

INTERTAPE POLYMER GROUP INC

Form 20-F

March 31, 2016

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 20-F

.. **REGISTRATION STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(b) OR (g) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

OR

x **ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015

OR

.. **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

OR

.. **SHELL COMPANY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

Date of event requiring this shell company report _____

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number: 1-10928

INTERTAPE POLYMER GROUP INC.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Canada

(Jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

9999 Cavendish Blvd., Suite 200, Ville St. Laurent, Quebec, Canada H4M 2X5

(Address of principal executive offices)

Jeffrey Crystal, (941) 739-7522, jcrystal@itape.com, 100 Paramount Drive, Suite 300, Sarasota, Florida 34232

(Name, Telephone, E-mail, and Address of Company Contact Person)

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class	Name of each exchange on which registered
Common Shares, without nominal or par value	Toronto Stock Exchange

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Not applicable

(Title of Class)

Securities for which there is a reporting obligation pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Act:

Not applicable

(Title of Class)

Edgar Filing: INTERTAPE POLYMER GROUP INC - Form 20-F

Indicate the number of outstanding shares of each of the issuer's classes of capital or common stock as of the close of the period covered by the annual report. As of December 31, 2015, there were 58,667,535 common shares outstanding.

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

If this report is an annual or transition report, indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Yes No

Note: Checking the box above will not relieve any registrant required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 from their obligations under those Sections.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark which basis of accounting the registrant has used to prepare the financial statements included in this filing:

US GAAP International Financial Reporting Standards as issued Other

by the International Accounting Standards Board

If "Other" has been checked in response to the previous question, indicate by check mark which financial statement item the registrant has elected to follow. Item 17 Item 18

If this is an annual report, indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

(APPLICABLE ONLY TO ISSUERS INVOLVED IN BANKRUPTCY PROCEEDINGS DURING THE PAST FIVE YEARS)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has filed all documents and reports required to be filed by Sections 12, 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 subsequent to the distribution of securities under a plan confirmed by a court. Yes No

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ITEM 1: <u>IDENTITY OF DIRECTORS, SENIOR MANAGEMENT AND ADVISERS</u>	5
ITEM 2: <u>OFFER STATISTICS AND EXPECTED TIMETABLE</u>	5
ITEM 3: <u>KEY INFORMATION</u>	5
A. <u>SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA</u>	5
B. <u>CAPITALIZATION AND INDEBTEDNESS</u>	5
C. <u>REASONS FOR THE OFFER AND USE OF PROCEEDS</u>	5
D. <u>RISK FACTORS</u>	5
ITEM 4: <u>INFORMATION ON THE COMPANY</u>	13
A. <u>HISTORY AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE COMPANY</u>	13
B. <u>BUSINESS OVERVIEW</u>	14
(1) <u>Products, Markets and Distribution</u>	18
(2) <u>Sales and Marketing</u>	23
(3) <u>Seasonality of the Company's Main Business</u>	24
(4) <u>Equipment and Raw Materials</u>	24
(5) <u>Research and Development and New Products</u>	24
(6) <u>Trademarks and Patents</u>	25
(7) <u>Competition</u>	25
(8) <u>Environmental Initiatives and Regulation</u>	26
C. <u>ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE</u>	27
D. <u>PROPERTY, PLANTS AND EQUIPMENT</u>	28
ITEM 5: <u>OPERATING AND FINANCIAL REVIEW AND PROSPECTS (MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION & ANALYSIS)</u>	29
ITEM 6: <u>DIRECTORS, SENIOR MANAGEMENT AND EMPLOYEES</u>	60
A. <u>DIRECTORS AND SENIOR MANAGEMENT</u>	60
B. <u>COMPENSATION</u>	62
C. <u>BOARD PRACTICES</u>	72
D. <u>EMPLOYEES</u>	74
E. <u>SHARE OWNERSHIP</u>	74
ITEM 7: <u>MAJOR SHAREHOLDERS AND RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS</u>	76
A. <u>MAJOR SHAREHOLDERS</u>	76
B. <u>RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS</u>	76

C.	<u>INTERESTS OF EXPERTS AND COUNSEL</u>	76
ITEM 8:	<u>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	76
A.	<u>CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS AND OTHER FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	76
B.	<u>SIGNIFICANT CHANGES</u>	78
ITEM 9:	<u>THE OFFER AND LISTING</u>	78
A.	<u>OFFER AND LISTING DETAILS</u>	78
B.	<u>PLAN OF DISTRIBUTION</u>	79
C.	<u>MARKETS</u>	79
D.	<u>SELLING SHAREHOLDERS</u>	79

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

E.	<u>DILUTION</u>	79
F.	<u>EXPENSES OF THE ISSUE</u>	79
ITEM 10:	<u>ADDITIONAL INFORMATION</u>	79
A.	<u>SHARE CAPITAL</u>	79
B.	<u>MEMORANDUM AND ARTICLES OF ASSOCIATION</u>	79
C.	<u>MATERIAL CONTRACTS</u>	81
D.	<u>EXCHANGE CONTROLS</u>	83
F.	<u>DIVIDENDS AND PAYING AGENTS</u>	89
G.	<u>STATEMENT BY EXPERTS</u>	89
H.	<u>DOCUMENTS ON DISPLAY</u>	89
I.	<u>SUBSIDIARY INFORMATION</u>	89
ITEM 11:	<u>QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK</u>	89
ITEM 12:	<u>DESCRIPTION OF SECURITIES OTHER THAN EQUITY SECURITIES</u>	89
ITEM 13:	<u>DEFAULTS, DIVIDEND ARREARAGES AND DELINQUENCIES</u>	89
ITEM 14:	<u>MATERIAL MODIFICATIONS TO THE RIGHTS OF SECURITY HOLDERS AND USE OF PROCEEDS</u>	89
ITEM 15:	<u>CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES</u>	90
ITEM 16:	<u>[RESERVED]</u>	90
ITEM 16A:	<u>AUDIT COMMITTEE FINANCIAL EXPERT</u>	90
ITEM 16B:	<u>CODE OF ETHICS</u>	91
ITEM 16C:	<u>PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES</u>	91
ITEM 16D:	<u>EXEMPTIONS FROM THE LISTING STANDARDS FOR AUDIT COMMITTEE</u>	91
ITEM 16E:	<u>PURCHASE OF EQUITY SECURITIES BY THE ISSUER AND AFFILIATED PURCHASERS</u>	92
ITEM 16F:	<u>CHANGE IN REGISTRANT'S CERTIFYING ACCOUNTANT</u>	92
ITEM 16G:	<u>CORPORATE GOVERNANCE</u>	92
ITEM 16H:	<u>MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURE</u>	92
ITEM 17:	<u>FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</u>	93
ITEM 18:	<u>FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</u>	93
ITEM 19:	<u>EXHIBITS</u>	93
A.	<u>Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	93
B.	<u>Exhibits:</u>	93

Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

Certain statements and information included in this annual report on Form 20-F constitute forward-looking information within the meaning of applicable Canadian securities legislation and forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, (collectively, forward-looking statements), which are made in reliance upon the protections provided by such legislation for forward-looking statements. All statements other than statements of historical facts included in this annual report on Form 20-F, including statements regarding economic conditions, the Company s outlook, plans, prospects, products, financial position, future sales and financial results, availability of credit, level of indebtedness, payment of dividends, fluctuations in raw material costs, competition, capital and other significant expenditures, manufacturing facility closures and other restructurings, liquidity, litigation and business strategies, may constitute forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are based on current beliefs, assumptions, expectations, estimates, forecasts and projections made by the management of Intertape Polymer Group Inc. (Intertape, Intertape Polymer

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Group, or the Company). Words such as may, will, should, expect, continue, intend, estimate, anticipate, likely, or seek or the negatives of these terms or variations of them or similar terminology are intended to identify such forward-looking statements. Although the Company believes that the expectations reflected in these forward-looking statements are reasonable, these statements, by their nature, involve risks and uncertainties and are not guarantees of future performance. Such statements are also subject to assumptions concerning, among other things: business conditions and growth or declines in the Company's industry and the Company's customers' industries; changes in general economic, political, social, fiscal or other conditions in any of the countries where the Company operates; the Company's customers' industries and the general economy; the anticipated benefits from the Company's manufacturing facility closures and other restructuring efforts; the quality, and market reception, of the Company's products; the Company's anticipated business strategies; risks and costs inherent in litigation; the Company's ability to maintain and improve quality and customer service; anticipated trends in the Company's business; anticipated cash flows from the Company's operations; availability of funds under the Company's Revolving Credit Facility; the Company's ability to continue to control costs; movements in the prices of key inputs such as raw material, energy and labor; government policies, including those specifically regarding the manufacturing industry, such as industrial licensing, environmental regulations, safety regulations, import restrictions and duties, excise duties, sales taxes, and value added taxes; accidents and natural disasters; changes to accounting rules and standards; and other factors beyond our control. The Company can give no assurance that these statements and expectations will prove to have been correct. Actual outcomes and results may, and often do, differ from what is expressed, implied or projected in such forward-looking statements, and such differences may be material. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on any forward-looking statement. For additional information regarding some important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed in these forward-looking statements and other risks and uncertainties, and the assumptions underlying the forward-looking statements, you are encouraged to read Item 3. Key Information - Risk Factors, Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects (Management's Discussion & Analysis) as well as statements located elsewhere in this annual report on Form 20-F and the other statements and factors contained in the Company's filings with the Canadian securities regulators and the US Securities and Exchange Commission. Each of the forward-looking statements speaks only as of the date of this annual report on Form 20-F. The Company will not update these statements unless applicable securities laws require it to do so.

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements****PART I****Item 1: Identity of Directors, Senior Management and Advisers**

Not applicable.

Item 2: Offer Statistics and Expected Timetable

Not applicable.

Item 3: Key Information**A. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA**

The selected financial data presented below for the five years ended December 31, 2015 is presented in US dollars and is derived from the Company's consolidated financial statements in US dollars and prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS). The information set forth below was extracted from the consolidated financial statements and related notes included in this annual report and annual reports previously filed and should be read in conjunction with such consolidated financial statements. As required by the Canadian Accounting Standards Board, the Company adopted IFRS on January 1, 2011.

	As of and for the Year Ended December 31				
	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
	(in thousands of US dollars, except shares and per share amounts)				
Statements of Consolidated Earnings:					
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Revenue	781,907	812,732	781,500	784,430	786,737
Earnings before Income Taxes	67,655	58,719	31,553	20,594	9,154
Net Earnings	56,672	35,816	67,357	20,381	7,384
Earnings per Share					
Basic	0.95	0.59	1.12	0.35	0.13
Diluted	0.93	0.57	1.09	0.34	0.12
Balance Sheets:					
Total Assets	487,262	466,676	465,199	426,152	446,723
Capital Stock	347,325	357,840	359,201	351,702	348,148
Shareholders' Equity	216,728	227,500	230,428	153,834	137,178
Number of Common Shares Outstanding	58,667,535	60,435,826	60,776,649	59,625,039	58,961,050
Dividends Declared per Share	0.50	0.40	0.24	0.08	

B. CAPITALIZATION AND INDEBTEDNESS

Not applicable.

C. REASONS FOR THE OFFER AND USE OF PROCEEDS

Not applicable.

D. RISK FACTORS

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Current economic conditions and uncertain economic forecast could adversely affect the Company's results of operations and financial conditions.

Unfavorable changes in the global economy have affected and may affect the demand for the products of the Company and its customers. Adverse economic conditions could also increase the likelihood of customer delinquencies. A prolonged period of economic decline would have a material adverse effect on the results of operations, gross margins, and the overall financial condition of the Company, as well as exacerbate the other risk factors set forth below.

Fluctuations in raw material costs or the unavailability of raw materials may adversely affect the Company's profitability.

Historically, the Company has not always been able to pass on significant raw material cost increases through price increases to its customers. The Company's results of operations in prior years, at times, have been negatively impacted by raw material cost increases. These increases adversely affected the Company's profitability. As a result of raw material cost increases, the Company may increase prices (which could result in reduced market share) or may choose to keep prices the same (which could result in decreased margins). The Company's profitability in the future may be adversely affected due to continuing fluctuations in raw material prices. Additionally, the Company relies on its suppliers for deliveries of raw materials. If any of its suppliers are unable to deliver raw materials to the Company for an extended period of time, there is no assurance that the Company's raw material requirements would be met by other suppliers on acceptable terms, or at all, which could have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations.

The Company's ability to achieve its growth objectives depends in part on the timing and market acceptance of its new products.

The Company's business plan includes the introduction of new products, which are both developed internally and obtained through acquisitions. The Company's ability to introduce these products successfully depends on the demand for the products, as well as their price, quality, and related customer service. In the event the market does not fully accept these products or competitors introduce similar or superior products (or products perceived by the market to be similar or superior), the Company's ability to expand its markets and generate organic growth could be negatively impacted which could have an adverse effect on its operating results.

The Company's competition and customer preferences could impact the Company's profitability.

The markets for the Company's products are highly competitive. Competition in its markets is primarily based upon the quality, breadth and performance characteristics of its products, customer service and price. The Company's ability to compete successfully depends upon a variety of factors, including its ability to increase plant efficiencies and reduce manufacturing costs, as well as its access to quality, low-cost raw materials.

Some of the Company's competitors, particularly certain of those located in Asia, may, at times, have lower costs (i.e. raw material, energy and labor) and/or less restrictive environmental and governmental regulations to comply with than the Company does. Other competitors may be larger in size or scope than the Company, which may allow them to achieve greater economies of scale on a global basis or allow them to better withstand periods of declining prices and adverse operating conditions.

Demand for the Company's products and, in turn, its revenue and profit margins, are affected by customer preferences and changes in customer ordering patterns which occur as a result of changes in inventory levels and timing of purchases which may be triggered by price changes and incentive programs.

The Company's customer contracts contain termination provisions that could decrease the Company's future revenues and earnings.

Most of the Company's customer contracts can be terminated by the customer on short notice without penalty. The Company's customers are, therefore, not contractually obligated to continue to do business with it in the future. This creates uncertainty with respect to the revenues and earnings the Company may recognize with respect to its customer contracts.

The Company's manufacturing plant rationalization initiatives, manufacturing cost reduction programs and capital expenditure projects may result in higher costs and less savings than anticipated.

The Company has implemented several manufacturing plant rationalization initiatives, manufacturing cost reduction programs and capital expenditure projects. Each may not be completed as planned and as a result, the costs and capital expenditures incurred by the Company may substantially exceed projections. This could potentially result in additional debt incurred by the Company or reduced production and elimination. In addition, the reduction of anticipated manufacturing cost savings may be less than expected or may not materialize at all.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Acquisitions could expose the Company to significant business risks.

The Company may make strategic acquisitions that could, among other goals, complement its existing products, expand its customer base and markets, improve distribution efficiencies and enhance its technological capabilities. Financial risks from these acquisitions include the use of the Company's cash resources, paying a price that exceeds the future value realized from the acquisition, and incurring additional debt and liabilities (including potentially unknown liabilities). Further, there are possible operational risks including difficulty assimilating and integrating the operations, products, technology, information systems and personnel of acquired companies, losing key personnel of acquired entities, entry into markets in which the Company has no or limited prior experience, failure to obtain or retain intellectual property rights for certain products and difficulty honoring commitments made to customers of the acquired companies prior to the acquisition. The Company may incur significant acquisition, administrative and other costs in connection with these transactions, including costs related to the integration of acquired businesses. These acquisitions could expose the Company to significant integration risks and increased organizational complexity which may challenge management and may adversely impact the realization of an increased contribution from said acquisitions. The failure to adequately address these risks could adversely affect the Company's business and financial performance.

Although the Company performs due diligence investigations of the businesses and assets that it acquires, and anticipates continuing to do so for future acquisitions, there may be liabilities related to the acquired business or assets that the Company fails to, or is unable to, uncover during its due diligence investigation and for which the Company, as a successor owner, may be responsible. When feasible, the Company seeks to minimize the impact of these types of potential liabilities by obtaining indemnities and warranties from the seller, which may in some instances be supported by deferring payment of a portion of the purchase price. However, these indemnities and warranties, if obtained, may not fully cover the liabilities because of their limited scope, amount or duration, the financial resources of the indemnitor or warrantor, or other reasons.

The Company's Revolving Credit Facility contains covenants that limit its flexibility and prevent the Company from taking certain actions.

The loan and security agreement governing the Company's Revolving Credit Facility includes a number of significant restrictive covenants. These covenants could adversely limit the Company's ability to plan for or react to market conditions, meet its capital needs and execute its business strategy. These covenants, among other things, limit the Company's ability and the ability of its subsidiaries to incur additional debt; prepay other debt; pay dividends and make other restricted payments; create or permit certain liens; issue or sell capital stock of restricted subsidiaries; use the proceeds from sales of assets; make certain investments; create or permit restrictions on the ability of the guarantors to pay dividends or to make other distributions to the Company; enter into certain types of transactions with affiliates; engage in unrelated businesses; enter into sale and leaseback transactions; and consolidate or merge or sell the Company's assets substantially as an entirety.

The Company depends on its subsidiaries for cash to meet its obligations and pay any dividends.

The Company is a holding company. Its subsidiaries conduct all of its operations and own substantially all of its assets. Consequently, the Company's cash flow and its ability to meet its obligations or pay dividends to its stockholders depend upon the cash flow of its subsidiaries and the payment of funds by its subsidiaries to the Company in the form of dividends, tax sharing payments or otherwise. The Company's subsidiaries' ability to provide funding will depend on, amongst others, their earnings, the terms of indebtedness from time to time, tax

considerations and legal restrictions.

Payment of dividends may not continue in the future, and the payment of dividends is subject to restriction.

On August 12, 2015, the Board of Directors approved a change in the quarterly dividend policy by increasing the dividend from \$0.12 to \$0.13 per share. The future declaration and payment of dividends, if any, will be at the discretion of the Board of Directors and will depend on a number of factors, including the Company's financial and operating results, financial position, legal requirements, and anticipated cash requirements. The Company can give no assurance that dividends will be declared and paid in the future or, if declared and paid in the future, at the same level as in the past. Additionally, the Company's Revolving Credit Facility restricts its ability to pay dividends if the Company does not maintain certain borrowing availability or if the Company is in default.

The Company's significant debt could adversely affect its financial condition.

While the Company's indebtedness has declined in recent years, it still has a significant amount of indebtedness. As of December 31, 2015, the Company had outstanding debt of \$152.8 million, which represented 16.2% of its total capitalization. Of such total debt, approximately \$152.8 million, or all of the Company's outstanding senior debt, was secured.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

The Company's significant indebtedness could adversely affect its financial condition. The Company's substantial indebtedness could also increase its vulnerability to adverse general economic and industry conditions; require the Company to dedicate a substantial portion of its cash flows from operating activities to payments on its indebtedness, thereby reducing the availability of the Company's cash flows to fund working capital, capital expenditures, potential acquisitions, research and development efforts and other general corporate purposes; limit the Company's flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in its business and the industry in which it operates; place the Company at a competitive disadvantage compared to its competitors that have less debt; and limit the Company's ability to borrow additional funds on terms that are satisfactory to it or at all.

The Company may not be able to generate sufficient cash flow to meet its debt service obligations.

The Company's ability to generate sufficient cash flows from operating activities to make scheduled payments on its debt obligations will depend on its future financial performance, which will be affected by a range of economic, competitive, regulatory, legislative and business factors, many of which are outside of the Company's control. If the Company does not generate sufficient cash flows from operating activities to satisfy its debt obligations, the Company may have to undertake alternative financing plans, such as refinancing or restructuring its debt, selling assets, reducing or delaying capital investments or seeking to raise additional capital. The Company cannot assure that any refinancing would be possible or that any assets could be sold on acceptable terms or otherwise. The Company's inability to generate sufficient cash flows to satisfy its debt obligations, or to refinance its obligations on commercially reasonable terms, would have an adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations. In addition, any refinancing of the Company's debt could be at higher interest rates and may require the Company to comply with more onerous covenants, which could further restrict its business operations. Also, any additional issuances of equity would dilute the Company's shareholders.

Despite the Company's level of indebtedness, it will be able to incur substantially more debt. Incurring such debt could further exacerbate the risks to the Company's financial condition described above.

The Company will be able to incur substantial additional indebtedness in the future. Although the loan and security agreement governing the Revolving Credit Facility contains restrictions on the incurrence of additional indebtedness, these restrictions are subject to a number of qualifications and exceptions and the indebtedness incurred in compliance with these restrictions could be substantial. The restrictions also do not prevent the Company from incurring obligations that do not constitute indebtedness. To the extent new debt is added to the Company's currently anticipated debt levels, the substantial leverage risks described above would increase.

The failure to maintain effective internal control over financial reporting in accordance with applicable securities laws could cause the Company's stock price to decline.

Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and the related rules and regulations of the US Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") as well as applicable rules and guidelines adopted by the Canadian Securities Administrators require annual management assessments of the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting and a report by the Company's independent registered public accounting firm to express an opinion on these controls based on their audit. Due to inherent limitations, there can be no assurance that the Company's system of internal control over financial reporting will be successful in preventing all errors, theft, and fraud, or in informing management of all material information in a timely manner. Also, if the Company cannot in the future favorably assess, or the Company's independent registered public accounting firm is unable to provide an unqualified attestation report on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting, investors may lose

confidence in the reliability of the Company's financial reports, which could cause the Company's stock price to decline.

The Company's pension and other post-retirement benefit plans are unfunded which could require Company contributions.

The Company's pension and other post-retirement benefit plans currently have an unfunded deficit of \$29.3 million as of December 31, 2015 as compared to \$31.7 million at the end of 2014. For 2015 and 2014, the Company contributed \$2.0 million and \$2.3 million, respectively, to its funded pension plans and to beneficiaries for its unfunded other benefit plans. The Company may need to divert certain of its resources in the future in order to resolve this funding deficit. In addition, the Company cannot predict whether a change in factors such as pension asset performance or interest rates, will require the Company to make a contribution in excess of its current expectations. Also, the Company expects to contribute \$1.5 million to satisfy its 2016 minimum funding requirement for its funded pension plans and to beneficiaries for its unfunded other benefit plans. Further, the Company may not have the funds necessary to meet future minimum pension funding requirements or be able to meet its pension benefit plan funding obligation through cash flows from operating activities.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

The Company depends on the proper functioning of its information systems.

The Company is dependent on the proper functioning of information systems, some of which are owned and operated by third parties, to store, process and transmit confidential information, including financial reporting, inventory management, procurement, invoicing and electronic communications belonging to its customers, its suppliers, its employees and/or the Company itself. The Company's information systems are vulnerable to natural disasters, fire, casualty theft, technical failures, terrorist acts, cyber security breaches, power loss, telecommunications failures, physical or software intrusions, computer viruses, and similar events. If the Company's critical information systems fail or are otherwise unavailable, its operations could be disrupted, causing a material adverse effect on its business. Also, any theft or misuse of information resulting from a security breach could result in, among other things, loss of significant and/or sensitive information, litigation by affected parties, financial obligations resulting from such theft or misuse, higher insurance premiums, governmental investigations, negative reactions from current and potential future customers (including potential negative financial ramifications under certain customer contract provisions) and poor publicity. Any of these consequences, in addition to the time and funds spent on monitoring and mitigating the Company's exposure and responding to breaches, including the training of employees, the purchase of protective technologies and the hiring of additional employees and consultants to assist in these efforts, could adversely affect its financial results.

The Company faces risks related to its international operations.

The Company has customers and operations located outside the United States and Canada. In 2015, sales to customers located outside the United States and Canada represented approximately 7% of its sales. The Company's international operations present it with a number of risks and challenges, including potential difficulties staffing and managing its foreign operations, potential difficulties managing a more extensive supply chain as compared to its sales efforts in the United States and Canada, potential adverse changes in tax regulations affecting tax rates and the way the United States and other countries tax multinational companies, the effective marketing of the Company's products in other countries, tariffs and other trade barriers, less favorable intellectual property laws, longer customer payment cycles, exposure to economies that may be experiencing currency volatility or negative growth, exposure to political and economic instability and unsafe working conditions (including acts of terrorism, widespread criminal activities and outbreaks of war) and different regulatory schemes and political environments applicable to its operations in these areas, such as environmental and health and safety compliance.

In addition, the Company's financial statements are reported in US dollars while a portion of its sales is made in other currencies, primarily the Canadian dollar and the Euro. As a result, fluctuations in exchange rates between the US dollar and foreign currencies can have a negative impact on the Company's reported operating results and financial condition. Moreover, in some cases, the currency of the Company's sales does not match the currency in which it incurs costs, which can negatively affect its profitability. Fluctuations in exchange rates can also affect the relative competitive position of a particular facility where the facility faces competition from non-local producers, as well as the Company's ability to successfully market its products in export markets.

The Company's operations are subject to comprehensive environmental regulation and involve expenditures which may be material in relation to its operating cash flow.

The Company's operations are subject to extensive environmental regulation in each of the countries in which it maintains facilities. For example, US (federal, state and local) and Canadian (federal, provincial and local) environmental laws applicable to the Company include statutes and regulations intended to impose certain obligations

with respect to site contamination and to allocate the cost of investigating, monitoring and remedying soil and groundwater contamination among specifically identified parties, as well as to prevent future soil and groundwater contamination; imposing ambient standards and, in some cases, emission standards, for air pollutants which present a risk to public health, welfare or the natural environment; governing the handling, management, treatment, storage and disposal of hazardous wastes and substances; and regulating the discharge of pollutants into waterways.

The Company's use of hazardous substances in its manufacturing processes and the generation of hazardous wastes not only by the Company, but by prior occupants of its facilities, suggest that hazardous substances may be present at or near certain of the Company's facilities or may come to be located there in the future. Consequently, the Company is required to closely monitor its compliance under all the various environmental laws and regulations applicable to it. In addition, the Company arranges for the off-site disposal of hazardous substances generated in the ordinary course of its business.

The Company obtains Phase I or similar environmental site assessments, and Phase II environmental site assessments, if necessary, for most of the manufacturing facilities it owns or leases at the time it either acquires or leases such facilities. These assessments typically include general inspections and may involve soil sampling and/or groundwater analysis. The assessments have not revealed any environmental liability other than, or in addition to, the \$2.5 million liability accrued in provisions in the Company's consolidated balance sheet, that, based on current information, the Company believes will have a material adverse effect

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

on it. Nevertheless, these assessments may not reveal all potential environmental liabilities and current assessments are not available for all facilities. Consequently, there may be material environmental liabilities that the Company is not aware of. In addition, ongoing cleanup and containment operations may not be adequate for purposes of future laws and regulations. The conditions of the Company's properties could also be affected in the future by neighboring operations or the conditions of the land in the vicinity of its properties. These developments and others, such as increasingly stringent environmental laws and regulations, increasingly strict enforcement of environmental laws and regulations, or claims for damage to property or injury to persons resulting from the environmental, health or safety impact of its operations, may cause the Company to incur significant costs and liabilities that could have a material adverse effect on it.

Except as described in Item 4B(8) below, the Company believes that all of its facilities are in material compliance with applicable environmental laws and regulations and that it has obtained, and is in material compliance with, all material permits required under environmental laws and regulations. Although certain of the Company's facilities emit pollutants into the air, these emissions are within current permitted limits.

The Company's facilities are required to maintain numerous environmental permits and governmental approvals for its operations. Some of the environmental permits and governmental approvals that have been issued to the Company or to its facilities contain conditions and restrictions, including restrictions or limits on emissions and discharges of pollutants and contaminants, or may have limited terms. If the Company fails to satisfy these conditions or to comply with these restrictions, it may become subject to enforcement actions and the operation of the relevant facilities could be adversely affected. The Company may also be subject to fines, penalties or additional costs. The Company may not be able to renew, maintain or obtain all environmental permits and governmental approvals required for the continued operation or further development of the facilities, as a result of which the operation of the facilities may be limited or suspended.

The Company may become involved in litigation relating to its intellectual property rights, which could have an adverse impact on its business.

The Company relies on patent protection, as well as a combination of copyright, trade secret and trademark laws, nondisclosure and confidentiality agreements and other contractual restrictions to protect its proprietary technology. Litigation may be necessary to enforce these rights, which could result in substantial costs to the Company and a substantial diversion of management attention. If the Company does not adequately protect its intellectual property, its competitors or other parties could use the intellectual property that the Company has developed to enhance their products or make products similar to the Company's and compete more efficiently with it, which could result in a decrease in the Company's market share.

While the Company has attempted to ensure that its products and the operations of its business do not infringe other parties' patents and proprietary rights, its competitors or other parties may assert that the Company's products and operations may infringe upon patents held by them. In addition, because patent applications can take many years to issue, the Company might have products that infringe upon pending patents of which it is unaware. If any of the Company's products infringe a valid patent, it could be prevented from selling them unless the Company obtains a license or redesigns the products to avoid infringement. A license may not be available or may require the Company to pay substantial royalties. The Company may not be successful in attempts to redesign its products to avoid infringement. Infringement or other intellectual property claims, regardless of merit or ultimate outcome, can be expensive and time-consuming to resolve as well as divert management's attention from the Company's core business.

The Company may become involved in labor disputes or employees could form or join unions increasing the Company's costs to do business.

Some of the Company's employees are subject to collective bargaining agreements. Other employees are not part of a union and there are no assurances that such employees will not form or join a union. Any attempt by employees to form or join a union could result in increased labor costs and adversely affect the Company's business, its financial condition and/or results of operations.

Except for the strike which occurred at the Company's Brantford, Ontario plant in 2008, which is now closed, the Company has never experienced any work stoppages due to employee related disputes. Management believes that it has a good relationship with its employees. There can be no assurance, however, that work stoppages or other labor disturbances will not occur in the future. Such occurrences could adversely affect the Company's business, financial condition and/or results of operations.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

The Company may become involved in litigation which could have an adverse impact on its business.

The Company, like other manufacturers and sellers, is subject to potential liabilities connected with its business operations, including potential liabilities and expenses associated with product defects, performance, reliability or delivery delays. The Company is threatened from time to time with, or is named as a defendant in, legal proceedings, including lawsuits based upon product liability, personal injury, breach of contract and lost profits or other consequential damages claims, in the ordinary course of conducting its business. A significant judgment against the Company, or the imposition of a significant fine or penalty resulting from a finding that the Company failed to comply with laws or regulations, or being named as a defendant on multiple claims could adversely affect the Company's business, financial condition and/or results of operations. As discussed further in Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects (Management's Discussion & Analysis), the Company's former Chief Financial Officer filed a lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida on November 5, 2015 alleging certain violations by the Company related to the terms of his employment and his termination. While the Company is aggressively contesting the allegations and is not currently able to predict the probability of a favourable or unfavourable outcome, or the amount of any possible loss in the event of an unfavourable outcome, such an unfavourable outcome could adversely affect the Company's business, financial condition and/or results of operations.

Uninsured and underinsured losses and rising insurance costs could adversely affect the Company's business.

The Company maintains property, business interruption, general liability, directors and officer's liability and other ancillary insurance on such terms as it deems appropriate. This may result in insurance coverage that, in the event of a substantial loss, would not be sufficient to pay for the full current market value or current replacement cost of the Company's lost investment. Not all risks are covered by insurance.

The Company's cost of maintaining property, general liability and business interruption insurance and director and officer liability insurance is significant. The Company could experience higher insurance premiums as a result of adverse claims experience or because of general increases in premiums by insurance carriers for reasons unrelated to its own claims experience. Generally, the Company's insurance policies must be renewed annually. The Company's ability to continue to obtain insurance at affordable premiums also depends upon its ability to continue to operate with an acceptable claims record. A significant increase in the number of claims against the Company, the assertion of one or more claims in excess of its policy limits, or the inability to obtain adequate insurance coverage at acceptable rates, or any insurance coverage at all, could adversely affect the Company's business, financial condition and/or results of operations.

The Company's success depends upon retaining the services of its management team and key employees.

The Company is dependent on its management team and expects that continued success will depend largely upon their efforts and abilities. The loss of the services of any key executive for any reason could have a material adverse effect on the Company. Success also depends upon the Company's ability to identify, develop, and retain qualified employees.

Product liability could adversely affect the Company's business.

Difficulties in product design, performance and reliability could result in lost sales, delays in customer acceptance of the Company's products, customer complaints or lawsuits. Such difficulties could be detrimental to the Company's market reputation. The Company's products and the products supplied by third parties on behalf of the Company may

not be error-free. Undetected errors or performance problems may be discovered in the future. The Company may not be able to successfully complete the development of planned or future products in a timely manner or adequately address product defects, which could harm the Company's business and prospects. In addition, product defects may expose the Company to product liability claims, for which it may not have sufficient product liability insurance. Difficulties in product design, performance and reliability or product liability claims could adversely affect the Company's business, financial condition and/or results of operations.

Because the Company is a Canadian company, it may be difficult to enforce rights under US bankruptcy laws.

The Company and certain of its subsidiaries are incorporated under the laws of Canada and a substantial amount of its assets are located outside of the United States. Under bankruptcy laws in the United States, courts typically assert jurisdiction over a debtor's property, wherever located, including property situated in other countries. However, courts outside of the United States may not recognize the United States bankruptcy court's jurisdiction over property located outside of the territorial limits of the United States. Accordingly, difficulties may arise in administering a United States bankruptcy case involving a Canadian debtor with property located outside of the United States, and any orders or judgments of a bankruptcy court in the United States may not be enforceable outside the territorial limits of the United States.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

It may be difficult for investors to enforce civil liabilities against the Company under US federal and state securities laws.

