Discover Financial Services Form DEF 14A March 19, 2014 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the

Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by the Registrant x Filed by a Party other than the Registrant "
Check the appropriate box:

- " Preliminary Proxy Statement
- " Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- x Definitive Proxy Statement
- " Definitive Additional Materials
- " Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-11(c) or §240.14a-12

DISCOVER FINANCIAL SERVICES

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

X	No fee required.
	Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
	(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
	(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
	(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set
	forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
	(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
	(5) Total fee paid:
	Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
	Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for
	which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or th Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
	(1) Amount Previously Paid:
	(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
	(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

2500 Lake Cook Road

Riverwoods, Illinois 60015

March 19, 2014

Dear Fellow Shareholder:

I cordially invite you to attend Discover Financial Services 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held at 9:00 a.m., local time, on May 7, 2014, at our corporate headquarters located at 2500 Lake Cook Road, Riverwoods, Illinois 60015.

All shareholders of record of our outstanding shares of Common Stock at the close of business on March 11, 2014 will be entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting.

Your vote is important! Whether or not you plan to attend the Annual Meeting, please read the enclosed proxy statement and vote as soon as possible via the Internet, by telephone or, if you receive a paper Proxy Card or voting instruction form in the mail, by mailing the completed Proxy Card or voting instruction form. Using the Internet or telephone voting systems or mailing your completed Proxy Card will not prevent you from voting in person at the meeting if you are a shareholder of record and wish to do so.

Important information about the matters to be acted upon at the meeting is included in the notice of meeting and proxy statement. Our 2013 Annual Report contains information about our Company and its financial performance.

I am very much looking forward to our 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

Very truly yours, David W. Nelms

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

NOTICE OF 2014 ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

Time and Date	9:00 a.m., local time, on Wednesday, May 7, 2014
Place	Discover Financial Services 2500 Lake Cook Road Riverwoods, IL 60015
Webcast	A live audio webcast of our Annual Meeting will be available on our website, <u>www.discoverfinancial.com</u> , starting at 9:00 a.m., local time, on Wednesday, May 7, 2014. Information included on our website, other than our Proxy Statement and form of proxy, is not a part of our proxy solicitation materials.
Items of Business	(1) To elect 11 members of the Board of Directors named in the Proxy Statement as nominees, each for a term of one year.
	(2) To conduct an advisory (nonbinding) vote to approve named executive officer compensation.
	(3) To approve the amendment and restatement of our Omnibus Incentive Plan.
	(4) To ratify the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2014.
	(5) To transact any other business as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournment or postponement of the meeting.
Record Date	You are entitled to notice of and to vote at the meeting and at any adjournment or postponement of the meeting if

Table of Contents 5

you were a shareholder of record as of the close of business on March 11, 2014.

Materials to Review

This booklet contains our Notice of Annual Meeting and 2014 Proxy Statement. Our 2013 Annual Report contains information about our Company and its financial performance. Our Annual Report is not a part of our proxy solicitation materials.

Proxy Voting

It is important that your shares be represented and voted at the Annual Meeting. You can vote your shares by completing and returning your Proxy Card or by voting on the Internet or by telephone. See details under the Questions and Answers About the Annual Meeting and Voting-How Do I Vote? below.

You are cordially invited to attend the Annual Meeting, but whether or not you expect to attend in person, you are urged to vote. Your prompt action will aid the Company in reducing the expense of proxy solicitation.

By Order of the Board of Directors, Kathryn McNamara Corley

Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary

March 19, 2014

Table of Contents

	Page
<u>INTRODUCTION</u>	1
<u>OUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE ANNUAL MEETING AND VOTING</u>	1
PROPOSAL 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS	5
Information Concerning Nominees for Election as Directors	6
<u>CORPORATE GOVERNANCE</u>	9
<u>Director Independence</u>	9
Board Meetings and Committees	10
Board Attendance at Annual Shareholder Meeting	11
Nomination of Directors	11
<u>Director Qualifications</u>	11
Board Leadership Structure	11
Non-Employee Director Meetings	12
Board Role in Risk Oversight	12
Communications with Directors	13
Shareholder Recommendations for Director Candidates	13
EXECUTIVE AND DIRECTOR COMPENSATION	14
Executive Compensation	14
<u>Director Compensation</u>	15
COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS	17
Overview of Performance and Compensation	18
Compensation Program and Objectives	20
2013 Decision-Making Process	22
Components of Compensation and Summary of Pay Decisions	26
Summary of Chief Executive Officer Compensation	29
Other Arrangements, Policies and Practices Related to Our Executive Compensation Program	30
Accounting and Tax Information	32
COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT	32
2013 EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	33
2013 Summary Compensation Table	33
2013 Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table	34
Outstanding Equity Awards at 2013 Fiscal Year-End Table	36
2013 Option Exercises and Stock Vested Table	37
2013 Pension Benefits Table	37
2013 Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Table	38
Potential Payments Upon a Termination or Change in Control	38
BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP OF COMPANY COMMON STOCK	42
PROPOSAL 2 ADVISORY VOTE TO APPROVE NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICER COMPENSATION	43
PROPOSAL 3 APPROVAL OF THE AMENDMENT AND RESTATEMENT OF OUR OMNIBUS INCENTIVE PLAN	44
Summary of Amendment to the 2007 Omnibus Incentive Plan	45
2014 Omnibus Incentive Plan Highlights	45
Summary of the 2014 Omnibus Incentive Plan	46
Equity Compensation Plan Information	51
PROPOSAL 4 RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM	52
Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Fees	52
Policy Regarding Pre-Approval of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Services	53
Audit and Risk Committee Report	53
OTHER MATTERS	55
Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance	55
Code of Ethics and Business Conduct	55
<u>Certain Transactions</u>	55
Other Business	56
Shareholder Proposals for the 2014 Annual Meeting	56
EXHIBIT A DISCOVER FINANCIAL SERVICES AMENDED AND RESTATED 2014 OMNIBUS INCENTIVE PLAN	57

DISCOVER FINANCIAL SERVICES

2500 Lake Cook Road

Riverwoods, Illinois 60015

(224) 405-0900

Proxy Statement

The Board of Directors of Discover Financial Services is soliciting your proxy to vote at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on May 7, 2014, at 9:00 a.m., local time, and any adjournment or postponement of that meeting (the *Annual Meeting**). The Annual Meeting will be held at our corporate headquarters located at 2500 Lake Cook Road, Riverwoods, Illinois 60015. This Proxy Statement and the accompanying proxy card (*Proxy Card**), Notice of Meeting and Annual Report to Shareholders will be first sent or made available on or about March 28, 2014 to shareholders of record as of the close of business on March 11, 2014 (the *Record Date**). For those shareholders receiving a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials, the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials will be first mailed on or about March 28, 2014 to shareholders of record as of the Record Date. The only voting securities of the Company are shares of our Common Stock, \$0.01 par value per share (the *Common Stock**), of which there were 469,565,295 shares outstanding as of the Record Date (excluding treasury stock). We need a majority of the shares of Common Stock outstanding on the Record Date to be present, in person or by proxy, to hold the Annual Meeting.

In this Proxy Statement, we refer to Discover Financial Services as the *Company*, *Discover*, *we*, *our* or *us* and the Board of Directors as the *Board*. In December 2012, our Board approved a change in our fiscal year end from November 30 to December 31 of each year, beginning January 1, 2013. As a result of the change, we have a December 2012 fiscal month transition period. When we refer to any of our fiscal years prior to 2013, we mean the 12-month period ending November 30 of the stated year. When we refer to 2013, we mean the 12-month period ending December 31, 2013. When we refer to any future years, we mean the 12-month period ending December 31 of the stated year.

Our Annual Report to Shareholders, which contains our Annual Report on Form 10-K, including consolidated financial statements for the year ending December 31, 2013, accompanies this Proxy Statement. Our Annual Report is not a part of our proxy solicitation materials. You also may obtain a copy of our Annual Report on Form 10-K that was filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), without charge, by writing to or telephoning our Investor Relations department at the above address or telephone number. Our Annual Report on Form 10-K is also available on the SEC s website and in the Investor Relations section of www.discoverfinancial.com. We do not intend to incorporate the content of our website into this Proxy Statement.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE ANNUAL MEETING AND VOTING

Why did I receive these materials?

This Proxy Statement provides notice of the Annual Meeting, describes the four proposals presented for shareholder action and includes information required to be disclosed to shareholders. The Proxy Card provides shareholders with a way to vote on the described proposals without having to attend the Annual Meeting in person. Shareholders of the Company at the close of business on the Record Date are entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting.

1

Can I attend the Annual Meeting?

Yes. To gain admission to the Annual Meeting, you will need to show that you are a shareholder of the Company. All shareholders will be required to show valid, government-issued, picture identification or an employee badge issued by the Company. If your shares are registered in your name, your name will be compared to the list of registered shareholders to verify your share ownership. If your shares are held in the name of your broker or bank, you will need to bring evidence of your share ownership, such as your most recent brokerage account statement or a legal proxy from your broker. If you do not have valid picture identification and proof that you own Company shares, you will not be admitted to the Annual Meeting. In the interest of security, all packages and bags are subject to inspection. Please arrive before the start of the Annual Meeting to allow time for identity verification. You may also listen to a live audio webcast of the Annual Meeting at www.discoverfinancial.com.

What proposals am I being asked to vote on?

- 1. The election of the 11 directors named in this Proxy Statement as nominees. (See Proposal 1 on page 5 for more information.)
- 2. An advisory (nonbinding) vote to approve named executive officer compensation. (See Proposal 2 on page 43 for more information.)
- 3. The approval of the amendment and restatement of our Omnibus Incentive Plan (See Proposal 3 on page 44 for more information.)
- 4. The ratification of the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2014. (See Proposal 4 on page 52 for more information.)

How does the Board of Directors recommend that I vote?

- FOR the election of each of the 11 directors named in this Proxy Statement as nominees.
- 2. FOR the approval, on an advisory basis, of named executive officer compensation.
- 3. FOR the approval of the Discover Financial Services Amended and Restated 2014 Omnibus Incentive Plan.
- 4. FOR the ratification of the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2014. What does it mean if I receive more than one set of materials?

This means you hold shares of the Company in more than one way. For example, you may own some shares directly as a registered holder and other shares through a broker or you may own shares through more than one broker. In these situations you may receive multiple sets of proxy materials. In order to vote all of the shares you own, you must follow the voting procedures on each Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials that you receive or sign and return each of the Proxy Cards that you receive. Each Proxy Card you receive comes with its own prepaid return envelope. If you vote by mail, make sure you return each Proxy Card in the return envelope which accompanied that Proxy Card.

Does my vote matter?

YES! We are required to obtain shareholder approval for the election of directors and other important matters. Each share of Common Stock is entitled to one vote on each matter voted upon at the meeting. In order for the Company to obtain the necessary shareholder approval of proposals, a quorum of shareholders (*i.e.*, a majority of the issued and outstanding shares entitled to vote, excluding treasury stock) must be

represented at the Annual Meeting in person or by proxy. If a quorum is not obtained, the Company must postpone the Annual

2

Meeting and solicit additional proxies; this is an expensive and time-consuming process that is not in the best interests of the Company or its shareholders. Since few shareholders typically attend shareholder meetings in person, voting by proxy is important to obtain a quorum and complete the shareholder vote.

How do I vote?

You may vote using any of the following methods:

By Internet or telephone. The Internet and telephone voting procedures we have established for shareholders of record are designed to authenticate your identity, allow you to give your voting instructions and confirm that these instructions have been properly recorded. The availability of Internet and telephone voting for beneficial owners will depend on the voting processes of your broker, bank or nominee. Therefore, we recommend that you follow the voting instructions in the materials you receive.

Proxy Card. Be sure to complete, sign and date the card and return it in the prepaid envelope. If you are a shareholder of record and you return your signed Proxy Card without indicating your voting preferences, the persons named in the Proxy Card will vote FOR the election of directors, FOR the advisory vote to approve named executive officer compensation, FOR the approval of the Discover Financial Services Amended and Restated 2014 Omnibus Incentive Plan (the 2014 Omnibus Incentive Plan) and FOR the ratification of the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2014.

By voting by Internet or telephone, or by returning your signed and dated Proxy Card in time to be received for the Annual Meeting, you authorize Kathryn McNamara Corley and D. Christopher Greene (the *Proxies*) to act as your proxies to vote your shares of Common Stock as instructed in the proxy card.

In person at the Annual Meeting. All shareholders may vote in person at the Annual Meeting. If you are a beneficial owner of shares, you must obtain a legal proxy from your broker, bank or nominee and present it to the Company s inspectors of elections (*Inspector of Elections*) with your ballot when you vote at the meeting.

How many votes are required to approve a proposal?

Each director will be elected by a majority of the votes cast with respect to such director. A majority of the votes cast means that the number of votes cast for a given director exceeds the number of votes cast against that director. Under Delaware law, if a director is not elected at the Annual Meeting, the director will continue to serve on the Board as a holdover director. As required by the Company s By-Laws, each current director has submitted an irrevocable letter of resignation as director that becomes effective if he or she is not elected by shareholders and if the Board accepts such resignation. If a director is not elected, the Nominating and Governance Committee will consider the director s resignation and recommend to the Board whether to accept or reject the resignation. The Board will decide whether to accept or reject the resignation and publicly disclose its decision and, if it rejects the resignation, the rationale behind such decision, within 90 days after the election results for the Annual Meeting are certified.

The advisory (nonbinding) vote to approve named executive officer compensation, the approval of our 2014 Omnibus Incentive Plan and the vote to ratify the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2014 each requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of Common Stock represented at the Annual Meeting and entitled to vote thereon.

You may abstain from voting on any of the proposals in this Proxy Statement. Shares voting abstain on any nominee for director will be excluded entirely from the vote and will have no effect on the election of directors. Shares voting abstain on the advisory vote to approve named executive officer compensation, the approval of our 2014 Omnibus Incentive Plan and on the proposal to ratify the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2014 will be counted as present at the Annual Meeting for purposes of each such applicable proposal, and your abstention will have the effect of a vote against the applicable vote or proposal.

What is the effect of not voting?

The effect of not voting depends on how ownership of your shares is registered and the proposal to be voted upon. If you own shares as a registered holder, rather than through a broker, your unvoted shares will not be represented at the Annual Meeting and will not count toward the quorum requirement. Except as described below, assuming a quorum is obtained, your unvoted shares will not affect whether a proposal is approved or rejected.

If you own shares through a broker and do not vote, your broker may represent your shares at the Annual Meeting for purposes of obtaining a quorum. As described in the answer to the following question, in the absence of your voting instructions, your broker may or may not vote your shares.

If I don t vote, will my broker vote for me?

