

FLOWERS FOODS INC  
Form 10-Q  
August 20, 2009

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549  
FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended July 18, 2009

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission file number 1-16247

FLOWERS FOODS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

GEORGIA

58-2582379

(State or other jurisdiction  
of incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification  
Number)

1919 FLOWERS CIRCLE, THOMASVILLE, GEORGIA

(Address of principal executive offices)

31757

(Zip Code)

229/226-9110

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

N/A

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

Non-accelerated filer

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

TITLE OF EACH CLASS	OUTSTANDING AT AUGUST 14, 2009
Common Stock, \$.01 par value with Preferred Share Purchase Rights	91,980,380

**FLOWERS FOODS, INC.  
INDEX**

	<b>PAGE NUMBER</b>
<b><u>PART I. Financial Information</u></b>	
<u>Item 1. Financial Statements (unaudited)</u>	
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of July 18, 2009 and January 3, 2009</u>	4
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for the Twelve and Twenty-Eight Weeks Ended July 18, 2009 and July 12, 2008</u>	5
<u>Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income for the Twenty-Eight Weeks Ended July 18, 2009</u>	6
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Twenty-Eight Weeks Ended July 18, 2009 and July 12, 2008</u>	7
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	8
<u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	26
<u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	38
<u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u>	39
<b><u>PART II. Other Information</u></b>	
<u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u>	39
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	39
<u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	39
<u>Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	40
<u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>	40
<b><u>Signatures</u></b>	41
<b><u>Exhibit index</u></b>	42
<u>EX-21</u>	
<u>EX-31.1</u>	
<u>EX-31.2</u>	
<u>EX-31.3</u>	
<u>EX-32</u>	

**Table of Contents**

**FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS**

Statements contained in this filing and certain other written or oral statements made from time to time by the company and its representatives that are not historical facts are forward-looking statements as defined in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements relate to current expectations regarding our future financial condition and results of operations and are often identified by the use of words and phrases such as anticipate, believe, continue, could, estimate, expect, intend, may, plan, predict, project, should, may be, is expected to or will continue, or the negative of these terms or other comparable terminology. These forward-looking statements are based upon assumptions we believe are reasonable.

Forward-looking statements are based on current information and are subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual results to differ materially from those projected. Certain factors that may cause actual results, performance, and achievements to differ materially from those projected are discussed in this report and may include, but are not limited to:

unexpected changes in any of the following: (i) general economic and business conditions; (ii) the competitive setting in which we operate, including changes in pricing, advertising or promotional strategies by us or our competitors, as well as changes in consumer demand; (iii) interest rates and other terms available to us on our borrowings; (iv) energy and raw materials costs and availability and hedging counter-party risks; (v) relationships with our employees, independent distributors and third party service providers; and (vi) laws and regulations (including environmental and health-related issues), accounting standards or tax rates in the markets in which we operate;

the loss or financial instability of any significant customer(s);

our ability to execute our business strategy, which may involve integration of recent acquisitions or the acquisition or disposition of assets at presently targeted values;

our ability to operate existing, and any new, manufacturing lines according to schedule;

the level of success we achieve in developing and introducing new products and entering new markets;

changes in consumer behavior, trends and preferences, including health and whole grain trends, and the movement toward more inexpensive store-branded products;

our ability to implement new technology as required;

the credit and business risks associated with our independent distributors and customers which operate in the highly competitive retail food and foodservice industries, including the amount of consolidation in these industries;

customer and consumer reaction to pricing actions; and

any business disruptions due to political instability, armed hostilities, incidents of terrorism, natural disasters or the responses to or repercussions from any of these or similar events or conditions and our ability to insure against such events.

The foregoing list of important factors does not include all such factors, nor necessarily present them in order of importance. In addition, you should consult other disclosures made by the company (such as in our other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission ( SEC ) or in company press releases) for other factors that may cause actual results to differ materially from those projected by the company. Please refer to Part I, Item 1A., *Risk Factors*, of the company's Form 10-K filed on March 4, 2009 for additional information regarding factors that could affect the company's results of operations, financial condition and liquidity.

We caution you not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements, as they speak only as of the date made and are inherently uncertain. The company undertakes no obligation to publicly revise or update such statements, except as required by law. You are advised, however, to consult any further public disclosures by the company (such as in our filings with the SEC or in company press releases) on related subjects.

**Table of Contents**

**FLOWERS FOODS, INC.**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**  
(Amounts in thousands except share data)  
(Unaudited)

	<b>JULY 18, 2009</b>	<b>JANUARY 3, 2009</b>
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 20,100	\$ 19,964
Accounts and notes receivable, net of allowances of \$2,656 and \$378, respectively	182,520	178,077
Inventories, net:		
Raw materials	22,006	18,032
Packaging materials	12,809	12,162
Finished goods	28,309	23,984
	63,124	54,178
Spare parts and supplies	34,225	32,541
Deferred taxes	28,728	38,745
Other	42,753	28,738
Total current assets	371,450	352,243
Property, Plant and Equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$633,012 and \$601,931, respectively	582,189	587,196
Notes Receivable	94,422	94,652
Assets Held for Sale – Distributor Routes	7,017	7,995
Other Assets	4,449	4,830
Goodwill	200,035	200,035
Other Intangible Assets, net	105,898	106,293
Total assets	\$ 1,365,460	\$ 1,353,244
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY</b>		
Current Liabilities:		
Current maturities of long-term debt and capital leases	\$ 20,357	\$ 22,538
Accounts payable	120,143	116,818
Other accrued liabilities	120,060	125,713

Edgar Filing: FLOWERS FOODS INC - Form 10-Q

Total current liabilities	260,560	265,069
Long-Term Debt and Capital Leases	245,960	263,879
Other Liabilities:		
Post-retirement/post-employment obligations	79,021	78,897
Deferred taxes	54,092	55,510
Other	43,363	45,835
Total other liabilities	176,476	180,242
Commitments and Contingencies		
Flowers Foods, Inc. Stockholders' Equity:		
Preferred stock \$100 par value, 100,000 authorized and none issued		
Preferred stock \$.01 par value, 900,000 authorized and none issued		
Common stock \$.01 par value, 500,000,000 authorized shares, 101,659,924 shares and 101,659,924 shares issued, respectively	1,017	1,017
Treasury stock 9,679,544 shares and 8,913,142 shares, respectively	(177,118)	(157,799)
Capital in excess of par value	525,801	524,383
Retained earnings	407,063	369,397
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(84,440)	(102,279)
Total Flowers Foods, Inc. stockholders' equity	672,323	634,719
Noncontrolling interest	10,141	9,335
Total stockholders' equity	682,464	644,054
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 1,365,460	\$ 1,353,244

(See Accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements)

**Table of Contents**

**FLOWERS FOODS, INC.**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME**  
(Amounts in thousands except per share data)  
(Unaudited)

	<b>FOR THE TWELVE WEEKS ENDED</b>		<b>FOR THE TWENTY-EIGHT WEEKS ENDED</b>	
	<b>JULY 18, 2009</b>	<b>JULY 12, 2008</b>	<b>JULY 18, 2009</b>	<b>JULY 12, 2008</b>
Sales	\$ 614,448	\$ 540,656	\$ 1,421,455	\$ 1,217,363
Materials, supplies, labor and other production costs (exclusive of depreciation and amortization shown separately below)	333,339	293,594	762,801	643,564
Selling, marketing and administrative expenses	216,602	197,662	510,624	449,337
Depreciation and amortization	18,656	16,032	42,933	36,945
Gain on acquisition	3,013		3,013	
Gain on sale of assets		2,306		2,306
Gain on insurance recovery		686		686
Income from operations	48,864	36,360	108,110	90,509
Interest expense	(2,806)	(494)	(6,401)	(1,173)
Interest income	2,986	3,151	7,040	7,327
Income before income taxes	49,044	39,017	108,749	96,663
Income tax expense	17,947	13,931	39,819	34,493
Net income	31,097	25,086	68,930	62,170
Less: net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	(756)	(1,137)	(1,208)	(2,438)
Net income attributable to Flowers Foods, Inc.	\$ 30,341	\$ 23,949	\$ 67,722	\$ 59,732
Net Income Per Common Share: Basic:				
Net income attributable to Flowers Foods, Inc. common shareholders	\$ 0.33	\$ 0.26	\$ 0.73	\$ 0.65
Weighted average shares outstanding	92,141	92,156	92,474	92,112
Diluted:				
Net income attributable to Flowers Foods, Inc. common shareholders	\$ 0.33	\$ 0.26	\$ 0.73	\$ 0.65
Weighted average shares outstanding	92,630	92,746	92,979	92,580
	\$ 0.175	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.325	\$ 0.275



Cash dividends paid per common  
share

(See Accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements)

5

---

**Table of Contents**

**FLOWERS FOODS, INC.**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY**  
**AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME**  
(Unaudited)

	Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Common Stock Number of shares issued	Par Value	Capital in Excess of Par Value	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Treasury Stock Number of shares Cost	Noncontrolling interest	Total
Balances at January 3, 2009		101,659,924	\$ 1,017	\$ 524,383	\$ 369,397	\$ (102,279)	(8,913,142) \$ (157,799)	\$ 9,335	\$ 644,054
Net income	\$ 68,930				67,722			1,208	68,930
Derivative instruments	16,815					16,815			16,815
Amortization of prior service costs	110					110			110
Amortization of actuarial loss	914					914			914
Comprehensive income	86,769								
Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests	(1,208)								
Comprehensive income attributable to Flowers Foods, Inc.	\$ 85,561								
Exercise of stock options				(1,568)			189,724	3,392	1,824
Deferred stock vesting				(352)			19,450	352	
Issuance of deferred stock award				(146)			6,135	146	
Issuance of restricted stock award				(4,416)			248,680	4,416	
				3,614					3,614

Amortization of deferred and restricted stock awards									
Stock option compensation	2,661								2,661
Conversion of deferred compensation	95								95
Tax benefits related to share based payment awards	1,530								1,530
Stock repurchases					(1,230,391)	(27,625)			(27,625)
Distributions from noncontrolling interest to owners								(402)	(402)
Dividends paid \$0.325 per common share				(30,056)					(30,056)
Balances at July 18, 2009	101,659,924	\$ 1,017	\$ 525,801	\$ 407,063	\$ (84,440)	(9,679,544)	\$ (177,118)	\$ 10,141	\$ 682,464

(See Accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements)

**Table of Contents**

**FLOWERS FOODS, INC.**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**  
(Amounts in thousands)  
(Unaudited)

	<b>FOR THE TWENTY-EIGHT WEEKS ENDED</b>	
	<b>JULY 18, 2009</b>	<b>JULY 12, 2008</b>
<b>CASH FLOWS PROVIDED BY (DISBURSED FOR)</b>		
<b>OPERATING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Net income	\$ 68,930	\$ 62,170
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Stock based compensation	6,041	6,678
Loss reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income to net income	32,995	426
Depreciation and amortization	42,933	36,945
Gain on acquisition	(3,013)	
Deferred income taxes	(2,569)	(2,232)
Provision for inventory obsolescence	338	492
Allowances for accounts receivable	2,099	901
Pension and postretirement plans expense (benefit)	2,753	(3,259)
Other	247	(2,467)
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Accounts and notes receivable, net	(6,164)	(27,586)
Pension contributions	(450)	
Inventories, net	(6,375)	(5,324)
Other assets	(3,473)	(2,834)
Accounts payable and other accrued liabilities	(17,933)	(7,829)
<b>NET CASH PROVIDED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES</b>	<b>116,359</b>	<b>56,081</b>
<b>CASH FLOWS PROVIDED BY (DISBURSED FOR) INVESTING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Purchase of property, plant and equipment	(28,183)	(41,964)
Increase of notes receivable	(148)	(3,363)
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(8,842)	
Other	(373)	3,603
<b>NET CASH DISBURSED FOR INVESTING ACTIVITIES</b>	<b>(37,546)</b>	<b>(41,724)</b>
<b>CASH FLOWS PROVIDED BY (DISBURSED FOR) FINANCING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Dividends paid	(30,056)	(25,358)
Exercise of stock options	1,824	2,439
Income tax benefit related to stock awards	1,352	1,713
Stock repurchases	(27,625)	(5,829)
Change in book overdraft	(3,708)	8,989

Edgar Filing: FLOWERS FOODS INC - Form 10-Q

Proceeds from debt borrowings	456,000	30,000
Debt and capital lease obligation payments	(476,062)	(26,757)
Other	(402)	
<b>NET CASH DISBURSED FOR FINANCING ACTIVITIES</b>	<b>(78,677)</b>	<b>(14,803)</b>
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	136	(446)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	19,964	19,978
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 20,100	\$ 19,532

(See Accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements)

7

---

**Table of Contents****FLOWERS FOODS, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)****1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION**

**INTERIM FINANCIAL STATEMENTS** The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements of Flowers Foods, Inc. ( the company ) have been prepared by the company s management in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America ( GAAP ) for interim financial information and applicable rules and regulations of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for annual financial statements. In the opinion of management, the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements included herein contain all adjustments (consisting of only normal recurring accruals) necessary to present fairly the company s financial position, the results of its operations and its cash flows. The results of operations for the twelve and twenty-eight week periods ended July 18, 2009 and July 12, 2008 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for a full fiscal year. The balance sheet at January 3, 2009 has been derived from the audited financial statements at that date but does not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 3, 2009.

**ESTIMATES** The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The company believes the following critical accounting estimates affect its more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of its consolidated financial statements: revenue recognition, derivative instruments, valuation of long-lived assets, goodwill and other intangibles, self-insurance reserves, income tax expense and accruals and pension obligations. These estimates are summarized in the company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 3, 2009.

**REPORTING PERIODS** The company operates on a 52-53 week fiscal year ending the Saturday nearest December 31. Fiscal 2009 consists of 52 weeks, with the company s quarterly reporting periods as follows: first quarter ended April 25, 2009 (sixteen weeks), second quarter ended July 18, 2009 (twelve weeks), third quarter ending October 10, 2009 (twelve weeks) and fourth quarter ending January 2, 2010 (twelve weeks).

**SEGMENTS** The company consists of two business segments: direct-store-delivery ( DSD ) and warehouse delivery. The DSD segment focuses on the production and marketing of bakery products to U.S. customers in the Southeast, Mid-Atlantic, and Southwest as well as select markets in California and Nevada primarily through its direct-store-delivery system. The warehouse delivery segment produces snack cakes for sale to retail, vending and co-pack customers as well as frozen bread, rolls and buns for sale to retail and foodservice customers primarily through warehouse distribution.

**SIGNIFICANT CUSTOMER** Following is the effect our largest customer, Wal-Mart/Sam s Club, had on the company s sales for the twelve and twenty-eight weeks ended July 18, 2009 and July 12, 2008. No other customer accounted for 10% or more of the company s sales.

	<b>FOR THE TWELVE WEEKS ENDED</b>		<b>FOR THE TWENTY-EIGHT WEEKS ENDED</b>	
	<b>JULY 18, 2009</b>	<b>JULY 12, 2008</b>	<b>JULY 18, 2009</b>	<b>JULY 12, 2008</b>
	<b>(Percent of Sales)</b>		<b>(Percent of Sales)</b>	
DSD	18.8%	18.3%	18.2%	18.1%
Warehouse delivery	2.8	2.8	2.9	2.5
Total	21.6%	21.1%	21.1%	20.6%



**Table of Contents****2. COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)**

Other comprehensive income (loss) results from derivative financial instruments and amortization of prior service costs and actuarial loss related to the company's defined benefit and postretirement plans pursuant to Statement of Financial Accounting Standard (SFAS) No. 158, *Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and other Postretirement Plans, an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106 and 132R* (SFAS 158). Total comprehensive income attributable to Flowers Foods, Inc., determined as net income adjusted by other comprehensive income (loss) and net income attributable to noncontrolling interest, was \$41.0 million and \$85.6 million for the twelve and twenty-eight weeks ended July 18, 2009, respectively. Total comprehensive income attributable to Flowers Foods, Inc. was \$1.4 million and \$53.6 million for the twelve and twenty-eight weeks ended July 12, 2008, respectively.

