

GRAY TELEVISION INC
Form DEF 14A
April 10, 2014

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

INFORMATION REQUIRED IN PROXY STATEMENT

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the

Securities Exchange Act of 1934

(Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional Materials

Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

Gray Television, Inc.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required.

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(1)

Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(2)

Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(3)

Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(4)

Total fee paid:

(5)

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

Amount Previously Paid:

(1)

Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(2)

Filing Party:

(3)

Date Filed:

(4)

GRAY TELEVISION, INC.

4370 Peachtree Road, N.E.

Atlanta, Georgia 30319

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

Meeting to be held on May 21, 2014

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Annual Meeting of Shareholders of Gray Television, Inc. will be held at 9:30 a.m., Eastern time, on May 21, 2014, at the Company's corporate headquarters, 4370 Peachtree Road, N.E., Atlanta, Georgia 30319, for the purpose of considering and acting upon:

1. the election of eight members of Gray Television, Inc.'s Board of Directors;
2. the approval, on an advisory basis, of the compensation of Gray Television, Inc.'s named executive officers (the "say-on-pay vote");
3. the ratification of the appointment of McGladrey LLP as Gray Television, Inc.'s independent registered public accounting firm for 2014; and
4. such other business and matters or proposals as may properly come before the meeting.

Only holders of record of Gray Television, Inc. common stock, no par value per share, and Gray Television, Inc. Class A common stock, no par value per share, at the close of business on March 13, 2014 are entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the annual meeting. Attendance at the annual meeting is limited to such shareholders of record at the close of business on March 13, 2014 and to any invitees of Gray Television, Inc.

Your vote is very important. Regardless of whether you intend to attend the annual meeting, we encourage you to vote as soon as possible by one of three convenient methods in order to ensure your shares are represented at the meeting: by calling the toll-free number listed on the proxy card, by accessing the Internet site listed on the proxy card or by signing, dating and returning the proxy card in the enclosed postage-paid envelope. Any proxy you give will not be used if you attend the annual meeting and vote in person.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Hilton H. Howell, Jr.

President and Chief Executive Officer

Atlanta, Georgia

April 10, 2014

GRAY TELEVISION, INC.

4370 Peachtree Road, N.E.

Atlanta, Georgia 30319

PROXY STATEMENT

For Annual Meeting of Shareholders

to be Held on May 21, 2014

This proxy statement is being furnished by the Board of Directors (the “Board”) of Gray Television, Inc., a Georgia corporation (which we refer to as “Gray,” the “Company,” “we,” “us” or “our”), to the holders of our common stock, no par value per share, and our Class A common stock, no par value per share, in connection with the solicitation of proxies by the Board for use at our 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders (the “2014 Annual Meeting”) to be held at the Company’s corporate headquarters, 4370 Peachtree Road, N.E., Atlanta, Georgia 30319, on May 21, 2014, at 9:30 a.m., Eastern time, and at any adjournments or postponements thereof. For directions to the location of the 2014 Annual Meeting, you may contact our corporate offices at (404) 504-9828. Distribution of this proxy statement and a proxy card to shareholders is scheduled to begin on or about April 10, 2014.

A proxy delivered pursuant to this solicitation is revocable at the option of the person giving the same at any time before it is exercised. A proxy may be revoked, prior to its exercise, by signing and delivering a later dated proxy card, by submitting a later dated vote via the Internet or by telephone, by delivering written notice of the revocation of the proxy to our Corporate Secretary prior to the 2014 Annual Meeting, or by attending and voting at the 2014 Annual Meeting. Attendance at the 2014 Annual Meeting, in and of itself, will not constitute revocation of a proxy. Unless previously revoked, the shares represented by proxy will be voted in accordance with the shareholder’s directions if the proxy is duly submitted prior to the 2014 Annual Meeting.

If you return a signed proxy card that does not indicate your voting preferences, the persons named as proxies on the proxy card will vote your shares FOR the election of each of the director nominees recommended by the Board, FOR the advisory approval of the say-on-pay vote and FOR the ratification of the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm, and in accordance with the discretion of the named proxies on any other matters properly brought before the 2014 Annual Meeting.

The expenses associated with this proxy statement and soliciting the proxies sought hereby will be borne by us. In addition to the use of the mail, proxies may be solicited by our officers, directors and regular employees, who will not receive additional compensation therefor, in person or by telephone or other means of electronic communication. We

also will request brokerage firms, banks, nominees, custodians and fiduciaries to forward proxy materials to the beneficial owners of shares of our common stock and our Class A common stock as of the record date for the 2014 Annual Meeting, and will provide reimbursement for the cost of forwarding the proxy materials in accordance with customary practice. Your cooperation in promptly submitting your vote by proxy will help to avoid additional expense.

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
VOTING MATTERS	1
PROPOSAL 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS	4
PROPOSAL 2 ADVISORY APPROVAL OF EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	6
PROPOSAL 3 RATIFICATION OF COMPANY'S INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR 2014	7
CORPORATE GOVERNANCE	8
STOCK OWNERSHIP	10
EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	12
REPORT OF COMPENSATION COMMITTEE	28
COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION	28
CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS	28
SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE	28
REPORT OF AUDIT COMMITTEE	29
OTHER MATTERS	30
SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS FOR INCLUSION IN NEXT YEAR'S PROXY STATEMENT	30
OTHER SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS FOR PRESENTATION AT NEXT YEAR'S ANNUAL MEETING	30
AVAILABILITY OF FORM 10-K	30
HOUSEHOLDING	30

GRAY TELEVISION, INC.

4370 Peachtree Road, N.E.

Atlanta, Georgia 30319

VOTING MATTERS

Record Date and Voting Rights

Our Board has fixed the close of business on March 13, 2014 as the record date for determining holders of our common stock and our Class A common stock entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the 2014 Annual Meeting. Only holders of record of our common stock and/or our Class A common stock at the close of business on that date will be entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the 2014 Annual Meeting. As of the record date, 5,989,314 shares of our Class A common stock and 52,532,850 shares of our common stock were outstanding, including 204,473 restricted shares of Class A common stock and 455,598 shares of restricted common stock as to which the holder had voting, but not dispositive, power. Each share of our common stock is entitled to one vote and each share of our Class A common stock is entitled to ten votes for each director nominee and each other matter to be acted upon at the 2014 Annual Meeting. The total number of possible votes for each director nominee, and for each other matter to be acted upon, is 112,425,990.

Shareholders of record may vote:

via the Internet at <http://www.proxyvote.com> and following the instructions on the enclosed proxy card;

by telephone at 1-800-690-6903 as directed on the enclosed proxy card;

by completing and mailing the enclosed proxy card; or

by attending the 2014 Annual Meeting and voting in person.

Instructions for voting are included on the proxy card.

You may revoke your proxy and change your vote by:

signing and properly submitting another proxy with a later date that is received before the polls close at the 2014 Annual Meeting;

voting by telephone or the Internet on or before 11:59 p.m., Eastern time, on May 20, 2014;

giving written notice of the revocation of your proxy to the Company's Corporate Secretary, prior to the 2014 Annual Meeting; or

voting in person at the 2014 Annual Meeting.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the 2014 Annual Meeting

The following information can be found at <http://www.proxyvote.com>:

Notice of Annual Meeting;

Proxy Statement;

2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K; and

Form of Proxy Card.

Quorum

A quorum is necessary to hold a valid 2014 Annual Meeting. A number of votes greater than a majority of possible votes, or 56,212,996 votes (including abstentions and broker non-votes (described below)), represented in person or by proxy will constitute a quorum. Votes cast by proxy or in person at the 2014 Annual Meeting will be tabulated by the inspector of elections appointed for the meeting, who also will count such votes to determine whether a quorum is present for the transaction of business.

If a quorum is not present at the scheduled time of the 2014 Annual Meeting, the chairman of the meeting may adjourn or postpone the 2014 Annual Meeting until a quorum is present. The time and place of the adjourned or postponed 2014 Annual Meeting will be announced at the time the adjournment is taken, and, unless such adjournment or postponement is for more than 30 days, no other notice will be given. An adjournment or postponement will have no effect on the business that may be conducted at the 2014 Annual Meeting.

Shares Held by a Bank, Broker or Other Nominee and Broker Non-Votes

If you are the beneficial owner of shares of our common stock or Class A common stock held in “street name” by a bank, broker or other nominee, such other party is the record holder of the shares and is required to vote those shares in accordance with your instructions. If you do not give instructions to the record holder, that party will be prohibited from voting your shares on any matter other than with respect to the ratification of the appointment of McGladrey LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2014. If you do not provide instructions to the record holder, your shares will be treated as “broker non-votes” with respect to all other proposals voted on at the meeting. Additionally, the record holder may elect not to vote your shares with respect to the ratification of our independent registered public accounting firm, in which case your shares would also be treated as “broker non-votes” with respect to that proposal. All “broker non-votes” will be included for purposes of calculating the presence of a quorum, but otherwise will be treated as shares not voted on a proposal.

Required Vote

With respect to Proposal 1 regarding the election of the director nominees, a majority of the votes cast is not required for election; instead, each director nominee will be elected by a plurality of the votes cast in person or by proxy at the 2014 Annual Meeting, which means that the eight nominees receiving the most votes will be elected. Votes withheld from any nominee will have no effect on the outcome of the election of directors. Abstentions and broker non-votes will not be counted as “votes cast” and, therefore, will have no effect on the outcome of the election of directors.

With respect to Proposal 2, the non-binding approval of Gray's say-on-pay vote requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast in person or by proxy at the 2014 Annual Meeting. Because the shareholder vote on this proposal is advisory only, it will not be binding on Gray or the Board. However, the Compensation Committee will review the voting results and expects to take them into consideration when making future decisions regarding executive compensation as the committee deems appropriate. Under the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") rules, if your broker holds your shares in its name, your broker is not permitted to vote your shares with respect to the say-on-pay vote if your broker does not receive voting instructions from you. Abstentions and broker non-votes will not be counted as "votes cast" and, therefore, will have no effect on the outcome of this proposal.

With respect to Proposal 3, the ratification of the appointment of McGladrey LLP as Gray's independent registered public accounting firm for 2014 requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast in person or by proxy at the 2014 Annual Meeting. As described above, under NYSE rules, if your shares are held in "street name," the record holder is permitted, but is not required, to vote your shares with respect to the ratification of the appointment of McGladrey LLP as Gray's independent registered public accounting firm for 2014 even if the record holder does not receive voting instructions from you. Abstentions and broker non-votes will not be counted as "votes cast" and, therefore, will have no effect on the outcome of this proposal.

With respect to any other matter that may properly come before the 2014 Annual Meeting for shareholder consideration, a matter generally will be approved by the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast in person or by proxy at the 2014 Annual Meeting unless the question is one upon which a different vote is required by express provision of the laws of Georgia, federal law, Gray's Articles of Incorporation or Gray's Bylaws, or, to the extent permitted by the laws of Georgia, the Board has expressly provided that some other vote shall be required, in which case such express provisions shall govern.

Board Recommendation

The Board recommends that you vote:

“FOR” the election of the eight nominees to the Board to hold office until the 2015 Annual Meeting or until their successors are duly elected and qualified;

“FOR” the approval, on an advisory basis, of the compensation of the Company’s named executive officers; and

“FOR” the ratification of the appointment of McGladrey LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2014.