If you own your shares through a broker and you don't vote, your broker may vote your shares at its discretion on certain routine matters. The Company believes that the ratification of the appointment of Deloitte and Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2014 is a routine matter on which brokers will be permitted to vote any unvoted shares. With respect to other proposals, however, your broker may not be able to vote your shares for you and the aggregate number of unvoted shares is reported as the broker non-vote. Broker non-vote shares are counted toward the quorum requirement but they do not affect the determination of whether a matter is approved.

The Company believes that the election of directors, the advisory vote on named executive officer compensation and the approval of our 2014 Omnibus Incentive Plan and are not routine matters, and brokers will not be permitted to vote any unvoted shares on those matters.

If I own my shares through a broker, how is my vote recorded?

Brokers typically hold shares of Common Stock for many shareholders. In this situation, the registered holder on the Company s stock register is the broker or its nominee. This often is referred to as holding shares in street name. The beneficial owners do not appear in the Company s shareholder register. Therefore, for shares held in street name, distributing the proxy materials and tabulating votes are both two-step processes. Brokers will inform the Company how many of their clients are beneficial owners and the Company will provide the broker with that number of proxy materials. Each broker will then forward the proxy materials to its clients who are beneficial owners to obtain their votes. When you receive proxy materials from your broker, they will provide instructions for sending your vote to your broker. Before the Annual Meeting, each broker will total the votes it has received and submit a Proxy Card reflecting the aggregate votes of the beneficial owners for whom it holds shares.

If I own my shares in the Discover Financial Services 401(k) Plan, how is my vote recorded?

The Bank of New York Mellon (*Mellon*), the trustee and custodian of the Discover 401(k) Plan, must receive your voting instructions for the Common Stock held on your behalf in this plan on or before May 4, 2014. If Mellon does not receive your voting instructions by that date, it will vote your shares, together with forfeited shares in the Discover 401(k) Plan, in the same proportion as the voting instructions that it receives from other Discover 401(k) Plan participants. On March 11, 2014, there were 2,470,820 shares in the Discover 401(k) Plan.

Are my votes confidential?

Yes. The vote of any shareholder will not be revealed to anyone other than a non-employee tabulator of votes or the independent Inspector of Elections, except (i) as necessary to meet legal requirements or to assist in the pursuit or defense of legal action; (ii) if the Company concludes in good faith that a bona fide dispute exists as to the authenticity of one or more proxies, ballots or votes, or as to the accuracy of any tabulation of such

proxies, ballots or votes; (iii) in the event of a proxy contest or other solicitation in opposition to the voting recommendation of the Board; or (iv) if you request, or consent to, disclosure of your vote or if you write comments on your Proxy Card or ballot.

Can I revoke my proxy and change my vote?

Yes. You have the right to revoke your proxy at any time prior to the time your shares are voted. If you are a registered holder, your proxy can be revoked in several ways: (i) by timely delivery of a written revocation to the Corporate Secretary; (ii) by submitting another valid proxy bearing a later date (including by voting on the Internet or telephone or mailing a new Proxy Card); or (iii) by attending the Annual Meeting and giving notice to the Inspector of Elections that you intend to vote your shares in person. If you are the beneficial owner of shares held by a broker, you must contact your broker in order to revoke your proxy.

Will any other business be transacted at the Annual Meeting? If so, how will my proxy be voted?

Management does not know of any business to be transacted at the Annual Meeting other than the matters described in this Proxy Statement. The period specified in the Company s By-Laws for submitting additional proposals to be considered at the Annual Meeting has passed and there are no such proposals to be considered. However, should any other matters properly come before the Annual Meeting, or any adjournments and postponements thereof, shares to which voting authority has been granted to the Proxies will be voted by the Proxies in accordance with their judgment.

Who counts the votes?

Votes will be counted and certified by the Inspector of Elections, who are employees of Computershare Shareowner Services (*Computershare*). If you are a registered holder, your executed Proxy Card is returned directly to Computershare for tabulation. As noted above, if you hold your shares through a broker, your broker returns one Proxy Card to Computershare on behalf of all its clients.

How much does the proxy solicitation cost?

The largest expense in the proxy process is printing and mailing the proxy materials. We also reimburse brokers, fiduciaries and custodians for their costs in forwarding proxy materials to beneficial owners of our Common Stock. Proxies may be solicited on behalf of the Company by directors, officers or employees of the Company in person or by mail, telephone, over the Internet or facsimile transmission. No additional compensation will be paid to such directors, officers, or employees for soliciting proxies. The Company will bear the entire cost of solicitation of proxies, including the preparation, assembly, printing and mailing of this Proxy Statement and the accompanying Proxy Card, Notice of Annual Meeting and Annual Report to Shareholders. The Company has retained Georgeson Inc. to assist with the solicitation of proxies from certain shareholders, including additional shareholder meeting services, for a fee of approximately \$12,500 plus reimbursement for certain expenses.

PROPOSAL 1

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

All of the director nominees set forth below stand for election at each annual meeting of shareholders. Each director holds office until his or her successor has been duly elected and qualified or the director searlier resignation, death or removal. Other than Ms. Duncan, the nominees below are current directors of Discover Financial Services, and each such nominee has indicated that he or she will serve if elected. Ms. Duncan is a new director nominee, as further described under Corporate Governance - Nomination of Directors, and she has indicated that she will serve if elected. We do not anticipate that any nominee will be unable or unwilling to stand for election, but if that happens, your proxy will be voted for another person nominated by the Board. The

5

Board may also choose to reduce the number of directors to be elected, as permitted by our By-Laws. The experience, qualifications, attributes and skills of each of the Company s director nominees are set forth below.

The Board believes that an effective board consists of a diverse group of individuals who bring a variety of complementary skills and experiences. The Nominating and Governance Committee and the Board consider the skills and experiences of the directors in the broader context of the Board's overall composition, with a view toward constituting a board that has the best skill set and experience to oversee the Company's business. As indicated below, our directors have a combined wealth of leadership experience derived from extensive service guiding large, complex organizations as executive leaders or board members, and in government and academia. They collectively have substantive knowledge and skills applicable to our business, including in the areas of regulation, public accounting and financial reporting, finance, risk management, business development, technology, marketing, operations, strategic planning, management development and succession, compensation, corporate governance, public policy, international matters, banking, and financial services. The Nominating and Governance Committee regularly reviews the composition of the Board and its assessment of the Board's performance in light of our evolving business requirements to ensure that the Board has the appropriate mix of skills and experiences needed for the broad set of challenges that the Company confronts.

Information Concerning Nominees for Election as Directors

Jeffrey S. Aronin, 46. Director since 2007. Mr. Aronin is chairman and chief executive officer of Paragon Pharmaceuticals, a global development and biopharmaceutical investment firm, and is chairman and chief executive officer of Marathon Pharmaceuticals, a prescription pharmaceutical company. From 2000 to 2009, Mr. Aronin was president and chief executive officer of Ovation Pharmaceuticals Inc., a biopharmaceutical company he founded in 2000. In 2009, Ovation Pharmaceuticals was acquired by Lundbeck, Inc. Mr. Aronin served as president and chief executive officer of Lundbeck, Inc. in 2009 during its acquisition and integration of Ovation Pharmaceuticals. He is the former chairman and chief executive officer at MedCare Technologies Inc., a publicly held healthcare company.

Mr. Aronin has experience as a chief executive officer leading a global pharmaceutical company. His skills include knowledge of strategy and business development, finance and marketing. He brings valuable leadership experience and knowledge in operations and the day-to-day management of a global corporation. Mr. Aronin also has experience in the structuring and execution of strategic corporate transactions, including mergers and acquisitions.

Mary K. Bush, 65. Director since 2007. Ms. Bush has served as chairman of Bush International, LLC, a financial and business strategy advisory firm, since 1991 and as senior managing director of Brock Capital Group, LLC, an advisory and investment banking firm, since 2010. Ms. Bush is a member of the board of directors of ManTech International Corporation, Marriott International and T. Rowe Price Group. In the past five years, she has also served as a director of UAL Corporation, Briggs & Stratton and the Pioneer Family of Mutual Funds.

Ms. Bush brings extensive financial market, banking, government and international experience to the Board. She advises U.S. companies and foreign governments on international financial markets, banking and economic matters. Prior to that, she served as managing director of the Federal Housing Finance Board, where she established financial policies and oversaw management and safety and soundness for the 12 Federal Home Loan Banks. She also has acted as vice president and head of International Finance of Fannie Mae and the U.S. Alternate Executive Director of the International Monetary Fund Board. In 2007, she served on the U.S. Department of the Treasury s Advisory Commission on the Auditing Profession. Ms. Bush brings a broad understanding of the operations and business and economic challenges of public companies and the financial services industry.

Gregory C. Case, *51*. Director since 2007. Mr. Case has been president and chief executive officer of Aon Corporation since 2005 and is a member of Aon s Board of Directors. Prior to joining Aon, Mr. Case was with McKinsey & Company, an international management consulting firm, for 17 years, most recently serving as head of the Financial Services Practice. Prior to joining McKinsey, he worked for the investment banking firm of Piper, Jaffray and Hopwood and the Federal Reserve Bank in Kansas City.

6

Mr. Case has approximately 20 years of experience in the insurance and financial services industries, including in the areas of risk management services, insurance and reinsurance brokerage, and through his management consulting and banking experience. He brings valuable leadership experience and knowledge in business operations and the day-to-day management of a large global financial corporation. His skills include strategy and business development, risk management and people management.

Candace H. Duncan, 60. New director nominee. Ms. Duncan retired from KPMG LLP in November 2013 where she was managing partner of the Washington, D.C. metropolitan area since 2009. Ms. Duncan also was on the KPMG LLP board of directors from 2009 to 2013, and served as chair of the board sominating committee as well as the partnership and employer of choice committee. Prior thereto, she served in various roles at the firm, including managing partner for audit for the Midatlantic area and audit partner in charge for the Virginia business unit.

Ms. Duncan was admitted to the KPMG LLP partnership in 1987 and has more than 35 years of experience as a professional with the firm.

Ms. Duncan has experience leading and managing a large accounting firm s growth priorities across its audit, tax and advisory functions in key markets. In addition, she has a strong financial and accounting background, gained through her many years of experience at KPMG LLP, including her experience as a lead audit partner for major international and domestic companies. She has served clients on a wide range of accounting and operational issues, public securities issuances and strategic corporate transactions. Her thorough knowledge of public company accounting, financial statements and corporate finance is of significant value to the Company.

Cynthia A. Glassman, Ph.D., 66. Director since 2009. Dr. Glassman was appointed by President Bush as Under Secretary for Economic Affairs at the U.S. Department of Commerce from 2006 to 2009 and as Commissioner of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission from 2002 to 2006. Dr. Glassman is a director of Navigant Consulting, Inc. She also is a Senior Research Scholar at the Institute for Corporate Responsibility at the George Washington University School of Business.

Dr. Glassman brings extensive regulatory, governance, risk management, financial services and banking experience to the Board. She holds a Ph.D. in economics and has spent over 40 years in the public and private sectors focusing on financial services regulatory and public policy issues. She held various positions over 12 years at the Federal Reserve, including as Chief of the Financial Reports Section and an Economist in the Capital Markets Section. She also has 15 years of experience in financial services consulting, including as a Principal of Ernst & Young and Managing Director of Furash & Company. Through her experience, she brings a thorough and insightful perspective to a wide range of banking, financial, risk management, regulatory and corporate governance issues.

Richard H. Lenny, 62. Director since 2009. Mr. Lenny has been an operating partner with Friedman Fleischer & Lowe LLC, a private equity firm, since 2011. Since July 2013, he has served as non-executive chairman of Information Research, Inc., a leading market research company. Mr. Lenny was chairman, president and chief executive officer of The Hershey Company, a manufacturer, distributor and marketer of chocolate and non-chocolate candy, snacks and candy-related grocery products, from March 2001 until his retirement in December 2007. From 1998 to 2001, Mr. Lenny was President of Nabisco Biscuit Company, which became a subsidiary of Kraft Foods, Inc. in 2000. Mr. Lenny is a director of McDonald s Corporation and ConAgra Foods.

Mr. Lenny has experience as a chief executive officer for a global retail company that is a major consumer brand. Mr. Lenny s skills include knowledge of strategy and business development, finance, marketing and consumer insights. He has extensive marketing experience with strong consumer brands that is of critical importance to Discover. He also brings valuable leadership experience and knowledge in operations and the day-to-day management of a large global corporation.

Thomas G. Maheras, 51. Director since 2008. Mr. Maheras has been the founding partner of Tegean Capital Management, LLC since 2008. Mr. Maheras was chairman and co-chief executive officer of Citigroup

7

Inc. s Markets and Banking in 2007. From 2004 to 2007, Mr. Maheras was chief executive officer of global capital markets at Citigroup. Mr. Maheras was formerly chairman of the U.S. Treasury Borrowing Advisory Committee and a director of the Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association.

Mr. Maheras has extensive risk management, banking and capital markets experience, including 23 years at Citigroup where his responsibilities included leading the global capital markets business. He also brings valuable leadership experience and knowledge in operations and the day-to-day management of a global financial services organization. Mr. Maheras financial background and banking and financial services experience includes a knowledge of financial statements, corporate finance, accounting and capital markets.

Michael H. Moskow, 76. Director since 2007. Mr. Moskow retired as president and chief executive officer of the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago in 2007, where he had served since 1994. Mr. Moskow serves on the board of directors of Taylor Capital Group Inc., Education Corporation of America and Commonwealth Edison Company, a subsidiary of Exelon Corporation. In the past five years, he has also served as a director of Diamond Management and Technology Consultants and Northern Trust Mutual Funds.

Mr. Moskow brings extensive regulatory, financial services and banking experience to the Board and has extensive knowledge of the economy and financial markets. He is currently vice chairman & senior fellow on the global economy at The Chicago Council on Global Affairs. From 1993 to 1994, he was a full-time faculty member at Northwestern University s Kellogg School of Management. Prior to teaching at Northwestern, Mr. Moskow was a Deputy U.S. Trade Representative, following his appointment by President Bush in 1991. From 1969 to 1977, he held a number of senior positions with the U.S. government, including undersecretary of labor at the U.S. Department of Labor, director of the Council on Wage and Price Stability and senior staff economist with the Council of Economic Advisers. Through his senior regulatory positions, particularly in the financial services arena, and service on the boards of other financial institutions, he brings a thorough and insightful perspective to a wide range of banking, financial, regulatory and risk management issues.

David W. Nelms, *53.* Director since 1998 and Chairman since 2009. Mr. Nelms has served as our chief executive officer since 2004 and was president and chief operating officer from 1998 to 2004. Mr. Nelms was also our Chairman from 2004 until the June 2007 spin-off from Morgan Stanley, our former parent company. In January 2014, Mr. Nelms was elected to the board of directors of CDW Corporation, a leading provider of technology solutions to business, government, education and healthcare. Prior to joining Discover, Mr. Nelms worked at MBNA America Bank from 1991 to 1998, most recently as a vice chairman. From 1990 to 1991, Mr. Nelms was a senior product manager for Progressive Insurance. From 1986 to 1990, Mr. Nelms was a management consultant with Bain & Company.

