

NICHOLAS FINANCIAL INC  
Form 10-Q  
November 09, 2016  
Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**  
**Washington, DC 20549**

**FORM 10-Q**

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE  
ACT OF 1934**

**FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED September 30, 2016**

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE  
ACT OF 1934**

**FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM \_\_\_\_\_ TO \_\_\_\_\_.**

**Commission file number: 0-26680**

**NICHOLAS FINANCIAL, INC.**

**(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)**

**British Columbia, Canada**  
**(State or Other Jurisdiction of**  
**Incorporation or Organization)**

**8736-3354**  
**(I.R.S. Employer**  
**Identification No.)**

**2454 McMullen Booth Road, Building C**  
**Clearwater, Florida**  
**(Address of Principal Executive Offices)**

**33759**  
**(Zip Code)**

**(727) 726-0763**

**(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 and 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter periods that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by checkmark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act) Yes No

As of November 1, 2016, 12,492,339 shares, no par value, of the Registrant were outstanding (of which 4,713,804 shares were held by the Registrant's principal operating subsidiary and pursuant to applicable law, not entitled to vote and 7,778,535 shares were entitled to vote).

**Table of Contents**

**NICHOLAS FINANCIAL, INC.**

**FORM 10-Q**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b>Page</b>
<b><u>Part I. Financial Information</u></b>	
Item 1. <u>Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2016 and March 31, 2016</u>	2
<u>Consolidated Statements of Income for the three and six months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015</u>	3
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015</u>	4
<u>Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	5
Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	14
Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	22
Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	22
<b><u>Part II. Other Information</u></b>	
Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	23
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	23
Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>	23

**Table of Contents****PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

Nicholas Financial, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Balance Sheets

(In thousands)

	September 30, 2016 (Unaudited)	March 31, 2016
<b>Assets</b>		
Cash	\$ 3,799	\$ 1,849
Finance receivables, net	312,727	311,837
Assets held for resale	2,365	2,148
Income taxes receivable	697	593
Prepaid expenses and other assets	804	977
Property and equipment, net	1,623	1,290
Deferred income taxes	6,766	6,615
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 328,781</b>	<b>\$ 325,309</b>
<b>Liabilities and shareholders equity</b>		
Line of credit	\$ 209,000	\$ 211,000
Drafts payable	2,329	1,499
Interest rate swap agreements	102	205
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	5,448	5,839
Deferred revenues	3,876	3,917
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>220,755</b>	<b>222,460</b>
<b>Shareholders equity</b>		
Preferred stock, no par: 5,000 shares authorized; none issued		
Common stock, no par: 50,000 shares authorized; 12,492 and 12,466 shares issued, respectively; and 7,779 and 7,753 shares outstanding, respectively	33,591	33,287
Treasury stock: 4,714 common shares, at cost	(70,459)	(70,459)
Retained earnings	144,894	140,021
<b>Total shareholders equity</b>	<b>108,026</b>	<b>102,849</b>
<b>Total liabilities and shareholders equity</b>	<b>\$ 328,781</b>	<b>\$ 325,309</b>

*See accompanying notes.*



**Table of Contents**

## Nicholas Financial, Inc. and Subsidiaries

## Consolidated Statements of Income

(Unaudited)

(In thousands, except per share amounts)

	Three months ended September 30,		Six months ended September 30,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
Interest and fee income on finance receivables	\$ 22,647	\$ 22,687	\$ 45,562	\$ 44,712
Expenses:				
Marketing	345	364	731	756
Salaries and employee benefits	5,729	5,499	11,322	11,084
Professional Fees	371	377	618	825
Administrative	2,638	2,501	5,202	4,852
Provision for credit losses	8,144	6,178	15,170	11,167
Depreciation	140	119	271	214
Interest expense	2,243	2,273	4,487	4,439
Change in fair value of interest rate swap agreements	(121)	79	(103)	123
	<b>19,489</b>	17,390	<b>37,698</b>	33,460
Operating income before income taxes	<b>3,158</b>	5,297	<b>7,864</b>	11,252
Income tax expense	<b>1,188</b>	2,041	<b>2,991</b>	4,326
Net income	\$ <b>1,970</b>	\$ 3,256	\$ <b>4,873</b>	\$ 6,926
Earnings per share:				
Basic	\$ <b>0.25</b>	\$ 0.43	\$ <b>0.63</b>	\$ 0.91
Diluted	\$ <b>0.25</b>	\$ 0.42	\$ <b>0.62</b>	\$ 0.89

*See accompanying notes.*

**Table of Contents**

## Nicholas Financial, Inc. and Subsidiaries

## Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(Unaudited)

(In thousands)

	<b>Six months ended</b>	
	<b>September 30,</b>	
	<b>2016</b>	<b>2015</b>
<b>Cash flows from operating activities</b>		
Net income	\$ 4,873	\$ 6,926
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation	271	214
Gain on sale of property and equipment	(21)	(8)
Provision for credit losses	15,170	11,167
Amortization of dealer discounts	(6,818)	(6,647)
Deferred income taxes	(160)	(40)
Share-based compensation	310	262
Change in fair value of interest rate swap agreements	(103)	123
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Prepaid expenses and other assets	173	365
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(391)	(409)
Income taxes receivable	(104)	(549)
Deferred revenues	(41)	528
Net cash provided by operating activities	<b>13,159</b>	11,932
<b>Cash flows from investing activities</b>		
Purchase and origination of finance receivables	(76,148)	(92,756)
Principal payments received	66,906	69,031
Increase in assets held for resale	(217)	(562)
Purchase of property and equipment	(619)	(744)
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment	36	42
Net cash used in investing activities	<b>(10,042)</b>	(24,989)
<b>Cash flows from financing activities</b>		
(Decrease) increase on line of credit	(2,000)	12,161
Change in drafts payable	830	(827)
Payment of debt costs		(25)
Expenses related to prior purchase of treasury shares		(50)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	2	76
Excess tax benefits from share-based compensation	1	7

Net cash (used) provided by financing activities	(1,167)	11,342
Net increase (decrease) in cash	1,950	(1,715)
Cash, beginning of period	1,849	3,388
Cash, end of period	\$ 3,799	\$ 1,673
<b>Supplemental Disclosure of noncash investing and financing activities:</b>		
Tax deficiency from share awards	\$ (9)	\$

*See accompanying notes.*

**Table of Contents**

Nicholas Financial, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

**1. Basis of Presentation**

The accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of March 31, 2016, which has been derived from audited financial statements, and the accompanying unaudited interim consolidated financial statements of Nicholas Financial, Inc. (including its subsidiaries, the Company) have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (U.S. GAAP) for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q pursuant to the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended in Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by U.S. GAAP for complete consolidated financial statements, although the Company believes that the disclosures made are adequate to ensure the information is not misleading. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending March 31, 2017. It is suggested that these consolidated financial statements be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2016 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 14, 2016. The March 31, 2016 consolidated balance sheet included herein has been derived from the March 31, 2016 audited consolidated balance sheet included in the aforementioned Form 10-K.

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to significant change relate to the determination of the allowance for credit losses on finance receivables and the fair value of interest rate swap agreements.

**2. Revenue Recognition**

Finance receivables consist of automobile finance installment contracts (Contracts) and direct consumer loans (Direct Loans). Interest income on finance receivables is recognized using the interest method. Accrual of interest income on finance receivables is suspended when a loan enters bankruptcy status, is contractually delinquent for 60 days or more or the collateral is repossessed, whichever is earlier. Chapter 13 bankruptcy accounts are accounted for under the cost-recovery method. Interest income on Chapter 13 bankruptcy accounts does not resume until all principal amounts are recovered (see Note 4).

