INTEGRAL VISION INC Form 10QSB May 15, 2006

X

United States Securities And Exchange Commission Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-QSB

Quarterly report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

	For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2006.	
0	Transition report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) For the transition period from to Commission File	of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 Number 0-12728
		VISION, INC. as specified in its charter)
	Michigan	38-2191935
(:	State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)
	49113 Wixom Tech Drive Wixom, Michigan (Address of principal executive offices) Registrant s telephone number, i	48393 (Zip Code) ncluding area code: (248) 668-9230
Exc repo	icate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for S x NO o	
Indi	icate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company	(as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). YES o NO x
Indi	icate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated fi	ler (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). YES o NO x
The 200	<u> </u>	es of common stock, as of the latest practicable date: As of May 12
	Common Stock, No Par Value, State	ed Value \$.20 Per Share 29,491,409
	Transitional Small Business Fo	ormat (check one): YES o NO x

INTEGRAL VISION, INC.

FORM 10-QSB Report March 31, 2006

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I. FI	NANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Financial Statements (Unaudited)	3
	Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets (unaudited) as of March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005	3-4
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations (unaudited) for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005	5
	Consolidated Statement of Stockholders	6
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited) for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005	7
	Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)	8
<u>ltem 2.</u>	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	14
<u>Item 3.</u>	Controls and Procedures	19
PART II. O	THER INFORMATION	
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	20
	<u>Signatures</u> 2	23

INTEGRAL VISION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets

			December 3 2005	
			udited usand:	s)
ASSETS				
CURRENT ASSETS				
Cash	\$	1,710	\$	2,501
Accounts receivable, less allowance of \$27,000 (\$0 in 2005)		92		77
Inventories - Note A		511		362
Other current assets		87		102
TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS		2,400		3,042
PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT				
Leasehold Improvements		43		43
Builidng Improvements		2		2
Production and engineering equipment		201		187
Furniture and fixtures		80		80
Vehicles		18		18
Computer equipment		179		166
		523		496
Less accumulated depreciation		(389)		(371)
		134		114
OTHER ASSETS net of accumulated ammortization of \$1,456,000 (\$1,436,000 in 2005)		55		71
	\$	2,589	\$	3,227

3

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

INTEGRAL VISION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets Continued

	March 31, 2006		,	
		Una (in tho		
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY				
CURRENT LIABILITIES				
Accounts payable	\$	118	\$	48
Accrued compensation and related costs		315		294
Accrued interest		7		15
Deferred revenue for product development agreements		31		
Other accrued liabilities		104		108
TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES		575		465
Long-term debt		378		378
Long-term debt		3/0		3/0
TOTAL LIABILITIES		953		843
TOTAL EINBIETTES				
STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY				
Preferred stock, 400,000 shares authorized; none issued				
Common stock, without par value, stated value \$.20per share; 41,000,000 shares				
authorized; 29,491,409 shares issued and outstanding		5,898		5,898
Additional paid-in capital		39,177		39,126
Accumulated deficit		(43,439)		(42,640)
Total Stockholders Equity		1,636		2,384
	\$	2,589	\$	3,227

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

INTEGRAL VISION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations

	Three Months Ended 2006		Ended N	I March 31, 2005	
	(Unaudited) (In thousands, except pe data)				
Revenue					
Net product sales	\$	15	\$	523	
Net revenue from product development agreements		54			
Net revenue		69		523	
Costs of sales:					
Costs of sales for products		47		223	
Cost of sales for product development agreements		53			
Depreciation and amortization		21		35	
Total costs of sales		121		258	
Gross margin (loss)		(52)		265	
Other costs and expenses:					
Marketing		125		98	
General and administrative		349		302	
Engineering and development		298		237	
Total other costs and expenses		772		637	
Operating loss		(824)		(372)	
Other income		`11		23	
Interest income		21			
Interest expense		(7)		(105)	
Net loss	\$	(799)	\$	(454)	
Basic and diluted loss per share:					
Net loss	\$	(0.03)	\$	(0.03)	
Weighted average number of shares of common stock and common stock equivalents, where applicable		29,491		14,878	
по о арриодого		20,701		1 7,070	
See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.					

