

SHERWIN WILLIAMS CO
Form 10-Q
July 24, 2014

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D. C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
For the Period Ended June 30, 2014

or
 Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
For the transition period from _____ to _____
Commission file number 1-04851

THE SHERWIN-WILLIAMS COMPANY
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

OHIO 34-0526850
(State or other jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer
incorporation or organization) Identification No.)

101 West Prospect Avenue, 44115-1075
Cleveland, Ohio (Zip Code)
(Address of principal executive offices)
(216) 566-2000
(Registrant's telephone number including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one:)

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practical date.

Common Stock, \$1.00 Par Value – 97,787,954 shares as of June 30, 2014.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

<u>Item 1. Financial Statements</u>	<u>2</u>
<u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>19</u>
<u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>31</u>
<u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>32</u>

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

<u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>33</u>
<u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	<u>34</u>
<u>Item 5. Other Information</u>	<u>35</u>
<u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>	<u>36</u>

<u>SIGNATURES</u>	<u>37</u>
-------------------	-----------

<u>INDEX TO EXHIBITS</u>	<u>38</u>
--------------------------	-----------

EX-31(a)	
EX-31(b)	
EX-32(a)	
EX-32(b)	
EX-101 INSTANCE DOCUMENT	
EX-101 SCHEMA DOCUMENT	
EX-101 PRESENTATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT	
EX-101 CALCULATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT	
EX-101 LABEL LINKBASE DOCUMENT	
EX-101 DEFINITION LINKBASE DOCUMENT	

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

THE SHERWIN-WILLIAMS COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

STATEMENTS OF CONSOLIDATED INCOME AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (UNAUDITED)

Thousands of dollars, except per share data

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2014	2013	2014	2013	
Net sales	\$3,042,995	\$2,713,889	\$5,409,551	\$4,881,057	
Cost of goods sold	1,633,342	1,480,310	2,933,997	2,684,627	
Gross profit	1,409,653	1,233,579	2,475,554	2,196,430	
Percent to net sales	46.3	% 45.5	% 45.8	% 45.0	%
Selling, general and administrative expenses	969,183	837,124	1,853,271	1,615,803	
Percent to net sales	31.8	% 30.8	% 34.3	% 33.1	%
Other general expense - net	770	485	198	4,432	
Interest expense	16,374	15,069	32,768	30,380	
Interest and net investment income	(757)) (698)) (1,346)) (1,447))
Other (income) expense - net	(5,147)) 715	(4,644)) (2,006))
Income before income taxes	429,230	380,884	595,307	549,268	
Income taxes	137,783	123,597	188,403	175,796	
Net income	\$291,447	\$257,287	\$406,904	\$373,472	
Net income per common share:					
Basic	\$3.00	\$2.51	\$4.14	\$3.65	
Diluted	\$2.94	\$2.46	\$4.06	\$3.57	
Average shares outstanding - basic	96,599,869	101,665,737	97,716,539	101,813,398	
Average shares and equivalents outstanding - diluted	98,541,909	103,896,780	99,688,557	104,031,718	
Comprehensive income	\$305,178	\$213,283	\$412,432	\$330,871	

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

THE SHERWIN-WILLIAMS COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (UNAUDITED)

Thousands of dollars

	June 30, 2014	December 31, 2013	June 30, 2013
Assets			
Current assets:			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$267,198	\$744,889	\$741,084
Accounts receivable, less allowance	1,402,803	1,097,751	1,299,679
Inventories:			
Finished goods	892,488	779,057	809,586
Work in process and raw materials	198,694	191,758	175,258
	1,091,182	970,815	984,844
Deferred income taxes	102,733	104,496	129,732
Other current assets	244,202	240,766	187,096
Total current assets	3,108,118	3,158,717	3,342,435
Goodwill	1,174,654	1,178,687	1,171,503
Intangible assets	306,985	313,299	311,947
Deferred pension assets	304,582	302,446	250,989
Other assets	440,365	407,975	379,439
Property, plant and equipment:			
Land	131,234	125,131	99,579
Buildings	711,079	715,096	718,015
Machinery and equipment	1,916,103	1,838,590	1,812,541
Construction in progress	40,313	62,563	39,087
	2,798,729	2,741,380	2,669,222
Less allowances for depreciation	1,792,433	1,719,997	1,717,031
	1,006,296	1,021,383	952,191
Total Assets	\$6,341,000	\$6,382,507	\$6,408,504
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity			
Current liabilities:			
Short-term borrowings	\$64,739	\$96,551	\$50,664
Accounts payable	1,244,574	998,484	1,117,459
Compensation and taxes withheld	264,652	337,637	218,633
Accrued taxes	190,368	79,504	170,447
Current portion of long-term debt	502,125	502,948	2,632
Other accruals	458,826	513,433	407,670
Total current liabilities	2,725,284	2,528,557	1,967,505
Long-term debt	1,122,420	1,122,373	1,631,951
Postretirement benefits other than pensions	272,095	268,874	320,219
Other long-term liabilities	696,242	688,168	621,299
Shareholders' equity:			
Common stock—\$1.00 par value:			
97,787,954, 100,129,380 and 102,683,128 shares outstanding at June 30, 2014, December 31, 2013 and June 30, 2013, respectively	114,001	112,902	112,454
Preferred stock—convertible, no par value:			
5,722, 40,406 and 68,049 shares outstanding at June 30, 2014, December 31, 2013 and June 30, 2013, respectively	5,722	40,406	68,049

Edgar Filing: SHERWIN WILLIAMS CO - Form 10-Q

Unearned ESOP compensation	(5,722) (40,406) (68,049)
Other capital	1,980,760	1,847,801	1,773,060	
Retained earnings	2,072,118	1,774,050	1,496,639	
Treasury stock, at cost	(2,326,404) (1,639,174) (1,101,633)
Cumulative other comprehensive loss	(315,516) (321,044) (412,990)
Total shareholders' equity	1,524,959	1,774,535	1,867,530	
Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity	\$6,341,000	\$6,382,507	\$6,408,504	

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

THE SHERWIN-WILLIAMS COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CONSOLIDATED CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED)

Thousands of dollars

	Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2014	June 30, 2013
OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Net income	\$406,904	\$373,472
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net operating cash:		
Depreciation	83,513	78,301
Amortization of intangible assets	15,146	14,127
Stock-based compensation expense	29,563	23,977
Provisions for qualified exit costs	9,439	367
Provisions for environmental-related matters	(175) 1,769
Defined benefit pension plans net cost	3,626	9,975
Net increase in postretirement liability	2,970	1,800
Other	3,100	1,417
Change in working capital accounts - net	(202,068) (195,322
Costs incurred for environmental-related matters	(4,955) (6,892
Costs incurred for qualified exit costs	(3,877) (5,955
Other	(11,606) 5,039
Net operating cash	331,580	302,075
INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Capital expenditures	(66,870) (72,126
Acquisitions of businesses, net of cash acquired		(5,000
Proceeds from sale of assets	373	2,586
Increase in other investments	(17,488) (52,854
Net investing cash	(83,985) (127,394
FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Net decrease in short-term borrowings	(31,925) (17,407
Payments of long-term debt	(752) (949
Payments of cash dividends	(108,836) (103,300
Proceeds from stock options exercised	59,442	40,622
Income tax effect of stock-based compensation exercises and vesting	45,253	35,654
Treasury stock purchased	(665,492) (232,522
Other	(23,354) (18,855
Net financing cash	(725,664) (296,757
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	378	570
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(477,691) (121,506
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	744,889	862,590
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$267,198	\$741,084
Income taxes paid	\$33,994	\$34,666
Interest paid	33,893	30,942

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

THE SHERWIN-WILLIAMS COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

Periods ended June 30, 2014 and 2013

NOTE 1—BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) for interim financial information and the instructions to Form 10-Q. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by U.S. GAAP for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included.

There have been no significant changes in critical accounting policies since December 31, 2013. Accounting estimates were revised as necessary during the first six months of 2014 based on new information and changes in facts and circumstances. Certain amounts in the 2013 condensed consolidated financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the 2014 presentation.

The Company primarily uses the last-in, first-out (LIFO) method of valuing inventory. An actual valuation of inventory under the LIFO method can be made only at the end of each year based on the inventory levels and costs at that time. Accordingly, interim LIFO calculations are based on management's estimates of expected year-end inventory levels and costs are subject to the final year-end LIFO inventory valuation. In addition, interim inventory levels include management's estimates of annual inventory losses due to shrinkage and other factors. The final year-end valuation of inventory is based on an annual physical inventory count performed during the fourth quarter. For further information on inventory valuations and other matters, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

The consolidated results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the year ending December 31, 2014.

NOTE 2—IMPACT OF RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2014-09, "Revenue Recognition - Revenue from Contracts with Customers," which is a comprehensive revenue recognition standard that will supersede nearly all existing revenue recognition guidance under U.S. GAAP. The standard is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2016, and either full retrospective adoption or modified retrospective adoption is permitted. The Company is in the process of evaluating the impact of the standard.

NOTE 3—DIVIDENDS

Dividends paid on common stock during each of the first two quarters of 2014 and 2013 were \$.55 per common share and \$.50 per common share, respectively.

NOTE 4—CHANGES IN CUMULATIVE OTHER COMPREHENSIVE LOSS

The following tables summarize the changes in Cumulative other comprehensive loss for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013:

(Thousands of dollars)

	Foreign Currency Translation Adjustments	Net Actuarial (Losses) Gains and Prior Service Costs Recognized for Employee Benefit Plans	Unrealized Net Gains (Losses) on Available-for-Sale Securities	Total Cumulative Other Comprehensive (Loss) Income
Balance at December 31, 2013	\$ (250,942)	\$ (70,611)	\$ 509	\$ (321,044)
Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassifications ⁽¹⁾	4,612	(570)	516	4,558
Amounts reclassified from other comprehensive income (loss) ⁽²⁾		1,015	(45)	970
Net other comprehensive income	4,612	445	471	5,528
Balance at June 30, 2014	\$ (246,330)	\$ (70,166)	\$ 980	\$ (315,516)

⁽¹⁾ Net of taxes of \$244 for net actuarial losses and prior service costs recognized for employee benefit plans and \$(324) for unrealized net gains on available-for-sale securities.

⁽²⁾ Net of taxes of \$(417) for net actuarial losses and prior service costs recognized for employee benefit plans and \$28 for realized gains on the sale of available-for-sale securities.

(Thousands of dollars)

	Foreign Currency Translation Adjustments	Net Actuarial (Losses) Gains and Prior Service Costs Recognized for Employee Benefit Plans	Unrealized Net Gains (Losses) on Available-for-Sale Securities	Total Cumulative Other Comprehensive (Loss) Income
Balance at December 31, 2012	\$ (204,195)	\$ (166,595)	\$ 401	\$ (370,389)
Other comprehensive (loss) income before reclassifications ⁽¹⁾	(48,421)	(1,177)	99	(49,499)
Amounts reclassified from other comprehensive income (loss) income ⁽²⁾		6,917	(19)	6,898
Net other comprehensive income	(48,421)	5,740	80	(42,601)
Balance at June 30, 2013	\$ (252,616)	\$ (160,855)	\$ 481	\$ (412,990)

⁽¹⁾ Net of taxes of \$534 for net actuarial losses and prior service costs recognized for employee benefit plans and \$(62) for unrealized net gains on available-for-sale securities.

⁽²⁾ Net of taxes of \$(4,001) for net actuarial losses and prior service costs recognized for employee benefit plans and \$12 for realized gains on the sale of available-for-sale securities.

NOTE 5—PRODUCT WARRANTIES

Changes in the Company's accrual for product warranty claims during the first six months of 2014 and 2013, including customer satisfaction settlements, were as follows:

(Thousands of dollars)

	2014	2013
Balance at January 1	\$26,755	\$22,710
Charges to expense	14,446	11,031
Settlements	(16,351)	(12,134)
Balance at June 30	\$24,850	\$21,607

For further details on the Company's accrual for product warranty claims, see Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

NOTE 6—EXIT OR DISPOSAL ACTIVITIES

Liabilities associated with exit or disposal activities are recognized as incurred in accordance with the Exit or Disposal Cost Obligations Topic of the ASC. Qualified exit costs primarily include post-closure rent expenses, incremental post-closure costs and costs of employee terminations. Adjustments may be made to liabilities accrued for qualified exit costs if information becomes available upon which more accurate amounts can be reasonably estimated.

Concurrently, property, plant and equipment is tested for impairment in accordance with the Property, Plant and Equipment Topic of the ASC, and if impairment exists, the carrying value of the related assets is reduced to estimated fair value. Additional impairment may be recorded for subsequent revisions in estimated fair value.

