EASTMAN KODAK CO Form 10-Q April 27, 2012

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549 FORM 10-O

FORM 10-Q
[X] Quarterly report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2012 or
[] Transition report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the transition period from to
Commission File Number 1-87
EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY (Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)
NEW JERSEY 16-0417150
(State of incorporation) (IRS Employer Identification No.)
343 STATE STREET, ROCHESTER, NEW 14650 YORK
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)
Registrant's telephone number, including area code: 585-724-4000
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months, and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes [X] No []
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months. Yes [X] No []
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer on a smaller reporting company. See definition of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. Large accelerated filer [X] Accelerated filer [] Non-accelerated filer [] Smaller reporting company []
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes [] No [X]

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Number of shares Outstanding at

Title of each Class April 20, 2012

Common Stock, \$2.50 par value 271,850,671

Eastman Kodak Company Form 10-Q March 31, 2012

Table of Contents

	Part I Financial Information	Page
Item 1.	Financial Statements	3
	Consolidated Statement of Operations (Unaudited)	3
	Consolidated Statment of Comprehensive Income (Loss)	4
	Consolidated Statement of Retained Earnings (Unaudited)	5
	Consolidated Statement of Financial Position (Unaudited)	6
	Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows (Unaudited)	7
	Notes to Financial Statements (Unaudited)	8-32
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources	33-44
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	45
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	45
	Part II Other Information	
Item 1.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	46-48
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	48
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	48
	<u>Signatures</u>	49
	Index to Exhibits	50-57

Part I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY (DEBTOR-IN-POSSESSION) CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS (Unaudited) (in millions, except per share data)

	Thre	ee M	onths Ended		
		Ma	rch 31,		
	2012		20)11	
Net sales					
Products	\$ 841		\$	1,115	i i
Services	182			192	
Licensing & royalties (Note 8)	(58)		15	
Total net sales	\$ 965		\$	1,322	2
Cost of sales					
Products	\$ 777		\$	1,043	}
Services	150			154	
Total cost of sales	\$ 927		\$	1,197	7
Gross profit	\$ 38		\$	125	
Selling, general and administrative					
expenses	227			311	
Research and development costs	66			78	
Restructuring costs and other	94			33	
Other operating expenses (income), net	(1)		(70)
Loss from continuing operations before					
interest expense, other income					
(charges), net, reorganization items, net and					
income taxes	(348)		(227)
Interest expense (contractual interest of					
\$46)	36			38	
Loss on early extinguishment of debt, net	7			-	
Other income (charges), net	3			(8)
Reorganization items, net	88			-	
Loss from continuing operations before					
income taxes	(476)		(273)
Benefit for income taxes	(110)		(24)
Loss from continuing operations	(366)		(249)
Earnings from discontinued operations, net					
of income taxes	-			3	
NET LOSS ATTRIBUTABLE TO					
EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY	\$ (366)	\$	(246)
Basic and diluted net (loss) earnings per					
share attributable to Eastman					
Kodak Company common shareholders:					
Continuing operations	\$ (1.35)	\$	(0.92))
Discontinued operations	-			0.01	
Total	\$ (1.35)	\$	(0.91)

Number of common shares used in basic		
and diluted net (loss) earnings per share	271.1	268.9

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY (DEBTOR-IN-POSSESSION) CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE (LOSS) INCOME (Unaudited)

(in millions)	Three I	Months E	inded		
	M	Iarch 31,			
	2012		2	011	
NET LOSS ATTRIBUTABLE TO EASTMAN					
KODAK COMPANY	\$ (366)	\$	(246)
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:					
Realized and unrealized gains from hedging activity,					
net of tax					
of \$1 and \$5 for the three months ended March 31,					
2012 and 2011, respectively	2			9	
Unrealized gain from investment, net of tax of \$0 for					
the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011	1			-	
Currency translation adjustments, net of tax of \$0 for					
the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011	(15)		(2)
Pension and other postretirement benefit plan					
obligation activity, net of tax					
of \$3 and \$4 for the three months ended March 31,					
2012 and 2011, respectively	28			36	
Total comprehensive loss, net of tax	\$ (350)	\$	(203)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY (DEBTOR-IN-POSSESSION) CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF RETAINED EARNINGS (Unaudited) (in millions)

Three Months Ended March 31, 2012 2011

Retained earnings at					
beginning of period	\$ 4,071		\$ 4,969		
Net loss	(366)	(246)	
Loss from issuance of					
treasury stock	(60)	(7)	
Retained earnings at end of					
period	\$ 3,645		\$ 4,716		

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY (DEBTOR-IN-POSSESSION) CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL POSITION (Unaudited)

(in millions)		arch 31, 2012		ember 31, 2011	
ASSETS					
Current Assets					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	1,361	\$	861	
Receivables, net		968		1,103	
Inventories, net		676		607	
Deferred income taxes		66		58	
Other current assets		82		74	
Total current assets		3,153		2,703	
Property, plant and equipment, net of					
accumulated depreciation					
of \$4,644 and \$4,590, respectively		844		895	
Goodwill		278		277	
Other long-term assets		778		803	
TOTAL ASSETS	\$	5,053	\$	4,678	
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY (DEFICIT)	·	,		,	
Current Liabilities					
Accounts payable, trade	\$	484	\$	706	
Short-term borrowings and current portion of	·		•		
long-term debt		42		152	
Accrued income and other taxes		45		40	
Other current liabilities		1,043		1,252	
Total current liabilities		1,614		2,150	
Long-term debt, net of current portion		1,446		1,363	
Pension and other postretirement liabilities		1,457		3,053	
Other long-term liabilities		408		462	
Liabilities subject to compromise		2,831		-	
		2,001			
Total Liabilities		7,756		7,028	
		7,700		7,020	
Commitments and Contingencies (Note 9)					
Communicities and Contingencies (176627)					
Equity (Deficit)					
Common stock, \$2.50 par value		978		978	
Additional paid in capital		1,104		1,108	
Retained earnings		3,645		4,071	
Accumulated other comprehensive loss		(2,650)		(2,666)	
recumulated other comprehensive ross		3,077		3,491	
Less: Treasury stock, at cost		(5,782)		(5,843)	
Total Eastman Kodak Company shareholders'		(=,,, 0=)		(-,0.0)	
deficit		(2,705)		(2,352)	
Noncontrolling interests		2		2	
Total deficit		(2,703)		(2,350)	
Total action		(2,703)		(2,330)	

TOTAL LIABILITIES AND DEFICIT \$ 5,053 \$ 4,678

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY (DEBTOR-IN-POSSESSION)

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)

Three Months Ended March 31,

		March 31,				
(in millions)	2012		20	011		
Cash flows from operating activities:	(0.66		Ф	(0.16		
Net loss \$	(366)	\$	(246)	
Adjustments to reconcile to net cash						
used in operating activities:						
Earnings from discontinued operations,				,		
net of income taxes	-			(3)	
Depreciation and amortization	66			75		
Gain on sales of businesses/assets	-			(71)	
Loss on early extinguishment of debt	7			-		
Non-cash restructuring costs, asset						
impairments and other charges	-			2		
Provision for deferred income taxes	15			2		
Decrease in receivables	156			177		
Increase in inventories	(61)		(52)	
Increase (decrease) in liabilities	,					
excluding borrowings	129			(383)	
Other items, net	(14)		(16)	
Total adjustments	298			(269)	
Net cash used in continuing operations	(68)		(515)	
Net cash used in discontinued						
operations	-			(10)	
Net cash used in operating activities	(68)		(525)	
Cash flows from investing activities:						
Additions to properties	(10)		(23)	
Proceeds from sales of businesses/assets	-			72		
Business acquisitions, net of cash						
acquired	-			(27)	
Funding of restricted cash and						
investment accounts	-			(22)	
Marketable securities - sales	28			20		
Marketable securities - purchases	(28)		(20		
Net cash used in investing activities	(10)			-		
Cash flows from financing activities:						
Proceeds from DIP credit agreement	686			-		
Proceeds from other borrowings	-			247		
Repayment of other borrowings	(119)		(50)	
Debt issuance costs	(33)		(6		
Proceeds from sale and leaseback						
transaction	41			-		
Net cash provided by financing						
activities	575			191		
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	3			10		

Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents, beginning o	of			
period		861	1,624	
Cash and cash equivalents, end of				
period	\$	1,361	\$ 1,300	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY (DEBTOR-IN-POSSESSION) NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

NOTE 1: BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The consolidated interim financial statements are unaudited, and certain information and footnote disclosures related therein normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (U.S. GAAP) have been omitted in accordance with Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X. In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements were prepared following the same policies and procedures used in the preparation of the audited financial statements and reflect all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) necessary to present fairly the results of operations, financial position and cash flows of Eastman Kodak Company, its wholly-owned subsidiaries, and its majority owned subsidiaries (collectively, the Company). The results of operations for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results for the entire fiscal year. These consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

On January 19, 2012 (the "Petition Date"), Eastman Kodak Company and its U.S. subsidiaries (collectively, the "Debtors") filed voluntary petitions for relief (the "Bankruptcy Filing") under chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code (the "Bankruptcy Code") in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Bankruptcy Court") case number 12-10202. The Company's foreign subsidiaries (collectively, the "Non-Filing Entities") were not part of the Bankruptcy Filing. The Debtors will continue to operate their businesses as "debtors-in-possession" under the jurisdiction of the Bankruptcy Court and in accordance with the applicable provisions of the Bankruptcy Code and the orders of the Bankruptcy Court. The Non-Filing Entities will continue to operate in the ordinary course of business.

