Edgar Filing: VENTAS INC - Form 424B4

VENTAS INC Form 424B4 December 18, 2002 Table of Contents

> Filed Pursuant to Rule 424(b)(4) Registration Nos. 333-101598 333-90756

PROSPECTUS SUPPLEMENT

(To prospectus dated December 16, 2002)

16,477,207 Shares

Ventas, Inc.

Common Stock

We are a healthcare real estate investment trust. As of November 29, 2002, our properties included 44 hospitals, 220 nursing facilities and nine other healthcare and senior housing facilities in 37 states. We also have investments in 25 healthcare and senior housing facilities located in Ohio and Maryland.

We are offering 9,000,000 shares of our common stock, par value \$0.25 per share. The selling stockholders are offering 7,477,207 shares of our common stock. Our common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol VTR. On December 16, 2002, the last reported sale price of our common stock on the New York Stock Exchange was \$11.20 per share.

Investing in our common stock involves risks that are described in Risk Factors beginning on page S-9 of this prospectus supplement.

	Pe	er Share	<u>Total</u>
Public Offering Price	\$	11.00	\$ 181,249,277
Underwriting discount		\$.55	\$9,062,464
Proceeds, before expenses, to us	\$	10.45	\$94,050,000
Proceeds, before expenses, to selling stockholders	\$	10.45	\$78,136,813

The underwriters may also purchase up to 823,860 additional shares of our common stock from the selling stockholders and after such purchase, up to an additional 823,861 shares of our common stock from us at the public offering price, less the underwriting discount, within 30 days from the date of this prospectus supplement to cover over-allotments.

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved of these securities or determined if this prospectus supplement or the accompanying prospectus is truthful or complete. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

The shares of common stock will be ready for delivery on or about December 20, 2002.

Sole Book-Running Manager

Merrill Lynch & Co. UBS Warburg

Banc of America Securities LLC

Edgar Filing: VENTAS INC - Form 424B4

Legg Mason Wood Walker Incorporated CIBC World Markets

SunTrust Robinson Humphrey

The date of this prospectus supplement is December 16, 2002.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Prospectus Supplement

	Page
Cautionary Statements	S-1
Prospectus Supplement Summary	S-3
Summary Selected Financial Data	S-7
Risk Factors	S-9
Use of Proceeds	S-19
Capitalization	S-20
Price Range of Our Common Stock and Distributions	S-21
Dividend Policy	S-21
Material United States Federal Income Tax Considerations	S-22
Underwriting	S-38
Legal Matters	S-41
Experts	S-41
Where You Can Find More Information	S-41
Incorporation by Reference	S-42
Prospectus	
About This Prospectus	i
Cautionary Statements	1
Ventas and Ventas Realty	3
Ventas Capital	3
Ventas LLC	3
Risk Factors	4
Use of Proceeds	4
Selling Stockholders	4
Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges and Preferred Stock Dividends	5
Description of Debt Securities	ϵ
Description of Common Stock	13
Description of Preferred Stock	13
Description of Outstanding Capital Stock	17
Description of Depositary Shares	18
Description of Warrants	21
Plan of Distribution	22
Validity of the Offered Securities	24
Experts	25
Where You Can Find More Information	25
Incorporation by Reference	25

This document is in two parts. The first part is the prospectus supplement, which describes the specific terms of this offering and also adds to and updates information contained in the accompanying prospectus and the documents incorporated by reference. The second part is the accompanying prospectus, which gives more general information, some of which may not apply to the offering. This prospectus supplement and the accompanying prospectus are part of two registration statements. The first registration statement is one that we, Ventas Realty, Ventas LLC, and Ventas Capital filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the Commission) using a shelf registration process. The second registration statement is one that we have filed with the Commission also using a shelf registration process for the sale by the selling stockholders of our common stock offered hereby.

You should rely only on the information contained in or incorporated by reference into this prospectus supplement and the accompanying prospectus. We have not, and the underwriters have not, authorized anyone to provide you with different information. We are not, and the underwriters are not, making an offer of these securities in any state where the offer is not permitted. You should assume that the information contained in this prospectus supplement, the accompanying prospectus and the documents incorporated by reference is accurate only as of its respective date or on the date which is specified in those documents.

CAUTIONARY STATEMENTS

Forward-Looking Statements

This prospectus supplement and the accompanying prospectus and the documents incorporated by reference herein and therein include forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. All statements regarding our expected future financial position, results of operations, cash flows, funds from operations, dividends and dividend plans, financing plans, business strategy, budgets, projected costs, capital expenditures, competitive positions, growth opportunities, expected lease income, continued qualification as a real estate investment trust, or a REIT, plans and objectives of management for future operations and statements that include words such as anticipate, if, believe, plan, estimate, expect, intend, should, will and other similar expressions are forward-looking statements. Such forward-looking statements are inherently uncertain, and you must recognize that actual results may differ from our expectations. We do not undertake a duty to update such forward-looking statements.

Actual future results and trends for us may differ materially depending on a variety of factors discussed in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or the Commission. Factors that may affect our plans or results include, without limitation:

the ability and willingness of Kindred Healthcare, Inc. and certain of its affiliates, which we refer to collectively as Kindred, to continue to meet and/or perform their obligations under their contractual arrangements with us, including without limitation the lease agreements and various agreements entered into by us and Kindred at the time of our spin-off of Kindred on May 1, 1998, as such agreements may have been amended and restated in connection with Kindred s emergence from bankruptcy on April 20, 2001;

the ability and willingness of Kindred to continue to meet and/or perform its obligation to indemnify and defend us for all litigation and other claims relating to the healthcare operations and other assets and liabilities transferred to Kindred in the 1998 spin off;

the ability of Kindred and our other operators to maintain the financial strength and liquidity necessary to satisfy their respective obligations and duties under the leases and other agreements with us, and under their existing credit agreements;

our success in implementing our business strategy;

the nature and extent of future competition;

the extent of future healthcare reform and regulation, including cost containment measures and changes in reimbursement policies and procedures;

increases in the cost of borrowing for us;

a downgrade in the rating of our debt securities by one or more rating agencies which could have the effect of, among other things, increasing our cost of borrowing;

the ability of our operators to deliver high quality care and to attract patients;

the results of litigation affecting us;

changes in general economic conditions and/or economic conditions in the markets in which we may, from time to time, compete;

our ability to pay down, refinance, restructure, and/or extend our indebtedness as it becomes due;

S-1

the movement of interest rates and the resulting impact on the value of our interest rate swap agreements and our net worth and our ability to satisfy our obligation to post cash collateral if required to do so under one of these interest rate swap agreements;

the ability and willingness of Atria, Inc. to continue to meet and honor its contractual arrangements with us entered into in connection with our spin-off of our assisted living operations and related assets and liabilities to Atria in August 1996;

our ability and willingness to maintain our qualification as a REIT due to economic, market, legal, tax or other considerations, including without limitation the risk that we may fail to qualify as a REIT due to our ownership of common stock in Kindred;

the outcome of the audit being conducted by the Internal Revenue Service for our tax years ending December 31, 1997 and 1998;

the final determination of our taxable net income for the year ending December 31, 2002;

the ability and willingness of our tenants to renew their leases with us upon expiration of the leases and our ability to relet our properties on the same or better terms in the event such leases expire and are not renewed by the existing tenants;

the impact on the liquidity, financial condition and results of operations of Kindred and our other operators resulting from increased operating costs and uninsured liabilities for professional liability claims, particularly in the state of Florida, and the ability of Kindred and our other operators to accurately estimate the magnitude of such liabilities; and

the value of our common stock in Kindred and the limitations on our ability to sell, transfer or otherwise dispose of our common stock in Kindred arising out of the securities laws and the registration rights agreement we entered into with Kindred and certain of the holders of the common stock in Kindred.

Many of such factors are beyond our control and the control of our management.

We describe some of these risks and uncertainties in greater detail below under the caption Risk Factors. These risks could cause actual results of our industry or our actual results for the year 2002 and beyond to differ materially from those expressed in any forward-looking statement we make. Our future financial performance is dependent upon factors discussed elsewhere in this prospectus supplement and the accompanying prospectus and the documents incorporated by reference herein and therein. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date on which they are made. For a discussion of factors that could cause actual results to differ, see Risk Factors below and the information contained in our publicly available filings with the Commission. These filings are described below under the captions Where You Can Find More Information and Incorporation by Reference.

Kindred Information

Kindred Healthcare, Inc. is subject to the reporting requirements of the Commission and is required to file with the Commission annual reports containing audited financial information and quarterly reports containing unaudited financial information. The information related to Kindred provided in this prospectus supplement is derived from filings made with the Commission or other publicly available information, or has been provided by Kindred. We have not verified this information either through an independent investigation or by reviewing Kindred s public filings. We have no reason to believe that such information is inaccurate in any material respect, but there can be no assurance that all such information is accurate. We are providing this data for informational purposes only, and the reader of this prospectus is encouraged to obtain Kindred s publicly available filings from the Commission.

S-2

PROSPECTUS SUPPLEMENT SUMMARY

The summary contains basic information about us, our common stock and this offering. Because this is a summary, it does not contain all the information you should consider before investing in our common stock. You should carefully read this summary together with the more detailed information, financial statements and notes to the financial statements contained elsewhere or incorporated by reference into this prospectus supplement or the accompanying prospectus. To fully understand this offering, you should read all of these documents. To the extent there is a conflict between the information contained in this prospectus supplement, on the one hand, and the information contained in the accompanying prospectus, on the other hand, the information in this prospectus supplement shall control. Unless otherwise indicated, all references in this prospectus supplement to Ventas, we, us, our or similar terms refer to Ventas, Inc. together with its subsidiaries. Unless otherwise indicated, the information included in this prospectus supplement assumes no exercise of the underwriter s over-allotment option.

Ventas, Inc.

We are a healthcare real estate investment trust. Our business consists of owning, leasing and financing healthcare facilities. As of November 29, 2002, our properties included 220 skilled nursing facilities in 32 states, 44 hospitals in 20 states and nine other healthcare and senior housing facilities. We also have investments in 25 healthcare and senior housing facilities located in Ohio and Maryland. Our primary tenant, Kindred, is one of the largest providers of long-term healthcare services in the United States. Kindred operates 43 of our hospitals and 210 of our skilled nursing facilities pursuant to five multi-facility master lease agreements. All of the master leases are structured as triple-net leases, under which Kindred is responsible for insurance, taxes, utilities, maintenance and repairs related to our properties.

Our business strategy is comprised of two primary objectives: diversification of our portfolio of properties and further reduction of our indebtedness in relation to our revenue. We intend to diversify our portfolio by operator, facility type and reimbursement source in order to reduce our dependence on Kindred and government reimbursement. We intend to acquire additional healthcare properties, which could include hospitals, nursing centers, assisted or independent living facilities and ancillary healthcare facilities, that are operated by leading providers in their industries. We also intend to further reduce our indebtedness in relation to our revenue.

We conduct substantially all of our business through two wholly owned subsidiaries, Ventas Realty, Limited Partnership and Ventas Finance I, LLC. As of November 29, 2002, Ventas Finance I owned 40 skilled nursing facilities and we and Ventas Realty owned all of our other properties.

Our principal executive offices are located at 4360 Brownsboro Road, Suite 115, Louisville, Kentucky 40207-1642, and our telephone number is (502) 357-9000.

Recent Developments

THI Transaction. On November 5, 2002, we completed a \$120 million transaction with Trans Healthcare, Inc., or THI, a privately owned long-term care and hospital company. The transaction was structured as a \$53 million sale leaseback transaction and \$67 million loan, which is comprised of a first mortgage loan and a mezzanine loan.

Under the sale leaseback, we purchased five properties and are leasing them back to THI under a master lease. The properties subject to the sale leaseback are four skilled nursing facilities and one continuing care retirement community that is comprised of one skilled nursing facility, one rehabilitation hospital, and one

S-3

assisted living facility. Three of the properties are located in Maryland and two are located in Ohio. These properties contain a total of 770 beds. The master lease, which has an initial term of ten years, provides for annual base rent of \$5.9 million. The master lease provides that if THI meets specified revenue parameters, the annual base rent will escalate by the greater of (i) three percent or (ii) 50% of the consumer price index.

The loan to THI is divided into two components: a \$45 million first mortgage loan and a \$22 million mezzanine loan to subsidiaries of THI. The first mortgage loan is secured by 17 skilled nursing facilities and one related assisted living facility. Fourteen of these facilities are located in Ohio and four are located in Maryland. These properties contain a total of 1,402 beds. The first mortgage loan is structured as a collateralized mortgage backed security that we have originated for investment purposes, but may later sell. The first mortgage loan bears interest at LIBOR plus 367 basis points, inclusive of upfront fees (with a LIBOR floor of three percent). The first mortgage loan matures in three years, and THI holds options to exercise two one-year extensions upon satisfaction of certain conditions.

The mezzanine loan bears interest, inclusive of upfront fees, of 18% per annum and is secured by a pledge of the ownership interests in the entities that own the 18 facilities that also collateralize the first mortgage loan, liens on four additional healthcare/senior housing properties and interests in three additional properties operated by THI.

The THI transaction covers a total of 32 facilities: 18 skilled nursing facilities, four assisted living facilities, and one rehabilitation hospital containing 1,546 beds in Ohio; and nine skilled nursing facilities containing 1,206 beds in Maryland.

Kindred s Increased Professional Liability Expense in Florida. On October 10, 2002, Kindred announced that it will record a substantial increase in costs related to professional liability claims, primarily claims related to skilled nursing facility operations conducted in Florida. Kindred leases 15 skilled nursing facilities in Florida from us. The cash rent from the 15 Florida skilled nursing facilities we lease to Kindred is approximately \$8.5 million annually, which constitutes approximately 4.5% of the total \$187 million in annualized rent payable to us by Kindred. We believe that under the terms of our leases with Kindred, Kindred is not entitled to abandon the leased properties, reduce the rent, or receive other concessions based on the increases in professional liability costs.

On December 11, 2002, Kindred publicly announced that it had entered into a non-binding letter of intent with Senior Health Management, LLC to transfer the operations of Kindred s 18 skilled nursing facilities in Florida, including the 15 skilled nursing facilities in Florida that Kindred leases from us, and to sublease our 15 facilities to Senior Health Management or its designee. The announcement indicated that consummation of the proposed transaction is subject to a number of material closing conditions, including approval from Kindred s lenders and regulatory and governmental approvals. Kindred stated that the lease payments under the proposed subleases would be equal to the lease payments under the primary leases and that Kindred will remain a primary guarantor under the lease with us.

Based on the information available to us, we believe our consent is required for the proposed sublease of the 15 skilled nursing facilities by Kindred. However, in its December 11, 2002 announcement, Kindred stated, among other things, that it has the ability to sublease 12 of the skilled nursing facilities in Florida without our consent and that our consent cannot be unreasonably withheld on the remaining 3 skilled nursing facilities in Florida. Kindred further stated that if we improperly interfere with the completion of the proposed transaction, it will seek appropriate legal remedies against us as well as damages for the continuing losses it is sustaining with respect to these facilities. We believe that we have the right to consent to the proposed sublease of the 15 skilled nursing facilities in Florida held by Kindred, and intend to defend any legal actions arising out of our withholding of such consent vigorously. However, there can be no assurance as to what the outcome of any such action on the part of Kindred might be or the ultimate effects it might have on our financial condition, results of operations, or the share price of our common stock.

S-4

We have been discussing strategic alternatives regarding the skilled nursing facilities in Florida with Kindred, and are currently considering Kindred s proposed transfer of its Florida skilled nursing operations to Senior Health Management and the proposed sublease of the facilities to a designee of Senior Health Management. We currently intend to work with Kindred to permit it to exit the Florida skilled nursing facility market on terms acceptable to us. However, there can be no assurance as to the outcome of our discussions with Kindred or when or if any exit by Kindred from the Florida skilled nursery facility market will occur.

