APARTMENT INVESTMENT & MANAGEMENT CO Form $10\text{-}\mathrm{K}$ February $26,\,2010$

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549 Form 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
 OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
 For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009

or

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transition period from to

Commission File Number 1-13232 Apartment Investment and Management Company

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland 84-1259577

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

4582 South Ulster Street Parkway, Suite 1100 Denver, Colorado **80237** (*Zip Code*)

(Address of principal executive offices)

Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (303) 757-8101 Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class

Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered

Class A Common Stock New York Stock Exch
Class G Cumulative Preferred Stock New York Stock Exch
Class T Cumulative Preferred Stock New York Stock Exch
Class U Cumulative Preferred Stock New York Stock Exch
Class V Cumulative Preferred Stock New York Stock Exch
Class Y Cumulative Preferred Stock New York Stock Exch

Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: none

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined by Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes b No o

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes o No b

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes b No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes o No o

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. b

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer b Accelerated filer o Non-accelerated filer o Smaller reporting (Do not check if a smaller reporting company o company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes o No b

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant was approximately \$1.0 billion as of June 30, 2009. As of February 24, 2010, there were 117,140,672 shares of Class A Common Stock outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the registrant s definitive proxy statement to be issued in conjunction with the registrant s annual meeting of stockholders to be held April 26, 2010, are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Annual Report.

APARTMENT INVESTMENT AND MANAGEMENT COMPANY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2009

Item		Page
	PART I	
<u>1.</u>	<u>Business</u>	2
<u>1A.</u>	Risk Factors	8
<u>1B.</u>	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	16
<u>2.</u>	<u>Properties</u>	16
<u>3.</u>	Legal Proceedings	17
<u>4.</u>	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	17
	PART II	
<u>5.</u>	Market for the Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of	
	Equity Securities	18
<u>6.</u>	Selected Financial Data	20
<u>7.</u>	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	22
<u>7A.</u>	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	42
<u>8.</u> <u>9.</u>	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	43
<u>9.</u>	Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	43
<u>9A.</u>	Controls and Procedures	43
<u>9B.</u>	Other Information	46
	PART III	
<u>10.</u>	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	46
<u>11.</u>	Executive Compensation	46
<u>12.</u>	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder	
	<u>Matters</u>	46
<u>13.</u>	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	46
<u>14.</u>	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	46
	PART IV	
<u>15.</u>	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	47
X-21.1		
X-23.1 X-31.1		
X-31.2		
X-32.1		
X-32.2		
X-99.1		
	1	

Table of Contents

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 provides a safe harbor for forward-looking statements in certain circumstances. Certain information included in this Annual Report contains or may contain information that is forward-looking within the meaning of the federal securities laws, including, without limitation, statements regarding the effect of acquisitions and redevelopments, our future financial performance, including our ability to maintain current or meet projected occupancy, rent levels and same store results, and the effect of government regulations. Actual results may differ materially from those described in these forward-looking statements and, in addition, will be affected by a variety of risks and factors, some of which are beyond our control, including, without limitation: financing risks, including the availability and cost of financing and the risk that our cash flows from operations may be insufficient to meet required payments of principal and interest; earnings may not be sufficient to maintain compliance with debt covenants; real estate risks, including fluctuations in real estate values and the general economic climate in the markets in which we operate and competition for residents in such markets; national and local economic conditions; the terms of governmental regulations that affect us and interpretations of those regulations; the competitive environment in which we operate; the timing of acquisitions and dispositions; insurance risk, including the cost of insurance; natural disasters and severe weather such as hurricanes; litigation, including costs associated with prosecuting or defending claims and any adverse outcomes; energy costs; and possible environmental liabilities, including costs, fines or penalties that may be incurred due to necessary remediation of contamination of properties presently owned or previously owned by us. In addition, our current and continuing qualification as a real estate investment trust involves the application of highly technical and complex provisions of the Internal Revenue Code and depends on our ability to meet the various requirements imposed by the Internal Revenue Code, through actual operating results, distribution levels and diversity of stock ownership. Readers should carefully review our financial statements and the notes thereto, as well as the section entitled Risk Factors described in Item 1A of this Annual Report and the other documents we file from time to time with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

PART I

Item 1. Business

The Company

Apartment Investment and Management Company, or Aimco, is a Maryland corporation incorporated on January 10, 1994. We are a self-administered and self-managed real estate investment trust, or REIT, engaged in the acquisition, ownership, management and redevelopment of apartment properties. We primarily invest in the 20 largest U.S. markets, as measured by total market capitalization, which is the total market value of institutional-grade apartment properties in a particular market. We define these markets as target markets and they possess the following characteristics: a high concentration of population and apartment units; geographic and employment diversification; and historically strong returns with reduced volatility as part of a diversified portfolio. We are one of the largest owners and operators of apartment properties in the United States.

We own an equity interest in, and consolidate the majority of, the properties in our owned real estate portfolio. These properties represent the consolidated real estate holdings in our financial statements, which we refer to as consolidated properties. In addition, we have an equity interest in, but do not consolidate for financial statement purposes, certain properties that are accounted for under the equity or cost methods. These properties represent our investment in unconsolidated real estate partnerships in our financial statements, which we refer to as unconsolidated properties. Additionally, we provide property management and asset management services to certain properties, and in certain cases, we may indirectly own generally less than one percent of the operations of such

Table of Contents

properties through a partnership syndication or other fund. Our equity holdings and managed properties are as follows as of December 31, 2009:

	Total Portfolio	
	Properties	Units
Consolidated properties	426	95,202
Unconsolidated properties	77	8,478
Property management	22	2,095
Asset management	345	29,879
Total	870	135,654

Through our wholly-owned subsidiaries, AIMCO-GP, Inc. and AIMCO-LP Trust, we own a majority of the ownership interests in AIMCO Properties, L.P., which we refer to as the Aimco Operating Partnership. As of December 31, 2009, we held an interest of approximately 93% in the common partnership units and equivalents of the Aimco Operating Partnership. We conduct substantially all of our business and own substantially all of our assets through the Aimco Operating Partnership. Interests in the Aimco Operating Partnership that are held by limited partners other than Aimco are referred to as OP Units. OP Units include common OP Units, partnership preferred units, or preferred OP Units, and high performance partnership units, or High Performance Units. Generally, after a holding period of twelve months, holders of common OP Units may redeem such units for cash or, at the Aimco Operating Partnership s option, Aimco Class A Common Stock, which we refer to as Common Stock. At December 31, 2009, we had 116,479,791 shares of our Common Stock outstanding and the Aimco Operating Partnership had 8,374,233 common OP Units and equivalents outstanding for a combined total of 124,854,024 shares of Common Stock and OP Units outstanding (excluding preferred OP Units).

Since our initial public offering in July 1994, we have completed numerous transactions, including purchases of properties and interests in entities that own or manage properties, expanding our portfolio of owned or managed properties from 132 properties with 29,343 apartment units to a peak of over 2,100 properties with 379,000 apartment units. As of December 31, 2009, our portfolio of owned and/or managed properties consists of 870 properties with 135,654 apartment units.

Except as the context otherwise requires, we, our, us and the Company refer to Aimco, the Aimco Operating Partnership and their consolidated entities, collectively. As used herein, and except where the context otherwise requires, partnership refers to a limited partnership or a limited liability company and partner refers to a limited partner in a limited partnership or a member in a limited liability company.

Available Information

Our Annual Report on Form 10-K, our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, our Current Reports on Form 8-K and any amendments to any of those reports that we file with the Securities and Exchange Commission are available free of charge as soon as reasonably practicable through our website at www.aimco.com. The information contained on our website is not incorporated into this Annual Report. Our Common Stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol AIV. In 2009, our chief executive officer submitted his annual corporate governance listing standards certification to the New York Stock Exchange, which certification was unqualified.

Financial Information About Industry Segments

We operate in two reportable segments: real estate (owning, operating and redeveloping apartments) and investment management (portfolio management and asset management, which are further discussed in the Business Overview). For further information on these segments, see Note 17 of the consolidated financial statements in Item 8, and Management s Discussion and Analysis in Item 7.

Business Overview

Our principal financial objective is to increase long-term stockholder value, both as measured by Net Asset Value, which is the estimated fair value of our assets, net of debt, or NAV, and total shareholder return.

3

Table of Contents

We strive to meet our objectives through:

property operations using scale and technology to increase the effectiveness and efficiency of attracting and retaining apartment residents;

portfolio management allocating capital among geographic markets and apartment property types, primarily Class B and B+ quality apartments that are well located within the 20 largest U.S. markets, through sales, redevelopment and/or acquisitions;

managing our cost and risk of capital by using leverage that is largely long-term, laddered in maturity, non-recourse and property specific; and

reducing our general and administrative and certain other costs through outsourcing and standardization.

Our business is organized around two core activities: Property Operations and Investment Management. These core activities, along with our financial strategy, are described in more detail below.

Property Operations

Our portfolio is comprised of two business components: conventional and affordable. Our conventional operations, which provide 88% of our property net operating income and are market-rate apartments with rents paid by the resident, include 243 properties with 74,030 units. Our affordable operations provide 12% of our property net operating income and consist of 260 properties with 29,650 units, with rents that are generally paid, in whole or part, by a government agency. Affordable properties tend to have relatively more stable rents and higher occupancy due to government rent payments and thus are much less affected by market fluctuations.

We operate a broad range of property types, from suburban garden-style to urban high-rise properties in 44 states, the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico at a range of average monthly rental rates. On average, our portfolio rents are somewhat above the average rents in the local markets. This diversification in geography insulates us, to some degree, from inevitable downturns in any one market.

Our property operations currently are organized into five areas, which are further subdivided according to our target markets. To manage our nationwide portfolio more efficiently and to increase the benefits from our local management expertise, we have given direct responsibility for operations within each area to an area operations leader with regular senior management reviews. To enable the area operations leaders to focus on sales and service, as well as to improve financial control and budgeting, we have dedicated an area financial officer to support each area operations leader, and with the exception of routine maintenance, our specialized Construction Services group manages all on-site improvements, thus reducing the need for the area operations leaders to spend time on oversight of construction projects.

We seek to improve our oversight of property operations by: developing better systems; standardizing business goals, operational measurements and internal reporting; and enhancing financial controls over field operations. Our objectives are to focus on the areas discussed below:

Customer Service. Our operating culture is focused on our residents. Our goal is to provide our residents with consistent service in clean, safe and attractive communities. We evaluate our performance through a customer satisfaction tracking system. In addition, we emphasize the quality of our on-site employees through recruiting, training and retention programs, which we believe contributes to improved customer service and leads to increased occupancy rates and enhanced operational performance.

Resident Selection and Retention. In apartment properties, neighbors are a meaningful part of the product, together with the location of the property and the physical quality of the apartment units. Part of our property operations strategy is to focus on resident acquisition and retention—attracting and retaining credit-worthy residents who are good neighbors. We have structured goals and coaching for all of our sales personnel, a tracking system for inquiries and a standardized renewal communication program. We have standardized residential financial stability requirements and have policies and monitoring practices to maintain our resident quality.

4

Table of Contents

Revenue Management. For our conventional properties, we have a centralized revenue management system that leverages people, processes and technology to work in partnership with our area operational management teams to develop rental rate pricing. We seek to increase revenue by optimizing the balance between rental and occupancy rates. We are also focused on the automation of on-site operations, as we believe that timely and accurate collection of property performance and resident profile data will enable us to maximize revenue through better property management and leasing decisions. We have standardized policies for new and renewal pricing with timely data and analyses by floor-plan, thereby enabling us to maximize our ability to modify pricing, even in challenging sub-markets.

Controlling Expenses. Cost controls are accomplished by local focus at the area level and by taking advantage of economies of scale at the corporate level. As a result of the size of our portfolio and our area concentrations of properties, we have the ability to spread over a large property base the fixed costs for general and administrative expenditures and certain operating functions, such as purchasing, insurance and information technology.

Ancillary Services. We believe that our ownership and management of properties provide us with unique access to a customer base that allows us to provide additional services and thereby increase occupancy and rents, while also generating incremental revenue. We currently provide cable television, telephone services, appliance rental, and carport, garage and storage space rental at certain properties.

Maintaining and Improving Property Quality. We believe that the physical condition and amenities of our apartment properties are important factors in our ability to maintain and increase rental rates. In 2009, we spent \$70.3 million (Aimco s share), or \$723 per owned apartment unit, for Capital Replacements, which represent the share of additions that are deemed to replace the consumed portion of acquired capital assets. Additionally, we spent \$53.4 million (Aimco s share), or \$549 per owned apartment unit, for Capital Improvements, which are non-redevelopment capital additions that are made to enhance the value, profitability or useful life of an asset from its original purchase condition.

Investment Management

Investment management includes activities related to our owned portfolio of properties as well as services provided to affiliated partnerships. Investment management includes portfolio strategy, capital allocation, joint ventures, tax credit syndication, acquisitions, dispositions and other transaction activities. Within our owned portfolio, we refer to these activities as Portfolio Management, and their benefit is seen in property operating results and investment gains. For affiliated partnerships, we refer to these activities as asset management for which we are separately compensated through fees paid by third party investors.

Portfolio Management

Portfolio Management involves the ongoing allocation of investment capital to meet our geographic and product type goals. We target geographic balance in Aimco s diversified portfolio in order to optimize risk-adjusted returns and to avoid the risk of undue concentration in any particular market. We also seek to balance the portfolio by product type, with both high quality properties in excellent locations and also high land value properties that support redevelopment activities. We intend to slightly reduce our allocation of capital to affordable properties to 10% of our NAV.

Our geographic allocation strategy focuses on our target markets to reduce volatility in and our dependence on particular areas of the country. We believe our target markets are deep, relatively liquid and possess desirable long-term growth characteristics. They are primarily coastal markets, and also include a number of Sun Belt cities and

Chicago, Illinois. We may also invest in other markets on an opportunistic basis. We intend to upgrade the quality of our portfolio through the sale of approximately 5% to 10% of our portfolio annually, with the proceeds generally used to increase our allocation of capital to well located properties within our target markets through capital investments, redevelopment or acquisitions. We expect that increased geographic focus will also add to our investment knowledge and increase operating efficiencies based on local economies of scale.

5

Table of Contents

Our portfolio management activities include strategic portfolio and capital allocation decisions including transactions to buy, sell or modify our ownership interest in properties, including through the use of partnerships and joint ventures, and to increase our investment in existing properties through redevelopment. The purpose of these transactions is to adjust Aimco s investments to reflect our decisions regarding target allocations to geographic markets and to investment types.

We believe redevelopment of certain properties in superior locations provides advantages over ground-up development, enabling us to generate rents comparable to new properties with lower financial risk, in less time and with reduced delays associated with governmental permits and authorizations. Redevelopment work also includes seeking entitlements from local governments, which enhance the value of our existing portfolio by increasing density, that is, the right to add residential units to a site. We have historically undertaken a range of redevelopment projects: from those in which a substantial number of all available units are vacated for significant renovations to the property, to those in which there is significant renovation, such as exteriors, common areas or unit improvements, typically done upon lease expirations without the need to vacate units on any wholesale or substantial basis. We have a specialized Redevelopment and Construction Services group to oversee these projects.

During 2009, we increased our allocation of capital to our target markets by disposing of 68 conventional properties located primarily outside of our target markets or in less desirable locations within our target markets and by investing \$66.8 million in redevelopment of conventional properties. As of December 31, 2009, our conventional portfolio included 243 properties with 74,030 units in 38 markets. As of December 31, 2009, conventional properties in our target markets comprised 88% of our NAV attributable to our conventional properties. Our top five markets by net operating income contribution include the metropolitan areas of Washington, D.C.; Los Angeles, California; Other Florida (which is comprised of Ft. Lauderdale, Jacksonville, Orlando, Palm Beach County and Tampa); Chicago, Illinois and Boston, Massachusetts.

During 2009, we invested \$46.0 million in redevelopment of affordable properties, funded primarily by proceeds from the sale of tax credits to institutional partners. As with conventional properties, we also seek to dispose of properties that are inconsistent with our long-term investment and operating strategies. During 2009, we sold 22 properties from our affordable portfolio. As of December 31, 2009, our affordable portfolio included 260 properties with 29,650 units.

Financial Strategy

We are focused on maintaining a safe balance sheet, including minimizing or eliminating our recourse debt and near term property debt maturities as well as minimizing our cost of capital on a risk adjusted basis. We primarily use non-recourse and amortizing property debt with laddered maturities and minimize reliance on corporate debt. The lower risk inherent in non-recourse property debt permits us to operate with higher debt leverage and a lower weighted average cost of capital. We use floating rate property and corporate debt to provide lower interest costs over time at a level that considers acceptable earnings volatility.

During 2009, using proceeds from asset dispositions, we repaid \$310.0 million of our term loan, which matures in March 2011, leaving a remaining outstanding balance of \$90.0 million at December 31, 2009. We repaid an additional \$45.0 million through February 26, 2010, leaving a remaining outstanding balance of \$45.0 million.

During 2009, we also focused on reducing refunding risk by accelerating refinancing of property loans maturing prior to 2012. At the beginning of 2009, property debt totaling \$753.0 million was scheduled to mature prior to 2012. During 2009, through refinancing, repayment and property sales, we reduced these maturities by 69%, or \$516.3 million, and eliminated all 2010 property debt maturities. As of December 31, 2009, five loans totaling \$236.7 million were scheduled to mature in 2011. During January 2010, we extended the maturity of one of these loans for \$65.0 million to 2013. We expect to refinance the remaining four loans, totaling \$171.7 million

(\$101.2 million Aimco s share), at their maturity.

As of December 31, 2009, we had a \$180.0 million revolving credit facility and borrowings available of \$136.2 million (after giving effect to \$43.8 million outstanding for undrawn letters of credit). The revolving credit facility matures in May 2011 and has a one year extension option, subject to certain terms.

6

Table of Contents

Competition

In attracting and retaining residents to occupy our properties we compete with numerous other housing alternatives. Our properties compete directly with other rental apartments as well as condominiums and single-family homes that are available for rent or purchase in the markets in which our properties are located. Principal factors of competition include rent or price charged, attractiveness of the location and property and quality and breadth of services. The number of competitive properties relative to demand in a particular area has a material effect on our ability to lease apartment units at our properties and on the rents we charge. In certain markets there exists oversupply of single family homes and condominiums and a reduction of households, both of which affect the pricing and occupancy of our rental apartments. Additionally, we compete with other real estate investors, including other apartment REITs, pension and investment funds, partnerships and investment companies in acquiring, redeveloping and managing apartment properties. This competition affects our ability to acquire properties we want to add to our portfolio and the price that we pay in such acquisitions.

Taxation

We have elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, which we refer to as the Code, commencing with our taxable year ended December 31, 1994, and intend to continue to operate in such a manner. Our current and continuing qualification as a REIT depends on our ability to meet the various requirements imposed by the Code, which relate to organizational structure, distribution levels, diversity of stock ownership and certain restrictions with regard to owned assets and categories of income. If we qualify for taxation as a REIT, we will generally not be subject to United States Federal corporate income tax on our taxable income that is currently distributed to stockholders. This treatment substantially eliminates the double taxation (at the corporate and stockholder levels) that generally results from an investment in a corporation.

Even if we qualify as a REIT, we may be subject to United States Federal income and excise taxes in various situations, such as on our undistributed income. We also will be required to pay a 100% tax on any net income on non-arm s length transactions between us and a TRS (described below) and on any net income from sales of property that was property held for sale to customers in the ordinary course. We and our stockholders may be subject to state or local taxation in various state or local jurisdictions, including those in which we transact business or our stockholders reside. In addition, we could also be subject to the alternative minimum tax, or AMT, on our items of tax preference. The state and local tax laws may not conform to the United States Federal income tax treatment. Any taxes imposed on us reduce our operating cash flow and net income.

Certain of our operations or a portion thereof, including property management, asset management and risk are conducted through taxable REIT subsidiaries, each of which we refer to as a TRS. A TRS is a C-corporation that has not elected REIT status and, as such, is subject to United States Federal corporate income tax. We use TRS entities to facilitate our ability to offer certain services and activities to our residents and investment partners, as these services and activities generally cannot be offered directly by the REIT.

Regulation

General

Apartment properties and their owners are subject to various laws, ordinances and regulations, including those related to real estate broker licensing and regulations relating to recreational facilities such as swimming pools, activity centers and other common areas. Changes in laws increasing the potential liability for environmental conditions existing on properties or increasing the restrictions on discharges or other conditions, as well as changes in laws affecting development, construction and safety requirements, may result in significant unanticipated expenditures,

which would adversely affect our net income and cash flows from operating activities. In addition, future enactment of rent control or rent stabilization laws, such as legislation that has been considered in New York, or other laws regulating multifamily housing may reduce rental revenue or increase operating costs in particular markets.

7

Table of Contents

Environmental

Various Federal, state and local laws subject property owners or operators to liability for management, and the costs of removal or remediation, of certain hazardous substances present on a property. Such laws often impose liability without regard to whether the owner or operator knew of, or was responsible for, the release or presence of the hazardous substances. In connection with the ownership, operation and management of properties, we could potentially be liable for environmental liabilities or costs associated with our properties or properties we acquire or manage in the future. These and other risks related to environmental matters are described in more detail in Item 1A, Risk Factors.

Insurance

Our primary lines of insurance coverage are property, general liability, and workers compensation. We believe that our insurance coverages adequately insure our properties against the risk of loss attributable to fire, earthquake, hurricane, tornado, flood, terrorism and other perils, and adequately insure us against other risk. Our coverage includes deductibles, retentions and limits that are customary in the industry. We have established loss prevention, loss mitigation, claims handling, litigation management and loss reserving procedures to manage our exposure.

Employees

At December 31, 2009, we had approximately 3,500 employees, of which approximately 2,800 were at the property level, performing various on-site functions, with the balance managing corporate and area operations, including investment and debt transactions, legal, financial reporting, accounting, information systems, human resources and other support functions. As of December 31, 2009, unions represented 115 of our employees. We have never experienced a work stoppage and believe we maintain satisfactory relations with our employees.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

The risk factors noted in this section and other factors noted throughout this Annual Report, describe certain risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statement.

Our existing and future debt financing could render us unable to operate, result in foreclosure on our properties, prevent us from making distributions on our equity or otherwise adversely affect our liquidity.

We are subject to the risk that our cash flow from operations will be insufficient to make required payments of principal and interest, and the risk that existing indebtedness may not be refinanced or that the terms of any refinancing will not be as favorable as the terms of existing indebtedness. If we fail to make required payments of principal and interest on secured debt, our lenders could foreclose on the properties and other collateral securing such debt, which would result in loss of income and asset value to us. As of December 31, 2009, substantially all of the properties that we owned or controlled were encumbered by debt. Our organizational documents do not limit the amount of debt that we may incur, and we have significant amounts of debt outstanding. Payments of principal and interest may leave us with insufficient cash resources to operate our properties or pay distributions required to be paid in order to maintain our qualification as a REIT.

Our strategy is generally to incur debt to increase the return on our equity while maintaining acceptable coverage ratios. For the year ended December 31, 2009, as calculated based on the provisions in our credit agreement, which is further discussed in Note 7 to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8, we had a ratio of earnings before interest, taxes and depreciation and amortization to debt service of 1.59:1 and a ratio of earnings to fixed charges of

1.36:1. On February 3, 2010, we and our lenders agreed to reduce the covenant ratios of earnings before interest, taxes and depreciation and amortization to debt service and earnings to fixed charges from 1.50:1 and 1.30:1, respectively, to 1.40:1 and 1.20:1, respectively. We expect to remain in compliance with these covenants.

At December 31, 2009, we had swap positions with two financial institutions totaling \$353.1 million. The related swap agreements provide for collateral calls to maintain specified loan-to-value ratios. In the event the

8

Table of Contents

values of the real estate properties serving as collateral under these agreements decline, we may be required to provide additional collateral pursuant to the swap agreements, which would adversely affect our cash flows.

Disruptions in the financial markets could affect our ability to obtain financing and the cost of available financing and could adversely affect our liquidity.

Our ability to obtain financing and the cost of such financing depends on the overall condition of the United States credit markets and, to an important extent in 2009, on the level of involvement of certain government sponsored entities, specifically, Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, or Freddie Mac, and Federal National Mortgage Association, or Fannie Mae, in secondary credit markets. During 2009, the United States credit markets (outside of multi-family) experienced significant liquidity disruptions, which caused the spreads on debt financings to widen considerably and made obtaining financing, both non-recourse property debt and corporate borrowings, such as our term loan or revolving credit facility, more difficult.

Further or prolonged disruptions in the credit markets could result in Freddie Mac or Fannie Mae reducing their level of involvement in secondary credit markets which would adversely affect our ability to obtain non-recourse property debt financing. Additionally, further or prolonged disruptions in the credit markets may also affect our ability to renew our credit facility with similar commitments when it matures in May 2012 (inclusive of a one year extension option).

If our ability to obtain financing is adversely affected, we may be unable to satisfy scheduled maturities on existing financing through other sources of liquidity, which could result in lender foreclosure on the properties securing such debt and loss of income and asset value, each of which would adversely affect our liquidity.

Increases in interest rates would increase our interest expense and reduce our profitability.

As of December 31, 2009, we had approximately \$654.6 million of variable-rate indebtedness outstanding and \$67.0 million of variable rate preferred stock outstanding. Of the total debt subject to variable interest rates, floating rate tax-exempt bond financing was about two-thirds, or \$433.9 million. Floating rate tax-exempt bond financing is benchmarked against the Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association Municipal Swap Index, or SIFMA, rate, which since 1989 has averaged 73% of the 30-day LIBOR rate. At December 31, 2009, we had approximately \$440.9 million in cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash and notes receivable, the majority of which bear interest. The effect of our interest-bearing assets would partially reduce the effect of an increase in variable interest rates. If this historical relationship continues, we estimate that an increase in 30-day LIBOR of 100 basis points (73 basis points for tax-exempt interest rates) with constant credit risk spreads would result in net income being reduced by \$1.1 million and income attributable to Aimco common stockholders being reduced by \$1.5 million on an annual basis.

Failure to generate sufficient net operating income may adversely affect our liquidity, limit our ability to fund necessary capital expenditures or adversely affect our ability to pay dividends.

Our ability to fund necessary capital expenditures on our properties depends on, among other things, our ability to generate net operating income in excess of required debt payments. If we are unable to fund capital expenditures on our properties, we may not be able to preserve the competitiveness of our properties, which could adversely affect our net operating income.

Our ability to make payments to our investors depends on our ability to generate net operating income in excess of required debt payments and capital expenditure requirements. Our net operating income and liquidity may be adversely affected by events or conditions beyond our control, including:

the general economic climate;

an inflationary environment in which the costs to operate and maintain our properties increase at a rate greater than our ability to increase rents only upon renewal of existing leases or at the inception of new leases;

competition from other apartment communities and other housing options;

9

Table of Contents

local conditions, such as loss of jobs, unemployment rates or an increase in the supply of apartments, that might adversely affect apartment occupancy or rental rates;

changes in governmental regulations and the related cost of compliance;

increases in operating costs (including real estate taxes) due to inflation and other factors, which may not be offset by increased rents;

changes in tax laws and housing laws, including the enactment of rent control laws or other laws regulating multifamily housing; and

changes in interest rates and the availability of financing.

Covenant restrictions may limit our ability to make payments to our investors.

Some of our debt and other securities contain covenants that restrict our ability to make distributions or other payments to our investors unless certain financial tests or other criteria are satisfied. Our credit facility provides, among other things, that we may make distributions to our investors during any four consecutive fiscal quarters in an aggregate amount that does not exceed the greater of 95% of our Funds From Operations for such period, subject to certain non-cash adjustments, or such amount as may be necessary to maintain our REIT status. Our outstanding classes of preferred stock prohibit the payment of dividends on our Common Stock if we fail to pay the dividends to which the holders of the preferred stock are entitled.

Because real estate investments are relatively illiquid, we may not be able to sell properties when appropriate.

Real estate investments are relatively illiquid and cannot always be sold quickly. Our freedom to sell properties is also restricted by REIT tax rules. Thus, we may not be able to change our portfolio promptly in response to changes in economic or other market conditions. Our ability to dispose of assets in the future will depend on prevailing economic and market conditions, including the cost and availability of financing. This could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

Competition could limit our ability to lease apartments or increase or maintain rents.

Our apartment properties compete for residents with other housing alternatives, including other rental apartments, condominiums and single-family homes that are available for rent, as well as new and existing condominiums and single-family homes for sale. Competitive residential housing in a particular area could adversely affect our ability to lease apartments and to increase or maintain rental rates. The current challenges in the credit and housing markets have increased housing inventory that competes with our apartment properties.

Our subsidiaries may be prohibited from making distributions and other payments to us.

All of our properties are owned, and all of our operations are conducted, by the Aimco Operating Partnership and our other subsidiaries. As a result, we depend on distributions and other payments from our subsidiaries in order to satisfy our financial obligations and make payments to our investors. The ability of our subsidiaries to make such distributions and other payments depends on their earnings and cash flows and may be subject to statutory or contractual limitations. As an equity investor in our subsidiaries, our right to receive assets upon their liquidation or reorganization will be effectively subordinated to the claims of their creditors. To the extent that we are recognized as a creditor of such subsidiaries, our claims may still be subordinate to any security interest in or other lien on their

assets and to any of their debt or other obligations that are senior to our claims.

Redevelopment and construction risks could affect our profitability.

We intend to continue to redevelop certain of our properties. These activities are subject to the following risks:

we may be unable to obtain, or experience delays in obtaining, necessary zoning, occupancy, or other required governmental or third party permits and authorizations, which could result in increased costs or the delay or abandonment of opportunities;

10

Table of Contents

we may incur costs that exceed our original estimates due to increased material, labor or other costs, such as litigation;

we may be unable to complete construction and lease up of a property on schedule, resulting in increased construction and financing costs and a decrease in expected rental revenues;

occupancy rates and rents at a property may fail to meet our expectations for a number of reasons, including changes in market and economic conditions beyond our control and the development by competitors of competing communities;

we may be unable to obtain financing with favorable terms, or at all, for the proposed development of a property, which may cause us to delay or abandon an opportunity;

we may abandon opportunities that we have already begun to explore for a number of reasons, including changes in local market conditions or increases in construction or financing costs, and, as a result, we may fail to recover expenses already incurred in exploring those opportunities;

we may incur liabilities to third parties during the redevelopment process, for example, in connection with resident lease terminations, or managing existing improvements on the site prior to resident lease terminations; and

loss of a key member of a project team could adversely affect our ability to deliver redevelopment projects on time and within our budget.

We are insured for certain risks, and the cost of insurance, increased claims activity or losses resulting from casualty events may affect our operating results and financial condition.

We are insured for a portion of our consolidated properties exposure to casualty losses resulting from fire, earthquake, hurricane, tornado, flood and other perils, which insurance is subject to deductibles and self-insurance retention. We recognize casualty losses or gains based on the net book value of the affected property and the amount of and any related insurance proceeds. In many instances, the actual cost to repair or replace the property may exceed its net book value and any insurance proceeds. We also insure certain unconsolidated properties for a portion of their exposure to such losses. With respect to our consolidated properties, we recognize the uninsured portion of losses as part of casualty losses in the periods in which they are incurred. In addition, we are self-insured for a portion of our exposure to third-party claims related to our employee health insurance plans, workers compensation coverage and general liability exposure. With respect to our insurance obligations to unconsolidated properties and our exposure to claims of third parties, we establish reserves at levels that reflect our known and estimated losses. The ultimate cost of losses and the impact of unforeseen events may vary materially from recorded reserves, and variances may adversely affect our operating results and financial condition. We purchase insurance (or reinsurance where we insure unconsolidated properties) to reduce our exposure to losses and limit our financial losses on large individual risks. The availability and cost of insurance are determined by market conditions outside our control. No assurance can be made that we will be able to obtain and maintain insurance at the same levels and on the same terms as we do today. If we are not able to obtain or maintain insurance in amounts we consider appropriate for our business, or if the cost of obtaining such insurance increases materially, we may have to retain a larger portion of the potential loss associated with our exposures to risks.

Natural disasters and severe weather may affect our operating results and financial condition.

Natural disasters and severe weather such as hurricanes may result in significant damage to our properties. The extent of our casualty losses and loss in operating income in connection with such events is a function of the severity of the event and the total amount of exposure in the affected area. When we have geographic concentration of exposures, a single catastrophe (such as an earthquake) or destructive weather event (such as a hurricane) affecting a region may have a significant negative effect on our financial condition and results of operations. We cannot accurately predict natural disasters or severe weather, or the number and type of such events that will affect us. As a result, our operating and financial results may vary significantly from one period to the next. Although we anticipate and plan for losses, there can be no assurance that our financial results will not be adversely affected by our exposure

11

Table of Contents

to losses arising from natural disasters or severe weather in the future that exceed our previous experience and assumptions.

We depend on our senior management.

Our success depends upon the retention of our senior management, including Terry Considine, our chief executive officer. We have a succession planning and talent development process that is designed to identify potential replacements and develop our team members to provide depth in the organization and a bench of talent on which to draw. However, there are no assurances that we would be able to find qualified replacements for the individuals who make up our senior management if their services were no longer available. The loss of services of one or more members of our senior management team could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. We do not currently maintain key-man life insurance for any of our employees.

If we are not successful in our acquisition of properties, our results of operations could be adversely affected.

The selective acquisition of properties is a component of our strategy. However, we may not be able to complete transactions successfully in the future. Although we seek to acquire properties when such acquisitions increase our net income, Funds From Operations or net asset value, such transactions may fail to perform in accordance with our expectations. In particular, following acquisition, the value and operational performance of a property may be diminished if obsolescence or neighborhood changes occur before we are able to redevelop or sell the property.

We may be subject to litigation associated with partnership transactions that could increase our expenses and prevent completion of beneficial transactions.

We have engaged in, and intend to continue to engage in, the selective acquisition of interests in partnerships controlled by us that own apartment properties. In some cases, we have acquired the general partner of a partnership and then made an offer to acquire the limited partners interests in the partnership. In these transactions, we may be subject to litigation based on claims that we, as the general partner, have breached our fiduciary duty to our limited partners or that the transaction violates the relevant partnership agreement or state law. Although we intend to comply with our fiduciary obligations and the relevant partnership agreements, we may incur additional costs in connection with the defense or settlement of this type of litigation. In some cases, this type of litigation may adversely affect our desire to proceed with, or our ability to complete, a particular transaction. Any litigation of this type could also have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

Government housing regulations may limit the opportunities at some of our properties and failure to comply with resident qualification requirements may result in financial penalties and/or loss of benefits, such as rental revenues paid by government agencies.

We own consolidated and unconsolidated equity interests in certain properties and manage other properties that benefit from governmental programs intended to provide housing to people with low or moderate incomes. These programs, which are usually administered by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, or HUD, or state housing finance agencies, typically provide mortgage insurance, favorable financing terms, tax-credit equity, or rental assistance payments to the property owners. As a condition of the receipt of assistance under these programs, the properties must comply with various requirements, which typically limit rents to pre-approved amounts and impose restrictions on resident incomes. Failure to comply with these requirements and restrictions may result in financial penalties or loss of benefits. We usually need to obtain the approval of HUD in order to acquire or dispose of a significant interest in or manage a HUD-assisted property. We may not always receive such approval.

During 2009, 2008 and 2007, for continuing operations, our rental revenues include \$140.3 million, \$132.3 million and \$121.4 million, respectively, of subsidies from government agencies. Any loss of such benefits would adversely affect our liquidity and results of operations.

12

Table of Contents

Laws benefiting disabled persons may result in our incurrence of unanticipated expenses.

Under the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, or ADA, all places intended to be used by the public are required to meet certain Federal requirements related to access and use by disabled persons. Likewise, the Fair Housing Amendments Act of 1988, or FHAA, requires apartment properties first occupied after March 13, 1990, to be accessible to the handicapped. These and other Federal, state and local laws may require modifications to our properties, or affect renovations of the properties. Noncompliance with these laws could result in the imposition of fines or an award of damages to private litigants and also could result in an order to correct any non-complying feature, which could result in substantial capital expenditures. Although we believe that our properties are substantially in compliance with present requirements, we may incur unanticipated expenses to comply with the ADA and the FHAA in connection with the ongoing operation or redevelopment of our properties.

Potential liability or other expenditures associated with potential environmental contamination may be costly.

Various Federal, state and local laws subject property owners or operators to liability for management, and the costs of removal or remediation, of certain hazardous substances present on a property, including lead-based paint. Such laws often impose liability without regard to whether the owner or operator knew of, or was responsible for, the release or presence of the hazardous substances. The presence of, or the failure to manage or remedy properly, hazardous substances may adversely affect occupancy at affected apartment communities and the ability to sell or finance affected properties. In addition to the costs associated with investigation and remediation actions brought by government agencies, and potential fines or penalties imposed by such agencies in connection therewith, the presence of hazardous substances on a property could result in claims by private plaintiffs for personal injury, disease, disability or other infirmities. Various laws also impose liability for the cost of removal, remediation or disposal of hazardous substances through a licensed disposal or treatment facility. Anyone who arranges for the disposal or treatment of hazardous substances is potentially liable under such laws. These laws often impose liability whether or not the person arranging for the disposal ever owned or operated the disposal facility. In connection with the ownership, operation and management of properties, we could potentially be liable for environmental liabilities or costs associated with our properties or properties we acquire or manage in the future.

Moisture infiltration and resulting mold remediation may be costly.

We have been named as a defendant in lawsuits that have alleged personal injury and property damage as a result of the presence of mold. In addition, we are aware of lawsuits against owners and managers of multifamily properties asserting claims of personal injury and property damage caused by the presence of mold, some of which have resulted in substantial monetary judgments or settlements. We have only limited insurance coverage for property damage loss claims arising from the presence of mold and for personal injury claims related to mold exposure. We have implemented policies, procedures, third-party audits and training, and include a detailed moisture intrusion and mold assessment during acquisition due diligence. We believe these measures will prevent or eliminate mold exposure from our properties and will minimize the effects that mold may have on our residents. To date, we have not incurred any material costs or liabilities relating to claims of mold exposure or to abate mold conditions. Because the law regarding mold is unsettled and subject to change, we can make no assurance that liabilities resulting from the presence of or exposure to mold will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

We may fail to qualify as a REIT.

If we fail to qualify as a REIT, we will not be allowed a deduction for dividends paid to our stockholders in computing our taxable income, and we will be subject to Federal income tax at regular corporate rates, including any applicable alternative minimum tax. This would substantially reduce our funds available for payment to our investors. Unless

entitled to relief under certain provisions of the Code, we also would be disqualified from taxation as a REIT for the four taxable years following the year during which we ceased to qualify as a REIT. In addition, our failure to qualify as a REIT would place us in default under our primary credit facilities.

13

Table of Contents

We believe that we operate, and have always operated, in a manner that enables us to meet the requirements for qualification as a REIT for Federal income tax purposes. Our continued qualification as a REIT will depend on our satisfaction of certain asset, income, investment, organizational, distribution, stockholder ownership and other requirements on a continuing basis. Our ability to satisfy the asset tests depends upon our analysis of the fair market values of our assets, some of which are not susceptible to a precise determination, and for which we will not obtain independent appraisals. Our compliance with the REIT income and quarterly asset requirements also depends upon our ability to manage successfully the composition of our income and assets on an ongoing basis. Moreover, the proper classification of an instrument as debt or equity for Federal income tax purposes may be uncertain in some circumstances, which could affect the application of the REIT qualification requirements. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that the Internal Revenue Service, or the IRS, will not contend that our interests in subsidiaries or other issuers constitutes a violation of the REIT requirements. Moreover, future economic, market, legal, tax or other considerations may cause us to fail to qualify as a REIT, or our Board of Directors may determine to revoke our REIT status.

REIT distribution requirements limit our available cash.

As a REIT, we are subject to annual distribution requirements, which generally limit the amount of cash we retain for other business purposes, including amounts to fund our growth. We generally must distribute annually at least 90% of our net REIT taxable income, excluding any net capital gain, in order for our distributed earnings not to be subject to corporate income tax. We intend to make distributions to our stockholders to comply with the requirements of the Code. However, differences in timing between the recognition of taxable income and the actual receipt of cash could require us to sell assets or borrow funds on a short-term or long-term basis to meet the 90% distribution requirement of the Code.

We have in the past chosen, and may in the future choose, to pay dividends in our own stock, in which case you may be required to pay income taxes in excess of the cash dividends you receive.

We have in the past distributed, and may in the future distribute, taxable dividends that are payable in cash and shares of our Common Stock. Stockholders subject to the payment of income tax receiving such dividends will be required to include the full amount of the dividend as taxable income to the extent of our current and accumulated earnings and profits for U.S. Federal income tax purposes. As a result, a U.S. stockholder may be required to pay income taxes with respect to such dividends in excess of the cash dividends received. If a U.S. stockholder sells the stock it receives as a dividend in order to pay this tax, the sales proceeds may be less than the amount included in income with respect to the dividend, depending on the market price of our stock at the time of the sale. Furthermore, with respect to non-U.S. stockholders, we may be required to withhold U.S. tax with respect to such dividends, including in respect of all or a portion of such dividend that is payable in stock. In addition, if a significant number of our stockholders determine to sell shares of our Common Stock in order to pay taxes owed on dividends, it may put downward pressure on the trading price of our Common Stock.

No assurance can be given that the IRS will not impose additional requirements in the future with respect to taxable cash/stock dividends, including on a retroactive basis, or assert that the requirements for such taxable cash/stock dividends have not been met.

Limits on ownership of shares in our charter may result in the loss of economic and voting rights by purchasers that violate those limits.

Our charter limits ownership of our Common Stock by any single stockholder (applying certain beneficial ownership rules under the Federal securities laws) to 8.7% of our outstanding shares of Common Stock, or 15% in the case of certain pension trusts, registered investment companies and Mr. Considine. Our charter also limits ownership of our

Common Stock and preferred stock by any single stockholder to 8.7% of the value of the outstanding Common Stock and preferred stock, or 15% in the case of certain pension trusts, registered investment companies and Mr. Considine. The charter also prohibits anyone from buying shares of our capital stock if the purchase would result in us losing our REIT status. This could happen if a transaction results in fewer than 100 persons owning all of our shares of capital stock or results in five or fewer persons (applying certain attribution

14

Table of Contents

rules of the Code) owning 50% or more of the value of all of our shares of capital stock. If anyone acquires shares in excess of the ownership limit or in violation of the ownership requirements of the Code for REITs:

the transfer will be considered null and void:

we will not reflect the transaction on our books:

we may institute legal action to enjoin the transaction;

we may demand repayment of any dividends received by the affected person on those shares;

we may redeem the shares;

the affected person will not have any voting rights for those shares; and

the shares (and all voting and dividend rights of the shares) will be held in trust for the benefit of one or more charitable organizations designated by us.

We may purchase the shares of capital stock held in trust at a price equal to the lesser of the price paid by the transferee of the shares or the then current market price. If the trust transfers any of the shares of capital stock, the affected person will receive the lesser of the price paid for the shares or the then current market price. An individual who acquires shares of capital stock that violate the above rules bears the risk that the individual:

may lose control over the power to dispose of such shares;

may not recognize profit from the sale of such shares if the market price of the shares increases;

may be required to recognize a loss from the sale of such shares if the market price decreases; and

may be required to repay to us any distributions received from us as a result of his or her ownership of the shares.

Our charter may limit the ability of a third party to acquire control of us.

