CIS Acquisition Ltd.
Form 25-NSE
October 01, 2014

LOR="#cceeff">

Bruce R. Hazelgrove, III

18,080 1,070 19,150

C. S. Warren Huang

15,592 15,592

James E. Rogers

3,606 3,606

Sidney Buford Scott

15,436 15,436(5)

Charles B. Walker

14,422 14,422

Directors and executive officers as a group (14 persons)

2,092,025 94,118 2,186143 14.16%

- (1) The amounts in this column include shares of NewMarket common stock with respect to which certain persons had the right to acquire beneficial ownership within 60 days of January 31, 2008, pursuant to the exercise of options granted under the 1982 Stock Option Plan: Steven M. Edmonds: 20,000 shares; David A. Fiorenza: 8,000 shares; Thomas E. Gottwald: 30,000 shares; C. S. Warren Huang: 15,000 shares; and the directors and current executive officers as a group: 77,000 shares.
- (2) Except as indicated, each person or group owns less than 1% of NewMarket common stock.
- (3) Mr. Bruce C. Gottwald disclaims beneficial ownership of all 62,451 of such shares.
- (4) Mr. Thomas E. Gottwald disclaims beneficial ownership of all 30,597 of such shares.
- (5) Mr. Sidney Buford Scott has pledged as security all 15,436 of such shares.

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Compensation Philosophy and Objectives

Our executive compensation philosophy is to create a long-term direct relationship between pay and our performance. Our executive compensation program is designed to provide a balanced total compensation package over the executive s career with our company. The compensation program objectives are to attract, motivate and retain the qualified executives that help ensure our future success, to provide incentives for increasing our profits by awarding executives when individual and corporate goals are achieved and to align the interests of executives and long-term shareholders. The compensation package of our named executive officers consists of four main elements:

- 1. base salary in the 50th percentile range among peer group companies;
- 2. annual performance awards payable in cash and tied to corporate and individual objectives;
- 3. periodic awards of stock options and grants intended to achieve unity of interest between executives and shareholders; and
- 4. benefit plans designed to promote long-term employment.

Process for Setting Executive Compensation

The Bonus, Salary and Stock Option Committee, referred to as the Committee in this discussion, is responsible for developing and overseeing the implementation of our philosophy with respect to the compensation of executives and for monitoring the implementation and results of the compensation philosophy to ensure compensation remains competitive, creates proper incentives to enhance shareholder value, rewards superior performance and is justified by returns available to shareholders. The Committee annually reviews and approves for each named executive officer, and particularly with regard to the Chief Executive Officer, all components of compensation, corporate goals and objectives and performance in light of the stated goals and objectives. Additionally, the Committee reviews and approves the base salary, annual and long term incentive opportunities, employment agreements, severance agreements, change in control agreements, if applicable, and any other special or supplemental benefits of the named executive officers. All of our independent directors also approve the compensation of the Chief Executive Officer. The Chief Executive Officer annually provides the Committee a written evaluation of each named executive officer s performance based on the goals and objectives developed by the Chief Executive Officer and named executive officer at the beginning of the year. As part of the evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer provides a statement of the named executive s accomplishments versus established goals to support the compensation recommended to the Committee.

Under its charter, the Committee has the sole authority to retain and terminate one or more compensation consultants or other advisors to assist it with its duties. For 2007, the Committee engaged Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc. as its compensation consultant to advise it on our executive compensation program and to provide it with market benchmarking data. The Committee provided instructions to the consultants pertaining to the scope of work to be performed but did not direct the manner in which the work was performed.

Peer Group and Compensation Targets

With the assistance of Frederic W. Cook & Co., the Committee selected a compensation peer group of companies similar to us in size or business. The peer group is used to benchmark executive compensation levels against companies that have executive positions with responsibilities similar in breadth and scope to ours and have businesses that compete with us for executive talent. The Committee reviews benchmark data prepared by Frederic W. Cook & Co. to ensure that our executive compensation program is competitive.

The following 11 companies comprise the peer group used in connection with 2007 compensation: Albemarle Corporation, Arch Chemicals, Inc., Compass Minerals Group, Inc., Ferro Corporation, FMC Corporation, MacDermid, Incorporated, Minerals Technologies Inc., OM Group, Inc., A. Schulman, Inc., Sensient Technologies Corporation and Tredegar Corporation.

Program Components

Our executive compensation program consists of the following elements:

Base Salary

Our base salary structure is designed to encourage internal growth, attract and retain new talent, and reward strong leadership that will sustain our growth and profitability. We seek to pay salaries within the 50th percentile for peer group companies and have historically found that maintaining salaries within a 15% range of that percentile allows us to retain our existing executives and hire the desired caliber of new talent when required. The base salary for each named executive officer reflects our past and current operating profits, the named executive officer s individual contribution to our success throughout his career, internal pay equity and market data regarding comparable positions within peer group companies. In determining and setting base salary, the Committee considers all of these factors, though it does not assign specific weights to any factor.

Our annual review of market data regarding compensation paid by the peer group companies revealed that the average base salaries of our named executive officers for 2007 ranged between 69% and 99% of the median base salary for executives in comparable positions. In 2007, the named executive officers received average raises in salary of 4.2%. Overall, the salary increases fell within the 2007 merit increase guidelines for rewarding performance based upon the accomplishment of the goals and objectives established at the beginning of the year. The Committee generally considers revisions to base salary for each named officer one year after the last change to base salary for that officer. In November 2007, the Committee raised the salary of Bruce Hazelgrove to \$265,600 effective January 1, 2008 in accordance with the Committee s objective of paying executive salaries near the 50th percentile of peer group companies. Mr. Hazelgrove s previous base salary was below the 2th percentile of peer group companies used for 2007 compensation.

In December 2007, Frederic W. Cook & Co. provided the Committee comparative market data on compensation practices and programs of competitors and companies of comparable size. The peer group discussed above was modified by eliminating companies that were no longer in existence due to merger activity or inappropriate from a size perspective (Albemarle Corporation, FMC Corporation and Ferro Corporation) and adding companies similar to us in terms of size or industry (H.B. Fuller Company, Innospec Inc., The Lubrizol Corporation, and Stepan Company). Frederic W. Cook also advised the Committee on industry best practices. According to the analysis done by Frederic W. Cook & Co., the salary of Mr. Thomas E. Gottwald was below the 25% percentile of competitors and companies of comparable size. As a result and in accordance with the Committee s objective of paying executive salaries near the 50th percentile of peer group companies, the Committee raised Mr. Gottwald s salary to \$650,000 effective January 1, 2008.