Mr. Nelms deep understanding of the Company s business and industry provides critical expertise to the Company and makes him well-qualified to serve as Chairman. He also brings valuable leadership experience and knowledge of operations and the day-to-day management of a global financial corporation.

Mark A. Thierer, *54.* Director since 2013. Mr. Thierer is chairman and chief executive officer of Catamaran Corporation, a pharmacy benefit management services and healthcare information technology solutions company and is a member of Catamaran s board of directors. From 2008-2011, Mr. Thierer was president and chief executive officer of Catamaran and from 2006-2008, he served as its president and chief operating officer.

Mr. Thierer has experience as a chief executive officer leading a national pharmacy benefit and healthcare information technology solutions company. His skills include knowledge of strategy and business development, technology, finance and marketing. He brings valuable leadership experience and knowledge in operations and the day-to-day management of a national corporation. Mr. Thierer also has experience in the structuring and execution of strategic corporate transactions, including mergers and acquisitions.

Lawrence A. Weinbach, 74. Director since 2007 and Lead Director since 2009. Mr. Weinbach has been chairman of Great Western Products Holdings LLC, a manufacturer and master distributor of food and nonfood

8

concession products, since January 2009 and has been a managing director of Yankee Hill Capital Management LLC, a private equity firm, since 2006. Prior to that, he was the executive chairman of Unisys Corporation, a worldwide information services and technology company, from 2005 to 2006, and its chairman and chief executive officer from 1997 to 2004. In the last five years, he also served as a director of Quadra Realty Trust, UBS, AG and Avon Products, Inc.

Mr. Weinbach has experience in the financial and accounting industry and the information technology and financial services sectors. He began his career in 1961 at Arthur Andersen, ultimately serving as managing partner and chief executive of Andersen Worldwide, a global professional services organization, which included Arthur Andersen and the company now known as Accenture from 1989 to 1997. Mr. Weinbach s strong financial background, gained through his private equity, accounting, investment banking and financial services experience, includes knowledge of risk management, governance, financial statements, corporate finance, accounting and capital markets. As a former chief executive officer, he also brings valuable leadership experience and knowledge of operations, corporate governance and the day-to-day management of a global corporation.

The Board recommends that you vote FOR the election of each director nominee. Proxies solicited by our Board will be voted FOR the election of each nominee unless otherwise instructed.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Director Independence

Our Board of Directors adopted our Corporate Governance Policies, which contain the director independence guidelines and provide that a majority of the members of the Board and each member of the Audit and Risk Committee, the Compensation and Leadership Development Committee and the Nominating and Governance Committee must consist of directors who are independent. The Board uses these guidelines to assist it in determining whether or not directors qualify as independent pursuant to the guidelines and the requirements set forth in the New York Stock Exchange s Corporate Governance Rules (the *Rules*). In each case, the Board broadly considers all relevant facts and circumstances and applies the guidelines and the Rules in determining whether or not directors qualify as independent. Our Corporate Governance Policies are available in the Investor Relations Corporate Governance section of *www.discoverfinancial.com* and in print free of charge to any shareholder who requests a copy.

Pursuant to our Corporate Governance Policies and the Rules, the Board reviewed the independence of all of our current directors. During this review, the Board considered transactions and relationships between each director or any member of his or her immediate family (or any entity of which a director or an immediate family member is an executive officer, general partner or significant equity holder) and the Company and its subsidiaries and affiliates. The Board also considered whether there were any transactions or relationships between directors or any member of their immediate family and members of the Company s senior management. The purpose of this review was to determine whether any such relationships or transactions existed that were inconsistent with a determination that the director is independent.

As a result of this review, the Board affirmatively determined that Jeffrey S. Aronin, Mary K. Bush, Gregory C. Case, Candace H. Duncan, Cynthia A. Glassman, Richard H. Lenny, Thomas G. Maheras, Michael H. Moskow, E. Follin Smith, Mark A. Thierer and Lawrence A. Weinbach are independent of the Company and its management under the standards set forth in the Corporate Governance Policies and the Rules. The Board determined that one of our directors, David W. Nelms, is not independent because of his employment as our Chief Executive Officer.

In determining that each of the directors other than Mr. Nelms is independent, the Board considered, among other things, certain relationships, which it determined were immaterial to the directors independence. The Board considered that the Company and its subsidiaries in the ordinary course of business have, during the last three years, sold products and services to, and/or purchased products and services from, companies at which

q

some of our directors were officers during 2013. In each case, the amount paid to or received from these companies in each of the last three years did not exceed the greater of \$1,000,000 or 2% of that organization s consolidated gross revenues, the threshold set forth in our Corporate Governance Policies and the Rules.

Board Meetings and Committees

Our Board held 11 meetings during 2013. Each director attended at least 75% or more of the total number of meetings of the Board and the standing committees on which such director served that were held while the director was a member. Our Board has established the following standing committees: Audit and Risk, Compensation and Leadership Development, and Nominating and Governance. The membership and function of each committee and the number of meetings held by each committee during 2013 is described below.

Committee Audit and Risk	Members Ms. Smith (Chair) Ms. Bush	Primary Responsibilities Oversee the integrity of our consolidated financial statements, our system of internal control over financial reporting, our risk management, and the qualifications and independence of our independent registered public accounting firm.	# of Meetings 10
	Dr. Glassman Mr. Maheras Mr. Moskow	Oversee the performance of our internal auditor and independent registered public accounting firm and our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements.	
		Sole authority and responsibility to select, determine the compensation of, evaluate and, when appropriate, replace our independent registered public accounting firm.	
Compensation and Leadership Development	Mr. Case (Chair) Mr. Aronin	Annually review and approve the corporate goals and objectives relevant to the compensation of the Chief Executive Officer and evaluate his performance in light of these goals.	7
	Mr. Lenny Mr. Thierer	Determine the compensation of our executive officers and other appropriate officers.	
		Oversee risk management associated with our compensation practices.	
		Administer our incentive and equity-based compensation plans.	
		Oversee plans for management development and succession.	

Nominating and Governance	Mr. Weinbach (Chair) Ms. Bush	Identify and recommend candidates for election to our Board and each Board committee.	5
	Mr. Lenny	Establish procedures for oversight of the evaluation of our Board and management.	
		Recommend director compensation and benefits.	
		Review annually our Corporate Governance Policies.	

10

Our Board has adopted a written charter for each of the Audit and Risk, Compensation and Leadership Development and Nominating and Governance Committees setting forth the roles and responsibilities of each committee. The committee charters are available in the Investor Relations Corporate Governance section of www.discoverfinancial.com.

All members of the Audit and Risk, Compensation and Leadership Development and the Nominating and Governance Committees satisfy the standards of independence applicable to members of such committees. In addition, the Board has determined that all members of the Audit and Risk Committee are financially literate and that Ms. Bush, Dr. Glassman, Mr. Moskow and Ms. Smith are audit committee financial experts as such term is defined in Item 407(d) of Regulation S-K under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the *Exchange Act*) and have accounting or related financial management expertise.

Board Attendance at Annual Shareholder Meeting

The Company s Corporate Governance Policies state that each director will attend annual meetings of shareholders unless he or she is unable to attend a meeting due to extenuating circumstances. All of our current directors attended the 2013 annual meeting of shareholders.

Nomination of Directors

The Nominating and Governance Committee is responsible for identifying, screening and recommending candidates to the Board. The Committee may consider director candidates from a wide range of sources, including shareholders, officers and directors. The Board is responsible for nominating directors for election by the shareholders and filling any vacancies on the Board that may occur.

The Committee recommends director candidates to the full Board after considering the candidate s experience, qualifications, attributes and skills and after receiving input from all directors. Members of the Committee and other directors interviewed Ms. Duncan to assess her as a director candidate. The Committee discussed Ms. Duncan as a director nominee with the full Board. The Board unanimously approved Ms. Duncan as a director nominee.

Director Qualifications

Our Corporate Governance Policies describe our director qualifications. The Board seeks members who combine a broad spectrum of experience and expertise with a reputation for integrity. Directors should have experience in positions with a high degree of responsibility and be leaders in the companies or institutions with which they are affiliated. Directors should be selected based upon their potential contributions to the Board and management and their ability to represent the interests of shareholders. Also, the Board will consider the diversity of a candidate s perspectives, background and other demographics.

Board Leadership Structure

The Board currently combines the positions of Chief Executive Officer and Chairman and has appointed a lead independent director (the *Lead Director*). The Board has designated Lawrence A. Weinbach, who is Chairman of the Nominating and Governance Committee, as the Lead Director. The Lead Director:

Presides at all meetings of the Board at which the Chairman is not present, and has the authority to call, and will lead, non-employee director sessions and independent director sessions;

Helps facilitate communication between the Chairman and the independent directors;

Advises the Chairman s informational needs:

11

Approves Board meeting agenda items and the schedule of Board meetings; and

May request inclusion of additional agenda items for Board meetings.

The Board believes that the combined position of Chief Executive Officer and Chairman enhances the effectiveness of the Board and, therefore, that the current Board leadership structure is most appropriate for the Company. Because of his position as Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Nelms is the director most familiar with Discover s business and industry and best positioned to set and execute the Company s strategic priorities. Mr. Nelms leadership, driven by his deep business and financial services expertise, enhances the Board s ability to exercise its responsibilities. In addition, this model provides enhanced efficiency, effective decision-making and clear accountability. The Lead Director further strengthens the Board s independence and autonomous oversight of our business as well as Board communication and effectiveness. The Board evaluates its leadership structure periodically, including the appointment of the Lead Director.

Non-Employee Director Meetings

In accordance with our Corporate Governance Policies, the non-employee directors meet regularly in executive sessions without management present. Our Corporate Governance Policies also require that if any non-employee directors are not independent, then the independent directors will meet in a separate independent director session at least once per year. Currently, all non-employee directors are independent. The Lead Director, who is independent, presides over executive and independent director sessions.

Board Role in Risk Oversight

The Board is responsible for approving the Company s risk management framework, which includes the Company s Enterprise Risk Management Policy and certain additional risk management policies. The Board receives reports of material exceptions to such policies. Additionally, the Board approves the risk appetite and limits, and capital targets and thresholds of the Company. It also appoints the Chief Risk Officer, and other risk management function leaders as appropriate.

The Board regularly devotes time during its meetings to review and discuss the most significant risks facing the Company, and management s responses to those risks. During these discussions, the Chief Executive Officer, the General Counsel, the Chief Financial Officer and/or the Chief Risk Officer present management s assessment of risks, a description of the most significant risks facing the Company and any mitigating factors and plans or practices in place to address and monitor those risks. The Board has also delegated certain of its risk oversight responsibilities to its committees.

Consistent with the New York Stock Exchange listing standards, to which the Company is subject, the Board has delegated to the Audit and Risk Committee responsibility for oversight of the Company is practices with respect to risk assessment and risk management, and for discussing with management the major risk exposures facing the Company and the steps the Company has taken to monitor and control such exposures. In this regard, the charter of the Audit and Risk Committee requires the Committee to review the Company is framework for assessing and managing the risk exposures of the Company, including credit, market, liquidity and operational risks, and the steps management has taken to monitor and control such risk exposures. The Committee also is required to review reports from management on the Company is enterprise-wide risk management program, including the status of and changes to risk exposures, policies, procedures and practices, and the adequacy of risk parameters that have been established for each area of enterprise risk. The Committee also is required to discuss with the risk management function whether it has the appropriate resources, independence and authority to fulfill its responsibilities.

The Audit and Risk Committee is solely comprised of independent directors. During the Committee s discussion of risk, the Company s General Counsel, Chief Financial Officer, Chief Risk Officer, Chief Compliance Officer and Internal Auditor present information and participate in discussions with the Committee

12

regarding risk and risk management. The Committee also authorizes the Company s Risk Committee, which is comprised of the members of the Company s Executive Committee and the Discover Bank President. The Chief Risk Officer, a member of the Executive Committee, serves as the Risk Committee chair. Our Risk Committee provides a forum for key members of our executive management team to review and discuss credit, market, liquidity, operational, legal and compliance and strategic risks across the Company and for each business unit. The Risk Committee regularly reports to the Audit and Risk Committee on risks and risk management.

The Compensation and Leadership Development Committee, which is solely comprised of independent directors, directly oversees the risk management associated with the Company s compensation practices, including an annual review of the Company s risk assessment of its compensation policies and practices for its employees and the Company s succession planning process.

As noted above, the Board believes that its leadership structure is appropriate for the Company. The Board believes that its leadership structure consisting of the combination of the combined Chief Executive Officer and Chairman, the Lead Director and the roles of the Board and its committees, provide the appropriate leadership to help ensure effective risk oversight.

Communications with Directors

Shareholders and other interested parties may contact any member of our Board by writing to: Discover Financial Services, 2500 Lake Cook Road, Riverwoods, Illinois 60015, Attention: Secretary and General Counsel. All communications should be accompanied by the following information: (i) if the person submitting the communication is a security holder, a statement of the type and amount of the securities of the Company that the person holds; (ii) if the person submitting the communication is not a security holder and is submitting the communication to the non-management directors as an interested party, the nature of the person s interest in the Company; (iii) any special interest, meaning an interest not in the capacity of a shareholder of the Company, of the person in the subject matter of the communication; and (iv) the address, telephone number and e-mail address, if any, of the person submitting the communication. The Board's Policy Regarding Communications by Shareholders and Other Interested Parties with the Board of Directors is available in the Investor Relations Corporate Governance section of our website, www.discoverfinancial.com. Shareholder and interested party communications received in this manner will be handled in accordance with procedures approved by our independent directors.

Shareholder Recommendations for Director Candidates

Our Nominating and Governance Committee is responsible for identifying individuals qualified to become Board members consistent with the Board qualification criteria described above and set forth in the Company s Corporate Governance Policies. The Committee may consider director candidates recommended by shareholders. The procedures to submit recommendations are described in the Policy Regarding Director Candidates Recommended by Shareholders, available in the Investor Relations Corporate Governance section of www.discoverfinancial.com.

Shareholders who wish to recommend a candidate for the Committee's consideration must submit the recommendation in writing in accordance with the Board's Policy Regarding Communications by Shareholders and Other Interested Parties with the Board of Directors discussed above. Shareholders may make recommendations at any time, but recommendations for consideration as nominees at the annual meeting of shareholders must be received not less than 120 days before the first anniversary of the date that the proxy statement was released to shareholders in connection with the previous year's annual meeting. In 2013, there were no director candidates submitted by shareholders. To submit a candidate for consideration for nomination at the 2015 annual meeting of shareholders, shareholders must submit the recommendation, in writing, by November 28, 2014. The written notice must demonstrate that it is being submitted by a shareholder of record of the Company and include information about each proposed director candidate, including name, age, business

13

address, principal occupation, principal qualifications and other relevant biographical information. In addition, the shareholder must confirm the candidate s consent to serve as a director. Shareholders must send recommendations to Discover Financial Services, 2500 Lake Cook Road, Riverwoods, Illinois 60015, Attention: Secretary and General Counsel, and they will be forwarded to the Committee.

