AVNET INC Form DEF 14A September 30, 2015 Table of Contents

# **UNITED STATES**

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

## WASHINGTON, DC 20549

# **SCHEDULE 14A**

(Rule 14a-101)

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities

## Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by the Registrant þ

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant "

Check the appropriate box:

- " Preliminary Proxy Statement
- " Confidential, For Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- b Definitive Proxy Statement
- " Definitive Additional Materials
- " Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

## AVNET, INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if Other Than the Registrant)

## Edgar Filing: AVNET INC - Form DEF 14A

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- b No fee required.
  - Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
  - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
  - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

- (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
- (5) Total fee paid:

- Fee paid previously with preliminary materials:
- Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
  (1) Amount previously paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

# AVNET, INC.

## NOTICE OF 2015 ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

## To Be Held Thursday, November 12, 2015

### TO ALL SHAREHOLDERS OF AVNET, INC.:

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Annual Meeting of Shareholders of AVNET, INC., a New York corporation ( Avnet ), will be held at the Avnet, Inc. Corporate Headquarters, 2211 South 47th Street, Phoenix, Arizona 85034, on Thursday, November 12, 2015, at 7:30 a.m., local time, for the following purposes:

- 1. To elect the ten director nominees named in the attached proxy statement to serve until the next annual meeting and until their successors have been elected and qualified.
- 2. To conduct an advisory vote on executive compensation.
- 3. To ratify the appointment of KPMG LLP as the independent registered public accounting firm to audit the consolidated financial statements of Avnet for the fiscal year ending July 2, 2016.
- 4. To take action with respect to such other matters as may properly come before the Annual Meeting (including postponements and adjournments).

The Board of Directors has fixed the close of business on September 15, 2015, as the record date for the Annual Meeting. Only holders of record of shares of Avnet s Common Stock at the close of business on such date shall be entitled to notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting or any adjournment thereof.

By Order of the Board of Directors

Michael R. McCoy

Secretary

September 30, 2015

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Proxy Statement   | 1   |
|---|-----|
| Proxy and Revocation of Proxy   | 1   |
| Quorum and Voting   | 2   |
| Broker Voting   | 2   |
| Meeting Attendance  | 2   |
| Required Vote and Board Recommendation  | 2   |
| Corporate Governance  | 3   |
| Corporate Governance Guidelines   | 3   |
| Director Independence   | 3   |
| Board Leadership Structure  | 3   |
| Director Nominations  | 4   |
| Director Communications   | 5   |
| Management Succession   | 5   |
| Code of Conduct   | 5   |
| Reporting of Ethical Concerns   | 5   |
| Stock Ownership Guidelines  | 6   |
| Avnet Website   | 6   |
| The Board of Directors and its Committees   | 7   |
| Audit Committee   | 7   |
| Compensation Committee  | 8   |
| Corporate Governance Committee  | 8   |
| Executive Committee   | 8   |
| The Board s Role in Risk Oversight  | 8   |
| Proposal 1 Election of Directors  | 10  |
| Audit Committee Report  | 15  |
| Principal Accounting Firm Fees  | 16  |
| Beneficial Ownership of Common Stock by Management and Others                                   | 17  |
| Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance   | 18  |
| Executive Officers of the Company   | 19  |
| Compensation Committee Report   | 20  |
| Compensation Discussion and Analysis  | 21  |
| Introduction  | 21  |
| Executive Summary   | 21  |
| Compensation Governance and Process   | 23  |
| Overview of Pay Programs  | 25  |
| Elements of Executive Compensation  | 27  |
| Additional Information  | 34  |
| Compensation of Avnet Executive Officers  | 36  |
| Director Compensation   | 45  |
| Deferred Compensation Plan  | 46  |
| D&O Insurance   | 46  |
| Proposal 2 Advisory Vote on Named Executive Officer Compensation                                | 48  |
| Proposal 3 Ratification of Appointment of KPMG as Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | 49  |
| General   | 49  |
| 2016 Annual Meeting   | 49  |
| Delivery of Documents to Security Holders   | 50  |
| Appendix A Reconciliation of Non-GAAP Measures  | A-1 |

## AVNET, INC.

## 2211 South 47th Street

Phoenix, Arizona 85034

## PROXY STATEMENT

Dated September 30, 2015

## FOR ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

## To Be Held November 12, 2015

This Proxy Statement is being furnished in connection with the solicitation of proxies by the Board of Directors of Avnet, Inc. ( Avnet or the Company ) to be voted at the annual meeting of shareholders to be held at Avnet s Corporate Headquarters, 2211 South Street, Phoenix, Arizona 85034, on November 12, 2015, and at any and all postponements or adjournments thereof (the Annual Meeting ), with respect to the matters referred to in the accompanying notice. The approximate date on which this Proxy Statement and the enclosed form of proxy are first being sent or given to shareholders is September 30, 2015. Only holders of record of outstanding shares of the Company s common stock, par value \$1.00 per share (the Common Stock ), at the close of business on September 15, 2015, the record date, are entitled to notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting. Each shareholder is entitled to one vote per share held on the record date. The aggregate number of shares of Common Stock outstanding (net of treasury shares) at September 15, 2015, was 132,837,691, comprising all of Avnet s capital stock outstanding as of that date.

At the meeting you will be asked to elect the ten director nominees named in the Proxy Statement, conduct an advisory vote on executive compensation and ratify the appointment of KPMG LLP as the independent registered public accounting firm to audit the consolidated financial statements of Avnet for the fiscal year ending July 2, 2016.

Proxies for shares of Common Stock may be submitted by completing and mailing the proxy card that accompanies this Proxy Statement or by submitting your proxy voting instructions by telephone or through the Internet. Shareholders who hold their shares through a broker, bank or other nominee should contact their nominee to determine whether they may submit their proxy by telephone or Internet. Shares of Common Stock represented by a proxy properly signed or submitted and received at or prior to the Annual Meeting will be voted in accordance with the shareholder s instructions. If a proxy card is signed, dated and returned without indicating any voting instructions, shares of Common Stock represented by the proxy will be voted as the Board recommends. The Board of Directors is not currently aware of any business to be acted upon at the Annual Meeting other than as described in this Proxy Statement. If, however, other matters are properly brought before the Annual Meeting, the persons appointed as proxies will have discretion to vote according to their best judgment, unless otherwise indicated on any particular proxy. The persons appointed as proxies will have discretion to vote on adjournment of the Annual Meeting. Proxies will extend to, and be voted at, any adjournment or postponement of the Annual Meeting to the extent permitted under the Business Corporation Law of the State of New York and the Company s By-laws.

### **Proxy and Revocation of Proxy**

Any person who signs and returns the enclosed proxy, or properly votes by telephone or Internet, may revoke it by submitting a written notice of revocation or a later dated proxy that is received by Avnet prior to the Annual Meeting, or by voting in person at the Annual Meeting. However, a proxy will not be revoked by simply attending the Annual Meeting and not voting. All written notices of revocation and other communications

## Edgar Filing: AVNET INC - Form DEF 14A

with respect to revocation by Avnet shareholders should be addressed as follows: Michael McCoy, Secretary, Avnet, Inc., 2211 South 47<sup>th</sup> Street, Phoenix, Arizona 85034. To revoke a proxy previously submitted by telephone or Internet, a shareholder of record can simply vote again at a later date, using the same procedures, in which case the later submitted vote will be recorded and the earlier vote will thereby be revoked. Please note that any shareholder whose shares are held of record by a broker, bank or other nominee, and who provides voting instructions on a form

received from the nominee, may revoke or change his or her voting instructions only by contacting the nominee who holds his or her shares. Such shareholders may not vote in person at the Annual Meeting unless the shareholder obtains a legal proxy from the broker, bank or other nominee.

#### **Quorum and Voting**

The presence at the Annual Meeting, in person or by proxy, of the shareholders of record entitled to cast at least a majority of the votes that all shareholders are entitled to cast is necessary to constitute a quorum. Each vote represented at the Annual Meeting in person or by proxy will be counted toward a quorum. If a quorum should not be present, the Annual Meeting may be adjourned from time to time until a quorum is obtained. Abstentions and broker non-votes, which are more fully discussed below, will not be counted as a vote cast and therefore will have no effect on the outcome of any proposal.

#### **Broker Voting**

Brokers holding shares of record for a customer have the discretionary authority to vote on certain limited matters if they do not receive timely instructions from the customer regarding how the customer wants the shares voted. There are also some matters (non-routine matters) with respect to which brokers do not have discretionary authority to vote if they do not receive timely instructions from the customer. When a broker does not have discretion to vote on a particular matter and the customer has not given timely instructions on how the broker should vote, then what is referred to as a broker non-vote results. Any broker non-vote would be counted as present at the meeting for purposes of determining a quorum, but would be treated as not entitled to vote with respect to non-routine matters. Therefore, a broker non-vote would not count as a vote in favor of or against such matters and, accordingly, would not affect the outcome of the vote.

The election of directors (Proposal 1) and the advisory vote on executive compensation (Proposal 2) are classified as non-routine matters. Accordingly, brokers, banks and other nominees will not be permitted to vote on any proposal other than the ratification of the appointment of the independent registered public accounting firm (Proposal 3) without instructions from the beneficial owners. As a result, the Company encourages all beneficial owners to provide voting instructions to your nominees to ensure that your shares are voted at the Annual Meeting.

#### **Meeting Attendance**

Admission to the Annual Meeting will be limited to shareholders. You are entitled to attend the Annual Meeting only if you are a shareholder of record as of the record date or hold a valid proxy for the meeting. In order to be admitted to the Annual Meeting, you must present proof of ownership of Avnet stock on the record date. This can be a brokerage statement or letter from a bank or broker indicating ownership on the record date, the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials, a proxy card, or legal proxy or voting instruction card provided by your broker, bank or nominee. Any holder of a proxy from a shareholder must present the proxy card, properly executed, and a copy of the proof of ownership. Shareholders and proxyholders may also be asked to present a form of photo identification such as a driver s license or passport. Backpacks, cameras, cell phones with cameras, recording equipment and other electronic recording devices will not be permitted at the Annual Meeting. Failure to follow the meeting rules or permit inspection will be grounds for exclusion from the Annual Meeting.

#### **Required Vote and Board Recommendation**

| Proposal |   |                        |                             |
|----------|---|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Number   | Item  | Voting Standard        | <b>Board Recommendation</b> |
| 1        | Election of directors   | Majority of votes cast | For                         |
| 2        | Advisory vote on executive compensation                       | Majority of votes cast | For                         |
| 3        | Ratification of independent registered public accounting firm | Majority of votes cast | For                         |

With respect to the election of directors, a majority of the votes cast means that the number of shares voted for a director must exceed the number of shares voted against that director. If an incumbent nominee is not elected by the requisite vote, he or she must tender his or her resignation, and the Board, excluding such individual, will, within 90 days of the election, decide whether or not to accept such resignation and will disclose and explain its decision.

## CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Avnet is committed to good corporate governance practices. This commitment is not new the Company has developed and evolved its corporate governance practices over many years. The Board of Directors believes that good corporate governance practices provide an important framework that promotes long-term value, strength and stability for shareholders. The Company s governance highlights include:

- ü 9 of 10 Independent Directors
- ü Annual Election of Directors
- Regular Executive Sessions of Independent Directors
- ü Prohibitions on Hedging and Pledging

#### **Corporate Governance Guidelines**

- ü Independent Chairman
- ü No Poison Pill
- ü Incentive Compensation Recoupment Policyü Risk Oversight by Board and Committees
- ü Majority Voting for Directors
- ü No Supermajority Voting Provisions
- ü Stock Ownership Guidelines for Executives and Directors
- ü Board Involvement in Talent Management

The Corporate Governance Guidelines (the Guidelines ) collect in one document many of the corporate governance practices and procedures that have evolved at Avnet over the years. Among other things, the Guidelines address the duties of the Board of Directors, director qualifications and selection process, director compensation, Board operations, management succession, Board committee matters, and director orientation and continuing education. The Guidelines also provide for annual self-evaluations by the Board and its committees. The Board reviews the Guidelines on an annual basis. The Guidelines are available on the Company s website a<u>t www.ir.avnet.com/documents.cfm</u>.

As a general policy, as set forth in the Guidelines, the Board recommends certain limits as to the service of directors on other boards of public companies. These limits are as follows: (1) directors who are actively employed on a full-time basis may serve on up to two additional boards; (2) an independent Chairman of the Board, if not actively employed on a full-time basis, may serve on up to four additional boards; and (3) directors who are retired from active full-time employment may serve on up to five additional boards.

### **Director Independence**

The Board of Directors believes that a substantial majority of its members should be independent directors. The Board has determined that the following Directors are independent under the Guidelines: Rodney C. Adkins, William J. Amelio, J. Veronica Biggins, Michael A. Bradley, R. Kerry Clark, James A. Lawrence, Avid Modjtabai, Ray M. Robinson and William H. Schumann, III (the Independent Directors ).

### **Board Leadership Structure**

Pursuant to the Guidelines, the Board of Directors has the flexibility to decide whether it is best for the Company at a given point in time for the roles of the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Chairman of the Board to be separate or combined and, if separate, whether the Chairman should be selected from the independent directors or be an employee of the Company. The Board believes that the Company and its shareholders are best served by maintaining this flexibility rather than mandating a particular leadership structure. The Board also believes its programs for overseeing risk would be effective under

a variety of leadership frameworks and therefore do not materially affect how it structures its leadership. In the event that the Chairman is an employee of the Company, the Guidelines provide for an active lead independent director.