Unexecuted or Unclear Proxies

If you properly execute and return your proxy but do not indicate any voting instructions with respect to one or more matters to be voted upon at the 2014 Annual Meeting, or if your voting instructions are unclear, your shares will be voted in accordance with the recommendation of the Board as to all such matters.

Specifically, your shares will be voted FOR the election of all director nominees, FOR the say-on-pay vote and FOR the ratification of the appointment of McGladrey LLP as the independent registered public accounting firm of the Company for the year ending December 31, 2014, as well as in the discretion of the persons named as proxies on all other matters that may properly come before the 2014 Annual Meeting.

PROPOSAL 1**ELECTION OF DIRECTORS****Nominees**

At the 2014 Annual Meeting, eight directors are to be elected to hold office until our next annual meeting of shareholders and until their successors have been duly elected and qualified. In case any nominee listed in the table below should be unavailable for any reason, which our management has no reason to anticipate, your proxy will be voted for any substitute nominee or nominees who may be selected by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee prior to or at the 2014 Annual Meeting. In such circumstances, if no substitute is selected by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee prior to or at the 2014 Annual Meeting, the Board may determine to reduce the membership of the Board to the number of nominees available for election. Pursuant to our Bylaws and resolutions of the Board, the size of the Board is currently set at eight directors.

Our Board of Directors unanimously recommends that you vote “FOR” the election of each of the director nominees specified in this proxy statement.

Set forth below is information concerning each of the nominees as of March 31, 2014:

Name	Director Since	Age	Position
Hilton H. Howell, Jr.	1993	52	Director, Vice Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer
William E. Mayher, III	1990	75	Chairman of the Board of Directors
Richard L. Boger	1991	67	Director
T. L. Elder	2003	75	Director
Robin R. Howell	2012	49	Director
Howell W. Newton	1991	67	Director
Hugh E. Norton	1987	81	Director
Harriett J. Robinson	1997	83	Director

Hilton H. Howell, Jr. has been our Chief Executive Officer since August 2008, has also served as our President since June 2013 and Vice Chairman since September 2002 and has been a director since 1993. He previously served as our Executive Vice President from September 2002 to August 2008. He is a member of the Executive Committee of our Board. He has served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Atlantic American Corporation, an insurance

holding company, since 1995, and as Chairman of that company since February 2009. He has been Executive Vice President and General Counsel of Delta Life Insurance Company and Delta Fire & Casualty Insurance Company since 1991. Mr. Howell also serves as a director of Atlantic American Corporation and of each of its subsidiaries, American Southern Insurance Company, American Safety Insurance Company and Bankers Fidelity Life Insurance Company, as well as a director of Delta Life Insurance Company and Delta Fire & Casualty Insurance Company. He is the son-in-law of Mrs. Harriett J. Robinson, a member of our Board, as well as the husband of Mrs. Robin R. Howell, a member of our Board. In addition to his current role as Gray's Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Howell brings to the Board experience from current and past leadership positions as an executive and his service on numerous boards. Mr. Howell also has practiced as an attorney in a variety of roles, and his experience in that discipline adds a legal perspective to the decisions facing the Board.

William E. Mayher, III has served as Chairman of our Board since August 1993, and is the Chairman of the Executive Committee and a member of each of the Audit Committee and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of our Board. Dr. Mayher was a neurosurgeon in Albany, Georgia from 1970 to 1998. Dr. Mayher is the Past Chairman of the Medical College of Georgia Foundation Board and served as Chairman of Blue Cross Blue Shield of Georgia and as a member of the Board of Directors of the American Association of Neurological Surgeons. He also serves as Chairman of the Albany Regional Airport Commission. Dr. Mayher is a former member of the Georgia Aviation Hall of Fame Board, and he is also a Senior FAA Aviation Medical Examiner. Dr. Mayher has been an active member of our Board for over 20 years, and his tenure provides stability and a familiarity with our operations. As evidence of the breadth of his knowledge, he currently serves on all of the Board's committees as a source of continued and reliable leadership.

Richard L. Boger is the Chairman of each of the Compensation Committee and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and is also a member of the Audit Committee of Gray's Board. Mr. Boger has been President and Chief Executive Officer of Lex-Tek International, Inc., a financial services consulting company, since February 2002. He has also served, since July 2003, as business manager for Owen Holdings, LLLP; since July 2004, as General Partner of Shawnee Meadow Holdings, LLLP; and since March 2006, as business manager for Heathland Holdings, LLLP, each of which is an investment holding company. He also serves as a member of the Board of Trustees of Corner Cap Group of Funds, a series mutual fund. Mr. Boger brings to the Board extensive managerial and entrepreneurial experience from his position as the Chief Executive Officer of a specialized financial services consulting company, his having founded and sold two commercial insurance services companies, and his present service as a partner and business manager in three investment companies. His perspective from serving in several industries outside our own, including on the boards of a mutual fund and several nonprofit organizations, provides the Board with an informed resource for a wide range of disciplines and adds a diverse voice to its deliberations.

T.L. (Gene) Elder is a member of the Audit Committee of our Board. From 1994 to 2004, Mr. Elder was a partner of Tatum, LLC, a national firm of career chief financial officers which was acquired by Spherion Staffing Services in March 2010, and served as a Senior Partner of that firm from 2004 until his retirement from that position in May 2009. Mr. Elder, through his background as a former Chief Financial Officer, provides the Board and the Audit Committee with significant financial and accounting expertise.

Robin R. Howell has served as Vice President and a director of both Delta Life Insurance Company and Delta Fire & Casualty Company since 1992. She formerly served as Chairman of the Board of Farmer's and Merchant's Bank and as a member of the Board of Directors of Premier Bancshares Inc. She received a BA in Economics from the University of Virginia and a Masters of Business Administration from the University of Texas at Austin, and she has had a number of management and oversight roles in various businesses in which her family has maintained ownership interests since that time. Mrs. Howell is also a member of the board of directors of Atlantic American Corporation. Mrs. Howell is the daughter of Mrs. Robinson and the wife of Mr. Howell. Mrs. Howell is active in the community, serving on the Board of Directors and Executive Committee of the High Museum of Art, the Board of Directors of the Forward Arts Foundation, and as a member of the Junior League of Atlanta. Mrs. Howell's experience in board matters, and involvement at the executive level in various businesses is invaluable to the Board, and her numerous civic, social and academic associations provides valuable insight to the Company and elevates the Company's profile in the community.

Howell W. Newton is Chairman of the Audit Committee and a member of the Executive Committee of our Board. Since 1978, Mr. Newton has been President and Treasurer of Trio Manufacturing Co., a real estate and investment company. Mr. Newton's many years of executive service with a financial services company provides the Board with considerable financial expertise. His tenure on our Board provides consistent leadership, and his familiarity with Gray's operations serves as an ongoing resource for issues facing a large, public company.

Hugh E. Norton is a member of each of the Compensation Committee and the Nominating and Governance Committee of our Board. Mr. Norton has been a real estate developer in Destin, Florida, and also served as President

of Norco Holdings, Inc., an insurance agency, beginning in 1973. Prior to that, he was Regional Manager of Security Insurance Group where he served for 15 years. Mr. Norton brings to the Board a wealth of business experience based on his many years of service as an executive, as well as a unique perspective based on the regulatory and local government issues he faces as a developer. As the director with the longest tenure on our Board, he also serves as an ongoing source for industry-specific knowledge.

Harriett J. Robinson has been a director of Atlantic American Corporation since 1989. Mrs. Robinson has also been a director of Delta Life Insurance Company and Delta Fire and Casualty Insurance Company since 1967. Mrs. Robinson is the mother of Mrs. Robin R. Howell and the mother-in-law of Mr. Hilton H. Howell, Jr., both members of our Board. Mrs. Robinson's active service on our Board and on the boards of several other companies for a number of years provides capable leadership and a familiarity with the operational issues facing organizations in today's business climate. She lends a diverse voice to the Board's deliberations, including by virtue of the fact that she is the largest shareholder of the Company, bringing a unique perspective on issues of importance to shareholders, and her civic involvement and philanthropic activities also provide a critical link to the community.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR each of the director nominees.

PROPOSAL 2

ADVISORY APPROVAL OF EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (the “Dodd-Frank Act”) provides shareholders with the right to vote to approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the Company’s named executive officers as disclosed pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”). This advisory vote is commonly referred to as the “say-on-pay” vote. At the Company’s 2011 Annual Meeting of Shareholders (the “2011 Annual Meeting”), the Company’s shareholders took action with respect to an advisory vote on the frequency of say-on-pay votes. A plurality of votes cast on the advisory vote on the frequency of say-on-pay votes were for such vote to occur “every three years,” supporting the Board’s recommendation. Based upon that result, the Board determined that an advisory say-on-pay stockholder vote would be held every three years until the next advisory vote on the frequency of future say-on-pay votes, which will be no later than the Company’s 2017 Annual Meeting of Shareholders. In the Company’s most recent advisory say-on-pay vote, at the 2011 Annual Meeting, 98.8% of votes cast were voted “for” the approval of the executive compensation as disclosed in the 2011 Annual Meeting proxy statement. The Compensation Committee has considered the outcome of this vote in its establishment and oversight of the compensation of the executive officers in subsequent years.

The Company’s compensation policy with respect to its named executive officers is designed to attract, motivate and retain talented executive officers, and to align their interests with the long-term interests of the Company’s shareholders. The Company seeks to accomplish this with an appropriate mix of compensation elements and amounts. Please read the “EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION — Compensation Discussion and Analysis” section of this proxy statement for additional details about our executive compensation philosophy and programs, including information about the compensation of our named executive officers for 2013 as detailed in the tables and narrative in the “EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION” section of this proxy statement.

The say-on-pay vote gives shareholders the opportunity to indicate their views on the compensation of our named executive officers. This vote is not intended to address any specific item of compensation, but rather the overall compensation of our named executive officers disclosed and the compensation philosophy, policies, and practices described in this proxy statement. Accordingly, the Board recommends that shareholders approve the following advisory resolution:

“RESOLVED, that the shareholders of Gray Television, Inc. approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the Company’s named executive officers, as disclosed pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the SEC, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, compensation tables and accompanying footnotes and narratives disclosed in this proxy statement.”

Because this vote is advisory, it will not be binding on the Compensation Committee, the Board, or the Company. However, the Compensation Committee and the Board value the opinions of the Company's shareholders, and the Compensation Committee will consider the outcome of the vote in its establishment and oversight of the compensation of the executive officers.

The Board recommends a vote "FOR" the approval, on an advisory basis, of the compensation of the Company's named executive officers as disclosed in this proxy statement.

PROPOSAL 3**RATIFICATION OF COMPANY'S INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR 2014**

Gray's independent registered public accounting firm is appointed annually by the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee examines a number of factors when selecting a firm, including the qualifications, staffing considerations, and the independence and quality controls of the firms considered. The Audit Committee has appointed McGladrey LLP as Gray's independent registered public accounting firm to audit our financial statements and our internal control over financial reporting for the year ending December 31, 2014. McGladrey LLP has served as Gray's independent registered public accounting firm since 2006 and is considered by management to be well-qualified.

