

INFORMATICA CORP  
Form 10-K/A  
January 29, 2008

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549**

**FORM 10-K/A  
Amendment No. 1  
to**

**Annual report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934**

**For the year ended December 31, 2006**

**or**

**Transition report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934**

**Commission File Number: 0-25871**

**INFORMATICA CORPORATION**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**Delaware**

(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

77-0333710

(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

**100 Cardinal Way**

**Redwood City, California 94063**

(Address of principal executive offices and zip code)

**(650) 385-5000**

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)  
Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

**Title of each class**

Common Stock, par value \$0.001 per share  
(Including associated Preferred Stock Purchase Rights)

**Name of exchange on which registered**

The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC  
(NASDAQ Global Select Market)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

**None.**

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act of 1933.  Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act ).  Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.  Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).  Yes  No

The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the Registrant as of June 30, 2006 was approximately \$1,121,122,000 (based on the last reported sale price of \$13.16 on June 30, 2006 on the NASDAQ Global Select Market).

As of January 31, 2007, there were approximately 86,500,000 shares of the registrant's Common Stock outstanding.

#### **DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE**

Portions of the registrant's Proxy Statement for the registrant's 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Form 10-K to the extent stated herein. The Proxy Statement will be filed within 120 days of the registrant's fiscal year ended December 31, 2006.

---

**EXPLANATORY NOTE**

This Annual Report on Form 10-K/A ( Form 10-K/A ) is being filed as Amendment No. 1 to the registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006 ( 2006 Form 10-K ) solely to delete two sentences in each of Item 7 and Item 8 of the 2006 Form 10-K that refer to third-party appraisers in the context of the Registrant's acquisition of Similarity Systems Limited and Itemfield Inc.

No other changes are being made to the 2006 Form 10-K or the consolidated financial statements included therein.

---

## **ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

This Annual Report on Form 10-K includes forward-looking statements within the meaning of the federal securities laws, particularly statements referencing our expectations relating to license revenues, service revenues, deferred revenues, cost of license revenues as a percentage of license revenues, cost of service revenues as a percentage of service revenues, and operating expenses as a percentage of total revenues; the recording of amortization of acquired technology, and stock-based compensation and share-based payments; provision for income taxes; deferred taxes; international expansion; the ability of our products to meet customer demand; expected savings from our 2004 and 2001 Restructuring Plans; the sufficiency of our cash balances and cash flows for the next 12 months; our stock repurchase program; investment and potential investments of cash or stock to acquire or invest in complementary businesses, products, or technologies; the impact of recent changes in accounting standards; and assumptions underlying any of the foregoing. In some cases, forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of terminology such as may, will, expects, intends, plans, anticipates, estimates, potential, or continue, thereof, or other comparable terminology. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements contained herein are reasonable, these expectations or any of the forward-looking statements could prove to be incorrect, and actual results could differ materially from those projected or assumed in the forward-looking statements. Our future financial condition and results of operations, as well as any forward-looking statements, are subject to risks and uncertainties, including but not limited to the factors set forth under Item 1A. Risk Factors. All forward-looking statements and reasons why results may differ included in this Report are made as of the date hereof, and we assume no obligation to update any such forward-looking statements or reasons why actual results may differ.

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and notes thereto appearing elsewhere in this Report.

### *Overview*

We are a leading provider of enterprise data integration software. We generate revenues from sales of software licenses for our enterprise data integration software products and from sales of services, which consist of maintenance, consulting, and education services.

We receive revenues from licensing our products under perpetual licenses directly to end users and indirectly through resellers, distributors, and OEMs in the United States and internationally. We also receive a small amount of revenues under subscription-based licenses from companies making our technology available as part of their own on-demand offerings. Most of our international sales have been in Europe, and revenue outside of Europe and North America has comprised 6% or less of total consolidated revenues during the last three years. We receive service revenues from maintenance contracts, consulting services, and education services that we perform for customers that license our products either directly or indirectly.

We license our software and provide services to many industry sectors, including energy and utilities, financial services, insurance, government and public sector, healthcare, high-technology, manufacturing, retail, services, telecommunications, and transportation.

In 2006, our total revenues grew 21% to \$324.6 million and we generated net income of \$36.2 million, or \$0.39 per diluted share. The increase in license revenues was a result primarily of an increase in the average size of our transactions and, to a lesser extent, an increase in volume of transactions and an increase in international license revenues. The increase in service revenues was primarily from increased maintenance revenues driven by strong renewals from our expanding customer base, coupled with contribution from the new releases of existing products.

On January 26, 2006, we acquired Similarity Systems Limited ( Similarity ), a provider of a software product suite that includes data profiling, data standardization, data cleansing, data matching, and data quality monitoring. We have extended our enterprise data integration platform by working to incorporate certain components of Similarity s product suite, including its patented data quality technology.

On March 8, 2006, we issued and sold convertible senior notes with an aggregate principal amount of \$230 million due in 2026 ( Notes ). We used approximately \$50 million of the net proceeds from the offering to fund the purchase of shares of our common stock concurrently with the offering of the Notes, and we intend to use the balance of the net proceeds for working capital and general corporate purposes, which may include the acquisition of businesses, products, product rights or technologies, strategic investments, or additional purchases of common stock.

On December 15, 2006, we acquired Itemfield, Inc. ( Itemfield ), a private company, pursuant to a Merger Agreement. Under the Merger Agreement, Itemfield stockholders, non-employee option holders and certain employees are entitled to receive \$52.1 million in cash. In addition, the options held by Itemfield employees were assumed by Informatica and converted into options to purchase approximately 158,000 shares of Informatica common stock valued on the date of close at approximately \$1.9 million. Itemfield's data transformation technologies enable near-universal access to unstructured and semi-structured data. Incorporation of such technology extends our data integration platform to allow customers to integrate these data sources with traditional structured data sources. With the acquisition of Itemfield, Informatica gained 59 employees, based primarily in Israel and the United States.

Because our market is a dynamic one, we face both significant opportunities and challenges. As such, we focus on several key factors:

**Competition:** Inherent in our industry are risks arising from competition with existing software solutions, technological advances from other vendors, and the perception of cost-savings by solving data integration challenges through customer hand-coded development. Our prospective customers may view these alternative solutions as more attractive than our offerings. Additionally, the consolidation activity in our industry (including Business Objects' acquisition of FirstLogic, and Oracle's acquisition of Sunopsis) could pose challenges as competitors could potentially offer our prospective customers a broader suite of software products or solutions.

**New Product Introductions:** To address the expanding data integration and data integrity needs of our customers and prospective customers, we continue to introduce new products and technology enhancements on a regular basis. For example, in May 2006, we delivered the generally available release of PowerCenter 8, which included new products, Informatica Data Quality and Informatica Data Explorer that deliver advanced data quality capabilities. In September 2006, we delivered general availability of the PowerCenter Connect for salesforce.com to enable joint customers to integrate data managed by salesforce.com. Also, in November 2006, we announced general availability of new versions of Informatica Data Quality and Informatica Data Explorer. New product introductions and/or enhancements have inherent risks including, but not limited to, product availability, product quality and interoperability, and customer adoption or the delay in customer purchases. Given the risks and new nature of the products, we cannot predict their impact on overall sales and revenues.

**Quarterly and Seasonal Fluctuations:** Historically, purchasing patterns in the software industry have followed quarterly and seasonal trends and they are likely to do so in the future. We typically recognize a substantial portion of our new license orders in the last month of each quarter and sometimes in the last few weeks of each quarter although such fluctuations are mitigated by backlog orders entering into a quarter. Seasonally, in recent years, the fourth quarter has generated the highest level of license revenue and order backlog, and we have generally had lower levels of demand for our software products and services in the first and third quarters. Additionally, our consulting and education services have sometimes been negatively impacted in the fourth quarter and first quarter due to the holiday season and internal meetings, which result in fewer billable hours for our consultants and fewer education classes.

To address these potential risks, we have focused on a number of key initiatives, including the strengthening of our partnerships, the broadening of our distribution capability worldwide and the targeting of our sales force and distribution channel on new products.

We are concentrating on expanding and extending our relationships with our existing strategic partners and building relationships with additional strategic partners. These partners include systems integrators, resellers and distributors,

as well as strategic technology partners, including enterprise application providers, database vendors, and enterprise information integration vendors, in the United States and internationally. In addition to becoming a global OEM partner with Hyperion Solutions and partnering with salesforce.com, we expanded and extended our OEM relationship with Oracle. See Risk Factors -- *We rely on our relationships with our strategic partners. If we do not maintain and strengthen these relationships, our ability to generate revenue and control expenses could be adversely affected, which could cause a decline in the price of our common stock* in Item 1A. Additionally, our alliance managers have developed and continue to add new strategic partnerships with vendors in the on-demand market. In 2006, we formalized our relationship with Deloitte Consulting and jointly went to market with its Enterprise Risk Services practice and our Data Quality products. We also expanded our market reach with new reseller agreements with Teradata and Sun Microsystems.

We have also broadened our distribution efforts. In 2006, we continued to expand our sales both in terms of selling data warehouse products to the enterprise level and of selling more strategic data integration solutions beyond data warehousing, including data quality, data migrations, data consolidations, data synchronizations, data hubs and cross-enterprise data



integration to our customers' enterprise architects and chief information officers. We have also continued expanding our international sales presence by opening new offices and increasing headcount. This included opening sales offices in Brazil, China, India, Japan, South Korea and Taiwan. We also established training partnerships in India, Latin America and the United States to provide hands-on product training for customers and partners. As the result of this international expansion, as well as the increase in our direct sales headcount in the United States during 2005, our sales and marketing expenses have increased accordingly during 2005 and 2006. We expect these investments to result in increased revenues and productivity and ultimately higher profitability. However, if we experience an increase in sales personnel turnover, do not achieve expected increases in our sales pipeline, experience a decline in our sales pipeline conversion ratio, or do not achieve increases in productivity and efficiencies from our new sales personnel as they gain more experience, then we may not achieve our expected increases in revenue, productivity, or profitability. While we have experienced some increases in revenue and productivity in the United States, we have not yet achieved such increases in productivity internationally.

To address the risks of introducing new products, we have continued to invest in programs to help train our internal sales force and our external distribution channel on new product functionalities, key differentiations, and key business values. These programs include Informatica World for customers and partners, our annual sales kickoff conference for all sales and key marketing personnel, Webinars for our direct sales force and indirect distribution channel, in-person technical seminars for our pre-sales consultants, the building of product demonstrations, and creation and distribution of targeted marketing collateral. We have also invested in partner enablement programs, including product-specific briefings to partners and the inclusion of several partners in our beta programs.

### **Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

In preparing our consolidated financial statements, we make assumptions, judgments, and estimates that can have a significant impact on amounts reported in our consolidated financial statements. We base our assumptions, judgments, and estimates on historical experience and various other factors that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results could differ materially from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. On a regular basis we evaluate our assumptions, judgments, and estimates and make changes accordingly. We also discuss our critical accounting estimates with the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors. We believe that the assumptions, judgments, and estimates involved in the accounting for revenue recognition, facilities restructuring charges, accounting for income taxes, accounting for impairment of goodwill, acquisitions, and share-based payment compensation expense have the greatest potential impact on our consolidated financial statements, so we consider these to be our critical accounting policies. We discuss below the critical accounting estimates associated with these policies. Historically, our assumptions, judgments, and estimates relative to our critical accounting policies have not differed materially from actual results. For further information on our significant accounting policies, see the discussion in Note 2. *Summary of Significant Accounting Policies* in Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Part II, Item 8 of this Report.

#### ***Revenue Recognition***

We follow detailed revenue recognition guidelines, which are discussed below. We recognize revenue in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles ( GAAP ) in the United States that have been prescribed for the software industry. The accounting rules related to revenue recognition are complex and are affected by interpretations of the rules, which are subject to change. Consequently, the revenue recognition accounting rules require management to make significant judgments, such as determining if collectibility is probable.

We derive revenues from software license fees, maintenance fees (which entitle the customer to receive product support and unspecified software updates), and professional services, consisting of consulting and education services. We follow the appropriate revenue recognition rules for each type of revenue. The basis for recognizing software

license revenue is determined by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants ( AICPA ) Statement of Position ( SOP ) 97-2 *Software Revenue Recognition, together with other authoritative literature. For other authoritative literature, see the subsection Revenue Recognition* in Note 2. *Summary of Significant Accounting Policies* of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Part II, Item 8 of this Report. Substantially all of our software licenses are perpetual licenses under which the customer acquires the perpetual right to use the software as provided and subject to the conditions of the license agreement. We recognize revenue when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred, the fee is fixed or determinable, and collection is probable. In applying these criteria to revenue transactions, we must exercise judgment and use estimates to determine the amount of software, maintenance, and professional services revenue to be recognized each period.

Our judgment in determining the collectibility of amounts due from our customers impacts the timing of revenue recognition. We assess credit worthiness and collectibility, and, when a customer is not deemed credit worthy, revenue is recognized when payment is received.

We assess whether fees are fixed or determinable prior to recognizing revenue. We must make interpretations of our customer contracts and exercise judgments in determining if the fees associated with a license arrangement are fixed or determinable. We consider factors including extended payment terms, financing arrangements, the category of customer (end-user customer or reseller), rights of return or refund, and our history of enforcing the terms and conditions of customer contracts. If the fee due from a customer is not fixed or determinable due to extended payment terms, revenue is recognized when payment becomes due or upon cash receipt, whichever is earlier. If we determine that a fee due from a reseller is not fixed or determinable upon shipment to the reseller, we defer the revenue until the reseller provides us with evidence of sell-through to an end-user customer or upon cash receipt.

Our software license arrangements include multiple elements: software license fees, maintenance fees, consulting, and/or education services. We use the residual method to recognize license revenue upon delivery when the arrangement includes elements to be delivered at a future date and vendor-specific objective evidence ( VSOE ) of fair value exists to allocate the fee to the undelivered elements of the arrangement. VSOE is based on the price charged when an element is sold separately. If VSOE does not exist for any undelivered element of the arrangement, all revenue is deferred until all elements have been delivered, or VSOE is established. We are required to exercise judgment in determining if VSOE exists for each undelivered element.

Consulting services, if included as part of the software arrangement, generally do not require significant modification or customization of the software. If, in our judgment, the software arrangement includes significant modification or customization of the software, software license revenue is recognized as the consulting services revenue is recognized.

Consulting revenues are primarily related to implementation services and product configurations performed on a time-and-materials basis and, occasionally, on a fixed-fee basis. Revenue is generally recognized as these services are performed. If uncertainty exists about our ability to complete the project, our ability to collect the amounts due, or in the case of fixed-fee consulting arrangements, our ability to estimate the remaining costs to be incurred to complete the project, revenue is deferred until the uncertainty is resolved.

### ***Facilities Restructuring Charges***

During the fourth quarter of 2004, we recorded significant charges (the 2004 Restructuring Plan) related to the relocation of our corporate headquarters to take advantage of more favorable lease terms and reduced operating expenses. In addition, we significantly increased the 2001 restructuring charges (the 2001 Restructuring Plan) in the third and fourth quarters of 2004 due to changes in our assumptions used to calculate the original charges as a result of our decision to relocate our corporate headquarters. The accrued restructuring charges represent gross lease obligations and estimated commissions and other costs (principally leasehold improvements and asset write-offs), offset by actual and estimated gross sublease income, which is net of estimated broker commissions and tenant improvement allowances, expected to be received over the remaining lease terms.

These liabilities include management's estimates pertaining to sublease activities. Inherent in the assessment of the costs related to our restructuring efforts are estimates related to the most likely expected outcome of the significant actions to accomplish the restructuring. We will continue to evaluate the commercial real estate market conditions periodically to determine if our estimates of the amount and timing of future sublease income are reasonable based on current and expected commercial real estate market conditions. Our estimates of sublease income may vary significantly depending, in part, on factors that may be beyond our control, such as the time periods required to locate

and contract suitable subleases and the market rates at the time of such subleases. Currently, we have subleased our excess facilities in connection with our 2004 and 2001 facilities restructuring but for durations that are generally less than the remaining lease terms.

If we determine that there is a change in the estimated sublease rates or in the expected time it will take us to sublease our vacant space, we may incur additional restructuring charges in the future and our cash position could be adversely affected. For example, we increased our 2001 Restructuring Plan charges in 2002 and 2004 based on the continued deterioration in the San Francisco Bay Area and Dallas, Texas real estate markets. See Note 7. *Facilities Restructuring Charges* in Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Part II, Item 8 of this Report. Future adjustments to the charges could result from a change in the time period that the buildings will be vacant, expected sublease rates, expected sublease terms, and the expected time it will take to sublease. We have periodically updated the original restructuring charges based on current real estate market information and trend analysis and executed sublease agreements.

### ***Accounting for Income Taxes***

We use the asset and liability method of accounting for income taxes in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standard ( SFAS ) No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*. Under this method, income tax expenses or benefits are recognized for the amount of taxes payable or refundable for the current year and for deferred tax liabilities and assets for the future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in our consolidated financial statements or tax returns. We also account for any income tax contingencies in accordance with SFAS No. 5, *Accounting for Contingencies*. The measurement of current and deferred tax assets and liabilities is based on provisions of currently enacted tax laws. The effects of future changes in tax laws or rates are not contemplated.

As part of the process of preparing consolidated financial statements, we are required to estimate our income taxes and tax contingencies in each of the tax jurisdictions in which we operate prior to the completion and filing of tax returns for such periods. This process involves estimating actual current tax expense together with assessing temporary differences resulting from differing treatment of items, such as deferred revenue, for tax and accounting purposes. These differences result in net deferred tax assets and liabilities. We must then assess the likelihood that the deferred tax assets will be realizable and to the extent we believe that realizability is not likely, we must establish a valuation allowance. To the extent we establish a valuation allowance or adjust such allowance in a period, we must include a tax expense or benefit within the tax provision in the statement of operations. In the event that actual results differ from these estimates or we adjust these estimates in future periods, we may need to adjust our valuation allowance, which could impact our results of operations in the quarter in which such determination is made.

