HEALTHCARE SERVICES GROUP INC Form DEF 14A March 25, 2010

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549 SCHEDULE 14A PROXY STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 14(a) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Filed by the Registrant b Filed by a Party other than the Registrant o Check the appropriate box:

- o Preliminary Proxy Statement
- o Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- b Definitive Proxy Statement
- o Definitive Additional Materials
- o Soliciting Material Under Rule 14a-12

#### HEALTHCARE SERVICES GROUP, INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

(Name of Persons(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if Other Than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- b No fee required.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
  - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
  - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
  - (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
  - (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
  - (5) Total fee paid:
- o Fee paid previously with preliminary materials:
- o Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the

	or schedule and the date of its filing.  Amount previously paid
(2)	Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No:
(3)	Filing Party:
(4)	Date Filed:

# HEALTHCARE SERVICES GROUP, INC. 3220 Tillman Drive Suite 300 Bensalem, Pennsylvania 19020

# NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS May 25, 2010

To the Shareholders of Healthcare Services Group, Inc.

Notice is Hereby Given that the Annual Meeting (the Annual Meeting) of Shareholders of Healthcare Services Group, Inc. (the Company) will be held at the Radisson Hotel Philadelphia Northeast, 2400 Old Lincoln Highway, Trevose, Pennsylvania 19053, on May 25, 2010, at 10:00 A.M., for the following purposes:

- 1. To elect seven directors:
- 2. To approve and ratify the selection of Grant Thornton LLP as the independent registered public accounting firm of the Company for its current fiscal year ending December 31, 2010; and
- 3. To consider and act upon such other business as may properly come before the Annual Meeting and any adjournment or postponement.

Only shareholders of record at the close of business on April 1, 2010 will be entitled to notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Shareholders meeting to be Held on May 25, 2010

The proxy statement and annual report to shareholders are available under 2010 Proxy Materials at <a href="https://www.proxydocs.com/hcsg">www.proxydocs.com/hcsg</a>

Please sign and promptly mail the enclosed proxy, whether or not you expect to attend the Meeting, in order that your shares may be voted for you. A return envelope is provided for your convenience.

By Order of the Board of Directors

Daniel P. McCartney Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer

Dated: Bensalem, Pennsylvania

April 5, 2010

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

**PROXIES: VOTING SECURITIES** 

**CORPORATE GOVERNANCE** 

PROPOSAL NO. 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

**OTHER EXECUTIVE OFFICERS** 

**BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND COMMITTEES** 

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

**MANAGEMENT COMPENSATION** 

**AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT** 

NOMINATING, COMPENSATION AND STOCK OPTION COMMITTEE REPORT

PROPOSAL NO. 2 INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

**OTHER MATTERS** 

**DEADLINE FOR SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS** 

**ANNUAL REPORT** 

#### HEALTHCARE SERVICES GROUP, INC.

3220 Tillman Drive Suite 300 Bensalem, Pennsylvania 19020

PROXY STATEMENT FOR ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS May 25, 2010

This Proxy Statement is furnished to the Shareholders of Healthcare Services Group, Inc. (the Company ) in connection with the solicitation by the Board of Directors of the Company of proxies for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders (the Annual Meeting ) to be held at the Radisson Hotel Philadelphia Northeast, 2400 Old Lincoln Highway, Trevose, Pennsylvania 19053, on May 25, 2010 at 10:00 A.M. At the Annual Meeting, the shareholders will consider the following proposals: (1) to elect seven directors; (2) to approve and ratify the selection of Grant Thornton LLP as the independent registered public accounting firm (the Independent Auditors ) of the Company for its current fiscal year ending December 31, 2010; and (3) to consider and act upon such other business as may properly come before the Annual Meeting and any adjournment or postponement.

This Proxy Statement is being mailed to shareholders on or about April 5, 2010.

#### **PROXIES; VOTING SECURITIES**

Only holders of Common Stock of record at the close of business on April 1, 2010 (the Record Date ) are entitled to notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting. On the Record Date, there were issued and outstanding approximately 43,750,000 shares of Common Stock. Each share of Common Stock entitles the holder thereof to one vote. The presence, in person or by proxy, of the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of Common Stock is required to constitute a quorum at the meeting. Holders of Common Stock are not entitled to cumulative voting rights.

All shares that are represented by properly executed proxies received prior to or at the Annual Meeting, and not revoked, will be voted in accordance with the instructions indicated in such proxies. If no instructions are indicated with respect to any shares for which properly executed proxies are received, such proxies will be voted FOR each of the proposals. For purposes of determining the presence of a quorum for transacting business at the Annual Meeting, abstentions and broker non-votes (i.e., proxies from brokers or nominees indicating that such persons have not received instructions from the beneficial owner or other persons entitled to vote shares on a particular matter with respect to which the brokers or nominees do not have discretionary power), if applicable, will be treated as shares that are present but which have not been voted.

A proxy may be revoked by delivery of a written statement to the Secretary of the Company stating that the proxy is revoked, by a subsequent proxy executed by the person executing the prior proxy and presented to the Annual Meeting, or by voting in person at the Annual Meeting.