To promote free and open discussion and communication, Independent Directors meet in executive session without management present at regularly scheduled Board meetings. Independent Directors may meet at other times at the discretion of an independent Chairman, the lead independent director or upon the request of any Independent Director.

Mr. Schumann, an Independent Director of the Company, serves as the Chairman and Richard P. Hamada is the CEO. The Board of Directors has concluded that the current leadership structure provides an appropriate framework for the Directors to provide independent, objective and effective oversight of management at this point in time.

#### **Director Nominations**

The Corporate Governance Committee is responsible for identifying, screening and recommending candidates for election to the Company s Board of Directors. The Committee reviews the business experience, education and skills of candidates as well as character and judgment. Although the Corporate Governance Committee does not have a formal policy concerning diversity, Avnet believes that valuing diversity makes good business sense and the charter of the Corporate Governance Committee includes a statement that it will consider criteria including the possession of such knowledge, experience, skills, expertise and diversity so as to enhance the Board s ability to manage and direct the affairs and business of the Company. These factors, and others considered useful by the Board, are reviewed in the context of an assessment of the perceived needs of the Board at a particular point in time. Directors must also possess the highest personal and professional ethics, integrity, and values and be committed to representing the long-term interests of all shareholders. Board members are expected to diligently prepare for, attend and participate in all Board and applicable Committee meetings. Each Board member is expected to ensure that other existing and future commitments do not materially interfere with the member s attendance at meetings and service as a Director.

The Corporate Governance Committee reviews whether a potential candidate will meet the Board s independence standards and any other director or committee membership requirements imposed by law, regulation or stock exchange rules.

Director candidates recommended by the Corporate Governance Committee are subject to full Board approval and subsequent election by the shareholders. The Board of Directors is also responsible for electing directors to fill vacancies on the Board that occur due to retirement, resignation, expansion of the Board or other events occurring between the shareholders annual meetings. The Corporate Governance Committee may retain a search firm, from time to time, to assist in identifying and evaluating director candidates. When a search firm is used, the Committee provides specified criteria for director candidates, tailored to the needs of the Board at that time, and pays the firm a fee for these services. Recommendations for director candidates are also received from Board members and management and may be solicited from professional associations as well.

The Corporate Governance Committee will consider recommendations of director candidates received from shareholders on the same basis as recommendations of director candidates received from other sources. The director selection criteria discussed above will be used to evaluate all recommended director candidates. Shareholders who wish to suggest an individual for consideration for election to the Company s Board of Directors may submit a written recommendation to the Corporate Governance Committee by sending it to: Michael McCoy, Secretary, Avnet, Inc., 2211 South 47<sup>th</sup> Street, Phoenix, Arizona 85034. Shareholder recommendations must contain the following information:

The shareholder s name, address, number of shares of Avnet Common Stock beneficially owned and, if the shareholder is not a record shareholder, evidence of beneficial ownership;

A statement in support of the candidate s recommendation;

The candidate s detailed biographical information describing experience and qualifications, including current employment and a list of any other boards of directors on which the candidate serves;

A description of all agreements, arrangements or understandings between the shareholder and the director candidate;

The candidate s consent to be contacted by a representative of the Corporate Governance Committee for interviews and his or her agreement to provide further information, if needed;

The candidate s consent for a background check; and

The candidate s consent to serve as a director, if nominated and elected. Under the Company s By-laws, shareholders may also nominate a candidate for election at an annual meeting of shareholders. Details regarding this nomination procedure and the required notice and information are set forth elsewhere in this Proxy Statement under the heading 2016 Annual Meeting.

## **Director Communications**

Shareholders and other interested parties may contact any or all of the Company s Directors by writing to the Board of Directors or to the Secretary, Avnet, Inc., 2211 South 47<sup>th</sup> Street, Phoenix, AZ 85034. They may also submit an email to the Chairman of the Board, the chair of the Audit Committee or the non-employee Directors as a group, by filling out the email form on the Company s website at <u>www.ir.avnet.com/corporate-governance.cfm</u> under the caption Committee Composition.

Communications received are distributed to the Board, or to any individual Director or group of Directors as appropriate, depending on the facts and circumstances outlined in the communication. The Avnet Board of Directors has requested that items that are unrelated to the duties and responsibilities of the Board be excluded, including spam, junk mail and mass mailings, product and services inquiries, product and services complaints, resumes and other forms of job inquiries, surveys and business solicitations or advertisements. Any product and services inquiries or complaints will be forwarded to the proper department for handling. In addition, material that is unduly hostile, threatening, illegal or similarly unsuitable will be excluded. Any such communication will be made available to any non-employee Director upon request.

#### Management Succession

The Board of Directors is actively engaged and involved in talent management. The Board regularly reviews and discusses a management succession plan designed to provide for continuity in and development of senior management. This plan, on which Avnet s CEO and Chief Human Resources Officer reports at least annually, addresses emergency CEO succession and CEO succession in the ordinary course of business. In addition, the Board receives updates on succession planning for other members of senior management.

#### **Code of Conduct**

The Company adopted a Code of Conduct that applies to Directors, officers and employees, including the CEO and all financial and accounting personnel. A copy of the Code of Conduct can be reviewed at <u>www.ir.avnet.com/documents.cfm</u>. Any future amendments to, or waivers for executive officers and Directors from certain provisions of, the Code of Conduct, will be posted on the Company s website.

#### **Reporting of Ethical Concerns**

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors has established procedures for employees, shareholders, vendors and others to communicate concerns about the Company s ethical conduct or business practices including accounting, internal controls or financial reporting issues. Matters may be reported in the following ways:

Employees of the Company are encouraged to contact their manager, a Human Resources representative or a Code of Conduct Advisor and discuss matters of concern.

All persons, including employees, may contact:

The Legal Department, by telephone at (480) 643-7106, or by mail at 2211 South 47th Street, Phoenix, Arizona 85034; or

The Ethics and Risk Alertline at 1-800-861-2899 (within the United States and Canada) or via the Internet at <u>www.avnet.alertline.com</u>. Reports via the Ethics and Risk Alertline will be treated confidentially within the limits of the law and may be made on an anonymous basis.

#### **Stock Ownership Guidelines**

The Board has adopted stock ownership guidelines providing that Directors should own, within five years of joining the Board, shares of Avnet, Inc. common stock worth at least five times the director s annual cash retainer. Shares that are awarded to directors as part of director compensation, as well as phantom shares acquired by directors under a deferred compensation plan, count towards the guideline. The Board will evaluate whether exceptions should be made in the case of any director who, due to his or her unique financial circumstances, would incur a hardship by complying with this requirement. As of June 27, 2015, each Director was in compliance with these guidelines.

#### **Avnet Website**

In addition to the information about Avnet and its subsidiaries contained in this Proxy Statement, extensive information about the Company can be found on its website located at <u>www.avnet.com</u>, including information about the Company s management team, products and services, and its corporate governance practices. The corporate governance information on Avnet s website includes the Guidelines, the Code of Conduct, the charters for each of the standing committees of the Board of Directors, how a shareholder can communicate with the Corporate Governance Committee to nominate a director candidate for election and how shareholders and other interested parties can communicate with the Chairman of the Board, the chair of the Audit Committee and the non-employee Directors as a group. In addition, amendments to the Code of Conduct and waivers granted to the Company s Directors and executive officers under the Code of Conduct, if any, will be posted in this area of the website. These documents can be accessed at <u>www.ir.avnet.com/documents.cfm</u>. Printed versions of the Guidelines, the Code of Conduct and the charters for the Board committees can be obtained, free of charge, by writing to the Company at: Michael McCoy, Secretary, Avnet, Inc., 2211 South 47<sup>th</sup> Street, Phoenix, AZ 85034.

In addition, the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those Reports, if any, filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act ), as well as Section 16 filings made by any of the Company's executive officers and Directors with respect to Avnet Common Stock, are available on the Company's website (www.avnet.com under the Investors' SEC filings' caption) as soon as reasonably practicable after the report is electronically filed with, or furnished to, the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC).

This information about Avnet s website and its content, together with other references to the website made in this Proxy Statement, is for information only. The content of the Company s website is not and should not be deemed to be incorporated by reference in this Proxy Statement or otherwise filed with the SEC.

#### THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND ITS COMMITTEES

Avnet s Board of Directors held five meetings during fiscal 2015 four regular quarterly meetings and one annual strategic planning meeting. The non-employee Directors met separately in executive session five times during fiscal 2015.

During fiscal 2015, each Director standing for reelection attended at least 75% of the combined number of meetings of the Board held during the period for which the Director served and of the committees on which such Director served.

All members of the Board of Directors are expected to attend the annual meeting of shareholders, unless unusual circumstances prevent such attendance. Board and committee meetings are scheduled in conjunction with the annual meeting of shareholders. All of the nominees, with the exception of Mr. Adkins who joined the Board in June 2015, were elected Directors at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders held on November 6, 2014.

The Board currently has, and appoints the members of, a standing Audit Committee, Compensation Committee and Corporate Governance Committee. Each of these committees is comprised solely of non-employee Directors, reports regularly to the full Board and annually evaluates its performance. The members of the committees as of the date of this Proxy Statement are identified in the following table.

|                          |       |              | Corporate  |
|--------------------------|-------|--------------|------------|
| Director                 | Audit | Compensation | Governance |
| Rodney C. Adkins         | ü     |              | ü          |
| William J. Amelio        |       | ü            | ü          |
| J. Veronica Biggins      |       | ü            | Chair      |
| Michael A. Bradley       |       | ü            | ü          |
| R. Kerry Clark           | ü     | Chair        |            |
| James A. Lawrence        | Chair |              |            |
| Avid Modjtabai           | ü     |              |            |
| Ray M. Robinson          |       |              | ü          |
| William H. Schumann, III | ü     | ü            | ü          |
| it Committee             |       |              |            |

The Audit Committee is charged with assisting and representing the Board of Directors in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities with respect to the integrity of the financial statements of the Company, the independence, qualifications and performance of the Company s independent external auditors, the performance of the Company s internal audit function and compliance with legal and regulatory requirements, as well as the Company s internal ethics and compliance program and enterprise risk management activities. Moreover, the Audit Committee is directly responsible for the appointment, compensation, retention and oversight of the independent registered public accounting firm. Additionally, the Audit Committee reviews and approves transactions with any related person in which the Company is a participant and involves an amount that equals or exceeds \$120,000 per year. All of the members of the Audit Committee are independent under the independence requirements of the New York Stock Exchange (the NYSE ) listing standards and the independence standards adopted by the Board, and also meet the additional requirements for audit committee independence established by the SEC. The Board of Directors has determined that four members of the Audit Committee (Messrs. Clark, Lawrence, Schumann and Ms. Modjtabai) qualify as audit committee financial experts, as defined in rules adopted by the SEC. Please see the Audit Committee Report set forth elsewhere in this Proxy Statement for more information about the Committee and its operations. The Committee reviews its charter and conducts an evaluation of its own effectiveness annually. The charter is available on the Company is website at www.ir.avnet.com/documents.cfm. During fiscal 2015, the Audit Committee held eight meetings.

C ......

#### **Compensation Committee**

The Compensation Committee oversees the Company s overall compensation structure, policies and programs, and assists the Board of Directors in fulfilling its responsibilities with respect to administering the Company s long-term incentive plan, reviews and approves compensation arrangements with executive officers of the Company, and evaluates the performance of and recommends the compensation for the CEO. The Committee s objective is to establish and administer a total compensation program that fairly and competitively rewards long-term performance and enhances shareholder value. All members of the Committee meet the independence requirements of the NYSE listing standards and the independence standards adopted by the Board of Directors. The Committee reviews its charter that outlines the purpose, member qualifications, authority and responsibilities of the Committee. The Committee reviews its charter and conducts an evaluation of its own effectiveness annually. A copy of the Committee charter is available on the Company s website a<u>t www.ir.avnet.com/documents.cf</u>m. During fiscal 2015, the Compensation Committee held five meetings.

The Compensation Committee has the authority to retain an independent executive compensation consultant to assist in the evaluation of compensation for the Company s executive officers and to help ensure the objectivity and appropriateness of the actions of the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee has the sole authority to retain, at the Company s expense, and terminate any such consultant, including the sole authority to approve such consultant s fees and other terms of engagement. The Compensation Committee retained Meridian Compensation Partners, LLC (Meridian), as the Compensation Committee s independent compensation consultant for fiscal 2015. The Compensation Committee assessed the independence of Meridian pursuant to the SEC and NYSE rules and concluded that no conflict of interest exists that prevented, or will prevent, Meridian from being an independent consultant to the Compensation Committee.

#### **Corporate Governance Committee**

The Corporate Governance Committee is charged with identifying, screening and recommending to the Board of Directors appropriate candidates to serve as directors of the Company and is responsible for overseeing the process for evaluating the Board of Directors and its Committees. This Committee also reviews the Company s succession plans and oversees and makes recommendations with respect to corporate governance issues affecting the Board of Directors and the Company. All of the members of the Corporate Governance Committee are independent under Avnet s independence standards and the NYSE listing standards. The Committee operates under a written charter that outlines the Committee s purpose, member qualifications, authority and responsibilities. The Committee reviews its charter and conducts an evaluation of its own effectiveness annually. The charter is available on the Company s website a<u>t www.ir.avnet.com/documents.cf</u>m. During fiscal 2015, the Corporate Governance Committee held four meetings.