INTEGRAL VISION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

Consolidated Statement of Stockholders Equity

Common Stock Number of **Shares Additional Paid-Accumulated** Outstanding **Amount** In Capital Deficit Total (in thousands, except number of common shares outstanding) Balance at January 1, 2006 2,384 29,491,409 \$ 5,898 \$ 39,126 \$ (42,640) \$ Net loss for the period (799)(799)Share based compensation 51 51 Balance at March 31, 2006 29,491,409 \$ 5,898 \$ \$ 39,177 (43,439) \$ 1,636

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

6

INTEGRAL VISION, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

	Three Months Ended Mai		arch 31, 2005	
		(Unaud (in thous		
Operating Activities				
Net loss	\$	(799)	\$	(454)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		_		
Depreciation		7		2
Amortization		19		48
Share-based compensation		51		
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		(4.5)		(070)
Accounts receivable		(15)		(370)
Inventories		(149)		138
Prepaid and other		15		9
Accounts payable and other current liabilities		79		27
Deferred revenue from product development agreements		31		
	-		-	
Net Cash Used In Operating Activities		(761)		(600)
Investing Activities				
Purchase of property and equipment		(27)		(2)
Additional Patents		(3)		
Net Cash Used In Investing Activities		(30)		(2)
		,		()
Financing Activities				
Proceeds from sale of Class 2 Notes				435
Net Cash Provided By Financing Activities				435
Net dasir i tovided by i manding Activities				+00
		(=== 1)		(1.5=)
Decrease in Cash		(791)		(167)
Cash at Beginning of Period		2,501		191
				
Cash at End of Period	\$	1,710	\$	24
Cumplemental seek flavor disalegows				
Supplemental cash flows disclosure:	•		Φ.	
Interest Paid	\$		\$	1
See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.				
7				
1				

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements Integral Vision, Inc. and Subsidiary

Note A - Significant Accounting Policies

The condensed consolidated financial statements in this report have been prepared by Integral Vision, Inc. without audit, pursuant to the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission for quarterly reports on Form 10-QSB and do not include all of the information and note disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for annual consolidated financial statements. These statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes for the year ended December 31, 2005, included in the Company s form 10-KA filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 31, 2006.

In the opinion of management, information included in this report reflects all adjustments, consisting only of normal, recurring adjustments, necessary for fair presentation of results for these interim periods.

The results of operations for the three month period ended March 31, 2006, are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the entire year ending December 31, 2006.

Nature of Business

Integral Vision, Inc. (or the Company) develops, manufactures, and markets flat panel display inspection systems to ensure product quality in the display manufacturing process. The Company primarily inspects Microdisplays and small flat panel displays, though the technology used is scalable to allow inspection of full screen displays and components. Integral Vision s customers and potential customers are primarily large companies with significant investment in the manufacture of displays. Nearly all of the Company s sales originate in the United States, Asia, or Europe. The Company s products are generally sold as capital goods. Depending on the application, display inspection systems have an indefinite life and are more likely to require replacement due to possible technological obsolescence than from physical wear.

During the period, the Company began activity associated with a product development agreement where the Company is compensated for a portion of its development costs for a certain best efforts product development. The Company may not be able to find future opportunities like this, but remains open to such development agreements where they facilitate the Company strategic goals.

Principles of Consolidation

The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its 100% owned subsidiary: Integral Vision LTD, United Kingdom (dissolved as of February 1, 2005). Upon consolidation, all significant intercompany accounts and transactions are eliminated.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of condensed consolidated financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the condensed consolidated financial statements. Estimates also affect the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of first-in, first-out (FIFO) cost or market. Cost is computed using currently adjusted standards which approximates actual costs on a FIFO basis. The Company assesses the recoverability of all inventory to determine whether adjustments for impairment are required. At March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, inventories consisted of the following amounts (net of obsolescence reserves of \$97,000 at March 31, 2006 and \$97,000 at December 31, 2005):

	31-	31-Mar-06		Dec-05
		(in thousands)		
Raw materials	\$	149	\$	154
Work in process		209		55
Finished goods		153		153
	\$	511	\$	362

Management periodically performs an analysis of the Company s inventory to determine if its cost exceeds estimated net realizable value.

Deferred Revenues

Deferred revenues represent amounts received under business agreements for product development in excess of amounts recognized as revenues. At March 31, 2006, approximately \$31,000 in deferred revenues relates to the Energy Conversion Devices, Inc. contract, which represents payments in excess of revenues recognized in the agreement.

Revenue Recognition

The Company recognizes revenue in accordance with SOP 97-2, Software Revenue Recognition, Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 101 (SAB 101), and Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 104 (SAB 104) Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements. Revenue is recognized when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred or services have been rendered, the selling price is fixed or determinable and collectibility is reasonably assured.

