

RAMCO GERSHENSON PROPERTIES TRUST  
Form 10-K  
February 27, 2015

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_  
Commission file number 1-10093

RAMCO-GERSHENSON PROPERTIES TRUST  
(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)  
Maryland  
(State or Other Jurisdiction of  
Incorporation or Organization)

13-6908486  
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

31500 Northwestern Highway, Suite 300  
Farmington Hills, Michigan  
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)  
48334  
(Zip Code)  
Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code: 248-350-9900

Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class	Name of Each Exchange On Which Registered
Common Shares of Beneficial Interest, (\$0.01 Par Value Per Share)	New York Stock Exchange

Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Edgar Filing: RAMCO GERSHENSON PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. [ X ]

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large Accelerated Filer [X]      Accelerated Filer [ ]      Non-Accelerated Filer [ ]      Small Reporting Company [ ]

(Do not check if small reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes [ ] No [X]

The aggregate market value of the common equity held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter (June 30, 2014) was \$1,136,555,426. As of February 13, 2015 there were outstanding 77,930,959 shares of Common Stock.

**DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE**

Portions of the proxy statement for the annual meeting of shareholders to be held May 5, 2015 are incorporated by reference into Part III.

---

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Item	PART I	Page
1.	<u>Business</u>	<u>1</u>
1A.	<u>Risk Factors</u>	<u>6</u>
1B.	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	<u>13</u>
2.	<u>Properties</u>	<u>14</u>
3.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>22</u>
4.	<u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	<u>22</u>
	PART II	
5.	<u>Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	<u>23</u>
6.	<u>Selected Financial Data</u>	<u>25</u>
7.	<u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>26</u>
7A.	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>38</u>
8.	<u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	<u>38</u>
9.	<u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	<u>39</u>
9A.	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>39</u>
9B.	<u>Other Information</u>	<u>39</u>
	PART III	
10.	<u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	<u>40</u>
11.	<u>Executive Compensation</u>	<u>40</u>
12.	<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	<u>40</u>
13.	<u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	<u>40</u>
14.	<u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	<u>40</u>
	PART IV	
15.	<u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedule</u>	<u>41</u>
	<u>Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes</u>	<u>F-1</u>

---

## Forward-Looking Statements

This document contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. These forward-looking statements represent our expectations, plans or beliefs concerning future events and may be identified by terminology such as “may,” “will,” “should,” “believe,” “expect,” “estimate,” “anticipate,” “continue,” “predict” or similar terms. Although the forward-looking statements made in this document are based on our good-faith beliefs, reasonable assumptions and our best judgment based upon current information, certain factors could cause actual results to differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements, including: our success or failure in implementing our business strategy; economic conditions generally and in the commercial real estate and finance markets specifically; the cost and availability of capital, which depends in part on our asset quality and our relationships with lenders and other capital providers; our business prospects and outlook; changes in governmental regulations, tax rates and similar matters; our continuing to qualify as a real estate investment trust (“REIT”); and other factors discussed elsewhere in this document and our other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”). Given these uncertainties, you should not place undue reliance on any forward-looking statements. Except as required by law, we assume no obligation to update these forward-looking statements, even if new information becomes available in the future.

## PART I

### Item 1. Business

The terms “Company,” “we,” “our” or “us” refer to Ramco-Gershenson Properties Trust, Ramco-Gershenson Properties, L.P., and/or its subsidiaries, as the context may require.

#### General

Ramco-Gershenson Properties Trust is a fully integrated, self-administered, publicly-traded equity real estate investment trust (“REIT”) organized in Maryland. Our primary business is the ownership and management of large multi-anchored shopping centers primarily in a dozen of the largest metropolitan markets in the United States. We aim to own multiple properties in each of these metropolitan areas to leverage our expertise in these markets and to operate our centers efficiently. Our target submarkets are affluent communities where our centers can offer value, convenience and a sense of place to the residents of the trade area.

Our property portfolio consists of 67 wholly owned shopping centers and one office building comprising approximately 14.2 million square feet. In addition, we are a co-investor in and manager of two institutional joint ventures that own portfolios of shopping centers. We own 20% of Ramco 450 Venture LLC, an entity that owns eight shopping centers comprising approximately 1.7 million square feet, and 30% of Ramco/Lion Venture L.P., an entity that owns three shopping centers comprising approximately 0.8 million square feet. We also have ownership interests in two smaller joint ventures that each own one shopping center. Our joint ventures are reported using equity method accounting. We earn fees from the joint ventures for managing, leasing, and redeveloping the shopping centers they own. In addition, we own various parcels of land available for development or for sale, the majority of which are adjacent to certain of our existing developed properties.

We conduct substantially all of our business through our operating partnership, Ramco-Gershenson Properties, L.P. (the “Operating Partnership”), a Delaware limited partnership. The Operating Partnership, either directly or indirectly through partnerships or limited liability companies, holds fee title to all owned properties. As general partner of the Operating Partnership, we have the exclusive power to manage and conduct the business of the Operating Partnership. As of December 31, 2014, we owned approximately 97.2% of the interests in the Operating Partnership. The limited partners are reflected as noncontrolling interests in our financial statements and are generally individuals or entities that contributed interests in certain assets or entities to the Operating Partnership in exchange

Edgar Filing: RAMCO GERSHENSON PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-K

for units of limited partnership interest (“OP Units”). The holders of OP units are entitled to exchange them for our common shares on a 1:1 basis or for cash. The form of payment is at our election.

We operate in a manner intended to qualify as a REIT pursuant to the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the “Code”). Certain of our operations, including property and asset management, as well as ownership of certain land parcels, are conducted through taxable REIT subsidiaries, (“TRSs”), which are subject to federal and state income taxes.

1

---

## Business Objectives, Strategies and Significant Transactions

Our business objective is to own and manage high quality shopping centers that generate cash flow for distribution to our shareholders and that have the potential for capital appreciation. To achieve this objective, we seek to acquire, develop, or redevelop shopping centers that meet our investment criteria. We also seek to recycle capital through the sale of land or shopping centers that we deem to be fully valued or that no longer meet our investment criteria. We use debt to finance our activities and focus on managing the amount, structure, and terms of our debt to limit the risks inherent in debt financing. From time to time, we enter into joint venture arrangements where we believe we can benefit by owning a partial interest in shopping centers and by earning fees for managing the centers for our partners.

We invest primarily in large, multi-anchored shopping centers that include national chain store tenants and market dominant supermarket tenants. National chain anchor tenants in our centers include, among others, TJ Maxx/Marshalls, Bed Bath and Beyond, Home Depot and Dick's Sporting Goods. Supermarket anchor tenants in our centers include, among others, Publix Super Market, Whole Foods, Kroger and Sprouts. Our shopping centers are primarily located in metropolitan markets such as Metro Detroit, Southeast Florida, Greater Denver, Cincinnati, St. Louis, Jacksonville, Tampa/Lakeland, Milwaukee, Chicago and Atlanta.

We also own land which is available for development or sale. Approximately 44% of our land is available for sale primarily to retailers or restaurants that prefer to own and develop their sites. The remaining land is available for development. At December 31, 2014, we had one project in pre-development and two projects where Phase I of the development was completed. The remaining future Phases at those projects are in pre-development. We estimate that if we proceed with the development of the projects, up to approximately 600,000 square feet of GLA could be developed, excluding various outparcels of land. It is our policy to start vertical construction on new development projects only after the project has received entitlements, significant anchor commitments and construction financing, if appropriate.

## Operating Strategies and Significant Transactions

Our operating objective is to maximize the risk-adjusted return on invested capital at our shopping centers. We seek to do so by increasing the property operating income of our centers, controlling our capital expenditures, and monitoring our tenants' credit risk.

During 2014, for the combined portfolio including wholly-owned and joint venture properties, we reported the following leasing activity:

	Leasing Transactions	Square Footage	Base Rent/SF	Prior Rent/SF	Tenant Improvements/SF	Leasing Commissions/SF
Renewals	233	1,525,719	\$13.24	\$12.53	\$ —	\$ —
New Leases - Comparable	27	84,893	15.83	13.51	10.32	3.68
New Leases - Non-Comparable (1)	85	643,783	13.24	N/A	17.66	3.26
Total	345	2,254,395	\$13.34	N/A	\$ 5.45	\$ 1.07

(1) Non-comparable lease transactions include leases for space vacant for greater than 12 months, leases for space which has been combined from smaller spaces or demised from larger spaces, and leases structured differently from the prior lease. As a result, there is no prior rent per square foot to compare to the base rent per square foot of the new lease.

At December 31, 2014, we had seven redevelopment or expansion projects in process with an anticipated cost of \$51.1 million, of which \$33.9 million remains to be invested. Completion dates are anticipated from early 2015 to mid 2016.

## Investing Strategies and Significant Transactions

Our investing objective is to generate an attractive risk-adjusted return on capital invested in acquisitions and developments. In addition, we seek to sell land or shopping centers that we deem to be fully valued or that no longer meet our investment criteria. We underwrite acquisitions based upon current cash flow, projections of future cash flow, and scenario analyses that take into account the risks and opportunities of ownership. We underwrite development of new shopping centers on the same basis, but also take into account the unique risks of entitling land, constructing buildings, and leasing newly built space.

In 2014, we completed construction of Phase I of Lakeland Park Center, a ground up development located in Lakeland, Florida, at a cost of approximately \$33.4 million, excluding land cost. Phase I consists of approximately 210,000 square feet of retail space. The center was 98% leased and occupied as of December 31, 2014.

2

---

Edgar Filing: RAMCO GERSHENSON PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-K

During 2014, we completed \$323.1 million in wholly-owned acquisitions as follows:

Property Name	Location	GLA	Excess Acreage	Purchase Price
		(In thousands, except acreage)		
Front Range Village	Fort Collins, CO	459	—	\$128,250
Bridgewater Falls Shopping Center	Hamilton (Cincinnati), OH	504	—	85,542
Woodbury Lakes	Woodbury (Minneapolis), MN	305	2.4	66,200
Buttermilk Towne Center	Crescent Springs (Cincinnati), KY	278	—	41,900
The Shoppes at Fox River	Waukesha (Milwaukee), WI	—	9.9	1,216
Total 2014 acquisitions		1,546	12.3	\$323,108

In addition, we sold five wholly-owned income-producing properties and four outparcels for net proceeds to us of \$34.2 million. Specifically, we sold:

Property Name	Location	Sales Price	Gain (loss) on Sale	Net Proceeds
		(In thousands)		
Northwest Crossing	Knoxville, TN	\$15,550	\$7,082	\$15,200
Naples Town Center	Naples, FL	7,150	2,343	6,962
Lake Orion Plaza	Lake Orion, MI	4,300	288	4,008
Fraser Shopping Center	Fraser, MI	3,250	186	2,881
The Town Center at Aquia - El Gran Charro	Stafford, VA	1,730	123	1,618
Total consolidated income producing dispositions		\$31,980	\$10,022	\$30,669
Harvest Junction Land - BioLife Outparcel	Longmont, CO	\$1,568	\$371	\$1,314
Parkway Land - Wendy's Outparcel	Jacksonville, FL	900	258	870
Parkway Land - Express Oil Change Outparcel	Jacksonville, FL	680	215	653
Hartland Land - Taco Bell Outparcel	Hartland Township, MI	650	(9)	650
Total consolidated outparcel dispositions		\$3,798	\$835	\$3,487
Total 2014 consolidated dispositions		\$35,778	\$10,857	\$34,156



## Financing Strategies and Significant Transactions

Our financing objective is to maintain a strong and flexible balance sheet in order to ensure access to capital at a competitive cost. In general, we seek to increase our financial flexibility by increasing our pool of unencumbered properties and borrowing on an unsecured basis. In keeping with our objective, we routinely benchmark our balance sheet on a variety of measures to our peers in the shopping center sector and to REITs in general.

During 2014, we continued to strengthen our capital structure by completing one underwritten public offering of newly issued common shares and various debt transactions.

Specifically, we completed the following financing transactions:

### Debt

\$100.0 million private placement of senior unsecured notes consisting of \$50.0 million of notes with a ten-year term with a fixed interest rate of 4.65% and \$50.0 million of notes with a twelve-year term at a fixed interest rate of 4.74%. A "shelf" facility allows for an additional \$50.0 million in notes to the same purchaser within the next three years, subject to approval, pricing and documentation;

\$75.0 million senior unsecured term loan with an additional \$75.0 million accordion feature. The loan has a seven-year term and bears interest at an annual rate of LIBOR plus 1.25% to 2.25% (initially 1.70%) depending upon our leverage or credit rating. The interest expense is hedged with an existing interest rate swap expiring in April 2016, resulting in an effective fixed initial annual rate of 2.9%; and

\$100.0 million private placement of senior unsecured notes consisting of \$50.0 million of notes with a ten-year term priced at a fixed interest rate of 4.16% and \$50.0 million of notes with a twelve-year term priced at a fixed interest rate of 4.30%.

Gross proceeds from these financings was used in part to repay the following:

\$45.0 million of variable-rate bank term debt due 2017;

\$75.0 million of bank term debt also due in 2017;

\$45.0 million balance on our unsecured revolving line of credit;

Mortgages securing two properties in the total amount of \$29.8 million both with an interest rate of 5.4%.

In addition, in conjunction with our acquisition of Bridgewater Falls, we assumed a mortgage loan with a \$58.6 million principal balance outstanding and an interest rate of 5.7%. We recorded a premium of approximately \$6.8 million based upon the fair value of the loan on the date it was assumed.

### Equity

Completed one underwritten public offering issuing a total of 6.9 million common shares of beneficial interest. Our total net proceeds, after deducting expenses, were approximately \$108.7 million; and

Issued 3.8 million common shares through controlled equity offerings, at an average share price of \$16.50, and received approximately \$61.7 million in net proceeds.

The proceeds from the equity transactions were used to fund a portion of the consideration for the acquisitions during the year, pay down debt, as well as for general corporate purposes.

As of December 31, 2014 we had net debt to total market capitalization of 35.7% as compared to 38.3%, at December 31, 2013. At December 31, 2014 and 2013 we had \$335.9 million and \$204.8 million, respectively, available to draw under our unsecured revolving line of credit.

### Competition

See page 6 of Item 1A. "Risk Factors" for a description of competitive conditions in our business.

#### Environmental Matters

See page 12 of Item 1A. "Risk Factors" for a description of environmental risks for our business.

4

---

## Employment

As of December 31, 2014, we had 116 full-time employees. None of our employees is represented by a collective bargaining unit. We believe that our relations with our employees are good.

## Available Information

All reports we electronically file with, or furnish to, the SEC, including our Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and amendments to such reports, are available, free of charge, on our website at [www.rgpt.com](http://www.rgpt.com), as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such reports with, or furnish those reports to, the SEC. Our Corporate Governance Guidelines, Code of Business Conduct and Ethics and Board of Trustees' committee charters also are available on our website.

Shareholders may request free copies of these documents from:

Ramco-Gershenson Properties Trust  
Attention: Investor Relations  
31500 Northwestern Highway, Suite 300  
Farmington Hills, MI 48334

## Item 1A. Risk Factors

You should carefully consider each of the risks and uncertainties described below and elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, as well as any amendments or updates reflected in subsequent filings with the SEC. We believe these risks and uncertainties, individually or in the aggregate, could cause our actual results to differ materially from expected and historical results and could materially and adversely affect our business operations, results of operations and financial condition. Further, additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us or that we currently deem immaterial may also impair our results and business operations.

### Operating Risks

National economic conditions and retail sales trends may adversely affect the performance of our properties.

Demand to lease space in our shopping centers generally fluctuates with the overall economy. Economic downturns often result in a lower rate of retail sales growth, or even declines in retail sales. In response, retailers that lease space in shopping centers typically reduce their demand for retail space during such downturns. As a result, economic downturns and unfavorable retail sales trends may diminish the income, cash flow, and value of our properties.

Our concentration of properties in Michigan and Florida makes us more susceptible to adverse market conditions in these states.

Our performance depends on the economic conditions in the markets in which we operate. In 2014, our wholly-owned and pro rata share of joint venture properties located in Michigan and Florida accounted for approximately 29%, and 23%, respectively, of our annualized base rent. In 2013 Michigan and Florida accounted for approximately 35% and 25%, respectively. To the extent that market conditions in these or other states in which we operate deteriorate, the performance or value of our properties may be adversely affected.

Changes in the supply and demand for the type of space we lease to our tenants could affect the income, cash flow, and value of our properties.

Our shopping centers generally compete for tenants with similar properties located in the same neighborhood, community, or region. Although we believe we own high quality centers, competing centers may be newer, better located, or have a better tenant mix. In addition, new centers or retail stores may be developed, increasing the supply of retail space competing with our centers or taking retail sales from our tenants. Our tenants also compete with alternate forms of retailing, including on-line shopping, home shopping networks, and mail order catalogs. Alternate forms of retailing may reduce the demand for space in our shopping centers.

As a result, we may not be able to renew leases or attract replacement tenants as leases expire. When we do renew tenants or attract replacement tenants, the terms of renewals or new leases may be less favorable to us than current lease terms. In order to lease our vacancies, we often incur costs to reconfigure or modernize our properties to suit the needs of a particular tenant. Under competitive circumstances, such costs may exceed our budgets. If we are unable to lease vacant space promptly, if the rental rates upon a renewal or new lease are lower than expected, or if the costs incurred to lease space exceed our expectations, then the income and cash flow of our properties will decrease.

Our reliance on key tenants for significant portions of our revenues exposes us to increased risk of tenant bankruptcies that could adversely affect our income and cash flow.

As of December 31, 2014, we received 38.7% of our combined annualized base rents from our top 25 tenants, including our top three tenants: TJ Maxx/Marshalls (4.5%), LA Fitness (2.6%) and Bed Bath & Beyond

(2.5%). No other tenant represented more than 2.0% of our total annualized base rent. The credit risk posed by our major tenants varies.

If any of our major tenants experiences financial difficulties or files for bankruptcy protection, our operating results could be adversely affected. Bankruptcy filings by our tenants or lease guarantors generally delay our efforts to collect pre-bankruptcy receivables and could ultimately preclude full collection of these sums. If a tenant rejects a lease, we would have only a general unsecured claim for damages, which may be collectible only to the extent that funds are available and only in the same percentage as is paid to all other holders of unsecured claims. In 2014, no key tenant of ours filed for bankruptcy protection.

6

---

Our properties generally rely on anchor tenants to attract customers. The loss of anchor tenants may adversely impact the performance of our properties.

If any of our anchor tenants becomes insolvent, suffers a downturn in business, abandons occupancy, or decides not to renew its lease, such event may adversely impact the performance of the affected center. An abandonment or lease termination by an anchor tenant may give other tenants in the same shopping center the right to terminate their leases or pay less rent pursuant to the terms of their leases. Our leases with anchor tenants may, in certain circumstances, permit them to transfer their leases to other retailers. The transfer to a new anchor tenant could result in lower customer traffic to the center, which could affect our other tenants. In addition, a transfer of a lease to a new anchor tenant could give other tenants the right to make reduced rental payments or to terminate their leases.

We may be restricted from leasing vacant space based on existing exclusivity lease provisions with some of our tenants.

In a number of cases, our leases give a tenant the exclusive right to sell clearly identified types of merchandise or provide specific types of services at a particular shopping center. In other cases, leases with a tenant may limit the ability of other tenants to sell similar merchandise or provide similar services to that tenant. When leasing a vacant space, these restrictions may limit the number and types of prospective tenants suitable for that space. If we are unable to lease space on satisfactory terms, our operating results would be adversely impacted.

Increases in operating expenses could adversely affect our operating results.

Our operating expenses include, among other items, property taxes, insurance, utilities, repairs, and the maintenance of the common areas of our shopping centers. We may experience increases in our operating expenses, some or all of which may be out of our control. Most of our leases require that tenants pay for a share of property taxes, insurance and common area maintenance costs. However, if any property is not fully occupied or if recovery income from tenants is not sufficient to cover operating expenses, then we could be required to expend our own funds for operating expenses. In addition, we may be unable to renew leases or negotiate new leases with terms requiring our tenants to pay all the property tax, insurance, and common area maintenance costs that tenants currently pay, which could adversely affect our operating results.

If we suffer losses that are uninsured or in excess of our insurance coverage limits, we could lose invested capital and anticipated profits.

Catastrophic losses, such as losses resulting from wars, acts of terrorism, earthquakes, floods, hurricanes, and tornadoes or other natural disasters, pollution or environmental matters, generally are either uninsurable or not economically insurable, or may be subject to insurance coverage limitations, such as large deductibles or co-payments. Although we currently maintain “all risk” replacement cost insurance for our buildings, rents and personal property, commercial general liability insurance, and pollution and environmental liability insurance, our insurance coverage may be inadequate if any of the events described above occurs to, or causes the destruction of, one or more of our properties. Under that scenario, we could lose both our invested capital and anticipated profits from that property.

Our real estate assets may be subject to additional impairment provisions based on market and economic conditions.

On a periodic basis, we assess whether there are any indicators that the value of our real estate properties and other investments may be impaired. Under generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”) a property’s value is impaired only if the estimate of the aggregate future cash flows (undiscounted and without interest charges) to be generated by the property is less than the carrying value of the property. In our estimate of cash flows, we consider factors such as

expected future operating income, trends and prospects, the effects of demand, competition and other factors. We are required to make subjective assessments as to whether there are impairments in the value of our real estate properties and other investments.

No assurance can be given that we will be able to recover the current carrying amount of all of our properties and those of our unconsolidated joint ventures. There can be no assurance that we will not take charges in the future related to the impairment of our assets. Any future impairment could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations in the period in which the charge is taken. We recorded an impairment provision of \$27.9 million in 2014 related to our real estate properties. Refer to Note 6 of the notes to the consolidated financial statements for further information regarding impairment provisions.

7

---

We do not control all decisions related to the activities of joint ventures in which we are invested, and we may have conflicts of interest with our joint venture partners.

As of December 31, 2014, we had interests in four unconsolidated joint ventures that collectively own 13 shopping centers. Although we manage the properties owned by these joint ventures, we do not control the decisions for the joint ventures. Accordingly, we may not be able to resolve in our favor any issues which arise, or we may have to provide financial or other inducements to our joint venture partners to obtain such favorable resolution.

Various restrictive provisions and rights govern sales or transfers of interests in our joint ventures. We may be required to make decisions as to the purchase or sale of interests in our joint ventures at a time that is disadvantageous to us. In addition, a bankruptcy filing of one of our joint venture partners could adversely affect us because we may make commitments that rely on our partners to fund capital from time to time. The profitability of shopping centers held in a joint venture could also be adversely affected by the bankruptcy of one of our joint venture partners if, because of certain provisions of the bankruptcy laws, we were unable to make important decisions in a timely fashion or became subject to additional liabilities.

We may invest in additional joint ventures, the terms of which may differ from our existing joint ventures. In general, we would expect to share the rights and obligations to make major decisions regarding the venture with our partners, which would expose us to the risks identified above.

Our equity investment in each of our unconsolidated joint ventures is subject to impairment testing in the event of certain triggering events, such as a change in market conditions or events at properties held by those joint ventures. If the fair value of our equity investment is less than our net book value on an other than temporary basis, an impairment charge is required to be recognized under generally accepted accounting principles. Refer to Note 6 of the notes to the consolidated financial statements for further information.

Market and economic conditions may impact our partners' ability to perform in accordance with our real estate joint venture and partnership agreements resulting in a change in control.

Changes in control of our investments could result from events such as amendments to our real estate joint venture and partnership agreements, changes in debt guarantees or changes in ownership due to required capital contributions. Any changes in control will result in the revaluation of our investments to fair value, which could lead to impairment. We are unable to predict whether, or to what extent, a change in control may occur or what the impact of adverse market and economic conditions might be to our partners.

Our redevelopment projects may not yield anticipated returns, which would adversely affect our operating results.

Our redevelopment activities generally call for a capital commitment and project scope greater than that required to lease vacant space. To the extent a significant amount of construction is required, we are susceptible to risks such as permitting, cost overruns and timing delays as a result of the lack of availability of materials and labor, the failure of tenants to commit or fulfill their commitments, weather conditions, and other factors outside of our control. Any substantial unanticipated delays or expenses could adversely affect the investment returns from these redevelopment projects and adversely impact our operating results.

#### Investing Risks

We face competition for the acquisition and development of real estate properties, which may impede our ability to grow our operations or may increase the cost of these activities.



We compete with many other entities for the acquisition of shopping centers and land suitable for new developments, including other REITs, private institutional investors and other owner-operators of shopping centers. In particular, larger REITs may enjoy competitive advantages that result from, among other things, a lower cost of capital. These competitors may increase the market prices we would have to pay in order to acquire properties. If we are unable to acquire properties that meet our criteria at prices we deem reasonable, our ability to grow may be adversely affected.

Commercial real estate investments are relatively illiquid, which could hamper our ability to dispose of properties that no longer meet our investment criteria or respond to adverse changes in the performance of our properties.

Because real estate investments are relatively illiquid, our ability to promptly sell one or more properties in our portfolio in response to changing economic, financial and investment conditions is limited. The real estate market is affected by many factors, such as general economic conditions, supply and demand, availability of financing, interest rates and other factors that are beyond our

control. We cannot be certain that we will be able to sell any property for the price and other terms we seek, or that any price or other terms offered by a prospective purchaser would be acceptable to us. We also cannot estimate with certainty the length of time needed to find a willing purchaser and to complete the sale of a property. We may be required to expend funds to correct defects or to make improvements before a property can be sold. Factors that impede our ability to dispose of properties could adversely affect our financial condition and operating results.

We are seeking to develop new properties, an activity that has inherent risks including cost overruns related to entitling land, improving the site, constructing buildings, and leasing new space.

We are seeking to develop and construct retail properties at several land parcels we own. Our development and construction activities are subject to the following risks:

• The pre-construction phase for a development project typically extends over several years, and the time to obtain anchor commitments, zoning and regulatory approvals, and financing can vary significantly from project to project; We may not be able to obtain the necessary zoning or other governmental approvals for a project, or we may determine that the expected return on a project is not sufficient. If we abandon our development activities with respect to a particular project, we may incur an impairment loss on our investment;

• Construction and other project costs may exceed our original estimates because of increases in material and labor costs, delays and costs to obtain anchor and other tenant commitments;

• We may not be able to obtain financing for construction;

- Occupancy rates and rents at a completed project may not meet our projections;
- and

• The time frame required for development, construction and lease-up of these properties means that we may have to wait years for a significant cash return.

If any of these events occur, our development activities may have an adverse effect on our results of operations, including additional impairment provisions. For a detailed discussion of development projects, refer to Notes 3 and 6 of the notes to the consolidated financial statements.

## Financing Risks

We have no corporate debt limitations.

Our management and Board of Trustees (“Board”) have discretion to increase the amount of our outstanding debt at any time. Subject to existing financial covenants, we could become more highly leveraged, resulting in an increase in debt service costs that could adversely affect our cash flow and the amount available for distribution to our shareholders. If we increase our debt, we may also increase the risk of default on our debt.

Our debt must be refinanced upon maturity, which makes us reliant on the capital markets on an ongoing basis.

