

MARVELL TECHNOLOGY GROUP LTD
Form 10-Q
June 06, 2013
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended May 4, 2013

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 or 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number: 000-30877

Marvell Technology Group Ltd.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: MARVELL TECHNOLOGY GROUP LTD - Form 10-Q

Bermuda **77-0481679**
(State or other jurisdiction of **(I.R.S. Employer**
incorporation or organization) **Identification No.)**
Canon s Court, 22 Victoria Street, Hamilton HM 12, Bermuda

(441) 296-6395

(Address, including Zip Code, of principal executive offices and
registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The number of common shares of the registrant outstanding as of May 30, 2013 was 492.1 million shares.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--|-------------|
| PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION | |
| Item 1. <u>Financial Statements:</u> | |
| <u>Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of May 4, 2013 and February 2, 2013</u> | 2 |
| <u>Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three months ended May 4, 2013 and April 28, 2012</u> | 3 |
| <u>Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three months ended May 4, 2013 and April 28, 2012</u> | 4 |
| <u>Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three months ended May 4, 2013 and April 28, 2012</u> | 5 |
| <u>Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 6 |
| Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 21 |
| Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 27 |
| Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 29 |
| PART II. OTHER INFORMATION | |
| Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 30 |
| Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u> | 30 |
| Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 44 |
| Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u> | 44 |
| <u>Signatures</u> | 45 |
| <u>Exhibit Index</u> | 46 |

Table of Contents**PART I: FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements****MARVELL TECHNOLOGY GROUP LTD.****UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****(In thousands)**

| | May 4, 2013 | February 2, 2013 |
|---|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 594,420 | \$ 751,953 |
| Short-term investments | 1,138,223 | 1,167,037 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 370,350 | 330,238 |
| Inventories | 270,652 | 250,420 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 70,853 | 77,282 |
| Deferred income taxes | 8,416 | 8,416 |
| Total current assets | 2,452,914 | 2,585,346 |
| Property and equipment, net | 384,612 | 387,027 |
| Long-term investments | 16,550 | 16,769 |
| Goodwill | 2,032,538 | 2,032,138 |
| Acquired intangible assets, net | 82,355 | 89,655 |
| Other non-current assets | 152,198 | 150,829 |
| Total assets | \$ 5,121,167 | \$ 5,261,764 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 307,393 | \$ 286,552 |
| Accrued liabilities | 124,814 | 143,991 |
| Accrued employee compensation | 121,618 | 117,195 |
| Deferred income | 59,270 | 60,150 |
| Total current liabilities | 613,095 | 607,888 |
| Non-current income taxes payable | 102,403 | 112,871 |
| Other non-current liabilities | 53,228 | 56,410 |
| Total liabilities | 768,726 | 777,169 |
| Commitments and contingencies (Note 9) | | |
| Shareholders' equity: | | |
| Common shares, \$0.002 par value | 988 | 1,017 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 2,789,534 | 2,945,643 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 2,176 | 1,148 |
| Retained earnings | 1,559,743 | 1,536,787 |
| Total shareholders' equity | 4,352,441 | 4,484,595 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$ 5,121,167 | \$ 5,261,764 |

Edgar Filing: MARVELL TECHNOLOGY GROUP LTD - Form 10-Q

See accompanying Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**MARVELL TECHNOLOGY GROUP LTD.****UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS****(In thousands, except per share amounts)**

| | Three Months Ended | |
|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| | May 4, 2013 | April 28, 2012 |
| Net revenue | \$ 734,369 | \$ 796,351 |
| Operating costs and expenses: | | |
| Cost of goods sold | 335,438 | 366,322 |
| Research and development | 279,052 | 255,970 |
| Selling and marketing | 39,989 | 40,066 |
| General and administrative | 26,323 | 25,705 |
| Amortization and write-off of acquired intangible assets | 10,686 | 14,355 |
| Total operating costs and expenses | 691,488 | 702,418 |
| Operating income | 42,881 | 93,933 |
| Interest and other income, net | 3,160 | 1,057 |
| Income before income taxes | 46,041 | 94,990 |
| Provision (benefit) for income taxes | (7,168) | 447 |
| Net income | \$ 53,209 | \$ 94,543 |
| Net income per share: | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.11 | \$ 0.16 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.11 | \$ 0.16 |
| Weighted average shares: | | |
| Basic | 502,180 | 580,024 |
| Diluted | 505,387 | 594,739 |
| Cash dividend declared per share | \$ 0.06 | \$ |

See accompanying Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**MARVELL TECHNOLOGY GROUP LTD.****UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME****(In thousands)**

| | Three Months Ended | |
|---|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| | May 4, 2013 | April 28, 2012 |
| Net income | \$ 53,209 | \$ 94,543 |
| Other comprehensive income, net of tax: | | |
| Net change in unrealized gain/loss on marketable securities | 1,052 | 289 |
| Net change in unrealized gain/loss on auction rate securities | 81 | |
| Net change in unrealized gain/loss on cash flow hedges | (105) | 1,252 |
| Other comprehensive income, net | 1,028 | 1,541 |
| Comprehensive income | \$ 54,237 | \$ 96,084 |

See accompanying Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**MARVELL TECHNOLOGY GROUP LTD.****UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****(In thousands)**

| | Three Months Ended | |
|---|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| | May 4, 2013 | April 28, 2012 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | |
| Net income | \$ 53,209 | \$ 94,543 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 24,966 | 21,199 |
| Share-based compensation | 33,513 | 27,192 |
| Amortization and write-off of acquired intangible assets | 10,686 | 14,355 |
| Other expense, net | 2,523 | 2,903 |
| Excess tax benefits from share-based compensation | (7) | (41) |
| Changes in assets and liabilities: | | |
| Accounts receivable | (40,112) | (10,119) |
| Inventories | (20,123) | 201 |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | 6,802 | 4,242 |
| Accounts payable | 28,936 | 21,249 |
| Accrued liabilities and other non-current liabilities | (20,081) | 18,143 |
| Accrued employee compensation | 4,423 | (648) |
| Deferred income | (880) | 5,454 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 83,855 | 198,673 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Purchases of available-for-sale securities | (306,838) | (421,652) |
| Sales and maturities of available-for-sale securities | 335,771 | 558,777 |
| Purchases of strategic investments | | (5,000) |
| Cash paid for acquisition, net | (2,551) | |
| Purchases of technology licenses | (5,860) | (2,045) |
| Purchases of property and equipment | (20,080) | (18,904) |
| Net cash provided by investing activities | 442 | 111,176 |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | |
| Repurchase of common stock | (216,694) | (223,157) |
| Proceeds from employee stock plans | 19,805 | 17,803 |
| Minimum tax withholding paid on behalf of employees for net share settlement | (9,378) | (8,879) |
| Dividend payments to shareholders | (30,253) | |
| Payments on technology license obligations | (5,317) | |
| Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation | 7 | 41 |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (241,830) | (214,192) |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents | (157,533) | 95,657 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 751,953 | 784,902 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 594,420 | \$ 880,559 |

See accompanying Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

MARVELL TECHNOLOGY GROUP LTD.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1. The Company and Basis of Presentation

The Company

Marvell Technology Group Ltd., a Bermuda company (the Company), is a leading global semiconductor provider of high-performance application specific standard products. The Company's core strength of expertise is the development of complex System-on-a-Chip devices leveraging its extensive technology portfolio of intellectual property in the areas of analog, mixed-signal, digital signal processing and embedded ARM-based microprocessor integrated circuits. The Company also develops platforms that it defines as integrated hardware, along with software that incorporates digital computing technologies designed and configured to provide an optimized computing solution compared to individual components. The Company's broad product portfolio includes devices for data storage, enterprise-class Ethernet data switching, Ethernet physical-layer transceivers, mobile handsets and other consumer electronics, Ethernet-based wireless networking, personal area networking, Ethernet-based PC connectivity, control plane communications controllers, video-image processing and power management solutions.

Basis of Presentation

The Company's fiscal year is the 52- or 53-week period ending on the Saturday closest to January 31. In a 52-week year, each fiscal quarter consists of 13 weeks. The additional week in a 53-week year is added to the fourth quarter, making such quarter consist of 14 weeks. Fiscal 2014 has a 52-week period and fiscal 2013 had a 53-week period.

The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (GAAP) for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and notes required by GAAP for annual financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments consisting of normal and recurring entries considered necessary for a fair statement of the results for the interim periods have been included in the Company's balance sheet as of May 4, 2013, the results of its operations for the three months ended May 4, 2013 and April 28, 2012, and its cash flows for the three months ended May 4, 2013 and April 28, 2012. The February 2, 2013 condensed consolidated balance sheet data was derived from the audited consolidated financial statements included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February 2, 2013, but does not include all disclosures required for annual periods.

These condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes are unaudited and should be read in conjunction with the Company's audited financial statements and related notes included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February 2, 2013 as filed on March 29, 2013 with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The results of operations for the three months ended May 4, 2013 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for any other interim period or for the full fiscal year.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates, judgments and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an on-going basis, the Company evaluates its estimates, including those related to performance-based compensation, revenue recognition, provisions for sales returns and allowances, inventory excess and obsolescence, investment fair values, goodwill and other intangible assets, income taxes, litigation and other contingencies. In addition, the Company uses assumptions when employing the Monte Carlo simulation and Black-Scholes valuation models to calculate the fair value of share-based awards that are granted. Actual results could differ from these estimates, and such differences could affect the results of operations reported in future periods.

Principles of Consolidation

The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. All intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated. The functional currency of the Company and its subsidiaries is the United States dollar.

Table of Contents**MARVELL TECHNOLOGY GROUP LTD.****NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 2. Recent Accounting Pronouncements***Accounting Pronouncements Recently Adopted*

The Company adopted the new accounting standard regarding the testing of indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment at the beginning of fiscal 2014. This amended guidance allows an entity first to assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that an indefinite-lived intangible asset is impaired as a basis for determining whether it is necessary to perform the quantitative impairment test in accordance with the guidance on the impairment of intangible assets other than goodwill. The adoption of this guidance had no impact on the Company's financial statements.

The Company adopted the new accounting standard regarding the reporting of amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income. The amendments require an entity to provide information about the amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by component. In addition, an entity is required to present, either in the financial statements or in the notes, significant amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by the respective line items of net income. The Company elected to disclose the information in the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements beginning in fiscal 2014.

Note 3. Investments

The following tables summarize the Company's investments (in thousands):

| | Amortized Cost | As of May 4, 2013 | | Estimated Fair Value |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|
| | | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | |
| Short-term investments: | | | | |
| Available-for-sale: | | | | |
| Corporate debt securities | \$ 568,858 | \$ 3,724 | \$ (135) | \$ 572,447 |
| U.S. government and agency debt | 330,362 | 226 | (18) | 330,570 |
| Time deposits | 138,000 | | | 138,000 |
| Asset backed securities | 76,669 | 57 | (67) | 76,659 |
| Foreign government and agency debt | 10,319 | | (4) | 10,315 |
| Municipal debt securities | 10,221 | 13 | (2) | 10,232 |
| Total short-term investments | 1,134,429 | 4,020 | (226) | 1,138,223 |
| Long-term investments: | | | | |
| Available-for-sale: | | | | |
| Auction rate securities | 19,150 | | (2,600) | 16,550 |
| Total long-term investments | 19,150 | | (2,600) | 16,550 |
| Total investments | \$ 1,153,579 | \$ 4,020 | \$ (2,826) | \$ 1,154,773 |

Table of Contents**MARVELL TECHNOLOGY GROUP LTD.****NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

| | Amortized Cost | As of February 2, 2013 | | Estimated Fair Value |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|
| | | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | |
| Short-term investments: | | | | |
| Available-for-sale: | | | | |
| Corporate debt securities | \$ 577,120 | \$ 2,886 | \$ (382) | \$ 579,624 |
| U.S. government and agency debt | 318,226 | 258 | (5) | 318,479 |
| Time deposits | 188,000 | | | 188,000 |
| Asset backed securities | 76,629 | 50 | (64) | 76,615 |
| Foreign government and agency debt | 4,320 | | (1) | 4,319 |
| | | | | |
| Total short-term investments | 1,164,295 | 3,194 | (452) | 1,167,037 |
| Long-term investments: | | | | |
| Available-for-sale: | | | | |
| Auction rate securities | 19,450 | | (2,681) | 16,769 |
| | | | | |
| Total long-term investments | 19,450 | | (2,681) | 16,769 |
| | | | | |
| Total investments | \$ 1,183,745 | \$ 3,194 | \$ (3,133) | \$ 1,183,806 |

As of May 4, 2013, the Company's investment portfolio included auction rate securities with an aggregate par value of \$19.2 million. Beginning in February 2008, liquidity issues in the global credit markets resulted in a failure of auction rate securities, as the amount of securities submitted for sale in those auctions exceeded the amount of bids. Since this time, many of the issuers have refinanced their debt and paid down the auction rate securities which have resulted in a smaller pool of outstanding securities. Although these securities have continued to pay interest and show an improvement in the underlying collateralization, there is currently limited trading volume. To estimate the fair value of the auction rate securities, the Company uses a discounted cash flow model based on estimated timing and amount of future interest and principal payments. In developing the cash flow model, the Company considers the credit quality and liquidity of the underlying securities and related issuer, the collateralization of underlying security investments and other considerations. The fair value of the auction rate securities as of May 4, 2013 was \$2.6 million less than the par value and was recorded in long-term investments. Based on the Company's balance of approximately \$1.7 billion in cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments, and the fact that the Company continues to generate positive cash flow from operations on a quarterly basis, the Company does not anticipate having to sell these securities below par value and does not have the intent to sell these auction rate securities until recovery. Since the Company considers the impairment to be temporary, the Company recorded the unrealized loss to accumulated other comprehensive income, a component of shareholders' equity.

The contractual maturities of available-for-sale securities are presented in the following table (in thousands):

| | May 4, 2013 | | February 2, 2013 | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Estimated Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Estimated Fair Value |
| Due in one year or less | \$ 424,505 | \$ 424,901 | \$ 519,006 | \$ 519,537 |
| Due between one and five years | 697,311 | 700,709 | 627,769 | 629,991 |
| Due over five years | 31,763 | 29,163 | 36,970 | 34,278 |
| | \$ 1,153,579 | \$ 1,154,773 | \$ 1,183,745 | \$ 1,183,806 |

Table of Contents**MARVELL TECHNOLOGY GROUP LTD.****NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

For individual securities that are in an unrealized loss position, the fair value and gross unrealized loss for these securities aggregated by investment category and length of time in an unrealized position are presented in the following tables (in thousands):

| | May 4, 2013 | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | Less than 12 months | | 12 months or more | | Total | |
| | Fair Value | Unrealized Loss | Fair Value | Unrealized Loss | Fair Value | Unrealized Loss |
| U.S. government and agency debt | \$ 95,848 | \$ (18) | \$ | \$ | \$ 95,848 | \$ (18) |
| Corporate debt securities | 56,856 | (135) | | | 56,856 | (135) |
| Asset backed securities | 40,916 | (67) | | | 40,916 | (67) |
| Foreign government and agency debt | 5,995 | (4) | | | 5,995 | (4) |
| Municipal debt securities | 2,571 | (2) | | | 2,571 | (2) |
| Auction rate securities | | | 16,550 | (2,600) | 16,550 | (2,600) |
| Total securities | \$ 202,186 | \$ (226) | \$ 16,550 | \$ (2,600) | \$ 218,736 | \$ (2,826) |

| | February 2, 2013 | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | Less than 12 months | | 12 months or more | | Total | |
| | Fair Value | Unrealized Loss | Fair Value | Unrealized Loss | Fair Value | Unrealized Loss |
| Corporate debt securities | \$ 141,915 | \$ (382) | \$ | \$ | \$ 141,915 | \$ (382) |
| Asset backed securities | 43,882 | (64) | | | 43,882 | (64) |
| U.S. government and agency debt | 14,677 | (5) | | | 14,677 | (5) |
| Foreign government and agency debt | 4,319 | (1) | | | 4,319 | (1) |
| Auction rate securities | | | 16,769 | (2,681) | 16,769 | (2,681) |
| Total securities | \$ 204,793 | \$ (452) | \$ 16,769 | \$ (2,681) | \$ 221,562 | \$ (3,133) |

Note 4. Supplemental Financial Information (in thousands)

| | May 4, 2013 | February 2, 2013 |
|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Inventories: | | |
| Work-in-process | \$ 179,907 | \$ 140,805 |
| Finished goods | 90,745 | 109,615 |
| Inventories | \$ 270,652 | \$ 250,420 |

May 4, 2013 February 2, 2013

Edgar Filing: MARVELL TECHNOLOGY GROUP LTD - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|------------|------------|
| Property and equipment, net: | | |
| Machinery and equipment | \$ 542,219 | \$ 532,420 |
| Buildings | 144,320 | 144,320 |
| Computer software | 91,241 | 89,548 |
| Land | 69,246 | 69,246 |
| Building improvements | 49,555 | 49,516 |
| Leasehold improvements | 47,262 | 46,205 |
| Furniture and fixtures | 25,733 | 25,301 |
| Construction in progress | 3,553 | 5,333 |
| | 973,129 | 961,889 |
| Less: Accumulated depreciation and amortization | (588,517) | (574,862) |
| Property and equipment, net | \$ 384,612 | \$ 387,027 |