A dealer discount represents the difference between the finance receivable, net of unearned interest, of a Contract, and the amount of money the Company actually pays for the Contract. The discount negotiated by the Company is a function of the lender, the wholesale value of the vehicle and competition in any given market. In making decisions regarding the purchase of a particular Contract the Company considers the following factors related to the borrower: place and length of residence; current and prior job status; history in making installment payments for automobiles; current income; and credit history. In addition, the Company examines its prior experience with Contracts purchased from the dealer from which the Company is purchasing the Contract, and the value of the automobile in relation to the purchase price and the term of the Contract. The entire amount of discount is amortized as an adjustment to yield

using the interest method over the life of the loan. The average dealer discount associated with new volume for the three months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015 was 7.01% and 7.57%, respectively in relation to the total amount financed. The average dealer discount associated with new volume for the six months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015 was 7.08% and 7.55%, respectively.

The amount of future unearned income is computed as the product of the Contract rate, the Contract term and the Contract amount.

Deferred revenues consist primarily of commissions received from the sale of ancillary products. These products include automobile warranties, roadside assistance programs, accident and health insurance, credit life insurance, involuntary unemployment insurance coverage, and forced placed automobile insurance. These commissions are amortized over the life of the contract using the interest method.

The Company's net costs for originating Direct Loans are deferred and recognized as an adjustment to the yield and are amortized over the life of the loan using the interest method.

**Table of Contents**

## Nicholas Financial, Inc. and Subsidiaries

## Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

**3. Earnings Per Share**

The Company has granted stock compensation awards with nonforfeitable dividend rights which are considered participating securities. As such, earnings per share is calculated using the two-class method. Basic earnings per share is calculated by dividing net income allocated to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period, which excludes the participating securities. Diluted earnings per share includes the dilutive effect of additional potential common shares from stock compensation awards. Earnings per share have been computed based on the following weighted average number of common shares outstanding:

	Three months ended September 30, (In thousands, except per share amounts)		Six months ended September 30, (In thousands, except per share amounts)	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
<b>Numerator:</b>				
Net income per consolidated statements of income	\$ 1,970	\$ 3,256	\$ 4,873	\$ 6,926
Less: Allocation of earnings to participating securities	(26)		(57)	
Net income allocated to common stock	1,944	\$ 3,256	4,816	\$ 6,926
<b>Basic earnings per share computation:</b>				
Net income allocated to common stock	\$ 1,944	\$ 3,256	\$ 4,816	\$ 6,926
Weighted average common shares outstanding, including shares considered participating securities	7,774	7,622	7,763	7,619
Less: Weighted average participating securities outstanding	(102)		(91)	
Weighted average shares of common stock	7,672	7,622	7,672	7,619
Basic earnings per share	\$ 0.25	0.43	\$ 0.63	0.91
<b>Diluted earnings per share computation:</b>				
Net income allocated to common stock	\$ 1,944	\$ 3,256	\$ 4,816	\$ 6,926
Undistributed earnings re-allocated to participating securities				
Net income allocated to common stock	\$ 1,944	\$ 3,256	\$ 4,816	\$ 6,926
Weighted average common shares outstanding for basic earnings per share	7,672	7,622	7,672	7,619

Edgar Filing: NICHOLAS FINANCIAL INC - Form 10-Q

Incremental shares from stock options	<b>61</b>	130	<b>61</b>	129
Weighted average shares and dilutive potential common shares	<b>7,733</b>	7,752	<b>7,733</b>	7,748
Diluted earnings per share	<b>\$ 0.25</b>	\$ 0.42	<b>\$ 0.62</b>	\$ 0.89

Diluted earnings per share do not include the effect of certain stock options as their impact would be anti-dilutive. For the three months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, potential shares of common stock from stock options totaling 160,000 and 160,217, respectively, were not included in the diluted earnings per share calculation because their effect is anti-dilutive. For the six months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, potential shares of common stock from stock options totaling 162,486 and 157,623, respectively, were not included in the diluted earnings per share calculation because their effect is anti-dilutive.

**Table of Contents**

## Nicholas Financial, Inc. and Subsidiaries

## Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

**4. Finance Receivables**

Finance receivables consist of automobile finance installment Contracts and Direct Loans and are detailed as follows:

	(In thousands)	
	September 30, 2016	March 31, 2016
Finance receivables, gross contract	\$ 499,814	\$ 498,130
Unearned interest	(156,360)	(155,257)
Finance receivables, net of unearned interest	343,454	342,873
Unearned dealer discounts	(17,028)	(18,023)
Finance receivables, net of unearned interest and unearned dealer discounts	326,426	324,850
Allowance for credit losses	(13,699)	(13,013)
Finance receivables, net	\$ 312,727	\$ 311,837

Finance receivables consist of Contracts and Direct Loans, each of which comprises a portfolio segment.

The following tables present selected information on the entire portfolio of the Company:

	As of September 30,	
	2016	2015
<b>Contract Portfolio</b>		
Weighted APR	22.53%	22.77%
Weighted average discount	7.39%	8.01%
Weighted average term (months)	57	55
Number of active contracts	37,383	38,124
<b>Direct Loan Portfolio</b>		
Weighted APR	25.72%	25.81%

Weighted average term (months)	33	32
Number of active contracts	2,965	3,099

Each portfolio segment consists of smaller balance homogeneous loans which are collectively evaluated for impairment.

The following table sets forth a reconciliation of the changes in the allowance for credit losses on Contracts:

	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	September 30, (In thousands)		September 30, (In thousands)	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 12,836	\$ 11,524	\$ 12,265	\$ 11,325
Current period provision	8,067	6,079	15,022	10,965
Losses absorbed	(8,576)	(7,347)	(15,568)	(12,869)
Recoveries	598	699	1,206	1,534
Balance at end of period	\$ 12,925	\$ 10,955	\$ 12,925	\$ 10,955

**Table of Contents**

## Nicholas Financial, Inc. and Subsidiaries

## Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

**4. Finance Receivables (continued)**

The Company purchases Contracts from automobile dealers at a negotiated price that is less than the original principal amount being financed by the purchaser of the automobile. The Contracts are predominately for used vehicles. As of September 30, 2016, the average model year of vehicles collateralizing the portfolio was a 2008 vehicle. The Company utilizes a static pool approach to track portfolio performance. If the allowance for credit losses is determined to be inadequate for a static pool, then an additional charge to income through the provision is used to maintain adequate reserves based on management's evaluation of the risk inherent in the loan portfolio, the composition of the portfolio, and current economic conditions. Such evaluation, considers among other matters, the estimated net realizable value of the underlying collateral, economic conditions, historical loan loss experience, management's estimate of probable credit losses and other factors that warrant recognition in providing for an adequate allowance for credit losses.

The following table sets forth a reconciliation of the changes in the allowance for credit losses on Direct Loans:

	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	(In thousands)		(In thousands)	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 764	\$ 755	\$ 748	\$ 703
Current period provision	77	99	148	202
Losses absorbed	(72)	(81)	(144)	(140)
Recoveries	5	7	22	15
Balance at end of period	\$ 774	\$ 780	\$ 774	\$ 780

Direct Loans are originated directly between the Company and the consumer. These loans are typically for amounts ranging from \$1,000 to \$9,000 and are generally secured by a lien on an automobile, watercraft or other permissible tangible personal property. The majority of Direct Loans are originated with current or former customers under the Company's automobile financing program. The typical Direct Loan represents a significantly better credit risk than our typical Contract due to the customer's historical payment history with the Company. In deciding whether or not to make a loan, the Company considers the individual's credit history, job stability, income and impressions created during a personal interview with a Company loan officer. Additionally, because most of Direct Loans made by the Company to date have been made to borrowers under Contracts previously purchased by the Company, the payment history of the borrower under the Contract is a significant factor in making the loan decision. As of September 30,

2016, loans made by the Company pursuant to its Direct Loan program constituted approximately 2% of the aggregate principal amount of the Company's loan portfolio. Changes in the allowance for credit losses for both Contracts and Direct Loans were driven by current economic conditions and trends over several reporting periods which are useful in estimating future losses and overall portfolio performance.