We are not structured in a manner to generate and retain sufficient cash flow from operations to repay our debt at maturity. Instead, we expect to refinance our debt by raising equity, debt, or other capital prior to the time that it matures. As of December 31, 2014, we had \$923.5 million of outstanding indebtedness, including \$1.8 million of capital lease obligations. Of this, \$86.9 million matures in 2015. In addition, our joint ventures had \$170.2 million of outstanding indebtedness, of which our share is \$37.0 million. \$41.9 million of joint venture debt matures in 2015, of which our share is \$11.4 million. The availability and price of capital can vary significantly. If we seek to refinance maturing debt when capital market conditions are restrictive, we may find capital scarce, costly, or unavailable. Refinancing debt at a higher cost would affect our operating results and cash available for distribution. The failure to refinance our debt at maturity would result in default and the exercise by our lenders of the remedies available to them, including foreclosure and, in the case of recourse debt, liability for unpaid amounts.

Increases in interest rates may affect the cost of our variable-rate borrowings, our ability to refinance maturing debt, and the cost of any such refinancings.

As of December 31, 2014, we had seven interest rate swap agreements in effect for an aggregate notional amount of \$210.0 million converting our floating rate corporate debt to fixed rate debt. After accounting for these interest rate swap agreements, we had \$38.1 million of variable rate debt outstanding. Increases in interest rates on our existing indebtedness would increase our interest

expense, which could adversely affect our cash flow and our ability to distribute cash to our shareholders. For example, if market rates of interest on our variable rate debt outstanding as of December 31, 2014 increased by 1.0%, the increase in interest expense on our existing variable rate debt would decrease future earnings and cash flows by approximately \$0.4 million annually. Interest rate increases could also constrain our ability to refinance maturing debt because lenders may reduce their advance rates in order to maintain debt service coverage ratios.

Our mortgage debt exposes us to the risk of loss of property, which could adversely affect our financial condition.

As of December 31, 2014, we had \$354.7 million of mortgage debt encumbering our properties. A default on any of our mortgage debt may result in foreclosure actions by lenders and ultimately our loss of the mortgaged property. We have entered into mortgage loans which are secured by multiple properties and contain cross-collateralization and cross-default provisions. Cross-collateralization provisions allow a lender to foreclose on multiple properties in the event that we default under the loan. Cross-default provisions allow a lender to foreclose on the related property in the event a default is declared under another loan. For federal income tax purposes, a foreclosure of any of our properties would be treated as a sale of the property for a purchase price equal to the outstanding balance of the debt secured by the mortgage. If the outstanding balance of the debt secured by the mortgage exceeds our tax basis in the property, we would recognize taxable income on foreclosure but would not receive any cash proceeds.

Financial covenants may restrict our operating, investing, or financing activities, which may adversely impact our financial condition and operating results.

The financial covenants contained in our mortgages and debt agreements reduce our flexibility in conducting our operations and create a risk of default on our debt if we cannot continue to satisfy them. The mortgages on our properties contain customary negative covenants such as those that limit our ability, without the prior consent of the lender, to further mortgage the applicable property or to discontinue insurance coverage. In addition, if we breach covenants in our debt agreements, the lender can declare a default and require us to repay the debt immediately and, if the debt is secured, can ultimately take possession of the property securing the loan.

Our outstanding line of credit contains customary restrictions, requirements and other limitations on our ability to incur indebtedness, including limitations on the maximum ratio of total liabilities to assets, the minimum fixed charge coverage, and the minimum tangible net worth ratio. Our ability to borrow under our line of credit is subject to compliance with these financial and other covenants. We rely on our ability to borrow under our line of credit to finance acquisition, development, and redevelopment activities and for working capital. If we are unable to borrow under our line of credit, our financial condition and results of operations would likely be adversely impacted.

Because we must distribute a substantial portion of our income annually in order to maintain our REIT status, we may not retain sufficient cash from operations to fund our investing needs.

As a REIT, we are subject to annual distribution requirements under the Code. In general, we must distribute at least 90% of our REIT taxable income annually, excluding net capital gains, to our shareholders to maintain our REIT status. We intend to make distributions to our shareholders to comply with the requirements of the Code.

Differences in timing between the recognition of taxable income and the actual receipt of cash could require us to sell assets or borrow funds on a short-term or long-term basis to meet the 90% distribution requirement. In addition, the distribution requirement reduces the amount of cash we retain for use in funding our capital requirements and our growth. As a result, we have historically funded our acquisition, development and redevelopment activities by any of the following: selling assets that no longer meet our investment criteria; selling common shares and preferred shares; borrowing from financial institutions; and entering into joint venture transactions with third parties. Our failure to obtain funds from these sources could limit our ability to grow, which could have a material adverse effect on the

value of our securities.

There may be future dilution of our common shares

Our Declaration of Trust authorizes our Board to, among other things, issue additional common or preferred shares, or securities convertible or exchangeable into equity securities, without shareholder approval. We may issue such additional equity or convertible securities to raise additional capital. The issuance of any additional common or preferred shares or convertible securities could be dilutive to holders of our common shares. Moreover, to the extent that we issue restricted shares, options or warrants to purchase our common shares in the future and those options or warrants are exercised or the restricted shares vest, our shareholders may experience further dilution. Holders of our common shares have no preemptive rights that entitle them to purchase a pro rata share of any offering of shares of any class or series and, therefore, such sales or offerings could result in increased dilution to our shareholders.

10

---

We may issue debt and equity securities or securities convertible into equity securities, any of which may be senior to our common shares as to distributions and in liquidation, which could negatively affect the value of our common shares.

During 2014 we completed one underwritten public offering of 6.9 million common shares and issued 3.8 million common shares through controlled equity offerings. In addition, there were 365,524 shares of unvested restricted common shares and options to purchase 155,248 common shares outstanding at December 31, 2014.

#### Corporate Risks

The price of our common shares may fluctuate significantly.

The market price of our common shares fluctuates based upon numerous factors, many of which are outside of our control. A decline in our share price, whether related to our operating results or not, may constrain our ability to raise equity in pursuit of our business objectives. In addition, a decline in price may affect the perceptions of lenders, tenants, or others with whom we transact. Such parties may withdraw from doing business with us as a result. An inability to raise capital at a suitable cost or at any cost, or to do business with certain tenants or other parties, could affect our operations and financial condition.

Our failure to qualify as a REIT would result in higher taxes and reduced cash available for distribution to our shareholders.

We intend to operate in a manner so as to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes. Our continued qualification as a REIT will depend on our satisfaction of certain asset, income, investment, organizational, distribution, shareholder ownership and other requirements on a continuing basis. Our ability to satisfy the asset requirements depends upon our analysis of the fair market values of our assets, some of which are not susceptible to a precise determination, and for which we will not obtain independent appraisals. In addition, our compliance with the REIT income and asset requirements depends upon our ability to manage successfully the composition of our income and assets on an ongoing basis. Moreover, the proper classification of an instrument as debt or equity for federal income tax purposes may be uncertain in some circumstances, which could affect the application of the REIT qualification requirements. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that the Internal Revenue Service (“IRS”) will not contend that our interests in subsidiaries or other issuers constitute a violation of the REIT requirements. Moreover, future economic, market, legal, tax or other considerations may cause us to fail to qualify as a REIT.

If we were to fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, we would be subject to federal income tax, including any applicable alternative minimum tax, on our taxable income at regular corporate rates, and distributions to shareholders would not be deductible by us in computing our taxable income. Any such corporate tax liability could be substantial and would reduce the amount of cash available for distribution to our shareholders, which in turn could have an adverse impact on the value of, and trading prices for, our common shares. Unless entitled to relief under certain Code provisions, we also would be disqualified from taxation as a REIT for the four taxable years following the year during which we ceased to qualify as a REIT.

Even as a REIT, we may be subject to various federal income and excise taxes, as well as state and local taxes.

Even as a REIT, we may be subject to federal income and excise taxes in various situations, such as if we fail to distribute all of our REIT taxable income. We also will be required to pay a 100% tax on non-arm’s length transactions between us and our TRSs and on any net income from sales of property that the IRS successfully asserts was property held for sale to customers in the ordinary course of business. Additionally, we may be subject to state or local taxation

in various state or local jurisdictions, including those in which we transact business. The state and local tax laws may not conform to the federal income tax treatment. Any taxes imposed on us would reduce our operating cash flow and net income.

The rules dealing with federal income taxation are constantly under review by persons involved in the legislative process and by the IRS and the United States Treasury Department. Changes to tax laws, which may have retroactive application, could adversely affect our shareholders or us. We cannot predict how changes in tax laws might affect our shareholders or us.

We are party to litigation in the ordinary course of business, and an unfavorable court ruling could have a negative effect on us.

We are the defendant in a number of claims brought by various parties against us. Although we intend to exercise due care and consideration in all aspects of our business, it is possible additional claims could be made against us. We maintain insurance coverage including general liability coverage to help protect us in the event a claim is awarded; however, some claims may be uninsured. In the event that claims against us are successful and uninsured or underinsured, or we elect to settle claims that we determine are in our interest to settle, our operating results and cash flow could be adversely impacted. In addition, an increase in claims and/or payments could result in higher insurance premiums, which could also adversely affect our operating results and cash flow.

We are subject to various environmental laws and regulations which govern our operations and which may result in potential liability.

Under various federal, state and local laws, ordinances and regulations relating to the protection of the environment, a current or previous owner or operator of real estate may be liable for the costs of removal or remediation of certain hazardous or toxic substances disposed, stored, released, generated, manufactured or discharged from, on, at, onto, under or in such property. Environmental laws often impose such liability without regard to whether the owner or operator knew of, or was responsible for, the presence or release of such hazardous or toxic substance. The presence of such substances, or the failure to properly remediate such substances when present, released or discharged, may adversely affect the owner's ability to sell or rent such property or to borrow using such property as collateral. The cost of any required remediation and the liability of the owner or operator therefore as to any property is generally not limited under such environmental laws and could exceed the value of the property and/or the aggregate assets of the owner or operator. Persons who arrange for the disposal or treatment of hazardous or toxic substances may also be liable for the cost of removal or remediation of such substances at a disposal or treatment facility, whether or not such facility is owned or operated by such persons. In addition to any action required by federal, state or local authorities, the presence or release of hazardous or toxic substances on or from any property could result in private plaintiffs bringing claims for personal injury or other causes of action.

In connection with ownership (direct or indirect), operation, management and development of real properties, we have the potential to be liable for remediation, releases or injury. In addition, environmental laws impose on owners or operators the requirement of ongoing compliance with rules and regulations regarding business-related activities that may affect the environment. Such activities include, for example, the ownership or use of transformers or underground tanks, the treatment or discharge of waste waters or other materials, the removal or abatement of asbestos-containing materials ("ACMs") or lead-containing paint during renovations or otherwise, or notification to various parties concerning the potential presence of regulated matters, including ACMs. Failure to comply with such requirements could result in difficulty in the lease or sale of any affected property and/or the imposition of monetary penalties, fines or other sanctions in addition to the costs required to attain compliance. Several of our properties have or may contain ACMs or underground storage tanks; however, we are not aware of any potential environmental liability which could reasonably be expected to have a material impact on our financial position or results of operations. No assurance can be given that future laws, ordinances or regulations will not impose any material environmental requirement or liability, or that a material adverse environmental condition does not otherwise exist.

Our business and operations would suffer in the event of system failures or cyber security attacks.

Despite the implementation of security measures and the existence of a Disaster Recovery Plan for our internal information technology systems, our systems are vulnerable to damages from any number of sources, including energy blackouts, natural disasters, terrorism, war, telecommunication failures and cyber security attacks, such as computer viruses or unauthorized access. Any system failure or accident that causes interruptions in our operations



could result in a material disruption to our business. We may also incur additional costs to remedy damages caused by such disruptions. Any compromise of our security could also result in a violation of applicable privacy and other laws, significant legal and financial exposure, damage to our reputation, loss or misuse of the information and a loss of confidence in our security measures, which could harm our business.

Restrictions on the ownership of our common shares are in place to preserve our REIT status.

Our Declaration of Trust restricts ownership by any one shareholder to no more than 9.8% of our outstanding common shares, subject to certain exceptions granted by our Board. The ownership limit is intended to ensure that we maintain our REIT status given that the Code imposes certain limitations on the ownership of the stock of a REIT. Not more than 50% in value of our outstanding shares of beneficial interest may be owned, directly or indirectly by five or fewer individuals (as defined in the Code) during the last half of any taxable year. If an individual or entity were found to own constructively more than 9.8% in value of our outstanding shares, then any excess shares would be transferred by operation of our Declaration of Trust to a charitable trust,

12

---

which would sell such shares for the benefit of the shareholder in accordance with procedures specified in our Declaration of Trust.

The ownership limit may discourage a change in control, may discourage tender offers for our common shares, and may limit the opportunities for our shareholders to receive a premium for their shares. Upon due consideration, our Board previously has granted limited exceptions to this restriction for certain shareholders who requested an increase in their ownership limit. However, the Board has no obligation to grant such limited exceptions in the future. Certain anti-takeover provisions of our Declaration of Trust and Bylaws may inhibit a change of our control.

Certain provisions contained in our Declaration of Trust and Bylaws and the Maryland General Corporation Law, as applicable to Maryland REITs, may discourage a third party from making a tender offer or acquisition proposal to us. These provisions and actions may delay, deter or prevent a change in control or the removal of existing management. These provisions and actions also may delay or prevent the shareholders from receiving a premium for their common shares of beneficial interest over then-prevailing market prices.

These provisions and actions include:

- the REIT ownership limit described above;
- authorization of the issuance of our preferred shares of beneficial interest with powers, preferences or rights to be determined by our Board;
- special meetings of our shareholders may be called only by the chairman of our Board, the president, one-third of the Trustees, or the secretary upon the written request of the holders of shares entitled to cast not less than a majority of all the votes entitled to be cast at such meeting;
- a two-thirds shareholder vote is required to approve some amendments to our Declaration of Trust;
- our Bylaws contain advance-notice requirements for proposals to be presented at shareholder meetings; and
- our Board, without the approval of our shareholders, may from time to time (i) amend our Declaration of Trust to increase or decrease the aggregate number of shares of beneficial interest, or the number of shares of beneficial interest of any class, that we have authority to issue, and (ii) reclassify any unissued shares of beneficial interest into one or more classes or series of shares of beneficial interest.

In addition, the Trust, by Board action, may elect to be subject to certain provisions of the Maryland General Corporation Law that inhibit takeovers such as the provision that permits the Board by way of resolution to classify itself, notwithstanding any provision our Declaration of Trust or Bylaws.

Certain officers and trustees may have potential conflicts of interests with respect to properties contributed to the Operating Partnership in exchange for OP Units.

Certain of our officers and members of our Board of Trustees own OP Units obtained in exchange for contributions of their partnership interests in properties to the Operating Partnership. By virtue of this exchange, these individuals may have been able to defer some, if not all, of the income tax liability they could have incurred if they sold the properties for cash. As a result, these individuals may have potential conflicts of interest with respect to these properties, such as sales or refinancings that might result in federal income tax consequences.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.

None.

## Item 2. Properties

As of December 31, 2014, we owned and managed a portfolio of 80 shopping centers and one office building with approximately 16.9 million square feet of gross leasable area ("GLA"). Our wholly-owned properties consist of 67 shopping centers and one office building comprising approximately 14.2 million square feet ("SF").

Property Name	Location City	State	Ownership	Year Built / Acquired / Redeveloped	Total GLA	% Leased	Average base rent per leased SF <sup>(1)</sup>	Anchor Tenants <sup>(2)</sup>
CORE PORTFOLIO <sup>(3)</sup>								
Front Range Village	Fort Collins	CO	100	% 2008/2014/NA	459,307	95.7	% \$19.38	CA, Inc., Charming Charlie, Cost Plus World Markets, DSW, Microsoft Corporation, Party City, Sports Authority, Sprouts Farmers Market, Staples, Toys "R" Us, Ulta Beauty, (Fort Collins Library), (Lowe's), (Target) Best Buy, Dick's Sporting Goods, Dollar Tree, DSW Shoe Warehouse, Staples Bed Bath & Beyond, Marshalls, Michaels, Petco, Ross Dress for Less, (Lowe's) Publix
Harvest Junction North	Longmont	CO	100	% 2006/2012/NA	159,413	99.4	% \$15.85	Publix
Harvest Junction South	Longmont	CO	100	% 2006/2012/NA	176,960	97.3	% 14.73	Publix
Cocoa Commons	Cocoa	FL	100	% 2001/2007/2008	90,116	89.7	% 11.91	Publix
Coral Creek Shops	Coconut Creek	FL	100	% 1992/2002/NA	109,312	92.7	% 17.53	Publix
Cypress Point	Clearwater	FL	100	% 1983/2007/NA	167,280	95.7	% 12.15	Burlington Coat Factory, Chuck E. Cheese's, The Fresh Market
Kissimmee West	Kissimmee	FL	7	% 2005/2005/NA	115,586	95.1	% 12.42	Jo-Ann, Marshalls, (Super Target)

Edgar Filing: RAMCO GERSHENSON PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-K

Lakeland Park Center	Lakeland	FL	100	%	2014	210,965	98.1	%	13.59	Dick's Sporting Goods, Floor & Décor, Old Navy, PetSmart, Ross Dress for Less, Shoe Carnival Beall's Outlet, Dollar Tree, Office Depot, Ross Dress for Less, Winn-Dixie The Fresh Market, Golfsmith, LA Fitness, OfficeMax, Toys "R" Us
Marketplace of Delray	Delray Beach	FL	100	%	1981/2005/2010	240,789	91.6	%	12.86	Dick's Sporting Goods, Marshalls Ashley Furniture HomeStore, Bed Bath & Beyond, Best Buy, Cracker Barrel, Gander Mountain, Michaels, OfficeMax, Old Navy, PetSmart, Ross Dress for Less, Hollywood Theaters, (Lowe's), (Wal-Mart Supercenter)
Mission Bay Plaza	Boca Raton	FL	100	%	1989/2004/NA	264,748	97.6	%	21.59	Publix
Parkway Shops	Jacksonville	FL	100	%	2013/2011/NA	89,114	100.0	%	13.37	Beall's, CVS, Winn-Dixie Ashley Furniture HomeStore, Dollar Tree, Michaels, Petco, Staples, T.J. Maxx, (Target)
River City Marketplace	Jacksonville	FL	100	%	2005/2005/NA	557,087	99.4	%	17.09	
River Crossing Centre	New Port Richey	FL	100	%	1998/2003/NA	62,038	95.2	%	12.50	
Rivertowne Square	Deerfield Beach	FL	100	%	1980/1998/2010	141,943	96.2	%	7.91	
Shoppes of Lakeland	Lakeland	FL	100	%	1985/1996/NA	183,842	99.0	%	12.96	

Edgar Filing: RAMCO GERSHENSON PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-K

Property Name	Location City	State	Ownership	Year Built %Acquired / Redeveloped	Total GLA	% Leased	Average base rent per leased SF <sup>(1)</sup>	Anchor Tenants <sup>(2)</sup>
The Crossroads	Royal Palm Beach	FL	100	% 1988/2002/NA	120,092	95.0	% 16.08	Dollar Tree, Publix, Walgreens
The Plaza at Delray	Delray Beach	FL	20	% 1979/2004/NA	313,913	97.4	% 17.09	Anna's Linens, CVS, Duffy's Sports Grill, LA Fitness, Marshalls, Michaels, Publix, Ross Dress for Less, T.J. Maxx, Ulta Beauty
Treasure Coast Commons	Jensen Beach	FL	100	% 1996/2004/NA	92,979	100.0	% 12.26	Barnes & Noble, OfficeMax, Sports Authority
Village Lakes Shopping Center	Land O' Lakes	FL	100	% 1987/1997/NA	168,751	80.7	% 8.87	Beall's Outlet, Dollar Tree, Marshalls, Ross Dress for Less, You Fit Health Club
Village of Oriole Plaza	Delray Beach	FL	30	% 1986/2005/NA	155,770	94.6	% 13.42	Glick's Kosher Market, Oriole Cinemas, Publix, Walgreens
Village Plaza	Lakeland	FL	100	% 1989/2004/NA	103,956	93.9	% 14.16	Big Lots, Party City
Vista Plaza	Jensen Beach	FL	100	% 1998/2004/NA	109,761	100.0	% 13.58	Bed Bath & Beyond, Michaels, Total Wine & More
West Broward Shopping Center	Plantation	FL	100	% 1965/2005/NA	152,973	98.7	% 10.89	Badcock, DD's Discounts, Dollar Tree, Save-A-Lot, US Postal Service, Walgreens
Centre at Woodstock	Woodstock	GA	100	% 1997/2004/NA	86,748	100.0	% 12.09	Publix
Conyers Crossing	Conyers	GA	100	% 1978/1998/NA	170,475	99.4	% 5.07	Burlington Coat Factory, Hobby Lobby
Holcomb Center	Roswell	GA	100	% 1986/1996/2010	106,003	85.6	% 11.75	Just Fitness, Studio Movie Grill
Horizon Village	Suwanee	GA	100	% 1996/2002/NA	97,001	91.5	% 11.05	Crossroads Treatment Center, Movie Tavern, You Fit Health Club
Peachtree Hill	Duluth	GA	20	% 1986/2007/NA	154,700	94.5	% 13.10	Kroger, LA Fitness

Edgar Filing: RAMCO GERSHENSON PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-K

Promenade at Pleasant Hill	Duluth	GA	100	%	1993/2004/2014	261,808	93.5	%	9.45	Farmers Home Furniture, K1 Speed, LA Fitness <sup>(5)</sup> , Publix
Deer Grove Centre	Palatine	IL	100	%	1997/2013/2013	235,840	90.6	%	11.74	Dominick's Supermarkets <sup>(3)</sup> , Dress Barn, Petco, Staples, T J Maxx, (Target)
Liberty Square Market Plaza	Wauconda Glen Ellyn	IL IL	100 20	% %	1987/2010/2008 1965/2007/2009	107,427 163,054	85.0 96.3	% %	13.99 16.15	Jewel-Osco Jewel-Osco, Staples Aldi, Dollar Tree, LA Fitness,
Mount Prospect Plaza	Mount Prospect	IL	100	%	1962/2013/2013	300,682	89.5	%	11.97	Marshalls, Petco, Ross Dress for Less, Walgreens Dollar Tree, Jewel-Osco,
Rolling Meadows Shopping Center	Rolling Meadows	IL	20	%	1956/2008/1995	134,012	88.4	%	10.51	Northwest Community Hospital Bang Fitness <sup>(5)</sup> , Cost Plus, Flix Brewhouse
Merchants' Square	Carmel	IN	100	%	1970/2010/2014	248,369	82.3	%	12.57	<sup>(5)</sup> ,Hancock Fabrics, Petco, Tuesday Morning, (Marsh Supermarket) Firestone,
Nora Plaza	Indianapolis	IN	7	%	1958/2007/2002	139,753	97.2	%	13.74	Marshalls, Whole Foods Market, (Target) Field & Stream,
Buttermilk Towne Center	Crescent Springs	KY	100	%	2005/2014/NA	277,533	100.0	%	9.15	Home Depot, LA Fitness, Remke Market Gold's Gym, Goodwill, Hibachi Grill & Supreme
Crofton Centre	Crofton	MD	20	%	1974/1996/NA	252,230	97.2	%	8.20	Buffet, Kmart, Shoppers Food Warehouse, United Tile and Granite

Edgar Filing: RAMCO GERSHENSON PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-K

Property Name	Location City	State	Ownership %	Year Built / Acquired / Redeveloped	Total GLA	% Leased	Average base rent per leased SF <sup>(1)</sup>	Anchor Tenants <sup>(2)</sup>
Clinton Pointe	Clinton Township	MI	100	% 1992/2003/NA	135,330	100.0	% 9.64	Famous Footwear, OfficeMax, Planet Fitness, Sports Authority, (Target) DSW Shoe Warehouse, Famous
Clinton Valley	Sterling Heights	MI	100	% 1977/1996/2009	200,935	98.2	% 12.20	Furniture, Hobby Lobby, Office Depot, OptimEyes Staples, Target, (Meijer)
Gaines Marketplace	Gaines Township	MI	100	% 2004/2004/NA	184,376	100.0	% 7.47	CVS, Dollar Tree, Dress Barn/Dress Barn Woman, Dunham's, Kroger, Marshalls, OfficeMax
Hoover Eleven	Warren	MI	100	% 1989/2003/NA	280,719	93.5	% 11.63	Bed Bath & Beyond, Buy Buy Baby, Marshalls, Michaels, Old Navy, T.J. Maxx
Hunter's Square	Farmington Hills	MI	100	% 1988/2005/NA	354,323	89.4	% 17.15	Bed Bath & Beyond, Best Buy, Citi Trends, Deb, Dollar Tree, Jackson 10
Jackson Crossing	Jackson	MI	100	% 1967/1996/2002	402,326	97.5	% 10.38	Theater, Kohl's, MC Sporting Goods, T.J. Maxx, Toys "R" Us, Ulta Beauty, (Sears), (Target)
Jackson West	Jackson	MI	100	% 1996/1996/1999	209,800	97.7	% 7.41	GFS Marketplace, Lowe's, Michaels, OfficeMax, PetSmart
Lakeshore Marketplace	Norton Shores	MI	100	% 1996/2003/NA	342,991	96.0	% 8.72	Barnes & Noble, Dollar Tree, DSW Shoe Warehouse, Dunham's, Gordmans, Hobby Lobby, Old Navy, Petco, T.J. Maxx, Toys "R" Us, (Target)
Livonia Plaza	Livonia	MI	100	% 1988/2003/NA	137,391	100.0	% 11.28	Kroger, T.J. Maxx

Edgar Filing: RAMCO GERSHENSON PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-K

Millennium Park	Livonia	MI	30	%	2000/2005/NA	272,568	100.0	%	14.36	Five Below, Home Depot, Marshalls, Michaels, PetSmart, Ulta Beauty, (Costco), (Meijer)
New Towne Plaza	Canton Township	MI	100	%	1975/1996/2005	192,587	100.0	%	10.82	DSW Shoe Warehouse, Jo-Ann, Kohl's
Oak Brook Square	Flint	MI	100	%	1982/1996/2008	152,073	93.2	%	9.38	Dollar Tree, Hobby Lobby, T.J. Maxx
Roseville Towne Center	Roseville	MI	100	%	1963/1996/2004	76,998	100.0	%	12.05	CVS, Dollar Tree, Five Below, Marshalls, (Wal-Mart)
Shoppes at Fairlane Meadows	Dearborn	MI	100	%	1987/2003/2007	157,225	100.0	%	\$ 13.42	Best Buy, Citi Trends, David's Bridal, Dollar Tree, (Burlington Coat Factory), (Target)
Southfield Plaza	Southfield	MI	100	%	1969/1996/2003	190,099	98.9	%	8.80	Big Lots, Burlington Coat Factory, Forman Mills
Tel-Twelve	Southfield	MI	100	%	1968/1996/2005	523,411	100.0	%	10.99	Best Buy, DSW Shoe Warehouse, Lowe's, Meijer, Michaels, Office Depot, PetSmart, Pier1 Imports
The Auburn Mile 1	Auburn Hills	MI	100	%	2000/1999/NA	90,553	100.0	%	10.95	Jo-Ann, Staples, (Best Buy), (Costco), (Meijer), (Target)
The Shops at Old Orchard	West Bloomfield	MI	100	%	1972/2007/2011	96,768	100.0	%	17.80	Plum Market, Witbeck Home Appliance
Troy Marketplace	Troy	MI	100	%	2000/2005/2010	217,754	100.0	%	16.86	Airtime, Golfsmith, LA Fitness, Nordstrom Rack, PetSmart, Total Hockey, (REI)
West Oaks I	Novi	MI	100	%	1979/1996/2004	243,987	100.0	%	9.88	Best Buy, David's Bridal, DSW Shoe Warehouse, Gander Mountain, Home Goods & Michaels-Sublease of JLPK-Novis LLC, Old Navy, Party City





