

PERRIGO CO  
 Form 10-Q  
 October 27, 2011  
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES  
 SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

---

FORM 10-Q

---

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended: September 24, 2011

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_  
 Commission file number 0-19725

---

PERRIGO COMPANY  
 (Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Michigan 38-2799573  
 (State or other jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer  
 incorporation or organization) Identification No.)

515 Eastern Avenue 49010  
 Allegan, Michigan (Zip Code)  
 (Address of principal executive offices)

(269) 673-8451  
 (Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Not Applicable  
 (Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

---

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months, and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. YES  NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). YES  NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer", and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer  (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).  YES  NO

As of October 24, 2011, the registrant had 93,191,979 outstanding shares of common stock.

---

Table of Contents

PERRIGO COMPANY  
FORM 10-Q  
INDEX

	PAGE NUMBER
<u>Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements</u>	<u>1</u>
PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
<u>Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	
<u>Condensed consolidated statements of income - For the quarters ended September 24, 2011 and September 25, 2010</u>	<u>2</u>
<u>Condensed consolidated balance sheets - September 24, 2011, June 25, 2011 and September 25, 2010</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Condensed consolidated statements of cash flows - For the quarters ended September 24, 2011 and September 25, 2010</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>Notes to condensed consolidated financial statements</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>22</u>
<u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>33</u>
<u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>33</u>
PART II. OTHER INFORMATION	
<u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>35</u>
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	<u>35</u>
<u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	<u>35</u>
<u>Item 5. Other Events</u>	<u>36</u>
<u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>	<u>37</u>
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	<u>38</u>
<u>EXHIBIT INDEX</u>	<u>39</u>

---

Table of Contents

Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

Certain statements in this report are “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and are subject to the safe harbor created thereby. These statements relate to future events or the Company’s future financial performance and involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause the actual results, levels of activity, performance or achievements of the Company or its industry to be materially different from those expressed or implied by any forward-looking statements. In particular, statements about the Company’s expectations, beliefs, plans, objectives, assumptions, future events or future performance contained in this report, including certain statements contained in “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” are forward-looking statements. In some cases, forward-looking statements can be identified by terminology such as “may,” “will,” “could,” “would,” “should,” “expect,” “plan,” “anticipate,” “believe,” “estimate,” “predict,” “potential” or the negative of those terms or other comparable terminology. Please see Item 1A of the Company’s Form 10-K for the year ended June 25, 2011 and Part II, Item 1A of this Form 10-Q for a discussion of certain important risk factors that relate to forward-looking statements contained in this report. The Company has based these forward-looking statements on its current expectations, assumptions, estimates and projections. While the Company believes these expectations, assumptions, estimates and projections are reasonable, such forward-looking statements are only predictions and involve known and unknown risks and uncertainties, many of which are beyond the Company’s control. These and other important factors may cause actual results, performance or achievements to differ materially from those expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements. The forward-looking statements in this report are made only as of the date hereof, and unless otherwise required by applicable securities laws, the Company disclaims any intention or obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Table of Contents

## Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited)

PERRIGO COMPANY  
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME  
 (in thousands, except per share amounts)  
 (unaudited)

	First Quarter 2012	2011
Net sales	\$725,295	\$641,322
Cost of sales	497,716	427,368
Gross profit	227,579	213,954
Operating expenses		
Distribution	10,264	8,333
Research and development	19,638	17,727
Selling and administration	96,125	76,127
Total	126,027	102,187
Operating income	101,552	111,767
Interest, net	12,570	10,087
Other expense (income), net	229	(559)
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	88,753	102,239
Income tax expense	18,295	28,561
Income from continuing operations	70,458	73,678
Income from discontinued operations, net of tax	—	697
Net income	\$70,458	\$74,375
Earnings per share <sup>(1)</sup>		
Basic		
Continuing operations	\$0.76	\$0.80
Discontinued operations	—	0.01
Basic earnings per share	\$0.76	\$0.81
Diluted		
Continuing operations	\$0.75	\$0.79
Discontinued operations	—	0.01
Diluted earnings per share	\$0.75	\$0.80
Weighted average shares outstanding		
Basic	92,900	91,824
Diluted	93,953	93,269
Dividends declared per share	\$0.0700	\$0.0625

(1) The sum of individual per share amounts may not equal due to rounding.  
 See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of ContentsPERRIGO COMPANY  
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(in thousands)

(unaudited)

	September 24, 2011	June 25, 2011	September 25, 2010
Assets			
Current assets			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 116,615	\$ 310,104	\$ 56,098
Investment securities	—	—	560
Accounts receivable, net	521,263	477,851	417,870
Inventories	563,257	505,576	461,244
Current deferred income taxes	50,276	30,474	30,753
Income taxes refundable	8,891	370	1,771
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	38,789	50,350	42,082
Current assets of discontinued operations	—	2,568	6,615
Total current assets	1,299,091	1,377,293	1,016,993
Property and equipment	1,037,270	1,005,798	906,100
Less accumulated depreciation	(504,389	) (498,490	) (454,490
	532,881	507,308	451,610
Goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible assets	812,924	644,902	635,189
Other intangible assets, net	771,677	567,573	583,226
Non-current deferred income taxes	13,479	10,531	12,707
Other non-current assets	84,035	81,614	72,031
	\$ 3,514,087	\$ 3,189,221	\$ 2,771,756
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity			
Current liabilities			
Accounts payable	\$ 303,549	\$ 343,278	\$ 261,959
Short-term debt	3,750	2,770	64,524
Payroll and related taxes	72,106	81,455	58,568
Accrued customer programs	112,592	91,374	78,845
Accrued liabilities	83,374	57,514	65,515
Accrued income taxes	6,677	10,551	37,148
Current portion of long-term debt	40,000	15,000	—
Current liabilities of discontinued operations	—	4,093	4,206
Total current liabilities	622,048	606,035	570,765
Non-current liabilities			
Long-term debt, less current portion	1,155,787	875,000	840,000
Non-current deferred income taxes	9,604	10,601	17,000
Other non-current liabilities	182,207	166,598	139,200
Total non-current liabilities	1,347,598	1,052,199	996,200
Shareholders' equity			
Controlling interest shareholders' equity:			
Preferred stock, without par value, 10,000 shares authorized	—	—	—
Common stock, without par value, 200,000 shares authorized	478,035	467,661	435,482
Accumulated other comprehensive income	66,277	127,050	78,418
Retained earnings	998,256	934,333	689,035
	1,542,568	1,529,044	1,202,935
Noncontrolling interest	1,873	1,943	1,856

Edgar Filing: PERRIGO CO - Form 10-Q

Total shareholders' equity	1,544,441	1,530,987	1,204,791
	\$3,514,087	\$3,189,221	\$2,771,756
Supplemental Disclosures of Balance Sheet Information Related to			
Continuing Operations			
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$9,617	\$7,837	\$8,128
Working capital	\$677,043	\$772,783	\$443,819
Preferred stock, shares issued and outstanding	—	—	—
Common stock, shares issued and outstanding	93,189	92,778	92,205
See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.			

3

---

Table of Contents

PERRIGO COMPANY  
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
 (in thousands)  
 (unaudited)

	First Quarter 2012	2011	
Cash Flows (For) From Operating Activities			
Net income	\$70,458	\$74,375	
Adjustments to derive cash flows			
Gain on sale of pipeline research and development projects	(3,500	) —	
Depreciation and amortization	34,720	23,436	
Share-based compensation	3,935	3,682	
Income tax benefit from exercise of stock options	2,125	1,941	
Excess tax benefit of stock transactions	(10,578	) (9,465	)
Deferred income taxes	(3,084	) (59,069	)
Subtotal	94,076	34,900	
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of business acquisition			
Accounts receivable	8,581	(58,401	)
Inventories	(7,156	) (3,559	)
Accounts payable	(47,249	) (9,636	)
Payroll and related taxes	(10,681	) (21,191	)
Accrued customer programs	(5,708	) 11,201	
Accrued liabilities	17,678	(12,899	)
Accrued income taxes	(878	) 49,152	
Other	5,484	4,271	
Subtotal	(39,929	) (41,062	)
Net cash from (for) operating activities	54,147	(6,162	)
Cash Flows (For) From Investing Activities			
Acquisitions of businesses, net of cash acquired	(547,052	) 1,998	
Proceeds from sale of intangible assets and pipeline R&D projects	10,500	—	
Additions to property and equipment	(18,953	) (9,194	)
Other	(250	) —	
Net cash for investing activities	(555,755	) (7,196	)
Cash Flows (For) From Financing Activities			
Borrowings (repayments) of short-term debt, net	980	(7,476	)
Net borrowings under accounts receivable securitization program	55,000	63,000	
Borrowings of long-term debt	250,787	—	
Repayments of long-term debt	—	(95,000	)
Deferred financing fees	(2,468	) —	
Excess tax benefit of stock transactions	10,578	9,465	
Issuance of common stock	5,884	3,987	
Repurchase of common stock	(7,899	) (8,168	)
Cash dividends	(6,535	) (5,780	)
Net cash from (for) financing activities	306,327	(39,972	)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	1,792	(337	)
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(193,489	) (53,667	)
Cash and cash equivalents of continuing operations, beginning of period	310,104	109,765	
Cash balance of discontinued operations, beginning of period	—	—	
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	116,615	56,098	



Edgar Filing: PERRIGO CO - Form 10-Q

Less cash balance of discontinued operations, end of period	—	—
Cash and cash equivalents of continuing operations, end of period	\$116,615	\$56,098

Supplemental Disclosures of Cash Flow Information

Cash paid/received during the period for:

Interest paid	\$3,240	\$6,343
Interest received	\$1,127	\$1,977
Income taxes paid	\$9,151	\$29,856
Income taxes refunded	\$768	\$893

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

PERRIGO COMPANY  
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
September 24, 2011  
(in thousands, except per share amounts)

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The Company

Perrigo Company (the “Company”) is a leading global healthcare supplier that develops, manufactures and distributes over-the-counter (OTC) and generic prescription (Rx) pharmaceuticals, infant formulas, nutritional products and active pharmaceutical ingredients (API). The Company is the world’s largest store brand manufacturer of OTC pharmaceutical products and infant formulas. The Company’s primary markets and locations of manufacturing and logistics operations are the United States, Israel, Mexico, the United Kingdom and Australia.

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) for interim financial information and with the instructions to Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by U.S. GAAP for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals and other adjustments) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included.

Operating results for the three months ended September 24, 2011 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for a full fiscal year. The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and footnotes included in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 25, 2011.

The Company has four reportable segments, aligned primarily by type of product: Consumer Healthcare, Nutritionals, Rx Pharmaceuticals and API. In addition, the Company has an Other category that consists of the Israel Pharmaceutical and Diagnostic Products operating segment, which does not individually meet the quantitative thresholds required to be a separately reportable segment. This segment structure is consistent with the way management makes operating decisions, allocates resources and manages the growth and profitability of the Company’s business.

In March 2009, the Company committed to a plan to sell its Israel Consumer Products business. The financial results of this business have been classified as discontinued operations in the condensed consolidated financial statements for all periods presented. The sale was completed in the third quarter of fiscal 2010. After the finalization of post-closing working capital adjustments in the third quarter of fiscal 2011, the sale resulted in a pre-tax loss of \$1,407. See Note 3 for additional information regarding discontinued operations. Unless otherwise noted, amounts and disclosures throughout the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements relate to the Company’s continuing operations.

Principles of Consolidation

The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and all majority owned subsidiaries. All intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In September 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2011-08, "Intangibles-Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Testing Goodwill for Impairment." The amendments in this ASU permit an entity to first perform a qualitative assessment to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying value. If an entity determines it is not more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, then performing the two-step impairment test is unnecessary. However, if an entity concludes otherwise, then it is required to perform the first step of the two-step impairment test by calculating the fair value of the reporting unit and comparing the fair value with the carrying amount of the reporting unit. This ASU is effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011, with early adoption permitted. This guidance will be effective for the Company beginning in fiscal 2013, and the Company expects to adopt it at that time.

In December 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-29, "Business Combinations (ASC Topic 805): Disclosure of Supplementary Pro Forma Information for Business Combinations." The amendments in this ASU affect any public entity as defined by ASC Topic

Table of Contents

805 that enters into business combinations that are material on an individual or aggregate basis. The amendments in this ASU specify that if a public entity presents comparative financial statements, the entity should disclose revenue and earnings of the combined entity as if the business combinations that occurred during the current year had occurred as of the beginning of the comparable prior annual reporting period only. The amendments also expand the supplemental pro forma disclosures to include a description of the nature and amount of material, nonrecurring pro forma adjustments directly attributable to the business combination included in the reported pro forma revenue and earnings. The amendments are effective prospectively for business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2010. Early adoption is permitted. This guidance was effective for the Company in the first quarter of fiscal 2012. See Note 2 for the Company's supplementary pro forma disclosures related to its acquisition of Paddock Laboratories, Inc. (Paddock) in the first quarter of fiscal 2012.

In December 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-28, "Intangibles - Goodwill and Other (ASC Topic 350): When to Perform Step 2 of the Goodwill Impairment Test for Reporting Units with Zero or Negative Carrying Amounts." The amendments in this ASU modify Step 1 of the goodwill impairment test for reporting units with zero or negative carrying amounts. For those reporting units, an entity is required to perform Step 2 of the goodwill impairment test if it is more likely than not that a goodwill impairment exists. In determining whether it is more likely than not that a goodwill impairment exists, an entity should consider whether there are any adverse qualitative factors indicating that an impairment may exist. The qualitative factors are consistent with the existing guidance and examples, which require that goodwill of a reporting unit be tested for impairment between annual tests if an event occurs or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying amount. For public entities, the amendments in this ASU are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2010. Early adoption is not permitted. This guidance was effective for the Company in the first quarter of fiscal 2012 and did not have any impact on its condensed consolidated financial statements as the Company does not have any reporting units with net carrying values at or below zero.