The Nominating and Governance Committee identifies, evaluates and recommends director candidates to the Board. The Committee shareholder recommendations of director candidates and evaluates such candidates in the same manner as other candidates. The Committee determines the need for additional or replacement Board members, then identifies and evaluates the director candidate under the criteria described above based on the information the Committee receives with the recommendation or which it otherwise possesses, which may be supplemented by certain inquiries. If the Committee determines, in consultation with other directors, including the Chairman of the Board, that a more comprehensive evaluation is warranted, the Committee may then obtain additional information about the director candidate s background and experience, including by means of interviews. The Committee will then evaluate the director candidate further, again using the qualification criteria described above. The Committee receives input on such director candidates from other directors, including the Chairman of the Board, and recommends director candidates to the full Board for nomination. The Committee may engage a third party to assist in identifying director candidates or to assist in gathering information regarding a director candidate s background and experience. If the Committee engages a third party, the Committee approves the fee that the Company pays for these services.

In addition, shareholders may nominate director candidates by complying with our By-Law provisions discussed at the end of this Proxy Statement under the heading Shareholder Proposals for the 2015 Annual Meeting.

EXECUTIVE AND DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

Executive Compensation

The Compensation and Leadership Development Committee (*Compensation Committee* or *Committee*) is responsible for the review and approval of the Company s executive compensation program. The Committee works with its independent consultant, Pearl Meyer & Partners, LLC (*Pearl Meyer*), to develop recommendations for the Committee. Members of the Company s senior management and human resources department work with the Company s compensation consultant, Meridian Compensation Partners, LLC (*Meridian*).

Role of the Compensation and Leadership Development Committee

The Compensation Committee is responsible for the review and approval of all aspects of the Company s executive compensation program and makes all decisions regarding the compensation of the Company s executive officers named in the executive compensation tables below (*NEOs*). Specifically, the Committee has responsibility to, among other things:

review, approve and administer all compensation programs affecting NEOs and ensure such plans are aligned with the Company s compensation structure policies;

annually review and approve:

- ; performance criteria, goals and award vehicles used in our compensation plans, and
- performance of and compensation delivered to our Chief Executive Officer and other NEOs;

review the Company s compensation practices to ensure that such practices take into account risk outcomes in making compensation determinations and do not encourage excessive risk taking;

oversee the Company s management development and succession planning efforts; and

review and approve any contracts, policies, or programs related to compensation, contractual arrangements, or severance plans affecting NEOs.

As described below under Compensation Discussion and Analysis Role of Chief Executive Officer in Compensation Decisions, the Committee consults with the Chief Executive Officer with respect to the compensation of the other NEOs. The Chief Executive Officer consults with the President and Chief Operating Officer with respect to those NEOs who report to the President and Chief Operating Officer prior to presenting compensation recommendations with respect to those NEOs to the Committee.

The Committee's charter is available in the Investor Relations Corporate Governance section of the Company's website at www.discoverfinancial.com.

Compensation and Leadership Development Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

The following persons served on our Compensation Committee during 2013 and the December 2012 transition period: Messrs. Case, Aronin, Lenny and Thierer. No member of the Committee was, during 2013 or the December 2012 transition period, an officer, former officer or employee of the Company or any of our subsidiaries. None of our executives served as a member of (i) the compensation committee of another entity in which one of the executive officers of such entity served on our Compensation Committee or (ii) the compensation committee of another entity in which one of the executive officers of such entity served as a member of our Board.

Role of the Compensation Consultants

The Compensation Committee regularly consults with its external independent compensation consultant, Pearl Meyer, in performing its duties. The Committee has broad authority to retain and dismiss compensation consultants, as well as to establish the scope of the consultant s work. While the consultant reports to the Committee, the consultant also works with the Company s human resources department and senior management as approved by the Committee Chair. Pearl Meyer provides experiential guidance to the Committee on what is considered fair and competitive practice in the industry, primarily with respect to the compensation of the Chief Executive Officer, but also for other senior Company officers. Pearl Meyer is independent of management and under the terms of its agreement with the Compensation Committee, Pearl Meyer will generally provide services only to the Compensation Committee. Other than executive compensation consulting services noted above, Pearl Meyer performs no other services for the Company and has no relationship with the Company or management except as it may relate to performing such services. The Compensation Committee has assessed the independence of Pearl Meyer pursuant to SEC rules and concluded that no conflict of interest exists that would prevent Pearl Meyer from independently representing the Committee.

The Company has retained Meridian to advise our management on executive and director compensation matters. Meridian provides competitive compensation program and policy data as well as information concerning industry practices. The Compensation Committee has assessed the independence of Meridian pursuant to SEC rules. The Committee noted that Meridian is retained by management and has concluded that no conflict of interest exists that would prevent Meridian from independently providing advice to management. As noted above, the Committee separately and independently retains its own independent compensation consultant to advise the Committee.

Director Compensation

We have adopted the Directors Compensation Plan to establish our directors annual compensation and to further advance the interest of the Company and its shareholders by encouraging increased share ownership by our non-employee directors in order to promote long-term shareholder value. Our directors are required to retain a certain amount of stock as described in the section below titled Share Ownership Guidelines.

15

Directors who also are our employees do not receive any compensation under the Directors Compensation Plan. The compensation under the Directors Compensation Plan is described below.

Cash Compensation

Each non-employee director receives the following cash compensation under the Directors Compensation Plan for service on our Board and each standing committee of our Board:

An annual retainer fee of \$75,000;

A Lead Director retainer fee of \$75,000;

A committee chair retainer fee of \$25,000 for the chairperson of each standing committee of our Board other than the Audit and Risk Committee;

A committee chair retainer fee of \$50,000 for the chairperson of the Audit and Risk Committee; and

A non-chair committee membership fee of: (i) \$15,000 for each member of the Audit and Risk Committee; (ii) \$10,000 for each member of the Compensation Committee; and (iii) \$5,000 for each member of the Nominating and Governance Committee. Each non-employee director may elect to defer receipt of their cash compensation under the Directors Voluntary Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plan until the director terminates all services for the Company. A bookkeeping account is maintained for each participant and interest is credited to the deferred amount based on 120% of the quarterly long-term applicable federal rate in effect.

Equity Compensation

Pursuant to the Directors Compensation Plan, we may issue awards of up to a total of 1,000,000 shares of Common Stock to our non-employee directors. Each non-employee director receives an annual grant of \$125,000 in restricted stock units (RSUs) for service on our Board and each standing committee of our Board beginning with the first annual meeting at which the director is elected to our Board. For those directors joining our Board on a date other than the date of an annual meeting, each director receives a grant of \$125,000 in RSUs on the date on which the director becomes a member of our Board, adjusted by one-12th for each month before the next annual meeting of shareholders.

The number of RSUs granted is determined by dividing the dollar amount by our share closing price on the date of grant. Each grant vests in its entirety on the first anniversary of its date of grant. Unless provided otherwise in the RSU agreement, RSUs granted to each non-employee director may become fully vested before the end of the regular restriction period if (i) such director is terminated due to disability or death or (ii) a change in control occurs. Upon vesting, the RSUs are converted into shares of Common Stock. Each non-employee director may elect to defer the receipt of his or her equity compensation until the director terminates all services for the Company. Directors currently receive dividend payments on their RSUs. A bookkeeping account is maintained for each participant, which reflects the number of RSUs to which the participant is entitled under the terms of the Directors Compensation Plan.

Reimbursements

Directors are reimbursed for reasonable expenses incurred in attending Board, committee and shareholder meetings, including reasonable expenses for travel, meals and lodging.

Role of the Nominating and Governance Committee

The Nominating and Governance Committee is responsible for reviewing the effectiveness of the non-employee director compensation and benefits programs in supporting the Company s ability to attract, retain and motivate qualified directors. If appropriate, the Committee will

recommend changes to the Board regarding non-employee director compensation and benefits.

16

Non-Employee Director Compensation Table

The table below sets forth cash and equity compensation (including deferred compensation) paid to non-employee directors for their Board service in December 2012 and the year ended December 31, 2013.

Director		Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)(1)	All Other Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
	2013	85,000	124,993		209,993
Jeffrey S. Aronin(2)					
	Dec 2012	7,083			7,083
Mary K. Bush	2013	95,000	124,993		219,993
	Dec 2012	7,917			7,917
Gregory C. Case(2)	2013	100,000	124,993		224,993
	Dec 2012	8,333			8,333
Robert M. Devlin	2013	42,500			42,500
	Dec 2012	7,083			7,083
Cynthia A. Glassman(4)	2013	97,500	124,993		222,493
	Dec 2012	7,500			7,500
Richard H. Lenny	2013	90,000	124,993		214,993
	Dec 2012	7,500			7,500
Thomas G. Maheras(4)	2013	97,500	124,993		222,493
	Dec 2012	7,500			7,500
Michael H. Moskow(3)(4)	2013	97,500	124,993		222,493
	Dec 2012	7,500			7,500
E. Follin Smith	2013	125,000	124,993		249,993
	Dec 2012	10,417			10,417
Mark A. Thierer(2)	2013	60,014	124,993		185,006
	Dec 2012				
Lawrence A. Weinbach	2013	175,000	124,993		299,993
	Dec 2012	14,583			14,583

⁽¹⁾ Reflects RSUs granted under the Directors Compensation Plan described above. Amounts reflect the grant date fair value of the fiscal 2013 RSUs which were granted on April 17, 2013. These amounts reflect the RSUs grant date fair value in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718, Stock Compensation (FASB ASC Topic 718) and may not correspond to the actual value that might be

realized by the named individuals. Additional details on accounting for stock-based compensation can be found in Note 2: Summary of Significant Accounting Policies - Stock-based Compensation and Note 11: Stock-Based Compensation Plans of our consolidated financial statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013. As of December 31, 2013, each director held the following number of RSUs: Messrs. Aronin and Case and Ms. Bush each held 55,016; Dr. Glassman held 2,941; Mr. Lenny held 20,079; Mr. Maheras held 31,768; Mr. Moskow held 47,931; Mr. Thierer held 2,941; Ms. Smith held 22,690; and Mr. Weinbach held 39,828. RSUs include the right to receive dividend equivalents in the same amount and at the same time as dividends paid to all Discover common shareholders.

- (2) The amounts listed for these individuals in the Fees Earned or Paid in Cash column were deferred under the Directors Voluntary Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plan.
- (3) The amount listed in the Fees Earned or Paid in Cash column for Mr. Moskow includes \$22,500 that was deferred under the Directors Voluntary Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plan.
- (4) Includes a one-time committee membership fee of \$7,500 for each member of the Capital Planning Special Committee.

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

This Compensation Discussion and Analysis focuses on the Company's executive officers who are named in the tables below and who are referred to as our *named executive officers* or *NEOs*. We summarize below our executive compensation program and objectives and provide an overview of how and why the Compensation and Leadership Development Committee (the *Committee*) made specific decisions involving our NEOs. We also refer you to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 for additional information regarding 2013 financial results for our Company discussed below.

17

Overview of Performance and Compensation

We achieved record financial results in 2013 driven by strong performance in the Direct Banking segment, which more than offset the earnings pressure in the Payment Services segment. Highlights include:

Net income of \$2,470 million, which exceeded 2013 Plan target of \$1,734 million by 42%. Even excluding the earnings benefit from decreases in our loan-loss reserves, earnings exceeded Plan.

Year-over-year growth in total loans of 5%, supported by Discover card sales, which increased 4%, and strong absolute and relative credit performance as Discover card achieved the lowest charge-off rate in its 27-year history.

The launch of Discover Cashback Checking and home equity loans, which expanded the Company s direct banking product offerings.

Decline in Payment Services segment pre-tax income by 55%, with a slight decrease in transaction volume from prior year. The earnings decline was driven primarily by challenged Diners Club European franchises and margin compression on debit transaction volume.

Strong execution against the long-term strategy for the Payments Services segment, as the Company signed a number of net-to-net, alternative payments, and new franchisee relationships that the Company expects to provide future growth opportunities.

Capital market activities, including issuances of approximately \$4.7 billion in public credit card asset-backed securitizations and completion of two bank note offerings involving an aggregate \$1.7 billion of outstanding debt. These activities allowed the Company to participate in the market s advantageous funding conditions and will help to finance maturing liabilities.

47% one-year total shareholder return, as the Company increased its quarterly dividend to \$.20 per share of common stock and repurchased approximately 27 million shares, or 5%, of its outstanding common stock in 2013.

In 2013, our NEOs effectively managed the execution of key business and strategic decisions that allowed achievement of the results noted above and made meaningful progress against our long-term strategy to expand our product offerings and deploy capital to drive strong returns to our shareholders. The Company s net income was an all-time record, as credit losses along with funding costs improved throughout the year. Despite challenges in our Payment Services segment, we continued to execute our long-term strategy to enhance our global presence and leverage our existing payments infrastructure. This included management actions taken with our Diners Club European operations to facilitate continued acceptance. In addition, we delivered strong performance in an evolving and uncertain regulatory environment, including provisions of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act and rules promulgated under that Act.

The compensation that our senior executives earned for 2013 reflected this strong Company performance and long-term strategy progress; however, it was tempered by the Committee s recognition that net income also benefited from macroeconomic conditions that were not anticipated, such as lower initial jobless claims and lower bankruptcy filings, which led to lower charge-offs and continued reserve releases. This approach, taking into account the impact of the external environment, supports the Company s compensation philosophy and emphasis on pay-for-performance.

A significant portion of compensation is granted in restricted stock units (RSUs) and at-risk performance-based stock units (PSUs) tied to Company performance. RSUs and PSUs are subject to a clawback provision that allows the Company to reclaim previously granted awards under certain circumstances. This compensation structure aligns NEOs interests with those of our shareholders. Additionally, our share ownership commitment ties a portion of our executives net worth to the Company s stock price and provides a continuing incentive to achieve

superior long-term stock price performance.

18

In 2013, corporate risk management continued to play a key role in our incentive compensation design, review and decision-making process. As a result, incentive compensation continues to be firmly tied to current and future Company performance, while ensuring that our compensation arrangements appropriately balance risk and reward.

The Committee is advised by its outside consultant and our Chief Risk Officer to help ensure that no elements of the structure, design, or decision-making process encourage unnecessary or excessive risk-taking that threatens the Company s interests or gives rise to risk that could have a material adverse effect on the Company. At the end of 2013, the Committee used reports from and met with the Chief Corporate Risk Officer (now the Chief Risk Officer) to evaluate our NEOs performance against risk goals before determining compensation for our NEOs, creating a direct link between our incentive compensation and risk management.

