First LTE markets in the U.S. First LTE smartphone launches Integrated LTE chipset available Integrated LTE devices introduced Device costs begin hitting broadly appealing consumer price points Leap launches R&D market Expected launch of first Leap LTE trial market Expected Leap commercial LTE deployment Expected growth of 4G on prepaid

Leap s Strategy Widely Supported 24

Leap executed well by driving growth mainly through smartphone adoption while containing opex. Despite upcoming seasonal net add softness in 2Q and 3Q, we **expect the benefits** of rising ARPU to translate into EBITDA margin expansion from 17% in 1Q11 to 22% in 4Q11.

Deutsche Bank, 5/6/11

churn

by 20 bps to 3.6%.

We believe that given the high ASPs for LTE handsets coupled with the painfully slow smartphone experience of 1xRTT speeds, Metro finds itself between a rock and a hard place. Leap, on the other hand, might have found itself in а sweet spot, where it could take advantage of falling 3G handset prices and leverage smartphone growth (and the Android platform) to grow EBITDA and margins faster than consensus anticipates in 2012. Citadel, 5/25/11 We expect continued success in existing markets plus ramping execution in new markets will allow Leap to generate а 16%+ **EBITDA** CAGR 2011-2014 which at the current 2012E EBITDA multiple leaves the company, in our view, among the most attractively valued growth-based investments in our coverage universe. Bank of America Merrill Lynch, 5/5/11 We believe the increased smartphone penetration coupled with the All-Inclusive plan will continue to improve churn as such, we are trimming 2011E

RBC Capital, 5/6/11 Leap **showed solid execution of its** turnaround strategy that began back in August 10. While we continue to believe Leap has good potential, in the near term, its go-tomarket strategy is still in transition and is carrying substantial near term costs. **LEAP s** focus on smart phones is beginning to show benefits in ARPU, churn, and subscriber growth

SunTrust, 5/6/11

,

;

•

BOARD OF DIRECTORS Experienced and Independent 25

Leap s Independent Slate of Nominees 26

Leap s Commitment to Good Corporate Governance 27 All directors (other than CEO) are independent under NASDAQ rules; all have alignment of interests with stockholders Wide range of relevant operational and financial expertise represented Non-executive Chairman of the Board Directors are elected annually

Edgar Filing: LEAP WIRELESS INTERNATIONAL INC - Form DEFA14A

Each board committee composed entirely of independent directors All directors attended more than 75% of Board and committee meetings in 2010 Company has adopted and disclosed Corporate Governance Guidelines

Leap s Board Continually Looks To Deliver Increased Value To Stockholders

In 2007, Leap engaged in discussions with MetroPCS following its unsolicited public offer

Board determined Metro s offer allocated disproportionate synergy value to Metro and offered essentially no premium to Leap stockholders

Edgar Filing: LEAP WIRELESS INTERNATIONAL INC - Form DEFA14A

Leap and Metro s discussions also limited by Leap s 4Q07 restatement and FCC-mandated M&A quiet period for spectrum auction participants

In 2008 and 2009, Leap approached Metro regarding possible joint opportunities, including partnerships to own/operate certain markets but significant discussions did not develop Leap also engaged in discussions with AWS spectrum holders and others regarding strategic and operating opportunities In 2010, Leap undertook comprehensive review of

alternatives to build stockholder value

Board added additional independent directors to help oversee process and ensure broad perspective

Appointed Special Committee of independent directors to oversee review

Special Committee and its financial advisors initiated discussions with numerous parties regarding potential strategic opportunities, including MetroPCS

Leap also began developing important new product and service plan offerings, which it believed would significantly improve operational performance

Special Committee and Board unanimously determined to pursue Leap s current operational strategy rolled out in 2010, which Leap believes has yielded significant results 28

Leap s **compensation** program tied to corporate performance, aligning interests of management with those of stockholders

Substantial majority of total compensation opportunity consists of annual cash bonus and long-term equity, which are tied to corporate and individual performance