In April 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-13, "Compensation – Stock Compensation (ASC Topic 718): Effect of Denominating the Exercise Price of a Share-Based Payment Award in the Currency of the Market in Which the Underlying Equity Security Trades." This ASU codifies the consensus reached in Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 09-J, "Effect of Denominating the Exercise Price of a Share-Based Payment Award in the Currency of the Market in Which the Underlying Equity Security Trades." The amendments to the Codification clarify that an employee share-based payment award with an exercise price denominated in the currency of a market in which a substantial portion of the entity's equity shares trades should not be considered to contain a condition that is not a market, performance, or service condition. Therefore, an entity would not classify such an award as a liability if it otherwise qualifies as equity. The amendments in this ASU are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning on or after December 15, 2010. Early adoption is permitted. The amendments are to be applied by recording a cumulative-effect adjustment to beginning retained earnings. This guidance was effective for the Company in the first quarter of fiscal 2012 and did not have a material impact on its condensed consolidated financial statements.

In January 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-06, "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (ASC Topic 820): Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements" (ASU 2010-06). This ASU amends ASC Topic 820 to require an entity to: 1) disclose separately the amounts of significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements and describe the reasons for the transfers; and 2) present separate information for Level 3 activity pertaining to gross purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements. The Company adopted the new disclosure requirements in the third quarter of fiscal 2010, except for the disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements in the Level 3 reconciliation, which is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010, and for interim periods within those fiscal years, which was the Company's first quarter of fiscal 2012. The adopted disclosures have been provided in Note 5.

## NOTE 2 – ACQUISITIONS

#### Asset Acquisitions

During fiscal 2011, the Company acquired a total of \$10,750 of intangible assets associated with certain distribution and license agreements, most notably an agreement with AgaMatrix, Inc. (AgaMatrix). On February 17, 2011, the Company announced that it entered into an exclusive agreement with AgaMatrix to sell and distribute blood glucose monitors and test strips in the U.S. store brand channel. Under the terms of the agreement, the Company paid \$5,000 to AgaMatrix for a distribution and license agreement, which was accounted for as an intangible asset beginning in the third quarter of fiscal 2011 and is being amortized on an accelerated basis over its eight-year useful life.

#### Business Acquisition

Paddock Laboratories, Inc. – On July 26, 2011, the Company completed the acquisition of substantially all of the assets of Paddock

Table of Contents

Laboratories, Inc. (Paddock) for \$547,052 in cash. Headquartered in Minneapolis, Minnesota, Paddock is a manufacturer and marketer of generic Rx pharmaceutical products. The acquisition expanded the Company's generic Rx product offering, pipeline and scale.

The Company funded the transaction using a \$250,000 five-year term loan, as discussed in Note 8, \$212,052 of cash on hand and \$85,000 from its accounts receivable securitization program. As of the end of the fourth quarter of fiscal 2011, the Company had incurred \$2,560 of acquisition costs, of which \$1,315, \$695 and \$550 were expensed in operations in the second, third and fourth quarters of fiscal 2011, respectively. During the first quarter of fiscal 2012, the Company had incurred an additional \$5,600 of acquisition costs, along with severance costs of \$3,200.

The acquisition was accounted for under the acquisition method of accounting, and the related assets acquired and liabilities assumed were recorded at fair value. The operating results for Paddock are included in the Rx Pharmaceuticals segment of the Company's consolidated results of operations for the period from July 27, 2011 to September 24, 2011. In the first quarter of fiscal 2012, Paddock contributed \$38,900 in revenue and incurred an operating loss of \$18,159, which included a one-time charge of \$27,179 to cost of sales related to the step-up in value of inventory acquired and sold during the quarter.

The preliminary allocation of the \$547,052 purchase price through September 24, 2011 was:

Accounts receivable	\$55,467
Inventory	57,540
Property and equipment	33,200
Other assets	1,743
Deferred income tax assets	20,863
Goodwill	150,035
Intangible assets	272,000
Total assets acquired	590,848
Accounts payable	10,685
Other current liabilities	2,386
Accrued customer programs	26,926
Accrued expenses	3,799
Total liabilities assumed	43,796
Net assets acquired	\$547,052

The allocation of the purchase price above is considered preliminary and was based upon valuation information, estimates and assumptions available at September 24, 2011. Management is still in the process of verifying data and finalizing information related to the valuation and recording of identifiable intangible assets, accrued customer programs, deferred income taxes, working capital adjustments and the resulting effects on the value of goodwill. The Company expects to finalize these matters within the measurement period, which will end as soon as information becomes available to finalize valuations, not exceeding twelve months from the acquisition date.

The excess of the purchase price over the fair value of net assets acquired, amounting to \$150,035, was preliminarily recorded as goodwill in the condensed consolidated balance sheet and was assigned to the Company's Rx Pharmaceuticals segment. Goodwill is not amortized for financial reporting purposes, but is amortized for tax purposes. See Note 7 regarding the timing of the Company's annual goodwill impairment testing.

Intangible assets acquired in the acquisition were valued as follows:

In-process research and development (IPR&D)	\$35,000
---	----------

Developed product technology	237,000
Total intangible assets acquired	\$272,000

Management preliminarily assigned fair values to the identifiable intangible assets through the excess earnings method. IPR&D assets initially recognized at fair value will be classified as indefinite-lived assets until the successful completion or abandonment of the associated research and development efforts. An IPR&D asset is tested for impairment during the period it is considered an indefinite-lived asset. The developed product technology assets are based on a 10-year useful life and amortized on a straight-line basis.

7

---

Table of Contents

At the time of the acquisition, a step-up in the value of inventory of \$27,179 was recorded in the allocation of the purchase price based on valuation estimates, all of which was charged to cost of sales in the first quarter of fiscal 2012 as the inventory was sold. In addition, fixed assets were written up by \$7,400 to their estimated fair market value based on a valuation method that included both the cost and market approaches. This additional step-up in value is being depreciated over the estimated remaining useful lives of the assets.

The following unaudited pro forma financial information presents results as if the Paddock business acquisition had occurred at the beginning of the respective periods:

(Unaudited)	First Quarter	
	2012	2011
Net sales	\$741,883	\$703,151
Income from continuing operations	\$93,075	\$68,844
Basic earnings from continuing operations per share	\$1.00	\$0.75
Diluted earnings from continuing operations per share	\$0.99	\$0.74

For purposes of the pro forma disclosures above, the primary adjustments for fiscal 2011 include: i) a non-recurring charge to cost of goods sold related to the fair value adjustment to acquisition-date inventory of \$27,179; ii) amortization of acquired intangibles of \$5,800; iii) additional interest expense of \$2,300 from the \$335,000 in debt associated with the acquisition; and iv) acquisition-related charges of \$8,800. The primary adjustments for fiscal 2012 include: i) the elimination of the non-recurring charge to cost of goods sold related to the fair value adjustment to acquisition-date inventory of \$27,179 and ii) the elimination of the acquisition-related charges of \$8,800.

As a condition to Federal Trade Commission (FTC) approval of the overall transaction with Paddock, immediately subsequent to the acquisition, the Company sold to Watson Pharmaceuticals four Abbreviated New Drug Application (ANDA) products acquired as part of the Paddock portfolio along with the rights to two Israeli pipeline research and development projects for a total of \$10,500. The Company allocated \$7,000 of proceeds to the four ANDA products and wrote off the corresponding developed product technology intangible asset, which was recorded at its fair value of \$7,000. In addition, the Company's Israeli subsidiary recorded a \$3,500 gain on the sale of the pipeline research and development projects.

**NOTE 3 – DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS**

In March 2009, the Company committed to a plan to sell its Israel Consumer Products business. This business primarily sold consumer products to the Israeli market, including cosmetics, toiletries and detergents, and was previously reported as part of the Company's Other category. Based on management's strategic review of its portfolio of businesses, the Company decided to sell the Israel Consumer Products business to a third party. In the third quarter of fiscal 2009, the Israel Consumer Products business had met the criteria set forth in ASC Subtopic 360-10 to be accounted for as discontinued operations.

On November 2, 2009, the Company announced that it had signed a definitive agreement to sell the Israel Consumer Products business to Emilia Group. On February 26, 2010, the sale to Emilia Group was completed for approximately \$47,000, of which approximately \$11,000, subject to foreign currency fluctuations between the Israeli shekel and the U.S. dollar, is contingent upon satisfaction of contingency factors specified in the agreement. The sale was completed in the third quarter of fiscal 2010 resulting in a preliminary pre-tax gain on the sale of \$750, excluding the contingent consideration. The sales price was subject to post-closing working capital adjustments as defined by the sale agreement. During the third quarter of fiscal 2011, as part of an arbitration ruling, the Company made a \$3,558 payment to Emilia Group settling the final post-closing working capital adjustment. Of this amount, \$2,151 was



charged to earnings and included in discontinued operations in the third quarter of fiscal 2011. Including this charge, the pre-tax loss on the sale of the Israel Consumer Products business was \$1,407. Under the terms of the sale agreement, the Company provided distribution and support services for the importation of private label cosmetics from this business into the U.S. market for 12 months after the close of the transaction. These services were fully transferred to Emilia Group during the third quarter of fiscal 2011.

The Company has reflected the results of this business as discontinued operations in the condensed consolidated statements of income for all periods presented. The assets and liabilities of this business are reflected as assets and liabilities of discontinued operations in the condensed consolidated balance sheets for all periods presented. The cash flows related to the support and distribution services that the Company provided were immaterial and limited in duration, and therefore, the Israel Consumer

Table of Contents

Products business was classified as discontinued operations.

There were no operating results related to discontinued operations in the first quarter of fiscal 2012. Results of discontinued operations for the first quarter of fiscal 2011 were as follows:

	First Quarter 2011
Net sales	\$ 5,029
Income before income taxes	\$ 1,107
Income tax expense	(410 )
Income from discontinued operations, net of tax	\$ 697

There were no assets or liabilities related to discontinued operations as of September 24, 2011. The assets and liabilities classified as discontinued operations as of June 25, 2011 and September 25, 2010 were as follows:

	June 25, 2011	September 25, 2010
Accounts receivable, net	\$ 2,568	\$ 2,377
Inventories	—	4,174
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	—	64
Current assets of discontinued operations	\$ 2,568	\$ 6,615
Accounts payable	\$ 2,654	\$ 2,243
Accrued payroll and other accrued liabilities	1,439	1,003
Deferred income tax liabilities	—	960
Current liabilities of discontinued operations	\$ 4,093	\$ 4,206

## NOTE 4 – EARNINGS PER SHARE

A reconciliation of the numerators and denominators used in the basic and diluted earnings per share (EPS) calculation follows:

	First Quarter 2012	2011
Numerator:		
Income from continuing operations	\$ 70,458	\$ 73,678
Income from discontinued operations, net of tax	—	697
Net income used for both basic and diluted EPS	\$ 70,458	\$ 74,375
Denominator:		
Weighted average shares outstanding for basic EPS	92,900	91,824
Dilutive effect of share-based awards	1,053	1,445
Weighted average shares outstanding for diluted EPS	93,953	93,269

Share-based awards outstanding that were anti-dilutive were 66 and 67 for the first quarter of fiscal 2012 and 2011, respectively. These share-based awards were excluded from the diluted EPS calculation.

## NOTE 5 – FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

ASC 820, provides a consistent definition of fair value, which focuses on exit price, prioritizes the use of market-based inputs over entity-specific inputs for measuring fair value and establishes a three-level hierarchy for fair value measurements. ASC 820 requires fair value measurements to be classified and disclosed in one of the following three categories:

Level 1: Quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets and liabilities.

Level 2: Either direct or indirect inputs, other than quoted prices included within Level 1, which are observable for similar

Table of Contents

assets or liabilities.

Level 3: Valuations derived from valuation techniques in which one or more significant inputs are unobservable.

The following tables summarize the valuation of the Company's financial instruments by the above pricing categories as of September 24, 2011, June 25, 2011 and September 25, 2010:

Fair Value Measurements as of September 24, 2011				
Using:				
	Total as of September 24, 2011	Quoted Prices In Active Markets (Level 1)	Prices With Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Prices With Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Assets:				
Cash equivalents	\$67,318	\$ 67,318	\$—	\$—
Investment securities	7,503	—	—	7,503
Funds associated with Israeli post employment benefits	15,873	—	15,873	—
Total	\$90,694	\$ 67,318	\$15,873	\$ 7,503
Liabilities:				
Foreign currency forward contracts, net	\$2,189	\$—	\$2,189	\$—
Interest rate swap agreements	14,279	—	14,279	—
Total	\$16,468	\$—	\$16,468	\$—
Fair Value Measurements as of June 25, 2011 Using:				
	Total as of June 25, 2011	Quoted Prices In Active Markets (Level 1)	Prices With Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Prices With Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Assets:				
Cash equivalents	\$267,221	\$ 267,221	\$—	\$—
Investment securities	7,503	—	—	7,503
Funds associated with Israeli post employment benefits	17,170	—	17,170	—
Foreign currency forward contracts, net	3,353	—	3,353	—
Total	\$295,247	\$ 267,221	\$20,523	\$ 7,503
Liabilities:				
Interest rate swap agreements	\$7,283	\$—	\$7,283	\$—
Total	\$7,283	\$—	\$7,283	\$—
Fair Value Measurements as of September 25, 2010				
Using:				
	Total as of September 25, 2010	Quoted Prices In Active Markets (Level 1)	Prices With Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Prices With Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Assets:				
Cash equivalents	\$11,944	\$ 11,944	\$—	\$—
Investment securities	4,953	—	—	4,953
Funds associated with Israeli post employment benefits	16,077	—	16,077	—

Edgar Filing: PERRIGO CO - Form 10-Q

Foreign currency forward contracts, net	1,567	—	1,567	—
Total	\$34,541	\$ 11,944	\$17,644	\$ 4,953

The carrying amounts of the Company's financial instruments, consisting of cash and cash equivalents, investment securities, accounts receivable, accounts payable and variable rate long-term debt, approximate their fair value. As of September 24, 2011, the carrying value and fair value of the Company's fixed rate long-term debt were \$615,000 and \$692,683, respectively. As of June 25, 2011, the carrying value and fair value of the Company's fixed rate long-term debt were \$615,000 and \$650,812,

Table of Contents

respectively. As of September 25, 2010, the carrying value and fair value of the Company's fixed rate long-term debt were \$615,000 and \$665,414, respectively. Fair values were calculated by discounting the future cash flows of the financial instruments to their present value, using interest rates currently offered for borrowings and deposits of similar nature and remaining maturities. There were no transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 during the three months ended September 24, 2011. The Company's policy regarding the recording of transfers between levels is to record any such transfers at the end of the reporting period.