The Company accounts for certain product sales of its flat panel display inspection systems as multiple-element arrangements. If specific customer acceptance requirements are met, the Company recognizes revenue for a portion of the total contract price due and billable upon shipment, with the remainder recognized when it becomes due (generally upon acceptance). The Company recognizes all other product sales with customer acceptance provisions upon final customer acceptance. The Company recognizes revenue from the sale of spare parts upon shipment. Revenue from service contracts is recognized over the life of the contract. Revenue is reported net of sales commissions.

Revenue is also derived through business agreements for product development. The Company conducts specified product development projects related to one of its principal technology specializations for an agreed-upon fee. Typically the agreements require a best efforts with no specified performance criteria. Revenue from product development agreements, where there are no specific performance terms, are recognized in amounts equal to the amounts expended on the programs. Generally, the agreed-upon fees for product development agreements contemplate reimbursing the Company, after its agreed-upon cost share, if any, for costs considered associated with project activities including expenses for direct product development and research, operating, general and administrative expenses and depreciation. Accordingly, expenses related to product development agreements are recorded as cost of revenues from

product development agreements.

Allocations of General and Administrative Costs and Engineering Costs

The Company allocates a portion of general and administrative expense and a portion of engineering expense to cost of sales from product development agreements based on a percentage of direct labor costs. These allocations are limited to the amount of revenues, after direct expenses, under the applicable agreements.

The following is a summary of the allocations made for the three months ended March 31:

	2006	2005
	(in tho	usands)
Gross G&A Expense	\$ 358	\$ 302
Less allocation to cost of sales from product development agreements	(9)	
Remaining G&A Expense	\$ 349	\$ 302
	2006	2005
	(in tho	usands)

	2006	2005
	(in thous	sands)
Gross Engineering Expense Less allocation to cost of sales from	\$ 337	\$ 237
product development agreements	(39)	
Remaining Engineering Expense	\$ 298	\$ 237

Reclassifications

Certain amounts have been reclassified in prior periods presentations to conform to the current year s presentation. Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In May 2005, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 154, Accounting Changes and Error Corrections, a replacement of APB No. 20 and FASB Statement No. 3 (SFAS No. 154). SFAS No. 154 requires retrospective application to prior periods financial statements of a voluntary change in accounting principle unless it is impracticable. APB Opinion No. 20 Accounting Changes, previously required that most voluntary changes in accounting principle be recognized by including in net income of the period of the change the cumulative effect of changing to the new accounting principle. This Statement is effective for the Company as of January 1, 2006. The Company does not expect the adoption of SFAS No. 154 will have a significant impact on the manner of display of its results of operations or financial position.

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS NO. 123(R), Share-Based Payment , which replaces SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Share-based Compensation , and supersedes Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees (APB No. 25). The Statement requires that the calculated cost resulting from all share-based payment transactions be recognized in the financial statements. The Statement also establishes fair value as the measurement objective in accounting for share-based payment arrangements and requires all entities to apply a fair-value-based measurement method in accounting for share-based payment transactions with employees, except for equity instruments held by employee share ownership plans. The Statement was effective for the Company beginning January 1, 2006. The modified prospective method was required upon adoption; accordingly, results of prior periods have not been restated. Under the modified prospective method, the Statement

applies to new awards and to awards modified, repurchased or cancelled after the effective date. Additionally, compensation cost for the unvested portion of awards as of the effective date is required to be recognized after the effective date as the awards vest. As of January 1, 2006, the Company implemented SFAS No.123(R), with share-based compensation expense now reflected in the Company s interim statements of operations. See the Stock options note below for additional information regarding the adoption of SFAS No.123(R).

Note B Loss per Share

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted loss per share:

	Three Months Ended I 2006			ch 31, 2005
	(unaudited) (in thousands, except per s			are data)
Numerator for basic and diluted loss per share loss available to common stockholders				·
Net loss	\$	(799)	\$	(454)
*there was no effect of dilutive securities see below				
Denominator for basic and diluted loss per share weighted average shares		29,491		14,878
*there was no effect of dilutive securities see below				
BASIC AND DILUTED LOSS PER SHARE: Net loss	\$	(0.03)	\$	(0.03)

Warrants and options outstanding were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because the inclusion of these instruments would have an antidilutive effect. For additional disclosures regarding stock options see Note D.