During the twenty-eight weeks ended July 18, 2009, changes to accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of income tax, were as follows (amounts in thousands):

Accumulated other comprehensive loss, January 3, 2009	\$ (102,279)
Derivative transactions:	
Net deferred gains (losses) on closed contracts, net of income tax of \$(2,691)	(4,299)
Reclassified to earnings, net of income tax of \$12,703	20,292
Effective portion of change in fair value of hedging instruments, net of income tax of \$514	822
Amortization of actuarial loss, net of income tax of \$572	914
Amortization of prior service costs, net of income tax of \$69	110
Accumulated other comprehensive loss, July 18, 2009	\$ (84,440)

**3. RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS**

In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* (SFAS No. 157). SFAS No. 157 establishes a common definition for fair value to be applied to U.S. GAAP requiring use of fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and expands disclosure about such fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 is effective for financial assets and financial liabilities for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. The implementation of SFAS No. 157 for financial assets and financial liabilities, effective December 30, 2007, did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial position and results of operations. Please refer to Note 7., Derivative Financial Instruments, for a detailed discussion.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141R, *Business Combinations* (SFAS No. 141R), which changed the accounting for business acquisitions. SFAS No. 141R, as amended by FSP No. 141-1 issued in April 2009, requires the acquiring entity in a business combination to recognize all (and only) the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the transaction and establishes the acquisition-date fair value as the measurement objective for all assets acquired and liabilities assumed in a business combination. Certain provisions of this standard impact the determination of acquisition-date fair value of consideration paid in a business combination (including contingent consideration); exclude transaction costs from acquisition accounting; and change accounting practices for acquisition-related restructuring costs, in-process research and development, indemnification assets, and tax benefits. SFAS No. 141R, as amended, was effective to the company for business combinations and adjustments to an acquired entity's deferred tax asset and liability balances occurring after January 3, 2009. The company applied the provisions of SFAS 141R to the acquisition it made during the second quarter of fiscal 2009.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements* (SFAS No. 160). SFAS No. 160 establishes requirements for ownership interests in subsidiaries held by parties other than the company (sometimes called minority interests) be clearly identified, presented, and disclosed in the consolidated statement of financial position within equity, but separate from the parent's equity. All changes in the parent's ownership interests are required to be accounted for consistently as equity transactions and any noncontrolling equity investments in unconsolidated subsidiaries must be measured initially at fair value. SFAS No. 160 is effective, on a prospective basis, for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. However, presentation and disclosure requirements must be retrospectively applied to comparative financial statements. The company adopted SFAS



Edgar Filing: FLOWERS FOODS INC - Form 10-Q

No. 160 as of January 4, 2009. As a result, upon adoption, the company has classified the Minority Interest in Variable Interest Entity balance to a new component of equity with respect to noncontrolling interests. The adoption also impacted certain captions previously used on the consolidated statement of income by separately identifying net income, net income attributable to noncontrolling interests and net income attributable to Flowers Foods, Inc. Prior period information presented in this Form 10-Q has been reclassified where required.

**Table of Contents**

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, *Disclosures About Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133* ( SFAS No. 161 ). SFAS No. 161 expands quarterly disclosure requirements in SFAS No. 133 about an entity's derivative instruments and hedging activities. SFAS No. 161 was effective for the company as of January 4, 2009. The additional disclosures required by this standard are included in Note 7, Derivative Financial Instruments.

In December 2008, the FASB issued FSP No. FAS 132(R)-1, *Employers' Disclosures about Postretirement Benefit Plan Assets*. This FSP requires additional disclosures about plan assets for sponsors of defined benefit pension and postretirement plans including expanded information regarding investment strategies, major categories of plan assets, and concentrations of risk within plan assets. Additionally, this FSP requires disclosures similar to those required under SFAS No. 157 with respect to the fair value of plan assets such as the inputs and valuation techniques used to measure fair value and information with respect to classification of plan assets in terms of the hierarchy of the source of information used to determine their value. The disclosures under this FSP are required for annual periods ending after December 15, 2009. The company is currently evaluating the requirements of these additional disclosures.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP No. FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1, *Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments*. This FSP essentially expands the disclosure about fair value of financial instruments that were previously required only annually to also be required for interim period reporting. In addition, the FSP requires certain additional disclosures regarding the methods and significant assumptions used to estimate the fair value of financial instruments. These additional disclosures are included in Note 6., Fair Value of Financial Instruments, below.

In May 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 165, *Subsequent Events* ( SFAS 165 ). SFAS 165 provides guidance on management's assessment of subsequent events and incorporates this guidance into accounting literature. SFAS 165 is effective prospectively for interim and annual periods ending after June 15, 2009. The implementation of this standard did not have an impact on our condensed consolidated balance sheet and condensed consolidated statements of income. The company has evaluated subsequent events through August 20, 2009, the date of the filing of this Form 10-Q.

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 167, *Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R)* ( SFAS 167 ). SFAS 167 amends the consolidation guidance applicable to variable interest entities and affects the overall consolidation analysis under FASB Interpretation No. 46(R). SFAS 167 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2009. The company is currently assessing the impact of SFAS 167 on its condensed consolidated balance sheet and statements of income.

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 168, *The FASB Accounting Standards Codification and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles - a replacement of FASB Statement No. 162* ( SFAS 168 ). SFAS 168 stipulates the FASB Accounting Standards Codification is the source of authoritative U.S. GAAP recognized by the FASB to be applied by nongovernmental entities. SFAS 168 is effective for financial statements issued for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009.

**4. ACQUISITIONS**

On May 15, 2009, the company acquired substantially all the assets of a bakery mix operation in Cedar Rapids, Iowa for \$9.4 million of consideration. Based on the preliminary purchase price allocation, the fair value of the identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed exceeded the fair value of the consideration paid. As a result, we recognized a gain of \$3.0 million which is included in the line item "Gain on acquisition" to derive income from operations in the condensed consolidated statements of income for the twelve and twenty-eight weeks ended July 18, 2009. The gain on acquisition resulted due to the seller's strategic intent to exit a non-core business operation. This acquisition is recorded in the company's warehouse delivery segment.

On August 4, 2008, the company acquired 100% of the outstanding shares of capital stock of the parent company of ButterKrust Bakery ( ButterKrust ). ButterKrust manufactures fresh breads and rolls in Lakeland, Florida and its products are available throughout Florida under the *Country Hearth*, *Rich Harvest*, and *Sunbeam* brands, as well as store brands. The results of ButterKrust's

**Table of Contents**

operations have been included in the consolidated financial statements since August 4, 2008 and are included in the company's DSD operating segment. As a result of the acquisition, the company has added additional production capacity in the Florida market.

The aggregate purchase price was \$91.3 million in cash, including the payoff of certain indebtedness and other payments and acquisition costs. The following table presents the allocation of the acquisition cost, including professional fees and other related costs, to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed, based on their fair values (amounts in thousands):

**At August 4, 2008****Purchase price:**

Cash, including acquisition costs	\$ 91,258	
Total consideration		\$ 91,258

**Allocation of purchase price:**

Current assets, including cash of \$1.2 million and a current deferred tax asset of \$1.0 million	\$ 8,039	
Property, plant, and equipment	36,920	
Other assets	1,323	
Intangible assets	22,600	
Goodwill	57,566	
Total assets acquired		\$ 126,448
Current liabilities	\$ 10,542	
Long-term debt and other	5,161	
Long-term pension and postretirement liabilities	9,081	
Deferred tax liabilities	10,406	
Total liabilities assumed		\$ 35,190
Net assets acquired		\$ 91,258

The following table presents the allocation of the intangible assets subject to amortization (amounts in thousands, except for amortization periods):

	<b>Amount</b>	<b>Weighted Average Amortization Years</b>
Trademarks	\$ 2,200	22.0
Customer relationships	18,900	25.0
Total intangible assets subject to amortization	\$ 21,100	24.7

Acquired intangible assets not subject to amortization include trademarks of \$1.5 million. Goodwill of \$57.6 million is allocated to the DSD operating segment. None of the intangible assets, including goodwill, are deductible for tax purposes.

On August 11, 2008, a wholly owned subsidiary of the company merged with Holsum Holdings, LLC ( Holsum ). Holsum operates two bakeries in the Phoenix, Arizona area and serves customers in Arizona, New Mexico, southern Nevada and southern California with fresh breads and rolls under the *Holsum*, *Aunt Hattie*, and *Roman Meal*

brands. The results of Holsum's operations are included in the company's consolidated financial statements as of August 11, 2008 and are included in the company's DSD operating segment. As a result of the merger, the company has expanded into new geographic markets.

The aggregate purchase price was \$143.9 million, consisting of \$80.0 million in cash, including the payoff of certain indebtedness, 1,998,656 shares of company common stock, contingent consideration, a working capital adjustment and acquisition costs. The value of the shares issued was determined based on application of Emerging Issues Task Force Issue 97-15, *Accounting for Contingency Arrangements Based on Security Prices in a Purchase Business Combination*. The contingent consideration payment of up to \$5.0 million is payable to the sellers in cash should the company's common stock not trade over a target price for ten consecutive trading days during the two year period beginning February 11, 2009. Any future contingent payment made will affect the company's equity and not goodwill.

The following table presents the allocation of the acquisition cost, including professional fees and other related costs, to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed, based on their fair values (amounts in thousands):

**Table of Contents****At August 11, 2008****Purchase price:**

Cash, including acquisition costs	\$ 80,026	
Common stock	64,377	
Working capital adjustment	(476)	
Total consideration		\$ 143,927

**Allocation of purchase price:**

Current assets, including a current deferred tax asset of \$0.3 million	\$ 18,626	
Property, plant, and equipment	54,019	
Other assets	330	
Intangible assets	64,900	
Goodwill	66,131	
Total assets acquired		\$ 204,006
Current liabilities	\$ 17,972	
Deferred taxes	33,623	
Long-term liabilities	8,484	
Total liabilities assumed		\$ 60,079
Net assets acquired		\$ 143,927

The following table presents the allocation of the intangible assets subject to amortization (amounts in thousands, except for amortization periods):

	<b>Amount</b>	<b>Weighted Average Amortization Years</b>
Trademarks	\$ 19,200	20.0
Customer relationships	43,100	20.0
Distributor relationships	2,600	15.0
Total intangible assets subject to amortization	\$ 64,900	19.8

Goodwill of \$66.1 million is allocated to the DSD operating segment. None of the intangible assets, including goodwill, are deductible for tax purposes.

The following unaudited pro forma consolidated results of operations have been prepared as if the acquisitions of ButterKrust and Holsum occurred at the beginning of the first quarter of fiscal 2008 (amounts in thousands, except per share data):

<b>For the Twelve weeks ended</b>	<b>For the Twenty-eight weeks ended</b>
---	---

	<b>July 12, 2008</b>	<b>July 12, 2008</b>
Sales	\$ 598,626	\$ 1,346,423
Net income	\$ 22,648	\$ 59,002
Net income per share Basic	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.63
Net income per share Diluted	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.62

These amounts have been calculated after adjusting the results of ButterKrust and Holsum to reflect additional depreciation and amortization that would have been charged assuming the fair value adjustments to property, plant, and equipment, and amortizable intangible assets had been applied from the beginning of the period presented. In addition, pro forma adjustments have been made for the common shares issued for Holsum and the interest incurred for financing the acquisitions. Taxes have also been adjusted for the effect of the items discussed.

#### **5. GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLES**

During fiscal 2008, the company acquired ButterKrust and Holsum, which are included in the DSD operating segment. In addition, the company acquired certain assets from affiliates of General Mills during the twenty-eight weeks ended July 18, 2009 that are included in the warehouse delivery operating segment. See Note 4 for goodwill and amortizable intangible asset increases related to the ButterKrust and Holsum acquisitions during fiscal 2008.

The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for the twenty-eight weeks ended July 18, 2009, are as follows (amounts in thousands):

	<b>DSD</b>	<b>Warehouse delivery</b>	<b>Total</b>
Balance as of January 3, 2009	\$ 195,558	\$ 4,477	\$ 200,035
Goodwill acquired during the year			
Balance as of July 18, 2009	\$ 195,558	\$ 4,477	\$ 200,035

**Table of Contents**

As of July 18, 2009 and January 3, 2009, the company had the following amounts related to amortizable intangible assets (amounts in thousands):

Asset	July 18, 2009 Accumulated			January 3, 2009 Accumulated		
	Cost	Amortization	Net Value	Cost	Amortization	Net Value
Trademarks	\$ 35,268	\$ 2,442	\$ 32,826	\$ 33,608	\$ 1,633	\$ 31,975
Customer relationships	75,434	7,895	67,539	75,434	5,784	69,650
Non-compete agreements	1,874	1,277	597	1,874	1,239	635
Distributor relationships	2,600	160	2,440	2,600	67	2,533
Supply agreement	1,050	54	996			
Total	\$ 116,226	\$ 11,828	\$ 104,398	\$ 113,516	\$ 8,723	\$ 104,793

There is an additional \$1.5 million of indefinite life intangible assets from the ButterKrust acquisition separately identified from goodwill, as discussed in Note 4.

Net amortization expense for the twelve weeks ended July 18, 2009 and July 12, 2008 were as follows (amounts in thousands):

	2009	2008
Amortizable intangible assets expense	\$ 1,391	\$ 393
Amortizable intangible liabilities (income)	(10)	(22)
Total, net	\$ 1,381	\$ 371

Net amortization expense for the twenty-eight weeks ended July 18, 2009 and July 12, 2008 were as follows (amounts in thousands):

	2009	2008
Amortizable intangible assets expense	\$ 3,105	\$ 873
Amortizable intangible liabilities (income)	(24)	(219)
Total, net	\$ 3,081	\$ 654

Estimated net amortization of intangibles for the remainder of fiscal 2009 and the next four years is as follows (amounts in thousands):

	Amortization of Intangibles, net
Remainder of 2009	\$ 2,797
2010	\$ 6,003
2011	\$ 5,948
2012	\$ 5,677
2013	\$ 5,488

**6. FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS**

The carrying value of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable and short-term debt approximates fair value because of the short-term maturity of the instruments. SFAS No. 107, *Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments*, states that the appropriate interest rate that should be used to estimate the fair value of the distributor notes should be the current market rate at which similar loans would be made to distributors with similar credit ratings and for the same maturities. However, the company



**Table of Contents**

utilizes approximately 3,610 independent distributors all with varied financial histories and credit risks. Considering the diversity of credit risks among the independent distributors, the company has no method to accurately determine a market interest rate. The territories are financed over ten years bearing an interest rate of 12%. During the twelve week periods ending July 18, 2009 and July 12, 2008, \$3.0 million and \$3.1 million, respectively, were recorded as interest income relating to the distributor notes. During the twenty-eight week periods ending July 18, 2009 and July 12, 2008, \$7.0 million and \$6.8 million, respectively, were recorded as interest income relating to the distributor notes. The distributor notes are collateralized by the independent distributors' territories. At July 18, 2009 and January 3, 2009, the carrying value of the distributor notes was \$106.9 million and \$106.8 million, respectively, of which the current portion of \$12.5 million and \$12.1 million, respectively, is recorded in accounts and notes receivable, net. At July 18, 2009 and January 3, 2009, the company has evaluated the collectibility of the distributor notes and determined that a reserve is not necessary. Payments on these distributor notes are collected by the company weekly in the distributor settlement process. The fair value of the company's long-term debt at July 18, 2009 approximates the recorded value.

**7. DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS**

In the first quarter of fiscal 2008, the company began measuring the fair value of the derivative portfolio using common definitions under SFAS No. 157, which defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in the principal market for that asset or liability. Under SFAS No. 157, measurements are classified into a hierarchy by the inputs used to perform the fair value calculation as follows:

Level 1: Fair value based on unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in active markets

Level 2: Modeled fair value with model inputs that are all observable market values

Level 3: Modeled fair value with at least one model input that is not an observable market value

This change in measurement technique had no material impact on the reported value of our derivative portfolio.