All expenses in connection with this solicitation will be borne by the Company. It is expected that solicitation will be made primarily by mail, but regular employees or representatives of the Company may also solicit proxies by telephone, telegraph or in person, without additional compensation, except for reimbursement of out-of-pocket expenses.

#### **CORPORATE GOVERNANCE**

The Company operates within a comprehensive plan of corporate governance for the purpose of defining responsibilities, setting high standards of professional and personal conduct and assuring compliance with such responsibilities and standards. The Company regularly monitors developments in the area of corporate governance. In July 2002, Congress passed the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 ( Sarbanes-Oxley ) which, among other things, establishes, or provides the basis for, a number of new corporate governance standards and disclosure requirements. In addition, the NASDAQ Stock Market, LLC has also implemented changes to its corporate governance and listing requirements.

#### **Director Independence**

In accordance with these latest developments and the listing requirements of the NASDAQ Stock Market, LLC, a majority of the current members of the Company s Board of Directors are independent: namely, John M. Briggs, Robert L. Frome, Robert J. Moss and Dino D. Ottaviano. If Messrs. Briggs, Frome, Moss and Ottaviano are re-elected as members of the Board of Directors, a majority of the members of the Company s Board of Directors will continue to be independent.

Mr. Robert L. Frome, a director of the Company, is a member of the law firm of Olshan Grundman Frome Rosenzweig & Wolosky, LLP, which law firm has been retained by the Company during the last fiscal year. Fees paid by the Company to such firm during the year ended December 31, 2009 were approximately \$100,000 and hence were less than \$120,000. Additionally, the fees paid by the Company did not exceed 5% of such firm s total revenues.

Notwithstanding the above mentioned transactions, Mr. Frome is an independent director as such term is defined by NASDAQ Rule 4200(a)(15) of the NASDAQ Stock Market, LLC listing standards.

# **Code of Ethics and Business Conduct**

We have also adopted a Code of Ethics and Business Conduct for directors, officers and employees of the Company. It is intended to promote honest and ethical conduct, full and accurate reporting and compliance with laws as well as other matters. A copy of the Code of Ethics and Business Conduct is posted on our website at <a href="https://www.hcsgcorp.com">www.hcsgcorp.com</a>.

# **Board Leadership and Risk Oversight**

Daniel P. McCartney, the founder of the Company, has served as our Chief Executive Officer and as the Chairman of our Board of Directors since inception. The Company has not appointed a lead independent director. We believe our current Board leadership structure is optimal for us because it demonstrates to our shareholders, employees, suppliers, customers, and other stakeholders that we are under strong leadership, with a single person, who has overseen the significant growth of the Company over the past 25 years, managing the transition from being a private company to a public company, setting the tone and having primary responsibility for managing our operations. Having a single leader for both the Company and the Board eliminates the potential for confusion or duplication of efforts, and provides us with clear leadership. We believe that our Company benefits from the intimate knowledge Mr. Daniel McCartney has of our operations and Mr. McCartney has extensive experience serving as the Chairman of the Board of a public company. Accordingly, we believe the Company, like many U.S. companies, has been well-served by this leadership structure which has been in place since the Company became a public company. Our Board conducts an annual evaluation in order to determine whether it and its committees are functioning effectively. As part of this annual self-evaluation, the Board evaluates whether the current leadership structure continues to be optimal for the Company and our shareholders.

Our Board is responsible for overseeing the Company s risk management process. The Board focuses on the Company s general risk management strategy, including the most significant risks facing the Company, and ensures that appropriate risk mitigation strategies are implemented by management. The Board is also apprised of particular risk management matters in connection with its general oversight and approval of corporate matters.

2

#### **Table of Contents**

The Board has delegated to the Audit Committee oversight of certain aspects of the Company s risk management process. Among its duties, the Audit Committee oversees the Company s compliance with legal and regulatory requirements and the Company s system of disclosure controls and system of internal financial, accounting and legal compliance controls. The Board receives a quarterly update from the Audit Committee, which includes a review of items addressed during prior quarters. Our other Board committees also consider and address risk as they perform their respective committee responsibilities. All committees report to the full Board as appropriate, including when a matter rises to the level of a material risk.

The Company s management is responsible for day-to-day risk management under the direction of Richard Hudson who serves as the Company s Chief Compliance Officer. Our internal audit department serves as the primary monitoring and testing function for company-wide policies and procedures, and manages the day-to-day oversight of the risk management strategy for the ongoing business of the Company. This oversight includes identifying, evaluating, and addressing potential risks that may exist at the enterprise, strategic, financial, operational, and compliance and reporting levels. Under the direction of our Chief Compliance Officer, the Company conducts an annual review of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures, code of ethics and billing and sales compliance. To the extent deemed necessary, the Company revises such procedures and policies.

We believe the division of risk management responsibilities described above is an effective approach for addressing the risks facing the Company and that our Board leadership structure supports this approach.

