

SYMANTEC CORP
Form 10-Q
August 04, 2010

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
Form 10-Q**

(Mark One)

☒ **QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the Quarterly Period Ended July 2, 2010

or

☐ **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the Transition Period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 000-17781

Symantec Corporation

(Exact name of the registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

*(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)*

77-0181864

*(I.R.S. employer
Identification no.)*

**350 Ellis Street,
Mountain View, California**

(Address of principal executive offices)

94043

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code:

(650) 527-8000

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ☐ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer ☐ Accelerated filer ☐ Non-accelerated filer ☐ Smaller reporting company ☐
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).
Yes ☐ No ☒

Shares of Symantec common stock, \$0.01 par value per share, outstanding as of July 30, 2010: 789,341,729 shares.

SYMANTEC CORPORATION
FORM 10-Q
Quarterly Period Ended July 2, 2010
TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
 <u>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	
<u>Item 1. Financial Statements</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of July 2, 2010 and April 2, 2010</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for the three months ended July 2, 2010 and July 3, 2009</u>	4
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three months ended July 2, 2010 and July 3, 2009</u>	5
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	6
<u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	18
<u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	30
<u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u>	30
 <u>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</u>	
<u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u>	30
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	30
<u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	31
<u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>	32
<u>Signatures</u>	34
<u>EX-2.01</u>	
<u>EX-10.01</u>	
<u>EX-10.02</u>	
<u>EX-10.03</u>	
<u>EX-10.04</u>	
<u>EX-31.01</u>	
<u>EX-31.02</u>	
<u>EX-32.01</u>	
<u>EX-32.2</u>	
<u>EX-101 INSTANCE DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 SCHEMA DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 CALCULATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 LABELS LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 PRESENTATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 DEFINITION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	

Table of Contents**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements**

SYMANTEC CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	July 2, 2010	April 2, 2010 *
	(Unaudited)	
	(In millions)	
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 2,726	\$ 3,029
Short-term investments	13	15
Trade accounts receivable, net	573	856
Inventories	22	25
Deferred income taxes	172	176
Other current assets	249	250
Total current assets	3,755	4,351
Property and equipment, net	935	949
Intangible assets, net	1,172	1,179
Goodwill	4,860	4,605
Investment in joint venture	51	58
Other long-term assets	93	90
Total assets	\$ 10,866	\$ 11,232
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 213	\$ 214
Accrued compensation and benefits	284	349
Deferred revenue	2,650	2,835
Convertible senior notes	1,044	
Income taxes payable	46	35
Other current liabilities	293	338
Total current liabilities	4,530	3,771
Long-term convertible senior notes	853	1,871
Long-term deferred revenue	348	371
Long-term deferred tax liabilities	186	195
Long-term income taxes payable	358	426
Other long-term obligations	53	50
Total liabilities	6,328	6,684
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders' equity:		
Common stock	8	8
Additional paid-in capital	8,813	8,990

Accumulated other comprehensive income	165	159
Accumulated deficit	(4,448)	(4,609)
Total stockholders' equity	4,538	4,548
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 10,866	\$ 11,232

* Derived from
audited financial
statements.

The accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents

SYMANTEC CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

	Three Months Ended	
	July 2, 2010	July 3, 2009 *
	(Unaudited)	
	(In millions, except per share data)	
Net revenue:		
Content, subscription, and maintenance	\$ 1,248	\$ 1,209
License	185	223
Total net revenue	1,433	1,432
Cost of revenue:		
Content, subscription, and maintenance	217	209
License	3	5
Amortization of acquired product rights	45	98
Total cost of revenue	265	312
Gross profit	1,168	1,120
Operating expenses:		
Sales and marketing	573	559
Research and development	208	221
General and administrative	92	89
Amortization of other purchased intangible assets	61	62
Restructuring and transformation	40	34
Loss and impairment of assets held for sale		3
Total operating expenses	974	968
Operating income	194	152
Interest income	2	2
Interest expense	(33)	(32)
Other income, net	1	6
Income before income taxes and loss from joint venture	164	128
(Benefit) provision for income taxes	(4)	42
Loss from joint venture	7	12
Net income	\$ 161	\$ 74
Net income per share basic	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.09
Net income per share diluted	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.09
Weighted-average shares outstanding basic	796	816
Weighted-average shares outstanding diluted	805	827

* As adjusted for
the impact of

our joint
venture s
adoption of new
authoritative
guidance on
revenue
recognition
during the
fourth quarter of
fiscal 2010 as of
the first quarter
of fiscal 2010.

The accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents

SYMANTEC CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	Three Months Ended	
	July 2, 2010	July 3, 2009 *
	(Unaudited)	
	(In millions)	
<i>OPERATING ACTIVITIES:</i>		
Net income	\$ 161	\$ 74
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	167	221
Amortization of discount on senior convertible notes	27	25
Stock-based compensation expense	35	49
Deferred income taxes	10	11
Income tax benefit from the exercise of stock options	(3)	(1)
Excess income tax benefit from the exercise of stock options	(1)	(3)
Loss from joint venture	7	12
Other	2	6
Net change in assets and liabilities, excluding effects of acquisitions:		
Trade accounts receivable, net	285	229
Inventories	3	4
Accounts payable	4	16
Accrued compensation and benefits	(68)	(90)
Deferred revenue	(177)	(142)
Income taxes payable	(76)	(19)
Other assets		(22)
Other liabilities	(41)	1
Net cash provided by operating activities	335	371
<i>INVESTING ACTIVITIES:</i>		
Purchase of property and equipment	(52)	(54)
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment		2
Cash (payments for) returned from acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(362)	3
Purchase of equity investments	(6)	(16)
Purchases of available-for-sale securities		(2)
Proceeds from sales of available-for-sale securities	2	183
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(418)	116
<i>FINANCING ACTIVITIES:</i>		
Net proceeds from sales of common stock under employee stock benefit plans	10	11
Excess income tax benefit from the exercise of stock options	1	3
Tax payments related to restricted stock issuance	(17)	(18)
Repurchase of common stock	(200)	(123)
Repayment of other long-term liability	(1)	(1)
Net cash used in financing activities	(207)	(128)
Effect of exchange rate fluctuations on cash and cash equivalents	(13)	40

Change in cash and cash equivalents	(303)		399
Beginning cash and cash equivalents	3,029		1,793
Ending cash and cash equivalents	\$ 2,726	\$	2,192

* As adjusted for the impact of our joint venture s adoption of new authoritative guidance on revenue recognition during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2010 as of the first quarter of fiscal 2010.

The accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents

SYMANTEC CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

Note 1. Basis of Presentation

The condensed consolidated financial statements of Symantec Corporation (we, us, our, and the Company refer Symantec Corporation and all of its subsidiaries) as of July 2, 2010 and April 2, 2010, and for the three months ended July 2, 2010 and July 3, 2009, have been prepared in accordance with the instructions on Form 10-Q pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). In accordance with those rules and regulations, we have omitted certain information and notes normally provided in our annual consolidated financial statements. In the opinion of management, the condensed consolidated financial statements contain all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring items, except as otherwise noted, necessary for the fair presentation of our financial position and results of operations for the interim periods. The condensed consolidated financial statements for the three months ended July 3, 2009 have been adjusted for the impact of our joint venture s adoption of new authoritative guidance on revenue recognition during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2010 as of the first quarter of fiscal 2010. These condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended April 2, 2010. The results of operations for the three months ended July 2, 2010 are not necessarily indicative of the results expected for the entire fiscal year. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

Fiscal Year End

We have a 52/53-week fiscal accounting year ending on the Friday closest to March 31. The three months ended July 2, 2010 and July 3, 2009 both consisted of 13 weeks. Our 2011 fiscal year consists of 52 weeks and ends on April 1, 2011.