A performing account is defined as an account that is less than 61 days past due. A non-performing account is defined as an account that is contractually delinquent for 61 days or more and the accrual of interest income is suspended. As of September 2016, when an account is 180 days contractually delinquent, the account is written off. This change aligns the Company's charge-off policy with best practices within the subprime auto financing segment, and had an immaterial impact on losses absorbed and the allowance for credit losses. See Item 2 for more discussion. Prior to September 2016, accounts that were 120 days contractually delinquent were written off. Upon notification of a Chapter 13 bankruptcy, an account is monitored for collection with other Chapter 13 bankruptcy accounts. In the event the debtors balance has been reduced by the bankruptcy court, the Company will record a loss equal to the amount of principal balance reduction. The remaining balance will be reduced as payments are received by the bankruptcy court. In the event an account is dismissed from bankruptcy, the Company will decide, based on several factors, to begin repossession proceedings or to allow the customer to begin making regularly scheduled payments.

**Table of Contents**

## Nicholas Financial, Inc. and Subsidiaries

## Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

**4. Finance Receivables (continued)**

The following table is an assessment of the credit quality by creditworthiness:

	(In thousands)			
	September 30, 2016		September 30, 2015	
	Contracts	Direct Loans	Contracts	Direct Loans
Performing accounts	\$ 466,515	\$ 10,930	\$ 466,911	\$ 11,619
Non-performing accounts	17,964	158	8,178	54
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 484,479</b>	<b>\$ 11,088</b>	<b>\$ 475,089</b>	<b>\$ 11,673</b>
Chapter 13 bankruptcy accounts	4,204	44	4,269	33
Finance receivables, gross contract	<b>\$ 488,683</b>	<b>\$ 11,132</b>	<b>\$ 479,358</b>	<b>\$ 11,706</b>

The following tables present certain information regarding the delinquency rates experienced by the Company with respect to Contracts and under its Direct Loans, excluding Chapter 13 bankruptcy accounts:

Contracts	(In thousands)						
	Gross Balance						
	Outstanding	31	60 days	61	90 days	Over 90 days	Total
September 30, 2016	\$ 484,479	\$ 29,327	\$ 10,654	\$ 7,310	\$ 47,291	\$ 47,291	9.76%
			6.05%	2.20%	1.51%		
September 30, 2015	\$ 475,089	\$ 19,746	\$ 5,603	\$ 2,575	\$ 27,924	\$ 27,924	5.88%
			4.16%	1.18%	0.54%		

  

Direct Loans	(In thousands)						
	Gross Balance						
	Outstanding	31	60 days	61	90 days	Over 90 days	Total
September 30, 2016	\$ 11,088	\$ 296	\$ 87	\$ 71	\$ 454	\$ 454	4.09%
			2.67%	0.78%	0.64%		
September 30, 2015	\$ 11,673	\$ 156	\$ 28	\$ 26	\$ 210	\$ 210	1.80%
			1.34%	0.24%	0.22%		

## **5. Line of Credit**

The Company has a line of credit facility (the Line ) up to \$225.0 million. The pricing of the Line, which expires on January 30, 2018, is 300 basis points above 30-day LIBOR with a 1% floor on LIBOR (4.00% at September 30, 2016 and March 31, 2016). Pledged as collateral for this Line are all of the assets of the Company. The outstanding amount of the Line was \$209.0 million and \$211.0 million as of September 30, 2016 and March 31, 2016, respectively. The amount available under the Line was \$16.0 million and \$14.0 million as of September 30, 2016 and March 31, 2016, respectively.

The facility requires compliance with certain financial ratios and covenants and satisfaction of specified financial tests, including maintenance of asset quality and performance tests. As of September 30, 2016, the Company was in full compliance with all debt covenants.

## **6. Interest Rate Swap Agreements**

The Company utilizes interest rate swap agreements to manage exposure to variability in expected cash flows attributable to interest rate risk. The interest rate swap agreements convert a portion of the floating rate debt to a fixed rate, more closely matching the interest rate characteristics of finance receivables.

As of the six months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, no new contracts were initiated and no contracts matured.

**Table of Contents**

## Nicholas Financial, Inc. and Subsidiaries

## Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

**6. Interest Rate Swap Agreements (continued)**

The Company currently has two interest rate swap agreements. A June 4, 2012 interest rate swap agreement provides for a five-year interest rate swap in which the Company pays a fixed rate of 1% and receives payments from the counterparty on the 1-month LIBOR rate. This interest rate swap agreement had an effective date of June 13, 2012 and a notional amount of \$25.0 million. A July 30, 2012 agreement provides for a five-year interest rate swap in which the Company pays a fixed rate of 0.87% and receives payments from the counterparty on the 1-month LIBOR rate. This interest rate swap agreement had an effective date of August 13, 2012 and a notional amount of \$25.0 million.

The locations and amounts of losses in income are as follows:

	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	September 30, (In thousands)		September 30, (In thousands)	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
Periodic change in fair value of interest rate swap agreements	\$ (121)	\$ 79	\$ (103)	\$ 123
Periodic settlement differentials included in interest expense	55	95	118	189
(Gain) loss recognized in income	\$ (66)	\$ 174	\$ 15	\$ 312

Net realized losses from the interest rate swap agreements were recorded in the interest expense line item of the consolidated statements of income. The following table summarizes the average variable rates received and average fixed rates paid under the swap agreements.

	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
Variable rate received	0.50%	0.19%	0.47%	0.18%
Fixed rate paid	0.94%	0.94%	0.94%	0.94%

**7. Income Taxes**

The provision for income taxes decreased to approximately \$1.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2016 from approximately \$2.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2015. The Company's effective tax

rate decreased to 37.61% for the three months ended September 30, 2016 from 38.53% for the three months ended September 30, 2015. The provision for income taxes decreased to approximately \$3.0 million for the six months ended September 30, 2016 from approximately \$4.3 million for the six months ended September 30, 2015. The Company's effective tax rate decreased to 38.03% for the six months ended September 30, 2016 from 38.44% for the six months ended September 30, 2015.

Table of Contents

## Nicholas Financial, Inc. and Subsidiaries

## Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

**8. Fair Value Disclosures**

The Company measures specific assets and liabilities at fair value, which is an exit price, representing the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. When applicable, the Company utilizes market data or assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability under a three-tier fair value hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs used in measuring fair value. These tiers include: Level 1, defined as observable inputs such as quoted prices in active markets; Level 2, defined as inputs other than quoted prices in active markets that are either directly or indirectly observable; and Level 3, defined as unobservable inputs about which little or no market data exists, therefore requiring an entity to develop its own assumptions.

Assets and Liabilities Recorded at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

The Company estimates the fair value of interest rate swap agreements based on the estimated net present value of the future cash flows using a forward interest rate yield curve in effect as of the measurement period, adjusted for nonperformance risk, if any, including a quantitative and qualitative evaluation of both the Company's credit risk and the counterparty's credit risk. Accordingly, the Company classifies interest rate swap agreements as Level 2.