Shareholder ratification of the selection of McGladrey LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm is not required but is being presented to our shareholders as a matter of good corporate practice. Notwithstanding shareholder ratification of the appointment of the independent registered public accounting firm, the Audit Committee, in its discretion, may direct the appointment of a new independent registered public accounting firm if the Audit Committee believes that such a change would be in the best interests of the Company and its shareholders. Should the shareholders not ratify the selection of McGladrey LLP as Gray's independent registered public accounting firm for 2014 under this proposal, it is contemplated that the appointment of McGladrey LLP for the 2014 fiscal year will nevertheless be permitted to stand unless the Audit Committee, on reconsideration, finds other compelling reasons for making a change.

Representatives of McGladrey LLP are expected to be present at the 2014 Annual Meeting and, if present, will be given the opportunity to make a statement, if they desire, and to respond to appropriate questions.

Fees

The fees billed by McGladrey LLP for 2013 and 2012 were as follows:

	2013	2012
	(\$)	(\$)
Audit fees ⁽¹⁾	845,000	820,000
Audit-related fees ⁽²⁾	110,350	108,464
Tax fees		
All other fees ⁽³⁾	84,000	57,500

Total 1,039,350 985,964

(1) Audit fees include fees and expenses for the audit of the Company's financial statements and internal control over financial reporting and fees for quarterly reviews of our reports on Form 10-Q.

(2) Audit related fees were fees and expenses for audits of our employee benefit plans.

(3) All other fees were for services provided in connection with various financing activities.

All audit related services, tax services and other non-audit services provided to the Company by McGladrey must be, and all such services and the expenses for such services in 2013 and 2012 were, pre-approved by the Audit Committee, which also concluded that the provision of such services was compatible with the maintenance of McGladrey LLP's independence in the conduct of its auditing functions.

In accordance with its written charter, the Audit Committee reviews and discusses with McGladrey LLP, on a periodic basis, any disclosed relationships or services that may impact the objectivity and independence of the independent registered public accounting firm and pre-approves all audit and permitted non-audit services (including the fees and terms thereof) to be performed for us by our independent registered public accounting firm.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR the ratification of McGladrey LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for 2014.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

We are in compliance with all applicable NYSE corporate governance rules. We have adopted a Code of Ethics that applies to all of our directors, executive officers and employees. If any waiver of this Code of Ethics is granted, the waiver will be disclosed in an SEC filing on Form 8-K. Our Code of Ethics and the written charters of our Audit Committee, our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and our Compensation Committee, as well as our Corporate Governance Principles, are available on our website at www.gray.tv in the *About Us* section under the heading *Governance*, subheading *Governance Documents*. All such information is also available in print to any shareholder upon request by telephone at (404) 266-8333.

After considering all applicable regulatory requirements and assessing the materiality of each director's relationship with us, our Board has affirmatively determined that the following directors are independent in accordance with Sections 303A.02(a) and (b) of the NYSE listing standards and the standards set forth in the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (the "IRC") and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act"): Dr. Mayher and Messrs. Boger, Elder, Newton and Norton. In making its independence determinations, the Board considered the following relationships between the Company and its directors, entities associated with directors, or members of their immediate families:

Mr. Howell's status as an executive officer and his family relationships with Mrs. Howell and Mrs. Robinson; and

Mrs. Howell's and Mrs. Robinson's family relationships with each other and Mr. Howell.

After such consideration, our Board has determined that five of our eight current directors and director nominees are independent in accordance with the listing standards of the NYSE and the standards set forth in the IRC and the Exchange Act.

Gray encourages interested parties to communicate with its Board. Any interested party who wishes to communicate with the Board or with any particular director, including any independent director, may send a letter to our Corporate Secretary, Gray Television, Inc., 4370 Peachtree Road, N.E., Atlanta, Georgia 30319, which communications will be forwarded to the Board by the Company's Corporate Secretary. Any communication should indicate that you are an interested party and clearly specify that such communication is intended to be made to the entire Board or to one or more particular directors.

The Board does not have a formal policy with respect to attendance at annual meetings of shareholders, but the Board has historically held a regularly scheduled meeting in connection with each annual meeting of the shareholders, and directors are expected to attend. All members of our Board attended the 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders in person.

In accordance with Section 303A.03 of the NYSE listing standards, the independent non-management directors met in executive session four times during 2013. Dr. Mayher, as the Chairman of the Board, presides over the executive sessions. Consistent with our belief that our leadership structure should reflect the best interests of the Company and our shareholders, we have not adopted a written policy with regard to whether or not the positions of Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board should be held by separate individuals. Rather, we believe that the Board should remain free to determine the Company's oversight and leadership structure from time to time based upon the availability of qualified and competent candidates. Currently, Mr. Howell serves in the role of Chief Executive Officer, while Dr. Mayher, who is an independent director, serves as Chairman of the Board. We believe the resulting structure is appropriate for Gray at this time because it allows us to make the best use of the capabilities of these individuals in their respective roles while indicating to our shareholders that we also value the perspective of independent leadership on our Board. With respect to potential transactions with related parties required to be disclosed pursuant to Item 404(a) of Regulation S-K, the Audit Committee charter provides that the Audit Committee must review and approve such transactions in advance after full disclosure of the nature and extent of the related party's interest in any such transaction. The Company did not engage in any such related party transactions in 2013.

Management of the Company is responsible for the Company's day-to-day risk management, and the Board serves in an oversight role, including with respect to risk management. The Audit Committee assists the Board in fulfilling this risk management oversight function. The Audit Committee and management of the Company periodically review the Company's policies with respect to risk assessment and risk management, including major financial risk exposures and the internal controls and procedures in place to manage such risks. In addition, the Audit Committee and the Board consider risk-related matters on an on-going basis in connection with deliberations regarding specific transactions and issues.

Board Committees and Membership

The Board held nine meetings during 2013. During 2013, each of the directors attended 100% of the meetings of the Board and meetings of all committees of the Board on which such director served, during the period that he or she served.

Our Board has the following committees: the Audit Committee, the Executive Committee, the Compensation Committee and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. The Executive Committee is authorized between meetings of the Board, to manage and direct our affairs, except as otherwise provided by law or as otherwise directed by the Board. All actions by the Executive Committee are subject to revision and alteration by the Board, provided that no rights of third parties shall be affected by any such revision or alteration. The members of the Executive Committee are Dr. Mayher (as Chairman) and Messrs. Howell and Newton.

The purpose of the Audit Committee is to assist the Board in its oversight of the integrity of the Company's financial statements; the Company's compliance with legal and regulatory requirements; the independent auditor's qualifications and independence; and the performance of the Company's internal audit function and independent auditor. The Audit Committee held seven meetings during 2013. The members of the Audit Committee are Messrs. Boger, Elder and Newton (as Chairman) and Dr. Mayher. The Board has affirmatively determined that Mr. Elder is an "audit committee financial expert" as that term is defined under applicable SEC rules. The identification of Mr. Elder as an audit committee financial expert does not impose on him any duties, obligations or liabilities that are greater than the duties, obligations and liabilities imposed on the other members of the Audit Committee. The Board has determined that all members of the Audit Committee are independent in accordance with NYSE and SEC rules governing audit committee member independence. The report of the Audit Committee is set forth in this proxy statement under the heading *Report of Audit Committee*.

The purpose of the Compensation Committee is to carry out the overall responsibility of the Board relating to executive officer compensation. In carrying out this purpose, the Compensation Committee has the responsibility to, among other things, establish and review the overall compensation philosophy of the Company; review and approve our goals and objectives relevant to the CEO's and other executive officers' compensation; and evaluate the performance of the CEO and other executive officers in light of established goals and objectives and, based on such evaluation, determine and approve compensation of the CEO and other executive officers. The Compensation Committee also administers the Company's various equity incentive plans. The Compensation Committee held four meetings in 2013. The members of the Compensation Committee are Messrs. Boger (as Chairman) and Norton, and Dr. Mayher. The Board has affirmatively determined that all members of the Compensation Committee are independent, in accordance with NYSE, SEC and IRC rules governing independence. The report of the Compensation Committee is set forth in this proxy statement under the heading *Report of Compensation Committee*.

The purpose of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is to assist the Board in fulfilling its responsibilities to shareholders by identifying individuals qualified to become our directors, recommending candidates to the Board for all directorships, developing and recommending to the Board an applicable set of corporate governance principles, and overseeing the evaluation of the Board and management. In recommending candidates to the Board for nomination as directors, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee strives to identify individuals who bring a unique perspective to Gray's leadership and contribute to the overall diversity of our Board. Although the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee has not adopted a specific written diversity policy for nominations, we believe that a diversity of experience, gender, race, ethnicity and age contributes to effective governance for the benefit of our shareholders. In practice, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee considers such characteristics together with the other qualities considered necessary by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, such as requisite judgment, skill, integrity and experience. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee does not assign a particular weight to these individual factors. Rather, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee looks for a mix of factors that, when considered along with the experience and credentials of the other candidates and existing directors, will provide shareholders with a diverse and experienced Board. Historically, we have not used a recruiting firm to assist with this process.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will consider recommendations for director nominees submitted by shareholders. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee's evaluation of candidates recommended by our shareholders does not differ materially from its evaluation of candidates recommended from other sources. Shareholders wishing to recommend director candidates for consideration by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee may do so by writing to our Corporate Secretary, giving the candidate's name, biographical data, qualifications and all other information that is required to be disclosed under the applicable rules and regulations of the SEC. The foregoing information should be forwarded to the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, c/o Corporate Secretary, Gray Television, Inc., 4370 Peachtree Road, N.E., Atlanta, Georgia 30319.

STOCK OWNERSHIP

The following table sets forth certain information regarding the beneficial ownership of our Class A common stock and our common stock as of March 13, 2014 by (i) any person who is known to us to be the beneficial owner of more than five percent of our Class A common stock or our common stock, (ii) each director and director nominee, (iii) each current executive officer named in the summary compensation table below and (iv) all directors and current executive officers as a group. For purposes of this table, a person is deemed to be a beneficial owner of a security if he or she has or shares the power to vote or to direct the voting of such security, or the power to dispose or to direct the disposition of such security. Accordingly, more than one person may be deemed to be a beneficial owner of the same securities. A person is also deemed to be a beneficial owner of any securities that such person has the right to acquire beneficial ownership of within 60 days. Except as otherwise indicated, the persons named in the table below have sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares shown as beneficially owned by them. The information as to beneficial ownership has been furnished by the respective persons listed in the following table. The percentages of each class are based on 5,989,314 shares of Class A common stock and 52,532,850 shares of common stock outstanding as of March 13, 2014. Shares underlying outstanding stock options exercisable within 60 days of such date are deemed to be outstanding for purposes of calculating the percentage owned by such holder.

Name	Class A Common Stock Beneficially Owned (GTN.A)		Common Stock Beneficially Owned (GTN)		Combined Voting Power of Common Stock and Class A Common Stock
	Shares	Percent	Shares	Percent	Percent
Richard L. Boger(1)	6,019	*	40,666	*	*
T. L. Elder(1)	7,983	*	24,698	*	*
Hilton H. Howell, Jr.(2)	900,541	15.0%	1,527,032	2.9%	9.4%
Robin R. Howell(3)	900,541	15.0%	1,527,032	2.9%	9.4%
William E. Mayher, III(1)	19,483	*	177,149	*	*
Howell W. Newton(1)	5,983	*	50,998	*	*
Hugh E. Norton(1)	19,483	*	74,891	*	*
Harriett J. Robinson(4)	4,657,818	77.8%	1,635,486	3.1%	42.9%
James C. Ryan(5)	-	*	182,827	*	*
Kevin P. Latek(6)	-	*	186,655	*	*
Atlantic American Corporation(7)	880,272	14.7%	106,000	*	7.9%
Dimensional Fund Advisors LP(8)	-	*	4,202,343	8.0%	3.7%
FMR LLC(9)	-	*	7,453,297	14.2%	6.6%
BlackRock, Inc.(10)	-	*	2,976,213	5.7%	2.6%
All directors and executive officers as a group(11) (10 persons)	5,053,410	84.4%	3,067,902	5.8%	47.6%

* Less than 1%.