The 8.7% ownership limit discussed above may have the effect of delaying or precluding acquisition of control of us by a third party without the consent of our Board of Directors. Our charter authorizes our Board of Directors to issue up to 510,587,500 shares of capital stock. As of December 31, 2009, 426,157,736 shares were classified as Common Stock, of which 116,479,791 were outstanding, and 84,429,764 shares were classified as preferred stock, of which 24,950,134 were outstanding. Under our charter, our Board of Directors has the authority to classify and reclassify any of our unissued shares of capital stock into shares of capital stock with such preferences, conversion or other rights, voting powers restrictions, limitations as to dividends, qualifications or terms or conditions of redemptions as our Board of Directors may determine. The authorization and issuance of a new class of capital stock could have the effect of delaying or preventing someone from taking control of us, even if a change in control were in our stockholders best interests.

The Maryland General Corporation Law may limit the ability of a third party to acquire control of us.

As a Maryland corporation, we are subject to various Maryland laws that may have the effect of discouraging offers to acquire us and increasing the difficulty of consummating any such offers, even if an acquisition would be in our

stockholders best interests. The Maryland General Corporation Law, specifically the Maryland Business Combination Act, restricts mergers and other business combination transactions between us and any person who acquires, directly or indirectly, beneficial ownership of shares of our stock representing 10% or more of the voting power without our Board of Directors prior approval. Any such business combination transaction could not be completed until five years after the person acquired such voting power, and generally only with the approval of stockholders representing 80% of all votes entitled to be cast and 662/3% of the votes entitled to be cast, excluding the interested stockholder, or upon payment of a fair price. The Maryland General Corporation Law, specifically the Maryland Control Share Acquisition Act, provides generally that a person who acquires shares of our capital stock representing 10% or more of the voting power in electing directors will have no voting rights unless approved by a vote of two-thirds of the shares eligible to vote. Additionally, the Maryland General Corporation Law provides, among other things, that the board of directors has broad discretion in adopting stockholders rights plans and has

15

Table of Contents

the sole power to fix the record date, time and place for special meetings of the stockholders. To date, we have not adopted a shareholders rights plan. In addition, the Maryland General Corporation Law provides that corporations that:

have at least three directors who are not officers or employees of the entity or related to an acquiring person; and

has a class of equity securities registered under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended,

may elect in their charter or bylaws or by resolution of the board of directors to be subject to all or part of a special subtitle that provides that:

the corporation will have a staggered board of directors;

any director may be removed only for cause and by the vote of two-thirds of the votes entitled to be cast in the election of directors generally, even if a lesser proportion is provided in the charter or bylaws;

the number of directors may only be set by the board of directors, even if the procedure is contrary to the charter or bylaws;

vacancies may only be filled by the remaining directors, even if the procedure is contrary to the charter or bylaws; and

the secretary of the corporation may call a special meeting of stockholders at the request of stockholders only on the written request of the stockholders entitled to cast at least a majority of all the votes entitled to be cast at the meeting, even if the procedure is contrary to the charter or bylaws.

To date, we have not made any of the elections described above.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2. Properties

Our portfolio includes garden style, mid-rise and high-rise properties located in 44 states, the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico. Our geographic allocation strategy focuses on target markets which are grouped by region below. The following table sets forth information on all of our properties as of December 31, 2009 and 2008:

	2009		2008			
	Number		Number Numbe		Number	
	of	Number	of	Number		
	Properties	of Units	Properties	of Units		
Conventional:						
Pacific	37	10,274	38	10,504		
Northeast	62	18,270	67	21,221		
Sunbelt	77	23,546	106	31,481		

Edgar Filing: APARTMENT INVESTMENT & MANAGEMENT CO - Form 10-K

Chicago	15	4,633	19	5,555
Total target markets Opportunistic and other markets	191 52	56,723 17,307	230 81	68,761 25,735
Total conventional owned and managed	243	74,030	311	94,496
Affordable owned and managed Property management	260 22	29,650 2,095	288 34	32,836 3,252
Asset management	345	29,879	359	32,223
Total	870	135,654	992	162,807

At December 31, 2009, we owned an equity interest in and consolidated 426 properties containing 95,202 apartment units, which we refer to as consolidated properties. These consolidated properties contain, on average,

16

Table of Contents

223 apartment units, with the largest property containing 2,113 apartment units. These properties offer residents a range of amenities, including swimming pools, clubhouses, spas, fitness centers and tennis courts. Many of the apartment units offer features such as vaulted ceilings, fireplaces, washer and dryer hook-ups, cable television, balconies and patios. Additional information on our consolidated properties is contained in Schedule III Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. At December 31, 2009, we held an equity interest in and did not consolidate 77 properties containing 8,478 apartment units, which we refer to as unconsolidated properties. In addition, we provided property management services for 22 properties containing 2,095 apartment units, and asset management services for 345 properties containing 29,879 apartment units. In certain cases, we may indirectly own generally less than one percent of the economic interest in such properties through a partnership syndication or other fund.

Substantially all of our consolidated properties are encumbered by property debt. At December 31, 2009, our consolidated properties classified as held for use in our consolidated balance sheet were encumbered by aggregate property debt totaling \$5,547.3 million having an aggregate weighted average interest rate of 5.50%. Such property debt was collateralized by 412 properties with a combined net book value of \$6,867.8 million. Included in the 412 properties, we had a total of 31 property loans on 15 properties, with an aggregate principal balance outstanding of \$366.1 million, that were each collateralized by property and cross-collateralized with certain (but not all) other property loans within this group of property loans (see Note 6 of the consolidated financial statements in Item 8 for additional information about our property debt).

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

None.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

No matters were submitted to a vote of security holders during the fourth quarter of 2009.

17

Table of Contents

PART II

Item 5. Market for the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Our Common Stock has been listed and traded on the NYSE under the symbol AIV since July 22, 1994. The following table sets forth the quarterly high and low sales prices of our Common Stock, as reported on the NYSE, and the dividends declared in the periods indicated:

Quarter Ended	High	Low	Dividends Declared (per share)
<u>2009</u>			
December 31, 2009	\$ 17.09	\$ 11.80	\$ 0.20
September 30, 2009	15.91	7.36	0.10
June 30, 2009	11.10	5.18	0.10
March 31, 2009	12.89	4.57	0.00
<u>2008</u>			
December 31, 2008(1)	\$ 43.67	\$ 7.01	\$ 3.88
September 30, 2008(1)	42.28	29.25	3.00
June 30, 2008	41.24	33.33	0.60
March 31, 2008	41.11	29.91	0.00

(1) During 2008, our Board of Directors declared special dividends which were paid part in cash and part in shares of Common Stock as further discussed in Note 11 to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8. Our Board of Directors declared the dividends to address taxable gains from 2008 property sales.

Our Board of Directors determines and declares our dividends. In making a dividend determination, the Board of Directors considers a variety of factors, including: REIT distribution requirements; current market conditions; liquidity needs and other uses of cash, such as for deleveraging and accretive investment activities. The Board of Directors may adjust the dividend amount or the frequency with which the dividend is paid based on then prevailing facts and circumstances.

On February 24, 2010, the closing price of our Common Stock was \$16.73 per share, as reported on the NYSE, and there were 117,140,672 shares of Common Stock outstanding, held by 3,270 stockholders of record. The number of holders does not include individuals or entities who beneficially own shares but whose shares are held of record by a broker or clearing agency, but does include each such broker or clearing agency as one recordholder.

As a REIT, we are required to distribute annually to holders of common stock at least 90% of our real estate investment trust taxable income, which, as defined by the Code and United States Department of Treasury regulations, is generally equivalent to net taxable ordinary income.

From time to time, we may issue shares of Common Stock in exchange for common and preferred OP Units tendered to the Aimco Operating Partnership for redemption in accordance with the terms and provisions of the agreement of

limited partnership of the Aimco Operating Partnership. Such shares are issued based on an exchange ratio of one share for each common OP Unit or the applicable conversion ratio for preferred OP Units. The shares are generally issued in exchange for OP Units in private transactions exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, pursuant to Section 4(2) thereof. During the three and twelve months ended December 31, 2009, approximately 379,400 and 518,800 shares of Common Stock were issued in exchange for common OP Units, respectively. During the three and twelve months ended December 31, 2009, no shares of Common Stock were issued in exchange for preferred OP Units.

Our Board of Directors has, from time to time, authorized us to repurchase shares of our outstanding capital stock. There were no repurchases of our equity securities during the year ended December 31, 2009. As of December 31, 2009, we were authorized to repurchase approximately 19.3 million additional shares. This authorization has no expiration date. These repurchases may be made from time to time in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions.

18

Table of Contents

Dividend Payments

Our Credit Agreement includes customary covenants, including a restriction on dividends and other restricted payments, but permits dividends during any four consecutive fiscal quarters in an aggregate amount of up to 95% of our Funds From Operations for such period, subject to certain non-cash adjustments, or such amount as may be necessary to maintain our REIT status.

Performance Graph

The following graph compares cumulative total returns for our Common Stock, the Standard & Poor s 500 Total Return Index (the S&P 500) and the MSCI US REIT Index. The MSCI US REIT Index is published by Morgan Stanley Capital International Inc., a provider of equity indices. The indices are weighted for all companies that fit the definitional criteria of the particular index and are calculated to exclude companies as they are acquired and add them to the index calculation as they become publicly traded companies. All companies of the definitional criteria in existence at the point in time presented are included in the index calculations. The graph assumes the investment of \$100 in our Common Stock and in each index on December 31, 2004, and that all dividends paid have been reinvested. The historical information set forth below is not necessarily indicative of future performance.

Total Return Performance

	For the Years Ended December 31,									
Index	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009				
Aimco	100.00	106.29	164.95	113.71	59.71	85.29				
MSCI US REIT	100.00	112.13	152.41	126.78	78.64	101.14				
S&P 500	100.00	104.91	121.48	128.16	80.74	102.11				

Source: (other than with respect to S&P 500) SNL Financial LC, Charlottesville, VA ©2010

The Performance Graph will not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing by the Company under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, except to the extent that the Company specifically incorporates the same by reference.

The information required by Item 5 with respect to securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans is incorporated by reference in Part III, Item 12 of this Annual Report.

19

Table of Contents

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The following selected financial data is based on our audited historical financial statements. This information should be read in conjunction with such financial statements, including the notes thereto, and Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included herein or in previous filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

		2009 (1	For the Years Ended December 31, 2009 2008(1)(2) 2007(2) 2006(2) (Dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)							2005(2)
OPERATING DATA:										
Total revenues	\$	1,195,763	\$	1,243,170	\$	1,174,457	\$	1,084,552	\$	894,060
Total operating expenses(3)		(1,085,250)		(1,185,071)		(989,658)		(909,784)		(751,516)
Operating income(3)		110,513		58,099		184,799		174,768		142,544
Loss from continuing										
operations(3)		(197,037)		(117,878)		(46,109)		(42,924)		(35,098)
Income from discontinued										
operations, net(4)		152,237		744,880		171,615		329,947		160,450
Net (loss) income		(44,800)		627,002		125,506		287,022		125,352
Net income attributable to										
noncontrolling interests		(19,474)		(214,995)		(95,595)		(110,234)		(54,370)
Net income attributable to										
preferred stockholders		(50,566)		(53,708)		(66,016)		(81,132)		(87,948)
Net (loss) income attributable										
to Aimco common										
stockholders		(114,840)		351,314		(40,586)		93,710		(21,223)
Earnings (loss) per common										
share basic and diluted(5):										
Loss from continuing										
operations attributable to										
Aimco common stockholders	\$	(1.75)	\$	(2.10)	\$	(1.41)	\$	(1.49)	\$	(1.34)
Net (loss) income attributable										
to Aimco common										
stockholders	\$	(1.00)	\$	3.96	\$	(0.43)	\$	0.98	\$	(0.23)
BALANCE SHEET										
INFORMATION:										
Real estate, net of accumulated	Φ.	6.060.061	Φ.	7 105 607	Φ.	6 001 555	Φ.	6.426.054	ф	5 500 010
depreciation	\$	6,962,361	\$	7,125,637	\$	6,901,575	\$	6,436,854	\$	5,708,319
Total assets		7,906,468		9,441,870		10,617,681		10,292,587		10,019,160
Total indebtedness		5,690,310		6,069,804		5,683,884		4,969,185		4,283,278
Total equity		1,534,703		1,646,749		2,048,546		2,650,182		3,060,969
OTHER INFORMATION:										
Dividends declared per	ф	0.40	¢	7 10	¢	4 21	¢	2.40	Φ	2.00
common share	\$	0.40	\$	7.48	\$	4.31	\$	2.40	\$	3.00
Total consolidated properties		426		514		657		703		619
(end of period)		95,202		117,719				162,432		158,548
		95,202		117,/19		153,758		102,432		130,340

Total consolidated apartment					
units (end of period)					
Total unconsolidated					
properties (end of period)	77	85	94	102	264
Total unconsolidated					
apartment units (end of period)	8,478	9,613	10,878	11,791	35,269
Units managed (end of					
period)(6)	31,974	35,475	38,404	42,190	46,667

- (1) The consolidated statement of income for the year ended December 31, 2008, has been restated to reclassify impairment losses on real estate development assets within operating income. The reclassification reduced operating income by \$91.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, and had no effect on the reported amounts of loss from continuing operations, net income, net income available to Aimco common stockholders or earnings per share. Additionally, the reclassification had no effect on the consolidated balance sheets, statements of equity or statements of cash flows. See Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8.
- (2) Certain reclassifications have been made to conform to the current financial statement presentation, including retroactive adjustments related to our January 1, 2009 adoption of the provisions of Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 141(R), or SFAS 141(R), FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 160, or SFAS 160, and FASB Staff Position No. EITF 03-6-1, or FSP EITF 03-6-1 (see Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8) and to reflect additional properties sold during 2009 or classified as held for sale as of December 31, 2009, as discontinued operations (see Note 13 to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8).
- (3) Total operating expenses, operating income and loss from continuing operations for the year ended December 31, 2008, include a \$91.1 million pre-tax provision for impairment losses on real estate development assets, which is discussed further in *Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations* in Item 7.

20

Table of Contents

- (4) Income from discontinued operations for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, 2007, 2006 and 2005 includes \$221.8 million, \$800.3 million, \$117.6 million, \$337.3 million and \$162.7 million in gains on disposition of real estate, respectively. Income from discontinued operations for 2009, 2008 and 2007 is discussed further in *Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations* in Item 7.
- (5) Weighted average common shares, common share equivalents, dilutive preferred securities and earnings per share amounts for each of the periods presented above have been adjusted for our application during the fourth quarter 2009 of a change in accounting, which requires the shares issued in our special dividends paid in 2008 and January 2009 to be treated as issued and outstanding on the dividend payment dates for basic purposes and as potential share equivalents for the periods between the ex-dividend dates and payment dates for diluted purposes, rather than treating the shares as issued and outstanding as of the beginning of the earliest period presented for both basic and diluted purposes. See Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8 for further discussion of this accounting change.
- (6) Units managed represents units in properties for which we provide asset management services only, although in certain cases we may indirectly own generally less than one percent of the economic interest in such properties through a partnership syndication or other fund.

21

Table of Contents

Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Executive Overview

We are a self-administered and self-managed real estate investment trust, or REIT, engaged in the acquisition, ownership, management and redevelopment of apartment properties. Our property operations are characterized by diversification of product, location and price point. We primarily invest in the 20 largest U.S. markets, as measured by total market capitalization, which is the total market value of institutional-grade apartment properties in a particular market. We define these markets as target markets and they possess the following characteristics: a high concentration of population and apartment units; geographic and employment diversification; and historically strong returns with reduced volatility as part of a diversified portfolio. We are one of the largest owners and operators of apartment properties in the United States. As of December 31, 2009, we owned or managed 870 apartment properties containing 135,654 units located in 44 states, the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico. Our primary sources of income and cash are rents associated with apartment leases.

The key financial indicators that we use in managing our business and in evaluating our financial condition and operating performance are: NAV; Funds From Operations, or FFO; Adjusted FFO, or AFFO, which is FFO less spending for Capital Replacements; same store property operating results; net operating income; Free Cash Flow, which is net operating income less spending for Capital Replacements; financial coverage ratios; and leverage as shown on our balance sheet. FFO and Capital Replacements are defined and further described in the sections captioned Funds From Operations and Capital Additions below. The key macro-economic factors and non-financial indicators that affect our financial condition and operating performance are: household formations; rates of job growth; single-family and multifamily housing starts; interest rates; and availability and cost of financing.

Because our operating results depend primarily on income from our properties, the supply and demand for apartments influences our operating results. Additionally, the level of expenses required to operate and maintain our properties and the pace and price at which we redevelop, acquire and dispose of our apartment properties affect our operating results. Our cost of capital is affected by the conditions in the capital and credit markets and the terms that we negotiate for our equity and debt financings.

During the challenging financial and economic environment in 2009, we focused on: serving and retaining residents; continually improving our portfolio; reducing leverage and financial risk; and simplifying our business model.

We are focused on owning and operating B/B+ quality apartments concentrated in our target markets. We intend to upgrade the quality of our portfolio through the sale of approximately 5% to 10% of our portfolio annually, with the proceeds generally used to increase our allocation of capital to well located properties within our target markets through capital investments, redevelopment or acquisitions.

The following discussion and analysis of the results of our operations and financial condition should be read in conjunction with the accompanying consolidated financial statements in Item 8.

Results of Operations

Overview

2009 compared to 2008

We reported net loss attributable to Aimco of \$64.3 million and net loss attributable to Aimco common stockholders of \$114.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, compared to net income attributable to Aimco of

\$412.0 million and net income attributable to Aimco common stockholders of \$351.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, decreases of \$476.3 million and \$466.1 million, respectively. These decreases were principally due to the following items, all of which are discussed in further detail below:

a decrease in income from discontinued operations, primarily related to our sale of fewer assets in 2009 and the recognition of lower gains on sales as compared to 2008;

a decrease in gain on dispositions of unconsolidated real estate and other, primarily due to a large gain on the sale of an interest in an unconsolidated real estate partnership in 2008;

22

Table of Contents

an increase in depreciation and amortization expense, primarily related to completed redevelopments and capital additions placed in service for partial periods during 2008 or 2009; and

a decrease in asset management and tax credit revenues, primarily due to a reduction in promote income, which is income earned in connection with the disposition of properties owned by our consolidated joint ventures.

The effects of these items on our operating results were partially offset by:

a decrease in earnings allocable to noncontrolling interests, primarily due to a decrease in the noncontrolling interests—share of the decrease in gains on sales discussed above;

a decrease in general and administrative expenses, primarily related to reductions in personnel and related expenses from our organizational restructuring activities during 2008 and 2009; and

impairment losses on real estate development assets in 2008, for which no similar impairments were recognized in 2009.

2008 compared to 2007

We reported net income attributable to Aimco of \$412.0 million and net income attributable to Aimco common stockholders of \$351.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, compared to net income attributable to Aimco of \$29.9 million and net loss attributable to Aimco common stockholders of \$40.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2007, increases of \$382.1 million and \$391.9 million, respectively. These increases were principally due to the following items, all of which are discussed in further detail below:

an increase in income from discontinued operations, primarily related to an increase in the number of assets sold during 2008 and our recognition of higher gains on sales as compared to 2007;

an increase in gain on dispositions of unconsolidated real estate and other, primarily due to a large gain on the sale of an interest in an unconsolidated real estate partnership in 2008;

an increase in net operating income associated with property operations, reflecting improved operations of our same store properties and other properties; and

an increase in asset management and tax credit revenues, primarily due to an increase in promote income, which is income earned in connection with the disposition of properties owned by our consolidated joint ventures.

The effects of these items on our operating results were partially offset by:

impairment losses on real estate development assets in 2008, for which no similar impairments were recognized in 2007;

an increase in earnings allocable to noncontrolling interests, primarily due to an increase in the noncontrolling interests—share of the increase in gains on sales discussed above;

an increase in depreciation and amortization expense, primarily related to completed redevelopments placed in service for partial periods during 2007 or 2008;

restructuring costs recognized during the fourth quarter of 2008; and

an increase in provisions for losses on notes receivable, primarily due to the impairment during 2008 of our interest in Casden Properties LLC.

The following paragraphs discuss these and other items affecting the results of our operations in more detail.

Business Segment Operating Results

We have two reportable segments: real estate (owning, operating and redeveloping apartments) and investment management (portfolio management and asset management). Our chief operating decision maker uses various generally accepted industry financial measures to assess the performance and financial condition of the business,

23

Table of Contents

including: NAV; FFO; AFFO; same store property operating results; net operating income; Free Cash Flow; financial coverage ratios; and leverage as shown on our balance sheet. Our chief operating decision maker emphasizes net operating income as a key measurement of segment profit or loss. Segment net operating income is generally defined as segment revenues less direct segment operating expenses.

Real Estate Segment

Our real estate segment involves the ownership and operation of properties that generate rental and other property-related income through the leasing of apartment units. Our real estate segment s net operating income also includes income from property management services performed for unconsolidated partnerships and unrelated parties.

The following table summarizes our real estate segment s net operating income for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,						
	2009		2008			2007	
Real estate segment revenues:							
Rental and other property revenues	\$	1,140,828	\$	1,137,995	\$	1,093,779	
Property management revenues, primarily from affiliates		5,082		6,345		6,923	
		1,145,910		1,144,340		1,100,702	
Real estate segment expenses:							
Property operating expenses		521,161		526,238		503,890	
Property management expenses		2,869		5,385		6,678	
		524,030		531,623		510,568	
Real estate segment net operating income	\$	621,880	\$	612,717	\$	590,134	

For the year ended December 31, 2009, compared to the year ended December 31, 2008, real estate segment net operating income increased \$9.2 million, or 1.5%. This increase was due to an increase in real estate segment revenues of \$1.6 million, or 0.1% and a decrease in real estate segment expenses of \$7.6 million, or 1.4%.

The increase in revenues from our real estate segment during the year ended December 31, 2009, was primarily attributed to an increase of \$10.0 million in revenues related to our conventional redevelopment properties based on more units in service at these properties in 2009, \$7.5 million in revenues related to our affordable properties, primarily due to higher average rents partially offset by lower physical occupancy during 2009, and \$2.3 million of revenues related to properties acquired during the latter half of 2008.

These increases were partially offset by a \$14.8 million, or 2.0%, decrease in revenues from our conventional same store properties, due to a decrease of 50 basis points in average physical occupancy and lower average rent (approximately \$23 per unit). Conventional same store property revenues in our target markets, which represented approximately 78% of our total conventional same store revenues, decreased by 2.7% due to decreases in average physical occupancy (80 basis points) and average rent (approximately \$31 per unit). The decrease in revenues associated with these target markets were primarily attributed to revenue decreases of 4.9% in our Pacific markets, attributed to 140 basis points in lower occupancy and \$73 per unit in lower rents, and 3.3% in our Sunbelt market,

attributed to 40 basis points in lower occupancy and \$35 per unit in lower rents. Conventional same store revenues related to our other markets decreased by 1.7%, due to 130 basis points in lower occupancy and \$14 per unit in lower rents.

For the year ended December 31, 2009, compared to the year ended December 31, 2008, the decrease in our real estate segment expenses was primarily attributed to property management expenses. Property management expenses related to our consolidated properties, which are shown in the table above as a component of property operating expenses, decreased by \$8.2 million, and property management expenses related to our unconsolidated properties decreased by \$2.5 million, both due primarily to reductions in personnel and related costs resulting from our organizational restructurings. These decreases in our real estate segment expenses were partially offset by

24

Table of Contents

increases of \$0.6 million related to our conventional same store properties, primarily due to increases in employee compensation, insurance, repair and maintenance, and real estate tax expenses, offset by decreases in administrative and marketing expenses, \$0.6 million related to our conventional redevelopment properties, primarily due to more units placed in service, \$0.5 million related to our affordable properties, primarily due to properties that were newly consolidated in 2008 and \$0.8 million related to properties acquired during the latter half of 2008.

For the year ended December 31, 2008, compared to the year ended December 31, 2007, real estate segment net operating income increased \$22.6 million, or 3.8%. This increase was due to an increase in real estate segment revenues of \$43.6 million, or 4.0%, offset by an increase in real estate segment expenses of \$21.1 million, or 4.1%.

The increase in revenues from our real estate segment during the year ended December 31, 2008, was primarily attributed to an increase of \$19.8 million in revenues from our conventional same store properties, due to an increase of 80 basis points in average physical occupancy and higher average rent (approximately \$18 per unit), \$13.0 million in revenues related to our affordable properties, primarily due to newly consolidated properties, and \$8.8 million in revenues related to our conventional redevelopment properties based on more units in service and higher rental rates.

For the year ended December 31, 2008, compared to the year ended December 31, 2007, the increase in expense was primarily attributed to increases of \$9.3 million related to our affordable properties, primarily due to properties that were newly consolidated, \$5.2 million related to our conventional redevelopment properties, primarily due to more units placed in service, \$3.1 million of property management expenses related to consolidated properties, which are shown in the table above as a component of property operating expenses, and \$0.2 million related to our conventional same store properties, primarily due to increases in utilities and real estate taxes, offset by decreases in employee compensation, repairs and maintenance, and turnover expenses. These increases in property expenses were in addition to an increase of \$4.2 million in casualty losses during 2008, primarily related to properties damaged by Tropical Storm Fay and Hurricane Ike.

Investment Management Segment

Our investment management segment includes activities and services related to our owned portfolio of properties as well as services provided to affiliated partnerships. Activities and services that fall within investment management include portfolio strategy, capital allocation, joint ventures, tax credit syndication, acquisitions, dispositions and other transaction activities. Within our owned portfolio, we refer to these activities as Portfolio Management, and their benefit is seen in property operating results and in investment gains. For affiliated partnerships, we refer to these activities as asset management, for which we are separately compensated through fees paid by third party investors. The expenses of this segment consist primarily of the costs of departments that perform these activities. These activities are conducted in part by our taxable subsidiaries, and the related net operating income may be subject to income taxes.

Asset management revenue includes certain fees that were earned in a prior period, but not recognized at that time because collectibility was not reasonably assured. Those fees may be recognized in a subsequent period upon occurrence of a transaction or a high level of the probability of occurrence of a transaction, or improvement in operations that generates sufficient cash to pay the fees.

The following table summarizes the net operating income from our investment management segment for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 (in thousands):

Year Ended December 31, 2009 2008 2007

Asset management and tax credit revenues	\$ 52,193	\$ 101,225	\$ 73,755
Investment management expenses	15,779	24,784	20,507
Investment segment net operating income	\$ 36,414	\$ 76,441	\$ 53,248

For the year ended December 31, 2009, compared to the year ended December 31, 2008, net operating income from investment management decreased \$40.0 million, or 52.4%. This decrease is primarily attributable to a \$42.8 million decrease in promote income, which is income earned in connection with the disposition of properties

25

Table of Contents

owned by our consolidated joint ventures, due to fewer related sales in 2009 and a \$7.6 million decrease in other general partner transactional fees, partially offset by a \$9.0 million decrease in investment management expenses, primarily due to reductions in personnel and related costs from our organizational restructurings and a reduction in transaction costs, and a \$3.9 million increase in revenues associated with our affordable housing tax credit syndication business, including syndication fees and other revenue earned in connection with these arrangements.

For the year ended December 31, 2008, compared to the year ended December 31, 2007, net operating income from investment management increased \$23.2 million, or 43.6%. This increase is primarily attributable to a \$30.7 million increase in promote income, which is income earned in connection with the disposition of properties owned by our consolidated joint ventures, and a \$9.2 million increase in other general partner transactional fees. These increases are offset by a decrease of \$7.4 million in asset management fees, a decrease of \$5.0 million in revenues associated with our affordable housing tax credit syndication business, including syndication fees and other revenue earned in connection with these arrangements, and an increase of \$4.3 million in investment management expenses, inclusive of \$3.5 million in deferred acquisition costs.

Other Operating Expenses (Income)

Depreciation and Amortization

For the year ended December 31, 2009, compared to the year ended December 31, 2008, depreciation and amortization increased \$51.4 million, or 13.1%. This increase primarily consists of depreciation related to properties acquired during the latter part of 2008, completed redevelopments and other capital projects recently placed in service.

For the year ended December 31, 2008, compared to the year ended December 31, 2007, depreciation and amortization increased \$45.5 million, or 13.1%. This increase reflects depreciation of \$65.3 million for newly acquired properties, completed redevelopments and other capital projects recently placed in service. This increase was partially offset by a decrease of \$25.7 million in depreciation adjustments necessary to reduce the carrying amount of buildings and improvements to their estimated disposition value, or zero in the case of a planned demolition, primarily due to a property that became fully depreciated during 2007.

Provision for Operating Real Estate Impairment Losses

Real estate and other long-lived assets to be held and used are stated at cost, less accumulated depreciation and amortization, unless the carrying amount of the asset is not recoverable. If events or circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of a property may not be recoverable, we make an assessment of its recoverability by comparing the carrying amount to our estimate of the undiscounted future cash flows, excluding interest charges, of the property. If the carrying amount exceeds the estimated aggregate undiscounted future cash flows, we recognize an impairment loss to the extent the carrying amount exceeds the estimated fair value of the property.

For the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2007, we recognized impairment losses of \$2.3 million and \$1.1 million, respectively, related to properties classified as held for use as of December 31, 2009. We recognized no such impairment losses during the year ended December 31, 2008.

Provision for Impairment Losses on Real Estate Development Assets

In connection with the preparation of our 2008 annual financial statements, we assessed the recoverability of our investment in our Lincoln Place property, located in Venice, California. Based upon the decline in land values in Southern California during 2008 and the expected timing of our redevelopment efforts, we determined that the total carrying amount of the property was no longer probable of full recovery and, accordingly, during the three months

ended December 31, 2008, recognized an impairment loss of \$85.4 million (\$55.6 million net of tax).

Similarly, we assessed the recoverability of our investment in Pacific Bay Vistas (formerly Treetops), a vacant property located in San Bruno, California, and determined that the carrying amount of the property was no longer probable of full recovery and, accordingly, we recognized an impairment loss of \$5.7 million for this property during the three months ended December 31, 2008.

26

Table of Contents

The impairments discussed above totaled \$91.1 million and are included in provisions for impairment losses on real estate development assets in our consolidated statement of income for the year ended December 31, 2009 included in Item 8. We recognized no similar impairments on real estate development assets during the years ended December 31, 2009 or 2007.

General and Administrative Expenses

For the year ended December 31, 2009, compared to the year ended December 31, 2008, general and administrative expenses decreased \$29.6 million, or 29.8%. This decrease is primarily attributable to reductions in personnel and related expenses associated with our organizational restructurings (see Note 3 to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8), pursuant to which we eliminated approximately 400, or 36%, of our offsite positions between December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2009.

For the year ended December 31, 2008, compared to the year ended December 31, 2007, general and administrative expenses increased \$8.5 million, or 9.4%. This increase is primarily attributable to higher personnel and related expenses of \$6.1 million and an increase of \$1.5 million in information technology communications costs.

Other Expenses, Net

Other expenses, net includes franchise taxes, risk management activities, partnership administration expenses and certain non-recurring items.

For the year ended December 31, 2009, compared to the year ended December 31, 2008, other expenses, net decreased by \$4.7 million. The decrease is primarily attributable to a \$5.4 million write-off during 2008 of certain communications hardware and capitalized costs in 2008, and a \$5.3 million reduction in expenses of our self insurance activities, including a decrease in casualty losses on less than wholly owned properties from 2008 to 2009. These decreases are partially offset by an increase of \$4.3 million in costs related to certain litigation matters.

For the year ended December 31, 2008, compared to the year ended December 31, 2007, other expenses, net increased by \$3.2 million. The increase includes a \$5.4 million write-off of certain communications hardware and capitalized costs during 2008 and a \$1.2 million write-off of redevelopment costs associated with a change in the planned use of a property during 2008. The net unfavorable change also reflects \$3.6 million of income recognized in 2007 related to the transfer of certain property rights to an unrelated party. These increases were partially offset by a \$3.7 million reduction in expenses of our self insurance activities (net of costs in 2008 related to Tropical Storm Fay and Hurricane Ike) and a net decrease of \$1.7 million in costs related to certain litigation matters.

Restructuring Costs

For the year ended December 31, 2009, we recognized restructuring costs of \$11.2 million, as compared to \$22.8 million in the year ended December 31, 2008, related to our organizational restructurings, which are further discussed in Note 3 to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8. We recognized no restructuring costs during the year ended December 31, 2007.

Interest Income

Interest income consists primarily of interest on notes receivable from non-affiliates and unconsolidated real estate partnerships, interest on cash and restricted cash accounts, and accretion of discounts on certain notes receivable from unconsolidated real estate partnerships. Transactions that result in accretion occur infrequently and thus accretion income may vary from period to period.

For the year ended December 31, 2009, compared to the year ended December 31, 2008, interest income decreased \$10.6 million, or 53.1%. Interest income decreased by \$8.8 million due to lower interest rates on notes receivable, cash and restricted cash balances and lower average balances and by \$4.1 million due to a decrease in accretion income related to our note receivable from Casden Properties LLC for which we ceased accretion following impairment of the note in 2008. These decreases were partially offset by a \$2.3 million increase in

27

Table of Contents

accretion income related to other notes during the year ended December 31, 2008, resulting from a change in the timing and amount of collection.

For the year ended December 31, 2008, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2007, interest income decreased \$23.3 million, or 53.9%. Interest income decreased by \$16.0 million due to lower interest rates on notes receivable, cash and restricted cash balances and lower average balances. Interest income also decreased by \$5.8 million due to an adjustment of accretion on certain discounted notes during the year ended December 31, 2008, resulting from a change in the estimated timing and amount of collection, and by \$1.5 million for accretion income recognized during the year ended December 31, 2007, related to the prepayment of principal on certain discounted loans collateralized by properties in West Harlem in New York City.

Provision for Losses on Notes Receivable

During the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, we recognized net provisions for losses on notes receivable of \$21.5 million, \$17.6 million and \$2.0 million, respectively. The provisions for losses on notes receivable for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, primarily consist of impairments related to our investment in Casden Properties LLC, which are discussed further below.

As part of the March 2002 acquisition of Casden Properties, Inc., we invested \$50.0 million for a 20% passive interest in Casden Properties LLC, an entity organized to acquire, re-entitle and develop land parcels in Southern California. Based upon the profit allocation agreement, we account for this investment as a note receivable and through 2008 were amortizing the discounted value of the investment to the \$50.0 million previously estimated to be collectible, through January 2, 2009, the initial dissolution date of the entity. In 2009, the managing member extended the dissolution date. In connection with the preparation of our 2008 annual financial statements and as a result of a decline in land values in Southern California, we determined our recorded investment amount was not fully recoverable, and accordingly recognized an impairment loss of \$16.3 million (\$10.0 million net of tax) during the three months ended December 31, 2008. In connection with the preparation of our 2009 annual financial statements and as a result of continued declines in land values in Southern California, we determined our then recorded investment amount was not fully recoverable, and accordingly recognized an impairment loss of \$20.7 million (\$12.4 million net of tax) during the three months ended December 31, 2009.

In addition to the impairments related to Casden Properties LLC discussed above, we recognized provisions for losses on notes receivable totaling \$0.8 million, \$1.3 million and \$2.0 million during the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Interest Expense

For the years ended December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, interest expense, which includes the amortization of deferred financing costs, totaled \$324.2 million and \$324.1 million, respectively. Interest expense increased by \$15.0 million due to a reduction in redevelopment activity during 2009, which resulted in a reduction in capitalized interest. In addition, interest expense increased by \$1.2 million due to an increase in prepayment penalties associated with refinancing activities, from \$2.8 million in 2008 to \$4.0 million in 2009, and by \$3.3 million related to non-recourse property loans, from \$311.2 million to \$314.5 million, primarily due to higher average interest rates partially offset by lower average balances during 2009. These increases in interest expense were substantially offset by decreases in corporate interest expense. Interest expense related to corporate debt, which is primarily floating rate, decreased by \$19.4 million, from \$34.8 million to \$15.4 million, primarily due to lower average balances and interest rates during 2009.

For the year ended December 31, 2008, compared to the year ended December 31, 2007, interest expense increased \$11.1 million, or 3.5%. Interest expense related to non-recourse property loans increased by \$17.1 million, from \$294.1 million to \$311.2 million, primarily due to higher average balances partially offset by lower average interest rates during 2008. In addition, interest expense increased by \$4.4 million, due to a decrease in capitalized interest from \$29.1 million in 2007 to \$24.7 million in 2008, resulting from more units in service and lower interest rates. These increases were partially offset by a decrease in interest expense related to corporate debt, which is primarily floating rate and which decreased by \$10.4 million, from \$45.2 million to \$34.8 million, primarily due to lower average balances and interest rates during 2008.

28

Table of Contents

Equity in Losses of Unconsolidated Real Estate Partnerships

Equity in losses of unconsolidated real estate partnerships includes our share of net losses of our unconsolidated real estate partnerships and is primarily driven by depreciation expense in excess of the net operating income recognized by such partnerships.

During the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, we recognized equity in losses of unconsolidated real estate partnerships of \$12.0 million, \$4.6 million and \$3.3 million, respectively. The \$7.4 million increase in our equity in losses from 2008 to 2009 was primarily due to our sale in late 2008 of an interest in an unconsolidated real estate partnership that generated \$3.0 million of equity in earnings during the year ended December 31, 2008, and our sale during 2009 of our interest in an unconsolidated group purchasing organization which resulted in a decrease of equity in earnings of approximately \$1.2 million. The increase in equity in losses also includes additional losses recognized during 2009 related to the underlying investment properties of certain tax credit syndications we consolidated during 2009 and 2008.

Impairment Losses Related to Unconsolidated Real Estate Partnerships

Impairment losses related to unconsolidated real estate partnerships includes our share of impairment losses recognized by our unconsolidated real estate partnerships. For the year ended December 31, 2009, compared to the year ended December 31, 2008, impairment losses related to unconsolidated real estate partnerships decreased \$2.3 million, and for the year ended December 31, 2008, compared to the year ended December 31, 2007, impairment losses related to unconsolidated real estate partnerships increased \$2.7 million. This decrease and increase are primarily attributable to impairment losses recognized by unconsolidated partnerships on their underlying real estate properties during 2008.

Gain on Dispositions of Unconsolidated Real Estate and Other

Gain on dispositions of unconsolidated real estate and other includes our share of gains related to dispositions of real estate by unconsolidated real estate partnerships, gains on disposition of interests in unconsolidated real estate partnerships, gains on dispositions of land and other non-depreciable assets and costs related to asset disposal activities. Changes in the level of gains recognized from period to period reflect the changing level of disposition activity from period to period. Additionally, gains on properties sold are determined on an individual property basis or in the aggregate for a group of properties that are sold in a single transaction, and are not comparable period to period.

For the year ended December 31, 2009, compared to the year ended December 31, 2008, gain on dispositions of unconsolidated real estate and other decreased \$77.4 million. This decrease is primarily attributable to a gain of \$98.4 million on our disposition in 2008 of interests in two unconsolidated real estate partnerships. This decrease was partially offset by \$18.7 million of gains on the disposition of interests in unconsolidated partnerships during 2009. Gains recognized in 2009 consist of \$8.6 million related to our receipt in 2009 of additional proceeds related to our disposition during 2008 of one of the partnership interests discussed above (see Note 3 to the consolidated financials statements in Item 8), \$4.0 million from the disposition of our interest in a group purchasing organization (see Note 3 to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8), and \$6.1 million from our disposition in 2009 of interests in unconsolidated real estate partnerships.

For the year ended December 31, 2008, compared to the year ended December 31, 2007, gain on dispositions of unconsolidated real estate and other increased \$75.4 million. This increase is primarily attributable to a \$98.4 million net gain on the disposition of interests in two unconsolidated real estate partnerships during the year ended December 31, 2008. During 2007, we recognized a \$6.0 million non-refundable option and extension fee resulting from the termination of rights under an option agreement to sell the North and Central towers of our Flamingo South

Beach property, approximately \$6.4 million of net gains on dispositions of land parcels and our share of gains on dispositions of properties by unconsolidated real estate partnerships in 2007, and a \$10.6 million gain on debt extinguishment related to properties in the VMS partnership (see Note 3 to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8).

29

Table of Contents

Income Tax Benefit

Certain of our operations or a portion thereof, such as property management, asset management and risk management, are conducted through, and certain of our properties are owned by, taxable REIT subsidiaries, each of which we refer to as a TRS. A TRS is a C-corporation that has not elected REIT status and, as such, is subject to United States Federal corporate income tax. We use TRS entities to facilitate our ability to offer certain services and conduct certain activities that generally cannot be offered directly by the REIT. We also use TRS entities to hold investments in certain properties. Income taxes related to the results of continuing operations of our TRS entities are included in income tax benefit in our consolidated statements of income.

For the year ended December 31, 2009, compared to the year ended December 31, 2008, income tax benefit decreased by \$34.5 million. This decrease was primarily attributed to \$36.1 million of income tax benefit recognized in 2008 related to the impairments of our Lincoln Place property and our investment in Casden Properties LLC, both of which are owned through TRS entities, partially offset by \$8.1 million of income tax benefit recognized in 2009 related to the impairment of our investment in Casden Properties LLC.

For the year ended December 31, 2008, compared to the year ended December 31, 2007, income tax benefit increased by \$33.4 million. This increase was primarily attributed to \$36.1 million of income tax benefit recognized in 2008 related to the impairments of our Lincoln Place property and our investment in Casden Properties LLC.

Income from Discontinued Operations, Net

The results of operations for properties sold during the period or designated as held for sale at the end of the period are generally required to be classified as discontinued operations for all periods presented. The components of net earnings that are classified as discontinued operations include all property-related revenues and operating expenses, depreciation expense recognized prior to the classification as held for sale, property-specific interest expense and debt extinguishment gains and losses to the extent there is secured debt on the property. In addition, any impairment losses on assets held for sale and the net gain or loss on the eventual disposal of properties held for sale are reported in discontinued operations.

For the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, income from discontinued operations totaled \$152.2 million and \$744.9 million, respectively. The \$592.7 million decrease in income from discontinued operations was principally due to a \$541.2 million decrease in gain on dispositions of real estate, net of income taxes, primarily attributable to fewer properties sold in 2009 as compared to 2008, and a \$111.8 million decrease in operating income (inclusive of a \$27.1 million increase in real estate impairment losses), partially offset by a \$58.8 million decrease in interest expense.

For the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, income from discontinued operations totaled \$744.9 million and \$171.6 million, respectively. The \$573.3 million increase in income from discontinued operations was principally due to a \$641.7 million increase in gain on dispositions of real estate, net of income taxes, primarily attributable to more properties sold in 2008 as compared to 2007 and a \$27.9 million decrease in interest expense. These increases were partially offset by a \$66.1 million decrease in operating income (inclusive of a \$22.0 million increase in real estate impairment losses) and a \$31.6 million decrease related to a 2007 gain on debt extinguishment related to properties in the VMS partnership.

During the year ended December 31, 2009, we sold 89 consolidated properties for gross proceeds of \$1.3 billion and net proceeds of \$432.7 million, resulting in a net gain on sale of approximately \$216.0 million (which is net of \$5.8 million of related income taxes). During the year ended December 31, 2008, we sold 151 consolidated properties for gross proceeds of \$2.4 billion and net proceeds of \$1.1 billion, resulting in a net gain on sale of approximately

\$757.2 million (which is net of \$43.1 million of related income taxes). During the year ended December 31, 2007, we sold 73 consolidated properties for gross proceeds of \$480.0 million and net proceeds of \$203.8 million, resulting in a net gain on sale of approximately \$115.5 million (which is net of \$2.1 million of related income taxes).