The Company incurred a net loss for the years ended 2011, 2010 and, 2009, as well as the three months ended March 31, 2012, and had a shareholders' deficit as of March 31, 2012, December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010. To improve the Company's performance and address competitive challenges, the Company is developing a strategic plan for the ongoing operation of the Company's business. Successful implementation of the Company's plan, however, is subject to numerous risks and uncertainties. In addition, the increasingly competitive industry conditions under which the Company operates have negatively impacted the Company's financial position, results of operations and cash flows and may continue to do so in the future. These factors raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern and contemplate the realization of assets and the satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business. The Company's ability to continue as a going concern is contingent upon the Company's ability to comply with the financial and other covenants contained in its Debtor-in-Possession Credit Agreement (the "DIP Credit Agreement"), the Bankruptcy Court's approval of the Company's reorganization plan and the Company's ability to successfully implement the Company's plan and obtain exit financing, among other factors. As a result of the Bankruptcy Filing, the realization of assets and the satisfaction of liabilities are subject to uncertainty. While operating as debtors-in-possession under chapter 11, the Company may sell or otherwise dispose of or liquidate assets or settle liabilities, subject to the approval of the Bankruptcy Court or as otherwise permitted in the ordinary course of business (and subject to restrictions contained in the DIP Credit Agreement), for amounts other than those reflected in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. Further, the reorganization plan could materially change the amounts and classifications of assets and liabilities reported in the consolidated financial statements. The accompanying

consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments related to the recoverability and classification of assets or the amounts and classification of liabilities or any other adjustments that might be necessary should the Company be unable to continue as a going concern or as a consequence of the Bankruptcy Filing. See Note 2, "Chapter 11 Filing" for additional information.

Certain amounts for prior periods have been reclassified to conform to the current period classification due to changes in the Company's Segment Reporting structure. Refer to Note 16, "Segment Information".

RECENTLY ADOPTED ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In September 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2011-08, "Intangibles-Goodwill and Other (Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 350) – Testing Goodwill for Impairment." ASU No. 2011-08 amends the impairment test for goodwill by allowing companies to first assess qualitative factors to determine if it is more likely than not that goodwill might be impaired and whether it is necessary to perform the current two-step goodwill impairment test. The changes to the ASC as a result of this update were effective prospectively for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011 (January 1, 2012 for the Company). The adoption of this guidance did not impact the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-05, "Comprehensive Income (ASC Topic 220) - Presentation of Comprehensive Income." ASU No. 2011-05 eliminates the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of equity and requires an entity to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. Subsequently, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-12, "Comprehensive Income (ASC Topic 220) – Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05." ASU 2011-12 defers indefinitely the provision within ASU 2011-05 requiring entities to present reclassification adjustments out of accumulated other comprehensive income by component in both the income statement and the statement in which other comprehensive income is presented. ASU 2011-12 does not change the other provisions instituted within ASU 2011-05. The amendments of both ASUs were effective retrospectively for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011 (January 1, 2012 for the Company). The adoption of this guidance required changes in presentation only and did not have an impact on the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-04, "Fair Value Measurement (ASC Topic 820) - Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs." ASU No. 2011-04 amends current fair value measurement and disclosure guidance to include increased transparency around valuation inputs and investment categorization. The changes to the ASC as a result of this update were effective prospectively for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011 (January 1, 2012 for the Company). The adoption of this guidance did not have a significant impact on the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-11, "Balance Sheet (ASC Topic 210): Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities." ASU No. 2011-11 creates new disclosure requirements about the nature of an entity's rights of setoff and related arrangements associated with its financial instruments and derivative instruments. The changes to the ASC as a result of this update are effective for periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013 (January 1, 2013 for the Company) and must be shown retrospectively for all comparative periods presented. This guidance requires new disclosures only, and will have no impact on the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-10, "Derecognition of in Substance Real Estate – a Scope Clarification," which amends ASC Topic 360, "Property, Plant and Equipment." ASU No. 2011-10 states that when an investor ceases to have a controlling financial interest in an entity that is in-substance real estate as a result of a default on the entity's nonrecourse debt, the investor should apply the guidance under ASC Subtopic 360-20, Property, Plant and Equipment – Real Estate Sales to determine whether to derecognize the entity's assets (including real estate) and liabilities (including the nonrecourse debt). The changes to the ASC as a result of this update are effective prospectively for deconsolidation events occurring during fiscal years, and interim periods within those years,

beginning on or after June 15, 2012 (January 1, 2013 for the Company). Adoption of this guidance will not impact the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

NOTE 2: CHAPTER 11 FILING

The Bankruptcy Filing is intended to permit the Company to reorganize and increase liquidity in the U.S. and abroad, monetize non-strategic intellectual property, fairly resolve legacy liabilities, and focus on the most valuable business lines to enable sustainable profitability. The Company's goal is to develop and implement a reorganization plan that meets the standards for confirmation under the Bankruptcy Code. Confirmation of a reorganization plan could materially alter the classifications and amounts reported in the Company's consolidated financial statements, which do not give effect to any adjustments to the carrying values of assets or amounts of liabilities that might be necessary as a consequence of a confirmation of a reorganization plan or other arrangement or the effect of any operational changes that may be implemented.

Operation and Implication of the Bankruptcy Filing

Under Section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code, the filing of voluntary bankruptcy petitions by the Debtors automatically stayed most actions against the Debtors, including most actions to collect indebtedness incurred prior to the Petition Date or to exercise control over the Company's property. Accordingly, although the Bankruptcy Filing triggered defaults for certain of the Debtors' debt obligations, creditors are stayed from taking any actions as a result of such defaults. Absent an order of the Bankruptcy Court, substantially all of the Company's pre-petition liabilities are subject to settlement under a reorganization plan. As a result of the Bankruptcy Filing the realization of assets and the satisfaction of liabilities are subject to uncertainty. The Debtors, operating as debtors-in-possession under the Bankruptcy Code, may, subject to approval of the Bankruptcy Court, sell or otherwise dispose of assets and liquidate or settle liabilities for amounts other than those reflected in the consolidated financial statements. Further, a confirmed reorganization plan or other arrangement may materially change the amounts and classifications in the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Subsequent to the Petition Date, the Company received approval from the Bankruptcy Court to pay or otherwise honor certain pre-petition obligations generally designed to stabilize the Company's operations. These obligations related to certain employee wages, salaries and benefits, and the payment of vendors and other providers in the ordinary course for goods and services received after the Petition Date. The Company has retained, pursuant to Bankruptcy Court approval, legal and financial professionals to advise the Company in connection with the Bankruptcy Filing and certain other professionals to provide services and advice in the ordinary course of business. From time to time, the Company may seek Bankruptcy Court approval to retain additional professionals.

The U.S. Trustee for the Southern District of New York (the "U.S. Trustee") has appointed an official committee of unsecured creditors (the "UCC"). The UCC and its legal representatives have a right to be heard on all matters affecting the Debtors that come before the Bankruptcy Court. There can be no assurance that the UCC will support the Company's positions on matters to be presented to the Bankruptcy Court in the future or on any reorganization plan, once proposed.

Reorganization Plan

In order for the Company to emerge successfully from chapter 11, the Company must obtain the Bankruptcy Court's approval of a reorganization plan, which will enable the Company to transition from chapter 11 into ordinary course operations outside of bankruptcy. In connection with a reorganization plan, the Company also may require a new credit facility, or "exit financing." The Company's ability to obtain such approval and financing will depend on, among other things, the timing and outcome of various ongoing matters related to the Bankruptcy Filing. A reorganization plan determines the rights and satisfaction of claims of various creditors and security holders, and is subject to the ultimate outcome of negotiations and Bankruptcy Court decisions ongoing through the date on which the reorganization plan is confirmed.

Although the Company's goal is to file a plan of reorganization, the Company may determine that it is in the best interests of the Debtors' estates to seek Bankruptcy Court approval of a sale of all or a portion of the Company's assets pursuant to Section 363 of the Bankruptcy Code or seek confirmation of a reorganization plan providing for such a sale or other arrangement.