(1) Includes 5,983 restricted shares of Class A common stock as to which the respective individual has voting, but not dispositive, power.

Includes: (a) 65,058 shares of Class A common stock (including the 5,983 shares of restricted Class A common stock included in note 3 below) and 24,955 shares of common stock owned by Mr. Howell's wife or children directly, as to which shares he disclaims beneficial ownership; (b) 563,900 shares of Class A common stock and 832,500 shares of common stock held in trusts for the benefit of his children, as to which shares he disclaims
(2) beneficial ownership; and (c) 676 shares of common stock held through his 401(k) plan. Also includes options to purchase 76,532 shares of common stock, which are currently exercisable or will become exercisable within 60 days, and 162,592 restricted shares of Class A common stock and 110,046 restricted shares of common stock, as to which Mr. Howell has voting, but not dispositive, power.

Includes: (a) an aggregate of 271,583 shares of Class A common stock and 593,045 shares of common stock owned directly by Mrs. Howell's husband or held through his 401(k) plan (including the 162,592 restricted shares of Class A common stock and 110,046 restricted shares of common stock included in note 2 above); (b) options to purchase 76,532 shares of common stock held Mrs. Howell's husband, which are currently exercisable or will become exercisable within 60 days; (c) 500 shares of Class A common stock owned by her children; and (d) (3) 563,900 shares of Class A common stock and 832,500 shares of common stock held in trusts for the benefit of her children. Mrs. Howell disclaims beneficial ownership of all such securities. Also includes 5,983 restricted shares of Class A common stock as to which Mrs. Howell has voting, but not dispositive, power. In addition, excludes shares beneficially held by Mrs. Robinson as trustee for the benefit of Mrs. Howell, as to which Mrs. Howell has no voting or dispositive power.

Includes: (a) 438,776 shares of Class A common stock and 44,119 shares of common stock held by the estate of Mrs. Robinson's late husband, with respect to which she is the trustee; (b) 5,518 shares of common stock held by the 401(k) plan of Mrs. Robinson's late husband; and (c) an aggregate of 1,753,080 shares of Class A common (4) stock and 942,250 shares of the common stock held by various trusts for the benefit of Mrs. Robinson's daughters or grandchildren, with respect to which Mrs. Robinson serves as trustee. Mrs. Robinson disclaims beneficial ownership of all such securities. Also, includes an aggregate of 1,540,115 shares of Class A common stock and 251,000 shares of common stock owned by certain entities controlled by Mrs. Robinson. In addition, includes 5,983 restricted shares of Class A common stock as to which Mrs. Robinson has voting, but not dispositive, power.

Includes (a) 5,672 shares of common stock held through his 401(k) plan; (b) options to purchase 28,700 shares of (5) common stock, which are currently exercisable or will become exercisable within 60 days; and (c) 108,019 restricted shares of common stock as to which Mr. Ryan has voting, but not dispositive, power.

Includes (a) options to purchase 32,144 shares of common stock, which are currently exercisable or will become (6) exercisable within 60 days, and (b) 116,933 restricted shares of common stock as to which Mr. Latek has voting, but not dispositive, power.

This information is based solely on Gray's review of a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on August 12, 2013 by (7) Atlantic American Corporation. The address of Atlantic American Corporation is 4370 Peachtree Road, NE, Atlanta, GA 30319.

This information is based solely on Gray's review of a Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on February 10, 2014 by (8) Dimensional Fund Advisors LP. The address of Dimensional Fund Advisors L.P. is Palisades West, Building One, 6300 Bee Cave Road, Austin, Texas 78746.

This information is based solely on Gray's review of a Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on February 14, 2014 by (9) FMR LLC and also by Edward C. Johnson 3d and various entities which he directly or indirectly controls. The address of FMR LLC is 82 Devonshire Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02109.

(10)

Edgar Filing: GRAY TELEVISION INC - Form DEF 14A

This information is based solely on Gray's review of a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on January 29, 2014 by BlackRock, Inc. The address of BlackRock, Inc. is 40 East 52nd Street, New York, New York 10022.

Includes (a) options to purchase 137,376 shares of common stock, which are currently exercisable or will become exercisable within 60 days and (b) 204,473 restricted shares of Class A common stock and 334,998 restricted (11) shares of common stock, as to which the directors and executive officers have voting, but not dispositive, power. The addresses for each of the directors and executive officers of Gray Television, Inc. is 4370 Peachtree Road N.E., Atlanta, Georgia 30319.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee of the Board maintains responsibility for establishing, reviewing and implementing the overall executive compensation philosophy of the Company. The Compensation Committee also administers our executive compensation programs by developing and evaluating director and officer compensation plans, policies and arrangements, approving the compensation of each of our executive officers and other senior management personnel, and establishing the compensation of our Board. The Compensation Committee consists of three members of our Board, Messrs. Boger (as Chairman) and Norton, and Dr. Mayher. The Board has affirmatively determined that all members of the Compensation Committee are independent in accordance with applicable NYSE, SEC and IRC rules governing independence.

Named Executive Officers

The following discussion of executive compensation is focused primarily on the Company's compensation policies and programs as they relate to, and amounts paid or payable to, our executive officers in 2013, consisting of the following individuals, who are sometimes referred to as our "named executive officers" or the "NEOs":

Name	Executive Officer Since	Age	Position
Hilton H. Howell, Jr.	2000	52	Vice Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer
James C. Ryan	1998	53	Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
Kevin P. Latek	2012	43	Senior Vice President, Business Affairs and Secretary

Overview of 2013 Performance and Compensation

In 2013, working with its compensation consultant, the Compensation Committee continued to execute on recent enhancements to our executive compensation programs, including:

striving to ensure an appropriate benchmarking of compensation for each executive's role to market data for similar roles within the Company's peer group, described below;

establishing goals under the Company's annual non-equity incentive compensation program based on achievement of quantifiable financial objectives established in the first quarter of each fiscal year; and

applying appropriately updated methodologies and market data in making equity incentive compensation decisions, all as described below.

Actual compensation paid in and for 2013 reflected Gray's significant operating and non-operating achievements during the year, including, but not limited to the following, which the Committee believes resulted from the significant efforts of management and was instrumental in helping to build long-term value for the Company's shareholders:

achieving record revenue, broadcast cash flow and broadcast cash flow less cash corporate expenses for an off political year;

implementing a realigned management structure intended to streamline internal operations and allow an increased focus on maximizing the value of existing operations while pursuing strategic growth opportunities;

opportunistically issuing an additional \$375.0 million of 7 1/2% senior notes due 2020 at above face value as a part of the Company's refinancing efforts;

pursuing selected strategic acquisition opportunities, which included the acquisition of Yellowstone Television, LLC;

entering into definitive agreements to acquire substantially all of Hoak Media LLC;

achieving an increase in the Company's total market capitalization of 587% during 2013; and

achieving a total shareholder return on the Company's common stock and Class A common stock of 576% and 642%, respectively, during 2013.

Gray believes that these achievements reflect management's successful execution of the Company's ongoing transformative growth strategy.

As a result of Gray's performance and achievements in 2013 and as further described under "—Annual Incentive Compensation Program" below, annual and long-term plan-based incentive awards were paid at slightly above target opportunity levels, based upon the market competitive award structure established for 2013 by the Compensation Committee. In addition, in January 2014, the Company's executive officers were awarded one-time, performance-based cash and equity bonuses in recognition of their extraordinary efforts during 2013 in connection with the achievements described above, as well as the significant additional responsibilities undertaken by those officers during the year as a part of the realigned management structure, and their efforts in successfully developing and pursuing various strategic initiatives.

The table below shows the percentage allocation among the principal elements of total compensation paid to the NEOs in and for 2013 (including the one-time, discretionary cash bonuses and the fair value of the equity bonuses, each awarded in 2014 and related to 2013 performance as described above). Amounts in the following table do not correspond with amounts in the *Summary Compensation Table* which follows due to: (i) the inclusion in the table below of the fair value of the bonus equity award granted in 2014 for 2013 performance and results, which amount is excluded from the *Summary Compensation Table* because it is required to be included in that table in the year it is actually awarded; and (ii) the exclusion from the table below of certain limited perquisites and other benefits paid in 2013 to the NEOs, as described below, but which did not comprise a material portion of 2013 total compensation:

**Allocation Among Principal Elements of Total
Compensation Paid
in and for 2013**

Name	Base Salary (%)	Cash Bonus (%)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (%)	Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (%)
Hilton H. Howell, Jr.	24.7	15.1	15.1	42.1
James C. Ryan	32.9	11.7	11.7	41.9
Kevin P. Latek	33.0	11.8	11.8	42.1

Philosophy and Elements of Compensation Program

The goals of our executive compensation program are to attract, retain, motivate and reward our executive officers. We believe that the most appropriate executive compensation program is one that is competitive, yet conservatively designed, and that aligns long-term compensation with the creation of shareholder value.

The overall compensation program for our executive officers is designed to provide the Compensation Committee with the flexibility to offer a combination of cash (fixed and incentive-based) and equity-based compensation opportunities in order to retain, motivate and reward our executive officers, as well as align their interests with those of our shareholders.

To accomplish these goals, the Compensation Committee strives to achieve an appropriate level and combination of different forms of compensation in order to (1) motivate the executive officers to deliver superior performance in the short-term by providing competitive base salaries, annual incentive opportunities and cash or other bonus opportunities based upon specific achievements, (2) further align the interests of the executive officers with the long-term interests of our shareholders through the grant of equity-based compensation that offers market-competitive, long-term compensation opportunities with the potential for above-market compensation in extraordinary circumstances, (3) provide upside and downside risk aligned with other shareholders via meaningful executive stock ownership (through grants of stock options and restricted stock), (4) provide an overall compensation package that promotes executive retention and is aligned with the defined target market position; and (5) in exceptional circumstances, reward extraordinary performance with additional one-time awards.

Each year, our executive officers are eligible for a base salary increase, annual cash incentive awards and long-term, equity-based incentive award opportunities. Base salaries and incentive compensation award opportunities are typically based on the Compensation Committee's evaluation of market data and historical and targeted Company and individual performance. Actual incentive awards paid are generally dependent upon the level of achievement as compared to certain pre-established goals. Executive officers also receive limited perquisites that the Compensation Committee considers appropriate for the markets in which we operate and to enable us to attract and retain executive talent. The Compensation Committee also retains the ability to reward extraordinary financial or non-financial personal or corporate performance through the payment of discretionary cash or equity-based bonus awards in any year. Consistent with 2012, the executive compensation program for 2013 primarily consisted of the following elements:

base salary;

an annual incentive compensation opportunity;

a long-term incentive compensation opportunity; and

limited perquisites and other benefits.