Edgar Filing: RAMCO GERSHENSON PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-K

Property Name	Location City	State	Ownership	% Acquired / Redeveloped	Year Built / Redeveloped	Total GLA	% Leased	Average base rent per leased SF <sup>(1)</sup>	Anchor Tenants <sup>(2)</sup>
West Oaks II	Novi	MI	100	%	1986/1996/2000	167,954	98.7	% 17.87	Jo-Ann, Marshalls, (Bed Bath & Beyond), (Big Lots), (Kohl's), (Toys "R" Us), (Value City Furniture)
Winchester Center	Rochester Hills	MI	100	%	1980/2005/NA	314,575	94.4	% 10.45	Bed Bath & Beyond, Dick's Sporting Goods, Legacy Volleyball Club, Marshalls, Michaels, Old Navy, Party City, PetSmart, Pier 1 Imports
Woodbury Lakes	Woodbury	MN	100	%	2005/2014/NA	305,086	87.2	% 21.38	buybuy Baby, Charming Charlie, DSW, Gap, H & M, Michaels, Victoria's Secret (Trader Joe's)
Central Plaza	Ballwin	MO	100	%	1970/2012/2012	166,431	100.0	% 11.38	buybuy Baby, Five Below, Jo-Ann, OfficeMax, Ross Dress for Less, Tuesday Morning
Deer Creek Shopping Center	Maplewood	MO	100	%	1975/2013/2013	208,144	100.0	% 10.16	Buy Buy Baby, GFS Marketplace, Jo-Ann, Marshalls, Ross Dress for Less, Shoe Carnival, State of Missouri
Heritage Place	Creve Coeur (St Louis)	MO	100	%	1989/2011/2005	269,105	96.4	% 13.60	Dierbergs Markets, Marshalls, Office Depot, Petco, T.J. Maxx
Town & Country Crossing	Town & Country	MO	100	%	2008/2011/2011	148,630	88.5	% 26.08	Whole Foods Market, (Target) CVS, Marshalls, Nitroflex Gym,
Chester Springs Shopping Center	Chester	NJ	20%		1970/1996/1999	222,930	93.8%	14.67	Shop-Rite Supermarket, Staples
Bridgewater Falls	Hamilton	OH	100	%	2005/2014/NA	503,502	94.7	% 13.93	Bed Bath & Beyond, Best Buy, Dick's

Crossroads Centre 1	Rossford	OH	100	%	2001/2001/NA	344,045	97.6	%	8.90	Sporting Goods, J.C. Penney, Michaels, Old Navy, Party City, PetSmart, Staples, T.J. Maxx, Ulta Beauty, (Target) Giant Eagle <sup>(4)</sup> , Home Depot, Michaels, T.J. Maxx, Tuesday Morning, (Target) Ashley Furniture HomeStore, Bed Bath & Beyond, Buy Buy Baby, Charming Charlie's, Dick's Sporting Goods, Regal Cinemas, Ulta Beauty, Whole Foods Market Eurolife Furniture, Marshalls, Metro Fitness, Micro Center, Columbus Asia Market-Sublease of SuperValu, Tuesday Morning MC Sporting Goods, PetSmart Ashley Furniture HomeStore, Big Lots, Dollar Tree, Guitar Center, OfficeMax, Party City, PetSmart, T.J. Maxx, (Best Buy), (Dick's Sporting Goods), (Kroger), (Sam's Club), (Target) Bed Bath & Beyond, Pier 1 Imports, Whole Foods Market
Deerfield Towne Center	Mason	OH	100	%	2004/2013/2013	460,675	87.9	%	19.44	
Olentangy Plaza	Columbus	OH	20	%	1981/2007/1997	253,204	94.5	%	10.96	
Rossford Pointe	Rossford	OH	100	%	2006/2005/NA	47,477	96.6	%	9.98	
Spring Meadows Place	Holland	OH	100	%	1987/1996/2005	259,362	92.4	%	10.61	
The Shops on Lane Avenue	Upper Arlington	OH	20	%	1952/2007/2004	170,719	93.9	%	21.44	



Edgar Filing: RAMCO GERSHENSON PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-K

Property Name	Location City	State	Ownership %	Year Built / Acquired / Redeveloped	Total GLA	% Leased	Average base rent per leased SF <sup>(1)</sup>	Anchor Tenants <sup>(2)</sup>
Troy Towne Center	Troy	OH	100 %	1990/1996/2003	144,485	98.3 %	\$7.14	Kohl's, Petco, Shoe Carnival <sup>(5)</sup> , (Wal-Mart Supercenter)
East Town Plaza	Madison	WI	100 %	1992/2000/2000	208,472	84.6 %	9.83	Burlington Coat Factory, DSW Shoe Warehouse, Jo-Ann, Kirkland's Home, Marshalls, Party City, Ulta Beauty, (Shopko), (Babies "R" Us)
Nagawaukee Center	Delafield	WI	100 %	1994/2012-13/NA	219,538	99.0 %	13.81	Kohl's, Marshalls, Sports Authority, (Sentry Foods)
The Shoppes at Fox River	Waukesha	WI	100 %	2009/2010/2011	237,392	100.0 %	14.25	Hobby Lobby, Petco, Pick 'n Save, T.J. Maxx, Ulta Beauty, (Target)
West Allis Towne Centre	West Allis	WI	100 %	1987/1996/2011	326,271	97.1 %	8.77	Burlington Coat Factory, Citi Trends, Dollar Tree, Harbor Freight Tools, Kmart, Lumber Liquidators, Office Depot <sup>(4)</sup> , Party City, Xperience Fitness
FUTURE REDEVELOPMENTS/AVAILABLE FOR SALE <sup>(6)</sup> :								
Martin Square	Stuart	FL	30 %	1981/2005/NA	331,105	66.0 %	\$6.69	Home Depot, Paradise Home & Patio, Staples, Walgreens
The Town Center at Aquia	Stafford	VA	100 %	1989/1998/NA	34,720	100.0 %	\$9.27	Regal Cinemas, Rite Aid Pharmacy
The Town Center at Aquia Office	Stafford	VA	100 %	1989/1998/2009	98,147	48.2 %	\$28.02	Cask Technologies <sup>(5)</sup>

PORTFOLIO TOTAL / AVERAGE

16,886,513 94.6 % \$12.95

Footnotes

(1) Average base rent per leased SF is calculated based on annual minimum contractual base rent pursuant to the tenant lease,

excluding percentage rent, recovery income from tenants, and is net of tenant concessions. Percentage rent and recovery

income from tenants is presented separately in our consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss) statement.

(2) Anchor tenants is defined as any tenant leasing 10,000 square feet or more. Tenants in parenthesis represent non-company owned GLA.

(3) We define Core Portfolio as stabilized assets that are not currently under development/redevelopment.

(4) Tenant closed - lease obligated.

(5) Space delivered to tenant.

(6) Represents 1.5% of combined portfolio annual base rent.

Our leases for tenant space under 10,000 square feet generally have terms ranging from three to five years. Tenant leases greater than or equal to 10,000 square feet generally have lease terms of five years or longer, and are considered anchor leases. Many of the anchor leases contain provisions allowing the tenant the option of extending the lease term at expiration at contracted rental rates that often include fixed rent increases, consumer price index adjustments or other market rate adjustments from the prior base rent. The majority of our leases provide for monthly payment of base rent in advance, percentage rent based on the tenant's sales volume, reimbursement of the tenant's allocable real estate taxes, insurance and common area maintenance ("CAM") expenses and reimbursement for utility costs if not directly metered.

Major Tenants

The following table sets forth as of December 31, 2014 the gross leasable area, or GLA, of our existing properties leased to tenants in our combined properties portfolio:

Type of Tenant	Annualized Base Rent	% of Total Annualized Base Rent	GLA <sup>(2)</sup>	% of Total GLA <sup>(2)</sup>	
Anchor <sup>(1)</sup>	\$117,614,266	57.2	% 11,913,200	70.5	%
Retail (non-anchor)	88,055,930	42.8	% 4,973,313	29.5	%
Total	\$205,670,196	100.0	% 16,886,513	100.0	%

<sup>(1)</sup> We define anchor tenants as tenants occupying a space consisting of 10,000 square feet or more.

<sup>(2)</sup> GLA owned directly by us or our unconsolidated joint ventures.

The following table depicts, as of December 31, 2014, information regarding leases with the 25 largest retail tenants (in terms of annualized base rent) in our combined properties portfolio:

Tenant Name	Credit Rating S&P/Moody's <sup>(1)</sup>	Number of Leases	GLA	% of Total GLA <sup>(2)</sup>	Total Annualized Base Rent	Annualized Base Rent PSF	% of Annualized Base Rent	
TJX Companies	A+/A3	32	974,725	5.8	% \$9,323,498	\$9.57	4.5	%
LA Fitness	B/B2	7	297,300	1.8	% 5,339,870	17.96	2.6	%
Bed Bath & Beyond	A-/Baa1	16	466,700	2.8	% 5,094,117	10.92	2.5	%
Dick's Sporting Goods	--/--	7	353,737	2.1	% 3,756,143	10.62	1.8	%
Office Depot	B-/B2	13	308,933	1.8	% 3,660,738	11.85	1.8	%
The Home Depot	A/A2	4	487,203	2.9	% 3,465,250	7.11	1.7	%
Michaels Stores	B/B3	13	287,298	1.7	% 3,206,853	11.16	1.6	%
PetSmart	BB+/--	10	208,873	1.2	% 3,040,114	14.55	1.5	%
Best Buy	BB/Baa2	7	236,677	1.4	% 3,019,499	12.76	1.5	%
Dollar Tree	--/--	29	300,143	1.8	% 2,911,753	9.70	1.4	%
Publix Super Markets	--/--	8	372,141	2.2	% 2,790,512	7.50	1.4	%
Ascena Retail	--/--	26	147,935	0.9	% 2,787,604	18.84	1.4	%
DSW Designer Shoe Warehouse	--/--	9	169,773	1.0	% 2,770,983	16.32	1.3	%
Whole Foods Market	BBB/--	4	152,657	0.9	% 2,747,953	18.00	1.3	%
Jo-Ann Fabric and Craft Stores	B/Caa1	7	233,947	1.4	% 2,741,288	11.72	1.3	%
ULTA Beauty	--/--	12	123,842	0.7	% 2,737,536	22.11	1.3	%
Regal Cinemas	--/--	3	143,080	0.8	% 2,672,623	18.68	1.3	%
Burlington Coat Factory	B/--	5	360,867	2.1	% 2,461,341	6.82	1.2	%
Staples	BBB-/Baa2	10	202,710	1.2	% 2,376,498	11.72	1.2	%
Ross Stores	A-/A3	10	262,734	1.6	% 2,268,726	8.64	1.1	%
Kohl's	BBB/Baa1	6	363,081	2.2	% 2,239,857	6.17	1.1	%
Gap, Inc.	BBB-/Baa3	10	145,941	0.9	% 2,187,530	14.99	1.1	%
Gander Mountain	--/--	2	159,791	0.9	% 2,023,539	12.66	1.0	%
Sports Authority	--/B3	4	172,705	1.0	% 1,989,264	11.52	0.9	%
	A-/A3	2	270,394	1.6	% 1,919,646	7.10	0.9	%

Lowe's Home  
Centers

Sub-Total top 25 tenants	256	7,203,187	42.7	%	\$79,532,735	\$11.04	38.7	%
Remaining tenants	1,565	8,674,455	51.3	%	126,137,461	14.54	61.3	%
Sub-Total all tenants	1,821	15,877,642	94.0	%	\$205,670,196	\$12.95	100.0	%
Leased / Vacant	249	1,008,871	6.0	%	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total including vacant	2,070	16,886,513	100.0	%	\$205,670,196	N/A	100.0	%

(1) Source: Latest Company filings per CreditRiskMonitor.



## Lease Expirations

The following tables set forth a schedule of lease expirations, for our combined portfolio, for the next ten years and thereafter, assuming that no renewal options are exercised:

## ALL TENANTS

Expiring Leases As of December 31, 2014

Year	Number of Leases	GLA <sup>(1)</sup>	Average Annualized Base Rent (per square foot)	Total Annualized Base Rent <sup>(2)</sup>	% of Total Annualized Base Rent	
<sup>(3)</sup>	39	139,001	\$10.27	\$1,428,004	0.7	%
2015	226	974,612	14.08	13,719,878	6.7	%
2016	326	2,118,337	14.13	29,936,996	14.6	%
2017	298	1,871,799	14.20	26,574,937	12.9	%
2018	242	1,404,530	15.14	21,265,039	10.3	%
2019	196	1,566,979	12.70	19,894,264	9.7	%
2020	133	1,488,567	10.88	16,199,956	7.9	%
2021	69	1,035,809	11.53	11,942,004	5.8	%
2022	63	877,430	13.56	11,894,155	5.8	%
2023	81	1,289,098	12.38	15,961,341	7.8	%
2024	60	829,318	11.43	9,479,376	4.6	%
2025	37	676,934	12.19	8,253,393	4.0	%
2026+	51	1,605,228	11.91	19,120,853	9.2	%
Sub-Total	1,821	15,877,642	\$12.95	\$205,670,196	100.0	%
Leased <sup>(4)</sup>	9	102,956	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Vacant	240	905,915	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total	2,070	16,886,513	\$12.95	\$205,670,196	100.0	%

## ANCHOR TENANTS (greater than or equal to 10,000 square feet)

Expiring Anchor Leases As of December 31, 2014

Year	Number of Leases	GLA <sup>(1)</sup>	Average Annualized Base Rent (per square foot)	Total Annualized Base Rent <sup>(2)</sup>	% of Total Annualized Base Rent	
<sup>(3)</sup>	3	61,010	\$5.51	336,000	0.3	%
2015	18	436,346	9.06	3,953,077	3.4	%
2016	51	1,374,872	10.36	14,247,446	12.1	%
2017	47	1,196,112	10.82	12,939,329	11.0	%
2018	37	836,331	11.31	9,460,984	8.0	%
2019	33	1,026,787	9.01	9,253,618	7.9	%
2020	34	1,153,262	8.43	9,722,885	8.3	%
2021	27	888,992	10.11	8,988,080	7.6	%
2022	24	729,114	11.84	8,631,807	7.3	%
2023	34	1,047,716	10.53	11,036,014	9.4	%
2024	21	671,503	9.41	6,318,791	5.4	%
2025	16	575,193	10.67	6,134,751	5.2	%
2026+	28	1,512,885	10.97	16,591,484	14.1	%

Edgar Filing: RAMCO GERSHENSON PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-K

Sub-Total	373	11,510,123	\$10.22	\$117,614,266	100.0	%
Leased <sup>(4)</sup>	3	70,957	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Vacant	13	332,120	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total	389	11,913,200	\$10.22	117,614,266	100.0	%

(1) GLA owned directly by us or our unconsolidated joint ventures.

(2) Annualized Base Rent is based upon rents currently in place.

(3) Tenants currently under month to month lease or in the process of renewal.

(4) Lease has been executed, but space has not yet been delivered.

20

---

## NON-ANCHOR TENANTS (less than 10,000 square feet)

## Expiring Non-Anchor Leases As of December 31, 2014

Year	Number of Leases	GLA <sup>(2)</sup>	Average Annualized Base Rent (per square foot)	Total Annualized Base Rent <sup>(1)</sup>	% of Total Annualized Base Rent	
<sup>(3)</sup>	36	77,991	\$ 14.00	\$ 1,092,004	1.2	%
2015	208	538,266	18.14	9,766,801	11.1	%
2016	275	743,465	21.10	15,689,550	17.8	%
2017	251	675,687	20.18	13,635,608	15.5	%
2018	205	568,199	20.77	11,804,055	13.4	%
2019	163	540,192	19.70	10,640,646	12.1	%
2020	99	335,305	19.32	6,477,071	7.4	%
2021	42	146,817	20.12	2,953,924	3.4	%
2022	39	148,316	22.00	3,262,348	3.7	%
2023	47	241,382	20.40	4,925,327	5.6	%
2024	39	157,815	20.03	3,160,585	3.6	%
2025	21	101,741	20.82	2,118,642	2.4	%
2026+	23	92,343	27.39	2,529,369	2.8	%
Sub-Total	1,448	4,367,519	\$ 20.16	\$ 88,055,930	100.0	%
Leased <sup>(4)</sup>	6	31,999	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Vacant	227	573,795	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total	1,681	4,973,313	\$ 20.16	\$ 88,055,930	100.0	%

<sup>(1)</sup> GLA owned directly by us or our unconsolidated joint ventures.

<sup>(2)</sup> Annualized Base Rent is based upon rents currently in place.

<sup>(3)</sup> Tenants currently under month to month lease or in the process of renewal.

<sup>(4)</sup> Lease has been executed, but space has not yet been delivered.

## Land Available for Development and/or Sale

At December 31, 2014, we had one project in pre-development and two projects where Phase I of the development was completed. The remaining future Phases at those projects are in pre-development. We also have various additional parcels of land available for development or sale. It is our policy to start vertical construction on new development projects only after the project has received entitlements, significant anchor leasing commitments and an identified source of construction financing.

During 2014 we completed construction of Phase I of Lakeland Park Center, a ground up development located in Lakeland, Florida, at a cost of approximately \$33.4 million, excluding land cost. Phase I consists of approximately 210,000 square feet of retail space. The center was 98% leased and occupied as of December 31, 2014.

Our development and construction activities are subject to risks such as inability to obtain the necessary zoning or other governmental approvals for a project, determination that the expected return on a project is not sufficient to warrant continuation of the planned development or change in plan or scope for the development. If any of these events occur, we may record an impairment provision.

During the fourth quarter of 2014, we recorded an impairment provision of \$23.3 million primarily due to changes in our plans for certain parcels. We recorded impairment provisions of \$0.3 million and \$1.4 million in 2013 and 2012, respectively, related to developable land that we decided to market for sale. For a detailed discussion of our development projects, refer to Notes 3 and 6 of the notes to the consolidated financial statements.

#### Insurance

Our tenants are generally responsible under their leases for providing adequate insurance on the spaces they lease. We believe that our properties are adequately covered by commercial general liability, fire, flood, terrorism, environmental, and where necessary, hurricane and windstorm insurance coverages, which are all provided by reputable companies, with commercially reasonable exclusions, deductibles and limits.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

We are currently involved in certain litigation arising in the ordinary course of business.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not Applicable

22

---

## PART II

## Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

## Market Information

Our common shares are currently listed and traded on the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") under the symbol "RPT". On February 13, 2015, the closing price of our common shares on the NYSE was \$19.37.

## Shareholder Return Performance Graph

The following line graph sets forth the cumulative total return on a \$100 investment (assuming the reinvestment of dividends) in each of our common shares, the NAREIT Equity Index, and the S&P 500 Index for the period December 31, 2009 through December 31, 2014. The stock price performance shown is not necessarily indicative of future price performance.

The following table depicts high/low closing prices and dividends declared per share for each quarter in 2014 and 2013:

Quarter Ended	Stock Price		Dividends	
	High	Low		
December 31, 2014	\$18.99	\$15.86	\$0.20000	(1)
September 30, 2014	\$17.35	\$16.25	\$0.20000	
June 30, 2014	\$17.03	\$15.94	\$0.18750	
March 31, 2014	\$16.76	\$15.35	\$0.18750	
December 31, 2013	\$16.57	\$14.77	\$0.18750	(2)
September 30, 2013	\$16.11	\$14.24	\$0.18750	
June 30, 2013	\$17.68	\$14.48	\$0.16825	
March 31, 2013	\$16.82	\$13.72	\$0.16825	

(1) Paid on January 2, 2015

(2) Paid on January 2, 2014

## Holders

The number of holders of record of our common shares was 1,341 at February 13, 2015. A substantially greater number of holders are beneficial owners whose shares of record are held by banks, brokers and other financial institutions.

## Dividends

Under the Code, a REIT must meet requirements, including a requirement that it distribute to its shareholders at least 90% of its REIT taxable income annually, excluding net capital gain. Distributions paid by us are at the discretion of our Board and depend on our actual net income available to common shareholders, cash flow, financial condition, capital requirements, the annual distribution requirements under REIT provisions of the Code and such other factors as the Board deems relevant.

Distributions on our 7.25% Series D Cumulative Convertible Perpetual Preferred Shares declared in 2014 totaled \$3.625 per share. We do not believe that the preferential rights available to the holders of our preferred shares or the financial covenants contained in our debt agreements had or will have an adverse effect on our ability to pay dividends in the normal course of business to our common shareholders or to distribute amounts necessary to maintain our qualification as a REIT.

For information on our equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2014, refer to Item 12 of Part III of this report and Note 16 of the notes to the consolidated financial statements.

## Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The following table sets forth our selected consolidated financial data and should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes to the consolidated financial statements and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations ("MD&A") included elsewhere in this report.

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
	(In thousands, except per share)				
<b>Operating Data:</b>					
Total revenue	\$218,363	\$170,068	\$125,225	\$114,386	\$104,333
Property net operating income <sup>(1)</sup>	153,878	121,372	86,213	76,833	70,010
(Loss) income from continuing operations	(2,412 )	8,371	7,171	(29,418 )	(24,063 )
Gain (loss) on sale of real estate assets	—	2,120	336	9,406	(2,050 )
Net (loss) income	(2,412 )	11,462	7,092	(28,500 )	(23,724 )
Net loss (income) attributable to noncontrolling partner interest	48	(465 )	112	1,742	3,576
Preferred share dividends	(7,250 )	(7,250 )	(7,250 )	(5,244 )	—
Net (loss) income available to common shareholders	(9,614 )	3,747	(46 )	(32,002 )	(20,148 )
(Loss) earnings per common share, basic					
Continuing operations	\$(0.14 )	\$0.01	\$—	\$(0.85 )	\$(0.58 )
Discontinued operations	—	0.05	—	0.01	0.01
Basic (loss) earnings	\$(0.14 )	\$0.06	\$—	\$(0.84 )	\$(0.57 )
(Loss) earnings per common share, diluted					
Continuing operations	\$(0.14 )	\$0.01	\$—	\$(0.85 )	\$(0.58 )
Discontinued operations	—	0.05	—	0.01	0.01
Diluted (loss) earnings	\$(0.14 )	\$0.06	\$—	\$(0.84 )	\$(0.57 )
<b>Weighted average shares outstanding:</b>					
Basic	72,118	59,336	44,101	38,466	35,046
Diluted	72,118	59,728	44,101	38,466	35,046
Cash dividends declared per RPT preferred share	\$3.625	\$3.625	\$3.630	\$2.67	\$—
Cash dividends declared per RPT common share	\$0.7750	\$0.7115	\$0.6600	\$0.6530	\$0.6530
Cash distributions to RPT preferred shareholders	\$7,250	\$7,250	\$7,250	\$3,432	\$—
Cash distributions to RPT common shareholders	\$54,149	\$40,108	\$28,333	\$25,203	\$22,501
<b>Balance Sheet Data (at December 31):</b>					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$9,335	\$5,795	\$4,233	\$12,155	\$10,175
Investment in real estate (before accumulated depreciation)	1,934,032	1,625,217	1,119,171	996,908	1,074,095
Total assets	1,948,379	1,652,248	1,165,291	1,048,823	1,052,829
Total notes payable	921,705	753,174	541,281	518,512	571,694
Total liabilities	1,050,100	854,288	605,459	567,649	613,463
Total RPT shareholders' equity	872,357	770,097	529,783	449,075	402,273
Noncontrolling interest	25,922	27,863	30,049	32,099	37,093
Total shareholders' equity	898,279	797,960	559,832	481,174	439,366
<b>Other Data:</b>					
Funds from operations ("FFO") available to RPT common shareholders <sup>(2)</sup>	\$70,324	\$79,861	\$47,816	\$29,509	\$20,945



Edgar Filing: RAMCO GERSHENSON PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-K

Net cash provided by operating activities	110,592	85,583	62,194	44,703	43,249
Net cash used in investing activities	(315,723 )	(355,752 )	(173,210 )	(79,747 )	(101,935 )
Net cash provided by financing activities	208,671	271,731	103,094	37,024	60,385

Reconciliation Property Net Operating Income from Continuing Operations to Net (Loss) Income

Property net operating income from continuing operations	\$ 153,878	\$ 121,372	\$ 86,213	\$ 76,833	\$ 70,010
Management and other fee income	2,059	2,335	4,064	4,125	4,191
Depreciation and amortization	(81,182 )	(56,305 )	(38,673 )	(33,842 )	(28,592 )
General and administrative expenses	(21,670 )	(20,951 )	(19,132 )	(19,646 )	(18,986 )
Other expenses, net	(55,443 )	(38,016 )	(25,335 )	(56,093 )	(51,356 )
Income tax (provision) benefit	(54 )	(64 )	34	(795 )	670
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	—	3,091	(79 )	918	339
Net (loss) income	\$(2,412 )	\$ 11,462	\$ 7,092	\$(28,500 )	\$(23,724 )

(1) Property net operating income is a non-GAAP measure that is used internally to evaluate the performance of property operations and we consider it to be a significant measure. Property net operating income should not be considered an alternative measure of operating results or cash flow from operations as determined in accordance with GAAP.

(2) Under the NAREIT definition, FFO represents net income available to common shareholders, excluding extraordinary items, as defined under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”), gains (losses) on sales of depreciable property, plus real estate related depreciation and amortization (excluding amortization of financing costs), and adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. In addition, in October 2011, NAREIT clarified its definition of FFO to exclude impairment provisions on depreciable property and equity investments in depreciable property. Management has restated FFO for prior periods accordingly. See “Funds From Operations” in Item 7 for a discussion of FFO and a reconciliation of FFO to net income.

#### Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements, the notes thereto, and the comparative summary of selected financial data appearing elsewhere in this report. Discontinued operations are discussed in Note 5 of the notes to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8. The financial information in this MD&A is based on results from continuing operations.

##### Overview

We are a fully integrated, self-administered, publicly-traded REIT specializing in the ownership, management, development and redevelopment of community shopping centers. Most of our properties are multi-anchored by supermarkets and/or national chain stores. Our primary business is managing and leasing space to tenants in the shopping centers we own. We also manage centers for our unconsolidated joint ventures for which we charge fees. Our credit risk, therefore, is concentrated in the retail industry.

At December 31, 2014, we owned and managed, either directly or through our interest in real estate joint ventures, a total of 80 shopping centers and one office building, with approximately 16.9 million square feet of gross leasable area owned by us and our joint ventures. We also owned interests in three parcels of land available for development and five parcels of land available for sale.