Note C Income Taxes

The Company establishes valuation allowances in accordance with the provisions of FASB Statement No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. The Company continually reviews realizability of deferred tax assets and recognizes these benefits only as reassessment indicates that it is more likely than not that the benefits will be realized.

Note D - Stock Options

The Company adopted SFAS No. 123 (Revised 2004), Share Based Payment (SFAS No. 123R), under the modified prospective transition method on January 1, 2006. SFAS No. 123R requires companies to measure and recognize the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the grant-date fair value. Share-based compensation recognized under the modified-prospective transition method of SFAS No. 123R includes share-based compensation based on the grant-date fair value determined in accordance with the original provisions of SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, for all share-based payments granted prior to and not yet vested as of January 1, 2006 and share-based compensation based on the grant-date fair-value determined in accordance with SFAS No. 123R for all share-based payments granted after January 1, 2006. SFAS No. 123R eliminates the ability to account for the award of these instruments under the intrinsic value method proscribed by Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and allowed under the original provisions of SFAS No. 123. Prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123R, the Company accounted for our stock option plans using the intrinsic value method in accordance with the provisions of APB Opinion No. 25 and related interpretations.

Primarily as a result of adopting SFAS No. 123R, the Company recognized \$51,000 in share-based compensation expense for the three months ended March 31, 2006. The impact of this share-based compensation expense on the Company s basic and diluted

earnings per share was \$0.00 per share. No

tax benefits were attributed to the stock-based compensation expense because a valuation allowance was maintained for substantially all net deferred tax assets. The fair value of our stock options was estimated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. As of March 31, 2006, the Company did not have any unrecognized expense related to un-vested share-based compensation.

For periods presented prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123R, pro forma information regarding net income and earnings per share as required by SFAS No. 123R has been determined as if we had accounted for our employee stock options under the original provisions of SFAS No. 123. The fair value of these options was estimated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. For purposes of pro forma disclosure, the estimated fair value of the options is amortized to expense over the option s vesting period. The fair value of each option grant was estimated with the following weighted-average assumptions for the three months ended March 31, 2005: 1) a dividend yield of 0.0%, 2) expected stock price volatility of 1.256, 3) risk free interest rate of 2.0%, and 4) expected life of options (years) of 7.0.

The following table presents the proforma net loss and basic and diluted loss per common share, had the Company elected to recognize compensation cost based on the fair value at the grant dates for stock options awards, consistent with the methods prescribed by SFAS 123, as amended by SFAS 148:

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2005		
	(in thousands,	except per share data)	
Net loss:			
Net loss, as reported	\$	(454)	
Add: Stock based compensation expense Included in the determination of net loss as reported, net of related tax effects			
Deduct: Total stock-based compensation Expense determined under fair value method For all awards, net of related tax effects		(73)	
Pro forma net loss	\$	(527)	
Basic and diluted earning per share:			
Basic and diluted as reported	\$	(0.03)	
Basic and diluted-pro forma	\$	(0.04)	

A summary of option activity under all plans for the three months ended March 31 follows:

	2006		
	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	
	(number of	shares in thousands)	
Outstanding at March 31, 2006	1,114	\$ 0.90	
Granted	0	0.00	
Exercised	0	0.00	
Expired	(15)	6.25	

Outstanding at March 31, 2006 (\$.10 to \$5.63 per share)	1,099	0.97
Exercisable (\$.10 to \$5.63 per share)	939	\$ 0.81

Additional information regarding the range of exercise prices and weighted average remaining life of options outstanding at March 31, 2006 follows:

Range of Exercise Prices	Number Outstanding	Weighted Average Remaining Life	Number Exercisable
	(numb	er of shares in thou	sands)
\$.10 to \$1.71 \$4.88 to \$5.63	1,062 37	6.6 1.2	902 37
\$.10 to \$5.63	1,099	6.4	939

As of March 31, 2006, the Company had \$378,000 in outstanding Class 3 Notes payable that are convertible into the Company s common stock at \$1.00 per share. The notes are due April 1, 2008 and interest is paid semi-annually at 8%

A summary of the outstanding warrants, options, and shares available upon the conversion of debt at March 31, 2006 is as follows:

