

OHIO VALLEY BANC CORP
Form 10-Q
November 10, 2014

United States
Securities and Exchange Commission
Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2014

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 0-20914

OHIO VALLEY BANC CORP.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Ohio
(State of Incorporation)

31-1359191
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

420 Third Avenue
Gallipolis, Ohio
(Address of principal executive offices)

45631
(ZIP Code)

(740) 446-2631
(Issuer's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate web site, if any, every Interactive Data file required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Edgar Filing: OHIO VALLEY BANC CORP - Form 10-Q

Large accelerated filer	<input type="radio"/>	Accelerated filer	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Non-accelerated filer	<input type="radio"/>	Smaller reporting company	<input type="radio"/>

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The number of common shares of the registrant outstanding as of November 7, 2014 was 4,098,753.

OHIO VALLEY BANC CORP.
Index

		Page Number
PART I.	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Financial Statements (Unaudited)	
	Consolidated Balance Sheets	3
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income	4
	Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income	5
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity	6
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	7
	Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements	8
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	26
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	37
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	37
PART II.	OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	38
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	38
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	38
Item 3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities	39
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	39
Item 5.	Other Information	39
Item 6.	Exhibits	39
	Signatures	40
	Exhibit Index	41

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

OHIO VALLEY BANC CORP.
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(dollars in thousands, except share data)

	September 30, 2014 UNAUDITED	December 31, 2013
ASSETS		
Cash and noninterest-bearing deposits with banks	\$ 10,353	\$9,841
Interest-bearing deposits with banks	29,323	18,503
Total cash and cash equivalents	39,676	28,344
Certificates of deposits in financial institutions	980	----
Securities available for sale	80,748	84,068
Securities held to maturity (estimated fair value: 2014 - \$23,570; 2013 - \$22,984)	22,970	22,826
Federal Home Loan Bank and Federal Reserve Bank stock	6,576	7,776
Total loans	586,871	566,319
Less: Allowance for loan losses	(6,964)	(6,155)
Net loans	579,907	560,164
Premises and equipment, net	9,217	9,005
Other real estate owned	1,749	1,354
Accrued interest receivable	1,991	1,901
Goodwill	1,267	1,267
Bank owned life insurance and annuity assets	25,434	24,940
Other assets	6,662	5,723
Total assets	\$ 777,177	\$747,368
LIABILITIES		
Noninterest-bearing deposits	\$ 151,374	\$149,823
Interest-bearing deposits	494,197	479,054
Total deposits	645,571	628,877
Other borrowed funds	24,137	18,748
Subordinated debentures	8,500	8,500
Accrued liabilities	13,006	10,824
Total liabilities	691,214	666,949
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES (See Note 5)	----	----
SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Common stock (\$1.00 stated value per share, 10,000,000 shares)	4,758	4,758

authorized; 4,758,492 shares issued)		
Additional paid-in capital	34,883	34,883
Retained earnings	61,308	56,241
Accumulated other comprehensive income	726	249
Treasury stock, at cost (659,739 shares)	(15,712)	(15,712)
Total shareholders' equity	85,963	80,419
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 777,177	\$ 747,368

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

OHIO VALLEY BANC CORP.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (UNAUDITED)
(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Interest and dividend income:				
Loans, including fees	\$8,252	\$8,174	\$25,289	\$25,260
Securities				
Taxable	429	330	1,280	945
Tax exempt	140	140	415	429
Dividends	73	88	239	236
Other Interest	10	16	114	122
	8,904	8,748	27,337	26,992
Interest expense:				
Deposits	535	666	1,686	2,294
Other borrowed funds	120	110	351	283
Subordinated debentures	41	42	123	223
	696	818	2,160	2,800
Net interest income	8,208	7,930	25,177	24,192
Provision for loan losses	(682)	833	1,198	675
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	8,890	7,097	23,979	23,517
Noninterest income:				
Service charges on deposit accounts	436	472	1,222	1,340
Trust fees	55	56	169	158
Income from bank owned life insurance and annuity assets	164	171	494	974
Mortgage banking income	66	85	181	331
Electronic refund check / deposit fees	11	21	3,073	2,532
Debit / credit card interchange income	555	502	1,607	1,447
Gain (loss) on other real estate owned	10	(6)	2	(46)
Gain on sale of ProAlliance Corporation	675	----	810	----
Other	134	273	578	743
	2,106	1,574	8,136	7,479
Noninterest expense:				
Salaries and employee benefits	4,213	4,326	12,825	13,132
Occupancy	390	418	1,179	1,199
Furniture and equipment	227	230	559	664
FDIC insurance	121	114	361	375
Data processing	310	276	924	838
Foreclosed assets	28	51	130	390
Other	1,955	1,905	5,558	5,987
	7,244	7,320	21,536	22,585
Income before income taxes	3,752	1,351	10,579	8,411
Provision for income taxes	1,010	290	2,929	2,185

NET INCOME	\$2,742	\$1,061	\$7,650	\$6,226
Earnings per share	\$.67	\$.26	\$1.87	\$1.53

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

OHIO VALLEY BANC CORP.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (UNAUDITED)
(dollars in thousands)

	Three months ended		Nine months ended	
	September 30, 2014	2013	September 30, 2014	2013
Net Income	\$2,742	\$1,061	\$7,650	\$6,226
Other comprehensive income (loss):				
Change in unrealized gain on available for sale securities	(440)	1,056	722	(1,345)
Related tax (expense) benefit	149	(359)	(245)	457
Total other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	(291)	697	477	(888)
Total comprehensive income	\$2,451	\$1,758	\$8,127	\$5,338

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

OHIO VALLEY BANC CORP.
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES
 IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY (UNAUDITED)
 (dollars in thousands, except share and per share data)

	Three months ended		Nine months ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Balance at beginning of period	\$84,373	\$78,141	\$80,419	\$75,820
Net income	2,742	1,061	7,650	6,226
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	(291)	697	477	(888)
Cash dividends	(861)	(853)	(2,583)	(2,112)
Balance at end of period	\$85,963	\$79,046	\$85,963	\$79,046
Cash dividends per share	\$.21	\$.21	\$.63	\$.52

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

OHIO VALLEY BANC CORP.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF
CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED)
(dollars in thousands)

	Nine months ended September 30,	
	2014	2013
Net cash provided by operating activities:	\$10,419	\$10,706
Investing activities:		
Proceeds from maturities of securities available for sale	11,549	20,745
Purchases of securities available for sale	(8,041)	(17,105)
Proceeds from maturities of securities held to maturity	694	1,329
Purchases of securities held to maturity	(885)	(1,196)
Purchase of certificates of deposits in financial institutions	(980)	----
Purchase of Federal Reserve Bank stock	----	(1,495)
Redemptions of Federal Home Loan Bank stock	1,200	----
Net change in loans	(21,682)	1,522
Proceeds from sale of other real estate owned	348	1,062
Purchases of premises and equipment	(790)	(929)
Proceeds from bank owned life insurance	----	1,249
Net cash provided by investing activities	(18,587)	5,182
Financing activities:		
Change in deposits	16,694	(26,231)
Cash dividends	(2,583)	(2,112)
Repayment of subordinated debentures	----	(5,000)
Proceeds from Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings	6,633	5,853
Repayment of Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings	(1,294)	(1,155)
Change in other short-term borrowings	50	3
Net cash used in financing activities	19,500	(28,642)
Change in cash and cash equivalents	11,332	(12,754)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	28,344	45,651
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$39,676	\$32,897
Supplemental disclosure:		
Cash paid for interest	\$2,238	\$3,316
Cash paid for income taxes	3,192	2,475
Transfers from loans to other real estate owned	740	239
Other real estate owned sales financed by the Bank	140	416

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

NOTE 1- SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

BASIS OF PRESENTATION: The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Ohio Valley Banc Corp. (“Ohio Valley”) and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, The Ohio Valley Bank Company (the “Bank”), Loan Central, Inc. (“Loan Central”), a consumer finance company, Ohio Valley Financial Services Agency, LLC (“Ohio Valley Financial Services”), an insurance agency, and OVBC Captive, Inc. (“the Captive”), a pure captive insurance company. Ohio Valley and its subsidiaries are collectively referred to as the “Company”. All material intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

The Captive began operations in July 2014, in the State of Nevada. The Captive is a pure captive insurance company as defined by the Nevada Revised Statutes, Chapter 694C, and is engaged in the business of providing commercial property and various liability insurance to the Company and related entities. The Captive elected to be taxed under Section 831(b) of the Internal Revenue Code. Pursuant to Section 831(b), if gross premiums do not exceed \$1,200, then the Captive is taxable solely on its investment income. The Captive will be included in the consolidated federal income tax return of the Company. The Captive has a policy to pay to the Company its federal income tax liability or receive a credit for the tax reduction realized in the event of a loss. The Captive is subject to federal income taxes and state premium taxes to Nevada.

These interim financial statements are prepared by the Company without audit and reflect all adjustments of a normal recurring nature which, in the opinion of management, are necessary to present fairly the consolidated financial position of the Company at September 30, 2014, and its results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented. The results of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 are not necessarily indicative of the operating results to be anticipated for the full fiscal year ending December 31, 2014. The accompanying consolidated financial statements do not purport to contain all the necessary financial disclosures required by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (“US GAAP”) that might otherwise be necessary in the circumstances. The Annual Report of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2013 contains consolidated financial statements and related notes which should be read in conjunction with the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

As previously reported, the Internal Revenue Service has proposed that Loan Central, as a tax return preparer, be assessed a penalty for allegedly negotiating or endorsing checks issued by the U.S. Treasury to taxpayers. The penalty would amount to approximately \$1,200. Loan Central appealed this matter within the Internal Revenue Service. Loan Central was recently notified that the Appeals Office will not concede the penalty, and the penalty will be assessed. The Company may have to resolve the matter through the judicial system. Based on consultation with legal counsel, management remains confident that it is highly unlikely that the penalty recommendation will be sustained. Therefore, the Company did not recognize any interest and/or penalties related to this matter for the periods presented.

The consolidated financial statements for 2013 have been reclassified to conform to the presentation for 2014. These reclassifications had no effect on the net results of operations or shareholders’ equity.

USE OF ESTIMATES IN THE PREPARATION OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS: The accounting and reporting policies followed by the Company conform to US GAAP. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with US GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Areas involving the use of management’s estimates and assumptions that are more

susceptible to change in the near term involve the allowance for loan losses, mortgage servicing rights, deferred tax assets, the fair value of certain securities, the fair value of financial instruments and the determination and carrying value of impaired loans and other real estate owned.

8

INDUSTRY SEGMENT INFORMATION: Internal financial information is primarily reported and aggregated in two lines of business, banking and consumer finance.

EARNINGS PER SHARE: Earnings per share are computed based on net income divided by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. The weighted average common shares outstanding were 4,098,753 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, and 4,062,204 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013. Ohio Valley had no dilutive effect and no potential common shares issuable under stock options or other agreements for any period presented.

NOTE 2 – FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

Fair value is the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. There are three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair values:

Level 1: Quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets that the entity has the ability to access as of the measurement date.

Level 2: Significant other observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities, quoted prices in markets that are not active, or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3: Significant unobservable inputs that reflect a company's own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability.

The following is a description of the Company's valuation methodologies used to measure and disclose the fair values of its financial assets and liabilities on a recurring or nonrecurring basis:

Securities: The fair values for securities are determined by quoted market prices, if available (Level 1). For securities where quoted prices are not available, fair values are calculated based on market prices of similar securities (Level 2). For securities where quoted prices or market prices of similar securities are not available, fair values are calculated using discounted cash flows or other market indicators (Level 3). During times when trading is more liquid, broker quotes are used (if available) to validate the model. Rating agency and industry research reports as well as defaults and deferrals on individual securities are reviewed and incorporated into the calculations.