We are predominantly a community shopping center company with a focus on managing and adding value to our portfolio of centers that are primarily multi-anchored by grocery stores and/or nationally recognized discount department stores. We believe that centers with a grocery and/or discount component attract consumers seeking value-priced products. Since these products are required to satisfy everyday needs, customers usually visit the centers on a weekly basis. Over half of our shopping centers are anchored by tenants that sell groceries. Supermarket anchor tenants in our centers include, among others, Publix Super Market, Whole Foods, Kroger and Sprouts. National chain anchor tenants in our centers include, among others, TJ Maxx/Marshalls, Bed Bath and Beyond, Home Depot and Kohl's.

Our shopping centers are primarily located in a dozen of the largest metropolitan markets in the United States. Our focus on these markets has enabled us to develop a thorough understanding of their unique characteristics. Throughout our primary regions, we have concentrated a number of centers in reasonable proximity to each other in order to achieve efficiencies in management, leasing and acquiring new properties.

##### Critical Accounting Policies

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations are based on our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with GAAP. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. Our estimates are based on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results could differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

We believe the following critical accounting policies require our most subjective judgment and use of estimates in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements.



## Acquisitions

Acquisitions of properties are accounted for utilizing the acquisition method and, accordingly, the results of operations of an acquired property are included in our results of operations from the date of acquisition. Estimates of fair values are based upon future cash flows and other valuation techniques in accordance with our fair value measurements policy, which are used to record the purchase price of acquired property among land, buildings on an “as if vacant” basis, tenant improvements, identifiable intangibles and any gain on purchase. Identifiable intangible assets and liabilities include the effect of above-and below-market leases, the value of having leases in place (“as-is” versus “as if vacant” and absorption costs), other intangible assets such as assumed tax increment revenue bonds and out-of-market assumed mortgages. Depreciation and amortization is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of 40 years for buildings, over the remaining terms of any intangible asset contracts and the respective tenant leases, which may include bargain review options. The impact of these estimates, including incorrect estimates in connection with acquisition values and estimated useful lives, could result in significant differences related to the purchased assets, liabilities and subsequent depreciation or amortization expense. For more information, refer to Note 1, Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies subtopic Real Estate of the notes to the consolidated financial statements.

## Impairment

We review our investment in real estate, including any related intangible assets, for impairment on a property-by-property basis whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of the property may not be recoverable. These changes in circumstances include, but are not limited to, changes in occupancy, rental rates, tenant sales, net operating income, geographic location, real estate values and expected holding period. The viability of all projects under construction or development, including those owned by unconsolidated joint ventures, are regularly evaluated under applicable accounting requirements, including requirements relating to abandonment of assets or changes in use. To the extent a project, or individual components of the project, are no longer considered to have value, the related capitalized costs are charged against operations.

Impairment provisions resulting from any event or change in circumstances, including changes in our intentions or our analysis of varying scenarios, could be material to our consolidated financial statements.

We recognize an impairment of an investment in real estate when the estimated discounted or undiscounted cash flow is less than the net carrying value of the property. If it is determined that an investment in real estate is impaired, then the carrying value is reduced to the estimated fair value as determined by cash flow models and discount rates or comparable sales in accordance with our fair value measurement policy. Refer to Note 6 of the notes to the consolidated financial statements for further information.

## Revenue Recognition and Accounts Receivable

Most of our leases contain non-contingent rent escalations for which we recognize income on a straight-line basis over the non-cancelable lease term. This method results in rental income in the early years of a lease being higher than actual cash received, creating a straight-line rent receivable asset which is included in the “Other Assets” line item in our consolidated balance sheets. We review our unbilled straight-line rent receivable balance to determine the future collectability of revenue that will not be billed to or collected from tenants due to early lease terminations, lease modifications, bankruptcies and other factors. An allowance to write down the straight-line receivable balance is taken in the period that future collectability is uncertain.

Additionally, we provide for bad debt expense based upon the allowance method of accounting. We continuously monitor the collectability of our accounts receivable from specific tenants, analyze historical bad debts, customer creditworthiness, current economic trends and changes in tenant payment terms when evaluating the adequacy of the allowance for bad debts. Allowances are taken for those balances that we have reason to believe will be

uncollectible.

For more information refer to Note 1 Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, Revenue Recognition and Accounts Receivable subtopics of the notes to the consolidated financial statements.

27

---

## Results of Operations

Comparison of the Year Ended December 31, 2014 to the Year Ended December 31, 2013

The following summarizes certain line items from our audited statements of operations which we believe are important in understanding our operations and/or those items that have significantly changed during the year ended December 31, 2014 as compared to 2013:

	Year Ended December 31,		Dollar Change	Percent Change	
	2014	2013			
	(In thousands)				
Total revenue	\$218,363	\$170,068	\$48,295	28.4	%
Operating expenses	30,952	23,200	7,752	33.4	%
Real estate taxes	31,474	23,161	8,313	35.9	%
Depreciation and amortization	81,182	56,305	24,877	44.2	%
General and administrative expense	21,670	20,951	719	3.4	%
Provision for impairment	27,865	9,669	18,196	188.2	%
Gain on sale of real estate	10,857	4,279	6,578	153.7	%
Earnings (loss) from unconsolidated joint ventures	75	(4,759)	4,834	101.6	%
Interest expense and amortization of deferred financing fees	35,188	30,522	4,666	15.3	%
Deferred gain recognized upon acquisition of real estate	117	5,282	(5,165)	97.8	%
Loss on extinguishment of debt	860	340	520	152.9	%

Total revenue in 2014 increased \$48.3 million, or 28.4% from 2013. The increase is primarily due to the following: \$43.7 million increase related to acquisitions completed in 2014 and 2013;

- \$4.6 million increase at existing centers; and

\$1.8 million increase in lease termination income primarily due to the early departure of an office tenant at our office building; offset by

\$1.8 million decrease related to properties sold in 2014, reduced management fee income and properties in redevelopment.

Operating expense in 2014 increased \$7.8 million, or 33.4% from 2013. The increase is primarily due to the following:

\$5.7 million related to increases in recoverable operating expenses due to our 2014 and 2013 acquisitions; and \$1.5 million related to increase in recoverable operating expenses at existing centers.

Real estate tax expense in 2014 increased \$8.3 million, or 35.9% from 2013, primarily due to our 2014 and 2013 acquisitions.

Depreciation and amortization expense in 2014 increased \$24.9 million, or 44.2%, from 2013. The increase was primarily due to our acquisitions in 2014 and 2013, new development completion and other capital activities.

General and administrative expense in 2014 increased \$0.7 million or 3.4% from 2013. The increase was primarily due to:

\$0.9 million related to an increase in costs associated with our long-term incentive plans which are based on our stock price performance relative to a group of our peers (see Note 16 for additional information); offset in part by higher capitalization of development and leasing salaries and related costs in 2014. Salaries capitalized in 2014 and 2013 represented approximately 19% and 18%, respectively, of total salaries.

Impairment provisions of \$27.9 million recorded in 2014 related to the decision to market certain income-producing properties for sale and adjustments to the sales price assumptions for certain undeveloped land parcels available for sale at several of our development properties. In 2013 our impairment provisions totaled \$9.7 million. Refer to Note 6 of the notes to the consolidated financial statements for a detailed discussion of these charges.

Gain on sale of real estate was \$10.9 million in 2014 primarily due to the sale of five income-producing properties and four individual outparcel sales. In the comparable period in 2013 we had a gain of \$4.3 million. Refer to Note 4 of the notes to the consolidated financial statements for further detail on the sales.

28

---

Earnings from unconsolidated joint ventures in 2014 increased \$4.8 million from 2013. In 2013 we acquired our partner's 70% interest in 12 shopping centers held in the Ramco/Lion Venture LP. The sale resulted in a loss of \$21.5 million to the joint venture of which our share was \$6.4 million.

Interest expense and amortization of deferred financing fees increased in 2014 by \$4.7 million, or 15.3% from 2013, primarily due to the following:

- \$6.1 million increase in loan interest due to the issuance of \$200.0 million in senior unsecured notes in the second half of 2014; offset in part by
- \$0.6 million increase in the amortization of mortgage premiums; and
- \$0.7 million increase in capitalized interest due to our development/redevelopment projects.

In 2014 we recorded a deferred gain of \$0.1 million which related to a property sold in 2007 to a joint venture in which we had a 20% non-controlling interest. Due to our continuing involvement we deferred the portion of the gain related to our 20% interest. The property was conveyed to the lender in 2014 and we recognized the previously deferred gain. In 2013, we recorded a deferred gain of \$5.3 million.

Loss on extinguishment of debt of approximately \$0.9 million in 2014 related to the write-off of unamortized deferred financing costs associated with the early payoff of \$120.0 million in unsecured term loan debt. In 2013 we recorded a loss of \$0.3 million related to a prepayment penalty incurred to repay two mortgages.

Comparison of the Year Ended December 31, 2013 to the Year Ended December 31, 2012

The following summarizes certain line items from our audited statements of operations which we believe are important in understanding our operations and/or those items which have significantly changed during the year ended December 31, 2013 as compared to 2012:

	Year Ended December 31,		Dollar Change	Percent Change	
	2013	2012			
	(In thousands)				
Total revenue	\$170,068	\$125,225	\$44,843	35.8	%
Operating expense	23,200	18,249	4,951	27.1	%
Real Estate Tax	23,161	16,699	6,462	38.7	%
Depreciation and amortization	56,305	38,673	17,632	45.6	%
General and administrative expense	20,951	19,132	1,819	9.5	%
Provision for impairment	9,669	1,773	7,896	445.3	%
Gain on sale of real estate	4,279	69	4,210	6,101.4	%
(Loss) earnings from unconsolidated joint ventures	(4,759)	) 3,248	(8,007)	) 246.5	%
Interest expense and amortization of deferred financing fees	30,522	27,344	3,178	11.6	%
Deferred gain recognized upon acquisition of real estate	5,282	845	4,437	525.1	%
Loss on extinguishment of debt	340	—	340	NM	

NM - Not meaningful

Total revenue in 2013 increased \$44.8 million, or 35.8% from 2012. The increase is primarily due to the following:

\$43.6 million increase related to our acquisitions completed in 2013 and 2012;

\$3.1 million increase income related to increases at existing centers;

\$1.0 million increase related to the completion of Phase I of the Parkway shops development; offset in part by a decrease in revenue at properties under redevelopment and lower fee income due to our acquisition of a portfolio of properties from a joint venture in which we hold a 30% interest.

In 2013 operating expenses increased by \$5.0 million, or 27.1%, real estate tax expense increased \$6.5 million, or 38.7%, and depreciation and amortization expense increased by \$17.6 million, or 45.6% from 2012 primarily due to



our acquisitions completed in 2013 and 2012.

29

---

General and administrative expense in 2013 increased \$1.8 million or 9.5% from 2012. The increase was primarily due to:

\$1.8 million related to an increase in costs associated with our long-term incentive plans which are based on our stock price performance relative to a group of our peers (see Note 16 for additional information) offset in part by higher capitalization of development and leasing salaries and related costs in 2013. Salaries capitalized in 2013 and 2012 represented approximately 18% of total salaries.

Impairment provisions of \$9.7 million were recorded in 2013 related to adjustments to the sales price assumptions for certain undeveloped land parcels available for sale at several of our development properties and other-than-temporary declines in the fair market value of various equity investments in unconsolidated joint ventures. In 2012 our impairment provisions totaled \$1.8 million. Refer to Note 6 of the notes to the consolidated financial statements for a detailed discussion of these charges.

Gain on sale of real estate was \$4.3 million in 2013 primarily due to a \$3.0 million gain on sale of land at our Roseville Towne Center to Wal-Mart, an anchor tenant, and a net gain on the sale of multiple outparcels at several other properties. Refer to Note 4 of the notes to the consolidated financial statements for detail of the individual sales. (Loss) earnings from unconsolidated joint ventures decreased in 2013 by \$8.0 million from 2012. The decrease was related to the acquisition of our partner's 70% interest in 12 shopping centers held in the Ramco/Lion Venture LP. The sale resulted in a loss of \$21.5 million to the joint venture of which our share was \$6.4 million.

Interest expense in 2013 increased \$3.2 million, or 11.6% from 2012, primarily due to the following:

- \$1.1 million increase in mortgage interest related to the assumption of loans as part our 2013 acquisitions;
- \$3.4 million increase in loan interest due to the issuance of senior unsecured notes in July 2013; offset in part by \$1.1 million decrease in interest related to our junior subordinated notes. In January, 2013 the notes converted from a fixed interest rate of 7.9% to a variable interest rate of LIBOR plus 3.3% (3.5% at December 31, 2013);
- lower average balances on our revolving credit facility; and
- \$0.2 million increase in capitalized interest due to our development/redevelopment projects.

In 2013, we recorded a deferred gain of \$5.3 million which related to a property sold in 2007 to the Ramco/Lion Venture, LP, a joint venture in which we have a 30% non-controlling interest. Due to our continuing involvement we deferred the portion of the gain related to our 30% interest. In 2013 we acquired our partners' 70% interest in the property and recognized the previously deferred gain. In 2012 we recognized a previously deferred gain of \$0.8 million.

Loss on extinguishment of debt of approximately \$0.3 million in 2013 related to a prepayment penalty incurred to repay two mortgages. There was no similar charge in 2012.

#### Liquidity and Capital Resources

The majority of our cash is generated from operations and is dependent on the rents that we are able to charge and collect from our tenants. The principal uses of our liquidity and capital resources are for operations, developments, redevelopments, including expansion and renovation programs, acquisitions, and debt repayment. In addition, we make quarterly dividend payments in accordance with REIT requirements for distributing the substantial majority of our taxable income on an annual basis. We anticipate that the combination of cash on hand, cash from operations, availability under our credit facilities, additional financings, equity offerings, and the sale of existing properties will satisfy our expected working capital requirements through at least the next 12 months. Although we believe that the combination of factors discussed above will provide sufficient liquidity, no such assurance can be given.

At December 31, 2014 and 2013, we had \$17.5 million and \$9.2 million, respectively, in cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash. Restricted cash was comprised primarily of funds held in escrow by lenders to pay real estate taxes, insurance premiums, and certain capital expenditures.

#### Short-Term Liquidity Requirements

Our short-term liquidity needs are met primarily from rental income and recoveries and consist primarily of funds necessary to pay operating expenses associated with our operating properties, interest and scheduled principal payments on our debt, quarterly dividend payments (including distributions to OP unit holders) and capital expenditures related to tenant improvements and redevelopment activities.

We have five mortgages maturing from June through December 2015 totaling \$86.1 million, which includes scheduled amortization payments.

We continually search for investment opportunities that may require additional capital and/or liquidity. As of December 31, 2014, we had no proposed property acquisitions under contract.

30

---

## Long-Term Liquidity Requirements

Our long-term liquidity needs consist primarily of funds necessary to pay indebtedness at maturity, potential acquisitions of properties, redevelopment of existing properties, the development of land and non-recurring capital expenditures.

The following is a summary of our cash flow activities:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
	(In thousands)		
Cash provided by operating activities	\$ 110,592	\$ 85,583	\$ 62,194
Cash used in investing activities	(315,723 )	(355,752 )	(173,210 )
Cash provided by financing activities	208,671	271,731	103,094

## Operating Activities

We anticipate that cash on hand, operating cash flows, borrowings under our revolving credit facility, issuance of equity, as well as other debt and equity alternatives, will provide the necessary capital that we require to operate. Net cash flow provided by operating activities increased \$25.0 million in 2014 compared to 2013 primarily due to:

- Net operating income increased \$27.7 million as a result of our acquisitions (net of dispositions) and leasing activity at our shopping centers; offset by

- Net accounts receivable increase of \$0.7 million; and

- An increase in net interest expense of approximately \$4.7 million primarily due to the issuance of senior notes.

## Investing Activities

Net cash used for investing activities decreased \$40.0 million compared to 2013 primarily due to:

- Acquisitions of real estate decreased \$77.8 million;

- Investment in unconsolidated joint ventures decreased \$5.0 million. In the previous year we had made contributions to fund debt repayment. In addition in 2013 we received a distribution of \$1.7 million for the sale of joint venture property; offset by

- Restricted cash decreased \$5.1 million; and

- Additions to real estate increased \$36.1 million, as a result of an increase in development funding by \$25.1 million, capital expenditures of \$9.3 million, and \$1.7 million in deferred leasing costs.

## Financing Activities

Cash flows provided by financing activities were \$208.7 million as compared to \$271.7 million in 2013. This difference of \$63.1 million is primarily explained by:

- an increase in our net borrowing of \$53.9 million for debt and deferred financing costs; offset by

- a decrease in net proceeds of \$103.9 million from common share issuances;

- an increase in cash dividends to common shareholders of \$14.0 million due to additional shares issued as well as an increase in our per share quarterly dividend payment; and

- a decrease in cash paid out for OP unit conversions of \$1.2 million.

As of December 31, 2014, \$335.9 million was available to be drawn on our \$350 million unsecured revolving credit facility subject to certain covenants. It is anticipated that additional funds borrowed under our credit facilities will be used for general corporate purposes, including working capital, capital expenditures, the repayment of indebtedness or

other corporate activities. For further information on the credit facilities and other debt, refer to Note 9 of the consolidated financial statements.

31

---

### Dividends and Equity

We currently qualify, and intend to continue to qualify in the future, as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"). Under the Code, as a REIT we must distribute to our shareholders at least 90% of our REIT taxable income annually, excluding net capital gain. Distributions paid are at the discretion of our Board and depend on our actual net income available to common shareholders, cash flow, financial condition, capital requirements, restrictions in financing arrangements, the annual distribution requirements under REIT provisions of the Code and such other factors as our Board deems relevant.

We paid cash dividends of \$0.7625 per common share to shareholders in 2014. In the third quarter we increased our quarterly dividend 6.7% to \$0.20 per share, or an annualized amount of \$0.80 per share. Cash dividends for 2013 and 2012 were \$0.6923 and \$0.653 per common share, respectively. Our dividend policy is to make distributions to shareholders of at least 90% of our REIT taxable income, excluding net capital gain, in order to maintain qualification as a REIT. On an annualized basis, our current dividend is above our estimated minimum required distribution. Distributions paid by us are funded from cash flows from operating activities. To the extent that cash flows from operating activities were insufficient to pay total distributions for any period, alternative funding sources would be used. Examples of alternative funding sources may include proceeds from sales of real estate and bank borrowings. Although we may use alternative sources of cash to fund distributions in a given period, we expect that distribution requirements for an entire year will be met with cash flows from operating activities.

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
	(In thousands)		
Cash provided by operating activities	\$ 110,592	\$ 85,583	\$ 62,194
Cash distributions to preferred shareholders	(7,250 )	(7,250 )	(7,250 )
Cash distributions to common shareholders	(54,149 )	(40,108 )	(28,333 )
Cash distributions to operating partnership unit holders	(1,716 )	(1,580 )	(1,814 )
Total distributions	\$(63,115 )	\$(48,938 )	\$(37,397 )
Surplus	\$47,477	\$36,645	\$24,797

In August 2014, we issued 6.9 million common shares of beneficial interest. Our total net proceeds, after deducting expenses, were approximately \$108.7 million and were used to fund a portion of the consideration for our acquisitions in the third quarter 2014.

In addition, during 2014, we issued 3.8 million common shares through our controlled equity offerings generating \$61.7 million in net proceeds, after sales commissions and fees of \$0.9 million. We used the net proceeds for general corporate purposes including the repayment of debt. We have registered up to 8.0 million common shares for issuance from time to time, at our sole discretion, through our controlled equity offering sales agreement, of which 4.0 million shares remained unsold as of December 31, 2014. The shares issued in the controlled equity offering are registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") on our registration statement on Form S-3.

### Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

#### Real Estate Joint Ventures

As of December 31, 2014, we had four equity investments in unconsolidated joint venture entities in which we owned 30% or less of the total ownership interest. We account for these entities under the equity method.

We have a 20% ownership interest in Ramco 450 which owns a portfolio of eight properties totaling 1.7 million square feet of GLA. As of December 31, 2014, the properties had consolidated equity of \$137.3 million. Our total investment in the venture at December 31, 2014 was \$17.2 million. The Ramco 450 venture has total debt obligations of approximately \$140.3 million with maturity dates ranging from December 2015 through September 2023. Our proportionate share of the total debt is \$28.1 million. Such debt is non-recourse to the venture, subject to carve-outs customary to such types of mortgage financing.

We have a 30% ownership interest in Ramco/Lion which owns a portfolio of three properties totaling 0.8 million square feet of GLA. As of December 31, 2014, the properties in the portfolio had consolidated equity of \$57.6 million. Our total investment in the venture at December 31, 2014 was \$8.8 million. Ramco/Lion has one property with a mortgage payable obligation of

approximately \$30.0 million with maturity date of October 2015. Our proportionate share of the total debt is \$9.0 million. Such debt is non-recourse to the venture, subject to carve-outs customary to such types of mortgage financing.

We also have ownership interests of 7% in two smaller joint ventures that each own one property. As of December 31, 2014, these properties have combined equity of \$45.1 million. Our total investment in these ventures was \$2.8 million.

Refer to Note 7 of the notes to the consolidated financial statements for more information regarding our equity investments in joint ventures.

#### Contractual Obligations

The following are our contractual cash obligations as of December 31, 2014:

Contractual Obligations	Payments due by period				
	Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	3-5 years	More than 5 years
	(In thousands)				
Mortgages and notes payable:					
Scheduled amortization	\$25,408	\$4,337	\$9,553	\$5,381	\$6,137
Payments due at maturity	887,431	81,780	212,537	129,040	464,074
Total mortgages and notes payable <sup>(1)</sup>	912,839	86,117	222,090	134,421	470,211
Interest expense <sup>(2)</sup>	287,935	38,768	93,697	48,768	106,702
Employment contracts	763	763	—	—	—
Capital lease	2,513	813	300	200	1,200
Operating leases	3,719	648	1,998	505	568
Construction commitments	10,149	10,149	—	—	—
Total contractual obligations	\$1,217,918	\$137,258	\$318,085	\$183,894	\$578,681

<sup>(1)</sup> Excludes \$8.9 million of unamortized mortgage debt premium.

<sup>(2)</sup> Variable rate debt interest is calculated using rates at December 31, 2014.

We anticipate that the combination of cash on hand, cash provided from operating activities, the availability under our credit facility (\$335.9 million at December 31, 2014 subject to covenants), our access to the capital markets and the sale of existing properties will satisfy our expected working capital requirements through at least the next 12 months.

At December 31, 2014, we did not have any contractual obligations that required or allowed settlement, in whole or in part, with consideration other than cash.

#### Mortgages and notes payable

See the analysis of our debt included in “Liquidity and Capital Resources” above.

#### Employment Contracts

At December 31, 2014, we had employment contracts with our Chief Executive and Chief Financial Officers that contain minimum guaranteed compensation. All other employees are subject to at-will employment.

#### Operating and Capital Leases



We lease office space for our corporate headquarters under an operating lease. We have an operating lease for land at one of our shopping centers.

At December 31, 2014 we had a capital lease at our Gaines Marketplace shopping center that provides the option to purchase the land parcel for approximately \$0.7 million. Refer to Note 19 - Subsequent Events of the notes to the consolidated financial

33

---

statements for more information. In addition we have a capital lease at our Buttermilk Towne Center with the City of Crescent Springs, Kentucky. The lease provides for fixed annual payments of \$0.1 million through maturity in December 2032, at which time we can acquire the center for one dollar.

#### Construction Costs

In connection with the development and expansion of various shopping centers as of December 31, 2014, we have entered into agreements for construction activities with an aggregate cost of approximately \$10.1 million.

#### Planned Capital Spending

We are focused on our core strength of enhancing the value of our existing portfolio of shopping centers through successful leasing efforts and the completion of our redevelopment projects currently in process.

For 2015, we anticipate spending approximately \$57.5 million for capital expenditures, of which \$10.1 million is reflected in the construction commitments in the above contractual obligations table. Of the total anticipated spending, approximately \$3.3 million is for development costs and approximately \$54.2 million is for redevelopment projects, tenant improvements, and leasing costs. Estimates for future spending will change as new projects are approved.

#### Capitalization

At December 31, 2014 our total market capitalization was \$2.5 billion and is detailed below:

	(in thousands)	
Net debt (including property-specific mortgages, unsecured revolving credit facility, term loans and capital lease obligation net of \$9.3 million in cash)	\$905,332	
Common shares, OP units, and dilutive securities based on market price of \$18.74 at December 31, 2014	1,499,893	
Convertible perpetual preferred shares based on market price of \$66.93 at December 31, 2014	133,860	
Total market capitalization	\$2,539,085	
Net debt to total market capitalization	35.7	%

At December 31, 2014, noncontrolling interests represented a 2.8% ownership in the Operating Partnership. The OP Units may, under certain circumstances, be exchanged for our common shares of beneficial interest on a one-for-one basis. We, as sole general partner of the Operating Partnership, have the option, but not the obligation, to settle exchanged OP Units held by others in cash. Assuming the exchange of all OP Units, there would have been 79,820,122 of our common shares of beneficial interest outstanding at December 31, 2014, with a market value of approximately \$1.5 billion.

### Non-GAAP Financial Measures

Certain of our key performance indicators are considered non-GAAP financial measures. Management uses these measures along with our GAAP financial statements in order to evaluate our operations results. We believe these additional measures provide users of our financial information additional comparable indicators of our industry, as well as, our performance.

### Funds From Operations

We consider funds from operations, also known as “FFO”, to be an appropriate supplemental measure of the financial performance of an equity REIT. Under the NAREIT definition, FFO represents net income (computed in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles), excluding gains (or losses) from sales of depreciable property and excluding impairment provisions on depreciable real estate or on investments in non-consolidated investees that are driven by measurable decreases in the fair value of depreciable real estate held by the investee, plus depreciation and amortization, (excluding amortization of financing costs). Adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures are calculated to reflect funds from operations on the same basis.

Also, we consider “Operating FFO” a meaningful, additional measure of financial performance because it excludes acquisition costs and periodic items such as impairment provisions on land available for development or sale, bargain purchase gains, and gains or losses on extinguishment of debt that are not adjusted under the current NAREIT definition of FFO. We provide a reconciliation of FFO to Operating FFO. FFO and Operating FFO should not be considered alternatives to GAAP net income available to common shareholders or as alternatives to cash flow as measures of liquidity.

While we consider FFO and Operating FFO useful measures for reviewing our comparative operating and financial performance between periods or to compare our performance to different REITs, our computations of FFO and Operating FFO may differ from the computations utilized by other real estate companies, and therefore, may not be comparable.