	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number Outstanding	Weighted Average Remaining Life	Number Exercisable
		(number of sha	ares in thousands)	
Warrants	\$ 1.60	3,500	4.0	3,500
Class 3 Notes	\$ 1.00	378	2.0	378
1995 Employee Stock Option Plan	\$ 1.21	360	4.5	360
1999 Employee Stock Option Plan	\$ 0.27	355	6.0	355
2004 Employee Stock Option Plan	\$ 1.40	384	8.7	224
	\$ 1.42	4,977	4.4	4,817

Note E Going Concern Matters

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared on a going concern basis, which contemplates the realization of assets and the satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business. As shown in the condensed consolidated financial statements, the Company has incurred losses from operations in the current and the corresponding prior three month periods of \$799,000 and \$454,000 respectively Further during the years 2005, 2004, and 2003 the Company incurred losses of \$2.7 million, \$2.4 million, and \$1.9 million, respectively. The continuing losses raise doubt about the Company s ability to continue as a going concern.

The Company is currently working with a number of large customers who are evaluating the Company s products for use in their manufacture of products using new microdisplay technologies. Based on discussions with these customers the Company expects that additional sales orders will be placed in the second half of 2006 provided that markets for these products continue to grow and the customers continue to have interest in the Company s technology-assisted inspection systems. Ultimately, the Company s ability to continue as a going concern will be dependent on these large companies getting their emerging display technology products into high volume production and placing sales orders with the Company for inspection products to support that production.

The condensed consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might be necessary should the Company be unable to continue as a going concern.

Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Forward-Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Actual results could differ materially from those projected in the forward-looking statements as a result of a number of factors, risks and uncertainties. Generally, the words anticipate, expect, intend, believe and similar expressions identify forward-looking statements. The information included in this Form 10-QSB is as of the filing date with the Securities and Exchange Commission and future events or circumstances could differ significantly from the forward-looking statements included herein. Accordingly, we caution readers not to place undue reliance on such statements.

Overview

Integral Vision, Inc. (or the Company) develops, manufactures, and markets flat panel display inspection systems to ensure product quality in the display manufacturing process. The Company primarily inspects Microdisplays and small flat panel displays, though the technology used is scalable to allow inspection of full screen displays and components. The Company s products primarily use machine vision to evaluate functional displays for cosmetic and functional defects, but can also provide electrical testing if required for a given application. Machine vision has become a necessity for manufacturers who need to continually improve production efficiency to meet the increasing demand for high quality products. The Company s inspection systems automatically inspect parts at a lower cycle time and with greater repeatability than is possible with human inspectors.

Integral Vision s customers and potential customers are primarily large companies with significant investment in the manufacture of displays. These companies are working with new microdisplay technologies. Integral Vision s success will be substantially dependant on these large companies getting their emerging display technologies into high volume production.

Nearly all of the Company s sales originate in the United States, Asia, or Europe. The Company s products are generally sold as capital goods. Depending on the application, display inspection systems have an indefinite life and are more likely to require replacement due to possible technological obsolescence than from physical wear.

During the period the Company began activity associated with a product development agreement with Energy Conversion Devices ECD) where the Company is compensated for a portion of its costs for the development of online inspection for a continuous web of display material. This best efforts subcontract with ECD supports their contract from the United States Display Consortium. The Company may not be able to find future opportunities like this, but remains open to such development agreements where they facilitate the Company's strategic goals.

LCI Professional Integral Vision s LCI-Professional product is used for inspection of LCD Displays as components or final assemblies. Applications include cell phones, car radios, pagers, electronic organizers and hand-held video games. Integral Vision s display inspection systems are designed to detect two classes of defects: cosmetic and functional. Cosmetic defects do not affect the functionality of the display, but they cause user annoyance and reduce product value. Functional defects are flaws that cause the device to be inoperable or have a significant effect on functionality.

SharpEye Integral Vision s SharpEye product provides small Flat Panel Display (FPD) inspection for reflective, emissive and transmissive display technologies. SharpEye is designed for the

detection of functional and cosmetic defects in LCOS, OLED, MEMS, 3LCD/HTPS, LCD and other emerging display technologies. These technologies are applied to consumer products such as camcorders, rear projection computer monitors, digital still cameras, HDTV, projectors, video headsets and video telephones. The core technology of SharpEye inspection algorithms is the ability to quantize data to the level of a single display pixel. SharpEye can be configured for production inspection or for display evaluation in a laboratory based on the equipment configuration selected.