The Company and certain of its subsidiaries are incorporated under the laws of Canada. Certain of their directors are residents of Canada and a portion of directors and executive officers' assets may be located outside of the United States. In addition, certain subsidiaries are located in other foreign jurisdictions. As a result, it may be difficult or impossible for US investors to effect service of process within the United States upon the Company, its Canadian subsidiaries, or its other foreign subsidiaries, or those directors and officers, or to realize against them upon judgments of courts of the United States predicated upon the civil liability provisions of US federal securities laws or securities or blue sky laws of any state within the United States. The Company believes that a judgment of a US court predicated solely upon the civil liability provisions of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended and/or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (Exchange Act) would likely be enforceable in Canada if the US court in which the judgment was obtained had a basis for jurisdiction in the matter that was recognized by a Canadian court for such purposes. The Company cannot assure that this will be the case. There is substantial doubt whether an action could be brought in Canada in the first instance on the basis of liability predicated solely upon such laws.

The Company has its registered office in the Province of Québec, Canada and, as a result, is subject to the securities laws of that province. In addition, the Company is a reporting issuer under the securities laws of each of the provinces of Canada and is therefore subject to the provisions thereof relating to, among other things, continuous disclosure and filing of insider reports by the Company's reporting insiders, as applicable.

While the Company's shares trade on the Toronto Stock Exchange, they trade on the OTC Pink Marketplace in the US, which may result in the possible absence of a liquid trading market for securities of US investors.

The Company's common shares are traded in the US on the OTC Pink Marketplace. Trading on this market can be thin and characterized by wide fluctuations in trading prices, due to many factors that may have little to do with a company's operations or business prospects. In addition, trading on this market is often sporadic, so shareholders may have some difficulty reselling any of their shares of common stock on this market.

Compliance with the SEC's new conflict mineral disclosure requirements results in additional compliance costs and may create reputational challenges.

The SEC adopted rules pursuant to Section 1502 of the Dodd-Frank Act setting forth disclosure requirements concerning the use or potential use of certain minerals and their derivatives, including tantalum, tin, gold and tungsten, that are mined from the Democratic Republic of Congo and adjoining countries, and deemed conflict minerals. These requirements have necessitated, and will continue to necessitate, due diligence efforts by the Company to assess whether such minerals are used in the Company's products in order to make the relevant required disclosures. There are certain costs associated with complying with these new disclosure requirements, including diligence to determine the sources of those minerals that may be used or necessary to the production of the Company's products. If the Company determines that certain of its products contain minerals that are not conflict-free or is unable to sufficiently verify the origins for all conflict minerals used in its products, the Company may face changes to its supply chain or challenges to its reputation, either of which could impact future sales.

The Company's exemptions under the Exchange Act of 1934, as a foreign private issuer, limit the protections and information afforded investors.

The Company is a foreign private issuer within the meaning of the rules promulgated under the Exchange Act. As such, it is exempt from certain provisions applicable to United States companies with securities registered under the Exchange Act, including: the rules under the Exchange Act requiring the filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission of quarterly reports on Form 10-Q or current reports on Form 8-K; the sections of the Exchange Act regulating the solicitation of proxies, consents or authorizations in respect of a security registered under the Exchange Act; and the sections of the Exchange Act requiring insiders to file public reports of their stock ownership and trading activities and establishing insider liability for profits realized from any short-swing trading transaction (*i.e.*, a purchase and sale, or sale and purchase, of the issuers' equity securities within a period of less than six months). Because of these exemptions, purchasers of the Company's securities are not afforded the same protections or information generally available to investors in public companies organized in the United States. For the year ended December 31, 2008 and commencing for the year ended December 31, 2010 and going forward, the Company has elected to file its annual report on Form 20-F which also fulfills the requirements of the Annual Information Form required in Canada, thus necessitating only one report. The Company reports on Form 6-K with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission and publicly releases quarterly financial reports.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Item 4: Information on the Company

A. HISTORY AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE COMPANY

The business of Intertape was established when Intertape Systems Inc., a predecessor of the Company, established a pressure sensitive tape manufacturing facility in Montreal, Canada. The Company was incorporated under the *Canada Business Corporations Act* on December 22, 1989 under the name 171695 Canada Inc. On October 8, 1991, the Company filed a Certificate of Amendment changing its name to Intertape Polymer Group Inc. A Certificate of Amalgamation was filed by the Company on August 31, 1993, at which time the Company was amalgamated with EBAC Holdings Inc. On November 11, 2015, the Board of Directors adopted a new By-Law 2015-1, requiring advance notice for the nomination of directors. Under the Canada Business Corporations Act, By-law 2015-1 is in force, but must be confirmed by the Company's shareholders at the next shareholders' meeting. If By-law 2015-1 is not confirmed at the shareholders' meeting, it will cease to have effect at that time.

The Company's corporate headquarters is located at 9999 Cavendish Blvd., Suite 200, Ville St. Laurent, Québec, Canada H4M 2X5 and the address and telephone number of its registered office is 800 Place Victoria, Suite 3700, Montréal, Québec H4Z 1E9, c/o Fasken Martineau Dumoulin LLP, (514) 397-7400.

In the last five years, the Company has undertaken a number of significant manufacturing plant rationalization initiatives in an effort to achieve its goal of being a low-cost producer of its products along with having world class assets at its manufacturing facilities. The Company closed its Brantford, Ontario, facility during the second quarter of 2011 and discontinued the manufacture of certain products that were produced solely at the Brantford, Ontario, plant. The Company sold the Brantford, Ontario, facility in January 2013. The Company sold its Hawkesbury, Ontario, plant in 2011. In the fourth quarter of 2012, the Company ceased manufacturing operations at its Richmond, Kentucky, manufacturing facility and transferred operations to its Carbondale, Illinois, facility during the first quarter of 2013. The Company sold the Richmond, Kentucky facility in the fourth quarter of 2014. In addition, the Company consolidated its North American shrink film production at its Tremonton, Utah, facility in 2013.

As the result of an internal restructuring, effective December 31, 2012, the Company liquidated and dissolved ECP L.P. and ECP GP II Inc., its Canadian operating companies, and all business, assets and liabilities were transferred to Intertape Polymer Inc., another Canadian subsidiary of the Company. Also effective December 31, 2012, the Company liquidated and dissolved Polymer International Corp., a Virginia corporation, and all of its assets and liabilities are with Intertape Polymer Corp., a Delaware corporation, a US subsidiary of the Company.

In February 2013, the Company announced plans to relocate and modernize its Columbia, South Carolina, manufacturing facility and in June 2013, acquired property in Blythewood, South Carolina, which is located in close proximity to the Columbia, South Carolina plant. As of December 31, 2015, the Company had completed commissioning efforts in relation to the duct tape production line and began limited production and sales of the masking tape production line in Blythewood, South Carolina with the full transfer of masking tape production still expected to be completed in the first half of 2016. Capital expenditures for this project are expected to total approximately \$60 million, of which \$2.7 million was spent in 2012, \$21.8 million in 2013, \$24.3 million in 2014, and \$7.9 million in 2015.

Effective October 30, 2014, the Company completed an additional internal restructuring to reorganize the capital structure of several of its legal entities to more efficiently manage its intercompany debt. The results of this restructuring were (in addition to certain transfers of certain intercompany receivables, payables and notes): (a) IPG

Holdings LP was dissolved; (b) all of the preferred shares in IPG (US) Holdings Inc. were redeemed and cancelled, with Intertape Polymer Group Inc. owning all of the common shares of IPG (US) Holdings Inc.; (c) Intertape Polymer Group Inc. formed IPG Luxembourg S.à r.l, a Luxembourg private limited liability company (société à responsabilité limitée) as a wholly owned subsidiary of Intertape Polymer Group Inc. and (d) Intertape Polymer Corp. transferred all of its preferred equity interests in Intertape Polymer Inc. to IPG (US) Inc.

On April 7, 2015, the Company purchased 100% of the issued and outstanding common stock of BP Acquisition Corporation (which wholly-owns a subsidiary, Better Packages, Inc.) (Better Packages), a leading supplier of water-activated tape dispensers. The Company expects the Better Packages acquisition to further extend the Company's product offering and global presence in the rapidly growing e-commerce market. The Company paid a purchase price of \$15.9 million in cash. The Company expects that these acquired operations will generate annualized revenue of approximately \$18 million and EBITDA margin of over 15% in 2016.

Effective September 1, 2015, along with certain related transfers of certain intercompany receivables, payables and notes, on or about the same date, Intertape Polymer US Inc. was dissolved.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

On October 4, 2015, the Columbia, South Carolina manufacturing facility was damaged by significant rainfall and subsequent severe flooding. The damages sustained were considerable and resulted in the facility being shut down permanently. The Company had planned to shut down this facility by the end of the second quarter of 2016 so this represents a timeline of eight to nine months earlier.

On November 2, 2015, the Company purchased 100% of the issued and outstanding common shares of RJM Manufacturing, Inc. (d/b/a TaraTape), a manufacturer of filament and pressure sensitive tapes, IPC paid in cash, funded primarily from the Company's Revolving Credit Facility, a purchase price of \$11.0 million in cash. The Company expects that these acquired operations will generate annualized revenue of approximately \$20 million and EBITDA margin of slightly below 10% before any synergies in 2016.

While the Company received a letter from two of its shareholders on November 9, 2015 urging the Company to take certain actions (such letter and the Company's response were both made publicly available), there has not been any indication of any public takeover offers by third parties in respect of the Company's shares or by the Company in respect of other companies' shares during the last and current fiscal year.

The Company's total capital expenditures in connection with property, plant and equipment were \$34.3 million, \$40.6 million and \$46.8 million for the years 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The majority of the expenditures were to update existing manufacturing equipment and to obtain new equipment. Capital expenditures for the year ended December 31, 2015 were primarily for property, plant and equipment to support the following strategic and growth initiatives: the new facility in Blythewood, South Carolina (\$7.9 million), the recently announced water-activated tape capacity expansion in Cabarrus County, North Carolina (\$4.2 million spent in 2015 and applied to this project, which was announced in 2016), shrink film capacity expansion at the Portugal manufacturing facility (\$3.9 million), and woven products capacity expansion (\$3.2 million). The Company funded these 2015 capital expenditures through its cash flows from operations and funds available under the Revolving Credit Facility. On a related note, the Company typically relies upon cash flows from operations and funds available under the Revolving Credit Facility to fund capital expenditures. Additionally, in August the Company entered into a partially forgivable loan (Partially Forgivable Loan) with Agencia para Investimento Comercio Externo de Portugal, EPE (AICEP), the Portuguese agency for investment and external trade, as part of financing the capital expansion project in Portugal.

B. BUSINESS OVERVIEW

The Company operates in the specialty packaging industry in North America. The Company develops, manufactures and sells a variety of paper and film-based pressure sensitive and water activated tapes, polyethylene and specialized polyolefin packaging films, woven coated fabrics and complementary packaging systems for industrial and retail use. The Company's products primarily consist of carton sealing tapes, including pressure-sensitive and water-activated tapes; packaging equipment; industrial and performance specialty tapes including masking, duct, electrical and reinforced filament tapes; shrink film; stretch wrap; lumberwrap, structure fabrics, geomembrane fabrics; and non-manufactured flexible intermediate bulk containers (FIBCs). Most of the Company's products are made from similar processes. A vast majority of the Company's products, while brought to market through various distribution channels, generally have similar economic characteristics.

The Company has approximately 2,000 employees with operations in 17 locations, including 12 manufacturing facilities in North America and 1 in Europe.

The Company has assembled a broad range of products by leveraging its manufacturing technologies, its research and development capabilities, global sourcing expertise and its strategic acquisition program. Over the years, the Company has made a number of strategic acquisitions, including the 2015 TaraTape and Better Packages acquisitions, in order to offer a broader range of products to better serve its markets. The Company's extensive product line permits the Company to offer tailored solutions to a wide range of end-markets including food processing, fulfillment, consumer, building and construction, oil and gas, transportation, agriculture, aerospace, appliance, general manufacturing, marine, composites and military applications.

Overview of Periods

2013

In January 2013, the Company sold the Brantford, Ontario manufacturing facility and received net proceeds of \$1.6 million. The Company recovered \$0.2 million of the asset impairment charge previously recorded in 2011 and 2010.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

On February 26, 2013, the Company announced plans to relocate and modernize its Columbia, South Carolina manufacturing operation. In June 2013, the Company acquired property located in Blythewood, South Carolina. This property was financed by an \$8.5 million mortgage with Wells Fargo National Association (the South Carolina Mortgage). In November 2014, the Company prepaid in full this loan with proceeds from the Revolving Credit Facility. As of December 31, 2015, the Company had completed commissioning efforts in relation to the duct tape production line and began limited production and sales of the masking tape production line in Blythewood, South Carolina with the full transfer of masking tape production still expected to be completed in the first half of 2016.

In June 2013, the Company redeemed \$20.0 million aggregate principal amount of its outstanding Senior Subordinated Notes bearing interest at 8.5%, and on August 30, 2013, the Company redeemed the remaining \$18.7 million aggregate principal amount of its outstanding Senior Subordinated Notes due August 1, 2014, fully discharging and satisfying the Senior Subordinated Notes and Indenture.

During 2013, the Company completed certain initiatives regarding its facilities. Production ceased at the Company's Richmond, Kentucky, plant in the fourth quarter of 2012, production of shrink film ceased at the Company's Truro, Nova Scotia, plant in the first quarter of 2013, and the Company consolidated its shrink film operations at its Tremonton, Utah, manufacturing facility.

On August 14, 2013, the Board of Directors modified the Company's dividend policy to provide for the payment of quarterly dividends as opposed to semi-annual dividends. During 2013, the Company paid dividends totaling \$0.24 per share.

In August 2013, the Company relocated its US executive headquarters to a leased facility at 100 Paramount Drive, Suite 300, Sarasota, Florida 34232. The former US executive headquarters located in Bradenton, Florida was sold in 2015.

The Company entered into an Equipment Finance Agreement in August 2012. During 2013, the Company was required to finance \$20 million of equipment purchases. As of December 31, 2013, the Company financed \$16.9 million. However, the Company was not required to pay a Reinvestment Premium on the shortfall inasmuch as the three-year SWAP rate at December 31, 2013 as set forth in the Federal Reserve H.15 report decreased to less than 0.5%. The average of the fixed interest rates of the capital leases as of December 31, 2013 was 2.86%.

In assessing the recoverability of deferred tax assets, management determines, at each balance sheet date, whether it is more likely than not that a portion or all of its deferred tax assets will be realized. This determination is based on quantitative and qualitative assessments by management and the weighing of all available evidence, both positive and negative. Such evidence includes the scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income and the implementation of tax planning strategies. As of December 31, 2013, management analyzed all available evidence including, in particular, the Company's financial results for the year then ended (taxable income and earnings before income tax expense (benefit)), the 2013 budget variances, and the Company's cumulative financial results for the prior three years. In addition, management took under significant consideration the Company's 2014 budget, its long-term financial projections, market and industry conditions and certain available tax strategies. As a result of this detailed analysis, management determined at such time that it was more likely than not that substantially all of the Company's deferred tax assets in the US would be realized and, accordingly, recognized \$47.8 million of its US deferred tax assets, \$43.0 million of which impacted the Company's net earnings while the balance impacted its shareholders equity.

In addition, management determined at such time that it was more likely than not that a portion of its deferred tax assets related to the Company's corporate (holding) entity (Intertape Polymer Group Inc. or the Entity) would not be realized due to insufficient taxable income in future periods. Previously, the Entity benefited from sufficient taxable income as a result of certain tax planning strategies implemented in 2011 (the Planning). The Company's management continued to expect that, pursuant to the Planning, the Entity would continue to generate sufficient taxable income in order to fully utilize its net operating losses with expiration dates through 2015. However, in 2013, the benefit of the Planning was expected to diminish over time. Accordingly, the Company derecognized \$4.6 million of its Canadian deferred tax assets as of December 31, 2013.

2014

In 2014, Intertape hired Jeffrey Crystal, who was appointed Chief Financial Officer effective May 9, 2014. Bernard J. Pitz's tenure as chief financial officer ended on January 30, 2014. Michael C. Jay, Corporate Controller since 2011, assumed the duties of interim Chief Financial Officer from January 30, 2014 to May 9, 2014.

In March 2014, Intertape increased the amount available under the Equipment Finance Agreement dated August 14, 2012 from \$24.0 million to \$25.7 million and also entered into its final capital lease schedule under this agreement for \$3.5 million. The average of the fixed interest rates of the capital leases as of December 31, 2014 was 2.87%.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

On June 11, 2014, Intertape's Board of Directors adopted: (a) the Performance Share Unit Plan (PSU Plan) and (b) the Deferred Share Unit Plan (DSU Plan). The PSU Plan is administered by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors of the Company and authorizes the Company to award PSUs to eligible persons. The DSU Plan is administered by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors of the Company and authorizes the Company to award DSUs to any member of the Board of Directors of the Company that is not an executive officer or employee of the Company. A maximum of 1,000,000 common shares may be issued from treasury under the PSU Plan. A maximum of 250,000 common shares may be issued from treasury under the DSU Plan.

On July 7, 2014, Intertape announced a normal course issuer bid (NCIB) effective on July 10, 2014. In connection with this NCIB, the Company was entitled to repurchase for cancellation up to 2,000,000 of Intertape's common shares issued and outstanding. The NCIB was set to expire on July 9, 2015. As of December 31, 2014, the Company had repurchased 597,500 common shares at an average price of CDN\$14.35 per share, including commissions, for a total purchase price of \$7.8 million.

On July 7, 2014, Intertape's Board of Directors modified Intertape's dividend policy to increase the annualized dividend by 50% from \$0.32 to \$0.48 per common share.

On August 5, 2014, the Board of Directors appointed Mr. Frank Di Tomaso as a new board member of the Company.

Effective October 30, 2014, Intertape completed an internal restructuring to reorganize the capital structure of several of its legal entities to more efficiently manage its intercompany debt. The results of this restructuring were (in addition to certain transfers of certain intercompany receivables, payables and notes): (a) IPG Holdings LP was dissolved; (b) all of the preferred shares in IPG (US) Holdings Inc. were redeemed and cancelled, with Intertape Polymer Group Inc. owning all of the common shares of IPG (US) Holdings Inc.; (c) Intertape Polymer Group Inc. formed IPG Luxembourg Finance S.à r.l, a Luxembourg private limited liability company (société à responsabilité limitée) as a wholly owned subsidiary of Intertape Polymer Group Inc. and (d) Intertape Polymer Corp. transferred all of its preferred equity interests in Intertape Polymer Inc. to IPG (US) Inc.

On November 18, 2014, Intertape entered into a new Revolving Credit Facility Agreement which provides for a five-year US\$300 million Revolving Credit Facility. The Revolving Credit Facility replaced the ABL Facility and prepaid in full the outstanding balances of the Real Estate Loan and South Carolina Mortgage. The Revolving Credit Facility Agreement includes an incremental accordion feature of US\$150 million, which will enable the Company to increase the limit of this facility (subject to the Revolving Credit Facility Agreement's terms and lender approval) if needed. The Revolving Credit Facility matures on November 18, 2019 and bears an interest rate based primarily on the LIBOR rate plus a spread varying between 100 and 225 basis points (125 basis points as of December 31, 2014) depending on the consolidated total leverage ratio.

In December 2014, the Company sold the Richmond, Kentucky manufacturing facility and received net proceeds of \$2.3 million.

2015

On April 7, 2015, the Company purchased 100% of the issued and outstanding common stock of Better Packages, a leading supplier of water-activated tape dispensers.

The Company transferred production of duct tape to the new Blythewood facility in early April 2015. During the second and third quarters of 2015, commissioning of the duct tape production line was ongoing in order to work toward the attainment of target levels of product quality balanced with targeted production efficiency. Although the Company was able to meet customer demand for duct tape during the second and third quarters of 2015, there were production yield and operating inefficiencies related to the ramp-up of duct tape production that had a negative impact on results in these quarters and resulted in an extended timeline for the project. However, these inefficiencies improved throughout the year and production was close to reaching targeted performance levels in early 2016. In the fourth quarter of 2015, the Company began limited production and sales of masking tape from the Blythewood facility, with the full transfer of masking tape production still expected to be completed in the first half of 2016. The Company now considers that the commercialization of the masking tape products being produced in the Blythewood facility is complete, and therefore must continue to focus on the ramp-up in production efficiencies as well as the transfer of certain masking tape production from the Marysville, Michigan facility.

On June 4, 2015, the Board of Directors appointed Mr. George J. Bunze as the new Chairman of the Board following the retirement of the former Chairman, Mr. Eric E. Baker.

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements**

Effective July 10, 2015, the NCIB (effective on July 10, 2014 and scheduled to expire on July 9, 2015) was renewed. In connection with this NCIB, the Company was entitled to repurchase for cancellation up to 2,000,000 of the Company's common shares issued and outstanding. This renewed NCIB expires on July 9, 2016. On November 11, 2015, the Toronto Stock Exchange approved an amendment to the Company's NCIB, as a result of which the Company is entitled to repurchase for cancellation up to 4,000,000 common shares.

On August 12, 2015, the Company's Board of Directors approved a change in the quarterly dividend policy by increasing the dividend from \$0.12 to \$0.13 per share.

In August 2015, one of the Company's wholly-owned subsidiaries entered into a Partially Forgivable Loan. The loan was entered into with Agencia para Investimento Comercio Externo de Portugal, EPE (AICEP), the Portuguese agency for investment and external trade, as part of financing a capital expansion project. The loan totalled approximately \$1.2 million at December 31, 2015 (€ 1.1 million). Based on the terms of the agreement, 50% of the loan will be forgiven in 2020 based on satisfying certain 2019 targets, including financial metrics and headcount additions. The partially forgivable loan is non-interest bearing and semi-annual installments of principal are due beginning in July 2018 through January 2024.

On October 4, 2015, the Columbia, South Carolina manufacturing facility was damaged by significant rainfall and subsequent severe flooding. The damages sustained were considerable and resulted in the facility being shut down permanently. The Company had planned to shut down this facility by the end of the second quarter of 2016 so this represents a timeline of eight to nine months earlier.

In October 2015, one of the Company's wholly-owned subsidiaries entered into a long-term debt agreement containing a short-term credit line and a long-term loan for the purpose of financing a capital expansion project. No amounts were outstanding and approximately \$2.3 million (€ 2.5 million) of the loan was available as of December 31, 2015. Both credit lines bear interest at the rate of 6 month EURIBOR (Euro Interbank Offered Rate) plus a premium (125 basis points as of December 31, 2015). The effective interest rate was 1.21% as of December 31, 2015. The short-term credit line matures in September 2016 and is renewable annually, with interest due quarterly and billed in arrears. The long-term loan has a period for capital use until October, 2017 and matures in April, 2022, with interest billed in arrears and due bi-annually beginning in April, 2018. The loans are secured by a comfort letter issued to the lender by the Company in favour of its wholly-owned subsidiary.

During 2015, the Company entered into interest swap agreements designated as cash flow hedges. The terms of the interest swap agreements are as follows:

Effective Date	Maturity	Notional Amount	Settlement	Fixed interest rate paid
March 18, 2015	November 18, 2019	\$ 40,000,000	Monthly	1.610%
August 18, 2015	August 20, 2018	\$ 60,000,000	Monthly	1.197%

On November 2, 2015, the Company purchased 100% of the issued and outstanding common shares of TaraTape, a manufacturer of filament and pressure sensitive tapes.

On November 11, 2015, the Company's Board of Directors adopted a new By-Law 2015-1, requiring advance notice for the nomination of directors. Under the Canada Business Corporations Act, By-law 2015-1 is in force, but must be confirmed by the Company's shareholders at the next shareholders' meeting. If By-law 2015-1 is not confirmed at the shareholders' meeting, it will cease to have effect at that time.

On November 30, 2015, the Board of Directors appointed Ms. Mary Pat Salomone as a new board member of the Company.

On December 14, 2015, the Company entered into a Shareholders Rights Plan Agreement (the Rights Plan) with CST Trust Company. The purpose of the Shareholder Rights Plan is to provide IPG's Board of Directors with additional time, in the event

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

of an unsolicited takeover bid, to develop and propose alternatives to the bid and negotiate with the bidder, as well as to ensure equal treatment of shareholders in the context of an acquisition of control made other than by way of an offer to all shareholders, and lessen the pressure on shareholders to tender to a bid. Under the policies of the Toronto Stock Exchange (TSX), the Rights Plan must be ratified by the shareholders of the Corporation at a meeting held within six months following the adoption of the Rights Plan, failing which the Rights Plan must be immediately cancelled and any rights issued thereunder must be immediately redeemed or cancelled. Accordingly, on June 9, 2016, shareholders will be asked to approve a resolution ratifying and approving the Rights Plan. For further details on the Rights Plan, see Item 10(C) below.

As of December 31, 2015, management analyzed all available evidence and determined it is more likely than not that substantially all of the Company's deferred tax assets in the US will be realized and, accordingly, continues to recognize the majority of its US deferred tax assets. Management also determined it is more likely than not that substantially all of the Company's deferred tax assets in the Canadian operating entity will be realized based on available evidence such as the cumulative positive financial results for the prior three years, consistent utilization of deferred tax assets, consistent generation of taxable income, and positive financial projections. Accordingly, the Company recognized the majority of its Canadian operating entity's deferred tax assets, including \$3.8 million that were previously derecognized. With respect to the deferred tax assets at the Canadian corporate holding entity (the Entity), management determined it appropriate to maintain the same positions for the year ended December 31, 2015 as taken for the year ended December 31, 2014 in that the majority of the Entity's deferred tax assets should continue to be derecognized as of December 31, 2015. The Canadian deferred tax assets remain available to the Company in order to reduce its taxable income in future periods.

(1) Products, Markets and Distribution

(a) Tapes

The Company manufactures a variety of paper and film based tapes, including pressure-sensitive and water-activated carton sealing tapes; industrial and performance specialty tapes including paper, flatback, duct, double coated, foil, electrical, filament tapes and stencil products.

Management believes the Company is the only packaging company that manufactures carton sealing tapes using all four adhesive technologies: hot melt, acrylic, natural rubber and water-activated. As a vertically integrated manufacturer, the Company believes it has distinctive capabilities, relative to its competitors, to produce its own film and adhesives used in the manufacture of its finished tape.

The Company's tape products are manufactured and primarily sold under the Company's Intertape, Central®, American®, Anchor®, and Crowell® brands to industrial distributors and retailers, and are manufactured for sale to third parties under private brands.

Tape products launched in 2013, 2014 and 2015 include new transfer adhesive products, clean removal tensilized polypropylene and filament products, UL rated HVAC tapes, and hot melt carton sealing tape manufactured with a proprietary Corru-Grip adhesive formulation for optimal closure of highly recycled corrugate. Further information regarding these new products can be found in the Research & Development section of this document.

In 2013, the majority of the Company's product launches were double-coated, carton sealing, HVAC, appliance packaging and masking tapes.

In 2014, the Company enhanced its offering of packaging solutions with the introductions of: ExIfilmPlus® GPL, a new high performance cross linked polyolefin shrink film; Ripcord, a knife free solution to open packages; RG317, a filament tape for L-clip box closure applications; Auto H2O uniform semi-automatic water-activated case sealer and other complementary products.

In 2015, the Company focused on increasing its offering of specialty tape products including additional masking, foil, double-coated and ATA tapes.

For the years ending December 31, 2015, December 31, 2014, and December 31, 2013, tapes accounted for 68%, 65%, and 65%, respectively, of the Company's revenue.

The Company's tape products consist of two main product groups, Carton Sealing Tapes and Industrial & Specialty Tapes.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Carton Sealing Tapes

Carton sealing tapes are sold primarily under the Intertape and Central® brands to industrial distributors and leading retailers, as well as to third parties under private brands. Management believes the Company is the only company worldwide that produces carton sealing tapes using all four adhesive technologies: hot melt, acrylic, natural rubber and water-activated. The Company also sells the application equipment required for the dispensing of its carton sealing tapes.

Hot Melt Tape

Hot melt carton sealing tape is a polypropylene film coated with a synthetic rubber adhesive which offers a wide range of application flexibility and is typically used in carton sealing applications. The Company's primary competitors are 3M Co., Shurtape Technologies LLC and Vibac Group.

Acrylic Tape

Acrylic carton sealing tape is a polypropylene film coated with an aqueous, pressure-sensitive acrylic adhesive which is best suited for applications where performance is required within a broad range of temperatures from less than 40°F (4°C) to greater than 120°F (49°C). The Company's primary competitors are 3M Co., GTA, Powerband, Primetac (Pitamas) and other imported Asian products.

Natural Rubber Tape

Natural rubber carton sealing tape is a polypropylene film coated with natural rubber adhesive and is unique among the carton sealing tapes because of its robust adhesion properties. This tape is ideally suited for conditions involving hot, dusty, humid or cold environments. Typical uses include moving and storage industry applications, as well as packaging and shipping. The Company's primary competitors are Vibac Group and imported products from Europe.

Water-Activated Tape

Water-activated carton sealing tape is typically manufactured using a filament reinforced kraft paper substrate and a starch based adhesive that is activated by water. Water-activated tape is used primarily in applications where a strong mechanical bond or tamper evidence is required. Typical end-use markets include retail fulfillment centers, 3PL's (third-party logistics providers), furniture manufacturers and the apparel industry. The Company's primary competitor is Holland Manufacturing Co. Inc.

Industrial & Specialty Tapes

The Company produces eight primary industrial and specialty products sold primarily under the Intertape, American® and Anchor® brands: paper tape, flatback tape, duct tape, double-coated tape, foil tape, electrical tape, filament tape and stencil products.

Paper Tape

Paper tape is manufactured from a crepe paper substrate coated with a natural rubber or a synthetic rubber adhesive. Paper tape is used for a variety of performance and general purpose end-use applications. Product applications include

paint masking (consumer, contractor, automotive, aerospace and marine), splicing, bundling/packaging, and general light duty applications. The Company's primary competitors for this product are 3M Co., Shurtape Technologies, LLC, Cantech and tesa tape, inc.

Flatback Tape

Flatback tape is manufactured using a smooth kraft paper substrate coated with a natural rubber/SIS blended adhesive. Flatback tape is designed with low elongation and is widely used in applications such as splicing where the tape should not be distorted. Typical applications for flatback tape include splicing, printable identification tapes, label products and carton closure. The Company's primary competitors for this product are 3M Co. and Shurtape Technologies, LLC.

Duct Tape

Duct tape is manufactured from a polyethylene film that has been reinforced with scrim and coated with natural/synthetic rubber blend adhesive or specialty polymer adhesives. Duct tape is primarily used by general consumers for a wide range of

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

applications. Duct tapes are also used in maintenance, repair and operations, in the HVAC (heating, ventilation and air conditioning) markets, construction and in the convention and entertainment industries. The Company's primary competitors for this product are Berry Plastics Corp., 3M Co. and Shurtape Technologies, LLC.

Double-Coated Tape

Double-coated tape is manufactured from a paper, foam, or film substrate and is coated on both sides with a variety of adhesive systems. Double-coated tape also uses a release liner made from paper or film that prevents the tape from sticking to itself. Double-coated tape is typically used to join two dissimilar surfaces. The Company's double-coated tape products are used across a range of markets that include aerospace, graphics, transportation, converting and nameplates. The Company's primary competitors for this product are 3M Co., tesa tape, inc., and Scapa Group plc.

Foil Tape

Foil tape is manufactured using an aluminum substrate and a variety of adhesive systems. The tape is designed for applications that range from HVAC, building and construction, aerospace, transportation, industrial, and general purpose. The products are UV resistant, have reflective and flame retardant properties, and remain flexible to resist cracking and lifting around irregular or curved surfaces. The Company's primary competitors for this product are 3M Co., Berry Plastics and Avery Dennison Corp.

Electrical and Electronic Tape

Electrical and electronic tape is manufactured from a number of different substrates, including paper, polyester, glass cloth and a variety of adhesive systems that include rubber, acrylic and silicone adhesives. Electrical and electronic tapes are engineered to meet stringent application specifications and many electrical and electronic tapes are Underwriters Laboratories (UL) component listed. The Company's primary competitors for this product are 3M Co., Nitto Denko, Saint Gobain, Bondtec, and H-Old.

Filament Tape

Filament tape is a film or paper-backed adhesive tape with fiberglass, polyester fibers embedded in the adhesive to provide high tensile strength. Primary applications for filament tape include temporary holding, bundling and unitizing, subsea umbilical cables (oil and gas), metal coil tabbing, and agricultural applications. The Company's primary competitors for this product are 3M Co. and Shurtape Technologies, LLC.

Stencil Products

Stencil products are manufactured from a calendared natural/synthetic rubber blended substrate with an acrylic adhesive and specially formulated adhesives. Stencil products are used in applications within the sign and monument manufacturing markets to protect a surface where high pressure blasting is required. The Company's primary competitor for this product is 3M Co.

(b) Films

The Company also manufactures a variety of polyethylene and specialized polyolefin films, as well as complementary packaging systems, for industrial use and retail use, including shrink film, stretch wrap and air pillows. As a vertically integrated manufacturer, the Company uses internally manufactured films to produce tape products.

The Company's film products are marketed under the Company's brands including SuperFlex®, StretchFlex®, ExlfilmPlus®, Exlfilm® and iCushion® to industrial distributors and retailers, and are manufactured for sale to third parties under private brands.

For the year ended December 31, 2015, films accounted for 16% of the Company's revenue and for the years ending December 31, 2014, and December 31, 2013, films accounted for 19% of the Company's revenue.

The Company's film products consist of two main product groups, film and protective packaging.

The Company primarily produces two film product lines: SuperFlex® and StretchFlex® stretch wrap and ExlfilmPlus® and Exlfilm® shrink film.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Stretch Wrap

Stretch wrap is a single or multi-layer plastic film that can be stretched without application of heat and which has the characteristic of trying to return to its original length thereby applying force on the wrapped load. It is used industrially to wrap pallets of various products ensuring a solid load for shipping. The Company uses technology that it believes is state-of-the-art for the manufacturing of its stretch film products.