In June 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes*, an interpretation of FAS 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes* (FIN 48), to create a single model to address accounting for uncertainty in tax positions. FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for income taxes by prescribing a minimum recognition threshold a tax position is required to meet before being recognized in the financial statements. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, measurement, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure, and transition. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. We will adopt FIN 48 as of January 1, 2007, as required. The cumulative effect of adopting FIN 48 will be recorded in retained earnings and other accounts as applicable. We have not determined the effect, if any, that the adoption of FIN 48 will have on our financial position and results of operations. As a result of FIN 48, we could have greater volatility in our effective tax rate in the future.

### ***Accounting for Impairment of Goodwill***

We assess goodwill for impairment in accordance with SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*, which requires that goodwill be tested for impairment at the reporting unit level ( Reporting Unit ) at least annually and more frequently upon the occurrence of certain events, as defined by SFAS No. 142. Consistent with our determination that we have only one reporting segment, we have determined that there is only one Reporting Unit, specifically the license, implementation, and support of our software products. Goodwill was tested for impairment in our annual impairment tests on October 31 in each of the years 2006, 2005, and 2004 using the two-step process required by SFAS No. 142. First, we reviewed the carrying amount of the Reporting Unit compared to the fair value of the Reporting Unit based on quoted market prices of our common stock. If such comparison reflected potential impairment, we would then prepare the discounted cash flow analyses. Such analyses are based on cash flow assumptions that are consistent with the plans and estimates being used to manage the business. An excess carrying value compared to fair value would indicate that goodwill may be impaired. Finally, if we determined that goodwill may be impaired, then we would compare the implied fair value of the goodwill, as defined by SFAS No. 142, to its carrying amount to determine the impairment loss, if any.

Based on these estimates, we determined in our annual impairment tests as of October 31 of each year that the fair value of the Reporting Unit exceeded the carrying amount and, accordingly, goodwill was not impaired. Assumptions and estimates about future values and remaining useful lives are complex and often subjective. They can be affected by a variety of factors, including such external factors as industry and economic trends and such internal factors as changes in our business strategy and our internal forecasts. Although we believe the assumptions and estimates we have made in the past have been reasonable and appropriate, different assumptions and estimates could materially impact our reported financial results. Accordingly, future changes in market capitalization or estimates used in discounted cash flows analyses could result in significantly different fair values of the Reporting Unit, which may impair goodwill.

### ***Acquisitions***

We are required to allocate the purchase price of acquired companies to the tangible and intangible assets acquired, liabilities assumed, as well as purchased in-process research and development ( IPR&D ) based on their estimated fair values. This valuation requires management to make significant estimates and assumptions, especially with respect to long-lived and intangible assets.

Critical estimates in valuing certain of the intangible assets include but are not limited to future expected cash flows from customer contracts, customer lists, distribution agreements, trade names, non-compete agreements, and acquired developed technologies and patents; expected costs to develop the IPR&D into commercially viable products and estimating cash flows from the projects when completed; the acquired company's brand awareness and market position, as well as assumptions about the period of time the brand will continue to be used in the combined company's product portfolio; and discount rates. Management's estimates of fair value are based upon assumptions believed to be reasonable but which are inherently uncertain and unpredictable. Assumptions may be incomplete or inaccurate, and unanticipated events and circumstances may occur.

### ***Share-Based Payments***

We account for share-based compensation related to share-based transactions in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123(R). Under the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123(R), share-based payment expense is estimated at the grant date based on the fair value of the award and is recognized as expense ratably over the requisite service period of the award. Determining the appropriate fair value model and calculating the fair value of stock-based awards requires judgment, including estimating stock price volatility, forfeiture rates, and expected life.

We have estimated the expected volatility as an input into the Black-Scholes valuation formula when assessing the fair value of options granted. Our current estimate of volatility was based upon a blend of average historical and market-based implied volatilities of our stock price. To the extent volatility of our stock price increases in the future, our estimates of the fair value of options granted in the future could increase, thereby increasing share-based payment expense in future periods. For instance, an estimate in volatility 10 percentage points higher would have resulted in a \$3.0 million increase in the fair value of options granted during the year ended December 31, 2006. In addition, we apply an expected forfeiture rate when amortizing share-based payment expense. Our estimate of the forfeiture rate is based primarily upon historical experience of employee turnover. To the extent we revise this estimate in the future, our share-based payment expense could be materially impacted in the quarter of revision, as well as in following quarters. In the fourth quarter of 2006, we determined that the estimated forfeiture rate for unvested options required an adjustment due to changes in retention rates, changes in the stock price, and other factors that generally increase an employee's expected length of service. We lowered our forfeiture rate from 18% during the nine months period ended December 31, 2006 to 16% in the three months ended December 31, 2006, primarily due to changes in historical employee termination rates. As a result of this change, our stock-based compensation increased approximately \$0.4 million for the three months ended December 31, 2006. Our expected term of options granted was derived from the historical option exercises, post-vesting cancellations, and estimates concerning future exercises/cancellations of vested/unvested options that remain outstanding. In the future, as empirical evidence regarding these input estimates is able to provide more directionally predictive results, we may change or refine our approach of deriving these input estimates. These changes could impact our fair value of options granted in the future.

**Results of Operations**

The following table presents certain financial data as a percentage of total revenues:

	<b>Years Ended December 31,</b>		
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>
Revenues:			
License	45%	45%	45%
Service	55	55	55
Total revenues	100	100	100
Cost of revenues:			
License	2	2	2
Service	18	18	18
Amortization of acquired technology	1	-	1
Total cost of revenues	21	20	21
Gross margin	79	80	79
Operating expenses:			
Sales and marketing	43	44	43
Research and development	17	16	23
General and administrative	9	8	10
Amortization of intangible assets	-	-	-
Purchased in-process research and development	-	-	-
Restructuring charges	1	1	52
Total operating expenses	70	69	128
Income (loss) from operations	9	11	(49)
Interest income and other, net	4	3	2
Income (loss) before income taxes	13	14	(47)
Income taxes provision	2	1	1
Net income (loss)	11%	13%	(48)%

Net income, as a percentage of revenue, dropped by 4% in the year ended December 31, 2006 to include the impact of SFAS 123(R) stock-based compensation.

**Revenues**



Edgar Filing: INFORMATICA CORP - Form 10-K/A

Our total revenues were \$324.6 million in 2006 compared to \$267.4 million in 2005 and \$219.7 million in 2004, representing growth of \$57.2 million, or 21%, in 2006 from 2005 and \$47.7 million, or 22%, in 2005 from 2004.

The following table and discussion compares our revenues by type for the three years ended December 31, 2006:

	Years Ended December 31,			Percentage Change	
	2006	2005	2004	2005 to 2006	2004 to 2005
	(In thousands, except percentages)				
License	\$ 146,092	120,182	97,941	22%	23%
Service revenues:					
Maintenance	124,955	103,573	87,470	21%	18%
Consulting and education	53,551	43,676	34,270	23%	27%
Total service revenues	178,506	147,249	121,740	21%	21%
Total revenues	\$ 324,598	267,431	219,681	21%	22%

Our license revenues increased to \$146.1 million in 2006 compared to \$120.2 million in 2005 and \$97.9 million in 2004, representing growth of \$25.9 million, or 22%, in 2006 from 2005, and \$22.3 million, or 23%, in 2005 from 2004. The increase in license revenues in 2006 from 2005 was primarily due to an increase in the average size of our transactions and to a lesser extent was due to an increase in volume of transactions and an increase in international license revenues. The increase in license revenues in 2005 from 2004 was primarily due to an increase in both the volume and the average size of our transactions, and an increase in international license revenues. Previously, we did not include upgrades, which were not part of the post-contract services, in the determination of the average transaction amount. We provide the upgrades that are part of the post-contract services to our customers at no additional charge. Given the increased value and breadth of upgrades especially related to PowerCenter 8, we are now including upgrades in the calculation of the average transaction amount. The average transaction amount for orders greater than \$100,000 in 2006, including upgrades, increased to \$332,000 from \$315,000 and \$299,000 in 2005 and 2004, respectively. The number of transactions greater than \$1.0 million increased to 25 in 2006 from 17 in 2005 and 11 in 2004. We believe that the increase in average transaction amount is primarily the result of larger deployments by customers and continued growth in the broader data integration market.

### ***Service Revenues***

#### ***Maintenance Revenues***

Maintenance revenues increased to \$125.0 million in 2006 from \$103.6 million in 2005 and \$87.5 million in 2004, representing growth of \$21.4 million, or 21% in 2006 from 2005 and \$16.1 million, or 18% in 2005 from 2004. These increases in maintenance revenues in 2006 and 2005 were primarily due to consistently strong renewals of maintenance contracts in 2006 and 2005, coupled with the continually increasing size of our customer base. For 2007, based on our growing installed customer base, we expect maintenance revenues to increase from the 2006 levels.

#### ***Consulting and Education Services Revenues***

Consulting and education services revenues were \$53.6 million in 2006, \$43.7 million in 2005, and \$34.3 million in 2004. The \$9.9 million, or 23%, increase in 2006 compared to 2005 was primarily due to an increase in demand and an increase in capacity to meet the demand in consulting services in North America, Europe, and Latin America. The \$9.4 million, or 27%, increase in 2005 compared to 2004 was primarily due to an increase in demand and an increase in capacity to meet the demand in consulting and education services in North America. For 2007, we expect to maintain our current utilization rate and continue to add overall consulting capacity, and thus we expect revenues from consulting and education services to increase from the 2006 levels.

#### ***International Revenues***

Our international revenues were \$97.9 million in 2006, \$82.3 million in 2005, and \$63.1 million in 2004, representing an increase of \$15.6 million, or 19%, in 2006 from 2005 and an increase of \$19.2 million, or 30%, in 2005 from 2004.

The \$15.6 million increase in 2006 from 2005 in international revenues was primarily due to our continued expansion in Europe, Asia Pacific, and Latin America. The \$19.2 million increase in 2005 from 2004 in international revenues was primarily due to our continued expansion in Europe and Asia Pacific. For 2007, we expect international revenues as a percentage of total revenue to be relatively consistent with, or increase slightly from, the 2006 levels.

**Cost of Revenues**

	Years Ended December 31,			Percentage Change	
	2006	2005	2004	2005 to 2006	2004 to 2005
	(In thousands, except percentages)				
Cost of license revenues	\$ 6,978	\$ 4,465	\$ 3,778	56%	18 %
Cost of service revenues	58,402	46,801	40,346	25%	16 %
Amortization of acquired technology	2,118	922	2,322	130%	(60)%
Total cost of revenues	\$ 67,498	\$ 52,188	\$ 46,446	29%	12 %
Cost of license revenues, as a percentage of license revenues	5%	4%	4%	1%	- %
Cost of service revenues, as a percentage of service revenues	33%	32%	33%	1%	(1)%

***Cost of License Revenues***

Our cost of license revenues consists primarily of software royalties, product packaging, documentation, production costs and personnel costs. Cost of license revenues was \$7.0 million in 2006, \$4.5 million in 2005, and \$3.8 million in 2004 representing approximately 5%, 4%, and 4% of license revenues in 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively. The \$2.5 million, or 56% increase in 2006 over 2005 was primarily due to \$1.6 million write off of licensed technology and higher transaction volumes for sales of royalty bearing products. The \$0.7 million, or 18%, increase in 2005 from 2004 was primarily due to higher transaction volumes for sales of royalty bearing products. For 2007, we expect the cost of license revenues as a percentage of license revenues to return to pre-2006 levels at approximately 4% of license revenues.

***Cost of Service Revenues***

Our cost of service revenues is a combination of costs of maintenance, consulting, and education services revenues. Our cost of maintenance revenues consists mainly of costs associated with customer service personnel expenses and royalty fees for maintenance related to third-party software providers. Cost of consulting revenues consists primarily of personnel costs and expenses incurred in providing consulting services at customers' facilities. Cost of education services revenues consists primarily of the costs of developing course curriculum and providing training classes and materials at our headquarters, sales and training offices, and customer locations. Cost of service revenues was \$58.4 million in 2006, \$46.8 million in 2005, and \$40.3 million in 2004, representing 33%, 32%, and 33%, of services revenue in 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively. The \$11.6 million or 25% increase in 2006 from 2005 was primarily due to headcount growth in customer support, professional services, and education service groups which grew from 272 in 2005 to 318 in 2006. The \$6.5 million or 16% increase in 2005 from 2004 was primarily due to headcount growth in the customer support, professional service, and education service groups from 200 in 2004 to 272 in 2005. For 2007, we expect the cost of service revenues, in absolute dollars, to increase from the 2006 levels due in large part to headcount increases associated with increased service revenues. As a percentage of service revenues, we expect the cost of service revenues to remain relatively consistent with 2006 levels.

***Amortization of Acquired Technology***

Amortization of acquired technology is the amortization of technologies acquired through business combinations. Amortization of acquired technology totaled \$2.1 million, \$0.9 million, and \$2.3 million in 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively. The \$1.2 million or 133% increase in 2006 from 2005 was primarily due to certain developed technology acquired in our 2006 acquisition of Similarity. The \$1.4 million or 60% decrease in 2005 from 2004 was primarily due to certain developed technology acquired in our 2003 acquisition of Striva being fully amortized as of December 31, 2004. For 2007, we expect amortization of acquired technology to be approximately \$2.8 million including the amortization resulting from the Similarity and Itemfield acquisitions. We may incur additional amortization expense beyond these expected future levels to the extent we make additional acquisitions.

**Operating Expenses*****Research and Development***

	Years Ended December 31,			Percentage Change	
	2006	2005	2004	2005 to 2006	2004 to 2005
	(In thousands, except percentages)				
Research and development	\$ 54,997	\$ 42,585	\$ 51,322	29%	(17)%

Our research and development expenses consist primarily of salaries and other personnel-related expenses, consulting services, facilities, and related overhead costs associated with the development of new products, enhancement and localization of existing products, quality assurance, and development of documentation for our products. The \$12.4 million or 29% increase in 2006 from 2005 was primarily due to \$4.0 million increase in personnel-related cost including travel-related and equipment-related expense, as a result of headcount increasing from 259 in 2005 to 330 in 2006. Most of the headcount increase was related to the acquisitions of Similarity and Itemfield. Also contributing to this increase was an increase of \$3.6 million in legal expenses related to the Business Objects lawsuit, a \$2.6 million increase in stock-based compensation, and a \$1.4 million increase in consulting services. The \$8.7 million or 17% decrease in 2005 from 2004 was primarily due to a \$8.1 million decrease in facilities and related overhead costs in connection with the 2004 Restructuring Plan and a \$1.7 million decrease in stock-based compensation and was partially offset by a \$0.9 million increase in personnel-related costs including travel-related and equipment-related expenses, as a result of headcount increasing from 238 in 2004 to 259 in 2005. Research and development expenses represented 17%, 16%, and 23% of total revenues in 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively. To date, all software and development costs have been expensed since they were incurred prior to the establishment of technological feasibility. For 2007, as a percentage of total revenues, we expect the research and development expenses to remain relatively consistent with 2006 levels.

***Sales and Marketing***

	Years Ended December 31,			Percentage Change	
	2006	2005	2004	2005 to 2006	2004 to 2005
	(In thousands, except percentages)				
Sales and marketing	\$ 138,851	\$ 118,770	\$ 94,900	17%	25%

Our sales and marketing expenses consist primarily of personnel costs, including commissions and bonus, as well as costs of public relations, seminars, marketing programs, lead generation, travel, and trade shows. The \$20.1 million or 17% increase was primarily due to headcount growth from 362 in 2005 to 431 in 2006. In 2006, personnel-related costs, including salaries and wages, travel-related and equipment-related expenses and telecommunication expenses increased by \$11.9 million, stock-based compensation increased by \$4.7 million, marketing program related spending increased by \$1.9 million, facilities expense increased by \$0.6 million with the opening of new offices, and the costs for outside services increased by \$0.7 million. The \$23.9 million, or 25%, increase in 2005 from 2004 was primarily due to headcount growth from 296 in 2004 to 362 in 2005. In 2005, personnel-related costs, including salaries and wages, travel-related and equipment-related expenses, and telecommunication expenses increased by \$13.3 million,

sales commissions increased by \$9.5 million, marketing programs spending increased by \$1.1 million, and costs for outside services including lead generation costs and costs associated with opening new offices in Asia-Pacific increased by \$1.0 million. These increases were partially offset by a \$1.0 million decrease in deferred stock-based compensation. Sales and marketing expenses represented 43%, 44%, and 43% of total revenues in 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively. For 2007, we expect the sales and marketing expenses, as a percentage of total revenues, to slightly decrease from the 2006 levels. We also expect the percentage of total revenues represented by sales and marketing expenses to fluctuate from period to period due to the timing of hiring of new sales and marketing personnel, our spending on marketing programs, and the level of the commission expenditures, in each period.

***General and Administrative***

	Years Ended December 31,			Percentage Change	
	2006	2005	2004	2005 to 2006	2004 to 2005
	(In thousands, except percentages)				
General and administrative	\$ 28,187	\$ 20,583	\$ 20,755	37%	(1)%

Our general and administrative expenses consist primarily of personnel costs for finance, human resources, legal, and general management, as well as professional service expenses associated with recruiting, legal, and accounting services.