For the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, income from discontinued operations includes the operating results of the properties sold or classified as held for sale as of December 31, 2009.

30

Table of Contents

Changes in the level of gains recognized from period to period reflect the changing level of our disposition activity from period to period. Additionally, gains on properties sold are determined on an individual property basis or in the aggregate for a group of properties that are sold in a single transaction, and are not comparable period to period (see Note 13 of the consolidated financial statements in Item 8 for additional information on discontinued operations).

Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Real Estate Partnerships

Noncontrolling interests in consolidated real estate partnerships reflects the non-Aimco partners , or noncontrolling partners , share of operating results of consolidated real estate partnerships. This generally includes the noncontrolling partners share of property management fees, interest on notes and other amounts eliminated in consolidation that we charge to such partnerships. As discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8, we adopted the provisions of SFAS 160, which are now codified in the Financial Accounting Standards Board s Accounting Standards Codification, or FASB ASC, Topic 810, effective January 1, 2009. Prior to our adoption of SFAS 160, we generally did not recognize a benefit for the noncontrolling interest partners share of partnership losses for partnerships that have deficit noncontrolling interest balances and we generally recognized a charge to our earnings for distributions paid to noncontrolling partners for partnerships that had deficit noncontrolling interest balances. Under the updated provisions of FASB ASC Topic 810, we are required to attribute losses to noncontrolling interests even if such attribution would result in a deficit noncontrolling interest balance and we are no longer required to recognize a charge to our earnings for distributions paid to noncontrolling partners for partnerships that have deficit noncontrolling interest balances.

For the year ended December 31, 2009, compared to the year ended December 31, 2008, net earnings attributed to noncontrolling interests in consolidated real estate partnerships decreased by \$133.2 million. This decrease is primarily attributable to a reduction of \$108.7 million related to the noncontrolling interests in consolidated real estate partnerships—share of gains on dispositions of real estate, due primarily to fewer sales in 2009 as compared to 2008, \$5.5 million of losses allocated to noncontrolling interests in 2009 that we would not have allocated to the noncontrolling interest partners in 2008 because to do so would have resulted in deficits in their noncontrolling interest balances, and approximately \$3.8 million related to deficit distribution charges recognized as a reduction to our earnings in 2008, for which we did not recognize similar charges in 2009 based on the change in accounting discussed above. These decreases are in addition to the noncontrolling interest partners—share of increased losses of our consolidated real estate partnerships in 2009 as compared to 2008.

For the year ended December 31, 2008, compared to the year ended December 31, 2007, net income attributed to noncontrolling interests in consolidated real estate partnerships increased by \$63.6 million. This increase is primarily attributable to an increase of \$106.5 million related to the noncontrolling interests in consolidated real estate partnerships—share of gains on dispositions of real estate, due primarily to more sales in 2008 as compared to 2007, partially offset by increases of \$42.9 million in net recoveries of deficit distributions.

As discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8, during the first quarter 2010, we will adopt new accounting guidance related to accounting for variable interest entities. This change in accounting guidance may result in our consolidation of certain previously unconsolidated entities as well as our deconsolidation of certain we currently consolidate. At this time, we have not yet determined the effect this accounting change will have on our consolidated financial statements.

Noncontrolling Interests in Aimco Operating Partnership

Noncontrolling interests in Aimco Operating Partnership consist of common OP Units, High Performance Units and preferred OP Units. We allocate the Aimco Operating Partnership s income or loss to the holders of common OP Units and High Performance Units based on the weighted average number of common OP Units and High Performance

Units outstanding during the period. Holders of the preferred OP Units participate in the Aimco Operating Partnership s income or loss only to the extent of their preferred distributions.

For the year ended December 31, 2009, compared to the year ended December 31, 2008, the effect on our earnings of income or loss attributable to noncontrolling interests in the Aimco Operating Partnership changed favorably by \$62.3 million. This favorable change is attributable to a decrease of \$50.8 million related to the

31

Table of Contents

noncontrolling interests in the Aimco Operating Partnership s share of income from discontinued operations (net of noncontrolling interests in consolidated real estate partnerships), due primarily to larger gains on sales in 2008 relative to 2009 and \$11.5 million in deficit distribution charges recognized during 2008 due to distributions in excess of the positive balance in noncontrolling interest. These changes were also affected by a decrease in the noncontrolling interests in the Aimco Operating Partnership s effective ownership interest from 2008 to 2009.

For the year ended December 31, 2008, compared to the year ended December 31, 2007, the effect on our earnings of income or loss attributable to noncontrolling interests in the Aimco Operating Partnership changed unfavorably by \$55.8 million. This unfavorable change is attributable to an increase of \$48.1 million related to the noncontrolling interests in the Aimco Operating Partnership s share of income from discontinued operations (net of noncontrolling interests in consolidated real estate partnerships), due primarily to larger gains on sales in 2008 relative to 2007, \$11.5 million in deficit distribution charges recognized during 2008 due to distributions in excess of the positive balance in noncontrolling interest, and a \$0.5 million increase in distributions to holders of preferred OP Units. These unfavorable changes were partially offset by a \$4.3 million increase in noncontrolling interests in the Aimco Operating Partnership s share of losses from continuing operations (net of noncontrolling interests in consolidated real estate partnerships) in 2008 as compared to 2007.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

We prepare our consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, or GAAP, which requires us to make estimates and assumptions. We believe that the following critical accounting policies involve our more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

Real estate and other long-lived assets to be held and used are stated at cost, less accumulated depreciation and amortization, unless the carrying amount of the asset is not recoverable. If events or circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of a property may not be recoverable, we make an assessment of its recoverability by comparing the carrying amount to our estimate of the undiscounted future cash flows, excluding interest charges, of the property. If the carrying amount exceeds the estimated aggregate undiscounted future cash flows, we recognize an impairment loss to the extent the carrying amount exceeds the estimated fair value of the property.

From time to time, we have non-revenue producing properties that we hold for future redevelopment. We assess the recoverability of the carrying amount of these redevelopment properties by comparing our estimate of undiscounted future cash flows based on the expected service potential of the redevelopment property upon completion to the carrying amount. In certain instances, we use a probability-weighted approach to determine our estimate of undiscounted future cash flows when alternative courses of action are under consideration. As discussed in *Provision for Impairment Losses on Real Estate Development Assets* within the preceding discussion of our Results of Operations, during 2008 we recognized impairment losses on our Lincoln Place and Pacific Bay Vistas properties of \$85.4 million (\$55.6 million net of tax) and \$5.7 million, respectively.

Real estate investments are subject to varying degrees of risk. Several factors may adversely affect the economic performance and value of our real estate investments. These factors include:

the general economic climate;

competition from other apartment communities and other housing options;

local conditions, such as loss of jobs or an increase in the supply of apartments, that might adversely affect apartment occupancy or rental rates;

changes in governmental regulations and the related cost of compliance;

32

Table of Contents

increases in operating costs (including real estate taxes) due to inflation and other factors, which may not be offset by increased rents;

changes in tax laws and housing laws, including the enactment of rent control laws or other laws regulating multifamily housing; and

changes in interest rates and the availability of financing.

Any adverse changes in these and other factors could cause an impairment in our long-lived assets, including real estate and investments in unconsolidated real estate partnerships. In addition to the impairments of Lincoln Place and Pacific Bay Vistas discussed above, based on periodic tests of recoverability of long-lived assets, for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2007, we recorded net impairment losses of \$2.3 million and \$1.1 million, respectively, related to properties classified as held for use, and during the year ended December 31, 2008, we recorded no additional impairments related to properties held for use.

Notes Receivable and Interest Income Recognition

Notes receivable from unconsolidated real estate partnerships consist primarily of notes receivable from partnerships in which we are the general partner. Notes receivable from non-affiliates consist of notes receivable from unrelated third parties. The ultimate repayment of these notes is subject to a number of variables, including the performance and value of the underlying real estate and the claims of unaffiliated mortgage lenders. Our notes receivable include loans extended by us that we carry at the face amount plus accrued interest, which we refer to as par value notes, and loans extended by predecessors, some of whose positions we generally acquired at a discount, which we refer to as discounted notes.

We record interest income on par value notes as earned in accordance with the terms of the related loan agreements. We discontinue the accrual of interest on such notes when the notes are impaired, as discussed below, or when there is otherwise significant uncertainty as to the collection of interest. We record income on such nonaccrual loans using the cost recovery method, under which we apply cash receipts first to the recorded amount of the loan; thereafter, any additional receipts are recognized as income.

We recognize interest income on discounted notes receivable based upon whether the amount and timing of collections are both probable and reasonably estimable. We consider collections to be probable and reasonably estimable when the borrower has closed transactions or has entered into certain pending transactions (which include real estate sales, refinancings, foreclosures and rights offerings) that provide a reliable source of repayment. In such instances, we recognize accretion income, on a prospective basis using the effective interest method over the estimated remaining term of the loans, equal to the difference between the carrying amount of the discounted notes and the estimated collectible value. We record income on all other discounted notes using the cost recovery method. Accretion income recognized in any given period is based on our ability to complete transactions to monetize the notes receivable and the difference between the carrying value and the estimated collectible amount of the notes; therefore, accretion income varies on a period by period basis and could be lower or higher than in prior periods.

Provision for Losses on Notes Receivable

We assess the collectibility of notes receivable on a periodic basis, which assessment consists primarily of an evaluation of cash flow projections of the borrower to determine whether estimated cash flows are sufficient to repay principal and interest in accordance with the contractual terms of the note. We recognize impairments on notes receivable when it is probable that principal and interest will not be received in accordance with the contractual terms

of the loan. The amount of the impairment to be recognized generally is based on the fair value of the partnership s real estate that represents the primary source of loan repayment. In certain instances where other sources of cash flow are available to repay the loan, the impairment is measured by discounting the estimated cash flows at the loan s original effective interest rate.

During the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 we recorded net provisions for losses on notes receivable of \$21.5 million, \$17.6 million and \$2.0 million, respectively. As discussed in *Provision for Losses on Notes Receivable* within the preceding discussion of our Results of Operations, provisions for losses on notes receivable in 2009 and 2008 include impairment losses of \$20.7 million (\$12.4 million net of tax) and \$16.3 million

33

Table of Contents

(\$10.0 million net of tax), respectively, on our investment in Casden Properties LLC, which we account for as a note receivable. We will continue to evaluate the collectibility of these notes, and we will adjust related allowances in the future due to changes in market conditions and other factors.

Capitalized Costs

We capitalize costs, including certain indirect costs, incurred in connection with our capital additions activities, including redevelopment and construction projects, other tangible property improvements and replacements of existing property components. Included in these capitalized costs are payroll costs associated with time spent by site employees in connection with the planning, execution and control of all capital additions activities at the property level. We characterize as indirect costs an allocation of certain department costs, including payroll, at the area operations and corporate levels that clearly relate to capital additions activities. We capitalize interest, property taxes and insurance during periods in which redevelopment and construction projects are in progress. We charge to expense as incurred costs that do not relate to capital additions activities, including ordinary repairs, maintenance, resident turnover costs and general and administrative expenses (see *Capital Additions and Related Depreciation* in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8).

For the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, for continuing and discontinued operations, we capitalized \$9.8 million, \$25.7 million and \$30.8 million of interest costs, respectively, and \$40.0 million, \$78.1 million and \$78.1 million of site payroll and indirect costs, respectively. The reduction is primarily due to a reduced level of redevelopment activities.

Funds From Operations

FFO is a non-GAAP financial measure that we believe, when considered with the financial statements determined in accordance with GAAP, is helpful to investors in understanding our performance because it captures features particular to real estate performance by recognizing that real estate generally appreciates over time or maintains residual value to a much greater extent than do other depreciable assets such as machinery, computers or other personal property. The Board of Governors of the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts, or NAREIT, defines FFO as net income (loss), computed in accordance with GAAP, excluding gains from sales of depreciable property, plus depreciation and amortization, and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. Adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures are calculated to reflect FFO on the same basis. We compute FFO for all periods presented in accordance with the guidance set forth by NAREIT s April 1, 2002, White Paper, which we refer to as the White Paper. We calculate FFO attributable to Aimco common stockholders (diluted) by subtracting redemption or repurchase related preferred stock issuance costs and dividends on preferred stock and adding back dividends/distributions on dilutive preferred securities and premiums or discounts on preferred stock redemptions or repurchases. FFO should not be considered an alternative to net income or net cash flows from operating activities, as determined in accordance with GAAP, as an indication of our performance or as a measure of liquidity. FFO is not necessarily indicative of cash available to fund future cash needs. In addition, although FFO is a measure used for comparability in assessing the performance of REITs, there can be no assurance that our basis for computing FFO is comparable with that of other REITs.

In addition to FFO, we compute an alternate measure of FFO, which we refer to as Proforma FFO and which is FFO attributable to Aimco common stockholders (diluted), excluding operating real estate impairments and preferred stock redemption related amounts (adjusted for the noncontrolling interests). Both operating real estate impairment losses and preferred stock redemption related amounts are recurring items that affect our operating results. We exclude operating real estate impairment losses, net of related income tax benefits and noncontrolling interests, from our calculation of Proforma FFO because we believe the inclusion of such losses in FFO is inconsistent with the treatment of gains on the disposition of operating real estate, which are not included in FFO. We exclude preferred redemption

related amounts (gains or losses) from our calculation of Proforma FFO because such amounts are not representative of our operating results. Similar to FFO, we believe Proforma FFO is helpful to investors in understanding our performance because it captures features particular to real estate performance by recognizing that real estate generally appreciates over time or maintains residual value to a much greater extent than do other depreciating assets such as machinery, computers or other personal property. Not all REITs present an

34

Table of Contents

alternate measure of FFO similar to our Proforma FFO measure and there can be no assurance our basis for calculating Proforma FFO is comparable to those of other REITs.

For the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, our FFO and Proforma FFO are calculated as follows (in thousands):

		2009	2008	2007
Net (loss) income attributable to Aimco common stockholders(1) Adjustments:	\$	(114,840)	\$ 351,314	\$ (40,586)
Depreciation and amortization		444,413	392,999	347,491
Depreciation and amortization related to non-real estate assets		(16,667)	(17,372)	(20,159)
Depreciation of rental property related to noncontrolling partners and		(-,,	(')- ')	(-,,
unconsolidated entities(2)		(40,852)	(29,872)	(15,888)
Gain on dispositions of unconsolidated real estate and other		(22,494)	(99,864)	(24,470)
Income tax expense (benefit) arising from disposition of unconsolidated				
real estate and other		1,582	(433)	(17)
Add back portion of gain on dispositions of unconsolidated real estate				
and other that relates to non-depreciable assets and debt extinguishment				
gain		7,783	1,669	17,956
Deficit distributions to noncontrolling partners(3)			37,680	29,210
Discontinued operations:				
Gain on dispositions of real estate, net of noncontrolling partners				
interest(2)		(164,281)	(617,906)	(63,923)
Depreciation of rental property, net of noncontrolling partners interest(2))	45,836	109,043	114,586
(Recovery of deficit distributions) deficit distributions to noncontrolling				
partners, net(3)			(30,354)	9,550
Income tax expense arising from disposals		5,788	43,146	2,135
Noncontrolling interests in Aimco Operating Partnership s share of above	e			
adjustments(4)		(19,509)	21,667	(36,830)
Preferred stock dividends		52,215	55,190	63,381
Preferred stock redemption related (gains) costs		(1,649)	(1,482)	2,635
Amounts allocable to participating securities(5)			6,985	4,481
FFO	\$	177,325	\$ 222,410	\$ 389,552
Preferred stock dividends		(52,215)	(55,190)	(63,381)
Preferred stock redemption related gains (costs)		1,649	1,482	(2,635)
Amounts allocable to participating securities(5)		(773)	(6,985)	(4,481)
Dividends/distributions on dilutive preferred securities			4,292	1,442
FFO attributable to Aimco common stockholders diluted Operating real estate impairment losses, continuing operations, net of	\$	125,986	\$ 166,009	\$ 320,497
noncontrolling partners interest(6)		2,012	1,131	1,080
Operating real estate impairment losses, discontinued operations, net of		2,012	1,131	1,000
noncontrolling partners interest(6)		61,313	26,285	5,430
Income tax benefit on impairment losses		(4,075)	(511)	5,750
Preferred stock redemption related (gains) costs(7)		(1,649)	(311) $(1,482)$	2,635
Treating stock reading and related (Same) const(1)		(4,304)	(2,474)	(850)
		(1,501)	(2, 1, 1)	(050)

Noncontrolling interests in Aimco Operating Partnership s share adjustments	e of above			
Amounts allocable to participating securities(5)		(448)		
Dividends/distributions on dilutive preferred securities				426
Proforma FFO attributable to Aimco common stockholders	diluted \$	178,835	\$ 188,958	\$ 329,218
FFO attributable to Aimco common stockholders diluted Weighted average number of common shares, common share equivalents and dilutive preferred securities outstanding(8):				
Common shares and equivalents(9) Dilutive preferred securities		115,563	89,827 1,490	97,055 457
Total		115,563	91,317	97,512
Proforma FFO attributable to Aimco common stockholders Weighted average number of common shares, common share equivalents and dilutive preferred securities outstanding(8):	diluted			
Common shares and equivalents(9)		115,563	89,827	97,055
Dilutive preferred securities			1,490	580
Total		115,563	91,317	97,635

Notes:

- (1) Represents the numerator for calculating basic earnings per common share in accordance with GAAP (see Note 14 to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8).
- (2) Noncontrolling partners refers to noncontrolling partners in our consolidated real estate partnerships.

35

Table of Contents

- (3) Prior to adoption of SFAS 160 (see Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8), we recognized deficit distributions to noncontrolling partners as charges in our income statement when cash was distributed to a noncontrolling partner in a consolidated partnership in excess of the positive balance in such partner s noncontrolling interest balance. We recorded these charges for GAAP purposes even though there was no economic effect or cost. Deficit distributions to noncontrolling partners occurred when the fair value of the underlying real estate exceeded its depreciated net book value because the underlying real estate had appreciated or maintained its value. As a result, the recognition of expense for deficit distributions to noncontrolling partners represented, in substance, either (a) our recognition of depreciation previously allocated to the noncontrolling partner or (b) a payment related to the noncontrolling partner s share of real estate appreciation. Based on White Paper guidance that requires real estate depreciation and gains to be excluded from FFO, we added back deficit distributions and subtracted related recoveries in our reconciliation of net income to FFO. Subsequent to our adoption of SFAS 160, effective January 1, 2009, we may reduce the balance of noncontrolling interests below zero in such situations and we are no longer required to recognize such charges in our income statement.
- (4) During the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, the Aimco Operating Partnership had 6,534,140, 7,191,199, and 7,367,400 common OP Units outstanding and 2,344,719, 2,367,629 and 2,379,084 High Performance Units outstanding.
- (5) Amounts allocable to participating securities represent dividends declared and any amounts of undistributed earnings allocable to participating securities. See Note 2 and Note 14 to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8 for further information regarding participating securities.
- (6) On October 1, 2003, NAREIT clarified its definition of FFO to include operating real estate impairment losses, which previously had been added back to calculate FFO. Although Aimco s presentation conforms with the NAREIT definition, Aimco considers such approach to be inconsistent with the treatment of gains on dispositions of operating real estate, which are not included in FFO.
- (7) In accordance with the Securities and Exchange Commission s July 31, 2003 interpretation of the Emerging Issues Task Force Topic D-42, Aimco includes preferred stock redemption related charges or gains in FFO. As a result, FFO for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 includes redemption discounts, net of issuance costs, of \$1.6 million and \$1.5 million and a redemption premium of \$2.6 million, respectively.
- (8) Weighted average common shares, common share equivalents, dilutive preferred securities for each of the periods presented above have been adjusted for our application during the fourth quarter 2009 of a change in GAAP, which requires the shares issued in our special dividends paid in 2008 and January 2009 to be treated as issued and outstanding on the dividend payment dates for basic purposes and as potential share equivalents for the periods between the ex-dividend dates and the payment dates for diluted purposes, rather than treating the shares as issued and outstanding as of the beginning of the earliest period presented for both basic and diluted purposes. The change in accounting treatment had no effect on diluted weighted average shares outstanding for the year ended December 31, 2009. The change in accounting treatment reduced diluted weighted average shares outstanding by 32.7 million and 46.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively.
- (9) Represents the denominator for earnings per common share diluted, calculated in accordance with GAAP, plus common share equivalents that are dilutive for FFO.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity is the ability to meet present and future financial obligations. Our primary source of liquidity is cash flow from our operations. Additional sources are proceeds from property sales and proceeds from refinancings of existing property loans and borrowings under new property loans.

Our principal uses for liquidity include normal operating activities, payments of principal and interest on outstanding debt, capital additions, dividends paid to stockholders and distributions paid to noncontrolling interest partners, repurchases of shares of our Common Stock, and acquisitions of, and investments in, properties. We use our cash and cash equivalents and our cash provided by operating activities to meet short-term liquidity needs. In the event that our cash and cash equivalents and cash provided by operating activities are not sufficient to cover our

36

Table of Contents

short-term liquidity demands, we have additional means, such as short-term borrowing availability and proceeds from property sales and refinancings, to help us meet our short-term liquidity demands. We may use our revolving credit facility for general corporate purposes and to fund investments on an interim basis. We expect to meet our long-term liquidity requirements, such as debt maturities and property acquisitions, through long-term borrowings, primarily secured, the issuance of equity securities (including OP Units), the sale of properties and cash generated from operations.

The state of credit markets and related effect on the overall economy may have an adverse affect on our liquidity, both through increases in interest rates and credit risk spreads, and access to financing. As further discussed in Item 7A, Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk, we are subject to interest rate risk associated with certain variable rate liabilities, preferred stock and assets outstanding at December 31, 2009, we estimate that a 1.0% increase in 30-day LIBOR with constant credit risk spreads would reduce our income attributable to Aimco common stockholders by approximately \$1.5 million on an annual basis. Although base interest rates have generally decreased relative to their levels prior to the disruptions in the financial markets, the tightening of credit markets has affected the credit risk spreads charged over base interest rates on, and the availability of, property loan financing. For future refinancing activities, our liquidity and cost of funds may be affected by increases in base interest rates or higher credit risk spreads. If timely property financing options are not available for maturing debt, we may consider alternative sources of liquidity, such as reductions in certain capital spending or proceeds from asset dispositions.

As further discussed in Note 2 to our consolidated financial statements in Item 8, we use total rate of return swaps as a financing product to lower our cost of borrowing through conversion of fixed rate tax-exempt bonds payable and fixed rate notes payable to variable interest rates indexed to the SIFMA rate for tax-exempt bonds payable and the 30-day LIBOR rate for notes payable, plus a credit risk spread. The cost of financing through these arrangements is generally lower than the fixed rate on the debt. As of December 31, 2009, we had total rate of return swap positions with two financial institutions with notional amounts totaling \$353.1 million. Swaps with notional amounts of \$307.9 million and \$45.2 million had maturity dates in May 2012 and October 2012, respectively.

The total rate of return swaps require specified loan-to-value ratios. In the event the values of the real estate properties serving as collateral under these agreements decline or if we sell properties in the collateral pool with low loan-to-value ratios, certain of our consolidated subsidiaries have an obligation to pay down the debt or provide additional collateral pursuant to the swap agreements, which may adversely affect our cash flows. The obligation to provide collateral is limited to these subsidiaries and is non-recourse to Aimco. At December 31, 2009, these subsidiaries were not required to provide cash collateral based on the loan-to-value ratios of the real estate properties serving as collateral under these agreements.

We periodically evaluate counterparty credit risk associated with these arrangements. At the current time, we have concluded we do not have material exposure. In the event a counterparty were to default under these arrangements, loss of the net interest benefit we generally receive under these arrangements, which is equal to the difference between the fixed rate we receive and the variable rate we pay, may adversely affect our operating cash flows.

See *Derivative Financial Instruments* in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8 for additional discussion of these arrangements, including the current swap maturity dates.

As of December 31, 2009, the amount available under our \$180.0 million revolving credit facility was \$136.2 million (after giving effect to \$43.8 million outstanding for undrawn letters of credit). Our total outstanding term loan of \$90.0 million at December 31, 2009, matures in March 2011. We repaid an additional \$45.0 million on the term loan through February 26, 2010, leaving a remaining outstanding balance of \$45.0 million. Additionally, we have limited obligations to fund redevelopment commitments during the year ending December 31, 2010, and no development

commitments.

At December 31, 2009, we had \$81.3 million in cash and cash equivalents, a decrease of \$218.4 million from December 31, 2008. At December 31, 2009, we had \$220.0 million of restricted cash, primarily consisting of reserves and escrows held by lenders for bond sinking funds, capital additions, property taxes and insurance. In addition, cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash are held by partnerships that are not presented on a consolidated

37

Table of Contents

basis. The following discussion relates to changes in cash due to operating, investing and financing activities, which are presented in our consolidated statements of cash flows in Item 8.

Operating Activities

For the year ended December 31, 2009, our net cash provided by operating activities of \$233.8 million was primarily related to operating income from our consolidated properties, which is affected primarily by rental rates, occupancy levels and operating expenses related to our portfolio of properties, in excess of payments of operating accounts payable and accrued liabilities, including amounts related to our organizational restructuring. Cash provided by operating activities decreased \$206.6 million compared with the year ended December 31, 2008, primarily due to a \$159.3 million decrease in operating income related to consolidated properties included in discontinued operations, which was attributable to property sales in 2009 and 2008, a \$42.8 million decrease in promote income, which is generated by the disposition of properties by consolidated real estate partnerships, and an increase in payments on operating accounts payable and accrued expenses, including payments related to our restructuring accrual, in 2009 as compared to 2008.

Investing Activities

For the year ended December 31, 2009, our net cash provided by investing activities of \$630.3 million consisted primarily of proceeds from disposition of real estate and partnership interests, partially offset by capital expenditures.

Although we hold all of our properties for investment, we sell properties when they do not meet our investment criteria or are located in areas that we believe do not justify our continued investment when compared to alternative uses for our capital. During the year ended December 31, 2009, we sold 89 consolidated properties. These properties were sold for an aggregate sales price of \$1.3 billion, or \$1.2 billion, after the payment of transaction costs and debt prepayment penalties. The \$1.2 billion is inclusive of promote income and debt assumed by buyers. Net cash proceeds from property sales were used primarily to repay term debt and for other corporate purposes.

Capital Additions

We classify all capital additions as Capital Replacements (which we refer to as CR), Capital Improvements (which we refer to as CI), casualties or redevelopment. Additions other than casualty or redevelopment capital additions are apportioned between CR and CI based on the useful life of the capital item under consideration and the period we have owned the property.

CR represents the share of capital additions that are deemed to replace the portion of acquired capital assets that was consumed during the period we have owned the asset. CI represents the share of additions that are made to enhance the value, profitability or useful life of an asset as compared to its original purchase condition. CR and CI exclude capital additions for casualties and redevelopment. Casualty additions represent capitalized costs incurred in connection with casualty losses and are associated with the restoration of the asset. A portion of the restoration costs may be reimbursed by insurance carriers subject to deductibles associated with each loss. Redevelopment additions represent additions that substantially upgrade the property.

38

Table of Contents

The table below details our share of actual spending, on both consolidated and unconsolidated real estate partnerships, for CR, CI, casualties and redevelopment for the year ended December 31, 2009, on a per unit and total dollar basis (in thousands, except per unit amounts). Per unit numbers for CR and CI are based on approximately 97,196 average units for the year, including 81,135 conventional units and 16,061 affordable units. Average units are weighted for the portion of the period that we owned an interest in the property, represent ownership-adjusted effective units, and exclude non-managed units.

	Aimco	s Share of	ъ. т	100 4
	Ad	ditions		Effective Jnit
Capital Replacements Detail:				
Building and grounds	\$	32,876	\$	338
Turnover related		30,298		312
Capitalized site payroll and indirect costs		7,076		73
Our share of Capital Replacements	\$	70,250	\$	723
Capital Replacements:				
Conventional	\$	64,675	\$	797
Affordable		5,575	\$	347
Our share of Capital Replacements		70,250	\$	723
Capital Improvements:				
Conventional		47,634	\$	587
Affordable		5,755	\$	358
Our share of Capital Improvements		53,389	\$	549
Casualties:				
Conventional		17,724		
Affordable		1,872		
Our share of casualties		19,596		
Redevelopment:				
Conventional projects		66,768		
Tax credit projects(1)		46,066		
Our share of redevelopment		112,834		
Our share of capital additions		256,069		
Plus noncontrolling partners share of consolidated additions		20,062		
Less our share of unconsolidated additions		(687)		
Total capital additions	\$	275,444		

(1) Redevelopment additions on tax credit projects are substantially funded from tax credit investor contributions.

Included in the above additions for CI, casualties and redevelopment, was approximately \$34.6 million of our share of capitalized site payroll and indirect costs related to these activities for the year ended December 31, 2009.

We generally fund capital additions with cash provided by operating activities, working capital and property sales as discussed below.

Financing Activities

For the year ended December 31, 2009, net cash used in financing activities of \$1.1 billion was primarily attributed to debt principal payments, dividends paid to common and preferred stockholders and distributions to noncontrolling interests, partially offset by proceeds from property loans.

39

Table of Contents

Property Debt

At December 31, 2009 and 2008, we had \$5.6 billion and \$6.3 billion, respectively, in consolidated property debt outstanding, which included \$29.2 million and \$759.3 million, respectively, of property debt classified within liabilities related to assets held for sale. During the year ended December 31, 2009, we refinanced or closed property loans on 55 properties generating \$788.1 million of proceeds from borrowings with a weighted average interest rate of 5.78%. Our share of the net proceeds after repayment of existing debt, payment of transaction costs and distributions to limited partners, was \$132.3 million. We used these total net proceeds for capital expenditures and other corporate purposes. We intend to continue to refinance property debt primarily as a means of extending current and near term maturities and to finance certain capital projects.

Term Loans and Credit Facility

We have an Amended and Restated Senior Secured Credit Agreement, as amended, with a syndicate of financial institutions, which we refer to as the Credit Agreement.

As of December 31, 2009, the Credit Agreement consisted of aggregate commitments of \$270.0 million, comprised of our \$90.0 million outstanding balance on the term loan and \$180.0 million of revolving loan commitments. The term loan bears interest at LIBOR plus 1.5%, or at our option, a base rate equal to the prime rate, and matures March 2011. Borrowings under the revolving credit facility bear interest based on a pricing grid determined by leverage (either at LIBOR plus 4.25% with a LIBOR floor of 2.00% or, at our option, a base rate equal to the Prime rate plus a spread of 3.00%). The revolving credit facility matures May 1, 2011, and may be extended for an additional year, subject to certain conditions, including payment of a 45.0 basis point fee on the total revolving commitments and repayment of the remaining term loan balance by February 1, 2011.

At December 31, 2009, the term loan had an outstanding principal balance of \$90.0 million and an interest rate of 1.73%. We repaid \$45.0 million on the term loan through February 26, 2010, leaving a remaining outstanding balance of \$45.0 million. At December 31, 2009, we had no outstanding borrowings under the revolving credit facility. The amount available under the revolving credit facility at December 31, 2009, was \$136.2 million (after giving effect to \$43.8 million outstanding for undrawn letters of credit issued under the revolving credit facility). The proceeds of revolving loans are generally permitted to be used to fund working capital and for other corporate purposes.

Fair Value Measurements

We have entered into total rate of return swaps on various fixed rate secured tax-exempt bonds payable and fixed rate notes payable to convert these borrowings from a fixed rate to a variable rate and provide an efficient financing product to lower our cost of borrowing. We designate total rate of return swaps as hedges of the risk of overall changes in the fair value of the underlying borrowings. At each reporting period, we estimate the fair value of these borrowings and the total rate of return swaps and recognize any changes therein as an adjustment of interest expense.

Our method used to calculate the fair value of the total rate of return swaps generally results in changes in fair value that are equal to the changes in fair value of the related borrowings, which is consistent with our hedging strategy. We believe that these financial instruments are highly effective in offsetting the changes in fair value of the related borrowings during the hedging period, and accordingly, changes in the fair value of these instruments have no material impact on our liquidity, results of operations or capital resources.

During the year ended December 31, 2009, changes in the fair values of these financial instruments resulted in increases of \$5.2 million in the carrying amount of the hedged borrowings and equal decreases in accrued liabilities and other for total rate of return swaps. At December 31, 2009, the cumulative recognized changes in the fair value of

these financial instruments resulted in a \$24.3 million reduction in the carrying amount of the hedged borrowings offset by an equal increase in accrued liabilities and other for total rate of return swaps. The cumulative changes in the fair values of the hedged borrowings and related swaps reflect the recent uncertainty in the credit markets which has decreased demand and increased pricing for similar debt instruments.

40

Table of Contents

During the year ended December 31, 2009, we received net cash receipts of \$19.4 million under the total return swaps, which positively affected our liquidity. To the extent interest rates increase above the fixed rates on the underlying borrowings, our obligations under the total return swaps will negatively affect our liquidity. At December 31, 2009, we were not required to provide cash collateral pursuant to the total rate of return swaps. In the event the values of the real estate properties serving as collateral under these agreements decline, we may be required to provide additional collateral pursuant to the swap agreements, which would adversely affect our liquidity.

See Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8 for more information on our total rate of return swaps and related borrowings.

Equity Transactions

During the year ended December 31, 2009, we paid cash dividends or distributions totaling \$52.2 million, \$95.3 million and \$28.5 million to preferred stockholders, common stockholders and noncontrolling interests in the Aimco Operating Partnership, respectively. Additionally, we paid dividends totaling \$149.0 million to common stockholders through the issuance of approximately 15.5 million shares. During the year ended December 31, 2009, we paid distributions of \$91.9 million to noncontrolling interests in consolidated real estate partnerships.

During the year ended December 31, 2009, we repurchased 12 shares, or \$6.0 million in liquidation preference, of CRA Preferred Stock for \$4.2 million.

We and the Aimco Operating Partnership have a shelf registration statement that provides for the issuance of debt and equity securities by Aimco and debt securities by the Aimco Operating Partnership.

Contractual Obligations

This table summarizes information contained elsewhere in this Annual Report regarding payments due under contractual obligations and commitments as of December 31, 2009 (amounts in thousands):

	Total	Less than One Year	1-3 Years	3-5 Years	More than 5 Years
Scheduled long-term debt maturities(1) Scheduled long-term debt maturities	\$ 5,600,310	\$ 105,294	\$ 660,733	\$ 868,615	\$ 3,965,668
related to properties classified as held for sale(1) Term loan(1)(2)	29,177 90,000	519	11,206 90,000	868	16,584
Redevelopment and other construction commitments Leases for space occupied(3) Other obligations(4)	4,795 24,888 4,605	4,795 7,345 4,605	10,856	4,859	1,828
Total	\$ 5,753,775	\$ 122,558	\$ 772,795	\$ 874,342	\$ 3,984,080

(1)

Scheduled debt maturities presented above include amortization and the maturities in 2010 consist primarily of amortization. The scheduled maturities presented above exclude related interest amounts. Refer to Note 6 in the consolidated financial statements in Item 8 for a description of average interest rates associated with our debt.

- (2) After payments of \$45.0 million through February 26, 2010, the term loan had an outstanding balance of \$45.0 million.
- (3) Inclusive of leased space that has been abandoned as part of our organizational restructuring in 2008 (see *Restructuring Costs* in Note 3 to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8).
- (4) Represents a commitment to fund \$4.6 million in second mortgage loans on certain properties in West Harlem, New York City.

In addition to the amounts presented in the table above, at December 31, 2009, we had \$690.5 million of outstanding preferred stock outstanding with annual dividend yields ranging from 1.5% (variable) to 9.4%, and

41

Table of Contents

\$85.7 million of preferred units of the Aimco Operating Partnership outstanding with annual distribution yields ranging from 5.9% to 9.5%.

Additionally, we may enter into commitments to purchase goods and services in connection with the operations of our properties. Those commitments generally have terms of one year or less and reflect expenditure levels comparable to our historical expenditures.

Future Capital Needs

In addition to the items set forth in Contractual Obligations above, we expect to fund any future acquisitions, additional redevelopment projects, capital improvements and capital replacement principally with proceeds from property sales (including tax-free exchange proceeds), short-term borrowings, debt and equity financing (including tax credit equity) and operating cash flows.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We own general and limited partner interests in unconsolidated real estate partnerships, in which our total ownership interests typically range from less than 1% to 50% and in some instances may exceed 50%. There are no lines of credit, side agreements, or any other derivative financial instruments related to or between our unconsolidated real estate partnerships and us and no material exposure to financial guarantees. Accordingly, our maximum risk of loss related to these unconsolidated real estate partnerships is limited to the aggregate carrying amount of our investment in the unconsolidated real estate partnerships and any outstanding notes receivable as reported in our consolidated financial statements (see Note 4 of the consolidated financial statements in Item 8 for additional information about our investments in unconsolidated real estate partnerships).

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Our primary market risk exposure relates to changes in base interest rates, credit risk spreads and availability of credit. We are not subject to any other material market rate or price risks. We use predominantly long-term, fixed-rate non-recourse property debt in order to avoid the refunding and repricing risks of short-term borrowings. We use short-term debt financing and working capital primarily to fund short-term uses and acquisitions and generally expect to refinance such borrowings with cash from operating activities, property sales proceeds, long-term debt or equity financings. We use total rate-of-return swaps to obtain the benefit of variable rates on certain of our fixed rate debt instruments. We make limited use of other derivative financial instruments and we do not use them for trading or other speculative purposes.

We had \$654.6 million of floating rate debt and \$67.0 million of floating rate preferred stock outstanding at December 31, 2009. Of the total floating rate debt, the major components were floating rate tax-exempt bond financing (\$433.9 million), floating rate secured notes (\$122.2 million) and a term loan (\$90.0 million). At December 31, 2009, we had approximately \$440.9 million in cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash and notes receivable, the majority of which bear interest. The effect of our interest-bearing assets would partially reduce the effect of an increase in variable interest rates. Historically, changes in tax-exempt interest rates have been at a ratio of less than 1:1 with changes in taxable interest rates. Floating rate tax-exempt bond financing is benchmarked against the SIFMA rate, which since 1989 has averaged 73% of the 30-day LIBOR rate. If the historical relationship continues, on an annual basis, we estimate that an increase in 30-day LIBOR of 100 basis points (73 basis points for tax-exempt interest rates) with constant credit risk spreads would result in net income and our net income attributable to Aimco common stockholders being reduced by \$1.1 million and \$1.5 million, respectively.

We estimate the fair value for our debt instruments using present value techniques that include income and market valuation approaches with market rates for debt with the same or similar terms. Present value calculations vary depending on the assumptions used, including the discount rate and estimates of future cash flows. In many cases, the fair value estimates may not be realizable in immediate settlement of the instruments. The estimated aggregate fair value of our consolidated debt (including amounts reported in liabilities related to assets held for sale) was approximately \$5.7 billion and \$6.7 billion at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The combined carrying value of our consolidated debt (including amounts reported in liabilities related to assets held for sale) was approximately \$5.7 billion and \$6.8 billion at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. See Note 6 and Note 7 to

42

Table of Contents

the consolidated financial statements in Item 8 for further details on our consolidated debt. Refer to *Derivative Financial Instruments* in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8 for further discussion regarding certain of our fixed rate debt that is subject to total rate of return swap instruments. If market rates for our fixed-rate debt were higher by 100 basis points with constant credit risk spreads, the estimated fair value of our debt discussed above would have decreased from \$5.7 billion to \$5.4 billion. If market rates for our debt discussed above were lower by 100 basis points with constant credit risk spreads, the estimated fair value of our fixed-rate debt would have increased from \$5.7 billion to \$6.1 billion.

At December 31, 2009, we had swap positions with two financial institutions totaling \$353.1 million. The related swap agreements provide for collateral calls to maintain specified loan-to-value ratios. In the event the values of the real estate properties serving as collateral under these agreements decline, we may be required to provide additional collateral pursuant to the swap agreements, which would adversely affect our cash flows. At December 31, 2009, we were not required to provide cash collateral based on the loan-to-value ratios of the real estate properties serving as collateral under these agreements.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

The independent registered public accounting firm s report, consolidated financial statements and schedule listed in the accompanying index are filed as part of this report and incorporated herein by this reference. See Index to Financial Statements on page F-1 of this Annual Report.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, with the participation of our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act)) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on such evaluation, our chief executive officer and chief financial officer have concluded that, as of the end of such period, our disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

Management s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Internal control over financial reporting is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act as a process designed by, or under the supervision of, our principal executive and principal financial officers and effected by our Board of Directors, management and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and includes those policies and procedures that:

pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of assets;

provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures are

being made only in accordance with authorizations of our management and directors; and

provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risks that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

43

Table of Contents

Management assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009. In making this assessment, management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in *Internal Control-Integrated Framework*.

Based on their assessment, management concluded that, as of December 31, 2009, our internal control over financial reporting is effective.

Our independent registered public accounting firm has issued an attestation report on our internal control over financial reporting.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the fourth quarter of 2009 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

44

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Stockholders and Board of Directors Apartment Investment and Management Company

We have audited Apartment Investment and Management Company s (the Company) internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). The Company s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the company s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of the Company as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the related consolidated statements of income, equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2009, and our report dated February 26, 2010 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Denver, Colorado February 26, 2010

Table of Contents

Item 9B. Other Information

None.

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

The information required by this item is presented under the captions Board of Directors and Executive Officers, Corporate Governance Matters Code of Ethics, Other Matters Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance, Corporate Governance Matters Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, Corporate Governance Matters Audit Committee and Corporate Governance Matters Audit Committee Financial Expert in the proxy statement for our 2010 annual meeting of stockholders and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

The information required by this item is presented under the captions Compensation Discussion & Analysis, Compensation and Human Resources Committee Report to Stockholders, Summary Compensation Table, Grants of Plan-Based Awards in 2009, Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year End 2009, Option Exercises and Stock Vested in 2009, Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control and Corporate Governance Matters Director Compensation in the proxy statement for our 2010 annual meeting of stockholders and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

The information required by this item is presented under the captions Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans in the proxy statement for our 2010 annual meeting of stockholders and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

The information required by this item is presented under the caption Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Corporate Governance Matters Independence of Directors in the proxy statement for our 2010 annual meeting of stockholders and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

The information required by this item is presented under the caption Principal Accountant Fees and Services in the proxy statement for our 2010 annual meeting of stockholders and is incorporated herein by reference.

46

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

- (a)(1) The financial statements listed in the Index to Financial Statements on Page F-1 of this report are filed as part of this report and incorporated herein by reference.
- (a)(2) The financial statement schedule listed in the Index to Financial Statements on Page F-1 of this report is filed as part of this report and incorporated herein by reference.
- (a)(3) The Exhibit Index is incorporated herein by reference.