SuperFlex® is a high performance, light gauge stretch film which offers customers good security for their loads but at a low cost per load. Genesys®, Genesys®Ultra, Fortress®, ProLite® and Orbit Air B are SuperFlex® brand products. Since 2013, the Company has re-formulated its legacy Genesys®, Genesys®Ultra and ProLite® brand products to enhance their performance capabilities. AEP Industries, Inc., Amtopp, Berry Plastics Corp., Malpack (Canada), and Paragon Films produce competitive products.

StretchFlex® is the Company's regular duty, typically a heavier gauge of stretch film which also provides the customer with secure loads at a low price per pound. SFI, SSC, SFIII, Hand Wrap II and Hand Wrap IV are StretchFlex® brand products. Since 2013, the Company has re-formulated its legacy SFI products to enhance performance capabilities. Competitors include AEP Industries Inc., Berry Plastics Corp., Sigma Plastics Group and Amtopp.

Shrink Film

ExlfilmPlus® and Exlfilm® shrink film are specialty plastic films which shrink under controlled heat to conform to a package's shape. The process permits the over-wrapping of a vast array of products of varying sizes and dimensions with a single packaging line. ExlfilmPlus® and Exlfilm® are used to package paper products, food, toys, games, sporting goods, hardware and housewares and a variety of other products. In 2014, the Company introduced ExlfilmPlus® GPL, a new high performance cross linked polyolefin shrink film. The Company's primary competitors for this product are Sealed Air Corp. and Clysar LLC.

The Company entered the European shrink film market through its investment in Fibope in April 1995. The Company initially purchased a 50% equity interest in Fibope, acquiring the remaining 50% equity stake in July 2003. Fibope operates as an autonomous unit within the Company.

Fibope produces a full range of shrink film products for sale in the European Community. Raw materials are primarily sourced within Europe, with multiple sources utilized to ensure stability of supply and a competitive price environment.

Protective Packaging

Air Pillows

Air pillows are manufactured from polyethylene film and are inflated at the point of use with an air pillow machine. Air pillows are used as packaging material for void fill and cushioning applications. Typical end-use markets for air pillows include 3PL's retail fulfillment houses and contract packaging operations. The Company's primary competitors for this product are Pregis Corp., Sealed Air Corp., Storopack, Inc., Free-Flow Packaging International Inc. and Polyair Inter Pack Inc.

Complementary Packaging Systems

Machinery

IPG provides complementary packaging systems under the Interpack and Better Package[®] brands. Machinery that makes up IPG's Complementary Packaging Systems include, but are not limited to, mechanical systems for case sealing applications with the use of long roll carton sealing tape, as well as water-activated tape produced by IPG. They also include IPG's void fill machines and bagging machines. These machines are used in production lines at the packaging level. They are also widely used in the fulfillment industries. These systems add value by providing efficient packaging processes to a variety of industrial customers. The company's primary competitors are 3M, Loveshaw, BestPack, Marsh and Phoenix.

(c) Woven Coated Fabrics

The Company develops and manufactures innovative industrial packaging, protective covering, barrier and liner products utilizing engineered coated polyolefin fabrics, paper and other laminated materials. Its products are sold through multiple channels in a wide number of industries including lumber, construction and agriculture.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

On October 5, 2005, Intertape Polymer Inc., a subsidiary of the Company, acquired all of the issued and outstanding shares of Flexia Corporation Ltd., which was the result of the amalgamation of Flexia Corporation and Fib-pak Industries, Inc. The businesses of such companies were operated under wholly-owned Canadian entities, ECP L.P. and ECP GP II Inc., which were subsequently liquidated and dissolved on December 31, 2012 and as a result, all business, assets and liabilities were transferred to Intertape Polymer Inc.

The Company's woven coated fabrics are categorized in four markets: (A) building and construction, (B) agro-environmental, (C) specialty fabrics, and (D) industrial packaging. For the three years ended December 31, 2015, December 31, 2014, and December 31, 2013, woven coated fabric products accounted for 15% of the Company's revenue.

Building and Construction Products

The Company's building and construction product group includes protective wrap for kiln dried lumber, membrane barrier products such as house wrap, window and door flashing, membrane structure fabrics used in clear span buildings, roof underlayment, and insulation facing, which are used directly in residential and commercial construction. The Company also supplies packaging over-wrap sleeves for unitizing multiple bags of fiberglass insulation. The Company's primary competitors for these products include InterWrap, Inc., E.I. DuPont de Nemours and Company, Polymer Group International, Alpha ProTech and various producers from India, China and Korea.

Lumberwrap

The Company's lumberwrap is used to package, unitize, protect and brand lumber during transportation and storage. The product is available in polyethylene or polypropylene coated fabrics and polyethylene films printed to customer specifications. The Company's primary competitor is InterWrap.

Membrane Structure Fabrics

Nova-Shield® is a lightweight, wide-width, and durable polyolefin fabric used as the outer skin layer for flexible membrane structures. The introduction and continuous improvement of the Nova-Shield® fabric in the membrane structure market has enabled membrane structure manufacturers to expand the use of this product beyond agricultural applications. New applications include agriculture barns, amphitheatres, recreational facilities, trade show pavilions, aircraft hangers, and casinos. Developments in the product line include the patented stacked weave, and AmorKote coatings. The Company sells the Nova-Shield® fabrics to membrane structure manufacturers who design, fabricate, and install the structures. The Company's primary competitors are Fabrene Inc. and a number of PVC (polyvinyl chloride) producers.

Roof Underlayment

IPG's roofing underlayment is a woven synthetic weather barrier installed on the roof before slate, tile or shingles are applied. The Company believes that IPG's roofing underlayment is lighter and easier to install than standard #30 building felt. To meet these market needs, the Company currently has a three-tiered (Good, Better, Best) approach in an attempt to reach all market segments. The Company's primary competitors in this market are InterWrap, Alpha ProTech, a variety of #30 felt producers and a number of competitors from India, China and Korea.

Agro-Environmental Products

The Company has developed a range of Agro-Environmental products, including bags for packaging fiber insulation, fabrics designed for conversion into hay covers, grain covers, landfill covers, oil field membranes, and canal and pond liners. These fabrics are intended to provide protection during transit and storage and to line waterways and ponds to prevent loss of water and other liquids.

Geomembrane Fabrics

The Company's AquaMaster® line of geomembrane fabrics is used as irrigation canal liners, golf course and aquascape pond liners, oil pad liners, hydraulic fracturing ponds and in aquaculture operations. During 2014, the Company widened its product offering by manufacturing composite product composed of its traditional extrusion-coated substrates laminated to other materials such as non-woven textiles and polyethylene film. In order to help customers specify and use the best solution for their particular need, the Company re-branded its geomembrane product lines to clearly separate long-term, high-performance products from products used for shorter term applications during 2014. The Company's primary competitors for similar products include Fabrene Inc., Mai Weave LLC, InterWrap and Inland Tarp. Competitive products which may be used as substitutes are manufactured by GSE Environmental and Raven Industries Inc.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Hay Wrap

Hay cover products are specially designed fabrics designed to function as protective covers, haystack covers, pit and pond liners and pool covers. The proprietary coating is used to enhance abrasion resistance, flex resistance, seam strength, UV resistance and longevity. The Company's primary competitors for this product include offshore imports, as well as InterWrap, Maiweave and Fabrene.

Poultry Fabrics

Woven coated polyolefin fabrics are used in the construction of poultry houses in the southern United States. Materials with high ultraviolet resistance are fabricated into side curtains that regulate ventilation and temperature in buildings. Other materials are used in ceiling construction. The Company's primary competitors for this product are Fabrene Inc. and Mai Weave LLC.

Specialty Fabrics

The Company's specialty fabric product category is comprised of a variety of specialty materials custom designed for unique applications or specific customers. The Company's ability to provide polyolefin fabrics in a variety of weights, widths, colors and styles, and to slit, print and perform various other conversion steps, allows it to provide an array of coated products designed to meet the specific needs of its customers.

Products and applications of specialty fabrics include fabrics designed for conversion into pool covers, field covers, disaster relief materials, protective covers and construction sheeting, brattice cloth for mine ventilation, underground marking tapes, salt pile covers and industrial packaging.

Primary competitors of the Company for this product include Fabrene Inc., Mai Weave LLC and producers from China and Korea.

Industrial Packaging Products

The Company's printed wrap is used to brand and protect a variety of products during transit and storage. For example, the Company's product is used to cover small recreational vehicles (ATVs) during transportation from their manufacturing location to retail dealers. Primary competitors of the Company for this product include Interwrap Inc. and Covalence Specialty Materials Corp.

(d) Other

The Company also earns revenues from the sale of FIBCs and from royalties from the sale of film wrap. FIBCs are flexible, intermediate bulk containers generally designed to carry and discharge 1,500 to 3,500 pounds of dry flowable fill products such as chemicals, minerals and dry food ingredients. The market for FIBCs is highly fragmented. The Company has established proven supply lines for FIBCs with integrated bag manufacturers in India, China and Mexico. Revenue from royalties is earned on the purchases of film wrap by end-users from another supplier which is used in machines supplied by the Company. During each of the last three years, other revenues accounted for 1% of the Company's revenue.

(2) Sales and Marketing

As of December 31, 2015, the Company had 213 sales, customer service and marketing personnel, including manufacturer representatives. The Company participates in industry trade shows and uses trade advertising as part of its marketing efforts. The Company's customer base is diverse; however, there was one customer that accounted for approximately 7% of total sales in 2015 and 2014. Sales of products to customers located in the United States and Canada accounted for approximately 86% and 7% of total sales, respectively, in 2015, 83% and 8% of total sales, respectively, in 2014, and 82% and 8%; in 2013.

Many tape and film products are sold to the market through a network of paper, packaging and industrial distributors throughout North America. The Company also sells carton closing systems, including automatic and semi-automatic carton sealing equipment through this same network of distribution. The Company's shrink and stretch film products are typically sold through industrial distributors. Electrical and electronic tapes are primarily sold through specialty distribution.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

The Company's woven coated fabrics are primarily sold directly to end-users. The Company also earns revenues from the sale of FIBCs and from royalties from the sale of film wrap. FIBCs are sold primarily to end-users and are marketed throughout North America.

(3) Seasonality of the Company's Main Business

The Company does not experience material seasonality or cyclicity in its operations.

(4) Equipment and Raw Materials

The Company purchases mostly custom designed manufacturing equipment, including extruders, coaters, finishing equipment, looms, printers, bag manufacturing machines and injection molds, from manufacturers located in the United States and Western Europe, and participates in the design and upgrading of such equipment. The Company is not dependent on any one manufacturer for its equipment.

The major raw materials purchased for the Company's tape products are polypropylene resin, polyethylene resin, synthetic rubber, hydrocarbon resin, and paper (crepe and kraft). The resins and synthetic rubber are generated from petrochemicals which are by-products of crude oil and natural gas. A significant majority of these products are sourced from North American manufacturers. The majority of paper products are produced by North American paper manufacturers which are derived from the North American pulp and paper industry. Raw materials accounted for approximately 65% of reported cost of sales in 2015, and 67% for both 2014 and 2013.

The major raw material used in the Company's film products is polyethylene resin. Polyethylene is a derivative of natural gas petrochemical by-products and/or crude oil.

The major raw materials used to produce the Company's woven coated fabrics are polyethylene and polypropylene resins. Both of these products are petrochemical based products derived from crude oil and/or natural gas. These products are predominantly sourced from North American petrochemical manufacturers.

During 2015, selling prices (including the impact of product mix) declined less than raw material costs, which also declined on average. During 2015, resin-based raw material costs decreased by approximately 20%, adhesives decreased by approximately 12%, and paper costs were comparable.

The prices of most of the major raw materials noted above can be subject to significant volatility, primarily influenced by commodity price fluctuations for crude oil and natural gas.

(5) Research and Development and New Products

The Company's strategy is to create growth opportunities through enhancements of existing products and the introduction of new products. The Company's research and development efforts continue to focus on new products, technology platform developments, new product processes and formulations. As described in the sections that follow, the Company introduced 42 new products in 2015, and 38 new products in 2014 and 2013.

In 2013, IPG expanded its adhesive transfer tapes product line to include narrower widths, longer rolls, three adhesive thicknesses and a new ATG tape dispenser. Four masking products were launched into the automotive refurbishing, marine, and architectural painting markets. Five double-coated products were released into a variety of splicing and bonding markets.

In 2013, the Company expanded its stretch film product line to include smaller sized bundle wrap designed as convenient solutions for many home, office, workshop, yard and school applications.

In 2013, IPG introduced four new carton sealing tape (CST) products. Specifically, two, hot melt, pressure-sensitive adhesive (HMPSA) products targeted for sealing cartons with a high, recycled content and two water-activated tape (WAT) products. During 2014 the Company expanded its line of carton closure solutions with the addition of Ripcord , a knife free solution to open packages and RG317, a filament tape for L-clip box closure applications.

A new stainless steel uniform semi-automatic case sealer was added to IPG s line of Interpack complementary packaging systems in 2013. Targeting food processing facilities, the USC 2020-SB SS is available in food grade 302-304 stainless steel and NEMA 4 electrics, making it ideal for non-caustic wash down applications. In 2014 the Company launched its new Auto H2O uniform semi-automatic water-activated case sealer. The Auto H2O case sealer s patented technology provides a reliable and low maintenance automatic sealing system for reinforced water-activated tape to seal corrugated containers.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

In 2014, the Company expanded its offering of specialty tape products with the introduction of AC778, a metalized BOPP tape and ALF301, an aluminum foil tape with superior UV, chemical and temperature resistant properties.

In 2014, the Company introduced ExlfilmPlus® GPL, the Company's newest performance shrink film. This film is a cost savings alternative to standard, heavier gauge films. The premium resin formulation exhibits exceptional machinability and high speed processing capabilities.

In 2015, the Company continued to focus significant R&D resources on the transition of duct and masking tape products from the old Columbia, South Carolina facility to the new Blythewood, South Carolina facility. This transition involved significant product modifications with the most notable being producing products with environmentally-friendly solventless technology in the new facility.

In 2015, the Company expanded its product offering to include a range of masking tapes designed for multiple surfaces as well as technically demanding applications. During 2015, the Company also introduced a direct printable hot melt carton sealing tape, which is key product for the fulfillment industry.

In 2015, the Company bolstered its Protective Packaging offering with product additions in both its air pillows and tandem bagging product lines.

The Company's research expenses in 2015, 2014, and 2013 totaled \$9.5 million, \$7.9 million, and \$6.9 million, respectively.

(6) Trademarks and Patents

The Company markets its tape products under the trademarks Intertape, Central®, Crowell®, American®, TaraTape & Design®, and TARA TAPE® and various private labels. The Company's shrink wrap is sold under the registered trademark ExlfilmPlus® and Exlfilm®. Its stretch films are sold under the trademark SuperFlex® and StretchFlex®.

The Company markets its open mouth bags under the registered trademark NovaPac®. Other key engineered coated products, including polyolefin fabrics are sold under the registered trademarks NovaThene®, NovaShield®, NovaSeal®, NovaWrap, and NovaFlash®.

The Company has approximately 157 active registered trademarks, 85 in the United States, 30 in Canada, 9 in Mexico, and 33 foreign, which include trademarks acquired from American Tape, Anchor, Rexford Paper Company, Central Products Company, The Crowell Corporation, Flexia, Better Packages, TaraTape & Design®, and TARA TAPE®. The Company currently has 16 pending trademark applications in the United States, and 1 in foreign jurisdictions.

The Company has pursued US and foreign patents in select areas where it believes that unique products offer a competitive advantage in profitable markets. The Company's 87 granted patents and 40 pending patent applications include engineered coated products and film for which the Company has 19 patents and 4 pending applications, tape products for which it has 35 patents and 23 pending applications, adhesive products and manufacture for which it has 24 patents and 5 pending applications, other products for which it has 9 patents and 8 pending applications.

(7) Competition

The Company competes with other manufacturers of plastic packaging and pressure-sensitive adhesive products as well as manufacturers of alternative packaging products, such as paper, cardboard and paper-plastic combinations. Some of these competitors are larger companies with greater financial resources than the Company. Management believes that competition, while primarily based on price and quality, is also based on other factors, including product performance characteristics and service. Please refer to Section B(1) above for a discussion of the Company's main competitors by product.

The Company believes that significant barriers to entry exist in its addressable market. Management considers the principal barriers to be the high cost of vertical integration which it believes is necessary to operate competitively, the technical expertise in respect to various processes and equipment operation, and the difficulties and expense of developing an adequate distribution network.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

(8) Environmental Initiatives and Regulation

(a) Initiatives

The Company has and continues to be focused on reducing waste and minimizing any environmental impact throughout its manufacturing process, or footprint left behind by the line of products manufactured and marketed by the Company. Lili® represents one aspect of the Company's environmental stewardship program and stands for low-environmental impact line from IPG. The stewardship program is a commitment by management and employees of the Company to continually look for opportunities to lower the Company's environmental impact. The Company has implemented and continues to implement activities, changes and programs that are designed to reduce waste in the manufacturing process; reduce the footprint left behind by its products, processes and employees; increase the recycling of its products; provide alternative solutions to less environmentally friendly products or applications; reduce consumption of raw materials, fuel and other energy sources; reduce pollutants released through air, water and waste; and improve the safety and health of employees.

The Company continues to focus on its environmental initiative to save energy. In August 2009, the Company became an ENERGY STAR® Industrial Partner, which is a voluntary partnership with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to improve energy efficiency and fight global warming. Intertape Polymer Group (IPG®) as an ENERGY STAR® Industrial Partner joined the fight against global warming by improving the efficiency of its buildings and facilities. The EPA recognized IPG as a 2014 and 2015 ENERGY STAR Partner of the Year for strategically managing and improving the energy efficiency in its operating locations. In addition, several IPG facilities have met the EPA's ENERGY STAR Challenge for Industry, which is to reduce energy intensity by 10% within 5 years. IPG facilities that have met the EPA's ENERGY STAR Challenge for Industry have achieved an average energy intensity reduction of 24%. The reductions have cut greenhouse gas emissions at these IPG's plants by 36,000 metric tons over the past 5 years, which equals the emissions from the electricity use of 5,000 homes.

The transition of manufacturing operations from IPG's Columbia, South Carolina facility to IPG's new Blythewood, South Carolina facility has further enhanced IPG's environmental stewardship. The Blythewood plant uses non-solvent technologies that do not utilize volatile organic compounds in the manufacturing process and do not generate hazardous waste. Additionally, the transition of manufacturing operations to the Blythewood plant has resulted in increased manufacturing efficiencies.

(b) Regulation

The Company's operations are subject to extensive environmental regulation in each of the countries in which it maintains facilities. For example, United States (federal, state and local) and Canadian (federal, provincial and municipal) environmental laws applicable to the Company include statutes and regulations intended to: (i) impose certain obligations with respect to site contamination and to allocate the cost of investigating, monitoring and remedying soil and groundwater contamination among specifically identified parties; (ii) prevent future soil and groundwater contamination; (iii) impose national ambient standards and, in some cases, emission standards, for air pollutants which present a risk to public health, welfare or the natural environment; (iv) govern the handling, management, treatment, storage and disposal of hazardous wastes and substances; and (v) regulate the discharge of pollutants into waterways.

The Company's use of hazardous substances in its manufacturing processes and the generation of hazardous wastes not only by the Company, but by prior occupants of its facilities, suggest that hazardous substances may be present at or near certain of the Company's facilities or may come to be located there in the future. Consequently, the Company is required to monitor closely its compliance under all the various environmental laws and regulations applicable to the Company. In addition, the Company arranges for the off-site disposal of hazardous substances generated in the ordinary course of its business.

The Company obtains Phase I or similar environmental site assessments, and Phase II environmental site assessments, if necessary, for most of the manufacturing facilities it owns or leases at the time the Company either acquires or leases such facilities. These assessments typically include general inspections and may involve soil sampling and/or ground water analysis. The assessments have not revealed any environmental liability other than, or in addition to, the \$2.5 million liability accrued in provisions in the Company's consolidated balance sheet. Nevertheless, these assessments may not reveal all potential environmental liabilities and current assessments are not available for all facilities. Consequently, there may be material environmental liabilities that the Company is not aware of. In addition, ongoing clean up and containment operations may not be adequate for purposes of future laws and regulations. The conditions of the Company's properties could also be affected in the future by neighboring operations or the conditions of the land in the vicinity of the Company's properties. These developments and others, such as increasingly stringent environmental laws and regulations, increasingly strict enforcement of environmental laws and regulations, or claims for damage to property or injury to persons resulting from the environmental, health or safety impact of the Company's operations, may cause it to incur significant costs and liabilities that could have a material adverse effect on the Company.

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements**

The Company believes that all of its facilities are in material compliance with applicable environmental laws and regulations, and that the Company has obtained, and is in material compliance with, all material permits required under environmental laws and regulations.

The new Blythewood plant uses low environmental impact technologies. After the recent closure of the Columbia, South Carolina Plant, its production was relocated to this new plant and other existing Company plants. The reduced environmental impacts from Blythewood plant operations minimize applicability of environmental laws and permit requirements. Blythewood operations only require a minor EPA air emission permit and the facility is not classified as a large quantity generator of hazardous waste as opposed to the previous Columbia plant. The transition of manufacturing operations from the Columbia plant to the Blythewood plant has significantly reduced carbon emissions and hazardous air pollutants that require EPA reporting and significantly reduced carbon emissions.

In addition, although certain of the Company's facilities emit regulated pollutants into the air, the emissions are within current permitted limits, including applicable Maximum Achievable Control Technology (MACT) requirements.

The Company and its operating subsidiaries are required to maintain numerous environmental permits and governmental approvals for their operations. Some of the environmental permits and governmental approvals that have been issued to the Company or its operating subsidiaries contain conditions and restrictions, including restrictions or limits on emissions and discharges of pollutants and contaminants, or may have limited terms. If the Company or any of its operating subsidiaries fails to satisfy these conditions or to comply with these restrictions, it may become subject to enforcement actions and the operation of the relevant facilities could be adversely affected. The Company may also be subject to fines, penalties or additional costs. The Company or its operating subsidiaries may not be able to renew, maintain or obtain all environmental permits and governmental approvals required for the continued operation or further development of its facilities, as a result of which the operation of its facilities may be limited or suspended.

C. ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE

Intertape Polymer Group Inc. is a holding company which owns various operating companies in the United States, Canada and internationally. Intertape Polymer Inc., a Canadian corporation, is the principal operating company for the Company's Canadian operations. Intertape Polymer Corp., a Delaware corporation, is the principal operating company for the Company's United States operations.

The table below lists for each of the subsidiaries of the Company their respective place of incorporation or constitution, as the case may be, and the percentage of voting securities beneficially owned or over which control or direction is exercised directly or indirectly by Intertape Polymer Group Inc.

Corporation	Place of Incorporation or Constitution	Percentage of Ownership or Control
Intertape Polymer Group Inc.	Canada	Parent
Intertape Polymer Inc.	Canada	100%
Spuntech Fabrics Inc.*	Canada	100%
Intertape Polymer Corp.	Delaware	100%

Edgar Filing: INTERTAPE POLYMER GROUP INC - Form 20-F

Intertape Woven Products Services, S.A. de C.V.	Mexico	100%
Intertape Woven Products, S.A. de C.V.	Mexico	100%
IPG Luxembourg Finance S.à r.l	Luxembourg	100%
IPG (US) Inc.	Delaware	100%
IPG (US) Holdings Inc.	Delaware	100%
BP Acquisition Corporation	Connecticut	100%
Better Packages, Inc.	Delaware	100%
RJM Manufacturing, Inc. (d/b/a TaraTape)	Delaware	100%
Fibope Portuguesa-Filmes Biorientados S.A.	Portugal	100%
Intertape Polymer Europe GmbH	Germany	100%

* Dormant

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements****D. PROPERTY, PLANTS AND EQUIPMENT**

Location	Status	Use	Products	Square Feet	Property Size (Acres)
100 Paramount Dr, Suite 300 Sarasota, FL 34232	Leased	Office	N/A	31,942	
2000 South Beltline Boulevard Columbia, SC 29201	Owned	Idle		7 Buildings 499,770	86.48
1091 Carolina Pines Dr. Blythewood, SC 29016	Owned	Manufacturing	Tapes (paper duct)	350,000	33.83
360 Ringgold Industrial Pkwy. Danville, VA 24540	Leased	Regional Distribution Center	All products	199,600	
10101 Nordel Court Delta, British Columbia	Leased	Manufacturing	ECPs	54,274	
V4G 1J8 317 Kendall Street ⁽²⁾ Marysville, Michigan 48040	Owned	Manufacturing	Tapes (paper reinforced)	5 Buildings 226,016	11.53
741 4 th Street Menasha, Wisconsin 54952	Owned	Manufacturing	Tapes (water activated)	165,134	5.91
748 Sheboygan Street Menasha, Wisconsin 54953	Owned	Office Building	N/A	16,251	Incl above
760 West 1000 North Tremonton, Utah 84337	Owned	Manufacturing	Exlfilm®, Stretchflex®	115,000	17.00
50 Abbey Avenue	Owned	Manufacturing	ECPs	306,200	13.00

Edgar Filing: INTERTAPE POLYMER GROUP INC - Form 20-F

Truro, Nova Scotia						
543 Willow Street	Leased	Warehouse			27,000	
Truro, Nova Scotia						
9942 Currie Davis Dr., Ste 23B	Leased	Manufacturing	Tape dispensing machinery		17,000	
Tampa, Florida 33619						
2200 North McRoy Drive	Owned	Manufacturing	Tapes electrical, filament, specialty		190,324	29.9
Carbondale, Illinois 62901						
1095 S. 4 th Avenue	Leased	Manufacturing	Film	Manufacturing & Office	252,940	
Brighton, Colorado 80601						
1101 Eagle Springs Road	Owned	Manufacturing	Carton sealing tape, Stretchflex®, acrylic coating	Warehouse	21,450	26.0
Danville, Virginia 24540						
341 Bullys Street	Leased	Warehouse	FIBCs		20,000	
Eagle Pass, Texas 78852						
4-6 Hershey Dr	Leased	Manufacturing	Tape dispensing machinery		27,600	
Ansonia, CT						
250 Canal Rd	Leased	Manufacturing	Tapes- filaments		88,326	
Fairless Hills, PA						
772 Specialists Avenue	Leased	Distribution	Tapes water activated		75,000	
Neenah, Wisconsin 54956						
1536 Cty Rd O	Leased	Distribution	Tapes water activated		114,650	
Neenah, Wisconsin 54957						
		(Replacement for 772 Specialists Ave)				
1407 The Boulevard, Suite E	Leased	Offices	N/A		1,472	
Rayne, Louisiana 70578						
4061 E. Francis Street	Leased		Tapes		50,000	

Edgar Filing: INTERTAPE POLYMER GROUP INC - Form 20-F

Ontario, California 91761		Warehouse and Distribution	Packaging products		
9999 Cavendish Blvd., Suite 200	Leased	Offices	N/A	8,500	
St. Laurent, Quebec H4M 2X5					
Gronfahrtweg 3 24955 Harrislee	Leased	Office	N/A	560	5.4
Germany					
Lugar de Vilares-Barqueiros 4740-676 Barqueiros BCL	Owned	Manufacturing and Distribution	Exlfilm®	35,500	
Barcelos, Portugal					
20 Rue de Peupliers L-2328 Luxembourg	Leased	Office	N/A	108	
Grand Duchy of Luxembourg					

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

The Company also owns inventory that is temporarily located at facilities owned by various third-party logistics service providers. As these facilities are not owned or leased by the Company, they have been excluded from the summary table above.

The Company continued to move forward in 2015 on several of its initiatives to improve productivity, increase capacity, and manufacture new products. Capital expenditures for the replacement of machinery and equipment during 2013, 2014, and 2015 totaled \$46.8 million, \$40.6 million and \$34.3 million, respectively. The Company typically relies upon cash flows from operations and funds available under the Revolving Credit Facility to fund capital expenditures. In 2013 and 2014, capital expenditures were also financed in part by an Equipment Finance Agreement, the terms of which are summarized in Item 4.B. above.

The Company has relocated and shut down permanently its Columbia, South Carolina manufacturing facility. In June 2013, the Company acquired property in Blythewood, South Carolina financed by an \$8.5 million mortgage with Wells Fargo National Association (in November 2014, the Company prepaid this loan in full with proceeds from the Revolving Credit Facility). As of December 31, 2015, the Company had completed commissioning efforts in relation to the duct tape production line and began limited production and sales of masking tape in Blythewood, South Carolina with the full transfer of masking tape production still expected to be completed in the first half of 2016. Capital expenditures for this project are expected to total approximately \$60 million, of which \$2.7 million was spent in 2012, \$21.8 million in 2013, \$24.3 million in 2014, and \$7.9 million in 2015. The Company anticipates that the new South Carolina facility will result in a total annual cash savings of \$13.0 million with the first full year effect in 2017.

Item 4A: Unresolved Staff Comments

Not Applicable.

Item 5: Operating and Financial Review and Prospects (Management's Discussion & Analysis)

This Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) is intended to provide the reader with a better understanding of the business, strategy and performance of Intertape Polymer Group Inc. (the Company), as well as how it manages certain risks and capital resources. This MD&A, which has been prepared as of March 9, 2016, should be read in conjunction with the Company's audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto as of December 31, 2015 and 2014 and for the three-year period ended December 31, 2015 (Financial Statements).

For the purposes of preparing this MD&A, the Company considers the materiality of information. Information is considered material if the Company believes at the time of preparing this MD&A: (i) such information results in, or would reasonably be expected to result in, a significant change in the market price or value of the common shares of the Company; (ii) there is a substantial likelihood that a reasonable investor would consider it important in making an investment decision; and/or (iii) it would significantly alter the total mix of information available to investors. The Company evaluates materiality with reference to all relevant circumstances, including potential market sensitivity.

Except where otherwise indicated, all financial information presented in this MD&A, including tabular amounts, is prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board (IFRS or GAAP) and is expressed in US dollars. Variance, ratio and percentage changes in this

MD&A are based on unrounded numbers.

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements****Financial Highlights**

(In millions of US dollars, except per share amounts, selected ratios, and trading volume information)

(Unaudited)

	2015	2014	2013
	\$	\$	\$
Operations			
Revenue	781.9	812.7	781.5
Gross margin ⁽¹⁾	21.5%	20.1%	20.3%
Net earnings	56.7	35.8	67.4
Adjusted net earnings ⁽²⁾	58.6	52.4	103.4
Adjusted EBITDA ⁽²⁾	102.0	103.9	103.1
Cash flows from operating activities	102.3	86.9	82.2
Free cash flows ⁽²⁾	68.0	46.3	35.3
Capital expenditures ⁽³⁾	34.3	40.6	46.8
Effective Tax Rate ⁽⁴⁾	16.2%	39.0%	-113.5%
Per Common Share			
Net earnings - diluted	0.93	0.58	1.09
Adjusted net earnings - diluted ⁽²⁾	0.96	0.84	1.68
Dividend paid per share	0.50	0.40	0.24
Financial Position			
Working capital ⁽⁵⁾	130.5	128.2	115.0
Total assets	487.3	466.7	465.2
Net debt ⁽⁶⁾	135.2	114.9	127.3
Shareholders' equity	216.7	227.5	230.4
Cash and loan availability ⁽⁷⁾	182.3	206.2	50.3
Selected Ratios			
Current Ratio ⁽⁸⁾	2.45	2.50	2.32
Leverage Ratio ^{(2) (9)}	1.50	1.19	1.26
Return on equity ⁽¹⁰⁾	26.1%	15.7%	29.2%

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements****Stock Information**

Weighted average shares outstanding - diluted ⁽¹¹⁾	61,111	62,061	61,633
Shares outstanding as of December 31 ⁽¹¹⁾	58,668	60,436	60,777

The Toronto Stock Exchange (CDN\$)

Share price as of December 31	18.69	18.61	14.03
High: 52 weeks	20.51	19.95	15.62
Low: 52 weeks	13.67	11.12	7.96

- (1) Gross profit divided by revenue
- (2) These are non-GAAP measures defined below and accompanied by the reconciliation to the closest GAAP measure
- (3) Purchases of property, plant and equipment
- (4) Refer to Note 5 *Income Taxes* to the Company's Financial Statements
- (5) Current assets less current liabilities
- (6) Long-term debt plus installments on long-term debt less cash
- (7) Refer to Note 13 *Long-Term Debt* to the Company's Financial Statements
- (8) Current assets divided by current liabilities
- (9) Long-term debt plus installments on long-term debt divided by adjusted EBITDA
- (10) Net earnings divided by end of period shareholders' equity
- (11) In thousands

2015 Share Prices

	High	Low	Close	ADV ⁽¹⁾
The Toronto Stock Exchange (CDN\$)				
Q1	20.51	16.74	17.53	218,247
Q2	20.31	16.21	18.72	136,468
Q3	20.21	13.67	14.27	252,331
Q4	19.01	13.96	18.69	221,181

- (1) Represents average daily volume sourced from the Toronto Stock Exchange.