3

#### PROPOSAL NO. 1

#### **ELECTION OF DIRECTORS**

At the Annual Meeting, seven directors of the Company are to be elected, each to hold office for a term of one year. Unless authority is specifically withheld, management proxies will be voted FOR the election of the nominees named below to serve as directors until the next annual meeting of shareholders and until their successors have been chosen and qualify. Should any nominee not be a candidate at the time of the Annual Meeting (a situation which is not now anticipated), proxies will be voted in favor of the remaining nominees and may also be voted for substitute nominees. If a quorum is present, the candidate or candidates receiving the highest number of votes will be elected. Under recently enacted rules, brokers that do not receive shareholder instructions are not entitled to vote for the election of directors because an uncontested election is now considered a non-routine matter. Hence, shareholders who hold their shares through brokerage accounts and who would like to vote in favor of the director nominees will need to instruct their brokerage firm to vote for the Company s nominees.

The current nominees are as follows:

Name, Age, Principal Occupations for the past five years and Current	Director
Public Directorships or Trusteeships	Since
Daniel P. McCartney, 58, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of the Company for	
more than five years	1977
Joseph F. McCartney, 55, Divisional Vice President of the Company for more than five years;	
brother of Daniel P. McCartney	1983
Robert L. Frome, Esq., 72, Member of the law firm of Olshan Grundman Frome Rosenzweig &	
Wolosky LLP for more than five years. Mr. Frome previously served as a member of the board of	
directors of NuCo2, Inc. Continuum Group A, Inc. and Horizon Wimba, Inc.	1983
Thomas A. Cook, CPA, 64, President of the Company for more than five years. Prior to July 1,	
2008, Mr. Cook also served as the Company s Chief Operating Officer for more than five years	1987
Robert J. Moss, Esq., 72, Retired. Former President of Moss Associates, a law firm, for more than	
four years. Mr. Moss served as a Court Officer of First Judicial District of Pennsylvania from 2006	
to 2007	1992(1)(2)
John M. Briggs, CPA, 59, Treasurer, Philadelphia Affiliate of Susan G. Komen for the Cure since	
February, 2005; formerly Partner of Briggs, Bunting & Dougherty, LLP, a registered public	
accounting firm for more than five years. Board member of the Capstone Group of Regulated	1000(1)(0)
Investment Funds	1993(1)(2)
Dino D. Ottaviano, 62, Principal of D20 Marketing, Inc., a provider of internet productivity tools	
founded in 2006. Previously employed for 23 years with Transcontinental Direct (successor to	
Communication Concepts, Inc.), a publicly held outsourcing printer, retiring in 2002 as Vice	2007(2)
President of Business Development	2007(2)

- (1) Member of Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee.
- (2) Member of Audit Committee.

# **Board Qualifications**

We believe that the collective skills, experiences and qualifications of our directors provides our Board with the expertise and experience necessary to advance the interests of our stockholders. While the Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee of our Board has not established any specific, minimum qualifications that must be met by each of our directors, it uses a variety of criteria to evaluate the qualifications and skills necessary for each member of the Board. In addition to the individual attributes of each of our current directors described below, we believe that our directors should have the highest professional and personal ethics and values, consistent with our longstanding values and standards. They should have broad experience at the policy-making level in business, exhibit commitment to enhancing shareholder value and have sufficient time to carry out their duties and to provide insight and practical wisdom based on their past experience.

4

#### **Table of Contents**

Each of Messrs. McCartney, McCartney and Cook has extensive experience in the health care services industry. Each of Messrs. Daniel McCartney, and Joseph McCartney s operational experience and Mr. Cook s financial expertise enables each to provide guidance with respect to our operations.

Each of Messrs. Frome and Moss has extensive legal experience. In addition, Mr. Frome has also served as a member of the board of directors of other public companies and has extensive corporate finance and mergers and acquisitions experience, which experience aids his service to the Board. Both Mr. Frome and Mr. Moss also have extensive executive experience as they both have served as managing partners of their respective law firms.

Mr. Briggs years of experience as a certified public accountant provide him with financial and accounting expertise. Mr. Briggs qualifies as an audit committee financial expert under SEC guidelines. [Mr. Briggs also brings executive experience to the Board as he served as a managing partner at his accounting firm]

Mr. Ottavino, through his experience as a top-level executive form many years for two different companies, one of which was a public company, has a comprehensive understanding of business operations, including business development.

#### The Directors recommend a vote FOR all nominees.

If Messrs. Briggs, Moss and Ottaviano are re-elected as Directors of the Company it is anticipated that such individuals will comprise the Audit Committee following the Annual Meeting.

## OTHER EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

Name, Age, Principal Occupations for the past five years and Current <u>Public Directorships or Trusteeships</u>

Richard W. Hudson, CPA, 62, Chief Financial Officer since March 2007 and Secretary for more than five years. Prior to becoming Chief Financial Officer, Mr. Hudson served as Vice President of Finance for more than four years.