Significant Accounting Policies

There have been no changes in our significant accounting policies for the three months ended July 2, 2010 as compared to the significant accounting policies described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended April 2, 2010.

Recently Adopted Authoritative Guidance

In the first quarter of fiscal 2011, we adopted new authoritative guidance which changes the model for determining whether an entity should consolidate a variable interest entity (VIE). The standard replaces the quantitative-based risks and rewards calculation for determining which enterprise has a controlling financial interest in a VIE with an approach focused on identifying which enterprise has the power to direct the activities of a VIE and the obligation to absorb losses of the entity or the right to receive the entity s residual returns. The adoption of this guidance did not have an impact on our consolidated financial statements for the three months ended July 2, 2010.

Note 2. Fair Value Measurements

We measure assets and liabilities at fair value based on an expected exit price as defined by the authoritative guidance on fair value measurements, which represents the amount that would be received on the sale of an asset or paid to transfer a liability, as the case may be, in an orderly transaction between market participants. As such, fair value may be based on assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability. The authoritative guidance on fair value measurements establishes a consistent framework for measuring fair value on either a recurring or nonrecurring basis whereby inputs, used in valuation techniques, are assigned a hierarchical level. The following are the hierarchical levels of inputs to measure fair value:

Level 1: Observable inputs that reflect quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets.

Level 2: Observable inputs that reflect quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in markets that are not active; quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets; inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the assets or liabilities; or inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means.

Level 3: Unobservable inputs reflecting our own assumptions incorporated in valuation techniques used to determine fair value. These assumptions are required to be consistent with market participant assumptions that are reasonably available.

Table of Contents

All of our financial instruments are measured and recorded at fair value. For certain financial instruments, including cash and cash equivalents, accounts payable and other current liabilities, the carrying value approximates the fair value due to the relative short maturity of these instruments. For our other financial instruments and/or financial assets, specifically short-term investments, the fair value is determined using different assumptions as outlined above. Our equity investments (\$28 million as of July 2, 2010) are carried at cost and measured at fair value when indicators of potential impairment exist. Our convertible senior notes and credit facility are carried at cost and fair value measurements are made on a nonrecurring basis.

Assets Measured and Recorded at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

The following table summarizes our assets that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis, by level, within the fair value hierarchy:

	As of July 2, 2010				As of April 2, 2010			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
	(In millions)							
Cash equivalents:								
Money market funds	\$ 1,856	\$	\$	\$ 1,856	\$ 2,046	\$	\$	\$ 2,046
Bank securities and deposits		199		199		216		216
Government securities		23		23		116		116
Total	\$ 1,856	\$ 222	\$	\$ 2,078	\$ 2,046	\$ 332	\$	\$ 2,378

Level 1 available-for-sale securities are based on quoted market prices of the identical underlying security. Level 2 available-for-sale securities are priced using quoted market prices for similar instruments and nonbinding market prices that are corroborated by observable market data.

Note 3. Acquisitions**PGP Acquisition**

On June 4, 2010, we completed the acquisition of PGP Corporation (PGP), a nonpublic provider of email and data encryption software. In exchange for all of the voting equity interests of PGP, we paid a total purchase price of \$306 million, excluding cash acquired. The results of operations of PGP are included since the date of acquisition as part of the Security and Compliance segment. Supplemental pro forma information for PGP was not material to our financial results and was therefore not included. For the three months ended July 2, 2010, we recorded acquisition-related transaction costs of \$3 million, which were included in general and administrative expense.

The following table presents the purchase price allocation included in our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet (in millions):

Net tangible assets ⁽¹⁾	\$ 7
Intangible assets ⁽²⁾	74
Goodwill ⁽³⁾	225
Total purchase price	\$ 306

- (1) Net tangible assets included deferred revenue which was adjusted down

from \$55 million to \$9 million representing our estimate of the fair value of the contractual obligation assumed for support services.

- (2) Intangible assets included customer relationships of \$29 million, developed technology of \$39 million, and definite-lived tradenames of \$3 million, which have weighted-average estimated useful lives of 8.0 years, 5.0 years and 2.0 years, respectively. These intangible assets are amortized over their estimated useful lives of two to eight years. These intangible assets also included in-process research and development (IPR&D) of \$3 million, which is classified as an indefinite-lived intangible asset until the project is completed or abandoned.

- (3) Goodwill is not tax deductible. The amount

resulted primarily
from our
expectation of
synergies from the
integration of
PGP product
offerings with our
product offerings.

Table of Contents***GuardianEdge Acquisition***

On June 3, 2010, we completed the acquisition of a GuardianEdge Technologies, Inc. (GuardianEdge), a nonpublic provider of email and data encryption software. In exchange for all the voting equity interests, we paid a total purchase price of \$73 million, excluding cash acquired. This includes \$1 million in assumed equity awards at fair value. The results of operations of GuardianEdge are included since the date of acquisition as part of the Security and Compliance segment. Supplemental pro forma information for GuardianEdge was not material to our financial results and was therefore not included. For the three months ended July 2, 2010, we recorded acquisition-related transaction costs of \$1 million, which were included in general and administrative expense.

The following table presents the purchase price allocation included in our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet (in millions):

Net tangible assets ⁽¹⁾	\$ 3
Intangible assets ⁽²⁾	30
Goodwill ⁽³⁾	40
 Total purchase price	 \$ 73

(1) Net tangible assets included deferred revenue which was adjusted down from \$17 million to \$2 million representing our estimate of the fair value of the contractual obligation assumed for support services.

(2) Intangible assets included customer relationships of \$19 million and developed technology of \$11 million, which have weighted-average estimated useful lives of 9.0 years and 5.0 years, respectively. These intangible assets are amortized over

their estimated
useful lives of
five to nine years.

- (3) Goodwill is not
tax deductible.
The amount
resulted primarily
from our
expectation of
synergies from the
integration of
GuardianEdge
product offerings
with our product
offerings.

Note 4. Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Goodwill

Goodwill is allocated by reportable segment as follows:

	Consumer	Security and Compliance	Storage and Server Management (In millions)	Services	Total
Balance as of April 2, 2010	\$ 356	\$ 1,582	\$ 2,648	\$ 19	\$ 4,605
Goodwill acquired through business combinations ⁽¹⁾		265			265
Goodwill adjustments ⁽²⁾	(10)				(10)
Balance as of July 2, 2010	\$ 346	\$ 1,847	\$ 2,648	\$ 19	\$ 4,860

- (1) See Note 3 for
acquisitions.

- (2) Reflects
adjustments
made to
goodwill as a
result of foreign
currency
exchange rate
fluctuations.

We apply a fair value based impairment test to the carrying value of goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets on an annual basis in the fourth quarter of each fiscal year or earlier if indicators of impairment exist. As of July 2, 2010, no indicators of impairment were identified.