As a result of Kindred s October 10, 2002 announcement of its increased costs in Florida and other events, the market value of the Kindred common stock we own has declined substantially from \$34.1 million as of September 30, 2002 to approximately \$17.5 million as of December 13, 2002. Our investment in Kindred common stock is classified as available for sale in accordance with SFAS No. 115 Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities. Accordingly, the Kindred common stock is measured and reported on our balance sheet at fair value. Our unrealized gains and losses on our Kindred common stock are reported as a component of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income on our balance sheet.

S-5

The Offering

For a description of our common stock, see Description of Common Stock and Description of Outstanding Capital Stock in the accompanying prospectus. For a description of stockholder rights attached to our shares of common stock, see Note 13 to our Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended December 31, 2001, which is incorporated by reference in this prospectus supplement and the accompanying prospectus.

Securities offered:

By us	9,000,000 shares of common stock, plus up to an additional 823,861 shares if the underwriters exercise their over-allotment option in full.
By the selling stockholders	7,477,207 shares of common stock, plus up to an additional 823,860 shares if the underwriters exercise their over-allotment option.
Shares to be outstanding after this offering	78,849,055, assuming no exercise of the underwriters over-allotment option.
Use of proceeds	We estimate that our net proceeds from this offering without exercise of the over-allotment option will be approximately \$93.1 million. We intend to use these net proceeds to reduce certain of our outstanding indebtedness. We will not receive any of the proceeds from the sale of shares by the selling stockholders. See Use of Proceeds.
Restrictions on ownership and transfer	Our certificate of incorporation contains restrictions on ownership and transfer of our common stock intended to assist us in maintaining our status as a REIT for federal and/or state income tax purposes. For example, our certificate of incorporation generally restricts any person from acquiring beneficial ownership of more than 9% of our outstanding shares of common stock, as more fully described in the section entitled Description of Outstanding Capital Stock in the accompanying prospectus.
Risk factors	See Risk Factors and other information included in this prospectus supplement and the accompanying prospectus for a discussion of factors you should carefully consider before deciding to invest in the common stock.
New York Stock Exchange symbol	VTR

Our Board of Directors has declared a regular quarterly dividend of \$0.2375 per share of common stock, payable in cash on January 3, 2003 to stockholders of record of our common stock on December 17, 2002. Purchasers of shares of common stock in this offering will not receive the January 3, 2003 dividend on shares purchased in this offering. The number of shares to be outstanding after this offering as shown above is based on the shares of our common stock outstanding as of November 26, 2002.

S-6

SUMMARY SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The selected consolidated financial data as of December 31, 1999, 2000 and 2001 and for the years then ended presented below is derived from our audited financial statements and accompanying notes. The selected financial data presented below as of and for the nine months ended September 30, 2001 and 2002 has been derived from our unaudited financial statements. In the opinion of management, the unaudited financial statements have been prepared on the same basis as the audited financial statements and include all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring adjustments, necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position and results of operation as of such dates and for such periods. The data presented below should be read in conjunction with Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, our Consolidated Financial Statements as of December 31, 2000 and 2001 and for the years ended December 31, 1999, 2000 and 2001 and accompanying notes, and our unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for the nine months ended September 30, 2001 and 2002 and as of September 30, 2002 incorporated by reference in this prospectus supplement. The results for the interim period do not necessarily indicate the results to be expected for the full fiscal year.

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

	Yea	r Ended Decembe	er 31,		nths Ended nber 30,	
	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	
				(unau	dited)	
Operating Data:						
Rental income	\$ 224,405	\$ 228,569	\$ 183,329	\$ 137,242	\$ 140,903	
Loss on uncollectible amounts due from tenants	33,829	47,394				
Gain on sale of Kindred common stock		***	15,425	44.007	5,014	
General and administrative expenses	21,566	20,781	14,902	11,335	9,723	
United States Settlement (1)	0= 404	96,493	04.55	< 4 ~ 40		
Interest expense	87,124	93,570	86,175	64,540	57,661	
Net loss on swap breakage			4.500	2.052	5,407	
Interest on United States Settlement	1 (72	1.000	4,592	3,053	4,204	
Discontinued operations (including gain on sale of assets)	1,673	1,283	681	954	23,831	
Net income (loss) before extraordinary charge	42,535	(61,245)	51,888	27,841	63,181	
Net income (loss)	42,535	(65,452)	50,566	27,841	56,262	
Other Data:	102.500	05.220	70.002	(1.224	06.607	
Net cash provided by operating activities	103,580	85,338	79,893	61,324	96,687	
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	371	5,359	2,760	283	34,783	
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	35,305	(142,890)	(151,458)	(125,181)	(145,945)	
FFO (2)	85,023	76,479	93,502	58,978	70,915	
Normalized FFO, excluding gain on Kindred common stock	05.022	76 470	70.077	50.070	71 200	
(3)	85,023 0.63	76,479	78,077	58,978 0.41	71,308 0.82	
Net income per common share (basic)		(0.96)	0.74			
Net income per common share (diluted)	0.63	(0.96)	0.73	0.40	0.80	
Dividends declared per common	0.39	0.91	0.92	0.66	0.7125	
share (4) (5)	0.39	0.91	0.92	0.00	0.7123	
Basic weighted average number of common shares	67.751	69.010	69 400	60 275	60 005	
outstanding Diluted weighted average number of common shares	67,754	68,010	68,409	68,375	68,895	
	67.090	68,131	69,363	60.255	60.079	
outstanding	67,989	08,131	09,303	69,255	69,978	

(dollars in thousan

	Decem	December 31,		
	2000	2000 2001		tember 30, 2002
				naudited)
Balance Sheet Data:				
Real estate investments, net	\$ 848,545	\$ 806,336	\$	769,981
Cash and cash equivalents	87,401	18,596		4,121
Kindred common stock		55,118		34,098
Total assets	981,145	941,859		857,243
Notes payable and other debt	886,385	848,368		785,924
United States Settlement (1)	96,493	54,747		46,789
Stockholders equity (deficit) (6)	(117,514)	(91,074)		(125,625)

- (1) The United States Settlement is a comprehensive settlement of various claims and investigations by the United States Department of Justice involving operations at our healthcare facilities prior to our spinoff of Kindred in 1998. See Note 9 and Note 12 to our Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended December 31, 2001, which are incorporated by reference in this prospectus supplement and the accompanying prospectus.
- (2) We consider funds from operations, or FFO, an appropriate measure of performance of an equity REIT and we use the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trust s, or NAREIT, definition of FFO. NAREIT defines FFO as net income (computed in accordance with GAAP), excluding gains (or losses) from sales of property, plus depreciation and amortization, and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. FFO presented herein is not necessarily comparable to FFO presented by other real estate companies due to the fact that not all real estate companies use the same definition. FFO should not be considered as an alternative to net income (determined in accordance with GAAP) as an indicator of our financial performance or as an alternative to cash flow from operating activities (determined in accordance with GAAP) as a measure of our liquidity, nor is FFO indicative of sufficient cash flow to fund all of our needs. FFO in 2000 excludes the effect of the United States Settlement.
- (3) Normalized FFO excludes the gain on sale of Kindred common stock and net loss on swap breakage for the respective periods:

	ar Ended ber 31, 2001	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2002		
FFO	\$ 93,502	\$	70,915	
Gain on Sale of Kindred Common Stock	 (15,425)		(5,014)	
Net Loss on swap breakage			5,407	
	\$ 78,077	\$	71,308	

- (4) Our Board of Directors has declared a regular quarterly dividend of \$0.2375 per share of common stock payable in cash on January 3, 2003 to stockholders of record on December 17, 2002. Purchasers of shares of common stock in this offering will not receive the January 3, 2003 dividend on shares purchased in this offering.
- (5) Our fourth quarter 2001 distribution of \$0.26 per share was paid in a combination of cash and shares of Kindred common stock. For every 200 shares of our common stock, stockholders received one share of Kindred common stock and \$0.98 in cash. For purposes of this distribution, the Kindred common stock was valued on December 31, 2001 at \$51.02 per share.
- (6) Total stockholders equity at December 31, 2001 includes \$17.5 million cumulative increase from a change in accounting for derivatives.

RISK FACTORS

Our business, operations and financial condition are subject to various risks. Some of these risks are described below, and you should take these risks into account in evaluating an investment in our common stock. This section does not describe all risks applicable to us, our industry or our business, and it is intended only as a summary of certain material factors. If any of the following risks actually occur, we could be materially and adversely affected. In such case, you may lose all or part of your investment in our common stock.

We have grouped these risk factors into three general categories:

Risks arising from our business;

Risks arising from our capital structure; and

Risks arising from our status as a REIT.

Risks Arising from Our Business

We are dependent on Kindred; Kindred s inability or unwillingness to satisfy its obligations under its agreements with us could significantly harm us and our ability to service our indebtedness and other obligations and to make distributions to our stockholders as required to continue to qualify as a REIT or otherwise.

We are dependent on Kindred in a number of ways:

We lease substantially all of our properties to Kindred under five master leases, and therefore:

Kindred is the primary source of our rental income, accounting for approximately 98.8% of our rental income in 2001; and

since our master leases with Kindred are triple-net leases, we depend on Kindred to pay for insurance, taxes, utilities and maintenance and repair expenses required in connection with the leased properties.

In connection with our spin off of Kindred in 1998, Kindred assumed, and agreed to indemnify us for, the following:

all obligations under third-party leases and third-party contracts, except for those contracts relating to our ownership of our properties;

all losses, including costs and expenses, resulting from future claims and all liabilities that may arise out of the ownership or operation of the healthcare operations either before or after the date of the spin off; and

any claims that were pending at the time of the spin off and that arose out of the ownership or operation of the healthcare operations or were asserted after the spin off and that arise out of the ownership and operation of the healthcare operations or any of the assets or liabilities transferred to Kindred in connection with the spin off.

The failure of Kindred to make three consecutive payments of rent under any of our master leases with them constitutes an event of default under our credit agreement.

We own 920,814 shares of Kindred common stock, which we intend to use to satisfy certain of our obligations.

Although Kindred emerged from bankruptcy on April 20, 2001, there can be no assurance that Kindred will have sufficient assets, income and access to financing and insurance coverage to enable it to satisfy its obligations under its agreements with us. In addition, any failure by Kindred to effectively conduct its operations could have a material adverse effect on its business reputation or on its ability to enlist and maintain patients in its facilities. Any inability or unwillingness on the part of Kindred to satisfy its obligations under its agreements

with us, decrease in the price of Kindred common stock, or failure of Kindred to keep the shelf registration statement effective with respect to the shares of Kindred common stock held by us could significantly harm us and our ability to service our indebtedness and other obligations and to make distributions to our stockholders, as required to continue to qualify as a REIT.

We may be unable to find another lessee or operator for our properties if we have to replace Kindred or our other operators.

We may have to find another lessee/operator for the properties covered by one or more of our master leases with Kindred or our other operators upon the expiration of the terms of the master leases or upon a default by Kindred or our other operators. During any period that we are attempting to locate one or more lessee/operators there could be a decrease or cessation of rental payments by Kindred or our other operators. There can be no assurance that we will be able to locate another suitable lessee/operator or, if we are successful in locating such an operator, that the rental payments from the new operator would not be significantly less than the existing rental payments. Our ability to locate another suitable lessee/operator may be significantly delayed or limited by various state licensing, receivership, certificate-of-need or other laws, as well as by Medicare and Medicaid change-of-ownership rules.

We may encounter certain risks when implementing our business strategy to pursue investments in, and/or acquisitions or development of, healthcare-related or other properties.

We intend to pursue investments in, and/or acquisitions or development of, additional healthcare-related or other properties, subject to the contractual restrictions contained in our indentures and our credit facility, assuming that Kindred s financial condition remains stabilized and we have the financial flexibility at that time to do so. However, we may still encounter certain risks. Acquisitions of and investments in healthcare-related properties entail general investment risks associated with any real estate investments, including risks that investments will fail to perform in accordance with expectations, the estimates of the cost of improvements necessary for acquired properties will prove inaccurate, and the inability of the lessee/operator to meet performance expectations. We do not presently contemplate any development projects, although if we were to pursue new development projects, such projects would be subject to numerous risks, including risks of construction delays or cost overruns that may increase project costs, new project commencement risks such as receipt of zoning, occupancy and other required governmental approvals and permits and the incurrence of development costs in connection with projects that are not pursued to completion. In addition, we may borrow to finance any investments in, and/or acquisition or development of, healthcare-related or other properties, which would increase our leverage.

We may compete for acquisition or investment opportunities with entities that have substantially greater financial resources than we have. Our ability to compete successfully for such opportunities is affected by many factors, including our cost of obtaining debt and equity capital at rates comparable to or better than our competitors. Competition generally may reduce the number of suitable acquisition or investment opportunities available to us and increase the bargaining power of property owners seeking to sell, thereby impeding our acquisitions, investment or development activities.

Even if we are successful at identifying and competing for acquisition or investment opportunities, such opportunities involve a number of risks, including diversion of management s attention, the risk that the value of the properties we acquire or invest in could decrease substantially after such acquisition or investment and the risk that we will not be able to accurately assess the value of properties that are not of the type we currently own, some or all of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operation and liquidity, on our ability to service our indebtedness and our obligations under our settlement with the United States Department of Justice.

Additionally, if we are successful in implementing our business strategy to pursue investments in, and/or acquisitions or development of, healthcare-related properties, we may have numerous operators of our

S-10

properties. Historically, substantially all of our properties have been operated by a single operator, Kindred. There can be no assurance that we would have the capabilities to successfully monitor and manage a portfolio of properties with multiple operators.

We are subject to the risks associated with investment in a single industry: the heavily regulated healthcare industry.

All of our investments are in properties used in the healthcare industry; therefore we are exposed to risks associated with the healthcare industry in particular. The healthcare industry is highly regulated and changes in government regulation have in the past had material adverse consequences on the industry in general, which may not even have been contemplated by lawmakers and regulators. There can be no assurance that future changes in government regulation of healthcare will not have a material adverse effect on the healthcare industry, including our lessees. Moreover, our ability to invest in non-healthcare related properties may be restricted by the terms of our credit facility.

Our tenants, including Kindred, may be adversely affected by increasing healthcare regulation and enforcement.

We believe that the regulatory environment surrounding the long-term care industry has intensified both in the amount and type of regulations and in the efforts to enforce those regulations. This is particularly true for large for-profit, multi-facility providers like Kindred.

The extensive federal, state and local laws and regulations affecting the healthcare industry include, but are not limited to, laws and regulations relating to licensure, conduct of operations, ownership of facilities, addition of facilities and equipment, allowable costs, services, prices for services, quality of care, patient rights, fraudulent or abusive behavior, and financial and other arrangements which may be entered into by healthcare providers. Federal and state governments have intensified enforcement policies, resulting in a significant increase in the number of inspections, citations of regulatory deficiencies and other regulatory sanctions, including terminations from the Medicare and Medicaid programs, bars on Medicare and Medicaid payments for new admissions, civil monetary penalties and even criminal penalties. If Kindred and our other tenants and operators fail to comply with the extensive laws, regulations and other requirements applicable to their businesses, they could become ineligible to receive reimbursement from governmental and private third-party payor programs, suffer civil or criminal penalties or be required to make significant changes to their operations. Kindred and our other tenants also could be forced to expend considerable resources responding to an investigation or other enforcement action under applicable laws or regulations. In addition, as part of the settlement agreement Kindred entered into with the federal government, it agreed to comply with the terms of a corporate integrity agreement. Kindred s failure to comply with the corporate integrity agreement could have a material adverse effect on Kindred s results of operations, financial condition and its ability to make rental payments to us, which, in turn, could significantly harm us and our ability to service our indebtedness and other obligations and to make distributions to our stockholders, as required to continue to qualify as a REIT.

