EATON VANCE MASSACHUSETTS MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST Form N-CSR January 23, 2013

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

## Form N-CSR

# CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

**Investment Company Act File Number: 811-09147** 

## **Eaton Vance Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust**

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Charter)

Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

Maureen A. Gemma

#### Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110

(Name and Address of Agent for Services)

(617) 482-8260

(Registrant s Telephone Number)

November 30

**Date of Fiscal Year End** 

November 30, 2012

**Date of Reporting Period** 

Item 1. Reports to Stockholders

# **Municipal Income Trusts**

# **Annual Report**

November 30, 2012

California (CEV) Massachusetts (MMV) Michigan (EMI) New Jersey (EVJ)

New York (EVY) Ohio (EVO) Pennsylvania (EVP)

Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE MASSACHUSETTS MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST - Form N-CSR	

Fund shares are not insured by the FDIC and are not deposits or other obligations of, or guaranteed by, any depository institution. Shares are subject to investment risks, including possible loss of principal invested.

#### Annual Report November 30, 2012

## Eaton Vance

## **Municipal Income Trusts**

#### **Table of Contents**

Management s Discussion of Fund Performance	2
Performance and Fund Profile	
California Municipal Income Trust	4
Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust	5
Michigan Municipal Income Trust	6
New Jersey Municipal Income Trust	7
New York Municipal Income Trust	8
Ohio Municipal Income Trust	9
Pennsylvania Municipal Income Trust	10
Endnotes and Additional Disclosures	11
Financial Statements	12
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	60
Federal Tax Information	61
Dividend Reinvestment Plan	62
Management and Organization	64
Important Notices	67

## **Municipal Income Trusts**

November 30, 2012

Management s Discussion of Fund Performance

#### **Economic and Market Conditions**

In December 2011, an equity rally took hold and continued into early April 2012, fueled by stronger economic growth in the fourth quarter, falling unemployment and what the markets perceived as a successful restructuring of Greek debt. Then in May 2012, the third annual mid-year economic slowdown arrived, instigated by renewed concerns in Europe, slowing growth in China and continuing political uncertainty in the United States with the upcoming presidential election.

Despite a slowdown in consumer spending and weakening employment data, however, stocks moved upward intermittently from June 2012 through early fall in part because investors anticipated that worsening economic news would prompt the U.S. Federal Reserve to initiate another round of quantitative easing to stimulate the economy, which it did in September 2012. U.S. Treasury yields, meanwhile, fell to all-time lows in July 2012. In the final weeks of the period, however, during the run-up to the election and after President Obama's victory, the equity market retreated as investors turned their attention to the so-called fiscal cliff, the automatic triggering of spending cuts and expiration of tax cuts set to occur on New Year's Day 2013.

Against this backdrop, municipal bonds rallied during the one-year period ended November 30, 2012, led by the long end of the yield curve. The Barclays Capital Municipal Bond Index<sup>2</sup> an unmanaged index of municipal bonds traded in the United States returned 10.17% for the period, while the Funds benchmark, the Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index (the Index), returned 16.10%. As yields on high quality bonds fell, investors moved out on the yield curve, buying longer-maturity municipal bonds to potentially take advantage of higher yields. In their quest for income during a period of historically low interest rates, investors also favored lower-quality, higher-yielding issues over higher-quality bonds. As a result, longer-duration, lower credit-quality bonds were the best performers in the municipal space during the period.

Municipal bonds offered higher taxable-equivalent yields than Treasuries for much of the period. The ratio of 30-year AAA<sup>6</sup> municipal yields to 30-year Treasury yields which historically has averaged less than 100% because municipal yields are federally tax-exempt began the period at 125.9%, making municipal bonds attractive relative to Treasuries. After the election, however, investors seemed to view Obama s win as an indication that marginal tax

rates were likely to go up. That sentiment led to higher demand for tax-exempt municipals, driving the municipal-to-Treasury yield ratio down to 87.9% at period-end on November 30, 2012. For the one-year period, municipal bonds outperformed Treasuries.

#### Fund Performance

For the fiscal year ended November 30, 2012, all of the Funds shares at net asset value (NAV) outperformed the 16.10% return of the Index.

The Funds overall strategy is to invest in bonds with maturities of 10 years or more, in order to capture their generally higher yields and potentially greater income payments at the long end of the yield curve. Management tends to hedge to various degrees against the greater potential risk of volatility at the long end of the yield curve by using Treasury futures in seeking to provide downside protection.

For all Funds except the Massachusetts Fund, an overweighting in zero-coupon bonds—which were the strongest-performing coupon structure in the Index during the period—contributed to those Funds—relative outperformance versus the Index. However, security selection in the zero-coupon issues detracted from results relative to the Index for all Funds, as none was able to beat the 38.10% return of the zero-coupon allocation in the Index.

The Funds use of leverage contributed to the outperformance of all Funds versus the Index during the one-year period. In managing the Funds, management employs leverage to seek to enhance the Funds tax-exempt income. The use of leverage has the effect of achieving additional exposure to the municipal market. Leverage magnifies the Funds exposure to their underlying investments in both up and down markets. During this period of strong performance by municipal bonds, leverage was a key contributor to the Funds relative outperformance versus the unleveraged Index.

As a risk-management tactic within the overall Fund strategy mentioned above, interest-rate hedging is intended to moderate performance on both the upside and the downside of the market. During the one-year period, when municipal bonds and Treasuries performed strongly, the hedging strategy moderated a portion of the positive performance versus the Index of all Funds to various degrees.

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

### **Municipal Income Trusts**

November 30, 2012

Management s Discussion of Fund Performance continued

#### State-specific Results

Eaton Vance California Municipal Income Trust shares at NAV returned 25.59%, surpassing the 16.10% return of the Index. In addition to leverage and an overweighting in zero-coupon bonds, the Fund s longer call protection, relative to the Index, contributed to the Fund s relative outperformance. In a period of falling interest rates, such as occurred during the Fund s fiscal year, bond issuers tend to retire bonds as soon as they are callable and replace them with lower-interest issues. Thus in a rallying market, it may be beneficial for a fund to own bonds with longer call protection, because it allows the Fund to hold onto higher-yielding issues. Notable detractors from Fund performance versus the Index included the Fund s hedging strategy, security selection in zero-coupon bonds, and an underweighting in A-rated issues, which were the strongest-performing rating category in the Index. Underweighted positions in hospital bonds, industrial development revenue (IDR) bonds and lease revenue/certificates of participation (COP) bonds dragged on performance relative to the Index as well.

Eaton Vance Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust shares at NAV returned 22.28%, outperforming the 16.10% return of the Index. Key contributors to performance versus the Index included leverage, longer call protection, and an overweighting and security selection in the education sector, which performed well during the period. Detractors from performance versus the Index included security selection in zero-coupon bonds, the Fund shedging strategy, and underweights and security selection in A-rated and BBB-rated issues.

Eaton Vance Michigan Municipal Income Trust shares at NAV returned 20.92%, outperforming the 16.10% return of the Index. Leverage and an overweighting in zero-coupon bonds were contributors to relative outperformance versus the Index. The key detractors from performance versus the Index were security selection in zero-coupon bonds, an underweighting and security selection in IDR bonds, and an overweighting in high-quality, intermediate-maturity general obligation (GO) bonds.

Eaton Vance New Jersey Municipal Income Trust shares at NAV returned 20.18%, outpacing the 16.10% return of the Index. The Fund s relative outperformance versus the Index was driven by leverage, overweights in zero-coupon bonds and IDR bonds, and longer call protection. Primary detractors from the Fund s performance versus the Index included the

Fund s hedging strategy as well as security selection in both zero-coupon bonds and IDR bonds.

Eaton Vance New York Municipal Income Trust shares at NAV returned 24.30%, outperforming the 16.10% return of the Index. Key contributors to results versus the Index included leverage, an overweighting in zero-coupon bonds, an overweighting and security selection in IDR bonds, and longer call protection. Detractors from the Fund s performance versus the Index included security selection in zero-coupon bonds, the Fund s hedging strategy, and an underweighting and security selection in A-rated bonds.

Eaton Vance Ohio Municipal Income Trust shares at NAV returned 24.71%, outperforming the 16.10% return of the Index. Leverage, an overweighting in zero-coupon bonds, and longer call protection were the main drivers of results versus the Index. In contrast, security selection in zero-coupon bonds, the Fund s hedging strategy, and an underweighting in A-rated and BBB-rated bonds detracted from results versus the Index.

Eaton Vance Pennsylvania Municipal Income Trust shares at NAV returned 18.20%, outperforming the 16.10% return of the Index. The Fund s relative outperformance versus the Index was driven by leverage and an overweighing in zero-coupon bonds. Detractors from the Fund s performance versus the Index included security selection in zero-coupon bonds, the Fund s hedging strategy, an overweighting in pre-refunded bonds, and security selection in hospital and IDR issues.

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

## California Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2012

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

#### Portfolio Manager Cynthia J. Clemson

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	Five Years	Ter	Years
Fund at NAV	01/29/1999	25.59%	6.25%		6.52%
Fund at Market Price		22.22	9.26		7.24
Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index		16.10%	6.73%		6.29%
% Premium/Discount to NAV					
					0.15%
Distributions <sup>4</sup>					
Total Distributions per share for the period				\$	0.839
Distribution Rate at NAV					5.57%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV					9.55%
Distribution Rate at Market Price					5.56%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price					9.54%
% Total Leverage <sup>5</sup>					
Auction Preferred Shares (APS)					29.22%
Residual Interest Bond (RIB)					8.58
Fund Profile					

Credit Quality (% of total investments)<sup>6</sup>

The above chart includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles established in connection with the RIB financing.<sup>5</sup> Absent such securities, credit quality (% of total investments) is as follows:<sup>6</sup>

AAA	8.4%	BBB	10.7%
AA	54.3	BB	1.6
A	20.8	Not Rated	4.2

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

## Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2012

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

Portfolio Manager Craig R. Brandon, CFA

	T T	0 17	T1	TD \$7
% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	Five Years	Ten Years
Fund at NAV	01/29/1999	22.28%	8.14%	7.31%
Fund at Market Price		16.41	11.19	6.57
Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index		16.10%	6.73%	6.29%
% Premium/Discount to NAV				
				0.93%
Distributions <sup>4</sup>				
Total Distributions per share for the period				\$ 0.805
Distribution Rate at NAV				4.91%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV				7.98%
Distribution Rate at Market Price				4.87%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price				7.91%
% Total Leverage <sup>5</sup>				
APS				28.86%
RIB				7.03
				7.03
Fund Profile				

Credit Quality (% of total investments)<sup>6</sup>

The above chart includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles established in connection with the RIB financing.<sup>5</sup> Absent such securities, credit quality (% of total investments) is as follows:<sup>6</sup>

AAA	19.0%	BBB	8.4%
AA	41.5	BB	2.3
A	27.8	Not Rated	1.0

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

## Michigan Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2012

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

Portfolio Manager William H. Ahern, Jr., CFA

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	Five Years	Ten Years
Fund at NAV	01/29/1999	20.92%	7.78%	6.94%
Fund at Market Price		24.67	10.25	6.84
Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index		16.10%	6.73%	6.29%
% Premium/Discount to NAV				
				4.05%

Distributions <sup>4</sup>	
Total Distributions per share for the period	\$ 0.773
Distribution Rate at NAV	5.02%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV	8.07%
Distribution Rate at Market Price	5.23%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price	8.41%

% Total Leverage <sup>5</sup>	
APS	35.08%

Fund Profile

Credit Quality (% of total investments)<sup>6</sup>

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

## New Jersey Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2012

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

Portfolio Manager Adam Weigold, CFA

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	Five Years	Ten Years
Fund at NAV	01/29/1999	20.18%	6.52%	6.93%
Fund at Market Price		29.62	12.13	7.77
Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index		16.10%	6.73%	6.29%
% Premium/Discount to NAV				
				10.75%
Distributions <sup>4</sup>				
Total Distributions per share for the period				\$ 0.797
Distribution Rate at NAV				5.33%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV				9.01%
Distribution Rate at Market Price				4.81%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price				8.13%
% Total Leverage <sup>5</sup>				
APS				29.84%
RIB Fund Profile				8.44

Credit Quality (% of total investments)<sup>6</sup>

The above chart includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles established in connection with the RIB financing.<sup>5</sup> Absent such securities, credit quality (% of total investments) is as follows:<sup>6</sup>

AAA	12.1%	BB	0.6%
AA	28.9	В	2.1
A	43.4	Not Rated	0.8
BBB	12.1		

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

## New York Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2012

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

Portfolio Manager Craig R. Brandon, CFA

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	Five Years	Ten Years
Fund at NAV	01/29/1999	24.30%	7.32%	7.00%
Fund at Market Price		27.89	9.84	8.07
Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index		16.10%	6.73%	6.29%
% Premium/Discount to NAV				
10 I Tellium Discount to IVA V				3.93%
Distributions <sup>4</sup>				
Total Distributions per share for the period				\$ 0.910
Distribution Rate at NAV				5.86%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV				9.89%
Distribution Rate at Market Price				5.63%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price				9.50%
% Total Leverage <sup>5</sup>				
APS				24.43%
RIB				13.99
Fund Profile				

Credit Quality (% of total investments)<sup>6</sup>

The above chart includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles established in connection with the RIB financing.<sup>5</sup> Absent such securities, credit quality (% of total investments) is as follows:<sup>6</sup>

AAA	12.3%	BBB	13.4%
AA	37.0	BB	2.6
A	27.2	Not Rated	7.5

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

## Ohio Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2012

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

Portfolio Manager William H. Ahern, Jr., CFA

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	Five Years	Ten Years
Fund at NAV	01/29/1999	24.71%	7.83%	7.37%
Fund at Market Price		33.34	12.26	7.56
Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index		16.10%	6.73%	6.29%
% Premium/Discount to NAV				
				5.99%
Distributions <sup>4</sup>				
Total Distributions per share for the period				\$ 0.831
Distribution Rate at NAV				5.24%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV				8.57%
Distribution Rate at Market Price				4.95%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price				8.09%
% Total Leverage <sup>5</sup>				
APS				32.71%
RIB				2.09
Fund Profile				
- <del></del>				

Credit Quality (% of total investments) $^6$ 

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

## Pennsylvania Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2012

Performance<sup>2,3</sup>

Portfolio Manager Adam Weigold, CFA

n Years
6.64%
7.09
6.29%
2.17%
0.827
5.46%
8.67%
5.34%
8.48%
33.60%
2.62

Credit Quality (% of total investments)<sup>6</sup>

The above chart includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles established in connection with the RIB financing.<sup>5</sup> Absent such securities, credit quality (% of total investments) is as follows:<sup>6</sup>

AAA	3.4%	BB	6.3%
AA	44.5	Not Rated	3.1
A	42.7		

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

### **Municipal Income Trusts**

November 30, 2012

**Endnotes and Additional Disclosures** 

- The views expressed in this report are those of the portfolio manager(s) and are current only through the date stated at the top of this page. These views are subject to change at any time based upon market or other conditions, and Eaton Vance and the Fund(s) disclaim any responsibility to update such views. These views may not be relied upon as investment advice and, because investment decisions are based on many factors, may not be relied upon as an indication of trading intent on behalf of any Eaton Vance fund. This commentary may contain statements that are not historical facts, referred to as forward looking statements. The Fund s actual future results may differ significantly from those stated in any forward looking statement, depending on factors such as changes in securities or financial markets or general economic conditions, the volume of sales and purchases of Fund shares, the continuation of investment advisory, administrative and service contracts, and other risks discussed from time to time in the Fund s filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.
- <sup>2</sup> Barclays Capital Municipal Bond Index is an unmanaged index of municipal bonds traded in the U.S. Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index is an unmanaged index of municipal bonds traded in the U.S. with maturities of 22 years or more. Unless otherwise stated, index returns do not reflect the effect of any applicable sales charges, commissions, expenses, taxes or leverage, as applicable. It is not possible to invest directly in an index.
- <sup>3</sup> Performance results reflect the effects of leverage.
- 4 The Distribution Rate is based on the Fund s last regular distribution per share in the period (annualized) divided by the Fund s NAV or market price at the end of the period. The Fund s distributions may be composed of ordinary income, tax- exempt income, net realized capital gains and return of capital. Taxable-equivalent performance is based on the highest combined federal and state income tax rates, where applicable. Lower tax rates would result in lower tax-equivalent performance. Actual tax rates will vary depending on your income, exemptions and deductions. Rates do not include local taxes. Subsequent distributions declared, but not reflected in Fund Performance, reflect a reduction of the monthly distribution for Ohio Municipal Income Trust.
- Fund employs RIB financing and/or APS leverage. The leverage created by RIB investments and APS provides an opportunity for increased income but, at the same time, creates special risks (including the likelihood of greater price volatility). The cost of leverage rises and falls with changes in short-term interest rates. See Floating Rate Notes Issued in Conjunction with Securities Held in the notes to the financial statements for more information about RIB financing. RIB leverage represents the amount of Floating Rate Notes outstanding at period end as a percentage of Fund net assets applicable to common shares plus APS and Floating Rate Notes. APS leverage represents the liquidation value of the Fund s APS outstanding at period end as a percentage of Fund net assets applicable to common shares plus APS and Floating Rate Notes. The Fund is required to maintain prescribed asset coverage for its APS, which could be reduced if Fund asset values decline. Floating Rate Notes in both calculations reflect the effect of RIBs purchased in secondary market transactions, if applicable.
- <sup>6</sup> Ratings are based on Moody s, S&P or Fitch, as applicable. Credit ratings are based largely on the rating agency s investment analysis at the time of rating and the rating assigned to any particular security is not necessarily a reflection of the issuer s current financial condition. The rating assigned to a security by a rating agency does not necessarily reflect its assessment of the volatility of a security s market value or of the liquidity of an investment in the security. If securities are rated differently by the rating agencies, the higher rating is applied. Ratings of BBB or higher by Standard and Poor s or Fitch (Baa or higher by Moody s) are considered to be investment grade quality.

Fund profile subject to change due to active management.

## California Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 159.5%

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Education 18.1%  California Educational Facilities Authority, (Claremont McKenna College), 5.00%, 1/1/39  California Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvey Mudd College), 5.25%, 12/1/31  California Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvey Mudd College), 5.25%, 12/1/36  California Educational Facilities Authority, (Loyola Marymount University), 5.00%, 10/1/30  California Educational Facilities Authority, (Lutheran University), 5.00%, 10/1/29  California Educational Facilities Authority, (Santa Clara University), 5.00%, 9/1/23  California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of San Francisco), 6.125%, 10/1/36  California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of Southern California), 5.25%, 10/1/39  California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of the Pacific), 5.00%, 11/1/30  California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.00%, 10/1/31  California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.05%, 10/1/26  California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.25%, 10/1/27  California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.25%, 10/1/28  University of California, 5.25%, 5/15/39	\$	3,135 195 330 745 2,580 1,600 235 2,490 630 415 285 810 850 895 1,250	\$	3,591,080 234,938 388,912 849,039 2,659,490 2,095,184 2976,795 741,705 483,720 323,848 980,586 1,022,678 1,072,926 1,495,387
			\$	19,212,235
Electric Utilities 14.2% Chula Vista, (San Diego Gas and Electric), 5.875%, 2/15/34 Chula Vista, (San Diego Gas and Electric), (AMT), 5.00%, 12/1/27 Colton Public Financing Authority, Electric System Revenue, 5.00%, 4/1/27 Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, Electric System Revenue, 5.25%, 7/1/32 Northern California Power Agency, 5.25%, 8/1/24 Sacramento Municipal Utility District, 5.00%, 8/15/27 Sacramento Municipal Utility District, 5.00%, 8/15/28 Southern California Public Power Authority, (Tieton Hydropower), 5.00%, 7/1/35 Vernon, Electric System Revenue, 5.125%, 8/1/21	\$	270 2,275 1,500 2,170 1,500 1,335 1,795 680 1,300	\$	324,648 2,556,122 1,763,340 2,594,821 1,784,550 1,624,415 2,179,381 789,405 1,483,521
			\$ :	15,100,203
Security	(000)	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
General Obligations 19.7% California, 5.50%, 11/1/35	\$	1,600	\$	1,975,904

California, 6.00%, 4/1/38	750	931,965
California, (AMT), 5.05%, 12/1/36	1,030	1,063,320
Cupertino Union School District, (Election of 2012), 5.00%, 8/1/35	1,000	1,206,370
Cupertino Union School District, (Election of 2012), 5.00%, 8/1/36	1,630	1,960,189
Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.00%, 8/1/32	195	223,306
Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.00%, 8/1/33	215	243,303
Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.00%, 8/1/34	235	264,363
Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.25%, 8/1/35	230	262,441
Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.25%, 8/1/36	280	318,324
Larkspur-Corte Madera School District, (Election of 2011), 4.50%, 8/1/39	1,000	1,158,790
Menlo Park City School District, 5.00%, 7/1/30	1,035	1,297,942
Palo Alto, (Election of 2008), 5.00%, 8/1/40	3,655	4,323,975
San Jose-Evergreen Community College District, (Election of 2010), 5.00%, 8/1/33	740	900,491
San Jose-Evergreen Community College District, (Election of 2010), 5.00%, 8/1/35	860	1,039,112
Santa Clara County, (Election of 2008), 5.00%, 8/1/39(1)(2)	3,180	3,752,114

#### \$ 20,921,909

#### Hospital 17.4%

California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), 5.25%, 3/1/27	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,178,970
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), 5.25%, 3/1/28	190	222,783
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), 5.625%, 7/1/32	1,000	1,101,690
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (City of Hope), 5.00%, 11/15/35	910	1,052,506
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (City of Hope), 5.00%, 11/15/39	910	1,056,728
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Memorial Health Services), 5.00%, 10/1/27	2,000	2,436,480
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Memorial Health Services), 5.00%, 10/1/33	1,000	1,178,090
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Providence Health System), 6.50%, 10/1/38	1,475	1,818,262
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Stanford Hospital and Clinics), 5.00%, 8/15/51	1,530	1,758,888
California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (John Muir Health), 5.00%, 8/15/34	600	667,098

12

See Notes to Financial Statements.

## California Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security		Principal Amount s omitted)		Value	
Hospital (continued) California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (John Muir Health), 5.00%, 8/15/36 Torrance, (Torrance Memorial Medical Center), 5.50%, 6/1/31 Washington Township Health Care District, 5.00%, 7/1/32 Washington Township Health Care District, 5.25%, 7/1/29	\$	445 1,900 2,780 700		494,764 1,904,807 2,926,868 701,414	
			\$ 1	8,499,348	
Housing 1.0% Commerce, (Hermitage III Senior Apartments), 6.50%, 12/1/29 Commerce, (Hermitage III Senior Apartments), 6.85%, 12/1/29	\$	665 392	\$	677,318 398,841	
			\$	1,076,159	
Industrial Development Revenue 1.3% California Pollution Control Financing Authority, (Waste Management, Inc.), (AMT), 5.125%, 11/1/23	\$	1,235	\$	1,351,115	
			\$	1,351,115	
Insured Education 4.3% California Educational Facilities Authority, (Pepperdine University), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 12/1/35 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Santa Clara University), (NPFG), 5.00%, 9/1/23	\$	2,660 1,250		2,933,608 1,636,862	
			\$	4,570,470	
Insured Electric Utilities 3.0%	¢	2.700	¢.	2 147 120	
Glendale, Electric System Revenue, (AGC), 5.00%, 2/1/31	\$	2,790		3,147,120 3,147,120	
Insured Escrowed / Prerefunded 3.6% Foothill/Eastern Transportation Corridor Agency, Toll Road Bonds, (AGM), (RADIAN), Escrowed to Maturity, 0.00%, 1/1/26	\$	5,130	\$	3,840,267	
			ф	2 0 40 2/5	

\$ 3,840,267

Insured General Obligations 8.2%  Coast Community College District, (Election of 2002), (AGM), 0.00%, 8/1/34  Coast Community College District, (Election of 2002), (AGM), 0.00%, 8/1/35  Riverside Community College District, (Election of 2004), (AGM), (NPFG), 5.00%, 8/1/32  Security	6,485 4,825 2,005 Principal Amount s omitted)	\$	2,065,408 1,450,009 2,306,211 <b>Value</b>
Insured General Obligations (continued) Sweetwater Union High School District, (Election of 2000), (AGM), 0.00%, 8/1/25	\$ 4,720		2,951,227 <b>8,772,855</b>
Insured Hospital 9.1% California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Kaiser Permanente), (BHAC), 5.00%, 4/1/37 California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Kaiser Permanente), (BHAC), 5.00%, 3/1/41 <sup>(1)</sup> California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Sutter Health), (AMBAC), (BHAC), 5.00%, 11/15/38 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 2,900 750 5,000		3,224,800 824,227 5,684,100 <b>9,733,127</b>
Insured Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 10.0% Anaheim Public Financing Authority, (Public Improvements), (AGM), 0.00%, 9/1/17 Puerto Rico Public Finance Corp., (AMBAC), Escrowed to Maturity, 5.50%, 8/1/27 San Diego County Water Authority, Certificates of Participation, (AGM), 5.00%, 5/1/38(1)	\$ 4,410 2,000 3,500		3,912,375 2,865,580 3,913,560 <b>10,691,515</b>
Insured Special Tax Revenue 1.6% Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/1/54 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45	\$ 9,465 5,085	\$ <b>\$</b>	850,430 869,739 <b>1,720,169</b>
Insured Transportation 10.8%  Alameda Corridor Transportation Authority, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 10/1/29  Alameda Corridor Transportation Authority, (NPFG), 0.00%, 10/1/31  Puerto Rico Highway and Transportation Authority, (AGC), (CIFG), 5.25%, 7/1/41(1)(2)  San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor Agency, (NPFG), 0.00%, 1/15/32  San Jose Airport, (AGM), (AMBAC), (BHAC), (AMT), 5.00%, 3/1/37  San Jose Airport, (AGM), (AMBAC), (BHAC), (AMT), 6.00%, 3/1/47	\$ 5,000 4,500 740 10,000 1,320 1,350		2,127,600 1,819,710 848,995 3,746,400 1,409,641 1,536,057 11,488,403

13

See Notes to Financial Statements.