For 2013, the Compensation Committee sought to set compensation levels at a market position of market median, or 50th percentile, for target performance. The Committee also sought to provide an opportunity for total compensation to be between or moderately above the 50th and 75th percentiles, as warranted based on Gray's performance as determined through achieving or exceeding certain approved performance goals.

Base salaries for our executives are established or adjusted from time to time based on the size and complexity of the Company, the scope of each individual executive's role, the knowledge and experience of the individual executive, and the competitiveness of the executive's total compensation as compared to a peer group of comparable companies and other market data.

With respect to the granting of non-equity incentive compensation opportunities, the Compensation Committee establishes annual metrics and opportunity levels based solely on quantitative goals, which policy is designed to provide additional focus and certainty for management in striving to achieve pre-established goals. Qualitative individual performance goals generally are not established or used to determine non-equity incentive plan compensation that might be awarded, absent extraordinary circumstances.

The decision to grant equity-based long-term incentive awards, on an annual basis or otherwise, is generally discretionary in nature. In undertaking this decision-making process, the Compensation Committee is free to consider a number of factors, including the following, or any others deemed appropriate by the Compensation Committee:

the amount and value of recent equity-based awards, determined by reference to value at either the grant date or other relevant date;

recent historical Company performance, determined by reference to stock price or other appropriate financial metric;

expected short and longer-term Company performance in light of internal budgets or forecasts, or other appropriate data; and

the overall competitiveness of current compensation levels when considered against an appropriate peer group, as described below.

In the event equity-based long-term incentive awards are granted, it has been the historical practice that award levels are also established at the Compensation Committee's discretion, as opposed to being made with a formulaic approach such as is used to provide annual non-equity incentive compensation opportunities.

As described above, the Compensation Committee also believes it is appropriate to retain discretionary authority to make cash or equity-based bonus awards in recognition of intervening events or extraordinary performance from time to time.

Compensation Framework: Risk Considerations, Policies, and Process.

Risk Considerations

The Compensation Committee periodically reviews the Company's compensation philosophy, policies and practices to ensure that such philosophy, policies and practices are appropriately structured for the Company and its business objectives and discourage executives from taking excessive risk. In developing Gray's philosophy, and implementing the policies and practices, the Compensation Committee has attempted to mitigate the possibility that excessive short-term risks are being taken at the expense of long-term value. These mitigation strategies include: (1) the annual review and approval of financial performance objectives by the Compensation Committee; (2) the use of multiple performance objectives, thus mitigating too heavy a focus on any one in particular; and (3) time-based vesting of equity-based awards to motivate NEOs to focus on providing consistent results over the longer term. In conducting its review of Gray's compensation philosophy, policies and practices, including its business operations, the Compensation Committee has determined that any risks arising from these compensation programs are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company.

Role and Independence of the Compensation Consultant

For 2013, the Compensation Committee again engaged Grant Thornton LLP, an internationally recognized public accounting and consulting firm, as its compensation consultant to advise the Compensation Committee with respect to the implementation of Gray's compensation philosophy, policies and practices. The Compensation Committee directly hires and has the sole authority to terminate the compensation consultant and to determine the terms and conditions of their engagement. The compensation consultant reports directly to the Compensation Committee.

The Compensation Committee takes steps to monitor and manage the independence of its compensation consultant and annually reviews the role of the compensation consultant. As a result of the policies and procedures in place with respect to the compensation consultant, the Compensation Committee believes that the compensation consultant is able to provide candid, direct and objective advice to the Compensation Committee that is not influenced by management or any other services provided to Gray by Grant Thornton LLP. As a result, the Compensation Committee believes that Grant Thornton LLP is fully independent for purposes of serving as the Compensation Committee's compensation consultant.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, however, as described above, Grant Thornton LLP is a full service public accounting and consulting firm, and the Company has from time to time, including in 2013, engaged a unit of Grant Thornton LLP, which is separate and distinct from the unit providing compensation consulting services to the Compensation Committee, to provide certain internal audit services to the Company. Management and the Audit Committee of the

Company believe that the unit of Grant Thornton LLP that provides these internal audit services is exceptionally qualified to provide such services.

Neither the primary compensation advisor nor any member of the compensation consulting advisory team participates in any of those other services provided to Gray. Instead, with full knowledge of the Compensation Committee, in and for 2013, the Audit Committee engaged a distinct unit of Grant Thornton LLP to provide internal audit advisory services to Gray. Grant Thornton LLP provides the Compensation Committee with an annual update on its services and related fees, and the Compensation Committee determines whether the compensation consulting services can be performed objectively and free from the influence of management. The Compensation Committee has determined that the provision of these separate services did not result in a conflict of interest, or otherwise impair the independence, of Grant Thornton LLP to provide compensation consulting services to the Compensation Committee.

Role of Executive Officers

Mr. Howell, the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer, may from time to time participate in meetings of the Compensation Committee in his role as Chief Executive Officer to provide information to the Compensation Committee and answer questions related to management and the performance of Gray, or any specific business or individual, but he is not present when the Compensation Committee goes into executive session to make executive officer compensation decisions.

Consideration of Say-On-Pay Vote and Related Matters

The Dodd-Frank Act provides Gray's shareholders with the right to vote to approve, on an advisory, non-binding basis, the compensation of Gray's NEOs at specified intervals. Gray's shareholders most recently voted on this matter at Gray's 2011 annual meeting of shareholders, and at that time the shareholders also approved a proposal providing that the shareholders would vote on such compensation every three years. As a result, shareholders may participate in the advisory vote on the compensation paid to Gray's NEOs at the 2014 Annual Meeting.

At our 2011 annual meeting of shareholders, over 96% of the shares present in person or by proxy voted to approve the compensation paid to our NEOs. The Compensation Committee believes that this shareholder vote strongly endorses the compensation philosophy, policies and practices of the Company. After considering the result of that advisory say-on-pay vote on our executive compensation, the Compensation Committee did not believe it was necessary to undertake any material changes in the Company's executive compensation philosophy or programs. In addition to consideration given to the results of any say-on-pay vote, the Compensation Committee considers any appropriate direct or indirect input from shareholders and other stakeholders, and more general developments in executive compensation principles, in the development and implementation of the Company's executive compensation philosophy and programs.

Compensation Deduction Limitations

Section 162(m) of the IRC generally sets a limit of \$1.0 million on the amount of compensation to each of the executive officers that we may deduct for federal income tax purposes in any given year. However, certain "performance-based" compensation paid in a manner that complies with the requirements of Section 162(m) of the IRC is not included in the calculation of the \$1.0 million cap. Historically, tax deductibility of executive officer compensation has not been a primary objective because of ongoing operating losses on a tax basis and the desire to maximize flexibility in pursuing our incentive and retention objectives. Our executive compensation program historically has not been structured to comply with all the requirements of Section 162(m), but the Compensation Committee reviews such requirements and gives due consideration to the most appropriate ways to structure the executive compensation program to satisfy our compensation goals and meet the Section 162(m) deductibility guidelines. We may from time to time design compensation plans that recognize a full range of performance and other criteria important to our success regardless of the federal tax deductibility of compensation paid under those plans.

Determining Competitive Practices

The Compensation Committee, with the assistance of Grant Thornton LLP, has established a compensation peer group for purposes of determining competitive compensation for our executive officers. The Compensation Committee

believes that the use of peer group benchmarking for executive compensation determination is appropriate and provides data relevant in helping the Committee execute on its stated philosophy. For 2013, consistent with 2012, the peer group consisted of fourteen other broadcasting companies (television, radio, and companies with a combination of television and radio operations) set out below. As a guideline, companies included generally have revenues ranging from one-half of Gray's revenue to up to two times Gray's revenue, with median revenue of the peer group closely aligned with Gray's revenue, which the Compensation Committee believes helps protect against potential volatility in data that may result from changes in peer group company status. In any year, it is possible that one or more peer group companies may have actual revenues outside of this range.

For purposes of establishing and benchmarking 2013 compensation, the compensation peer group consisted of the following companies: Belo Corp., Crown Media Holdings, Inc., Cumulus Media Inc., Emmis Communications Corporation, Entercom Communications Corp., Entravision Communication, Fisher Communications, Inc., Lin TV Corp., Media General Inc., Nexstar Broadcasting Group, Inc., Radio One Inc., Salem Communications Corp., Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc. and Spanish Broadcasting System Inc.

For 2013, the Compensation Committee used the peer group for relevant executive compensation comparisons such as market valuations of similar positions, and benchmarked certain compensation amounts and opportunities for each executive officer to market data for executives performing similar roles at peer group companies.

In light of various recent merger and acquisition activities involving a number of the peer group companies, we expect that the compensation peer group for 2014 comparison and benchmarking purposes may differ significantly from the 2013 compensation peer group.

Process for Establishing Executive Officer Total Compensation

In reviewing NEO compensation levels for 2013, the Compensation Committee reviewed a competitive market study prepared by Grant Thornton LLP. The study compared Gray's practices regarding base salary, bonuses, equity awards and cash incentive awards for its NEOs with market practices as reported in the peer group's most recent proxy data.

Compensation Decisions Made for 2013

Base Salary

The base salary element of our executive compensation program provides each NEO with a fixed amount of annual cash compensation, intended to ensure an appropriate amount of financial certainty. Salaries for the NEOs are generally subject to annual review and adjustment by the Compensation Committee.

Consistent with its practice of making initial compensation decisions for a fiscal year in the first quarter of each year, the Compensation Committee approved our NEOs' base salaries for 2013 at its meeting in March 2013. At that time, the Compensation Committee approved 2013 base salary increases for Messrs. Howell, Ryan and Latek.

Mr. Howell's 2013 base salary continued to take into consideration the fact that at the time base salary determinations were made, he devoted less than his full professional time solely to serving as Chief Executive Officer of the Company, but was increased in light of his continued active executive leadership of the Company and his continuing increases in responsibilities on behalf of the Company. The Compensation Committee expects to continue to monitor Mr. Howell's base salary, and make appropriate adjustments commensurate with his contributions and responsibilities, including his taking on the additional responsibilities of President in June 2013, and the amount of time devoted to those roles. Mr. Howell's, and our other executive officers', base salaries were also increased in 2013 in light of recent historical Company performance, and the growth and increasing complexity of the Company, and the increase in executive responsibilities related thereto.

The annual base salaries for each of our NEOs were as follows for 2013:

Name	2013 Base Salary
-------------	-----------------------------

	(\$)
Hilton H. Howell, Jr.	650,000
James C. Ryan	450,000
Kevin P. Latek	450,000

Annual Incentive Compensation Program

At the Compensation Committee's regularly scheduled meeting in the first quarter of each year, including in 2013, and after review of financial and other performance data from the prior fiscal year and certain internally forecasted financial information, the Compensation Committee sets certain target performance goals under the Company's annual incentive compensation program for the upcoming year. These goals are typically quantifiable annual, or other short-term, goals. Upon the achievement by the Company of these performance goals, the executive officers are eligible for additional cash compensation through the payment of annual incentive awards. This annual incentive award opportunity is intended to motivate the executive officers toward significant Company performance on an annual basis and, if appropriate, reward them for such achievement.