We are unable to predict the future course of federal, state and local regulation or legislation, including the Medicare and Medicaid statutes and regulations. Changes in the regulatory framework could have a material adverse effect on Kindred and our other operators, which, in turn, could significantly harm us and our ability to service our indebtedness and other obligations and to make distributions to our stockholders, as required to continue to qualify as a REIT.

Changes in the reimbursement rates or methods of payment from third-party payors, including the Medicare and Medicaid programs, could have a material adverse effect on our tenants.

Kindred and our other tenants and operators rely on reimbursement from third-party payors, including the Medicare and Medicaid programs, for substantially all of their revenues. Reductions in those reimbursement

S-11

rates could have a material adverse effect on Kindred and our other operators, which, in turn, could significantly harm us and our ability to service our indebtedness and other obligations and to make distributions to our stockholders, as required to continue to qualify as a REIT.

In an effort to reduce federal spending on healthcare, in 1997 the Federal government enacted the Balanced Budget Act, which contained extensive changes to the Medicare and Medicaid programs intended to reduce the projected amount of increase in payments under such programs between 1998 and 2002, and eased impediments on the states ability to reduce their Medicaid reimbursement levels. As a result, substantially all of the large nursing home chains in the country were forced into bankruptcy protection. Although there has been some relief from the effects of the Balanced Budget Act, certain temporary relief provisions implemented after the Balanced Budget Act s enactment expired on September 30, 2002. In addition, long-term acute care hospitals, or LTACs, are now subject to a prospective payment system pursuant to a final rule published by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services on August 30, 2002. Under the LTAC prospective payment system, LTACs are no longer reimbursed through a methodology that reflects the costs incurred by the LTACs. Instead, the LTAC prospective payment system provides a predetermined, per-patient amount to each LTAC upon each patient s discharge. LTACs that have filed cost reports before October 1, 2002 may choose to undergo a gradual 5-year transition to 100% federal prospective payment. Alternatively, such LTACs may exercise a one-time opportunity to transition fully and permanently to the LTAC prospective payment system rate at the beginning of any cost reporting period during the 5-year transition period. During the 5-year transition period, LTACs are paid blended rates combining the old cost-based system and the new LTAC prospective payment system. During a LTAC s 5-year transition period, the percentage representing the LTAC prospective payment system s portion of the blended reimbursement rates progressively increases by 20% each year while the percentage representing the old system's portion of the blended reimbursement rates progressively decreases by 20% each year such that by the fifth year the LTAC is receiving 100% federal prospective payment under the LTAC prospective payment system. The 5-year transition period does not apply to LTACs that have their first cost reporting period beginning on or after October 1, 2002. We cannot predict the impact of the LTAC prospective payment system on our tenants and operators.

There also continue to be state legislative proposals that would impose more limitations on government and private payments to providers of healthcare services such as Kindred. Many states have enacted or are considering enacting measures that are designed to reduce their Medicaid expenditures and to make certain changes to private healthcare insurance. Some states also are considering regulatory changes that include a moratorium on the designation of additional LTACs. There are a number of legislative proposals currently under consideration, including cost caps and the establishment of Medicaid prospective payment systems for nursing centers.

There continue to be various federal and state legislative and regulatory proposals to implement cost-containment measures that limit payments to healthcare providers. In addition, private third-party payors have continued their efforts to control healthcare costs. There can be no assurance that adequate reimbursement levels will be available for services to be provided by Kindred and other tenants which are currently being reimbursed by Medicare, Medicaid or private payors. Significant limits by governmental and private third-party payors on the scope of services reimbursed and on reimbursement rates and fees could have a material adverse effect on the liquidity, financial condition and results of operations of Kindred and our other operators and other tenants, which, in turn, could significantly harm us and our ability to service our indebtedness and other obligations and to make distributions to our stockholders, as required to continue to qualify as a REIT.

Significant legal actions, particularly in the State of Florida, could subject Kindred and our other operators to increased operating costs and substantial uninsured liabilities, which could materially and adversely affect Kindred s and our other operators liquidity, financial condition and results of operation.

Kindred and our other operators have experienced substantial increases in both the number and size of patient care liability claims in recent years. In addition to large compensatory claims, plaintiffs attorneys increasingly are seeking significant punitive damages and attorneys fees. In the State of Florida, where Kindred

S-12

operates 15 of our skilled nursing facilities and six of our hospitals, general liability and professional liability costs for nursing centers have increased substantially and become increasingly difficult to estimate.

Kindred s and our other operators insurance coverage might not cover all claims against them or continue to be available to them at a reasonable cost. If Kindred or our other operators are unable to maintain adequate insurance coverage or are required to pay punitive damages, they may be exposed to substantial liabilities.

Kindred insures its professional liability risks in part through a wholly-owned, limited purpose insurance company. The limited purpose insurance company insures initial losses up to specified coverage levels per occurrence and in the aggregate. Coverage for losses in excess of those levels is maintained through unaffiliated commercial insurance carriers; however, the limited purpose insurance company insures all claims arising in Florida up to a per occurrence limit without the benefit of any aggregate coverage limit through unaffiliated commercial insurance carriers. Kindred maintains general liability insurance and professional malpractice liability insurance in amounts and with deductibles which Kindred management has indicated that it believes are sufficient for its operations.

On October 10, 2002, Kindred announced that it will record a substantial increase in costs related to professional liability claims, primarily claims related to skilled nursing facility operations conducted in Florida. Kindred leases 15 skilled nursing facilities in Florida from us. We believe that under the terms of our leases with Kindred, Kindred is not entitled to abandon the leased properties, reduce the rent, or receive other concessions based on the increases in professional liability costs.

On December 11, 2002, Kindred publicly announced that it had entered into a non-binding letter of intent with Senior Health Management, LLC to transfer the operations of Kindred s 18 skilled nursing facilities in Florida, including the 15 skilled nursing facilities in Florida that Kindred leases from us, and to sublease our 15 facilities to Senior Health Management or its designee. The announcement indicated that consummation of the proposed transaction is subject to a number of material closing conditions, including approval from Kindred s lenders and regulatory and governmental approvals. Kindred stated that the lease payments under the proposed subleases would be equal to the lease payments under the primary leases and that Kindred will remain a primary guarantor under the lease with us.

Based on the information available to us, we believe our consent is required for the proposed sublease of the 15 skilled nursing facilities by Kindred. However, in its December 11, 2002 announcement, Kindred stated, among other things, that it has the ability to sublease 12 of the skilled nursing facilities in Florida without our consent and that our consent cannot be unreasonably withheld on the remaining 3 skilled nursing facilities in Florida. Kindred further stated that if we improperly interfere with the completion of the proposed transaction, it will seek appropriate legal remedies against us as well as damages for the continuing losses it is sustaining with respect to these facilities. We believe that we have the right to consent to the proposed sublease of the 15 skilled nursing facilities in Florida held by Kindred, and intend to defend any legal actions arising out of our withholding of such consent vigorously. However, there can be no assurance as to what the outcome of any such action on the part of Kindred might be or the ultimate effects it might have on our financial condition, results of operations, or the share price of our common stock.

We have been discussing strategic alternatives regarding the skilled nursing facilities in Florida with Kindred, and are currently considering Kindred s proposed transfer of its Florida skilled nursing operations to Senior Health Management and the proposed sublease of the facilities to a designee of Senior Health Management. We currently intend to work with Kindred to permit it to exit the Florida skilled nursing facility market on terms acceptable to us. However, there can be no assurance as to the outcome of our discussions with Kindred or when or if any exit by Kindred from the Florida skilled nursery facility market will occur.

A downgrade of our credit ratings may have a material adverse effect.

We currently have a Ba3 senior debt rating from Moody s Investors Service and a BB- corporate credit rating from Standard & Poor s Rating Services, a division of The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. In October 2002, Moody s placed our credit ratings, including those of Ventas Realty,

S-13

Limited Partnership and our other rated subsidiaries under review for possible downgrade. Factors that may influence a rating agency s determination of our credit rating include, but are not limited to, our success in raising sufficient equity capital, our capital structure, our level of indebtedness and pending or future changes in the regulatory framework applicable to our tenants or our industry. Any downgrade of our credit ratings could make it more difficult or more expensive for us to incur additional indebtedness and adversely affect the value of our common stock. There can be no assurance that our credit ratings will not be downgraded in the future.

Kindred and our other operators may be sued under a federal whistleblower statute.

Kindred and our other operators may be sued under a federal whistleblower statute designed to combat fraud and abuse in the healthcare industry. These lawsuits can involve significant monetary damages and award bounties to private plaintiffs who successfully bring these suits. If any such lawsuits were to be brought against Kindred and our other operators, such suits combined with increased operating costs and substantial uninsured liabilities could have a material adverse effect on the liquidity, financial condition and results of operation of Kindred and our other operators and their ability to make rental payments to us, which, in turn, could significantly harm us and our ability to service our indebtedness and other obligations and to make distributions to our stockholders, as required to continue to qualify as a REIT.

Even though Atria, Inc. has assumed and agreed to repay indebtedness evidenced by bonds that we issued under the spin off of our assisted living operations, we may still be liable for the indebtedness if Atria cannot or does not honor its obligations.

We have issued bonds to residents of an assisted living facility that we own and lease to (and is operated by) Atria, Inc. Proceeds from the bonds are paid to and utilized by Atria. The obligation to repay the bonds is secured by a mortgage and trust indenture that encumbers (among other properties) the assisted living facility. Currently, based solely upon information obtained from Atria, the bonds evidence an aggregate principal amount of indebtedness of approximately \$29.4 million. In connection with our spin off of our assisted living operations and related assets and liabilities to Atria in 1996, Atria assumed and agreed to repay the indebtedness and to indemnify and hold us harmless from and against all amounts we may be obligated to pay under the mortgage and trust indenture, including the obligation to repay the bonds. We may remain the primary obligor under the bonds and the mortgage and trust indenture. If Atria is unable to or does not satisfy these obligations, we may be liable for these obligations. There can be no assurance that Atria will have sufficient means to enable it to satisfy its obligations or will continue to honor those obligations under the mortgage and trust indenture and the bonds. However, we believe that Atria s failure to satisfy its obligations would, subject to any applicable defenses available to Atria, allow us to terminate the lease between us and Atria, repossesses the property, and exercise all other available remedies under the lease between us and Atria. Our payment or performance of these obligations could significantly harm us and our ability to service our indebtedness and other obligations and to make distributions to our stockholders, as required to continue to qualify as a REIT. We are currently engaged in efforts to have ourselves released from liability under the bonds and the mortgage and trust indenture. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in our attempts to be released from this potential liability. A lawsuit is pending against us wherein Atria is seeking, among other things, a declaration that Atria s indemnity obligation in favor of us relative to the bonds is void and unenforceable.

If any of our properties are found to be contaminated, or if we become involved in any environmental disputes, we could incur substantial liabilities and costs.

Under federal and state environmental laws and regulations, a current or former owner of real property may be liable for costs related to the investigation, removal and remediation of hazardous or toxic substances or

S-14

petroleum that are released from or are present at or under, or that are disposed of in connection with such property. Owners of real property may also face other environmental liabilities, including government fines and penalties imposed by regulatory authorities and damages for injuries to persons, property or natural resources. Environmental laws and regulations often impose liability without regard to whether the owner was aware of, or was responsible for, the presence, release or disposal of hazardous or toxic substances or petroleum. In certain circumstances, environmental liability may result from the activities of a current or former operator of the property. While we are generally indemnified by the current operators of our properties for contamination caused by such operators, such indemnities may not adequately cover all environmental costs.

Risks Arising from Our Capital Structure

We are highly leveraged.

As of November 29, 2002, we had approximately \$897 million of indebtedness, approximately an additional \$47 million of obligations under a settlement we entered into with the United States Department of Justice, and approximately \$31 million in additional borrowings available under our credit facility. Our indentures permit us to incur substantial additional debt, and we may borrow additional funds, which may include secured borrowings. A high level of indebtedness may have the following consequences:

the requirement that a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations must be dedicated to the payment of debt service, thus reducing the funds available for our business strategy and for distributions to stockholders;

potential limits on our ability to adjust rapidly to changing market conditions and vulnerability in the event of a downturn in general economic conditions or in the real estate and/or healthcare sectors;

a potential impairment of our ability to obtain additional financing for our business strategy; and

a downgrade in the rating of our debt securities by one or more rating agencies which could have the effect of, among other things, increasing the cost of our borrowing.

After this offering, we may be unable to raise additional capital necessary to implement our business plan and to meet our debt payments and our obligations under the settlement we entered into with the United States Department of Justice.

In order to implement our business plan and to meet our debt payments and our obligations under the settlement we entered into with the United States Department of Justice, we may need to raise additional capital after this offering is consummated. Our ability to incur additional indebtedness is restricted by the terms of our indentures and our credit facility. In addition, adverse economic conditions could cause the terms on which we can obtain additional borrowings to become unfavorable. In such circumstances, we may be required to raise additional equity in the capital markets or liquidate one or more investments in properties at times that may not permit realization of the maximum return on the investments and that could result in adverse tax consequences to us. In addition, certain healthcare regulations may constrain our ability to sell assets. There can be no assurance that we will be able to meet our debt service obligations or our obligations under the settlement we entered into with the United States Department of Justice and the failure to do so could significantly harm us and our ability to service our indebtedness and other obligations and to make distributions to our stockholders, as required to continue to qualify as a REIT.

One of our interest rate swap agreements may obligate us to post collateral which could negatively impact our liquidity and access to financing.

The terms of our interest rate swap agreement entered into at the time of our spin off of Kindred in 1998, or the 1998 Swap, require that we make a cash payment or otherwise post collateral to the other party to the 1998 Swap if the fair value loss to us exceeds specified threshold levels. Under the 1998 Swap, if collateral must be posted, the amount of that collateral must equal the difference between the fair value unrealized loss of the 1998 Swap at the time of such determination and the threshold amount. The posting of collateral under the 1998 Swap could negatively impact our liquidity and access to financing. There can be no assurance that we will

S-15

have sufficient assets, income and access to financing to enable us to post collateral if required to do so under the 1998 Swap. Failure to post collateral under the terms of the 1998 Swap could significantly harm us and our ability to service our indebtedness and other obligations and to make distributions to our stockholders, as required to continue to qualify as a REIT.

The 1998 Swap is scheduled to terminate on June 30, 2003. We have entered into a second interest rate swap agreement to hedge our existing floating-rate debt for the period between July 1, 2003 and June 30, 2008 that does not require us to post collateral. However, there can be no assurance that any other swap agreements we may enter into, including swap agreements to hedge any additional floating-rate debt we may assume prior to or after June 30, 2008, will not require us to post collateral.

We hedge floating-rate debt with interest rate swaps and may record charges associated with the termination or change in value of these interest-swaps.

We have interest rate swaps that hedge interest payment obligations on floating-rate debt. We periodically assess our interest rate swaps in relation to our outstanding balances of floating-rate debt, and based on such assessments may terminate portions of our swaps or enter into additional swaps. Termination of swaps with accrued losses, or changes in the value of swaps as a result of falling interest rates, would result in changes to our earnings and net worth, which could be significant.

Risks Arising from our Status as a REIT

Loss of our status as a REIT would have significant adverse consequences to us and the value of our common stock.