## California Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted	)	Value
Insured Water and Sewer 3.9% East Bay Municipal Utility District, Water System Revenue, (FGIC), (NPFG), 5.00%, 6/1/32 <sup>(1)</sup> Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, (NPFG), 3.00%, 7/1/30	\$	2,000 1,830		2,307,840 1,835,106
			\$ 4	4,142,946
Other Revenue 2.1% California Infrastructure and Economic Development Bank, (Performing Arts Center of Los Angeles), 5.00%, 12/1/32 California Infrastructure and Economic Development Bank, (Performing Arts Center of Los Angeles), 5.00%, 12/1/37 Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corp., 5.30%, (0.00% until 12/1/12), 6/1/37 Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corp., 5.75%, 6/1/47	\$	385 315 980 640	\$	416,489 337,333 876,316 597,485
			\$ 2	2,227,623
Senior Living / Life Care 1.8%  ABAG Finance Authority for Nonprofit Corporations, (Episcopal Senior Communities), 6.00%, 7/1/31  California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Southern California Presbyterian Homes), 4.75%, 11/15/26  California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Southern California Presbyterian Homes), 4.875%, 11/15/36  California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Southern California Presbyterian Homes), 7.25%, 11/15/41	\$	290 175 700 600	<b>\$</b>	341,591 179,436 710,164 699,768
Special Tax Revenue 12.0% Brentwood Infrastructure Financing Authority, 5.00%, 9/2/26 Brentwood Infrastructure Financing Authority, 5.00%, 9/2/34 Corona Public Financing Authority, 5.80%, 9/1/20 Eastern Municipal Water District, Community Facilities District No. 2004-27, (Cottonwood Ranch), Special Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 9/1/27 Eastern Municipal Water District, Community Facilities District No. 2004-27, (Cottonwood Ranch), Special Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 9/1/36 Fontana Redevelopment Agency, (Jurupa Hills), 5.60%, 10/1/27 Los Angeles County Community Facilities District No. 3, (Valencia/Newhall Area), 5.00%, 9/1/22	\$	285 460 935 190 480 1,590 240 <b>Principal</b>	<b>\$</b>	286,961 443,997 939,432 194,171 487,670 1,599,842 281,172
Security	(000	Amount s omitted)		Value
Special Tax Revenue (continued) Los Angeles County Community Facilities District No. 3, (Valencia/Newhall Area), 5.00%, 9/1/23	\$	480	\$	558,134

Los Angeles County Community Facilities District No. 3, (Valencia/Newhall Area), 5.00%, 9/1/24 Los Angeles County Community Facilities District No. 3, (Valencia/Newhall Area), 5.00%, 9/1/25 Los Angeles County Community Facilities District No. 3, (Valencia/Newhall Area), 5.00%, 9/1/26 Moreno Valley Unified School District, (Community School District No. 2003-2), 5.75%, 9/1/24 Moreno Valley Unified School District, (Community School District No. 2003-2), 5.90%, 9/1/29 San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 7/1/28 Santaluz Community Facilities District No. 2, 6.10%, 9/1/21 Santaluz Community Facilities District No. 2, 6.20%, 9/1/30 Temecula Unified School District, 5.00%, 9/1/37 Tustin Community Facilities District, 6.00%, 9/1/37 Whittier Public Financing Authority, (Greenleaf Avenue Redevelopment), 5.50%, 11/1/23	240 335 240 420 750 2,400 250 490 250 400 500	276,859 385,250 275,066 421,844 753,090 2,901,600 251,665 492,558 257,825 405,980 528,010 1,001,260
Transportation 11.3% Bay Area Toll Authority, Toll Bridge Revenue, (San Francisco Bay Area), Escrowed to Maturity, 5.00%, 4/1/31 Bay Area Toll Authority, Toll Bridge Revenue, (San Francisco Bay Area), 5.25%, 4/1/29 Los Angeles Department of Airports, (Los Angeles International Airport), 5.00%, 5/15/35(1)(2) Los Angeles Department of Airports, (Los Angeles International Airport), (AMT), 5.375%, 5/15/30 Port of Redwood City, (AMT), 5.125%, 6/1/30 San Francisco City and County Airport Commission, (San Francisco International Airport), 5.00%, 5/1/35	\$ 2,000 1,000 2,120 1,500 1,170 2,760	1,222,790 2,455,914 1,693,020
Water and Sewer 6.1% Beverly Hills Public Financing Authority, Water Revenue, 5.00%, 6/1/30 California Department of Water Resources, 5.00%, 12/1/29	\$ 1,795 1,840	\$ 2,270,460 2,191,256

14

See Notes to Financial Statements.

## California Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Water and Sewer (continued) San Mateo, Sewer Revenue, 5.00%, 8/1/36	\$ 1,700	\$	2,008,295
		\$	6,470,011
Total Tax-Exempt Investments 159.5% (identified cost \$151,193,761)		\$ 1	69,632,074
Auction Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative Unpaid Dividends (47.0)%		\$ (	(49,975,000)
Other Assets, Less Liabilities (12.5)%		\$ (	(13,290,216)
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%		\$ 1	06,366,858

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets applicable to common shares.

AGC Assured Guaranty Corp.

AGM Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp. AMBAC AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

AMT Interest earned from these securities may be considered a tax preference item for purposes of the Federal Alternative Minimum Tax.

BHAC Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp.
CIFG CIFG Assurance North America, Inc.
FGIC Financial Guaranty Insurance Company
NPFG National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.

RADIAN Radian Group, Inc.

The Trust invests primarily in debt securities issued by California municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at November 30, 2012, 34.3% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution ranged from 0.5% to 13.8% of total investments.

<sup>(1)</sup> Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1H).

(2) Security (or a portion thereof) has been pledged as collateral for residual interest bond transactions. The aggregate value of such collateral is \$2,712,022.

15

See Notes to Financial Statements.

## Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2012

Security

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 153.5%

		Principal Amount	
Security	(000	s omitted)	Value
Bond Bank 6.1%  Massachusetts Water Pollution Abatement Trust, 5.25%, 8/1/33  Massachusetts Water Pollution Abatement Trust, 5.25%, 8/1/34	\$	910 990	1,300,053 1,421,472 <b>2,721,525</b>
Education 27.3%  Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Middlesex School), 5.00%, 9/1/33  Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Milton Academy), 5.00%, 9/1/35  Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (New England Conservatory of Music), 5.25%, 7/1/38  Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Berklee College of Music), 5.00%, 10/1/32  Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Boston College), 5.50%, 6/1/35  Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvard University), 5.00%, 10/1/38(1)  Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Massachusetts Institute of Technology), 5.00%, 7/1/38  Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Northeastern University), 5.00%, 10/1/35  Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Tufts University), 5.375%, 8/15/38	\$	600 1,080 625 1,500 1,640 1,500 415 1,350 1,420	609,084 1,259,658 671,181 1,705,440 2,377,278 1,762,920 484,351 1,557,104 1,740,395 2,167,411
General Obligations 16.9% Boston, 4.00%, 4/1/24 Cambridge, 4.00%, 2/15/21 Danvers, 5.25%, 7/1/36 Lexington, 4.00%, 2/1/20 Lexington, 4.00%, 2/1/21 Lexington, 4.00%, 2/1/22 Lexington, 4.00%, 2/1/23 Newton, 5.00%, 4/1/36 Plymouth, 5.00%, 5/1/31 Plymouth, 5.00%, 5/1/32 Wayland, 5.00%, 2/1/36 Winchester, 5.00%, 4/15/36	\$	300 595 885 320 415 430 355 750 345 315 510 770 245	\$ 354,768 723,764 1,078,488 386,797 506,387 526,965 436,909 869,460 417,836 380,696 619,844 924,962 295,436

34

Value

\$ 7,522,312

	(000 s omitted)			
H - '- 1 - 07 467				
Hospital 27.4%  Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Berkshire Health Systems), 5.00%, 10/1/31	\$	1,000	¢ 1	142,930
Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Tufts Medical Center), 7.25%, 1/1/32	φ	600		772,644
Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (UMass Memorial), 5.50%, 7/1/31		1,655		932,659
Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Baystate Medical Center, Inc.), 5.75%, 7/1/36		1,210		386,854
Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Children s Hospital), 5.25%, 12/1/39		500		572,790
Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Dana-Farber Cancer Institute), 5.00%, 12/1/37		1,135		272,619
Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Jordan Hospital), 6.75%, 10/1/33		755		768,665
Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Lowell General Hospital), 5.125%, 7/1/35		970		030,654
Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Partners Healthcare System), 5.00%, 7/1/32 <sup>(1)</sup>		2,000		252,300
Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (South Shore Hospital), 5.75%, 7/1/29		675		677,416
Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Southcoast Health System), 5.00%, 7/1/29		350		387,027
			\$ 12	196,558
			φ 12,	170,550
Housing 6.3%				
Massachusetts Housing Finance Agency, (AMT), 4.75%, 12/1/48	\$	2,100	\$ 2,	149,350
Massachusetts Housing Finance Agency, (AMT), 5.00%, 12/1/28		650		672,932
			\$ 2,	822,282
Industrial Development Revenue 1.6%				
Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Covanta Energy), (AMT), 4.875%, 11/1/27	\$	700	\$	709,625
			\$	709,625
Insured Education 10.2%				
Massachusetts College Building Authority, (XLCA), 5.50%, 5/1/39	\$	1,000		438,970
Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (College of the Holy Cross), (AMBAC), 5.25%, 9/1/32 <sup>(1)(2)</sup>		1,365		911,874
Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Franklin W. Olin College), (XLCA), 5.25%, 7/1/33		1,165	1,	191,946
			\$ 4,	542,790
			. ,	*

16

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Principal Amount

## Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Insured Electric Utilities 1.4% Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (NPFG), 5.25%, 7/1/29	\$ 570		632,649 <b>632,649</b>
Insured General Obligations 3.2% Massachusetts, (AMBAC), 5.50%, 8/1/30	\$ 1,000		,445,160 , <b>445,160</b>
Insured Hospital 0.9%  Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Cape Cod Healthcare), (AGC), 5.00%, 11/15/25	\$ 335		379,602 <b>379,602</b>
Insured Other Revenue 1.9% Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (WGBH Educational Foundation), (AMBAC), 5.75%, 1/1/42	\$ 590		834,437 <b>834,437</b>
Insured Special Tax Revenue 11.2%  Martha s Vineyard Land Bank, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 5/1/32  Massachusetts, Special Obligation, Dedicated Tax Revenue, (FGIC), (NPFG), 5.50%, 1/1/29  Massachusetts School Building Authority, Dedicated Sales Tax Revenue, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 8/15/37(1)  Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/1/54  Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45	\$ 1,450 1,000 1,340 3,385 1,825	1.	,474,882 ,328,510 ,546,735 304,143 312,148
Insured Student Loan 4.7%  Massachusetts Educational Financing Authority, (AGC), (AMT), 6.35%, 1/1/30  Massachusetts Educational Financing Authority, (AMBAC), (AMT), 4.70%, 1/1/33	\$ 365 1,625	\$ 1.	414,253 ,662,765

Insured Transportation 0.7%  Massachusetts Port Authority, (Bosfuel Project), (FGIC), (NPFG), (AMT), 5.00%, 7/1/32	\$	315	\$	333,276
Security	(000)	Principal Amount s omitted)	\$	333,276 Value
Other Revenue 2.7%  Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Isabella Stewart Gardner Museum), 5.00%, 5/1/22  Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Isabella Stewart Gardner Museum), 5.00%, 5/1/25	\$	500 505		599,230 596,102
			\$ 1,	195,332
Senior Living / Life Care 5.6%  Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Berkshire Retirement Community, Inc.), 5.15%, 7/1/31  Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Berkshire Retirement Community, Inc.), 5.625%, 7/1/29  Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Carleton-Willard Village), 5.625%, 12/1/30  Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (VOA Concord Assisted Living, Inc.), 5.125%, 11/1/27  Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (VOA Concord Assisted Living, Inc.), 5.20%, 11/1/41	\$	250 1,500 125 140 475	1,	250,105 501,395 141,081 141,387 471,604 505,572
Special Tax Revenue 7.6%  Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority, 5.25%, 7/1/34  Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority, Sales Tax Revenue, 0.00%, 7/1/31  Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority, Sales Tax Revenue, 0.00%, 7/1/34  Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority, 5.00%, 10/1/39  Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority, 6.75%, 10/1/37	\$	140 1,665 5,195 75 335	1,	166,089 746,819 990,049 80,160 400,620 383,737
Transportation 7.0%  Massachusetts Department of Transportation, (Metropolitan Highway System), 5.00%, 1/1/37  Massachusetts Port Authority, 5.00%, 7/1/28  Massachusetts Port Authority, 5.00%, 7/1/34	\$	1,500 500 670		710,225 617,005 807,832 135,062
Water and Sewer 10.8%  Boston Water and Sewer Commission, 5.00%, 11/1/26  Boston Water and Sewer Commission, 5.00%, 11/1/29	\$	2,005 495		468,556 603,549

17

## Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Water and Sewer (continued) Boston Water and Sewer Commission, 5.00%, 11/1/31 Massachusetts Water Resources Authority, 5.00%, 8/1/28	\$ 225 1,195	\$ <b>\$</b>	272,050 1,479,553 <b>4,823,708</b>
Total Tax-Exempt Investments 153.5% (identified cost \$59,981,205)			68,394,474
Auction Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative Unpaid Dividends (45.0)%		\$ (	20,050,600)
Other Assets, Less Liabilities (8.5)%		\$	(3,794,987)
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%		\$	44,548,887

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets applicable to common shares.

AGC Assured Guaranty Corp.
AMBAC AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

AMT Interest earned from these securities may be considered a tax preference item for purposes of the Federal Alternative Minimum Tax.

FGIC Financial Guaranty Insurance Company
NPFG National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.

XLCA XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

The Trust invests primarily in debt securities issued by Massachusetts municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at November 30, 2012, 22.2% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution ranged from 1.2% to 13.4% of total investments.

<sup>(1)</sup> Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1H).

(2) Security (or a portion thereof) has been pledged as collateral for residual interest bond transactions. The aggregate value of such collateral is \$1,001,874.

18

# Michigan Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2012

#### Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 150.9%		Principal		
Security	(000	Amount s omitted)		Value
Bond Bank 4.2% Michigan Municipal Bond Authority, 5.00%, 10/1/29 Michigan Municipal Bond Authority, 5.00%, 10/1/30	\$	600 500	\$ <b>\$</b>	735,294 627,025 <b>1,362,319</b>
Education 11.3% Grand Valley State University, 5.625%, 12/1/29 Grand Valley State University, 5.75%, 12/1/34 Michigan Higher Education Facilities Authority, (Hillsdale College), 5.00%, 3/1/35 Michigan State University, 5.00%, 2/15/40 Michigan State University, 5.00%, 2/15/44 Oakland University, 5.00%, 3/1/42	\$	525 525 200 1,000 460 500	\$ <b>\$</b>	610,318 612,864 203,598 1,141,610 521,290 567,490 3,657,170
Electric Utilities 3.7% Lansing Board of Water and Light, 5.50%, 7/1/41 Michigan Public Power Agency, 5.00%, 1/1/43	\$	500 550	\$ <b>\$</b>	608,990 603,620 <b>1,212,610</b>
Escrowed / Prerefunded 1.8%  Macomb County Hospital Finance Authority, (Mount Clemens General Hospital), Prerefunded to 11/15/13, 5.875%, 11/15/34	\$	560	\$ <b>\$</b>	590,162 <b>590,162</b>
General Obligations 33.2%  Allegan Public Schools, 5.00%, 5/1/31  Ann Arbor Public Schools, 4.50%, 5/1/24  Comstock Park Public Schools, 5.00%, 5/1/28  Comstock Park Public Schools, 5.125%, 5/1/31  Comstock Park Public Schools, 5.25%, 5/1/33  Howell Public Schools, 4.50%, 5/1/29  Jenison Public Schools, 5.00%, 5/1/28  Jenison Public Schools, 5.00%, 5/1/30	\$	1,000 350 230 275 220 620 500	\$	1,161,130 396,032 269,314 322,094 257,387 714,767 578,535 576,920

Kent County, 5.00%, 1/1/25	1,500	1,750,545
Kent County, (AMT), 5.00%, 1/1/28	1,000	1,172,260
Livingston County, 4.00%, 6/1/28	310	350,374
Livingston County, 4.00%, 6/1/30	335	374,115
Michigan, 5.00%, 11/1/20	1,000	1,283,470
Michigan, 5.50%, 11/1/25	270	329,937
Northview Public Schools, 5.00%, 5/1/32	725	838,796
St. Clair County, (Convention Center), 3.75%, 4/1/42	375	382,699

\$ 10,758,375

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Gaylord Hospital Finance Authority, (Otsego Memorial Hospital Association), 6.20%, 1/1/25 Gaylord Hospital Finance Authority, (Otsego Memorial Hospital Association), 6.50%, 1/1/37 Kent Hospital Finance Authority, (Spectrum Health), 5.50% to 1/15/15 (Put Date), 1/15/47 Mecosta County, (Michigan General Hospital), 6.00%, 5/15/18 Michigan Finance Authority, (Oakwood Obligated Group), 5.00%, 11/1/32 Michigan Hospital Finance Authority, (Henry Ford Health System), 5.00%, 11/15/38 Michigan Hospital Finance Authority, (Henry Ford Health System), 5.25%, 11/15/46 Michigan Hospital Finance Authority, (McLaren Health Care), 5.00%, 6/1/35 Michigan Hospital Finance Authority, (McLaren Health Care), 5.00%, 8/1/35 Michigan Hospital Finance Authority, (Memorial Healthcare Center), 5.875%, 11/15/21 Michigan Hospital Finance Authority, (MidMichigan Obligated Group), 6.125%, 6/1/39 Michigan Hospital Finance Authority, (Trinity Health Corp.), 5.00%, 12/1/27 Monroe County Hospital Finance Authority, (Mercy Memorial Hospital Corp.), 5.375%, 6/1/26 Saginaw Hospital Finance Authority, (Covenant Medical Center, Inc.), 5.00%, 7/1/30	\$	185 125 275 360 500 675 1,000 250 1,080 750 500 1,000 425 1,000	\$ 191,740 129,039 302,010 360,817 569,805 716,344 1,070,830 284,755 1,168,333 751,380 592,175 1,182,300 456,288 1,087,690 \$ 8,863,506
Housing 1.4% Michigan Housing Development Authority, 4.60%, 12/1/26	\$	410	\$ 459,237 <b>\$ 459,237</b>
Industrial Development Revenue 4.7%  Detroit Local Development Finance Authority, (Chrysler Corp.), 5.375%, 5/1/21  Dickinson County Economic Development Corp., (International Paper Co.), 5.75%, 6/1/16	\$	750 800	\$ 705,630 802,800 \$ <b>1,508,430</b>
Insured Education 4.9% Ferris State University, (AGC), 5.125%, 10/1/33 Ferris State University, (AGC), 5.25%, 10/1/38 Wayne State University, (AGM), 5.00%, 11/15/35	\$	570 500 300	\$ 664,432 586,820 341,331

19

See Notes to Financial Statements.

\$ 1,592,583

# Michigan Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Insured Electric Utilities 5.2%  Michigan Strategic Fund, (Detroit Edison Co.), (XLCA), 5.25%, 12/15/32  Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (FGIC), (NPFG), 5.25%, 7/1/30  Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (FGIC), (NPFG), 5.25%, 7/1/32  Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (FGIC), (NPFG), 5.25%, 7/1/34  Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (NPFG), 5.25%, 7/1/29	\$ 400 220 250 250 435	\$ 400,832 241,162 275,780 276,262 482,811
		\$ 1,676,847
Insured General Obligations 16.3%  Battle Creek School District, (AGM), 5.00%, 5/1/37  Byron Center Public Schools, (AGM), 3.75%, 5/1/26  Byron Center Public Schools, (AGM), 4.00%, 5/1/28  Detroit School District, (AGM), 5.25%, 5/1/32  Hartland Consolidated Schools, (AGM), 5.25%, 5/1/29  Van Dyke Public Schools, (AGM), 5.00%, 5/1/38	\$ 1,105 650 290 300 1,000 1,250	\$ 1,248,981 698,406 315,494 387,006 1,207,180 1,411,162 \$ 5,268,229
		ψ <b>2,200,22</b> 9
Insured Hospital 2.7% Royal Oak Hospital Finance Authority, (William Beaumont Hospital), (NPFG), 5.25%, 11/15/35	\$ 860	\$ 862,399
		\$ 862,399
Insured Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 7.2% Michigan Building Authority, (AGM), (FGIC), 0.00%, 10/15/29 Michigan Building Authority, (FGIC), (NPFG), 0.00%, 10/15/30	\$ 1,000 4,300	\$ 460,990 1,866,329
		\$ 2,327,319
Insured Special Tax Revenue 1.4% Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/1/54 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45	\$ 2,300 1,475	\$ 206,655 252,284
		\$ 458,939

Wayne County Airport Authority, (AGC), (AMT), 5.375%, 12/1/32	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,113,890
		\$ 1,113,890
Insured Water and Sewer 10.5% Detroit, Sewage Disposal System, (AGC), (FGIC), 5.00%, 7/1/36 Detroit, Water Supply System, (FGIC), (NPFG), 5.00%, 7/1/30 Grand Rapids, Water Supply System, (AGC), 5.10%, 1/1/39	\$ 560 1,650 1,000	1,652,657
	Principal	ψ 3,401,000
Security	Amount s omitted)	Value
Special Tax Revenue 4.9% Guam, Limited Obligation Bonds, 5.625%, 12/1/29 Guam, Limited Obligation Bonds, 5.75%, 12/1/34 Michigan Trunk Line Fund, 5.00%, 11/15/36 Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority, 6.75%, 10/1/37	\$ 115 125 1,000 110	\$ 131,712 143,210 1,190,250 131,547 \$ 1,596,719
Water and Sewer 6.7%  Detroit, Water Supply System, 5.25%, 7/1/41  Grand Rapids, Sanitary Sewer System, 5.00%, 1/1/28  Port Huron, Water Supply System, 5.25%, 10/1/31	\$ 750 790 250	\$ 816,112 1,063,585 285,545 \$ 2,165,242
Total Tax-Exempt Investments 150.9% (identified cost \$44,172,592)		\$ 48,875,042
Auction Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative Unpaid Dividends (54.0)%		<b>\$</b> (17,500,262)
Other Assets, Less Liabilities 3.1%		\$ 1,016,355
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%		\$ 32,391,135

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets applicable to common shares.

AGC Assured Guaranty Corp.

Insured Transportation 3.4%

AGM Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.
AMBAC AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

AMT Interest earned from these securities may be considered a tax preference item for purposes of the Federal Alternative Minimum Tax.

FGIC Financial Guaranty Insurance Company NPFG National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.

XLCA XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

The Trust invests primarily in debt securities issued by Michigan municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at November 30, 2012, 34.2% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution ranged from 0.4% to 12.4% of total investments.

# New Jersey Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2012

#### Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 159.6%

Security	(000)	Principal Amount s omitted)	V	/alue
Education 22.7%  New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, (Georgian Court University), 5.00%, 7/1/27  New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, (Georgian Court University), 5.00%, 7/1/33  New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, (Georgian Court University), 5.25%, 7/1/37  New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, (Kean University), 5.50%, 9/1/36  New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, (Princeton University), 4.50%, 7/1/38(1)  New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, (Ramapo College), 4.00%, 7/1/27  New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, (Ramapo College), 5.00%, 7/1/37  New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, (Stevens Institute of Technology), 5.00%, 7/1/27  New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, (University of Medicine and Dentistry), 7.50%, 12/1/32  Rutgers State University, 5.00%, 5/1/39(1)	\$	250 250 220 1,730 3,500 1,325 640 1,650 965 3,150	268 237 2,024 4,041 1,459 745 1,795	1,485 9,594 5,645 5,909 6,570
			\$ 15,667	7,052
Electric Utilities 4.5% Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, 5.00%, 7/1/29 Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, 5.25%, 7/1/25 Salem County Pollution Control Financing Authority, (Public Service Enterprise Group, Inc.), (AMT), 5.75%, 4/1/31	\$	500 1,000 1,500	1,081	5,835 1,060 7,520 <b>4,415</b>
General Obligations 15.0% Burlington County Bridge Commission, 4.00%, 8/15/23 Monmouth County Improvement Authority, 5.00%, 1/15/28 Monmouth County Improvement Authority, 5.00%, 1/15/30 Monroe Township Board of Education, Middlesex County, 4.00%, 8/1/24 Montgomery Township Board of Education, 3.00%, 9/1/20 Tenafly Board of Education, 4.00%, 7/15/27	\$	320 1,850 1,795 2,500 1,085 1,235	2,274 2,190 2,927	0,169 7,250 7,714 5,890
Hospital 19.4% Camden County Improvement Authority, (Cooper Health System), 5.00%, 2/15/35 Camden County Improvement Authority, (Cooper Health System), 5.75%, 2/15/34 Security	\$	85 1,335 Principal Amount	\$ 89 1,385	9,114 5,543 Value

(000 s omitted)

Hospital (continued) New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (AHS Hospital Corp.), 5.00%, 7/1/27 New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Atlanticare Regional Medical Center), 5.00%, 7/1/37 New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Chilton Memorial Hospital), 5.75%, 7/1/39 New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Meridian Health System), 5.00%, 7/1/21 New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Robert Wood Johnson University Hospital), 5.00%, 7/1/31 New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (South Jersey Hospital), 5.00%, 7/1/46 New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Virtua Health), 5.75%, 7/1/33	\$ 2,290 2,090 915 1,000 1,000 2,440 1,075		2,535,694 2,234,962 1,030,839 1,233,810 1,124,420 2,553,460 1,250,806
		\$ 1	3,438,648
Housing 3.4%  New Jersey Housing and Mortgage Finance Agency, (Single Family Housing), (AMT), 4.70%, 10/1/37  New Jersey Housing and Mortgage Finance Agency, (Single Family Housing), (AMT), 5.00%, 10/1/37	\$ 680 1,555		705,541 1,631,910 <b>2,337,451</b>
Industrial Development Revenue 7.0%  Middlesex County Pollution Control Authority, (Amerada Hess), 5.75%, 9/15/32  Middlesex County Pollution Control Authority, (Amerada Hess), 6.05%, 9/15/34  New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (Continental Airlines), (AMT), 5.125%, 9/15/23  New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (Continental Airlines), (AMT), 5.25%, 9/15/29  New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (Continental Airlines), (AMT), 9.00% to 6/1/13 (Put Date), 6/1/33  New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (New Jersey-American Water Co., Inc.), (AMT), 5.10%, 6/1/23  New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (New Jersey-American Water Co., Inc.), (AMT), 5.70%, 10/1/39	\$ 500 540 50 135 750 220 2,235		501,055 560,817 51,331 139,397 775,995 254,012 2,547,118 <b>4,829,725</b>
Insured Electric Utilities 1.0% Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (FGIC), (NPFG), 5.25%, 7/1/35	\$ 595	\$ <b>\$</b>	659,195 <b>659,195</b>

21

# New Jersey Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000)	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Insured Gas Utilities 5.5%  New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (New Jersey Natural Gas Co.), (FGIC), (NPFG), (AMT), 4.90% to 10/1/25 (Put Date), 10/1/40	\$	3,540	\$ 3,770,100
			\$ 3,770,100
Insured General Obligations 3.8% Hudson County Improvement Authority, (Harrison Parking), (AGC), 5.25%, 1/1/39 Lakewood Township, (AGC), 5.75%, 11/1/31	\$	1,015 1,240	\$ 1,156,592 1,485,173
			\$ 2,641,765
Insured Hospital 4.9%  New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Hackensack University Medical Center), (AGC), 5.25%, 1/1/36 <sup>(1)</sup> New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Meridian Health System), Series II, (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/38  New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Meridian Health System), Series V, (AGC),	\$	750 390	\$ 824,760 426,368
5.00%, 7/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup> New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Virtua Health), (AGC), 5.50%, 7/1/38		500 1,380	546,625 1,571,930
			\$ 3,369,683
Insured Housing 4.9% New Jersey Housing and Mortgage Finance Agency, (Multi-Family Housing), (AGM), (AMT), 5.05%, 5/1/34	\$	3,390	\$ 3,393,763
			\$ 3,393,763
Insured Industrial Development Revenue 3.1% New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (United Water New Jersey, Inc.), (AMBAC), (AMT), 4.875%, 11/1/25	\$	1,940	\$ 2,118,596
			\$ 2,118,596
Insured Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 4.5%  New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (School Facilities Construction), (AGC), 5.50%, 12/15/34  New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (School Facilities Construction), (FGIC), (NPFG), 5.50%, 9/1/28	\$	1,500 1,000	\$ 1,791,240 1,356,530

\$ 3,147,770

Insured Special Tax Revenue 12.1% Garden State Preservation Trust, (AGM), 0.00%, 11/1/25 New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (Motor Vehicle Surcharges), (XLCA), 0.00%, 7/1/26  Security	\$ (000	5,250 4,300 Principal Amount s omitted)	\$ 3,701,722 2,632,288 <b>Value</b>
Insured Special Tax Revenue (continued)  New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (Motor Vehicle Surcharges), (XLCA), 0.00%, 7/1/27  Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/1/54  Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45	\$	2,020 3,195 3,330	\$ 1,184,185 287,071 569,563 \$ 8,374,829
Insured Student Loan 3.6% New Jersey Higher Education Student Assistance Authority, (AGC), (AMT), 6.125%, 6/1/30	\$	2,215	\$ 2,511,433 \$ 2,511,433
Insured Transportation 0.5% South Jersey Transportation Authority, (AGC), 5.50%, 11/1/33	\$	315	\$ 374,806 \$ 374,806
Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 5.7%  New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (School Facilities Construction), 5.25%, 12/15/33  New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Hospital Asset Transformation Program), 5.25%, 10/1/38  New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Hospital Asset Transformation Program), 5.75%, 10/1/31	\$	1,500 1,700 250	\$ 1,754,895 1,893,205 298,935 \$ 3,947,035
Other Revenue 6.3% Children's Trust Fund, PR, Tobacco Settlement, 0.00%, 5/15/50 Children's Trust Fund, PR, Tobacco Settlement, 0.00%, 5/15/55 New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (Duke Farms Foundation), 5.00%, 7/1/48 New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (The Seeing Eye, Inc.), 5.00%, 6/1/32 Tobacco Settlement Financing Corp., 5.00%, 6/1/41	\$	7,200 13,280 2,040 250 900	\$ 562,320 382,730 2,317,766 298,720 814,086 \$ 4,375,622
Senior Living / Life Care 3.2%  New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (Cranes Mill, Inc.), 5.875%, 7/1/28  New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (Cranes Mill, Inc.), 6.00%, 7/1/38  New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (Seabrook Village), 5.25%, 11/15/36	\$	465 770 815	\$ 507,389 835,350 839,752 \$ 2,182,491

# New Jersey Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Special Tax Revenue 3.3%  New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (Newark Downtown District Management Corp.), 5.125%, 6/15/27  New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (Newark Downtown District Management Corp.), 5.125%, 6/15/37  Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., 5.00%, 8/1/40  Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., 5.75%, 8/1/37  Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority, 6.75%, 10/1/37	\$ 100 175 750 500 500	\$	107,114 184,139 831,390 558,030 597,940
		\$	2,278,613
Student Loan 3.6% New Jersey Higher Education Student Assistance Authority, (AMT), 1.368%, 6/1/36 <sup>(1)(2)(3)</sup>	\$ 2,500	\$	2,506,600
		\$	2,506,600
Transportation 19.4%  Delaware River Port Authority of Pennsylvania and New Jersey, 5.00%, 1/1/35  Delaware River Port Authority of Pennsylvania and New Jersey, 5.00%, 1/1/40  New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, (Transportation System), 5.50%, 6/15/31  New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, (Transportation System), 5.875%, 12/15/38  New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, (Transportation System), 6.00%, 12/15/38  New Jersey Turnpike Authority, 5.25%, 1/1/40  Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, (AMT), 5.75%, 3/15/35(1)  South Jersey Port Authority, (Marine Terminal), 5.10%, 1/1/33	\$ 1,060 1,080 1,850 250 530 3,600 1,995 1,175	\$	1,222,541 1,235,088 2,283,270 301,945 648,222 4,128,264 2,381,531 1,179,876
		\$	13,380,737
Water and Sewer 2.2% North Hudson Sewerage Authority, 5.00%, 6/1/29	\$ 1,275	\$	1,511,717
		\$	1,511,717
Total Tax-Exempt Investments 159.6% (identified cost \$98,580,745)		\$ 1	10,326,022
Auction Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative Unpaid Dividends (48.4)%		\$ (	(33,425,000)

Other Assets, Less Liabilities (11.2)% \$ (7,766,047)

Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%

\$ 69,134,975

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets applicable to common shares.