In the six months ended June 30, 2014, four stores in the Paint Stores Group, three stores in the Latin America Coatings Group and three facilities in the Consumer Group were closed due to lower demand or redundancy. In addition, the Global Finishes Group exited its business in Venezuela.

The following table summarizes the activity and remaining liabilities associated with qualified exit costs at June 30, 2014:

(Thousands of dollars)

Exit Plan	Balance at December 31, 2013	Provisions in Cost of goods sold or SG&A	Actual expenditures charged to accrual	Adjustments to prior provisions in Other general expense - net	Balance at June 30, 2014
Consumer Group facilities shutdown in 2014:					
Severance and related costs		\$1,514	\$ (72)		\$1,442
Global Finishes Group exit of business in 2014:					
Severance and related costs		2,500			2,500
Other qualified exit costs		2,022			2,022
Paint Stores Group facility shutdown in 2013:					
Severance and related costs	\$977	1,794	(1,069)		1,702
Other qualified exit costs		1,512	(61)		1,451
Consumer Group facilities shutdown in 2013:					
Severance and related costs	598	97	(382)		313
Global Finishes Group stores shutdown in 2013:					
Severance and related costs	33		(2)		31
Other qualified exit costs	220		(44)		176
Latin America Coatings Group facilities shutdown in 2013:					
Severance and related costs	123		(123)		
Paint Stores Group stores shutdown in 2012:					
Other qualified exit costs	244		(23)		221
Global Finishes Group facilities shutdown in 2012:					

Edgar Filing: SHERWIN WILLIAMS CO - Form 10-Q

Severance and related costs	2,177		(1,863)		314
Other qualified exit costs	83				83
Other qualified exit costs for facilities shutdown prior to 2012	1,365		(238)		1,127
Totals	\$5,820	\$9,439	\$ (3,877)	\$—	\$11,382

For further details on the Company's exit or disposal activities, see Note 5 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

NOTE 7—HEALTH CARE, PENSION AND OTHER BENEFITS

Shown below are the components of the Company's net periodic benefit cost (credit) for domestic defined benefit pension plans, foreign defined benefit pension plans and postretirement benefits other than pensions:

(Thousands of dollars)	Domestic Defined Benefit Pension Plans		Foreign Defined Benefit Pension Plans		Postretirement Benefits Other than Pensions	
	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013
Three Months Ended June 30:						
Net periodic benefit cost (credit):						
Service cost	\$5,637	\$5,397	\$1,548	\$1,160	\$608	\$766
Interest cost	6,525	4,267	2,694	1,903	3,196	3,045
Expected return on assets	(12,665)	(10,342)	(2,740)	(1,782)		
Amortization of:						
Prior service cost (credit)	459	455			(125)	(82)
Actuarial loss		3,488	355	442		984
Net periodic benefit cost (credit)	\$(44)	\$3,265	\$1,857	\$1,723	\$3,679	\$4,713
Six Months Ended June 30:						
Net periodic benefit cost:						
Service cost	\$11,274	\$10,793	\$3,095	\$2,320	\$1,217	\$1,531
Interest cost	13,051	8,534	5,388	3,805	6,391	6,091
Expected return on assets	(25,331)	(20,684)	(5,480)	(3,564)		
Amortization of:						
Prior service cost (credit)	918	911			(251)	(164)
Actuarial loss		6,976	711	884		1,967
Net periodic benefit cost (credit)	\$(88)	\$6,530	\$3,714	\$3,445	\$7,357	\$9,425

For further details on the Company's health care, pension and other benefits, see Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

NOTE 8—OTHER LONG-TERM LIABILITIES

The Company initially provides for estimated costs of environmental-related activities relating to its past operations and third party sites for which commitments or clean-up plans have been developed and when such costs can be reasonably estimated based on industry standards and professional judgment. These estimated costs are determined based on currently available facts regarding each site. If the best estimate of costs can only be identified as a range and no specific amount within that range can be determined more likely than any other amount within the range, the minimum of the range is provided. At June 30, 2014, the unaccrued maximum of the estimated range of possible outcomes is \$93.6 million higher than the minimum.

The Company continuously assesses its potential liability for investigation and remediation-related activities and adjusts its environmental-related accruals as information becomes available upon which more accurate costs can be reasonably estimated and as additional accounting guidelines are issued. Actual costs incurred may vary from these estimates due to the inherent uncertainties involved including, among others, the number and financial condition of parties involved with respect to any given site, the volumetric contribution which may be attributed to the Company relative to that attributed to other parties, the nature and magnitude of the wastes involved, the various technologies that can be used for remediation and the determination of acceptable remediation with respect to a particular site. Included in Other long-term liabilities at June 30, 2014 and 2013 were accruals for extended environmental-related activities of \$82.7 million and \$93.8 million, respectively. Estimated costs of current investigation and remediation activities of \$15.4 million and \$17.1 million are included in Other accruals at June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Two of the Company's currently and formerly owned manufacturing sites account for the majority of the accrual for environmental-related activities and the unaccrued maximum of the estimated range of possible outcomes at June 30, 2014. At June 30, 2014, \$56.5 million, or 57.6 percent of the total accrual, related directly to these two sites. In the

aggregate unaccrued maximum of \$93.6 million at June 30, 2014, \$63.0 million, or 67.3 percent, related to the two manufacturing sites. While

8

environmental investigations and remedial actions are in different stages at these sites, additional investigations, remedial actions and monitoring will likely be required at each site.

Management cannot presently estimate the ultimate potential loss contingencies related to these sites or other less significant sites until such time as a substantial portion of the investigation at the sites is completed and remedial action plans are developed. In the event any future loss contingency significantly exceeds the current amount accrued, the recording of the ultimate liability may result in a material impact on net income for the annual or interim period during which the additional costs are accrued. Management does not believe that any potential liability ultimately attributed to the Company for its environmental-related matters will have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition, liquidity, or cash flow due to the extended period of time during which environmental investigation and remediation takes place. An estimate of the potential impact on the Company's operations cannot be made due to the aforementioned uncertainties.

Management expects these contingent environmental-related liabilities to be resolved over an extended period of time. Management is unable to provide a more specific time frame due to the indefinite amount of time to conduct investigation activities at any site, the indefinite amount of time to obtain environmental agency approval, as necessary, with respect to investigation and remediation activities, and the indefinite amount of time necessary to conduct remediation activities.

For further details on the Company's Other long-term liabilities, see Note 8 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

NOTE 9 – LITIGATION

In the course of its business, the Company is subject to a variety of claims and lawsuits, including, but not limited to, litigation relating to product liability and warranty, personal injury, environmental, intellectual property, commercial, contractual and antitrust claims that are inherently subject to many uncertainties regarding the possibility of a loss to the Company. These uncertainties will ultimately be resolved when one or more future events occur or fail to occur confirming the incurrence of a liability or the reduction of a liability. In accordance with the Contingencies Topic of the ASC, the Company accrues for these contingencies by a charge to income when it is both probable that one or more future events will occur confirming the fact of a loss and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. In the event that the Company's loss contingency is ultimately determined to be significantly higher than currently accrued, the recording of the additional liability may result in a material impact on the Company's results of operations, liquidity or financial condition for the annual or interim period during which such additional liability is accrued. In those cases where no accrual is recorded because it is not probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of any such loss cannot be reasonably estimated, any potential liability ultimately determined to be attributable to the Company may result in a material impact on the Company's results of operations, liquidity or financial condition for the annual or interim period during which such liability is accrued. In those cases where no accrual is recorded or exposure to loss exists in excess of the amount accrued, the Contingencies Topic of the ASC requires disclosure of the contingency when there is a reasonable possibility that a loss or additional loss may have been incurred.

Lead pigment and lead-based paint litigation. The Company's past operations included the manufacture and sale of lead pigments and lead-based paints. The Company, along with other companies, is and has been a defendant in a number of legal proceedings, including individual personal injury actions, purported class actions, and actions brought by various counties, cities, school districts and other government-related entities, arising from the manufacture and sale of lead pigments and lead-based paints. The plaintiffs' claims have been based upon various legal theories, including negligence, strict liability, breach of warranty, negligent misrepresentations and omissions, fraudulent misrepresentations and omissions, concert of action, civil conspiracy, violations of unfair trade practice and consumer protection laws, enterprise liability, market share liability, public nuisance, unjust enrichment and other theories. The plaintiffs seek various damages and relief, including personal injury and property damage, costs relating to the detection and abatement of lead-based paint from buildings, costs associated with a public education campaign, medical monitoring costs and others. The Company has also been a defendant in legal proceedings arising from the manufacture and sale of non-lead-based paints that seek recovery based upon various legal theories, including the failure to adequately warn of potential exposure to lead during surface preparation when using non-lead-based paint

on surfaces previously painted with lead-based paint. The Company believes that the litigation brought to date is without merit or subject to meritorious defenses and is vigorously defending such litigation. The Company has not settled any lead pigment or lead-based paint litigation. The Company expects that additional lead pigment and lead-based paint litigation may be filed against the Company in the future asserting similar or different legal theories and seeking similar or different types of damages and relief.

Notwithstanding the Company's views on the merits, litigation is inherently subject to many uncertainties, and the Company ultimately may not prevail. Adverse court rulings or determinations of liability, among other factors, could affect the lead pigment and lead-based paint litigation against the Company and encourage an increase in the number and nature of future claims and proceedings. In addition, from time to time, various legislation and administrative regulations have been enacted,

promulgated or proposed to impose obligations on present and former manufacturers of lead pigments and lead-based paints respecting asserted health concerns associated with such products or to overturn the effect of court decisions in which the Company and other manufacturers have been successful.

Due to the uncertainties involved, management is unable to predict the outcome of the lead pigment and lead-based paint litigation, the number or nature of possible future claims and proceedings or the effect that any legislation and/or administrative regulations may have on the litigation or against the Company. In addition, management cannot reasonably determine the scope or amount of the potential costs and liabilities related to such litigation, or resulting from any such legislation and regulations. The Company has not accrued any amounts for such litigation. With respect to such litigation, including the public nuisance litigation, the Company does not believe that it is probable that a loss has occurred, and it is not possible to estimate the range of potential losses as there is no prior history of a loss of this nature and there is no substantive information upon which an estimate could be based. In addition, any potential liability that may result from any changes to legislation and regulations cannot reasonably be estimated. In the event any significant liability is determined to be attributable to the Company relating to such litigation, the recording of the liability may result in a material impact on net income for the annual or interim period during which such liability is accrued. Additionally, due to the uncertainties associated with the amount of any such liability and/or the nature of any other remedy which may be imposed in such litigation, any potential liability determined to be attributable to the Company arising out of such litigation may have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations, liquidity or financial condition. An estimate of the potential impact on the Company's results of operations, liquidity or financial condition cannot be made due to the aforementioned uncertainties.

Public nuisance claim litigation. The Company and other companies are or were defendants in legal proceedings seeking recovery based on public nuisance liability theories, among other theories, brought by the State of Rhode Island, the City of St. Louis, Missouri, various cities and counties in the State of New Jersey, various cities in the State of Ohio and the State of Ohio, the City of Chicago, Illinois, the City of Milwaukee, Wisconsin and the County of Santa Clara, California and other public entities in the State of California. Except for the Santa Clara County, California proceeding, all of these legal proceedings have been concluded in favor of the Company and other defendants at various stages in the proceedings.

The proceedings initiated by the State of Rhode Island included two jury trials. At the conclusion of the second trial, the jury returned a verdict finding that (i) the cumulative presence of lead pigment in paints and coatings on buildings in the State of Rhode Island constitutes a public nuisance, (ii) the Company, along with two other defendants, caused or substantially contributed to the creation of the public nuisance and (iii) the Company and two other defendants should be ordered to abate the public nuisance. The Company and two other defendants appealed and, on July 1, 2008, the Rhode Island Supreme Court, among other determinations, reversed the judgment of abatement with respect to the Company and two other defendants. The Rhode Island Supreme Court's decision reversed the public nuisance liability judgment against the Company on the basis that the complaint failed to state a public nuisance claim as a matter of law.

