

VECTOR GROUP LTD
Form 10-K
February 25, 2011

Table of Contents

**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

Form 10-K

**ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For The Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2010**

VECTOR GROUP LTD.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

*(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation
incorporation or organization)*

1-5759

Commission File Number

65-0949535

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

100 S.E. Second Street, Miami, Florida

(Address of principal executive offices)

33131

(Zip Code)

(305) 579-8000

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class

Name of each exchange on which registered

Common Stock, par value \$.10 per share

New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statement incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. Yes No

The aggregate market value of the common stock held by non-affiliates of Vector Group Ltd. as of June 30, 2010 was approximately \$649 million.

At February 25, 2011, Vector Group Ltd. had 74,997,348 shares of common stock outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE:

Part III (Items 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14) from the definitive Proxy Statement for the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission no later than 120 days after the end of the Registrant's fiscal year covered by this report.

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.
FORM 10-K**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| <u>PART I</u> | |
| <u>Item 1.</u> <u>Business</u> | 1 |
| <u>Item 1A.</u> <u>Risk Factors</u> | 13 |
| <u>Item 1B.</u> <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u> | 25 |
| <u>Item 2.</u> <u>Properties</u> | 25 |
| <u>Item 3.</u> <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 26 |
| <u>Item 4.</u> <u>Reserved</u> | 26 |
| <u>PART II</u> | |
| <u>Item 5.</u> <u>Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities; Executive Officers of the Registrant</u> | 27 |
| <u>Item 6.</u> <u>Selected Financial Data</u> | 30 |
| <u>Item 7.</u> <u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 31 |
| <u>Item 7A.</u> <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 53 |
| <u>Item 8.</u> <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> | 53 |
| <u>Item 9.</u> <u>Changes In and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> | 53 |
| <u>Item 9A.</u> <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 54 |
| <u>Item 9B.</u> <u>Other Information</u> | 54 |
| <u>PART III</u> | |
| <u>Item 10.</u> <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u> | 54 |
| <u>Item 11.</u> <u>Executive Compensation</u> | 54 |
| <u>Item 12.</u> <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u> | 54 |
| <u>Item 13.</u> <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u> | 55 |
| <u>Item 14.</u> <u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u> | 55 |
| <u>PART IV</u> | |
| <u>Item 15.</u> <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u> | 55 |
| <u>SIGNATURES</u> | 60 |
| <u>EX-10.17</u> | |
| <u>EX-21</u> | |
| <u>EX-23.1</u> | |
| <u>EX-23.2</u> | |
| <u>EX-23.3</u> | |
| <u>EX-23.4</u> | |
| <u>EX-31.1</u> | |
| <u>EX-31.2</u> | |
| <u>EX-32.1</u> | |
| <u>EX-32.2</u> | |
| <u>EX-99.1</u> | |

EX-99.2

EX-99.3

EX-99.4

EX-101 INSTANCE DOCUMENT

EX-101 SCHEMA DOCUMENT

EX-101 CALCULATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT

EX-101 LABELS LINKBASE DOCUMENT

EX-101 PRESENTATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT

EX-101 DEFINITION LINKBASE DOCUMENT

Table of Contents

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

Overview

Vector Group Ltd., a Delaware corporation, is a holding company and is principally engaged in:

the manufacture and sale of cigarettes in the United States through our Liggett Group LLC (Liggett) and Vector Tobacco Inc. (Vector Tobacco) subsidiaries, and

the real estate business through our New Valley LLC subsidiary, which is seeking to acquire additional operating companies and real estate properties. New Valley owns 50% of Douglas Elliman Realty, LLC, which operates the largest residential brokerage company in the New York metropolitan area.

Financial information relating to our business segments can be found in Note 17 to our consolidated financial statements. Our significant business segments for the year ended December 31, 2010 were Tobacco and Real Estate. The Tobacco segment consists of the manufacture and sale of cigarettes. The Real Estate segment includes the Company's investment in Escena and investments in non-consolidated real estate businesses.

Strategy

Our strategy is to maximize stockholder value by increasing the profitability of our subsidiaries in the following ways:

Liggett and Vector Tobacco

Capitalize upon our tobacco subsidiaries' cost advantage in the U.S. cigarette market due to the favorable treatment that they receive under the Master Settlement Agreement,

Focus marketing and selling efforts on the discount segment, continue to build volume and margin in core discount brands (PYRAMID, GRAND PRIX, LIGGETT SELECT and EVE) and utilize core brand equity to selectively build distribution,

Continue product development to provide the best quality products relative to other discount products in the marketplace,

Increase efficiency by developing and adopting an organizational structure to maximize profit potential,

Selectively expand the portfolio of private and control label partner brands utilizing a pricing strategy that offers long-term list price stability for customers,

Identify, develop and launch relevant new cigarette brands and other tobacco products to the market in the future,

Continue to conduct appropriate research relating to the development of cigarettes that materially reduce risk to smokers, and

Pursue strategic acquisitions of smaller tobacco manufacturers.

New Valley

Continue to grow Douglas Elliman Realty operations by utilizing its strong brand name recognition and pursuing strategic and financial opportunities,

Continue to leverage our expertise as direct investors by actively pursuing real estate investments in the United States and abroad which we believe will generate above-market returns,

Acquire operating companies through mergers, asset purchases, stock acquisitions or other means, and

Invest our excess funds opportunistically in situations that we believe can maximize stockholder value.

Table of Contents

Tobacco Operations

General. Liggett is the operating successor to Liggett & Myers Tobacco Company, which was founded in 1873. In April 2002, we acquired Medallion, a discount cigarette manufacturer selling product in the deep discount category, primarily under the USA brand name. Vector Tobacco merged into Medallion which then changed its name to Vector Tobacco Inc. In this report, certain references to Liggett refer to our tobacco operations, including the business of Liggett and Vector Tobacco, unless otherwise specified.

For the three months ended December 31, 2010, Liggett was the fourth-largest manufacturer of cigarettes in the United States in terms of unit sales. Liggett's manufacturing facilities are located in Mebane, North Carolina where it manufactures most of Vector Tobacco's cigarettes pursuant to a contract manufacturing agreement. At the present time, Liggett and Vector Tobacco have no foreign operations.

Our tobacco subsidiaries manufacture and sell cigarettes in the United States. According to data from Management Science Associates, Inc., Liggett's domestic shipments of approximately 10.7 billion cigarettes during 2010 accounted for 3.5% of the total cigarettes shipped in the United States during such year. Liggett's market share increased 0.8% in 2010 from 2.7% in 2009. Market share in 2008 was 2.5%. Historically, Liggett produced premium cigarettes as well as discount cigarettes (which include among others, control label, private label, branded discount and generic cigarettes). Premium cigarettes are generally marketed under well-recognized brand names at higher retail prices to adult smokers with a strong preference for branded products, whereas discount cigarettes are marketed at lower retail prices to adult smokers who are more cost conscious. In recent years, the discounting of premium cigarettes has become far more significant in the marketplace. This has led to some brands that were traditionally considered premium brands becoming more appropriately categorized as branded discount, following list price reductions. Liggett's EVE brand falls into that category. All of Liggett's unit sales volume in 2010, 2009 and 2008 were in the discount segment, which Liggett's management believes has been the primary growth segment in the industry for more than a decade.

Liggett produces cigarettes in approximately 136 combinations of length, style and packaging. Liggett's current brand portfolio includes:

PYRAMID the industry's first deep discount product with a brand identity relaunched in the second quarter of 2009,

GRAND PRIX re-launched as a national brand in 2005,

LIGGETT SELECT a leading brand in the deep discount category,

EVE a leading brand of 120 millimeter cigarettes in the branded discount category, and

USA and various Partner Brands and private label brands.

In 1999, Liggett introduced LIGGETT SELECT, one of the leading brands in the deep discount category. LIGGETT SELECT, which was the largest seller in Liggett's family of brands in 2007, comprised 30.1% in 2008, 21.5% in 2009 and 13.0% in 2010 of Liggett's unit volume. In September 2005, Liggett repositioned GRAND PRIX to distributors and retailers nationwide. GRAND PRIX was marketed as the lowest price fighter to specifically compete with brands which are priced at the lowest level of the deep discount segment. GRAND PRIX's unit volume was 32.6% in 2008, 27.9% in 2009, and 18.5% in 2010. In April 2009, Liggett repositioned PYRAMID as a box-only brand with a new low price to specifically compete with brands which are priced at the lowest level of the deep discount segment.

PYRAMID is now the largest seller in Liggett's family of brands with 42.6% of Liggett's unit volume in 2010, 14.6% in 2009 and 0.6% in 2008. According to Management Science Associates, Liggett held a share of approximately 11.9% of the overall discount market segment for 2010 compared to 9.2% for 2009 and 2008.

Liggett Vector Brands Inc., which coordinates our tobacco subsidiaries' sales and marketing efforts, along with certain support functions, has an agreement with Circle K Stores, Inc., which operates more than 3,500 convenience stores in the United States under the Circle K and Mac's names, to supply MONTEGO, a deep discount brand, exclusively for the Circle K and Mac's stores. The MONTEGO brand was the first to be offered under Liggett Vector Brands' Partner Brands program which offers customers quality product with long-term price stability.

Table of Contents

Liggett Vector Brands also has an agreement with Sunoco Inc., which operates approximately 700 Sunoco APlus branded convenience stores in the United States, to manufacture SILVER EAGLE. SILVER EAGLE, a deep discount brand, is exclusive to Sunoco and was the second brand to be offered under Liggett Vector Brands Partner Brands program. Liggett also manufactures BRONSON cigarettes as part of a multi-year Partner Brands agreement with QuikTrip, a convenience store chain with more than 500 stores headquartered in Tulsa, Oklahoma.

Under the Master Settlement Agreement reached in November 1998 with 46 states and various territories, the three largest cigarette manufacturers must make settlement payments to the states and territories based on how many cigarettes they sell annually. Liggett, however, is not required to make any payments unless its market share exceeds approximately 1.65% of the U.S. cigarette market. Additionally, Vector Tobacco has no payment obligation unless its market share exceeds approximately 0.28% of the U.S. cigarette market. We believe our tobacco subsidiaries have a sustainable cost advantage over their competitors as a result of the settlement.

Liggett's and Vector Tobacco's payments under the Master Settlement Agreement are based on each respective company's incremental market share above the minimum threshold applicable to each respective company. Thus, if Liggett's total market share is 3.00%, the Master Settlement Agreement payment is based on 1.35%, which is the difference between 3.00% and Liggett's approximate applicable grandfathered share of 1.65%. We anticipate that both Liggett's and Vector Tobacco's exemptions will be fully utilized in the foreseeable future.

The source of industry data in this report is Management Science Associates, Inc., an independent third-party database management organization that collects wholesale shipment data from various cigarette manufacturers and distributors and provides analysis of market share, unit sales volume and premium versus discount mix for individual companies and the industry as a whole. Management Science Associates' information relating to unit sales volume and market share of certain of the smaller, primarily deep discount, cigarette manufacturers is based on estimates developed by Management Science Associates.

Business Strategy. Liggett's business strategy is to capitalize upon its cost advantage in the United States cigarette market due to the favorable treatment our tobacco subsidiaries receive under settlement agreements with the states and the Master Settlement Agreement. Liggett's long-term business strategy is to continue to focus its marketing and selling efforts on the discount segment of the market, to continue to build volume and margin in its core discount brands (PYRAMID, GRAND PRIX, LIGGETT SELECT and EVE) and to utilize its core brand equity to selectively build distribution. Liggett intends to continue its product development to provide the best quality products relative to other discount products in the market place. Liggett will continue to seek to increase efficiency by developing and adapting its organizational structure to maximize profit potential. Liggett intends to expand the portfolio of its private and control label and Partner Brands utilizing a pricing strategy that offers long-term list price stability for customers. In addition, Liggett may bring niche-driven brands to the market in the future.

Sales, Marketing and Distribution. Liggett's products are distributed from a central distribution center in Mebane, North Carolina to 17 public warehouses located throughout the United States. These warehouses serve as local distribution centers for Liggett's customers. Liggett's products are transported from the central distribution center to the public warehouses by third-party trucking companies to meet pre-existing contractual obligations to its customers.

Liggett's customers are primarily tobacco and candy distributors, the military, warehouse club chains, and large grocery, drug and convenience store chains. Liggett offers its customers prompt payment discounts, traditional rebates and promotional incentives. Customers typically pay for purchased goods within two weeks following delivery from Liggett, and approximately 90% of customers pay more rapidly through electronic funds transfer arrangements. No single retail customer exceeded 10% of Liggett's revenues in 2010, 2009 or 2008.

Trademarks. All of the major trademarks used by Liggett are federally registered or are in the process of being registered in the United States and other markets. Trademark registrations typically have a duration of ten years and can be renewed at Liggett's option prior to their expiration date.

Table of Contents

In view of the significance of cigarette brand awareness among consumers, management believes that the protection afforded by these trademarks is material to the conduct of its business. Liggett owns all of its domestic trademarks except for the JADE trademark, which is licensed on a long-term exclusive basis from a third-party for use in connection with cigarettes. These trademarks are pledged as collateral for certain of our senior secured debt.

Manufacturing. Liggett purchases and maintains leaf tobacco inventory to support its cigarette manufacturing requirements. Liggett believes that there is a sufficient supply of tobacco within the worldwide tobacco market to satisfy its current production requirements. Liggett stores its leaf tobacco inventory in warehouses in North Carolina and Virginia. There are several different types of tobacco, including flue-cured leaf, burley leaf, Maryland leaf, oriental leaf, cut stems and reconstituted sheet. Leaf components of American-style cigarettes are generally the flue-cured and burley tobaccos. While premium and discount brands use many of the same tobacco products, input ratios of tobacco products may vary between premium and discount products. Foreign flue-cured and burley tobaccos, some of which are used in the manufacture of Liggett's cigarettes, have historically been 30% to 35% less expensive than comparable domestic tobaccos. However, during the last two years domestic and foreign tobacco prices have begun to equalize. Liggett normally purchases all of its tobacco requirements from domestic and foreign leaf tobacco dealers, much of it under long-term purchase commitments. As of December 31, 2010, the majority of Liggett's commitments were for the purchase of domestic tobacco.

Liggett's cigarette manufacturing facility was designed for the execution of short production runs in a cost-effective manner, which enables Liggett to manufacture and market a wide variety of cigarette brand styles. Liggett produces cigarettes in approximately 136 different brand styles as well as private labels for other companies, typically retail or wholesale distributors who supply supermarkets and convenience stores.

Liggett's facility produced approximately 10.7 billion cigarettes in 2010, but maintains the capacity to produce approximately 18.3 billion cigarettes per year. Vector Tobacco has contracted with Liggett to produce most of its cigarettes at Liggett's manufacturing facility in Mebane.

Research. Expenditures by Liggett for research and development activities were \$1.058 million in 2010, \$933,000 in 2009 and \$988,000 in 2008. Vector Tobacco has been engaged in research relating to reduced risk cigarette products. Expenditures by Vector Tobacco for research and development activities were \$524,000 in 2010, \$1.6 million in 2009 and \$3.0 million in 2008.

Competition. Liggett's competition is now divided into two segments. The first segment is made up of the three largest manufacturers of cigarettes in the United States: Philip Morris USA Inc., Reynolds American Inc. and Lorillard Tobacco Company. These three manufacturers, while primarily premium cigarette based companies, also produce and sell discount cigarettes.

The second segment of competition is comprised of a group of smaller manufacturers and importers, most of which sell deep discount cigarettes. Our largest competitor in this segment is Commonwealth Brands, Inc., which was acquired by Imperial Tobacco in 2007.

Historically, there have been substantial barriers to entry into the cigarette business, including extensive distribution organizations, large capital outlays for sophisticated production equipment, substantial inventory investment, costly promotional spending, regulated advertising and, for premium brands, strong brand loyalty. However, in recent years, a number of smaller manufacturers have been able to overcome these competitive barriers due to excess production capacity in the industry and the cost advantage for certain manufacturers and importers resulting from the Master Settlement Agreement.

Many smaller manufacturers and importers that are not parties to the Master Settlement Agreement have been impacted in recent years by the state statutes enacted pursuant to the Master Settlement Agreement and have begun to see a decrease in volume after years of growth. Liggett's management believes, while these companies still have significant market share through competitive discounting in this segment, they are losing their cost advantage as their payment obligations under these statutes increase.

In the cigarette business, Liggett competes on a dual front. The three major manufacturers compete among themselves for premium brand market share based on advertising and promotional activities, and trade rebates and incentives and compete with Liggett and others for discount market share, on the basis of brand loyalty. These three

Table of Contents

competitors have substantially greater financial resources than Liggett, and most of their brands have greater sales and consumer recognition than Liggett's products. Liggett's discount brands must also compete in the marketplace with the smaller manufacturers and importers' deep discount brands.

According to Management Science Associates' data, the unit sales of Philip Morris, Reynolds American and Lorillard accounted in the aggregate for approximately 84.3% of the domestic cigarette market in 2010. Liggett's domestic shipments of approximately 10.7 billion cigarettes during 2010 accounted for 3.5% of the approximately 304 billion cigarettes shipped in the United States, compared to 8.6 billion cigarettes in 2009 (2.7%) and 8.6 billion cigarettes in 2008 (2.5%).

Industry-wide shipments of cigarettes in the United States have been generally declining for a number of years, with Management Science Associates' data indicating that domestic industry-wide shipments decreased by approximately 3.8% (approximately 12 billion units) in 2010. Liggett's management believes that industry-wide shipments of cigarettes in the United States will generally continue to decline as a result of numerous factors. These factors include health considerations, diminishing social acceptance of smoking, and a wide variety of federal, state and local laws limiting smoking in restaurants, bars and other public places, as well as increases in federal and state excise taxes and settlement-related expenses which have contributed to higher cigarette prices in recent years.

Historically, because of their dominant market share, Philip Morris and RJR Tobacco (which is now part of Reynolds American), the two largest cigarette manufacturers, have been able to determine cigarette prices for the various pricing tiers within the industry. Market pressures have historically caused the other cigarette manufacturers to bring their prices in line with the levels established by these two major manufacturers. Off-list price discounting and similar promotional activity by manufacturers, however, has substantially affected the average price differential at retail, which can be significantly less than the manufacturers' list price gap. Recent discounting by manufacturers has been far greater than historical levels, and the actual price gap between premium and deep-discount cigarettes has changed accordingly. This has led to shifts in price segment performance depending upon the actual price gaps of products at retail.

Philip Morris and Reynolds American dominate the domestic cigarette market with a combined market share of approximately 72% at December 31, 2010. This concentration of United States market share makes it more difficult for Liggett to compete for shelf space in retail outlets and could impact price competition in the market, either of which could have a material adverse effect on its sales volume, operating income and cash flows.

There is a substantial likelihood that other companies will continue to introduce new products that would compete directly with any reduced risk products that Vector Tobacco may develop. Vector Tobacco's competitors generally have substantially greater resources than it, including financial, marketing and personnel resources.

Legislation, Regulation and Litigation

In the United States, tobacco products are subject to substantial and increasing legislation, regulation and taxation, which has a negative effect on revenue and profitability. In June 2009, legislation was passed providing for regulation of the tobacco industry by the United States Food and Drug Administration. See Item 7. Management Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Legislation and Regulation .

The cigarette industry continues to be challenged on numerous fronts. The industry is facing increased pressure from anti-smoking groups and continued smoking and health litigation, including private class action litigation and health care cost recovery actions brought by governmental entities and other third parties, the effects of which, at this time, we are unable to evaluate. As of December 31, 2010, there were approximately 6,900 individual suits, six purported class actions or actions where class certification has been sought and four health care cost recovery actions pending in

the United States in which Liggett was a named defendant. See Item 3. Legal Proceedings and Note 12 to our consolidated financial statements, which contain a description of litigation.

It is possible that our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows could be materially adversely affected by an unfavorable outcome in any smoking-related litigation or as a result of additional federal or state regulation relating to the manufacture, sale, distribution, advertising or labeling of tobacco products.

Table of Contents

Liggett's management believes that it is in compliance in all material respects with the laws regulating cigarette manufacturers.

The Master Settlement Agreement and Other State Settlement Agreements

In March 1996, March 1997 and March 1998, Liggett entered into settlements of tobacco-related litigation with 46 states and territories. The settlements released Liggett from all tobacco-related claims within those states and territories, including claims for health care cost reimbursement and claims concerning sales of cigarettes to minors.

In November 1998, Philip Morris, Brown & Williamson, R.J. Reynolds and Lorillard (the Original Participating Manufacturers or OPMs) and Liggett (together with any other tobacco product manufacturer that becomes a signatory, the Subsequent Participating Manufacturers or SPMs), (the OPMs and SPMs are hereinafter referred to jointly as the Participating Manufacturers) entered into the Master Settlement Agreement with 46 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, Guam, the United States Virgin Islands, American Samoa and the Northern Mariana Islands (collectively, the Settling States) to settle the asserted and unasserted health care cost recovery and certain other claims of those Settling States. The Master Settlement Agreement received final judicial approval in each Settling State.

In the Settling States, the Master Settlement Agreement released Liggett from:

all claims of the Settling States and their respective political subdivisions and other recipients of state health care funds, relating to: (i) past conduct arising out of the use, sale, distribution, manufacture, development, advertising and marketing of tobacco products; (ii) the health effects of, the exposure to, or research, statements or warnings about, tobacco products; and

all monetary claims of the Settling States and their respective subdivisions and other recipients of state health care funds, relating to future conduct arising out of the use of or exposure to, tobacco products that have been manufactured in the ordinary course of business.

The Master Settlement Agreement restricts tobacco product advertising and marketing within the Settling States and otherwise restricts the activities of Participating Manufacturers. Among other things, the Master Settlement Agreement prohibits the targeting of youth in the advertising, promotion or marketing of tobacco products; bans the use of cartoon characters in all tobacco advertising and promotion; limits each Participating Manufacturer to one tobacco brand name sponsorship during any 12-month period; bans all outdoor advertising, with certain limited exceptions; prohibits payments for tobacco product placement in various media; bans gift offers based on the purchase of tobacco products without sufficient proof that the intended recipient is an adult; prohibits Participating Manufacturers from licensing third parties to advertise tobacco brand names in any manner prohibited under the Master Settlement Agreement; and prohibits Participating Manufacturers from using as a tobacco product brand name any nationally recognized non-tobacco brand or trade name or the names of sports teams, entertainment groups or individual celebrities.

The Master Settlement Agreement also requires Participating Manufacturers to affirm corporate principles to comply with the Master Settlement Agreement and to reduce underage usage of tobacco products and imposes restrictions on lobbying activities conducted on behalf of Participating Manufacturers.

Liggett has no payment obligations under the Master Settlement Agreement except to the extent its market share exceeds a market share exemption of approximately 1.65% of total cigarettes sold in the United States. Vector Tobacco has no payment obligations except to the extent its market share exceeds a market share exemption of approximately 0.28% of total cigarettes sold in the United States. For years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008,

Liggett and Vector Tobacco's domestic shipments accounted for approximately 3.5%, 2.7% and 2.5%, respectively, of the total cigarettes sold in the United States. If Liggett's or Vector Tobacco's market share exceeds their respective market share exemption in a given year, then on April 15 of the following year, Liggett and/or Vector Tobacco, as the case may be, must pay on each excess unit an amount equal (on a per-unit basis) to that due from the OPMs for that year. On December 31, 2010, Liggett and Vector Tobacco paid \$96.5 million of their approximately \$140.4 million 2010 MSA payment obligations.

Table of Contents

Under the payment provisions of the Master Settlement Agreement, the Participating Manufacturers are required to pay a base amount of \$9.0 billion in 2011 and each year thereafter (subject to applicable adjustments, offsets and reductions). These annual payments are allocated based on unit volume of domestic cigarette shipments. The payment obligations under the Master Settlement Agreement are the several, and not joint, obligations of each Participating Manufacturer and are not the responsibility of any parent or affiliate of a Participating Manufacturer.

Liggett may have additional payment obligations under the Master Settlement Agreement and its other settlement agreements with the states. See Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation Recent Developments Tobacco Settlement Agreements and Note 12 to our consolidated financial statements.

New Valley LLC

New Valley LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, is engaged in the real estate business and is seeking to acquire additional real estate properties and operating companies. New Valley owns a 50% interest in Douglas Elliman Realty, LLC, which operates the largest residential brokerage company in the New York City metropolitan area. New Valley also holds an investment in a 450-acre approved master planned community in Palm Springs, California (Escena), a preferred equity interest in one townhome residence in Manhattan, New York. New Valley also holds an investment in an entity with a note receivable from, and a minority interest, in a condominium project in Manhattan, New York.

Business Strategy

The business strategy of New Valley is to continue to operate its real estate business, to acquire additional real estate properties and to acquire operating companies through merger, purchase of assets, stock acquisition or other means, or to acquire control of operating companies through one of such means. New Valley may also seek from time to time to dispose of such businesses and properties when favorable market conditions exist. New Valley's cash and investments are available for general corporate purposes, including for acquisition purposes.

Douglas Elliman Realty, LLC

During 2000 and 2001, New Valley acquired for approximately \$1.7 million a 37.2% ownership interest in B&H Associates of NY, which currently conducts business as Prudential Douglas Elliman Real Estate and was formerly known as Prudential Long Island Realty, a residential real estate brokerage company on Long Island, and a minority interest in an affiliated mortgage company, Preferred Empire Mortgage Company. In December 2002, New Valley and the other owners of Prudential Douglas Elliman Real Estate contributed their interests in Prudential Douglas Elliman Real Estate to Douglas Elliman Realty, LLC, formerly known as Montauk Battery Realty, LLC, a newly formed entity. New Valley acquired a 50% interest in Douglas Elliman Realty as a result of an additional investment of approximately \$1.4 million by New Valley and the redemption by Prudential Douglas Elliman Real Estate of various ownership interests. As part of the transaction, Prudential Douglas Elliman Real Estate renewed its franchise agreement with The Prudential Real Estate Affiliates, Inc. for an additional ten-year term. In October 2004, upon receipt of required regulatory approvals, the former owners of Douglas Elliman Realty contributed to Douglas Elliman Realty their interests in the related mortgage company.

In March 2003, Douglas Elliman Realty purchased the New York City-based residential brokerage firm, Douglas Elliman, LLC, formerly known as Insignia Douglas Elliman, and an affiliated property management company, for \$71.25 million. With that acquisition, the combination of Prudential Douglas Elliman Real Estate with Douglas Elliman created the largest residential brokerage company in the New York metropolitan area. Upon closing of the acquisition, Douglas Elliman entered into a ten-year franchise agreement with The Prudential Real Estate Affiliates,

Inc. New Valley invested an additional \$9.5 million in subordinated debt and equity of Douglas Elliman Realty to help fund the acquisition. The balance of the subordinated debt was repaid in 2010. As part of the acquisition, Douglas Elliman Realty acquired Douglas Elliman's affiliate, Residential Management Group LLC, which conducts business as Douglas Elliman Property Management and is the New York metropolitan area's largest manager of rental, co-op and condominium housing.

Table of Contents

We account for our interest in Douglas Elliman Realty under the equity method. We recorded income of \$22.3 million in 2010, \$11.4 million in 2009, and \$11.8 million in 2008 associated with Douglas Elliman Realty. Equity income from Douglas Elliman Realty includes interest earned by New Valley on the subordinated debt, purchase accounting adjustments and management fees.

Douglas Elliman Realty was negatively impacted in recent years by the downturn in the residential real estate market. The residential real estate market is cyclical and is affected by changes in the general economic conditions that are beyond the control of Douglas Elliman Realty. The U.S. residential real estate market, including some of the markets in the New York metropolitan area where Douglas Elliman operates, has experienced a significant downturn due to various factors including downward pressure on housing prices, credit constraints inhibiting new buyers and an exceptionally large inventory of unsold homes at the same time that sales volumes are decreasing. In 2008 and 2009, the New York metropolitan area market was further impacted by the significant downturn in the financial services industry. The depth and length of the current downturn in the real estate industry has proved exceedingly difficult to predict. We cannot predict whether the downturn will worsen or when the market and related economic forces will return the U.S. residential real estate industry to a growth period.

Real Estate Brokerage Business. Douglas Elliman Realty is engaged in the real estate brokerage business through its two subsidiaries which conduct business as Prudential Douglas Elliman Real Estate. The two brokerage companies have 60 offices with approximately 3,700 real estate agents in the metropolitan New York area. The companies achieved combined sales of approximately \$11.5 billion of real estate in 2010, approximately \$8.6 billion of real estate in 2009 and approximately \$11.6 billion of real estate in 2008. Douglas Elliman Realty was ranked as the fourth largest residential brokerage company in the United States in 2009 based on closed sales volume by the *Real Trends* broker survey. Douglas Elliman Realty had revenues of \$348.1 million in 2010, \$283.9 million in 2009, and \$352.7 million in 2008.

The New York City brokerage operation, formerly known as Douglas Elliman, was founded in 1911 and has grown to be one of Manhattan's leading residential brokers by specializing in the highest end of the sales and rental marketplaces. It has 20 New York City offices, with approximately 2,000 real estate agents, and had sales volume of approximately \$7.8 billion of real estate in 2010, approximately \$5.3 billion of real estate in 2009, and approximately \$8.1 billion of real estate in 2008.

In December 2010, Douglas Elliman acquired substantially all of the assets of Prudential Holmes & Kennedy, a small regional residential real estate brokerage company which operated for more than 40 years in Northern Westchester County, a suburban area north of New York City. The acquisition included six offices located in the towns of Chappaqua, Armonk, Bedford, Sommers, Pleasantville and Katonah, with approximately 150 real estate agents. Douglas Elliman's franchise agreement with Prudential Real Estate Affiliates was amended to include these offices as additional locations.

The Long Island brokerage operation, formerly known as Prudential Long Island Realty, is headquartered in Huntington, New York and is the largest residential brokerage company on Long Island with 40 offices and approximately 1,660 real estate agents. During 2010, the Long Island brokerage operation closed approximately 6,500 transactions, representing sales volume of approximately \$3.6 billion of real estate. This compared to approximately 6,200 transactions, representing sales volume of approximately \$3.3 billion of real estate in 2009, and approximately 5,900 transactions closed in 2008, representing approximately \$3.5 billion of real estate. Prudential Douglas Elliman Real Estate serves approximately 250 communities from Manhattan to Montauk.

Prudential Douglas Elliman Real Estate acts as a broker in residential real estate transactions. In performing these services, the company has historically represented the seller, either as the listing broker, or as a co-broker in the sale. In acting as a broker for the seller, their services include assisting the seller in pricing the property and preparing it for

sale, advertising the property, showing the property to prospective buyers, and assisting the seller in negotiating the terms of the sale and in closing the transaction. In exchange for these services, the seller pays to the company a commission, which is generally a fixed percentage of the sales price. In a co-brokered arrangement, the listing broker typically splits its commission with the other co-broker involved in the transaction. The company also offers buyer brokerage services. When acting as a broker for the buyer, its services include assisting the buyer in locating properties that meet the buyer's personal and financial specifications, showing the buyer properties, and assisting the buyer in negotiating the terms of the purchase and closing the transaction. In exchange for these

Table of Contents

services a commission is paid to the company which also is generally a fixed percentage of the purchase price and is usually, with the consent of the listing broker, deducted from, and payable out of, the commission payable to the listing broker. With the consent of a buyer and seller, subject to certain conditions, the company may, in certain circumstances, act as a selling broker and as a buying broker in the same transaction. The company's sales and marketing services are provided by licensed real estate sales associates, sales persons or associate brokers who have entered into independent contractor agreements with the company. The company recognizes revenue and commission expenses upon the consummation of the real estate sale.

Prudential Douglas Elliman Real Estate also offers relocation services to employers, which provide a variety of specialized services primarily concerned with facilitating the resettlement of transferred employees. These services include sales and marketing of transferees' existing homes for their corporate employer, assistance in finding new homes, moving services, educational and school placement counseling, customized videos, property marketing assistance, rental assistance, area tours, international relocation, group move services, marketing and management of foreclosed properties, career counseling, spouse/partner employment assistance, and financial services. Clients can select these programs and services on a fee basis according to their needs.

As part of the brokerage company's franchise agreement with Prudential, it has an agreement with Prudential Relocation Services, Inc. to provide relocation services to the Prudential network. The company anticipates that participation in the Prudential network will continue to provide new relocation opportunities with firms on a national level.

In 2009, Douglas Elliman Realty, through a subsidiary, entered into a joint venture with Wells Fargo Ventures, LLC to create DE Capital Mortgage LLC to carry on the business of residential mortgage lending, as a mortgage broker. Wells Fargo Ventures is the nation's leading alliance lender, maintaining long-standing relationships with top real estate companies, builders and financial services institutions across the United States. DE Capital Mortgage replaces the business of Preferred Empire Mortgage Company, which was a mortgage broker, wholly-owned by Douglas Elliman Realty.

DE Capital primarily originates loans for purchases of properties located on Long Island and in New York City. Approximately one-half of these loans are for home sales transactions in which Prudential Douglas Elliman Real Estate acts as a broker. The term "origination" refers generally to the process of arranging mortgage financing for the purchase of property directly to the purchaser or for refinancing an existing mortgage. DE Capital's revenues are generated from loan origination fees, which are generally a percentage of the original principal amount of the loan and are commonly referred to as "points", and application and other fees paid by the borrowers. DE Capital recognizes mortgage origination revenues and costs when the mortgage loan is consummated. As a mortgage broker, DE Capital funds and sells mortgage loans through Wells Fargo, its joint venture partner.

Marketing. As members of The Prudential Real Estate Affiliates, Inc., Prudential Douglas Elliman Real Estate offer real estate sales and marketing and relocation services, which are marketed by a multimedia program. This program includes direct mail, newspaper, internet, catalog, radio and television advertising and is conducted throughout Manhattan and Long Island. In addition, the integrated nature of the real estate brokerage companies services is designed to produce a flow of customers between their real estate sales and marketing business and their mortgage business.

Competition. The real estate brokerage business is highly competitive. However, Prudential Douglas Elliman Real Estate believes that its ability to offer their customers a range of inter-related services and its level of residential real estate sales and marketing help position them to meet the competition and improve their market share.

In the brokerage company's traditional business of residential real estate sales and marketing, it competes primarily with multi-office independent real estate organizations and, to some extent, with franchise real estate organizations, such as Century-21, ERA, RE/MAX and Coldwell Banker. The company believes that its major competitors in 2011 will also increasingly include multi-office real estate organizations, such as GMAC Home Services, NRT LLC (whose affiliates include the New York City-based Corcoran Group) and other privately owned companies. Residential brokerage firms compete for sales and marketing business primarily on the basis of services offered, reputation, personal contacts, and, recently to a greater degree, price.

Table of Contents

The company's relocation business is fully integrated with its residential real estate sales and marketing business. Accordingly, its major competitors are many of the same real estate organizations previously noted. Competition in the relocation business is likewise based primarily on level of service, reputation, personal contact and, recently to a greater degree, price.

In its mortgage loan origination business, DE Capital competes with other mortgage originators. These include mortgage brokers, mortgage bankers, state and national banks, and thrift institutions.

Government Regulation. Several facets of real estate brokerage businesses are subject to government regulation. For example, their real estate sales and marketing divisions are licensed as real estate brokers in the states in which they conduct their real estate brokerage businesses. In addition, their real estate sales associates must be licensed as real estate brokers or salespersons in the states in which they do business. Future expansion of the real estate brokerage operations of Prudential Douglas Elliman Real Estate into new geographic markets may subject it to similar licensing requirements in other states.

A number of states and localities have adopted laws and regulations imposing environmental controls, disclosure rules, zoning and other land use restrictions, which can materially impact the marketability of certain real estate. However, Prudential Douglas Elliman Real Estate does not believe that compliance with environmental, zoning and land use laws and regulations has had, or will have, a materially adverse effect on its financial condition or operations.

In DE Capital's mortgage business, mortgage loan origination and funding activities are subject to the Equal Credit Opportunity Act, the Federal Truth-in-Lending Act, the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act, and the regulations promulgated thereunder which prohibit discrimination and require the disclosure of certain information to borrowers concerning credit and settlement costs. As an affiliate of Wells Fargo Ventures, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., DE Capital is not subject to regulation by state banking departments, but rather by the Federal Office of Currency Control.

Prudential Douglas Elliman Real Estate is not aware of any material licensing or other government regulatory requirements governing its relocation business, except to the extent that such business also involves the rendering of real estate brokerage services, the licensing and regulation of which are described above.

Franchises and Trade Names. In December 2002, Prudential Long Island Realty renewed for an additional ten-year term its franchise agreement with The Prudential Real Estate Affiliates, Inc. and has an exclusive franchise, subject to various exceptions and to meeting annual revenue thresholds, in New York for the counties of Nassau and Suffolk on Long Island. In addition, in June 2004, Prudential Long Island Realty was granted an exclusive franchise, subject to various exceptions and to meeting annual revenue thresholds, with respect to the boroughs of Brooklyn and Queens. In March 2003, Douglas Elliman entered into a ten-year franchise agreement with The Prudential Real Estate Affiliates, Inc. and has an exclusive franchise, subject to various exceptions and to meeting annual revenue thresholds, for Manhattan.

The Douglas Elliman trade name is a registered trademark in the United States. The name has been synonymous with the most exacting standards of excellence in the real estate industry since Douglas Elliman's formation in 1911. Other trademarks used extensively in Douglas Elliman's business, which are owned by Douglas Elliman Realty and registered in the United States, include "We are New York", "Bringing People and Places Together", "If You Clicked Here You'd Be Home Now" and "Picture Yourself in the Perfect Home".

The Prudential name and the tagline "From Manhattan to Montauk" are used extensively in the Prudential Douglas Elliman Real Estate business. In addition, Prudential Douglas Elliman Real Estate continues to use the trade names of certain companies that it has acquired.

Residential Property Management Business. Douglas Elliman Realty is also engaged in the management of cooperatives, condominiums and apartments through its subsidiary, Residential Management Group, LLC, which conducts business as Douglas Elliman Property Management and is the leading manager of apartments, cooperatives and condominiums in the New York metropolitan area according to a survey in the September 2009 issue of *The Real Deal*. Residential Management Group provides full service third-party fee management for approximately 290 properties, representing approximately 42,000 units in New York City, Nassau County, Northern New Jersey and

Table of Contents

Westchester County. In January 2010, Residential Management Group acquired the assets of Bellmarc Property Management, a company which manages approximately 50 buildings in Manhattan with approximately 5,000 units. Accordingly, Residential Management Group now manages approximately 340 properties with approximately 47,000 units. Residential Management Group is seeking to continue to expand its property management business in the greater metropolitan New York area in 2011. Among the notable properties currently managed are the Dakota, Museum Tower, Worldwide Plaza, London Terrace and West Village Houses buildings in New York City. Residential Management Group employs approximately 235 people, of whom approximately 150 work at Residential Management Group's headquarters and the remainder at remote offices in the New York metropolitan area.

New Valley Realty Division

Escena. In March 2008, a subsidiary of New Valley purchased a loan collateralized by a substantial portion of a 450-acre approved master planned community in Palm Springs, California known as Escena. The loan, which was in foreclosure, was purchased for its \$20 million face value plus accrued interest and other costs of approximately \$1.45 million. The collateral consisted of 867 residential lots with site and public infrastructure and an 18-hole golf course with a substantially completed clubhouse, and a seven-acre site approved for a 450-room hotel.

In April 2009, New Valley's subsidiary entered into a settlement agreement with a guarantor of the loan, which required the guarantor to satisfy its obligations under a completion guaranty by completing improvements to the project in settlement, among other things, of its payment guarantees. The construction of these improvements to the project is substantially complete.

In April 2009, New Valley completed the foreclosure process and took title to the property. The property is classified as Investment in Escena, net and was carried in our consolidated balance sheet at \$13.4 million as of December 31, 2010.

Aberdeen Townhomes LLC. In June 2008, a subsidiary of New Valley purchased a preferred equity interest in Aberdeen Townhomes LLC (Aberdeen) for \$10 million. Aberdeen acquired five townhome residences located in Manhattan, New York, which it was in the process of rehabilitating and selling.

One of the townhomes was sold in September 2009 and the mortgage was retired. Mortgages on the four remaining Aberdeen townhomes with a balance of approximately \$31.9 million as of December 31, 2009 matured during 2009. These mortgages were in default as of December 31, 2009. In each of January 2010 and August 2010, Aberdeen sold a townhome and the two respective mortgages of approximately \$14.4 million were retired. We received a preferred return distribution of approximately \$1.0 million and \$0.4 million from escrow in connection with the sales.

In August 2010, we acquired the mortgage loans from Wachovia Bank, N.A. on the two remaining townhomes for approximately \$13.5 million. In accordance with the accounting guidance as to variable interest entities, we reassessed the primary beneficiary status of the Aberdeen variable interest entity (VIE) and determined that, in August 2010, we became the primary beneficiary of this VIE because we obtained the power to direct activities which significantly impact the economic performance of the VIE; and, since we now own the mortgages, we will absorb losses and returns of the VIE.

In February 2011, Aberdeen sold one of the two remaining townhomes for approximately \$12.5 million, before closing costs. The property was carried at \$8.5 million as of December 31, 2010.

New Valley Oaktree Chelsea Eleven, LLC. In September 2008, a subsidiary of New Valley purchased for \$12 million a 40% interest in New Valley Oaktree Chelsea Eleven, LLC, which lent \$29 million and contributed \$1 million in capital to Chelsea Eleven LLC, which is developing a condominium project in Manhattan, New York. The

development consists of 54 luxury residential units and one commercial unit. As of February 23, 2011, sales of 34 units have closed. On July 1, 2010, Chelsea Eleven LLC borrowed \$47.1 million, which is due July 1, 2012, bearing interest at 14% per annum. The proceeds were used to retire Chelsea Eleven LLC's then outstanding mezzanine debt (approximately \$37.2 million) and for other working capital purposes. As of December 31, 2010, we received net distributions of \$1.0 million from New Valley Oaktree Chelsea Eleven LLC.

Table of Contents

The loan from New Valley Oaktree is subordinate to the \$18.2 million loan due in July 2012. The loan from New Valley Oaktree bears interest at 60.25% per annum, compounded monthly, with \$3.75 million initially being held in an interest reserve, from which \$1.5 million was paid to New Valley.

New Valley's investment in New Valley Oaktree is being accounted for under the equity method and was carried at approximately \$11.0 million on our consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2010 as a component of Investments in non-consolidated real estate businesses.

Fifty Third-Five Building LLC. In September 2010, New Valley, through its NV 955 LLC subsidiary, contributed \$2.5 million to a joint venture, Fifty Third-Five Building LLC (JV), of which it owns 50%. The JV was formed for the purposes of acquiring a defaulted real estate loan, collateralized by real estate located in New York City. In October 2010, New Valley contributed an additional \$15.5 million to the JV and the JV acquired the defaulted loan for approximately \$35.5 million. Litigation on the defaulted real estate loan is pending.

Sesto Holdings S.r.l. In October 2010, New Valley, through its NV Milan LLC subsidiary, acquired a 7.2% interest in Sesto Holdings S.r.l. for \$5.0 million. Sesto holds a 42% interest in an entity that has purchased approximately 322 acres in Milan, Italy. Sesto intends to develop the land as a multi-parcel, multi-building mixed use urban regeneration project.

Former Broker-Dealer Operations

New Valley owned, as of December 31, 2010, 13,891,205 shares of Ladenburg Thalmann Financial Services Inc. (NYSE Amex: LTS), which represents approximately 8% of the LTS shares. LTS is the parent of New Valley's former subsidiary, Ladenburg Thalmann & Co. Inc., which has been a member of the New York Stock Exchange since 1879. LTS is registered under the Securities Act of 1934 and files periodic reports and other information with the SEC.

Four of our directors, Howard M. Lorber, Henry C. Beinstein, Robert J. Eide and Jeffrey S. Podell, also serve as directors of LTS. Mr. Lorber also serves as Vice Chairman of LTS. Richard J. Lampen, who along with Mr. Lorber is an executive officer of ours, also serves as a director of LTS and has served as the President and Chief Executive Officer of LTS since September 2006. In September 2006, we entered into an agreement with LTS where we agreed to make available the services of Mr. Lampen as well as other financial and accounting services. LTS paid us \$600,000 for 2010, \$600,000 for 2009, and \$500,000 for 2008 related to the agreement and pays us at a rate of \$600,000 per year in 2011. These amounts are recorded as a reduction to our operating, selling, administrative and general expenses. For 2010, 2009, and 2008, LTS paid compensation of \$200,000, \$0, and \$150,000, respectively, to each of Mr. Lorber and Mr. Lampen in connection with their services. See Note 14 to our consolidated financial statements.

Other Investments

Castle Brands. In October 2008, we acquired for \$4 million an approximate 11% interest in Castle Brands Inc. (NYSE Amex: ROX), a publicly traded developer and importer of premium branded spirits. Mr. Lampen is serving as the interim President, Chief Executive Officer and a director of Castle. In October 2008, we entered into an agreement with Castle where we agreed to make available the services of Mr. Lampen as well as other financial and accounting services. We recognized management fees of \$100,000 in 2010, \$100,000 for 2009 and \$22,011 for 2008 and under the agreement and Castle has agreed to pay us \$100,000 in 2011. In December 2009, we were part of a consortium, which included Dr. Phillip Frost, who is a beneficial owner of approximately 11.8% of our common stock, and Mr. Lampen, that agreed to provide a line of credit to Castle. The three-year line was for a maximum amount of \$2.5 million, bears interest at a rate of 11% per annum on amounts borrowed, pays a 1% annual commitment fee and is collateralized by Castle's receivables and inventory. Our commitment under the line is \$1.1 million; all of which was

outstanding under the credit line as of December 31, 2010.

Long-Term Investments. As of December 31, 2010, long-term investments consisted primarily of investments in investment partnerships of approximately \$57.0 million. In the future, we may invest in other investments including limited partnerships, real estate investments, equity securities, debt securities and certificates of deposit depending on risk factors and potential rates of return.

Table of Contents

Employees

At December 31, 2010, we had 512 employees, of which approximately 300 were employed at Liggett's Mebane facility and approximately 192 were employed in sales and administrative functions at Liggett Vector Brands. Approximately 46% of our employees are hourly employees, who are represented by unions. We have not experienced any significant work stoppages since 1977, and we believe that relations with our employees and their unions are satisfactory.

Available Information

Our website address is www.vectorgrouppltd.com. We make available free of charge on the Investor Relations section of our website (<http://vectorgrouppltd.com/invest.asp>) our Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and all amendments to those reports as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is electronically filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. We also make available through our website other reports filed with the SEC under the Exchange Act, including our proxy statements and reports filed by officers and directors under Section 16(a) of that Act. Copies of our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, Corporate Governance Guidelines, Audit Committee charter, Compensation Committee charter and Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee charter have been posted on the Investor Relations section of our website and are also available in print to any shareholder who requests it. We do not intend for information contained in our website to be part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Our business faces many risks. We have described below the known material risks that we and our subsidiaries face. There may be additional risks that we do not yet know of or that we do not currently perceive to be significant that may also impact our business or the business of our subsidiaries. Each of the risks and uncertainties described below could lead to events or circumstances that have a material adverse effect on the business, results of operations, cash flows, financial condition or equity of us or one or more of our subsidiaries, which in turn could negatively affect the value of our common stock. You should carefully consider and evaluate all of the information included in this report and any subsequent reports that we may file with the Securities and Exchange Commission or make available to the public before investing in any securities issued by us.

We have significant liquidity commitments

During 2011, we have certain liquidity commitments that could require the use of our existing cash resources. As of December 31, 2010, our corporate expenditures (exclusive of Liggett, Vector Tobacco and New Valley) and other potential liquidity requirements over the next 12 months included the following:

cash interest expense of approximately \$82.6 million,

the retirement of \$11 million of our 3.875% Variable Interest Senior Convertible Debentures due June 15, 2011,

dividends on our outstanding common shares (currently at an annual rate of approximately \$117 million), and

other corporate expenses and taxes.

In order to meet the above liquidity requirements as well as other liquidity needs in the normal course of business, we will be required to use cash flows from operations and existing cash and cash equivalents. Should these resources be

insufficient to meet the upcoming liquidity needs, we may also be required to liquidate investment securities available for sale and other long-term investments, or, if available, draw on Liggett's credit facility. While there are actions we can take to reduce our liquidity needs, there can be no assurance that such measures can be achieved.

We and our subsidiaries have a substantial amount of indebtedness.