Bonus

The objectives of our Management Bonus Plan, which became effective January 1, 2003 and which we refer to in this proxy statement as the Bonus Plan, are to encourage and reward our employees, including the named executive officers, who contribute to and participate in our success by their invention, ability, industry, leadership, loyalty or exceptional service and to recruit additional executives who will contribute to that success. Each of our employees is eligible for consideration for an annual cash award under the Bonus Plan. The Chief Executive Officer makes recommendations regarding bonus awards, but the Committee has sole and final authority and discretion in designating employees to whom awards are made, the size of the award, if any, and its terms and conditions. The Bonus Plan is comprised of three components: the bonus pool, measuring individual performance and corporate performance and weighting of performance measures (individual and corporate).

Bonus Pool. After the end of each fiscal year, we calculate the annual bonus pool available under the Bonus Plan for that fiscal year. The annual bonus pool is calculated as a percentage of operating profit excluding nonrecurring items (corporate performance). The specific percentage is subject to approval by the Committee and is determined on an annual basis. For bonus awards made in early 2008 based on 2007 performance, the Committee approved a bonus pool consisting of 4% of our 2007 operating profit. Since the Bonus Plan s inception we have used operating profit as the financial indicator from which to create the bonus pool. The Committee believes that operating profit effectively represents the quality and quantity of corporate performance for determining whether bonuses are appropriate in any given year. Funding of the bonus pool serves as the basis for determining payout targets. We arrived at 4% of operating profit as the appropriate

funding percentage for the bonus pool through the annual budgeting process and projecting potential results with the objectives of rewarding and retaining key employees for annual performance. The payout targets are determined first by the job level then adjusted for the individual and corporate performance measures discussed below. The payout target is expressed as a percentage of base salary. The Bonus Plan does not guarantee an annual payout, but establishes guidelines for deriving the bonus pool. The actual bonuses paid may be less than the bonus pool. Bonus awards made in early 2008 based on 2007 performance totaled approximately 3.7% of our 2007 operating profit. The Committee believes the bonus awards for 2007 performance were appropriate to achieve the objectives of the Bonus Plan and recognize individual contributions even though the total amount of the bonus awards was less than the available bonus pool.

Measuring Individual Performance and Corporate Performance. At the beginning of each year, each eligible employee establishes personal goals and objectives for the upcoming year. These goals and objectives are reviewed with and approved by the employee s manager. The goals and objectives of senior management are reviewed by the Chief Executive Officer and the Committee. After the end of each year, each eligible employee receives an annual performance review and is assigned a rating based on individual performance. The rating determines the percentage of the target payout for which an employee is eligible. Based on this assessment, an employee may receive up to 150% of the target payout for which he or she is eligible for the individual performance component.

Corporate performance is based on the achievement of general corporate goals such as growth in our petroleum additives segment, the management of the declining tetraethyl lead product line and the successful completion of other specified corporate objectives. An employee may receive up to 100% of the target payout for which he or she is eligible for the corporate performance component.

Weighting of Performance Measures (Individual and Corporate). The Bonus Plan provides for weighting the corporate performance measure and individual performance measure according to the level/responsibility in our company. The awards for the executive level positions and sales positions are weighted more heavily by the corporate performance measure and less by the individual performance measure. Awards for the non-executive/non-sales positions are weighted more heavily by the individual performance measure than the corporate performance measure. This practice ensures that managers who have the most influence over corporate results receive awards that are primarily based on corporate results. When we have a successful year and exceed our financial goals, employees may receive higher payouts. Consequently, when financial results are not as good, the result may be lower payouts to employees.

Management reviews the individual s performance, the individual s base pay/total compensation package relative to the peer group companies, the target incentive as established by the Bonus Plan and, based on all of these factors, establishes a proposed pay out recommendation for the Committee s consideration. The Committee uses the recommendations derived from the process set forth above as guidelines to determine the bonus payments, but has discretion to raise or lower the recommended bonus amounts for any individual.

As shown in the Summary Compensation table, in early 2008, we awarded each of the named executive officers bonuses based primarily on our success in 2007, including growth in the petroleum additives business and successful management of the contribution from the declining tetraethyl lead product line. The bonuses awarded for 2007 performance met the targeted payout under the Bonus Plan. Overall the bonuses fell within the established guidelines under the Bonus Plan for rewarding performance based upon prescribed objectives.

We believe our methodologies for awarding salary and bonus have allowed and will continue to allow us to meet the objectives of the compensation program.

Stock Options and Grants

We encourage, but do not insist on, executive ownership of NewMarket common stock. Methods of supporting ownership include the 2004 Incentive Plan and the company sponsored Savings Plan, which is discussed under Benefits below.

Under the 2004 NewMarket Corporation Incentive Compensation and Stock Plan, which we refer to in this proxy statement as the 2004 Incentive Plan, we may grant executives and any employee or Board member options to purchase shares of NewMarket common stock, stock appreciation rights (SARs) along with those options, stock awards and incentive

awards. We believe that the 2004 Incentive Plan will allow us to recruit and retain talented individuals by enabling such individuals to participate in our future success and align their interests with our interest and those of our shareholders. The Committee administers the 2004 Incentive Plan and has sole discretion to grant awards and place conditions on those awards in accompanying agreements, including on such factors as the exercisability of all or part of an option or SAR or on the transferability or forfeitability of any stock or incentive award by requiring, for example, the completion of a specified period of service with our company or our achievement of a certain level of financial performance or financial return. A grant of stock options entitles the executive to purchase a specified number of shares of common stock at a price the Committee fixes at the time of granting the option; provided, however, the price may not be less than the shares—fair market value on the date of grant. At the time it grants the option, the Committee fixes the maximum period in which the option may be exercised but that period cannot exceed 10 years.

The 2004 Incentive Plan also contains change in control provisions that provide that if the agreement accompanying each award so provides, on or after the date of a change in control any option award and any SAR granted along with an option vest immediately, any stock award becomes immediately transferable and nonforfeitable and any incentive award is deemed earned in its entirety. We believe the change in control provisions provide flexibility as a tool to both attract and retain top talent.

Stock based compensation has been used as a retention tool and a long-term incentive mechanism for key employees. The most recent grants were awarded in 2001 and 2002. Consideration of new grants is made annually. Given the dramatic increase in the price of NewMarket common stock from the exercise price of the 2001 and 2002 grants, the other components of total compensation and general conditions within the industry, the Chief Executive Officer has not recommended any additional grants over the past five years. We will continue to evaluate the need and effectiveness of stock based awards on an annual basis.

We have not timed nor do we plan to time our release of material non-public information for the purpose of affecting the value of executive compensation.

Benefits

We offer a number of retirement-related plans to provide security for current and future needs of our employees, including our named executive officers and their families. We believe that our benefit plans further our goals of attracting and retaining highly-qualified named executive officers. Our retention programs create management stability and solidify alignment of interest between the named executive officers and our long-term shareholders.