The Santa Clara County, California proceeding was initiated in March 2000 in the Superior Court of the State of California, County of Santa Clara. In the original complaint, the plaintiffs asserted various claims including fraud and concealment, strict product liability/failure to warn, strict product liability/design defect, negligence, negligent breach of a special duty, public nuisance, private nuisance, and violations of California's Business and Professions Code. A number of the asserted claims were resolved in favor of the defendants through pre-trial proceedings. The named plaintiffs in the Fourth Amended Complaint, filed on March 16, 2011, are the Counties of Santa Clara, Alameda, Los Angeles, Monterey, San Mateo, Solano and Ventura, the Cities of Oakland and San Diego and the City and County of San Francisco. The Fourth Amended Complaint asserted a sole claim for public nuisance, alleging that the presence of lead pigments for use in paint and coatings in, on and around residences in the plaintiffs' jurisdictions constitutes a public nuisance. The plaintiffs sought the abatement of the alleged public nuisance that exists within the plaintiffs' jurisdictions. A trial commenced on July 15, 2013 and ended on August 22, 2013. The court entered final judgment on January 27, 2014, finding in favor of the plaintiffs and against the Company and two other defendants (ConAgra Grocery Products Company and NL Industries, Inc.). The final judgment held the Company jointly and severally liable with the other two defendants to pay \$1.15 billion into a fund to abate the public nuisance. The Company

strongly disagrees with the judgment. On February 18, 2014, the Company filed a motion for new trial and a motion to vacate the judgment. The court denied these motions on March 24, 2014. On March 28, 2014, the Company filed a notice of appeal to the Sixth District Court of Appeal for the State of California. The filing of the notice of appeal effects an automatic stay of the judgment without the requirement to post a bond. The Company believes that the judgment conflicts with established principles of law and is unsupported by the evidence. The Company has had a favorable history with respect to lead pigment and lead-based paint litigation, particularly other public nuisance litigation, and accordingly, the Company believes that it is not probable that a loss has occurred and it is not possible to estimate the range of potential loss with respect to the case.

Litigation seeking damages from alleged personal injury. The Company and other companies are defendants in a number of legal proceedings seeking monetary damages and other relief from alleged personal injuries. These proceedings include claims by children allegedly injured from ingestion of lead pigment or lead-containing paint and claims for damages allegedly incurred

by the children's parents or guardians. These proceedings generally seek compensatory and punitive damages, and seek other relief including medical monitoring costs. These proceedings include purported claims by individuals, groups of individuals and class actions.

The plaintiff in *Thomas v. Lead Industries Association, et al.*, initiated an action in state court against the Company, other alleged former lead pigment manufacturers and the Lead Industries Association in September 1999. The claims against the Company and the other defendants included strict liability, negligence, negligent misrepresentation and omissions, fraudulent misrepresentation and omissions, concert of action, civil conspiracy and enterprise liability. Implicit within these claims is the theory of "risk contribution" liability (Wisconsin's theory which is similar to market share liability, except that liability can be joint and several) due to the plaintiff's inability to identify the manufacturer of any product that allegedly injured the plaintiff. The case ultimately proceeded to trial and, on November 5, 2007, the jury returned a defense verdict, finding that the plaintiff had ingested white lead carbonate, but was not brain damaged or injured as a result. The plaintiff appealed and, on December 16, 2010, the Wisconsin Court of Appeals affirmed the final judgment in favor of the Company and other defendants.

Wisconsin is the only jurisdiction to date to apply a theory of liability with respect to alleged personal injury (i.e., risk contribution/market share liability) that does not require the plaintiff to identify the manufacturer of the product that allegedly injured the plaintiff in the lead pigment and lead-based paint litigation. Although the risk contribution liability theory was applied during the *Thomas* trial, the constitutionality of this theory as applied to the lead pigment cases has not been judicially determined by the Wisconsin state courts. However, in an unrelated action filed in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Wisconsin, *Gibson v. American Cyanamid, et al.*, on November 15, 2010, the District Court held that Wisconsin's risk contribution theory as applied in that case violated the defendants' right to substantive due process and is unconstitutionally retroactive. The District Court's decision in *Gibson v. American Cyanamid, et al.*, has been appealed by the plaintiff and is awaiting a decision by the United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit. Also, in *Yasmine Clark v. The Sherwin-Williams Company, et al.*, the Wisconsin Circuit Court, Milwaukee County, on March 25, 2014, held that the application to a pending case of Section 895.046 of the Wisconsin Statutes (which clarifies the application of the risk contribution theory) is unconstitutional as a violation of the plaintiff's right to due process of law under the Wisconsin Constitution. On April 8, 2014, defendants filed a petition requesting the Wisconsin Court of Appeal to hear the issue as an interlocutory appeal, and plaintiff filed a response on April 22, 2014.

Insurance coverage litigation. The Company and its liability insurers, including certain underwriters at Lloyd's of London, initiated legal proceedings against each other to primarily determine, among other things, whether the costs and liabilities associated with the abatement of lead pigment are covered under certain insurance policies issued to the Company. The Company's action, filed on March 3, 2006 in the Common Pleas Court, Cuyahoga County, Ohio, is currently stayed and inactive. The liability insurers' action, which was filed on February 23, 2006 in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of New York, has been dismissed. An ultimate loss in the insurance coverage litigation would mean that insurance proceeds could be unavailable under the policies at issue to mitigate any ultimate abatement related costs and liabilities. The Company has not recorded any assets related to these insurance policies or otherwise assumed that proceeds from these insurance policies would be received in estimating any contingent liability accrual. Therefore, an ultimate loss in the insurance coverage litigation without a determination of liability against the Company in the lead pigment or lead-based paint litigation will have no impact on the Company's results of operation, liquidity or financial condition. As previously stated, however, the Company has not accrued any amounts for the lead pigment or lead-based paint litigation and any significant liability ultimately determined to be attributable to the Company relating to such litigation may result in a material impact on the Company's results of operations, liquidity or financial condition for the annual or interim period during which such liability is accrued.

Government tax assessment settlements related to Brazilian operations. Charges totaling \$28.7 million and \$2.9 million were recorded to Cost of goods sold and SG&A, respectively, during the second and third quarters of 2013. The charges were primarily related to import duty taxes paid to the Brazilian government related to the handling of import duties on products brought into the country for the years 2006 through 2012. The Company elected to pay the taxes through an existing voluntary amnesty program offered by the government to resolve these issues rather than

contest them in court. The after-tax charges were \$21.9 million for the full year 2013. The Company's import duty process in Brazil was changed to reach a final resolution of this matter with the Brazilian government.

Litigation related to Consorcio Comex. As previously disclosed, the Company entered into a definitive Stock Purchase Agreement (as subsequently amended and restated, the "Purchase Agreement"), with Avisep, S.A. de C.V. ("Avisep") and Bevisep, S.A. de C.V. ("Bevisep") to, among other things, acquire the Mexico business of Consorcio Comex, S.A. de C.V. (the "Acquisition"). Under the terms of the Purchase Agreement, either the Company or Avisep and Bevisep had the right to

terminate the Purchase Agreement in the event that the closing of the Acquisition did not occur on or prior to March 31, 2014 and such party was not in material breach of the Purchase Agreement.

On April 3, 2014, the Company sent notice to Avisep and Bevisep that the Company was terminating the Purchase Agreement. On April 3, 2014, the Company filed a complaint for declaratory judgment in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, New York County, requesting the court to declare that the Company had used commercially reasonable efforts as required under the Purchase Agreement and has not breached the Purchase Agreement. On April 11, 2014, Avisep and Bevisep initiated an arbitration proceeding against the Company in the International Court of Arbitration contending that the Company breached the Purchase Agreement by terminating the Purchase Agreement and not utilizing commercially reasonable efforts under the Purchase Agreement, which allegedly caused Avisep and Bevisep to incur damages. The Company believes that the claims are without merit and intends to vigorously defend against such claims.

NOTE 10—OTHER

Other general expense - net

Included in Other general expense - net were the following:

(Thousands of dollars)	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Provisions for environmental matters - net	\$259	\$1,446	\$(175)) \$1,769
Loss (gain) on disposition of assets	511	(1,173)) 373	2,323
Adjustments to prior provisions for qualified exit costs		212		340
Total	\$770	\$485	\$198	\$4,432

Provisions for environmental matters - net represent site-specific increases or decreases to environmental-related accruals as information becomes available upon which more accurate costs can be reasonably estimated and as additional accounting guidelines are issued. Environmental-related accruals are not recorded net of insurance proceeds in accordance with the Offsetting Subtopic of the Balance Sheet Topic of the ASC. See Note 8 for further details on the Company's environmental-related activities.

The loss (gain) on disposition of assets represents net realized losses (gains) associated with the disposal of fixed assets previously used in the conduct of the primary business of the Company.

The adjustments to prior provisions for qualified exit costs represent site specific increases or decreases to accrued qualified exit costs as adjustments for costs of employee terminations are required or as information becomes available upon which more accurate amounts can be reasonably estimated. See Note 6 for further details on the Company's exit or disposal activities.

Other (income) expense - net

Included in Other (income) expense - net were the following:

(Thousands of dollars)	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Dividend and royalty income	\$(1,195)) \$(813)) \$(2,246)) \$(3,637)
Net expense from financing activities	2,547	2,845	5,469	4,743
Foreign currency transaction related (gains) losses	(1,830)) 3,196	1,028	5,944
Other income	(6,973)) (7,107)) (13,279)) (14,419)
Other expense	2,304	2,594	4,384	5,363
Total	\$(5,147)) \$715	\$(4,644)) \$(2,006)

The net expense from financing activities includes the net expense relating to the change in the Company's financing fees.

Foreign currency transaction related (gains) losses represent net realized (gains) losses on U.S. dollar-denominated liabilities of foreign subsidiaries and net realized and unrealized (gains) losses from foreign currency option and forward contracts. There were no foreign currency option and forward contracts outstanding at June 30, 2014 and 2013.

Other income and Other expense included items of revenue, gains, expenses and losses that were unrelated to the primary business purpose of the Company. There were no items within the other income or other expense caption that were individually significant.

NOTE 11—INCOME TAXES

The effective tax rate was 32.1 percent and 31.6 percent for the second quarter and first six months of 2014, respectively, compared to 32.5 percent and 32.0 percent for the second quarter and first six months of 2013, respectively. The major components of the Company's effective tax rate were consistent for the second quarter and first six months of 2014 compared to 2013.

At December 31, 2013, the Company had \$31.0 million in unrecognized tax benefits, the recognition of which would have an effect of \$27.8 million on the current provision for income taxes. Included in the balance of unrecognized tax benefits at December 31, 2013, was \$5.6 million related to tax positions for which it is reasonably possible that the total amounts could significantly change during the next twelve months. This amount represents a decrease in unrecognized tax benefits comprised of items related to federal audits of partnership investments, assessed state income tax audits, state settlement negotiations currently in progress and expiring statutes in federal, foreign and state jurisdictions.

The Company classifies all income tax related interest and penalties as income tax expense. At December 31, 2013, the Company had accrued \$6.2 million for the potential payment of income tax interest and penalties.

There were no significant changes to any of the balances of unrecognized tax benefits at December 31, 2013 during the first six months of 2014.

The Company and its subsidiaries file income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction, and various state and foreign jurisdictions. The IRS commenced an examination of the Company's U.S. income tax returns for the 2010, 2011 and 2012 tax years in the fourth quarter of 2013. Fieldwork is expected to be completed during 2014.

As of June 30, 2014, the Company is subject to non-U.S. income tax examinations for the tax years of 2007 through 2013. In addition, the Company is subject to state and local income tax examinations for the tax years 2003 through 2013.

NOTE 12—NET INCOME PER COMMON SHARE

(Thousands of dollars except per share data)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Basic				
Average common shares outstanding	96,599,869	101,665,737	97,716,539	101,813,398
Net income	\$291,447	\$257,287	\$406,904	\$373,472
Less net income allocated to unvested restricted shares	(1,722)	(1,639)	(2,245)	(2,278)
Net income allocated to common shares	\$289,725	\$255,648	\$404,659	\$371,194
Basic net income per common share	\$3.00	\$2.51	\$4.14	\$3.65
Diluted				
Average common shares outstanding	96,599,869	101,665,737	97,716,539	101,813,398
Stock options and other contingently issuable shares ⁽¹⁾	1,942,040	2,231,043	1,972,018	2,218,320
Average common shares outstanding assuming dilution	98,541,909	103,896,780	99,688,557	104,031,718
Net income	\$291,447	\$257,287	\$406,904	\$373,472
Less net income allocated to unvested restricted shares assuming dilution	(1,690)	(1,607)	(2,205)	(2,235)
Net income allocated to common shares assuming dilution	\$289,757	\$255,680	\$404,699	\$371,237
Diluted net income per common share	\$2.94	\$2.46	\$4.06	\$3.57

There were no options excluded due to their anti-dilutive effect for the three months ended June 30, 2014. Stock ⁽¹⁾ options and other contingently issuable shares excluded 2,932 shares for the six months ended June 30, 2014.