As of September 24, 2011, the Company had \$15,873 deposited in funds managed by financial institutions that are designated by management to cover post employment benefits for its Israeli employees. Israeli law generally requires payment of severance upon dismissal of an employee or upon termination of employment in certain other circumstances. These funds are included in the Company's long-term investments reported in other non-current assets. The Company's Level 2 securities values are determined using prices for recently traded financial instruments with similar underlying terms, as well as directly or indirectly observable inputs, such as interest rates and yield curves that are observable at commonly quoted intervals.

The Company's investment securities include auction rate securities (ARS) totaling \$18,000 in par value. ARS are privately placed variable rate debt instruments whose interest rates are reset within a contractual range, approximately every 7 to 35 days. Historically, the carrying value of ARS approximated their fair value due to the frequent resetting of the interest rates at auction. With the tightening of the credit markets beginning in calendar 2008, ARS have failed to settle at auction resulting in an illiquid market for these types of securities for an extended period of time. While there are some recent indications that a market is starting to materialize for these securities, though at a much reduced level than the pre-2008 period, the Company cannot predict when liquidity will return for these securities. The Company has reclassified the securities from current assets to other non-current assets due to the unpredictable nature and the illiquidity of the market for the securities.

The Company currently engages the services of an independent third-party valuation firm to assist the Company in estimating the current fair value of the ARS using a discounted cash flow analysis and an assessment of secondary markets, as well as other factors. At September 24, 2011, June 25, 2011 and September 25, 2010, these securities were considered as available-for-sale and were recorded at a fair value of \$7,503, \$7,503 and \$4,393, respectively.

Although the Company continues to earn and collect interest on these investments at the maximum contractual rate, the estimated fair value of ARS cannot be determined by the auction process until liquidity is restored to these markets. The Company will continue to monitor the credit worthiness of the companies that issued these securities and other appropriate factors and make such adjustments as it deems necessary to reflect the fair value of these securities. All of the ARS investments have a contractual maturity of more than five years as of September 24, 2011. The gross realized gains and losses on the sale of ARS are determined using the specific identification method.

In addition to ARS, as of September 25, 2010, the Company held a total of \$560 of collateralized debt obligations backed primarily by U.S. Treasury obligations. In the second quarter of fiscal 2011, the Company sold its collateralized debt obligations backed primarily by U.S. Treasury obligations for proceeds of \$560. As of December 25, 2010, the Company no longer held any collateralized debt obligations.

The following table presents a rollforward of the assets measured at fair value using unobservable inputs (Level 3) at September 24, 2011:

	Investment Securities (Level 3)
Assets:	
Balance as of June 25, 2011	\$ 7,503
Foreign currency translation	—
Balance as of September 24, 2011	\$ 7,503

NOTE 6 – INVENTORIES

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market and are summarized as follows:

	September 24, 2011	June 25, 2011	September 25, 2010
Finished goods	\$268,254	\$244,758	\$199,956
Work in process	141,036	119,732	117,770
Raw materials	153,967	141,086	143,518
Total inventories	\$563,257	\$505,576	\$461,244

Table of Contents

## NOTE 7 – GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

In the first quarter of fiscal 2012, there was an addition to goodwill in the Rx Pharmaceuticals segment related to the acquisition of Paddock. The Company performs its annual testing for goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible asset impairment at the beginning of the fourth quarter of the fiscal year for all reporting units. Changes in the carrying amount of goodwill, by reportable segment, were as follows:

	Consumer Healthcare	Nutritionals	Rx Pharmaceuticals	API	Total
Balance as of June 25, 2011	\$126,309	\$331,744	\$81,631	\$98,361	\$638,045
Business acquisition	—	—	150,035	—	150,035
Currency translation adjustment	(4,328)	) —	(5,739)	) (6,691)	) (16,758)
Balance as of September 24, 2011	\$121,981	\$331,744	\$225,927	\$91,670	\$771,322

Other intangible assets and related accumulated amortization consisted of the following:

	September 24, 2011		June 25, 2011		September 25, 2010	
	Gross	Accumulated Amortization	Gross	Accumulated Amortization	Gross	Accumulated Amortization
Amortizable intangibles:						
Developed product technology/formulation and product rights	\$547,354	\$107,841	\$328,461	\$101,494	\$317,862	\$77,456
Distribution and license agreements	52,455	20,508	52,790	19,844	41,506	16,778
Customer relationships	328,620	36,212	331,081	32,029	329,129	19,860
Trademarks	5,023	702	5,378	730	4,877	718
Non-compete agreements	6,136	2,648	6,391	2,431	6,123	1,459
Total	939,588	167,911	724,101	156,528	699,497	116,271
Non-amortizable intangibles:						
In-process research and development	35,000	—	—	—	—	—
Trade names and trademarks	6,602	—	6,857	—	6,767	—
Total intangibles	\$981,190	\$167,911	\$730,958	\$156,528	\$706,264	\$116,271

As of September 24, 2011, developed product technology/formulation and product rights included a net increase of \$230,000 related to the Paddock acquisition. Certain intangible assets are denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar; therefore, their gross and net carrying values are subject to foreign currency movements.

The Company recorded amortization expense of \$20,021 and \$11,287 for the first quarter of fiscal 2012 and 2011, respectively, for intangible assets subject to amortization. The increase in amortization expense in the first quarter of fiscal 2012 was due primarily to the incremental amortization expense incurred on the amortizable intangible assets acquired as part of the Paddock acquisition.

Estimated future amortization expense includes the additional amortization related to recently acquired intangible assets currently subject to amortization. The estimated amortization expense for each of the following five years is as follows:

Fiscal Year	Amount
-------------	--------



Edgar Filing: PERRIGO CO - Form 10-Q

2012 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$55,000
2013	74,100
2014	73,600
2015	72,700
2016	70,800

12

---

Table of Contents

(1) Reflects remaining nine months of fiscal 2012.

## NOTE 8 – INDEBTEDNESS

Total borrowings outstanding are summarized as follows:

	September 24, 2011	June 25, 2011	September 25, 2010
Short-term debt:			
Swingline loan	\$—	\$—	\$1,000
Line of credit – India subsidiary	3,750	2,770	524
Borrowings under Securitization Program	—	—	63,000
Current portion of long-term debt:			
Term loans	40,000	15,000	—
Total	43,750	17,770	64,524
Long-term debt:			
Borrowings under Securitization Program	55,000	—	—
Term loans	485,000	260,000	225,000
Senior notes	615,000	615,000	615,000
Other	787	—	—
Total	1,155,787	875,000	840,000
Total debt	\$1,199,537	\$892,770	\$904,524

On September 1, 2011, the Company entered into a Second Supplement (Second Supplement) to the Master Note Purchase Agreement dated as of May 29, 2008 (Note Agreement), as supplemented by a First Supplement dated as of April 30, 2010 (First Supplement), with various institutional investors providing for the future issuance in a private placement of senior notes consisting of \$75,000, 4.27% Series 2011-A senior notes, due September 30, 2021 (Series 2011-A Notes); \$175,000, 4.52% Series 2011-B senior notes, due December 15, 2023 (Series 2011-B Notes); and \$100,000, 4.67% Series 2011-C senior notes, due September 30, 2026 (Series 2011-C Notes, and together with the Series 2011-A Notes and the Series 2011-B Notes, the Series 2011 Notes). The Series 2011 Notes, together with the Series 2008 Notes and Series 2010 Notes previously issued pursuant to the Note Agreement and each series of additional notes that may from time to time hereafter be issued pursuant to the Note Agreement, are collectively referred to herein as the Notes. The Company expects to use the net proceeds from the sale of the Series 2011 Notes for general corporate purposes, which may include the repayment of indebtedness.

The obligations under the Notes are guaranteed by certain of the Company's subsidiaries, and the Notes are secured, on a ratable basis with bank debt, by a lien on certain assets of the Company and its subsidiaries.

Subsequent to the first quarter of fiscal 2012, the Series 2011-A and Series 2011-C Notes were issued on September 30, 2011, and interest on those Notes will be payable semiannually on March 30 and September 30 in each year, commencing on March 30, 2012. The Series 2011-B Notes will be issued on December 15, 2011, and interest on those Notes will be payable semiannually on June 15 and December 15 in each year, commencing on June 15, 2012.

As discussed in Note 2, on July 26, 2011 the Company completed the acquisition of substantially all of the assets of Paddock for \$547,052 in cash. The Company funded the transaction using the \$250,000 five-year term loan discussed below, \$212,052 of cash on hand and \$85,000 from its accounts receivable securitization program. Concurrent with the signing of the agreement, the Company entered into a Term Loan Agreement (the 2011 Term Loan Agreement).

Under the terms of the the 2011 Term Loan Agreement, the term loan commitment was \$250,000, which was fully funded on July 26, 2011 in conjunction with the closing of the Paddock acquisition. The final maturity date of the term loan is July 26, 2016; however, the term loan will be subject to mandatory partial repayments of \$25,000 on each of the first four annual anniversary dates of the funding. The term loan will bear interest, at the election of the Company, at either the Annual Base Rate or the Adjusted LIBO rate plus an Applicable Margin, as specified in the 2011 Term Loan Agreement.

On July 6, 2011, the Company's India subsidiary executed a term loan agreement with The Hong Kong and Shanghai Banking

Table of Contents

Corporation Ltd. Funds are available for capital expenditures in one or more draws in an aggregate amount not to exceed approximately \$6,000. The facility is payable in two annual installments, with the first installment due July 6, 2015 and the final installment due July 6, 2016. Terms and conditions of the line are normal and customary for similar lines in India. The interest rate on this facility was 11.5% as of September 24, 2011. The Company's India subsidiary had \$787 outstanding on this line as of the end of its first quarter of fiscal 2012.

On October 8, 2010, the Company entered into a credit agreement with a group of banks (the 2010 Credit Agreement), which provides an initial revolving loan commitment of \$350,000 and an initial term loan commitment of \$150,000, each subject to increase or decrease as specified in the 2010 Credit Agreement. Both loans bear interest, at the election of the Company, at either the Annual Base Rate plus an Applicable Margin or the Adjusted LIBOR plus an Applicable Margin, as specified and defined in the 2010 Credit Agreement. The obligations under the 2010 Credit Agreement are guaranteed by certain subsidiaries of the Company, and in some instances, the obligations may be secured by a pledge of 65% of the stock of certain foreign subsidiaries.

The final maturity date of the term and revolving loans under the 2010 Credit Agreement is October 8, 2015; however, the term loan is subject to mandatory partial repayments of \$15,000 on each of the first four annual anniversary dates of the agreement. The Company used the proceeds from the term loan and revolving loan for general corporate purposes and to repay certain other outstanding debt, including the \$100,000 term loan made pursuant to the Company's prior credit agreement. In connection with the execution of the 2010 Credit Agreement, the Company terminated its prior credit agreement, dated as of March 16, 2005, and amended its existing term loan agreement, dated as of April 22, 2008, to conform certain covenants in that term loan agreement to the covenants contained in the 2010 Credit Agreement and to make certain other conforming changes.

On May 26, 2010, the Company's India subsidiary executed a short-term credit line with The Hong Kong and Shanghai Banking Corporation Ltd. Funds are available for working capital and general business purposes in one or more draws under the line in an aggregate amount not to exceed approximately \$4,500. Terms and conditions of the line are normal and customary for similar lines in India. The interest rate on this facility was 11.0% and 10.5% as of September 24, 2011 and June 25, 2011, respectively. The credit line expires after 180 days but can be extended by mutual agreement of the parties. The Company's India subsidiary had \$3,750 outstanding on this line of credit as of the end of its first quarter of fiscal 2012.

On July 23, 2009, the Company entered into an accounts receivable securitization program (the Securitization Program) with several of its wholly owned subsidiaries and Bank of America Securities, LLC (Bank of America). The Company renewed the Securitization Program on July 22, 2010 and, most recently, on June 13, 2011 with Bank of America, as Agent, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (Wells Fargo) and PNC Bank, National Association (PNC) as Managing Agents (together, the Committed Investors).

The Securitization Program is a three-year program, and under the terms of the Securitization Program, the subsidiaries sell certain eligible trade accounts receivables to a wholly owned bankruptcy remote special purpose entity (SPE), Perrigo Receivables, LLC. The Company has retained servicing responsibility for those receivables. The SPE then transfers an interest in the receivables to the Committed Investors. Under the terms of the Securitization Program, Bank of America, Wells Fargo and PNC have committed \$101,750, \$55,500 and \$27,750, respectively, effectively allowing the Company to borrow up to a total amount of \$185,000, subject to a Maximum Net Investment calculation as defined in the agreement. At September 24, 2011, \$185,000 was available under this calculation. The interest rate on any borrowings is based on a thirty-day LIBOR plus 0.45%. In addition, a facility fee of 0.45% is applied to the \$185,000 commitment. Under the terms of the Securitization Program, the Company may elect to have the entire amount or any portion of the facility unutilized.

Any borrowing made pursuant to the Securitization Program is classified as debt in the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheet. The amount of the eligible receivables will vary during the year based on seasonality of the business and could, at times, limit the amount available to the Company from the sale of these interests. As discussed above, concurrent with the closing of the Paddock acquisition on July 26, 2011, the Company borrowed \$85,000 under its Securitization Program to partially fund the acquisition. The Company had \$55,000 and \$63,000 outstanding as of September 24, 2011 and September 25, 2010, respectively. There were no borrowings outstanding under the Securitization Program at June 25, 2011.

#### NOTE 9 – DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES

The Company accounts for derivatives in accordance with ASC Topic 815, "Derivatives and Hedging" (ASC 815), which establishes accounting and reporting standards requiring that derivative instruments (including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts) be recorded on the balance sheet as either an asset or liability measured at fair value. Additionally, changes in the derivative's fair value shall be recognized currently in earnings unless specific hedge accounting criteria are met. If hedge accounting criteria are met for cash flow hedges, the changes in a derivative's fair value are recorded in shareholders' equity as a component of other comprehensive income (OCI), net of tax. These deferred gains and losses are recognized in income in the period in which

## Table of Contents

the hedged item and hedging instrument affect earnings. All of the Company's designated hedging instruments are classified as cash flow hedges.