More details regarding our 2013 performance and executive compensation can be found below. We encourage you to read this section of the Proxy Statement in conjunction with the advisory (nonbinding) vote that we are conducting on the compensation of our NEOs.

Effect of 2013 Advisory Vote on NEO Compensation

The Committee will continue to take into account the outcome of the shareholder advisory vote on NEO compensation when considering future executive compensation arrangements. In light of the strong support for our NEO compensation at our 2013 annual meeting of shareholders and the continued alignment of our compensation program with shareholder interests, we have maintained continuity for 2014.

Practices and Policies Supporting Strong Corporate Governance and Compensation Programs

We continue to maintain our disciplined approach to executive compensation with a focus on incentives, pay-for-performance and simplicity as evidenced by the following practices:

Independent oversight. Our Compensation and Leadership Development Committee includes only directors who are independent under applicable NYSE listing standards and the Committee is advised by an independent consultant.

No hedging or pledging. Directors and NEOs are prohibited from hedging Company securities, holding Company securities in a margin account or otherwise pledging Company securities, including as collateral for a loan.

Use of long-term performance-based equity awards. PSUs are the primary component of our long-term incentive (LTI) program for our executives.

Share ownership guidelines for NEOs. Our Chief Executive Officer must own shares with a value of at least seven times his base salary. The President and Chief Operating Officer must own shares with a value of at least five times his base salary, and our other NEOs must own shares with a value of at least three times their respective base salaries within five years of appointment.

Share retention requirements. Effective January 1, 2013, NEOs must hold at least fifty percent of net shares received upon settlement of equity awards for one year to promote continued shareholder alignment.

Recovery of incentive compensation. We have a clawback provision for RSUs and PSUs that allows reclamation of shares issued pursuant to an equity grant.

Risk management. We evaluate risk impact in all incentive compensation decisions including a risk review for equity grants (which may result in a reduction of the final award amount) prior to vesting.

Change in Control. Our change in control severance policy includes a double trigger and precludes excise tax gross-ups for employees hired after March 31, 2011.

19

No employment contracts for NEOs. We do not have individual employment agreements with any of our NEOs.

Restrictive covenants. LTI awards to NEOs are subject to non-competition and non-solicitation provisions.

No special benefits. We do not provide any benefit plans to our executives that are not generally available to other employees and we do not provide any supplemental executive retirement plan benefits to any executive.

Limited perquisites. Perquisites provided to our NEOs are limited to access to an executive gym.

Compensation Program and Objectives

The Company s 2013 executive compensation program and compensation decisions were built on the following principles:

Pay-for-Performance. Our compensation reflects Company, business segment, and individual executive performance.

Balanced Compensation Structure. We maintain a mix of fixed and variable compensation that is aligned with shareholder interests and the long-term interests of the Company and appropriately balances risk and reward.

Market-Competitive Pay Opportunity. Our compensation is competitive relative to our peers in order to attract and retain a talented executive team.

Each of these principles is discussed below.

Pay-for-Performance

The Company believes in a pay-for-performance philosophy. The majority of compensation for our NEOs is in the form of variable compensation, a substantial portion of which is paid in deferred RSUs and PSUs. In evaluating Company performance and when making NEO compensation decisions, the Committee considers financial performance as well as other performance and risk factors and individual NEO performance.

Financial Performance - How well the Company performed compared to its 2013 Plan goals and other financial performance during the year. For 2013, the main factor the Committee considered in evaluating financial performance was net income. The Committee also considered secondary performance metrics, including: return on equity (ROE) (and risk-adjusted returns), earnings per share (EPS), total revenue (defined as net interest income plus other income), total provision for loan losses and total operating expenses.

Other Performance Factors

- *Growth Goals* How well the Company performed on key growth objectives.
- *Relative Performance* How well the Company performed against a select group of competitors on profitability, credit performance, growth, total shareholder return and other measures.

- *Strategic Initiatives* How well the Company executed key product and servicing initiatives.
- *Individual Performance* How well each NEO performed relative to individual objectives.

Balanced Compensation Structure

The Committee determines compensation targets (aggregate of base salary, target short-term incentive (STI) and LTI opportunity) for the NEOs at the beginning of the year, based on Company and individual

20

performance for the past year as well as future potential and experience of the executive. Target STI and LTI opportunities are established for, and communicated to, the NEOs. The actual year-end STI awards paid and LTI awards made to the NEOs are determined by the Committee based on its evaluation of financial performance, primarily net income, although the Committee considers other secondary performance and risk factors, and the individual performance of each executive. The Committee also considers compensation levels of other executives in similar roles both within the Company and at industry peers before making compensation decisions. The Committee uses discretion to exercise its judgment instead of solely relying on a formulaic structure, balancing transparency and flexibility to pay appropriately for performance. The Committee has determined that a balance of these components provides an effective combination of risk and reward:

Base Salary. Fixed compensation based on scope of responsibility, impact on the organization, expertise, and experience.

Short-Term Incentive. Annual cash opportunity based on financial performance, primarily net income, and other secondary performance and risk factors, and individual performance.

Long-Term Incentive. Annual equity opportunity based on financial performance, other performance and risk factors, and individual performance. The award is granted in part as PSUs and in part as RSUs.

Review of Compensation Policies and Practices Related to Risk Management

In 2013, the Committee completed a risk review of the Company s compensation plans and practices. The Committee met with the Company s Chief Corporate Risk Officer (now the Chief Risk Officer) to review employee compensation plans in which all employees (including the NEOs) participate, and to identify whether these arrangements had any features that might encourage excessive risk-taking that could threaten the value of the Company. The Committee considered a number of risk mitigation factors, including the balanced use of time-vested RSUs, performance-vested PSUs and cash, emphasis on overall Company performance in compensation decisions, the Company s risk governance and control structure and the Company s share ownership and retention guidelines, and concluded that these factors provided adequate safeguards that would either prevent or discourage excessive risk taking.

The Committee also continues to monitor a separate, on-going risk assessment by senior management of the Company s broader employee compensation practices in order to ensure compliance with interagency guidance on sound incentive compensation practices issued by the Federal Reserve Board and other bank regulators in 2010. Senior Company human resources, risk management, compliance, and legal personnel compiled and analyzed extensive information about the Company s incentive plans, including plan documents, eligibility criteria, payout formulas and payment history, and held extensive interviews with business line managers to understand how evaluation of business risk affects incentive plan performance measures and compensation decisions.

Following these risk reviews, the Company and the Committee have not identified any risks arising from our compensation policies and practices for our NEOs and our employees generally that are, either individually or in the aggregate, reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company.

Market-Competitive Pay Opportunity

The Committee reviewed and considered competitive market data from the following two sources when approving NEO compensation: proxy data from an established peer group of companies (discussed below) and other market survey data. We do not engage in strict benchmarking; rather, we use competitive market data as a reference point for elements of NEO compensation. For the proxy data, the peer group used in the analysis consists of 16 financial services companies of a similar business nature and revenue size to the Company, from which the Company might expect to draw executive talent. Given that the Company has few direct competitors of similar scope, size and business model, this peer group is somewhat varied in nature and primarily represents

companies that are similar in business with a focus primarily on credit card operations and regional financial institutions that have significant credit card and/or loan operations, and data/transaction processing companies. In 2013, the Committee reviewed the companies that met the foregoing criteria, and after evaluating these companies with its independent compensation consultant, made no changes to the peer group as it still represented an appropriate comparison group for the Company.

In 2013, the peer group consisted of the following companies:

American Express Company Comerica Incorporated Genworth Financial, Inc. Regions Financial Corporation

Ameriprise Financial, Inc. Fidelity National Information Services, Inc. Key Corp SLM Corporation

Capital One Financial Corporation Fifth Third Bancorp M&T Bank Corporation Visa Inc.

CIT Group Inc. Fisery, Inc. MasterCard Incorporated The Western Union Company

2013 Decision-Making Process

Factors Affecting Compensation Decisions

The primary factor considered by the Compensation and Leadership Committee when assessing performance for purposes of making variable compensation decisions for 2013 was net income. Although no set weight is assigned to any performance metric or goal, we believe that net income is the best measure of overall Company performance and, accordingly, it had the greatest impact on the overall funding level of incentive compensation in 2013. The Committee relies most heavily on net income because it believes that it is one of the key drivers of EPS and that it is a representative measure most directly tied to the return to our common shareholders. Net income is also a balanced measure aligned with overall performance to ensure that the executives are focused on the overall returns of the Company and not motivated to drive performance on one measure or one business unit over another. Because net income is a result of NEO leadership and operational performance, as well as market influences, the Committee also considered the benefit to net income from unanticipated sustained macroeconomic conditions, such as lower initial jobless claims and lower bankruptcy filings, which led to lower charge-offs and continued reserve releases. The Committee considered other secondary Company-wide metrics including ROE (and risk-adjusted returns), EPS, credit performance, growth goals, strategic initiatives, impact of legislative and regulatory changes on the business, relative performance, risk, and other factors, as well as individual performance.

In addition, for the PSU portion of the LTI program, the primary metric the Committee established was cumulative EPS achievement over a three-year performance period. In making final award determinations, the Committee also factored in individual compliance with the Company s risk policies and an assessment of any inappropriate risks taken over the three-year vesting period (which includes the performance period). The Committee chose EPS for PSUs because it is transparent, directly tied to the return to our shareholders and a commonly-used indicator of profitability for publicly-traded companies.

The Committee also considered the need to attract, motivate and retain a talented management team and to ensure that our compensation program remains competitive with other companies with which we compete for senior executive talent.

For 2013, after consideration of all the aforementioned factors and the Committee s emphasis on pay-for-performance, the Committee made compensation decisions for each of the NEOs as detailed below under Components of Compensation and Summary of Pay Decisions.

Overall Company and Business Segment Performance

The Committee believes that the actions taken by the Company s Chief Executive Officer and the other NEOs throughout 2013 contributed greatly to the Company s results and positioned the Company to take

advantage of challenging but improving economic conditions. Furthermore, throughout 2013, the Company continued to benefit from the strategic choices made by the Company s senior management over the past few years. The following key strategic decisions, among other things, enabled the Company to be profitable during 2013 and placed the Company in a strong position going forward:

Continued conservative approach toward extending credit to new and existing customers, balancing growth with a customer s ability to pay.

Maintained a disciplined focus on initiatives that drove asset and revenue growth.

Streamlined operations and continued to build upon Discover s position as a service leader.

Improved network acceptance, domestically and internationally, through increased merchant and acquirer relationships.

Maintained strong capital position (best among card-issuing competitor peer group) while returning over \$1.5 billion of capital to shareholders in buybacks and dividends.

Enhanced governance and control environment focused on meeting regulatory guidance.

Financial Performance

As discussed above, while there is no weighting assigned to any metric, the primary factor that our Committee considered in making 2013 compensation decisions was the Company s net income of \$2,740 million, exceeding 2013 Plan target of \$1,734 million by 42%. Because net income is a result of NEO leadership and operational performance as well as market influences, the Committee also considered the net income benefits resulting from unanticipated sustained macroeconomic conditions, such as lower initial jobless claims and lower bankruptcy filings which led to lower charge-offs and continued reserve releases. Additionally, the Committee considered the other secondary 2013 financial metrics also set forth below. No single secondary financial metric was material to the Committee s determination of any individual s compensation; rather, the Committee reviewed and subjectively balanced these secondary metrics and net income in the aggregate in determining individual compensation.

	2013	Change from 2012 ⁽¹⁾
Net Income	\$2.5B	5%
Total Revenue ⁽²⁾	\$8.2B	7%
Total Provision for Loan Loss (pre-tax)	\$1.1B	28%
Operating Expense (pre-tax)	\$3.2B	5%
Diluted EPS	\$4.96	11%
ROE	24%	(200)bps

Other Performance Factors

⁽¹⁾ Change is calculated based on results for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013 versus results for the fiscal year ended November 30, 2012.

⁽²⁾ Total revenue equals the sum of net interest income and other income.

Growth Goals

The Committee also considered the Company s progress on core strategic growth goals across the Company and within each segment in making overall compensation decisions. Again, no set weight was assigned to any of these secondary factors and no single growth goal was material to the Committee s determination of individual compensation; rather, the Committee reviewed and subjectively balanced these goals with the other secondary factors in the aggregate in determining individual compensation.

23

The Committee considered the 47% one-year total shareholder return, the increased quarterly dividend to \$.20 per share of common stock and a repurchase of 27 million shares or 5% of its outstanding common stock in 2013. The Committee noted an increase in total loans and credit card loans due to organic growth in our loan products. In addition, the Company had improved sales results over 2012 due primarily to an increase in spending by both new and existing customers, which resulted in part from the successful implementation of relevant, targeted marketing campaigns. The Committee also noted strong absolute and relative credit performance and our lowest charge-off rate in our 27-year history and an increase in transaction volume for the Payment Services segment. The following secondary growth metrics are calculated on a calendar year basis for both years presented.

	2013	2012
Total Loan Growth	5%	5%
Card New Account Growth	9%	10%
Mortgage Loan Originations	\$3.8B	\$2.4B
Personal Loan Originations	\$2.5B	\$1.8B
Private Student Loan Originations ⁽¹⁾	\$1.1B	\$1.1B
30-Day Active Merchant Outlet Growth	4%	3%
Total Network Transaction Volume Growth	1%	9%

(1) Of the newly disbursed private student loans, 13% and 49% were originated by Citibank under the CitiAssist brand in 2013 and 2012, respectively. *Strategic Initiatives*

The Committee also considered the Company s progress on and execution of key strategic initiatives across the Company and within each segment in making overall compensation decisions. No set weight was assigned to any of these secondary factors and no single strategic initiative was material to the Committee s determination of individual compensation; rather, the Committee reviewed and subjectively balanced performance on these initiatives with other secondary factors and net income in the aggregate in determining individual compensation.

The Committee considered the Company s performance on its strategic initiatives in 2013, including the continued roll-out of Discover it, the launch of Discover home equity loans, the launch of Discover Cashback Checking, the launch of PULSE Pay Express and the progress achieved with enabling merchants for PayPal in-store checkout and progress made in developing a new customized banking platform.

Relative Performance

For additional context, the Committee reviews the Company s relative performance against our largest business competitors in the U.S. market in both the Direct Banking and Payment Services segments. Highlights of the 2013 relative performance results considered by the Committee are described below. The Committee reviewed competitor results for trailing four calendar quarters through completion of the third calendar quarter in 2013, since competitor information is only available through the third calendar quarter at the time of the Committee s decision-making.