### **Executive Committee**

The Board of Directors has an Executive Committee that is charged with the authority of the full Board and, between meetings of the Board, is authorized to exercise the powers of the Board in the management of the business and affairs of Avnet to the extent permitted by law. The Executive Committee is comprised of the Chairman and four other Directors. The Executive Committee did not meet in fiscal 2015.

#### The Board s Role in Risk Oversight

The Board has responsibility for the oversight of the Company s risk management, while the Company s management is responsible for the day-to-day risk management process. With the oversight of the Board, the management of the Company has developed an enterprise risk management program, whereby management identifies the top individual risks they believe the Company faces with respect to its business, operations, strategy and other factors based on input from

<sup>8</sup> 

key business and functional leaders in the Company. In addition to evaluating various key risks, management identifies ways to mitigate and manage such risks. At least annually, management reports on and discusses the identified risks and risk mitigation efforts with the Board. The Board allocates responsibility to a specific committee to examine a particular risk in detail if the committee is in the best position to review and assess the risk. For example, the Audit Committee reviews programs and practices related to accounting and financial reporting matters and the Compensation Committee provides oversight of risks related to compensation programs.

The Compensation Committee has assessed the Company s compensation programs and concluded that the Company s compensation policies and practices do not create risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company. The Compensation Committee and management assessed Avnet s executive and broad-based compensation and benefits programs to determine if the programs provisions and operations create undesired or unintentional risk of a material nature.

Based on the foregoing, management believes that the Company s compensation policies and practices do not create inappropriate or unintended significant risk to the Company as a whole, and that the incentive compensation programs provide incentives that do not encourage risk-taking that is beyond the Company s ability to effectively identify and manage significant risks. Further, management believes that the incentive compensation programs are compatible with effective internal controls and the Company s risk management practices, and are supported by the oversight and administration of the Compensation Committee with regard to executive compensation programs.

#### **PROPOSAL 1**

## **ELECTION OF DIRECTORS**

Ten directors are to be elected at the Annual Meeting to hold office until the next annual meeting of shareholders and until their successors have been elected and qualified. It is the intention of the persons named in the enclosed proxy card to vote each properly signed and returned proxy (unless otherwise directed by the shareholder executing such proxy) for the election of each of the ten director nominees listed below. Each nominee has consented to being named herein and to serving if elected.

At the Annual Meeting, each nominee must receive the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast with respect to his or her election, in order to be elected. A majority of the votes cast means that the number of shares voted for a director must exceed the number of shares voted against that director. Abstentions are not counted in determining the votes cast. Brokers who hold shares of Common Stock as nominees will not have discretionary authority to vote such shares for a director nominee. If an incumbent nominee is not elected by the requisite vote, he or she must tender his or her resignation, and the Board, excluding such individual, will, within 90 days of the election, decide whether or not to accept such resignation and will disclose and explain its decision.

In case any of the nominees below should become unavailable for election for any presently unforeseen reason, the persons named in the enclosed form of proxy will have the right to use their discretion to vote for a substitute or to vote for the remaining nominees and leave a vacancy on the Board of Directors. Under Avnet s By-laws, any such vacancy may be filled by a majority vote of the Directors then in office or by the shareholders at any meeting thereof. Alternatively, the Board of Directors may reduce the size of the Board to eliminate the vacancy.

The information set forth below as to each nominee has been furnished by such nominee as of September 15, 2015.

## The Board recommends a vote FOR all of the nominees named below.

|                     |     |          | Trincipal Occupations During Dast The Tears,   |
|---------------------|-----|----------|--|
|                     |     | Director |  |
| Name                | Age | Since    | Other Directorships and Activities   |
| Rodney C. Adkins    | 57  | 2015     | Mr. Adkins is President of 3RAM Group LLC, a privately held company<br>specializing in capital investments, business consulting services and property<br>management. Mr. Adkins formerly served as Senior Vice President of IBM from<br>2007 until 2014. In his 33-year career with IBM, Mr. Adkins held a number of<br>development and management roles, including Senior Vice President of Corporate<br>Strategy (2013 2014) and Senior Vice President of Systems and Technology Group<br>(2009 2013). Mr. Adkins currently serves on the Board of Directors of W.W.<br>Grainger, Inc., PPL Corporation and United Parcel Service, Inc., and previously<br>served on the board of directors of Pitney Bowes Inc. (2007 2013). The Board<br>benefits from Mr. Adkins global business experience in the technology industry,<br>including emerging technologies and services, international and emerging markets,<br>and supply chain management. In addition, the Board believes he provides<br>additional experience in the areas of corporate governance and strategy<br>development.                 |
| William J. Amelio   | 57  | 2014     | Mr. Amelio served as the President, Chief Executive Officer and as a Director of CHC Group Ltd. from 2010 to 2015. From 2005 to 2009, Mr. Amelio served as Lenovo s President and Chief Executive Officer. From 2001 to 2005, he was regional Senior Vice President and President, Asia-Pacific and Japan for Dell Inc. Mr. Amelio served on the board of directors of National Semiconductor prior to its acquisition by Texas Instruments (2010 2011). Through the Amelio Foundation, Mr. Amelio and his wife founded Caring for Cambodia, a non-profit organization that aims to educate the children of Cambodia through building schools, training teachers and providing for basic human needs. The Board benefits from Mr. Amelio s extensive experience in international business operations, corporate leadership and management. The Board also benefits from his broad knowledge of the technology industry globally.   |
| J. Veronica Biggins | 68  | 1997     | Ms. Biggins is a Managing Director and a member of the executive committee of Diversified Search LLC, an executive and board search firm. She was Managing Partner of the Atlanta office of Hodge Partners from 2007 until 2011 when it became a part of Diversified Search. Ms. Biggins served as Assistant to the President of the United States and Director of Presidential Personnel under President William Clinton. Ms. Biggins has served on the board of Southwest Airlines Co. since 2011. Ms. Biggins background includes 20 years of experience with NationsBank (now Bank of America) and its predecessor. She previously served as a director of Zep Inc. (2007 2012) and AirTran Holdings, Inc. prior to its acquisition by Southwest Airlines (2001 2011). Ms. Biggins serves on a number of non-profit Boards. Ms. Biggins brings extensive experience related to talent management and identifying and recruiting executive talent. In addition, she brings extensive board experience and perspective as a result of her service on boards of public companies in various industries. |

| Principal | Occupations | During Last Fiv | e Years; |
|-----------|-------------|-----------------|----------|
|-----------|-------------|-----------------|----------|

|                    |     |          | Trincipal Occupations During Last Five Tears,  |
|--------------------|-----|----------|--|
|                    |     | Director |  |
| Name               | Age | Since    | Other Directorships and Activities   |
| Michael A. Bradley | 66  | 2012     | Mr. Bradley served as Chief Executive Officer of Teradyne, Inc. from 2004 until 2014 and has served as a Director since 2004. He was President of Teradyne (2003 2013), President of Teradyne s Semiconductor Test Division (2001 2003) and Teradyne s Chief Financial Officer (1999 2001). Mr. Bradley has also been a director of Entegris, Inc. and its predecessor company, Mykrolis Corporation, since 2001. The Board benefits from Mr. Bradley s extensive experience in the semiconductor industry and from his experience in running a global technology operation. The Board believes he provides additional perspective in the areas of   |
| R. Kerry Clark     | 63  | 2012     | <ul><li>corporate governance and financial reporting.</li><li>R. Kerry Clark served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Cardinal Health,<br/>Inc. until his retirement in 2009. Mr. Clark joined Cardinal Health in 2006 as</li></ul>   |
|                    |     |          | President and Chief Executive Officer and became Chairman in 2007. Prior to<br>joining Cardinal Health, he held various positions at The Procter & Gamble<br>Company, including President of P&G Asia; President, Global Market<br>Development and Business Operations; and Vice Chairman of the Board. He is a<br>director of General Mills (since 2009), Textron, Inc. (since 2003) and Anthem, Inc.<br>(since 2014). He is also a director of Hauser Capital Partners LLC and Hauser<br>Private Equity LLC. Mr. Clark brings to the Board business leadership, corporate<br>strategy and operating expertise. Mr. Clark also lends a global business perspective.<br>Additionally, Mr. Clark provides insight and value in corporate governance, talent<br>development, change management, marketing and business development.  |
| Richard P. Hamada  | 57  | 2011     | Mr. Hamada has served as the Chief Executive Officer and a director of Avnet<br>since 2011. Prior to that, he served as the President (2010 2011) and as the Chief<br>Operating Officer of Avnet (2006 2011). Mr. Hamada is also a director of<br>Keysight Technologies, Inc. (since 2014) and a member of the College of Business<br>Administration Advisory Board for San Diego State University. As a result of his<br>long tenure as an Avnet executive, Mr. Hamada provides the Board with extensive<br>knowledge of the Company, its operations and the industry in which it operates.<br>Mr. Hamada also has extensive executive management experience.   |
| James A. Lawrence  | 62  | 2011     | Mr. Lawrence is Chairman of Great North Star LLC, an investment and advisory<br>firm. Mr. Lawrence is the former Chairman of Rothschild North America (2012<br>2015), having served as Chief Executive Officer of Rothschild North America and<br>as co-head of global investment banking (2010 2012). He previously served as<br>Chief Financial Officer of Unilever PLC (2007 2009), Vice Chairman and Chief<br>Financial Officer of General Mills, Inc. (1998 2007), Executive Vice President and<br>Chief Financial Officer of Northwest Airlines (1996 1998) and Chief Executive<br>Officer of Pepsi-Cola Asia Middle East Africa Group (1992 1996). Mr. Lawrence<br>has been a Director of International Airlines Group since 2010. The Board benefits<br>from Mr. Lawrence s breadth of global business experience, including strategy<br>development and compliance. Additionally, as a former Chief Financial Officer for<br>multiple public companies, Mr. Lawrence has extensive experience in finance and<br>accounting, particularly as it applies to public companies such as Avnet. |

|                          |     |                           | Principal Occupations During Last Five Years;   |
|--------------------------|-----|---------------------------|---|
| Name<br>Avid Modjtabai   | Age | Director<br>Since<br>2014 | Other Directorships and Activities<br>Ms. Modjtabai has served as the Senior Executive Vice President, Consumer   |
| Aviu Moujtabai           | 55  | 2014                      | Lending, at Wells Fargo & Company since 2011. Prior to this, Ms. Modjtabai served in various leadership roles at Wells Fargo, including as the head of its technology and operations group and its Chief Information Officer (2007 2011), and as Director of Human Resources (2005 2007). The Board benefits from Ms. Modjtabai s extensive experience in operations and strategy development. The Board also benefits from her experience in the areas of financial services and change management.  |
| Ray M. Robinson          | 67  | 2000                      | Since 2003, Mr. Robinson has served as Chairman of the Board of Citizens<br>Bancshares Corporation, the largest African-American owned bank in the southeast<br>United States. He also serves as the Vice Chairman of East Lake Community<br>Foundation (since 2003). Previously, Mr. Robinson was the President of AT&T s<br>Southern Region Business Services Division (1995 2003). Mr. Robinson is<br>non-executive Chairman of the Board of Aaron s, Inc. (director since 2002) and a<br>director of Acuity Brands, Inc. (since 2001), American Airlines Group (since 2013)<br>and Fortress Transportation & Infrastructure Investors LLC (since 2015). Mr.<br>Robinson previously served as a director of Rail America (2010 2012) and AMR<br>Corporation prior to its acquisition by American Airlines Group (2005 2013). The<br>Board benefits from Mr. Robinson s extensive leadership and management skills,<br>and his service on the boards and board committees of other public companies<br>provides important insights into governance and board functions. |
| William H. Schumann, III | 65  | 2010                      | Mr. Schumann retired from FMC Technologies in 2012 where he served as<br>Executive Vice President since 2007 and Chief Financial Officer from 2001 to<br>2011. Mr. Schumann has served on the board of directors of McDermott<br>International since 2012. He previously served on the board of Great Lakes<br>Advisors, Inc. (1993 2011), AMCOL International (2012 2014) and URS<br>Corporation prior to its acquisition by AECOM Technology Corporation (2014).<br>The Board benefits from Mr. Schumann s experience on other boards and his<br>financial and management expertise, including his extensive expertise in financial<br>and strategic planning, financial reporting, compliance and risk management.   |

As of the date of this Proxy Statement, the average tenure of the Company s directors is approximately six years, with seven having a tenure of less than five years, one having a tenure of between five and 10 years and two having a tenure of more than 10 years.

As described above, each director nominee brings a diversity of skills and experiences to the Board. A summary of each nominee s qualifications and experiences is set forth in the matrix below. As the matrix is a summary, it does not include all of the skills, experiences, qualifications and diversity that each nominee offers, and the fact that a particular experience, skill or qualification is not listed does not mean that a nominee does not possess it.

#### AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

The Audit Committee represents and assists the Board in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities with respect to the integrity of the Company s financial statements, the independence, qualification and performance of the Company s corporate internal audit function and its independent registered public accounting firm, and compliance with legal and regulatory requirements. The Audit Committee operates under a written charter, which sets forth its purpose, member qualifications, authority and responsibilities. The Audit Committee evaluates and assesses the effectiveness of the Committee and the adequacy of its charter on an annual basis. The charter is available on the Company s website at www.ir.avnet.com/corporate-governance.cfm.