We and our subsidiaries have significant indebtedness and debt service obligations. At December 31, 2010, we and our subsidiaries had total outstanding indebtedness (including the embedded derivative liabilities related to our

Table of Contents

convertible notes) of \$683 million. We must redeem \$11 million of our 3.875% Variable Interest Senior Convertible Debentures by June 15, 2011 and may be required to purchase \$99 million of the debentures on June 15, 2012. Approximately \$157.5 million of our 3.75% convertible notes mature in 2014 and \$415 million of our 11% senior secured notes matures in 2015. In addition, subject to the terms of any future agreements, we and our subsidiaries will be able to incur additional indebtedness in the future. There is a risk that we will not be able to generate sufficient funds to repay our debt. If we cannot service our fixed charges, it would have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

We are a holding company and depend on cash payments from our subsidiaries, which are subject to contractual and other restrictions, in order to service our debt and to pay dividends on our common stock.

We are a holding company and have no operations of our own. We hold our interests in our various businesses through our wholly-owned subsidiaries, VGR Holding and New Valley. In addition to our own cash resources, our ability to pay interest on our debt and to pay dividends on our common stock depends on the ability of VGR Holding and New Valley to make cash available to us. VGR Holding's ability to pay dividends to us depends primarily on the ability of Liggett, its wholly-owned subsidiary, to generate cash and make it available to VGR Holding. Liggett's revolving credit agreement with Wachovia Bank, N.A. contains a restricted payments test that limits the ability of Liggett to pay cash dividends to VGR Holding. The ability of Liggett to meet the restricted payments test may be affected by factors beyond its control, including Wachovia's unilateral discretion, if acting in good faith, to modify elements of such test.

Our receipt of cash payments, as dividends or otherwise, from our subsidiaries is an important source of our liquidity and capital resources. If we do not have sufficient cash resources of our own and do not receive payments from our subsidiaries in an amount sufficient to repay our debts and to pay dividends on our common stock, we must obtain additional funds from other sources. There is a risk that we will not be able to obtain additional funds at all or on terms acceptable to us. Our inability to service these obligations and to continue to pay dividends on our common stock would significantly harm us and the value of our common stock.

Our 11% senior secured notes contain restrictive covenants that limit our operating flexibility.

The indenture governing our 11% senior secured notes due 2015 contains covenants that, among other things, restrict our ability to take specific actions, even if we believe them to be in our best interest, including restrictions on our ability to:

- incur or guarantee additional indebtedness or issue preferred stock;
- pay dividends or distributions on, or redeem or repurchase, capital stock;
- create liens with respect to our assets;
- make investments, loans or advances;
- prepay subordinated indebtedness;
- enter into transactions with affiliates; and
- merge, consolidate, reorganize or sell our assets.

In addition, Liggett's revolving credit agreement requires us to meet specified financial ratios. These covenants may restrict our ability to expand or fully pursue our business strategies. Our ability to comply with these and other provisions of the indenture governing the senior secured notes and the Liggett revolving credit agreement may be affected by changes in our operating and financial performance, changes in general business and economic conditions, adverse regulatory developments or other events beyond our control. The breach of any of these covenants, including those contained in the indenture governing the senior secured notes and the Liggett's credit agreement, could result in a default under our indebtedness, which could cause those and other obligations to become due and payable. If any of our indebtedness is accelerated, we may not be able to repay it.

Table of Contents

The indenture governing the senior secured notes contain restrictive covenants, which, among other things, restrict our ability to pay certain dividends or make other restricted payments or enter into transactions with affiliates if our Consolidated EBITDA, as defined in the indenture, is less than \$50 million for the four quarters prior to such transaction. Our Consolidated EBITDA for the four quarters ended December 31, 2010 exceeded \$50 million.

Changes in respect of the debt ratings of our notes may materially and adversely affect the availability, the cost and the terms and conditions of our debt.

Both we and our 11% Senior Secured Notes have been publicly rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc., or Moody's, and Standard & Poor's Rating Services, or S&P, independent rating agencies. In addition, future debt instruments may be publicly rated. These debt ratings may affect our ability to raise debt. Any future downgrading of the notes or our other debt by Moody's and S&P may affect the cost and terms and conditions of our financings and could adversely affect the value and trading of the notes.

Liggett faces intense competition in the domestic tobacco industry.

Liggett is considerably smaller and has fewer resources than its major competitors, and, as a result, has a more limited ability to respond to market developments. Management Science Associates' data indicate that the three largest cigarette manufacturers controlled approximately 84.3% of the United States cigarette market during 2010. Philip Morris is the largest and most profitable manufacturer in the market, and its profits are derived principally from its sale of premium cigarettes. Philip Morris had approximately 62.0% of the premium segment and 46.4% of the total domestic market during 2010. During 2010, all of Liggett's sales were in the discount segment, and its share of the total domestic cigarette market was 3.5%. Philip Morris and RJR Tobacco (which is now part of Reynolds American), the two largest cigarette manufacturers, have historically, because of their dominant market share, been able to determine cigarette prices for the various pricing tiers within the industry.

Philip Morris and Reynolds American dominate the domestic cigarette market and had a combined market share of approximately 72% at December 31, 2010. This concentration of United States market share could make it more difficult for Liggett and Vector Tobacco to compete for shelf space in retail outlets and could impact price competition in the market, either of which could have a material adverse effect on their sales volume, operating income and cash flows, which in turn could negatively affect the value of our common stock.

Liggett's business is highly dependent on the discount cigarette segment.

Liggett depends more on sales in the discount cigarette segment of the market, relative to the full-price premium segment, than its major competitors. Since 2004, all of Liggett's unit volume was generated in the discount segment. The discount segment is highly competitive, with consumers having less brand loyalty and placing greater emphasis on price. While the three major manufacturers all compete with Liggett in the discount segment of the market, the strongest competition for market share has recently come from a group of smaller manufacturers and importers, most of which sell low quality, deep discount cigarettes. While Liggett's share of the discount market was 11.9% in 2010 and 9.2% in 2009 and 2008, Management Science Associates' data indicate that the discount market share of these other smaller manufacturers and importers was approximately 38.5% in 2010, 39.4% in 2009, and 38.5% in 2008. If pricing in the discount market continues to be impacted by these smaller manufacturers and importers, margins in Liggett's only current market segment could be negatively affected, which in turn could negatively affect the value of our common stock.

Liggett's market share is susceptible to decline.

For a number of years prior to 2000, Liggett suffered a substantial decline in market share. Liggett's market share increased during each of the years between 2000 and 2010 (except for 2008, which was unchanged). This earlier market share erosion resulted in part from Liggett's highly leveraged capital structure that existed until December 1998 and its limited ability to match other competitors' wholesale and retail trade programs, obtain retail shelf space for its products and advertise its brands. These declines also resulted from adverse developments in the tobacco industry, intense competition and changes in consumer preferences which have continued up to the current

Table of Contents

time. According to Management Science Associates' data, Liggett's overall domestic market share during 2010 was 3.5% compared to 2.7% during 2009, and 2.5% during 2008. Liggett's share of the discount segment was 11.9% during 2010, up from 9.2% during 2009 and 2008. If Liggett's market share were to decline again, Liggett's sales volume, operating income and cash flows could be materially adversely affected, which in turn could negatively affect the value of our common stock.

The domestic cigarette industry has experienced declining unit sales in recent periods.

Industry-wide shipments of cigarettes in the United States have been generally declining for a number of years, with Management Science Associates' data indicating that domestic industry-wide shipments decreased by approximately 3.8% in 2010 as compared to 2009, and by approximately 8.6% in 2009 as compared to 2008. We believe that industry-wide shipments of cigarettes in the United States will generally continue to decline as a result of numerous factors. These factors include health considerations, diminishing social acceptance of smoking, and a wide variety of federal, state and local laws limiting smoking in restaurants, bars and other public places, as well as increases in federal and state excise taxes and settlement-related expenses which have contributed to high cigarette price levels in recent years. If this decline in industry-wide shipments continues and Liggett is unable to capture market share from its competitors, or if the industry as a whole is unable to offset the decline in unit sales with price increases, Liggett's sales volume, operating income and cash flows could be materially adversely affected, which in turn could negatively affect the value of our common stock.

Our tobacco operations are subject to substantial and increasing legislation, regulation and taxation, which has a negative effect on revenue and profitability.

Tobacco products are subject to substantial federal and state excise taxes in the United States. On February 4, 2009, President Obama signed an increase of \$0.617 in the federal excise tax per pack of cigarettes, for a total of \$1.01 per pack of cigarettes, and significant tax increases on other tobacco products, to fund expansion of the State Children's Health Insurance Program, referred to as the SCHIP. These tax increases came into effect on April 1, 2009. The increases in federal excise tax under the SCHIP are substantial, and, as a result, Liggett's sales volume and profitability has been and may continue to be adversely impacted.

In addition to federal and state excise taxes, certain city and county governments also impose substantial excise taxes on tobacco products sold. Increased excise taxes are likely to result in declines in overall sales volume and shifts by consumers to less expensive brands.

A wide variety of federal, state and local laws limit the advertising, sale and use of cigarettes have proliferated in recent years. For example, many local laws prohibit smoking in restaurants and other public places. Private businesses also have adopted regulations that prohibit or restrict, or are intended to discourage, smoking. Such laws and regulations also are likely to result in a decline in the overall sales volume of cigarettes.

Furthermore, Liggett and Vector Tobacco provide ingredient information annually, as required by law, to the states of Massachusetts, Texas and Minnesota. Several other states are considering ingredient disclosure legislation.

Over the years, various state and local governments have continued to regulate tobacco products, including smokeless tobacco products. These regulations relate to, among other things, the imposition of significantly higher taxes, increases in the minimum age to purchase tobacco products, sampling and advertising bans or restrictions, ingredient and constituent disclosure requirements and significant tobacco control media campaigns. Additional state and local legislative and regulatory actions will likely be considered in the future, including, among other things, restrictions on the use of flavorings.

In addition to the foregoing, there have been a number of other restrictive regulatory actions from various federal administrative bodies, including the United States Environmental Protection Agency and the FDA. There have also been adverse legislative and political decisions and other unfavorable developments concerning cigarette smoking and the tobacco industry. Recently, legislation was passed by Congress providing for regulation of cigarettes by the FDA. These developments generally receive widespread media attention. Additionally, a majority of states have passed legislation providing for reduced ignition propensity standards for cigarettes. These developments may negatively affect the perception of potential triers of fact with respect to the tobacco industry,

Table of Contents

possibly to the detriment of certain pending litigation, and may prompt the commencement of additional similar litigation or legislation. We are not able to evaluate the effect of these developing matters on pending litigation or the possible commencement of additional litigation, but our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows could be materially adversely affected.

Additional federal or state regulation relating to the manufacture, sale, distribution, advertising, labeling, or information disclosure of tobacco products could further reduce sales, increase costs and have a material adverse effect on our business.

The newly enacted Family Smoking Prevention and Tobacco Control Act may adversely affect our sales and operating profit.

On June 22, 2009, President Obama signed into law the Family Smoking Prevention and Tobacco Control Act (H.R. 1256). The law grants the United States Food and Drug Administration (the FDA) broad authority over the manufacture, sale, marketing and packaging of tobacco products, although the FDA is prohibited from issuing regulations banning all cigarettes or all smokeless tobacco products, or requiring the reduction of nicotine yields of a tobacco product to zero. Among other measures, the law (under various deadlines):

- increases the number of health warnings required on cigarette and smokeless tobacco products, increases the size of warnings on packaging and in advertising, requires the FDA to develop graphic warnings for cigarette packages, and grants the FDA authority to require new warnings;

- requires practically all tobacco product advertising to eliminate color and imagery and instead consist solely of black text on white background;

- imposes new restrictions on the sale and distribution of tobacco products, including significant new restrictions on tobacco product advertising and promotion as well as the use of brand and trade names;

- bans the use of light, mild, low or similar descriptors on tobacco products;

- bans the use of characterizing flavors in cigarettes other than tobacco or menthol;

- gives the FDA the authority to impose tobacco product standards that are appropriate for the protection of the public health (by, for example, requiring reduction or elimination of the use of particular constituents or components, requiring product testing, or addressing other aspects of tobacco product construction, constituents, properties or labeling);

- requires manufacturers to obtain FDA review and authorization for the marketing of certain new or modified tobacco products;

- requires pre-market approval by the FDA for tobacco products represented (through labels, labeling, advertising, or other means) as presenting a lower risk of harm or tobacco-related disease;

- requires manufacturers to report ingredients and harmful constituents and requires the FDA to disclose certain constituent information to the public;

- mandates that manufacturers test and report on ingredients and constituents identified by the FDA as requiring such testing to protect the public health, and allows the FDA to require the disclosure of testing results to the public;

requires manufacturers to submit to the FDA certain information regarding the health, toxicological, behavioral or physiologic effects of tobacco products;

prohibits use of tobacco containing a pesticide chemical residue at a level greater than allowed under federal law;

requires the FDA to establish good manufacturing practices to be followed at tobacco manufacturing facilities;

requires tobacco product manufacturers (and certain other entities) to register with the FDA;

Table of Contents

authorizes the FDA to require the reduction of nicotine (although it may not require the reduction of nicotine yields of a tobacco product to zero) and the potential reduction or elimination of other constituents, including menthol;

imposes (and allows the FDA to impose) various recordkeeping and reporting requirements on tobacco product manufacturers; and

grants the FDA the regulatory authority to impose broad additional restrictions.

The law also requires establishment, within the FDA's new Center for Tobacco Products, of a Tobacco Products Scientific Advisory Committee to provide advice, information and recommendations with respect to the safety, dependence or health issues related to tobacco products, including:

a recommendation on modified risk applications;

a recommendation on the effects of tobacco product nicotine yield alteration and whether there is a threshold level below which nicotine yields do not produce dependence;

a report on the public health impact of the use of menthol in cigarettes; and

a report on the public health impact of dissolvable tobacco products.

The law imposes user fees on certain tobacco product manufacturers in order to pay for the costs of regulation. User fees will be allocated among tobacco product classes according to a formula set out in the legislation, and then among manufacturers and importers within each class based on market share. The FDA user fees for Liggett and Vector Tobacco were \$10 million in 2010 and we estimate that they will be significantly higher in the future.

The law also imposes significant new restrictions on the advertising and promotion of tobacco products. For example, the law requires the FDA to finalize certain portions of regulations previously adopted by the FDA in 1996 (which were struck down by the Supreme Court in 2000 as beyond the FDA's authority). As written, these regulations would significantly limit the ability of manufacturers, distributors and retailers to advertise and promote tobacco products, by, for example, restricting the use of color, graphics and sound effects in advertising, limiting the use of outdoor advertising, restricting the sale and distribution of non-tobacco items and services, gifts, and sponsorship of events and imposing restrictions on the use for cigarette or smokeless tobacco products of trade or brand names that are used for non-tobacco products. The law also requires the FDA to issue future regulations regarding the promotion and marketing of tobacco products sold through non-face-to-face transactions.

It is likely that the new tobacco law could result in a decrease in cigarette sales in the United States, including sales of Liggett's and Vector Tobacco's brands. Total compliance and related costs are not possible to predict and depend substantially on the future requirements imposed by the FDA under the new tobacco law. Costs, however, could be substantial and could have a material adverse effect on the companies' financial condition, results of operations, and cash flows. In addition, failure to comply with the new tobacco law and with FDA regulatory requirements could result in significant financial penalties and could have a material adverse effect on the business, financial condition and results of operation of both Liggett and Vector Tobacco. It is possible that Liggett's or Vector Tobacco's products could be deemed new tobacco products under the legislation. In that event, unless they are deemed to be substantially equivalent to a preexisting tobacco product, the product may not be able to be marketed in the United States. Additionally, FDA is reviewing whether to ban menthol in tobacco products. Either of these determinations by FDA could have a material adverse effect on us. At present, we are not able to predict whether the new tobacco law will

impact Liggett and Vector Tobacco to a greater degree than other companies in the industry, thus affecting its competitive position.

Litigation will continue to harm the tobacco industry.

Liggett could be subjected to substantial liabilities and bonding requirements from litigation relating to cigarette products. Adverse litigation outcomes could have a negative impact on the Company's ability to operate due to their impact on cash flows. We and our Liggett subsidiary, as well as the entire cigarette industry, continue to be challenged on numerous fronts. New cases continue to be commenced against Liggett and other cigarette manufacturers. As of December 31, 2010, there were approximately 6,900 individual suits, including the *Engle*

Table of Contents

progeny cases described below, six purported class actions and four health care cost recovery actions pending in the United States in which Liggett and/or us were named defendants. It is likely that similar legal actions, proceedings and claims will continue to be filed against Liggett. Punitive damages, often in amounts ranging into the billions of dollars, are specifically pled in these cases, in addition to compensatory and other damages. It is possible that there could be adverse developments in pending cases including the certification of additional class actions. An unfavorable outcome or settlement of pending tobacco-related litigation could encourage the commencement of additional litigation. In addition, an unfavorable outcome in any tobacco-related litigation could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows. Liggett could face difficulties in obtaining a bond to stay execution of a judgment pending appeal.

A civil lawsuit was filed by the United States federal government seeking disgorgement of approximately \$289 billion from various cigarette manufacturers, including Liggett. In August 2006, the trial court entered a Final Judgment and Remedial Order against each of the cigarette manufacturing defendants, except Liggett. The Final Judgment, among other things, ordered the following relief against the non-Liggett defendants: (i) defendants are enjoined from committing any act of racketeering concerning the manufacturing, marketing, promotion, health consequences or sale of cigarettes in the United States; (ii) defendants are enjoined from making any material false, misleading, or deceptive statement or representation concerning cigarettes that persuades people to purchase cigarettes; and (iii) defendants are permanently enjoined from utilizing lights , low tar , ultra lights , mild or natural descriptors, or conveying any other express or implied health messages in connection with the marketing or sale of cigarettes as of January 1, 2007. No monetary damages were awarded other than the government's costs. To the extent that the Final Judgment leads to a decline in industry-wide shipments of cigarettes in the United States or otherwise imposes regulations which adversely affect the industry, Liggett's sales volume, operating income and cash flows could be materially adversely affected, which in turn could negatively affect the value of our common stock.

Liggett Only Cases. There are currently seven cases pending where Liggett is the only tobacco company defendant. Cases where Liggett is the only defendant could increase substantially as a result of the *Engle* progeny cases. In February 2009, in *Ferlanti v. Liggett Group*, a Florida state court jury awarded compensatory damages of \$1.2 million as well as \$96,000 in expenses, but found that the plaintiff was 40% at fault. Therefore, plaintiff's award was reduced to \$720,000 in compensatory damages. Punitive damages were not awarded. In February 2011, the award was affirmed on appeal. In September 2010, the court awarded plaintiff's attorneys' fees of \$996,000. In *Blitch v. R.J. Reynolds*, an *Engle* progeny case, trial is scheduled for March 7, 2011. In *O'Dwyer-Harkins v. R.J. Reynolds*, an *Engle* progeny case, trial is scheduled for August 8, 2011. There has been no recent activity in *Hausrath v. Philip Morris*, a case pending in New York state court, where two individuals are suing. The other three individual actions, in which Liggett is the only tobacco company defendant, are dormant.

As new cases are commenced, the costs associated with defending these cases and the risks relating to the inherent unpredictability of litigation continue to increase.

Individual tobacco-related cases have increased as a result of the Florida Supreme Court's ruling in *Engle*.

In May 2003, a Florida intermediate appellate court overturned a \$790 million punitive damages award against Liggett and decertified the *Engle v. R. J. Reynolds Tobacco Co.* smoking and health class action. In July 2006, the Florida Supreme Court affirmed in part and reversed in part the May 2003 intermediate appellate court decision. Among other things, the Florida Supreme Court affirmed the decision decertifying the class on a prospective basis and the order vacating the punitive damages award, but preserved several of the trial court's Phase I findings (including that: (i) smoking causes lung cancer, among other diseases; (ii) nicotine in cigarettes is addictive; (iii) defendants placed cigarettes on the market that were defective and unreasonably dangerous; (iv) the defendants concealed material information; (v) all defendants sold or supplied cigarettes that were defective; and (vi) all defendants were negligent) and allowed plaintiffs to proceed to trial on individual liability issues (using the above findings) and compensatory

and punitive damage issues, provided they commence their individual lawsuits within one year of the date the court's decision became final on January 11, 2007, the date of the court's mandate. In December 2006, the Florida Supreme Court added the finding that defendants sold or supplied cigarettes that, at the time of sale or supply, did not conform to the representations made by defendants.

Table of Contents

In June 2002, the jury in a Florida state court action entitled *Lukacs v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Company*, awarded \$37.5 million in compensatory damages, jointly and severally, in a case involving Liggett and two other cigarette manufacturers, which amount was subsequently reduced by the Court. The jury found Liggett 50% responsible for the damages incurred by the plaintiff. The *Lukacs* case was the first case to be tried as an individual *Engle* class member suit following entry of final judgment by the *Engle* trial court. In November 2008, the court entered final judgment in the amount of \$24.835 million (for which Liggett was 50% responsible), plus interest from June 2002. After the appellate court affirmed the decision, Liggett paid its share of the award including interest and attorney's fees (\$14.361 million).

Pursuant to the Florida Supreme Court's July 2006 ruling in *Engle*, former class members had one year from January 11, 2007 to file individual lawsuits. In addition, some individuals who filed suit prior to January 11, 2007, and who claim they meet the conditions in *Engle*, are attempting to avail themselves of the *Engle* ruling. Lawsuits by individuals requesting the benefit of the *Engle* ruling, whether filed before or after the January 11, 2007 mandate, are referred to as the *Engle* progeny cases. As of December 31, 2010, there were 6,827 *Engle* progeny cases pending where Vector, Liggett, and other cigarette manufacturers were named as defendants. These cases include approximately 9,100 plaintiffs. There are 43 *Engle* progeny cases currently scheduled for trial in 2011. Through December 31, 2010, four adverse verdicts have been entered against Liggett in *Engle* progeny cases. These verdicts are on appeal.

It is possible that additional cases could be decided unfavorably and that there could be further adverse developments in the *Engle* case. Liggett may enter into discussions in an attempt to settle particular cases if it believes it is appropriate to do so. We cannot predict the cash requirements related to any future settlements and judgments, including cash required to bond any appeals, and there is a risk that those requirements will not be able to be met.

Liggett may be adversely affected by the 2004 legislation to eliminate the federal tobacco quota system.

In October 2004, federal legislation was enacted which eliminated the federal tobacco quota system and price support system through an industry funded buyout of tobacco growers and quota holders. Pursuant to the legislation, manufacturers of tobacco products will be assessed \$10.14 billion over a ten-year period to compensate tobacco growers and quota holders for the elimination of their quota rights. Cigarette manufacturers are currently responsible for 95% of the assessment (subject to adjustment in the future), which will be allocated based on relative unit volume of domestic cigarette shipments. Liggett's and Vector Tobacco's assessment was \$31.1 million in 2010, \$22.9 million in 2009, and \$23.6 million in 2008. The relative cost of the legislation to each of the three largest cigarette manufacturers will likely be less than the cost to smaller manufacturers, including Liggett and Vector Tobacco, because one effect of the legislation is that the three largest manufacturers will no longer be obligated to make certain contractual payments, commonly known as Phase II payments, they agreed in 1999 to make to tobacco-producing states. The ultimate impact of this legislation cannot be determined, but there is a risk that smaller manufacturers, such as Liggett and Vector Tobacco, will be disproportionately affected by the legislation, which could have a material adverse effect on us. The parties, other than Liggett have filed petitions for writ of certiorari to the United States Supreme Court. The government is seeking reinstatement of its claims for remedies, including disgorgement of profits.

Excise tax increases adversely affect cigarette sales.

Cigarettes are subject to substantial and increasing federal, state and local excise taxes. In February 2009, Federal legislation to reauthorize the SCHIP, which includes funding provisions that increase the federal cigarette excise tax from \$0.39 to \$1.01 per pack, was enacted, effective April 1, 2009. State excise taxes vary considerably and, when combined with sales taxes, local taxes and the federal excise tax, may exceed \$4.00 per pack. In 2010, seven states enacted increases in excise taxes and, in 2009, 14 states and the District of Columbia enacted increases in excise taxes.

Various states and other jurisdictions are considering, or have pending, legislation proposing further state excise tax increases. Management believes increases in excise and similar taxes have had, and will continue to have, an adverse effect on sales of cigarettes.

Table of Contents

Liggett may have additional payment obligations under the Master Settlement Agreement and its other settlement agreements with the states.

NPM Adjustment. In March 2006, an economic consulting firm selected pursuant to the MSA rendered its final and non-appealable decision that the MSA was a significant factor contributing to the loss of market share of Participating Manufacturers for 2003. This is known as the NPM Adjustment. The economic consulting firm subsequently rendered the same decision with respect to 2004, 2005 and 2006. As a result, the manufacturers are entitled to potential NPM Adjustments to their 2003, 2004, 2005 and 2006 MSA payments. The Participating Manufacturers are also entitled to potential NPM Adjustments to their 2007, 2008 and 2009 payments pursuant to an agreement entered into in June 2009 between the OPMs and the settling states under which the OPMs agreed to make certain payments for the benefit of the settling states, in exchange for which the settling states stipulated that the MSA was a significant factor contributing to the loss of market share of Participating Manufacturers in 2007, 2008 and 2009. A settling state that has diligently enforced its qualifying escrow statute in the year in question may be able to avoid application of the NPM Adjustment to the payments made by the manufacturers for the benefit of that state or territory.

For 2003 through 2010 Liggett and Vector Tobacco disputed that they owe the settling states the NPM Adjustments as calculated by the Independent Auditor. As permitted by the MSA, Liggett and Vector Tobacco withheld payment associated with these NPM Adjustment amounts. The total amount withheld or paid into a disputed payment account by Liggett and Vector Tobacco for 2003 through 2010 is \$29.2 million. In 2003, Liggett and Vector Tobacco paid the NPM adjustment amount of \$9.3 million to the settling states although both companies continue to dispute this amount. At December 31, 2010 included in Other assets on our consolidated balance sheet was a noncurrent receivable of \$6.5 million relating to such payment. Arbitration of the 2003 NPM Adjustment is pending.

The following amounts have not been expensed by the Company as they relate to Liggett and Vector Tobacco's NPM Adjustment claims for 2003 through 2009: \$6.5 million for 2003, \$3.8 million for 2004 and \$800,000 for 2005.

Gross v. Net Calculations. In October 2004, the Independent Auditor notified Liggett and all other Participating Manufacturers that their payment obligations under the MSA, dating from the agreement's execution in late 1998, had been recalculated using net unit amounts, rather than gross unit amounts (which had been used since 1999).

Liggett has objected to this retroactive change and has disputed the change in methodology. Liggett contends that the retroactive change from using gross to net unit amounts is impermissible for several reasons, including:

use of net unit amounts is not required by the MSA (as reflected by, among other things, the use of gross unit amounts through 2005);

such a change is not authorized without the consent of affected parties to the MSA;

the MSA provides for four-year time limitation periods for revisiting calculations and determinations, which precludes recalculating Liggett's 1997 Market Share (and thus, Liggett's market share exemption); and

Liggett and others have relied upon the calculations based on gross unit amounts since 1998.

The change in the method of calculation could, among other things, result in at least approximately \$9.3 million, plus interest, of additional MSA payments for prior years by Liggett, because the proposed change from gross to net units would serve to lower Liggett's market share exemption under the MSA. The Company currently estimates that future MSA payments would be at least \$2.3 million higher if the method of calculation is changed.

No amounts have been expensed or accrued in the accompanying consolidated financial statements for any potential liability relating to the gross versus net dispute.

Table of Contents

Liggett may have additional payment obligations under its state settlements

In 2004, the Attorneys General for each of Florida, Mississippi and Texas advised Liggett that they believed that Liggett had failed to make all required payments under the respective settlement agreements with these states for the period 1998 through 2003 and that additional payments may be due for 2004 and subsequent years. Liggett believes these allegations are without merit, based, among other things, on the language of the most favored nation provisions of the settlement agreements and no amounts have been accrued in our consolidated financial statements for any additional amounts that may be payable by Liggett under the settlement agreements with Mississippi and Texas. Recently, Liggett settled the dispute with Florida and agreed to, among other things, pay Florida \$1.2 million plus \$250,000 per year for the next 21 years. The payment in years 12-21 will be subject to an inflation adjustment. There can be no assurance that Liggett will prevail in the remaining matters and that Liggett will not be required to make additional material payments, which payments could materially adversely affect our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows and the value of our common stock.

Vector Tobacco is subject to risks inherent in new product development initiatives.

We have made, significant investments in Vector Tobacco's development projects in the tobacco industry. Vector Tobacco is in the business of developing reduced risk cigarette products. These initiatives are subject to high levels of risk, uncertainties and contingencies, including the challenges inherent in new product development and the increased regulation following the enactment of the Family Smoking Prevention and Tobacco Control Act. There is a risk that continued investments in Vector Tobacco will harm our results of operations, liquidity or cash flow.

The substantial risks facing Vector Tobacco include:

Potential extensive government regulation. Vector Tobacco's business is currently extensively regulated, and may become subject to extensive additional domestic and international government regulation. Various proposals have been made for federal, state and international legislation to regulate cigarette manufacturers generally, and reduced constituent cigarettes specifically. It is possible that laws and regulations may be adopted covering matters such as the manufacture, sale, distribution and labeling of tobacco products as well as any health claims associated with reduced risk and low nicotine and nicotine-free cigarette products. There could be additional regulation established by agencies such as the FDA (including further regulation resulting from passage of the Family Smoking Prevention and Tobacco Control Act in June 2009), the Federal Trade Commission and the United States Department of Agriculture. The outcome of any of the foregoing cannot be predicted, but any of the foregoing could have a material adverse effect on Vector Tobacco's business, operating results and prospects.

Competition from other cigarette manufacturers with greater resources. Vector Tobacco's competitors generally have substantially greater resources than Vector Tobacco, including financial, marketing and personnel resources. Other major tobacco companies have stated that they are working on reduced risk cigarette products and have made publicly available at this time only limited additional information concerning their activities. Philip Morris has announced it is developing products that potentially reduce smokers' exposure to harmful compounds in cigarette smoke. RJR Tobacco has disclosed that a primary focus for its research and development activity is the development of potentially reduced exposure products, which may ultimately be recognized as products that present reduced risks to health. RJR Tobacco has stated that it continues to sell in limited distribution throughout the country a brand of cigarettes that primarily heats rather than burns tobacco, which it claims reduces the toxicity of its smoke. There is a substantial likelihood that other major tobacco companies will continue to introduce new products that would compete directly with any reduced risk products that Vector Tobacco may develop.

New Valley is subject to risks relating to the industries in which it operates.

Risks of real estate ventures. New Valley has three significant real estate-related investments, Douglas Elliman Realty (50% interest), New Valley Oaktree Chelsea Eleven LLC (40% interest) and Fifty Third-Five Building LLC (50% interest), where other partners hold significant interests. New Valley must seek approval from these other parties for important actions regarding these joint ventures. Since the other parties' interests may differ from those of New Valley, a deadlock could arise that might impair the ability of the ventures to function. Such a deadlock could significantly harm the ventures.

Table of Contents

The volatility in the capital and credit markets has increased in recent years. Because the volatility in capital and credit markets may create additional risks in the upcoming months and possibly years, the Company will continue to perform additional assessments to determine the impact, if any, on the Company's consolidated financial statements. Thus, future impairment charges may occur.

New Valley may pursue a variety of real estate development projects. Development projects are subject to special risks including potential increase in costs, changes in market demand, inability to meet deadlines which may delay the timely completion of projects, reliance on contractors who may be unable to perform and the need to obtain various governmental and third party consents.

Risks relating to the residential brokerage business. Through New Valley's investment in Douglas Elliman Realty, we are subject to the risks and uncertainties endemic to the residential brokerage business. Douglas Elliman Realty's two subsidiaries, which conduct business as Prudential Douglas Elliman Real Estate, operate as franchisees of The Prudential Real Estate Affiliates, Inc. Prudential Douglas Elliman Real Estate operates each of its offices under its franchiser's brand name, and the franchiser has significant rights over the use of the franchised service marks and the conduct of the two brokerage companies' business. The franchise agreements require the companies to:

coordinate with the franchiser on significant matters relating to their operations, including the opening and closing of offices;

make substantial royalty payments to the franchiser and contribute significant amounts to national advertising funds maintained by the franchiser;

indemnify the franchiser against losses arising out of the operations of their business under the franchise agreements; and

maintain standards and comply with guidelines relating to their operations which are applicable to all franchisees of the franchiser's real estate franchise system.

The franchiser has the right to terminate Prudential Douglas Elliman Real Estate's franchises, upon the occurrence of certain events, including a bankruptcy or insolvency event, a change in control, a transfer of rights under the franchise agreement and a failure to promptly pay amounts due under the franchise agreements. A termination of Prudential Douglas Elliman Real Estate's franchise agreements could adversely affect our investment in Douglas Elliman Realty.

The franchise agreements grant Prudential Douglas Elliman Real Estate exclusive franchises in New York for the counties of Nassau and Suffolk on Long Island and for Manhattan, Brooklyn and Queens, subject to various exceptions and to meeting specified annual revenue thresholds. If the company fails to achieve these levels of revenues for two consecutive years or otherwise materially breach the franchise agreements, the franchiser would have the right to terminate its exclusivity rights. A loss of these rights could have a material adverse on Douglas Elliman Realty.

Real estate ventures and mortgage receivables have been negatively impacted by the current downturn in the residential real estate market. The U.S. residential real estate market, including the New York metropolitan area where Douglas Elliman Realty operates, is cyclical and is affected by changes in the general economic conditions that are beyond the control of Douglas Elliman Realty. The U.S. residential real estate market is currently in a significant downturn due to various factors including downward pressure on housing prices, credit constraints inhibiting new buyers and an exceptionally large inventory of unsold homes at the same time that sales volumes are decreasing. The depth and length of the current downturn in the real estate industry has proved exceedingly difficult to predict. We cannot predict whether the downturn will worsen or when the market and related economic forces will return the

U.S. residential real estate industry to a growth period.

Any of the following could have a material adverse effect on our real estate ventures by causing a general decline in the number of home sales and/or prices, which in turn, could adversely affect their revenues and profitability:

periods of economic slowdown or recession;

Table of Contents

rising interest rates;

the general availability of mortgage financing, including:

the impact of the recent contraction in the subprime and mortgage markets generally; and

the effect of more stringent lending standards for home mortgages;

adverse changes in economic and general business conditions in the New York metropolitan area;

a decrease in the affordability of homes;

declining demand for real estate;

a negative perception of the market for residential real estate;

commission pressure from brokers who discount their commissions;

acts of God, such as hurricanes, earthquakes and other natural disasters, or acts or threats of war or terrorism;
and/or

an increase in the cost of homeowners insurance.

The three major real estate ventures' current operations are located in the New York metropolitan area. Local and regional economic and general business conditions in this market could differ materially from prevailing conditions in other parts of the country. Among other things, the New York metropolitan area residential real estate market has been impacted by the significant downturn in the financial services industry. A continued downturn in the residential real estate market or economic conditions in that region could have a material adverse effect on these investments.

Potential new investments we may make are unidentified and may not succeed.

We currently hold a significant amount of marketable securities and cash not committed to any specific investments. This subjects a security holder to increased risk and uncertainty because a security holder will not be able to evaluate how this cash will be invested and the economic merits of particular investments. There may be substantial delay in locating suitable investment opportunities. In addition, we may lack relevant management experience in the areas in which we may invest. There is a risk that we will fail in targeting, consummating or effectively integrating or managing any of these investments.

We depend on our key personnel.

We depend on the efforts of our executive officers and other key personnel. While we believe that we could find replacements for these key personnel, the loss of their services could have a significant adverse effect on our operations.

We are exposed to risks from legislation requiring companies to evaluate their internal control over financial reporting.

Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 requires our management to assess, and our independent registered certified public accounting firm to attest to, the effectiveness of our internal control structure and procedures for financial reporting. We completed an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010, and we have an ongoing program to perform the system and process evaluation and testing necessary to continue to comply with these requirements. We expect to continue to incur expense and to devote management resources to Section 404 compliance. In the event that our chief executive officer, chief financial officer or independent registered certified public accounting firm determines that our internal control over financial reporting is not effective as defined under Section 404, investor perceptions and our reputation may be adversely affected and the market price of our stock could decline.

Table of Contents

The price of our common stock may fluctuate significantly.

The trading price of our common stock has ranged between \$12.90 and \$19.81 per share over the past 52 weeks. We expect that the market price of our common stock will continue to fluctuate.

The market price of our common stock may fluctuate in response to numerous factors, many of which are beyond our control. These factors include the following:

- actual or anticipated fluctuations in our operating results;
- changes in expectations as to our future financial performance, including financial estimates by securities analysts and investors;
- the operating and stock performance of our competitors;
- announcements by us or our competitors of new products or services or significant contract, acquisitions, strategic partnerships, joint ventures or capital commitments;
- the initiation or outcome of litigation;
- changes in interest rates;
- general economic, market and political conditions;
- additions or departures of key personnel; and
- future sales of our equity or convertible securities.

We cannot predict the extent, if any, to which future sales of shares of common stock or the availability of shares of common stock for future sale, may depress the trading price of our common stock.

In addition, the stock market in recent years has experienced extreme price and trading volume fluctuations that often have been unrelated or disproportionate to the operating performance of individual companies. These broad market fluctuations may adversely affect the price of our common stock, regardless of our operating performance. Furthermore, stockholders may initiate securities class action lawsuits if the market price of our stock drops significantly, which may cause us to incur substantial costs and could divert the time and attention of our management. These factors, among others, could significantly depress the price of our common stock.

We have many potentially dilutive securities outstanding.

At December 31, 2010, we had outstanding options granted to employees to purchase approximately 2,795,179 shares of our common stock, with a weighted-average exercise price of \$11.57 per share, of which options for 1,014,298 shares were exercisable at December 31, 2010. We also have outstanding convertible notes and debentures maturing in November 2014 and June 2026, which are currently convertible into 17,142,922 shares of our common stock. The issuance of these shares will cause dilution which may adversely affect the market price of our common stock. The availability for sale of significant quantities of our common stock could adversely affect the prevailing market price of the stock.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

Our principal executive offices are located in Miami, Florida. We lease 13,849 square feet of office space from an unaffiliated company in an office building in Miami, which we share with various of our subsidiaries. The lease expires in November 2014.

We lease approximately 18,000 square feet of office space in New York, New York under leases that expire in 2013. Approximately 9,000 square feet of such space has been subleased to unaffiliated third parties for the balance

Table of Contents

of the term of the lease. New Valley's operating properties are discussed above under the description of New Valley's business.

Liggett's tobacco manufacturing facilities, and several of the distribution and storage facilities, are currently located in or near Mebane, North Carolina. Various of such facilities are owned and others are leased. As of December 31, 2010, the principal properties owned or leased by Liggett are as follows:

| Type | Location | Owned or Leased | Approximate Total Square Footage |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| Storage Facilities | Danville, VA | Owned | 578,000 |
| Office and Manufacturing Complex | Mebane, NC | Owned | 240,000 |
| Warehouse | Mebane, NC | Owned | 60,000 |
| Warehouse | Mebane, NC | Leased | 125,000 |
| Warehouse | Mebane, NC | Leased | 22,000 |

Liggett Vector Brands leases approximately 20,000 square feet of office space in Morrisville, North Carolina. The lease expires in January 2014.

Liggett's management believes that its property, plant and equipment are well maintained and in good condition and that its existing facilities are sufficient to accommodate a substantial increase in production.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Liggett and other United States cigarette manufacturers have been named as defendants in numerous, direct, third-party and class actions predicated on the theory that they should be liable for damages from adverse health effects alleged to have been caused by cigarette smoking or by exposure to secondary smoke from cigarettes.

Reference is made to Note 12 to our consolidated financial statements, which contains a general description of certain legal proceedings to which the Company, Liggett, New Valley or their subsidiaries are a party and certain related matters. Reference is also made to Exhibit 99.1, Material Legal Proceedings, incorporated herein, for additional information regarding the pending tobacco-related legal proceedings to which we or Liggett are parties. A copy of Exhibit 99.1 will be furnished without charge upon written request to us at our principal executive offices, 100 S.E. Second Street, Miami, Florida 33131, Attn: Investor Relations.

ITEM 4. RESERVED

Table of Contents**PART II****ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES**

Our common stock is listed and traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol VGR. The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, high and low sale prices for a share of its common stock on the NYSE, as reported by the NYSE, and quarterly cash dividends declared on shares of common stock:

| Year | High | Low | Cash Dividends |
|----------------|-------------|------------|-----------------------|
| 2010: | | | |
| Fourth Quarter | \$ 19.07 | \$ 16.01 | \$.40 |
| Third Quarter | 19.81 | 15.78 | .38 |
| Second Quarter | 16.52 | 13.22 | .38 |
| First Quarter | 15.15 | 12.90 | .38 |
| 2009: | | | |
| Fourth Quarter | \$ 15.04 | \$ 12.85 | \$.38 |
| Third Quarter | 15.22 | 12.44 | .36 |
| Second Quarter | 14.00 | 11.56 | .36 |
| First Quarter | 13.53 | 9.74 | .36 |

At February 18, 2011, there were approximately 1,972 holders of record of our common stock.

The declaration of future cash dividends is within the discretion of our Board of Directors and is subject to a variety of contingencies such as market conditions, earnings and our financial condition as well as the availability of cash.

Liggett's revolving credit agreement currently permits Liggett to pay dividends to VGR Holding only if Liggett's borrowing availability exceeds \$5 million for the 30 days prior to payment of the dividend, and so long as no event of default has occurred under the agreement, including Liggett's compliance with the covenants in the credit facility, including maintaining minimum levels of EBITDA (as defined) if its borrowing availability is less than \$20 million and not exceeding maximum levels of capital expenditures (as defined).

Our 11% Senior Secured Notes due 2015 prohibit our payment of cash dividends or distributions on our common stock if at the time of such payment our Consolidated EBITDA (as defined) for the most recently completed four full fiscal quarters is less than \$50 million. Our Consolidated EBITDA for the four quarters ended December 31, 2010 exceeded \$50 million.

We paid 5% stock dividends on September 29, 2008, September 29, 2009, and September 29, 2010 to the holders of our common stock. All information presented in this report is adjusted for the stock dividends.

Table of Contents**Performance Graph**

The following graph compares the total annual return of our Common Stock, the S&P 500 Index, the S&P MidCap 400 Index and the NYSE Arca Tobacco Index, formerly known as the AMEX Tobacco Index, for the five years ended December 31, 2010. The graph assumes that \$100 was invested on December 31, 2005 in the Common Stock and each of the indices, and that all cash dividends and distributions were reinvested.

| | 12/05 | 12/06 | 12/07 | 12/08 | 12/09 | 12/10 |
|-------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Vector Group Ltd. | 100 | 112 | 144 | 113 | 136 | 194 |
| S&P 500 | 100 | 116 | 122 | 77 | 97 | 112 |
| S&P MidCap | 100 | 110 | 119 | 76 | 104 | 132 |
| NYSE Arca Tobacco | 100 | 140 | 154 | 123 | 173 | 207 |

Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

On December 3, 2010, we sold an additional \$90 million principal amount of our 11% Senior Secured Notes due 2015 at 103% of face value in a private offering to qualified institutional investors in accordance with Rule 144A of the Securities Act of 1933. No other securities of ours which were not registered under the Securities Act of 1933 were issued or sold by us during the three months ended December 31, 2010.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

No other securities of ours which were not registered under the Securities Act of 1933 were purchased by us during the three months ended December 31, 2010.

Table of Contents**EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT**

The table below, together with the accompanying text, presents certain information regarding all our current executive officers as of February 23, 2011. Each of the executive officers serves until the election and qualification of such individual's successor or until such individual's death, resignation or removal by the Board of Directors.

| Name | Age | Position | Year Individual Became an Executive Officer |
|------------------------|------------|-------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| Howard M. Lorber | 62 | President and Chief Executive Officer | 2001 |
| Richard J. Lampen | 57 | Executive Vice President | 1996 |
| J. Bryant Kirkland III | 45 | Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer | 2006 |
| Marc N. Bell | 50 | Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary | 1998 |
| Ronald J. Bernstein | 57 | President and Chief Executive Officer of Liggett | 2000 |

Howard M. Lorber has been our President and Chief Executive Officer since January 2006. He served as our President and Chief Operating Officer from January 2001 to December 2005 and has served as a director of ours since January 2001. From November 1994 to December 2005, Mr. Lorber served as President and Chief Operating Officer of New Valley, where he also served as a director. Mr. Lorber was Chairman of the Board of Hallman & Lorber Assoc., Inc., consultants and actuaries of qualified pension and profit sharing plans, and various of its affiliates from 1975 to December 2004 and has been a consultant to these entities since January 2005; Chairman of the Board of Directors since 1987 and Chief Executive Officer from November 1993 to December 2006 of Nathan's Famous, Inc., a chain of fast food restaurants; a director of United Capital Corp., a real estate investment and diversified manufacturing company, since May 1991; Chairman of the Board of Ladenburg Thalmann Financial Services from May 2001 to July 2006 and Vice Chairman since July 2006; and a Director of Borders Group Inc. since June 2010. He is also a trustee of Long Island University.

Richard J. Lampen has served as our Executive Vice President since July 1996. From October 1995 to December 2005, Mr. Lampen served as the Executive Vice President and General Counsel of New Valley, where he also served as a director. Since September 2006, he has served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Ladenburg Thalmann Financial Services. Since November 1998, he has served as President and Chief Executive Officer of CDSI Holdings Inc., an affiliate of New Valley seeking acquisition or investment opportunities. Since October 2008, Mr. Lampen has served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Castle Brands Inc., a publicly traded developer and importer of premium branded spirits in which we held an approximate 11% equity interest at December 31, 2010. From May 1992 to September 1995, Mr. Lampen was a partner at Steel Hector & Davis, a law firm located in Miami, Florida. From January 1991 to April 1992, Mr. Lampen was a Managing Director at Salomon Brothers Inc, an investment bank, and was an employee at Salomon Brothers Inc from 1986 to April 1992. Mr. Lampen is a director of Castle, CDSI Holdings and Ladenburg Thalmann Financial Services.

J. Bryant Kirkland III has been our Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer since April 2006. Mr. Kirkland has served as a Vice President of ours since January 2001 and served as New Valley's Vice President and Chief Financial Officer from January 1998 to December 2005. He has served since July 1992 in various financial capacities with us, Liggett and New Valley. Mr. Kirkland has served as Vice President, Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer of CDSI Holdings Inc. since January 1998 and as a director of CDSI Holdings Inc. since November 1998.

Marc N. Bell has been our General Counsel and Secretary since May 1994 and our Vice President since January 1998 and the Senior Vice President and General Counsel of Vector Tobacco since April 2002. From November 1994 to December 2005, Mr. Bell served as Associate General Counsel and Secretary of New Valley and from February 1998 to December 2005, as a Vice President of New Valley. Prior to May 1994, Mr. Bell was with the law firm of Zuckerman Spaeder LLP in Miami, Florida and from June 1991 to May 1993, with the law firm of Fischbein Badillo Wagner Harding in New York, New York.

Ronald J. Bernstein has served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Liggett since September 1, 2000 and of Liggett Vector Brands since March 2002 and has been a director of ours since March 2004. From July 1996 to December 1999, Mr. Bernstein served as General Director and, from December 1999 to September 2000, as

Table of Contents

Chairman of Liggett-Ducat, our former Russian tobacco business sold in 2000. Prior to that time, Mr. Bernstein served in various positions with Liggett commencing in 1991, including Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| | (dollars in thousands, except per share amounts) | | | | |
| Statement of Operations Data: | | | | | |
| Revenues ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 1,063,289 | \$ 801,494 | \$ 565,186 | \$ 555,430 | \$ 506,252 |
| Net income | 54,084 | 24,806 | 60,504 | 73,803 | 42,712 |
| Per basic common share ⁽²⁾ : | | | | | |
| Net income applicable to common shares | \$ 0.71 | \$ 0.32 | \$ 0.81 | \$ 1.00 | \$ 0.60 |
| Per diluted common share ⁽²⁾ : | | | | | |
| Net income applicable to common shares | \$ 0.71 | \$ 0.32 | \$ 0.72 | \$ 0.97 | \$ 0.59 |
| Cash distributions declared per common share ⁽²⁾ | \$ 1.54 | \$ 1.47 | \$ 1.40 | \$ 1.33 | \$ 1.27 |
| Balance Sheet Data: | | | | | |
| Current assets | \$ 526,763 | \$ 389,208 | \$ 355,283 | \$ 395,626 | \$ 303,156 |
| Total assets | 949,595 | 735,542 | 717,712 | 785,289 | 637,462 |
| Current liabilities | 226,872 | 149,008 | 296,159 | 109,337 | 168,786 |
| Notes payable, embedded derivatives, long-term debt and other obligations, less current portion | 647,064 | 487,936 | 287,545 | 378,760 | 198,777 |
| Non-current employee benefits, deferred income taxes and other long-term liabilities | 121,893 | 103,280 | 100,403 | 196,340 | 174,922 |
| Stockholders (deficiency) equity | (46,234) | (4,682) | 33,605 | 100,852 | 94,977 |

(1) Revenues include federal excise taxes of \$538,328, \$377,771, \$168,170, \$176,269 and \$174,339, respectively. Effective April 1, 2009, federal excises taxes increased from \$0.39 per pack of cigarettes to \$1.01 per pack of cigarettes.