ChromaSee Integral Vision s ChromaSee product, which was introduced in 2003, provides luminance, color matching and defect inspections for FPD displays. Defect detection includes functional (e.g. failed pixels, icons) and cosmetic (e.g. scratches) defects. ChromaSee integrates with production equipment to allow inline or offline testing. A configuration interface (Task Sequencer) uses a familiar Tree View representation of the inspection sequence flow. For deployment into production, the operator s interface provides essential views of results, images and statistics for production floor personnel.

Lifetime Tester Integral Vision s Lifetime Tester product, which was introduced in 2003, evaluates changes in display luminance, color and other performance characteristics over time. The Lifetime Tester facilitates the process of comparing different display manufacturing processes and formulas by evaluating large numbers of samples side by side to determine their life characteristics. This allows design and process engineers to efficiently evaluate the effectiveness of proposed design and process changes off line prior to implementation.

IVSee Integral Vision s IVSee, introduced in 2005, provides FPD inspection for applications which still require manual handling. IVSee is designed for the detection of functional and cosmetic defects in LCOS, OLED, MEMS, 3LCD/HTPS, LCD and other emerging display technologies. IVSee is configured to be integrated into existing manual inspection stations allowing them to receive the benefits of computer aided optical inspection without the need to modify the manufacturing process to automate handling of the display. The operator s interface provides essential views of results, images, and statistics for production floor personnel.

Results of Operations

Three Months Ended March 31, 2006 Compared with Three Months Ended March 31, 2005

Net revenues decreased \$454,000 (86.8%) to \$69,000 in the first quarter of 2006 from \$523,000 in the first quarter of 2005. The decrease in net revenue was primarily attributable to a decrease of \$508,000 in revenue from sales of the Company s flat panel display inspection products in the first quarter of 2006 compared to sales from that product line in the comparable 2005 period. Conversely, the first quarter of 2006 included \$54,000 of revenue from product development agreements; there were no such revenues in 2005.

Costs of sales decreased \$137,000 (53.1%) to \$121,000 (175% of sales) in the first quarter of 2006 compared to \$258,000 (49.3% of sales) in the first quarter of 2005. This was primarily due to a decrease of \$176,000 in costs as a result of the lower sales of flat panel display inspection products in the 2006 period. Conversely, the first quarter of 2006 included \$53,000 in costs related to product development agreements while there were no such costs in 2005.

Marketing costs increased \$27,000 (27.5%) to \$125,000 in the first quarter of 2006 compared to \$98,000 in the first quarter of 2005. This was attributable to increased staffing and related costs.

General and administrative costs increased \$47,000 (15.5%) to \$349,000 in the first quarter of 2006 compared to \$302,000 in the first quarter of 2005. This is primarily attributable to the amortization of share-based compensation as required by SFAS 123(R). The increase was partially offset by the allocation of \$9,000 to cost of sales for product development agreements. For more information on the allocation of certain general and administrative costs to costs of goods sold see Note A.

Engineering and development expenditures increased \$61,000 (25.7%) to \$298,000 in the first quarter of 2006 compared to \$237,000 in the first quarter of 2005. \$39,000 of engineering costs were allocated to costs of sales for product development agreements. (For more information on the allocation of certain engineering costs to cost of goods sold see Note A.) Without this allocation, engineering costs would have increased by \$100,000 (42.2%) over the first quarter of 2005 to \$337,000. This is primarily attributable to a \$52,000 increase for additional staffing and related costs and, a \$37,000 increase in outside services and development material cost in the 2006 period.

Other income was comparable to the prior year three month period.

Interest expense decreased \$98,000 to \$7,000 in the first quarter of 2006 compared to \$105,000 in the first quarter of 2005. The decrease is primarily attributable to the repayment of Class 1, Class 2, and Class 3 Notes and other debt in the second quarter of 2005.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Operating activities for the first quarter of 2006 used cash of approximately \$761,000 primarily due to the Company s loss from operations of \$799,000.

The Company s investing activities included the purchase of approximately \$27,000 of equipment and \$3,000 for patents in the first quarter of 2006.

The Company has sufficient remaining cash along with existing backlog and anticipated orders, based on discussion with customers, to fund current operations through the first quarter of 2007. However, the Company s continuation as a going concern is ultimately dependent upon achieving the necessary sales to attain profitability. The Company has several large companies as customers. These companies are working with new microdisplay technologies. Integral Vision s success will be partly dependent on these large companies getting their emerging display technologies into high volume production and placing sales orders with the Company for inspection products to support that production.

The Company also had an estimated \$220,000 owed to a certain regulatory agency as of March 31, 2006.