The Audit Committee monitors the activities and performance of the Company s internal audit function, including scope of reviews, department staffing levels, and reporting and follow-up procedures. The Audit Committee also oversees policies with respect to risk assessment and risk management. In addition, the Audit Committee oversees the Company s internal ethics and compliance program and receives quarterly reports from the General Counsel or Chief Ethics and Compliance Officer. The Audit Committee also meets regularly with KPMG LLP, the Company s independent registered public accounting firm (KPMG), in executive sessions. Management has responsibility for the preparation, presentation and integrity of the Company s financial statements and the reporting process, including the system of internal controls.

The Audit Committee meets with KPMG and management to review the Company s financial results before publication of the Company s quarterly earnings press releases and the filing of the Company s quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and annual report on Form 10-K. The Audit Committee also monitors the activities and performance of KPMG, including audit scope, audit fees, auditor independence and non-audit services performed by KPMG. All services to be performed by the Company s independent registered public accounting firm are subject to pre-approval by the Audit Committee and management provides quarterly reports to the Audit Committee on the status and fees for all such projects.

The Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements for fiscal 2015 with management and KPMG. This review included a discussion with KPMG and management of Avnet s accounting principles, the reasonableness of significant estimates and judgments, including disclosure of critical accounting policies, and the conduct of the audit. The Committee has discussed with KPMG the matters required to be discussed under Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB) standards. The Audit Committee received the written disclosures and the letter from KPMG required by the applicable requirements of the PCAOB and the Audit Committee discussed with KPMG its independence. The Audit Committee has concluded that KPMG is independent from the Company and its management. KPMG also discussed with the Audit Committee its internal quality control procedures. In reliance on this review and these discussions, and the report of KPMG, the Audit Committee has recommended to the Board, and the Board has approved, the inclusion of the audited financial statements in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 27, 2015, for filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

James A. Lawrence, Chair Rodney C. Adkins R. Kerry Clark Avid Modjtabai William H. Schumann, III

#### PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FIRM FEES

The table below provides information relating to fees charged for services performed by KPMG LLP, the Company s independent registered public accounting firm, in both fiscal 2015 and fiscal 2014. All of the services described in the table were approved in conformity with the Audit Committee s pre-approval process for independent registered public accounting firm fees.

|                        | Fiscal 2015  | Fiscal 2014  |
|------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Audit Services         | \$ 6,093,000 | \$7,012,000  |
| Audit-Related Services | 22,000       | 5,000        |
| Tax Services           | 827,000      | 838,000      |
|                        |              |              |
| TOTAL                  | \$ 6,942,000 | \$ 7,855,000 |

*Audit Services.* In both fiscal years, Audit Services consisted of work performed by the principal auditor associated with the audit of the Company s consolidated financial statements, including reviews performed on the Company s Form 10-Q filings, certain statutory audits required for the Company s subsidiaries, and fees incurred in connection with the audit of internal controls over financial reporting pursuant to Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Audit fees also included assistance with registration statements filed by the Company, including consents. Differences in amounts between fiscal years are primarily the result of differences in foreign currency exchange in effect during each fiscal year.

*Audit-Related Services.* In fiscal 2015, Audit-Related Services included certain compliance-related and training services and, in both fiscal years, a subscription to certain KPMG LLP proprietary accounting research databases.

*Tax Services.* In both fiscal years, Tax Services consisted primarily of assistance with respect to global tax compliance (federal, international, state and local), tax audits, and tax advice associated with organizational structure.

All services to be provided by the Company s independent registered public accounting firm are subject to pre-approval by the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee has adopted an External Auditor Scope of Services Policy, which requires the Audit Committee s pre-approval of all services to be performed by the Company s independent registered public accounting firm. In each case, pre-approval is required either by the Audit Committee or by the Chair of the Audit Committee, who is authorized to approve individual projects up to \$250,000 with the total for such projects not to exceed \$500,000, and must then report them to the full Committee by the next Committee meeting. Management provides quarterly reports to the Audit Committee for pre-approval related to the fees for all projects requiring services by KPMG LLP.

#### BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP OF COMMON STOCK BY MANAGEMENT AND OTHERS

The following table sets forth information with respect to the Common Stock of Avnet beneficially owned at September 15, 2015, or, in respect of any 5% Holder, the date of such holder s most recent Schedule 13D or Schedule 13G filed with the SEC, by (a) persons that, to Avnet s knowledge, were the beneficial owners of more than 5% of Avnet s outstanding Common Stock (5% Holders), (b) each Director and director nominee of Avnet, (c) each of the executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table in this Proxy Statement and (d) all Directors and executive officers of Avnet as a group. Except where specifically noted in the table, all the shares listed for a person or the group are directly held by such person or group members, with sole voting and dispositive power.

| Name   | Common<br>Stock <sup>(a)</sup> | Stock<br>Options<br>Exercisable<br>Within<br>60 Days | Total<br>Common<br>Stock<br>Beneficially<br>Owned | Percent<br>of<br>Class |
|--|--------------------------------|--|---|------------------------|
| 5% Holders   |                                | ·  |   |                        |
| BlackRock, Inc. <sup>(1)</sup>                               | 11,620,307                     |  | 11,620,307  | 8.50%                  |
| 40 East 52 <sup>nd</sup> Street                              |                                |  |   |                        |
| New York, NY 10022   |                                |  |   |                        |
| Artisan Partners Holdings LP <sup>(2)</sup>                  | 10,420,537                     |  | 10,420,537  | 7.60%                  |
| 875 East Wisconsin Avenue, Suite 800                         |                                |  | ,   |                        |
| ,<br>,   |                                |  |   |                        |
| Milwaukee, WI 53202  |                                |  |   |                        |
| The Vanguard Group <sup>(3)</sup>                            | 8,667,735                      |  | 8,667,735   | 6.32%                  |
| 100 Vanguard Blvd.   | 0,007,700                      |  | 0,007,700   | 0.0270                 |
| C  |                                |  |   |                        |
| Malvern, PA 19355  |                                |  |   |                        |
| Directors and Named Executive Officers                       |                                |  |   |                        |
| Rodney C. Adkins   | 1,773                          | 0  | 1,773   | *                      |
| William J. Amelio  | 5,126                          | 0  | 5,126   | *                      |
| J. Veronica Biggins  | 44,194 <sup>(4)</sup>          | 0  | 44,194  | *                      |
| Michael A. Bradley   | 10,502                         | 0  | 10,502  | *                      |
| R. Kerry Clark   | 13,420 <sup>(5)</sup>          | 0  | 13,420  | *                      |
| Gerard Fay   | 37,316 <sup>(6)</sup>          | 30,729   | 68,045  | *                      |
| Richard P. Hamada  | 263,684 <sup>(7)</sup>         | 322,747  | 586,431   | *                      |
| James A. Lawrence  | 285,048                        | 0  | 285,048   | *                      |
| MaryAnn G. Miller  | 37,373(8)                      | 65,395   | 102,768   | *                      |
| Avid Modjtabai   | 3,516                          | 0  | 3,516   | *                      |
| Kevin Moriarty   | 44,859 <sup>(9)</sup>          | 57,132   | 101,991   | *                      |
| Ray M. Robinson  | 33,762 <sup>(10)</sup>         | 0  | 33,762  | *                      |
| William H. Schumann, III                                     | 32,291(11)                     | 0  | 32,291  | *                      |
| Patrick Zammit   | 70,881 <sup>(12)</sup>         | 55,268   | 126,149   | *                      |
| All directors and executive officers as a group (17 persons) | 954,694                        | 560,744  | 1,515,438   | 1.13%                  |

\* Less than 1%.

<sup>(</sup>a) This column includes Restricted Stock Units allocated but not yet delivered to each executive officer and Phantom Stock Units owned by non-employee Directors.

## Edgar Filing: AVNET INC - Form DEF 14A

(1) This information is based solely on information provided in Amendment No. 5 to a Schedule 13G filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 23, 2015, by BlackRock, Inc., which reports sole voting power with respect to 10,490,335 shares and sole dispositive power with respect to 11,620,307 shares.

- (2) This information is based solely on information provided in Amendment No. 4 to a Schedule 13G filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 30, 2015, by Artisan Partners Holdings LP, which reports shared voting power with respect to 9,945,452 shares and shared dispositive power with respect to 10,420,537 shares.
- (3) This information is based solely on information provided in Amendment No. 2 to a Schedule 13G filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 10, 2015, by The Vanguard Group, which reports sole voting power with respect to 133,020 shares, sole dispositive power with respect to 8,546,915 shares and shared dispositive power with respect to 120,820 shares.
- (4) Ms. Biggins information includes 24,380 Phantom Stock Units.
- (5) Mr. Clark s information includes 13,420 Phantom Stock Units.
- (6) Mr. Fay s information includes 23,668 Restricted Stock Units allocated but not yet delivered.
- (7) Mr. Hamada s information includes 70,898 Restricted Stock Units allocated but not yet delivered. Also includes 192,786 shares of Common Stock held by a family trust for which Mr. Hamada is a trustee.
- (8) Ms. Miller s information includes 16,705 Restricted Stock Units allocated but not yet delivered.
- (9) Mr. Moriarty s information includes 26,366 Restricted Stock Units allocated but not yet delivered.
- (10) Mr. Robinson s information includes 30,758 Phantom Stock Units.
- (11)Mr. Schumann s information includes 19,003 Phantom Stock Units.

### (12) Mr. Zammit s information includes 24,665 Restricted Stock Units allocated but not yet delivered. SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Pursuant to Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act, Avnet s Directors, executive officers and beneficial owners of more than 10% of the outstanding Common Stock are required to file reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission concerning their ownership of and transactions in Avnet Common Stock, and are also required to provide Avnet with copies of such reports. Based solely on such reports and related information furnished to Avnet, Avnet believes that in fiscal 2015 all such filing requirements were complied with in a timely manner by all Directors and executive officers, except for a portion of one Form 4 for Mr. Zammit, which was filed late due to administrative error.

#### EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE COMPANY

As of September 15, 2015, the executive officers of the Company were:

| Name               | Age   | Office  |
|--------------------|-------|---|
| Michael D. Buseman | 54    | Senior Vice President and Chief Global Logistics and Operations Officer |
| Gerard W. Fay      | 56    | Senior Vice President and President, Avnet Electronics Marketing        |
| Richard P. Hamada  | 57    | Chief Executive Officer   |
| Erin Lewin         | 45    | Senior Vice President and General Counsel                               |
| MaryAnn Miller     | 58    | Senior Vice President and Chief Human Resources Officer and Corporate   |
|                    |       | Communications  |
| Kevin Moriarty     | 50    | Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Controller           |
| Steven R. Phillips | 52    | Senior Vice President and Chief Information Officer                     |
| Patrick Zammit     | 48    | Senior Vice President and President, Avnet Technology Solutions         |
|                    | . • . |   |

Mr. Buseman was appointed as Senior Vice President in 2014, and has been Chief Global Logistics and Operations Officer since 2013. Mr. Buseman joined Avnet in 2013 from Plexus Corp, where he was Executive Vice President of Global Manufacturing Operations. He joined Plexus in 2006 as Vice President of Global Technology, Quality and Facilities.

Mr. Fay has served as Senior Vice President and Global President of Avnet Electronics Marketing since 2013. He previously served as Chief Global Logistics and Operations Officer (2011 2013) and served as Senior Vice President of Global Strategic Accounts for Avnet United (2005 2011). Mr. Fay joined Avnet in 2005 with the Company s acquisition of electronic components distributor Memec, where he served as President of Memec Americas.

Mr. Hamada was appointed as a Director and Chief Executive Officer in 2011. He previously served as President (2010 2011), Chief Operating Officer (2006 2011) and Senior Vice President of Avnet (2002 2010). Mr. Hamada served as the President of Technology Solutions (2003 2006) and President of the Computer Marketing operating group (2002 2003). He was appointed Vice President of Avnet in 1999.

Ms. Lewin was appointed Senior Vice President and General Counsel in 2013. Previously, she served as Vice President and General Counsel, Americas (2009 2013), and Vice President and Chief Ethics and Compliance Officer (2007 2009). Before joining Avnet in 2007, Ms. Lewin was Managing Director and Associate General Counsel of US Airways.

Ms. Miller was appointed Senior Vice President in 2011 and served as Vice President from 2009 2011. She serves as the Company s Chief Human Resources Officer and Corporate Communications. She previously served as Senior Vice President Global Human Resources (2008 2009) and Vice President of Talent and Organizational Effectiveness (2006 2008).

Mr. Moriarty joined the Company in 2013 and is the Company s Chief Financial Officer and a Senior Vice President. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Moriarty served in a variety of senior leadership positions at Honeywell International, Inc. (2002 2012). He served as Vice President and Chief Financial Officer for Honeywell International s Aerospace Business Group (2009 2012) and served as Vice President and Chief Financial Officer for the company s Performance Materials and Technologies Group (2008 2009).

Mr. Phillips was appointed Senior Vice President and Chief Information Officer of Avnet in 2011 having served as Vice President and Chief Information Officer since 2006. He joined Avnet with the 2005 acquisition of Memec where he had served as Senior Vice President and Chief Information Officer since 2004.