AGC Assured Guaranty Corp.

AGM Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.
AMBAC AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

AMT Interest earned from these securities may be considered a tax preference item for purposes of the Federal Alternative Minimum Tax.

FGIC Financial Guaranty Insurance Company NPFG National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.

XLCA XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

The Trust invests primarily in debt securities issued by New Jersey municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at November 30, 2012, 27.5% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution ranged from 2.2% to 9.7% of total investments.

- (1) Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1H).
- (2) Security (or a portion thereof) has been pledged as collateral for residual interest bond transactions. The aggregate value of such collateral is \$506,600.
- (3) Variable rate security. The stated interest rate represents the rate in effect at November 30, 2012.

23

# New York Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 159.3%

Security	(000)	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Bond Bank 5.8%  New York Environmental Facilities Corp., 5.00%, 10/15/39  New York Environmental Facilities Corp., (New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority), 5.00%, 6/15/37 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$	1,730 2,535	\$ 2,039,359 2,906,529
			\$ 4,945,888
Cogeneration 1.4% Suffolk County Industrial Development Agency, (Nissequogue Cogeneration Partners Facility), (AMT), 5.50%, 1/1/23	\$	1,150	\$ 1,150,173
			\$ 1,150,173
Education 28.7% Geneva Development Corp., (Hobart and William Smith Colleges), 5.00%, 9/1/32 Monroe County Industrial Development Corp., (St. John Fisher College), 5.00%, 6/1/23 Monroe County Industrial Development Corp., (St. John Fisher College), 5.00%, 6/1/24 Monroe County Industrial Development Corp., (St. John Fisher College), 5.00%, 6/1/25 New York City Cultural Resource Trust, (The Juilliard School), 5.00%, 1/1/34 New York City Cultural Resource Trust, (The Juilliard School), 5.00%, 1/1/39 New York Dormitory Authority, (Brooklyn Law School), 5.75%, 7/1/33 New York Dormitory Authority, (Columbia University), 5.00%, 7/1/38 New York Dormitory Authority, (Cornell University), 5.00%, 7/1/34 New York Dormitory Authority, (Cornell University), 5.00%, 7/1/36 New York Dormitory Authority, (Fordham University), 5.00%, 7/1/39 New York Dormitory Authority, (Rochester Institute of Technology), 6.00%, 7/1/33 New York Dormitory Authority, (Rochester Institute of Technology), 6.00%, 7/1/30 New York Dormitory Authority, (Rockefeller University), 5.00%, 7/1/40 New York Dormitory Authority, (Skidmore College), 5.00%, 7/1/27 New York Dormitory Authority, (Skidmore College), 5.25%, 7/1/29	\$	775 150 80 50 1,490 325 510 1,000 725 510 2,000 1,705 2,2500 325 400  Principal	\$ 932,441 177,450 93,446 57,915 1,786,957 388,479 596,394 1,183,040 873,611 610,536 2,372,840 1,199,280 2,093,197 2,888,640 2,901,175 388,398 481,944
Security	(000	Amount s omitted)	Value
Education (continued) New York Dormitory Authority, (St. Francis College), 5.00%, 10/1/40 New York Dormitory Authority, (The New School), 5.50%, 7/1/40	\$	1,695 2,000	\$ 1,903,044 2,345,080

Onondaga Civic Development Corp., (Le Moyne College), 5.20%, 7/1/29 Onondaga Civic Development Corp., (Le Moyne College), 5.375%, 7/1/40	280 735		314,538 830,543
		\$ 2	24,418,948
Electric Utilities 5.7%  Long Island Power Authority, Electric System Revenue, 6.00%, 5/1/33  Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, 5.25%, 7/1/30  Suffolk County Industrial Development Agency, (KeySpan-Port Jefferson Energy Center, LLC), (AMT), 5.25%, 6/1/27	\$ 1,420 1,310 1,645		1,790,322 1,382,404 1,678,821 <b>4,851,547</b>
Escrowed / Prerefunded 0.4% Geneva Industrial Development Agency, (Hobart and William Smith Colleges), Prerefunded to 2/1/13, 5.375%, 2/1/33	\$ 315	\$ <b>\$</b>	317,750 <b>317,750</b>
General Obligations 7.6%  Dutchess County Water and Wastewater Authority, 0.00%, 10/1/34  Dutchess County Water and Wastewater Authority, 0.00%, 10/1/35  New York, 5.00%, 2/15/34 <sup>(1)</sup> New York City, 6.25%, 10/15/28	\$ 585 325 4,000 1,000		303,042 162,994 4,742,680 1,273,570 <b>6,482,286</b>
Health Care Miscellaneous 1.5%  New York City Industrial Development Agency, (A Very Special Place, Inc.), 5.75%, 1/1/29  Suffolk County Industrial Development Agency, (Alliance of Long Island Agencies), Series A, Class H, 7.50%, 9/1/15  Suffolk County Industrial Development Agency, (Alliance of Long Island Agencies), Series A, Class I, 7.50%, 9/1/15	\$ 1,095 50 100		1,095,164 50,445 100,890 <b>1,246,499</b>
Hospital 20.4% Dutchess County Local Development Corp., (Health Quest Systems, Inc.), 5.75%, 7/1/30	\$ 130	\$	158,275

24

# New York Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	Principal Amount (000 s omitted)	Value
Hospital (continued) Dutchess County Local Development Corp., (Health Quest Systems, Inc.), 5.75%, 7/1/40 Fulton County Industrial Development Agency, (Nathan Littauer Hospital), 6.00%, 11/1/18 Monroe County Industrial Development Agency, (Highland Hospital), 5.00%, 8/1/25 Nassau County Local Economic Assistance Corp., (South Nassau Communities Hospital), 5.00%, 7/1/37 New York Dormitory Authority, (Methodist Hospital), 5.25%, 7/1/33 New York Dormitory Authority, (Mount Sinai Hospital), 5.00%, 7/1/26 New York Dormitory Authority, (North Shore-Long Island Jewish Obligated Group), 5.00%, 11/1/34 New York Dormitory Authority, (NYU Hospital Center), 5.00%, 7/1/37 New York Dormitory Authority, (NYU Hospital Center), 5.625%, 7/1/37 New York Dormitory Authority, (Orange Regional Medical Center), 6.125%, 12/1/29 New York Dormitory Authority, (Orange Regional Medical Center), 6.25%, 12/1/37 Oneida County Industrial Development Agency, (St. Elizabeth Medical Center), 5.75%, 12/1/19 Onondaga Civic Development Corp., (St. Joseph s Hospital Health Center), 5.00%, 7/1/42 Saratoga County Industrial Development Agency, (Saratoga Hospital), 5.25%, 12/1/32 Suffolk County Economic Development Corp., (Catholic Health Services of Long Island Obligated Group), 5.00%, 7/1/28	\$ 960 975 2,490 1,000 2,000 1,000 845 750 1,250 415 835 1,195 255 650 1,250	\$ 1,146,893 977,155 2,610,790 1,121,670 2,055,500 1,153,090 903,964 806,970 1,389,300 469,685 936,745 1,196,804 265,233 698,236 1,464,287
		\$ 17,354,597
Housing 14.7%  New York City Housing Development Corp., MFMR, (AMT), 5.05%, 11/1/39  New York City Housing Development Corp., MFMR, (AMT), 5.20%, 11/1/40  New York Housing Finance Agency, 5.25%, 11/1/41  New York Housing Finance Agency, (FNMA), (AMT), 5.40%, 11/15/42  New York Mortgage Agency, (AMT), 4.875%, 10/1/37  New York Mortgage Agency, (AMT), 4.90%, 10/1/37  New York Mortgage Agency, (AMT), 5.125%, 10/1/37	\$ 1,500 2,620 1,000 2,625 1,500 1,680 900	\$ 1,550,670 2,772,772 1,089,010 2,802,739 1,574,535 1,750,963 944,568 \$ 12,485,257
	Principal	\$ 12, <del>4</del> 03,237
Security	Amount (000 s omitted	
Industrial Development Revenue 7.7%  Essex County Industrial Development Agency, (International Paper Company), (AMT), 6.625%, 9/1/32  New York Liberty Development Corp., (Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.), 5.25%, 10/1/35  Niagara Area Development Corp., (Covanta Energy), 5.25%, 11/1/42  Onondaga County Industrial Development Agency, (Anheuser-Busch Cos., Inc.), (AMT), 6.25%, 12/1/34  Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, (Continental Airlines), (AMT), 9.125%, 12/1/15	\$ 1,000 1,000 1,350 2,500 280	\$ 1,125,430 1,249,080 1,378,836 2,506,375 286,972

Ф	6,	E 1	16	6	n2
Φ	υ,	24	۲U,	U.	"

Insured Education 6.5%  New York Dormitory Authority, (City University), (AMBAC), 5.50%, 7/1/35  New York Dormitory Authority, (State University), (BHAC), 5.00%, 7/1/38 <sup>(1)</sup> Oneida County Industrial Development Agency, (Hamilton College), (NPFG), 0.00%, 7/1/33	\$	1,250 1,500 5,365	\$ 1,618,413 1,685,610 2,207,590
			\$ 5,511,613
Insured Electric Utilities 2.0% Long Island Power Authority, Electric System Revenue, (BHAC), 5.75%, 4/1/33	\$	1,365	\$ 1,700,531
			\$ 1,700,531
Insured Other Revenue 3.6%			
New York City Industrial Development Agency, (Yankee Stadium), (AGC), 0.00%, 3/1/31 New York City Industrial Development Agency, (Yankee Stadium), (AGC), 0.00%, 3/1/32	\$	2,645 3,625	\$ 1,325,991 1,730,104
			\$ 3,056,095
Insured Special Tax Revenue 3.2%	ď.	4 440	ф 1 275 022
Puerto Rico Infrastructure Financing Authority, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 7/1/34 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/1/54 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45	\$	4,440 8,785 4,080	\$ 1,275,923 789,332 697,843
			\$ 2,763,098
Insured Transportation 1.8%			
Niagara Frontier Airport Authority, (Buffalo Niagara International Airport), (NPFG), (AMT), 5.625%, 4/1/29	\$	1,475	\$ 1,491,741
			\$ 1,491,741

25

# New York Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Insured Water and Sewer 1.2% Nassau County Industrial Development Agency, (New York Water Services Corp.), (AMBAC), (AMT), 5.00%, 12/1/35	\$	1,000	\$ 1,044,580
			\$ 1,044,580
Other Revenue 8.2% Brooklyn Arena Local Development Corp., (Barclays Center), 0.00%, 7/15/31 Brooklyn Arena Local Development Corp., (Barclays Center), 6.25%, 7/15/40	\$	3,120 380	\$ 1,410,053 446,686
New York City Cultural Resources Trust, (Museum of Modern Art), 5.00%, 4/1/31 New York City Transitional Finance Authority, (Building Aid), 5.50%, 7/15/31 New York Liberty Development Corp., (7 World Trade Center), 5.00%, 3/15/44		1,415 1,000 2,000	1,689,411 1,193,530 2,223,540
			\$ 6,963,220
Senior Living / Life Care 4.0%  Mount Vernon Industrial Development Agency, (Wartburg Senior Housing, Inc.), 6.20%, 6/1/29  New York Dormitory Authority, (Miriam Osborn Memorial Home Association), 5.00%, 7/1/29  New York Dormitory Authority, (Miriam Osborn Memorial Home Association), 5.00%, 7/1/42  Suffolk County Economic Development Corp., (Peconic Landing at Southold, Inc.), 6.00%, 12/1/40  Tompkins County Development Corp., (Kendal at Ithaca, Inc.), 4.25%, 7/1/32  Tompkins County Development Corp., (Kendal at Ithaca, Inc.), 4.50%, 7/1/42	\$	1,450 280 120 905 230 230	\$ 1,451,450 311,377 129,878 1,029,573 232,068 233,666 \$ 3,388,012
Special Tax Revenue 18.6%  Metropolitan Transportation Authority, Dedicated Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 11/15/34  New York City Transitional Finance Authority, Future Tax Revenue, 5.50%, 11/1/35 <sup>(1)(2)</sup> New York Dormitory Authority, Personal Income Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 3/15/33  New York Dormitory Authority, Personal Income Tax Revenue, (University & College Improvements), 5.25%, 3/15/38  New York Thruway Authority, Fuel Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 4/1/30 <sup>(1)</sup>		1,500 2,100 1,000 1,000 6,000	\$ 1,731,390 2,626,218 1,197,310 1,227,350 7,339,800
Security		Amount omitted)	Value
Special Tax Revenue (continued) New York Urban Development Corp., Personal Income Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 3/15/32 Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority, 6.75%, 10/1/37	\$	900 \$ 545	1,032,255 651,755

\$ 15,806,078	

Transportation 10.5%  Metropolitan Transportation Authority, 5.00%, 11/15/37  New York Thruway Authority, 5.00%, 1/1/37  Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, 5.00%, 11/15/37(1)  Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, (AMT), 5.75%, 3/15/35(1)  Triborough Bridge and Tunnel Authority, 5.25%, 11/15/34(1)	\$ 790 1,200 1,900 990 2,740	\$	892,092 1,416,276 2,117,854 1,181,813 3,352,417
Water and Sewer 5.8%  New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority, (Water and Sewer System), 5.75%, 6/15/40 <sup>(1)(2)</sup> Saratoga County Water Authority, 5.00%, 9/1/48	\$ 3,105 1,000	\$ \$	3,846,132 1,109,200 4,955,332
Total Tax-Exempt Investments 159.3% (identified cost \$119,161,298)		\$ 1	35,440,390
Miscellaneous 1.0%			
Security	Units		Value
Real Estate 1.0% CMS Liquidating Trust (3)(4)(5)	257	\$	822,400
Total Miscellaneous 1.0% (identified cost \$822,400)		\$	822,400
Total Investments 160.3% (identified cost \$119,983,698)		\$ 1	36,262,790
Auction Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative Unpaid Dividends (39.7)%		\$ (	33,725,252)
Other Assets, Less Liabilities (20.6)%		\$ (	17,536,636)
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%		\$	85,000,902

26

## New York Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments continued

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets applicable to common shares.

AGC Assured Guaranty Corp.
AMBAC AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

AMT Interest earned from these securities may be considered a tax preference item for purposes of the Federal Alternative Minimum Tax.

BHAC Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp.
FNMA Federal National Mortgage Association
MFMR Multi-Family Mortgage Revenue
NPFG National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.

The Trust invests primarily in debt securities issued by New York municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at November 30, 2012, 11.4% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution ranged from 2.2% to 3.5% of total investments.

- (1) Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1H).
- (2) Security (or a portion thereof) has been pledged as collateral for residual interest bond transactions. The aggregate value of such collateral is \$2,827,350.
- (3) Security exempt from registration pursuant to Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933. These securities may be sold in certain transactions (normally to qualified institutional buyers) and remain exempt from registration. At November 30, 2012, the aggregate value of these securities is \$822,400 or 1.0% of the Trust s net assets applicable to common shares.
- (4) Non-income producing security.
- (5) For fair value measurement purposes, security is categorized as Level 3 (see Note 10).

27

# Ohio Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2012

#### Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 148.7%

Security	Principal Amount (000 s omitted)	Value
Bond Bank 9.2% Ohio Economic Development Commission, (Ohio Enterprise Bond Fund), (AMT), 4.85%, 6/1/25 Ohio Economic Development Commission, (Ohio Enterprise Bond Fund), (AMT), 5.85%, 12/1/22 Ohio Water Development Authority, Water Pollution Control Loan Fund, (Water Quality), 5.00%, 12/1/28 Ohio Water Development Authority, Water Pollution Control Loan Fund, (Water Quality), 5.00%, 6/1/30 Rickenbacker Port Authority, (OASBO Expanded Asset Pooled Financing Program), 5.375%, 1/1/32 Summit County Port Authority, (Twinsburg Township), 5.125%, 5/15/25	250 250	581,207 1,055,802 307,680 306,935 1,631,610 300,210
	\$ 4	4,183,444
Education 19.0% Miami University, 5.00%, 9/1/33 Ohio Higher Educational Facility Commission, (Kenyon College), 5.00%, 7/1/44 Ohio Higher Educational Facility Commission, (Kenyon College), 5.25%, 7/1/44 Ohio Higher Educational Facility Commission, (University of Dayton), 5.50%, 12/1/36 Ohio State University, 5.00%, 12/1/28 Ohio State University, 5.00%, 12/1/30 University of Cincinnati, 5.00%, 6/1/34 Wright State University, 5.00%, 5/1/31	440 1,250 1,000 480 1,605 500 750	1,199,320 483,780 1,403,900 1,192,990 650,765 2,199,315 586,790 867,195
Electric Utilities 2.5%  American Municipal Power, Inc., (AMP Fremont Energy Center), 5.00%, 2/15/32  Ohio Air Quality Development Authority, (Buckeye Power, Inc.), 6.00%, 12/1/40	\$ 470 \$ 500	546,972 584,120 <b>1,131,092</b>
Escrowed / Prerefunded 1.5% Columbus, Prerefunded to 7/1/14, 5.00%, 7/1/23 Ohio State University, Escrowed to Maturity, 5.00%, 12/1/28 Ohio State University, Escrowed to Maturity, 5.00%, 12/1/30	\$ 500 \$ 20 70 <b>\$</b>	537,280 28,165 99,716 <b>665,161</b>

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
General Obligations 22.4%  Barberton City School District, 4.50%, 12/1/33  Beavercreek City School District, 5.00%, 12/1/30  Central Ohio Solid Waste Authority, 5.125%, 9/1/27  Columbus City School District, 5.00%, 12/1/29  Cuyahoga County, 4.00%, 12/1/37(1)  Dayton, 4.00%, 12/1/30  Huber Heights City School District, 4.75%, 12/1/25  Maple Heights City School District, 5.00%, 1/15/37  Ohio, 4.50%, 2/1/32  Symmes Township, Hamilton County, (Parkland Acquisition and Improvement), 5.25%, 12/1/37	\$	900 1,750 1,090 1,000 500 500 595 1,000 400 1,000	\$	976,806 2,084,512 1,281,001 1,209,290 541,445 546,315 697,477 1,124,230 469,312 1,212,470
			\$	10,142,858
Hospital 14.9% Butler County, (Kettering Health Network Obligated Group), 5.25%, 4/1/31 Franklin County, (Nationwide Children's Hospital), 5.00%, 11/1/34 Hancock County, (Blanchard Valley Regional Health Center), 6.25%, 12/1/34 Miami County, (Upper Valley Medical Center), 5.25%, 5/15/26 Middleburg Heights, (Southwest General Health Center), 5.25%, 8/1/36 Middleburg Heights, (Southwest General Health Center), 5.25%, 8/1/41 Montgomery County, (Catholic Health Initiatives), 5.50%, 5/1/34 Ohio Higher Educational Facility Commission, (Cleveland Clinic Health System), 5.50%, 1/1/39 Ohio Higher Educational Facility Commission, (Summa Health System), 5.75%, 11/15/40	\$	500 800 750 500 500 800 500 1,000 555	\$ <b>\$</b>	566,050 899,024 907,612 538,070 566,720 900,368 580,240 1,149,190 641,658
Housing 8.3% Ohio Housing Finance Agency, (Residential Mortgage-Backed Securities), (FNMA), (GNMA), (AMT), 4.625%, 9/1/27 Ohio Housing Finance Agency, (Residential Mortgage-Backed Securities), (FNMA), (GNMA), (AMT), 4.75%, 3/1/37 Ohio Housing Finance Agency, (Residential Mortgage-Backed Securities), (FNMA), (GNMA), (AMT), 5.00%, 9/1/31 Ohio Housing Finance Agency, (Uptown Community Partners), (AMT), 5.25%, 4/20/48	\$	825 95 105 2,500	\$	866,077 97,975 108,828 2,667,250 <b>3,740,130</b>

28

# Ohio Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Industrial Development Revenue 1.2% Cleveland, (Continental Airlines), (AMT), 5.375%, 9/15/27	\$	555		554,994 <b>554,994</b>
Insured Education 12.2% Hamilton County, (University Heights Community Urban Development Corp.), (AGM), 5.00%, 6/1/30 Kent State University, (AGC), 5.00%, 5/1/26 Kent State University, (AGC), 5.00%, 5/1/29 Miami University, (AMBAC), 3.25%, 9/1/26 University of Akron, Series A, (AGM), 5.00%, 1/1/38 University of Akron, Series B, (AGM), 5.00%, 1/1/38	\$	750 1,000 465 635 1,500 500	1,	871,147 187,560 541,483 659,816 691,865 563,955 <b>515,826</b>
Insured Electric Utilities 12.6%  American Municipal Power-Ohio, Inc., (Prairie State Energy Campus), (AGC), 5.75%, 2/15/39  Cleveland Public Power System, (NPFG), 0.00%, 11/15/27  Cleveland Public Power System, (NPFG), 0.00%, 11/15/38 <sup>(2)</sup> Ohio Municipal Electric Generation Agency, (NPFG), 0.00%, 2/15/25  Ohio Municipal Electric Generation Agency, (NPFG), 0.00%, 2/15/26  Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (FGIC), (NPFG), 5.25%, 7/1/30  Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (FGIC), (NPFG), 5.25%, 7/1/34  Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (NPFG), 5.25%, 7/1/26	\$	1,000 710 2,000 815 3,000 210 250 500	1,	170,410 401,058 627,600 534,469 881,150 230,200 276,262 567,535
Insured General Obligations 17.1%  Buckeye Valley Local School District, (AGC), 5.00%, 12/1/36  Canal Winchester Local School District, (NPFG), 0.00%, 12/1/30  Cincinnati School District, (FGIC), (NPFG), 5.25%, 12/1/30  Madeira City School District, (AGM), 3.50%, 12/1/27  Milford Exempt Village School District, (AGC), 5.25%, 12/1/36  St. Marys City School District, (AGM), 5.00%, 12/1/35	\$	500 2,455 1,000 1,500 1,750 750	\$ 1,0 1,0 1,0 1,0 2,0	588,315 288,065 406,520 549,035 052,365 853,357

\$ 7,737,657

Insured Hospital 5.6% Hamilton County, (Cincinnati Children s Hospital), (FGIC), (NPFG), 5.00%, 5/15/32 Hamilton County, (Cincinnati Children s Hospital), (FGIC), (NPFG), 5.125%, 5/15/28  Security	\$ (000	280 1,500 Principal Amount s omitted)	\$ 286,297 1,538,745 <b>Value</b>
Insured Hospital (continued) Lorain County, (Catholic Healthcare Partners), (AGM), 17.973%, 2/1/29(3)(4)(5)	\$	485	\$ 695,296 \$ 2,520,338
Insured Special Tax Revenue 1.6% Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/1/54 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45	\$	4,410 2,025	\$ 396,239 346,356 \$ 742,595
Insured Transportation 7.4% Cleveland, Airport System Revenue, (AGM), 5.00%, 1/1/30 Ohio Turnpike Commission, (FGIC), (NPFG), 5.50%, 2/15/24 Ohio Turnpike Commission, (FGIC), (NPFG), 5.50%, 2/15/26	\$	600 1,000 1,000	\$ 701,304 1,315,010 1,349,220 \$ 3,365,534
Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 1.3% Franklin County Convention Facilities Authority, 5.00%, 12/1/27	\$	500	\$ 589,190 \$ 589,190
Other Revenue 3.4% Riversouth Authority, (Lazarus Building Redevelopment), 5.75%, 12/1/27 Summit County Port Authority, 5.00%, 12/1/31	\$	1,000 445	\$ 1,034,130 525,848 <b>\$ 1,559,978</b>
Senior Living / Life Care 0.9% Hamilton County, (Life Enriching Communities), 5.00%, 1/1/32	\$	375	\$ 410,918 <b>\$ 410,918</b>
Special Tax Revenue 2.4% Green, Income Tax Revenue, (Community Learning Centers), 5.00%, 12/1/26 Green, Income Tax Revenue, (Community Learning Centers), 5.00%, 12/1/28 Guam, Limited Obligation Bonds, 5.625%, 12/1/29 Guam, Limited Obligation Bonds, 5.75%, 12/1/34 Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority, 6.75%, 10/1/37	\$	180 290 155 170 110	\$ 221,686 353,669 177,525 194,766 131,547 \$ 1,079,193

## Ohio Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Water and Sewer 5.2% Cincinnati, Water System Revenue, 5.00%, 12/1/37 Hamilton County, Sewer System, 5.00%, 12/1/32	\$ 1,250 750	\$	1,496,625 862,350
		\$	2,358,975
Total Tax-Exempt Investments 148.7% (identified cost \$58,389,790)		\$	67,319,554
Auction Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative Unpaid Dividends (50.2)%		\$ (	22,725,510)
Other Assets, Less Liabilities 1.5%		\$	689,690
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%		\$	45,283,734

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets applicable to common shares.