Management s Discussion of Critical Accounting Policies

The Company s condensed consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires Management to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. The accounting policies discussed below are considered by management to be the most important to an understanding of our financial statements, because their application places the most significant demands on management s judgment and estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain. Our assumptions and estimates were based on the facts and circumstances known at March 31, 2006, future events rarely develop exactly as forecast, and the best estimates routinely require adjustment. These policies are also described in Note A of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Quarterly Form 10-QSB.

Revenue Recognition

The Company recognizes revenue in accordance with SOP 97-2, Software Revenue Recognition and Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 101 (SAB 101), Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements. Revenue is recognized when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred or services have been rendered, the selling price is fixed or determinable and collectibility is reasonably assured.

The Company accounts for certain product sales of its flat panel display inspection systems as multiple-element arrangements. If specific customer acceptance requirements are met, the Company recognizes revenue for a portion of the total contract price due and billable upon shipment, with the remainder recognized when it becomes due (generally upon acceptance). The Company recognizes all other product sales with customer acceptance provisions upon final customer acceptance. The Company recognizes revenue from the sale of spare parts upon shipment. Revenue from service contracts is recognized over the life of the contract. Revenue is reported net of sales commissions.

Revenue is also derived through business agreements for product development. The Company conducts specified product development projects related to one of its principal technology specializations for an agreed-upon fee. Typically the agreements require a best efforts with no specified performance criteria. Revenue from product development agreements, where there are no specific performance terms, are recognized in amounts equal to the amounts expended on the programs. Generally, the agreed-upon fees for product development agreements contemplate reimbursing the Company, after its agreed-upon cost share, if any, for costs considered associated with project activities including expenses for direct product development and research, operating, general and administrative expenses and depreciation. Accordingly, expenses related to product development agreements are recorded as cost of revenues from product development agreements.

Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of standard cost, which approximates actual cost determined on a first-in, first-out basis, or market. Inventories are recorded net of allowances for unsalable or obsolete raw materials, work-in-process and finished goods. We evaluate on a quarterly basis the status of our inventory to ensure the amount recorded in our financial statements reflects the lower of our cost or the value we expect to receive when we sell the inventory. This estimate is based on

several factors, including the condition and salability of our inventory and the forecasted demand for the particular products incorporating these components. Based on current backlog and expected orders, we forecast the upcoming usage of current stock. We record reserves for obsolete and slow-moving parts ranging from 0% for active parts with sufficient forecasted demand up to 100% for excess parts with insufficient demand or obsolete parts. Amounts in work-in-process and finished goods inventory typically relate to firm orders and, therefore, are not subject to obsolescence risk.

Impairment of Long-lived Assets

The Company reviews its long-lived assets, including property, equipment and intangibles, for impairment whenever events or changes in business circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the assets may not be fully recoverable. An impairment loss would be recognized when estimated undiscounted future cash flows expected to result from the use of the asset and its eventual disposition are less than the carrying amount of the asset.

Contingencies and Litigation

The Company makes an assessment of the probability of an adverse judgment resulting from current and threatened litigation. The Company accrues the cost of an adverse judgment if, in Management s estimation, an adverse settlement is probable and Management can reasonably estimate the ultimate cost of such litigation. The Company has made no such accruals at March 31, 2006.

Item 3. Controls and Procedures

a) Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures

Our chief executive officer and chief financial officer have each reviewed and evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Securities Exchange Act of 1934 Rules 13a-15(e) and 15(d)-15(e)) as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report. Based on that evaluation, our chief executive officer and chief financial officer have each concluded that our current disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our periodic reports filed under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported, in each case, within the time period specified by the SEC s rules and regulations.

b) Changes in internal controls

There have not been any significant changes in our internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect these controls subsequent to the date of their evaluation. There were no significant deficiencies or material weakness, and therefore no corrective actions were taken.