Theodore Wahl, CPA, 36, Executive Vice President since January 2010. Prior to becoming Executive Vice President, Mr. Wahl served as Vice President of Finance, a Facility Manager, District Manager and Regional Manager, as well as in a corporate financial management position within the Company for more than five years. Prior to serving with the Company, Mr. Wahl was a Senior Manager with Ernst & Young s Transaction Advisory Group for more than one year and more than five years with Ernst & Young s Mergers and Acquisitions Group. Ernst & Young is a registered public accounting firm. Mr. Wahl is the son-in-law of Mr. Daniel P. McCartney.

5

#### **Table of Contents**

#### **BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND COMMITTEES**

BOARD OF DIRECTORS. The business of the Company is managed under the direction of the Board of Directors (the Board ). The Board meets on a regularly scheduled basis during the Company s fiscal year to review significant developments affecting the Company and to act on matters requiring Board approval. It also holds special meetings when an important matter requires Board action between scheduled meetings. The Board met five times during the 2009 fiscal year. During 2009, each member of the Board participated in at least 75% of all Board and applicable committee meetings held during the period for which he was a director or committee member. Directors are expected to attend all Board meetings and meetings of committees on which they serve, and each Annual Meeting. In 2009, all seven of the directors attended the Company s Annual Meeting.

The Board has established an Audit Committee, and a Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee to devote attention to specific subjects and to assist it in the discharge of its responsibilities. The functions of those committees, their current members and the number of meetings held during 2009 with respect to the Audit Committee, and the Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee are described below:

AUDIT COMMITTEE. The Audit Committee s primary responsibilities, as described in the Amended and Restated Audit Committee Charter (a copy of which is available on the Company s website, www.hcsgcorp.com) include:

- (a) appointment, compensation and oversight of the Company s Independent Auditors, who report directly to the Audit Committee, including (i) prior review of the Independent Auditors plan for the annual audit, (ii) pre-approval of both audit and non-audit services to be provided by the Independent Auditors and (iii) annual assessment of the qualifications, performance and independence of the Independent Auditors;
- (b) overseeing and monitoring the Company s accounting and financial reporting processes and internal control system, audits of the Company s financial statements and the quality and integrity of the financial reports and other financial information issued by the Company;
- (c) providing an open avenue of communication among the Independent Auditors and financial and other senior management and the Board;
- (d) reviewing with management and, where applicable, the Independent Auditors, prior to release, required annual, quarterly and interim filings by the Company with the Securities and Exchange Commission and the type and presentation of information to be included in earnings press releases;
- (e) reviewing material issues, and any analyses by management or the Independent Auditors, concerning accounting principles, financial statement presentation, certain risk management issues, such as the adequacy of the Company s internal controls and significant financial reporting issues and judgments and the effect of regulatory and accounting initiatives on the Company s financial statements;
- (f) reviewing with the Company s legal counsel any legal matters that could have a significant effect on the Company s financial statements, compliance with applicable laws and regulations and inquiries from regulators or other governmental agencies;
- (g) reviewing and approving all related party transactions between the Company and any director, executive officer, other employee or family member;
- (h) reviewing and overseeing compliance with the Company s Code of Ethics and Business Conduct;

- (i) establishing procedures regarding the receipt, retention and treatment of, and the anonymous submission by employees of the Company of, complaints regarding the Company s accounting, internal controls or auditing matters; and
- (j) reporting Audit Committee activities to the full Board of Directors and issuing annual reports to be included in the Company s proxy statement. Each of Messrs. Moss, Ottaviano and Briggs are independent Directors as such term is defined by Rule 4200(a)(15) of the NASDAQ Stock Market, LLC listing standards.

Mr. Briggs has been designated the audit committee financial expert and he satisfies the attributes required of audit committee financial experts pursuant to Section 407 of Sarbanes-Oxley. The Audit Committee met six

6

# **Table of Contents**

times during fiscal year 2009. The report of Audit Committee for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009 is included herein under Audit Committee Report below.

NOMINATING, COMPENSATION AND STOCK OPTION COMMITTEE. The Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee (composed of Messrs. Briggs and Moss) are to assist the Board by:

- (a) developing and recommending to the Board a set of effective corporate governance policies and procedures applicable to the Company;
- (b) identifying, reviewing and evaluating individuals qualified to become Board members and recommending that the Board select director nominees for each annual meeting of the Company s shareholders;
- (c) discharging the Board s responsibilities relating to the compensation of Company executives; and
- (d) administering the Company s stock option plans or other equity-based compensation plans.

Each of Messrs. Briggs and Moss are Independent Directors as such term is defined by Rule 4200(a)(15) of the NASDAQ Stock Market, LLC listing standards. The Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee met twice during fiscal year 2009.

The Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee has not adopted a policy or process by which shareholders may make recommendations to the Committee of candidates to be considered by this Committee for nomination for election as Directors. The Committee has determined that it is not appropriate to have such a policy because such recommendations may be informally submitted to and considered by the Committee under its Charter. Shareholders may make such recommendations by giving written notice to Healthcare Services Group, Inc., 3220 Tillman Drive, Suite 300, Bensalem, PA 1902, Attention: Corporate Secretary either by personal delivery or by United States mail, postage prepaid. The Charter of the Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee is provided on the Company s website, www.hcsgcorp.com. The Committee has not established a formal process for identifying and evaluating nominees for Director, although generally the Committee may use multiple sources for identifying and evaluating nominees for Director, including referrals from current Directors and shareholders. The Committee has identified certain qualifications it believes an individual should possess before it recommends such person as a nominee for election to the Board of Directors.