AGC Assured Guaranty Corp.

AGM Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.
AMBAC AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

AMT Interest earned from these securities may be considered a tax preference item for purposes of the Federal Alternative Minimum Tax.

FGIC Financial Guaranty Insurance Company
FNMA Federal National Mortgage Association
GNMA Government National Mortgage Association
NPFG National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.

The Trust invests primarily in debt securities issued by Ohio municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at November 30, 2012, 38.0% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution ranged from 1.6% to 17.9% of total investments.

<sup>(1)</sup> When-issued security.

(3) Security exempt from registration pursuant to Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933. These securities may be sold in certain transactions (normally to

(2) Security (or a portion thereof) has been segregated to cover payable for when-issued securities.

- Security exempt from registration pursuant to Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933. These securities may be sold in certain transactions (normally to qualified institutional buyers) and remain exempt from registration. At November 30, 2012, the aggregate value of these securities is \$695,296 or 1.5% of the Trust s net assets applicable to common shares.
- (4) Security is subject to a shortfall agreement which may require the Trust to pay amounts to a counterparty in the event of a significant decline in the market value of the security held by the trust that issued the residual interest bond. In case of a shortfall, the maximum potential amount of payments the Trust could ultimately be required to make under the agreement is \$1,455,000. However, such shortfall payment would be reduced by the proceeds from the sale of the security held by the trust that issued the residual interest bond.
- (5) Security has been issued as a leveraged residual interest bond with a variable interest rate. The stated interest rate represents the rate in effect at November 30, 2012.

30

# Pennsylvania Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 154.4%

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Cogeneration 1.7% Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority, (Colver), (AMT), 5.125%, 12/1/15 Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority, (Northampton Generating), (AMT), 6.50%, 1/1/13 <sup>(1)</sup> Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority, (Northampton Generating), (AMT), 6.60%, 1/1/19 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$	275 100 500		281,817 63,477 322,210 <b>667,504</b>
Education 23.3%  Allegheny County Higher Education Building Authority, (Duquesne University), 5.50%, 3/1/31  Bucks County Industrial Development Authority, (George School), 5.00%, 9/15/39  Cumberland County Municipal Authority, (Dickinson College), 5.00%, 11/1/39  Northampton County General Purpose Authority, (Lehigh University), 5.00%, 11/15/39  Pennsylvania Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (Saint Joseph's University), 5.00%, 11/1/40  Pennsylvania Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (Temple University), 5.00%, 4/1/35  Pennsylvania Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (Thomas Jefferson University), 5.00%, 3/1/40  Pennsylvania Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (Thomas Jefferson University), 5.00%, 3/1/42  Pennsylvania Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (Ursinus College), 5.00%, 1/1/29  State Public School Building Authority, (Northampton County Area Community College), 5.50%, 3/1/31  University of Pittsburgh, 5.25%, 9/15/29  Washington County Industrial Development Authority, (Washington and Jefferson College), 5.25%, 11/1/30	\$	1,050 500 1,200 500 440 750 625 600 560 750 500 575	\$ 1,	,235,136 577,110 ,373,520 565,045 498,463 878,985 710,487 693,372 645,837 894,907 614,645 665,649
Electric Utilities 4.4% Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, 5.00%, 7/1/29 York County Industrial Development Authority, (PSEG Power LLC), 5.50%, 9/1/20	\$	1,095 600	\$ 1,	,151,579 607,356 , <b>758,935</b>
General Obligations 10.5% Chester County, 5.00%, 7/15/27 Daniel Boone Area School District, 5.00%, 8/15/32  Security		500 1,000 Principal Amount s omitted)		600,875 ,157,250 <b>Value</b>

General Obligations (continued) Delaware Valley Regional Finance Authority, 5.75%, 7/1/32 Philadelphia School District, 6.00%, 9/1/38	\$ 1,000 1,000	\$ 1,270,030 1,189,660
		\$ 4,217,815
Hospital 25.7% Allegheny County Hospital Development Authority, (University of Pittsburgh Medical Center), 5.50%, 8/15/34 Chester County Health and Education Facilities Authority, (Jefferson Health System), 5.00%, 5/15/40 Dauphin County General Authority, (Pinnacle Health System), 6.00%, 6/1/29 Lehigh County General Purpose Authority, (Lehigh Valley Health Network), 4.00%, 7/1/33 <sup>(2)</sup> Lehigh County General Purpose Authority, (Lehigh Valley Health Network), 5.25%, 7/1/32	\$ 500 750 750 500 1,215	\$ 576,900 851,985 873,982 523,730 1,241,633
Lycoming County Authority, (Susquehanna Health System), 5.75%, 7/1/39  Monroe County Hospital Authority, (Pocono Medical Center), 5.25%, 1/1/43  Montgomery County Higher Education and Health Authority, (Abington Memorial Hospital Obligated Group), 5.00%, 6/1/31  Northampton County General Purpose Authority, (Saint Luke s Hospital), 5.50%, 8/15/33  Pennsylvania Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (University of Pennsylvania Health System), 6.00%, 8/15/26 <sup>(3)</sup> Pennsylvania Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (UPMC Health System), 5.00%, 5/15/31  South Fork Municipal Authority, (Conemaugh Health System), 5.50%, 7/1/29	750 1,500 1,095 250 1,000 675 250	846,352 1,580,685 1,271,470 281,263 1,225,930 764,370 283,498
		\$ 10,321,798
Housing 12.9% Allegheny County Residential Finance Authority, SFMR, (AMT), 4.95%, 11/1/37 Allegheny County Residential Finance Authority, SFMR, (AMT), 5.00%, 5/1/35 Pennsylvania Housing Finance Agency, SFMR, (AMT), 4.70%, 10/1/37 Pennsylvania Housing Finance Agency, SFMR, (AMT), 4.75%, 10/1/25 Pennsylvania Housing Finance Agency, SFMR, (AMT), 4.875%, 4/1/26 Pennsylvania Housing Finance Agency, SFMR, (AMT), 4.875%, 10/1/31	\$ 380 1,035 755 500 830 500	\$ 401,523 1,081,026 778,956 540,845 858,843 516,815

31

# Pennsylvania Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Housing (continued) Pennsylvania Housing Finance Agency, SFMR, (AMT), 4.90%, 10/1/37	\$	965	\$ 990,573
			\$ 5,168,581
Industrial Development Revenue 8.4%  Luzerne County Industrial Development Authority, (Pennsylvania-American Water Co.), 5.50%, 12/1/39  Montgomery County Industrial Development Authority, (Aqua Pennsylvania, Inc.), (AMT), 5.25%, 7/1/42  Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority, (Pennsylvania-American Water Co.), 6.20%, 4/1/39  Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority, (Procter & Gamble Paper Products Co.), (AMT), 5.375%, 3/1/31  Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority, (Waste Management, Inc.), (AMT), 5.10%, 10/1/27	\$	200 750 250 1,115 500	\$ 230,346 827,452 297,348 1,490,688 529,690
			\$ 3,375,524
Insured Education 7.9% Lycoming County Authority, (Pennsylvania College of Technology), (AGC), 5.50%, 10/1/37 Pennsylvania Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (Drexel University), (NPFG), 5.00%, 5/1/37 State Public School Building Authority, (Delaware County Community College), (AGM), 5.00%, 10/1/29 State Public School Building Authority, (Delaware County Community College), (AGM), 5.00%, 10/1/32	\$	500 1,110 375 875	\$ 558,710 1,224,485 420,851 988,698 \$ 3,192,744
Insured Escrowed / Prerefunded 8.6% Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, Oil Franchise Tax, (AMBAC), Escrowed to Maturity, 4.75%, 12/1/27 Westmoreland Municipal Authority, (FGIC), Escrowed to Maturity, 0.00%, 8/15/19	\$	1,600 2,000	\$ 1,613,760 1,850,680
			\$ 3,464,440
Insured General Obligations 3.6% Beaver County, (AGM), 5.55%, 11/15/31 Bethlehem Area School District, (AGM), 5.25%, 1/15/25	\$	500 750	\$ 584,700 884,040 \$ <b>1,468,740</b>
		Principal	
Security	(000	Amount s omitted)	Value

Insured Hospital 4.8% Allegheny County Hospital Development Authority, (UPMC Health System), (NPFG), 6.00%, 7/1/24 Lehigh County General Purpose Authority, (Lehigh Valley Health Network), (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/35	\$ 250 1,440	\$ 342,230 1,584,043
		\$ 1,926,273
Insured Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 4.8% Commonwealth Financing Authority, (AGC), 5.00%, 6/1/31 Philadelphia Authority for Industrial Development, (One Benjamin Franklin), (AGM), 4.75%, 2/15/27	\$ 500 1,195	\$ 572,815 1,354,031
		\$ 1,926,846
Insured Special Tax Revenue 1.8% Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/1/54 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45	\$ 4,385 2,035	\$ 393,992 348,067
		\$ 742,059
Insured Transportation 9.1%  Philadelphia, Airport Revenue, (AGM), (AMT), 5.00%, 6/15/27  Philadelphia Parking Authority, (AMBAC), 5.25%, 2/15/29  Puerto Rico Highway and Transportation Authority, (AGC), (CIFG), 5.25%, 7/1/41(3)(4)	\$ 525 1,005 1,800	\$ 571,473 1,008,367 2,065,122
		\$ 3,644,962
Insured Water and Sewer 2.8%  Bucks County Water and Sewer Authority, (AGM), 5.00%, 12/1/35  Delaware County Industrial Development Authority, (Aqua Pennsylvania, Inc.), (FGIC), (NPFG), (AMT), 5.00%, 11/1/36	\$ 500 525	\$ 577,795 552,400
		\$ 1,130,195
Senior Living / Life Care 2.4% Cliff House Trust, (AMT), 6.625%, 6/1/27 <sup>(1)</sup> Montgomery County Industrial Development Authority, (Foulkeways at Gwynedd), 5.00%, 12/1/24 Montgomery County Industrial Development Authority, (Foulkeways at Gwynedd), 5.00%, 12/1/30	\$ 1,000 200 200	\$ 527,530 211,432 208,802
		\$ 947,764

32

# Pennsylvania Municipal Income Trust

November 30, 2012

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Special Tax Revenue 0.3% Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority, 6.75%, 10/1/37	\$	110	\$	131,547
			\$	131,547
Transportation 15.9% Delaware River Port Authority of Pennsylvania and New Jersey, 5.00%, 1/1/35 Delaware River Port Authority of Pennsylvania and New Jersey, 5.00%, 1/1/40 Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority, (Amtrak), (AMT), 5.00%, 11/1/41 Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, 5.25%, 6/1/39 Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, 5.35%, (0.00% until 12/1/15), 12/1/30 Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, 5.625%, 6/1/29 Philadelphia, Airport Revenue, (AMT), 5.00%, 6/15/23 Philadelphia, Airport Revenue, (AMT), 5.00%, 6/15/27	\$	465 285 455 1,000 1,430 750 410 970	<b>\$</b>	536,303 325,926 507,525 1,130,450 1,412,883 881,385 481,262 1,111,746 <b>6,387,480</b>
Utilities 1.6% Philadelphia Gas Works, 5.25%, 8/1/40	\$	600	\$ <b>\$</b>	660,522 660,522
Water and Sewer 3.9% Harrisburg Water Authority, 5.25%, 7/15/31 <sup>(5)</sup> Philadelphia, Water and Wastewater Revenue, 5.00%, 1/1/36	\$	750 750	\$ <b>\$</b>	688,155 867,172 <b>1,555,327</b>
Total Tax-Exempt Investments 154.4% (identified cost \$56,216,589)			\$	62,042,212
Auction Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative Unpaid Dividends (52.7)%			\$ (	(21,175,634)
Other Assets, Less Liabilities (1.7)%			\$	(678,983)

Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%

\$ 40,187,595

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets applicable to common shares.

AGC Assured Guaranty Corp.

AGM Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.
AMBAC AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

AMT Interest earned from these securities may be considered a tax preference item for purposes of the Federal Alternative Minimum Tax.

CIFG CIFG Assurance North America, Inc.
FGIC Financial Guaranty Insurance Company
NPFG National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.
SFMR Single Family Mortgage Revenue

The Trust invests primarily in debt securities issued by Pennsylvania municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at November 30, 2012, 28.2% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution ranged from 3.3% to 11.2% of total investments.

(1)	Defaulted bond.
(2)	When-issued security.
(3)	Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1H).
(4)	Security (or a portion thereof) has been pledged as collateral for residual interest bond transactions. The aggregate value of such collateral is \$1,165,122.
(5)	Security (or a portion thereof) has been segregated to cover payable for when-issued securities.

# Municipal Income Trusts

November 30, 2012

Statements of Assets and Liabilities

	November 30, 2012 California Michigan New Je				New Jersey			
Assets	Trus		Mac	sachusetts Trust		chigan ust	Tru	•
Investments	114	51	Mas	saciusetts 11ust	11	ust	110	ist
Identified cost	\$ 15	1,193,761	\$	59,981,205	\$	44,172,592	\$	98,580,745
Unrealized appreciation		8,438,313	Ψ	8,413,269	Ψ	4,702,450	Ψ	11,745,277
Investments, at value		9,632,074	\$	68,394,474	\$	48,875,042	\$	110,326,022
Cash	\$	, , , , , ,	\$	,,	\$	518,458	\$	- , ,-
Restricted cash*		250,000		115,000		22,000		460,000
Interest receivable		1,750,392		905,654		528,006		1,542,247
Receivable for investments sold		699,300		449,044		35,700		86,914
Deferred debt issuance costs		28,039		2,288				3,186
Total assets	\$ 17	2,359,805	\$	69,866,460	\$	49,979,206	\$	112,418,369
Liabilities								
Payable for floating rate notes issued	\$ 1	4,680,000	\$	4,885,000	\$		\$	9,455,000
Payable for variation margin on open financial futures contracts		14,938		5,313		937		22,656
Due to custodian		1,105,024		263,708				219,691
Payable to affiliates:								
Investment adviser fee		87,675		34,973		26,472		56,873
Administration fee		26,771		10,679		8,083		17,366
Trustees fees		1,114		492		394		754
Interest expense and fees payable		19,756		11,065				19,035
Accrued expenses		82,669		55,743		51,923		67,019
Total liabilities		6,017,947	\$	5,266,973	\$	87,809	\$	9,858,394
Auction preferred shares at liquidation value plus cumulative unpaid								
dividends		9,975,000	\$	20,050,600	\$	17,500,262	\$	33,425,000
Net assets applicable to common shares	\$ 10	6,366,858	\$	44,548,887	\$	32,391,135	\$	69,134,975
Sources of Net Assets								
Common shares, \$0.01 par value, unlimited number of shares authorized		72,576	\$	27,505	\$	21,163	\$	46,759
Additional paid-in capital		4,154,215		39,728,155		29,330,770		66,988,613
Accumulated net realized loss	(1	6,918,010)		(3,786,668)		(1,780,426)		(9,930,899)
Accumulated undistributed net investment income		663,177		169,079		117,612		359,123
Net unrealized appreciation		8,394,900	ø	8,410,816	d	4,702,016	ф	11,671,379
Net assets applicable to common shares	\$ 10	6,366,858	\$	44,548,887	\$	32,391,135	\$	69,134,975
Auction Preferred Shares Issued and Outstanding								
(Liquidation preference of \$25,000 per share)		1,999		802		700		1,337
Common Shares Outstanding		7,257,591		2,750,521		2,116,294		4,675,855
Net Asset Value Per Common Share								
Net assets applicable to common shares ÷ common shares issued and outstanding	\$	14.66	\$	16.20	\$	15.31	\$	14.79

\* Represents restricted cash on deposit at the broker for open financial futures contracts.

34

# Municipal Income Trusts

November 30, 2012

Statements of Assets and Liabilities continued

	November 30, 2012					
Assets	New York Trust	Ohio Trust	Pennsylvania Trust			
Investments	11450	01110 114100	2 0111	214		
Identified cost	\$ 119,983,698	\$ 58,389,790	\$	56,216,589		
Unrealized appreciation	16,279,092	8,929,764		5,825,623		
Investments, at value	\$ 136,262,790	\$ 67,319,554	\$	62,042,212		
Cash	\$	\$	\$	451,559		
Restricted cash*	150,000	52,000		175,000		
Interest receivable	1,676,376	988,717		802,264		
Receivable for investments sold	791,625	383,712		176,232		
Total assets	\$ 138,880,791	\$ 68,743,983	\$	63,647,267		
Liabilities						
Payable for floating rate notes issued	\$ 19,315,000	\$	\$	1.650.000		
Payable for when-issued securities	+,,	538.130	Ť	518,905		
Payable for variation margin on open financial futures contracts	6,718	2,813		7,813		
Due to custodian	634,282	90,746		,,,,,,		
Payable to affiliates:	,	, ,,,				
Investment adviser fee	68,455	35,969		33,292		
Administration fee	20,902	10,983		10,165		
Trustees fees	887	504		479		
Interest expense and fees payable	33,192			5,341		
Accrued expenses	75,201	55,594		58,043		
Total liabilities	\$ 20,154,637	\$ 734,739	\$	2,284,038		
Auction preferred shares at liquidation value plus cumulative unpaid dividends	\$ 33,725,252	\$ 22,725,510	\$	21,175,634		
Net assets applicable to common shares	\$ 85,000,902	\$ 45,283,734	\$	40,187,595		
Sources of Net Assets						
Common shares, \$0.01 par value, unlimited number of shares authorized	\$ 54,709	\$ 28,570	\$	27,199		
Additional paid-in capital	79,319,580	40,158,982		38,076,743		
Accumulated net realized loss	(11,212,585)	(3,961,545)		(3,927,242)		
Accumulated undistributed net investment income	563,208	129,262		210,754		
Net unrealized appreciation	16,275,990	8,928,465		5,800,141		
Net assets applicable to common shares	\$ 85,000,902	\$ 45,283,734	\$	40,187,595		
Auction Preferred Shares Issued and Outstanding						
(Liquidation preference of \$25,000 per share)	1,349	909		847		
Common Shares Outstanding	5,470,944	2,856,954		2,719,918		
Net Asset Value Per Common Share  Net assets applicable to common shares ÷ common shares issued and outstanding	\$ 15.5 <b>4</b>	\$ 15.8 <b>5</b>	\$	14.78		

\* Represents restricted cash on deposit at the broker for open financial futures contracts.

35

# Municipal Income Trusts

November 30, 2012

Statements of Operations

	Califor							
Investment Income	Trust	ına	Macc	achusetts Trust	Mi	chigan Trust	Nov	Jersey Trust
Interest	\$ 7,49	Q 1Q0	\$	2,881,440	\$	2,186,760	\$	4,941,268
Total investment income	\$ 7,49	*	\$ \$	2,881,440 2,881,440	\$ \$	2,186,760 2,186,760	\$ \$	4,941,268 4,941,268
Total investment income	φ 1,43°	0,109	Ф	2,001,440	φ	2,100,700	Φ	4,941,200
Expenses								
Investment adviser fee	\$ 1,04	6,300	\$	418,507	\$	317,736	\$	684,911
Administration fee	31	6,531		126,605		96,117		207,186
Trustees fees and expenses		6,987		3,099		2,474		4,727
Custodian fee	8	3,003		43,497		37,535		60,993
Transfer and dividend disbursing agent fees		9,216		19,060		18,995		19,193
Legal and accounting services		1,188		38,421		36,547		45,343
Printing and postage		7,200		10,003		10,487		13,916
Interest expense and fees		1,470		38,586		10,107		70,500
Preferred shares service fee		0.034		29,262		23,618		49,103
Miscellaneous		2,512		36,349		34,414		40,678
Total expenses	\$ 1,76	*	\$	<b>763,389</b>	\$	577,923	\$	1,196,550
Deduct	φ 1,70	7,771	Ψ	703,307	Ψ	311,723	Ψ	1,170,550
Reduction of custodian fee	\$	903	\$	552	\$	446	\$	520
Total expense reductions	<b>\$</b>	903	\$	552 552	\$	446	\$	520 520
Total expense reductions	Ψ	703	Ψ	332	φ	440	φ	320
Net expenses	\$ 1,76	3,538	\$	762,837	\$	577,477	\$	1,196,030
Net investment income	\$ 5,73	4,651	\$	2,118,603	\$	1,609,283	\$	3,745,238
Realized and Unrealized Gain (Loss)								
Net realized gain (loss)								
Investment transactions	\$ (7	1,555)	\$	(45,453)	\$	(244,338)	\$	395,787
Financial futures contracts	(90	3,437)		(381,782)		(102,698)		(1,365,995)
Net realized loss	,	4,992)	\$	(427,235)	\$	(347,036)	\$	(970,208)
Change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation)		, , ,	·	( ) /	•	(- ))	•	( 1, 11,
Investments	\$ 17,89	4.526	\$	6,709,414	\$	4,454,782	\$	9,531,832
Financial futures contracts		0,303)	Ψ	(18,749)	Ψ	(11,388)	Ψ.	(250,376)
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation)	\$ 17,77		\$	6,690,665	\$	4,443,394	\$	9,281,456
ret change in ain canzed approclation (depreciation)	Ψ 1,,,,	1,220	Ψ	0,000,000	Ψ	1,110,001	Ψ	<b>&gt;,201,100</b>
Net realized and unrealized gain	\$ 16,79	9,231	\$	6,263,430	\$	4,096,358	\$	8,311,248
Distributions to preferred shareholders								
From net investment income	\$ (12)	7,665)	\$	(51,813)	\$	(44,571)	\$	(84,946)
Net increase in net assets from operations	\$ 22,40	6,217	\$	8,330,220	\$	5,661,070	\$	11,971,540

36

# **Municipal Income Trusts**

November 30, 2012

Statements of Operations continued

	Year Ended November 30, 2012 New York									
Investment Income	Trust	Ohio Trust	Ponn	svlvania Trust						
Interest	\$ 6,138,728	\$ 2,989,189	\$	2,862,880						
Total investment income	\$ 6,138,728	\$ 2,989,189 \$ 2,989,189	\$ \$	2,862,880 2,862,880						
Total investment income	\$ 0,130,720	\$ 2,909,109	Ф	2,002,000						
Expenses										
Investment adviser fee	\$ 817,444	\$ 429,301	\$	402,014						
Administration fee	247,295	129,871		121,608						
Trustees fees and expenses	5,569	3,153		2,993						
Custodian fee	71,367	44,276		43,655						
Transfer and dividend disbursing agent fees	19,105	18,944		19,262						
Legal and accounting services	49,537	37,883		43,458						
Printing and postage	15,943	10,627		11,160						
Interest expense and fees	141,458	10,027		14,355						
Preferred shares service fee	49,051	32,713		30,279						
Miscellaneous	43,634	38,419		37,280						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ø							
Total expenses	\$ 1,460,403	\$ 745,187	\$	726,064						
Deduct Control of the	ф. 400	ф 225	Ф	226						
Reduction of custodian fee	\$ 480	\$ 335	\$	236						
Total expense reductions	\$ 480	\$ 335	\$	236						
Net expenses	\$ 1,459,923	\$ 744,852	\$	725,828						
Net investment income	\$ 4,678,805	\$ 2,244,337	\$	2,137,052						
Realized and Unrealized Gain (Loss)										
Net realized gain (loss)										
Investment transactions	\$ 58,792	\$ (326,188)	\$	(247,281)						
Financial futures contracts	(482,767)	(219,914)	-	(471,033)						
Net realized loss	\$ (423,975)	\$ (546,102)	\$	(718,314)						
Change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation)	ψ (:=ε;, :ε)	Ψ (€ 10,102)	Ψ	(/10,011)						
Investments	\$ 13,018,565	\$ 7,636,132	\$	5,108,771						
Financial futures contracts	(23,473)	(25,239)	Ψ	(86,337)						
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation)	\$ 12,995,092	\$ 7,610,893	\$	5,022,434						
rect change in univenized appreciation (depreciation)	ψ 12,773, <del>0</del> 72	\$ 7,010,023	Ψ	3,022,434						
Net realized and unrealized gain	\$ 12,571,117	\$ 7,064,791	\$	4,304,120						
Distributions to preferred shareholders										
From net investment income	\$ (86,286)	\$ (58,080)	\$	(54,721)						
Net increase in net assets from operations	\$ 17,163,636	\$ 9,251,048	\$	6,386,451						

# Municipal Income Trusts

November 30, 2012

Statements of Changes in Net Assets

Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets	_	alifornia rust	ı	Year Ended Novo	Mi	er 30, 2012 ichigan ust	Nev	v Jersey Trust
From operations	•	Lust	14	iassaciiusetts 11ust	11	ust	1101	Jersey Trust
Net investment income	ф	5 724 651	ф	2 110 (02	ф	1 (00 202	ф	2.745.220
- 100 -	\$	5,734,651	\$	2,118,603	\$	1,609,283	\$	3,745,238
Net realized loss from investment transactions and financial futures		(054.000)		(40= 00=)		(2.17.02.6)		(050 200)
contracts		(974,992)		(427,235)		(347,036)		(970,208)
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) from investments and	l							
financial futures contracts		17,774,223		6,690,665		4,443,394		9,281,456
Distributions to preferred shareholders								
From net investment income		(127,665)		(51,813)		(44,571)		(84,946)
Net increase in net assets from operations	\$	22,406,217	\$	8,330,220	\$	5,661,070	\$	11,971,540
Distributions to common shareholders								
From net investment income	\$	(6,086,435)	\$	(2,212,744)	\$	(1,636,265)	\$	(3,723,547)
Total distributions to common shareholders	\$	(6,086,435)	\$		\$	(1,636,265)	\$	(3,723,547)
Capital share transactions	Ψ	(0,000,100)	Ψ	(=,===,:::)	Ψ	(1,000,200)	Ψ	(0,120,011)
Reinvestment of distributions to common shareholders	\$	185,370	\$	59,017	\$		\$	152,504
Net increase in net assets from capital share transactions	\$	185,370	\$	59,017	\$		\$	152,504
The merease in her assets from capital share transactions	Ψ	105,570	Ψ	37,017	Ψ		Ψ	132,304
Net increase in net assets	\$	16,505,152	\$	6,176,493	\$	4,024,805	\$	8,400,497
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares	Φ.	00.071.707	Φ.	20 272 204	ф	20.266.220	ф	60.724.470
At beginning of year	\$	, ,	\$	, ,	\$	28,366,330	\$	60,734,478
At end of year	\$	106,366,858	\$	44,548,887	\$	32,391,135	\$	69,134,975
Accumulated undistributed net investment income included in net assets applicable to common shares								
At end of year	\$	663,177	\$	169,079	\$	117,612	\$	359,123

# Municipal Income Trusts

November 30, 2012

Statements of Changes in Net Assets continued

	Year Ended November 30, 2012				
	New York				
Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets	Trust	Ohio Trust	Pennsylvania Trust		
From operations					
Net investment income	\$ 4,678,805	\$ 2,244,337	\$ 2,137,052		
Net realized loss from investment transactions and financial futures contracts	(423,975)	(546,102)	(718,314)		
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) from investments and financial futures contracts	12,995,092	7,610,893	5,022,434		
Distributions to preferred shareholders					
From net investment income	(86,286)	(58,080)	(54,721)		
Net increase in net assets from operations	\$ 17,163,636	\$ 9,251,048	\$ 6,386,451		
Distributions to common shareholders					
From net investment income	\$ (4,974,981)	\$ (2,373,528)	\$ (2,248,125)		
Total distributions to common shareholders	\$ (4,974,981)	\$ (2,373,528)	\$ (2,248,125)		
Capital share transactions					
Reinvestment of distributions to common shareholders	\$ 134,042	\$ 27,594	\$ 38,280		
Net increase in net assets from capital share transactions	\$ 134,042	\$ 27,594	\$ 38,280		
Net increase in net assets	\$ 12,322,697	\$ 6,905,114	\$ 4,176,606		
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares					
At beginning of year	\$ 72,678,205	\$ 38,378,620	\$ 36,010,989		
At end of year	\$ 85,000,902	\$ 45,283,734	\$ 40,187,595		
Accumulated undistributed net investment income included in net assets applicable to common shares  At end of year	\$ 563,208	<b>\$</b> 129,262	\$ 210,75 <b>4</b>		

See Notes to Financial Statements.