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements****Consolidated Quarterly Statements of Earnings**

(In thousands of US dollars, except share and per share amounts)

(Unaudited)

	2015	1st Quarter	2013	2015	2nd Quarter	2013
	\$	2014	\$	\$	2014	\$
		\$			\$	
Revenue	189,009	199,948	196,695	196,586	202,925	193,462
Cost of sales	151,994	157,250	158,389	154,178	158,875	151,202
Gross profit	37,015	42,698	38,306	42,408	44,050	42,260
Gross margin	19.6%	21.4%	19.5%	21.6%	21.7%	21.8%
Selling, general and administrative expenses	18,127	18,980	22,959	22,253	20,561	20,208
Research expenses	2,066	2,074	1,602	2,141	1,667	1,589
	20,193	21,054	24,561	24,394	22,228	21,797
Operating profit before manufacturing facility closures, restructuring and other related charges	16,822	21,644	13,745	18,014	21,822	20,463
Manufacturing facility closures, restructuring and other related charges	660	1,384	27,201	142	1,020	924
Operating profit (loss)	16,162	20,260	(13,456)	17,872	20,802	19,539
Finance costs						

Edgar Filing: INTERTAPE POLYMER GROUP INC - Form 20-F

Interest	616	831	1,753	982	864	1,846
Other expense (income), net	(641)	352	160	395	370	437
	(25)	1,183	1,913	1,377	1,234	2,283
Earnings (loss) before income tax expense (benefit)	16,187	19,077	(15,369)	16,495	19,568	17,256
Income tax expense (benefit)						
Current	1,063	457	751	1,249	1,062	1,909
Deferred	3,346	6,986	(312)	3,498	6,392	226
	4,409	7,443	439	4,747	7,454	2,135
Net earnings (loss)	11,778	11,634	(15,808)	11,748	12,114	15,121
Earnings (loss) per share						
Basic	0.19	0.19	(0.26)	0.20	0.20	0.25
Diluted	0.19	0.19	(0.26)	0.19	0.19	0.25
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding						
Basic	60,471,031	60,776,649	59,692,751	59,727,825	60,825,745	60,288,991
Diluted	62,198,126	62,019,844	59,692,751	61,739,717	62,569,430	61,584,732

Table of ContentsIndex to Financial Statements**Consolidated Quarterly Statements of Earnings**

(In thousands of US dollars, except share and per share amounts)

(Unaudited)

	2015	3rd Quarter 2014	2013	2015	4th Quarter 2014	2013
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Revenue	200,635	209,109	199,853	195,677	200,750	191,490
Cost of sales	157,838	168,447	159,872	149,885	164,527	153,543
Gross profit	42,797	40,662	39,981	45,792	36,223	37,947
Gross margin	21.3%	19.4%	20.0%	23.4%	18.0%	19.8%
Selling, general and administrative expenses	17,927	23,153	20,547	25,765	23,261	18,968
Research expenses	2,499	1,778	1,701	2,753	2,354	2,008
	20,426	24,931	22,248	28,518	25,615	20,976
Operating profit before manufacturing facility closures, restructuring and other related charges	22,371	15,731	17,733	17,274	10,608	16,971
Manufacturing facility closures, restructuring and other related charges	181	1,560	934	2,683	963	1,647
Operating profit	22,190	14,171	16,799	14,591	9,645	15,324

Edgar Filing: INTERTAPE POLYMER GROUP INC - Form 20-F

Finance costs						
Interest	919	867	1,261	1,036	2,069	847
Other expense (income), net	(651)	426	190	504	380	159
	268	1,293	1,451	1,540	2,449	1,006
Earnings before income tax expense (benefit)						
	21,922	12,878	15,348	13,051	7,196	14,318
Income tax expense (benefit)						
Current	3,281	2,914	729	2,592	(768)	233
Deferred	2,987	3,953	200	(7,033)	1,907	(39,540)
	6,268	6,867	929	(4,441)	1,139	(39,307)
Net earnings	15,654	6,011	14,419	17,492	6,057	53,625
Earnings per share						
Basic	0.26	0.10	0.24	0.30	0.10	0.88
Diluted	0.26	0.10	0.23	0.29	0.10	0.86
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding						
Basic	59,785,871	60,790,184	60,731,173	58,802,897	60,427,043	60,776,649
Diluted	60,879,777	62,457,931	62,072,583	60,316,201	62,307,696	62,170,733

Overview

Intertape Polymer Group Inc. operates in the specialty packaging industry in North America. The Company develops, manufactures and sells a variety of paper and film based pressure sensitive and water-activated tapes, polyethylene and specialized polyolefin packaging films, woven coated fabrics and complementary packaging systems for industrial and retail use. The Company's products primarily consist of: carton sealing tapes, including pressure sensitive and water-activated tapes; industrial and performance specialty tapes, including masking, duct, electrical and reinforced filament tapes; shrink film; stretch wrap; lumberwrap, structure fabrics and geomembrane fabrics; and non-manufactured flexible intermediate bulk containers.

The Company reported a 3.8% decrease in revenue for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2014 and a 2.5% decrease in revenue for the fourth quarter of 2015 as compared to the fourth quarter of 2014. The decrease in both periods was primarily due to a decrease in average selling price, including the impact of product mix, partially offset by additional revenue from the Better Packages and TaraTape acquisitions. Both periods in 2015 were impacted negatively by an estimated \$9 million of lost sales due to the South Carolina Flood (defined later in this document).

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Gross margin increased to 21.5% in the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to 20.1% in 2014 primarily due to an increase in the spread between selling prices and raw material costs and the favourable impact of the Company's manufacturing cost reduction programs, partially offset by an unfavourable product mix, an increase in manufacturing inefficiencies mainly related to the South Carolina Project and the decision to change manufacturing locations of certain products to meet customers' demand.

Gross margin increased to 23.4% in the fourth quarter of 2015 as compared to 18.0% in the fourth quarter of 2014 primarily due to an increase in the spread between selling prices and lower raw material costs, a significant improvement in manufacturing performance, the reversal of a 2010 impairment for manufacturing equipment, and the favourable impact of the Company's manufacturing cost reduction programs, partially offset by an unfavourable product mix, the decision to change manufacturing locations of certain products to meet customers' demand and the impact of the South Carolina Flood.

Net earnings for the year ended December 31, 2015 increased to \$56.7 million (\$0.95 basic earnings per share and \$0.93 diluted earnings per share) from \$35.8 million (\$0.59 basic earnings per share and \$0.58 diluted earnings per share) for the same period in 2014. The increase was primarily due to a decrease in income tax expense and finance costs, and an increase in gross profit.

Net earnings for the fourth quarter of 2015 increased to \$17.5 million (\$0.30 basic earnings per share and \$0.29 diluted earnings per share) from \$6.1 million (\$0.10 basic and diluted earnings per share) for the fourth quarter of 2014. The increase was primarily due to an increase in gross profit and a decrease in income tax expense, partially offset by an increase in selling, general and administrative expenses (SG&A) related to an increase in variable compensation expense, and an increase in manufacturing facility closures, restructuring and other related charges primarily associated with the South Carolina Flood.

Adjusted net earnings (a non-GAAP financial measure as defined and reconciled later in this document) for the year ended December 31, 2015 increased to \$58.6 million (\$0.98 basic adjusted earnings per share and \$0.96 diluted adjusted earnings per share) from \$52.4 million (\$0.86 basic adjusted earnings per share and \$0.84 diluted adjusted earnings per share) for the same period in 2014. Adjusted net earnings increased primarily due to decreases in income tax expense, finance costs and variable compensation expenses, partially offset by a decrease in gross profit, an increase in certain other SG&A expenses, and an increase in research expenses primarily associated with the South Carolina Project (defined later in this document).

Adjusted net earnings for the fourth quarter of 2015 increased to \$18.9 million (\$0.32 basic adjusted earnings per share and \$0.31 diluted adjusted earnings per share) from \$11.9 million (\$0.20 basic adjusted earnings per share and \$0.19 diluted adjusted earnings per share) for the fourth quarter of 2014. Adjusted net earnings increased primarily due to a decrease in income tax and an increase in gross profit, partially offset by an increase in variable compensation expenses.

Adjusted EBITDA (a non-GAAP financial measure as defined and reconciled later in this document) decreased \$1.9 million to \$102.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 from \$103.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, primarily due to the unfavourable impact of foreign exchange due to the strengthening of the US dollar compared to the Canadian dollar and Euro (FX impact), an increase in certain SG&A expenses, and an increase in research expenses primarily associated with the South Carolina Project, partially offset by a decrease in variable compensation expenses.

Adjusted EBITDA increased \$3.9 million to \$24.6 million for the fourth quarter of 2015 from \$20.6 million for the fourth quarter of 2014. The increase in adjusted EBITDA was primarily due to an increase in gross profit, partially offset by an increase in variable compensation expense.

For the three months and year ended December 31, 2015, the Company repurchased 366,600 and 2,487,188 common shares under its normal course issuer bids (NCIBs) for a total purchase price of \$4.0 million and \$30.0 million, respectively. As of March 9, 2016, the Company repurchased 147,200 shares under the NCIB in 2016 for a total purchase price of \$1.7 million.

On August 12, 2015, the Board of Directors amended the Company's quarterly dividend policy to increase the annualized dividend from \$0.48 to \$0.52 per share. The Board's decision to increase the dividend was based on the Company's strong financial position and positive outlook. The declaration and payment of future dividends, however, are discretionary and will be subject to determination by the Board of Directors each quarter following its review of, among other considerations, the Company's financial performance and the Company's legal ability to pay dividends. Since the dividend policy was reinstated in August 2012, the Company has paid \$73.3 million in cumulative dividends, of which \$29.7 million was paid in 2015.

On March 9, 2016, the Board of Directors declared a dividend of \$0.13 per common share payable on March 21, 2016 to shareholders of record at the close of business on March 31, 2016.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Columbia, South Carolina Flood Update

On October 4, 2015, the Columbia, South Carolina manufacturing facility was damaged by significant rainfall and subsequent severe flooding (South Carolina Flood). The damages sustained were considerable and resulted in the facility being shut down permanently. The Company had planned to shut down this facility by the end of the second quarter of 2016 so this represents a timeline of eight to nine months earlier. Production of duct tape at the new Blythewood, South Carolina facility was not affected by the flooding and was shut down for only two days in order to ensure it was safe for employees to return to work.

The Company, along with its insurers and advisors, continues to assess the damage. The Company believes that it has sufficient property and business interruption insurance coverage, and expects that the losses exceeding the \$0.5 million deductible will be substantially covered by those insurance policies.

The shut down of the Columbia, South Carolina facility has impacted the production of several masking tape and stencil products that represented approximately \$55 million of total annualized sales. The Company has since taken all reasonable measures to ensure that customers' needs are met.

Production of masking tape has been relocated to the Company's other facilities such as the Marysville, Michigan and Blythewood, South Carolina facilities. Any masking tape production temporarily moved to the Marysville, Michigan facility will subsequently be transferred to the Blythewood, South Carolina facility. The transfer of masking tape production to the new Blythewood, South Carolina facility represents an acceleration of the previously announced schedule of the end of the second quarter of 2016. In the fourth quarter of 2015, the Company began commercial production of certain masking tape products in the Blythewood, South Carolina facility but production levels are still not at intended target levels. In addition, the Company is experiencing higher costs of production and transportation in the masking tape production that was moved to the Marysville, Michigan facility. The Company expects that these operating inefficiencies will continue until the end of 2016 as current production levels in the Blythewood, South Carolina facility improve to targeted levels and masking tape products are moved from the Marysville, Michigan facility.

Production of stencil products is in the process of being relocated to other manufacturing facilities. Due mainly to the lead time required to receive and commission new equipment for stencil production, the Company expects that production will resume at some point in the second half of 2016. The Company has been mitigating this delay with its customers via outsourcing arrangements.

In the fourth quarter of 2015, the Company recorded \$6.5 million of manufacturing facility closures, restructuring and other related charges related to the Columbia, South Carolina facility damage to real and personal property as well as subsequent clean-up and idle facility costs. Partially offsetting the charges within the same caption were \$5.0 million in initial insurance settlement claim proceeds received in 2015.

The Company estimates that its results for both the year and fourth quarter of 2015 were negatively impacted by the South Carolina Flood by the following amounts: approximately \$9 million of lost sales of masking tape and stencil products, and reductions in gross profit and adjusted EBITDA of approximately \$3 million. In addition to the impact of lost sales, the negative impact to gross profit and adjusted EBITDA is due to lost gross profit on lost sales as well as incremental costs from alternative product sourcing, partially offset by the reduction in South Carolina Duplicate Overhead Costs following the unexpected permanent shut down of the Columbia, South Carolina manufacturing facility.

Going forward, the Company expects, but is currently unable to provide a reliable estimate for the amount and timing of, future amounts related to: insurance recoveries, business interruption losses (including, but not limited to, lost sales and temporary alternative sourcing of the Company's products), site clean-up and environmental remediation costs, and professional fee costs related to the insurance claim process.

South Carolina Project Update

The South Carolina Project refers to the previously announced relocation and modernization of the Company's Columbia, South Carolina manufacturing operation. This project involves moving the Company's duct tape and masking tape production to a new state-of-the-art facility in Blythewood, South Carolina as well as moving flatback tape production to the Company's existing facility in Marysville, Michigan. South Carolina Duplicate Overhead Costs refers to temporary operating cost increases related to operating both plants in South Carolina simultaneously and performing planned actions to mitigate risk associated with new technology, including state-of-the-art equipment, to support the South Carolina Project. South Carolina Commissioning Revenue Reduction refers to the sales attributed to the commissioning efforts of the production lines that were accounted for as a reduction of revenue and a corresponding reduction of the cost of the South Carolina Project. In addition, unless otherwise noted, the impact of the South Carolina Commissioning Revenue Reduction on gross profit and capital expenditures is not significant due to the requirement to offset this revenue with the associated cost of sales in the reclassification of the related gross profit as a reduction of the capital expenditures.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

As expected, the Blythewood, South Carolina facility's duct tape production efficiency continued to improve throughout the fourth quarter of 2015 and was close to reaching targeted performance levels in early 2016. In the second and third quarters of 2015, the Company recorded a \$10.5 million South Carolina Commissioning Revenue Reduction attributed to the duct tape commissioning efforts for the year ended December 31, 2015. There was no South Carolina Commissioning Revenue Reduction for duct tape product required in the fourth quarter of 2015 as the Company has determined that the commissioning efforts in relation to this production line are complete.

In the fourth quarter of 2015, the Company began limited production and sales of masking tape from the Blythewood, South Carolina facility, with the full transfer of masking tape production still expected to be completed in the first half of 2016. The Company now considers that the commercialization of the masking tape products being produced in the Blythewood, South Carolina facility is complete, and therefore must continue to focus on the ramp-up in production efficiencies as well as the transfer of certain masking tape production from the Marysville, Michigan facility. In the fourth quarter of 2015, the Company recorded a \$0.5 million South Carolina Commissioning Revenue Reduction attributed to masking tape commissioning efforts for the year ended December 31, 2015.

The production ramp-up inefficiencies related to the South Carolina Project, net of project savings and excluding South Carolina Duplicate Overhead Costs, resulted in a net negative impact of less than \$0.1 million, \$0.6 million and \$1.9 million on gross profit and adjusted EBITDA for the fourth quarter, third quarter and year ended December 31, 2015, respectively.

South Carolina Duplicate Overhead Costs included in gross profit were approximately nil, \$1.3 million and \$4.3 million for the fourth quarter, third quarter, and year ended December 31, 2015, respectively. The costs were nil in the fourth quarter of 2015 as a result of the unexpected permanent shut down of the Columbia, South Carolina manufacturing facility due to the South Carolina Flood discussed in the section above.

The impact of the South Carolina Project, including both components mentioned above, resulted in a net negative impact of less than \$0.1 million, \$1.9 million and \$6.2 million on gross profit and adjusted EBITDA for the fourth quarter, third quarter and year ended December 31, 2015, respectively. The impact to adjusted EBITDA excludes non-cash South Carolina Duplicate Overhead Costs of nil, nil and \$0.4 million for the fourth quarter, third quarter and year ended December 31, 2015, respectively.

The Company's expectation for cost savings from the South Carolina Project remains unchanged with impacts on gross profit and adjusted EBITDA as follows:

A significant net positive impact is expected in 2016 compared to 2015.

Management expects that all ramp-up inefficiencies will be resolved by the beginning of 2017, thereby resulting in the realization of the full extent of the expected \$13 million annual cost savings.

As of December 31, 2015, capital expenditures for the South Carolina Project since inception totalled \$56.8 million. South Carolina Project capital expenditures recorded were \$1.9 million and \$7.9 million for the fourth quarter and year ended December 31, 2015, respectively. Total capital expenditures for the South Carolina Project from inception to the completion of the project are expected to be approximately \$60 million, mainly as a result of additional

commissioning efforts.

Better Packages Acquisition

On April 7, 2015, the Company purchased 100% of the issued and outstanding common shares of BP Acquisition Corporation (which wholly-owns a subsidiary, Better Packages, Inc.) (Better Packages), a leading supplier of water-activated tape dispensers. The Company expects the Better Packages acquisition to further extend the Company's product offering and global presence in the rapidly growing e-commerce market. The Company paid a purchase price of \$15.9 million in cash. The Company expects that these acquired operations will generate annualized revenue of approximately \$18 million and EBITDA margin of over 15% in 2016.

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements**

The impact of the Better Packages acquisition on the Company's consolidated earnings was as follows (in thousands of US dollars, unaudited):

	Three months ended December 31, 2015 \$	April 7, 2015 through December 31, 2015 \$
Revenue	5,476	14,601
Net earnings	639	1,538

TaraTape Acquisition

On November 2, 2015, the Company purchased 100% of the issued and outstanding common shares of RJM Manufacturing, Inc. (doing business as TaraTape), a manufacturer of filament and pressure sensitive tapes. The Company expects the acquisition of TaraTape to strengthen the Company's market position and provide opportunities to realize synergies between \$2 and \$4 million in additional adjusted EBITDA by the end of 2017. The Company paid a purchase price of \$11.0 million. The Company expects that these acquired operations will generate annualized revenue of approximately \$20 million and EBITDA margin of slightly below 10% before any synergies in 2016.

The impact of the TaraTape acquisition on the Company's consolidated earnings was as follows (in thousands of US dollars, unaudited):

	November 2, 2015 through December 31, 2015 \$
Revenue	3,078
Net loss ⁽¹⁾	(161)

- ⁽¹⁾ The net loss resulting from the TaraTape acquisition includes a non-recurring \$0.4 million charge to mark inventory to fair value as required by accounting guidance for business acquisitions.

Outlook

The Company expects gross margin for 2016 to be between 22% and 24% and to reach the upper end of this range by the fourth quarter.

Adjusted EBITDA for 2016 is expected to be \$117 to \$123 million, excluding the impact of the South Carolina Flood. While South Carolina Flood costs and lost sales are expected to be substantially offset by insurance proceeds, the timing of the recovery of the insurance proceeds is uncertain.

Edgar Filing: INTERTAPE POLYMER GROUP INC - Form 20-F

Manufacturing cost reductions for 2016 are expected to be between \$8 and \$11 million, excluding any cost savings related to the South Carolina Project.

Total capital expenditures for 2016 are expected to be between \$55 and \$65 million.

The Company still expects a 25% to 30% effective tax rate for 2016. Cash taxes paid in 2016 are expected to be approximately half of the income tax expense in 2016.

Revenue in the first quarter of 2016 is expected to be similar to the first quarter of 2015.

Gross margin in the first quarter of 2016 is expected to be greater than the first quarter of 2015.

Adjusted EBITDA in the first quarter of 2016 is expected to be greater than the first quarter of 2015.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Results of Operations

Revenue

Revenue for the year ended December 31, 2015 totalled \$781.9 million, a \$30.8 million or 3.8% decrease from \$812.7 million for the same period in 2014 primarily due to:

A decrease in average selling price, including the impact of product mix, of approximately 6% or \$49.2 million due to:

an unfavourable product mix variance primarily in the Company's tape and woven product categories;

an unfavourable FX impact of approximately \$13.3 million; and

lower selling prices mainly driven by lower petroleum-based raw material costs.

The South Carolina Commissioning Revenue Reduction of \$11.0 million in 2015 (nil in 2014);
Partially offset by:

Additional revenue of \$17.7 million due to the Better Packages and TaraTape acquisitions; and

An increase in sales volume of approximately 1.4% or \$11.6 million primarily due to increased demand for the Company's tape and woven products. The Company believes that the increased sales volume was primarily due to:

growth in e-commerce fulfillment across the carton sealing tape product offerings; and

growth in the building and construction market;

Partially offset by:

a decrease in certain tape product sales due to the South Carolina Flood.

Embedded in the unfavourable product mix and the increase in sales volume is an estimate of approximately \$9 million of lost sales due to the impact of the South Carolina Flood.

Revenue for the year ended December 31, 2014 totalled \$812.7 million, a \$31.2 million or 4.0% increase from \$781.5 million for the same period in 2013 primarily due to:

An increase in average selling price, including the impact of product mix, of approximately 3% or \$23.3 million due to:

higher prices to manage the spread between selling prices and higher raw material costs; and

a favourable product mix across the Company's major product categories.

An increase in sales volume of approximately 1% or \$7.9 million primarily due to increased demand in certain woven and tape products.

The Company believes that the increase in woven product demand was primarily driven by:

an increased utilization of the Company's products within the agro-environmental market; and

growth within the building and construction market.

The increase in the Company's tape product demand was primarily driven by net growth in demand across both the industrial tape and carton sealing tape product offerings.

Revenue for the fourth quarter of 2015 totalled \$195.7 million, a \$5.1 million or 2.5% decrease from \$200.8 million for the fourth quarter of 2014 primarily due to:

A decrease in average selling price, including the impact of product mix, of approximately 6% or \$11.2 million primarily due to:

an unfavourable product mix in the Company's woven and tape product categories;

lower prices primarily driven by lower petroleum-based raw material costs;

an unfavourable FX impact of approximately \$2.8 million; and

A decrease in sales volume of approximately 1.0% or \$1.9 million due to a decrease in demand of certain tape products. The Company believes that the decreased sales volume was primarily due to:

Partially offset by: a decrease in certain tape product sales due to the South Carolina Flood;

Partially offset by: growth in e-commerce fulfillment across the carton sealing tape product offerings.

Additional revenue of \$8.6 million due to the Better Packages and TaraTape acquisitions. Embedded in the unfavourable product mix and the decrease in sales volume is an estimate of approximately \$9 million of lost sales due to the impact of the South Carolina Flood.

Revenue for the fourth quarter of 2015 totalled \$195.7 million, a \$5.0 million or 2.5% decrease from \$200.6 million for the third quarter of 2015 primarily due to:

A decrease in sales volume of approximately 3.8% or \$7.8 million primarily due to decreased demand for certain tape and woven products. The Company believes that the decreased sales volume was primarily due to:

a decrease in certain tape product sales due to the South Carolina Flood; and

Partially offset by: seasonality in demand for woven products;

growth in e-commerce fulfillment across the carton sealing tape product offerings.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

A decrease in average selling price, including the impact of product mix, of approximately 3% or \$6.0 million due to:

an unfavourable product mix variance primarily in the Company's tape products;

lower selling prices mainly driven by lower petroleum-based raw material costs.

Partially offset by:

A decrease in the South Carolina Commissioning Revenue Reduction of \$5.1 million; and

Additional revenue of \$3.8 million from the TaraTape and Better Packages acquisitions.

Embedded in the decrease in sales volume and the unfavourable product mix is an estimate of approximately \$9 million of lost sales due to the impact of the South Carolina Flood.

Gross Profit and Gross Margin

Gross profit totalled \$168.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, a \$4.4 million or 2.7% increase from \$163.6 million for the same period in 2014. Gross margin was 21.5% in 2015 and 20.1% in 2014.

Gross profit increased primarily due to an increase in the spread between selling prices and lower raw material costs, the favourable impact of the Company's manufacturing cost reduction programs and additional gross profit from the Better Packages acquisition. These favourable items were partially offset by an unfavourable product mix variance, an unfavourable FX impact and an increase in manufacturing inefficiencies mainly in relation to the South Carolina Project.

Gross margin increased primarily due to an increase in the spread between selling prices and raw material costs and the favourable impact of the Company's manufacturing cost reduction programs, partially offset by an unfavourable product mix, an increase in manufacturing inefficiencies mainly related to the South Carolina Project and the decision to change manufacturing locations of certain products to meet customers demand.

Gross profit totalled \$163.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, a \$5.1 million or 3.2% increase from \$158.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. Gross margin was 20.1% in 2014 and 20.3% in 2013.

Gross profit in 2014 compared to 2013 increased primarily due to an increase in the spread between selling prices and higher raw material costs, net manufacturing cost reductions and an increase in sales volume. The increase was partially offset by approximately \$3.5 million of South Carolina Duplicate Overhead Costs, of

which \$0.7 million are non-cash charges and did not impact adjusted EBITDA, a total of \$1.6 million related to the non-cash Brantford Pension Charge and an unfavourable product mix variance. The Brantford Pension Charge refers to a charge recorded in the third and fourth quarters of 2014 related to the settlement of the former Brantford, Ontario manufacturing facility pension plan.

Gross margin decreased in 2014 compared to 2013 primarily due to the South Carolina Duplicate Overhead Costs, an unfavourable product mix variance and the non-cash Brantford Pension Charge, partially offset by an increase in the spread between selling prices and higher raw material costs and net manufacturing cost reductions.

Gross profit totalled \$45.8 million for the fourth quarter of 2015, a \$9.6 million or 26.4% increase from \$36.2 million for the fourth quarter of 2014. Gross margin was 23.4% in the fourth quarter of 2015 and 18.0% in the fourth quarter of 2014.

Gross profit increased primarily due to an increase in spread between selling prices and lower raw material costs, a significant improvement in manufacturing performance, the reversal of a 2010 impairment for manufacturing equipment of \$2.7 million, the favourable impact of the Company's manufacturing cost reduction programs and additional gross profit from the Better Packages acquisition. These favourable items were partially offset by an unfavourable product mix variance, the decision to change manufacturing locations of certain products to meet customers' demand and the impact of the South Carolina Flood.

Gross margin increased primarily due to an increase in spread between selling prices and lower raw material costs, a significant improvement in manufacturing performance, the reversal of a 2010 impairment for manufacturing equipment of \$2.7 million, and the favourable impact of the Company's manufacturing cost reduction programs, partially offset by an unfavourable product mix, the decision to change manufacturing locations of certain products to meet customers' demand and the impact of the South Carolina Flood.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Gross profit totalled \$45.8 million for the fourth quarter of 2015, a \$3.0 million or 7.0% increase from \$42.8 million for the third quarter of 2015. Gross margin was 23.4% in the fourth quarter of 2015 and 21.3% in the third quarter of 2015.

Gross profit increased primarily due to an increase in manufacturing efficiencies including the operational improvements realized in the Blythewood, South Carolina facility, the reversal of a 2010 impairment for manufacturing equipment of \$2.7 million and an increase in spread between selling prices and lower raw material costs, partially offset by an unfavourable product mix, the impact of the South Carolina Flood and a decrease in sales volume.

Gross margin increased primarily due to an increase in manufacturing efficiencies including the operational improvements realized in the Blythewood, South Carolina facility, the reversal of a 2010 impairment for manufacturing equipment of \$2.7 million and an increase in the spread between selling prices and raw material costs, partially offset by an unfavourable product mix and the impact of the South Carolina Flood.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

SG&A totalled \$84.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, a \$1.9 million or 2.3% decrease from \$86.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. The decrease in SG&A was primarily due to a decrease in stock-based compensation mainly related to a reduction in stock appreciation rights (SARs) expense, a decrease in variable compensation expense due to lower expected annual payment amounts and a favourable FX impact. These decreases were partially offset by the Better Packages and TaraTape acquisitions and an increase in employee costs, including health related costs. The increase in employee costs was primarily to support the expected growth of the business.

SG&A for the year ended December 31, 2014 totalled \$86.0 million, a \$3.3 million or 4.0% increase from \$82.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. The increase in SG&A in 2014 compared to 2013 was primarily due to (i) an increase in stock compensation expense primarily due to new grants awarded during 2014, (ii) an increase in the expense associated with credit insurance coverage commencing for accounts receivable in the fourth quarter of 2013, (iii) the non-recurrence of a bad debt recovery recorded in 2013, and (iv) an increase in professional fees. The increase was partially offset by a decrease due to the non-recurrence of a provision with respect to the resolution of a contingent liability recorded in 2013.

As a percentage of revenue, SG&A expenses represented 10.8%, 10.6%, and 10.6% for 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

SG&A for the fourth quarter of 2015 totalled \$25.8 million, a \$2.5 million or 9.7% increase from \$23.3 million for the fourth quarter of 2014. The increase in SG&A was primarily due to an increase in variable compensation expense and the Better Packages and TaraTape acquisitions.

SG&A for the fourth quarter of 2015 increased \$7.8 million or 30.2% from \$17.9 million in the third quarter of 2015, primarily due to an increase in variable compensation expense and stock-based compensation mainly related to an increase in SARs expense in the fourth quarter of 2015, partially offset by the gain on disposal of the Company's former executive headquarters in Bradenton, Florida recognized in the third quarter of 2015.

Research Expenses

The Company continues to focus its research efforts on potential new products, technology, manufacturing processes and formulations for existing products. Research expenses totalled \$9.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, a \$1.6 million or 20.1% increase from \$7.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. Research expenses for the year ended December 31, 2014 increased \$1.0 million or 14.1% from \$6.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Research expenses for the fourth quarter of 2015 totalled \$2.8 million, a \$0.4 million or 16.9% increase from \$2.4 million for the fourth quarter of 2014, and a \$0.3 million or 10.1% increase from \$2.5 million for the third quarter of 2015.

The increases in all periods were primarily due to ongoing efforts to support the South Carolina Project and other manufacturing cost reduction programs.

As a percentage of revenue, research expenses represented 1.2%, 1.0%, and 0.9% for 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements****Manufacturing Facility Closures, Restructuring and Other Related Charges**

Manufacturing facility closures, restructuring and other related charges totalled \$3.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, a \$1.3 million decrease from \$4.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. The decrease was primarily due to the non-recurrence of \$1.0 million and \$0.7 million in charges related to the closure of the Richmond, Kentucky manufacturing facility and the relocation of the Langley, British Columbia manufacturing facility to Delta, British Columbia, respectively. The charges recorded in 2015 are primarily related to the South Carolina Project of \$1.5 million and the South Carolina Flood of \$1.5 million. The South Carolina Project costs primarily include workforce retention and idle facility costs, partially offset by a reversal of impairment on equipment. The South Carolina Flood charges of \$1.5 million primarily relate to a total of \$6.5 million of damaged inventory, clean-up and idle facility costs and impaired property, plant and equipment, partially offset by initial insurance settlement claim proceeds of \$5.0 million.

Manufacturing facility closures, restructuring and other related charges for the year ended December 31, 2014 totalled \$4.9 million, a \$25.8 million decrease from \$30.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, primarily due to a \$3.2 million charge recorded in 2014 as compared to a \$27.9 million charge recorded in 2013 for the South Carolina Project. The charges recorded in 2014 are primarily related to equipment relocation and workforce retention costs. The charges recorded in 2013 are primarily related to the impairment of property, plant and equipment upon the announcement of the South Carolina Project, and related environmental remediation and accrued workforce retention costs.

Manufacturing facility closures, restructuring and other related charges for the fourth quarter of 2015 totalled \$2.7 million, a \$1.7 million increase from \$1.0 million for the fourth quarter of 2014, primarily due to the \$1.5 million of charges relating to the South Carolina Flood discussed above. The charges recorded in the fourth quarter of 2014 are primarily related to equipment relocation and workforce retention costs.

Manufacturing facility closures, restructuring and other related charges for the fourth quarter of 2015 totalled \$2.7 million, a \$2.5 million increase from \$0.2 million for the third quarter of 2015, primarily due to charges associated with the South Carolina Flood. The charges recorded in the third quarter of 2015 are primarily related to idle facility, workforce retention, and equipment relocation costs, partially offset by a reversal of impairment on equipment.

Finance Costs

Finance costs totalled \$3.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, a \$3.0 million or 48.7% decrease from \$6.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. The decrease was primarily due to (i) foreign exchange gains in 2015, compared to foreign exchange losses in 2014 and (ii) a decrease in debt issue costs expensed in 2015 as a result of replacing the Company's \$200 million asset-based loan facility (ABL facility) with a new five-year \$300 million revolving credit facility (Revolving Credit Facility) and the prepayment of certain other debt in 2014.

Finance costs for the year ended December 31, 2014 totalled \$6.2 million, a \$0.5 million or 7.4% decrease from \$6.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, primarily due to (i) lower interest expense as a result of a lower average cost of debt and a lower average amount of debt outstanding and (ii) an increase in capitalized interest. These changes were partially offset by an increase in debt issue cost expensed as a result of replacing the ABL facility with the Revolving Credit Facility and the prepayment of certain other debt in the fourth quarter of 2014, as well as an increase in foreign exchange losses.

Finance costs for the fourth quarter of 2015 totalled \$1.5 million, a \$0.9 million or 37.2% decrease from \$2.5 million for the fourth quarter of 2014. The decrease was primarily due to the write off of debt issue costs related to the ABL facility that was paid in full during the fourth quarter of 2014 and lower interest expense as a result of a lower average cost of debt.

Finance costs increased \$1.3 million or 474% from \$0.3 million for the third quarter of 2015. The increase was primarily due to foreign exchange losses in the fourth quarter of 2015, compared to foreign exchange gains during the third quarter of 2015.

Income Taxes

The Company is subject to income taxation in multiple tax jurisdictions around the world. Accordingly, the Company's effective tax rate fluctuates depending upon the geographic source of its earnings. The Company's effective tax rate is also impacted by tax planning strategies that the Company implements. Income tax expense is recognized in each interim period based on the best estimate of the weighted average annual income tax rate expected for the full financial year.