The Company is exposed to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the counterparties on derivative contracts. It is the Company's policy to manage its credit risk on these transactions by dealing only with financial institutions having a long-term credit rating of "A" or better and by distributing the contracts among several financial institutions to diversify credit concentration risk.

### Interest Rate Hedging

The Company executes treasury-lock agreements (T-Locks) and interest rate swap agreements to manage its exposure to changes in interest rates related to its long-term borrowings. For derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges, changes in the fair value, net of tax, are reported as a component of OCI.

Interest rate swap agreements are contracts to exchange floating rate for fixed rate interest payments over the life of the agreement without the exchange of the underlying notional amounts. The notional amounts of the interest rate swap agreements are used to measure interest to be paid or received and do not represent the amount of exposure to credit loss. The differential paid or received on the interest rate swap agreements is recognized as an adjustment to interest expense.

In the first quarter of fiscal 2012, with the expected issuance of long-term debt, the Company entered into interest rate swap agreements with a notional value of \$175,000 to hedge the exposure to the possible rise in the benchmark interest rate prior to the issuance of the Series 2011-A Notes and Series 2011-C Notes on September 30, 2011. The interest rate swaps, which the Company designated as cash flow hedges, were settled in the first quarter of fiscal 2012 upon entering into a definitive agreement for the issuance of an aggregate of \$175,000 principal amount of the Series 2011 Notes for a cumulative pre-tax loss of \$1,228, which was recorded in OCI and will be amortized to earnings as an accretion to interest expense over the first 10 years of the life of the Series 2011-A Notes and Series 2011-C Notes.

In the fourth quarter of fiscal 2011, the Company entered into interest rate swap agreements to reduce the impact of fluctuations in interest rates on the 2011 Term Loan Agreement and subsequent amendments, refinancing or replacements. The interest rate swap agreements fix the interest rate at 2.5775% on an initial notional amount of principal of \$150,000. The interest rate swap agreements will expire on May 3, 2016.

In the second quarter of fiscal 2011, the Company entered into interest rate swap agreements to reduce the impact of fluctuations in interest rates on the term loan under the 2010 Credit Agreement and subsequent amendments, refinancing or replacements. The interest rate swap agreements fix the interest rate at 1.545% on an initial notional amount of principal of \$90,000. The interest rate swap agreements will expire on October 8, 2015.

In accordance with ASC 815, the Company designated the above interest rate swaps as cash flow hedges and formally documented the relationship between the interest rate swaps and the variable rate borrowings, as well as its risk management objective and strategy for undertaking the hedge transaction. This process included linking the derivative to the specific liability or asset on the balance sheet. The Company also assesses, both at the hedge's inception and on an ongoing basis, whether the derivative used in the hedging transaction is highly effective in offsetting changes in the cash flows of the hedged item. The effective portion of unrealized gains (losses) is deferred as a component of accumulated OCI and is recognized in earnings at the time the hedged item affects earnings. Any ineffective portion of the change in fair value is immediately recognized in earnings.

### Foreign Currency Contracts

The Company is exposed to foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations in the normal course of its business, which the Company manages through the use of foreign currency put, call and forward contracts. For foreign currency contracts designated as cash flow hedges, changes in the fair value of the foreign currency contracts, net of tax, are reported as a component of OCI. For foreign currency contracts not designated as hedges, changes in fair value are recorded in current period earnings.

The Company's foreign currency hedging program also includes cash flow hedges. The Company enters into foreign currency forward contracts in order to hedge the impact of fluctuations of foreign exchange on expected future purchases and related payables denominated in a foreign currency. These forward contracts have a maximum maturity date of twelve months. In addition, the Company enters into foreign currency forward contracts in order to hedge the impact of fluctuations of foreign exchange on expected future sales and related receivables denominated in a foreign currency. These forward contracts also have a maximum maturity date of twelve months. The Company did not have any foreign currency put or call contracts as of September 24, 2011.

Table of Contents

In accordance with ASC 815, the Company has designated certain forward contracts as cash flow hedges and has formally documented the relationships between the forward contracts and the hedged items, as well as its risk management objective and strategy for undertaking the hedge transactions. This process includes linking the derivative to the specific liability or asset on the balance sheet. The Company also assesses, both at the inception of the hedge and on an ongoing basis, whether the derivative used in the hedging transaction is highly effective in offsetting changes in the cash flows of the hedged item. The effective portion of unrealized gains (losses) is deferred as a component of accumulated OCI and is recognized in earnings at the time the hedged item affects earnings. Any ineffective portion of the change in fair value is immediately recognized in earnings.

All derivative instruments are managed on a consolidated basis to efficiently net exposures and thus take advantage of any natural offsets. The absolute value of the notional amounts of derivative contracts for the Company approximated \$377,800 at September 24, 2011. Gains and losses related to the derivative instruments are expected to be largely offset by gains and losses on the original underlying asset or liability. The Company does not use derivative financial instruments for speculative purposes.

The effects of derivative instruments on the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheets as of September 24, 2011, June 25, 2011 and September 25, 2010 and on the Company's income and OCI for the three months ended September 24, 2011 and September 25, 2010 were as follows (amounts presented exclude any income tax effects):

Fair Values of Derivative Instruments in Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet  
(Designated as (non)hedging instruments under ASC 815)

		Asset Derivatives			
		Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value		
			September 24, 2011	June 25, 2011	September 25, 2010
Hedging derivatives:					
Foreign currency forward contracts	Other current assets	\$1,563	\$4,178	\$2,987	
Total hedging derivatives		\$1,563	\$4,178	\$2,987	
Non-hedging derivatives:					
Foreign currency forward contracts	Other current assets	\$218	\$206	\$39	
Total non-hedging derivatives		\$218	\$206	\$39	
		Liability Derivatives			
		Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value		
			September 24, 2011	June 25, 2011	September 25, 2010
Hedging derivatives:					
Foreign currency forward contracts	Accrued liabilities	\$3,331	\$952	\$1,230	
Interest rate swap agreements	Other non-current liabilities	14,279	7,283	—	
Total hedging derivatives		\$17,610	\$8,235	\$1,230	
Non-hedging derivatives:					
Foreign currency forward contracts	Accrued liabilities	\$639	\$79	\$229	
Total non-hedging derivatives		\$639	\$79	\$229	



Table of Contents

Effects of Derivative Instruments on Income and OCI for the three months ended September 24, 2011 and September 25, 2010

Derivatives in ASC 815 Cash Flow Hedging Relationships	Amount of Gain/(Loss) Recognized in OCI on Derivative (Effective Portion)		Location and Amount of Gain/(Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion)	Location and Amount of Gain/(Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative (Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing)				
	September 24, 2011	September 25, 2010		September 24, 2011	September 25, 2010	September 24, 2011	September 25, 2010	
T-Locks	\$—	\$—	Interest, net	\$ 91	\$91	Interest, net	\$—	\$—
Interest rate swap agreements	(6,825 )	—	Interest, net	821	—	Other expense	—	—
Foreign currency forward contracts	(4,269 )	5,437	Net sales	(413 )	(90 )	Net sales	(20 )	—
			Cost of sales	1,529	(1,086 )	Cost of sales	687	(133 )
			Interest, net	10	11			
			Other (expense) income, net	(835 )	1,494			
Total	\$(11,094 )	\$ 5,437		\$ 1,203	\$420		\$ 667	\$(133 )
Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments under ASC 815			Location of Gain/(Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative			Amount of Gain/(Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative First Quarter 2012	2011	
Foreign currency forward contracts <sup>(1)</sup>			Other expense, net			\$(1,290 )	\$(506 )	

(1) The net hedge result offsets the revaluation of the underlying balance sheet exposure, which is also recorded in Other expense.

## NOTE 10 – SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

The Company issued 499 and 671 shares related to the exercise and vesting of share-based compensation awards during the first quarter of fiscal 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The Company does not currently have a common stock repurchase program. During the first quarter of fiscal 2012, the Company repurchased 87 shares of its common stock for \$7,899 in private party transactions. During the first quarter of fiscal 2011, the Company repurchased 140 shares of its common stock for \$8,168 in private party transactions. All common stock repurchased by the Company becomes authorized but unissued stock and is available for reissuance in the future for general corporate purposes.

## NOTE 11 – COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

Comprehensive income is comprised of all changes in shareholders' equity during the period other than from transactions with shareholders. Comprehensive income consisted of the following:

17

---

Table of Contents

	First Quarter	
	2012	2011
Net income	\$70,458	\$74,375
Other comprehensive income (loss):		
Change in fair value of derivative instruments, net of tax	(7,796	) 3,337
Foreign currency translation adjustments	(52,960	) 32,132
Postretirement liability adjustments, net of tax	(17	) (251
Comprehensive income	\$9,685	\$109,593

## NOTE 12 – INCOME TAXES

The effective tax rate on income from continuing operations was 20.6% and 27.9% for the first quarter of fiscal 2012 and 2011, respectively. The effective tax rate for the first quarter of fiscal 2012 was favorably affected by a reduction in the reserves for uncertain tax liabilities, recorded in accordance with ASC Topic 740 "Income Taxes", in the amount of \$8,598 related to various audit resolutions and statute expirations. In addition, the higher level of income derived from international operations in the first quarter of fiscal 2012 as compared to fiscal 2011 had a significant effect on the Company's overall effective tax rate. Foreign source income is generally derived from jurisdictions with a lower tax rate than the U.S. statutory rate, resulting in a lower consolidated effective tax rate compared to what the Company had historically experienced. Foreign source income from continuing operations before tax for the first quarter of fiscal 2012 was 61% of pre-tax earnings, up from 28% in the same period of fiscal 2011.

The Company's tax rate is subject to adjustment over the balance of the fiscal year due to, among other things, changes in revenue mix, unanticipated changes in applicable laws and changes in the jurisdictions in which the Company does business.

The total amount of unrecognized tax benefits was \$123,812 and \$121,672 as of September 24, 2011 and June 25, 2011, respectively.

The total amount accrued for interest and penalties in the liability for uncertain tax positions was \$22,818 and \$23,339 as of September 24, 2011 and June 25, 2011, respectively.

## NOTE 13 – COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

On March 11, 2009, a purported shareholder of the Company named Michael L. Warner ("Warner") filed a lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York against the Company and certain of its officers and directors, including the President and Chief Executive Officer, Joseph Papa, and the Chief Financial Officer, Judy Brown, among others. The plaintiff sought to represent a class of purchasers of the Company's common stock during the period between November 6, 2008 and February 2, 2009. The complaint alleged violations of Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act). The plaintiff generally alleged that the Company misled investors by failing to disclose, prior to February 3, 2009, that certain auction rate securities held by the Company, totaling approximately \$18,000 in par value (the ARS), had been purchased from Lehman Brothers Holdings, Inc. (Lehman). The plaintiff asserted that omission of the identity of Lehman as the seller of the ARS was material because after Lehman's bankruptcy filing, on September 15, 2008, the Company allegedly became unable to look to Lehman to repurchase the ARS at a price near par value. The complaint sought unspecified damages and unspecified equitable or injunctive relief, along with costs and attorneys' fees.

On June 15, 2009, the Court appointed several purported shareholders of the Company, namely CLAL Finance Batucha Investment Management, Ltd., The Phoenix Insurance Company, Ltd., Excellence Nessuah Mutual Funds Management, Ltd. and Excellence Nessuah Gemel & Pension, Ltd., as Co-Lead Plaintiffs. On July 31, 2009, these Co-Lead Plaintiffs filed an amended complaint. The amended complaint dropped all claims against the individual

defendants other than Joseph Papa and Judy Brown, and added a “control person” claim under Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act against the members of the Company’s Audit Committee. The amended complaints asserted many of the same claims and allegations as the original pleading. It also alleged that the Company should have disclosed, prior to February 3, 2009, that Lehman had sold the ARS to the Company and had provided the allegedly inflated valuation of the ARS that the Company adopted in its Form 10-Q filing for the first quarter of fiscal 2009, which was filed with the SEC on November 6, 2008. The amended complaint also alleged that some portion of the write-down of the value of the ARS that the Company recognized in the second quarter of fiscal 2009 should have been taken in the prior quarter, immediately following Lehman’s bankruptcy filing. On September 28, 2009, the defendants filed a motion to dismiss all claims against all defendants. On September 30, 2010, the Court granted in part and denied in part the motion to dismiss. The Court dismissed the “control person” claims against the members of the Company’s Audit Committee, but denied the motion to dismiss as to the

Table of Contents

remaining claims and defendants. On October 29, 2010, the defendants filed a new motion to dismiss the amended complaint on the grounds that the Co-Lead Plaintiffs (who were the only plaintiffs named in the amended complaint) lacked standing to sue under the U.S. securities laws following a recent decision of the United States Supreme Court holding that Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act does not apply extraterritorially to the claims of foreign investors who purchased or sold securities on foreign stock exchanges. On December 23, 2010, a shareholder named Harel Insurance, Ltd. (Harel) filed a motion to intervene as an additional named plaintiff. Although Harel is a non-U.S. investor, it claims to have purchased the Company's common stock on a U.S. exchange. On January 10, 2011, the original plaintiff, Warner, filed a motion renewing his previously withdrawn motion to be appointed as Lead Plaintiff to replace the Co-Lead Plaintiffs.

On September 28, 2011, the Court granted defendants' renewed motion to dismiss. The Court (i) dismissed the claims of the then-Co-Lead Plaintiffs; (ii) ruled that any class that might ultimately be certified could only consist of persons who purchased their Perrigo shares on the NASDAQ market or by other means involving transactions in the United States; (iii) granted Harel's motion to intervene as a named plaintiff, subject to the filing by Harel of an amended complaint alleging that Harel's purchases of Perrigo stock were made in the United States; (iv) ruled that Warner would be treated as a named plaintiff; and (v) left for later the selection of Lead Plaintiffs. On October 7, 2011, plaintiffs filed a second amended complaint on behalf of both Harel and Warner as named plaintiffs, alleging the same claims as in the amended complaint but on behalf of a purported class limited to those who purchased Perrigo stock on the NASDAQ market or by other means involving transactions in the United States. The second amended complaint alleges that Harel purchased Perrigo stock on the NASDAQ market during the purported class period. Also on October 7, 2011, the plaintiffs filed a stipulation seeking to appoint Harel and Warner as the new co-lead plaintiffs, subject to approval of the Court. Defendants have not yet responded to the second amended complaint and discovery has not commenced.