Table of Contents**MARVELL TECHNOLOGY GROUP LTD.****NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

| | May 4, 2013 | February 2, 2013 |
|---|----------------|---------------------|
| Other non-current assets: | | |
| Technology and other licenses | \$ 84,655 | \$ 81,523 |
| Deferred tax assets | 25,038 | 26,291 |
| Investments in privately held companies | 13,196 | 14,486 |
| Deposits | 10,606 | 10,451 |
| Deferred compensation | 3,083 | 3,220 |
| Other | 15,620 | 14,858 |
| Other non-current assets | \$ 152,198 | \$ 150,829 |
| | | |
| | May 4, 2013 | February 2, 2013 |
| Accrued liabilities: | | |
| Accrued rebates | \$ 43,640 | \$ 51,712 |
| Accrued legal expense | 18,334 | 18,357 |
| Accrued royalties | 16,141 | 12,695 |
| Technology license obligations | 11,269 | 9,865 |
| Accrued share repurchases | 5,645 | 22,152 |
| Other | 29,785 | 29,210 |
| Accrued liabilities | \$ 124,814 | \$ 143,991 |

Accrued share repurchases represent amounts due for the Company's repurchases of its common shares made in the final three days of the fiscal quarter. The repurchased shares are retired immediately after the repurchases are completed.

| | May 4, 2013 | February 2, 2013 |
|---|----------------|---------------------|
| Other non-current liabilities: | | |
| Technology license obligations | \$ 32,131 | \$ 34,270 |
| Long-term accrued employee compensation | 6,103 | 6,205 |
| Other | 14,994 | 15,935 |
| Other non-current liabilities | \$ 53,228 | \$ 56,410 |

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

The changes in accumulated other comprehensive income by component are presented in the following tables (in thousands):

Total

Edgar Filing: MARVELL TECHNOLOGY GROUP LTD - Form 10-Q

| | Unrealized Gain (Loss) on Marketable Securities | Unrealized Gain (Loss) on Auction Rate Securities | Unrealized Gain (Loss) on Cash Flow Hedges | |
|--|---|---|--|----------|
| Balance at February 2, 2013 | \$ 2,742 | \$ (2,681) | \$ 1,087 | \$ 1,148 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassifications | 1,428 | 81 | 991 | 2,500 |
| Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income | (376) | | (1,096) | (1,472) |
| Net current-period other comprehensive income (loss) | 1,052 | 81 | (105) | 1,028 |
| Balance at May 4, 2013 | \$ 3,794 | \$ (2,600) | \$ 982 | \$ 2,176 |

Table of Contents**MARVELL TECHNOLOGY GROUP LTD.****NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

| | Unrealized Gain (Loss) on Available-for-Sale Securities | | | Unrealized Gain (Loss) on Cash Flow Hedges | Total |
|--|---|---|--|--|-------|
| | Unrealized Gain (Loss) on Marketable Securities | Unrealized Gain (Loss) on Auction Rate Securities | Unrealized Gain (Loss) on Cash Flow Hedges | | |
| Balance at January 28, 2012 | \$ 3,702 | \$ (1,285) | \$ (1,641) | \$ 776 | |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassifications | 849 | | (30) | 819 | |
| Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income | (560) | | 1,282 | 722 | |
| Net current-period other comprehensive income | 289 | | 1,252 | 1,541 | |
| Balance at April 28, 2012 | \$ 3,991 | \$ (1,285) | \$ (389) | \$ 2,317 | |

| Details about Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income Components | Three Months Ended May 4, 2013 | |
|---|---|---|
| | Amount Reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income | Affected Line Item in the Statement Where Net Income is Presented |
| Available-for-sale securities | \$ 376 | Interest and other income, net |
| Cash flow hedges | 61 | Cost of goods sold |
| | 945 | Research and development |
| | 82 | Selling and marketing |
| | 8 | General and administrative |
| Total reclassifications | \$ 1,472 | |

Net income per share

The Company reports both basic net income per share, which is based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding, and diluted net income per share, which is based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding and potentially dilutive common shares. The computations of basic and diluted net income per share are presented in the following table (in thousands, except per share amounts):

| | Three Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|----------------|
| | May 4, 2013 | April 28, 2012 |
| Numerator: | | |
| Net income | \$ 53,209 | \$ 94,543 |
| Denominator: | | |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding: | 502,180 | 580,024 |
| Effect of dilutive securities: | | |
| Common share-based awards | 3,207 | 14,715 |
| Weighted average shares diluted | 505,387 | 594,739 |

Edgar Filing: MARVELL TECHNOLOGY GROUP LTD - Form 10-Q

Net income per share:

| | | |
|---------|---------|---------|
| Basic | \$ 0.11 | \$ 0.16 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.11 | \$ 0.16 |

| | Three Months Ended | |
|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| | May 4, 2013 | April 28, 2012 |
| Anti-dilutive potential common shares: | | |
| Weighted average shares outstanding from stock options | 40,631 | 22,120 |
| Weighted average exercise price | \$ 15.24 | \$ 18.55 |

Table of Contents**MARVELL TECHNOLOGY GROUP LTD.****NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Anti-dilutive potential common shares for stock options are excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share for the periods reported above because their exercise price exceeded the average market price during the period. The anti-dilutive shares reported above also include shares from stock options that contain market conditions for vesting since the market value of the Company's stock as of May 4, 2013 and April 28, 2012 was lower than the required market condition. There were 2.8 million and 3.1 million anti-dilutive shares from stock options that contain market conditions for the three months ended May 4, 2013 and April 28, 2012, respectively.

Note 5. Derivative Financial Instruments

The Company manages some of its foreign currency exchange rate risk through the purchase of foreign currency exchange contracts that hedge against the short-term effect of currency fluctuations. The Company's policy is to enter into foreign currency forward contracts with maturities generally less than 12 months that mitigate the effect of rate fluctuations on certain local currency denominated operating expenses. All derivative instruments are recorded at fair value in either prepaid expenses and other current assets or accrued liabilities. The Company reports cash flows from derivative instruments in cash flows from operating activities. The Company uses quoted prices to value its derivative instruments.

The notional amounts of outstanding forward contracts were as follows (in thousands):

| | Buy Contracts | |
|----------------|---------------|------------------|
| | May 4, 2013 | February 2, 2013 |
| Israeli shekel | \$ 32,521 | \$ 38,249 |

Cash Flow Hedges. The Company designates and documents its foreign currency forward exchange contracts as cash flow hedges for certain operating expenses denominated in Israeli shekels. The Company evaluates and calculates the effectiveness of each hedge at least quarterly. The effective change is recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income and is subsequently reclassified to operating expense when the hedged expense is recognized. Ineffectiveness is recorded in interest and other income, net.

Other Foreign Currency Forward Contracts. The Company enters into foreign currency forward exchange contracts to hedge certain assets and liabilities denominated in various foreign currencies that it does not designate as hedges for accounting purposes. The maturities of these contracts are generally less than 12 months. Gains or losses arising from the remeasurement of these contracts to fair value each period are recorded in interest and other income, net.

The fair value of foreign currency exchange contracts was not significant as of any period presented.

Note 6. Fair Value Measurements

Fair value is an exit price representing the amount that would be received in the sale of an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. As such, fair value is a market-based measurement that should be determined based on assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or a liability. As a basis for considering such assumptions, the accounting guidance establishes a three-tier value hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs used in the valuation methodologies in measuring fair value:

Level 1 Observable inputs that reflect quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in active markets.

Level 2 Include other inputs that are directly or indirectly observable in the marketplace.

Level 3 Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity.

Edgar Filing: MARVELL TECHNOLOGY GROUP LTD - Form 10-Q

The fair value hierarchy also requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value.

The Company's Level 1 assets include institutional money-market funds that are classified as cash equivalents and marketable investments in U.S. government and agency debt, which are valued primarily using quoted market prices. The Company's Level 2 assets include its marketable investments in corporate debt securities, foreign government and agency debt, municipal debt securities and asset backed securities as the market inputs to value these instruments consist of market yields, reported trades and broker/dealer quotes, which are corroborated with observable market data. In addition, foreign currency exchange contracts are classified within Level 2 as the valuation inputs are based on quoted prices and market observable data of similar instruments. The Company's Level 3 assets include its investments in auction rate securities, which are classified within Level 3 because there are currently no active markets for the auction rate securities and consequently the Company is unable to obtain independent valuations from market sources. Therefore, the auction rate securities are valued using a discounted cash flow model. Some of the inputs to the cash flow model are unobservable in the market. The total amount of assets measured using Level 3 valuation methodologies represented 0.3% of total assets as of May 4, 2013.

Table of Contents**MARVELL TECHNOLOGY GROUP LTD.****NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The tables below set forth, by level, the Company's assets that were accounted for at fair value as of May 4, 2013 and February 2, 2013. The tables do not include assets and liabilities that are measured at historical cost or any basis other than fair value (in thousands):

| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Portion of Carrying Value Measured at Fair Value at May 4, 2013 |
|--|------------|------------|-----------|---|
| Items measured at fair value on a recurring basis: | | | | |
| Assets | | | | |
| Cash equivalents: | | | | |
| Money market funds | \$ 13,872 | \$ | \$ | \$ 13,872 |
| Time deposits | | 101,081 | | 101,081 |
| Municipal debt securities | | 6,700 | | 6,700 |
| Short-term investments: | | | | |
| U.S. government and agency debt | 330,570 | | | 330,570 |
| Corporate debt securities | | 572,447 | | 572,447 |
| Time deposits | | 138,000 | | 138,000 |
| Asset backed securities | | 76,659 | | 76,659 |
| Foreign government and agency debt | | 10,315 | | 10,315 |
| Municipal debt securities | | 10,232 | | 10,232 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets: | | | | |
| Forward contracts | | 1,030 | | 1,030 |
| Long-term investments: | | | | |
| Auction rate securities | | | 16,550 | 16,550 |
| Other non-current assets: | | | | |
| Severance pay fund | | 2,163 | | 2,163 |
| Total assets | \$ 344,442 | \$ 918,627 | \$ 16,550 | \$ 1,279,619 |

| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Portion of Carrying Value Measured at Fair Value at February 2, 2013 |
|--|-----------|---------|---------|--|
| Items measured at fair value on a recurring basis: | | | | |
| Assets | | | | |
| Cash equivalents: | | | | |
| U.S. government and agency debt | \$ 27,029 | | | \$ 27,029 |
| Money market funds | 21,523 | | | 21,523 |
| Time deposits | | 119,874 | | 119,874 |
| Short-term investments: | | | | |
| U.S. government and agency debt | 318,479 | | | 318,479 |
| Corporate debt securities | | 579,624 | | 579,624 |
| Time deposits | | 188,000 | | 188,000 |
| Asset backed securities | | 76,615 | | 76,615 |
| Foreign government and agency debt | | 4,319 | | 4,319 |

Edgar Filing: MARVELL TECHNOLOGY GROUP LTD - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|------------|------------|-----------|--------------|
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets: | | | | |
| Forward contracts | | 1,144 | | 1,144 |
| Long-term investments: | | | | |
| Auction rate securities | | | 16,769 | 16,769 |
| Other non-current assets: | | | | |
| Severance pay fund | | 1,977 | | 1,977 |
| Total assets | \$ 367,031 | \$ 971,553 | \$ 16,769 | \$ 1,355,353 |

Table of Contents**MARVELL TECHNOLOGY GROUP LTD.****NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The following table summarizes the change in fair value for Level 3 items (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|----------------|
| | May 4, 2013 | April 28, 2012 |
| Beginning balance | \$ 16,769 | \$ 23,215 |
| Sales and redemptions | (300) | |
| Unrealized gains included in accumulated other comprehensive income | 81 | |
| Ending balance | \$ 16,550 | \$ 23,215 |

Note 7. Goodwill and Acquired Intangible Assets, Net**Goodwill**

The following table summarizes the activity related to the carrying value of goodwill (in thousands):

| | |
|--|--------------|
| Balance at February 2, 2013 | \$ 2,032,138 |
| Additions due to business combinations | 400 |
| Balance at May 4, 2013 | \$ 2,032,538 |

Acquired Intangible Assets, Net

The carrying amount of acquired intangible assets are as follows (in thousands):

| | Range of Useful Lives | Gross Carrying Amounts | May 4, 2013 Accumulated Amortization and Write-Offs | Net Carrying Amount | Gross Carrying Amounts | February 2, 2013 Accumulated Amortization and Write-Offs | Net Carrying Amount |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|---|---------------------|------------------------|--|---------------------|
| Purchased technology | 4 -7 years | \$ 54,690 | \$ (20,835) | \$ 33,855 | \$ 54,690 | \$ (18,552) | \$ 36,138 |
| Core technology | 5 -8 years | 138,650 | (128,130) | 10,520 | 138,650 | (123,298) | 15,352 |
| Trade names | 5 years | 1,300 | (373) | 927 | 1,300 | (308) | 992 |
| Customer intangibles | 5 -7 years | 89,400 | (65,433) | 23,967 | 89,400 | (61,927) | 27,473 |
| IPR&D | * | 13,086 | | 13,086 | 9,700 | | 9,700 |
| Total intangible assets, net | | \$ 297,126 | \$ (214,771) | \$ 82,355 | \$ 293,740 | \$ (204,085) | \$ 89,655 |

*

Edgar Filing: MARVELL TECHNOLOGY GROUP LTD - Form 10-Q

Upon completion of the project, the related in-process research and development (IPR&D) assets will be amortized over its estimated useful life. If any of the projects are abandoned or the forecast of the project indicates that the fair value is less than the carrying amount, the Company will be required to write down the related IPR&D asset.

Based on the identified intangible assets recorded at May 4, 2013, the future amortization expense excluding IPR&D for the next five fiscal years is as follows (in thousands):

| Fiscal year | |
|--------------------------|-----------|
| Remainder of fiscal 2014 | \$ 25,092 |
| 2015 | 14,942 |
| 2016 | 12,203 |
| 2017 | 11,018 |
| 2018 | 5,590 |
| Thereafter | 424 |
| | \$ 69,269 |

Table of Contents

MARVELL TECHNOLOGY GROUP LTD.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 8. Income Tax

The income tax benefit for the three months ended May 4, 2013 included the current income tax liability of \$1.8 million and \$0.7 million in settlements of audits in non-U.S. jurisdictions, which was more than offset by a net reduction in unrecognized tax benefits of \$9.7 million for the three months ended May 4, 2013. The net reduction in unrecognized tax benefits primarily arose from the expiration of the statute of limitations and from the settlement of two audits in non-U.S. jurisdictions (one for \$3.5 million and the other for \$4.1 million) less an increase in current unrecognized tax benefit estimates.

The income tax provision for the three months ended April 28, 2012 included the current income tax liability of \$2.7 million, which was partially offset by a net reduction in unrecognized tax benefits of \$2.6 million for the three months ended April 28, 2012 primarily due to the expiration of the statute of limitations in non-U.S. jurisdictions less an increase in current unrecognized tax benefit estimates.

During the next 12 months, it is reasonably possible that the amount of unrecognized tax benefits could decrease significantly due to a potential settlement with tax authorities and/or the expiration of applicable statutes of limitations. However, the amount cannot be reasonably estimated as the Company could have negotiations with various tax authorities throughout the year.

Note 9. Commitments and Contingencies

Purchase Commitments

Under the Company's manufacturing relationships with its foundry partners, cancellation of all outstanding purchase orders are allowed but require payment of all costs and expenses incurred through the date of cancellation. As of May 4, 2013, these foundries had incurred approximately \$236.1 million of manufacturing costs and expenses relating to the Company's outstanding purchase orders.

Intellectual Property Indemnification

The Company has agreed to indemnify certain customers for claims made against the Company's products, where such claims allege infringement of third party intellectual property rights, including, but not limited to, patents, registered trademarks, and/or copyrights. Under the aforementioned indemnification clauses, the Company may be obligated to defend the customer and pay for the damages awarded against the customer under an infringement claim as well as the customer's attorneys' fees and costs. The Company's indemnification obligations generally do not expire after termination or expiration of the agreement containing the indemnification obligation. Generally, there are limits on and exceptions to the Company's potential liability for indemnification. Although historically the Company has not made significant payments under these indemnification obligations, the Company cannot estimate the amount of potential future payments, if any, that it might be required to make as a result of these agreements. The maximum potential amount of any future payments that the Company could be required to make under these indemnification obligations could be significant.

Contingencies

The Company and certain of its subsidiaries are currently a party to various legal proceedings, including those noted in this section. The legal proceedings and claims described below could result in substantial costs and could divert the attention and resources of the Company's management. Litigation is subject to inherent uncertainties and unfavorable rulings could occur. An unfavorable ruling in litigation could require the Company to pay damages, one-time license fees or ongoing royalty payments, and could prevent the Company from manufacturing or selling some of its products or limit or restrict the type of work that employees involved in such litigation may perform for the Company, any of which could adversely affect financial results in future periods. The Company believes that its products do not infringe valid and enforceable claims and it will continue to conduct a vigorous defense in these proceedings. However, there can be no assurance that these matters will be resolved in a manner that is not adverse to the Company's business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

The Company recorded an accrual of \$5.7 million in fiscal 2013 related to an ongoing litigation matter. The amount recorded does not relate to the litigation with Carnegie Mellon University (CMU). Other than the matter for which the Company has recorded an accrual of \$5.7 million,

Edgar Filing: MARVELL TECHNOLOGY GROUP LTD - Form 10-Q

the Company has not recorded an accrual for contingent losses associated with these matters based on its belief that losses, while reasonably possible, are not probable. Unless otherwise stated, the Company is currently unable to predict the final outcome of these lawsuits and therefore cannot determine the likelihood of loss nor estimate a range of possible loss.