Pension Plan. We maintain a tax-qualified, defined benefit pension plan, which we refer to in this proxy statement as the Pension Plan, aimed at allowing employees, including the named executive officers, to retire comfortably at age 65. The Pension Plan is a final average pay plan based on an average of the participant s three consecutive highest-paid years in the ten year period preceding retirement. Benefits are paid on a monthly basis according to the participant s elected form of payment.

Savings Plan. In addition to the Pension Plan, we maintain a tax-qualified savings plan, which we refer to in this proxy statement as the Savings Plan, designed to provide employees, including the named executive officers, with a tax-effective method for saving for a comfortable retirement. We contribute 50% of the first 10% of base pay that the participant contributes to the Savings Plan in the form of NewMarket common stock. The participant s contribution is 100% vested at all times, while company contributions vest incrementally until five years of service, when they become fully vested.

Based on market research conducted by Watson Wyatt Worldwide, a third party consulting company that provides our actuarial data, we have determined that the pension and savings plan benefits we award to the named executive officers are competitive when compared with benefit plans maintained by other peer group companies.

Excess Benefit Plan. Because the Internal Revenue Code places limitations on the contributions highly-paid employees, such as the named executive officers, can make to the Pension Plan and the Savings Plan, we also provide an excess benefit plan, which we refer to in this proxy statement as the Excess Benefit Plan, to which we credit additional amounts for each participant such that the participant receives the benefits that would have been received but would

otherwise exceed Internal Revenue Code limitations. A participant does not become eligible to receive payments under the Excess Benefit Plan unless employment terminates at a time or as a result of an event that would have caused the benefits to vest under the Pension Plan. All benefits under the Excess Benefit Plan are paid out of our general assets.

We believe these benefit programs allow us to attract and retain executives whose judgment, abilities and experience contribute to our continued success. We conduct annual reviews of the performance of the Savings Plan to ensure that participants are offered a breadth of investment options and services that will enable them to work toward a financially secure future.

Agreements with Executive Officers

We currently do not have employment agreements or change in control agreements with any of our executive officers. We have, however, entered into an Additional Benefit Agreement with Mr. Huang, which we refer to in this proxy statement as the Additional Benefit Agreement. Under the Additional Benefit Agreement, we agreed to credit to Mr. Huang an amount equal to \(^{1}/12\th of \\$200,000\) on the first day of each month commencing on January 1, 2006 and ending December 1, 2008. On December 31, 2006, Mr. Huang became 50% vested in his benefit and became 100% vested on December 31, 2007. Mr. Huang first becomes entitled to receive cash payments from the account after his employment with us ends but payments do not begin earlier than the first day of the month following the six-month anniversary of Mr. Huang s termination of employment. If he dies or becomes disabled, he or his beneficiary receives the vested percentage of his account. In no case will Mr. Huang receive payments under the account if he is dismissed for cause or permitted to retire or resign in lieu of dismissal for cause or if he engages upon termination of his employment with us in certain prohibited conduct we consider competitive.

We believe that this agreement with Mr. Huang appropriately recognizes his leadership during a period of transition for NewMarket and rewards his contribution to our success.

Other Policies

Internal Revenue Code Section 162(m) allows us to deduct compensation in excess of \$1 million paid to our executive officers if certain criteria are satisfied. Awards made under the 2004 Incentive Plan generally will meet such criteria. Bonus Plan awards do not meet such criteria because the Committee exercises discretion in determining awards based on individual performance factors the Committee deems relevant as described above under Bonus. The Committee does not, however, anticipate awarding compensation under the Bonus Plan that would result in the loss of a material tax deduction.

THE BONUS, SALARY AND STOCK OPTION COMMITTEE REPORT

The Bonus, Salary and Stock Option Committee has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis with management and, based on such review and discussions, the Bonus, Salary and Stock Option Committee has recommended to our Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this proxy statement.

THE BONUS, SALARY AND STOCK OPTION COMMITTEE

James E. Rogers, Chairman Phyllis L. Cothran Patrick D. Hanley Charles B. Walker

February 25, 2008

COMPENSATION OF EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

Summary Compensation Table

The following table presents information with respect to total compensation of our Chief Executive Officer, our Principal Financial Officer and the three other most highly compensated executive officers of our company, whom we refer to in this proxy statement as the named executive officers, for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007.

											C	hange in				
												Pension				
												Value				
												and				
												nqualified				
					~.	_			I	ncentive	_	Deferred				
					Stoc			ption		Plan		npensation		All Other		
Name and Principal Position	Year	Sa	lary(1) (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Award	ls (\$	A)wa	ardsQ(mp	ensation	Ear	nings(2) (\$)	om	pensation(3) (\$)	T	'otal (\$)
Thomas E. Gottwald	2007	\$	528,000	\$ 450,000	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	64,721	\$	26,400	\$ 1	,068,671
President and Chief Executive Officer	2006		510,000	350,000		0		0		0		56,317		25,500		941,817
David A. Fiorenza	2007	\$	267,008	\$ 150,000	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	90,322	\$	13,350	\$	520,680
Vice President, Treasurer and Principal	2006		258,692	110,000		0		0		0		78,542		12,934		460,168
Financial Officer																
C. S. Warren Huang	2007	\$	338,125	\$ 325,000	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	148,721	\$	216,906	\$ 1	,028,752
President of Afton Chemical	2006		322,775	250,000		0		0		0		86,762		216,138		875,675
Corporation																
Steven M. Edmonds	2007	\$	260,000	\$ 150,000	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	32,006	\$	13,000 \$	\$	455,006
Vice President and General Counsel	2006		250,275	110,000		0		0		0		29,395		12,514		402,184
Bruce R. Hazelgrove, III	2007	\$	221,975	\$ 175,000	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	19,405	\$	11,099	\$	427,479
Vice President-Corporate Resources	2006		213,858	125,000		0		0		0		16,292		10,692		365,842

- (1) The amounts in this column represent salaries before compensation reduction payments under the Savings Plan. The Savings Plan is a plan qualified under Section 401 of the Internal Revenue Code.
- (2) The amounts indicate the aggregate change in the actuarial present value of each of the named executive officer's accrued benefit under the Pension Plan and the Excess Benefit Plan, which collectively we refer to in this proxy statement as the pension retirement plans, from December 31, 2006, the measurement date used for financial statement reporting purposes with respect to our audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007, the measurement date used for financial statement reporting purposes with respect to our audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007. For purposes of computing the actuarial present value of the accrued benefit payable to the named executive officers, we used the same assumptions used for financial reporting purposes under GAAP, including that (a) the retirement age is the normal retirement age (age 65) under the pension retirement plans, (b) a 5.875% discount rate for the measurement period ended December 31, 2006 and a 6.375% discount rate for the measurement period ended December 31, 2007, (c) the named executive officer will remain in our employ until he reaches the normal retirement age and (d) payments will be made on a straight-life monthly annuity basis. For a description of the assumptions we used, see Note 18 to our consolidated financial statements and the discussion in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations both of which are included in our annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007 and incorporated by reference into this proxy statement.