Card Credit: 2.2% net charge-off rate average; strong absolute and relative credit performance when compared against the average competitor rate⁽¹⁾

Card Loans: 3.9% receivables growth; strong performance when compared against the average competitor growth rate⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾

Credit Volume: 4.5% U.S. credit volume growth, lower than two of three other network competitors⁽³⁾

24

Debit Volume: -0.5% U.S. debit dollar volume change; lower when compared against the average competitor growth rate⁽⁴⁾

Shareholder Return: 47% One-year total shareholder return; strong performance when compared against the average competitor return⁽⁵⁾

Shareholder Return: 213% Three-year total shareholder return; strong performance when compared against the average competitor return⁽⁶⁾

- (1) Card comparison based on peer group of Bank of America (U.S. Card), Citibank, N.A. (Branded-Cards North America), Chase (Credit Card), Capital One (U.S. Card), and American Express (U.S. Card).
- (2) Card receivables growth is on a year over year basis.
- (3) Network competitors are American Express, Visa, and MasterCard. Credit volume growth is on a year over year basis.
- (4) Competitor average based on peer group of Visa and MasterCard. Debit volume growth is on a year over year basis.
- (5) Competitor average is a 12-month trailing average as of December 31, 2013 and is based on peer group of Capital One, American Express, JP Morgan Chase, Citigroup, Bank of America, MasterCard, and Visa. The Company s shareholder return represents the total return of a stock to an investor (capital gains/loss plus dividends) assuming dividends are reinvested.
- (6) Competitor average is a 36-month trailing average as of December 31, 2013 and is based on peer group of Capital One, American Express, JP Morgan Chase, Citigroup, Bank of America, MasterCard, and Visa. The Company s shareholder return represents the total return of a stock to an investor (capital gains/loss plus dividends) assuming dividends are reinvested in the security.

Individual Performance

The Committee considers individual performance in making final compensation decisions for each NEO, both as it relates to an individual s specific objectives as well as such individual s contributions to the success of the overall enterprise. The Committee believes this holistic approach optimizes the link between executive rewards and the benefits to shareholders. Highlights of individual performance and contributions are described below.

David W. Nelms, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Exceeded plan net income, receivables, and charge-off rates

Strengthened succession and leadership development efforts

Promoted strategic reorganization and growth of key risk/governance programs

Continued to build relationships with financial/investment communities and key customers/suppliers *R. Mark Graf*, Chief Financial Officer

Secured non-objection of capital deployment plan from Federal Reserve

Strengthened balance sheet with robust asset and liability management and enhanced engagement with business lines

Launched bank note long-term funding channel

Maintained strong investor relations Roger C. Hochschild, President and Chief Operating Officer

Led operating areas to achieve strong financial performance in cards and consumer banking

Exceeded goals for loan growth and credit performance in cards, loan originations and profit-before-tax in consumer banking products

Launched Discover home equity loans, Discover Cashback Checking, and completed roll-out of Discover it

Expanded digital and mobile capabilities in cards and consumer banking and enhanced innovation focus with agile development programs in business technology

25

Diane E. Offereins, President - Payment Services

Achieved domestic and international merchant acceptance goals

Executed strategic payments partnerships and new Diners Club International franchise

Delivered client solutions including AribaPay, PayPal, and five affiliate network relationships

Launched PULSE Pay Express *Harit Talwar*, President - U.S. Cards

Achieved key financial goals across card business while maintaining solid credit portfolio

Completed successful roll-out of Discover it and enhancements, including FICO scores on statements

Strong advances in digital marketing and online customer service

Strong expense management while meeting challenging growth goals Role of Chief Executive Officer in Compensation Decisions

Our Chief Executive Officer and senior Company human resources personnel met with the Committee to discuss preliminary compensation decisions for the NEOs and senior officers. The Committee also met with its independent compensation consultant and senior Company corporate risk management personnel to discuss compensation recommendations and decisions. This allowed for ample review and consideration of 2013 Company, business segment and individual performance in determining 2013 compensation decisions. The role of the NEOs in compensation decisions is more fully discussed below and the role of the Committee and its consultant are discussed in Executive and Director Compensation. The decisions of the Committee for 2013 performance are reflected below in Components of Compensation and Summary of Pay Decisions.

Mr. Nelms, as Chief Executive Officer, discussed each NEO s overall contribution to Company performance and his or her individual responsibility for business segment, functional, and/or strategic goals. Mr. Nelms then made a corresponding pay recommendation for each NEO. For Ms. Offereins and Mr. Talwar, Mr. Hochschild, the President and Chief Operating Officer of the Company, discussed overall contributions to Company performance and individual responsibility for business segment, functional, and/or strategic goals, and then presented a corresponding pay recommendation to Mr. Nelms. Mr. Nelms and Mr. Hochschild were assisted in the process by senior Company human resources personnel. Mr. Nelms and Mr. Hochschild presented final recommendations to the Committee. No NEO, including Mr. Nelms and Mr. Hochschild, was involved in any capacity regarding his or her own pay decisions. The Committee requested input from senior Company human resources and corporate risk management personnel, as well as the Committee s independent consultant when it considered and approved the final compensation of Mr. Nelms. Mr. Graf, Ms. Offereins and Mr. Talwar did not have a role in these compensation decisions.

Components of Compensation and Summary of Pay Decisions

2013 compensation decisions for our NEOs were closely tied to our 2013 financial performance and consisted of three key components - base salary, STI, and LTI (which consists of both PSUs and RSUs) - with a significant portion of total compensation (PSUs and RSUs) tied to long-term Company performance. These components are summarized below.

Base Salary

We provide our NEOs and other executives with a market-competitive annual base salary to attract and retain an appropriate caliber of talent for the position. We generally review base salaries for the NEOs and other

26

executives annually in December and determine whether to make increases or decreases based on changes in our competitive market (proxy peer group and market survey data), individual performance, relative role impact and experience in position. For 2013, the Committee approved an increase to Mr. Graf s base salary. See 2013 Summary Compensation Table for a summary of 2013 NEO base salaries.

Short-Term Incentive Program

In 2013, we continued to offer our NEOs the opportunity to earn a market competitive annual cash award based on the Company s financial performance, based primarily on net income and other secondary performance and risk factors, and individual performance. The STI opportunity is provided to motivate executives to achieve our annual business goals, to attract and retain an appropriate caliber of talent for the position, and to recognize that similar annual STI opportunities are provided at other companies with which we compete for talent. Our NEOs have target STI opportunities, represented as a percentage of their base salaries, which were communicated to them at the beginning of the year. Net income is the primary factor that funds incentive compensation within an established framework. The Committee may then make a discretionary adjustment to funding after evaluation of many other secondary factors such as ROE (and risk-adjusted returns), EPS, credit performance, impact of macroeconomic conditions, growth goals, strategic initiatives, impact of legislative and regulatory changes on the business, relative performance, total shareholder return, risk and other factors relevant to the year, and individual performance. The Committee believes this provides the right level of transparency while maintaining the flexibility to adjust for extraordinary circumstances that positively or negatively affect net income. This approach also ensures that pay is commensurate with risks taken and the quality of performance results.

In 2013, the Company achieved record net income of \$2,470 million, exceeding our 2013 Plan target of \$1,734 million by 42%. The Committee recognized that our 2013 net income benefited from NEO actions but also considered net income benefit resulting from unanticipated sustained macroeconomic conditions, including lower initial jobless claims and lower bankruptcy filings which led to lower charge-offs and continued reserve releases. Accordingly, when determining 2013 STI compensation decisions, the Committee assessed net income over-performance versus Plan and made a discretionary judgment on appropriate 2013 STI compensation for each of the NEOs. Despite record net income performance, all NEO 2013 STI decisions were lower than 2011 and 2012 decisions. See 2013 Decision-Making Process above for more details on the factors considered by the Committee in compensation decisions and see 2013 Summary Compensation Table for the actual STI decisions.

Long-Term Incentive Program

The Committee, with input from its independent consultant, continues to emphasize equity compensation for NEOs to align the long-term interests of our NEOs with our shareholders. The Committee believes that the use of RSUs and PSUs that vest over a multi-year period focuses executives on the Company s long-term interests without leading to imprudent risk taking. In addition, the Committee believes that time-vested RSUs and performance-vested PSUs represent an efficient method of delivering long-term equity compensation, generally using fewer shares than other types of equity vehicles while having value that is ultimately tied to Company performance. For 2013, the Committee decided a combination of RSUs that generally vest ratably over a three-year period and at-risk PSUs tied to a three-year Company performance and vesting period (pending evaluation against the Company s risk policies) was the appropriate mix of LTI awards.

The Committee sets long-term equity compensation commensurate with level in the organization to appropriately motivate the individuals with the most impact on driving the success of the organization and creating shareholder value. The Committee established a target LTI value for the NEOs, represented as a percentage of their base salaries, and determined that 69% of the target compensation of the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and, on average, 59% of the target compensation of the other NEOs, would be in the form of long-term equity compensation. In addition, the Committee established a target PSU and RSU mix as a percentage of the total target LTI of each NEO.

27

The LTI award consists of a forward-looking equity award with an initial value that varies based primarily on annual Company net income performance. The Committee also considers other growth and performance goals, as well as relative performance against peers and the Company's risk appetite. The number of PSUs and RSUs granted is determined by dividing the dollar value of the award by the fair market value on the date of grant. The PSU and RSU grants were made in January 2013. See 2013 Decision-Making Process above for more details on how the factors considered by the Committee impacted compensation decisions and see 2013 Summary Compensation Table for the actual LTI decisions.

The Company s NEOs are required to own a certain amount of Company equity/stock and retain a portion of all shares that vest as described in Other Arrangements, Policies and Practices Related to Our Executive Compensation Program.

Performance Stock Units

At-risk PSUs are granted annually at the beginning of a three-year Company performance period to further reinforce the NEO s accountability for the Company s future financial and strategic goals by tying a greater portion of compensation directly to the Company s EPS and ultimately the Company s stock price. The majority of the 2013 LTI award for NEOs consisted of PSUs, which were granted under the Company s Amended and Restated 2007 Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan (the 2007 Omnibus Incentive Plan). Under this program, PSUs will generally vest and convert to shares of Common Stock if and to the extent the Company exceeds specific cumulative EPS performance goals over a three-year period and the executive remains employed by the Company for a three-year period (with exceptions for certain termination events, e.g. retirement, disability or death), and are subject to an evaluation of compliance with the Company s risk policies at the end of the third year. The performance period for the 2013 award of PSUs began on January 1, 2013 and ends on December 31, 2015. The EPS performance target is established during the annual business planning process and incorporates a degree of stretch that is intended to push the Company and the NEOs to achieve higher performance within the Company s risk framework. In this way, target PSU payout will be achieved if the Company meets its business plan goals. Achievement of maximum and threshold performance goals are each expected to be infrequent in occurrence. Participants will receive no portion of the award if the minimum performance threshold is not met. If the Company exceeds the target performance hurdles, the NEO can potentially earn an award in excess of the target, up to a maximum of one and one-half times the target award. Any shares received upon conversion of these PSUs will be subject to the share ownership guidelines and share retention requirements for senior executives. In addition, the Company instituted a clawback that will allow the Company to reclaim PSU compensation for up to three years if the Company restates its financial statements due to material noncompliance with financial reporting requirements. The awards will receive dividend equivalents in cash which will accumulate and pay out, if at all, if and when the underlying shares are released to the NEOs.

The performance period for our PSUs granted in December 2011 was completed on November 30, 2013. The cumulative diluted EPS over the two-year period was \$9.39 versus a target of \$6.50. An EPS of \$4.55 and \$8.45 were required to receive a minimum and maximum payout, respectively. Therefore, the actual EPS measured for the performance period resulted in a payout factor of 200% of the target amount, and was achieved due to effective NEO execution of key business decisions and strategies, strong focus on growth, and credit risk management. The final payout of these PSUs will be determined after confirmation of compliance with the Company s risk policies, and employees are expected to receive earned shares (which will be subject to clawback provisions) when they vest in January 2015.

The payout for our PSU granted in December 2010 was approved after confirmation of compliance with the Company s risk policies and employees received earned shares (which are subject to clawback provisions) in January 2014.

28

Restricted Stock Units

A portion of the LTI grant for 2013 consisted of RSUs. These RSUs are subject to market risk tied to the Company stock price. The Committee maintains that RSUs ensure that the interests of senior executives are directly aligned with the long-term interests of the Company and its shareholders as well as motivate future contributions and decisions aimed at increasing shareholder value. RSUs generally vest and convert to shares ratably over a three-year period, subject to compliance with the Company s risk policies and assuming the executive remains employed by the Company through the vesting date (with exceptions for certain termination events, *e.g.* retirement, disability or death).

Summary of Chief Executive Officer Compensation

Overall Approach

Consistent with our philosophy, a large portion of NEO compensation is at-risk performance-based compensation. The chart below shows the 2013 elements of compensation that comprised target total direct compensation for Mr. Nelms. Approximately 88% of his target total direct compensation is variable and tied to Company financial and/or stock price performance.

In addition to a highly performance-based compensation structure, NEOs are subject to both stock ownership requirements and stock holding requirements associated with each equity award. As CEO, Mr. Nelms is required to hold 7 times his annual base salary and 50% of any shares acquired through equity awards for at least one year following the vesting date. As of December 2013, Mr. Nelms owned 91 times his annual base salary in Discover stock, directly aligning his own interests with those of our shareholders and exceeding his share ownership guidelines. In addition, except for shares withheld for taxes and stock option exercise costs, Mr. Nelms has retained 82% of shares since the Company s initial public offering in 2007.

2013 Decisions

Under Mr. Nelms 15-year leadership, the Company has made progress in successfully executing its business strategy through an economic, regulatory and competitive environment that continues to be very challenging. The Company developed and implemented a long-term strategic plan, and met or exceeded numerous business goals that have established the Company as a leading direct bank and payments partner. The Company achieved 47% total shareholder return in 2013 and, over the last five years, the Company achieved 516% total return for shareholders, which compares favorably to our peer group as well other financial industry competitors. The Company grew its core credit card business at a time when both overall market size and competitor portfolio growth is flat or decreasing, while outperforming the industry averages in credit performance. The Company also expanded and launched new product offerings such as student loans, home loans, home equity loans, personal loans, checking, and other deposit products. The Company also established new payments partnerships and ventures that have increased both transaction volume and merchant acceptance across the globe.

In 2013, the Company achieved record net income and earnings per share, and made significant progress on a number of critical strategic priorities such as the successful national launch of the Discover it card. In

29

determining the appropriate compensation for Mr. Nelms, the Committee considered these achievements, primarily net income, as well as other secondary factors such as the net income benefit resulting from unanticipated sustained macroeconomic conditions, including lower initial jobless claims and bankruptcy filings, which led to lower charge-offs and continued reserve releases. As a result of their deliberations, the Committee made the following decisions related to Mr. Nelms compensation:

No change to base salary.