Carnegie Mellon Litigation. On March 6, 2009, CMU filed a complaint in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania naming Marvell Semiconductor, Inc. (MSI) and the Company as defendants and alleging patent infringement. CMU has asserted U.S. Patent Nos. 6,201,839 and 6,438,180 (collectively, the CMU patents in suit), which relate to read-channel integrated

Table of Contents**MARVELL TECHNOLOGY GROUP LTD.****NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

circuit devices and the HDD products incorporating such devices. A jury trial began on November 26, 2012. On December 26, 2012, a jury delivered a verdict that found the CMU patents in suit were literally and willfully infringed and valid, and awarded past damages in the amount of \$1.17 billion. Due to the finding of willfulness, during post trial proceedings the judge could enhance the damages by an amount up to triple the damages awarded by the jury at trial. In addition, CMU has disclosed in its post trial motions that it is seeking pre-judgment interest up to \$322 million, post-judgment interest, attorneys' fees, and an injunction or ongoing royalties. Post-trial motions were heard on May 1 and 2, 2013, and the Company expects the District Court to issue its final judgment some time in the next few months.

The Company and MSI believe that the evidence and the law do not support the jury's findings of infringement, validity and the award of damages and do not believe a material loss is probable. The Company believes that there are strong grounds for appeal and the Company and MSI will seek to overturn the verdict in post trial motions before the District Court and, if necessary, to appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit in Washington, D.C. The Company and MSI intend to vigorously challenge the judgment through all appropriate post trial motions and appeal processes. In addition, the District Court in Pittsburgh has yet to rule on certain of the Company's defenses and motions, including laches and the Company's renewed request for a mistrial. The Company believes the low end of the possible range of loss is zero, but it cannot reasonably estimate the upper range of the possible loss, as a number of factors (including finalization of the post-trial motions at the District Court) could significantly change the assessment of damages.

Jasmine Networks Litigation. On September 12, 2001, Jasmine Networks, Inc. (Jasmine) filed a lawsuit in the Santa Clara County Superior Court alleging claims against MSI and three of its officers for allegedly improperly obtaining and using information and technologies during the course of the negotiations with its personnel regarding the potential acquisition of certain Jasmine assets by MSI.

The case proceeded to trial on September 20, 2010. On November 24, 2010, a Santa Clara County jury returned a verdict in favor of MSI on all claims. On January 7, 2011, the court entered judgment in MSI's favor. Pursuant to California Civil Procedure provisions, Jasmine filed motions for a new trial and for a judgment notwithstanding the verdict. These motions were heard by the court on February 25, 2011 and denied in written orders. Jasmine has appealed and MSI is contesting the appeal vigorously. Oral arguments on the appeal are scheduled to be heard on June 18, 2013.

USEI Litigation. On October 9, 2009, U.S. Ethernet Innovations, LLC (USEI) filed a complaint in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, in which USEI has accused a number of system manufacturers, including the Company's customers, of patent infringement (the USEI litigation). Specifically, USEI has asserted that these customers infringe U.S. Patent Nos. 5,307,459, 5,434,872, 5,732,094 and 5,299,313 (collectively, the USEI patents in suit), which relate to Ethernet technologies. The complaint seeks unspecified damages and an injunction.

On May 4, 2010, MSI filed a motion to intervene in the USEI litigation, which was granted on May 19, 2010. On July 13, 2010, the District Court issued an order granting the defendants' motion to transfer the action to the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California; the case was formally transferred on August 23, 2010. On September 14, 2011, USEI withdrew its allegations against MSI for the 459 patent. On October 21, 2011, the court conducted a claim construction hearing, and issued a ruling on January 31, 2012. A supplemental claim construction hearing was held on May 3, 2012, and a ruling was issued on August 29, 2012. Trial is scheduled for January 5, 2015.

Lake Cherokee Patent Litigation. On June 30, 2010, Lake Cherokee Hard Drive Technologies, L.L.C. filed a complaint in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas. The complaint alleges infringement of U.S. Patent Nos. 5,844,738 and 5,978,162 (collectively, the Lake Cherokee patents in suit). The Lake Cherokee patents in suit relate to read-channel integrated circuit devices, and to certain HDD products incorporating such devices. MSI filed its answer and counterclaims to the complaint on September 13, 2010. Defendants filed a motion to transfer on April 1, 2011, which was denied on February 13, 2012. Lake Cherokee filed an amended complaint on April 21, 2011. MSI filed its answer and counterclaims to the amended complaint on May 9, 2011. A claim construction hearing was held on May 14, 2012, and the claim construction ruling was issued on August 6, 2012. In pre-trial proceedings, Lake Cherokee has alleged that it is entitled to damages in the amount of approximately \$689 million as a result of the alleged infringement. MSI strongly disputes its liability and the damages claimed by Lake Cherokee. On May 14, 2013, MSI filed a motion for partial summary judgment related to Lake Cherokee's asserted damages and both parties filed motions to strike opinions and testimony of certain expert witnesses. Trial is set for August 5, 2013.

APT Patent Litigations. On January 18, 2011, Advanced Processor Technologies, LLC (APT), a subsidiary of Acacia Research Corp., filed a complaint in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas. The complaint names MSI and eight other defendants and alleges

Edgar Filing: MARVELL TECHNOLOGY GROUP LTD - Form 10-Q

infringement of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,047,354 (354 patent) and 5,796,978 (978 patent). The asserted patents relate to microprocessor technologies. The complaint seeks unspecified damages and a permanent injunction. A first amended complaint was filed on January 26, 2011. The first amended complaint continues to assert the 354 patent against MSI, but appears to no longer assert the 978 patent against MSI. MSI filed its answer and counterclaims on April 15, 2011. A claim construction hearing was held on January 29,

Table of Contents**MARVELL TECHNOLOGY GROUP LTD.****NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

2013. On March 23, 2012, APT filed a separate complaint in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas. The complaint alleges that MSI infringes U.S. Patent Nos. 6,092,172 and 6,629,207. The asserted patents relate to microprocessor technologies. The complaint seeks unspecified damages and a permanent injunction. MSI filed its answer and counterclaims on May 25, 2012. In both cases, ARM filed a motion to intervene on July 23, 2012, which was granted on January 7, 2013, and a motion to transfer on July 27, 2012, which was denied on March 20, 2013. On January 30, 2013, the Court consolidated these two cases, as well as several other cases that APT had filed against various defendants, into a single case. In April 2013, the parties reached an agreement to dismiss all claims and counterclaims, and expect to have the cases dismissed shortly. The resolution will not have a significant impact on the Company's financial statements.

MOSAID Litigation. On March 16, 2011, MOSAID Technologies Inc. filed suit in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas against MSI and 16 other companies. The complaint alleges that defendants' products, which operate in compliance with various IEEE 802.11 standards, infringe the six asserted patents (U.S. Patent Nos. 5,131,006; 5,151,920; 5,422,887; 5,706,428; 6,563,768; 6,992,972). MSI filed its answer and counterclaims on June 9, 2011. On March 28, 2012, MSI and other defendants filed a motion to transfer, which was denied. A claim construction hearing was held on April 16, 2013 and a claim construction ruling was issued by the magistrate judge on April 22, 2013. On June 3, 2013, the District Court issued an order denying plaintiff's motion for reconsideration and adopted the magistrate's claim construction ruling. Trial is set for January 8, 2014.

Azure Networks Litigation. On March 22, 2011, Azure Networks, LLC and Tri-County Excelsior Foundation filed suit in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas against MSI and eight other companies. The Complaint asserts U.S. Patent No. 7,756,129 against MSI's Bluetooth products. MSI filed its answer and counterclaims on July 20, 2011. On November 2, 2012, defendants filed a motion for summary judgment of invalidity, which was denied. A claim construction hearing was held on December 20, 2012. On January 15, 2013, the magistrate judge issued a claim construction ruling. On May 20, 2013, the District Court issued an order denying plaintiff's motion for reconsideration and adopted the magistrate judge's claim construction ruling. On May 30, 2013, the District Court entered a judgment of non-infringement.

Power Management Systems Litigation. On August 22, 2011, Power Management Systems LLC (PMS), a subsidiary of Acacia Research Corp., filed a complaint against the Company's subsidiary Marvell Semiconductor, Ltd. (MSL) and other defendants, in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware. The complaint asserts U.S. Patent No. 5,504,909, which relates to a power management apparatus, against various products. The complaint seeks unspecified damages. On October 17, 2011, PMS amended its complaint by substituting MSL with MSI. MSI filed its answer and counterclaims on November 4, 2011. A claim construction hearing was held on January 18, 2013, and a ruling was issued on May 30, 2013.

HSM/TPL Litigation. On September 1, 2011, HSM Portfolio, LLC and Technology Properties Limited, LLC filed a complaint against the Company and MSL in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware. The complaint also named numerous other defendants. The complaint asserts U.S. Patent No. 5,030,853, relating to high speed logic and memory circuitry, against various products. The complaint seeks unspecified damages. On January 9, 2012, HSM/TPL filed an amended complaint adding MSI to the case. On February 15, 2012, the Company and MSL were dismissed from the case. On July 18, 2012, HSM filed a second amended complaint against MSI, and MSI filed its answer and counterclaims on August 13, 2012. A claim construction hearing is scheduled for November 25, 2013, and trial is scheduled to commence on January 25, 2015.

France Telecom Litigation. On June 26, 2012, France Telecom S.A. (France Telecom) filed a complaint against MSI in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. The complaint asserts U.S. Patent No 5,446,747 (the 747 patent) against MSI's communications processors and thin modems. The complaint seeks unspecified damages as well as injunctive relief. MSI answered the complaint on July 18, 2012 and August 1, 2012. On July 30, 2012, MSI filed a motion to transfer the lawsuit to the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California. On September 17, 2012, the Court granted MSI's motion and transferred the case to the Northern District of California. A claim construction hearing is scheduled for July 24, 2013, and a trial is scheduled for May 12, 2014.

Freescale Litigation. On July 6, 2012, Freescale Semiconductor, Inc. (Freescale) filed a complaint against MSI in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Texas. The complaint asserts U.S. Patent Nos. 6,920,316, 5,825,640, 5,943,274, 5,467,455 and 7,927,927 (collectively, the Freescale patents in suit) against certain of the Company's integrated circuits and/or chipsets. The complaint seeks unspecified damages and a permanent injunction. Freescale filed an amended complaint on January 14, 2013, and withdrew its assertion of U.S. Patent No. 5,825,640; MSI filed its answer to the amended complaint on February 4, 2013. This case has been consolidated for pre-trial purposes with nine other pending cases. A claim construction hearing is scheduled for September 9, 2013. No trial date has been set.

Table of Contents**MARVELL TECHNOLOGY GROUP LTD.****NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

On January 4, 2013, three of the Company's subsidiaries, MSI, Marvell International Ltd. and Marvell World Trade Ltd., filed a complaint against Freescale in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Texas, and filed an amended complaint on January 14, 2013. The amended complaint alleges that Freescale infringes U.S. Patents Nos. 6,903,448 and 7,379,718. A claim construction hearing is scheduled for December 13, 2013. No trial date has been set.

Indemnities, Commitments and Guarantees

During its normal course of business, the Company has made certain indemnities, commitments and guarantees under which it may be required to make payments in relation to certain transactions. These indemnities may include intellectual property indemnities to the Company's customers in connection with the sales of its products, indemnities for liabilities associated with the infringement of other parties' technology based upon the Company's products, indemnities for general commercial obligations, indemnities to various lessors in connection with facility leases for certain claims arising from such facility or lease, and indemnities to directors and officers of the Company to the maximum extent permitted under the laws of Bermuda. In addition, the Company has contractual commitments to various customers, which could require the Company to incur costs to repair an epidemic defect with respect to its products outside of the normal warranty period if such defect were to occur. The duration of these indemnities, commitments and guarantees varies, and in certain cases, is indefinite. Some of these indemnities, commitments and guarantees do not provide for any limitation of the maximum potential future payments that the Company could be obligated to make. In general, the Company does not record any liability for these indemnities, commitments and guarantees in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets as the amounts cannot be reasonably estimated and are not considered probable. The Company does, however, accrue for losses for any known contingent liability, including those that may arise from indemnification provisions, when future payment is probable.

Note 10. Shareholders' Equity**Stock Plans**

Activity under the Company's stock option plans for the three months ended May 4, 2013 is summarized below (in thousands, except per share amounts):

| | Time-Based Options | | Market-Based Options | | Total | |
|---|--------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|
| | Number of Shares | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Number of Shares | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Number of Shares | Weighted Average Exercise Price |
| Balance at February 2, 2013 | 49,637 | \$ 13.28 | 2,759 | \$ 15.43 | 52,396 | \$ 13.39 |
| Granted | 17,903 | \$ 10.75 | | \$ | 17,903 | \$ 10.75 |
| Exercised | (3,039) | \$ 6.52 | | \$ | (3,039) | \$ 6.52 |
| Canceled/Forfeited | (514) | \$ 16.07 | | \$ | (514) | \$ 16.07 |
| Balance at May 4, 2013 | 63,987 | \$ 12.87 | 2,759 | \$ 15.43 | 66,746 | \$ 12.98 |
| Vested or expected to vest at May 4, 2013 | 59,359 | \$ 12.98 | | | | |
| Exercisable at May 4, 2013 | 38,591 | \$ 13.53 | | | | |

For time-based stock options vested and expected to vest at May 4, 2013, the aggregate intrinsic value was \$33.0 million and the weighted average remaining contractual term was 5.7 years. For time-based stock options exercisable at May 4, 2013, the aggregate intrinsic value was \$31.3 million and the weighted average remaining contractual term was 3.7 years. The aggregate intrinsic value of stock options exercised during the three months ended May 4, 2013 and April 28, 2012 was \$11.1 million and \$18.3 million, respectively. There was no aggregate intrinsic value for market-based stock options at May 4, 2013 and the weighted average remaining contractual term of market-based stock

Edgar Filing: MARVELL TECHNOLOGY GROUP LTD - Form 10-Q

options vested and expected to reach the end of the vesting period at May 4, 2013 was 8.0 years. The Company's closing stock price of \$10.71 as reported on the NASDAQ Global Select Market for all in-the-money options as of May 3, 2013 was used to calculate the aggregate intrinsic value.

As of May 4, 2013, the unamortized compensation expense for time-based stock options was \$78.5 million and market-based stock options was \$3.3 million. The unamortized compensation expense for time-based and market-based stock options will be amortized on a straight-line basis and is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 3.5 years and 0.6 years, respectively.

Table of Contents**MARVELL TECHNOLOGY GROUP LTD.****NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Included in the following table is activity related to the non-vested portion of the restricted stock units as follows (in thousands, except for share prices):

| | Restricted Stock Units Outstanding | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value |
|-------------------------------|---|---|
| Balance at February 2, 2013 | 12,739 | \$ 15.78 |
| Granted | 2,696 | \$ 10.42 |
| Vested | (3,325) | \$ 16.68 |
| Canceled/Forfeited | (243) | \$ 15.56 |
| Balance at May 4, 2013 | 11,867 | \$ 14.32 |

The aggregate intrinsic value of restricted stock units expected to vest as of May 4, 2013 was \$112.7 million. The number of restricted stock units that are expected to vest is 10.5 million shares.

As of May 4, 2013, compensation costs related to restricted stock units not yet recognized amounted to \$140.5 million. The unamortized compensation expense for restricted stock units will be amortized on a straight-line basis and is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.3 years.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan

During the three months ended May 4, 2013 and April 28, 2012, the Company issued no shares under the 2000 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, as amended and restated (the ESPP). As of May 4, 2013, there was \$51.3 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to the ESPP.

Share Repurchase Program

The Company repurchased 19.9 million of its common shares for \$200.2 million in cash during the three months ended May 4, 2013 and 14.6 million of its common shares for \$223.2 million in cash during the three months ended April 28, 2012. The repurchased shares were retired immediately after the repurchases were completed. The Company records all repurchases, as well as investment purchases and sales, based on trade date. Approximately \$5.6 million of repurchases during the three months ended May 4, 2013 was made in the final three days of the fiscal quarter and is included in accrued liabilities in the condensed consolidated balance sheet due to the standard three-day settlement period. As of May 4, 2013, a total of 203.6 million cumulative shares have been repurchased under the Company's share repurchase program for a total \$2.6 billion in cash and there was \$412.4 million remaining available for future share repurchases.

Subsequent to the end of the quarter through May 30, 2013, the Company repurchased an additional 2.1 million of its common shares for \$23.3 million at an average price per share of \$11.11.

Dividend Program

In May 2012, the Company announced the initiation of paying its first quarterly dividend of \$0.06 per share. Future payment of a regular quarterly cash dividend on the Company's common shares will be subject to, among other things, the best interests of its shareholders, the Company's results of operations, cash balances and future cash requirements, financial condition, statutory requirements of Bermuda law and other factors that the Company's board of directors may deem relevant. Cash dividends of \$0.06 per share were paid for a total of \$30.3 million in the three months ended May 4, 2013.

Edgar Filing: MARVELL TECHNOLOGY GROUP LTD - Form 10-Q

On May 23, 2013, the Company announced that its board of directors declared a cash dividend of \$0.06 per share to be paid on July 3, 2013 to shareholders of record as of June 13, 2013.

Table of Contents**MARVELL TECHNOLOGY GROUP LTD.****NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 11. Share-Based Compensation**

The following table presents details of share-based compensation expenses by functional line item (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| | May 4, 2013 | April 28, 2012 |
| Cost of goods sold | \$ 1,867 | \$ 2,123 |
| Research and development | 23,279 | 17,174 |
| Selling and marketing | 3,392 | 3,036 |
| General and administrative | 4,975 | 4,859 |
| | \$ 33,513 | \$ 27,192 |

Share-based compensation capitalized in inventory was \$1.6 million at May 4, 2013 and \$1.5 million at February 2, 2013.