INDEX TO EXHIBITS (1)(2)

Exhibit No. Description

- 3.1 Charter (Exhibit 3.1 to Aimco s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, is incorporated herein by this reference)
- 3.2 Amended and Restated Bylaws (Exhibit 3.2 to Aimco s Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 4, 2010, is incorporated herein by this reference)
- 10.1 Fourth Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of AIMCO Properties, L.P., dated as of July 29, 1994, as amended and restated as of February 28, 2007 (Exhibit 10.1 to Aimco s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006, is incorporated herein by this reference)
- 10.2 First Amendment to Fourth Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of AIMCO Properties, L.P., dated as of December 31, 2007 (Exhibit 10.1 to Aimco s Current Report on Form 8-K, dated December 31, 2007, is incorporated herein by this reference)
- 10.3 Second Amendment to the Fourth Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of AIMCO Properties, L.P., dated as of July 30, 2009 (Exhibit 10.1 to Aimco s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2009, is incorporated herein by this reference)
- 10.4 Amended and Restated Secured Credit Agreement, dated as of November 2, 2004, by and among Aimco, AIMCO Properties, L.P., AIMCO/Bethesda Holdings, Inc., and NHP Management Company as the borrowers and Bank of America, N.A., Keybank National Association, and the Lenders listed therein (Exhibit 4.1 to Aimco s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2004, is incorporated herein by this reference)
- 10.5 First Amendment to Amended and Restated Secured Credit Agreement, dated as of June 16, 2005, by and among Aimco, AIMCO Properties, L.P., AIMCO/Bethesda Holdings, Inc., and NHP Management Company as the borrowers and Bank of America, N.A., Keybank National Association, and the Lenders listed therein (Exhibit 10.1 to Aimco s Current Report on Form 8-K, dated June 16, 2005, is incorporated herein by this reference)
- 10.6 Second Amendment to Amended and Restated Senior Secured Credit Agreement, dated as of March 22, 2006, by and among Aimco, AIMCO Properties, L.P., and AIMCO/Bethesda Holdings, Inc., as the borrowers, and Bank of America, N.A., Keybank National Association, and the lenders listed therein (Exhibit 10.1 to Aimco s Current Report on Form 8-K, dated March 22, 2006, is incorporated herein by this reference)
- 10.7 Third Amendment to Senior Secured Credit Agreement, dated as of August 31, 2007, by and among Apartment Investment and Management Company, AIMCO Properties, L.P., and AIMCO/Bethesda

Holdings, Inc., as the Borrowers, the pledgors and guarantors named therein, Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent and Bank of America, N.A., Keybank National Association and the other lenders listed therein (Exhibit 10.1 to Aimco s Current Report on Form 8-K, dated August 31, 2007, is incorporated herein by this reference)

47

Exhibit No. Description

- 10.8 Fourth Amendment to Senior Secured Credit Agreement, dated as of September 14, 2007, by and among Apartment Investment and Management Company, AIMCO Properties, L.P., and AIMCO/Bethesda Holdings, Inc., as the Borrowers, the pledgors and guarantors named therein, Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent and Bank of America, N.A., Keybank National Association and the other lenders listed therein (Exhibit 10.1 to Aimco s Current Report on Form 8-K, dated September 14, 2007, is incorporated herein by this reference)
- 10.9 Fifth Amendment to Senior Secured Credit Agreement, dated as of September 9, 2008, by and among Apartment Investment and Management Company, AIMCO Properties, L.P., and AIMCO/Bethesda Holdings, Inc., as the Borrowers, the pledgors and guarantors named therein, Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent and Bank of America, N.A., Keybank National Association and the other lenders listed therein (Exhibit 10.1 to Aimco s Current Report on Form 8-K, dated September 11, 2008, is incorporated herein by this reference)
- 10.10 Sixth Amendment to Senior Secured Credit Agreement, dated as of May 1, 2009, by and among Apartment Investment and Management Company, AIMCO Properties, L.P., and AIMCO/Bethesda Holdings, Inc., as the Borrowers, the pledgors and guarantors named therein, Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent and Bank of America, N.A., Keybank National Association and the other lenders listed therein (Exhibit 10.1 to Aimco s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2009, is incorporated herein by this reference)
- 10.11 Seventh Amendment to Senior Secured Credit Agreement, dated as of August 4, 2009, by and among Apartment Investment and Management Company, AIMCO Properties, L.P., and AIMCO/Bethesda Holdings, Inc., as the Borrowers, the pledgors and guarantors named therein and the lenders party thereto (Exhibit 10.1 to Aimco s Current Report on Form 8-K, dated August 6, 2009, is incorporated herein by this reference)
- 10.12 Eighth Amendment to Senior Secured Credit Agreement, dated as of February 3, 2010, by and among Apartment Investment and Management Company, AIMCO Properties, L.P., and AIMCO/Bethesda Holdings, Inc., as the Borrowers, the pledgors and guarantors named therein and the lenders party thereto (Exhibit 10.1 to Aimco s Current Report on Form 8-K, dated February 5, 2010, is incorporated herein by this reference)
- 10.13 Master Indemnification Agreement, dated December 3, 2001, by and among Apartment Investment and Management Company, AIMCO Properties, L.P., XYZ Holdings LLC, and the other parties signatory thereto (Exhibit 2.3 to Aimco s Current Report on Form 8-K, dated December 6, 2001, is incorporated herein by this reference)
- 10.14 Tax Indemnification and Contest Agreement, dated December 3, 2001, by and among Apartment Investment and Management Company, National Partnership Investments, Corp., and XYZ Holdings LLC and the other parties signatory thereto (Exhibit 2.4 to Aimco s Current Report on Form 8-K, dated December 6, 2001, is incorporated herein by this reference)
- 10.15 Limited Liability Company Agreement of AIMCO JV Portfolio #1, LLC dated as of December 30, 2003 by and among AIMCO BRE I, LLC, AIMCO BRE II, LLC and SRV-AJVP#1, LLC (Exhibit 10.54 to Aimco s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003, is incorporated herein by this reference)
- 10.16 Employment Contract executed on December 29, 2008, by and between AIMCO Properties, L.P. and Terry Considine (Exhibit 10.1 to Aimco s Current Report on Form 8-K, dated December 29, 2008, is incorporated herein by this reference)*
- 10.17 Apartment Investment and Management Company 1997 Stock Award and Incentive Plan (October 1999) (Exhibit 10.26 to Aimco s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999, is incorporated herein by this reference)*

10.18 Form of Restricted Stock Agreement (1997 Stock Award and Incentive Plan) (Exhibit 10.11 to Aimco s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 1997, is incorporated herein by this reference)*

48

Table of Contents

Exhibit No. Description

- 10.19 Form of Incentive Stock Option Agreement (1997 Stock Award and Incentive Plan) (Exhibit 10.42 to Aimco s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1998, is incorporated herein by this reference)*
- 10.20 2007 Stock Award and Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Appendix A to Aimco s Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 20, 2007)*
- 10.21 Form of Restricted Stock Agreement (Exhibit 10.2 to Aimco s Current Report on Form 8-K, dated April 30, 2007, is incorporated herein by this reference)*
- 10.22 Form of Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement (Exhibit 10.3 to Aimco s Current Report on Form 8-K, dated April 30, 2007, is incorporated herein by this reference)*
- 10.23 2007 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (incorporated by reference to Appendix B to Aimco s Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 20, 2007)*
- 21.1 List of Subsidiaries
- 23.1 Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.1 Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.2 Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 99.1 Agreement re: disclosure of long-term debt instruments
- (1) Schedule and supplemental materials to the exhibits have been omitted but will be provided to the Securities and Exchange Commission upon request.
- (2) The file reference number for all exhibits is 001-13232, and all such exhibits remain available pursuant to the Records Control Schedule of the Securities and Exchange Commission.

10

^{*} Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Apartment Investment And Management Company

By: /s/ Terry Considine

Terry Considine Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer

Date: February 26, 2010

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ Terry Considine	Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer	February 26, 2010
Terry Considine	(principal executive officer)	
/s/ Ernest M. Freedman	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	February 26, 2010
Ernest M. Freedman	(principal financial officer)	
/s/ Paul Beldin	Senior Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer	February 26, 2010
Paul Beldin	(principal accounting officer)	
/s/ James N. Bailey	Director	February 26, 2010
James N. Bailey		
/s/ Richard S. Ellwood	Director	February 26, 2010
Richard S. Ellwood		
/s/ Thomas L. Keltner	Director	February 26, 2010
Thomas L. Keltner		
/s/ J. Landis Martin	Director	February 26, 2010
J. Landis Martin		

/s/ Robert A. Miller

Robert A. Miller

/s/ Michael A. Stein

Director

February 26, 2010

February 26, 2010

Michael A. Stein

50

(This page intentionally left blank)

APARTMENT INVESTMENT AND MANAGEMENT COMPANY

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

F-2
F-3
F-4
F-5
F-6
F-8
F-53

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Stockholders and Board of Directors Apartment Investment and Management Company

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Apartment Investment and Management Company (the Company) as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the related consolidated statements of income, equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2009. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the accompanying Index to Financial Statements. These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of the Company at December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2009, in conformity with United States generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects the information set forth therein.

The consolidated financial statements include retroactive adjustments to reflect the adoption in 2009 of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 160, Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements, an amendment to ARB 51 (codified in FASB ASC 810), Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 141(R), Business Combinations—a replacement of FASB Statement No 141 (codified in FASB ASC 805), FASB Staff Position No. EITF 03-6-1, Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions are Participating Securities (codified in FASB ASC 260), and FASB Accounting Standards Update No. 2010-01, Accounting for Distributions to Shareholders with Components of Stock and Cash (codified in FASB ASC 505). Further, the Company retrospectively adjusted the 2008 and 2007 consolidated financial statements to reflect real estate assets that meet the definition of a component and have been sold or meet the criteria to be classified as held for sale at December 31, 2009 pursuant to Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets (codified in FASB ASC 360), through December 31, 2009. As discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, the consolidated statement of income for the year ended December 31, 2008 has been restated to reclassify provisions for impairment losses on real estate development assets into operating income.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated February 26, 2010 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ ERNST & YOUNG LLP

Denver, Colorado February 26, 2010

F-2

APARTMENT INVESTMENT AND MANAGEMENT COMPANY

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

As of December 31, 2009 and 2008 (In thousands, except share data)

		2009		2008
ASSETS				
Real estate:				
Buildings and improvements	\$	7,479,480	\$	7,278,734
Land		2,183,927		2,167,574
Total real estate		9,663,407		9,446,308
Less accumulated depreciation		(2,701,046)		(2,320,671)
Net real estate		6,962,361		7,125,637
Cash and cash equivalents		81,260		299,676
Restricted cash		220,037		253,315
Accounts receivable, net		59,822		90,318
Accounts receivable from affiliates, net		23,744		38,978
Deferred financing costs, net		52,725		51,568
Notes receivable from unconsolidated real estate partnerships, net		14,295		22,567
Notes receivable from non-affiliates, net		125,269		139,897
Investment in unconsolidated real estate partnerships		105,324		119,036
Other assets		185,890		198,713
Deferred income tax assets, net		42,015		28,326
Assets held for sale		33,726		1,073,839
Total assets	\$	7,906,468	\$	9,441,870
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY				
Property tax-exempt bond financing	\$	574,926	\$	629,499
Property loans payable	Ψ	4,972,327	Ψ	4,944,324
Term loans		90,000		400,000
Other borrowings		53,057		95,981
Total indebtedness		5,690,310		6,069,804
Accounts payable		29,819		64,241
Accrued liabilities and other		286,328		569,996
Deferred income		182,485		193,810
Security deposits		35,764		37,244
Liabilities related to assets held for sale		30,403		771,878

Total liabilities	6,255,109	7,706,973
Preferred noncontrolling interests in Aimco Operating Partnership	86,656	88,148
Preferred stock subject to repurchase agreement (Note 11)	30,000	
Commitments and contingencies (Note 8)		
Equity:		
Perpetual Preferred Stock (Note 11)	660,500	696,500
Class A Common Stock, \$0.01 par value, 426,157,736 shares authorized,		
116,479,791 and 100,631,881 shares issued and outstanding, at December 31,		
2009 and 2008, respectively	1,165	1,006
Additional paid-in capital	3,072,665	2,910,002
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(1,138)	(2,249)
Notes due on common stock purchases	(1,392)	(3,607)
Distributions in excess of earnings	(2,492,082)	(2,335,628)
Total Aimco equity	1,239,718	1,266,024
Noncontrolling interests in consolidated real estate partnerships	316,177	380,725
Common noncontrolling interests in Aimco Operating Partnership	(21,192)	
Total equity	1,534,703	1,646,749
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 7,906,468	\$ 9,441,870

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-3

APARTMENT INVESTMENT AND MANAGEMENT COMPANY

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME For the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 (In thousands, except per share data)

	2009	2008 (as restated see Note 2)	2007
REVENUES:			
Rental and other property revenues	\$ 1,140,828	\$ 1,137,995	\$ 1,093,779
Property management revenues, primarily from affiliates	5,082	6,345	6,923
Asset management and tax credit revenues	49,853	98,830	73,755
Total revenues	1,195,763	1,243,170	1,174,457
OPERATING EXPENSES:			
Property operating expenses	521,161	526,238	503,890
Property management expenses	2,869	5,385	6,678
Investment management expenses	15,779	24,784	20,507
Depreciation and amortization	444,413	392,999	347,491
Provision for operating real estate impairment losses	2,329		1,080
Provision for impairment losses on real estate development assets		91,138	
General and administrative expenses	69,567	99,157	90,674
Other expenses, net	17,891	22,568	19,338
Restructuring costs	11,241	22,802	
Total operating expenses	1,085,250	1,185,071	989,658
Operating income	110,513	58,099	184,799
Interest income	9,341	19,914	43,222
Provision for losses on notes receivable, net	(21,549)	(17,577)	(2,010)
Interest expense	(324,160)	(324,118)	(313,038)
Equity in losses of unconsolidated real estate partnerships	(12,025)	(4,601)	(3,347)
Impairment losses related to unconsolidated real estate partnerships	(322)	(2,661)	
Gain on dispositions of unconsolidated real estate and other	22,494	99,864	24,470
Loss before income taxes and discontinued operations	(215,708)	(171,080)	(65,904)
Income tax benefit	18,671	53,202	19,795
Loss from continuing operations	(197,037)	(117,878)	(46,109)
Income from discontinued operations, net	152,237	744,880	171,615
Net (loss) income Noncontrolling interests:	(44,800)	627,002	125,506
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests in consolidated real estate partnerships	(22,541)	(155,727)	(92,165)

Edgar Filing: APARTMENT INVESTMENT & MANAGEMENT CO - Form 10-K

Net income attributable to preferred noncontrolling interests in Aimco Operating Partnership		(6,288)		(7,646)		(7,128)
Net loss (income) attributable to common noncontrolling interests in Aimco Operating Partnership		9,355		(51,622)		3,698
Total noncontrolling interests		(19,474)		(214,995)		(95,595)
Net (loss) income attributable to Aimco Net income attributable to Aimco preferred stockholders Net income attributable to participating securities		(64,274) (50,566)		412,007 (53,708) (6,985)		29,911 (66,016) (4,481)
Net (loss) income attributable to Aimco common stockholders	sockholders \$ (114,840) \$ 351,314					
Earnings (loss) per common share basic and diluted: Loss from continuing operations attributable to Aimco common stockholders	\$	(1.75)	\$	(2.10)	\$	(1.41)
Income from discontinued operations attributable to Aimco common stockholders	Φ	0.75	Ф	6.06	Ф	0.98
Net (loss) income attributable to Aimco common stockholders	\$	(1.00)	\$	3.96	\$	(0.43)
Weighted average common shares outstanding basic and diluted		114,301		88,690		95,107
Dividends declared per common share	\$	0.40	\$	7.48	\$	4.31

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-4

APARTMENT INVESTMENT AND MANAGEMENT COMPANY

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY For the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 (In thousands)

Prefer Shares ssued		Stock Amount	Common Shares Issued	ock nount	A	Additional Paid-in Capital	C Comp	umulated Other orehensi Loss	Cove	Notes Due on ommon Stock urchases	Distributions in Excess of Earnings	Total Aimco Equity		ncontro Interest
26042	4	000 500	0.6.000	0.50	4	2 00 7 7 6 4		(12.1)	4		. (4.777.000)	 	4	240.2
26,845	\$	823,500	96,820	\$ 968	\$	3,095,564	\$	(134)	\$	(4,714)	\$ (1,575,292)	\$ 2,339,892	\$	310,2
(1,905)		(100,000)				635					(2,635)	(102,000)	
											(764)	(764)	(
			471	5		27,848	;					27,853		(27,8
			(7,456)	(75)		(325,747	')					(325,822)	(2,1
										1,659		1,659		
			313	3		2,555	ï			(2,386)		172		
			1,403	14		53,705 19,224						53,719 19,224		

									2,9
									203,5
									62,8
									91,2
				(751)				(751)	
					(550)		29,911	(550) 29,911	3 88,4
							(406,121) (64,817)	(406,121) (64,817)	(252,8
24,940	723,500	91,551	915	2,873,033	(684)	(5,441)	(2,019,718)	1,571,605	476,7
	(27,000)	114	1	678 4,181			1,482	(24,840) 4,182	(4,1

		(13,919)	(139)	(473,393)				(473,532)	(3,1
						1,458		1,458	
		106	1	651		376		1,028	
				17,603				17,603	
		22,780	228	487,249				487,477	
									6,8
									14,9
					(1,565)		412,007	(1,565) 412,007	1 207,3
							(674,185)	(674,185)	(318,0
							(55,214)	(55,214)	
24,940	696,500	100,632	1,006	2,910,002	(2,249)	(3,607)	(2,335,628)	1,266,024	380,7
	(6,000) (30,000)			151			1,800	(4,049) (30,000)	
Та	ble of Conter	nts						106	

7,080

5

527

(9						
	763		763			
	148,746			148,590	156	15,548
	(26)		1,452	(1,476)	(2)	(227)
	8,007			8,007		
(9	3,228	2,917		311		
5,5						

7,085

(7,0

(1,1

1,111

Table of Contents 107

1,111

(64	1 ,274)	(64,2)	74)	13,1
(46	5,202)	(46,20	02)	(94,5
(50),695)	(50,6	95)	

24,940 \$ 660,500 116,480 \$ 1,165 \$ 3,072,665 \$ (1,138) \$ (1,392) \$ (2,492,082) \$ 1,239,718 \$ 294,9

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-5

Table of Contents

APARTMENT INVESTMENT AND MANAGEMENT COMPANY

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS For the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 (In thousands)

	2009		2008	2007		
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:						
Net (loss) income	\$	(44,800)	\$ 627,002	\$	125,506	
Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) income to net cash provided						
by operating activities:						
Depreciation and amortization		444,413	392,999		347,491	
Equity in losses of unconsolidated real estate partnerships		12,025	4,601		3,347	
Provision for impairment losses on real estate development assets			91,138			
Provision for operating real estate impairment losses		2,329			1,080	
Gain on dispositions of unconsolidated real estate and other		(22,494)	(99,864)		(24,470)	
Income tax benefit		(18,671)	(53,202)		(19,795)	
Stock-based compensation expense		6,666	13,833		14,921	
Amortization of deferred loan costs and other		10,845	9,950		7,916	
Distributions of earnings from unconsolidated entities		4,893	14,619		4,239	
Discontinued operations:						
Depreciation and amortization		51,155	122,549		152,446	
Gain on disposition of real estate		(221,793)	(800,335)		(117,627)	
Other adjustments to income from discontinued operations		53,975	67,214		(24,063)	
Changes in operating assets and operating liabilities:						
Accounts receivable		27,067	4,848		7,453	
Other assets		2,440	57,155		(9,751)	
Accounts payable, accrued liabilities and other		(74,238)	(12,139)		14,249	
Total adjustments		278,612	(186,634)		357,436	
Net cash provided by operating activities		233,812	440,368		482,942	
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:						
Purchases of real estate			(112,655)		(201,434)	
Capital expenditures		(300,344)	(665,233)		(689,719)	
Proceeds from dispositions of real estate		875,931	2,060,344		431,863	
Change in funds held in escrow from tax-free exchanges			345		25,863	
Proceeds from sale of interests and distributions from real estate						
partnerships		25,067	94,277		198,998	
Purchases of partnership interests and other assets		(6,842)	(28,121)		(86,204)	
Originations of notes receivable		(5,778)	(6,911)		(10,812)	
Proceeds from repayment of notes receivable		5,264	8,929		14,370	
Other investing activities		36,956	(6,106)		45,476	

Edgar Filing: APARTMENT INVESTMENT & MANAGEMENT CO - Form 10-K

Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	630,254	1,344,869	(271,599)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:			
Proceeds from property loans	772,443	949,549	1,552,048
Principal repayments on property loans	(1,076,318)	(1,291,543)	(850,484)
Proceeds from tax-exempt bond financing	15,727	50,100	82,350
Principal repayments on tax-exempt bond financing	(157,862)	(217,361)	(70,029)
(Payments on) borrowings under term loans	(310,000)	(75,000)	75,000
Net repayments on revolving credit facility			(140,000)
Proceeds from (payments on) other borrowings	(40,085)	21,367	(8,468)
Repurchases and redemptions of preferred stock	(4,200)	(24,840)	(102,000)
Repurchases of Class A Common Stock		(502,296)	(307,382)
Proceeds from Class A Common Stock option exercises		481	53,719
Payment of Class A Common Stock dividends	(95,335)	(212,286)	(230,806)
Payment of preferred stock dividends	(52,215)	(55,215)	(67,100)
Payment of distributions to noncontrolling interests	(120,361)	(330,582)	(198,090)
Other financing activities	(14,276)	(8,396)	(19,464)
Net cash used in financing activities	(1,082,482)	(1,696,022)	(230,706)
NET (DECREASE) INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH	(210 416)	20.215	(10.2(2)
EQUIVALENTS	(218,416)	89,215	(19,363)
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT BEGINNING OF YEAR	299,676	210,461	229,824
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF YEAR	\$ 81,260	\$ 299,676	\$ 210,461

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-6

Table of Contents

APARTMENT INVESTMENT AND MANAGEMENT COMPANY

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS For the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 (In thousands)

	2009	2008	2007
SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION:			
Interest paid	\$ 348,341	\$ 434,645	\$ 452,324
Cash paid for income taxes	4,560	13,780	2,994
Non-cash transactions associated with the acquisition of real			
estate and interests in unconsolidated real estate partnerships:			
Secured debt assumed in connection with purchase of real			
estate			16,000
Issuance of OP Units for interests in unconsolidated real estate			
partnerships and acquisitions of real estate			2,998
Non-cash transactions associated with the disposition of real			
estate:			
Secured debt assumed in connection with the disposition of real			
estate	314,265	157,394	27,929
Issuance of notes receivable connection with the disposition of			
real estate	3,605	10,372	
Non-cash transactions associated with consolidation of real			
estate partnerships:	6.050	25.020	56.077
Real estate, net	6,058	25,830	56,877
Investments in and notes receivable primarily from affiliated	4.226	4 407	04.545
entities Provide Inc. 1 of the Inc. 1	4,326	4,497	84,545
Restricted cash and other assets	(1,682)	5,483	8,545
Secured debt	2,031	22,036	41,296
Accounts payable, accrued and other liabilities Other non-cash transactions:	6,769	14,020	48,602
	7.005	4 102	27.910
Redemption of common OP Units for Class A Common Stock	7,085	4,182	27,810
Conversion of preferred OP Units for Class A Common Stock			43
(Cancellation) origination of notes receivable from officers for	(1.452)	(285)	2 206
Class A Common Stock purchases, net Common stock issued pursuant to special dividends (Note 11)	(1,452) (148,746)	(385) (487,477)	2,386
Common stock issued pursuant to special dividends (Note 11)	(140,740)	(407,477)	

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-7

Table of Contents

APARTMENT INVESTMENT AND MANAGEMENT COMPANY

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS December 31, 2009

NOTE 1 Organization

Apartment Investment and Management Company, or Aimco, is a Maryland corporation incorporated on January 10, 1994. We are a self-administered and self-managed real estate investment trust, or REIT, engaged in the acquisition, ownership, management and redevelopment of apartment properties. As of December 31, 2009, we owned or managed a real estate portfolio of 870 apartment properties containing 135,654 apartment units located in 44 states, the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico. We are one of the largest owners and operators of apartment properties in the United States.

As of December 31, 2009, we:

owned an equity interest in and consolidated 95,202 units in 426 properties (which we refer to as consolidated properties), of which 93,098 units were also managed by us;

owned an equity interest in and did not consolidate 8,478 units in 77 properties (which we refer to as unconsolidated properties), of which 3,594 units were also managed by us; and

provided services for or managed 31,974 units in 367 properties, primarily pursuant to long-term agreements (including 29,879 units in 345 properties for which we provide asset management services only, and not also property management services). In certain cases, we may indirectly own generally less than one percent of the operations of such properties through a partnership syndication or other fund.

Through our wholly-owned subsidiaries, AIMCO-GP, Inc. and AIMCO-LP Trust, we own a majority of the ownership interests in AIMCO Properties, L.P., which we refer to as the Aimco Operating Partnership. As of December 31, 2009, we held an interest of approximately 93% in the common partnership units and equivalents of the Aimco Operating Partnership. We conduct substantially all of our business and own substantially all of our assets through the Aimco Operating Partnership. Interests in the Aimco Operating Partnership that are held by limited partners other than Aimco are referred to as OP Units. OP Units include common OP Units, partnership preferred units, or preferred OP Units, and high performance partnership units, or High Performance Units. The Aimco Operating Partnership s income is allocated to holders of common OP Units based on the weighted average number of common OP Units outstanding during the period. The Aimco Operating Partnership records the issuance of common OP Units and the assets acquired in purchase transactions based on the market price of Aimco Class A Common Stock (which we refer to as Common Stock) at the date of closing of the transaction. The holders of the common OP Units and Class I High Performance Units receive distributions, prorated from the date of issuance, in an amount equivalent to the dividends paid to holders of Common Stock. Holders of common OP Units may redeem such units for cash or, at the Aimco Operating Partnership s option, Common Stock. During 2009, 2008 and 2007, the weighted average ownership interest in the Aimco Operating Partnership held by the common OP Unit holders was approximately 7%, 10% and 9%, respectively. Preferred OP Units entitle the holders thereof to a preference with respect to distributions or upon liquidation. At December 31, 2009, 116,479,791 shares of our Common Stock were outstanding and the Aimco Operating Partnership had 8,374,233 common OP Units and equivalents outstanding for a combined total of 124,854,024 shares of Common Stock and OP Units outstanding (excluding preferred OP Units).

Except as the context otherwise requires, we, our, us and the Company refer to Aimco, the Aimco Operating Partnership and their consolidated entities, collectively.

NOTE 2 Basis of Presentation and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Aimco, the Aimco Operating Partnership, and their consolidated entities. We consolidate all variable interest entities for which we are the primary beneficiary. Generally, we consolidate real estate partnerships and other entities that are not variable

F-8

Table of Contents

interest entities when we own, directly or indirectly, a majority voting interest in the entity or are otherwise able to control the entity. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Interests in the Aimco Operating Partnership that are held by limited partners other than Aimco are reflected in the accompanying balance sheets as noncontrolling interests in Aimco Operating Partnership. Interests in partnerships consolidated into the Aimco Operating Partnership that are held by third parties are reflected in the accompanying balance sheets as noncontrolling interests in consolidated real estate partnerships. The assets of consolidated real estate partnerships owned or controlled by us generally are not available to pay creditors of Aimco or the Aimco Operating Partnership.

As used herein, and except where the context otherwise requires, partnership refers to a limited partnership or a limited liability company and partner refers to a partner in a limited partnership or a member in a limited liability company.

Variable Interest Entities

We consolidate all variable interest entities for which we are the primary beneficiary. Generally, a variable interest entity, or VIE, is an entity with one or more of the following characteristics: (a) the total equity investment at risk is not sufficient to permit the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support; (b) as a group, the holders of the equity investment at risk lack (i) the ability to make decisions about an entity s activities through voting or similar rights, (ii) the obligation to absorb the expected losses of the entity, or (iii) the right to receive the expected residual returns of the entity; or (c) the equity investors have voting rights that are not proportional to their economic interests and substantially all of the entity s activities either involve, or are conducted on behalf of, an investor that has disproportionately few voting rights. The primary beneficiary generally is the entity that will receive a majority of the VIE s expected losses, receive a majority of the VIE s expected residual returns, or both.

In determining whether we are the primary beneficiary of a VIE, we consider qualitative and quantitative factors, including, but not limited to: the amount and characteristics of our investment; the obligation or likelihood for us or other investors to provide financial support; our and the other investors—ability to control or significantly influence key decisions for the VIE; and the similarity with and significance to the business activities of us and the other investors. Significant judgments related to these determinations include estimates about the current and future fair values and performance of real estate held by these VIEs and general market conditions.

As of December 31, 2009, we were the primary beneficiary of, and therefore consolidated, 90 VIEs, which owned 67 apartment properties with 9,652 units. Real estate with a carrying amount of \$769.4 million collateralized \$474.3 million of debt of those VIEs. The creditors of the consolidated VIEs do not have recourse to our general credit. As of December 31, 2009, we also held variable interests in 120 VIEs for which we were not the primary beneficiary. Those VIEs consist primarily of partnerships that are engaged, directly or indirectly, in the ownership and management of 172 apartment properties with 9,566 units. We are involved with those VIEs as an equity holder, lender, management agent, or through other contractual relationships. At December 31, 2009, our maximum exposure to loss as a result of our involvement with unconsolidated VIEs is limited to our recorded investments in and receivables from those VIEs totaling \$107.5 million and our contractual obligation to advance funds to certain VIEs totaling \$4.6 million. We may be subject to additional losses to the extent of any financial support that we voluntarily provide in the future. Additionally, the provision of financial support in the future may require us to consolidate a VIE.

In December 2009, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2009-17, *Improvements to Financial Reporting by Enterprises Involved with Variable Interest Entities*, or ASU 2009-17, which is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2009. ASU 2009-17, which modifies the guidance in FASB ASC Topic 810, introduces a more qualitative approach to evaluating VIEs for consolidation and requires a company to perform an analysis to determine whether its variable interests give it a controlling financial interest in a VIE. This analysis identifies the primary beneficiary of a VIE as the entity that has (a) the power to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly impact the VIE s economic performance, and (b) the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits that could potentially be significant to the VIE. In determining whether it has the power to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly affect the VIE s performance, ASU 2009-17 requires a company to

F-9

Table of Contents

assess whether it has an implicit financial responsibility to ensure that a VIE operates as designed, requires continuous reassessment of primary beneficiary status rather than periodic, event-driven assessments as previously required, and incorporates expanded disclosure requirements.

Our adoption of ASU 2009-17 during 2010 may result in changes in our conclusions regarding whether we are required to consolidate certain unconsolidated real estate partnerships that are VIEs. As of December 31, 2009, in addition to the unconsolidated VIEs discussed above, we held insignificant partnership interests in VIEs that own approximately 250 properties. We hold general and/or limited partner interests generally ranging from less than 1% to 5% and our recorded investment in these entities is typically limited to accounts receivable from our provision of property management and asset management services to these partnerships. We may be required to consolidate some of these VIEs if we conclude that we control the activities that are significant to the VIEs economic performance. Additionally, we may be required to deconsolidate certain VIEs that we currently consolidate if we conclude we do not control the activities that are significant to such VIEs economic performance. We have not yet completed our evaluation of ASU 2009-17 and therefore have not determined the effect our adoption of ASU 2009-17 will have on our consolidated financial statements.

Acquisition of Real Estate Assets and Related Depreciation and Amortization

We capitalize the purchase price and incremental direct costs associated with the acquisition of properties as the cost of the assets acquired. We allocate the cost of acquired properties to tangible assets and identified intangible assets based on their fair values. We determine the fair value of tangible assets, such as land, building, furniture, fixtures and equipment, on an as-if vacant basis, generally using internal valuation techniques that consider comparable market transactions, discounted cash flow techniques, replacement costs and other available information. We determine the fair value of identified intangible assets (or liabilities), which typically relate to in-place leases, using internal valuation techniques that consider the terms of the in-place leases, current market data for comparable leases, and our experience in leasing similar properties. The intangible assets or liabilities related to in-place leases are comprised of:

- 1. The value of the above- and below-market leases in-place. An asset or liability is recognized based on the difference between (a) the contractual amounts to be paid pursuant to the in-place leases and (b) our estimate of fair market lease rates for the corresponding in-place leases, measured over the period, including estimated lease renewals for below-market leases, that the leases are expected to remain in effect.
- 2. The estimated unamortized portion of avoided leasing commissions and other costs that ordinarily would be incurred to acquire the in-place leases.
- 3. The value associated with vacant units during the absorption period (estimates of lost rental revenue during the expected lease-up periods based on current market demand and stabilized occupancy levels).

The values of the above- and below-market leases are amortized to rental revenue over the expected remaining terms of the associated leases. Other intangible assets related to in-place leases are amortized to depreciation and amortization over the expected remaining terms of the associated leases. Amortization is adjusted, as necessary, to reflect any early lease terminations that were not anticipated in determining amortization periods.

Depreciation for all tangible real estate assets is calculated using the straight-line method over their estimated useful lives. Acquired buildings and improvements are depreciated over a composite life of 14 to 52 years, based on the age, condition and other physical characteristics of the property. As discussed under *Impairment of Long Lived Assets* below, we may adjust depreciation of properties that are expected to be disposed of or demolished prior to the end of their useful lives. Furniture, fixtures and equipment associated with acquired properties are depreciated over five

years.

At December 31, 2009 and 2008, deferred income in our consolidated balance sheets includes below-market lease amounts totaling \$31.8 million and \$36.2 million, respectively, which are net of accumulated amortization of \$21.0 million and \$16.6 million, respectively. Additions to below-market leases resulting from acquisitions during the year ended December 31, 2007 totaled \$18.9 million, and there were no such additions during the years ended December 31, 2009 or 2008. During the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, we included amortization of below-market leases of \$4.4 million, \$4.4 million and \$4.6 million, respectively, in rental and other property

F-10

Table of Contents

revenues in our consolidated statements of income. During the year ended December 31, 2008, we revised the estimated fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed in acquisitions completed in 2007, resulting in a \$4.7 million reduction of below-market lease values and a corresponding reduction in buildings and improvements. At December 31, 2009, our below-market leases had a weighted average amortization period of 7.1 years and estimated aggregate amortization for each of the five succeeding years as follows (in millions):

	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Estimated amortization	\$ 3.9	\$ 3.6	\$ 3.2	\$ 2.8	\$ 2.5

Capital Additions and Related Depreciation

We capitalize costs, including certain indirect costs, incurred in connection with our capital additions activities, including redevelopment and construction projects, other tangible property improvements, and replacements of existing property components. Included in these capitalized costs are payroll costs associated with time spent by site employees in connection with the planning, execution and control of all capital additions activities at the property level. We characterize as indirect costs an allocation of certain department costs, including payroll, at the area operations and corporate levels that clearly relate to capital additions activities. We capitalize interest, property taxes and insurance during periods in which redevelopment and construction projects are in progress. We charge to expense as incurred costs that do not relate to capital expenditure activities, including ordinary repairs, maintenance, resident turnover costs and general and administrative expenses.

We depreciate capitalized costs using the straight-line method over the estimated useful life of the related component or improvement, which is generally five, 15 or 30 years. All capitalized site payroll and indirect costs are allocated proportionately, based on direct costs, among capital projects and depreciated over the estimated useful lives of such projects.

Certain homogeneous items that are purchased in bulk on a recurring basis, such as carpeting and appliances, are depreciated using group methods that reflect the average estimated useful life of the items in each group. Except in the case of property casualties, where the net book value of lost property is written off in the determination of casualty gains or losses, we generally do not recognize any loss in connection with the replacement of an existing property component because normal replacements are considered in determining the estimated useful lives used in connection with our composite and group depreciation methods.

For the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, for continuing and discontinued operations, we capitalized \$9.8 million, \$25.7 million and \$30.8 million, respectively, of interest costs, and \$40.0 million, \$78.1 million and \$78.1 million, respectively, of site payroll and indirect costs, respectively.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

Our real estate and other long-lived assets classified as held for use are stated at cost, less accumulated depreciation and amortization, unless the carrying amounts are not recoverable. If events or circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of a property may not be recoverable, we make an assessment of its recoverability by comparing the carrying amount to our estimate of the undiscounted future cash flows, excluding interest charges, of the property. If the carrying amount exceeds the aggregate undiscounted future cash flows, we recognize an impairment loss to the extent the carrying amount exceeds the estimated fair value of the property.

In connection with the preparation of our 2008 annual financial statements, we assessed the recoverability of our investment in our Lincoln Place property, located in Venice, California. Based upon the declines in land values in Southern California during 2008 and the expected timing of our redevelopment efforts, we determined that the total carrying amount of the property was no longer probable of full recovery and, accordingly, during the three months ended December 31, 2008, recognized an impairment loss of \$85.4 million (\$55.6 million net of tax).

Similarly, we assessed the recoverability of our investment in Pacific Bay Vistas (formerly Treetops), a vacant property located in San Bruno, California, and determined that the carrying amount of the property was no longer probable of full recovery and, accordingly, we recognized an impairment loss of \$5.7 million for this property during the three months ended December 31, 2008.

F-11

Table of Contents

In addition to the impairments of Lincoln Place and Pacific Bay Vistas, based on periodic tests of recoverability of long-lived assets, for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2007, we recorded real estate impairment losses of \$2.3 million and \$1.1 million, respectively, related to properties classified as held for use. For the year ended December 31, 2008, we recorded no similar impairment losses related to properties classified as held for use.

We report impairment losses or recoveries related to properties sold or classified as held for sale in discontinued operations.

Our tests of recoverability address real estate assets that do not currently meet all conditions to be classified as held for sale, but are expected to be disposed of prior to the end of their estimated useful lives. If an impairment loss is not required to be recorded, the recognition of depreciation is adjusted prospectively, as necessary, to reduce the carrying amount of the real estate to its estimated disposition value over the remaining period that the real estate is expected to be held and used. We also may adjust depreciation prospectively to reduce to zero the carrying amount of buildings that we plan to demolish in connection with a redevelopment project. These depreciation adjustments, after adjustments for noncontrolling interests, decreased net income available to Aimco common stockholders by \$18.3 million, \$10.7 million and \$33.8 million, and resulted in decreases in basic and diluted earnings per share of \$0.16, \$0.12 and \$0.35, for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Cash Equivalents

We classify highly liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less as cash equivalents.

Restricted Cash

Restricted cash includes capital replacement reserves, completion repair reserves, bond sinking fund amounts and tax and insurance escrow accounts held by lenders.

Accounts Receivable and Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

Accounts receivable are generally comprised of amounts receivable from residents, amounts receivable from non-affiliated real estate partnerships for which we provide property management and other services and other miscellaneous receivables from non-affiliated entities. We evaluate collectibility of accounts receivable from residents and establish an allowance, after the application of security deposits and other anticipated recoveries, for accounts greater than 30 days past due for current residents and all receivables due from former residents. Accounts receivable from residents are stated net of allowances for doubtful accounts of approximately \$1.4 million and \$3.3 million as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

We evaluate collectibility of accounts receivable from non-affiliated entities and establish an allowance for amounts that are considered to be uncollectible. Accounts receivable relating to non-affiliated entities are stated net of allowances for doubtful accounts of approximately \$5.4 million and \$5.0 million as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Accounts Receivable and Allowance for Doubtful Accounts from Affiliates

Accounts receivable from affiliates are generally comprised of receivables related to property management and other services provided to unconsolidated real estate partnerships in which we have an ownership interest. We evaluate collectibility of accounts receivable balances from affiliates on a periodic basis, and establish an allowance for the amounts deemed to be uncollectible. Accounts receivable from affiliates are stated net of allowances for doubtful

accounts of approximately \$1.9 million and \$2.8 million as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Deferred Costs

We defer lender fees and other direct costs incurred in obtaining new financing and amortize the amounts over the terms of the related loan agreements. Amortization of these costs is included in interest expense.

F-12

Table of Contents

We defer leasing commissions and other direct costs incurred in connection with successful leasing efforts and amortize the costs over the terms of the related leases. Amortization of these costs is included in depreciation and amortization.

Notes Receivable from Unconsolidated Real Estate Partnerships and Non-Affiliates and Related Interest Income and Provision for Losses

Notes receivable from unconsolidated real estate partnerships consist primarily of notes receivable from partnerships in which we are the general partner but do not consolidate the partnership. The ultimate repayment of these notes and those from non-affiliates is subject to a number of variables, including the performance and value of the underlying real estate property and the claims of unaffiliated mortgage lenders. Our notes receivable include loans extended by us that we carry at the face amount plus accrued interest, which we refer to as par value notes, and loans extended by predecessors whose positions we generally acquired at a discount, which we refer to as discounted notes.

We record interest income on par value notes as earned in accordance with the terms of the related loan agreements. We discontinue the accrual of interest on such notes when the notes are impaired, as discussed below, or when there is otherwise significant uncertainty as to the collection of interest. We record income on such nonaccrual loans using the cost recovery method, under which we apply cash receipts first to the recorded amount of the loan; thereafter, any additional receipts are recognized as income.

We recognize interest income on discounted notes receivable based upon whether the amount and timing of collections are both probable and reasonably estimable. We consider collections to be probable and reasonably estimable when the borrower has closed or entered into certain pending transactions (which include real estate sales, refinancings, foreclosures and rights offerings) that provide a reliable source of repayment. In such instances, we recognize accretion income, on a prospective basis using the effective interest method over the estimated remaining term of the loans, equal to the difference between the carrying amount of the discounted notes and the estimated collectible value. We record income on all other discounted notes using the cost recovery method.

We assess the collectibility of notes receivable on a periodic basis, which assessment consists primarily of an evaluation of cash flow projections of the borrower to determine whether estimated cash flows are sufficient to repay principal and interest in accordance with the contractual terms of the note. We recognize impairments on notes receivable when it is probable that principal and interest will not be received in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan. The amount of the impairment to be recognized generally is based on the fair value of the partnership s real estate that represents the primary source of loan repayment. In certain instances where other sources of cash flow are available to repay the loan, the impairment is measured by discounting the estimated cash flows at the loan s original effective interest rate. See Note 5 for further discussion of Notes Receivable.

Investments in Unconsolidated Real Estate Partnerships

We own general and limited partner interests in real estate partnerships that own apartment properties. We generally account for investments in real estate partnerships that we do not consolidate under the equity method. Under the equity method, our share of the earnings or losses of the entity for the periods being presented is included in equity in earnings (losses) from unconsolidated real estate partnerships, except for our share of impairments and property disposition gains related to such entities, which we report separately in the consolidated statements of income. Certain investments in real estate partnerships that were acquired in business combinations were determined to have insignificant value at the acquisition date and are accounted for under the cost method. Any distributions received from such partnerships are recognized as income when received.

The excess of the cost of the acquired partnership interests over the historical carrying amount of partners equity or deficit is ascribed generally to the fair values of land and buildings owned by the partnerships. We amortize the excess cost related to the buildings over the estimated useful lives of the buildings. Such amortization is recorded as a component of equity in earnings (losses) of unconsolidated real estate partnerships.

F-13

Table of Contents

Intangible Assets

At December 31, 2009 and 2008, other assets included goodwill associated with our real estate segment of \$71.8 million and \$81.9 million, respectively. We perform an annual impairment test of goodwill that compares the fair value of reporting units with their carrying amounts, including goodwill. We determined that our goodwill was not impaired in 2009, 2008 or 2007.

During the year ended December 31, 2009, we allocated \$10.1 million of goodwill related to our real estate segment to the carrying amounts of the properties sold or classified as held for sale. The amounts of goodwill allocated to these properties were based on the relative fair values of the properties sold or classified as held for sale and the retained portions of the reporting units to which the goodwill as allocated. During 2008 and 2007, we did not allocate any goodwill to properties sold or classified as held for sale as real estate properties were not considered businesses under then applicable accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, or GAAP.