A short-term incentive award for 2013 of \$1,950,000. This award reflects the Company s record net income and over-achievement relative to target, but also the Committee s assessment of other secondary factors highlighted above, and is lower than Mr. Nelms 2012 award.

A 2013 long-term incentive award of \$7,399,989. This award reflects the Committee s evaluation of 2012 performance, based primarily on annual Company net income but also considering other growth and performance goals, as well as relative performance against peers and the Company s risk appetite.

The Committee also reviewed the Company s progress against its long-term goals and the critical role that Mr. Nelms has played in the Company s success. Given that Mr. Nelms becomes retirement eligible in February 2016 and has no employment agreement, and given the evolving, uncertain and challenging economic, regulatory and competitive environment and the associated impact on the execution of our business strategy, the Committee determined it in the best interest of the Company and its shareholders to create additional long-term alignment and to promote stability in the Company s leadership. As a result, on December 23, 2013, the Committee approved a one-time equity grant of 200,000 RSUs to Mr. Nelms. The RSUs are expected to vest in full on December 31, 2018, and will not vest upon retirement prior to this date. Unless otherwise provided in the award certificate, the RSUs may become fully vested before this date due to death, disability, a change in control of the Company, or termination of employment by the Company that is not for cause. This one-time award is intended to support the Company s continued evolution as a leading direct bank and payments partner, to further align Mr. Nelms interests with those of our shareholders, and to promote retention of Mr. Nelms as the Company s CEO over the five-year period. The Committee considered Mr. Nelms total pay decision for 2013, including the one-time grant, and determined that his pay is aligned with his performance and long-term Company and shareholder interests.

Other Arrangements, Policies and Practices Related to Our Executive Compensation Program

Share Ownership Guidelines

The Compensation Committee maintains share ownership guidelines for NEOs and other executives, and the Nominating and Governance Committee maintains guidelines for directors. The guidelines recommend that the following multiples of annual base salary or, in the case of our directors, annual retainer, be held at the close of each year:

Recommended Share Ownership

(as Multiple of Cash Base Salary or

Participants	Annual Retainer)
Director	5X
Chief Executive Officer	7X
President	5X
Executive Committee Member (including all other NEOs)	3X

Stock to be counted toward ownership targets includes actual Common Stock held, including stock held in street accounts, unvested RSUs, and Common Stock held in the Company s 401(k) plan. The guidelines provide that recommended ownership must be attained within five years of appointment (or plan inception, if

later). To monitor progress toward meeting the guidelines, the Committee reviews current executive ownership levels at each December meeting, ahead of year-end executive compensation decisions. The Nominating and Governance Committee reviews director ownership levels. Holdings are calculated using the average stock price for the ten trading days prior to the December meeting. If a NEO or other executive is not on schedule to meet guidelines, the Committee may grant a larger portion of the NEO s year-end award in equity.

As of December 2013, using the ten-day average stock price prior to November 30, 2013, the following multiples of base salary were held by each of our NEOs:

	Required	Actual
Executive Officer	Multiple	Multiple
David W. Nelms	7X	91X
R. Mark Graf	3X	7X
Roger C. Hochschild	5X	83X
Diane E. Offereins	3X	31X
Harit Talwar	3X	25X

Share Retention Guidelines

Effective January 1, 2013, the Committee approved a senior executive share retention requirement to hold fifty percent of net shares that are received upon settlement of equity awards for one year. The Committee felt this change further promotes shareholder alignment.

Restrictions on Hedging and Pledging

Under Company policy, executives are prohibited from hedging Company securities, holding Company securities in a margin account or otherwise pledging Company securities, including as collateral for a loan.

Retirement and Other Benefits

The Company offers benefits such as medical, disability and life coverage to promote employee health and protect against catastrophic expenses. The Discover 401(k) Plan provides employees with the opportunity to save for retirement. We also maintain the Discover Pension Plan which is frozen. All employees are offered a benefits package that is competitive with those offered by companies with which we compete for talent, and our NEOs participate in our benefit plans on the same basis as our employees generally. The Company does not offer any supplemental benefits or deferred compensation programs to our NEOs.

Both the Discover 401(k) Plan and the Discover Pension Plan are designed to qualify under Section 401(a) of the Internal Revenue Code (*IRC*). Additional information regarding Company contributions to the Discover 401(k) Plan is provided in the footnotes to the 2013 Summary Compensation Table. Additional information regarding Company contributions to the Discover Pension Plan is provided after the 2013 Pension Benefits Table.

Executive Change in Control Severance Policy and Severance Pay Plan

The Company provides severance protection to our NEOs and other executives under a Change in Control Severance Policy so as to allow executives to focus on acting in the best interests of shareholders regardless of impact on their own employment. Change in Control severance protections are commonly provided at other companies with which we compete for talent. Benefits under our policy are paid in the event of a double trigger, meaning an involuntary termination (by the Company without just cause or by the executive for good reason or death or disability) within two years following or six months prior to a change in control.

The Company also sponsors a broad-based Severance Pay Plan to provide severance benefits to eligible employees, including NEOs who are involuntarily terminated (without cause in connection with a workforce reduction, closure or other similar event) to provide security in the event of an unanticipated job loss. The Severance Pay Plan will not pay benefits to an employee eligible for benefits under the Change in Control Severance Policy.

The Change in Control Severance Policy and the Severance Pay Plan and the estimated payments for each of our NEOs under each are detailed in the 2013 Potential Payments Upon a Termination or Change in Control Table.

Accounting and Tax Information

IRC Section 162(m) generally disallows a tax deduction to public companies for compensation in excess of \$1 million per year paid to the Chief Executive Officer or other employee who is a NEO for the tax year by reason of being among the three highest compensated officers for the tax year (other than the Chief Executive Officer or the Chief Financial Officer). Certain compensation, including performance-based compensation, may qualify for an exemption from the deduction limit if it satisfies various technical requirements under IRC Section 162(m). With respect to our annual incentive awards, in January 2013, the Committee approved an incentive pool that is designed to qualify compensation awarded to our executives as performance-based. The 2013 incentive pool was 5% of our after-tax net income from continuing operations, with our NEOs allocated no more than a specified percentage of the pool, as follows: Mr. Nelms - 32%; Mr. Hochschild - 20%; Mr. Graf - 12%; Ms. Offereins - 12%; and Mr. Talwar - 12%. Actual amounts of the incentive awards were approved within these limits based on the factors described above.

The Committee views the tax deductibility of executive compensation as one factor to be considered in the context of its overall compensation philosophy. The Committee reviews each material element of compensation on a continuing basis and takes steps to assure deductibility if that can be accomplished without sacrificing flexibility and other important elements of the overall executive compensation program.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The Compensation and Leadership Development Committee (*Compensation Committee*) establishes the compensation program for the Chief Executive Officer and for the other NEOs. The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed the foregoing Compensation Discussion and Analysis of the Company with management and, based on such review and discussion, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in the Company s Proxy Statement, its Annual Report on Form 10-K and such other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission as may be appropriate.

Submitted by the Compensation and Leadership Development Committee of the Board of Directors:

Gregory C. Case (Chair)

Jeffrey S. Aronin

Richard H. Lenny

Mark A. Thierer

32

2013 EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The narrative, tables and footnotes below describe the total compensation paid for December 2012 and fiscal 2013 to the Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and the next three most highly compensated individuals (collectively, the *NEOs*) who were serving as executive officers of the Company on December 31, 2013.

2013 Summary Compensation Table

The following table contains information regarding the components of total compensation of the NEOs for the Company s fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, the December 2012 transition period and the fiscal years ended November 30, 2012 and 2011. The information included in this table reflects compensation earned by the NEOs for services rendered to the Company during the respective period.

						Change in Pension		
Executive	Year	Salary (1)	Bonus	Stock Awards (2)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation	Value and NQDC Earnings (3)	All Other Compensation (4)	Total
David W. Nelms	2013	1,000,000		18,203,989	1,950,000		17,850	21,171,839
Chairman & Chief	Dec 2012	84,932						84,932
Executive Officer	2012	1,000,000		5,775,009	3,125,000	41,603	17,500	9,959,112
	2011	1,000,000		9,628,196	3,225,000	19,950	17,150	13,890,296
R. Mark Graf	2013	625,000		2,299,993	875,000		17,850	3,817,843
EVP, Chief Financial	Dec 2012	53,082						53,082
Officer	2012	600,000		1,620,000	1,250,000		17,500	3,487,500
	2011	384,658	50,000	999,991	770,000		271,424	2,476,073
Roger C. Hochschild	2013	750,000		3,799,981	1,400,000		17,850	5,967,831
President & Chief	Dec 2012	63,699						63,699
Operating Officer	2012	750,000		3,090,001	2,200,000	43,520	17,500	6,101,021
	2011	750,000		6,240,292	2,000,000	20,113	17,150	9,027,555
Diane E. Offereins	2013	650,000		2,599,991	825,000		17,850	4,092,841
EVP, President	Dec 2012	55,205						55,205
Payment Services	2012	650,000		1,719,999	1,550,000	43,101	17,500	3,980,600
	2011	650,000		2,688,934	1,300,000	21,494	17,150	4,677,578
Harit Talwar	2013	650,000		2,449,992	975,000		17,850	4,092,842
EVP, President US	Dec 2012	55,205						55,205
Cards	2012	650,000		1,719,999	1,450,000	33,561	17,500	3,871,060
	2011	650,000		2,688,934	1,350,000	16,397	17,150	4,722,481

⁽¹⁾ Represents the base salary earned during the fiscal year or the December 2012 transition period, as applicable.

⁽²⁾ Represents the aggregate grant date fair value of RSU and PSU awards made to the NEOs pursuant to FASB ASC Topic 718. The value of PSUs is based on the probable outcome of the performance conditions on the grant date. The grant date value of the PSUs granted for 2013, assuming the highest level of performance conditions is met was \$8,324,988 for Mr. Nelms, \$2,070,017 for Mr. Graf, \$3,989,997 for Mr. Hochschild, \$2,339,992 for Ms. Offereins and \$2,205,005 for Mr. Talwar. No grants were made during the December 2012 transition period. Please see Compensation Discussion

and Analysis - Summary of Pay Decisions for further details. Additional details on accounting for stock-based compensation can be found in Note 2: Summary of Significant Accounting Policies - Stock-based Compensation and Note 11: Stock-Based Compensation Plans of our consolidated financial statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K.

- (3) Represents the actuarial increase during the fiscal year in the pension value. For details on the valuation method and assumptions used in calculating the present value of accumulated benefit, please see Note 12: Employee Benefit Plans of our consolidated financial statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K. There were no above market nonqualified deferred compensation earnings for the plans in which each NEO participated. A description of the Company s pension benefits is provided following the 2013 Pension Benefits Table.
- (4) Represents the Company's contributions to the Discover 401(k) Plan for each NEO during each calendar year. The 401(k) Plan allows for pre-tax deferrals up to 30% of eligible earnings, including base, salary, bonus and commissions, up to the IRC Section 401(a)(17) compensation limit (\$255,000 in 2013) (Eligible Earnings) and, if age 50 or older as of December 31 of the plan year, catch-up contributions, each subject to the maximum allowable amount under the IRC. The 401(k) Plan is a safe harbor plan and Company contributions are vested after two years of service and include a fixed contribution of 3% of Eligible Earnings, plus a match contribution that varies based upon the pre-tax deferrals, up to the IRC Section 402(g) pre-tax deferral limit (\$17,500 for 2013), with a maximum match of 4% of Eligible Earnings. Transition credits are provided to employees who met certain age, service and points of age plus service as of January 1, 2009. None of our NEOs is eligible for transition credits.

2013 Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table

The following table includes the 2013 target STI opportunities, and the RSU and PSU awards made to the NEOs in the fiscal year ending December 31, 2013. No grants were awarded to the NEOs during the December 2012 transition period. No options were awarded to the NEOs. For more information regarding these grants, see the discussion on pages 17-32.

		non-equity		unde quity incen award	tive plan s(2)	All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and
Name	Grant Date	Target (\$)	Threshold (#)	Target (#)	Maximum (#)	of Stock or Units (#)(3)	Option Awards (\$)(5)
David W. Nelms		1,500,000)				
	1/22/2013					47,570	1,849,997
	1/22/2013			142,710	214,065		5,549,992
	12/23/2013					$200,000^{(4)}$	10,804,000
R. Mark Graf		656,250)				
	1/22/2013					23,656	919,982
	1/22/2013			35,485	53,228		1,380,012
Roger C. Hochschild		1,125,000)				
	1/22/2013					29,313	1,139,983
	1/22/2013			68,398	102,597		2,659,998
Diane E. Offereins		747,500)				
	1/22/2013					26,742	1,039,996
	1/22/2013			40,113	60,170		1,559,995
Harit Talwar		747,500					
	1/22/2013					25,199	979,989
	1/22/2013			37,799	56,699		1,470,003

- (1) Represents the target payout under the annual STI program. Payments can range above or below target primarily based on annual Company net income performance. The Compensation and Leadership Development Committee (*Committee*) also considers other secondary Company-wide metrics including ROE (and risk-adjusted returns), EPS, credit performance, growth goals, impact of legislative and regulatory changes on the business, relative performance, risk, total shareholder return and other factors relevant to the year and individual performance. Because there is no threshold or maximum payout, those columns have been omitted in accordance with SEC rules. Actual payout amounts for 2013 are included in the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column of the 2013 Summary Compensation Table.
- (2) Represents PSUs awarded in January 2013 under the 2007 Omnibus Incentive Plan. PSUs which are expected to vest and convert to shares of Common Stock on February 1, 2016 within the represented threshold and maximum amounts, depending on the extent the Company

34

exceeds specific cumulative EPS performance goals over the three-year period and provided the executive remains employed by the Company (with exceptions for certain termination events as detailed below), and are subject to an evaluation of compliance with the Company s risk policies. The entire PSU award will be canceled if the minimum cumulative EPS performance threshold is not met. To the extent the NEO voluntarily terminates from the Company or is terminated for cause prior to the scheduled vesting date, other than as described below, none of the PSUs will vest and the entire award will be forfeited. In certain instances of a termination of the NEO s employment prior to the scheduled vesting date, including due to (i) involuntary termination such as a reduction in force or elimination of the executive s position, provided that a fully-executed irrevocable release agreement is executed or (ii) retirement, death or disability, a pro-rata portion of the PSUs are expected to vest and convert to shares following the conclusion of the vesting period. In the event of a change in control of the Company during the first year of the performance period, the award will be converted to cash at target performance and paid out according to the vesting schedule or sooner in the event of a change in control of the Company during the second or third year of the performance period, performance will be measured through the last day of the Company s quarter preceding the change in control and the award will then be converted to cash and paid out according to the vesting schedule or sooner in the event of a qualified termination following the change in control event. PSUs include the right to receive dividend equivalents which will accumulate and pay out in cash, if at all, if and when the underlying shares are paid to the NEOs. Awards are subject to certain restrictive covenants including non-competition, non-solicitation and confidentiality restrictions. In addition, shares earned under the PSU awards are subject to hold restri

- (3) Represents RSUs awarded in January 2013 under the 2007 Omnibus Incentive Plan, which are expected to vest and convert in three equal installments on February 1, 2014, 2015 and 2016. Vesting of these RSUs will be accelerated in the event of termination of the executive s employment due to (i) a change in control, (ii) the executive s death or disability, (iii) the executive s eligible retirement, or (iv) involuntary termination such as a reduction in force or elimination of the executive s position, provided that a fully-executed irrevocable release agreement is executed. Unvested RSUs will be canceled in the event of a termination of employment for any other reason. RSUs include the right to receive dividend equivalents in the same amount and at the same time as dividends paid to all Company common shareholders. Awards are subject to certain restrictive covenants including non-competition, non-solicitation and confidentiality restrictions. In addition, shares earned under the RSU awards are subject to hold restrictions.
- (4) Represents RSUs awarded on December 23, 2013 under the 2007 Omnibus Incentive Plan, which are expected to vest in full on December 31, 2018, and will not vest upon retirement prior to this date. Unless otherwise provided in the award certificate, the RSUs may become fully vested before this date due to death, disability, a change in control of the Company, or termination of employment by the Company that is not for cause.
- (5) Represents the aggregate grant date fair value of the awards pursuant to FASB ASC Topic 718. Additional details on accounting for stock-based compensation can be found in Note 2: Summary of Significant Accounting Policies Stock-based Compensation and Note 11: Stock-Based Compensation Plans of our consolidated financial statements contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K.