39

# Municipal Income Trusts

November 30, 2012

Statements of Changes in Net Assets continued

	Cal	lifornia		Year Ended Nov		er 30, 2011 chigan		
Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets	Tri		Mas	sachusetts Trust	Tr	0	Nev	Jersey Trust
From operations								
Net investment income	\$	6,690,748	\$	2,440,871	\$	1,747,303	\$	4,146,848
Net realized loss from investment transactions, extinguishment of debt,								
financial futures contracts and swap contracts	(	5,812,389)		(1,798,271)		(379,490)		(4,316,193)
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) from investments,								
financial futures contracts and swap contracts		5,840,558		2,417,110		1,565,378		3,472,721
Distributions to preferred shareholders								
From net investment income		(156,530)		(62,477)		(53,546)		(104,690)
Net increase in net assets from operations	\$	6,562,387	\$	2,997,233	\$	2,879,645	\$	3,198,686
Distributions to common shareholders								
From net investment income	\$ (	6,404,210)	\$	(2,484,380)	\$	(1,775,591)	\$	(4,301,179)
Total distributions to common shareholders	\$ (	6,404,210)	\$	(2,484,380)	\$	(1,775,591)	\$	(4,301,179)
Capital share transactions								
Reinvestment of distributions to common shareholders	\$	308,410	\$	124,586	\$		\$	120,167
Net increase in net assets from capital share transactions	\$	308,410	\$	124,586	\$		\$	120,167
Net increase (decrease) in net assets	\$	466,587	\$	637,439	\$	1,104,054	\$	(982,326)
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares								
At beginning of year	\$8	9,395,119	\$	37,734,955	\$	27,262,276	\$	61,716,804
At end of year	\$ 8	9,861,706	\$	38,372,394	\$	28,366,330	\$	60,734,478
Accumulated undistributed net investment income included in net assets applicable to common shares  At end of year	\$	1,202,450	\$	330,666	\$	198,605	\$	415,866

# Municipal Income Trusts

November 30, 2012

Statements of Changes in Net Assets continued

	Year Ended November 30, 2011 New York							
Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets		w York ust	Oł	nio Trust	Penn	sylvania Trust		
From operations						•		
Net investment income	\$	5,179,486	\$	2,427,846	\$	2,370,308		
Net realized loss from investment transactions, extinguishment of debt, financial futures contracts								
and swap contracts	(	(3,463,693)		(1,188,474)		(1,720,683)		
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) from investments, financial futures contracts	Ì	(-,,,		( ,, - ,		( ) ,		
and swap contracts		4,422,671		2,074,746		1,564,419		
Distributions to preferred shareholders		.,,		_,~.,.,.		-,,,,		
From net investment income		(103,791)		(70,672)		(65,985)		
Net increase in net assets from operations	\$	6,034,673	\$	3,243,446	\$	2,148,059		
Distributions to common shareholders	-	-,,	_	-,,	T	_,,		
From net investment income	\$ (	(4,960,863)	\$	(2,454,993)	\$	(2,355,299)		
Total distributions to common shareholders		(4,960,863)		(2,454,993)	\$	(2,355,299)		
Capital share transactions	Ψ (	(1,500,000)	Ψ.	(=, :: :,>>0)	Ψ	(=,000,=>>)		
Reinvestment of distributions to common shareholders	\$	232,016	\$	127,253	\$	7,823		
Net increase in net assets from capital share transactions	\$	232,016	\$	127,253	\$	7,823		
Total March	Ψ	202,010	Ψ	121,200	Ψ	.,020		
Net increase (decrease) in net assets	\$	1,305,826	\$	915,706	\$	(199,417)		
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares								
At beginning of year	\$ 7	1,372,379	\$ 3	37,462,914	\$	36,210,406		
At end of year		2,678,205		38,378,620	\$	36,010,989		
At thu of year	φ,	2,070,203	φ.	50,570,020	Ψ	30,010,202		
Accumulated undistributed net investment income								
included in net assets applicable to common shares								
At end of year	\$	940,604	\$	332,320	\$	385,127		

# **Municipal Income Trusts**

November 30, 2012

Statement of Cash Flows\*

Cash Flows From Operating Activities	Nove New	Ended mber 30, 2012 York Trust
Net increase in net assets from operations	\$	17,163,636
Distributions to preferred shareholders	ф	86,286
Net increase in net assets from operations excluding distributions to preferred shareholders	\$	17,249,922
Adjustments to reconcile net increase in net assets from operations to net cash provided by operating activities:		(04.055.040)
Investments purchased		(24,855,242)
Investments sold		22,616,269
Net amortization/accretion of premium (discount)		(321,652)
Amortization of deferred debt issuance costs		1,850
Increase in restricted cash		(150,000)
Increase in interest receivable		(43,456)
Decrease in receivable for variation margin on open financial futures contracts		29,298
Increase in payable for variation margin on open financial futures contracts		6,718
Increase in payable to affiliate for investment adviser fee		3,534
Increase in payable to affiliate for administration fee		1,523
Increase in payable to affiliate for Trustees fees		204
Increase in interest expense and fees payable		101
Increase in accrued expenses		2,945
Net change in unrealized (appreciation) depreciation from investments		(13,018,565)
Net realized gain from investments	ф	(58,792)
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$	1,464,657
Cash Flows From Financing Activities		
Distributions paid to common shareholders, net of reinvestments	\$	(4,840,939)
Cash distributions paid to preferred shareholders		(87,307)
Proceeds from secured borrowings		4,800,000
Repayment of secured borrowings		(5,940,000)
Increase in due to custodian		634,282
Net cash used in financing activities	\$	(5,433,964)
Net decrease in cash	\$	(3,969,307)
Cash at beginning of year	\$	3,969,307
Cash at end of year	\$	
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:		
Noncash financing activities not included herein consist of:		
Reinvestment of dividends and distributions	\$	134.042
Cash paid for interest and fees	Φ	134,042
Cash paid for inferest and fees		139,307

<sup>\*</sup> Statement of Cash Flows is not required for California Trust, Massachusetts Trust, Michigan Trust, New Jersey Trust, Ohio Trust and Pennsylvania Trust.

# **Municipal Income Trusts**

November 30, 2012

### Financial Highlights

	California Trust Year Ended November 30,						
Net asset value Beginning of year (Common shares)	\$	<b>2012</b> 12.410	<b>2011</b> \$ 12.390	<b>2010</b> \$ 12.330	<b>2009</b> \$ 9.890		<b>2008</b> 15.120
Income (Loss) From Operations Net investment income <sup>(1)</sup> Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) Distributions to preferred shareholders	\$	0.791 2.316	\$ 0.926 0.002	\$ 0.945 0.026	\$ 0.947 2.321	\$	0.943 (5.223)
From net investment income <sup>(1)</sup>		(0.018)	(0.022)	(0.028)	(0.047)		(0.277)
Total income (loss) from operations	\$	3.089	\$ 0.906	\$ 0.943	\$ 3.221	\$	(4.557)
Less Distributions to Common Shareholders From net investment income	\$	(0.839)	\$ (0.886)	\$ (0.883)	\$ (0.781)	\$	(0.673)
Total distributions to common shareholders	\$	(0.839)	\$ (0.886)	\$ (0.883)	\$ (0.781)	\$	(0.673)
Net asset value End of year (Common shares)	\$	14.660	\$ 12.410	\$ 12.390	\$ 12.330	\$	9.890
Market value End of year (Common shares)	\$	14.680	\$ 12.770	\$ 12.400	\$ 12.170	\$	9.150
Total Investment Return on Net Asset Value <sup>(2)</sup>		25.59%	7.99%	7.73%	34.24%		(30.70)%
Total Investment Return on Market Value <sup>(2)</sup>		22.22%	11.04%	9.25%	43.19%		(26.34)%
Ratios/Supplemental Data  Net assets applicable to common shares, end of year (000 s omitted)  Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares):(3)	\$	106,367	\$ 89,862	\$ 89,395	\$ 88,720	\$	71,065
Expenses excluding interest and fees		1.66%	1.83%	1.78%	1.93%		1.87%
Interest and fee expense <sup>(4)</sup> Total expenses before custodian fee reduction		0.11% 1.77%	0.17% 2.00%	0.18% 1.96%	0.23% 2.16%		0.37% 2.24%
Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees		1.66%	1.83%	1.78%	1.93%		1.85%
Net investment income		5.77%	7.81%	7.34%	8.35%		6.91%
Portfolio Turnover		17%	22%	14%	18%		31%
The ratios reported above are based on net assets applicable to common shares. The are as follows:	ratio	s based on ne	t assets, includin	g amounts rela	ted to preferre	d sh	ares,
Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares and preferred shares):(3)							
Expenses excluding interest and fees		1.11%	1.15%	1.16%	1.19%		1.18%
Interest and fee expense <sup>(4)</sup>		0.07%	0.11%	0.11%	0.15%		0.24%
Total expenses before custodian fee reduction		1.18%	1.26%	1.27%	1.34%		1.42%
Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees		1.11%	1.15%	1.16%	1.19%		1.17%
Net investment income		3.84%	4.93%	4.77%	5.18%		4.39%
Senior Securities:		1,999	1,999	1,999	1,999		1,999
Total preferred shares outstanding Asset coverage per preferred share <sup>(5)</sup>	\$	78,210	1,999 \$ 69,954	1,999 \$ 69,721	\$ 69,383	¢.	1,999 60,552
Involuntary liquidation preference per preferred share <sup>(6)</sup>	\$ \$	25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 09,721	\$ 09,383		25,000
Approximate market value per preferred share <sup>(6)</sup>	\$ \$	25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000		25,000 25,000
ripproximate market value per preferred share.	φ	23,000	Ψ 25,000	Ψ 25,000	Ψ 25,000	Ψ.	25,000

1) Computed using average common shares outstanding.			
(2) Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percen	ntage change in net asset	et value or market value with all distributions reinvested.	
(3) Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred sh	hareholders.		
(4) Interest and fee expense relates to the liability for floating rate note	es issued in conjunction	n with residual interest bond transactions (see Note 1H).	
(5) Calculated by subtracting the Trust s total liabilities (not including preferred shares outstanding.	g the preferred shares) fr	from the Trust s total assets, and dividing the result by the num	ıber of
<sup>(6)</sup> Plus accumulated and unpaid dividends.			
	43	See Notes to Financial State	'ement:

# **Municipal Income Trusts**

November 30, 2012

Financial Highlights continued

	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
Net asset value Beginning of year (Common shares)	\$ 13.970	\$ 13.790	\$ 13.590	\$ 10.160	\$ 14.860
Income (Loss) From Operations Net investment income <sup>(1)</sup> Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) Distributions to preferred shareholders	\$ 0.771 2.283	\$ 0.890 0.219	\$ 0.926 0.210	\$ 0.948 3.356	\$ 0.947 (4.720)
From net investment income <sup>(1)</sup>	(0.019)	(0.023)	(0.030)	(0.049)	(0.278)
Total income (loss) from operations	\$ 3.035	\$ 1.086	\$ 1.106	\$ 4.255	\$ (4.051)
Less Distributions to Common Shareholders From net investment income  Total distributions to common shareholders	\$ (0.805) <b>\$ (0.805)</b>	\$ (0.906) <b>\$ (0.906)</b>	\$ (0.906) <b>\$ (0.906)</b>	\$ (0.825) <b>\$ (0.825)</b>	\$ (0.649) <b>\$ (0.649</b> )
Net asset value End of year (Common shares)	\$ 16.200	\$ 13.970	\$ 13.790	\$ 13.590	\$ 10.160
Market value End of year (Common shares)	\$ 16.350	\$ 14.810	\$ 13.980	\$ 13.260	\$ 8.930
• , , , ,					
Total Investment Return on Net Asset Value <sup>(2)</sup>	22.28%	8.49%	8.16%	43.29%	(28.02)%
Total Investment Return on Market Value <sup>(2)</sup>	16.41%	13.45%	12.38%	58.91%	(27.89)%
Ratios/Supplemental Data  Net assets applicable to common shares, end of year (000 s omitted)  Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares):(3)	\$ 44,549	\$ 38,372	\$ 37,735	\$ 37,011	\$ 27,576
Expenses excluding interest and fees	1.73%	1.87%	1.83%	2.02%	2.06%
Interest and fee expense <sup>(4)</sup>	0.09%	0.11%	0.09%	0.14%	0.26%
Total expenses before custodian fee reduction	1.82%	1.98%	1.92%	2.16%	2.32%
Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees	1.73%	1.87%	1.82%	2.02%	2.04%
Net investment income	5.06%	6.70%	6.51%	7.77%	7.03%
Portfolio Turnover	11%	15%	16%	24%	40%
The ratios reported above are based on net assets applicable to common shares. The rate as follows:  Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares and preferred shares): <sup>(3)</sup>	atios based on ne	t assets, includir	ng amounts rela	ted to preferre	d shares,
Expenses excluding interest and fees	1.17%	1.21%	1.20%	1.26%	1.31%
Interest and fee expense <sup>(4)</sup>	0.06%	0.07%	0.06%	0.09%	0.16%
Total expenses before custodian fee reduction	1.23%	1.28%	1.26%	1.35%	1.47%
Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees	1.17%	1.21%	1.20%	1.26%	1.30%
Net investment income	3.42%	4.32%	4.29%	4.85%	4.47%
Senior Securities:					
Total preferred shares outstanding	802	802	802	802	802
Asset coverage per preferred share <sup>(5)</sup>	\$ 80,548	\$ 72,846	\$ 72,051	\$ 71,150	\$ 59,391
Involuntary liquidation preference per preferred share <sup>(6)</sup>	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000
Approximate market value per preferred share <sup>(6)</sup>	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000

(1)	Computed using average common shares outstanding.
(2)	Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested.
(3)	Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders.
(4)	Interest and fee expense relates to the liability for floating rate notes issued in conjunction with residual interest bond transactions (see Note 1H).
(5)	Calculated by subtracting the Trust s total liabilities (not including the preferred shares) from the Trust s total assets, and dividing the result by the number of preferred shares outstanding.
(6)	Plus accumulated and unpaid dividends.
	44 See Notes to Financial Statements

# **Municipal Income Trusts**

November 30, 2012

Financial Highlights continued

		Michigan Trust Year Ended November 30,				
Net asset value Beginning of year (Common shares)	<b>2012</b> \$ 13.400	<b>2011</b> \$ 12.880	<b>2010</b> \$ 12.940	<b>2009</b> \$ 10.860	<b>2008</b> \$ 14.510	
Income (Loss) From Operations Net investment income <sup>(1)</sup> Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) Distributions to preferred shareholders	\$ 0.760 1.944	\$ 0.826 0.558	\$ 0.876 (0.044)	\$ 0.918 1.990	\$ 0.931 (3.669)	
From net investment income <sup>(1)</sup>	(0.021)	(0.025)	(0.033)	(0.056)	(0.301)	
Total income (loss) from operations	\$ 2.683	\$ 1.359	\$ 0.799	\$ 2.852	\$ (3.039)	
Less Distributions to Common Shareholders From net investment income	\$ (0.773)	\$ (0.839)	\$ (0.859)	\$ (0.772)	\$ (0.611)	
Total distributions to common shareholders	\$ (0.773)	<b>\$</b> (0.839)	\$ (0.859)	\$ (0.772)	\$ (0.611)	
Net asset value End of year (Common shares)	\$ 15.310	\$ 13.400	\$ 12.880	\$ 12.940	\$ 10.860	
Market value End of year (Common shares)	\$ 14.690	\$ 12.470	\$ 12.100	\$ 11.530	\$ 7.920	
Total Investment Return on Net Asset Value <sup>(2)</sup>	20.92%	11.66%	6.57%	28.08%	(21.02)%	
Total Investment Return on Market Value <sup>(2)</sup>	24.67%	10.60%	12.36%	56.49%	(32.76)%	
Ratios/Supplemental Data  Net assets applicable to common shares, end of year (000 s omitted)  Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares): <sup>(3)</sup>	\$ 32,391	\$ 28,366	\$ 27,262	\$ 27,392	\$ 22,977	
Expenses excluding interest and fees Interest and fee expense <sup>(4)</sup>	1.89%	2.04%	1.98%	2.18% 0.06%	2.15% 0.16%	
Total expenses before custodian fee reduction	1.89%	2.04%	1.98%	2.24%	2.31%	
Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees	1.89%	2.04%	1.98%	2.18%	2.13%	
Net investment income Portfolio Turnover	5.26% 14%	6.49% 18%	6.57% 14%	7.61% 23%	6.96% 24%	
The ratios reported above are based on net assets applicable to common shar shares, are as follows:  Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares and preferred shares): <sup>(3)</sup>						
Expenses excluding interest and fees Interest and fee expense <sup>(4)</sup>	1.20%	1.24%	1.22%	1.29% 0.04%	1.33% 0.10%	
Total expenses before custodian fee reduction	1.20%	1.24%	1.22%	1.33%	1.43%	
Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees	1.20%	1.24%	1.22%	1.29%	1.31%	
Net investment income Senior Securities:	3.35%	3.93%	4.06%	4.52%	4.30%	
Total preferred shares outstanding	700	700	700	700	700	
Asset coverage per preferred share <sup>(5)</sup>	\$ 71,273	\$ 65,524	\$ 63,948	\$ 64,132	\$ 57,828	
Involuntary liquidation preference per preferred share <sup>(6)</sup>	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	
Approximate market value per preferred share <sup>(6)</sup>	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	

ated using average common shares outstanding.	
s are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value	e or market value with all distributions reinvested.
do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders.	
t and fee expense relates to the liability for floating rate notes issued in conjunction with	residual interest bond transactions (see Note 1H).
ated by subtracting the Trust s total liabilities (not including the preferred shares) from the shares outstanding.	he Trust s total assets, and dividing the result by the number of
ecumulated and unpaid dividends.	
45	See Notes to Financial Statements
	do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders.  It and fee expense relates to the liability for floating rate notes issued in conjunction with atted by subtracting the Trust s total liabilities (not including the preferred shares) from the shares outstanding.

# **Municipal Income Trusts**

November 30, 2012

Financial Highlights continued

	New Jersey Trust						
	Year Ended November 30,						
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008		
Net asset value Beginning of year (Common shares)	\$ 13.020	\$ 13.260	\$ 13.570	\$ 9.400	\$ 14.930		
Income (Loss) From Operations Net investment income(1)	\$ 0.802	\$ 0.890	\$ 0.957	\$ 0.971	\$ 0.968		
	1.783		(0.290)	\$ 0.971 4.091			
Net realized and unrealized gain (loss)	1.765	(0.185)	(0.290)	4.091	(5.579)		
Distributions to preferred shareholders From net investment income <sup>(1)</sup>	(0.019)	(0.022)	(0.020)	(0.049)	(0.280)		
From net investment income(1)	(0.018)	(0.022)	(0.029)	(0.048)	(0.289)		
Total income (loss) from operations	\$ 2.567	\$ 0.683	\$ 0.638	\$ 5.014	<b>\$ (4.900)</b>		
Less Distributions to Common Shareholders							
From net investment income	\$ (0.797)	\$ (0.923)	\$ (0.948)	\$ (0.844)	\$ (0.630)		
Total distributions to common shareholders	\$ (0.797)	\$ (0.923)	\$ (0.948)	\$ (0.844)	\$ (0.630)		
Net asset value End of year (Common shares)	\$ 14.790	\$ 13.020	\$ 13.260	\$ 13.570	\$ 9.400		
Market value End of year (Common shares)	\$ 16.380	\$ 13.370	\$ 13.520	\$ 14.040	\$ 8.500		
Total Investment Return on Net Asset Value <sup>(2)</sup>	20.18%	5.64%	4.62%	55.43%	(33.57)%		
Total Investment Return on Market Value <sup>(2)</sup>	29.62%	6.39%	3.10%	77.84%	(29.88)%		
Ratios/Supplemental Data							
Net assets applicable to common shares, end of year (000 s omitted)	\$ 69,135	\$ 60,734	\$ 61,717	\$ 62,792	\$ 43,459		
Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares):(3)							
Expenses excluding interest and fees	1.71%	1.81%	1.79%	1.99%	1.96%		
Interest and fee expense <sup>(4)</sup>	0.11%	0.15%	0.18%	0.24%	0.45%		
Total expenses before custodian fee reduction	1.82%	1.96%	1.97%	2.23%	2.41%		
Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees	1.71%	1.81%	1.79%	1.99%	1.94%		
Net investment income	5.70%	6.96%	6.87%	8.16%	7.22%		
Portfolio Turnover	14%	11%	9%	48%	54%		
The ratios reported above are based on net assets applicable to common shares. The ratios	atios based on ne	t assets, includin	g amounts rela	ted to preferre	d shares,		
are as follows:							
Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares and							
preferred shares):(3)							
Expenses excluding interest and fees	1.14%	1.16%	1.18%	1.24%	1.23%		
Interest and fee expense <sup>(4)</sup>	0.07%	0.09%	0.12%	0.15%	0.28%		
Total expenses before custodian fee reduction	1.21%	1.25%	1.30%	1.39%	1.51%		
Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees	1.14%	1.16%	1.18%	1.24%	1.21%		
Net investment income	3.78%	4.46%	4.53%	5.08%	4.51%		
Senior Securities:							
Total preferred shares outstanding	1,337	1,337	1,337	1,337	1,366		
Asset coverage per preferred share <sup>(5)</sup>	\$ 76,709	\$ 70,427	\$ 71,162	\$ 71,966	\$ 56,817		
Involuntary liquidation preference per preferred share <sup>(6)</sup>	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000		
Approximate market value per preferred share <sup>(6)</sup>	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000		

(2) Retu	urns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distr	ributions reinvested.
(3) Rati	ios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders.	
(4) Inter	rest and fee expense relates to the liability for floating rate notes issued in conjunction with residual interest bond transactions.	ions (see Note 1H).
	culated by subtracting the Trust s total liabilities (not including the preferred shares) from the Trust s total assets, and diverred shares outstanding.	riding the result by the number of
<sup>(6)</sup> Plus	s accumulated and unpaid dividends.	
	46	See Notes to Financial Statement

# **Municipal Income Trusts**

November 30, 2012

Financial Highlights continued

	New York Trust					
			ded November	,		
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008	
Net asset value Beginning of year (Common shares)	\$ 13.310	\$ 13.110	\$ 12.920	\$ 9.350	\$ 15.240	
Income (Loss) From Operations						
Net investment income <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 0.856	\$ 0.950	\$ 0.954	\$ 0.960	\$ 0.987	
Net realized and unrealized gain (loss)	2.300	0.179	0.166	3.493	(5.887)	
Distributions to preferred shareholders						
From net investment income <sup>(1)</sup>	(0.016)	(0.019)	(0.025)	(0.042)	(0.269)	
Total income (loss) from operations	\$ 3.140	\$ 1.110	\$ 1.095	\$ 4.411	\$ (5.169)	
Less Distributions to Common Shareholders						
From net investment income	\$ (0.910)	\$ (0.910)	\$ (0.905)	\$ (0.841)	\$ (0.721)	
Total distributions to common shareholders	<b>\$</b> (0.910)	<b>\$ (0.910)</b>	<b>\$</b> (0.905)	\$ (0.841)	\$ (0.721)	
Net asset value End of year (Common shares)	\$ 15.540	\$ 13.310	\$ 13.110	\$ 12.920	\$ 9.350	
Market value End of year (Common shares)	\$ 16.150	\$ 13.450	\$ 13.350	\$ 13.200	\$ 7.900	
Total Investment Return on Net Asset Value <sup>(2)</sup>	24.30%	9.06%	8.48%	49.00%	(35.07)%	
Total Investment Return on Market Value <sup>(2)</sup>	27.89%	8.18%	8.16%	80.12%	(40.71)%	
Ratios/Supplemental Data						
Net assets applicable to common shares, end of year (000 s omitted)	\$ 85,001	\$ 72,678	\$ 71,372	\$ 69,857	\$ 50,325	
Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares):(3)						
Expenses excluding interest and fees	1.66%	1.78%	1.74%	1.98%	1.92%	
Interest and fee expense <sup>(4)</sup>	0.18%	0.22%	0.21%	0.24%	0.55%	
Total expenses before custodian fee reduction	1.84%	2.00%	1.95%	2.22%	2.47%	
Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees	1.66%	1.78%	1.74%	1.98%	1.89%	
Net investment income	5.90%	7.40%	7.02%	8.40%	7.21%	
Portfolio Turnover	17%	13%	13%	20%	48%	
The ratios reported above are based on net assets applicable to common shares. The r	atios based on ne	t assets, includin	g amounts rela	ted to preferre		
are as follows:			C			
Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares and						
preferred shares):(3)	4.469	4.000	4.40%	4.000	4.000	
Expenses excluding interest and fees	1.16%	1.20%	1.18%	1.28%	1.23%	
Interest and fee expense <sup>(4)</sup>	0.13%	0.15%	0.15%	0.15%	0.35%	
Total expenses before custodian fee reduction	1.29%	1.35%	1.33%	1.43%	1.58%	
Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees	1.16%	1.20%	1.18%	1.28%	1.21%	
Net investment income	4.14%	5.00%	4.82%	5.43%	4.63%	
Senior Securities:						
Total preferred shares outstanding	1,349	1,349	1,349	1,349	1,349	
Asset coverage per preferred share <sup>(5)</sup>	\$ 88,010	\$ 78,877	\$ 77,909	\$ 76,785	\$ 62,309	
Involuntary liquidation preference per preferred share <sup>(6)</sup>	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	
Approximate market value per preferred share <sup>(6)</sup>	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	