(3) We credited the following amounts under each of the plans or agreements listed below to each named executive officer:

					Additional Benefit	
Name	Savin	gs Plan (\$)	Excess I	Benefit Plan (\$)	Agreement	Total (\$)
Thomas E. Gottwald	\$	11,250	\$	15,150	\$ 0	\$ 26,400
David A. Fiorenza		11,250		2,100	0	13,350
C. S. Warren Huang		11,250		5,656	200,000(a)	216,906
Steven M. Edmonds		11,250		1,750	0	13,000
Bruce R. Hazelgrove, III		11,099		0	0	11,099

(a) Represents the aggregate monthly amount credited to Mr. Huang under the Additional Benefit Agreement. During 2007, we credited, monthly, to Mr. Huang an amount equal to \(^{1}/12^{th}\) of \\$200,000. As of December 31, 2007, 100% of that amount had vested. For further discussion, please see \(^{1}/12^{th}\) Additional Benefit Agreement \(^{1}/12^{th}\) below.

Additional Benefit Agreement

We entered into an Additional Benefit Agreement with Mr. Huang on May 1, 2006. This agreement provides that commencing on January 1, 2006 and through December 31, 2008 (or until the first day of the month preceding his termination of employment, if earlier), we will credit monthly to Mr. Huang an amount equal to 1/12 th of \$200,000. Fifty percent of the amount credited became vested on December 31, 2006 and 100% of the amount credited to Mr. Huang became vested on December 31, 2007. Generally, Mr. Huang will not be eligible to receive this additional benefit, which will be paid in cash, until six months after termination of his employment from our company or our affiliates. In the event that Mr. Huang is dismissed for cause (for fraud, dishonesty or the conviction of, or pleading guilty to, a felony, or embezzlement from our company or an affiliate) or he engages, without the consent of our company, in prohibited conduct within 36 months following the termination of his employment for any reason from our company, he will forfeit his entire interest in the agreement.

The term prohibited conduct generally means engaging in competitive activities or working for, owning, managing, operating, controlling or participating in the ownership, management, operation or control of an entity or person engaged in competitive activities. The term competitive activities generally means business activities relating to products or services of the same or similar type as the products or services (1) which are sold (or, under an existing business plan, will be sold) to our paying customers and (2) for which Mr. Huang has the responsibility to plan, develop, manage, market or oversee, or had any such responsibility within Mr. Huang s most recent 36 months of employment with our company.

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End

The following table presents information concerning the number and value of unexercised stock options for the named executive officers outstanding as of the end of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007. There were no other equity awards such as SARs or similar instruments, nonvested stock (including restricted stock, restricted stock units or other similar instruments) or incentive plan awards for the named executive officers outstanding as of the end of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007.

Name	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable	Option Awards Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Unearned Options (#)	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date
Thomas E. Gottwald	30,000(1)	0	0	\$ 4.35	September 28, 2011
	, , ,			•	*
David A. Fiorenza	8,000(1)	0	0	4.35	September 28, 2011
C. S. Warren Huang	15,000(1)	0	0	4.35	September 28, 2011
Steven M. Edmonds	20,000(2)	0	0	4.35	October 11, 2012
Bruce R. Hazelgrove, III	0	0	0		

⁽¹⁾ We issued these options in 2001 under Ethyl Corporation s 1982 Stock Option Plan, which we refer to in this proxy statement as the 1982 Incentive Plan. We provided stock-based compensation opportunities for executives and key employees under the 1982 Incentive Plan until March 2, 2004, when the plan terminated. No further options will be granted under the 1982 Incentive Plan

(2) We issued these options in 2002 under the 1982 Incentive Plan.

Option Exercises and Stock Vested

There were no exercises of options, SARs or similar instruments or vesting of stock (including restricted stock, restricted stock units or other similar instruments) by the named executive officers during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007.

Pension Benefits

The following table presents information as of December 31, 2007 concerning each defined benefit plan of our company that provides for payments or other benefits to the named executive officers at, following or in connection with retirement:

Name	Plan Name	Number of Years Credited Service (#)	Present Value of Accumulated Benefit (\$)	Payments During Last Fiscal Year (\$)
Thomas E. Gottwald	Pension Plan Excess Benefit Plan	16(1)	\$ 96,874	\$ 0
	(Pension Plan Component)	16(1)	365,395	0
David A. Fiorenza	Pension Plan Excess Benefit Plan	34	292,493	0
	(Pension Plan Component)	34	309,460	0
C. S. Warren Huang	Pension Plan Excess Benefit Plan	28	254,286	0
	(Pension Plan Component)	28	558,282	0
Steven M. Edmonds	Pension Plan Excess Benefit Plan	5	93,785	0
	(Pension Plan Component)	5	39,019	0
Bruce R. Hazelgrove, III	Pension Plan Excess Benefit Plan	П	89,558	0
	(Pension Plan Component)	11	28,973	0

(1) As of December 31, 2007, Mr. Thomas Gottwald had 21 years of service with our company and affiliate or predecessor employers, but only 16 years were applicable as credits for service under the Pension Plan and Excess Benefit Plan. Mr. Thomas Gottwald could not apply his full 21 years of service with our company and affiliate or predecessor employers because he had not continuously worked for our company and affiliate or predecessor employers for 21 years. For a period of time, he worked for an entity unrelated to our company and affiliate or predecessor employers.

For purposes of computing the actuarial present value of the accrued benefit payable to the named executive officers, we used the same assumptions used for financial reporting purposes under GAAP, including that (a) the retirement age is the normal retirement age (age 65) under the pension retirement plans, (b) a 6.375% discount rate for the measurement period ended December 31, 2007, (c) the named executive officer will remain in our employ until he reaches the normal retirement age and (d) payments will be made on a straight-life monthly annuity basis. For a description of the assumptions we used, see Note 18 to our consolidated financial statements and the discussion in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations both of which are included in our annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007 and incorporated by reference into this proxy statement.

Pension Plan

We maintain the Pension Plan, which is a defined benefit plan that covers, generally, full-time salaried employees of our company and participating subsidiaries who are not covered by a collective bargaining agreement. We have reserved the right to terminate or amend the Pension Plan at any time, subject to certain restrictions identified in the Pension Plan.