General and administrative expenses increased by \$7.6 million or 37% in 2006 from 2005. The most significant factor driving this increase was stock-based compensation, which increased by \$4.7 million over 2005. Personnel-related costs, including salaries and wages, travel-related and equipment-related expenses and telecommunication expenses increased by \$2.0 million due to headcount increases from 117 in 2005 to 142 in 2006. Outside services, which consists of legal, accounting, and tax services, increased by \$1.0 million in 2006 over 2005. The increase in personnel-related costs and outside services continues to be driven by compliance with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 ( Sarbanes-Oxley ). General and administrative expenses decreased slightly to \$20.6 million in 2005 from \$20.8 million in 2004. The \$0.2 million or 1% decrease in expenses in 2005 from 2004 was primarily due to a \$1.6 million decrease in facilities and related overhead costs in connection with the 2004 Restructuring Plan, which was partially offset by a \$0.9 million increase in personnel-related costs and a \$0.5 million increase in fees paid to professional service providers. The increase of personnel related costs and professional service fees was primarily due to additional costs of compliance with Sarbanes-Oxley. General and administrative expenses represented 9%, 8%, and 10% of our total revenues in 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively. For 2007, we expect the general and administrative expenses as a percentage of total revenues to remain relatively consistent with, or decline slightly from, the 2006 levels.

#### ***Purchased In-Process Research and Development (IPR&D)***

	<b>Years Ended December 31,</b>		
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>
	(In thousands, except percentages)		
Purchased in-process research and development	\$ 1,340	\$ -	\$ -

In 2006, in conjunction with our acquisition of Similarity, we recorded IPR&D charges of \$1.3 million. The IPR&D charges were associated with software development efforts in process at the time of the acquisition that had not yet achieved technological feasibility, and no future alternative uses had been identified. We did not incur any IPR&D charges in relation to the Itemfield acquisition.

We may further incur IPR&D expense in the future to the extent we make additional acquisitions.

#### ***Facilities Restructuring Charges***

	<b>Years Ended December 31,</b>			<b>Percentage Change</b>	
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>2005 to 2006</b>	<b>2004 to 2005</b>
	(In thousands, except percentages)				
Facilities restructuring charges	\$ 3,212	\$ 3,683	\$ 112,636	(13)%	(97)%

In 2006, we recorded \$3.2 million of restructuring charges related to the 2004 and 2001 Restructuring Plans. These charges included \$4.3 million of accretion charges and a \$0.2 million charge for amortization of tenant improvements, offset by an adjustment to reflect a \$1.3 million increase in our assumed sublease income. See Note 7. Facilities Restructuring Charges of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this Report.

As of December 31, 2006, \$83.8 million of total lease termination costs, net of actual and expected sublease income, less broker commissions and tenant improvement costs related to facilities to be subleased, was included in accrued restructuring charges and is expected to be paid by 2013.

In 2005, we subleased an additional 86,000 square feet of office space at the Pacific Shores Center for the lease terms expiring in 2008 and 2013. In 2005, we subleased the remainder of our excess facilities in connection with our 2004 and 2001 facilities restructuring for durations that are generally less than the remaining lease terms.

**2004 Restructuring Plan.** Net cash payments for facilities included in the 2004 Restructuring Plan amounted to \$9.7 million in 2006, \$13.9 million in 2005, and no net cash payments in 2004. Actual future cash requirements may differ from the restructuring liability balances as of December 31, 2006, if there are changes to the time period that facilities are vacant, or the actual sublease income is different from current estimates.

**2001 Restructuring Plan.** Net cash payments for facilities included in the 2001 Restructuring Plan amounted to \$4.0 million, \$4.4 million and \$4.5 million in 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively. Actual future cash requirements may differ from the restructuring liability balances as of December 31, 2006 if we are unable to continue subleasing the excess leased



facilities, there are changes to the time period that facilities are vacant, or the actual sublease income is different from current estimates.

Our results of operations has been positively affected since 2004 by a significant decrease in rent expense and decreases to non-cash depreciation and amortization expense for the leasehold improvements and equipment written off. These combined savings were approximately \$10 to \$11 million annually compared to 2004, after accretion charges, and we anticipate that they will continue in 2007, 2008 and 2009.

In addition, we will continue to evaluate our current facilities requirements to identify facilities that are in excess of our current and estimated future needs, as well as evaluate the assumptions related to estimated future sublease income for excess facilities. Accordingly, any changes to these estimates of excess facilities costs could result in additional charges that could materially affect our consolidated financial position and results of operations. See Note 7. Facilities Restructuring Charges of Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Part II, Item 8 of this Report.

### Interest Income and Other, Net

	Years Ended December 31,			Percentage Change	
	2006	2005	2004	2005 to 2006	2004 to 2005
	(In thousands, except percentages)				
Interest income	\$ 18,188	\$ 7,256	\$ 3,503	151 %	107 %
Interest expense	(5,782)	-	(54)	* %	(100)%
Other expense, net	(583)	(712)	(58)	(18)%	1,128 %
	\$ 11,823	\$ 6,544	\$ 3,391	81 %	93 %

\* Percentage is not meaningful

Interest income and other, net consists primarily of interest income earned on our cash, cash equivalents, short-term investments, and restricted cash and foreign exchanges transaction gains and losses and, to a lesser degree, interest expenses. Interest income and other, net was \$11.8 million, \$6.5 million, and \$3.4 million in 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively. The increase of \$5.3 million, or 81%, in 2006 from 2005 was primarily due to increase in cash flows from operating activities as well as an increase in investment yields from the interest bearing instruments and increases in our average cash, cash equivalent, and short-term investment balances from the proceeds of the Notes, partially offset by the related interest expense, compared to 2005. The increase of \$3.2 million, or 93%, in 2005 from 2004 was primarily due to an increase in investment yields from these interest bearing instruments and increases in our average cash, cash equivalent, and short-term investment balances compared to 2004, offset by \$0.6 million in foreign exchange losses in 2005. We currently do not engage in any foreign currency hedging activities and, therefore, are susceptible to fluctuations in foreign exchange gains or losses in our results of operations in future reporting periods.

### Income Tax Provision

Years Ended December 31,

	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>2005 to 2006</b>	<b>2004 to 2005</b>
	(In thousands, except percentages)				
Income tax provision	\$ 5,477	\$ 2,174	\$ 1,220	152%	78%

We recorded an income tax provision of \$5.5 million, \$2.2 million, and \$1.2 million in 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively. The expected tax provision derived by applying the federal statutory rate to our pre-tax income in 2006 differed from the income tax provision recorded primarily due to foreign withholding and income taxes, and non-deductible amortization of deferred stock-based compensation and intangibles, offset by a decrease in our valuation allowance for deferred tax assets to the extent of tax attributes utilized, as well as provision to return adjustments recorded as discrete items in the third and fourth quarters.

The expected tax provision derived by applying the federal statutory rate to our pre-tax income in 2005 differed from the income tax provision recorded primarily due to foreign withholding and income taxes, federal and state minimum taxes, and non-deductible amortization of deferred stock-based compensation and intangibles, offset by a decrease in our valuation allowance for deferred tax assets to the extent of tax attributes utilized, the benefits from a reversal of previously accrued tax

reserve recorded as a discrete item in the third quarter, as well as provision to return adjustments recorded as discrete items in the third and fourth quarters.

The expected tax provision derived by applying the federal statutory rate to our pre-tax loss in 2004 differed from the income tax provision recorded primarily due to restructuring charges not currently deductible for tax purposes, amortization of deferred stock-based compensation and intangibles, foreign withholding and income taxes, and federal alternative minimum taxes partially offset by a decrease in our valuation allowance for deferred tax assets to the extent of tax attributes utilized and the benefit from provision to return adjustments recorded as a discrete event in the third quarter.

### **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

We have funded our operations primarily through cash flows from operations and public offerings of our common stock. As of December 31, 2006, we had \$400.6 million in available cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments and \$12.0 million of restricted cash under the terms of our Pacific Shores property leases. In January 2006, pursuant to the Purchase Agreement, Similarity stockholders were entitled to receive approximately \$48.3 million in cash and approximately 122,000 shares of Informatica common stock (which were fully vested but subject to escrow) valued on the date of close at approximately \$1.6 million. In addition, the options of Similarity option holders were assumed by Informatica and converted into options to purchase approximately 392,000 shares of Informatica common stock valued on the date of close at approximately \$5 million. In December 2006, pursuant to a merger agreement, Itemfield stockholders, non-employee option holders and certain employees were entitled to receive approximately \$52.1 million in cash and the outstanding options held by Itemfield employees were converted into approximately 158,000 shares of Informatica stock options with a fair value of \$1.9 million, of which the Company paid \$49.8 million prior to December 31, 2006.

Our primary sources of cash are the collection of accounts receivable from our customers and proceeds from the exercise of stock options and stock purchased under our employee stock purchase plan. Our uses of cash include payroll and payroll-related expenses and operating expenses such as marketing programs, travel, professional services, and facilities and related costs. We have also used cash to purchase property and equipment, repurchase common stock from the open market to reduce the dilutive impact of stock option issuances, and acquire businesses and technologies to expand our product offerings.

*Operating Activities:* Cash provided by operating activities in 2006 was \$66.9 million, representing an increase of \$29.0 million from 2005. This increase primarily resulted from an increase in net income, after adjusting for non-cash expenses and increases in deferred revenue, accrued compensation and related expenses, and income taxes payable, offset by an increase in accounts receivable, prepaid expense and other assets primarily for insurance and third-party software maintenance, payments to our vendors, and payments on our lease obligations under our facilities restructuring accrual. Our days sales outstanding in accounts receivable ( days outstanding ) increased from 58 days at December 31, 2005 to 65 days at December 31, 2006 due to higher sales toward the end of 2006. Deferred revenues increased primarily due to increased customer support contracts and assumed deferred revenue in connection with the acquisition of Itemfield in December 2006.

Cash provided by operating activities in 2005 was \$37.9 million, representing an increase of \$15.4 million from 2004. This increase primarily resulted from an increase in net income, after adjusting for non-cash expenses and increases in deferred revenue, accrued compensation and related expenses, and income taxes payable, offset by an increase in accounts receivable and prepaid expense and other assets primarily for insurance and third-party software maintenance, payments to our vendors, and payments on our lease obligations under our facilities restructuring accrual. Our days sales outstanding in accounts receivable decreased from 64 days at December 31, 2004 to 58 days at December 31, 2005. Deferred revenues increased primarily due to increased customer support contracts and increase

in deferred license revenues. Days outstanding at December 31, 2005 were primarily impacted by improvements to our collection program.

Cash provided by operating activities in 2004 was \$22.5 million, representing an increase of \$2.0 million from 2003. This increase primarily resulted from adding back accrued facilities restructuring charges and non-cash expenses to our net loss and an increase in deferred revenues, accrued compensation and related expenses, and income taxes payable. The cash provided by operating activities in 2004 was partially offset by an increase in accounts receivable, prepaid expenses, and other assets and payments against accounts payable and accrued liabilities. The increase in the facilities restructuring accrual resulted from the abandonment of our former corporate headquarters associated with our 2004 Restructuring Plan and the re-evaluation of sublease prospects for our excess facilities. Deferred revenues increased due to increased customer support contracts. Our days outstanding increased to 64 days at December 31, 2004 from 56 days at December 31, 2003.

*Investing Activities:* We anticipate that we will continue to purchase necessary property and equipment in the normal course of our business. The amount and timing of these purchases and the related cash outflows in future periods depend on a

number of factors, including the hiring of employees, the rate of change of computer hardware and software used in our business, and our business outlook. We have classified our investment portfolio as available for sale, and our investment objectives are to preserve principal and provide liquidity while maximizing yields without significantly increasing risk. We may sell an investment at any time if the quality rating of the investment declines, the yield on the investment is no longer attractive, or we are in need of cash. Because we invest only in investment securities that are highly liquid with a ready market, we believe that the purchase, maturity, or sale of our investments has no material impact on our overall liquidity. We have used cash to acquire businesses and technologies that enhance and expand our product offerings, and we anticipate that we will continue to do so in the future. The nature of these transactions makes it difficult to predict the amount and timing of such cash requirements.

*Financing Activities:* We receive cash from the exercise of common stock options and the sale of common stock under our employee stock purchase plan ( ESPP ). Net cash provided by financing activities in 2006 was \$253.8 million including issuance of convertible debt for \$230 million and issuance of common stock to option holders and participants of ESPP for \$23.8 million. Net cash provided by financing activities in 2005 and 2004 was \$21.5 million and \$13.3 million, respectively, and was due to issuance of common stock to option holders and participants of ESPP. Although we expect to continue to receive some proceeds from the issuance of common stock to option holders and participants of ESPP in future periods, the timing and amount of such proceeds are difficult to predict and are contingent on a number of factors, including the price of our common stock, the number of employees participating in our stock option plans and our employee stock purchase plan, and general market conditions.

On March 8, 2006, we issued and sold convertible senior notes with an aggregate principal amount of \$230 million due in 2026 ( Notes ). We used approximately \$50 million of the net proceeds from the offering to fund the purchase of 3,232,062 shares of our common stock concurrently with the offering of the Notes.

In 2004, our Board of Directors authorized a stock repurchase program for up to 5 million shares of our common stock. In 2005, the Board approved an extension of this program to December 31, 2005. These purchases could be made from time to time in the open market, and they were funded from available working capital. The purpose of our stock repurchase program is, among other things, to help offset the dilution caused by the issuance of stock under our employee stock option plans. The number of shares acquired and the timing of the repurchases are based on several factors, including general market conditions and the trading price of our common stock. In April 2006, our Board of Directors authorized a stock repurchase program of up to \$30 million of our common stock at any time until April 2007. As of December 31, 2006, we repurchased 2,133,000 of our common stocks for \$28.6 million. Under this program, we purchased 2,810,000 shares at a cost of \$26.5 million and 1,055,000 shares at a cost of \$6.1 million in 2005 and 2004, respectively. These shares were retired and reclassified as authorized and unissued shares of common stock. The share repurchase program expired at December 31, 2005. See Item 5 of this Report for more information regarding the stock repurchase plan. We may continue to repurchase shares from time to time, as determined by management under programs approved by the Board of Directors.

The timing and terms of the transactions will depend on market conditions, our liquidity, and other considerations. We believe that our cash balances and the cash flows generated by operations will be sufficient to satisfy our anticipated cash needs for working capital and capital expenditures for at least the next 12 months. Given our cash balances, it is less likely but still possible that we may require or desire additional funds to support our operating expenses and capital requirements or for other purposes, such as acquisitions, and may raise such additional funds through public or private equity or debt financing or from other sources. We may not be able to obtain adequate or favorable financing at that time, and any financing we obtain might be dilutive to our stockholders.

***Contractual Obligations and Operating Leases***

The following table summarizes our significant contractual obligations at December 31, 2006, and the effect such obligations are expected to have on our liquidity and cash flows in future periods (in thousands):

	<b>Total</b>	<b>Payment Due by Period</b>			
		<b>2007</b>	<b>2008 and 2009</b>	<b>2010 and 2011</b>	<b>2012 and Beyond</b>
Operating lease obligations:					
Operating lease payments	\$ 124,268	\$ 21,985	\$ 36,166	\$ 35,856	\$ 30,261
Future sublease income	(13,305)	(3,075)	(4,344)	(2,217)	(3,669)
Net operating lease obligations	110,963	18,910	31,822	33,639	26,592
Debt obligations:					
Principal payments	230,000	-	-	-	230,000
Interest payments	134,550	6,900	13,800	13,800	100,050
Other obligations *	1,200	600	600	-	-
	\$ 476,713	\$ 26,410	\$ 46,222	\$ 47,439	\$ 356,642

\* Other purchase obligations and commitments include minimum royalty payments under license agreements and do not include purchase obligations discussed below.

Our contractual obligations for 2007 include the lease term for our headquarters office in Redwood City, California, which is from December 15, 2004 to December 31, 2007. We have a three-year option to renew this lease to December 31, 2010 at fair market value. If we decide to exercise our renewal option, the renewal rate may not be comparable to our current rate. The minimum contractual lease payment is \$2.1 million for 2007.

***Contractual Obligations***

Purchase orders or contracts for the purchase of certain goods and services are not included in the preceding table. We cannot determine the aggregate amount of such purchase orders that represent contractual obligations because purchase orders may represent authorizations to purchase rather than binding agreements. For the purposes of this table, contractual obligations for purchase of goods or services are defined as agreements that are enforceable and legally binding and that specify all significant terms, including fixed or minimum quantities to be purchased; fixed, minimum, or variable price provisions; and the approximate timing of the transaction. Our purchase orders are based on our current needs and are fulfilled by our vendors within short time horizons. We also enter into contracts for outsourced services; however, the obligations under these contracts were not significant and the contracts generally contain clauses allowing for cancellation without significant penalty. Contractual obligations that are contingent upon the achievement of certain milestones are not included in the table above.

We base our estimates of the expected timing of payment of the obligations discussed above on current information. Timing of payments and actual amounts paid may be different depending on the time of receipt of goods or services or changes to agreed-upon amounts for some obligations.

*Operating Leases*

We lease certain office facilities and equipment under non-cancelable operating leases. During 2004, 2002, and 2001, we recorded facilities restructuring charges related to the consolidation of excess leased facilities in the San Francisco Bay Area and Texas. Operating lease payments in the table above include approximately \$107.6 million, net of actual sublease income, for operating lease commitments for those facilities that are included in restructuring charges. See Note 7.

Facilities Restructuring Charges and Note 9. Commitments and Contingencies of Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this Report.