1) Computed using average common shares outstanding.		
<sup>(2)</sup> Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage	change in net asset value or market value wit	th all distributions reinvested.
(3) Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred sharel	holders.	
(4) Interest and fee expense relates to the liability for floating rate notes iss	sued in conjunction with residual interest bond	d transactions (see Note 1H).
(5) Calculated by subtracting the Trust s total liabilities (not including the preferred shares outstanding.	preferred shares) from the Trust s total asset	ts, and dividing the result by the number of
<sup>(6)</sup> Plus accumulated and unpaid dividends.		
	47	See Notes to Financial Statements

# **Municipal Income Trusts**

November 30, 2012

Financial Highlights continued

	Ohio Trust				
	Year Ended November 30,				
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
Net asset value Beginning of year (Common shares)	\$ 13.440	\$ 13.170	\$ 13.520	\$ 10.450	\$ 14.830
Income (Loss) From Operations				+	
Net investment income <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 0.786	\$ 0.851	\$ 0.899	\$ 0.945	\$ 0.961
Net realized and unrealized gain (loss)	2.475	0.305	(0.325)	2.974	(4.410)
Distributions to preferred shareholders	(0.020)	(0.025)	(0.022)	(0.055)	(0.202)
From net investment income <sup>(1)</sup>	(0.020)	(0.025)	(0.033)	(0.055)	(0.303)
Total income (loss) from operations	\$ 3.241	\$ 1.131	\$ 0.541	\$ 3.864	\$ (3.752)
Less Distributions to Common Shareholders					
From net investment income	\$ (0.831)	\$ (0.861)	\$ (0.891)	\$ (0.794)	\$ (0.628)
Total distributions to common shareholders	\$ (0.831)	\$ (0.861)	\$ (0.891)	<b>\$</b> (0.794)	\$ (0.628)
Net asset value	\$ 15.850	\$ 13.440	\$ 13.170	\$ 13.520	\$ 10.450
Market value End of year (Common shares)	\$ 16.800	\$ 13.320	\$ 13.420	\$ 13.430	\$ 8.550
Total Investment Return on Net Asset Value <sup>(2)</sup>	24.71%	9.21%	3.96%	38.58%	(25.69)%
Total Investment Return on Market Value <sup>(2)</sup>	33.34%	6.25%	6.64%	68.25%	(29.83)%
Ratios/Supplemental Data					
Net assets applicable to common shares, end of year (000 s omitted)	\$ 45,284	\$ 38,379	\$ 37,463	\$ 38,295	\$ 29,563
Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares):(3)					
Expenses excluding interest and fees	1.76%	1.93%	1.85%	2.08%	2.08%
Interest and fee expense <sup>(4)</sup>		0.01%	0.02%	0.02%	0.26%
Total expenses before custodian fee reduction	1.76%	1.94%	1.87%	2.10%	2.34%
Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees	1.76%	1.93%	1.85%	2.08%	2.06%
Net investment income	5.31%	6.64%	6.53%	7.77%	7.12%
Portfolio Turnover	11%	11%	17%	20%	27%
The ratios reported above are based on net assets applicable to common shares. The ratios reported above are based on net assets applicable to common shares.	atios based on ne	t assets, includin	ig amounts rela	ted to preferre	d shares,
are as follows:					
Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares and					
preferred shares):(3)	1 150/	1 100/	1 170/	1.260	1 200
Expenses excluding interest and fees Interest and fee expense <sup>(4)</sup>	1.15%	1.19% 0.01%	1.17% 0.01%	1.26% 0.01%	1.29% 0.16%
<u>*</u>	1.15%	1.20%	1.18%	1.27%	1.45%
Total expenses before custodian fee reduction	1.15%	1.20%	1.17%	1.27%	1.43%
Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees  Net investment income	3.45%	4.09%	4.13%	4.68%	4.41%
Senior Securities:	3.4370	4.09 /0	4.13 /6	4.00 /0	4.41 /0
Total preferred shares outstanding	909	909	909	909	918
Asset coverage per preferred share <sup>(5)</sup>	\$ 74,818	\$ 67,221	\$ 66.215	\$ 67.131	\$ 57,209
Involuntary liquidation preference per preferred share <sup>(6)</sup>	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000
Approximate market value per preferred share <sup>(6)</sup>	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000
* *	•	•	•	•	*

(-)	Computed using average Common shares outstanding.
(2)	Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested.
(3)	Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders.
(4)	Interest and fee expense relates to the liability for floating rate notes issued in conjunction with residual interest bond transactions (see Note 1H).
	Calculated by subtracting the Trust s total liabilities (not including the preferred shares) from the Trust s total assets, and dividing the result by the number of preferred shares outstanding.
(6)	Plus accumulated and unpaid dividends.
	48 See Notes to Financial Statements

# **Municipal Income Trusts**

November 30, 2012

Financial Highlights continued

	Pennsylvania Trust Year Ended November 30,				
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
Net asset value Beginning of year (Common shares)	\$ 13.250	\$ 13.330	\$ 13.380	\$ 10.320	\$ 14.840
Income (Loss) From Operations					
Net investment income <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 0.786	\$ 0.873	\$ 0.912	\$ 0.928	\$ 0.986
Net realized and unrealized gain (loss)	1.591	(0.062)	(0.063)	2.973	(4.555)
Distributions to preferred shareholders					
From net investment income <sup>(1)</sup>	(0.020)	(0.024)	(0.032)	(0.053)	(0.299)
Total income (loss) from operations	\$ 2.357	\$ 0.787	\$ 0.817	\$ 3.848	\$ (3.868)
Less Distributions to Common Shareholders					
From net investment income	\$ (0.827)	\$ (0.867)	\$ (0.867)	\$ (0.788)	\$ (0.652)
Total distributions to common shareholders	\$ (0.827)	\$ (0.867)	\$ (0.867)	<b>\$</b> (0.788)	\$ (0.652)
Net asset value End of year (Common shares)	\$ 14.780	\$ 13.250	\$ 13.330	\$ 13.380	\$ 10.320
Market value End of year (Common shares)	\$ 15.100	\$ 13.660	\$ 12.930	\$ 13.050	\$ 9.600
Total Investment Return on Net Asset Value <sup>(2)</sup>	18.20%	6.53%	6.13%	39.16%	(26.57)%
Total Investment Return on Market Value <sup>(2)</sup>	17.23%	13.15%	5.57%	45.88%	(20.75)%
Ratios/Supplemental Data					
Net assets applicable to common shares, end of year (000 s omitted)	\$ 40,188	\$ 36,011	\$ 36,210	\$ 36,255	\$ 27,944
Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares):(3)	,	/-	, ,	,	
Expenses excluding interest and fees	1.85%	1.93%	1.88%	2.11%	2.06%
Interest and fee expense <sup>(4)</sup>	0.04%	0.05%	0.06%	0.21%	0.37%
Total expenses before custodian fee reduction	1.89%	1.98%	1.94%	2.32%	2.43%
Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees	1.85%	1.93%	1.88%	2.11%	2.04%
Net investment income	5.57%	6.71%	6.61%	7.61%	7.23%
Portfolio Turnover	15%	8%	17%	23%	25%
The ratios reported above are based on net assets applicable to common shares. The ratios reported above are based on net assets applicable to common shares.	atios based on ne	t assets, includin	g amounts rela	ted to preferre	d shares,
are as follows:					
Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets applicable to common shares and					
preferred shares): <sup>(3)</sup>					
Expenses excluding interest and fees	1.20%	1.21%	1.20%	1.28%	1.28%
Interest and fee expense <sup>(4)</sup>	0.02%	0.03%	0.04%	0.13%	0.23%
Total expenses before custodian fee reduction	1.22%	1.24%	1.24%	1.41%	1.51%
Expenses after custodian fee reduction excluding interest and fees	1.20%	1.21%	1.20%	1.28%	1.27%
Net investment income	3.59%	4.19%	4.22%	4.63%	4.50%
Senior Securities:	0.17	847	0.17	9.47	889
Total preferred shares outstanding Asset coverage per preferred share <sup>(5)</sup>	847 \$ 72,448	\$ 67,516	847 \$ 67,752	847 \$ 67,806	\$ 56,439
Involuntary liquidation preference per preferred share <sup>(6)</sup>	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 07,732	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000
Approximate market value per preferred share <sup>(6)</sup>	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000
ripproximate market value per preferred shares	Ψ 23,000	Ψ 25,000	Ψ 23,000	Ψ 23,000	Ψ 23,000

(2) Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the	percentage change in net asset valu	ue or market value with all distributions reinvested.	
(3) Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to prefe	erred shareholders.		
(4) Interest and fee expense relates to the liability for floating ra	ate notes issued in conjunction with	n residual interest bond transactions (see Note 1H).	
(5) Calculated by subtracting the Trust s total liabilities (not incorpreferred shares outstanding.	cluding the preferred shares) from (	the Trust s total assets, and dividing the result by the number	of
<sup>(6)</sup> Plus accumulated and unpaid dividends.			
	49	See Notes to Financial Statemen	nts

## **Municipal Income Trusts**

November 30, 2012

Notes to Financial Statements

#### 1 Significant Accounting Policies

Eaton Vance California Municipal Income Trust (California Trust), Eaton Vance Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust (Massachusetts Trust), Eaton Vance Michigan Municipal Income Trust (Michigan Trust), Eaton Vance New Jersey Municipal Income Trust (New Jersey Trust), Eaton Vance New York Municipal Income Trust (New York Trust), Eaton Vance Ohio Municipal Income Trust (Ohio Trust) and Eaton Vance Pennsylvania Municipal Income Trust (Pennsylvania Trust) (each individually referred to as the Trust, and collectively, the Trusts), are Massachusetts business trusts registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the 1940 Act), as non-diversified, closed-end management investment companies. Each Trust seeks to provide current income exempt from regular federal income tax and taxes in its specified state.

The following is a summary of significant accounting policies of the Trusts. The policies are in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

A Investment Valuation Debt obligations (including short-term obligations with a remaining maturity of more than sixty days) are generally valued on the basis of valuations provided by third party pricing services, as derived from such services pricing models. Inputs to the models may include, but are not limited to, reported trades, executable bid and asked prices, broker/dealer quotations, prices or yields of securities with similar characteristics, benchmark curves or information pertaining to the issuer, as well as industry and economic events. The pricing services may use a matrix approach, which considers information regarding securities with similar characteristics to determine the valuation for a security. Short-term obligations purchased with a remaining maturity of sixty days or less are generally valued at amortized cost, which approximates market value. Financial futures contracts are valued at the closing settlement price established by the board of trade or exchange on which they are traded. Interest rate swaps are normally valued using valuations provided by a third party pricing service. Such pricing service valuations are based on the present value of fixed and projected floating rate cash flows over the term of the swap contract. Future cash flows are discounted to their present value using swap rates provided by electronic data services or by broker/dealers. Investments for which valuations or market quotations are not readily available or are deemed unreliable are valued at fair value using methods determined in good faith by or at the direction of the Trustees of a Trust in a manner that fairly reflects the security s value, or the amount that the Trust might reasonably expect to receive for the security upon its current sale in the ordinary course. Each such determination is based on a consideration of relevant factors, which are likely to vary from one pricing context to another. These factors may include, but are not limited to, the type of security, the existence of any contractual restrictions on the security s disposition, the price and extent of public trading in similar securities of the issuer or of comparable entities, quotations or relevant information obtained from broker/dealers or other market participants, information obtained from the issuer, analysts, and/or the appropriate stock exchange (for exchange-traded securities), an analysis of the entity s financial condition, and an evaluation of the forces that influence the issuer and the market(s) in which the security is purchased and sold.

B Investment Transactions and Related Income Investment transactions for financial statement purposes are accounted for on a trade date basis. Realized gains and losses on investments sold are determined on the basis of identified cost. Interest income is recorded on the basis of interest accrued, adjusted for amortization of premium or accretion of discount.

C Federal Taxes Each Trust s policy is to comply with the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code applicable to regulated investment companies and to distribute to shareholders each year substantially all of its taxable, if any, and tax-exempt net investment income, and all or substantially all of its net realized capital gains. Accordingly, no provision for federal income or excise tax is necessary. Each Trust intends to satisfy conditions which will enable it to designate distributions from the interest income generated by its investments in municipal obligations, which are exempt from regular federal income tax when received by each Trust, as exempt-interest dividends. The portion of such interest, if any, earned on private activity bonds issued after August 7, 1986, may be considered a tax preference item to shareholders.

At November 30, 2012, the following Trusts, for federal income tax purposes, had capital loss carryforwards and current year deferred capital losses which will reduce the respective Trust s taxable income arising from future net realized gains on investment transactions, if any, to the extent permitted by the Internal Revenue Code, and thus will reduce the amount of distributions to shareholders, which would otherwise be necessary to relieve the Trusts of any liability for federal income or excise tax. The current year deferred capital losses are treated as arising on the first day of the Trusts next taxable year and are treated as realized prior to the utilization of the capital loss carryforward. The amounts and expiration dates of the capital loss carryforwards and the amounts of the current year deferred capital losses are as follows:

	California					New Jersey	New York	Ohio	Pe	ennsylvania
Expiration Date	Trust	Massac Trust	chusetts		ichigan ust	Trust	Trust	Trust	Tı	rust
November 30, 2013	\$	\$		\$	224,050	\$	\$	\$ 588,403	\$	389,289
November 30, 2016	6,689,345	(	692,532		517,712		2,354,581	736,482		800,874
November 30, 2017	4,084,290	Ģ	991,790		337,540	3,185,143	3,171,310	840,450		
November 30, 2018	355,871				34,334	1,512,852	671,928	41,243		329,527
November 30, 2019	5,299,748	1,7	780,081		345,052	4,137,608	3,607,489	1,169,431		1,724,760
Total capital loss carryforward	\$ 16,429,254	\$ 3,4	464,403	\$ 1	,458,688	\$ 8,835,603	\$ 9,805,308	\$ 3,376,009	\$	3,244,450
Current year deferred capital losses	\$ 1,073,756	\$ 4	445,924	\$	361,063	\$ 1,210,103	\$ 654,735	\$ 678,454	\$	783,890

### **Municipal Income Trusts**

November 30, 2012

Notes to Financial Statements continued

As of November 30, 2012, the Trusts had no uncertain tax positions that would require financial statement recognition, de-recognition, or disclosure. Each Trust files a U.S. federal tax return annually after its fiscal year-end, which is subject to examination by the Internal Revenue Service for a period of three years from the date of filing.

D Expense Reduction State Street Bank and Trust Company (SSBT) serves as custodian of the Trusts. Pursuant to the custodian agreement, SSBT receives a fee reduced by credits, which are determined based on the average daily cash balance each Trust maintains with SSBT. All credit balances, if any, used to reduce each Trust s custodian fees are reported as a reduction of expenses in the Statements of Operations.

E Legal Fees Legal fees and other related expenses incurred as part of negotiations of the terms and requirement of capital infusions, or that are expected to result in the restructuring of, or a plan of reorganization for, an investment are recorded as realized losses. Ongoing expenditures to protect or enhance an investment are treated as operating expenses.

F Use of Estimates The preparation of the financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of income and expense during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

G Indemnifications Under each Trust s organizational documents, its officers and Trustees may be indemnified against certain liabilities and expenses arising out of the performance of their duties to each Trust. Under Massachusetts law, if certain conditions prevail, shareholders of a Massachusetts business trust (such as a Trust) could be deemed to have personal liability for the obligations of the Trust. However, each Trust s Declaration of Trust contains an express disclaimer of liability on the part of Trust shareholders and the By-laws provide that the Trust shall assume the defense on behalf of any Trust shareholders. Moreover, the By-laws also provide for indemnification out of Trust property of any shareholder held personally liable solely by reason of being or having been a shareholder for all loss or expense arising from such liability. Additionally, in the normal course of business, each Trust enters into agreements with service providers that may contain indemnification clauses. Each Trust s maximum exposure under these arrangements is unknown as this would involve future claims that may be made against each Trust that have not yet occurred.

H Floating Rate Notes Issued in Conjunction with Securities Held The Trusts may invest in residual interest bonds, also referred to as inverse floating rate securities, whereby a Trust may sell a variable or fixed rate bond to a broker for cash. At the same time, the Trust buys a residual interest in the assets and cash flows of a Special-Purpose Vehicle (the SPV), (which is generally organized as a trust), set up by the broker. The broker deposits a bond into the SPV with the same CUSIP number as the bond sold to the broker by the Trust, and which may have been, but is not required to be, the bond purchased from the Trust (the Bond). The SPV also issues floating rate notes (Floating Rate Notes) which are sold to third-parties. The residual interest bond held by a Trust gives the Trust the right (1) to cause the holders of the Floating Rate Notes to generally tender their notes at par, and (2) to have the broker transfer the Bond held by the SPV to the Trust, thereby terminating the SPV. Should the Trust exercise such right, it would generally pay the broker the par amount due on the Floating Rate Notes and exchange the residual interest bond for the underlying Bond. Pursuant to generally accepted accounting principles for transfers and servicing of financial assets and extinguishment of liabilities, the Trusts account for the transaction described above as a secured borrowing by including the Bond in their Portfolio of Investments and the Floating Rate Notes as a liability under the caption Payable for floating rate notes issued in their Statement of Assets and Liabilities. The Floating Rate Notes have interest rates that generally reset weekly and their holders have the option to tender their notes to the broker for redemption at par at each reset date. Accordingly, the fair value of the payable for floating rate notes issued approximates its carrying value. If measured at fair value, the payable for floating rate notes would have been considered as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy (see Note 10) at November 30, 2012. Interest expense related to the Trusts liability with respect to Floating Rate Notes is recorded as incurred. The SPV may be terminated by the Trust, as noted above, or by the broker upon the occurrence of certain termination events as defined in the trust agreement, such as a downgrade in the credit quality of the underlying Bond, bankruptcy of or payment failure by the issuer of the underlying Bond, the inability to remarket Floating Rate Notes that have been tendered due to insufficient buyers in the market, or the failure by the SPV to obtain renewal of the liquidity agreement under which liquidity support is provided for the Floating Rate Notes up to one year. Structuring fees paid to the liquidity provider upon the creation of an SPV have been recorded as debt issuance costs and are being amortized as interest expense to the expected maturity of the related trust. Unamortized structuring fees related to a terminated SPV are recorded as a realized loss on extinguishment of debt. At November 30, 2012, the amounts of the Trusts Floating Rate Notes and related interest rates and collateral were as follows:

	California	Massachusetts	New Jersey	New York	Pennsylvania
	Trust	Trust	Trust	Trust	Trust
Floating Rate Notes Outstanding	\$ 14,680,000	\$ 4,885,000	\$ 9,455,000	\$ 19,315,000	\$ 1,650,000
Interest Rate or Range of Interest Rates (%)	0.16 - 0.22	0.16 - 0.22	0.16 - 0.36	0.16 - 0.22	0.17 - 0.22
Collateral for Floating Rate Notes Outstanding	\$ 19,786,750	\$ 7,473,829	\$ 13,888,252	\$ 29,799,053	\$ 3,291,052

### **Municipal Income Trusts**

November 30, 2012

Notes to Financial Statements continued

For the year ended November 30, 2012, the Trusts average Floating Rate Notes outstanding and the average interest rate including fees and amortization of deferred debt issuance costs were as follows:

	California				
	Trust	Massachusetts Trust	New Jersey Trust	New York Trust	Pennsylvania Trust
Average Floating Rate Notes Outstanding	\$ 15,197,049	\$ 4,885,000	\$ 9,455,000	\$ 19,534,016	\$ 1,650,000
Average Interest Rate	0.73%	0.79%	0.75%	0.71%	0.87%

The Trusts may enter into shortfall and forbearance agreements with the broker by which a Trust agrees to reimburse the broker, in certain circumstances, for the difference between the liquidation value of the Bond held by the SPV and the liquidation value of the Floating Rate Notes, as well as any shortfalls in interest cash flows. The Trusts had no shortfalls as of November 30, 2012.

The Trusts may also purchase residual interest bonds from brokers in a secondary market transaction without first owning the underlying bond. Such transactions are not required to be treated as secured borrowings. Shortfall agreements, if any, related to residual interest bonds purchased in a secondary market transaction are disclosed in the Portfolio of Investments.

The Trusts investment policies and restrictions expressly permit investments in residual interest bonds. Such bonds typically offer the potential for yields exceeding the yields available on fixed rate bonds with comparable credit quality and maturity. These securities tend to underperform the market for fixed rate bonds in a rising long-term interest rate environment, but tend to outperform the market for fixed rate bonds when long-term interest rates decline. The value and income of residual interest bonds are generally more volatile than that of a fixed rate bond. The Trusts investment policies do not allow the Trusts to borrow money except as permitted by the 1940 Act. Management believes that the Trusts restrictions on borrowing money and issuing senior securities (other than as specifically permitted) do not apply to Floating Rate Notes issued by the SPV and included as a liability in the Trusts Statement of Assets and Liabilities. As secured indebtedness issued by an SPV, Floating Rate Notes are distinct from the borrowings and senior securities to which the Trusts restrictions apply. Residual interest bonds held by the Trusts are securities exempt from registration under Rule 144A of the Securities Act of 1933.

- I Financial Futures Contracts Upon entering into a financial futures contract, a Trust is required to deposit with the broker, either in cash or securities, an amount equal to a certain percentage of the purchase price (initial margin). Subsequent payments, known as variation margin, are made or received by the Trust each business day, depending on the daily fluctuations in the value of the underlying security, and are recorded as unrealized gains or losses by the Trust. Gains (losses) are realized upon the expiration or closing of the financial futures contracts. Should market conditions change unexpectedly, the Trust may not achieve the anticipated benefits of the financial futures contracts and may realize a loss. Futures contracts have minimal counterparty risk as they are exchange traded and the clearinghouse for the exchange is substituted as the counterparty, guaranteeing counterparty performance.
- J Interest Rate Swaps Pursuant to interest rate swap agreements, a Trust makes periodic payments at a fixed interest rate and, in exchange, receives payments based on the interest rate of a benchmark industry index. Payments received or made are recorded as realized gains or losses. During the term of the outstanding swap agreement, changes in the underlying value of the swap are recorded as unrealized gains or losses. The value of the swap is determined by changes in the relationship between two rates of interest. A Trust is exposed to credit loss in the event of non-performance by the swap counterparty. Risk may also arise from movements in interest rates.
- K When-Issued Securities and Delayed Delivery Transactions The Trusts may purchase or sell securities on a delayed delivery or when-issued basis. Payment and delivery may take place after the customary settlement period for that security. At the time the transaction is negotiated, the price of the security that will be delivered is fixed. The Trusts maintain security positions for these commitments such that sufficient liquid assets will be available to make payments upon settlement. Securities purchased on a delayed delivery or when-issued basis are marked-to-market daily and begin earning interest on settlement date. Losses may arise due to changes in the market value of the underlying securities or if the counterparty does not perform under the contract.

L Statement of Cash Flows The cash amount shown in the Statement of Cash Flows of a Trust is the amount included in the Trust s Statement of Assets and Liabilities and represents the cash on hand at its custodian and does not include any short-term investments.

#### 2 Auction Preferred Shares

Each Trust issued Auction Preferred Shares (APS) on March 1, 1999 in a public offering. The underwriting discounts and other offering costs incurred in connection with the offering were recorded as a reduction of the paid-in capital of the common shares of each respective Trust. Dividends on the APS, which accrue daily, are cumulative at rates which are reset every seven days by an auction, unless a special dividend period has been set. If the APS auctions do not successfully clear, the dividend payment rate over the next period for the APS holders is set at a specified maximum applicable rate until such time as the APS auctions are successful. The maximum applicable rate on the APS is 110% (150% for taxable distributions) of the greater of the 1) AA Financial Composite Commercial Paper Rate or 2) Taxable Equivalent of the Short-Term Municipal Obligation Rate on the date of the auction. The stated spread over the reference benchmark rate is determined based on the credit rating of the APS.

### **Municipal Income Trusts**

November 30, 2012

Notes to Financial Statements continued

The APS are redeemable at the option of each Trust at a redemption price equal to \$25,000 per share, plus accumulated and unpaid dividends, on any dividend payment date. The APS are also subject to mandatory redemption at a redemption price equal to \$25,000 per share, plus accumulated and unpaid dividends, if a Trust is in default for an extended period on its asset maintenance requirements with respect to the APS. If the dividends on the APS remain unpaid in an amount equal to two full years—dividends, the holders of the APS as a class have the right to elect a majority of the Board of Trustees. In general, the holders of the APS and the common shares have equal voting rights of one vote per share, except that the holders of the APS, as a separate class, have the right to elect at least two members of the Board of Trustees. The APS have a liquidation preference of \$25,000 per share, plus accumulated and unpaid dividends. Each Trust is required to maintain certain asset coverage with respect to the APS as defined in the Trusts—By-laws and the 1940 Act. Each Trust pays an annual fee up to 0.15% of the liquidation value of the APS to broker/dealers as a service fee if the auctions are unsuccessful; otherwise, the annual fee is 0.25%.

#### 3 Distributions to Shareholders

Each Trust intends to make monthly distributions of net investment income to common shareholders, after payment of any dividends on any outstanding APS. In addition, at least annually, each Trust intends to distribute all or substantially all of its net realized capital gains (reduced by available capital loss carryforwards from prior years, if any). Distributions to common shareholders are recorded on the ex-dividend date. Distributions to preferred shareholders are recorded daily and are payable at the end of each dividend period. The dividend rates for APS at November 30, 2012, and the amount of dividends accrued (including capital gains, if any) to APS shareholders, average APS dividend rates, and dividend rate ranges for the year then ended were as follows:

									Ohi	io				
	California Trust	Massacl Trust		ichigan rust	Nev Tru	Jersey st	Nev Tru	v York ist	Tru	ıst	Pen: Tru	nsylvania st		
APS Dividend Rates at														
November 30, 2012	0.279	lo .	0.27%	0.27%		0.27%		0.27%		0.27%		0.29%		
Dividends Accrued to APS														
Shareholders	\$ 127,665	\$ 5	1,813 \$	44,571	\$	84,946	\$	86,286	\$	58,080	\$	54,721		
Average APS Dividend Rates	0.269	to and a second	0.26%	0.25%		0.25%		0.26%		0.26%		0.26%		
Dividend Rate Ranges (%)	0.11 - 0.40	0.11	- 0.38	0.11 - 0.40	0.	11 - 0.40	0.	11 - 0.40	0.	.11 - 0.38	0.	.11 - 0.38		

Beginning February 13, 2008 and consistent with the patterns in the broader market for auction-rate securities, the Trusts APS auctions were unsuccessful in clearing due to an imbalance of sell orders over bids to buy the APS. As a result, the dividend rates of the APS were reset to the maximum applicable rates. The table above reflects such maximum dividend rates for each Trust as of November 30, 2012.

The Trusts distinguish between distributions on a tax basis and a financial reporting basis. Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that only distributions in excess of tax basis earnings and profits be reported in the financial statements as a return of capital. Permanent differences between book and tax accounting relating to distributions are reclassified to paid-in capital. For tax purposes, distributions from short-term capital gains are considered to be from ordinary income.