Table of Contents***Intangible assets, net***

	As of July 2, 2010			Weighted-Average
	Gross Carrying	Accumulated	Net Carrying	Remaining Useful Life
	Amount	Amortization	Amount	
	(\$ in millions)			
Customer relationships	\$ 1,885	\$ (1,030)	\$ 855	4 years
Developed technology	1,683	(1,500)	183	2 years
Definite-lived tradenames	131	(70)	61	5 years
Patents	75	(56)	19	3 years
Indefinite-lived tradenames	51		51	Indefinite
Indefinite-lived IPR&D	3		3	Indefinite
Total	\$ 3,828	\$ (2,656)	\$ 1,172	4 years

	As of April 2, 2010			Weighted-Average
	Gross Carrying	Accumulated	Net Carrying	Remaining Useful Life
	Amount	Amortization	Amount	
	(\$ in millions)			
Customer relationships	\$ 1,839	\$ (973)	\$ 866	4 years
Developed technology	1,635	(1,458)	177	1 year
Definite-lived tradenames	128	(66)	62	5 years
Patents	75	(54)	21	3 years
Indefinite-lived tradenames	53		53	Indefinite
Total	\$ 3,730	\$ (2,551)	\$ 1,179	3 years

During the three months ended July 2, 2010 and July 3, 2009, total amortization expense for intangible assets was \$106 million and \$160 million, respectively.

Total future amortization expense for intangible assets that have definite lives, based on our existing intangible assets and their current estimated useful lives as of July 2, 2010, is estimated as follows (*in millions*):

Remainder of fiscal 2011	\$ 247
2012	311
2013	278
2014	134
2015	80
Thereafter	68
Total	\$ 1,118

Table of Contents**Note 5. Supplemental Financial Information*****Property and Equipment***

	As of	
	July 2, 2010	April 2, 2010
	(In millions)	
<i>Property and equipment, net:</i>		
Computer hardware and software	\$ 1,273	\$ 1,237
Office furniture and equipment	186	185
Buildings	440	440
Leasehold improvements	236	245
	2,135	2,107
Less: accumulated depreciation and amortization	(1,345)	(1,299)
	790	808
Construction in progress	74	70
Land	71	71
Property and equipment, net:	\$ 935	\$ 949

Depreciation expense was \$59 million and \$60 million for the three months ended July 2, 2010 and July 3, 2009, respectively.

Comprehensive Income

The components of comprehensive income, net of tax, are as follows:

	Three Months Ended	
	July 2, 2010	July 3, 2009
	(In millions)	
Net income	\$ 161	\$ 74
Foreign currency translation adjustments:		
Translation adjustments arising during the period, net	6	5
Unrealized gain on available-for-sale securities		3
Other comprehensive income	6	8
Comprehensive income	\$ 167	\$ 82

Note 6. Restructuring

Our restructuring costs and liabilities consist of severance, benefits, facilities and other costs. Severance and benefits generally include severance, outplacement services, health insurance coverage, effects of foreign currency exchange, and legal costs. Facilities costs generally include rent expense, less expected sublease income, and lease termination costs. Also included in Restructuring in our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income are transition and transformation fees, consulting services, and other costs related to the outsourcing of back office functions. Restructuring expenses are included in the Other reporting segment.

Charges for restructuring costs were \$40 million and \$34 million for the three months ended July 2, 2010 and July 3, 2009, respectively. These amounts include transition, transformation, consulting and other related costs of

\$5 million and \$11 million for the three months ended July 2, 2010 and July 3, 2009, respectively. Transition and transformation related activities are expected to be substantially completed in the second half of fiscal 2011. Total remaining costs for transition and transformation activities are estimated to range from approximately \$10 million to \$15 million.

Table of Contents

Restructuring Plans

The following details restructuring plans that management has committed to and are not substantially completed:

2011 Restructuring Plan (2011 Plan)

In the first quarter of fiscal 2011, management approved and initiated the following restructuring events:

Expansion of Consulting partner sales and delivery capabilities. This action was initiated to expand our partner eco-system to better leverage their customer reach and operational scale, which will result in a headcount reduction within our consulting services organization. It is intended for Symantec customers to have greater choice in their providers for technology services. These actions are expected to be substantially completed in the second half of fiscal 2011 and the total remaining costs for severance and benefits are estimated to range from \$40 million to \$50 million.

2010 Restructuring Plan (2010 Plan)

In the fourth quarter of fiscal 2010, management approved and initiated the following restructuring events:

Reduce operating costs through a workforce realignment. This action was initiated to more appropriately allocate resources to the Company's key strategic initiatives. Charges related to this action are for severance and benefits. These actions are expected to be substantially completed in the second half of fiscal 2011. Total remaining costs for severance and benefits are estimated to range from \$40 million to \$60 million.

Reduce operating costs through a facilities consolidation. This action was initiated to streamline our operations and deliver better and more efficient support to our customers and employees. Charges related to this action are for consolidating certain facilities in North America and Europe. These actions are expected to be substantially completed in the second half of fiscal 2011. Total remaining costs for facilities are estimated to range from \$25 million to \$35 million.

2008 Restructuring Plan (2008 Plan)

In the third quarter of fiscal 2008, management approved and initiated the following restructuring events:

Reduce operating costs through a worldwide headcount reduction. This action was initiated in the third quarter of fiscal 2008 and was substantially completed in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008. Charges related to this action are for severance and benefits. Total remaining headcount reduction costs are not expected to be significant.

Reduce operating costs, implement management structure changes, optimize the business structure, and discontinue certain products. Charges related to these actions are for severance and benefits. These actions were initiated in the third quarter of fiscal 2008 and are expected to be substantially completed in the second half of fiscal 2011. Total remaining costs for the severance and benefits are estimated to be up to \$5 million.

Outsource certain back office functions worldwide. Charges related to these actions are primarily for severance and benefits. These actions were initiated in the second quarter of fiscal 2009 and are expected to be substantially completed in the second half of fiscal 2011. Total remaining costs for severance and benefits are expected to range from \$5 million to \$10 million.

Acquisition-related Plans

As a result of business acquisitions, management may deem certain job functions to be redundant and facilities to be in excess either at the time of acquisition or for a period of time after the acquisition in conjunction with our integration efforts. As of July 2, 2010, acquisition-related restructuring liabilities, primarily related to excess facility obligations at several locations around the world, are expected to be paid over the respective lease terms, the longest of which extends through fiscal 2018.

Table of Contents***Restructuring Summary***

	Restructuring Liability Costs,			Cumulative	
	April 2, 2010	Net of Adjustments ⁽¹⁾	Cash Payments (In millions)	July 2, 2010	Incur- red to Date
2011 Restructuring Plan:					
Severance	\$	\$ 4	\$ (3)	\$ 1	\$ 4
2010 Restructuring Plan:					
Severance	20	17	(28)	9	40
Facilities		12	(1)	11	11
2008 Restructuring Plan:					
Severance	3		(2)	1	96
Acquisition-related Restructuring Plans:					
Severance	1	2		3	4
Facilities	12		(4)	8	27
Total	\$ 36	\$ 35	\$ (38)	\$ 33	
Transition, transformation and other costs		5			54
Total Restructuring Charges		\$ 40			
Balance Sheet:					
Other current liabilities	\$ 28			\$ 20	
Other long-term liabilities	8			13	
	\$ 36			\$ 33	

⁽¹⁾ Total net adjustments or reversals were not material for the three months ended July 2, 2010.

Note 7. Commitments and Contingencies***Indemnification***

As permitted under Delaware law, we have agreements whereby we agree to indemnify our officers and directors for certain events or occurrences while the officer or director is, or was, serving at our request in such capacity. The maximum potential amount of future payments we could be required to make under these indemnification agreements is not limited; however, we have directors' and officers' insurance coverage that reduces our exposure and may enable us to recover a portion of any future amounts paid. We believe the estimated fair value of these indemnification agreements in excess of applicable insurance coverage is minimal.