2010 was period of continued, intense competition within wireless industry and ongoing transition in Leap s business, as new initiatives were implemented to improve competitive positioning and operational performance

Because many of Leap s new initiatives were introduced in 2H10, they did not significantly impact full-year 2010 results but are now leading to improved financial and operational performance

Compensation earned by senior management, including CEO, reflects business and responsible executive compensation program

No increases in CEO s \$750K base salary or annual target bonus in 2010 or 2011, which were significantly below 50th percentile provided by peer companies

CEO recommended that he receive **no cash bonus award for 2010** based upon Company s business transition and expected near-term business performance

More than **two-thirds of CEO** s total compensation for 2010 consisted of long-term equity compensation, primarily consisting of performance-vested restricted shares with vesting tied to stock price appreciation

Remaining executive officers received no increase to 2010 or 2011 base salaries, cash bonus awards wellbelow target bonus levels and equity compensation consisting primarily of performance-vested restricted shares

Responsible Executive Compensation Program

transition of Leap s

PENTWATER PROPOSAL AND PROXY CONTEST Opportunistic and Non-Compliant 30

Since announcing proxy fight, Pentwater has reduced its net holdings in Leap stock by ~40% (even selling on day it filed its initial proxy statement) (1)(2)Established short position covering more than 1.6M Leap shares, equal to ~67% of its 2.4M shares held as of 6/20/11 (2)Announced public proxy fight without first discussing operational

proposals or suggestions with the Company (and promptly started selling as stock price rose)			
Waited until end of nomination period to attempt to nominate directors			
In Leap s view, did not comply with bylaw requirements			
Failed to disclose material facts about Pentwater and its nominees, including that one			

Edgar Filing: LEAP WIRELESS INTERNATIONAL INC - Form DEFA14A

nominee is related to Pentwater portfolio manager and true size of its short position

Has offered only backward-looking critiques with no specific strategy for the Company beyond what management is already doing 31 Pentwater Interested Only In Short-Term Profits Pentwater Capital Management is an opportunistic investor with no long-term commitment to Leap and interests that differ from other stockholders (1)According to Pentwater s definitive proxy statement filed with the SEC on July 5, 2011, Pentwater sold 22,600 Leap shares and purchased 2,600 Leap shares on June 20, 2011. (2)

See Pentwater s definitive proxy statement filed with the SEC on July 5, 2011 for additional disclosure regarding Pentwater s activity in Leap common stock and options.

Leap welcomes stockholder input on all topics, including director nominations

Leap s bylaws designed to provide fairness and transparency, allowing stockholders to fully evaluate nominees independence and qualifications

In

Leap s view, Pentwater s nominations did not comply with bylaws in critical respects going to the heart of transparency, independence and alignment of stockholder interests: _ Failed to disclose that one nominee

is father of a Pentwater portfolio manager and nature of agreements/understandings between nominees and Pentwater _ Failed to adequately disclose that its ownership position in Leap common stock was substantially hedged by put options Failed to disclose whether it had formed group with other activist investors who have recently acquired Leap common stock and who have acted in concert with Pentwater in past

Revised bylaw requirements

```
were
adopted
in
December
2010.
months
ahead
of
director nomination
period
and prior to Company s receipt of any stockholder proposals
Shares
voted
for
Pentwater
nominees
will
not
be
counted
at
the
Annual
Meeting
absent
contrary
judgment by Delaware courts
Leap
believes
its
advance
notice
bylaws
are
fair
and
reasonable
and
similar
to
bylaws
of
many other
companies
including:
```

_

Allstate Corp., Boeing, eBay, Home Depot, FTI Consulting Inc., Fortune Brands, Hewlett Packard, Gilead Sciences, Inc., Juniper Networks, McGraw-Hill, PepsiCo, Safeway, Texas Instruments, United Continental Holdings, and VMWare

32 Leap s Bylaws Ensure Disclosure of Material Information and Overall Fairness

Cumulative Ownership (1) (Millions of shares) Pentwater s Actions Are Opportunistic and Reveal Weak Long-Term Commitment to Leap Pentwater s Short-Term Interest in Leap Not Aligned with Other Stockholders

(1)

See Pentwater s definitive proxy statement filed with the SEC on July 5, 2011 for additional disclosure regarding Pentwater s trading activity in Leap common stock and options.