Other assets also includes intangible assets for purchased management contracts with finite lives that we amortize on a straight-line basis over terms ranging from five to 20 years and intangible assets for in-place leases as discussed under *Acquisition of Real Estate Assets and Related Depreciation and Amortization*.

Capitalized Software Costs

Purchased software and other costs related to software developed for internal use are capitalized during the application development stage and are amortized using the straight-line method over the estimated useful life of the software, generally five years. We write-off the costs of software development projects when it is no longer probable that the software will be completed and placed in service. For the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, we capitalized software development costs totaling \$5.6 million, \$20.9 million and \$11.9 million, respectively. At December 31, 2009 and 2008, other assets included \$29.7 million and \$35.7 million of net capitalized software, respectively. During the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, we recognized amortization of capitalized software of \$11.5 million, \$10.0 million and \$10.8 million, respectively, which is included in depreciation and amortization in our consolidated statements of income.

During the year ended December 31, 2008, we reassessed our approach to communication technology needs at our properties, which resulted in the discontinuation of an infrastructure project and a \$5.4 million write-off of related hardware and capitalized internal and consulting costs included in other assets. The write-off, which is net of sales proceeds, is included in other expenses, net. During the year ended December 31, 2008, we additionally recorded a \$1.6 million write-off of certain software and hardware assets that are no longer consistent with our information technology strategy. This write-off is included in depreciation and amortization. During the year ended December 31, 2007, we abandoned certain internal-use software development projects and recorded a \$4.2 million write-off of the capitalized costs of such projects in depreciation and amortization. There were no similar write-offs during the year ended December 31, 2009.

Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Real Estate Partnerships

We report the unaffiliated partners interests in our consolidated real estate partnerships as noncontrolling interests in consolidated real estate partnerships. Noncontrolling interests in consolidated real estate partnerships represent the noncontrolling partners share of the underlying net assets of our consolidated real estate partnerships. Prior to 2009, when these consolidated real estate partnerships made cash distributions to partners in excess of the carrying amount of the noncontrolling interest, we generally recorded a charge equal to the amount of such excess distribution, even though there was no economic effect or cost. These charges are reported in the consolidated statements of income for

the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 within noncontrolling interests in consolidated real estate partnerships. Also prior to 2009, we allocated the noncontrolling partners—share of partnership losses to noncontrolling partners to the extent of the carrying amount of the noncontrolling interest. We generally recorded a charge when the noncontrolling partners—share of partnership losses exceed the carrying amount of the noncontrolling interest, even though there is no economic effect or cost. These charges are reported in the consolidated statements of income within noncontrolling interests in consolidated real estate partnerships. We did not record charges for distributions or losses in certain limited instances where the noncontrolling partner had a

F-14

Table of Contents

legal obligation and financial capacity to contribute additional capital to the partnership. For the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, we recorded charges for partnership losses resulting from depreciation of approximately \$9.0 million and \$12.2 million, respectively that were not allocated to noncontrolling partners because the losses exceeded the carrying amount of the noncontrolling interest.

Noncontrolling interests in consolidated real estate partnerships consist primarily of equity interests held by limited partners in consolidated real estate partnerships that have finite lives. The terms of the related partnership agreements generally require the partnership to be liquidated following the sale of the partnership s real estate. As the general partner in these partnerships, we ordinarily control the execution of real estate sales and other events that could lead to the liquidation, redemption or other settlement of noncontrolling interests. The aggregate carrying amount of noncontrolling interests in consolidated real estate partnerships is approximately \$316.2 million at December 31, 2009. The aggregate fair value of these interests varies based on the fair value of the real estate owned by the partnerships. Based on the number of classes of finite-life noncontrolling interests, the number of properties in which there is direct or indirect noncontrolling ownership, complexities in determining the allocation of liquidation proceeds among partners and other factors, we believe it is impracticable to determine the total required payments to the noncontrolling interests in an assumed liquidation at December 31, 2009. As a result of real estate depreciation that is recognized in our financial statements and appreciation in the fair value of real estate that is not recognized in our financial statements, we believe that the aggregate fair value of our noncontrolling interests exceeds their aggregate carrying amount. As a result of our ability to control real estate sales and other events that require payment of noncontrolling interests and our expectation that proceeds from real estate sales will be sufficient to liquidate related noncontrolling interests, we anticipate that the eventual liquidation of these noncontrolling interests will not have an adverse impact on our financial condition.

Revenue Recognition

Our properties have operating leases with apartment residents with terms generally of 12 months or less. We recognize rental revenue related to these leases, net of any concessions, on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease. We recognize revenues from property management, asset management, syndication and other services when the related fees are earned and are realized or realizable.

Advertising Costs

We generally expense all advertising costs as incurred to property operating expense. For the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, for both continuing and discontinued operations, total advertising expense was \$25.0 million, \$36.0 million and \$38.0 million, respectively.

Insurance

We believe that our insurance coverages insure our properties adequately against the risk of loss attributable to fire, earthquake, hurricane, tornado, flood, and other perils. In addition, we have insurance coverage for substantial portions of our property, workers—compensation, health, and general liability exposures. Losses are accrued based upon our estimates of the aggregate liability for uninsured losses incurred using certain actuarial assumptions followed in the insurance industry and based on our experience.

Stock-Based Compensation

We recognize all stock-based employee compensation, including grants of employee stock options, in the consolidated financial statements based on the grant date fair value and recognize compensation cost, which is net of estimates for

expected forfeitures, ratably over the awards requisite service period. See Note 12 for further discussion of our stock-based compensation.

Tax Credit Arrangements

We sponsor certain partnerships that own and operate apartment properties that qualify for tax credits under Section 42 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, which we refer to as the Code, and for the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, or HUD, subsidized rents under HUD s Section 8 program.

F-15

Table of Contents

These partnerships acquire, develop and operate qualifying affordable housing properties and are structured to provide for the pass-through of tax credits and deductions to their partners. The tax credits are generally realized ratably over the first ten years of the tax credit arrangement and are subject to the partnership s compliance with applicable laws and regulations for a period of 15 years. Typically, we are the general partner with a legal ownership interest of one percent or less. We market limited partner interests of at least 99 percent to unaffiliated institutional investors (which we refer to as tax credit investors or investors) and receive a syndication fee from each investor upon such investor s admission to the partnership. At inception, each investor agrees to fund capital contributions to the partnerships. We agree to perform various services to the partnerships in exchange for fees over the expected duration of the tax credit service period. The related partnership agreements generally require adjustment of each tax credit investor s required capital contributions if actual tax benefits to such investor differ from projected amounts.

We have determined that the partnerships in these arrangements are variable interest entities and, where we are general partner, we are generally the primary beneficiary that is required to consolidate the partnerships. When the contractual arrangements obligate us to deliver tax benefits to the investors, and entitle us through fee arrangements to receive substantially all available cash flow from the partnerships, we account for these partnerships as wholly owned subsidiaries. Capital contributions received by the partnerships from tax credit investors represent, in substance, consideration that we receive in exchange for our obligation to deliver tax credits and other tax benefits to the investors, and the receipts are recognized as revenue in our consolidated financial statements when our obligation to the investors is relieved upon delivery of the expected tax benefits.

In summary, our accounting treatment recognizes the income or loss generated by the underlying real estate based on our economic interest in the partnerships. Proceeds received in exchange for the transfer of the tax credits are recognized as revenue proportionately as the tax benefits are delivered to the tax credit investors and our obligation is relieved. Syndication fees and related costs are recognized in income upon completion of the syndication effort. We recognize syndication fees in amounts determined based on a market rate analysis of fees for comparable services, which generally fell within a range of 10% to 15% of investor contributions during the periods presented. Other direct and incremental costs incurred in structuring these arrangements are deferred and amortized over the expected duration of the arrangement in proportion to the recognition of related income. Investor contributions in excess of recognized revenue are reported as deferred income in our consolidated balance sheets.

During the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, we recognized syndication fee income of \$3.4 million and \$13.8 million, respectively. We recognized no syndication fee income during the year ended December 31, 2009. During the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 we recognized revenue associated with the delivery of tax benefits of \$36.6 million, \$29.4 million and \$24.0 million, respectively. At December 31, 2009 and 2008, \$148.1 million and \$159.6 million, respectively, of investor contributions in excess of the recognized revenue were included in deferred income in our consolidated balance sheets.

Discontinued Operations

We classify certain properties and related assets and liabilities as held for sale when they meet certain criteria. The operating results of such properties as well as those properties sold during the periods presented are included in discontinued operations in both current periods and all comparable periods presented. Depreciation is not recorded on properties once they have been classified as held for sale; however, depreciation expense recorded prior to classification as held for sale is included in discontinued operations. The net gain on sale and any impairment losses are presented in discontinued operations when recognized. See Note 13 for additional information regarding discontinued operations.

Derivative Financial Instruments

We primarily use long-term, fixed-rate and self-amortizing non-recourse debt to avoid, among other things, risk related to fluctuating interest rates. For our variable rate debt, we are sometimes required by our lenders to limit our exposure to interest rate fluctuations by entering into interest rate swap or cap agreements. The interest rate swap agreements moderate our exposure to interest rate risk by effectively converting the interest on variable rate debt to a fixed rate. The interest rate cap agreements effectively limit our exposure to interest rate risk by providing a

F-16

Table of Contents

ceiling on the underlying variable interest rate. The fair values of the interest rate swaps are reflected as assets or liabilities in the balance sheet, and periodic changes in fair value are included in interest expense or equity, as appropriate. These interest rate caps are not material to our financial position or results of operations.

As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, we had interest rate swaps with aggregate notional amounts of \$52.3 million and \$27.2 million, and recorded fair values of \$1.6 million and \$2.6 million, respectively, reflected in accrued liabilities and other in our consolidated balance sheets. At December 31, 2009, these interest rate swaps had a weighted average term of 11.1 years. We have designated these interest rate swaps as cash flow hedges and recognize any changes in their fair value as an adjustment of accumulated other comprehensive income within equity to the extent of their effectiveness. For the year ended December 31, 2009, we recognized changes in fair value of \$1.0 million, of which \$1.4 million resulted in an adjustment to accumulated other comprehensive loss within consolidated equity. For the year ended December 31, 2008, we recognized changes in fair value of \$2.2 million, of which \$2.1 million resulted in an adjustment to accumulated other comprehensive loss within consolidated equity. We recognized \$0.4 million and less than \$0.1 million of ineffectiveness as an adjustment of interest expense during the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and we recognized no ineffectiveness during the year ended December 31, 2007. Our consolidated comprehensive loss for the year ended December 31, 2009 totaled \$43.4 million and our comprehensive income for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, totaled \$624.9 million and \$124.8 million, respectively, before the effects of noncontrolling interests. If the forward rates at December 31, 2009 remain constant, we estimate that during the next twelve months, we would reclassify into earnings approximately \$1.5 million of the unrealized losses in accumulated other comprehensive income.

We have entered into total rate of return swaps on various fixed rate secured tax-exempt bonds payable and fixed rate notes payable to convert these borrowings from a fixed rate to a variable rate and provide an efficient financing product to lower our cost of borrowing. In exchange for our receipt of a fixed rate generally equal to the underlying borrowing s interest rate, the total rate of return swaps require that we pay a variable rate, equivalent to the Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association Municipal Swap Index, or SIFMA, rate for tax-exempt bonds payable and the 30-day LIBOR rate for notes payable, plus a risk spread. These swaps generally have a second or third lien on the property collateralized by the related borrowings and the obligations under certain of these swaps are cross-collateralized with certain of the other swaps with a particular counterparty. The underlying borrowings are generally callable at our option, with no prepayment penalty, with 30 days advance notice, and the swaps generally have a term of less than five years. The total rate of return swaps have a contractually defined termination value generally equal to the difference between the fair value and the counterparty s purchased value of the underlying borrowings, which may require payment by us or to us for such difference. Accordingly, we believe fluctuations in the fair value of the borrowings from the inception of the hedging relationship generally will be offset by a corresponding fluctuation in the fair value of the total rate of return swaps.

We designate total rate of return swaps as hedges of the risk of overall changes in the fair value of the underlying borrowings. At each reporting period, we estimate the fair value of these borrowings and the total rate of return swaps and recognize any changes therein as an adjustment of interest expense. We evaluate the effectiveness of these fair value hedges at the end of each reporting period and recognize an adjustment of interest expense as a result of any ineffectiveness.

Borrowings payable subject to total rate of return swaps with aggregate outstanding principal balances of \$352.7 million and \$421.7 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, are reflected as variable rate borrowings in Note 6. Due to changes in the estimated fair values of these debt instruments and the corresponding total rate of return swaps, we increased property loans payable by \$5.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, and reduced property loans payable by \$20.1 million and \$9.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively, with offsetting adjustments to accrued liabilities, resulting in no net effect on net income. Refer to

Fair Value Measurements for further discussion of fair value measurements related to these arrangements. During 2009, 2008 and 2007, we determined these hedges were fully effective and accordingly we made no adjustments to interest expense for ineffectiveness.

At December 31, 2009, the weighted average fixed receive rate under the total return swaps was 6.8% and the weighted average variable pay rate was 1.0%, based on the applicable SIFMA and 30-day LIBOR rates effective as

F-17

Table of Contents

of that date. Further information related to our total return swaps as of December 31, 2009 is as follows (dollars in millions):

		V 6	Weighted	<u> </u>		Weighted Average Swap Variable Pay Rate at	
Debt		Year of Debt	Average Debt Interest		Swap otional	Maturity	December 31,
Pr	incipal	Maturity	Rate	Amount		Date	2009
\$	45.2	2012	7.5%	\$	45.2	2012	1.6%
	24.0	2015	6.9%		24.0	2012	1.0%
	14.2	2018	7.3%		14.2	2012	1.0%
	42.8	2025	7.0%		42.8	2012	1.0%
	93.0	2031	7.4%		93.0	2012	1.0%
	108.7	2036	6.2%		109.1	2012	0.7%
	12.3	2038	5.5%		12.3	2012	0.9%
	12.5	2048	6.5%		12.5	2012	0.9%
\$	352.7			\$	353.1		

Fair Value Measurements

Beginning in 2008, we applied the FASB s revised accounting provisions related to fair value measurements, which are codified in FASB ASC Topic 820. These revised provisions define fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date, establish a hierarchy that prioritizes the information used in developing fair value estimates and require disclosure of fair value measurements by level within the fair value hierarchy. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable data (Level 3 measurements), such as the reporting entity s own data. We adopted the revised fair value measurement provisions that apply to recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements of financial assets and liabilities effective January 1, 2008, and the provisions that apply to the remaining fair value measurements effective January 1, 2009, and at those times determined no transition adjustments were required.

The valuation hierarchy is based upon the transparency of inputs to the valuation of an asset or liability as of the measurement date and includes three levels defined as follows:

Level I Unadjusted quoted prices for identical and unrestricted assets or liabilities	111
active markets	
Level 2 Quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, and input	s that
are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, for	
substantially the full term of the financial instrument	
Level 3 Unobservable inputs that are significant to the fair value measurement	

A financial instrument s categorization within the valuation hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

Following are descriptions of the valuation methodologies used for our significant assets or liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring or nonrecurring basis. Although some of the valuation methodologies use observable market inputs in limited instances, the majority of inputs we use are unobservable and are therefore classified within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy.

Provisions for Real Estate Impairment Losses

If events or circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of a property may not be recoverable, we make an assessment of its recoverability by comparing the carrying amount to our estimate of the undiscounted future cash flows, excluding interest charges, of the property. If the carrying amount exceeds the aggregate undiscounted future cash flows, we recognize an impairment loss to the extent the carrying amount exceeds the

F-18

Table of Contents

estimated fair value of the property, for properties classified as held for use, and estimated fair value of the property, less estimated selling costs, for properties classified as held for sale.

We estimate the fair value of real estate using income and market valuation techniques using information such as broker estimates, purchase prices for recent transactions on comparable assets and net operating income capitalization analyses using observable and unobservable inputs such as capitalization rates, asset quality grading, geographic location analysis, and local supply and demand observations. For certain properties classified as held for sale, we may also recognize the impairment loss based on the contract sale price, which we believe is representative of fair value, less estimated selling costs.

Notes Receivable

We assess the collectibility of notes receivable on a periodic basis, which assessment consists primarily of an evaluation of cash flow projections of the borrower to determine whether estimated cash flows are sufficient to repay principal and interest in accordance with the contractual terms of the note. We recognize impairments on notes receivable when it is probable that principal and interest will not be received in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan. The amount of the impairment to be recognized generally is based on the fair value of the real estate, which represents the primary source of loan repayment. The fair value of real estate is estimated through income and market valuation approaches using information such as broker estimates, purchase prices for recent transactions on comparable assets and net operating income capitalization analyses using observable and unobservable inputs such as capitalization rates, asset quality grading, geographic location analysis, and local supply and demand observations.

Interest Rate Swaps

We estimate the fair value of interest rate swaps using an income approach with primarily observable inputs, including information regarding the hedged variable cash flows and forward yield curves relating to the variable interest rates on which the hedged cash flows are based.

Total Rate of Return Swaps

Our total rate of return swaps have contractually-defined termination values generally equal to the difference between the fair value and the counterparty s purchased value of the underlying borrowings. Upon termination, we are required to pay the counterparty the difference if the fair value is less than the purchased value, and the counterparty is required to pay us the difference if the fair value is greater than the purchased value. The underlying borrowings are generally callable, at our option, at face value prior to maturity and with no prepayment penalty. Due to our control of the call features in the underlying borrowings, we believe the inherent value of any differential between the fixed and variable cash payments due under the swaps would be significantly discounted by a market participant willing to purchase or assume any rights and obligations under these contracts.

The swaps are generally cross-collateralized with other swap contracts with the same counterparty and do not allow transfer or assignment, thus there is no alternate or secondary market for these instruments. Accordingly, our assumptions about the fair value that a willing market participant would assign in valuing these instruments are based on a hypothetical market in which the highest and best use of these contracts is in-use in combination with the related borrowings, similar to how we use the contracts. Based on these assumptions, we believe the termination value, or exit value, of the swaps approximates the fair value that would be assigned by a willing market participant. We calculate the termination value using a market approach by reference to estimates of the fair value of the underlying borrowings, which are discussed below, and an evaluation of potential changes in the credit quality of the counterparties to these arrangements. We compare our estimates of the fair value of the swaps and related borrowings

to the valuations provided by the counterparties on a quarterly basis.

Our method for calculating fair value of the swaps generally results in changes in fair value equal to the changes in fair value of the related borrowings. Accordingly, we believe these instruments are highly effective in offsetting the changes in fair value of the borrowings during the hedging period.

F-19

Table of Contents

Changes in Fair Value of Borrowings Subject to Total Rate of Return Swaps

We recognize changes in the fair value of certain borrowings subject to total rate of return swaps, which we have designated as fair value hedges.

We estimate the fair value of debt instruments using an income and market approach, including comparison of the contractual terms to observable and unobservable inputs such as market interest rate risk spreads, collateral quality and loan-to-value ratios on similarly encumbered assets within our portfolio. These borrowings are collateralized and non-recourse to us; therefore, we believe changes in our credit rating will not materially affect a market participant s estimate of the borrowings fair value.

The methods described above may produce a fair value calculation that may not be indicative of net realizable value or reflective of future fair values. Furthermore, although we believe our valuation methods are appropriate and consistent with other market participants, the use of different methodologies or assumptions to determine the fair value of certain assets and liabilities could result in a different estimate of fair value at the reporting date.

The table below presents amounts at December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 (and the changes in fair value between such dates) for significant items measured in our consolidated balance sheets at fair value (in thousands). Certain of these fair value measurements are based on significant unobservable inputs classified within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy. When a determination is made to classify a fair value measurement within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy, the determination is based upon the significance of the unobservable factors to the overall fair value measurement. However, Level 3 fair value measurements typically include, in addition to the unobservable or Level 3 components, observable components that can be validated to observable external sources; accordingly, the changes in fair value in the table below are due in part to observable factors that are part of the valuation methodology.

Lovel 2

Lovel 2

	Level 2				Val Ins	nges in Fair ue of Debt struments Subject		
	Total rate Rate of return Swaps swaps		of return	to Total Rate of Return Swaps			Γotal	
Fair value at December 31, 2007 Unrealized gains (losses) included in earnings(1)(2)	\$	(371) (47)	\$	(9,420) (20,075)	\$	9,420 20,075	\$	(371) (47)
Realized gains (losses) included in earnings Unrealized gains (losses) included in equity		(2,139)						(2,139)
Fair value at December 31, 2008 Unrealized gains (losses) included in earnings(1)(2)	\$	(2,557)	\$	(29,495) 5,188	\$	29,495 (5,188)	\$	(2,557)
Realized gains (losses) included in earnings Unrealized gains (losses) included in equity		1,408		-,		(2,223)		1,408

Fair value at December 31, 2009

\$ (1,596)

\$ (24,307)

24,307

\$ (1,596)

- (1) Unrealized gains (losses) relate to periodic revaluations of fair value and have not resulted from the settlement of a swap position.
- (2) Included in interest expense in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of income.

In addition to the amounts in the table above, during the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, we recognized \$56.9 million, \$118.6 million and \$6.5 million, respectively, of provisions for real estate impairment losses (including amounts in discontinued operations) to reduce the carrying amounts of certain real estate properties to their estimated fair value (or fair value less estimated costs to sell) and provisions for losses on notes receivable of \$21.5 million, \$17.6 million and \$2.0 million, respectively, based on our estimates of the fair value of the real estate properties that represent the primary source of repayment. Based on the significance of the

F-20

Table of Contents

unobservable inputs used in our methods for estimating the fair values for these amounts, we classify these fair value measurements within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy.

Disclosures Regarding Fair Value of Financial Instruments

We believe that the aggregate fair value of our cash and cash equivalents, receivables, payables and short-term secured debt approximates their aggregate carrying value at December 31, 2009, due to their relatively short-term nature and high probability of realization. We estimate fair value for our notes receivable and debt instruments using present value techniques that include income and market valuation approaches using observable inputs such as market rates for debt with the same or similar terms and unobservable inputs such as collateral quality and loan-to-value ratios on similarly encumbered assets. Present value calculations vary depending on the assumptions used, including the discount rate and estimates of future cash flows. In many cases, the fair value estimates may not be realizable in immediate settlement of the instruments. The estimated aggregate fair value of our notes receivable was approximately \$126.1 million and \$161.6 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. See Note 5 for further information on notes receivable. The estimated aggregate fair value of our consolidated debt (including amounts reported in liabilities related to assets held for sale) was approximately \$5.7 billion and \$6.7 billion at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The combined carrying amount of our consolidated debt (including amounts reported in liabilities related to assets held for sale) was approximately \$5.7 billion and \$6.8 billion at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. See Note 6 and Note 7 for further details on our consolidated debt. Refer to Derivative Financial Instruments for further discussion regarding certain of our fixed rate debt that is subject to total rate of return swap instruments.

Income Taxes

We have elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Code commencing with our taxable year ended December 31, 1994, and intend to continue to operate in such a manner. Our current and continuing qualification as a REIT depends on our ability to meet the various requirements imposed by the Code, which are related to organizational structure, distribution levels, diversity of stock ownership and certain restrictions with regard to owned assets and categories of income. If we qualify for taxation as a REIT, we will generally not be subject to United States Federal corporate income tax on our taxable income that is currently distributed to stockholders. This treatment substantially eliminates the double taxation (at the corporate and stockholder levels) that generally results from an investment in a corporation.

Even if we qualify as a REIT, we may be subject to United States Federal income and excise taxes in various situations, such as on our undistributed income. We also will be required to pay a 100% tax on any net income on non-arms length transactions between us and a TRS (described below) and on any net income from sales of property that was property held for sale to customers in the ordinary course. We and our stockholders may be subject to state or local taxation in various state or local jurisdictions, including those in which we transact business or our stockholders reside. In addition, we could also be subject to the alternative minimum tax, or AMT, on our items of tax preference. The state and local tax laws may not conform to the United States Federal income tax treatment. Any taxes imposed on us reduce our operating cash flow and net income.

Certain of our operations or a portion thereof, including property management, asset management and risk, are conducted through taxable REIT subsidiaries, which are subsidiaries of the Aimco Operating Partnership, and each of which we refer to as a TRS. A TRS is a C-corporation that has not elected REIT status and as such is subject to United States Federal corporate income tax. We use TRS entities to facilitate our ability to offer certain services and activities to our residents, as these services and activities generally cannot be offered directly by the REIT. We also use TRS entities to hold investments in certain properties.

For our TRS entities, deferred income taxes result from temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for Federal income tax purposes, and are measured using the enacted tax rates and laws that are expected to be in effect when the differences reverse. We reduce deferred tax assets by recording a valuation allowance when we determine based on available evidence that it is more likely than not that the assets will not be realized. We recognize the tax consequences associated with

F-21

Table of Contents

intercompany transfers between the REIT and TRS entities when the related assets are sold to third parties, impaired or otherwise disposed of for financial reporting purposes.

In March 2008, we were notified by the Internal Revenue Service that it intended to examine the 2006 Federal tax return for the Aimco Operating Partnership. During June 2008, the IRS issued AIMCO-GP, Inc., the general and tax matters partner of the Aimco Operating Partnership, a summary report including the IRS s proposed adjustments to the Aimco Operating Partnership s 2006 Federal tax return. In addition, in May 2009, we were notified by the IRS that it intended to examine the 2007 Federal tax return for the Aimco Operating Partnership. During November 2009, the IRS issued AIMCO-GP, Inc. a summary report including the IRS s proposed adjustments to the Aimco Operating Partnership s 2007 Federal tax return. We do not expect the 2006 or 2007 proposed adjustments to have any material effect on our unrecognized tax benefits, financial condition or results of operations.

Concentration of Credit Risk

Financial instruments that potentially could subject us to significant concentrations of credit risk consist principally of notes receivable and total rate of return swaps. As discussed in Note 5, a significant portion of our notes receivable at December 31, 2009, are collateralized by properties in the West Harlem area of New York City. There are no other significant concentrations of credit risk with respect to our notes receivable due to the large number of partnerships that are borrowers under the notes and the geographic diversity of the properties that collateralize the notes.

At December 31, 2009, we had total rate of return swap positions with two financial institutions totaling \$353.1 million. The swap positions with one counterparty are comprised of \$340.9 million of fixed rate debt effectively converted to variable rates using total rate of return swaps, including \$295.7 million of tax-exempt bonds indexed to SIFMA and \$45.2 million of taxable second mortgage notes indexed to LIBOR. Additionally, the swap agreements with this counterparty provide for collateral calls to maintain specified loan-to-value ratios. As of December 31, 2009, we were not required to provide cash collateral pursuant to the total rate of return swaps. We have one swap position with another counterparty that is comprised of \$12.2 million of fixed rate tax-exempt bonds indexed to SIFMA. We periodically evaluate counterparty credit risk associated with these arrangements. At the current time, we have concluded we do not have material exposure. In the event either counterparty were to default under these arrangements, loss of the net interest benefit we generally receive under these arrangements, which is equal to the difference between the fixed rate we receive and the variable rate we pay, may adversely impact our results of operations and operating cash flows. In the event the values of the real estate properties serving as collateral under these agreements decline, we may be required to provide additional collateral pursuant to the swap agreements, which may adversely affect our cash flows.

FASB Accounting Standards Codification

In June 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 168, *The FASB Accounting Standards Codification and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles a replacement of FASB Statement No. 162*, or SFAS 168, which is effective for financial statements issued for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009. Upon the effective date of SFAS 168, the FASB Accounting Standards Codification, or the FASB ASC, became the single source of authoritative GAAP recognized by the FASB to be applied by nongovernmental entities. Rules and interpretive releases of the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, under authority of federal securities laws are also sources of authoritative GAAP for SEC registrants. The FASB ASC superseded all then-existing non-SEC accounting and reporting standards, and all other non-grandfathered non-SEC accounting literature not included in the FASB ASC is now non-authoritative. Subsequent to the effective date of SFAS 168, the FASB will issue Accounting Standards Updates that serve to update the FASB ASC.

Business Combinations

We adopted the provisions of FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 141(R), *Business Combinations a replacement of FASB Statement No. 141*, or SFAS 141(R), which are codified in FASB ASC Topic 805, effective January 1, 2009. These provisions apply to all transactions or events in which an entity obtains

F-22

Table of Contents

control of one or more businesses, including those effected without the transfer of consideration, for example by contract or through a lapse of minority veto rights. These provisions require the acquiring entity in a business combination to recognize the full fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the transaction (whether a full or partial acquisition); establish the acquisition-date fair value as the measurement objective for all assets acquired and liabilities assumed; and require expensing of most transaction and restructuring costs.

We believe most operating real estate assets meet the revised definition of a business. Accordingly, beginning in 2009, we expense transaction costs associated with acquisitions of operating real estate or interests therein when we consolidate the asset. The FASB did not provide implementation guidance regarding the treatment of acquisition costs incurred prior to December 31, 2008, for acquisitions that did not close until 2009. The SEC indicated any of the following three transition methods were acceptable, provided that the method chosen is disclosed and applied consistently:

- 1) expense acquisition costs in 2008 when it is probable that the acquisition will not close in 2008;
- 2) expense acquisition costs January 1, 2009; or
- 3) give retroactive treatment to the acquisition costs January 1, 2009, by retroactively adjusting prior periods to record acquisition costs in the prior periods in which they were incurred.

We elected to apply the third method and accordingly have retroactively adjusted our results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2008, by \$3.5 million, which also resulted in a corresponding reduction to our December 31, 2008 equity balance. This retroactive adjustment is reflected in investment management expenses in our accompanying consolidated statements of income and reduced basic and diluted earnings per share amounts by \$0.04 for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Noncontrolling Interests

Effective January 1, 2009, we adopted the provisions of FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 160, Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements—an amendment of ARB No. 51, or SFAS 160, which are codified in FASB ASC Topic 810. These provisions clarified that a noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary is an ownership interest in a consolidated entity, which should be reported as equity in the parent—s consolidated financial statements. These provisions require disclosure, on the face of the consolidated income statements, of the amounts of consolidated net income and other comprehensive income attributable to controlling and noncontrolling interests, eliminating the past practice of reporting amounts of income attributable to noncontrolling interests as an adjustment in arriving at consolidated net income. These provisions also require us to attribute to noncontrolling interests their share of losses even if such attribution results in a deficit noncontrolling interest balance within our equity accounts, and in some instances, recognize a gain or loss in net income when a subsidiary is deconsolidated.

In connection with our retrospective application of these provisions, we reclassified into our consolidated equity accounts the historical balances related to noncontrolling interests in consolidated real estate partnerships and the portion of noncontrolling interests in the Aimco Operating Partnership related to the Aimco Operating Partnership s common OP Units and High Performance Units. At December 31, 2008, the carrying amount of noncontrolling interests in consolidated real estate partnerships was \$380.7 million and the carrying amount for noncontrolling interests in Aimco Operating Partnership attributable to common OP Units and High Performance Units was zero, due to cash distributions in excess of the positive balances related to those noncontrolling interests.

Beginning in 2009, we no longer record a charge related to cash distributions to noncontrolling interests in excess of the carrying amount of such noncontrolling interests, and we attribute losses to noncontrolling interests even if such attribution results in a deficit noncontrolling interest balance within our equity accounts. The following table illustrates the pro forma amounts of loss from continuing operations, discontinued operations and net loss that would have been attributed to Aimco common stockholders for the year ended December 31, 2009, had we applied

F-23

Table of Contents

the accounting provisions related to noncontrolling interests prior to their amendment by SFAS 160 (in thousands, except per share amounts):

		ear Ended cember 31, 2009
Loss from continuing operations attributable to Aimco common stockholders Income from discontinued operations attributable to Aimco common stockholders	\$	(225,957) 91,044
Net loss attributable to Aimco common stockholders	\$	(134,913)
Basic and diluted earnings (loss) per common share: Loss from continuing operations attributable to Aimco common stockholders Income from discontinued operations attributable to Aimco common stockholders	\$	(1.98) 0.80
Net loss attributable to Aimco common stockholders	\$	(1.18)

The following table presents a reconciliation of preferred noncontrolling interests in the Aimco Operating Partnership, which are generally redeemable at the holders option and may be settled in cash or, at the Aimco Operating Partnership s discretion, shares of Common Stock and are included in temporary equity in our consolidated balance sheet, for the years ending December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007.

	2009	2008	2007
Balance at January 1	\$ 88,148	\$ 89,716	\$ 90,120
Net income attributable to preferred noncontrolling interests in the Aimco			
Operating Partnership	6,288	7,646	7,128
Distributions attributable to preferred noncontrolling interests in the Aimco			
Operating Partnership	(6,806)	(7,486)	(7,489)
Conversion of preferred units into Common Stock			(43)
Purchases of preferred units	(1,725)	(976)	
Other	751	(752)	
Balance at December 31	\$ 86,656	\$ 88,148	\$ 89,716

The effects on our equity of changes in our ownership interest in the Aimco Operating Partnership are reflected in our consolidated statement of equity as redemptions of Aimco Operating Partnership units for Common Stock and repurchases of common partnership units.

Changes in our ownership interest in consolidated real estate partnerships generally consist of our purchase of an additional interest in or the sale of our entire interest in a consolidated real estate partnership. Our purchase of additional interests in consolidated real estate partnerships had no direct effect on equity attributable to Aimco during the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, and did not have a significant effect on equity attributable to Aimco

during the year ended December 31, 2009. The effect on our equity of sales of our entire interest in consolidated real estate partnerships is reflected in our consolidated financial statements as sales of real estate and accordingly the effect on our equity is reflected as gains on disposition of real estate, less the amounts of such gains attributable to noncontrolling interests, within consolidated net (loss) income attributable to Aimco common stockholders.

Earnings per Share

We calculate earnings per share based on the weighted average number of shares of Common Stock, common stock equivalents, participating securities and other potentially dilutive securities outstanding during the period (see Note 14).

Effective January 1, 2009, we adopted the provisions of FASB Statement of Position No. EITF 03-6-1, *Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions are Participating Securities*, or FSP EITF 03-6-1, which are codified in FASB ASC Topic 260. FSP EITF 03-6-1 clarified that unvested share-based

F-24

Table of Contents

payment awards that participate in dividends similar to shares of common stock or common partnership units should be treated as participating securities. FSP EITF 03-6-1 affects our computation of basic and diluted earnings per share for unvested restricted stock awards and shares purchased pursuant to officer stock loans, which serve as collateral for such loans, both of which entitle the holders to dividends. Refer to Note 14, which details our calculation of earnings per share and the effect of our retroactive application of FSP EITF 03-6-1 on our earnings per share.

In December 2009, we adopted the provisions of FASB Accounting Standards Update 2010-01, *Accounting for Distributions to Shareholders with Components of Stock and Cash*, or ASU 2010-01, which are codified in FASB ASC Topic 505. ASU 2010-01 requires that for distributions with components of cash and stock, the portion distributed in stock should be accounted for prospectively as a stock issuance with no retroactive adjustment to basic and diluted earnings per share. In accordance with ASU 2010-01, we retrospectively revised the accounting treatment of our special dividends paid during 2008 and 2009, resulting in changes in the number of weighted average shares outstanding and earnings per share amounts for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, as compared to the amounts previously reported.

The following table illustrates the effects of these changes in accounting treatment on our basic and diluted weighted average shares outstanding and on net income (loss) attributable to Aimco common stockholders per common share for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007:

	2	2008		2007
Weighted average shares outstanding basic and diluted:				
As previously reported	1	121,213		140,137
Reduction in weighted average shares outstanding		(32,523)		(45,030)
As currently reported		88,690		95,107
Net income (loss) attributable to Aimco common stockholders per common share basic and diluted:				
As previously reported	\$	2.98	\$	(0.26)
Effect of reduction in weighted average shares outstanding	Ψ	1.06	Ψ	(0.12)
Effect of participating securities allocations		(0.08)		(0.05)
As currently reported	\$	3.96	\$	(0.43)

Use of Estimates

The preparation of our consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts included in the financial statements and accompanying notes thereto. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Restatement to Reclassify Impairment Losses on Real Estate Development Assets

Our consolidated statement of income for the year ended December 31, 2008, has been restated to reclassify the provision for impairment losses on real estate development assets into operating income. The reclassification reduced operating income by \$91.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, and had no effect on the reported amounts

of loss before income taxes and discontinued operations, loss from continuing operations, net income, net income available to Aimco common stockholders or earnings per share. Additionally, the reclassification had no effect on the consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2008, or the consolidated statements of equity and cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Reclassifications

Certain items included in the 2008 and 2007 financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the current presentation.

F-25

Table of Contents

Subsequent Events

Our management evaluated subsequent events through the time this Annual Report on Form 10-K was filed.

NOTE 3 Real Estate and Partnership Acquisitions and Other Significant Transactions

Real Estate Acquisitions

During the year ended December 31, 2009, we did not acquire any real estate properties.

During the year ended December 31, 2008, we acquired three conventional properties with a total of 470 units, located in San Jose, California, Brighton, Massachusetts and Seattle, Washington. The aggregate purchase price of \$111.5 million, excluding transaction costs, was funded using \$39.0 million in proceeds from property loans, \$41.9 million in tax-free exchange proceeds (provided by 2008 real estate dispositions) and the remainder in cash.

During the year ended December 31, 2007, we completed the acquisition of 16 conventional properties with approximately 1,300 units for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$217.0 million, excluding transaction costs. Of the 16 properties acquired, ten are located in New York City, New York, two in Daytona Beach, Florida, one in Park Forest, Illinois, one in Poughkeepsie, New York, one in Redwood City, California, and one in North San Diego, California. The purchases were funded with cash, tax-free exchange proceeds, new debt and the assumption of existing debt.

Acquisitions of Partnership Interests

During the year ended December 31, 2009, we did not acquire a significant amount of limited partnership interests. During the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, we acquired limited partnership interests in 22 and 50 partnerships, respectively, in which our affiliates served as general partner. In connection with such acquisitions, we paid cash of approximately \$2.0 million and \$47.4 million, including transaction costs. The cost of the acquisitions was approximately \$2.4 million and \$43.6 million in excess of the carrying amount of noncontrolling interest in such limited partnerships, which excess we generally assigned to real estate.

Disposition of Unconsolidated Real Estate and Other

During the year ended December 31, 2009, we recognized \$22.5 million in gains on disposition of unconsolidated real estate and other. Gains recognized in 2009 primarily consist of \$8.6 million related to our receipt in 2009 of additional proceeds related to our disposition during 2008 of one of the partnership interests (discussed below), \$4.0 million from the disposition of our interest in a group purchasing organization (discussed further below), \$6.1 million from our disposition of interests in unconsolidated real estate partnerships and our share of gains recognized by our unconsolidated partnerships on the sale of real estate and \$3.8 million related to various other transactions.

During the year ended December 31, 2008, we recognized \$99.9 million in gains on disposition of unconsolidated real estate and other, which primarily consisted of a \$98.4 million gain recognized on the disposal of our interests in unconsolidated real estate partnerships that owned two properties with 671 units.

Casualty Loss Related to Tropical Storm Fay and Hurricane Ike

During 2008, Tropical Storm Fay and Hurricane Ike caused severe damage to certain of our properties located primarily in Florida and Texas, respectively. We incurred total losses of approximately \$33.9 million, including

property damage replacement costs and clean-up costs. After consideration of estimated third party insurance proceeds and the noncontrolling interest partners—share of losses for consolidated real estate partnerships, the net effect of these casualties on net income available to Aimco common stockholders was a loss of approximately \$5.0 million.

Sale of Interest in Group Purchasing Organization

During 2009, we sold our interest in an unconsolidated group purchasing organization to an unrelated entity for \$5.9 million, resulting in the recognition of a gain on sale of \$4.0 million, which is included in gain on disposition of

F-26

Table of Contents

unconsolidated real estate and other in our consolidated statement of income for the year ended December 31, 2009. This gain was partially offset by a \$1.0 million provision for income tax. We also have a note receivable from another principal in the group purchasing organization, which is collateralized by its equity interest in the entity. In connection with the sale of our interest, we reevaluated collectibility of the note receivable and reversed \$1.4 million of previously recognized impairment losses, which is reflected in provision for losses on notes receivable, net in our consolidated statement of income for the year ended December 31, 2009. As of December 31, 2009, the carrying amount of the note receivable, which is due for repayment in 2010, totaled \$1.6 million.

Restructuring Costs

In connection with 2008 property sales and an expected reduction in redevelopment and transactional activities, during the three months ended December 31, 2008, we initiated an organizational restructuring program that included reductions in workforce and related costs, reductions in leased corporate facilities and abandonment of certain redevelopment projects and business pursuits. This restructuring effort resulted in a restructuring charge of \$22.8 million, which consisted of: severance costs of \$12.9 million; unrecoverable lease obligations of \$6.4 million related to space that we will no longer use; and the write-off of deferred transaction costs totaling \$3.5 million associated with certain acquisitions and redevelopment opportunities that we will no longer pursue. We completed the workforce reductions by March 31, 2009.

During 2009, in connection with continued repositioning of our portfolio, we completed additional organizational restructuring activities that included reductions in workforce and related costs and the abandonment of additional leased corporate facilities and redevelopment projects.

Our 2009 restructuring activities resulted in a restructuring charge of \$11.2 million, which consisted of severance costs and personnel related costs of \$7.0 million; unrecoverable lease obligations of \$2.6 million related to space that we will no longer use; the write-off of deferred costs totaling \$0.9 million associated with certain redevelopment opportunities that we will no longer pursue; and \$0.7 million in other costs.

As of December 31, 2009, the remaining accruals associated with our restructuring activity are \$6.9 million for estimated unrecoverable lease obligations, which will be paid over the remaining terms of the affected leases, and \$4.7 million for severance and personnel related costs, which are anticipated to be paid during the first quarter 2010.

Transactions Involving VMS National Properties Joint Venture

In January 2007, VMS National Properties Joint Venture, or VMS, a consolidated real estate partnership in which we held a 22% equity interest, refinanced property loans secured by its 15 apartment properties. The existing loans had an aggregate carrying amount of \$110.0 million and an aggregate face amount of \$152.2 million. The \$42.2 million difference between the face amount and carrying amount resulted from a 1997 bankruptcy settlement in which the lender agreed to reduce the principal amount of the loans subject to VMS s compliance with the terms of the restructured loans. Because the reduction in the loan amount was contingent on future compliance, recognition of the inherent debt extinguishment gain was deferred. Upon refinancing of the loans in January 2007, the existing lender accepted the reduced principal amount in full satisfaction of the loans, and VMS recognized the \$42.2 million debt extinguishment gain in earnings.

During the year ended December 31, 2007, VMS sold eight properties to third parties for an aggregate gain of \$22.7 million. Additionally, VMS contributed its seven remaining properties to wholly-owned subsidiaries of Aimco in exchange for consideration totaling \$230.1 million, consisting primarily of cash of \$21.3 million, common OP Units with a fair value of \$9.8 million, the assumption of \$168.0 million in property debt, and the assumption of

\$30.9 million in mortgage participation liabilities. This total consideration included \$50.7 million related to our 22% equity interest in VMS. Exclusive of our share, the consideration paid for the seven properties exceeded the carrying amount of the noncontrolling interest in such properties by \$44.9 million. This excess consideration is reflected in our consolidated balance sheet as an increase in the carrying amount of the seven properties.