**COMMODITY PRICE RISK**

The company enters into commodity derivatives, designated as cash-flow hedges of existing or future exposure to changes in commodity prices. The company's primary raw materials are flour, sweeteners and shortening, along with pulp, paper and petroleum-based packaging products. Natural gas, which is used as oven fuel, is also an important commodity input to production.

As of July 18, 2009, the company's hedge portfolio contained commodity derivatives with a fair value of \$(22.0) million, which is recorded in the following accounts with fair values measured as indicated (amounts in millions):

	<b>Level 1</b>	<b>Level 2</b>	<b>Level 3</b>	<b>Total</b>
Assets:				
Other current	\$	\$	\$	\$
Other long-term	0.2			0.2
Total	0.2			0.2
Liabilities:				
Other current	(16.5)	(5.1)		(21.6)
Other long-term		(0.6)		(0.6)
Total	(16.5)	(5.7)		(22.2)
Net Fair Value	\$ (16.3)	\$ (5.7)	\$	\$ (22.0)

The positions held in the portfolio are used to hedge economic exposure to changes in various raw material prices and effectively fix the price, or limit increases in prices, for a period of time extending into fiscal 2010. Under SFAS No. 133, these instruments are designated as cash-flow hedges. The effective portion of changes in fair value for these derivatives is recorded each period in other comprehensive income (loss) and is amortized to materials, supplies, labor, and other production costs as inventory is sold. The ineffective portion of the change in fair value is recorded to current period earnings in selling, marketing and administrative expenses. The company held no commodity derivatives at July 18, 2009 or January 3, 2009 that did not qualify for hedge accounting under SFAS No. 133.

As of July 18, 2009, the balance in accumulated other comprehensive loss related to commodity derivative transactions was \$19.7 million. Of this total, approximately \$8.1 million and \$5.5 million were related to open contracts that expire in fiscal 2009 and 2010, respectively, and \$6.1 million was related to deferred losses not yet amortized to materials, supplies, labor, and other production costs on expired contracts.

**Table of Contents****INTEREST RATE RISK**

On July 9, 2008 and August 13, 2008, the company entered interest rate swaps with notional amounts of \$85.0 million, and \$65.0 million, respectively, to fix the interest rate on the \$150.0 million term loan secured on August 1, 2008 to fund the acquisitions of ButterKrust and Holsum. On October 27, 2008, the company entered an interest rate swap with a notional amount of \$50.0 million to fix the interest rate on borrowings outstanding under the company's unsecured credit facility.

The interest rate swap agreements results in the company paying or receiving the difference between the fixed and floating rates at specified intervals calculated based on the notional amount. The interest rate differential to be paid or received will be recorded as interest expense. Under SFAS No. 133, these swap transactions are designated as cash-flow hedges. Accordingly, the effective portion of changes in the fair value of the swaps is recorded each period in other comprehensive income. Any ineffective portions of changes in fair value are recorded to current period earnings in selling, marketing and administrative expenses.

As of July 18, 2009, the fair value of the interest rate swaps was \$(7.1) million, which is recorded in the following accounts with fair values measured as indicated (amounts in millions):

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets:				
Other current	\$	\$	\$	\$
Other long-term				
Total				
Liabilities:				
Other current		(4.5)		(4.5)
Other long-term		(2.6)		(2.6)
Total		(7.1)		(7.1)
Net Fair Value	\$	\$ (7.1)	\$	\$ (7.1)

During the twelve weeks ended July 18, 2009, interest expense of \$1.2 million was recognized due to periodic settlements of the swaps. During the twenty-eight weeks ended July 18, 2009, interest expense of \$2.7 million was recognized due to periodic settlements of the swaps.

As of July 18, 2009, the balance in accumulated other comprehensive loss related to interest rate derivative transactions was \$4.4 million. Of this total, approximately \$1.4 million, \$2.1 million, \$0.8 million, \$0.1 million and \$(0.1) million was related to instruments expiring in fiscal 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013, respectively.

The company has the following derivative instruments located on the consolidated balance sheet, utilized for risk management purposes detailed above (amounts in thousands):

Derivatives designated as hedging instruments under SFAS No. 133	Derivative Assets				Derivative Liabilities			
	July 18, 2009		January 3, 2009		July 18, 2009		January 3, 2009	
	Balance Sheet location	Fair Value	Balance Sheet location	Fair Value	Balance Sheet location	Fair Value	Balance Sheet location	Fair Value
Interest rate contracts		\$		\$	Other current liabilities	\$ 4,485	Other current liabilities	\$ 4,311
Interest rate contracts					Other long	2,591	Other long	5,137

Edgar Filing: FLOWERS FOODS INC - Form 10-Q

	Other long term assets	Other long term assets	term liabilities	Other current liabilities	term liabilities	Other current liabilities	Other long term liabilities
Commodity contracts	196	249	21,607	20,668			
Commodity contracts			632	618			
Total	\$ 196	\$ 249	\$ 29,315	\$ 30,734			

**Table of Contents**

The company has the following derivative instruments located on the consolidated statements of income, utilized for risk management purposes detailed above (amounts in thousands):

Derivatives in SFAS No.	Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in OCI on Derivative (Effective Portion) For the twelve weeks ended		Location of Gain or (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI into Income (Effective Portion)	Amount of Gain or (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion) For the twelve weeks ended	
	July 18, 2009	July 12, 2008		July 18, 2009	July 12, 2008
<b>133</b>					
<b>Cash Flow Hedge</b>					
<b>Relationships</b>					
Interest rate contracts	\$ 794	\$ (50)	Interest expense (income)	\$	\$
Commodity contracts			Selling, marketing and administrative	(353)	
Commodity contracts	(2,675)	(19,428)	Production costs(1)	(12,768)	(76)
Total	\$ (1,881)	\$ (19,478)		\$ (13,121)	\$ (76)

Derivatives in SFAS No.	Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in OCI on Derivative (Effective Portion) For the twenty-eight weeks ended		Location of Gain or (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI into Income (Effective Portion)	Amount of Gain or (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion) For the twenty-eight weeks ended	
	July 18, 2009	July 12, 2008		July 18, 2009	July 12, 2008
<b>133</b>					
<b>Cash Flow Hedge</b>					
<b>Relationships</b>					
Interest rate contracts	\$ 1,460	\$ (50)	Interest expense (income)	\$	\$
Commodity contracts			Selling, marketing and administrative	(875)	
Commodity contracts	(638)	(4,981)	Production costs(1)	(19,417)	(291)
Total	\$ 822	\$ (5,031)		\$ (20,292)	\$ (291)

- Included in Materials, supplies, labor and other production costs (exclusive of depreciation and amortization shown separately).

Derivatives in SFAS No. 133 Cash	Location of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative (Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing)	Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative (Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing) For the twelve weeks ended	
		July 18, 2009	July 12, 2008
<b>Flow Hedge Relationships</b>	<b>Effectiveness Testing)</b>		
Interest rate contracts	Selling, marketing and administrative expenses	\$	\$
Commodity contracts	Selling, marketing and administrative expenses		
Total		\$	\$

Derivatives in SFAS No. 133 Cash	Location of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative (Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing)	Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative (Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing) For the twenty-eight weeks ended	
		July 18, 2009	July 12, 2008
<b>Flow Hedge Relationships</b>	<b>Effectiveness Testing)</b>		
Interest rate contracts	Selling, marketing and administrative expenses	\$	\$
Commodity contracts	Selling, marketing and administrative expenses	(617)	
Total		\$ (617)	\$

As of July 18, 2009, the company had the following outstanding financial contracts that were entered to hedge commodity and interest rate risk:

Derivative in Statement 133 Cash Flow Hedge Relationship	Notional amount (millions)
Interest rate contracts	\$ 68.0
Wheat contracts	128.9
Soybean Oil contracts	25.8
Natural gas contracts	13.4
Diesel contracts	2.0

Total \$ 238.1

The company's derivative instruments contain no credit-risk-related contingent features at July 18, 2009.

**Table of Contents****8. DEBT AND OTHER OBLIGATIONS**

Long-term debt and capital leases consisted of the following at July 18, 2009 and January 3, 2009 (amounts in thousands):

	<b>JULY 18, 2009</b>	<b>JANUARY 3, 2009</b>
Unsecured credit facility	\$ 98,000	\$ 110,000
Unsecured term loan	138,750	146,250
Capital lease obligations	25,402	24,978
Other notes payable	4,165	5,189
	266,317	286,417
Less current maturities	20,357	22,538
Total long-term debt and capital leases	\$ 245,960	\$ 263,879

On August 1, 2008, the company entered into a Credit Agreement ( term loan ) with various lending parties for the purpose of completing the ButterKrust and Holsum acquisitions. The term loan provides for amortizing \$150.0 million of borrowings through the maturity date of August 4, 2013. The term loan includes certain customary restrictions, which, among other things, require maintenance of financial covenants and limit encumbrance of assets and creation of indebtedness. Restrictive financial covenants include such ratios as a minimum interest coverage ratio and a maximum leverage ratio. The company believes that, given its current cash position, its cash flow from operating activities and its available credit capacity, it can comply with the current terms of the term loan and can meet presently foreseeable financial requirements. As of July 18, 2009 and January 3, 2009, the company was in compliance with all financial covenants under the term loan. As of July 18, 2009 and January 3, 2009, the amounts outstanding under the term loan were \$138.8 million and \$146.3 million, respectively.

Interest is due quarterly in arrears on outstanding borrowings at a customary Eurodollar rate or the base rate plus the applicable margin. The underlying rate is defined as the rate offered in the interbank Eurodollar market or the higher of the prime lending rate or federal funds rate plus 0.5%. The applicable margin ranges from 0.0% to 1.375% for base rate loans and from 0.875% to 2.375% for Eurodollar loans and is based on the company's leverage ratio. Principal payments are due quarterly under the term loan beginning on December 31, 2008 at an annual amortization of 10% of the principal balance for the first two years, 15% during the third year, 20% during the fourth year, and 45% during the fifth year. The company paid financing costs of \$0.8 million in connection with the term loan, which are being amortized over the life of the term loan.

The company has a five-year, \$250.0 million unsecured revolving loan facility (the credit facility ) expiring October 5, 2012. The company may request to increase its borrowings under the credit facility up to an aggregate of \$350.0 million upon the satisfaction of certain conditions. Proceeds from the credit facility may be used for working capital and general corporate purposes, including acquisition financing, refinancing of indebtedness and share repurchases. The credit facility includes certain customary restrictions, which, among other things, require maintenance of financial covenants and limit encumbrance of assets and creation of indebtedness. Restrictive financial covenants include such ratios as a minimum interest coverage ratio and a maximum leverage ratio. The company believes that, given its current cash position, its cash flow from operating activities and its available credit capacity, it can comply with the current terms of the credit facility and can meet presently foreseeable financial requirements. As of July 18, 2009 and January 3, 2009, the company was in compliance with all financial covenants under its credit facility.

Interest is due quarterly in arrears on any outstanding borrowings at a customary Eurodollar rate or the base rate plus the applicable margin. The underlying rate is defined as rates offered in the interbank Eurodollar market or the higher of the prime lending rate or federal funds rate plus 0.5%. The applicable margin ranges from 0.0% to 0.30% for base rate loans and from 0.40% to 1.275% for Eurodollar loans. In addition, a facility fee ranging from 0.10% to



0.35% is due quarterly on all commitments under the credit facility. Both the interest margin and the facility fee are based on the company's leverage ratio. Financing costs of \$0.9 million were deferred and are being amortized over the term of the credit facility. There were \$98.0 million and \$110.0 million in outstanding borrowings under the credit facility at July 18, 2009 and January 3, 2009, respectively.

Included in accounts payable in the condensed consolidated balance sheets are book overdrafts of \$15.1 million and \$18.9 million as of July 18, 2009 and January 3, 2009, respectively.

**9. VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITY**

The company maintains a transportation agreement with a thinly capitalized entity. This entity transports a significant portion of the company's fresh bakery products from the company's production facilities to outlying distribution centers. The company represents a significant portion of the entity's revenue. This entity qualifies as a Variable Interest Entity ( VIE ), but not a Special Purpose Entity and under FASB Interpretation No. 46 ( FIN 46 ), *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities*, the company is the

**Table of Contents**

primary beneficiary. In accordance with FIN 46, the company consolidates this entity. The VIE has collateral that is sufficient to meet its capital lease and other debt obligations, and the owner of the VIE personally guarantees the obligations of the VIE. The VIE's creditors have no recourse against the general credit of the company.

Following is the effect of the VIE during the twelve and twenty-eight weeks ended July 18, 2009 and July 12, 2008:

	TWELVE WEEKS ENDED				TWENTY-EIGHT WEEKS ENDED			
	JULY 18, 2009		JULY 12, 2008		JULY 18, 2009		JULY 12, 2008	
	VIE	% OF TOTAL	VIE	% OF TOTAL	VIE	% OF TOTAL	VIE	% OF TOTAL
(Dollars in thousands)								
Assets as of respective quarter ends	\$34,349	2.5%	\$33,421	3.3%	\$34,349	2.5%	\$33,421	3.3%
Sales	\$ 3,088	0.5%	\$ 2,698	0.5%	\$ 4,616	0.3%	\$ 5,498	0.5%
Income before income taxes	\$ 756	1.5%	\$ 1,137	2.9%	\$ 1,208	1.1%	\$ 2,438	2.5%

The assets consist primarily of \$24.0 million and \$23.0 million as of July 18, 2009 and July 12, 2008, respectively, of transportation equipment recorded as capital lease obligations.

**10. LITIGATION**

The company and its subsidiaries from time to time are parties to, or targets of, lawsuits, claims, investigations and proceedings, including personal injury, commercial, contract, environmental, antitrust, product liability, health and safety and employment matters, which are being handled and defended in the ordinary course of business. While the company is unable to predict the outcome of these matters, it believes, based upon currently available facts, that it is remote that the ultimate resolution of any such pending matters will have a material adverse effect on its overall financial condition, results of operations or cash flows in the future. However, adverse developments could negatively impact earnings in future fiscal periods.

On July 23, 2008, a wholly-owned subsidiary of the company filed a lawsuit against Interstate Bakeries Corporation (IBC) in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia. The complaint alleges that IBC is infringing upon Flowers' *Nature's Own* trademarks by using the Nature's Pride trademark. The company asserts that IBC's sale of baked goods under the Nature's Pride trademark is likely to cause confusion with, and likely to dilute the distinctiveness of, the *Nature's Own* mark. The company is seeking actual damages, an accounting of IBC's profits, and injunctive relief. IBC has asserted a counterclaim for the cancellation of two of the four federal trademark registrations of *Nature's Own* asserted by the company. However, the company denies the allegations and believes that the claims are without factual or legal bases.

**Table of Contents****11. EARNINGS PER SHARE**

Effective January 4, 2009, the company adopted FSP No. EITF 03-6-1. We have retrospectively adjusted earnings per common share for all prior periods presented. We now use the two-class method of computing earnings per share. The two-class method is an earnings allocation formula that determines earnings per share for each class of common stock and participating security as if all earnings for the period had been distributed. As concluded in FSP No. EITF 03-6-1, unvested restricted share awards that earn non-forfeitable dividend rights qualify as participating securities under SFAS No. 128, *Earnings Per Share*, and accordingly, are now included in the basic computation as such. The company's unvested restricted shares participate on an equal basis with common shares; therefore, there is no difference in undistributed earnings allocated to each participating security. Accordingly, the presentation below is prepared on a combined basis and is presented as earnings per common share. Previously, such unvested restricted shares were not included as outstanding within basic earnings per common share and were included in diluted earnings per common share pursuant to the treasury stock method. The following is a reconciliation of net income attributable to Flowers Foods, Inc. and weighted average shares for calculating basic and diluted earnings per common share for the twelve and twenty-eight weeks ended July 18, 2009 and July 12, 2008 (amounts in thousands, except per share data):

	<b>FOR THE TWELVE WEEKS ENDED</b>		<b>FOR THE TWENTY-EIGHT WEEKS ENDED</b>	
	<b>JULY 18, 2009</b>	<b>JULY 12, 2008</b>	<b>JULY 18, 2009</b>	<b>JULY 12, 2008</b>
Net income attributable to Flowers Foods, Inc.	\$ 30,341	\$ 23,949	\$ 67,722	\$ 59,732
Dividends on restricted shares not expected to vest*				
Net income attributable to common and participating shareholders	\$ 30,341	\$ 23,949	\$ 67,722	\$ 59,732
<b>Basic Earnings Per Common Share:</b>				
Weighted average shares outstanding for common stock	91,727	91,724	92,061	91,710
Weighted average shares outstanding for participating securities	414	432	413	402
Basic weighted average shares outstanding per common share	92,141	92,156	92,474	92,112
Basic earnings per common share attributable to Flowers Foods, Inc. common shareholders	\$ 0.33	\$ 0.26	\$ 0.73	\$ 0.65

**Diluted Earnings Per  
Common Share:**

Edgar Filing: FLOWERS FOODS INC - Form 10-Q

Basic weighted average shares outstanding per common share	92,141	92,156	92,474	92,112
Add: Shares of common stock assumed issued upon exercise of stock options and vesting of restricted stock	489	590	505	468
Diluted weighted average shares outstanding per common share	92,630	92,746	92,979	92,580
Diluted earnings per common share attributable to Flowers Foods, Inc. common shareholders	\$ 0.33	\$ 0.26	\$ 0.73	\$ 0.65

\* The company expects all restricted share awards outstanding at July 18, 2009 and July 12, 2008 to vest.