There were no options excluded due to their anti-dilutive effect for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013. The Company has two classes of participating securities: common shares and restricted shares, representing 99% and 1% of outstanding shares, respectively. The restricted shares are shares of unvested restricted stock granted under the Company's restricted stock award program. Time-based restricted shares receive non-forfeitable dividends, while dividends on performance-based restricted shares are deferred and payment is contingent upon the awards vesting. The time-based restricted shares are considered a participating security, therefore, basic and diluted earnings per share are calculated using the two-class method in accordance with the Earnings Per Share Topic of the ASC.

NOTE 13—REPORTABLE SEGMENT INFORMATION

The Company reports segment information in the same way that management internally organizes its business for assessing performance and making decisions regarding allocation of resources in accordance with the Segment Disclosures Topic of the ASC. The Company has determined that it has four reportable operating segments: Paint Stores Group, Consumer Group, Global Finishes Group and Latin America Coatings Group (individually, a "Reportable Segment" and collectively, the "Reportable Segments").

(Thousands of dollars)	Three Months Ended June 30, 2014					
	Paint Stores Group	Consumer Group	Global Finishes Group	Latin America Coatings Group	Administrative	Consolidated Totals
Net external sales	\$1,882,592	\$433,356	\$544,597	\$181,221	\$1,229	\$3,042,995
Intersegment transfers		766,080	2,202	9,927	(778,209)	
Total net sales and intersegment transfers	\$1,882,592	\$1,199,436	\$546,799	\$191,148	\$(776,980)	\$3,042,995
Segment profit	\$375,857	\$92,488	⁽¹⁾ \$54,865	\$5,660		\$528,870
Interest expense					\$(16,374)	(16,374)
Administrative expenses and other					(83,266)	(83,266)
Income before income taxes	\$375,857	\$92,488	\$54,865	\$5,660	\$(99,640)	\$429,230
	Three Months Ended June 30, 2013					
	Paint Stores Group	Consumer Group	Global Finishes Group	Latin America Coatings Group	Administrative	Consolidated Totals
Net external sales	\$1,606,509	\$393,660	\$513,524	\$198,991	\$1,205	\$2,713,889
Intersegment transfers		658,202	3,740	9,691	(671,633)	
Total net sales and intersegment transfers	\$1,606,509	\$1,051,862	\$517,264	\$208,682	\$(670,428)	\$2,713,889
Segment profit	\$332,972	\$79,042	⁽¹⁾ \$54,462	\$856		\$467,332
Interest expense					\$(15,069)	(15,069)
Administrative expenses and other					(71,379)	(71,379)
Income before income taxes	\$332,972	\$79,042	\$54,462	\$856	\$(86,448)	\$380,884

⁽¹⁾ Segment profit includes \$8,891 and \$8,108 of mark-up on intersegment transfers realized as a result of external sales by the Paint Stores Group during the second quarter of 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Edgar Filing: SHERWIN WILLIAMS CO - Form 10-Q

Six Months Ended June 30, 2014

	Paint Stores Group	Consumer Group	Global Finishes Group	Latin America Coatings Group	Administrative	Consolidated Totals
Net external sales	\$3,242,595	\$758,655	\$1,042,236	\$363,609	\$2,456	\$5,409,551
Intersegment transfers		1,312,642	3,615	20,049	(1,336,306)	
Total net sales and intersegment transfers	\$3,242,595	\$2,071,297	\$1,045,851	\$383,658	\$(1,333,850)	\$5,409,551
Segment profit	\$522,122	\$143,576	⁽²⁾ \$101,342	\$15,647		\$782,687
Interest expense					\$(32,768)	\$(32,768)
Administrative expenses and other					(154,612)	(154,612)
Income before income taxes	\$522,122	\$143,576	\$101,342	\$15,647	\$(187,380)	\$595,307

Six Months Ended June 30, 2013

	Paint Stores Group	Consumer Group	Global Finishes Group	Latin America Coatings Group	Administrative	Consolidated Totals
Net external sales	\$2,774,446	\$702,240	\$1,000,342	\$401,627	\$2,402	\$4,881,057
Intersegment transfers		1,165,906	5,031	19,912	(1,190,849)	
Total net sales and intersegment transfers	\$2,774,446	\$1,868,146	\$1,005,373	\$421,539	\$(1,188,447)	\$4,881,057
Segment profit	\$462,685	\$133,014	⁽²⁾ \$88,393	\$21,695		\$705,787
Interest expense					\$(30,380)	\$(30,380)
Administrative expenses and other					(126,139)	(126,139)
Income before income taxes	\$462,685	\$133,014	\$88,393	\$21,695	\$(156,519)	\$549,268

⁽²⁾ Segment profit includes \$15,325 and \$14,278 of mark-up on intersegment transfers realized as a result of external sales by the Paint Stores Group during the first six months of 2014 and 2013, respectively.

In the reportable segment financial information, Segment profit was total net sales and intersegment transfers less operating costs and expenses. Domestic intersegment transfers were accounted for at the approximate fully absorbed manufactured cost, based on normal capacity volumes, plus customary distribution costs. International intersegment transfers were accounted for at values comparable to normal unaffiliated customer sales. The Administrative segment includes the administrative expenses of the Company's corporate headquarters site. Also included in the Administrative segment was interest expense, interest and investment income, certain expenses related to closed facilities and environmental-related matters, and other expenses which were not directly associated with the Reportable Segments. The Administrative segment did not include any significant foreign operations. Also included in the Administrative segment was a real estate management unit that is responsible for the ownership, management and leasing of non-retail properties held primarily for use by the Company, including the Company's headquarters site, and disposal of idle facilities. Sales of this segment represented external leasing revenue of excess headquarters space or leasing of facilities no longer used by the Company in its primary businesses. Gains and losses from the sale of property were not a significant operating factor in determining the performance of the Administrative segment. Net external sales and segment profit of all consolidated foreign subsidiaries were \$576.7 million and \$32.0 million, respectively, for the second quarter of 2014, and \$531.6 million and \$5.6 million, respectively, for the second quarter of 2013. Net external sales and segment profit of these subsidiaries were \$1.106 billion and \$54.7 million, respectively, for the first six months of 2014, and \$1.048 billion and \$31.2 million, respectively, for the first six months of 2013. Long-lived assets of these subsidiaries totaled \$614.6 million and \$596.5 million at June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013, respectively. Domestic operations accounted for the remaining net external sales, segment profits

and long-lived assets. No single geographic area outside the United States was significant relative to consolidated net external sales, income before taxes, or consolidated long-lived assets.

Export sales and sales to any individual customer were each less than 10 percent of consolidated sales to unaffiliated customers during all periods presented.

NOTE 14—ACQUISITIONS

On September 16, 2013, the Company entered into a definitive Stock Purchase Agreement and completed the acquisition of the U.S./Canada business of Consorcio Comex, S.A. de C.V. (Comex). The Company engaged an independent valuation firm to value the assets of the acquired business, and the appropriate adjustments have been recorded. The U.S./Canada business of

Comex focuses on the manufacture and sale of paint and paint related products through retail service centers under various proprietary brands. The acquisition of the U.S./Canada business of Comex strengthens the ability of the Paint Stores Group and Consumer Group to serve customers in key geographic markets. Also on September 16, 2013, the Company amended and restated the stock purchase agreement for the acquisition of the Mexico business of Comex to, among other things, extend the exclusivity period to March 31, 2014. Under the terms of the amended and restated stock purchase agreement, either the Company or the sellers may terminate the amended and restated stock purchase agreement in the event that the closing of the acquisition did not occur on or prior to March 31, 2014 and such party is not in material breach of such agreement. On April 3, 2014, pursuant to its right to terminate the amended and restated purchase agreement, the Company sent notice via overnight mail to the sellers that it was terminating such agreement, effective immediately. Please refer to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated April 3, 2014, which is incorporated herein by reference, for further information.

The completed acquisition above has been accounted for as a purchase and the results of operations have been included in the consolidated financial statements since the date of acquisition. This acquisition resulted in the recognition of intangible assets.

The following unaudited pro-forma summary presents consolidated financial information as if the U.S./Canada business of Comex had been acquired as of the beginning of each period presented. The pro-forma consolidated financial information does not necessarily reflect the actual results that would have occurred had the acquisition taken place on January 1, 2013 or of future results of operations of this acquisition under ownership and operation of the Company.

(Thousands of dollars except per share data)	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Net sales	\$3,042,995	\$2,849,091	\$5,409,551	\$5,123,962
Net income	291,447	249,693	406,904	352,502
Net income per common share:				
Basic	\$3.00	\$2.44	\$4.14	\$3.44
Diluted	\$2.94	\$2.39	\$4.06	\$3.37

NOTE 15—FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

The Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Topic of the ASC applies to the Company's financial and non-financial assets and liabilities. The guidance applies when other standards require or permit the fair value measurement of assets and liabilities. It does not expand the use of fair value measurements. The Company did not have any fair value measurements for its non-financial assets and liabilities during the second quarter. The following table presents the Company's financial assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis, categorized using the fair value hierarchy:

(Thousands of dollars)

	Fair Value at	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Assets:				
Deferred compensation plan asset ⁽¹⁾	\$23,161	\$3,728	\$19,433	
Liabilities:				
Deferred compensation plan liability ⁽²⁾	\$29,382	\$29,382		

⁽¹⁾ The deferred compensation plan asset consists of the investment funds maintained for the future payments under the Company's executive deferred compensation plan, which is structured as a rabbi trust. The investments are marketable securities accounted for under the Debt and Equity Securities Topic of the ASC. The level 1

investments are valued using quoted market prices multiplied by the number of shares. The level 2 investments are valued based on vendor or broker models. The cost basis of the investment funds is \$22,405.

The deferred compensation plan liability is the Company's liability under its executive deferred compensation plan.

- (2) The liability represents the fair value of the participant shadow accounts, and the value is based on quoted market prices.

NOTE 16—DEBT

The table below summarizes the carrying amount and fair value of the Company's publicly traded debt and non-publicly traded debt in accordance with the Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Topic of the ASC. The fair values of the Company's publicly traded debt are based on quoted market prices. The fair values of the Company's non-traded debt are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses, based on the Company's current incremental borrowing rates for similar types of borrowing arrangements. The Company's publicly traded debt and non-traded debt are classified as level 1 and level 2, respectively, in the fair value hierarchy.

(Thousands of dollars)	June 30, 2014		June 30, 2013	
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value
Publicly traded debt	\$1,620,784	\$1,654,524	\$1,630,197	\$1,637,505
Non-traded debt	3,761	3,558	4,386	4,245

NOTE 17—NON-TRADED INVESTMENTS

The Company has invested in the U.S. affordable housing and historic renovation real estate markets. These non-traded investments have been identified as variable interest entities. However, because the Company does not have the power to direct the day-to-day operations of the investments and the risk of loss is limited to the amount of contributed capital, the Company is not considered the primary beneficiary. In accordance with the Consolidation Topic of the ASC, the investments are not consolidated. The Company uses the effective yield method to determine the carrying value of the investments. Under the effective yield method, the initial cost of the investments is amortized over the period that the tax credits are recognized. The carrying amount of the investments, included in Other assets, was \$245.3 million and \$236.5 million at June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The liability for estimated future capital contributions to the investments was \$217.7 million and \$205.8 million at June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS
SUMMARY

The Sherwin-Williams Company, founded in 1866, and its consolidated wholly owned subsidiaries (collectively, the "Company") are engaged in the development, manufacture, distribution and sale of paint, coatings and related products to professional, industrial, commercial and retail customers primarily in North and South America with additional operations in the Caribbean region, Europe and Asia. The Company is structured into four reportable segments—Paint Stores Group, Consumer Group, Global Finishes Group and Latin America Coatings Group (collectively, the "Reportable Segments")—and an Administrative segment in the same way it is internally organized for assessing performance and making decisions regarding allocation of resources. See pages 6 through 15 and Note 18, on pages 73 through 75, in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 for more information concerning the Reportable Segments.

The Company's financial condition, liquidity and cash flow continued to be strong through the first six months of 2014 primarily due to improved operating results in our Paint Stores, Consumer and Global Finishes Groups. Net working capital decreased \$992.1 million at June 30, 2014 compared to the end of the second quarter of 2013 due to a significant increase in current liabilities and a decrease in current assets. Current portion of long-term debt increased \$499.5 million resulting from the 3.125% Senior Notes becoming due in 2014 while cash and cash equivalents decreased \$473.9 million resulting primarily from treasury stock purchases. The Company has been able to arrange sufficient short-term borrowing capacity at reasonable rates, and the Company has sufficient total available borrowing capacity to fund its current operating needs. Net operating cash improved \$29.5 million in the first six months of 2014 to a cash source of \$331.6 million from a cash source of \$302.1 million in 2013 primarily due to improved operating results in the core business partially offset by the impact of operating loss from acquisitions.