Valuation Assumptions

The following weighted average assumptions were used for each respective period to calculate the fair value of each time-based stock option award on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes valuation model:

| | Three Months Ended | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| | May 4, 2013 | April 28, 2012 |
| Time-based Stock Options: | | |
| Weighted average fair value | \$ 3.41 | \$ 5.84 |
| Expected volatility | 45% | 44% |
| Expected term (in years) | 5.0 | 4.8 |
| Risk-free interest rate | 0.8% | 0.9% |
| Expected dividend yield | 2.4% | |

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act), and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), which are subject to the safe harbor created by those sections. These statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors, which may cause our actual results to differ materially from those implied by the forward-looking statements. Words such as anticipates, expects, intends, plans, believes, seeks, estimates, can, and similar expressions identify such forward-looking statements. We make a number of forward-looking statements that relate to future periods and include statements relating to our anticipation that the rate of new orders and shipments may vary significantly from quarter to quarter; our expectations regarding industry trends; our expectations regarding our inventory levels; our expectations regarding the amount of our future sales in Asia; our expectations regarding competition; our expectations relating to the protection of our intellectual property; our expectations regarding the amount of customer concentration in the future; our plans and expectations regarding our auction rate securities; our expectations regarding acquisitions, investments, strategic alliances and joint ventures; our expectations regarding net revenue, cost of goods sold as a percentage of revenue and operating expenses for the second quarter ending July 3, 2013 compared with the first quarter ended May 4, 2013; our expectations regarding the growth of solid state drive revenue; our expectations regarding the impact of legal proceedings and claims; our ability to meet our capital needs for at least the next 12 months; our ability to attract and retain highly skilled personnel; our expectations regarding future growth opportunities; our plan regarding forward exchange contracts and the effect of foreign exchange rates; our expectations regarding unrecognized tax benefits; the effect of recent accounting pronouncements and changes in taxation rules; our expectation regarding the effectiveness of our hedges of foreign currency exposures; our expectations that quarterly operating results will fluctuate from quarter to quarter; our expectations regarding the current economic environment; our expectations regarding arrangements with suppliers; our expectations regarding our ability to develop and introduce new products and achieve market acceptance of our products; our expectations regarding pricing; our expectations regarding demand for our products and the impact of seasonality on demand; our expectations regarding defects; our expectations regarding the implementation and improvement of operational and financial systems, as well as the implementation of additional procedures and other internal management systems; our expectations regarding gross margin and the events that may cause gross margin to fluctuate; our expectations to transition our semiconductor products to increasingly smaller line width geometries; our expectations regarding the portion of our operations and sales outside of the United States; our expectations regarding the adequacy of our internal control over financial reporting; our expectations regarding future impairment review of our goodwill and intangible assets; and the anticipated features and benefits of our technology solutions. These forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those indicated in the forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those predicted, include but are not limited to, our dependence upon the hard disk drive and mobile and wireless markets, which are highly cyclical and intensely competitive; our ability and the ability of our customers to successfully compete in the markets in which we serve; our dependence on a small number of customers; the outcome of pending or future litigation and legal proceedings; the impact of international conflict and continued economic volatility in either domestic or foreign markets; our ability to scale our operations in response to changes in demand for existing or new products and services; our maintenance of an effective system of internal controls; our ability and our customers' ability to develop new and enhanced products; our success in integrating businesses we acquire and the impact such acquisitions may have on our operating results; our ability to estimate customer demand and future sales accurately; the success of our strategic relationships; our reliance on independent foundries and subcontractors for the manufacture, assembly and testing of our products; our ability to manage future growth; the development and evolution of markets for our integrated circuits; our ability to protect our intellectual property; the impact of any change in our application of the United States federal income tax laws and the loss of any beneficial tax treatment that we currently enjoy; the impact of changes in international financial and regulatory conditions; and the impact of lengthy and expensive product sales cycles. Additional factors which could cause actual results to differ materially include those set forth in the following discussion, as well as the risks discussed in Part II, Item 1A, Risk Factors, and other sections of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. These forward-looking statements speak only as of the date hereof. Unless required by law, we undertake no obligation to update any forward-looking statements.

Overview

We are a leading global semiconductor provider of high-performance application-specific standard products. Our core strength of expertise is the development of complex SoC devices, leveraging our extensive technology portfolio of intellectual property in the areas of analog, mixed-signal, digital signal processing, and embedded ARM-based microprocessor integrated circuits. We also develop platforms that we define as integrated hardware along with software that incorporates digital computing technologies designed and configured to provide an optimized computing solution compared to individual components. Our broad product portfolio includes devices for data storage, enterprise-class Ethernet data switching, Ethernet PHY, mobile handsets and other consumer electronics, wireless networking, personal area networking, Ethernet-based PC connectivity, control plane communications controllers, video-image processing and power management solutions. Our products serve diverse applications used in carrier, metropolitan, enterprise and PC-client data communications and storage systems. Additionally, we serve the consumer electronics market for the convergence of voice, video and data applications. We are a fabless integrated circuit company, which means that we rely on independent, third party contractors to perform manufacturing, assembly and test functions. This approach allows us to focus on designing, developing and marketing our products and significantly reduces the amount of capital we need to invest in manufacturing products.

Table of Contents

A significant number of our products are being incorporated into consumer electronics products, including gaming devices and personal computers, which are subject to significant seasonality and fluctuations in demand. Holiday and back to school buying trends may at times negatively impact our results in the first and fourth quarter and positively impact our results in the second and third quarter of our fiscal years.

A relatively large portion of our sales have historically been made on the basis of purchase orders rather than long-term agreements. In addition, the sales cycle for our products is long, which may cause us to experience a delay between the time we incur expenses and the time revenue is generated from these expenditures. We anticipate that the rate of new orders may vary significantly from quarter to quarter. Consequently, if anticipated sales and shipments in any quarter do not occur when expected, expenses and inventory levels could be disproportionately high, and our operating results for that quarter and future quarters may be adversely affected.

In this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, we refer to the fiscal year ended February 2, 2008 as fiscal 2008, the fiscal year ended January 31, 2009 as fiscal 2009, the fiscal year ended January 30, 2010 as fiscal 2010, the fiscal year ended January 29, 2011 as fiscal 2011, the fiscal year ended January 28, 2012 as fiscal 2012, the fiscal year ended February 2, 2013 as fiscal 2013 and fiscal year ending February 1, 2014 as fiscal 2014.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (GAAP) requires management to make estimates, judgments and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. Actual results could differ from these estimates, and such differences could affect the results of operations reported in future periods. For a description of our critical accounting policies and estimates, please refer to the Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates section of our Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended February 2, 2013.

Results of Operations

Net revenue for the three months ended May 4, 2013 were lower by nearly 8% compared to the three months ended April 28, 2012 mostly driven by the decline in revenue in the mobile and wireless end markets. Our single core products sold into the TD-SCDMA market in China, which ramped up in the same quarter a year ago, reached a low in the first quarter of fiscal 2014 before the ramp of our dual core solutions for that market. We also continued to see weaker shipments by a North American handset customer of their previous generation of smartphones which incorporate our technology due to the successful launch of their new generation devices. However, revenue from our storage business grew as we continue to gain share in the market for HDD and SSD products. Net income for the three months ended May 4, 2013 was \$53.2 million, or \$0.11 per share, compared to net income of \$94.5 million, or \$0.16 per share during the three months ended April 28, 2012.

Although our operating results for the first quarter of fiscal 2014 were lower than the corresponding quarter of fiscal 2013, the results were at the high end of our expectations. We are starting to see the benefit of our investments and key initiatives across our end markets to produce tangible results and we believe we are well positioned for future growth.

In the mobile market, we believe we have reached a trough in this business as we continue to make strong progress with our integrated 3G and 4G platforms at multiple top tier OEMs. One of these leading Asian OEMs recently launched a new smartphone and is in production for a tablet design based on our dual-core platform. We believe that additional devices will be launched by this OEM and other top tier OEMs in the coming quarters. In addition to our dual-core devices, we are also seeing faster adoption for our recently introduced quad-core 3G platform as we have begun initial shipments. Recently, we announced a quad-core 5-mode Category 4 LTE single-chip solution targeted for the mainstream 4G market. Our LTE solution has received certification in China and we expect to achieve certification in North America this year. We expect at least one leading handset OEM to bring to market a 4G LTE smartphone using our solution this year.

In wireless connectivity, we continue to see increased traction for our 2x2 combo solution for 802.11n and 802.11ac, where we have won multiple designs in tablets, ultrabooks, gaming and video platforms. Our combo solutions support multiple operating systems, which we believe will lead us to increased opportunities in the tablet and ultrabook markets. In gaming, we expect to benefit from multiple new consoles that are scheduled to launch this year. We also continue to see significant traction for our 4x4 solutions as global enterprise carriers are realizing this design is the necessary architecture for HD video distribution. Looking forward, we anticipate seasonal increases in wireless connectivity during our second and third quarters as our OEM customers begin to ramp-up production activity for the holiday season.

In the storage market, we believe that storage needs for consumers and enterprises will continue to rise as the amount of data consumed increases. This has led us to steadily invest in advanced storage technologies, many of which are still years from commercialization. We have gained market share within HDDs as we have seen strong growth for our 500 gigabyte per platter products. Within our SSD market, revenue continues to grow and our strategy of partnering with top tier OEMs is resulting in

Table of Contents

excellent traction for our advanced SSD solutions. We are also leveraging our technology leadership in HDD and SSD to help our customer migrate to hybrid storage devices.

In the networking market, we continue to outperform the market with new solutions for the data center, enterprise and infrastructure networking markets. We recently introduced new 10G and 40G physical layer devices that offer high-performance and strong security features for our customers, as well as a new family of Pretera packet processors that are capable of processing data up to 1.28 terabits per second. We are also collaborating with leading software companies that provide services for mobile backhaul, carrier Ethernet and data center networks. The combination of our high-performance networking solutions and advanced software capabilities is being well received by our customers and is well suited for emerging software defined networks of the future. We believe our investments in these new areas of growth will enable us to continue to outperform our networking peers. Our financial position is strong and we also remain committed to deliver shareholder value through our share repurchase and dividend programs.

Our cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments were \$1.7 billion at May 4, 2013 and we generated cash flow from operations of \$83.9 million during the first quarter of fiscal 2014.

We paid a cash dividend of \$0.06 per share for a total of \$30.3 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2014 and we recently announced another dividend of \$0.06 per share to be paid during the second quarter of fiscal 2014.

We repurchased a total of 19.9 million of our common shares for \$200.2 million in cash during the first quarter of fiscal 2014. We are currently involved in a patent litigation action with Carnegie Mellon University (CMU) (See Risk Factors under Part II, Item 1A of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and Note 9 Commitments and Contingencies in the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for a further discussion of the risks associated with this matter and other patent litigation matters). We strongly believe that we do not infringe on the methods described in the CMU patents and that our products use our own internally developed patented read channel technology. As a result, we have filed multiple post trial motions to overturn the jury verdict and if necessary, we will go through the appeal process.

The following table sets forth information derived from our unaudited condensed consolidated statements of operations expressed as a percentage of net revenue:

| | Three Months Ended | |
|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| | May 4, 2013 | April 28, 2012 |
| Net revenue | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Operating costs and expenses: | | |
| Cost of goods sold | 45.7 | 46.0 |
| Research and development | 38.0 | 32.1 |
| Selling and marketing | 5.4 | 5.0 |
| General and administrative | 3.6 | 3.3 |
| Amortization and write-off of acquired intangible assets | 1.5 | 1.8 |
| Total operating costs and expenses | 94.2 | 88.2 |
| Operating income | 5.8 | 11.8 |
| Interest and other income (expense), net | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| Income before income taxes | 6.2 | 11.9 |
| Provision for income taxes | (1.0) | 0.0 |
| Net income | 7.2% | 11.9% |

Table of Contents**Three months Ended May 4, 2013 and April 28, 2012***Net Revenue*

| | Three Months Ended | | |
|-------------|--|---------------------------|---------------------|
| | May 4, 2013 | April 28, 2012 | % Change |
| | (in thousands, except percentage) | | |
| Net revenue | \$ 734,369 | \$ 796,351 | (7.8)% |

Net revenue for the three months ended May 4, 2013 declined 7.8% compared to the three months ended April 28, 2012 primarily due to continued declines in revenue for our products in the mobile and wireless end market, which was partially offset by an increase in revenue from our storage end market. In the mobile market, we experienced lower demand for our products for the TD handset market in China as we continue to transition to multi-core processors and declining revenue from our leading North American handset customer. In our storage market, we saw higher HDD revenue, which was mostly driven by strong growth for our 500 gigabyte per platter products and higher revenue for our SSD products.

We currently expect overall net revenue for the three months ending August 3, 2013 to be in the range of \$770 million to \$810 million due to seasonal increases in sales of wireless products and the ramp-up of seasonal new mobile programs.

Historically, a relatively small number of customers have accounted for a significant portion of our net revenue. We had two end customers who each represented greater than 10% of our total net revenue for the three months ended May 4, 2013. Combined revenue from these two customers was 39% of total net revenue for the three months ended May 4, 2013. No distributors accounted for more than 10% of our net revenue for the three months ended May 4, 2013. We had two end customers who each represented greater than 10% of our total net revenue for the three months ended April 28, 2012. Combined revenue from these two customers was 33% of total net revenue for the three months ended April 28, 2012. In addition, we had one distributor who accounted for more than 10% of our net revenue for the three months ended April 28, 2012.

We expect to continue to experience similar customer concentration in future periods and most of our sales are expected to continue to be made to customers located outside of the United States, primarily in Asia. Sales to customers located in Asia represented 93% of our net revenue for the three months ended May 4, 2013 compared to 88% of our net revenue for the three months ended April 28, 2012. Because many manufacturers and manufacturing subcontractors of our customers are located in Asia, we expect that most of our net revenue will continue to be represented by sales to our customers in that region.

Cost of Goods Sold

| | Three Months Ended | | |
|--------------------|--|---------------------------|---------------------|
| | May 4, 2013 | April 28, 2012 | % Change |
| | (in thousands, except percentage) | | |
| Cost of goods sold | \$ 335,438 | \$ 366,322 | (8.4)% |
| % of net revenue | 45.7% | 46.0% | |

Cost of goods sold as a percentage of net revenue was slightly lower for the three months ended May 4, 2013 compared to the three months ended April 28, 2012. The impact of lower commodity costs was mostly offset by higher manufacturing period costs as we ramp new product designs. Commodities costs were lower mainly due to our continued efforts to replace the use of gold in our products with copper, along with the drop in gold prices. Our cost of goods sold as a percentage of net revenue may fluctuate in future periods due to, among other things, changes in the mix of products sold; the timing of production ramps of new products; increased pricing pressures from our customers and competitors, particularly in the consumer product markets that we are targeting; charges for obsolete or potentially excess inventory; changes in the costs charged by our foundry; assembly and test subcontractors; product warranty costs; changes in commodity prices such as gold; and the margin profiles of our new product introductions.

We currently expect cost of goods sold as a percentage of net revenue for the three months ending August 3, 2013 to be slightly higher compared to the three months ended May 4, 2013.

Table of Contents*Share-Based Compensation Expense*

| | Three Months Ended | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| | May 4, 2013 | April 28, 2012 |
| | (in thousands) | |
| Cost of goods sold | \$ 1,867 | \$ 2,123 |
| Research and development | 23,279 | 17,174 |
| Selling and marketing | 3,392 | 3,036 |
| General and administrative | 4,975 | 4,859 |
| | \$ 33,513 | \$ 27,192 |

Share-based compensation expense increased by \$6.3 million for the three months ended May 4, 2013 compared to the three months ended April 28, 2012. The increase was primarily due to increased headcount in fiscal 2014, combined with higher expense related to the employee stock purchase plan. The offering price of the employee stock purchase plan was reset in June 2012 and also in December 2012 due to the decline in our stock price.

Research and Development

| | Three Months Ended | | |
|--------------------------|--|---------------------------|---------------------|
| | May 4, 2013 | April 28, 2012 | % Change |
| | (in thousands, except percentage) | | |
| Research and development | \$ 279,052 | \$ 255,970 | 9.0% |
| % of net revenue | 38.0% | 32.1% | |

Research and development expense increased for the three months ended May 4, 2013 by \$23.1 million compared to the three months ended April 28, 2012. The increase was primarily attributable to higher personnel-related costs as a result of increased headcount and higher average employee compensation, as well as higher computer-aided design tool and other engineering related supply and depreciation costs as we continue the development of advanced solutions. These increases were partially offset by lower incentive compensation as a result of lower profitability.

We currently expect that research and development expense for the three months ending August 3, 2013 will be higher than the level of expense reported for the three months ended May 4, 2013, due mainly to higher share-based compensation.

Selling and Marketing

| | Three Months Ended | | |
|-----------------------|--|---------------------------|---------------------|
| | May 4, 2013 | April 28, 2012 | % Change |
| | (in thousands, except percentage) | | |
| Selling and marketing | \$ 39,989 | \$ 40,066 | (0.2)% |
| % of net revenue | 5.4% | 5.0% | |

Selling and marketing expense for the three months ended May 4, 2013 was relatively flat compared to the three months ended April 28, 2012. Lower expenses for trade show and marketing communication activities was mostly offset by higher personnel-related expenses.

We currently expect that selling and marketing expense for the three months ending August 3, 2013 will be slightly higher than the level of expense reported for the three months ended May 4, 2013.