(2) Per share computations include the impact of 5% stock dividends on September 29, 2010, September 29, 2009, September 29, 2008, September 28, 2007 and September 29, 2006.

Table of Contents

ITEM 7. *MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS*

(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)

Overview

We are a holding company and are engaged principally in:

the manufacture and sale of cigarettes in the United States through our Liggett Group LLC and Vector Tobacco Inc. subsidiaries, and

the real estate business through our New Valley LLC subsidiary, which is seeking to acquire additional operating companies and real estate properties. New Valley owns 50% of Douglas Elliman Realty, LLC, which operates the largest residential brokerage company in the New York metropolitan area.

All of our tobacco operation's unit sales volume in 2010, 2009 and 2008 was in the discount segment, which management believes has been the primary growth segment in the industry for over a decade. The significant discounting of premium cigarettes in recent years has led to brands, such as EVE, that were traditionally considered premium brands to become more appropriately categorized as discount, following list price reductions.

Our tobacco subsidiaries' cigarettes are produced in approximately 136 combinations of length, style and packaging. Liggett's current brand portfolio includes:

PYRAMID the industry's first deep discount product with a brand identity re-launched in the second quarter of 2009, and

GRAND PRIX re-launched as a national brand in 2005,

LIGGETT SELECT a leading brand in the deep discount category,

EVE a leading brand of 120 millimeter cigarettes in the branded discount category, and

USA and various Partner Brands and private label brands.

In 1999, Liggett introduced LIGGETT SELECT, one of the leading brands in the deep discount category. LIGGETT SELECT's unit volume was 13.0% of Liggett's unit volume in 2010, 21.5% in 2009 and 30.1% in 2008. In September 2005, Liggett repositioned GRAND PRIX to distributors and retailers nationwide. GRAND PRIX's unit volume was 18.5% of Liggett's unit volume in 2010, 27.9% in 2009 and 32.6% in 2008. In April 2009, Liggett repositioned PYRAMID as a box-only brand with a new low price to specifically compete with brands which are priced at the lowest level of the deep discount segment. PYRAMID is now the largest seller in Liggett's family of brands with 42.6% of Liggett's unit volume in 2010, 14.6% in 2009 and 0.6% in 2008.

Under the Master Settlement Agreement reached in November 1998 with 46 states and various territories, the three largest cigarette manufacturers must make settlement payments to the states and territories based on how many cigarettes they sell annually. Liggett, however, is not required to make any payments unless its market share exceeds approximately 1.65% of the U.S. cigarette market. Additionally, Vector Tobacco has no payment obligation unless its market share exceeds approximately 0.28% of the U.S. market. Liggett's and Vector Tobacco's payments under the

Master Settlement Agreement are based on each company's incremental market share above the minimum threshold applicable to such company. We believe that our tobacco subsidiaries have gained a sustainable cost advantage over their competitors as a result of the settlement.

The discount segment is a challenging marketplace, with consumers having less brand loyalty and placing greater emphasis on price. Liggett's competition is now divided into two segments. The first segment is made up of the three largest manufacturers of cigarettes in the United States, Philip Morris USA Inc., Reynolds America Inc., and Lorillard Tobacco Company. The three largest manufacturers, while primarily premium cigarette based companies, also produce and sell discount cigarettes. The second segment of competition is comprised of a group of smaller manufacturers and importers, most of which sell deep discount cigarettes. Our largest competitor in this segment is Commonwealth Brands, Inc. (a wholly owned subsidiary of Imperial Tobacco PLC).

Table of Contents**Recent Developments**

Senior Secured Notes. We have outstanding \$415,000 principal amount of our 11% Senior Secured Notes due 2015 (the Senior Secured Notes). The Senior Secured Notes were sold in August 2007 (\$165,000), September 2009 (\$85,000), April 2010 (\$75,000) and December 2010 (\$90,000) in private offerings to qualified institutional investors in accordance with Rule 144A of the Securities Act of 1933.

In May 2008 and June 2010, we completed offers to exchange the Senior Secured Notes then outstanding for an equal amount of newly issued 11% Senior Secured Notes due 2015. The new Senior Secured Notes have substantially the same terms as the original Notes, except that the new Senior Secured Notes have been registered under the Securities Act. We agreed to consummate a registered exchange offer for the additional Senior Secured Notes issued in December 2010 within 360 days after the date of their initial issuance. If we fail to timely comply with our registration obligations, we will be required to pay additional interest on these notes until we comply. We are amortizing the deferred costs and debt discount related to the Senior Secured Notes over the estimated life of the debt.

5% Variable Interest Senior Convertible Notes Due November 2011. Between November 2004 and April 2005, we sold \$111,864 principal amount of our 5% Variable Interest Senior Convertible Notes due November 15, 2011 (the 5% Notes). In May 2009, the holder of \$11,005 principal amount of the 5% Notes exchanged its 5% Notes for \$11,775 principal amount of our 6.75% Variable Interest Senior Convertible Note due 2014 (the 6.75% Note) as discussed below. In June 2009, certain holders of \$99,944 principal amount of the 5% Notes exchanged their 5% Notes for \$106,940 principal amount of our 6.75% Variable Interest Senior Convertible Exchange Notes due 2014 (the 6.75% Exchange Notes). In November 2009, we retired \$360 of the remaining \$915 principal amount of the 5% Notes for cash and exchanged approximately \$555 of the remaining 5% Notes for \$593 principal amount of the 6.75% Exchange Notes. As of December 31, 2009, no 5% Notes remained outstanding after these exchanges.

We recorded a loss of \$18,573 associated with the extinguishment of the 5% Notes for the year ended December 31, 2009.

6.75% Variable Interest Senior Convertible Note due 2014. On May 11, 2009, we issued in a private placement the 6.75% Note in the principal amount of \$50,000. The purchase price was paid in cash (\$38,225) and by tendering \$11,005 principal amount of the 5% Notes, valued at 107% of principal amount. The note pays interest (Total Interest) on a quarterly basis at a rate of 3.75% per annum plus additional interest, which is based on the amount of cash dividends paid during the prior three-month period ending on the record date for such interest payment multiplied by the total number of shares of its common stock into which the debt will be convertible on such record date. Notwithstanding the foregoing, however, the interest payable on each interest payment date shall be the higher of (i) the Total Interest or (ii) 6.75% per annum. The note is convertible into our common stock at the holder's option. The conversion price of \$13.64 per share (approximately 73.3045 shares of common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of the note) is subject to adjustment for various events, including the issuance of stock dividends. The note matures on November 15, 2014. We will redeem on May 11, 2014 and at the end of each interest accrual period thereafter an additional amount, if any, of the note necessary to prevent the note from being treated as an Applicable High Yield Discount Obligation under the Internal Revenue Code. If a fundamental change (as defined in the note) occurs, we will be required to offer to repurchase the note at 100% of its principal amount, plus accrued interest.

The purchaser of this 6.75% Note is an entity affiliated with Dr. Phillip Frost, who reported, after the consummation of the sale, beneficial ownership of approximately 11.7% of our common stock.

6.75% Variable Interest Senior Convertible Exchange Notes due 2014. On June 15, 2009, we entered into agreements with certain holders of the 5% Notes to exchange their 5% notes for our 6.75% Exchange Notes. On June 30, 2009, we accepted for exchange \$99,944 principal amount of the 5% Notes for \$106,940 principal amount of our 6.75%

Exchange Notes. In November, 2009, we exchanged approximately \$555 of the remaining 5% Notes for \$593 principal amount of our 6.75% Variable Interest Senior Convertible Exchange Notes due 2014.

We issued the 6.75% Exchange Notes to the holders in reliance on the exemption from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933 afforded by Section 3(a)(9) thereof. The notes pay interest (Total

Table of Contents

Interest) on a quarterly basis beginning August 15, 2009 at a rate of 3.75% per annum plus additional interest, which is based on the amount of cash dividends paid during the prior three-month period ending on the record date for such interest payment multiplied by the total number of shares of its common stock into which the debt will be convertible on such record date. Notwithstanding the foregoing, however, the interest payable on each interest payment date shall be the higher of (i) the Total Interest or (ii) 6.75% per annum. The notes are convertible into our common stock at the holder's option. The conversion price of \$15.48 per share (approximately 64.6135 shares of common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of notes) is subject to adjustment for various events, including the issuance of stock dividends. The notes will mature on November 15, 2014. We will redeem on June 30, 2014 and at the end of each interest accrual period thereafter an additional amount, if any, of the notes necessary to prevent the notes from being treated as an Applicable High Yield Discount Obligation under the Internal Revenue Code. If a fundamental change (as defined in the indenture) occurs, we will be required to offer to repurchase the notes at 100% of their principal amount, plus accrued interest and, under certain circumstances, a make whole payment.

Enacted and proposed excise tax increases. On April 1, 2009, the federal cigarette excise tax was increased from \$3.90 per carton (\$0.39 per pack) to \$10.07 per carton (\$1.01 per pack). As of the financial statement issuance date, seven states had enacted increases to state excise taxes in 2010 and further increases in states' excise taxes are expected.

Philip Morris Brand Transaction. On February 19, 2009, Philip Morris exercised the Class B option to purchase interest in Trademarks LLC. This option entitled Philip Morris to purchase the Class B redeemable non-voting interest for \$139,900, reduced by the amount previously distributed to Eve of \$134,900. In connection with the exercise of the Class B option, Philip Morris paid to Eve approximately \$5,000 (including a pro-rata share of its guaranteed payment) and Eve was released from its guaranty. We recognized a gain of \$5,000 in connection with the transaction in 2009.

Investment in Real Estate. In March 2008, a subsidiary of New Valley purchased a loan collateralized by a substantial portion of a 450-acre approved master planned community in Palm Springs, California known as Escena. The loan, which was in foreclosure, was purchased for its \$20,000 face value plus accrued interest and other costs of \$1,445. The collateral consists of 867 residential lots with site and public infrastructure, an 18-hole golf course, a substantially completed clubhouse, and a seven-acre site approved for a 450-room hotel.

In April 2009, New Valley's subsidiary entered into a settlement agreement with a guarantor of the loan, which requires the guarantor to satisfy its obligations under a completion guaranty by completing improvements to the project in settlement, among other things, of its payment guarantees. In addition, the guarantor agreed to pay approximately \$250 in legal fees and \$1,000 of delinquent taxes and penalties and post a letter of credit to secure its construction obligations. As a result of this settlement, we calculated the fair market value of the investment as of March 31, 2009, utilizing the most recent as-is appraisal of the collateral and the value of the completion guaranty less estimated costs to dispose of the property. Based on these estimates, we determined that the fair market value was less than the carrying amount of the mortgage receivable at March 31, 2009, by approximately \$5,000. Accordingly, the reserve was increased and a charge of \$5,000 was recorded in the first quarter of 2009. On April 15, 2009, New Valley completed the foreclosure process and on April 16, 2009, took title to the property. We reclassified the loan from Mortgage receivable at March 31, 2009 to Investment in real estate at June 30, 2009 on our consolidated balance sheet. It was carried at \$13,354 as of December 31, 2010.

We recorded a loss of \$631 for the year ended December 31, 2010 from the Escena operations.

Real Estate Activities. New Valley accounts for its 50% interest in Douglas Elliman Realty LLC and its 40% interest in New Valley Oaktree Chelsea Eleven LLC on the equity method. Douglas Elliman Realty operates the largest residential brokerage company in the New York metropolitan area.

New Valley Oaktree Chelsea Eleven, LLC. Chelsea Eleven LLC sold 25 condominium units during 2010. As of February 23, 2011, 34 of the 54 units in the Chelsea Eleven LLC real estate development had been sold.

As of December 31, 2010, Chelsea Eleven LLC had approximately \$54,053 of total assets and \$24,142 of total liabilities, excluding amounts owed to New Valley Oaktree Chelsea Eleven LLC (approximately \$103,757 at December 31, 2010).

Table of Contents

Chelsea Eleven LLC retired its construction loan during the second quarter of 2010 from the proceeds of the sales of units. In addition, on July 1, 2010, Chelsea Eleven LLC borrowed \$47,100 (approximately \$18,200 as of December 31, 2010), which is due on July 1, 2012, bearing interest at 14% per annum. The proceeds were used to retire Chelsea Eleven LLC's then outstanding mezzanine debt (approximately \$37,200) and other working capital purposes.

As of December 31, 2010, we had received net distributions of \$1,042 from New Valley Oaktree Chelsea Eleven LLC (\$4,905 before accounting for loans of \$3,863). Our maximum exposure to loss on our investment in New Valley Chelsea Eleven LLC is \$10,958 at December 31, 2010.

Aberdeen Townhomes LLC. In June 2008, a subsidiary of New Valley purchased a preferred equity interest in Aberdeen Townhomes LLC for \$10,000. Aberdeen acquired five townhome residences located in Manhattan, New York, which it is in the process of rehabilitating and selling. We recorded an impairment loss of \$3,500 related to Aberdeen in each of 2008 and 2009.

In September 2009, one of the five townhomes was sold and the mortgage of approximately \$8,700 was retired. We received a preferred return distribution of approximately \$1,752. We did not record a gain or loss on the sale.

Aberdeen sold a townhome in both January 2010 and August 2010 and the two respective mortgages of approximately \$14,350 were retired. We received a preferred return distribution of approximately \$970 in connection with the sales. In addition, Aberdeen received \$375 in August 2010 from escrow on the January 2010 sale.

In August 2010, we acquired the mortgage loans from Wachovia Bank, N.A. on the two remaining townhomes for approximately \$13,500. In accordance with the accounting guidance as to variable interest entities, we reassessed the primary beneficiary status of the Aberdeen variable interest entity (VIE) and determined that, in August 2010, we became the primary beneficiary of this VIE because we obtained the power to direct activities which significantly impact the economic performance of the VIE; and since we now own the mortgages, we will absorb losses and returns of the VIE.

We are the primary beneficiary of the VIE, and as a result, our consolidated financial statements now include the account balances of Aberdeen Townhomes LLC as of December 31, 2010. These balances include:

| | December 31, 2010 |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Cash | \$ 4 |
| Restricted cash | 351 |
| Investment in townhomes | 16,275 |
| Accounts payable | 1,401 |

The \$16,275 investment in townhomes is based on September 2010 third-party appraisals, net of estimated selling expenses. We recognized a gain of \$760 primarily resulting from the acquisition of mortgage loans and \$352 of operating income after consolidation.

In February 2011, Aberdeen sold one of the two remaining townhomes for approximately \$12,500 before closing costs. The property was carried at \$8,463 as of December 31, 2010.

Other recent investments in non-consolidated real estate businesses. In 2010, New Valley, through its NV 955 LLC subsidiary, contributed \$18,000 to a joint venture, Fifty Third-Five Building LLC, which was formed for the purposes

of acquiring a defaulted real estate loan, collateralized by real estate located in New York City. The loan was acquired for approximately \$35,500. Litigation on the defaulted real estate loan is pending.

In October 2010, New Valley, through its NV Milan LLC subsidiary, acquired a 7.2% interest in Sesto Holdings S.r.l. for approximately \$5,000. Sesto holds a 42% interest in an entity that has purchased approximately 322 acres in Milan, Italy. Sesto intends to develop the land as a multi-parcel, multi-building mixed use urban regeneration project.

Losses on Long-term Investments. We recorded a loss of \$21,900 in 2008 due to the performance of three of our long-term investments in various investment funds in 2008. During 2008, one of our long-term investments was

Table of Contents

impaired due to a portion of its underlying assets being held in an account with the European subsidiary of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. while our other long-term investments were impaired as a result of the funds' performances in 2008. We record impairment charges when it is determined an other-than-temporary decline in fair value exists in any of our long-term investments. Thus, future impairment charges may occur. In April 2008, we elected to withdraw our investment in Jefferies Buckeye Fund, LLC ("Buckeye Fund"), a privately managed investment partnership, of which Jefferies Asset Management, LLC is the portfolio manager. We recorded a loss of \$567 during the first quarter of 2008 associated with the Buckeye Fund's performance, which has been included as "Other expense" on our consolidated statement of operations. We received proceeds of \$8,328 in May 2008 and received an additional \$925 of proceeds in 2009, which was included in "Other current assets" on our consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2008. Another of our long-term investments was liquidated in January 2011, and we received proceeds of \$8,886. This investment had a carrying value of \$4,750 as of December 31, 2010.

Recent Developments in Tobacco-Related Litigation

The cigarette industry continues to be challenged on numerous fronts. New cases continue to be commenced against Liggett and other cigarette manufacturers. As of December 31, 2010, there were approximately 6,900 individual suits, six purported class actions and four healthcare cost recovery actions pending in the United States in which Liggett or us, or both, were named as a defendant. To date, adverse verdicts have been entered against Liggett in four *Engle* progeny cases. As of December 31, 2010, 43 alleged *Engle* progeny cases, where Liggett is currently named as a defendant, were scheduled for trial in 2011.

Liggett Only Cases. There are currently seven cases pending where Liggett is the only tobacco company defendant. Cases where Liggett is the only defendant could increase substantially as a result of the *Engle* progeny cases. In February 2009, in *Ferlanti v. Liggett Group*, a Florida state court jury awarded compensatory damages of \$1,200 as well as \$96 in expenses, but found that the plaintiff was 40% at fault. Therefore, plaintiff's award was reduced to \$720 in compensatory damages. Punitive damages were not awarded. In February 2011, the award was affirmed on appeal. In September 2010, the court awarded plaintiff's attorneys' fees of \$996. Liggett has accrued \$2,000 for this matter for the year ended December 31, 2010. In *Blich v. R.J. Reynolds*, an *Engle* progeny case, trial is scheduled for March 7, 2011. In *O'Dwyer-Harkins v. R.J. Reynolds*, an *Engle* progeny case, trial is scheduled for August 8, 2011. There has been no recent activity in *Hausrath v. Philip Morris*, a case pending in New York state court, where two individuals are suing. The other three individual actions, in which Liggett is the only tobacco company defendant, are dormant. In *Davis v. Liggett Group*, another Liggett only case, judgment was entered against Liggett in the amount of \$540 plus attorneys' fees. The judgment was paid by Liggett and this matter is concluded.

Engle Progeny Cases. In 2000, a jury in *Engle v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co.* rendered a \$145,000,000 punitive damages verdict in favor of a Florida Class against certain cigarette manufacturers, including Liggett. Pursuant to the Florida Supreme Court's July 2006 ruling in *Engle*, which decertified the class on a prospective basis, and affirmed the appellate court's reversal of the punitive damages award, former class members had one year from January 11, 2007 in which to file individual lawsuits. In addition, some individuals who filed suit prior to January 11, 2007, and who claim they meet the conditions in *Engle*, are attempting to avail themselves of the *Engle* ruling. Lawsuits by individuals requesting the benefit of the *Engle* ruling, whether filed before or after the January 11, 2007 deadline, are referred to as the *Engle* progeny cases. Liggett and the Company have been named in 6,827 *Engle* progeny cases in both federal (3,735 cases) and state (3,092 cases) courts in Florida. Other cigarette manufacturers have also been named as defendants in these cases, although as a case proceeds, one or more defendants may ultimately be dismissed from the action. These cases include approximately 9,100 plaintiffs, 671 of which represent consortium claims. The number of state court *Engle* progeny cases may increase as multi-plaintiff cases continue to be severed into individual cases. The total number of plaintiffs may also increase as a result of attempts by existing plaintiffs to add additional parties.

Through December 31, 2010, there were 20 plaintiffs' verdicts against the industry in *Engle* progeny cases, including four adverse verdicts against Liggett, above, and 11 defense verdicts. The plaintiffs' verdicts are currently on appeal. Several defense verdicts have also been appealed.

Table of Contents**Critical Accounting Policies**

General. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses. Significant estimates subject to material changes in the near term include restructuring and impairment charges, inventory valuation, deferred tax assets, allowance for doubtful accounts, promotional accruals, sales returns and allowances, actuarial assumptions of pension plans, the estimated fair value of embedded derivative liabilities, settlement accruals, restructuring, long-term investments and impairments, accounting for investments in equity securities, and litigation and defense costs. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Revenue Recognition. Revenues from sales of cigarettes are recognized upon the shipment of finished goods when title and risk of loss have passed to the customer, there is persuasive evidence of an arrangement, the sale price is determinable and collectibility is reasonably assured. We provide an allowance for expected sales returns, net of any related inventory cost recoveries. In accordance with authoritative guidance on how taxes collected from customers and remitted to governmental authorities should be presented in the income statement (that is, gross versus net presentation), our accounting policy is to include federal excise taxes in revenues and cost of goods sold. Such revenues and cost of sales totaled \$538,328, \$377,771, and \$168,170 for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Since our primary line of business is tobacco, our financial position and our results of operations and cash flows have been and could continue to be materially adversely affected by significant unit sales volume declines, litigation and defense costs, increased tobacco costs or reductions in the selling price of cigarettes in the near term.

Marketing Costs. We record marketing costs as an expense in the period to which such costs relate. We do not defer the recognition of any amounts on our consolidated balance sheets with respect to marketing costs. We expense advertising costs as incurred, which is the period in which the related advertisement initially appears. We record consumer incentive and trade promotion costs as a reduction in revenue in the period in which these programs are offered, based on estimates of utilization and redemption rates that are developed from historical information.

Restructuring and Asset Impairment Charges. We have recorded charges related to employee severance and benefits, asset impairments, contract termination and other associated exit costs during 2003, 2004, 2006 and 2009. The calculation of severance pay requires management to identify employees to be terminated and the timing of their severance from employment. The calculation of benefits charges requires actuarial assumptions including determination of discount rates. The asset impairments were recorded in accordance with authoritative guidance on accounting for the impairment or disposal of long-lived assets, which requires management to estimate the fair value of assets to be disposed of. These restructuring charges are based on management's best estimate at the time of restructuring. The status of the restructuring activities is reviewed on a quarterly basis and any adjustments to the reserve, which could differ materially from previous estimates, are recorded as an adjustment to operating income.

Contingencies. We record Liggett's product liability legal expenses and other litigation costs as operating, selling, general and administrative expenses as those costs are incurred. As discussed in Note 12 to our consolidated financial statements and above under the heading "Recent Developments in Tobacco-Related Litigation", legal proceedings are pending or threatened in various jurisdictions against Liggett. A large number of individual product liability cases have been filed in state and federal courts in Florida as a result of the Florida Supreme Court's decision in the *Engle* case. We record a provision for loss in litigation in our consolidated financial statements when we believe an unfavorable outcome is probable and the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated. In all our pending legal proceedings, management is unable to make a reasonable estimate with respect to the amount or range of loss that could result from an unfavorable outcome of pending tobacco-related litigation or the costs of defending such cases, and, except as disclosed in Note 12 to our consolidated financial statements, we have not provided any amounts in our

consolidated financial statements for unfavorable outcomes, if any. You should not infer from the absence of any such reserve in our consolidated financial statements that Liggett will not be subject to significant tobacco-related liabilities in the future. Litigation is subject to many uncertainties, and it is possible that our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows could be materially adversely affected by an unfavorable outcome in any such tobacco-related litigation.

Table of Contents

Settlement Agreements. As discussed in Note 12 to our consolidated financial statements, Liggett and Vector Tobacco are participants in the Master Settlement Agreement, the 1998 agreement to settle governmental healthcare cost recovery actions brought by various states. Liggett and Vector Tobacco have no payment obligations under the Master Settlement Agreement except to the extent their market shares exceed approximately 1.65% and 0.28%, respectively, of total cigarettes sold in the United States. Their obligations, and the related expense charges under the Master Settlement Agreement, are subject to adjustments based upon, among other things, the volume of cigarettes sold by Liggett and Vector Tobacco, their relative market shares and inflation. Since relative market shares are based on cigarette shipments, the best estimate of the allocation of charges under the Master Settlement Agreement is recorded in cost of goods sold as the products are shipped. Settlement expenses under the Master Settlement Agreement recorded in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations were \$135,684 for 2010, \$67,158 for 2009 and \$48,554 for 2008. Adjustments to these estimates are recorded in the period that the change becomes probable and the amount can be reasonably estimated.

Embedded Derivatives and Beneficial Conversion Feature. We measure all derivatives, including certain derivatives embedded in other contracts, at fair value and recognize them in the consolidated balance sheet as an asset or a liability, depending on our rights and obligations under the applicable derivative contract. We have issued variable interest senior convertible debt in a series of private placements where a portion of the total interest payable on the debt is computed by reference to the cash dividends paid on our common stock. This portion of the interest payment is considered an embedded derivative within the convertible debt, which we are required to separately value. As a result, we have bifurcated this embedded derivative and estimated the fair value of the embedded derivative liability. The resulting discount created by allocating a portion of the issuance proceeds to the embedded derivative is then amortized to interest expense over the term of the debt using the effective interest method.

At December 31, 2010 and 2009, the fair value of derivative liabilities was estimated at \$141,492 and \$153,016, respectively. The decrease is due to the gains on the changes in fair value of convertible debt.

Changes to the fair value of these embedded derivatives are reflected on our consolidated statements of operations as

Changes in fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt. The value of the embedded derivative is contingent on changes in interest rates of debt instruments maturing over the duration of the convertible debt as well as projections of future cash and stock dividends over the term of the debt. We recognized a gain of \$11,524 in 2010, a loss of \$35,925 in 2009 and a gain of \$24,337 in 2008 due to changes in the fair value of the embedded derivatives.

After giving effect to the recording of embedded derivative liabilities as a discount to the convertible debt, our common stock had a fair value at the issuance date of the notes in excess of the conversion price, resulting in a beneficial conversion feature. The intrinsic value of the beneficial conversion feature was recorded as additional paid-in capital and as a further discount on the debt. The discount is then amortized to interest expense over the term of the debt using the effective interest rate method.

We recognized non-cash interest expense of \$4,437, \$5,390 and \$5,805 in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, due to the amortization of the debt discount attributable to the embedded derivatives and \$2,531, \$2,869, and \$2,963 in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, due to the amortization of the debt discount attributable to the beneficial conversion feature.

Inventories. Tobacco inventories are stated at lower of cost or market and are determined primarily by the last-in, first-out (LIFO) method at Liggett and Vector Tobacco. Although portions of leaf tobacco inventories may not be used or sold within one year because of time required for aging, they are included in current assets, which is common practice in the industry. We estimate an inventory reserve for excess quantities and obsolete items based on specific identification and historical write-offs, taking into account future demand and market conditions.

Stock-Based Compensation. Our stock-based compensation uses a fair value-based method to recognize non-cash compensation expense for share-based transactions. Under the fair value recognition provisions, we recognize stock-based compensation net of an estimated forfeiture rate and only recognize compensation cost for those shares expected to vest on a straight line basis over the requisite service period of the award. We recognized stock-based compensation expense of \$1,218, \$292 and \$186 in 2010, 2009 and 2008 related to the amortization of stock option awards and \$1,452, \$3,350 and \$3,364 related to the amortization of restricted stock grants. As of

Table of Contents

December 31, 2010 and 2009, there was \$4,057 and \$5,171, respectively, of total unrecognized cost related to employee stock options and \$5,086 and \$5,705, respectively, of total unrecognized cost related to restricted stock grants. See Note 11 to our consolidated financial statements.

Employee Benefit Plans. The determination of our net pension and other postretirement benefit income or expense is dependent on our selection of certain assumptions used by actuaries in calculating such amounts. Those assumptions include, among others, the discount rate, expected long-term rate of return on plan assets and rates of increase in compensation and healthcare costs. We determine discount rates by using a quantitative analysis that considers the prevailing prices of investment grade bonds and the anticipated cash flow from our two qualified defined benefit plans and our postretirement medical and life insurance plans. These analyses construct a hypothetical bond portfolio whose cash flow from coupons and maturities match the annual projected cash flows from our pension and retiree health plans. As of December 31, 2010, our benefit obligations and service cost were computed assuming a discount rate of 5.25% and 5.75%, respectively. In determining our expected rate of return on plan assets we consider input from our external advisors and historical returns based on the expected long-term rate of return is the weighted average of the target asset allocation of each individual asset class. Our actual 10-year annual rate of return on our pension plan assets was 4.8%, 3.0% and 2.5% for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and our actual five-year annual rate of return on our pension plan assets was 5.7%, 3.5% and 1.2% for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. In computing expense for the year ended December 31, 2011, we will use an assumption of a 7% annual rate of return on our pension plan assets. In accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, actual results that differ from our assumptions are accumulated and amortized over future periods and therefore, generally affect our recognized income or expense in such future periods. While we believe that our assumptions are appropriate, significant differences in our actual experience or significant changes in our assumptions may materially affect our future net pension and other postretirement benefit income or expense.

Net pension expense for defined benefit pension plans and other postretirement benefit expense was \$5,001, \$4,435 and \$3,445 for 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and we currently anticipate such expense will be approximately \$3,300 for 2011. In contrast, our funding obligations under the pension plans are governed by the Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA). To comply with ERISA 's minimum funding requirements, we do not currently anticipate that we will be required to make any funding to the tax qualified pension plans for the pension plan year beginning on January 1, 2011 and ending on December 31, 2011.

Long-Term Investments and Impairments. At December 31, 2010, we had long-term investments of \$56,987, which consisted primarily of investment partnerships investing in investment securities and real estate. The investments in these investment partnerships are illiquid and the ultimate realization of these investments is subject to the performance of the underlying partnership and its management by the general partners. The estimated fair value of the investment partnerships is provided by the partnerships based on the indicated market values of the underlying assets or investment portfolio. Gains are recognized when realized in our consolidated statement of operations. Losses are recognized as realized or upon the determination of the occurrence of an other-than-temporary decline in fair value. On a quarterly basis, we evaluate our investments to determine whether an impairment has occurred. If so, we also make a determination of whether such impairment is considered temporary or other-than-temporary. We believe that the assessment of temporary or other-than-temporary impairment is facts and circumstances driven. However, among the matters that are considered in making such a determination are the period of time the investment has remained below its cost or carrying value, the severity of the decline, the likelihood of recovery given the reason for the decrease in market value and our original expected holding period of the investment.

Income Taxes. The application of income tax law is inherently complex. Laws and regulations in this area are voluminous and are often ambiguous. As such, we are required to make many subjective assumptions and judgments regarding our income tax exposures. Interpretations of and guidance surrounding income tax laws and regulations

change over time and, as a result, changes in our subjective assumptions and judgments may materially affect amounts recognized in our consolidated financial statements. See Note 10 to our consolidated financial statements for additional information regarding our accounting for income taxes and uncertain tax positions.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations**

The following discussion provides an assessment of our results of operations, capital resources and liquidity and should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this report. The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of VGR Holding, Liggett, Vector Tobacco, Liggett Vector Brands, New Valley and other less significant subsidiaries.

As a result of our suspension of low nicotine and nicotine-free cigarette products by Vector Tobacco and significant reductions in Vector Tobacco's related research activities, we reevaluated our operating segments and combined the Liggett and Vector Tobacco businesses into a single Tobacco segment. For purposes of this discussion and other consolidated financial reporting, our significant business segments for the three years ended December 31, 2010 were Tobacco and Real Estate. The Tobacco segment consists of the manufacture and sale of cigarettes and the research related to reduced risk products. The Real Estate segment includes the Company's investment in Escena and investments in non-consolidated real estate businesses. The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies and can be found in Note 1 to our consolidated financial statements. Prior year segment information has been recast to conform to the current presentation.

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | |
| Revenues: | | | |
| Tobacco | \$ 1,063,289 | \$ 801,494 | \$ 565,186 |
| Operating income: | | | |
| Tobacco | 130,157 ⁽¹⁾ | 160,915 ⁽²⁾ | 161,850 |
| Real estate | (631) | (886) | |
| Corporate and other | (18,213) | (16,862) | (26,546) |
| Total operating income | \$ 111,313 | \$ 143,167 | \$ 135,304 |

(1) Operating income includes litigation judgment expense of \$16,161 and a \$3,000 settlement charge.

(2) Operating income includes a gain of \$5,000 on the Philip Morris brand transaction completed February 2009 and restructuring costs of \$900.

2010 Compared to 2009

Revenues. All of our revenues were from the Tobacco segment for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Liggett increased the list price of LIGGETT SELECT and EVE by \$0.90 per carton in February 2009 and an additional \$7.10 per carton in March 2009. Liggett increased the list price of GRAND PRIX by \$7.20 per carton in March 2009. In June 2009, Liggett increased the list price of all brands by \$0.10 per carton in conjunction with the user fees imposed by the passage of the bill granting the FDA jurisdiction over tobacco. Liggett increased the list price of LIGGETT SELECT, EVE, and GRAND PRIX by \$0.60 per carton in January 2010, an additional \$0.65 per carton in May 2010, and an additional \$0.75 per carton in October 2010. Liggett increased the list price of PYRAMID by \$1.30 per carton in January 2011.

All of our sales were in the discount category for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Revenues were \$1,063,289 for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to \$801,494 in 2009. Revenues increased by 32.7% (\$261,795) due to a favorable price variance of \$93,510, primarily related to increases in price of LIGGETT SELECT and GRAND PRIX (primarily associated with the increase in federal excise taxes on cigarettes) and a favorable sales volume variance of \$170,290 (approximately 2,133.3 million units or a 24.9% increase in unit volume primarily related to PYRAMID) offset by an unfavorable mix variance of \$1,976.

Tobacco Gross Profit. Tobacco gross profit was \$218,183 for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to \$224,109 in 2009. The \$5,926 (2.6%) decrease was primarily due to the sales mix. As a percent of revenues (excluding federal excise taxes), Tobacco gross profit decreased to 41.6% for year ended December 31, 2010

Table of Contents

compared to gross profit of 52.9% for the same period in 2009 due to the sales mix and an increase in MSA expense due to growth in market share offset by increased sales prices in 2010.

Expenses. Operating, selling, general and administrative expenses were \$90,709 for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to \$85,041 for the same period last year, an increase of \$5,668 (6.7%). Tobacco expenses, not including the \$16,161 litigation judgment expense and a \$3,000 settlement charge, were \$68,865 for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to \$68,194 for the same period in 2009, an increase of \$671 (1.0%) which was primarily the result of an increase in personnel and freight costs associated with increased unit sales in 2010 offset by a decrease of pension expense of approximately \$2,000 and a decrease in research expenses of \$951. Tobacco product liability legal expenses and other litigation costs were \$10,028 and \$6,000 for the year ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. In addition, we recorded \$16,161 of expense associated with a litigation judgment paid in 2010. Expenses at the corporate segment increased from \$15,691 to \$21,213 due primarily to higher expenses associated with our Supplemental Retirement Plan and professional fees in 2010. The real estate segment expenses decreased from \$886 in 2009 to \$631 in 2010 related to expenses incurred in connection with Escena's operations.

Operating income. Operating income was \$111,313 for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to \$143,167 for the same period last year, a decrease of \$31,854 (22.2%). Tobacco segment operating income decreased from \$160,915 for the year ended December 31, 2009 to \$130,157 for the same period in 2010 primarily due to the \$16,161 litigation judgment expense and the \$3,000 settlement charge recorded in 2010, the absence of a gain recorded in 2009 of \$5,000 from the Philip Morris brands transaction in 2010 and lower margins on higher volume brands in 2010. The real estate segment's operating loss of \$631 in 2010 related primarily to Escena's operations. The operating loss at the corporate segment was \$18,213 for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to \$16,862 for the same period last year, an increase of \$1,351.

Other Income (Expenses). Other expenses were \$25,743 for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to \$114,630 for the past year. For the year ended December 31, 2010, other expenses primarily consisted of interest expense of \$84,096 offset by other income of \$11,524 for changes in fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt, net realized gains on investments held for sale of \$19,869, equity income on non-consolidated real estate businesses of \$23,963, equity income on a long-term investment of \$1,489 and interest and other income of \$1,508. For the year ended December 31, 2009, other expenses primarily consisted of interest expense of \$68,490, a loss on the extinguishment of the 5% Notes of \$18,573, a loss of \$35,925 for changes in fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt, a loss of \$8,500 associated with a decline in value in the Escena mortgage receivable of \$5,000 and the Aberdeen real estate investment of \$3,500, offset by equity income of \$15,213 on non-consolidated real estate businesses and other income of \$1,645.

The fair value of the embedded derivatives is contingent on changes in interest rates of debt instruments maturing over the duration of the convertible debt, our stock price as well as projections of future cash and stock dividends over the term of the debt. The income of \$11,524 from the embedded derivative for the year ended December 31, 2010 was primarily the result of increasing spreads between corporate convertible debt and risk-free investments offset by interest payments during the period. The loss of \$35,925 for the year ended December 31, 2009, was primarily the result of declining spreads between corporate convertible debt and risk-free investments offset by interest payments during the period.

Income before income taxes. Income before income taxes for the year ended December 31, 2010 was \$85,570 compared to income before income taxes of \$28,537 in 2009.

Income tax expense. The income tax expense was \$31,486 for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to \$3,731 for the same period in 2009.

Vector's income tax rates for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 do not bear a customary relationship to statutory income tax rates as a result of the impact of nondeductible expenses, state income taxes and interest and penalties accrued on unrecognized tax benefits offset by the impact of the domestic production activities deduction. In addition, we recorded a benefit of \$6,166 for the year ended December 31, 2009, resulting from the reduction of a previously established valuation allowance of a deferred tax asset. The net deferred tax asset has been recognized for state tax net operating losses at Vector Tobacco Inc. after evaluating the impact of the negative and positive evidence that such asset would be realized.

Table of Contents**2009 Compared to 2008**

Revenues. All of our revenues were from the Tobacco segment for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. In April 2008, Liggett increased the list price of GRAND PRIX by \$0.40 per carton. In addition, in April 2008, Liggett decreased the early payment terms on its cigarettes from 2.75% to 2.25% of invoice amount. In August 2008, Liggett increased the list price of LIGGETT SELECT, EVE and GRAND PRIX by \$1.00 per carton. Liggett increased the list price of LIGGETT SELECT and EVE by \$0.90 per carton in February 2009 and an additional \$7.10 per carton in March 2009. Liggett increased the list price of GRAND PRIX by \$7.20 per carton in March 2009. In June 2009, Liggett increased the list price of all brands by \$0.10 per carton in conjunction with the user fees imposed by the passage of the bill granting the FDA jurisdiction over tobacco.

All of our sales were in the discount category for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Revenues were \$801,494 for the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to \$565,186 in 2008. Revenues increased by 41.8% (\$236,308) due to a favorable price variance of \$227,112 and sales mix of \$14,401 primarily related to LIGGETT SELECT and GRAND PRIX offset by an unfavorable volume variance of \$4,837 (approximately 63.6 million units). The favorable price variance was primarily attributable to increases of \$209,601 in federal excise taxes associated with the increase in tax rate effective April 1, 2009. Net revenues of the LIGGETT SELECT brand increased \$7,661 for the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to 2008 from a favorable variance from pricing of \$60,304 (\$29,024 attributable to the excise tax increase) offset by a decrease in unit volume of 29.0% (751.1 million units). Net revenues of the GRAND PRIX brand increased \$45,366 for 2009 compared to 2008 from a favorable variance from pricing of \$71,332 (\$48,048 attributable to the excise tax increase) offset by a decrease in volume of 14.8% (416.5 million units). Net revenues of Liggett's PYRAMID brand increased \$103,019 due to increased volume of 1,197.7 million units following the brand's repositioning in the second quarter of 2009.

Tobacco Gross Profit. Tobacco gross profit was \$224,109 for the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to \$229,887 in 2008. The \$5,778 (2.5%) decrease was primarily due to decreased volume of LIGGETT SELECT and GRAND PRIX for the year ended December 31, 2009. As a percent of revenues (excluding federal excise taxes), gross profit decreased to 52.9% for the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to gross profit of 58.2% for 2008. This decrease in Tobacco's gross profit in the 2009 period was attributable primarily to volume mix.

Expenses. Operating, selling, general and administrative expenses were \$85,041 for the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to \$94,583 in 2008, a decrease of \$9,542 (10.1%). Tobacco expenses were \$68,194 for the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to \$68,037 in 2008, an increase of \$157 or 0.2%. The increase in Tobacco's expense related to an increase in pension expense in the 2009 period compared to the 2008 period offset by decreased product liability and other litigation costs. Liggett's product liability expenses and other litigation costs were approximately \$6,000 in 2009 compared to \$8,800 in 2008. Expenses at the corporate level decreased from \$26,546 in 2008 to \$15,961 in 2009 due primarily to lower compensation expense and expenses associated with our Supplemental Retirement Plan in 2009 due to the retirement of our former Executive Chairman on December 30, 2008. The real estate segment expenses of \$886 in 2009 related to expenses incurred in connection with Escena's operations.

Operating income. Operating income was \$143,167 for the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to \$135,304 for the same period in 2008, an increase of \$7,863 (5.8%). Tobacco segment operating income decreased from \$161,850 for the year ended December 31, 2008 to \$160,915 for the same period in 2009 primarily due to the decline in gross profit discussed above offset by the \$5,000 gain from the brands transaction and decreased research costs partially offset by lower sales volume.

Other Income (Expenses). For the year ended December 31, 2009, other expenses were \$114,630 compared to \$40,732 for the year ended December 31, 2008. For the year ended December 31, 2009, other expenses primarily consisted of interest expense of \$68,490, a loss on the extinguishment of the 5% Notes of \$18,573, a loss of \$8,500

associated with a decline in value of the former Escena mortgage receivable (\$5,000) and the Aberdeen real estate investment (\$3,500), a loss of \$35,925 for changes in fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt, equity income of \$15,213 on non-consolidated real estate businesses, and interest income of \$492 and \$1,153 of other income. The equity income of \$15,213 for the 2009 period consisted of \$11,429 from New Valley's investment in Douglas Elliman Realty, \$2,084 from 16th and K, \$1,500 from New Valley Oaktree Chelsea Eleven LLC and \$200 from another non-consolidated real estate business. For the year ended December 31, 2008, other

Table of Contents

expenses consisted of interest expense of \$62,335 and losses of \$21,900 associated with the performance of three investment partnerships, a decline in value of the former mortgage receivable of \$4,000, a loss of \$3,000 associated with the performance of our investment securities available for sale and a loss of \$3,500 associated with our investment in Aberdeen, which were offset by equity income from non-consolidated real estate businesses of \$24,399, a gain from changes in fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt of \$24,337, and interest and dividend income of \$5,864. The equity income of \$24,399 for the 2008 period resulted from New Valley's investment in Douglas Elliman Realty which contributed \$11,833 and \$12,566 from 16th and K, which consisted of income of \$16,362 in connection with the sale of 16th and K's interest in 90% of the St. Regis Hotel in Washington, D.C., offset by equity losses of \$3,796 from the operations of the hotel.

The value of the embedded derivatives is contingent on changes in interest rates of debt instruments maturing over the duration of the convertible debt, our stock price as well as projections of future cash and stock dividends over the term of the debt. The losses for the changes in fair value of the embedded derivatives in the year ended December 31, 2009 was primarily the result of narrowing credit spreads in both the United States corporate credit markets and the market for our debt in the 2009 period offset by interest payments. The gain from the embedded derivatives in 2008 was primarily the result of interest payments during the period and increasing spreads between corporate debt and convertible debt.

Income before income taxes. Income before income taxes for the year ended December 31, 2009 was \$28,537 compared to income before income taxes of \$94,572 in 2008.

Income tax provision. The income tax provision was \$3,731 for the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to an expense of \$34,068 for the same period in 2008.

Vector's income tax rates for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 differ from the statutory income tax rates as a result of the impact of nondeductible expenses, state income taxes and interest and penalties accrued on unrecognized tax benefits offset by the impact of the domestic production activities deduction. In addition, we recorded a benefit of \$6,166 for the year ended December 31, 2009 resulting from the reduction of a previously established valuation allowance of a deferred tax asset.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Net cash and cash equivalents increased by \$90,371 in 2010 and decreased by \$1,651 in 2009 and \$27,012 in 2008.

Net cash provided by operations was \$67,004, \$5,667 and \$91,265 in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The increase from 2009 to 2010 related primarily to lower income tax payments in the 2010 period due to higher taxable income in the 2009 period as a result of the recognition of a previously deferred gain of approximately \$192,000, the \$20,860 payment in 2009 to the Executive Chairman upon his retirement in accordance with the our Supplemental Retirement Plan and increased settlement accruals under the Master Settlement Agreement in 2010 compared to 2009. We accrue liabilities under the Master Settlement Agreement when a sale is consummated; however, the payments under the Master Settlement Agreement are generally not made until the December in the year of the sale or the April after the year of sale. The difference was offset by the absence of the \$5,000 payment received on the Philip Morris brands transaction in 2009 and a \$14,361 litigation judgment payment made in 2010. The decrease from 2008 to 2009 was primarily due to additional income tax payments in the 2009 period and the payment to the Executive Chairman upon his retirement in accordance with our Supplemental Retirement Plan offset by increased operating income.

Cash used in investing activities was \$45,132, \$6,816 and \$33,895 in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. In 2010, cash was used for the purchase of an investment in a joint venture of \$18,000, Aberdeen mortgages of \$13,462, investment securities of \$9,394, long-term investments of \$5,062, investments in non-consolidated real estate business

of \$6,645, a decrease in non-current restricted assets of \$1,100, an increase in cash surrender value of life insurance policies of \$936, the issuance of notes receivable of \$930, and capital expenditures of \$23,391 offset by the proceeds from the sale or maturity of investment securities of \$28,587, distributions from non-consolidated real estate businesses of \$3,539, proceeds from the sale or liquidation of long-term investments of \$1,002, cash acquired in Aberdeen consolidation of \$473, and proceeds from the sale of fixed assets of \$187. In 2009, cash was

Table of Contents

used for the purchase of investment securities of \$12,427, capital expenditures of \$3,848, an increase in cash surrender value of corporate-owned life insurance policies of \$839, an investment in non-consolidated real estate assets of \$474, a purchase of long-term investments of \$51, offset by distributions from non-consolidated real estate businesses of \$6,730, proceeds from the liquidation of long-term investments of \$2,254, proceeds from the sale or maturity of investment securities of \$78 and a decrease in restricted assets of \$1,720. In 2008, cash was used for the purchase of the mortgage receivable of \$21,704, the investment in Aberdeen for \$10,000 and Chelsea for \$12,000, the purchase of investment securities of \$6,411, capital expenditures of \$6,309, purchase of preferred stock in other investments, including Castle Brands, of \$4,250, an increase in the cash surrender value of corporate-owned life insurance policies of \$938, an increase in restricted assets of \$411 and the purchase of long-term investments of \$51 offset by the distributions from non-consolidated real estate businesses of \$19,393 and from the proceeds from the liquidation of long-term investments of \$8,334, and the proceeds from the sale of fixed assets of \$452.

Cash provided by financing activities was \$68,499 in 2010 and cash used in financing activities was \$502 and \$84,382 in 2009 and 2008, respectively. In 2010, cash provided by financing activities was primarily from proceeds of debt issuance of \$185,714, net borrowings under the revolver of \$18,326, proceeds from the exercise of Vector options of \$1,265 and excess of tax benefit of options exercised of \$269 offset by cash used for distributions on common stock of \$117,459, repayments of debt of \$14,539 and deferred finance charges of \$4,932. Cash used in financing activities in 2009 resulted from proceeds of debt issuance of \$118,805, excess tax benefit of options exercised of \$9,162, and the proceeds from exercise of stock options of \$1,194, offset by cash used for distributions on common stock of \$115,778, repayment of debt of \$6,179, deferred financing charges of \$5,573, and net repayments over borrowings of debt under the revolver of \$2,133. In 2008, cash was primarily used for distributions on common stock of \$103,870, repayments on debt of \$6,329 and deferred financing charges of \$137, offset by the excess tax benefit of options exercised of \$18,304, net borrowing under the revolver of \$4,733, debt issuance of \$2,831, and the proceeds from the exercise of options of \$86.

Liggett. In 2010, Liggett entered into nine financing agreements for a total of \$16,634 related to the purchase of equipment. The weighted average interest rate of the outstanding debt is 5.28% per annum and the interest rate on the notes ranges between 2.59% and 6.13%. The debt is payable over 30 to 60 months with an average term of 56 months. Total monthly installments are \$297.

Liggett also refinanced \$3,575 of debt related to previous equipment purchases. The refinanced debt has an interest rate of 5.95% and is payable in 36 installments of \$109. Each of these equipment loans is collateralized by the purchased equipment.