Description	Fair Value Measurement Using (In thousands)			Fair Value
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
Interest rate swap agreements:				
<b>September 30, 2016 liabilities:</b>	\$	\$ (102)	\$	\$ (102)
March 31, 2016 liabilities:	\$	\$ (205)	\$	\$ (205)

Financial Instruments Not Measured at Fair Value

The Company's financial instruments consist of cash, finance receivables and the Line. For each of these financial instruments, the carrying value approximates fair value.

Finance receivables, net approximates fair value based on the price paid to acquire Contracts. The price paid reflects competitive market interest rates and purchase discounts for the Company's chosen credit grade in the economic environment. This market is highly liquid as the Company acquires individual loans on a daily basis from dealers. The initial terms of the Contracts generally range from 12 to 72 months. The initial terms of the Direct Loans generally range from 12 to 60 months. In addition, there have been minimal changes in interest rates and purchase discounts related to these types of loans due to the competitive nature of the current market. If liquidated outside of the normal course of business, the amount received may not be the carrying value.

Based on current market conditions, any new or renewed credit facility would contain pricing that approximates the Company's current Line. Based on these market conditions, the fair value of the Line as of September 30, 2016 was estimated to be equal to the book value. The interest rate for the Line is a variable rate based on LIBOR pricing options.

**Table of Contents**

## Nicholas Financial, Inc. and Subsidiaries

## Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

**8. Fair Value Disclosures (continued)**

Description	(In thousands) Fair Value Measurement Using			Fair Value	Carrying Value
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3		
Cash:					
<b>September 30, 2016</b>	<b>\$ 3,799</b>	\$	\$	<b>\$ 3,799</b>	<b>\$ 3,799</b>
March 31, 2016	\$ 1,849	\$	\$	\$ 1,849	\$ 1,849
Finance receivables:					
<b>September 30, 2016</b>	\$	\$	<b>\$ 312,727</b>	<b>\$ 312,727</b>	<b>\$ 312,727</b>
March 31, 2016	\$	\$	\$ 311,837	\$ 311,837	\$ 311,837
Line of credit:					
<b>September 30, 2016</b>	\$	<b>\$ 209,000</b>	\$	<b>\$ 209,000</b>	<b>\$ 209,000</b>
March 31, 2016	\$	\$ 211,000	\$	\$ 211,000	\$ 211,000

**Assets and Liabilities Recorded at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis**

The Company may be required, from time to time, to measure certain assets and liabilities at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. The Company does not have any assets or liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis as of September 30, 2016 and March 31, 2016.

**9. Contingencies**

The Company currently is not a party to any pending legal proceedings other than ordinary routine litigation incidental to its business, none of which, if decided adversely to the Company, would, in the opinion of management, have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

**10. Recently Issued Accounting Standards**

In August 2016, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) issued the Accounting Standards Update ( ASU ) 2016-15 *Statement of Cash Flows (Topic 230): Classification of Certain Cash Receipts and Cash Payment*. The new guidance focuses on making the Statement of Cash Flows more uniform for companies. The amendments in this Update are effective for public business entities for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, and interim periods within those fiscal years. Early adoption is permitted, including adoption in an interim period. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of the adoption of this ASU on the consolidated financial statements.

In June 2016, the FASB issued the ASU 2016-13 *Financial Instruments - Credit Losses (Topic 326): Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments*. The new guidance requires organizations to measure all expected credit losses for financial instruments held at the reporting date based on historical experience, current conditions and reasonable and supportable forecasts. The ASU also requires additional disclosures related to estimates and judgments used to measure all expected credit losses. The new guidance is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2019. Early application will be permitted for all organizations for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2018. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of the adoption of this ASU on the consolidated financial statements.

In March 2016, the FASB issued the ASU 2016-09, *Compensation - Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Improvements to Employee Share-Based Payment Accounting*, which is intended to simplify several aspects of the accounting for share-based payment transactions, including the income tax consequences, classification of awards as either equity or liabilities,

---

**Table of Contents**

Nicholas Financial, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Unaudited)

**10. Recently Issued Accounting Standards (continued)**

and classification on the statement of cash flows. For public entities, ASU 2016-09 is effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim periods within those fiscal years. Early application is permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of the adoption of this ASU on the consolidated financial statements.

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-02, *Leases*, intended to improve financial reporting about leasing transactions. The ASU affects all companies and other organizations that lease assets such as real estate, airplanes, and manufacturing equipment. The ASU will require organizations that lease assets referred to as lessees to recognize on the balance sheet the assets and liabilities for the rights and obligations created by those leases. The accounting by organizations that own the assets leased by the lessee also known as lessor accounting will remain largely unchanged from current U.S. GAAP. ASU 2016-02 is effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2018, including interim periods within those fiscal years. Early application is permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of the adoption of this ASU on the consolidated financial statements.

In January 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-01, *Financial Instruments Recognition and Measurement of Financial Assets and Liabilities*, which is intended to improve the recognition and measurement of financial instruments by requiring: equity investments (other than equity method or consolidation) to be measured at fair value with changes in fair value recognized in net income; public business entities to use the exit price notion when measuring the fair value of financial instruments for disclosure purposes; separate presentation of financial assets and financial liabilities by measurement category and form of financial asset (i.e., securities or loans and receivables) on the balance sheet or the accompanying notes to the financial statements; eliminating the requirement to disclose the fair value of financial instruments measured at amortized cost for organizations that are not public business entities; eliminating the requirement for public business entities to disclose the method(s) and significant assumptions used to estimate the fair value that is required to be disclosed for financial instruments measured at amortized cost on the balance sheet; and requiring a reporting organization to present separately in other comprehensive income the portion of the total change in the fair value of a liability resulting from a change in the instrument-specific credit risk (also referred to as own credit) when the organization has elected to measure the liability at fair value in accordance with the fair value option for financial instruments. This ASU is effective for public companies for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within those fiscal years. This ASU permits early adoption of the instrument-specific credit risk provision. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of the pending adoption of this ASU on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-09, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606)*. The ASU requires an entity to recognize the amount of revenue to which it expects to be entitled for the transfer of promised goods or services to customers. The ASU, and all subsequently issued clarifying ASUs, will replace most existing revenue recognition guidance in U.S. GAAP when it becomes effective. The standard permits the use of either the retrospective or cumulative effect transition method. On July 9, 2015, the FASB approved the deferral of the effective

date of ASU 2014-09 by one year. As a result, ASU 2014-09 will be effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within that reporting period. The ASU would permit public entities to adopt the ASU early, but not before the original effective date (i.e., annual periods beginning after December 15, 2016). The Company has not yet selected a transition method and is currently evaluating the impact of the pending adoption of this ASU on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

The Company does not believe there are any other recently issued accounting standards that have not yet been adopted that will have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

## **Table of Contents**

### ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

#### **Forward-Looking Information**

This report on Form 10-Q contains various statements, other than those concerning historical information, that are based on management's beliefs and assumptions, as well as information currently available to management, and should be considered forward-looking statements. This notice is intended to take advantage of the safe harbor provided by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 with respect to such forward-looking statements. When used in this document, the words anticipate, estimate, expect, will, may, believe, and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Although the Company believes that the expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are reasonable, it can give no assurance that such expectations will prove to be correct. Such statements are subject to certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions. Should one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or should underlying assumptions prove incorrect, actual results may vary materially from those anticipated, estimated or expected. Among the key factors that may have a direct bearing on the Company's operating results are fluctuations in the economy, the ability to access bank financing, the degree and nature of competition, demand for consumer financing in the markets served by the Company, the Company's products and services, increases in the default rates experienced on Contracts, adverse regulatory changes in the Company's existing and future markets, the Company's ability to expand its business, including its ability to complete acquisitions and integrate the operations of acquired businesses, to recruit and retain qualified employees, to expand into new markets and to maintain profit margins in the face of increased pricing competition. All forward looking statements included in this report are based on information available to the Company on the date hereof, and the Company assumes no obligations to update any such forward looking statement. You should also consult factors described from time to time in the Company's filings made with the Securities and Exchange Commission, including its reports on Forms 10-K, 10-Q, 8-K and annual reports to shareholders.