Of these future minimum lease payments, we have \$83.8 million recorded in the restructuring and excess facilities accrual at December 31, 2006. This accrual, in addition to minimum lease payments of \$107.6 million, includes estimated operating expenses of \$19.6 million, is net of estimated sublease income of \$28.0 million, and is net of the present value impact of \$15.4 million recorded in accordance with SFAS No. 146. We estimated sublease income and the related timing thereof based

on existing sublease agreements and current market conditions, among other factors. Our estimates of sublease income may vary significantly from actual amounts realized depending, in part, on factors that may be beyond our control, such as the time periods required to locate and contract suitable subleases and the market rates at the time of such subleases.

In relation to our excess facilities, we may decide to negotiate and enter into lease termination agreements, if and when the circumstances are appropriate. These lease termination agreements would likely require that a significant amount of the remaining future lease payments be paid at the time of execution of the agreement, but would release us from future lease payment obligations for the abandoned facility. The timing of a lease termination agreement and the corresponding payment could materially affect our cash flows in the period of payment.

The expected timing of payment of the obligations discussed above is estimated based on current information. Timing of payments and actual amounts paid may be different.

We have sublease agreements for leased office space in Palo Alto, San Francisco, Scotts Valley, and at the Pacific Shores Center in Redwood City, California. In the event the sublessees are unable to fulfill their obligations, we would be responsible for rent due under the leases. However, we expect the sublessees will fulfill their obligations under these leases.

In February 2000, we entered into two lease agreements for two buildings at the Pacific Shores Center in Redwood City, California (our former corporate headquarters), which we occupied from August 2001 through December 2004. The lease expires in July 2013. As part of these agreements, we have purchased certificates of deposit totaling \$12.0 million as a security deposit for lease payments.

In connection with our January 2006 acquisition of Similarity and December 2006 acquisition of Itemfield, we have assumed leases located primarily in Dublin, Ireland, and Tel Aviv, Israel.

### ***Other Uses of Cash***

In January and December 2006, in connection with the Similarity and Itemfield acquisitions, we used approximately \$48.3 million and \$52.1 million cash, respectively, as part of the consideration. A portion of our cash may be further used to acquire or invest in other complementary businesses or products or to obtain the right to use other complementary technologies. From time to time, in the ordinary course of business, we may evaluate potential acquisitions of such businesses, products, or technologies. The nature of these transactions makes it difficult to predict the amount and timing of such cash requirements. We may also be required to raise additional financing to complete future acquisitions.

### ***Letter of Credit***

We have a \$12.0 million letter of credit issued by a financial institution that is required as collateral for our former corporate headquarter leases at the Pacific Shores Center until the leases expire in 2013. These certificates of deposit are classified as long-term restricted cash on our consolidated balance sheet. The letter of credit currently bears interest of 4.1%. There are no financial covenant requirements under our line of credit.

### ***Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements***

We do not have any off-balance sheet financing arrangements, or transactions, arrangements or relationships with special purpose entities.



**Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

*For recent accounting pronouncements, see Note 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this Report.*

**ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA**

The following consolidated financial statements, and the related notes thereto, of Informatica Corporation and the Reports of Independent Auditors are filed as a part of this Form 10-K.

## REPORT OF MANAGEMENT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

Management of Informatica is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Informatica's internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that:

pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company;

provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and

provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements due to human error, or the improper circumvention or overriding of internal controls. In addition, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions and that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may change over time.

Management assessed the effectiveness of Informatica's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006. In making this assessment, management used the criteria set forth in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission ( COSO ).

Based on its assessment of internal control over financial reporting, management has concluded that, as of December 31, 2006, Informatica's internal control over financial reporting was effective to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

Informatica's independent registered public accounting firm, Ernst & Young LLP, has issued an attestation report on our assessment of Informatica's internal control over financial reporting. Its report appears immediately after this report.

/s/ SOHAIB ABBASI  
Sohaib Abbasi  
*Chief Executive Officer*  
February 28, 2007

/s/ EARL FRY  
Earl Fry  
*Chief Financial Officer*  
February 28, 2007

**REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of Informatica Corporation

We have audited management's assessment, included in the accompanying Report of Management on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting, that Informatica Corporation maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). Informatica Corporation's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on management's assessment and an opinion on the effectiveness of the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, evaluating management's assessment, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, management's assessment that Informatica Corporation maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, is fairly stated, in all material respects, based on the COSO criteria. Also, in our opinion, Informatica Corporation maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Informatica Corporation as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2006 of Informatica Corporation and our report dated February 26, 2007 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ ERNST & YOUNG LLP

San Francisco, California  
February 26, 2007

**REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of Informatica Corporation

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Informatica Corporation as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2006. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15(a). These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Informatica Corporation at December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2006, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

As discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, in 2006 the Company changed its method of accounting for share-based payments.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the effectiveness of Informatica Corporation's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated February 26, 2007 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ ERNST & YOUNG LLP

San Francisco, California  
February 26, 2007

**INFORMATICA CORPORATION**  
**CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**  
(In thousands, except par value)

	December 31,	
	2006	2005
<b>Assets</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 120,491	\$ 76,545
Short-term investments	280,149	185,649
Accounts receivable, net of allowances of \$1,666 in 2006 and \$1,094 in 2005	65,407	50,533
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	10,424	9,342
<b>Total current assets</b>	<b>476,471</b>	<b>322,069</b>
Restricted cash	12,016	12,166
Property and equipment, net	14,368	21,026
Goodwill	170,683	81,066
Other intangible assets, net	16,634	4,163
Other assets	6,593	532
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 696,765</b>	<b>\$ 441,022</b>
<b>Liabilities and Stockholders Equity</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 3,641	\$ 3,404
Accrued liabilities	26,505	17,424
Accrued compensation and related expenses	25,793	20,450
Income taxes payable	6,461	4,566
Accrued facilities restructuring charges	18,758	18,718
Deferred revenues	85,364	69,748
<b>Total current liabilities</b>	<b>166,522</b>	<b>134,310</b>
Convertible senior notes	230,000	-
Accrued facilities restructuring charges, less current portion	65,052	75,815
Deferred revenues, less current portion	7,035	8,167
Deferred tax liability, non-current	993	-
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>469,602</b>	<b>218,292</b>
Commitment and contingencies		
Stockholders equity:		
Common stock, \$0.001 par value; 200,000 shares authorized; 85,933 shares and 87,341 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively	86	87
Additional paid-in capital	350,359	384,653
Deferred stock-based compensation	-	(187)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	1,796	(539)

Edgar Filing: INFORMATICA CORP - Form 10-K/A

Accumulated deficit	(125,078)	(161,284)
Total stockholders' equity	227,163	222,730
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 696,765	\$ 441,022

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

**INFORMATICA CORPORATION**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**  
(In thousands, except per share data)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2006	2005	2004
Revenues:			
License	\$ 146,092	\$ 120,182	\$ 97,941
Service	178,506	147,249	121,740
Total revenues	324,598	267,431	219,681
Cost of revenues:			
License	6,978	4,465	3,778
Service	58,402	46,801	40,346
Amortization of acquired technology	2,118	922	2,322
Total cost of revenues	67,498	52,188	46,446
Gross profit	257,100	215,243	173,235
Operating expenses:			
Research and development	54,997	42,585	51,322
Sales and marketing	138,851	118,770	94,900
General and administrative	28,187	20,583	20,755
Amortization of intangible assets	653	188	197
Facilities restructuring charges	3,212	3,683	112,636
Purchased in-process research and development	1,340	-	-
Total operating expenses	227,240	185,809	279,810
Income (loss) from operations	29,860	29,434	(106,575)
Interest income	18,188	7,256	3,503
Interest expense	(5,782)	-	(54)
Other expense, net	(583)	(712)	(58)
Income (loss) before income taxes	41,683	35,978	(103,184)
Income tax provision	5,477	2,174	1,220
Net income (loss)	\$ 36,206	\$ 33,804	\$ (104,404)
Basic net income (loss) per common share	\$ 0.42	\$ 0.39	\$ (1.22)
Diluted net income (loss) per common share	\$ 0.39	\$ 0.37	\$ (1.22)



Edgar Filing: INFORMATICA CORP - Form 10-K/A

Shares used in computing basic net income (loss) per common share	86,420	87,242	85,812
Shares used in computing diluted net income (loss) per common share	92,942	92,083	85,812

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

## INFORMATICA CORPORATION

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

(In thousands)

	Common Stock		Additional Paid-in Capital	Deferred Stock-based Compensation	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Accumulated Deficit	Total Stockholders Equity
	Shares	Amount					
<b>Balances, December 31, 2003</b>	84,629	\$ 85	\$ 382,470	\$ (4,058)	\$ 1,786	\$ (90,684)	\$ 289,599
Components of comprehensive loss:							
Net loss	-	-	-	-	-	(104,404)	(104,404)
Foreign currency translation adjustment	-	-	-	-	786	-	786
Unrealized loss on investments	-	-	-	-	(797)	-	(797)
Comprehensive loss							(104,415)
Common stock options exercised	2,392	2	8,848	-	-	-	8,850
Common stock issued under employee stock purchase plan	805	1	4,447	-	-	-	4,448
Compensation expense related to stock options	-	-	1,341	-	-	-	1,341
Repurchase and retirement of common stock	(1,055)	(1)	(6,117)	-	-	-	(6,118)
Deferred stock-based compensation adjustments and other	-	-	(1,041)	1,041	-	-	-
Amortization of stock-based compensation	-	-	-	2,017	-	-	2,017
<b>Balances, December 31, 2004</b>	86,771	87	389,948	(1,000)	1,775	(195,088)	195,722
Components of comprehensive income:							
Net income	-	-	-	-	-	33,804	33,804
Foreign currency translation adjustment	-	-	-	-	(2,257)	-	(2,257)
Unrealized loss on investments	-	-	-	-	(57)	-	(57)

Edgar Filing: INFORMATICA CORP - Form 10-K/A

Comprehensive income							31,490
Common stock options exercised	2,504	2	16,736	-	-	-	16,738
Common stock issued under employee stock purchase plan	909	1	4,764	-	-	-	4,765
Repurchase and retirement of common stock	(2,843)	(3)	(26,705)	-	-	-	(26,708)
Deferred stock-based compensation adjustments and other	-	-	(90)	90	-	-	-
Amortization of stock-based compensation	-	-	-	723	-	-	723
<b>Balances, December 31, 2005</b>	87,341	87	384,653	(187)	(539)	(161,284)	222,730
Components of comprehensive income:							
Net income	-	-	-	-	-	36,206	36,206
Foreign currency translation adjustment	-	-	-	-	1,776	-	1,776
Unrealized gain on investments	-	-	-	-	559	-	559
Comprehensive income							38,541
Common stock options exercised	2,709	3	17,019	-	-	-	17,022
Common stock issued under employee stock purchase plan	1,126	1	6,814	-	-	-	6,815
Issuance of common stock and assumption of stock options in conjunction with acquisitions	122	-	6,458	-	-	-	6,458
Share-based payments	-	-	14,138	-	-	-	14,138
Repurchase and retirement of common stock	(5,365)	(5)	(78,536)	-	-	-	(78,541)
Deferred stock-based compensation adjustments and other	-	-	(187)	187	-	-	-
<b>Balances, December 31, 2006</b>	85,933	\$ 86	\$ 350,359	\$ -	\$ 1,796	\$ (125,078)	\$ 227,163

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements



**INFORMATICA CORPORATION**

**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

(In thousands)

	<b>Years Ended December 31,</b>		
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>
<b>Operating activities:</b>			
Net income (loss)	\$ 36,206	\$ 33,804	\$ (104,404)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	10,104	9,198	9,261
Share-based payments and amortization of stock-based compensation	14,138	723	3,358
Amortization of intangible assets and acquired technology	3,605	1,144	2,519
Impairment of property and equipment	2,668	-	-
Allowance (recovery) for doubtful accounts and sales returns allowances	(32)	350	5
Purchased in-process research and development	1,340	-	-
Non-cash facilities restructuring charges	3,212	3,683	21,556
Investment impairment charges	-	-	500
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Accounts receivable	(11,434)	(8,348)	(8,165)
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(172)	(3,596)	(2,876)
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	997	(2,571)	(6,784)
Accrued compensation and related expenses	4,328	4,769	1,430
Income taxes payable	1,624	1,606	917
Deferred tax liability	993	-	-
Accrued facilities restructuring charges	(13,772)	(18,299)	94,084
Deferred revenues	13,098	15,472	11,131
Net cash provided by operating activities	66,903	37,935	22,532
<b>Investing activities:</b>			
Purchases of property and equipment	(3,767)	(9,913)	(12,515)
Purchases of investments	(462,367)	(227,132)	(217,849)
Maturities of investments	249,624	104,586	75,930
Sales of investments	118,802	89,000	129,852
Business acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(95,763)	-	-
Net cash used in investing activities	(193,471)	(43,459)	(24,582)
<b>Financing activities:</b>			
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	23,837	21,503	13,298
Repurchases and retirement of common stock	(78,541)	(26,500)	(6,118)
Issuance of convertible senior notes	230,000	-	-
Payment of issuance costs on convertible senior notes	(6,242)	-	-

Edgar Filing: INFORMATICA CORP - Form 10-K/A

Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	169,054	(4,997)	7,180
Effect of foreign exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	1,460	(1,875)	908
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	43,946	(12,396)	6,038
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of the year	76,545	88,941	82,903
Cash and cash equivalents at end of the year	\$ 120,491	\$ 76,545	\$ 88,941
<b>Supplemental disclosures:</b>			
Interest paid	\$ 3,488	\$ 122	\$ -
Income taxes paid	\$ 2,905	\$ 1,014	\$ 304
<b>Supplemental disclosures of non-cash investing and financing activities:</b>			
Deferred stock-based compensation related to options granted and other	\$ -	\$ 90	\$ (1,041)
Common stock issued for acquisitions	\$ 1,583	\$ -	\$ -
Unrealized gain (loss) on investments	\$ 559	\$ (57)	\$ (797)

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

## INFORMATICA CORPORATION

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### 1. Organization and Business

Informatica Corporation ( Informatica, or the Company ) was incorporated in California in February 1993 and reincorporated in Delaware in April 1999. The Company is a leading provider of enterprise data integration software and services that enable organizations to gain greater business value by integrating all their information assets. Informatica software handles a wide variety of complex enterprise-wide data integration initiatives including data warehousing, data migration, data consolidation, data synchronization, and the establishment of data hubs and integration competency centers.

#### 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

##### *Reclassifications*

Certain reclassifications have been made to the prior year consolidated financial statements to conform to the current year presentation. The Consolidated Statements of Operations now separately reflects interest income, interest expense, and other expense, net.

##### *Use of Estimates*

The Company's consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America ( GAAP ). These accounting principles require us to make certain estimates, judgments, and assumptions. The Company believes that the estimates, judgments, and assumptions upon which it relies are reasonable based upon information available to it at the time that these estimates, judgments, and assumptions are made. These estimates, judgments, and assumptions can affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities as of the date of the financial statements as well as the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the periods presented. To the extent there are material differences between these estimates and actual results, Informatica's financial statements would have been affected. In many cases, the accounting treatment of a particular transaction is specifically dictated by GAAP and does not require management's judgment in its application. There are also areas in which management's judgment in selecting any available alternative would not produce a materially different result.

##### *Cash, Cash Equivalents, and Restricted Cash*

The Company considers highly liquid investment securities with maturities, at date of purchase, of 90 days or less to be cash equivalents. Cash and cash equivalents, which consist primarily of commercial paper, money market funds, and U.S. government securities with insignificant interest rate risk, are stated at cost, which approximates fair value. Restricted cash consists of amounts held in deposits that are required as collateral under facilities lease agreements.

##### *Allowance for Doubtful Accounts*

The Company makes estimates as to the overall collectibility of accounts receivable and provides an allowance for accounts receivable considered uncollectible. The Company specifically analyzes its accounts receivable and

historical bad debt experience, customer concentrations, customer credit-worthiness, current economic trends, and changes in its customer payment terms when evaluating the adequacy of the allowance for doubtful accounts. The Company charges off the adjustment in general and administrative expense. At December 31, 2006 and 2005, the Company's allowance for doubtful accounts was \$1.7 million and \$0.9 million, respectively.

***Allowance for Sales Returns***

The Company estimates its expected product and service returns and provides an allowance for sales returns. The Company analyzes its revenue transactions, historical return pattern, current economic trends, and changes in its customer



**INFORMATICA CORPORATION**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

payment terms when evaluating the adequacy of the allowance for sales returns. Adjustments to the allowance for returns are offset against revenues. At December 31, 2005 the allowance for sales returns was \$224,000. The comparable number at December 31, 2006 was zero.

***Investments***

Investments are comprised of marketable securities, which consist primarily of commercial paper, U.S. government notes and bonds, corporate bonds and municipal securities with original maturities beyond 90 days. All marketable securities are held in the Company's name and maintained with four major financial institutions. The Company's marketable securities are classified as available-for-sale and are reported at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses, net of tax, recorded in stockholders' equity. The Company classifies all available-for-sale marketable securities, including those with original maturity dates greater than one year, as short-term investments. Realized gains or losses and permanent declines in value, if any, on available-for-sale securities will be reported in other income or expense as incurred. The Company recognizes realized gains and losses upon sales of investment and reclassifies unrealized gains and losses out of accumulated other comprehensive income into earnings using the specific identification method.

***Property and Equipment***

Property and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is provided using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the related assets, generally three to five years. The estimated useful lives of computer software and equipment are three to five years. The estimated useful lives of furniture and office equipment are three years. Leasehold improvements are amortized using the straight-line method over the shorter of the lease term or the estimated useful life of the related asset.