Impaired Loans: At the time a loan is considered impaired, it is valued at the lower of cost or fair value. Impaired loans carried at fair value generally receive specific allocations of the allowance for loan losses. For collateral dependent loans, fair value is commonly based on recent real estate appraisals. These appraisals may utilize a single valuation approach or a combination of approaches including comparable sales and the income approach. Adjustments are routinely made in the appraisal process by the independent appraisers to adjust for differences between the comparable sales and income data available. Such adjustments are usually significant and typically result in a Level 3 classification of the inputs for determining fair value. Non-real estate collateral may be valued using an appraisal, net book value per the borrower's financial statements, or aging reports, adjusted or discounted based on management's historical knowledge, changes in market conditions from the time of the valuation, and management's expertise and knowledge of the client and client's business, resulting in a Level 3 fair value classification. Impaired loans are evaluated on a quarterly basis for additional impairment and adjusted accordingly.

Other Real Estate Owned: Assets acquired through or instead of loan foreclosure are initially recorded at fair value less costs to sell when acquired, establishing a new cost basis. These assets are subsequently accounted for at lower of

cost or fair value less estimated costs to sell. Fair value is commonly based on recent real estate appraisals. These appraisals may utilize a single valuation approach or a combination of approaches including comparable sales and the income approach. Adjustments are routinely made in the appraisal process by the independent appraisers to adjust for differences between the comparable sales and income data available. Such adjustments are usually significant and typically result in a Level 3 classification of the inputs for determining fair value.

Appraisals for both collateral-dependent impaired loans and other real estate owned are performed by certified general appraisers (for commercial properties) or certified residential appraisers (for residential properties) whose qualifications and licenses have been reviewed and verified by the Company. Once received, a member of management reviews the assumptions and approaches utilized in the appraisal as well as the overall resulting fair value in comparison with management's own assumptions of fair value based on factors that include recent market data or industry-wide statistics. On an as-needed basis, the Company reviews the fair value of collateral, taking into consideration current market data, as well as all selling costs that typically approximate 10%.

Assets and Liabilities Measured on a Recurring Basis

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis are summarized below:

	Fair Value Measurements at September 30, 2014, Using		
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Assets:			
U.S. Government sponsored entity securities	----	\$8,918	----
Agency mortgage-backed securities, residential	----	71,830	----

	Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2013, Using		
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Assets:			
U.S. Government sponsored entity securities	----	\$8,852	----
Agency mortgage-backed securities, residential	----	75,216	----

There were no transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 during 2014 or 2013.

Assets and Liabilities Measured on a Nonrecurring Basis

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis are summarized below:

	Fair Value Measurements at September 30, 2014, Using		
	Quoted Prices in Active	Significant Other Observable	Significant Unobservable Inputs

Edgar Filing: OHIO VALLEY BANC CORP - Form 10-Q

	Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Inputs (Level 2)	(Level 3)
Assets:			
Impaired loans:			
Commercial real estate:			
Nonowner-occupied	----	----	\$ 2,625
Commercial and industrial	----	----	2,491
Other real estate owned:			
Commercial real estate:			
Construction	----	----	1,058

	Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2013, Using		
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Assets:			
Impaired loans:			
Residential real estate	----	----	\$ 234
Commercial real estate:			
Owner-occupied	----	----	133
Nonowner-occupied	----	----	1,973
Commercial and industrial	----	----	2,863
Other real estate owned:			
Commercial real estate:			
Construction	----	----	1,058

At September 30, 2014, the recorded investment of impaired loans measured for impairment using the fair value of collateral for collateral-dependent loans totaled \$6,684, with a corresponding valuation allowance of \$1,568, resulting in a decrease of \$533 and \$680 in provision expense during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, with no additional charge-offs recognized. At December 31, 2013, the recorded investment of impaired loans measured for impairment using the fair value of collateral for collateral-dependent loans totaled \$7,701, with a corresponding valuation allowance of \$2,498, resulting in an increase of \$519 in additional provision expense during the year ended December 31, 2013, with no additional charge-offs recognized.

Other real estate owned that was measured at fair value less costs to sell at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 had a net carrying amount of \$1,058, which is made up of the outstanding balance of \$2,217, net of a valuation allowance of \$1,159 at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013. There were no corresponding write-downs during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014. There were \$504 in corresponding write-downs during 2013.

The following table presents quantitative information about Level 3 fair value measurements for financial instruments measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

September 30, 2014	Fair Value	Valuation Technique(s)	Unobservable Input(s)	Range	(Weighted Average)
Impaired loans:					
Commercial real estate:					
Nonowner-occupied	\$ 2,625	Income approach	Capitalization Rate	6.5%	6.5%
Commercial and industrial	2,491	Sales approach	Adjustment to comparables	10% to 30%	20.25%
Other real estate owned:					
Commercial real estate:					
Construction	1,058	Sales approach		5% to 35%	19%

		Adjustment to comparables			
December 31, 2013	Fair Value	Valuation Technique(s)	Unobservable Input(s)	Range	(Weighted Average)
Impaired loans:					
Commercial real estate:					
Nonowner-occupied	\$ 1,973	Sales approach	Adjustment to comparables	5% to 10%	8%
Commercial and industrial	2,863	Sales approach	Adjustment to comparables	0% to 20%	16%
Other real estate owned:					
Commercial real estate:					
Construction	1,058	Sales approach	Adjustment to comparables	5% to 35%	19%

The carrying amounts and estimated fair values of financial instruments at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 are as follows:

Fair Value Measurements at September 30, 2014
Using:

	Carrying Value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Financial Assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 39,676	\$ 39,676	\$ ----	\$ ----	\$ 39,676
Certificates of deposits in financial institutions	980	----	980	----	980
Securities available for sale	80,748	----	80,748	----	80,748
Securities held to maturity	22,970	----	12,163	11,407	23,570
Federal Home Loan Bank and Federal Reserve Bank stock	6,576	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Loans, net	579,907	----	----	584,194	584,194
Accrued interest receivable	1,991	----	367	1,624	1,991
Financial liabilities:					
Deposits	645,571	151,085	494,426	----	645,511
Other borrowed funds	24,137	----	23,472	----	23,472
Subordinated debentures	8,500	----	4,946	----	4,946
Accrued interest payable	714	3	711	----	714

Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2013
Using:

	Carrying Value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Financial Assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$28,344	\$28,344	\$----	\$----	\$28,344
Securities available for sale	84,068	----	84,068	----	84,068
Securities held to maturity	22,826	----	11,502	11,482	22,984
Federal Home Loan Bank stock	7,776	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Loans, net	560,164	----	----	564,589	564,589
Accrued interest receivable	1,901	----	241	1,660	1,901
Financial liabilities:					
Deposits	628,877	148,847	479,962	----	628,809
Other borrowed funds	18,748	----	17,453	----	17,453
Subordinated debentures	8,500	----	4,896	----	4,896
Accrued interest payable	792	3	789	----	792

The methods and assumptions, not previously presented, used to estimate fair values are described as follows:

Cash and Cash Equivalents: The carrying amounts of cash and short-term instruments approximate fair values and are classified as Level 1.

Certificates of deposit in financial institutions: The carrying amounts of certificates of deposits in financial institutions approximate fair values and are classified as Level 2.

Securities Held to Maturity: The fair values for securities held to maturity are determined in the same manner as securities held for sale and discussed earlier in this note. Level 3 securities consist of nonrated municipal bonds and tax credit (“QZAB”) bonds.

Federal Home Loan Bank and Federal Reserve Bank stock: It is not practical to determine the fair value of both Federal Home Loan Bank and Federal Reserve Bank stock due to restrictions placed on its transferability.

Loans: Fair values of loans are estimated as follows: The fair value of fixed rate loans is estimated by discounting future cash flows using interest rates currently being offered for loans with similar terms to borrowers of similar credit quality resulting in a Level 3 classification. For variable rate loans that reprice frequently and with no significant change in credit risk, fair values are based on carrying values resulting in a Level 3 classification. Impaired loans are valued at the lower of cost or fair value as described previously. The methods utilized to estimate the fair value of loans do not necessarily represent an exit price.

Deposit Liabilities: The fair values disclosed for noninterest-bearing deposits are, by definition, equal to the amount payable on demand at the reporting date (i.e., their carrying amount), resulting in a Level 1 classification. The carrying amounts of variable-rate, fixed-term money market accounts and certificates of deposit approximate their fair values at the reporting date resulting in a Level 2 classification. Fair values for fixed-rate certificates of deposit are estimated using a discounted cash flows calculation that applies interest rates currently being offered on certificates to a schedule of aggregated expected monthly maturities on time deposits, resulting in a Level 2 classification.

Other Borrowed Funds: The carrying values of the Company's short-term borrowings, generally maturing within ninety days, approximate their fair values, resulting in a Level 2 classification. The fair values of the Company's long-term borrowings are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses based on the current borrowing rates for similar types of borrowing arrangements, resulting in a Level 2 classification.

Subordinated Debentures: The fair values of the Company's Subordinated Debentures are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses based on the current borrowing rates for similar types of borrowing arrangements, resulting in a Level 2 classification.

Accrued Interest Receivable and Payable: The carrying amount of accrued interest approximates fair value, resulting in a classification that is consistent with the earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities with which it is associated.

Off-balance Sheet Instruments: Fair values for off-balance sheet, credit-related financial instruments are based on fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the counterparties' credit standing. The fair value of commitments is not material.

Fair value estimates are made at a specific point in time, based on relevant market information and information about the financial instrument. These estimates do not reflect any premium or discount that could result from offering for sale at one time the Company's entire holdings of a particular financial instrument. Because no market exists for a significant portion of the Company's financial instruments, fair value estimates are based on judgments regarding future expected loss experience, current economic conditions, risk characteristics of various financial instruments and other factors. These estimates are subjective in nature and involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment and therefore cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect the estimates.

NOTE 3 – SECURITIES

The following table summarizes the amortized cost and estimated fair value of the available for sale and held to maturity securities portfolios at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 and the corresponding amounts of gross unrealized gains and losses recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income for available for sale securities and gross unrecognized gains and losses for held to maturity securities:

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value
Securities Available for Sale				
September 30, 2014				
U.S. Government sponsored entity securities	\$9,021	\$3	\$(106)	\$8,918
Agency mortgage-backed securities, residential	70,626	1,458	(254)	71,830
Total securities	\$79,647	\$1,461	\$(360)	\$80,748
December 31, 2013				
U.S. Government sponsored entity securities	\$9,028	\$4	\$(180)	\$8,852
Agency mortgage-backed securities, residential	74,661	1,257	(702)	75,216

Total securities	\$83,689	\$1,261	\$(882) \$84,068
------------------	----------	---------	--------	------------

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrecognized Gains	Gross Unrecognized Losses	Estimated Fair Value
Securities Held to Maturity				
September 30, 2014				
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	\$22,960	\$ 820	\$ (220)	\$23,560
Agency mortgage-backed securities, residential	10	----	----	10
Total securities	\$22,970	\$ 820	\$ (220)	\$23,570
December 31, 2013				
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	\$22,814	\$ 579	\$ (421)	\$22,972
Agency mortgage-backed securities, residential	12	----	----	12
Total securities	\$22,826	\$ 579	\$ (421)	\$22,984

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of the securities portfolio at September 30, 2014, by contractual maturity, are shown below. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because certain issuers may have the right to call or prepay the debt obligations prior to their contractual maturities. Securities not due at a single maturity are shown separately.