Consolidated net sales increased 12.1 percent in the second quarter of 2014 to \$3.043 billion from \$2.714 billion in the second quarter of 2013 and increased 10.8 percent in the first six months of 2014 to \$5.410 billion from \$4.881 billion in the first six months of 2013 due primarily to higher paint sales volume in our Paint Stores Group and acquisitions. Consolidated gross profit as a percent of consolidated net sales increased in the second quarter to 46.3 percent from 45.5 percent in 2013 and increased to 45.8 percent from 45.0 percent in the first six months due primarily to increased paint volume, improved operating efficiency and selling price increases. Selling, general and administrative expenses (SG&A) increased as a percent of consolidated net sales to 31.8 percent from 30.8 percent in the second quarter of 2013 and increased to 34.3 percent from 33.1 percent in the first six months primarily due to timing of net new store openings and acquisitions. Interest expense increased \$1.3 million in the second quarter and increased \$2.4 million in the first six months of 2014. The effective income tax rate for the second quarter of 2014 was 32.1 percent compared to 32.5 percent in 2013 and the rate for the first six months of 2014 was 31.6 percent compared to 32.0 percent in 2013. Diluted net income per common share increased to \$2.94 per share, including a \$.06 per share loss from acquisitions, for the second quarter of 2014 from \$2.46 per share a year ago and increased to \$4.06 per share from \$3.57 per share in the first six months.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

The preparation and fair presentation of the consolidated unaudited interim financial statements and accompanying notes included in this report are the responsibility of management. The financial statements and footnotes have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial statements and contain certain amounts that were based upon management's best estimates, judgments and assumptions that were believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Management considered the impact of the uncertain economic environment and utilized certain outside sources of economic information when developing the basis for their estimates and assumptions. The impact of the global economic conditions on the estimates and assumptions used by management was believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Management used assumptions based on historical results, considering the current economic trends, and other assumptions to form the basis for determining appropriate carrying values of assets and liabilities that were not readily available from other sources. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Also, materially different amounts may result under materially different conditions, materially different

economic trends or from using materially different assumptions. However, management believes that any materially different amounts resulting from materially different conditions or material changes in facts or circumstances are unlikely to significantly impact the current valuation of assets and liabilities that were not readily available from other sources.

A comprehensive discussion of the Company's critical accounting policies and management estimates and significant accounting policies followed in the preparation of the financial statements is included in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and in Note 1, on pages 48 through 51, in the Company's Annual

Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013. There have been no significant changes in critical accounting policies, management estimates or accounting policies followed since the year ended December 31, 2013.

FINANCIAL CONDITION, LIQUIDITY AND CASH FLOW

Overview

The Company's financial condition, liquidity and cash flow continued to be strong through the first six months of 2014 primarily due to improved operating results in our Paint Stores, Consumer and Global Finishes Groups. Net working capital decreased \$992.1 million at June 30, 2014 compared to the end of the second quarter of 2013 due to a significant increase in current liabilities and a decrease in current assets. Cash and cash equivalents decreased \$473.9 million primarily due to treasury stock purchases and an increase in accounts receivable of \$103.1 million, an increase in inventories of \$106.3 million and an increase in all other current assets of \$30.1 million. Short-term borrowings increased \$14.1 million, accounts payable increased \$127.1 million, current portion of long-term debt increased \$499.5 million resulting from the 3.125% Senior Notes becoming due in 2014, and all other current liabilities increased \$117.1 million from June 30, 2013. Net working capital decreases were partially offset by net increases from acquisitions. The Company continues to maintain sufficient short-term borrowing capacity at reasonable rates, and the Company has sufficient cash on hand and total available borrowing capacity to fund its current operating needs. In the first six months of 2014, accounts receivable increased \$305.1 million when normal seasonal trends typically require significant growth in this category, and inventories increased \$120.4 million primarily from acquisitions. Accounts payable increased \$246.1 million primarily due to the seasonal increase in need for working capital along with increases from acquisitions, while short-term borrowings decreased \$31.8 million and all other current liabilities decreased \$17.6 million primarily due to timing of compensation and taxes withheld and other accrued expense payments, partially offset by timing of accrued taxes. The Company's current ratio was 1.14 at June 30, 2014 compared to 1.70 at June 30, 2013 and 1.25 at December 31, 2013. Total debt at June 30, 2014 increased \$4.0 million to \$1.689 billion from \$1.685 billion at June 30, 2013 and increased as a percentage of total capitalization to 52.6 percent from 47.4 percent at the end of the second quarter last year. Total debt decreased \$32.6 million from December 31, 2013 and increased as a percentage of total capitalization from 49.2 percent. At June 30, 2014, the Company had remaining borrowing ability of \$2.290 billion. Net operating cash improved \$29.5 million in the first six months of 2014 to a cash source of \$331.6 million from a cash source of \$302.1 million in 2013. In the twelve month period from July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014, the Company generated net operating cash of \$1.113 billion, used \$294.9 million in investing activities, and used \$1.282 billion in financing activities. In that same period, the Company invested \$161.4 million in capital additions and improvements, invested \$74.9 million in acquisitions, had net proceeds from total debt of \$6.9 million, purchased \$1.202 billion in treasury stock and paid \$210.5 million in cash dividends to its shareholders of common stock.

Net Working Capital, Debt and Other Long-Term Assets and Liabilities

Cash and cash equivalents decreased \$477.7 million during the first six months of 2014. Cash and cash equivalents on hand funded cash requirements for increased sales and normal seasonal increases in working capital, capital expenditures of \$66.9 million, payments of cash dividends of \$108.8 million, treasury stock purchases of \$665.5 million and net payments made on long-term debt of \$0.8 million. At June 30, 2014, the Company's current ratio was 1.14 compared to 1.25 at December 31, 2013 and 1.70 a year ago. The decrease resulted from the increase in current portion of long-term debt and the decrease in cash and cash equivalents.

Goodwill and intangible assets decreased \$10.3 million from December 31, 2013 and decreased \$1.8 million from June 30, 2013. The net decrease during the first six months of 2014 was due primarily to amortization of \$15.1 million. The net decrease over the twelve month period from June 30, 2013 resulted from amortization of \$30.1 million partially offset by capitalization of software of \$17.3 million and acquisitions of \$15.6 million. See Note 4, on pages 52 to 53, in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 for more information concerning goodwill and intangible assets.

Deferred pension assets increased \$2.1 million during the first six months of 2014 and increased \$53.6 million from June 30, 2013. The increase in the last twelve months was due primarily to increases in the fair market value of equity securities held by the Company's defined benefit pension plans. See Note 6, on pages 56 through 61, in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 for more information concerning the Company's

benefit plan assets.

Other assets at June 30, 2014 increased \$32.4 million in the first six months of 2014 and increased \$60.9 million from a year ago. Both increases were due primarily to increases in various other investments along with increased investments in affordable housing and historic renovation real estate properties.

Net property, plant and equipment decreased \$15.1 million in the first six months of 2014 and increased \$54.1 million in the twelve months since June 30, 2013. The decrease in the first six months was primarily due to depreciation expense of \$83.5 million, sale or disposition of fixed assets of \$1.3 million and changes in currency translation rates of \$0.8 million partially

offset by capital expenditures of \$66.9 million and acquisitions of \$3.7 million. Since June 30, 2013, capital expenditures of \$161.4 million, acquisitions of \$55.8 million, and changes in currency translation rates of \$5.3 million were partially offset by depreciation expense of \$164.0 million and dispositions or sale of assets with remaining net book value of \$4.4 million. Capital expenditures during the first six months of 2014 primarily represented expenditures associated with improvements and normal equipment replacement in manufacturing and distribution facilities in the Consumer Group and normal equipment replacement in the Paint Stores and Global Finishes Groups. There were no borrowings under the Company's domestic commercial paper program outstanding nor certain other short-term revolving and letter of credit agreements at June 30, 2014. Short-term borrowings outstanding under various foreign programs at June 30, 2014 were \$64.7 million with a weighted average interest rate of 8.2 percent. The Company had unused capacity of \$1.050 billion at June 30, 2014 under the commercial paper program that is backed by the Company's revolving credit agreement. There were no significant changes in long-term debt during the second quarter of 2014. See Note 7, on pages 61 to 62, in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 for more information concerning the Company's debt.

Long-term liabilities for postretirement benefits other than pensions did not change significantly from December 31, 2013 and decreased \$48.1 million from June 30, 2013. The decrease in the liability was due to the decrease in the actuarially determined postretirement benefit obligation resulting from changes in actuarial assumptions and favorable claims experience. See Note 6, on pages 56 to 61, in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 for more information concerning the Company's benefit plan obligations.

Other long-term liabilities at June 30, 2014 increased \$8.1 million in the first six months of 2014 and increased \$74.9 million from a year ago primarily due to an increase in non-current deferred tax liabilities.

Environmental-Related Liabilities

The operations of the Company, like those of other companies in the same industry, are subject to various federal, state and local environmental laws and regulations. These laws and regulations not only govern current operations and products, but also impose potential liability on the Company for past operations. Management expects environmental laws and regulations to impose increasingly stringent requirements upon the Company and the industry in the future. Management believes that the Company conducts its operations in compliance with applicable environmental laws and regulations and has implemented various programs designed to protect the environment and promote continued compliance.

Depreciation of capital expenditures and other expenses related to ongoing environmental compliance measures were included in the normal operating expenses of conducting business. The Company's capital expenditures, depreciation and other expenses related to ongoing environmental compliance measures were not material to the Company's financial condition, liquidity, cash flow or results of operations during the first six months of 2014. Management does not expect that such capital expenditures, depreciation and other expenses will be material to the Company's financial condition, liquidity, cash flow or results of operations in 2014.

The Company is involved with environmental investigation and remediation activities at some of its currently and formerly owned sites (including sites which were previously owned and/or operated by businesses acquired by the Company). In addition, the Company, together with other parties, has been designated a potentially responsible party under federal and state environmental protection laws for the investigation and remediation of environmental contamination and hazardous waste at a number of third party sites, primarily Superfund sites. The Company may be similarly designated with respect to additional third party sites in the future.

The Company accrues for estimated costs of investigation and remediation activities at its currently and formerly owned sites and third party sites for which commitments or clean-up plans have been developed and when such costs can be reasonably estimated based on industry standards and professional judgment. These estimated costs are based on currently available facts

regarding each site. The Company accrues a specific estimated amount when such an amount and a time frame in which the costs will be incurred can be reasonably determined. If the best estimate of costs can only be identified as a range and no specific amount within that range can be determined more likely than any other amount within the range, the minimum of the range is accrued by the Company in accordance with applicable accounting rules and interpretations. The Company continuously assesses its potential liability for investigation and remediation activities

and adjusts its environmental-related accruals as information becomes available upon which more accurate costs can be reasonably estimated. At June 30, 2014 and 2013, the Company had accruals for environmental-related activities of \$98.1 million and \$110.9 million, respectively.

Due to the uncertainties of the scope and magnitude of contamination and the degree of investigation and remediation activities that may be necessary at certain currently or formerly owned sites and third party sites, it is reasonably likely that further extensive investigations may be required and that extensive remedial actions may be necessary not only on such sites but on

adjacent properties. Depending on the extent of the additional investigations and remedial actions necessary, the Company's ultimate liability may result in costs that are significantly higher than currently accrued. If the Company's future loss contingency is ultimately determined to be at the maximum of the range of possible outcomes for every site for which costs can be reasonably estimated, the Company's aggregate accruals for environmental-related activities would be \$93.6 million higher than the accruals at June 30, 2014.

Two of the Company's currently and formerly owned sites accounted for the majority of the accruals for environmental-related activities and the unaccrued maximum of the estimated range of possible outcomes at June 30, 2014. At June 30, 2014, \$56.5 million, or 57.6 percent, related directly to these two sites. Of the aggregate unaccrued exposure at June 30, 2014, \$63.0 million, or 67.3 percent, related to the two sites. While environmental investigations and remedial actions are in different stages at these sites, additional investigations, remedial actions and/or monitoring will likely be required at each site. A comprehensive description of the two currently and formerly owned sites that account for the majority of the accruals for environmental-related activities is included in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013. There have been no significant changes in the investigative or remedial status of the two sites since December 31, 2013.

Management cannot presently estimate the ultimate potential loss contingencies related to these two sites or other less significant sites until such time as a substantial portion of the investigative activities at each site is completed and remedial action plans are developed.

In accordance with the Asset Retirement Obligations Topic of the ASC, the Company has identified certain conditional asset retirement obligations at various current manufacturing, distribution and store facilities. These obligations relate primarily to asbestos abatement and closures of hazardous waste containment devices. Using investigative, remediation and disposal methods that are currently available to the Company, the estimated cost of these obligations is not significant.