The Committee believes that nominees for Director should possess the highest personal and professional ethics, integrity, values and judgment and be committed to representing the long-term interests of the Company's shareholders. The Committee does not have a formal policy with respect to considering diversity in identifying nominees for directors. The Committee believes that racial and gender diversity are important factors in assessing potential board members, but not at the expense of particular qualifications and experience required to meet the needs of the board. Furthermore, as part of the Committee's review of board composition, the board considers diversity of experience and background in an effort to ensure that the composition of directors ensures a strong and effective board. The Committee seeks to ensure that the composition of the Board at all times adheres to the independence requirements of the NASDAQ Stock Market, LLC and reflects a range of talents, skills, and expertise, particularly in the areas of management, leadership, and experience in the Company's and related industries, sufficient to provide sound and prudent guidance with respect to the operations and interests of the Company. See below for the Report of the Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee regarding executive compensation.

7

#### SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The following table sets forth information as of April 1, 2010, regarding the beneficial ownership of Common Stock by each person or group known by the Company to own: (i) 5% or more of the outstanding shares of Common Stock, (ii) each director of the Company, (iii) the Named Executive Officers as defined in Item 402(a)(3) of Regulation S-K and other Executive Officers and (iv) all current directors and executive officers of the Company as a group. The persons named in the table have sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares of Common Stock owned by them, unless otherwise noted.

Name and Beneficial Owner or Group(1)(2)	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Percent of Class(3)
Neuberger Berman LLC	4,809,070(4)	11.7%
Blackrock, Inc.	3,309,646(5)	8.1%
Daniel P. McCartney	3,009,142(6)	7.2%
Advisory Research Inc.	2,701,675(7)	6.6%
Joseph F. McCartney	140,244(8)	(17)
Robert L. Frome	70,539(9)	(17)
John M. Briggs	45,839(10)	(17)
Thomas A. Cook	49,025(11)	(17)
Richard W. Hudson	29,293(12)	(17)
Robert J. Moss	14,733(13)	(17)
Theodore Wahl	8,578(14)	(17)
Dino D. Ottaviano	2,994(15)	(17)
Directors and Executive Officers as a group (9 persons)	3,370,387(16)	8.1%

- (1) Unless otherwise indicated, the address of all persons is c/o Healthcare Services Group, Inc., 3220 Tillman Drive, Suite 300, Bensalem, PA 19020.
- (2) The address of Neuberger Berman LLC is 605 Third Avenue, New York, NY 10158.

The address of Blackrock, Inc. is 40 East 52nd Street, New York, NY 10022

The address of Advisory Research, Inc. is 180 North Stetson Street, Suite 5500, Chicago, IL 60601

- (3) Based on 41,100,000 shares of Common Stock outstanding at April 1, 2010.
- (4) According to a Schedule 13G filed by Neuberger Berman LLC, Neuberger Berman Group LLC, Neuberger Berman Management LLC and Neuberger Berman Equity Funds on February 16, 2010. Such entities have, in the aggregate, beneficial ownership of 4,809,070 shares.
- (5) According to a Schedule 13G filed by Blackrock, Inc. (previously Barclays Global Investors, NA and certain affiliates) dated January 20, 2010, it has sole dispositive power and sole voting power with respect to the 3.309.646 shares.

- (6) Includes incentive stock options to purchase 103,088 shares and nonqualified stock options to purchase 343,173 shares all currently exercisable, and 41,531 shares credited to Mr. McCartney s account (but unissued) in connection with the Company s Deferred Compensation Plan; excludes 50,402 held by Mr. McCartney s adult child. Mr. McCartney disclaims beneficial ownership of these shares. Mr. McCartney may be deemed to be a parent of and deemed to control the Company, as such terms are defined for purposes of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, by virtue of his position as founder, director, Chief Executive Officer and a principal shareholder of the Company.
- (7) According to a Schedule 13G filed by Advisory Research, Inc. dated February 12, 2010, it has sole dispositive power and sole voting power with respect to the 2,701,675 shares.
- (8) Includes incentive stock options to purchase 40,237 shares and nonqualified stock options to purchase 44,092 shares, all currently exercisable, 7,871 shares credited to Mr. McCartney s account (but unissued) in

8

#### **Table of Contents**

connection with the Company s Deferred Compensation Plan and 4,700 shares held in joint custody by Mr. McCartney s wife with their adult children and her mother.