Debt Securities:	Available for Sale		Held to Maturity	
	Amortized Cost	Estimated Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Estimated Fair Value
Due in one year or less	\$----	\$----	\$----	\$----
Due in over one to five years	9,021	8,918	7,269	7,656
Due in over five to ten years	----	----	9,189	9,321
Due after ten years	----	----	6,502	6,583
Agency mortgage-backed securities, residential	70,626	71,830	10	10
Total debt securities	\$79,647	\$80,748	\$22,970	\$23,570

The following table summarizes the investment securities with unrealized losses at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 by aggregated major security type and length of time in a continuous unrealized loss position:

September 30, 2014	Less Than 12 Months		12 Months or More		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss
Securities Available for Sale						
U.S. Government sponsored						
entity securities	\$----	\$----	\$7,911	\$ (106)	\$7,911	\$ (106)
Agency mortgage-backed securities, residential	7,389	(27)	8,561	(227)	15,950	(254)
Total available for sale	\$7,389	\$ (27)	\$16,472	\$ (333)	\$23,861	\$ (360)
Securities Held to Maturity						
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	\$1,432	\$ (53)	\$2,785	\$ (167)	\$4,217	\$ (220)

Edgar Filing: OHIO VALLEY BANC CORP - Form 10-Q

Total held to maturity	\$1,432	\$ (53)	\$2,785	\$ (167)	\$4,217	\$ (220)
	Less Than 12 Months		12 Months or More			Total
	Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unrealized
	Value	Loss	Value	Loss	Value	Loss
December 31, 2013						
Securities Available for Sale						
U.S. Government sponsored						
entity securities	\$ 7,841	\$ (180)	\$ ----	\$ ----	\$ 7,841	\$ (180)
Agency mortgage-backed securities, residential	25,775	(702)	----	----	25,775	(702)
Total available for sale	\$ 33,616	\$ (882)	\$ ----	\$ ----	\$ 33,616	\$ (882)

	Less Than 12 Months		12 Months or More		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrecognized Loss	Fair Value	Unrecognized Loss	Fair Value	Unrecognized Loss
Securities Held to Maturity						
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	\$6,743	\$ (307)	\$1,142	\$ (114)	\$7,885	\$ (421)
Total held to maturity	\$6,743	\$ (307)	\$1,142	\$ (114)	\$7,885	\$ (421)

Unrealized losses on the Company's debt securities have not been recognized into income because the issuers' securities are of high credit quality, and management does not intend to sell, and it is likely that management will not be required to sell, the securities prior to their anticipated recovery. Management does not believe any individual unrealized loss at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 represents an other-than-temporary impairment.

NOTE 4 – LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES

Loans are comprised of the following:	September 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Residential real estate	\$210,898	\$214,008
Commercial real estate:		
Owner-occupied	93,742	94,586
Nonowner-occupied	67,224	62,108
Construction	29,799	28,972
Commercial and industrial	80,413	64,365
Consumer:		
Automobile	41,745	38,811
Home equity	17,698	17,748
Other	45,352	45,721
	586,871	566,319
Less: Allowance for loan losses	6,964	6,155
Loans, net	\$579,907	\$560,164

The following table presents the activity in the allowance for loan losses by portfolio segment for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013:

September 30, 2014	Residential Real Estate	Commercial Real Estate	Commercial and Industrial	Consumer	Total
Allowance for loan losses:					
Beginning balance	\$1,957	\$3,171	\$1,651	\$1,149	\$7,928
Provision for loan losses	(240)	(551)	(90)	199	(682)
Loans charged off	(157)	(78)	(37)	(363)	(635)
Recoveries	99	3	114	137	353
Total ending allowance balance	\$1,659	\$2,545	\$1,638	\$1,122	\$6,964

September 30, 2013	Residential Real Estate	Commercial Real Estate	Commercial	Consumer	Total
--------------------	-------------------------	------------------------	------------	----------	-------

and
Industrial

Allowance for loan losses:

Beginning balance	\$1,192	\$3,034	\$1,342	\$900	\$6,468
Provision for loan losses	173	313	291	56	833
Loans charged-off	(94)	----	----	(256)	(350)
Recoveries	80	48	14	173	315
Total ending allowance balance	\$1,351	\$3,395	\$1,647	\$873	\$7,266

Edgar Filing: OHIO VALLEY BANC CORP - Form 10-Q

The following table presents the activity in the allowance for loan losses by portfolio segment for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013:

September 30, 2014	Residential Real Estate	Commercial Real Estate	Commercial and Industrial	Consumer	Total
Allowance for loan losses:					
Beginning balance	\$ 1,250	\$ 2,807	\$ 1,305	\$ 793	\$ 6,155
Provision for loan losses	511	(100)	83	704	1,198
Loans charged off	(350)	(235)	(41)	(815)	(1,441)
Recoveries	248	73	291	440	1,052
Total ending allowance balance	\$ 1,659	\$ 2,545	\$ 1,638	\$ 1,122	\$ 6,964

September 30, 2013	Residential Real Estate	Commercial Real Estate	Commercial and Industrial	Consumer	Total
Allowance for loan losses:					
Beginning balance	\$ 1,329	\$ 3,946	\$ 783	\$ 847	\$ 6,905
Provision for loan losses	318	(880)	823	414	675
Loans charged-off	(551)	(2)	----	(977)	(1,530)
Recoveries	255	331	41	589	1,216
Total ending allowance balance	\$ 1,351	\$ 3,395	\$ 1,647	\$ 873	\$ 7,266

The following table presents the balance in the allowance for loan losses and the recorded investment of loans by portfolio segment and based on impairment method as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

September 30, 2014	Residential Real Estate	Commercial Real Estate	Commercial and Industrial	Consumer	Total
Allowance for loan losses:					
Ending allowance balance attributable to loans:					
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 115	\$ 670	\$ 898	\$ 5	\$ 1,688
Collectively evaluated for impairment	1,544	1,875	740	1,117	5,276
Total ending allowance balance	\$ 1,659	\$ 2,545	\$ 1,638	\$ 1,122	\$ 6,964
Loans:					
Loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 1,829	\$ 11,840	\$ 5,612	\$ 218	\$ 19,499
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	209,069	178,925	74,801	104,577	567,372
Total ending loans balance	\$ 210,898	\$ 190,765	\$ 80,413	\$ 104,795	\$ 586,871

December 31, 2013	Residential Real Estate	Commercial Real Estate	Commercial and Industrial	Consumer	Total
Allowance for loan losses:					

Ending allowance balance attributable to
loans:

Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 213	\$ 1,541	\$ 864	\$ 7	\$ 2,625
Collectively evaluated for impairment	1,037	1,266	441	786	3,530
Total ending allowance balance	\$ 1,250	\$ 2,807	\$ 1,305	\$ 793	\$ 6,155

Loans:

Loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 1,438	\$ 10,382	\$ 2,658	\$ 218	\$ 14,696
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment	212,570	175,284	61,707	102,062	551,623
Total ending loans balance	\$ 214,008	\$ 185,666	\$ 64,365	\$ 102,280	\$ 566,319

The following tables present information related to loans individually evaluated for impairment by class of loans as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013:

	Unpaid Principal Balance	Recorded Investment	Allowance for Loan Losses Allocated
September 30, 2014			
With an allowance recorded:			
Residential real estate	\$411	\$411	\$115
Commercial real estate:			
Nonowner-occupied	3,295	3,295	670
Commercial and industrial	2,309	2,309	898
Consumer:			
Home equity	218	218	5
With no related allowance recorded:			
Residential real estate	1,418	1,418	----
Commercial real estate:			
Owner-occupied	3,491	2,944	----
Nonowner-occupied	6,201	5,601	----
Commercial and industrial	4,056	3,303	----
Total	\$21,399	\$19,499	\$1,688

	Unpaid Principal Balance	Recorded Investment	Allowance for Loan Losses Allocated
December 31, 2013			
With an allowance recorded:			
Residential real estate	\$672	\$672	\$213
Commercial real estate:			
Owner-occupied	290	290	157
Nonowner-occupied	3,357	3,357	1,384
Commercial and industrial	2,658	2,658	864
Consumer:			
Home equity	218	218	7
With no related allowance recorded:			
Residential real estate	766	766	----
Commercial real estate:			
Owner-occupied	1,539	992	----
Nonowner-occupied	6,343	5,743	----
Commercial and industrial	412	----	----
Total	\$16,255	\$14,696	\$2,625

	Three months ended September 30, 2014			Nine months ended September 30, 2014		
	Average Impaired Loans	Interest Income Recognized	Cash Basis Interest Recognized	Average Impaired Loans	Interest Income Recognized	Cash Basis Interest Recognized
With an allowance recorded:						

Edgar Filing: OHIO VALLEY BANC CORP - Form 10-Q

Residential real estate	\$ 412	\$ 5	\$ 5	\$ 416	\$ 22	\$ 22
Commercial real estate:						
Nonowner-occupied	3,299	18	18	3,321	92	92
Commercial and industrial	2,299	26	26	2,462	83	83
Consumer:						
Home equity	218	1	1	218	5	5
With no related allowance recorded:						
Residential real estate	971	36	36	749	50	50
Commercial real estate:						
Owner-occupied	2,364	61	61	1,678	91	91
Nonowner-occupied	5,620	76	76	5,669	227	227
Commercial and industrial	3,462	71	71	1,731	150	150
Total	\$ 18,645	\$ 294	\$ 294	\$ 16,244	\$ 720	\$ 720

Edgar Filing: OHIO VALLEY BANC CORP - Form 10-Q

	Three months ended September 30, 2014			Nine months ended September 30, 2014		
	Average Impaired Loans	Interest Income Recognized	Cash Basis Interest Recognized	Average Impaired Loans	Interest Income Recognized	Cash Basis Interest Recognized
With an allowance recorded:						
Residential real estate	\$ 552	\$ 17	\$ 17	\$ 487	\$ 25	\$ 25
Commercial real estate:						
Owner-occupied	145	----	----	73	----	----
Nonowner-occupied	3,422	41	41	3,439	94	94
Commercial and industrial	3,259	39	39	1,629	107	107
Consumer:						
Home equity	109	8	8	54	8	8
With no related allowance recorded:						
Residential real estate	611	12	12	544	25	25
Commercial real estate:						
Owner-occupied	1,479	9	9	1,391	31	31
Nonowner-occupied	5,974	81	81	6,513	267	267
Consumer:						
Home equity	----	----	----	----	3	3
Total	\$ 15,551	\$ 207	\$ 207	\$ 14,130	\$ 560	\$ 560

The recorded investment of a loan is its carrying value excluding accrued interest and deferred loan fees.

Nonaccrual loans and loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing include both smaller balance homogenous loans that are collectively evaluated for impairment and individually classified as impaired loans.

The following table presents the recorded investment of nonaccrual loans and loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing by class of loans as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

September 30, 2014	Loans Past Due 90 Days And Still Accruing		Nonaccrual
	Accruing	Nonaccrual	
Residential real estate	\$ 129	\$ 3,070	
Commercial real estate:			
Owner-occupied	----	1,426	
Consumer:			
Automobile	8	25	
Home equity	----	43	
Other	----	69	
Total	\$ 137	\$ 4,633	

December 31, 2013	Loans Past Due 90 Days And Still Accruing	Nonaccrual
Residential real estate	\$72	\$2,662
Commercial real estate:		
Owner-occupied	----	799
Nonowner-occupied	----	52
Commercial and industrial	----	21
Consumer:		
Automobile	5	8
Home equity	----	38
Other	1	----
Total	\$78	\$3,580

Edgar Filing: OHIO VALLEY BANC CORP - Form 10-Q

The following table presents the aging of the recorded investment of past due loans by class of loans as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

	30-59 Days Past Due	60-89 Days Past Due	90 Days Or More Past Due	Total Past Due	Loans Not Past Due	Total
September 30, 2014						
Residential real estate	\$1,950	\$1,203	\$3,018	\$6,171	\$204,727	\$210,898
Commercial real estate:						
Owner-occupied	----	209	1,426	1,635	92,107	93,742
Nonowner-occupied	278	----	----	278	66,946	67,224
Construction	1,270	----	----	1,270	28,529	29,799
Commercial and industrial	30	101	----	131	80,282	80,413
Consumer:						
Automobile	490	145	19	654	41,091	41,745
Home equity	74	----	43	117	17,581	17,698
Other	272	152	69	493	44,859	45,352
Total	\$4,364	\$1,810	\$4,575	\$10,749	\$576,122	\$586,871
December 31, 2013						
Residential real estate	\$3,922	\$1,324	\$2,620	\$7,866	\$206,142	\$214,008
Commercial real estate:						
Owner-occupied	206	34	683	923	93,663	94,586
Nonowner-occupied	----	----	52	52	62,056	62,108
Construction	60	----	----	60	28,912	28,972
Commercial and industrial	193	115	21	329	64,036	64,365
Consumer:						
Automobile	638	123	13	774	38,037	38,811
Home equity	----	----	38	38	17,710	17,748
Other	651	38	1	690	45,031	45,721
Total	\$5,670	\$1,634	\$3,428	\$10,732	\$555,587	\$566,319

Troubled Debt Restructurings:

A troubled debt restructuring (“TDR”) occurs when the Company has agreed to a loan modification in the form of a concession for a borrower who is experiencing financial difficulty. All TDR's are considered to be impaired. The modification of the terms of such loans included one or a combination of the following: a reduction of the stated interest rate of the loan; an extension of credit or maturity date at a stated rate of interest lower than the current market rate for new debt with similar risk; a reduction in the contractual principal and interest payments of the loan; or short-term interest-only payment terms.