The Compensation Committee established performance goals for 2013, within the parameters of the Company's annual incentive compensation program, based entirely on quantifiable financial performance metrics. The three metrics approved for purposes of setting targets for 2013 annual incentive compensation awards consisted of the financial performance measures historically used by Gray for purposes of valuing the Company's business and approximating key financial performance covenants in certain of its financing agreements as follows:

revenue, which is calculated net of agency commissions;

net operating profit (“NOP”), which is calculated by determining total revenue less broadcast and corporate expenses before depreciation, amortization, impairment of intangible assets, gains on disposal of assets, interest expense, income taxes, and loss or early extinguishment of debt; and

“broadcast cash flow,” which is defined as NOP plus amortization of non-cash stock based compensation, plus amortization of program broadcast rights, plus common stock contributed to 401(k) plan, less network compensation revenue, less network compensation per network affiliation agreements, less network expense per network affiliation agreements, less payments on program broadcast obligations, and plus corporate and administrative expenses (excluding amortization of non-cash stock based compensation).

For each of the financial performance measures, the following goals were established for 2013:

Financial Performance Measure	Threshold	Target	Maximum
	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
	(in thousands)		
Revenue (less agency commissions)	326,682	343,876	378,264
NOP	105,603	111,161	122,277
Broadcast cash flow	119,159	125,430	137,973

The target performance goals under the Company’s annual incentive compensation program were aligned with the Company’s internal business plan and annual budget as approved by the Compensation Committee. Threshold goals were established at 95% of target so that a level of performance near target was required to be achieved before any incentive payment would be awarded, with a significant reduction to the incentive eligible to be earned if results were below target. Maximum award levels were established at achievement of 110% of target levels, as the Compensation Committee believed this represented an appropriate amount of stretch in the goals. The Compensation Committee reviews the threshold, target and maximum criteria at the start of each fiscal year to ensure that an appropriate degree of difficulty is incorporated into the goals.

The 2013 annual incentive compensation program award opportunity levels (expressed as a percentage of base salary) approved by the Compensation Committee for the NEOs in 2013 were as follows:

Name	Annual Incentive Opportunity		
	Threshold	Target	Maximum
Hilton H. Howell, Jr.	30.0%	60.0%	90.0%
James C. Ryan	17.5%	35.0%	52.5%
Kevin P. Latek	17.5%	35.0%	52.5%

Annual incentive compensation program payout opportunity levels were established to provide each NEO with a market-competitive incentive opportunity linked to achievement of the pre-determined financial metrics. Actual performance levels between threshold and target, or between target and maximum, are used to determine actual incentive awards. Actual awards are determined formulaically by linear interpolation of actual results as they relate to the established financial performance goals and the percentage by which such goals were achieved or exceeded. Furthermore, for purposes of calculating amounts payable under the Company’s annual incentive compensation program, actual Company performance compared to goal performance for each of the metrics was determined and calculated discretely.

Non-equity annual incentive compensation payments are certified as approved following the Compensation Committee’s review of the Company’s audited financial results for the prior fiscal year. Gray’s reported 2013 results were as follows:

Financial Performance Measure	Actual
	(\$)
	(in thousands)
Revenue (less agency commissions)	346,298
NOP	109,077
Broadcast cash flow	128,234

Based on the Company's actual 2013 financial results, annual incentive compensation payments were made at slightly above the target opportunity level as follows:

Name	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Payment For 2013 Performance				Total Non-Equity Incentive
	Revenue (less agency commissions) Payout (\$)	NOP Payout (\$)	Broadcast Cash Flow Payout (\$)	Total Payment (\$)	Plan Payment as a Percentage of Base Salary (%)
Hilton H. Howell, Jr.	100,379	83,616	214,029	398,024	61.2
James C. Ryan	40,538	33,767	86,435	160,740	35.7
Kevin P. Latek	40,538	33,767	86,435	160,740	35.7
	181,455	151,150	386,899	719,504	

Performance-Based Cash Bonuses

In addition to potential cash payments under the Company's annual non-equity incentive compensation plan, as described above the Compensation Committee retains the ability to make discretionary performance-based bonus payments in certain circumstances to reward outstanding performance by individual executives in circumstances where the Compensation Committee believes such performance is not adequately reflected by the non-discretionary portion of the executive's annual incentive award. Based on what the Compensation Committee determined to be extraordinary individual efforts in leading the Company to achieve the financial results and strategic goals, and undertake the related matters described above under "Overview of 2013 Performance and Compensation," as well as the attendant increase in the executive officer's responsibilities in connection therewith, the following one-time, cash bonus awards were paid to executive officers in January 2014 for performance in 2013: Mr. Howell (\$398,024), Mr. Ryan (\$160,740) and Mr. Latek (\$160,740).

Prior to approving the bonus payments, the Compensation Committee asked Grant Thornton LLP to assess the impact of the proposed bonuses on the market competitiveness of the executives' overall 2013 compensation. Grant Thornton LLP advised that the cumulative impact of the bonuses, when combined with the actual annual and long-term incentive compensation awards for 2013, would still result in the total direct compensation for the executive officers for 2013 within the Compensation Committee's targeted position of between median and the 75th percentile for outstanding performance, and was still considered acceptable from a competitive standpoint based on Gray's performance for 2013 and achievements of the NEOs.

Long-Term Equity Incentive Awards

In order to be able to provide awards intended to further align the interests of our executive officers and other key management personnel responsible for our growth with the interests of our shareholders through stock price appreciation, we established the 2007 Long Term Incentive Plan, which allows us to grant equity-based awards. The 2007 Long Term Incentive Plan allows for the grant of various types of awards including performance shares, restricted shares and stock options. If stock options are granted, it is our practice to grant options with an exercise price equal to the closing price of the underlying class of our common stock on the date of grant.

The Compensation Committee has established guidelines, expressed as a percentage of base salary, that it generally considers when evaluating annual long-term equity incentive award levels. The long-term equity incentive opportunity guidelines considered by the Compensation Committee in connection with evaluating annual long-term incentive awards in 2013 were as follows:

Name	Long-Term Incentive Award Opportunity Guidelines (as a Percentage of Base Salary)		
	Low End	Mid Range	Upper End
Hilton H. Howell, Jr.	37.5%	75.0%	112.5%
James C. Ryan	25.0%	50.0%	75.0%
Kevin P. Latek	25.0%	50.0%	75.0%

Unlike the formulaic annual incentive award opportunities, which are tied to the Company's actual performance compared to preset goals, any decision with regard to the nature, timing and amount of long-term equity incentive awards has historically remained within the discretion of the Compensation Committee.

The Compensation Committee may exercise this discretion based on a prospective or retrospective and qualitative assessment of factors, as discussed above under the heading *Elements of Compensation Program*. In March 2013, in order to incent the NEOs toward the creation of long-term value, and considering recent historical Company performance, recent year's equity incentive awards and the overall value of the NEOs' respective target compensation levels, the Compensation Committee approved grants of long-term equity incentive awards under the 2007 Long Term Incentive Plan to the NEOs at the 2013 "mid range" of the opportunity guidelines. Specifically, the following restricted stock awards were granted to executive officers in March 2013:

Mr. Howell: 110,046 restricted shares of common stock, with an aggregate grant date fair value of \$487,504, or 75% of his 2013 base salary;

Mr. Ryan: 50,791 restricted shares of common stock, with an aggregate grant date fair value of \$225,004, or 50% of his 2013 base salary; and

Mr. Latek: 50,791 restricted shares of common stock, with an aggregate grant date fair value of \$225,004, or 50% of his 2013 base salary.

To provide a balance between retention and performance, the Compensation Committee determined to grant these restricted stock awards with a three-year ratable vesting schedule beginning one year from the date of grant.

In addition, as a part of the Compensation Committee's January 2014 consideration of 2013 performance and achievements, and in connection with the approval of the one-time cash bonuses described above, the Compensation Committee determined it was also appropriate to reward the Company's named executive officers with additional bonus equity awards for their 2013 contributions as described above, specifically their contributions in connection with the significant achievements in the creation of long term value for the Company's shareholders.

As a result, in January 2014, the Compensation Committee approved additional grants of equity incentive awards under the Company's 2007 Equity Incentive Plan to Messrs. Howell, Ryan and Latek for their contributions in 2013, effective January 17, 2014. Pursuant to the provisions of this plan, the Committee has the ability to grant awards in either shares of common stock or Class A common stock. The Committee determined to give the named executive officers the option to receive this grant in either shares of Class A common stock or common stock, at their election. The number and type of shares elected to be received by each named executive officer, and the fair value of his award is as set out below:

Mr. Howell: 63,643 restricted shares of Class A common stock, with an aggregate grant date fair value of \$620,519;

Mr. Ryan: 29,567 restricted shares of common stock, with an aggregate grant date fair value of \$348,299; and

Mr. Latek: 29,567 restricted shares of common stock, with an aggregate grant date fair value of \$348,299.

Consistent with the Company's executive compensation philosophy, and in light of the fact that such equity incentive awards were intended to reward the creation of stockholder value in 2013, this equity incentive award was 50% vested upon grant, with the other half of the grant subject to a one-year vesting period.

Perquisites and Other Benefits

Gray also provides its executive officers with limited perquisites and other benefits, including the right to participate in all employee benefit plans generally available to employees, such as medical, dental, life and disability insurance plans. The Compensation Committee also believes it is appropriate for the Company to pay certain insurance premiums on behalf of our NEOs in order to remain market competitive for executive talent.

Qualified Benefit Plans

The executive officers are eligible to participate in the following qualified benefit plans in which all employees are eligible to participate: the Gray Television, Inc. Capital Accumulation (401(k)) Plan (“Capital Accumulation Plan”) and the Gray Television, Inc. Retirement Plan (“Pension Plan”). Mr. Ryan also participates in the Busse Pension Plan (the “Busse Pension Plan”). The Company acquired Busse Broadcasting Corporation (“Busse”) in July 1998 and the Busse Pension Plan was assumed in that transaction. Mr. Ryan is a former employee of Busse. The table in the section entitled *Pension Benefits* herein lists the years of credited service and the present value of each NEO’s accumulated pension benefit, assuming payment begins at age 65, under the pension plans.

Capital Accumulation Plan

We currently sponsor the Capital Accumulation Plan to encourage eligible employees to defer a part of their current income to provide for their retirement, death or disability under the provisions of Section 401(k) of the IRC. The plan covers all of our employees. Under the Capital Accumulation Plan, participants may elect to make pre-tax savings deferrals from their compensation each year, subject to annual limits on such deferrals imposed by the IRC. We may also, at our discretion, on an annual basis, make a matching contribution with respect to a participant’s elective deferrals and/or may make additional voluntary contributions. For the year ended December 31, 2013, we did not match employee contributions except for employees at one of our stations, in accordance with the terms of their union contract. Participants are immediately vested in their voluntary contributions plus the actual earnings thereon. Employer contributions and earnings thereon become 100% vested after the participant completes three years of service. The only form of benefit payment under the Capital Accumulation Plan is a single lump-sum payment equal to the vested balance in the participant’s account. The vested portion of a participant’s accrued benefit is payable upon such employee’s termination of employment, attainment of age 59½, retirement, total and permanent disability, or death. Participants may also make in-service withdrawals from their pre-tax contributions under the plan for certain specified instances of hardship.