- (9) Includes nonqualified stock options to purchase 36,770 shares, all currently exercisable.
- (10) Includes nonqualified stock options to purchase 22,939 shares, all currently exercisable.
- (11) Includes incentive stock options to purchase 6,915 shares and nonqualified stock options to purchase 8,086 shares all currently exercisable, and 3,629 shares credited to Mr. Cook s account (but unissued) in connection with the Company s Deferred Compensation Plan.
- (12) Includes incentive stock options to purchase 12,159 shares and nonqualified stock options to purchase 11,844 shares, all currently exercisable, and 3,867 shares credited to Mr. Hudson s account (but unissued) in connection with the Company s Deferred Compensation Plan.
- (13) Represents nonqualified stock options to purchase 14,733 shares, all currently exercisable.
- (14) Includes incentive stock options to purchase 3,000 shares, all currently exercisable, and 778 shares credited to Mr. Wahl s account (but unissued) in connection with the Company s Deferred Compensation Plan.
- (15) Represents nonqualified stock options to purchase 2,994 shares, all currently exercisable.
- (16) Includes 650,030 shares underlying options granted to this group. All options are currently exercisable; also includes 57,676 shares credited to the accounts of certain executive officers (but unissued) in connection with the Company s Deferred Compensation Plan.
- (17) Less than 1% of the outstanding shares.

9

#### MANAGEMENT COMPENSATION

## **Compensation Discussion and Analysis**

# **Compensation Objectives**

We refer to our chief executive officer, the chief financial officer, and each of our other three most highly compensated executive officers as our named executive officers. As more fully described below (a) the base salary of Mr. Daniel McCartney was primarily based on a minimum base salary plus an additional amount based on the Company s income from operations before income taxes, (b) the base salary of Mr. Thomas Cook for the first six-months of 2008 was based on a minimum base salary plus an additional amount based on the Company s income from operations before income taxes, his base salary for the balance of 2008 (the period for which he reduced his time devoted to the Company) was based on the amount of time he spent on Company business, (c) in 2007 and 2008, Mr. Joseph McCartney received a minimum base salary plus a bonus based on the attainment of certain financial and non-financial measures and (d) in 2009, the base salaries of Messrs. Cook, Joseph McCartney, Hudson and Wahl were based on their performance and level of responsibility. Our Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee believes that compensation paid to Mr. Daniel McCartney, consistent with the principle that compensation plans of senior operational officers should be closely aligned with our performance on both a short-term and long-term basis to create value for shareholders, and that such compensation should assist us in attracting and retaining key executives critical to our long-term success.

In establishing compensation for executive officers, the following are the Company s and Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee s objectives:

Attract and retain individuals of superior ability and managerial talent;

Ensure officer compensation is aligned with our corporate strategies, business objectives and the long-term interests of our shareholders; and

Enhance the officers incentive to maximize shareholder value, as well as promote retention of key people, by providing a portion of total compensation for management in the form of direct ownership in us through stock options and other compensatory stock-based plans.

To achieve these objectives, our overall compensation program aims to pay our named executive officers competitively, consistent with our success and their contribution to that success. To accomplish this we rely on programs that provide compensation in the form of both cash and equity. Although our Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee has not adopted any formal guidelines for allocating total compensation between cash and equity, the Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee considers the balance between providing short-term and long-term incentives which are designed to help align the interests of management with shareholders.

We have not retained a compensation consultant to review our policies and procedures with respect to executive compensation, although the Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee may elect to retain such a consultant in the future if it determines that so doing would be helpful in developing, implementing or maintaining compensation plans.

The Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee conducts an annual review of the aggregate level of our executive compensation, as well as the mix of elements used to compensate our executive officers. In addition, the Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee has historically taken into account input from other

independent members of our board of directors and, to the extent available, publicly available data relating to the compensation practices and policies of other companies within and outside our industry. As part of the review of the Company s compensation, the compensation policies of the following companies have been examined: AMN Healthcare Services, Inc. (a healthcare staffing company), ABM Industries Incorporated (a provider of janitorial, parking, security and engineering services for commercial and industrial facilities), and ARAMARK Corporation (a food, hospitality and facility service company). The Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee believes that gathering information about the compensation practices of these companies is an important part of our compensation-related decision-making process. However, since none of these companies

10

#### **Table of Contents**

are specifically engaged in the Company s business and the Company is unaware of any other public company which provides housekeeping and food services to solely to the health care industry and primarily to the long term care segment of the industry, the Company believes that compensation comparisons with the aforementioned companies is not apt. Accordingly, while the Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee is aware of the compensation practices of the companies set forth above, the Committee has not necessarily relied on comparisons with such entities for purposes of making compensation decisions for Company executive officers and the Company does not benchmark compensation against the compensation of such other Companies.

#### **Determination of Compensation Awards**

The compensation of the Chief Executive Officer of the Company is determined by the Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee. Such Committee s determinations regarding compensation are based on a number of factors including, in order of importance:

Consideration of the operating and financial performance of the Company, primarily its income before income taxes during the preceding fiscal year, as compared with prior operating periods;

Attainment of a level of compensation designed to retain a superior executive in a highly competitive environment; and

Consideration of the individual s overall contribution to the Company.