If we lose our status as a REIT, we will face serious tax consequences that will substantially reduce the funds available for distribution to our stockholders for each of the years involved because:

we would not be allowed a deduction for distributions to stockholders in computing our taxable income and would be subject to federal income tax at regular corporate rates;

we also could be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax and possibly increased state and local taxes; and

unless we are entitled to relief under statutory provisions, we could not elect to be subject to tax as a REIT for four taxable years following the year during which we were disqualified.

In addition, if we fail to qualify as a REIT, all distributions to stockholders would be subject to tax as ordinary income (but corporate distributees may be eligible for the dividends received deduction) to the extent of our current and accumulated earnings and profits, we will not be required to make distributions to stockholders.

As a result of all these factors, our failure to qualify as a REIT also could impair our ability to implement our business strategy and would adversely affect the value of our common stock.

Qualification as a REIT involves the application of highly technical and complex Internal Revenue Code provisions for which there are only limited judicial and administrative interpretations. The determination of various factual matters and circumstances not entirely within our control may affect our ability to remain qualified as a REIT. In addition, new legislation, regulations, administrative interpretations or court decisions may adversely affect our investors or our ability to remain qualified as a REIT for tax purposes. Although we believe that we qualify as a REIT, there can be no assurance that we will continue to qualify or remain qualified as a REIT for tax purposes.

The 90% distribution requirement will decrease our liquidity and may limit our ability to engage in otherwise beneficial transactions.

To comply with the 90% distribution requirement applicable to REITs and to avoid the nondeductible excise tax, we must make distributions to our stockholders. The terms of our indentures permit us to make annual

S-16

distributions to our stockholders in an amount equal to the minimum amount necessary to maintain our REIT status so long as our ratio of Debt to Adjusted Total Assets does not exceed 60% and to make additional distributions if we pass certain other financial tests.

Although we anticipate that we generally will have sufficient cash or liquid assets to enable us to satisfy the REIT distribution requirement, it is possible that from time to time we may not have sufficient cash or other liquid assets to meet the 90% distribution requirement or to distribute such greater amount as may be necessary to avoid income and excise taxation. This may be due to the timing differences between the actual receipt of income and actual payment of deductible expenses on the one hand and the inclusion of that income and deduction of those expenses in arriving at our taxable income. In addition, nondeductible expenses such as principal amortization or repayments or capital expenditures in excess of noncash deductions may also cause us to fail to have sufficient cash or liquid assets to enable us to satisfy the 90% distribution requirement.

These distributions may limit our ability to rely upon rental payments from our properties or subsequently acquired properties to finance investments, acquisitions or new developments.

In the event that timing differences or other cash needs occur, we may find it necessary to borrow funds, issue additional equity securities (although there can be no assurance that we will be able to do so), pay taxable stock dividends, if possible, distribute other property or securities (including Kindred common stock) or engage in a transaction intended to enable us to meet the REIT distribution requirements. This may require us to raise additional capital to meet our obligations; however, see Risk Factors Risks Arising from our Capital Structure We may be unable to raise additional capital necessary to implement our business plan and to meet our debt payments and our obligations under the settlement we entered into with the United States Department of Justice. The terms of our indentures and our credit facility restrict our ability to engage in some of these transactions.

We may still be subject to corporate level taxes.

Following our REIT election, we are considered to be a former C corporation for income tax purposes. Therefore, potentially, we remain subject to corporate level taxes for any asset dispositions occurring between January 1, 1999 and December 31, 2008. The Internal Revenue Service is currently reviewing our federal tax returns for tax years ended December 31, 1997 and 1998 and may also review our federal tax returns for subsequent years. On September 25, 2002, we agreed to the IRS Revenue Agent s report quantifying the examination findings in connection with the 1997 and 1998 income tax periods. This report concludes that pending final review by the Joint Committee of Taxation, we do not owe any additional taxes, and are entitled to an additional refund of \$1.2 million, for the period in question, which \$1.2 million would be deposited into a joint tax escrow account between us and Kindred. Until the review of the Joint Committee of Taxation is final, however, there can be no assurance as to the ultimate outcome of these matters or whether that outcome will significantly harm us and our ability to service our indebtedness and other obligations and to make distributions to our stockholders, as required to continue to qualify as a REIT.

However, if there are any resulting tax liabilities for the tax years ended December 31, 1997 and 1998, we intend to use the net operating loss, or NOL, carryforwards, if any (including the NOL carryforwards that were utilized to offset our federal income tax liability for 1999 and 2000), to satisfy those tax liabilities. If the tax liabilities exceed the amount of NOL carryforwards, then we will use the escrowed amounts under the tax refund escrow agreement we entered into when Kindred emerged from bankruptcy to satisfy the remaining tax liabilities. As of November 29, 2002, \$29 million was escrowed under the tax liability escrow agreement. To the extent that NOL carryforwards and escrowed amounts are not sufficient to satisfy the tax liabilities, Kindred has indemnified us for specific tax liabilities and Kindred has assumed these obligations under the tax refund escrow agreement. There can be no assurance that the NOL carryforwards and the escrowed amounts will be sufficient to satisfy these liabilities, that Kindred has any obligation to indemnify us for particular tax liabilities, that Kindred will have sufficient financial means to enable it to satisfy its indemnity obligations under such tax refund escrow agreement or that Kindred will continue to honor its indemnification obligations.

S-17

We may jeopardize our REIT status if we violate the 10% securities test or the 5% asset test because of the value of the Kindred common stock.

We lease substantially all of our properties to Kindred and Kindred is the primary source of our rental income. Under Kindred s plan of reorganization, we received 1,498,500 shares of Kindred common stock on April 20, 2001 as future rent. We sold 83,300 of those shares of Kindred common stock on November 14, 2001 through an underwritten offering, and distributed 334,886 shares of Kindred common stock as part of the 2001 dividend. During the nine months ended September 30, 2002, we disposed of a total of 159,500 shares of Kindred common stock. Consequently, as of November 29, 2002 we owned 920,814 shares of Kindred common stock. If we violated or violate the 10% securities test described below under the heading Material United States Federal Income Taxation Considerations, Kindred would be a related party tenant and consequently, the rents from Kindred would not qualify as rents from real property under the tax code. As a result, we would lose our REIT status because we likely would not be able to satisfy either the 75% or the 95% gross income test also described below under the heading Material United States Federal Income Taxation Considerations.

In addition, if our shares of Kindred common stock exceed 10% of the voting power or value of Kindred s outstanding stock or if the value of our shares of Kindred common stock exceeds 5% of the value of our total assets at the end of the quarter in which we received the Kindred common stock or at the end of any subsequent quarter (except where such excess in subsequent quarters is caused by value fluctuations of our various investments and not by the acquisition or disposition of assets), we would violate the 10% securities test or the 5% asset test. Consequently, we would lose our REIT status unless we cured the violation in a timely manner under the applicable provisions of the Code. There can be no assurance that relief for such a violation would be available. See Material United States Federal Income Taxation Considerations.

S-18

USE OF PROCEEDS

We estimate that we will receive approximately \$99.0 million in gross proceeds from this offering (\$93.1 million in net proceeds, after deducting approximately \$5.9 million in underwriting discount and our estimated net expenses), based upon a public offering price of \$11.00 per share.

We intend to use the net proceeds from this offering to reduce the amounts outstanding under the revolving line of credit portion of our credit facility and/or retire a portion of our long-term indebtedness. We may invest the proceeds of the offering in short-term investments pending such application of the net proceeds.

Our credit facility is secured by liens on certain of our properties and consists of a \$60 million term loan and a \$290 million revolving line of credit. Borrowings under the revolving line of credit currently bear interest at a spread of 2.75% over LIBOR per annum, although the credit facility offers us other interest rate options, and must be repaid in full by April 17, 2005. As of November 29, 2002, our revolving line of credit had an outstanding balance of \$214 million with an effective interest rate of 4.42% per annum, excluding the effect of the related interest rate swap agreement.

Our senior notes consist of \$175 million in aggregate principal amount of unsecured senior notes due May 1, 2009 bearing interest at 83/4% per annum and \$225 million in aggregate principal amount of unsecured senior notes due May 1, 2012 bearing interest at 9% per annum.

We entered into our current credit facility on April 17, 2002 and concurrently completed the offering of our senior notes. We used borrowings under our current credit facility and the net proceeds of the senior note offering to repay all outstanding indebtedness under our prior credit facility and to pay breakage costs for the partial termination of the associated interest rate swap agreement.

The estimated proceeds shown above do not reflect the underwriters—exercise of their over-allotment option. If the underwriters exercise their over-allotment option in full, we will receive additional estimated net proceeds of approximately \$8.6 million based on a public offering price \$11.00 per share.

We will not receive any of the proceeds from the sale of common stock by the selling stockholders.

S-19

CAPITALIZATION

The following table shows our capitalization:

on an actual basis:

on an as adjusted basis to give effect to our transaction with THI described under Prospectus Supplement Summary Recent Developments, as if that transaction had been completed on September 30, 2002; and

on an as adjusted basis to give effect to our transaction with THI and the sale of the common stock offered by this prospectus supplement based upon a public offering price of \$11.00 per share and underwriting discount and estimated net offering expenses of approximately \$5.9 million and the use of \$93.1 million of estimated net proceeds for the purposes described under Use of Proceeds above, as if these transactions had been completed on September 30, 2002.

As of September 30, 2002

You should read this table in conjunction with our historical consolidated financial statements and the other financial and statistical information that are included or incorporated by reference in this prospectus supplement and the accompanying prospectus.

	As of September 30, 2002				
	Actual	As Adjusted for THI Transaction ⁽¹⁾	As Adjusted for THI Transaction ⁽¹⁾ and this Offering (unaudited)		
	(dollars in	thousands)			
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$ 4,121	\$ 4,121	\$ 4,121		
Debt					
Term loan	\$ 59,850	\$ 59,850	\$ 59,850		
CMBS loan	223,274	223,274	223,274		
Other long-term debt (2)	502,800	620,700	527,640		
Total debt	785,924	903,824	810,764		
Stockholders Equity					
Preferred stock, 10,000,000 shares authorized, no shares issued and outstanding					
Common stock and paid in capital, \$.25 par value per share, 180,000,000 shares					
authorized, 69,668,026, 69,668,026 and 78,668,026 shares issued and outstanding	123,717	123,717	216,777		
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(10,232)	(10,232)	(10,232)		
Retained earnings (deficit)	(127, 126)	(127,126)	(127, 126)		
Other	(1,155)	(1,155)	(1,155)		
Treasury stock	(110,829)	(110,829)	(110,829)		
Total stockholders equity (deficit)	(125,625)	(125,625)	(32,565)		
		-			
Total Capitalization	\$ 660,299	\$ 778,199	\$ 778,199		

⁽¹⁾ On November 5, 2002, we completed the THI transaction. The THI transaction was funded through additional borrowings under the revolving line of credit portion of our credit facility. The net amount funded is computed as follows:

Gross Transaction \$	120,000
Origination Fees Collected	(2,100)
<u>-</u>	
Net Amount Funded \$	117,900

⁽²⁾ Includes the revolving line of credit portion of our credit facility and our senior notes.

Edgar Filing: VENTAS INC - Form 424B4

S-20

PRICE RANGE OF OUR COMMON STOCK AND DISTRIBUTIONS

Our common stock is listed and traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol VTR. The following table sets forth the high and low sale prices for the indicated periods, as reported by the New York Stock Exchange and distributions declared per share of our common stock.

	High Low		Distributions			
			Low	Declared Per Share		
2000						
First Quarter	\$	4.25	\$	2.6875	\$	
Second Quarter	\$	4.25	\$	3.125	\$	
Third Quarter	\$:	5.8125	\$	3.25	\$	0.62
Fourth Quarter 2001	\$	5.75	\$	4.3125	\$	0.29
First Quarter	\$	8.62	\$	5.5625	\$	0.22
Second Quarter	\$	11.02	\$	8.50	\$	0.22
Third Quarter	\$	12.85	\$	10.14	\$	0.22
Fourth Quarter 2002	\$	12.80	\$	10.75	\$	0.26(1)
First Quarter	\$	13.00	\$	11.35	\$	0.2375
Second Quarter	\$	13.60	\$	12.59	\$	0.2375
Third Quarter	\$	13.46	\$	11.25	\$	0.2375
Fourth Quarter (through December 16, 2002)	\$	13.76	\$	10.06	\$	0.2375(2)

⁽¹⁾ Our fourth quarter 2001 distribution of \$0.26 per share was paid in a combination of cash and shares of Kindred common stock. For every 200 shares of our common stock, stockholders received one share of Kindred common stock and \$0.98 in cash. For purposes of this distribution, the Kindred common stock was valued on December 31, 2001 at \$51.02 per share.

The reported last sale price per share for our common stock on the New York Stock Exchange on December 16, 2002 was \$11.20 per share.

For a description of our common stock, see Description of Our Common Stock and Description of Outstanding Capital Stock in the accompanying prospectus and our restated certificate of incorporation, which is incorporated by reference herein.

DIVIDEND POLICY

We intend to distribute at least 100% of our taxable net income to our stockholders. We expect dividends will be paid quarterly, in cash, although we reserve the right to pay dividends by distributing a combination of cash and other property or securities, including Kindred common stock. A number of factors are considered by our Board of Directors when making the final determination regarding the frequency and amount of our dividends. Therefore, there can be no assurance that we will maintain this distribution policy or that our ability to pay dividends will not be limited by the terms of our credit agreement.

⁽²⁾ Our Board of Directors has declared a regular quarterly dividend of \$0.2375 per share of common stock payable in cash on January 3, 2003 to stockholders of record on December 17, 2002. Purchasers of shares of common stock in this offering will not receive the January 3, 2003 dividend on shares purchased in this offering.

MATERIAL UNITED STATES FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSIDERATIONS

The following is a general discussion of certain United States federal income tax considerations relevant to holders of our common stock. This discussion is based upon the Internal Revenue Code of 1996 (the Code), Treasury Regulations, Internal Revenue Service (IRS) rulings, and judicial decisions now in effect, all of which are subject to change (possibly with retroactive effect) or different interpretations.

This discussion does not deal with all aspects of United States federal income taxation that may be important to holders of our common stock, and does not deal with tax consequences arising under the laws of any foreign, state or local jurisdiction. This discussion is for general information only, and does not purport to address all tax consequences that may be important to particular purchasers in light of their personal circumstances, or to certain types of purchasers (such as certain financial institutions, insurance companies, tax-exempt entities, dealers in securities or persons who hold our common stock in connection with a straddle, hedge, conversion transaction or any similar or hybrid financial instrument) that may be subject to special rules.

PROSPECTIVE PURCHASERS ARE URGED TO CONSULT THEIR OWN TAX ADVISORS REGARDING THE FEDERAL, STATE, LOCAL AND FOREIGN TAX CONSEQUENCES OF THEIR PURCHASE, OWNERSHIP AND DISPOSITION OF OUR COMMON STOCK AND THE EFFECT THAT THEIR PARTICULAR CIRCUMSTANCES MAY HAVE ON SUCH TAX CONSEQUENCES.

Taxation of U.S. Stockholders

As used herein, the term U.S. Stockholder means a holder of our common stock that for U.S. federal income tax purposes is (i) a citizen or resident of the United States, (ii) a corporation, partnership or other entity created or organized in or under the laws of the United States or of any political subdivision thereof, (iii) an estate whose income from sources without the United States is includible in gross income for U.S. federal income tax purposes regardless of its connection with the conduct of a trade or business within the United States or (iv) any trust with respect to which (A) a U.S. court is able to exercise primary supervision over the administration of such trust and (B) one or more U.S. persons have the authority to control all substantial decisions of the trust.