Approximately \$31.6 million of the \$42.2 million debt extinguishment gain related to the property loans that were secured by the eight properties sold to third parties and two properties we acquired from VMS but

F-27

Table of Contents

subsequently sold and is reported in discontinued operations for the year ended December 31, 2007. The remaining \$10.6 million portion of the debt extinguishment gain related to the property loans that were secured by the five VMS properties we purchased and continue to own and is reported in our continuing operations as gain on dispositions of unconsolidated real estate and other. Although 78% of the equity interests in VMS were held by unrelated noncontrolling partners, no noncontrolling interest share of the gains on debt extinguishment and sale of the properties was recognized in our earnings. As required by then applicable GAAP, we had in prior years recognized the noncontrolling partners—share of VMS losses in excess of the noncontrolling partners—capital contributions. The amounts of those previously recognized losses exceeded the noncontrolling partners—share of the gains on debt extinguishment and sale of the properties; accordingly, the noncontrolling interests in such gains recognized in our earnings was limited to the noncontrolling interests in the Aimco Operating Partnership. For the year ended December 31, 2007, the aggregate effect of the gains on extinguishment of VMS debt and sale of VMS properties was to decrease loss from continuing operations attributable to Aimco common stockholders by \$9.7 million (\$0.10 per diluted share) and decrease net loss attributable to Aimco common stockholders by \$59.0 million (\$0.62 per diluted share).

During the three months ended December 31, 2007, VMS distributed its remaining cash, consisting primarily of undistributed proceeds from the sale of its 15 properties (including properties sold to us). Of the \$42.4 million of cash distributed to the unrelated limited partners, \$21.3 million represents the cash consideration we contributed in exchange for the purchase of seven properties and is presented in purchases of partnership interests and other assets in the consolidated statement of cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2007. The remainder of the cash distributed to the unrelated limited partners is presented in payment of distributions to noncontrolling interest in the consolidated statement of cash flows.

Palazzo Joint Venture

In December 2007, we entered into a joint venture agreement with a third party investor which provides for the co-ownership of three multi-family properties with 1,382 units located in West Los Angeles. Under the agreement, we contributed three wholly-owned properties, The Palazzo at Park La Brea, The Palazzo East at Park La Brea and The Villas at Park La Brea to the partnership, which we refer to as Palazzo, at a value of \$726.0 million, or approximately \$525,000 per unit. Palazzo had existing property debt of approximately \$296.0 million and an implied equity value of approximately \$430.0 million. We received \$202.0 million from the investor in exchange for an approximate 47% interest in Palazzo, of which approximately \$7.9 million was used to fund escrows for capital improvements and various operating requirements. We own the remaining interests in Palazzo, including a managing interest, and will operate the properties in exchange for a property management fee and certain other fees over the term of the partnership.

We determined Palazzo is a VIE and that we are the primary beneficiary who should consolidate this partnership. We deferred recognition of a gain on this transaction and recognized the consideration received as an increase in noncontrolling interests in consolidated real estate partnerships.

NOTE 4 Investments in Unconsolidated Real Estate Partnerships

We owned general and limited partner interests in unconsolidated real estate partnerships owning approximately 77, 85 and 94 properties at December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. We acquired these interests through various transactions, including large portfolio acquisitions and offers to individual limited partners. Our total ownership interests in these unconsolidated real estate partnerships typically ranges from less than 1% to 50% and in some instances may exceed 50%.

Table of Contents

The following table provides selected combined financial information for the unconsolidated real estate partnerships in which we had investments accounted for under the equity method as of and for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 (in thousands):

	2009	2008	2007
Real estate, net of accumulated depreciation	\$ 95,226	\$ 122,788	\$ 133,544
Total assets	122,543	155,444	165,567
Secured and other notes payable	101,678	122,859	124,406
Total liabilities	145,637	175,681	180,222
Partners deficit	(23,094)	(20,237)	(14,655)
Rental and other property revenues	55,366	69,392	73,672
Property operating expenses	(34,497)	(42,863)	(45,998)
Depreciation expense	(10,302)	(12,640)	(13,965)
Interest expense	(11,103)	(17,182)	(17,194)
Gain on sale	8,482	5,391	59
Net income (loss)	6,622	1,398	(4,845)

As a result of our acquisition of interests in unconsolidated real estate partnerships at a cost in excess of the historical carrying amount of the partnerships net assets, our aggregate investment in these partnerships at December 31, 2009 and 2008 of \$105.3 million and \$119.0 million, respectively, exceeds our share of the underlying historical partners deficit of the partnerships by approximately \$109.5 million and \$122.9 million, respectively.

NOTE 5 Notes Receivable

The following table summarizes our notes receivable at December 31, 2009 and 2008 (in thousands):

				2009					2008	
		nsolidated Real				Unco	nsolidated Real			
	_	Estate tnerships	A	Non- affiliates	Total	_	Estate tnerships	A	Non- affiliates	Total
Par value notes Discounted notes Allowance for loan losses	\$	11,353 5,095 (2,153)	\$	20,862 141,468 (37,061)	\$ 32,215 146,563 (39,214)	\$	18,855 8,575 (4,863)	\$	19,253 138,387 (17,743)	\$ 38,108 146,962 (22,606)
Total notes receivable	\$	14,295	\$	125,269	\$ 139,564	\$	22,567	\$	139,897	\$ 162,464
Face value of discounted notes	\$	37,709	\$	155,848	\$ 193,557	\$	39,333	\$	148,790	\$ 188,123

Included in notes receivable from unconsolidated real estate partnerships at December 31, 2009 and 2008, are \$2.4 million and \$4.2 million, respectively, in notes that were secured by interests in real estate or interests in real estate partnerships. We earn interest on these secured notes receivable at various annual interest rates averaging 12.0%.

Included in the notes receivable from non-affiliates at December 31, 2009 and 2008, are \$102.2 million and \$95.8 million, respectively, in notes that were secured by interests in real estate or interests in real estate partnerships. We earn interest on these secured notes receivable at various annual interest rates ranging between 4.0% and 12.0% and averaging 4.7%.

Notes receivable from non-affiliates at December 31, 2009 and 2008, include notes receivable totaling \$87.4 million and \$85.6 million, respectively, from 31 entities (the borrowers) that are wholly owned by a single individual. We originated these notes in November 2006 pursuant to a loan agreement that provides for total funding of approximately \$110.0 million, including \$16.4 million for property improvements and an interest reserve, of which \$4.6 million had not been funded as of December 31, 2009. The notes mature in November 2016, bear interest at LIBOR plus 2.0%, are partially guaranteed by the owner of the borrowers, and are collateralized by second mortgages on 87 buildings containing 1,597 residential units and 42 commercial spaces in West Harlem,

F-29

Table of Contents

New York City. In conjunction with the loan agreement, we entered into a purchase option and put agreement with the borrowers under which we may purchase some or all of the buildings and, subject to achieving specified increases in rental income, the borrowers may require us to purchase the buildings (see Note 8). We determined that the stated interest rate on the notes on the date the loan was originated was a below-market interest rate and recorded a \$19.4 million discount to reflect the estimated fair value of the notes based on an estimated market interest rate of LIBOR plus 4.0%. The discount was determined to be attributable to our real estate purchase option, which we recorded separately in other assets. Accretion of this discount, which is included in interest income in our consolidated statements of income, totaled \$0.9 million in 2009, \$0.7 million in 2008 and \$1.9 million in 2007, inclusive of a \$1.5 million adjustment of accretion recognized upon the repayment of a portion of the outstanding principal balance in 2007. The value of the purchase option asset will be included in the cost of properties acquired pursuant to the option or otherwise be charged to expense. We determined that the borrowers are VIEs and, based on qualitative and quantitative analysis, determined that the individual who owns the borrowers and partially guarantees the notes is the primary beneficiary.

As part of the March 2002 acquisition of Casden Properties, Inc., we invested \$50.0 million for a 20% passive interest in Casden Properties LLC, an entity organized to acquire, re-entitle and develop land parcels in Southern California. Based upon the profit allocation agreement, we account for this investment as a note receivable and through 2008 were amortizing the discounted value of the investment to the \$50.0 million previously estimated to be collectible, through January 2, 2009, the initial dissolution date of the entity. In 2009, the managing member extended the dissolution date. In connection with the preparation of our 2008 annual financial statements and as a result of a decline in land values in Southern California, we determined our recorded investment amount was not fully recoverable, and accordingly recognized an impairment loss of \$16.3 million (\$10.0 million net of tax) during the three months ended December 31, 2008. In connection with the preparation of our 2009 annual financial statements and as a result of continued declines in land values in Southern California, we determined our then recorded investment amount was not fully recoverable, and accordingly recognized an impairment loss of \$20.7 million (\$12.4 million net of tax) during the three months ended December 31, 2009.

Interest income from total non-impaired par value and certain discounted notes for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 totaled \$5.7 million, \$7.8 million and \$11.7 million, respectively. For the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, we recognized accretion income on certain discounted notes of \$0.1 million, \$1.4 million and \$8.1 million, respectively.

The activity in the allowance for loan losses in total for both par value notes and discounted notes for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, is as follows (in thousands):

	2009	2008
Balance at beginning of year	\$ (22,606)	\$ (6,435)
Provisions for losses on notes receivable	(2,231)	(1,673)
Recoveries of losses on notes receivable	1,422	417
Provisions for impairment loss on investment in Casden Properties LLC	(20,740)	(16,321)
Net reductions due to consolidation of real estate partnerships and property dispositions	4,941	1,406
Balance at end of year	\$ (39,214)	\$ (22,606)

During the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, we determined that an allowance for loan losses of \$1.2 million and \$3.6 million, respectively, was required on certain of our par value notes that had carrying amounts of \$3.8 million and \$11.4 million, respectively. The average recorded investment in the impaired par value notes for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, was \$7.6 million and \$9.0 million, respectively. The remaining \$28.4 million in par value notes receivable at December 31, 2009, is estimated to be collectible and, therefore, interest income on these par value notes is recognized as it is earned.

F-30

Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, we determined that an allowance for loan losses of \$1.0 million and \$2.7 million, respectively, was required on certain of our discounted notes (excluding the note related to Casden Properties LLC discussed above) that had carrying values of \$1.6 million and \$5.4 million, respectively. The average recorded investment in the impaired discounted notes for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, was \$3.5 million and \$4.9 million, respectively.

NOTE 6 Property Tax-Exempt Bond Financings, Property Loans Payable and Other Borrowings

The following table summarizes our property tax-exempt bond financings related to properties classified as held for use at December 31, 2009 and 2008, the majority of which is non-recourse to us (in thousands):

	Weighted Average Interest Rate	Principal C	Outstanding
	2009	2009	2008
Fixed rate property tax-exempt bonds payable	5.10%	\$ 140,995	\$ 131,530
Variable rate property tax-exempt bonds payable	0.90%	433,931	497,969
Total		\$ 574,926	\$ 629,499

Fixed rate property tax-exempt bonds payable mature at various dates through December 2049. Variable rate property tax-exempt bonds payable mature at various dates through June 2038. Principal and interest on these bonds are generally payable in semi-annual installments with balloon payments due at maturity. Certain of our property tax-exempt bonds at December 31, 2009, are remarketed periodically by a remarketing agent to maintain a variable yield. If the remarketing agent is unable to remarket the bonds, then the remarketing agent can put the bonds to us. We believe that the likelihood of this occurring is remote. At December 31, 2009, our property tax-exempt bond financings related to properties classified as held for use were secured by 39 properties with a combined net book value of \$837.7 million. As discussed in Note 2, certain fixed rate property tax-exempt bonds payable have been converted to variable rates using total rate of return swaps and are presented above as variable rate debt at their carrying amounts, or fair value.

The following table summarizes our property loans payable related to properties classified as held for use at December 31, 2009 and 2008, the majority of which are non-recourse to us (in thousands):

	Weighted Average Interest Rate	Principal C	Outstanding
	2009	2009	2008
Fixed rate property notes payable	6.01%	\$ 4,850,136	\$ 4,662,584
Variable rate property notes payable	2.46%	75,877	223,561
Secured notes credit facility	1.02%	46,314	58,179
Total		\$ 4,972,327	\$ 4,944,324

Fixed rate property notes payable mature at various dates through August 2053. Variable rate property notes payable mature at various dates through November 2030. Principal and interest are generally payable monthly or in monthly interest-only payments with balloon payments due at maturity. At December 31, 2009, our property notes payable related to properties classified as held for use were secured by 373 properties with a combined net book value of \$6,030.1 million. As discussed in Note 2, certain fixed rate secured notes payable have been converted to variable rates using total rate of return swaps and are presented above as variable rate debt at their carrying amounts, or fair value.

At December 31, 2009, we had a secured revolving credit facility with a major life company that provided for borrowings of up to \$200.0 million. In January 2010, the credit facility was modified to reduce allowed borrowings to the then outstanding amount of \$46.3 million. The primary function of the facility is to secure short-term fully pre-payable non-recourse loans for a period of less than three years. The interest rate on the notes provided through the facility is 30-day LIBOR plus 0.78%. Each loan under the facility is treated as a separate borrowing and is secured by a specific property. None of the facility loans are cross-collateralized or cross-defaulted. This facility matures in October 2010, and has two one-year extension options for a \$500,000 fee per extension. At December 31,

F-31

Table of Contents

2009, outstanding borrowings of \$46.3 million related to properties classified as held for use are included in 2012 maturities below based on the extension options.

Our consolidated debt instruments generally contain covenants common to the type of facility or borrowing, including financial covenants establishing minimum debt service coverage ratios and maximum leverage ratios. At December 31, 2009, we were in compliance with all financial covenants pertaining to our consolidated debt instruments.

Other borrowings totaled \$53.1 million and \$96.0 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. At December 31, 2009, other borrowings includes \$44.6 million in fixed rate obligations with interest rates ranging from zero to 10.0% and \$8.5 million in variable rate obligations bearing interest at the prime rate plus 1.75%. The maturity dates for other borrowings range from 2010 to 2039, although certain amounts are due upon occurrence of specified events, such as property sales.

As of December 31, 2009, the scheduled principal amortization and maturity payments for our property tax-exempt bonds, property notes payable and other borrowings related to properties in continuing operations are as follows (in thousands):

	Amo	rtization	Ma	aturities	Total
2010	\$	101,945	\$	3,349	\$ 105,294
2011		106,962		237,796	344,758
2012		110,269		205,706	315,975
2013		108,946		386,421	495,367
2014		105,701		267,547	373,248
Thereafter					3,965,668
					\$ 5,600,310

NOTE 7 Term Loans and Credit Facility

We have an Amended and Restated Senior Secured Credit Agreement, as amended, with a syndicate of financial institutions, which we refer to as the Credit Agreement. In addition to Aimco, the Aimco Operating Partnership and an Aimco subsidiary are also borrowers under the Credit Agreement.

As of December 31, 2009, the Credit Agreement consisted of aggregate commitments of \$270.0 million, comprised of the \$90.0 million outstanding balance on the term loan and \$180.0 million of revolving loan commitments. The term loan bears interest at LIBOR plus 1.5%, or at our option, a base rate equal to the prime rate, and matures March 2011. Borrowings under the revolving credit facility bear interest based on a pricing grid determined by leverage (either at LIBOR plus 4.25% with a LIBOR floor of 2.00% or, at our option, a base rate equal to the Prime rate plus a spread of 3.00%). The revolving credit facility matures May 1, 2011, and may be extended for an additional year, subject to certain conditions, including payment of a 45.0 basis point fee on the total revolving commitments and repayment of the remaining term loan balance by February 1, 2011. Pursuant to the Credit Agreement, while any balance under the term loan is outstanding, repurchases of our Common Stock are permitted with 50% of net asset sale proceeds if the other 50% of such net asset sale proceeds are applied to repay the term loan. The Credit Agreement permits us to increase revolving commitments by up to \$320.0 million, subject to our obtaining such commitments from eligible

lenders.

The Credit Agreement includes customary financial covenants, including the maintenance of specified ratios with respect to total indebtedness to gross asset value, total secured indebtedness to gross asset value, aggregate recourse indebtedness to gross asset value, variable rate debt to total indebtedness, debt service coverage and fixed charge coverage; the maintenance of a minimum adjusted tangible net worth; and limitations regarding the amount of cross-collateralized debt. The Credit Agreement includes other customary covenants, including a restriction on distributions and other restricted payments, but permits distributions during any four consecutive fiscal quarters in an aggregate amount of up to 95% of our funds from operations for such period, subject to certain non-cash adjustments, or such amount as may be necessary to maintain our REIT status. We were in compliance with all such covenants as of December 31, 2009.

F-32

Table of Contents

The lenders under the Credit Agreement may accelerate any outstanding loans if, among other things: we fail to make payments when due (subject to applicable grace periods); material defaults occur under other debt agreements; certain bankruptcy or insolvency events occur; material judgments are entered against us; we fail to comply with certain covenants, such as the requirement to deliver financial information or the requirement to provide notices regarding material events (subject to applicable grace periods in some cases); indebtedness is incurred in violation of the covenants; or prohibited liens arise.

At December 31, 2009, the term loan had an outstanding principal balance of \$90.0 million and an interest rate of 1.73%. We repaid \$45.0 million of the term loan through February 26, 2010, leaving a remaining outstanding balance of \$45.0 million. At December 31, 2009, we had no outstanding borrowings under the revolving credit facility. The amount available under the revolving credit facility at December 31, 2009, was \$136.2 million (after giving effect to \$43.8 million outstanding for undrawn letters of credit issued under the revolving credit facility). The proceeds of revolving loans are generally permitted to be used to fund working capital and for other corporate purposes.

On February 3, 2010, we entered into an Eighth Amendment to our Credit Agreement, which provides for a reduction in the minimum threshold for our debt service coverage and fixed charge coverage ratios and an increase in the maximum threshold for our secured indebtedness ratio.

NOTE 8 Commitments and Contingencies

Commitments

In connection with our redevelopment and capital improvement activities, we have commitments of approximately \$4.8 million related to construction projects that are expected to be completed during 2010. Additionally, we enter into certain commitments for future purchases of goods and services in connection with the operations of our properties. Those commitments generally have terms of one year or less and reflect expenditure levels comparable to our historical expenditures.

As discussed in Note 5, we have committed to fund an additional \$4.6 million in loans on certain properties in West Harlem in New York City. In certain circumstances, the obligor under these notes has the ability to put properties to us, which would result in a cash payment between \$30.0 and \$97.5 million and the assumption of approximately \$119.0 million in property debt. The ability to exercise the put and the amount of cash payment required upon exercise is dependent upon the achievement of specified thresholds by the current owner of the properties.

As discussed in Note 11, we have a potential obligation to repurchase \$30.0 million in liquidation preference of our Series A Community Reinvestment Act Preferred Stock for \$21.0 million.

Tax Credit Arrangements

We are required to manage certain consolidated real estate partnerships in compliance with various laws, regulations and contractual provisions that apply to our historic and low-income housing tax credit syndication arrangements. In some instances, noncompliance with applicable requirements could result in projected tax benefits not being realized and require a refund or reduction of investor capital contributions, which are reported as deferred income in our consolidated balance sheet, until such time as our obligation to deliver tax benefits is relieved. The remaining compliance periods for our tax credit syndication arrangements range from less than one year to 15 years. We do not anticipate that any material refunds or reductions of investor capital contributions will be required in connection with these arrangements.

Legal Matters

In addition to the matters described below, we are a party to various legal actions and administrative proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business, some of which are covered by our general liability insurance program, and none of which we expect to have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

F-33

Table of Contents

Limited Partnerships

In connection with our acquisitions of interests in real estate partnerships and our role as general partner in certain real estate partnerships, we are sometimes subject to legal actions, including allegations that such activities may involve breaches of fiduciary duties to the partners of such real estate partnerships or violations of the relevant partnership agreements. We may incur costs in connection with the defense or settlement of such litigation. We believe that we comply with our fiduciary obligations and relevant partnership agreements. Although the outcome of any litigation is uncertain, we do not expect any such legal actions to have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Environmental

Various Federal, state and local laws subject property owners or operators to liability for management, and the costs of removal or remediation, of certain hazardous substances present on a property, including lead-based paint. Such laws often impose liability without regard to whether the owner or operator knew of, or was responsible for, the release or presence of the hazardous substances. The presence of, or the failure to manage or remedy properly, hazardous substances may adversely affect occupancy at affected apartment communities and the ability to sell or finance affected properties. In addition to the costs associated with investigation and remediation actions brought by government agencies, and potential fines or penalties imposed by such agencies in connection therewith, the presence of hazardous substances on a property could result in claims by private plaintiffs for personal injury, disease, disability or other infirmities. Various laws also impose liability for the cost of removal, remediation or disposal of hazardous substances through a licensed disposal or treatment facility. Anyone who arranges for the disposal or treatment of hazardous substances is potentially liable under such laws. These laws often impose liability whether or not the person arranging for the disposal ever owned or operated the disposal facility. In connection with the ownership, operation and management of properties, we could potentially be liable for environmental liabilities or costs associated with our properties or properties we acquire or manage in the future.

We have determined that our legal obligations to remove or remediate hazardous substances may be conditional asset retirement obligations, as defined in GAAP. Except in limited circumstances where the asset retirement activities are expected to be performed in connection with a planned construction project or property casualty, we believe that the fair value of our asset retirement obligations cannot be reasonably estimated due to significant uncertainties in the timing and manner of settlement of those obligations. Asset retirement obligations that are reasonably estimable as of December 31, 2009, are immaterial to our consolidated financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Mold

We have been named as a defendant in lawsuits that have alleged personal injury and property damage as a result of the presence of mold. In addition, we are aware of lawsuits against owners and managers of multifamily properties asserting claims of personal injury and property damage caused by the presence of mold, some of which have resulted in substantial monetary judgments or settlements. We have only limited insurance coverage for property damage loss claims arising from the presence of mold and for personal injury claims related to mold exposure. We have implemented policies, procedures, third-party audits and training, and include a detailed moisture intrusion and mold assessment during acquisition due diligence. We believe these measures will prevent or eliminate mold exposure from our properties and will minimize the effects that mold may have on our residents. To date, we have not incurred any material costs or liabilities relating to claims of mold exposure or to abate mold conditions. Because the law regarding mold is unsettled and subject to change, we can make no assurance that liabilities resulting from the presence of or exposure to mold will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Operating Leases

We are obligated under office space and equipment non-cancelable operating leases. In addition, we sublease certain of our office space to tenants under non-cancelable subleases. Approximate minimum annual rentals under

F-34

Table of Contents

operating leases and approximate minimum payments to be received under annual subleases are as follows (in thousands):

	Opera Obl	Sublease Receivables		
2010	\$	7,345	\$	818
2011		5,800		185
2012		5,056		64
2013		2,594		12
2014		2,265		
Thereafter		1,828		
Total	\$	24,888	\$	1,079

Substantially all of the office space subject to the operating leases described above are for the use of our corporate offices and area operations. Rent expense recognized totaled \$7.7 million, \$10.2 million and \$9.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Sublease receipts that offset rent expense totaled approximately \$0.7 million, \$0.7 million and \$1.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

As discussed in Note 3, during the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, we commenced restructuring activities pursuant to which we vacated certain leased office space for which we remain obligated. In connection with the restructurings, we accrued amounts representing the estimated fair value of certain lease obligations related to space we are no longer using, reduced by estimated sublease amounts. At December 31, 2009, approximately \$6.9 million related to the above operating lease obligations was included in accrued liabilities related to these estimates.

F-35

Table of Contents

NOTE 9 Income Taxes

Deferred income taxes reflect the net effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities of the taxable REIT subsidiaries for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. Significant components of our deferred tax liabilities and assets are as follows (in thousands):

	2009	2008
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Partnership differences	\$ 32,565	\$ 47,635
Depreciation	2,474	2,477
Deferred revenue	14,862	7,757
Other		11
Total deferred tax liabilities	\$ 49,901	\$ 57,880
Deferred tax assets:		
Net operating, capital and other loss carryforwards	\$ 37,164	\$ 7,183
Provision for impairments on real estate assets	33,321	33,321
Receivables	3,094	5,530
Accrued liabilities	9,272	23,504
Accrued interest expense		2,220
Intangibles management contracts	1,911	3,789
Tax credit carryforwards	6,949	8,521
Equity compensation	1,463	1,983
Other	929	155
Total deferred tax assets	94,103	86,206
Valuation allowance	(2,187)	
Net deferred income tax assets	\$ 42,015	\$ 28,326

As of December 31, 2009, we determined a valuation allowance for our deferred tax assets was necessary for certain state net operating losses based on a determination that it was more likely than not that such assets will not be realized prior to their expiration.

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending balance of our unrecognized tax benefits is presented below:

	2009	2008	2007
Balance at January 1 Reductions as a result of the lapse of applicable statutes Additions based on tax positions related to the prior year	\$ 3,080	\$ 2,965 115	\$ 3,118 (189) 36

Reductions based on tax positions related to the prior year

(1)

Balance at December 31 \$ 3,079 \$ 3,080 \$ 2,965

We do not anticipate any material changes in existing unrecognized tax benefits during the next 12 months. Because the statute of limitations has not yet elapsed, our Federal income tax returns for the year ended December 31, 2006, and subsequent years and certain of our State income tax returns for the year ended December 31, 2004, and subsequent years are currently subject to examination by the Internal Revenue Service or other tax authorities. As discussed in Note 2, the IRS has issued us summary reports including its proposed adjustments to the Aimco Operating Partnership s 2007 and 2006 Federal tax returns. We do not expect the proposed adjustments to have any material effect on our unrecognized tax benefits, financial condition or results of operations. Our policy is to include interest and penalties related to income taxes in income taxes in our consolidated statements of income.

In accordance with the accounting requirements for stock-based compensation, our deferred tax assets at December 31, 2008, are net of \$3.6 million of excess tax benefits from employee stock option exercises and vested

F-36

Table of Contents

restricted stock awards. As of December 31, 2009, we had no such excess tax benefits from employee stock option exercises and vested restricted stock awards.

The cost of land and depreciable property, net of accumulated depreciation, for federal income tax purposes was approximately \$4.6 billion.

Significant components of the provision (benefit) for income taxes are as follows and are classified within income tax benefit in continuing operations and income from discontinued operations, net in our statements of income for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 (in thousands):

	2009		2008		2007	
Current:	Φ.	(4.040)	Φ.	0.650	4	•
Federal State	\$	(1,910) 3,992	\$	8,678 2,415	\$	20 1,938
Total current		2,082		11,093		1,958
Deferred:						
Federal		(17,320)		(22,115)		(17,816)
State		(3,988)		(2,386)		(1,833)
Total deferred		(21,308)		(24,501)		(19,649)
Total benefit	\$	(19,226)	\$	(13,408)	\$	(17,691)
Classification:						
Continuing operations	\$	(18,671)	\$	(53,202)	\$	(19,795)
Discontinued operations	\$	(555)	\$	39,794	\$	2,104

Consolidated losses subject to tax, consisting of pretax income or loss of our taxable REIT subsidiaries and gains or loss on certain property sales that are subject to income tax under section 1374 of the Internal Revenue Code, for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 totaled \$40.6 million, \$81.8 million and \$41.5 million, respectively. The reconciliation of income tax attributable to continuing and discontinued operations computed at the U.S. statutory rate to income tax benefit is shown below (dollars in thousands):

	2009		200	8	2007		
	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent	
Tax at U.S. statutory rates on consolidated loss subject to tax State income tax, net of Federal	\$ (14,221)	35.0%	\$ (28,632)	35.0%	\$ (14,508)	35.0%	
tax benefit	(2,183)	5.4%	29		106	(0.3)%	
Effect of permanent differences	127	(0.3)%	215	(0.3)%	(306)	0.7%	
Tax effect of intercompany transfers of assets between the	(4,759)	11.7%	15,059	(18.4)%			

REIT and taxable REIT

subsidiaries(1)

Write-off of excess tax basis	(377)	0.9%	(79)	0.1%	(2,983)	7.2%
Increase in valuation allowance	2,187	(5.4)%				
	\$ (19.226)	47 3%	\$ (13.408)	16.4%	\$ (17.691)	42.6%

Income taxes paid totaled approximately \$4.6 million, \$13.8 million and \$3.0 million in the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

F-37

⁽¹⁾ Includes the effect of assets contributed by the Aimco Operating Partnership to taxable REIT subsidiaries, for which deferred tax expense or benefit was recognized upon the sale or impairment of the asset by the taxable REIT subsidiary.

Table of Contents

At December 31, 2009, we had net operating loss carryforwards, or NOLs, of approximately \$60.6 million for income tax purposes that expire in years 2027 to 2029. Subject to certain separate return limitations, we may use these NOLs to offset all or a portion of taxable income generated by our taxable REIT subsidiaries. We generated approximately \$45.9 million of NOLs during the year ended December 31, 2009, as a result of losses from our taxable REIT subsidiaries. The deductibility of intercompany interest expense with our taxable REIT subsidiaries is subject to certain intercompany limitations based upon taxable income as required under Section 163(j) of the Code. As of December 31, 2009, interest carryovers of approximately \$24.6 million, limited by Section 163(j) of the Code, are available against U.S. Federal tax without expiration. The deferred tax asset related to these interest carryovers is approximately \$9.6 million. Additionally, our low-income housing and rehabilitation tax credit carryforwards as of December 31, 2009, were approximately \$7.4 million for income tax purposes that expire in years 2012 to 2028.

For income tax purposes, dividends paid to holders of Common Stock primarily consist of ordinary income, return of capital, capital gains, qualified dividends and unrecaptured Section 1250 gains, or a combination thereof. For the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, dividends per share held for the entire year were estimated to be taxable as follows:

	200	2009(1)(2)		08(3)	2007(4)			
	Amount	Amount Percentage		Percentage	Amount	Percentage		
Ordinary income	\$		\$		\$ 0.78	18%		
Capital gains	0.10	26%	4.77	64%	2.31	54%		
Qualified dividends	0.06	14%	0.03		0.10	2%		
Unrecaptured Section 1250 gain	0.24	60%	2.68	36%	1.12	26%		
	\$ 0.40	100%	\$ 7.48	100%	\$ 4.31	100%		

- (1) On December 18, 2009, our Board of Directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.10 per common share for the quarter ended December 31, 2009, that was paid on January 29, 2010, to stockholders of record on December 31, 2009. Pursuant to certain provisions in the Code, this dividend was deemed paid by us and received by our shareholders in 2009.
- (2) The Company has designated the per share amounts above as capital gain dividends in accordance with the requirements under the Code.
- (3) On December 18, 2008, our Board of Directors declared a special dividend of \$2.08 per common share for the quarter ended December 31, 2008, that was paid on January 29, 2009, to stockholders of record on December 29, 2008. A portion of the special dividend represented an early payment of the regular quarterly dividend of \$0.60 per share that would otherwise have been paid in February 2009. Pursuant to certain provisions in the Code, this dividend was deemed paid by us and received by our shareholders in 2008.
- (4) On December 21, 2007, our Board of Directors declared a special dividend of \$2.51 per common share for the quarter ended December 31, 2007, that was paid on January 30, 2008, to stockholders of record on December 31, 2007. A portion of the special dividend represented an early payment of the regular quarterly dividend of \$0.60 per share that would otherwise have been paid in February 2008. Pursuant to certain provisions in the Code, this dividend was deemed paid by us and received by our shareholders in 2007.

NOTE 10 Transactions Involving Noncontrolling Interests in Aimco Operating Partnership

In December 2008, October 2008, July 2008, and December 2007, the Aimco Operating Partnership declared special distributions payable on January 29, 2009, December 1, 2008, August 29, 2008 and January 30, 2008, respectively, to holders of record of common OP Units and High Performance Units on December 29, 2008, October 27, 2008, July 28, 2008 and December 31, 2007, respectively. The special distributions were paid on common OP Units and High Performance Units in the amounts listed below. The Aimco Operating Partnership distributed to us common OP Units equal to the number of shares we issued pursuant to our corresponding special dividends (discussed in Note 11) in addition to approximately \$0.60 per unit in cash. Holders of common OP Units other than us and holders of High Performance Units received the distribution entirely in cash.

F-38

Table of Contents

Aimco Operating Partnership Special Distributions	January 2009 Special Distribution			ecember 2008 Special Distribution	August 2008 Special Distribution			January 2008 Special Distribution		
Distribution per unit	\$	2.08	\$	1.80	\$	3.00	\$	2.51		
Total distribution	\$	230.1 million	\$	176.6 million	\$	285.5 million	\$	257.2 million		
Common OP Units and High										
Performance Units outstanding on										
record date		110,654,142		98,136,520		95,151,333		102,478,510		
Common OP Units held by Aimco	101,169,951		88,650,980		85,619,144		92,795,891			
Total distribution on Aimco										
common OP Units	\$	210.4 million	\$	159.6 million	\$	256.9 million	\$	232.9 million		
Cash distribution to Aimco	\$	60.6 million	\$	53.2 million	\$	51.4 million	\$	55.0 million		
Portion of distribution paid to Aimco										
through issuance of common OP										
Units	\$	149.8 million	\$	106.4 million	\$	205.5 million	\$	177.9 million		
Common OP Units issued to Aimco										
pursuant to distributions		15,627,330		12,572,267		5,731,310		4,594,074		
Cash distributed to common OP Unit										
and High Performance Unit holders										
other than Aimco	\$	19.7 million	\$	17.0 million	\$	28.6 million	\$	24.3 million		

Preferred OP Units

Various classes of preferred OP Units of the Aimco Operating Partnership are outstanding. Depending on the terms of each class, these preferred OP Units are convertible into common OP Units or redeemable for cash, or at the Aimco Operating Partnership s option, Common Stock, and are paid distributions varying from 5.9% to 9.6% per annum per unit, or equal to the dividends paid on Common Stock based on the conversion terms. As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, a total of 3.1 million and 3.2 million preferred OP Units were outstanding with redemption values of \$85.7 million and \$88.1 million, respectively. At December 31, 2009 and 2008, a total of 3.1 million and 3.1 million of these preferred OP Units with redemption values of \$82.8 million and \$85.2 million, respectively, were redeemable into approximately 5.2 million and 7.4 million shares of Common Stock, respectively, or cash at the Aimco Operating Partnership s option.

During the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, approximately 68,200 and 38,400 preferred OP Units, respectively, were tendered for redemption in exchange for cash. During the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, no preferred OP Units were tendered for redemption in exchange for shares of Common Stock. The Aimco Operating Partnership has a redemption policy that requires cash settlement of redemption requests for the redeemable preferred OP Units, subject to limited exceptions.

Common OP Units

In 2007, we completed tender offers for limited partnership interests resulting in the issuance of approximately 55,400 common OP Units. Approximately 55,100 of the common OP Units issued in 2007 were to unrelated limited partners in VMS in connection with our purchase of seven properties from the partnership, as discussed in Note 3. In 2009 and 2008, we did not issue a significant number of common OP Units in connection with tender offers for limited partners.

During the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, approximately 64,000 and 50,000 common OP Units, respectively, were redeemed in exchange for cash, and approximately 519,000 and 114,000 common OP Units, respectively, were redeemed in exchange for shares of Common Stock.

High Performance Units

From 1998 through 2005, the Aimco Operating Partnership issued various classes of High Performance Units, or HPUs. These HPUs were issued to limited liability companies owned by certain members of our senior F-39

Table of Contents

management (and independent directors in the case of Class I HPUs only) in exchange for cash in amounts that we determined, with the assistance of a nationally recognized independent valuation expert, to be the fair value of the HPUs. The terms of the HPUs provide for the issuance, following a measurement period of generally three years of an increased number of HPUs depending on the degree, if any, to which certain financial performance benchmarks are achieved over the applicable measurement period. The holders of HPUs at the conclusion of the measurement period receive the same amount of distributions that are paid to holders of an equivalent number of the Aimco Operating Partnership s outstanding common OP Units. At December 31, 2009 and 2008, 2,344,719 Class I HPUs, the sole class of HPUs to meet the performance benchmarks, were outstanding. The minimum performance benchmarks were not achieved for HPU Classes II through IX. Accordingly, those HPUs had only nominal value at the conclusion of the related measurement period and were reacquired by the Aimco Operating Partnership and cancelled.

NOTE 11 Aimco Equity

Preferred Stock

At December 31, 2009 and 2008, we had the following classes of preferred stock outstanding:

			Balance						
		Annual Dividend Rate Per	December 31,						
	Redemption	Share (paid	2009	2008					
Perpetual:	Date(1)	quarterly)	(thousands)	(thousands)					
Class G Cumulative Preferred Stock, \$0.01 par value, 4,050,000 shares authorized,									
4,050,000 shares issued and outstanding(2) Class T Cumulative Preferred Stock, \$0.01 par value, 6,000,000 shares authorized,	07/15/2008	9.3750%	\$ 101,000	\$ 101,000					
6,000,000 shares issued and outstanding Class U Cumulative Preferred Stock, \$0.01 par	07/31/2008	8.000%	150,000	150,000					
value, 8,000,000 shares authorized, 8,000,000 shares issued and outstanding Class V Cumulative Preferred Stock, \$0.01 par value, 3,450,000 shares authorized,	03/24/2009	7.750%	200,000	200,000					
3,450,000 shares issued and outstanding Class Y Cumulative Preferred Stock, \$0.01 par value, 3,450,000 shares authorized,	09/29/2009	8.000%	86,250	86,250					
3,450,000 shares issued and outstanding Series A Community Reinvestment Act Preferred Stock, \$0.01 par value per share, 240 shares authorized, 134 and 146 shares	12/21/2009	7.875%	86,250	86,250					
issued and outstanding(3)	06/30/2011	(3)	67,000	73,000					
Total			\$ 690,500	\$ 696,500					

Less preferred stock subject to repurchase agreement(4)

(30,000)

Preferred stock per consolidated balance sheets

\$ 660,500 \$ 696,500

- (1) All classes of preferred stock are redeemable at our option on and after the dates specified.
- (2) Includes 10,000 shares held by a consolidated subsidiary that are eliminated in consolidation.
- (3) During 2006, we sold 200 shares of our Series A Community Reinvestment Act Perpetual Preferred Stock, \$0.01 par value per share, or the CRA Preferred Stock, with a liquidation preference of \$500,000 per share, for net proceeds of \$97.5 million. For the period from the date of original issuance through March 31, 2015, the dividend rate is a variable rate per annum equal to the Three-Month LIBOR Rate (as defined in the articles supplementary designating the CRA Preferred Stock) plus 1.25%, calculated as of the beginning of each quarterly dividend period. The rate at December 31, 2009 and 2008, was 1.54% and 5.01%, respectively. Upon liquidation, holders of the CRA Preferred Stock are entitled to a preference of \$500,000 per share, plus an amount equal to accumulated, accrued and unpaid dividends, whether or not earned or declared. The CRA Preferred Stock ranks prior to our Common Stock and on the same level as our outstanding shares of preferred stock with respect to the payment of dividends and the distribution of amounts upon liquidation, dissolution or winding up. The CRA Preferred Stock is not redeemable prior to June 30, 2011, except in limited circumstances related to REIT qualification. On and after June 30, 2011, the CRA Preferred Stock is redeemable for cash, in whole or from time to time in part, at our option, at a price per share equal to the liquidation preference, plus accumulated, accrued and unpaid dividends, if any, to the redemption date.
- (4) In June 2009, we entered into an agreement to repurchase \$36.0 million in liquidation preference of our CRA Preferred Stock at a 30% discount to the liquidation preference. Pursuant to this agreement, in June 2009, we

F-40

Table of Contents

repurchased 12 shares, or \$6.0 million in liquidation preference, of CRA Preferred Stock for \$4.2 million, and the holder of the CRA Preferred Stock may require us to repurchase an additional 60 shares, or \$30.0 million in liquidation preference, of CRA Preferred Stock over the next three years, for \$21.0 million. If required, these additional repurchases will be for up to \$10.0 million in liquidation preference in May 2010, 2011 and 2012. Based on the holder s ability to require us to repurchase an additional 60 shares of CRA Preferred Stock pursuant to this agreement, \$30.0 million in liquidation preference of CRA Preferred Stock, or the maximum redemption value of such preferred stock, is classified within temporary equity in our consolidation balance sheet at December 31, 2009.

In connection with our June 2009 CRA Preferred Stock repurchase discussed above, we reflected the \$1.8 million excess of the carrying value over the repurchase price, offset by \$0.2 million of issuance costs previously recorded as a reduction of additional paid-in capital, as a reduction of net income attributable to preferred stockholders for the year ended December 31, 2009.

During 2008, we repurchased 54 shares, or \$27.0 million in liquidation preference, of our CRA Preferred Stock for cash totaling \$24.8 million. We reflected the \$2.2 million excess of the carrying value over the redemption price, offset by \$0.7 million of issuance costs previously recorded as a reduction of additional paid-in capital, as a reduction of net income attributable to preferred stockholders for purposes of calculating earnings per share for the year ended December 31, 2008.

All classes of preferred stock are pari passu with each other and are senior to our Common Stock. The holders of each class of preferred stock are generally not entitled to vote on matters submitted to stockholders. Dividends on all shares of preferred stock are subject to declaration by our Board of Directors. All of the above outstanding classes of preferred stock have a liquidation preference per share of \$25, with the exception of the CRA Preferred Stock, which has a liquidation preference per share of \$500,000.

The dividends paid on each class of preferred stock classified as equity in the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 are as follows (in thousands, except per share data):

	2009					200		2007				
Class of Preferred Stock	Amount Per Share(1)		Per A		Amount Per Share(1)		Total Amount Paid		Amount Per Share(1)		Total Amount Paid	
Perpetual:												
Class G	\$	2.34	\$	9,492	\$	2.34	\$	9,492	\$	2.34	\$	9,492
Class T		2.00		12,000		2.00		12,000		2.00		12,000
Class U		1.94		15,500		1.94		15,500		1.94		15,500
Class V		2.00		6,900		2.00		6,900		2.00		6,900
Class Y		1.97		6,792		1.97		6,792		1.97		6,792
Series A CRA	1	10,841(2)		1,531		24,381(3)		4,531		41,661		8,316
				52,215				55,215				59,000
Convertible:												
Class W										4.25(4)		8,100

8,100

Total \$ 52,215 \$ 55,215 \$ 67,100

- (1) Amounts per share are calculated based on the number of preferred shares outstanding either at the end of each year or as of conversion, redemption or repurchase date, as noted.
- (2) Amount per share is based on 134 shares outstanding for the entire period. 12 shares were repurchased in June 2009 and received \$6,509 in dividends through the date of purchase.
- (3) Amount per share is based on 146 shares outstanding for the entire period. 54 shares were repurchased in September 2008 and received \$17,980 in dividends through the date of purchase.

F-41

Table of Contents

(4) For the period from January 1, 2007, to the date of redemption.

Common Stock

In December 2008, October 2008, July 2008 and December 2007, in connection with the Aimco Operating Partnership s special distributions discussed in Note 10, our Board of Directors declared corresponding special dividends payable on January 29, 2009, December 1, 2008, August 29, 2008 and January 30, 2008, respectively, to holders of record of our Common Stock on December 29, 2008, October 27, 2008, July 28, 2008 and December 31, 2007, respectively. A portion of the special dividends in the amounts of \$0.60 per share represents payment of the regular dividend for the quarters ended December 31, 2008, September 30, 2008, June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, respectively, and the remaining amount per share represents an additional dividend associated with taxable gains from property dispositions. Portions of the special dividends were paid through the issuance of shares of Common Stock. The table below summarizes information regarding these special dividends.