(2)According to Pentwater s definitive proxy statement filed with the SEC on July 5, 2011, Pentwater sold 22,600 Leap shares and purchased 2,600 Leap shares on June 20, 2011. 10/15/10: Pentwater sold 1.04M shares in one day From 3/15/11 to 6/20/11 Pentwater sold 37% of its Leap shares 33 Pentwater did not own any Leap shares as recently as one year ago Has actively traded in and out of stock over last 17 months

Sold 1.4M shares in the three months prior to its initial proxy statement filing reducing its net position by ~40% (1)

Even on 6/20/11 (date of Pentwater s initial proxy filing) reduced net position by 20,000 shares (2)

Had short position covering

more than 1.6M Leap shares as recently as 6/20/11 Pentwater held zero shares February 5, 2010 June 20, 2011 8/3/10: Investor Day 3/10/11: Announced Proxy Fight

Lacks any strategy for the Company beyond actions Leap already pursuing

Comments are backward-looking; ignore Leap s strong position today, recent strong operating performance and prospects for improved performance in 2011 and beyond

Nominees do not have same level of experience/expertise as directors they are trying to replace

Criticisms of Leap s corporate governance are mis-informed and inaccurate 34 Pentwater s Actions Are Ill-timed, Mis-informed and Would Not Benefit Leap s Stockholders Pentwater appears to be interested in only short-term profit and has reduced its net holdings in Leap stock by ~40% since announcing proxy contest

Pentwater s Claims versus the Reality Governance Pentwater s Claims Reality 35 Leap s Board lacks strong corporate governance and

perspective Four Leap directors have ties to MHR and acted improperly as directors of Loral Space and Communications Leap s 2010 executive compensation was unreasonable All directors elected on annual basis All directors NASDAQ-independent (other than CEO), with wide range of operational and financial expertise Board expanded to eight in 2009 to bring additional skills and perspectives Two new candidates bring highly relevant skills and expertise

to help Leap at this stage of growth MHR s 19.8% stake aligns its interests with other stockholders. Unlike Pentwater, MHR has never reduced its stake or shorted Leap s stock Loral s stock price has increased ~440% since Loral decision in September 2008 and Dr. Rachesky, Mr. Harkey and Mr. Targoff all served on the Loral Board during this time period 2010 executive compensation responsible and appropriate in light of corporate performance and business transition No increase to 2010 or

2011 base salaries, no cash bonus award for CEO and awards well below target bonus levels for other executives, and equity compensation primarily in performance-vested restricted shares

Pentwater s Claims versus the Reality Governance (cont d) Pentwater s Claims Reality 36 Leap adopted poison pill to entrench management and stifle the voices of stockholders

Tax benefit preservation plan **adopted to deter potential ownership** change under tax laws that would jeopardize ~\$2B of Leap s NOLs

Generally ownership change occurs when greater than 50% change in ownership by 5% stockholders in any rolling 3-year period. When Plan implemented, Leap believed cumulative ownership change was in the **mid-40s**

Plan restricted acquisition of 5% or more of stock by new holders without exemption by Board, but also restricted existing 5% holders (including MHR Fund Management) from acquiring additional shares

When Plan adopted, no stockholder had indicated it would be nominating directors

On June 16, 2011, when finalizing items for 2011 Annual Meeting, Board: reviewed cumulative ownership change by 5% stockholders, which had decreased to 29% as a result of decrease, determined Plan was no longer necessary; and terminated Plan after more than 2 months of silence from Pentwater and before Pentwater filed proxy statement to pursue

contest

Pentwater never requested a waiver to acquire greater than 5% of Leap shares; in fact, Pentwater **sold Leap shares** while Plan was in place