19

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit Number	Description of Document
3.1	Articles of Incorporation, as amended (filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the registrant s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1995, SEC file 0-12728, and incorporated herein by reference).
3.2	Bylaws of the Registrant, as amended (filed as Exhibit 3.2 to the registrant s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1994, SEC file 0-12728, and incorporated herein by reference).
4.1	Note and Warrant Purchase Agreement (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the registrant s Form 8-K dated July 15, 1997, SEC file 0-12728, and incorporated herein by reference).
4.3	Form of Integral Vision, Inc. Common Stock Purchase Warrant Certificate (filed as Exhibit 4.3 to registrant s Form 8-K dated July 15, 1997, SEC file 0-12728, and incorporated herein by reference).
4.4	Note and Warrant Purchase Agreement dated March 29, 2001 including Form of Integral Vision, Inc. 15% Senior Subordinated Secured Note and Integral Vision, Inc. Common Stock Purchase Warrant Certificate (filed as Exhibit 4.4 to registrant s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000, SEC file 0-12728, and incorporated herein by reference).
4.5	Form of amended Note and Warrant Purchase Agreement including Form of Integral Vision, Inc. 10% Secured Note and Integral Vision, Inc. Common Stock Purchase Warrant Certificate (filed as Exhibit 4.5 to registrant s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2001, SEC file 0-12728, and incorporated herein by reference).
4.6	Form of Second Amended Note and Warrant Purchase Agreement including Form of Integral Vision, Inc. Class 2 Note and Integral Vision, Inc. Class 2 Common Stock Purchase Warrant Certificate (filed as Exhibit 4.6 to registrant s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2002, SEC file 0-12728, and incorporated herein by reference).
4.7	Consent to Modifications dated March 17, 2003 modifying the terms of the Second Amended Note and Warrant Purchase Agreement (filed as Exhibit 4.7 to registrant s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002, SEC file 0-12728, and incorporated herein by reference).

4.8	Form of Fourth Amended Note and Warrant Purchase Agreement including Form of Integral Vision, Inc. Class 3 Note (filed as Exhibit 4.8 to registrant s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003, SEC file 0-12728, and incorporated herein by reference).
10.1	Incentive Stock Option Plan of the Registrant as amended (filed as Exhibit 10.4 to the registrant s Form S-1 Registration Statement effective July 2, 1985, SEC File 2-98085, and incorporated herein by reference).
10.2	Second Incentive Stock Option Plan (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the registrant s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992, SEC File 0-12728, and incorporated herein by reference).
10.3	Non-qualified Stock Option Plan (filed as Exhibit 10.3 to the registrant s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992, SEC File 0-12728, and incorporated herein by reference).
10.4	Amendment to Integral Vision, Inc. Incentive Stock Option Plan dated May 10, 1993 (filed as Exhibit 10.3 to the registrant s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1993, SEC File 0-12728, and incorporated herein by reference).
10.5	Integral Vision, Inc. Employee Stock Option Plan (filed as Exhibit 10.5 to the registrant s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 1995, SEC file 0-12728, and incorporated herein by reference).
10.6	Form of Confidentiality and Non-Compete Agreement Between the Registrant and its Employees (filed as Exhibit 10.4 to the registrant s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992, SEC File 0-12728, and incorporated herein by reference).
10.7	Integral Vision, Inc. 1999 Employee Stock Option Plan (filed as Exhibit 10.5 to the registrant s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1999, and incorporated herein by reference).
10.8*	Patent License Agreement dated October 4, 1995 by and between Integral Vision, Inc. and Square D Company (filed as Exhibit 10.24 to the registrant s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 1995, SEC File 0-12728, and incorporated herein by reference).
10.9	Asset Sale Purchase Agreement between the registrant and n.v. DIMACO, s.a. (filed as exhibit 10.12 to the registrant s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.10	Asset Sale Purchase Agreement between the registrant and DaTARIUS Technologies, Inc. (filed as exhibit 10.13 to the registrant s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2002 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.11	Integral Vision, Inc. 2004 Employee Stock Option Plan (filed as exhibit 10.11 to the registrant s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference).

16	Letter regarding change in certifying accountant (filed as exhibit 16 to the registrant s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002, SEC file 0-12728, and incorporated herein by reference).
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer of Periodic Report pursuant to Rule 13a-15(e) or Rule 15d-15(e).
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer of Periodic Report pursuant to Rule 13a-15(e) or Rule 15d-15(e).
32.1	Certification by Chief Executive Officer of Periodic Report Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.
32.2	Certification by Chief Financial Officer of Periodic Report Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.

The Company has been granted confidential treatment with respect to certain portions of this exhibit pursuant to Rule 24b-2 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this quarterly report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

		INTEGRAL VISION, INC.
Dated: May 15, 2006	Ву:	/s/ Charles J. Drake
Dated: May 15, 2006	By:	Charles J. Drake Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer /s/ Mark R. Doede
	23	Mark R. Doede President, Chief Operating Officer and Chief Financial Officer