In the event any future loss contingency significantly exceeds the current amount accrued, the recording of the ultimate liability may result in a material impact on net income for the annual or interim period during which the additional costs are accrued. Management does not believe that any potential liability ultimately attributed to the Company for its environmental-related matters or conditional asset retirement obligations will have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition, liquidity or cash flow due to the extended period of time during which environmental investigation and remediation takes place. An estimate of the potential impact on the Company's operations cannot be made due to the aforementioned uncertainties.

Management expects these contingent environmental-related liabilities and conditional asset retirement obligations to be resolved over an extended period of time. Management is unable to provide a more specific time frame due to the indefinite amount of time to conduct investigation activities at any site, the indefinite amount of time to obtain governmental agency approval, as necessary, with respect to investigation and remediation activities, and the indefinite amount of time necessary to conduct remediation activities.

Contractual Obligations, Commercial Commitments and Warranties

Short-term borrowings decreased \$31.8 million to \$64.7 million at June 30, 2014 from \$96.6 million at December 31, 2013. Total long-term debt was \$1.625 billion at June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 and decreased \$10.0 million from \$1.635 billion at June 30, 2013. See the Financial Condition, Liquidity and Cash Flow section of this report for more information. There have been no other significant changes to the Company's contractual obligations and commercial commitments in the second quarter of 2014 as summarized in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Changes to the Company's accrual for product warranty claims in the first six months of 2014 are disclosed in Note 5. Litigation

In the course of its business, the Company is subject to a variety of claims and lawsuits, including, but not limited to, litigation relating to product liability and warranty, personal injury, environmental, intellectual property, commercial, contractual and antitrust claims that are inherently subject to many uncertainties regarding the possibility of a loss to

the Company. These uncertainties will ultimately be resolved when one or more future events occur or fail to occur confirming the incurrence of a liability or the reduction of a liability. In accordance with the Contingencies Topic of the ASC, the Company accrues for these contingencies by a charge to income when it is both probable that one or more future events will occur confirming the fact of a loss and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. In the event that the Company's loss contingency is ultimately determined to be significantly higher than currently accrued, the recording of the additional liability may result in a material impact on the Company's results of operations, liquidity or financial condition for the annual or interim period during which such additional liability is accrued. In those cases where no accrual is recorded because it is not probable that a liability has

been incurred and the amount of any such loss cannot be reasonably estimated, any potential liability ultimately determined to be attributable to the Company may result in a material impact on the Company's results of operations, liquidity or financial condition for the annual or interim period during which such liability is accrued. In those cases where no accrual is recorded or exposure to loss exists in excess of the amount accrued, the Contingencies Topic of the ASC requires disclosure of the contingency when there is a reasonable possibility that a loss or additional loss may have been incurred.

Lead pigment and lead-based paint litigation. The Company's past operations included the manufacture and sale of lead pigments and lead-based paints. The Company, along with other companies, is and has been a defendant in a number of legal proceedings, including individual personal injury actions, purported class actions, and actions brought by various counties, cities, school districts and other government-related entities, arising from the manufacture and sale of lead pigments and lead-based paints. The plaintiffs' claims have been based upon various legal theories, including negligence, strict liability, breach of warranty, negligent misrepresentations and omissions, fraudulent misrepresentations and omissions, concert of action, civil conspiracy, violations of unfair trade practice and consumer protection laws, enterprise liability, market share liability, public nuisance, unjust enrichment and other theories. The plaintiffs seek various damages and relief, including personal injury and property damage, costs relating to the detection and abatement of lead-based paint from buildings, costs associated with a public education campaign, medical monitoring costs and others. The Company has also been a defendant in legal proceedings arising from the manufacture and sale of non-lead-based paints that seek recovery based upon various legal theories, including the failure to adequately warn of potential exposure to lead during surface preparation when using non-lead-based paint on surfaces previously painted with lead-based paint. The Company believes that the litigation brought to date is without merit or subject to meritorious defenses and is vigorously defending such litigation. The Company has not settled any lead pigment or lead-based paint litigation. The Company expects that additional lead pigment and lead-based paint litigation may be filed against the Company in the future asserting similar or different legal theories and seeking similar or different types of damages and relief.

Notwithstanding the Company's views on the merits, litigation is inherently subject to many uncertainties, and the Company ultimately may not prevail. Adverse court rulings or determinations of liability, among other factors, could affect the lead pigment and lead-based paint litigation against the Company and encourage an increase in the number and nature of future claims and proceedings. In addition, from time to time, various legislation and administrative regulations have been enacted, promulgated or proposed to impose obligations on present and former manufacturers of lead pigments and lead-based paints respecting asserted health concerns associated with such products or to overturn the effect of court decisions in which the Company and other manufacturers have been successful.

Due to the uncertainties involved, management is unable to predict the outcome of the lead pigment and lead-based paint litigation, the number or nature of possible future claims and proceedings or the effect that any legislation and/or administrative regulations may have on the litigation or against the Company. In addition, management cannot reasonably determine the scope or amount of the potential costs and liabilities related to such litigation, or resulting from any such legislation and regulations. The Company has not accrued any amounts for such litigation. With respect to such litigation, including the public nuisance litigation, the Company does not believe that it is probable that a loss has occurred, and it is not possible to estimate the range of potential losses as there is no prior history of a loss of this nature and there is no substantive information upon which an estimate could be based. In addition, any potential liability that may result from any changes to legislation and regulations cannot reasonably be estimated. In the event any significant liability is determined to be attributable to the Company relating to such litigation, the recording of the liability may result in a material impact on net income for the annual or interim period during which such liability is accrued. Additionally, due to the uncertainties associated with the amount of any such liability and/or the nature of any other remedy which may be imposed in such litigation, any potential liability determined to be attributable to the Company arising out of such litigation may have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations, liquidity or financial condition. An estimate of the potential impact on the Company's results of operations, liquidity or financial condition cannot be made due to the aforementioned uncertainties.

Public nuisance claim litigation. The Company and other companies are or were defendants in legal proceedings seeking recovery based on public nuisance liability theories, among other theories, brought by the State of Rhode

Island, the City of St. Louis, Missouri, various cities and counties in the State of New Jersey, various cities in the State of Ohio and the State of Ohio, the City of Chicago, Illinois, the City of Milwaukee, Wisconsin and the County of Santa Clara, California and other public entities in the State of California. Except for the Santa Clara County, California proceeding, all of these legal proceedings have been concluded in favor of the Company and other defendants at various stages in the proceedings.

The proceedings initiated by the State of Rhode Island included two jury trials. At the conclusion of the second trial, the jury returned a verdict finding that (i) the cumulative presence of lead pigment in paints and coatings on buildings in the State of Rhode Island constitutes a public nuisance, (ii) the Company, along with two other defendants, caused or substantially contributed to the creation of the public nuisance and (iii) the Company and two other defendants should be ordered to abate the public nuisance. The Company and two other defendants appealed and, on July 1, 2008, the Rhode Island Supreme Court,

among other determinations, reversed the judgment of abatement with respect to the Company and two other defendants. The Rhode Island Supreme Court's decision reversed the public nuisance liability judgment against the Company on the basis that the complaint failed to state a public nuisance claim as a matter of law.

The Santa Clara County, California proceeding was initiated in March 2000 in the Superior Court of the State of California, County of Santa Clara. In the original complaint, the plaintiffs asserted various claims including fraud and concealment, strict product liability/failure to warn, strict product liability/design defect, negligence, negligent breach of a special duty, public nuisance, private nuisance, and violations of California's Business and Professions Code. A number of the asserted claims were resolved in favor of the defendants through pre-trial proceedings. The named plaintiffs in the Fourth Amended Complaint, filed on March 16, 2011, are the Counties of Santa Clara, Alameda, Los Angeles, Monterey, San Mateo, Solano and Ventura, the Cities of Oakland and San Diego and the City and County of San Francisco. The Fourth Amended Complaint asserted a sole claim for public nuisance, alleging that the presence of lead pigments for use in paint and coatings in, on and around residences in the plaintiffs' jurisdictions constitutes a public nuisance. The plaintiffs sought the abatement of the alleged public nuisance that exists within the plaintiffs' jurisdictions. A trial commenced on July 15, 2013 and ended on August 22, 2013. The court entered final judgment on January 27, 2014, finding in favor of the plaintiffs and against the Company and two other defendants (ConAgra Grocery Products Company and NL Industries, Inc.). The final judgment held the Company jointly and severally liable with the other two defendants to pay \$1.15 billion into a fund to abate the public nuisance. The Company strongly disagrees with the judgment. On February 18, 2014, the Company filed a motion for new trial and a motion to vacate the judgment. The court denied these motions on March 24, 2014. On March 28, 2014, the Company filed a notice of appeal to the Sixth District Court of Appeal for the State of California. The filing of the notice of appeal effects an automatic stay of the judgment without the requirement to post a bond. The Company believes that the judgment conflicts with established principles of law and is unsupported by the evidence. The Company has had a favorable history with respect to lead pigment and lead-based paint litigation, particularly other public nuisance litigation, and accordingly, the Company believes that it is not probable that a loss has occurred and it is not possible to estimate the range of potential loss with respect to the case.

Litigation seeking damages from alleged personal injury. The Company and other companies are defendants in a number of legal proceedings seeking monetary damages and other relief from alleged personal injuries. These proceedings include claims by children allegedly injured from ingestion of lead pigment or lead-containing paint and claims for damages allegedly incurred by the children's parents or guardians. These proceedings generally seek compensatory and punitive damages, and seek other relief including medical monitoring costs. These proceedings include purported claims by individuals, groups of individuals and class actions.

The plaintiff in *Thomas v. Lead Industries Association, et al.*, initiated an action in state court against the Company, other alleged former lead pigment manufacturers and the Lead Industries Association in September 1999. The claims against the Company and the other defendants included strict liability, negligence, negligent misrepresentation and omissions, fraudulent misrepresentation and omissions, concert of action, civil conspiracy and enterprise liability. Implicit within these claims is the theory of "risk contribution" liability (Wisconsin's theory which is similar to market share liability, except that liability can be joint and several) due to the plaintiff's inability to identify the manufacturer of any product that allegedly injured the plaintiff. The case ultimately proceeded to trial and, on November 5, 2007, the jury returned a defense verdict, finding that the plaintiff had ingested white lead carbonate, but was not brain damaged or injured as a result. The plaintiff appealed and, on December 16, 2010, the Wisconsin Court of Appeals affirmed the final judgment in favor of the Company and other defendants.

Wisconsin is the only jurisdiction to date to apply a theory of liability with respect to alleged personal injury (i.e., risk contribution/market share liability) that does not require the plaintiff to identify the manufacturer of the product that allegedly injured the plaintiff in the lead pigment and lead-based paint litigation. Although the risk contribution liability theory was applied during the *Thomas* trial, the constitutionality of this theory as applied to the lead pigment cases has not been judicially determined by the Wisconsin state courts. However, in an unrelated action filed in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Wisconsin, *Gibson v. American Cyanamid, et al.*, on November 15, 2010, the District Court held that Wisconsin's risk contribution theory as applied in that case violated the defendants' right to substantive due process and is unconstitutionally retroactive. The District Court's decision in

Gibson v. American Cyanamid, et al., has been appealed by the plaintiff and is awaiting a decision by the United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit. Also, in Yasmine Clark v. The Sherwin-Williams Company, et al., the Wisconsin Circuit Court, Milwaukee County, on March 25, 2014, held that the application to a pending case of Section 895.046 of the Wisconsin Statutes (which clarifies the application of the risk contribution theory) is unconstitutional as a violation of the plaintiff's right to due process of law under the Wisconsin Constitution. On April 8, 2014, defendants filed a petition requesting the Wisconsin Court of Appeal to hear the issue as an interlocutory appeal and plaintiff filed a response on April 22, 2014.

Insurance coverage litigation. The Company and its liability insurers, including certain underwriters at Lloyd's of London, initiated legal proceedings against each other to primarily determine, among other things, whether the costs and liabilities

associated with the abatement of lead pigment are covered under certain insurance policies issued to the Company. The Company's action, filed on March 3, 2006 in the Common Pleas Court, Cuyahoga County, Ohio, is currently stayed and inactive. The liability insurers' action, which was filed on February 23, 2006 in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of New York, has been dismissed. An ultimate loss in the insurance coverage litigation would mean that insurance proceeds could be unavailable under the policies at issue to mitigate any ultimate abatement related costs and liabilities. The Company has not recorded any assets related to these insurance policies or otherwise assumed that proceeds from these insurance policies would be received in estimating any contingent liability accrual. Therefore, an ultimate loss in the insurance coverage litigation without a determination of liability against the Company in the lead pigment or lead-based paint litigation will have no impact on the Company's results of operation, liquidity or financial condition. As previously stated, however, the Company has not accrued any amounts for the lead pigment or lead-based paint litigation and any significant liability ultimately determined to be attributable to the Company relating to such litigation may result in a material impact on the Company's results of operations, liquidity or financial condition for the annual or interim period during which such liability is accrued.