The Company has allocated reserves for a portion of its TDR's to reflect the fair values of the underlying collateral or the present value of the concessionary terms granted to the customer.

The following table presents the types of TDR loan modifications by class of loans as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

	TDR's Performing to Modified Terms	TDR's Not Performing to Modified Terms	Total TDR's
September 30, 2014			
Residential real estate			
Interest only payments	\$523	\$----	\$523
Rate reduction	411	----	411
Commercial real estate:			
Owner-occupied			
Rate reduction	----	249	249
Maturity extension at lower stated rate than market rate	1,062	----	1,062
Nonowner-occupied			
Interest only payments	8,263	----	8,263
Reduction of principal and interest payments	633	----	633
Commercial and industrial			
Interest only payments	5,217	----	5,217
Credit extension at lower stated rate than market rate	395	----	395
Consumer:			
Home equity			
Maturity extension at lower stated rate than market rate	218	----	218
Total TDR's	\$16,722	\$249	\$16,971
	TDR's Performing to Modified Terms	TDR's Not Performing to Modified Terms	Total TDR's
December 31, 2013			
Residential real estate			
Interest only payments	\$527	\$----	\$527
Rate reduction	420	----	420
Commercial real estate:			
Owner-occupied			
Rate reduction	----	259	259
Maturity extension at lower stated rate than market rate	271	----	271
Nonowner-occupied			
Interest only payments	8,450	----	8,450
Reduction of principal and interest payments	650	----	650
Commercial and industrial			
Interest only payments	1,811	----	1,811
Consumer:			
Home equity			

Maturity extension at lower stated rate than market rate	218	----	218
Total TDR's	\$12,347	\$259	\$12,606

During the nine months ended September 30, 2014, the TDR's described above decreased the provision expense and the allowance for loan losses by \$687 with no corresponding charge-offs. During the year ended December 31, 2013, the TDR's described above decreased the allowance for loan losses by \$321 with no corresponding charge-offs. This resulted in a decrease to provision expense of \$871 during the year ended December 31, 2013.

At September 30, 2014, the balance in TDR loans increased \$4,365, or 34.6%, from year-end 2013. The increase was largely due to the modification of four commercial loans totaling \$4,065 at September 30, 2014. During the second quarter of 2014, the contractual terms of two commercial and industrial loans totaling \$3,621 were adjusted to permit short-term, interest-only payments, which created a concession to the borrower. During the second quarter of 2014, the contractual maturity of one commercial real estate loan totaling \$767 was extended at an interest rate lower than the current market rate for new debt with similar risk, which created a concession to the borrower. During the third quarter of 2014, new credit was extended to a commercial borrower totaling \$395 at an interest rate lower than the current market rate for new debt with similar risk, which created a concession to the borrower. At September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, a total of 98% of the Company's TDR's were performing according to their modified terms. The Company allocated \$1,688 and \$2,375 in reserves to customers whose loan terms have been modified in TDR's as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. At September 30, 2014, the Company had \$3,083 in commitments to lend additional amounts to customers with outstanding loans that are classified as TDR's, as compared to \$718 at December 31, 2013.

The following table presents the pre- and post-modification balances of TDR loan modifications by class of loans that occurred during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013:

	TDR's		TDR's Not	
	Performing to Modified		Performing to Modified	
	Terms		Terms	
	Pre-Modification	Post-Modification	Pre-Modification	Post-Modification
	Recorded	Recorded	Recorded	Recorded
	Investment	Investment	Investment	Investment
Nine months ended September 30, 2014				
Commercial real estate:				
Owner-occupied				
Maturity extension at lower stated rate than market rate	\$762	\$ 762	\$----	\$ ----
Commercial and industrial				
Interest only payments	2,908	2,908	----	----
Maturity extension at lower stated rate than market rate	395	395	----	----
Total TDR's	\$4,065	\$ 4,065	\$----	\$ ----
	TDR's		TDR's Not	
	Performing to Modified		Performing to Modified	
	Terms		Terms	
	Pre-Modification	Post-Modification	Pre-Modification	Post-Modification
	Recorded	Recorded	Recorded	Recorded
	Investment	Investment	Investment	Investment
Nine months ended September 30, 2013				
Residential real estate				
Interest only payments	\$249	\$ 249	\$----	\$ ----
Consumer:				
Home equity				
Maturity extension at lower stated rate than market rate	218	218	----	----

Total TDR's	\$467	\$ 467	\$----	\$ ----
-------------	-------	--------	--------	---------

All of the Company's loans that were restructured during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 were performing in accordance with their modified terms. Furthermore, there were no TDR's described above at September 30, 2014 and 2013 that experienced any payment defaults within twelve months following their loan modification. A default is considered to have occurred once the TDR is past due 90 days or more or it has been placed on nonaccrual. TDR loans are returned to accrual status when all the principal and interest amounts contractually due are brought current and future payments are reasonably assured. The loans modified during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 had no impact on the provision expense or the allowance for loan losses. As of September 30, 2014, the Company had no allocation of reserves to customers whose loan terms were modified during the first nine months of 2014. The loans modified during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 increased provision expense and the allowance for loan losses by \$7. As a result, at September 30, 2013, the Company had an allocation of reserves totaling \$7 to customers whose loan terms had been modified during the first nine months of 2013.

Credit Quality Indicators:

The Company categorizes loans into risk categories based on relevant information about the ability of borrowers to service their debt, such as: current financial information, historical payment experience, credit documentation, public information, and current economic trends, among other factors. These risk categories are represented by a loan grading scale from 1 through 10. The Company analyzes loans individually with a higher credit risk rating and groups these loans into categories called "criticized" and "classified" assets. The Company considers its criticized assets to be loans that are graded 8 and its classified assets to be loans that are graded 9 or 10. The Company's risk categories are reviewed at least annually on loans that have aggregate borrowing amounts that meet or exceed \$500.

The Company uses the following definitions for its criticized loan risk ratings:

Special Mention (Loan Grade 8). Loans classified as special mention indicate considerable risk due to deterioration of repayment (in the earliest stages) due to potential weak primary repayment source, or payment delinquency. These loans will be under constant supervision, are not classified and do not expose the institution to sufficient risks to warrant classification. These deficiencies should be correctable within the normal course of business, although significant changes in company structure or policy may be necessary to correct the deficiencies. These loans are considered bankable assets with no apparent loss of principal or interest envisioned. The perceived risk in continued lending is considered to have increased beyond the level where such loans would normally be granted. Credits that are defined as a troubled debt restructuring should be graded no higher than special mention until they have been reported as performing over one year after restructuring.

The Company uses the following definitions for its classified loan risk ratings:

Substandard (Loan Grade 9). Loans classified as substandard represent very high risk, serious delinquency, nonaccrual, or unacceptable credit. Repayment through the primary source of repayment is in jeopardy due to the existence of one or more well defined weaknesses, and the collateral pledged may inadequately protect collection of the loans. Loss of principal is not likely if weaknesses are corrected, although financial statements normally reveal significant weakness. Loans are still considered collectible, although loss of principal is more likely than with special mention loan grade 8 loans. Collateral liquidation is considered likely to satisfy debt.

Doubtful (Loan Grade 10). Loans classified as doubtful display a high probability of loss, although the amount of actual loss at the time of classification is undetermined. This should be a temporary category until such time that actual loss can be identified, or improvements made to reduce the seriousness of the classification. These loans exhibit all substandard characteristics with the addition that weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full highly questionable and improbable. This classification consists of loans where the possibility of loss is high after collateral liquidation based upon existing facts, market conditions, and value. Loss is deferred until certain important and reasonable specific pending factors which may strengthen the credit can be more accurately determined. These factors may include proposed acquisitions, liquidation procedures, capital injection, receipt of additional collateral, mergers, or refinancing plans. A doubtful classification for an entire credit should be avoided when collection of a specific portion appears highly probable with the adequately secured portion graded substandard.

Criticized and classified loans will mostly consist of commercial and industrial and commercial real estate loans. The Company considers its loans that do not meet the criteria for a criticized and classified asset rating as pass rated loans, which will include loans graded from 1 (Prime) to 7 (Watch). All commercial loans are categorized into a risk category either at the time of origination or reevaluation date. As of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, and based on the most recent analysis performed, the risk category of commercial loans by class of loans was as follows:

September 30, 2014	Pass	Criticized	Classified	Total
Commercial real estate:				
Owner-occupied	\$87,614	\$959	\$5,169	\$93,742
Nonowner-occupied	55,325	3,357	8,542	67,224
Construction	29,799	----	----	29,799
Commercial and industrial	73,440	477	6,496	80,413
Total	\$246,178	\$4,793	\$20,207	\$271,178

December 31, 2013	Pass	Criticized	Classified	Total
Commercial real estate:				
Owner-occupied	\$86,497	\$5,310	\$2,779	\$94,586
Nonowner-occupied	51,119	7,107	3,882	62,108
Construction	27,998	----	974	28,972
Commercial and industrial	56,962	4,081	3,322	64,365
Total	\$222,576	\$16,498	\$10,957	\$250,031

The Company also obtains the credit scores of its borrowers upon origination (if available by the credit bureau), but the scores are not updated. The Company focuses mostly on the performance and repayment ability of the borrower as an indicator of credit risk and does not consider a borrower's credit score to be a significant influence in the determination of a loan's credit risk grading.

For residential and consumer loan classes, the Company evaluates credit quality based on the aging status of the loan, which was previously presented, and by payment activity. The following table presents the recorded investment of residential and consumer loans by class of loans based on repayment activity as of September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

September 30, 2014	Automobile	Consumer Home Equity	Other	Residential Real Estate	Total
Performing	\$41,712	\$17,655	\$45,283	\$207,699	\$312,349
Nonperforming	33	43	69	3,199	3,344
Total	\$41,745	\$17,698	\$45,352	\$210,898	\$315,693

December 31, 2013	Automobile	Consumer Home Equity	Other	Residential Real Estate	Total
Performing	\$38,798	\$17,710	\$45,720	\$211,274	\$313,502
Nonperforming	13	38	1	2,734	2,786
Total	\$38,811	\$17,748	\$45,721	\$214,008	\$316,288

The Company, through its subsidiaries, originates residential, consumer, and commercial loans to customers located primarily in the southeastern areas of Ohio as well as the western counties of West Virginia. Approximately 5.67% of total loans were unsecured at September 30, 2014, up from 5.13% at December 31, 2013.