The benefit formula under the Pension Plan is based on the participant s final-average earnings, which are defined as the average of the highest three consecutive calendar years earnings (base pay plus 50% of incentive bonuses paid in any fiscal year) during the 10 consecutive calendar years immediately preceding the date of determination. The years of pension benefit service for each of our named executive officers as of December 31, 2007, were: Thomas E. Gottwald, 16; David A. Fiorenza, 34; C. S. Warren Huang, 28; Steven M. Edmonds, 5; and Bruce R. Hazelgrove, III, 11. Benefits under the pension retirement plans are computed on the basis of a life annuity with 60 months guaranteed payments. The benefits are not subject to reduction for Social Security. On December 31, 2000, we terminated our tax-qualified defined benefit plan for our salaried employees in the United States, which for the purposes of this discussion we refer to as the prior plan, and implemented the Pension Plan with an identical formula on January 1, 2001. For purposes of determining pension benefit service under the Pension Plan, participants receive credit for years of pension benefit service earned under the prior plan; however, their benefits under the Pension Plan are offset by benefits that we paid to them under the prior plan.

Subject to certain limitations, a participant who reaches normal retirement age (65 years of age) receives an annuity for life payable monthly beginning on his normal retirement date (as defined in the Pension Plan) at a monthly allowance equal to the difference between the following:

1.1% of his final average pay plus 1.5% of the excess of his final average pay over his covered compensation, multiplied by his number of years of pension benefit service; and

the sum of (1) any annual benefit accrued or paid under any other qualified defined benefit plan sponsored or previously maintained by an affiliate of our company or any predecessor employer, (2) any annual benefit accrued under a multi-employer defined benefit plan contributed to by an affiliate of our company on behalf of the participant and (3) the participant s December 31, 2000 accrued benefit under the prior plan, which we paid out when we terminated the prior plan.

Subject to certain limitations, a participant who retires before his normal retirement date and who has completed 10 years of vesting service and reached age 55 may receive a monthly annuity beginning on his early retirement date (as defined in the Pension Plan).

The early retirement annuity is based on the participant s normal retirement benefit but is reduced actuarially to reflect commencement prior to age 65. However, an eligible participant who retires on and after August 31, 2006, on an early retirement date will receive the greater of his benefit at termination reduced actuarially from age 65 or his accrued benefit at July 31, 2006, reduced by the early retirement factors in effect before that date. Messrs. Fiorenza and Huang are eligible for early retirement under the Pension Plan.

Excess Benefit Plan

The Internal Revenue Code limits the amount of pension benefits companies may pay under federal income tax qualified plans. As a result, our Board of Directors adopted the Excess Benefit Plan, under which we will make additional payments so that a person affected by the Internal Revenue Code limitations will receive the same amount he otherwise would have received under the Pension Plan and the Savings Plan but for the Internal Revenue Code limitations. We have reserved the right to terminate or amend the Excess Benefit Plan at any time.

We maintain the Excess Benefit Plan in the form of a nonqualified pension plan that provides eligible individuals the difference between the benefits they actually accrue under our Pension Plan and Savings Plan and the benefits they would have accrued under those plans but for the maximum benefit and the limit on annual additions and the limitation on compensation that may be recognized under the Internal Revenue Code. The Excess Benefit Plan is divided into two components, a component for excess contributions credited under the Savings Plan formula and a component for excess benefits accrued under the Pension Plan formula. With respect to the Pension Plan component of the Excess Benefit Plan,

which we refer to in this proxy statement as the Pension Plan component, the eligible individuals will accrue the amount that they would have accrued under the Pension Plan but for the limitations recognized by the Internal Revenue Code. With respect to the Savings Plan component of the Excess Benefit Plan, which we refer to as the Savings Plan component, the eligible individuals will be credited with the matching contributions that the Company would have made to the Savings Plan but for the limitations recognized by the Internal Revenue Code. The Savings Plan component is hypothetically invested phantom shares of NewMarket common stock based on the fair market value at the end of the month in which the amounts are credited. The amounts credited to the Savings Plan component reflect contributions that cannot be made to the Savings Plan because of Internal Revenue Code limitations or are phantom dividends on shares of phantom stock already credited to the participant s account.

Benefits accrued under the two components of the Excess Benefit Plan are distributed in the following manner: (1) the Pension Plan component is paid in cash at the same time and in the same form as benefits are paid to the eligible individuals under the Pension Plan and (2) the Savings Plan component is paid in cash (a cash amount equal to the fair market value of NewMarket common stock on the date of payment) in a lump sum following termination of employment. For those participants who are considered key employees under the Internal Revenue Code, generally they will not begin to receive payment on benefits they earn under the Excess Benefit Plan after December 31, 2004 for six months following termination of their employment. The fair market value of NewMarket common stock was \$59.05 on December 31, 2006 and \$55.69 on December 31, 2007. All benefits under the Excess Benefit Plan vest if the participant is terminated (other than for reasons of fraud and dishonesty) within three years of a change in control of our company (as defined in the Excess Benefit Plan).

Nonqualified Deferred Compensation

The following table presents information concerning the Savings Plan component of our Excess Benefit Plan, which provides for the deferral of compensation paid to or earned by the named executive officers on a basis that is not tax qualified, and the benefit credited to Mr. Huang under the Additional Benefit Agreement. For a discussion of our Excess Benefit Plan, see Pension Benefits Excess Benefit Plan on page 21.

Name	Contr in	Executive Contributions in Last FY (\$)		egistrant ributions in t FY(1) (\$)	Earn	ggregate nings in Last FY (\$)	Aggregate Withdrawals/ Distributions (\$)		Aggregate Balance at Last FYE (\$)	
Thomas E. Gottwald	\$	0	\$	15,150	\$	(20,071)	\$	0	\$	634,356
David A. Fiorenza		0		2,100		(3,304)		0		93,007
C. S. Warren Huang		0		205,656		(8,420)		0		234,557
Steven M. Edmonds		0		1,750		950		0		15,673
Bruce R. Hazelgrove, III		0		0		(103)		0		1,717

(1) For further discussion, see footnote 3 under the Summary Compensation Table on page 18.

POTENTIAL PAYMENTS UPON TERMINATION OR CHANGE IN CONTROL

Additional Benefit Agreement with Mr. Huang

On May 1, 2006, we entered into an Additional Benefit Agreement with Mr. Huang, which is described under Compensation of Executive Officers Additional Benefit Agreement on page 18.

Except in the case of death or disability, Mr. Huang first becomes eligible to receive payments under the Additional Benefit Agreement when his employment with our company ends but payments do not begin earlier than the first day of the month following the six-month anniversary of Mr. Huang s termination of employment. Mr. Huang has elected to receive those payments in cash.