***Software Development Costs***

The Company accounts for software development costs in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standard (SFAS) No. 86, *Accounting for the Costs of Computer Software to Be Sold, Leased, or Otherwise Marketed*, under which certain software development costs incurred subsequent to the establishment of technological feasibility are capitalized and amortized over the estimated lives of the related products. Technological feasibility is established upon completion of a working model. Through December 31, 2006, costs incurred subsequent to the establishment of technological feasibility have not been significant and all software development costs have been charged to research and development expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

Pursuant to American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) Statement of Position (SOP) No. 98-1, *Accounting for Costs of Computer Software Developed or Obtained for Internal Use*, the Company capitalizes certain costs relating to software acquired, developed, or modified solely to meet the Company's internal requirements and for which there are no substantive plans to market the software. Costs capitalized relating to software developed to meet internal requirements were \$0.5 million and \$1.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and are included in property and equipment.

***Goodwill***

The Company assessed goodwill for impairment in accordance with SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*, which requires that goodwill be tested for impairment at the reporting unit level ( Reporting Unit ) at least annually and more frequently upon the occurrence of certain events, as defined by SFAS No. 142. Consistent with the Company's determination that it has only one reporting segment, the Company has determined that it has only one Reporting Unit, specifically the license, implementation, and support of its software applications. Goodwill was tested for impairment in the annual impairment tests on October 31 in each year using the two-step process required by SFAS No. 142. First, the Company reviews the carrying amount of its Reporting Unit compared to the fair value of the Reporting Unit based on quoted market prices of the Company's common stock. If such comparison reflected potential impairment, the Company would then prepare the discounted cash flow analyses. Such analyses are based on cash flow assumptions that are consistent with the plans and

## INFORMATICA CORPORATION

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

estimates being used to manage the business. An excess carrying value compared to fair value would indicate that goodwill may be impaired. Finally, the Company would determine that goodwill may be impaired, then it would compare the implied fair value of the goodwill, as defined by SFAS No. 142, to its carrying amount to determine the impairment loss, if any. The Company has completed the annual impairment tests as of October 31 of each year, which did not result in any impairment charges.

#### ***Impairment of Long-Lived Assets***

In accordance with SFAS No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets*, the Company evaluates long-lived assets, other than goodwill, for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of an asset may not be recoverable based on expected undiscounted cash flows attributable to that asset. The amount of any impairment is measured as the difference between the carrying value and the fair value of the impaired asset. The Company has recorded impairment of certain assets in 2006 and 2004. See Note 5. Property and Equipment, Note 6 Goodwill and Intangible Assets, and Note 7. Facilities Restructuring Charges.

#### ***Fair Value of Financial Instruments, Concentrations of Credit Risk, and Credit Evaluations***

The fair value of the Company's cash, cash equivalents, short-term investments, accounts receivable, and accounts payable approximates their respective carrying amounts.

Financial instruments, which subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk, consist primarily of cash and cash equivalents, investments in marketable securities, and trade accounts receivable. The Company maintains its cash and cash equivalents and investments with high-quality financial institutions.

The Company performs ongoing credit evaluations of its customers, which are primarily located in the United States, Canada, and Europe, and generally does not require collateral. The Company makes judgments as to its ability to collect outstanding receivables and provide allowances for the portion of receivables when collection becomes doubtful. Provisions are made based upon a specific review of all significant outstanding invoices. For those invoices not specifically reviewed, provisions are provided at differing rates, based upon the age of the receivable. In determining these percentages, the Company analyzes its historical collection experience and current economic trends. If the historical data it uses to calculate the allowance for doubtful accounts does not reflect the future ability to collect outstanding receivables, additional provisions for doubtful accounts may be needed and the future results of operations could be materially affected.

#### ***Revenue Recognition***

The Company derives revenues from software license fees, maintenance fees, and professional services, which consist of consulting and education services. The Company recognizes revenue in accordance with AICPA SOP 97-2, *Software Revenue Recognition*, as amended and modified by SOP 98-9, *Modification of SOP 97-2, Software Revenue Recognition, With Respect to Certain Transactions*, SOP 81-1, *Accounting for Performance of Construction-type and Certain Production-type Contracts*, the Securities and Exchange Commission's Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) 101, *Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements*, SAB 104, *Revenue Recognition*, and other authoritative accounting literature.

Under SOP 97-2, revenue is recognized when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred, the fee is fixed or determinable, and collection is probable.

*Persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists.* The Company determines that persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists when it has a written contract, signed by both the customer and the Company, and written purchase authorization.

*Delivery has occurred.* Software is considered delivered when title to the physical software media passes to the customer or, in the case of electronic delivery, when the customer has been provided the access codes to download and operate the software.

## INFORMATICA CORPORATION

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

*The fee is fixed or determinable.* The Company considers arrangements with extended payment terms not to be fixed or determinable. If the license fee in an arrangement is not fixed or determinable, revenue is recognized as payments become due. Revenue arrangements with resellers and distributors require evidence of sell-through, that is, persuasive evidence that the products have been sold to an identified end user. The Company's standard agreements do not contain product return rights.

*Collection is probable.* Credit worthiness and collectibility are first assessed at a country level based on the country's overall economic climate and general business risk. For customers in countries deemed credit-worthy, credit and collectibility are then assessed based on payment history and credit profile. When a customer is not deemed credit worthy, revenue is recognized when payment is received.

The Company also enters into OEM arrangements that provide for license fees based on inclusion of our technology and/or products in the OEM's products. These arrangements provide for fixed, irrevocable royalty payments. Royalty payments are recognized as revenue based on the activity in the royalty report the Company receives from the OEM or in the case of OEMs with fixed royalty payments, revenue is recognized upon execution of the agreement, delivery of the software, and when all other criteria for revenue recognition are met.

The Company's software license arrangements include multiple elements: software license fees, maintenance fees, consulting, and/or education services. The Company uses the residual method to recognize license revenue when the license arrangement includes elements to be delivered at a future date and vendor-specific objective evidence (VSOE) of fair value exists to allocate the fee to the undelivered elements of the arrangement. VSOE is based on the price charged when an element is sold separately. If VSOE does not exist for undelivered elements, all revenue is deferred and recognized when delivery occurs or VSOE is established. Consulting services, if included as part of the software arrangement, generally do not require significant modification or customization of the software. If the software arrangement includes significant modification or customization of the software, software license revenue is recognized as the consulting services revenue is recognized.

The Company recognizes maintenance revenues, which consist of fees for ongoing support and product updates, ratably over the term of the contract, typically one year.

Consulting revenues are primarily related to implementation services and product configurations performed on a time-and-materials basis and, occasionally, on a fixed fee basis. Education services revenues are generated from classes offered at both Company and customer locations. Revenues from consulting and education services are recognized as the services are performed.

Deferred revenue includes deferred license, maintenance, consulting and education services revenue. For customers not deemed credit-worthy, the Company's practice is to net unpaid deferred revenue for that customer against the related receivable balance.

#### ***Facilities Restructuring Charges***

In June 2002, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) issued SFAS No. 146, *Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities*. SFAS No. 146 supersedes Emerging Issues Task Force ( EITF ) Issue No. 88-10, *Costs Associated with Lease Modification or Termination*. The Company adopted SFAS No. 146 effective January 1, 2003; therefore, the restructuring activities initiated on or after January 1, 2003 were accounted for in accordance with SFAS No. 146. The Company applied SFAS No. 146 for its 2004 Restructuring Plan while its 2001 Restructuring Plan was accounted for in accordance with EITF No. 88-10 and other applicable pre-existing guidance. See Note 7. *Facilities Restructuring Charges*.

SFAS No. 146 requires that a liability associated with an exit or disposal activity be recognized when the liability is incurred, as opposed to when management commits to an exit plan. SFAS No. 146 also requires that: (1) liabilities associated with exit and disposal activities be measured at fair value; (2) one-time termination benefits be expensed at the date the entity notifies the employee, unless the employee must provide future service, in which case the benefits are expensed ratably over the future service period; (3) liabilities related to an operating lease/contract be recorded at fair value and measured when the contract does not have any future economic benefit to the entity (that is, the entity ceases to utilize the rights conveyed by the

**INFORMATICA CORPORATION**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

contract); and (4) all other costs related to an exit or disposal activity be expensed as incurred. The Company estimated the fair value of its lease obligations included in its 2003 and later restructuring activities based on the present value of the remaining lease obligation, operating costs, and other associated costs, less estimated sublease income.

Facilities restructuring obligations associated with lease termination and/or abandonment incurred prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 146 were accounted for and continue to be accounted for in accordance with EITF No. 88-10. Under EITF No. 88-10, the liability associated with lease termination and/or abandonment represents the sum of the total remaining lease costs and related exit costs, less probable sublease income. Facilities restructuring obligations incurred after the adoption of SFAS No. 146 were accounted for in accordance with SFAS No. 146. The Company recorded the 2001 restructuring costs associated with lease termination and/or abandonment when the leased property had no substantive future use or benefit to the Company.

***Shipping and Handling Costs***

Shipping and handling costs in connection with our packaged software products are not material and are expensed as incurred and included in cost-of-license revenues in the Company's results of operations.

***Advertising Expense***

Advertising costs are expensed as incurred. Advertising expense was \$2.0 million, \$0.9 million, and \$0.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively.

***Net Income (Loss) per Common Share***

Under the provisions of SFAS No. 128, *Earnings per Share*, basic net income (loss) per share is computed using the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net income (loss) per share reflects the potential dilution of securities by adding other common stock equivalents, primarily stock options, to the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period, if dilutive. Potentially dilutive securities have been excluded from the computation of diluted net income (loss) per share if their inclusion is anti-dilutive.

The calculation of basic and diluted net income (loss) per share is as follows (in thousands, except per share data):

	<b>Years Ended December 31,</b>		
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>
Net income (loss)	\$ 36,206	\$ 33,804	\$ (104,404)
Weighted-average shares outstanding	86,420	87,266	85,919
Weighted-average unvested common shares subject to repurchase	-	(24)	(107)

Edgar Filing: INFORMATICA CORP - Form 10-K/A

Shares used in computing basic net income (loss) per common share	86,420	87,242	85,812
Effect of dilutive securities (stock options)	6,522	4,841	-
Shares used in computing diluted net income (loss) per common share	92,942	92,083	85,812
Basic net income (loss) per common share	\$ 0.42	\$ 0.39	\$ (1.22)
Diluted net income (loss) per common share	\$ 0.39	\$ 0.37	\$ (1.22)

Diluted net income per common share is calculated according to SFAS 128, *Earnings per Share*, which requires the dilutive effect of convertible securities to be reflected in the diluted net income per share by application of the if-converted method. This method assumes an add back of interest and issuance cost amortization, net of income taxes to net income if the securities are converted. The company determined that for the 12-months period ended December 31, 2006, the convertible



## INFORMATICA CORPORATION

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

securities did have an anti-dilutive effect on net income per share, and as such, it excluded them from the dilutive net income per share calculation.

If the Company had reported net income in the year ended December 31, 2004, the calculation of diluted earnings per share would have included the shares used in the computation of basic net loss per share as well as an additional 2,771,000 common equivalent shares related to outstanding stock options not included in the calculations above (determined using the treasury stock method). For the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, options to purchase approximately 2.7 million, 1.2 million, and 8.3 million (in addition to 2,771,000 common equivalent shares), respectively, of common stock with exercise price greater than the annual average fair market value of our stock of \$13.91, \$9.61, and \$7.78, respectively, were not included in the calculation because the effect would have been anti-dilutive.

#### Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes in accordance with SFAS No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*, which requires the use of the liability method in accounting for income taxes. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates and laws that will be in effect when the differences are expected to reverse. Valuation allowances are established, when necessary, to reduce the deferred tax assets to the amounts expected to be realized. The Company also accounts for any income tax contingencies in accordance with SFAS No. 5, *Accounting for Contingencies*.

#### Share-Based Payments

##### *Changes in Accounting Principle*

On January 1, 2006, the Company adopted the FASB SFAS No. 123(R), *Share-Based Payment*, which is a revision of SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*. SFAS No. 123(R) supersedes APB No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*, and amends SFAS No. 95, *Statement of Cash Flows*. SFAS No. 123(R) requires all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, to be recognized in the income statement based on their fair values. Pro forma disclosure is no longer an alternative to financial statement recognition. The Company elected to use the modified prospective transition method as permitted by SFAS No. 123(R) and therefore has not restated its financial results for prior periods. Under this transition method, the post-adoption share-based payment includes compensation expense for all stock-based compensation awards granted prior to, but not yet vested as of January 1, 2006, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provisions of SFAS No. 123. The fair value of all share-based payment transactions granted subsequent to January 1, 2006 will be based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123(R). The Company recognizes compensation expense for post adoption share-based awards on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period of the award.

Prior to January 1, 2006, the Company accounted for stock issued to employees using the intrinsic value method in accordance with the Accounting Principles Board's (APB) Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*, and complied with the disclosure provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standard (SFAS) No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, and SFAS No. 148, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*

*Transition and Disclosure.* Under APB No. 25, compensation expense of fixed stock options was based on the difference, if any, on the date of the grant between the fair value of the Company's stock and the exercise price of the option. The Company amortized its stock-based compensation under FIN 28, *Accounting for Stock Appreciation Rights and Other Variable Stock Options or Award Plans* using an accelerated method over the remaining vesting term of the related options.

As a result of adopting SFAS No. 123(R) on January 1, 2006, the Company's income from operations and net income for the year ended December 31, 2006 are both \$14.1 million lower than if it had continued to account for share-based compensation under APB No. 25. Basic and diluted earnings per share were both \$.09 lower for the year ended December 31, 2006 than if the Company had continued to account for share-based compensation under APB No. 25.

## INFORMATICA CORPORATION

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

*Summary of Assumptions*

The fair value of each option award is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model that uses the assumptions noted in the following table. The Company has been using a blend of average historical and market-based implied volatilities for calculating the expected volatilities for employee stock options and market-based implied volatilities for its ESPP since the third quarter of 2005. Prior to the third quarter of 2005, expected volatilities were based on historical volatility. The expected term of employee stock options granted is derived from historical exercise patterns of the options while the expected term of ESPP is based on the contractual terms. The risk-free interest rate for the expected term of the option and ESPP is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant. SFAS No. 123(R) also requires the Company to estimate forfeitures at the time of grant and revise those estimates in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. The Company used historical employee termination rates to estimate pre-vesting option forfeitures and record share-based compensation expense only for those awards that are expected to vest. The Company lowered its forfeiture rate from 18% during the nine-months period ended December 31, 2006 to 16% in the three months ended December 31, 2006, primarily due to changes in historical employee termination rates. As a result of this change, its stock-based compensation increased approximately \$0.4 million for the three months ended December 31, 2006. For purposes of calculating pro forma information under SFAS No. 123 for periods prior to fiscal 2006, the Company accounted for forfeitures as they occurred. The Company amortizes its share-based payments using a straight-line basis over the vesting term of options.

The fair value of the Company's stock-based awards was estimated assuming no expected dividends with the following assumptions

	<b>Years Ended December 31,</b>		
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>
Option grants:			
Expected volatility	43-52%	57%	80%
Weighted-average volatility	48%	57%	80%
Expected life (in years)	3.9	3.3	3.0
Expected dividends	-	-	-
Risk-free interest rate	4.8%	3.9%	3.0%
ESPP:			
Expected volatility	40%	44%	60%
Weighted-average volatility	40%	44%	60%
Expected dividends	-	-	-
Expected term of ESPP (in years)	1.25	1.25	1.25
Risk-free interest rate ESPP	5.1%	3.5%	1.8%

## INFORMATICA CORPORATION

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

*Stock Option Plan Activity*

A summary of option activity through December 31, 2006 is presented below (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	Number of Shares	Weighted- Average Exercise Price per Share	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding at December 31, 2003	15,585	\$ 7.26		
Granted	7,901	6.97		
Exercised	(2,392)	3.70		
Forefeited or expired	(3,209)	8.06		
Outstanding at December 31, 2004	17,885	7.47	6.34	\$ 24,064
Granted	3,735	8.99		
Exercised	(2,504)	6.68		
Forefeited or expired	(2,003)	10.51		
Outstanding at December 31, 2005	17,113	7.56	5.63	\$ 78,980
Granted	3,866	12.79		
Exercised	(2,709)	6.28		
Forefeited or expired	(987)	11.29		
Outstanding at December 31, 2006	17,283	\$ 8.72	5.11	\$ 69,835
Exercisable at December 31, 2006	10,215	\$ 7.48	4.53	\$ 50,765

As of December 31, 2006 and 2005, the number of the unvested shares were 7,106,523 and 7,886,494 with an average grant price of \$8.72 and \$7.56, respectively. The estimated weighted-average fair value of options granted with exercise prices equal to fair value at the date of grant under stock options plans during 2006, 2005 and 2004 was \$6.21, \$3.76 and \$3.69. No options were granted with exercise prices less than fair value at the date of grant in 2005 and 2004. The Company granted options, related to acquisitions, with exercise prices less than fair value at date of grant in 2006 for the estimated weighted-average fair value of \$12.01. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the 12 months ended December 31, 2006 was \$22.3 million. The weighted-average grant date fair value of employee stock purchase shares granted under the ESPP for the 12 months ended December 31, 2006 was \$3.81 per

share. The total intrinsic value of stock purchase shares granted under the ESPP exercised during the 12 months ended December 31, 2006 was \$9.3 million. Upon the exercise of options and stock purchase shares granted under the ESPP, the Company issues new common stock from its authorized shares. As of December 31, 2006, there was \$17.4 million in compensation cost related to unvested awards not yet recognized, which the Company expects to recognize over a weighted-average period of 2.5 years.