The majority of these equipment purchases are due to Liggett's increased unit volume sales plus an increasing proportion of sales in box style packaging versus soft pack. Liggett's management expects capital expenditures of approximately \$10,000 in 2011, of which the majority will be financed on terms similar to the previous 2010 financing arrangements.

Liggett has a \$50,000 credit facility with Wachovia Bank, N.A. under which \$35,710 was outstanding at December 31, 2010. Availability as determined under the facility was approximately \$294 based on eligible collateral at December 31, 2010. The facility contains covenants that provide that Liggett's earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, as defined under the facility, on a trailing twelve-month basis, shall not be less than \$100,000 if Liggett's excess availability, as defined, under the facility is less than \$20,000. The covenants also require that annual capital expenditures, as defined under the facility, (before a maximum carryover amount of \$2,500) shall not exceed \$10,000 during any fiscal year except 2010, where Liggett is permitted capital expenditures up to \$33,000, as amended, as of August 31, 2010. At December 31, 2010, management believed that Liggett was in compliance with all covenants under the credit facility as amended; Liggett's EBITDA, as defined, were approximately \$114,720 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2010.

In August 2007, Wachovia made an \$8,000 term loan to 100 Maple LLC, a subsidiary of Liggett, within the commitment under the existing credit facility. The \$8,000 term loan is collateralized by the existing collateral securing the credit facility, and is also collateralized by a lien on certain real property in Mebane, NC owned by 100 Maple LLC. The Mebane Property also secures the other obligations of Liggett under the credit facility. The \$8,000 term loan did not increase the \$50,000 borrowing amount of the credit facility, but did increase the outstanding

Table of Contents

amounts under the credit facility by the amount of the term loan and proportionately reduces the maximum borrowing availability under the facility.

In August 2007, Liggett and Wachovia amended the credit facility to permit the guaranty of our Senior Secured Notes by each of Liggett and Maple and the pledging of certain assets of Liggett and Maple on a subordinated basis to secure their guarantees. The credit facility was also amended to grant to Wachovia a blanket lien on all the assets of Liggett and Maple, excluding any equipment pledged to current or future purchase money or other financiers of such equipment and excluding any real property, other than the Mebane Property and other real property to the extent its value is in excess of \$5,000. In connection with the amendment, Wachovia, Liggett, Maple and the collateral agent for the holders of our Senior Secured Notes entered into an intercreditor agreement, pursuant to which the liens of the collateral agent on the Liggett and Maple assets will be subordinated to the liens of Wachovia on the Liggett and Maple assets.

In June 2002, the jury in an individual case brought under the third phase of the *Engle* case awarded \$24,835 of compensatory damages against Liggett and two other defendants and found Liggett 50% responsible for the damages. Liggett paid its share of the damages award and plaintiff's claim for interest and attorneys' fees (\$14,361). To date, four other verdicts have been entered in *Engle* progeny cases against Liggett in the total amount of approximately \$5,872. These verdicts are on appeal. It is possible that additional cases could be decided unfavorably. Liggett may enter into discussions in an attempt to settle particular cases if it believes it is appropriate to do so. An unfavorable outcome of a pending smoking and health case could encourage the commencement of additional similar litigation. In recent years, there have been a number of adverse regulatory, political and other developments concerning cigarette smoking and the tobacco industry. These developments generally receive widespread media attention. Neither we nor Liggett are able to evaluate the effect of these developing matters on pending litigation or the possible commencement of additional litigation or regulation. See Note 12 to our consolidated financial statements and *Legislation and Regulation* below for a description of legislation, regulation and litigation.

Management cannot predict the cash requirements related to any future settlements or judgments, including cash required to bond any appeals, and there is a risk that those requirements will not be able to be met. Management is unable to make a reasonable estimate of the amount or range of loss that could result from an unfavorable outcome of the cases pending against Liggett or the costs of defending such cases. It is possible that our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows could be materially adversely affected by an unfavorable outcome in any such tobacco-related litigation.

Vector. As described above under *Recent Developments*, on May 11, 2009, we issued in a private placement the 6.75% Note due 2014 in the principal amount of \$50,000. The purchase price was paid in cash (\$38,225) and by tendering \$11,005 principal amount of the 5% Notes, valued at 107% of principal amount. On June 30, 2009, we issued \$106,940 of our 6.75% Exchange Notes due 2014 in exchange for \$99,944 aggregate principal amount of the 5% Notes due 2011, valued at 107% principal amount. On November 16, 2009, we exchanged approximately \$555 aggregate principal of the 5% Notes due 2011, valued at 107% principal amount, for \$593 aggregate principal of our 6.75% Exchange Notes due 2014 and retired the remaining \$360 of the 5% Notes due 2011 for cash.

In August 2007, we sold \$165,000 of our 11% Senior Secured Notes due 2015 in a private offering to qualified institutional investors in accordance with Rule 144A of the Securities Act of 1933. In September 2009, we sold at 94% of face value an additional \$85,000 principal amount of our 11% Senior Secured Notes due 2015. We received net proceeds from the 2009 offering of approximately \$80,400. In April 2010, we sold another \$75,000 principal amount of the Senior Secured Notes at 101% of face value and we received net proceeds of approximately \$73,500. In December 2010, we sold at 103% of face value an additional \$90,000 principal amount of the Senior Secured Notes in a private offering to qualified institutional investors in accordance with Rule 144A of the Securities Act of 1933. We received net proceeds from the 2010 offering of approximately \$90,850.

The Senior Secured Notes pay interest on a semi-annual basis at a rate of 11% per year and mature on August 15, 2015. We may redeem some or all of the Senior Secured Notes at any time prior to August 15, 2011 at a make-whole redemption price. On or after August 15, 2011 we may redeem some or all of the Senior Secured Notes at a premium that will decrease over time, plus accrued and unpaid interest and liquidated damages, if any, to the

Table of Contents

redemption date. In the event of a change of control, as defined in the indenture governing the Senior Secured Notes, each holder of the Senior Secured Notes may require us to repurchase some or all of its Senior Secured Notes at a repurchase price equal to 101% of their aggregate principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest and liquidated damages, if any to the date of purchase.

The Senior Secured Notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed on a joint and several basis by all of our wholly-owned domestic subsidiaries that are engaged in the conduct of our cigarette businesses. In addition, some of the guarantees are collateralized by second priority or first priority security interests in certain collateral of some of the subsidiary guarantors pursuant to security and pledge agreements.

The indenture contains covenants that restrict the payment of dividends by us if our consolidated earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, which is defined in the indenture as Consolidated EBITDA, for the most recently ended four full quarters is less than \$50,000. The indenture also restricts the incurrence of debt if our Leverage Ratio and our Secured Leverage Ratio, as defined in the indenture, exceed 3.0 and 1.5, respectively. Our Leverage Ratio is defined in the indenture as the ratio of our and our guaranteeing subsidiaries' total debt less the fair market value of our cash, investments in marketable securities and long-term investments to Consolidated EBITDA, as defined in the indenture. Our Secured Leverage Ratio is defined in the indenture in the same manner as the Leverage Ratio, except that secured indebtedness is substituted for indebtedness. The following table summarizes the requirements of these financial covenants and the results of the calculation, as defined by the indenture.

| Covenant | Indenture Requirement | December 31, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Consolidated EBITDA, as defined | \$ 50,000 | \$ 184,151 | \$ 174,158 |
| Leverage ratio, as defined | <3.0 to 1 | 0.5 to 1 | 0.3 to 1 |
| Secured leverage ratio, as defined | <1.5 to 1 | 0.1 to 1 | Negative |

We and our subsidiaries have significant indebtedness and debt service obligations. At December 31, 2010, we and our subsidiaries had total outstanding indebtedness (including the embedded derivative liabilities related to our convertible notes) of \$682,530. We must redeem \$11,000 of our 3.875% Variable Interest Senior Convertible Debentures by June 15, 2011, and we may be required to purchase \$99,000 of the debentures on June 15, 2012. Approximately \$157,500 of our 3.75% convertible debt matures in 2014 and \$415,000 of our 11% senior secured notes matures in 2015. In addition, subject to the terms of any future agreements, we and our subsidiaries will be able to incur additional indebtedness in the future. There is a risk that we will not be able to generate sufficient funds to repay our debt. If we cannot service our fixed charges, it would have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

We believe that our cigarette operations are positive cash flow generating units and will continue to be able to sustain their operations without any significant liquidity concerns.

In order to meet the above liquidity requirements as well as other anticipated liquidity needs in the normal course of business, we had cash and cash equivalents of approximately \$300,000, investment securities available for sale of approximately \$79,000, long-term investments with an estimated value of approximately \$83,000 and availability under Liggett's credit facility of approximately \$300 at December 31, 2010. Management currently anticipates that these amounts, as well as expected cash flows from our operations, proceeds from public and/or private debt and equity financing, management fees and other payments from subsidiaries should be sufficient to meet our liquidity needs over the next 12 months. We may acquire or seek to acquire additional operating businesses through merger, purchase of assets, stock acquisition or other means, or to make other investments, which may limit our liquidity

otherwise available.

On a quarterly basis, we evaluate our investments to determine whether an impairment has occurred. If so, we also make a determination if such impairment is considered temporary or other-than-temporary. We believe that the assessment of temporary or other-than-temporary impairment is facts and circumstances driven. However, among the matters that are considered in making such a determination are the period of time the investment has remained below its cost or carrying value, the likelihood of recovery given the reason for the decrease in market value and our original expected holding period of the investment.

Table of Contents

The total amount of unrecognized tax benefits was \$10,216 at January 1, 2010 and decreased \$3,448 during the year ended December 31, 2010 primarily from the favorable result from a state income tax audit. The total amount of unrecognized tax benefits was \$7,503 at January 1, 2009 and increased \$2,713 during the year ended December 31, 2009.

Long-Term Financial Obligations and Other Commercial Commitments

Our significant long-term contractual obligations as of December 31, 2010 were as follows:

| Contractual Obligations | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | Thereafter | Total |
|---------------------------------------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------|--------------|
| Long-term debt(1) | \$ 51,345 | \$ 105,793(8) | \$ 4,375 | \$ 161,158 | \$ 418,025 | \$ 3,557(8) | \$ 744,253 |
| Operating leases(2) | 4,739 | 3,984 | 2,204 | 1,165 | 630 | 21 | 12,743 |
| Inventory purchase commitments(3) | 41,896 | | | | | | 41,896 |
| Capital expenditure purchase commitments(4) | 2,726 | | | | | | 2,726 |
| Interest payments(5) | 83,548 | 83,839 | 84,906 | 86,173 | 60,985 | 212,691 | 612,142 |
| Total (6),(7) | \$ 184,254 | \$ 193,616 | \$ 91,485 | \$ 248,496 | \$ 479,640 | \$ 216,269 | \$ 1,413,760 |

- (1) Long-term debt is shown before discount and assumes that only the mandatory redemption amounts will be retired on our 3.875% Variable Interest Senior Convertible Debentures due 2026 (10% and 90% of the principal balance in 2011 and 2026, respectively). For more information concerning our long-term debt, see Liquidity and Capital Resources above and Note 7 to our consolidated financial statements.
- (2) Operating lease obligations represent estimated lease payments for facilities and equipment. The amounts presented do not include amounts scheduled to be received under non-cancelable operating subleases of \$965 in 2011, \$965 in 2012, \$402 in 2013, \$0 in 2014, \$0 in 2015 and \$0 thereafter. See Note 8 to our consolidated financial statements.
- (3) Inventory purchase commitments represent purchase commitments under our leaf inventory management program. See Note 4 to our consolidated financial statements.
- (4) Capital expenditure purchase commitments represent purchase commitments for machinery and equipment at Liggett and Vector Tobacco. See Note 5 to our consolidated financial statements.
- (5) Interest payments are based on current interest rates at December 31, 2010 and the assumption our current policy of a cash dividend of \$0.40 per quarter and an annual 5% stock dividend will continue. In addition, interest payments have been computed assuming that only the mandatory amounts will be retired on our convertible debt as discussed in Note (1) above. For more information concerning our long-term debt, see Liquidity and Capital Resources above and Note 7 to our consolidated financial statements.
- (6) Not included in the above table is approximately \$19,165 of net deferred tax liabilities and \$5,860 of unrecognized income tax benefits.

- (7) Because their future cash outflows are uncertain, the above table excludes our pension and postretirement benefit plans and contractual guarantees.
- (8) We may be required to redeem \$99,000 of this amount in 2012, in accordance with the terms of our 3.875% Variable Interest Senior Convertible Debentures due 2026.

Payments under the Master Settlement Agreement, discussed in Note 12 to our consolidated financial statements, and the federal tobacco quota legislation, discussed in *Legislation and Regulation* below, are excluded from the table above, as the payments are subject to adjustment for several factors, including inflation, overall industry volume, our market share and the market share of non-participating manufacturers.

Table of Contents

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have various agreements in which we may be obligated to indemnify the other party with respect to certain matters. Generally, these indemnification clauses are included in contracts arising in the normal course of business under which we customarily agree to hold the other party harmless against losses arising from a breach of representations related to such matters as title to assets sold and licensed or certain intellectual property rights. Payment by us under such indemnification clauses is generally conditioned on the other party making a claim that is subject to challenge by us and dispute resolution procedures specified in the particular contract. Further, our obligations under these arrangements may be limited in terms of time and/or amount, and in some instances, we may have recourse against third parties for certain payments made by us. It is not possible to predict the maximum potential amount of future payments under these indemnification agreements due to the conditional nature of our obligations and the unique facts of each particular agreement. Historically, payments made by us under these agreements have not been material. As of December 31, 2010, we were not aware of any indemnification agreements that would or are reasonably expected to have a current or future material adverse impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In February 2004, Liggett Vector Brands and another cigarette manufacturer entered into a five year agreement with a subsidiary of the American Wholesale Marketers Association to support a program to permit tobacco distributors to secure, on reasonable terms, tax stamp bonds required by state and local governments for the distribution of cigarettes. Under the agreement, Liggett Vector Brands has agreed to pay a portion of losses, if any, incurred by the surety under the bond program, with a maximum loss exposure of \$500 for Liggett Vector Brands. To secure its potential obligations under the agreement, Liggett Vector Brands has delivered to the subsidiary of the Association a \$100 letter of credit and agreed to fund up to an additional \$400. Liggett Vector Brands has incurred no losses to date under this agreement, and we believe the fair value of Liggett Vector Brands' obligation under the agreement was immaterial at December 31, 2010.

At December 31, 2010, we had outstanding approximately \$341 of letters of credit, collateralized by certificates of deposit. The letters of credit have been issued as security deposits for leases of office space, to secure the performance of our subsidiaries under various insurance programs and to provide collateral for various subsidiary borrowing and capital lease arrangements.

We committed to fund up to \$900 in a credit line to our investee, Castle Brands Inc. in 2009. In December 2010, we increased our commitment to fund the credit line from \$900 to \$1,100. We contributed our full commitment of \$1,100 as of December 31, 2010.

Market Risk

We are exposed to market risks principally from fluctuations in interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates and equity prices. We seek to minimize these risks through our regular operating and financing activities and our long-term investment strategy. Our market risk management procedures cover all market risk sensitive financial instruments.

As of December 31, 2010, approximately \$41,900 of our outstanding debt at face value had variable interest rates determined by various interest rate indices, which increases the risk of fluctuating interest rates. Our exposure to market risk includes interest rate fluctuations in connection with our variable rate borrowings, which could adversely affect our cash flows. As of December 31, 2010, we had no interest rate caps or swaps. Based on a hypothetical 100 basis point increase or decrease in interest rates (1%), our annual interest expense could increase or decrease by approximately \$419.

In addition, as of December 31, 2010, approximately \$81,405 (\$267,530 principal amount) of outstanding debt had a variable interest rate determined by the amount of the dividends on our common stock. The difference between the stated value of the debt and carrying value is due principally to certain embedded derivatives, which were separately valued and recorded upon issuance.

Changes to the estimated fair value of these embedded derivatives are reflected within our statements of operations as

Changes in fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt. The value of the embedded derivative is contingent on changes in interest rates of debt instruments maturing over the duration of the convertible

Table of Contents

debt as well as projections of future cash and stock dividends over the term of the debt and changes in the closing stock price at the end of each quarterly period. Based on a hypothetical 100 basis point increase or decrease in interest rates (1%), our annual Changes in fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt could increase or decrease by approximately \$5,491 with approximately \$370 resulting from the embedded derivative associated with our 6.75% Note due 2014, \$702 resulting from the embedded derivative associated with our 6.75% exchange notes due 2014, and the remaining \$4,419 resulting from the embedded derivative associated with our 3.875% variable interest senior convertible debentures due 2026. An increase in our quarterly dividend rate by \$0.10 per share would increase interest expense by approximately \$6,750 per year.

We have estimated the fair market value of the embedded derivatives based principally on the results of a valuation model. The estimated fair value of the derivatives embedded within the convertible debt is based principally on the present value of future dividend payments expected to be received by the convertible debt holders over the term of the debt. The discount rate applied to the future cash flows is estimated based on a spread in yield of our debt when compared to risk-free securities with the same duration; thus, a readily determinable fair market value of the embedded derivatives is not available. The valuation model assumes our future dividend payments and utilizes interest rates and credit spreads for secured to unsecured debt, unsecured to subordinated debt and subordinated debt to preferred stock to determine the fair value of the derivatives embedded within the convertible debt. The valuation also considers items, including current and future dividends and the volatility of Vector's stock price. The range of estimated fair market values of our embedded derivatives was between \$138,701 and \$144,391. We recorded the fair market value of our embedded derivatives at the midpoint of the inputs at \$141,492 as of December 31, 2010. The estimated fair market value of our embedded derivatives could change significantly based on future market conditions.

We held investment securities available for sale totaling \$78,754 at December 31, 2010, which includes 13,891,205 shares of Ladenburg Thalmann Financial Services Inc. carried at \$16,253.

See Note 3 to our consolidated financial statements. Adverse market conditions could have a significant effect on the value of these investments.

We and New Valley also hold long-term investments in various investment partnerships. These investments are illiquid, and their ultimate realization is subject to the performance of the underlying entities.

New Accounting Pronouncements

Refer to Note 1, *Summary of Significant Accounting Policies*, to our financial statements for further information on *New Accounting Pronouncements*.

Legislation and Regulation

Reports with respect to the alleged harmful physical effects of cigarette smoking have been publicized for many years and, in the opinion of Liggett's management, have had and may continue to have an adverse effect on cigarette sales. Since 1964, the Surgeon General of the United States and the Secretary of Health and Human Services have released a number of reports which state that cigarette smoking is a causative factor with respect to a variety of health hazards, including cancer, heart disease and lung disease, and have recommended various government actions to reduce the incidence of smoking. In 1997, Liggett publicly acknowledged that, as the Surgeon General and respected medical researchers have found, smoking causes health problems, including lung cancer, heart and vascular disease, and emphysema.

On June 22, 2009, the President signed into law the Family Smoking Prevention and Tobacco Control Act (Public Law 111-31). The law grants the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) broad authority over the manufacture, sale,

marketing and packaging of tobacco products, although the FDA is prohibited from issuing regulations banning all cigarettes or all smokeless tobacco products, or requiring the reduction of nicotine yields of a tobacco product to zero. Among other measures, the law (under various deadlines):

increases the number of health warnings required on cigarette and smokeless tobacco products, increases the size of warnings on packaging and in advertising, requires the FDA to develop graphic warnings for cigarette packages, and grants the FDA authority to require new warnings;

Table of Contents

requires practically all tobacco product advertising to eliminate color and imagery and instead consist solely of black text on white background;

imposes new restrictions on the sale and distribution of tobacco products, including significant new restrictions on tobacco product advertising and promotion, as well as the use of brand and trade names;

bans the use of light, mild, low or similar descriptors on tobacco products;

bans the use of characterizing flavors in cigarettes other than tobacco or menthol;

gives the FDA the authority to impose tobacco product standards that are appropriate for the protection of the public health (by, for example, requiring reduction or elimination of the use of particular constituents or components, requiring product testing, or addressing other aspects of tobacco product construction, constituents, properties or labeling);

requires manufacturers to obtain FDA review and authorization for the marketing of certain new or modified tobacco products;

requires pre-market approval by the FDA for tobacco products represented (through labels, labeling, advertising, or other means) as presenting a lower risk of harm or tobacco-related disease;

requires manufacturers to report ingredients and harmful constituents and requires the FDA to disclose certain constituent information to the public;

mandates that manufacturers test and report on ingredients and constituents identified by the FDA as requiring such testing to protect the public health, and allows the FDA to require the disclosure of testing results to the public;

requires manufacturers to submit to the FDA certain information regarding the health, toxicological, behavioral or physiologic effects of tobacco products;

prohibits use of tobacco containing a pesticide chemical residue at a level greater than allowed under federal law;

requires the FDA to establish good manufacturing practices to be followed at tobacco manufacturing facilities;

requires tobacco product manufacturers (and certain other entities) to register with the FDA;

authorizes the FDA to require the reduction of nicotine (although it may not require the reduction of nicotine yields of a tobacco product to zero) and the potential reduction or elimination of other constituents, including menthol;

imposes (and allows the FDA to impose) various recordkeeping and reporting requirements on tobacco product manufacturers; and

grants the FDA the regulatory authority to impose broad additional restrictions.

The law also requires establishment, within the FDA's new Center for Tobacco Products, of a Tobacco Products Scientific Advisory Committee (TPSAC) to provide advice, information and recommendations with respect to the safety, dependence or health issues related to tobacco products, including:

a recommendation on modified risk applications;

a recommendation on the effects of tobacco product nicotine yield alteration and whether there is a threshold level below which nicotine yields do not produce dependence;

a report on the public health impact of the use of menthol in cigarettes; and

a report on the public health impact of dissolvable tobacco products.

The TPSAC has initiated its review of the use of menthol in cigarettes, so far holding several meetings to discuss the impact of the use of menthol in cigarettes on the public health. A subcommittee of the TPSAC has held

Table of Contents

several meetings on this topic and provided the full TPSAC with its recommendations and a draft initial list of harmful and potentially harmful tobacco constituents, which the TPSAC adopted. The TPSAC is expected to issue a report and recommendations on this topic to FDA in March 2011.

The law imposes user fees on certain tobacco product manufacturers in order to fund tobacco-related FDA activities. User fees will be allocated among tobacco product classes according to a formula set out in the legislation, and then among manufacturers and importers within each class based on market share. The FDA user fees for Liggett and Vector Tobacco for 2010 were \$10,083 and we estimate that they will be significantly higher in the future.

The law also imposes significant new restrictions on the advertising and promotion of tobacco products. For example, as required under the law, FDA has finalized certain portions of regulations previously adopted by the FDA in 1996 (which were struck down by the Supreme Court in 2000 as beyond the FDA's authority). Subject to limitations imposed by a federal injunction (discussed below), these regulations took effect on June 22, 2010. As written, these regulations significantly limit the ability of manufacturers, distributors and retailers to advertise and promote tobacco products, by, for example, restricting the use of color and graphics in advertising, limiting the use of outdoor advertising, restricting the sale and distribution of non-tobacco items and services, gifts, and sponsorship of events, and imposing restrictions on the use for cigarette or smokeless tobacco products of trade or brand names that are used for nontobacco products.

In August 2009, several cigarette manufacturers filed a federal lawsuit against FDA challenging the constitutionality of a number of the restrictions imposed by these regulations, including the ban on color and graphics, limits on the right to make truthful statements regarding modified risk tobacco products, restrictions on the placement of outdoor advertising, and a ban on the distribution of product samples. On January 4, 2010, a federal judge ruled that the regulations' ban on the use of color and graphics in certain tobacco product advertising was unconstitutional and prohibited FDA from enforcing that ban. The judge, however, let stand numerous other advertising and promotion restrictions. In March, 2010, both parties appealed this decision. We cannot predict the future course or outcome of this lawsuit. In May, 2010, FDA issued a guidance document indicating that it intends to exercise its enforcement discretion and not commence enforcement actions based upon these provisions during the pendency of the litigation.

In April 2010, a number of cigarette manufacturers filed a federal lawsuit against FDA challenging the restrictions on trade or brand names based upon First Amendment and other grounds. In May 2010, FDA issued a guidance document indicating that FDA is aware of concerns regarding the trade and brand name restrictions and is considering what changes, if any, would be appropriate to address those concerns. FDA also indicated that while the agency is considering those issues, it intends to exercise its enforcement discretion and not commence trade or brand name enforcement actions for the duration of its consideration where: (1) The trade or brand name of the cigarettes or smokeless tobacco product was registered, or the product was marketed, in the United States on or before June 22, 2009; or (2) The first marketing or registration in the United States of the tobacco product occurs before the first marketing or registration in the United States of the non-tobacco product bearing the same name; provided, however, that the tobacco and non-tobacco product are not owned, manufactured, or distributed by the same, related, or affiliated entities (including as a licensee). The lawsuit was subsequently stayed, at the request of the parties, while FDA is in the process of evaluating these concerns. We cannot predict the future course or outcome of FDA's deliberations or this litigation.

The FDA law requires premarket review of new tobacco products. A new tobacco product is one that was not commercially marketed in the U.S. before February 15, 2007 or that was modified after that date. In general, before a company may commercially market a new tobacco product, it must either (a) submit an application and obtain an order from the FDA permitting the product to be marketed; or (b) submit a report and receive an FDA order finding the product to be substantially equivalent to a predicate tobacco product that was commercially marketed in the U.S. prior to February 15, 2007. A substantially equivalent tobacco product is one that has the same characteristics as

the predicate or one that has different characteristics but does not raise different questions of public health.

Manufacturers of products first introduced after February 15, 2007 and before March 22, 2011 who submit a substantial equivalence report to the FDA prior to March 23, 2011 may continue to market the tobacco product

Table of Contents

unless FDA issues an order that the product is not substantially equivalent. Failure to submit the report before March 23, 2011, or FDA's conclusion that such a new tobacco product is not substantially equivalent, will cause the product to be deemed misbranded and/or adulterated. After March 22, 2011, a new tobacco product may not be marketed without an FDA substantial equivalence determination.

In January 2011, FDA issued a guidance document along with a proposed rule to establish the process and criteria for requesting an exemption from substantial equivalence requirements. We cannot predict how FDA will interpret and apply these requirements, or whether FDA will deem our products to be substantially equivalent to already marketed tobacco products.

Separately, the law also requires the FDA to issue future regulations regarding the promotion and marketing of tobacco products sold through non-face-to-face transactions.

The FDA has been acting quickly to implement the law and will continue to implement various provisions over time. Liggett and Vector Tobacco have been monitoring FDA tobacco initiatives and have made various regulatory submissions to the FDA in order to comply with new requirements.

It is likely that the new tobacco law could result in a decrease in cigarette sales in the United States, including sales of Liggett's and Vector Tobacco's brands. Total compliance and related costs are not possible to predict and depend substantially on the future requirements imposed by the FDA under the new tobacco law. Costs, however, could be substantial and could have a material adverse effect on the companies' financial condition, results of operations, and cash flows. In addition, the FDA has a number of investigatory and enforcement tools available to it. We are aware, for example, that the FDA has already requested company-specific information from competitors. FDA has also initiated a program to award contracts to states to assist with compliance and enforcement activities. Failure to comply with the new tobacco law and with FDA regulatory requirements could result in significant financial penalties and could have a material adverse effect on the business, financial condition and results of operation of both Liggett and Vector Tobacco. At present, we are not able to predict whether the new tobacco law will impact Liggett and Vector Tobacco to a greater degree than other companies in the industry, thus affecting its competitive position.

Liggett and Vector Tobacco provide ingredient information annually, as required by law, to the states of Massachusetts, Texas and Minnesota. Several other states are considering ingredient disclosure legislation.

In October 2004, the Fair and Equitable Tobacco Reform Act of 2004 (FETRA) was signed into law. FETRA provides for the elimination of the federal tobacco quota and price support program through an industry funded buyout of tobacco growers and quota holders. Pursuant to the legislation, manufacturers of tobacco products have been assessed \$10,140,000 over a ten year period, commencing in 2005, to compensate tobacco growers and quota holders for the elimination of their quota rights. Cigarette manufacturers are currently responsible for 95% of the assessment (subject to adjustment in the future), which is allocated based on relative unit volume of domestic cigarette shipments. Liggett's and Vector Tobacco's assessment was \$31,161 for 2010. Management anticipates that the assessment will be higher for 2011. The relative cost of the legislation to the three largest cigarette manufacturers will likely be less than the cost to smaller manufacturers, including Liggett and Vector Tobacco, because one effect of the legislation is that the three largest manufacturers are no longer obligated to make certain contractual payments, commonly known as Phase II payments, that they agreed in 1999 to make to tobacco-producing states. The ultimate impact of this legislation cannot be determined, but there is a risk that smaller manufacturers, such as Liggett and Vector Tobacco, will be disproportionately affected by the legislation, which could have a material adverse effect on us.

Cigarettes are subject to substantial and increasing federal, state and local excise taxes. On April 1, 2009, the federal cigarette excise tax increased from \$0.39 to \$1.01 per pack. State excise taxes vary considerably and, when combined with sales taxes, local taxes and the federal excise tax, may exceed \$4.00 per pack. Many states are considering, or

have pending, legislation proposing further state excise tax increases. Management believes increases in excise and similar taxes have had, and will continue to have, an adverse effect on sales of cigarettes.

Over the last several years all 50 states and the District of Columbia have enacted virtually identical legislation requiring cigarettes to meet a laboratory test standard for reduced ignition propensity. Cigarettes that meet this standard are referred to as fire standards compliant or FSC, and are sometimes commonly called self-

Table of Contents

extinguishing. All of the cigarettes that Liggett and Vector Tobacco manufacture are fire standards compliant. Compliance with such legislation could be burdensome and costly and could harm the business of Liggett and Vector Tobacco, particularly if there were to be varying standards from state to state.

In November 2008, the Federal Trade Commission (FTC) rescinded guidance it issued in 1966 that generally permitted statements concerning cigarette tar and nicotine yields if they were based on the Cambridge Filter Method, sometimes called the FTC method. In its rescission notice, the FTC also indicated that advertisers should no longer use terms suggesting the FTC s endorsement or approval of any specific test method, including terms such as per FTC Method or other phrases that state or imply FTC endorsement or approval of the Cambridge Filter Method or other machine-based methods for measuring cigarette tar or nicotine yields. Also in its rescission notice, the FTC indicated that cigarette descriptors such as light and ultra light have not been defined by the FTC, nor has the FTC provided any guidance or authorization for their use. The FTC indicated that to the extent descriptors are used in a manner that convey an overall impression that is false, misleading, or unsubstantiated, such use could be actionable. The FTC further indicated that companies must ensure that any continued use of descriptors does not convey an erroneous or unsubstantiated message that a particular cigarette presents a reduced risk of harm or is otherwise likely to mislead consumers. In response to the FTC s action, we have removed all reference to tar and nicotine testing from our point-of-sale advertising. In addition, the new tobacco law imposes a ban which took effect in June 2010 on the use of light , mild , low or similar descriptors on tobacco product labels and in labeling or advertising. To the extent descriptors are no longer used to market or promote our cigarettes, this may have a material adverse effect on us.

A wide variety of federal, state and local laws limit the advertising, sale and use of cigarettes, and these laws have proliferated in recent years. For example, many local laws prohibit smoking in restaurants and other public places, and many employers have initiated programs restricting or eliminating smoking in the workplace. There are various other legislative efforts pending at the federal, state or local level which seek to, among other things, eliminate smoking in public places, curtail affirmative defenses of tobacco companies in product liability litigation, and further restrict the sale, marketing and advertising of cigarettes and other tobacco products. This trend has had, and is likely to continue to have, an adverse effect on us. It is not possible to predict what, if any, additional legislation, regulation or other governmental action will be enacted or implemented, or to predict what the impact of the new FDA tobacco law will be on these pending legislative efforts.

In addition to the foregoing, there have been a number of other restrictive regulatory actions, adverse legislative and political decisions and other unfavorable developments concerning cigarette smoking and the tobacco industry. These developments may negatively affect the perception of potential triers of fact with respect to the tobacco industry, possibly to the detriment of certain pending litigation, and may prompt the commencement of additional similar litigation or legislation.

SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

In addition to historical information, this report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the federal securities law. Forward-looking statements include information relating to our intent, belief or current expectations, primarily with respect to, but not limited to:

- economic outlook,
- capital expenditures,
- cost reduction,
- new legislation,

cash flows,

operating performance,

litigation,

Table of Contents

impairment charges and cost saving associated with restructurings of our tobacco operations, and related industry developments (including trends affecting our business, financial condition and results of operations).

We identify forward-looking statements in this report by using words or phrases such as anticipate , believe , estimate , expect , intend , may be , objective , plan , seek , predict , project and will be and similar words or phrases and negatives.

The forward-looking information involves important risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual results, performance or achievements to differ materially from our anticipated results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those suggested by the forward-looking statements include, without limitation, the following:

general economic and market conditions and any changes therein, due to acts of war and terrorism or otherwise,

impact of current crises in capital and credit markets, including any continued worsening,

governmental regulations and policies,

effects of industry competition,

impact of business combinations, including acquisitions and divestitures, both internally for us and externally in the tobacco industry,

impact of restructurings on our tobacco business and our ability to achieve any increases in profitability estimated to occur as a result of these restructurings,

impact of new legislation on our competitors payment obligations, results of operations and product costs, i.e. the impact of recent federal legislation eliminating the federal tobacco quota system and providing for regulation of tobacco products by the FDA,

impact of substantial increases in federal, state and local excise taxes,

uncertainty related to product liability litigation including the *Engle* progeny cases pending in Florida; and,

potential additional payment obligations for us under the Master Settlement Agreement and other settlement agreements with the states.

Further information on the risks and uncertainties that we face include the risks discussed above under Item 1A. Risk Factors and in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations .

Although we believe the expectations reflected in these forward-looking statements are based on reasonable assumptions, there is a risk that these expectations will not be attained and that any deviations will be material. The forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The information under the caption Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Market Risk is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Our Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto, together with the report thereon of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP dated February 25, 2011, are set forth beginning on page F-1 of this report.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

Table of Contents

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Conclusions Regarding the Effectiveness of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we have evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report, and, based on their evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded that these controls and procedures are effective.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f). Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on our evaluation under the framework in *Internal Control Integrated Framework*, our management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2010.

The effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010 has been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered certified public accounting firm, as stated in their report, which is included herein.

Material Changes in Internal Control

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the period covered by this report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The information contained under the following headings in our definitive Proxy Statement for our 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the 2011 Proxy Statement), to be filed with the SEC not later than 120 days after the end of our fiscal year covered by this report pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, is incorporated herein by reference: Board Proposal 1 Nomination and Election of Directors and Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Compliance. See Item 5 of this report for information regarding our executive officers.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information contained under the headings Executive Compensation and Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation in our 2011 Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The information contained under the headings Equity Compensation Plan Information and Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management in our 2011 Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The information contained under the headings Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions and Board of Directors and Committees in our 2011 Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

The information contained under the headings Audit and Non-Audit Fees and Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures in our 2011 Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a)(1) INDEX TO 2010 CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS:

Our consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto, together with the report thereon of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP dated February 25, 2011, appear beginning on page F-1 of this report.

(a)(2) FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES:

Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts Page

F-72

(c) OTHER FINANCIAL STATEMENTS REQUIRED BY REGULATION S-X:

Liggett Group LLC

The consolidated financial statements of Liggett Group LLC for the three years ended December 31, 2010 are filed as Exhibit 99.2 to this report and are incorporated by reference.

Vector Tobacco Inc.

The consolidated financial statements of Vector Tobacco Inc. for the three years ended December 31, 2010 are filed as Exhibit 99.3 to this report and are incorporated by reference.

Douglas Elliman Realty LLC

The consolidated financial statements of Douglas Elliman Realty LLC for the three years ended December 31, 2010 are filed as Exhibit 99.4 to this report and are incorporated by reference.

Table of Contents

(a)(3) *EXHIBITS*

(a) The following is a list of exhibits filed herewith as part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K:

INDEX OF EXHIBITS

**EXHIBIT
NO.**

DESCRIPTION

- * 3.1 Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Vector Group Ltd. (formerly known as Brooke Group Ltd.) (Vector) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 in Vector s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 1999).
- * 3.2 Certificate of Amendment to the Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Vector (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 in Vector s Form 8-K dated May 24, 2000).
- * 3.3 Certificate of Amendment to the Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Vector Group Ltd. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 in Vector s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2007).
- * 3.4 Amended and Restated By-Laws of Vector Group Ltd. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.4 in Vector s Form 8-K dated October 19, 2007).
- * 4.1 Amended and Restated Loan and Security Agreement dated as of April 14, 2004, by and between Wachovia Bank, N.A., as lender, Liggett Group Inc. (Liggett), as borrower, 100 Maple LLC and Epic Holdings Inc. (the Wachovia Loan Agreement) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 in Vector s Form 8-K dated April 14, 2004).
- * 4.2 Amendment, dated as of December 13, 2005, to the Wachovia Loan Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 in Vector s Form 8-K dated December 13, 2005).
- * 4.3 Amendment, dated as of January 31, 2007, to the Wachovia Loan Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 in Vector s Form 8-K dated February 2, 2007).
- * 4.4 Amendment, dated as of August 10, 2007, to the Wachovia Loan Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.6 in Vector s Form 8-K dated August 16, 2007).
- * 4.5 Amendment, dated as of August 16, 2007, to the Wachovia Loan Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.7 in Vector s Form 8-K dated August 16, 2007).

- * 4.6 Intercreditor Agreement, dated as of August 16, 2007, between the Wachovia Bank, N.A., as ABL Lender, U.S. Bank National Association, as Collateral Agent, Liggett Group LLC, as Borrower, and 100 Maple LLC, as Loan Party (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 in Vector's Form 8-K dated August 16, 2007).
- * 4.7 Amendment, dated as of August 31, 2010, to Wachovia Loan and Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 in Vector's Form 8-K dated November 1, 2010).
- * 4.8 Indenture, dated as of July 12, 2006, by and between Vector and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., relating to the 37/8% Variable Interest Senior Convertible Debentures due 2026 (the 37/8% Debentures), including the form of the 37/8% Debenture (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 in Vector's Form 8-K dated July 11, 2006).
- * 4.9 Indenture, dated as of August 16, 2007, between Vector Group Ltd., the subsidiary guarantors named therein and U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee, relating to the 11% Senior Secured Notes due 2015, including the form of Note (the Senior Notes Indenture) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 in Vector's Form 8-K dated August 16, 2007).
- * 4.10 First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of July 15, 2008, to the Senior Notes Indenture (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of Vector's Form 8-K dated July 15, 2008).
- * 4.11 Second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of September 1, 2009, to the Senior Notes Indenture (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of Vector's Form 8-K dated September 1, 2009).
- * 4.12 Third Supplemental Indenture, dated as of April 20, 2010, to the Senior Notes Indenture (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of Vector's Form 8-K dated April 20, 2010).
- * 4.13 Fourth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of December 3, 2010, to the Senior Notes Indenture (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of Vector's Form 8-K dated December 3, 2010).

Table of Contents

| EXHIBIT NO. | DESCRIPTION |
|------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| * 4.14 | Fifth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of December 16, 2010, to the Senior Notes Indenture (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of Vector's Form 8-K dated December 16, 2010). |
| * 4.15 | Pledge Agreement, dated as of August 16, 2007, between VGR Holding LLC, as Grantor, and U.S. Bank National Association, as Collateral Agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 in Vector's Form 8-K dated August 16, 2007). |
| * 4.16 | Security Agreement, dated as of August 16, 2007, between Vector Tobacco Inc., as Grantor, and U.S. Bank National Association, as Collateral Agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 in Vector's Form 8-K dated August 16, 2007). |
| * 4.17 | Security Agreement, dated as of August 16, 2007, between Liggett Group LLC and 100 Maple LLC, as Grantors, and U.S. Bank National Association, as Collateral Agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 in Vector's Form 8-K dated August 16, 2007). |
| * 4.18 | Note, dated May 11, 2009, by Vector Group Ltd. to Frost Nevada Investments Trust (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of Vector's Form 8-K dated May 11, 2009). |
| * 4.19 | Purchase Agreement, dated as of May 11, 2009, between Vector Group Ltd. and Frost Nevada Investments Trust (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 of Vector's Form 8-K dated May 11, 2009). |
| * 4.20 | Form of Issuance and Exchange Agreement, dated as of June 15, 2009, between Vector Group Ltd. and holders of its 5% Variable Interest Senior Convertible Notes due 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of Vector's Form 8-K dated June 15, 2009). |
| * 4.21 | Indenture, dated as of June 30, 2009, between Vector Group Ltd. and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. as trustee, relating to the 6.75% Variable Interest Senior Convertible Exchange Notes Due 2014, including the form of Note (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of Vector's Form 8-K dated June 30, 2009). |
| * 10.1 | Corporate Services Agreement, dated as of June 29, 1990, between Vector and Liggett (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 in Liggett's Registration Statement on Form S-1, No. 33-47482). |
| * 10.2 | Services Agreement, dated as of February 26, 1991, between Brooke Management Inc. (BMI) and Liggett (the Liggett Services Agreement) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 in VGR Holding's Registration Statement on Form S-1, No. 33-93576). |

- * 10.3 First Amendment to Liggett Services Agreement, dated as of November 30, 1993, between Liggett and BMI (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 in VGR Holding's Registration Statement on Form S-1, No. 33-93576).
- * 10.4 Second Amendment to Liggett Services Agreement, dated as of October 1, 1995, between BMI, Vector and Liggett (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(c) in Vector's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 1995).
- * 10.5 Third Amendment to Liggett Services Agreement, dated as of March 31, 2001, by and between Vector and Liggett (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 in Vector's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003).
- * 10.6 Corporate Services Agreement, dated January 1, 1992, between VGR Holding and Liggett (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13 in Liggett's Registration Statement on Form S-1, No. 33-47482).
- * 10.7 Settlement Agreement, dated March 15, 1996, by and among the State of West Virginia, State of Florida, State of Mississippi, Commonwealth of Massachusetts, and State of Louisiana, Brooke Group Holding and Liggett (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 15 in the Schedule 13D filed by Vector on March 11, 1996, as amended, with respect to the common stock of RJR Nabisco Holdings Corp.).
- * 10.8 Addendum to Initial States Settlement Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.43 in Vector's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 1997).
- * 10.9 Settlement Agreement, dated March 12, 1998, by and among the States listed in Appendix A thereto, Brooke Group Holding and Liggett (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.35 in Vector's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1997).
- * 10.10 Master Settlement Agreement made by the Settling States and Participating Manufacturers signatories thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 in Philip Morris Companies Inc.'s Form 8-K dated November 25, 1998, Commission File No. 1-8940).

Table of Contents

**EXHIBIT
NO.**

DESCRIPTION

- * 10.11 General Liggett Replacement Agreement, dated as of November 23, 1998, entered into by each of the Settling States under the Master Settlement Agreement, and Brooke Group Holding and Liggett (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.34 in Vector's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1998).

- * 10.12 Stipulation and Agreed Order regarding Stay of Execution Pending Review and Related Matters, dated May 7, 2001, entered into by Philip Morris Incorporated, Lorillard Tobacco Co., Liggett and Brooke Group Holding Inc. and the class counsel in Engel, et. al., v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co., et. al. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 in Philip Morris Companies Inc.'s Form 8-K dated May 7, 2001).

- * 10.13 Amended and Restated Employment Agreement dated as of January 27, 2006, between Vector and Howard M. Lorber (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 in Vector's Form 8-K dated January 27, 2006).

- * 10.14 Employment Agreement, dated as of January 27, 2006, between Vector and Richard J. Lampen (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 in Vector's Form 8-K dated January 27, 2006).

- * 10.15 Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated as of January 27, 2006, between Vector and Marc N. Bell (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 in Vector's Form 8-K dated January 27, 2006).

- * 10.16 Employment Agreement, dated as of November 11, 2005, between Liggett Group Inc. and Ronald J. Bernstein (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 in Vector's Form 8-K dated November 11, 2005).

- 10.17 Amendment to Employment Agreement, dated as of January 14, 2011, between Liggett and Ronald J. Bernstein.

- * 10.18 Employment Agreement, dated as of January 27, 2006, between Vector and J. Bryant Kirkland III (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 in Vector's Form 8-K dated January 27, 2006).

- * 10.19 Vector Group Ltd. Amended and Restated 1999 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Appendix A in Vector's Proxy Statement dated April 21, 2004).

- * 10.20 Stock Option Agreement, dated December 3, 2009, between Vector and Richard J. Lampen (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 in Vector's Form 10-K dated December 31, 2009).

- * 10.21 Stock Option Agreement, dated December 3, 2009, between Vector and Marc N. Bell (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 in Vector's Form 10-K dated December 31, 2009).
- * 10.22 Stock Option Agreement, dated December 3, 2009, between Vector and Howard M. Lorber (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.22 in Vector's Form 10-K dated December 31, 2009).
- * 10.23 Stock Option Agreement, dated December 3, 2009, between Vector and J. Bryant Kirkland III (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.23 in Vector's Form 10-K dated December 31, 2009).
- * 10.24 Option Letter Agreement, dated as of November 11, 2005 between Vector and Ronald J. Bernstein (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 in Vector's Form 8-K dated November 11, 2005).
- * 10.25 Restricted Share Award Agreement, dated as of April 7, 2009, between Vector Group Ltd. and Howard M. Lorber (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of Vector's Form 8-K dated April 10, 2009).
- * 10.26 Stock Option Agreement, dated January 14, 2011, between Vector and Howard M. Lorber (incorporated by reference to Exhibit S to Schedule 13D, as amended, dated January 21, 2011 filed by Howard M. Lorber).
- * 10.27 Vector Senior Executive Annual Bonus Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 in Vector's Form 8-K dated January 27, 2006).
- * 10.28 Vector Senior Executive Incentive Compensation Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 in Vector's Form 8-K dated January 14, 2011).
- * 10.29 Vector Supplemental Retirement Plan (as amended and restated April 24, 2008) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 in Vector's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2008).
- * 10.30 Operating Agreement of Douglas Elliman Realty, LLC (formerly known as Montauk Battery Realty LLC) dated December 17, 2002 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 in New Valley's Form 8-K dated December 13, 2002).

Table of Contents

| EXHIBIT NO. | DESCRIPTION |
|------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| * 10.31 | First Amendment to Operating Agreement of Douglas Elliman Realty, LLC (formerly known as Montauk Battery Realty LLC), dated as of March 14, 2003 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 in New Valley's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2003). |
| * 10.32 | Second Amendment to Operating Agreement of Douglas Elliman Realty, LLC, dated as of May 19, 2003 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 in New Valley's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2003). |
| 21 | Subsidiaries of Vector. |
| 23.1 | Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP. |
| 23.2 | Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP. |
| 23.3 | Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP. |
| 23.4 | Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP. |
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer, Pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a), as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer, Pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a), as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer, Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer, Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 99.1 | Material Legal Proceedings. |
| 99.2 | Liggett Group LLC's Consolidated Financial Statements for the three years ended December 31, 2010. |

99.3 Vector Tobacco Inc. s Consolidated Financial Statements for the three years ended December 31, 2010.

99.4 Douglas Elliman Realty LLC s Consolidated Financial Statements for the three years ended December 31, 2010.

* Incorporated by reference

Each management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement required to be filed as an exhibit to this report pursuant to Item 14(c) is listed in exhibit nos. 10.13 through 10.29.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.
(Registrant)**

By: /s/ J. Bryant Kirkland III

J. Bryant Kirkland III
Vice President, Treasurer and Chief Financial
Officer

Date: February 25, 2011

POWER OF ATTORNEY

The undersigned directors and officers of Vector Group Ltd. hereby constitute and appoint Richard J. Lampen, J. Bryant Kirkland III and Marc N. Bell, and each of them, with full power to act without the other and with full power of substitution and resubstitutions, our true and lawful attorneys-in-fact with full power to execute in our name and behalf in the capacities indicated below, this Annual Report on Form 10-K and any and all amendments thereto and to file the same, with all exhibits thereto and other documents in connection therewith, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, and hereby ratify and confirm all that such attorneys-in-fact, or any of them, or their substitutes shall lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this Report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities indicated on February 25, 2011.

| SIGNATURE | TITLE |
|------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| /s/ Howard M. Lorber Howard M. Lorber | President and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer) |
| /s/ J. Bryant Kirkland III J. Bryant Kirkland III | Vice President, Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer) |
| /s/ Henry C. Beinstein Henry C. Beinstein | Director |
| /s/ Ronald J. Bernstein Ronald J. Bernstein | Director |

/s/ Robert J. Eide

Director

Robert J. Eide

/s/ Bennett S. LeBow

Director

Bennett S. LeBow

/s/ Jeffrey S. Podell

Director

Jeffrey S. Podell

/s/ Jean E. Sharpe

Director

Jean E. Sharpe

Table of Contents

**VECTOR GROUP LTD.
FORM 10-K FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010
ITEMS 8, 15(a)(1) AND (2), 15(c)**

**INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES**

Financial Statements and Schedules of the Registrant and its subsidiaries required to be included in Items 8, 15(a) (1) and (2), 15(c) are listed below:

| | Page |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| FINANCIAL STATEMENTS: | |
| <u>Vector Group Ltd. Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | |
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Certified Public Accounting Firm</u> | F-2 |
| <u>Vector Group Ltd. Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2010 and 2009</u> | F-3 |
| <u>Vector Group Ltd. Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008</u> | F-4 |
| <u>Vector Group Ltd. Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity (Deficiency) for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008</u> | F-5 |
| <u>Vector Group Ltd. Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008</u> | F-6 |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | F-8 |
| FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULE: | |
| <u>Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts</u> | F-72 |

Financial Statement Schedules not listed above have been omitted because they are not applicable or the required information is contained in our consolidated financial statements or accompanying notes.