Mr. Zammit was appointed as Senior Vice President and Global President, Avnet Technology Solutions in 2015. Mr. Zammit previously served as President of Avnet Electronics Marketing EMEA (2006 2014).

Officers of the Company are generally elected each year at the meeting of the Board of Directors following the annual meeting of shareholders and hold office until the next such annual meeting or until their earlier death, resignation or removal.

#### COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The Compensation Committee has reviewed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis (CD&A) and discussed it with management. Based on its review and discussion with management, the Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the CD&A be included in the Company s 2015 Proxy Statement and incorporated by reference into the Company s annual report on Form 10-K. This Report is provided by the following independent directors, who comprise the Committee:

R. Kerry Clark, Chair William J. Amelio J. Veronica Biggins Michael A. Bradley William H. Schumann, III

#### COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

#### Introduction

This section explains how the Compensation Committee of Avnet s Board of Directors made its compensation decisions for the fiscal year ended June 27, 2015 (fiscal 2015), for the Named Executive Officers (the NEOs). The compensation paid to the NEOs for fiscal 2015 is set forth in the Summary Compensation Table in this Proxy Statement. These officers and their titles as of the end of fiscal 2015 are:

|                |  | Time in        |
|----------------|--|----------------|
| Executive      | Position   | Position (yrs) |
| Richard Hamada | Chief Executive Officer (CEO)  | 4.0            |
| Kevin Moriarty | Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer ( CFO )                              | 2.5            |
| Gerard Fay     | Senior Vice President, Avnet, Inc. and President, Electronics Marketing ( EM )         | 2.0            |
| Patrick Zammit | Senior Vice President, Avnet, Inc. and President, Technology Solutions ( TS )          | 0.5            |
| MaryAnn Miller | Senior Vice President and Chief Human Resources Officer and Corporate Communications ( |                |
|                | CHRO )   | 6.5            |

Mr. Zammit was promoted to TS President effective January 2015. Prior to this role, Mr. Zammit served as President of Avnet EM EMEA (Europe, the Middle East and Africa).

#### **Executive Summary**

Avnet has designed its compensation programs and practices around a pay-for-performance philosophy that is geared towards the achievement and linkage of both short- and long-term financial and operational goals that the Company believes support the sustained growth of shareholder value. Senior executives are encouraged to think and behave like owners of the business and to consider the impact of their decisions and performance on the aggregate success of the Company as reflected in its total shareholder return ( TSR ).

### **Business Performance**

The Company delivered consistent improvement in its financial performance as revenue grew year over year and adjusted operating income margin expanded in all four quarters year over year despite the significant strengthening of the U.S. Dollar throughout fiscal 2015. During fiscal 2015, the Company increased reported sales by 1.5%, adjusted operating income increased 4.4% and adjusted earnings per share increased 5.9%. This increase in profitability, combined with the Company s working capital management, drove cash flow from operations up 146% from fiscal 2014 to \$584 million, and return on working capital increased to 21.6%. In addition, during fiscal 2015, the Company increased its dividend by 7% and returned \$247 million to shareholders via its dividend (\$87 million) and share repurchase program (\$160 million). The Company created total shareholder return of 2.2% over the prior year and 47.0% over the prior three years.

Performance on key financials is detailed in the table below:

|  | Fise  | cal 2014 | Fiscal        | 2015      | % Change |
|--|-------|----------|---------------|-----------|----------|
|  |       | \$ in m  | illions, exce | pt per sh | are data |
| Sales  | \$ 2' | 7,499.7  | \$ 27,        | 924.7     | 1.5%     |
| Operating income, as adjusted <sup>(*)</sup>       | \$    | 931.3    | \$            | 972.5     | 4.4%     |
| Net income, as adjusted <sup>(*)</sup>             | \$    | 594.1    | \$            | 523.1     | 4.9%     |
| Adjusted diluted earnings per share <sup>(*)</sup> | \$    | 4.24     | \$            | 4.49      | 5.9%     |
| Total CEO compensation <sup>(+)</sup>              | \$    | 6.91     | \$            | 6.74      | (2.5)%   |

- (\*) In addition to presenting financial results that are determined in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States (GAAP), the Company also presents operating income, net income and diluted earnings per share adjusted to exclude certain items in the table above. The Company believes that these metrics, adjusted for the impact of certain items, is a useful measure to help shareholders better assess and understand the Company s performance, especially when comparing results with previous periods, primarily because management views the excluded items to be outside of Avnet s normal operating results. See <u>Appendix</u> A to this Proxy Statement for a reconciliation of these non-GAAP measures to the most directly comparable GAAP measures. Non-GAAP measures should be viewed in addition to, and not as an alternative for, financial results prepared in accordance with GAAP.
- <sup>(+)</sup> CEO total compensation is based on the compensation reported in the Summary Compensation Table.

(\*) See (\*) above.*Philosophy and Objectives* 

The Compensation Committee s objective is to establish and administer a compensation program that supports the achievement of Avnet s business objectives and alignment of executive and shareholder interests by fairly and competitively rewarding short- and long-term performance that enhances shareholder value over time. Avnet s short- and long-term incentive programs employ multiple performance measures to ensure focus is on the entire business. Further, the incentive programs include awards that vest over several different and overlapping periods to help ensure that performance during any one period is not maximized to the detriment of other periods. In addition to the annual cash incentive awards, equity awards vest over periods ranging from three to four years depending on the award type.

#### 2014 Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation

At the Company s annual meeting in November 2014, the Company submitted its executive compensation program to an advisory vote of its shareholders (also known as the say on pay vote). This advisory vote received support from approximately 97% of the total votes cast at the annual meeting. The Company pays careful attention to any feedback received from its shareholders about

the Company s executive compensation program, including the say on pay vote. After the annual meeting, the Company conducted a shareholder outreach program with a number of its largest registered shareholders to seek their feedback on the Company s corporate governance and executive compensation practices. There were no significant concerns expressed during the various discussions with registered shareholders that led the Compensation Committee to make material changes to the Company s compensation programs. The Compensation Committee carefully considered and continues to consider the results of the say on pay vote and the feedback received from its shareholders in its subsequent executive compensation decision-making.

#### **Compensation Governance and Process**

#### Role of the Compensation Committee and Board

The Compensation Committee:

designs the compensation strategy and programs;

approves the compensation for executive officers in line with the compensation strategy;

determines the target compensation, including the amount and related performance goals, for all executive officers except the CEO; and

recommends the target compensation of the CEO to the independent directors of the Board for their consideration and approval. When setting CEO compensation, the Compensation Committee provides a decision-making framework for use in making a recommendation to the Board. As part of this framework, the Chairman of the Board leads the Board in conducting an annual evaluation of CEO performance relative to the performance goals and objectives established for the Company and the CEO. The CEO s performance objectives include goals relating to enterprise performance, capital allocation strategies, strategic business plans and succession planning.

For other executive officers, the Compensation Committee determines target compensation and sets performance goals. After the end of the fiscal year, the Compensation Committee reviews the prior year s performance and approves or recommends incentive plan payouts for all executive officers.

### **Role of Management**

To aid in determining the compensation for the Company s executive officers, the CEO discusses the performance of each executive officer with the Compensation Committee and provides the Compensation Committee with recommendations on the compensation levels for each individual. When making the recommendations, the CEO considers items such as the value of the job in the marketplace and within the Company, the executive officer s performance, overall experience and time in the position, and expected future contributions. The Compensation Committee subsequently evaluates all of the factors considered by the CEO and reviews compensation summaries that tally the dollar value of the base salary, target annual cash incentive, target long-term incentives and target total direct compensation. These summaries include benchmarking data comparing each of those elements to those of the peer groups, which are further discussed below.

### Role of the Independent Compensation Consultant

The Compensation Committee has retained Meridian Compensation Partners, LLC (Meridian), to serve as the independent compensation consultant. Meridian provides the Compensation Committee and the Board with a summary that tallies the dollar value of the base salary, target annual cash incentive, target long-term incentives and target total direct compensation, along with relevant benchmarking data using the peer groups defined below.

#### Benchmarking

To ensure the Compensation Committee has the information necessary to set appropriate compensation levels, the Compensation Committee reviews publicly available executive compensation data from:

- a core peer group ; and
- a supplemental peer group.

The core peer group is comprised of technology distributors and electronic components manufacturing companies that are generally comparable to the Company in terms of revenue and market capitalization. The supplemental peer group is comprised of peers of the core peer group that are similar to the Company with respect to business operations, revenue and market capitalization. The supplemental peer group data is used to augment and validate core peer group data by providing a broader data sample from which to evaluate compensation practices.

For fiscal 2015, the peer groups consisted of:

| Core Peer Group         | Arrow Electronics, Inc.<br>Flextronics International Ltd.                 | Ingram Micro Inc.                          | SYNNEX Corporation                               |
|-------------------------|---|--|--|
| (6 Companies)           |   | Jabil Circuit, Inc.                        | Tech Data Corporation                            |
| Supplemental Peer Group | Anixter International Inc.<br>Celestica Inc.                              | ScanSource, Inc.<br>Seagate Technology Plc | W.W. Grainger, Inc.                              |
| (13 Companies)          |   | Sysco Corporation                          | Wesco International, Inc.                        |
|                         | Genuine Parts Company<br>Insight Enterprises, Inc.<br>Sanmina Corporation | s, Inc. TE Connectivity Ltd.               | Western Digital Corporation<br>Xerox Corporation |

Data is presented for the peers using two reference points: core peer group only and a combination of the core and supplemental peer groups. The median revenue and market capitalization for each peer group and Avnet is shown in the table below:

|                         | Fiscal 20 | Fiscal 2014 (\$ in billions) |           |  |
|-------------------------|-----------|------------------------------|-----------|--|
|                         | Median    | Media                        | n Market  |  |
| Peer Group/Company      | Revenue   | Capit                        | alization |  |
| Core Peer Group         | \$ 24.4   | \$                           | 4.3       |  |
| Supplemental Peer Group | \$ 10.0   | \$                           | 15.7      |  |
| Combined Group          | \$ 13.9   | \$                           | 4.3       |  |
| Avnet                   | \$ 27.5   | \$                           | 6.1       |  |

Additionally, the Compensation Committee reviews general industry survey data for similar roles at companies with comparable revenue and market capitalization. For fiscal 2015, the survey data came from the 2013 Towers Watson Data Services US Compensation Data Bank General Industry Executive Survey.

As part of this benchmarking process, each executive officer s proposed individual target compensation is evaluated against the market data, as are individual compensation elements such as base pay, annual cash incentive, long-term incentives and total direct compensation. Primary market data for the CEO, CFO and operating group presidents reflects peer proxy data. Primary market data for other executives consists of peer group proxy data where available and general industry survey data. The Compensation Committee does not view benchmarking as a prescriptive determinant of individual compensation. Rather, the Compensation Committee uses the market median as a general guide in its decisions on the target amount and mix of each element of compensation. An individual executive officer s target compensation also takes into account other factors, such as experience in the position and long-term performance of the individual. An executive officer s actual compensation may be above or below target compensation and will vary from year to year based on corporate and/or business unit financial results, as well as individual performance, reinforcing the Company s pay-for-performance culture.

## **Overview of Pay Programs**

The primary components of the Company s compensation program and the objectives of each component are set forth in the table below:

| Element of Compensation | Objectives   | Key Features  |
|-------------------------|--|---|
| Base Salary             | Attract and retain executive talent in a competitive marketplace.  | Reflects skills, contributions and success over time in role.   |
|                         |  | Reviewed annually to ensure competitiveness and alignment with individual performance.  |
| Annual Cash Incentive   | Link variable compensation to<br>corporate and/or business unit<br>short-term performance as well as<br>strategic goals. | Key financial measures used to assess performance and align executives with shareholders interests.   |
|                         |  | Payouts dependent on meeting net income, operating margin and individual strategic goals.   |
| Long-Term Incentive     | Align executives with<br>shareholders by rewarding long-<br>term shareholder value creation.                             | Encourages retention through multi-year vesting (three to four years) and shareholder alignment through the use of performance goals.                           |
|                         | Reward stock price appreciation<br>and tie executive wealth<br>accumulation to long-term<br>performance.                 | Performance units vest, if at all, at end of three-year period<br>depending on meeting relative economic profit and relative<br>total shareholder return goals. |

In addition, each NEO is also eligible to receive certain other benefits as described in the Additional Compensation Elements below.

## Pay Mix

The compensation mix at target for the CEO and the other NEOs for fiscal 2015 demonstrates that a significant portion of CEO and NEO pay is based on variable compensation, as shown below.

Avnet s Practices

The Company s compensation programs incorporate a number of compensation governance practices:

**Pay-for-Performance Alignment.** A significant portion of total compensation is dependent upon the achievement of short- and long-term financial and operational goals that are designed to increase shareholder value over time. As executives gain responsibility and seniority at Avnet and exercise more direct influence over the Company s financial and operational performance, base salary as a percentage of total compensation will typically decrease and performance-based pay will increase.

*Focus on Long-Term Incentive Compensation.* Avnet s equity compensation program is designed to provide a meaningful portion of compensation with the goal of having executive officers think and behave like owners over the long term. Equity awards vest over periods ranging from three to four years depending on the award type.