NOTE 5 - FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS WITH OFF-BALANCE SHEET RISK

The Bank is a party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit, standby letters of credit and financial guarantees. The Bank's exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other party to the financial instrument for commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit, and financial guarantees written, is represented by the contractual amount of those instruments. The contract amounts of these instruments are not included in the consolidated financial statements. At September 30, 2014, the contract amounts of these instruments totaled approximately \$56,157, compared to \$67,465 at December 31, 2013. The Bank uses the same credit policies in making commitments and conditional obligations as it does for instruments recorded on the balance sheet. Since many of these instruments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total contract amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements.

NOTE 6 - OTHER BORROWED FUNDS

Other borrowed funds at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 were comprised of advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB") of Cincinnati and promissory notes.

	FHLB Borrowings	Promissory Notes	Totals
September 30, 2014	\$ 20,558	\$ 3,579	\$ 24,137
December 31, 2013	\$ 15,219	\$ 3,529	\$ 18,748

Pursuant to collateral agreements with the FHLB, advances were secured by \$200,821 in qualifying mortgage loans, \$91,270 in commercial loans and \$5,081 in FHLB stock at September 30, 2014. Fixed-rate FHLB advances of \$20,558 mature through 2042 and have interest rates ranging from 1.53% to 3.31% and a year-to-date weighted average cost of 2.18%. There were no variable-rate FHLB borrowings at September 30, 2014.

At September 30, 2014, the Company had a cash management line of credit enabling it to borrow up to \$75,000 from the FHLB. All cash management advances have an original maturity of 90 days. The line of credit must be renewed on an annual basis. There was \$75,000 available on this line of credit at September 30, 2014.

Based on the Company's current FHLB stock ownership, total assets and pledgeable loans, the Company had the ability to obtain borrowings from the FHLB up to a maximum of \$204,460 at September 30, 2014. Of this maximum borrowing capacity, the Company had \$153,502 available to use as additional borrowings, of which \$75,000 could be used for short-term, cash management advances, as mentioned above.

Promissory notes, issued primarily by Ohio Valley, have fixed rates of 1.15% to 5.00% and are due at various dates through a final maturity date of December 3, 2015. At September 30, 2014, there were no promissory notes payable by Ohio Valley to related parties.

Letters of credit issued on the Bank's behalf by the FHLB to collateralize certain public unit deposits as required by law totaled \$30,400 at September 30, 2014 and \$25,000 at December 31, 2013.

Scheduled principal payments as of September 30, 2014:

	FHLB Borrowings	Promissory Notes	Totals
2014	\$ 425	\$ 2,161	\$ 2,586
2015	1,646	1,418	3,064
2016	1,574	----	1,574
2017	4,512	----	4,512
2018	1,462	----	1,462
Thereafter	10,939	----	10,939
	\$ 20,558	\$ 3,579	\$ 24,137

NOTE 7 – SEGMENT INFORMATION

The reportable segments are determined by the products and services offered, primarily distinguished between banking and consumer finance. They are also distinguished by the level of information provided to the chief operating decision maker, who uses such information to review performance of various components of the business, which are then aggregated if operating performance, products/services, and customers are similar. Loans, investments, and deposits provide the majority of the net revenues from the banking operation, while loans provide the majority of the net revenues for the consumer finance segment. All Company segments are domestic.

Total revenues from the banking segment, which accounted for the majority of the Company's total revenues, totaled 90.2% of total consolidated revenues for both the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

The accounting policies used for the Company's reportable segments are the same as those described in Note 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies. Income taxes are allocated based on income before tax expense.

Information for the Company's reportable segments is as follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2014		
	Banking	Consumer Finance	Total Company
Net interest income	\$7,612	\$596	\$8,208
Provision expense	\$(715)	\$33	\$(682)
Noninterest income	\$2,044	\$62	\$2,106
Noninterest expense	\$6,684	\$560	\$7,244
Tax expense	\$989	\$21	\$1,010
Net income	\$2,698	\$44	\$2,742
Assets	\$764,171	\$13,006	\$777,177

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013		
	Banking	Consumer Finance	Total Company
Net interest income	\$7,294	\$636	\$7,930
Provision expense	\$819	\$14	\$833
Noninterest income	\$1,497	\$77	\$1,574
Noninterest expense	\$6,743	\$577	\$7,320
Tax expense	\$249	\$41	\$290
Net income	\$980	\$81	\$1,061
Assets	\$733,578	\$13,928	\$747,506

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014		
	Banking	Consumer Finance	Total Company
Net interest income	\$22,593	\$2,584	\$25,177
Provision expense	\$1,085	\$113	\$1,198

Edgar Filing: OHIO VALLEY BANC CORP - Form 10-Q

Noninterest income	\$7,332	\$804	\$8,136
Noninterest expense	\$19,657	\$1,879	\$21,536
Tax expense	\$2,457	\$472	\$2,929
Net income	\$6,726	\$924	\$7,650
Assets	\$764,171	\$13,006	\$777,177

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013

	Banking	Consumer Finance	Total Company
Net interest income	\$ 21,641	\$ 2,551	\$ 24,192
Provision expense	\$ 554	\$ 121	\$ 675
Noninterest income	\$ 6,782	\$ 697	\$ 7,479
Noninterest expense	\$ 20,745	\$ 1,840	\$ 22,585
Tax expense	\$ 1,750	\$ 435	\$ 2,185
Net income	\$ 5,374	\$ 852	\$ 6,226
Assets	\$ 733,578	\$ 13,928	\$ 747,506

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

(dollars in thousands, except share and per share data)

Forward Looking Statements

Except for the historical statements and discussions contained herein, statements contained in this report constitute "forward looking statements" within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Act of 1934 and as defined in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Such statements are often, but not always, identified by the use of such words as "believes," "anticipates," "expects," and similar expressions. Such statements involve various important assumptions, risks, uncertainties, and other factors, many of which are beyond our control that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed in such forward looking statements. These factors include, but are not limited to: changes in political, economic or other factors such as inflation rates, recessionary or expansive trends, taxes, the effects of implementation of the Budget Control Act of 2011 and the American Taxpayer Relief Act of 2012 and the continuing economic uncertainty in various parts of the world; competitive pressures; fluctuations in interest rates; the level of defaults and prepayment on loans made by the Company; unanticipated litigation, claims, or assessments; fluctuations in the cost of obtaining funds to make loans; and regulatory changes. Additional detailed information concerning a number of important factors which could cause actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements contained in management's discussion and analysis is available in the Company's filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, including the disclosure under the heading "Item 1A. Risk Factors" of Part 1 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on such forward looking statements, which speak only as of the date hereof. The Company undertakes no obligation and disclaims any intention to republish revised or updated forward looking statements, whether as a result of new information, unanticipated future events or otherwise.

Financial Overview

The Company is primarily engaged in commercial and retail banking, offering a blend of commercial and consumer banking services within southeastern Ohio as well as western West Virginia. The banking services offered by the Bank include the acceptance of deposits in checking, savings, time and money market accounts; the making and servicing of personal, commercial, floor plan and student loans; the making of construction and real estate loans; and credit card services. The Bank also offers individual retirement accounts, safe deposit boxes, wire transfers and other standard banking products and services. In addition, the Bank is one of a limited number of financial institutions that facilitates the payment of tax refunds through a third-party tax refund product provider. The Bank has facilitated the

payment of these tax refunds through electronic refund check/deposit (“ERC/ERD”) transactions. ERC/ERD transactions involve the payment of a tax refund to the taxpayer after the Bank has received the refund from the federal/state government. ERC/ERD transactions occur primarily during the tax refund season, typically during the first quarter of each year. Loan Central also provides refund anticipation loans (“RALs”) to its customers. RALs are short-term cash advances against a customer’s anticipated income tax refund.

For the three months ended September 30, 2014, the Company's net income increased by \$1,681, or 158.4%, as compared to the same period in 2013, to finish at \$2,742. Earnings per share for the third quarter of 2014 also increased by \$.41, or 157.7%, compared to the same period in 2013, to finish at \$.67 per share. For the nine months ended September 30, 2014, net income increased by \$1,424, or 22.9%, to finish at \$7,650, compared to the same period in 2013. Earnings per share for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 also increased by \$.34, or 22.2%, compared to the same period in 2013, to finish at \$1.87 per share. The annualized net income to average asset ratio, or return on assets ("ROA"), increased to 1.27% at September 30, 2014, as compared to 1.05% at September 30, 2013. The Company's net income to average equity ratio, or return on equity ("ROE"), also increased to 12.30% at September 30, 2014, as compared to 10.72% at September 30, 2013.

The Company recorded higher net interest income during both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, increasing \$278, or 3.5%, and \$985, or 4.1%, respectively, over the same periods in 2013. The Company benefited from a stronger net interest margin, which increased to 4.62% during the three months ended September 30, 2014, and 4.53% during the nine months ended September 30, 2014, as compared to 4.57% and 4.43% during the same periods in 2013, respectively. The primary reasons for net interest income and margin improvement include increasing average earning assets, a decrease in amortization expense on mortgage-backed securities and declines in higher-costing time deposits and subordinated debentures. These positive impacts completely offset the downward pressure on asset yields due to long-term interest rates remaining at historically low levels.

Provision expense decreased \$1,515 during the three months ended September 30, 2014, as compared to the same period in 2013. This was largely due to negative provision expense recorded during the third quarter of 2014 that was primarily related to a decrease in specific loan loss reserves impacted by the improvement in collateral values of an impaired commercial loan relationship. During the nine months ended September 30, 2014, provision expense increased \$523 over the same period in 2013. The increase was impacted by the increase of general allocations that management deemed necessary related to various loan portfolio risks. Contributing most to a higher general allocation was the downgrade of two impaired commercial credits during the second quarter of 2014, which have increased the Company's classified assets and economic risk factor within the calculation of the allowance for loan losses. Increases in general allocations were also impacted by higher impaired loan balances, as well as general increases in the loan portfolio. Further discussion can be found under the captions "Allowance for Loan Losses" and "Provision for Loan Losses" within this Management's Discussion and Analysis.

Total noninterest income during the three months ended September 30, 2014 increased \$532, or 33.8%, while also increasing \$657, or 8.8%, during the nine months ended September 30, 2014, as compared to the same periods in 2013. Both period increases came largely from the third quarter sale of the Company's 9% ownership interest in ProAlliance Corporation ("ProAlliance"), a specialty property and casualty insurance company. Increases in noninterest revenue also came from tax processing fees through ERC/ERD transactions during the year-to-date period. These increases were partially offset by a 49.3% decrease in bank owned life insurance and annuity asset income, as well as decreases in service charge revenue and mortgage banking income, as compared to 2013.

Total noninterest expense during the three months ended September 30, 2014 decreased \$76, or 1.0%, and decreased \$1,049, or 4.6%, during the nine months ended September 30, 2014, as compared to the same periods in 2013. Impacting both the quarter- and year-to-date results were lower salaries and employee benefits, foreclosure expenses and state taxes, which collectively decreased \$251 and \$881, respectively, from the same periods in 2013. The Company also incurred a fee of \$212 during the first quarter of 2013 associated with the redemption of higher-costing, trust preferred securities, which was not repeated during 2014.

At September 30, 2014, total assets were \$777,177, compared to \$747,368 at year-end 2013, with the increase due mostly to a \$20,552, or 3.6%, increase in gross loan balances from year-end 2013. Asset growth was further impacted by a 58.5% increase in interest-bearing deposits within the Company's Federal Reserve Clearing account.

Total liabilities were \$691,214 at September 30, 2014, up \$24,265 since December 31, 2013. Total deposit balances experienced continued growth during 2014, increasing \$16,694 compared to year-end 2013. Interest-bearing balances accounted for virtually all of this increase, impacted by municipal public fund deposit balances. At September 30, 2014, other borrowed funds were up 28.7% compared to year-end 2013.

At September 30, 2014, total shareholders' equity was \$85,963, up \$5,544 since December 31, 2013. Regulatory capital ratios remained significantly higher than the "well capitalized" minimums.