Stock options to purchase 1,841,417 shares and 850,200 shares of common stock were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share for the twelve and twenty-eight weeks ended July 18, 2009 and July 12, 2008, respectively, because their effect would have been anti-dilutive.

We have retrospectively adjusted the prior periods to reflect the results that would have been reported had we applied the provisions of FSP No. EITF 03-6-1 for computing earnings per common share for all periods presented. The adoption of this FSP did not change basic or diluted EPS for the twelve and twenty-eight weeks ended July 12, 2008.

**Table of Contents****12. STOCK BASED COMPENSATION**

Flowers Foods 2001 Equity and Performance Incentive Plan as amended and restated as of April 1, 2009 ( EPIP ) authorizes the compensation committee of the board of directors to make awards of options to purchase our common stock, restricted stock, performance stock and performance units and deferred stock. Our officers, key employees and non-employee directors (whose grants are generally approved by the full board of directors) are eligible to receive awards under the EPIP. The aggregate number of shares that may be issued or transferred under the EPIP is 18,625,000 shares. Over the life of the EPIP, the company has only issued options, restricted stock and deferred stock. The following is a summary of stock options, restricted stock, and deferred stock outstanding under the EPIP. Information relating to the company s stock appreciation rights which are not issued under the EPIP is also disclosed below.

*Stock Options*

Stock options granted prior to January 3, 2006 may not be exercised later than ten years after the date of grant, and become exercisable four years from the date of grant and generally vest at that time or upon death, disability or retirement of the optionee or upon change in control of Flowers Foods. Options granted on January 3, 2006 and thereafter may not be exercised later than seven years after the date of grant, become exercisable three years from the date of grant, generally vest at that time or upon death, disability or retirement of the optionee or upon change in control of Flowers Foods. In order to exercise these options the optionees are required to pay the market value calculated as the average high/low trading value at date of grant for pre-2006 awards and the closing market price on the date of grant for post-2006 awards.

The following non-qualified stock options ( NQSOs ) have been granted under the EPIP with service period remaining. The *Black-Scholes* option-pricing model was used to estimate the grant date fair value (amounts in thousands, except price data):

<b>Grant date</b>	<b>2/5/2007</b>	<b>2/4/2008</b>	<b>2/9/2009</b>
Shares granted	831	850	993
Exercise price	19.57	24.75	23.84
Vesting date	2/5/2010	2/4/2011	2/9/2012
Fair value per share (\$)	6.30	5.80	5.87
Dividend yield (%) <sup>(1)</sup>	1.70	1.90	2.20
Expected volatility (%) <sup>(2)</sup>	33.90	27.30	31.80
Risk-free interest rate (%) <sup>(3)</sup>	4.74	2.79	2.00
Expected option life (years) <sup>(4)</sup>	5.00	5.00	5.00
Outstanding at July 18, 2009	824	848	993

1. Dividend yield estimated yield based on the historical dividend payment for the four most recent dividend payments prior to the grant date.
2. Expected volatility based on historical

volatility over the expected term using daily stock prices.

3. Risk-free interest rate United States Treasury Constant Maturity rates as of the grant date over the expected term.
4. Expected option life for the 2006 and 2007 grants the assumption is based on the simplified formula determined in accordance with Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107. The 2009 grant assumption is based on the simplified formula determined in accordance with Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 110. The company does not have sufficient historical exercise behavior data to reasonably estimate the expected option life and the terms of the

awards issued in 2009 are different from the awards that have fully vested.

The stock option activity for the twenty-eight weeks ended July 18, 2009 pursuant to the EPIP is set forth below (amounts in thousands, except price data):

	<b>Options</b>	<b>Weighted Average Exercise Price</b>	<b>Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term</b>	<b>Aggregate Intrinsic Value</b>
Outstanding at January 3, 2009	2,975	\$ 18.46		
Granted	993	\$ 23.84		
Exercised	(190)	\$ 9.61		
Forfeited	(2)	\$ 18.68		
Outstanding at July 18, 2009	3,776	\$ 20.32	5.01	\$ 11,894
Exercisable at July 18, 2009	1,111	\$ 14.34	3.53	\$ 9,303

**Table of Contents**

As of July 18, 2009, all options outstanding under the EPIP had an average exercise price of \$20.32 and a weighted average remaining contractual life of 5.01 years.

During the twenty-eight weeks ended July 18, 2009 and July 12, 2008, the company recorded stock-based compensation expense of \$2.7 million and \$2.3 million, respectively, relating to NQSOs using the *Black-Scholes* option-pricing model. During the twelve weeks ended July 18, 2009 and July 12, 2008, the company recorded stock-based compensation expense of \$1.2 million and \$1.0 million, respectively.

As of July 18, 2009, there was \$8.3 million of total unrecognized compensation expense related to outstanding stock options. This cost is expected to be recognized on a straight-line basis over a weighted-average period of 2.0 years.

The cash received, the windfall tax benefits, and intrinsic value from stock option exercises for the twenty-eight weeks ended July 18, 2009 and July 12, 2008 were as follows (amounts in thousands):

	<b>July 18, 2009</b>	<b>July 12, 2008</b>
Cash received from option exercises	\$1,824	\$2,439
Cash tax windfall benefit	\$ 918	\$1,264
Intrinsic value of stock options exercised	\$2,709	\$3,720

Generally, if the employee dies, becomes disabled or retires, the nonqualified stock options immediately vest and must be exercised within two years. In addition, nonqualified stock options will vest if the company undergoes a change in control.

*Performance-Contingent Restricted Stock*

Certain key employees have been granted performance-contingent restricted stock. The 2008 and 2009 awards generally vest two years from the date of grant and require the return on invested capital to exceed the weighted average cost of capital by 2.5% (the ROI Target) over the two fiscal years immediately preceding the vesting date. If the ROI Target is not met the awards are forfeited. Furthermore, each grant of performance-contingent restricted stock will be adjusted as set forth below:

if the ROI Target is satisfied, then the performance-contingent restricted stock grant may be adjusted based on the company's total return to shareholders (Company TSR) percent rank as compared to the total return to shareholders of the S&P Packaged Food & Meat Index (S&P TSR) in the manner set forth below:

If the Company TSR rank is equal to the 50th percentile of the S&P TSR, then no adjustment;

If the Company TSR rank is less than the 50th percentile of the S&P TSR, the grant shall be reduced by 1.3% for each percentile below the 50th percentile that the Company TSR is less than the 50th percentile of S&P TSR, but in no event shall such reduction exceed 20%; or

If the Company TSR rank is greater than the 50th percentile of the S&P TSR, the grant shall be increased by 1.3% for each percentile above the 50th percentile that Company TSR is greater than the 50th percentile of S&P TSR, but in no event shall such increase exceed 20%.

In connection with the vesting of 222,525 shares of restricted stock granted in February 2007, during the twenty-eight weeks ended July 18, 2009, an additional 44,505 common shares were issued because the company exceeded the S&P TSR by the maximum amount.

For grants prior to 2009, if the grantee dies, becomes disabled or retires, the performance-contingent restricted stock generally vests immediately. For the 2009 grant, if the grantee dies or becomes disabled the performance-contingent restricted stock generally vests immediately. However, at retirement grantees under the 2009 grant will receive a pro-rata number of shares through the grantee's retirement date at the normal vesting date. In addition, the performance-contingent restricted stock will immediately vest at the grant date award level without adjustment if the company undergoes a change in control. During the vesting period, the grantee is treated as a normal shareholder with respect to dividend and voting rights on the restricted shares. The fair value estimate was



**Table of Contents**

determined using a *Monte Carlo* simulation model, which utilizes multiple input variables to determine the probability of the company achieving the market condition discussed above. Inputs into the model included the following for the company and comparator companies: (i) total stockholder return from the beginning of the performance cycle through the measurement date; (ii) volatility; (iii) risk-free interest rates; and (iv) the correlation of the comparator companies total stockholder return. The inputs are based on historical capital market data.

The following restricted stock awards have been granted under the EPIP since fiscal 2007 (amounts in thousands, except price data):

<b>Grant date</b>	<b>2/5/2007</b>	<b>2/4/2008</b>	<b>2/9/2009</b>
Shares granted	224	210	204
Vesting date	2/5/2009	2/4/2010	2/9/2011
Fair value per share	\$ 20.98	\$ 27.03	\$ 24.96
Expense during the twelve weeks ended July 18, 2009	\$	\$ 655	\$ 588
Expense during the twelve weeks ended July 12, 2008	\$ 509	\$ 655	\$
Expense during the twenty-eight weeks ended July 18, 2009	\$ 170	\$ 1,528	\$ 1,176
Expense during the twenty-eight weeks ended July 12, 2008	\$ 1,188	\$ 1,310	\$

A summary of the status of the company's nonvested shares as of July 18, 2009, and changes during the twenty-eight weeks ended July 18, 2009, is presented below (amounts in thousands, except price data):

	<b>Shares</b>	<b>Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value</b>
Nonvested at January 3, 2009	432	\$ 23.92
Granted	204	\$ 24.96
Vested	(222)	\$ 20.98
Forfeited		\$
Nonvested at July 18, 2009	414	\$ 26.01

As of July 18, 2009, there was \$5.4 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested restricted stock granted by the EPIP. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.1 years. The total fair value of shares vested during the twenty-eight weeks ended July 18, 2009 was \$5.3 million.

**Stock Appreciation Rights**

Prior to 2007, the company allowed non-employee directors to convert their retainers and committee chairman fees into rights. These rights vest after one year and can be exercised over nine years. The company records compensation expense for these rights at a measurement date based on changes between the grant price and an estimated fair value of the rights using the *Black-Scholes* option-pricing model. During the twenty-eight weeks ended July 18, 2009 the company paid out the accrued dividends for those rights granted after 2003. Future dividends on vested rights granted after 2003 will be paid out at the time dividends are paid to other common shareholders.

The fair value of the rights at July 18, 2009 ranged from \$7.92 to \$19.44. The following assumptions were used to determine fair value of the rights discussed above using the *Black-Scholes* option-pricing model at July 18, 2009: dividend yield 2.6%; expected volatility 32.0%; risk-free interest rate 2.54% and expected life of 1.05 years to 3.45 years.

The rights activity for the twenty-eight weeks ended July 18, 2009 is set forth below (amounts in thousands except price data):

	<b>Rights</b>	<b>Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value</b>	<b>Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term</b>	<b>Aggregate Current Intrinsic Value</b>
Outstanding at January 3, 2009	231	\$ 11.14		
Rights exercised				
Rights forfeited				
Outstanding at July 18, 2009	231	\$ 11.14	4.38	\$ 2,689

**Table of Contents***Deferred Stock*

Pursuant to the EPIP, the company allows non-employee directors to convert their retainers into deferred stock. The deferred stock has a minimum two year vesting period and will be distributed to the individual after that time at a designated time selected by the individual at the date of conversion. During the first quarter of fiscal 2008 an aggregate of 22,160 were converted. During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008 an additional 12,630 shares were converted. The company records compensation expense for this deferred stock over the two-year minimum vesting period based on the closing price of the company's common stock on the date of conversion. The individual non-employee directors who converted their retainer in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008 received an additional 600 shares, in the aggregate, when the retainer was increased during the second quarter of fiscal 2009.

Pursuant to the EPIP non-employee directors also receive annual grants of deferred stock. This deferred stock vests over one year from the grant date. During the second quarter of fiscal 2009, non-employee directors were granted an aggregate of 47,300 shares of deferred stock. The deferred stock will be distributed to the grantee at a designated time selected by the grantee at the date of grant. Compensation expense is recorded on this deferred stock over the one year minimum vesting period. During the second quarter of fiscal 2009 a total of 14,320 shares were exercised for deferred shares issued under the fiscal 2008 grant.

The deferred stock activity for the twenty-eight weeks ended July 18, 2009 is set forth below (amounts in thousands, except price data):

	Shares	Weighted Average Grant Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding at January 3, 2009	101	\$ 23.30		
Deferred stock issued	48	\$ 20.08		
Deferred stock exercised	(19)	24.72		
Outstanding at July 18, 2009	130	\$ 21.90	1.20	\$ 196

The following table summarizes the company's stock based compensation expense (income) for the twelve and twenty-eight week periods ended July 18, 2009 and July 12, 2008, respectively (amounts in thousands):

	FOR THE TWELVE WEEKS ENDED		FOR THE TWENTY-EIGHT WEEKS ENDED	
	JULY 18, 2009	JULY 12, 2008	JULY 18, 2009	JULY 12, 2008
Stock options	\$ 1,205	\$ 1,047	\$ 2,661	\$ 2,317
Restricted stock	1,243	1,164	2,874	2,498
Stock appreciation rights	(245)	759	(234)	1,182
Deferred stock	311	309	740	681
Total stock based compensation	\$ 2,514	\$ 3,279	\$ 6,041	\$ 6,678

**13. POST-RETIREMENT PLANS**

The following summarizes the company's balance sheet related pension and other postretirement benefit plan accounts at July 18, 2009 as compared to accounts at January 3, 2009 (amounts in thousands):

	AS OF JULY 18, 2009	JANUARY 3, 2009
--	---------------------------	-----------------------

	<b>2009</b>	<b>2009</b>
Noncurrent benefit asset	\$	\$
Current benefit liability	\$ 922	\$ 922
Noncurrent benefit liability	\$79,021	\$ 78,897
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	\$60,450	\$ 61,475

**Defined Benefit Plans**

The company has trustee, noncontributory defined benefit pension plans covering certain employees. The benefits are based on years of service and the employees' career earnings. The plans are funded at amounts deductible for income tax purposes but not less than the minimum funding required by the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 ( ERISA ). As of July 18, 2009, the

**Table of Contents**

assets of the plans included certificates of deposit, marketable equity securities, mutual funds, corporate and government debt securities, private and public real estate partnerships, alternative investments and annuity contracts. Effective January 1, 2006, the company curtailed the defined benefit plan that covers the majority of its workforce. Benefits under this plan were frozen, and no future benefits will accrue under this plan. The company continues to maintain a plan that covers a small number of certain union employees. During the twenty-eight weeks ended July 18, 2009 the company contributed \$0.5 million to company pension plans.

The net periodic pension cost (income) for the company's plans include the following components (amounts in thousands):

	FOR THE TWELVE WEEKS ENDED		FOR THE TWENTY-EIGHT WEEKS ENDED	
	JULY 18, 2009	JULY 12, 2008	JULY 18, 2009	JULY 12, 2008
Service cost	\$ 72	\$ 68	\$ 168	\$ 158
Interest cost	4,309	3,920	10,053	9,146
Expected return on plan assets	(4,370)	(5,649)	(10,196)	(13,180)
Amortization of net loss	629		1,468	
Total net periodic benefit cost (income)	\$ 640	\$ (1,661)	\$ 1,493	\$ (3,876)

**Post-retirement Benefit Plan**

The company provides certain medical and life insurance benefits for eligible retired employees. The medical plan covers eligible retirees under the active medical plans. The plan incorporates an up-front deductible, coinsurance payments and retiree contributions at various premium levels. Eligibility and maximum period of coverage is based on age and length of service.