Pentwater s Claims versus the Reality Strategic Transactions Leap s Board is entrenched, not open to a strategic transaction and should not have rejected MetroPCS proposal to merge with Leap in September 2007 Pentwater s Claims Reality 37

Leap s Board continually looks for opportunities to deliver increased value to stockholders; management has regularly stated that it sees the logic of further consolidation in the industry In 2007, Leap entered discussions with Metro following its unsolicited public offer Discussions limited by Leap s 4Q07 restatement and FCC-mandated M&A "quiet period" for spectrum auction participants

In 2008 and 2009, Leap approached Metro regarding possible joint opportunities, including partnerships to own/operate certain markets

but significant discussions did not develop

Leap also engaged in **discussions with AWS spectrum holders and others** regarding strategic and operating opportunities

In 2010, Leap undertook comprehensive strategic review and initiated discussions with numerous parties, including Metro Board added additional independent directors to help oversee process and ensure broad perspective After comprehensive review, Special Committee and Board unanimously determined to pursue Leap s current operational strategy, rolled out in 2010, which Leap believes has

yielded significant results

Pentwater s Claims versus the Reality Operations

Leap introduced all-inclusive service

plans in response to customer demand as soon as possible within constraints of prior customer billing system Leap has experienced strong customer adoption and migration to new service plans at YE10, two-thirds of customer base had migrated to new plans Mis-timed move to an all-in pricing model Pentwater s Claims Reality 38 Emphasizing and poorly executing a faulty broadband strategy Leap deployed а 3G strategy focused on increasing demand for data services Led initially with broadband due to attractive device pricing Consumers now transitioning rapidly

to smartphones as prices decline

Believe Leap s 3G strategy a success -Broadband contributed \$72.2 of adjusted OIBDA over last 4 quarters -Believe 3G investment well-timed; initial Broadband product and investment created opportunity for return in smartphone margins Leap now realizing

As a result of slow LTE adoption, competitors now forced to substantially increase 3G activities

Pentwater s Claims and the Reality Operations (cont d) Pentwater s Claims Reality 39 Mis-managing handset inventory Mis-management of cost structure relative to competitors Some momentum lost in mid-2010, but believe issues addressed through senior management changes and more robust back-office systems and forecasting Leap s underlying cost structure is similar to **MetroPCS** when adjusted for relative market penetration Recurring costs per unit (such as non-product network costs) are sensitive to customer penetration G&A spend similar when aligned with MetroPCS reporting format (which excludes customer care and billing) See Appendix 0 Leap focused on minimizing absolute spend despite challenges associated with managing approximately three times as many discrete markets Higher CPGA costs reflect direct-channel focus Device subsidy costs are lower due, in part, to lower indirect dealer compensation costs associated with higher direct sales mix 0 Headcount reflects acquisition of former Pocket markets, greater number

of discrete markets and higher number of direct stores

CONCLUSION Vote **FOR** Leap s Nominees on the **WHITE** Proxy Card 40

Leap led by strong, experienced Board and

management
team
that
are
creating
stockholder value
with strategy delivering results
-
Believe new business initiatives delivering dramatic operating improvements
including lower churn,
increased smartphone sales and significant APRU improvements
and position Leap for improved financial
performance and increased stockholder value
-
Changes in executive team and new back-office systems in 2010 improve execution
-
Additional
plans
in
place
to
build
on
momentum
through
expanded
focus
on
value-conscious
customers and expanded nationwide distribution
Pentwater
only
interested
in

in short-term profit

Pentwater has already reduced its net holdings in Leap stock by ~40% since announcing proxy contest

Had short positions covering more than 1.6M shares as recently as 6/20/11

Pentwater s actions are ill-timed, misinformed and would not benefit Leap s stockholders -Pentwater lacks any strategy for the Company beyond actions Leap already pursuing -Pentwater did not comply with Bylaws in Leap s view and their proposals don t stack up against