## INFORMATICA CORPORATION

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The following table summarizes information about stock options as of December 31, 2006 (number of options in thousands):

Range of Exercise Prices	Number of Options	Options Outstanding		Options Exercisable	
		Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years)	Weighted Average Exercise Price per Share	Number of Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price per Share
\$ 0.07 to \$ 4.05	937	3.95	\$ 2.08	849	\$ 2.14
\$ 4.51 to \$ 5.69	2,789	7.27	\$ 5.63	1,713	\$ 5.61
\$ 5.72 to \$ 7.26	2,881	4.09	\$ 6.89	2,020	\$ 6.88
\$ 7.30 to \$ 7.86	2,232	4.79	\$ 7.63	1,148	\$ 7.62
\$ 7.88 to \$ 7.90	2,538	3.39	\$ 7.90	2,496	\$ 7.90
\$ 7.91 to \$12.00	2,499	5.12	\$10.01	1,360	\$ 9.19
\$12.11 to \$48.63	3,407	6.03	\$14.98	629	\$16.03
	17,283	5.11	\$ 8.72	10,215	\$ 7.48

*Pro Forma Disclosure for Years Ended December 31, 2005 and 2004*

We accounted for share-based employee compensation under SFAS 123(R)'s fair value method during the 12 months ended December 31, 2006. Prior to January 1, 2006 we accounted for share-based employee compensation under the provisions of APB No. 25. Accordingly, we recorded no share-based compensation expense for stock options or our Employee Stock Purchase Plan for the 12 months ended December 31, 2005 and 2004. The following table illustrates the effect on our net income and net income per share for the 12 months ended December 31, 2005 and 2004 if we had applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123 to share-based compensation using the Black-Scholes valuation model.

	Years Ended December 31,	
	2005	2004
	(In thousands, except per share data)	
Net income (loss) as reported in prior year (1)	\$ 33,804	\$ (104,404)
Add: Share-based employee compensation expense included in reported net income as reported, net of related tax effects (2)	723 (16,010)	3,358 (18,897)

Deduce: Total share-based employee compensation expense using the fair value method for all awards, net of related tax effects (2) and (3)

Net income (loss), pro forma	\$	18,517	\$	(119,943)
Basic net income (loss) per common share:				
As reported in prior year (1)	\$	0.39	\$	(1.22)
Pro forma (4)	\$	0.21	\$	(1.40)
Diluted net income (loss) per common share:				
As reported in prior year (1)	\$	0.37	\$	(1.22)
Pro forma (4)	\$	0.20	\$	(1.40)

- (1) Net income (loss) and net income (loss) per share as reported for periods prior to 2006 did not include share-based compensation expense for stock options and our Employee Stock Purchase Plan because we did not adopt the recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123.
- (2) Tax effects on share-based compensation have been fully reserved by way of a valuation allowance.
- (3) Share-based compensation expense for periods prior to 2006 is calculated based on the pro forma application of SFAS No. 123.
- (4) Net income and net income per share including share-based employee compensation for periods prior to 2006 are based on the pro forma application of SFAS No. 123.

**INFORMATICA CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

In November 2005, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) issued FASB Staff Position ( FSP ) No. FAS 123(R)-3, *Transition Election Related to Accounting for Tax Effects of Share-Based Payment Awards* ( FSP 123R-3 ). Informatica has elected to adopt the alternative transition method provided in the FSP 123R-3 for calculating the tax effects of stock-based compensation pursuant to SFAS 123(R). The alternative transition method includes simplified methods to establish the beginning balance of the additional paid-in capital pool ( APIC pool ) related to the tax effects of employee stock-based compensation, and to determine the subsequent impact on the APIC pool and Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows of the tax effects of employee stock-based compensation awards that are outstanding upon adoption of SFAS 123(R).

***Summary of Plans******1999 Stock Incentive Plan***

The Company's stockholders approved the 1999 Stock Incentive Plan (the 1999 Incentive Plan ) in April 1999 under which 2,600,000 shares have been reserved for issuance. In addition, any shares not issued under the 1996 Stock Plan are also available for grant. The number of shares reserved under the 1999 Incentive Plan automatically increases annually beginning on January 1, 2000 by the lesser of 16,000,000 shares or 5% of the total amount of fully diluted shares of common stock outstanding as of such date. Under the 1999 Incentive Plan, eligible employees, officers, and directors may purchase stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted shares, and stock units. The exercise price for incentive stock options and non-qualified options may not be less than 100% and 85%, respectively, of the fair value of the Company's common stock at the option grant date. Options granted are exercisable over a maximum term of 7 to 10 years from the date of the grant and generally vest ratably over a period of 4 years, with options for new employees generally including a 1-year cliff period. It is the current practice of the Board to limit option grants under this plan to 7-year terms and to issue only non-qualified stock options. As of December 31, 2006, the Company had approximately 11,517,000 authorized options available for grant and 15,664,000 options outstanding under the 1999 Incentive Plan.

***1999 Non-Employee Director Stock Incentive Plan***

The Company's stockholders adopted the 1999 Non-Employee Director Stock Option Incentive Plan (the Directors Plan ) in April 1999 under which 1,000,000 shares have been reserved for issuance. In April 2003, the Board of Directors amended the Directors Plan such that each non-employee joining the Board of Directors will automatically receive options to purchase 60,000 shares of common stock. These options were exercisable over a maximum term of five years and would vest in four equal annual installments on each yearly anniversary from the date of the grant. The Directors Plan was amended in April 2003 such that one-third of the options vest one year from the grant date and the remainder shall vest ratably over a period of 24 months. In May 2004, the Directors Plan was amended such that each non-employee director who has been a member of the Board for at least six months prior to each annual stockholders meeting will automatically receive options to purchase 25,000 shares of common stock at each such meeting. Each such option has an exercise price equal to the fair value of the common stock on the automatic grant date and vests on the first anniversary of the grant date. As of December 31, 2006, the Company had approximately 155,000 authorized options available for grant and 825,000 options outstanding under the Directors Plan. The Company intends to grant options to the directors from the 1999 Incentive Plan at the point when all options in the Directors Plan have been



granted.

***2000 Employee Stock Incentive Plan***

In January 2000, the Board of Directors approved the 2000 Employee Stock Incentive Plan (the 2000 Incentive Plan ) under which 1,600,000 shares has been reserved for issuance. Under the 2000 Incentive Plan, eligible employees and consultants may purchase stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted shares, and stock units. The exercise price for non-qualified options may not be less than 85% of the fair value of common stock at the option grant date. Options granted are exercisable over a maximum term of 10 years from the date of the grant and generally vested over a period of 4 years from the date of the grant. As of December 31, 2006, the Company had approximately 769,000 authorized options available for grant and 398,000 options outstanding under the 2000 Incentive Plan.

**INFORMATICA CORPORATION**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

***Assumed Option Plans***

In connection with certain acquisitions made by the Company, Informatica assumed options in the Influence 1996 Incentive Stock Option Plan, the Zimba 1999 Stock Option Plan, and the Striva 2000 Stock Option Plan, the Similarity 2002 Stock Option Plan, and the Itemfield 2003 Stock Option Plan (the Assumed Plans). No further options will be granted under the Assumed Plans. As of December 31, 2006, the Company had approximately 326,000 options outstanding under the Assumed Plans.

***Employee Stock Purchase Plan***

The stockholders adopted the 1999 Employee Stock Purchase Plan ( ESPP ) in April 1999 under which 1,600,000 shares have been reserved for issuance. The number of shares reserved under the ESPP automatically increases beginning on January 1 of each year by the lesser of 6,400,000 shares or 2% of the total amount of fully diluted common stock shares outstanding on such date. Under the ESPP, eligible employees may purchase common stock in an amount not to exceed 10% of the employees' cash compensation. Historically, the purchase price per share has been 85% of the lesser of the common stock fair market value either at the beginning of a rolling two-year offering period or at the end of each six-month purchase period within the two-year offering period. As of December 31, 2006, the Company had approximately 7,154,000 authorized shares available for grant under the ESPP.

During the fourth quarter of 2005, the Board of Directors approved an amendment to the ESPP. Effective 2006, under the amended ESPP, the new participants are entitled to purchase shares at 85% of the lesser of the common stock fair market value either at the beginning or at the end of the 6-month offering period, which was shortened from a 24-month offering period. The purchase price is then reset at the start of the next offering period. The existing 2005 participants will be able to apply their subscription prices within their remaining two-year offering periods, which expire at various purchase dates through July 31, 2007. Furthermore, the existing 2005 participants' offering periods will also expire if, on the first day of one of the remaining purchase periods, the purchase price is lower than the purchase price that was set at the commencement of their two-year offering period.

***Disclosures Pertaining to All Share-Based Payment Plans***

Cash received from option exercises and ESPP contributions under all share-based payment arrangements for the 12 months ended 2006, 2005, and 2004 were \$23.8 million, \$21.5 million, and \$13.3 million, respectively. The Company has been in full valuation allowance since inception and has not been recognizing excess tax benefits from share-based awards. The Company does not anticipate recognizing excess tax benefits from share-based payments for the foreseeable future, and the Company believes it would be reasonable to exclude such benefits from deferred tax assets and net income per common share calculations. The Company did not realize any tax benefits from tax deductions related to share-based payment awards during the 12 months ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004.

***Reporting Segments***

SFAS No. 131, *Disclosures About Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information*, establishes standards for the manner in which public companies report information about operating segments in annual and interim financial

statements. It also establishes standards for related disclosures about products and services, geographic areas, and major customers. The method for determining the information to report is based on the way management organizes the operating segments within the Company for making operating decisions and assessing financial performance.

The Company's chief operating decision maker is the Chief Executive Officer, who reviews financial information presented on a consolidated basis, accompanied by disaggregated information about revenues by geographic region for purposes of making operating decisions and assessing financial performance. On this basis, the Company is organized and operates in a single segment: the design, development, and marketing of software solutions.

**INFORMATICA CORPORATION**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

***Foreign Currency Translation***

The functional currency of the Company's foreign subsidiaries is their local currency, except for Informatica Cayman Ltd., which is in euros. The Company translates all assets and liabilities of foreign subsidiaries to U.S. dollars at the current exchange rates as of the applicable balance sheet date. Revenue and expenses are translated at the average exchange rate prevailing during the period. Gains and losses resulting from the translation of the foreign subsidiaries financial statements are reported as a separate component of stockholders' equity. Net gains and losses resulting from foreign exchange transactions are included in other expense, net in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

***Recent Accounting Pronouncements***

In November 2005, the FASB issued FSP FAS 123(R)-3, *Transition Election Related to Accounting for the Tax Effects of Share-Based Payment Awards* ( FAS No. 123(R)-3 ). Effective upon issuance, this FSP describes an alternative transition method for calculating the tax effects of stock-based compensation pursuant to SFAS No. 123(R). The alternative transition method includes simplified methods to establish the beginning balance of the additional paid-in capital pool ( APIC pool ) related to the tax effects of employee stock-based compensation and to determine the subsequent impact on the APIC pool and the statement of cash flows of the tax effects of employee stock-based compensation awards that are outstanding upon adoption of FAS No. 123(R)-3. Companies have one year from the later of the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R)-3 or the effective date of the FSP to evaluate their transition alternatives and make a one-time election. The Company has decided to calculate the APIC pool to determine the tax effects of stock-based compensation pursuant to SFAS No. 123(R).

In June 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154, *Accounting Changes and Error Corrections* ( SFAS No. 154 ), which replaces APB No. 20, *Accounting Changes*, and FAS No. 3, *Reporting Accounting Changes in Interim Financial Statements*, and changes the requirements for the accounting for and reporting of a change in accounting principle. APB No. 20 previously required that most voluntary changes in accounting principles be recognized by including the cumulative effect of changing to the new accounting principle in net income in the period of the change. SFAS No. 154 requires retrospective application to prior periods' financial statements of a voluntary change in accounting principle, unless it is impracticable. SFAS No. 154 enhances the consistency of financial information between periods. The Company adopted SFAS No. 154 in the first quarter of 2006. The adoption of SFAS No. 154 did not materially affect the Company's consolidated financial statements in the period of adoption. The effect on future periods will depend on the nature and significance of any future accounting changes.

In February 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 155, *Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments* (SFAS No. 155), which amends SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, and SFAS No. 140, *Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities*. SFAS No. 155 simplifies the accounting for certain derivatives embedded in other financial instruments by allowing them to be accounted for as a whole if the holder elects to account for the whole instrument on a fair value basis. SFAS No. 155 also clarifies and amends certain other provisions of SFAS No. 133 and SFAS No. 140. SFAS No. 155 is effective for all financial instruments acquired, issued, or subject to a re-measurement event occurring in fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2006. Earlier adoption is permitted, provided the company has not yet issued

financial statements, including for interim periods, for that fiscal year. The Company will adopt SFAS No. 155 in the first quarter of 2007. The Company does not expect the adoption of SFAS No. 155 to have a material impact on its consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

In June 2006, the FASB ratified the Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) consensus on EITF Issue No. 06-3, *How Taxes Collected from Customers and Remitted to Governmental Authorities Should Be Presented in the Income Statement (That Is, Gross versus Net Presentation)* ( EITF No. 06-3 ). EITF No. 06-3 provides guidance for income statement presentation and disclosure of any tax assessed by a governmental authority that is both imposed on and concurrent with a specific revenue-producing transaction between a seller and a customer, including but not limited to, sales, use, value added, and some excise taxes. Presentation of taxes within the scope of this EITF issue may be made on either a gross basis (included in revenues and costs) or a net basis (excluded from revenues), with appropriate accounting policy disclosure. EITF No. 06-3 is effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company will adopt this consensus as required, and adoption is not expected to have an impact on the consolidated financial statements.

**INFORMATICA CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

In July 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes – an Interpretation of FASB Statement 109* ( FIN No. 48 ), which is effective in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. FIN 48 prescribes a comprehensive model for recognition, measurement, presentation, and disclosure of uncertain tax positions taken or expected to be taken on the Company’s tax return. The cumulative effect of applying the provisions of FIN No. 48 will be reported as an adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings for that fiscal year, presented separately. The Company will adopt this pronouncement as of January 1, 2007 and is currently evaluating its impact on the consolidated financial statements.

In September 2006, the FASB issued FASB Statement No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* ( SFAS No. 157 ), which addresses how companies should measure fair value when they are required to use a fair value measure for recognition or disclosure purposes under generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). As a result of SFAS No. 157, there will be a common definition of fair value to be used throughout GAAP. SFAS 157 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. The Company is currently evaluating the accounting and disclosure requirements of SFAS No. 157 and expects to adopt it as required at the beginning of the first quarter of 2008.

In September 2006, the SEC released Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108 ( SAB No. 108 ), which established an approach that requires quantification of financial statement errors based on the effects of the error on each of the company’s financial statements and the related disclosures. This model is commonly referred to as the dual approach because it essentially requires that errors be quantified under both the iron-curtain method and the roll-over method. The Company adopted this pronouncement in the fourth quarter of 2006 and determined that it did not have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements.

**3. Acquisitions****Itemfield**

On December 15, 2006, the Company acquired Itemfield, a private company incorporated in Israel, providing built-in support for unstructured data authored using Microsoft Excel, Word, PowerPoint, Adobe Acrobat, Postscript, PCL, SUN StarOffice, AFP and HTML. Management believes that it is the investment value of this synergy, related to future product offerings, that principally contributed to a purchase price that resulted in the recognition of goodwill. The Company paid \$54 million, consisting of \$52 million of cash and 157,728 of Informatica stock options with a fair value of \$1.9 million, to acquire all of the outstanding common stock, preferred stock and stock options of Itemfield. In connection with the acquisition, the Company also incurred estimated transaction costs of \$0.8 million.

The acquisition was accounted for using the purchase method of accounting, and a summary of the purchase price of the acquisition is as follows (in thousands):

Cash paid or committed to pay	\$ 52,094
Transaction costs	792
Fair value of options assumed	902

Total purchase price

\$ 53,788

**INFORMATICA CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The allocation of the purchase price for this acquisition, as of the date of the acquisition, is as follows (in thousands):

Developed technology	\$ 6,700
Customer relationships	1,400
Covenants not to compete	2,000
Trade names	700
Goodwill	43,202
Assumed liabilities, net of assets	(214)
 Total purchase price	 \$ 53,788

The identified intangible assets acquired were assigned fair values in accordance with the guidelines established in SFAS No. 141, *Business Combinations*, Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretations ( FIN ) No. 4, *Applicability of FASB Statement No. 2 to Business Combinations Accounted for by the Purchase Method*, and other relevant guidance.

The Company believes that these identified intangible assets have no residual value. The developed technology, customer relationships and covenant not to compete are amortized on a straight-line basis over five and half, seven, and five years, respectively. The trade names are amortized on a straight-line basis over 3.5 years.

The excess of the purchase price over the identified tangible and intangible assets was recorded as goodwill. The Company anticipates that none of the goodwill and intangible assets recorded in connection with the Itemfield acquisition will be deductible for income tax purposes.

The Company assumed all of the outstanding stock options issued pursuant to Itemfield's stock option plan that were held by employees of Itemfield at the closing. The total fair value of the options assumed was \$1.9 million, of which 81,756 fully vested options with \$0.9 million fair value were included in the purchase price. The remaining 75,972 unvested options with \$0.7 million fair value will be expensed over the remaining vesting period of the underlying awards. The Company expects to recognize share-based payment expense in connection with these assumed options of approximately \$0.4 million, \$0.2 million, and \$0.1 million in 2007, 2008, and 2009, respectively.