The net periodic postretirement benefit cost for the company includes the following components (amounts in thousands):

	FOR THE TWELVE WEEKS ENDED		FOR THE TWENTY-EIGHT WEEKS ENDED	
	JULY 18, 2009	JULY 12, 2008	JULY 18, 2009	JULY 12, 2008
Service cost	\$ 198	\$ 88	\$ 463	\$ 206
Interest cost	257	99	599	232
Amortization of prior service cost	77	77	179	179
Amortization of net loss	8		19	
Total net periodic benefit cost	\$ 540	\$ 264	\$ 1,260	\$ 617

**401(k) Retirement Savings Plan**

The Flowers Foods 401(k) Retirement Savings Plan (the Plan) covers substantially all of the company's employees who have completed certain service requirements. The cost and contributions for those employees who also participate in the defined benefit pension plan is 25% of the first \$400 contributed by the employee. Prior to January 1, 2006, the costs and contributions for employees who do not participate in the defined benefit pension plan was 2% of compensation and 50% of the employees' contributions, up to 6% of compensation. Effective January 1, 2006, the costs and contributions for employees who do not participate in the defined benefit pension plan increased to 3% of compensation and 50% of the employees' contributions, up to 6% of compensation. During the twenty-eight

weeks ended July 18, 2009 and July 12, 2008, the total cost and contributions were \$8.7 million and \$8.1 million, respectively.

The company also has several smaller 401(k) Plans associated with recent acquisitions that will be merged into the Flowers Foods 401(k) Retirement Savings Plan after receipt of final determination letters.

**14. INCOME TAXES**

The company's effective tax rate for the twelve and twenty-eight weeks ended July 18, 2009 was 36.6% for both periods. This rate is higher than the fiscal 2008 annual effective tax rate of 35.6% due primarily to favorable discrete items recognized during the prior year and the decreased earnings of the variable interest entity. The difference in the effective rate and the statutory rate is primarily due to state income taxes, the non-taxable earnings of the consolidated variable interest entity and the Section 199 qualifying production activities deduction.

**Table of Contents**

During the twelve and twenty-eight weeks ended July 18, 2009, the company's activity with respect to its FIN 48 reserve and related interest expense accrual was immaterial. At this time, we do not anticipate significant changes to the amount of gross unrecognized tax benefits over the next twelve months.

**15. SEGMENT REPORTING**

The DSD segment produces fresh and frozen packaged bread and rolls and the warehouse delivery segment produces frozen bread and rolls and fresh and frozen snack products. The company evaluates each segment's performance based on income or loss before interest and income taxes, excluding unallocated expenses and charges which the company's management deems to be an overall corporate cost or a cost not reflective of the segments' core operating businesses. Information regarding the operations in these reportable segments is as follows (amounts in thousands):

	<b>FOR THE TWELVE WEEKS ENDED</b>		<b>FOR THE TWENTY-EIGHT WEEKS ENDED</b>	
	<b>JULY 18, 2009</b>	<b>JULY 12, 2008</b>	<b>JULY 18, 2009</b>	<b>JULY 12, 2008</b>
<b>SALES:</b>				
DSD	\$ 514,293	\$ 445,065	\$ 1,187,286	\$ 1,003,139
Warehouse delivery	132,807	122,921	307,438	276,736
Eliminations:				
Sales from warehouse delivery to DSD	(25,834)	(23,067)	(61,733)	(54,056)
Sales from DSD to warehouse delivery	(6,818)	(4,263)	(11,536)	(8,456)
	\$ 614,448	\$ 540,656	\$ 1,421,455	\$ 1,217,363
<b>DEPRECIATION AND AMORTIZATION:</b>				
DSD	\$ 14,952	\$ 12,153	\$ 34,489	\$ 28,111
Warehouse delivery	3,661	3,656	8,307	8,378
Unallocated	43	223	137	456
	\$ 18,656	\$ 16,032	\$ 42,933	\$ 36,945
<b>INCOME FROM OPERATIONS:</b>				
DSD	\$ 45,693	\$ 36,387	\$ 102,623	\$ 89,779
Warehouse delivery	12,108	6,461	26,332	14,720
Unallocated	(8,937)	(6,488)	(20,845)	(13,990)
	\$ 48,864	\$ 36,360	\$ 108,110	\$ 90,509
<b>NET INTEREST INCOME</b>	\$ 180	\$ 2,657	\$ 639	\$ 6,154
<b>INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES</b>	\$ 49,044	\$ 39,017	\$ 108,749	\$ 96,663

Edgar Filing: FLOWERS FOODS INC - Form 10-Q

Sales by product category in each reportable segment are as follows (amounts in thousands):

	For the twelve weeks ended July 18, 2009			For the twelve weeks ended July 12, 2008		
	DSD	Warehouse delivery	Total	DSD	Warehouse delivery	Total
	Branded Retail	\$ 292,389	\$ 31,499	\$ 323,888	\$ 264,569	\$ 27,921
Store Branded Retail	89,620	12,808	102,428	63,835	13,013	76,848
Foodservice and Other	125,466	62,666	188,132	112,398	58,920	171,318
Total	\$ 507,475	\$ 106,973	\$ 614,448	\$ 440,802	\$ 99,854	\$ 540,656

	For the twenty-eight weeks ended July 18, 2009			For the twenty-eight weeks ended July 12, 2008		
	DSD	Warehouse delivery	Total	DSD	Warehouse delivery	Total
	Branded Retail	\$ 665,659	\$ 72,113	\$ 737,772	\$ 594,336	\$ 59,090
Store Branded Retail	199,404	31,332	230,736	136,602	27,512	164,114
Foodservice and Other	310,687	142,260	452,947	263,745	136,078	399,823
Total	\$ 1,175,750	\$ 245,705	\$ 1,421,455	\$ 994,683	\$ 222,680	\$ 1,217,363



**Table of Contents****16. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS**

The company has evaluated subsequent events through August 20, 2009, the date of the filing of the company's second quarter Form 10-Q.

**ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

The following discussion of the financial condition and results of operations of the company as of and for the twelve and twenty-eight week periods ended July 18, 2009 should be read in conjunction with the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 3, 2009.

**OVERVIEW:**

Flowers Foods, Inc. (the "company") is one of the nation's leading producers and marketers of packaged bakery foods for retail and foodservice customers. The company produces breads, buns, rolls, snack cakes and pastries that are distributed fresh in the Southeast, Mid-Atlantic, and Southwest as well as select markets in California and Nevada and frozen to customers nationwide. Our businesses are organized into two reportable segments. The direct-store-delivery ("DSD") segment focuses on the production and marketing of bakery products to U.S. customers in the Southeast, Mid-Atlantic, and Southwest, as well as select markets in California and Nevada primarily through its direct-store-delivery system. The warehouse delivery segment produces snack cakes for sale to retail vending and co-pack customers nationwide as well as frozen bread, rolls and buns for sale to retail and foodservice customers nationwide primarily through warehouse distribution. This organizational structure is the basis of the operating segment data presented in this report.

We aim to achieve consistent and sustainable growth in sales and earnings by focusing on improvement in the operating results of our existing businesses and, after detailed analysis, acquiring businesses and properties that add value to the company. We believe this consistent and sustainable growth will build value for our shareholders. In August 2008, the company acquired ButterKrust Bakery ("ButterKrust") in Lakeland, Florida, adding additional production capacity in the Florida market and the company acquired Holsum Holdings, LLC ("Holsum"), which operates two bakeries in the Phoenix, Arizona area and expands the company into new geographic markets. The company introduced the *Nature's Own* brand during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008 in the Holsum territory. In May 2009, the company acquired substantially all the assets of a bakery mix operation in Cedar Rapids, Iowa. Also, in May 2009 the company began bread production at its new bakery in Bardstown, Kentucky which will produce fresh breads and buns for markets in Tennessee, Kentucky, Ohio, and Indiana.

Sales are principally affected by pricing, quality, brand recognition, new product introductions and product line extensions, marketing and service. The company manages these factors to achieve a sales mix favoring its higher-margin branded products, while using private label products to absorb overhead costs and maximize use of production capacity. Sales for the twelve weeks ended July 18, 2009 increased 13.6% as compared to the twelve weeks ended July 12, 2008. Contributing to this increase were favorable pricing/mix and the ButterKrust and Holsum acquisitions, partially offset by volume declines. Sales for the twenty-eight weeks ended July 18, 2009 increased 16.8% as compared to the twenty-eight weeks ended July 12, 2008. Contributing to this increase were favorable pricing/mix and the ButterKrust and Holsum acquisitions, partially offset by volume declines. We will lap the effect of the Holsum and ButterKrust acquisitions in early third quarter of fiscal 2009.

For the twelve weeks ended July 18, 2009, diluted net income per share was \$0.33 as compared to \$0.26 per share for the twelve weeks ended July 12, 2008, a 26.9% increase. For the twelve weeks ended July 18, 2009, net income attributable to Flowers Foods, Inc. was \$30.3 million, a 26.7% increase over \$23.9 million reported for the twelve weeks ended July 12, 2008.

For the twenty-eight weeks ended July 18, 2009, diluted net income per share was \$0.73 as compared to \$0.65 per share for the twenty-eight weeks ended July 12, 2008, a 12.3% increase. For the twenty-eight weeks ended July 18, 2009, net income attributable to Flowers Foods, Inc. was \$67.7 million, a 13.4% increase over \$59.7 million reported for the twenty-eight weeks ended July 12, 2008.

**CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES:**

Our financial statements are prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP"). These principles are numerous and complex. Our significant accounting policies are summarized in the company's Annual

Edgar Filing: FLOWERS FOODS INC - Form 10-Q

Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 3, 2009. In many instances, the application of GAAP requires management to make estimates or to apply subjective principles to particular facts and circumstances. A variance in the estimates used or a variance in the application or interpretation of GAAP could yield a materially different accounting result. In our Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 3, 2009, we discuss the areas where we believe that the estimates, judgments or interpretations that we have made, if different, would

**Table of Contents**

have yielded the most significant differences in our financial statements and we urge you to review that discussion. The following discussion provides the significant changes to our critical accounting policies from those disclosed in our Form 10-K filed for the year ended January 3, 2009.

*Earnings Per Share.* In June 2008, the FASB issued FSP EITF No. 03-6-1, *Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions Are Participating Securities* ( FSP 03-6-1 ). FSP 03-6-1 addresses whether instruments granted in share-based payment transactions are participating securities prior to vesting and therefore need to be included in the earnings allocation in calculating earnings per share under the two-class method described in SFAS No. 128, *Earnings per Share*. The FSP 03-6-1 requires companies to treat unvested share-based payment awards that have non-forfeitable rights to dividend or dividend equivalents as a separate class of securities in calculating earnings per share. The FSP 03-6-1 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008; earlier application is not permitted. The company adopted this standard as of January 4, 2009. See Note 11 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements of this Form 10-Q for the required disclosures and the impact upon adoption of this standard.

*Derivatives and other Financial Instruments.* In February 2008, the FASB issued Staff Position No. FAS 157-2, *Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157* ( FSP 157-2 ) which delayed the effective date of SFAS 157 to fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008 for all nonfinancial assets and liabilities that are recognized or disclosed in the financial statements at fair value on a nonrecurring basis only. These include nonfinancial assets and liabilities not measured at fair value on an ongoing basis but subject to fair value adjustments in certain circumstances, for example, assets that have been deemed to be impaired. The company adopted this standard as of January 4, 2009 and it had no impact upon adoption.

**RESULTS OF OPERATIONS:**

Results of operations, expressed as a percentage of sales and the dollar and percentage change from period to period, for the twelve week periods ended July 18, 2009 and July 12, 2008, are set forth below (Dollars in Thousands):

	For the twelve weeks ended				Increase (Decrease)	
	July 18, 2009	July 12, 2008	Percentage of Sales July 18, 2009	July 12, 2008	Dollars	%
<b>Sales</b>						
DSD	\$ 507,475	\$ 440,802	82.6	81.5	\$ 66,673	15.1
Warehouse delivery	106,973	99,854	17.4	18.5	7,119	7.1
Total	\$ 614,448	\$ 540,656	100.0	100.0	\$ 73,792	13.6
<b>Gross margin(1)</b>						
DSD (2)	\$ 251,453	\$ 221,460	49.5	50.2	\$ 29,993	13.5
Warehouse delivery(2)	29,656	25,602	27.7	25.6	4,054	15.8
Total	\$ 281,109	\$ 247,062	45.7	45.7	\$ 34,047	13.8
<b>Selling, marketing and administrative expenses</b>						
DSD(2)	\$ 190,808	\$ 173,606	37.6	39.4	\$ 17,202	9.9
Warehouse delivery(2)	16,900	17,791	15.8	17.8	(891)	(5.0)
Corporate(3)	8,894	6,265			2,629	42.0
Total	\$ 216,602	\$ 197,662	35.3	36.6	\$ 18,940	9.6

**Depreciation and Amortization**

DSD(2)	\$ 14,952	\$ 12,153	2.9	2.8	\$ 2,799	23.0
Warehouse delivery(2)	3,661	3,656	3.4	3.7	5	0.1
Corporate(3)	43	223			(180)	(80.7)
Total	\$ 18,656	\$ 16,032	3.0	3.0	\$ 2,624	16.4

**Gain on acquisition**

DSD(2)	\$	\$			\$	
Warehouse delivery (2)	3,013		2.8		3,013	
Corporate (3)						
Total	\$ 3,013	\$	0.5		\$ 3,013	

**Gain on sale of assets**

DSD(2)	\$	\$			\$	
Warehouse delivery (2)		2,306		2.3	(2,306)	
Corporate (3)						
Total	\$	\$ 2,306		0.4	\$ (2,306)	

**Table of Contents**

	For the twelve weeks ended				Increase (Decrease)	
	Percentage of Sales				Dollars	%
	July 18, 2009	July 12, 2008	July 18, 2009	July 12, 2008		
<b>Gain on insurance recovery</b>						
DSD(2)	\$	\$ 686		0.2	\$ (686)	
Warehouse delivery (2)						
Corporate (3)						
<b>Total</b>	\$	\$ 686		0.1	\$ (686)	
<b>Income from operations</b>						
DSD(2)	\$ 45,693	\$ 36,387	9.0	8.3	\$ 9,306	25.6
Warehouse delivery(2)	12,108	6,461	11.3	6.5	5,647	87.4
Corporate(3)	(8,937)	(6,488)			(2,449)	(37.7)
<b>Total</b>	\$ 48,864	\$ 36,360	8.0	6.7	\$ 12,504	34.4
<b>Interest income, net</b>	\$ 180	\$ 2,657	0.0	0.5	\$ (2,477)	(93.2)
<b>Income taxes</b>	\$ 17,947	\$ 13,931	2.9	2.6	\$ 4,016	28.8
<b>Net income</b>	\$ 31,097	\$ 25,086	5.1	4.6	\$ 6,011	24.0
<b>Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest</b>	\$ (756)	\$ (1,137)	(0.1)	(0.2)	\$ 381	33.5
<b>Net income attributable to Flowers Foods, Inc.</b>	\$ 30,341	\$ 23,949	4.9	4.4	\$ 6,392	26.7

Results of operations, expressed as a percentage of sales and the dollar and percentage change from period to period, for the twenty-eight week periods ended July 18, 2009 and July 12, 2008, are set forth below (Dollars in Thousands):

	For the twenty-eight weeks ended				Increase (Decrease)	
	Percentage of Sales				Dollars	%
	July 18, 2009	July 12, 2008	July 18, 2009	July 12, 2008		
<b>Sales</b>						
DSD	\$ 1,175,750	\$ 994,683	82.7	81.7	\$ 181,067	18.2
Warehouse delivery	245,705	222,680	17.3	18.3	23,025	10.3
<b>Total</b>	\$ 1,421,455	\$ 1,217,363	100.0	100.0	\$ 204,092	16.8
<b>Gross margin(1)</b>						
DSD (2)	\$ 587,101	\$ 512,843	49.9	51.6	\$ 74,258	14.5
Warehouse delivery(2)	71,553	60,956	29.1	27.4	10,597	17.4