We recognize the limitations of FFO and Operating FFO when compared to GAAP net income available to common shareholders. FFO and Operating FFO do not represent amounts available for needed capital replacement or expansion, debt service obligations, or other commitments and uncertainties. In addition, FFO and Operating FFO do not represent cash generated from operating activities in accordance with GAAP and are not necessarily indicative of cash available to fund cash needs, including the payment of dividends. FFO and Operating FFO are simply used as additional indicators of our operating performance. The following table illustrates the calculations of FFO and Operating FFO:

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
	(In thousands, except per share data)		
Net (loss) income available to common shareholders	\$ (9,614 )	\$ 3,747	\$ (46 )
Adjustments:			
Rental property depreciation and amortization expense	80,826	56,316	39,240
Pro-rata share of real estate depreciation from unconsolidated joint ventures	4,719	3,689	6,584
Gain on sale of depreciable real estate	(10,022 )	(2,120 )	(336 )
Loss on sale of joint venture depreciable real estate <sup>(1)</sup>	—	6,454	75
Provision for impairment on income-producing properties	4,580	9,342	2,355
Provision for impairment on joint venture income-producing properties <sup>(1)</sup>	—	—	50
Provision for impairment on equity investments in unconsolidated joint ventures	—	—	386
Deferred gain recognized on real estate	(117 )	(5,282 )	(845 )
Noncontrolling interest in Operating Partnership <sup>(2)</sup>	(48 )	465	353
Subtotal	70,324	72,611	47,816
Add preferred share dividends (if converted) <sup>(3)</sup>	—	7,250	—
FFO	\$ 70,324	\$ 79,861	\$ 47,816
Provision for impairment for land available for development or sale	23,285	327	1,387
Loss on extinguishment of debt	860	340	—
Gain on extinguishment of joint venture debt, net of RPT expenses <sup>(1)</sup>	(106 )	—	(178 )
Acquisition costs <sup>(4)</sup>	1,890	1,322	314
Add preferred share dividends (if converted) <sup>(5)</sup>	7,250	—	—
Operating FFO	\$ 103,503	\$ 81,850	\$ 49,339
Weighted average common shares	72,118	59,336	44,101
Shares issuable upon conversion of Operating Partnership Units <sup>(2)</sup>	2,250	2,257	2,509
Dilutive effect of securities	217	392	384
Subtotal	74,585	61,985	46,994
Shares issuable upon conversion of preferred shares <sup>(3) (5)</sup>	7,019	6,940	—
Weighted average equivalent shares outstanding, diluted	81,604	68,925	46,994
Funds from operations per diluted share	\$ 0.94	\$ 1.16	\$ 1.02
Operating FFO, per diluted share	\$ 1.27	\$ 1.19	\$ 1.05

(1) Amount included in earnings (loss) from unconsolidated joint ventures.

(2) The total noncontrolling interest reflects OP units convertible 1:1 into common shares.

(3) Series D convertible preferred shares were dilutive for FFO for the year ended December 31, 2013 and anti-dilutive for the comparable periods in 2014 and 2012.

(4) Prior periods have been restated to reflect the add back of acquisition costs beginning in 1Q14.

- (5) Series D convertible preferred shares were dilutive for Operating FFO for years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 and anti-dilutive for the comparable period in 2012.

36

---

## Same Property Operating Income

Same Property Operating Income ("Same Property NOI") is a supplemental non-GAAP financial measure of real estate companies' operating performance. Same Property NOI is considered by management to be a relevant performance measure of our operations because it includes only the NOI of comparable properties for the reporting period. Same Property NOI is calculated using consolidated operating income and adjusted to exclude management and other fee income, depreciation and amortization, general and administrative expense, provision for impairment and non-comparable income/expense adjustments such as straight-line rents, lease termination fees, above/below market rents, and other non-comparable operating income and expense adjustments.

Same Property NOI should not be considered an alternative to net income in accordance with GAAP or as a measure of liquidity. Our method of calculating Same Property NOI may differ from methods used by other REITs and, accordingly, may not be comparable to such other REITs.

The following is a summary of our wholly owned properties by classification:

Property Designation	Three Months Ended December 31, 2014	Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2014
Same property	56	56
Acquisitions <sup>(1)</sup>	6	6
Completed developments <sup>(1)</sup>	1	1
Non-retail properties <sup>(2)</sup>	1	1
Redevelopment <sup>(3)</sup>	4	4
Total wholly owned properties	68	68

<sup>(1)</sup> Properties were not owned in both comparable periods.

<sup>(2)</sup> Office building.

<sup>(3)</sup> Properties under construction primarily related to re-tenanting resulting in reduced rental income.

Acquisition and redevelopment properties removed from the pool will not be added until owned and operated or construction is complete for the entirety of both periods being compared.

The following is a reconciliation of our Operating Income to Same Property NOI:

	Three Months Ended December 31, 2014		Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2014	
	2013		2013	
	(in thousands)			
Operating (loss) income	\$(10,587	) \$2,810	\$23,330	\$35,460
Adjustments:				
Management and other fee income	(531	) (493	) (2,059	) (2,335
Depreciation and amortization	20,605	15,883	81,182	56,305
Acquisition costs	168	538	1,890	1,322
General and administrative expenses	5,575	5,238	21,670	20,951
Provision for impairment	27,865	9,669	27,865	9,669
Properties excluded from pool	(8,876	) (2,877	) (29,351	) (5,149
Non-comparable income/expense adjustments <sup>(1)</sup>	(3,300	) (699	) (5,636	) (1,084
Same Property NOI	\$30,919	\$30,069	\$118,891	\$115,139

Period-end Leased Occupancy percent                    95.5                    % 95.6                    % 95.5                    % 95.6                    %

(1) Includes \$2.1 million in lease termination income received from an office tenant.

37

---

## Inflation

Inflation has been relatively low in recent years and has not had a significant detrimental impact on the results of our operations. Should inflation rates increase in the future, substantially all of our tenant leases contain provisions designed to partially mitigate the negative impact of inflation in the near term. Such lease provisions include clauses that require our tenants to reimburse us for real estate taxes and many of the operating expenses we incur. Also, many of our leases provide for periodic increases in base rent which are either of a fixed amount or based on changes in the consumer price index and/or percentage rents (where the tenant pays us rent based on a percentage of its sales). Significant inflation rate increases over a prolonged period of time may have a material adverse impact on our business.

## Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Refer to Note 2 of the notes to the consolidated financial statements for a discussion of Recent Accounting Pronouncements.

## Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

We have exposure to interest rate risk on our variable rate debt obligations. Based on market conditions, we may manage our exposure to interest rate risk by entering into interest rate swap agreements to hedge our variable rate debt. We are not subject to any foreign currency exchange rate risk or commodity price risk, or other material rate or price risks. Based on our debt and interest rates and interest rate swap agreements in effect at December 31, 2014, a 100 basis point change in interest rates would impact our future earnings and cash flows by approximately \$0.4 million annually. We believe that a 100 basis point increase in interest rates would decrease the fair value of our total outstanding debt by approximately \$7.3 million at December 31, 2014.

We had interest rate swap agreements with an aggregate notional amount of \$210.0 million as of December 31, 2014. The agreements provided for fixed rates ranging from 1.2% to 2.2% and had expirations ranging from April 2016 to May 2020.

The following table sets forth information as of December 31, 2014 concerning our long-term debt obligations, including principal cash flows by scheduled maturity, weighted average interest rates of maturing amounts and fair market. Debt premium of approximately \$8.9 million is excluded:

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Thereafter	Total	Fair Value	
(In thousands)									
Fixed-rate debt	\$86,117	\$23,619	\$113,196	\$85,275	\$6,278	\$560,229	\$874,714	\$900,911	
Average interest rate	5.3	% 5.9	% 5.4	% 4.1	% 6.7	% 4.3	% 4.6	% 4.2	%
Variable-rate debt	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$10,000	\$—	\$28,125	\$38,125	\$38,125	
Average interest rate	—	% —	% —	% 1.5	% —	% 3.5	% 3.0	% 3.0	%

We estimated the fair value of our fixed rate mortgages using a discounted cash flow analysis, based on borrowing rates for similar types of borrowing arrangements with the same remaining maturity. Considerable judgment is required to develop estimated fair values of financial instruments. The table incorporates only those exposures that exist at December 31, 2014 and does not consider those exposures or positions which could arise after that date or firm commitments as of such date. Therefore, the information presented therein has limited predictive value. Our actual interest rate fluctuations will depend on the exposures that arise during the period and on market interest rates at that time.



Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Our consolidated financial statements and supplementary data are included as a separate section in this Annual Report on Form 10-K commencing on page F-1 and are incorporated herein by reference.

38

---

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (“Exchange Act”), such as this report on Form 10-K, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the design control objectives, and management was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

We carried out an assessment as of December 31, 2014 of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures. This assessment was done under the supervision and with the participation of management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer. Based on such evaluation, our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, concluded that such disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level as of December 31, 2014.

Statement of Our Management

Our management has issued a report on its assessment of the Trust’s internal control over financial reporting, which appears on page F-2 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Statement of Our Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Grant Thornton LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm that audited the financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, has issued an attestation report on the Trust’s internal control over financial reporting, which appears on page F-3 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the most recently completed fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. Other Information

None.

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

Incorporated by reference from our definitive proxy statement to be filed within 120 days after the end of our fiscal year covered by this Form 10-K.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

Incorporated by reference from our definitive proxy statement to be filed within 120 days after the end of our fiscal year covered by this Form 10-K.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

The following table sets forth information regarding our equity compensations plans as of December 31, 2014:

Plan Category	(A) Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	(B) Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	(C) Number of securities remaining available for future issuances under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (A))
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	169,181	\$30.94	1,716,017
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	—	—	—
Total	169,181	\$30.94	1,716,017

The total in Column (A) above consisted of options to purchase 155,248 common shares and 13,933 deferred common shares (see Note 16 of the notes to the consolidated financial statements for further information).

Additional information required by this Item is incorporated by reference from our definitive proxy statement to be filed within 120 days after the end of our fiscal year covered by this Form 10-K.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

Incorporated by reference from our definitive proxy statement to be filed within 120 days after the end of our fiscal year covered by this Form 10-K.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

Incorporated by reference from our definitive proxy statement to be filed within 120 days after the end of our fiscal year covered by this Form 10-K.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

(a)(1) Consolidated financial statements. See “Item 8 – Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.”

(2) Financial statement schedule. See “Item 8 – Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.”

(3) Exhibits

- 3.1 Articles of Restatement of Declaration of Trust of the Company, effective June 8, 2010, incorporated by reference Appendix A to the Company's 2010 Proxy dated April 30, 2010.
- 3.2 Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Company, effective February 23, 2012, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Form 8-K dated February 29, 2012
- 3.3 Articles of Amendment, as filed with the State Department of Assessments and Taxation of Maryland on April 5, 2011, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Form 8-K dated April 6, 2011.
- 3.4 Articles Supplementary, as filed with the State Department of Assessments and Taxation of Maryland on April 5, 2011, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Company's Form 8-K dated April 6, 2011.
- 3.5 Articles Supplementary, as filed with the State Department of Assessments and Taxation of Maryland on April 28, 2011, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Form 8-K dated April 28, 2011.
- 3.6 Articles of Amendment, as filed with the State Department of Assessments and Taxation of Maryland on July 31, 2013, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Form 8-K dated July 31, 2013.  
Amended and Restated Fixed Rate Note (\$110 million), dated March 30, 2007, by and Between Ramco
- 4.1 Jacksonville LLC and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Registrant's Form 8-K dated April 16, 2007.  
Amended and Restated Mortgage, Assignment of Leases and Rents, Security Agreement and Fixture Filing, dated
- 4.2 March 30, 2007, by and between Ramco Jacksonville LLC and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Registrant's Form 8-K dated April 16, 2007.
- 4.3 Assignment of Leases and Rents, dated March 30, 2007, by and between Ramco Jacksonville LLC and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to Registrant's Form 8-K dated April 16, 2007.
- 4.4 Environmental Liabilities Agreement, dated March 30, 2007, by and between Ramco Jacksonville LLC and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to Registrant's Form 8-K dated April 16, 2007.
- 4.5 Acknowledgment of Property Manager, dated March 30, 2007 by and between Ramco-Gershenson, Inc. and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.6 to Registrant's Form 8-K dated April 16, 2007.
- 10.1 Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of May 10, 1996, among the Company, Dennis Gershenson, Joel Gershenson, Bruce Gershenson, Richard Gershenson, Michael A. Ward U/T/A dated 2/22/77, as amended, and each of the Persons set forth on Exhibit A attached thereto, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 1996.
- 10.2 Exchange Rights Agreement, dated as of May 10, 1996, by and among the Company and each of the Persons whose names are set forth on Exhibit A attached thereto, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 1996.
- 10.3 Exchange Rights Agreement dated as of September 4, 1998 between Ramco-Gershenson Properties Trust, and A.T.C., L.L.C., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 1998.

- 10.4 Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of Ramco/Lion Venture LP, dated as of December 29, 2004, by Ramco-Gershenson Properties, L.P., as a limited partner, Ramco Lion LLC, as a general partner, CLPF-Ramco, L.P. as a limited partner, and CLPF-Ramco GP, LLC as a general partner, incorporated by reference Exhibit 10.62 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004.
- 10.5.1 Second Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Ramco Jacksonville LLC, dated March 1, 2005, by Ramco-Gershenson Properties, L.P. and SGC Equities LLC., incorporated by reference Exhibit 10.65 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2005.
- 10.6 Employment Agreement, dated as of August 1, 2007, between the Company and Dennis Gershenson, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2007.\*\*
- 10.7 Restricted Share Award Agreement Under 2008 Restricted Share Plan for Non-Employee Trustee, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2008.\*\*
- 10.8 Restricted Share Plan for Non-Employee Trustees, incorporated by reference to Appendix A of the Company's 2008 Proxy Statement filed on April 30, 2008.\*\*
- 10.9\* Summary of Trustee Compensation Program.\*\*
- 10.10 Ramco-Gershenson Properties Trust 2012 Omnibus Long-Term Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Registrant's Form 8-K, dated June 12, 2012. \*\*
- 10.11 Employment Letter, dated February 16, 2010, between Ramco-Gershenson Properties Trust and Gregory R. Andrews, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Registrant's Form 8-K, dated February 19, 2010.\*\*
- 10.12 Change in Control Policy, dated May 14, 2013, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Registrant's Form 8-K dated May 16, 2013.
- 10.13 Form of Non-Qualified Option Agreement Under 2012 Omnibus Long-Term Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Registrant's Form 8-K dated June 12, 2012\*\*
- 10.14 Form of Restricted Stock Award Agreement Under 2012 Omnibus Long-Term Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Registrant's Form 8-K dated June 6, 2012\*\*
- 10.15 Unsecured Term Loan Agreement, dated as of September 30, 2011 among Ramco-Gershenson Properties, L.P., as Borrower, Ramco-Gershenson Properties Trust, as Guarantor, KeyBank National Association, The Huntington National Bank, PNC Bank, National Association, KeyBank National Association, as Agent, and KeyBanc Capital Markets, as Sole Lead Manager and Arranger incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2011.
- 10.16 Unconditional Guaranty of Payment and Performance, dated as of September 30, 2011, by Ramco-Gershenson Properties Trust, in favor of KeyBank National Association and the other lenders under the Unsecured Term Loan Agreement incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2011.
- 10.17 2014 Executive Incentive Plan, dated February 24, 2014, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 28, 2014.
- 10.18 Third Amended and Restated Unsecured Master Loan Agreement dated as of July 19, 2012 among Ramco-Gershenson Properties, L.P., as Borrower, Ramco-Gershenson Properties Trust, as a Guarantor, KeyBank National Association, as a Bank, the Other Banks which are a Party to this Agreement, the Other Banks which may become Parties to this Agreement, KeyBank National Association, as Agent, KeyBanc Capital Markets, as Sole Lead Manager and Arranger, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and Bank of America, N.A. as Co-Syndication Agents, and Deutsche Bank Securities Inc. and PNC Bank, National Association, as Co Documentation Agents incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q ended June 30, 2012.
- 10.19 Third Amended and Restated Unconditional Guaranty of Payment and Performance, dated as of July 19, 2012 by Ramco-Gershenson Properties Trust, as Guarantor, in favor of KeyBank National



Association and certain other lenders incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q ended June 30, 2012.

10.20 \$110 Million Note Purchase Agreement, by Ramco-Gershenson Properties, L.P. incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated July 2, 2013.

10.21 Agreement for the Acquisition of Partnership and Limited Liability Company Interests, dated March 5, 2013, between CLPF-Ramco, LLC, CLPF-Ramco L.P., Ramco Lion, LLC, Ramco-Gershenson Properties, L.P. and Ramco GP incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q ended March 31, 2013.

10.22 Unsecured Term Loan Agreement, dated May 16, 2013 among Ramco-Gershenson Properties, L.P., as borrower, Ramco-Gershenson Properties Trust, as Guarantor, Capital One, National Association, as bank, The Other Banks Which Are A Party To this Agreement, The Other Banks Which May Become Parties To This Agreement, Capital One, National Association, as Agent and Capital One, National Association, as Sole Lead Manager and Arranger incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q ended June 30, 2013.

10.23 First Amendment To Third Amended And Restated Unsecured Master Loan Agreement, dated March 29, 2013 by and among Ramco-Gershenson Properties, L.P. and KeyBank National Association incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q ended June 30, 2013.

10.24 Third Amendment To Unsecured Term Loan Agreement by and among Ramco-Gershenson Properties, L.P. and KeyBank National Association incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q ended June 30, 2013.

10.25 Second Amendment To Third Amended And Restated Unsecured Master Loan Agreement, dated June 26, 2013 by and among Ramco-Gershenson Properties, L.P. and KeyBank National Association incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q ended September 30, 2013.

10.26 Third Amendment To Third Amended And Restated Unsecured Master Loan Agreement, dated August 27, 2013 by and among Ramco-Gershenson Properties, L.P. and KeyBank National Association incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q ended September 30, 2013.

10.27 \$100 Million Note Purchase Agreement, by Ramco-Gershenson Properties, L.P. dated May 28, 2014 incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q ended June 30, 2014.

10.28 Unsecured Term Loan Agreement, dated May 29, 2014 among Ramco-Gershenson Properties, L.P., as borrower, Ramco-Gershenson Properties Trust, as a Guarantor, Capital One, National Association, as a Bank, The Other Banks Which Are A Party To This Agreement, The Other Banks Which May Become Parties To This Agreement, Capital One, National Association, as Administrative Agent, and Capital One, National Association, as Sole Lead Arranger and Sole Bookrunner incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q ended June 30, 2014.

10.29 \$100 Million Note Purchase Agreement, by Ramco-Gershenson Properties, L.P. dated September 8, 2014 incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q ended September 30, 2014.

10.30 Fourth Amendment to Third Amended and Restated Unsecured Master Loan Agreement, dated October 10, 2014 by and among Ramco-Gershenson Properties, L.P. and KeyBank National Association incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q ended September 30, 2014.

10.31 \* Separation Agreement and Release, dated December 10, 2014 between Ramco-Gershenson Trust and Michael Sullivan.\*\*

12.1\* Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Combined Fixed Charges and Preferred Share Dividends.

21.1\* Subsidiaries

23.1\* Consent of Grant Thornton LLP.





31.1\* Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

31.2\* Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

32.2\* Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

101.INS<sup>(1)</sup> XBRL Instance Document

101.SCH<sup>(1)</sup> XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema

101.CAL<sup>(1)</sup> XBRL Extension Calculation

101.DEF<sup>(1)</sup> XBRL Extension Definition

101.LAB<sup>(1)</sup> XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label

101.PRE<sup>(1)</sup> XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation

\* Filed herewith

\*\* Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement

<sup>(1)</sup> Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulations S-T, these interactive data files are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, are deemed not filed for purposes of Sections 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and otherwise are not subject to liability thereunder.

15(b) The exhibits listed at item 15(a)(3) that are noted 'filed herewith' are hereby filed with this report.

15(c) The financial statement schedules listed at Item 15(a)(2) are hereby filed with this report.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Ramco-Gershenson Properties Trust

Dated: February 27, 2015

By: /s/ Dennis E. Gershenson  
Dennis E. Gershenson,  
President and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed by the following persons on behalf of registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Dated: February 27, 2015

By: /s/ Stephen R. Blank  
Stephen R. Blank,  
Chairman

Dated: February 27, 2015

By: /s/ Dennis E. Gershenson  
Dennis E. Gershenson,  
Trustee, President and Chief Executive Officer  
(Principal Executive Officer)

Dated: February 27, 2015

By: /s/ Arthur H. Goldberg  
Arthur H. Goldberg,  
Trustee

Dated: February 27, 2015

By: /s/ David J. Nettina  
David J. Nettina,  
Trustee

Dated: February 27, 2015

By: /s/ Joel M. Pashcow  
Joel M. Pashcow,  
Trustee

Dated: February 27, 2015

By: /s/ Mark K. Rosenfeld  
Mark K. Rosenfeld,  
Trustee

Dated: February 27, 2015

By: /s/ Michael A. Ward  
Michael A. Ward,  
Trustee

Dated: February 27, 2015

By: /s/ Gregory R. Andrews  
Gregory R. Andrews,  
Chief Financial Officer and Secretary  
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)



RAMCO-GERSHENSON PROPERTIES TRUST

Index to Consolidated Financial Statements

	Page
Consolidated Financial Statements:	
<u>Management Assessment Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting</u>	<u>F-2</u>
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	<u>F-3</u>
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	<u>F-4</u>
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets - December 31, 2014 and 2013</u>	<u>F-5</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income (Loss) - Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012</u>	<u>F-6</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity - Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012</u>	<u>F-7</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows – Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012</u>	<u>F-8</u>
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>F-9</u>
<u>Schedule to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>F-34</u>

F-1

---

## Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting as such term is defined under Rule 13a-15(f) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

Internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and preparation of our consolidated financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

Internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that pertain to our ability to record, process, summarize and report reliable financial data. Management recognizes that there are inherent limitations in the effectiveness of any internal control and effective internal control over financial reporting can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation. Additionally, because of changes in conditions, the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting may vary over time.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Our management conducted an assessment of our internal controls over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014 using the framework established in 2013 by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission in Internal Control – Integrated Framework. Based on this assessment, management has concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2014.

Our independent registered public accounting firm, Grant Thornton LLP, has issued an attestation report on our internal control over financial reporting. Their report appears on page F-3 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

F-2

---

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Board of Trustees and Shareholders  
Ramco-Gershenson Properties Trust

We have audited the internal control over financial reporting of Ramco-Gershenson Properties Trust (a Maryland corporation) and subsidiaries (the “Company”) as of December 31, 2014, based on criteria established in the 2013 Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company’s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management’s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company’s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company’s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company’s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company’s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, based on criteria established in the 2013 Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by COSO.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements of the Company as of and for the year ended December 31, 2014, and our report dated February 27, 2015 expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements.

/s/ GRANT THORNTON LLP

Southfield, Michigan  
February 27, 2015

F-3

---

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Board of Trustees and Shareholders  
Ramco-Gershenson Properties Trust

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Ramco-Gershenson Properties Trust (a Maryland corporation) and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the related consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss), shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2014. Our audits of the basic consolidated financial statements included the financial statement schedule listed in the index appearing under Item 15. These financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Ramco-Gershenson Properties Trust and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2014 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, based on criteria established in the 2013 Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), and our report dated February 27, 2015 expressed an unqualified opinion.

/s/GRANT THORNTON LLP

Southfield, Michigan  
February 27, 2015



RAMCO-GERSHENSON PROPERTIES TRUST  
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS  
(In thousands, except per share amounts)

	December 31,	
	2014	2013
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Income producing properties, at cost:		
Land	\$341,388	\$284,686
Buildings and improvements	1,592,644	1,340,531
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	(287,177	) (253,292
Income producing properties, net	1,646,855	1,371,925
Construction in progress and land available for development or sale	74,655	101,974
Net real estate	1,721,510	1,473,899
Equity investments in unconsolidated joint ventures	28,733	30,931
Cash and cash equivalents	9,335	5,795
Restricted cash	8,163	3,454
Accounts receivable, net	11,997	9,648
Other assets, net	168,641	128,521
<b>TOTAL ASSETS</b>	<b>\$1,948,379</b>	<b>\$1,652,248</b>
<b>LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
Notes payable:		
Senior unsecured notes payable	\$520,000	\$365,000
Mortgages payable	363,580	333,049
Unsecured revolving credit facility	10,000	27,000
Junior subordinated notes	28,125	28,125
Total notes payable	921,705	753,174
Capital lease obligation	1,828	5,686
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	44,232	32,026
Other liabilities	64,384	48,593
Distributions payable	17,951	14,809
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES</b>	<b>1,050,100</b>	<b>854,288</b>
Commitments and Contingencies		
Ramco-Gershenson Properties Trust ("RPT") Shareholders' Equity:		
Preferred shares, \$0.01 par, 2,000 shares authorized: 7.25% Series D Cumulative Convertible Perpetual Preferred Shares, (stated at liquidation preference \$50 per share), 2,000 shares issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013	\$100,000	\$100,000
Common shares of beneficial interest, \$0.01 par, 120,000 shares authorized, 77,573 and 66,669 shares issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively	776	667
Additional paid-in capital	1,130,262	959,183
Accumulated distributions in excess of net income	(356,715	) (289,837
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income	(1,966	) 84
<b>TOTAL SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY ATTRIBUTABLE TO RPT</b>	<b>872,357</b>	<b>770,097</b>
Noncontrolling interest	25,922	27,863
<b>TOTAL SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>	<b>898,279</b>	<b>797,960</b>

TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY	\$1,948,379	\$1,652,248
--	-------------	-------------

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-5

---

RAMCO-GERSHENSON PROPERTIES TRUST  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

(In thousands, except per share amounts)

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2014	2013	2012	
REVENUE				
Minimum rent	\$157,691	\$124,169	\$87,921	
Percentage rent	264	209	592	
Recovery income from tenants	52,828	40,018	30,721	
Other property income	5,521	3,337	1,927	
Management and other fee income	2,059	2,335	4,064	
TOTAL REVENUE	218,363	170,068	125,225	
EXPENSES				
Real estate taxes	31,474	23,161	16,699	
Recoverable operating expense	27,319	20,194	15,447	
Other non-recoverable operating expense	3,633	3,006	2,802	
Depreciation and amortization	81,182	56,305	38,673	
Acquisitions costs	1,890	1,322	314	
General and administrative expense	21,670	20,951	19,132	
Provision for impairment	27,865	9,669	1,773	
TOTAL EXPENSES	195,033	134,608	94,840	
OPERATING INCOME	23,330	35,460	30,385	
OTHER INCOME AND EXPENSES				
Other expense, net	(689	) (965	) (66	)
Gain on sale of real estate	10,857	4,279	69	
Earnings (loss) from unconsolidated joint ventures	75	(4,759	) 3,248	
Interest expense	(33,742	) (29,075	) (25,895	)
Amortization of deferred financing fees	(1,446	) (1,447	) (1,449	)
Deferred gain recognized on real estate	117	5,282	845	
Loss on extinguishment of debt	(860	) (340	) —	
(LOSS) INCOME FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS BEFORE TAX	(2,358	) 8,435	7,137	
Income tax (provision) benefit	(54	) (64	) 34	
(LOSS) INCOME FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS	(2,412	) 8,371	7,171	
DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS				
Gain on sale of real estate	—	2,120	336	
Gain on extinguishment of debt	—	—	307	
Provision for impairment	—	—	(2,915	)
Income from discontinued operations	—	971	2,193	
INCOME (LOSS) FROM DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS	—	3,091	(79	)
NET (LOSS) INCOME	(2,412	) 11,462	7,092	
Net loss (income) attributable to noncontrolling partner interest	48	(465	) 112	
NET (LOSS) INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO RPT	(2,364	) 10,997	7,204	
Preferred share dividends	(7,250	) (7,250	) (7,250	)
NET (LOSS) INCOME AVAILABLE TO COMMON SHAREHOLDERS	\$ (9,614	) \$3,747	\$ (46	)