Liggett Group LLC

The consolidated financial statements of Liggett Group LLC for the three years ended December 31, 2010 are filed as Exhibit 99.2 to this report and are incorporated by reference.

Vector Tobacco Inc.

The consolidated financial statements of Vector Tobacco Inc. for the three years ended December 31, 2010 are filed as Exhibit 99.3 to this report and are incorporated by reference.

Douglas Elliman Realty LLC

The consolidated financial statements of Douglas Elliman Realty LLC for the three years ended December 31, 2010 are filed as Exhibit 99.4 to this report and are incorporated by reference.

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Certified Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders
of Vector Group Ltd.:

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements listed in the accompanying index present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Vector Group Ltd. and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2010 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In addition, in our opinion, the financial statement schedule listed in the accompanying index presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on criteria established in *Internal Control – Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements and financial statement schedule, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting appearing under Item 9A. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements, on the financial statement schedule, and on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our integrated audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

As discussed in Note 1(n) to the consolidated financial statements, the Company changed the manner in which it accounts for defined benefit and other post retirement plans in 2008.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may

deteriorate.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP
Miami, Florida
February 25, 2011

F-2

Table of Contents**VECTOR GROUP LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

| | December 31, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts) | |
| ASSETS: | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 299,825 | \$ 209,454 |
| Investment securities available for sale | 78,754 | 51,743 |
| Accounts receivable - trade | 1,849 | 8,098 |
| Inventories | 107,079 | 98,486 |
| Deferred income taxes | 31,786 | 14,154 |
| Restricted assets | 2,661 | 3,138 |
| Other current assets | 4,809 | 4,135 |
| | | |
| Total current assets | 526,763 | 389,208 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | 55,412 | 42,986 |
| Investment in Escena, net | 13,354 | 13,244 |
| Long-term investments accounted for at cost | 46,033 | 50,323 |
| Long-term investments accounted for under the equity method | 10,954 | |
| Investments in non-consolidated real estate businesses | 80,416 | 49,566 |
| Investments in townhomes | 16,275 | |
| Restricted assets | 8,694 | 4,835 |
| Deferred income taxes | 37,828 | 39,838 |
| Intangible asset | 107,511 | 107,511 |
| Prepaid pension costs | 13,935 | 8,994 |
| Other assets | 32,420 | 29,037 |
| | | |
| Total assets | \$ 949,595 | \$ 735,542 |
| | | |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS DEFICIENCY: | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Current portion of notes payable and long-term debt | \$ 51,345 | \$ 21,889 |
| Fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt | 480 | |
| Current portion of employee benefits | 1,014 | 1,029 |
| Accounts payable | 9,027 | 4,355 |
| Accrued promotional expenses | 14,327 | 12,745 |
| Income taxes payable, net | 11,617 | 19,924 |
| Accrued excise and payroll taxes payable, net | 18,523 | 24,093 |
| Settlement accruals | 48,071 | 18,803 |
| Deferred income taxes | 36,963 | 17,254 |
| Accrued interest | 20,824 | 13,840 |
| Other current liabilities | 14,681 | 15,076 |

| | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Total current liabilities | 226,872 | 149,008 |
| Notes payable, long-term debt and other obligations, less current portion | 506,052 | 334,920 |
| Fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt | 141,012 | 153,016 |
| Non-current employee benefits | 38,742 | 34,247 |
| Deferred income taxes | 51,815 | 45,120 |
| Other liabilities | 31,336 | 23,913 |
| Total liabilities | 995,829 | 740,224 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | |
| Stockholders' deficiency: | | |
| Preferred stock, par value \$1.00 per share, 10,000,000 shares authorized | | |
| Common stock, par value \$0.10 per share, 150,000,000 shares authorized, 78,349,590 and 74,510,595 shares issued and 74,939,284 and 71,262,684 shares outstanding | 7,494 | 7,126 |
| Additional paid-in capital | | 15,928 |
| Accumulated deficit | (45,327) | |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) | 4,456 | (14,879) |
| Less: 3,410,306 and 3,247,911 shares of common stock in treasury, at cost | (12,857) | (12,857) |
| Total stockholders' deficiency | (46,234) | (4,682) |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' deficiency | \$ 949,595 | \$ 735,542 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

VECTOR GROUP LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| | (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts) | | |
| Revenues* | \$ 1,063,289 | \$ 801,494 | \$ 565,186 |
| Expenses: | | | |
| Cost of goods sold | 845,106 | 577,386 | 335,299 |
| Operating, selling, administrative and general expenses | 90,709 | 85,041 | 94,583 |
| Litigation judgment expense | 16,161 | | |
| Gain on brand transaction | | (5,000) | |
| Restructuring charges | | 900 | |
| Operating income | 111,313 | 143,167 | 135,304 |
| Other income (expenses): | | | |
| Interest expense | (84,096) | (68,490) | (62,335) |
| Changes in fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt | 11,524 | (35,925) | 24,337 |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt | | (18,573) | |
| Provision for loss on investments | | (8,500) | (32,400) |
| Gain on sale of investment securities available for sale | 19,869 | | |
| Equity income from non-consolidated real estate businesses | 23,963 | 15,213 | 24,399 |
| Other, net | 2,997 | 1,645 | 5,267 |
| Income before provision for income taxes | 85,570 | 28,537 | 94,572 |
| Income tax expense | (31,486) | (3,731) | (34,068) |
| Net income | \$ 54,084 | \$ 24,806 | \$ 60,504 |
| Per basic common share: | | | |
| Net income applicable to common shares | \$ 0.71 | \$ 0.33 | \$ 0.81 |
| Per diluted common share: | | | |
| Net income applicable to common shares | \$ 0.71 | \$ 0.33 | \$ 0.72 |
| Cash distributions declared per share | \$ 1.54 | \$ 1.47 | \$ 1.40 |

* Revenues and cost of goods sold include federal excise taxes of \$538,328, \$377,771 and \$168,170 for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**VECTOR GROUP LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (DEFICIENCY)**

| | Common Stock | | Additional | Deficit | Other | Treasury | Total |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|----------|------------|------------------------|---------------|-------------|------------|
| | Shares | Amount | Paid-In | | Comprehensive | | |
| | | | Capital | (Dollars in thousands) | (Loss) | | |
| Balance, January 1, 2008 | 60,361,068 | \$ 6,036 | \$ 89,494 | \$ | \$ 18,179 | \$ (12,857) | \$ 100,852 |
| Net income | | | | 60,504 | | | 60,504 |
| Change in net loss and prior service cost, net of taxes | | | | | (30,989) | | (30,989) |
| Forward contract adjustments, net of taxes | | | | | 35 | | 35 |
| Unrealized gain on long-term investments accounted for under the equity method, net of taxes | | | | | (399) | | (399) |
| Unrealized gain on investment securities, net of taxes | | | | | (12,263) | | (12,263) |
| Total other comprehensive loss | | | | | | | (43,616) |
| Total comprehensive income | | | | | | | 16,888 |
| Pension adjustment | | | | (509) | 195 | | (314) |
| Distributions and dividends on common stock | | | (46,081) | (59,681) | | | (105,762) |
| Effect of stock dividend | 3,142,760 | 314 | | (314) | | | |
| Tax benefit of options exercised | | | 18,304 | | | | 18,304 |
| Exercise of options, net of 1,375,895 shares | 2,510,242 | 251 | (164) | | | | 87 |

| | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|-------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|
| delivered to pay exercise price Amortization of deferred compensation | | | 3,550 | | | | 3,550 |
| Balance, December 31, 2008 | 66,014,070 | 6,601 | 65,103 | | (25,242) | (12,857) | 33,605 |
| Net income | | | | 24,806 | | | 24,806 |
| Change in net loss and prior service cost, net of taxes | | | | | 6,232 | | 6,232 |
| Forward contract adjustments, net of taxes | | | | | 34 | | 34 |
| Unrealized gain on investment securities, net of taxes | | | | | 4,097 | | 4,097 |
| Total other comprehensive income | | | | | | | 10,363 |
| Total comprehensive income | | | | | | | 35,169 |
| Distributions and dividends on common stock | | | (88,110) | (24,473) | | | (112,583) |
| Restricted stock grant | 500,000 | 50 | | | | | 50 |
| Effect of stock dividend | 3,326,623 | 333 | | (333) | | | |
| Tax benefit of options exercised | | | 9,162 | | | | 9,162 |
| Exercise of options, net of 2,814,866 shares delivered to pay exercise price | 1,582,074 | 158 | 986 | | | | 1,144 |
| Surrender of shares in connection with option exercise | (160,083) | (16) | (2,298) | | | | (2,314) |
| Amortization of deferred compensation | | | 3,642 | | | | 3,642 |
| Beneficial conversion feature of notes payable, | | | 27,443 | | | | 27,443 |

net of taxes

| | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|-------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|
| Balance, December 31, 2009 | 71,262,684 | 7,126 | 15,928 | | (14,879) | (12,857) | (4,682) |
| Net income | | | | 54,084 | | | 54,084 |
| Change in net loss and prior service cost, net of taxes | | | | | 2,938 | | 2,938 |
| Forward contract adjustments, net of taxes | | | | | 42 | | 42 |
| Unrealized gain on long-term investment securities accounted for under the equity method, net of income taxes | | | | | 669 | | 669 |
| Change in net unrealized gain on investment securities, net of taxes | | | | | 27,607 | | 27,607 |
| Net unrealized gains reclassified into net income, net of income taxes | | | | | (11,921) | | (11,921) |
| Unrealized gain on investment securities, net of taxes | | | | | 15,686 | | 15,686 |
| Total other comprehensive income | | | | | | | 19,335 |
| Total comprehensive income | | | | | | | 73,419 |
| Distributions and dividends on common stock | | | (19,081) | (99,054) | | | (118,135) |
| Restricted stock grant | 50,000 | 5 | (5) | | | | |
| Surrender of shares in connection with restricted stock vesting | (51,941) | (5) | (1,035) | | | | (1,040) |
| | 3,567,023 | 357 | | (357) | | | |

| | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|------------|----------|-------|-------------|----------|-------------|----|----------|
| Effect of stock dividend | | | | | | | | |
| Tax benefit of options exercised | | | 269 | | | | | 269 |
| Exercise of stock options | 111,518 | 11 | 1,254 | | | | | 1,265 |
| Amortization of deferred compensation | | | 2,670 | | | | | 2,670 |
| Balance, December 31, 2010 | 74,939,284 | \$ 7,494 | \$ | \$ (45,327) | \$ 4,456 | \$ (12,857) | \$ | (46,234) |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

F-5

Table of Contents**VECTOR GROUP LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| | (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts) | | |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | | |
| Net income | \$ 54,084 | \$ 24,806 | \$ 60,504 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 10,790 | 10,398 | 10,057 |
| Non-cash stock-based expense | 2,704 | 3,642 | 3,550 |
| Non-cash portion of restructuring and impairment charges | | 100 | 53 |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt | | 18,573 | |
| Gain on sale of assets | 74 | 127 | |
| Deferred income taxes | 1,225 | (110,183) | 432 |
| Provision for loss on mortgage receivable | | 5,000 | 4,000 |
| Provision for loss on non-consolidated real estate businesses | | 3,500 | 3,500 |
| Provision for loss on long-term investments accounted for at cost | | | 21,900 |
| (Income) loss on long-term investments accounted under the equity method | (2,604) | | 568 |
| Gain on sale of marketable securities | (19,869) | | |
| Provision for loss on marketable securities | | | 3,000 |
| Equity income in non-consolidated real estate businesses | (23,963) | (15,213) | (24,399) |
| Distributions from non-consolidated real estate businesses | 12,212 | 6,466 | 8,462 |
| Premium on issuance of debt | 3,450 | | |
| Non-cash interest expense (income) | 1,082 | 51,209 | (11,907) |
| Changes in assets and liabilities: | | | |
| Receivables | 6,249 | 1,408 | (6,393) |
| Inventories | (8,593) | (5,905) | (5,756) |
| Change in book overdraft | | | 198 |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | 35,560 | 4,035 | 11,850 |
| Cash payments on restructuring liabilities | (179) | (902) | (154) |
| Other assets and liabilities, net | (5,218) | 8,606 | 11,800 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 67,004 | 5,667 | 91,265 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**VECTOR GROUP LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Continued)**

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| | Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts | | |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | | |
| Proceeds from sale of businesses and assets | 187 | 41 | 452 |
| Proceeds from sale or maturity of investment securities | 28,587 | 78 | |
| Purchase of investment securities | (9,394) | (12,427) | (6,411) |
| Proceeds from sale or liquidation of long-term investments | 1,002 | 2,254 | 8,334 |
| Purchase of long-term investments | (5,062) | (51) | (51) |
| Purchase of mortgage receivable | (13,462) | | (21,704) |
| Purchase of other minority equity interests | | | (4,250) |
| (Increase) decrease in restricted assets | (1,100) | 1,720 | (411) |
| Investments in non-consolidated real estate businesses | (24,645) | (474) | (22,000) |
| Distributions from non-consolidated real estate businesses | 3,539 | 6,730 | 19,393 |
| Issuance of notes receivable | (930) | | |
| Cash acquired in Aberdeen consolidation | 473 | | |
| Capital expenditures | (23,391) | (3,848) | (6,309) |
| Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance policies | (936) | (839) | (938) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (45,132) | (6,816) | (33,895) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | | |
| Proceeds from issuance of debt | 185,714 | 118,805 | 2,831 |
| Repayments of debt | (14,539) | (6,179) | (6,329) |
| Deferred financing charges | (5,077) | (5,573) | (137) |
| Borrowings under revolver | 1,034,924 | 749,474 | 531,251 |
| Repayments on revolver | (1,016,598) | (751,607) | (526,518) |
| Distributions on common stock | (117,459) | (115,778) | (103,870) |
| Proceeds from exercise of Vector options | 1,265 | 1,194 | 86 |
| Tax benefit of options exercised | 269 | 9,162 | 18,304 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 68,499 | (502) | (84,382) |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 90,371 | (1,651) | (27,012) |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year | 209,454 | 211,105 | 238,117 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of year | \$ 299,825 | \$ 209,454 | \$ 211,105 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

VECTOR GROUP LTD.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

(a) Basis of Presentation:

The consolidated financial statements of Vector Group Ltd. (the Company or Vector) include the accounts of VGR Holding LLC (VGR Holding), Liggett Group LLC (Liggett), Vector Tobacco Inc. (Vector Tobacco), Liggett Vector Brands Inc. (Liggett Vector Brands), New Valley LLC (New Valley) and other less significant subsidiaries. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.

Liggett and Vector Tobacco are engaged in the manufacture and sale of cigarettes in the United States. New Valley is engaged in the real estate business and is seeking to acquire additional operating companies and real estate properties.

Certain reclassifications have been made to the 2008 and 2009 financial information to conform to the 2010 presentation.

(b) Estimates and Assumptions:

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses. Significant estimates subject to material changes in the near term include restructuring and impairment charges, inventory valuation, deferred tax assets, allowance for doubtful accounts, promotional accruals, sales returns and allowances, actuarial assumptions of pension plans, the estimated fair value of embedded derivative liabilities, settlement accruals, restructuring, valuation of investments, including other than temporary impairments to such investments, accounting for investments in equity securities, and litigation and defense costs. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

(c) Cash and Cash Equivalents:

For purposes of the statements of cash flows, cash includes cash on hand, cash on deposit in banks and cash equivalents, comprised of short-term investments which have an original maturity of 90 days or less. Interest on short-term investments is recognized when earned. The Company places its cash and cash equivalents with large commercial banks. The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) and Securities Investor Protection Corporation (SIPC) insure these balances, up to \$250 and \$500, respectively. Substantially all of the Company's cash balances at December 31, 2010 are uninsured.

(d) Financial Instruments:

The carrying value of cash and cash equivalents, restricted assets and short-term loans approximate their fair value.

The carrying amounts of short-term debt reported in the consolidated balance sheets approximate fair value. The fair value of long-term debt for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 was estimated based on current market quotations.

As required by authoritative guidance, derivatives embedded within the Company's convertible debt are recognized on the Company's balance sheet and are stated at estimated fair value at each reporting period. Changes in the fair value of the embedded derivatives are reflected quarterly as Changes in fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt.

F-8

Table of Contents

VECTOR GROUP LTD.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The estimated fair values for financial instruments presented herein are not necessarily indicative of the amounts the Company could realize in a current market exchange. The use of different market assumptions and/or estimation methodologies may have a material effect on the estimated fair values.

(e) Investment Securities:

The Company classifies investments in debt and marketable equity securities as available for sale. Investments classified as available for sale are carried at fair value, with net unrealized gains and losses included as a separate component of stockholders' equity. The cost of securities sold is determined based on average cost. Investments in marketable equity securities represent less than a 20 percent interest in the investees and the Company does not exercise significant influence over such entities.

Gains are recognized when realized in the Company's consolidated statements of operations. Losses are recognized as realized or upon the determination of the occurrence of an other-than-temporary decline in fair value. The Company's policy is to review its securities on a periodic basis to evaluate whether any security has experienced an other-than-temporary decline in fair value. If it is determined that an other-than-temporary decline exists in one of the Company's marketable securities, it is the Company's policy to record an impairment charge with respect to such investment in the Company's consolidated statements of operations. The Company recorded a loss related to other-than-temporary declines in the fair value of its marketable equity securities of \$500, \$0 and \$3,018 for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

(f) Significant Concentrations of Credit Risk:

Financial instruments which potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk consist principally of cash and cash equivalents and trade receivables. The Company places its temporary cash in money market securities (investment grade or better) with what management believes are high credit quality financial institutions.

Liggett's customers are primarily candy and tobacco distributors, the military and large grocery, drug and convenience store chains. No single retail customer represented more than 10% of Liggett's revenues in 2010, 2009 and 2008. Concentrations of credit risk with respect to trade receivables are generally limited due to the large number of customers, located primarily throughout the United States, comprising Liggett's customer base. Ongoing credit evaluations of customers' financial condition are performed and, generally, no collateral is required. Liggett maintains reserves for potential credit losses and such losses, in the aggregate, have generally not exceeded management's expectations.

(g) Accounts Receivable:

Accounts receivable-trade are recorded at their net realizable value.

The allowance for doubtful accounts and cash discounts was \$239 and \$354 at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

(h) Inventories:

Tobacco inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market and are determined primarily by the last-in, first-out (LIFO) method at Liggett and Vector Tobacco. Although portions of leaf tobacco inventories may not be used or sold within one year because of the time required for aging, they are included in current assets, which is common practice in the industry. It is not practicable to determine the amount that will not be used or sold within one year.

(i) Restricted Assets:

Current restricted assets of \$2,661 and \$3,138 at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, consist primarily of certificates of deposits and supersedeas bonds. Long-term restricted assets of \$8,694 and \$4,835 at December 31,

Table of Contents

VECTOR GROUP LTD.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

2010 and 2009, respectively, consist primarily of certificates of deposit which collateralize letters of credit, supersedeas bonds and deposits on long-term debt. The certificates of deposit mature at various dates from February 2011 to January 2012.

(j) Property, Plant and Equipment:

Property, plant and equipment are stated at cost. Property, plant and equipment are depreciated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the respective assets, which are 20 to 30 years for buildings and 3 to 10 years for machinery and equipment.

Repairs and maintenance costs are charged to expense as incurred. The costs of major renewals and betterments are capitalized. The cost and related accumulated depreciation of property, plant and equipment are removed from the accounts upon retirement or other disposition and any resulting gain or loss is reflected in operations.

(k) Investment in Non-Consolidated Real Estate Businesses:

In accounting for its investment in non-consolidated real estate businesses, the Company identified its participation in Variable Interest Entities (VIE), which are defined as entities in which the equity investors have not provided enough equity to finance its activities or the equity investors (1) cannot directly or indirectly make decisions about the entity's activities through their voting rights or similar rights; (2) do not have the obligation to absorb the expected losses of the entity; (3) do not have the right to receive the expected residual returns of the entity; or (4) have voting rights that are not proportionate to their economic interests and the entity's activities involve or are conducted on behalf of an investor with a disproportionately small voting interest.

New Valley accounts for its interest in Douglas Elliman Realty LLC on the equity method because the entity neither meets the definition of a VIE nor is New Valley the entity's primary beneficiary, as defined in authoritative guidance.

New Valley Oaktree Chelsea Eleven LLC, Sesto Holdings S.r.l. and Fifty Third-Five meet the definition of a VIE, New Valley is not the primary beneficiary of these entities, as defined in authoritative guidance. In August 2010, New Valley became the primary beneficiary of Aberdeen Townhomes LLC, and as a result, the consolidated financial statements of the Company now include the account balances of Aberdeen Townhomes LLC as of December 31, 2010.

(l) Intangible Assets:

The Company reviews intangible assets for impairment annually or whenever events or changes in business circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the intangible assets may not be fully recoverable. Indefinite life intangible assets as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, consisted of \$107,511. This intangible asset relates to the exemption of The Medallion Company (Medallion), acquired in April 2002, under the Master Settlement Agreement, which states payments under the MSA continue in perpetuity. As a result, the Company believes it will realize the benefit of the exemption for the foreseeable future.

Other intangible assets, included in other assets, consisting of trademarks and patent rights, are amortized using the straight-line method over 10-12 years and had no net book value at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

(m) Impairment of Long-Lived Assets:

The Company reviews long-lived assets for impairment annually or whenever events or changes in business circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the assets may not be fully recoverable. The Company performs undiscounted operating cash flow analyses to determine if impairment exists. If impairment is determined to exist,

F-10

Table of Contents**VECTOR GROUP LTD.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

any related impairment loss is calculated based on fair value of the asset on the basis of discounted cash flow. Impairment losses on assets to be disposed of, if any, are based on the estimated proceeds to be received, less costs of disposal.

(n) Pension, Postretirement and Postemployment Benefits Plans:

The cost of providing retiree pension benefits, health care and life insurance benefits is actuarially determined and accrued over the service period of the active employee group. The Company recognizes the funded status of each defined benefit pension plan, retiree health care and other postretirement benefit plans and postemployment benefit plans on the balance sheet. The Company changed its measurement date for the funded status of the plans from September 30 to December 31 in 2008. (See Note 9.)

(o) Stock Options:

The Company accounts for employee stock compensation plans by measuring compensation cost for share-based payments at fair value. In September 2010, the Company's Chief Executive Officer delivered 51,941 shares of common stock in payment of income and payroll taxes in connection with the vesting of restricted shares. In September 2009, the Company's Chairman delivered 2,226,503 shares of common stock in payment of the exercise price in connection with the exercise of an employee stock option for 3,379,948 shares. In November 2009, four executive officers of the Company delivered 897,194 shares of common stock in payment of the exercise price and income and payroll taxes in connection with the exercise of employee stock options for 1,188,668 shares. In June 2008, the Company's Chairman delivered 1,516,925 shares of common stock in payment of the exercise price in connection with the exercise of an employee stock option for 4,275,845 shares. The Company immediately cancelled the shares delivered in these transactions.

(p) Income Taxes:

The Company follows authoritative guidance for accounting for uncertainty in income taxes which requires an entity to recognize the financial statement impact of a tax position when it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained upon examination. If the tax position meets the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold, the tax effect is recognized at the largest amount of the benefit that is greater than 50% likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement. The guidance requires that a liability created for unrecognized deferred tax benefits shall be presented as a liability and not combined with deferred tax liabilities or assets.

The Company accounts for income taxes under the liability method and records deferred taxes for the impact of temporary differences between the amounts of assets and liabilities recognized for financial reporting purposes and the amounts recognized for tax purposes as well as tax credit carryforwards and loss carryforwards. These deferred taxes are measured by applying currently enacted tax rates. A valuation allowance reduces deferred tax assets when it is deemed more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. A current tax provision is recorded for income taxes currently payable.

(q) Distributions and Dividends on Common Stock:

The Company records distributions on its common stock as dividends in its consolidated statement of stockholders equity to the extent of retained earnings. Any amounts exceeding retained earnings are recorded as a reduction to

additional paid-in-capital. The Company's stock dividends are recorded as stock splits and given retroactive effect to earnings per share for all years presented.

(r) Revenue Recognition:

Sales: Revenues from sales are recognized upon the shipment of finished goods when title and risk of loss have passed to the customer, there is persuasive evidence of an arrangement, the sale price is determinable and

F-11

Table of Contents**VECTOR GROUP LTD.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

collectibility is reasonably assured. The Company provides an allowance for expected sales returns, net of any related inventory cost recoveries. Certain sales incentives, including buydowns, are classified as reductions of net sales. The Company's accounting policy is to include federal excise taxes in revenues and cost of goods sold. Such revenues and cost of goods sold totaled \$538,328, \$377,771 and \$168,170 for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Since the Company's primary line of business is tobacco, the Company's financial position and its results of operations and cash flows have been and could continue to be materially adversely affected by significant unit sales volume declines, litigation and defense costs, increased tobacco costs or reductions in the selling price of cigarettes in the near term.

Shipping and Handling Fees and Costs: Shipping and handling fees related to sales transactions are neither billed to customers nor recorded as revenue. Shipping and handling costs, which were \$5,323 in 2010, \$4,059 in 2009 and \$4,509 in 2008 are recorded as operating, selling, administrative and general expenses.

(s) Advertising and Research and Development:

Advertising costs, which are expensed as incurred and included within operating, selling, administration and general expenses, were \$2,970, \$3,159 and \$3,282 for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Research and development costs are expensed as incurred and included within operating, selling, administration and general expenses, and were \$1,582, \$2,533 and \$3,988 for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

(t) Earnings Per Share:

Information concerning the Company's common stock has been adjusted to give effect to the 5% stock dividends paid to Company stockholders on September 29, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The dividends were recorded at par value of \$357 in 2010, \$333 in 2009 and \$314 in 2008 since the Company did not have retained earnings in each of the aforementioned years. In connection with the 5% stock dividends, the Company increased the number of shares subject to outstanding stock options by 5% and reduced the exercise prices accordingly.

For purposes of calculating basic EPS, earnings available to common stockholders for the period are reduced by the contingent interest and the non-cash interest expense associated with the discounts created by the beneficial conversion features and embedded derivatives related to the Company's convertible debt issued. The convertible debt issued by the Company are participating securities due to the contingent interest feature and had no impact on EPS for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 as the dividends on the common stock reduced earnings available to common stockholders so there were no unallocated earnings.

As discussed in Note 11, the Company has stock option awards which provide for common stock dividend equivalents at the same rate as paid on the common stock with respect to the shares underlying the unexercised portion of the options. These outstanding options represent participating securities under authoritative guidance. The Company recognizes payments of the dividend equivalent rights (\$2,480, net of taxes of \$0, \$4,342, net of taxes of \$1,725, and \$4,865, net of taxes of \$2,144, for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively) on these options as reductions in additional paid-in capital on the Company's consolidated balance sheet. As a result, in its calculation of basic EPS for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, the Company has adjusted its net

income for the effect of these participating securities as follows:

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|-------------------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Net income | \$ 54,084 | \$ 24,806 | \$ 60,504 |
| Income attributable to participating securities | (1,146) | (956) | (2,783) |
| Net income available to common stockholders | \$ 52,938 | \$ 23,850 | \$ 57,721 |

F-12

Table of Contents**VECTOR GROUP LTD.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Basic EPS is computed by dividing net income available to common stockholders by the weighted-average number of shares outstanding, which includes vested restricted stock.

Diluted EPS includes the dilutive effect of stock options, unvested restricted stock grants and convertible securities. Diluted EPS is computed by dividing net income available to common stockholders by the diluted weighted-average number of shares outstanding, which includes dilutive non-vested restricted stock grants, stock options and convertible securities.

Basic and diluted EPS were calculated using the following shares for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008:

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Weighted-average shares for basic EPS | 74,330,955 | 72,989,289 | 71,093,920 |
| Plus incremental shares related to stock options and warrants | 362,904 | 69,748 | 790,732 |
| Plus incremental shares related to convertible debt | | | 6,530,696 |
| Weighted-average shares for diluted EPS | 74,693,899 | 73,059,037 | 78,415,348 |

The following stock options, non-vested restricted stock and shares issuable upon the conversion of convertible debt were outstanding during the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 but were not included in the computation of diluted EPS because the exercise prices of the options and the per share expense associated with the restricted stock were greater than the average market price of the common shares during the respective periods, and the impact of common shares issuable under the convertible debt were anti-dilutive to EPS.

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Number of stock options | 158,411 | 1,710,914 | 567,496 |
| Weighted-average exercise price | \$ 24.54 | \$ 14.91 | \$ 17.43 |
| Weighted-average shares of non-vested restricted stock | | 166,872 | 73,438 |
| Weighted-average expense per share | \$ | \$ 15.50 | \$ 15.99 |
| Weighted-average number of shares issuable upon conversion of debt | 17,143,180 | 15,765,854 | 7,727,448 |
| Weighted-average conversion price | \$ 15.61 | \$ 16.06 | \$ 14.48 |

Edgar Filing: VECTOR GROUP LTD - Form 10-K

Diluted EPS are calculated by dividing income by the weighted average common shares outstanding plus dilutive common stock equivalents. The Company's convertible debt was anti-dilutive in 2009 and 2010. As a result of the dilutive nature in 2008 of the Company's 3.875% variable interest senior convertible debentures due 2026, the Company adjusted its net income for the effect of these convertible securities for purposes of calculating diluted EPS as follows:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Net income | \$ 54,084 | \$ 24,806 | \$ 60,504 |
| Income attributable to 3.875% variable interest senior convertible debentures due 2026 | | | (962) |
| Income attributable to participating securities | (1,146) | (971) | (2,783) |
| Net income for diluted EPS | \$ 52,938 | \$ 23,835 | \$ 56,759 |

F-13

Table of Contents**VECTOR GROUP LTD.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)*****(u) Comprehensive Income:***

Other comprehensive income is a component of stockholders' equity and includes such items as the unrealized gains and losses on investment securities available for sale, forward contracts and minimum pension liability adjustments. Total comprehensive income was as follows:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Net income | \$ 54,084 | \$ 24,806 | \$ 60,504 |
| Net unrealized gains (losses) on investment securities available for sale: | | | |
| Change in net unrealized gains (losses), net of income taxes | 27,607 | 4,097 | (14,047) |
| Net unrealized (gains) losses reclassified into net income, net of income taxes | (11,921) | | 1,784 |
| Net unrealized gains (losses) on investment securities available for sale, net of income taxes | 15,686 | 4,097 | (12,263) |
| Change in net unrealized gains (losses), net of income taxes | 669 | | (674) |
| Net unrealized losses reclassified into net income, net of income taxes | | | 275 |
| Net unrealized gains (losses) on long-term investments accounted for under the equity method | 669 | | (399) |
| Net change in forward contracts | 42 | 34 | 35 |
| Net change in pension-related amounts, net of income taxes | 2,938 | 6,232 | (30,989) |
| Comprehensive income | \$ 73,419 | \$ 35,169 | \$ 16,888 |

The components of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of income taxes, were as follows:

| | December 31, 2010 | December 31, 2009 | December 31, 2008 |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Net unrealized gains on investment securities available for sale, net of income taxes of \$14,591, \$4,238 and \$1,456, respectively | \$ 21,887 | \$ 6,201 | \$ 2,104 |
| Net unrealized gains on long-term investment accounted for under the equity method, net of income taxes of \$446, \$0 and \$0, respectively | 669 | | |
| Forward contracts adjustment, net of income taxes of \$140, \$170 and \$195, respectively | (206) | (248) | (282) |
| | (17,894) | (20,832) | (27,064) |

Pension-related amounts, net of income taxes of \$11,929, \$13,513 and \$17,408, respectively

| | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------|----|-------|----|----------|----|----------|
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) | \$ | 4,456 | \$ | (14,879) | \$ | (25,242) |
|-----------------------------------------------|----|-------|----|----------|----|----------|

(v) Fair Value of Derivatives Embedded within Convertible Debt:

The Company has estimated the fair market value of the embedded derivatives based principally on the results of a valuation model. The estimated fair value of the derivatives embedded within the convertible debt is based principally on the present value of future dividend payments expected to be received by the convertible debt holders over the term of the debt. The discount rate applied to the future cash flows is estimated based on a spread in the yield of the Company's debt when compared to risk-free securities with the same duration; thus, a readily determinable fair market value of the embedded derivatives is not available. The valuation model assumes future

Table of Contents**VECTOR GROUP LTD.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

dividend payments by the Company and utilizes interest rates and credit spreads for secured to unsecured debt, unsecured to subordinated debt and subordinated debt to preferred stock to determine the fair value of the derivatives embedded within the convertible debt. The valuation also considers other items, including current and future dividends and the volatility of Vector's stock price. At December 31, 2010, the range of estimated fair market values of the Company's embedded derivatives was between \$138,701 and \$144,391. The Company recorded the fair market value of its embedded derivatives at the midpoint of the inputs at \$141,492 as of December 31, 2010. At December 31, 2009, the range of estimated fair market values of the Company's embedded derivatives was between \$149,982 and \$156,172. The Company recorded the fair market value of its embedded derivatives at the midpoint of the inputs at \$153,016 as of December 31, 2009. The estimated fair market value of the Company's embedded derivatives could change significantly based on future market conditions. (See Note 7.)

(w) Capital and Credit Markets:

The Company has performed additional assessments to determine the impact, if any, of market developments, on the Company's consolidated financial statements. The Company's additional assessments have included a review of access to liquidity in the capital and credit markets, counterparty creditworthiness, value of the Company's investments (including long-term investments, mortgage receivable and employee benefit plans) and macroeconomic conditions. The volatility in capital and credit markets may create additional risks in the upcoming months and possibly years and the Company will continue to perform additional assessments to determine the impact, if any, on the Company's consolidated financial statements. Thus, future impairment charges may occur.

On a quarterly basis, the Company evaluates its investments to determine whether an impairment has occurred. If so, the Company also makes a determination of whether such impairment is considered temporary or other-than-temporary. The Company believes that the assessment of temporary or other-than-temporary impairment is facts and circumstances driven. However, among the matters that are considered in making such a determination are the period of time the investment has remained below its cost or carrying value, the likelihood of recovery given the reason for the decrease in market value and the Company's original expected holding period of the investment.

(x) Contingencies:

The Company records Liggett's product liability legal expenses and other litigation costs as operating, selling, general and administrative expenses as those costs are incurred. As discussed in Note 12, legal proceedings covering a wide range of matters are pending or threatened in various jurisdictions against Liggett and the Company.

The Company and its subsidiaries record provisions in their consolidated financial statements for pending litigation when they determine that an unfavorable outcome is probable and the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated. At the present time, while it is reasonably possible that an unfavorable outcome in a case may occur, except as disclosed in Note 12, (i) management has concluded that it is not probable that a loss has been incurred in any of the pending tobacco-related cases; (ii) management is unable to estimate the possible loss or range of loss that could result from an unfavorable outcome in any of the pending tobacco-related cases; and (iii) accordingly, management has not provided any amounts in the consolidated financial statements for unfavorable outcomes, if any. Legal defense costs are expensed as incurred.

(y) New Accounting Pronouncements:

In June 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (the FASB) issued an amendment to the accounting and disclosure requirements for transfers of financial assets. The guidance requires additional disclosures for transfers of financial assets and changes the requirements for derecognizing financial assets. The Company adopted this guidance for interim and annual reporting periods beginning on January 1, 2010. The adoption of this guidance did not impact the Company's consolidated financial statements.

F-15

Table of Contents**VECTOR GROUP LTD.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

In June 2009, the FASB issued an amendment to the accounting and disclosure requirements for the consolidation of variable interest entities. The amended guidance eliminates exceptions to consolidating qualifying special purpose entities, contains new criteria for determining the primary beneficiary, and increases the frequency of required reassessments to determine whether a company is the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity. This guidance also contains a new requirement that any term, transaction, or arrangement that does not have a substantive effect on an entity's status as a variable interest entity, a company's power over a variable interest entity, or a company's obligation to absorb losses or its right to receive benefits of an entity must be disregarded. The elimination of the qualifying special-purpose entity concept and its consolidation exception means more entities will be subject to consolidation assessments and reassessments. The Company adopted this guidance for interim and annual reporting periods beginning on January 1, 2010. The adoption of this guidance did not impact the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In January 2010, the FASB issued authoritative guidance intended to improve disclosure about fair value measurements. The guidance requires entities to disclose significant transfers in and out of fair value hierarchy levels and the reasons for the transfers and to present information about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements separately in the reconciliation of fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3). Additionally, the guidance clarifies that a reporting entity should provide fair value measurements for each class of assets and liabilities and disclose the inputs and valuation techniques used for fair value measurements using significant other observable inputs (Level 2) and significant unobservable inputs (Level 3). This guidance is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2009 except for the disclosure about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements in the Level 3 reconciliation, which will be effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2010. The Company adopted this authoritative guidance, with the exception of the disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements in the Level 3 reconciliation. The adoption of this guidance did not impact the Company's 2010 consolidated financial statements. The remaining disclosures will be added to the Company's future filings when applicable.

2. RESTRUCTURINGS

In March 2009, Vector Tobacco eliminated nine full-time positions. Vector Tobacco recognized pre-tax restructuring charges of \$900 in 2009. The restructuring charges primarily related to employee severance and benefit costs.

The components of the combined pre-tax restructuring charges relating to the Vector Tobacco's 2006 and 2009 restructurings for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, were as follows:

| | Employee Severance and Benefits | Non-Cash Asset Impairment | Contract Termination/ Exit Costs | Total |
|----------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| Balance, January 1, 2008 | \$ 70 | \$ | \$ | \$ 70 |
| Change in estimate | (14) | | | (14) |
| Utilized | (56) | | | (56) |
| Balance, December 31, 2008 | | | | |

Edgar Filing: VECTOR GROUP LTD - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|----------------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Restructuring charges | 738 | 30 | 232 | 1,000 |
| Change in estimate | (47) | (3) | (50) | (100) |
| Utilized | (586) | (27) | (167) | (780) |
| Balance, December 31, 2009 | 105 | | 15 | 120 |
| Change in estimate | | | | |
| Utilized | (105) | | (15) | (120) |
| Balance, December 31, 2010 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |

F-16

Table of Contents**VECTOR GROUP LTD.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

During 2004, Liggett Vector Brands adopted a restructuring plan in its continuing effort to adjust the cost structure of the Company's tobacco business and improve operating efficiency. The remaining pre-tax restructuring liability of \$280 as of December 31, 2010, relates to the subletting of its New York office.

3. INVESTMENT SECURITIES AVAILABLE FOR SALE

Investment securities classified as available for sale are carried at fair value, with net unrealized gains or losses included as a component of stockholders' equity, net of taxes and non-controlling interests. The Company received proceeds of \$28,587 and realized gains on the sale of marketable equity securities of \$19,869 for the year ended December 31, 2010. (See Note 1(e).)

The components of investment securities available for sale at December 31, 2010 and 2009 were as follows:

| | Cost | Gross Unrealized Gain | Gross Unrealized Loss | Fair Value |
|------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 2010 | | | | |
| Marketable equity securities | \$ 42,277 | \$ 36,477 | \$ | \$ 78,754 |
| 2009 | | | | |
| Marketable equity securities | \$ 41,304 | \$ 13,051 | \$ (2,613) | \$ 51,742 |

Investment securities available for sale as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 include New Valley's 13,891,205 shares of Ladenburg Thalmann Financial Services Inc. (LTS) common stock, which were carried at \$16,253 and \$8,890, respectively.

4. INVENTORIES

Inventories consist of:

| | December 31, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Leaf tobacco | \$ 54,479 | \$ 48,942 |
| Other raw materials | 4,073 | 3,497 |
| Work-in-process | 2,067 | 2,388 |
| Finished goods | 67,773 | 59,293 |
| Inventories at current cost | 128,392 | 114,120 |
| LIFO adjustments | (21,313) | (15,635) |

\$ 107,079 \$ 98,485

The Company has a leaf inventory management program whereby, among other things, it is committed to purchase certain quantities of leaf tobacco. The purchase commitments are for quantities not in excess of anticipated requirements and are at prices, including carrying costs, established at the commitment date. At December 31, 2010, Liggett had leaf tobacco purchase commitments of approximately \$41,896. During 2007 the Company entered into a single source supply agreement for fire safe cigarette paper through 2012.

The Company capitalizes the incremental prepaid cost of the Master Settlement Agreement in ending inventory.

All of the Company's inventories at December 31, 2010 and 2009 have been reported under the LIFO method.

F-17

Table of Contents**VECTOR GROUP LTD.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****5. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT**

Property, plant and equipment consist of:

| | December 31, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Land and improvements | \$ 1,418 | \$ 1,418 |
| Buildings | 13,575 | 13,575 |
| Machinery and equipment | 127,371 | 107,492 |
| Leasehold improvements | 2,205 | 2,215 |
| | 144,569 | 124,700 |
| Less accumulated depreciation | (89,157) | (81,714) |
| | \$ 55,412 | \$ 42,986 |

Depreciation and amortization expense for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 was \$10,790, \$10,324 and \$10,057, respectively. Future machinery and equipment purchase commitments at Liggett were \$2,726 and \$9,077 at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

6. LONG-TERM INVESTMENTS

Long-term investments consist of investments in the following:

| | December 31, 2010 | | December 31, 2009 | |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| | Carrying Value | Fair Value | Carrying Value | Fair Value |
| Investment partnerships | \$ 45,134 | \$ 70,966 | \$ 49,486 | \$ 68,679 |
| Real estate partnership | 899 | 1,136 | 837 | 1,261 |
| | \$ 46,033 | \$ 72,102 | \$ 50,323 | \$ 69,940 |

The principal business of these investment partnerships is investing in investment securities and real estate. The estimated fair value of the investment partnerships was provided by the partnerships based on the indicated market values of the underlying assets or investment portfolio. The investments in these investment partnerships are illiquid and the ultimate realization of these investments is subject to the performance of the underlying partnership and its management by the general partners. In the future, the Company may invest in other investments, including limited partnerships, real estate investments, equity securities, debt securities, derivatives and certificates of deposit, depending on risk factors and potential rates of return.

The Company has invested \$50,000 in Icahn Partners, LP, a privately managed investment partnership, of which Carl Icahn is the portfolio manager and the controlling person of the general partner, and manager of the partnership. Based on information available in public filings, the Company believes affiliates of Mr. Icahn are the beneficial owners of approximately 18.8% of Vector's common stock at December 31, 2010.

Except for one partnership accounted for on the equity method, the Company's investments constituted less than 5% of the invested funds in each of the partnerships at December 31, 2010 and 2009. In accordance with authoritative guidance for accounting for limited partnership investments, the Company has accounted for such investments using the cost method of accounting.

If it is determined that an other-than-temporary decline in fair value exists in long-term investments, the Company records an impairment charge with respect to such investment in its consolidated statements of operations. The carrying value of the Company's long-term investments reflects a loss of \$21,900 recognized

Table of Contents**VECTOR GROUP LTD.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

in 2008 related to the performance of three of the Company's long-term investments. The Company will continue to perform additional assessments to determine the impact, if any, on the Company's consolidated financial statements. Thus, future impairment charges may occur.

The Company received cash distributions of approximately \$1,002 and \$847 from one limited partnership in 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Another of the Company's long-term investments was liquidated in January 2011. This investment had a carrying value of \$4,750 as of December 31, 2010 and the Company received proceeds of \$8,886 in January 2011.

The long-term investments are carried on the consolidated balance sheet at cost. The fair value determination disclosed above would be classified as Level 3 under fair value hierarchy disclosed in Note 15 if such assets were recorded on the consolidated balance sheet at fair value. The fair values were determined based on unobservable inputs and were based on company assumptions, and information obtained from the partnerships based on the indicated market values of the underlying assets of the investment portfolio.

The changes in the fair value of these investments were as follows:

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Balance as of January 1 | \$ 69,940 | \$ 54,997 |
| Contributions | 62 | 52 |
| Distributions | (1,002) | (847) |
| Revision for partnership now accounted for under the equity method | (5,790) | |
| Unrealized gain on long-term investments | 8,892 | 15,738 |
| Balance as of December 31 | \$ 72,102 | \$ 69,940 |

The Company accounts for one of its long-term investments under the equity method and recorded equity income of \$1,489 related to a limited partnership for the year ended December 31, 2010. Included in this amount was the impact of an error identified by the Company, which resulted in an out-of-period adjustment of approximately \$1,650 (approximately \$980 after taxes) for the year ended December 31, 2010. The error occurred because the Company's ownership in the limited partnership increased from a nominal percentage to more than 10% during the fourth quarter of 2008 (due to significant withdrawals from other partners); thus, the Company's investment should have been accounted for under the equity method for all previous periods in which the investment was held. The Company assessed the materiality of this error on all previously issued financial statements in accordance with the SEC's Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 99 and concluded that the error was immaterial to all previously issued financial statements. The impact of correcting this error in the current year was not material to the Company's 2010 consolidated financial statements. This adjustment was recognized within other income in the consolidated statements of operations. The carrying value of this investment was approximately \$10,950 as of December 31, 2010 which approximated its fair value because the underlying securities are classified as available for sale.

Table of Contents**VECTOR GROUP LTD.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****7. NOTES PAYABLE, LONG-TERM DEBT AND OTHER OBLIGATIONS**

Notes payable, long-term debt and other obligations consist of:

| | December 31, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Vector: | | |
| 11% Senior Secured Notes due 2015, net of unamortized discount of \$730 and \$4,849 | \$ 414,270 | \$ 245,151 |
| 6.75% Variable Interest Senior Convertible Note due 2014, net of unamortized discount of \$38,353 and \$39,755* | 11,647 | 10,245 |
| 6.75% Variable Interest Senior Convertible Exchange Notes due 2014, net of unamortized discount of \$64,713 and \$69,749* | 42,817 | 37,781 |
| 3.875% Variable Interest Senior Convertible Debentures due 2026, net of unamortized discount of \$83,060 and \$83,589* | 26,940 | 26,411 |
| Liggett: | | |
| Revolving credit facility | 35,710 | 17,382 |
| Term loan under credit facility | 6,222 | 6,755 |
| Equipment loans | 19,030 | 4,852 |
| V.T. Aviation: | | |
| Note payable | | 3,882 |
| VGR Aviation: | | |
| Note payable | | 3,687 |
| Other | 761 | 663 |
| Total notes payable, long-term debt and other obligations | 557,397 | 356,809 |
| Less: | | |
| Current maturities | (51,345) | (21,889) |
| Amount due after one year | \$ 506,052 | \$ 334,920 |

* The fair value of the derivatives embedded within the 6.75% Variable Interest Convertible Note (\$20,219 at December 31, 2010 and \$23,890 at December 31, 2009, respectively), the 6.75% Variable Interest Senior Convertible Exchange Notes (\$38,324 at December 31, 2010 and \$47,552 at December 31, 2009, respectively), and the 3.875% Variable Interest Senior Convertible Debentures (\$82,949 at December 31, 2010 and \$81,574 at December 31, 2009, respectively) is separately classified as a derivative liability in the condensed consolidated balance sheets.

11% Senior Secured Notes due 2015 Vector:

The Company has outstanding \$415,000 principal amount of its 11% Senior Secured Notes due 2015 (the Senior Secured Notes). The Senior Secured Notes were sold in August 2007 (\$165,000), September 2009 (\$85,000), April 2010 (\$75,000) and December 2010 (\$90,000) in private offerings to qualified institutional investors in accordance with Rule 144A of the Securities Act of 1933.