Assuming Mr. Huang had resigned from our company on December 31, 2007, or if he had been dismissed on that date for reasons other than for cause, he would at that time have been 100% vested in his benefit and would have been entitled to a single, lump sum payment of \$400,000.

Assuming Mr. Huang had died or had become disabled on December 31, 2007, he or his beneficiary would have been entitled to 100% of the value of his benefit, or \$400,000. We would have made this payment in a single, lump sum.

Assuming Mr. Huang dies or becomes disabled after December 31, 2007, but prior to his termination of employment with our company, he or his beneficiary will be entitled to the vested percentage (100%) of the value of his benefit as of the date of death or disability. We will pay that amount in a single, lump sum. If Mr. Huang dies after payments have begun but before the distribution of his entire interest in the account, his beneficiary will be entitled to the remaining balance of the account in a single, lump sum payment.

2004 Incentive Plan

Subject to the terms of any agreements accompanying awards under the 2004 Incentive Plan, on or after the date of a change in control of our company (as defined in the 2004 Incentive Plan), any option award granted to the executive and any SAR granted along with that option vests immediately, any stock award granted to the executive becomes immediately transferable and nonforfeitable and any incentive award granted to the executive is deemed earned in its entirety.

The Bonus, Salary and Stock Option Committee, which administers the 2004 Incentive Plan, may prescribe at the time it makes awards under the Plan in the agreements accompanying the awards other provisions related to vesting, transferability or forfeitability of awards in the case of death, disability, retirement or termination of the named executive officers. We have not made any awards to named executive officers under the 2004 Incentive Plan.

1982 Incentive Plan

In 2001, we made awards to Messrs. Thomas Gottwald, Fiorenza, Huang and Hazelgrove under the 1982 Incentive Plan. In 2002, we made an award to Mr. Edmonds under the 1982 Incentive Plan. The stock option agreement governing these awards contains provisions relating to the exercisability of the awards in the event of a change in control of our company or the death, disability, termination or retirement of the named executive officers. As of December 31, 2007, all of the options issued under the 1982 Incentive Plan were exercisable. The 1982 Incentive Plan terminated on March 2, 2004, and no further grants or awards will be made under that plan.

Excess Benefit Plan

Termination Following a Change in Control. In the event of a change in control of our company (as defined in the Excess Benefit Plan), if the executive is terminated within a three-year period commencing on the change in control date, for reasons other than fraud, dishonesty, conviction of, or pleading guilty to, a felony or embezzlement from our company, he will be fully vested in all benefits he has accrued under the Excess Benefit Plan as of the date his employment is terminated.

Upon termination, the executive will receive benefits under the Excess Benefit Plan as of the later of (1) the date the executive s benefits commence under the Pension Plan with respect to the Pension Plan component or (2) the first day of the month following the executive s separation from service with respect to the Savings Plan component. Benefits under the Savings Plan component are paid in cash in a single lump sum. Benefits under the Pension Plan component are paid in an annuity form. For those participants who are considered key employees under the Internal Revenue Code, generally they will not begin to receive payment on benefits they earn under the Excess Benefit Plan after December 31, 2004 for six months following termination of their employment.

Retirement; Other Terminations; Disability; and Death. If an executive retires, becomes disabled, dies or terminates employment with our company due to reasons other than fraud, dishonesty, conviction of or pleading guilty to a felony or embezzlement, and any one of those events occurs at a time that would have caused his benefits under the terms of the Pension Plan or Savings Plan to vest, the executive will be entitled to a benefit equal to the actuarial equivalent of the difference between the benefits that have accrued to the executive under the Pension Plan plus the employer-provided accrued benefit (exclusive of earnings reduction contributions) under the Savings Plan and the benefits the executive would have accrued under the Pension Plan plus the company-provided accrued benefit (exclusive of earnings reduction contributions) under the Savings Plan but for the application of Internal Revenue Code Sections 415 and 401(a)(17).

Upon the occurrence of any of the events described above, the executive or his beneficiary will receive benefits under the Excess Benefit Plan as of the later of (1) the date the executive s benefits commence under the Pension Plan with respect to the Pension Plan component or (2) the first day of the month following the executive s separation from service with respect to the Savings Plan component. Benefits under the Savings Plan component are paid in cash in a single lump sum. Benefits under the Pension Plan component are paid in an annuity form. For those participants who are considered key employees under the Internal Revenue Code, generally they will not begin to receive payment on benefits they earn under the Excess Benefit Plan after December 31, 2004 for six months following termination of their employment.

The table included below provides information with respect to the present value of the benefits we would have had to pay to the named executive officers or their beneficiaries under the provisions of the Excess Benefit Plan assuming any of the events described above had occurred on December 31, 2007.

			Termination Due to a		
			Change in		
Name	Retirement	Termination	Control	Disability	Death(1)
Thomas E. Gottwald	\$ 0(2)	\$ 365,395	\$ 365,395	\$ 365,395	\$ 170,092
David A. Fiorenza(3)	364,711	364,711	364,711	364,711	171,359
C. S. Warren Huang(3)	610,385	610,385	610,385	610,385	289,139
Steven M. Edmonds	0(2)	39,019	39,019	39,019	18,579
Bruce R. Hazelgrove, III	0(2)	28,973	28,973	28,973	13,551

- (1) The amounts to which the named executive officers surviving spouses or beneficiaries would have been entitled on December 31, 2007 are reduced because the Excess Benefit Plan provides that if a participant dies while in the service of our company, his surviving spouse will receive payments in the form of a joint and survivor annuity at a rate reduced by 50%.
- (2) As of December 31, 2007, Messrs. Thomas Gottwald, Edmonds and Hazelgrove had not met the requirements that would make them eligible to receive an early retirement payment. To be eligible for such a payment, an individual must attain the age of 55 and have been in our company s employ for 10 years.
- (3) The amounts presented for Messrs. Fiorenza and Huang are based on an immediate commencement of benefits as they were both eligible to retire on December 31, 2007.

AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors is composed of four independent directors and operates under a written charter adopted by the Board of Directors. Management is responsible for NewMarket s financial reporting process, including the effectiveness of its internal control over financial reporting. The independent registered public accounting firm is responsible for performing an independent audit of NewMarket s consolidated financial statements and the effectiveness of NewMarket s internal control over financial reporting in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) and for issuing reports thereon. The Audit Committee s responsibility is, among other things, to monitor and oversee these processes and to report thereon to the Board of Directors. In this context, the Audit Committee has met and held discussions with management and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, NewMarket s independent registered public accounting firm.