Edgar Filing: RAMCO GERSHENSON PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-K

(LOSS) EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE, BASIC

Continuing operations	(0.14	)	0.01	—
Discontinued operations	—		0.05	—
	(0.14	)	0.06	—

(LOSS) EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE, DILUTED

Continuing operations	(0.14	)	0.01	—
Discontinued operations	—		0.05	—
	(0.14	)	0.06	—

WEIGHTED AVERAGE COMMON SHARES OUTSTANDING

Basic	72,118		59,336	44,101
Diluted	72,118		59,728	44,101

OTHER COMPREHENSIVE (LOSS) INCOME

Net (loss) income	\$(2,412	)	\$11,462	\$7,092
Other comprehensive (loss) income:				
(Loss) gain on interest rate swaps	(2,115	)	5,520	(2,745
Comprehensive (loss) income	(4,527	)	16,982	4,347
Comprehensive loss (income) attributable to noncontrolling interest	65		(195	) 153
COMPREHENSIVE (LOSS) INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO RPT	\$(4,462	)	\$16,787	\$4,500

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-6

RAMCO-GERSHENSON PROPERTIES TRUST  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

(In thousands, except share amounts)

	Shareholders' Equity of Ramco-Gershenson Properties Trust						
	Preferred Shares	Common Shares	Additional Paid-in Capital	Accumulated Distributions in Excess of Net Income	Accumulated Other Comprehensive (Loss) Income	Noncontrolling Interest	Total Shareholders' Equity
Balance, December 31, 2011	\$ 100,000	\$ 387	\$ 570,225	\$ (218,888 )	\$ (2,649 )	\$ 32,099	\$ 481,174
Issuance of common shares	—	98	111,370	—	—	—	111,468
Issuance of preferred shares	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Conversion and redemption of OP unit holders	—	—	—	—	—	(3 )	(3 )
Share-based compensation and other expense	—	—	2,014	—	—	—	2,014
Dividends declared to common shareholders	—	—	—	(29,863 )	—	—	(29,863 )
Dividends declared to preferred shareholders	—	—	—	(7,250 )	—	—	(7,250 )
Distributions declared to noncontrolling interests	—	—	—	—	—	(1,782 )	(1,782 )
Dividends paid on restricted shares	—	—	—	(273 )	—	—	(273 )
Other comprehensive loss adjustment	—	—	—	—	(2,592 )	(153 )	(2,745 )
Net income (loss)	—	—	—	7,204	—	(112 )	7,092
Balance, December 31, 2012	100,000	485	683,609	(249,070 )	(5,241 )	30,049	559,832
Issuance of common shares	—	181	273,568	—	—	—	273,749
Conversion and redemption of OP unit holders	—	—	—	—	—	(1,243 )	(1,243 )
Share-based compensation and other expense	—	1	2,006	—	—	—	2,007
Dividends declared to common shareholders	—	—	—	(44,172 )	—	—	(44,172 )
Dividends declared to preferred shareholders	—	—	—	(7,250 )	—	—	(7,250 )
Distributions declared to noncontrolling interests	—	—	—	—	—	(1,603 )	(1,603 )
Dividends declared to deferred shares	—	—	—	(342 )	—	—	(342 )
Other comprehensive income adjustment	—	—	—	—	5,325	195	5,520
Net income	—	—	—	10,997	—	465	11,462
Balance, December 31, 2013	100,000	667	959,183	(289,837 )	84	27,863	797,960
Issuance of common shares	—	107	170,265	—	—	—	170,372
Conversion and redemption of OP unit holders	—	—	—	—	—	(84 )	(84 )
	—	2	814	—	—	—	816

Edgar Filing: RAMCO GERSHENSON PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-K

Share-based compensation  
and other expense

Dividends declared to common shareholders	—	—	—	(56,905	)	—	—	(56,905	)	
Dividends declared to preferred shareholders	—	—	—	(7,250	)	—	—	(7,250	)	
Distributions declared to noncontrolling interests	—	—	—	—	—	—	(1,744	)	(1,744	)
Dividends declared to deferred shares	—	—	—	(359	)	—	—	(359	)	
Other comprehensive loss adjustment	—	—	—	—	(2,050	)	(65	)	(2,115	)
Net loss	—	—	—	(2,364	)	—	(48	)	(2,412	)
Balance, December 31, 2014	\$100,000	\$776	\$1,130,262	\$ (356,715	)	\$ (1,966	)	\$ 25,922	\$ 898,279	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-7

RAMCO-GERSHENSON PROPERTIES TRUST  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(In thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
<b>OPERATING ACTIVITIES</b>			
Net (loss) income	\$(2,412 )	\$11,462	\$7,092
Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization, including discontinued operations	81,182	56,841	39,822
Amortization of deferred financing fees, including discontinued operations	1,446	1,447	1,454
Income tax provision (benefit)	54	64	(34 )
(Earnings) loss from unconsolidated joint ventures	(75 )	4,759	(3,248 )
Distributions received from operations of unconsolidated joint ventures	1,881	3,232	3,793
Provision for impairment, including discontinued operations	27,865	9,669	4,688
Loss (gain) on extinguishment of debt, including discontinued operations	860	—	(307 )
Deferred gain recognized upon acquisition of real estate	(117 )	(5,282 )	(845 )
Gain on sale of real estate, including discontinued operations	(10,857 )	(6,399 )	(405 )
Amortization of premium on mortgages and notes payable, net	(1,138 )	(541 )	(30 )
Share-based compensation expense	2,093	2,151	2,120
Long-term incentive cash compensation expense	2,527	1,498	445
Changes in assets and liabilities:			
Accounts receivable, net	(2,349 )	(1,672 )	1,128
Other assets, net	5,420	(689 )	6,349
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities	4,212	9,043	172
Net cash provided by operating activities	110,592	85,583	62,194
<b>INVESTING ACTIVITIES</b>			
Acquisitions of real estate, net of assumed debt	\$(264,414 )	\$(342,189 )	\$(149,960 )
Development and capital improvements	(80,742 )	(44,625 )	(38,431 )
Net proceeds from sales of real estate	34,156	33,916	10,292
Distributions from sale of joint venture property	—	1,687	3,587
(Increase) decrease in restricted cash	(4,709 )	438	2,171
Investment in unconsolidated joint ventures	(14 )	(4,979 )	(3,869 )
Note repayment from third party	—	—	3,000
Net cash used in investing activities	(315,723 )	(355,752 )	(173,210 )
<b>FINANCING ACTIVITIES</b>			
Proceeds on mortgages and notes payable	\$275,000	\$185,000	\$45,000
Repayment of mortgages and notes payable	(153,795 )	(121,817 )	(24,200 )
Net (repayments) proceeds on revolving credit facility	(17,000 )	(13,000 )	10,500
Payment of debt extinguishment costs	—	(340 )	—
Payment of deferred financing costs	(2,379 )	(1,889 )	(1,959 )
Proceeds from issuance of common shares	170,372	274,295	111,468
Repayment of capitalized lease obligation	(328 )	(337 )	(318 )
Conversion of operating partnership units for cash	(84 )	(1,243 )	—
Dividends paid to preferred shareholders	(7,250 )	(7,250 )	(7,250 )
Dividends paid to common shareholders	(54,149 )	(40,108 )	(28,333 )
Distributions paid to operating partnership unit holders	(1,716 )	(1,580 )	(1,814 )
Net cash provided by financing activities	208,671	271,731	103,094
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	3,540	1,562	(7,922 )

Edgar Filing: RAMCO GERSHENSON PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-K

Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	5,795	4,233	12,155
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$9,335	\$5,795	\$4,233
<b>SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF NON-CASH ACTIVITY</b>			
Assumption of debt related to acquisitions	\$58,634	\$158,767	\$—
Conveyance of ownership interest to lender, release from mortgage obligation	—	—	8,501
<b>SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION</b>			
Cash paid for interest (net of capitalized interest of \$1,862, \$1,161 and \$996 in 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively)	\$35,507	\$30,631	\$25,686
Cash paid for federal income taxes	—	—	16
The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.			

F-8

---



RAMCO-GERSHENSON PROPERTIES TRUST  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012

1. Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Ramco-Gershenson Properties Trust, together with our subsidiaries (the “Company”), is a real estate investment trust (“REIT”) engaged in the business of owning, developing, redeveloping, acquiring, managing and leasing large multi-anchored shopping centers primarily in a dozen of the largest metropolitan markets in the United States. Our property portfolio consists of 67 wholly owned shopping centers and one office building comprising approximately 14.2 million square feet. In addition, we are co-investor in and manager of two institutional joint ventures that own portfolios of shopping centers. We own 20% of Ramco 450 Venture LLC, an entity that owns eight shopping centers comprising approximately 1.7 million square feet and 30% of Ramco/Lion Venture L.P., an entity that owns three shopping centers comprising approximately 0.8 million square feet. We also have ownership interests in two smaller joint ventures that each own a shopping center. Our joint ventures are reported using equity method accounting. We earn fees from the joint ventures for managing, leasing, and redeveloping the shopping centers they own. We also own interests in three parcels of land available for development and five parcels of land available for sale.

We made an election to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes. Accordingly, we generally will not be subject to federal income tax, provided that we annually distribute at least 90% of our taxable income to our shareholders and meet other conditions.

Principles of Consolidation and Estimates

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of us and our majority owned subsidiary, the Operating Partnership, Ramco-Gershenson Properties, L.P. (97.2%, 96.8% and 95.4% owned by us at December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively), and all wholly-owned subsidiaries, including entities in which we have a controlling interest or have been determined to be the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity (“VIE”). The presentation of consolidated financial statements does not itself imply that assets of any consolidated entity (including any special-purpose entity formed for a particular project) are available to pay the liabilities of any other consolidated entity, or that the liabilities of any other consolidated entity (including any special-purpose entity formed for a particular project) are obligations of any other consolidated entity. Investments in real estate joint ventures over which we have the ability to exercise significant influence, but for which we do not have financial or operating control, are accounted for using the equity method of accounting. Accordingly, our share of the earnings (loss) of these joint ventures is included in consolidated net income (loss). All intercompany transactions and balances are eliminated in consolidation.

We own 100% of the non-voting and voting common stock of Ramco-Gershenson, Inc. (“Ramco”), and therefore it is included in the consolidated financial statements. Ramco has elected to be a taxable REIT subsidiary for federal income tax purposes. Ramco provides property management services to us and to other entities, including our real estate joint venture partners.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”) requires our management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities and reported amounts that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications of prior period amounts have been made in the financial statements in order to conform to the 2014 presentation.

Revenue Recognition and Accounts Receivable

Our shopping center space is generally leased to retail tenants under leases that are classified as operating leases. We recognize minimum rents using the straight-line method over the terms of the leases commencing when the tenant takes possession of the space or when construction of landlord funded improvements is substantially complete. Certain of the leases also provide for contingent percentage rental income which is recorded on an accrual basis once the specified target that triggers this type of income is achieved. The leases also provide for reimbursement from tenants for common area maintenance ("CAM"), insurance, real estate taxes and other operating expenses ("Recovery Income"). The majority of our Recovery Income is estimated and recognized as revenue in the period the recoverable costs are incurred or accrued. Revenues from management, leasing, and other fees are

F-9

---

recognized in the period in which the services have been provided and the earnings process is complete. Lease termination income is recognized when a lease termination agreement is executed by the parties and the tenant vacates the space. When a lease is terminated early but the tenant continues to control the space under a modified lease agreement, the lease termination fee is generally recognized evenly over the remaining term of the modified lease agreement.

Current accounts receivable from tenants primarily relate to contractual minimum rent, percentage rent and Recovery Income.

We provide for bad debt expense based upon the allowance method of accounting. We monitor the collectability of our accounts receivable from specific tenants on an ongoing basis, analyze historical bad debts, customer creditworthiness, current economic trends and changes in tenant payment terms when evaluating the adequacy of the allowance for bad debts. Allowances are taken for those balances that we have reason to believe may be uncollectible. When tenants are in bankruptcy, we make estimates of the expected recovery of pre-petition and post-petition claims. The period to resolve these claims can exceed one year. Management believes the allowance for doubtful accounts is adequate to absorb currently estimated bad debts. However, if we experience bad debts in excess of the allowance we have established, our operating income would be reduced. At December 31, 2014 and 2013, our accounts receivable were \$12.0 million and \$9.6 million, respectively, net of allowances for doubtful accounts of \$2.3 million and \$2.4 million, respectively.

In addition, many of our leases contain non-contingent rent escalations for which we recognize income on a straight-line basis over the non-cancelable lease term. This method results in rental income in the early years of a lease being higher than actual cash received, creating a straight-line rent receivable asset which is included in the "Other assets, net" line item in our consolidated balance sheets. We review our unbilled straight-line rent receivable balance to determine the future collectability of revenue that will not be billed to or collected from tenants due to early lease terminations, lease modifications, bankruptcies and other factors. Our evaluation is based on our assessment of tenant credit risk changes indicating that expected future straight-line rent may not be realized. Depending on circumstances, we may provide a reserve against the previously recognized straight-line rent receivable asset for a portion, up to its full value, that we estimate may not be received. The balance of straight-line rent receivable at December 31, 2014 and 2013, net of allowances was \$15.8 million and \$15.1 million, respectively. To the extent any of the tenants under these leases become unable to pay their contractual cash rents, we may be required to write down the straight-line rent receivable from those tenants, which would reduce our operating income.

#### Real Estate

Real estate assets that we own directly are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method. The estimated useful lives for computing depreciation are generally 10 – 40 years for buildings and improvements and 5 – 30 years for parking lot surfacing and equipment. We capitalize all capital improvement expenditures associated with replacements and improvements to real property that extend its useful life and depreciate them over their estimated useful lives ranging from 15 – 25 years. In addition, we capitalize qualifying tenant leasehold improvements and depreciate them over the useful life of the improvements or the term of the related tenant lease. We also capitalize direct internal and external costs of procuring leases and amortize them over the base term of the lease. If a tenant vacates before the expiration of its lease, we charge unamortized leasing costs and undepreciated tenant leasehold improvements of no future value to expense. We charge maintenance and repair costs that do not extend an asset's life to expense as incurred.

Sale of a real estate asset is recognized when it is determined that the sale has been consummated, the buyer's initial and continuing investment is adequate, our receivable, if any, is not subject to future subordination, and the buyer has assumed the usual risks and rewards of ownership of the asset. We will classify properties as held for sale when

executed purchase and sales agreement contingencies have been satisfied thereby signifying that the sale is guaranteed and legally binding.

We allocate the costs of acquisitions to assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on estimated fair values, replacement costs and appraised values. The purchase price of the acquired property is allocated to land, building, improvements and identifiable intangibles such as in-place leases, above/below market leases, out-of-market assumed mortgages, and gain on purchase, if any. The value allocated to above/below market leases is amortized over the related lease term and included in rental income in our consolidated statements of operations. Should a tenant terminate its lease prior to its stated expiration, all unamortized amounts relating to that lease would be written off.

Real estate also includes costs incurred in the development of new operating properties and the redevelopment of existing operating properties. These properties are carried at cost and no depreciation is recorded on these assets until the commencement of rental revenue or no later than one year from the completion of major construction. These costs include pre-development costs directly identifiable with the specific project, development and construction costs, interest, real estate taxes and insurance. Interest is capitalized on land under development and buildings under construction based on the weighted average rate applicable to our borrowings outstanding during the period and the weighted average balance of qualified assets under development/redevelopment

F-10

---

during the period. Indirect project costs associated with development or construction of a real estate project are capitalized until the earlier of one year following substantial completion of construction or when the property becomes available for occupancy.

The capitalized costs associated with development and redevelopment projects are depreciated over the useful life of the improvements. If we determine a development or redevelopment project is no longer probable, we expense all capitalized costs which are not recoverable.

It is our policy to start vertical construction on new development projects only after the project has received entitlements, significant anchor leasing commitments, construction financing and joint venture partner commitments, if appropriate. We are in the entitlement and pre-leasing phases at our development projects.

#### Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

We review our investment in real estate, including any related intangible assets, for impairment on a property-by-property basis whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of the property may not be recoverable. These changes in circumstances include, but are not limited to, changes in occupancy, rental rates, tenant sales, net operating income, real estate values and expected holding period. The viability of all projects under construction or development, including those owned by unconsolidated joint ventures, are regularly evaluated under applicable accounting requirements, including requirements relating to abandonment of assets or changes in use. To the extent a project, or individual components of the project, are no longer considered to have value, the related capitalized costs are charged against operations.

Impairment provisions resulting from any event or change in circumstances, including changes in management's intentions or management's analysis of varying scenarios, could be material to our consolidated financial statements.

We recognize an impairment of an investment in real estate when the estimated undiscounted cash flow is less than the net carrying value of the property. If it is determined that an investment in real estate is impaired, then the carrying value is reduced to the estimated fair value as determined by cash flow models and discount rates or comparable sales in accordance with our fair value measurement policy. Refer to Note 6 of the notes to the consolidated financial statements for further information.

In 2014, we recorded impairment provisions totaling \$27.9 million consisting of:

\$23.3 million related to certain parcels of land available for development or sale due to changes in plans or in fair value estimates; and

\$4.6 million of related to income producing properties that we have identified to be marketed for sale.

#### Investments in Real Estate Joint Ventures

We have four equity investments in unconsolidated joint venture entities in which we own 30% or less of the total ownership interest. Because we can influence but not make significant decisions without our partners' approval, these investments are accounted for under the equity method of accounting. We provide leasing, development, asset and property management services to these joint ventures for which we are paid fees. Refer to Note 7 of the notes to the consolidated financial statements for further information.

We review our equity investments in unconsolidated entities for impairment on a venture-by-venture basis whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of the equity investment may not be recoverable. In testing for impairment of these equity investments, we primarily use cash flow models, discount rates, and capitalization rates to estimate the fair value of properties held in joint ventures, and mark the debt of the joint ventures to market. Considerable judgment by management is applied when determining whether an equity investment in an unconsolidated entity is impaired and, if so, the amount of the impairment. Changes to assumptions

regarding cash flows, discount rates, or capitalization rates could be material to our consolidated financial statements.

There were no impairment provisions on our equity investments in joint ventures recorded in 2014.

F-11

---

#### Other Assets, net

Other assets consist primarily of acquired lease intangibles, straight-line rent receivable, deferred leasing costs, deferred financing costs and prepaid expenses. Other assets also include the the fair value of in-place public improvement fee income and real estate tax exemption agreements associated with two properties acquired in 2014. Deferred financing and leasing costs are amortized using the straight-line method over the terms of the respective agreements. Should a tenant terminate its lease, the unamortized portion of the leasing cost is expensed. Unamortized financing costs are expensed when the related agreements are terminated before their scheduled maturity dates. We review our unbilled straight-line rent receivable balance to determine the future collectability of revenue that will not be billed to or collected from tenants due to early lease terminations, lease modifications, bankruptcies and other factors. Our evaluation is based on our assessment of tenant credit risk changes indicating that expected future straight-line rent may not be realized. Depending on circumstances, we may provide a reserve against the previously recognized straight-line rent receivable asset for a portion, up to its full value, that we estimate may not be received.

#### Cash and Cash Equivalents

We consider all highly liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents. Cash balances in individual banks may exceed the federally insured limit by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (the "FDIC"). As of December 31, 2014, we had \$12.7 million in excess of the FDIC insured limit.

#### Recognition of Share-based Compensation Expense

We grant share-based compensation awards to employees and trustees in the form of restricted common shares and in the past we have granted stock options to employees and trustees. Our share-based award costs are equal to each grant date fair value and are recognized over the service periods of the awards using the graded vesting method. See Note 16 of the notes to the consolidated financial statements for further information.

#### Income Tax Status

We made an election to qualify, and believe our operating activities permit us to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes. Accordingly, we generally will not be subject to federal income tax, provided that we distribute at least 90% of our taxable income annually to our shareholders and meet other conditions. We are obligated to pay state taxes, generally consisting of franchise or gross receipts taxes in certain states which are not material to our consolidated financial statements.

Certain of our operations, including property and asset management, as well as ownership of certain land parcels, are conducted through taxable REIT subsidiaries, ("TRSs") which are subject to federal and state income taxes. During the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012, we sold various properties and land parcels at a gain, resulting in both a federal and state tax liability. See Note 17 of the notes to the consolidated financial statements for further information.

#### Variable Interest Entities

Certain entities that do not have sufficient equity at risk for the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support from other parties or in which equity investors do not have the characteristics of a controlling financial interest qualify as VIEs. VIEs are required to be consolidated by their primary beneficiary. The primary beneficiary of a VIE has both (i) the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact economic performance of the VIE, and (ii) the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits that could potentially be

significant to the VIE.

We have evaluated our investments in joint ventures and determined that the joint ventures do not meet the requirements of a VIE and, therefore, consolidation of these ventures is not required. Accordingly, these investments are accounted for using the equity method.

#### Noncontrolling Interest in Subsidiaries

There are third parties who have certain noncontrolling interests in subsidiaries that are exchangeable for our common shares on a 1:1 basis or cash, at our election. Noncontrolling interest is classified as a separate component of equity outside of the permanent equity section of our consolidated balance sheets. Consolidated net income and comprehensive income includes the noncontrolling interest's share. The calculation of earnings per share is based on income available to common shareholders.

F-12

---



## Segment Information

Our primary business is the ownership, management, redevelopment, development and operation of retail shopping centers. We do not distinguish our primary business or group our operations on a geographical basis for purposes of measuring performance. We review operating and financial data for each property on an individual basis and define an operating segment as an individual property. The individual properties have been aggregated into one reportable segment based upon their similarities with regard to both the nature and economics of the centers, tenants and operational processes, as well as long-term financial performance. No one individual property constitutes more than 10% of our revenue or property operating income and none of our shopping centers are located outside the United States. Accordingly, we have a single reportable segment for disclosure purposes.

### 2. Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2014-12, "Accounting for Share-Based Payments When the Terms of an Award Provide That a Performance Target Could Be Achieved after the Requisite Service Period" ("ASU 2014-12"). The amendments in ASU 2014-12 require that a performance target that affects vesting and that could be achieved after the requisite service period be treated as a performance condition. A reporting entity should apply existing guidance in Accounting Standards Codification Topic No. 718, "Compensation — Stock Compensation" ("ASC 718"), as it relates to awards with performance conditions that affect vesting to account for such awards. The amendments in ASU 2014-12 are effective for annual periods and interim periods within those annual periods beginning after December 15, 2015. Early adoption is permitted. Entities may apply the amendments in ASU 2014-12 either: (a) prospectively to all awards granted or modified after the effective date; or (b) retrospectively to all awards with performance targets that are outstanding as of the beginning of the earliest annual period presented in the financial statements and to all new or modified awards thereafter. We believe the adoption of this guidance will not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09 "Revenue from Contract with Customers" as a new Topic, Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") Topic 606. The objective of ASU 2014-09 is to establish a single comprehensive model for entities to use in accounting for revenue arising from contracts with customers and will supersede most of the existing revenue recognition guidance, including industry-specific guidance. The core principle is that a company should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. In applying the new standard, companies will perform a five-step analysis of transactions to determine when and how revenue is recognized. ASU 2014-09 applies to all contracts with customers except those that are within the scope of other topics in the FASB ASC. This ASU is effective for annual reporting periods (including interim periods within those periods) beginning after December 15, 2016 and shall be applied using either a full retrospective or modified retrospective approach. Early adoption is not permitted. We are currently evaluating the guidance and have not determined the impact this standard may have on our consolidated financial statements nor decided upon the method of adoption.

In April 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-08 "Presentation of Financial Statements (Topic 205) and Property, Plant, and Equipment (Topic 360), Reporting Discontinued Operations and Disclosures of Disposals of Components of an Entity" which amends the requirements for reporting discontinued operations. Under ASU 2014-08, a disposal of a component of an entity or a group of components of an entity is required to be reported in discontinued operations if the disposal represents a strategic shift that has (or will have) a major effect on an entity's operations and financial results. For public entities, ASU 2014-08 is effective prospectively for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2014; however, early adoption is permitted, but only for disposals or classifications as held for sale that have not been reported in financial statements previously issued or available for issuance. We adopted the provisions of ASU 2014-08 beginning with the period ended March 31, 2014, and have applied the provisions prospectively.

Prior to the adoption of ASU 2014-08, the results of operations for operating properties sold or held for sale during the reported periods were shown under Discontinued Operations on the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Beginning with the period ended March 31, 2014, in general, our activity related to individual sales of properties wholly-owned or co-owned with joint ventures will no longer be classified as Discontinued Operations.

### 3. Real Estate

Included in our net real estate are income producing shopping center properties that are recorded at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization, construction in process and land available for development or sale.

F-13

---

Land available for development or sale includes real estate projects where vertical construction has yet to commence, but which have been identified by us and are available for future development when market conditions dictate the demand for a new shopping center. Land available for sale was \$21.8 million and \$19.9 million at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

At December 31, 2014, we had three projects under pre-development. Our land available for development consisted of:

Development Project/Location	Carrying Value As of December 31,	
	2014	2013
	(In thousands)	
Hartland Towne Square - Hartland Twp., MI	\$4,699	\$25,193
Lakeland Park Center - Phase II, III - Lakeland, FL	14,506	11,774
Parkway Shops - Phase II - Jacksonville, FL	7,962	11,673
Total	\$27,167	\$48,640

Construction in progress represents existing development and redevelopment projects. When projects are substantially complete and ready for their intended use, balances are transferred to land, buildings or improvements as appropriate. Construction in progress was \$25.7 million and \$33.5 million at December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. The decrease was primarily due to the completion of Phase I of Lakeland Park Center, located in Lakeland, Florida. The cost for Lakeland Park Center Phase I was approximately \$33.4 million, excluding initial land costs. This decrease is offset in part by costs associated with the commencement of Phase II of Parkway Shops located in Jacksonville, Florida which commenced in the third quarter of 2014, as well as by costs associated with redevelopment and expansion projects at various centers.

#### 4. Property Acquisitions and Dispositions

##### Acquisitions

The following table provides a summary of our acquisitions during 2014 and 2013:

Property Name	Location	GLA (In thousands)	Acreage	Date Acquired	Gross	
					Purchase Price (In thousands)	Debt
2014						
Front Range Village	Fort Collins, CO	459	N/A	09/04/14	\$128,250	\$—
Buttermilk Towne Center	Crescent Springs (Cincinnati), KY	278	N/A	08/22/14	41,900	—
Woodbury Lakes	Woodbury (Minneapolis), MN	305	2.4	07/22/14	66,200	—
Bridgewater Falls Shopping Center	Hamilton (Cincinnati), OH	504	N/A	07/10/14	85,542	58,634
Total consolidated income producing acquisitions		1,546	2.4		\$321,892	\$58,634
The Shoppes at Fox River	Waukesha (Milwaukee), WI	N/A	9.9	09/08/14	\$1,216	\$—
Total consolidated land acquisitions			9.9		\$1,216	\$—
Total consolidated acquisitions		1,546	12.3		\$323,108	\$58,634

Edgar Filing: RAMCO GERSHENSON PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-K

2013

Deerfield Towne Center	Mason (Cincinnati), OH	461	N/A	12/19/13	\$96,500	\$—
Deer Creek Shopping Center	Maplewood (St. Louis), MO	208	N/A	11/15/13	23,878	—
Deer Grove Centre	Palatine (Chicago), IL	236	N/A	08/26/13	20,000	—
Mount Prospect Plaza	Mt. Prospect (Chicago), IL	301	N/A	06/20/13	36,100	—
The Shoppes at Nagawaukee	Delafield, WI	106	N/A	04/18/13	22,650	9,253
Clarion Partners Portfolio - 12 Income Producing Properties	FL & MI	2,246	N/A	03/25/13	367,415	149,514
Total consolidated acquisitions		3,558			\$566,543	\$158,767

F-14

---

The total aggregate fair value of the acquisitions was allocated and is reflected in the following table in accordance with accounting guidance for business combinations. At the time of acquisition, these assets and liabilities were considered Level 2 fair value measurements:

	December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
	(In thousands)		
Land	\$55,618	\$122,963	\$38,756
Buildings and improvements	235,322	406,743	100,216
Above market leases	4,775	6,977	1,874
Lease origination costs	23,343	50,577	2,522
Other assets	30,883	10,196	16,566
Below market leases	(18,836	) (27,216	) (9,974
Premium for above market interest rates on assumed debt	(6,830	) (3,697	) —
Capital lease obligation	(1,167	) —	—
Total purchase price allocated	\$323,108	\$566,543	\$149,960

Total revenue and net income for the 2014 acquisitions included in our consolidated statement of operations for the year ended ended December 31, 2014 were \$14.0 million and \$0.9 million, respectively.