General and Administrative

| | Three Months Ended | | |
|----------------------------|--|---------------------------|---------------------|
| | May 4, 2013 | April 28, 2012 | % Change |
| | (in thousands, except percentage) | | |
| General and administrative | \$ 26,323 | \$ 25,705 | 2.4% |
| % of net revenue | 3.6% | 3.3% | |

General and administrative expense for the three months ended May 4, 2013 increased by \$0.6 million compared to the three months ended April 28, 2012. The increase was due primarily to higher legal expenses for ongoing litigation matters.

We currently expect that general and administrative expense for the three months ending August 3, 2013 will be slightly higher than the level of expense reported for the three months ended May 4, 2013.

Table of Contents*Amortization and Write-Off of Acquired Intangible Assets*

| | Three Months Ended | | |
|--|--|---------------------------|---------------------|
| | May 4, 2013 | April 28, 2012 | % Change |
| | (in thousands, except percentage) | | |
| Amortization and write-off of acquired intangible assets | \$ 10,686 | \$ 14,355 | (25.6)% |
| % of net revenue | 1.5% | 1.8% | |

Amortization and write-off of acquired intangible assets for the three months ended May 4, 2013 decreased by \$3.7 million compared to the three months ended April 28, 2012. The decrease was primarily due to the certain intangible assets acquired in earlier acquisitions that became fully amortized. In addition, the three months ended April 28, 2012 included a \$0.8 million write-off of in-process research and development related to an abandoned project.

Interest and Other Income, Net

| | Three Months Ended | | |
|--------------------------------|--|---------------------------|---------------------|
| | May 4, 2013 | April 28, 2012 | % Change |
| | (in thousands, except percentage) | | |
| Interest and other income, net | \$ 3,160 | \$ 1,057 | 199.0% |
| % of net revenue | 0.4% | 0.1% | |

Interest and other income, net, increased for the three months ended May 4, 2013 compared to the three months ended April 28, 2012 primarily due to the effect of the strengthening of the U.S. dollar on our foreign currency denominated tax liabilities. This was partially offset by a \$1.0 million write down to fair value of an equity investment and lower interest income in the three months ended May 4, 2013 from lower average cash and investment balances.

Provision (benefit) for Income Taxes

| | Three Months Ended | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|---------------------------|---------------------|
| | May 4, 2013 | April 28, 2012 | % Change |
| | (in thousands, except percentage) | | |
| Provision (benefit) for income taxes | \$ (7,168) | \$ 447 | (1,703.6)% |
| % of net revenue | (1.0)% | 0.0% | |

We had an income tax benefit for the three months ended May 4, 2013 that included the current income tax liability of \$1.8 million and \$0.7 million in settlements of audits in non-U.S. jurisdictions which was more than offset by net reductions in unrecognized tax benefits of \$9.7 million in the three months ended May 4, 2013. The net reduction in unrecognized tax benefits primarily arose from the expiration of the statute of limitations and from the settlement of two audits in non-U.S. jurisdictions (one for \$3.5 million and the other for \$4.1 million) less an increase in current unrecognized tax benefit estimates.

The income tax provision for the three months ended April 28, 2012 included the current income tax liability of \$2.7 million, which was partially offset by a net reduction in unrecognized tax benefits of \$2.6 million for the three months ended April 28, 2012 primarily due to the expiration of the statute of limitations in non-U.S. jurisdictions less an increase in current unrecognized tax benefit estimates.

During the next 12 months, it is reasonably possible that the amount of unrecognized tax benefits could decrease significantly due to a potential settlement with tax authorities and/or the expiration of applicable statutes of limitations. However, the amount cannot be reasonably estimated as we could have negotiations with various tax authorities throughout the year.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our principal source of liquidity as of May 4, 2013 consisted of approximately \$1.7 billion of cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments. We believe that our existing cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments, together with cash generated from operations, exercise of employee stock options and purchases under our employee stock purchase plan will be sufficient to cover our working capital needs, capital expenditures, investment requirements and commitments for at least the next 12 months. Our capital requirements will depend on many factors, including our rate of sales growth, market acceptance of our products, costs of securing access to adequate manufacturing capacity, the timing and extent of research and development projects and increases in operating expenses, which are all subject to uncertainty. In addition, we are named as defendants to several litigation actions and an unfavorable outcome in any current litigation could have a material adverse effect on our liquidity, cash flows and results of operations.

Table of Contents

Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$83.9 million for the three months ended May 4, 2013. The cash inflows from operations for the three months ended May 4, 2013 were due to \$124.9 million of net income adjusted for non-cash items, partially offset by working capital changes of \$41.0 million. The increase in working capital for the three months ended May 4, 2013 was primarily driven by an increase in accounts receivable from higher shipments toward the end of the quarter, and higher inventories due to the ramp-up of new products and anticipated demand in the subsequent period. This negative impact on working capital was partially offset by a increase in accounts payable driven by increased manufacturing spending in advance of higher expected revenue in the second quarter of fiscal 2014.

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$198.7 million for the three months ended April 28, 2012. The cash inflows from operations in the three months ended April 28, 2012 were primarily due to \$160.2 million of net income adjusted for non-cash items and a positive effect from changes in working capital.

Net Cash Provided by Investing Activities

Net cash provided by investing activities was \$0.4 million for the three months ended May 4, 2013 compared to net cash provided by investing activities of \$111.2 million for the three months ended April 28, 2012. For the three months ended May 4, 2013, net cash provided by investing activities was primarily generated from the sales and maturities of available-for-sale securities of \$335.8 million less purchases of marketable securities of \$306.8 million. In addition, we paid \$20.1 million for the purchase of property and equipment and \$5.9 million for the purchase of technology licenses.

For the three months ended April 28, 2012, net cash used in investing activities was primarily generated from the sales and maturities of available-for-sale securities of \$558.8 million less purchases of available-for-sale securities of \$421.7 million. In addition, we paid \$18.9 million for the purchase of property and equipment and \$5.0 million for a new strategic investment.

Net Cash Used in Financing Activities

Net cash used in financing activities was \$241.8 million for the three months ended May 4, 2013 compared to net cash used in financing activities of \$214.2 million for the three months ended April 28, 2012. For the three months ended May 4, 2013, net cash used in financing activities was primarily attributable to repurchases under our share repurchase program. Adjusted for repurchases made in the final three days of the quarter that are accrued but not yet paid due to the standard three-day settlement period, we paid \$216.7 million to repurchase shares during the three months ended May 4, 2013. We also made a payment of our quarterly dividends of \$30.3 million. The cash outflow was partially offset by net proceeds of \$10.4 million from the issuance of our common shares under our share-based plans less the minimum tax withholding on behalf of employees for net share settlements.

For the three months ended April 28, 2012, net cash used in financing activities was primarily attributable to repurchases under our share repurchase program of 14.6 million of our common shares in the open market for \$223.2 million. The cash outflow was partially offset by net proceeds of \$8.9 million from the issuance of common shares under our share-based plans less the minimum tax withholding paid on behalf of employees for net share settlements.

Subsequent to the end of the quarter through May 30, 2013, we repurchased an additional 2.1 million of our common shares for \$23.3 million at an average price per share of \$11.11.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of May 4, 2013, we did not have any material off-balance sheet arrangements, as defined in Item 303(a)(4)(ii) of SEC Regulation S-K.

Contractual Obligations

We presented our contractual obligations at February 2, 2013 in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year then ended. There has been no material changes outside the ordinary course of business in those obligations during three months ended May 4, 2013.

Item 3. *Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk*

Edgar Filing: MARVELL TECHNOLOGY GROUP LTD - Form 10-Q

Interest Rate Risk. Our interest rate risk relates primarily to our fixed income short-term investment portfolio as we did not have any outstanding debt as of May 4, 2013. We maintain an investment policy that requires minimum credit ratings, diversification of credit risk and limits the long-term interest rate risk by requiring maturities of generally less than five years. We invest our excess cash primarily in highly liquid debt instruments of the U.S. government and its agencies, time deposits, money market mutual funds, and corporate debt securities. These investments are classified as available-for-sale and, consequently, are recorded on our balance sheets at fair market value with their

Table of Contents

related unrealized gain or loss reflected as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income in shareholders' equity. Investments in both fixed rate and floating rate interest earning securities carry a degree of interest rate risk. Fixed rate securities may have their fair market value adversely impacted due to a rise in interest rates, while floating rate securities may produce less income than predicted if interest rates fall.

To provide an assessment of the interest rate risk associated with our investment portfolio, we performed a sensitivity analysis to determine the impact that an adverse change in interest rates would have on the value of the investment portfolio. Based on investment positions as of May 4, 2013, a hypothetical 100 basis point increase in interest rates across all maturities would result in a \$15.4 million decline in the fair market value of the portfolio. Due to our positive cash flow from operations, the relatively short-term nature of our investment portfolio and our ability to hold investments to maturity, such change in fair market value would likely not have resulted in any cash flow impact.

As of May 4, 2013, our investment portfolio included \$19.2 million in par value of auction rate securities. Beginning in February 2008, liquidity issues in the global credit markets resulted in a failure of auction rate securities, as the amount of securities submitted for sale in those auctions exceeded the amount of bids. Since this time, many of the issuers have refinanced their debt and paid down the auction rate securities which have resulted in a smaller pool of outstanding securities. Although these securities have continued to pay interest and show an improvement in the underlying collateralization, there is currently limited trading volume. To estimate the fair value of the auction rate securities, we use a discounted cash flow model based on estimated timing and amount of future interest and principal payments. In developing the cash flow model, we consider the credit quality and liquidity of the underlying securities and related issuer, the collateralization of underlying security investments and other considerations. As of May 4, 2013, the fair value of auction rate securities was \$2.6 million less than par value and recorded in long-term investments.

Based on our balance of approximately \$1.7 billion in cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments, and the fact that we continue to generate positive cash flow on a quarterly basis, we do not anticipate having to sell these securities below par value and do not have the intent to sell these auction rate securities until recovery. Since we consider the impairment to be temporary, we recorded the unrealized loss to accumulated other comprehensive income, a component of shareholders' equity.

Investment Risk. We invest in equity instruments of privately held companies for strategic purposes. We account for these investments under the cost method when we do not have the ability to exercise significant influence or control over the operations of these companies and under the equity method when we have the ability to exercise significant influence, but do not have control. Carrying value of these equity investments was \$13.2 million at May 4, 2013, and was included in other non-current assets in our balance sheets. We monitor these investments for impairment and make appropriate reductions in carrying value when an impairment is deemed to be other-than-temporary.

Commodity Price Risk. We are subject to risk from fluctuating market prices of certain commodity raw materials, particularly gold, that are incorporated into our end products. Supplies for such commodities may from time-to-time become restricted, or general market factors and conditions may affect the pricing of such commodities. Over the past few years, the price of gold increased significantly and certain of our supply chain partners assessed surcharges to compensate for the rising commodity prices. We are currently restructuring certain manufacturing processes to use copper instead of gold in our products. While we continue to attempt to mitigate the risk of similar increases in commodities-related costs, there can be no assurance that we will be able to successfully safeguard against potential short-term and long-term commodities price fluctuations. We do not enter into formal hedging arrangements to mitigate against commodity risk.

Foreign Currency Exchange Risk. Substantially all of our sales and the majority of our expenses are denominated in U.S. dollars. Since we operate in many countries, we pay certain payroll and other operating expenses in local currencies and these expenses may be higher or lower in U.S. dollar terms. Furthermore, our operation in Israel represents a large portion of our total foreign currency exposure. We may also hold certain assets and liabilities, including potential tax liabilities in local currency on our balance sheet. These tax liabilities would be settled in local currency. Therefore, foreign exchange gains and losses from remeasuring the tax liabilities are recorded to interest and other income, net. The related effects of foreign exchange fluctuations on local currency expenses are recorded to operating expenses. Significant fluctuations in exchange rates in countries where we incur expenses or record assets or liabilities in local currency could affect our business and operating results in the future. There is also a risk that our customers may be negatively impacted in their ability to purchase our products priced in U.S. dollars when there has been significant volatility in foreign currency exchange rates.

We engage in hedging transactions to help mitigate some of the volatility to forecasted cash flows due to changes in foreign exchange rates, and in particular hedge a portion of the forecasted Israeli shekel expenses. We enter into certain short-term forward exchange contracts, typically less than 12 months in duration, to hedge exposures for expenses and purchases denominated in foreign currencies when the currency exposure is significant and there is a high certainty of the underlying cash flow. We do not enter into derivative financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes. We may choose not to hedge certain foreign exchange exposures due to immateriality, offsetting exposures, prohibitive economic cost of hedging a particular currency, and limited availability of appropriate hedging instruments. To the extent our foreign currency hedges are effective, the results of the hedge activities offset the underlying expense within the operating expense. De-designated hedges or hedges deemed ineffective are recorded in interest and other income, net. We do not hedge our tax liabilities denominated in local currency on

our balance sheet as the timing of these tax liabilities becoming cash flows is not deemed to be certain.

Table of Contents

To provide an assessment of the foreign currency exchange risk associated with our foreign currency exposures within operating expense, we performed a sensitivity analysis to determine the impact that an adverse change in exchange rates would have on our financial statements. If the U.S. dollar weakened by 10%, our operating expense could increase by 3.7%. We expect our hedges of foreign currency exposures to be highly effective and offset a significant portion of the short-term impact of changes in exchange rates.

Item 4. *Controls and Procedures* Management's Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and our Interim Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) of the Exchange Act). Our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and that such information is accumulated and communicated to management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Interim Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Based on this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Interim Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of May 4, 2013, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective to provide reasonable assurance.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the three months ended May 4, 2013 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Limitation on Effectiveness of Controls

It should be noted that any system of controls, however well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, and not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the system are met. The design of any control system is based, in part, upon the benefits of the control system relative to its costs. Control systems can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the control. In addition, over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with the policies and procedures may deteriorate. In addition, the design of any control system is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events.

Table of Contents

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

The information under the caption Contingencies as set forth in Note 9 Commitments and Contingencies of our Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, included in Part I, Item 1, is incorporated herein by reference. For additional discussion of certain risks associated with legal proceedings, see Part II, Item 1A, Risk Factors, immediately below.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Before deciding to purchase, hold or sell our common shares, you should carefully consider the risks described below in addition to the other cautionary statements and risks described elsewhere and the other information contained in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and in our other filings with the SEC, including our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended February 2, 2013 and subsequent reports on Forms 10-Q and 8-K. Many of these risks and uncertainties are beyond our control, including business cycles and seasonal trends of the computing, semiconductor and related industries.

Our financial condition and results of operations may vary from quarter to quarter, which may cause the price of our common shares to decline.

Our quarterly results of operations have fluctuated in the past and could do so in the future. Because our results of operations are difficult to predict, you should not rely on quarterly comparisons of our results of operations as an indication of our future performance.

Fluctuations in our results of operations may be due to a number of factors, including, but not limited to, those listed below and those identified throughout this Risk Factors section:

changes in general economic and political conditions and specific conditions in the markets we address, including the continuing volatility in the technology sector and semiconductor industry;

the highly competitive nature of the end markets we serve, particularly within the semiconductor industry;

any current and future litigation that could result in substantial costs and a diversion of management's attention and resources that are needed to successfully maintain and grow our business;

our dependence on a few customers for a significant portion of our revenue;

our ability to maintain a competitive cost structure for our manufacturing and assembly and test processes, including the impact of changing commodity prices such as the price of gold;

gain or loss of a design win or key customer;

failure to qualify our products or our suppliers' manufacturing lines;

Edgar Filing: MARVELL TECHNOLOGY GROUP LTD - Form 10-Q

cancellations, rescheduling or deferrals of significant customer orders or shipments, as well as the ability of our customers to manage inventory;

our ability to develop and introduce new and enhanced products in a timely and effective manner, as well as our ability to anticipate and adapt to changes in technology;

seasonality in sales of consumer devices in which our products are incorporated;

failure to protect our intellectual property;

impact of a significant natural disaster, including earthquakes, floods and tsunamis; and

our ability to attract and retain highly skilled managerial, engineering, sales and marketing personnel.

Table of Contents

Due to fluctuations in our quarterly results of operations and other factors, the price at which our common shares will trade is likely to continue to be highly volatile. From January 30, 2011 through May 4, 2013, our common shares have traded as low as \$6.98 and as high as \$20.42 per share. Accordingly, you may not be able to resell your common shares at or above the price you paid. In future periods, our stock price could decline if, amongst other factors, our revenues or operating results are below our estimates or the estimates or expectations of public market analysts and investors. As a result of stock price volatility, we may be subject to securities class action litigation. Any litigation could result in substantial costs and a diversion of management's attention and resources that are needed to successfully maintain and grow our business.

We operate in the intensely competitive markets, and our failure to compete effectively would harm our results of operations.

The semiconductor industry and specifically the mobile and wireless communications markets are extremely competitive, and we expect competition to intensify as current competitors expand their product offerings and new competitors enter the market. This has especially intensified as semiconductor companies have begun to offer more integrated platforms. We currently compete with a number of large domestic and international companies in the business of designing integrated circuits and related applications, some of which have greater financial, technical and management resources than us. We expect competition to continue to increase as industry standards continue to evolve and become better known, and others realize the market potential of this trend to platform integration. As competition in the markets in which we operate continues to increase, our revenues and gross margins may decline. For example, competitors with greater financial resources may be able to offer lower prices than us, or they may offer additional products, services or other incentives that we may not be able to match. In addition, many of our competitors operate and maintain their own fabrication facilities and have longer operating histories, greater name recognition, larger customer bases, and greater sales, marketing and distribution resources than we do. Furthermore, our current and potential competitors in the mobile and wireless markets have established or may establish financial and strategic relationships among themselves or with existing or potential customers or other third parties to increase the ability of their products to address the needs of customers. Accordingly, new competitors or alliances among these competitors may acquire significant market share, which would harm our business. While we continue to pursue similar strategic relationships, and currently have significant financial and technical resources, we cannot assure you that we will be able to continue to compete successfully against existing or new competitors, which would harm our results of operations.