We provide limited product warranties, and the majority of our software license agreements contain provisions that indemnify licensees of our software from damages and costs resulting from claims alleging that our software infringes the intellectual property rights of a third party. Historically, payments made under these provisions have been immaterial. We monitor the conditions that are subject to indemnification to identify if a loss has occurred.

Litigation

For a discussion of our pending tax litigation with the Internal Revenue Service relating to the 2000 and 2001 tax years of Veritas, see Note 11.

On July 7, 2004, a purported class action complaint entitled Paul Kuck, et al. v. Veritas Software Corporation, et al. was filed in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware. The lawsuit alleges violations of federal securities laws in connection with Veritas' announcement on July 6, 2004 that it expected results of operations for the fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2004 to fall below earlier estimates. The complaint generally seeks an unspecified amount of damages. Subsequently, additional purported class action complaints have been filed in Delaware federal court, and, on March 3, 2005, the Court entered an order consolidating these actions and appointing lead plaintiffs and counsel. A consolidated amended complaint (CAC), was filed on May 27, 2005, expanding the class period from April 23, 2004 through July 6, 2004. The CAC also named another officer as a defendant and added allegations that Veritas and the named officers made false or misleading statements in press releases and SEC filings regarding the company's financial results, which allegedly contained revenue recognized from contracts that were unsigned or lacked essential terms. The

Table of Contents

defendants to this matter filed a motion to dismiss the CAC in July 2005; the motion was denied in May 2006. In April 2008, the parties filed a stipulation of settlement. On July 31, 2008, the Court held a final approval hearing and, on August 5, 2008, the Court entered an order approving the settlement. An objector to the fees portion of the settlement has lodged an appeal. In fiscal 2008, we recorded an accrual in the amount of \$21.5 million for this matter and, pursuant to the terms of the settlement, we established a settlement fund of \$21.5 million on May 1, 2008.

We are also involved in a number of other judicial and administrative proceedings that are incidental to our business. Although adverse decisions (or settlements) may occur in one or more of the cases, it is not possible to estimate the possible loss or losses from each of these cases. The final resolution of these lawsuits, individually or in the aggregate, is not expected to have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

Note 8. Stock Repurchases

The following table summarizes our stock repurchases:

	Three Months Ended July 2, 2010 (In millions, except per share data)
Total number of shares repurchased	14
Dollar amount of shares repurchased	\$ 200
Average price paid per share	\$ 14.49
Range of price paid per share	\$ 13.81 to 15.31

We have had stock repurchase programs in the past and have repurchased shares on a quarterly basis since the fourth quarter of fiscal 2004 under new and existing programs. Our most recent program was authorized by our Board of Directors on October 27, 2009 to repurchase up to \$1 billion of our common stock. This program does not have an expiration date, and as of July 2, 2010, \$547 million remained authorized for future repurchases.

Note 9. Segment Information

As of July 2, 2010, our five reportable segments are the same as our operating segments and are as follows:

Consumer. Our Consumer segment focuses on delivering our Internet security, PC tune-up, and backup products to individual users and home offices.

Security and Compliance. Our Security and Compliance segment focuses on providing large, medium, and small-sized businesses with solutions for endpoint security and management, compliance, messaging management, and data loss prevention solutions. These products allow our customers to secure, provision, and remotely access their laptops, PCs, mobile devices, and servers. We also provide our customers with solutions delivered through our Software-as-a-Service (SaaS) security offerings.

Storage and Server Management. Our Storage and Server Management segment focuses on providing large, medium and small-sized businesses with storage and server management, backup, archiving, and data protection solutions across heterogeneous storage and server platforms, as well as solutions delivered through our SaaS offerings.

Services. Our Services segment provides customers with implementation services and solutions designed to assist them in maximizing the value of their Symantec software. Our offerings include consulting, business critical services, education, and managed security services.

Other. Our Other segment is comprised of sunset products and products nearing the end of their life cycle. It also includes general and administrative expenses; amortization of acquired product rights, intangible assets, and other assets; goodwill impairment charges; charges such as stock-based compensation and restructuring; and certain indirect costs that are not charged to the other operating segments. Our provision for income taxes,

loss from joint venture, and non-operating items, such as interest income and interest expense, are also allocated to this segment.

Table of Contents

The accounting policies of the segments are described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended April 2, 2010 and have not changed as of July 2, 2010. There were no intersegment sales for the three months ended July 2, 2010.

Segment information

The following table summarizes our operating segments:

	Consumer	Security and Compliance	Storage and Server Management (\$ in millions)	Services	Other	Total Company
Three months ended July 2, 2010:						
Net revenue	\$ 473	\$ 340	\$ 524	\$ 96	\$	\$ 1,433
Percentage of total net revenue	33%	24%	36%	7%	0%	100%
Operating income (loss)	225	78	240	4	(353)	194
Operating margin of segment	48%	23%	46%	4%	*	
Three months ended July 3, 2009:						
Net revenue	\$ 447	\$ 336	\$ 553	\$ 96	\$	\$ 1,432
Percentage of total net revenue	31%	23%	39%	7%	0%	100%
Operating income (loss)	223	78	261	5	(415)	152
Operating margin of segment	50%	23%	47%	5%	*	

* Percentage not meaningful

Note 10. Stock-based Compensation

The following table summarizes the total stock-based compensation expense recognized in our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income:

	Three Months Ended	
	July 2, 2010	July 3, 2009
	(In millions, except per share data)	
Cost of revenue Content, subscription, and maintenance	\$ 3	\$ 4
Cost of revenue License	1	1
Sales and marketing	14	18
Research and development	10	17
General and administrative	7	9
Total stock-based compensation expense	35	49
Tax benefit associated with stock-based compensation expense	(10)	(13)

Edgar Filing: SYMANTEC CORP - Form 10-Q

Net stock-based compensation expense	\$	25	\$	36
Net stock-based compensation expense per share basic	\$	0.03	\$	0.04
Net stock-based compensation expense per share diluted	\$	0.03	\$	0.04

14

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes additional information pertaining to our stock-based compensation:

	Three Months Ended	
	July 2, 2010	July 3, 2009
	(\$ in millions, except per grant data)	
<i>Restricted stock units (RSUs)</i>		
Weighted-average fair value per grant	\$ 14.52	\$ 15.38
Fair value of RSUs granted	118	145
Total fair value of RSUs vested ⁽¹⁾	63	63
Total unrecognized compensation expense	197	132
Weighted-average remaining vesting period	3 years	3 years
<i>Stock options</i>		
Weighted-average fair value per grant	\$ 3.99	\$ 5.16
Total intrinsic value of stock options exercised ⁽¹⁾	7	15
Total unrecognized compensation expense	45	80
Weighted-average remaining vesting period	3 years	2 years

(1) Includes awards assumed in business combinations

Note 11. Income Taxes

The effective tax rate was approximately (2) % and 33% for the three months ended July 2, 2010 and July 3, 2009, respectively.

The tax expense for the three months ended July 2, 2010 was significantly reduced by the following benefits recognized in the first quarter of fiscal 2011: (1) \$38.5 million additional tax benefit arising from the *Veritas v. Commissioner* Tax Court decision (see further discussion below), and (2) \$10.5 million tax benefit from current quarter discrete events primarily related to tax settlements and lapses of statutes of limitations. The tax expense for the three months ended July 3, 2009 included a \$7 million tax expense related to the U.S. tax treatment of certain stock based compensation under *Xilinx v. Commissioner* (see further discussion below).