Comparison of
Financial Condition
at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013

The following discussion focuses, in more detail, on the consolidated financial condition of the Company at September 30, 2014 compared to December 31, 2013. This discussion should be read in conjunction with the interim consolidated financial statements and the footnotes included in this Form 10-Q.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

At September 30, 2014, cash and cash equivalents increased \$11,332, to finish at \$39,676, compared to \$28,344 at December 31, 2013. The increase in cash and cash equivalents was largely affected by a \$10,820, or 58.5%, increase within the Company's interest-bearing deposits from year-end 2013, mostly from its Federal Reserve Bank clearing account. The Company continues to utilize its interest-bearing Federal Reserve Bank clearing account to manage seasonal tax refund deposits, as well as to fund earning asset growth and maturities of retail certificates of deposit ("CD's"). Since year-end 2013, the Company has experienced a 5.6% increase in its interest-bearing deposit liabilities generated by larger municipal public fund deposits, contributing to higher excess funds at September 30, 2014. The interest rate paid on both the required and excess reserve balances is based on the targeted federal funds rate established by the Federal Open Market Committee, which currently is 0.25%. This interest rate is similar to what the Company would have received from its investments in federal funds sold, currently in a range of less than 0.25%. Furthermore, Federal Reserve Bank balances are 100% secured.

As liquidity levels vary continuously based on consumer activities, amounts of cash and cash equivalents can vary widely at any given point in time. Carrying excess cash has a negative impact on interest income since the Company currently only earns 0.25% on its deposits with the Federal Reserve. As a result, the Company's focus will be to re-invest these excess funds back into longer-term, higher-yielding assets, primarily loans, when the opportunities arise.

Certificates of deposit

At September 30, 2014, the Company had \$980 in certificates of deposit balances on hand. The investment of \$980 was recorded in the third quarter of 2014 by the Captive and consisted of four certificates with maturity terms ranging from one to three years.

Securities

The balance of total securities decreased \$3,176, or 3.0%, compared to year-end 2013. The decrease came mostly from agency mortgage-backed securities, which decreased \$3,388, or 4.5%, from year-end 2013. The Company's investment securities portfolio is made up mostly of U.S. Government agency ("Agency") mortgage-backed securities, representing 69.3% of total investments at September 30, 2014. During the first nine months of 2014, the Company invested \$8,041 in new Agency mortgage-backed securities, while receiving principal repayments of \$11,551. The

monthly repayment of principal has been the primary advantage of Agency mortgage-backed securities as compared to other types of investment securities, which deliver proceeds upon maturity or call date. However, with the current low interest rate environment, the cash flow is being reinvested at lower rates.

Loans

The loan portfolio represents the Company's largest asset category and is its most significant source of interest income. At September 30, 2014, gross loan balances finished at \$586,871, an increase of \$20,552, or 3.6%, from year-end 2013. Higher loan balances were mostly impacted by increased origination volume within the commercial and industrial loan portfolio, which grew \$16,048, or 24.9%, from year-end 2013. Commercial and industrial loans consist of loans to corporate borrowers primarily in small to mid-sized industrial and commercial companies that include service, retail and wholesale merchants. Collateral securing these loans includes equipment, inventory, and stock. Commercial real estate loans comprise the largest portion of the Company's total commercial loan portfolio, representing 70.4% at September 30, 2014. Commercial real estate loans experienced an increase of \$5,099, or 2.7%, from year-end 2013, largely within the nonowner-occupied loan class. While management believes lending opportunities exist in the Company's markets, future commercial lending activities will depend upon economic and related conditions, such as general demand for loans in the Company's primary markets, interest rates offered by the Company, the effects of competitive pressure and normal underwriting considerations. Management will continue to place emphasis on its commercial lending, which generally yields a higher return on investment as compared to other types of loans.

Residential real estate loan balances comprise the largest portion of the Company's loan portfolio at 35.9% and consist primarily of one- to four-family residential mortgages and carry many of the same customer and industry risks as the commercial loan portfolio. Residential real estate loan balances during the first nine months of 2014 decreased \$3,110, or 1.5%, from year-end 2013. Movement within the real estate portfolio consists of decreasing long-term fixed-rate mortgages partially offset by increasing short-term adjustable-rate mortgage balances. Long-term interest rates continue to remain at historic low levels and prompted periods of increased refinancing demand for long-term, fixed-rate real estate loans, most recently during the second half of 2012. As part of management's interest rate risk strategy, the Company continues to sell most of its long-term fixed-rate residential mortgages to the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, while maintaining the servicing rights for those mortgages. Since 2012, the refinancing volume for long-term fixed-rate real estate loans has trended down, which contributed to a 69.7% decrease in real estate loans sold during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to the same period in 2013. A customer that does not qualify for a long-term, secondary market loan may choose from one of the Company's other adjustable-rate mortgage products, which contributed to higher balances of adjustable-rate mortgages from year-end 2013.

Consumer loan balances increased \$2,515, or 2.5%, from year-end 2013. During the first nine months of 2014, auto loan balances increased \$2,934, or 7.6%, from year-end 2013, due to loan origination volume increases. The Company will continue to monitor its auto lending segment while maintaining strict loan underwriting processes to limit future loss exposure.

Allowance for Loan Losses

The Company established a \$6,964 allowance for loan losses at September 30, 2014, an increase of \$809, or 13.1%, from year-end 2013. These additional reserves were impacted mostly from general allocation increases impacted by the economic risk factors within the calculation of the allowance for loan losses. As part of the Company's quarterly analysis of the allowance for loan losses, management reviewed various factors that directly impact the general allocation need of the allowance, which include: historical loan losses, loan delinquency levels, local economic conditions and unemployment rates, criticized/classified asset coverage levels and loan loss recoveries. During the second quarter of 2014, the Company experienced a downgrade of two commercial credits that shifted \$12,000 from a criticized loan classification to a classified loan classification. The two commercial credits are impaired and have been individually evaluated for impairment since year-end. As more current information became readily available, management determined the downgrades were necessary due to a continuing trend of decreasing cash flow coverage

ratios of the borrower. As a result of higher classified assets, the economic risk factor increased, which required additional general reserves within the allowance for loan losses. While this impact was caused mostly by commercial loans, the higher economic risk factor at September 30, 2014 was applied to the entire loan portfolio,

increasing the general allocations within the residential real estate and consumer loan portfolios, as well as commercial. Furthermore, during the first quarter of 2014, adjustments were made to the commercial loan loss factor, extending the range of loan loss period from a 3-year rolling average to a 5-year rolling average. This update was due to the significant decline in net charge-offs that has been experienced since the first quarter of 2012 that was reducing the historical loan loss factor for commercial loans. By extending the historical loss period to five years, management feels the historical factor is more representative of the expected losses to be incurred on commercial loans. Management also increased its economic risk factor by adjusting its criticized/classified asset thresholds to incorporate more risk potential within the Company's special mention and substandard loan portfolios. As a result of the second quarter loan downgrades and the first quarter allowance calculation adjustments, the general allocation component of the allowance for loan losses increased \$1,746, or 49.5%, from year-end 2013.

Specific allocations of the allowance for loan losses that identify loan impairment by measuring fair value of the underlying collateral and the present value of estimated future cash flows decreased \$937, or 35.7%, from year-end 2013. This lower reserve allocation was impacted mostly by the improvement in collateral values of one commercial loan relationship. During the third quarter of 2014, management reevaluated the entire relationship for one of the Company's impaired commercial real estate loans. The commercial properties securing the loan balances were re-appraised and the report identified an increase in the market values of the collateral. Based upon the improvements in the credit position with this commercial loan, management lowered the specific allocation related to the loan by \$524.

The Company experienced an increase in its troubled assets, with nonperforming loans to total loans finishing at 0.81% at September 30, 2014, up 16 basis points from year-end 2013. The Company's nonperforming assets to total assets totaled 0.84% at September 30, 2014, an increase of 17 basis points from year-end 2013. Impaired loans at September 30, 2014 increased \$4,803, or 32.7%, from year-end 2013, largely from the restructuring of a commercial and industrial loan relationship during the second quarter of 2014.

The ratio of the allowance for loan losses to total loans increased to 1.19% at September 30, 2014, compared to 1.09% at December 31, 2013. Management believes that the allowance for loan losses at September 30, 2014 was adequate and reflected probable incurred losses in the loan portfolio. There can be no assurance, however, that adjustments to the allowance for loan losses will not be required in the future. Changes in the circumstances of particular borrowers, as well as adverse developments in the economy are factors that could change and make adjustments to the allowance for loan losses necessary. Asset quality will continue to remain a key focus, as management continues to stress not just loan growth, but quality in loan underwriting as well.

Deposits

Deposits continue to be the most significant source of funds used by the Company to meet obligations for depositor withdrawals, to fund the borrowing needs of loan customers, and to fund ongoing operations. Total deposits at September 30, 2014 increased \$16,694, or 2.7%, from year-end 2013. This deposit growth came primarily from interest-bearing NOW account balances, which increased \$16,283, or 15.3%, during the first nine months of 2014 as compared to year-end 2013. This increase was largely driven by various municipal public fund balances within Gallia County, Ohio and Mason County, West Virginia.

Deposit growth also came from noninterest-bearing deposit balances, which increased \$1,551, or 1.0%, from year-end 2013. This increase was largely from growth in the Company's business checking accounts, particularly those that serve to facilitate the significant volume of ERC/ERD tax refund items during the first quarter of 2014. The Company is one of a few institutions that provide ERC/ERD processing for a tax refund product provider. During 2014, this provider was successful in increasing the volume of its ERC/ERD transactions from the same period in 2013.

During the first nine months of 2014, time deposits decreased \$2,028, or 1.2%, from year-end 2013. As CD market rates continue to adjust downward, the spread between a short-term CD rate and a statement savings rate has become small enough that many customers choose to invest balances into a more liquid product, perhaps hoping for rising rates in the near future. This change in time deposits from year-end 2013 fits within management's strategy of focusing on more "core" deposit balances that include interest-bearing demand, savings, money market and noninterest-bearing deposit balances.

While facing increased competition for deposits in its market areas, the Company will continue to emphasize growth and retention in its core deposit relationships during the remainder of 2014, reflecting the Company's efforts to reduce its reliance on higher cost funding and improving net interest income.

Other Borrowed Funds

Other borrowed funds were \$24,137 at September 30, 2014, an increase of \$5,389, or 28.7%, from year-end 2013. The growth in borrowed funds was primarily related to the utilization of the Federal Home Loan Bank to fund specific fixed-rate loans with like-term advances. While deposits continue to be the primary source of funding for growth in earning assets, management will continue to utilize Federal Home Loan Bank advances and promissory notes to help manage interest rate sensitivity and liquidity.

Shareholders' Equity

The Company maintains a capital level that exceeds regulatory requirements as a margin of safety for its depositors. At September 30, 2014, the Bank's capital exceeded the minimum requirements to be deemed "well capitalized" under applicable prompt corrective action regulations. Total shareholders' equity at September 30, 2014 totaled \$85,963, representing an increase of \$5,544, or 6.9%, as compared to \$80,419 at December 31, 2013. Contributing most to this increase was year-to-date net income of \$7,650, partially offset by cash dividends paid of \$2,583, or \$.63 per share.

Comparison of Results of Operations for the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014 and 2013

The following discussion focuses, in more detail, on the consolidated results of operations of the Company for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 compared to the same periods in 2013. This discussion should be read in conjunction with the interim consolidated financial statements and the footnotes included in this Form 10-Q.

Net Interest Income

The most significant portion of the Company's revenue, net interest income, results from properly managing the spread between interest income on earning assets and interest expense incurred on interest-bearing liabilities. During the third quarter of 2014, net interest income increased \$278, or 3.5%, as compared to the third quarter of 2013. During the nine months ended September 30, 2014, net interest income increased \$985, or 4.1%, as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The improvement was largely due to a higher net interest margin impacted by increased average loans, lower amortization expenses on investment securities and lower funding costs.