*A Holistic View of Performance.* Avnet s annual and long-term incentive programs employ multiple performance measures to assure focus is on the entire business. Further, the incentive programs include awards that vest over several different and overlapping periods to help ensure that performance during any one period is not maximized to the detriment of other periods.

*Award Caps.* Awards under the Company s annual cash incentive plan and performance share unit awards under the Company s Long-Term Incentive Plan (LTIP) are capped at a percentage of the target to ensure such awards do not encourage excessive risk-taking.

*Stock Ownership Guidelines.* The Company has stock ownership guidelines for its executive officers and, as of June 27, 2015, each of the executive officers was in compliance with these guidelines.

*Recoupment.* The Company has adopted an incentive compensation recoupment policy.

*Annual Risk Assessment.* The Compensation Committee has assessed the Company s compensation programs and concluded that the Company s policies and practices do not create risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company.

*Committee Independence.* The Compensation Committee is made up entirely of independent directors and the Compensation Committee s independent compensation consultant did not provide any services to management.

*Hedging or Pledging are Prohibited.* The Company s insider trading policy prohibits directors and executive officers from hedging or pledging Avnet securities.

*No Tax Gross-Ups on Excise Taxes.* The Company s change of control agreements do not provide for excise tax reimbursements to any of the Company s executive officers.

*No Dividends or Dividend Equivalents on Unearned/Unvested Equity.* The Company does not pay dividends or dividend equivalents on unearned or unvested equity awards.

## Edgar Filing: AVNET INC - Form DEF 14A

*Repricing of Awards is Prohibited*. Repricing of stock options and stock appreciation rights is prohibited without shareholder approval. The Company does not have a history of repricing equity awards.

No Above-Market Returns. The Company does not offer preferential or above-market returns on deferred compensation.

*Stock Options are Granted at Fair Market Value.* The Company does not grant stock options with an exercise price below the fair market value of the Company s Common Stock on the date of the grant.

#### **Elements of Executive Compensation**

#### **Base Salary**

For fiscal 2014 and fiscal 2015, the annualized salaries as of the end of the applicable fiscal year are as follows:

| NEO  | 2014 Year End<br>Annualized<br>Base Solawy | 2015 Year End<br>Annualized            |
|--|--|--|
| NEO  | Base Salary                                | Base Salary                            |
| Mr. Hamada   | \$ 900,000                                 | \$ 950,000                             |
| Mr. Moriarty   | \$ 550,000                                 | \$ 600,000                             |
| Mr. Fay  | \$ 450,000                                 | \$ 575,000                             |
| Mr. Zammit <sup>(1)</sup>                            |  | \$ 484,000                             |
| Ms. Miller   | \$ 425,000                                 | \$ 500,000                             |
| Mr. Moriarty<br>Mr. Fay<br>Mr. Zammit <sup>(1)</sup> | \$ 550,000<br>\$ 450,000                   | \$ 600,000<br>\$ 575,000<br>\$ 484,000 |

(1) Mr. Zammit was not an executive officer during fiscal 2014. He became an executive officer upon his promotion to TS President at the start of the second half of fiscal 2015. Mr. Zammit s cash compensation is paid in Euros. For purposes of this CD&A, when discussing his cash compensation, the Company assumed an exchange rate of \$1.10 to 1.00.

The Company generally implements base pay increases for executive officers on a fiscal year basis, with occasional mid-year increases to reflect a promotion, changing circumstances, or the addition of experience or responsibilities. In determining to increase base salaries, the Committee considered the benchmarking data, the NEO s experience in the position and the long-term performance of the individual NEO.

#### Annual Cash Incentives

Executive officers are eligible to receive annual cash incentive compensation pursuant to the Executive Incentive Plan (the Incentive Plan ) based on the financial performance of the Company and, where appropriate, the business unit for which the executive officer has direct responsibility. In addition, except with respect to Mr. Zammit, a portion of the annual cash incentive opportunity is tied to individual performance against pre-established strategic goals. Except with respect to Mr. Zammit, for fiscal 2015, 80% of each NEO s target annual cash incentive related to financial goals and 20% related to individual performance goals. For fiscal 2015, as Mr. Zammit was promoted at the start of the second half of fiscal 2015, his target annual cash incentive is solely based upon achievement of financial goals.

For fiscal 2015, payouts to the NEOs for performance related to the Incentive Plan ranged from 95% to 101% of target annual incentive opportunity based on the financial results outlined below and based on the Compensation Committee s assessment of each NEO s performance relative to their respective strategic initiatives:

Net income after tax, as defined below ( NIAT ), was \$623.1 million;

Operating income margin was 3.48%;

Net income before tax, as defined below ( NIBT ), for EM and TS was \$778.6 million and \$287.2 million, respectively; and

Operating income margin for EM and TS was 4.60% and 3.08%, respectively.

The target annual cash incentive compensation for fiscal 2014 and fiscal 2015 for the NEOs is set forth in the following table:

| NEO                       | 2014         | 2015         |
|---------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Mr. Hamada                | \$ 1,350,000 | \$ 1,425,000 |
| Mr. Moriarty              | \$ 550,000   | \$ 600,000   |
| Mr. Fay                   | \$ 450,000   | \$ 550,000   |
| Mr. Zammit <sup>(1)</sup> |              | \$ 242,000   |
| Ms. Miller                | \$ 375,000   | \$ 375,000   |

<sup>(1)</sup> Mr. Zammit was not an executive officer during fiscal 2014. He became an executive officer during the second half of fiscal 2015. The increases in target cash incentive compensation take into account the benchmarking data, the NEO s experience in the position and the long-term performance of the individual NEO.

*Financial Performance Goals*. Financial performance goals are reviewed in conjunction with the Company s budget for the upcoming fiscal year. When determining the budget, the Board seeks to ensure that it is fair, challenging and forward-looking, without encouraging excessive risk-taking. Additionally, when determining the fiscal 2015 budget, the Board considered the Company s results in fiscal 2014, projected growth and the operating environment as projected by industry analysts. At its August meeting, the Compensation Committee or the Board, as appropriate, finalized the financial goals and the target cash incentive compensation relating to such financial goals.

Company-wide performance goals are based on the percentage achievement of Avnet s fiscal 2015 net income after tax, excluding certain items (NIAT), and adjusted operating income margin (OI Margin). Operating group performance goals are based upon the achievement of the applicable operating group s fiscal 2015 net income before tax, excluding certain items (NIBT) and OI Margin with respect to the applicable operating group. These measures were selected to drive profitable growth. Corporate and business unit goals by NEO are discussed below:

|                           |                       | Co   | rporate   | Busi | ness Unit |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|------|-----------|------|-----------|
| Executive                 | Financial Performance | NIAT | OI Margin | NIBT | OI Margin |
| Mr. Hamada                | 80%                   | 60%  | 40%       |      |           |
| Mr. Moriarty              | 80%                   | 60%  | 40%       |      |           |
| Mr. Fay                   | 80%                   | 30%  | 20%       | 30%  | 20%       |
| Mr. Zammit <sup>(1)</sup> | 100%                  | 18%  | 12%       | 42%  | 28%       |
| Ms. Miller                | 80%                   | 60%  | 40%       |      |           |

(1) As Mr. Zammit was promoted at the start of the second half of fiscal 2015, the first half of his annual cash incentive was based entirely on the performance of EM and EM EMEA, the business he led prior to his promotion. After his promotion, his incentive was based on the performance of Avnet (30%) and TS (70%), as noted above.

A summary of financial performance goals for fiscal 2015 is presented in the table below:

| Company/Business Unit | NIAT (\$000s) | NIBT (\$000s) | OI Margi        | n             |
|-----------------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Avnet                 | \$ 660,884    |               | Baseline: 3.40% | Target: 3.60% |
| EM                    |               | \$ 813,592    | Baseline: 4.70% | Target: 4.80% |
| TS                    |               | \$ 362,959    | Baseline: 3.30% | Target: 3.40% |

Performance for NIAT and NIBT is calculated based on the ratio of actual performance to the target goal. For OI Margin, performance is calculated by adding or deducting 0.5% from 100% for every 1 basis point deviation from the baseline and adding an additional 0.5% (for a total of 1.0%) for every basis point above the target. The payout factor on the NIAT, NIBT and OI Margin portion of the incentive is linear for performance attainment between 80% and 120% of the performance goal. If NIAT, NIBT or OI Margin performance attainment is less than 80% or greater than 120% of the target goal, each 1% change in attainment from those percentages will result in a  $\pm 3\%$  change in the incentive payout. Maximum cash incentive compensation relating to the financial performance is capped at 200% of target and no cash incentive compensation will be earned if actual performance is less than 60% of the financial target.

The table below outlines the payout ranges that apply to the financial goals.

| Performance Level                                   | Payout Range<br>(as percentage of target<br>incentive opportunity) |
|---|--|
| Below 60% of performance goal                       | 0%   |
| Between 60% and less than 80% of performance goal   | 20% - 80%  |
| Between 80% and less than 120% of performance goal  | 80% - 120%   |
| Between 120% and less than 147% of performance goal | 120% - 200%  |
| Above 147% of performance goal                      | 200%   |

<u>Individual Performance Goals</u>. For each NEO, with the exception of Mr. Zammit, 20% of their annual cash incentive was tied to achievement of pre-established individual objectives and strategic initiatives. These objectives, which vary by NEO, focus on areas that provide immediate value, as well as those that are important for building future growth capability. These areas include efficiency initiatives, financial planning initiatives and goals with respect to specific businesses or functions. Maximum cash incentive compensation relating to the individual performance goals is capped at 200% of target.

Payout. The NEOs were paid the following annual cash incentive amounts for fiscal 2015 performance:

| NEO                       | Target Cash<br>Incentive | Cash Incentive Paid<br>for Fiscal 2015 | Percentage of<br>Target Achieved |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|--|----------------------------------|
| Mr. Hamada                | \$ 1,425,000             | \$ 1,354,240                           | 95%                              |
| Mr. Moriarty              | \$ 600,000               | \$ 603,206                             | 101%                             |
| Mr. Fay                   | \$ 550,000               | \$ 544,144                             | 99%                              |
| Mr. Zammit <sup>(1)</sup> | \$ 242,000               | \$ 242,000                             | 100%                             |
| Ms. Miller                | \$ 375,000               | \$ 377,004                             | 101%                             |

(1) As Mr. Zammit was promoted to TS President at the start of the second half of fiscal 2015, the portion of his target incentive tied to the performance of Avnet and TS was guaranteed to be not less than the target amount for the second half of fiscal 2015. The percentages of target cash incentive earned with respect to the financial performance goals were calculated as follows:

|                          |            | NIAT/NII   | <b>BT</b> (\$000s) |          |          | OI     | Margin     |          |
|--------------------------|------------|------------|--------------------|----------|----------|--------|------------|----------|
| Company/Business<br>Unit | Target     | Actual     | % Achieved         | Pavout % | Baseline | Actual | % Achieved | Pavout % |
| Avnet                    | \$ 660,844 | \$ 623,090 | 94.28%             | 94.28%   | 3.40%    | 3.48%  | 104.00%    | 98.17%   |
| EM                       | \$ 813,592 | \$778,575  | 95.70%             | 95.70%   | 4.70%    | 4.60%  | 95.00%     | 95.42%   |
| TS                       | \$ 362,959 | \$287,164  | 79.12%             | 77.36%   | 3.30%    | 3.08%  | 89.00%     | 82.02%   |

Performance relative to pre-established individual objectives and strategic initiatives as a percentage of target for fiscal 2015 were:

Mr. Hamada: 83% Mr. Zammit: N/A Mr. Moriarty: 110% Ms. Miller: 110%

Mr. Fay: 108%

The actual annual cash incentive earned for fiscal 2015 was a result of performance at Avnet, EM and TS, as well as a result of the performance relative to the individual strategic objectives. As a result of the increased performance targets with respect to NIAT and NIBT in the Incentive Plan and the strengthening U.S. Dollar during the fiscal year, the percentage of target achieved decreased an average of 5% for Messrs. Hamada, Moriarty and Fay, and Ms. Miller from fiscal 2014. For Mr. Moriarty and Mr. Fay, the dollar increase in the annual cash incentive paid was a result of the increases in their target annual cash incentive compensation for fiscal 2015. For additional information regarding the fiscal 2015 performance of the Company and its operating groups, please refer to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 27, 2015.

#### Long-Term Incentives

The Compensation Committee uses long-term incentive compensation in the form of equity awards for all executive officers as a valuable compensation component. Equity awards under the LTIP provide a strong incentive to increase shareholder value over time and improve TSR, as well as aid in retention.

Avnet utilizes a portfolio approach to long-term incentive awards to better align executive compensation to shareholder interests, provide executive officers with an opportunity to benefit from stock price appreciation and ensure that a portion of long-term pay is tied to performance relative to peer companies. Executive officers do not have a set target for long-term incentive compensation at the beginning of each year (e.g., target value as a percentage of base salary). Rather, at the beginning of each year, long-term incentive awards are determined based on a variety of factors including market competitiveness and the executive officer s prior-year performance. As a result, the target value of awards on grant date for an executive officer can vary from year to year.