#### Unaudited Proforma Information

If the 2014 Acquisitions had occurred on January 1, 2013, our consolidated revenues and net income for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 would have been as follows:

	December 31,	
	2014	2013
Consolidated revenue	\$238,868	\$204,577
Consolidated net (loss) income available to common shareholders	\$(9,060	) \$629

## Dispositions

We will classify properties as held for sale when executed purchase and sales agreement contingencies have been satisfied thereby signifying that the sale is legally binding. Refer to Note 1 under Real Estate for additional information regarding the sale of properties. As of December 31, 2014, we did not have any properties held for sale.

The following table provides a summary of our disposition activity during 2014 and 2013. All of the properties disposed of were unencumbered:

Property Name	Location	GLA (In thousands)	Acreage	Date Sold	Gross	Gain
					Sales Price (In thousands)	(loss) on Sale
2014						
Lake Orion Plaza	Lake Orion, MI	141	N/A	11/5/2014	\$4,300	\$288
Northwest Crossing	Knoxville, TN	124	N/A	10/21/2014	15,550	7,082
Fraser Shopping Center	Fraser, MI	68	N/A	10/17/2014	3,250	186
The Town Center at Aquia - El Gran Charro Outparcel	Stafford, VA	6	N/A	5/28/2014	1,730	123
Naples Town Center	Naples, FL	135	N/A	4/17/2014	7,150	2,343
Total consolidated income producing dispositions		474			\$31,980	\$10,022
Harvest Junction Land - BioLife Outparcel	Longmont, CO	N/A	3.0	12/5/2014	1,568	371
Parkway Land - Wendy's Outparcel	Jacksonville, FL	N/A	1.0	8/27/2014	900	258
Parkway Land - Express Oil Change Outparcel	Jacksonville, FL	N/A	0.7	6/13/2014	680	215
Hartland Land - Taco Bell Outparcel	Hartland Township, MI	N/A	0.8	5/1/2014	650	(9 )
Total consolidated outparcel dispositions			5.5		\$3,798	\$835
Total consolidated dispositions		474	5.5		\$35,778	\$10,857
2013						
Beacon Square	Grand Haven, MI	51	N/A	12/6/2013	\$8,600	\$(74 )
Edgewood Towne Center	Lansing, MI	86	N/A	9/27/2013	5,480	657
Mays Crossing	Stockbridge, GA	137	N/A	4/9/2013	8,400	1,537
Total consolidated income producing dispositions		274			\$22,480	\$2,120
Hunter's Square - Land Parcel	Farmington Hills, MI	N/A	0.1	12/11/2013	\$104	\$72
Parkway Phase I - Moe's Southwest Grill Outparcel	Jacksonville, FL	N/A	1.0	11/21/2013	1,000	306
Jacksonville North Industrial - The Learning Experience Outparcel	Jacksonville, FL	N/A	1.0	9/26/2013	510	(13 )
Parkway Phase I - Mellow Mushroom Outparcel	Jacksonville, FL	N/A	1.2	5/22/2013	1,200	332
Roseville Towne Center - Wal-Mart parcel	Roseville, MI	N/A	11.6	2/15/2013	7,500	3,030
	Jacksonville, FL	N/A	2.9	1/24/2013	2,600	552

Parkway Phase I - BJ's Restaurant  
Outparcel

Total consolidated land / outparcel dispositions		17.8	\$12,914	\$4,279
Total consolidated dispositions	274	17.8	\$35,394	\$6,399

F-16

---

## 5. Discontinued Operations

Prior to our adoption of ASU 2014-08, as discussed in Note 2, certain disposition transactions were classified as discontinued operations. A summary of the financial information for those properties classified as Discontinued Operations follows:

	December 31,	
	2013	2012
	(In thousands)	
Total revenue	\$2,175	\$5,502
Operating income	\$1,066	\$2,438
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	\$3,091	\$(79 )

## 6. Impairment Provisions

We established provisions for impairment for the following consolidated assets and unconsolidated joint venture investments:

	Year Ended		
	December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
	(In thousands)		
Land available for development or sale <sup>(1)</sup>	\$23,285	\$327	\$1,387
Income producing properties marketed for sale <sup>(2)</sup>	4,580	9,342	2,915
Investments in unconsolidated joint ventures	—	—	386
Total	\$27,865	\$9,669	\$4,688

In 2014, changes to development plans and to estimated fair values triggered an impairment provision of \$23.3 million. Refer to Note 1 under Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets for a discussion of inputs used in determining the fair value of long-lived assets.

In 2014, our decision to market for potential sale certain wholly-owned income producing properties resulted in an impairment provision of \$4.6 million. Refer to Note 1 under Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets for a discussion of inputs used in determining the fair value of long-lived assets.

Our impairment provisions for our land available for sale and our income producing properties marketed for potential sale were based upon the difference between the fair value of parcels or properties and our allocated or net book basis of those parcels and properties. Our estimated fair value in these investments are classified as Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy under GAAP. Refer to Note 11 of the notes to the consolidated financial statements for a discussion of fair value measurements.



## 7. Equity Investments in Unconsolidated Joint Ventures

We have four joint venture agreements whereby we own between 7% and 30% of the equity in the joint venture. We and the joint venture partners have joint approval rights for major decisions, including those regarding property operations. We cannot make significant decisions without our partner's approval. Accordingly, we account for our interest in the joint ventures using the equity method.

Combined financial information of our unconsolidated joint ventures is summarized as follows:

Balance Sheets	December 31,	
	2014	2013
	(In thousands)	
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Investment in real estate, net	\$394,740	\$410,218
Other assets	23,102	27,462
Total Assets	\$417,842	\$437,680
<b>LIABILITIES AND OWNERS' EQUITY</b>		
Mortgage notes payable	\$170,194	\$178,708
Other liabilities	7,625	7,885
Owners' equity	240,023	251,087
Total Liabilities and Owners' Equity	\$417,842	\$437,680
RPT's equity investments in unconsolidated joint ventures	\$28,733	\$30,931

As of December 31, 2014 we had investments in the following unconsolidated entities:

Unconsolidated Entities	Ownership as of December 31, 2014	Total Assets as of December 31, 2014 (In thousands)	Total Assets as of December 31, 2013
Ramco 450 Venture LLC	20%	\$283,100	\$293,410
Ramco/Lion Venture LP	30%	89,091	91,053
Other Joint Ventures	(1)	45,651	53,217
		\$417,842	\$437,680

(1) Includes two joint ventures in which we have a 7% ownership interest. Each joint venture owns one property.

Statements of Operations	December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
	(In thousands)		
Total revenue	\$42,442	\$42,778	\$44,348
Total expenses <sup>(1)</sup>	39,096	29,599	29,036
Income before other income and expenses and discontinued operations	3,346	13,179	15,312
Gain on sale of land <sup>(2)</sup>	740	—	169
Interest expense	(7,326	) (9,200	) (11,725
Amortization of deferred financing fees	(307	) (269	) (304
Provision for impairment of long-lived assets	—	—	(7,622
Gain on extinguishment of debt	529	—	275
(Loss) income from continuing operations	(3,018	) 3,710	(3,895
Discontinued operations <sup>(3)</sup>			
Gain on extinguishment of debt	—	—	736
Gain on sale of land	—	—	624
Loss on sale of real estate <sup>(4)</sup>	—	(21,512	) (61
Income from discontinued operations	—	1,015	4,055
(Loss) income from discontinued operations	—	(20,497	) 5,354
Net (loss) income	\$(3,018	) \$(16,787	) \$1,459
RPT's share of earnings (loss) from unconsolidated joint ventures <sup>(5)</sup>	\$75	\$(4,759	) \$3,646

(1) The increase in 2014 from prior years is due to the demolition of a portion of a center for redevelopment and the acceleration of depreciation.

(2) The 2014 gain on sale relates to a joint venture property that was sold in 2011 and additional proceeds were received in June 2014. Our share of the gain was approximately \$0.4 million.

(3) Beginning in the first quarter of 2014 discontinued operations reflects results of operations for those properties classified as discontinued operations as of December 31, 2013.

(4) In March, 2013 Ramco/Lion Venture LP sold 12 shopping centers to us resulting in a loss on the sale of \$21.5 million to the joint venture.

For the year ended December 31, 2012, our pro-rata share excludes \$0.4 million in costs associated with the

(5) liquidation of two joint ventures concurrent with the extinguishment of their debt. The costs are reflected in earnings (loss) from unconsolidated joint ventures on our consolidated statement of operations.

#### Acquisitions

There were no acquisitions of shopping centers in 2014 and 2013 by any of our unconsolidated joint ventures.

#### Dispositions

There were no dispositions of shopping centers in 2014. The following table provides a summary of our unconsolidated joint venture property disposition activity during 2013:

Property Name	Location	GLA	Acreage	Date Sold	Ownership %	Gross Sales Price (at 100%) (In thousands)	Debt Repaid	Loss on Sale (at 100%)
---------------	----------	-----	---------	-----------	-------------	---	-------------	---------------------------

2013

Edgar Filing: RAMCO GERSHENSON PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-K

Clarion Partners Portfolio	FL & MI	2,246	N/A	3/25/2013	20	%	\$367,415	\$149,514	\$(21,512)
Total 2013 unconsolidated joint venture's dispositions		2,246					\$367,415	\$149,514	\$(21,512)

F-19

---

## Debt

Our unconsolidated entities had the following debt outstanding at December 31, 2014:

Entity Name	Balance Outstanding (In thousands)
Ramco 450 Venture LLC <sup>(1)</sup>	\$140,308
Ramco/Lion Venture LP <sup>(2)</sup>	29,981
	170,289
Unamortized discount	(95 )
Total mortgage debt	\$170,194

<sup>(1)</sup> Maturities range from December 2015 to September 2023 with interest rates ranging from 1.9% to 5.8%.

<sup>(2)</sup> Balance relates to Millennium Park's mortgage loan which has a maturity date of October 2015 with a 5% interest rate.

On March 31, 2014, Ramco 191, LLC, in which our ownership interest was 20%, completed the conveyance of its ownership interest in its sole remaining shopping center to the noteholder in lieu of repayment of a non-recourse loan in the amount of \$7.5 million of which our share was \$1.5 million.

## Joint Venture Management and Other Fee Income

We are engaged by certain of our joint ventures to provide asset management, property management, leasing and investing services for such venture's respective properties. We receive fees for our services, including property management fees calculated as a percentage of gross revenues received and recognize these fees as the services are rendered.

The following table provides information for our fees earned which are reported in our consolidated statements of operations:

	December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
	(In thousands)		
Management fees	\$1,514	\$1,875	\$2,564
Leasing fees	315	390	1,026
Acquisition/disposition fees	—	—	16
Construction fees	230	61	318
Total	\$2,059	\$2,326	\$3,924

## 8. Other Assets, Net

Other assets consisted of the following:

	December 31,	
	2014	2013
	(In thousands)	
Deferred leasing costs, net	\$33,557	\$26,617
Deferred financing costs, net	6,598	6,513
Lease intangible assets, net	77,045	69,635
Straight-line rent receivable, net	15,805	15,115
Cash flow hedge marked-to-market asset	537	2,244
Prepaid and other deferred expenses, net	7,054	4,629
Other, net <sup>(1)</sup>	28,045	3,768
Other assets, net	\$168,641	\$128,521

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes the fair value of in-place public improvement fee of approximately \$17.3 million and real estate tax exemption agreement of approximately \$6.0 million associated with two properties acquired in 2014.

Gross intangible assets of \$110.3 million, attributable to lease origination costs and above market leases, have a remaining weighted-average amortization period of 4.6 years as of December 31, 2014.

Intangible assets attributable to lease origination costs and for above-market leases are being amortized over the lives of the applicable lease. Amortization of lease origination costs is an increase to amortization expense and amortization of above-market leases is a reduction to minimum rent revenue over the applicable terms of the respective leases. Amortization of the above market lease asset resulted in a reduction of revenue of approximately \$2.7 million, \$2.1 million, and \$0.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012, respectively. Straight-line rent receivables are recorded net of allowances of \$4.3 and \$3.8 million at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

The following table represents estimated aggregate amortization expense related to other assets as of December 31, 2014:

Year Ending December 31,

	(In thousands)
2014	\$27,217
2015	21,452
2016	15,304
2017	12,136
2018	9,725
Thereafter	54,417
Total <sup>(1)</sup>	\$140,251

<sup>(1)</sup> Excludes straight-line rent receivable, prepaid and other deferred expenses, cash flow hedge, goodwill, and deferred leasing costs for assets not yet placed into service of \$15.8 million, \$7.1 million, \$0.5 million, \$2.1 million, and \$2.9 million, respectively.

## 9. Debt

The following table summarizes our mortgages and notes payable and capital lease obligation as of December 31, 2014 and 2013:

	December 31,	
	2014	2013
	(In thousands)	
Senior unsecured notes	\$310,000	\$110,000
Unsecured term loan facilities	210,000	255,000
Fixed rate mortgages	354,714	329,875
Unsecured revolving credit facility	10,000	27,000
Junior subordinated notes	28,125	28,125
	912,839	750,000
Unamortized premium	8,866	3,174
	\$921,705	\$753,174
Capital lease obligation	\$1,828	\$5,686

## Mortgages and unsecured notes payable

We completed the following financing transactions during 2014:

In May 2014, we completed a \$100.0 million private placement of senior unsecured notes consisting of \$50.0 million of notes with a ten-year term with a fixed interest rate of 4.65% and \$50.0 million of notes with a twelve-year term at a fixed interest rate of 4.74%. A "shelf" facility allows for an additional \$50.0 million in notes to the same purchaser within the next three years, subject to approval, pricing and documentation;

Also in May 2014, we closed a \$75.0 million senior unsecured term loan with an additional \$75.0 million accordion feature. The loan has a seven-year term and bears interest at an annual rate of LIBOR plus 1.25% to 2.25% (initially 1.7%) depending upon our leverage or credit rating. The interest expense is hedged with an existing interest rate swap expiring in April 2016, resulting in an effective fixed initial annual rate of 2.9%. The combined proceeds from the May 2014 financings were used to repay \$45.0 million of variable-rate bank term debt due 2017, \$75.0 million of bank term debt also due in 2017, the \$45.0 million balance on our unsecured revolving line of credit, as well as for general corporate purposes; and

In November 2014, we completed a \$100.0 million private placement of senior unsecured notes consisting of \$50.0 million of notes with a ten-year term priced at a fixed interest rate of 4.16% and \$50.0 million of notes with a twelve-year term priced at a fixed interest rate of 4.3%.

During 2014 we had the following mortgage transactions:

In conjunction with our acquisition of Bridgewater Falls, we assumed a mortgage loan with a \$58.6 million principal balance outstanding and an interest rate of 5.7%. We recorded a premium of approximately \$6.8 million based upon the fair value of the loan on the date it was assumed. This mortgage premium is being amortized to interest expense over the remaining life of the loan; and

We repaid mortgages securing the following properties:

The Auburn Mile mortgage in the amount of \$6.6 million with an interest rate of 5.4%; and  
Crossroads Centre mortgage in the amount of \$23.2 million with an interest rate of 5.4%.

Our \$354.7 million of fixed rate mortgages have interest rates ranging from 5.0% to 7.4% and are due at various maturity dates from May 2014 through June 2026. The fixed rate mortgage notes are secured by mortgages on

properties that have an approximate net book value of \$368.7 million as of December 31, 2014.

We have no mortgage maturities until the second half of 2015 and it is our intent to repay these mortgages using cash, borrowings under our unsecured line of credit, or other sources of financing.

F-22

---

The mortgage loans encumbering our properties, including properties held by our unconsolidated joint ventures, are generally nonrecourse, subject to certain exceptions for which we would be liable for any resulting losses incurred by the lender. These exceptions vary from loan to loan but generally include fraud or a material misrepresentation, misstatement or omission by the borrower, intentional or grossly negligent conduct by the borrower that harms the property or results in a loss to the lender, filing of a bankruptcy petition by the borrower, either directly or indirectly and certain environmental liabilities. In addition, upon the occurrence of certain events, such as fraud or filing of a bankruptcy petition by the borrower, we or our joint ventures would be liable for the entire outstanding balance of the loan, all interest accrued thereon and certain other costs, including penalties and expenses.

We have entered into mortgage loans which are secured by multiple properties and contain cross-collateralization and cross-default provisions. Cross-collateralization provisions allow a lender to foreclose on multiple properties in the event that we default under the loan. Cross-default provisions allow a lender to foreclose on the related property in the event a default is declared under another loan.

#### Capital lease

At December 31, 2014 we had a capital ground lease at our Gaines Marketplace shopping center. In addition we have a capital lease at our Buttermilk Towne Center with the City of Crescent Springs, Kentucky. Total amounts expensed as interest relating to these leases was \$0.2 million, \$0.3 million and \$0.4 million for each of the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012 respectively.

The following table presents scheduled principal payments on mortgages and notes payable and capital lease payments as of December 31, 2014:

Year Ending December 31,	Mortgage Principal Payments (In thousands)	Capital Lease Payments
2015 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$86,117	\$813
2016	23,619	100
2017	113,196	100
2018 <sup>(2)</sup>	95,275	100
2019	6,278	100
Thereafter	588,354	1,300
Subtotal debt	912,839	2,513
Unamortized mortgage premium	8,866	—
Amounts representing interest	\$—	(685 )
Total	\$921,705	\$1,828

<sup>(1)</sup> Amount includes payment of approximately \$0.7 million to exercise an option for us to purchase the land at Gaines Marketplace.

<sup>(2)</sup> Scheduled maturities in 2018 include the \$10.0 million balance on the unsecured revolving credit facility drawn as of December 31, 2014.

#### Revolving Credit Facility

In October 2014 we closed an amendment to our Master Loan Agreement which increased our revolving credit facility to \$350.0 million (from \$240.0 million), extended the maturity to October 2018 and modified interest rates. During 2014 we had net repayments of \$17.0 million on our revolving credit facility and had outstanding letters of credit issued under our revolving credit facility, not reflected in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets, totaling \$4.1 million. These letters of credit reduce borrowing availability under our bank facility. As of December 31, 2014, \$335.9 million was available to be drawn on our \$350 million unsecured revolving credit facility subject to certain



covenants.

The revolving credit and term loan facilities contain financial covenants relating to total leverage, fixed charge coverage ratio, tangible net worth and various other calculations. As of December 31, 2014, we were in compliance with these covenants.

#### Junior Subordinated Notes

Our junior subordinated notes have a variable rate of LIBOR plus 3.30%. The maturity date is January 2038.

F-23

---

## 10. Other Liabilities, net

Other liabilities consist of the following:

	December 31,	
	2014	2013
	(In thousands)	
Lease intangible liabilities, net	\$54,278	\$40,386
Cash flow hedge marked-to-market liability	2,705	2,297
Deferred liabilities	3,882	2,637
Tenant security deposits	3,239	2,940
Other, net	280	333
Other liabilities, net	\$64,384	\$48,593

The increase in other liabilities was primarily due to the acquisitions that were completed in 2014 and the allocation of a portion of the purchase price to lease intangible liabilities. The lease intangible liability relates to below-market leases that are being accreted over the applicable terms of the acquired leases, which resulted in an increase of revenue of \$4.9 million, \$3.1 million, and \$1.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

## 11. Fair Value

We utilize fair value measurements to record fair value adjustments to certain assets and liabilities and to determine fair value disclosures. Derivative instruments (interest rate swaps) are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. Additionally, we, from time to time, may be required to record other assets at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. As a basis for considering market participant assumptions in fair value measurements, GAAP establishes three fair value levels, based on the markets in which the assets and liabilities are traded and the reliability of the assumptions used to determine fair value. The assessed inputs used in determining any fair value measurement could result in incorrect valuations that could be material to our consolidated financial statements. These levels are:

Level 1 Valuation is based upon quoted prices for identical instruments traded in active markets.

Level 2 Valuation is based upon quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active, and model-based valuation techniques for which all significant assumptions are observable in the market.

Level 3 Valuation is generated from model-based techniques that use at least one significant assumption not observable in the market. These unobservable assumptions reflect estimates of assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability.

The following is a description of valuation methodologies used for our assets and liabilities recorded at fair value.

## Derivative Assets and Liabilities

All of our derivative instruments are interest rate swaps for which quoted market prices are not readily available. For those derivatives, we measure fair value on a recurring basis using valuation models that use primarily market observable inputs, such as yield curves. We classify derivative instruments as Level 2. Refer to Note 12 for additional information on our derivative financial instruments.



The table below presents the recorded amount of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2014 and 2013.

	Balance Sheet location	Total Fair Value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
2014		(In thousands)			
Derivative assets - interest rate swaps	Other assets	\$537	\$—	\$537	\$—
Derivative liabilities - interest rate swaps	Other liabilities	\$(2,705 )	\$—	\$(2,705 )	\$—
2013					
Derivative assets - interest rate swaps	Other assets	\$2,244	\$—	\$2,244	\$—
Derivative liabilities - interest rate swaps	Other liabilities	\$(2,297 )	\$—	\$(2,297 )	\$—

The carrying values of cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, receivables and accounts payable and accrued liabilities are reasonable estimates of their fair values because of the short maturity of these financial instruments.

We estimated the fair value of our debt based on our incremental borrowing rates for similar types of borrowing arrangements with the same remaining maturity and on the discounted estimated future cash payments to be made for other debt. The discount rates used approximate current lending rates for loans or groups of loans with similar maturities and credit quality, assumes the debt is outstanding through maturity and considers the debt's collateral (if applicable). Since such amounts are estimates that are based on limited available market information for similar transactions, there can be no assurance that the disclosed value of any financial instrument could be realized by immediate settlement of the instrument. Fixed rate debt (including variable rate debt swapped to fixed through derivatives) with carrying values of \$874.7 million and \$649.9 million as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, have fair values of approximately \$900.9 million and \$650.9 million, respectively. Variable rate debt's fair value is estimated to be the carrying values of \$38.1 million and \$100.1 million as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. We classify our debt as Level 2.

#### Net Real Estate

Our net real estate, including any identifiable intangible assets, is subject to impairment testing on a nonrecurring basis. To estimate fair value, we use discounted cash flow models that include assumptions of the discount rates that market participants would use in pricing the asset. To the extent impairment has occurred, we charge to expense the excess of the carrying value of the property over its estimated fair value. We classify impaired real estate assets as nonrecurring Level 3.

The table below presents the recorded amount of assets at the time they were marked to fair value during the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 on a nonrecurring basis. We did not have any material liabilities that were required to be measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis during the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013.

Assets	Total Fair Value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total Impairment
	(In thousands)				
2014					
Income producing properties	\$28,754	\$—	\$—	\$28,754	\$(4,580 )
Land available for sale	13,972	—	—	13,972	(23,285 )
Total	\$42,726	\$—	\$—	\$42,726	\$(27,865 )
2013					
Income producing properties	\$26,520	\$—	\$—	\$26,520	\$(9,342 )
Land available for sale	5,568	—	—	5,568	(327 )
Total	\$32,088	\$—	\$—	\$32,088	\$(9,669 )

Equity Investments in Unconsolidated Entities

Our equity investments in unconsolidated joint venture entities are subject to impairment testing on a nonrecurring basis if a decline in the fair value of the investment below the carrying amount is determined to be a decline that is other-than-temporary. To estimate the fair value of properties held by unconsolidated entities, we use cash flow models, discount rates, and capitalization rates based

F-25

---

upon assumptions of the rates that market participants would use in pricing the asset. To the extent other-than-temporary impairment has occurred, we charge to expense the excess of the carrying value of the equity investment over its estimated fair value. We classify other-than-temporarily impaired equity investments in unconsolidated entities as nonrecurring Level 3.

## 12. Derivative Financial Instruments

We utilize interest rate swap agreements for risk management purposes to reduce the impact of changes in interest rates on our variable rate debt. On the date we enter into an interest rate swap, the derivative is designated as a hedge against the variability of cash flows that are to be paid in connection with a recognized liability. Subsequent changes in the fair value of a derivative designated as a cash flow hedge that is determined to be highly effective are recorded in other comprehensive income ("OCI") until earnings are affected by the variability of cash flows of the hedged transaction. The differential between fixed and variable rates to be paid or received is accrued, as interest rates change, and recognized currently as interest expense in our consolidated statements of operations. We assess effectiveness of our cash flow hedges both at inception and on an ongoing basis. Our cash flow hedges become ineffective if critical terms of the hedging instrument and the debt do not perfectly match such as notional amounts, settlement dates, reset dates, calculation period and LIBOR rate.

At December 31, 2014, we had seven interest rate swap agreements in effect for an aggregate notional amount of \$210.0 million that were designated as cash flow hedges. The agreements provide for swapping one-month LIBOR interest rates ranging from 1.2% to 2.2% on \$210.0 million of unsecured term loans, and have expirations ranging from April 2016 to May 2020.