Aimco Special Dividends	J	January 2009 Special Dividend		Special Special		August 2008 Special Dividend	January 2008 Special Dividend		
Dividend per share	\$	2.08	\$	1.80	\$	3.00	\$	2.51	
Outstanding shares of Common									
Stock on the record date		101,169,951		88,650,980		85,619,144		92,795,891	
Total dividend	\$	210.4 million	\$	159.6 million	\$	256.9 million	\$	232.9 million	
Portion of dividend paid in cash	\$	60.6 million	\$	53.2 million	\$	51.4 million	\$	55.0 million	
Portion of dividend paid through									
issuance of shares	\$	149.8 million	\$	106.4 million	\$	205.5 million	\$	177.9 million	
Shares issued pursuant to dividend		15,627,330		12,572,267		5,731,310		4,594,074	
Average share price on									
determination date	\$	9.58	\$	8.46	\$	35.84	\$	38.71	
Amounts after elimination of the									
effects of shares of Common Stock									
held by consolidated subsidiaries:									
Outstanding shares of Common									
Stock on the record date		100,642,817		88,186,456		85,182,665		92,379,751	
Total dividend	\$	209.3 million	\$	158.7 million	\$	255.5 million	\$	231.9 million	
Portion of dividend paid in cash	\$	60.3 million	\$	52.9 million	\$	51.1 million	\$	54.8 million	
Portion of dividend paid through									
issuance of shares	\$	149.0 million	\$	105.8 million	\$	204.4 million	\$	177.1 million	
Shares issued pursuant to dividend		15,548,996		12,509,657		5,703,265		4,573,735	

As discussed in Note 2, during December 2009, we adopted the provisions of ASU 2010-01, which relate to accounting for dividends with components of cash and stock. In prior periods, we treated the shares of stock issued in our special dividends similar to stock dividends, with a reclassification within consolidated equity at the beginning of the earliest period presented. In connection with our adoption of ASU 2010-01, we retrospectively adjusted our consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2008, by increasing accrued liabilities and other by \$149.0 million, representing the portion of our special dividend declared in December 2008 that was paid in January 2009 through the issuance of common stock.

During 2008, we issued approximately 17,000 shares of Common Stock to certain non-executive officers who purchased the shares at market prices. In exchange for the shares purchased, the officers executed notes payable totaling \$0.6 million. No shares were issued under similar arrangements during 2009. These notes, which are 25% recourse to the borrowers, have a 10-year maturity and bear interest either at a fixed rate of 6% annually or a floating rate based on the 30-day LIBOR plus 3.85%, which is subject to an annual interest rate cap of typically 7.25%. Total payments in 2009 and 2008 on all notes from officers were \$0.8 million and \$1.5 million, respectively. In 2009 and 2008, we reacquired approximately 94,000 and 31,000 shares of Common Stock from officers in exchange for the cancellation of related notes totaling \$1.5 million and \$1.0 million, respectively.

F-42

Table of Contents

In addition, in 2009 and 2008, we issued approximately 378,000 and 225,000 restricted shares of Common Stock, respectively, to certain officers and employees. The restricted stock was recorded at the fair market value of the Common Stock on the date of issuance. These shares of restricted Common Stock may not be sold, assigned, transferred, pledged, hypothecated or otherwise disposed of and are subject to a risk of forfeiture prior to the expiration of the applicable vesting period (ratably over a period of four years).

In 2008 and 2007, we purchased in the open market approximately 13.9 million and 7.5 million shares of Common Stock, respectively, at an average price per share of approximately \$34.02 and \$43.70, respectively. During 2009, we did not repurchase any shares of Common Stock on the open market.

Registration Statements

We and the Aimco Operating Partnership have a shelf registration statement that provides for the issuance of debt and equity securities by Aimco and debt securities by the Aimco Operating Partnership.

NOTE 12 Share-Based Compensation and Employee Benefit Plans

Stock Award and Incentive Plan

We adopted the Apartment Investment and Management Company 1997 Stock Award and Incentive Plan, or the 1997 Plan, to attract and retain officers, key employees and independent directors. The 1997 Plan reserved for issuance a maximum of 20 million shares, which may be in the form of incentive stock options, non-qualified stock options and restricted stock, or other types of awards as authorized under the 1997 Plan. The 1997 Plan expired on April 24, 2007. On April 30, 2007, the 2007 Stock Award and Incentive Plan, or the 2007 Plan, was approved as successor to the 1997 Plan. The 2007 Plan reserves for issuance a maximum of 4.1 million shares, which may be in the form of incentive stock options, non-qualified stock options and restricted stock, or other types of awards as authorized under the 2007 Plan. Pursuant to the anti-dilution provisions of the 2007 Plan, the number of shares reserved for issuance has been adjusted to reflect the special dividends discussed in Note 11. At December 31, 2009 there were approximately 1.7 million shares available to be granted under the 2007 Plan. The 2007 Plan is administered by the Compensation and Human Resources Committee of the Board of Directors. In the case of stock options, the exercise price of the options granted may not be less than the fair market value of Common Stock at the date of grant. The term of the options is generally ten years from the date of grant. We generally issue new shares upon exercise of options. Restricted stock awards typically vest over a period of three to five years.

Refer to Stock-Based Compensation in Note 2 for discussion of our accounting policy related to stock-based compensation.

We estimated the fair value of our options using a Black-Scholes closed-form valuation model using the assumptions set forth in the table below. For options granted in 2009 and 2008, the expected term of the options was based on historical option exercises and post-vesting terminations. For options granted in 2007, the expected term of the options reflects the average of the vesting period and the contractual term for the options, with the exception of a grant of approximately 0.6 million options to an executive during 2007, for which the expected term used was equal to the vesting period of five years. Expected volatility reflects the historical volatility of our Common Stock during the historical period commensurate with the expected term of the options that ended on the date of grant. The expected dividend yield reflects expectations regarding cash dividend amounts per share paid on our Common Stock during the expected term of the option and the risk-free interest rate reflects the annualized yield of a zero

Table of Contents

coupon U.S. Treasury security with a term equal to the expected term of the option. The weighted average fair value of options and our valuation assumptions for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 were as follows:

	2009			2008	2007	
Weighted average grant-date fair value	\$	2.47	\$	4.34	\$	6.28
Assumptions:						
Risk-free interest rate		2.26%		3.12%		4.70%
Expected dividend yield		8.00%		6.02%		4.94%
Expected volatility		45.64%		24.02%		21.66%
Weighted average expected life of options	6.	9 years	6	.5 years		5.6 years

The following table summarizes activity for our outstanding stock options for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 (numbers of options in thousands):

	200	9(1)		200	8(1)		200		
			eighted verage			eighted verage		Weight Averaş	
	Number Exercise Number of of		E	xercise	Number of	Ex	kercise		
	Options]	Price	Options	Price		Options	J	Price
Outstanding at beginning of year	10,344	\$	31.01	8,555	\$	39.57	8,598	\$	39.36
Granted	965		8.92	980		39.77	955		57.25
Exercised				(14)		37.45	(1,403)		38.29
Forfeited Adjustment to outstanding options	(2,436)		32.03	(1,423)		38.75	(26)		37.83
pursuant to special dividends			n/a	2,246		n/a	431		n/a
Outstanding at end of year	8,873	\$	28.22	10,344	\$	31.01	8,555	\$	39.57
Exercisable at end of year	6,840	\$	29.65	7,221	\$	29.51	6,417	\$	37.75

⁽¹⁾ In connection with the special dividends discussed in Note 11, effective on the record date of each dividend, the number of options and exercise prices of all outstanding awards were adjusted pursuant to the anti-dilution provisions of the applicable plans based on the market price of our stock on the ex-dividend dates of the related special dividends. The adjustment to the number of outstanding options is reflected in the table separate from the other activity during the periods at the weighted average exercise price for those outstanding options. The exercise prices for options granted, exercised and forfeited in the table above reflect the actual exercise prices at the time of the related activity. The number and weighted average exercise price for options outstanding and exercisable at the end of year reflect the adjustments for the applicable special dividends. The adjustment of the awards pursuant to the special dividends is considered a modification of the awards, but did not result in a change in the fair value of any awards and therefore did not result in a change in total compensation to be recognized over the remaining term of the awards.

The intrinsic value of a stock option represents the amount by which the current price of the underlying stock exceeds the exercise price of the option. Options outstanding at December 31, 2009, had an aggregate intrinsic value of \$5.7 million and a weighted average remaining contractual term of 4.4 years. Options exercisable at December 31, 2008, had no aggregate intrinsic value and a weighted average remaining contractual term of 5.7 years. No stock options were exercised during the year ended 2009. The intrinsic value of stock options exercised during the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, was less than \$0.1 million and \$28.9 million, respectively. We may realize tax benefits in connection with the exercise of options by employees of our taxable subsidiaries. As no stock options were exercised during the year ended December 31, 2009, we realized no related tax benefits.

F-44

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes activity for restricted stock awards for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 (numbers of shares in thousands):

	2		eighted	2		eighted	2	2007 Weighted Average		
	Number of Shares	Gra	verage ant-Date ir Value	Number of Shares	Gra	verage ant-Date ir Value	Number of Shares	Grai	erage nt-Date Value	
Unvested at beginning of year	893	\$	40.33	960	\$	46.08	1,088	\$	40.11	
Granted	378		8.92	248		39.85	308		60.13	
Vested	(418)		34.42	(377)		43.45	(387)		40.31	
Forfeited Issued pursuant to special	(533)		28.57	(128)		46.85	(49)		47.43	
dividends(1)	138		9.58	190		22.51				
Unvested at end of year	458	\$	24.23	893	\$	40.33	960	\$	46.08	

(1) This represents shares of restricted stock issued to holders of restricted stock pursuant to the special dividends discussed in Note 11. The weighted average grant-date fair value for these shares represents the price of our stock on the determination date for each dividend. The issuance of the additional shares of restricted stock resulted in no incremental compensation expense.

The aggregate fair value of shares that vested during the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 was \$3.1 million, \$16.5 million and \$19.5 million, respectively.

Total compensation cost recognized for restricted stock and stock option awards was \$8.0 million, \$17.6 million and \$19.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Of these amounts, \$1.3 million, \$3.8 million and \$4.3 million, respectively, were capitalized. At December 31, 2009, total unvested compensation cost not yet recognized was \$10.1 million. We expect to recognize this compensation over a weighted average period of approximately 1.5 years.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan

Under the terms of our employee stock purchase plan, eligible employees may authorize payroll deductions up to 15% of their base compensation to purchase shares of our Common Stock at a five percent discount from its fair value on the last day of the calendar quarter during which payroll deductions are made. In 2009, 2008 and 2007, 20,076, 8,926 and 3,751 shares were purchased under this plan at an average price of \$8.82, \$23.86 and \$44.67, respectively. No compensation cost is recognized in connection with this plan.

401(k) Plan

We provide a 401(k) defined-contribution employee savings plan. Employees who have completed 30 days of service and are age 18 or older are eligible to participate. For the period from January 1, 2009 through January 29, 2009, and

during the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, our matching contributions were made in the following manner: (1) a 100% match on the first 3% of the participant s compensation; and (2) a 50% match on the next 2% of the participant s compensation. On December 31, 2008, we suspended employer matching contributions effective January 29, 2009. We may reinstate employer matching contributions at any time. We incurred costs in connection with this plan of approximately \$0.6 million, \$5.2 million and \$5.2 million in 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

NOTE 13 Discontinued Operations and Assets Held for Sale

We report as discontinued operations real estate assets that meet the definition of a component of an entity and have been sold or meet the criteria to be classified as held for sale. We include all results of these discontinued operations, less applicable income taxes, in a separate component of income on the consolidated statements of income under the heading income from discontinued operations, net. This treatment resulted in the retrospective adjustment of 2008 and 2007 financial statement amounts.

F-45

Table of Contents

We are currently marketing for sale certain real estate properties that are inconsistent with our long-term investment strategy. At the end of each reporting period, we evaluate whether such properties meet the criteria to be classified as held for sale, including whether such properties are expected to be sold within 12 months. Additionally, certain properties that do not meet all of the criteria to be classified as held for sale at the balance sheet date may nevertheless be sold and included in discontinued operations in the subsequent 12 months; thus the number of properties that may be sold during the subsequent 12 months could exceed the number classified as held for sale. At December 31, 2009 and 2008, we had four and 93 properties, with an aggregate of 845 and 23,348 units, classified as held for sale, respectively. Amounts classified as held for sale in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets are as follows (in thousands):

	Decer 2	December 31, 2008		
Real estate, net Other assets	\$	32,773 953	\$	1,059,362 14,477
Assets held for sale	\$	33,726	\$	1,073,839
Property debt Other liabilities	\$	29,177 1,226	\$	759,327 12,551
Liabilities related to assets held for sale	\$	30,403	\$	771,878

During the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, we sold 89, 151 and 73 consolidated properties with an aggregate 22,503, 37,202 and 11,588 units, respectively. For the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, discontinued operations includes the results of operations for the periods prior to the date of sale for all properties sold or classified as held for sale as of December 31, 2009.

The following is a summary of the components of income from discontinued operations for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 (in thousands):

	2009	2008	2007
Rental and other property revenues	\$ 152,812	\$ 463,232	\$ 599,183
Property operating expenses	(77,267)	(228,423)	(295,741)
Depreciation and amortization	(51,155)	(122,549)	(152,446)
Provision for operating real estate impairment losses	(54,530)	(27,420)	(5,430)
Other expenses, net	(9,750)	(12,892)	(7,550)
Operating (loss) income	(39,890)	71,948	138,016
Interest income	112	1,747	3,747
Interest expense	(30,592)	(89,356)	(117,268)
Gain on extinguishment of debt	259		31,597
	(70,111)	(15,661)	56,092

Edgar Filing: APARTMENT INVESTMENT & MANAGEMENT CO - Form 10-K

(Loss) income before gain on dispositions of real estate and income			
taxes			
Gain on dispositions of real estate	221,793	800,335	117,627
Income tax benefit (expense)	555	(39,794)	(2,104)
Income from discontinued operations, net	\$ 152,237	\$ 744,880	\$ 171,615
Income from discontinued operation attributable to:			
Noncontrolling interests in consolidated real estate partnerships	\$ (60,008)	\$ (149,383)	\$ (68,360)
Noncontrolling interests in Aimco Operating Partnership	(6,891)	(57,732)	(9,592)
Total noncontrolling interests	(66,899)	(207,115)	(77,952)
Aimco	\$ 85,338	\$ 537,765	\$ 93,663

Gain on dispositions of real estate is reported net of incremental direct costs incurred in connection with the transaction, including any prepayment penalties incurred upon repayment of property loans collateralized by the

F-46

property being sold. Such prepayment penalties totaled \$29.0 million, \$64.9 million and \$12.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. We classify interest expense related to property debt within discontinued operations when the related real estate asset is sold or classified as held for sale. As discussed in Note 2, during the year ended December 31, 2009, we allocated \$10.1 million of goodwill related to our real estate segment to the carrying amounts of the properties sold or classified as held for sale. Of these amounts, \$8.7 million was reflected as a reduction of gain on dispositions of real estate and \$1.4 million was reflected as an adjustment of impairment losses.

NOTE 14 Earnings per Share

We calculate earnings per share based on the weighted average number of shares of Common Stock, participating securities, common stock equivalents and dilutive convertible securities outstanding during the period. The following table illustrates the calculation of basic and diluted earnings per share for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 (in thousands, except per share data):

		2009	2008	2007
Numerator:				
Loss from continuing operations	\$	(197,037)	\$ (117,878)	\$ (46,109)
Loss (income) from continuing operations attributable to noncontrolling interests		47,425	(7,880)	(17,643)
Income attributable to preferred stockholders		(50,566)	(53,708)	(66,016)
Income attributable to participating securities		, ,	(6,985)	(4,481)
Loss from continuing operations attributable to Aimco common				
stockholders	\$	(200,178)	\$ (186,451)	\$ (134,249)
Income from discontinued operations	\$	152,237	\$ 744,880	\$ 171,615
Income from discontinued operations attributable to noncontrolling		(66,000)	(207.115)	(77.052)
interests		(66,899)	(207,115)	(77,952)
Income from discontinued operations attributable to Aimco common				
stockholders	\$	85,338	\$ 537,765	\$ 93,663
Net (loss) income	\$	(44,800)	\$,	\$ 125,506
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests		(19,474)	(214,995)	(95,595)
Income attributable to preferred stockholders		(50,566)	(53,708)	(66,016)
Income attributable to participating securities			(6,985)	(4,481)
Net (loss) income attributable to Aimco common stockholders	\$	(114,840)	\$ 351,314	\$ (40,586)
Denominator:				
Denominator for basic earnings per share weighted average number				
of shares of Common Stock outstanding		114,301	88,690	95,107
Effect of dilutive securities:				
Dilutive potential common shares				

Edgar Filing: APARTMENT INVESTMENT & MANAGEMENT CO - Form 10-K

Denominator for diluted earnings per share	114,301	88,690	95,107
Earnings (loss) per common share basic and diluted: Loss from continuing operations attributable to Aimco common stockholders Income from discontinued operations attributable to Aimco common stockholders	\$ (1.75) 0.75	\$ (2.10) 6.06	\$ (1.41) 0.98
Net (loss) income attributable to Aimco common stockholders	\$ (1.00)	\$ 3.96	\$ (0.43)

F-47

Table of Contents

As discussed in Note 2, earnings (loss) per common share for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 have been retroactively adjusted for the effect of our adoption of FSP EITF 03-6-1 and FASB ASU 2010-01.

As of December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, the common share equivalents that could potentially dilute basic earnings per share in future periods totaled 8.9 million, 9.2 million and 8.1 million, respectively. These securities, representing stock options, have been excluded from the earnings per share computations for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, because their effect would have been anti-dilutive.

Participating securities, consisting of unvested restricted stock and shares purchased pursuant to officer loans, receive dividends similar to shares of Common Stock and totaled 0.5 million, 1.0 million and 1.2 million at December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The effect of participating securities is reflected in basic and diluted earnings per share computations for the periods presented above using the two-class method of allocating distributed and undistributed earnings. During the year ended December 31, 2009, the adjustment to compensation expense recognized related to cumulative dividends on forfeited shares of restricted stock exceeded the amount of dividends declared related to participating securities. Accordingly, distributed earnings attributed to participating securities during 2009 were reduced to zero for purposes of calculating earnings per share using the two-class method.

As discussed in Note 10, the Aimco Operating Partnership has various classes of preferred OP units, which may be redeemed at the holders—option. The Aimco Operating Partnership may redeem these units for cash or at its option, shares of Common Stock. During the periods presented, no common share equivalents related to these preferred OP units have been included in earnings per share computations because their effect was antidilutive.

NOTE 15 Unaudited Summarized Consolidated Quarterly Information

Summarized unaudited consolidated quarterly information for 2009 and 2008 is provided below (in thousands, except per share amounts).

2009		First	S	Second		Third		Fourth
Total revenues	\$	297,501	\$	299,059	\$	296,396	\$	302,807
Total operating expenses	(265,851)		(:	(264,524)		276,891)		(277,984)
Operating income		31,650		34,535		19,505		24,823
Loss from continuing operations		(35,344)		(43,488)		(54,659)		(63,546)
Income from discontinued operations, net		2,773		35,860		45,102		68,502
Net (loss) income	(32,571)			(7,628)		(9,557)		4,956
Loss attributable to Aimco common								
stockholders		(37,698)		(29,924)		(40,490)		(6,728)
Loss per common share basic and diluted:								
Loss from continuing operations attributable to								
Aimco common stockholders	\$	(0.33)	\$	(0.39)	\$	(0.46)	\$	(0.57)
Net loss attributable to Aimco common								
stockholders	\$	(0.34)	\$	(0.26)	\$	(0.34)	\$	(0.06)
Weighted average common shares								
outstanding(2)		110,262		115,510		115,563		115,871
Weighted average common shares and								
common share equivalents outstanding(2)		110,262		115,510		115,563		115,871

F-48

Table of Contents

2008		First	\$	Second		Third]	Fourth
Total revenues	\$	296,362	\$	321,278	\$	321,274	\$	304,256
Total operating expenses(3)	(258,269)	(263,740)	(273,586)	((389,476)
Operating income (loss)(3)		38,093		57,538		47,688		(85,220)
(Loss) income from continuing operations(3)		(33,247)		(19,627)		75,722	((140,726)
Income from discontinued operations, net		9,587		362,581		161,667		211,045
Net (loss) income		(23,660)		342,954		237,389		70,319
Net (loss) income attributable to Aimco								
common stockholders		(38,857)		239,119		158,313		(9,898)
Earnings (loss) per common share basic and								
diluted:								
(Loss) income from continuing operations								
attributable to Aimco common stockholders	\$	(0.49)	\$	(0.48)	\$	0.56	\$	(1.60)
Net (loss) income attributable to Aimco								
common stockholders	\$	(0.43)	\$	2.72	\$	1.84	\$	(0.11)
Weighted average common shares								
outstanding(2)		89,465		87,790		85,992		91,515
Weighted average common shares and								
common share equivalents outstanding(2)		89,465		87,790		86,297		91,515

- (1) Certain reclassifications have been made to 2009 and 2008 quarterly amounts to conform to the full year 2009 presentation, primarily related to treatment of discontinued operations and newly adopted accounting standards (see Note 2).
- (2) As discussed in Note 2, in December 2009, we adopted the provisions of ASU 2010-01, which resulted in reductions in the number of weighted average common shares and common share equivalents outstanding, as compared to the amounts previously reported.
- (3) Total operating expenses, operating income (loss) and (loss) income from continuing operations for the quarter ended December 31, 2008, includes a \$91.1 million provision for impairment losses on real estate development assets, which is discussed further in Note 2.

NOTE 16 Transactions with Affiliates

We earn revenue from affiliated real estate partnerships. These revenues include fees for property management services, partnership and asset management services, risk management services and transactional services such as refinancing, construction supervisory and disposition (including promote income, which is income earned in connection with the disposition of properties owned by certain of our consolidated joint ventures). In addition, we are reimbursed for our costs in connection with the management of the unconsolidated real estate partnerships. These fees and reimbursements for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 totaled \$18.5 million, \$72.5 million and \$42.1 million, respectively. The total accounts receivable due from affiliates was \$23.7 million, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$3.4 million, at December 31, 2009, and \$39.0 million, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$2.8 million, at December 31, 2008.

Additionally, we earn interest income on notes from real estate partnerships in which we are the general partner and hold either par value or discounted notes. During the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, we did not recognize a significant amount of interest income on par value notes from unconsolidated real estate partnerships. Interest income earned on par value notes from unconsolidated real estate partnerships totaled \$8.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. Accretion income recognized on discounted notes from affiliated real estate partnerships totaled \$0.1 million, \$1.4 million and \$8.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. See Note 5 for additional information on notes receivable from unconsolidated real estate partnerships.

F-49

NOTE 17 Business Segments

Our chief operating decision maker uses various generally accepted industry financial measures to assess the performance and financial conditions of the business, including: Net Asset Value, which is the estimated fair value of our assets, net of debt, or NAV; Funds From Operations, or FFO; Adjusted FFO, or AFFO, which is FFO less spending for Capital Replacements; same store property operating results; net operating income; Free Cash Flow which is net operating income less spending for Capital Replacements; financial coverage ratios; and leverage as shown on our balance sheet. Our chief operating decision maker emphasizes net operating income as a key measurement of segment profit or loss. Segment net operating income is generally defined as segment revenues less direct segment operating expenses.

We have two reportable segments: real estate and investment management.

Real Estate Segment

Our real estate segment owns and operates properties that generate rental and other property-related income through the leasing of apartment units to a diverse base of residents. Our real estate segment s net operating income also includes income from property management services performed for unconsolidated partnerships and unrelated parties.

Investment Management Segment

Our investment management segment includes portfolio strategy, capital allocation, joint ventures, tax credit syndication, acquisitions, dispositions and other transaction activities. The expenses of this segment consist primarily of the costs of departments that perform these activities. These activities are conducted in part by our taxable subsidiaries, and the related net operating income may be subject to income taxes. Our investment management segment s operating results also include gains on dispositions of non-depreciable assets, accretion of loan discounts resulting from transactional activities and certain other income in arriving at income (loss) from continuing operations for the segment.

The following tables present the revenues, net operating income (loss) and income (loss) from continuing operations of our real estate and investment management segments for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 (in thousands):

				Investment Management Segment		Corporate Not Allocated to Segments and Certain Eliminations		Total
Year Ended December 31, 2009:								
Rental and other property revenues	\$	1,140,828	\$		\$		\$	1,140,828
Property management revenues, primarily from								
affiliates		5,082						5,082
Asset management and tax credit revenues				52,193		(2,340)		49,853

Edgar Filing: APARTMENT INVESTMENT & MANAGEMENT CO - Form 10-K

Total revenues	1,145,910	52,193	(2,340)	1,195,763
Property operating expenses	521,161			521,161
Property management expenses	2,869			2,869
Investment management expenses		15,779		15,779
Depreciation and amortization(1)			444,413	444,413
Provision for operating real estate impairment				
losses			2,329	2,329
General and administrative expenses			69,567	69,567
Other expenses, net			17,891	17,891
Restructuring costs			11,241	11,241
Total operating expenses	524,030	15,779	545,441	1,085,250
Net operating income (loss) Other items included in continuing	621,880	36,414	(547,781)	110,513
operations(2)		1,659	(309,209)	(307,550)
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 621,880	\$ 38,073	\$ (856,990)	\$ (197,037)

F-50

Table of Contents

						rporate Allocated to	
		eal Estate Segment	Ma	vestment nagement egment	C	nents and Tertain Dinations	Total
Year Ended December 31, 2008: Rental and other property revenues Property management revenues, primarily	\$	1,137,995	\$		\$		\$ 1,137,995
from affiliates Asset management and tax credit revenues		6,345		101,225		(2,395)	6,345 98,830
Total revenues		1,144,340		101,225		(2,395)	1,243,170
Property operating expenses Property management expenses Investment management expenses Depreciation and amortization(1)		526,238 5,385		24,784		392,999	526,238 5,385 24,784 392,999
Provision for impairment losses on real estate development assets General and administrative expenses Other expenses, net Restructuring costs						91,138 99,157 22,568 22,802	91,138 99,157 22,568 22,802
Total operating expenses		531,623		24,784		628,664	1,185,071
Net operating income (loss) Other items included in continuing		612,717		76,441		(631,059)	58,099
operations(2)				(2,227)		(173,750)	(175,977)
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$	612,717	\$	74,214	\$	(804,809)	\$ (117,878)
			I	nvestment	Co	orporate Not	
]	Real Estate Segment	M	Ianagement Segment		llocated Segments	Total
Year Ended December 31, 2007: Rental and other property revenues Property management revenues, primarily from	\$ n	5 1,093,779	\$		\$		\$ 1,093,779
affiliates Asset management and tax credit revenues		6,923		73,755			6,923 73,755
Total revenues		1,100,702		73,755			1,174,457

197

Edgar Filing: APARTMENT INVESTMENT & MANAGEMENT CO - Form 10-K

Property operating expenses	503,890					503,890
Property management expenses	6,678					6,678
Investment management expenses			20,507			20,507
Depreciation and amortization(1)					347,491	347,491
Provision for operating real estate impairment						
losses					1,080	1,080
General and administrative expenses					90,674	90,674
Other expenses, net					19,338	19,338
Total operating expenses	510,568		20,507		458,583	989,658
Net operating income (loss)	590,134		53,248		(458,583)	184,799
Other items included in continuing						
operations(2)			7,305		(238,213)	(230,908)
	* 00.4 0 4	4	60 770	4	(60 6 5 0 6)	(46.400)
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 590,134	\$	60,553	\$	(696,796)	\$ (46,109)
	F 51					
	F-51					

Table of Contents

- (1) Our chief operating decision maker assesses the performance of real estate using, among other measures, net operating income, excluding depreciation and amortization. Accordingly, we do not allocate depreciation and amortization to the real estate segment.
- (2) Other items in continuing operations for the investment management segment include accretion income recognized on discounted notes receivable, other income items and income taxes associated with transactional activities. Other items in continuing operations not allocated to segments include: (i) interest income and expense; (ii) provisions for losses on notes receivable; (iii) equity in losses of unconsolidated real estate partnerships and impairment losses related to unconsolidated real estate partnerships; and (iv) gain on dispositions of unconsolidated real estate and other.

During the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, for continuing operations, our rental revenues include \$140.3 million, \$132.3 million and \$121.4 million, respectively, of subsidies from government agencies, which represented 12.2%, 11.6% and 11.0%, respectively, of our real estate segment revenues.

The assets of our reportable segments are as follows (in thousands):

	2009	2008
Total assets for reportable segments(1) Corporate and other assets	\$ 7,683,449 223,019	\$ 9,074,131 367,739
Total consolidated assets	\$ 7,906,468	\$ 9,441,870

(1) Total assets for reportable segments primarily relate to the real estate segment.

Our capital additions primarily relate to the real estate segment and totaled \$275.4 million, \$665.2 million and \$689.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

F-52

Table of Contents

APARTMENT INVESTMENT AND MANAGEMENT COMPANY REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION December 31, 2009

(In Thousands Except Unit Data)

(2)

200

					(2 Initial				De	ece
(1)					(Capitalized				A
Date		Year	Number		Buildings S and	Subsequent to		Buildings and	(5)	D
Date		1 car	of		anu	ιο		anu	(3)	D
Consolidated	Location	Built	Units	Land I	mproveme f t	e nsolidation	Land	Improvements	Total	
Dec-97	OakPark, IL	1987	234	2,664	18,815	4,493	2,664	23,308	25,972	
Mar-05	New York, NY	1900	17	4,250	752	224	4,281	•	5,226	
May-04	New York, NY	1910	72	11,773	4,535	1,445	12,067	5,686	17,753	
Feb-07	New York, NY	1910	32	17,187	3,300	3,690	19,123	5,054	24,177	
Jun-07	New York, NY	1910	44	3,291	1,450	1,921	4,352	2,310	6,662	
Jun-07	New York, NY	1910	24	2,863	3,785	1,477	3,366	4,759	8,125	
Jun-07	New York, NY	1910	35	6,787	3,335	1,464	7,356	4,230	11,586	ı
Jun-07	New York, NY	1910	35	3,623	4,504	1,814	4,318	5,623	9,941	
Jun-07	New York, NY	1910	63	8,623	6,964	5,260	10,417	•	20,847	
Jan-04	New York, NY	1900	43	8,751	2,914	1,295	8,820	•	12,960	
Mar-05	New York, NY	1900	36	8,430	1,866	770	8,494	2,572	11,066	
Sep-04	New York, NY	1900	200	68,006	12,140	3,563	68,109	15,600	83,709	
Jun-07	New York, NY	1921	23	2,384	1,726	468	2,601	•	4,578	
Oct-08	Seattle, WA	1989	135	19,015	17,518	330	19,071	17,792	36,863	
Jul-04	New York, NY	1930	20	2,659	1,006	167	2,681	1,151	3,832	
Mar-03	New York, NY	1904	34	5,635	1,609	546	5,678	2,112	7,790	ı
Mar-05	New York, NY	1900	40	6,319	2,224	681	6,372		9,224	
Mar-02	Costa Mesa, CA	1987	770	55,223	65,506	73,301	57,240		194,030	
Jan-04	New York, NY	1900	12	1,966	608	278	1,982	870	2,852	
Feb-07	New York, NY	1910	72	23,677	7,101	3,881	25,552	9,107	34,659	

Edgar Filing: APARTMENT INVESTMENT & MANAGEMENT CO - Form 10-K

Jan-04	New York, NY	1900	20	3,137	1,002	278	3,163	1,254	4,417
Mar-05	New York, NY	1900	36	6,230	2,168	556	6,282	2,672	8,954
Jun-07	New York, NY	1920	31	2,731	1,636	2,774	3,576	3,565	7,141
Oct-07	New York, NY	1920	9	682	535	587	1,013	791	1,804
Jul-00	Nashville, TN	1972	326	3,558	12,037	27,055	3,558	39,092	42,650
Oct-97	Tempe, AZ	1967	200	1,092	6,208	2,940	1,092	9,148	10,240
Jul-00	Hermitage, TN	1972	350	3,217	12,023	6,795	3,217	18,818	22,035
Aug-98	Plantation, FL	1979	210	1,807	10,385	2,833	1,807	13,218	15,025
Dec-06	Jacksonville, FL	1974	251	7,483	8,191	3,202	7,670	11,206	18,876
Apr-06	Tampa, FL	2002	324	10,329	28,800	969	10,608	29,490	40,098
Apr-01	Denver, CO	1920	117	3,525	9,045	1,668	3,525	10,713	14,238
Sep-04	Miami, FL	2000	471	22,680	41,847	4,097	22,680	45,944	68,624
Jan-03	Nashua, NH	1984	412	3,352	40,713	6,895	3,262	47,698	50,960
Aug-02	Framingham, MA	1971	424	18,915	35,945	8,744	18,916	44,688	63,604
Oct-00	Indianapolis, IN	1978	202	1,411	5,139	3,482	1,411	8,621	10,032
Apr-01	Denver, CO	1890	158	3,447	20,589	3,188	3,447	23,777	27,224
Jul-94	Boulder, CO	1972	221	755	7,730	17,156	755	24,886	25,641
Jul-94	St. Petersburg, FL	1971	477	1,437	12,725	8,763	1,437	21,488	22,925
	Daytona Beach,								
Oct-98	FL	1985	208	1,008	5,507	3,257	1,008	8,764	9,772
Mar-02	Los Angeles, CA	1990	279	27,603	41,244	29,066	29,407	68,506	97,913
Jan-06	Pasadena, CA	1973	92	9,693	6,818	1,126	9,693	7,944	17,637

F-53

	(2) Initial Cost (3) Cost											
(1)					Buildings	Cost Capitalized Subsequent		Buildings		Ac		
Date		Year	Number of		and	to		and	(5)	De		
Consolidated	Location	Built	Units	Land I	mprovemer	Consolidation	Land	Improvements	Total			
Mar-01	Burke, VA	1986	360	4,867	23,617	3,860	4,867	27,477	32,34	4		
Dec-98	Minneapolis, MN	1928	332	11,708	73,334	45,743	11,708	119,077	130,78	5		
Dec-99	Fort Wayne, IN	1979	1,988	13,659	73,115	25,704	13,659	98,819	112,47	8		
Mar-02	Saugus, CA	1984	130	7,300	6,602	5,909	7,508	12,303	19,81	1		
Jul-00	East Lansing, MI	1972	143	1,957	7,912	2,053	1,957	9,965	11,922	2		
Oct-06	Jacksonville, FL	1984	144	4,902	10,562	1,403	5,039	11,828	16,86	7		
Apr-00	Newcastle, WA	1980	104	761	5,218	17,174	761	22,392	23,15	3		
Oct-99	Doylestown, PA	1975	350	582	4,190	3,532	582	7,722	8,30	4		
Sep-00	Brandon, FL	1985	300	7,488	8,656	7,711	7,488	16,367	23,85	5		
Sep-00	Aurora, IL	1986	416	15,800	16,875	4,931	15,800	21,806	37,60	5		
Mar-01	Aurora, IL	1987	184	1,969	7,980	3,308	1,969	11,288	13,25	7		
Oct-06	Philadelphia, PA	1923	315	12,047	14,299	4,653	12,338	18,661	30,999	9		
Apr-00	Philadelphia, PA	1963	821	6,463	49,315	48,996	6,463	98,311	104,77	4		
Jun-04	Columbia, MD	1979	198	2,234	8,107	578	2,040	8,879	10,919	9		
Jun-98	Redlands, CA	1985	198	1,118	6,642	2,186	1,118	8,828	9,94	6		
Oct-97	Phoenix, AZ	1973	196	766	4,346	2,912	766	7,258	8,02	4		
Oct-99	Towson, MD	1966	383	2,403	18,798	10,801	2,403	29,599	32,00	2		
Sep-03	New York, NY	1880	59	35,472	9,450	3,599	35,527	12,994	48,52	1		
Apr-01	Naperville, IL	1982	240	8,512	10,832	3,300	8,512	14,132	22,64	4		
May-97	Naperville, IL	1986	400	5,165	29,430	5,921	5,165	35,351	40,510	6		
Jan-00	Denver, CO	1974	328	2,953	12,697	5,028	3,189	17,489	20,67	8		
Mar-02	Simi Valley, CA West Hollywood,	1985	397	24,595	18,818	6,775	25,245	24,943	50,18	8		
Mar-02	CA	1982	130	15,382	10,215	14,817	15,765	24,649	40,41	4		
Jan-06	Atlanta, GA Altamonte	1987	60	348	957	392	348	1,349	1,69			
Aug-99	Springs, FL	1979	234	1,666	9,353	7,460	1,666	16,813	18,479	9		
Dec-97	Elmhurst, IL	1986	372	5,534	30,830	17,422	5,635	48,151	53,78			
Dec-97	Evanston, IL	1988	189	3,232	25,546	4,398	3,232	29,944	33,170			
Jan-01	Dearborn, MI	1973	244	6,550	11,711	5,136	6,550	16,847	23,39			
Oct-00	Darien, IL	1975	240	11,763	15,174	9,177	11,763	24,351	36,11			
Mar-01	Phoenix, AZ	1968	219	2,078	13,752	3,195	2,079	16,946	19,02:			
Jan-06	Indianapolis, IN	1982	328	2,156	9,936	2,685	2,156	12,621	14,77			
Nov-96	Clute, TX	1981	360	1,257	7,584	5,428	1,257	13,012	14,269			
	C1010, 171	1701	300	1,231	7,504	2,720	1,237	13,012	17,20	,		

Table of Contents 202

38,399

217,720

32,239

256,071

288,310

32,191

1,127

1960

Miami Beach, FL

Sep-97

Edgar Filing: APARTMENT INVESTMENT & MANAGEMENT CO - Form 10-K

FL 19 ria, VA 19 nam, MA 19	,	2,383	4,320 17,199	496 14,503	3,860 2,379	4,647	8,507
ria, VA 19 ham, MA 19	47 2,113	*		14,503	2 370	21.506	
ham, MA 19	,	15,419			2,319	31,706	34,085
	(4)07	, -	96,062	31,800	15,496	127,785	143,281
	64 207	12,351	13,168	2,091	12,351	15,259	27,610
Beach,							
19	82 26	897	862	182	933	1,008	1,941
ti, OH 19	78 274	1,030	17,447	14,108	1,031	31,554	32,585
ham, MA 19	58 72	4,577	4,058	854	4,577	4,912	9,489
a, MD 19	74 325	2,715	16,771	5,264	2,715	22,035	24,750
, AZ 20	00 324	2,303	713	27,244	2,303	27,957	30,260
AZ 19	85 336	3,042	13,223	12,350	3,042	25,573	28,615
CO 19	73 376	3,224	12,905	5,893	3,453	18,569	22,022
ne, FL 19	87 162	4,108	3,563	5,774	4,108	9,337	13,445
na, CA 19	86 232	1,200	6,428	3,456	1,200	9,884	11,084
lo, CA 19	86 196	1,055	7,565	1,325	1,055	8,890	9,945
re, CA 19	88 167	1,039	9,170	1,343	1,039	10,513	11,552
r, CA 19	85 144		4,149	1,206	690	5,355	6,045
			c, CA 1985 144 690	e, CA 1988 167 1,039 9,170 c, CA 1985 144 690 4,149	e, CA 1988 167 1,039 9,170 1,343	e, CA 1988 167 1,039 9,170 1,343 1,039 c, CA 1985 144 690 4,149 1,206 690	e, CA 1988 167 1,039 9,170 1,343 1,039 10,513 c, CA 1985 144 690 4,149 1,206 690 5,355

						2) al Cost (3) Cost			Dec
(1)					D 1111	Capitalized		D 1111	A
Date		Year	Number		Buildings and	Subsequent to		Buildings and	(5) I
Consolidated	Location	Built	of Units	Land	Improvemen	C sonsolidation	Land	Improvements	Total
Oct-00	Anaheim, CA	1986	196	1,832	•	1,609	1,832		11,982
Jul-98	Escondido, CA	1985	334	3,043	•	6,980	3,043	•	27,638
Jul-07	Escondido, CA	1986	118	12,730		•	12,849	•	24,733
Oct-02	Melbourne, FL	1985	216	1,444			1,444		13,832
Jan-03	Woodridge, IL	1968	176	3,045		1,368	3,045		17,865
Sep-04	Atlanta, GA	1984	219	1,225		5,145	1,242		12,544
Mar-02	Century City, CA	1989	315	33,755		25,906	35,862		106,877
Nov-94	Nashville, TN	1985	288	2,872	•	13,564	2,872	•	32,505
Apr-05	East Lansing, MI	1986	168	1,565	8,200	761	1,566	8,960	10,526
Dec-06	Pacifica, CA	1970	78	8,763	6,376	1,610	8,887		16,749
Sep-00	Gaithersburg, MD	1986	336	17,859	•	3,598	17,859	•	34,606
Mar-01	Austin, TX	1987	384	10,342		8,537	10,342		30,799
Jan-01	Midlothian, VA	1985	320	7,935		3,259	7,935		19,109
Apr-01	Leesburg, VA	1967	164	2,244		4,079	2,244		14,086
Oct-99	Plainsboro, NJ	1976	264	2,709	•	4,819	2,709		21,948
Oct-99	Plainsboro, NJ	1977	304	3,283		5,211	3,283		25,831
Oct-99	Plainsboro, NJ	1977	328	2,787		6,075	2,787		24,363
Oct-04	Chicago, IL Farmington Hills,	1990	155	4,683	14,928	1,931	4,731	16,811	21,542
Jan-06	MI	1960	981	10,293	24,586	20,189	10,156	44,912	55,068
Mar-02	Simi Valley, CA	1986	254	23,927		3,489	24,523		43,217
Oct-00	Oceanside, CA Daytona Beach,	1986		18,027	•	11,220	18,027	•	57,901
Oct-00	FL	1986	204	6,086	8,571	2,135	6,087	10,705	16,792
Apr-01	Alexandria, VA	1964	140	1,526		3,849	1,526	•	12,425
Oct-99	Lisle, IL	1972	568	5,840	•	28,127	5,840		61,904
Jan-00	Atlanta, GA	1983	220	2,109	11,863	15,149	2,109	27,012	29,121
Oct-99	Houston, TX	1976	734	6,160		15,942	6,160		56,253
Apr-00	Bellevue, WA	1967	174	2,225		4,150	2,225		15,647
Jan-03	Washington, DC	1980	175	3,459		15,543	3,459	*	28,105
Apr-05	Columbia, MD	1979	178	2,424		956	2,424		15,561
Apr-07	Redwood City, CA	1973	110	15,352		1,755	15,444		25,016
Jan-06	Carbondale, IL	1972	269	1,407		3,183	1,404		16,783
Oct-04	Venice, CA	1951	692	43,979			42,894		140,592