Edgar Filing: FLOWERS FOODS INC - Form 10-Q

Total	\$ 658,654	\$ 573,799	46.3	47.1	\$ 84,855	14.8
<b>Selling, marketing and administrative expenses</b>						
DSD(2)	\$ 449,989	\$ 395,639	38.3	39.8	\$ 54,350	13.7
Warehouse delivery(2)	39,927	40,164	16.2	18.0	(237)	(0.6)
Corporate(3)	20,708	13,534			7,174	53.0
Total	\$ 510,624	\$ 449,337	35.9	36.9	\$ 61,287	13.6
<b>Depreciation and Amortization</b>						
DSD(2)	\$ 34,489	\$ 28,111	2.9	2.8	\$ 6,378	22.7
Warehouse delivery(2)	8,307	8,378	3.4	3.8	(71)	(0.8)
Corporate(3)	137	456			(319)	(70.0)
Total	\$ 42,933	\$ 36,945	3.0	3.0	\$ 5,988	16.2
<b>Gain on acquisition</b>						
DSD(2)	\$	\$			\$	
Warehouse delivery (2)	3,013		1.2		3,013	
Corporate (3)						
Total	\$ 3,013	\$	0.2		\$ 3,013	
<b>Gain on sale of assets</b>						
DSD(2)	\$	\$			\$	
Warehouse delivery (2)		2,306		1.0	(2,306)	
Corporate (3)						
Total	\$	\$ 2,306		0.2	\$ (2,306)	
<b>Gain on insurance recovery</b>						
DSD(2)	\$	\$ 686		0.1	\$ (686)	
Warehouse delivery (2)						
Corporate (3)						
Total	\$	\$ 686		0.1	\$ (686)	

**Table of Contents**

	For the twenty-eight weeks ended				Increase (Decrease)	
	Percentage of Sales				Dollars	%
	July 18, 2009	July 12, 2008	July 18, 2009	July 12, 2008		
<b>Income from operations</b>						
DSD(2)	\$ 102,623	\$ 89,779	8.7	9.0	\$ 12,844	14.3
Warehouse delivery(2)	26,332	14,720	10.7	6.6	11,612	78.9
Corporate(3)	(20,845)	(13,990)			(6,855)	(49.0)
Total	\$ 108,110	\$ 90,509	7.6	7.4	\$ 17,601	19.4
<b>Interest income, net</b>	\$ 639	\$ 6,154	0.1	0.5	\$ (5,515)	(89.6)
<b>Income taxes</b>	\$ 39,819	\$ 34,493	2.8	2.8	\$ 5,326	15.4
<b>Net income</b>	\$ 68,930	\$ 62,170	4.8	5.1	\$ 6,760	10.9
<b>Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest</b>	\$ (1,208)	\$ (2,438)	(0.1)	(0.2)	\$ 1,230	50.5
<b>Net income attributable to Flowers Foods, Inc.</b>	\$ 67,722	\$ 59,732	4.8	4.9	\$ 7,990	13.4

1. Gross margin is defined as sales less materials, supplies, labor and other production costs, excluding depreciation, amortization and distributor discounts.
2. As a percentage of revenue within the reporting segment.
3. The corporate segment has no revenues.

**Table of Contents****CONSOLIDATED AND SEGMENT RESULTS**

TWELVE WEEKS ENDED JULY 18, 2009 COMPARED TO TWELVE WEEKS ENDED JULY 12, 2008

*Consolidated Sales.*

	For the Twelve Weeks Ended July 18, 2009		For the Twelve Weeks Ended July 12, 2008		% Increase
	\$ (Amounts in thousands)	%	\$ (Amounts in thousands)	%	
Branded Retail	\$ 323,888	52.7%	\$ 292,490	54.1%	10.7%
Store Branded Retail	102,428	16.7	76,848	14.2	33.3%
Foodservice and Other	188,132	30.6	171,318	31.7	9.8%
Total	\$ 614,448	100.0%	\$ 540,656	100.0%	13.6%

The 13.6% increase in sales was attributable to the following:

<b>Percentage Point Change in Sales Attributed to:</b>	<b>Favorable (Unfavorable)</b>
Pricing/Mix	4.7%
Volume	(1.7)%
Acquisitions	10.6%
Total Percentage Change in Sales	13.6%

The increase in branded retail sales was due primarily to the acquisitions and increased sales of branded soft variety. The company's *Nature's Own* products and its branded white bread labels were the key components of these sales. The increase in store branded retail sales was primarily due to the acquisitions. The increase in foodservice and other sales was primarily due to the acquisitions. Excluding the acquisitions, there was a decrease in foodservice and other sales volume due to lower foodservice and vending. We will lap the effect of the Holsum and ButterKrust acquisitions in early third quarter of fiscal 2009.

*Direct-Store-Delivery Sales.*

	For the Twelve Weeks Ended July 18, 2009		For the Twelve Weeks Ended July 12, 2008		% Increase
	\$ (Amounts in thousands)	%	\$ (Amounts in thousands)	%	
Branded Retail	\$ 292,389	57.6%	\$ 264,569	60.0%	10.5%
Store Branded Retail	89,620	17.7	63,835	14.5	40.4%
Foodservice and Other	125,466	24.7	112,398	25.5	11.6%
Total	\$ 507,475	100.0%	\$ 440,802	100.0%	15.1%



The 15.1% increase in sales was attributable to the following:

<b>Percentage Point Change in Sales Attributed to:</b>	<b>Favorable (Unfavorable)</b>
Pricing/Mix	3.4%
Volume	(0.4)%
Acquisitions	12.1%
 Total Percentage Change in Sales	 15.1%

The increase in branded retail sales was due primarily to the acquisitions and, to a lesser extent, growth in branded soft variety. *Nature's Own* products and branded white bread labels were the key components of these sales. The increase in store branded retail sales was primarily due to the acquisitions. The increase in foodservice and other sales was primarily due to the acquisitions. Excluding the acquisitions, there was a decrease in foodservice and other sales volume due to lower foodservice. We will lap the effect of the Holsum and ButterKrust acquisitions in early third quarter of fiscal 2009.

**Table of Contents***Warehouse Delivery Sales.*

	For the Twelve Weeks Ended		For the Twelve Weeks Ended		% Increase (Decrease)
	July 18, 2009		July 12, 2008		
	\$	%	\$	%	
	(Amounts in thousands)		(Amounts in thousands)		
Branded Retail	\$ 31,499	29.4%	\$ 27,921	28.0%	12.8%
Store Branded Retail	12,808	12.0	13,013	13.0	(1.6)%
Foodservice and Other	62,666	58.6	58,920	59.0	6.4%
Total	\$ 106,973	100.0%	\$ 99,854	100.0%	7.1%

The 7.1% increase in sales was attributable to the following:

Percentage Point Change in Sales Attributed to:	Favorable (Unfavorable)
Pricing/Mix	7.8%
Volume	(4.5)%
Acquisition	3.8%
Total Percentage Change in Sales	7.1%

The increase in branded retail sales was primarily the result of favorable multi-pak cake volume. The decrease in store branded retail sales was due to unfavorable store brand cake volume. The increase in foodservice and other sales, which include contract production and vending, was primarily due to the acquisitions. Excluding the acquisitions, there was a decrease in foodservice and other sales volume due to lower volume in vending and contract manufacturing.

*Gross Margin (defined as sales less materials, supplies, labor and other production costs, excluding depreciation, amortization and distributor discounts).* Gross margin was affected by increases in ingredient costs that were offset by sales gains, improved manufacturing efficiency, lower labor and packaging costs as a percent of sales and costs related to the closure of the Atlanta plant, discussed below, that were incurred last year.

The DSD segment gross margin decreased as a percent of sales primarily as a result of increases in ingredient costs and lower margins for the Holsum and ButterKrust acquisitions. These were offset by sales gains, improved manufacturing efficiency, reduced scrap and lower packaging costs as a percent of sales.

The warehouse delivery segment's gross margin increased as a percent of sales primarily as a result of costs in the prior period related to the closure of the Atlanta plant, discussed below, and lower labor, packaging and inbound freight costs, offset by higher ingredient costs as a percent of sales.

*Selling, Marketing and Administrative Expenses.* The decrease as a percent of sales was due to sales gains and lower labor and distribution costs as a percent of sales, partially offset by higher distributor discounts and significantly higher pension costs. Sales gains and the Holsum acquisition resulted in the increase in distributor discounts, partially offset by decreases in fuel costs.

The DSD segment's selling, marketing and administrative expenses decreased as a percent of sales primarily due to sales gains and lower labor and distribution costs as a percent of sales, partially offset by higher distributor discounts and bad debt expense as a percent of sales.

The warehouse delivery segment's selling, marketing and administrative expenses decreased as a percent of sales primarily due to sales gains and lower labor costs as a percent of sales.

*Gain on acquisition.* On May 15, 2009, the company acquired substantially all the assets of a bakery mix operation in Cedar Rapids, Iowa for \$9.4 million of consideration. Based on the preliminary purchase price allocation, the fair value of the identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed exceeded the fair value of the consideration paid. As a result, we recognized a gain of \$3.0 million which is included in the line item "Gain on acquisition" to derive income from operations in the condensed consolidated statements of income for the twelve weeks ended July 18, 2009. The gain on acquisition resulted due to the seller's strategic intent to exit a non-core business operation. This acquisition is recorded in the warehouse delivery segment.

*Gain on sale of assets.* During the second quarter of fiscal 2008 the company completed the sale and closure of a plant facility in Atlanta, Georgia resulting in a gain of \$2.3 million. The company incurred \$1.3 million of cost of goods sold expenses primarily for employee severance, obsolete inventory, and equipment relocation costs. An additional \$0.3 million is included in selling, marketing and administrative expenses.

**Table of Contents**

*Gain on insurance recovery.* During fiscal 2007, the company recorded a gain related to insurance proceeds on a distribution facility destroyed by fire at its Lynchburg, Virginia location. An additional \$0.7 million related to insurance proceeds in excess of the net book value was received during the second quarter of fiscal 2008. The receipt of these proceeds closed the claim.

*Depreciation and Amortization.* Depreciation and amortization increased primarily due to the acquisitions.

The DSD segment's depreciation and amortization expense increased primarily due to the acquisitions. The warehouse delivery segment's depreciation and amortization expense were flat compared to the second quarter of fiscal 2008.

*Income from operations.* The increase in the DSD segment income from operations was attributable to higher sales, primarily from acquisitions, and improved manufacturing efficiencies. The increase in the warehouse delivery segment income from operations was primarily a result of the gain on acquisition discussed above and higher branded retail sales, partially offset by lower sales volume in vending and contract manufacturing. The increase in unallocated corporate expenses was primarily due to significantly higher pension and postretirement plan costs.

*Net Interest Income.* The decrease was related to higher interest expense on the credit facility and the term loans used for the Holsum and ButterKrust acquisitions.

*Income Taxes.* The effective tax rate for the second quarter of fiscal 2009 was 36.6% compared to 35.7% in the second quarter of the prior year. The increase in the rate is due mainly to the favorable discrete items that were recognized during the prior year quarter and the decreased earnings of the variable interest entity in the current quarter compared to the prior year quarter. The difference in the effective rate and the statutory rate is primarily due to state income taxes, the non-taxable earnings of the consolidated variable interest entity and the Section 199 qualifying production activities deduction.

*Net Income Attributable to Noncontrolling Interest.* Noncontrolling interest represents all the earnings of the company's variable interest entity ( VIE ) under the consolidation provisions of Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation No. 46 ( FIN 46 ), *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities*. All the earnings of the VIE are eliminated through noncontrolling interest due to the company not having any equity ownership in the VIE. The company is required to consolidate this VIE due to the VIE being capitalized with a less than substantive amount of legal form capital investment and the company accounting for a significant portion of the VIE's revenues. See Note 9 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements of this Form 10-Q for further information regarding the company's VIE. TWENTY-EIGHT WEEKS ENDED JULY 18, 2009 COMPARED TO TWENTY-EIGHT WEEKS ENDED JULY 12, 2008

*Consolidated Sales.*

	For the Twenty-Eight Weeks Ended July 18, 2009		For the Twenty-Eight Weeks Ended July 12, 2008		% Increase
	\$ (Amounts in thousands)	%	\$ (Amounts in thousands)	%	
Branded Retail	\$ 737,772	51.9%	\$ 653,426	53.7%	12.9%
Store Branded Retail	230,736	16.2	164,114	13.5	40.6%
Foodservice and Other	452,947	31.9	399,823	32.8	13.3%
Total	\$ 1,421,455	100.0%	\$ 1,217,363	100.0%	16.8%

The 16.8% increase in sales was attributable to the following:

Percentage Point Change in Sales Attributed to:	Favorable (Unfavorable)
---	----------------------------

Pricing/Mix	5.9%
Volume	(0.4)%
Acquisitions	11.3%
Total Percentage Change in Sales	16.8%

**Table of Contents**

The increase in branded retail sales was due primarily to the acquisitions and increased sales of branded soft variety and branded multi-pack cake. The company's *Nature's Own* products and its branded white bread labels were the key components of these sales. The increase in store branded retail sales was primarily due to the acquisitions. The increase in foodservice and other sales was due primarily to the acquisitions. Excluding the acquisitions, there was a decrease in foodservice and other sales volume due to decreased foodservice and vending. We will lap the effect of the Holsum and ButterKrust acquisitions in early third quarter of fiscal 2009.

*Direct-Store-Delivery Sales.*

	For the Twenty-Eight Weeks Ended July 18, 2009		For the Twenty-Eight Weeks Ended July 12, 2008		% Increase
	\$ (Amounts in thousands)	%	\$ (Amounts in thousands)	%	
Branded Retail	\$ 665,659	56.6%	\$ 594,336	59.8%	12.0%
Store Branded Retail	199,404	17.0	136,602	13.7	46.0%
Foodservice and Other	310,687	26.4	263,745	26.5	17.8%
Total	\$ 1,175,750	100.0%	\$ 994,683	100.0%	18.2%

The 18.2% increase in sales was attributable to the following:

Percentage Point Change in Sales Attributed to:	Favorable (Unfavorable)
Pricing/Mix	4.0%
Volume	0.7%
Acquisitions	13.5%
Total Percentage Change in Sales	18.2%

The increase in branded retail sales was due primarily to the acquisitions and growth in branded soft variety. *Nature's Own* products and branded white bread labels were the key components of these sales. The increase in store branded retail sales was primarily due to the acquisitions. The increase in foodservice and other sales was primarily due to the acquisitions. Excluding the acquisitions, there was a decrease in foodservice and other sales volume due to decreased foodservice. We will lap the effect of the Holsum and ButterKrust acquisitions in early third quarter of fiscal 2009.

*Warehouse Delivery Sales.*

	For the Twenty-Eight Weeks Ended July 18, 2009		For the Twenty-Eight Weeks Ended July 12, 2008		% Increase (Decrease)
	\$ (Amounts in thousands)	%	\$ (Amounts in thousands)	%	
Branded Retail	\$ 72,113	29.3%	\$ 59,090	26.5%	22.0%

Edgar Filing: FLOWERS FOODS INC - Form 10-Q

Store Branded Retail	31,332	12.8	27,512	12.4	13.9%
Foodservice and Other	142,260	57.9	136,078	61.1	4.5%
Total	\$ 245,705	100.0%	\$ 222,680	100.0%	10.3%

The 10.3% increase in sales was attributable to the following:

<b>Percentage Point Change in Sales Attributed to:</b>	<b>Favorable (Unfavorable)</b>
Pricing/Mix	11.6%
Volume	(3.0)%
Acquisition	1.7%
Total Percentage Change in Sales	10.3%

The increase in branded retail sales was primarily the result of favorable multi-pak cake volume. The increase in store branded retail sales was primarily due to favorable pricing/mix and, to a lesser extent, volume increases. The increase in foodservice and other sales, which include contract production and vending, was due primarily to the acquisitions. Excluding the acquisitions, there was a decrease in foodservice and other sales volume due to decreased contract production and vending.