35

Outstanding Equity Awards at 2013 Fiscal Year-End Table

The following table provides information for each NEO regarding outstanding stock option awards and unvested stock awards held by each of the NEOs as of December 31, 2013.

	Option Awards				Stock Awards(1)				
	Number Numb of of Securities Securi Underlying/Inderl Unexercisdenexer Options Option Exercisallinexerci (#) (#)	ties ying cised Option Exercise	Option Expiration Date			Market Value of Shares of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (#)		Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (\$)
David W. Nelms(2)	45,315	26.68	12/12/2016	37,666 120,654 38,920 50,019 47,570 200,000 363,258 333,468	(3) (4) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8) (9)	2,107,413 6,750,591 2,177,574 2,798,563 2,661,542 11,190,000 20,324,285 18,657,535	142,710	(10)	7,984,625
R. Mark Graf				20,728 21,825 23,656 72,756	(4) (5) (6) (9)	1,159,732 1,221,109 1,323,553 4,070,698	35,485	(10)	1,985,386
Roger C. Hochschild(2)	41,860	26.68	12/12/2016	24,007 74,726 26,270 30,012 29,313 245,200 169,766	(3) (4) (4) (5) (6) (8) (9)	1,343,192 4,180,920 1,469,807 1,679,171 1,640,062 13,718,940 9,498,408	68,398	(10)	3,826,868
Diane E. Offereins	21,576	26.68	12/12/2016	14,488 16,864 27,762 22,584 26,742 101,194 78,820	(3) (4) (4) (5) (6) (8) (9)	810,604 943,541 1,553,284 1,263,575 1,496,215 5,661,804 4,409,979	40,113	(10)	2,244,322
Harit Talwar	15,691	26.68	12/12/2016	14,488 16,864 27,762 22,584 25,199 101,194 78,820	(3) (4) (4) (5) (6) (8) (9)	810,604 943,541 1,553,284 1,263,575 1,409,884 5,661,804 4,409,979	37,799	(10)	2,114,854

Table of Contents 56

36

- (1) All equity award values are based on a December 31, 2013 closing stock price of \$55.95 per share of our Common Stock. RSUs include the right to receive dividend equivalents in the same amount and at the same time as dividends are paid to all Company common shareholders. PSUs include the right to receive dividend equivalents which will accumulate and pay out in cash, if at all, if and when the underlying shares are released to the NEOs.
- (2) Excludes 502,557 deferred RSUs for Mr. Nelms and 430,763 deferred RSUs for Mr. Hochschild, as described in the 2013 Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Table. These shares will convert to shares of Common Stock when Mr. Nelms and Mr. Hochschild leave the Company.
- (3) These RSUs are expected to vest and convert to shares of Common Stock on January 2, 2014.
- (4) These RSUs are expected to vest and convert to shares of Common Stock in equal installments on January 2, 2014 and 2015.
- (5) These RSUs are expected to vest and convert to shares of Common Stock in equal installments on January 2, 2014, 2015 and 2016.
- (6) These RSUs are expected to vest and convert to shares of Common Stock in equal installments on February 1, 2014, 2015 and 2016.
- (7) These RSUs are expected to vest and convert to shares of Common Stock on December 31, 2018.
- (8) These PSUs are expected to vest and convert to shares of Common Stock on January 2, 2014, assuming the risk policies review is satisfactory. The final payout is determined by the Committee and may be less than amount shown.
- (9) These PSUs are expected to vest and convert to shares of Common Stock on January 2, 2015, assuming the risk policies review is satisfactory. The final payout is determined by the Committee and may be less than amount shown.
- (10) These PSUs are expected to vest and convert to shares of Common Stock on February 1, 2016, assuming performance conditions are met and the risk policies review is satisfactory. Because performance in the first year of the performance period has not met the threshold performance level, unvested PSUs are shown at the amounts corresponding to, and assuming achievement of, the target performance level for the full performance period. The final payout is determined by the Committee and may be less or more than amount shown.

2013 Option Exercises and Stock Vested Table

The following table provides information regarding the number of stock awards that vested and the subsequent value realized from the exercise or vesting of such awards during the 2013 fiscal year and the December 2012 transition period.

		Option Awar	Stock Awards		
Name	Numbe Share Acquire Exercise	es d on	Value Realized Upon Exercise (\$)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)	Value Realized on Vesting (\$)(1)
David W. Nelms	2013			214,441	8,476,853
R. Mark Graf	2013			17,642	697,388
Roger C. Hochschild	2013 Dec 2012	300,121 170,000	9,105,457 3,431,433	152,142	6,014,173
Diane E. Offereins	2013			79,956	3,160,661
Harit Talwar	2013			80,561	3,184,576

2013 Pension Benefits Table

Name	Plan Name	Number of Years of Credited Service (#)(1)	Present Value of Accumulated Benefit (\$)(2)(3)	Payments During Last Fiscal Year (\$)
David W. Nelms	Discover Financial Services Pension Plan	9.3333	145,027	
R. Mark Graf(4)	Discover Financial Services Pension Plan			
Roger C. Hochschild	Discover Financial Services Pension Plan	9.1667	129,640	

⁽¹⁾ The amount shown represents the closing price of a share of our Common Stock on the scheduled vesting date multiplied by the number of RSUs that vested.

Diane E. Offereins	Discover Financial Services Pension Plan	9.0833	170,433
Harit Talwar	Discover Financial Services Pension Plan	7.5000	115,203

- (1) For actuarial valuation purposes, credited service is attributed through the measurement date of December 31, 2008, the date that the Discover Pension Plan was frozen.
- (2) Service credit and actuarial values are calculated as of December 31, 2013, the plan s measurement date for the last fiscal year.
- (3) For details on the valuation method and assumptions used in calculating the present value of accumulated benefit, please see Note 12: Employee Benefit Plans of our consolidated financial statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K.
- (4) Mr. Graf does not participate in the Discover Pension Plan as he was hired after it was frozen.

Effective December 31, 2008, the Discover Pension Plan, a defined benefit pension plan, was frozen for all participants, although additional service will count towards vesting and retirement eligibility for any participant, including NEOs, in the Discover Pension Plan as of December 31, 2008.

The table above lists the amounts we estimate as the present value of accumulated benefits the Discover Pension Plan will pay to each of the NEOs upon the normal retirement age of 65. Messrs. Nelms, Hochschild and Talwar and Ms. Offereins each participate in the Discover Pension Plan. Mr. Graf does not as he was hired after the Discover Pension Plan was frozen. Accrued, frozen benefits under the Discover Pension Plan are determined with reference to career-average pay limited to \$170,000 per year, and for each calendar year of service prior to 2009 generally equal: (i) 1% of the participant s eligible annual pay; plus (ii) 0.5% of the participant s eligible annual pay which exceeded the participant s Social Security covered compensation limit for that year. The estimated annual benefits payable under the Discover Pension Plan at the earliest age at which a participant may retire with an unreduced benefit (age 65) are set forth above.

2013 Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Table

Name	Plan Name	Executive Contributions in Last FY (\$)	Registrant Contributions in Last FY (\$)	Aggregate Earnings in Last FY (\$)(1)	Aggregate Withdrawals/ Distributions (\$)	Aggregate Balance at Last FYE (\$)(2)
Name	rian Name	(\$)	F I (\$)	(\$)(1)	(a)	(\$)(2)
David W. Nelms	2007 Omnibus Incentive Plan			7,206,667		28,118,064
Roger C. Hochschild	2007 Omnibus Incentive Plan			6,177,141		24,101,190

- (1) Reflects change in value of deferred RSUs due to fluctuations in stock price from the beginning of the 2012 transition period to the end of our 2013 fiscal year. Excludes cash dividend equivalent payments of \$371,892 and \$318,765 paid on deferred RSUs for Mr. Nelms and Mr. Hochschild, respectively.
- (2) Includes the value of RSUs that vested but were not converted into shares of Common Stock per the terms of the founder s grant RSU awards granted in connection with the Company s spin-off from Morgan Stanley.

Potential Payments Upon a Termination or Change in Control

Change in Control Severance Policy

The Company sponsors a broad-based welfare benefits plan, including a Change in Control Severance Policy (the *Policy*), which applies to members of our management, including the NEOs.

If any NEO is terminated, other than for cause (as defined in the Policy), disability, death or voluntary retirement, including a voluntary termination following a mandatory change in work location, as specified in the Policy, or diminution in salary, benefits or responsibilities, within six months prior to or two years following the occurrence of a change in control (as defined in the Policy), upon Discover s receipt of a fully-executed irrevocable release in a form satisfactory to Discover, such NEO would be entitled to receive:

a lump sum cash payment equal to 1.5 times the sum of his or her annual base salary plus average cash bonus paid in the prior three years, or if the NEO has been an employee for less than three years, the number of years the NEO has been employed by

the Company;

38

in consideration for entering into a non-competition agreement with the Company, a salary continuation payment equal to 1.5 times the sum of his or her annual base salary plus average cash bonus paid in the prior three years, or if the NEO has been an employee for less than three years, the number of years the NEO has been employed by the Company;

a lump sum payment equal to the prorated target cash bonus under the Company s incentive compensation plans for the year of termination:

full vesting of all equity-based awards granted to the NEO under the Company s incentive compensation plans;

outplacement services for a period of two years at the Company s expense with a firm provided by the Company;

certain legal fees if the NEO commences litigation and, as a result, becomes entitled to receive benefits in an amount greater than those offered by the Company prior to such litigation;

a lump sum payment equal to the difference between COBRA (for medical, dental and vision) and active employee premiums for 24 months; and

except for Mr. Graf, an excise tax gross-up payment if total benefit exceeds 110% of the amount that would give rise to excise tax liability (if total benefit does not exceed 110% of the amount that would give rise to excise tax liability, total benefit will be reduced to 100% of such amount). Mr. Graf is not eligible for an excise gross-up payment related to a change in control event.

Severance Pay Plan

The Company sponsors a broad-based welfare benefits plan which provides severance benefits to eligible employees, including the NEOs, who are involuntarily terminated in connection with a workforce reduction, closure or other similar event. The Severance Pay Plan will not pay benefits to an employee eligible for benefits under the Change in Control Severance Policy.

If any NEO is terminated, other than for cause (as defined in the Severance Pay Plan), upon Discover s receipt of a fully-executed irrevocable release in a form satisfactory to Discover, such NEO would be entitled to receive:

a lump sum cash payment of up to one times the sum of his or her annual base salary;

a lump sum payment of some or all of the cash bonus under the Company s incentive compensation plan the employee may have been eligible to receive at the end of the fiscal year based upon the performance of Discover, the employee s business unit and his or her individual performance, which may be paid at the discretion of the Committee;

outplacement services for a period of one year at the Company s expense with a firm provided by the Company; and

a lump sum payment equal to 12 months of the applicable premium for group health plan coverage in place prior to termination of employment, plus a payment for income taxes on such amount.

2013 Potential Payments Upon a Termination or Change in Control Table

The following table sets forth the payments that each of our NEOs would have received under various termination scenarios on December 31, 2013. With regard to the payments upon a change in control, the amounts detailed below assume that each NEO s employment was terminated by the Company without cause or by the executive for good reason within the specified time period prior to or following the change in control. The table below assumes a stock price of \$55.95, the closing price of a share of our common stock on December 31, 2013.

Pursuant to the terms of our equity plans and outstanding equity award agreements, the vesting of certain outstanding unvested equity awards is accelerated in the event of a termination of the NEO s employment (i) in connection with a change in control, (ii) in the event of the NEO s death, disability, retirement, or (iii) involuntary termination such as a reduction in force or elimination of the NEO s position, provided that a fully-executed irrevocable release agreement is executed. The vesting of the special retention grant to Mr. Nelms in 2013 is accelerated in the event of a termination of Mr. Nelms employment in connection with a change in control, in the event of his death or disability, but not his retirement, or an involuntary termination without cause, provided a fully-executable irrevocable release agreement is executed.

Unvested RSUs and PSUs will be canceled in the event of a termination of employment for any other reason. NEOs who violate non-competition, non-solicitation, confidentiality, intellectual property or other restrictive covenants within one year after a termination of employment will be required to pay to the Company the value of any RSUs and PSUs that vested on or after, or within one year prior to, such termination.

	Termination							
Executive	in Connection with a Change		Involuntary Termination Without				Voluntary Termination o Involuntary Termination	r
_	in Control		Cause		Death	Disability	with Cause	Retirement
Payment Elements	(\$)		(\$)		(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)(7)
David W. Nelms								
Salary and Other Cash Payments	12,550,000	(1)	2,950,000	(6)				
Equity Awards(2)	75,604,673		69,302,429		69,302,429	69,302,429		
Excise Tax Gross-up(3)	11,534,905		n/a		n/a	n/a		
Health Coverage(4)	28,759		24,225					
Other(5)	22,000		8,500					
Total	99,740,338		72,285,154		69,302,429	69,302,429		n/a
R. Mark Graf								
Salary and Other Cash Payments	5,561,250	(1)	1,500,000	(6)				
Equity Awards(2)	9,826,037		8,430,269		8,430,269	8,430,269		
Excise Tax Gross-up(3)	n/a		n/a		n/a	n/a		
Health Coverage(4)	27,442		21,720					
Other(5)	22,000		,					