Compensation for the Named Executive Officers (referred to in the summary compensation table) other than the Chief Executive Officer is determined by the Chief Executive Officer in consultation with the Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee, taking into account the same factors considered in determining the Chief Executive Officer s compensation as described above. Section 162(m) of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code of 1986 limits deductibility of compensation in excess of \$1 million paid to the Company s Named Executive Officers unless this compensation qualifies as performance-based. Based on the applicable tax regulations, any taxable compensation derived from the exercise of stock options by senior executives under the Company s stock option plans should qualify as performance-based. Under the 1995 Plan, no recipient of options may be granted options to purchase more than 125,000 shares of Common Stock. Therefore, compensation received as a result of options granted under the 1995 Plan qualify as performance-based for purposes of Section 162(m) of the Code. In addition, under the 2002 Plan, no recipient of options may be granted options to purchase more than 50,000 shares of Common Stock in any calendar year. Therefore, compensation received as a result of options granted under the 2002 Plan qualify as performance-based for purposes of Section 162(m) of the Code (the options exercised by the Named Executive Officers in fiscal 2008, 2007 and 2006 were granted under either the 1995 Plan or the 2002 Plan). As described under Executive Compensation Grant of Plan-Based Awards , options were granted in fiscal years 2008 and 2009 to certain Named Executive Officers. No stock options were granted in fiscal year 2007.

The Company applies a consistent approach to compensation for all employees, including senior management. This approach is based on the belief that the achievements of the Company result from the coordinated efforts of all employees working toward common objectives.

#### **Elements of Compensation**

<u>Base Salary.</u> Base salaries for our executives are established based on the scope of their responsibilities and individual experience, taking into account competitive market compensation paid by companies in our industry. Base salaries are reviewed annually, and adjusted from time to time to realign salaries with market levels. With respect to certain of our executive officers this adjustment takes into account individual responsibilities, performance and

experience.

Historically, the base salary of Mr. Daniel McCartney was a minimum of between approximately \$25,000 to \$75,000 with the balance of his base salary derived from the Performance-Based Compensation criteria described in the paragraph below. In 2009, Mr. Daniel McCartney s minimum base salary was approved to be approximately \$53,000. Mr. Cook s 2008 compensation for the period January 1, 2008 through June 30, 2008 was calculated as in previous years. Effective July 1, 2008, when Mr. Cook no longer served as Chief Operating Officer, his compensation was revised to a fixed salary amount to reflect the time he spends on Company business. The base

11

# **Table of Contents**

salary for Mr. Richard Hudson increased from 2007 to 2009 due to the increased responsibilities assumed by resulting from his promotion from Vice President of Finance to Chief Financial Officer during 2007. The annual salary for Mr. Wahl increased from 2007 to 2009 due to increased responsibilities assumed by Mr. Wahl resulting from his promotion from Financial Manager to Vice President of Finance. In 2009, Joseph McCartney compensation was restructured from receiving a minimum base salary plus a bonus based on the attainment of certain financial and non-financial measures to receiving a higher base salary as a result of the change in his level of responsibilities.

<u>Performance-Based Compensation.</u> We structure our annual incentive program to reward certain executive officers based on our performance and our evaluation of the individual executive s contribution to that performance. This allows executive officers to receive such compensation based on the results that they helped us to achieve in the previous year. The incentive payment, based upon the Company s prior year performance, becomes the major portion of the named executive officer s salary for the following year. Currently, this payment is only made to Mr. Daniel P. McCartney and is based on a rate of 2.2% of the income from operations before income taxes of the Company in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the fiscal year immediately preceding the year for which such annual salary is calculated. In the 2008 period the Company used a 2.3% for purposes of calculating Mr. Daniel McCartney s incentive payment. For 2007 and prior periods, the Company had previously calculated this portion of these named executive officers compensation at a rate of either 2.3% or 3%, although, the Company had used the 3% rate for more than 20 years prior to 2006, the Company believes that the current year 2.2% rate provides an accurate benchmark upon which to build the compensation for the chief executive officer. The 3% figure was initially selected as it was deemed to be representative of performance-based compensation for the chief executive officer and chief operating officer, as well as providing for a compensation level which reflects the performance of the Company. The Company reduced the rate to 2.3% for 2007 and continued such rate in 2008, as it believed that this reduced rate was a fair and appropriate measure by reason of the continued increase in the Company s income before income taxes. Based on the continued increase in the Company s income before income taxes, the Company believed that a further reduction from 2.3% to 2.2% was appropriate. Moreover, the Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee has historically established the rate to more align Daniel McCartney s compensation with the compensation of the Company s other managerial employees. The Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee has historically tied the compensation of Mr. Daniel McCartney into the Company s financial performance because he has had responsibility for all key strategic and policy decisions impacting the Company.

Discretionary Long-Term Equity Incentive Awards. The Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee is responsible for determining the individuals who will be granted options, the number of options each individual will receive, the option price per share, and the exercise period of each option. Guidelines for the number of stock options granted to each executive officer are determined using a procedure approved by the Committee based upon several factors, including the executive officer s salary level, performance and the value of the stock option at the time of grant. We grant options at the fair market value of the underlying stock on the date of grant. In January 2008, January 2009 and January 2010, the Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee granted options to purchase an aggregate of 104,950, 101,950 and 102,504, respectively, shares of common stock to our Named Executive Officers and directors. Such awards are detailed for the respective named executive officers in the table reporting on Grant of Plan-Based Awards included in this proxy statement. In making its decision to grant these awards, the Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee considered the competitive challenges to our business and the commitments of time, energy and expertise our executive officers have expended to meet these challenges and foster the growth and financial position of the Company. The Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee has also granted options to all other levels of Company management and key employees and believes that the grant of the options to the named executive officers is aligned with the grants to such management and key employees and also aligns the interest of management with shareholders. As indicated under Compensation Objectives above, the Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee has not adopted any formal guidelines for allocating total compensation between cash and equity.