In March and June of 2007, lawsuits were filed by three separate groups against both the State of Israel and the Council of Ramat Hovav in connection with waste disposal and pollution from several companies, including the Company, that have operations in the Ramat Hovav region of Israel. These lawsuits were subsequently consolidated into a single proceeding in the District Court of Beer-Sheva. The Council of Ramat Hovav, in June 2008, and the State of Israel, in November 2008, asserted third party claims against several companies, including the Company. The pleadings allege a variety of personal injuries arising out of the alleged environmental pollution. Neither the plaintiffs nor the third party claimants were required to specify a maximum amount of damages, but the pleadings allege damages in excess of \$72,500, subject to foreign currency fluctuations between the Israeli shekel and the U.S. dollar. While the Company intends to vigorously defend against these claims, the Company cannot reasonably predict at this time the outcome or the liability, if any, associated with these claims.

In addition to the foregoing discussion, the Company has pending certain other legal actions and claims incurred in the normal course of business. The Company believes that it has meritorious defenses to these lawsuits and/or is covered by insurance and is actively pursuing the defense thereof. The Company believes the resolution of all of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on its financial condition and results of operations as reported in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. However, depending on the amount and timing of an unfavorable resolution of these lawsuits, the Company's future results of operations or cash flow could be materially impacted in a particular period.

## NOTE 14 – SEGMENT INFORMATION

The Company has four reportable segments, aligned primarily by type of product: Consumer Healthcare, Nutritionals, Rx Pharmaceuticals and API, along with an Other category. As discussed in Note 3, beginning in the third quarter of fiscal 2009, the operating results of the Company's former Israel Consumer Products operating segment are reported as discontinued operations in the Company's condensed consolidated statements of income and are not included in the table below for any period presented. The Rx Pharmaceuticals segment incurred a step-up in the value of inventory of \$27,179 due to the Paddock acquisition in the first quarter of fiscal 2012. During the first quarter of fiscal 2012, the

Company incurred \$8,800 of acquisition-related charges, \$3,200 in the Rx Pharmaceuticals segment and \$5,600 as unallocated expenses. The accounting policies of each segment are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies set forth in Note 1. The majority of corporate expenses, which generally represent shared services, are charged to operating segments as part of a corporate allocation. Unallocated expenses relate to certain corporate services that are not allocated to the segments.

Table of Contents

	Consumer Healthcare	Nutritionals	Rx Pharmaceuticals	API	Other	Unallocated expenses	Total
First Quarter 2012							
Net sales	\$411,681	\$119,861	\$127,627	\$47,644	\$18,482	—	\$725,295
Operating income (loss)	\$64,483	\$9,065	\$26,843	\$14,578	\$446	\$(13,863)	\$101,552
Amortization of intangibles	\$2,245	\$9,465	\$7,353	\$521	\$437	—	\$20,021
Total assets	\$1,158,923	\$975,467	\$988,042	\$269,912	\$121,743	—	\$3,514,087
First Quarter 2011							
Net sales	\$396,104	\$122,684	\$69,333	\$37,361	\$15,840	—	\$641,322
Operating income (loss)	\$71,319	\$18,079	\$17,755	\$10,323	\$805	\$(6,514)	\$111,767
Amortization of intangibles	\$2,114	\$5,801	\$2,459	\$492	\$421	—	\$11,287
Total assets	\$1,050,912	\$978,329	\$369,445	\$248,980	\$117,475	—	\$2,765,141

## NOTE 15 – RESTRUCTURING

## Florida

In the fourth quarter of fiscal 2011, due to decreased profitability caused by increased competition, the Company made the decision to exit one of the product lines manufactured in its Florida facility. As a result of this restructuring plan, the Company determined that the carrying value of certain fixed assets utilized for this product line was not fully recoverable. Accordingly, the Company incurred a non-cash impairment charge of \$693 in its Consumer Healthcare segment in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2011 to reflect the difference between carrying value and the estimated fair value of the affected assets. In addition, the Company incurred charges of \$340 for inventory writedowns. Additional charges related to this restructuring plan, if any, are not expected to be material.

## NOTE 16 – SUBSEQUENT EVENT

## 2011 Credit Agreement

The Company and certain of its subsidiaries entered into a Credit Agreement dated as of October 26, 2011, with JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent; Bank of America, N.A. and Morgan Stanley Senior Funding, Inc., as Syndication Agents; and certain other participant banks (the “2011 Credit Agreement”). Under the terms of the 2011 Credit Agreement, the initial revolving loan commitment is \$400,000 and the initial term loan commitment is \$400,000, each subject to increase or decrease as specified in the 2011 Credit Agreement. The initial funding of the 2011 Credit Agreement will occur on November 3, 2011. The loans bear interest, at the election of the Company, at either the Alternate Base Rate plus the Applicable Margin or the Adjusted LIBO Rate plus the Applicable Margin, as specified and defined in the 2011 Credit Agreement. The Applicable Margin is based on the Company's Leverage Ratio from time to time, as defined in the 2011 Credit Agreement. The obligations under the 2011 Credit Agreement are guaranteed by certain subsidiaries of the Company and, in some instances, the obligation may be secured by a pledge of 65% of the equity interests of certain foreign subsidiaries. The maturity date of the term loan and the final maturity date of any revolving loan is November 3, 2016; however, the term loan is subject to mandatory partial repayments of \$40,000 on each of the first four annual anniversary dates of the funding. Upon the occurrences of certain specified events of default, the principal amount of the term loan and any revolving loans then outstanding may be declared due and payable, together with accrued interest. The 2011 Credit Agreement contains affirmative and negative covenants that the Company believes are normal and customary for transactions of this type.

The Company intends to use the proceeds from the term loan and any revolving loans for general corporate purposes, including the repayments of certain other debts outstanding at the time such loans are made, including the loans made pursuant to the 2010 Credit Agreement and the 2011 Term Loan Agreement.

Amendment to 2008 Term Loan Agreement

On October 26, 2011, in connection with the execution of the 2011 Credit Agreement, the Company and certain of its subsidiaries entered into a Second Amendment (the "Second Amendment") to the Term Loan Agreement, dated as of April 22, 2008, with JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent, and the lenders party thereto (the "Term Loan Agreement"). The Second



Table of Contents

Amendment conforms certain covenants in the Term Loan Agreement to the covenants contained in the 2011 Credit Agreement and makes certain other conforming changes.

Replacement of Existing Facilities

In connection with the execution of the 2011 Credit Agreement, the Credit Agreement, dated as of October 8, 2010, among the Company and certain of its subsidiaries, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent, and the lender parties listed therein (the “2010 Credit Agreement”), will be replaced by the 2011 Credit Agreement effective as of the November 3 funding date of the 2011 Credit Agreement.

In connection with the execution of the 2011 Credit Agreement, the Term Loan Agreement, dated as of January 20, 2011, among the Company and certain of its subsidiaries, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent, and the lender parties listed therein (the “2011 Term Loan Agreement”), will be replaced by the 2011 Credit Agreement effective as of the November 3 funding date of the 2011 Credit Agreement.

Table of Contents

Item 2.

MANAGEMENT’S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS  
OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS  
FIRST QUARTER FISCAL YEARS 2012 AND 2011  
(in thousands, except per share amounts)

OVERVIEW

Perrigo Company (the “Company”) traces its history back to 1887. What was started as a small local proprietor selling medicinals to regional grocers has evolved into a leading global pharmaceutical company that manufactures and distributes more than 40 billion oral solid doses and several hundred million liquid doses, as well as dozens of other product forms, each year. The Company’s mission is to offer uncompromised “quality, affordable healthcare products”, and it does so across a wide variety of product categories primarily in the U.S., U.K., Mexico, Israel and Australia, as well as certain other markets throughout the world, including Canada, China and Latin America.

Segments – The Company has four reportable segments, aligned primarily by type of product: Consumer Healthcare, Nutritionals, Rx Pharmaceuticals and API. In addition, the Company has an Other category that consists of the Israel Pharmaceutical and Diagnostic Products operating segment, which does not individually meet the quantitative thresholds required to be a separately reportable segment.

The Consumer Healthcare segment is the world’s largest store brand manufacturer of over-the-counter (OTC) pharmaceutical products. This business markets products that are comparable in quality and effectiveness to national brand products. The cost to the retailer of a store brand product is significantly lower than that of a comparable nationally advertised brand-name product. The retailer, therefore, can price a store brand product below the competing national brand product yet realize a greater profit margin. Generally, the retailers’ dollar profit per unit of store brand product is greater than the dollar profit per unit of the comparable national brand product. The consumer benefits by receiving a high quality product at a price below the comparable national brand product. Therefore, the Company’s business model saves consumers on their annual healthcare spending. The Company, one of the original architects of private label pharmaceuticals, is the market leader for consumer healthcare products in many of the geographies where it currently competes - the U.S., U.K. and Mexico. The Company’s market share of store brand private label OTC products has grown in recent years as new products, retailer marketing commitment and economic factors have directed consumers to the value of store brand product offerings.

The Nutritionals segment manufactures, markets and distributes infant formula products, infant and toddler foods, vitamin, mineral and dietary supplement (VMS) products, and oral electrolyte solution products to retailers and consumers in the U.S., Canada, Mexico and China. Similar to the Consumer Healthcare segment, this business markets products that are comparable in quality and effectiveness to the national brand products. The cost to the retailer of a store brand product is significantly lower than that of a comparable nationally advertised brand-name product. The retailer, therefore, can price a store brand product below the competing national brand product yet realize a greater profit margin. All infant formulas sold in the U.S. are subject to the same regulations governing manufacturing and ingredients per the Infant Formula Act. Store brands, which are value priced and offer substantial savings to consumers, must meet the same U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) nutritional requirements as the national brands.

The Rx Pharmaceuticals segment develops, manufactures and markets a portfolio of generic prescription (Rx) drugs in the U.S. The Company defines this portfolio as predominantly “extended topical” in nature as it encompasses a broad array of topical-dosage forms including creams, ointments, lotions, gels, shampoos, foams, suppositories, sprays, liquids, suspensions, solutions, injectables and controlled substances. The strategy in the Rx Pharmaceuticals segment is to be the first to market with those new products that are exposed to less competition because they have formulations that are more difficult to develop and more costly to complete. In addition, the Rx Pharmaceuticals segment offers OTC products through the prescription channel (referred to as “ORx®” marketing). ORx® products are OTC products that are available for pharmacy fulfillment and healthcare reimbursement when prescribed by a physician. The Company offers over 200 ORx® products that are reimbursable through many health plans and Medicaid and Medicare programs. When prescribed by a doctor or other health care professional, ORx® products

offer consumers safe and effective remedies that provide an affordable alternative to higher out-of-pocket costs of traditional OTC products. The Company's ORx® strategy is to register OTC products for reimbursement through public and private health plans, as well as to leverage its portfolio and pipeline of OTC products for generic substitution when appropriate. The acquisition of Paddock Laboratories, Inc. (Paddock), which closed in the first quarter of fiscal 2012, expanded the Company's generic Rx product offering, pipeline and scale.

The API segment develops, manufactures and markets active pharmaceutical ingredients (API) used worldwide by the generic drug industry and branded pharmaceutical companies. The strategy of the API segment is to focus development efforts on the synthesis of less common molecules for its customers, as well as for the more complex products within the Consumer Healthcare

## Table of Contents

development pipelines. This segment is undergoing a strategic platform transformation, moving certain production from Israel to the acquired API manufacturing facility in India to allow for lower cost production and to create space for other, more complex production in Israel.

In addition to general management and strategic leadership, each business segment has its own sales and marketing teams focused on servicing the specific requirements of its customer base. All of these business segments share Research and Development (R&D), Supply Chain, Information Technology, Finance, Human Resources, Legal and Quality services, all of which are directed out of the Company's headquarters in Allegan, Michigan.

Over recent years, the Company has been executing a strategy designed to expand its product offering through both advanced R&D and acquisitions and to reach new healthcare consumers through entry into new markets. This strategy is accomplished by investing in and continually improving all aspects of its five strategic pillars: high quality, superior customer service, leading innovation, best cost and empowered people. The concentration of common shared service activities around the world and development of centers of excellence in R&D have played an important role in ensuring the consistency and quality of the Company's five strategic pillars.

**Principles of Consolidation** – The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and all majority owned subsidiaries. All intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

**Seasonality** – The Company's sales of OTC pharmaceutical products are subject to the seasonal demands for cough/cold/flu and allergy products. Accordingly, operating results for the first three months of fiscal 2012 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for a full fiscal year.

**Current Year Results** – Net sales from continuing operations for the first quarter of fiscal 2012 were \$725,295, an increase of 13% over fiscal 2011. The increase was driven primarily by \$38,900 of net sales attributable to the acquisition of Paddock and new product sales of \$41,000. Gross profit was \$227,579, an increase of 6% over fiscal 2011. The gross profit percentage in the first quarter of fiscal 2012 was 31.4%, as compared to 33.4% last year. Operating expenses in the first quarter of fiscal 2012 were \$126,027, an increase of 23% over fiscal 2011. As a percentage of net sales, operating expenses were 17.4%, up from 15.9% in the first quarter of fiscal 2011. Income from continuing operations was \$70,458, a decrease of 4% over fiscal 2011. Net income was \$70,458, a decrease of 5% over fiscal 2011. During the first quarter of fiscal 2012, the Company recorded certain one-time charges related to the Paddock acquisition, including a \$27,179 charge to cost of sales as a result of the step-up in value of inventory acquired and sold during the quarter, as well as \$8,800 of acquisition-related and severance charges.

### **Growth Strategy and Strategic Transactions**

Management expects to continue to grow the Company both organically and inorganically. The Company continually reinvests in its own R&D pipeline and at the same time also works with partners as necessary to strive to be first to market with new products. Recent years have seen strong organic growth as a series of very successful new products have been launched in Consumer Healthcare. Inorganic growth is expected to be achieved through continued expansion into adjacent products, product categories and channels, as well as new geographic markets. While ever-conscious of the challenges associated with the current economic environment, the Company continues to identify opportunities to grow and at the same time positions itself to address the uncertainties that lie ahead.