#### **Litigation and Legal Matters**

See Item 1. Legal Proceedings in Part II of this quarterly report below.

#### **Regulatory Developments**

As previously reported, Title X of the Dodd-Frank Act established the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB), which became operational on July 21, 2011. Under the Dodd-Frank Act, the CFPB has regulatory, supervisory and enforcement powers over providers of consumer financial products, such as Contracts and the Direct Loans that we offer, including explicit supervisory authority to examine, audit, and investigate companies offering a consumer financial product such as ourselves. Although the Dodd-Frank Act expressly provides that the CFPB has no authority to establish usury limits, some consumer advocacy groups have suggested that certain forms of alternative consumer finance products, such as installment loans, should be a regulatory priority and it is possible that at some time in the future the CFPB could propose and adopt rules making such lending or other products that we may offer materially less profitable or impractical. Further, the CFPB may target specific features of loans by rulemaking that could cause us to cease offering certain products. Any such rules could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. The CFPB could also adopt rules imposing new and potentially burdensome requirements and limitations with respect to any of our current or future lines of business, which could have a material adverse effect on our operations and financial performance.

In June 2015, the CFPB published a rule expanding their supervision and examination of non-depository larger participants in the automobile finance business, including us. Since we are deemed a larger participant, we are subject

to supervision and examination by the CFPB. The CFPB's stated objectives of such examinations are: to assess the quality of a larger participant's compliance management systems for preventing violations of federal consumer financial laws; to identify acts or practices that materially increase the risk of violations of federal consumer finance laws and associated harm to consumers; and to gather facts that help determine whether the larger participant engages in acts or practices that are likely to violate federal consumer financial laws in connection with its automobile finance business. Thus, as a larger participant, we will be subject to examination by the CFPB for compliance with, among other Federal consumer financial laws, the applicable provisions of the Truth in Lending Act ( TILA ); Equal Credit Opportunity Act ( ECOA ); Fair Credit Reporting Act ( FCRA ); Electronic Fund Transfer Act ( EFTA ); Unfair, Deceptive or Abusive Acts or Practices ( UDAAP ); Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act ( GLBA ); Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ( FDCPA ); and, Military Lending Act ( MLA ), as well as, the adequacy of our compliance management system.

### **Critical Accounting Policy**

The Company's critical accounting policy relates to the allowance for credit losses. It is based on management's opinion of an amount that is adequate to absorb losses incurred in the existing portfolio. The allowance for credit losses is

## **Table of Contents**

established through a provision for credit losses based on management's evaluation of the risk inherent in the loan portfolio, the composition of the portfolio and current economic conditions. Such evaluation considers, among other matters, the estimated net realizable value or the fair value of the underlying collateral, economic conditions, historical loan loss experience, management's estimate of probable credit losses and other factors that warrant recognition in providing for an adequate credit loss allowance.

Because of the nature of the customers under the Company's Contracts and its Direct Loan program, the Company considers the establishment of adequate reserves for credit losses to be imperative. The Company segregates its Contracts into static pools for purposes of establishing reserves for losses. All Contracts purchased by a branch during a fiscal quarter comprise a static pool. The Company pools Contracts according to branch location because the branches purchase Contracts in different geographic markets. This method of pooling by branch and quarter allows the Company to evaluate the different markets where the branches operate. The pools also allow the Company to evaluate the different levels of customer income, stability and credit history, and the types of vehicles purchased, in each market. Each such static pool consists of the Contracts purchased by a branch office during a fiscal quarter.

Contracts are purchased from many different dealers and are all purchased on an individual Contract-by-Contract basis. Individual Contract pricing is determined by the automobile dealerships and is generally the lesser of the applicable state maximum interest rate, if any, or the maximum interest rate which the customer will accept. In certain markets, competitive forces will drive down Contract rates from the maximum rate to a level where an individual competitor is willing to buy an individual Contract. The Company purchases Contracts on an individual basis, although the Company may consider portfolio acquisitions as part of its growth strategy.

The Company utilizes the branch model, which allows for Contract purchasing to be done on the branch level. The Company has detailed underwriting guidelines it utilizes to determine which Contracts to purchase. These guidelines are specific and are designed to cause all of the Contracts that the Company purchases to have common risk characteristics. The Company utilizes its District Managers to evaluate their respective branch locations for adherence to these underwriting guidelines. The Company also utilizes internal audit to assure adherence to its underwriting guidelines.

The allowance for credit losses is established through charges to earnings through the provision for credit losses. The allowance for credit losses is maintained at an amount that reduces the net carrying amount of finance receivables for incurred losses.

In analyzing a static pool, the Company considers competition in the market place at the time Contracts are purchased, performance of prior static pools originated by the same branch office, the performance of prior Contracts purchased from the dealers whose Contracts are included in the current static pool, the credit rating of the customers under the Contracts in the static pool, and current market and economic conditions. Each static pool is analyzed monthly to determine if the loss reserves are adequate, and adjustments are made if they are determined to be necessary.

## **Introduction**

Diluted earnings per share decreased 40% to \$0.25 for the three month period ended September 30, 2016 as compared to \$0.42 for the three months ended September 30, 2015. Net earnings were \$2.0 million and \$3.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively. Revenue remained relatively flat at \$22.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2016 as compared to \$22.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2015.

For the six months ended September 30, 2016, per share diluted net earnings decreased 30% to \$0.62 as compared to \$0.89 for the six months ended September 30, 2015. Net earnings were \$4.9 million and \$6.9 million for the six months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively. Revenue increased 2% to \$45.6 million for the six months ended September 30, 2016 as compared to \$44.7 million for the six months ended September 30, 2015.

Our net earnings for the three and six months ended September 30, 2016 were adversely affected primarily by an increase in the provision for credit losses due to higher charge-offs and past-due accounts along with a reduction in the gross portfolio yield. Conversely, our results were favorably impacted due to a change in the fair value of the interest rate swaps.

**Table of Contents**

<b>Portfolio Summary</b>	<b>Three months ended September 30, (In thousands)</b>		<b>Six months ended September 30, (In thousands)</b>	
	<b>2016</b>	<b>2015</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2015</b>
Average finance receivables, net of unearned interest (1)	\$ 343,542	\$ 334,701	\$ 343,327	\$ 329,628
Average indebtedness (2)	\$ 208,461	\$ 207,446	\$ 209,437	\$ 204,275
Interest and fee income on finance receivables	\$ 22,647	\$ 22,687	\$ 45,562	\$ 44,712
Interest expense	2,243	2,273	4,487	4,439
Net interest and fee income on finance receivables	\$ 20,404	\$ 20,414	\$ 41,075	\$ 40,273
Gross portfolio yield (3)	26.37%	27.11%	26.54%	27.13%
Interest expense as a percentage of average finance receivables, net of unearned interest	2.61%	2.72%	2.61%	2.69%
Provision for credit losses as a percentage of average finance receivables, net of unearned interest	9.48%	7.38%	8.84%	6.78%
Net portfolio yield (3)	14.28%	17.01%	15.09%	17.66%
Marketing, salaries, employee benefits, depreciation, administrative and professional fee expenses as a percentage of average finance receivables, net of unearned interest	10.74%	10.59%	10.57%	10.76%
Pre-tax yield as a percentage of average finance receivables, net of unearned interest (4)	3.54%	6.42%	4.52%	6.90%
Write-off to liquidation (5)	11.41%	9.64%	10.42%	8.34%
Net charge-off percentage (6)	9.36%	8.03%	8.43%	6.95%