In May 2008 and June 2010, the Company completed offers to exchange the Senior Secured Notes then outstanding for an equal amount of newly issued 11% Senior Secured Notes due 2015. The new Senior Secured Notes have substantially the same terms as the original Notes, except that the new Senior Secured Notes have been registered under the Securities Act. The Company agreed to consummate a registered exchange offer for the additional Senior Secured Notes issued in December 2010 within 360 days after the date of their initial issuance. If

Table of Contents**VECTOR GROUP LTD.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

the Company fails to timely comply with its registration obligations, it will be required to pay additional interest on these notes until it complies. The Company is amortizing the deferred costs and debt discount related to the Senior Secured Notes over the estimated life of the debt.

The Senior Secured Notes pay interest on a semi-annual basis at a rate of 11% per year and mature on August 15, 2015. The Company may redeem some or all of the Senior Secured Notes at any time prior to August 15, 2011 at a make-whole redemption price. On or after August 15, 2011 the Company may redeem some or all of the Senior Secured Notes at a premium that will decrease over time, plus accrued and unpaid interest and liquidated damages, if any, to the redemption date. In the event of a change of control, as defined in the indenture governing the Senior Secured Notes, each holder of the Senior Secured Notes may require the Company to repurchase some or all of its Senior Secured Notes at a repurchase price equal to 101% of their aggregate principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest and liquidated damages, if any to the date of purchase.

The Senior Secured Notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed on a joint and several basis by all of the 100% owned domestic subsidiaries of the Company that are engaged in the conduct of the Company's cigarette businesses. In addition, some of the guarantees are collateralized by second priority or first priority security interests in certain collateral of some of the subsidiary guarantors, including their common stock, pursuant to security and pledge agreements.

Variable Interest Senior Convertible Debt - Vector:

Vector has outstanding three series of variable interest senior convertible debt. All three series of debt pay interest on a quarterly basis at a stated rate plus an additional amount of interest on each payment date. The additional amount is based on the amount of cash dividends paid during the prior three-month period ending on the record date for such interest payment multiplied by the total number of shares of its common stock into which the debt would be convertible on such record date (the Additional Interest).

5% Variable Interest Senior Convertible Notes due November 2011:

Between November 2004 and April 2005, the Company sold \$111,864 principal amount of its 5% Variable Interest Senior Convertible Notes due November 15, 2011 (the 5% Notes). In May 2009, the holder of \$11,005 principal amount of the 5% Notes exchanged its 5% Notes for \$11,775 principal amount of the Company's 6.75% Variable Interest Senior Convertible Note due 2014 (the 6.75% Note) as discussed below. In June 2009, certain holders of \$99,944 principal amount of the 5% Notes exchanged their 5% Notes for \$106,940 principal amount of the Company's 6.75% Variable Interest Senior Convertible Exchange Notes due 2014 (the 6.75% Exchange Notes). In November 2009, the Company retired \$360 of the remaining \$915 principal amount of the 5% Notes for cash and exchanged approximately \$555 of the remaining 5% Notes for \$593 principal amount of the 6.75% Exchange Notes. As of December 31, 2009, no 5% Notes remained outstanding after these exchanges.

6.75% Variable Interest Senior Convertible Note due 2014:

On May 11, 2009, the Company issued in a private placement the 6.75% Note in the principal amount of \$50,000. The purchase price was paid in cash (\$38,225) and by tendering \$11,005 principal amount of the 5% Notes, valued at 107% of principal amount. The note pays interest (Total Interest) on a quarterly basis at a rate of 3.75% per annum plus additional interest, which is based on the amount of cash dividends paid during the prior three-month period

ending on the record date for such interest payment multiplied by the total number of shares of its common stock into which the debt will be convertible on such record date. Notwithstanding the foregoing, however, the interest payable on each interest payment date shall be the higher of (i) the Total Interest and (ii) 6.75% per annum. The note is convertible into the Company's common stock at the holder's option. The conversion price as of December 31, 2010 of \$13.64 per share (approximately 73.3045 shares of common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of the note) is subject to adjustment for various events, including the issuance of stock dividends. The note will mature on November 15, 2014. The Company will redeem on May 11, 2014 and at the end of each interest

Table of Contents**VECTOR GROUP LTD.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

accrual period thereafter an additional amount, if any, of the note necessary to prevent the note from being treated as an Applicable High Yield Discount Obligation under the Internal Revenue Code. If a fundamental change (as defined in the note) occurs, the Company will be required to offer to repurchase the note at 100% of its principal amount, plus accrued interest.

The purchaser of the 6.75% Note is an entity affiliated with Dr. Phillip Frost, who reported, after the consummation of the sale, beneficial ownership of approximately 11.7% of the Company's common stock.

6.75% Variable Interest Senior Convertible Exchange Notes due 2014:

In June 2009, the Company entered into agreements with certain holders of the 5% Notes to exchange their 5% Notes for the Company's 6.75% Exchange Notes. In June 2009, certain holders of \$99,944 principal amount of the 5% Notes exchanged their 5% Notes for \$106,940 of the 6.75% Exchange Notes. In November 2009, certain holders of \$555 of the 5% Notes exchanged their 5% Notes for \$593 of the Company's 6.75% Exchange Notes.

The Company issued its 6.75% Exchange Notes to the holders in reliance on the exemption from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, afforded by Section 3(a)(9) thereof. The notes pay interest (Total Interest) on a quarterly basis beginning August 15, 2009 at a rate of 3.75% per annum plus additional interest, which is based on the amount of cash dividends paid during the prior three-month period ending on the record date for such interest payment multiplied by the total number of shares of its common stock into which the debt will be convertible on such record date. Notwithstanding the foregoing, however, the interest payable on each interest payment date shall be the higher of (i) the Total Interest and (ii) 6.75% per annum. The notes are convertible into the Company's common stock at the holder's option. The conversion price as of December 31, 2010 of \$15.48 per share (approximately 64.6135 shares of common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of notes) is subject to adjustment for various events, including the issuance of stock dividends. The notes will mature on November 15, 2014. The Company will redeem on June 30, 2014 and at the end of each interest accrual period thereafter an additional amount, if any, of the notes necessary to prevent the notes from being treated as an Applicable High Yield Discount Obligation under the Internal Revenue Code. If a fundamental change (as defined in the indenture) occurs, the Company will be required to offer to repurchase the notes at 100% of their principal amount, plus accrued interest and, under certain circumstances, a make whole payment.

3.875% Variable Interest Senior Convertible Debentures due 2026:

In July 2006, the Company sold \$110,000 of its 3.875% variable interest senior convertible debentures due 2026 in a private offering to qualified institutional buyers in accordance with Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933.

The debentures pay interest on a quarterly basis at a rate of 3.875% per annum plus Additional Interest (the Debenture Total Interest). Notwithstanding the foregoing, however, the interest payable on each interest payment date shall be the higher of (i) the Debenture Total Interest and (ii) 5.75% per annum. The debentures are convertible into the Company's common stock at the holder's option. The conversion price at December 31, 2010 was \$16.85 per share (approximately 59.3619 shares of common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of the note), is subject to adjustment for various events, including the issuance of stock dividends.

The debentures will mature on June 15, 2026. The Company must redeem 10% of the total aggregate principal amount of the debentures outstanding on June 15, 2011. In addition to such redemption amount, the Company will also

redeem on June 15, 2011 and at the end of each interest accrual period thereafter an additional amount, if any, of the debentures necessary to prevent the debentures from being treated as an Applicable High Yield Discount Obligation under the Internal Revenue Code. The holders of the debentures will have the option on June 15, 2012, June 15, 2016 and June 15, 2021 to require the Company to repurchase some or all of their remaining debentures. The redemption price for such redemptions will equal 100% of the principal amount of the debentures plus accrued interest. If a fundamental change (as defined in the Indenture) occurs, the Company will be required to offer to

Table of Contents**VECTOR GROUP LTD.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

repurchase the debentures at 100% of their principal amount, plus accrued interest and, under certain circumstances, a make-whole premium .

Embedded Derivatives on the Variable Interest Senior Convertible Debt:

The portion of the interest on the Company's convertible debt which is computed by reference to the cash dividends paid on the Company's common stock is considered an embedded derivative within the convertible debt, which the Company is required to separately value. In accordance with authoritative guidance on accounting for derivatives and hedging, the Company has bifurcated these embedded derivatives and estimated the fair value of the embedded derivative liability including using a third party valuation. The resulting discount created by allocating a portion of the issuance proceeds to the embedded derivative is then amortized to interest expense over the term of the debt using the effective interest method. Changes to the fair value of these embedded derivatives are reflected quarterly in the Company's consolidated statements of operations as Change in fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt. The value of the embedded derivative is contingent on changes in interest rates of debt instruments maturing over the duration of the convertible debt as well as projections of future cash and stock dividends over the term of the debt.

A summary of non-cash interest expense associated with the amortization of the debt discount created by the embedded derivative liability associated with the Company's variable interest senior convertible debt is as follows:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| 6.75% note | \$ 749 | \$ 331 | \$ |
| 6.75% exchange notes | 3,113 | 1,210 | |
| 3.875% convertible debentures | 575 | 455 | 360 |
| 5% convertible notes | | 3,394 | 5,445 |
| Interest expense associated with embedded derivatives | \$ 4,437 | \$ 5,390 | \$ 5,805 |

A summary of non-cash changes in fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt is as follows:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| 6.75% note | \$ 3,671 | \$ (2,323) | \$ |
| 6.75% exchange notes | 9,228 | (3,237) | |
| 3.875% convertible debentures | (1,375) | (29,745) | 16,082 |
| 5% convertible notes | | (620) | 8,255 |
| Gain (loss) on changes in fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt | \$ 11,524 | \$ (35,925) | \$ 24,337 |

Table of Contents**VECTOR GROUP LTD.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The following table reconciles the fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt:

| | 6.75% Note | 6.75% Exchange Notes | 3.875% Convertible Debentures | 5% Convertible Notes | Total |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|
| Balance at January 1, 2008 | \$ | \$ | \$ 67,911 | \$ 33,671 | \$ 101,582 |
| Gain from changes in fair value of embedded derivatives | | | (16,082) | (8,255) | (24,337) |
| Balance at December 31, 2008 | | | 51,829 | 25,416 | 77,245 |
| Issuance of 6.75% Note | 21,567 | | | (2,485) | 19,082 |
| Issuance of 6.75% Exchange Notes | | 44,315 | | (23,551) | 20,764 |
| Loss from changes in fair value of embedded derivatives | 2,323 | 3,237 | 29,745 | 620 | 35,925 |
| Balance at December 31, 2009 | 23,890 | 47,552 | 81,574 | | 153,016 |
| (Gain) loss from changes in fair value of embedded derivatives | (3,671) | (9,228) | 1,375 | | (11,524) |
| Balance at December 31, 2010 | \$ 20,219 | \$ 38,324 | \$ 82,949 | \$ | \$ 141,492 |

Beneficial Conversion Feature on Variable Interest Senior Convertible Debt:

After giving effect to the recording of the embedded derivative liability as a discount to the convertible debt, the Company's common stock had a fair value at the issuance date of the debt in excess of the conversion price resulting in a beneficial conversion feature. The accounting guidance on debt with conversion and other options requires that the intrinsic value of the beneficial conversion feature be recorded to additional paid-in capital and as a discount on the debt. The discount is then amortized to interest expense over the term of the debt using the effective interest method. The beneficial conversion feature has been recorded, net of income taxes, as an increase to stockholders' equity.

A summary of non-cash interest expense associated with the amortization of the debt discount created by the beneficial conversion feature on the Company's variable interest senior convertible debt is as follows:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Amortization of beneficial conversion feature: | | | |
| 6.75% note | \$ 653 | \$ 289 | \$ |
| 6.75% exchange notes | 1,923 | 748 | |
| 3.875% convertible debentures | (46) | (51) | (54) |
| 5% convertible notes | | 1,883 | 3,017 |

| | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Interest expense associated with beneficial conversion feature | \$ 2,530 | \$ 2,869 | \$ 2,963 |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|

F-24

Table of Contents**VECTOR GROUP LTD.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)*****Unamortized Debt Discount on Variable Interest Senior Convertible Debt:***

The following table reconciles unamortized debt discount within convertible debt:

| | 6.75% Note | 6.75% Exchange Notes | 3.875% Convertible Debentures | 5% Convertible Notes | Total |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|
| Balance at January 1, 2008 | \$ | \$ | \$ 84,299 | \$ 48,027 | \$ 132,326 |
| Amortization of embedded derivatives | | | (360) | (5,445) | (5,805) |
| Amortization of beneficial conversion feature | | | 54 | (3,017) | (2,963) |
| Balance at December 31, 2008 | | | 83,993 | 39,565 | 123,558 |
| Issuance of convertible notes - embedded derivative | 21,567 | 44,315 | | | 65,882 |
| Issuance of convertible notes - beneficial conversion feature | 18,808 | 27,392 | | | 46,200 |
| Issuance of 6.75% Note-write-off of unamortized debt discount | | | | (3,311) | (3,311) |
| Issuance of 6.75% Exchange Notes-write-off of unamortized debt discount | | | | (30,977) | (30,977) |
| Amortization of embedded derivatives | (331) | (1,210) | (455) | (3,394) | (5,390) |
| Amortization of beneficial conversion feature | (289) | (748) | 51 | (1,883) | (2,869) |
| Balance at December 31, 2009 | 39,755 | 69,749 | 83,589 | | 193,093 |
| Amortization of embedded derivatives | (749) | (3,113) | (575) | | (4,437) |
| Amortization of beneficial conversion feature | (653) | (1,923) | 46 | | (2,530) |
| Balance at December 31, 2010 | \$ 38,353 | \$ 64,713 | \$ 83,060 | \$ | \$ 186,126 |

Loss on Extinguishment of Debt:

The exchange of the 5% Notes for the 6.75% Notes and the 6.75% Exchange Notes qualifies as extinguishment of debt due to the significant change in terms. The loss was \$18,573 for the year ended December 31, 2009. A summary of the Company's loss on the extinguishment of the 5% Notes for the year ended December 31, 2009 is as follows:

| | | |
|--|--------------|---------------------------|
| | 6.75% | 6.75% Exchange |
|--|--------------|---------------------------|

| | Note | Notes | Total |
|---------------------------------------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|
| Issuance of additional notes payable | \$ 770 | \$ 7,034 | \$ 7,804 |
| Termination of embedded derivative | (2,485) | (23,551) | (26,036) |
| Write-off of deferred finance costs | 257 | 2,260 | 2,517 |
| Write-off of unamortized debt discount, net | 3,311 | 30,977 | 34,288 |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt | \$ 1,853 | \$ 16,720 | \$ 18,573 |

Revolving Credit Facility Liggett:

Liggett has a \$50,000 credit facility with Wachovia Bank, N.A. (Wachovia) under which \$35,710 was outstanding at December 31, 2010. Availability as determined under the facility was approximately \$294 based on eligible collateral at December 31, 2010. The facility is collateralized by all inventories and receivables of Liggett and a mortgage on Liggett s manufacturing facility. The facility requires Liggett s compliance with certain financial and other covenants including a restriction on Liggett s ability to pay cash dividends unless Liggett s borrowing availability, as defined, under the facility for the 30-day period prior to the payment of the dividend, and after giving

Table of Contents**VECTOR GROUP LTD.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

effect to the dividend, is at least \$5,000 and no event of default has occurred under the agreement, including Liggett's compliance with the covenants in the credit facility.

The term of the Wachovia facility expires on March 8, 2012, subject to automatic renewal for additional one-year periods unless a notice of termination is given by Wachovia or Liggett at least 60 days prior to such date or the anniversary of such date. Prime rate loans under the facility bear interest at a rate equal to the prime rate of Wachovia with Eurodollar rate loans bearing interest at a rate of 2.0% above Wachovia's adjusted Eurodollar rate. The facility contains covenants that provide that Liggett's earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, as defined under the facility, on a trailing twelve month basis, shall not be less than \$100,000 if Liggett's excess availability, as defined, under the facility, is less than \$20,000. The covenants also require that annual capital expenditures, as defined under the facility (before a maximum carryover amount of \$2,500), shall not exceed \$10,000 during any fiscal year. On November 1, 2010, Liggett and Wachovia entered into the credit facility's Seventh Amendment to permit Liggett to incur Capital Expenditures (as defined in the credit facility) of up to \$33,000 solely for the fiscal year ended 2010. The Seventh Amendment is effective as of August 31, 2010.

Equipment Loans - Liggett:

In 2010, Liggett entered into nine financing agreements for a total of \$16,634 related to the purchase of equipment. The weighted average interest rate of the outstanding debt is 5.28% per annum and the interest rate on the notes ranges between 2.59% and 6.13%. The debt is payable over 30 to 60 months with an average term of 56 months. Total monthly installments are \$297.

Liggett also refinanced \$3,575 of debt related to previous equipment purchases. The refinanced debt has an interest rate of 5.95% and is payable in 36 installments of \$109. Each of these equipment loans is collateralized by the purchased equipment. In December 2010, Liggett purchased equipment for \$8,068 and entered into two financing agreements for a total of \$8,068, payable in 60 installments totaling \$147. The interest rates on the two notes are 5.63% and 5.69%.

Each of these equipment loans is collateralized by the purchased equipment.

Fair Value of Notes Payable and Long-term Debt:

The estimated fair value of the Company's notes payable and long-term debt has been determined by the Company using available market information and appropriate valuation methodologies including the evaluation of the Company's credit risk as described in Note 1. However, considerable judgment is required to develop the estimates of fair value and, accordingly, the estimate presented herein are not necessarily indicative of the amount that could be realized in a current market exchange.

| | December 31, 2010 | | December 31, 2009 | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|
| | Carrying Value | Fair Value | Carrying Value | Fair Value |
| Notes payable and long-term debt | \$ 557,397 | \$ 827,247 | \$ 356,809 | \$ 573,439 |

Table of Contents**VECTOR GROUP LTD.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Scheduled Maturities:**

Scheduled maturities of long-term debt are as follows:

| | Principal | Unamortized Discount | Net |
|--------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|------------|
| Year Ending December 31: | | | |
| 2011 | 51,345 | 434 | 50,911 |
| 2012 | 105,793 | 82,626 | 23,167 |
| 2013 | 4,375 | | 4,375 |
| 2014 | 161,158 | 103,066 | 58,092 |
| 2015 | 418,025 | 730 | 417,295 |
| Thereafter | 3,557 | | 3,557 |
| Total | \$ 744,253 | \$ 186,856 | \$ 557,397 |

The scheduled maturities of \$105,793 (principal amount) in 2012 reflect \$99,000 (principal amount), which may be required to be redeemed in 2012 in accordance with the terms of the Company's 3.875% Variable Interest Senior Convertible Debentures due 2026.

Weighted-Average Interest Rate on Current Maturities of Long-Term Debt:

The weighted-average interest rate on the Company's current maturities of long-term debt at December 31, 2010 was approximately 11.05%.

8. COMMITMENTS

Certain of the Company's subsidiaries lease facilities and equipment used in operations under both month-to-month and fixed-term agreements. The aggregate minimum rentals under operating leases with non-cancelable terms of one year or more as of December 31, 2010 are as follows:

| | Lease Commitments | Sublease Rentals | Net |
|--------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|
| Year Ending December 31: | | | |
| 2011 | \$ 4,739 | 965 | 3,774 |
| 2012 | 3,984 | 965 | 3,019 |
| 2013 | 2,204 | 402 | 1,802 |
| 2014 | 1,165 | | 1,165 |
| 2015 | 630 | | 630 |
| Thereafter | 21 | | 21 |

| | | | | | | |
|-------|----|--------|----|-------|----|--------|
| Total | \$ | 12,743 | \$ | 2,332 | \$ | 10,411 |
|-------|----|--------|----|-------|----|--------|

In 2001, the Company entered into an operating sublease for space in an office building in New York. The lease, as amended, expires in 2013. Minimum rental expense over the entire period is \$10,584. A rent abatement received upon entering into the lease is recognized on a straight line basis over the life of the lease. The Company pays operating expense escalation (\$40 in 2010) in monthly installments along with installments of the base rent.

The Company's rental expense for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 was \$3,670, \$3,904 and \$3,825, respectively.

9. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

Defined Benefit Plans and Postretirement Plans:

Defined Benefit Plans. The Company sponsors three defined benefit pension plans (two qualified and one non-qualified) covering virtually all individuals who were employed by Liggett on a full-time basis prior to 1994.

Table of Contents**VECTOR GROUP LTD.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Future accruals of benefits under these three defined benefit plans were frozen between 1993 and 1995. These benefit plans provide pension benefits for eligible employees based primarily on their compensation and length of service. Contributions are made to the two qualified pension plans in amounts necessary to meet the minimum funding requirements of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974. The plans' assets and benefit obligations were measured at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

The Company also sponsors a Supplemental Retirement Plan (SERP) where the Company will pay supplemental retirement benefits to certain key employees, including executive officers of the Company. In January 2006, the Company amended and restated its SERP (the Amended SERP), effective January 1, 2005. The amendments to the plan were intended, among other things, to cause the plan to meet the applicable requirements of Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code. The Amended SERP is intended to be unfunded for tax purposes, and payments under the Amended SERP will be made out of the general assets of the Company. Under the Amended SERP, the benefit payable to a participant at his normal retirement date is a lump sum amount which is the actuarial equivalent of a predetermined annual retirement benefit set by the Company's board of directors. Normal retirement date is defined as the January 1 following the attainment by the participant of the later of age 60 or the completion of eight years of employment following January 1, 2002 with the Company or a subsidiary.

In connection with the retirement of the Company's Chairman, he received in July 2009 a payment of \$20,860 under the terms of the SERP.

In April 2008, the SERP was amended to provide the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer with an additional benefit under the SERP equal to a \$736 lifetime annuity beginning January 1, 2013. This additional benefit vests in full on January 1, 2013, subject to his remaining continuously employed by the Company through that date, subject to partial vesting for termination of employment under certain circumstances. In addition, in the event of a termination of his employment under the circumstances where he is entitled to severance payments under his employment agreement, he will be credited with an additional 36 months of service towards vesting under the SERP. As a result of the additional benefit granted to him, the President and Chief Executive Officer will be eligible to receive a total lump sum retirement benefit of \$20,546 in 2013, an increase of \$7,122 over the benefit he would have been entitled to receive under the SERP prior to the amendment, assuming a January 1, 2013 retirement date. The \$7,122 increase will be recognized as an expense in the years ended December 31, 2010, 2011 and 2012.

At December 31, 2010, the aggregate lump sum equivalents of the annual retirement benefits payable under the Amended SERP at normal retirement dates occurring during the following years is as follows: 2011 \$0; 2012 \$3,505; 2013 \$20,647; 2014 \$7,232; 2015 \$0 and 2016 to 2020 \$0. In the case of a participant who becomes disabled prior to his normal retirement date or whose service is terminated without cause, the participant's benefit consists of a pro-rata portion of the full projected retirement benefit to which he would have been entitled had he remained employed through his normal retirement date, as actuarially discounted back to the date of payment. A participant who dies while working for the Company or a subsidiary (and before becoming disabled or attaining his normal retirement date) will be paid an actuarially discounted equivalent of his projected retirement benefit; conversely, a participant who retires beyond his normal retirement date will receive an actuarially increased equivalent of his projected retirement benefit.

Postretirement Medical and Life Plans. The Company provides certain postretirement medical and life insurance benefits to certain employees. Substantially all of the Company's manufacturing employees as of December 31, 2010 are eligible for postretirement medical benefits if they reach retirement age while working for Liggett or certain

affiliates. Retirees are required to fund 100% of participant medical premiums and, pursuant to union contracts, Liggett reimburses approximately 375 hourly retirees, who retired prior to 1991, for Medicare Part B premiums. In addition, the Company provides life insurance benefits to approximately 200 active employees and 475 retirees who reach retirement age and are eligible to receive benefits under one of the Company's defined benefit pension plans. The Company's postretirement liabilities are comprised of Medicare Part B and life insurance premiums.

F-28

Table of Contents**VECTOR GROUP LTD.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The following table provides a reconciliation of benefit obligations, plan assets and the funded status of the pension plans and other postretirement benefits:

| | Pension Benefits | | Other Postretirement Benefits | |
|--------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Change in benefit obligation: | | | | |
| Benefit obligation at January 1 | \$ (142,043) | \$ (156,318) | \$ (9,405) | \$ (8,743) |
| Service cost | (1,360) | (1,319) | (13) | (15) |
| Interest cost | (8,131) | (9,385) | (521) | (566) |
| Plan amendment | (6,055) | | | |
| Benefits paid | 11,787 | 32,903 | 574 | 596 |
| Expenses paid | 479 | 507 | | |
| Actuarial (gain) loss | (3,645) | (8,431) | (485) | (677) |
| Benefit obligation at December 31 | \$ (148,968) | \$ (142,043) | \$ (9,850) | \$ (9,405) |
| Change in plan assets: | | | | |
| Fair value of plan assets at January 1 | \$ 125,166 | \$ 111,266 | \$ | \$ |
| Gap period cash flow | | | | |
| Actual return on plan assets | 19,733 | 26,085 | | |
| Expenses paid | (479) | (507) | | |
| Contributions | 360 | 21,225 | 574 | 596 |
| Benefits paid | (11,787) | (32,903) | (574) | (596) |
| Fair value of plan assets at December 31 | \$ 132,993 | \$ 125,166 | \$ | \$ |
| Funded status at December 31 | \$ (15,975) | \$ (16,877) | \$ (9,850) | \$ (9,405) |
| Amounts recognized in the consolidated balance sheets: | | | | |
| Prepaid pension costs | \$ 13,935 | \$ 8,994 | \$ | \$ |
| Other accrued liabilities | (347) | (357) | (665) | (680) |
| Non-current employee benefit liabilities | (29,563) | (25,514) | (9,185) | (8,725) |
| Net amounts recognized | \$ (15,975) | \$ (16,877) | \$ (9,850) | \$ (9,405) |

| | Pension Benefits | | | Other Postretirement Benefits | | |
|--|-------------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |

Edgar Filing: VECTOR GROUP LTD - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|--------|--------|--------|
| Service cost benefits earned during the period | \$ 1,360 | \$ 1,319 | \$ 4,139 | \$ 13 | \$ 15 | \$ 15 |
| Interest cost on projected benefit obligation | 8,131 | 9,385 | 9,525 | 521 | 567 | 591 |
| Expected return on assets | (8,271) | (7,817) | (12,145) | | | |
| Prior service cost | | 801 | 1,402 | | | |
| Time contractual termination benefits | | (1,808) | | | | |
| Amortization of net loss (gain) | 3,376 | 2,136 | 98 | (129) | (163) | (180) |
| Net expense | \$ 4,596 | \$ 4,016 | \$ 3,019 | \$ 405 | \$ 419 | \$ 426 |

F-29

Table of Contents**VECTOR GROUP LTD.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The following table summarizes amounts in accumulated other comprehensive loss that are expected to be recognized as components of net periodic benefit cost for the year ending December 31, 2011.

| | Defined Benefit Pension Plans | Post- Retirement Plans | Total |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|
| Prior service cost | \$ 2,018 | \$ | \$ 2,018 |
| Actuarial loss (gain) | 789 | (88) | 701 |

As of December 31, 2010, current year accumulated other comprehensive income, before income taxes, consists of the following:

| | Defined Benefit Pension Plans | Post- Retirement Plans | Total |
|------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|
| Prior year accumulated other comprehensive income | \$ (35,348) | \$ 1,003 | \$ (34,345) |
| Amortization of prior service costs | 2,018 | | 2,018 |
| Effect of settlement | | | |
| Amortization of gain (loss) | 1,358 | (130) | 1,228 |
| Net (loss) gain arising during the year | 1,762 | (485) | 1,277 |
| Current year accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income | \$ (30,210) | \$ 388 | \$ (29,822) |

As of December 31, 2010, there was \$29,822 of items not yet recognized as a component of net periodic pension benefit, which consisted of future pension benefits of \$30,210 associated with the amortization of net loss.

As of December 31, 2010, there was \$388 of items not yet recognized as a component of net periodic postretirement benefit, which consisted of future benefits associated with the amortization of net gains.

As of December 31, 2009, current year accumulated other comprehensive income, before income taxes, consisted of the following:

| | Defined Benefit Pension Plans | Post- Retirement Plans | Total |
|---------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|
| Prior year accumulated other comprehensive income | \$ (46,314) | \$ 1,842 | \$ (44,472) |

Edgar Filing: VECTOR GROUP LTD - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|----------|-------------|
| Amortization of prior service costs | 801 | | 801 |
| Effect of settlement | (1,808) | | (1,808) |
| Amortization of gain (loss) | 2,136 | (163) | 1,973 |
| Net (loss) gain arising during the year | 9,837 | (676) | 9,161 |
| Current year accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income | \$ (35,348) | \$ 1,003 | \$ (34,345) |

As of December 31, 2009, there was \$34,345 of items not yet recognized as a component of net periodic pension benefit, which consisted of future pension benefits of \$35,348 associated with the amortization of net loss.

As of December 31, 2009, there was \$1,003 of items not yet recognized as a component of net periodic postretirement benefit, which consisted of future benefits associated with the amortization of net gains.

As of December 31, 2010, two of the Company's four defined benefit plans experienced accumulated benefit obligations in excess of plan assets, for which in the aggregate the projected benefit obligation, accumulated benefit

Table of Contents**VECTOR GROUP LTD.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

obligation and fair value of plan assets were \$29,973, \$29,973 and \$0, respectively. As of December 31, 2009, three of the Company's four defined benefit plans experienced accumulated benefit obligations in excess of plan assets, for which in the aggregate the projected benefit obligation, accumulated benefit obligation and fair value of plan assets were \$90,216, \$90,216 and \$64,345, respectively.

| | Pension Benefits | | | Other Postretirement Benefits | | |
|--------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Weighted average assumptions: | | | | | | |
| Discount rates – benefit obligation | 5.25% | 5.75% | 6.75% | 5.25% | 5.75% | 6.75% |
| Discount rates – service cost | 5.75% | 6.75% | 6.25% | 5.75% | 6.75% | 6.25% |
| Assumed rates of return on invested assets | 7.00% | 7.50% | 7.50% | | | |
| Salary increase assumptions | N/A | N/A | N/A | 3.00% | 3.00% | 3.00% |

Discount rates were determined by a quantitative analysis examining the prevailing prices of high quality bonds to determine an appropriate discount rate for measuring obligations. The aforementioned analysis analyzes the cash flow from each of the Company's four benefit plans as well as a separate analysis of the cash flows from the postretirement medical and life insurance plans sponsored by Liggett. The aforementioned analyses then construct a hypothetical bond portfolio whose cash flow from coupons and maturities match the year-by-year, projected benefit cash flow from the respective pension or retiree health plans. The Company uses the lower discount rate derived from the two independent analyses in the computation of the benefit obligation and service cost for each respective retirement liability. The Company uses the discount rate derived from the analysis in the computation of the benefit obligation and service cost for all the plans respective retirement liability.

The Company considers input from its external advisors and historical returns in developing its expected rate of return on plan assets. The expected long-term rate of return is the weighted average of the target asset allocation of each individual asset class. The Company's actual 10-year annual rate of return on its pension plan assets was 4.8%, 3.0% and 2.5% for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and the Company's actual five-year annual rate of return on its pension plan assets was 5.7%, 3.5% and 1.2% for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Gains and losses resulting from changes in actuarial assumptions and from differences between assumed and actual experience, including, among other items, changes in discount rates and changes in actual returns on plan assets as compared to assumed returns. These gains and losses are only amortized to the extent that they exceed 10% of the greater of Projected Benefit Obligation and the fair value of assets. For the year ended December 31, 2010, Liggett used a 16.01-year period for its Hourly Plan and a 17.56-year period for its Salaried Plan to amortize pension fund gains and losses on a straight line basis. Such amounts are reflected in the pension expense calculation beginning the year after the gains or losses occur. The amortization of deferred losses negatively impacts pension expense in the future.

Plan assets are invested employing multiple investment management firms. Managers within each asset class cover a range of investment styles and focus primarily on issue selection as a means to add value. Risk is controlled through a diversification among asset classes, managers, styles and securities. Risk is further controlled both at the manager and

asset class level by assigning excess return and tracking error targets. Investment managers are monitored to evaluate performance against these benchmark indices and targets.

Allowable investment types include equity, investment grade fixed income, high yield fixed income, hedge funds and short term investments. The equity fund is comprised of common stocks and mutual funds of large, medium and small companies, which are predominantly U.S. based. The investment grade fixed income fund includes managed funds investing in fixed income securities issued or guaranteed by the U.S. government, or by its respective agencies, mortgage backed securities, including collateralized mortgage obligations, and corporate debt obligations. The high yield fixed income fund includes a fund which invests in non-investment grade corporate debt

Table of Contents**VECTOR GROUP LTD.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

securities. The hedge funds invest in both equity, including common and preferred stock, and debt obligations, including convertible debentures, of private and public companies. The Company generally utilizes its short term investments, including interest-bearing cash, to pay benefits and to deploy in special situations.

In 2008, the Liggett Employee Benefits Committee temporarily suspended its target asset allocation percentages due to the volatility in the financial markets. Even though such allocation percentages were suspended, investment manager performance versus their respective benchmarks was still monitored on a regular basis. Effective January 1, 2011, the Liggett Employee Benefits Committee has reinstated its target assets allocation to equal 50.0% equity investments, 27.5% investment grade fixed income, 7.5% high yield fixed income, 10.0% alternative investments (including hedge funds and private equity funds) and 5.0% short-term investments, with a rebalancing range of approximately plus or minus 5% around the target asset allocations.

Vector's defined benefit retirement plan allocations at December 31, 2010 and 2009, by asset category, were as follows:

| | Plan Assets at December 31, | |
|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Asset category: | | |
| Equity securities | 51% | 50% |
| Investment grade fixed income securities | 26% | 26% |
| High yield fixed income securities | 4% | 2% |
| Alternative investments | 9% | 8% |
| Short-term investments | 10% | 14% |
| Total | 100% | 100% |

The defined benefit plans' recurring financial assets and liabilities subject to fair value measurements and the necessary disclosures are as follows:

| Description | Fair Value Measurements as of December 31, 2010 | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Total | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| Assets: | | | | |
| Insurance contracts | \$ 2,359 | \$ | \$ 2,359 | \$ |
| Amounts in individually managed investment accounts: | | | | |

Edgar Filing: VECTOR GROUP LTD - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|--------------------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Cash | 14,108 | 14,108 | | |
| U.S. equity securities | 53,916 | 53,916 | | |
| Common collective trusts | 50,631 | | 45,722 | 4,909 |
| Investment partnership | 11,996 | | | 11,996 |
| Total | \$ 133,010 | \$ 68,024 | \$ 48,081 | \$ 16,905 |

F-32

Table of Contents**VECTOR GROUP LTD.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

| Description | Fair Value Measurements as of December 31, 2009 | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| | Total | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| Assets: | | | | |
| Insurance contracts | \$ 2,684 | \$ | \$ 2,684 | \$ |
| Amounts in individually managed investment accounts: | | | | |
| Cash, mutual funds and common stock | 71,726 | 71,726 | | |
| Common collective trusts | 40,210 | | 38,752 | 1,458 |
| Investment partnership | 10,182 | | | 10,182 |
| Total | \$ 124,802 | \$ 71,726 | \$ 41,436 | \$ 11,640 |

The fair value determination disclosed above of assets as Level 3 under the fair value hierarchy was determined based on unobservable inputs and were based on company assumptions, and information obtained from the investments based on the indicated market values of the underlying assets of the investment portfolio.

The changes in the fair value of these Level 3 investments as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 were as follows:

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Balance as of January 1 | \$ 11,640 | \$ 15,285 |
| Distributions | (1,107) | (8,978) |
| Contributions | 4,000 | |
| Unrealized loss on long-term investments | 2,113 | 3,913 |
| Realized gain on long-term investments | 259 | 1,420 |
| Balance as of December 31 | \$ 16,905 | \$ 11,640 |

For 2010 measurement purposes, annual increases in Medicare Part B trends were assumed to equal rates between (5.25)% and 6.8% between 2011 and 2019 and 4.5% after 2019. For 2009 measurement purposes, annual increases in Medicare Part B trends were assumed to equal rates between (7.24)% and 24.69% between 2010 and 2018 and 4.5% after 2018.

Assumed health care cost trend rates have a significant effect on the amounts reported for the health care plans. A 1% change in assumed health care cost trend rates would have the following effects:

| | 1% Increase | 1% Decrease |
|---------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Effect on total of service and interest cost components | \$ 9 | \$ (8) |
| Effect on benefit obligation | \$ 164 | \$ (151) |

To comply with ERISA's minimum funding requirements, the Company does not currently anticipate that it will be required to make any funding to the pension plans for the pension plan year beginning on January 1, 2011 and ending on December 31, 2011. Any additional funding obligation that the Company may have for subsequent years is contingent on several factors and is not reasonably estimable at this time.

F-33

Table of Contents**VECTOR GROUP LTD.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Estimated future pension and postretirement medical benefits payments are as follows:

| | Pension | Postretirement Medical |
|-------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2011 | 11,956 | 666 |
| 2012 | 15,064 | 734 |
| 2013 | 31,838 | 696 |
| 2014 | 17,959 | 691 |
| 2015 | 10,413 | 694 |
| 2016 - 2020 | 45,989 | 3,471 |

Profit Sharing and Other Plans:

The Company maintains 401(k) plans for substantially all U.S. employees which allow eligible employees to invest a percentage of their pre-tax compensation. The Company contributed to the 401(k) plans and expensed \$1,068, \$1,098 and \$1,095 for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

10. INCOME TAXES

The Company files a consolidated U.S. income tax return that includes its more than 80%-owned U.S. subsidiaries. The amounts provided for income taxes are as follows:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--------------|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Current: | | | |
| U.S. Federal | \$ 33,142 | \$ 94,640 | \$ 25,747 |
| State | 101 | 19,274 | 7,889 |
| | \$ 33,243 | \$ 113,914 | \$ 33,636 |
| Deferred: | | | |
| U.S. Federal | \$ (3,381) | \$ (85,158) | \$ 5,170 |
| State | 1,624 | (25,025) | (4,738) |
| | (1,757) | (110,183) | 432 |
| Total | \$ 31,486 | \$ 3,731 | \$ 34,068 |

Table of Contents**VECTOR GROUP LTD.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The tax effect of temporary differences which give rise to a significant portion of deferred tax assets and liabilities are as follows:

| | December 31, 2010 | | December 31, 2009 | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| | Deferred Tax Assets | Deferred Tax Liabilities | Deferred Tax Assets | Deferred Tax Liabilities |
| Excess of tax basis over book basis- non-consolidated entities | \$ 10,603 | \$ | \$ 8,876 | \$ |
| Employee benefit accruals | 10,701 | | 11,084 | |
| Book/tax differences on fixed and Intangible assets | | 34,293 | | 29,671 |
| Book/tax differences on inventory | | 21,589 | | 13,015 |
| Book/tax differences on long-term investments | 7,067 | | 9,950 | |
| Impact of accounting on convertible debt | | 16,155 | | 13,467 |
| Impact of timing of settlement payments | 26,962 | | 10,099 | |
| Various U.S. state tax loss carryforwards | 14,496 | | 15,138 | |
| Other | 10,075 | 16,742 | 8,354 | 6,221 |
| Valuation allowance | (10,290) | | (9,509) | |
| | \$ 69,614 | \$ 88,779 | \$ 53,992 | \$ 62,374 |

The Company provides a valuation allowance against deferred tax assets if, based on the weight of available evidence, it is more likely than not that some or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The valuation allowance of \$10,290 and \$9,509 at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, consisted primarily of a reserve against various state and local net operating loss carryforwards, primarily resulting from Vector Tobacco's losses.

The consolidated balance sheets of the Company include deferred income tax assets and liabilities, which represent temporary differences in the application of accounting rules established by generally accepted accounting principles and income tax laws.

Deferred federal income tax expense differs in 2010, 2009 and 2008 as a result of reclassifications between current and deferred tax liabilities. The deferred tax expense in 2008 related to the utilization of tax credits. The deferred federal tax benefit in 2009 related to the recognition of a previously deferred gain in 2009 and the reduction of a valuation allowance in 2009. The deferred tax benefit in 2010 results from the recognition of various temporary differences at the Liggett segment.

The valuation allowance was reduced in 2009 for the recognition of state tax net operating losses at Vector Tobacco after evaluating the impact of the negative and positive evidence that such asset would be realized. The Company based its conclusion on the fact that Vector Tobacco reported state taxable income on a separate company basis for the second consecutive year in 2009. The valuation allowance was increased in 2010 as a result of changes in Vector Tobacco's state tax apportionment in 2010 which decreased Vector Tobacco's ability to utilize state tax net operating losses in future years.

Table of Contents**VECTOR GROUP LTD.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Differences between the amounts provided for income taxes and amounts computed at the federal statutory tax rate are summarized as follows:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Income before income taxes | \$ 85,570 | \$ 28,537 | \$ 94,572 |
| Federal income tax expense at statutory rate | 29,950 | 9,988 | 33,100 |
| Increases (decreases) resulting from: | | | |
| State income taxes, net of federal income tax benefits | 1,121 | 261 | 2,048 |
| Non-deductible expenses | 1,491 | 1,682 | 1,771 |
| Impact of domestic production deduction | (654) | (1,201) | (1,608) |
| Tax credits | (25) | (833) | |
| Equity and other adjustments | | | 381 |
| Changes in valuation allowance, net of equity and tax audit adjustments | (397) | (6,166) | (1,624) |
| Income tax expense | \$ 31,486 | \$ 3,731 | \$ 34,068 |

The following table summarizes the activity related to the unrecognized tax benefits:

| | |
|----------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Balance at January 1, 2008 | \$ 10,605 |
| Additions based on tax positions related to current year | |
| Additions based on tax positions related to prior years | 747 |
| Reductions based on tax positions related to prior years | (317) |
| Settlements | |
| Expirations of the statute of limitations | (3,532) |
| Balance at December 31, 2008 | 7,503 |
| Additions based on tax positions related to current year | 3,380 |
| Additions based on tax positions related to prior years | 2,619 |
| Reductions based on tax positions related to prior years | (550) |
| Settlements | (903) |
| Expirations of the statute of limitations | (1,833) |
| Balance at December 31, 2009 | 10,216 |
| Additions based on tax positions related to current year | 847 |
| Additions based on tax positions related to prior years | 1,178 |
| Reductions based on tax positions related to prior years | (2,303) |
| Settlements | (1,076) |
| Expirations of the statute of limitations | (2,094) |

Balance at December 31, 2010

\$ 6,768

In the event the unrecognized tax benefits of \$6,768 and \$10,216 at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, were recognized, such recognition would impact the annual effective tax rates. During 2010, the accrual for potential penalties and interest related to these unrecognized tax benefits was reduced by \$2,444, and in total, as of December 31, 2010, a liability for potential penalties and interest of \$1,091 has been recorded. During 2009, the accrual for potential penalties and interest related to these unrecognized tax benefits was reduced by \$281, and in

F-36

Table of Contents

VECTOR GROUP LTD.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

total, as of December 31, 2009, a liability for potential penalties and interest of \$2,650 has been recorded. The Company classifies all tax-related interest and penalties as income tax expense.

It is reasonably possible the Company may recognize up to approximately \$400 of currently unrecognized tax benefits over the next 12 months, pertaining primarily to expiration of statutes of limitations of positions reported on state and local income tax returns. The Company files U.S. and state and local income tax returns in jurisdictions with varying statutes of limitations.

In 2009, the Internal Revenue Service concluded an audit of the Company's income tax return for the year ended December 31, 2005. There was no material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements as a result of the audit.

11. STOCK COMPENSATION

The Company grants equity compensation under its Amended and Restated 1999 Long-Term Incentive Plan (the 1999 Plan). As of December 31, 2010, there were approximately 3,815,336 shares available for issuance under the 1999 Plan.

Stock Options. The Company accounts for stock compensation by valuing unvested stock options granted prior to January 1, 2006 under the fair value method of accounting and expensing this amount in the statement of operations over the stock options' remaining vesting period.

The Company recognized compensation expense of \$1,218, \$292 and \$186 related to stock options in the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 respectively.

The terms of certain stock options awarded under the 1999 Plan in December 2009 and January 2001 provide for common stock dividend equivalents (at the same rate as paid on the common stock) with respect to the shares underlying the unexercised portion of the options. The Company recognizes payments of the dividend equivalent rights on these options as reductions in additional paid-in capital on the Company's consolidated balance sheet (\$2,480, \$4,342 and \$4,865 net of taxes, for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively), which is included as Distributions on common stock in the Company's consolidated statement of changes in stockholders equity.

The fair value of option grants is estimated at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The Black-Scholes option pricing model was developed for use in estimating the fair value of traded options which have no vesting restrictions and are fully transferable. In addition, option valuation models require the input of highly subjective assumptions including expected stock price characteristics which are significantly different from those of traded options, and because changes in the subjective input assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimate, the existing models do not necessarily provide a reliable single measure of the fair value of stock-based compensation awards.

The assumptions used under the Black-Scholes option pricing model in computing fair value of options are based on the expected option life considering both the contractual term of the option and expected employee exercise behavior, the interest rate associated with U.S. Treasury issues with a remaining term equal to the expected option life and the expected volatility of the Company's common stock over the expected term of the option. The assumptions used for

grants in the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 were as follows:

| | 2010 | 2009 | |
|----------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|----------|
| Risk-free interest rate | 2.59% | 2.0% | 3.4% |
| Expected volatility | 24.43% | 24.97% | 35.93% |
| Dividend yield | 9.75% | 0.0% | |
| Expected holding period | 4.74 years | 4.79 | 10 years |
| Weighted-average grant date fair value | \$ 1.03 | \$ 3.58 | \$7.40 |

F-37

Table of Contents**VECTOR GROUP LTD.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

A summary of employee stock option transactions follows:

| | Number of Shares | Weighted-Average Exercise Price | Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Term (Years) | Aggregate Intrinsic Value(1) |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| Outstanding on January 1, 2008 | 10,326,841 | \$ 8.38 | 1.8 | \$ 95,238 |
| Granted | | | | |
| Exercised | (4,498,715) | \$ 5.19 | | |
| Cancelled | (1,547) | \$ 28.06 | | |
| Outstanding on December 31, 2008 | 5,826,579 | \$ 10.50 | 1.7 | \$ 13,708 |
| Granted | 1,176,000 | \$ 13.40 | | |
| Exercised | (4,618,558) | \$ 9.54 | | |
| Cancelled | (71,052) | \$ 15.95 | | |
| Outstanding on December 31, 2009 | 2,312,969 | \$ 13.82 | 6.6 | \$ 1,947 |
| Granted | 105,000 | \$ 15.63 | | |
| Exercised | (116,271) | \$ 10.88 | | |
| Cancelled | (19) | | | |
| Outstanding on December 31, 2010 | 2,301,679 | \$ 14.05 | 6.0 | \$ 11,208 |
| Options exercisable at: | | | | |
| December 31, 2008 | 5,427,575 | | | |
| December 31, 2009 | 1,109,849 | | | |
| December 31, 2010 | 1,014,298 | | | |

(1) The aggregate intrinsic value represents the amount by which the fair value of the underlying common stock (\$17.32, \$14.00 and \$12.97 at December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively) exceeds the option exercise price.

Additional information relating to options outstanding at December 31, 2010 follows:

| Range of Exercise Prices | Outstanding as of 12/31/2010 | Options Outstanding | | Options Exercisable | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| | | Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years) | Weighted-Average Exercise Price | Exercisable as of 12/31/2010 | Weighted-Average Exercise Price |

Edgar Filing: VECTOR GROUP LTD - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | |
|---------|-------|-----------|-----|----|-------|-----------|----|-------|
| \$0.00 | 8.42 | 11,637 | 2.0 | \$ | 8.14 | 11,637 | \$ | 8.14 |
| \$8.43 | 11.22 | | | | | | | |
| \$11.23 | 14.03 | 1,969,206 | 6.3 | \$ | 13.10 | 786,825 | \$ | 13.10 |
| \$14.04 | 16.84 | 162,425 | 7.7 | \$ | 15.77 | 57,425 | \$ | 15.77 |
| \$16.85 | 19.64 | 6,184 | 1.1 | \$ | 17.86 | 6,184 | \$ | 17.86 |
| \$19.65 | 22.45 | 4,876 | 0.6 | \$ | 21.56 | 4,876 | \$ | 21.56 |
| \$22.46 | 25.25 | 58,944 | 0.7 | \$ | 23.85 | 58,944 | \$ | 23.85 |
| \$25.26 | 28.06 | 88,407 | 0.7 | \$ | 25.64 | 88,407 | \$ | 25.64 |
| | | 2,301,679 | 6.0 | \$ | 14.05 | 1,014,298 | \$ | 14.98 |

As of December 31, 2010, there was \$4,057 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested stock options. The cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of approximately three years at December 31, 2010.