In addition, the semiconductor providers competing in the mobile and wireless communication markets have recently experienced consolidation. For example, Broadcom Corporation acquired NetLogic Microsystems in February 2011 and Texas Instruments Incorporated acquired National Semiconductor in September 2011. Consolidation among our competitors could lead to a changing competitive landscape, capabilities and market share, which could harm our results of operations.

A significant portion of our business is dependent on the HDD industry, which is highly cyclical, experiences rapid technological change, is subject to industry consolidation and is facing increased competition from alternative technologies.

The HDD industry is intensely competitive, and the technology changes rapidly. This industry has historically been cyclical, with periods of increased demand and rapid growth followed by periods of oversupply and subsequent contraction. These cycles may affect us because some of our customers are participants in this industry.

HDD manufacturers tend to order more components than they may need during growth periods, and sharply reduce orders for components during periods of contraction. Rapid technological changes in the HDD industry often result in shifts in market share among the industry's participants. If the HDD manufacturers using our products do not retain or increase their market share, our sales may decrease.

In addition, the HDD industry has experienced consolidation over the past several years. For example, during fiscal 2010, Toshiba acquired the HDD operations of Fujitsu. In December 2011, Seagate completed the acquisition of Samsung's HDD unit. In March 2012, Western Digital completed the acquisition of Hitachi's HDD unit. Consolidation among our customers could lead to changing demand for our products, replacement of our products by the merged entity with those of our competitors and cancellation of orders, each of which could harm our results of operations. On the other hand, this could lead to increased opportunities for our products within the combined company if we can leverage our technology and customer relationships.

Furthermore, future changes in the nature of information storage products could reduce demand for traditional HDDs. For example, products using alternative technologies, such as solid-state flash drives and other storage technologies could become a source of competition to manufacturers of HDDs. Although we offer SSD controllers, leveraging our technology in hard drives, we cannot ensure we will be able to maintain significant market share if demand for traditional HDDs decreases.

We are currently involved in a patent litigation action involving Carnegie Mellon University, and, if we do not prevail on our post trial motions or our appeal of the CMU verdict, we could be liable for substantial damages.

Edgar Filing: MARVELL TECHNOLOGY GROUP LTD - Form 10-Q

On March 6, 2009, Carnegie Mellon University (CMU) filed a complaint in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania naming MSI and us as defendants and alleging patent infringement. CMU has asserted U.S. Patent Nos. 6,201,839 and 6,438,180 (collectively, the CMU patents in suit), which relate to read-channel integrated circuit devices and the HDD products incorporating such devices. A jury trial began on November 26, 2012. On December 26, 2012, a jury delivered a verdict that found the CMU

Table of Contents

patents in suit were literally and willfully infringed and valid, and awarded past damages in the amount of \$1.17 billion. Due to the finding of willfulness, during post-trial proceedings the judge could enhance the damages by an amount up to triple the damages awarded by the jury at trial. In addition, CMU has disclosed in its post trial motions that it is seeking pre-judgment interest up to \$322 million, post-judgment interest, attorneys' fees, and an injunction or ongoing royalties. Post trial motions were heard on May 1 and 2, 2013. While we believe that there are strong grounds for appeal and will seek to overturn the verdict in post trial motions before the District Court and, if necessary, to appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit in Washington, D.C., there is no guarantee that we will be successful. We intend to vigorously challenge the judgment through all appropriate post trial motions and appeal processes. Please see Note 9 Commitments and Contingencies of our Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements set forth in Part I, Item 1 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for a more detailed description of a number of litigation matters we are currently engaged in. Should the judge grant an injunction or we are required to pay most or all of the damages awarded by the jury after all appeals have been exhausted, this could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

We may become involved with costly and lengthy litigation involving our patents and other intellectual property, which could subject us to liability, require us to indemnify our customers, require us to obtain or renew licenses, stop selling our products or force us to redesign our products.

Litigation involving patents and other intellectual property is widespread in the high-technology industry and is particularly prevalent in the semiconductor industry, where a number of companies and other entities aggressively bring numerous infringement claims to assert their patent portfolios. The amount of damages alleged in intellectual property infringement claims can often be very significant. For example, a jury has awarded past damages in the amount of \$1.17 billion in our litigation with CMU. In addition, in pre-trial proceedings in our litigation with Lake Cherokee, as described in more detail in Note 9 of the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, Lake Cherokee has alleged that it is entitled to damages in the amount of approximately \$689 million as a result of the alleged infringement. If we receive a significant adverse judgment in any litigation matter that is ultimately upheld after all appeals, our results of operations, financial position and cash flows will be adversely affected.

From time to time our subsidiaries and customers receive, and may continue to receive in the future, notices that allege claims of infringement, misappropriation or misuse of the intellectual property rights of third parties. In addition to standards-based infringement claims, infringement claims have also been directed against us and our subsidiaries' proprietary technologies, particularly those related to storage technology, microprocessors and other circuit components. We have also had certain patent licenses with third parties that have not been renewed, and if we cannot successfully renew these licenses, our subsidiaries and customers could face claims of infringement. These claims could result in litigation and/or claims for indemnification, which, in turn, could subject us to significant liability for damages, attorneys' fees and costs. Any potential intellectual property litigation also could force us to do one or more of the following:

stop selling, offering for sale, making, having made or exporting products or using technology that contains the allegedly infringing intellectual property;

limit or restrict the type of work that employees involved in such litigation may perform for us;

pay substantial damages and/or license fees and/or royalties to the party claiming infringement that could adversely impact our liquidity or operating results;

attempt to obtain or renew licenses to the relevant intellectual property, which licenses may not be available on reasonable terms or at all; and

attempt to redesign those products that contain the allegedly infringing intellectual property.

We may also be required to indemnify some customers under our contracts if a third party alleges, or a court finds, that our products have infringed upon the proprietary rights of other parties. From time to time, we have agreed to indemnify select customers for claims made against our products, where such claims allege infringement of third party intellectual property rights, including, but not limited to, patents, registered trademarks and/or copyrights. If we are required to make a significant payment under any of our indemnification obligations, our result of

operations may be harmed.

Our sales are concentrated in a few customers, and if we lose or experience a significant reduction in sales to any of these key customers, or if any of these key customers experience a significant decline in market share, our revenues may decrease substantially.

We receive a significant amount of our revenues from a limited number of customers. Net revenue from our three largest customers represented 48% and 40% of our net revenue for the three months ended May 4, 2013 and April 28, 2012, respectively. Sales to our largest customers have fluctuated significantly from period to period and year to year primarily due to the timing and number of design wins with each customer, natural disasters that may divert a customer's operations, as well as the continued diversification of our customer base as we

Table of Contents

expand into new markets, and will likely continue to fluctuate in the future. The loss of any of our large customers or a significant reduction in sales we make to them would likely harm our financial condition and results of operations. Our operating results in the foreseeable future will continue to depend on sales to a relatively small number of customers, as well as the ability of these customers to sell products that incorporate our products. In the future, these customers may decide not to purchase our products at all, purchase fewer products than they did in the past, or alter their purchasing patterns in some other way, particularly because:

a significant portion of our sales are made on a purchase order basis, which permits our customers to cancel, change or delay product purchase commitments with relatively short notice to us;

our customers may purchase integrated circuits from our competitors;

our customers may discontinue sales or lose market share in the markets for which they purchase our products;

our customers may develop their own solutions; or

our customers may be subject to severe business disruptions.

We rely on independent foundries and subcontractors for the manufacture, assembly and testing of our integrated circuit products, and the failure of any of these third party vendors to deliver products or otherwise perform as requested could damage our relationships with our customers, decrease our sales and limit our ability to grow our business.

We do not have our own manufacturing or assembly facilities and have very limited in-house testing facilities. Therefore, we currently rely on several third party foundries to produce our integrated circuit products. We also currently rely on several third party assembly and test subcontractors to assemble, package and test our products. This exposes us to a variety of risks, including the following:

Regional Concentration:

Substantially all of our products are manufactured by third party foundries located in Taiwan. Our other sources for manufacturing are located in the United States, China and Singapore. In addition, substantially all of our third party assembly and testing facilities are located in Singapore, Taiwan, Malaysia and the Philippines. Because of the geographic concentration of these third party foundries, as well as our assembly and test subcontractors, we are exposed to the risk that their operations may be disrupted by regional disasters or by political changes. For example, the risk of an earthquake in Taiwan and elsewhere in the Pacific Rim region is significant due to the proximity of major earthquake fault lines to the facilities of our foundries and assembly and test subcontractors. Taiwan has experienced significant earthquakes in the past and may be subject to additional earthquakes that could disrupt manufacturing operations. In the event of a significant natural disaster or a quarantine or closure that affects our manufacturers in the Pacific Rim, our revenues, cost of goods sold and results of operations would be negatively impacted. In addition, if we were unable to quickly identify alternate manufacturing facilities, we could experience significant delays in product shipments, which could harm our results of operations.

No Guarantee of Capacity or Supply:

The ability of each foundry to provide us with semiconductor devices is limited by its available capacity and existing obligations. In addition, when demand is strong, availability of foundry capacity may be constrained, and with limited exceptions, our vendors are not obligated to perform services or supply products to us for any specific period, in any specific quantities, or at any specific price, except as may be provided in a particular purchase order. For example, in recent years, we experienced some supply shortages due to the difficulties encountered by the foundries in rapidly increasing their production capacities after the recovery from the severe economic down turn during the second half of fiscal 2009 due to an increase in demand coming out of the downturn. Although we have entered into contractual commitments to supply specified levels of products to some of our customers, we may not have sufficient levels of production capacity with all of our foundries. In addition, foundry capacity may not be available when we need it or at reasonable prices. We place our orders on the basis of our customers' purchase orders or our forecast of customer demand, and the foundries can allocate capacity to the production of other companies' products and reduce deliveries to us on short notice. It is possible that foundry customers that are larger and better financed than we are or that have long-term

Edgar Filing: MARVELL TECHNOLOGY GROUP LTD - Form 10-Q

agreements with our main foundries may induce our foundries to reallocate capacity to those customers. This reallocation could impair our ability to secure the supply of components that we need. Moreover, if any of our third party foundry suppliers are unable to secure necessary raw materials from their suppliers, lose benefits under material agreements, experience power outages, lack sufficient capacity to manufacture our products, encounter financial difficulties or suffer any other disruption or reduction in efficiency, we may encounter supply delays or disruptions, which could harm our business or results of operations.

Despite our strategy to move to a dual source, most of our products are not manufactured at more than one foundry at any given time, and our products typically are designed to be manufactured in a specific process at only one of these foundries. Accordingly, if one of our foundries is unable to provide us with components as needed, it may be difficult for us to transition the manufacture of our products to other foundries, and we could experience significant delays in securing sufficient supplies of those components. This could result in a material decline in revenues, net income and cash flow.

Table of Contents

In order to secure sufficient foundry capacity when demand is high and mitigate the risks described in the foregoing paragraph, we may enter into various arrangements with suppliers that could be costly and harm our results of operations, such as non-refundable deposits with or loans to foundries in exchange for capacity commitments, and contracts that commit us to purchase specified quantities of integrated circuits over extended periods. We may not be able to make any such arrangement in a timely fashion or at all, and any arrangements may be costly, reduce our financial flexibility, and not be on terms favorable to us. Moreover, if we are able to secure foundry capacity, we may be obligated to use all of that capacity or incur penalties. These penalties may be expensive and could harm our financial results.

We are also subject to risk from fluctuating market prices of certain commodity raw materials, particularly gold, that are incorporated into our end products or used by our suppliers to manufacture our end products. Supplies for such commodities may from time to time become restricted, or general market factors and conditions may affect pricing of such commodities. Over the past few years, the price of gold increased significantly and certain of our supply chain partners assessed surcharges to compensate for the resultant increase in manufacturing costs. As a result, our gross margins have been adversely affected by increases in the price of gold. We are currently restructuring certain manufacturing processes to use copper instead of gold in our products. In transitioning from gold to copper, because the capacity of either wafer producers or assemblers can be limited from time to time, we may be unable to satisfy the demand of our customers, or may have to accept price increases or other compensation arrangements that increase our operating expenses and erode our gross margins. Our results may also be materially affected adversely if we fail to execute successfully or if we experience resistance from our customer base in the transition from gold to copper.

Uncertain Yields and Quality:

The fabrication of integrated circuits is a complex and technically demanding process. Our foundries have from time to time experienced manufacturing defects and reduced manufacturing yields. Changes in manufacturing processes or the inadvertent use of defective or contaminated materials by our foundries could result in lower than anticipated manufacturing yields or unacceptable performance. Many of these problems are difficult to detect at an early stage of the manufacturing process and may be time consuming and expensive to correct. Poor yields from our foundries, or defects, integration issues or other performance problems in our products could cause us significant customer relations and business reputation problems, harm our financial results and result in financial or other damages to our customers. Our customers could also seek damages from us for their losses. A product liability claim brought against us, even if unsuccessful, would likely be time consuming and costly to defend. In addition, defects in our existing or new products could result in significant warranty, support and repair costs, and divert the attention of our engineering personnel from our product development efforts.

To the extent that we rely on outside suppliers to manufacture or assemble and test our products, we may have a reduced ability to control directly product delivery schedules and quality assurance. This lack of control may result in product shortages or quality assurance problems that could delay shipments of products or increase manufacturing, assembly, testing or other costs.

If we are unable to develop and introduce new and enhanced products that achieve market acceptance in a timely and cost-effective manner, our results of operations and competitive position will be harmed.

Our future success will depend on our ability, in a timely and cost-effective manner, to develop and introduce new products and enhancements to our existing products. We sell products in markets that are characterized by rapid technological change, evolving industry standards, frequent new product introductions, short product life cycles and increasing demand for higher levels of integration and smaller process geometries. In addition, the development of new silicon devices is highly complex, and due to supply chain cross-dependencies and other issues, we may experience delays in completing the development, production and introduction of our new products. Our past sales and profitability have resulted, to a large extent, from our ability to anticipate changes in technology and industry standards and to develop and introduce new and enhanced products incorporating the new standards and technologies. Our ability to adapt to these changes and to anticipate future standards, and the rate of adoption and acceptance of those standards, will be a significant factor in maintaining or improving our competitive position and prospects for growth. If new industry standards emerge that we do not properly anticipate, our products or our customers' products could become unmarketable or obsolete, and we could lose market share. We may also have to incur substantial unanticipated costs to comply with these new standards. In addition, our target markets continue to undergo rapid growth and consolidation. A significant slowdown in any of these markets could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. Our success will also depend on the ability of our customers to develop new products and enhance existing products for the markets they serve and to introduce and promote those products successfully in a timely manner. Even if new and enhanced products are introduced to the market, we and our customers may not be able to achieve market acceptance of them.

In addition, our long-standing relationships with some of our larger customers may also deter other potential customers who compete with these customers from buying our products. To attract new customers or retain existing customers, we may offer certain customers certain price concessions, which could cause our average selling prices and gross margins to decline.

Table of Contents

Our business, financial condition and results of operations may be adversely impacted by global economic conditions, which may cause a decline in the market price of our common shares.

We operate in the semiconductor industry, which is cyclical and subject to rapid change and evolving industry standards. From time to time, this industry has experienced significant demand downturns. These downturns are characterized by decreases in product demand, excess customer inventories and sometimes accelerated erosion of prices, including as a result of volatile global economic conditions. These factors could cause substantial fluctuations in our net revenue, gross margin, cash flows and results of operations. In addition, during these downturns some competitors may become more aggressive in their pricing practices, which would adversely impact our gross margin. Any downturns in the current environment may be severe and prolonged, and any failure of the markets in which we operate to fully recover from downturns could seriously impact our revenue and harm our business, financial condition and results of operations. The semiconductor industry is also subject to periodic increases in demand and supply constraints, which may affect our ability to ship products. Accordingly, our results of operations may vary significantly as a result of the general conditions in the semiconductor industry, which could cause fluctuations in our stock price.

We cannot predict the timing, strength or duration of any economic slowdown or recovery or the impact of any such events on our vendors, customers or us. If the economy or markets in which we operate deteriorate from current levels, our business, financial condition and results of operations will likely be materially and adversely affected. Additionally, the combination of our lengthy sales cycle coupled with challenging macroeconomic conditions could adversely impact our results of operations.

Our gross margin and results of operations may be adversely affected in the future by a number of factors, including decreases in average selling prices of products over time and shifts in our product mix.

The products we develop and sell are primarily used for high volume applications. As a result, the prices of those products have historically decreased rapidly. In addition, more recently introduced products tend to have higher associated costs because of initial overall development and production ramp. Therefore, over time, we may not be able to maintain or improve our gross margins. Our financial results could suffer if we are unable to offset any reductions in our average selling prices by other cost reductions through efficiencies, introduction of higher margin products and other means.

Moreover, because of the wide price differences across the markets we serve, the mix and types of performance capabilities of our products sold may affect the average selling prices of our products and have a substantial impact on our revenue and gross margin. We may enter new markets in which a significant amount of competition exists, and this may require us to sell our products with lower gross margins than our established businesses. In addition, these new markets may have lower standard gross margins than the traditional markets we have served. If we are successful in growing revenue in these markets, our overall gross margin may decline. Fluctuations in the mix and types of our products may also affect the extent to which we are able to recover the fixed costs and investments associated with a particular product, and as a result can harm our financial results.