### **Strategic Evaluations and Transformations**

The Company's management evaluates business performance using a Return on Invested Capital (ROIC) metric. This includes evaluating the performance of business segments, manufacturing locations, product categories and capital projects. Business segments' performance is expected to meet or exceed the Company's weighted average cost of capital (WACC) each year. All potential acquisition targets are evaluated on whether they have the capacity to deliver an ROIC in excess of 2 to 2.5 percentage points over the Company's WACC within three years. Capital expenditures and large projects are required to demonstrate that they will contribute positively to ROIC in excess of the Company's WACC.

### **Events Impacting Future Results**

On July 26, 2011, the Company completed the acquisition of substantially all of the assets of Paddock for \$547,052 in cash. Headquartered in Minneapolis, Minnesota, Paddock is a manufacturer and marketer of generic Rx pharmaceutical products. The Company funded the transaction using a new \$250,000 five-year term loan, \$212,052 of

cash on hand and \$85,000 from its accounts receivable securitization program. As part of closing the acquisition, the Company divested a small portfolio of generic pharmaceutical products in response to the Federal Trade Commission (FTC) review. The acquisition expanded the Company's generic Rx product offering, pipeline and scale and is expected to add over \$200,000 in sales on an annual basis.

Over the past several years, the Company has been developing the API temozolomide for various finished dose partners in several

Table of Contents

global markets. In the third quarter of fiscal 2010, the Company launched temozolomide into the European market. With respect to the U.S. temozolomide market, on February 2, 2010, the Company announced that it will exclusively supply Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd. (Teva) with the API for the generic version of Temodar® (temozolomide) in the U.S. market. Teva will manufacture, market and distribute the product in the U.S., and the Company will share equally with Teva in the profitability of the product sold. Teva was the first company to file an Abbreviated New Drug Application (ANDA) that contained a Paragraph IV certification for Temodar® and is eligible to receive 180-day Hatch-Waxman statutory exclusivity to market this product in the U.S. On January 26, 2010, the United States District Court for the District of Delaware held that the patent protecting temozolomide was unenforceable. On March 1, 2010, the FDA granted final approval to the Teva ANDA, but Teva did not launch the product at that time. Merck appealed the ruling and on November 9, 2010, the appeals court reversed the trial decision, preventing the launch of the Teva product. Teva filed a petition for the entire appeals court to rehear the case, and on February 29, 2011 the court denied the motion. In response, Teva has filed a petition for certiorari with the United States Supreme Court. By agreement reached between Teva and Merck, Teva will not be able to launch the product until August 2013, except in limited circumstances. The Company expects its share in the profits of the product could have a material positive impact on its operating results; however, the magnitude and timing of the profits the Company could realize are uncertain and are subject to factors beyond the Company's control, including, but not limited to, the timing of the product launch date by Teva in the U.S.

Beginning in the third quarter of fiscal 2010, a branded competitor in the OTC market began to experience periodic interruptions of distribution of certain of their products in the adult and pediatric analgesic categories. These interruptions have included periods of time where supply of certain products has been suspended altogether. Due to this situation, which has continued through the first quarter of fiscal 2012, the Company experienced an increase in demand for certain adult and pediatric analgesic products. This increased demand has generally had a positive impact on the Consumer Healthcare segment's sales. To the extent that products from this key competitor remain absent from the market for some continued time through fiscal 2012, this could continue to benefit the Company's Consumer Healthcare sales and results of operations. At this time, the branded competitor claims their products will return to market sometime in calendar 2012, but the Company cannot predict when products from this competitor will make a full return to the market.

## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

## Consumer Healthcare

	First Quarter			
	2012	2011		
Net sales	\$411,681	\$396,104		
Gross profit	\$126,574	\$125,592		
Gross profit %	30.7	% 31.7		%
Operating expenses	\$62,091	\$54,273		
Operating expenses %	15.1	% 13.7		%
Operating income	\$64,483	\$71,319		
Operating income %	15.7	% 18.0		%

## Net Sales

First quarter net sales for fiscal 2012 increased 4% or \$15,577 compared to fiscal 2011. The increase was due primarily to an increase in sales of existing products of \$9,400, primarily in the cough/cold and smoking cessation categories, along with new product sales of \$15,100, primarily in the cough/cold and analgesics categories. In addition, net sales increased by approximately \$3,100 due to favorable changes in foreign currency exchange rates. These combined increases were partially offset by a decline of \$12,000 in sales of existing products within the gastrointestinal product category driven by competitive pressures on a key product.

Gross Profit

First quarter gross profit for fiscal 2012 increased 1% or \$982 compared to fiscal 2011. The increase was due primarily to gross profit attributable to the increase in sales of existing products along with gross profit contribution on new product sales. The gross profit percentage decreased 100 basis points in the first quarter of fiscal 2012 compared to fiscal 2011 due primarily to increased competitive pressures on a key product.

Table of Contents

## Operating Expenses

First quarter operating expenses for fiscal 2012 increased 14% or \$7,818 compared to fiscal 2011. The increase was related primarily to increases in research and development expenses of \$2,900, administrative expenses of \$2,400 and selling expenses of \$2,000. The increase in research and development expenses was due primarily to higher Paragraph IV litigation expenses along with increased spending on developmental materials. The increase in administrative expenses was driven primarily by an increase in employee-related costs. Selling expenses increased due primarily to higher marketing-related costs along with higher spending in Australia driven by higher employee-related costs.

## Nutritionals

	First Quarter			
	2012	2011		
Net sales	\$119,861	\$122,684		
Gross profit	\$30,623	\$38,390		
Gross profit %	25.5	% 31.3		%
Operating expenses	\$21,558	\$20,311		
Operating expenses %	18.0	% 16.6		%
Operating income	\$9,065	\$18,079		
Operating income %	7.6	% 14.7		%

## Net Sales

First quarter net sales for fiscal 2012 decreased 2% or \$2,823 compared to fiscal 2011. The decrease was due primarily to a decline in existing product sales in the VMS category driven by increased competition.

## Gross Profit

First quarter gross profit for fiscal 2012 decreased \$7,767 over fiscal 2011 gross profit of \$38,390. The decrease was due primarily to a decline in gross profit related to the infant nutrition business as a result of a change in product mix from higher profit formula products to lower profit food products along with higher raw material commodity prices. The gross profit percentage decreased 580 basis points in the first quarter of fiscal 2012 compared to fiscal 2011 due primarily to the change in product mix and higher raw material commodity prices as well.

## Operating Expenses

First quarter operating expenses for fiscal 2012 increased 6% or \$1,247 compared to fiscal 2011 due to an increase in research and development expenses as a result of timing of clinical trials.

## Rx Pharmaceuticals

	First Quarter			
	2012	2011		
Net sales	\$127,627	\$69,333		
Gross profit	\$42,836	\$27,772		
Gross profit %	33.6	% 40.1		%
Operating expenses	\$15,993	\$10,017		
Operating expenses %	12.5	% 14.4		%
Operating income	\$26,843	\$17,755		
Operating income %	21.0	% 25.6		%

## Net Sales

First quarter net sales for fiscal 2012 increased 84% or \$58,294 compared to fiscal 2011. This increase was due primarily to sales of \$38,900 from the July 26, 2011 acquisition of Paddock, new product sales of \$5,500, higher volume of a few key products and



Table of Contents

a lower degree of competitive pricing pressures as compared to the prior year.

**Gross Profit**

First quarter gross profit for fiscal 2012 increased 54% or \$15,064 compared to fiscal 2011. This increase was due primarily to gross profit from the July 26, 2011 acquisition of Paddock, gross profit from new product sales, increased market share on key products and a lower degree of competitive pricing pressures as compared to the prior year. These increases were partially offset by a one-time charge of \$27,179 to cost of sales as a result of the step-up of inventory value related to the acquisition of Paddock in the first quarter of fiscal 2012.

**Operating Expenses**

First quarter operating expenses for fiscal 2012 increased 60% or \$5,976 compared to fiscal 2011. The increase was due primarily to the inclusion of administrative, selling and distribution costs attributable to the Paddock acquisition, of which approximately \$3,200 related to non-recurring severance costs. This increase was slightly offset by proceeds of \$3,500 related to the sale of pipeline research and development projects, which the Company sold in response to the FTC's review of the Company's acquisition of Paddock.

**API**

	First Quarter		
	2012	2011	
Net sales	\$47,644	\$37,361	
Gross profit	\$21,853	\$16,781	
Gross profit %	45.9	% 44.9	%
Operating expenses	\$7,275	\$6,458	
Operating expenses %	15.3	% 17.3	%
Operating income	\$14,578	\$10,323	
Operating income %	30.6	% 27.6	%

**Net Sales**

First quarter net sales for fiscal 2012 increased 28% or \$10,283 compared to fiscal 2011. This increase was due primarily to an increase in sales of existing products of approximately \$5,100 and new product sales of approximately \$3,100, along with \$2,100 resulting from favorable changes in foreign currency exchange rates. The net sales of API are highly dependent on the level of competition in the marketplace for a specific material and the variable ordering patterns of customers on a quarter-over-quarter basis.

**Gross Profit**

First quarter gross profit for fiscal 2012 increased 30% or \$5,072 compared to fiscal 2011 due primarily to the gross profit attributable to new product sales and the increase in sales of existing products.

**Operating Expenses**

First quarter operating expenses for fiscal 2012 increased 13% or \$817 compared to fiscal 2011. This increase in fiscal 2012 was due primarily to higher administrative costs driven by higher employee-related expenses.

**Other**

The Other category consists of the Company's Israel Pharmaceutical and Diagnostic Products operating segment, which does not individually meet the quantitative thresholds required to be a reportable segment.

Table of Contents

	First Quarter			
	2012	2011		
Net sales	\$18,482	\$15,840		
Gross profit	\$5,693	\$5,419		
Gross profit %	30.8	% 34.2		%
Operating expenses	\$5,247	\$4,614		
Operating expenses %	28.4	% 29.1		%
Operating income	\$446	\$805		
Operating income %	2.4	% 5.1		%

## Net Sales

First quarter net sales for fiscal 2012 increased 17% or \$2,642 compared to fiscal 2011. This increase was due primarily to new product sales of \$1,300 along with \$1,300 as a result of favorable changes in foreign currency exchange rates.

## Gross Profit

First quarter gross profit for fiscal 2012 increased 5% or \$274 compared to fiscal 2011 due primarily to the gross profit attributable to new product sales.

## Operating Expenses

First quarter operating expenses for fiscal 2012 increased 14% or \$633 compared to fiscal 2011. The first quarter increase in fiscal 2012 was due primarily to unfavorable changes in foreign currency exchange rates.

## Unallocated Expenses

	First Quarter	
	2012	2011
Operating expenses	\$13,863	\$6,514

Unallocated expenses were comprised of certain corporate services that were not allocated to the segments.

Unallocated expenses for the first quarter of fiscal 2012 increased 113% or \$7,349 compared to fiscal 2011 due primarily to acquisition expenses of \$5,600 related to Paddock.

## Interest and Other (Consolidated)

Interest expense for the first quarter was \$13,697 for fiscal 2012 and \$11,573 for fiscal 2011. Interest income for the first quarter was \$1,127 for fiscal 2012 and \$1,486 for fiscal 2011. The increase in interest expense was due to the increased borrowings related to the Paddock acquisition.

## Income Taxes (Consolidated)

The effective tax rate on income from continuing operations was 20.6% and 27.9% for the first quarter of fiscal 2012 and 2011, respectively. The effective tax rate for the first quarter of fiscal 2012 was favorably affected by a reduction in the reserves for uncertain tax liabilities, recorded in accordance with ASC Topic 740 "Income Taxes", in the amount of \$8,598 related to various audit resolutions and statute expirations. In addition, the higher level of income derived from international operations in the first quarter of fiscal 2012 as compared to fiscal 2011 had a significant effect on the Company's overall effective tax rate. Foreign source income is generally derived from jurisdictions with a lower tax rate than the U.S. statutory rate, resulting in a lower consolidated effective tax rate compared to what the Company had historically experienced. Foreign source income from continuing operations before tax for the first quarter of fiscal 2012 was 61% of pre-tax earnings, up from 28% in the same period of fiscal 2011.

The Company's tax rate is subject to adjustment over the balance of the fiscal year due to, among other things, changes in revenue mix, unanticipated changes in applicable laws and changes in the jurisdictions in which the Company does business.

The total amount of unrecognized tax benefits was \$123,812 and \$121,672 as of September 24, 2011 and June 25, 2011, respectively.

## Table of Contents

The total amount accrued for interest and penalties in the liability for uncertain tax positions was \$22,818 and \$23,339 as of September 24, 2011 and June 25, 2011, respectively.

### Financial Condition, Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash, cash equivalents and current portion of investment securities increased \$59,957 to \$116,615 at September 24, 2011 from \$56,658 at September 25, 2010. Working capital, including cash, increased \$233,224 to \$677,043 at September 24, 2011 from \$443,819 at September 25, 2010.

Cash and cash equivalents decreased \$193,489 to \$116,615 at September 24, 2011 from \$310,104 at June 25, 2011. Working capital, including cash, decreased \$95,740 to \$677,043 at September 24, 2011 from \$772,783 at June 25, 2011.

In addition to the cash and cash equivalents balance of \$116,615 at September 24, 2011, the Company had approximately \$349,000 available under its revolving loan commitment and approximately \$6,000 available under its Indian credit facilities, as well as \$130,000 available under its accounts receivable securitization program described below. Cash, cash equivalents, cash flows from operations and borrowings available under the Company's credit facilities, including the new five-year term loan financing commitment, are expected to be sufficient to finance the known and/or foreseeable liquidity, capital expenditures, dividends, acquisitions and, to the extent authorized, share repurchases of the Company. Although the Company's lenders have made commitments to make funds available to it in a timely fashion, if the current economic conditions worsen (or new information becomes publicly available impacting the institutions' credit rating or capital ratios), these lenders may be unable or unwilling to lend money pursuant to the Company's existing credit facilities.

Year-to-date net cash provided from operating activities increased by \$60,309 to \$54,147 for fiscal 2012 compared to net cash used for operating activities of \$6,162 for fiscal 2011. The increase in cash from operations was due primarily to changes in deferred taxes related to taxes paid in foreign jurisdictions.

Year-to-date net cash used for investing activities increased \$548,559 to \$555,755 for fiscal 2012 compared to \$7,196 for fiscal 2011 due primarily to the funding used for the acquisition of Paddock.