Pentwater is not the right choice 41 Leap s Directors Are Creating Stockholder Value and Committed to Company s Long-Term Success Do not jeopardize Leap s positive momentum vote FOR Leap s nominees on the WHITE proxy card

management s on-going execution

APPENDIX 42

Frequency of future advisory votes on executive compensation

Leap s Board recommends annual advisory vote

Stock option exchange program

Exchange of underwater employee options for lesser number of replacement options with exercise price equal to current FMV

Members of Leap s board and executive officers will not be allowed to participate

Only options with exercise price of \$30 or higher are eligible for exchange (well exceeds closing prices of Leap common stock for prior 52-week period)

Black-Scholes value of new options will be substantially less than value of surrendered options; exchange will not result in incremental accounting cost

Replacement options will be subject to three years of additional vesting

Options exchanged will be returned to plans for future grants

Ratification of selection of PWC as Leap s independent registered accounting firm for FY 11 43 Other 2011 Annual Meeting Agenda Items

Leap SG&A Comparable to MetroPCS 44

Leap SG&A comparable to Metro SG&A on apples-to-apples comparison

Metro reports SG&A in aggregate (1Q11: \$169.8M); identifies selling cost component (1Q11: \$91.9M) in CPGA reconciliation

Edgar Filing: LEAP WIRELESS INTERNATIONAL INC - Form DEFA14A

Leap separately reports G&A (1Q11: \$95.4M) and selling cost (1Q11: \$109.8M)

Leap, however, includes Customer Care and Billing expense (1Q11: \$33.7M) in reported G&A; Metro does not include in reported G&A but instead includes in Cost of Service Bar charts above eliminate \$33.7M of Leap 1Q11 Customer Care and Billing expense from Leap G&A to align with Metro SG&A reporting methodology

Leap sells greater percentage of handsets in Company-owned stores. Leap Selling Cost reflects expenses related to larger number of retail stores and retail store employees G&A Selling Cost Source: Leap s and MetroPCS s quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and related earnings releases for the quarter

Edgar Filing: LEAP WIRELESS INTERNATIONAL INC - Form DEFA14A

ended March 31, 2011. Covered POPs calculations based on 95.3M POPs for Leap and 98.7M POPs for MetroPCS

SG&A Per Covered POP 1Q09 1Q11 45

Leap currently operates in 65 markets and MetroPCS operates in 13

Relative quarterly spending varies depending on companies respective market launch activities and

Edgar Filing: LEAP WIRELESS INTERNATIONAL INC - Form DEFA14A

promotional efforts

Increased sales and marketing expense for Leap in 1Q09 due to market launch activities in Philadelphia and Chicago

Increased promotional efforts for Leap in 3Q09 in response to competitive Boost Mobile activity

Despite significant difference in number of markets, only a 6% average difference in SG&A per covered POP over last four quarters Source: Leap s and **MetroPCS** annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and/or earnings releases for the periods

presented.

13 65 Leap MetroPCS Leap Operates in More Markets with Less Population Density than MetroPCS Covered POPs (MM) (2): 95 99 **Total Markets** (1)Based upon information in MetroPCS s annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010; MetroPCS markets include Los Angeles, New York City, San Francisco, Dallas, South Florida, Detroit, Boston/Hartford, Philadelphia, Atlanta, North Florida, Sacramento, Central Florida a (2)Covered POPs calculated based on 95.3M POPs for Leap and 98.7M POPs for MetroPCS 7.6 1.5 Leap MetroPCS 741 874 Leap **MetroPCS** Average Covered POPs Per Market (MM) Average Density Per Market (Covered POPs / Square Mile) (1)46

Size of Leap's Operating Markets Has Grown Source: Internal Leap information

Leap has launched markets in three primary waves: 36 original markets; 17 additional markets acquired in Auction#58; and 12 additional markets acquired in Auction #66

The average size of each market (as measured in covered POPs/market) has steadily increased Number of Markets