Management represented to the Audit Committee that NewMarket s consolidated financial statements were prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and the Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed the consolidated financial statements with management and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP.

The Audit Committee has discussed with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP the matters required to be discussed by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61, as amended (AICPA, *Professional Standards*, Vol. 1. AU section 380), as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board in Rule 3200T.

The Audit Committee also has received the written disclosures and the letter from PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP required by Independence Standards Board Standard No. 1 (Independence Standards Board Standard No. 1, *Independence Discussions with Audit Committees*), as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board in Rule 3600T, and has discussed with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP that firm s independence from NewMarket.

Based upon the Audit Committee s discussions with management and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP and the Audit Committee s review of the representation of management and the report of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP to the Audit Committee, the Audit Committee recommended that the Board of Directors include the audited consolidated financial statements in NewMarket s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policy

The Audit Committee has adopted an Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policy for the pre-approval of audit services and permitted non-audit services by NewMarket s independent registered public accounting firm in order to assure that the provision of such services does not impair the independent registered public accounting firm s independence from NewMarket. Unless a type of service to be provided by the independent registered public accounting firm has received general pre-approval, it will require specific pre-approval by the Audit Committee. Any proposed services exceeding pre-approved cost levels also will require specific pre-approval by the Audit Committee. In all pre-approval instances, the Audit Committee will consider whether such services are consistent with the Securities and Exchange Commission s rules on auditor independence.

The Audit Committee has designated in the Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policy specific services that have the pre-approval of the Audit Committee and has classified these pre-approved services into one of four categories: Audit, Audit-Related, Tax and All Other. The term of any pre-approval is 12 months from the date of pre-approval, unless the Audit Committee specifically provides for a different period. The Audit Committee will revise the list of pre-approved services from time to time, based on subsequent determinations.

Pre-approval fee levels for all services to be provided by the independent registered public accounting firm will be established periodically by the Audit Committee. Any proposed services exceeding these levels will require specific pre-approval by the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee recognizes the overall relationship of fees for audit and non-audit services in determining whether to pre-approve any such services. For each fiscal year, the Audit Committee may determine the appropriate ratio between the total amount of fees for Audit, Audit-related, and Tax services, and the total amount of fees for services classified as permissible All Other services.

The Audit Committee has designated the Principal Financial Officer to monitor the performance of the services provided by the independent registered public accounting firm and to determine whether such services are in compliance with the Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policy. Both the Principal Financial Officer and management will immediately report to the Chairman of the Audit Committee any breach of the Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policy that comes to the attention of the Principal Financial Officer or any member of management.

THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

Charles B. Walker, Chairman Phyllis L. Cothran Patrick D. Hanley Sidney Buford Scott

February 25, 2008

PROPOSAL 2:

RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT

REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Audit Committee has appointed PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2008, subject to shareholder approval. A representative of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP is expected to be present at the annual meeting with an opportunity to make a statement and to be available to respond to appropriate questions.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP s principal function is to audit management s assessment of the effectiveness of NewMarket s internal control over financial reporting and our consolidated financial statements and, in connection with that audit, to review certain related filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission and to conduct limited reviews of the financial statements included in our quarterly reports.

The Audit Committee and our Board of Directors recommend that you vote FOR the proposal to ratify the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as NewMarket s independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2008.

Fees Billed by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

The following table sets forth the fees billed to us for the audit and other services provided by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP to us for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006:

	2007	2006
Audit Fees	\$ 1,439,500	\$ 1,610,175
Audit-Related Fees	77,000	77,000
Tax Fees	473,000	539,911
All Other Fees	4,000	3,440
Total fees	\$ 1,993,500	\$ 2,230,526

Audit Fees include fees for services performed to comply with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), including the recurring audit of our consolidated financial statements. This category also includes fees for audits provided in connection with statutory filings or services that generally only the principal auditor reasonably can provide to a client, consents and assistance with and review of documents filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Audit-Related Fees include fees associated with assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of our financial statements. The 2007 and 2006 audit-related fees also include audits of pension and other employee benefit plans.

Tax Fees primarily include fees associated with tax audits, tax compliance, tax consulting, as well as domestic and international tax planning.

All Other Fees include licensing fees associated with our use of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP s on-line information database containing accounting pronouncements and other authoritative guidance.

As a part of its deliberations, the Audit Committee has considered whether the provision of services described above under All Other Fees is compatible with maintaining the independence of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP.

SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS

Under the regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission, any shareholder desiring to make a proposal to be acted upon at the 2009 annual meeting of shareholders must present such proposal to our company s corporate secretary at our principal executive offices at 330 South Fourth Street, Richmond, Virginia 23219 not later than November 11, 2008, in order for the proposal to be considered for inclusion in our proxy statement. We will consider such proposals in accordance with the Securities and Exchange Commission s rules governing the solicitation of proxies. We anticipate holding the 2009 annual meeting on April 23, 2009.

The NewMarket amended bylaws provide that a NewMarket shareholder entitled to vote for the election of directors may nominate persons for election to our Board of Directors by delivering written notice to our company s corporate secretary. With respect to an election to be held at an annual meeting of shareholders, such notice generally must be delivered not later than the close of business on the ninetieth day prior to the annual meeting and not earlier than the close of business on the one-hundred twentieth day prior to the first anniversary of the preceding year s annual meeting. With respect to an election to be held at a special meeting of shareholders, such notice must be delivered not earlier than the close of business on the one-hundred twentieth day prior to such special meeting, and not later than the close of business on the later of the ninetieth day prior to such special meeting or the tenth day following the day on which public announcement is made of the date of the special meeting and of the nominees proposed by our Board of Directors to be elected at such special meeting.

The shareholder s notice must include:

as to each person whom the shareholder proposes to nominate for election as a director:

all information relating to such person that is required to be disclosed in solicitations of proxies for election of directors in an election contest or is otherwise required pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Exchange Act; and

such person s written consent to being named in the proxy statement as a nominee and to serving as such a director if elected; and

as to the shareholder giving the notice and the beneficial owner, if any, on whose behalf the nomination is made:

the name and address of such shareholder, as they appear on our books, and of such beneficial owner;

the class and number of shares of our capital stock that are owned beneficially and of record by such shareholder and such beneficial owner:

a representation that the shareholder is a holder of record of our stock entitled to vote at such meeting and intends to appear in person or by proxy at the meeting to propose such nomination; and

a representation whether the shareholder or the beneficial owner, if any, intends or is part of a group that intends (1) to deliver a proxy statement and/or form of proxy to holders of at least the percentage of our outstanding capital stock required to elect the nominee and/or (2) otherwise to solicit proxies from shareholders in support of such nomination.