Additionally, because we do not operate our own manufacturing, assembly or testing facilities, we may not be able to reduce our costs as rapidly as companies that operate their own facilities, and our costs may even increase, which could also reduce our gross margins. In the past, we have reduced the average selling prices of our products in anticipation of future competitive pricing pressures, new product introductions by us or by our competitors and other factors. We expect that we will continue to have to reduce prices in the future.

We are subject to order and shipment uncertainties, and if we are unable to accurately predict customer demand, we may hold excess or obsolete inventory, which would reduce our gross margin; conversely, we may have insufficient inventory, which would result in lost revenue opportunities and potentially in loss of market share and damaged customer relationships.

We typically sell products pursuant to purchase orders rather than long-term purchase commitments. Customers can generally cancel or defer purchase orders on short notice without incurring a significant penalty. Due to their inability to predict demand or other reasons, some of our customers may accumulate excess inventories and, as a consequence, defer purchase of our products. We cannot accurately predict what or how many products our customers will need in the future. Anticipating demand is difficult because our customers face unpredictable demand for their own products and are increasingly focused more on cash preservation and tighter inventory management. In addition, as an increasing number of our chips are being incorporated into consumer products, we anticipate greater fluctuations in demand for our products, which makes it more difficult to forecast customer demand. We place orders with our suppliers based on forecasts of customer demand and, in some instances, may establish buffer inventories to accommodate anticipated demand. Our forecasts are based on multiple assumptions, each of which may introduce error into our estimates. For example, our ability to accurately forecast customer demand may be impaired by the delays inherent in our lengthy sales cycle. The sales cycle for many of our products is long and requires us to invest significant resources with each potential customer without any assurance of sales to that customer. Our sales cycle typically begins with an extended evaluation and test period, also known as qualification, during which our products undergo rigorous reliability testing by our customers. Qualification is typically followed by an extended development period by our customers and an additional three to nine month period before a customer commences volume production of

Edgar Filing: MARVELL TECHNOLOGY GROUP LTD - Form 10-Q

equipment incorporating our products. This lengthy sales cycle creates the risk that our customers will decide to cancel or change product plans for products incorporating our integrated circuits prior to completion, which makes it even more difficult to forecast customer demand.

Table of Contents

Our products are incorporated into complex devices and systems, which may create supply chain cross-dependencies. For example, in fiscal 2012, many areas of Thailand sustained massive damage from flooding, which disrupted the global supply chain for HDDs. Due to cross dependencies, any supply chain disruptions could negatively impact the demand for our products in the short term. We have a limited ability to predict the timing of a supply chain correction. In addition, the market share of our customers could be adversely impacted on a long-term basis due to any continued supply chain disruption, which could negatively affect our results of operations.

If we overestimate customer demand, our excess or obsolete inventory may increase significantly, which would reduce our gross margin and adversely affect our financial results. Conversely, if we underestimate customer demand or if insufficient manufacturing capacity is available, we would miss revenue opportunities and potentially lose market share and damage our customer relationships. In addition, any future significant cancellations or deferrals of product orders or the return of previously sold products could materially and adversely affect our profit margins, increase product obsolescence and restrict our ability to fund our operations.

If we fail to appropriately scale our operations in response to changes in demand for our existing products or to the demand for new products requested by our customers, our business and profitability could be materially and adversely affected.

To achieve our business objectives, it may be necessary from time to time for us to expand or contract our operations. In the future, we may not be able to scale our workforce and operations in a sufficiently timely manner to respond effectively to changes in demand for our existing products or to the demand for new products requested by our customers. In that event, we may be unable to meet competitive challenges or exploit potential market opportunities, and our current or future business could be materially and adversely affected. Conversely, if we expand our operations and workforce too rapidly in anticipation of increased demand for our products, and such demand does not materialize at the pace at which we expected, the rate of increase in our costs and operating expenses may exceed the rate of increase in our revenue, which would adversely affect our results of operations. In addition, if such demand does not materialize at the pace which we expect, we may be required to scale down our business through expense and headcount reductions as well as facility consolidations or closures that could result in restructuring charges that would materially and adversely affect our results of operations. Because many of our expenses are fixed in the short-term or are incurred in advance of anticipated sales, we may not be able to decrease our expenses in a timely manner to offset any decrease in customer demand. If customer demand does not increase as anticipated, our profitability could be adversely affected due to our higher expense levels.

Our past growth has placed, and any future long-term growth is expected to continue to place, a significant strain on our management personnel, systems and resources. To implement our current business and product plans, we will need to continue to expand, train, manage and motivate our workforce. All of these endeavors will require substantial management effort. Although we have an enterprise resource planning system to help us improve our planning and management processes, we anticipate that we will also need to continue to implement and improve a variety of new and upgraded operational and financial systems, as well as additional procedures and other internal management systems. These systems can be time consuming and expensive to implement, increase management responsibilities and divert management attention. If we are unable to effectively manage our expanding operations, we may be unable to scale our business quickly enough to meet competitive challenges or exploit potential market opportunities, or conversely, we may scale our business too quickly and the rate of increase in our costs and expenses may exceed the rate of increase in our revenue, either of which would materially and adversely affect our results of operations.

We are exposed to potential impairment charges on certain assets.

We had approximately \$2.0 billion of goodwill and \$82.4 million of acquired intangible assets, net on our balance sheet as of May 4, 2013. Under generally accepted accounting principles in the United States (GAAP), we are required to review our intangible assets including goodwill for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of these assets may not be recoverable. We perform an assessment of goodwill for impairment annually or more frequently whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of such assets may not be recoverable. We have only one reporting unit, and the fair value of the reporting unit is determined by taking our market capitalization as determined through quoted market prices and adjusted for a control premium and other relevant factors. If our fair value declines to below our carrying value, we could incur significant goodwill impairment charges.

During the year ended February 2, 2013, we experienced a continued decline in our stock price which caused a substantial reduction in the reporting unit's excess fair value over its net book value. Although we determined the fair value of our company was in excess of our carrying value, and therefore there was no impairment of goodwill as of February 2, 2013, if our stock price declines further and erodes our total market capitalization, we may be required to record an impairment charge in the future that could be material to our operating results.

Table of Contents

In addition, from time to time, we have made investments in private companies. If the companies that we invest in are unable to execute their plans and succeed in their respective markets, we may not benefit from such investments, and we could potentially lose the amounts we invest. We evaluate our investment portfolio on a regular basis to determine if impairments have occurred. If the operations of any businesses that we have acquired declines significantly, we could incur significant intangible asset impairment charges. Impairment charges could have a material impact on our results of operations in any period.

Costs related to defective products could have a material adverse effect on us.

We have experienced, from time to time, hardware and software defects and bugs associated with the introduction of our highly complex products. We cannot assure you that, despite our testing procedures, errors will not be found in new products or releases after commencement of commercial shipments in the future, which could result in loss of or delay in market acceptance of our products, material recall and replacement costs, delay in revenue recognition or loss of revenues, writing down the inventory of defective products, the diversion of the attention of our engineering personnel from product development efforts, our having to defend against litigation related to defective products or related property damage or personal injury, and damage to our reputation in the industry that could adversely affect our relationships with our customers. In addition, we may have difficulty identifying the end customers of the defective products in the field. As a result, we could incur substantial costs to implement modifications to correct defects. Any of these problems could materially adversely affect our results of operations.

We may experience difficulties in transitioning to smaller geometry process technologies or in achieving higher levels of design integration, which may result in reduced manufacturing yields, delays in product deliveries and increased expenses.

In order to remain competitive, we expect to continue to transition our semiconductor products to increasingly smaller line width geometries. This transition requires us to modify the manufacturing processes for our products and to redesign some products. We periodically evaluate the benefits, on a product-by-product basis, of migrating to smaller geometry process technologies to reduce our costs. In the past, we have experienced some difficulties in shifting to smaller geometry process technologies or new manufacturing processes, which resulted in reduced manufacturing yields, delays in product deliveries and increased expenses. We may face similar difficulties, delays and expenses as we continue to transition our products to smaller geometry processes. We are dependent on our relationships with our foundry subcontractors to transition to smaller geometry processes successfully. We cannot assure you that the foundries that we use will be able to effectively manage the transition or that we will be able to maintain our existing foundry relationships or develop new ones. If we or any of our foundry subcontractors experience significant delays in this transition or fail to efficiently implement this transition, we could experience reduced manufacturing yields, delays in product deliveries and increased expenses, all of which could harm our relationships with our customers and our results of operations. As smaller geometry processes become more prevalent, we expect to continue to integrate greater levels of functionality, as well as customer and third party intellectual property, into our products. However, we may not be able to achieve higher levels of design integration or deliver new integrated products on a timely basis, if at all. Moreover, even if we are able to achieve higher levels of design integration, such integration may have a short-term adverse impact on our results of operations, as we may reduce our revenue by integrating the functionality of multiple chips into a single chip.

As a result of our global operations, we face additional risks, which may harm our results of operations, because a majority of our products and our customers' products are manufactured and sold outside of the United States.

A substantial portion of our business is conducted outside of the United States and, as a result, we are subject to foreign business, political and economic risks. All of our products are manufactured outside of the United States. Our current qualified integrated circuit foundries are located in the same region within Taiwan, and our primary assembly and test subcontractors are located in the Pacific Rim region. In addition, many of our customers are located outside of the United States, primarily in Asia, which further exposes us to foreign risks. Sales to customers located in Asia represented approximately 93% of our net revenue in the three months ended May 4, 2013, 90% of our net revenue in fiscal 2013 and 88% of our net revenue in fiscal 2012.

We also have substantial operations outside of the United States. These operations are directly influenced by the political and economic conditions of the region in which they are located, and with respect to Israel, possible military hostilities that could affect our operations there. We anticipate that our manufacturing, assembly, testing and sales outside of the United States will continue to account for a substantial portion of our operations and revenue in future periods. Accordingly, we are subject to risks associated with international operations, including:

political, social and economic instability, including wars, terrorism, political unrest, boycotts, curtailment of trade and other business restrictions;

Edgar Filing: MARVELL TECHNOLOGY GROUP LTD - Form 10-Q

compliance with domestic and foreign export and import regulations, and difficulties in obtaining and complying with domestic and foreign export, import and other governmental approvals, permits and licenses;

Table of Contents

local laws and practices that favor local companies, including business practices that we are prohibited from engaging in by the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and other anti-corruption laws and regulations;

difficulties in staffing and managing foreign operations;

natural disasters, including earthquakes, tsunamis and floods;

trade restrictions or higher tariffs;

transportation delays;

difficulties of managing distributors;

less effective protection of intellectual property than is afforded to us in the United States or other developed countries;

inadequate local infrastructure; and

exposure to local banking, currency control and other financial-related risks.

As a result of having global operations, the sudden disruption of the supply chain and/or the manufacture of our customer's products caused by events outside of our control could impact our results of operations by impairing our ability to timely and efficiently deliver our products. During fiscal 2012, the earthquake and tsunami that affected Japan disrupted the global supply chain for certain components important to our products and the flooding in Thailand affected the supply chain and manufacturing of the products for a number of our customers.

Moreover, the international nature of our business subjects us to risk associated with the fluctuation of the U.S. dollar versus foreign currencies. Decreases in the value of the U.S. dollar versus currencies in jurisdictions where we have large fixed costs or our third party manufacturers have significant cost will increase the cost of such operations, which could harm our results of operations. For example, we have large fixed costs in Israel, which will become greater if the U.S. dollar declines in value versus the Israeli shekel. On the other hand, substantially all of our sales have been denominated in U.S. dollars.

We depend on key personnel to manage our business, and if we are unable to retain our current personnel and hire additional personnel, our ability to develop and successfully market our products could be harmed.

We believe our future success will depend in large part upon our ability to attract and retain highly skilled managerial, engineering, sales and marketing personnel. The loss of key employees or the inability to attract qualified personnel, including hardware and software engineers and sales and marketing personnel could delay the development and introduction of and harm our ability to sell our products. We typically do not enter into employment agreements with any of our key technical personnel, and their knowledge of our business and industry would be extremely difficult to replace.

The competition for qualified technical personnel with significant experience in the design, development, manufacturing, marketing and sales of integrated circuits is intense. Our key technical personnel represent a significant asset and serve as the source of our technological and product innovations. We may not be successful in attracting and retaining sufficient numbers of technical personnel to develop new products or enhance existing products in a timely manner.

We have made and may continue to make acquisitions and investments, which could divert management's attention, cause ownership dilution to our shareholders, be difficult to integrate and adversely affect our results of operations and share price.

Edgar Filing: MARVELL TECHNOLOGY GROUP LTD - Form 10-Q

We expect to continue to make acquisitions of, and investments in, businesses that offer complementary products and technologies, augment our end market coverage, or enhance our technological capabilities. We may also enter into strategic alliances or joint ventures to achieve these goals. We cannot assure you that we will be able to identify suitable acquisition, investment, alliance or joint venture opportunities in the future, or that we will be able to consummate any such transactions or relationships on terms and conditions acceptable to us, or that such transactions or relationships will be successful.

Integrating newly acquired businesses or technologies typically entails many risks that could put a strain on our resources, could be costly and time consuming, and might not be successful. In addition, any acquisitions could materially harm our results of operations or liquidity as a result of either the issuance of dilutive equity securities, new debt or contingent liabilities, or payment of cash. Moreover, such acquisitions could divert our management's attention from other business concerns and also result in customer dissatisfaction. In addition, we might lose key employees of the newly acquired organizations during the acquisition process. The acquisition of another company or its products and technologies may also require us to enter into a geographic or business market in which we have little or no prior experience.

Table of Contents

We rely on third party distributors and manufacturers representatives and the failure of these distributors and manufacturers representatives to perform as expected could reduce our future sales.

From time to time, we enter into relationships with distributors and manufacturers representatives to sell our products, and we are unable to predict the extent to which these partners will be successful in marketing and selling our products. Moreover, many of our distributors and manufacturers representatives also market and sell competing products, and may terminate their relationships with us at any time. Our future performance will also depend, in part, on our ability to attract additional distributors or manufacturers representatives that will be able to market and support our products effectively, especially in markets in which we have not previously distributed our products. If we cannot retain or attract quality distributors or manufacturers representatives, our sales and results of operations will be harmed.

Changes in financial accounting standards or practices or existing taxation rules or practices may adversely affect our financial results.

Changes in financial accounting standards or practices or changes in existing taxation rules or practices may have a significant effect on our reported results. New accounting pronouncements and taxation rules and varying interpretations of accounting pronouncements and taxation practice have occurred and may occur in the future. For example, both the U.S. Congress and the G-20 (Group of Twenty Finance Ministers and Central Bank Governors) may consider legislation affecting the taxation of foreign corporations and such legislation if enacted might adversely affect our future tax liabilities and have a material impact on our results of operations. Changes to existing rules or the questioning of current practices by regulators may adversely affect our reported financial results or the way we conduct our business or cause our stock price to decline.

Tax benefits that we receive may be terminated or reduced in the future, which would harm our results of operations and profitability.

In prior years, we have entered into agreements in certain foreign jurisdictions that if certain criteria are met, the foreign jurisdiction will provide a more favorable tax rate than their current statutory rate.

We have obtained an undertaking from the Minister of Finance of Bermuda in fiscal 2013 under the Exempt Undertakings Tax Protection Act 1966, as amended, that in the event Bermuda enacts legislation imposing tax computed on profits, income, or capital asset, gain or appreciation, then the imposition of any such taxes will not apply to us until March 31, 2035. We cannot assure that any future elected Government of Bermuda would not amend the Exempt Undertaking Tax Protection Act 1966 such that tax would be imposed in Bermuda.

The Economic Development Board of Singapore (the EDB) initially granted a 10 year Pioneer Status in July 1999 to our subsidiary in Singapore if the Company meets several requirements as to investments, headcount and activities. In June 2006, the EDB agreed to extend the Pioneer status to 15 years to June 2014 rather than 10 years. For the three months ended May 4, 2013, the tax savings associated with this tax holiday is approximately \$1.6 million which if earned would impact our earnings per share results by less than \$0.01 per share. As a result, we anticipate that a significant portion of the income we earn in Singapore during this period will be exempt from the Singapore income tax. We have begun early discussions with the EDB regarding incentives after June 2014.

Under the Israeli Encouragement law of approved or benefited enterprise, two branches of Marvell Israel (M.I.S.L.) Ltd. (MISL), the GTL branch and the cellular branch (formerly Marvell DSPC), are entitled to approved and benefited tax programs that include reduced tax rates and exemption of certain income. The first program was approved for MISL in fiscal 1996 and the most recent was started in fiscal 2013. The cellular branch has five approved programs and three benefited programs, with the first approved in fiscal 1991 and the most recent benefited enterprise started in fiscal 2011. The benefit period is generally 10 to 15 years and begins in the first year in which our Israeli divisions earn taxable income from the approved or benefited enterprises, provided the maximum period has not elapsed. Income from the approved or benefited enterprises is subject to reduced tax rates ranging between 0% and 10% or tax exemptions for fiscal 2008 through fiscal 2027. For the three months ended May 4, 2013, the tax savings associated with this tax holiday is approximately \$0.5 million which if earned would impact our earnings per share results by less than \$0.01 per share.