36 17 12 Avg. CPOP Per Market (Early 2000 s) (2006) (2008) 47

% of Coverage Overlap with Leap (Based on Square Miles) Source: CoverageRight from American Roamer Database Leap Has Minimal Coverage Overlap with MetroPCS vs. Other Wireless Peers 48

Leap's Adjusted Capital Efficiency Better than MetroPCS Source: Leap s and MetroPCS annual reports on Form 10-K for the years ending December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010 and information presented in companies 1Q11 conference calls 29% 31% Leap PCS 2008-10 Adj. Capital Efficiency (%) (1)(1)Adjusted Capital Efficiency defined as Adjusted Capex /service revenue; Adjusted Capex defined as capital expenditures plus (2)FY2011 LEAP management guidance from 1Q11 conference call; Leap added no assets under capital leases from 2008-2010 a (3)FY2011 MetroPCS management guidance from 1Q11 conference call; service revenue of \$4.5B estimated per Morgan Stanley under capital leases in 2011 "Mid-Teens" 16-20% Leap PCS Projected 2011 Adj. Capital Efficiency (%) (1)current capex outlook remains in the mid teens as a percent of service revenue (2)current estimate for total 2011 capital expenditures is \$700MM to \$900MM (3)

In 2008-2010, Leap invested in 3G network upgrade, which provided foundation for Company s initial Broadband product and expanding smart device offerings

Other carriers that skipped initial 3G investment now spending capital in 2011 to upgrade 2G networks to improve limited customer experience for 3G smartphones on their networks

Leap and those other carriers will spend additional capital to upgrade networks: Leap for LTE to support future device offerings and other carriers for 3G to support current device offerings 49

Adjusted Capital Efficiency Analysis

MetroPCS added \$93MM, \$92MM, and \$77MM of capital lease assets in 2008, 2009, and 2010, respectively, while Leap added none

These capital lease transactions are analogous to capital expenditures

Add assets to balance sheet and increase net debt

Edgar Filing: LEAP WIRELESS INTERNATIONAL INC - Form DEFA14A

Due to capital lease accounting, this kind of lease capex does not run through the cash flow statement because at the initial transaction date no cash changes hands Adjusted Capital Efficiency analysis includes these capital leases for MetroPCS Source: Leap s and MetroPCS annual reports on Form 10-K for the years ending December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010 Adjusted Capital Efficiency Calculation \$MM 2008 2009 2010 Total Metro PCS Adjusted Capital Efficiency Calculation Service Revenue 2,437 3,130 3,690 9,257 Purchase of Property and Equipment 955 832 790 2,577 Assets Acquired under Capital Leases 93 92 77 262 Adjusted Capex 1,048 924 867 2,839 Adjusted Capital Efficiency 43% 30% 23% 31% LEAP Adjusted Capital Efficiency Calculation Service Revenue 1,782 2,242 2,483 6,507 Purchase of Property and Equipment 796 700 399

1,895 Assets Acquired under Capital Leases Adjusted Capex 796 700 399 1,895 Adjusted Capital Efficiency 45% 31% 16% 29% Adjusted Capital Efficiency Analysis 50

Similar Capital Efficiency for 2008-10 Even Without Inclusion of MetroPCS Capital Lease Assets 29% 28% Leap PCS 2008-10 Capital Efficiency (%) (1) "Mid-Teens" 16-20% Leap PCS (1)Capital Efficiency defined as capital expenditures/service revenue (2)FY2011 LEAP management guidance from 1Q11 conference call (3)FY2011 MetroPCS management guidance from 1Q11 conference call; service revenue of \$4.5B estimated per Morgan Stanley Projected 2011 Capital Efficiency (%) (1)current capex outlook remains in the mid teens as a percent of service revenue (2)current estimate for total 2011 capital expenditures is \$700MM to \$900MM (3)Source: Leap s and MetroPCS annual reports on Form 10-K for the years ending December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010 and information presented in companies 1Q11 conference calls Similar Capital Efficiency for 2008-10 Even Without Inclusion of **MetroPCS** Capital Lease Assets 51