**Note:** All three-month and six-month key performance indicators expressed as percentages have been annualized.

- (1) Average finance receivables, net of unearned interest, represents the average of gross finance receivables, less unearned interest throughout the period.
- (2) Average indebtedness represents the average outstanding borrowings under the Line.
- (3) Gross portfolio yield represents interest and fee income on finance receivables as a percentage of average finance receivables, net of unearned interest. Net portfolio yield represents interest and fee income on finance receivables minus (a) interest expense and (b) the provision for credit losses as a percentage of average finance receivables, net of unearned interest.
- (4) Pre-tax yield represents net portfolio yield minus administrative expenses (marketing, salaries, employee benefits, depreciation, administrative, and professional fees) as a percentage of average finance receivables, net of unearned interest.
- (5) Write-off to liquidation percentage is defined as net charge-offs divided by liquidation. Liquidation is defined as beginning gross receivable balance plus current period purchases minus voids and refinances minus ending gross receivable balance.
- (6) Net charge-off percentage represents net charge-offs divided by average finance receivables, net of unearned interest, outstanding during the period.



Table of Contents**Three months ended September 30, 2016 compared to three months ended September 30, 2015****Interest Income and Loan Portfolio**

Interest and fee income on finance receivables, predominately finance charge income, remained flat at \$22.6 million for the three-month periods ended September 30, 2016 and September 30, 2015. Average finance receivables, net of unearned interest equaled approximately \$343.5 million for the three-month period ended September 30, 2016, an increase of 2.6% from \$334.7 million for the corresponding period ended September 30, 2015. While our purchasing volume has slowed, due to maintaining our stringent underwriting guidelines, our finance receivables continue to grow in our younger markets, including our two new states (see Contract Procurement and Loan Origination below). The increase in our average term and average loan amount has also contributed to increasing our finance receivables. The gross portfolio yield decreased to 26.37% for the three-month period ended September 30, 2016 compared to 27.11% for the three-month period ended September 30, 2015. The gross portfolio yield decreased primarily due to the decrease in the average dealer discount and a decrease in the average weighted APR, both of which are primarily the result of increased competition for Contracts across all markets. The net portfolio yield decreased to 14.28% for the three-month period ended September 30, 2016 from 17.01% for the corresponding period ended September 30, 2015. The net portfolio yield decreased due to a decrease in the gross portfolio yield and an increase in the provision for credit losses (see Analysis of Credit Losses ).

**Marketing, Salaries, Employee Benefits, Depreciation, Administrative, and Professional Fee Expenses**

Marketing, salaries, employee benefits, depreciation, administrative, and professional fee expenses increased to approximately \$9.2 million for the three-month period ended September 30, 2016 from approximately \$8.9 million for the three-month period ended September 30, 2015. The increase was primarily related to an increase in costs associated with maintaining the finance receivable portfolio. Marketing, salaries, employee benefits, depreciation, administrative, and professional fee expenses as a percentage of finance receivables, net of unearned interest, increased to 10.74% for the three-month period ended September 30, 2016 from 10.59% for the three-month period ended September 30, 2015.

**Interest Expense**

Interest expense remained relatively flat at approximately \$2.2 million for the three-month period ended September 30, 2016 and \$2.3 million for the three-month period ended September 30, 2015. The following table summarizes the Company's average cost of borrowed funds:

	<b>Three months ended September 30,</b>	
	<b>2016</b>	<b>2015</b>
Variable interest under the line of credit facility	<b>0.67%</b>	0.39%
Settlements under interest rate swap agreements	<b>0.11%</b>	0.18%
Credit spread under the line of credit facility	<b>3.52%</b>	3.81%
Average cost of borrowed funds	<b>4.30%</b>	4.38%

The Company's average cost of funds decreased mainly due to interest rate swap agreements not increasing proportionately to total average debt, \$208.5 million as of September 30, 2016 as compared to \$207.4 million September 30, 2015. LIBOR rates have increased (.53% as of September 30, 2016 compared to .19% as of

September 30, 2015), which has caused the credit spread to decrease and the variable interest to increase but this increase had no effect on total cost because there is a 1.0% floor on the Line.

The notional amount of interest rate swap agreements was \$50.0 million at a weighted average fixed rate of 0.94% for each of the three-month periods ended September 30, 2016 and 2015. For further discussions regarding the effect of interest rate swap agreements see Note 6 Interest Rate Swap Agreements .

**Table of Contents****Six months ended September 30, 2016 compared to six months September 30, 2015****Interest Income and Loan Portfolio**

Interest and fee income on finance receivables, predominately finance charge income increased 2.0% to approximately \$45.6 million for the six-month period ended September 30, 2016 from \$44.7 million for the corresponding period ended September 30, 2015. Average finance receivables, net of unearned interest equaled approximately \$343.3 million for the six-month period ended September 30, 2016, an increase of 4.2% from \$329.6 million for the corresponding period ended September 30, 2015. While our purchasing volume has slowed, due to maintaining our stringent underwriting guidelines, our finance receivables continue to grow in our younger markets, including our two newer states (see [Contract Procurement](#) and [Loan Origination](#) below). The increase in our average term and average loan amount has also contributed to increasing our finance receivables. The gross portfolio yield decreased to 26.54% for the six-month period ended September 30, 2016 compared to 27.13% for the six-month period ended September 30, 2015. The gross portfolio yield decreased primarily due to the decrease in the average dealer discount and a decrease in the average weighted APR, both of which are primarily the result of increased competition. The net portfolio yield decreased to 15.09% for the six-month period ended September 30, 2016 from 17.66% for the corresponding period ended September 30, 2015. The net portfolio yield decreased due to a decrease in the gross portfolio yield and an increase in the provision for credit losses (see [Analysis of Credit Losses](#) ).

**Marketing, Salaries, Employee Benefits, Depreciation, Administrative, and Professional Fee Expenses**

Marketing, salaries, employee benefits, depreciation, administrative, and professional fee expenses increased to approximately \$18.1 million for the six-month period ended September 30, 2016 from approximately \$17.7 million for the corresponding period ended September 30, 2015. The increase was primarily related to an increase in costs associated with maintaining the finance receivable portfolio. Marketing, salaries, employee benefits, depreciation, administrative, and professional fee expenses as a percentage of finance receivables, net of unearned interest, decreased to 10.57% for the six-month period ended September 30, 2016 from 10.76% for the six-month period ended September 30, 2015 (see [Future Outlook](#) ) section for further commentary on centralization of collections).

**Interest Expense**

Interest expense remained relatively flat at \$4.5 million for the six-month period ended September 30, 2016 from \$4.4 million for the six-month period ended September 30, 2015. The following table summarizes the Company's average cost of borrowed funds:

	<b>Six months ended September 30,</b>	
	<b>2016</b>	<b>2015</b>
Variable interest under the line of credit facility	<b>0.61%</b>	0.35%
Settlements under interest rate swap agreements	<b>0.11%</b>	0.19%
Credit spread under the line of credit facility	<b>3.56%</b>	3.81%
Average cost of borrowed funds	<b>4.28%</b>	4.35%

The Company's average cost of funds decreased mainly due to interest rate swap agreements not increasing proportionately to total average debt, \$209.4 million as of September 30, 2016 as compared to \$204.2 million September 30, 2015. LIBOR rates have increased (.53% as of September 30, 2016 compared to .19% as of

September 30, 2015), which has caused the credit spread to decrease and the variable interest to increase but this increase had no effect on total cost because there is a 1.0% floor on the Line.