The Compensation Committee generally awards a mix of restricted stock units (RSUs), stock options and performance share units (PSUs) to the Company s executive officers. In fiscal 2015, Avnet granted stock options, time-based RSUs and PSUs to each of the NEOs. With respect to the target value of the LTIP awards to the NEOs, generally, RSUs represent 25% of the award, stock options represent 25% of the award and PSUs represent 50% of the award. The fiscal 2015 approved LTIP awards are listed in the following table.

|                           |             | Stock          |             |                                |
|---------------------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|--------------------------------|
| NEO                       | PSUs<br>(#) | Options<br>(#) | RSUs<br>(#) | rget Value of<br>P Awards (\$) |
| Mr. Hamada                | 56,262      | 78,124         | 28,132      | \$<br>4,600,000                |
| Mr. Moriarty              | 17,430      | 24,200         | 8,716       | \$<br>1,425,000                |
| Mr. Fay <sup>(1)</sup>    | 14,676      | 42,604         | 14,438      | \$<br>1,800,000                |
| Mr. Zammit <sup>(2)</sup> | 11,007      | 37,508         | 12,602      | \$<br>1,500,000                |
| Ms. Miller                | 11,619      | 16,136         | 5,808       | \$<br>950,000                  |

(1) In consideration of organizational changes in the EM business and the expansion of his responsibilities to include initiatives spanning both business groups, Mr. Fay received a one-time equity award of \$300,000 worth of RSUs and \$300,000 worth of stock options in January 2015. These RSUs vest ratably in January 2016, 2017 and 2018, and these stock options vest ratably in January 2016, 2017, 2018 and 2019.

<sup>(2)</sup> In recognition of his promotion to TS President, effective January 2015, Mr. Zammit also received a one-time equity award of \$300,000 worth of RSUs and \$300,000 worth of stock options. These RSUs vest ratably in January 2016, 2017 and 2018, and these stock options vest ratably in January 2016, 2017, 2018 and 2019.

<u>Performance Stock Units</u>. The Compensation Committee or, where applicable, the Board, uses the combination of three distinct successive annual relative economic profit performance periods over three years as a performance goal because the Board believes that economic profit growth is a key factor in the creation of shareholder value. Using annual performance periods makes these goals more meaningful to the executive officers and enhances the line of sight between performance and award results. In addition, the Compensation Committee uses relative TSR as a payout measure to promote a closer alignment between long-term incentive payments and shareholder returns delivered during the three-year performance period.

The PSUs awarded in fiscal 2015 vest based upon a three-year performance period covering the Company s fiscal years 2015, 2016 and 2017. Vesting of these PSUs is subject to Avnet achieving Relative EP, as defined below, and Relative TSR, as defined below, equal to at least the respective threshold levels set forth below. Relative EP and Relative TSR are equally weighted for determining PSU payouts. While Relative EP is calculated for each year during the performance period, the PSUs associated with that performance measure do not vest until the end of the three-year performance period. For Relative EP, the Compensation Committee selected the S&P SuperComposite Technology Distributors Index

Sub-Industry Index, excluding Avnet, as the comparator group. It is comprised of technology distributors that are the most comparable to Avnet in terms of revenue, market capitalization and business environment. For Relative TSR, the Compensation Committee selected the broader S&P MidCap400 Information Technology Index as the comparator group to provide for more stability and continuity in the relative TSR peer group composition and performance levels.

For purposes of the PSU awards:

Relative EP means, with respect to each fiscal year in the three-year Relative EP performance period, Avnet s economic profit per dollar of average capital for such fiscal year as compared to the economic profit per dollar of average capital of the companies in the S&P SuperComposite Technology Distributors Index Sub-Industry Index, excluding Avnet.

Economic profit for a business means operating income after tax (assuming an effective tax rate of 35%), less a capital charge of 10% on the amount of capital invested in the business. For purposes of the PSUs, operating income excludes certain items as determined by the Compensation Committee, such as restructuring charges, asset write-downs, impairments and financial impacts of accounting, tax and regulatory changes, etc.

Relative TSR means the percentile rank (from 0% ile for the lowest to 100% ile for the highest) of Avnet s Total Shareholder Return compared to the individual total shareholder return of each company in the S&P MidCap400 Information Technology Index over the three-year performance period.

Total Shareholder Return means the percent calculated using the following formula: <u>Average stock price at the end of period</u> average stock price at the start of period + dividends

#### Average stock price at the start of period

When calculating the average stock price at the beginning and end of the relevant period, the Company uses the 30-trading day average immediately before and including the start day and the 30-trading day average immediately before and including the end day of the applicable period.

Based upon the Company s actual Relative EP and Relative TSR, the recipient is eligible to receive a percentage of the target number of shares ranging from 0% to 200% of the participant s targeted number of shares as set forth below. As Relative EP is calculated each year during the three-year performance period, the payout percentage of target set forth below is multiplied by one-third and the number of PSUs associated with each year s Relative EP performance vest at the end of the three-year performance period.

| -5% | 0%   | +5%     | +10%         |
|-----|------|---------|--------------|
| 50% | 100% | 150%    | 200%         |
|     | 0 /0 | 270 070 | 0,0 0,0 10,0 |

| Relative TSR   |                             |                |              |              |
|--|-----------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|
| Percentile Rank  | <30%ile                     | 30%ile         | 50%ile       | 75%ile+      |
| Payout Percent of Target   | 0%                          | 50%            | 100%         | 200%         |
| Avnet's actual annual Relative FP or Relative TSR is between two a | chievement levels set forth | in the table a | above the ne | ercentage ve |

If Avnet s actual annual Relative EP or Relative TSR is between two achievement levels set forth in the table above, the percentage vesting shall be determined by linear interpolation.

The Compensation Committee set target payouts at the median level of the TSR peer group with threshold payouts at the 30th percentile to set a minimum relative performance level compared to the comparator group while retaining the retention value of the overall award.

Performance Stock Units Earned. The payout percentages for the PSU awards for the past five years are set forth in the following table:

| Performance Period     | Payout |
|------------------------|--------|
| Fiscal Years 2013 2015 | 107%   |
| Fiscal Years 2012 2014 | 94%    |
| Fiscal Years 2011 2013 | 90%    |
| Fiscal Years 2010 2012 | 150%   |
| Fiscal Years 2009 2011 | 100%   |

<u>Stock Options</u>. Stock options provide the opportunity for compensation only if the Company s stock price appreciates from the date of grant, enhancing the alignment of executive officer pay with shareholder interests. Options typically vest annually in equal installments over a four year period. The exercise price reflects the closing stock price on the date of grant. The vesting parameters are designed to enhance NEO retention.

<u>Restricted Stock Units</u>. RSUs typically vest in four equal installments over three-and-a-half years and are intended to enhance the retention of NEOs over an extended period.

#### Additional Compensation Elements

**<u>Retirement Benefits</u>**. Avnet provides a retirement benefit to certain employees under a tax-qualified retirement plan and a retirement benefit under nonqualified retirement plans. The Avnet pension plan (the Pension Plan ) is a type of tax-qualified defined benefit plan commonly referred to as a cash balance plan. Cash balance plans are similar in nature to a defined contribution plan in that a participant s benefit is defined in terms of a stated account balance. As a cash balance plan, the Pension Plan provides the Company with the benefit of applying any earnings on the Plan s investments beyond the fixed return provided to participants toward the Company s future cash funding obligations. The nonqualified retirement plans consist of the Avnet restoration pension plan (the Restoration Plan ) and the supplemental executive officers retirement plan (the SERP ). The plans are more fully described in the Pension Benefits section. Only U.S. employees are eligible to participate in the Pension and Restoration Plans. Mr. Zammit, as a former resident of France and a current resident of Belgium, is a participant in the Company s pension plans established in France and Belgium. The SERP was closed to new participants effective December 31, 2011, and the Restoration Plan was adopted effective January 1, 2012. Pursuant to the terms of the Restoration Plan and the SERP, any benefit payable under the Restoration Plan reduces the benefit payable under the SERP. These plans are important retention tools in the Avnet compensation program because the receipt of benefits under these plans is contingent upon the satisfaction of certain age and service requirements. Additionally, as the benefits provided under the nonqualified retirement plans are based in part on a

participant s yearly cash compensation, including a participant s annual cash incentive compensation, the plans include a performance based element. The Company balances the effectiveness of these plans as a compensation and retention tool with the cost of these plans.

*Deferred Compensation.* The Company maintains a Deferred Compensation Plan for highly compensated U.S. employees, which includes each NEO with the exception of Mr. Zammit. The program permits these employees to set aside a portion of their income for retirement on a pre-tax basis, in addition to the amounts allowed under the Company s 401(k) Plan, at a minimal administrative cost to the Company. Under this unfunded program, amounts deferred by a participant are credited with earnings based upon the returns actually obtained through the deemed investment selected by the participant, as described in more detail following the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Table. The Company does not offer preferential or above market returns on the compensation deferred.

*Executive Benefits.* The Company provides NEOs with a limited number of perquisites that the Company and the Compensation Committee believe are reasonable and consistent with Avnet s overall compensation program, and necessary to remain competitive. Costs associated with the perquisites provided by the Company are included in the All Other Compensation column in the Summary Compensation Table.

Generally, the Company does not increase payment to any employees, including NEOs, to cover personal income taxes. However, certain expatriate allowances, relocation reimbursements and tax equalization payments are made to employees assigned to work outside his or her home country and the Company will cover the personal income taxes due on these items. Mr. Zammit is currently a resident of Belgium and, as such, is subject to personal income taxes in Belgium. In addition, as Mr. Zammit s position as TS President requires that he periodically conduct business in the United States, he is subject to state and federal personal income taxes in the United States. As a result of his role as TS President, the Company agreed to make certain tax equalization payments (including tax gross-up payments) to him to ensure that the position is tax neutral to Mr. Zammit. The Company expects these payments to cease when Mr. Zammit becomes a resident of the United States. Costs associated with the tax equalization payments provided by the Company are included in the All Other Compensation column in the Summary Compensation Table.

*Change of Control Agreements.* Each NEO has a change of control agreement with the Company. The change of control agreements are intended to encourage retention in the face of the disruptive impact of an actual or attempted change of control of the Company. The agreements are also intended to align executive officer and shareholder interests by enabling executive officers to consider corporate transactions that are in the best interests of the shareholders and other constituents of the Company without undue concern over whether the transactions may jeopardize the executive officers. More detailed descriptions of these programs are included in the Potential Payouts Upon Termination and Change of Control section.

#### **Additional Information**

#### Stock Ownership Guidelines

With a significant portion of each executive officer s total compensation delivered in the form of equity-based incentives, executive officers have a substantial interest and incentive to ensure profitable growth of the Company and to drive long-term shareholder value. To further reinforce this focus, the Compensation Committee has established stock ownership guidelines for all executive officers. The guidelines provide that the executive officers are required to hold shares of the Company s common stock or unvested RSUs, with a market value equal to a multiple of each officer s base salary, as set forth below:

| Chief Executive Officer   | Shares with market value equal to 5 times base salary   |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| Chief Financial Officer, General Counsel and Operating  |   |  |  |  |
| Group Presidents  | Shares with market value equal to 3 times base salary   |  |  |  |
| Other Executive Officers  | Shares with market value equal to 1 times base salary   |  |  |  |
| Until the ownership level under the Company s stock ownership guidelines is met, executive officers must hold at least 50% of any net shares he |   |  |  |  |
| or she receives upon the exercise of options or upon the delive   | or she receives upon the exercise of options or upon the delivery of any RSU or PSU awards. Currently, all NEOs satisfy these guidelines. |  |  |  |

#### Insider Trading and Hedging/Pledging Policy

The Company s insider trading policy expressly prohibits ownership of financial instruments or participation in investment strategies that hedge the economic risk of owning the Company s securities. Additionally, executive officers and directors are prohibited from hedging or pledging Avnet securities as collateral for loans. The Company s insider trading policy prohibits executive officers and directors from trading in securities of Avnet or engaging in any other action to take advantage of, or pass on to others, material nonpublic information relating to Avnet or any other company with which Avnet has a relationship, including Avnet s customers, suppliers or potential parties in a business transaction.

#### **Recoupment Policy**

Pursuant to the Company s incentive compensation recoupment policy, in the event of a restatement of the Company s financial results due to the misconduct of any employee, the Independent Directors are authorized to take action to recoup all or part of any incentive compensation received by an executive officer. For purposes of this policy, incentive compensation includes any cash or stock-based award under the Company s Incentive Plan or LTIP, the amount of which is determined in whole or in part upon achievement of specific financial performance targets. The policy defines misconduct as the willful commission of an illegal act, fraud, intentional misconduct or gross recklessness in the performance of an employee s duties and responsibilities. In determining whether to take action to recoup any incentive compensation received by an executive officer, the Independent Directors will take into consideration whether the executive officer engaged in the misconduct or was in a position, including in a supervisory role, to have been able to have reasonably prevented the misconduct that caused the restatement.

#### **Equity Grant Practices**

Equity award decisions are generally made at the Board or Compensation Committee s regularly scheduled meetings in August, which are generally scheduled at least one year in advance. Pursuant to the Company s equity incentive plans, the exercise price of each stock option awarded to the executive officers is the closing price of Avnet s Common Stock on the date of grant. Options and other equity-based awards may be granted in connection with a new hire or a promotion, in which case awards may be granted at the Compensation Committee meeting at or about the time of hiring or promotion. Grants are made without regard to anticipated earnings or major announcements by the Company.

## Deductibility of Executive Compensation

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code ), limits to \$1 million the amount of remuneration that Avnet may deduct in any calendar year for its CEO and three other highest-paid NEOs, other than the CFO. The limitation applies only to compensation that is not considered performance based as defined in the Section 162(m) regulations.