F-38

Table of Contents

VECTOR GROUP LTD.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

As of December 31, 2009, there was \$5,171 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested stock options. The cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of approximately four years at December 31, 2009.

The Company reflects the tax savings resulting from tax deductions in excess of expense reflected in its financial statements as a component of Cash Flows from Financing Activities.

Non-qualified options for 105,000 shares of common stock were issued during 2010. The exercise price of the options granted was \$15.63 in 2010. The exercise prices of the options granted in 2010 were at the fair value on the dates of the grants.

Non-qualified options for 1,176,000 shares of common stock were issued during 2009. The exercise price of the options granted was \$13.40 in 2009. The exercise prices of the options granted in 2009 were at the fair value on the dates of the grants.

No options were granted in 2008.

The Company has elected to use the long-form method under which each award grant is tracked on an employee-by-employee basis and grant-by-grant basis to determine if there is a tax benefit or tax deficiency for such award. The Company then compares the fair value expense to the tax deduction received for each grant and aggregates the benefits and deficiencies to establish its hypothetical APIC Pool.

The Company recognizes windfall tax benefits associated with the exercise of stock options directly to stockholders equity only when realized. A windfall tax benefit occurs when the actual tax benefit realized by the Company upon an employee's disposition of a share-based award exceeds the deferred tax asset, if any, associated with the award that the Company had recorded.

The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 was \$673, \$22,771 and \$44,755, respectively. Tax benefits related to option exercises of \$267, \$9,162 and \$18,304 were recorded as increases to stockholders' equity for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

During 2010, 116,271 options, exercisable at prices ranging from \$8.74 to \$13.10 per share, were exercised for \$1,265 in cash on the date of exercise.

During 2009, 4,604,152 options, exercisable at prices ranging from \$9.03 to \$13.48 per share, were exercised for \$1,144 in cash and the delivery to the Company of 2,955,609 shares of common stock with a fair market value of \$42,768, or \$13.91, per share on the date of exercise.

During 2008, 4,498,715 options, exercisable at prices ranging from \$5.43 to \$11.51 per share, were exercised for \$87 in cash and the delivery to the Company of 1,444,690 shares of common stock with a fair market value of \$24,395, or \$16.08, per share on the date of exercise.

Restricted Stock Awards. In 2005, the President of the Company was awarded restricted stock grants of 738,418 shares of the Company's common stock, pursuant to the 1999 Plan. Pursuant to the restricted share agreements, one-fourth of the shares vested on September 15, 2006, with an additional one-fourth vesting on each of

the three succeeding one-year anniversaries of the first vesting date through September 15, 2009. The Company recorded deferred compensation of \$11,340 representing the fair market value of the total restricted shares on the dates of grant. The deferred compensation was amortized over the vesting period as a charge to compensation expense. The Company recorded an expense of \$1,996 and \$2,843 associated with the grants for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

In November 2005, the President of Liggett and Liggett Vector Brands was awarded a restricted stock grant of 63,814 shares of the Company's common stock pursuant to the 1999 Plan. Pursuant to his restricted share agreement, one-fourth of the shares vested on November 1, 2006, with an additional one-fourth vesting on each of the three succeeding one-year anniversaries of the first vesting date through November 1, 2009. The Company

Table of Contents

VECTOR GROUP LTD.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

recorded deferred compensation of \$1,018 representing the fair market value of the restricted shares on the date of grant. The Company recorded an expense of \$218 and \$254 associated with the grant for each of the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

In June 2007, the Company granted 12,155 restricted shares of the Company's common stock pursuant to the 1999 Plan to each of its four outside directors. The shares vested over three years. The Company recognized \$792 of expense over the vesting period. The Company recognized expense of \$264 for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, in connection with this restricted stock award.

In June 2010, the Company granted 10,500 restricted shares of the Company's common stock pursuant to the 1999 Plan to each of its five outside directors. The shares will vest over three years and the Company will recognize \$834 of expense over the vesting period, including \$154 of expense for the year ended December 31, 2010.

In April 2009, the President of the Company was awarded a restricted stock grant of 551,250 shares of Vector's common stock pursuant to the 1999 Plan. Under the terms of the award, one-fifth of the shares vest on September 15, 2010, with an additional one-fifth vesting on each of the four succeeding one-year anniversaries of the first vesting date through September 15, 2014. In the event that his employment with the Company is terminated for any reason other than his death, his disability or a change of control (as defined in this Restricted Share Agreement) of the Company, any remaining balance of the shares not previously vested will be forfeited by him. The fair market value of the restricted shares on the date of grant was \$6,467 is being amortized over the vesting period as a charge to compensation expense. The Company recorded an expense of \$872 and \$872 for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

As of December 31, 2010, there was \$5,086 of total unrecognized compensation costs related to unvested restricted stock awards. The cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of approximately two years at December 31, 2010.

As of December 31, 2009, there was \$5,705 of total unrecognized compensation costs related to unvested restricted stock awards. The cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of approximately three years at December 31, 2009.

The Company's accounting policy is to treat dividends paid on unvested restricted stock as a reduction to additional paid-in capital on the Company's consolidated balance sheet.

12. CONTINGENCIES

Tobacco-Related Litigation:

Overview

Since 1954, Liggett and other United States cigarette manufacturers have been named as defendants in numerous direct, third-party and purported class actions predicated on the theory that cigarette manufacturers should be liable for damages alleged to have been caused by cigarette smoking or by exposure to secondary smoke from cigarettes. New cases continue to be commenced against Liggett and other cigarette manufacturers. The cases generally fall into the following categories: (i) smoking and health cases alleging personal injury brought on behalf of individual plaintiffs

(Individual Actions); (ii) smoking and health cases primarily alleging personal injury or seeking court-supervised programs for ongoing medical monitoring, as well as cases alleging the use of the terms lights and/or ultra lights constitutes a deceptive and unfair trade practice, common law fraud or violation of federal law, purporting to be brought on behalf of a class of individual plaintiffs (Class Actions); and (iii) health care cost recovery actions brought by various foreign and domestic governmental plaintiffs and non-governmental plaintiffs seeking reimbursement for health care expenditures allegedly caused by cigarette smoking and/or disgorgement of profits (Health Care Cost Recovery Actions). As new cases are commenced, the costs associated with defending these cases and the risks relating to the inherent unpredictability of litigation continue to increase. The future financial impact of the risks and expenses of litigation and the effects of the tobacco litigation settlements

Table of Contents

VECTOR GROUP LTD.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

discussed below are not quantifiable at this time. For the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, Liggett incurred legal expenses and other litigation costs totaling approximately \$23,389 (which includes expense of \$16,161 for the *Lukacs* and *Ferlanti* judgments described below), \$6,000 and \$8,800, respectively.

Litigation is subject to uncertainty and it is possible that there could be adverse developments in pending or future cases. An unfavorable outcome or settlement of pending tobacco-related or other litigation could encourage the commencement of additional litigation. Damages claimed in some tobacco-related or other litigation are or can be significant.

Although Liggett has been able to obtain required bonds or relief from bonding requirements in order to prevent plaintiffs from seeking to collect judgments while adverse verdicts are on appeal, there remains a risk that such relief may not be obtainable in all cases. This risk has been reduced given that a majority of states now limit the dollar amount of bonds or require no bond at all. Liggett has secured approximately \$5,055 in bonds as of December 31, 2010.

In June 2009, Florida amended its existing bond cap statute by adding a \$200,000 bond cap that applies to all *Engle* progeny cases (defined below) in the aggregate and establishes individual bond caps for individual *Engle* progeny cases in amounts that vary depending on the number of judgments in effect at a given time. The legislation applies to judgments entered after the effective date and remains in effect until December 31, 2012. Certain plaintiffs have challenged the constitutionality of the bond cap statute. In one of these cases, the court recently upheld the constitutionality of the statute. Although the Company cannot predict the outcome of such challenges, it is possible that the Company's financial position, results of operations, or cash flows could be materially affected by an unfavorable outcome of such challenges.

The Company and its subsidiaries record provisions in their consolidated financial statements for pending litigation when they determine that an unfavorable outcome is probable and the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated. At the present time, while it is reasonably possible that an unfavorable outcome in a case may occur, except as disclosed in this Note 12: (i) management has concluded that it is not probable that a loss has been incurred in any of the pending tobacco-related cases; or (ii) management is unable to estimate the possible loss or range of loss that could result from an unfavorable outcome of any of the pending tobacco-related cases and, therefore, management has not provided any amounts in the consolidated financial statements for unfavorable outcomes, if any. Liggett believes, and has been so advised by counsel, that it has valid defenses to the litigation pending against it, as well as valid bases for appeal of adverse verdicts. All such cases are, and will continue to be vigorously defended. However, Liggett may enter into settlement discussions in particular cases if it believes it is in its best interest to do so.

Individual Actions

As of December 31, 2010, there were 36 individual cases pending against Liggett and/or the Company, where one or more individual plaintiffs allege injury resulting from cigarette smoking, addiction to cigarette smoking or exposure to secondary smoke and seek compensatory and, in some cases, punitive damages. These cases do not include *Engle* progeny cases (described below) or the approximately 100 individual cases pending in West Virginia state court as part of a consolidated action. The following table lists the number of individual cases by state that are

Table of Contents**VECTOR GROUP LTD.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

pending against Liggett or its affiliates as of December 31, 2010 (excluding *Engle* progeny cases in Florida and the consolidated cases in West Virginia):

| State | Number of Cases |
|---------------|----------------------------|
| Florida | 15 |
| New York | 9 |
| Louisiana | 5 |
| Maryland | 3 |
| West Virginia | 2 |
| Missouri | 1 |
| Ohio | 1 |

Liggett Only Cases. There are currently seven cases pending where Liggett is the only tobacco company defendant. Cases where Liggett is the only defendant could increase substantially as a result of the *Engle* progeny cases. In February 2009, in *Ferlanti v. Liggett Group*, a Florida state court jury awarded compensatory damages of \$1,200 as well as \$96 in expenses, but found that the plaintiff was 40% at fault. Therefore, plaintiff's award was reduced to \$720 in compensatory damages. Punitive damages were not awarded. In February 2011, the award was affirmed on appeal. In September 2010, the court awarded plaintiff's attorneys' fees of \$996. Liggett appealed the attorneys' fee award. Liggett has accrued \$2,000 for this matter for the year ended December 31, 2010. In *Blitch v. R.J. Reynolds*, an *Engle* progeny case, trial is scheduled for March 7, 2011. In *O'Dwyer-Harkins v. R.J. Reynolds*, an *Engle* progeny case, trial is scheduled for August 8, 2011. There has been no recent activity in *Hausrath v. Philip Morris*, a case pending in New York state court, where two individuals are suing. The other three individual actions, in which Liggett is the only tobacco company defendant, are dormant. In *Davis v. Liggett Group*, another Liggett only case, judgment was entered against Liggett in the amount of \$540 plus attorneys' fees. The judgment was paid by Liggett and this matter is concluded.

The plaintiffs' allegations of liability in cases in which individuals seek recovery for injuries allegedly caused by cigarette smoking are based on various theories of recovery, including negligence, gross negligence, breach of special duty, strict liability, fraud, concealment, misrepresentation, design defect, failure to warn, breach of express and implied warranties, conspiracy, aiding and abetting, concert of action, unjust enrichment, common law public nuisance, property damage, invasion of privacy, mental anguish, emotional distress, disability, shock, indemnity and violations of deceptive trade practice laws, the federal Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO), state RICO statutes and antitrust statutes. In many of these cases, in addition to compensatory damages, plaintiffs also seek other forms of relief including treble/multiple damages, medical monitoring, disgorgement of profits and punitive damages. Although alleged damages often are not determinable from a complaint, and the law governing the pleading and calculation of damages varies from state to state and jurisdiction to jurisdiction, compensatory and punitive damages have been specifically pleaded in a number of cases, sometimes in amounts ranging into the hundreds of millions and even billions of dollars.

Defenses raised in individual cases include lack of proximate cause, assumption of the risk, comparative fault and/or contributory negligence, lack of design defect, statute of limitations, equitable defenses such as "unclean hands" and lack of benefit, failure to state a claim and federal preemption.

In addition to several adverse verdicts against Liggett, jury awards in individual cases have also been returned against other cigarette manufacturers in recent years. The awards in these individual actions, often in excess of millions of dollars, may be for both compensatory and punitive damages. There are several significant jury awards against other cigarette manufacturers which are currently on appeal and several final awards, have been paid.

Engle Progeny Cases. In 2000, a jury in *Engle v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co.* rendered a \$145,000,000 punitive damages verdict in favor of a Florida Class against certain cigarette manufacturers, including Liggett. Pursuant to the Florida Supreme Court's July 2006 ruling in *Engle*, which decertified the class on a prospective

Table of Contents**VECTOR GROUP LTD.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

basis, and affirmed the appellate court's reversal of the punitive damages award, former class members had one year from January 11, 2007 in which to file individual lawsuits. In addition, some individuals who filed suit prior to January 11, 2007, and who claim they meet the conditions in *Engle*, are attempting to avail themselves of the *Engle* ruling. Lawsuits by individuals requesting the benefit of the *Engle* ruling, whether filed before or after the January 11, 2007 deadline, are referred to as the *Engle* progeny cases. Liggett and the Company have been named in 6,827 *Engle* progeny cases in both federal (3,735 cases) and state (3,092 cases) courts in Florida. Other cigarette manufacturers have also been named as defendants in these cases, although as a case proceeds, one or more defendants may ultimately be dismissed from the action. These cases include approximately 9,100 plaintiffs, 671 of which represent consortium claims. The number of state court *Engle* progeny cases may increase as multi-plaintiff cases continue to be severed into individual cases. The total number of plaintiffs may also increase as a result of attempts by existing plaintiffs to add additional parties.

As of December 31, 2010, in addition to the *Lukacs* case (described below), the following *Engle* progeny cases have resulted in judgments against Liggett:

| Date | Case Name | County | Compensatory | Punitive |
|-------------|----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|----------|
| | | | Damages | Damages |
| August 2009 | <i>Campbell v. R.J. Reynolds</i> | Escambia | \$156 | None |
| March 2010 | <i>Douglas v. R.J. Reynolds</i> | Hillsborough | \$1,350 | None |
| April 2010 | <i>Clay v. R.J. Reynolds</i> | Escambia | \$349 | \$ 1,000 |
| April 2010 | <i>Putney v. R.J. Reynolds</i> | Broward | \$3,008 | None |

Through December 31, 2010, there were 20 plaintiffs' verdicts against the industry in *Engle* progeny cases, including the four referenced above, and 11 defense verdicts. The plaintiffs' verdicts are currently on appeal. Several defense verdicts have also been appealed. For further information on the *Engle* case and on *Engle* progeny cases, see *Class Actions - Engle Case*, below.

Lukacs Case. In June 2002, the jury in a Florida state court action entitled *Lukacs v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co.*, awarded \$37,500 in compensatory damages, jointly and severally, in a case involving Liggett and two other cigarette manufacturers, which amount was subsequently reduced by the court. The jury found Liggett 50% responsible for the damages incurred by the plaintiff. The *Lukacs* case was the first case to be tried as an individual *Engle* progeny case, but was tried almost five years prior to the Florida Supreme Court's final decision in *Engle*. In November 2008, the court entered final judgment in the amount of \$24,835, plus interest from June 2002. Plaintiff filed a motion seeking an award of attorneys' fees from Liggett based on plaintiff's prior proposal for settlement. In March 2010, the Third District Court of Appeal affirmed the decision, *per curiam*. In June 2010, Liggett paid its share of the judgment and settled claims for attorneys' fees and accrued interest for a total payment of \$14,361.

Class Actions

As of December 31, 2010, there were six actions pending for which either a class had been certified or plaintiffs were seeking class certification, where Liggett is a named defendant, including one alleged price fixing case. Other cigarette manufacturers are also named in these actions.

Plaintiffs' allegations of liability in class action cases are based on various theories of recovery, including negligence, gross negligence, strict liability, fraud, misrepresentation, design defect, failure to warn, nuisance, breach of express and implied warranties, breach of special duty, conspiracy, concert of action, violation of deceptive trade practice laws and consumer protection statutes and claims under the federal and state anti-racketeering statutes. Plaintiffs in the class actions seek various forms of relief, including compensatory and punitive damages, treble/multiple damages and other statutory damages and penalties, creation of medical monitoring and smoking cessation funds, disgorgement of profits, and injunctive and equitable relief.

F-43

Table of Contents**VECTOR GROUP LTD.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Defenses raised in these cases include, among others, lack of proximate cause, individual issues predominate, assumption of the risk, comparative fault and/or contributory negligence, statute of limitations and federal preemption.

Engle Case. In May 1994, *Engle* was filed against Liggett and others in Miami-Dade County, Florida. The class consisted of all Florida residents who, by November 21, 1996, have suffered, presently suffer or have died from diseases and medical conditions caused by their addiction to cigarette smoking. In July 1999, after the conclusion of Phase I of the trial, the jury returned a verdict against Liggett and other cigarette manufacturers on certain issues determined by the trial court to be common to the causes of action of the plaintiff class. The jury made several findings adverse to the defendants including that defendants' conduct rose to a level that would permit a potential award or entitlement to punitive damages. Phase II of the trial was a causation and damages trial for three of the class plaintiffs and a punitive damages trial on a class-wide basis before the same jury that returned the verdict in Phase I. In April 2000, the jury awarded compensatory damages of \$12,704 to the three class plaintiffs, to be reduced in proportion to the respective plaintiff's fault. In July 2000, the jury awarded approximately \$145,000,000 in punitive damages, including \$790,000 against Liggett.

In May 2003, Florida's Third District Court of Appeal reversed the trial court and remanded the case with instructions to decertify the class. The judgment in favor of one of the three class plaintiffs, in the amount of \$5,831, was overturned as time barred and the court found that Liggett was not liable to the other two class plaintiffs.

In July 2006, the Florida Supreme Court affirmed the decision vacating the punitive damages award and held that the class should be decertified prospectively, but determined that the following Phase I findings are entitled to *res judicata* effect in *Engle* progeny cases: (i) that smoking causes lung cancer, among other diseases; (ii) that nicotine in cigarettes is addictive; (iii) that defendants placed cigarettes on the market that were defective and unreasonably dangerous; (iv) that defendants concealed material information knowing that the information was false or misleading or failed to disclose a material fact concerning the health effects or addictive nature of smoking; (v) that defendants agreed to conceal or omit information regarding the health effects of cigarettes or their addictive nature with the intention that smokers would rely on the information to their detriment; (vi) that defendants sold or supplied cigarettes that were defective; and (vii) that defendants were negligent. The Florida Supreme Court decision also allowed former class members to proceed to trial on individual liability issues (using the above findings) and compensatory and punitive damage issues, provided they filed their individual lawsuits by January 2008. In December 2006, the Florida Supreme Court added the finding that defendants sold or supplied cigarettes that, at the time of sale or supply, did not conform to the representations made by defendants. In October 2007, the United States Supreme Court denied defendants' petition for *writ of certiorari*. As a result of the *Engle* decision, approximately 9,100 plaintiffs have claims pending against the Company and Liggett and other cigarette manufacturers.

Three federal district courts (in the *Merlob*, *Brown* and *Burr* cases) ruled that the findings in Phase I of the *Engle* proceedings could not be used to satisfy elements of plaintiffs' claims, and two of those rulings (*Brown* and *Burr*) were certified by the trial court for interlocutory review. The certification was granted by the United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit and the appeals were consolidated (in February 2009, the appeal in *Burr* was dismissed for lack of prosecution). In July 2010, the Eleventh Circuit ruled that plaintiffs do not have an unlimited right to use the findings from the original *Engle* trial to meet their burden of establishing the elements of their claims at trial. Rather, plaintiffs may only use the findings to establish specific facts that they demonstrate with a reasonable degree of certainty were actually decided by the original *Engle* jury. The Eleventh Circuit remanded the case to the district court to determine what specific factual findings the *Engle* jury actually made. All federal cases were stayed pending review by the Eleventh Circuit. On December 22, 2010, stays were lifted in 12 cases selected by plaintiffs.

In December 2010, in the *Martin* case, a case against R.J. Reynolds, the Florida District Court of Appeals issued the first ruling by a Florida intermediate appellate court to address the *Brown* decision discussed above. The panel held that the trial court correctly construed the Florida Supreme Court's 2006 decision in *Engle* in instructing

F-44

Table of Contents

VECTOR GROUP LTD.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

the jury on the preclusive effect of the Phase I *Engle* proceedings, expressly disagreeing with certain aspects of the *Brown* decision.

Other Class Actions. In *Smith v. Philip Morris*, a Kansas state court case filed in February 2000, plaintiffs allege that cigarette manufacturers conspired to fix cigarette prices in violation of antitrust laws. Plaintiffs seek to recover an unspecified amount in actual and punitive damages. Class certification was granted in November 2001. Discovery is ongoing.

Class action suits have been filed in a number of states against cigarette manufacturers, alleging, among other things, that use of the terms light and ultra light constitutes unfair and deceptive trade practices, among other things. In December 2008, the United States Supreme Court, in *Altria Group v. Good*, ruled that the Federal Cigarette Labeling and Advertising Act did not preempt the state law claims asserted by the plaintiffs and that they could proceed with their claims under the Maine Unfair Trade Practices Act. This ruling has resulted in the filing of additional lights class action cases in other states against other cigarette manufacturers. Although Liggett was not a defendant in the *Good* case, and is not a defendant in most of the other lights class actions, an adverse ruling or commencement of additional lights related class actions could have a material adverse effect on the Company.

In November 1997, in Young v. American Tobacco Co., a purported personal injury class action was commenced on behalf of plaintiff and all similarly situated residents in Louisiana who, though not themselves cigarette smokers, are alleged to have been exposed to secondhand smoke from cigarettes which were manufactured by the defendants, and who suffered injury as a result of that exposure. The plaintiffs seek to recover an unspecified amount of compensatory and punitive damages. In October 2004, the trial court stayed this case pending the outcome of an appeal in another matter.

In June 1998, in Cleary v. Philip Morris, a putative class action was brought in Illinois state court on behalf of persons who were allegedly injured by: (i) defendants' purported conspiracy to conceal material facts regarding the addictive nature of nicotine; (ii) defendants' alleged acts of targeting their advertising and marketing to minors; and (iii) defendants' claimed breach of the public's right to defendants' compliance with laws prohibiting the distribution of cigarettes to minors. Plaintiffs sought disgorgement of all profits unjustly received through defendants' sale of cigarettes to plaintiffs and the class. In March 2009, plaintiffs filed a third amended complaint adding, among other things, allegations regarding defendants' sale of lights cigarettes. The case was then removed to federal court on the basis of this new claim. In November 2009, plaintiffs filed a revised motion for class certification as to the three proposed classes, which motion was denied by the court. In February 2010, the court granted summary judgment in favor of defendants as to all claims, other than a lights claim involving another cigarette manufacturer. The court granted leave to the plaintiffs to reinstate the motion as to the addiction claims. Plaintiffs filed a Fourth Amended Complaint in an attempt to resurrect their addiction claims. In June 2010, the court granted defendants' motion to dismiss the Fourth Amended Complaint and in July 2010, the court denied plaintiffs' motion for reconsideration. In August 2010, plaintiffs appealed to the United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit.

In April 2001, in *Brown v. Philip Morris USA*, a California state court granted in part plaintiffs' motion for class certification and certified a class comprised of adult residents of California who smoked at least one of defendants' cigarettes during the applicable time period and who were exposed to defendants' marketing and advertising activities in California. In March 2005, the court granted defendants' motion to decertify the class based on a recent change in California law. In June 2009, the California Supreme Court reversed and remanded the case to the trial court for further proceedings regarding whether the class representatives have, or can, demonstrate standing. In August 2009,

the California Supreme Court denied defendants' rehearing petition and issued its mandate. In September 2009, plaintiffs sought reconsideration of the court's September 2004 order finding that plaintiffs' allegations regarding lights cigarettes are preempted by federal law, in light of the United States Supreme Court decision in *Good*. In March 2010, the trial court granted reconsideration of its September 2004 order granting partial summary judgment to defendants with respect to plaintiffs' lights claims on the basis of judicial decisions issued since its order was issued, including *Good*, thereby reinstating plaintiffs' lights claims. Since the

Table of Contents

VECTOR GROUP LTD.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

trial court's prior ruling decertifying the class was reversed on appeal by the California Supreme Court, the parties and the court are treating all claims currently being asserted by the plaintiffs as certified, subject, however, to defendants challenge to the class representatives standing to assert their claims. Trial is scheduled to start on May 6, 2011.

Although not technically a class action, in *In Re: Tobacco Litigation (Personal Injury Cases)*, a West Virginia state court consolidated approximately 750 individual smoker actions that were pending prior to 2001 for trial of certain common issues. In January 2002, the court severed Liggett from the trial of the consolidated action, which commenced in June 2010 and ended in a mistrial. A new trial is scheduled for October 17, 2011. If the case were to proceed against Liggett, it is estimated that Liggett could be a defendant in approximately 100 of the individual cases.

In addition to the cases described above, numerous class actions remain certified against other cigarette manufacturers. Adverse decisions in these cases could have a material adverse affect on Liggett's sales volume, operating income and cash flows.

Health Care Cost Recovery Actions

As of December 31, 2010, there were four Health Care Cost Recovery Actions pending against Liggett. Other cigarette manufacturers are also named in these cases. The claims asserted in health care cost recovery actions vary. Although, typically, no specific damage amounts are pled, it is possible that requested damages might be in the billions of dollars. In these cases, plaintiffs typically assert equitable claims that the tobacco industry was unjustly enriched by their payment of health care costs allegedly attributable to smoking and seek reimbursement of those costs. Relief sought by some, but not all, plaintiffs include punitive damages, multiple damages and other statutory damages and penalties, injunctions prohibiting alleged marketing and sales to minors, disclosure of research, disgorgement of profits, funding of anti-smoking programs, additional disclosure of nicotine yields, and payment of attorney and expert witness fees.

Other claims asserted include the equitable claim of indemnity, common law claims of negligence, strict liability, breach of express and implied warranty, breach of special duty, fraud, negligent misrepresentation, conspiracy, public nuisance, claims under state and federal statutes governing consumer fraud, antitrust, deceptive trade practices and false advertising, and claims under RICO.

DOJ Lawsuit. In September 1999, the United States government commenced litigation against Liggett and other cigarette manufacturers in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia. The action sought to recover an unspecified amount of health care costs paid and to be paid by the federal government for lung cancer, heart disease, emphysema and other smoking-related illnesses allegedly caused by the fraudulent and tortious conduct of defendants, to restrain defendants and co-conspirators from engaging in alleged fraud and other allegedly unlawful conduct in the future, and to compel defendants to disgorge the proceeds of their unlawful conduct. Claims were asserted under RICO.

In August 2006, the trial court entered a Final Judgment against each of the cigarette manufacturing defendants, except Liggett. In May 2009, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia affirmed most of the district court's decision. In February 2010, the government and all defendants, other than Liggett, filed petitions for *writ of certiorari* to the United States Supreme Court. In June 2010, the United States Supreme Court, without comment, denied review. As a result, the cigarette manufacturing defendants, other than Liggett, are now subject to the trial court's Final Judgment which ordered the following relief: (i) an injunction against committing any act of

racketeering relating to the manufacturing, marketing, promotion, health consequences or sale of cigarettes in the United States; (ii) an injunction against participating directly or indirectly in the management or control of the Council for Tobacco Research, the Tobacco Institute, or the Center for Indoor Air Research, or any successor or affiliated entities of each (iii) an injunction against making, or causing to be made in any way, any material false, misleading, or deceptive statement or representation or engaging in any public relations or marketing

Table of Contents**VECTOR GROUP LTD.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

endeavor that is disseminated to the United States public and that misrepresents or suppresses information concerning cigarettes ; (iv) an injunction against conveying any express or implied health message through use of descriptors on cigarette packaging or in cigarette advertising or promotional material, including lights, ultra lights, and low tar, which the court found could cause consumers to believe one cigarette brand is less hazardous than another brand; (v) the issuance of corrective statements in various media regarding the adverse health effects of smoking, the addictiveness of smoking and nicotine, the lack of any significant health benefit from smoking low tar or light cigarettes, defendants manipulation of cigarette design to ensure optimum nicotine delivery and the adverse health effects of exposure to environmental tobacco smoke; (vi) the disclosure of defendants public document websites and the production of all documents produced to the government or produced in any future court or administrative action concerning smoking and health; (vii) the disclosure of disaggregated marketing data to the government in the same form and on the same schedules as defendants now follow in disclosing such data to the Federal Trade Commission for a period of ten years; (viii) certain restrictions on the sale or transfer by defendants of any cigarette brands, brand names, formulas or cigarette business within the United States; and (ix) payment of the government s costs in bringing the action.

It is unclear what impact, if any, the Final Judgment will have on the cigarette industry as a whole. To the extent that the Final Judgment leads to a decline in industry-wide shipments of cigarettes in the United States or otherwise results in restrictions that adversely affect the industry, Liggett s sales volume, operating income and cash flows could be materially adversely affected.

In *City of St. Louis v. American Tobacco Company*, a case pending in Missouri state court since December 1998, the City of St. Louis and approximately 38 hospitals and former hospitals seek recovery of costs expended by the hospitals on behalf of patients who suffer, or have suffered, from illnesses allegedly resulting from the use of cigarettes. In June 2005, the court granted defendants motion for summary judgment as to claims for damages which accrued prior to November 16, 1993. In April 2010, the court further determined that each plaintiff is barred from seeking damages which accrued more than five years prior to the time that that plaintiff joined the suit. In that same order, the court granted partial summary judgment for defendants barring plaintiffs claims for future damages. In July 2010, the court dismissed certain other claims brought by plaintiffs, on the grounds they were preempted. In October 2010, the trial court granted defendants summary judgment with respect to plaintiffs fraud and negligent misrepresentation claims. Trial commenced on January 31, 2011.

In June 2005, the Jerusalem District Court in Israel added Liggett as a defendant in an action commenced in 1998 by the largest private insurer in that country, General Health Services, against the major United States cigarette manufacturers. The plaintiff seeks to recover the past and future value of the total expenditures for health care services provided to residents of Israel resulting from tobacco related diseases, court ordered interest for past expenditures from the date of filing the statement of claim, increased and/or punitive and/or exemplary damages and costs. The court ruled that, although Liggett had not sold product in Israel since at least 1978, it might still have liability for cigarettes sold prior to that time. Motions filed by defendants are pending.

In May 2008, in *National Committee to Preserve Social Security and Medicare v. Philip Morris USA*, a case pending in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York, plaintiffs commenced an action to recover damages equal to twice the amount paid by Medicare for the smoking-related health care services provided from May 21, 2002 to the present, for which treatment defendants allegedly were required to make payment under the Medicare Secondary Payer provisions of the Social Security Act. In July 2008, defendants filed a motion to dismiss plaintiffs claims and plaintiffs filed a motion for partial summary judgment. In March 2009, the court granted

defendants' motion and dismissed the case. In May 2009, plaintiffs noticed an appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit and in September 2010, the court vacated the District Court's decision, and remanded the case with instructions for the District Court to dismiss the complaint on other grounds. Plaintiffs' motion for rehearing was denied by the court and on December 20, 2010, the court remanded the case to the district court with instructions to dismiss the complaint.

Table of Contents

VECTOR GROUP LTD.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Upcoming Trials

In addition to the January 2011 trial in the *City of St. Louis* case and the May 2011 trial in the *Brown* case, both discussed above, as of December 31, 2010, there were 43 *Engle* progeny cases scheduled for trial in 2011. Additionally, a Florida individual case is scheduled for trial on September 12, 2011. The Company and/or Liggett and other cigarette manufacturers are currently named as defendants in each of these cases, although as a case proceeds, one or more defendants may ultimately be dismissed from the action. Cases against other cigarette manufacturers are also currently scheduled for trial in 2011. Trial dates are subject to change.

MSA and Other State Settlement Agreements

In March 1996, March 1997 and March 1998, Liggett entered into settlements of smoking-related litigation with 45 states and territories. The settlements released Liggett from all smoking-related claims made by those states and territories, including claims for health care cost reimbursement and claims concerning sales of cigarettes to minors.

In November 1998, Philip Morris, Brown & Williamson, R.J. Reynolds and Lorillard (the Original Participating Manufacturers or OPMs) and Liggett (together with any other tobacco product manufacturer that becomes a signatory, the Subsequent Participating Manufacturers or SPMs) (the OPMs and SPMs are hereinafter referred to jointly as the Participating Manufacturers) entered into the Master Settlement Agreement (the MSA) with 46 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, Guam, the United States Virgin Islands, American Samoa and the Northern Mariana Islands (collectively, the Settling States) to settle the asserted and unasserted health care cost recovery and certain other claims of the Settling States. The MSA received final judicial approval in each Settling State.

As a result of the MSA, the Settling States released Liggett from:

all claims of the Settling States and their respective political subdivisions and other recipients of state health care funds, relating to: (i) past conduct arising out of the use, sale, distribution, manufacture, development, advertising and marketing of tobacco products; (ii) the health effects of, the exposure to, or research, statements or warnings about, tobacco products; and

all monetary claims of the Settling States and their respective subdivisions and other recipients of state health care funds relating to future conduct arising out of the use of, or exposure to, tobacco products that have been manufactured in the ordinary course of business.

The MSA restricts tobacco product advertising and marketing within the Settling States and otherwise restricts the activities of Participating Manufacturers. Among other things, the MSA prohibits the targeting of youth in the advertising, promotion or marketing of tobacco products; bans the use of cartoon characters in all tobacco advertising and promotion; limits each Participating Manufacturer to one tobacco brand name sponsorship during any 12-month period; bans all outdoor advertising, with certain limited exceptions; prohibits payments for tobacco product placement in various media; bans gift offers based on the purchase of tobacco products without sufficient proof that the intended recipient is an adult; prohibits Participating Manufacturers from licensing third parties to advertise tobacco brand names in any manner prohibited under the MSA; and prohibits Participating Manufacturers from using as a tobacco product brand name any nationally recognized non-tobacco brand or trade name or the names of sports teams, entertainment groups or individual celebrities.

The MSA also requires Participating Manufacturers to affirm corporate principles to comply with the MSA and to reduce underage use of tobacco products and imposes restrictions on lobbying activities conducted on behalf of Participating Manufacturers. In addition, the MSA provides for the appointment of an independent auditor to calculate and determine the amounts of payments owed pursuant to the MSA.

Under the payment provisions of the MSA, the Participating Manufacturers are required to make annual payments of \$9,000,000 (subject to applicable adjustments, offsets and reductions). These annual payments are

F-48

Table of Contents**VECTOR GROUP LTD.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

allocated based on unit volume of domestic cigarette shipments. The payment obligations under the MSA are the several, and not joint, obligation of each Participating Manufacturer and are not the responsibility of any parent or affiliate of a Participating Manufacturer.

Liggett has no payment obligations under the MSA except to the extent its market share exceeds a market share exemption of approximately 1.65% of total cigarettes sold in the United States. Vector Tobacco has no payment obligations under the MSA except to the extent its market share exceeds a market share exemption of approximately 0.28% of total cigarettes sold in the United States. For years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, Liggett and Vector Tobacco's domestic shipments accounted for approximately 3.5%, 2.7% and 2.5%, respectively, of the total cigarettes sold in the United States. If Liggett's or Vector Tobacco's market share exceeds their respective market share exemption in a given year, then on April 15 of the following year, Liggett and/or Vector Tobacco, as the case may be, must pay on each excess unit an amount equal (on a per-unit basis) to that due from the OPMs for that year. On December 31, 2010, Liggett and Vector Tobacco paid \$96,500 of their approximately \$140,400 2010 MSA payment obligations.

Certain MSA Disputes

NPM Adjustment. In March 2006, an economic consulting firm selected pursuant to the MSA determined that the MSA was a significant factor contributing to the loss of market share of Participating Manufacturers, to non-participating manufacturers, for 2003. This is known as the NPM Adjustment. The economic consulting firm subsequently rendered the same decision with respect to 2004 and 2005. In March 2009, a different economic consulting firm made the same determination for 2006. As a result, the manufacturers are entitled to potential NPM Adjustments to their 2003, 2004, 2005 and 2006 MSA payments. The Participating Manufacturers may also be entitled to potential NPM Adjustments to their 2007, 2008 and 2009 payments pursuant to an agreement entered into in June 2009 between the OPMs and the Settling States under which the OPMs agreed to make certain payments for the benefit of the Settling States, in exchange for which the Settling States stipulated that the MSA was a significant factor contributing to the loss of market share of Participating Manufacturers in 2007, 2008 and 2009. A Settling State that has diligently enforced its qualifying escrow statute in the year in question may be able to avoid application of the NPM Adjustment to the payments made by the manufacturers for the benefit of that Settling State.

For 2003–2010, Liggett and Vector Tobacco, as applicable, disputed that they owed the Settling States the NPM Adjustments as calculated by the Independent Auditor. As permitted by the MSA, Liggett and Vector Tobacco withheld payment associated with these NPM Adjustment amounts. The total amount withheld or paid into a disputed payment account by Liggett and Vector Tobacco for 2003–2010 was \$29,236. For 2003, Liggett and Vector Tobacco paid the NPM adjustment amount of \$9,345 to the Settling States although both companies continue to dispute this amount is owed. At December 31, 2010, included in Other assets on the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheet was a noncurrent receivable of \$6,542 relating to such payment.

The following amounts have not been expensed by the Company as they relate to Liggett and Vector Tobacco's NPM Adjustment claims for 2003–2005: \$6,542 for 2003, \$3,789 for 2004 and \$800 for 2005. Liggett and Vector Tobacco have expensed all disputed amounts related to the NPM Adjustment since 2005.

Since April 2006, notwithstanding provisions in the MSA requiring arbitration, litigation was filed in 49 Settling States over the issue of whether the application of the NPM Adjustment for 2003 is to be determined through litigation or arbitration. These actions relate to the potential NPM Adjustment for 2003, which the independent auditor under

the MSA previously determined to be as much as \$1,200,000 for all Participating Manufacturers. All but one of the 48 courts that have decided the issue have ruled that the 2003 NPM Adjustment dispute is arbitrable. All 47 of those decisions are final. One court, the Montana Supreme Court, ruled that Montana's claim of diligent enforcement must be litigated. The United States Supreme Court denied *certiorari* with respect to that opinion. In response to a proposal from the OPMs and many of the SPMs, 45 of the Settling States, representing approximately 90% of the allocable share of the Settling States, entered into an agreement providing

Table of Contents

VECTOR GROUP LTD.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

for a nationwide arbitration of the dispute with respect to the NPM Adjustment for 2003. In June 2010, the three person arbitration panel was selected and procedural hearings, discovery and briefing on legal issues of general application have commenced. Because states representing more than 80% of the allocable share signed the agreement, signing states will receive a 20% reduction of any potential 2003 NPM adjustment. There can be no assurance that Liggett or Vector Tobacco will receive any adjustment as a result of these proceedings.

Gross v. Net Calculations. In October 2004, the independent auditor notified Liggett and all other Participating Manufacturers that their payment obligations under the MSA, dating from the agreement's execution in late 1998, had been recalculated using net unit amounts, rather than gross unit amounts (which had been used since 1999).

Liggett objected to this retroactive change and disputed the change in methodology. Liggett contends that the retroactive change from gross to net unit amounts is impermissible for several reasons, including:

use of net unit amounts is not required by the MSA (as reflected by, among other things, the use of gross unit amounts through 2005);

such a change is not authorized without the consent of affected parties to the MSA;

the MSA provides for four-year time limitation periods for revisiting calculations and determinations, which precludes recalculating Liggett's 1997 Market Share (and thus, Liggett's market share exemption); and

Liggett and others have relied upon the calculations based on gross unit amounts since 1998.

The change in the method of calculation could result in Liggett owing, at a minimum, approximately \$9,300, plus interest, of additional MSA payments for prior years, because the proposed change from gross to net units would serve to lower Liggett's market share exemption under the MSA. The Company estimates that Liggett's future MSA payments would be at least approximately \$2,300 higher if the method of calculation is changed. No amounts have been expensed or accrued in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements for any potential liability relating to the gross versus net dispute. There can be no assurance that Liggett will not be required to make additional payments, which payments could adversely affect the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Litigation Challenging the MSA. In *Freedom Holdings Inc. v. Cuomo*, litigation pending in federal court in New York, certain importers of cigarettes allege that the MSA and certain related New York statutes violate federal antitrust and constitutional law. The district court granted New York's motion to dismiss the complaint for failure to state a claim. On appeal, the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit held that if all of the allegations of the complaint were assumed to be true, plaintiffs had stated a claim for relief on antitrust grounds. In January 2009, the district court granted New York's motion for summary judgment, dismissing all claims brought by the plaintiffs, and dissolving the preliminary injunction. Plaintiffs appealed the decision. In October 2010, the Second Circuit affirmed the district court's decision.

In *Grand River Enterprises Six Nations, Ltd. v. King*, another proceeding pending in federal court in New York, plaintiffs seek to enjoin the statutes enacted by New York and other states in connection with the MSA on the grounds that the statutes violate the Commerce Clause of the United States Constitution and federal antitrust laws. In September 2005, the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit held that if all of the allegations of the

complaint were assumed to be true, plaintiffs had stated a claim for relief and that the New York federal court had jurisdiction over the other defendant states. On remand, the trial court held that plaintiffs are unlikely to succeed on the merits. After discovery, the parties cross-moved for summary judgment; briefing concluded in December 2009 and oral argument took place in April 2010.

Similar challenges to the MSA and MSA-related state statutes are pending in several other states. Liggett and the other cigarette manufacturers are not defendants in these cases. Litigation challenging the validity of the MSA, including claims that the MSA violates antitrust laws, has not been successful to date.

Table of Contents**VECTOR GROUP LTD.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

In October 2008, Vibo Corporation, Inc., d/b/a General Tobacco (Vibo) commenced litigation in the United States District Court for the Western District of Kentucky against each of the Settling States and certain Participating Manufacturers, including Liggett and Vector Tobacco. Vibo sought damages from Participating Manufacturers under antitrust laws. Vibo alleged, among other things, that the market share exemptions (i.e., grandfathered shares) provided to certain SPMs under the MSA, including Liggett and Vector Tobacco, violate federal antitrust and constitutional law. In January 2009, the district court dismissed the complaint. In January 2010, the court entered final judgment in favor of the defendants. Vibo appealed to the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit. A decision is pending.

Other State Settlements. The MSA replaces Liggett's prior settlements with all states and territories except for Florida, Mississippi, Texas and Minnesota. Each of these four states, prior to the effective date of the MSA, negotiated and executed settlement agreements with each of the other major tobacco companies, separate from those settlements reached previously with Liggett. Liggett's agreements with these states remain in full force and effect, subject to the changes with Minnesota and Florida discussed below. These states' settlement agreements with Liggett contained most favored nation provisions which could reduce Liggett's payment obligations based on subsequent settlements or resolutions by those states with certain other tobacco companies. Beginning in 1999, Liggett determined that, based on each of these four states' settlements with United States Tobacco Company, Liggett's payment obligations to those states had been eliminated. With respect to all non-economic obligations under the previous settlements, Liggett believes it is entitled to the most favorable provisions as between the MSA and each state's respective settlement with the other major tobacco companies. Therefore, Liggett's non-economic obligations to all states and territories are now defined by the MSA.

In 2003, in order to resolve any issues with Minnesota as to Liggett's ongoing economic settlement obligations, Liggett agreed to pay \$100 a year to Minnesota, to be paid any year cigarettes manufactured by Liggett are sold in that state. In 2003 and 2004, the Attorneys General for Florida, Mississippi and Texas advised Liggett that they believed that Liggett had failed to make certain required payments under the respective settlement agreements with these states. Liggett believes it is not obligated to make any further payments to these states, based, among other things, on the language of the most favored nation provisions of the respective settlement agreements. In December 2010, Liggett settled with Florida and agreed to pay Florida \$1,200 and to make further payments of \$250 per year for a period of 21 years. The payments in years 12 - 21 will be subject to an inflation adjustment. These payments are in lieu of any other payments allegedly due to Florida under the settlement agreement. The Company accrued approximately \$3,200 for this matter. There can be no assurance that Liggett will be able to resolve the matters with Texas and Mississippi or that Liggett will not be required to make additional payments which could adversely affect the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Cautionary Statement. Management is not able to predict the outcome of the litigation pending or threatened against Liggett. Litigation is subject to many uncertainties. For example, the jury in the *Lukacs* case, an *Engle* progeny case tried in 2002, awarded \$24,835 in compensatory damages plus interest against Liggett and two other defendants and found Liggett 50% responsible for the damages. The verdict was affirmed on appeal and Liggett paid \$14,361 in June 2010. To date, Liggett has been found liable in four other *Engle* progeny cases, which are currently on appeal. As a result of the *Engle* decision, over 9,100 plaintiffs have claims pending against the Company and Liggett and other cigarette manufacturers. It is possible that other cases could be decided unfavorably against Liggett and that Liggett will be unsuccessful on appeal. Liggett may enter into discussions in an attempt to settle particular cases if it believes it is in its best interest to do so.

Management cannot predict the cash requirements related to any future defense costs, settlements or judgments, including cash required to bond any appeals, and there is a risk that those requirements will not be able to be met. An unfavorable outcome of a pending smoking and health case could encourage the commencement of additional similar litigation, or could lead to multiple adverse decisions in the *Engle* progeny cases. Management is unable to make a reasonable estimate with respect to the amount or range of loss that could result from an unfavorable outcome of the cases pending against Liggett or the costs of defending such cases and as a result has not

Table of Contents

VECTOR GROUP LTD.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

provided any amounts in its condensed consolidated financial statements for unfavorable outcomes. The complaints filed in these cases rarely detail alleged damages. Typically, the claims set forth in an individual's complaint against the tobacco industry seek money damages in an amount to be determined by a jury, plus punitive damages, costs and legal fees.

The tobacco industry is subject to a wide range of laws and regulations regarding the marketing, sale, taxation and use of tobacco products imposed by local, state and federal governments. There have been a number of restrictive regulatory actions, adverse legislative and political decisions and other unfavorable developments concerning cigarette smoking and the tobacco industry. These developments may negatively affect the perception of potential triers of fact with respect to the tobacco industry, possibly to the detriment of certain pending litigation, and may prompt the commencement of additional litigation or legislation.

It is possible that the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows could be materially adversely affected by an unfavorable outcome in any of the smoking-related litigation.

Liggett's and Vector Tobacco's management are unaware of any material environmental conditions affecting their existing facilities. Liggett's and Vector Tobacco's management believe that current operations are conducted in material compliance with all environmental laws and regulations and other laws and regulations governing cigarette manufacturers. Compliance with federal, state and local provisions regulating the discharge of materials into the environment, or otherwise relating to the protection of the environment, has not had a material effect on the capital expenditures, results of operations or competitive position of Liggett or Vector Tobacco.

Other Matters:

In February 2004, Liggett Vector Brands and another cigarette manufacturer entered into a five year agreement with a subsidiary of the American Wholesale Marketers Association to support a program to permit certain tobacco distributors to secure, on reasonable terms, tax stamp bonds required by state and local governments for the distribution of cigarettes. This agreement has been extended through February 2014. Under the agreement, Liggett Vector Brands has agreed to pay a portion of losses, if any, incurred by the surety under the bond program, with a maximum loss exposure of \$500 for Liggett Vector Brands. To secure its potential obligations under the agreement, Liggett Vector Brands has delivered to the subsidiary of the association a \$100 letter of credit and agreed to fund up to an additional \$400. Liggett Vector Brands has incurred no losses to date under this agreement, and the Company believes the fair value of Liggett Vector Brands' obligation under the agreement was immaterial at December 31, 2010.

In December 2009, a complaint was filed against Liggett in Alabama state court by the estate of a woman who died, in 2007, in a house fire allegedly caused by the ignition of contents of the house by a Liggett cigarette. The plaintiff sued under the Alabama Extended Manufacturers Liability Doctrine and for breach of warranty and negligence. The plaintiff sought both compensatory and punitive damages. In January 2010, Liggett removed the case to federal court. In February 2010, Liggett filed a motion to dismiss the case and plaintiff filed a motion to remand. In September 2010, the court granted plaintiff's motion to remand, but the order was stayed pending non-binding mediation of the dispute, which occurred in January 2011. The matter was settled for \$30.