The notional amount of interest rate swap agreements was \$50.0 million at a weighted average fixed rate of 0.94% for each of the six-month periods ended September 30, 2016 and 2015. For further discussions regarding the effect of interest rate swap agreements see Note 6 Interest Rate Swap Agreements .

**Table of Contents****Contract Procurement**

The Company purchases Contracts in the eighteen states listed in the table below. The Contracts purchased by the Company are predominately for used vehicles; for the three and six month periods ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, less than 1% were for new vehicles.

The following tables present selected information on Contracts purchased by the Company, net of unearned interest.

State	As of September 30, 2016 Number of branches	Three months ended September 30,		Six months ended September 30,	
		2016 Net Purchases (In thousands)	2015 Net Purchases (In thousands)	2016 Net Purchases (In thousands)	2015 Net Purchases (In thousands)
FL	19	\$ 11,809	\$ 14,757	\$ 23,576	\$ 30,531
GA	6	4,016	4,792	7,320	9,965
NC	6	2,947	3,312	6,103	7,149
SC	2	938	1,804	1,849	3,513
OH	7	4,690	6,688	9,545	13,821
MI	2	1,579	1,624	3,396	3,485
VA	2	1,072	1,026	1,915	2,511
IN	3	2,287	2,216	4,547	4,261
KY	3	2,145	2,271	4,045	4,705
MD	1	674	715	1,158	1,564
AL	2	1,312	1,633	2,561	3,533
TN	2	1,113	1,607	2,432	3,385
IL	3	1,717	2,453	3,566	4,541
MO	3	1,793	2,195	3,614	4,457
KS	1	625	660	1,348	1,420
TX	2	1,740	436	3,661	1,723
PA	1	784		1,130	
WI	a	299		604	
<b>Total</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>\$ 41,540</b>	<b>\$ 48,189</b>	<b>\$ 82,370</b>	<b>\$ 100,564</b>

a. Purchases in the state of Wisconsin are currently being acquired and serviced through an Illinois branch.

Contracts	Three months ended September 30, (Purchases in thousands)		Six months ended September 30, (Purchases in thousands)	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
Purchases	\$ 41,540	\$ 48,189	\$ 82,370	\$ 100,564
Weighted APR	22.26%	22.76%	22.32%	22.71%

Edgar Filing: NICHOLAS FINANCIAL INC - Form 10-Q

Average discount	<b>7.01%</b>	7.57%	<b>7.08%</b>	7.55%
Weighted average term (months)	<b>57</b>	56	<b>57</b>	56
Average loan	<b>\$ 11,565</b>	\$ 11,357	<b>\$ 11,608</b>	\$ 11,370
Number of Contracts	<b>3,592</b>	4,243	<b>7,096</b>	8,845

**Table of Contents****Loan Origination**

The following table presents selected information on Direct Loans originated by the Company, net of unearned interest.

<b>Direct Loans Originated</b>	<b>Three months ended September 30,</b>		<b>Six months ended September 30,</b>	
	<b>(Originations in thousands)</b>		<b>(Originations in thousands)</b>	
	<b>2016</b>	<b>2015</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2015</b>
Originations	\$ 2,256	\$ 2,526	\$ 4,531	\$ 5,177
Weighted APR	26.18%	26.02%	26.14%	25.82%
Weighted average term (months)	29	29	30	29
Average loan	\$ 3,541	\$ 3,608	\$ 3,510	\$ 3,590
Number of loans	637	700	1,291	1,442

**Analysis of Credit Losses**

As of September 30, 2016, the Company had approximately 1,500 active static pools. The average pool upon inception consisted of 60 Contracts with aggregate finance receivables, net of unearned interest, of approximately \$678,000.

The provision for credit losses increased to approximately \$8.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2016 from approximately \$6.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2015. This increase is primarily a result of an increase in the net charge-off rate to 9.36% for the three months ended September 30, 2016 from 8.03% for the three months ended September 30, 2015. The provision for credit losses increased to approximately \$15.2 million for the six months ended September 30, 2016 from approximately \$11.2 million for the six months ended September 30, 2015. This increase is primarily a result of an increase in the net charge-off rate to 8.43% for the six months ended September 30, 2016 from 6.95% for the six months ended September 30, 2015.

The Company's losses as a percentage of liquidation (see note 5 in the Portfolio Summary for the definition of write-off to liquidation) increased to 11.41% for the three months ended September 30, 2016 as compared to 9.64% for the three months ended September 30, 2015. The Company's losses as a percentage of liquidation increased to 10.42% for the six months ended September 30, 2016 as compared to 8.34% for the six months ended September 30, 2015. This increase was primarily the result of increased competition in all markets in which the Company presently operates as well as lower resale value at auto auctions. Increased competition continues to drive a higher percentage of loans acquired that are categorized in the lower tiers of the Company's guidelines as well as longer terms. Decreased auction proceeds from repossessed vehicles increased the amount of write-offs which, in turn, increased the write-off to liquidation percentage. During the three months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, auction proceeds from the sale of repossessed vehicles averaged approximately 37% and 43%, respectively, of the related principal balance. During the six months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, auction proceeds from the sale of repossessed vehicles averaged approximately 38% and 44%, respectively, of the related principal balance.

Recoveries as a percentage of charge-offs were approximately 6.98% and 9.52% for the three months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively. Recoveries as a percentage of charge-offs were approximately 7.82% and 8.34% for the six months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively. The Company attributes a large portion of this decrease simply to the increase in charge-offs; however, there was also a decrease in the dollars received through our recovery department. Historically, recoveries fluctuate from period to period due to various factors. The

increase in competition hinders our ability to collect deficiency balances. Many customers may not be concerned currently about blemished credit histories due to many competitors with less restrictive underwriting guidelines. From time to time, the Company will aggregate charge-off accounts it deems uncollectible, and sell them to a third-party.

The delinquency percentage for Contracts more than thirty days past due, excluding Chapter 13 bankruptcy accounts, as of September 30, 2016 increased to 9.76% from 5.88% as of September 30, 2015. The delinquency percentage for Direct Loans more than thirty days past due as of September 30, 2016 increased to 4.09% from 1.80% as of September 30, 2015. Also, see the Future Outlook section for more discussion on loan operations and collections. See Note 4- Finance Receivables for changes in allowance for credit losses, credit quality and delinquencies. The delinquency percentage increase for Contracts reflects portfolio weakness that generally manifests itself in increased future losses. The Company has continued to see a significant increase in the number of competitors with aggressive underwriting in our operating market.

**Table of Contents**

The Company considers the following factors to assist in determining the appropriate loss reserve levels: unemployment rates; competition; the number of bankruptcy filings; the results of internal branch audits; consumer sentiment; consumer spending; economic growth (i.e., changes in GDP); the condition of the housing sector; and other leading economic indicators. The Company continues to evaluate reserve levels on a pool-by-pool basis during each reporting period. The longer-term outlook for portfolio performance will depend on overall economic conditions, the unemployment rate, the rational or irrational behavior of the Company's competitors, and the Company's ability to monitor, manage and implement its underwriting philosophy in additional geographic areas as it strives to continue its expansion.

In accordance with our policies and procedures, certain borrowers qualify for, and the Company offers, one-month principal payment deferrals on Contracts and Direct Loans. For the three months ended September 30, 2016 and September 30, 2015 the Company granted deferrals to approximately 5.60% and 6.22%, respectively, of total Contracts and Direct Loans. For the six months ended September 30, 2016 and September 30, 2015 the Company granted deferrals to approximately 11.02% and 11.47%, respectively, of total Contracts and Direct Loans. The number of deferrals is influenced by portfolio performance, including but not limited to, the unemployment rate, inflation, credit quality of loans purchased, and general economic conditions.