The purchase method of accounting requires the Company to reduce Itemfield's reported deferred revenue to an amount equal to the fair value of the legal liability, resulting in lower revenue in periods following the merger than would have achieved as a separate company.

**Similarity**

On January 26, 2006, the Company acquired Similarity Systems Limited ( Similarity ), a private company incorporated in Ireland, providing data quality and data profiling software. The acquisition extends Informatica's data integration software to include Similarity's data quality technology. Management believes that it is the investment value of this synergy, related to future product offerings, that principally contributed to a purchase price that resulted in the



recognition of goodwill. The Company paid \$54.9 million, consisting of \$48.3 million of cash, 122,045 shares of Informatica common stock (which were fully vested but subject to escrow) with a fair value of \$1.6 million, and 392,333 of Informatica stock options with a fair value of \$5.0 million, to acquire all of the outstanding common stock, preferred stock and stock options of Similarity. In connection with the acquisition, the Company also incurred transaction costs of approximately \$2.3 million.

## INFORMATICA CORPORATION

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The acquisition was accounted for using the purchase method of accounting, and a summary of the purchase price of the acquisition is as follows (in thousands):

Cash paid	\$	48,329
Common stock issued		1,583
Fair value of options assumed		3,973
 Total consideration paid to Similarity		 53,885
Transaction costs		2,266
 Total purchase price	 \$	 56,151

The allocation of the purchase price for this acquisition, as of the date of the acquisition, is as follows (in thousands):

Net tangible assets acquired	\$	1,456
Developed technology		5,050
Customer relationships		1,830
Purchased in-process research and development		1,340
Goodwill		46,475
 Total purchase price	 \$	 56,151

The amount of the total purchase price allocated to the net tangible assets acquired of \$1.5 million was assigned based on the fair values as of the date of acquisition. The identified intangible assets acquired were assigned fair values in accordance with the guidelines established in SFAS No. 141, *Business Combinations*, Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretations ( FIN ) No. 4, *Applicability of FASB Statement No. 2 to Business Combinations Accounted for by the Purchase Method*, and other relevant guidance. The Company believes that these identified intangible assets have no residual value. The fair value assigned to IPR&D represented projects that had not reached technological feasibility and had no alternative uses. These were classified as IPR&D and expensed in the quarter ended March 31, 2006, which was the quarter of the acquisition, in accordance with FIN No. 4. The amortization periods of identifiable intangible assets were determined using the estimated economic useful life of the asset. The developed technology and customer relationships are being amortized on a straight-line basis over four years. Of the developed technology, the Company recorded amortization of acquired technology expense of \$1.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2006, and expects to record approximately \$1.3 million, \$1.3 million, \$1.3 million, and \$0.1 million for 2007, 2008, 2009, and 2010, respectively. Of the customer relationships, the Company recorded amortization of intangible assets expense of \$0.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2006, and expects to record approximately \$0.5 million, \$0.4 million, \$0.4 million, and \$0.1 million in 2007, 2008, 2009, and 2010, respectively.

The excess of the purchase price over the identified tangible and intangible assets was recorded as goodwill. The Company anticipates that none of the goodwill and intangible assets recorded in connection with the Similarity acquisition will be deductible for income tax purposes.

The Company assumed all of the outstanding stock options issued pursuant to Similarity's stock option plan, which became options to purchase 392,333 shares of Informatica common stock with a weighted average fair value of \$12.70 per share at the closing date. The total fair value of the options assumed was \$5.0 million, of which 311,961 fully vested options with \$4.0 million fair value was included in the purchase price. The remaining 80,372 unvested options with \$1.0 million fair value will be expensed over the remaining vesting period of the underlying awards. The Company expects to recognize share-based payment expense in connection with these assumed options of approximately \$0.3 million, \$0.2 million, and \$0.1 million in 2007, 2008, and 2009, respectively.

The purchase method of accounting requires the Company to reduce Similarity's reported deferred revenue to an amount equal to the fair value of the legal liability, resulting in lower revenue in periods following the merger than Similarity would have achieved as a separate company.

**INFORMATICA CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The results of Similarity's and Itemfield's operations have been included in the condensed consolidated financial statements since the acquisition dates. The following unaudited pro forma adjusted summary reflects the Company's condensed results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2006, assuming Similarity and Itemfield had been acquired on January 1, 2006, and includes the acquired in-process research and development charge of \$1.3 million for Similarity. The unaudited pro forma adjusted summary for the year ended December 31, 2006 combines the historical results for the Company for that period with the historical results for Similarity and Itemfield for the same period. The following unaudited pro forma adjusted summary is not intended to be indicative of future results (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	<b>Years Ended December 31,</b>	
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>
Pro forma adjusted total revenue	\$ 330,385	\$ 277,069
Pro forma adjusted net income	\$ 24,868	\$ 18,520
Pro forma adjusted net income per share basic	\$ 0.29	\$ 0.21
Pro forma adjusted net income per share diluted	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.20
Pro forma weighted-average basic shares	86,636	87,458
Pro forma weighted-average diluted shares	93,234	92,375

**4. Cash, Cash Equivalents and Short-Term Investments**

The Company's marketable securities are classified as available-for-sale as of the balance sheet date and are reported at fair value with unrealized gains and losses reported as a separate component of accumulated other comprehensive income in stockholders' equity, net of tax. Realized gains and losses and permanent declines in value, if any, on available-for-sale securities are reported in other income or expense as incurred. No realized gains were recognized for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004. The realized gains are included in other income of the consolidated results of operations for the respective years. The cost of securities sold was determined based on the specific identification method.

**INFORMATICA CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The following is a summary of the Company's investments (in thousands):

		<b>December 31, 2006</b>		
	<b>Cost</b>	<b>Gross Unrealized Gains</b>	<b>Gross Unrealized Losses</b>	<b>Estimated Fair Value</b>
Cash	\$ 49,267	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 49,267
Cash equivalents:				
Money market funds	14,448	-	-	14,448
Commercial paper	37,639	-	(8)	37,631
U.S. government notes and bonds	19,138	7	-	19,145
Total cash equivalents	71,225	7	(8)	71,224
Total cash and cash equivalents	120,492	7	(8)	120,491
Short-term investments:				
Money market funds	449	-	-	449
Commercial paper	14,575	13	(13)	14,575
Certificates of deposit	750	-	-	750
Corporate notes and bonds	29,984	5	(22)	29,967
U.S. government notes and bonds	169,482	41	(165)	169,358
Auction rate securities	65,050	-	-	65,050
Total short-term investments	280,290	59	(200)	280,149
Total cash, cash equivalents, and short-term investments	\$ 400,782	\$ 66	\$ (208)	\$ 400,640

## INFORMATICA CORPORATION

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

		December 31, 2005		
	Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value
Cash	\$ 40,227	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 40,227
Cash equivalents:				
Money market funds	1,261	-	-	1,261
Commercial paper	20,996	-	(3)	20,993
U.S. government notes and bonds	14,063	2	(1)	14,064
Total cash equivalents	36,320	2	(4)	36,318
Total cash and cash equivalents	76,547	2	(4)	76,545
Short-term investments:				
Money market funds	451	-	-	451
Commercial paper	997	-	-	997
Certificates of deposit	2,000	-	-	2,000
Corporate notes and bonds	27,455	-	(184)	27,271
Municipal securities	4,198	-	(9)	4,189
U.S. government notes and bonds	109,084	-	(507)	108,577
Auction rate securities	41,850	-	-	41,850
French government bonds	314	-	-	314
Total short-term investments	186,349	-	(700)	185,649
Total cash, cash equivalents, and short-term investments	\$ 262,896	\$ 2	\$ (704)	\$ 262,194

In accordance with EITF No. 03-1, the following table summarizes the fair value and gross unrealized losses related to available-for-sale securities, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position, at December 31, 2006 (in thousands):

	Less Than 12 months	More Than 12 months	Total
	Gross Unrealized Losses	Gross Unrealized Losses	Gross Unrealized Losses
Fair Value	Fair Value	Fair Value	Fair Value

Edgar Filing: INFORMATICA CORP - Form 10-K/A

Corporate notes and bonds	\$	19,167	\$	(13)	\$	4,748	\$	(9)	\$	23,915	\$	(22)
Commercial paper		28,993		(21)		-		-		28,993		(21)
U.S. government notes and bonds		80,127		(112)		19,446		(53)		99,573		(165)
	\$	128,287	\$	(146)	\$	24,194	\$	(62)	\$	152,481	\$	(208)

Market values were determined for each individual security in the investment portfolio. The declines in value of these investments are primarily related to changes in interest rates and are considered to be temporary in nature.

**INFORMATICA CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The following table summarized the cost and estimated fair value of the Company's cash equivalents and short-term investments by contractual maturity at December 31, 2006 (in thousands):

	<b>Cost</b>	<b>Fair Value</b>
Due within one year	\$ 232,509	\$ 232,417
Due one year to two years	53,956	53,906
Due after two years	65,050	65,050
	\$ 351,515	\$ 351,373

The investments classified as due after two years are marketable auction rate securities that have contractual maturities greater than two years with interest reset features. The interest rates of these securities reset approximately every 30 days. These auction rate securities also have structural features that allow the Company to sell the investments, at par, prior to the contractual maturities dates.

**5. Property and Equipment**

Property and equipment consisted of the following (in thousands):

	<b>December 31,</b>	
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>
Computer and office equipment	\$ 34,532	\$ 31,184
Furniture and fixtures	3,379	3,130
Leasehold improvements	15,554	14,653
Capital work-in-progress	331	1,170
	53,796	50,137
Less: Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(39,428)	(29,111)
	\$ 14,368	\$ 21,026

The Company determined that the balance of an application software asset for \$1.0 million has been impaired during the 12 months ended December 31, 2006. The Company recorded charges of \$21.6 million to the write-off of leasehold improvements and furniture and fixtures at excess facilities during the 12 months ended December 31, 2004. See Note 7. Facilities Restructuring Charges. Depreciation and amortization expense was \$10.1 million and \$9.2 million in 2006 and 2005, respectively.





## INFORMATICA CORPORATION

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## 6. Goodwill and Intangible Assets

The carrying amounts of the intangible assets as of December 31, 2006 and 2005 are as follows (in thousands):

	2006			2005		
	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization and Impairment	Net Amount	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Amount
Developed and core technology	\$ 18,135	\$ (7,297)	\$ 10,838	\$ 6,357	\$ (5,178)	\$ 1,179
Purchased technology	2,500	(2,500)	-	2,500	(35)	2,465
Customer relationships	4,175	(1,057)	3,118	945	(426)	519
Other:						
Trade names	700	(8)	692	-	-	-
Covenant not to compete	2,000	(14)	1,986	-	-	-
	\$ 27,510	\$ (10,876)	\$ 16,634	\$ 9,802	\$ (5,639)	\$ 4,163

Intangible assets, other than goodwill, are amortized over estimated useful lives of between three to seven years. Of the \$5.2 million amortization of intangible assets and impairment recorded in 2006, \$0.7 million was recorded in operating expenses and \$2.4 million was recorded in Cost of revenues -License and \$2.1 million in Cost of revenues - Amortization of acquired technology. Of the \$1.1 million amortization of intangible assets recorded in 2005, \$0.2 million was recorded in operating expenses and \$0.9 million was recorded in cost of revenues. Of the \$2.5 million amortization of intangible assets recorded in 2004, \$0.2 million was recorded in operating expenses and \$2.3 million was recorded in cost of revenues. In 2005, the Company purchased a source code license with a value of \$2.5 million. The balance of this source code license for \$1.6 million was determined to be impaired in December 2006 because the Company modified its original plan to use this software in its PowerCenter product. The cost of the impairment is reflected in the cost of revenues for license. The weighted-average amortization period of the Company's developed and core technology, purchased technology, customer relationships, trade names, and covenant not to compete are 4 years, 3 years, 5 years, 3.5 years, and 5 years, respectively. The amortization expense related to identifiable intangible assets as of December 31, 2006 is expected to be \$4.2 million, \$3.9 million, \$3.7 million, \$2.0 million, \$1.8 million, and \$1.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, and thereafter, respectively.

The Company adopted SFAS No. 142 effective January 1, 2002 and, as a result, ceased to amortize goodwill at that time. The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for 2006 and 2005 are as follows (in thousands):

	<b>December 31,</b>	
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>
Beginning balance	\$ 81,066	\$ 82,245
Goodwill recorded in acquiring Similarity	46,415	-
Goodwill recorded in acquiring Itemfield	43,202	-
Subsequent goodwill adjustments	-	(1,179)
Ending balance	\$ 170,683	\$ 81,066

In 2006, the Company recorded an adjustment of \$60,000 related to restructuring to reduce the goodwill related to Similarity acquisition. In 2005, the Company recorded a decrease in goodwill related to the 2003 Striva acquisition of \$1.2 million to reflect closing out an escrow account and reductions of other accrued merger costs. In 2004, the Company recorded an increase in goodwill related to the Striva acquisition of \$59,000 to reflect net adjustments to the purchase price allocation in accordance with SFAS No. 142.

**INFORMATICA CORPORATION**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

**7. Facilities Restructuring Charges**

***2004 Restructuring Plan***

In October 2004, the Company announced a restructuring plan (2004 Restructuring Plan) related to the December 2004 relocation of the Company's corporate headquarters within Redwood City, California. In 2005, the Company subleased the available space at the Pacific Shores Center under the 2004 Restructuring Plan with two subleases expiring in 2008 and 2009 with rights to extend for a period of one and four years, respectively. The Company recorded restructuring charges of approximately \$103.6 million, consisting of \$21.6 million in leasehold improvement and asset write-offs and \$82.0 million related to estimated facility lease losses, which consist of the present value of lease payment obligations for the remaining nine-year lease term of the previous corporate headquarters, net of actual and estimated sublease income. The Company has actual and estimated sublease income, including the reimbursement of certain property costs such as common area maintenance, insurance and property tax, net of estimated broker commissions of \$4.5 million in 2007, \$4.4 million in 2008, \$2.5 million in 2009, \$1.3 million in 2010, \$3.6 million in 2011, \$4.2 million in 2012, and \$2.3 million in 2013. If the subtenants do not extend their subleases and the Company is unable to sublease any of the related Pacific Shores facilities during the remaining lease terms through 2013, restructuring charges could increase by approximately \$9.3 million.

Subsequent to 2004, the Company continued to record accretion on the cash obligations related to the 2004 Restructuring Plan. Accretion represents imputed interest and is the difference between our non-discounted future cash obligations and the discounted present value of these cash obligations. At December 31, 2006, the Company will recognize approximately \$15.4 million of accretion as a restructuring charge over the remaining term of the lease, or approximately seven years, as follows: \$3.9 million in 2007, \$3.5 million in 2008, \$3.0 million in 2009, \$2.3 million in 2010, \$1.6 million in 2011, \$0.9 million in 2012, and \$0.2 million in 2013.

***2001 Restructuring Plan***

During 2001, the Company announced a restructuring plan (2001 Restructuring Plan) and recorded restructuring charges of approximately \$12.1 million, consisting of \$1.5 million in leasehold improvement and asset write-offs and \$10.6 million related to the consolidation of excess leased facilities in the San Francisco Bay Area and Texas.

During 2002, the Company recorded additional restructuring charges of approximately \$17.0 million, consisting of \$15.1 million related to estimated facility lease losses and \$1.9 million in leasehold improvement and asset write-offs. The timing of the restructuring accrual adjustment was a result of negotiated and executed subleases for the Company's excess facilities in Dallas, Texas and Palo Alto, California during the third quarter of 2002. These subleases included terms that provided a lower level of sublease rates than the initial assumptions. The terms of these new subleases were consistent with the continued deterioration of the commercial real estate market in these areas. In addition, cost containment measures initiated in the same quarter, such as delayed hiring and salary reductions, resulted in an adjustment to management's estimate of occupancy of available vacant facilities. These charges represent adjustments to the original assumptions, including the time period that the buildings will be vacant, expected sublease rates, expected sublease terms and the estimated time to sublease. The Company calculated the estimated costs for the additional restructuring charges based on current market information and trend analysis of the real estate market in the

respective area.

In December 2004, the Company recorded additional restructuring charges of \$9.0 million related to estimated facility lease losses. The restructuring accrual adjustments recorded in the third and fourth quarters of 2004 were the result of the relocation of its corporate headquarters within Redwood City, California in December 2004, an executed sublease for the Company's excess facilities in Palo Alto, California during the third quarter of 2004, and an adjustment to management's estimate of occupancy of available vacant facilities. These charges represent adjustments to the original assumptions in the 2001 Restructuring Plan charges, including the time period that the buildings will be vacant, expected sublease rates, expected sublease terms, and the estimated time to sublease. The Company calculated the estimated costs for the additional restructuring charges based on current market information and trend analysis of the real estate market in the respective area. In 2005, the Company subleased the available space at the Pacific Shores Center under the 2001 Restructuring Plan through May 2013.

## INFORMATICA CORPORATION

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

A summary of the activity of the accrued restructuring charges for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 is as follows (in thousands):

	Accrued Restructuring Charges at December 31, 2005	Restructuring Charges      Adjustments		Net Cash Payment	Non-cash Reclass	Accrued Restructuring Charges at December 31, 2006
<b>2004 Restructuring Plan</b>						
Excess lease facilities	\$ 78,129	\$ 4,309	\$ (854)	\$ (9,745)	\$ (161)	\$ 71,678
<b>2001 Restructuring Plan</b>						
Excess lease facilities	16,404	-	(244)	(4,028)	-	12,132
	\$ 94,533	\$ 4,309	\$ (1,098)	\$ (13,772)	\$ (161)	\$ 83,810

In 2006, the Company recorded \$3.2 million of restructuring charges related to the 2004 and 2001 Restructuring Plans. These charges included \$4.3 million of accretion charges and a \$0.2 million adjustment due to a change in lease operating expense assumptions, offset by an adjustment to reflect a \$1.3 million increase in the Company's assumed sublease income.