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements**

Below is a table reflecting the calculation of the Company's effective tax rate (in millions of US dollars):

	Three months ended		Year ended		
	December 31,		December 31,		
	2015	2014	2015	2014	2013
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Income tax (benefit) expense	(4.4)	1.1	11.0	22.9	(35.8)
Earnings before income tax (benefit) expense	13.1	7.2	67.7	58.7	31.6
Effective tax rate	-34.0%	15.8%	16.2%	39.0%	-113.5%

The decrease in the effective tax rate for 2015 compared to 2014 is primarily due to a favourable change in the mix of earnings between jurisdictions and the recognition of previously derecognized Canadian deferred tax assets. The increase in the effective tax rate for 2014 compared to 2013 is primarily due to (i) the non-recurrence of the \$43.0 million tax benefit recorded during the year ended December 31, 2013 to recognize the previously derecognized US deferred tax assets, (ii) the partial utilization of such deferred tax assets during the year ended December 31, 2014, and (iii) the upfront tax expense incurred in connection with the reorganization of the capital structure of several of the Company's legal entities during the year ended December 31, 2014.

As compared to the fourth quarter of 2014, the effective tax rate for the fourth quarter of 2015 decreased primarily due to the recognition of previously derecognized Canadian deferred tax assets.

Net Earnings

Net earnings totalled \$56.7 million for the year ended December 31 2015, a \$20.8 million increase from \$35.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, primarily due to the recognition of previously derecognized Canadian deferred tax assets, a decrease in finance costs, and an increase in gross profit.

Net earnings for the year ended December 31, 2014 totalled \$35.8 million, a \$31.5 million decrease from \$67.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, primarily due to the non-recurrence of the \$43.0 million tax benefit recorded during the year ended December 31, 2013 to recognize the previously derecognized US deferred tax assets and the partial utilization of such deferred tax assets during the year ended December 31, 2014. The decrease was partially offset by higher manufacturing facility closures, restructuring and other related charges recorded in the first quarter of 2013 when the South Carolina Project was announced.

Net earnings for the fourth quarter of 2015 totalled \$17.5 million, a \$11.4 million increase from \$6.1 million for the fourth quarter of 2014, primarily due to an increase in gross profit and the recognition of previously derecognized Canadian deferred tax assets, partially offset by an increase in SG&A, and an increase in manufacturing facility closures, restructuring and other related charges primarily related to the South Carolina Flood.

Net earnings for the fourth quarter of 2015 increased \$1.8 million from \$15.7 million for the third quarter of 2015, primarily due to the recognition of previously derecognized Canadian deferred tax assets and an increase in gross profit, partially offset by increases in SG&A, manufacturing facility closures, restructuring and other related charges and finance costs.

Non-GAAP Financial Measures

This MD&A contains certain non-GAAP financial measures as defined under applicable securities legislation, including EBITDA, adjusted EBITDA, adjusted net earnings (loss), adjusted earnings (loss) per share, leverage ratio and free cash flows (please see the Cash Flows section below for a description and reconciliation of free cash flows). The Company believes such non-GAAP financial measures improve the period-to-period comparability of the Company's results by providing more insight into the performance of ongoing core business operations. As required by applicable securities legislation, the Company has provided definitions of those measures and reconciliations of those measures to the most directly comparable GAAP financial measures. Investors and other readers are encouraged to review the related GAAP financial measures and the reconciliation of non-GAAP financial measures to their most directly comparable GAAP financial measures set forth below and should consider non-GAAP financial measures only as a supplement to, and not as a substitute for or as a superior measure to, measures of financial performance prepared in accordance with GAAP.

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements****Adjusted Net Earnings (Loss)**

A reconciliation of the Company's adjusted net earnings (loss), a non-GAAP financial measure, to net earnings (loss), the most directly comparable GAAP financial measure, is set out in the adjusted net earnings (loss) reconciliation table below. Adjusted net earnings (loss) should not be construed as net earnings (loss) as determined by GAAP. The Company defines adjusted net earnings (loss) as net earnings (loss) before (i) manufacturing facility closures, restructuring and other related charges; (ii) stock-based compensation expense (benefit); (iii) impairment of goodwill; (iv) impairment (reversal of impairment) of long-lived assets and other assets; (v) write-down on assets classified as held-for-sale; (vi) (gain) loss on disposal of property, plant and equipment; (vii) other discrete items as shown in the table below; and (viii) the income tax effect of these items. The term adjusted net earnings (loss) does not have any standardized meaning prescribed by GAAP and is therefore unlikely to be comparable to similar measures presented by other issuers. Adjusted net earnings (loss) is not a measurement of financial performance under GAAP and should not be considered as an alternative to net earnings (loss) as an indicator of the Company's operating performance or any other measures of performance derived in accordance with GAAP. The Company has included this non-GAAP financial measure because it believes that it allows investors to make a more meaningful comparison of the Company's performance between periods presented by excluding certain non-cash expenses and non-recurring expenses. In addition, adjusted net earnings (loss) is used by management in evaluating the Company's performance because it believes that it allows management to make a more meaningful comparison of the Company's performance between periods presented by excluding certain non-cash expenses and non-recurring expenses.

Adjusted earnings (loss) per share is also presented in the following table and is a non-GAAP financial measure. Adjusted earnings (loss) per share should not be construed as earnings (loss) per share as determined by GAAP. The Company defines adjusted earnings (loss) per share as adjusted net earnings (loss) divided by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding, both basic and diluted. The term adjusted earnings (loss) per share does not have any standardized meaning prescribed by GAAP and is therefore unlikely to be comparable to similar measures presented by other issuers. Adjusted earnings (loss) per share is not a measurement of financial performance under GAAP and should not be considered as an alternative to earnings (loss) per share as an indicator of the Company's operating performance or any other measures of performance derived in accordance with GAAP. The Company has included this non-GAAP financial measure because it believes that it allows investors to make a more meaningful comparison of the Company's performance between periods presented by excluding certain non-cash expenses and non-recurring expenses. In addition, adjusted earnings (loss) per share is used by management in evaluating the Company's performance because it believes that it allows management to make a more meaningful comparison of the Company's performance between periods presented by excluding certain non-cash expenses and non-recurring expenses.

Table of ContentsIndex to Financial Statements**Adjusted Net Earnings Reconciliation to Net Earnings**

(In millions of US dollars, except per share amounts and share numbers)

(Unaudited)

	Three months ended December 31,		Year ended December 31,		
	2015 \$	2014 \$	2015 \$	2014 \$	2013 \$
Net earnings	17.5	6.1	56.7	35.8	67.4
Manufacturing facility closures, restructuring and other related charges	2.7	1.0	3.7	4.9	30.7
Stock-based compensation expense	2.3	3.0	3.2	6.2	4.9
(Reversal of impairment) impairment of long-lived assets and other assets	(5.8)	0.1	(5.8)	0.1	0.2
(Gain) loss on disposals of property, plant and equipment	0.2	(0.0)	(0.8)	(0.1)	0.1
Other Item: Provision related to the resolution of a contingent liability					1.3
Other Item: Brantford Pension Charge		0.3		1.6	
Income tax effect of these items	2.0	1.6	1.6	3.8	(1.1)
Adjusted net earnings	18.9	11.9	58.6	52.4	103.4
Earnings per share					
Basic	0.30	0.10	0.95	0.59	1.12
Diluted	0.29	0.10	0.93	0.58	1.09
Adjusted earnings per share					
Basic	0.32	0.20	0.98	0.86	1.71
Diluted	0.31	0.19	0.96	0.84	1.68
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding					
Basic	58,802,897	60,427,043	59,690,968	60,718,776	60,379,533
Diluted	60,316,201	62,307,696	61,110,633	62,060,923	61,632,652

Adjusted net earnings totalled \$58.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, a \$6.2 million increase from \$52.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, primarily due to the recognition of previously derecognized Canadian deferred tax assets and decreases in finance costs and variable compensation expenses, partially offset by a decrease in gross profit, an increase in certain other SG&A expenses, and an increase in research expenses primarily associated with the South Carolina Project.

Adjusted net earnings totalled \$52.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, a \$51.0 million decrease from \$103.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, primarily due to the non-recurrence of the \$43.0 million tax benefit recorded during the year ended December 31, 2013 to recognize the previously derecognized US deferred tax assets and the partial utilization of such deferred tax assets during the year ended December 31, 2014.

Adjusted net earnings totalled \$18.9 million for the fourth quarter of 2015, a \$7.0 million increase from \$11.9 million for the fourth quarter of 2014, primarily due to the recognition of previously derecognized Canadian deferred tax assets and an increase in gross profit, partially offset by an increase in variable compensation expense.

Adjusted net earnings for the fourth quarter of 2015 increased \$6.0 million from \$12.9 million for the third quarter of 2015, primarily due to the recognition of previously derecognized Canadian deferred tax assets, partially offset by an increase in variable compensation expense, a decrease in gross profit and an increase in foreign exchange losses.

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements****EBITDA, Adjusted EBITDA and Leverage Ratio**

A reconciliation of the Company's EBITDA, a non-GAAP financial measure, to net earnings (loss), the most directly comparable GAAP financial measure, is set out in the EBITDA reconciliation table below. EBITDA should not be construed as earnings (loss) before income taxes, net earnings (loss) or cash flows from operating activities as determined by GAAP. The Company defines EBITDA as net earnings (loss) before (i) interest and other finance costs; (ii) income tax expense (benefit); (iii) amortization of intangible assets; and (iv) depreciation of property, plant and equipment. Adjusted EBITDA is defined as EBITDA before (i) manufacturing facility closures, restructuring and other related charges; (ii) stock-based compensation expense (benefit); (iii) impairment of goodwill; (iv) impairment (reversal of impairment) of long-lived assets and other assets; (v) write-down on assets classified as held-for-sale; (vi) (gain) loss on disposal of property, plant and equipment; and (vii) other discrete items as shown in the table below. The terms EBITDA and adjusted EBITDA do not have any standardized meanings prescribed by GAAP and are therefore unlikely to be comparable to similar measures presented by other issuers. EBITDA and adjusted EBITDA are not measurements of financial performance under GAAP and should not be considered as alternatives to cash flows from operating activities or as alternatives to net earnings (loss) as indicators of the Company's operating performance or any other measures of performance derived in accordance with GAAP. The Company has included these non-GAAP financial measures because it believes that they allow investors to make a more meaningful comparison of the Company's performance between periods presented by excluding certain non-operating expenses as well as certain non-cash expenses and non-recurring expenses. In addition, EBITDA and adjusted EBITDA are used by management and the Company's lenders in evaluating the Company's performance because they believe that they allow management and the Company's lenders to make a more meaningful comparison of the Company's performance between periods presented by excluding certain non-operating expenses as well as certain non-cash expenses and non-recurring expenses.

The Company defines leverage ratio as long-term debt plus installments on long-term debt divided by adjusted EBITDA. The term leverage ratio does not have any standardized meaning prescribed by GAAP and is therefore unlikely to be comparable to similar measures presented by other issuers. Leverage ratio is not a measurement of financial performance under GAAP and should not be considered as an alternative to any GAAP measure as an indicator of the Company's liquidity level or any other measures of performance derived in accordance with GAAP. The Company has included this non-GAAP financial measure because it believes that it allows investors to make a meaningful comparison of the Company's liquidity level. In addition, leverage ratio is used by management in evaluating the Company's performance because it believes that it allows management to monitor its liquidity level and evaluate its capacity to deploy capital to meet its strategic objectives.

EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA Reconciliation to Net Earnings

(In millions of US dollars)

(Unaudited)

Three months ended		Year ended		
December 31,		December 31,		
2015	2014	2015	2014	2013
\$	\$	\$	\$	\$

Edgar Filing: INTERTAPE POLYMER GROUP INC - Form 20-F

Net earnings	17.5	6.1	56.7	35.8	67.4
Interest and other finance costs	1.5	2.4	3.2	6.2	6.6
Income tax expense (benefit)	(4.4)	1.1	11.0	22.9	(35.8)
Depreciation and amortization	10.6	6.7	30.9	26.2	27.7
EBITDA	25.2	16.3	101.7	91.1	65.9
Manufacturing facility closures, restructuring and other related charges	2.7	1.0	3.7	4.9	30.7
Stock-based compensation expense	2.3	3.0	3.2	6.2	4.9
(Reversal of impairment) impairment of long-lived assets and other assets	(5.8)	0.1	(5.8)	0.1	0.2
(Gain) loss on disposal of plant, property and equipment	0.2	(0.0)	(0.8)	(0.1)	0.1
Other Item: Provision related to the resolution of a contingent liability					1.3
Other Item: Brantford Pension Charge		0.3		1.6	
Adjusted EBITDA	24.6	20.6	102.0	103.9	103.1

Adjusted EBITDA totalled \$102.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, a \$1.9 million or 1.8% decrease from \$103.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, primarily due to (i) an unfavourable FX impact, (ii) an increase in SG&A expenses related to the Better Packages and TaraTape acquisitions and an increase in employee related costs, including an increase in health related costs and (iii) an increase in research expenses primarily associated with the South Carolina Project. These negative impacts were partially offset by a decrease in variable compensation expense.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Adjusted EBITDA totalled \$103.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, a \$0.8 million or 0.8% increase from \$103.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, primarily due to an increase in gross profit partially offset by (i) an increase in professional fees, (ii) an increase in research expenses, (iii) additional expenses associated with credit insurance coverage commencing for accounts receivable in the fourth quarter of 2013 and (iv) the non-recurrence of a bad debt recovery recorded in 2013.

Adjusted EBITDA totalled \$24.6 million for the fourth quarter of 2015, a \$3.9 million or 19.1% increase from \$20.6 million for the fourth quarter of 2014, primarily due to an increase in gross profit, partially offset by an increase in variable compensation expense.

Adjusted EBITDA for the fourth quarter of 2015 decreased \$2.2 million or 8.4% from \$26.8 million for the third quarter of 2015, primarily due to an increase in variable compensation expense, partially offset by an increase in gross profit.

Comprehensive Income

Comprehensive income is comprised of net earnings and other comprehensive income (loss). Comprehensive income totalled \$45.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, a \$22.2 million or 94.8% increase from \$23.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, primarily due to higher net earnings in 2015 and gains from the remeasurement of the defined benefit liability compared to actuarial losses in 2014.

Comprehensive income totalled \$23.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, a \$56.1 million or 70.5% decrease from \$79.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, primarily due to lower net earnings in 2014 and losses from the remeasurement of the defined benefit liability compared to actuarial gains in 2013.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

The Company had standby letters of credit issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2015 that could result in payments by the Company of up to an aggregate of \$1.9 million upon the occurrence of certain events. All of the letters of credit have expiry dates in the third quarter of 2016.

The Company had commitments to suppliers to purchase machines and equipment totalling approximately \$20.9 million as of December 31, 2015. It is expected that such amounts will be paid out in the next twelve months. In the event of cancellation, the penalties that would apply may be equal to the purchase price depending on timing of the cancellation.

The Company obtains certain raw materials from suppliers under consignment agreements. The suppliers retain ownership of raw materials until the earlier of when the materials are consumed in production or auto billings are triggered based upon maturity. The consignment agreements involve short-term commitments that typically mature within 30 to 60 days of inventory receipt and are typically renewed on an ongoing basis. The Company may be subject to fees in the event the Company requires storage in excess of 30 to 60 days. At December 31, 2015, the Company had on hand \$13.0 million of raw material owned by its suppliers.

The Company has entered into agreements with various raw material suppliers to purchase minimum quantities of certain raw materials at fixed rates through June 2017 totalling approximately \$22.1 million as of December 31, 2015. The Company is also required by the agreements to pay any storage costs incurred by the applicable supplier in the

event the Company delays shipment in excess of 30 days. In the event the Company defaults under the terms of an agreement, an arbitrator will determine fees and penalties due to the applicable supplier. Neither party will be liable for failure to perform for reasons of force majeure as defined in the agreements.

The Company entered into a ten-year electricity service contract for one of its manufacturing facilities on November 12, 2013. The service date of the contract commenced in August 2014. The Company is committed to monthly minimum usage requirements over the term of the contract. The Company was provided installation at no cost and is receiving economic development incentive credits and maintenance of the required energy infrastructure at the manufacturing facility as part of the contract. The credits are expected to reduce the overall cost of electricity consumed by the facility over the term of the contract. Effective August 1, 2015, the Company entered into an amendment lowering the minimum usage requirements over the term of the contract. In addition, a new monthly facility charge will be incurred by the Company over the term of the contract. The Company estimates that service billings will total approximately \$14.4 million over the remaining term of the contract.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Certain penalty clauses exist within the electricity service contract related to early cancellation after the service date of the contract. The costs related to early cancellation penalties include termination fees based on anticipated service billings over the term of the contract and capital expense recovery charges. While the Company does not expect to cancel the contract prior to the end of its term, the penalties that would apply to early cancellation could total as much as \$5.4 million as of December 31, 2015. This amount declines annually until the expiration of the contract.

The Company has entered into agreements with various utility suppliers to fix certain energy costs, including natural gas and electricity, through December 2019 for minimum amounts of consumption at several of its manufacturing facilities. The Company estimates that utility billings will total approximately \$5.2 million over the term of the contracts based on the contracted fixed terms and current market rate assumptions. The Company is also required by the agreements to pay any difference between the fixed price agreed to with the utility and the sales amount received by the utility for resale to a third party if the Company fails to meet the minimum consumption required by the agreements. In the event of early termination, the Company is required to pay the utility suppliers the difference between the contracted amount and the current market value of the energy, adjusted for present value, of any future agreed upon minimum usage. Neither party will be liable for failure to perform for reasons of *force majeure* as defined in the agreements.

The Company currently knows of no event, trend or uncertainty that may affect the availability or benefits of these arrangements or that would trigger any such penalty described above. The Company maintains no other off-balance sheet arrangements.

Related Party Transactions

In June 2014, the Company engaged with a relocation management company to facilitate the purchase of the then-newly appointed Chief Financial Officer's home in Montreal, Québec, Canada to assist in his relocation to Sarasota, FL, U.S.A. The Company provided funding to the relocation management company to purchase the home for \$0.9 million. On April 15, 2015, the home was sold and the Company was reimbursed for the purchase funding.

The Company's key personnel are members of the Board of Directors and five members of senior management in 2015. Key personnel remuneration includes: short-term benefits including employee salaries and bonuses, director retainer and committee fees, post-employment benefits, stock-based compensation expense, and termination benefits. Total key personnel remuneration decreased \$3.5 million to \$5.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 from \$8.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 primarily due to SARs exercise activity in 2014.

Working Capital

The Company experiences some business cyclicity that requires the management of working capital resources. Typically, a larger investment in working capital is required in quarters when accounts receivable increase due to higher sales and when inventory increases due to higher anticipated future sales. Furthermore, certain liabilities are accrued for throughout the year and are only paid during the first quarter of the following year.

The Company uses Days Inventory to measure inventory performance. Days Inventory for the fourth quarter of 2015 increased to 61 from 58 in the fourth quarter of 2014. Inventories increased \$3.8 million to \$100.6 million as of December 31, 2015 from \$96.8 million as of December 31, 2014. The increase was primarily due to the Better Packages and TaraTape acquisitions.

The Company uses Days Sales Outstanding (DSO) to measure trade receivables. DSO for the fourth quarter of 2015 of 37 was the same as in the fourth quarter of 2014. Trade receivables decreased \$2.7 million to \$78.5 million as of December 31, 2015 from \$81.2 million as of December 31, 2014 primarily due to a decrease in the amount of revenue invoiced in the fourth quarter of 2015, partially offset by the additional revenue from the Better Packages and TaraTape acquisitions.

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements**

The calculations are shown in the following tables:

	Three months ended	
	Dec.	Dec.
	31,	31,
	2015	2014
Cost of sales ⁽¹⁾	\$ 149.9	\$ 164.5
Days in quarter	92	92
Cost of sales per day ⁽¹⁾	\$ 1.63	\$ 1.79
Average inventory ⁽¹⁾	\$ 99.4	\$ 102.8
Days inventory	61	58

Days inventory is calculated as follows:

Cost of sales ÷ Days in quarter = Cost of sales per day

(Beginning inventory + Ending inventory) ÷ 2 = Average inventory

Average inventory ÷ Cost of goods sold per day = Days inventory

⁽¹⁾ In millions of US dollars

	Three months ended	
	Dec.	Dec.
	31,	31,
	2015	2014
Revenue ⁽¹⁾	\$ 195.7	\$ 200.8
Days in quarter	92	92
Revenue per day ⁽¹⁾	\$ 2.13	\$ 2.18
Trade receivables ⁽¹⁾	\$ 78.5	\$ 81.2
DSO	37	37

DSO is calculated as follows:

Revenue ÷ Days in quarter = Revenue per day

Ending trade receivables ÷ Revenue per day = DSO

Accounts payable and accrued liabilities increased \$5.2 million to \$82.2 million as of December 31, 2015 from \$77.0 million as of December 31, 2014 primarily due to an increase in payables associated with the Better Packages and TaraTape acquisitions and the timing of payments for inventory and SG&A.

Liquidity

The Company finances its operations through a combination of cash flows from operations and borrowings under its Revolving Credit Facility. Liquidity risk management attempts to (i) maintain a sufficient amount of cash and (ii) ensure that the Company has financing sources for a sufficient authorized amount. The Company establishes budgets, cash estimates and cash management policies with a goal of ensuring it has the necessary funds to fulfil its obligations for the foreseeable future.

The Company has access to a \$300 million Revolving Credit Facility, plus an incremental accordion feature (that is available subject to the credit agreement's terms and lender approval) of \$150 million through November 2019. As of December 31, 2015, the Company had drawn a total of \$135.3 million, resulting in loan availability of \$164.7 million. In addition, the Company had \$17.6 million of cash, yielding total cash and loan availability of \$182.3 million as of December 31, 2015.

The Company believes it has sufficient funds from cash flows from operating activities and cash on hand to meet its ongoing expected capital expenditures and working capital requirements funding needs for at least the next twelve months. These funds are also sufficient to meet funding needs for discretionary dividend payments and common share repurchases. In addition, funds available under the Revolving Credit Facility may be used, as needed, to fund more significant strategic initiatives.

Also refer to the section below entitled "Long-Term Debt" for additional discussion of funds available under the Revolving Credit Facility.

Cash Flows

The Company's net working capital on the balance sheet increased during the year due to the effects of the 2015 business acquisitions, however, working capital amounts acquired are not included in Cash flows from operating activities under IFRS. As such, the discussions below regarding 2015 working capital items appropriately exclude these effects.

Cash flows from operating activities increased in the year ended December 31, 2015 by \$15.4 million to \$102.3 million from \$86.9 million in the year ended December 31, 2014, primarily due to a decrease in accounts receivable resulting from lower sales in the fourth quarter of 2015 compared to the fourth quarter of 2014 and an increase in accounts receivable in 2014 compared to 2013.

Cash flows from operating activities increased in the year ended December 31, 2014 by \$4.7 million to \$86.9 million from \$82.2 million in the year ended December 31, 2013, primarily due to higher gross profit.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Cash flows from operating activities increased in the fourth quarter of 2015 by \$8.1 million to \$41.9 million from \$33.8 million in the fourth quarter of 2014, primarily due to an increase in gross profit. The Company's working capital contained two significant fluctuations that largely offset each other:

a large increase in accounts payable due to (i) the timing of payments near the end of 2015 compared to the end of 2014 and (ii) a full year accrual in the fourth quarter of 2015 for annual variable compensation expense compared to a pro rata accrual for the same in the fourth quarter of 2014; and

partially offset by a large decrease in inventory in the fourth quarter of 2014 compared to an increase in the fourth quarter of 2015 due to a lower volume of sales and higher production volume of inventory in the fourth quarter of 2015 compared to 2014.

Cash flows used for investing activities increased in the year ended December 31, 2015 by \$22.4 million to \$59.2 million from \$36.8 million in the year ended December 31, 2014, primarily due to funding the Better Packages and TaraTape acquisitions in 2015, partially offset by lower capital expenditures. The decrease in capital expenditures primarily related to the South Carolina Project, partially offset by capital expenditures to increase production capacity of water-activated tape and shrink film.

Cash flows used for investing activities decreased in the year ended December 31, 2014 by \$8.1 million to \$36.8 million from \$44.9 million in the year ended December 31, 2013, primarily due to lower capital expenditures and higher proceeds from the sale of property, plant and equipment and other assets.

Cash flows used for investing activities increased in the fourth quarter of 2015 by \$15.0 million to \$19.7 million from \$4.7 million in the fourth quarter of 2014, primarily due to funding the TaraTape acquisition in November 2015 and the non-recurrence of proceeds from the sale of the Richmond, Kentucky manufacturing facility in the fourth quarter of 2014.

Cash flows used in financing activities decreased in the year ended December 31, 2015 by \$12.5 million to \$31.2 million from \$43.7 million in the year ended December 31, 2014, primarily due to an increase in net borrowings, partially offset by an increase in repurchases of common shares and an increase in dividends paid due to the increases in quarterly dividend payments announced in July 2014 and August 2015.

Cash flows used in financing activities increased in the year ended December 31, 2014 by \$3.3 million to \$43.7 million from \$40.5 million in the year ended December 31, 2013, primarily due to an increase in dividends paid, repurchases of common stock, lower proceeds from the exercise of stock options granted pursuant to the Company's Executive Stock Option Plan and an increase in the payment of debt issue costs related to entering into the Revolving Credit Facility in the fourth quarter of 2014. The increase was partially offset by smaller net repayment of debt in 2014 and lower interest payments in 2014.

Cash flows used in financing activities decreased in the fourth quarter of 2015 by \$8.9 million to \$18.6 million from \$27.6 million in the fourth quarter of 2014, primarily due to a lower net repayment of debt in the fourth quarter of 2015, partially offset by an increase in repurchases of common shares in the fourth quarter of 2015.

The Company is including free cash flows, a non-GAAP financial measure, because it is used by management and investors in evaluating the Company's performance and liquidity. Free cash flows does not have any standardized meaning prescribed by GAAP and is therefore unlikely to be comparable to similar measures presented by other issuers. Free cash flows should not be interpreted to represent residual cash flow available for discretionary purposes, as it excludes other mandatory expenditures such as debt service.

Free cash flows, defined by the Company as cash flows from operating activities less purchases of property, plant and equipment, increased in the year ended December 31, 2015 by \$21.7 million to \$68.0 million from \$46.3 million in the year ended December 31, 2014 primarily due to a decrease in accounts receivable and lower capital expenditures.

Free cash flows increased in the year ended December 31, 2014 by \$11.0 million to \$46.3 million from \$35.3 million in the year ended December 31, 2013, primarily due to lower capital expenditures and an increase in gross profit.

Free cash flows increased in the fourth quarter of 2015 by \$6.5 million to \$33.3 million from \$26.8 million in the fourth quarter of 2014, primarily due to an increase in gross profit.

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements**

A reconciliation of free cash flows to cash flows from operating activities, the most directly comparable GAAP financial measure, is set forth below.

Free Cash Flows Reconciliation

(In millions of US dollars)

(Unaudited)

	Three months ended		Year ended		
	December 31,		December 31,		
	2015	2014	2015	2014	2013
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Cash flows from operating activities	41.9	33.8	102.3	86.9	82.2
Less purchases of property, plant and equipment	(8.5)	(7.0)	(34.3)	(40.6)	(46.8)
Free cash flows	33.3	26.8	68.0	46.3	35.3

Long-Term Debt

The Company's \$300 million Revolving Credit Facility is with a syndicate of financial institutions and replaced the Company's \$200 million ABL facility in November 2014. The Company relies upon cash flows from operations and funds available under the Revolving Credit Facility to meet working capital requirements as well as to fund capital expenditures, mergers and acquisitions, dividends, share repurchases, obligations under its other debt instruments, and other general corporate purposes. The Revolving Credit Facility also includes an incremental accordion feature of \$150 million, which will enable the Company to increase the limit of this facility (subject to the credit agreement's terms and lender approval) if needed.

As of December 31, 2015, the Company had drawn a total of \$135.3 million against the Revolving Credit Facility, which consisted of \$133.4 million of borrowings and \$1.9 million of standby letters of credit. The Company had total cash and loan availability of \$182.3 million as of December 31, 2015 and \$206.2 million as of December 31, 2014. The change in total cash and loan availability is due to the changes in cash flows as previously discussed above.

The Revolving Credit Facility is priced primarily on the LIBOR rate plus a spread varying between 100 and 225 basis points (150 basis points as of December 31, 2015). The spread depends on the consolidated total leverage ratio and increases as the consolidated total leverage ratio increases. The pricing grid for the loan margin ranges from 1.00% to 2.25% for LIBOR or other floating rate loans. The revolving credit loans denominated in US Dollars bear interest at the LIBOR rate applicable to dollar-denominated loans plus the applicable margin. Revolving credit loans denominated in an alternative currency bear interest at the floating rate applicable to alternative currency-denominated loans plus the applicable margin and any mandatory costs. As of December 31, 2015, \$124.0 million of borrowings was priced at 30-day US dollar LIBOR and \$9.4 million of US dollar equivalent borrowings was priced at 30-day CDOR (Canadian Dollar Offer Rate).

The Revolving Credit Facility has, in summary, three financial covenants: (i) a consolidated total leverage ratio not to be greater than 3.25 to 1.00, (ii) a consolidated debt service ratio not to be less than 1.50 to 1.00, and (iii) the aggregated amount of all capital expenditures in any fiscal year may not exceed \$50 million. Any portion of the allowable \$50 million not expended in the year may be carried over for expenditure in the following year but not carried over to any additional subsequent year thereafter (as such, the allowable capital expenditures are \$65.7 million in 2016 and \$59.4 million in 2015 due to a carry forward provision of unused capital expenditure amounts from the prior year).

The Company was in compliance with all three financial covenants, which were 1.55, 7.41 and \$34.3 million, respectively, as of December 31, 2015.

Capital Resources

Capital expenditures totalled \$8.5 million and \$34.3 million in the three months and year ended December 31, 2015, respectively, as funded by the Revolving Credit Facility and cash flows from operations. Total capital expenditures are expected to be between \$55 and \$65 million in 2016. Capital expenditures for the year ended December 31, 2015 and expected to be made in 2016 are primarily for property, plant and equipment to support the following strategic and growth initiatives: the South Carolina Project, the recently announced water-activated tape capacity expansion in Cabarrus County, North Carolina (WAT Project), shrink film capacity

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements**

expansion at the Portugal manufacturing facility (Shrink Film Project), woven products capacity expansion (Woven Project), expansion of the Company's specialty tape product offering (Specialty Tape Project) and various other initiatives and maintenance needs. All of the strategic and growth initiatives discussed above are expected to yield an after-tax internal rate of return greater than 15%. The table below summarizes the capital expenditures to date and expected future capital expenditures for the above mentioned initiatives (in millions of US dollars):

	Year ended December 31,		Approximate amounts based on current estimates	
	2015 \$	2016 \$	Total Project \$	Completion Date
South Carolina Project	7.9	3	60	End of 2016
WAT Project	4.2	31 - 36	44 - 49	End of 2017
Shrink Film Project ⁽¹⁾	3.9	5	11	End of the first half of 2017
Woven Project	3.2	0	5	Completed in 2015
Specialty Tape Project		4	10	End of the first half of 2017

⁽¹⁾ Subject to foreign exchange impact and excluding any government subsidies.

In addition to the above, capital expenditures to support maintenance needs are expected to be between \$8 and \$12 million in 2016.

In addition, the Company had commitments to suppliers to purchase machines and equipment totalling approximately \$20.9 million as of December 31, 2015, primarily to support the capacity expansion projects and other initiatives discussed above. It is expected that such amounts will be paid out in the next twelve months and will be funded by the Revolving Credit Facility and cash flows from operations.

Contractual Obligations

The Company's principal contractual obligations and commercial commitments relate to its outstanding debt and its operating lease obligations. The following table summarizes these obligations as of December 31, 2015 (in millions of USD):

	Total \$	Payments Due by Period ⁽¹⁾			
		Less than 1 year \$	1-3 years \$	4-5 years \$	After 5 years \$
Debt principal obligations ⁽²⁾	134.7		0.2	133.8	0.7
Finance lease obligations ⁽³⁾	21.5	6.3	10.8	1.4	3.0
Pensions and other post-retirement benefits - defined benefit plans ⁽⁴⁾	1.5	1.5			
Pensions and other post-retirement benefits - defined contribution plans ⁽⁵⁾	3.5	3.5			

Edgar Filing: INTERTAPE POLYMER GROUP INC - Form 20-F

Operating lease obligations	14.0	3.1	5.5	2.7	2.7
Standby letters of credit	1.9	1.9			
Equipment purchase commitments	20.9	20.9			
Utilities contract obligations ⁽⁶⁾	19.6	4.0	5.8	3.8	6.0
Raw material purchase commitments ⁽⁷⁾	35.2	33.2	2.0		
Other obligations	5.1	2.2	1.0	1.0	0.9
Total	257.9	76.6	25.3	142.7	13.3

(1) Less than 1 year represents those payments due in 2016, 1-3 years represents those payments due in 2017 and 2018, 3-5 years represents those payments due in 2019 and 2020, while After 5 years includes those payments due in later periods.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

- (2) Refer to the previous section entitled "Long-Term Debt" for discussion of related interest obligations.
- (3) The figures included in the table above include interest expense included in minimum lease payments of \$1.6 million.
- (4) Defined benefit plan contributions represent the amount the Company expects to contribute in 2016. Defined benefit plan contributions beyond 2016 are not determinable since the amount of any contributions is heavily dependent on the future economic environment and investment returns on pension plan assets. Volatility in the global financial markets could have an unfavourable impact on the Company's future pension and other post-retirement benefits funding obligations as well as net periodic benefit cost.
- (5) Defined contribution plan contributions represent the obligation recorded at December 31, 2015 to be paid in 2016. Certain defined contribution plan contributions beyond 2016 are not determinable since contribution to the plan is at the discretion of the Company.
- (6) Utilities contract obligations also include agreements with various utility suppliers to fix certain energy costs, including natural gas and electricity, for minimum amounts of consumption at several of the Company's manufacturing facilities, as discussed in the previous section entitled "Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements" above. The figures included in the table above are estimates of utility billings over the term of the contracts based on the contracted fixed terms and current market rate assumptions. The Company currently knows of no event, trend or uncertainty that may affect the availability or benefits of the agreements.
- (7) Raw material purchase commitments include certain raw materials from suppliers under consignment agreements, as discussed in the previous section entitled "Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements" above. The figures included in the table above represent raw material inventory on hand or in transit, owned by the Company's suppliers, that the Company expects to consume.