The tax character of distributions declared for the years ended November 30, 2012 and November 30, 2011 was as follows:

Year Ended November 30, 2012 Ohio											
California	Massachusetts	Michigan	New Jersey	New York	Trust	Pennsylvania					
Trust	Trust	Trust	Trust	Trust		Trust					

#### Distributions declared from:

Tax-exempt income	\$ 6,21	3,859	\$ 2,262,741	\$ 1,680,836	\$ 3	,783,341	\$ 5,0	)57,060	\$ 2,	428,655	\$ 2,302,056
Ordinary income	\$	241	\$ 1,816	\$	\$	25,152	\$	4,207	\$	2,953	\$ 790

Year	Ended	November	30.	. 2011
------	-------	----------	-----	--------

	Ohio										
	California Trust	Ma Tr	nssachusetts ust	Michigan Trust	New Jersey Trust	Nev Tru	v York st	Tru	ıst		nnsylvania ust
Distributions declared from:											
Tax-exempt income	\$ 6,556,616	\$	2,546,610	\$ 1,829,137	\$ 4,405,869	\$ 5,	061,545	\$ 2,	516,322	\$	2,381,612
Ordinary income	\$ 4,124	\$	247	\$	\$	\$	3,109	\$	9,343	\$	39,672

## **Municipal Income Trusts**

November 30, 2012

Notes to Financial Statements continued

During the year ended November 30, 2012, the following amounts were reclassified due to expired capital loss carryforwards and differences between book and tax accounting, primarily for accretion of market discount and defaulted bond interest:

									Ohio	
	California Trust	Massachusetts Trust		Michigan Trust	New Jersey Trust		New York Trust		Trust	nnsylvania ust
Change in:										
Paid-in capital	\$ (995,999)	\$		\$ (697,198)	\$		\$		\$ (764,353)	\$ (502,868)
Accumulated net realized loss	\$ 1,055,823	\$	15,633	\$ 706,638	\$	(6,512)	\$	(5,066)	\$ 780,140	\$ 511,447
Accumulated undistributed net investment										
income	\$ (59,824)	\$	(15,633)	\$ (9,440)	\$	6,512	\$	5,066	\$ (15,787)	\$ (8,579)
Th 1 'C' 1 1	4 4 4	41-		£ 41 T4-						

These reclassifications had no effect on the net assets or net asset value per share of the Trusts.

As of November 30, 2012, the components of distributable earnings (accumulated losses) and unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on a tax basis were as follows:

											Ol	nio	
	Cali Tru	ifornia st	Ma Tru	ssachusetts ust		ichigan ust		w Jersey ust	Nev Tru	w York ist	Tr	ust	nnsylvania ust
Undistributed tax-exempt income Capital loss carryforward and deferred	\$	663,177	\$	169,679	\$	117,874	\$	359,123	\$	563,460	\$	129,772	\$ 270,618
capital losses	\$ (1	7,503,010)	\$	(3,910,327)	\$ (	1,819,751)	\$ (	10,045,706)	\$ (1	0,460,043)	\$ (	4,054,463)	\$ (4,028,340)
Net unrealized appreciation	\$ 1	8,979,900	\$	8,534,475	\$	4,741,341	\$	11,786,186	\$ 1	5,523,448	\$	9,021,383	\$ 5,842,009
Other temporary differences	\$		\$	(600)	\$	(262)	\$		\$	(252)	\$	(510)	\$ (634)
TTI 1100 1										or	~		

The differences between components of distributable earnings (accumulated losses) on a tax basis and the amounts reflected in the Statements of Assets and Liabilities are primarily due to wash sales, residual interest bonds, futures contracts, accretion of market discount, defaulted bond interest and the timing of recognizing distributions to shareholders.

#### 4 Investment Adviser Fee and Other Transactions with Affiliates

The investment adviser fee is earned by Eaton Vance Management (EVM) as compensation for investment advisory services rendered to each Trust. The fee is computed at an annual rate of 0.655% (0.670% prior to May 1, 2012) of each Trust is average weekly gross assets and is payable monthly. Pursuant to a fee reduction agreement between each Trust and EVM that commenced on May 1, 2010, the annual adviser fee is reduced by 0.015% every May 1 thereafter for the next nineteen years. The fee reduction cannot be terminated without the consent of the Trustees and shareholders. Average weekly gross assets include the principal amount of any indebtedness for money borrowed, including debt securities issued by a Trust, and the amount of any outstanding APS issued by the Trust. Pursuant to a fee reduction agreement with EVM, average weekly gross assets are calculated by adding to net assets the liquidation value of a Trust is APS then outstanding and the amount payable by the Trust to floating rate note holders, such adjustment being limited to the value of the APS outstanding prior to any APS redemptions by the Trust. The administration fee is earned by EVM for administering the business affairs of each Trust and is computed at an annual rate of 0.20% of each Trust is average weekly gross assets. For the year ended November 30, 2012, the investment adviser fees and administration fees were as follows:

								Onio		
	California Trust			Michigan Trust			New York Trust	Trust	Pennsylvania Trust	
Investment Adviser Fee	\$ 1,046,300	\$	418,507	\$ 317,736	\$	684,911	\$ 817,444	\$ 429,301	\$	402,014
Administration Fee	\$ 316,531	\$	126,605	\$ 96,117	\$	207,186	\$ 247,295	\$ 129,871	\$	121,608

Trustees and officers of the Trusts who are members of EVM s organization receive remuneration for their services to the Trusts out of the investment adviser fee. Trustees of the Trusts who are not affiliated with the investment adviser may elect to defer receipt of all or a percentage of their annual fees in accordance with the terms of the Trustees Deferred Compensation Plan. For the year ended November 30, 2012, no significant amounts have been deferred. Certain officers and Trustees of the Trusts are officers of EVM.

## **Municipal Income Trusts**

November 30, 2012

Notes to Financial Statements continued

#### 5 Purchases and Sales of Investments

Purchases and sales of investments, other than short-term obligations, for the year ended November 30, 2012 were as follows:

							Ohio		
	California Trust	Ma Tru	ssachusetts ist	Michigan Trust	New Jersey Trust	New York Trust	Trust		nnsylvania rust
Purchases	\$ 31,260,685	\$	9,953,807	\$ 7,931,766	\$ 17,408,726	\$ 23,591,092	\$ 8,763,797	\$	8,939,049
Sales	\$ 27,897,716	\$	7,186,911	\$ 6,333,098	\$ 14,578,627	\$ 21,977,425	\$ 6,991,448	\$	9,368,493
6 Common Shares	of Beneficial Interest								

Common shares issued pursuant to the Trusts dividend reinvestment plan for the years ended November 30, 2012 and November 30, 2011 were as follows:

					Ohio			
	California Trust	Massachusetts Trust	New Jersey Trust	New York Trust	Trust	Pennsylvania Trust		
Year Ended November 30, 2012	13,698	3,879	10,907	9,350	1,890	2,720		
Year Ended November 30, 2011	26,037	9,543	9,594	18,118	10,146	590		
7 Federal Income Tax Basis of Investments								

The cost and unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments of each Trust at November 30, 2012, as determined on a federal income tax basis, were as follows:

	California					Ohio		
	Trust	Massachi Trust	ısetts Michigan Trust	New Jersey Trust	New York Trust	Trust	Pennsylvania Trust	a
Aggregate cost	\$ 135,972,174	\$ 54,97	4,999 \$ 44,133,701	\$ 89,084,836	\$ 101,424,342	\$ 58,298,171	\$ 54,550,200	3
Gross unrealized appreciation Gross unrealized depreciation	\$ 20,063,511 (1,083,611)	, -	7,151 \$ 4,906,423 2,676) (165,082)	\$ 12,669,436 (883,250)	\$ 16,419,934 (896,486)	\$ 9,321,050 (299,667)	\$ 6,740,242 (898,232	
Net unrealized appreciation	\$ 18,979,900	\$ 8,53	4,475 \$ 4,741,341	\$ 11,786,186	\$ 15,523,448	\$ 9,021,383	\$ 5,842,009	9

Pursuant to the custodian agreement, SSBT may, in its discretion, advance funds to the Trusts to make properly authorized payments. When such payments result in an overdraft, the Trusts are obligated to repay SSBT at the current rate of interest charged by SSBT for secured loans (currently, the Federal Funds rate plus 2%). This obligation is payable on demand to SSBT. SSBT has a lien on a Trust s assets to the extent of any overdraft. At November 30, 2012, California Trust, Massachusetts Trust, New Jersey Trust, New York Trust and Ohio Trust had payments due to SSBT pursuant to the foregoing arrangement of \$1,105,024,

\$263,708, \$219,691, \$634,282 and \$90,746, respectively. Based on the short-term nature of these payments and the variable interest rate, the carrying value of the overdraft advances approximated its fair value at November 30, 2012. If measured at fair value, overdraft advances would have been considered as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy (see Note 10) at November 30, 2012. The Trusts average overdraft advances during the year ended November 30, 2012 were not significant.

#### 9 Financial Instruments

The Trusts may trade in financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of their investing activities. These financial instruments may include financial futures contracts and may involve, to a varying degree, elements of risk in excess of the amounts recognized for financial statement purposes. The notional or contractual amounts of these instruments represent the investment a Trust has in particular classes of financial instruments and do not necessarily represent the amounts potentially subject to risk. The measurement of the risks associated with these instruments is meaningful only when all related and offsetting transactions are considered.

## Municipal Income Trusts

November 30, 2012

Notes to Financial Statements continued

A summary of obligations under these financial instruments at November 30, 2012 is as follows:

#### **Futures Contracts**

<b>Trust</b> California	Expiration Month/Year	Contracts 68	Position	Aggregate Cost	Value	Net Unrealized Depreciation		
	3/13	U.S. 10-Year Treasury Note 48	Short	\$ (9,047,613)	\$ (9,087,563)	\$	(39,950)	
Massachusetts	3/13	U.S. 30-Year Treasury Bond 34	Short	(7,199,537)	(7,203,000)		(3,463)	
Michigan	3/13	U.S. 30-Year Treasury Bond 6	Short	\$ (5,099,672)	\$ (5,102,125)	\$	(2,453)	
New Jersey	3/13	U.S. 30-Year Treasury Bond 145	Short	\$ (899,941)	\$ (900,375)	\$	(434)	
New York	3/13	U.S. 30-Year Treasury Bond 43	Short	\$ (21,685,165)	\$ (21,759,063)	\$	(73,898)	
Ohio	3/13	U.S. 30-Year Treasury Bond 18	Short	\$ (6,449,586)	\$ (6,452,688)	\$	(3,102)	
Pennsylvania	3/13	U.S. 30-Year Treasury Bond 50	Short	\$ (2,699,826)	\$ (2,701,125)	\$	(1,299)	
	3/13	U.S. 30-Year Treasury Bond	Short	\$ (7,477,643)	\$ (7,503,125)	\$	(25,482)	

At November 30, 2012, the Trusts had sufficient cash and/or securities to cover commitments under these contracts.

Each Trust is subject to interest rate risk in the normal course of pursuing its investment objective. Because the Trusts hold fixed-rate bonds, the value of these bonds may decrease if interest rates rise. The Trusts purchase and sell U.S. Treasury futures contracts to hedge against changes in interest rates.

The fair values of open derivative instruments (not considered to be hedging instruments for accounting disclosure purposes) and whose primary underlying risk exposure is interest rate risk at November 30, 2012 were as follows:

Ohio

	California Trust	Massachusetts Trust	Michigan Trust	New Jersey Trust	New York Trust	Trust	Pennsylvania Trust
Liability Derivative:							
Futures Contracts						(1,299	
Total	\$ (43,413 ) <sup>(1)</sup> \$ (43,413)	\$ (2,453) <sup>(1)</sup> \$ (2,453)	\$ (434) <sup>(1)</sup> \$ (434)	\$ (73,898 ) <sup>(1)</sup> \$ (73,898)	\$ (3,102) <sup>(1)</sup> \$ (3,102)	\$ ) <sup>(1)</sup> \$ (1,299)	\$ (25,482) <sup>(1)</sup> \$ (25,482)

<sup>(1)</sup> Amount represents cumulative unrealized depreciation on futures contracts in the Futures Contracts table above. Only the current day s variation margin on open futures contracts is reported within the Statement of Assets and Liabilities as Receivable or Payable for variation margin, as applicable.

# **Municipal Income Trusts**

November 30, 2012

Notes to Financial Statements continued

The effect of derivative instruments (not considered to be hedging instruments for accounting disclosure purposes) on the Statement of Operations and whose primary underlying risk exposure is interest rate risk for the year ended November 30, 2012 was as follows:

							Ohio	
	Californ Trust	nia	 nssachusetts ust	Michigan Trust	New Jersey Trust	New York Trust	Trust	nnsylvania ust
Realized Gain (Loss) on Derivatives Recognized in Income Change in Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation) on Derivatives	\$ (903,4 (120,3	/	\$ (381,782)(1)	\$ (102,698)(1)	\$ (1,365,995)(1)	\$ (482,767)(1)	\$ (219,914)(1)	\$ (471,033)(1)
Recognized in Income	\$	)(2)	\$ $(18,749)^{(2)}$	\$ (11,388 )(2)	\$ (250,376)(2)	\$ (23,473 )(2)	\$ (25,239 )(2)	\$ $(86,337)^{(2)}$

<sup>(1)</sup> Statement of Operations location: Net realized gain (loss) Financial futures contracts.

(2) Statement of Operations location: Change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) Financial futures contracts.

The average notional amount of futures contracts outstanding during the year ended November 30, 2012, which is indicative of the volume of this derivative type, was approximately as follows:

							Ohio	
	California Trust	Ma Tru	ssachusetts ist	Michigan Trust	New Jersey Trust	New York Trust	Trust	nnsylvania ust
Average Notional Amount:								
Futures Contracts 10 Fair Value Measurements	\$ 11,600,000	\$	3,600,000	\$ 646,000	\$ 14,500,000	\$ 4,546,000	\$ 1,846,000	\$ 5,000,000

Under generally accepted accounting principles for fair value measurements, a three-tier hierarchy to prioritize the assumptions, referred to as inputs, is used in valuation techniques to measure fair value. The three-tier hierarchy of inputs is summarized in the three broad levels listed below.

Level 1 quoted prices in active markets for identical investments

Level 2 other significant observable inputs (including quoted prices for similar investments, interest rates, prepayment speeds, credit risk, etc.)

Level 3 significant unobservable inputs (including a fund s own assumptions in determining the fair value of investments)

In cases where the inputs used to measure fair value fall in different levels of the fair value hierarchy, the level disclosed is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. The inputs or methodology used for valuing securities are not necessarily an indication of the risk associated with investing in those securities.

# **Municipal Income Trusts**

November 30, 2012

Notes to Financial Statements continued

At November 30, 2012, the hierarchy of inputs used in valuing the Trusts investments and open derivative instruments, which are carried at value, were as follows:

California Trust				
Asset Description	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Tax-Exempt Investments	\$	\$ 169,632,074	\$	\$ 169,632,074
Total Investments	\$	\$ 169,632,074	\$	\$ 169,632,074
Liability Description				
Futures Contracts	\$ (43,413)	\$	\$	\$ (43,413)
Total	\$ (43,413)	\$	\$	\$ (43,413)
Massachusetts Trust				m
Asset Description	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Tax-Exempt Investments	\$	\$ 68,394,474	\$	\$ 68,394,474
Total Investments	\$	\$ 68,394,474	\$	\$ 68,394,474
Liability Description				
Futures Contracts	\$ (2,453)	\$	\$	\$ (2,453)
Total	\$ (2,453)	\$	\$	\$ (2,453)
Michigan Trust Asset Description	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Tax-Exempt Investments	\$	\$ 48,875,042	\$	\$ 48,875,042
Total Investments	\$	\$ 48,875,042	\$	\$ 48,875,042
Liability Description				
Futures Contracts	\$ (434)	\$	\$	\$ (434)
Total	\$ (434)	\$	\$	\$ (434)
New Jersey Trust Asset Description	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Tax-Exempt Investments	\$	\$ 110,326,022	\$	\$ 110,326,022
<b>Total Investments</b>	\$	\$ 110,326,022	\$	\$ 110,326,022
Liability Description				
Futures Contracts	\$ (73,898)	\$	\$	\$ (73,898)
Total	\$ (73,898)	\$	\$	\$ (73,898)

# Municipal Income Trusts

November 30, 2012

Notes to Financial Statements continued

New York Trust	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Asset Description				
Tax-Exempt Investments Miscellaneous	\$	\$ 135,440,390	\$ 822,400	\$ 135,440,390 822,400
Total Investments	\$	\$ 135,440,390	\$ 822,400	\$ 136,262,790
Liability Description				
Futures Contracts	\$ (3,102)	\$	\$	\$ (3,102)
Total	\$ (3,102)	\$	\$	\$ (3,102)
Ohio Trust				
Asset Description	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Tax-Exempt Investments	\$	\$ 67,319,554	\$	\$ 67,319,554
Total Investments	\$	\$ 67,319,554	\$	\$ 67,319,554
Liability Description				
Futures Contracts	\$ (1,299)	\$	\$	\$ (1,299)
Total	<b>\$</b> (1,299)	\$	\$	<b>\$</b> (1,299)
Pennsylvania Trust				
Asset Description	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Tax-Exempt Investments	\$	\$ 62,042,212	\$	\$ 62,042,212
Total Investments	\$	\$ 62,042,212	\$	\$ 62,042,212
Liability Description				
Futures Contracts	\$ (25,482)	\$	\$	\$ (25,482)
Total	\$ (25,482)	\$	\$	\$ (25,482)

California Trust, Massachusetts Trust, Michigan Trust, New Jersey Trust, Ohio Trust and Pennsylvania Trust held no investments or other financial instruments as of November 30, 2011 whose fair value was determined using Level 3 inputs. Level 3 investments held by New York Trust at the beginning and/or end of the period in relation to net assets applicable to common shares were not significant and accordingly, a reconciliation of Level 3 assets for the year ended November 30, 2012 is not presented.

At November 30, 2012, there were no investments transferred between Level 1 and Level 2 during the year then ended.

# **Municipal Income Trusts**

November 30, 2012

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Trustees and Shareholders of Eaton Vance California Municipal Income Trust, Eaton Vance Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust, Eaton Vance Michigan Municipal Income Trust, Eaton Vance New York Municipal Income Trust, Eaton Vance Ohio Municipal Income Trust, and Eaton Vance Pennsylvania Municipal Income Trust:

We have audited the accompanying statements of assets and liabilities of Eaton Vance California Municipal Income Trust, Eaton Vance Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust, Eaton Vance Michigan Municipal Income Trust, Eaton Vance New York Municipal Income Trust, Eaton Vance Ohio Municipal Income Trust, and Eaton Vance Pennsylvania Municipal Income Trust (collectively, the Trusts), including the portfolios of investments, as of November 30, 2012, and the related statements of operations for the year then ended, the statement of cash flows of Eaton Vance New York Municipal Income Trust for the year then ended, the statements of changes in net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended, and the financial highlights for each of the five years in the period then ended. These financial statements and financial highlights are the responsibility of each Trust s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial highlights based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements and financial highlights are free of material misstatement. The Trusts are not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of their internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Trusts—internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our procedures included confirmation of securities owned as of November 30, 2012, by correspondence with the custodian and brokers; where replies were not received from brokers, we performed other auditing procedures. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements and financial highlights referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial positions of Eaton Vance California Municipal Income Trust, Eaton Vance Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust, Eaton Vance Michigan Municipal Income Trust, Eaton Vance New Jersey Municipal Income Trust, Eaton Vance Ohio Municipal Income Trust, and Eaton Vance Pennsylvania Municipal Income Trust as of November 30, 2012, the results of their operations for the year then ended, the cash flows of Eaton Vance New York Municipal Income Trust for the year then ended, the changes in their net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended, and the financial highlights for each of the five years in the period then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Boston, Massachusetts

January 16, 2013

# Municipal Income Trusts

November 30, 2012

Federal Tax Information (Unaudited)

The Form 1099-DIV you receive in January 2013 will show the tax status of all distributions paid to your account in calendar year 2012. Shareholders are advised to consult their own tax adviser with respect to the tax consequences of their investment in the Trusts. As required by the Internal Revenue Code and/or regulations, shareholders must be notified regarding exempt-interest dividends.

Exempt-Interest Dividends. The Trusts designate the following percentages of dividends from net investment income as exempt-interest dividends:

Eaton Vance California Municipal Income Trust	100.00%
Eaton Vance Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust	99.92%
Eaton Vance Michigan Municipal Income Trust	100.00%
Eaton Vance New Jersey Municipal Income Trust	99.34%
Eaton Vance New York Municipal Income Trust	99.92%
Eaton Vance Ohio Municipal Income Trust	99.88%
Eaton Vance Pennsylvania Municipal Income Trust	99.97%

# **Municipal Income Trusts**

November 30, 2012

Dividend Reinvestment Plan

Each Trust offers a dividend reinvestment plan (Plan) pursuant to which shareholders automatically have distributions reinvested in common shares (Shares) of the Trust unless they elect otherwise through their investment dealer. On the distribution payment date, if the NAV per Share is equal to or less than the market price per Share plus estimated brokerage commissions, then new Shares will be issued. The number of Shares shall be determined by the greater of the NAV per Share or 95% of the market price. Otherwise, Shares generally will be purchased on the open market by American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, the Plan agent (Agent). Distributions subject to income tax (if any) are taxable whether or not Shares are reinvested.

If your Shares are in the name of a brokerage firm, bank, or other nominee, you can ask the firm or nominee to participate in the Plan on your behalf. If the nominee does not offer the Plan, you will need to request that the Trust s transfer agent re-register your Shares in your name or you will not be able to participate.

The Agent s service fee for handling distributions will be paid by the Trust. Plan participants will be charged their pro rata share of brokerage commissions on all open-market purchases.

Plan participants may withdraw from the Plan at any time by writing to the Agent at the address noted on the following page. If you withdraw, you will receive Shares in your name for all Shares credited to your account under the Plan. If a participant elects by written notice to the Agent to sell part or all of his or her Shares and remit the proceeds, the Agent is authorized to deduct a \$5.00 fee plus brokerage commissions from the proceeds.

If you wish to participate in the Plan and your Shares are held in your own name, you may complete the form on the following page and deliver it to the Agent. Any inquiries regarding the Plan can be directed to the Agent at 1-866-439-6787.

# Eaton Vance **Municipal Income Trusts** November 30, 2012 Application for Participation in Dividend Reinvestment Plan This form is for shareholders who hold their common shares in their own names. If your common shares are held in the name of a brokerage firm, bank, or other nominee, you should contact your nominee to see if it will participate in the Plan on your behalf. If you wish to participate in the Plan, but your brokerage firm, bank, or nominee is unable to participate on your behalf, you should request that your common shares be re-registered in your own name which will enable your participation in the Plan. The following authorization and appointment is given with the understanding that I may terminate it at any time by terminating my participation in the Plan as provided in the terms and conditions of the Plan. Please print exact name on account: Date Shareholder signature Shareholder signature Date Please sign exactly as your common shares are registered. All persons whose names appear on the share certificate must sign. YOU SHOULD NOT RETURN THIS FORM IF YOU WISH TO RECEIVE YOUR DISTRIBUTIONS IN CASH. THIS IS NOT A PROXY. This authorization form, when signed, should be mailed to the following address: Eaton Vance Municipal Income Trusts c/o American Stock Transfer & Trust Company P.O. Box 922 Wall Street Station

New York, NY 10269-0560

#### **Number of Employees**

Each Trust is organized as a Massachusetts business trust and is registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, as a closed-end management investment company and has no employees.

#### **Number of Shareholders**

As of November 30, 2012, Trust records indicate that there are 104, 95, 65, 113, 103, 85 and 106 registered shareholders for California Municipal Income Trust, Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust, Michigan Municipal Income Trust, New Jersey Municipal Income Trust, New York Municipal Income Trust, Ohio Municipal Income Trust and Pennsylvania Municipal Income Trust, respectively, and approximately 2,196, 1,181, 1,204, 1,742, 2,192, 1,456 and 1,357 shareholders owning the Trust shares in street name, such as through brokers, banks, and financial intermediaries for California Municipal Income Trust, Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust, Michigan Municipal Income Trust, New Jersey Municipal Income Trust, New York Municipal Income Trust, Ohio Municipal Income Trust and Pennsylvania Municipal Income Trust, respectively.

If you are a street name shareholder and wish to receive Trust reports directly, which contain important information about a Trust, please write or call:

Eaton Vance Distributors, Inc.

Two International Place

Boston, MA 02110

1-800-262-1122

#### NYSE MKT symbols

California Municipal Income Trust
Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust
Michigan Municipal Income Trust
New Jersey Municipal Income Trust
New York Municipal Income Trust
Ohio Municipal Income Trust
Pennsylvania Municipal Income Trust

CEV MMV EMI

EVJ

EVY EVO EVP

# **Municipal Income Trusts**

November 30, 2012

Management and Organization

Fund Management. The Trustees of Eaton Vance California Municipal Income Trust (CEV), Eaton Vance Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust (MMV), Eaton Vance Michigan Municipal Income Trust (EMI), Eaton Vance New Jersey Municipal Income Trust (EVJ), Eaton Vance New York Municipal Income Trust (EVY), Eaton Vance Ohio Municipal Income Trust (EVO) and Eaton Vance Pennsylvania Municipal Income Trust (EVP) (collectively, the Trusts) are responsible for the overall management and supervision of the Trusts affairs. The Trustees and officers of the Trusts are listed below. Except as indicated, each individual has held the office shown or other offices in the same company for the last five years. The Noninterested Trustees consist of those Trustees who are not interested persons of the Trusts, as that term is defined under the 1940 Act. The business address of each Trustee and officer is Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110. As used below, EVC refers to Eaton Vance Corp., EV refers to Eaton Vance, Inc., EVM refers to Eaton Vance Management, BMR refers to Boston Management and Research and EVD refers to Eaton Vance Distributors, Inc. EVC and EV are the corporate parent and trustee, respectively, of EVM and BMR. EVD is a wholly-owned subsidiary of EVC. Each officer affiliated with Eaton Vance may hold a position with other Eaton Vance affiliates that is comparable to his or her position with EVM listed below. Each Trustee oversees 187 portfolios in the Eaton Vance Complex (including all master and feeder funds in a master feeder structure). Each officer serves as an officer of certain other Eaton Vance funds. Each Trustee serves for a three year term. Each officer serves until his or her successor is elected.

	Position(s)		
	with the	Term of Office;	Principal Occupation(s) and Directorships
Name and Year of Birth Interested Trustee	Trusts	Length of Service	During Past Five Years and Other Relevant Experience
Thomas E. Faust Jr.	Class II	Until 2013.	Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President of EVC, Director and President of
1958	Trustee	3 years.	EV, Chief Executive Officer and President of EVM and BMR, and Director of EVD. Trustee and/or officer of 187 registered investment companies. Mr. Faust is an interested person because of his positions with EVM, BMR, EVD, EVC and EV, which are affiliates of the Trusts.
		Trustee since 2007.	Directorships in the Last Five Years. (1) Director of EVC and Hexavest Inc.
Noninterested Trustees			
Scott E. Eston	Class II	Until 2013.	Private investor. Formerly held various positions at Grantham, Mayo, Van Otterloo and Co., L.L.C. (investment management firm) (1997-2009), including Chief Operating
1956	Trustee	2 years.	Officer (2002-2009), Chief Financial Officer (1997-2009) and Chairman of the Executive Committee (2002-2008); President and Principal Executive Officer, GMO
		Trustee since 2011.	Trust (open-end registered investment company) (2006-2009). Former Partner, Coopers and Lybrand L.L.P. (now PricewaterhouseCoopers) (public accounting firm) (1987-1997).
			Directorships in the Last Five Years. None.
Benjamin C. Esty <sup>(A)</sup>	Class I	Until 2015.	Roy and Elizabeth Simmons Professor of Business Administration and Finance Unit Head, Harvard University Graduate School of Business Administration.
1963	Trustee	3 years.	Directorships in the Last Five Years. (1) None.