The provision for both three-month periods ended July 2, 2010 and July 3, 2009 otherwise reflects a forecast tax rate of 27%. The forecast tax rates for both periods presented reflect the benefits of lower-taxed foreign earnings and losses from our joint venture with Huawei (joint venture), domestic manufacturing incentives, and research and development credits (the U.S. federal R&D tax credit expired on December 31, 2009), partially offset by state income taxes.

On May 27, 2009, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit overturned a 2005 U.S. Tax Court ruling in *Xilinx v. Commissioner*, holding that stock-based compensation related R&D must be shared by the participants of a R&D cost sharing arrangement. The Ninth Circuit held that related parties to such an arrangement must share stock option costs, notwithstanding the U.S. Tax Court's finding that unrelated parties in such an arrangement would not share such costs. Symantec has a similar R&D cost sharing arrangement in place. The Ninth Circuit's reversal of the U.S. Tax Court's decision changed our estimate of stock option related tax benefits previously recognized under the authoritative guidance on income taxes. As a result of the Ninth Circuit's ruling, we increased our liability for unrecognized tax benefits, recording a tax expense of approximately \$7 million and a reduction of additional paid-in capital of approximately \$30 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2010. On January 13, 2010, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals withdrew its issued opinion. On March 22, 2010, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals issued a revised decision affirming the decision of the Tax Court. The Ninth Circuit's decision agreed with the Tax Court's finding that related companies are not required to share such costs. As a result of the Ninth Circuit's revised ruling, we released the liability established in our first quarter of fiscal 2010, which resulted in a \$7 million tax benefit and increase of additional paid-in capital of approximately \$30 million in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2010. For fiscal 2010, there was

no net income tax expense impact.

On March 29, 2006, we received a Notice of Deficiency from the IRS claiming that we owe \$867 million of additional taxes, excluding interest and penalties, for the 2000 and 2001 tax years based on an audit of Veritas. On June 26, 2006, we filed a petition with the U.S. Tax Court protesting the IRS claim for such additional taxes. In the fourth quarter of fiscal 2007, we agreed to pay \$7 million out of \$35 million originally assessed by the IRS in connection with several of the lesser issues covered in the assessment. The IRS agreed to waive the assessment of penalties. During July 2008, we completed the trial phase of the Tax Court case, which dealt

Table of Contents

with the remaining issue covered in the assessment. At trial, the IRS changed its position with respect to this remaining issue, which decreased the remaining amount at issue from \$832 million to \$545 million, excluding interest. We filed our post-trial briefs in October 2008 and rebuttal briefs in November 2008 with the U.S. Tax Court.

On December 10, 2009, the U.S. Tax Court issued its opinion, finding that our transfer pricing methodology, with appropriate adjustments, was the best method for assessing the value of the transaction at issue between Veritas and its offshore subsidiary. The Tax Court judge provided guidance as to how adjustments would be made to correct the application of the method used by Veritas. We remeasured and decreased our liability for unrecognized tax benefits accordingly, resulting in a \$78.5 million tax benefit in the third quarter in fiscal 2010. The Tax Court ruling is subject to appeal. In June 2010, we reached an agreement with the IRS concerning the amount of the adjustment related to the U.S. Tax Court decision. As a result of the agreement, we further reduced our liability for unrecognized tax benefits, resulting in an additional \$38.5 million tax benefit in the first quarter in fiscal 2011.

In July 2008, we reached an agreement with the IRS concerning our eligibility to claim a lower tax rate on a distribution made from a Veritas foreign subsidiary prior to the July 2005 acquisition. The distribution was intended to be made pursuant to the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004, and therefore eligible for a 5.25% effective U.S. federal rate of tax, in lieu of the 35% statutory rate. The final impact of this agreement is not yet known since this relates to the taxability of earnings that are otherwise the subject of transfer pricing matters at issue in the IRS examination of Veritas tax years 2002-2005 (see discussion below). To the extent that we owe taxes as a result of these transfer pricing matters in years prior to the distribution, we anticipate that the incremental tax due from this negotiated agreement will decrease. We currently estimate that the most probable outcome from this negotiated agreement will be that we will owe \$13 million or less, for which an accrual has already been made.

On December 2, 2009, we received a Revenue Agent's Report from the IRS for the Veritas 2002 through 2005 tax years assessing additional taxes due. We agree with \$30 million of the tax assessment, excluding interest, but will contest the other \$80 million of tax assessed and all penalties. The unagreed issues concern transfer pricing matters comparable to the one that was resolved in our favor in the *Veritas v. Commissioner* Tax Court decision. On January 15, 2010, we filed a protest with the IRS in connection with the \$80 million of tax assessed and currently await a response from the IRS.

We continue to monitor the progress of ongoing tax controversies and the impact, if any, of the expected tolling of the statute of limitations in various taxing jurisdictions.

We made a payment of \$130 million to the IRS in May 2006 to address the Veritas matters described above for our 2000-2005 tax years.

Note 12. Earnings per Share

The components of earnings per share are as follows:

	Three Months Ended	
	July 2, 2010	July 3, 2009
	(In millions, except per share data)	
Net income per share basic:		
Net income	\$ 161	\$ 74
Net income per share basic	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.09
Net income per share diluted:		
Net income	\$ 161	\$ 74
Net income per share diluted	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.09

Edgar Filing: SYMANTEC CORP - Form 10-Q

Weighted average outstanding common shares basic	796	816
Shares issuable from assumed exercise of options	5	8
Dilutive impact of restricted stock and restricted stock units	4	3
Total weighted-average shares outstanding diluted	805	827

Anti-dilutive weighted-average stock options	54	56
--	----	----

The effect of the warrants issued and options purchased in connection with the convertible senior notes were excluded from earnings per share for the reasons discussed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended April 2, 2010.

Table of Contents

Note 13. Subsequent Event

On May 19, 2010, we signed a definitive agreement to acquire certain assets of VeriSign, Inc. (VeriSign), a publicly-held US-based provider of internet authentication and domain naming services. The acquired assets relate to the authentication business of VeriSign. As part of the agreement, we will also acquire VeriSign 's 54% interest in VeriSign Japan KK. We anticipate a purchase price of approximately \$1.28 billion to be paid in cash related to this acquisition, which is subject to regulatory approvals and other closing conditions. We expect the acquisition to close during the second quarter of our fiscal 2011.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**
Forward-Looking Statements and Factors That May Affect Future Results

The discussion below contains forward-looking statements, which are subject to safe harbors under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Act, and the Exchange Act. Forward-looking statements include references to our ability to utilize our deferred tax assets, as well as statements including words such as expects, plans, anticipates, believes, estimates, predicts, projects, and similar expressions. In addition, statements that refer to projections of our future financial performance, anticipated growth and trends in our businesses and in our industries, the anticipated impacts of acquisitions, and other characterizations of future events or circumstances are forward-looking statements. These statements are only predictions, based on our current expectations about future events and may not prove to be accurate. We do not undertake any obligation to update these forward-looking statements to reflect events occurring or circumstances arising after the date of this report. These forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties, and our actual results, performance, or achievements could differ materially from those expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements on the basis of several factors, including those that we discuss in Risk Factors, set forth in Part I, Item 1A, of our annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended April 2, 2010. We encourage you to read that section carefully.

Fiscal Calendar

We have a 52/53-week fiscal accounting year ending on the Friday closest to March 31. The three months ended July 2, 2010 and July 3, 2009 both consisted of 13 weeks.

OVERVIEW**Our Business**

Symantec is a global provider of security, storage, and systems management solutions that help businesses and consumers secure and manage their information. We provide customers worldwide with software and services that protect, manage and control information risks related to security, data protection, storage, compliance, and systems management. We help our customers manage cost, complexity, and compliance by protecting their IT infrastructure as they seek to maximize value from their IT investments.