On April 13, 2012, the Company filed a motion with the Bankruptcy Court requesting an extension by 180 days to the period of time that the court gives the Company the exclusive right to file a plan of reorganization. The extension concerns only the length of time in which the Company has the sole right to file a plan of reorganization, not the duration of the case. The DIP Credit Agreement stipulates that a plan of reorganization should be filed by January 13, 2013. The motion is expected to be heard by the Bankruptcy Court on April 30, 2012.

The Company presently expects that any proposed reorganization plan will provide, among other things, mechanisms for settlement of claims against the Debtors' estates, treatment of the Company's existing equity and debt holders, and certain corporate governance and administrative matters pertaining to the reorganized Company. Any proposed reorganization plan will be subject to revision prior to submission to the Bankruptcy Court based upon discussions with the Company's creditors and other interested parties, and thereafter in response to creditor claims and objections and the requirements of the Bankruptcy Code or the Bankruptcy Court. There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to secure approval for the Company's proposed reorganization plan from the Bankruptcy Court or that the Company's proposed plan will be accepted by the lenders under the DIP Credit Agreement. In the event the Company does not secure approval of the reorganization plan, the outstanding principal and interest could become immediately due and payable.

Pre-Petition Claims

On April 18, 2012, the Debtors filed schedules of assets and liabilities and statements of financial affairs with the Bankruptcy Court. The Bankruptcy Court will ultimately determine the liability amounts, if any, that will be allowed for all claims. The resolution of such claims could result in material adjustments to the Company's financial statements.

Holders of pre-petition claims are required to file proofs of claims by the "bar dates" established by the Bankruptcy Court. A bar date is the date by which certain claims against the Debtors must be filed if the claimants wish to receive any distribution in the chapter 11 proceedings. The Bankruptcy Court has not yet established the bar date. Differences between liability amounts estimated by the Company and claims filed by creditors will be investigated and, if necessary, the Bankruptcy Court will make a final determination of the allowable claim. The determination of how liabilities will ultimately be treated cannot be made until the Bankruptcy Court approves a plan of reorganization. Accordingly, the ultimate amount or treatment of such liabilities is not determinable at this time.

As of March 31, 2012, the Debtors' have received approximately 1,100 proofs of claim, primarily representing general unsecured claims, for an amount of approximately \$181 million. These claims will be reconciled to amounts recorded in liabilities subject to compromise in the Consolidated Statement of Financial Position. Differences in amounts recorded and claims filed by creditors will be investigated and resolved, including through the filing of objections with the Bankruptcy Court, where appropriate. The Company may ask the Court to disallow claims that the Company believes are duplicative, have been later amended or superseded, are without merit, are overstated or should be disallowed for other reasons. In addition, as a result of this process, the Company may identify additional liabilities that will need to be recorded or reclassified to liabilities subject to compromise. In light of the substantial number of claims filed, and expected to be filed, the claims resolution process may take considerable time to complete.

Financial Reporting in Reorganization

Expenses, gains and losses directly associated with reorganization proceedings are reported as Reorganization items, net in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three months ended March 31, 2012. In addition, liabilities subject to compromise in the chapter 11 proceedings are distinguished from liabilities of Non-Filing Entities, fully secured liabilities not expected to be compromised and from post-petition liabilities in the accompanying Consolidated Statement of Financial Position as of March 31, 2012. Where there is uncertainty about whether a secured claim will be paid or impaired under the chapter 11 proceedings, the Company has classified the entire amount of the claim as a liability subject to compromise. Such liabilities are reported at amounts expected to be allowed, even though they may settle for lesser amounts. These claims remain subject to future adjustments, which may result from: negotiations; actions of the Bankruptcy Court; disputed claims; rejection of contracts and unexpired leases; the determination as to the value of any collateral securing claims; proofs of claims; or other events.

Effective as of January 19, 2012, the Company ceased recording interest expense on outstanding pre-petition debt classified as liabilities subject to compromise. Contractual interest expense represents amounts due under the contractual terms of outstanding debt, including debt subject to compromise. For the period from January 19, 2012 through March 31, 2012 contractual interest expense related to liabilities subject to compromise of approximately \$10 million has not been recorded, as it is not expected to be an allowed claim under the chapter 11 case.

Section 363 Asset Sales

On March 1, 2012, the Company entered into an agreement with Shutterfly, Inc. related to the proposed sale of certain assets of Kodak Gallery on-line photo services business for \$23.8 million (the Stalking Horse Purchase Agreement). On March 22, 2012, the Bankruptcy Court authorized the entry into the Stalking Horse Purchase Agreement, approved the auction procedures and established the sale hearing date, which is currently scheduled for

April 30, 2012.

Eastman Kodak Company Guarantee

Eastman Kodak Company (EKC) has issued a guarantee to Kodak Limited (Subsidiary) and the Trustee (Trustee) of the Kodak Pension Plan of the United Kingdom (Plan). Under that arrangement, EKC guaranteed to the Subsidiary and the Trustee the ability of the Subsidiary, only to the extent it becomes necessary to do so, to (1) make contributions to the Plan to ensure sufficient assets exist to make plan benefit payments, and (2) make contributions to the Plan such that it will achieve full funded status by the funding valuation for the period ending December 31, 2022.

The funded status of the Plan (calculated in accordance with U.S. GAAP) is included in Pension and other postretirement liabilities presented in the Consolidated Statement of Financial Position. It is expected that the funding of the Plan will be resolved as part of the Bankruptcy Filing, in which the Trustee is asserting an unsecured claim by virtue of the guarantee.

In connection with the issuance of the guarantee, the Subsidiary agreed to make certain contributions to the Plan as determined by a funding plan agreed to by the Trustee. Under the terms of this agreement, the Subsidiary is obligated to pay a minimum amount of \$50 million to the Plan in each of the years 2011 through 2014, and a minimum amount of \$90 million to the Plan in each of the years 2015 through 2022. Future funding beyond 2022 may be required if the Plan is still not fully funded as determined by the funding valuation for the period ending December 31, 2022. These payment amounts for the years 2015 through 2022 could be lower, and the payment amounts for all years noted could be higher by up to \$5 million, based on the exchange rate between the U.S. dollar and British pound. These minimum amounts do not include potential contributions related to tax benefits received by the Subsidiary.

The amount of potential future contributions is dependent on the funding status of the Plan as it fluctuates over the term of the guarantee. The funded status of the Plan may be materially impacted by future changes in the key assumptions used in the valuation of the Plan, particularly the discount rate and expected rate of return on plan assets.

NOTE 3: LIABILITIES SUBJECT TO COMPROMISE

The following table reflects pre-petition liabilities that are subject to compromise.

(in millions)	As of March 31, 2012
Accounts payable	\$ 321
Debt subject to compromise	592
Pension and postretirement	
obligations	1,773
Other liabilities subject to	
compromise	145
Liabilities subject to	
compromise	\$ 2,831

The Bankruptcy Filing constituted an event of default with respect to certain of the Company's debt instruments. Refer to Note 7, "Short-Term Borrowings and Long-Term Debt" for additional information. Other liabilities subject to compromise include accrued liabilities for customer programs, deferred compensation, environmental, contract and lease rejections. The amount of liabilities subject to compromise represents the Company's estimate of known or potential pre-petition claims to be addressed in connection with the Bankruptcy Proceedings. Refer to Note 2, "Chapter 11 Filing" for additional information.

NOTE 4: REORGANIZATION ITEMS, NET

A summary of reorganization items, net for the three months ended March 31, 2012 is presented in the following table:

(in millions)	Three Months Ended March 31, 2012
Professional fees	\$ 52
DIP credit agreement financing	
costs	36
Reorganization items, net	\$ 88

NOTE 5: RECEIVABLES, NET

	As of						
				December			
	M	Iarch 31,			31,		
(in							
millions)		2012		2	2011		
Trade receivables	\$	800		\$	996		
Miscellaneous receivables		168			107		
Total (net of allowances of \$53 and \$51 as							
of							
March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011,							
respectively)	\$	968		\$	1,103		

Approximately \$155 million and \$191 million of the total trade receivable amounts as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, will potentially be settled through customer deductions in lieu of cash payments. Such deductions represent rebates owed to the customer and are included in Other current liabilities in the accompanying Consolidated Statement of Financial Position at each respective balance sheet date.