Raw material purchase commitments also include agreements with various raw material suppliers to purchase minimum quantities of certain raw materials at fixed rates, as discussed in the previous section entitled "Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements" above. The figures included in the table above do not include estimates for storage costs, fees or penalties. The Company currently knows of no event, trend or uncertainty that may affect the availability or benefits of these agreements.

Purchase orders outside the scope of the raw material purchase commitments as defined in this section are not included in the table above. The Company is not able to determine the aggregate amount of such purchase orders that represent contractual obligations, as these purchase orders typically represent authorizations to purchase rather than binding agreements. For the purposes of this table, contractual obligations for purchase of goods or services are defined as agreements that are enforceable and legally binding on the Company and that specify all significant terms, including: fixed or minimum quantities to be purchased; fixed, minimum or variable price provisions; and the approximate timing of the transaction. The Company's purchase orders are based on current demand expectations and are fulfilled by the Company's vendors within short time horizons. The Company does not have significant non-cancellable agreements for the purchase of inventory or other goods specifying minimum quantities or set prices that exceed expected requirements. The Company also enters into contracts for outsourced services; however, the obligations under these contracts are not significant and the contracts generally contain clauses allowing for cancellation without significant penalty.

Stock Appreciation Rights

On June 20, 2012, the Board of Directors of the Company adopted the 2012 Stock Appreciation Rights Plan ("SAR Plan"). A SAR, as defined by the SAR Plan, is a right to receive a cash payment equal to the difference between the base price of the SAR and the market value of a common share of the Company on the date of exercise. These SARs can be settled only in cash and expire no later than 10 years after the date of the grant.

On June 28, 2012, 1,240,905 SARs were granted at an exercise price of CDN\$7.56 with contractual lives ranging from six to ten years.

The amount and timing of a potential cash payment to settle a SAR is not determinable since the decision to exercise is not within the Company's control after the award vests. At December 31, 2015, the aggregate intrinsic value of outstanding vested awards was \$2.9 million. At December 31, 2015, there was no accrual for SAR awards exercised but not yet paid.

Table of ContentsIndex to Financial Statements**Capital Stock and Dividends**

As of December 31, 2015, there were 58,667,535 common shares of the Company outstanding.

On April 22, 2014, the Board of Directors adopted the Performance Share Unit Plan (PSU Plan). A performance share unit (PSU), as defined by the PSU Plan, represents the right of a participant, once such PSU is earned and has vested in accordance with the PSU Plan, to receive the number of common shares of the Company underlying the PSU. Furthermore, a participant will receive a cash payment from the Company upon PSU settlement that is equivalent to the number of shares issued or delivered to the participant multiplied by the amount of cash dividends per share declared by the Company between the date of grant and the third anniversary of the grant date. PSUs are net-settled to satisfy minimum statutory tax withholding requirements.

PSUs granted will vest at the third anniversary of the grant date. The number of shares earned can range from 0% to 150% of the grant amount based on entity performance criteria, specifically the total shareholder return ranking of the Company versus a specified peer group of companies.

On April 22, 2014, the Board of Directors adopted the Deferred Share Unit Plan (DSU Plan). A deferred share unit (DSU), as defined by the DSU Plan, represents the right of a participant to receive a common share of the Company. Under the DSU Plan, a non-executive director is entitled to receive DSUs as a result of a grant and/or in lieu of cash for semi-annual directors' fees. DSUs are settled when the director ceases to be a member of the Board of Directors of the Company. DSUs are net-settled to satisfy minimum statutory tax withholding requirements.

The table below summarizes equity-settled share-based compensation activity that occurred during the three months and year ended December 31:

	Three months ended December 31,		Year ended December 31,		
	2015	2014	2015	2014	2013
Stock options granted				492,500	830,000
Stock options exercised	116,250	21,250	712,500	256,677	1,151,610
Cash proceeds (in millions of US dollars)	\$ 0.3	\$ 0.1	\$ 1.6	\$ 0.8	\$ 3.8
Stock options expired or forfeited	27,500		30,000	140,000	71,250
PSUs granted			363,600	152,500	
PSUs forfeited	16,290		18,060		
DSUs granted			46,142	36,901	
Shares issued upon DSU settlement			6,397		

The Company paid a dividend of \$0.12, \$0.12, \$0.13 and \$0.13 per common share on March 31, June 30, September 30 and December 31, 2015 to shareholders of record at the close of business on March 19, June 15, September 15 and December 15, 2015, respectively.

On August 12, 2015, the Board of Directors amended the Company's quarterly dividend policy to increase the annualized dividend from \$0.48 to \$0.52 per share. The Board's decision to increase the dividend was based on the Company's strong financial position and positive outlook. The declaration and payment of future dividends, however,

are discretionary and will be subject to determination by the Board of Directors each quarter following its review of, among other considerations, the Company's financial performance and the Company's legal ability to pay dividends. Since the dividend policy was reinstated in August 2012, the Company has paid \$73.3 million in cumulative dividends, of which \$29.7 million was paid in 2015.

On March 9, 2016, the Board of Directors declared a dividend of \$0.13 per common share payable on March 31, 2016 to shareholders of record at the close of business on March 21, 2016.

The dividends paid in 2015 and payable in 2016 by the Company are eligible dividends as defined in subsection 89(1) of the *Income Tax Act* (Canada).

On July 7, 2014, the Company announced an NCIB effective on July 10, 2014. This NCIB expired on July 9, 2015. The Company renewed its NCIB for the repurchase of up to 2,000,000 common shares effective July 10, 2015 and expiring on July 9, 2016. On November 11, 2015, the Toronto Stock Exchange approved an amendment to the Company's NCIB, as a result of which the Company is entitled to repurchase for cancellation up to 4,000,000 common shares. As of December 31, 2015, approximately 2.5 million shares remained available for repurchase under the NCIB.

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements**

The table below summarizes the NCIB activity that occurred during the three months and year ended December 31:

	Three months ended December 31,		Year ended December 31,	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Common shares repurchased	366,600	9,400	2,487,188	597,500
Average price per common share including commissions	CDN\$ 14.28	CDN\$ 17.64	CDN\$ 15.52	CDN\$ 14.35
Total purchase price including commissions ⁽¹⁾	\$ 4,015	\$ 144	\$ 29,984	\$ 7,822

⁽¹⁾ In thousands of US dollars

As of March 9, 2016, the Company repurchased 147,200 shares under the NCIB in 2016 for a total purchase price of \$1.7 million.

Pension and Other Post-Retirement Benefit Plans

The Company's pension and other post-retirement benefit plans currently have an unfunded deficit of \$29.3 million as of December 31, 2015 as compared to \$31.7 million as of December 31, 2014. The decrease in the current year is primarily due to an increase in the weighted average discount rate from 3.73% and 4.15% for US and Canadian plans, respectively, as of December 31, 2014 to 4.01% and 4.25% for US and Canadian plans, respectively, as of December 31, 2015. These changes resulted in a decrease in net present value of the liability and are partially offset by the fair value of plan assets. For 2015, the Company contributed \$2.0 million as compared to \$2.3 million in 2014, to its funded pension plans and to beneficiaries for its unfunded benefit plans. Adverse market conditions could require the Company to make additional cash payments to fund the plans which could reduce cash available for other business needs; however, the Company expects to meet its minimum required pension benefit plan funding obligations for 2016. None of the defined benefit plan assets were invested in any of the Company's own equity or financial instruments or in any property or other assets used by the Company.

Effective September 30, 2011, the defined benefit plan associated with the former Brantford, Ontario manufacturing facility sponsored by the Company was wound-up. Pursuant to applicable legislation, benefits for this plan had to be settled within the five-year period following the wind-up effective date. During the year ended December 31, 2014, the Company purchased group annuity buy out policies to settle its obligation to plan participants resulting in non-cash settlement losses of \$1.6 million representing the difference between the accounting liability and the cost to settle the obligations. The settlement losses were included in the statement of consolidated earnings under the caption cost of sales.

Financial Risk, Objectives and Policies

On January 1, 2015, the Company adopted and implemented IFRS 9 (2013) - *Financial Instruments*. This standard replaces IAS 39 - *Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement* and previous versions of IFRS 9. IFRS 9 (2013) includes revised guidance on the classification and measurement of financial assets and liabilities and introduces a new general hedge accounting model which aims to better align a company's hedge accounting with risk management.

The Company is exposed to a risk of change in cash flows due to the fluctuations in interest rates applicable on its variable rate Revolving Credit Facility and other floating rate debt. In the first and third quarters of 2015, to hedge the long-term cost of floating rate debt, the Company entered into interest rate swap agreements that are designated as cash flow hedges.

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements**

The terms of the interest swap agreements are as follows:

Maturity	Notional amount \$	Settlement	Fixed interest rate paid %
November 18, 2019	40,000,000	Monthly	1.610
August 20, 2018	60,000,000	Monthly	1.197

Please refer to Note 21 of the Company's Financial Statements for a complete discussion of the Company's risk factors, risk management, objectives and policies.

Litigation

On July 3, 2014, the Company was informed of a complaint filed on June 27, 2014 by its former Chief Financial Officer with the Occupational Safety and Health Administration of the US Department of Labor (OSHA) alleging certain violations by the Company related to the terms of his employment and his termination. The Company aggressively contested the allegations and believes it demonstrated that the former Chief Financial Officer's assertions are entirely without merit.

In a letter dated July 16, 2015, OSHA informed the Company that the former Chief Financial Officer had withdrawn his OSHA complaint in order to file a complaint against the Company in US federal district court. The withdrawal occurred prior to any determination by OSHA regarding the complaint.

On November 5, 2015, the former Chief Financial Officer filed a lawsuit against the Company in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida. The lawsuit is premised on essentially the same facts and makes essentially the same allegations as asserted in the OSHA complaint; the lawsuit seeks unspecified money damages and a trial by jury. The Company is not currently able to predict the probability of a favourable or unfavourable outcome, or the amount of any possible loss in the event of an unfavourable outcome. Consequently, no material provision or liability has been recorded for these allegations and claims as of December 31, 2015. As with the OSHA claim, the Company believes that the former Chief Financial Officer's assertions in the lawsuit are entirely without merit. However, upon termination and in accordance with the existing employment agreement between the Company and the former Chief Financial Officer, a termination benefit accrual of \$0.4 million had been recorded as of December 31, 2014. Terms of such agreement were not met within the timeframe specified therein and the termination benefit accrual was consequently reversed during the year ended December 31, 2015.

The Company is engaged from time-to-time in various legal proceedings and claims that have arisen in the ordinary course of business. The outcome of all of the proceedings and claims against the Company is subject to future resolution, including the uncertainties of litigation. Based on information currently known to the Company and after consultation with outside legal counsel, management believes that the probable ultimate resolution of any such proceedings and claims, individually or in the aggregate, will not have a material adverse effect on the financial condition of the Company, taken as a whole, and accordingly, no amounts have been recorded as of December 31, 2015.

Critical Accounting Judgments, Estimates and Assumptions

The preparation of the Company's Financial Statements in conformity with IFRS requires management to make judgments, estimates and assumptions that affect the application of accounting policies and the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, income and expenses. Significant changes in the underlying assumptions could result in significant changes to these estimates. Consequently, management reviews these estimates on a regular basis. Revisions to accounting estimates are recognized in the period in which the estimates are revised and in any future periods affected. Information about these significant judgments, assumptions and estimates that have the most significant effect on the recognition and measurement of assets, liabilities, income and expenses are summarized below:

Significant Management Judgment

Deferred income taxes

Deferred tax assets are recognized for unused tax losses and tax credits to the extent that it is probable that future taxable income will be available against which the losses can be utilized. These estimates are reviewed at every reporting date. Significant management judgment is required to determine the amount of deferred tax assets that can be recognized, based upon the likely timing and the level of the reversal of existing timing differences, future taxable income and future tax planning strategies. Refer to Note 5 of the Company's Financial Statements for more information regarding income taxes.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Estimation Uncertainty

Impairments

At the end of each reporting period the Company performs a test of impairment on assets subject to amortization if there are indicators of impairment. Goodwill allocated to cash generating units (CGU) and intangible assets with indefinite lives are tested annually. An impairment loss is recognized when the carrying value of an asset or CGU exceeds its recoverable amount, which in turn is the higher of its fair value less costs to sell and its value in use. The value in use is based on discounted estimated future cash flows. The cash flows are derived from the budget or forecasts for the estimated remaining useful lives of the CGUs and do not include restructuring activities that the Company is not yet committed to or significant future investments that will enhance the performance of the asset or CGU being tested. The value in use will vary depending on the discount rate applied to the discounted cash flows, the estimated future cash inflows, and the growth rate used for extrapolation purposes.

Refer to Note 12 of the Company's Financial Statements for more information regarding impairment testing.

Pension and other post-retirement benefits

The cost of defined benefit pension plans and other post-retirement benefit plans and the present value of the related obligations are determined using actuarial valuations. The determination of benefits expense and related obligations requires assumptions such as the discount rate to measure obligations, expected mortality and the expected healthcare cost trend. Actual results will differ from estimated results which are based on assumptions. Refer to Note 17 of the Company's Financial Statements for more information regarding the assumptions related to the pension and other post-retirement benefit plans.

Uncertain tax positions

The Company is subject to taxation in numerous jurisdictions. There are many transactions and calculations during the course of business for which the ultimate tax determination is uncertain. The Company maintains provisions for uncertain tax positions that it believes appropriately reflect their risk. These provisions are made using the best estimate of the amount expected to be paid based on a qualitative assessment of all relevant factors. The Company reviews the adequacy of these provisions at the end of the reporting period. However, it is possible that at some future date, liabilities in excess of the Company's provisions could result from audits by, or litigation with, the relevant taxing authorities. Refer to Note 5 of the Company's Financial Statements for more information regarding income taxes.

Useful lives of depreciable assets

Management reviews the useful lives, depreciation methods and residual values of depreciable assets at each reporting date. As of the reporting date, management assesses the useful lives which represent the expected utility of the assets to the Company. Actual results, however, may vary due to technical or commercial obsolescence, particularly with respect to information technology and manufacturing equipment.

Net realizable value of inventories and parts and supplies

Inventories and parts and supplies are measured at the lower of cost or net realizable value. In estimating net realizable values of inventories and parts and supplies, management takes into account the most reliable evidence available at

the time the estimate is made.

Allowance for doubtful accounts and revenue adjustments

During each reporting period, the Company makes an assessment of whether trade accounts receivable are collectible from customers. Accordingly, management establishes an allowance for estimated losses arising from non-payment and other revenue adjustments, taking into consideration customer creditworthiness, current economic trends, past experience and credit insurance coverage. The Company also records reductions to revenue for estimated returns, claims, customer rebates, and other incentives that are estimated based on historical experience and current economic trends. If future collections and trends differ from estimates, future earnings will be affected. Refer to Note 21 of the Company's Financial Statements for more information regarding the allowance for doubtful accounts and the related credit risks.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Provisions

Provisions are recognized when the Company has a present obligation (legal or constructive) as a result of a past event, it is probable that the Company will be required to settle the obligation, and a reliable estimate can be made of the amount of the obligation.

The amount recognized as a provision is the best estimate of the consideration required to settle the present obligation at the end of the reporting period, taking into account the risks and uncertainties surrounding the obligation. When a provision is measured using the cash flows estimated to settle the present obligation, its carrying amount is the present value of those cash flows, when the effect of the time value of money is material.

Provisions of the Company include environmental and restoration obligations, termination benefits and other provisions. Refer to Note 14 of the Company's Financial Statements for more information regarding provisions.

Stock-based payments

The estimation of stock-based payment fair value and expense requires the selection of an appropriate pricing model.

The model used by the Company for the Executive Stock Option Plan (ESOP) and SAR Plan is the Black-Scholes pricing model. The Black-Scholes pricing model requires the Company to make significant judgments regarding the assumptions used within the model, the most significant of which are the expected volatility of the Company's own stock, the probable life of awards granted, the time of exercise, the risk-free interest rate commensurate with the term of the awards, and the expected dividend yield.

The model used by the Company for the PSU Plan is the Monte Carlo simulation model. The Monte Carlo simulation model requires the Company to make significant judgments regarding the assumptions used within the model, the most significant of which are the volatility of the Company's own stock as well as a peer group, the performance measurement period, and the risk-free interest rate commensurate with the term of the awards.

Refer to Note 15 of the Company's Financial Statements for more information regarding stock-based payments.

Business acquisitions

Management uses valuation techniques when determining the fair values of certain assets and liabilities acquired in a business combination. In particular, the fair value of contingent consideration is dependent on the outcome of many variables including the acquiree's future profitability.

Refer to Note 16 of the Company's Financial Statements for more information regarding business acquisitions.

Changes in Accounting Policies

On January 1, 2015, the Company adopted and implemented IFRS 9 (2013) - *Financial Instruments*. This standard replaces IAS 39 - *Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement* and previous versions of IFRS 9. IFRS 9 (2013) includes revised guidance on the classification and measurement of financial assets and liabilities and introduces a new general hedge accounting model which aims to better align a company's hedge accounting with risk management.

Previously, the Company classified financial assets when they were first recognized as fair value through profit or loss, available for sale, held to maturity investments or loans and receivables. Under IFRS 9 (2013), the Company classifies financial assets under the same two measurement categories as financial liabilities: amortized cost or fair value through profit and loss. Financial assets are classified as amortized cost if the purpose of the Company's business model is to hold the financial assets for collecting cash flows and the contractual terms give rise to cash flows that are solely payments of principal and interest. All other financial assets are classified as fair value through profit or loss. The adoption of this standard has not resulted in any changes to comparative figures.

The Company has not yet adopted IFRS 9 (2014) - *Financial Instruments* that incorporates the new impairment model that assesses financial assets based on expected losses rather than incurred losses as applied in IAS 39. This final standard will replace IFRS 9 (2013) and is effective for annual periods on or after January 1, 2018.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

New Standards and Interpretations Issued but Not Yet Effective

Certain new standards, amendments and interpretations, and improvements to existing standards have been published by the IASB but are not yet effective, and have not been adopted early by the Company. Management anticipates that all of the relevant pronouncements will be adopted in the first reporting period following the date of application. Information on new standards, amendments and interpretations, and improvements to existing standards, which could potentially impact the Company's Financial Statements, are detailed as follows:

IFRS 15 Revenue from Contracts with Customers replaces IAS 18 Revenue, IAS 11 Construction Contracts and some revenue related interpretations. IFRS 15 establishes a new control-based revenue recognition model, changes the basis for deciding when revenue is recognized at a point in time or over time, provides new and more detailed guidance on specific topics and expands and improves disclosures about revenue. IFRS 15 is effective for annual reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2018. Management has yet to assess the impact of this new standard on the Company's Financial Statements.

IFRS 9 (2014) - Financial Instruments was issued in July 2014 and differs in some regards from IFRS 9 (2013) which the Company adopted effective January 1, 2015. IFRS 9 (2014) includes updated guidance on the classification and measurement of financial assets. The final standard also amends the impairment model by introducing a new expected credit loss model for calculating impairment. The mandatory effective date of IFRS 9 (2014) is for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2018 and must be applied retrospectively with some exemptions. Early adoption is permitted. Management has yet to assess the impact of this new standard on the Company's Financial Statements.

In January 2016, the IASB published IFRS 16 - Leases which will replace IAS 17 - Leases. IFRS 16 eliminates the classification as an operating lease and requires lessees to recognize a right-of-use asset and a lease liability in the statement of financial position for all leases with exemptions permitted for short-term leases and leases of low value assets. In addition, IFRS 16 changes the definition of a lease; sets requirements on how to account for the asset and liability, including complexities such as non-lease elements, variable lease payments and options periods; changes the accounting for sale and leaseback arrangements; largely retains IAS 17's approach to lessor accounting and introduces new disclosure requirements. IFRS 16 is effective for annual reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2019 with early application permitted in certain circumstances. Management has yet to assess the impact of this new standard on the Company's Financial Statements.

Certain other new standards and interpretations have been issued but are not expected to have a material impact on the Company's Financial Statements.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In accordance with the Canadian Securities Administrators National Instrument 52-109, Certification of Disclosure in Issuers' Annual and Interim Filings (NI 52-109), the Company has filed interim certificates signed by the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer that, among other things, report on the design of disclosure controls and procedures and design of internal control over financial reporting. With regards to the annual certification requirements of NI 52-109, the Company relies on the statutory exemption contained in section 8.2 of NI 52-109, which allows it to file with the Canadian securities regulatory authorities the certificates required under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 at the same time such certificates are required to be filed in the United States of America.

Internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of the Company's financial reporting and its compliance with GAAP (as derived in accordance with IFRS) in its consolidated financial statements. The Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of the Company have evaluated whether there were changes to the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the Company's most recent interim period that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting. The Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015 was effective.

All internal control systems, no matter how well designed, have inherent limitations. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation. Because of its inherent limitation, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Additional Information

Additional information relating to the Company, including its Form 20-F filed in lieu of an Annual Information Form for 2014, is available on the Company's website (www.itape.com) as well as under the Company's profile on SEDAR at www.sedar.com and on EDGAR at www.sec.gov.

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements****Item 6: Directors, Senior Management and Employees****A. DIRECTORS AND SENIOR MANAGEMENT****Directors**

The following table sets forth the name, residence, position, and principal occupations for the last five (5) years of each Director of the Company as of the date hereof, as well as the date upon which each Director was first elected. Each Director is elected for a term of one year and may be nominated for re-election at the Company's following annual shareholders' meeting. The next annual shareholders' meeting is scheduled to be held on June 9, 2016, at which time the current term of each Director will expire.

Name and City of Residence	Position and Occupation	First Year as Director
Robert M. Beil	Director	2007
Phoenix, Arizona	September 2006 – Retired	
George J. Bunze, CPA	Sales, Marketing, Business and Executive Management, the Dow Chemical Company, 1975 to September 2006 Director – Chairman of the Board (1)	2007
Ile Bizard, Quebec, Canada	Vice-Chairman and Director, Kruger Inc. (manufacturer of paper, tissue, wood products, energy (hydro/wind) and wine and spirits products)	
Frank Di Tomaso, FCPA, FCA, ICD.D	Director	2014
Montreal, Quebec, Canada	Director, Birks Group Inc. (designer, manufacturer and retailer of jewelry, timepieces, silverware and gifts), National Bank Trust (asset management and trust services firm), National Bank Life Insurance Company, Yorbeau Resources Inc. (gold exploration company), ADF Group Inc. (complex structural steel and heavy built-up steel components for the non-residential construction industry), and Laurentian Pilotage Authority (regulates operations of pilotage services on the St. Lawrence River)	
	Director, Redline Communications Group Inc., 2010 to 2013 (wireless communications network designer and manufacturer)	

Edgar Filing: INTERTAPE POLYMER GROUP INC - Form 20-F

Robert J. Foster	Partner and Advisory Partner 1981 to 2012 of Raymond Chabot Grant Thornton Director	2010
Toronto, Ontario, Canada	Chief Executive Officer and President, Capital Canada Limited (investment banking firm)	
James Pantelidis	Director	2012
Toronto, Ontario, Canada	Director and Chairman of the Board of Parkland Fuel Corporation (distributor and marketer of fuels and lubricants)	
Jorge N. Quintas	Director and Chairman of the Board of EnerCare Inc. (home services company) Director	2009
Porto, Portugal	President, Nelson Quintas SGPS, SA (manufacturer of electrical and telecommunication cables)	
Mary Pat Salomone	Director (2)	2016
Naples, Florida	Senior Vice President and COO, The Babcock & Wilcox Company 2010 to 2013 (power generation company)	
	Director, TransCanda Corporation (energy infrastructure company)	
	Director, TransCanada Pipelines Limited (energy infrastructure company)	
Gregory A.C. Yull	Director	2010
Sarasota, Florida	CEO and President of the Company since June 2010, President Tapes and Films Division of the Company, 2008 through 2010; prior to that served as Executive Vice President, Industrial Business Unit for Tapes and Films since November 2004	
Melbourne F. Yull	Director	1989-2006
Sarasota, Florida	Executive Director through June 8, 2010	2007
	June 2006 June 2007 Retired	
	Prior thereto he was Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of the Company	
	Father of Gregory A.C. Yull	

(1) On June 4, 2015, the Board of Directors appointed Mr. Bunze as the new Chairman of the Board following the retirement of the former Chairman, Mr. Eric E. Baker.

(2)

On November 30, 2015, the Board of Directors appointed Ms. Salomone as a new member of the Board of Directors.

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements****Senior Management**

The following table sets forth the name, residence and position of each member of senior management of the Company as of the date hereof, as well as the date upon which each was first elected:

Name and City of Residence	Position and Occupation	First Elected To Office
Gregory A.C. Yull Sarasota, Florida	Chief Executive Officer & President	2010
Jeffrey Crystal, CPA, CA Sarasota, Florida	Chief Financial Officer	2014
Douglas Nalette ¹ Sarasota, Florida	Senior Vice President, Operations	2006
Shawn Nelson ¹ Longboat Key, Florida	Senior Vice President Sales	2010
Joseph Tocci ¹ Bradenton, Florida	Senior Vice President, Logistics and Supply Chain	2013
Bradenton, Florida		

¹ Officer of Intertape Polymer Corp., a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company

The principal occupation of each member of senior management for the last five (5) years is as follows:

Gregory A.C. Yull was appointed Chief Executive Officer and President on June 8, 2010. He was President, Tapes & Films, from 2008 to June 2010. Gregory A.C. Yull is a son of Melbourne F. Yull.

Jeffrey Crystal was appointed Chief Financial Officer on May 9, 2014. Prior to that he served as Vice President of Finance of Primo International since December 2013. Prior to that he served as Chief Financial Officer of American Iron & Metal from June 2008 to February 2013.

Douglas Nalette was appointed Senior Vice President Operations in 2006.

Shawn Nelson was appointed Senior Vice President Sales in 2010. Prior to that he served as Senior Vice President Industrial Channel since 2006.

Joseph Tocci was appointed Senior Vice President of Logistics and Supply Chain in 2013. Prior to that he served as Senior Vice President of Corporate Marketing, Research & Development, and Supply Chain since 2012. Prior to that he served as Senior Vice President of Corporate Marketing and Supply Chain since 2011. Prior to that he served as Senior Vice President of Consumer and Supply Chain since 2009.

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements****B. COMPENSATION**

The following table sets forth the compensation paid, and benefits in kind granted, to the Company's Directors and senior management for the last fiscal year for services in all capacities to the Company, including contingent and deferred compensation.

2015 Name and principal position	Annual Compensation Performance Share Unit Plan					
	Salary (1) \$	Bonus \$	Other \$	Director/ Committee Fees (2) \$	Deferred Share Awards granted	Share Unit Plan Awards granted
Eric E. Baker						
Director			14,539 (3)	47,733		9,245
Robert M. Beil						
Director				47,000		4,775
George J. Bunze						
Director, Chairman (4)				79,500		6,965
Frank Di Tomaso						
Director				50,500		3,000
Robert J. Foster						
Director				53,000		6,878
James Pantelidis						
Director				46,000		3,000
Jorge N. Quintas						
Director				41,000		5,964
Mary Pat Salomone						
Director (5)				3,000		
Melbourne F. Yull						
Director			260,935 (6)	45,000		6,315
Gregory A.C. Yull						
Director, Chief Executive Officer & President	543,269	412,500	41,655 (7)		72,960	
Jeffrey Crystal						
Chief Financial Officer	337,235	254,926	5,142 (8)		20,550	

Douglas Nalette

Senior Vice-President

Operations	347,352	175,048	15,000
------------	---------	---------	--------

Shawn Nelson

Senior Vice-President

Sales	330,918	166,640	15,000
-------	---------	---------	--------

Joseph Tocci

Senior Vice-President Logistics
& Supply Chain

	308,985	155,714	10,410
--	---------	---------	--------

- (1) Represents amounts included in each executive's W-2, rather than the base salary amount.
- (2) Represents total compensation for Board and Committee fees, which includes both cash payments and the value of DSUs elected in lieu of cash for such fees.
- (3) Represents amounts paid with respect to a service award. Mr. Baker retired from the Board in June 2015.
- (4) On June 4, 2015, the Board of Directors appointed Mr. Bunze as the new Chairman of the Board following the retirement of the former Chairman, Mr. Baker.
- (5) On November 30, 2015, the Board of Directors appointed Ms. Salomone as a new member of the Board of Directors.
- (6) Mr. Yull receives a pension from Intertape (see Pension and Other Post-Retirement Benefit Plans subsection below).
- (7) Represents a Company leased vehicle, club membership and associated tax gross up paid by Intertape to Mr. Yull pursuant to the terms of Mr. Yull's employment agreement.
- (8) Represents amounts paid with respect to relocation.

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements****2015 Senior Management Bonus Plan**

Each of the members of senior management, received a performance bonus for 2015. Bonuses were paid based on the level of achievement of financial objectives of the Company. The Company attributes to each executive, depending on his or her management level, a bonus target level set as a percentage of his or her salary, representing the amount which will be paid if all objectives are achieved according to the targets set. Actual bonuses may vary between zero and twice the target bonus, based on the level of achievement of the predetermined objectives set out at the beginning of the fiscal year. The objectives and weight attached thereto are re-evaluated on an annual basis by the Compensation Committee and communicated to the relevant individuals.

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015, the bonuses were based on the Company achieving certain target amounts for:

(i) Adjusted EBITDA, which the Company defines as net earnings (loss) before: (i) interest and other finance costs; (ii) income tax expense (benefit); (iii) amortization of intangible assets; (iv) depreciation of property, plant and equipment; (v) manufacturing facility closures, restructuring and other related charges; (vi) stock-based compensation expense (benefit); (vii) impairment of goodwill; (viii) impairment (reversal of impairment) of long-lived assets and other assets; (ix) write-down on assets classified as held-for-sale; (x) (gain) loss on disposal of property, plant, and equipment and (xi) other discrete items as disclosed; and

(ii) Cash flows from operating activities.

At the Compensation Committee's recommendation, the Board of Directors elected to use Adjusted EBITDA in determining bonuses for 2015 because certain expenses and charges expected (at the time of the Board's election) to be incurred by the Company during the year (*e.g.*, manufacturing facility closures, restructuring and other related charges) were viewed to be in the long term interest of the Company and that such amounts should not impact the ability of senior management to achieve the performance bonus targets.

The target amount for Adjusted EBITDA for 2015 was set at \$116,000,000 (the Adjusted EBITDA Target) and the target amount for cash flows from operating activities was set at \$83,400,000 (the Cash Flows Target). The Company's Adjusted EBITDA was \$102,019,000 which was 87.9% of the Adjusted EBITDA Target. The Company's cash flows from operating activities for 2015 was \$102,268,000 which was 122.6% of the Cash Flows Target.

The following table presents the target incentive compensation as a percentage of salary, the indicators used in 2015 to measure the Company's performance for purposes of the short term incentive compensation program and their relative weight.

		Gregory A.C. Yull	Jeffrey Crystal	Shawn Nelson	Douglas Nalette	Joseph Tocci
2015 Annual Eligible Base Salary		\$ 550,000	\$ 339,900	\$ 333,282	\$ 350,097	\$ 311,427
Incentive compensation as a percentage of salary	Minimum	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

Edgar Filing: INTERTAPE POLYMER GROUP INC - Form 20-F

	Target	100%	75%	50%	50%	50%
	Maximum	150%	150%	100%	100%	100%
Relative weight of financial indicators						
	<i>Adjusted EBITDA</i>	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%
	<i>Cash flows from operating activities</i>	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%
	<i>Personal Performance Metrics</i>	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

The bonus is calculated using, for each of the Adjusted EBITDA and Cash flows from operating activities objectives, the following formula and is equal to the sum of all results:

$$\text{Annual Eligible Base salary at target} \times \text{Bonus percentage (as determined based on the performance relative to the applicable objective's target and as capped by the applicable maximum)} \times \text{Weight of financial indicator}$$

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements**

For purposes of the above calculation, bonus percentage is between 35% and 100% if between approximately 90% and 100% of the target objectives were achieved by the Company, respectively. For achievement between 90% and 100%, the bonus percentage is interpolated between 35% and 100%. For achievement above 100%, the bonus percentage is capped at 100% for purposes of calculating the bonuses for each of the Adjusted EBITDA and cash flows from operating activities objectives but such achievement triggers respective additional reach bonuses described below.

The members of senior management were also eligible for an additional bonus calculated using an Adjusted EBITDA target amount of \$123,000,000 (the Reach Adjusted EBITDA Target). This additional bonus is calculated using the following formula (note that the fraction below is capped by the applicable maximum (i.e., it cannot exceed 1)):

$$\frac{\text{Actual Adjusted EBITDA} - \text{Adjusted EBITDA Target}}{\text{Maximum bonus amount} - \text{Target bonus amount}} \times \text{Weight of financial indicator}$$

The members of senior management, were also eligible for an additional bonus calculated using a Cash flows from operating activities target amount of \$91,700,000 (the Reach Cash Flows Target). This additional bonus is calculated using the following formula (note that the fraction below is capped by the applicable maximum (i.e., it cannot exceed 1)):

$$\frac{\text{Actual Cash flows from operating activities} - \text{Cash Flows Target}}{\text{Maximum bonus amount} - \text{Target bonus amount}} \times \text{Weight of financial indicator}$$

The following table presents the objectives for 2015 approved by the Board of Directors and the results achieved by the Company.