Pension Plan

Under the terms of the Pension Plan, in the event of the death of an executive officer before retirement, 50% of the accrued benefit will become payable to the surviving spouse at the time the deceased participant would have reached age 65. If the deceased participant had completed ten or more years of service, the survivor benefit may commence as early as the time the deceased participant would have reached age 55. If the deceased participant would have been eligible for early retirement at the time of death, survivor benefits may commence as soon as practicable. Any benefits that commence before the deceased participant would have reached age 65 will be reduced the same as early retirement benefits would have been reduced. In the event a disability occurs before retirement, the accrued benefit will become payable at age 65. No break in service will occur and benefits will continue to accrue during disability. In the event of voluntary termination, the vested accrued benefit will become payable at age 65. If the participant had

completed ten or more years of service, the benefit may commence as early as age 55. If the participant had completed less than five years of credited service, the accrued benefit is not vested, and no future benefits would be payable from the Pension Plan.

Summary Compensation Table

The following table sets forth a summary of the compensation of our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, and our other executive officer for each of 2013, 2012 and 2011, or for such shorter period as the person has been employed by Gray.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (1) (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards (\$ (2))	Option Awards (\$ (2))	Nonequity Incentive Plan Compen- sation (\$)	Change in	All Other Compen- sation (4) (\$)	Total (\$)
							Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (3) (\$)		
Hilton H. Howell, Jr. President, Chief Executive Officer and Vice Chairman	2013	650,000	398,024	487,504	-	398,024	4,806	70,456	2,008,814
	2012	600,000	-	150,000	247,960	535,970	70,065	58,409	1,662,404
	2011	500,000	-	-	-	413,181	53,629	54,252	1,021,062
James C. Ryan Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	2013	450,000	160,740	225,004	-	160,740	8,640	16,216	1,021,340
	2012	420,000	-	56,251	92,985	187,590	110,834	15,766	883,426
	2011	375,000	-	-	-	154,943	84,224	14,478	628,645
Kevin P. Latek Senior Vice President,	2013	450,000	160,740	225,004	-	160,740	10,583	6,478	1,013,545
	2012	350,000 ⁽⁵⁾	-	63,001	104,143	187,590	14,270	1,887	790,891

Business
Affairs

(1) Each of the NEOs contributed a portion of his salary to our Capital Accumulation Plan. The disclosed salary amounts are before the NEOs' contributions.

Grant date fair value of awards of restricted shares (reported in the "Stock Awards" column) and options (reported in the "Option Awards" column) made in the year indicated, computed in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") Topic 718 (Stock Compensation). See note 7 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in its annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 for a description of the assumptions made in the valuation of stock awards under FASB ASC Topic 718.

For each year, represents the change in pension value, calculated as the difference between the present value of accumulated benefits at December 31 of the relevant year, and the present value of accumulated benefits at December 31 of the prior year, adjusted for benefit payments made during the year. The present values of accumulated benefits at December 31 of the relevant year were calculated using the assumptions that were used for the December 31 financial statement disclosures of the relevant year, which were the 1983 Group Annuity Mortality Tables for the Pension Plan, and the RP 2000 Projected Mortality Table for the Busse Pension Plan, separately for males and females, and a 4.97%, 4.31% and 4.84% interest discount, respectively. See the table in the section entitled *Pension Benefits* herein for additional information, including the present value assumptions used in this calculation.

(4) See the *All Other Compensation* table below for additional information.

(5) Reflects pro rata portion of \$420,000 annual base salary for the period from March 1, 2012, the date of his employment by the Company, through December 31, 2012.

All Other Compensation

The following table describes each component of the amounts in the *All Other Compensation* column of the *Summary Compensation Table* for 2013:

Name	Company Contributions to Defined Contribution Plans (\$)	Company Paid Insurance Premiums (\$)	Directors' Fees (1) (\$)	Total (\$)
Hilton H. Howell, Jr.	-	8,456	62,000	70,456
James C. Ryan	-	16,216	-	16,216
Kevin P. Latek	-	6,478	-	6,478

(1) Represents fees paid for serving as a member of our board of directors. See the *Director Compensation* table for additional information.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards in 2013

Non-equity annual incentive compensation payments were made to our NEOs in 2013 based upon the incentive opportunities set forth in the following table. Stock awards were made under our 2007 Long Term Incentive Plan.

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Possible Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards(1)			Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards			All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units (#)	All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options (#)	Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards (\$/Sh)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards (\$)
		Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)	Threshold (#)	Target (#)	Maximum (#)				
Hilton H.	3/19/2013	195,000	390,000	585,000	-	-	-	110,046	-	-	487,504

Howell,
Jr.

James
C.

3/19/2013	78,750	157,500	236,250	-	-	-	50,791	-	-	225,004
-----------	--------	---------	---------	---	---	---	--------	---	---	---------

Ryan

Kevin P.

3/19/2013	78,750	157,500	236,250	-	-	-	50,791	-	-	225,004
-----------	--------	---------	---------	---	---	---	--------	---	---	---------

Latek

(1) For information on actual payouts under non-equity incentive plan awards for 2013 performance, see the column titled *Non-equity Incentive Plan Compensation* in the *Summary Compensation Table* above.

(2) Grant date fair value of awards computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. See note 7 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in its annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 for a description of the assumptions made in the valuation of stock awards under FASB ASC Topic 718.

Outstanding Equity Awards at December 31, 2013

The following table provides information on the stock options and restricted stock awards held by the NEOs at December 31, 2013. The market value of the stock awards is based on our common stock closing market price of \$14.88 per share as of December 31, 2013.

Name	Stock Option Awards (1) (2)				Stock Awards (1) (3)		Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Shares, Units or Rights That Have Not Vested (#)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Rights That Have Not Vested (\$)	
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Exercisable (#)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Unexercisable (#)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)			Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)
Hilton H. Howell, Jr.	38,266	114,796	-	1.99	4/1/2021	110,046	1,637,484	-	-
James C. Ryan	14,350	43,048	-	1.99	4/1/2021	50,791	755,770	-	-
Kevin P. Latek	16,072	48,214	-	1.99	4/1/2021	50,791	755,770	-	-

(1) All outstanding stock options and stock awards at December 31, 2013 are (or upon vesting, will be) for shares of common stock.

(2) Awards vest in four equal, annual installments beginning as of April 2, 2013.

(3) Awards vest in three equal, annual installments beginning as of March 19, 2014.

Pension Benefits

Messrs. Howell, Ryan and Latek participate in the Pension Plan. The Pension Plan, which is intended to be tax qualified, is available to certain of our employees and the employees of all of our subsidiaries that have been designated as participating companies under the plan.

A participating employee who retires on or after attaining age 65 and who has completed five years of service upon retirement may be eligible to receive during his or her lifetime, in the form of monthly payments, an annual pension equal to (i) 22% of the employee's average earnings for the highest five consecutive years during the employee's final ten years of employment multiplied by a factor, the numerator of which is the employee's years of service credited under the plan before 1994 and the denominator of which is the greater of 25 or the years of service credited under the plan, plus (ii) 0.9% of the employee's monthly average earnings for the highest five consecutive years in the employee's final ten years of employment added to 0.6% of monthly average earnings in excess of Social Security covered compensation, multiplied by the employee's years of service credited under the plan after 1993, with a maximum of 25 years minus years of service credited under (i) above. For participants as of December 31, 1993, there is a minimum benefit equal to the projected benefit under (i) at that time.

In addition, Mr. Ryan would receive retirement benefits paid by Gray under a pension plan with Mr. Ryan's former employer, Busse Broadcasting Corporation (the "Busse Pension Plan"), which benefit amounts have been frozen since September 1997. The Company acquired Busse Broadcasting Corporation in July 1998 and the Busse Pension Plan was assumed in that transaction.

Our NEOs did not receive any pension benefit payments in 2013. The following table shows the years of credited service and the present value of accumulated benefits as of December 31, 2013 for the NEOs:

Name	Number of Years Credited Service (1) (#)	Plan Name	Present Value of Accumulated Benefit (2) (\$)
Hilton H. Howell, Jr.	11	Gray Television, Inc. Retirement Plan	206,091
James C. Ryan	15	Gray Television, Inc. Retirement Plan	304,696
	9	Busse Pension Plan	95,600
Kevin P. Latek	2	Gray Television, Inc. Retirement Plan	24,853

(1) Computed as of the same measurement date as used for 2013 financial statement reporting purposes.

(2) The Present Value of Accumulated Benefit was calculated using the assumptions that were used for 2012 financial statement reporting purposes, which were the 1983 Group Annuity Mortality Tables for the Pension Plan, and the RP 2000 Projected Mortality Table for the Busse Pension Plan, separately for males and females, and a 4.97% interest discount rate.

Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control

Our NEOs do not have employment agreements or agreements with us that provide severance in the event of a change in control, except to the extent that the 2007 Long Term Incentive Plan, the Pension Plan, the Capital Accumulation Plan and the Busse Pension Plan contain such provisions that are applicable to all participants. The information below describes and quantifies certain compensation that would become payable under existing plans, policies and arrangements if the employment of each NEO had terminated (by virtue of involuntary termination, death, disability, voluntary termination or change of control) on December 31, 2013, given the NEO's compensation and service levels as of such date and, if applicable, based on our closing stock price on December 31, 2013. These benefits include benefits available generally to salaried employees, such as distributions under the Pension Plan, Busse Pension Plan, Capital Accumulation Plan, disability benefits, life insurance and accrued vacation pay.

For the purposes of this discussion, "disability" generally means total disability, resulting in the individual being unable to perform his job, and "change of control" means any of the following: (1) any person becoming the beneficial owner of 45% or more of the combined voting power of our then outstanding shares; (2) during any period of two

consecutive years, individuals who at the beginning of such period constitute the Board cease for any reason to constitute at least a majority thereof, unless the election of such new directors was approved by a vote of at least two-thirds of the directors then still in office who were directors at the beginning of the period; (3) there is consummated any consolidation or acquisition in which we are not the continuing or surviving corporation or pursuant to which shares of our common stock are converted into cash, securities or other property; (4) there is consummated any consolidation or acquisition of us, in which we are the continuing corporation, in which the holders of our common stock immediately prior to the acquisition do not own 51% percent or more of the stock of the surviving corporation immediately after the acquisition; (5) there is consummated any sale, lease, exchange or other transfer of substantially all our assets; or (6) our shareholders approve any plan or proposal for our liquidation or dissolution.

The 2007 Long Term Incentive Plan provides that if a NEO's termination of employment occurs as a result of death or disability, incentive stock options issued under the 2007 Long Term Incentive Plan will be exercisable for 12 months after such termination. If the NEO dies within 12 months of termination by reason of disability, then the period of exercise following death will be the remainder of the 12-month period or three months, whichever is longer. If the NEO dies within 3 months after termination for any other reason, the period of exercise is three months. However, in no event shall the incentive stock option be exercised more than ten years after its grant.

The 2007 Long Term Incentive Plan provides that if a NEO's termination of employment occurs as a result of death, retirement or disability, nonqualified stock options issued under the 2007 Long Term Incentive Plan have will terminate 12 months after such termination; provided, however, if the NEO dies within 12 months after termination of employment by retirement or disability, the period of exercise shall be three months after date of death. As with the incentive stock options discussed above, in no event shall the nonqualified option be exercised more than 10 years after its grant.