During fiscal 2007, each of the Swiss Federal Department of Economy and the Vaud Cantonal Tax Administration granted our subsidiary in Switzerland a 10 year tax holiday on revenues from research and design and wafer supply trading activities that will expire in 2016. In fiscal 2011, we met the requirements of the initial five year period and we will receive the ongoing tax holiday benefits provided that we continue to meet the ongoing requirements.

If any of our tax agreements in any of these foreign jurisdictions were terminated, our results of our operations and profitability would be harmed.

Table of Contents

We rely upon the performance of our information technology systems to process, transmit, store and protect electronic information, and the failure of any critical information technology system may result in serious harm to our reputation, business, results of operations and/or financial condition.

We are heavily dependent on our technology infrastructure and maintain and rely upon certain critical information systems for the effective operation of our business. These information technology systems include telecommunications, the Internet, our corporate intranet, various computer hardware and software applications, network communications and e-mail. These information technology systems are subject to damage or interruption from a number of potential sources including natural disasters, viruses, destructive or inadequate code, malware, power failures, cyber attacks, and other events. To the extent that these information systems are under our control, we have implemented security procedures, such as virus protection software and emergency recovery processes, to address the outlined risks. We may incur significant costs in order to implement, maintain and/or update security systems that we feel are necessary to protect our information systems. A material breach in the security of our information systems could include the theft of our intellectual property or trade secrets, negatively impact our operations, or result in the compromise of personal and confidential information of our employees, customers or suppliers. While we believe that our information technology systems are appropriately controlled and that we have processes in place to adequately mitigate these risks, security procedures for information systems cannot be guaranteed to be failsafe. To the extent that any system failure, accident or security breach results in disruptions or interruptions to our operations or the theft, loss or disclosure of, or damage to our data or confidential information, our reputation, business, results of operations and/or financial condition could be materially adversely affected. In addition, a miscalculation of the level of investment needed to ensure our technology solutions are current and up-to-date as technology advances and evolves could result in disruptions in our business should the software, hardware or maintenance of such items become out-of-date or obsolete. Furthermore, when we implement new systems and/or upgrade existing systems, there is a risk that our business may be temporarily disrupted during the period of implementation.

We may be unable to protect our intellectual property, which would negatively affect our ability to compete.

We believe one of our key competitive advantages results from our collection of proprietary technologies that we have developed and acquired since our inception. If we fail to protect these intellectual property rights, competitors could sell products based on technology that we have developed that could harm our competitive position and decrease our revenues. We believe that the protection of our intellectual property rights is and will continue to be important to the success of our business. We rely on a combination of patent, copyright, trademark and trade secret laws, as well as nondisclosure agreements and other methods, to protect our proprietary technologies. We also enter into confidentiality or license agreements with our employees, consultants and business partners, and control access to and distribution of our documentation and other proprietary information. We have been issued several U.S. and foreign patents and have a number of pending U.S. and foreign patent applications. However, a patent may not be issued as a result of any applications or, if issued, claims allowed may not be sufficiently broad to protect our technology. In addition, it is possible that existing or future patents may be challenged, invalidated or circumvented. Despite our efforts, unauthorized parties may attempt to copy or otherwise obtain and use our products or proprietary technology. Monitoring unauthorized use of our technology is difficult, and the steps that we have taken may not prevent unauthorized use of our technology, particularly in foreign countries where the laws may not protect our proprietary rights as fully as in the United States. If our patents do not adequately protect our technology, our competitors may be able to offer products similar to ours, which would adversely impact our business and results of operations.

Certain of our software (as well as that of our customers) may be derived from so-called open source software that is generally made available to the public by its authors and/or other third parties. Open source software is made available under licenses that impose certain obligations on us in the event we were to distribute derivative works of the open source software. These obligations may require us to make source code for the derivative works available to the public, and/or license such derivative works under a particular type of license, rather than the forms of license customarily used to protect our intellectual property. While we believe we have complied with our obligations under the various applicable licenses for open source software, in the event that the copyright holder of any open source software were to successfully establish in court that we had not complied with the terms of a license for a particular work, we could be required to release the source code of that work to the public and/or stop distribution of that work if the license is terminated.

We have been named as a party to several lawsuits and we may be named in additional litigation in the future, all of which could result in an unfavorable outcome and have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows, and the trading price for our securities.

We have been named as a party to several lawsuits and we may be named in additional litigation in the future. Please see Note 9 Commitments and Contingencies of our Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements set forth in Part I, Item 1 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for a more detailed description of a number of the litigation matters we are currently engaged in. Under certain circumstances, we have contractual and other legal obligations to indemnify and to incur legal expenses on behalf of current and former directors and officers for these lawsuits. In addition, due to the high volatility of our stock price, we may be vulnerable to securities class action litigation. In addition, as a result of a prior SEC settlement, we forfeited for three years our ability to invoke the safe harbor for forward-looking statements provided by the

Edgar Filing: MARVELL TECHNOLOGY GROUP LTD - Form 10-Q

Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Because we could not benefit from the statutory safe harbor from June 2008 through June 2011, it may be more difficult for us to defend against any future claims based on any forward-looking statements issued during that timeframe.

Table of Contents

The ultimate outcome of any litigation could have a material adverse effect on our business and the trading price for our securities. Litigation may be time-consuming, expensive, and disruptive to normal business operations, and the outcome of litigation is difficult to predict. The defense of these lawsuits may result in significant expenditures and the continued diversion of our management's time and attention from the operation of our business, which could impede our business. In the event we were to receive an unfavorable outcome in any lawsuit, our business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows and the trading price of our securities may be materially and adversely affected.

We must comply with a variety of existing and future laws and regulations that could impose substantial costs on us and may adversely affect our business.

We are subject to various state, federal and international laws and regulations governing the environment, including restricting the presence of certain substances in electronic products and making producers of those products financially responsible for the collection, treatment, recycling and disposal of those products. In addition, we are also subject to various industry requirements restricting the presence of certain substances in electronic products. Although our management systems are designed to maintain compliance, we cannot assure you that we have been or will be at all times in complete compliance with such laws and regulations. If we violate or fail to comply with any of them, a range of consequences could result, including fines, import/export restrictions, sales limitations, criminal and civil liabilities or other sanctions.

We and our customers are also subject to various import and export laws and regulations. Government export regulations apply to the encryption or other features contained in some of our products. If we fail to continue to receive licenses or otherwise comply with these regulations, we may be unable to manufacture the affected products at foreign foundries or ship these products to certain customers, or we may incur penalties or fines.

There is also a regulation to improve the transparency and accountability concerning the supply of minerals coming from the conflict zones in and around the Democratic Republic of Congo. New U.S. legislation includes disclosure requirements regarding the use of conflict minerals mined from the Democratic Republic of Congo and adjoining countries and procedures regarding a manufacturer's efforts to prevent the sourcing of such conflict minerals. The implementation of these requirements could affect the sourcing and availability of minerals used in the manufacture of semiconductor devices. As a result, there may only be a limited pool of suppliers who provide conflict-free metals, and we cannot assure you that we will be able to obtain products in sufficient quantities or at competitive prices.

Future regulations may become more stringent or costly and our compliance costs and potential liabilities could increase, which may harm our business.

There can be no assurance that we will continue to declare cash dividends at all or in any particular amounts.

In May 2012, we announced the declaration of our first quarterly cash dividend. Future payment of a regular quarterly cash dividend on our common shares will be subject to, among other things, the best interests of our shareholders, our results of operations, cash balances and future cash requirements, financial condition, statutory requirements of Bermuda law, and other factors that the board of directors may deem relevant. Our dividend payments may change from time to time, and we cannot provide assurance that we will continue to declare dividends at all or in any particular amounts. A reduction in or elimination of our dividend payments could have a negative effect on our share price.

If our internal control over financial reporting or disclosure controls and procedures are not effective, there may be errors in our financial statements that could require a restatement or our filings may not be filed on a timely basis and investors may lose confidence in our reported financial information, which could lead to a decline in our stock price.

We believe that effective internal controls are necessary for us to provide reliable financial reports and effectively prevent fraud. Any inability to provide reliable financial reports or prevent fraud could harm our business. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 requires management and our auditors to evaluate and assess the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting, as of the end of each year. Our management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for assessing the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in each Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Interim Chief Financial Officer, does not expect that our internal control over financial reporting will prevent all error and all fraud. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision making can be faulty, breakdowns can occur because of simple errors, and errors discovered by personnel within control systems may not be properly disclosed and addressed. Controls can also be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the controls. A control system, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute,

Table of Contents

assurance that the control system's objectives will be met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. In addition, we are consistently evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of our internal controls, a process which sometimes leads to modifications in such controls. These modifications could affect the overall effectiveness or evaluation of the control system in the future by us or our independent registered public accounting firm. The design of any system of controls is based in part on certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and we cannot assure you that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or deterioration in the degree of compliance with policies or procedures. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

Two of our officers and directors own a large percentage of our voting stock, and, together with another employee who is also a significant shareholder, are related by blood or marriage. These factors may allow the officers and directors as a group or the three related employees to influence the election of directors and the approval or disapproval of significant corporate actions.

Dr. Sehat Sutardja, our President and Chief Executive Officer, and Weili Dai, who serves as the Vice President and General Manager of Communications and Consumer Business of Marvell Semiconductor, Inc, are husband and wife, and Dr. Sehat Sutardja and Dr. Pantas Sutardja, our Vice President, Chief Technology Officer and Chief Research and Development Officer, are brothers. Together, these three individuals held approximately 21% of our outstanding common shares as of May 4, 2013. As a result, if these individuals act together, they may influence the election of our directors and the approval or disapproval of any significant corporate actions that require shareholder approval. This influence over our affairs might be adverse to the interests of other shareholders. For example, the voting power of these individuals could have the effect of delaying or preventing an acquisition of us on terms that other shareholders may desire.

Under Bermuda law, all of our officers, in exercising their powers and discharging their duties, must act honestly and in good faith with a view to our best interests and exercise the care, diligence and skill that a reasonably prudent person would exercise in comparable circumstances. Majority shareholders do not owe fiduciary duties to minority shareholders. As a result, the minority shareholders will not have a direct claim against the majority shareholders in the event the majority shareholders take actions that damage the interests of minority shareholders. Class actions are generally not available to shareholders under the laws of Bermuda. Derivative actions may be available in certain circumstances, which would permit a shareholder to bring an action in our name if the directors or officers are alleged to be acting beyond our corporate power, committing illegal acts or violating our Memorandum of Association or Third Amended and Restated Bye-Laws ("Bye-Laws"). Furthermore, consideration would be given by a Bermuda court to acts that are alleged to constitute a fraud against the minority shareholders or, for example, where an act requiring the approval of a greater percentage of the company's shareholders than those who actually approved it.

The Companies Act 1981 of Bermuda, as amended, provides that when one or more shareholders believes the affairs of a company are being conducted in a manner which is prejudicial to the interest of some of the shareholders, a Bermuda court, upon petition, may make such order as it sees fit, including an order regulating the conduct of the company's affairs in the future or ordering the purchase of the shares of any shareholders by other shareholders or by the company, and in the case of a purchase of the shares by the company, for the reduction accordingly of the company's capital or otherwise.

We are subject to the risks of owning real property.

Our buildings in Santa Clara, California; Singapore; Etoy, Switzerland; and Shanghai, China subject us to the risks of owning real property, which include:

the possibility of environmental contamination and the costs associated with fixing any environmental problems;

adverse changes in the value of these properties, due to interest rate changes, changes in the neighborhood in which the property is located, or other factors;

the possible need for structural improvements in order to comply with zoning, seismic and other legal or regulatory requirements;

Edgar Filing: MARVELL TECHNOLOGY GROUP LTD - Form 10-Q

the potential disruption of our business and operations arising from or connected with a relocation due to moving to or renovating the facility;

increased cash commitments for improvements to the buildings or the property or both;

increased operating expenses for the buildings or the property or both;

Table of Contents

possible disputes with tenants or other third parties related to the buildings or the property or both; and

the risk of financial loss in excess of amounts covered by insurance, or uninsured risks, such as the loss caused by damage to the buildings as a result of earthquakes, floods and or other natural disasters.

As we carry only limited insurance coverage, any incurred liability resulting from uncovered claims could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

Our insurance policies may not be adequate to fully offset losses from covered incidents, and we do not have coverage for certain losses. For example, there is very limited coverage available with respect to the services provided by our third party foundries and assembly and test subcontractors. In the event of a natural disaster (such as an earthquake or tsunami), political or military turmoil, widespread health issues or other significant disruptions to their operations, insurance may not adequately protect us from this exposure. We believe our existing insurance coverage is consistent with common practice, economic considerations and availability considerations. If our insurance coverage is insufficient to protect us against unforeseen catastrophic losses, any uncovered losses could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

We are incorporated in Bermuda, and, as a result, it may not be possible for our shareholders to enforce civil liability provisions of the securities laws of the United States. In addition, our Bye-Laws contain a waiver of claims or rights of action by our shareholders against our officers and directors, which will severely limit our shareholders' right to assert a claim against our officers and directors under Bermuda law.

We are organized under the laws of Bermuda. As a result, it may not be possible for our shareholders to affect service of process within the United States upon us, or to enforce against us in U.S. courts judgments based on the civil liability provisions of the securities laws of the United States. There is significant doubt as to whether the courts of Bermuda would recognize or enforce judgments of U.S. courts obtained against us or our directors or officers based on the civil liability provisions of the securities laws of the United States or any state or hear actions brought in Bermuda against us or those persons based on those laws. The United States and Bermuda do not currently have a treaty providing for the reciprocal recognition and enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters. Therefore, a final judgment for the payment of money rendered by any federal or state court in the United States based on civil liability, whether or not based solely on U.S. federal or state securities laws, would not be automatically enforceable in Bermuda.

Our Bye-Laws contain a broad waiver by our shareholders of any claim or right of action, both individually and on our behalf, against any of our officers and directors. The waiver applies to any action taken by an officer or director, or the failure of an officer or director to take any action, in the performance of his or her duties with or for us, other than with respect to any matter involving any fraud or dishonesty on the part of the officer or director or to any matter arising under U.S. securities laws. This waiver will limit the rights of our shareholders to assert claims against our officers and directors unless the act complained of involves fraud or dishonesty. Therefore, so long as acts of business judgment do not involve fraud or dishonesty, they will not be subject to shareholder claims under Bermuda law. For example, shareholders will not have claims against officers and directors for a breach of trust, unless the breach rises to the level of fraud or dishonesty.

Our Bye-Laws contain provisions that could delay or prevent a change in corporate control, even if the change in corporate control would benefit our shareholders.

Our Bye-Laws contain change in corporate control provisions, which include:

authorizing the issuance of preferred stock without shareholder approval; and

a shareholder vote requiring the approval of two-thirds of votes cast in person or by proxy to approve any business combination in the event the action is not approved by at least 66^{2/3}% of the directors holding office at the date of the Board meeting to approve the action.

These foregoing provisions could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire us, even if doing so would be a benefit to our shareholders.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds**

There were no sales of unregistered securities during the three months ended May 4, 2013.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The following table presents details of our repurchases during the three months ended May 4, 2013 (in thousands, except per share data):

| Period | Total Number of Shares Purchased | Average Price Paid per Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs | Approximated Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs (1) |
|----------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|--|---|
| February 3 – March 2, 2013 | 5,945 | \$ 9.49 | 5,945 | \$ 556,128 |
| March 3 – March 30, 2013 | 3,111 | \$ 10.43 | 3,111 | \$ 523,679 |
| March 31 – May 4, 2013 | 10,797 | \$ 10.31 | 10,797 | \$ 412,364 |
| Total | 19,853 | \$ 10.08 | 19,853 | \$ 412,364 |

- (1) In August 2010, our board of directors initially authorized our current share repurchase program to repurchase up to \$500 million of our outstanding common shares. During fiscal 2012, our board of directors authorized an additional \$1.5 billion to be used to repurchase our common shares under the share repurchase program. In May 2012, we announced an additional increase of \$500 million to the share repurchase program. This increases the total available under the repurchase program to \$2.5 billion. We intend to effect the repurchase program in accordance with the conditions of Rule 10b-18 under the Exchange Act. The repurchase program will be subject to market conditions and other factors and does not obligate us to repurchase any dollar amount or number of our common shares. The repurchase program may be extended, modified, suspended or discontinued at any time. We may make repurchases in open market or privately negotiated transactions in order to effect our repurchases.

Item 6. Exhibits

(a) The following exhibits are filed as part of this report:

| | |
|---------|--|
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer as required pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer as required pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 32.1* | Certification of Chief Executive Officer as required pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 32.2* | Certification of Chief Financial Officer as required pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 101.INS | XBRL Instance Document |
| 101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document |
| 101.DEF | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Document |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document |

- * The certifications furnished in Exhibits 32.1 and 32.2 hereto are deemed to accompany this Form 10-Q and will not be deemed filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act. Such certifications will not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filings under the Securities Act or the Exchange Act, except to the extent that the registrant specifically incorporates it by reference.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

MARVELL TECHNOLOGY GROUP LTD.

June 6, 2013

Date

By: /s/ Brad D. Feller

Brad D. Feller
Interim Chief Financial Officer

(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

| | |
|---------|--|
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer as required pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer as required pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 32.1* | Certification of Chief Executive Officer as required pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 32.2* | Certification of Chief Financial Officer as required pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 101.INS | XBRL Instance Document |
| 101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document |
| 101.DEF | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Document |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document |

* The certifications furnished in Exhibits 32.1 and 32.2 hereto are deemed to accompany this Form 10-Q and will not be deemed filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act. Such certifications will not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filings under the Securities Act or the Exchange Act, except to the extent that the registrant specifically incorporates it by reference.