Our Operating Segments

Our operating segments are significant strategic business units that offer different products and services, distinguished by customer needs. Since the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008, we have operated in five operating segments: Consumer, Security and Compliance, Storage and Server Management, Services, and Other. For further descriptions of our operating segments, see Note 9 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in this quarterly report. Our reportable segments are the same as our operating segments.

Financial Results and Trends

Revenue was flat for the three months ended July 2, 2010 as compared to the same period last year. During the end of the quarter sales were negatively impacted by the lengthening of procurement cycles driven by continued cautiousness among IT buyers. In particular, this affected sales of our storage management solutions given that these products are extensively utilized by our large enterprise customers. Offsetting this decline was growth in our Consumer business, driven by our multi-channel strategy. During the first quarter of fiscal 2011, we completed the transition to our internally-developed eCommerce platform for the company's Norton-branded consumer products worldwide, excluding Japan. The fees we had previously paid to Digital River had been recorded as an offset to revenue; however, we incur expenses resulting from our eCommerce platform that appear as a cost of revenue and an operating expense. We continued to experience significantly higher year-over-year OEM placement fee payments resulting from an increase in PC unit shipments on which our products are included.

Fluctuations in the U.S. dollar compared to foreign currencies unfavorably impacted our international revenue by approximately \$23 million for the three months ended July 2, 2010 as compared to the same period last year. We are unable to predict the extent to which revenue in future periods will be impacted by changes in foreign currency exchange rates. If our level of international sales and expenses increase in the future, changes in foreign exchange rates may have a potentially greater impact on our revenue and operating results.

Our net income was \$161 million for the three months ended July 2, 2010 and was positively impacted by a decrease of \$43 million in cost of revenue related to certain acquired product rights from our acquisition of Veritas

becoming fully amortized during the first quarter of fiscal 2010. Net income was also positively impacted by \$49 million of tax benefits primarily resulting from the reversal of accrued liabilities related to the Veritas Software tax assessment for 2000 and 2001.

Table of Contents**Critical Accounting Estimates**

There have been no changes in the matters for which we make critical accounting estimates in the preparation of our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements during the three months ended July 2, 2010 as compared to those disclosed in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended April 2, 2010.

Recently Adopted Authoritative Guidance

Information with respect to Recently Adopted Authoritative Guidance is in Note 1 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in this Form 10-Q, which information is incorporated herein by reference.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**Total Net Revenue**

	July 2, 2010	Three Months Ended July 3, 2009	Change in \$	%
			(\$ in millions)	
Net revenue	\$ 1,433	\$ 1,432	\$ 1	0%

Net revenue was flat for the three months ended July 2, 2010, as compared to the same period last year, driven by the items discussed above under Financial Results and Trends.

The changes in revenue for the three months ended July 2, 2010 are further described in the segment discussions that follow.

Table of Contents*Security and Compliance segment*

	July 2, 2010	Three Months Ended July 3, 2009	Change in \$	%
			(\$ in millions)	
Security and Compliance revenue	\$ 340	\$ 336	\$ 4	1%
Percentage of total net revenue	24%	23%		
Security and Compliance operating income	\$ 78	\$ 78	\$	0%
Percentage of Security and Compliance revenue	23%	23%		

Security and Compliance revenue and operating income was flat for the three months ended July 2, 2010, as compared to the same period last year, as stronger sales in our Symantec Hosted Services and data loss prevention offerings were largely offset by weakness in the small and medium-sized businesses, as well as the items discussed above under Financial Results and Trends.

Storage and Server Management segment

	July 2, 2010	Three Months Ended July 3, 2009	Change in \$	%
			(\$ in millions)	
Storage and Server Management revenue	\$ 524	\$ 553	\$ (29)	(5)%
Percentage of total net revenue	36%	39%		
Storage and Server Management operating income	\$ 240	\$ 261	\$ (21)	(8)%
Percentage of Storage and Server Management revenue	46%	47%		

Storage and Server Management revenue decreased for the three months ended July 2, 2010, as compared to the same period last year, primarily due to the lengthening of procurement cycles driven by continued cautiousness among IT buyers, particularly with respect to our storage management products, as well as the items discussed above under Financial Results and Trends.

Operating income for the Storage and Server Management segment decreased for the three months ended July 2, 2010, as compared to the same period last year, as the decrease in revenue exceeded the decrease in expenses. The decrease in expenses was due to our ongoing focus on cost efficiency.

Services segment

	July 2, 2010	Three Months Ended July 3, 2009	Change in \$	%
			(\$ in millions)	
Services revenue	\$ 96	\$ 96	\$	0%
Percentage of total net revenue	7%	7%		
Services operating income	\$ 4	\$ 5	\$ (1)	(20)%
Percentage of Services revenue	4%	5%		

Services revenue and operating income was consistent for the three months ended July 2, 2010, as compared to the same period last year.

	July 2, 2010	July 3, 2009	Three Months Ended	
			Change in	
			\$	%
			(\$ in millions)	
Amortization of acquired product rights	\$ 45	\$ 98	\$ (53)	(54)%
Percentage of total net revenue	3%	7%		

Operating Expenses

Our operating expenses during the three months ended July 2, 2010 were favorably impacted by the restructuring plans discussed below.

		Three Months Ended		
	July 2, 2010	July 3, 2009	Change in	
			\$	%
	(\$ in millions)			
Sales and marketing expense	\$ 573	\$ 559	\$ 14	3%
Percentage of total net revenue	40%	39%		
Research and development expense	\$ 208	\$ 221	\$ (13)	(6)%
Percentage of total net revenue	15%	15%		
General and administrative expense	\$ 92	\$ 89	\$ 3	3%
Percentage of total net revenue	6%	6%		

Sales and marketing expense increased slightly during the three months ended July 2, 2010, as compared to the same period last year, as a result of increased OEM placement fees and costs associated with the deployment of our new proprietary eCommerce platform.

General and administrative expense increased slightly for the three months ended July 2, 2010, as compared to the same period last year, due to acquisition-related expenses from our fiscal 2011 acquisitions.

Table of Contents**Non-operating Income and Expense**

	July 2, 2010	Three Months Ended July 3, 2009	Change in \$	%
			(\$ in millions)	
Interest income	\$ 2	\$ 2		
Interest expense	(33)	(32)		
Other income, net	1	6		
Total	\$ (30)	\$ (24)	\$ (6)	25%
Percentage of total net revenue	(2)%	(2)%		

Non-operating income and expense was flat during the three months ended July 2, 2010, as compared to the same period last year.

(Benefit) provision for income taxes

	July 2, 2010	Three Months Ended July 3, 2009	Change in \$	%
			(\$ in millions)	
(Benefit) provision for income taxes	\$ (4)	\$ 42	\$ (46)	(110)%
Effective tax rate on earnings	(2)%	33%		

The effective tax rate was approximately (2) % and 33% for the three months ended July 2, 2010 and July 3, 2009, respectively.

The tax expense for the three months ended July 2, 2010 was significantly reduced by the following benefits recognized in the first quarter of fiscal 2011: (1) \$38.5 million additional tax benefit arising from the *Veritas v. Commissioner* Tax Court decision (see further discussion below), and (2) \$10.5 million tax benefit from current quarter discrete events primarily related to tax settlements and lapses of statutes of limitations. The tax expense for the three months ended July 3, 2009 included a \$7 million tax expense related to the U.S. tax treatment of certain stock based compensation under *Xilinx v. Commissioner* (see further discussion below).