NOTE 6: INVENTORIES, NET

	As	of
(in millions)	March 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
Finished goods	\$ 412	\$ 379
Work in process	142	123
Raw materials	122	105
Total	\$ 676	\$ 607

NOTE 7: SHORT-TERM BORROWINGS AND LONG-TERM DEBT

Long-term debt and related maturities and interest rates were as follows at March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

(in millions)					March 31, 2012	As of December 2011	
Country	Type	W Maturity	eighted-Avera Effective Interest Rate	age	Carrying Value	Carryi Valu	-
Current portion:							
U.S.	Revolver	2013	4.75	%	\$ -	\$ 100	
Germany	Term note	2012-2013	6.16	%	40	40	
U.S.	Term note	2012-2013	6.16	%	-	10	
Brazil	Term note	2012-2013	19.80	%	2	2	
					42	152	
Non-current portion:							
	DIP Credit						
U.S.	Agreement	2013	9.02		669	-	
Germany	Term note	2011-2013	6.16	%	36	25	
Brazil	Term note	2012-2013	19.80	%	2	3	
U.S.	Secured term note	2018	10.11	%	492	491	
U.S	Secured term note	2019	10.87	%	247	247	
					1,446	766	
Liabilities subject to o	•						
U.S.	Term note	2011-2013	6.16	%	19	19	
U.S.	Term note	2013	7.25	%	250	250	
U.S.	Revolver	2013	4.75	%	-	-	
U.S.	Convertible	2017	12.75	%	310	315	
U.S.	Term note	2018	9.95	%	3	3	
U.S.	Term note	2021	9.20	%	10	10	
					592	597	
					\$ 2,080	\$ 1,51	5

Annual maturities (in millions) of debt outstanding at March 31, 2012, excluding debt classified as liabilities subject to compromise, were as follows:

	Carrying Value	Maturity Value			
2012	\$ 42	\$ 42			
2013	708	719			
2014	-	-			
2015	-	-			
2016	-	-			
2017 and thereafter	738	750			

Total	\$ 1 488	\$ 1 5 1 1

Debtor-in-Possession Credit Agreement

In connection with the Bankruptcy Filing, on January 20, 2012, the Company and Kodak Canada Inc. (the "Canadian Borrower" and, together with the Company, the "Borrowers") entered into a Debtor-in-Possession Credit Agreement, as amended on January 25, 2012 (the "DIP Credit Agreement"), with certain subsidiaries of the Company and the Canadian Borrower signatory thereto ("Subsidiary Guarantors"), the lenders signatory thereto (the "Lenders"), Citigroup Global Markets Inc., as sole lead arranger and bookrunner, and Citicorp North America, Inc., as syndication agent, administration agent and co-collateral agent (the "Agent"). Pursuant to the terms of the DIP Credit Agreement, the Lenders agreed to lend in an aggregate principal amount of up to \$950 million, consisting of an up to \$250 million super-priority senior secured asset-based revolving credit facility and an up to \$700 million super-priority senior secured term loan facility (collectively, the "Loans"). A portion of the revolving credit facility will be available to the Canadian Borrower and may be borrowed in Canadian Dollars. The DIP Credit Agreement was approved on February 15, 2012 by the Bankruptcy Court. The DIP Credit Agreement terminates and all outstanding obligations must be repaid on the earliest to occur of (i) July 20, 2013, (ii) the date of the substantial consummation of certain reorganization plans and (iii) certain other events, including Events of Default and repayment in full of the obligations pursuant to a mandatory prepayment.

The Company and each existing and future direct or indirect U.S. subsidiary of the Company (other than indirect U.S. subsidiaries held through foreign subsidiaries and certain immaterial subsidiaries (if any)) (the "U.S. Guarantors") have agreed to provide unconditional guarantees of the obligations of the Borrowers under the DIP Credit Agreement. In addition, the U.S. Guarantors, the Canadian Borrower and each existing and future direct and indirect Canadian subsidiary of the Canadian Borrower (other than certain immaterial subsidiaries (if any)) (the "Canadian Guarantors" and, together with the U.S. Guarantors, the "Guarantors") have agreed to provide unconditional guarantees of the obligations of the Canadian Borrower under the DIP Credit Agreement. Under the terms of the DIP Credit Agreement, the Company will have the option to have interest on the loans provided thereunder accrue at a base rate or the then applicable LIBOR Rate (subject to certain adjustments and, in the case of the term loan facility, a floor of 1.00%), plus a margin, (x) in the case of the revolving loan facility, of 2.25% for a base rate revolving loan or 3.25% for a LIBOR rate revolving loan, and (y) in the case of the term loan facility, of 6.50% for a base rate loan and 7.50% for a LIBOR Rate loan. The obligations of the Borrowers and the Guarantors under the DIP Credit Agreement are secured by a first-priority security interest in and lien upon all of the existing and after-acquired personal property of the Company and the U.S. Guarantors, including pledges of all stock or other equity interest in direct subsidiaries owned by the Company or the U.S. Guarantors (but only up to 65% of the voting stock of each direct foreign subsidiary owned by the Company or any U.S. Guarantor in the case of pledges securing the Company's and the U.S. Guarantors' obligations under the DIP Credit Agreement). Assets of the type described in the preceding sentence of the Canadian Borrower or any Canadian subsidiary of the Canadian Borrower are similarly pledged to secure the obligations of the Canadian Borrower and Canadian Guarantor under the DIP Credit Agreement. The security and pledges are subject to certain exceptions.

The DIP Credit Agreement limits, among other things, the Borrowers' and the Subsidiary Guarantors' ability to (i) incur indebtedness, (ii) incur or create liens, (iii) dispose of assets, (iv) prepay subordinated indebtedness and make other restricted payments, (v) enter into sale and leaseback transactions and (vi) modify the terms of any organizational documents and certain material contracts of the Borrowers and the Subsidiary Guarantors. In addition to standard obligations, the DIP Credit Agreement provides for specific milestones that the Company must achieve by specific target dates. In addition, the Company and its subsidiaries are required to maintain consolidated Adjusted EBITDA (as defined in the DIP Credit Agreement) of not less than a specified level for certain periods, with the specified levels ranging from \$(130) million to \$175 million depending on the applicable period. The adjusted EBITDA covenant is first applicable in the three month period ended April 30, 2012. The Company and its subsidiaries must also maintain minimum U.S. Liquidity (as defined in the DIP Credit Agreement) ranging from \$100 million to \$250 million depending on the applicable period. The Company was required to maintain U.S. Liquidity of \$125 million and \$250

million for the periods from January 20, 2012 to February 15, 2012 and February 16, 2012 to March 31, 2012, respectively. For the periods from April 1, 2012 to September 30, 2012 and October 1, 2012 through the termination of the DIP Credit Agreement, the Company must maintain U.S. Liquidity of \$150 million and \$100 million, respectively. The Company was in compliance with all covenants under the DIP credit agreement as of March 31, 2012.

The Company must prepay the DIP Credit Agreement with all net cash proceeds from sales of or casualty events relating to certain types of collateral consisting of accounts, inventory, equipment or machinery. In addition, all net cash proceeds from any sale in respect of the Company's digital imaging patent portfolio must be used to prepay the DIP Credit Agreement. With respect to all other asset sales or casualty events, or intellectual property licensing or settlement agreements, 75% of the net cash proceeds must be used to prepay the DIP Credit Agreement and 25% may be retained by the Company. However, once the Company's share of these retained proceeds totals \$150 million, all remaining and future net proceeds must be used to prepay the DIP Credit Agreement. Furthermore, under the terms of the DIP Credit Agreement the Company must file a motion with the Bankruptcy Court to approve bid procedures relating to a sale of all, or substantially all of, the Company's digital imaging patent portfolio by June 30, 2012.

The Borrowers drew \$700 million in term loans under the DIP Credit Agreement during the quarter ended March 31, 2012 and issued approximately \$102 million of letters of credit under the revolving credit facilities. Under the DIP Credit Agreement borrowing base calculation the Borrowers had approximately \$80 million available under the revolving credit facility. Availability under the DIP Credit Agreement is subject to borrowing base availability, reserves and other limitations.

Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement

On April 26, 2011, the Company and its subsidiary, Kodak Canada, Inc., together with the Company's U.S. subsidiaries as guarantors entered into a Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement (Second Amended Credit Agreement), with the named lenders and Bank of America, N.A. as administrative agent, in order to amend and extend its Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of March 31, 2009, as amended (Amended Credit Agreement).

On January 20, 2012, the Company repaid all obligations and terminated all commitments under the Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement in connection with entering into and drawing funds from the DIP Credit Agreement. The repayment resulted in a loss on early extinguishment of debt of \$7 million.