Government tax assessment settlements related to Brazilian operations. Charges totaling \$28.7 million and \$2.9 million were recorded to Cost of goods sold and SG&A, respectively, during the second and third quarters of 2013. The charges were primarily related to import duty taxes paid to the Brazilian government related to the handling of import duties on products brought into the country for the years 2006 through 2012. The Company elected to pay the taxes through an existing voluntary amnesty program offered by the government to resolve these issues rather than contest them in court. The after-tax charges were \$21.9 million for the full year 2013. The Company's import duty process in Brazil was changed to reach a final resolution of this matter with the Brazilian government.

Litigation related to Consorcio Comex. As previously disclosed, the Company entered into a definitive Stock Purchase Agreement (as subsequently amended and restated, the "Purchase Agreement"), with Avisep, S.A. de C.V. ("Avisep") and Bevisep, S.A. de C.V. ("Bevisep") to, among other things, acquire the Mexico business of Consorcio Comex, S.A. de C.V. (the "Acquisition"). Under the terms of the Purchase Agreement, either the Company or Avisep and Bevisep had the right to terminate the Purchase Agreement in the event that the closing of the Acquisition did not occur on or prior to March 31, 2014 and such party was not in material breach of the Purchase Agreement.

On April 3, 2014, the Company sent notice to Avisep and Bevisep that the Company was terminating the Purchase Agreement. On April 3, 2014, the Company filed a complaint for declaratory judgment in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, New York County, requesting the court to declare that the Company had used commercially reasonable efforts as required under the Purchase Agreement and has not breached the Purchase Agreement. On April 11, 2014, Avisep and Bevisep initiated an arbitration proceeding against the Company in the International Court of Arbitration contending that the Company breached the Purchase Agreement by terminating the Purchase Agreement and not utilizing commercially reasonable efforts under the Purchase Agreement, which allegedly caused Avisep and Bevisep to incur damages. The Company believes that the claims are without merit and intends to vigorously defend against such claims.

Titanium dioxide suppliers antitrust class action lawsuit. The Company is a member of the plaintiff class related to Titanium Dioxide Antitrust Litigation that was initiated in 2010 against certain suppliers alleging various theories of relief arising from purchases of titanium dioxide made from 2003 through 2012. The Court has approved a settlement less attorney fees and expenses that is expected to be approximately \$109.0 million. The Company timely submitted claims to recover its pro-rata portion of the final net settlement. There is no specified deadline for the claims administrator to complete the review of all claims submitted. At this point, a possible settlement amount or range that the Company expects to receive cannot be reasonably determined due to the uncertainty in the number and dollar amount of claims filed and approved.

Shareholders' Equity

Shareholders' equity decreased \$249.6 million to \$1.525 billion at June 30, 2014 from \$1.775 billion at December 31, 2013 and decreased \$342.6 million from \$1.868 billion at June 30, 2013. The decrease in Shareholders' equity for the first six months of 2014 resulted primarily from purchases of treasury stock of \$665.5 million and cash dividends paid on common stock of \$108.8 million partially offset by net income of \$406.9 million and an increase in Other capital of \$133.0 million, resulting primarily from stock option exercises, and a decrease in Cumulative other comprehensive loss of \$5.5 million. Since June 30, 2013, purchases of treasury stock for \$1.202 billion and cash dividends paid on common stock of \$210.5 million more than offset increases from net income of \$786.0 million, an increase in Other capital of \$207.7 million and a decrease in Cumulative other comprehensive loss of \$97.5 million in twelve months. During the first six months of 2014, the Company purchased 3.33 million shares of its common stock for treasury purposes through open market purchases. The Company purchased 6.33 million shares of its common stock since June 30, 2013 for treasury. The Company acquires its common stock for general corporate purposes, and depending on its cash position and market conditions, it may acquire additional shares in the future. The Company had remaining authorization at June 30, 2014 to purchase 8.83 million shares of its common stock. At a meeting held on February 19, 2014, the Board of Directors increased the quarterly cash dividend from \$.50 per common share to \$.55 per common share. This quarterly dividend, if approved in each of the remaining quarters of 2014, will result in an annual dividend for 2014 of \$2.20 per common share or a 30.3 percent payout of 2013 diluted net income per common share.

Cash Flow

Net operating cash improved \$29.5 million in the first six months of 2014 to a cash source of \$331.6 million from a cash source of \$302.1 million in 2013 primarily due to an increase in net income of \$33.4 million and a non-recurring payment to the ESOP for the 2012 DOL settlement of \$80.0 million in the first quarter of 2013, partially offset by increases in working capital from acquisitions. Net investing cash usage decreased \$43.4 million in the first six months of 2014 to a usage of \$84.0 million from a usage of \$127.4 million in 2013 primarily due to decreased cash used in other investments and slightly lower capital expenditures. Net financing cash usage increased \$428.9 million to a usage of \$725.7 million in the first six months of 2014 from a usage of \$296.8 million in 2013 primarily due to increases in treasury stock purchases of \$433.0 million and net decreases in short-term borrowings of \$14.5 million in the first six months of 2014 partially offset by increased proceeds from stock options exercised of \$18.8 million. In the twelve month period from July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014, the Company generated net operating cash of \$1.113 billion, used \$294.9 million in investing activities and used \$1.282 billion in financing activities. In that same period, the Company invested \$161.4 million in capital additions and improvements and \$74.9 million in acquisitions, received net proceeds from total debt of \$6.9 million, purchased \$1.202 billion in treasury stock and paid \$210.5 million in cash dividends to its shareholders of common stock.

Market Risk

The Company is exposed to market risk associated with interest rate, foreign currency and commodity fluctuations. The Company occasionally utilizes derivative instruments as part of its overall financial risk management policy, but does not use derivative instruments for speculative or trading purposes. In the first six months of 2014, the Company entered into forward currency exchange contracts with maturity dates of less than twelve months to hedge against value changes in foreign currency. The Company believes it may be exposed to continuing market risk from foreign currency exchange rate and commodity price fluctuations. However, the Company does not expect that foreign currency exchange rate and commodity price fluctuations or hedging contract losses will have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Financial Covenant

Certain borrowings contain a consolidated leverage covenant. The covenant states the Company's leverage ratio is not to exceed 3.25 to 1.00. The leverage ratio is defined as the ratio of total indebtedness (the sum of Short-term borrowings, Current portion of long-term debt and Long-term debt) at the reporting date to consolidated "Earnings Before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation, and Amortization" (EBITDA) for the twelve month period ended on the same date. Refer to the "Results of Operations" caption below for a reconciliation of EBITDA to Net income. At June 30, 2014, the Company was in compliance with the covenant. The Company's Notes, Debentures and revolving credit agreements contain various default and cross-default provisions. In the event of default under any one of these

arrangements, acceleration of the maturity of any one or more of these borrowings may result. See Note 7, on pages 61 to 62, in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 for more information concerning the Company's debt and related covenant.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Shown below are net sales and income before taxes by segment for the second quarter and first six months:

(Thousands of dollars)	Three Months Ended June			Six Months Ended June				
	30, 2014	2013	Change	30, 2014	2013	Change		
Net Sales:								
Paint Stores Group	\$ 1,882,592	\$ 1,606,509	17.2	% \$ 3,242,595	\$ 2,774,446	16.9	%	
Consumer Group	433,356	393,660	10.1	% 758,655	702,240	8.0	%	
Global Finishes Group	544,597	513,524	6.1	% 1,042,236	1,000,342	4.2	%	
Latin America Coatings Group	181,221	198,991	-8.9	% 363,609	401,627	-9.5	%	
Administrative	1,229	1,205	2.0	% 2,456	2,402	2.2	%	
Total	\$ 3,042,995	\$ 2,713,889	12.1	% \$ 5,409,551	\$ 4,881,057	10.8	%	

(Thousands of dollars)	Three Months Ended June			Six Months Ended June				
	30, 2014	2013	Change	30, 2014	2013	Change		
Income Before Income Taxes:								
Paint Stores Group	\$ 375,857	\$ 332,972	12.9	% \$ 522,122	\$ 462,685	12.8	%	
Consumer Group	92,488	79,042	17.0	% 143,576	133,014	7.9	%	
Global Finishes Group	54,865	54,462	0.7	% 101,342	88,393	14.6	%	
Latin America Coatings Group	5,660	856	561.2	% 15,647	21,695	-27.9	%	
Administrative	(99,640)	(86,448)	-15.3	% (187,380)	(156,519)	-19.7	%	
Total	\$ 429,230	\$ 380,884	12.7	% \$ 595,307	\$ 549,268	8.4	%	

Consolidated net sales increased in the second quarter and increased in the first six months of 2014 due primarily to higher paint sales volume in our Paint Stores Group and acquisitions. Acquisitions increased consolidated net sales 4.6 percent in the quarter and 4.5 percent in the first six months, while unfavorable currency translation rate changes decreased consolidated net sales 0.9 percent in the quarter and 1.3 percent in the first six months.

Net sales of all consolidated foreign subsidiaries were up 8.5 percent to \$576.7 million in the quarter and up 5.5 percent to \$1.106 billion in the first six months versus \$531.6 million and \$1.048 billion in the same periods last year. The increase in net sales for all consolidated foreign subsidiaries in the quarter was due primarily to acquisitions, which increased net sales 8.0 percent in the quarter, and selling price increases partially offset by a 4.1 percent negative impact of foreign currency translation rate changes. The increase in the first six months was due primarily to acquisitions, which increased net sales 6.7 percent in the first six months, and selling price increases partially offset by a 5.8 percent negative impact of foreign currency translation rate changes. Net sales of all operations other than consolidated foreign subsidiaries were up 13.0 percent to \$2.466 billion in the quarter and up 12.3 percent to \$4.304 billion in the first six months as compared to \$2.182 billion and \$3.833 billion in the same periods last year.

Net sales in the Paint Stores Group increased in the second quarter and first six months due primarily to higher architectural paint sales volume and acquisitions. Acquisitions increased net sales 6.5 percent in the quarter and increased net sales 6.8 percent in the first six months. Net sales from stores open for more than twelve calendar months increased 9.8 percent in the quarter and increased 9.0 percent in the first six months compared to last year's comparable periods. Total paint sales volume percentage increases were in the high single digits for the core business and exceeded 20.0 percent when including acquisitions for the quarter and first six months as compared to last year's comparable periods. Sales of non-paint products increased by 13.2 percent over last year's second quarter and increased by 13.7 percent over last year's first six months. A discussion of changes in volume versus pricing for sales of products other than paint is not pertinent due to the wide assortment of general merchandise sold. Net sales of the Consumer Group increased in the second quarter and first six months due primarily to the impact of acquisitions and the timing of seasonal shipments to some customers. Acquisitions increased net sales 5.0 percent in the quarter and increased net sales 4.5 percent in the first six months. Net sales in the Global Finishes Group stated in U.S. dollars increased in the second quarter and first six months due primarily to selling price increases partially offset by lower

paint sales volume and unfavorable currency translation rate changes, which decreased net sales by 0.3 percent in the quarter and 0.9 percent in the first six months. Net sales in the Latin America Coatings Group stated in U.S. dollars decreased in the second quarter and first six months, which can primarily be attributed to unfavorable currency translation rate changes and

lower paint sales volume partially offset by selling price increases. Currency translation rate changes decreased net sales by 11.3 percent in the quarter and decreased net sales by 13.9 percent in the first six months. Net sales in the Administrative segment, which primarily consist of external leasing revenue of excess headquarters space and leasing of facilities no longer used by the Company in its primary business, were essentially flat in the second quarter and first six months.

Consolidated gross profit increased \$176.1 million in the second quarter and increased \$279.1 million in the first six months of 2014 compared to the same periods in 2013. As a percent of sales, consolidated gross profit increased to 46.3 percent in the quarter from 45.5 percent in the second quarter of 2013 and improved to 45.8 percent in the first six months of 2014 from 45.0 percent last year. The percent to sales and dollar increases were primarily due to increased paint sales volume and selling price increases.