The provision for both three-month periods ended July 2, 2010 and July 3, 2009 otherwise reflects a forecast tax rate of 27%. The forecast tax rates for both periods presented reflect the benefits of lower-taxed foreign earnings and losses from our joint venture with Huawei (joint venture), domestic manufacturing incentives, and research and development credits (the U.S. federal R&D tax credit expired on December 31, 2009), partially offset by state income taxes.

On May 27, 2009, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit overturned a 2005 U.S. Tax Court ruling in *Xilinx v. Commissioner*, holding that stock-based compensation related R&D must be shared by the participants of an R&D cost sharing arrangement. The Ninth Circuit held that related parties to such an arrangement must share stock option costs, notwithstanding the U.S. Tax Court's finding that unrelated parties in such an arrangement would not share such costs. Symantec has a similar R&D cost sharing arrangement in place. The Ninth Circuit's reversal of the U.S. Tax Court's decision changed our estimate of stock option related tax benefits previously recognized under the authoritative guidance on income taxes. As a result of the Ninth Circuit's ruling, we increased our liability for unrecognized tax benefits, recording a tax expense of approximately \$7 million and a reduction of additional paid-in capital of approximately \$30 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2010. On January 13, 2010, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals withdrew its issued opinion. On March 22, 2010, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals issued a revised decision affirming the decision of the Tax Court. The Ninth Circuit's decision agreed with the Tax Court's finding that related companies are not required to share such costs. As a result of the Ninth Circuit's revised ruling, we released the liability established in our first quarter of fiscal 2010, which resulted in a \$7 million tax benefit and increase of

additional paid-in capital of approximately \$30 million in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2010. For fiscal 2010, there was no net income tax expense impact.

On March 29, 2006, we received a Notice of Deficiency from the IRS claiming that we owe \$867 million of additional taxes, excluding interest and penalties, for the 2000 and 2001 tax years based on an audit of Veritas. On June 26, 2006, we filed a petition with the U.S. Tax Court protesting the IRS claim for such additional taxes. In the fourth quarter of fiscal 2007, we agreed to pay \$7 million out of \$35 million originally assessed by the IRS in connection with several of the lesser issues covered in the assessment. The IRS agreed to waive the assessment of penalties. During July 2008, we completed the trial phase of the Tax Court case, which dealt

Table of Contents

with the remaining issue covered in the assessment. At trial, the IRS changed its position with respect to this remaining issue, which decreased the remaining amount at issue from \$832 million to \$545 million, excluding interest. We filed our post-trial briefs in October 2008 and rebuttal briefs in November 2008 with the U.S. Tax Court.

On December 10, 2009, the U.S. Tax Court issued its opinion, finding that our transfer pricing methodology, with appropriate adjustments, was the best method for assessing the value of the transaction at issue between Veritas and its offshore subsidiary. The Tax Court judge provided guidance as to how adjustments would be made to correct the application of the method used by Veritas. We remeasured and decreased our liability for unrecognized tax benefits accordingly, resulting in a \$78.5 million tax benefit in the third quarter in fiscal 2010. The Tax Court ruling is subject to appeal. In June 2010, we reached an agreement with the IRS concerning the amount of the adjustment related to the U.S. Tax Court decision. As a result of the agreement, we further reduced our liability for unrecognized tax benefits, resulting in an additional \$38.5 million tax benefit in the first quarter in fiscal 2011.

In July 2008, we reached an agreement with the IRS concerning our eligibility to claim a lower tax rate on a distribution made from a Veritas foreign subsidiary prior to the July 2005 acquisition. The distribution was intended to be made pursuant to the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004, and therefore eligible for a 5.25% effective U.S. federal rate of tax, in lieu of the 35% statutory rate. The final impact of this agreement is not yet known since this relates to the taxability of earnings that are otherwise the subject of transfer pricing matters at issue in the IRS examination of Veritas tax years 2002-2005 (see discussion below). To the extent that we owe taxes as a result of these transfer pricing matters in years prior to the distribution, we anticipate that the incremental tax due from this negotiated agreement will decrease. We currently estimate that the most probable outcome from this negotiated agreement will be that we will owe \$13 million or less, for which an accrual has already been made.

On December 2, 2009, we received a Revenue Agent's Report from the IRS for the Veritas 2002 through 2005 tax years assessing additional taxes due. We agree with \$30 million of the tax assessment, excluding interest, but will contest the other \$80 million of tax assessed and all penalties. The unagreed issues concern transfer pricing matters comparable to the one that was resolved in our favor in the *Veritas v. Commissioner* Tax Court decision. On January 15, 2010, we filed a protest with the IRS in connection with the \$80 million of tax assessed and currently await a response from the IRS.

We continue to monitor the progress of ongoing tax controversies and the impact, if any, of the expected tolling of the statute of limitations in various taxing jurisdictions.

We made a payment of \$130 million to the IRS in May 2006 to address the Veritas matters described above for our 2000-2005 tax years.

Loss from joint venture

	Three Months Ended			
	July 2, 2010	July 3, 2009	Change in	
			\$	%
			(\$ in millions)	
Loss from joint venture	\$ 7	\$ 12	\$ (5)	(42)%
Percentage of total net revenue	0%	1%		

On February 5, 2008, Symantec formed Huawei-Symantec, Inc. (joint venture) with a subsidiary of Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd. (Huawei). The joint venture is domiciled in Hong Kong with principal operations in Chengdu, China. The joint venture develops, manufactures, markets, and supports security and storage appliances to global telecommunications carriers and enterprise customers. We record our proportionate share of the joint venture's net income or loss one quarter in arrears.

For the three months ended July 2, 2010, we recorded a loss of approximately \$7 million related to our share of the joint venture's net loss incurred for the period from January 1, 2010 to March 31, 2010. For the three months ended July 3, 2009, we recorded a loss of approximately \$12 million related to our share of the joint venture's net loss for the period from January 1, 2009 to March 31, 2009.

Table of Contents

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Sources of Cash

We have historically relied on cash flow from operations, borrowings under a credit facility, issuances of convertible notes, and equity securities for our liquidity needs. Key sources of cash include earnings from operations, existing cash and cash equivalents, and our revolving credit facility.

In fiscal 2007, we entered into a five-year \$1.0 billion senior unsecured revolving credit facility that expires in July 2011. In order to be able to draw on the credit facility, we must maintain certain covenants, including a specified ratio of debt to earnings (before interest, taxes, depreciation, amortization and impairment) as well as certain other non-financial covenants. As of July 2, 2010, we were in compliance with all required covenants and there was no outstanding balance on the credit facility.

As of July 2, 2010, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$2.7 billion and short-term investments of \$13 million resulting in a net liquidity position of approximately \$3.7 billion, which is defined as unused availability of the credit facility, cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments.

We believe that our existing cash and investment balances, our borrowing capacity, and cash generated from operations will be sufficient to meet our working capital and capital expenditures requirements for at least the next 12 months.

Uses of Cash

Our principal cash requirements include working capital, capital expenditures, payments of principal and interest on our debt, and payments of taxes. Also, we may, from time to time, engage in the open market purchase of our convertible notes prior to their maturity. In addition, we regularly evaluate our ability to repurchase stock, pay debts and acquire other businesses.

Acquisition-Related. For the three months ended July 2, 2010, we acquired PGP Corporation (PGP) and GuardianEdge Technologies, Inc. (GuardianEdge) for an aggregate payment of \$362 million, net of cash acquired, and invested in a privately-held company for \$6 million. For the three months ended July 3, 2009, we invested in a privately-held company for \$16 million. For the three months ended October 1, 2010, we expect to use approximately \$1.3 billion of our cash balance to acquire the identity and authentication business of VeriSign, Inc.