**Income Taxes**

The provision for income taxes decreased to approximately \$1.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2016 from approximately \$2.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2015. The Company's effective tax rate decreased to 37.61% for the three months ended September 30, 2016 from 38.53% for the three months ended September 30, 2015. The provision for income taxes decreased to approximately \$3.0 million for the six months ended September 30, 2016 from approximately \$4.3 million for the six months ended September 30, 2015. The Company's effective tax rate decreased to 38.03% for the six months ended September 30, 2016 from 38.44% for the six months ended September 30, 2015.

**Liquidity and Capital Resources**

The Company's cash flows are summarized as follows:

	<b>Six months ended September 30, (In thousands)</b>	
	<b>2016</b>	<b>2015</b>
Cash provided by (used in):		
Operating activities	\$ 13,159	\$ 11,932
Investing activities (primarily purchase of Contracts)	(10,042)	(24,989)
Financing activities	(1,167)	11,342
<b>Net increase (decrease) in cash</b>	<b>\$ 1,950</b>	<b>\$ (1,715)</b>

The Company's primary use of working capital during the six months ended September 30, 2016, was the funding of the purchase of Contracts which are financed substantially through cash from principal payments received and cash from operations. The Line is secured by all of the assets of the Company and has a maturity date of January 31, 2018. The Company may borrow up to \$225.0 million. Borrowings under the Line may be under various LIBOR pricing

options plus 300 basis points with a 1% floor on LIBOR. As of September 30, 2016, the amount outstanding under the Line was \$209.0 million, and the amount available under the Line was approximately \$16.0 million.

The Company will continue to depend on the availability of the Line, together with cash from operations, to finance future operations. Amounts outstanding under the Line have decreased by \$2.0 million during the six months ended September 30, 2016. The decrease of the Line is principally related to the fact that cash received from operations exceeded cash needed to fund new contracts. The amount of debt the Company incurs from time to time under these financing mechanisms depends on the Company's need for cash and ability to borrow under the terms of the Line. The Company believes that borrowings available under the Line as well as cash flow from operations will be sufficient to meet its short-term funding needs. The Line requires compliance with certain debt covenants including financial ratios, asset quality and other performance tests. The Company is in compliance with all of its debt covenants as of September 30, 2016.

**Table of Contents****Contractual Obligations**

The following table summarizes the Company's material obligations as of September 30, 2016.

	<b>Payments Due by Period</b>				
	<b>(In thousands)</b>				
	<b>Total</b>	<b>Less than 1 year</b>	<b>1 to 3 years</b>	<b>3 to 5 years</b>	<b>More than 5 years</b>
Operating leases	\$ 5,424	\$ 2,143	\$ 2,879	\$ 402	\$
Line of credit <sup>1</sup>	209,000		209,000		
Interest on Line <sup>1</sup>	11,927	8,945	2,982		
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 226,351</b>	<b>\$ 11,088</b>	<b>\$ 214,861</b>	<b>\$ 402</b>	<b>\$</b>

- The Company's Line matures on January 30, 2018. Interest on outstanding borrowings under the Line as of September 30, 2016, is based on an effective interest rate of 4.28% which includes the estimated effect of the interest rate swap agreements settlements through the maturity date. The effective interest rate used in the above table does not contemplate the possibility of entering into interest rate swap agreements in the future.

**Future Outlook**

The Company currently (as of November 9, 2016) operates a total of 65 branch locations in eighteen states (see Contract Procurement ). The Company consolidated three branch locations (Sarasota, FL, Troy, MI, and Toledo, OH) into branches previously established within their market, and opened a full service branch in Pittsburgh during the three months ended September 30, 2016. The Company continues to evaluate potential new markets while maintaining its existing markets. The Company may choose to close or consolidate certain existing branches if they are unable to acquire Contracts that meet Company expectations. As a result of continued intense competition, the Company has been evaluating the long-term sustainability of its current branch-based model. Also, as previously disclosed, effective October 1, 2016, the Company has moved all loan-servicing operations, including collections, from the branch locations to a centralized location within its Corporate Headquarters in Clearwater, FL. New regulations and best practices regarding collections were important aspects that led us to the decision to centralize our loan servicing operations. To a lesser extent the Company expects to experience a decline in operating expenses as a result of a reduced headcount. The Company does not believe there will be any material change in delinquencies and losses as a result of this strategic decision, however no assurances can be given at this time. The branches will continue to underwrite and acquire Contracts; however, any additional material changes to Company operations will be evaluated by the Company over the next several quarters. We continue to evaluate the various markets in which we operate; however, we do not expect any significant changes to the number of branches or other operations during our third quarter ending December 31, 2016.

**ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

Market risks relating to the Company's operations result primarily from changes in interest rates. The Company does not engage in speculative or leveraged transactions, nor does it hold or issue financial instruments for trading purposes.

**Interest rate risk**

Management's objective is to minimize the cost of borrowing through an appropriate mix of fixed and floating rate debt. Derivative financial instruments, such as interest rate swap agreements, may be used for the purpose of managing fluctuating interest rate exposures that exist from ongoing business operations. The Company does not use interest rate swap agreements for speculative purposes. As of September 30, 2016, \$159 million, or approximately 76.1% of our total debt, was subject to floating interest rates; however, due to a 1% floor on the debt these rates are effectively fixed until the variable rates exceed this threshold. As a result, a hypothetical increase in the variable interest rates of 1% or 100 basis points (1.53% as of September 30, 2016) applicable to this floating rate debt would have an annual after-tax increase of interest expense of approximately \$370,000.

**ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures. In accordance with Rule 13a-15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act), as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, the Company's management evaluated, with the participation of the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer and Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Exchange Act). Based upon their evaluation of these disclosure

**Table of Contents**

controls and procedures, the President and Chief Executive Officer and the Vice President and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the date of such evaluation to ensure that material information relating to the Company, including its consolidated subsidiaries, was made known to them by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q was being prepared.

Changes in internal controls. There have been no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Company's last fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

**PART II - OTHER INFORMATION**

**ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

The Company currently is not a party to any pending legal proceedings other than ordinary routine litigation incidental to its business, none of which, if decided adversely to the Company, would, in the opinion of management, have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

**ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS**

In addition to the other information set forth in this report, you should carefully consider the factors discussed in Part I Item 1A. Risk Factors in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2016, which could materially affect our business, financial condition or future results. The risks described in the Form 10-K are not the only risks facing the Company. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to the Company or that the Company currently deems to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and/or operating results.

**ITEM 6. EXHIBITS**

See exhibit index following the signature page.

**Table of Contents**

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

**NICHOLAS FINANCIAL, INC.**  
(Registrant)

Date: November 09, 2016

/s/ Ralph T. Finkenbrink  
Ralph T. Finkenbrink  
Chairman of the Board, President,  
Chief Executive Officer and Director

Date: November 09, 2016

/s/ Katie L. MacGillivray  
Katie L. MacGillivray  
Vice President and  
Chief Financial Officer

---

**Table of Contents****EXHIBIT INDEX**

<b>Exhibit No.</b>	<b>Description</b>
10.8	Form of Dealer Agreement and Schedule thereto listing dealers that are parties to such agreements
31.1	Certification of the President and Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Certification of the Vice President and Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1*	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350
32.2*	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Labels Linkbase Document
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

\* This certification accompanies the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and is not filed as part of it.