So long as we qualify as a REIT, distributions made to our taxable U.S. Stockholders out of current or accumulated earnings and profits (and not designated as capital gain dividends) will be taken into account by such U.S. Stockholders as ordinary income and will not be eligible for the dividends received deduction generally available to corporations. Distributions that are designated as capital gain dividends will be taxed as a capital gain (to the extent such distributions do not exceed our actual net capital gain for the taxable year) without regard to the period for which the stockholder has held its shares. The tax rates applicable to such capital gains are discussed below. Distributions in excess of current and accumulated earnings and profits will not be taxable to a stockholder to the extent that they do not exceed the adjusted basis of the stockholder s shares, but rather will reduce the adjusted basis of such shares. To the extent that distributions in excess of current and accumulated earnings and profits exceed the adjusted basis of a stockholder s shares, such distributions will be included in income as capital gains assuming the shares are capital assets in the hands of the stockholder. The tax rate applicable to such capital gain will depend on the stockholder s holding period for the shares. In addition, any distribution we declare in October, November or December of any year and that is payable to a stockholder of record on a specified date in any such month shall be treated as both paid by us and received by the stockholder on December 31 of such year, provided that we actually pay the distribution during January of the following calendar year.

If we should become a closely held REIT, any person owning at least 10% (by vote or value) of our stock is required to accelerate the recognition of year-end dividends attributable to us, for purposes of such person s estimated tax payments. A closely held REIT is defined as one in which at least 50% (by vote or value) is owned by five or fewer persons. Attribution rules apply to determine ownership.

S-22

We may elect to treat all or a part of our undistributed net capital gain as if it had been distributed to our stockholders (including for purposes of the 4% excise tax discussed below under Requirements for Qualification Annual Distribution Requirements). If we should make such an election, our stockholders would be required to include in their income as long-term capital gain their proportionate share of our undistributed net capital gain, as we designate. Each such stockholder would be deemed to have paid its proportionate share of income tax imposed on us with respect to such undistributed net capital gain, and this amount would be credited or refunded to the stockholder. In addition, the tax basis of the stockholder s shares would be increased by its proportionate share of undistributed net capital gains included in its income, less its proportionate share of the income tax imposed on us with respect to such gains.

Stockholders may not include in their individual income tax returns any of our net operating losses or capital losses. Instead, we would carry over such losses for potential offset against our future income (subject to certain limitations). Our taxable distributions and gain from the disposition of our common stock will not be treated as passive activity income and, therefore, stockholders generally will not be able to apply any passive activity losses (such as losses from certain types of limited partnerships in which the stockholder is a limited partner) against such income. In addition, our taxable distributions generally will be treated as investment income for purposes of the investment interest limitations. Capital gains from the disposition of the shares (or distributions treated as such) will be treated as investment income and taxed at ordinary income rates only if the stockholder so elects. We will notify stockholders after the close of our taxable year as to the portions of the distributions attributable to that year that constitute ordinary income, return of capital and capital gain.

In general, any gain or loss realized upon a taxable disposition of the common stock by a stockholder who is not a dealer in securities will be treated as capital gain or loss. Lower marginal tax rates for individuals may apply in the case of capital gains, depending on the holding period of the shares that are sold. However, any loss upon a sale or exchange of shares by a stockholder who has held such shares for six months or less (after applying certain holding period rules) will be treated as a long-term capital loss to the extent of our distributions required to be treated by such stockholder as long-term capital gain. All or a portion of any loss realized upon a taxable disposition of shares may be disallowed if other shares are purchased within 30 days before or after the disposition.

For non-corporate taxpayers, the tax rate differential between capital gain and ordinary income may be significant. The highest marginal individual income tax rate applicable to ordinary income is 38.6% for 2002 and 2003. Any capital gain generally will be taxed to a non-corporate taxpayer at a maximum rate of 20% with respect to capital assets held for more than one year. The tax rates applicable to ordinary income apply to gain attributable to the sale or exchange of capital assets held for one year or less. In the case of capital gain attributable to the sale or exchange of certain real property held for more than one year, an amount of such gain equal to the amount of all prior depreciation deductions not otherwise required to be taxed as ordinary depreciation recapture income will be taxed at a maximum rate of 25%. With respect to distributions designated by a REIT as capital gain dividends (including deemed distributions of retained capital gains), the REIT also may designate (subject to certain limits) whether the dividend is taxable to non-corporate stockholders as a 20% rate gain distribution or an unrecaptured depreciation distribution taxed at a 25% rate.

The characterization of income as capital or ordinary may affect the deductibility of capital losses. Capital losses not offset by capital gains may be deducted against a non-corporate taxpayer s ordinary income only up to a maximum annual amount of \$3,000. Non-corporate taxpayers may carry forward their unused capital losses. All net capital gain of a corporate taxpayer is subject to tax at ordinary corporate rates. A corporate taxpayer can deduct capital losses only to the extent of capital gains, with unused losses being carried back three years and forward five years.

S-23

Treatment of Tax-Exempt Stockholders

Tax-exempt organizations, including qualified employee pension and profit sharing trusts and individual retirement accounts (collectively, Exempt Organizations), generally are exempt from federal income taxation. However, they are subject to taxation on their unrelated business taxable income (UBTI). While many investments in real estate generate UBTI, the IRS has issued a published ruling that dividend distributions by a REIT to an exempt employee pension trust do not constitute UBTI, provided that the shares of the REIT are not otherwise used in an unrelated trade or business of the exempt employee pension trust. Based on that ruling, and subject to the exceptions discussed below, amounts we distribute to Exempt Organizations generally should not constitute UBTI. However, if an Exempt Organization finances its acquisition of the common stock with debt, a portion of its income from us will constitute UBTI pursuant to the debt-financed property rules. Furthermore, social clubs, voluntary employee benefit associations, supplemental unemployment benefit trusts and qualified group legal services plans that are exempt from taxation under paragraphs (7), (9), (17) and (20), respectively, of Section 50l(c) of the Code are subject to different UBTI rules, which generally will require them to characterize distributions from us as UBTI. In addition, in certain circumstances, a pension trust that owns more than 10% of our stock is required to treat a percentage of the dividends from us as UBTI (the UBTI Percentage). The UBTI Percentage is the gross income, less related direct expenses we derive from an unrelated trade or business (determined as if we were a pension trust) divided by our gross income, less related direct expenses, for the year in which the dividends are paid. The UBTI rule applies to a pension trust holding more than 10% of our stock only if (i) the UBTI Percentage is at least 5%, (ii) we qualify as a REIT by reason of the modification of the 5/50 Rule (as defined below) that allows the beneficiaries of the pension trust to be treated as holding our shares in proportion to their actuarial interests in the pension trust and (iii) either (A) one pension trust owns more than 25% of the value of our stock or (B) a group of pension trusts individually holding more than 10% of the value of our stock collectively own more than 50% of the value of our stock.

Special Tax Considerations for Non-U.S. Stockholders

The rules governing U.S. federal income taxation of nonresident alien individuals, foreign corporations, foreign partnerships and other foreign stockholders (collectively, Non-U.S. Stockholders) are complex, and no attempt will be made herein to provide more than a summary of such rules. Non-U.S. stockholders should consult with their own tax advisors to determine the impact of federal, state and local income tax laws with regard to their ownership of the common stock, including any reporting requirements.

For purposes of this discussion, the term Non-U.S. Stockholder does not include any foreign stockholder whose investment in our stock is effectively connected with the conduct of a trade or business in the United States. Such a foreign stockholder, in general, will be subject to United States federal income tax with respect to its investment in our stock in the same manner as a U.S. Stockholder is taxed (subject to a special alternative minimum tax in the case of nonresident alien individuals). In addition, a foreign corporation receiving income that is treated as effectively connected with a U.S. trade or business also may be subject to an additional 30% branch profits tax, unless an applicable tax treaty provides a lower rate or an exemption. Certain certification requirements must be satisfied in order for effectively connected income to be exempt from withholding.

Distributions to Non-U.S. Stockholders that are not attributable to gain from sales or exchanges of U.S. real property interests and are not designated by us as capital gain dividends (or deemed distributions of retained capital gains) will be treated as dividends of ordinary income to the extent that they are made out of our current or accumulated earnings and profits. Such distributions ordinarily will be subject to a withholding tax equal to 30% of the gross amount of the distribution unless an applicable tax treaty reduces or eliminates that tax. Distributions in excess of our current and accumulated earnings and profits will not be taxable to a stockholder to the extent that such distributions do not exceed the adjusted basis of the stockholder s shares, but rather will reduce the adjusted basis of such shares. To the extent that distributions in excess of current and accumulated earnings and profits exceed the adjusted basis of a Non-U.S. Stockholder s shares, such distributions will give rise to tax liability if the Non-U.S. Stockholder would otherwise be subject to tax on any gain from the sale or disposition of its shares, as described below.

S-24

For any year in which we qualify as a REIT, distributions that are attributable to our gain from sales or exchanges of U.S. real property interests will be taxed to a Non-U.S. Stockholder under the provisions of the Foreign Investment in Real Property Tax Act of 1980 (FIRPTA). Under FIRPTA, distributions attributable to gain from sales of U.S. real property interests are taxed to a Non-U.S. Stockholder as if such gain were effectively connected with a U.S. business. Non-U.S. Stockholders thus would be taxed at the normal capital gain rates applicable to U.S. Stockholders (subject to a special alternative minimum tax in the case of nonresident alien individuals). Distributions subject to FIRPTA also may be subject to 30% branch profits tax in the hands of a foreign corporate stockholder not entitled to treaty relief or exemption.

Unless a reduced rate of withholding applies under an applicable tax treaty, we generally will withhold from distributions to Non-U.S. Stockholders, and remit to the IRS, 30% of all distributions out of current or accumulated earnings and profits, subject to the application of FIRPTA withholding rules discussed below. In addition, we are required to withhold 10% of any distribution in excess of our current and accumulated earnings and profits. Because we generally cannot determine at the time a distribution is made whether or not it will be in excess of earnings and profits, we intend to withhold 30% of the entire amount of any distribution (other than distributions subject to the 35% withholding discussed below). Generally, however, a Non-U.S. Stockholder will be entitled to a refund from the IRS to the extent an amount is withheld from a distribution that exceeds the amount of U.S. tax owed by such Non-U.S. Stockholder.

Under FIRPTA, we are required to withhold 35% of any distribution that is designated as a capital gain dividend or which could be designated as a capital gain dividend. Thus, if we designate previously made distributions as capital gain dividends, subsequent distributions (up to the amount of such prior distributions) will be treated as capital gain dividends for purposes of FIRPTA withholding.

Under current regulations, dividends paid to an address in a country outside the United States generally are presumed to be paid to a resident of such country for purposes of determining the applicability of withholding discussed above and the applicability of a tax treaty rate. A Non-U.S. Stockholder who wishes to claim the benefit of an applicable treaty rate must satisfy certain certification and other requirements under the applicable regulations for distributions made after December 31, 2001.

For so long as the common stock continues to be regularly traded on an established securities market, the sale of such stock by any Non-U.S. Stockholder who is not a Five Percent Non-U.S. Stockholder (as defined below) generally will not be subject to United States federal income tax (unless the Non-U.S. Stockholder is a nonresident alien individual who was present in the United States for more than 182 days during the taxable year of the sale and certain other conditions apply, in which case such gain will be subject to a 30% tax on a gross basis). A Five Percent Non-U.S. Stockholder is a Non-U.S. Stockholder who, at some time during the five-year period preceding such sale or disposition, beneficially owned (including under certain attribution rules) more than 5% of the total fair market value of our common stock (as outstanding from time to time) or owned shares of another class of our stock that represented value greater than 5% of the common stock (measured at the time such shares were acquired).

In general, the sale or other taxable disposition of the common stock by a Five Percent Non-U.S. Stockholder also will not be subject to United States federal income tax if we are a domestically controlled REIT. A REIT is a domestically controlled REIT if, at all times during the five-year period preceding the relevant testing date, less than 50% in value of its shares is held directly or indirectly by Non-U.S. Stockholders (taking into account those persons required to include our dividends in income for United States federal income tax purposes). Although we believe that we currently qualify as a domestically controlled REIT, because our common stock is publicly traded, no assurance can be given that we will qualify as a domestically controlled REIT at any time in the future. If we do not constitute a domestically controlled REIT, a Five Percent Non-U.S. Stockholder will be taxable in the same manner as a U.S. Stockholder with respect to gain on the sale of the common stock (subject to applicable alternative minimum tax and a special alternative minimum tax in the case of nonresident alien individuals).

S-25

Information Reporting Requirements and Backup Withholding Tax

We will report to our U.S. Stockholders and to the IRS the amount of distributions paid during each calendar year and distributions required to be treated as so paid during a calendar year, and the amount of tax withheld, if any. Under the backup withholding rules, a stockholder may be subject to backup withholding at the applicable rate (30% beginning January 1, 2002) with respect to distributions paid unless such holder (i) is a corporation or comes within certain other exempt categories and, when required, demonstrates this fact or (ii) provides a taxpayer identification number, certifies as to no loss of exemption from backup withholding and otherwise complies with the applicable requirements of the backup withholding rules. A stockholder who does not provide us with its correct taxpayer identification number also may be subject to penalties imposed by the IRS. In addition, we may be required to withhold a portion of capital gain distributions to any stockholders who fail to certify to us their non-foreign status.

U.S. Stockholders should consult their own tax advisors regarding their qualifications for an exemption from backup withholding and the procedure for obtaining such an exemption. Backup withholding is not an additional tax. Rather, the amount of any backup withholding with respect to a payment to a U.S. Stockholder will be allowed as a credit against the U.S. Stockholder s United States federal income tax liability and may entitle the U.S. Stockholder to a refund, provided that the required information is furnished to the IRS.

Backup withholding tax and information reporting generally will not apply to distributions paid to Non-U.S. Stockholders outside the United States that are treated as (i) dividends subject to the 30% (or lower treaty rate) withholding tax discussed above, (ii) capital gain dividends or (iii) distributions attributable to our gain from the sale or exchange of U.S. real property interests. As a general matter, backup withholding and information reporting will not apply to a payment of the proceeds of a sale of the common stock by or through a foreign office of a foreign broker. Information reporting (but not backup withholding) will apply, however, to a payment of the proceeds of a sale of the common stock by a foreign office of a broker that (i) is a United States person, (ii) derives 50% or more of its gross income for certain periods from the conduct of a trade or business in the United States, or (iii) is a controlled foreign corporation for United States tax purposes, unless the broker has documentary evidence in its records that the holder is a Non-U.S. Stockholder and certain other conditions are satisfied, or the stockholder otherwise establishes an exemption. Payment to or through a United States office of a broker of the proceeds of a sale of the common stock is subject to both backup withholding and information reporting unless the stockholder certifies under penalties of perjury that the stockholder is a Non-U.S. Stockholder or otherwise establishes an exemption. A Non-U.S. Stockholder may obtain a refund of any amounts withheld under the backup withholding rules by filing the appropriate claim for a refund with the IRS.

The final regulations issued by the Treasury Department in 1997, as corrected in 2000 and 2001 became effective January 1, 2001. In addition, the Treasury Department issued Temporary Regulations in January 2002, which together with the final regulations govern the withholding of tax and information reporting for certain amounts paid to non-resident alien individuals and foreign corporations. Stockholders should consult their own tax advisors concerning the impact, if any, of these new regulations on their ownership of shares of the common stock.

Other Tax Considerations

We, along with our stockholders, may be subject to state and local tax in states and localities in which we do business or own property. Our tax treatment and the tax treatment of our stockholders in such jurisdictions may differ from the federal income treatment described above. Consequently, stockholders should consult their own tax advisors regarding the effect of state and local tax laws on their ownership of shares of the common stock.