*Gross Margin (defined as sales less materials, supplies, labor and other production costs, excluding depreciation, amortization and distributor discounts).* The decrease as a percent of sales was primarily due to significant increases in ingredient costs, as well as lower margins for the Holsum and ButterKrust acquisitions, partially offset by sales gains, improved manufacturing efficiency, and lower labor costs as a percent of sales.

**Table of Contents**

The DSD segment gross margin decreased as a percent of sales primarily as a result of significant increases in ingredient costs and lower margins for the Holsum and ButterKrust acquisitions. These were offset by sales gains, improved manufacturing efficiency, and reduced scrap as a percent of sales.

The warehouse delivery segment's gross margin increased as a percent of sales primarily as a result of lower labor offset by higher ingredient costs as a percent of sales. The Atlanta plant sale and closure, discussed below, had additional costs recorded in the second quarter of fiscal 2008.

*Selling, Marketing and Administrative Expenses.* The decrease as a percent of sales was due to sales gains and lower labor and distribution costs as a percent of sales, partially offset by higher distributor discounts and significantly higher pension costs. Sales gains and the Holsum acquisition resulted in the increase in distributor discounts, partially offset by lower fuel costs.

The DSD segment's selling, marketing and administrative expenses decreased as a percent of sales primarily due to sales gains, lower labor and distribution costs as a percent of sales, partially offset by higher distributor discounts as a percent of sales.

The warehouse delivery segment's selling, marketing and administrative expenses decreased as a percent of sales primarily due to sales gains and lower labor and distribution costs as a percent of sales, partially offset by higher freezer costs as a percent of sales.

*Gain on acquisition.* On May 15, 2009, the company acquired substantially all the assets of a bakery mix operation in Cedar Rapids, Iowa for \$9.4 million of consideration. Based on the preliminary purchase price allocation, the fair value of the identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed exceeded the fair value of the consideration paid. As a result, we recognized a gain of \$3.0 million which is included in the line item "Gain on acquisition" to derive income from operations in the condensed consolidated statements of income for the twenty-eight weeks ended July 18, 2009. The gain on acquisition resulted due to the seller's strategic intent to exit a non-core business operation. This acquisition is recorded in the warehouse delivery segment.

*Gain on sale of assets.* During the second quarter of fiscal 2008 the company completed the sale and closure of a plant facility in Atlanta, Georgia resulting in a gain of \$2.3 million. The company incurred \$1.7 million of cost of goods sold expenses primarily for employee severance, obsolete inventory, and equipment relocation costs. Costs of \$0.3 million is included in selling, marketing and administrative expenses relating to the sale and closure.

*Gain on insurance recovery.* During fiscal 2007, the company recorded a gain related to insurance proceeds on a distribution facility destroyed by fire at its Lynchburg, Virginia location. An additional \$0.7 million related to insurance proceeds in excess of the net book value was received during the twenty-eight weeks ended July 12, 2008. The payment closed the claim.

*Depreciation and Amortization.* Depreciation and amortization increased primarily due to the acquisitions.

The DSD segment's depreciation and amortization expense increased primarily due to the acquisitions. The warehouse delivery segment's depreciation and amortization expense increased primarily as a result of increased depreciation expense due to capital expenditures subsequent to the second quarter of fiscal 2008.

*Income from operations.* The increase in the DSD segment income from operations was attributable to higher sales, primarily from acquisitions, and improved manufacturing efficiencies. The increase in the warehouse delivery segment income from operations was primarily a result of the gain on acquisition discussed above and higher branded retail sales, partially offset by lower sales volume in vending and contract manufacturing. The increase in unallocated corporate expenses was primarily due to significantly higher pension and postretirement plan costs.

*Net Interest Income.* The decrease was related to higher interest expense on the credit facility and term loans used for the Holsum and ButterKrust acquisitions.

*Income Taxes.* The effective tax rate for the twenty-eight weeks ended July 18, 2009 was 36.6% compared to 35.7% for the twenty-eight weeks ended July 12, 2008. The increase in the rate is due mainly to the favorable discrete items that were recognized during the prior year and the decreased earnings of the variable interest entity in the current year compared to the prior year period. The difference in the effective rate and the statutory rate is primarily due to state income taxes, the non-taxable earnings of the consolidated variable interest entity and the Section 199 qualifying production activities deduction.



*Net Income Attributable to Noncontrolling Interest.* Noncontrolling interest represents all the earnings of the company's variable interest entity ( VIE ) under the consolidation provisions of Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation No. 46 ( FIN 46 ), *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities*. All the earnings of the VIE are eliminated through noncontrolling interest due to the

**Table of Contents**

company not having any equity ownership in the VIE. The company is required to consolidate this VIE due to the VIE being capitalized with a less than substantive amount of legal form capital investment and the company accounting for a significant portion of the VIE's revenues. See Note 9 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements of this Form 10-Q for further information regarding the company's VIE.

**LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES:**

Liquidity represents our ability to generate sufficient cash flows from operating activities to meet our obligations and commitments as well as our ability to obtain appropriate financing and convert into cash those assets that are no longer required to meet existing strategic and financing objectives. Therefore, liquidity cannot be considered separately from capital resources that consist primarily of current and potentially available funds for use in achieving long-range business objectives. Currently, the company's liquidity needs arise primarily from working capital requirements, capital expenditures and stock repurchases. The company's strategy for use of its cash flow includes paying dividends to shareholders, making acquisitions, growing internally and repurchasing shares of its common stock when appropriate.

**Cash Flows**

Our cash and cash equivalents increased to \$20.1 million at July 18, 2009 from \$20.0 million at January 3, 2009. The increase resulted from \$116.4 million provided by operating activities, offset by \$37.5 million and \$78.7 million disbursed for investing activities and financing activities, respectively.

Included in cash and cash equivalents at July 18, 2009 and January 3, 2009 was \$6.3 million and \$5.6 million, respectively, related to the company's VIE, which is not available for use by the company.

*Cash Flows Provided by Operating Activities.* Net cash of \$116.4 million provided by operating activities during the twenty-eight weeks ended July 18, 2009 consisted primarily of \$68.9 million in net income, adjusted for the following non-cash items (amounts in thousands):

Depreciation and amortization	\$ 42,933
Non cash effect of derivative activity	32,995
Stock-based compensation	6,041
Gain on acquisition	(3,013)
Deferred income taxes	(2,569)
Provision for inventory obsolescence	338
Allowances for accounts receivable	2,099
Pension and postretirement plans expense	2,753
Other	247
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 81,824</b>

Cash disbursed for working capital and other activities was \$34.4 million. As of July 18, 2009, the company had \$30.5 million recorded in other current assets representing collateral for hedged positions.

*Cash Flows Disbursed for Investing Activities.* Net cash disbursed for investing activities during the twenty-eight weeks ended July 18, 2009 of \$37.5 million consisted primarily of capital expenditures of \$28.2 million. Capital expenditures in the DSD segment and the warehouse delivery segment were \$24.0 million and \$3.2 million, respectively. The company estimates capital expenditures of approximately \$75.0 million during fiscal 2009. The company also leases certain production machinery and equipment through various operating leases.

*Cash Flows Disbursed for Financing Activities.* Net cash disbursed for financing activities of \$78.7 million during the twenty-eight weeks ended July 18, 2009 consisted primarily of dividends paid of \$30.1 million, stock repurchases of \$27.6 million, and net debt repayments of \$20.1 million, partially offset by proceeds of \$1.8 million from the exercise of stock options and the related share-based payments income tax benefit of \$1.4 million.

**Table of Contents****Credit Facility and Term Loan**

*Credit Facility.* The company has a five-year, \$250.0 million unsecured revolving loan facility (the credit facility) that expires October 5, 2012. The company may request to increase its borrowings under the credit facility up to an aggregate of \$350.0 million upon the satisfaction of certain conditions. Proceeds from the credit facility may be used for working capital and general corporate purposes, including acquisition financing, refinancing of indebtedness and share repurchases. The credit facility includes certain customary restrictions, which, among other things, require maintenance of financial covenants and limit encumbrance of assets and creation of indebtedness. Restrictive financial covenants include such ratios as a minimum interest coverage ratio and a maximum leverage ratio. The company believes that, given its current cash position, its cash flow from operating activities and its available credit capacity, it can comply with the current terms of the credit facility and can meet presently foreseeable financial requirements. As of July 18, 2009 and January 3, 2009, the company was in compliance with all financial covenants under its credit facility.

Interest is due quarterly in arrears on any outstanding borrowings at a customary Eurodollar rate or the base rate plus the applicable margin. The underlying rate is defined as the rate offered in the interbank Eurodollar market or the higher of the prime lending rate or federal funds rate plus 0.5%. The applicable margin ranges from 0.00% to 0.30% for base rate loans and from 0.40% to 1.275% for Eurodollar loans. In addition, a facility fee ranging from 0.10% to 0.35% is due quarterly on all commitments under the credit facility. Both the interest margin and the facility fee are based on the company's leverage ratio. There were \$98.0 million and \$110.0 million in outstanding borrowings under the credit facility at July 18, 2009 and January 3, 2009, respectively.

*Term Loan.* On August 1, 2008, the company entered into a credit agreement (term loan) with various lending parties for the purpose of completing the ButterKrust and Holsum acquisitions. The term loan provides for borrowings through the maturity date of August 4, 2013. The maximum amount permitted to be outstanding under the term loan is \$150.0 million. The term loan includes certain customary restrictions, which, among other things, require maintenance of financial covenants and limit encumbrance of assets and creation of indebtedness. Restrictive financial covenants include such ratios as a minimum interest coverage ratio and a maximum leverage ratio. The company believes that, given its current cash position, its cash flow from operating activities and its available credit capacity, it can comply with the current terms of the term loan and can meet presently foreseeable financial requirements. As of July 18, 2009 and January 3, 2009, the company was in compliance with all financial covenants under the term loan. As of July 18, 2009 and January 3, 2009, the amounts outstanding under the term loan were \$138.8 million and \$146.3 million.

Interest is due quarterly in arrears on outstanding borrowings at a customary Eurodollar rate or the base rate plus the applicable margin. The underlying rate is defined as the rate offered in the interbank Eurodollar market or the higher of the prime lending rate or federal funds rate plus 0.5%. The applicable margin ranges from 0.0% to 1.375% for base rate loans and from 0.875% to 2.375% for Eurodollar loans and is based on the company's leverage ratio. Principal payments are due quarterly under the term loan beginning on December 31, 2008 at an annual amortization of 10% of the principal balance for each of the first two years, 15% during the third year, 20% during the fourth year, and 45% during the fifth year. The company paid financing costs of \$0.8 million in connection with the term loan, which is being amortized over the life of the term loan.

Currently, the company's credit ratings by Fitch Ratings, Moody's, and Standard & Poor's are BBB, Baa2, and BBB-, respectively. Changes in the company's credit ratings do not trigger a change in the company's available borrowings or costs under the credit facility or term loan, but could affect future credit availability.

**Uses of Cash**

On February 20, 2009, the Board of Directors declared a dividend of \$0.15 per share on the company's common stock that was paid on March 20, 2009 to shareholders of record on March 6, 2009. This dividend payment was \$14.0 million. On May 29, 2009, the Board of Directors declared a dividend of \$0.175 per share on the company's common stock that was paid on July 2, 2009 to shareholders of record on June 19, 2009. This dividend payment was \$16.1 million.

On December 19, 2002, the board of directors approved a plan that authorized stock repurchases of up to 16.9 million shares of the company's common stock. On November 18, 2005, the board of directors further increased the number of authorized shares to 22.9 million shares. On February 8, 2008, the board of directors increased the

number of authorized shares to 30.0 million shares. Under the plan, the company may repurchase its common stock in open market or privately negotiated transactions at such times and at such prices as determined to be in the company's best interest. These purchases may be commenced or suspended without prior notice depending on then-existing business or market conditions and other factors. During the twenty-eight weeks ended July 18, 2009, 1,230,391 shares, at a cost of \$27.6 million of the company's common stock were purchased under the plan. From the inception of the plan through July 18, 2009, 22.1 million shares, at a cost of \$352.1 million, have been purchased.

During the first quarter of fiscal 2009, the company paid \$26.3 million in performance-based cash awards under the company's bonus plan.

**Table of Contents****NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS:**

In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) issued SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* (SFAS No. 157). SFAS No. 157 establishes a common definition for fair value to be applied to U.S. GAAP requiring use of fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and expands disclosure about such fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 is effective for financial assets and financial liabilities for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. The implementation of SFAS No. 157 for financial assets and financial liabilities, effective December 30, 2007, did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial position and results of operations. Please refer to Note 7., *Derivative Financial Instruments*, of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements of this Form 10-Q for a detailed discussion.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141R, *Business Combinations* (SFAS No. 141R), which changed the accounting for business acquisitions. SFAS No. 141R, as amended by FSP No. 141-1 issued in April 2009, requires the acquiring entity in a business combination to recognize all (and only) the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the transaction and establishes the acquisition-date fair value as the measurement objective for all assets acquired and liabilities assumed in a business combination. Certain provisions of this standard impact the determination of acquisition-date fair value of consideration paid in a business combination (including contingent consideration); exclude transaction costs from acquisition accounting; and change accounting practices for acquisition-related restructuring costs, in-process research and development, indemnification assets, and tax benefits. SFAS No. 141R, as amended, was effective to the company for business combinations and adjustments to an acquired entity's deferred tax asset and liability balances occurring after January 3, 2009. The company applied the provisions of this statement for the acquisition that occurred during the second quarter of fiscal 2009.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements* (SFAS No. 160). SFAS No. 160 establishes requirements for ownership interests in subsidiaries held by parties other than the company (sometimes called minority interests ) be clearly identified, presented, and disclosed in the consolidated statement of financial position within equity, but separate from the parent's equity. All changes in the parent's ownership interests are required to be accounted for consistently as equity transactions and any noncontrolling equity investments in unconsolidated subsidiaries must be measured initially at fair value. SFAS No. 160 is effective, on a prospective basis, for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. However, presentation and disclosure requirements must be retrospectively applied to comparative financial statements. The company adopted SFAS No. 160 as of January 4, 2009. As a result, upon adoption, the company has classified the Minority Interest in Variable Interest Entity balance to a new component of equity with respect to noncontrolling interests. The adoption also impacted certain captions previously used on the consolidated statement of income by separately identifying net income, net income attributable to noncontrolling interests and net income attributable to Flowers Foods, Inc. Prior period information presented in this Form 10-Q has been reclassified where required.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, *Disclosures About Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133* (SFAS No. 161). SFAS No. 161 expands quarterly disclosure requirements in SFAS No. 133 about an entity's derivative instruments and hedging activities. SFAS No. 161 was effective for the company as of January 4, 2009. The additional disclosures required by this standard are included in Note 7, *Derivative Financial Instruments*, of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements of this Form 10-Q.

In December 2008, the FASB issued FSP No. FAS 132(R)-1, *Employers' Disclosures about Postretirement Benefit Plan Assets*. This FSP requires additional disclosures about plan assets for sponsors of defined benefit pension and postretirement plans including expanded information regarding investment strategies, major categories of plan assets, and concentrations of risk within plan assets. Additionally, this FSP requires disclosures similar to those required under SFAS No. 157 with respect to the fair value of plan assets such as the inputs and valuation techniques used to measure fair value and information with respect to classification of plan assets in terms of the hierarchy of the source of information used to determine their value. The disclosures under this FSP are required for annual periods ending after December 15, 2009. The company is currently evaluating the requirements of these additional disclosures.

**Table of Contents**

In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP No. FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1, *Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments*. This FSP essentially expands the disclosure about fair value of financial instruments that were previously required only annually to also be required for interim period reporting. In addition, the FSP requires certain additional disclosures regarding the methods and significant assumptions used to estimate the fair value of financial instruments. These additional disclosures are included in Note 6., Fair Value of Financial Instruments, of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements of this Form 10-Q.