Edgar Filing: APARTMENT INVESTMENT & MANAGEMENT CO - Form 10-K

Sandy Springs, GA	1970	312	2,320	16,370	21,615	2,320	37,985	40,305
Chandler, AZ	1985	232	1,662	9,504	3,197	1,662	12,701	14,363
Calabasas, CA	1986	698	66,257	53,438	34,982	69,834	84,843	154,677
Virginia Beach,								
VA	1971	414	2,598	16,141	29,935	2,598	46,076	48,674
San Diego, CA	1984	500		66,861	7,271		74,132	74,132
Boulder, CO	1972	332	1,435	24,532	6,358	1,435	30,890	32,325
Falls Church, VA	1962	159	1,836	10,831	5,863	1,836	16,694	18,530
Mesa, AZ	1985	152	832	4,569	9,585	832	14,154	14,986
Austin, TX	1985	268	1,268	6,896	4,958	1,267	11,855	13,122
San Jose, CA	1999	224	34,175	21,939	2,072	34,325	23,861	58,186
Lansing, MI	1973	618	10,048	16,771	7,340	10,048	24,111	34,159
Port Orange, FL	1988	296	2,132	12,855	3,242	2,132	16,097	18,229
Cincinnati ,OH	1980	231	2,662	21,800	12,551	2,662	34,351	37,013
San Bruno, CA	1987	308	3,703	62,460	22,184	22,994	65,353	88,347
Pacifica, CA	1977	104	12,770	6,579	3,183	12,970	9,562	22,532
Los Angeles, CA	2002	521	47,822	125,464	8,804	48,362	133,728	182,090
Los Angeles, CA	2005	611	61,004	136,503	22,142	72,578	147,071	219,649
			F-55					
	Chandler, AZ Calabasas, CA Virginia Beach, VA San Diego, CA Boulder, CO Falls Church, VA Mesa, AZ Austin, TX San Jose, CA Lansing, MI Port Orange, FL Cincinnati, OH San Bruno, CA Pacifica, CA Los Angeles, CA	Chandler, AZ Calabasas, CA Virginia Beach, VA San Diego, CA Boulder, CO Falls Church, VA Mesa, AZ Austin, TX San Jose, CA Lansing, MI Port Orange, FL Cincinnati, OH San Bruno, CA Pacifica, CA 1985 Port Orangeles, CA 1987 Pacifica, CA 1987 Los Angeles, CA 2002	Chandler, AZ 1985 232 Calabasas, CA 1986 698 Virginia Beach, 1971 414 San Diego, CA 1984 500 Boulder, CO 1972 332 Falls Church, VA 1962 159 Mesa, AZ 1985 152 Austin, TX 1985 268 San Jose, CA 1999 224 Lansing, MI 1973 618 Port Orange, FL 1988 296 Cincinnati ,OH 1980 231 San Bruno, CA 1987 308 Pacifica, CA 1977 104 Los Angeles, CA 2002 521	Chandler, AZ 1985 232 1,662 Calabasas, CA 1986 698 66,257 Virginia Beach, VA 1971 414 2,598 San Diego, CA 1984 500 Boulder, CO 1972 332 1,435 Falls Church, VA 1962 159 1,836 Mesa, AZ 1985 152 832 Austin, TX 1985 268 1,268 San Jose, CA 1999 224 34,175 Lansing, MI 1973 618 10,048 Port Orange, FL 1988 296 2,132 Cincinnati ,OH 1980 231 2,662 San Bruno, CA 1987 308 3,703 Pacifica, CA 1977 104 12,770 Los Angeles, CA 2002 521 47,822 Los Angeles, CA 2005 611 61,004	Chandler, AZ 1985 232 1,662 9,504 Calabasas, CA 1986 698 66,257 53,438 Virginia Beach, VA 1971 414 2,598 16,141 San Diego, CA 1984 500 66,861 Boulder, CO 1972 332 1,435 24,532 Falls Church, VA 1962 159 1,836 10,831 Mesa, AZ 1985 152 832 4,569 Austin, TX 1985 268 1,268 6,896 San Jose, CA 1999 224 34,175 21,939 Lansing, MI 1973 618 10,048 16,771 Port Orange, FL 1988 296 2,132 12,855 Cincinnati ,OH 1980 231 2,662 21,800 San Bruno, CA 1987 308 3,703 62,460 Pacifica, CA 1977 104 12,770 6,579 Los Angeles, CA 2002 521 47,822 125,464 Los Angeles, CA 2005 611 61,	Chandler, AZ 1985 232 1,662 9,504 3,197 Calabasas, CA 1986 698 66,257 53,438 34,982 Virginia Beach, VA 1971 414 2,598 16,141 29,935 San Diego, CA 1984 500 66,861 7,271 Boulder, CO 1972 332 1,435 24,532 6,358 Falls Church, VA 1962 159 1,836 10,831 5,863 Mesa, AZ 1985 152 832 4,569 9,585 Austin, TX 1985 268 1,268 6,896 4,958 San Jose, CA 1999 224 34,175 21,939 2,072 Lansing, MI 1973 618 10,048 16,771 7,340 Port Orange, FL 1988 296 2,132 12,855 3,242 Cincinnati ,OH 1980 231 2,662 21,800 12,551 San Bruno, CA 1987 308 3	Chandler, AZ 1985 232 1,662 9,504 3,197 1,662 Calabasas, CA 1986 698 66,257 53,438 34,982 69,834 Virginia Beach, VA 1971 414 2,598 16,141 29,935 2,598 San Diego, CA 1984 500 66,861 7,271 Boulder, CO 1972 332 1,435 24,532 6,358 1,435 Falls Church, VA 1962 159 1,836 10,831 5,863 1,836 Mesa, AZ 1985 152 832 4,569 9,585 832 Austin, TX 1985 268 1,268 6,896 4,958 1,267 San Jose, CA 1999 224 34,175 21,939 2,072 34,325 Lansing, MI 1973 618 10,048 16,771 7,340 10,048 Port Orange, FL 1988 296 2,132 12,855 3,242 2,132 Cincinnat	Chandler, AZ 1985 232 1,662 9,504 3,197 1,662 12,701 Calabasas, CA 1986 698 66,257 53,438 34,982 69,834 84,843 Virginia Beach, VA 1971 414 2,598 16,141 29,935 2,598 46,076 San Diego, CA 1984 500 66,861 7,271 74,132 Boulder, CO 1972 332 1,435 24,532 6,358 1,435 30,890 Falls Church, VA 1962 159 1,836 10,831 5,863 1,836 16,694 Mesa, AZ 1985 152 832 4,569 9,585 832 14,154 Austin, TX 1985 268 1,268 6,896 4,958 1,267 11,855 San Jose, CA 1999 224 34,175 21,939 2,072 34,325 23,861 Lansing, MI 1973 618 10,048 16,771 7,340 10,048

					((2)			
						al Cost			
						(3)			Dece
						Cost			
(1)						Capitalized			A
()					Buildings	Subsequent		Buildings	
Date		Year	Number		and	to		and	(5) I
Consolidated	Location	Built	of Units	Land 1	Improvemet	Otensolidation	Land	Improvements	Total
Jul-94	Phoenix, AZ	1985	129	647	3,515	6,959	647	10,474	11,121
Nov-96	Galveston, TX	1985	192	1,025	2,521	3,585	1,025	6,106	7,131
Apr-00	Philadelphia, PA	1959	959	10,451	47,301	54,589	10,451	101,890	112,341
Oct-99	Deer Park, TX	1968	309	2,570	12,052	9,410	2,570	21,462	24,032
Mar-00	Williamsburg, VA	1971	148	386	2,834	2,754	386	5,588	5,974
Jan-06	Fremont, CA	1973	246	19,595	14,838	8,147	19,595	22,985	42,580
Jan-96	Atlanta, GA	1962	303	4,683	11,713	9,900	4,683	21,613	26,296
Jan-00	Atlanta, GA	1980	280	2,651	13,660	17,606	2,651	31,266	33,917
Jan-00	Englewood, CO	1975	296	3,440	18,734	4,547	3,440	23,281	26,721
Oct-02	Indianapolis, IN	1980	220	1,790	6,883	2,612	1,790	9,495	11,285
Mar-02	Cypress, CA	1971	136	7,835	5,224	2,778	8,030	7,807	15,837
Mar-02	Garden Grove, CA	1971	111	3,975	6,035	2,094	4,125	7,979	12,104
May-98	Tempe, AZ	1983	272	2,095	11,899	3,725	2,095	15,624	17,719
Oct-98	Palm Bay, FL	1984	216	603	3,318	2,716	603	6,034	6,637
Oct-99	Plantation ,FL	1971	372	3,773	19,443	6,204	3,773	25,647	29,420
Jul-00	Nashville, TN	1972	150	1,883	6,712	3,517	1,883	10,229	12,112
Dec-99	Wyoming, MI	1973	1,708	8,607	61,082	1,930	8,661	62,958	71,619
Jun-04	Annandale, VA	1974	219	3,455		2,272	3,455	19,429	22,884
	Virginia Beach,			,	,	•	,	,	ŕ
Sep-00	VA	1987	480	15,988	13,684	5,255	15,988	18,939	34,927
_	West Palm Beach,								
Oct-00	FL	1986	300	5,504	9,984	4,113	5,504	14,097	19,601
Oct-02	Casselberry, FL	1984	336	3,906	10,491	4,233	3,906	14,724	18,630
Oct-99	Fern Park, FL	1965	343	1,832	9,905	8,398	1,832	18,303	20,135
	Ponte Vedra								
Dec-06	Beach, FL	1986	344	18,576	18,650	2,242	18,795	20,673	39,468
Apr-05	Edgewater, NJ	1998	266	30,578	30,638	1,910	30,579	32,547	63,126
Sep-00	Naples, FL	1986	556	17,728	18,337	6,365	17,728	24,702	42,430
Jul-01	Arlington, TX	1983	201	893		4,963	893		9,984
Oct-99	Philadelphia, PA	1910	184	2,120	-	31,118	2,120	•	44,525
Apr-00	Alexandria ,VA	1973	1,222	10,433		76,986	10,433		152,893
Mar-02	Camarillo, CA	1976	152	12,128		2,407	12,430		22,595
Aug-02	Fall River, MA	1974	216	5,832		1,953	5,832		19,829
Aug-02	Warwick, RI	1972	492	22,433		5,296	22,433		51,824
Aug-02	Marlborough, MA	1970	473	25,178		3,835	25,178		57,799
				,	_0,.00	-,022	,_,	,	,

Edgar Filing: APARTMENT INVESTMENT & MANAGEMENT CO - Form 10-K

	North Andover,								
Aug-02	MA	1970	588	51,292	36,808	9,632	51,292	46,440	97,732
Aug-02	Nashua, NH	1970	902	68,231	45,562	11,187	68,231	56,749	124,980
Oct-00	Lantana, FL	1987	404	5,934	16,052	7,643	5,934	23,695	29,629
Jul-02	Pinellas Park, FL	1986	192	1,884	7,045	1,831	1,884	8,876	10,760
Dec-97	Boynton Beach, FL	1987	416	3,511	21,396	7,141	3,511	28,537	32,048
Mar-01	Schaumburg, IL	1986	368	13,960	20,731	4,001	13,960	24,732	38,692
Oct-00	Indianapolis, IN	1977	444	10,540	9,852	12,780	10,539	22,633	33,172
Jan-06	San Mateo, CA	1971	418	49,474	17,756	7,733	49,473	25,490	74,963
Oct-97	Tempe, AZ	1965	124	591	3,359	8,017	591	11,376	11,967
Oct-97	Tempe, AZ	1976	487	2,458	13,927	23,353	2,458	37,280	39,738
May-98	Mesa, AZ	1984	266	2,016	11,886	3,790	2,016	15,676	17,692
Sep-00	Fairfax, VA	1984	640	18,492	57,197	7,499	18,492	64,696	83,188
Oct-99	Winter Park, FL	1971	368	2,382	11,359	21,447	2,382	32,806	35,188
Nov-96	League City, TX	1994	304	2,810	17,579	2,810	2,810	20,389	23,199
Jul-02	Woodbridge, VA Lexington Park,	1984	180	5,587	7,284	1,278	5,587	8,562	14,149
Sep-00	MD	1985	152	3,241	5,094	2,479	3,241	7,573	10,814
Oct-02	Baltimore, MD	1889	96	706	4,032	3,131	562	7,307	7,869
Sep-00	Largo, MD	1986	240	3,675 F-56	16,111	3,301	3,675	19,412	23,087

Table of Contents

(1) Date		Year	Number					Buildings and	D (5)	Decen Aco Dej
Consolidated	Location	Built	of Units	Land I	mproveme n	tensolidation	Land 1	Improvements	Total	
Jul-02	Plano, TX	1985	368	7,056	10,510	6,974	7,056	17,484	24,540)
Oct-99	Philadelphia, PA	1962	535	8,871	55,364	17,358	8,871	72,722	81,593	3
Sep-00	Germantown, MD	1984	240	13,593	9,347	2,948	13,593	•	25,888	
May-98	Austin, TX	1985	164	1,211	6,037	2,591	1,211		9,839	
May-98	Lake Mary, FL	1986	600	4,551	25,543	30,903	4,551		60,997	
Oct-99	Tempe ,AZ	1981	334	2,367	13,303	3,888	2,367		19,558	
Sep-04	Middleboro, MA	1972	121	5,852	4,719	2,026	5,852		12,597	
Apr-00	Denver, CO	1979	564	3,928	23,491	8,089	4,223	•	35,508	
Jan-00	St. Petersburg, FL	1980	200	1,091	6,310	4,987	1,091		12,388	
Oct-99	Greenville, NC	1969	220	1,558	14,298	3,740	1,558		19,596	
May-98	Phoenix, AZ	1985	128	1,323	7,155	1,928	1,323		10,406	
Oct-06	Pacifica, CA	1963	64	7,975	4,131	7,635	8,108		19,741	
Jan-06	Norwalk, CA	1970	144	6,441	6,807	609	6,441	•	13,857	
Oct-97	Phoenix, AZ	1979	387	2,292	13,000	6,209	2,292	•	21,501	
Jan-06	College Park, MD	1972	303	15,198	22,029	4,504	15,198	26,533	41,731	
Nov-96	Centennial, CO	1985	161	1,615	9,773	6,118	1,536	15,970	17,506	5
Oct-99	Westmont, IL	1969	399	3,268	18,763	23,625	3,268	42,388	45,656	,
Apr-00	Palm Harbor, FL	1986	262	2,062	12,850	4,584	2,062	17,434	19,496	,
Aug-02	Swampscott, MA	1987	96	4,749	10,089	1,351	4,749	11,440	16,189)
Jul-02	Apopka, FL	1985	210	2,271	7,724	2,974	2,271	10,698	12,969)
Jan-06	Atlanta, GA	1983	180	610	5,026	12,209	610	17,235	17,845	5
Mar-02	Norwalk, CA West Palm Beach,	1972	120	7,294	4,861	2,512	7,476	7,191	14,667	,
May-98	FL Altamonte Springs,	1986	189	1,618	8,188	2,941	1,618	11,129	12,747	!
Oct-02	FL	1970	164	608	6,618	2,514	608	9,132	9,740)
Jan-00	Cypress, TX	1983	530	3,457	15,787	10,230	3,457	•	29,474	
Oct-98	Levittown, PA	1969	722	10,229	38,222	13,539	10,229	•	61,990	
Oct-99	Jacksonville, FL	1972	904	4,859	33,957	53,735	4,859	•	92,551	
Mar-02	Los Angeles, CA	2002	250	8,621	48,871	3,603	8,630	52,465	61,095	,

208

Dec-97	Chandler, AZ	1986	200	804	4,952	3,442	804	8,394	9,198
Aug-02	Bridgewater, MA	1971	588	28,585	28,102	5,591	29,110	33,168	62,278
Jun-97	Aventura, FL	1991	180	4,504	11,064	3,683	4,504	14,747	19,251
Aug-08	Brighton, MA	1970	103	7,696	11,347	1,188	7,920	12,311	20,231
Oct-02	Orlando, FL	1985	272	2,324	11,481	3,030	2,324	14,511	16,835
May-98	Houston, TX	1979	326	2,921	11,384	3,172	2,921	14,556	17,477
Aug-02	Worcester, MA	1974	264	6,339	17,939	2,082	6,339	20,021	26,360
	Rolling Meadows,								
May-98	IL	1985	328	2,717	15,437	26,391	2,717	41,828	44,545
	Altamonte Springs,								
Oct-99	FL	1972	185	1,225	7,357	3,266	1,224	10,624	11,848
Apr-06	Greenville, NC	1979	146	1,175	3,943	962	1,485	4,595	6,080
Mar-01	Oceanside, CA	1987	404	24,960	17,590	18,667	24,960	36,257	61,217
Oct-00	Orlando, FL	1987	288	3,696	10,029	5,495	3,696	15,524	19,220
	Newport News,								
Mar-00	VA	1978	156	307	2,110	1,992	131	4,278	4,409
Mar-01	Woodbridge, VA	1987	220	4,279	15,970	2,172	4,279	18,142	22,421
Oct-02	Mesa, AZ	1985	432	2,426	15,886	4,487	2,426	20,373	22,799
Nov-04	Burnsville, MN	1984	400	3,954	18,125	2,694	3,954	20,819	24,773
Oct-99	Houston, TX	1983	272	2,146	10,978	3,860	2,146	14,838	16,984
Jan-06	Williamsburg, VA	1976	125	798	3,657	873	798	4,530	5,328
Dec-03	Miami, FL	1998	357	31,363 F-57	32,214	4,297	31,363	36,511	67,874

(2))
Initial	Cost

Location ombard, IL	Year Built	Number		Buildings	(3) Cost Capitalized			
ombard, IL		of Units	Land	and	Subsequent to sConsolidation	Land	Buildings and Improvements	(5) Tot
Lombard, 1L	1973	364	2,971	18,163	16,098	3,055	34,177	3'
		71,881	1,998,409	3,931,734	2,197,399	2,055,178	6,072,364	8,12
n Francisco, CA	1976	157	1,348	29,770	20,124	1,338	49,904	5
liance, OH	1971	101	530	1,934	756	530	2,690	3
vada, CO	1977	88	641	3,314	1,746	405	·	4
oledo, OH	1977	189	205	455	363	205	818	
rsippany ,NJ	1980	251	746	8,516	1,998	746	10,514	1
ttsburgh, PA				5,256			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
oise, ID	1978	66	275	1,139	571	275	1,710	
n Francisco, CA	1976	146	1,023	15,265	16,548	582	32,254	32
llsdale, MI	1980	198	1,380	7,044	6,599	1,093	13,930	1:
lmouth, KY	1979	48	230	919	310	230	1,229	
nester, PA	1976	70	79	3,862	670	79	4,532	4
ew Haven, CT	1981	144	1,152	4,657	2,229	1,152	6,886	8
ayton, OH	1980	230	1,813	6,411	13,073	1,813	19,484	2
atesboro, GA	1973	42	316	882	373	316	1,255	
oomsburg, PA	1981	75	1	4,128	351	1	4,479	4
altimore, MD	1977	209	1,450	6,569	649	1,429	7,239	8
erea, KY	1999	24	253	1,173	551	253	1,724	
narlotte, MI	1980	100	505	3,617	3,957	505	7,574	8
earlake, CA	1986	80	1,545	9,405	494	1,545	·	1
•				,				(
ashington, D.C.	1978		750				7,578	8
orcester, MA	1976		567	·			5,493	(
0.				•			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•
•				·				10
-	1980	96	585	2,351	1,443	585	3,794	2
	1083	62	372	1.400	906	372	2 306	,
	1703	02	312	1,490	300	312	2,390	4
•	1076	200	500	2.014	7 172	500	0 186	(
							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,
•							·	
-				•				
	liance, OH vada, CO oledo, OH rsippany ,NJ ttsburgh, PA oise, ID n Francisco, CA llsdale, MI lmouth, KY nester, PA ew Haven, CT nyton, OH atesboro, GA oomsburg, PA dltimore, MD erea, KY narlotte, MI earlake, CA ouisville, KY ashington, D.C.	liance, OH vada, CO pledo, OH rsippany ,NJ rsippany ,NJ plesser, ID n Francisco, CA llsdale, MI lmouth, KY plester, PA w Haven, CT plyton, OH atesboro, GA pomsburg, PA pltimore, MD prea, KY plarlotte, MI pearlake, CA puisville, KY ashington, D.C. presser, MA tersburg, VA porthern Cambria, Ma pewport News, A plesser, CA plesser,	liance, OH 1971 101 rvada, CO 1977 88 pledo, OH 1977 189 rrsippany ,NJ 1980 251 rtsburgh, PA 1983 99 pise, ID 1978 66 rn Francisco, CA 1976 146 llsdale, MI 1980 198 lmouth, KY 1979 48 rester, PA 1976 70 rw Haven, CT 1981 144 ryton, OH 1980 230 ratesboro, GA 1973 42 roomsburg, PA 1981 75 rea, KY 1999 24 rarlotte, MI 1980 100 rearlake, CA 1986 80 rorcester, MA 1976 156 restersburg, VA 1885 118 rorcester, MA 1976 156 restersburg, VA 1885 118 rorcester, MA 1980 96 rearlottern Cambria, Ma 1980 96 rearlottern Cambria, Ma 1976 200 reacon, GA 1980 52 rewport News, Ma 1976 200 reacon, GA 1980 52 rewport, IA 1980 52 rewport News, Ma 1976 200 reacon, GA 1980 52 rewport, IA 1980 52	liance, OH 1971 101 530 reada, CO 1977 88 641 reada, CO 1977 189 205 reada, CO 1977 189 205 resippany ,NJ 1980 251 746 resippany ,NJ 1980 251 746 resippany ,NJ 1983 99 398 resippany ,NJ 1983 99 398 resippany ,NJ 1983 199 398 resippany ,NJ 1980 198 1,380 readily distributed in the second of the s	liance, OH 1971 101 530 1,934 vada, CO 1977 88 641 3,314 oledo, OH 1977 189 205 455 rsippany ,NJ 1980 251 746 8,516 ttsburgh, PA 1983 99 398 5,256 olise, ID 1978 66 275 1,139 in Francisco, CA 1976 146 1,023 15,265 llsdale, MI 1980 198 1,380 7,044 lmouth, KY 1979 48 230 919 oliseter, PA 1976 70 79 3,862 oliseter, PA 1976 70 79 3,862 oliseter, PA 1976 70 79 3,862 oliseter, PA 1978 42 316 882 oliseboro, GA 1973 42 316 882 oliseboro, GA 1973 42 316 882 olitimore, MD 1977 209 1,450 6,569 olice, KY 1999 24 253 1,173 olitimore, MI 1980 100 505 3,617 olice, KY 1982 101 154 5,704 oliseboro, D.C. 1978 171 750 6,719 orcester, MA 1976 156 567 4,557 olice, CA 1986 80 1,545 9,405 oliseboro, CA 1982 88 1,775 4,606 olice, CA 1982 88	Hance, OH 1971 101 530 1,934 756 evada, CO 1977 88 641 3,314 1,746 oledo, OH 1977 189 205 455 363 rsippany ,NJ 1980 251 746 8,516 1,998 ttsburgh, PA 1983 99 398 5,256 202 oise, ID 1978 66 275 1,139 571 n Francisco, CA 1976 146 1,023 15,265 16,548 llsdale, MI 1980 198 1,380 7,044 6,599 lmouth, KY 1979 48 230 919 310 oester, PA 1976 70 79 3,862 670 over Haven, CT 1981 144 1,152 4,657 2,229 over hydron, OH 1980 230 1,813 6,411 13,073 occurs of hydron, OH 1980 230 1,813 6,411 13,073 occurs of hydron, OH 1980 230 1,813 6,411 13,073 occurs of hydron, OH 1977 209 1,450 6,569 649 over a, KY 1999 24 253 1,173 551 occurs of hydron, DC 1978 171 750 6,719 859 orcester, MA 1976 156 567 4,557 936 tersburg, VA 1885 118 847 2,886 3,356 occurs of hydron, CA 1982 88 1,775 4,606 4,222 over hydron, CA 1983 62 372 1,490 906 occurs of hydron, CA 1980 96 585 2,351 1,443 orthern Cambria, A 1983 62 372 1,490 906 occurs of hydron CA 1980 52 524 1,970 228 export News, A 1976 200 500 2,014 7,172 acon, GA 1980 52 524 1,970 228 expiring n, NC 1972 87 498 2,128 662	liance, OH 1971 101 530 1,934 756 530 vada, CO 1977 88 641 3,314 1,746 405 lede, OH 1977 189 205 455 363 205 straingly and provided the	liance, OH 1971 101 530 1,934 756 530 2,690 vada, CO 1977 88 641 3,314 1,746 405 5,296 lode, OH 1977 189 205 455 363 205 818 brsippany,NJ 1980 251 746 8,516 1,998 746 10,514 ttsburgh, PA 1983 99 398 5,256 202 398 5,458 ise, ID 1978 66 275 1,139 571 275 1,710 in Francisco, CA 1976 146 1,023 15,265 16,548 582 32,254 llsdale, MI 1980 198 1,380 7,044 6,599 1,093 13,930 lmouth, KY 1979 48 230 919 310 230 1,229 esster, PA 1976 70 79 3,862 670 79 4,532 will will will will will will will wil

Edgar Filing: APARTMENT INVESTMENT & MANAGEMENT CO - Form 10-K

Cold Springs, KY	2000	30	187	917	1,122	187	2,039
Cleveland, OH	1975	129	263	4,699	804	263	5,503
The Woodlands,				•			·
TX	1980	150	390	8,373	4,862	363	13,262
The Woodlands,							
TX	1981	150	452	5,552	3,415	459	8,960
Quincy, IL	1976	200	676	5,715	4,841	675	10,557
Bensalem, PA	1972	352	1,853	17,657	2,308	1,853	19,965
Cincinnati, OH	1980	137	1,362	4,876	448	1,362	5,324
Burke, VA	1979	50	355	4,849	219	355	5,068
Trenton, TN	1982	38	42	1,395	38	42	1,433
Harrisburg, PA	1975	108	379	4,040	682	379	4,722
Gadsden, AL	1979	100	540	2,178	1,744	540	3,922
Jackson, MS	1983	104	575	2,304	1,986	575	4,290
North Hollywood,							
CA	1984	17	394	1,579	139	394	1,718
Austin, TX	1999	96	11	4,989	22	11	5,011
			F-5	58			

(2)

Table of Contents

						Initia	al Cost (3) Cost			D	ecem
	(1)					D 414	Capitalized		D 41.14		Acc
y	Date		Year	Number of		Buildings and	Subsequent to		Buildings and	(5)	Dep
	Consolidated	Location	Built	Units	Land I	mproveme	Monsolidation	Land I	mprovements	Total	
	Jan-06	Athens, AL	1981	80	346	2,643	346	346	2,989	3,335	
	Jan-06	Atlanta, GA	1969	58	439	1,647	231	439	1,878	2,317	
	Jan-06	Carmichael, CA	1979	86	176	5,264	379	176	5,643	5,819	
	Jan-06	Connersville, IN	1980	102	440	2,091	2,883	447	4,967	5,414	
	Mar-02	Orange, TX	1983	70	420	1,992	1,026	420	3,018	3,438	
	Jan-06	Jackson, MI	1975	160	856	6,853	1,423	856	8,276	9,132	
	Jan-06	Baltimore, MD	1888	65	566	3,581	216	566	3,797	4,363	
	Jan-06	Brooklyn, NY	1979	259	550	16,825	1,737	550	18,562	19,112	
	Jan-06	Pearsall, TX	1980	63	327	2,207	407	327	2,614	2,941	
	Mar-04	Clinton, TN	1981	80	266	2,225	881	264	3,108	3,372	
	Mar-04	Hillsborough, NC	1980	64	433	1,666	580	515	2,164	2,679	
	Jan-06	Rock Hill, SC	1982	88	839	4,135	1,140	839	5,275	6,114	
	Jan-06	Indianapolis, IN North Hollywood,	1980	121	812	3,272	346	812	3,618	4,430	
	Mar-02	CA	1983	30	1,010	1,691	241	1,010	1,932	2,942	
	Jan-06	Baltimore, MD	1980	199	1,656	9,575	425	1,656	10,000	11,656	
	Dec-97	Rochester, NY	1968	114	475	2,786	1,101	475	3,887	4,362	
	Jan-06	Hatillo, PR	1982	64	202	2,875	204	202	3,079	3,281	
	Mar-02	Hemet, CA	1983	80	700	2,802	2,995	420	6,077	6,497	
	Oct-07	Round Rock, TX	1999	160	1,047	12,893	84	1,047	12,977	14,024	
	Jan-06	Lewisburg, PA	1982	80	178	3,251	131	178	3,382	3,560	
ne	Jan-06	Catawissa, PA	1981	50	31	2,643	186	31	2,829	2,860	
	Jan-06	Duquesne, PA	1975	152	1,271	6,194	722	1,271	6,916	8,187	
	Sep-03	Baltimore, MD	1979	165	438	5,973	3,680	452	9,639	10,091	
	Mar-02	Pasadena, CA	1983	41	914	1,548	335	914	1,883	2,797	
	Jan-06	Hudson, NY	1973	168	647	5,025	584	647	5,609	6,256	
	Oct-06	Indio, CA	1980	151	775	8,759	4,155	775	12,914	13,689	
	Jan-06	San Antonio, TX	1980	120	630	3,137	5,716	630	8,853	9,483	
	Mar-04	Springfield, MO	1977	78	142	3,684	260	142	3,944	4,086	
	Jan-06	Durham, NC	1983	177	750	7,970	773	750	8,743	9,493	
	Jan-06	Lock Haven, PA	1978	101	609	3,796	462	609	4,258	4,867	
	Jan-06	Milwaukie, OR	1982	62	204	2,497	193	204	2,690	2,894	
	Sep-04	Baltimore, MD	1979	261	1,281	9,358	6,398	1,275	15,762	17,037	
	_		1979	130	54	8,308		54	10,096		
	Jan-06	Yonkers, NY	1981	130	34	8,308	1,788	34	10,090	10,150	

Edgar Filing: APARTMENT INVESTMENT & MANAGEMENT CO - Form 10-K

	Oct-00	San Francisco, CA	1976	145	1,841	19,568	16,650	1,866	36,193	38,059
	Jan-06	Concord, CA	1981	75	565	4,448	4,223	581	8,655	9,236
	Jan-06	Camden, SC	1978	72	142	1,875	79	142	1,954	2,096
	Jan-06	Poughkeepsie, NY	1981	72	111	3,256	288	111	3,544	3,655
	Oct-05	Clinton, SC	1970	80	1,293	1,429	246	1,293	1,675	2,968
	Jan-06	Morristown, TN	1981	65	75	1,870	179	75	2,049	2,124
	Jan-06	Lock Haven, PA	1979	150	1,163	6,045	606	1,163	6,651	7,814
÷	Mar-02	Westminster, MD	1979	99	650	2,604	786	650	3,390	4,040
	Jan-06	Portage, PA	1983	31	274	1,211	377	274	1,588	1,862
	Jan-06	Cheraw, SC	1973	56	158	1,342	174	158	1,516	1,674
;	Oct-02	Minneapolis, MN	1975	230	1,297	7,445	7,587	886	15,443	16,329
;	Sep-03	Salem, MA	1973	250	129	14,050	6,414	140	20,453	20,593
	Mar-04	Durham, NC	1980	75	539	2,159	793	563	2,928	3,491
	Oct-07	Chicago, IL	1958	239	2,225	10,797	757	2,225	11,554	13,779
	Jan-06	Taunton, MA	1982	49	80	2,704	311	80	3,015	3,095
t	Jan-06	Ponce, PR	1983	96	367	5,085	194	367	5,279	5,646
me	Dec-03	Yauco, PR	1982	128	391	3,859	959	391	4,818	5,209
					F-59					

		(2)											
						Initial							
							(3)			D	ecen		
							Cost						
	(1)					(Capitalized				Aco		
	()					Buildings S	-		Buildings				
ty	Date		Year	Number of		and	to		and	(5)	Dej		
.	Consolidated	Location	Built	Units	Land I	mprovement	s nsolidation	Land I	Emprovements	Total			
e	Jan-06	Bronx, NY	1979	203	659	15,783	1,710	659	17,493	18,152	2		
	Jan-06	Lafayette, LA	1980	114	524	3,818	257	524	4,075	4,599	9		
	Mar-02	New Baltimore, MI	1980	101	888	2,360	5,154	896	7,506	8,402	2		
	Jan-06	Chicago, IL	1925	148	1,448	6,121	380	1,448	6,501	7,949)		
	Dec-97	Chicago, IL	1985	82	1,380	7,632	459	1,380	8,091	9,471	1		
	Oct-00	Lima, OH	1971	150	487	1,317	1,791	487	3,108	3,595	5		
	Jan-00	Chicago, IL	1921	304	2,280	14,334	16,403	2,510	30,507	33,017	7		
	Mar-02	Wytheville, VA	1978	144	500	2,012	525	500	2,537	3,037	7		
	Jan-08	Topeka, KS	1979	170	240	6,200	7	240	6,207	6,447	7		
	Mar-04	Milan, TN	1984	34	95	498	27	95	525	620)		
e	Jan-06	Troy, NY	1978	115	88	4,067	791	88	4,858	4,946	5		
	Jan-06	Hermitage, PA	1979	81	79	3,406	436	79	3,842	3,921	1		
	Jan-06	Chillicothe, OH	1981	50	136	2,282	198	136	2,480	2,616	5		
	Mar-02	Deactur, IL	1979	156	993	4,164	451	993	4,615	5,608	3		
me	Oct-07	Indianapolis, IN	1994	48	247	1,410	607	247	2,017	2,264	4		
	Mar-02	Palm Springs, CA	1981	116		8,745	3,657		12,402	12,402	2		
	Mar-02	Bakersfield, CA	1982	66	621	5,520	893	619	6,415	7,034	4		
	Jan-06	Lithonia, GA	1973	86	592	1,442	324	592	1,766	2,358	3		
	Jan-06	Lithonia, GA	1974	88	596	2,965	284	596	3,249	3,845	5		
	Oct-07	Joplin, MO	1974	192	996	8,847	2	996	8,849	9,845	5		
	Jun-05	St Louis, MO	1977	242	742	6,327	9,758	705	16,122	16,827	7		
	Oct-05	Anaheim, CA	1958	392	6,155	25,929	4,463	6,155	30,392	36,547	7		
	Mar-02	Sacramento, CA	1980	97	1,041	2,880	7,019	1,145	9,795	10,940)		
	Jun-04	Chicago, IL Hughes Springs,	1925	446	3,684	23,257	17,401	3,427	40,915	44,342	2		
	Jan-06	TX	1978	82	727	1,382	604	727	1,986	2,713	3		
e	Mar-04	Philadelphia, PA	1976	296		15,416	1,265		16,681	16,681			
	Oct-07	Waycross, GA	1999	72	163	2,254	,	163	2,254	2,417			
	Jan-06	Salisbury, MD	1980	151	1,112	7,177	685	1,112	7,862	8,974			
	Mar-02	Toledo, OH	1979	99	420	1,698	1,234	420	2,932	3,352			
	Apr-05	Austin, TX	1982	100	1,188	2,631	3,502	1,229	6,092	7,321			
	Mar-02	North Hills, CA	1983	75	624	2,647	1,613	667	4,217	4,884			
me	Jan-06	Washington, DC	1980	48	697	3,753	92	697	3,845	4,542			
e	Jan-06	Yonkers, NY	1930	56	148	3,315	415	148	3,730	3,878			
ĺ	Dec-97	Flora, MS	1975	76	102	1,071	1,628	102	2,699	2,801			
	Jan-06	Temecula, CA	1984	55	488	5,462	256	488	5,718	6,206			

Edgar Filing: APARTMENT INVESTMENT & MANAGEMENT CO - Form 10-K

	Mar-02	Sacramento, CA	1980	75	684	227	7,367	718	7,560	8,278
e	Mar-02	East Moline, IL	1977	140	698	2,803	755	698	3,558	4,256
e	Jan-06	Flint, MI	1980	340	1,756	13,877	1,484	1,756	15,361	17,117
me	Jan-06	Greenville, MI	1983	49	311	2,097	283	311	2,380	2,691
e	Jan-06	Kankakee, IL	1983	125	590	4,932	3,454	598	8,378	8,976
		Dawson Springs,								
	Mar-04	KY	1981	40	194	1,177	180	194	1,357	1,551
	Mar-02	Champaign, IL	1979	156	947	5,134	5,729	934	10,876	11,810
me	Jan-06	Hummelstown, PA	1981	85	376	4,814	312	376	5,126	5,502
	Sep-05	San Antonio, TX	1970	220	404	5,770	11,373	234	17,313	17,547
	Sep-05	Boulder, CO	1971	150	243	7,110	12,551	438	19,466	19,904
e	Mar-02	Norristown, PA	1980	174	1,650	6,599	2,783	1,650	9,382	11,032
	Mar-05	Macon, GA	1979	74	366	1,522	1,403	366	2,925	3,291
	Jan-06	Taunton, MA	1920	75	219	4,335	645	219	4,980	5,199
e	Jan-06	Wilkes-Barre, PA	1976	344	2,039	15,549	1,334	2,039	16,883	18,922
					F-60					

OH

					((2)			
						al Cost			!
						(3)			De
						Cost			ŀ
(1)						Capitalized			!
		₹7	N 7 1,		Buildings	-		Buildings	(5)
ate	Location	Year Built	Number of Units	Land	and	to nGonsolidation	Land	and	(5)
olidated	Location	Duni	of Units	Land	Improvemen	L SOHSOHUAUOH	Land	Improvements	Total
)	San Francisco, CA	1976	156	1,498	3 19,071	18,283	1,476	37,376	38,852
2	Los Angeles, CA	1981	80	663	3 2,770	4,354	1,352	6,435	7,787
	Holidaysburg, PA	1983	51	608	3 2,083	425	608	3 2,508	3,116
7	Lockhart, TX	1999	32		1,153	9		1,162	1,162
į.	St. George, SC	1984	40	86		95	86		1,206
2	San Bernadino, CA	1983	80	549			188	6,542	6,730
	Indianapolis, IN	1920	52	255			255		3,871
b	New Castle, IN	1970	122	313		1,342	308	·	3,550
l .	Norfolk, VA	1976	126	215		·	215	·	5,118
	Burke, VA	1980	50	382	•		382		5,600
<u> </u>	St. Johns, MI	1980	121	403	•		403	·	7,549
	Lewisburg, WV	1979	84	163			163	·	3,759
4	Woodlands, TX	1980	144	140	2,775	3,613	363	6,165	6,528
4	Woodlands, TX	1980	156	142	2 3,195	4,048	266	7,119	7,385
į.	Kettering, OH	1979	102	1,561	2,815	634	1,561	3,449	5,010
5	Los Angeles, CA	1977	170	1,775	5,848	6,648	1,997	12,274	14,271
Ł	Beacon, NY	1974	193	872			872		19,827
2	Atlanta, GA	1981	188	1,150			1,150	•	7,305
5	Philadelphia, PA	1978	442	702		12,209	702	·	25,112
2	Los Angeles, CA	1981	299	4,253	3 21,226	19,594	4,219	40,854	45,073
2	Canton, OH	1975	81	215	5 889	550	215	1,439	1,654
į.	Catonsville, MD	1980	181	2,127	5,188		2,127		9,090
5	Kaufman, TX	1981	68	370			370		2,604
4	Cuthbert, GA	1982	50	188	•		188	·	1,799
2	Chino, CA	1983	40	380		388	380		2,289
3	Toledo, OH	1930	250	1,312	2 20,635		1,312	20,635	21,947
į.	Washington, DC	1982	153		8,690	476		9,166	9,166
į.	Cincinnati, OH	1983	198	888	5,608	5,114	826	10,784	11,610
2	Wasco, CA	1982	78	625			625		4,169
1	Philadelphia, PA	1982	132	555	5 11,169	5,854	582	16,996	17,578
2	Moline, IL Lincoln Heights,	1976	97	720	3,242	586	720	3,828	4,548

Table of Contents 216

938

419

215

1,357

215

72

1977

1,572

Edgar Filing: APARTMENT INVESTMENT & MANAGEMENT CO - Form 10-K

San Antonio, TX	1980	80	223	3,151	2,550	219	5,705	5,924
Henderson, NC	1983	44	247	946	123	247	1,069	1,316
Pineville, KY	1983	124	1,010	4,048	674	1,010	4,722	5,732
North Wilkesboro,								
NC	1981	72	410	1,680	494	410	2,174	2,584
North Hollywood,								
CA	1984	19	1,051	840	193	1,051	1,033	2,084
Winnsboro, SC	1978	60	272	1,697	253	272	1,950	2,222
St Louis, MO	1920	112	300	3,072	4,448	300	7,520	7,820
Odessa, TX	1972	80	41	229	674	41	903	944
Spartanburg, SC	1972	100	182	663	1,379	182	2,042	2,224
Jackson, MI	1980	125	541	3,875	4,266	321	8,361	8,682
		22,476	127,444	949,906	453,506	126,642	1,404,214	1,530,856
		22,470	127,444	949,900	433,300	120,042	1,404,214	1,330,830
			74	2,470	2,465	2,107	2,902	5,009

F-61

		(2) Initial Cost (3)												
ed	Location	Year Built	Number of Units	Land	Buildings and Improvements	Cost Capitalized Subsequent to SConsolidation	Land	Buildings and Improvements	(5) Total					
			94,357	2,125,927	4,884,110	2,653,370	2,183,927	7,479,480	9,663,40					
	Plano, TX St. Petersburg,	1978	256	2,961	5,137	5,788	2,961	10,925	13,88					
	FL	1984	276	1,737	9,778	10,702	1,737	20,480	22,21					
	Bradenton, FL	1984	200	1,276	7,170	6,872	1,276	14,042	15,31					
	Houston, TX	1972	113	275	1,865	1,931	275	3,796	4,07					
			845	6,249	23,950	25,293	6,249	49,243	55,49					
						79	1	78	7					
			845	6,249	23,950	25,372	6,250	49,321	55,57					
			95,202	2,132,176	4,908,060	2,678,742	2,190,177	7,528,801	9,718,97					

- (1) Date we acquired the property or first consolidated the partnership which owns the property.
- (2) Initial cost includes the tendering costs to acquire the noncontrolling interest share of our consolidated real estate partnerships.
- (3) Costs capitalized subsequent to consolidation includes costs capitalized since acquisition or first consolidation of the partnership/property.
- (4) Other includes land parcels, commercial properties and other related costs.
- (5) The aggregate cost of land and depreciable property for federal income tax purposes was approximately \$8.0 billion at December 31, 2009.

APARTMENT INVESTMENT AND MANAGEMENT COMPANY

SCHEDULE III: REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION For the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 (In Thousands)

	2009	2008	2007
Real Estate			
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 11,000,496	\$ 12,420,200	\$ 12,011,693
Additions during the year:			
Newly consolidated assets and acquisition of limited			
partnership interests(1)	19,683	31,447	31,572
Acquisitions		107,445	233,059
Capital expenditures	275,444	665,233	689,719
Deductions during the year:			
Casualty and other write-offs(2)	(43,134)	(130,595)	(24,594)
Sales	(1,533,511)	(2,093,234)	(521,249)
Balance at end of year	\$ 9,718,978	\$ 11,000,496	\$ 12,420,200
Accumulated Depreciation			
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 2,815,497	\$ 3,047,716	\$ 2,901,414
Additions during the year:			
Depreciation	478,550	497,395	477,725
Newly consolidated assets and acquisition of limited			
partnership interests(1)	(2,763)	(22,256)	(128,272)
Deductions during the year:			
Casualty and other write-offs	(5,200)	(1,838)	(5,280)
Sales	(562,240)	(705,520)	(197,871)
Balance at end of year	\$ 2,723,844	\$ 2,815,497	\$ 3,047,716

F-63

⁽¹⁾ Includes the effect of newly consolidated assets, acquisition of limited partnership interests and related activity.

⁽²⁾ Casualty and other write-offs in 2008 include impairments totaling \$91.1 million related to our Lincoln Place and Pacific Bay Vistas properties.