S-26

Federal Income Taxation of Ventas

The Code provisions governing the federal income tax treatment of REITs are highly technical and complex, and this summary is qualified in its entirety by the applicable Code provisions, rules and Treasury regulations promulgated thereunder, and administrative and judicial interpretations thereof. The following discussion is based on current law, which could be changed at any time, possibly retroactively. The law firm of Willkie Farr & Gallagher has acted as our tax counsel in connection with our election to continue to be taxed as a REIT. Willkie Farr & Gallagher will render an opinion to our underwriters to the effect that, commencing with our taxable year ended December 31, 1999, we have been organized and have operated in conformity with the requirements for qualification and taxation as a REIT under the Code, and our proposed method of operation will enable us to continue to meet the requirements for qualification and taxation as a REIT under the Code. This opinion will be rendered as of the closing of this offering, and Willkie Farr & Gallagher will have no obligation to update its opinion subsequent to that date.

The opinion of Willkie Farr & Gallagher will be based on various assumptions and representations made by us as to factual matters, including representations made by us in this prospectus supplement and the accompanying prospectus and the documents incorporated by reference herein and therein and a factual certificate provided by one of our officers. Moreover, our qualification and taxation as a REIT depends upon our ability to meet the various qualification tests imposed under the Code and discussed below, relating to our actual annual operating results, asset diversification, distribution levels, and diversity of stock ownership, the results of which have not been and will not be reviewed by Willkie Farr & Gallagher. Accordingly, neither Willkie Farr & Gallagher nor we can assure you that the actual results of our operations for any particular taxable year will satisfy these requirements.

We elected to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes for the year ended December 31, 1999. We believe that we have satisfied the requirements to qualify as a REIT for the years ended December 31, 1999, 2000 and 2001. We intend to continue to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes for the year ended December 31, 2002 and subsequent years subject to our ability to meet the minimum distribution requirements as discussed below. Our continued qualification and taxation as a REIT will depend upon our ability to meet on a continuing basis, through actual annual operating results, distribution levels, and stock ownership, the various qualification tests imposed under the Code. These tests are discussed below. No assurance can be given that the actual results of our operations for any particular taxable year will satisfy such requirements. Although we believe we have satisfied the requirements to continue to qualify as a REIT for years ended December 31, 2000 and 2001 and although we currently intend to continue to qualify as a REIT for the year ended December 31, 2002 and subsequent years, it is possible that economic, market, legal, tax or other considerations may cause us to fail, or elect not, to continue to qualify as a REIT. For a discussion of the tax consequences of failing to continue to qualify as a REIT, see Failure to Continue to Qualify below.

If we continue to qualify for taxation as a REIT, we generally will not be subject to federal corporate income tax on net income that we currently distribute to stockholders. This treatment substantially eliminates the double taxation (i.e., taxation at both the corporate and stockholder levels) that generally results from investment in a corporation. Notwithstanding our qualification as a REIT, we will be subject to federal income tax in the following circumstances. First, we will be taxed at regular corporate rates on any undistributed taxable income, including undistributed net capital gains. Second, under certain circumstances, we may be subject to the alternative minimum tax on our undistributed items of tax preference. Third, if we have (i) net income from the sale or other disposition of foreclosure property (which is, in general, property acquired by foreclosure or otherwise on default of a loan secured by the property or property we repossess upon dispossessing a tenant after a lease default) that is held primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of business or (ii) other non-qualifying income from foreclosure property, it will be subject to tax at the highest corporate rate on such income. Fourth, if we have net income from prohibited transactions (which are, in general, certain sales or other dispositions of property (other than foreclosure property) held primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of business), such income will be subject to a 100% tax. Fifth, if we should fail to satisfy the

S-27

75% gross income test or the 95% gross income test (as discussed below), and have nonetheless maintained our qualification as a REIT because certain other requirements have been met, we will be subject to a 100% tax on the product of (a) the gross income attributable to the greater of the amount by which we fail the 75% or 95% gross income test, and (b) a fraction intended to reflect our profitability. Sixth, if we should fail to distribute during each calendar year at least the sum of (i) 85% of our REIT ordinary income for such year, (ii) 95% of our REIT capital gain net income for such year (other than retained long-term capital gain we elect to treat as having been distributed to stockholders), and (iii) any undistributed taxable income from prior years, we would be subject to a non-deductible 4% excise tax on the excess of such required distribution over the amounts actually distributed. Seventh, if we should receive rents from a tenant deemed not to be fair market value rents, or if we value our assets incorrectly, we may be liable for valuation penalties. Finally, if we acquire any asset from a C corporation (i.e., a corporation generally subject to full corporate level tax) in a transaction in which the basis of the asset in our hands is determined by reference to the basis of the asset (or any other asset) in the hands of the C corporation, and we recognize gain on the disposition of such asset during the 10-year period (the Recognition Period) beginning on the date on which we acquired such asset, then, to the extent of such asset as Built-in Gain (i.e., the excess of the fair market value of such property at the time of acquisition by us over the adjusted basis of such asset at such time), such gain will be subject to tax at the highest regular corporate rate (the Built-in Gain Rules).

We own appreciated assets that we held on January 1, 1999, the effective date of our REIT election. These assets are subject to the Built-in Gain Rules discussed above because we were a taxable C corporation prior to January 1, 1999. If we recognize taxable gain upon the disposition of any of these assets within the ten-year Recognition Period, we generally will be subject to regular corporate income tax on the gain equal to the lower of (a) the recognized gain at the time of the disposition and (b) the Built-in Gain in that asset as of January 1, 1999. The total amount of gain on which we can be taxed under the Built-in Gain Rules is limited to our net built-in gain at the time we became a REIT, i.e., the excess of the aggregate fair market value of our assets at the time we became a REIT over the adjusted tax bases of those assets at that time. Some but not all of such capital gains realized would be offset by the amount of any available capital loss carryforwards. In connection with the sale of any assets, all or a portion of such gain could be treated as ordinary income instead of capital gain and be subject to taxation and/or the minimum REIT distribution requirements. See Requirements for Qualification Annual Distribution Requirements below.

Requirements for Qualification

To continue to qualify as a REIT, we must continue to meet the requirements discussed below, relating to the organization, sources of income, nature of assets and distributions of income to stockholders.

Organizational Requirements

The Code defines a REIT as a corporation, trust or association (i) that is managed by one or more directors or trustees; (ii) the beneficial ownership of which is evidenced by transferable shares or by transferable certificates of beneficial interest; (iii) that would be taxable as a domestic corporation, but for Sections 856 through 859 of the Code; (iv) that is neither a financial institution nor an insurance company subject to certain provisions of the Code; (v) the beneficial ownership of which is held by 100 or more persons during at least 335 days of a taxable year of 12 months, or during a proportionate part of a shorter taxable year (the 100 Shareholder Rule); (vi) not more than 50% in value of the outstanding stock of which is owned, directly or indirectly, by five or fewer individuals (as defined in the Code to include certain entities) during the last half of each taxable year (the 5/50 Rule); (vii) that makes an election to be a REIT (or has made such election for a previous taxable year) and satisfies all relevant filing and other administrative requirements established by the IRS that must be met in order to elect and to maintain REIT status; (viii) that uses a calendar year for federal income tax purposes; and (ix) that meets certain other tests, described below, regarding the nature of its income and assets.

S-28

For purposes of the 5/50 Rule, an unemployment compensation benefits plan, a private foundation or a portion of a trust permanently set aside or used exclusively for charitable purposes generally is considered an individual. A trust that is a qualified trust under Section 401(a) of the Code, however, generally is not considered an individual and the beneficiaries of such trust are treated as holding shares of a REIT in proportion to their actuarial interests in such trust for purposes of the 5/50 Rule. Certain entities, including entities that file Schedules 13 D, F or G with the Commission, are not treated as a single owner under the 5/50 Rule. For purposes of the 5/50 Rule, the beneficial owners of such entities are deemed to be the owners of our common stock. A REIT will be treated as having satisfied the 5/50 Rule if it complies with certain regulations for ascertaining the ownership of its stock and if it did not know (or after the exercise of reasonable diligence would not have known) that its stock was sufficiently closely held to cause it to violate the 5/50 Rule. See Requirements for Qualification Annual Record Keeping Requirements below.

In order to prevent a concentration of ownership of our stock that would cause us to fail the 5/50 Rule or the 100 Shareholder Rule, we amended our certificate of incorporation on April 30, 1998 to provide that, except with the consent of our Board of Directors, no holder (with certain exceptions) is permitted to own, either actually or constructively under the applicable attribution rules of the Code, more than 9.0% of the common stock or 9.9% of any class of preferred stock issued by us. Certain persons (an Existing Holder) who owned our stock in excess of the foregoing limits on April 30, 1998 (the date that the certificate of incorporation was amended) are not subject to the general ownership limits applicable to other stockholders; rather, Existing Holders generally are permitted to own up to the same percentage of our outstanding stock that they owned on April 30, 1998. No holder, however, is permitted to own, either actually or constructively under the applicable attribution rules of the Code, any shares of any class of our stock if such ownership would cause more than 50% in value of our outstanding stock to be owned by five or fewer individuals or would result in our stock being beneficially owned by fewer than 100 persons (determined without reference to any rule of attribution).

Since the date of our spin off of Kindred in 1998, Tenet Healthcare Corporation has owned approximately 12% of our issued and outstanding common stock and, therefore, is treated as an Existing Holder under our certificate of incorporation. Except as explained below, as an Existing Holder, Tenet is generally permitted to own in excess of the ownership limits in our certificate of incorporation.

As permitted by our certificate of incorporation, we have granted a waiver of the ownership limitations to Cohen & Steers Capital Management, Inc. This waiver permits Cohen & Steers to own over 10% of our common stock but in no event more than 12.50% of our common stock. We believe that no stockholder, other than Tenet and Cohen & Steers, owns 10% or more of our issued and outstanding common stock, as measured by the Code.

To qualify as a REIT, a corporation may not have (as of the end of the taxable year) any earnings and profits that were accumulated in periods before it elected REIT status. We believe that at December 31, 1999 we did not have any accumulated earnings and profits that are attributable to periods during which we were not a REIT, although the IRS would be entitled to challenge that determination. For taxable years beginning after 2000 (and we believe for the taxable year 2000), a distribution made to meet the requirement that a REIT may not have non-REIT earnings and profits will be treated, on a first-in, first-out basis, as made from earnings and profits. Thus, such earnings and profits are deemed distributed first from earnings and profits that would cause such a failure, starting with the earliest Company year for which such failure would occur.

Section 856(i) of the Code provides that a corporation that is a Qualified REIT Subsidiary will not be treated as a separate corporation for federal income tax purposes, and all assets, liabilities, and items of income, deduction and credit of a qualified REIT subsidiary will be treated as assets, liabilities, and items of income, deduction, and credit of the REIT. A qualified REIT subsidiary is defined as any wholly owned corporate subsidiary of a REIT. We currently have three qualified REIT subsidiaries, Ventas Specialty I, Inc., Ventas Healthcare Properties, Inc. and Ventas Finance I, Inc.

S-29

Pursuant to Treasury Regulations relating to entity classification (the Check-the-Box Regulations), an unincorporated entity that has a single owner is disregarded as an entity separate from its owner for federal income tax purposes. We directly own a 99% general partnership interest in Ventas Realty, Limited Partnership, which we refer to as Ventas Realty and indirectly own the remaining 1% limited partnership interest in Ventas Realty through a wholly owned limited liability company. Under the Check-the-Box Regulations, the limited liability company, and therefore Ventas Realty, is disregarded as an entity separate from Ventas for federal income tax purposes. Similarly, Ventas Specialty I, LLC and Ventas Finance I, LLC, formed in connection with CMBS Transaction, are also wholly owned, single member limited liability companies that are disregarded for federal income tax purposes.

In the case of a REIT that is a partner in a partnership, Treasury regulations provide that the REIT will be deemed to own its proportionate share of the assets of the partnership and will be deemed to be entitled to the income of the partnership attributable to such share. In addition, the character of the assets and gross income of the partnership will retain the same character in the hands of the REIT for purposes of the income and asset tests described below. If and when Ventas Realty were to admit a partner other than Ventas, a wholly owned subsidiary of Ventas, or an entity that is disregarded under the Check-the-Box Regulations as an entity separate from Ventas, Ventas s proportionate share of the assets and gross income of Ventas Realty would be treated as the assets and gross income of Ventas for purposes of applying the requirements described herein.

Income Tests

To continue to qualify as a REIT, we must satisfy certain annual gross income requirements. First, at least 75% of our gross income (excluding gross income from prohibited transactions) for each taxable year must consist of defined types of income derived directly or indirectly from investments relating to real property or mortgages on real property (including rents from real property (defined below) and, in certain circumstances, interest on certain types of temporary investment income). Second, at least 95% of our gross income (excluding gross income from prohibited transactions) for each taxable year must be derived from such real property or temporary investments, dividends, interest and gain from the sale or disposition of stock or securities, or from any combination of the foregoing.

Substantially all of our gross income is derived from leasing our properties under our master leases with Kindred. Rents we received or were deemed to have received under our leases (including our master leases with Kindred) will qualify as rents from real property in satisfying the gross income requirements described above only if our leases are respected as true leases for federal income tax purposes and are not treated as service contracts, joint ventures, or some other type of arrangement. The determination of whether our leases are true leases depends on an analysis of all the surrounding facts and circumstances. In making such a determination, courts have considered a variety of factors, including the following: (i) the intent of the parties, (ii) the form of the agreement, (iii) the degree of control over the property that is retained by the property owner (e.g., whether the lessee has substantial control over the operation of the property or whether the lessee was required to use its best efforts to perform its obligations under the agreement), and (iv) the extent to which the property owner retains the risk of loss with respect to the property (e.g., whether the lessee bears the risk of increases in operating expenses or the risk of damage to the property) or the potential for economic gains (e.g., appreciation) with respect to the property. Based upon advice of counsel at the time our master leases with Kindred and THI were negotiated, we believe that our leases should be treated as true leases for federal income tax purposes. Investors should be aware, however, that there are no controlling Treasury regulations, published rulings, or judicial decisions involving leases with terms substantially the same as our leases that discuss whether such leases constitute true leases for federal income tax purposes. If the leases are recharacterized as service contracts or partnership agreements, rather than true leases, part or all of the payments that we receive from our tenants would not be considered rent or would not otherwise satisfy the various requirements for qualification as rents from real property. If that were to be the case with our master leases with Kindred, we likely would not be able to satisfy either the 75% or the 95% gross income tests, and, as a result, would lose our REIT status.

S-30

Assuming that our leases are true leases for tax purposes, rents we receive will qualify as rents from real property for purposes of the REIT gross income tests only if several additional conditions are satisfied. First, the amount of rent generally must not be based in whole or in part on the income or profits of any person. However, an amount received or accrued generally will not be excluded from the term rents from real property solely by reason of being based on a fixed percentage or percentages of receipts or sales.

Second, amounts received from a tenant will not qualify as rents from real property if we, or an owner of 10% or more of us, directly or constructively is deemed to own 10% or more of the ownership interests in the tenant (a Related Party Tenant).

Third, if rent attributable to personal property, leased in connection with a lease of real property, is greater than 15% of the total rent received under the lease (based on the fair market values after 2000), then the portion of rent attributable to such personal property will not qualify as rents from real property.