There may be several other proceedings, lawsuits and claims pending against the Company and certain of its consolidated subsidiaries unrelated to tobacco or tobacco product liability. Management is of the opinion that the liabilities, if any, ultimately resulting from such other proceedings, lawsuits and claims should not materially affect

the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

F-52

Table of Contents**VECTOR GROUP LTD.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****13. SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION**

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| I. Cash paid during the period for: | | | |
| Interest | \$ 67,918 | \$ 52,487 | \$ 48,794 |
| Income taxes | 41,523 | 94,449 | 4,015 |
| II. Non-cash investing and financing activities: | | | |
| Issuance of stock dividend | 357 | 333 | 314 |
| Debt issued in debt exchange | | 119,305 | |
| Debt retired in debt exchange | | (111,501) | |

14. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

In September 2006, the Company entered into an agreement with Ladenburg Thalmann Financial Services Inc. (LTS) pursuant to which the Company agreed to make available to LTS the services of the Company's Executive Vice President to serve as the President and Chief Executive Officer of LTS and to provide certain other financial and accounting services, including assistance with complying with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. LTS paid the Company \$600 for 2010, \$600 for 2009 and \$500 for 2008 under the agreement and pays the Company at a rate of \$600 per year in 2011. These amounts are recorded as a reduction to the Company's operating, selling, administrative and general expenses. LTS paid compensation of \$200, \$0 and \$150 for 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, to each of the President of the Company, who serves as Vice Chairman of LTS, and to the Executive Vice President of the Company, who serves as President and CEO of LTS. (See Note 16.)

The Company's President, a firm he serves as a consultant to, and affiliates of that firm received ordinary and customary insurance commissions aggregating approximately \$431, \$329 and \$221 in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, on various insurance policies issued for the Company and its subsidiaries and equity investees.

In October 2008, the Company acquired for \$4,000 an approximate 11% interest in Castle Brands Inc. (NYSE Amex: ROX), a publicly traded developer and importer of premium branded spirits. The Company's Executive Vice President is serving as the interim President and Chief Executive Officer. In October 2008, the Company entered into an agreement with Castle where the Company agreed to make available the services of its Executive Vice President as well as other financial and accounting services. The Company recognized management fees of \$100 in 2010, \$100 in 2009 and \$22 in 2008 under the agreement. Castle pays the Company at a rate of \$100 per year in 2011. In December 2009, Vector was part of a consortium, which included Dr. Phillip Frost, who is a beneficial owner of approximately 11.7% of the Company's common stock and the Company's Executive Vice President, that agreed to provide a line of credit to Castle. The three-year line was for a maximum amount of \$2,500, bears interest at a rate of 11% per annum on amounts borrowed, pays a 1% annual commitment fee and is collateralized by Castle's receivables and inventory. The Company's commitment under the line is \$1,100; all of which was outstanding under the credit line as of December 31, 2010.

In addition to its investment in Castle, the Company has made investments in entities where Dr. Frost has a relationship. These include the following: (i) three investments in 2006, 2008 and 2009 totaling approximately

\$11,000 in OPKO Inc. (NYSE Amex: OPK) and its predecessor eXegenics Inc.; (ii) a \$500 investment in 2008 in Cardio Medical Inc. (OTC BB: CDOM); (iii) a \$250 investment in 2008 in Cocystal Discovery Inc.; and (iv) the investments in Castle discussed above. Dr. Frost is a director, executive officer and/or more than 10% shareholder in these entities as well as LTS. Additional investments in entities where Dr. Frost has a relationship may be made in the future.

On May 11, 2009, the Company issued in a private placement the 6.75% Note in the principal amount of \$50,000. The purchase price was paid in cash (\$38,225) and by tendering \$11,005 principal amount of the

Table of Contents

VECTOR GROUP LTD.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

5% Notes, valued at 107% of principal amount. The purchaser of the 6.75% Note is an entity affiliated with Dr. Frost.

The Company is an investor in investment partnerships affiliated with a stockholder of the Company. (See Note 6.)

Jefferies & Company, Inc. beneficially owned approximately 6.3% of the Company's common stock at December 31, 2009. Jefferies or its affiliates have from time to time provided investment banking, general financing and banking services to the Company and its affiliates, for which they have received customary compensation. During 2010, 2009 and 2008, the Company paid to Jefferies and its affiliates fees in the amount of approximately \$4,677, \$4,547 and \$0, respectively.

15. INVESTMENTS AND FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

The Company utilizes a three-tier framework for assets and liabilities required to be measured at fair value. In addition, the Company uses valuation techniques, such as the market approach (comparable market prices), the income approach (present value of future income or cash flow), and the cost approach (cost to replace the service capacity of an asset or replacement cost) to value these assets and liabilities as appropriate. The Company uses an exit price when determining the fair value. The exit price represents amounts that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants.

The Company utilizes a three-tier fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value into three broad levels. The following is a brief description of those three levels:

Level 1 Observable inputs such as quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 Inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the assets or liability, either directly or indirectly. These include quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets and quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active.

Level 3 Unobservable inputs in which there is little market data, which requires the reporting entity to develop their own assumptions.

This hierarchy requires the use of observable market data, when available, and to minimize the use of unobservable inputs when determining fair value.

Table of Contents**VECTOR GROUP LTD.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The Company's recurring financial assets and liabilities subject to fair value measurements and the necessary disclosures are as follows:

| Description | Fair Value Measurements as of December 31, 2010 | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| | Total | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| Assets: | | | | |
| Money market funds | \$ 267,333 | \$ 267,333 | \$ | \$ |
| Certificates of deposit | 2,773 | | 2,773 | |
| Bonds | 5,300 | 5,300 | | |
| Investment securities available for sale | 78,754 | 74,640 | 4,114 | |
| Total | \$ 354,160 | \$ 347,273 | \$ 6,887 | \$ |
| Liabilities: | | | | |
| Fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt | \$ 141,492 | \$ | \$ | \$ 141,492 |

| Description | Fair Value Measurements as of December 31, 2009 | | | |
|------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| | Total | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| Assets: | | | | |
| Money market funds | \$ 199,423 | \$ 199,423 | \$ | \$ |
| Certificates of deposit | 2,785 | | 2,785 | |
| Bonds | 3,128 | 3,128 | | |
| Investment securities available for sale | 51,743 | 38,707 | 13,036 | |
| Total | \$ 257,079 | \$ 241,258 | \$ 15,821 | \$ |
| Liabilities: | | | | |

| | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------|------------|----|----|------------|
| Fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt | \$ 153,016 | \$ | \$ | \$ 153,016 |
|------------------------------------------------------------|------------|----|----|------------|

The fair value of investment securities available for sale included in Level 1 are based on quoted market prices from various stock exchanges. The Level 2 investment securities available for sale were not registered and therefore do not have direct market quotes or have certain restrictions.

The fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt were derived using a valuation model and have been classified as Level 3. The valuation model assumes future dividend payments by the Company and utilizes interest rates and credit spreads for secured to unsecured debt, unsecured to subordinated debt and subordinated debt to preferred stock to determine the fair value of the derivatives embedded within the convertible debt. The changes in fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt as of December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 are disclosed. (See Note 7.)

Table of Contents**VECTOR GROUP LTD.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

In addition to assets and liabilities that are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis, the Company is required to record assets and liabilities at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. Generally, assets and liabilities are recorded at fair value on a nonrecurring basis as a result of impairment charges.

The Company's nonrecurring nonfinancial assets subject to fair value measurements are as follows:

| Description | Fair Value Measurements Using: | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|-----------|----------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| | Year Ended December 31, 2009 Impairment Charge | Total | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| Assets: | | | | | |
| Investment in real estate | \$ 5,000 | \$ 12,204 | \$ | \$ | \$ 12,204 |
| Investment in non-consolidated real estate businesses | 3,500 | 1,248 | | | 1,248 |
| Total | \$ 8,500 | \$ 13,452 | \$ | \$ | \$ 13,452 |

The Company estimated the fair value of its mortgage receivable and non-consolidated real estate using observable inputs such as market pricing based on recent events, however, significant judgment was required to select certain inputs from observed market data. The decrease in the mortgage receivable and the non-consolidated real estate were attributed to the decline in the New York and California real estate markets due to various factors including downward pressure on housing prices, the impact of the recent contraction in the subprime and mortgage markets generally and a large inventory of unsold homes at the same time that sales volumes were decreasing. The \$8,500 of impairment charges taken in the first quarter of 2009 were included in the results from operations for the year ended December 31, 2009.

16. NEW VALLEY LLC

Investments in non-consolidated real estate businesses. New Valley accounts for its 50% interest in Douglas Elliman Realty LLC and its 40% interest in New Valley Oaktree Chelsea Eleven LLC on the equity method. (See Note 1(k).) Douglas Elliman Realty operates a residential real estate brokerage company in the New York metropolitan area. 16th and K Holdings acquired the St. Regis Hotel, a 193 room luxury hotel in Washington, D.C. in August 2005, of which 90% was sold in March 2008.

The components of Investments in non-consolidated real estate businesses were as follows:

| | December 31, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|--------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Douglas Elliman Realty LLC | \$ 46,421 | \$ 36,086 |
| Aberdeen Townhomes LLC | | 1,248 |
| New Valley Oaktree Chelsea Eleven LLC | 10,958 | 12,232 |
| Fifty Third-Five Building LLC | 18,000 | |
| Sesto Holdings S.r.l. | 5,037 | |
| Investments in non-consolidated real estate businesses | \$ 80,416 | \$ 49,566 |

Residential Brokerage Business. New Valley recorded income of \$22,303, \$11,429 and \$11,833 for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, associated with Douglas Elliman Realty. Summarized financial information as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 and for the three years ended December 31, 2010 for Douglas Elliman Realty is presented below. New Valley's equity income from Douglas Elliman Realty includes

F-56

Table of Contents**VECTOR GROUP LTD.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

\$158, \$966 and \$1,465, respectively, of interest income earned by New Valley on a subordinated loan to Douglas Elliman Realty, as well as increases to income resulting from amortization of negative goodwill which resulted from purchase accounting of \$182, \$145 and \$193 and management fees of \$1,300, \$1,100 and \$800 earned from Douglas Elliman for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. New Valley received cash distributions from Douglas Elliman Realty LLC of \$11,968, \$8,517 and \$10,550 for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

| | December 31, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Cash | \$ 45,032 | \$ 26,920 |
| Other current assets | 5,989 | 6,664 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | 15,556 | 13,498 |
| Trademarks | 21,663 | 21,663 |
| Goodwill | 38,424 | 38,601 |
| Other intangible assets, net | 1,337 | 742 |
| Other non-current assets | 3,416 | 2,871 |
| Notes payable current | 1,067 | 776 |
| Current portion of notes payable to member Prudential Real Estate Financial Services of America, Inc. | | 2,487 |
| Current portion of notes payable to member New Valley | | 2,487 |
| Other current liabilities | 21,765 | 20,724 |
| Notes payable long term | 1,129 | 2,136 |
| Other long-term liabilities | 10,500 | 7,747 |
| Members equity | 96,956 | 74,602 |

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Revenues | \$ 348,136 | \$ 283,851 | \$ 352,680 |
| Costs and expenses | 303,358 | 259,867 | 324,641 |
| Depreciation expense | 3,682 | 4,448 | 5,448 |
| Amortization expense | 329 | 255 | 298 |
| Other income | 2,440 | 2,090 | |
| Interest expense, net | 552 | 2,413 | 3,290 |
| Income tax expense | 1,329 | 223 | 253 |
| Net income | \$ 41,326 | \$ 18,735 | \$ 18,750 |

Douglas Elliman Realty was negatively impacted in recent years by the downturn in the residential real estate market. The residential real estate market is cyclical and is affected by changes in the general economic conditions that are beyond Douglas Elliman Realty's control. The U.S. residential real estate market, including the market in the New

York metropolitan area where Douglas Elliman operates has experienced a significant downturn due to various factors including downward pressure on housing prices, the impact of the recent contraction in the subprime and mortgage markets generally and an exceptionally large inventory of unsold homes at the same time that sales volumes are decreasing. The depth and length of the current downturn in the real estate industry has proved exceedingly difficult to predict. The Company cannot predict whether the downturn will worsen or when the market and related economic forces will return the U.S. residential real estate industry to a growth period.

All of Douglas Elliman Realty's current operations are located in the New York metropolitan area. Local and regional economic and general business conditions in this market could differ materially from prevailing conditions

Table of Contents**VECTOR GROUP LTD.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

in other parts of the country. Among other things, the New York metropolitan residential real estate market has been impacted by the significant decline in the financial services industry. A continued downturn in the residential real estate market or economic conditions in that region could have a material adverse effect on Douglas Elliman Realty.

St. Regis Hotel, Washington, D.C. In December 2009, the Company received \$2,084 in connection with the sale of the tax credits associated with its former interest in the St. Regis Hotel in Washington D.C., which was recorded as equity income for the year ended December 31, 2009. The Company anticipates receiving an additional \$2,700 in various installments between 2011 and 2012.

Aberdeen Townhomes LLC. In June 2008, a subsidiary of New Valley purchased a preferred equity interest in Aberdeen Townhomes LLC (Aberdeen) for \$10,000. Aberdeen acquired five townhome residences located in Manhattan, New York, which it was in the process of rehabilitating and selling.

The Company had recorded an impairment loss of \$3,500 related to Aberdeen for each of the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008.

In September 2009, one of the five townhomes was sold and the mortgage of approximately \$8,700 was retired. The Company received a preferred return distribution of approximately \$1,752. The Company did not record a gain or loss on the sale.

In January 2010 and August 2010, Aberdeen sold two of its four townhomes and the two respective mortgages of approximately \$14,350 were retired. The Company received a preferred return distribution of approximately \$971 in connection with the sales. In addition, Aberdeen received \$375 in August 2010 from escrow on the January 2010 sale.

In August 2010, the Company acquired the mortgage loans from Wachovia Bank, N.A. on the two remaining townhomes for approximately \$13,500. In accordance with the accounting guidance as to variable interest entities, the Company reassessed the primary beneficiary status of the Aberdeen variable interest entity (VIE) and determined that, in August 2010, the Company became the primary beneficiary of this VIE because the Company obtained the power to direct activities which significantly impact the economic performance of the VIE; and since it now owns the mortgages, the Company will absorb losses and returns of the VIE.

The Company is the primary beneficiary of the VIE, and as a result, the consolidated financial statements of the Company now include the account balances of Aberdeen Townhomes LLC as of December 31, 2010. These balances include:

| | December 31, 2010 |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Cash | \$ 4 |
| Restricted cash | 351 |
| Investment in townhomes | 16,275 |
| Accounts payable | 1,401 |

The \$16,275 investment in townhomes is based on September 2010 third-party appraisals, net of estimated selling expenses. The Company recognized a gain of \$760 primarily resulting from the acquisition of mortgage loans and

operating income of \$352.

In February 2011, Aberdeen sold one of the two remaining townhomes for approximately \$12,500. The property was carried at \$8,463 as of December 31, 2010.

New Valley Oaktree Chelsea Eleven, LLC. In September 2008, a subsidiary of New Valley (New Valley Chelsea) purchased for \$12,000 a 40% interest in New Valley Oaktree Chelsea Eleven, LLC, which lent \$29,000 and contributed \$1,000 for 29% of the capital in Chelsea Eleven LLC (Chelsea). Chelsea is developing a condominium project in Manhattan, New York, which consists of 54 luxury residential units and one commercial

Table of Contents

VECTOR GROUP LTD.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

unit. New Valley Chelsea is operating as an investment vehicle for the Chelsea real estate development project. As of February 23, 2011, sales of 34 of 54 units have closed.

Chelsea Eleven LLC retired its construction loan during the second quarter of 2010 from the proceeds of the sales of units. In addition, on July 1, 2010, Chelsea Eleven LLC borrowed \$47,100 (approximately \$18,200 as of December 31, 2010), which is due in July 1, 2012, bearing interest at 14% per annum. The proceeds were used to retire Chelsea Eleven LLC's then outstanding mezzanine debt (approximately \$37,200) and for other working capital purposes.

The loan from New Valley Oaktree is subordinate to the new loan. The New Valley Oaktree loan bears interest at 60.25% per annum, compounded monthly, with \$3,750 being held in an interest reserve, from which \$1,500 was paid to New Valley.

As of December 31, 2010, Chelsea Eleven LLC had approximately \$54,053 of total assets and \$24,142 of total liabilities, excluding amounts owed to New Valley Oaktree Chelsea Eleven LLC (approximately \$103,757 at December 31, 2010).

New Valley Chelsea is a variable interest entity; however, the Company is not the primary beneficiary. The Company's maximum exposure to loss as a result of its investment in Chelsea is \$10,958. This investment is being accounted for under the equity method.

The Company has received net distributions of \$1,042 and \$594 from New Valley Oaktree Chelsea Eleven LLC for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. New Valley recorded equity income of \$900 and \$1,500 for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, related to New Valley Chelsea.

Other investments:

Fifty Third-Five Building LLC. In September 2010, New Valley, through its NV 955 LLC subsidiary, contributed \$2,500 to a joint venture, Fifty Third-Five Building LLC (JV), of which it owns 50%. The JV was formed for the purposes of acquiring a defaulted real estate loan, collateralized by real estate located in New York City. In October 2010, New Valley contributed an additional \$15,500 to the JV and the JV acquired the defaulted loan for approximately \$35,500. The JV is a variable interest entity; however, the Company is not the primary beneficiary. The Company's maximum exposure to loss as a result of its investment in the JV is \$18,000. This investment is being accounted for under the equity method.

Sesto Holdings S.r.l. In October 2010, New Valley, through its NV Milan LLC subsidiary, acquired a 7.2% interest in Sesto Holdings S.r.l. for \$5,000. Sesto holds a 42% interest in an entity that has purchased a land plot of approximately 322 acres in Milan, Italy. Sesto intends to develop the land plot as a multi-parcel, multi-building mixed use urban regeneration project. Sesto is a variable interest entity; however, the Company is not the primary beneficiary. The Company's maximum exposure to loss as a result of its investment in Sesto is \$5,037.

Investment in Real Estate:

Escena. In March 2008, a subsidiary of New Valley purchased a loan collateralized by a substantial portion of a 450-acre approved master planned community in Palm Springs, California known as Escena. The loan, which was in foreclosure, was purchased for its \$20,000 face value plus accrued interest and other costs of \$1,445. The collateral

consists of 867 residential lots with site and public infrastructure, an 18-hole golf course, a substantially completed clubhouse, and a seven-acre site approved for a 450-room hotel.

In April 2009 New Valley completed the foreclosure process and took title to the collateral. New Valley's subsidiary also entered into a settlement agreement with Lennar Corporation, a guarantor of the loan, which required the guarantor to satisfy its obligations under a completion guaranty by completing improvements to the

Table of Contents**VECTOR GROUP LTD.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

project in settlement, among other things, of its payment guarantees. The construction of these improvements to the project is substantially complete. In June 2009, the Company received \$500 from the guarantor pursuant to the settlement agreement.

As a result of this settlement and changes in the values of real estate, the Company recorded impairment charges of \$5,000 and \$4,000 for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

The assets have been classified as an Investment in Escena, net on the Company's consolidated balance sheet and the components are as follows:

| | December 31, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Land and land improvements | \$ 11,112 | \$ 11,126 |
| Building and building improvements | 1,471 | 1,154 |
| Other | 1,144 | 1,038 |
| | 13,727 | 13,318 |
| Less accumulated depreciation | (373) | (74) |
| | \$ 13,354 | \$ 13,244 |

The Company recorded an operating loss of \$631 and \$886 for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, from Escena.

Real Estate Market Conditions. Because of the risks and uncertainties of the real estate markets, the Company will continue to perform additional assessments to determine the impact of the markets, if any, on the Company's consolidated financial statements. Thus, future impairment charges may occur.

17. SEGMENT INFORMATION

As a result of the suspension of the marketing of low nicotine and nicotine-free cigarette products by Vector Tobacco and significant reductions in Vector Tobacco's related research activities, the Company reevaluated its operating segments and combined the Liggett and Vector Tobacco businesses into a single Tobacco segment. The Company's significant business segments for the three years ended December 31, 2010 were Tobacco and Real Estate. The Tobacco segment consists of the manufacture and sale of cigarettes and the research related to reduced risk products. The Real Estate segment includes the Company's investment in Escena and investments in non-consolidated real estate businesses. The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies. Prior period segment information has been recast to conform to the current presentation.

Table of Contents**VECTOR GROUP LTD.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Financial information for the Company's operations before taxes and minority interests for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 follows:

| | Tobacco | Real Estate | Corporate and Other | Total |
|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|
| 2010 | | | | |
| Revenues | \$ 1,063,289 | \$ | \$ | \$ 1,063,289 |
| Operating income (loss) | 130,157 ⁽¹⁾ | (631) | (18,213) | 111,313 |
| Equity income from non-consolidated real estate businesses | | 23,963 | | 23,963 |
| Identifiable assets | 434,842 | 110,352 ⁽²⁾ | 404,401 | 949,595 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 8,179 | 298 | 2,313 | 10,790 |
| Capital expenditures | 23,073 | 226 | 92 | 23,391 |
| 2009 | | | | |
| Revenues | \$ 801,494 | \$ | \$ | \$ 801,494 |
| Operating income (loss) | 160,915 ⁽³⁾ | (886) | (16,862) | 143,167 |
| Equity income from non-consolidated real estate businesses | | 15,213 | | 15,213 |
| Identifiable assets | 297,587 | 61,770 ⁽²⁾ | 376,185 | 735,542 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 8,078 | 74 | 2,246 | 10,398 |
| Capital expenditures | 2,734 | 1,114 | | 3,848 |
| 2008 | | | | |
| Revenues | \$ 565,186 | \$ | \$ | \$ 565,186 |
| Operating income (loss) | 161,850 | | (26,546) | 135,304 |
| Equity income from non-consolidated real estate businesses | | 23,899 | | 23,899 |
| Identifiable assets | 291,262 | 70,979 ⁽²⁾ | 355,471 | 717,712 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 7,719 | | 2,338 | 10,057 |
| Capital expenditures | 6,309 | | | 6,309 |

(1) Operating income includes litigation judgment expense of \$16,161 and a \$3,000 settlement charge.

(2) Includes investments accounted for under the equity method of accounting of \$86,333, \$48,318 and \$44,725 as of December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

(3) Operating income includes a gain of \$5,000 on the Philip Morris brand transaction completed February 2009 and restructuring costs of \$900.

Table of Contents**VECTOR GROUP LTD.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****18. QUARTERLY FINANCIAL RESULTS (UNAUDITED)**

Unaudited quarterly data for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 are as follows:

| | December 31, 2010(1) | September 30, 2010(2) | June 30, 2010(3) | March 31, 2010 |
|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Revenues | \$ 277,618 | \$ 295,124 | \$ 268,460 | \$ 222,087 |
| Gross Profit | 52,577 | 55,964 | 57,466 | 52,176 |
| Operating income | 29,342 | 29,876 | 21,077 | 31,018 |
| Net income applicable to common shares | \$ 12,016 | \$ 10,907 | \$ 19,223 | \$ 11,938 |
| Per basic common share(4): | | | | |
| Net income applicable to common shares | \$ 0.16 | \$ 0.14 | \$ 0.26 | \$ 0.16 |
| Per diluted common share(4): | | | | |
| Net income applicable to common shares | \$ 0.16 | \$ 0.14 | \$ 0.19 | \$ 0.14 |

(1) Fourth quarter 2010 net income applicable to common shares includes litigation judgment expense of \$1,800.

(2) Third quarter 2010 net income applicable to common shares includes \$3,000 settlement charge.

(3) Second quarter 2010 net income applicable to common shares includes litigation judgment expense of \$14,361.

(4) Per share computations include the impact of a 5% stock dividend paid on September 29, 2010. Quarterly basic and diluted net income per common share were computed independently for each quarter and do not necessarily total to the year to date basic and diluted net income per common share.

| | December 31, 2009(1) | September 30, 2009 | June 30, 2009(2) | March 31, 2009(3) |
|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
| Revenues | \$ 236,748 | \$ 236,736 | \$ 206,794 | \$ 121,216 |
| Gross Profit | 57,451 | 58,937 | 59,032 | 48,689 |
| Operating income | 36,188 | 36,972 | 38,847 | 31,160 |
| Net income (loss) applicable to common shares | \$ 13,433 | \$ 16,219 | \$ (7,946) | \$ 3,100 |
| Per basic common share(4): | | | | |
| Net income applicable to common shares | \$ 0.18 | \$ 0.21 | \$ (0.10) | \$ 0.04 |
| Per diluted common share(4): | | | | |
| Net income applicable to common shares | \$ 0.18 | \$ 0.21 | \$ (0.10) | \$ 0.04 |

- (1) Fourth quarter 2009 net income applicable to common shares includes pre-tax loss on extinguishment of debt of \$129 and an adjustment to reduce restructuring charges for 2009 by \$100.
- (2) Second quarter 2009 net income applicable to common shares includes pre-tax loss on extinguishment of debt of \$18,444.
- (3) First quarter 2009 net income applicable to common shares includes pre-tax gain of \$5,000 on brand transaction, restructuring charges of \$1,000, and impairment charges of \$8,500 on investments in non-consolidated real estate businesses.
- (4) Per share computations include the impact of a 5% stock dividend paid on September 29, 2009. Quarterly basic and diluted net income per common share were computed independently for each quarter and do not necessarily total to the year to date basic and diluted net income per common share.

F-62

Table of Contents

VECTOR GROUP LTD.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

19. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The accompanying condensed consolidating financial information has been prepared and presented pursuant to Securities and Exchange Commission Regulation S-X, Rule 3-10, Financial Statements of Guarantors and Issuers of Guaranteed Securities Registered or Being Registered. Each of the subsidiary guarantors are 100% owned, directly or indirectly, by the Company, and all guarantees are full and unconditional and joint and several. The Company's investments in its consolidated subsidiaries are presented under the equity method of accounting.

The Company has outstanding \$415,000 principal amount of its 11% Senior Secured Notes due 2015 that are fully and unconditionally guaranteed on a joint and several basis by all of the 100% owned domestic subsidiaries of the Company that are engaged in the conduct of its cigarette businesses. (See Note 7.) The notes are not guaranteed by any of the Company's subsidiaries engaged in the real estate businesses conducted through its subsidiary New Valley LLC. Presented herein are Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 and the related Condensed Consolidating Statements of Operations and Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 of Vector Group Ltd. (Parent/Issuer), the guarantor subsidiaries (Subsidiary Guarantors) and the subsidiaries that are not guarantors (Subsidiary Non-Guarantors). The Company does not believe that the separate financial statements and related footnote disclosures concerning the Guarantors would provide any additional information that would be material to investors making an investment decision.

The indenture contains covenants that restrict the payment of dividends by the Company if the Company's consolidated earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (Consolidated EBITDA), as defined in the indenture, for the most recently ended four full quarters is less than \$50,000. The indenture also restricts the incurrence of debt if the Company's Leverage Ratio and its Secured Leverage Ratio, as defined in the indenture, exceed 3.0 and 1.5, respectively. The Company's Leverage Ratio is defined in the indenture as the ratio of the Company's and the guaranteeing subsidiaries' total debt less the fair market value of the Company's cash, investments in marketable securities and long-term investments to Consolidated EBITDA, as defined in the indenture. The Company's Secured Leverage Ratio is defined in the indenture in the same manner as the Leverage Ratio, except that secured indebtedness is substituted for indebtedness.

Table of Contents**VECTOR GROUP LTD.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEETS**

| | December 31, 2010 | | | | Consolidated |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | Parent/ Issuer | Subsidiary Guarantors | Non- Guarantors | Consolidating Adjustments | Vector Group Ltd. |
| ASSETS: | | | | | |
| Current assets: | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 283,409 | \$ 16,214 | \$ 202 | \$ | \$ 299,825 |
| Investment securities available for sale | 78,754 | | | | 78,754 |
| Accounts receivable trade | | 1,846 | 3 | | 1,849 |
| Intercompany receivables | 62 | | | (62) | |
| Inventories | | 107,079 | | | 107,079 |
| Deferred income taxes | 27,470 | 4,316 | | | 31,786 |
| Income taxes receivable | 51,260 | | | (51,260) | |
| Restricted assets | | 2,310 | 351 | | 2,661 |
| Other current assets | 1,329 | 3,335 | 145 | | 4,809 |
| Total current assets | 442,284 | 135,100 | 701 | (51,322) | 526,763 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | 609 | 54,803 | | | 55,412 |
| Investment in Escena, net | | | 13,354 | | 13,354 |
| Long-term investments accounted for at cost | 45,134 | | 899 | | 46,033 |
| Long-term investments accounted for under the equity method | 10,954 | | | | 10,954 |
| Investments in non- consolidated real estate businesses | | | 80,416 | | 80,416 |
| Investment in townhomes | | | 16,275 | | 16,275 |
| Investments in consolidated subsidiaries | 259,594 | | | (259,594) | |
| Restricted assets | 2,673 | 6,021 | | | 8,694 |
| Deferred income taxes | 22,742 | 106,321 | 12,011 | (103,246) | 37,828 |
| Intangible asset | | 107,511 | | | 107,511 |
| Prepaid pension costs | | 13,935 | | | 13,935 |
| Other assets | 17,710 | 14,710 | | | 32,420 |
| Total assets | \$ 801,700 | \$ 438,401 | \$ 123,656 | \$ (414,162) | \$ 949,595 |

LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS DEFICIENCY:

| | | | | | |
|----------------------|-----------|-----------|--------|----|-----------|
| Current liabilities: | | | | | |
| | \$ 11,000 | \$ 40,222 | \$ 123 | \$ | \$ 51,345 |

| | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| Current portion of notes payable and long-term debt | | | | | |
| Fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt | 480 | | | | 480 |
| Current portion of employee benefits | | 1,014 | | | 1,014 |
| Accounts payable | 1,098 | 6,405 | 1,524 | | 9,027 |
| Intercompany payables | | 62 | | (62) | |
| Accrued promotional expenses | | 14,327 | | | 14,327 |
| Income taxes payable, net | | 20,719 | 42,158 | (51,260) | 11,617 |
| Accrued excise and payroll taxes payable, net | | 18,523 | | | 18,523 |
| Settlement accruals | | 48,071 | | | 48,071 |
| Deferred income taxes | 34,622 | 2,341 | | | 36,963 |
| Accrued interest | 20,824 | | | | 20,824 |
| Other current liabilities | 6,530 | 7,670 | 481 | | 14,681 |
| Total current liabilities | 74,554 | 159,354 | 44,286 | (51,322) | 226,872 |
| Notes payable, long-term debt and other obligations, less current portion | 484,675 | 21,020 | 357 | | 506,052 |
| Fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt | 141,012 | | | | 141,012 |
| Non-current employee benefits | 21,047 | 17,695 | | | 38,742 |
| Deferred income taxes | 126,508 | 28,118 | 435 | (103,246) | 51,815 |
| Other liabilities | 138 | 30,520 | 678 | | 31,336 |
| Total liabilities | 847,934 | 256,707 | 45,756 | (154,568) | 995,829 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | | | | |
| Stockholders deficiency | (46,234) | 181,694 | 77,900 | (259,594) | (46,234) |
| Total liabilities and stockholders deficiency | \$ 801,700 | \$ 438,401 | \$ 123,656 | \$ (414,162) | \$ 949,595 |

Table of Contents**VECTOR GROUP LTD.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEETS**

| | December 31, 2009 | | | | Consolidated Vector Group Ltd. |
|---------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| | Parent/ Issuer | Subsidiary Guarantors | Non- Guarantors | Consolidating Adjustments | |
| ASSETS: | | | | | |
| Current assets: | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 204,133 | \$ 5,004 | \$ 317 | \$ | \$ 209,454 |
| Investment securities available for sale | 51,743 | | | | 51,743 |
| Accounts receivable trade | | 8,089 | 9 | | 8,098 |
| Intercompany receivables | | 43 | | (43) | |
| Inventories | | 98,485 | 1 | | 98,486 |
| Deferred income taxes | 11,240 | 2,914 | | | 14,154 |
| Income taxes receivable | | 26,086 | | (26,086) | |
| Restricted assets | | 3,138 | | | 3,138 |
| Other current assets | 497 | 3,512 | 126 | | 4,135 |
| Total current assets | 267,613 | 147,271 | 453 | (26,129) | 389,208 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | 623 | 42,363 | | | 42,986 |
| Investment in Escena, net | | | 13,244 | | 13,244 |
| Long-term investments accounted for at cost | 49,486 | | 837 | | 50,323 |
| Investments in non- consolidated real estate businesses | | | 49,566 | | 49,566 |
| Investments in consolidated subsidiaries | 282,010 | | | (282,010) | |
| Restricted assets | 2,685 | 2,150 | | | 4,835 |
| Deferred income taxes | 28,729 | 94,088 | 9,667 | (92,646) | 39,838 |
| Intangible asset | | 107,511 | | | 107,511 |
| Prepaid pension costs | | 8,994 | | | 8,994 |
| Other assets | 14,942 | 14,095 | | | 29,037 |
| Total assets | \$ 646,088 | \$ 416,472 | \$ 73,767 | \$ (400,785) | \$ 735,542 |

LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS DEFICIENCY:

| | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------|-------|-----------|--------|------|-----------|
| Current liabilities: | | | | | |
| Current portion of notes payable and long-term debt | \$ | \$ 21,773 | \$ 116 | \$ | \$ 21,889 |
| Current portion of employee benefits | | 1,029 | | | 1,029 |
| Accounts payable | 1,490 | 2,763 | 102 | | 4,355 |
| Intercompany payables | 43 | | | (43) | |

Edgar Filing: VECTOR GROUP LTD - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| Accrued promotional expenses | | 12,745 | | | 12,745 |
| Income taxes payable, net | 14,472 | 547 | 30,991 | (26,086) | 19,924 |
| Accrued excise and payroll taxes payable, net | | 24,088 | 5 | | 24,093 |
| Settlement accruals | | 18,803 | | | 18,803 |
| Deferred income taxes | 14,992 | 2,262 | | | 17,254 |
| Accrued interest | 13,840 | | | | 13,840 |
| Other current liabilities | 6,039 | 8,427 | 610 | | 15,076 |
| Total current liabilities | 50,876 | 92,437 | 31,824 | (26,129) | 149,008 |
| Notes payable, long-term debt and other obligations, less current portion | 319,588 | 14,853 | 479 | | 334,920 |
| Fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt | 153,016 | | | | 153,016 |
| Non-current employee benefits | 13,301 | 20,946 | | | 34,247 |
| Deferred income taxes | 113,667 | 24,040 | 59 | (92,646) | 45,120 |
| Other liabilities | 322 | 22,763 | 828 | | 23,913 |
| Total liabilities | 650,770 | 175,039 | 33,190 | (118,775) | 740,224 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | | | | |
| Stockholders' deficiency | (4,682) | 241,433 | 40,577 | (282,010) | (4,682) |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' deficiency | \$ 646,088 | \$ 416,472 | \$ 73,767 | \$ (400,785) | \$ 735,542 |

F-65

Table of Contents**VECTOR GROUP LTD.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

| | Year Ended December 31, 2010 | | | | Consolidated Vector Group Ltd. |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| | Parent/ Issuer | Subsidiary Guarantors | Non- Guarantors | Consolidating Adjustments | |
| Revenues | \$ | \$ 1,063,289 | \$ | \$ | \$ 1,063,289 |
| Expenses: | | | | | |
| Cost of goods sold | | 845,106 | | | 845,106 |
| Operating, selling, administrative and general expenses | 21,842 | 67,939 | 928 | | 90,709 |
| Litigation judgment expense | | 16,161 | | | 16,161 |
| Management fee expense | | 8,521 | | (8,521) | |
| Operating (loss) income | (21,842) | 125,562 | (928) | 8,521 | 111,313 |
| Other income (expenses): | | | | | |
| Interest expense | (82,828) | (1,227) | (41) | | (84,096) |
| Changes in fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt | 11,524 | | | | 11,524 |
| Equity income on non-consolidated real estate businesses | | | 23,963 | | 23,963 |
| Gain on investment securities available for sale | 19,869 | | | | 19,869 |
| Equity income in consolidated subsidiaries | 103,697 | | | (103,697) | |
| Management fee income | 8,521 | | | (8,521) | |
| Other, net | 2,958 | 39 | | | 2,997 |
| Income before provision for income taxes | 41,899 | 124,374 | 22,994 | (103,697) | 85,570 |
| Income tax (expense) benefit | 29,721 | (34,474) | (9,197) | (17,536) | (31,486) |
| Net income | \$ 71,620 | \$ 89,900 | \$ 13,797 | \$ (121,233) | \$ 54,084 |

Table of Contents**VECTOR GROUP LTD.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

| | Year Ended December 31, 2009 | | | | Consolidated Vector Group Ltd. |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| | Parent/ Issuer | Subsidiary Guarantors | Non- Guarantors | Consolidating Adjustments | |
| Revenues | \$ | \$ 801,494 | \$ | \$ | \$ 801,494 |
| Expenses: | | | | | |
| Cost of goods sold | | 577,386 | | | 577,386 |
| Operating, selling, administrative and general expenses | 20,679 | 63,277 | 1,085 | | 85,041 |
| Gain on brand transaction | | (5,000) | | | (5,000) |
| Restructuring charges | | 900 | | | 900 |
| Management fee expense | | 8,223 | | (8,223) | |
| Operating income (loss) | (20,679) | 156,708 | (1,085) | 8,223 | 143,167 |
| Other income (expenses): | | | | | |
| Interest and dividend income | 387 | 105 | | | 492 |
| Interest expense | (67,420) | (1,048) | (22) | | (68,490) |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt | (18,573) | | | | (18,573) |
| Changes in fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt | (35,925) | | | | (35,925) |
| Provision for loss on investments | | | (8,500) | | (8,500) |
| Equity income from non-consolidated real estate businesses | | | 15,213 | | 15,213 |
| Equity income in consolidated subsidiaries | 196,356 | | | (196,356) | |
| Management fee income | 8,223 | | | (8,223) | |
| Other, net | 1,153 | | | | 1,153 |
| Income (loss) before provision for income taxes | 63,522 | 155,765 | 5,606 | (196,356) | 28,537 |
| Income tax benefit (expense) | (38,716) | 37,261 | (2,276) | | (3,731) |
| Net income | \$ 24,806 | \$ 193,026 | \$ 3,330 | \$ (196,356) | \$ 24,806 |

Table of Contents**VECTOR GROUP LTD.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

| | Year Ended December 31, 2008 | | | | Consolidated Vector Group Ltd. |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| | Parent/ Issuer | Subsidiary Guarantors | Non- Guarantors | Consolidating Adjustments | |
| Revenues | \$ | \$ 565,186 | \$ | \$ | \$ 565,186 |
| Expenses: | | | | | |
| Cost of goods sold | | 335,299 | | | 335,299 |
| Operating, selling, administrative and general expenses | 29,577 | 65,135 | (129) | | 94,583 |
| Management fee expense | | 7,940 | | (7,940) | |
| Operating income (loss) | (29,577) | 156,812 | 129 | 7,940 | 135,304 |
| Other income (expenses): | | | | | |
| Interest and dividend income | 4,911 | 953 | | | 5,864 |
| Interest expense | (60,172) | (2,163) | | | (62,335) |
| Changes in fair value of derivatives embedded within convertible debt | 24,337 | | | | 24,337 |
| Provision for loss on investments | (24,900) | | (7,500) | | (32,400) |
| Equity income from non-consolidated real estate businesses | | | 24,399 | | 24,399 |
| Equity income in consolidated subsidiaries | 108,539 | | | (108,539) | |
| Management fee income | 7,940 | | | (7,940) | |
| Other, net | (593) | | (4) | | (597) |
| Income before provision for income taxes | 30,485 | 155,602 | 17,024 | (108,539) | 94,572 |
| Income tax benefit (expense) | 30,019 | (57,056) | (7,031) | | (34,068) |
| Net income | \$ 60,504 | \$ 98,546 | \$ 9,993 | \$ (108,539) | \$ 60,504 |

F-68

Table of Contents**VECTOR GROUP LTD.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

| | Year Ended December 31, 2010 | | | | Consolidated Vector Group Ltd. |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| | Parent/ Issuer | Subsidiary Guarantors | Non- Guarantors | Consolidating Adjustments | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | \$ 45,172 | \$ 166,018 | \$ (2,164) | \$ (142,022) | \$ 67,004 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | | | | |
| Sale or maturity of investment securities | 28,587 | | | | 28,587 |
| Purchase of investment securities | (9,394) | | | | (9,394) |
| Proceeds from sale of or liquidation of long-term investments | 1,002 | | | | 1,002 |
| Purchase of long-term investment | (5,000) | | (62) | | (5,062) |
| Investment in non-consolidated real estate businesses | | | (24,645) | | (24,645) |
| Purchase of Aberdeen mortgages | (13,462) | | | | (13,462) |
| Distributions from non-consolidated real estate businesses | | | 3,539 | | 3,539 |
| Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance policies | (513) | (423) | | | (936) |
| Decrease (increase) in non-current restricted assets | 363 | (1,112) | (351) | | (1,100) |
| Issuance of notes receivable | (930) | | | | (930) |
| Cash acquired in Aberdeen consolidation | | | 473 | | 473 |
| Proceeds from sale of fixed assets | | 187 | | | 187 |
| Investments in subsidiaries | (10,547) | | | 10,547 | |
| Capital expenditures | | (23,073) | (318) | | (23,391) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities | (9,894) | (24,421) | (21,364) | 10,547 | (45,132) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | | | | |
| Proceeds from debt issuance | 165,000 | 20,714 | | | 185,714 |
| Deferred financing costs | (5,077) | | | | (5,077) |

Edgar Filing: VECTOR GROUP LTD - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|------------|-------------|--------|----------|-------------|
| Repayments of debt | | (14,424) | (115) | | (14,539) |
| Borrowings under revolver | | 1,034,924 | | | 1,034,924 |
| Repayments on revolver | | (1,016,598) | | | (1,016,598) |
| Capital contributions received | | 10,547 | | (10,547) | |
| Intercompany dividends paid | | (165,550) | 23,528 | 142,022 | |
| Dividends and distributions on common stock | (117,459) | | | | (117,459) |
| Proceeds from exercise of Vector options | 1,265 | | | | 1,265 |
| Tax benefits from exercise of Vector options and warrants | 269 | | | | 269 |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities | (43,998) | (130,387) | 23,413 | 131,475 | 68,499 |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 79,276 | 11,210 | (115) | | 90,371 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 204,133 | 5,004 | 317 | | 209,454 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$ 283,409 | \$ 16,214 | \$ 202 | \$ | \$ 299,825 |

F-69

Table of Contents**VECTOR GROUP LTD.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

| | Year Ended December 31, 2009 | | | | Consolidated Vector Group Ltd. |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| | Parent/ Issuer | Subsidiary Guarantors | Non- Guarantors | Consolidating Adjustments | |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$ 10,517 | \$ 80,572 | \$ 5,547 | \$ (90,969) | \$ 5,667 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | | | | |
| Proceeds from sale of businesses and assets | | 41 | | | 41 |
| Proceeds from sale or maturity of investment securities | | | 78 | | 78 |
| Purchase of investment securities | (12,427) | | | | (12,427) |
| Proceeds from sale or liquidation of long-term investments | 2,254 | | | | 2,254 |
| Purchase of long-term investments | | | (51) | | (51) |
| Investment in non-consolidated real estate businesses | | | (474) | | (474) |
| Distributions from non-consolidated real estate businesses | | | 6,730 | | 6,730 |
| Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance policies | (413) | (426) | | | (839) |
| Decrease in non-current restricted assets | 1,160 | 560 | | | 1,720 |
| Investments in subsidiaries | (3,800) | | | 3,800 | |
| Capital expenditures | | (2,734) | (1,114) | | (3,848) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (13,226) | (2,559) | 5,169 | 3,800 | (6,816) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | | | | |
| Proceeds from debt issuance | 118,125 | 35 | 645 | | 118,805 |
| Repayments of debt | (360) | (5,769) | (50) | | (6,179) |
| Deferred financing charges | (5,567) | (6) | | | (5,573) |
| Borrowings under revolver | | 749,474 | | | 749,474 |
| Repayments on revolver | | (751,607) | | | (751,607) |
| Capital contributions received | | 3,800 | | (3,800) | |

Edgar Filing: VECTOR GROUP LTD - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------|------------|----------|----------|--------|------------|
| Intercompany dividends paid | | (79,975) | (10,994) | 90,969 | |
| Dividends and distributions on common stock | (115,778) | | | | (115,778) |
| Proceeds from exercise of Vector options | 1,194 | | | | 1,194 |
| Excess tax benefit of options exercised | 9,162 | | | | 9,162 |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities | 6,776 | (84,048) | (10,399) | 87,169 | (502) |
| Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents | 4,067 | (6,035) | 317 | | (1,651) |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 200,066 | 11,039 | | | 211,105 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$ 204,133 | \$ 5,004 | \$ 317 | \$ | \$ 209,454 |

F-70

Table of Contents**VECTOR GROUP LTD.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

| | Year Ended December 31, 2008 | | | | Consolidated Vector Group Ltd. |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| | Parent/ Issuer | Subsidiary Guarantors | Non- Guarantors | Consolidating Adjustments | |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$ 82,821 | \$ 125,279 | \$ 7,415 | \$ (124,250) | \$ 91,265 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | | | | |
| Proceeds from sale of businesses and assets | | 452 | | | 452 |
| Purchase of investment securities | (6,411) | | | | (6,411) |
| Proceeds from sale or liquidation of long-term investments | 8,334 | | | | 8,334 |
| Purchase of long-term investments | | | (51) | | (51) |
| Purchase of mortgage receivable | | | (21,704) | | (21,704) |
| Purchase of Castle Brands equity | (4,250) | | | | (4,250) |
| Investment in non-consolidated real estate businesses | | | (22,000) | | (22,000) |
| Distributions from non-consolidated real estate businesses | | | 19,393 | | 19,393 |
| Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance policies | (500) | (438) | | | (938) |
| Decrease in non-current restricted assets | (1,465) | 1,054 | | | (411) |
| Investments in subsidiaries | (21,747) | | | 21,747 | |
| Capital expenditures | | (6,309) | | | (6,309) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (26,039) | (5,241) | (24,362) | 21,747 | (33,895) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | | | | |
| Proceeds from debt | | 2,831 | | | 2,831 |
| Repayments of debt | | (6,329) | | | (6,329) |
| Deferred financing charges | (137) | | | | (137) |
| Borrowings under revolver | | 531,251 | | | 531,251 |
| Repayments on revolver | | (526,518) | | | (526,518) |
| Capital contributions received | | 4,800 | 16,947 | (21,747) | |

Edgar Filing: VECTOR GROUP LTD - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------|------------|-----------|--------|---------|------------|
| Intercompany dividends paid | | (124,250) | | 124,250 | |
| Dividends and distributions on common stock | (103,870) | | | | (103,870) |
| Proceeds from exercise of Vector options | 86 | | | | 86 |
| Excess tax benefit of options exercised | 18,304 | | | | 18,304 |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities | (85,617) | (118,215) | 16,947 | 102,503 | (84,382) |
| Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents | (28,835) | 1,823 | | | (27,012) |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 228,901 | 9,216 | | | 238,117 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$ 200,066 | \$ 11,039 | \$ | \$ | \$ 211,105 |

F-71

Table of Contents

Schedule

VECTOR GROUP LTD.
SCHEDULE II VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS
(Dollars in Thousands)

| Description | Balance at Beginning of Period | Additions Charged to Costs and Expenses | Deductions | Balance at End of Period |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|------------|--------------------------------|
| Year Ended December 31, 2010 | | | | |
| Allowances for: | | | | |
| Doubtful accounts | \$ 154 | \$ 78 | \$ 34 | \$ 198 |
| Cash discounts | 201 | 25,820 | 25,981 | 40 |
| Deferred tax valuation allowance | 9,509 | 1,432 | 651 | 10,290 |
| Sales returns | 4,337 | 3,363 | 3,465 | 4,235 |
| Total | \$ 14,201 | \$ 30,693 | \$ 30,131 | \$ 14,763 |
| Year Ended December 31, 2009 | | | | |
| Allowances for: | | | | |
| Doubtful accounts | \$ 51 | \$ 105 | \$ 2 | \$ 154 |
| Cash discounts | 203 | 19,901 | 19,903 | 201 |
| Deferred tax valuation allowance | 15,939 | | 6,430 | 9,509 |
| Sales returns | 4,000 | 3,618 | 3,281 | 4,337 |
| Total | \$ 20,193 | \$ 23,624 | \$ 29,616 | \$ 14,201 |
| Year Ended December 31, 2008 | | | | |
| Allowances for: | | | | |
| Doubtful accounts | \$ 51 | \$ | \$ | \$ 51 |
| Cash discounts | 69 | 14,797 | 14,662 | 204 |
| Deferred tax valuation allowance | 16,835 | | 896 | 15,939 |
| Sales returns | 3,700 | 2,897 | 2,597 | 4,000 |
| Total | \$ 20,655 | \$ 17,694 | \$ 18,155 | \$ 20,194 |

F-72