	Target	Result	Evaluation of Performance
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 116,000,000	\$ 102,019,000	87.9%
Cash flows from operating activities	\$ 83,400,000	\$ 102,268,000	122.6%
Reach Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 123,000,000	\$ 102,019,000	82.9%
Reach Cash Flows	\$ 91,700,000	\$ 102,268,000	111.5%

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements**

The following table presents, for each target objective, the bonus amount earned by each member of senior management for 2015.

	Gregory A.C. Yull	Jeffrey Crystal	Shawn Nelson	Douglas Nalette	Joseph Tocci
Adjusted EBITDA	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Cash Flows from Operating Activities	\$ 275,000	\$ 127,463	\$ 83,320	\$ 87,524	\$ 77,857
Reach Adjusted EBITDA	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Reach Cash Flows	\$ 137,500	\$ 127,463	\$ 83,320	\$ 87,524	\$ 77,857
Total	\$ 412,500	\$ 254,926	\$ 166,640	\$ 175,048	\$ 155,714

Defined Contribution Pension Plans

The Company maintains defined contribution pension plans in the United States and Canada. Each member of senior management participates in the US Plan. The US Plan is a defined contribution pension plan and qualifies as a deferred salary arrangement under section 401(k) of the United States Internal Revenue Code. Under the US Plan, employees who have been employed for at least 90 days may defer a portion of their pre-tax earnings subject to statutory limitations. The Company may make discretionary contributions for the benefit of eligible employees. The US Plan permits eligible employees to choose how their account balances are invested on their behalf within a range of investment options provided by third-party fund managers. The following table sets out the Company's contributions to the pension plan payable for 2015 for each member of senior management.

Name	Company Contributions \$
Gregory A.C. Yull	\$ 14,575
Jeffrey Crystal	\$ 14,575
Douglas Nalette	\$ 14,575
Shawn Nelson	\$ 14,575
Joseph Tocci	\$ 14,575

Total Cash Payments

Total cash payments for employee future benefits for 2015, consisting of cash contributed by the Company to its unfunded pension plans, cash payments directly to beneficiaries for its unfunded other benefit plans, cash contributed to its defined contribution plans and cash contributed to its multi-employer defined benefit plans, were \$5.4 million (\$5.9 million in 2014).

Executive Employment Contracts and Change of Control Agreements

The following agreements between the Company and members of senior management were in effect at the end of 2015.

The Company entered into change of control agreements as of January 2001 with Shawn Nelson, as of October 28, 2004 with Douglas Nalette, and as of September 8, 2006 with Joseph Tocci. These agreements provide that if, within a period of six months after a change of control of the Company: (a) the executive voluntarily terminates his employment with the Company; or (b) the Company terminates the executive's employment without cause, such executive will be entitled to, subject to the restrictions of Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, in deferred compensation, a lump sum in the case of his resignation or an indemnity in lieu of notice in a lump sum in the case of his termination, equal to 12 months of such executive's base remuneration at the effective date of such resignation or termination, and continued insurance coverage then in effect if permitted by its carrier during such period.

Furthermore, these agreements also provide that if during the term of the executive's employment a *bona fide* offer is made to all shareholders of the Company which, if accepted, would result in a change of control of the Company, then, subject to any applicable law, all of the executive's options which have not yet become vested and exercisable shall become vested and exercisable immediately. Upon expiry of such *bona fide* offer, if it does not result in a change of control of the Company, all of the executive's unexercised options which were not vested prior to such offer, shall immediately revert to their unvested status and to their former provisions with respect to the time of their vesting.

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements**

On August 2, 2010, the Company entered into an Executive Employment Agreement with Gregory A.C. Yull. Pursuant to the terms of the Agreement, Mr. Yull shall receive an annual base salary of \$450,000, increased to \$475,000 commencing June 1, 2011, \$500,000 commencing on June 1, 2012. Annual base salary adjustment shall be determined by the Board as of June 1, 2013 and thereafter. Mr. Yull shall also be entitled to a performance bonus for each fiscal year ranging from zero to 150% of his then current annual base salary based on the achievement of specific goals that are mutually agreed to between Mr. Yull and the Board. For 2015, Mr. Yull's bonus was based on the Company achieving certain target amounts for Adjusted EBITDA Targets and Cash Flow Targets, as further described above in the Section entitled "2015 Senior Management Bonus Plan". During the first three years of Mr. Yull's employment, commencing June 8, 2010, Mr. Yull was to have been granted 350,000 stock options annually in accordance with the Company's Executive Stock Option Plan ("ESOP") and thereafter at the discretion of the Board of Directors. In 2012, instead of receiving an award of 350,000 stock options in accordance with his employment agreement, Mr. Yull agreed to receive 500,905 stock appreciation rights under the Company's 2012 Stock Appreciation Rights Plan described below. The options granted during each of the first three years became, or shall become, exercisable in annual increments of 25% on each of the first four anniversaries of the grant date. Such options shall expire on the tenth anniversary of the grant date, subject to the early expiry provisions of the ESOP. The exercise price of such options shall be equal to the closing market price on the last trading day prior to the date of such grant. Fifty percent (50%) of the shares acquired by Mr. Yull pursuant to the exercise of the options granted under the Executive Employment Agreement must be retained by Mr. Yull and not sold or disposed of for a period of three years following the date when the option was exercised.

Provided Mr. Yull has served under the Agreement a minimum of five years, unless earlier terminated by the Company without cause or by Mr. Yull for Good Reason as defined in the Agreement, he shall receive a defined benefit supplementary pension annually for life equal to the lesser of: (i) \$600,000 if he separates from service at age 65 or older, \$570,000 at age 64, \$540,000 at age 63, \$510,000 at age 62, \$480,000 at age 61, or \$450,000 at age 60; and (ii) two percent of the average of his total cash compensation (base salary and performance bonus) for the highest five years of his employment during the prior ten years as of the time of separation, multiplied by his years of service with the Company. In the event of Mr. Yull's death, his surviving spouse would receive 50% of the annual supplement pension benefit within ninety days of his death and continuing annually during her lifetime.

In the event the Company terminates Mr. Yull's employment for any reason other than cause, or Mr. Yull terminates his employment for Good Reason as defined in the Agreement, Mr. Yull shall be entitled to severance pay in an amount equal to two times the sum of his base salary and the average performance bonus paid to Mr. Yull in the last two fiscal years ending on the date prior to his date of termination. Subject to the restrictions of Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, such amount shall be paid 65% in a lump sum and the balance in eight equal quarterly instalments. In addition, all unvested options that would otherwise vest during the 24 months following the date of termination shall be immediately vested and remain exercisable for a period of twelve months. Lastly, the retirement benefits set forth above shall vest.

In the event that Mr. Yull's employment is terminated as a result of his Permanent Disability, as defined in the Agreement, or death, he shall be entitled to receive: (i) accrued and unpaid base salary earned up to the date of termination; (ii) a pro-rated performance bonus that he would have received in respect of the fiscal year in which the termination occurred; (iii) vacation pay earned up to the date of termination; and (iv) provided the date of termination is on or after the fifth year anniversary of the Agreement, the retirement benefits set forth above shall vest. In addition, all unvested stock options held by Mr. Yull shall immediately vest and remain exercisable for a period of nine months following the date of termination for Permanent Disability or death.

In the event that Mr. Yull's employment is terminated by the Company without cause or for Good Reason within two years of a Change of Control, as defined in the Agreement, then he shall be entitled to receive: (i) accrued and unpaid base salary earned up to the date of termination; (ii) a pro-rated performance bonus that he would have received in respect of the fiscal year in which the termination occurred, based upon the average performance bonus paid to Mr. Yull in the last two fiscal years; (iii) vacation pay earned up to the date of termination; and (iv) severance pay in an amount equal to three times the sum of his base salary and the average performance bonus paid in the last two fiscal years immediately preceding the date of termination. In addition, all unvested stock options held by Mr. Yull shall immediately vest and remain exercisable for a period of 36 months following the date of termination, and the retirement benefits set forth above shall vest. Mr. Yull shall also be entitled to participate, at his cost, in the benefits under the Company's medical and dental benefit program until such time as he reaches the age of eligibility for coverage under Medicare. Lastly, disability and life insurance benefits shall be provided for the benefit of Mr. Yull pursuant to any benefit plans and programs then provided by the Company generally to its executives and continue for a period of 36 months following the date of termination.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Mr. Yull has also agreed to a customary non-compete for two years from the date of termination.

On March 21, 2014, the Company and Mr. Crystal mutually agreed to certain terms of employment. Under these terms, Mr. Crystal receives an annual base salary of \$330,000. Mr. Crystal is also entitled to a bonus ranging from zero to 50% of his then-current annual base salary based on the achievement of certain target amounts for Adjusted EBITDA Targets and Cash Flow Targets, with the bonus opportunity increasing to 100% of his then-current annual base salary based on the achievement of certain stretch Adjusted EBITDA goals, as further described above in the Section entitled "2015 Senior Management Bonus Plan" (also as further described in such section, certain percentages set forth in the terms of Mr. Crystal's employment were adjusted in connection with the calculation of his 2015 bonus). In addition, the Company agreed to cover certain of Mr. Crystal's relocation costs. Further, the terms provide that Mr. Crystal will be entitled to severance pay in an amount equal to twelve months base annual salary, or if Mr. Crystal were terminated within six months of change of control, he will be entitled to severance pay in an amount equal to eighteen months base annual salary. Alternatively, if Mr. Crystal were to resign within six months of change of control, or the Company were to terminate Mr. Crystal after six months of change of control, he will be entitled to severance pay in an amount equal to twelve months base annual salary. Mr. Crystal shall also be entitled to continue insurance coverage then in effect if permitted by its carrier during such period.

Executive Stock Option Plan

In 1992, the Company adopted the Executive Stock Option Plan (the "ESOP"). Since its adoption, the ESOP has been amended on several occasions. The ESOP provides that the total number of common shares reserved for issuance thereunder is equal to 10% of the issued and outstanding common shares of the Company from time to time. The ESOP is considered to be an "evergreen" plan, because the number of common shares covered by options which have been exercised will be available for subsequent grants under the ESOP and the number of options available for grants increases as the number of issued and outstanding common shares of the Company increases. As such, under the rules of the Toronto Stock Exchange, a security-based arrangement such as the ESOP must, when initially put in place, receive shareholder approval at a duly-called meeting of shareholders and the unallocated options are subject to ratification by shareholders every three years thereafter. All unallocated options under the ESOP were ratified, confirmed and approved by shareholders at a special meeting of shareholders of the Company held on June 4, 2015.

The purpose of the ESOP is to promote a proprietary interest in the Company among the executives, key employees and directors of the Company and its subsidiaries, in order to both encourage such persons to further the development of the Company and assist the Company in attracting and retaining key personnel necessary for the Company's long-term success. The Board of Directors designates from time-to-time those persons to whom options are to be granted and determines the number of common shares subject to such options. Generally, participation in the ESOP is limited to persons holding positions that can have an impact on the Company's long-term results.

The number of common shares to which the options relate is determined by taking into account, *inter alia*, the market value of the common shares and each optionee's base salary.

The following is a description of certain features of the ESOP (for further details regarding the ESOP, please see Exhibit 4.1 to this Form 20-F):

(a)

options expire not later than ten years after the date of grant and, unless otherwise determined by the Board of Directors, all vested options under a particular grant expire 24 months after the vesting date of the last tranche of such grant;

- (b) options that are granted to directors who are not executives officers of the Corporation vest 25% on the date of grant, with another 25% vesting on each of the first three anniversaries of the date of the grant. Under the current amended plan, all other options granted vest as to one-third on each of the first, second and third anniversaries of the date of grant. Previously, the ESOP provided that such stock options granted, other than to directors who are not executives, vest 25% per year over four years.
- (c) the exercise price of the options is determined by the Board of Directors, but cannot be less than the Market Value of the common shares of the Company, defined in the ESOP as the closing price of the common shares on the Toronto Stock Exchange for the day immediately preceding the effective date of the grant; and
- (d) certain limitations exist on the number of options, common shares reserved for issuance, number of common shares issuable and the number of common shares issued to certain individuals over certain time periods.

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements**

As of December 31, 2015, there were options outstanding under the ESOP to purchase an aggregate of 1,617,500 common shares, representing 2.8% of the issued and outstanding common shares of the Company, and a total of 950,625 options exercisable. During 2015, no options were granted.

Year-End Unexercised Options and Option Values

The following table sets out for each of the Directors and members of senior management the total number of unexercised options held as of December 31, 2015 and the value of such unexercised options at that date.

Name	Number of unexercised options at fiscal year-end		Value of unexercised in the money options at fiscal year-end		CDN\$ ⁽¹⁾
	Exercisable	Unexercisable	Exercisable	Unexercisable	
Eric E. Baker ⁽²⁾	/	/	/	/	
Robert M. Beil	20,000	2,500	262,525	16,625	
George J. Bunze	12,500	2,500	135,575	16,625	
Frank Di Tomaso	/	/	/	/	
Robert J. Foster	7,500	2,500	49,875	16,625	
Jorge N. Quintas	15,000	2,500	176,825	16,625	
James Pantelidis	7,500	2,500	49,875	16,625	
Mary Pat Salomone	/	/	/	/	
Melbourne F. Yull	30,000	2,500	427,525	16,625	
Gregory A.C. Yull	522,500	252,500	7,125,726	1,617,925	
Jeffrey Crystal	8,125	24,375	53,219	159,626	
Douglas Nalette	83,125	49,375	1,060,638	315,913	
Shawn Nelson	98,125	49,375	1,308,138	315,913	
Joseph Tocci	42,500	40,000	408,075	258,350	

(1) The value of unexercised in-the-money options is calculated using the closing price of the common shares of Intertape on the Toronto Stock Exchange on December 31, 2015 (CDN\$18.69 less the respective exercise prices of the options).

(2) Mr. Baker retired from the Board in June, 2015

2012 Stock Appreciation Rights Plan

The Board of Directors of the Company adopted the 2012 Stock Appreciation Rights Plan on June 20, 2012 in lieu of granting stock options in 2012. The purpose of the 2012 Stock Appreciation Rights Plan is to: (a) promote a proprietary interest in the Company among its executives and directors; (b) encourage the Company's executives and directors to further the Company's development; and (c) attract and retain the key employees necessary for the Company's long-term success. The 2012 Stock Appreciation Rights Plan is administered by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors of the Company and authorizes the Company to award stock appreciation rights (SARs) to eligible persons. A SAR, as defined by the Company's plan, is a right to receive a cash payment equal to the difference between the base price of the SAR and the market value of a common share of the Company on the date of exercise. These SARs can only be settled in cash and expire no later than 10 years after the date of the grant. The award agreements provide that these SARs granted to employees and executives will vest and may be exercisable

25% per year over four years. The SARs granted to directors, who are not officers of the Company, will vest and may be exercisable 25% on the grant date, and a further 25% will vest and may be exercisable per year over three years. The Stock Appreciation Rights Plan was amended to include the following language during the year: the base price of each SAR is confirmed in writing by the Compensation Committee to the participant at the time of grant and once so confirmed, may not be changed and once the expiry date of SARs is determined in the applicable Grant Agreement, such expiry date may not be extended. No SARs were granted in 2015.

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements**

The following table sets out for each of the Directors and members of senior management the total number of SARs held as of December 31, 2015 and the value of such unexercised SARs at that date.

Name	Number of unexercised SARs at fiscal year-end		Value of unexercised SARs at fiscal year-end		CDN\$ ⁽¹⁾
	Exercisable	Unexercisable	Exercisable	Unexercisable	
Eric E. Baker ⁽²⁾		/		/	
Robert M. Beil	10,000	/	111,300	/	
George J. Bunze	10,000	/	111,300	/	
Frank Di Tomaso		/		/	
Robert J. Foster	10,000	/	111,300	/	
James Pantelidis	30,000	/	333,900	/	
Jorge N. Quintas	10,000	/	111,300	/	
Mary Pat Salomone		/		/	
Melbourne F. Yull	10,000	/	111,300	/	
Gregory A.C. Yull	125,226	/	125,226	1,393,765	1,393,765
Jeffrey Crystal		/		/	
Douglas Nalette	20,000	/	20,000	222,600	222,600
Shawn Nelson	20,000	/	20,000	222,600	222,600
Joseph Tocci	60,000	/	20,000	667,800	222,600

(1) The value of unexercised SARs is calculated using the closing price of the common shares of Intertape on the Toronto Stock Exchange on December 31, 2015 (CDN\$18.69 less the base price of the SARs).

(2) Mr. Baker retired from the Board in June 2015

Performance Share Unit Plan

On April 22, 2014, the Board of Directors of the Company adopted the PSU Plan. The purpose of the PSU Plan is to provide participants with a proprietary interest in the Company to (a) increase the incentives of those participants who share primary responsibility for the management, growth and protection of the business of the Company, (b) furnish an incentive to such participants to continue their services for the Company and (c) provide a means through which the Company may attract potential employees. The PSU Plan is administered by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors of the Company and authorizes the Company to award PSUs to eligible persons. A PSU, as defined by the Company's PSU Plan, represents the right of a participant, once such PSU is earned and has vested in accordance with the PSU Plan, to receive the number of common shares of the Company underlying the PSU. Furthermore, a participant will receive a cash payment from the Company upon PSU settlement that is equivalent to the number of shares issued or delivered to the participant multiplied by the amount of cash dividends per share declared by the Company between the date of grant and the third anniversary of the grant date. PSUs are net-settled to satisfy minimum statutory tax withholding requirements.

PSUs are expensed straight-line over their vesting period. The fair value of the PSU is based on the close price for the common shares of the Company on the Toronto Stock Exchange on the date of the grant adjusted for market-based performance conditions. The PSUs are earned over a three-year period with vesting at the third anniversary of the grant date. The number of shares earned can range from 0 to 150% of the grant amount based on entity performance

criteria, specifically the total shareholder return ranking versus a specified peer group of companies.

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements****PSU Grants During the Most Recently Completed Fiscal Year**

The following table sets out the details of all PSU grants to the members of senior management during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015.

Name	% of total PSU awards granted in financial year		Market value on date of grant		Expiration date
	PSU Awards granted		CDN\$		
Gregory A.C. Yull	72,960	20%	\$ 16.29		3/13/2018
Jeffrey Crystal	20,550	6%	\$ 16.29		3/13/2018
Douglas Nalette	15,000	4%	\$ 16.29		3/13/2018
Shawn Nelson	15,000	4%	\$ 16.29		3/13/2018
Joseph Tocci	10,410	3%	\$ 16.29		3/13/2018

Year-End Unvested PSU Shares and Values

The following table sets out for each of the members of senior management the total number of unvested PSU shares held as of December 31, 2015 and the value of such unvested shares at that date.

Name	Equivalent number of common stock shares at		Value of unvested shares CDN\$ ⁽²⁾
	Number of unvested PSU at fiscal year-end	fiscal year-end ⁽¹⁾	
Gregory A.C. Yull	112,960	169,440	3,166,834
Jeffrey Crystal	37,550	56,325	1,052,714
Douglas Nalette	25,000	37,500	700,875
Shawn Nelson	25,000	37,500	700,875
Joseph Tocci	18,010	27,015	504,910

- (1) The equivalent number of common stock shares earned is based on the Corporation's level of attainment of the performance objective measured at December 31, 2015. Based on the first quartile TSR ranking, equivalent common shares are 150% of PSU's granted.
- (2) The value of unvested shares is calculated using the closing price of the common shares of Intertape on the Toronto Stock Exchange on December 31, 2015 (CDN\$18.69).

Deferred Share Unit Plan

On April 22, 2014, the Board of Directors of the Company adopted the DSU Plan. The purpose of the DSU Plan is to provide participants with a form of compensation which promotes greater alignment of the interests of the participants and the shareholders of the Company in creating long-term shareholder value. The DSU Plan is administered by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors of the Company and authorizes the Company to award DSUs to any member of the Board of Directors of the Company that is not an executive officer or employee of the Company. A DSU, as defined by the Company's DSU Plan, represents the right of a participant to receive a common share of the

Company. Under the DSU Plan, each director is entitled to receive DSUs as a result of a grant and/or in lieu of cash for semi-annual directors' fees. DSUs are settled when the director ceases to be a member of the Board of Directors of the Company. DSUs are net-settled to satisfy minimum statutory tax withholding requirements.

DSUs received as a result of a grant are expensed immediately. The fair value of DSUs is based on the close price for the common shares of the Company on the Toronto Stock Exchange on the date of the grant. DSUs received in lieu of cash for directors' fees are expensed as earned over the service period.

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements****DSU Grants During the Most Recently Completed Fiscal Year**

The following table sets out the details for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015 of all DSU grants to Directors, including DSUs elected in lieu of cash by the Directors for semi-annual Director fees. Amounts presented do not include DSUs elected in lieu of cash for semi-annual fees earned that were not granted as of December 31, 2015.

Name	% of total DSU Market value on date		of grant CDN\$	Expiration date
	DSU Awards granted	awards granted in financial year		
Eric E. Baker	3,222	7%	\$ 17.74	n/a
	3,000	7%	\$ 19.25	n/a
	3,023	7%	\$ 19.31	n/a
Robert M. Beil	703	2%	\$ 17.74	n/a
	3,000	7%	\$ 19.25	n/a
	1,072	2%	\$ 14.24	n/a
George J. Bunze	1,689	4%	\$ 17.74	n/a
	3,000	7%	\$ 19.25	n/a
	2,276	5%	\$ 14.24	n/a
Frank Di Tomaso	3,000	7%	\$ 19.25	n/a
	1,689	4%	\$ 17.74	n/a
Robert J. Foster	3,000	7%	\$ 19.25	n/a
	2,189	5%	\$ 14.24	n/a
James Pantelidis	3,000	7%	\$ 19.25	n/a
	1,126	2%	\$ 17.74	n/a
Jorge N. Quintas	3,000	7%	\$ 19.25	n/a
	1,838	4%	\$ 14.24	n/a
Mary Pat Salomone				n/a
Melbourne F. Yull	1,345	3%	\$ 17.74	n/a
	3,000	7%	\$ 19.25	n/a
	1,970	4%	\$ 14.24	n/a

Year-End Unsettled DSU Shares and Values

The following table sets out for each of the Directors the total number of unsettled DSU shares held as of December 31, 2015 and the value of such unsettled shares at that date.

Name	Number of unsettled shares at Value of unsettled shares	
	fiscal year-end(1)	CDN\$ (1)(2)
Eric E. Baker		
Robert M. Beil	8,749	163,519
George J. Bunze	12,032	224,878
Frank Di Tomaso	6,000	112,140
Robert J. Foster	12,144	226,971

Edgar Filing: INTERTAPE POLYMER GROUP INC - Form 20-F

James Pantelidis	6,000	112,140
Jorge N. Quintas	10,594	198,002
Mary Pat Salomone		
Melbourne F. Yull	11,064	206,789

- (1) Amounts presented do not include DSUs elected in lieu of cash for semi-annual directors' fees earned that were not yet granted as of December 31, 2015.
- (2) The value of unvested shares is calculated using the closing price of the common shares of Intertape on the Toronto Stock Exchange on December 31, 2015 (CDN\$18.69).
- (3) Mr. Baker retired from the Board in June 2015.

The following table sets out for each of the Directors the total number of DSUs elected in lieu of cash for semi-annual directors' fees earned that were not yet granted as of December 31, 2015.

Name	Number of DSUs not yet granted at 12/31/15 (1)	Fees Earned for which DSUs were elected in lieu of cash CDN\$
Eric E. Baker ⁽²⁾		
Robert M. Beil	809	15,020
George J. Bunze	3,848	71,427
Frank Di Tomaso		
Robert J. Foster	2,013	37,383
James Pantelidis		
Jorge N. Quintas	1,438	26,702
Mary Pat Salomone		
Melbourne F. Yull	1,618	30,040

- (1) Estimated DSUs to be granted in lieu of cash for semi-annual directors fee earned based on five-day volume weighted average of the closing price of the common shares of Intertape on the Toronto Stock Exchange on December 31, 2015 (CDN\$18.56).
- (2) Mr. Baker retired from the Board in June 2015

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Pension and Other Post Retirement Benefit Plans

Melbourne F. Yull was Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer of the Company from January 11, 1995 to June 14, 2006. Prior thereto, Mr. Yull was the President and a director of the Company or a predecessor thereof, from 1981. The former employment agreement entered into between the Company and Mr. Yull provides that Mr. Yull receive from the Company a defined benefit supplementary pension annually for life in an amount equal to 2% of the average of Mr. Yull's annual gross salary for the final five years of his employment with the Company, multiplied by his years of service with the Company to retirement. Accordingly, Mr. Yull receives a pension from the Company in an amount of \$260,935 per year.

Clawback Policy

In April 2014, the Board of Directors adopted a clawback policy, pursuant to which the Company will recoup from executive officers or employees and its subsidiaries, as the case may be, annual incentive bonuses, special bonuses, other incentive compensation and equity-based awards, whether vested or unvested, paid, issued or granted to them, in the event of fraud, restatement of the Company's financial results, material errors or omissions in the Company's financial statements, or other events as may be determined from time to time by the Board of Directors in its discretion. To date, the Company has not been required to apply the clawback policy.

C. BOARD PRACTICES

Term

The Company has nine Directors. Each Director is elected for a term of one year and may be nominated for re-election at the Company's following annual shareholders' meeting. The next annual shareholders' meeting is scheduled to be held on June 9, 2016, at which time the current term of each Director will expire.

Human Resources and Compensation Committee

The Human Resources and Compensation Committee is appointed by the Board and is currently composed of four directors, Robert M. Beil (Chairman), Robert J. Foster, Jorge N. Quintas and Mary Pat Salomone, none of whom is or has been at any previous time an employee of the Company or any of its subsidiaries. Each of the Human Resources and Compensation Committee members is independent as that term is defined by the Toronto Stock Exchange and Sarbanes-Oxley Act.

Mr. Beil joined the Dow Chemical Company in 1975 after graduating from Youngstown State University with a BA Degree in Industrial Marketing. During a thirty-two-year career with Dow, Mr. Beil held numerous sales and marketing executive positions, where he had responsibility for the implementation of company compensation schemes for large organizations. In addition, he spent a portion of his career working in Dow's Human Resources function, which was responsible for compensation design for Dow, a Fortune 500 company.

Mr. Foster graduated from Queen's University with an MA in Economics, earning his CFA, then managed the research department and worked in corporate finance at one of the major investment dealers in Canada. He founded and serves as President and Chief Executive Officer of Capital Canada Limited, a boutique investment banking firm. He serves on a number of not-for-profit boards and was on the board and audit committee of CHC Helicopters Corporation and

Golf Town Income Trust.

Mr. Quintas graduated in Management at INP-Lisbon and initialized his professional career in ALCAN (England). Later he became a Board Member in several industrial companies from power and telecommunication cable production to optic

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

F=fibers. He was a Board Member at Portgás, a city gas distributor in Portugal. Presently Mr. Quintas is the Chairman of Nelson Quintas Group in Portugal and Board Member of: ECODEAL- dangerous waste recycling plant, NQT- Telecommunication Network in Rio de Janeiro (Brasil) and Audit Committee of Serralves Foundation.

Ms. Salomone graduated from Baldwin Wallace College with a Masters of Business Administration and from Youngstown State University with a Bachelor of Engineering in Civil Engineering. Ms. Salomone is a Director of TransCanda Corporation and TransCanada Pipelines Limited and has served in these roles since 2013. Ms. Salomone is also on the Audit Committee and Health, Safety and Environment Committee of TransCanada Corporation. Ms. Salomone was the Senior Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of The Babcock & Wilcox Company (B&W) (power generation company) from January 2010 to June 2013. Prior to that she served as Manager of Business Development from 2009 to 2010 and Manager of Strategic Acquisitions from 2008 to 2009 for Babcock & Wilcox Nuclear Operations Group, Inc. From 1998 through December 2007, Ms. Salomone served as an officer of the Marine Mechanical Corporation, which B&W acquired in 2007, including her term as President and Chief Executive Officer from 2001 through 2007. Ms. Salomone serves as a trustee of the Youngstown State University Foundation. She served on the board of directors of United States Enrichment Corporation from December 2011 to October 2012 and on the Naval Submarine League from 2007 to 2013. She was formerly a member of the Governor's Workforce Policy Advisory Board in Ohio and the Ohio Employee Ownership Center, and served on the board of Cleveland's Manufacturing Advocacy & Growth Network.

The mandate of the Human Resources and Compensation Committee consists of ensuring the direction and implementation of the Company's wage and compensation plans, policies, and programs, and in ensuring that a succession plan is put in place to deal with the Company's future needs regarding human resources, with respect to the Chief Executive Officer and other key executives.

The Human Resources and Compensation Committee Charter is included as Exhibit 15.2 to this Form 20-F.

Audit Committee

The Audit Committee is appointed by the Board and is currently composed of four Directors, Frank Di Tomaso (Chairman), Robert J. Foster, James Pantelidis, and Mary Pat Salomone. Each of the Audit Committee members is independent and financially literate as such terms are defined by Canadian Multilateral Instrument 52-110-*Audit Committees*.

Mr. Di Tomaso graduated from Concordia University with a Bachelor of Commerce in Accounting and is a Chartered Professional Accountant, a Fellow CPA, FCA and an ICD.D. Mr. Di Tomaso has over 45 years of experience in accounting and auditing. Mr. Di Tomaso was a Partner and Advisory Partner from 1981 until 2012 and served as Director and Member of the Management Committee from 2000 to 2009, of Raymond Chabot Grant Thornton. Mr. Di Tomaso currently serves as Director and Chair of the Audit Committee of ADF Group Inc., Birks Group Inc., and Yorbeau Resources Inc. He is also a Director of National Bank Trust, National Bank Life Insurance Company and Laurentian Pilotage Authority.

For Mr. Foster's professional experience, please see above under Human Resources and Compensation Committee.

Mr. Pantelidis graduated from McGill University with a Bachelor of Science degree and a Master of Business Administration. Mr. Pantelidis has over 30 years of experience in the petroleum industry. Mr. Pantelidis is Chairman of the Board of Parkland Fuel Corporation and has served as a director of Parkland Fuel Corporation since 1999.

Mr. Pantelidis is Chairman and Director of EnerCare Inc. since 2002. Mr. Pantelidis also serves on the Board of each of RONA Inc. (Chairman of the Human Resources); Industrial Alliance Insurance and Financial Services Inc. (Chairman of the Investment Committee and member of Human Resources and Compensation Committee). From 2002 to 2006, Mr. Pantelidis was on the board of FisherCast Global Corporation and served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer from 2004 to 2006. From 2002 to 2004, Mr. Pantelidis was President of J.P. & Associates, a strategic consulting group. Between 1999 and 2001, Mr. Pantelidis served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer for the Bata International Organization.

For Ms. Salomone's professional experience, please see above under Human Resources and Compensation Committee.

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements**

The Audit Committee fulfills applicable public corporation obligations required of audit committees and assists the Board in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities. The Audit Committee examines the financial reporting processes, internal controls, financial risk management and the audit process and procedures applied by the Company and makes recommendations to the Board in connection with the nomination of the external auditor.

The Audit Committee's Charter is included as Exhibit 15.3 to this Form 20-F.

D. EMPLOYEES

As of December 31, 2015 the Company had 1,970 total employees; 382 in Canada, 1,517 in the US, 61 in Portugal, and 10 in Mexico and Europe. As of December 31, 2015, 424 held either sales-related, administrative, information technology or research and development positions and 1,546 were employed in operations. Approximately 145 hourly employees at the Company's Marysville plant are unionized and subject to a collective bargaining agreement which expires on April 30, 2018. Approximately 212 hourly employees at the Company's Menasha plant are unionized and subject to a collective bargaining agreement which expires on July 31, 2018. Approximately 92 hourly employees at the Company's Carbondale plant are unionized and subject to a collective bargaining agreement which expires on March 4, 2017. Approximately 15 hourly employees at the Company's Delta, British Columbia plant are unionized and subject to a collective bargaining agreement which is scheduled to expire on March 31, 2019. Other than the strike at its Brantford, Ontario plant, which was closed in the second quarter of 2011, the Company has never experienced a work stoppage and it considers its employee relations to be satisfactory. The Company does not employ a significant number of temporary employees.

As of December 31, 2014, the Company had 1,896 total employees; 369 in Canada, 1,446 in the US, 67 in Portugal, and 14 in Mexico and Europe. As of December 31, 2014, 387 held either sales-related, administrative, information technology or research and development positions and 1,509 were employed in operations.

As of December 31, 2013, the Company had 1,869 total employees, 349 in Canada, 1,442 in the US, 67 in Portugal, and 11 in Mexico and Europe. As of December 31, 2013, 373 held either sales-related, administrative, information technology or research and development positions and 1,496 were employed in operations.

E. SHARE OWNERSHIP

The following table sets out for each of the Directors and members of senior management the number of shares of the Company owned or controlled by each, as of March 9, 2016.