<u>Deferred Compensation Plan.</u> Since January 1, 2000, we have had a Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (the SERP ) for certain key executives and employees. The SERP is not qualified under section 401 of the Code. Under the SERP, participants may defer up to 25% of their earned income on a pre-tax basis (prior to

12

#### **Table of Contents**

January 1, 2010 participants deferrals were limited to 15% of their earned income). As of the last day of each plan year, each participant will receive a 25% match of their deferral, up to 15% of such deferral amount, in our Common Stock based on the then current market value. SERP participants fully vest in our matching contribution three years from the first day of the initial year of participation. The income deferred and our matching contribution are unsecured and subject to the claims of our general creditors. Under the SERP, we are authorized to issue up to 675,000 shares of our common stock to our employees. Pursuant to such authorization, we have approximately 357,000 shares available for future grant at December 31, 2009 (after deducting the 2009 funding of shares delivered in 2010). In the aggregate, since initiation of the SERP, 318,000 shares (including the 2009 funding of shares delivered in 2010) have been issued to the trustee and accounted for at cost, as treasury stock. At December 31, 2009 (prior to 2009 funding of shares delivered in 2010), approximately 176,000 of such shares are vested and remain in the respective active participants accounts.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan. Since January 1, 2000, we have had a non-compensatory Employee Stock Purchase Plan ( ESPP ) for all eligible employees. All full-time and certain part-time employees who have completed two years of continuous service with us are eligible to participate. The ESPP was implemented through five annual offerings. The first annual offering commenced on January 1, 2000. On February 12, 2004 (effective January 1, 2004), our Board of Directors extended the ESPP for an additional eight annual offerings. Annual offerings commence and terminate on the respective year s first and last calendar day. Under the ESPP, we are authorized to issue up to 2,700,000 shares of our common stock to our employees. Furthermore, under the terms of the ESPP, eligible employees can choose each year to have up to \$25,000 of their annual earnings withheld to purchase our common stock. The purchase price of the stock is 85% of the lower of its beginning or end of the plan year market price.

# Other Elements of Compensation and Perquisites

<u>Medical Insurance</u>. We provide to each Named Executive Officer, the named executive officer s spouse and children such health, dental and optical insurance as we may from time to time make available to our other executives of the same level of employment. This insurance requires an employee co-payment of the insurance premium.

<u>Life and Disability Insurance.</u> We provide each named executive officer such disability and/or life insurance as we in our sole discretion may from time to time make available to our other executive employees of the same level of employment.

<u>Automobile Allowance</u>. We provide some Named Executive Officers with an automobile allowance during the term of the his employment with us as we in our sole discretion may from time to time make available to our other executive employees of the same level of employment. In lieu of an automobile allowance, we lease an automobile for Thomas A. Cook.

<u>Sporting Event Tickets.</u> We obtain season tickets for several Philadelphia sports teams. Although these tickets are intended to be used for entertaining clients, unused tickets are made available to employees, including the Named Executive Officers, for personal use.

#### **Compensation Risks**

We believe that risks arising from our compensation policies and practices for our employees are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company. In addition, the Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee believes that the mix and design of the elements of executive compensation do not encourage management to assume excessive risks. The Company has structured its compensation program so that certain employees are incentivized primarily on their ability to achieve revenue and profit objectives of the customer accounts under their supervision and generate new business. Additionally, to a lesser extent, incentive compensation is earned on the

achievement of certain non-financial objectives such as recruiting and developing future management personnel, reviewing subordinate employees, maintaining good client relations and compliance with company operational reporting requirements. The Company believes that elements of this incentive policy may be subject to abuse. Specifically, the Company recognizes that incentivizing employees for new business generation could result in employees entering into agreements without conducting proper due diligences, including

13

#### **Table of Contents**

with respect to the creditworthiness of the other party. Similarly, employees may be tempted to rush to hire employees prior to their quarterly review in order to meet their recruitment goals. The Company also recognizes that managers may be tempted to give better performance reviews of their subordinates in order to boost the appearance of their own performance. Also, the Company recognizes that in preparing budgets upon which an employee will be reviewed, an employee may seek to be conservative in their estimates in order to more easily achieve his or her performance targets. The Company has carefully designed its compensation policies and practices to diminish the potential abuses inherent in such programs so as to avoid unnecessary risks to the Company and its stockholders.

#### **Summary Compensation Table**

The following table sets forth certain information regarding compensation paid or accrued during the Company s prior two fiscal years to the Company s Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and the three highest paid executive officers whose total salary and bonus exceeded \$100,000 in 2009 (the Named Executive Officers).