Second Lien Holders Agreement

On February 14, 2012, the Company reached an adequate protection agreement with a group representing at least 50.1% of the Second Lien Note Holders (2019 Senior Secured Note Holders and 2018 Senior Secured Note Holders), which was reflected in the final DIP Credit Agreement order (the "Final DIP Order"). The Company agreed, among other things, to provide all Second Lien Note Holders with a portion of the proceeds received from certain sales and settlements in respect of the Company's digital imaging patent portfolio subject to the following waterfall and the Company's right to retain a percentage of certain proceeds under the DIP Credit Agreement: first, to repay any outstanding obligations under the DIP Credit Agreement, including cash collateralizing letters of credit (unless certain parties otherwise agree); second, to pay 50% of accrued second lien interest at the non-default rate; third, the Company retains \$250 million; fourth, to pay 50% of accrued second lien interest at the non-default rate; fifth, any remaining proceeds up to \$2,250 million to be split 60% to the Company and 40% to repay outstanding second lien debt at par; and sixth, the Company agreed that any proceeds above \$2,250 million will be split 50% to the Company and 50% to Second Lien Note Holders until second lien debt is fully paid. The Company also agreed to pay current interest to Second Lien Note Holders upon the receipt of \$250 million noted above. Subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions, the Company also agreed to pay reasonable fees of certain advisors to the Second Lien Note Holders.

The Bankruptcy Filing constituted an event of default with respect to the Company's Senior Secured Notes due 2019 and Senior Secured Notes due 2018. The creditors are, however, stayed from taking any action as a result of the default under Section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code. As a result of the adequate protection agreement reached with the Second Lien Note Holders, these debt obligations are considered fully secured and have not been reported as liabilities subject to compromise.

Debt Subject to Compromise

The Bankruptcy Filing constituted an event of default with respect to certain of the Company's unsecured debt obligations. As a result of the Bankruptcy Filing, the principal and interest due under these debt instruments shall be immediately due and payable. However, the creditors are stayed from taking any action as a result of the default under Section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code. The carrying value of debt subject to compromise is net of any original issue discounts and debt issuance costs.

NOTE 8: INCOME TAXES

The Company's income tax (benefit) provision and effective tax rate were as follows:

(dollars in millions)	Three	e Mo	nths Ende	d	
		Mar	ch 31,		
	2012		2	2011	
Loss from continuing operations					
before income taxes	\$ (476)	\$	(273)
Effective tax rate	23.1	%		8.8	%
Benefit for income taxes	\$ (110)	\$	(24)
Benefit for income taxes @ 35%	\$ (167)	\$	(96)
Difference between tax at effective					
vs. statutory rate	\$ 57		\$	72	

For the three months ended March 31, 2012, the difference between the Company's recorded benefit and the provision that would result from applying the U.S. statutory rate of 35.0% is primarily attributable to: (1) losses generated within the U.S. and certain jurisdictions outside the U.S. for which no benefit was recognized due to management's conclusion that it was more likely than not that the tax benefits would not be realized, (2) a provision associated with the establishment of a deferred tax asset valuation allowance outside the U.S., (3) a benefit as a result of the Company reaching a settlement of a competent authority claim, and (4) tax accounting impacts related to items reported in Accumulated other comprehensive loss.

In March 2011, the Company filed a Request for Competent Authority Assistance with the United States Internal Revenue Service (IRS). The request related to a potential double taxation issue with respect to certain patent licensing royalty payments received by the Company in 2009 and 2010.

In March 2012, the Company received notification that the IRS had reached agreement with the Korean National Tax Service (NTS) with regards to the Company's March 2011 request. As a result of the agreement reached by the IRS and NTS, the Company is due a partial refund of Korean withholding taxes in the amount of \$122 million.

The Company had previously agreed with the licensees that made the royalty payments that any refunds of the related Korean withholding taxes would be shared equally between the Company and the licensees. The licensees' share (\$61 million) of the Korean withholding tax refund has therefore been reported as a licensing revenue reduction. \$27 million of the refund which has not yet been received by the Company, has been reported as a miscellaneous receivable included in Receivables, net on the Consolidated Statement of Financial Position.

During the first quarter of 2012, the Company determined that it is more likely than not that a portion of the deferred tax assets outside the U.S. would not be realized and accordingly, recorded a tax provision of \$16 million associated with the establishment of a valuation allowance on those deferred tax assets.

For the three months ended March 31, 2011, the difference between the Company's recorded benefit and the benefit that would result from applying the U.S. statutory rate of 35.0% is primarily attributable to: (1) losses generated within the U.S. and certain jurisdictions outside the U.S. for which no benefit was recognized due to management's conclusion that it was more likely than not that the tax benefits would not be realized, (2) a benefit associated with the release of a deferred tax asset valuation allowance in a certain jurisdiction outside the U.S., (3) tax accounting impacts related to items reported in Accumulated other comprehensive loss, and (4) changes in audit reserves and settlements.

NOTE 9: COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Environmental

The Company's undiscounted accrued liabilities for future environmental investigation, remediation, and monitoring costs are composed of the following items:

			As of		
				D	ecember
(in millions)	N	March 31,			31,
		2012			2011
	Φ.	40	d		40
Eastman Business Park site, Rochester, NY	\$	49	3	5	49
Other operating sites		9			9
Sites associated with other operations		19			19
Sites associated with the non-imaging health					
businesses sold in 1994		18			18
Total	\$	95	\$	\$	95

These amounts are reported in Other long-term liabilities and Liabilities subject to compromise in the accompanying Consolidated Statement of Financial Position.

Cash expenditures for the aforementioned investigation, remediation and monitoring activities are expected to be incurred over the next thirty years for most of the sites. For these known environmental liabilities, the accrual reflects the Company's best estimate of the amount it will incur under the agreed-upon or proposed work plans. The Company's cost estimates were determined using the ASTM Standard E 2137-06, "Standard Guide for Estimating Monetary Costs and Liabilities for Environmental Matters," and have not been reduced by possible recoveries from third parties. The overall method includes the use of a probabilistic model which forecasts a range of cost estimates and a single most probable cost estimate for the remediation required at individual sites. For the purposes of establishing Company-level environmental reserves, the single most probable cost estimate for each site is used. All projects are closely monitored and the models are reviewed as significant events occur or at least once per year. The Company's estimate includes investigations, equipment and operating costs for remediation and long-term monitoring of the sites.

The Company is presently designated as a potentially responsible party (PRP) under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, as amended (Superfund Law), or under similar state laws, for environmental assessment and cleanup costs as the result of the Company's alleged arrangements for disposal of hazardous substances at eight Superfund sites. In connection with the chapter 11 filing, the Company has provided withdrawal notifications or entered into settlement negotiations with involved regulatory agencies. The affected regulatory agencies may file claims in these matters.

Among these matters is a case in which the Company and Sterling Drug were named by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) as a PRP with potential liability for the study and remediation of the Lower Passaic River Study Area (LPRSA) portion of the Diamond Alkali Superfund Site, based on the Company's ownership of Sterling Drug from 1988 to 1994 and retention of certain Sterling Drug liabilities and a defense and indemnification agreement between the Company and Bayer, which purchased all stock in Sterling Drug (now STWB). The Company and Sterling Drug were also named as third-party defendants (along with approximately 300 other entities) in an action initially brought by the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP) in the Supreme Court of New Jersey, Essex County seeking recovery of all costs associated with the investigation, removal, cleanup and damage to natural resources resulting from the disposal of various forms of chemicals in the Passaic River. The damages are

alleged to potentially range "from hundreds of millions to several billions of dollars". The litigation against Kodak was stayed by the bankruptcy proceeding. Based on currently available information, the Company has been unable to reasonably estimate a range of loss pertaining to this matter at this time.

Estimates of the amount and timing of future costs of environmental remediation requirements are by their nature imprecise because of the continuing evolution of environmental laws and regulatory requirements, the availability and application of technology, the identification of presently unknown remediation sites and the allocation of costs among the potentially responsible parties. Based on information presently available, the Company does not believe it is reasonably possible that losses for known exposures could exceed current accruals by material amounts, although costs could be material to a particular quarter or year, with the possible exception of matters related to the Passaic River which are described above.

Other Commitments and Contingencies

As of March 31, 2012, the Company had outstanding letters of credit of \$102 million issued under the DIP Credit Agreement, as well as bank guarantees and letters of credit of \$17 million, surety bonds in the amount of \$24 million, and cash and investments in trust of \$33 million, primarily to ensure the payment of possible casualty and workers' compensation claims, environmental liabilities, legal contingencies, rental payments, and to support various customs, tax and trade activities. The restricted cash and investment amounts are recorded within Other long-term assets in the Consolidated Statement of Financial Position.

In March 2012, the Company sold a property in Mexico for approximately \$41 million and leased back the property for a one-year term. The pre-tax gain on the property sale of approximately \$35 million was deferred and no gain was recognizable upon the closing of the sale as the Company has continuing involvement in the property. The deferred pre-tax gain is reported in Other current liabilities as of March 31, 2012.