In designing the Company s compensation programs, the Compensation Committee considers the effect of Section 162(m) of the Code, as well as other factors relevant to the Company s business needs. The Company has historically taken, and intends to continue to take, reasonable and appropriate actions with respect to achieving deductibility of annual incentive and long-term compensation. To maintain flexibility, the Compensation Committee does not have a policy requiring all compensation to be deductible.

| $\mathbf{a}$ | ~       |
|--------------|---------|
| •            | <u></u> |
|              |         |
|              |         |

#### COMPENSATION OF AVNET EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

The following table sets forth information concerning the compensation provided by Avnet for the years indicated to the Named Executive Officers.

#### **Summary Compensation Table**

| Name and Principal<br>Position<br>(a)   | Year<br>(b)  | Salary<br>(\$)<br>(c) | Bonus<br>(\$)<br>(d) | Stock<br>Awards<br>(\$) <sup>(1)</sup><br>(e) | Option<br>Awards<br>(\$) <sup>(1)</sup><br>(f) | Compensation<br>(\$)<br>(g) | (\$) <sup>(2)</sup><br>(h) | n All Other<br>Compensation<br>(\$) <sup>(3)</sup><br>(i) | Total<br>(\$)<br>(j)   |
|---|--------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---|--|-----------------------------|----------------------------|---|------------------------|
| Richard Hamada  | 2015         | 950,000               |                      | 3,300,087                                     | 924,207  | 1,354,240                   | 161,057                    | 48,444  | 6,738,035              |
| Chief Executive Officer   | 2014<br>2013 | 900,000<br>900,000    |                      | 2,288,202<br>2,699,960                        | 1,330,118<br>900,010                           | 1,382,184<br>447,879        | 973,982                    | 31,814<br>29,183  | 6,906,300<br>4,977,032 |
| Kevin Moriarty  | 2015         | 600,000               |                      | 1,022,396                                     | 286,286  | 603,206                     | 105,686                    | 20,280  | 2,637,854              |
| Senior Vice President and Chief   | 2014         | 525,000               | 280,000              | 686,406                                       | 399,036  | 568,612                     |                            | 20,280  | 2,479,334              |
| Financial Officer   | 2013         | 250,000               | 400,000              | 1,068,315                                     | 762,960  | 81,820                      |                            | 5,850   | 2,568,945              |
| Gerry Fay   | 2015         | 550,000               |                      | 1,152,131                                     | 479,559  | 544,144                     | 107,620                    | 19,571  | 2,853,025              |
| Senior Vice President and President,<br>Avnet Electronics Marketing                     | 2014         | 418,750               |                      | 571,986                                       | 332,506  | 474,867                     | 77,229                     | 23,450  | 1,898,788              |
| Patrick Zammit(4)<br>Senior Vice President and President,<br>Avnet Technology Solutions | 2015         | 512,693               |                      | 936,866                                       | 419,274  | 470,411                     | 126,949                    | 162,454   | 2,628,647              |
| MaryAnn Miller  | 2015         | 500,000               |                      | 681,454                                       | 190,889  | 377,004                     | 158,216                    | 20,372  | 1,927,935              |
| Senior Vice President and   | 2014         | 425,000               |                      | 607,077                                       | 176,648  | 393,315                     | 353,030                    | 20,491  | 1,975,561              |
| Chief Human Resources   | 2013         | 425,000               |                      | 599,955                                       | 199,987  | 145,561                     | 118,404                    | 21,942  | 1,510,849              |

Officer and Corporate Communications

(1) Amounts shown under the heading Stock Awards reflect the grant date fair value of awards of RSUs and PSUs, computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, excluding the effect of estimated forfeitures. The grant date fair value of RSUs awarded to each NEO in fiscal 2015 is as follows: Mr. Hamada \$1,113,183; Mr. Moriarty \$344,892; Mr. Fay \$581,675; Mr. Zammit \$509,024; and Ms. Miller \$229,823. With respect to PSUs, the grant date fair value was computed based upon the target outcome of the performance conditions as of the grant date, which was consistent with the estimates used by the Company to measure compensation cost determined as of the grant date. Assuming the target performance is achieved for PSUs awarded in fiscal 2015, the grant date fair value of the award to each NEO is as follows: Mr. Hamada \$2,186,904; Mr. Moriarty \$677,504; Mr. Fay \$570,456; Mr. Zammit \$427,842; and Ms. Miller \$451,631. Assuming the maximum payout of PSUs granted in fiscal 2015 is achieved, the grant date fair value of such awards would be \$4,373,808, \$1,355,008, \$1,140,912, \$855,684 and \$903,261 for Messrs. Hamada, Moriarty, Fay, and Zammit, and Ms. Miller, respectively. Amounts shown under the heading Option Awards reflect the grant date fair value of the awards calculated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. For information on the assumptions used to calculate the value of the awards, refer to Note 12 to the Company s Consolidated Financial Statements in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 27, 2015. The amounts included in these columns relate to awards made in the fiscal year and reflect the aggregate grant date fair value computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 and do not correspond to the actual amount that will be realized by the NEOs.

The amount includes the net change in the actuarial present value of accumulated benefits under the Company s qualified and nonqualified retirement plans. For fiscal 2015, the increase in the actuarial present value of accumulated benefits under the Company s qualified plan was \$40,904, \$48,586, \$37,912, and \$40,818 for Messrs. Hamada, Moriarty, and Fay, and Ms. Miller, respectively. For fiscal 2015, the increase in the actuarial present value of accumulated benefits under the Company s qualified plan was \$26,454 and \$117,398 for Messrs. Hamada, Moriarty, Fay, and Zammit, and Ms. Miller, respectively. Messrs. Moriarty and Fay are not participants in the SERP. In addition to the SERP, Mr. Zammit is also a participant in a Company sponsored retirement plan in Belgium, and the increase in the value of that plan was \$100,495.

- (3) The amount includes (a) expenses associated with the Company s automobile program for each of the NEOs, (b) the cost of annual physical exams, (c) tax equalization payments (including gross-up) of \$87,533 on behalf of Mr. Zammit, and (d) the Company s contribution to a French retirement program on behalf of Mr. Zammit in the amount of \$44,460. When Mr. Zammit joined the Company he was a resident in France, and when he relocated to Belgium, the Company continued to fund his French retirement plan, which is a social security type program. Mr. Zammit is subject to personal income taxes in Belgium and the United States. In connection with his promotion to TS President, the Company agreed to make certain tax equalization payments covering his U.S. income tax obligation. For additional discussion on the tax equalization payments, please see the discussion in the CD&A. For Mr. Hamada, his automobile lease and insurance cost included above is \$35,853. For the other NEOs, none of their perquisites and personal benefits exceeded the greater of \$25,000 or 10% of the total amount of their benefits.
- (4) Mr. Zammit s salary and non-equity incentive were paid in Euros and translated at the average exchange rates applicable to each of the Company s fiscal quarters. The expenses associated with the Company provided automobile were also paid in Euros and translated at the above referenced average exchange rates. The net change in the actuarial present value of Mr. Zammit s Belgian retirement plan was translated at the average exchange rate for fiscal 2015.

#### **Grants of Plan-Based Awards**

The following table provides information about equity and non-equity plan-based awards to the NEOs in fiscal 2015 relating to: (1) annual cash incentive awards; (2) PSUs; (3) RSUs; and (4) stock options. The actual payouts earned in fiscal 2015 under the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards are included in the Summary Compensation Table as are the grant date fair values associated with the awards under the Equity Incentive Plan, All Other Stock Awards and All Other Option Awards in the table below.

|                |  |             | ated Future l<br>n-Equity Inc<br>Awards <sup>(1)</sup> | •           |               | Estimated<br>Payou<br>der Equity<br>Plan<br>Awards ( | its<br>Incentive | All Other<br>Stock<br>Awards:<br>Number of<br>Shares<br>of | All<br>Other<br>Option<br>Awards:<br>Number<br>of<br>Securities<br>Underlying | Exercise<br>or<br>Base<br>Price<br>of<br>Option | Grant<br>Date Fair<br>Value of<br>Stock and                    |
|----------------|--|-------------|--|-------------|---------------|--|------------------|--|---|---|--|
| Name           | Grant  | Threshold   | Target   | Maximum Tl  | ıresho<br>(#) | 0  | Maximum<br>(#)   | Stock or<br>Units (#) <sup>(3)</sup>                       | <b>Options</b> (#) <sup>(3)</sup>   | Awards  | Options  |
| Name<br>(a)    | Date<br>(b)  | (\$)<br>(c) | (\$)<br>(d)  | (\$)<br>(e) | (#)<br>(f)    | (#)<br>(g)   | (#)<br>(h)       | (i)  | (#)(3)<br>(j)   | (\$/Sh)<br>(k)                                  | Awards<br>(l)  |
| Richard Hamada | 8/07/2014<br>8/07/2014<br>8/07/2014  | 228,000     | 1,425,000  | 2,850,000   | 1             | 56,262   | 112,524          | 28,132   | 78,124  | 40.88   | 924,207<br>2,186,904<br>1,113,183                              |
| Kevin Moriarty | 8/07/2014<br>8/07/2014<br>8/07/2014  | 96,000      | 600,000  | 1,200,000   | 1             | 17,430   | 34,860           | 8,716  | 24,200  | 40.88   | 286,286<br>677,504<br>344,892                                  |
| Gerry Fay      | 8/07/2014<br>8/07/2014<br>8/07/2014<br>1/05/2015                           | 88,000      | 550,000  | 1,100,000   | 1             | 14,676   | 29,352           | 7,340<br>7,098   | 20,380  | 40.88   | 241,095<br>570,456<br>290,444<br>291,231                       |
| Patrick Zammit | 1/05/2015<br>8/07/2014<br>8/07/2014<br>8/07/2014<br>1/05/2015<br>1/05/2015 | 242,000     | 242,000  | 484,000     | 1             | 11,007   | 22,014           | 5,504<br>7,098   | 22,224<br>15,284<br>22,224  | 42.26<br>40.88<br>42.26                         | 238,464<br>180,810<br>427,842<br>217,793<br>291,231<br>238,464 |
| MaryAnn Miller | 8/07/2014<br>8/07/2014<br>8/07/2014  | 60,000      | 375,000  | 750,000     | 1             | 11,619   | 23,238           | 5,808  | 16,136  | 40.88   | 190,889<br>451,631<br>229,823                                  |

(1) The threshold column assumes payout of 20% of the target amount that is based on financial measures and no payout of the target amount based on the individual performance component. The target column assumes that the annual incentive was paid at 100% of target for the financial and individual components. The maximum column assumes the highest amounts payable on the financial and individual components, resulting in a payout of 200% of the target amount. Achievement below the threshold would yield a payout of \$0. For Mr. Zammit, the above represents his target incentive in connection with his new role as TS President. Mr. Zammit s incentive was guaranteed for the second half of FY 2015 and was based solely on financial measures. For purposes of this table, the Company assumed an exchange rate of \$1.10 to 1.00.

- (2) This column represents grants of PSUs. As discussed in the CD&A, based upon the Company s actual Relative EP and Relative TSR during the three-year performance period, the executive is eligible to receive a percentage of the target number of shares ranging from 0% to 200% of his or her targeted number of shares.
- (3) The vesting schedules for the PSUs, RSUs and the stock option grants made in fiscal 2015 are as follows:

Type of Awards Made in Fiscal 2015 Performance Share Units (PSUs) Restricted Stock Units (RSUs)

Vesting Schedule

vest, if at all, at the end of fiscal 2017 (July 1, 2017) 25% each on the first business day in January of 2015 through 2018; RSUs granted on January 5, 2015 to Messrs. Fay and Zammit will vest over three years in January 2016 through January 2018

Stock Options

June 27, 2015.

25% on each of the first through fourth anniversaries of the grant date For additional description of the terms and awards of RSUs, stock options and PSUs made in fiscal 2015, see the description of long-term incentives in the CD&A and Note 12 to the Company s Consolidated Financial Statements included in its Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended

#### **Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End**

The following table provides information on the current holdings of stock options and stock awards by the NEOs as of June 27, 2015. This table includes unexercised and unvested option grants, unvested RSUs, and PSUs with vesting conditions that have not yet been satisfied. Each equity grant is shown separately for each NEO. The vesting schedule for each grant is shown following this table, based on the option grant date or stock award date. The market value of the stock awards is based on the closing market price of the Company s Common Stock as of June 27, 2015, which was \$42.09. The PSUs are subject to specified performance objectives over the performance period. The market values as of June 27, 2015, shown in columns (h) and (j) below, assume 100% achievement of these performance objectives. For additional information about the option grants and stock awards, see the description of long-term incentives in the CD&A and Note 12 to the Company s Consolidated Financial Statements included in its Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 27, 2015.

|        |             | <b>Option Awards</b> |            | Stock Awards |
|--------|-------------|----------------------|------------|--------------|
|        | Number      |                      |            |              |
|        | of          | Number of            |            |              |
|        | Securities  | Securities           |            |              |
|        | Underlying  | Underlying           |            |              |
|        | Unexercised | Unexercised          |            |              |
| Option | Options     | Options              | Option     |              |
| Grant  | (#)         | (#)                  | Exercise   |              |
| Date   | Exercisable | Unexercisable        | Price (\$) |              |

Name