Total interest and dividend income recognized on the Company's earning assets increased \$156, or 1.8%, during the third quarter of 2014, and increased \$345, or 1.3%, during the first nine months of 2014, as compared to the same periods in 2013. Yields on the Company's earning assets have been negatively impacted by lower market rates. As a result, the year-to-date earning asset yield at September 30, 2014 was 4.91% compared to 4.94% at September 30, 2013. Lower asset yields were offset by growth in average loans during the first nine months of 2014, increasing \$16,568, or 2.2%, over the first nine months of 2013, driven mostly by the commercial loan portfolio. The Company further benefited from increased earnings within investment securities, which increased \$99, or 21.1%, during the third quarter of 2014, and \$321, or 23.4%, during the first nine months of 2014, as compared to the same periods in 2013. The improvement came primarily from Agency mortgage-backed securities. The effect of slower refinancing volume evident during 2014 has resulted in less principal repayments from Agency mortgage-backed securities, which has caused monthly amortization expense to be recognized more slowly. While quarterly and year-to-date interest revenues from Agency mortgage-backed securities have decreased \$61 and \$272, respectively, from a year ago,

amortization expenses have lowered by \$161 and \$596, respectively, to completely offset the decline in interest.

Total interest expense incurred on the Company's interest-bearing liabilities decreased \$122, or 14.9%, during the third quarter of 2014, and decreased \$640, or 22.9%, during the nine months ended September 30, 2014, as compared to the same periods in 2013. The decreases were primarily due to a sustained low-rate environment that has impacted the repricings of various Bank deposit products, especially time deposit balances, which continued to reprice at lower rates during 2014. As a result, the Company's weighted average costs for time deposits decreased from 1.15% at September 30, 2013 to 0.81% at September 30, 2014. The Company also continues to experience a deposit composition shift away from higher costing average time deposits to lower costing average interest- and non-interest bearing core deposit balances. As a result, the Company's average time deposit balances decreased \$19,355, or 10.3%, while average interest- and non-interest bearing core deposits increased \$25,573, or 5.3%, during the first nine months of 2014 when compared to the same period in 2013. As a result of decreases in the average market interest rates and the continued deposit composition shift to lower costing deposit balances, the Company's total weighted average costs on interest-bearing deposits have lowered 15 basis points from 0.62% at September 30, 2013 to 0.47% at September 30, 2014.

Further impacting lower funding costs was a decrease of \$100, or 44.8%, in interest expense incurred on the Company's subordinated debentures during the first nine months of 2014 compared to the first nine months of 2013. The Company redeemed one \$5,000 trust preferred security classified as subordinated debentures during the first quarter of 2013. The redemption relieved the Company of incurring expenses on \$5,000 at a fixed-rate of 10.6%.

During 2014, the decline in asset yields was completely offset by a larger decline in funding costs. As a result, the Company's net interest margin improved 5 basis points to 4.62% during the third quarter of 2014, and 10 basis points to 4.53% during the first nine months of 2014, as compared to the same periods in 2013. The Company will continue to focus on re-deploying the excess liquidity retained within the Federal Reserve account earning 0.25% into higher yielding assets as opportunities arise. The Company will continue to face pressure on its net interest income and margin improvement unless loan balances continue to expand and become a larger component of overall earning assets.

Provision for Loan Losses

During the third quarter of 2014, provision expense charges decreased \$1,515, and increased \$523 during the first nine months of 2014, as compared to the same periods in 2013. The quarter-to-date decrease in provision expense was largely related to the collateral value improvement of one commercial real estate loan relationship, causing a reduction in specific reserves associated with the loan. The year-to-date provision expense increase was largely impacted by increases in the general allocations of the allowance for loan losses. During the second quarter of 2014, two impaired commercial credits totaling \$12,000 were downgraded due to decreasing cash flows causing classified assets to increase, which impacted the economic risk factor within the allowance for loan loss calculation. Furthermore, during the first quarter of 2014, several general allocation metrics were re-evaluated and adjusted, as previously discussed within the caption "Allowance for Loan Losses", contributing to higher general allocations within the residential real estate and commercial loan portfolios from year-end 2013.

Future provisions to the allowance for loan losses will continue to be based on management's quarterly in-depth evaluation that is discussed in further detail under the caption "Critical Accounting Policies - Allowance for Loan Losses" within this Management's Discussion and Analysis.

Noninterest Income

Noninterest income for the three months ended September 30, 2014 was \$2,106, an increase of \$532, or 33.8%, from the three months ended September 30, 2013. Noninterest income for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 was \$8,136, an increase of \$657, or 8.8%, over the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The quarterly and year-to-date

improvement came primarily from the sale of the Company's 9% ownership interest in ProAlliance during the third quarter of 2014. The Company had

recorded \$135 during the first quarter of 2014, which represented the first of two installments the Company was to receive during 2014. On August 1, 2014, the Company received its second installment of \$675 for its ownership interest in ProAlliance. Total proceeds from the sale, including the \$135 non-refundable fee from the first quarter of 2014, totaled \$810, which was reported as a gain on sale. The total after-tax impact to the Company's 2014 net income from the gain was \$535. Going forward, this gain on sale will be partially offset by the reduction in dividend income from ProAlliance.

The year-to-date improvement in noninterest income was further impacted by increased seasonal tax refund processing fees classified as ERC/ERD fees. During the first nine months of 2014, the Company's ERC/ERD fees increased by \$541, or 21.4%, as compared to the same period in 2013 due to an increase in the number of ERC/ERD transactions that were processed. The Company anticipates only minimal income from ERC/ERD fees to be recognized during the remainder of 2014.

Partially offsetting this noninterest revenue growth from tax processing fees and gain on sale of ProAlliance was a decrease in the Company's earnings from tax-free bank owned life insurance ("BOLI") investments. During the first quarter of 2013, the Company received \$1,249 in cash proceeds from the settlement of two BOLI policies, which yielded net BOLI proceeds of \$452 that was recorded to income. This income from the first quarter of 2013 was not repeated in 2014. As a result, BOLI and annuity asset earnings were down \$480, or 49.3%, during the nine months ended September 30, 2014, as compared to the same period in 2013.

On October 21, 2014, the Bank entered into a new agreement with the third-party tax refund product provider. The new agreement generally provides for a different fee structure, including different fees depending upon the tax refund product selected, and fees that generally will be lower for each refund facilitated, with a reduction in per transaction fees in future years. It is impossible to predict the number of refunds that will be facilitated, the products chosen and therefore the fees that will be received by the Bank. Nevertheless, the Bank anticipates that without an increase in the number of refunds facilitated by the Bank, the fees received by the Bank from this arrangement will be significantly reduced in future years. If the number of refunds facilitated in 2015 under this agreement is the same as the number facilitated in 2014, and if the mix of tax refund products chosen remains the same, the fees from this arrangement would decrease in 2015 by approximately \$790. An increase or decrease in the number of refunds facilitated or a change in the mix of tax refund products chosen could cause the fees from this arrangement to be substantially different.

Noninterest Expense

Noninterest expense during the third quarter of 2014 decreased \$76, or 1.0%, from the third quarter of 2013. Noninterest expense during the first nine months of 2014 decreased \$1,049, or 4.6%, from the first nine months of 2013. Contributing to the decline in net overhead expense were lower salaries and employee benefits, decreased foreclosed asset costs, the lack of a one-time trust preferred security redemption fee in 2013 and lower state taxes.

The Company's largest noninterest expense item, salaries and employee benefits, decreased \$113, or 2.6%, during the third quarter of 2014, and \$307, or 2.3%, during the first nine months of 2014, as compared to the same periods in 2013. The decreases were largely due to lower retirement benefit costs.

Foreclosed asset costs also declined \$23, or 45.1%, during the third quarter of 2014, and \$260, or 66.7%, during the first nine months of 2014, as compared to the same periods in 2013. Foreclosure asset expenses include legal fees, taxes, utilities and general maintenance costs related to the properties.

Further impacting noninterest expense was a \$212 fee to redeem one of the Company's trust preferred securities during the first quarter of 2013 that was not repeated in 2014.

The Company also benefited from a reduction in state taxes disbursed during the third quarter and first nine months of 2014. Effective January 1, 2014, the state of Ohio's corporate franchise tax was replaced with the financial institutions tax. The new tax is based on equity capital and a single gross receipts apportionment factor, while the corporate franchise tax was based on net worth and three apportionment factors. As a result of the new Ohio state tax methodology for financial institutions, the Company's tax expense decreased \$115, or 53.7%, during the third quarter of 2014, and \$314, or 46.9%, during the first nine months of 2014, as compared to the same periods in 2013. This lower tax is anticipated to continue for the rest of 2014.

The net decrease in the remaining noninterest expense categories during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, as compared to the same periods in 2013, were related to decreases in various categories such as furniture and equipment, FDIC insurance, and supplies and postage.

Capital Resources

All of the Company's capital ratios exceeded the regulatory minimum guidelines, as identified in the following table:

	Company Ratios		Regulatory Minimum
	9/30/14	12/31/13	
Tier 1 risk-based capital	16.0%	15.7%	4.00%
Total risk-based capital ratio	17.2%	16.8%	8.00%
Leverage ratio	12.1%	11.7%	4.00%

Cash dividends paid of \$2,583 during the first nine months of 2014 represents a 22.3% increase compared to the cash dividends paid during the same period in 2013. The year-to-date dividend rate in 2014 was \$0.63 per share, up from \$0.52 per share paid in 2013. The Company declared and paid in December 2012 a \$0.21 per share dividend that normally would have been paid during the first quarter of 2013, as a result of potential changes in tax rates affecting shareholders in 2013. The Company proceeded to pay a "special" \$0.10 per share dividend during the first quarter of 2013 due to the Company's stable capital position and financial performance.

Liquidity

Liquidity relates to the Company's ability to meet the cash demands and credit needs of its customers and is provided by the ability to readily convert assets to cash and raise funds in the market place. Total cash and cash equivalents, held to maturity securities maturing within one year and available for sale securities, totaling \$120,424, represented 15.5% of total assets at September 30, 2014. In addition, the FHLB offers advances to the Bank, which further enhances the Bank's ability to meet liquidity demands. At September 30, 2014, the Bank could borrow an additional \$153,502 from the FHLB, of which \$75,000 could be used for short-term, cash management advances. Furthermore, the Bank has established a borrowing line with the Federal Reserve. At September 30, 2014, this line had total availability of \$40,530. Lastly, the Bank also has the ability to purchase federal funds from a correspondent bank.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As discussed in Note 5 – Financial Instruments with Off-Balance Sheet Risk, the Company engages in certain off-balance sheet credit-related activities, including commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit, which could require the Company to make cash payments in the event that specified future events occur. Commitments to

extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. While these commitments are necessary to meet the financing needs of the Company's customers, many of these commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon. Therefore, the total amount of commitments does not necessarily represent future cash requirements.

Critical Accounting Policies

The most significant accounting policies followed by the Company are presented in Note A to the financial statements in the Company's 2013 Annual Report to Shareholders. These policies, along with the disclosures presented in the other financial statement notes, provide information on how significant assets and liabilities are valued in the financial statements and how those values are determined. Management views critical accounting policies to be those which are highly dependent on subjective or complex judgments, estimates and assumptions, and where changes in those estimates and assumptions could have a significant impact on the financial statements. Management currently views the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses to be a critical accounting policy.

The allowance for loan losses is a valuation allowance for probable incurred credit losses. Loan losses are charged against the allowance when management believes the uncollectibility of a loan balance is confirmed. Subsequent recoveries, if any, are credited to the allowance. Management estimates the allowance balance required using past loan loss experience, the nature and volume of the portfolio, information about specific borrower situations and estimated collateral values, economic conditions, and other factors. Allocations of the allowance may be made for specific loans, but the entire allowance is available for any loan that, in management's judgment, should be charged off.