The Company's Brazilian operations are involved in governmental assessments of indirect and other taxes in various stages of litigation, primarily related to federal and state value-added taxes. The Company is disputing these matters and intends to vigorously defend its position. Based on the opinion of legal counsel and current reserves already recorded for those matters deemed probable of loss, management does not believe that the ultimate resolution of these matters will materially impact the Company's results of operations or financial position. The Company routinely assesses all these matters as to the probability of ultimately incurring a liability in its Brazilian operations and records its best estimate of the ultimate loss in situations where it assesses the likelihood of loss as probable. As of March 31, 2012, the unreserved portion of these contingencies, inclusive of any related interest and penalties, for which there was at least a reasonable possibility that a loss may be incurred, amounted to approximately \$71 million.

The Company and its subsidiaries are involved in various lawsuits, claims, investigations and proceedings, including commercial, customs, employment, environmental, and health and safety matters, which are being handled and defended in the ordinary course of business. In addition, the Company is subject to various assertions, claims, proceedings and requests for indemnification concerning intellectual property, including patent infringement suits involving technologies that are incorporated in a broad spectrum of the Company's products. These matters are in various stages of investigation and litigation and are being vigorously defended. Much of the pending litigation against the Debtors has been stayed as a result of the chapter 11 filing and will be subject to resolution in accordance with the Bankruptcy Code and the orders of the Bankruptcy Court. Although the Company does not expect that the outcome in any of these matters, individually or collectively, will have a material adverse effect on its financial condition or results of operations, litigation is inherently unpredictable. Therefore, judgments could be rendered or settlements entered, that could adversely affect the Company's operating results or cash flow in a particular period. The Company routinely assesses all its litigation and threatened litigation as to the probability of ultimately incurring a liability, and records its best estimate of the ultimate loss in situations where it assesses the likelihood of loss as probable.

NOTE 10: GUARANTEES

The Company guarantees debt and other obligations of certain customers. The debt and other obligations are primarily due to banks and leasing companies in connection with financing of customers' purchases of equipment and product from the Company. At March 31, 2012, the maximum potential amount of future payments (undiscounted) that the Company could be required to make under these customer-related guarantees was \$24 million. At March 31, 2012, the carrying amount of any liability related to these customer guarantees was not material.

The customer financing agreements and related guarantees, which mature through 2016, typically have a term of 90 days for product and short-term equipment financing arrangements, and up to five years for long-term equipment

financing arrangements. These guarantees would require payment from the Company only in the event of default on payment by the respective debtor. In some cases, particularly for guarantees related to equipment financing, the Company has collateral or recourse provisions to recover and sell the equipment to reduce any losses that might be incurred in connection with the guarantees. However, any proceeds received from the liquidation of these assets would not cover the maximum potential loss under these guarantees.

The Company also guarantees potential indebtedness to banks and other third parties for some of its consolidated subsidiaries. The maximum amount guaranteed is \$155 million, and the outstanding amount for those guarantees is \$139 million with \$76 million recorded within the Short-term borrowings and current portion of long-term debt, and Long-term debt, net of current components in the accompanying Consolidated Statement of Financial Position. These guarantees expire in 2012 through 2019. Pursuant to the terms of the Company's DIP Credit Agreement, obligations of the Borrowers to the Lenders under the DIP Credit Agreement, as well as secured agreements in an amount not to exceed \$75 million, are guaranteed by the Company and the Company's U.S. subsidiaries and included in the above amounts. These secured agreements totaled \$25 million as of March 31, 2012.

Warranty Costs

The Company has warranty obligations in connection with the sale of its products and equipment. The original warranty period is generally one year or less. The costs incurred to provide for these warranty obligations are estimated and recorded as an accrued liability at the time of sale. The Company estimates its warranty cost at the point of sale for a given product based on historical failure rates and related costs to repair. The change in the Company's accrued warranty obligations balance, which is reflected in Other current liabilities in the accompanying Consolidated Statement of Financial Position, was as follows:

(in millions)

Accrued warranty obligations as of				
December 31, 2011	\$ 46			
Actual warranty experience during 2012	(23)		
2012 warranty provisions	23			
Accrued warranty obligations as of March				
31, 2012	\$ 46			

The Company also offers its customers extended warranty arrangements that are generally one year, but may range from three months to three years after the original warranty period. The Company provides repair services and routine maintenance under these arrangements. The Company has not separated the extended warranty revenues and costs from the routine maintenance service revenues and costs, as it is not practicable to do so. Therefore, these revenues and costs have been aggregated in the discussion that follows. Costs incurred under these arrangements for the three months ended March 31, 2012 amounted to \$72 million. The change in the Company's deferred revenue balance in relation to these extended warranty and maintenance arrangements from December 31, 2011 to March 31, 2012, which is reflected in Other current liabilities in the accompanying Consolidated Statement of Financial Position, was as follows:

(in millions)

Deferred revenue on extended warranties as	
of December 31, 2011	\$ 120
New extended warranty and maintenance	
arrangements in 2012	98
Recognition of extended warranty and	
maintenance arrangement	
revenue in 2012	(97)
Deferred revenue on extended warranties as	
of March 31, 2012	\$ 121

NOTE 11: RESTRUCTURING LIABILITIES

Charges for restructuring activities are recorded in the period in which the Company commits to a formalized restructuring plan, or executes the specific actions contemplated by the plan, and all criteria for liability recognition under the applicable accounting guidance have been met. Restructuring actions taken in the first three months of 2012 were initiated to reduce the Company's cost structure as part of its commitment to drive sustainable profitability. First quarter actions included the dedicated capture devices business exit announced in February, traditional manufacturing capacity reductions in the U.S. and Mexico, and various targeted reductions in research and development, sales, service, and other administrative functions.

Restructuring Reserve Activity

The activity in the accrued balances and the non-cash charges and credits incurred in relation to restructuring activities for the three months ended March 31, 2012 were as follows:

						ong-lived Asset					
(in millions)	-	everance Reserve		Exit Costs Reserve]	npairments and Inventory rite-downs	A	ecelerated epreciation		Total	
Balance as of											
December 31, 2011	\$	38	\$	22	\$	5 -	\$	-		\$ 60	
Q1 2012 charges		92		2		-		1		95	
Q1 2012 utilization/cash											
payments		(20)	(3)	-		(1)	(24)
Q1 2012 other adjustments &											
reclasses (1)		(55)	(8)	-		-		(63)
Balance as of March											
31, 2012	\$	55	\$	13	\$	S -	\$	-	9	\$ 68	

(1) The \$(63) million includes \$(55) million for severance-related charges for special termination benefits, which are reflected in Pension and other postretirement liabilities in the Consolidated Statement of Financial Position. The remaining \$(8) million

reflects amounts reclassified as Liabilities Subject to Compromise.

For the three months ended March 31, 2012, the \$95 million of charges include \$1 million for accelerated depreciation which was reported in Cost of sales in the accompanying Consolidated Statement of Operations. The remaining costs incurred of \$94 million were reported as Restructuring costs and other in the accompanying Consolidated Statement of Operations for the three months ended March 31, 2012. The severance and exit costs reserves require the outlay of cash, while long-lived asset impairments, accelerated depreciation and inventory write-downs represent non-cash items.

The first quarter 2012 severance costs related to the elimination of approximately 1,700 positions, including approximately 1,200 manufacturing/service positions, 250 research and development positions, and 250

administrative positions. The geographic composition of these positions includes approximately 1,025 in the United States and Canada, and 675 throughout the rest of the world.

The charges of \$95 million recorded in the first quarter of 2012 included \$27 million applicable to the Consumer segment, \$26 million applicable to the Commercial segment, and \$42 million that was applicable to manufacturing, research and development, and administrative functions, which are shared across all segments.

As a result of these initiatives, the majority of the severance will be paid during periods through the end of 2012 since, in some instances, the employees whose positions were eliminated can elect or are required to receive their payments over an extended period of time. In addition, certain exit costs, such as long-term lease payments, will be paid over periods throughout 2012 and beyond.

NOTE 12: RETIREMENT PLANS AND OTHER POSTRETIREMENT BENEFITS

Components of the net periodic benefit cost for all major funded and unfunded U.S. and Non-U.S. defined benefit plans for the three months ended March 31 are as follows:

		Thi	ee N	I onths	Ende	d M	Iarch 3	1,			
(in millions)		2012						201	1		
	U.S.		No	on-U.S	.		U.S.		N	on-U.S	.
Major defined benefit plans:											
Service cost	\$ 12		\$	4		\$	13		\$	4	
Interest cost	54			39			63			45	
Expected return on plan											
assets	(97)		(44)		(109)		(52)
Amortization of:											
Recognized prior service											
cost	-			1			-			1	
Recognized net actuarial											
loss	43			17			17			13	
Pension expense (income)											
before special termination											
benefits,											
curtailments, and settlements	12			17			(16)		11	
Special termination benefits	54			-			11			1	
Net pension expense (income)	66			17			(5)		12	
Other plans including											
unfunded plans	-			4			-			3	
Total net pension expense											
(income)											
from continuing operations	\$ 66		\$	21		\$	(5)	\$	15	

For the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, \$54 million and \$12 million, respectively, of special termination benefits charges were incurred as a result of the Company's restructuring actions. These charges have been included in Restructuring costs and other in the Consolidated Statement of Operations.