Name	Number of Shares Owned	% of Shares Outstanding
Eric E. Baker(1)	N/A	N/A
Robert M. Beil	50,196	0.09%
George J. Bunze	53,371	0.09%
Frank Di Tomaso	10,000	0.02%
Robert J. Foster	65,000	0.11%

Edgar Filing: INTERTAPE POLYMER GROUP INC - Form 20-F

James Pantedilis	10,000	0.02%
Jorge N. Quintas	39,357	0.07%
Mary Pat Salomone		
Melbourne F. Yull	2,035,829	3.47%
Gregory A.C. Yull	595,464	1.01%
Jeffrey Crystal	4,200	0.01%
Douglas Nalette	108,849	0.19%
Shawn Nelson	131,596	0.22%
Joseph Tocci	63,252	0.11%

(1) Mr. Baker retired from the Board in June 2015.

As of March 9, 2016, the Directors and senior management owned an aggregate of 3,167,114 common shares of the Company, being 5.4% of the issued and outstanding common shares of the Company. The common shares held by the Directors and senior management do not have different voting rights from those held by the other shareholders of the Company.

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements**

Please see the heading "Executive Stock Option Plan" above in this section for a description of the Company's Amended Executive Stock Option Plan.

The following table sets forth all vested and unvested outstanding options granted to the Company's Directors and senior management through December 31, 2015:

Name	Number of options outstanding	Exercise price of options CDN\$	Expiration date of options
Eric E. Baker ⁽¹⁾			n/a
	2,500	2.19	6/10/2016
Robert M. Beil	10,000	1.55	6/7/2017
	10,000	12.04	6/5/2019
George J. Bunze	5,000	1.55	6/7/2017
	10,000	12.04	6/5/2019
Frank Di Tomaso			n/a
Robert J. Foster	10,000	12.04	6/5/2019
James Pantelidis	10,000	12.04	6/5/2019
	2,500	2.19	6/10/2016
Jorge N. Quintas	5,000	1.55	6/7/2017
	10,000	12.04	6/5/2019
Mary Pat Salomone			n/a
	12,500	2.19	6/10/2016
Melbourne F. Yull	10,000	1.55	6/7/2017
	10,000	12.04	6/5/2019
	350,000	1.55	6/7/2021
Gregory A.C. Yull	265,000	12.04	6/5/2023
	160,000	12.55	3/17/2024
Jeffrey Crystal	32,500	12.14	5/13/2020
	50,000	1.80	6/27/2017
Douglas Nalette	50,000	12.04	6/5/2019
	32,500	12.55	3/17/2020
	15,000	2.19	6/10/2016
Shawn Nelson	50,000	1.80	6/27/2017
	50,000	12.04	6/5/2019
	32,500	12.55	3/17/2020
	12,500	1.80	6/27/2017
Joseph Tocci	50,000	12.04	6/5/2019
	20,000	12.55	3/17/2020

(1) Mr. Baker retired from the Board in June 2015.

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements****Item 7: Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions****A. MAJOR SHAREHOLDERS**

As of December 31, 2015, to the knowledge of the Company, the following are the only persons who beneficially own, or exercise control or direction over, more than 5% of the issued and outstanding common shares of the Company (Major Shareholders). Also provided below is a three-year history of their stock ownership:

Name and place of residence	# / % 12/31/2015	# / % 12/31/2014	# / % 12/31/2013
FMR, LLC			
Boston, Massachusetts Letko, Brosseau & Associates Inc.	8,193,799 / 13.97 ⁽¹⁾	6,675,400 / 11.05	7,744,300 / 12.74
Montreal, Québec	3,408,070 / 5.80 ⁽²⁾	3,779,901 / 6.25	4,961,618 / 8.16

(1) Based on report dated February 12, 2016 filed by FMR LLC with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission.

(2) Based on report dated January 8, 2016 filed by Letko, Brosseau & Associates Inc. with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission.

The Major Shareholders of the Company do not have any voting rights that differ from the other shareholders of the Company.

As of December 31, 2015, the number record holders in Canada, the United States and elsewhere are 10,263, 818 and 222 respectively. Of the 58,667,535 common shares issued and outstanding, such record holders hold 28,747,092 shares in the United States, 26,987,066 shares in Canada and 2,933,377 shares elsewhere, equaling 49%, 46% and 5%, respectively.

The Company is not directly or indirectly owned or controlled by another corporation, by any foreign government or by any natural or legal person. There are no arrangements known to the Company that could result at a subsequent date in a change of control of the Company.

B. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

To the knowledge of the Company, none of its directors or officers or any person who beneficially owns or exercises control or direction over shares carrying more than ten percent of the voting rights attached to the Company's shares, or any associate or affiliate of any such person, has any material interest in any transaction since the beginning of the last completed financial year or in any proposed transactions that has materially affected or will materially affect the Company or any of its affiliates, other than as set forth below.

In June 2014, the Company engaged with a relocation management company to facilitate the purchase of Mr. Crystal's home in Montreal, Quebec, Canada to assist in the relocation to Sarasota, FL, U.S.A. The Company provided funding to the relocation management company to purchase the home for \$0.9 million. On April 15, 2015, the home was sold and the Company was reimbursed for the purchase funding.

C. INTERESTS OF EXPERTS AND COUNSEL

Not Applicable.

Item 8: Financial Information

Intertape's consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards.

A. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS AND OTHER FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The Consolidated Financial Statements of Intertape for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013 include the following:

Management's Responsibility for Financial Statements

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Independent Auditor's Report of Registered Public Accounting Firm

Independent Auditor's Report of Registered Public Accounting Firm on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Consolidated Financial Statements

Consolidated Earnings

Consolidated Comprehensive Income

Consolidated Changes in Shareholders' Equity

Consolidated Cash Flows

Consolidated Balance Sheets

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

76

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements****Legal or Arbitration Proceedings**

On July 3, 2014, the Company was informed of a complaint filed on June 27, 2014 by its former Chief Financial Officer with the Occupational Safety and Health Administration of the US Department of Labor (OSHA) alleging certain violations by the Company related to the terms of his employment and his termination. The Company aggressively contested the allegations and, it believes, demonstrated that the former Chief Financial Officer's assertions are without merit.

In a letter dated July 16, 2015, OSHA informed the Company that the former Chief Financial Officer had withdrawn his OSHA complaint in order to file a complaint against the Company in U.S. federal district court. The withdrawal occurred prior to any determination by OSHA regarding the complaint.

On November 5, 2015, the former Chief Financial Officer filed a lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida. The lawsuit is premised on essentially the same facts and makes essentially the same allegations as asserted in his OSHA complaint; the lawsuit seeks unspecified damages and a trial by jury. The Company is not currently able to predict the probability of a favourable or unfavourable outcome, or the amount of any possible loss in the event of an unfavourable outcome. Consequently, no material provision or liability has been recorded for these allegations and claims as of December 31, 2015.

The Company is engaged from time-to-time in various legal proceedings and claims that have arisen in the ordinary course of business. The outcome of all of the proceedings and claims against the Company is subject to future resolution, including the uncertainties of litigation. Based on information currently known to the Company and after consultation with outside legal counsel, management believes that the probable ultimate resolution of any such proceedings and claims, individually, or in the aggregate, will not have a material adverse effect on the financial condition of the Company, taken as a whole, and accordingly, no amounts have been recorded as of December 31, 2015.

Dividends

The Board of Directors of the Company adopted a Dividend Policy on August 14, 2012 providing for semi-annual dividend payments. On August 14, 2013, the Board of Directors modified the Company's dividend policy to provide for quarterly dividend payments. On July 7, 2014, the Board of Directors further modified the Company's dividend policy to increase the annualized dividend by 50% from \$0.32 to \$0.48 per common share. On August 12, 2015, the Board of Directors of the Company amended the quarterly dividend policy to increase the annualized dividend from \$0.48 to \$0.52 per share. So long as the payments do not result in a violation of the Company's covenants with its lenders, and subject to the provisions of the Canada Business Corporations Act relating to the declaration and payment of dividends, there are no other restrictions that would prevent the Company from paying dividends. The following table sets forth the dividends paid as of December 31, 2015:

	Date Declared	Record Date	Date Paid	Amount per Share
Dividends per Share				
	8/14/2012	9/21/2012	10/10/2012	CDN \$0.08
	3/6/2013	3/25/2013	4/10/2013	USD \$0.08

Edgar Filing: INTERTAPE POLYMER GROUP INC - Form 20-F

8/14/2013	9/16/2013	9/30/2013	USD \$0.08
11/12/2013	12/16/2013	12/30/2013	USD \$0.08
2/6/2014	3/19/2014	3/31/2014	USD \$0.08
5/7/2014	6/17/2014	6/30/2014	USD \$0.08
8/5/2014	9/15/2014	9/30/2014	USD \$0.12
11/4/2014	12/15/2014	12/31/2014	USD \$0.12
3/9/2015	3/19/2015	3/31/2015	USD \$0.12
5/11/2015	6/15/2015	6/30/2015	USD \$0.12
8/12/2015	9/15/2015	9/30/2015	USD \$0.13
11/11/2015	12/15/2015	12/31/2015	USD \$0.13

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements**

The Company has determined it is appropriate to declare its dividend in US dollars because most of its cash flows are in US dollars. The Company has paid no other dividend in the past three years other than as set forth above. For details regarding the Company's covenants with its lenders please refer to the Credit Facility Agreement filed as Exhibit 4.8 to this Form 20-F.

B. SIGNIFICANT CHANGES

No significant changes have occurred since the date of the annual financial statements.

Item 9: The Offer and Listing**A. OFFER AND LISTING DETAILS**

The following table sets forth the reporting of the high and low prices for Intertape shares on the Toronto Stock Exchange for the periods indicated. Also set forth below are the high and low prices for Intertape shares on OTC Pink Marketplace.

Year	Period	Toronto Stock Exchange (CDN\$)		OTC Pink Marketplace	
		High	Low	High	Low
2010	Annual	3.60	0.92	3.43	0.93
2011	Annual	3.39	1.02	3.30	1.04
2012	Annual	9.07	3.12	9.17	3.08
2013	Annual	15.62	7.96	15.20	8.09
2014	Annual	19.95	11.12	17.36	10.10
2015	Annual	20.51	13.67	16.65	10.30
2013	First Quarter	11.07	7.96	10.86	8.09
	Second Quarter	13.28	10.63	12.62	10.40
	Third Quarter	15.62	11.37	15.20	11.16
	Fourth Quarter	15.50	12.36	14.89	11.95
2014	First Quarter	14.05	11.12	13.23	10.10
	Second Quarter	13.21	11.50	11.96	10.73
	Third Quarter	16.37	11.84	14.82	11.24
	Fourth Quarter	19.95	14.53	17.36	12.89
2015	First Quarter	20.51	16.74	16.41	13.43
	Second Quarter	20.31	16.21	16.65	13.60
	Third Quarter	20.21	13.67	15.58	10.30
	Fourth Quarter	19.01	13.96	14.19	10.82
2015	September	14.95	13.72	11.32	10.30
	October	15.77	13.96	11.79	10.82

Edgar Filing: INTERTAPE POLYMER GROUP INC - Form 20-F

	November	19.01	14.59	14.19	11.15
	December	18.90	17.77	14.13	12.87
2016	January	18.61	16.06	13.21	11.33
	February	16.74	15.46	12.13	11.19

Intertape has authorized an unlimited number of voting common shares without par value. The Company also has authorized an unlimited number of non-voting Class A preferred shares issuable in a series, ranking in priority to the common shares with respect to dividends and return of capital on dissolution. The Board of Directors is authorized to fix, before issuance, the designation, rights, privileges, restrictions and conditions attached to the shares of each series of Class A preferred shares. As of December 31, 2015, there were 58,667,535 issued and outstanding common shares and no issued and outstanding preferred shares of the Company.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

B. PLAN OF DISTRIBUTION

Not Applicable.

C. MARKETS

The Company's common shares are traded on the Toronto Stock Exchange under the symbol ITP. The Company's common shares are traded in the US on the OTC Pink Marketplace.

D. SELLING SHAREHOLDERS

Not Applicable.

E. DILUTION

Not Applicable.

F. EXPENSES OF THE ISSUE

Not Applicable.

Item 10: Additional Information

A. SHARE CAPITAL

Not Applicable.

B. MEMORANDUM AND ARTICLES OF ASSOCIATION

1. The business of Intertape was established when Intertape Systems Inc., a predecessor of the Company, established a pressure-sensitive tape manufacturing facility in Montreal. The Company was incorporated under the Canada Business Corporations Act (the Act) on December 22, 1989 under the name 171695 Canada Inc. On October 8, 1991, the Company filed a Certificate of Amendment changing its name to Intertape Polymer Group Inc. A Certificate of Amalgamation was filed by the Company on August 31, 1993, at which time the Company was amalgamated with EBAC Holdings Inc.

On November 11, 2015, the Board of Directors adopted a new By-Law 2015-1. Under the Canada Business Corporations Act, By-law 2015-1 is in force, but must be confirmed by the Company's shareholders at the next shareholders' meeting. If By-law 2015-1 is not confirmed at the shareholders' meeting, it will cease to have effect at that time.

2. The Directors of the Company may, when deemed expedient:

- (a) borrow money upon the credit of the Company;
- (b) issue debentures or other securities of the Company, and pledge or sell the same for such sums and at such prices as may be deemed expedient;
- (c) notwithstanding the provisions of the Civil Code, hypothecate, mortgage or pledge the moveable or immoveable property, present or future, of the Company, to secure any such debentures, or other securities, or give part only of such guarantee for such purposes; and constitute the hypothec, mortgage or pledge above mentioned, by trust deed, or on any other manner; and
- (d) mortgage, hypothecate, pledge or otherwise create a security interest in all or any moveable or personal, immoveable or real or other property of the Company, owned or subsequently acquired, to secure any obligation of the Company.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Non-executive directors are required to own a minimum of 10,000 Common Shares in order to remain eligible for future DSU grants.

3. Description of Share Capital

The authorized capital of the Company consists of an unlimited number of common shares and non-voting Class A preferred shares, issuable in series. The following is a summary of the material provisions which attach to the common shares and Class A preferred shares, and is qualified by reference to the full text of the rights, privileges, restrictions and conditions of such shares.

Common Shares

Voting Rights Each common share entitles the holder thereof to one vote at all meetings of the shareholders of the Company.

Payment of Dividends The holders of the Company's common shares are entitled to receive during each year, as and when declared by the Board of Directors, dividends payable in money, property or by issue of fully-paid shares of the capital of the Company.

Distribution of Assets Upon Winding-Up In the event of the liquidation, dissolution or winding-up of the Company, whether voluntary or involuntary, or other distribution of assets of the Company among shareholders for the purpose of winding-up its affairs, the holders of the Company's common shares are entitled to receive the remaining property of the Company.

Class A Preferred Shares

The Board of Directors may at any time and from time to time issue non-voting Class A preferred shares in one or more series, each series to consist of such number of shares, designation, rights, restrictions, conditions and limitations (including any sinking fund provisions) as may, before the issuance thereof, be determined by the Board of Directors. The Class A preferred shares are entitled to preference over the common shares with respect to the payment of dividends. In the event of the liquidation, dissolution or winding-up of the Company or other distribution of assets of the Company among shareholders for the purpose of winding-up its affairs, the holders of the Class A preferred shares will, before any amount is paid to, or any property or assets of the Company distributed among, the holders of the common shares, be entitled to receive: (i) an amount equal to the amount paid-up on such shares together with, in the case of cumulative Class A preferred shares, all unpaid cumulative dividends and, in the case of non-cumulative Class A preferred shares, all declared and unpaid non-cumulative dividends; and (ii) if such liquidation, dissolution, winding-up or distribution is voluntary, an additional amount equal to the premium, if any, which would have been payable on the redemption of the Class A preferred shares if they had been called for redemption by the Company on the date of distribution.

4. The rights of the holders of the Class A preferred shares may be amended only with the prior approval of two-thirds of the holders of the Class A preferred shares in addition to any other approvals required by the Act.

There are no preferred shares currently issued and outstanding.

5. Subject to compliance with the Act, the annual shareholders meeting shall be convened on such day each year and at such time as the Board of Directors may by resolution determine. Special meetings of the shareholders may be convened by order of the Chairman of the Board, the President or a Vice President who is a director or by the Board of Directors to be held at such time and place as may be specified in such order. Special meetings of the shareholders may also be called by written request to the Board of Directors signed by shareholders holding between them not less than five percent (5%) of the outstanding shares of the Company entitled to vote at such meeting. Such request shall state the business to be transacted at the meeting and sent to the registered office of the Company. In the event the Board of Directors does not call the meeting within twenty-one (21) days after receiving the request, then any shareholder who signed the request may call the meeting.

6. The Articles of Amalgamation of Intertape do not contain limitations on the rights of non-resident or foreign shareholders to hold or exercise voting rights on the Company's shares.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

7. The Articles of Amalgamation and the Bylaws contain no provision that would have an effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a change in control of the Company and that would operate only with respect to a merger, acquisition or corporate restructuring involving the Company or any of its subsidiaries.

C. MATERIAL CONTRACTS

The following is a description of the material contracts Intertape was a party to during the last two fiscal years ended December 31, 2015, regardless of when they were initially entered into by Intertape, either directly or through one of its subsidiaries, and that are not in the ordinary course of Intertape's business:

an **Amended Executive Stock Option Plan**. For a summary of this Plan, please see Item 6.B in this 20-F. For a copy of the Executive Stock Option Plan, see Exhibit 4.1 to this Form 20-F.

a **Stock Appreciation Rights Plan**. For a summary of this Plan, please see Item 6.B in this 20-F. For a copy of the Stock Appreciation Rights Plan, as amended, see Exhibit 4.2 to this Form 20-F. The Stock Appreciation Rights Plan was amended so that the base price of each SAR is confirmed in writing by the Compensation Committee to the participant at the time of grant and once so confirmed, may not be changed and once the expiry date of SARs is determined in the applicable Grant Agreement, such expiry date may not be extended.

a **Performance Share Unit Plan**. For a summary of this Plan, please see Item 6.B in this 20-F. For a copy of the Performance Shared Unit Plan, see Exhibit 4.3 to this Form 20-F.

a **Deferred Share Unit Plan**. For a summary of this Plan, please see Item 6.B in this 20-F. For a copy of the Deferred Shared Unit Plan, see Exhibit 4.4 to this Form 20-F.

a **Loan and Security Agreement** dated March 28, 2008 (and since amended on June 18, 2008, March 23, 2011, February 1, 2012, and November 25, 2013 and since paid off and satisfied on November 18, 2014), among certain subsidiaries of the Company, the Lenders referred to therein, and Bank of America, N.A., as Agent, for a \$200.0 million asset based loan (ABL). The amount of borrowings available to the Company under the ABL was determined by its applicable borrowing base from time to time. The borrowing base was determined by calculating a percentage of eligible trade accounts receivable, inventories and property, plant, and equipment. The ABL was priced at 30-day LIBOR plus a loan margin determined from a pricing grid. The loan margin declined as unused availability increased. The loan margin pricing grid ranged from 1.75% to 2.25%. Unencumbered real estate was subject to a negative pledge in favor of the ABL lenders. However, the Company retained the ability to secure financing on all or a portion of its owned real estate up to \$35 million of real estate mortgage financing and have the negative pledge in favor of the ABL lenders terminated. The Company had the ability to secure financing up to \$45 million in connection with the purchase of fixed

assets under a permitted purchase money debt facility. The ABL had one financial covenant, a fixed charge ratio of 1.0 to 1.0. The ratio compared EBITDA (as defined in the ABL) less capital expenditures and pension plan payments in excess of pension plan expense to the sum of debt service and the amortization of the value of equipment in the borrowing base. The financial covenant became effective only when unused availability dropped below \$25.0 million. While the ABL was to mature in February 2017, Intertape paid off and satisfied the ABL on November 18, 2014 and replaced it with the Revolving Credit Facility. For a copy of the Loan and Security Agreement, see Exhibit 4.5 to this Form 20-F.

Table of ContentsIndex to Financial Statements

an **Equipment Finance Agreement** dated August 14, 2012 in the amount of up to \$24.0 million (which was later increased to \$25.7 million as of March 26, 2014) for qualifying US capital expenditures during the period May 2012 through March 31, 2014. The Equipment Finance Agreement allowed for periodic scheduling of amounts with each schedule having a term of sixty months and a fixed interest rate for leases scheduled prior to March 31, 2014. For a copy of the Equipment Finance Agreement, see Exhibit 4.6 to this Form 20-F. The Company has entered into the five schedules as listed below.

Date Entered	Amount	Interest Rate	Payments	Last Payment due
September 27, 2012	\$ 2.7 million	2.74%	\$ 48,577	October 1, 2017
December 28, 2012	\$ 2.6 million	2.74%	\$ 46,258	January 1, 2018
June 28, 2013	\$ 2.2 million	2.90%	\$ 39,329	July 1, 2018
December 31, 2013	\$ 14.7 million	2.90%	\$ 263,450	January 1, 2019
April 1, 2014	\$ 3.5 million	2.95%	\$ 62,263	April 1, 2019

a **Revolving Credit Facility Agreement** dated November 18, 2014, among Intertape and certain of its subsidiaries, the Lenders referred to therein, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association as Administrative Agent, Swingline Lender and Issuing Lender, Bank of America, N.A. as Syndication Agent, and Wells Fargo Securities, LLC and Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated as Joint Lead Arrangers and Joint Bookrunners. The Revolving Credit Facility Agreement provides for a five-year \$300 million Revolving Credit Facility. The Revolving Credit Facility replaced the ABL. The Revolving Credit Facility Agreement includes an incremental accordion feature of \$150 million, which will enable the Company to increase the limit of this facility (subject to the Revolving Credit Facility Agreement's terms and lender approval) if needed. The Revolving Credit Facility matures on November 18, 2019 and bears an interest rate based primarily on the LIBOR for US dollar loans and CDOR for Canadian dollar loans plus a spread varying between 100 and 225 basis points depending on the consolidated total leverage ratio (150 basis points as of December, 2015 and 125 basis points on December 31, 2014). The Revolving Credit Facility Agreement includes certain financial covenant obligations. The amount of capital expenditures in any fiscal year is limited to \$50 million. Any portion of the allowable \$50 million not expended in the year may be carried over for expenditure in the following year but not carried over to any additional subsequent year thereafter. The consolidated total leverage ratio may not exceed 3.25 to 1.00 (subject to increase to 3.75 to 1.00 for the first four quarters following an acquisition with a price not less than \$50 million), and the consolidated debt service coverage ratio may not be less than 1.50 to 1.00. The consolidated total leverage ratio compares consolidated total indebtedness to consolidated EBITDA (as defined in the Revolving Credit Facility Agreement). The consolidated debt service coverage ratio compares consolidated EBITDA (less certain taxes and dividends), to the sum of consolidated interest expense plus scheduled principal payments. The Revolving Credit Facility Agreement also includes certain other affirmative and negative covenants, subject to certain exceptions and limitations, including restrictions on indebtedness, liens, investments, and distributions. Reference is made to the Revolving Credit Facility Agreement for more detailed information regarding specific covenants, defined terms and conditions. For a copy of the Revolving Credit Facility Agreement, see Exhibit 4.7 to this Form 20-F.

the **Rights Plan** dated December 14, 2015 with CST Trust Company. The purpose of the Shareholder Rights Plan is to provide IPG's Board of Directors with additional time, in the event of an unsolicited takeover bid, to develop and propose alternatives to the bid and negotiate with the bidder, as well as to ensure equal treatment of shareholders in the context of an acquisition of control made other than by way of an offer to all shareholders, and lessen the pressure on shareholders to tender a bid.

IPG's Board of Directors has implemented the Rights Plan by authorizing the issuance of one right (a Right) in respect of each common share outstanding at the close of business on December 14, 2015 (the Record Time) and in respect of each voting share issued by IPG after the Record Time. The Rights trade with, and are represented by, the common shares. Until such time as the Rights separate, when they become exercisable, Rights certificates will not be distributed to shareholders and no further action is required by shareholders. If a person, or a group acting jointly or in concert (each, an Offeror), acquires beneficial ownership of 20% or more of the then outstanding voting shares (other than pursuant to an exemption available under the Rights Plan), Rights (other than those held by such Offeror, which will become void) will separate and permit the holders thereof to purchase additional shares at a substantial discount to the market price of the shares at that time. Pursuant to the Rights Plan, any bid that meets certain criteria intended to protect the interests of all shareholders will be deemed to be a permitted bid and will not trigger a separation under the Rights Plan. These criteria require, among other things, that the bid be made by way of a takeover bid circular to all holders of voting shares other than the Offeror, that all shareholders be treated equally and that the bid remain open for acceptance by shareholders for at least 60 days or such longer period as may be prescribed by law as the minimum deposit period.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Prior to separation, the Rights Plan is not dilutive and will not affect reported earnings per share or change the way in which shareholders would otherwise trade shares. Upon separation, reported earnings per share, on a fully diluted or non-diluted basis, may be affected. Shareholders who do not exercise their Rights upon separation may suffer substantial dilution along with the Offeror.

Under the policies of the TSX, the Rights Plan must be ratified by the shareholders of the Corporation at a meeting held within six months following the adoption of the Rights Plan, failing which the Rights Plan must be immediately cancelled and any rights issued thereunder must be immediately redeemed or cancelled. Accordingly, on June 9, 2016, shareholders will be asked to approve a resolution ratifying and approving the Rights Plan.

For a copy of the Rights Plan, see Exhibit 2.1 to this Form 20-F.

A copy of all of the foregoing contracts, except as otherwise noted, are available as Exhibits to this Form 20-F.

D. EXCHANGE CONTROLS

As of the date hereof, there are no governmental laws, decrees or regulations in Canada on the export or import of capital, or which impose foreign exchange controls or affect the remittance of interest, dividends or other payments to non-resident holders of Intertape's common stock, except as described under Item 10E Taxation below.

Except as provided in the Investment Canada Act (Canada), the Competition Act (Canada), and/or the Canada Transportation Act (Canada), which have provisions that may potentially restrict the holding of voting shares by non-Canadians, there are no limitations specific to the rights of non-Canadians to hold or vote the Company's common shares under the laws of Canada or in its charter documents. The following summarizes the principal features of the Investment Canada Act, the Competition Act and the Canada Transportation Act for non-Canadian residents proposing to acquire the Company's common shares.

This summary is of a general nature only and is not intended to be, and should not be construed to be, legal advice to any holder or prospective holder of the Company's common shares, and no opinion or representation to any holder or prospective holder of the Company's common shares is hereby made. Accordingly, holders and prospective holders of the Company's common shares should consult with their own legal advisors with respect to the consequences of purchasing and owning the Company's common shares.

1. Investment Canada Act

The Investment Canada Act governs acquisitions of control of Canadian businesses by non-Canadians. Under the Investment Canada Act, non-Canadian individuals or entities acquiring control (as defined in the Investment Canada Act) of a corporation carrying on business in Canada are required to either notify, or file an application for review with, Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (or in the case of cultural businesses, Heritage Canada), subject to certain statutory exemptions. The relevant Minister may review any transaction which constitutes an acquisition of control of a Canadian business, where certain thresholds are exceeded (which are higher for investors from members of the World Trade Organization, including United States residents, or World Trade Organization member-controlled companies) or where the activity of the business is a cultural business (as defined in the legislation and its regulations), or where the investment could be injurious to Canada's national security. For acquisitions of

control of businesses which do not involve a cultural business or present national security issues, no change of voting control will be deemed to have occurred, for purposes of the Investment Canada Act, if less than one-third of the voting control of a Canadian corporation is acquired by an investor. Different rules apply to acquisitions of control of businesses related to Canada's cultural heritage or national identity, or present national security concerns.

If an investment is reviewable under the Investment Canada Act, an application for review in the form prescribed is normally required to be filed with Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada or Heritage Canada prior to implementation of the investment. An investment subject to review may not be implemented until the review has been completed and the Minister responsible is satisfied that the investment is likely to be of net benefit to Canada. If the Minister is not satisfied that the investment is likely to be of net benefit to Canada, the non-Canadian cannot implement the investment, or if the investment has been implemented, may be required to divest itself of control of the Canadian business that is the subject of the investment. Different rules apply if the Minister determines that the investment may be injurious to Canada's national security.

Table of Contents

Index to Financial Statements

Certain transactions relating to Intertape's common stock would be exempt from the Investment Canada Act, unless they are found to be potentially injurious to Canada's national security by the Minister responsible, including:

- (a) the acquisition of the Company's common stock by a person in the ordinary course of that person's business as a trader or dealer in securities;
- (b) the acquisition of control of the Company in connection with the realization of security granted for a loan or other financial assistance and not for a purpose related to the provisions of the Investment Canada Act; and
- (c) the acquisition of control of the Company by reason of an amalgamation, merger, consolidation or corporate reorganization following which the ultimate direct or indirect control in fact of the Company, through ownership of the Company's common stock, remains unchanged.

These exemptions do not apply to an acquisition of control of a Canadian business that is deemed to be potentially injurious to Canada's national security.

2. Competition Act

The Competition Act requires notification to the Commissioner of Competition of specified merger transactions that exceed certain monetary and share thresholds prior to their completion.

If a proposed merger is subject to pre-merger notification, each party to the proposed merger must file a notification with the Commissioner of Competition.

Proposed mergers that are subject to pre-merger notification under the Competition Act are prohibited from being completed before the end of 30 days following the receipt of a complete notification by the Commissioner of Competition, unless a waiver of the waiting period is obtained from the Commissioner of Competition. The waiting period may be extended by the issuance of a supplementary information request by the Commissioner of Competition within the initial 30 day waiting period. In the event that a supplementary information request is issued by the Commissioner of Competition, the parties may not complete the proposed merger until the end of a further 30 day waiting period that commences on the date on which the information requested pursuant to the supplementary information request has been provided to the Commissioner of Competition.

Whether or not a merger is subject to pre-merger notification to the Commissioner of Competition, the Commissioner of Competition may commence an application for relief in the Competition Tribunal on the basis that the merger prevents or lessens, or is likely to prevent or lessen, competition substantially in a relevant market. Such applications for relief are subject to a one-year limitation period from the merger's substantial completion.

3. Canada Transportation Act

If a proposed transaction involves a transportation undertaking, and is subject to pre-merger notification to the Commissioner of Competition pursuant to the Competition Act, the parties to the proposed transaction must also provide pre-closing notification to the Minister of Transportation under the Canada Transportation Act. Such transactions require a 42 day waiting period which may be extended.

The parties to a proposed transaction subject to pre-merger notification to the Minister of Transportation may not complete the proposed transaction unless the Minister of Transportation issues a notice of his opinion that the proposed transaction does not raise issues with respect to the public interest as it relates to national transportation, or unless the transaction is approved by the Governor in Council.

Table of Contents**Index to Financial Statements****E. TAXATION****Material Canadian Federal Income Tax Consequences**

The following general summary describes the principal Canadian federal income tax consequences applicable to a holder of the Company's common stock who is a resident of the United States, who is not, will not be and will not be deemed to be a resident of Canada for purposes of the Income Tax Act (Canada) (the Income Tax Act) and any applicable tax treaty and who does not use or hold, and is not deemed to use or hold, his common stock in the capital of the Company in connection with carrying on a business in Canada (a non-resident holder). This summary applies only to non-resident holders who hold their Intertape common stock as capital property. This summary does not apply to non-resident holders who are financial institutions (within the meaning of the Income Tax Act) or insurers.

This summary is based upon the current provisions of the Income Tax Act, the regulations thereunder (the Regulations), the current publicly announced administrative and assessing policies of the Canada Revenue Agency and the Canada-United States Tax Convention (1980), as amended (the Treaty). This summary also takes into account the amendments to the Income Tax Act and the Regulations publicly announced by the Minister of Finance (Canada) prior to the date hereof (the Tax Proposals) and assumes that all such Tax Proposals will be enacted in their present form. However, no assurances can be given that the Tax Proposals will be enacted in the form proposed, or at all. This summary is not exhaustive of all possible Canadian federal income tax consequences applicable to a non-resident holder of the Company's common stock and, except for the foregoing, this summary does not take into account or anticipate any changes in law, whether by legislative, administrative or judicial decision or action, nor does it take into account provincial, territorial or foreign income tax legislation or considerations, which may differ from the Canadian federal income tax consequences described herein.

This summary is of a general nature only and is not intended to be, and should not be construed to be, legal, business or tax advice to any particular holder or prospective holder of Intertape's common stock, and no opinion or representation with respect to the tax consequences to any holder or prospective holder of the Company's common stock is made. Accordingly, holders and prospective holders of the Company's common stock should consult their own tax advisors with respect to the income tax consequences of purchasing, owning and disposing of Intertape's common stock in their particular circumstances.

Dividends

Dividends paid on the Company's common stock to a non-resident holder will be subject under the Income Tax Act to withholding tax which tax is deducted at source by the Company. The withholding tax rate for dividends prescribed by the Income Tax Act is 25% but this rate may be reduced under the provisions of an applicable tax treaty. Under the Treaty, the withholding tax rate is reduced to 15% on dividends paid by the Company to a resident of the United States who is the beneficial owner of such dividend and is eligible to benefits under the Treaty. The rate is further reduced to 5% where the beneficial owner of the dividend is a corporation resident in the United States that is eligible for benefits under the Treaty and that owns at least 10% of the voting stock of the Company.

Capital Gains

A non-resident holder is not subject to tax under the Income Tax Act in respect of a capital gain realized upon the disposition of a common share of the Company unless such share is (or is deemed to be) taxable Canadian property (as defined in the Income Tax Act) of the non-resident holder. As long as they are listed on a designated stock exchange (which includes the TSX) at the time they are disposed of, Intertape's common stock generally will not be

considered taxable Canadian property of a non-resident holder unless at any time during the 60-month period immediately preceding the disposition of the stock: (i) the non-resident holder, persons with whom the non-resident holder does not deal at arm's length or the non-resident holder together with such non-arm's length persons owned, or had an interest in an option in respect of, 25% or more of the issued stock of