Convertible Senior Notes. In June 2006, we issued \$1.1 billion principal amount of 0.75% Convertible Senior Notes due June 15, 2011, and \$1.0 billion principal amount of 1.00% Convertible Senior Notes (collectively the Senior Notes) due June 15, 2013, to initial purchasers in a private offering for resale to qualified institutional buyers pursuant to SEC Rule 144A. For the three months ended July 2, 2010 and July 3, 2009, we have not repaid any of this debt other than the related interest costs.

Stock Repurchases. For the three months ended July 2, 2010, we repurchased 14 million shares, or \$200 million, of our common stock. For the three months ended July 3, 2009, we repurchased 8 million shares, or \$123 million, of our common stock. As of July 2, 2010, we had \$547 million remaining under the plan authorized for future repurchases.

Table of Contents**Cash Flows**

The following table summarizes selected items in our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows:

	Three Months Ended	
	July 2, 2010	July 3, 2009
	(In millions)	
Net cash provided by (used in)		
Operating activities	\$ 335	\$ 371
Investing activities	(418)	116
Financing activities	(207)	(128)

Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$335 million for the three months ended July 2, 2010, which resulted from net income of \$161 million adjusted for non-cash items, including depreciation and amortization charges of \$194 million and stock-based compensation expense of \$35 million, as well as increased collections of trade receivables of \$285 million. These amounts were partially offset by decreases in deferred revenue of \$177 million, accrued compensation and other liabilities of \$109 million, and income taxes payable of \$76 million.

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$371 million for the three months ended July 3, 2009, which resulted from net income of \$74 million adjusted for non-cash items, including depreciation and amortization charges of \$246 million, stock-based compensation expense of \$49 million, as well as increased collections of trade receivables of \$229 million. These amounts were partially offset by decreases in deferred revenue of \$142 million and accrued compensation and benefits of \$90 million.

Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities was \$418 million for the three months ended July 2, 2010 and was primarily due to payments for acquisitions, net of cash acquired, of \$362 million and \$52 million paid for capital expenditures.

Net cash provided by investing activities was \$116 million for the three months ended July 3, 2009 and was primarily due to proceeds of \$183 million from the sale of short-term investments, partially offset by \$54 million paid for capital expenditures and \$16 million paid for an equity investment in a privately-held company.

Financing Activities

Net cash used in financing activities was \$207 million for the three months ended July 2, 2010 and was primarily due to repurchases of our common stock of \$200 million.

Net cash used in financing activities was \$128 million for the three months ended July 3, 2009 and was primarily due to repurchases of our common stock of \$123 million.

Contractual Obligations

There have been no significant changes in our contractual obligations during the three months ended July 2, 2010 as compared to the contractual obligations disclosed in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, set forth in Part II, Item 7, of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended April 2, 2010.

Table of Contents

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

There have been no significant changes in our market risk exposures during the three months ended July 2, 2010 as compared to the market risk exposures disclosed in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, set forth in Part II, Item 7A, of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended April 2, 2010.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

(a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The SEC defines the term "disclosure controls and procedures" to mean a company's controls and other procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported, within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by an issuer in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the issuer's management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer have concluded, based on an evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act) by our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this report.

(b) Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the three months ended July 2, 2010 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

(c) Limitations on Effectiveness of Controls

Our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, does not expect that our disclosure controls and procedures or our internal controls will prevent all errors and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within our Company have been detected.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Information with respect to this Item may be found in Note 7 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in this Form 10-Q, which information is incorporated into this Part II, Item 1 by reference.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

A description of the risks associated with our business, financial condition, and results of operations is set forth in Part I, Item 1A, of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended April 2, 2010. There have been no material changes in our risks from such description.

Table of Contents**Item 2. *Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds***

Stock repurchases during the three months ended July 2, 2010 were as follows:

ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

				Total Number of Shares Purchased Under Publicly	Maximum Dollar Value of Shares That May Yet Be
	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share (In millions, except per share data)	Announced Plans or Programs	Purchased Under the Plans or Programs	
April 3, 2010 to April 30, 2010		\$			746
May 1, 2010 to May 28, 2010	2	\$ 14.41	2	\$	721
May 29, 2010 to July 2, 2010	12	\$ 14.51	12	\$	547
Total	14	\$ 14.49	14		

For information regarding our stock repurchase programs, see Note 9 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, which information is incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents**Item 6. Exhibits**

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description	Form	Incorporated by Reference		File Date	Filed with this 10-Q
			File Number	Exhibit		
2.01 **	Acquisition Agreement by and between VeriSign, Inc. and Symantec Corporation, dated May 19, 2010					X
3.01	Symantec Corporation Bylaws, as amended May 4, 2010	8-K	000-17781	3.01	05/04/10	
10.01 *	FY11 Executive Annual Incentive Plan Chief Executive Officer					X
10.02 *	FY11 Executive Annual Incentive Plan Executive Vice President and Group President 90%					X
10.03 *	FY11 Executive Annual Incentive Plan Executive Vice President and Group President					X
10.04 *	FY11 Long Term Incentive Plan					X
31.01	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002					X
31.02	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002					X
32.01	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002					X
32.02	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002					X
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document					X

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description	Form	Incorporated by Reference		File Date	Filed with this 10-Q
			File Number	Exhibit		
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Linkbase Document					X
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document					X
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Labels Linkbase Document					X
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document					X
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document					X

* Indicates a management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

** The exhibits and schedules to this agreement have been omitted pursuant to Item 601(b)(2) of Regulation S-K. We will furnish copies of any of the exhibits and schedules to the SEC upon request.

This exhibit is being furnished rather than filed, and shall not be deemed incorporated by reference into

any filing, in
accordance with
Item 601 of
Regulation S-K.

In accordance
with Rule 406T
of
Regulation S-T,
the information
in these exhibits
is furnished and
deemed not filed
or part of a
registration
statement or
prospectus for
purposes of
sections 11 or 12
of the Securities
Act of 1933, is
deemed not filed
for purposes of
section 18 of the
Exchange Act of
1934, and
otherwise is not
subject to
liability under
these sections.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

SYMANTEC CORPORATION
(Registrant)

By: /s/ Enrique Salem
Enrique Salem
President and Chief Executive Officer

By: /s/ James A. Beer
James A. Beer
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Date: August 4, 2010

Table of Contents

**SYMANTEC CORPORATION
Q1 FY11 Form 10-Q
EXHIBIT INDEX**

35

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description	Form	Incorporated by Reference		File Date	Filed with this 10-Q
			File Number	Exhibit		
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document					X
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Linkbase Document					X
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document					X
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Labels Linkbase Document					X
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document					X
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document					X

* Indicates a management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

** The exhibits and schedules to this agreement have been omitted pursuant to Item 601(b)(2) of Regulation S-K. We will furnish copies of any of the exhibits and schedules to the SEC upon request.

This exhibit is being furnished rather than filed, and shall not be deemed

incorporated by
reference into
any filing, in
accordance with
Item 601 of
Regulation S-K.

In accordance
with Rule 406T
of
Regulation S-T,
the information
in these exhibits
is furnished and
deemed not filed
or part of a
registration
statement or
prospectus for
purposes of
sections 11 or 12
of the Securities
Act of 1933, is
deemed not filed
for purposes of
section 18 of the
Exchange Act of
1934, and
otherwise is not
subject to
liability under
these sections.