Finally, for rents received to qualify as rents from real property, we generally must not operate or manage the property or furnish or render services to the tenants of such property, other than through an independent contractor who is adequately compensated and from whom we derive no income. The independent contractor requirement, however, does not apply to the extent that the services we provide are usually or customarily rendered in connection with the rental of space for occupancy only, which are services of a type that a tax-exempt organization can provide to its tenants without causing its rental income to be UBTI. In addition, the independent contractor requirement does not apply to noncustomary services we provide, the annual value of which does not exceed 1% of the gross income derived from the property with respect to which the services are provided (the 1% de minimis exception). For this purpose, such services may not be valued at less than 150% of our direct cost of providing the services. An independent contractor is defined as an entity that does not own (directly or indirectly) more than 35% of our stock or an entity not more than 35% owned (directly or indirectly) by persons who own more than 35% of our stock. If any class of our stock or the person being tested as an independent contractor is regularly traded on an established securities market, only persons who directly or indirectly own 5% or more of such class of stock shall be counted in determining whether the 35% ownership limitations have been exceeded. In addition to providing the above services to tenants through an independent contractor, we may also provide such services to tenants of our property through one or more of our taxable REIT subsidiaries without causing rents from such tenants to fail to qualify as rents from real property. See Asset Tests Taxable REIT Subsidiaries.

We do not believe that we have, and do not anticipate that we will in the future, (i) charged/charge rent that is based in whole or in part on the income or profits of any person (except by reason of being based on a fixed percentage or percentages of receipts or sales consistent with the rule described above), (ii) derived/derive rent attributable to personal property leased in connection with real property that exceeds 15% of the total rents, (iii) derived/derive rent attributable to a Related Party Tenant, or (iv) provided/provide any noncustomary services to tenants other than through qualifying independent contractors, except as permitted by the 1% de minimis exception or to the extent that the amount of resulting nonqualifying income would not cause us to fail to satisfy the 95% and 75% gross income tests.

Related Party Tenant

We lease substantially all of our properties to Kindred and Kindred is the primary source of our rental revenues. Under Kindred s plan of reorganization, Ventas Realty received 1,498,500 shares of Kindred common stock on the date Kindred emerged from bankruptcy. Under the Code, if we own 10% or more of any class of Kindred s issued and outstanding voting securities or 10% or more of the value of any class of Kindred s issued and outstanding securities (the 10% securities test), Kindred would be a Related Party Tenant. As a Related Party Tenant, our rental revenue from Kindred would not qualify as rents from real property and we would lose our REIT status because we likely would not be able to satisfy either the 75% or the 95% gross income test. Our loss of REIT status would have a Material Adverse Effect on us.

S-31

Since the date Kindred emerged from bankruptcy, Kindred has issued additional common stock and Ventas Realty has disposed of 577,686 shares of its Kindred common stock. As of November 29, 2002, we owned 920,814 shares of Kindred common stock or not more than 5.3% of the issued and outstanding shares of Kindred. Based upon applicable tax authorities and decisions and advice from the IRS, we believe that for purposes of the 10% securities test, our ownership percentage in Kindred has been and will continue to be less than 9.99%.

A number of safeguards are in place to reduce the risk of our violation of the 10% securities test as a result of our ownership of Kindred common stock including: (a) a provision in Kindred s corporate charter requiring Kindred, at our sole option, to purchase a portion of our Kindred common stock in the event Kindred proposes to enter into a transaction which would cause us to violate the 10% securities test, and (b) our ability to sell the Kindred common stock to a third party or distribute the Kindred common stock to our stockholders, subject to compliance with the registration requirements of the Securities Act. See Note 9 Transactions with Kindred Kindred Common Stock and Registration Rights Agreement to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

We believe that the only greater than 10% stockholders of our common stock, as measured by the Code, are Tenet and Cohen & Steers. Since the date of the 1998 Spin Off, Tenet has owned approximately 12% of our issued and outstanding common stock. Certain provisions under the Code provide that any ownership interest in Kindred that Tenet may purchase may be attributed to us. Any such attribution could cause to us to violate the 10% securities test and lose our REIT status unless under applicable laws, rules and regulations or interpretations, we are otherwise deemed not to have violated the 10% securities test. To reduce the likelihood of such an occurrence, we implemented certain protective measures. As part of Kindred s plan of reorganization, we negotiated for the inclusion of Article Tenth of the Kindred Corporate Charter. Article Tenth of the Kindred Corporate Charter, which became effective on the date Kindred emerged from bankruptcy, is designed to prohibit Tenet from gaining beneficial ownership of any Kindred common stock if such ownership when combined with our ownership would exceed 9.9% of any class of stock or all stock in the aggregate. If Tenet should nevertheless violate this provision, either directly or as a result of the attribution rules under the Code, any shares of the Kindred common stock so purchased by or attributed to Tenet will automatically, without any action by any party, become Excess Stock in Kindred and will be deemed to be owned by a trust for the benefit of a third party and Tenet will have no legal title to such Excess Stock in Kindred. Tenet would have the limited right to receive certain distributions on and a certain portion of the proceeds of a sale of such Excess Stock in Kindred.

In addition, under our certificate of incorporation, under a formal interpretation by the Board of Directors, if Tenet should purchase any Kindred common stock while Tenet owns in excess of 10% of our common stock, then all of Tenet sholdings of our common stock in excess of 9.9% will automatically become Excess Shares of our common stock and will be deemed to be owned by a trust for the benefit of a third party and Tenet would have no legal title to such Excess Shares of our common stock. Tenet would have the limited right to receive certain distributions on and a certain portion of the proceeds of a sale of such Excess Shares of our common stock.

While we believe that these and other safeguards which we have instituted will enable us to satisfy the 10% securities test, there can be no assurances that such safeguards will be adequate to prevent us from violating the 10% securities test. If we should ever violate the 10% securities test, we would lose our status as a REIT which would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operation and liquidity, on our ability to service our indebtedness and our obligations under our settlement we entered into with the United States Department of Justice.

Under the Code, rents we receive from any of our taxable REIT subsidiaries will qualify as rents from real property even if we own 10% or more of its issued and outstanding securities or 10% or more of the value of any class of its issued and outstanding securities as long as: (i) at least 90% of the leased space of the property is rented to third parties at comparable rents, or (ii) the property leased to the Taxable REIT Subsidiary is a

S-32

qualified lodging facility managed and operated by an independent contractor. See Asset Tests Taxable REIT Subsidiaries. We believe all revenues we received from our taxable REIT subsidiaries qualified as rents from real property.

If we fail to satisfy one or both of the 75% or 95% gross income tests for any taxable year, we may nevertheless continues to qualify as a REIT for such year if we are entitled to relief under certain provisions of the Code. These relief provisions generally will be available if our failure to meet such tests was due to reasonable cause and not due to willful neglect, we attach a schedule of the sources of our income to our return and any incorrect information on the schedule was not due to fraud with intent to evade tax. It is not possible, however, to state whether in all circumstances we would be entitled to the benefit of these relief provisions. Even if these relief provisions were to apply, a tax would be imposed with respect to the excess net income.

Foreclosure Property

General

The foreclosure property rules permit us (by our election) to foreclose or repossess properties without being disqualified as a result of receiving income that does not qualify under the gross income tests; however, a corporate tax is imposed upon net income from foreclosure property that is not otherwise good REIT income. Detailed rules specify the calculation of the tax. The after tax amount increases the amount the REIT must distribute each year.

Foreclosure property includes any real property and any personal property incident to such real property acquired by bid at foreclosure or by agreement or process of law after there was a default or a default was imminent on the leased property. During a 90-day grace period, we may operate the foreclosed property without an independent contractor or qualifying lessee. The 90-day grace period will begin on the date we acquire possession of the property.

To maintain foreclosure property treatment after the 90-day grace period, we must cause the property to be managed by an independent contractor (from whom we derive or receive no income) or lease the property pursuant to a lease qualifying as a true lease for income tax purposes to an unrelated third party. Ownership of the tenant must not be attributed to us in violation of the related tenant rule of Section 856(d)(2)(B) (relating to 10% or more owned tenants). If the property is leased to a third party under a true lease, the foreclosure property rules are not then relevant.

Foreclosure property treatment will end on the first day on which the REIT enters into a lease of the property that will give rise to income that is not good rental income under Section 856(c)(3). In addition, foreclosure property treatment will end if any construction takes place on the property (other than completion of a building, or other improvement more than 10 percent complete before default became imminent). Foreclosure property treatment is available for an initial period of three years, provided that such treatment may be extended up to six years.

Healthcare Properties

We are permitted to terminate leases of qualified healthcare properties other than by reason of default or imminent default. In addition, we may treat qualified healthcare properties as foreclosure property at the time a lease comes to an end. Except as noted below, healthcare foreclosure properties are subject to the foreclosure property tax and other rules under the general foreclosure property rules.

The differences between this special healthcare rule and the general foreclosure rule are that (i) the initial foreclosure property period is for two rather than three years, although it may be extended for the same aggregate six years, (ii) the lease may be terminated without requirement of default, and (iii) income from the

S-33

independent contractor is disregarded to the extent such income is attributable to any lease of property in effect on the date of acquisition or any lease of property entered into after such date if on such date a lease of the new property from the REIT was in effect, and under the terms of the new lease, the REIT receives no more than substantially the same benefit in comparison to the lease previously in effect.

A qualified healthcare property includes any real property and any personal property incident to such real property which is a healthcare facility or is necessary or incidental to the use of a healthcare facility. The qualified healthcare facility may be operated by an independent contractor from whom the REIT does not derive or receive any income other than certain qualifying lease income from an independent contractor.

Asset Tests

At the close of each quarter of our taxable year, we must satisfy two tests relating to the nature of our assets. First, at least 75% of the value of our total assets must be represented by cash or cash items (including certain receivables), government securities, real estate assets or, in cases where we raise new capital through stock or long-term (maturity of at least five years) debt offerings, temporary investments in stock or debt instruments during the one-year period following our receipt of such capital (the 75% asset test). The term real estate asset includes interests in real property, interests in mortgages on real property to the extent the mortgage balance does not exceed the value of the associated real property, and shares of other REITs. For purposes of the 75% asset test, the term interest in real property includes an interest in land and improvements thereon, such as buildings or other inherently permanent structures (including items that are structural components of such buildings or structures), a leasehold in real property and an option to acquire real property (or a leasehold in real property). Second, of the investments not included in the 75% asset class, the value of any one issuer s debt and equity securities owned by us (other than our interest in any entity classified as a partnership for federal income tax purposes, or the stock of a qualified REIT subsidiary, or the stock of a Taxable REIT Subsidiary) may not exceed 5% of the value of our total assets (the 5% asset test), and we may not own more than 10% of any one issuer s outstanding voting securities or 10% of the value of any one issuer s outstanding securities, subject to limited safe harbor exceptions for certain straight debt obligations (except for our ownership interest in an entity that is disregarded for federal income tax purposes, that is classified as a partnership for federal income tax purposes or that is the stock of a qualified REIT subsidiary or stock of a Taxable REIT Subsidiary) (previously defined as the 10% securities test). In addition, no more than 20% of the value of our assets can be represented by securities of Taxable REIT Subsidiaries (as defined below).

If we should fail to satisfy the asset tests at the end of a calendar quarter except for our first calendar quarter, such a failure would not cause us to fail to qualify as a REIT or to lose our REIT status if (i) we satisfied all of the asset tests at the close of the preceding calendar quarter and (ii) the discrepancy between the value of our assets and the asset test requirements arose from changes in the market values of our assets and was not wholly or partly caused by an acquisition of nonqualifying assets. If the condition described in clause (ii) of the preceding sentence was not satisfied, we still could avoid disqualification by eliminating any discrepancy within 30 days after the close of the calendar quarter in which it arose. We intend to maintain adequate records of the value of our assets to ensure compliance with the asset tests and to take such other actions as may be required to comply with those tests.

We believe we have been and will continue to be in compliance with the 10% securities test and the 5% asset test. However, there can be differing opinions as to the methods of calculating compliance with these tests and as to the value of our assets (including the valuation of the Kindred common stock) for purposes of these tests. Therefore, there can be no assurance we are or will continue to be in compliance with either of these tests. If we failed to satisfy either of these tests, we would lose our REIT status. If we lost our status as a REIT, it would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operation and liquidity, on our ability to service our indebtedness and our obligations under our settlement we entered into with the United States Department of Justice.

S-34

Taxable REIT Subsidiaries

We are permitted to own up to 100% of a Taxable REIT Subsidiary. To qualify as a Taxable REIT Subsidiary, both we and the subsidiary corporation must join in an election to treat the subsidiary corporation as a Taxable REIT Subsidiary. In addition, any corporation (other than a REIT or a qualified REIT subsidiary) of which a Taxable REIT Subsidiary owns, directly or indirectly, more than 35% of the vote or value is automatically treated as a Taxable REIT Subsidiary. We currently own two Taxable REIT Subsidiaries, Ventas Capital Corporation and Ventas TRS, LLC.

A Taxable REIT Subsidiary can provide services to tenants of our properties (even if such services were not considered services customarily furnished in connection with the rental of real property), and can manage or operate properties, generally for third parties, without causing amounts received or accrued directly or indirectly by us for such activities to fail to be treated as rents from real property. However, rents paid to us generally are not qualified rents if we own more than 10% (by vote or value) of the corporation paying the rents. Nevertheless, qualified rents do include rents that are paid by taxable REIT subsidiaries and that also meet a limited rental exception (where 90% of space is leased to third parties at comparable rents) and an exception for rents from certain lodging facilities (operated by an independent contractor).

Moreover, the Taxable REIT Subsidiary cannot directly or indirectly operate or manage a lodging or healthcare facility, subject to special rules for certain lodging facilities.

Also, the Taxable REIT Subsidiary generally cannot provide to any person rights to any brand name under which hotels or healthcare facilities are operated, unless the rights are provided to an independent contractor to operate or manage a lodging facility, if the rights are held by the Taxable REIT Subsidiary as licensee or franchisee and the lodging facility is owned by the Taxable REIT Subsidiary or leased to it by us.

The Taxable REIT Subsidiary cannot deduct interest paid or accrued (directly or indirectly) to the REIT in any years that would exceed 50% of the Taxable REIT Subsidiary s adjusted gross income. If any amount of interest, rent, or other deductions of the Taxable REIT Subsidiary for amounts paid to us is determined to be other than at arm s length (redetermined items pursuant to Section 482), an excise tax of 100% is imposed on the portion that was excessive, with limited safe harbor exceptions.

A 100% excise tax would be imposed on us for: (i) redetermined rents, (ii) redetermined deductions, and (iii) excess interest. Redetermined rents include rents from real property that would have been adjusted under Section 482 (but for the imposition of the 100% tax) in an IRS audit to clearly reflect income as a result of services furnished by a Taxable REIT Subsidiary to the tenants of a REIT. Redetermined rents, however, would only include rents attributable to impermissible services that exceed 1% of the total rents from the property. In addition, redetermined rents would not include rents which qualify for the following safe harbors: (i) the Taxable REIT Subsidiary charges the same amounts for its services to the REIT and its tenants similar to other third parties; (ii) the rents paid to the REIT by tenants (leasing at least 25% of the net leasable space in the property) who are not receiving a service from the Taxable REIT Subsidiary are substantially comparable to the rents paid by tenants leasing comparable space and receiving such service from the Taxable REIT Subsidiary, and the charge for such service is separately stated; and (iii) the Taxable REIT Subsidiary recognizes income for its services at least equal to 150% of its direct costs in furnishing or rendering the service.

Redetermined deductions include deductions (other than redetermined rents) of a Taxable REIT Subsidiary that would have been adjusted under Section 482 (but for the imposition of the 100% tax) in an IRS audit to clearly reflect income between the Taxable REIT Subsidiary and REIT.