The allowance consists of specific and general components. The specific component relates to loans that are individually classified as impaired. A loan is impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Impaired loans generally consist of loans with balances of \$200 or more on nonaccrual status or nonperforming in nature. Loans for which the terms have been modified, and for which the borrower is experiencing financial difficulties, are considered troubled debt restructurings and classified as impaired.

Factors considered by management in determining impairment include payment status, collateral value, and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. Loans that experience insignificant payment delays and payment shortfalls generally are not classified as impaired. Management determines the significance of payment delays and payment shortfalls on a case-by-case basis, taking into consideration all of the circumstances surrounding the loan and the borrower, including the length and reasons for the delay, the borrower's prior payment record, and the amount of shortfall in relation to the principal and interest owed.

Commercial and commercial real estate loans are individually evaluated for impairment. If a loan is impaired, a portion of the allowance is allocated so that the loan is reported, net, at the present value of estimated future cash flows using the loan's existing rate or at the fair value of collateral if repayment is expected solely from the collateral. Smaller balance homogeneous loans, such as consumer and most residential real estate, are collectively evaluated for impairment, and accordingly, they are not separately identified for impairment disclosure. Troubled debt restructurings are measured at the present value of estimated future cash flows using the loan's effective rate at inception. If a troubled debt restructuring is considered to be a collateral dependent loan, the loan is reported, net, at the fair value of the collateral. For troubled debt restructurings that subsequently default, the Company determines the amount of reserve in accordance with the accounting policy for the allowance for loan losses.

The general component covers non-impaired loans and impaired loans that are not individually reviewed for impairment and is based on historical loss experience adjusted for current factors. The historical loss experience is determined by portfolio segment and is based on the actual loss history experienced by the Company over the most recent 3 years for the consumer and real estate portfolio segment and 5 years for the commercial portfolio segment. Prior to 2013, the commercial portfolio's historical loss factor was based on a period of 3 years. During the first quarter of 2014, management extended the loan loss history to 5 years due to the significant decline in net charge-offs that have been experienced since the first quarter of 2012. By extending the historical loan loss period to 5 years, management feels the historical factor is more representative of the expected losses to be incurred on commercial

loans. The total loan portfolio's actual loss experience is supplemented

with other economic factors based on the risks present for each portfolio segment. These economic factors include consideration of the following: levels of and trends in delinquencies and impaired loans; levels of and trends in charge-offs and recoveries; trends in volume and terms of loans; effects of any changes in risk selection and underwriting standards; other changes in lending policies, procedures, and practices; experience, ability, and depth of lending management and other relevant staff; national and local economic trends and conditions; industry conditions; and effects of changes in credit concentrations. The following portfolio segments have been identified: Commercial Real Estate, Commercial and Industrial, Residential Real Estate, and Consumer.

Commercial and industrial loans consist of borrowings for commercial purposes by individuals, corporations, partnerships, sole proprietorships, and other business enterprises. Commercial and industrial loans are generally secured by business assets such as equipment, accounts receivable, inventory, or any other asset excluding real estate and generally made to finance capital expenditures or operations. The Company's risk exposure is related to deterioration in the value of collateral securing the loan should foreclosure become necessary. Generally, business assets used or produced in operations do not maintain their value upon foreclosure, which may require the Company to write down the value significantly to sell.

Commercial real estate consists of nonfarm, nonresidential loans secured by owner-occupied and nonowner-occupied commercial real estate as well as commercial construction loans. An owner-occupied loan relates to a borrower purchased building or space for which the repayment of principal is dependent upon cash flows from the ongoing business operations conducted by the party, or an affiliate of the party, who owns the property. Owner-occupied loans that are dependent on cash flows from operations can be adversely affected by current market conditions for their product or service. A nonowner-occupied loan is a property loan for which the repayment of principal is dependent upon rental income associated with the property or the subsequent sale of the property. Nonowner-occupied loans that are dependent upon rental income are primarily impacted by local economic conditions which dictate occupancy rates and the amount of rent charged. Commercial construction loans consist of borrowings to purchase and develop raw land into one- to four-family residential properties. Construction loans are extended to individuals as well as corporations for the construction of an individual or multiple properties and are secured by raw land and the subsequent improvements. Repayment of the loans to real estate developers is dependent upon the sale of properties to third parties in a timely fashion upon completion. Should there be delays in construction or a downturn in the market for those properties, there may be significant erosion in value which may be absorbed by the Company.

Residential real estate loans consist of loans to individuals for the purchase of one- to four-family primary residences with repayment primarily through wage or other income sources of the individual borrower. The Company's loss exposure to these loans is dependent on local market conditions for residential properties as loan amounts are determined, in part, by the fair value of the property at origination.

Consumer loans are comprised of loans to individuals secured by automobiles, open-end home equity loans and other loans to individuals for household, family, and other personal expenditures, both secured and unsecured. These loans typically have maturities of 5 years or less with repayment dependent on individual wages and income. The risk of loss on consumer loans is elevated as the collateral securing these loans, if any, rapidly depreciate in value or may be worthless and/or difficult to locate if repossession is necessary. During the last several years, one of the most significant portions of the Company's net loan charge-offs have been from consumer loans. Nevertheless, the Company has allocated the highest percentage of its allowance for loan losses as a percentage of loans to the other identified loan portfolio segments due to the larger dollar balances associated with such portfolios.

Concentration of Credit Risk

The Company maintains a diversified credit portfolio, with residential real estate loans currently comprising the most significant portion. Credit risk is primarily subject to loans made to businesses and individuals in southeastern Ohio

and western West Virginia. Management believes this risk to be general in nature, as there are no material concentrations of loans to any industry or consumer group. To the extent possible, the Company diversifies its loan portfolio to limit credit risk by avoiding industry concentrations.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The Company's goal for interest rate sensitivity management is to maintain a balance between steady net interest income growth and the risks associated with interest rate fluctuations. Interest rate risk ("IRR") is the exposure of the Company's financial condition to adverse movements in interest rates. Accepting this risk can be an important source of profitability, but excessive levels of IRR can threaten the Company's earnings and capital.

The Company evaluates IRR through the use of an earnings simulation model to analyze net interest income sensitivity to changing interest rates. The modeling process starts with a base case simulation, which assumes a static balance sheet and flat interest rates. The base case scenario is compared to rising and falling interest rate scenarios assuming a parallel shift in all interest rates. Comparisons of net interest income and net income fluctuations from the flat rate scenario illustrate the risks associated with the current balance sheet structure.

The Company's Asset/Liability Committee monitors and manages IRR within Board approved policy limits. The current IRR policy limits anticipated changes in net interest income to an instantaneous increase or decrease in market interest rates over a 12 month horizon to +/- 5% for a 100 basis point rate shock, +/- 7.5% for a 200 basis point rate shock and +/- 10% for a 300 basis point rate shock. Based on the level of interest rates, management did not test interest rates down 200 or 300 basis points.

The following table presents the Company's estimated net interest income sensitivity:

Change in Interest Rates in Basis Points	September 30, 2014 Percentage Change in Net Interest Income	December 31, 2013 Percentage Change in Net Interest Income
+300	(2.27%)	(3.04%)
+200	(1.32%)	(1.84%)
+100	(.57%)	(.82%)
-100	(2.67%)	(2.55%)

The estimated percentage change in net interest income due to a change in interest rates was within the policy guidelines established by the Board. With the historical low interest rate environment, management generally has been focused on limiting the duration of assets, while trying to extend the duration of our funding sources to the extent customer preferences will permit us to do so. At September 30, 2014, the interest rate risk profile reflects a liability sensitive position, which produces lower net interest income due to an increase in interest rates. The exposure to rising rates decreased marginally from that at year end. In a declining rate environment, net interest income is impacted by the interest rate on many deposit accounts not being able to adjust downward. With interest rates so low, deposit accounts are perceived to be at or near an interest rate floor. As a result, net interest income decreases in a declining interest rate environment. Overall, management is comfortable with the current interest rate risk profile which reflects minimal exposure to interest rate changes.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

With the participation of the Chief Executive Officer (the principal executive officer) and the Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (the principal financial officer) of Ohio Valley, Ohio Valley's management has evaluated the effectiveness of Ohio Valley's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act")) as of the end of the quarterly period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Based on

that evaluation, Ohio Valley's Chief Executive Officer and Vice President and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that Ohio Valley's disclosure controls and procedures are effective as of the end of the quarterly period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q to ensure that information required to be disclosed by Ohio Valley in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by Ohio Valley in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to Ohio Valley's management, including its principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There was no change in Ohio Valley's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that occurred during Ohio Valley's fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2014, that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, Ohio Valley's internal control over financial reporting.

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Not applicable.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

A substantial decline in noninterest income from facilitating tax refunds could result from a new agreement if the volume of refunds facilitated does not increase. On October 21, 2014, the Bank entered into a new agreement with the third-party tax refund product provider. The new agreement generally provides for a different fee structure, including different fees depending upon the tax refund product selected, and fees that generally will be lower for each refund facilitated, with a reduction in per transaction fees in future years. It is impossible to predict the number of refunds that will be facilitated, the products chosen and therefore the fees that will be received by the Bank. Nevertheless, the Bank anticipates that without an increase in the number of refunds facilitated by the Bank, the fees received by the Bank from this arrangement will be significantly reduced in future years.

You should carefully consider the other risk factors discussed in Part I, "Item 1A. Risk Factors" in Ohio Valley's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 17, 2014 and available at www.sec.gov. These risk factors could materially affect the Company's business, financial condition or future results. The risk factors described above and in the Annual Report on Form 10-K are not the only risks facing the Company. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to the Company or that management currently deems to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect the Company's business, financial condition and/or operating results. Moreover, the Company undertakes no obligation and disclaims any intention to publish revised information or updates to forward looking statements contained in such risk factors or in any other statement made at any time by any director, officer, employee or other representative of the Company unless and until any such revisions or updates are expressly required to be disclosed by applicable securities laws or regulations.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

Ohio Valley did not purchase any of its shares during the three months ended September 30, 2014.

Ohio Valley did not sell any unregistered equity securities during the three months ended September 30, 2014.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

Not applicable.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

Not applicable.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

(a) Exhibits:

Reference is made to the Exhibit Index set forth immediately following the signature page of this Form 10-Q.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Date: November 10, 2014

By: OHIO VALLEY BANC CORP.
/s/Thomas E. Wiseman
Thomas E. Wiseman
President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: November 10, 2014

By: /s/Scott W. Shockey
Scott W. Shockey
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

EXHIBIT INDEX

The following exhibits are included in this Form 10-Q or are incorporated by reference as noted in the following table:

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description
3(a)	Amended Articles of Incorporation of Ohio Valley (reflects amendments through April 7, 1999) [for SEC reporting compliance only - - not filed with the Ohio Secretary of State]. Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3(a) to Ohio Valley's Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal year ended December 31, 2007 (SEC File No. 0-20914).
3(b)	Code of Regulations of Ohio Valley (as amended by the shareholders on May 12, 2010): Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3(b) to Ohio Valley's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2010 (SEC File No. 0-20914).
4	Agreement to furnish instruments and agreements defining rights of holders of long-term debt: Filed herewith.
31.1	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification (Principal Executive Officer): Filed herewith.
31.2	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification (Principal Financial Officer): Filed herewith.
32	Section 1350 Certifications (Principal Executive Officer and Principal Accounting Officer): Filed herewith.
101.INS*	XBRL Instance Document: Filed herewith.*
101.SCH*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema: Filed herewith.*
101.CAL*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase: Filed herewith.*
101.DEF*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase: Filed herewith.*
101.LAB*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase: Filed herewith.*
101.PRE*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase: Filed herewith.*

* Attached as Exhibit 101 are the following documents formatted in XBRL (eXtensive Business Reporting Language): (i) Unaudited Consolidated Balance Sheets; (ii) Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income; (iii) Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income; (iv) Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity; (v) Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows; and (vi) Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.