The Company made contributions (funded plans) or paid benefits (unfunded plans) totaling approximately \$8 million relating to its major U.S. and non-U.S. defined benefit pension plans for the three months ended March 31, 2012. The Company expects its contribution (funded plans) and benefit payment (unfunded plans) requirements for its major U.S. and non-U.S. defined benefit pension plans for the balance of 2012 to be approximately \$88 million.

Postretirement benefit costs for the Company's U.S. and Canada postretirement benefit plans, which represent the Company's major postretirement plans, include:

	Th	ree Mon March		:d
(in millions)	20	012	20	011
Service cost	\$	_	\$	-
Interest cost		13		16
A				

Amortization of:

Prior service credit	(19)	(19)			
Recognized net actuarial						
loss	8		8			
Total net postretirement						
benefit expense	\$ 2		\$ 5			

The Company paid benefits totaling approximately \$33 million relating to its U.S. and Canada postretirement benefit plans for the three months ended March 31, 2012. The Company expects to pay benefits of approximately \$87 million for these postretirement plans for the balance of 2012.

On February 27, 2012, the Company made a motion to the Bankruptcy Court requesting approval to terminate certain retiree Medicare supplemental benefits. On April 4, 2012, the Company filed a motion with the Bankruptcy Court to create a retiree committee and, at the same time, withdrew the motion to terminate certain retiree Medicare supplemental benefits.

NOTE 13: OTHER OPERATING EXPENSES (INCOME), NET

			ths End h 31,	ed	
(in millions)	2012			2011	
Expenses (income):					
Gain on sale of certain					
image sensor patents	\$ -		\$	(62)
Other	(1)		(8)
Total	\$ (1)	\$	(70)

NOTE 14: EARNINGS PER SHARE

Basic earnings per share computations are based on the weighted-average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the year. As a result of the net loss from continuing operations presented for the three months ended March 31, 2012, the Company calculated diluted earnings per share using weighted-average basic shares outstanding for those periods, as utilizing diluted shares would be anti-dilutive to loss per share. Weighted-average basic shares outstanding for the three months ended March 31, 2012 were 271.1 million.

If the Company had reported earnings from continuing operations for the quarter ended March 31, 2012, no additional shares of the Company's common stock would have been included in the computation of diluted earnings per share since they were all anit-dilutive. However, potential shares of the Company's common stock related to the assumed conversion of (1) approximately 13.1 million outstanding employee stock options, (2) approximately 40.0 million outstanding detachable warrants to purchase common shares, and (3) approximately \$318 million of convertible senior notes due 2017 would still have been excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share, as these securities were anti-dilutive.

Basic earnings per share computations are based on the weighted-average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the year. As a result of the net loss from continuing operations presented for the three months ended March 31, 2011, the Company calculated diluted earnings per share using weighted-average basic shares outstanding for the period, as utilizing diluted shares would be anti-dilutive to loss per share. Weighted-average basic shares outstanding for the quarter ended March 31, 2011 were 268.9 million.

If the Company had reported earnings from continuing operations for the quarter ended March 31, 2011, approximately 4.1 million potential shares of the Company's common stock from unvested share-based awards would have been included in the computation of diluted earnings per share. However, potential shares of the Company's common stock related to the assumed conversion of (1) approximately 18.0 million outstanding employee stock options, (2) approximately 40.0 million outstanding detachable warrants to purchase common shares, and (3) approximately \$307 million of convertible senior notes due 2017 would still have been excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share, as these securities were anti-dilutive.

NOTE 15: SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

The Company has 950 million shares of authorized common stock with a par value of \$2.50 per share, of which 391 million shares had been issued as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011. Treasury stock at cost consisted of

approximately 119 million and 120 million shares as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

NOTE 16: SEGMENT INFORMATION

Current Segment Reporting Structure

Effective January 1, 2012, the Company has two reportable segments: the Consumer Segment and the Commercial Segment. Prior period segment results have been revised to conform to the current period segment reporting structure. A description of the segments follows.

Commercial Segment: Commercial encompasses Graphics, Entertainment & Commercial Film Businesses, Digital and Functional Printing, and Enterprise Services and Solutions.

Consumer Segment: Consumer encompasses Intellectual Property licensing activities related to the Company's intellectual property in digital imaging products and the Consumer Business. The Consumer Business encompasses Retail Systems Solutions, Consumer Inkjet Systems, Traditional Photofinishing, and Digital Capture and Devices.

Segment financial information is shown below:

Three Months Ended

March 31,

(in millions) 2012 2011

Net sales from continuing operations:

Commercial							
Segment	\$ 672	\$	808				
Consumer							
Segment	293		514				
Consolidated							
total	\$ 965	\$	1,322				

Three Months Ended March 31, 2012 2011

(in millions) 2012 2

(Loss) earnings from continuing operations before interest expense, other income (charges), net and income taxes:

Commercial Segment	\$ (64)	\$ (67)
Consumer Segment	(164)	(187)
Total of reportable		
segments	(228)	(254)
Restructuring costs and		
other	(95)	(35)
Corporate components of	(30)	(8)
pension and		

Edgar Filing: EASTMAN KODAK CO - Form 10-Q

OPEB expense		
Other operating (expenses)		
income, net	1	70
Legal contingencies and		
settlements	4	-
Loss on early		
extinguishment of debt, net	(7)	-
Interest expense	(36)	(38)
Other income (charges), net	3	(8)
Reorganization items, net	(88)	-
Consolidated loss from		
continuing		
operations before income		
taxes	\$ (476)	\$ (273)

(in millions)	As of March 31, 2012	De	As of ecember 31, 2011	
Segment total assets:				
Commercial Segment	\$ 1,974	\$	1,989	
Consumer Segment	1,197		1,312	
Total of reportable segments	3,171		3,301	
Cash and marketable securities	1,368		867	
Deferred income tax assets	514		510	
Consolidated total assets	\$ 5,053	\$	4,678	

NOTE 17: FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The following table presents the carrying amounts, estimated fair values, and location in the Consolidated Statement of Financial Position for the Company's financial instruments:

(in millions)			Value (Of Items Rec As of Mar Level 1	corded At Fa ch 31, 2012 Level 2	ir Value Level	
ASSETS Marketable securities			Total	ī	Level 2	3	
Short-term	Other current		. –	. -	Φ.	Φ.	
available-for-sale			\$ 7	\$ 7	\$ -	\$ -	
Long-term available-for-sale	Other long-term		8	8			
avallable-for-sale	assets		0	8	-	-	
Derivatives							
Short-term foreig	n						
exchange							
contracts	Receivables, ne	t	1	_	1	-	
	,						
LIABILITIES							
Derivatives							
Short-term foreig							
exchange	Other current		•		2		
contracts	liabilities		2	-	2	-	
			Value	Of Items No	ot Recorded	At Fair	
ASSETS			, arac		lue		
Marketable							
securities							
Long-term	Other long-term	• •					
held-to-maturity	assets	value	\$ 31	\$ 31	\$ -	\$ -	
		Fair	21	21			
I IADII ITIEC		value	31	31	-	-	
LIABILITIES							
Debt	Short-term						
	borrowings and						
	current portion						
	of long-term	Carrying					
Short-term debt	debt	value	42	-	42	-	
		Fair					
		value	32	-	32	-	

Edgar Filing: EASTMAN KODAK CO - Form 10-Q

	Long-term debt					
	net of current	Carrying				
Long-term debt	portion	value	1,446	-	1,446	-
		Fair				
		value	1,357	-	1,357	-
	Liabilities					
Debt subject to	subject to	Carrying				
compromise	compromise	value	592	-	592	-
-	-	Fair				
		value	206	-	206	-

The Company does not utilize financial instruments for trading or other speculative purposes.

Fair Value

The fair values of marketable securities are determined using quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1 fair value measurements). Fair values of the Company's forward contracts are determined using other observable inputs (Level 2 fair value measurements), and are based on the present value of expected future cash flows (an income approach valuation technique) considering the risks involved and using discount rates appropriate for the duration of the contracts. Transfers between levels of the fair value hierarchy are recognized based on the actual date of the event or change in circumstances that caused the transfer. There were no transfers between levels of the fair value hierarchy during the three months ended March 31, 2012.