Capital expenditures for facilities and equipment were for normal replacement, productivity enhancements, supporting growth and quality improvements. Capital expenditures are anticipated to be between \$90,000 to \$110,000 for fiscal 2012 due primarily to manufacturing productivity/growth projects, quality investment projects, investments at newly acquired entities, technology infrastructures, system upgrades and the API expansion into India.

Year-to-date net cash provided from financing activities increased \$346,299 to \$306,327 for fiscal 2012 compared to net cash used for financing activities of \$39,972 for fiscal 2011. The increase in cash provided from financing activities was due primarily to borrowings of long-term debt.

During the first quarter of fiscal 2012, the Company repurchased 87 shares of its common stock for \$7,899 in private party transactions. During the first quarter of fiscal 2011, the Company repurchased 140 shares of its common stock for \$8,168 in private party transactions.

The Company paid quarterly dividends totaling \$6,535 and \$5,780, or \$0.07 and \$0.0625 per share, for the first quarter of fiscal 2012 and 2011, respectively. The declaration and payment of dividends, if any, is subject to the discretion of the Board of Directors and will depend on the earnings, financial condition and capital and surplus requirements of the Company and other factors the Board of Directors may consider relevant.

### Credit Facilities

On September 1, 2011, the Company entered into a Second Supplement (Second Supplement) to the Master Note Purchase Agreement dated as of May 29, 2008 (Note Agreement), as supplemented by a First Supplement dated as of April 30, 2010 (First Supplement), with various institutional investors providing for the future issuance in a private placement of senior notes consisting of \$75,000, 4.27% Series 2011-A senior notes, due September 30, 2021 (Series 2011-A Notes); \$175,000, 4.52% Series 2011-B senior notes, due December 15, 2023 (Series 2011-B Notes); and \$100,000, 4.67% Series 2011-C senior notes, due September 30, 2026 (Series 2011-C Notes, and together with the Series 2011-A Notes and the Series 2011-B Notes, the Series 2011 Notes). The Series 2011 Notes, together with the

Series 2008 Notes and Series 2010 Notes previously issued pursuant to the Note Agreement and each series of additional notes that may from time to time hereafter be issued pursuant to the Note Agreement, are collectively referred to herein as the Notes. The Company expects to use the net proceeds from the sale of the Series 2011 Notes for general corporate purposes, which may include the repayment of indebtedness.

The obligations under the Notes are guaranteed by certain of the Company's subsidiaries, and the Notes are secured, on a ratable

Table of Contents

basis with bank debt, by a lien on certain assets of the Company and its subsidiaries.

Subsequent to the first quarter of fiscal 2012, the Series 2011-A and Series 2011-C Notes were issued on September 30, 2011, and interest on those Notes will be payable semiannually on March 30 and September 30 in each year, commencing on March 30, 2012. The Series 2011-B Notes will be issued on December 15, 2011, and interest on those Notes will be payable semiannually on June 15 and December 15 in each year, commencing on June 15, 2012.

On July 26, 2011 the Company completed the acquisition of substantially all of the assets of Paddock for \$547,052 in cash. The Company funded the transaction using the \$250,000 five-year term loan discussed below, \$212,052 of cash on hand and \$85,000 from its accounts receivable securitization program. Concurrent with the signing of the agreement, the Company entered into a Term Loan Agreement (the 2011 Term Loan Agreement). Under the terms of the the 2011 Term Loan Agreement, the term loan commitment was \$250,000, which was fully funded on July 26, 2011 in conjunction with the closing of the Paddock acquisition. The final maturity date of the term loan is July 26, 2016; however, the term loan will be subject to mandatory partial repayments of \$25,000 on each of the first four annual anniversary dates of the funding. The term loan will bear interest, at the election of the Company, at either the Annual Base Rate or the Adjusted LIBO rate plus an Applicable Margin, as specified in the the 2011 Term Loan Agreement.

On July 6, 2011, the Company's India subsidiary executed a term loan agreement with The Hong Kong and Shanghai Banking Corporation Ltd. Funds are available for capital expenditures in one or more draws in an aggregate amount not to exceed approximately \$6,000. The facility is payable in two annual installments, with the first installment due July 6, 2015 and the final installment due July 6, 2016. Terms and conditions of the line are normal and customary for similar lines in India. The interest rate on this facility was 11.5% as of September 24, 2011. The Company's India subsidiary had \$787 outstanding on this line as of the end of its first quarter of fiscal 2012.

On October 8, 2010, the Company entered into a credit agreement with a group of banks (the 2010 Credit Agreement), which provides an initial revolving loan commitment of \$350,000 and an initial term loan commitment of \$150,000, each subject to increase or decrease as specified in the 2010 Credit Agreement. Both loans bear interest, at the election of the Company, at either the Annual Base Rate plus an Applicable Margin or the Adjusted LIBOR plus an Applicable Margin, as specified and defined in the 2010 Credit Agreement. The obligations under the 2010 Credit Agreement are guaranteed by certain subsidiaries of the Company, and in some instances, the obligations may be secured by a pledge of 65% of the stock of certain foreign subsidiaries.

The final maturity date of the term and revolving loans under the 2010 Credit Agreement is October 8, 2015; however, the term loan is subject to mandatory partial repayments of \$15,000 on each of the first four annual anniversary dates of the agreement. The Company used the proceeds from the term loan and revolving loan for general corporate purposes and to repay certain other outstanding debt, including the \$100,000 term loan made pursuant to the Company's prior credit agreement. In connection with the execution of the 2010 Credit Agreement, the Company terminated its prior credit agreement, dated as of March 16, 2005, and amended its existing term loan agreement, dated as of April 22, 2008, to conform certain covenants in that term loan agreement to the covenants contained in the 2010 Credit Agreement and to make certain other conforming changes.

On May 26, 2010, the Company's India subsidiary executed a short-term credit line with The Hong Kong and Shanghai Banking Corporation Ltd. Funds are available for working capital and general business purposes in one or more draws under the line in an aggregate amount not to exceed approximately \$4,500. Terms and conditions of the line are normal and customary for similar lines in India. The interest rate on this facility was 11.0% and 10.5% as of September 24, 2011 and June 25, 2011, respectively. The credit line expires after 180 days but can be extended by mutual agreement of the parties. The Company's India subsidiary had \$3,750 outstanding on this line of credit as of the end of its first quarter of fiscal 2012.

### Accounts Receivable Securitization

On July 23, 2009, the Company entered into an accounts receivable securitization program (the Securitization Program) with several of its wholly owned subsidiaries and Bank of America Securities, LLC (Bank of America). The Company renewed the Securitization Program on July 22, 2010 and, most recently, on June 13, 2011 with Bank of America, as Agent, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (Wells Fargo) and PNC Bank, National Association (PNC) as Managing Agents (together, the Committed Investors).

The Securitization Program is a three-year program, and under the terms of the Securitization Program, the subsidiaries sell certain eligible trade accounts receivables to a wholly owned bankruptcy remote special purpose entity (SPE), Perrigo Receivables, LLC. The Company has retained servicing responsibility for those receivables. The SPE then transfers an interest in the receivables to the Committed Investors. Under the terms of the Securitization Program, Bank of America, Wells Fargo and PNC have committed \$101,750, \$55,500 and \$27,750, respectively, effectively allowing the Company to borrow up to a total amount of \$185,000,

## Table of Contents

subject to a Maximum Net Investment calculation as defined in the agreement. At September 24, 2011, \$185,000 was available under this calculation. The interest rate on any borrowings is based on a thirty-day LIBOR plus 0.45%. In addition, a facility fee of 0.45% is applied to the \$185,000 commitment. Under the terms of the Securitization Program, the Company may elect to have the entire amount or any portion of the facility unutilized.

Any borrowing made pursuant to the Securitization Program is classified as debt in the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheet. The amount of the eligible receivables will vary during the year based on seasonality of the business and could, at times, limit the amount available to the Company from the sale of these interests. As discussed above, concurrent with the closing of the Paddock acquisition on July 26, 2011, the Company borrowed \$85,000 under its Securitization Program to partially fund the acquisition. The Company had \$55,000 and \$63,000 outstanding as of September 24, 2011 and September 25, 2010, respectively. There were no borrowings outstanding under the Securitization Program at June 25, 2011.

### Investment Securities

The Company currently maintains a portfolio of auction rate securities (ARS) with a total par value of \$18,000 and an estimated fair value of \$7,503 at September 24, 2011. As a result of the tightening of the credit markets beginning in calendar 2008, there has been no liquid market for these securities for an extended period of time. While there are some recent indications that a market is starting to materialize for these securities, though at a much reduced level than the pre-2008 period, the Company cannot predict if or when liquidity will return for these securities. The Company has reclassified the securities from current assets to other non-current assets due to the unpredictable nature and the illiquidity of the market for the securities. Although the Company continues to earn and collect interest on these investments at the maximum contractual rate, the estimated fair value of ARS cannot be determined by the auction process until liquidity is restored to these markets.

The Company currently engages the services of an independent third-party valuation firm to assist the Company in estimating the current fair value of the ARS, using a discounted cash flow analysis and an assessment of secondary markets, as well as other factors. At September 24, 2011, these securities were recorded at a fair value of \$7,503. The Company will continue to monitor the credit worthiness of the companies that issued these securities and other appropriate factors and make such adjustments as it deems necessary to reflect the fair value of these securities. See Note 5 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

### Contractual Obligations

Other than the new five-year term loan and new Series 2011 Notes discussed above, there were no material changes in contractual obligations during the first quarter of fiscal 2012.

### Critical Accounting Estimates

Determination of certain amounts in the Company's financial statements requires the use of estimates. These estimates are based upon the Company's historical experiences combined with management's understanding of current facts and circumstances. Although the estimates are considered reasonable, actual results could differ from the estimates. The accounting estimates, discussed below, are considered by management to require the most judgment and are critical in the preparation of the financial statements. These estimates are reviewed by the Audit Committee.

Revenue Recognition and Customer-Related Accruals and Allowances – The Company records revenues from product sales when the goods are shipped to the customer. For customers with Free on Board destination terms, a provision is recorded to exclude shipments estimated to be in-transit to these customers at the end of the reporting period. A provision is recorded and accounts receivable are reduced as revenues are recognized for estimated losses on credit sales due to customer claims for discounts, price discrepancies, returned goods and other items. A liability is recorded as revenues are recognized for estimated customer program liabilities, as discussed below.

The Company maintains customer-related accruals and allowances that consist primarily of chargebacks, rebates and shelf stock adjustments. Certain of these accruals and allowances are recorded in the balance sheet as current liabilities and others are recorded as a reduction in accounts receivable.

A chargeback relates to an agreement the Company has with a wholesaler, pharmaceutical buying group or retail customer who will ultimately purchase product from a wholesaler for a contracted price that is different than the Company's price to the wholesaler. The wholesaler will issue an invoice to the Company for the difference in the



contract prices. The accrual for chargebacks is based on historical chargeback experience and confirmed wholesaler inventory levels, as well as estimated sell-through levels by wholesalers to retailers. Rebates are payments issued to the customer when certain criteria are met, which may include specific levels of product purchases,

30

---

Table of Contents

introduction of new products or other objectives. The accrual for rebates is based on contractual agreements and estimated purchasing levels by customers with such programs. Medicaid rebates are payments made to states for pharmaceutical products covered by the program. The accrual for Medicaid rebates is based on historical trends of rebates paid and current period sales activity.

Shelf stock adjustments are credits issued to reflect decreases in the selling price of a product and are based upon estimates of the amount of product remaining in a customer's inventory at the time of the anticipated price reduction. In many cases, the customer is contractually entitled to such a credit. The allowances for shelf stock adjustments are based on specified terms with certain customers, estimated launch dates of competing products and estimated declines in market price.

Changes in these estimates and assumptions may result in additional customer-related accruals and allowances. The following table summarizes the activity included in the balance sheet for customer-related accruals and allowances:

	Year-to-Date 2012	Year-to-Date 2011
Customer-Related Accruals and Allowances		
Balance, beginning of period	\$98,765	\$63,735
Balances acquired in Paddock acquisition	43,673	—
Provision recorded	130,367	114,172
Credits processed	(130,612	) (95,585
Balance, end of the period	\$142,193	\$82,322

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts – The Company maintains an allowance for doubtful accounts that reduces receivables to amounts that are expected to be collected. In estimating the allowance, management considers factors such as current overall and industry-specific economic conditions, statutory requirements, historical and anticipated customer performance, historical experience with write-offs and the level of past-due amounts. Changes in these conditions may result in additional allowances. The allowance for doubtful accounts was \$9,617 at September 24, 2011, \$7,837 at June 25, 2011 and \$8,128 at September 25, 2010.

Inventory Reserves – The Company maintains reserves for estimated obsolete or unmarketable inventory based on the difference between the cost of the inventory and its estimated market value. In estimating the reserves, management considers factors such as excess or slow-moving inventories, product expiration dating, products on quality hold, current and future customer demand and market conditions. Changes in these conditions may result in additional reserves.

Goodwill – Goodwill is tested for impairment annually or more frequently if changes in circumstances or the occurrence of events suggest impairment exists. The test for impairment requires the Company to make several estimates about fair value, most of which are based on projected future cash flows. The estimates associated with the goodwill impairment tests are considered critical due to the judgments required in determining fair value amounts, including projected future cash flows. Changes in these estimates may result in the recognition of an impairment loss. The Company performs its annual goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets impairment testing for all of its reporting units in the fourth quarter of the fiscal year. The Company's Rx and API businesses are heavily dependent on new products currently under development. The termination of certain key product development projects could have a materially adverse impact on the future results of the Rx Pharmaceuticals or API segment, which may include a charge for goodwill impairment. A change in market dynamics or failure of operational execution for the Company's Consumer Healthcare U.K., Mexico and Australia operations could result in a materially adverse impact on its future results, which could result in a charge for goodwill impairment. Goodwill was \$771,322 at September 24, 2011, \$638,045 at June 25, 2011 and \$628,422 at September 25, 2010.