The following table summarizes the notional values and fair values of our derivative financial instruments as of December 31, 2014:

Underlying Debt	Hedge Type	Notional Value (In thousands)	Fixed Rate	Fair Value (In thousands)	Expiration Date
<b>Derivative Assets</b>					
Unsecured term loan facility	Cash Flow	\$50,000	1.4600	% \$537	05/2020
		\$50,000		\$537	
<b>Derivative Liabilities</b>					
Unsecured term loan facility	Cash Flow	\$75,000	1.2175	% \$(749)	) 04/2016
Unsecured term loan facility	Cash Flow	30,000	2.0480	% (772)	) 10/2018
Unsecured term loan facility	Cash Flow	25,000	1.8500	% (469)	) 10/2018
Unsecured term loan facility	Cash Flow	5,000	1.8400	% (250)	) 10/2018
Unsecured term loan facility	Cash Flow	15,000	2.1500	% (90)	) 05/2020
Unsecured term loan facility	Cash Flow	10,000	2.1500	% (375)	) 05/2020
		\$160,000		\$(2,705)	)

The effect of derivative financial instruments on our consolidated statements of operations for the year ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 is summarized as follows:

Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in OCI on Derivative (Effective Portion) Year Ended December 31,	Location of Loss Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income	Amount of Loss Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion) Year Ended December 31,
---	---	--

Edgar Filing: RAMCO GERSHENSON PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-K

Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationship	2014 (In thousands)	2013	(Effective Portion)	2014 (In thousands)	2013
Interest rate contracts - assets	\$(1,707 )	\$2,244	Interest Expense	\$(661 )	\$(424 )
Interest rate contracts - liabilities	(408 )	3,276	Interest Expense	(2,404 )	(1,847 )
Total	\$(2,115 )	\$5,520	Total	\$(3,065 )	\$(2,271 )

F-26

---

## 13. Leases

## Revenues

Approximate future minimum revenues from rentals under non-cancelable operating leases in effect at December 31, 2014, assuming no new or renegotiated leases or option extensions on lease agreements and no early lease terminations were as follows:

Year Ending December 31,

	(In thousands)
2015	\$ 168,855
2016	154,060
2017	129,156
2018	111,991
2019	95,029
Thereafter	378,131
Total	\$1,037,222

## Expenses

We have an operating lease for our corporate headquarters in Michigan for a term expiring in 2019. We also have an operating lease adjacent to our former Taylors Square shopping center. We recognized rent expense of \$0.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 and \$0.7 million for each of the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012.

Approximate future rental payments under our non-cancelable leases, assuming no option extensions are as follows:

Year Ending December 31,

	(In thousands)
2015	\$ 648
2016	657
2017	666
2018	675
2019	466
Thereafter	607
Total	\$ 3,719



## 14. Earnings per Common Share

The following table sets forth the computation of basic earnings per share ("EPS"):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
	(In thousands, except per share data)		
(Loss) income from continuing operations	\$(2,412 )	\$8,371	\$7,171
Net loss (income) from continuing operations attributable to noncontrolling interest	48	(355 )	87
Preferred share dividends	(7,250 )	(7,250 )	(7,250 )
Allocation of continuing (income) loss to restricted share awards	(180 )	(102 )	29
(Loss) income from continuing operations attributable to RPT	\$(9,794 )	\$664	\$37
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	—	3,091	(79 )
Net (income) loss from discontinued operations attributable to noncontrolling interest	—	(110 )	25
Allocation of discontinued (income) loss to restricted share awards	—	(20 )	1
Income (loss) from discontinued operations attributable to RPT	—	2,961	(53 )
Net (loss) income available to common shareholders	\$(9,794 )	\$3,625	\$(16 )
Weighted average shares outstanding, Basic	72,118	59,336	44,101
(Loss) earnings per common share, Basic			
Continuing operations	\$(0.14 )	\$0.01	\$—
Discontinued operations	—	0.05	—
	\$(0.14 )	\$0.06	\$—

The following table sets forth the computation of diluted EPS:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
	(In thousands, except per share data)		
(Loss) income from continuing operations	\$(2,412 )	\$8,371	\$7,171
Net loss (income) from continuing operations attributable to noncontrolling interest	48	(355 )	87
Preferred share dividends	(7,250 )	(7,250 )	(7,250 )
Allocation of continuing (income) loss to restricted share awards	(180 )	(102 )	29
Allocation of over distributed continuing income to restricted share awards	—	—	(23 )
(Loss) income from continuing operations attributable to RPT	\$(9,794 )	\$664	\$14
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	—	3,091	(79 )
Net (income) loss from discontinued operations attributable to noncontrolling interest	—	(110 )	25
Income (loss) from discontinued operations attributable to RPT	—	2,981	(54 )
Net (loss) income available to common shareholders	\$(9,794 )	\$3,645	\$(40 )
Weighted average shares outstanding, Basic	72,118	59,336	44,101
Stock options and restricted share awards using the treasury method <sup>(1)</sup>	—	392	—
Dilutive effect of securities <sup>(2)</sup>	—	—	—
Weighted average shares outstanding, Diluted	72,118	59,728	44,101

(Loss) earnings per common share, Diluted

Continuing operations	\$ (0.14	)	\$ 0.01	\$ —
Discontinued operations	—		0.05	—
	\$ (0.14	)	\$ 0.06	\$ —

- (1) For the year ended December 31, 2013 stock options and restricted stock awards are anti-dilutive and accordingly, have been excluded from the weighted average common shares used to compute diluted EPS.
- (2) The assumed conversion of preferred shares are anti-dilutive for all periods presented and accordingly, have been excluded from the weighted average common shares used to compute diluted EPS.

F-28

---

## 15. Shareholders' Equity

### Underwritten public offerings

In August 2014 we completed an underwritten public offering of 6.9 million newly issued common shares of beneficial interest at \$16.44 per share which included 0.9 million common shares sold in connection with the full exercise of the underwriters' option to purchase additional shares. Our total net proceeds, after deducting expenses, were approximately \$108.7 million.

During 2013, we completed two separate underwritten public offerings of newly issued common shares of beneficial interest, specifically:

• On November 13, 2013, we issued 4.5 million shares at \$15.90 per share. Our total net proceeds, after deducting expenses, were approximately \$70.4 million; and

• On March 18, 2013, we issued 8.05 million shares at \$15.55 per share. Our total net proceeds, after deducting expenses, were approximately \$122.2 million.

### Controlled equity offerings

In 2014, through our controlled equity offering we issued 3.8 million common shares, at an average share price of \$16.50, and received approximately \$61.7 million in net proceeds, after sales commissions and fees of \$0.9 million.

In 2013, we issued 5.4 million common shares through our controlled equity offerings generating approximately \$81.7 million in net proceeds, after sales commissions and fees of \$1.2 million. The average share price in 2013 was \$15.10 per share.

Our controlled equity offerings were issued under offerings registered in 2013 whereby we may sell up to 8.0 million common shares of beneficial interest. As of December 31, 2014 we had 4.0 million shares available for issuance.

We have a dividend reinvestment plan that allows for participating shareholders to have their dividend distributions automatically invested in additional shares of beneficial interest based on the average price of the shares acquired for the distribution.

## 16. Share-Based Compensation and Other Benefit Plans

### Incentive and Stock Option Plans

As of December 31, 2014 we have one share-based compensation plan in effect, the 2012 Omnibus Long-Term Incentive Plan ("2012 LTIP"). Under the plan our compensation committee may grant, subject to the Company's performance conditions as specified by the compensation committee, restricted shares, restricted share units, options and other awards for up to 2 million of our common shares, units or stock options, of which 1.7 million is available for issuance as of December 31, 2014.

The following share-based compensation plans have been terminated, except with respect to awards outstanding under each plan:

- The 2009 Omnibus Long-Term Incentive Plan ("2009 LTIP") which allowed for the grant of restricted shares, restricted share units, options and other awards to trustees, officers and other key employees;
- The 2008 Restricted Share Plan for Non-Employee Trustees (the "Trustees' Plan") which allowed for the grant of restricted shares to non-employee trustees of the Company;

2003 LTIP - allowed for the grant of stock options to our executive officers and employees. As of December 31, 2014, there were 127,248 options exercisable; and

2003 Non-Employee Trustee Stock Option Plan – this plan provided for the annual grant of options to purchase our shares to our non-employee trustees. As of December 31, 2014, there were 28,000 options exercisable.

We recognized total share-based compensation expense of \$4.6 million, \$3.6 million, and \$2.6 million for 2014, 2013, and 2012, respectively.

#### Restricted Stock Share-Based Compensation

Beginning in 2012 the compensation committee determined that the LTIP award would consist of 50% service based restricted shares and 50% performance-based cash awards. The service-based restricted share awards include a five year vesting period and

F-29

---

the compensation expense is recognized on a graded vesting basis. We recognized expense related to restricted share grants of \$2.1 million, \$2.1 million and \$2.2 million during the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012, respectively.

The performance shares are earned subject to a future performance measurement based on a three-year shareholder return peer comparison (the "TSR Grants"). If the performance criterion is met the actual value of the grant earned will be determined and 50% of the award will be paid in cash immediately while the balance will be paid in cash the following year.

Pursuant to ASC 718 – Stock Compensation, we determine the grant date fair value of TSR Grants, and any subsequent re-measurements, based upon a Monte Carlo simulation model. We recognize the compensation expense ratably over the requisite service period and we are required to re-value the performance cash awards at the end of each quarter. We use the same methodology as was used at the initial grant date and adjust the compensation expense accordingly. If it is determined that the performance criteria will not be met, compensation expense previously recognized would be reversed. We recognized compensation expense of \$2.5 million, \$1.5 million and \$0.4 million related to the cash awards during the year ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

A summary of the activity of service based restricted shares under the LTIP for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 is presented below:

	2014		2013		2012	
	Number of Shares	Weighted-Average Grant Date Fair Value	Number of Shares	Weighted-Average Grant Date Fair Value	Number of Shares	Weighted-Average Grant Date Fair Value
Outstanding, beginning of the year	375,813	\$ 13.71	286,306	\$ 11.83	229,722	\$ 12.40
Granted	286,954	16.70	293,732	15.68	135,223	11.30
Vested	(281,851 )	12.69	(197,014 )	10.07	(68,683 )	11.47
Forfeited or expired	(15,392 )	14.69	(7,211 )	13.38	(9,956 )	11.95
Outstanding, end of the year	365,524	14.92	375,813	13.71	286,306	11.83

As of December 31, 2014 there was approximately \$4.6 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested restricted share awards granted under our various share-based plans that we expect to recognize over a weighted average period of 4.2 years.

#### Stock Option Share-Based Compensation

We recognized approximately \$0.1 million of expense related to options during the year ended December 31, 2012. The fair values of each option granted used in determining the share-based compensation expense is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. This model incorporates certain assumptions for inputs including risk-free rates, expected dividend yield of the underlying common shares, expected option life and expected volatility.

No options were granted under the LTIP in the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012.



The following table reflects the stock option activity for all plans described above:

	2014		2013		2012	
	Shares Under Option	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Shares Under Option	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Shares Under Option	Weighted-Average Exercise Price
Outstanding, beginning of the year	190,993	\$ 30.34	227,743	\$ 27.81	272,201	\$ 25.98
Granted	—	—	—	—	—	—
Exercised	—	—	(25,000 )	9.61	(25,000 )	9.61
Forfeited or expired	(35,745 )	27.73	(11,750 )	25.34	(19,458 )	25.65
Outstanding, end of the year	155,248	\$ 30.94	190,993	\$ 30.34	227,743	\$ 27.81
Exercisable, end of the year	155,248	\$ 30.94	190,993	\$ 30.34	202,743	\$ 30.05

The following tables summarize information about options outstanding at December 31, 2014:

Range of Exercise Price	Options Outstanding		Options Exercisable		
	Outstanding	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Life	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Exercisable	Weighted-Average Exercise Price
23.77 - \$27.96	40,878	1.5	\$ 27.00	40,878	\$ 27.00
28.80 - \$29.06	46,430	2.0	29.02	46,430	29.02
34.30 - \$36.50	67,940	3.2	34.62	67,940	34.62
	155,248	2.4	\$ 30.94	155,248	\$ 30.94

We received cash of approximately \$0.2 million from options exercised during each of the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012. The impact of the cash receipt is included in financing activities in the accompanying consolidated statements of cash flows.

#### 17. Taxes

##### Income Taxes

We conduct our operations with the intent of meeting the requirements applicable to a REIT under sections 856 through 860 of the Internal Revenue Code. In order to maintain our qualification as a REIT, we are required to distribute annually at least 90% of our REIT taxable income, excluding net capital gain, to our shareholders. As long as we qualify as a REIT, we will generally not be liable for federal corporate income taxes.

Certain of our operations, including property management and asset management, as well as ownership of certain land, are conducted through our TRSs which allows us to provide certain services and conduct certain activities that are not generally considered as qualifying REIT activities.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities reflect the impact of temporary differences between the amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the bases of such assets and liabilities as measured by tax laws. Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance to the amount where realization is more likely than not assured after considering all available evidence, including expected taxable earnings and potential tax planning strategies. Our temporary differences primarily relate to deferred compensation, depreciation and net operating loss carryforwards.

As of December 31, 2014, we had a federal and state deferred tax asset of \$0.2 million, net of a valuation allowance of \$10.9 million. We believe that it is more likely than not that the results of future operations will generate sufficient taxable income to recognize the net deferred tax assets. These future operations are primarily dependent upon the profitability of our TRSs, the timing and amounts of gains on land sales, and other factors affecting the results of operations of the TRSs. The valuation allowances relate to net operating loss carryforwards and tax basis differences where there is uncertainty regarding their realizability.

F-31

---



During the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, we recorded an income tax provision of approximately \$54,000 and \$64,000, respectively.

We had no unrecognized tax benefits as of or during the three year period ended December 31, 2014. We expect no significant increases or decreases in unrecognized tax benefits due to changes in tax positions within one year of December 31, 2014. No material interest or penalties relating to income taxes were recognized in the statement of operations for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012 or in the consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012. It is our accounting policy to classify interest and penalties relating to unrecognized tax benefits as tax expense. As of December 31, 2014, returns for the calendar years 2011 through 2014 remain subject to examination by the Internal Revenue Service (“IRS”) and various state and local tax jurisdictions. As of December 31, 2014, certain returns for calendar year 2010 also remain subject to examination by various state and local tax jurisdictions.

#### Sales Tax

We collect various taxes from tenants and remit these amounts, on a net basis, to the applicable taxing authorities.

### 18. Commitments and Contingencies

#### Construction Costs

In connection with the development and expansion of various shopping centers as of December 31, 2014, we had entered into agreements for construction costs of approximately \$10.1 million.

#### Litigation

We are currently involved in certain litigation arising in the ordinary course of business.

#### Environmental Matters

We are subject to numerous federal, state and local environmental laws, ordinances and regulations in the areas where we own or operate properties. We are not aware of any contamination which may have been caused by us or any of our tenants that would have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

As part of our risk management activities, we have applied and been accepted into state sponsored environmental programs which will expedite and assure satisfactory compliance with environmental laws and regulations should contaminants need to be remediated. We also have an environmental insurance policy that covers us against third party liabilities and remediation costs.

While we believe that we do not have any material exposure to environmental remediation costs, we cannot give absolute assurance that changes in the law or new discoveries of contamination will not result in additional liabilities to us.

### 19. Subsequent Events

We have evaluated subsequent events through the date that the consolidated financial statements were issued.

In February 2015 we finalized the purchase of land subject to the ground lease at Gaines Marketplace and sold a portion to the shadow anchor tenant. Net proceeds to us were approximately \$3.4 million.

F-32

---

## 20. Selected Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)

The following table sets forth summarized quarterly financial data for the year ended December 31, 2014:

	Quarters Ended 2014			
	March 31	June 30	September 30	December 31
	(In thousands, except per share amounts)			
Total revenue	\$50,133	\$49,930	\$55,143	\$63,157
Operating income (loss)	\$12,403	\$6,732	\$14,782	\$(10,587 )
Net income (loss) attributable to RPT	\$2,761	\$1,120	\$6,263	\$(12,556 )
Net income (loss) available to common shareholders	\$860	\$(727 )	\$4,270	\$(14,017 )
Earnings (loss) per common share, basic: <sup>(1)</sup>	\$0.01	\$(0.01 )	\$0.06	\$(0.18 )
Earnings (loss) per common share, diluted: <sup>(1)</sup>	\$0.01	\$(0.01 )	\$0.06	\$(0.18 )

<sup>(1)</sup> EPS amounts are based on weighted average common shares outstanding during the quarter and, therefore, may not agree with the EPS calculated for the year ended December 31, 2014.

The following table sets forth summarized quarterly financial data for the year ended December 31, 2013:

	Quarters Ended 2013			
	March 31 <sup>(1)</sup>	June 30 <sup>(1)</sup>	September 30 <sup>(1)</sup>	December 31 <sup>(1)</sup>
	(In thousands, except per share amounts)			
Total revenue	\$33,938	\$42,703	\$45,411	\$48,016
Operating income (loss)	\$8,230	\$11,310	\$13,110	\$12,479
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$4,827	\$4,093	\$4,816	\$(5,365 )
Income from discontinued operations	\$447	\$1,689	\$899	\$56
Net income (loss) attributable to RPT	\$5,274	\$5,782	\$5,715	\$(5,309 )
Net income (loss) available to common shareholders	\$3,237	\$3,761	\$3,701	\$(6,952 )
Earnings (loss) per common share, basic: <sup>(2)</sup>	\$0.06	\$0.06	\$0.06	\$(0.11 )
Earnings (loss) per common share, diluted: <sup>(2)</sup>	\$0.06	\$0.06	\$0.06	\$(0.11 )

<sup>(1)</sup> Amounts are reclassified to reflect the reporting of discontinued operations.

<sup>(2)</sup> EPS amounts are based on weighted average common shares outstanding during the quarter and, therefore, may not agree with the EPS calculated for the year ended December 31, 2013.

RAMCO-GERSHENSON PROPERTIES TRUST  
SCHEDULE III  
SUMMARY OF REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

December 31, 2014

(in thousands of dollars)

Property	Location	Encumbrances	INITIAL	Capitalized	GROSS AMOUNTS AT			Accumulated Depreciation	Date Constructed	Date Acquired	
			COST TO COMPANY	to Acquisition	Subsequent	WHICH CARRIED AT CLOSE OF PERIOD	Building & Improvements				Total
			Land	Building or Improvements, Net of Impairments	Land	Building & Improvements	Total				
Auburn Mile	MI	\$ —	\$ 15,704	\$ —	\$ (7,110 )	\$ 5,917	\$ 2,677	\$ 8,594	\$ 2,154	2000	1999
Bridgewater Falls	OH	58,299	9,831	76,446	—	9,831	76,451	86,282	1,465	2005/2007	2014
Buttermilk Towne Center	KY	—	13,249	21,103	—	13,249	21,103	34,352	321	2005	2014
Central Plaza	MO	—	10,250	10,900	57 )	10,250	10,852	21,102	1,049	1970	2012
Centre at Woodstock	GA	—	1,880	10,801	53 )	1,987	10,641	12,628	2,713	1997	2004
Clinton Pointe	MI	—	1,175	10,495	41 )	1,175	11,040	12,215	3,117	1992	2003
Clinton Valley	MI	—	1,500	13,498	0,585 )	1,625	23,958	25,583	9,793	1977/1985	1996
Cocoa Commons	MI	—	2,188	7,613	(9 )	2,188	7,604	9,792	441	2001/2008	2013
Conyers Crossing	GA	—	729	6,562	609 )	729	7,171	7,900	2,830	1978	1998
Coral Creek Shops	FL	—	1,565	14,083	326 )	1,572	14,904	16,476	4,618	1992	2002
Crossroads Centre	OH	3,618	5,800	20,709	9,507 )	4,904	25,112	30,016	9,773	2001	2001
Cypress Point	FL	—	2,968	17,632	269 )	2,968	17,906	20,874	1,014	1983	2013
Deer Creek Shopping Center	MO	—	6,070	18,103	32 )	6,070	18,187	24,257	793	1970's/2013	2013
Deer Grove Centre	IL	—	8,408	8,197	1,117 )	8,408	9,314	17,722	722	1997	2013
Deerfield Towne Center	OH	—	6,868	78,551	(235 )	6,868	78,316	85,184	3,295	2004/2007	2013
East Town Plaza	WI	—	1,768	16,216	1,121 )	1,768	19,337	21,105	6,328	1992	2000
Fairlane Meadows	MI	—	3,255	17,626	1,127 )	3,260	22,742	26,002	6,030	1987/2007	2003/2005
	CO	—	20,910	80,600	—	20,910	80,600	101,510	919	2008	2014

Edgar Filing: RAMCO GERSHENSON PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-K

Front Range Village Gaines Marketplace	MI	—	226	6,782	4,225	3,646	7,587	11,233	1,826	2004	2004
Harvest Junction North Harvest Junction South Heritage Place	CO	—	8,254	25,232	1,602	7,344	27,744	35,088	1,934	2006	2012
Holcomb Center	CO	—	6,241	22,856	257	6,241	23,113	29,354	1,824	2006	2012
Hoover Eleven	MO	—	13,899	22,506	1,071	13,899	23,577	37,476	3,246	1989	2011
Horizon Village	GA	—	658	5,953	10,079	658	16,032	16,690	5,380	1986	1996
Hunters Square	MI	—	3,308	29,778	1,576	3,304	34,358	37,662	9,438	1989	2003
Jackson Crossing	GA	—	1,133	10,200	61	1,143	10,351	11,494	3,743	1996	2002
Jackson West	MI	—	7,673	52,774	689	7,652	53,484	61,136	2,936	1988	2013
Lakeland Park Center	MI	23,482	2,249	20,237	7,718	2,249	37,955	40,204	14,670	1967	1996
Lakeshore Marketplace	MI	16,152	2,806	6,270	6,261	2,691	12,646	15,337	5,329	1996	1996
Liberty Square	FL	—	15,365	—	33,371	15,365	33,371	48,736	272	2014	2014
Livonia Plaza	MI	—	2,018	18,114	5,415	3,402	22,145	25,547	5,866	1996	2003
Marketplace of Delray	IL	—	2,670	11,862	38	2,670	11,900	14,570	1,793	1987	2010
Merchants' Square	MI	—	1,317	11,786	421	1,317	12,207	13,524	3,534	1988	2003
	FL	—	7,922	18,910	1,037	7,922	19,947	27,869	1,270	1981/2010	2013
	IN	—	4,997	18,346	199	4,997	18,147	23,144	3,407	1970	2010

F-34

Property	Location	Encumbrances	INITIAL COST TO COMPANY		Capitalized GROSS AMOUNTS AT WHICH Subsequent CARRIED AT CLOSE OF PERIOD		Building & Total Improvements	Accumulated Depreciation	Date Constructed	
			Land	Building & Improvements	Land	Improvements				
Mission Bay Mount Prospect Plaza	FL	—	33,975	48,159	2,658	33,975	50,817	84,792	2,890	1989
Nagawaukee Shopping Center	WI	8,448	7,549	30,898	156	7,549	31,054	38,603	1,913	1994/2004
New Towne Plaza	MI	18,621	817	7,354	5,919	817	13,273	14,090	6,136	1975
Oak Brook Square	MI	—	955	8,591	5,930	955	14,521	15,476	5,727	1982
Parkway Shops	FL	—	3,145	—	17,877	3,145	17,877	21,022	762	2013
Promenade at Pleasant Hill	GA	—	3,891	22,520	4,007	3,440	26,978	30,418	6,020	1993
River City Marketplace	FL	110,000	19,768	73,859	8,605	11,140	91,092	102,232	21,193	2005
River Crossing Centre	FL	—	728	6,459	53	728	6,512	7,240	1,871	1998
Rivertowne Square	FL	—	954	8,587	1,804	954	10,391	11,345	3,345	1980
Roseville Towne Center	MI	—	1,403	13,195	3,445	582	17,461	18,043	6,321	1963
Rossford Pointe	OH	—	796	3,087	1,762	797	4,848	5,645	1,301	2006
Shoppes of Lakeland	FL	—	5,503	20,236	929	5,503	21,165	26,668	1,436	1985
Shops at Old Orchard	MI	—	2,864	16,698	149	2,864	16,847	19,711	890	1972/2011
Southfield Plaza	MI	—	1,121	10,777	782	1,121	11,559	12,680	6,172	1969
Spring Meadows Place <sup>(1)</sup>	OH	28,731	2,646	16,758	5,611	2,637	22,378	25,015	8,312	1987
Tel-Twelve	MI	—	3,819	43,181	32,197	3,819	75,378	79,197	31,108	1968
The Crossroads	FL	—	1,850	16,650	708	1,857	17,351	19,208	5,413	1988
The Shoppes at Fox River	WI	—	8,534	26,227	5,928	9,750	30,939	40,689	3,636	2009

Edgar Filing: RAMCO GERSHENSON PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-K

The Town Center at Aquia Office Building	VA	13,827	—	—	14,366	4,615	9,751	14,366	2,680	2009
Town & Country Crossing	MO	—	8,395	26,465	2,224	8,395	28,689	37,084	2,789	2008
Treasure Coast Commons	FL	7,856	2,924	10,644	(2,024 )	2,924	8,620	11,544	629	1996
Troy Marketplace	MI	20,941	4,581	19,041	110	4,581	19,151	23,732	1,169	2000/2010
Troy Marketplace II	MI	—	3,790	10,292	468	3,790	10,760	14,550	885	2000/2010
Troy Towne Center	OH	—	930	8,372	(488 )	813	8,001	8,814	3,610	1990
Village Lakes Shopping Center	FL	—	862	7,768	5,883	862	13,651	14,513	4,190	1987
Village Plaza	FL	8,698	2,531	12,688	409	2,531	13,097	15,628	618	1989
Vista Plaza	FL	10,376	3,667	16,769	231	3,667	17,000	20,667	903	1998
West Broward	FL	—	5,339	11,521	75	5,339	11,596	16,935	681	1965
West Allis Towne Centre	WI	—	1,866	16,789	13,850	1,866	30,639	32,505	10,529	1987
West Oaks I	MI	25,669	—	6,304	13,584	1,768	18,120	19,888	7,086	1979
West Oaks II (2)	MI	—	1,391	12,519	7,350	1,391	19,869	21,260	8,162	1986
Winchester Center	MI	—	5,667	18,559	518	5,667	19,077	24,744	1,221	1980
Woodbury Lakes	MN	—	10,411	55,635	96	10,411	55,731	66,142	1,028	2005
Land Available for Future Development (3)	Various		28,266	14,026	(14,843 )	27,167	282	27,449	—	N/A
Land Available for Sale (4)	Various		10,931	27,252	(16,471 )	17,449	4,263	21,712	1,434	N/A
<b>TOTALS</b>		<b>\$354,714</b>	<b>\$398,356</b>	<b>\$1,380,404</b>	<b>\$229,927</b>	<b>\$394,681</b>	<b>\$1,614,006</b>	<b>\$2,008,687</b>	<b>\$287,177</b>	

(1) The property's mortgage loan is cross-collateralized with West Oaks II.

(2) The property's mortgage loan is cross-collateralized with a portion of Spring Meadows Place.

(3) Primarily in Hartland, MI, Lakeland, FL and Jacksonville, FL.

(4) Primarily in Stafford County, VA and Hartland, MI. Includes portion of retail and office outparcels with depreciable assets.





## SCHEDULE III

## REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

December 31, 2014

	Year ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
	(In thousands)		
Reconciliation of total real estate carrying value:			
Balance at beginning of year	\$1,727,191	\$1,217,712	\$1,084,457
Additions during period:			
Acquisition	289,340	530,697	138,971
Improvements	70,982	38,613	27,527
Deductions during period:			
Cost of real estate sold/written off	(50,961	) (50,162	) (28,941
Impairment	(27,865	) (9,669	) (4,302
Balance at end of year	\$2,008,687	\$1,727,191	\$1,217,712
Reconciliation of accumulated depreciation:			
Balance at beginning of year	\$253,292	\$237,462	\$222,722
Depreciation Expense	50,081	39,469	25,059
Cost of real estate sold/written off	(16,196	) (23,639	) (10,319
Balance at end of year	\$287,177	\$253,292	\$237,462
Aggregate cost for federal income tax purposes	\$2,115,287	\$1,781,084	\$1,210,358

F-36