The 2007 Long Term Incentive Plan provides that the committee administering the 2007 Long Term Incentive Plan has the authority to make adjustments to the awards granted under the 2007 Long Term Incentive Plan upon a change in control, including, without limitation, the substitution of new awards, or the adjustment of outstanding awards, or the termination of outstanding awards, the acceleration of awards, the removal of restrictions on outstanding awards, or the termination of outstanding awards in exchange for the cash value determined in good faith by the committee of the vested and/or unvested portion of the award.

Due to the number of factors that affect the nature and amount of any benefits provided upon the events discussed, actual amounts paid or distributed may be different than as disclosed. Factors that could affect these amounts include the timing during the year of any such event or our stock price.

The following table sets forth the amounts that would be owed by Gray to our NEOs if they were terminated as a result of involuntary termination, death, disability, voluntary termination, or there was a change of control, on December 31, 2013:

Name	Involuntary Termination (1)(2) (\$)	Death (1)(3) (\$)	Disability (1)(4) (\$)	Voluntary Termination (1)(2) (\$)	Change of Control (1)(5) (\$)
Hilton H. Howell, Jr.	255,129	2,968,259	4,702,083	255,129	2,078,583
James C. Ryan	438,758	2,849,827	3,661,265	438,758	1,264,265
Kevin P. Latek	46,007	855,031	5,153,384	46,007	879,884

(1) Gray does not have a formal severance policy for its NEOs. At the time of a separation from service for any reason, the Board may use discretion to determine each executive's severance payment, if any. The amounts reported above reflect any accrued and unpaid benefits payable to the executive officer in addition to payments identified in plan documents and insurance policies.

(2) Includes each NEO's accrued and unpaid vacation payable upon termination and the present value of accumulated benefits from their pension plan(s) as determined by the plan's actuary.

Includes each NEO's accrued and unpaid vacation payable upon termination, the death benefit under their respective basic and supplemental life insurance coverage, the present value of the accumulated benefits from their (3) pension plan(s) as determined by the plan's actuary, and accelerated vesting of 100% of their respective unvested restricted stock awards and stock options. The life insurance benefit reflects the payment of the death benefit by the insurance company for which Gray has been paying premiums on behalf of the NEO.

Includes each NEO's accrued and unpaid vacation payable upon termination, the amount of long-term disability (4) payments, the present value of accumulated benefits from their respective pension plan(s) as determined by the plan's actuary, and accelerated vesting of 100% of their respective unvested restricted stock awards and stock options. NEOs are entitled to monthly long-term disability payments from the time of disability through age 65.

Includes each NEO's accrued and unpaid vacation payable upon termination, the present value of accumulated (5) benefits from their respective pension plan(s) as determined by the plan's actuary, and accelerated vesting of 100% of their respective unvested restricted stock awards and stock options.

Director Compensation

The current compensation and benefit program for directors is designed to appropriately compensate directors for time and effort required to be an effective director of a company of our size and scope; to align directors' interests with the long-term interests of shareholders; and to be simple, transparent and easy for shareholders to understand. Our directors' compensation for 2013 included the following cash compensation elements:

Description	Amount (\$)
Chairman of the Board's annual retainer fee	40,000
Director's annual retainer fee	35,000
Chairman of the Board fee per board meeting	4,000
Director's fee per Board meeting	3,000
Audit Committee and Compensation Committee chairman fee per committee meeting	4,000
Audit Committee and Compensation Committee member fee per committee meeting	3,500
Other Committee chairman fee per committee meeting	3,000
Other Committee member fee per committee meeting	3,000

Directors are generally paid the above fee arrangement for participation in person or by telephone in any meeting of the Board or any committee thereof. In addition, the members of our Board are reimbursed for reasonable travel expenses incurred by them during the execution of their duties as members of our Board and any committees.

In addition, our equity incentive plans provide the flexibility for us to grant our directors equity-based awards, including stock options and shares of restricted stock. In 2013, we granted each of our non-employee directors shares of restricted stock valued at approximately \$40,000.

The following table presents the compensation paid to our non-employee directors in 2013. Mr. Howell, our President, Chief Executive Officer and Vice Chairman, is our only current employee director. For information on the compensation paid to Mr. Howell for his service in all positions with the Company, including as a director, see the *Summary Compensation Table*:

Name (1)	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (2) (\$)	Stock Awards (\$) (3)	Total (\$)
----------	--------------------------------------------------	--------------------------	---------------

William E. Mayher, III	113,500	40,003	153,503
<i>Chairman of the Board of Directors</i>			
Richard L. Boger	100,500	40,003	140,503
T. L. Elder	86,500	40,003	126,503
Robin R. Howell	62,000	40,003	102,003
Howell W. Newton	90,000	40,003	130,003
Hugh E. Norton	75,000	40,003	115,003
Harriett J. Robinson	62,000	40,003	102,003

(1) As of December 31, 2013, each non-employee director held 9,030 restricted shares of our common stock.

(2) Represents cash compensation earned in 2013 for Board and committee service.

(3) Grant date fair value of award of restricted shares, computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. See note 7 to the Company's consolidated financial statements in the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 for a description of the assumptions made in the valuation of stock awards under FASB ASC Topic 718.

REPORT OF COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

The following Report of the Compensation Committee does not constitute soliciting material and should not be deemed filed or incorporated by reference into any other filing by Gray under the Securities Act of 1933, or the Exchange Act, except to the extent Gray specifically incorporates this Report by reference therein.

The Compensation Committee consists of Messrs. Boger (Chairman) and Norton, and Dr. Mayher. The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed the *Compensation Discussion and Analysis* contained in this proxy statement with management and, based on such review and discussion, the Compensation Committee has recommended to the Board that the *Compensation Discussion and Analysis* be included herein and in Gray's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Submitted by the Compensation Committee of the Board.

Richard L. Boger, Chairman

William E. Mayher, III

Hugh E. Norton

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION

Messrs. Boger (Chairman) and Norton, and Dr. Mayher, are the current members of the Compensation Committee. No member of the Compensation Committee was an employee or officer of Gray or any of its subsidiaries during 2013 or was formerly an officer of Gray or any of its subsidiaries. No compensation committee interlocks existed during 2013.

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The Company was not party to any related party transactions required to be disclosed in this proxy statement.

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires the directors, executive officers and persons who own more than ten percent of a registered class of a company's equity securities to file with the SEC initial reports of ownership (Form 3) and reports of changes in ownership (Forms 4 and 5) of such class of equity securities. Such officers, directors and greater than ten percent shareholders of a company are required by SEC regulations to furnish the company with copies of all such Section 16(a) reports that they file.

To our knowledge, based solely on our review of the copies of such reports filed with the SEC during the year ended December 31, 2013 all Section 16(a) filing requirements applicable to our officers, directors and ten percent beneficial owners were met, except for a single filing, relating to a single transaction, for each of Mr. Howell, Jr., Mrs. Howell, Mrs. Robinson and her late husband, each of which was filed late due to an administrative oversight.

REPORT OF AUDIT COMMITTEE

The following Report of the Audit Committee, together with references in this proxy statement to the independence of the Audit Committee members and the Audit Committee charter, does not constitute soliciting material and should not be deemed filed or incorporated by reference into any other filing by Gray under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Exchange Act, except to the extent Gray specifically incorporates this Report by reference therein.

Management has primary responsibility for Gray's financial statements and the overall reporting process, including Gray's system of internal controls. McGladrey LLP, the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, audits the annual consolidated financial statements prepared by management and expresses an opinion on whether those statements fairly present, in all material respects, the Company's financial position, results of operations, and cash flows in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The Audit Committee has reviewed our audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2013 and discussed them with both management and McGladrey LLP.

Management is responsible for establishing, assessing and reporting on Gray's system of internal control over financial reporting. McGladrey LLP is responsible for performing an independent audit of Gray's internal control over financial reporting and to issue a report thereon. The Audit Committee is responsible for the monitoring and oversight of this process. In connection with these responsibilities, the Audit Committee met with management and McGladrey LLP to review and discuss the effectiveness of Gray's internal controls over financial reporting.

The Audit Committee has also discussed with McGladrey LLP the matters required to be discussed by generally accepted auditing standards, including those described in Auditing Standard No. 16, *Communication with Audit Committees*, as amended, issued by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board.

The Audit Committee has received and reviewed the written disclosures and the letter from McGladrey LLP consistent with the applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence and has discussed and confirmed with McGladrey LLP its independence with respect to Gray. In addition, the Audit Committee has considered whether the provision of the non-audit services provided by McGladrey LLP is compatible with maintaining that independence.

Based upon this review, the Audit Committee recommended to the full Board that the Company's audited consolidated financial statements be included in Gray's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 and filed with the SEC.

Submitted by the Audit Committee of the Board.

Howell W. Newton, Chairman

Richard L. Boger

T. L. Elder

William E. Mayher, III

29

OTHER MATTERS

Our Board knows of no other matters to be brought before the 2014 Annual Meeting. However, if any other matters are properly brought before the 2014 Annual Meeting, it is the intention of the named proxies in the accompanying proxy to vote in accordance with their judgment on such matters.

SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS FOR INCLUSION IN NEXT YEAR'S PROXY STATEMENT

Proposals of shareholders intended to be presented at our 2015 Annual Meeting of Shareholders must be received at our principal executive offices by December 11, 2014, in order to be eligible for inclusion in our proxy statement and form of proxy for that meeting.

OTHER SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS FOR PRESENTATION AT NEXT YEAR'S ANNUAL MEETING

For any proposal that is not submitted for inclusion in next year's proxy statement, but is instead sought to be presented directly at the 2015 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, management will be able to vote proxies in its discretion if we: (1) receive notice of the proposal before the close of business on February 24, 2015 and advise shareholders in the 2015 proxy statement about the nature of the matter and how management intends to vote on such matter; or (2) receive notice of the proposal after the close of business on February 24, 2015. Notices of intention to present proposals at the 2015 Annual Meeting of Shareholders should be addressed to Gray Television, Inc., Attention: Kevin Latek, Corporate Secretary, Gray Television, Inc., 4370 Peachtree Road, N.E., Atlanta, Georgia 30319.

AVAILABILITY OF FORM 10-K

Our Annual Report on Form 10-K is available online at www.gray.tv in the *Investor Relations* section under the heading *SEC Filings*. We will provide to any shareholder, without charge, upon written request, a copy of the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, as filed with the SEC. Such requests should be addressed to Gray Television, Inc., 4370 Peachtree Road, N.E., Atlanta, Georgia 30319, Attention: Investor Relations.

HOUSEHOLDING

As permitted under the Exchange Act, to the extent shareholders receive a hard copy of the proxy by mail, only one copy of this proxy statement is being delivered to shareholders residing at the same address, unless such shareholders have notified us of their desire to receive multiple copies of this proxy statement. We will promptly deliver, upon oral or written request, a separate copy of this proxy statement to any shareholder residing at an address to which only one copy was mailed. Requests for additional copies should be directed to Gray Television, Inc., 4370 Peachtree Road, N.E., Atlanta, Georgia 30319, Attention: Investor Relations, telephone (404) 504-9828. Shareholders residing at the same address and currently receiving only one copy of the proxy statement may contact Investor Relations at the address above to request multiple copies of the proxy statement in the future. Shareholders residing at the same address and currently receiving multiple copies of the proxy statement may contact Investor Relations at the address above to request that only a single copy of the proxy statement be mailed in the future.