In May 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 165, *Subsequent Events* ( SFAS 165 ). SFAS 165 provides guidance on management's assessment of subsequent events and incorporates this guidance into accounting literature. SFAS 165 is effective prospectively for interim and annual periods ending after June 15, 2009. The implementation of this standard did not have an impact on our condensed consolidated balance sheet and condensed consolidated statements of income. The company has evaluated subsequent events through August 20, 2009, the date of the filing of this Form 10-Q.

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 167, *Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R)* ( SFAS 167 ). SFAS 167 amends the consolidation guidance applicable to variable interest entities and affects the overall consolidation analysis under FASB Interpretation No. 46(R). SFAS 167 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2009. The company is currently assessing the impact of SFAS 167 on its condensed consolidated balance sheet and statements of income.

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 168, *The FASB Accounting Standards Codification and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles – a replacement of FASB Statement No. 162* ( SFAS 168 ). SFAS 168 stipulates the FASB Accounting Standards Codification is the source of authoritative U.S. GAAP recognized by the FASB to be applied by nongovernmental entities. SFAS 168 is effective for financial statements issued for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009.

**ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

The company uses derivative financial instruments as part of an overall strategy to manage market risk. The company uses forward, futures, swap and option contracts to hedge existing or future exposure to changes in interest rates and commodity prices. The company does not enter into these derivative financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes. If actual market conditions are less favorable than those anticipated, raw material prices could increase significantly, adversely affecting the margins from the sale of our products.

**COMMODITY PRICE RISK**

The company enters into commodity forward, futures and option contracts and swap agreements for wheat and, to a lesser extent, other commodities in an effort to provide a predictable and consistent commodity price and thereby reduce the impact of market volatility in its raw material and packaging prices. As of July 18, 2009, the company's hedge portfolio contained commodity derivatives with a fair value of \$(22.0) million. Of this fair value, \$(16.3) million is based on quoted market prices and \$(5.7) million is based on models and other valuation methods. Approximately \$(13.1) million and \$(8.9) million of this fair value relates to instruments that will be utilized in fiscal 2009 and fiscal 2010, respectively.

A sensitivity analysis has been prepared to quantify the company's potential exposure to commodity price risk with respect to its derivative portfolio. Based on the company's derivative portfolio as of July 18, 2009, a hypothetical ten percent increase (decrease) in commodity prices would increase (decrease) the fair value of the derivative portfolio by \$14.8 million. The analysis disregards changes in the exposures inherent in the underlying hedged items; however, the company expects that any increase (decrease) in fair value of the portfolio would be substantially offset by increases (decreases) in raw material and packaging prices.

**INTEREST RATE RISK**

On July 9, 2008 and August 13, 2008, the company entered interest rate swaps with notional amounts of \$85.0 million and \$65.0 million, respectively, to fix the interest rate on the \$150.0 million term loan secured on August 1, 2008 to fund the acquisitions of ButterKrust and Holsum. On October 27, 2008, the company entered an interest rate swap with a notional amount of \$50.0 million to fix the interest rate on borrowings outstanding under the company's unsecured credit facility. As of July 18, 2009, the fair value of these interest rate swaps was \$(7.1) million. All of this fair value is based on valuation models and \$(2.4) million, \$(3.4) million, \$(1.3) million, \$(0.1) million and

\$0.1 million of this fair value is related to instruments expiring in 2009 through 2013, respectively.

**Table of Contents**

A sensitivity analysis has been prepared to quantify the company's potential exposure to interest rate risk with respect to the interest rate swaps. As of July 18, 2009, a hypothetical ten percent increase (decrease) in interest rates would increase (decrease) the fair value of the interest rate swaps by \$0.8 million. The analysis disregards changes in the exposures inherent in the underlying debt; however, the company expects that any increase (decrease) in payments under the interest rate swaps would be substantially offset by increases (decreases) in interest expense.

**ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES****Management's Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

We have established and maintain a system of disclosure controls and procedures that is designed to ensure that material information relating to the company, which is required to be timely disclosed by us in reports that we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), is accumulated and communicated to management in a timely fashion and is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified by the SEC's rules and forms. An evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Exchange Act) was performed as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report. This evaluation was performed under the supervision and with the participation of management, including our Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Financial Officer (CFO) and Chief Accounting Officer (CAO). Based upon that evaluation, our CEO, CFO and CAO have concluded that these disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report.

**Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during our fiscal quarter ended July 18, 2009 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

**PART II. OTHER INFORMATION****ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

The company and its subsidiaries from time to time are parties to, or targets of, lawsuits, claims, investigations and proceedings, including personal injury, commercial, contract, environmental, antitrust, product liability, health and safety and employment matters, which are being handled and defended in the ordinary course of business. While the company is unable to predict the outcome of these matters, it believes, based upon currently available facts, that it is remote that the ultimate resolution of any such pending matters will have a material adverse effect on its overall financial condition, results of operations or cash flows in the future. However, adverse developments could negatively impact earnings in future fiscal periods.

On July 23, 2008, a wholly-owned subsidiary of the company filed a lawsuit against Interstate Bakeries Corporation (IBC) in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia. The complaint alleges that IBC is infringing upon Flowers' *Nature's Own* trademarks by using the Nature's Pride trademark. The company asserts that IBC's sale of baked goods under the Nature's Pride trademark is likely to cause confusion with, and likely to dilute the distinctiveness of, the *Nature's Own* mark. The company is seeking actual damages, an accounting of IBC's profits, and injunctive relief. IBC has asserted a counterclaim for the cancellation of two of the four federal trademark registrations of *Nature's Own* asserted by the company. However, the company denies the allegations and believes that the claims are without factual or legal bases.

**ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS**

Please refer to Part I, Item 1A., *Risk Factors*, in the company's Form 10-K for the year ended January 3, 2009 for information regarding factors that could affect the company's results of operations, financial condition and liquidity. There have been no changes to our risk factors during the first and second quarters of fiscal 2009.

**ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS**

On December 19, 2002 our board of directors approved a plan that authorized stock repurchases of up to 16.9 million shares of the company's common stock. On November 18, 2005, the board of directors increased the number of authorized shares to 22.9 million shares. On February 8, 2008, the board of directors further increased the number of authorized shares to 30.0 million shares. Under the



**Table of Contents**

plan, the company may repurchase its common stock in open market or privately negotiated transactions at such times and at such prices as determined to be in the company's best interest. These purchases may be commenced or suspended without prior notice depending on then-existing business or market conditions and other factors. The following chart sets forth the amounts of our common stock purchased by the company during the second quarter of fiscal 2009 under the stock repurchase plan.

<b>Period</b>	<b>Total Number of Shares Purchased</b>	<b>Weighted Average Price Per Share</b>	<b>Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plan or Programs</b>	<b>Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plan or Programs</b>
April 26, 2009 – May 23, 2009				8,198
May 24, 2009 – June 20, 2009	283	\$ 21.03	283	7,915
June 21, 2009 – July 18, 2009	3	\$ 21.11	3	7,912
<b>Total</b>	<b>286</b>	<b>\$ 21.03</b>	<b>286</b>	

(Amounts in thousands, except price data)

**ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS**

The company's Annual Meeting of Shareholders was held on June 5, 2009 in Thomasville, Georgia for the following purposes and with the following voting results:

(1) To elect three nominees as directors of the company to serve for a term of three years:

<b>Class I Directors:</b>	<b>For</b>	<b>Withheld</b>	<b>Broker-Non Votes</b>
Joe E. Beverly	68,160,653	19,214,845	
Amos R. McMullian	68,172,698	19,202,800	
J.V. Shields, Jr.	67,921,772	19,453,726	

(2) To approve the 2001 Equity and Performance Incentive Plan, as amended and restated as of April 1, 2009:

For	72,365,452
Against	5,525,656
Abstain	622,686
Broker Non-Votes	8,862,453

(3) To approve the Annual Executive Bonus Plan:

For	84,490,727
Against	2,156,340
Abstain	729,179
Broker Non-Votes	0

(4) To ratify the selection of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP to serve as the independent registered public accounting firm for Flowers Foods for the fiscal year ending January 2, 2010:

For	85,998,975
-----	------------

Against	1,275,830
Abstain	101,442
Broker Non-Votes	0

Director-nominees received a plurality of votes cast in the election of directors and were elected to serve until 2012. Proposals 2 and 3 received the affirmative vote of a majority of the outstanding shares of common stock and passed and Proposal 4 received a majority of votes cast and passed.

**ITEM 6. EXHIBITS**

Exhibits filed as part of this report are listed in the Exhibit Index attached hereto.

**Table of Contents**

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

FLOWERS FOODS, INC.

By: /s/ GEORGE E. DEESE

Name: George E. Deese

Title: *Chairman of the Board, Chief  
Executive  
Officer and President*

By: /s/ R. STEVE KINSEY

Name: R. Steve Kinsey

Title: *Executive Vice President and  
Chief Financial Officer*

By: /s/ KARYL H. LAUDER

Name: Karyl H. Lauder

Title: *Senior Vice President and  
Chief Accounting Officer*

Date: August 20, 2009

**Table of Contents**

**EXHIBIT INDEX**

<b>Exhibit No</b>	<b>Name of Exhibit</b>
2.1	Distribution Agreement by and between Flowers Industries, Inc. and Flowers Foods, Inc., dated as of October 26, 2000 (Incorporated by reference to Flowers Foods Registration Statement on Form 10, dated February 9, 2001, File No. 1-16247).
2.2	Amendment No. 1 to Distribution Agreement, dated as of March 12, 2001, between Flowers Industries, Inc. and Flowers Foods, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Flowers Foods Annual Report on Form 10-K, dated March 30, 2001, File No. 1-16247).
3.1	Restated Articles of Incorporation of Flowers Foods, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Flowers Foods Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q dated June 4, 2009, File No. 1-16247).
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws of Flowers Foods, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Flowers Foods Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 18, 2008, File No. 1-16247).
4.1	Share Certificate of Common Stock of Flowers Foods, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Flowers Foods Annual Report on Form 10-K, dated March 30, 2001, File No. 1-16247).
4.2	Rights Agreement between Flowers Foods, Inc. and First Union National Bank, as Rights Agent, dated March 23, 2001 (Incorporated by reference to Flowers Foods Annual Report on Form 10-K, dated March 30, 2001, File No. 1-16247).
4.3	Amendment No. 1, dated November 15, 2002, to Rights Agreement between Flowers Foods, Inc. and Wachovia Bank, N.A. (as successor in interest to First Union National Bank), as rights agent, dated March 23, 2001. (Incorporated by reference to Flowers Foods Registration Statement on Form 8-A, dated November 18, 2002, File No. 1-16247).
10.1	Flowers Foods, Inc. Retirement Plan No. 1 (Incorporated by reference to Flowers Foods Annual Report on Form 10-K, dated March 30, 2001, File No. 1-16247).
10.2	Flowers Foods, Inc. 2001 Equity and Performance Incentive Plan, as amended and restated as of April 1, 2009 (Incorporated by reference to Flowers Foods Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A, dated April 24, 2009, File No. 1-16247).
10.3	Flowers Foods, Inc. Stock Appreciation Rights Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Flowers Foods Annual Report on Form 10-K, dated March 27, 2002, File No. 1-16247).
10.4	Flowers Foods, Inc. Annual Executive Bonus Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Flowers Foods Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A, dated April 24, 2009, File No. 1-16247).
10.5	Flowers Foods, Inc. Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Flowers Foods Annual Report on Form 10-K, dated March 27, 2002, File No. 1-16247).
10.6	Form of Indemnification Agreement, by and between Flowers Foods, Inc., certain executive officers and the directors of Flowers Foods, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Flowers Foods

Edgar Filing: FLOWERS FOODS INC - Form 10-Q

Annual Report on Form 10-K, dated March 28, 2003, File No. 1-16247).

- 10.7 Form of Continuation of Employment Agreement, by and between Flowers Foods, Inc. and certain executive officers of Flowers Foods, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Flowers Foods Annual Report on Form 10-K dated March 4, 2009, File No. 1016247)
- 10.8 Ninth Amendment dated November 7, 2005 to the Flowers Foods, Inc. Retirement Plan No. 1 as Amended and restated effective as of March 26, 2001. (Incorporated by reference to Flowers Foods Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q dated November 17, 2005, File No. 1-16247).
- 10.9 Form of 2008 Restricted Stock Agreement, by and between Flowers Foods, Inc. and certain executive officers of Flowers Foods, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Flowers Foods Annual Report on Form 10-K dated February 27, 2008, File No. 1-16247).

**Table of Contents**

<b>Exhibit No</b>	<b>Name of Exhibit</b>
10.10	Form of Option Agreement, by and between Flowers Foods, Inc. and certain executive officers of Flowers Foods, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Flowers Foods Annual Report on Form 10-K dated March 1, 2006, File No. 1-16247).
10.11	Form of 2008 Option Agreement, by and between Flowers Foods, Inc. and certain executive officers of Flowers Foods, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Flowers Foods Annual Report on Form 10-K dated February 27, 2008, File No. 1-16247).
10.12	Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of June 6, 2006, among Flowers Foods, Inc., the Lenders Party thereto from time to time, Bank of America N.A., Harris N.A. and Cooperative Centrale Raiffeisen-Boerenleen Bank, B.A., Rabobank International, New York Branch, as co-documentation agents, SunTrust Bank, as syndication agent, and Deutsche Bank AG, New York Branch, as administrative agent. (Incorporated by reference to Flowers Foods Current Report on Form 8-K dated June 7, 2006, File No. 1-16247).
10.13	Employment Agreement, effective September 15, 2007, by and between Flowers Foods, Inc. and Jimmy M. Woodward. (Incorporated by reference to Flowers Foods Current Report on Form 8-K dated August 31, 2007, File No. 1-16247).
10.14	First Amendment and Waiver, dated October 5, 2007, among Flowers Foods, Inc., a Georgia corporation, the lenders party to the Credit Agreement and Deutsche Bank AG New York Branch, as Administrative Agent. (Incorporated by reference to Flowers Foods Current Report on Form 8-K dated October 11, 2007, File No. 1-16247).
10.15	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated June 23, 2008, by and among, Flowers Foods, Inc., Peachtree Acquisition Co., LLC, Holsum Bakery, Inc., Lloyd Edward Eisele, Jr. and The Lloyd Edward Eisele, Jr. Revocable Trust (Incorporated by reference to Flowers Foods Current Report on Form 8-K/A dated June 25, 2008, File No. 1-16247).
10.16	Credit Agreement, dated as of August 1, 2008, among Flowers Foods, Inc., the Lenders Party thereto from time to time, Bank of America N.A., Cooperative Centrale Raiffeisen-Boerenleen Bank, B.A., Rabobank International, New York Branch, and Branch Banking & Trust Company as co-documentation agents, SunTrust Bank, as syndication agent, and Deutsche Bank AG, New York Branch, as administrative agent (Incorporated by reference to Flowers Foods Current Report on Form 8-K dated August 6, 2008, File No. 1-16247).

**Table of Contents**

<b>Exhibit No</b>	<b>Name of Exhibit</b>
10.17	Form of 2009 Restricted Stock Agreement, by and between Flowers Foods, Inc. and certain executive officers of Flowers Foods, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Flowers Foods Annual Report on Form 10-K dated March 4, 2009, File No. 1-16247)
10.18	Form of 2009 Nonqualified Stock Option Agreement, by and between Flowers Foods, Inc. and certain executive officers of Flowers Foods, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Flowers Foods Annual Report on Form 10-K dated March 4, 2009, File No. 1-16247)
10.19	Form of 2009 Deferred Shares Agreement, by and between Flowers Foods, Inc. and certain members of the Board of Directors of Flowers Foods, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Flowers Foods Annual Report on Form 10-K dated March 4, 2009, File No. 1-16247)
*21	Subsidiaries of Flowers Foods, Inc.
*31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
*31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
*31.3	Certification of Chief Accounting Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
*32	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, by George E. Deese, Chief Executive Officer, R. Steve Kinsey, Chief Financial Officer and Karyl H. Lauder, Chief Accounting Officer for the Quarter Ended July 18, 2009.

\* Filed herewith