Excess interest would include any deduction for interest payments by a Taxable REIT Subsidiary to the REIT to the extent such interest payments are in excess of a rate that is commercially reasonable. Loans from the REIT to a Taxable REIT Subsidiary would be made subject to the Section 163(j) earnings stripping rules in

S-35

full (i.e., the rules would apply to the Taxable REIT Subsidiary regardless of whether the Taxable REIT subsidiary and the REIT are related persons as defined in Section 267(b) or 707(b)(i) of the Code.

Annual Distribution Requirements

In order to be taxed as a REIT, we are required to distribute dividends (other than capital gain dividends) to our stockholders in an amount at least equal to (i) the sum of (A) 90% of our REIT taxable income (computed without regard to the dividends paid deduction and our net capital gain) and (B) 90% of the net income (after tax), if any, from foreclosure property, minus (ii) the sum of certain items of noncash income. Such distributions must be paid in the taxable year to which they relate, or in the following taxable year if declared before we timely file our tax return for such year and if paid on or before the first regular dividend payment after such declaration. To the extent that we do not distribute all of our net capital gain or distribute at least 90%, but less than 100%, of our REIT taxable income, as adjusted, it will be subject to tax on the undistributed amount at regular capital gains and ordinary corporate tax rates except to the extent of net operating loss or capital loss carryforwards. If any taxes are paid in connection with the Built-In Gain Rules, these taxes will be deductible in computing REIT taxable income. Furthermore, if we should fail to distribute during each calendar year at least the sum of (i) 85% of our REIT ordinary income for such year, (ii) 95% of our REIT capital gain net income for such year (other than long-term capital gain we elect to retain and treat as having been distributed to stockholders), and (iii) any undistributed taxable income from prior periods, we will be subject to a 4% nondeductible excise tax on the excess of such required distribution over the amounts actually distributed.

It is expected that our REIT taxable income will be less than our cash flow due to the allowance of depreciation and other noncash deductions in computing REIT taxable income. We anticipate that we generally will have sufficient cash or liquid assets to enable us to satisfy the 90% distribution requirement. It is possible, however, that we, from time to time, may not have sufficient cash or other liquid assets to meet the 90% distribution requirement or to distribute such greater amount as may be necessary to avoid income and excise taxation, as a result of timing differences between (i) the actual receipt of income and actual payment of deductible expenses and (ii) the inclusion of such income and deduction of such expenses in arriving at our taxable income, or as a result of nondeductible expenses such as principal amortization or repayments, or capital expenditures in excess of noncash deductions. In the event that such timing differences or other cash needs occur, we may find it necessary to borrow funds or to issue equity securities (there being no assurance that we will be able to do so) or, if possible, to pay taxable stock dividends, distribute other property or securities (including the Kindred common stock) or engage in a transaction intended to enable us to meet the REIT distribution requirements. Our ability to engage in certain of these transactions may be restricted by the terms of our indentures or our credit facility. Any such transaction may require the consent of the lenders under our credit facility, and there can be no assurance that such consent would be obtained. Our ability to engage in certain of these transactions is also restricted by the registration requirements under the Securities Act, the rules and regulations of the New York Stock Exchange and the Commission and by other applicable laws, rules and regulations. In addition, the failure of Kindred to make rental payments under master leases would impair materially our ability to make required distributions. Consequently, there can be no assurance that we will be able to make distributions at the required distribution rate or any other rate.

Under certain circumstances, we may be able to rectify a failure to meet the distribution requirement for a year by paying deficiency dividends to stockholders in a later year, which may be included in our deduction for dividends paid for the earlier year. Although we may be able to avoid being taxed on amounts distributed as deficiency dividends, we will be required to pay a 4% excise tax and interest to the IRS based upon the amount of any deduction taken for deficiency dividends.

We elected to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes for the year ended December 31, 1999. We believe that we have satisfied the requirements to qualify as a REIT for the years ended December 31, 1999, 2000 and 2001. Although we intend to continue to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes for the year ended December 31, 2002 and subsequent years, it is possible that economic, market, legal, tax or other considerations may cause us to fail, or elect not, to continue to qualify as a REIT.

S-36

Annual Record Keeping Requirements

In our first taxable year in which we qualify as a REIT and thereafter, we are required to maintain certain records and request on an annual basis certain information from our stockholders designed to disclose the actual ownership of our outstanding shares. We believe that we have complied with these requirements for the 1999, 2000 and 2001 tax years. We will be subject to a penalty of \$25,000 (\$50,000 for intentional violations) for any year in which we do not comply with the rules.

Failure to Continue to Qualify

If our election to be taxed as a REIT is revoked or terminated (*e.g.*, due to a failure to meet the REIT qualification tests), we will be subject to tax (including any applicable alternative minimum tax) on our taxable income at regular corporate rates except to the extent of net operating loss and capital loss carryforwards. Distributions to stockholders will not be deductible by us, nor will they be required to be made. To the extent of current and accumulated earnings and profits, all distributions to stockholders will be taxable as ordinary income, and, subject to certain limitations in the Code, corporate stockholders may be eligible for the dividends received deduction. In addition, we would be prohibited from re-electing REIT status for the four taxable years following the year during which we ceased to qualify as a REIT, unless certain relief provisions of the Code apply. It is impossible to predict whether we would be entitled to such statutory relief.

S-37

UNDERWRITING

General

Subject to the terms and conditions contained in an underwriting agreement among us, the selling stockholders and each of the underwriters, we and the selling stockholders have agreed to sell to the underwriters, and the underwriters severally have agreed to purchase from us and the selling stockholders, the number of shares listed opposite their names below.

Underwriter	Number of Shares
Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith	
Incorporated	6,590,883
Banc of America Securities LLC	4,119,302
UBS Warburg LLC	1,647,721
Legg Mason Wood Walker, Incorporated	1,647,721
CIBC World Markets Corp.	823,860
JPMorgan	823,860
SunTrust Capital Markets, Inc.	823,860
Total	16,477,207

The underwriters have agreed to purchase all of the shares sold under the underwriting agreement if any of these shares are purchased. If an underwriter defaults, the underwriting agreement provides that the purchase commitments of the nondefaulting underwriters may be increased or the underwriting agreement may be terminated.

We and the selling stockholders have agreed to indemnify the underwriters against specified liabilities, including liabilities under the Securities Act of 1933, or to contribute to payments the underwriters may be required to make in respect of those liabilities.

The underwriters are offering the shares, subject to prior sale, when, as and if issued to and accepted by them, subject to approval of legal matters by counsel and other conditions. The underwriters reserve the right to withdraw, cancel or modify this offer and to reject orders in whole or in part.

Commissions and Discounts

The underwriters have advised us and the selling stockholders that they propose initially to offer the shares to the public at the public offering price appearing on the cover page of this prospectus supplement, and to dealers at that price less a concession not in excess of \$.33 per share. The underwriters may allow, and the dealers may reallow, a discount not in excess of \$.10 per share to other dealers. After the initial public offering, the public offering price, concession and discount may be changed.

The following table shows the public offering price, underwriting discount and proceeds before expenses to us and the selling stockholders. This information is presented assuming either no exercise or full exercise by the underwriters of their over-allotment option.

	Per	Per Share Without Option		ithout Option		With Option
			_		_	
Public offering price	\$	11.00	\$	181,249,277	\$	199,374,208
Underwriting discount		\$.55		\$9,062,464		\$9,968,710
Proceeds, before expenses, to us	\$	10.45		\$94,050,000	\$	102,659,348
Proceeds, before expenses, to the selling						
stockholders	\$	10.45		\$78,136,813		\$86,746,150

The expenses of the offering, exclusive of the underwriting discount, are estimated at approximately \$1.0 million and are payable by us.

Over-allotment Option

The selling stockholders have granted an option to the underwriters to purchase up to 823,860 additional shares at the initial public offering price less the underwriting discount. We have also granted an option to the underwriters to purchase from us up to an additional 823,861 shares at the initial public offering price less the underwriting discount. The underwriters have agreed to exercise the option granted by the selling stockholders first. The underwriters may exercise this option for 30 days from the date of this prospectus supplement solely to cover any over-allotments. If the underwriters exercise this option, each underwriter will be obligated, subject to conditions contained in the purchase agreement, to purchase a number of additional shares proportionate to that underwriter s initial amount reflected in the above table.

No Sales of Similar Securities

We and each of our directors and executive officers and the selling stockholders have agreed, with limited exceptions, not to sell or transfer any common stock for 90 days after the date of this prospectus supplement without first obtaining the written consent of Merrill Lynch. Specifically, we and each of our directors and executive officers and the selling stockholders have agreed not to directly or indirectly

offer, pledge, sell or contract to sell any common stock;

sell any option or contract to purchase any common stock;

purchase any option or contract to sell any common stock;

grant any option, right or warrant to purchase any common stock;

otherwise dispose of or transfer any common stock; or

enter into any swap or other agreement that transfers, in whole or in part, the economic consequence of ownership of any common stock, whether any such swap or transaction is to be settled by delivery of shares or other securities, in cash or otherwise.

This lockup provision applies to common stock and to securities convertible into or exchangeable or exercisable for common stock, however it does not prevent the exercise of any options or warrants to acquire shares of our common stock issued pursuant to employee stock option plans existing on the date of we enter into the underwriting agreement or the pledging of stock to secure loans to fund tax payments due upon the vesting of restricted stock or as to which the pledgee has agreed to be bound by the lockup. The lockup would prevent the sale of shares received upon the exercise of such options. The lockup excludes certain existing pledges of our common stock, including those of Debra A. Cafaro, T. Richard Riney and W. Bruce Lunsford described below. The lockup applies to common stock owned now or acquired later by the person executing the agreement or for which the person executing the agreement later acquires the power of disposition.

In the event that we notify the underwriters in writing that we do not intend to proceed with this offering, if the underwriting agreement does not become effective, or if the underwriting agreement is terminated prior to payment for and delivery of our common stock, the lockup provisions will be released.

Additionally, sales under each of Ms. Cafaro s, Mr. Riney s and Mr. Lunsford s respective written 10b5-1 Sales Plans will be suspended during the 90-day lockup period in accordance with provisions contained in such plans. Each of these sales plans was entered into in August 2002 for estate and financial planning purposes. Ms. Cafaro s sales plan provides for the future sale of up to 433,361 shares of our common stock solely upon the exercise of options owned by Ms. Cafaro, which have a weighted average exercise price of \$6.13. As of November 29, 2002 Ms. Cafaro owns an additional 600,748 shares of our common stock that are not subject to

S-39

any sales plan. Mr. Riney s sales plan provides for the future sale of up to 162,500 shares of our common stock solely upon the exercise of options owned by Mr. Riney, which have a weighted average exercise price of \$6.24. Mr. Lunsford s plan provides for the future sale of up to 175,000 shares of our common stock.

Ms. Cafaro has pledged 476,188 shares of our common stock to us to secure her existing tax loans from us. Mr. Riney has pledged 136,295 shares of our common stock to a third party to secure a personal loan. Mr. Lunsford has pledged 175,000 shares of our common stock to secure Mr. Lunsford s settlement obligation under a forward contract pursuant to which Mr. Lunsford has agreed to deliver during January 2005 a number of shares of our common stock that will vary based on the value of our common stock at such time. Mr. Lunsford has also pledged 600,000 shares of our common stock to a third party to secure a personal loan. These 600,000 shares are subject to a lockup. Mr. Lunsford is Chairman of our Board of Directors.

New York Stock Exchange Listing

The shares are listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol VTR.

Price Stabilization and Short Positions

Until the distribution of the shares is completed, Commission rules may limit the ability of the underwriters and selling group members from bidding for or purchasing our common stock. However, the underwriters may engage in transactions that stabilize the price of our common stock, such as bids or purchases to peg, fix or maintain that price.

If the underwriters create a short position in the common stock in connection with the offering, i.e., if they sell more shares than are listed on the cover of this prospectus supplement, the underwriters may reduce that short position by purchasing shares in the open market. The underwriters may also elect to reduce any short position by exercising all or part of the over-allotment option described above. Purchases of the common stock to stabilize its price or to reduce a short position could cause the price of the common stock to be higher than it might be in the absence of those purchases.

Neither we nor any of the underwriters makes any representation or prediction as to the direction or magnitude of any effect that the transactions described above may have on the price of our common stock. In addition, neither we nor any of the underwriters makes any representation that the underwriters will engage in those transactions or that those transactions, once commenced, will not be discontinued without notice.

Other Relationships

This offering is being conducted pursuant to Conduct Rule 2710(c)(8) of the NASD.

Some of the underwriters and their affiliates have engaged in, and may in the future engage in, investment banking, commercial banking and other commercial dealings in the ordinary course of business with us. They have received customary fees and commissions for these transactions. In addition, Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated provided certain financial advisory services to us in connection with the Kindred reorganization and served as lead manager in connection with the CMBS Transaction. Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, Banc of America Securities LLC, UBS Warburg LLC, CIBC World Markets Corp., and J.P. Morgan Securities, Inc. were initial purchasers in the private placement of our senior notes. Moreover, affiliates of Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, Banc of America Securities LLC, UBS Warburg LLC, CIBC World Markets Corp., and J.P. Morgan Securities, Inc. are participating lenders under our credit facility. As such, they will receive a portion of the proceeds from this offering that are used to repay amounts outstanding under the credit facility.

S-40

LEGAL MATTERS

T. Richard Riney, our general counsel, and Willkie Farr & Gallagher, New York, New York, will provide us with an opinion as to legal matters in connection with the common stock offered by this prospectus supplement and the accompanying prospectus. Debevoise & Plimpton, New York, New York, will pass upon certain legal matters for the underwriters.

EXPERTS

The consolidated financial statements (including the financial statement schedule) of Ventas, Inc. at December 31, 2001 and 2000, and for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2001, incorporated by reference in this prospectus supplement have been audited by Ernst & Young LLP, independent auditors, as set forth in their reports thereon incorporated by reference herein. The financial statements referred to above are included in this prospectus supplement in reliance upon such reports given on the authority of such firm as experts in accounting and auditing.

WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION

We file annual, quarterly and current reports, proxy statements and other information with the Commission. We have also filed with the Commission a registration statement on Form S-3 to register the common stock being offered in this prospectus supplement and the accompanying prospectus by us and a second registration statement registering the common stock being offered by the selling stockholders. This prospectus supplement and the accompanying prospectus, which form part of the registration statements, do not contain all of the information included in the registration statements. For further information about us and the common stock offered in this prospectus supplement and the accompanying prospectus, you should refer to the registration statements and their exhibits.

Our Commission filings are available on the Commission s website at www.sec.gov. You also may read and copy any documents we file at the Commission s public reference rooms in Washington, D.C. Please call the Commission at 1-800-SEC-0330 for further information about their public reference rooms, including copy charges. You can also obtain information about us from the New York Stock Exchange at 20 Broad Street, New York, New York 10005. Information about us is also available on our website at www.ventasreit.com. Information on our website is not incorporated by reference herein and our web address is included in this prospectus supplement as an inactive textual reference only.

S-41

INCORPORATION BY REFERENCE

We are incorporating by reference in this prospectus supplement the information we file with the Commission. This means that we can disclose important information to you by referring you to those documents. The information incorporated by reference is an important part of this prospectus supplement. To the extent that the information presented in this prospectus supplement revises, modifies, or updates the information contained in the accompanying prospectus or any document incorporated by reference to this prospectus supplement or the accompanying prospectus prior to the date of this prospectus supplement, the information presented in this prospectus supplement shall supercede and control. To the extent that any information incorporated by reference into this prospectus supplement after the date hereof revises, modifies or updates the information contained in this prospectus supplement or in the accompanying prospectus, such later information shall be deemed to revise, modify or update the language contained herein or therein.