Fair values of long-term borrowings are determined by reference to quoted market prices, if available, or by pricing models based on the value of related cash flows discounted at current market interest rates. The carrying values of cash and cash equivalents and trade receivables (which are not shown in the table above) approximate their fair values.

Foreign Exchange

Foreign exchange gains and losses arising from transactions denominated in a currency other than the functional currency of the entity involved are included in Other income (charges), net in the accompanying Consolidated Statement of Operations. The net effects of foreign currency transactions, including changes in the fair value of foreign exchange contracts, are shown below:

(in millions)	Three	Months En	ded
		March 31,	
	201	2 201	11
Net loss	\$	7 \$	13

Derivative Financial Instruments

The Company, as a result of its global operating and financing activities, is exposed to changes in foreign currency exchange rates, commodity prices, and interest rates, which may adversely affect its results of operations and financial position. The Company manages such exposures, in part, with derivative financial instruments.

Foreign currency forward contracts are used to mitigate currency risk related to foreign currency denominated assets and liabilities, especially those of the Company's International Treasury Center. Silver forward contracts are used to mitigate the Company's risk to fluctuating silver prices. The Company's exposure to changes in interest rates results from its investing and borrowing activities used to meet its liquidity needs.

The Company's financial instrument counterparties are high-quality investment or commercial banks with significant experience with such instruments. The Company manages exposure to counterparty credit risk by requiring specific minimum credit standards and diversification of counterparties. The Company has procedures to monitor the credit exposure amounts. The maximum credit exposure at March 31, 2012 was not significant to the Company.

In the event of a default under the Company's DIP Credit Agreement, or one of the Company's Indentures, or a default under any derivative contract or similar obligation of the Company, subject to certain minimum thresholds, the derivative counterparties would have the right, although not the obligation, to require immediate settlement of some or all open derivative contracts at their then-current fair value, but with liability positions netted against asset positions with the same counterparty. At March 31, 2012, the Company had open derivative contracts in liability positions with

a total fair value of \$2 million.

The location and amounts of pre-tax gains and losses related to derivatives reported in the Consolidated Statement of Operations are shown in the following tables:

									G	ain (Loss)) Recog	nized in
				(Gain (L	oss) R	Recla	ssified		Income of	n Deri	vative
Derivatives in Cash	Gain	(Loss) Recog	nized in	fro	m Acc	umula	ited (OCI Into	(Ineffectiv	e Porti	on and
Flow Hedging	OCI or	n Derivative (Effective		Cost of	Sales	(Eff	ective	1	Amount E	Exclude	d from
Relationships		Portion)				Portio	on)			Effective	ness Te	esting)
	For th	e three montl	ns ended	Fo	or the t	nree m	onth	is ended	Fo	r the thre	e mont	hs ended
(in millions)		March 31,				March	31,			Ma	rch 31,	
	201	2	2011		2012			2011		2012		2011
Commodity contracts	\$ 1	\$	14	\$	(2)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-

Derivatives Not					
Designated as	Location of Gain or				
Hedging	(Loss) Recognized in	Gain	(Loss) Re	ecogniz	ed in
Instruments	Income on Derivative	Inc	come on I	Derivati	ve
		For th	ne three m	nonths e	nded
(in millions)			March	31,	
		20	12	20	11
Foreign exchange	Other income				
i oreign exchange	Other income				

Foreign Currency Forward Contracts

The Company's foreign currency forward contracts used to mitigate currency risk related to existing foreign currency denominated assets and liabilities are not designated as hedges, and are marked to market through net (loss) earnings at the same time that the exposed assets and liabilities are remeasured through net (loss) earnings (both in Other income (charges), net). The notional amount of such contracts open at March 31, 2012 was approximately \$781 million. The majority of the contracts of this type held by the Company are denominated in euros and Swiss francs.

Silver Forward Contracts

The Company may enter into silver forward contracts that are designated as cash flow hedges of commodity price risk related to forecasted purchases of silver. The Company had no open hedges as of March 31, 2012.

In January 2012, the Company terminated all its existing hedges at a loss of \$5 million. These hedges were designated as secured agreements under the Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement and needed to be settled prior to the termination of that facility in conjunction with the Company's DIP Credit Agreement. Since the hedged transactions are still expected to occur in the originally specified time frame, this loss will remain in Accumulated other comprehensive loss until the related silver-containing products are sold to third parties. Hedge gains and losses related to these silver forward contracts are reclassified into cost of sales as the related silver-containing products are sold to third parties. These gains or losses transferred to cost of sales are generally offset by increased or decreased costs of silver purchased in the open market. The amount of existing gains and losses at March 31, 2012 to be reclassified into earnings within the next 12 months is a net loss of \$3 million.

NOTE 18: CONDENSED COMBINED DEBTOR-IN-POSSESSION FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The financial statements below represent the condensed combined financial statements of the Debtors. Effective January 1, 2012, the Company's Non-Filing Entities are accounted for as non-consolidated subsidiaries in these financial statements and, as such, their net loss is included as "Equity in loss of non-filling entities, net of tax" in the Debtors' Statement of Operations and their net assets are included as "Investments in non-filling entities" in the Debtors' Statement of Financial Position.

Intercompany transactions between the Debtors have been eliminated in the financial statements contained herein. Intercompany transactions between the Debtors and Non-Filing Entities have not been eliminated in the Debtor's financial statements.

DEBTORS' STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS (Unaudited)

(in millions, except per share data)

	Е	e Months Inded	
(in millions)	March	31, 2012	2
Net sales			
Products	\$	386	
Services		93	
Licensing & royalties		(58)
Total net sales	\$	421	
Cost of sales			
Products	\$	431	
Services		82	
Total cost of sales	\$	513	
Gross profit	\$	(92)
Selling, general and administrative			
expenses		115	
Research and development costs		54	
Restructuring costs and other		72	
Other operating expenses (income), net		(1)
Loss from continuing operations before			
interest expense, other income			
(charges), net, reorganization items, net			
and income taxes		(332)
Interest expense (contractual interest of \$46	Ò		
million)		36	
Loss on early extinguishment of debt, net		7	
Other income (charges), net		3	
Reorganization items, net		88	
Loss from continuing operations before			
income taxes		(460)
Benefit for income taxes		(135)
Net loss		(325)
Equity in loss of non-filing entities, net of			
tax		(41)

NET LOSS ATTRIBUTABLE TO			
EASTMAN KODAK			
COMPANY	\$ (366)		

DEBTORS' STATEMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE (LOSS) INCOME (Unaudited)

(in millions)	I Ma	ee Month Ended arch 31, 2012	
NET LOSS ATTRIBUTABLE TO DEBTOR ENTITIES	\$	(325)
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:			
Realized and unrealized gains from hedging activity, net of tax of \$1 and \$5			
for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively		2	
Unrealized gain from investment, net of tax of \$0 for the three months ended			
March 31, 2012 and 2011		1	
Currency translation adjustments, net of tax of \$0 for the three months ended			
March 31, 2012 and 2011		(15)
Pension and other postretirement benefit plan obligation activity, net of tax of			
\$3 and \$4 for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively		28	
Total comprehensive loss, net of tax	\$	(309)

DEBTORS' STATEMENT OF RETAINED EARNINGS (Unaudited) (in millions)

Three Months Ended March 31, 2012

Retained earnings at beginning of			
period	\$ 4,835		
Net loss	(325)	
Loss from issuance of treasury stock	(60)	
Retained earnings at end of period	\$ 4,450		
•			

DEBTORS' STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL POSITION (Unaudited)				
(in millions)	As	of		
ASSETS	March 31, 2012			
Current Assets				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	661		
Receivables, net		333		
Receivables and advances from non-filing				
entities, net		266		
Inventories, net		341		
Deferred income taxes		10		
Other current assets		49		
Total current assets		1,660		
Property, plant and equipment, net of				
accumulated depreciation of		504		
\$3,438		524		
Goodwill		144		
Investment in non-filing entities		1,959		
Other long-term assets		65		
TOTAL ASSETS	\$	4,352		
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY (DEFICIT)				
Current Liabilities				
Accounts payable, trade	\$	193		
Accrued income and other taxes		34		
Other current liabilities		473		
Total current liabilities		700		
Long-term debt, net of current portion		1,408		
Other long-term liabilities		300		
Liabilities subject to compromise		3,025		
Total Liabilities		5,433		
Total Liabilities		3,433		
Equity (Deficit)				
Common stock, \$2.50 par value		978		
Additional paid in capital		1,104		
Retained earnings		4,450		
Accumulated other comprehensive loss		(1,831)		
		4,701		
Less: Treasury stock, at cost		(5,782)		
Total Eastman Kodak Company				
shareholders' (deficit) equity		(1,081)		
Noncontrolling interests		-		
Total (deficit) equity		(1,081)		
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND DEFICIT	\$	4,352		

DEBTORS' STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)