The Paint Stores Group's gross profit was higher than last year by \$142.0 million in the second quarter and was higher than last year by \$246.8 million in the first six months due to higher paint sales volume. The Paint Stores Group's gross profit margins were essentially flat in the quarter and first six months compared to the same periods last year as the impact of higher paint sales volume was offset by the impact of acquisitions. The Consumer Group's gross profit increased by \$22.0 million in the quarter and increased by \$23.4 million in the first six months compared to the same periods last year primarily due to acquisitions and increased sales volume. The Consumer Group's gross profit margins increased as a percent of sales for the second quarter and first six months compared to the same periods last year primarily due to improved manufacturing volumes and operating efficiency. The Global Finishes Group's gross profit increased \$8.4 million in the second quarter and increased \$14.0 million in the first six months compared to the same periods last year, when stated in U.S. dollars, primarily due to increased sales. The Global Finishes Group's gross profit margins were down as a percent of sales in the quarter and essentially flat as a percent of sales in the first six months compared to the same periods last year due primarily to unfavorable currency impacts partially offset by selling price increases. The Latin America Coatings Group's gross profit increased by \$4.2 million in the second quarter and decreased by \$2.7 million in the first six months from the same periods in the prior year, when stated in U.S. dollars, primarily due to the Brazilian government import duty tax assessment in 2013 of \$11.8 million and selling price increases partially offset by lower volume sales, increasing raw material costs and unfavorable currency translation rate changes. The Latin America Coatings Group's gross profit margins were up as a percent of sales for the second quarter and first six months as compared to the same periods last year for these same reasons. Excluding the Brazilian government import duty tax assessment in 2013, the Latin America Coatings Group's gross profit margins were lower as a percent of sales. The Administrative segment's gross profit decreased by \$0.5 million in the second quarter and decreased by \$2.3 million in the first six months compared to the same periods last year.

Selling, general and administrative expenses (SG&A) increased \$132.1 million in the second quarter and increased \$237.5 million in the first six months of 2014 versus last year due primarily to increased expenses to support higher sales levels and net new store openings as well as the impact from acquisitions. As a percent of sales, consolidated SG&A increased to 31.8 percent in the quarter and increased to 34.3 percent in the first six months from 30.8 percent in the second quarter and 33.1 percent in the first six months of 2013 primarily due to timing of net new store openings in the quarter and acquisitions.

The Paint Stores Group's SG&A increased \$98.7 million in the second quarter and increased \$187.5 million in the first six months due primarily to net new store openings and general comparable store expenses to support higher sales levels as well as the impact from acquisitions. The Consumer Group's SG&A was up \$8.5 million in the quarter and increased \$12.9 million in the first six months compared to the same periods last year primarily due to acquisitions. The Global Finishes Group's SG&A increased \$12.3 million in the quarter and increased \$10.7 million in the first six months due to increased sales, SG&A charges of \$4.3 million in the quarter related to the exit of the business in Venezuela and the timing of spending partially offset by currency translation rate changes. The Latin America Coatings Group's SG&A increased \$0.2 million in the second quarter and increased \$0.9 million in the first six months due to timing of spending partially offset by currency translation rate changes. The Administrative segment's SG&A increased \$12.4 million in the second quarter and increased \$25.4 million in the first six months primarily due to acquisition due diligence and integration efforts and information systems costs.

Other general expense—net increased \$0.3 million in the second quarter and decreased \$4.2 million in the first six months. The decrease in the first six months was primarily due to decreased provisions for environmental expenses and decreased loss on disposal of assets both in the Administrative segment.

Other (income) expense—net improved \$5.9 million in the second quarter and increased \$2.6 million in the first six months primarily due to decreased foreign currency transaction losses in both the Global Finishes and Latin America Coatings Groups.

Consolidated income before income taxes increased \$48.3 million, including a loss from acquisitions of \$9.0 million, in the second quarter and increased \$46.0 million in the first six months due to higher segment profits in Paint Stores, Consumer, and Global Finishes Groups partially offset by increased expenses in the Administrative segment. The Global Finishes Group's profits were reduced by charges in the quarter related to the exit of the business in Venezuela. The Latin America Coatings

Group segment profits were lower for both the second quarter and the first six months when excluding the Brazil tax assessments impact on 2013 results.

The effective income tax rate of 32.1 percent for the second quarter of 2014 was lower than the 32.5 percent effective income tax rate for the second quarter of 2013 due primarily to the timing of discrete items. The effective income tax rate of 31.6 percent for the first six months of 2014 was lower than the 32.0 percent effective income tax rate for the first six months of 2013 also due primarily to the timing of discrete items.

Net income for the quarter increased \$34.2 million to \$291.4 million from \$257.3 million in the second quarter of 2013 and increased \$33.4 million to \$406.9 million from \$373.5 million in the first six months of 2013. Diluted net income per common share increased 19.5 percent from \$2.46 per share in the second quarter of 2013 to \$2.94 per share, including a \$.06 per share loss from acquisitions, in the second quarter of 2014. Diluted net income per common share increased 13.7 percent from \$3.57 in the first six months of 2013 to \$4.06 in the first six months of 2014, including an \$.18 per share loss from acquisitions. The quarter and six months 2013 diluted net income per common share included charges of \$.08 per share related to the Brazil tax assessments.

Management considers a measurement that is not in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles a useful measurement of the operational profitability of the Company. Some investment professionals also utilize such a measurement as an indicator of the value of profits and cash that are generated strictly from operating activities, putting aside working capital and certain other balance sheet changes. For this measurement, management increases net income for significant non-operating and non-cash expense items to arrive at an amount known as “Earnings Before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation and Amortization” (EBITDA). The reader is cautioned that the following value for EBITDA should not be compared to other entities unknowingly. EBITDA should not be considered an alternative to net income or cash flows from operating activities as an indicator of operating performance or as a measure of liquidity. The reader should refer to the determination of net income and cash flows from operating activities in accordance with U. S. generally accepted accounting principles disclosed in the Statements of Consolidated Income and Comprehensive Income and Statements of Consolidated Cash Flows. EBITDA as used by management is calculated as follows:

(Thousands of dollars)	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Net income	\$291,447	\$257,287	\$406,904	\$373,472
Interest expense	16,374	15,069	32,768	30,380
Income taxes	137,783	123,597	188,403	175,796
Depreciation	42,105	39,409	83,513	78,301
Amortization	7,594	6,463	15,146	14,127
EBITDA	\$495,303	\$441,825	\$726,734	\$672,076

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

Certain statements contained in “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” and elsewhere in this report constitute “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. These forward-looking statements are based upon management’s current expectations, estimates, assumptions and beliefs concerning future events and conditions and may discuss, among other things, anticipated future performance (including sales and earnings), expected growth, future business plans and the costs and potential liability for environmental-related matters and the lead pigment and lead-based paint litigation. Any statement that is not historical in nature is a forward-looking statement and may be identified by the use of words and phrases such as “expects,” “anticipates,” “believes,” “will,” “will likely result,” “will continue,” “plans to” and similar expressions.

Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on any forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are necessarily subject to risks, uncertainties and other factors, many of which are outside the control of the Company, that could cause actual results to differ materially from such statements and from the Company’s historical results and experience. These risks, uncertainties and other factors include such things as: (a) general business conditions, strengths of retail and manufacturing economies and the growth in the coatings industry; (b) competitive factors, including pricing pressures and product innovation and quality; (c) changes in raw material and energy supplies and pricing; (d) changes in the Company’s relationships with customers and suppliers; (e) the Company’s ability to attain cost savings from productivity initiatives; (f) the Company’s ability to successfully integrate past and future acquisitions into its existing operations, including the recent acquisitions of the Comex business in the United States and Canada, Geocel Holdings Corporation and Jiangsu Pulanna, as well as the performance of the businesses acquired; (g) changes in general domestic economic conditions such as inflation rates, interest rates, tax rates, unemployment rates, higher labor and healthcare costs, recessions, and changing government policies, laws and regulations; (h) risks and uncertainties associated with the Company’s expansion into and its operations in Asia, Europe, South America and other foreign markets, including general economic conditions, inflation rates, recessions, foreign currency exchange rates, foreign investment and repatriation restrictions, legal and regulatory constraints, civil unrest and other external economic and political factors; (i) the achievement of growth in foreign markets, such as Asia, Europe and South America; (j) increasingly stringent domestic and foreign governmental regulations, including those affecting health, safety and the environment; (k) inherent uncertainties involved in assessing the Company’s potential liability for environmental-related activities; (l) other changes in governmental policies, laws and regulations, including changes in accounting policies and standards and taxation requirements (such as new tax laws and new or revised tax law interpretations); (m) the nature, cost, quantity and outcome of pending and future litigation and other claims, including the lead pigment and lead-based paint litigation, and the effect of any legislation and administrative regulations relating thereto; and (n) unusual weather conditions.

Readers are cautioned that it is not possible to predict or identify all of the risks, uncertainties and other factors that may affect future results and that the above list should not be considered to be a complete list. Any forward-looking statement speaks only as of the date on which such statement is made, and the Company undertakes no obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The Company is exposed to market risk associated with interest rate, foreign currency and commodity fluctuations. The Company occasionally utilizes derivative instruments as part of its overall financial risk management policy, but does not use derivative instruments for speculative or trading purposes. The Company enters into option and forward currency exchange contracts and commodity swaps to hedge against value changes in foreign currency and commodities. The Company believes it may experience continuing losses from foreign currency translation and commodity price fluctuations. However, the Company does not expect currency translation, transaction, commodity price fluctuations or hedging contract losses to have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. There were no material changes in the Company's exposure to market risk since the disclosure included in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31,2013.

Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

As of the end of the period covered by this report, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and our Senior Vice President—Finance and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rule 13a-15 and Rule 15d-15 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (“Exchange Act”). Based upon that evaluation, our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and our Senior Vice President—Finance and Chief Financial Officer concluded that as of the end of the period covered by this report our disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms, and accumulated and communicated to our management including our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and our Senior Vice President—Finance and Chief Financial Officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting identified in connection with the evaluation that occurred during the period covered by this report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

For information with respect to certain environmental-related matters and legal proceedings, see the information included under the captions entitled “Environmental-Related Liabilities” and “Litigation” of “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” and Notes 8 and 9 of the “Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements,” which is incorporated herein by reference.

33

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

A summary of the repurchase activity for the Company's second quarter is as follows:

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid Per Share	Number of Shares Purchased as Part of a Publicly Announced Plan	Number of Shares That May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plan
April 1 - April 30				
Share repurchase program ⁽¹⁾	2,007,420	\$201.98	2,007,420	8,842,580
Employee transactions ⁽²⁾	496	204.38		NA
May 1 - May 31				
Share repurchase program ⁽¹⁾				8,842,580
June 1 - June 30				
Share repurchase program ⁽¹⁾	17,580	\$207.06	17,580	8,825,000
Employee transactions ⁽²⁾	618	203.74		NA
Total				
Share repurchase program ⁽¹⁾	2,025,000	\$202.02	2,025,000	8,825,000
Employee transactions ⁽²⁾	1,114	204.02		NA

All shares were purchased through the Company's publicly announced share repurchase program. The Company ⁽¹⁾ had remaining authorization at June 30, 2014 to purchase 8,825,000 shares. There is no expiration date specified for the program. The Company intends to repurchase stock under the program in the future.

⁽²⁾ All shares were delivered to satisfy the exercise price and/or tax withholding obligations by employees who exercised stock options or had shares of restricted stock vest.

Item 5. Other Information.

During the first six months ended ended June 30, 2014, the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors of the Company approved permitted non-audit services to be performed by Ernst & Young LLP, the Company's independent registered public accounting firm. These non-audit services were approved within categories related to domestic advisory tax and tax compliance services and international tax compliance.

35

Item 6. Exhibits.

31(a) Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer (filed herewith).

31(b) Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer (filed herewith).

32(a) Section 1350 Certification of Chief Executive Officer (filed herewith).

32(b) Section 1350 Certification of Chief Financial Officer (filed herewith).

101.INS XBRL Instance Document

101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document

101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document

101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document

101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document

Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

THE SHERWIN-WILLIAMS COMPANY

July 24, 2014

By:

/s/ Allen J. Mistysyn
Allen J. Mistysyn
Vice President-Corporate Controller

July 24, 2014

By:

/s/ Catherine M. Kilbane
Catherine M. Kilbane
Senior Vice President, General
Counsel and Secretary

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

Exhibit No. Exhibit Description

- 31(a) Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer (filed herewith).
- 31(b) Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer (filed herewith).
- 32(a) Section 1350 Certification of Chief Executive Officer (filed herewith).
- 32(b) Section 1350 Certification of Chief Financial Officer (filed herewith).
- 101.INS XBRL Instance Document
- 101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
- 101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document
- 101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
- 101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
- 101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document