Because the 2008 annual meeting is to be held on April 24, 2008, our corporate secretary must receive written notice of a shareholder proposal to be acted upon at the 2009 annual meeting not later than the close of business on January 24, 2009 nor earlier than December 26, 2008.

In order for a shareholder to bring other business before a shareholder meeting, timely notice must be received by us within the time limits described in the immediately preceding paragraph. The shareholder s notice must contain:

as to each matter:

a brief description of the business desired to be brought before the meeting;

the text of the proposal or business (including the text of any resolutions proposed for consideration and in the event that such business includes a proposal to amend the NewMarket bylaws, the language of the proposed amendment);

the reasons for conducting such business at the meeting; and

any material interest in such business of such shareholder and for the beneficial owner, if any, on whose behalf the proposal is made; and

as to the shareholder giving the notice and the beneficial owner, if any, on whose behalf the proposal is made, the information described above with respect to the shareholder proposing such business.

The requirements found in the NewMarket amended bylaws are separate from and in addition to the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Commission that a shareholder must meet to have a proposal included in our proxy statement.

We will furnish any shareholder desiring a copy of our amended bylaws without charge by writing to our corporate secretary as described in Certain Matters Relating to Proxy Materials and Annual Reports Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials.

CERTAIN MATTERS RELATING TO PROXY MATERIALS

AND ANNUAL REPORTS

Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials

If you received a Notice by mail, you will not receive a paper copy of the proxy materials unless you request one. Instead, the Notice will instruct you as to how you may access and review the proxy materials on the Internet. The Notice will also instruct you as to how you may access your proxy card to vote over the Internet. Alternatively, you may vote by telephone or order a paper copy of the proxy materials at no charge on or before April 14, 2008 by following the instructions provided in the Notice.

Householding of Proxy Materials and Annual Reports for Record Owners

The Securities and Exchange Commission rules permit us, with your consent, to deliver a single Notice to any household at which two or more shareholders of record reside at the same address. This procedure, known as householding, reduces the volume of duplicate information you receive and helps to reduce our expenses. Shareholders of record who reside at the same address and receive a single Notice may also request a separate copy of future proxy statements and annual reports by calling 1-800-625-5191 (toll-free).

We will provide without charge to each person to whom this proxy statement has been delivered, on the written request of any such person, a copy of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007, including the financial statements. Requests should be directed to our corporate secretary as described above. A list of the exhibits to the Annual Report on Form 10-K, showing the cost of each, will be delivered with a copy of the Annual Report on Form 10-K. Any of the exhibits listed will be provided upon payment of the charge noted on the list.

DIRECTIONS TO THE ANNUAL MEETING

From Southside, Petersburg, Emporia (I-95)

Take I-95 North. Immediately after crossing the James River Bridge on I-95, take the first exit (74A) onto I-195 (Downtown Expressway). There is a \$0.50 toll. Take the Boulevard exit. Proceed on Idlewood Avenue on until it intersects with the Boulevard. Turn right on the Boulevard. The Virginia Historical Society is on your left at the corner of Kensington Avenue and Boulevard. Free parking in the Virginia Historical Society lot behind the building.

From Washington D.C. and Fredericksburg (I-95)

Take I-95 South/ I-64 East to Exit 78 (Boulevard). Turn right onto Boulevard (heading south). Proceed on Boulevard, crossing over Broad Street, Grace Street, Monument Avenue, and Patterson Avenue. Turn right onto the next street (Kensington Avenue). The Virginia Historical Society is on your left at the corner of Kensington Avenue and Boulevard. Free parking in the Virginia Historical Society lot behind the building.

From Charlottesville, Waynesboro, and Staunton (I-64)

Take I-95/ I/64 East to Exit 78 (Boulevard). Turn right onto Boulevard (heading south). Proceed on Boulevard, crossing over Broad Street, Grace Street, Monument Avenue, and Patterson Avenue. Turn right onto the next street (Kensington Avenue). The Virginia Historical Society is on your left at the corner of Kensington Avenue and Boulevard. Free parking in the Virginia Historical Society lot behind the building.

From Virginia Beach and Norfolk (I-64)

Take I-64 West. Take exit 78 (the Boulevard). Following signs for the Boulevard, turn left off exit ramp (proceed 0.1 miles). Turn right onto Robin Hood Road and move to the left lane (proceed 0.1 miles). Turn left onto the Boulevard (proceed 1.2 miles). Turn right onto Kensington Avenue. The Virginia Historical Society is at the corner of Kensington Avenue and Boulevard. Free parking in the Virginia Historical Society lot behind the building.

OTHER MATTERS

Our Board of Directors is not aware of any matters to be presented for action at the annual meeting other than as set forth in this proxy statement. However, if any other matters properly come before the annual meeting, or any adjournment or postponement thereof, the person or persons voting the proxies will vote them in accordance with their discretion.

Annex A

NEWMARKET CORPORATION

Independence Determination Guidelines

For a director to be deemed independent, the Board of Directors of NewMarket Corporation (NewMarket) shall affirmatively determine that the director has no material relationship with NewMarket either directly or as a partner, shareholder or officer of an organization that has a relationship with NewMarket. In making this determination, the Board of Directors shall apply the following standards, in which case a director will be deemed not independent:

- 1. A director is, or has been within the last three years, an employee of NewMarket, or an immediate family member is, or has been within the last three years, an executive officer, of NewMarket. Employment as an interim Chairman, Chief Executive Officer or other executive officer will not disqualify a director from being considered independent following such employment.
- 2. A director has received or has an immediate family member, serving as an executive officer, who has received, during any twelve-month period within the last three years, more than \$100,000 in direct compensation from NewMarket (excluding director and committee fees and pensions or other forms of deferred compensation for prior service, provided such compensation is not contingent in any way on continued service). Compensation received by a director for former service as an interim Chairman, Chief Executive Officer or other executive officer will not count toward the \$100,000 limitation.
- 3. (A) A director or an immediate family member is a current partner of a firm that is NewMarket s internal or external auditor; (B) a director is a current employee of such a firm; (C) a director has an immediate family member who is a current employee of such a firm and who participates in the firm s audit, assurance or tax compliance (but not tax planning) practice; or (D) a director or an immediate family member was within the last three years (but is no longer) a partner or employee of such a firm and personally worked on NewMarket s audit within that time.
- 4. A director or an immediate family member is, or has been within the last three years, employed as an executive officer of another company where any of NewMarket s present executive officers at the same time serves or served on that company s compensation committee.
- 5. A director is a current employee, or an immediate family member is a current executive officer, of a company that has made payments to, or received payments from, NewMarket for property or services in an amount which, in any of the last three fiscal years, exceeds the greater of \$1 million, or 2% of such other company s consolidated gross revenues.