		Trustee since 2006.	
Allen R. Freedman	Class II	Until 2013.	Private Investor. Former Chairman (2002-2004) and a Director (1983-2004) of Systems & Computer Technology Corp. (provider of software to higher education).
1940	Trustee	3 years.	Formerly, a Director of Loring Ward International (fund distributor) (2005-2007). Former Chairman and a Director of Indus International, Inc. (provider of enterprise
		Trustee since 2007.	management software to the power generating industry) (2005-2007). Former Chief Executive Officer of Assurant, Inc. (insurance provider) (1979-2000).
			<b>Directorships in the Last Five Years.</b> (1) Director of Stonemor Partners, L.P. (owner and operator of cemeteries). Formerly, Director of Assurant, Inc. (insurance provider) (1979-2011).
William H. Park	Class III	Until 2014.	Consultant and private investor. Formerly, Chief Financial Officer, Aveon Group L.P. (investment management firm) (2010-2011). Formerly, Vice Chairman, Commercial
1947	Trustee	3 years.	Industrial Finance Corp. (specialty finance company) (2006-2010). Formerly, President and Chief Executive Officer, Prizm Capital Management, LLC (investment
		Trustee since 2003.	management firm) (2002-2005). Formerly, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, United Asset Management Corporation (investment management firm) (1982-2001). Formerly, Senior Manager, Price Waterhouse (now PricewaterhouseCoopers) (an independent registered public accounting firm) (1972-1981).

Directorships in the Last Five Years. (1) None.

64

# **Municipal Income Trusts**

November 30, 2012

Management and Organization continued

	Position(s)		
	with the	Term of Office;	Principal Occupation(s) and Directorships
Name and Year of Birth Noninterested Trustees (c	Trusts continued)	Length of Service	During Past Five Years and Other Relevant Experience
Ronald A. Pearlman	Class I	Until 2015.	Professor of Law, Georgetown University Law Center. Formerly, Deputy Assistant Secretary (Tax Policy) and Assistant Secretary (Tax Policy), U.S. Department of the
1940	Trustee	3 years.	Treasury (1983-1985). Formerly, Chief of Staff, Joint Committee on Taxation, U.S. Congress (1988-1990).
		Trustee since 2003.	Directorships in the Last Five Years. (1) None.
Helen Frame Peters	Class III	Until 2014.	Professor of Finance, Carroll School of Management, Boston College. Formerly, Dean, Carroll School of Management, Boston College (2000-2002). Formerly, Chief
1948	Trustee	3 years.	Investment Officer, Fixed Income, Scudder Kemper Investments (investment management firm) (1998-1999). Formerly, Chief Investment Officer, Equity and Fixed Income, Colonial Management Associates (investment management firm)
		Trustee since 2008.	(1991-1998).
			<b>Directorships in the Last Five Years.</b> (1) Formerly, Director of BJ s Wholesale Club, Inc. (wholesale club retailer) (2004-2011). Formerly, Trustee of SPDR Index Shares Funds and SPDR Series Trust (exchange traded funds) (2000-2009). Formerly, Director of Federal Home Loan Bank of Boston (a bank for banks) (2007-2009).
Lynn A. Stout	Class I	Until 2015.	Distinguished Professor of Corporate and Business Law, Jack G. Clarke Business Law Institute, Cornell University Law School. Formerly, the Paul Hastings Professor of
1957	Trustee	3 years.	Corporate and Securities Law (2006-2012) and Professor of Law (2001-2006), University of California at Los Angeles School of Law.
		Trustee since 1998.	Directorships in the Last Five Years. (1) None.
Harriett Tee Taggart	Class III	Until 2014.	Managing Director, Taggart Associates (a professional practice firm). Formerly, Partner and Senior Vice President, Wellington Management Company, LLP
1948	Trustee	3 years.	(investment management firm) (1983-2006).
		Trustee since 2011.	<b>Directorships in the Last Five Years.</b> Director of Albemarle Corporation (chemicals manufacturer) (since 2007) and The Hanover Group (specialty property and casualty insurance company) (since 2009). Formerly, Director of Lubrizol Corporation (specialty chemicals) (2007-2011).
Ralph F. Verni <sup>(A)</sup>	Chairman of the Board and	Until 2013.	Consultant and private investor. Formerly, Chief Investment Officer (1982-1992), Chief Financial Officer (1988-1990) and Director (1982-1992), New England Life.
1943	Class II	3 years.	Formerly, Chairperson, New England Mutual Funds (1982-1992). Formerly, President and Chief Executive Officer, State Street Management & Research (1992-2000). Formerly, Chairperson, State Street Research Mutual Funds (1992-2000). Formerly,
	Trustee	Chairman of the Board since 2007	Director, W.P. Carey, LLC (1998-2004) and First Pioneer Farm Credit Corp.

and Trustee since 2005.

(2002-2006).

# Directorships in the Last Five Years. (1) None.

# Principal Officers who are not Trustees

	Position(s) with the	Length of	Principal Occupation(s)
Name and Year of Birth	Trusts	Service	During Past Five Years
Cynthia J. Clemson	President of CEV, EMI,	Since 2005	Vice President of EVM and BMR.
1963	EVY, EVO and EVP		
Thomas M. Metzold	President of MMV and EVJ	Since 2010	Vice President of EVM and BMR.
1958			
Payson F. Swaffield	Vice President	Since 2011	Vice President and Chief Income Investment Officer of EVM and BMR.
1956			
Barbara E. Campbell	Treasurer	Since 2005	Vice President of EVM and BMR.
1957			

# Municipal Income Trusts

November 30, 2012

Management and Organization continued

	Position(s) with the	Length of	Principal Occupation(s)
Name and Year of Birth Principal Officers who ar	Trusts e not Trustees (continue	Service ed)	<b>During Past Five Years</b>
Maureen A. Gemma 1960	Vice President, Secretary and Chief Legal Officer	Vice President since 2011, Secretary since 2007 and Chief Legal Officer since 2008	Vice President of EVM and BMR.
Paul M. O Neil 1953	Chief Compliance Officer	Since 2004	Vice President of EVM and BMR.

<sup>(1)</sup> During their respective tenures, the Trustees (except Mr. Eston and Ms. Taggart) also served as trustees of one or more of the following Eaton Vance funds (which operated in the years noted): Eaton Vance Credit Opportunities Fund (launched in 2005 and terminated in 2010); Eaton Vance Insured Florida Plus Municipal Bond Fund (launched in 2002 and terminated in 2009); and Eaton Vance National Municipal Income Trust (launched in 1998 and terminated in 2009).

<sup>(</sup>A) APS Trustee.

# Eaton Vance Funds

#### IMPORTANT NOTICES

**Privacy.** The Eaton Vance organization is committed to ensuring your financial privacy. Each of the financial institutions identified below has in effect the following policy ( Privacy Policy ) with respect to nonpublic personal information about its customers:

Only such information received from you, through application forms or otherwise, and information about your Eaton Vance fund transactions will be collected. This may include information such as name, address, social security number, tax status, account balances and transactions.

None of such information about you (or former customers) will be disclosed to anyone, except as permitted by law (which includes disclosure to employees necessary to service your account). In the normal course of servicing a customer s account, Eaton Vance may share information with unaffiliated third parties that perform various required services such as transfer agents, custodians and broker-dealers.

Policies and procedures (including physical, electronic and procedural safeguards) are in place that are designed to protect the confidentiality of such information.

We reserve the right to change our Privacy Policy at any time upon proper notification to you. Customers may want to review our Privacy Policy periodically for changes by accessing the link on our homepage: www.eatonvance.com.

Our pledge of privacy applies to the following entities within the Eaton Vance organization: the Eaton Vance Family of Funds, Eaton Vance Management, Eaton Vance Investment Counsel, Eaton Vance Distributors, Inc., Eaton Vance Trust Company, Eaton Vance Management s Real Estate Investment Group and Boston Management and Research. In addition, our Privacy Policy applies only to those Eaton Vance customers who are individuals and who have a direct relationship with us. If a customer s account (i.e., fund shares) is held in the name of a third-party financial advisor/broker-dealer, it is likely that only such advisor s privacy policies apply to the customer. This notice supersedes all previously issued privacy disclosures. For more information about Eaton Vance s Privacy Policy, please call 1-800-262-1122.

Delivery of Shareholder Documents. The Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) permits funds to deliver only one copy of shareholder documents, including prospectuses, proxy statements and shareholder reports, to fund investors with multiple accounts at the same residential or post office box address. This practice is often called householding and it helps eliminate duplicate mailings to shareholders. Eaton Vance, or your financial advisor, may household the mailing of your documents indefinitely unless you instruct Eaton Vance, or your financial advisor, otherwise. If you would prefer that your Eaton Vance documents not be householded, please contact Eaton Vance at 1-800-262-1122, or contact your financial advisor. Your instructions that householding not apply to delivery of your Eaton Vance documents will be effective within 30 days of receipt by Eaton Vance or your financial advisor.

Portfolio Holdings. Each Eaton Vance Fund and its underlying Portfolio(s) (if applicable) will file a schedule of portfolio holdings on Form N-Q with the SEC for the first and third quarters of each fiscal year. The Form N-Q will be available on the Eaton Vance website at www.eatonvance.com, by calling Eaton Vance at 1-800-262-1122 or in the EDGAR database on the SEC s website at www.sec.gov. Form N-Q may also be reviewed and copied at the SEC s public reference room in Washington, D.C. (call 1-800-732-0330 for information on the operation of the public reference room).

Proxy Voting. From time to time, funds are required to vote proxies related to the securities held by the funds. The Eaton Vance Funds or their underlying Portfolios (if applicable) vote proxies according to a set of policies and procedures approved by the Funds and Portfolios Boards. You may obtain a description of these policies and procedures and information on how the Funds or Portfolios voted proxies relating to portfolio securities during the most recent 12-month period ended June 30, without charge, upon request, by calling 1-800-262-1122 and by accessing the SEC s website at www.sec.gov.

Additional Notice to Shareholders. A Fund also may purchase shares of its common stock in the open market when they trade at a discount to net asset value or at other times if the Fund determines such purchases are advisable. There can be no assurance that a Fund will take such action or that such purchases would reduce the discount. If applicable, a Fund may also redeem or purchase its outstanding auction preferred shares (APS) in order to maintain compliance with regulatory requirements, borrowing or rating agency requirements or for other purposes as it deems appropriate or necessary.

Closed-End Fund Information. The Eaton Vance closed-end funds make certain fund performance data and information about portfolio characteristics (such as top holdings and asset allocation) available on the Eaton Vance website after the end of each month. Certain fund performance data for the funds, including total returns, are posted to the website shortly after the end of each month. Portfolio holdings for the most recent month-end are also posted to the website approximately 30 days following the end of the month. This information is available at www.eatonvance.com on the fund information pages under Individual Investors Closed-End Funds.

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

# State Street Bank and Trust Company 200 Clarendon Street Boston, MA 02116 Transfer Agent American Stock Transfer & Trust Company 59 Maiden Lane Plaza Level New York, NY 10038 Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Deloitte & Touche LLP 200 Berkeley Street Boston, MA 02116-5022 Fund Offices Two International Place

Investment Adviser and Administrator

**Eaton Vance Management** 

Two International Place

Boston, MA 02110

Boston, MA 02110

Custodian

147-1/13 CE-MUNISRC7

#### Item 2. Code of Ethics

Not required in this filing.

#### Item 3. Audit Committee Financial Expert

The registrant s Board has designated William H. Park, an independent trustee, as its audit committee financial expert. Mr. Park is a certified public accountant who is a consultant and private investor. Previously, he served as the Chief Financial Officer of Aveon Group, L.P. (an investment management firm), as the Vice Chairman of Commercial Industrial Finance Corp. (specialty finance company), as President and Chief Executive Officer of Prizm Capital Management, LLC (investment management firm), as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of United Asset Management Corporation (an institutional investment management firm) and as a Senior Manager at Price Waterhouse (now PricewaterhouseCoopers) (an independent registered public accounting firm).

#### **Item 4. Principal Accountant Fees and Services**

The following table presents the aggregate fees billed to the registrant for the registrant s fiscal years ended November 30, 2011 and November 30, 2012 by the Fund s principal accountant, Deloitte & Touche LLP ( D&T ), for professional services rendered for the audit of the registrant s annual financial statements and fees billed for other services rendered by D&T during such periods.

Fiscal Years Ended	11/30/11	11/30/12
Audit Fees	\$ 24,500	\$ 26,160
Audit-Related Fees <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 3,915	\$ 3,915
Tax Fees <sup>(2)</sup>	\$ 7,900	\$ 8,360
All Other Fees <sup>(3)</sup>	\$ 300	\$ 0
Total	\$ 36,615	\$ 38,435

- (1) Audit-related fees consist of the aggregate fees billed for assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit of financial statements and are not reported under the category of audit fees and specifically include fees for the performance of certain agreed-upon procedures relating to the registrant s auction preferred shares.
- (2) Tax fees consist of the aggregate fees billed for professional services rendered by the principal accountant relating to tax compliance, tax advice, and tax planning and specifically include fees for tax return preparation and other related tax compliance/planning matters.
- (3) All other fees consist of the aggregate fees billed for products and services provided by the principal accountant other than audit, audit-related, and tax services.
- (e)(1) The registrant s audit committee has adopted policies and procedures relating to the pre-approval of services provided by the registrant s principal accountant (the Pre-Approval Policies). The Pre-Approval Policies establish a framework intended to assist the audit committee in the proper discharge of its pre-approval responsibilities. As a general matter, the Pre-Approval Policies (i) specify certain types of audit, audit-related, tax, and other services determined to be pre-approved by the audit committee; and (ii) delineate specific procedures governing the mechanics of the pre-approval process, including the approval and monitoring of audit and non-audit service fees. Unless a service is specifically pre-approved under the Pre-Approval Policies, it must be separately pre-approved by the audit committee.

The Pre-Approval Policies and the types of audit and non-audit services pre-approved therein must be reviewed and ratified by the registrant s audit committee at least annually. The registrant s audit committee maintains full responsibility for the appointment, compensation, and oversight of the work of the registrant s principal accountant.

(e)(2) No services described in paragraphs (b)-(d) above were approved by the registrant  $\,$ s audit committee pursuant to the  $\,$ de minimis exception set forth in Rule 2-01(c)(7)(i)(C) of Regulation S-X.

#### (f) Not applicable.

(g) The following table presents (i) the aggregate non-audit fees (i.e., fees for audit-related, tax, and other services) billed to the registrant by D&T for the registrant s fiscal years ended November 30, 2011 and November 30, 2012; and (ii) the aggregate non-audit fees (i.e., fees for audit-related, tax, and other services) billed to the Eaton Vance organization by D&T for the same time periods.

Fiscal Years Ended	11/30/11	11/30/12
Registrant	\$ 12,115	\$ 12,275
Eaton Vance <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 287,931	\$ 662,119

(1) Eaton Vance Management, a subsidiary of Eaton Vance Corp., acts as the registrant s investment adviser and administrator.

#### Item 5. Audit Committee of Listed Registrants

The registrant has a separately-designated standing audit committee established in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. William H. Park (Chair), Scott E. Eston, Helen Frame Peters, Lynn A. Stout and Ralph F. Verni are the members of the registrant s audit committee.

#### Item 6. Schedule of Investments

Please see schedule of investments contained in the Report to Stockholders included under Item 1 of this Form N-CSR.

#### Item 7. Disclosure of Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures for Closed-End Management Investment Companies

The Board of Trustees of the Trust has adopted a proxy voting policy and procedure (the Fund Policy), pursuant to which the Trustees have delegated proxy voting responsibility to the Fund s investment adviser and adopted the investment adviser s proxy voting policies and procedures (the Policies) which are described below. The Trustees will review the Fund s proxy voting records from time to time and will annually consider approving the Policies for the upcoming year. In the event that a conflict of interest arises between the Fund s shareholders and the investment adviser, the administrator, or any of their affiliates or any affiliate of the Fund, the investment adviser will generally refrain from voting the proxies related to the companies giving rise to such conflict until it consults with the Board s Special Committee except as contemplated under the Fund Policy. The Board s Special Committee will instruct the investment adviser on the appropriate course of action.

The Policies are designed to promote accountability of a company s management to its shareholders and to align the interests of management with those shareholders. An independent proxy voting service (Agent), currently Institutional Shareholder Services, Inc., has been retained to assist in the voting of proxies through the provision of vote analysis, implementation and recordkeeping and disclosure services. The investment adviser will generally vote proxies through the Agent. The Agent is required to vote all proxies and/or refer them back to the investment adviser pursuant to the Policies. It is generally the policy of the investment adviser to vote in accordance with the recommendation of the Agent. The Agent shall refer to the investment adviser proxies relating to mergers and restructurings, and the disposition of assets, termination, liquidation and mergers contained in mutual fund proxies.

<sup>(</sup>h) The registrant s audit committee has considered whether the provision by the registrant s principal accountant of non-audit services to the registrant s investment adviser and any entity controlling, controlled by, or under common control with the adviser that provides ongoing services to the registrant that were not pre-approved pursuant to Rule 2-01(c)(7)(ii) of Regulation S-X is compatible with maintaining the principal accountant s independence.

The investment adviser will normally vote against anti-takeover measures and other proposals designed to limit the ability of shareholders to act on possible transactions, except in the case of closed-end management investment companies. The investment adviser generally supports management on social and environmental proposals. The investment adviser may abstain from voting from time to time where it determines that the costs associated with voting a proxy outweighs the benefits derived from exercising the right to vote or the economic effect on shareholders interests or the value of the portfolio holding is indeterminable or insignificant.

In addition, the investment adviser will monitor situations that may result in a conflict of interest between the Fund s shareholders and the investment adviser, the administrator, or any of their affiliates or any affiliate of the Fund by maintaining a list of significant existing and prospective corporate clients. The investment adviser s personnel responsible for reviewing and voting proxies on behalf of the Fund will report any proxy received or expected to be received from a company included on that list to the personnel of the investment adviser identified in the Policies. If such personnel expects to instruct the Agent to vote such proxies in a manner inconsistent with the guidelines of the Policies or the recommendation of the Agent, the personnel will consult with members of senior management of the investment adviser to determine if a material conflict of interests exists. If it is determined that a material conflict does exist, the investment adviser will seek instruction on how to vote from the Special Committee.

Information on how the Fund voted proxies relating to portfolio securities during the most recent 12 month period ended June 30 is available (1) without charge, upon request, by calling 1-800-262-1122, and (2) on the Securities and Exchange Commission s website at <a href="http://www.sec.gov">http://www.sec.gov</a>.

#### Item 8. Portfolio Managers of Closed-End Management Investment Companies

Cynthia J. Clemson, portfolio manager of Eaton Vance California Municipal Income Trust, William H. Ahern, Jr., portfolio manager of Eaton Vance Michigan Municipal Income Trust and Eaton Vance Ohio Municipal Income Trust, Craig R. Brandon, portfolio manager of Eaton Vance Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust and Eaton Vance New York Municipal Income Trust and Adam A. Weigold, portfolio manager of Eaton Vance New Jersey Municipal Income Trust and Eaton Vance Pennsylvania Municipal Income Trust are responsible for the overall and day-to-day management of each Fund s investments.

Ms. Clemson has been an Eaton Vance portfolio manager since 1991 and is a co-Director of Municipal Investments and Vice President of Eaton Vance Management (EVM) and Boston Management and Research (BMR), an Eaton Vance subsidiary. Mr. Ahern has been an Eaton Vance portfolio manager since 1993 and is a Vice President of EVM and BMR. Mr. Brandon has been an Eaton Vance analyst since 1998 and a portfolio manager since 2004, and is a Vice President of EVM and BMR. Mr. Weigold has been a credit analyst with Eaton Vance since 1991 and a portfolio manager since 2007, and is a Vice President of EVM and BMR. This information is provided as of the date of filing of this report.

The following table shows, as of each Fund s most recent fiscal year end, the number of accounts each portfolio manager managed in each of the listed categories and the total assets (in millions of dollars) in the accounts managed within each category. The table also shows the number of accounts with respect to which the advisory fee is based on the performance of the account, if any, and the total assets (in millions of dollars) in those accounts.

Conthia I Clanacan	Number of All Accounts	Total Assets of All Accounts	Number of Accounts Paying a Performance Fee	As Acco Pay Perfor	otal sets of ounts ing a rmance
Cynthia J. Clemson Registered Investment Companies	10	\$ 2,493.5	0	\$	0
Other Pooled Investment Vehicles	0	\$ 2,493.3	0	\$	0
Other Accounts	0	\$ 0	0	\$	0
William H. Ahern, Jr.					
Registered Investment Companies	13	\$ 2,587.5	0	\$	0
Other Pooled Investment Vehicles	0	\$ 0	0	\$	0
Other Accounts	1	\$ 23.4	0	\$	0
Craig R. Brandon					
Registered Investment Companies	13	\$ 1,498.8	0	\$	0
Other Pooled Investment Vehicles	0	\$ 0	0	\$	0
Other Accounts	0	\$ 0	0	\$	0
Adam A. Weigold					
Registered Investment Companies	13	\$ 1,117.9	0	\$	0
Other Pooled Investment Vehicles	0	\$ 0	0	\$	0
Other Accounts	1	\$ 23.4	0	\$	0

The following table shows the dollar range of Fund shares beneficially owned by each portfolio manager as of each Fund s most recent fiscal year end.

	Dollar Range of Equity Securities
	Owned in the
Portfolio Manager and Fund Name	Fund
Cynthia J. Clemson	
California Municipal Income Trust	None
William H. Ahern, Jr.	
Michigan Municipal Income Trust	None
Ohio Municipal Income Trust	None
Craig R. Brandon	
Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust	None
New York Municipal Income Trust	None
Adam A. Weigold	
New Jersey Municipal Income Trust	None
Pennsylvania Municipal Income Trust	None

Potential for Conflicts of Interest. It is possible that conflicts of interest may arise in connection with a portfolio manager s management of the Fund s investments on the one hand and investments of other accounts for which a portfolio manager is responsible on the other. For example, a portfolio manager may have conflicts of interest in allocating management time, resources and investment opportunities among the Fund and other accounts he or she advises. In addition, due to differences in the investment strategies or restrictions between the Fund and the other accounts, a portfolio manager may take action with respect to another account that differs from the action taken with respect to the Fund. In some cases, another account managed by a portfolio manager may compensate the investment adviser based on the performance of the securities held by that account. The existence of such a performance based fee may create additional conflicts of interest for a portfolio manager in the allocation of management time, resources and investment opportunities. Whenever conflicts of interest arise, a portfolio manager will endeavor to exercise his or her discretion in a manner that he or she believes is equitable to all interested persons. EVM has adopted several policies and procedures designed to address these potential conflicts including a code of ethics and policies which govern the investment adviser s trading practices, including among other things the aggregation and allocation of trades among clients, brokerage allocation, cross trades and best execution.

#### **Compensation Structure for EVM**

Compensation of EVM s portfolio managers and other investment professionals has three primary components: (1) a base salary, (2) an annual cash bonus, and (3) annual stock-based compensation consisting of options to purchase shares of EVC s nonvoting common stock and/or restricted shares of EVC s nonvoting common stock. EVM s investment professionals also receive certain retirement, insurance and other benefits that are broadly available to EVM s employees. Compensation of EVM s investment professionals is reviewed primarily on an annual basis. Cash bonuses, stock-based compensation awards, and adjustments in base salary are typically paid or put into effect at or shortly after the October 31st fiscal year end of EVC.

Method to Determine Compensation. EVM compensates its portfolio managers based primarily on the scale and complexity of their portfolio responsibilities and the total return performance of managed funds and accounts versus the benchmark(s) stated in the prospectus, as well as an appropriate peer group (as described below). In addition to rankings within peer groups of funds on the basis of absolute performance, consideration may also be given to relative risk-adjusted performance. Risk-adjusted performance measures include, but are not limited to, the Sharpe Ratio. Performance is normally based on periods ending on the September 30th preceding fiscal year end. Fund performance is normally evaluated primarily versus peer groups of funds as determined by Lipper Inc. and/or Morningstar, Inc. When a fund speer group as determined by Lipper or Morningstar is deemed by EVM s management not to provide a fair comparison, performance may instead be evaluated primarily against a custom peer group or market index. In evaluating the performance of a fund and its manager, primary emphasis is normally placed on three-year performance, with secondary consideration of performance over longer and shorter periods. For funds that are tax-managed or otherwise have an objective of after-tax returns, performance is measured net of taxes. For other funds, performance is evaluated on a pre-tax basis. For funds with an investment objective other than total return (such as current income), consideration will also be given to the fund s success in achieving its objective. For managers responsible for multiple funds and accounts, investment performance is evaluated on an aggregate basis, based on averages or weighted averages among managed funds and accounts. Funds and accounts that have performance-based advisory fees are not accorded disproportionate weightings in measuring aggregate portfolio manager performance.

The compensation of portfolio managers with other job responsibilities (such as heading an investment group or providing analytical support to other portfolios) will include consideration of the scope of such responsibilities and the managers performance in meeting them.

EVM seeks to compensate portfolio managers commensurate with their responsibilities and performance, and competitive with other firms within the investment management industry. EVM participates in investment-industry compensation surveys and utilizes survey data as a factor in determining salary, bonus and stock-based compensation levels for portfolio managers and other investment professionals. Salaries, bonuses and stock-based compensation are also influenced by the operating performance of EVM and its parent company. The overall annual cash bonus pool is based on a substantially fixed percentage of pre-bonus operating income. While the salaries of EVM s portfolio managers are comparatively fixed, cash bonuses and stock-based compensation may fluctuate significantly from year to year, based on changes in manager performance and other factors as described herein. For a high performing portfolio manager, cash bonuses and stock-based compensation may represent a substantial portion of total compensation.

#### Item 9. Purchases of Equity Securities by Closed-End Management Investment Company and Affiliated Purchasers

No such purchases this period.

Item 10. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

No Material Changes.

#### Item 11. Controls and Procedures

(a) It is the conclusion of the registrant s principal executive officer and principal financial officer that the effectiveness of the registrant s current disclosure controls and procedures (such disclosure controls and procedures having been evaluated within 90 days of the date of this filing) provide reasonable assurance that the information required to be disclosed by the registrant has been recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time period specified in the Commission s rules and forms and that the information required to be disclosed by the registrant has been accumulated and communicated to the registrant s principal executive officer and principal financial officer in order to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

(b) There have been no changes in the registrant s internal controls over financial reporting during the second fiscal quarter of the period covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant s internal control over financial reporting.

#### Item 12. Exhibits

(a)(1)	Registrant s Code of Ethics Not applicable (please see Item 2).
(a)(2)(i)	Treasurer s Section 302 certification.
(a)(2)(ii)	President s Section 302 certification.
(b)	Combined Section 906 certification.

#### **Signatures**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Eaton Vance Massachusetts Municipal Income Trust

By: /s/ Thomas M. Metzold Thomas M. Metzold

President

Date: January 8, 2013

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

By: /s/ Barbara E. Campbell

Barbara E. Campbell

Treasurer

Date: January 8, 2013

By: /s/ Thomas M. Metzold

Thomas M. Metzold

President

Date: January 8, 2013