Other Intangible Assets – Other intangible assets consist of a portfolio of individual developed product technology/formulation and product rights, distribution and license agreements, customer relationships, non-compete agreements, in-process research and development (IPR&D) and trade names and trademarks. The assets categorized as developed product technology/formulation and product rights, certain distribution and license agreements and non-compete agreements are amortized over their estimated useful economic lives using the straight-line method. An

accelerated method of amortization is used for customer relationships and certain distribution agreements. Certain trade names and trademarks, as well as IPR&D assets, are determined to have an indefinite useful life and are not subject to amortization. The Company, however, reviews them for impairment on an annual basis, or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that any individual asset might be impaired, and adjusts the carrying value of the asset as necessary. IPR&D assets are initially recognized at fair value and classified as indefinite-lived assets until the successful completion or abandonment of the associated research and development efforts. For intangible assets subject to amortization, an impairment analysis is performed whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of any individual asset may not be recoverable. The carrying amount of an intangible asset is not recoverable if it exceeds the sum of the undiscounted cash flows expected to result from the use and eventual disposition of the asset. An impairment loss is recognized if the carrying

Table of Contents

amount of the asset is not recoverable and its carrying amount exceeds its fair value. Other intangible assets had a net carrying value of \$813,279 at September 24, 2011, \$574,430 at June 25, 2011 and \$589,993 at September 25, 2010.

Income Taxes – The Company's effective income tax rate is based on income, statutory tax rates, special tax benefits and tax planning opportunities available to the Company in the various jurisdictions in which it operates. Tax laws are complex and subject to different interpretations by the taxpayer and respective governmental taxing authorities. Significant judgment is required in determining the Company's tax expense and in evaluating tax positions. Tax positions are reviewed quarterly, and balances are adjusted as new information becomes available.

The Company has established valuation allowances against a portion of the non-U.S. net operating losses, non-U.S. capital losses, U.S. state-related net operating losses and U.S. capital losses to reflect the uncertainty of its ability to fully utilize these benefits given the limited carryforward periods permitted by the various jurisdictions. The evaluation of the Company's ability to realize net operating and capital losses requires the use of considerable management judgment to estimate the future taxable income for the various jurisdictions, for which the ultimate amounts and timing of such realization may differ. The valuation allowances can also be impacted by changes in the tax regulations.

Significant judgment is required in determining the Company's liabilities for uncertain tax positions. The Company recognizes accrued interest and penalties related to contingent tax liabilities in its tax expense. The Company has established such tax liabilities using management's best judgment and adjusts these liabilities as warranted by changing facts and circumstances. A change in tax liabilities in any given period could have a significant impact on the Company's results of operations and cash flows for that period.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

See Note 1 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for information regarding recently issued accounting standards.

Table of Contents

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk (in thousands)

The Company is exposed to market risk due to changes in interest rates, the liquidity of the securities markets and currency exchange rates.

**Interest Rate Risk** - The Company is exposed to interest rate changes primarily as a result of interest income earned on its investment of cash on hand and interest expense on borrowings used to finance acquisitions and working capital requirements.

The Company enters into certain derivative financial instruments, when available on a cost-effective basis, to hedge its underlying economic exposure related to the management of interest rate risk. See Note 9 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for further information regarding the Company's derivative and hedging activities. Because of the use of certain derivative financial instruments and the significant amount of fixed rate debt, the Company believes that a fluctuation in interest rates in the near future will not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements. These instruments are managed on a consolidated basis to efficiently net exposures and thus take advantage of any natural offsets. Derivative financial instruments are not used for speculative purposes. Gains and losses on hedging transactions are offset by gains and losses on the underlying exposures being hedged.

**Market Risk** - The Company's investment securities include auction rate securities (ARS) totaling \$18,000 in par value. ARS are privately placed variable rate debt instruments whose interest rates are reset within a contractual range, approximately every 7 to 35 days. With the tightening of the credit markets beginning in calendar 2008, auction rate securities have failed to settle at auction resulting in an illiquid market for these types of securities. Although the Company continues to earn and collect interest on these investments at the maximum contractual rate, the estimated fair value of ARS cannot be determined by the auction process until liquidity is restored to these markets. While there are some recent indications that a market is starting to materialize for these securities, though at a much reduced level than the pre-2008 period, the Company cannot predict when liquidity will return for these securities. The Company has reclassified the securities from current assets to other non-current assets due to the unpredictable nature and the illiquidity of the market for the securities.

The Company currently engages the services of an independent third-party valuation firm to assist the Company in estimating the current fair value of the ARS, using a discounted cash flow analysis and an assessment of secondary markets, as well as other factors. At September 24, 2011, these securities were recorded at a fair value of \$7,503. The Company will continue to monitor the credit worthiness of the companies that issued these securities and other appropriate factors and make such adjustments as it deems necessary to reflect the fair value of these securities.

**Foreign Exchange Risk** - The Company has operations in the U.K., Israel, Mexico and Australia. These operations transact business in their local currency and foreign currencies, thereby creating exposures to changes in exchange rates. A large portion of the sales of the Company's Israeli operations is in foreign currencies, primarily U.S. dollars and euros, while these operations incur costs in their local currency. In addition, the Company's U.S. operations continue to expand its export business, primarily in Canada, China and Europe and is subject to fluctuations in the respective currency exchange rates relative to the U.S. dollar. Due to sales and cost structures, certain segments experience a negative impact as a result of the changes in exchange rates, while other segments experience a positive impact related to foreign currency exchange.

The Company monitors and strives to manage risk related to changes in foreign currency exchange rates. Exposures that cannot be naturally offset within a local entity to an immaterial amount are often hedged with foreign currency derivatives or netted with offsetting exposures at other entities. See Note 9 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for further information regarding the Company's derivative and hedging activities. The Company cannot predict future changes in foreign currency exposure. Unfavorable fluctuations could adversely impact earnings.

See Item 7A. "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk" in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended June 25, 2011 for additional information regarding market risks.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

As of September 24, 2011, the Company's management, including its Chief Executive Officer and its Chief Financial Officer, has performed an interim review on the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rule 13a-15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Based on that review, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective in ensuring that all material information relating to the Company and its consolidated subsidiaries required to be included in the Company's periodic SEC filings would be made known to them by others within those entities in a timely manner and that no changes are required at this time.

In connection with the interim evaluation by the Company's management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the Company's internal control over financial reporting pursuant to Rule 13a-15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of

Table of Contents

1934, no changes during the quarter ended September 24, 2011 were identified that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

During the first quarter of fiscal 2012, the Company acquired Paddock Laboratories, Inc. (Paddock) (see Note 2 - Acquisitions for additional information). As permitted by Securities and Exchange Commission Staff interpretive guidance for newly acquired businesses, management excluded Paddock from its interim evaluation of internal control over financial reporting as of September 24, 2011. The Company will incorporate Paddock into its annual report on internal control over financial reporting for its fiscal year-end 2013. As of September 24, 2011, Paddock's total assets represented 15% of the Company's consolidated total assets. Paddock's net sales represented 5% of the Company's consolidated net sales for the first quarter of fiscal 2012.

Table of Contents

## PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

## Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Referred to in Note 13 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

## Item 1A. Risk Factors

The Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed for the fiscal year ended June 25, 2011 includes a detailed discussion of the Company's risk factors. As a result of the acquisition of Paddock, the Company has added the following two risk factors. Other than the items noted below, there have been no material changes during the first quarter of fiscal 2012 to the risk factors that were included in the Form 10-K.

If the Company is unable to successfully obtain the necessary quota for controlled substances, there is risk of delayed product launches or failure to meet commercial supply obligations. If the Company is unable to comply with regulatory requirements for controlled substances, the DEA may take regulatory actions, resulting in temporary or permanent interruption of distribution, withdrawal of products from the market or other penalties.

Controlled substances are subject to DEA regulation under the Controlled Substances Act, as well as regulation by the FDA. DEA quota requirements can limit the amount of controlled substance drug products a manufacturer may produce, the amount of API it may use to manufacture those products and the amount of controlled substance products a packager may package. If the Company is unable to successfully obtain the quota amounts, there is the risk of delayed launches or failure to meet commercial supply obligations. In addition, failure to comply with the above laws and requirements can result in enforcement action that could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, results of operations and financial condition. The DEA may seek civil penalties, refuse to renew necessary registrations or initiate proceedings to revoke those registrations. In certain circumstances, violations could result in criminal proceedings.

The manufacturing of sterile, injectable products is highly exacting and complex, and if the Company's suppliers encounter production problems, it could have an adverse effect on the Company's business, results of operations and financial condition.

The Company distributes sterile, injectable products that are manufactured by third parties. The manufacture of sterile, injectable products is highly complex and exacting in part due to strict regulatory and safety requirements and standards that govern both the manufacture and packaging of these types of projects. Failure of the third-party manufacturers to maintain strict controls or non-adherence to procedures may result in product recalls and liability claims, which could adversely affect the Company's results of operations and reputation.

## Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds (in thousands, except per share amounts)

The Company does not currently have a common stock repurchase program, but does repurchase shares in private party transactions from time to time. All common stock repurchased by the Company becomes authorized but unissued stock and is available for reissuance in the future for general corporate purposes.

The table below lists the Company's repurchases of shares of common stock during its most recently completed quarter:

Fiscal 2012	Total Number of Shares Purchased <sup>(1)</sup>	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans	Value of Shares Available for Purchase
				\$—
June 26 to July 30	1	\$91.32	—	\$—
July 31 to August 27	86	\$90.94	—	\$—



Edgar Filing: PERRIGO CO - Form 10-Q

August 28 to September 24	—	\$—	—	\$—
Total	87		—	

(1) Private party transactions accounted for the purchase of 1 share in the period from June 26 to July 30 and 86 shares in the period from July 31 to August 27.

Table of Contents

Item 5. Other Events (in thousands)

2011 Credit Agreement

The Company and certain of its subsidiaries entered into a Credit Agreement dated as of October 26, 2011, with JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent; Bank of America, N.A. and Morgan Stanley Senior Funding, Inc., as Syndication Agents; and certain other participant banks (the “2011 Credit Agreement”). Under the terms of the 2011 Credit Agreement, the initial revolving loan commitment is \$400,000 and the initial term loan commitment is \$400,000, each subject to increase or decrease as specified in the 2011 Credit Agreement. The initial funding of the 2011 Credit Agreement will occur on November 3, 2011. The loans bear interest, at the election of the Company, at either the Alternate Base Rate plus the Applicable Margin or the Adjusted LIBO Rate plus the Applicable Margin, as specified and defined in the 2011 Credit Agreement. The Applicable Margin is based on the Company's Leverage Ratio from time to time, as defined in the 2011 Credit Agreement. The obligations under the 2011 Credit Agreement are guaranteed by certain subsidiaries of the Company and, in some instances, the obligation may be secured by a pledge of 65% of the equity interests of certain foreign subsidiaries. The maturity date of the term loan and the final maturity date of any revolving loan is November 3, 2016; however, the term loan is subject to mandatory partial repayments of \$40,000 on each of the first four annual anniversary dates of the funding. Upon the occurrences of certain specified events of default, the principal amount of the term loan and any revolving loans then outstanding may be declared due and payable, together with accrued interest. The 2011 Credit Agreement contains affirmative and negative covenants that the Company believes are normal and customary for transactions of this type.

The Company intends to use the proceeds from the term loan and any revolving loans for general corporate purposes, including the repayment of certain other debts outstanding at the time such loans are made, including the loans made pursuant to the 2010 Credit Agreement and the 2011 Term Loan Agreement.

Amendment to 2008 Term Loan Agreement

On October 26, 2011, in connection with the execution of the 2011 Credit Agreement, the Company and certain of its subsidiaries entered into a Second Amendment (the “Second Amendment”) to the Term Loan Agreement, dated as of April 22, 2008, with JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent, and the lenders party thereto (the “Term Loan Agreement”). The Second Amendment conforms certain covenants in the Term Loan Agreement to the covenants contained in the 2011 Credit Agreement and makes certain other conforming changes.

Replacement of Existing Facilities

In connection with the execution of the 2011 Credit Agreement, the Credit Agreement, dated as of October 8, 2010, among the Company and certain of its subsidiaries, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent, and the lender parties listed therein (the “2010 Credit Agreement”), will be replaced by the 2011 Credit Agreement effective as of the November 3 funding date of the 2011 Credit Agreement.

In connection with the execution of the 2011 Credit Agreement, the Term Loan Agreement, dated as of January 20, 2011, among the Company and certain of its subsidiaries, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent, and the lender parties listed therein (the “2011 Term Loan Agreement”), will be replaced by the 2011 Credit Agreement effective as of the November 3 funding date of the 2011 Credit Agreement.

Table of Contents

## Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit Number	Description
10.1	Second Supplement to Master Note Purchase Agreement dated as of September 1, 2011 by and among Perrigo Company and the Purchasers listed therein, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.3 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 8, 2011.
10.1	Second Amendment, dated as of October 26, 2011, to Term Loan Agreement, dated as of April 22, 2008, among Perrigo Company, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent; RBS Citizens, N.A., as Syndication Agent; and the lender parties therein listed.
10.2	Credit Agreement, dated as of October 26, 2011, among Perrigo Company and certain of its subsidiaries, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent, and certain other participant banks; and the lender parties therein listed.
31	Rule 13a-14(a) Certifications.
32	Section 1350 Certifications.
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document.
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document.
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document.
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document.
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document.
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

PERRIGO COMPANY  
(Registrant)

Date: October 27, 2011

By: /s/ Joseph C. Papa  
Joseph C. Papa  
Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: October 27, 2011

By: /s/ Judy L. Brown  
Judy L. Brown  
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer  
(Principal Accounting and Financial Officer)

Table of Contents

## EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Number	Description
10.1	Second Supplement to Master Note Purchase Agreement dated as of September 1, 2011 by and among Perrigo Company and the Purchasers listed therein, incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.3 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 8, 2011.
10.1	Second Amendment, dated as of October 26, 2011, to Term Loan Agreement, dated as of April 22, 2008, among Perrigo Company, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent; RBS Citizens, N.A., as Syndication Agent; and the lender parties therein listed.
10.2	Credit Agreement, dated as of October 26, 2011, among Perrigo Company and certain of its subsidiaries, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent, and certain other participant banks; and the lender parties therein listed.
31	Rule 13a-14(a) Certifications.
32	Section 1350 Certifications.
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document.
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document.
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document.
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document.
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document.
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document.
39	