	Accrued Restructuring Charges at December 31, 2004	Restructuring Charges      Adjustments		Net Cash Payment	Non-cash Reclass	Accrued Restructuring Charges at December 31, 2005
<b>2004 Restructuring Plan</b>						
Excess lease facilities	\$ 88,521	\$ 4,767	\$ (1,133)	\$ (13,924)	\$ (102)	\$ 78,129
<b>2001 Restructuring Plan</b>						
Excess lease facilities	20,730	-	49	(4,375)	-	16,404
	\$ 109,251	\$ 4,767	\$ (1,084)	\$ (18,299)	\$ (102)	\$ 94,533

Net cash payments for 2006, 2005, and 2004 for facilities included in the 2001 Restructuring Plan amounted to \$4.0 million, \$4.4 million, and \$4.5 million, respectively. Actual future cash requirements may differ from the restructuring liability balances as of December 31, 2006 if the Company is unable to sublease the excess leased facilities after the expiration of the subleases, there are changes to the time period that facilities are vacant, or the actual sublease income is different from current estimates.

Inherent in the estimation of the costs related to the restructuring efforts are assessments related to the most likely expected outcome of the significant actions to accomplish the restructuring. The estimates of sublease income may vary significantly depending, in part, on factors that may be beyond the Company's control, such as the time periods required to locate and contract suitable subleases should the Company's existing sublessees elect to terminate their sublease agreements in 2008 and 2009 and the market rates at the time of entering into new sublease agreements.

## **8. Convertible Senior Notes**

On March 8, 2006, the Company issued and sold convertible senior notes with an aggregate principal amount of \$230 million due 2026 ( Notes ). The Company pays interest at 3.0% per annum to holders of the Notes, payable semi-annually on March 15 and September 15 of each year, commencing September 15, 2006. Each \$1,000 principal amount of the Notes is initially convertible, at the option of the holders, into 50 shares of our common stock prior to the earlier of the maturity date (March 15, 2026) or the redemption or repurchase of the Notes. The initial conversion price represented a premium of approximately 29.28% relative to the last reported sale price of common stock of the Company on the NASDAQ National

**INFORMATICA CORPORATION**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Market of \$15.47 on March 7, 2006. The conversion rate is subject to certain adjustments. The conversion rate initially represents a conversion price of \$20.00 per share. After March 15, 2011, the Company may from time to time redeem the Notes, in whole or in part, for cash, at a redemption price equal to the full principal amount of the notes, plus any accrued and unpaid interest. Holders of the Notes may require the Company to repurchase all or a portion of their Notes at a purchase price in cash equal to the full principal amount of the Notes plus any accrued and unpaid interest on March 15, 2011, March 15, 2016, and March 15, 2021, or upon the occurrence of certain events including a change in control. The Company has the right to redeem some or all of the Notes after March 15, 2011. Future minimum payments related to the Notes in total which represent interest as of December 31, 2006 are as follows: 2007 \$6.9 million; 2008 \$6.9 million; 2009 \$6.9 million; 2010 \$6.9 million. Future minimum payments related to the Notes as of December 31, 2006 for 2011 and thereafter \$107 million represents interest and \$230 million represents principal for a total of \$337 million.

Pursuant to a Purchase Agreement (the "Purchase Agreement"), the Notes were sold for cash consideration in a private placement to an initial purchaser, UBS Securities LLC, an accredited investor, within the meaning of Rule 501 under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"), in reliance upon the private placement exemption afforded by Section 4(2) of the Securities Act. The initial purchaser reoffered and resold the Notes to qualified institutional buyers under Rule 144A of the Securities Act without being registered under the Securities Act, in reliance on applicable exemptions from the registration requirements of the Securities Act. In connection with the issuance of the Notes, the Company filed a shelf registration statement with the SEC for the resale of the Notes and the common stock issuable upon conversion of the Notes, which became effective on June 21, 2006. The Company also agreed to periodically update the shelf registration and to keep it effective until the earlier of the date the Notes or the common stock issuable upon conversion of the Notes is eligible to be sold to the public pursuant to Rule 144(k) of the Securities Act or the date on which there are no outstanding registrable securities. The Company has evaluated the terms of the call feature, redemption feature, and the conversion feature under applicable accounting literature, including SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, and EITF 00-19, *Accounting for Derivative Financial Instruments Indexed to, and Potentially Settled in, a Company's Own Stock*, and concluded that none of these features should be separately accounted for as derivatives.

The Company used approximately \$50 million of the net proceeds from the offering to fund the purchase of shares of its common stock concurrently with the offering of the Notes and intends to use the balance of the net proceeds for working capital and general corporate purposes, which may include the acquisition of businesses, products, product rights or technologies, strategic investments, or additional purchases of common stock.

In connection with the issuance of the Notes, the Company incurred \$6.2 million of issuance costs, which primarily consisted of investment banker fees and legal and other professional fees. These costs are classified within Other Assets and are being amortized as a component of interest expense using the effective interest method over the life of the Notes from issuance through March 15, 2026. If the holders require repurchase of some or all of the Notes on the first repurchase date, which is March 15, 2011, the Company would accelerate amortization of the pro rata share of the unamortized balance of the issuance costs on such date. If the holders require conversion of some or all of the Notes when the conversion requirements are met, the Company would accelerate amortization of the pro rata share of the unamortized balance of the issuance cost to additional paid-in capital on such date. Amortization expense related to the issuance costs was \$0.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. Interest expense on the Notes was \$5.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. A payment of \$3.5 million interest, representing the semi-annual



interest payment due on September 15, 2006, was made during the 12 months ended December 31, 2006.

The estimated fair value of the Company's Convertible Senior Notes as of December 31, 2006, based on the closing price as of December 29, 2006 (the last trading day of 2006) at the Over-the-Counter market, was \$227 million.

**INFORMATICA CORPORATION**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

**9. Commitments and Contingencies**

*Lease Obligations*

In December 2004, the Company relocated its corporate headquarters within Redwood City, California and entered into a new lease agreement. The lease term is from December 15, 2004 to December 31, 2007 with a three-year option to renew to December 31, 2010 at fair market value. If the Company decides to exercise its renewal option, the renewal rate may not be comparable to its current rate. The minimum contractual lease payment is \$2.1 million for 2007.

The Company entered into two lease agreements in February 2000 for two office buildings at the Pacific Shores Center in Redwood City, California, its former corporate headquarters from August 2001 through December 2004. The leases expire in July 2013. As part of these agreements, the Company purchased certificates of deposit totaling approximately \$12 million as a security deposit for lease payments. These certificates of deposit are classified as long-term restricted cash on the Company's consolidated balance sheet.

The Company leases certain office facilities under various non-cancelable operating leases, including those described above, which expire at various dates through 2013 and require the Company to pay operating costs, including property taxes, insurance, and maintenance. Rent expense for 2006, 2005, and 2004 was \$5.8 million, \$5.1 million, and \$16.1 million, respectively. Operating lease payments in the table below include approximately \$107.6 million for operating lease commitments for facilities that are included in restructuring charges. See Note 7. Facilities Restructuring Charges, above, for a further discussion.

Future minimum lease payments as of December 31, 2006 under non-cancelable operating leases with original terms in excess of one year are summarized as follows (in thousands):

	<b>Operating Leases</b>	<b>Sublease Income</b>	<b>Net</b>
2007	21,985	3,075	18,910
2008	18,170	2,752	15,418
2009	17,996	1,592	16,404
2010	17,876	285	17,591
2011	17,980	1,932	16,048
Thereafter	30,261	3,669	26,592
	\$ 124,268	\$ 13,305	\$ 110,963

Of these future minimum lease payments, the Company has accrued \$83.8 million in the facilities restructuring accrual at December 31, 2006. This accrual, in addition to minimum lease payments of \$107.6 million, includes

estimated operating expenses of \$19.6 million and sublease commencement costs associated with excess facilities and is net of estimated sublease income of \$28.0 million and a present value discount of \$15.4 million recorded in accordance with SFAS No. 146.

In December 2005, the Company subleased 35,000 square feet of office space at the Pacific Shores Center, its former corporate headquarters, in Redwood City, California through May 2013. In June 2005, the Company subleased 51,000 square feet of office space at the Pacific Shores Center, its previous corporate headquarters, in Redwood City, California through August 2008 with an option to renew through July 2013. In February 2005, the Company subleased 187,000 square feet of office space at the Pacific Shores Center for the remainder of the lease term through July 2013 with a right of termination by the subtenant that is exercisable in July 2009. In 2004, the Company signed sublease agreements for leased office space in Palo Alto and Scotts Valley, California. In 2003, the Company signed sublease agreements for leased office space in San Francisco, Palo Alto, and Redwood City, California. During 2002, the Company signed a sublease agreement for leased office space in Palo Alto, California.

## INFORMATICA CORPORATION

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### *Warranties*

The Company generally provides a warranty for its software products and services to its customers for a period of three to six months and accounts for its warranties under the SFAS No. 5, *Accounting for Contingencies*. The Company's software products' media are generally warranted to be free from defects in materials and workmanship under normal use, and the products are also generally warranted to substantially perform as described in certain Company documentation and the product specifications. The Company's services are generally warranted to be performed in a professional manner and to materially conform to the specifications set forth in a customer's signed contract. In the event there is a failure of such warranties, the Company generally will correct or provide a reasonable work-around or replacement product. The Company has provided a warranty accrual of \$0.2 million as of December 31, 2006 and 2005. To date, the Company's product warranty expense has not been significant.

#### *Indemnification*

The Company sells software licenses and services to its customers under contracts, which the Company refers to as the License to Use Informatica Software ( License Agreement ). Each License Agreement contains the relevant terms of the contractual arrangement with the customer and generally includes certain provisions for indemnifying the customer against losses, expenses, liabilities, and damages that may be awarded against the customer in the event the Company's software is found to infringe upon a patent, copyright, trademark, or other proprietary right of a third party. The License Agreement generally limits the scope of and remedies for such indemnification obligations in a variety of industry-standard respects, including but not limited to certain time and scope limitations and a right to replace an infringing product with a non-infringing product.

The Company believes its internal development processes and other policies and practices limit its exposure related to the indemnification provisions of the License Agreement. In addition, the Company requires its employees to sign a proprietary information and inventions agreement, which assigns the rights to its employees' development work to the Company. To date, the Company has not had to reimburse any of its customers for any losses related to these indemnification provisions, and no material claims against the Company are outstanding as of December 31, 2006. For several reasons, including the lack of prior indemnification claims and the lack of a monetary liability limit for certain infringement cases under the License Agreement, the Company cannot determine the maximum amount of potential future payments, if any, related to such indemnification provisions.

In addition, we indemnify our officers and directors under the terms of indemnity agreements entered into with them, as well as pursuant to our certificate of incorporation, bylaws, and applicable Delaware law. To date, we have not incurred any costs related to these indemnifications.

The Company accrues for loss contingencies when available information indicates that it is probable that an asset has been impaired or a liability has been incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated, in accordance with SFAS No. 5, *Accounting for Contingencies*.

#### **10. Stockholders' Equity**

*Preferred Stock*

The Company is authorized to issue 2.0 million shares of preferred stock with a par value of \$0.001 per share of which 200,000 shares have been designated as Series A preferred stock. Preferred stock may be issued from time to time in one or more series. The Board of Directors is authorized to provide for the rights, preferences, privileges and restrictions of the shares of such series. As of December 31, 2006 and 2005, no shares of preferred stock had been issued.

**INFORMATICA CORPORATION**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

*Common Stock*

The Company has authorized 200 million shares of common stock with a par value of \$0.001 per share. Each share of common stock has the right to one vote. The holders of common stock are also entitled to receive dividends whenever funds are legally available and when declared by the Board of Directors, subject to the rights of holders of all classes of stock having priority rights as to dividends. No cash dividends have been declared or paid through December 31, 2006.

*Stockholders Rights Plan*

In October 2001, the Board of Directors adopted the Stockholders Rights Plan and declared a dividend distribution of one common stock purchase right for each outstanding share of common stock held on November 12, 2001. Each right entitles the holder to purchase 1/1000th of a share of Series A Preferred Stock of the Company, par value \$0.001, at an exercise price of \$90 per share. The rights become exercisable in certain circumstances and are redeemable at the Company's option, at an exercise price of \$0.001 per right. The rights expire on the earlier of November 12, 2011 or on the date of their redemption or exchange. The Company may also exchange the rights for shares of common stock under certain circumstances. The Stockholders Rights Plan was adopted to protect stockholders from unfair or coercive takeover practices. The plan is reviewed every three years by a committee of independent directors.

*Stock Repurchase Plan*

In 2004, the Company's Board of Directors authorized a one-year stock repurchase program for up to 5 million shares of the Company's common stock. In 2005, the board approved an extension of this program to December 31, 2005. Purchases could be made from time to time in the open market and were funded from available working capital. The number of shares to be purchased and the timing of purchases were based on the level of the Company's cash balances and general business and market conditions. The Company purchased 5,365,000 shares at a cost of \$78.5 million and 2,810,000 shares at a cost of \$26.5 million under this program in 2006 and 2005, respectively. These shares were retired and reclassified as authorized and unissued shares of common stock.

*Repurchase Rights*

In September 2003, upon the acquisition of Striva, the Company entered into stock agreements with three Striva employees. In connection with these agreements, 50% of the converted Striva stock held by each employee is subject to a repurchase right by the Company. The total number of shares of common stock subject to these repurchased rights initially totaled 450,149 when the acquisition closed. The repurchase rights lapsed ratably over periods ranging from one to two years through September 2005 based on continued employment of the individuals with the Company. In connection with these shares, the Company recognized deferred stock-based compensation totaling \$3.4 million, which was amortized over the respective vesting periods. The deferred stock-based compensation balance related to these stock shares was fully amortized in 2005.

**11. Interest Income, Net and Other Expense, Net**

Interest income, net consisted of interest income generating from the Company's cash, cash equivalents, short-term investments, and interest expense. The interest income, net also included accretion of bond premium net of amortization of bond discount of \$6.6 million, \$0.3 million, and \$(1.0) million in 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively. The Company had interest expense and amortization debt issuance costs of \$5.5 million and \$0.3 million in 2006 related to the Notes. The Company accrued interest expense in connection with an acquisition escrow account in the amount of \$54,000 and \$44,000 in 2004 and 2003, respectively. The escrow account was closed out in early 2005. Cash paid for interest expense was \$3.5 million and \$0.1 million for 2006 and 2005, respectively. No interest expense was paid in 2004.

**INFORMATICA CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

Other expense, net consisted of the following (in thousands):

	<b>Years Ended December 31,</b>		
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>
Foreign currency gain (loss)	\$ (518)	\$ (617)	\$ 540
Other	(65)	(95)	(598)
	\$ (583)	\$ (712)	\$ (58)

**12. Comprehensive Income (Loss)**

Other comprehensive income refers to gains and losses that, under GAAP, are recorded as an element of stockholders equity and are excluded from net income (loss).

For the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, the components of comprehensive income (loss) consisted of the following (in thousands):

	<b>Years Ended December 31,</b>		
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>
Net income (loss), as reported	\$ 36,206	\$ 33,804	\$ (104,404)
Other comprehensive income (loss):			
Unrealized gain (loss) on investments*	559	(57)	(797)
Cumulative translation adjustment*	1,776	(2,257)	786
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 38,541	\$ 31,490	\$ (104,415)

\* The tax effect on unrealized gain (loss) on investment and foreign currency translation adjustment has not been significant.

Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) as of December 31, 2006 and 2005 consisted of the following (in thousands):

	<b>December 31,</b>
	<b>2006</b> <b>2005</b>



Unrealized loss on available-for-sale investments	\$ (143)	\$ (702)
Cumulative translation adjustment	1,939	163
	\$ 1,796	\$ (539)

### 13. Investment Impairment

In 2003, the Company made a minority equity investment in a privately held company that was carried at a cost basis of \$0.5 million and was included in other assets. The Company evaluated the investment in December 2004 and determined that the carrying value of this investment was impaired. In December 2004, we recorded an investment impairment charge of \$0.5 million to other income (expense), net in the Company's consolidated statement of operations. The Company based its impairment assessment on the performance of the company in which it invested, including its cash position, earnings and revenue outlook, liquidity, and overall management.

**INFORMATICA CORPORATION**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

**14. Employee 401(K) Plan**

The Company's employee savings and retirement plan (the Plan) is qualified under Section 401 of the Internal Revenue Code. The Plan is available to all regular employees on the Company's U.S. payroll and provides employees with tax deferred salary deductions and alternative investment options. Employees may contribute up to 50% of their salary up to the statutory prescribed annual limit. The Company matches 50% per dollar contributed by eligible employees who participate in the Plan, up to a maximum of \$1,500 per calendar year. Contributions made by the Company vest 100% upon contribution. The Company contributed \$0.9 million and \$0.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The Company's match was suspended for 2004. In addition, the Plan provides for discretionary contributions at the discretion of the Board of Directors. No discretionary contributions have been made by the Company to date.

**15. Income Taxes**

The federal, state, and foreign income tax provisions for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004 are summarized as follows (in thousands):

	<b>Years Ended December 31,</b>		
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>
Current:			
Federal	\$ (177)	\$ 350	\$ 211
State	1,100	450	150
Foreign	3,561	1,374	859
Total current	4,484	2,174	1,220
Deferred:			
Federal	853	-	-
State	140	-	-
Total deferred	993	-	-
Income tax provision	\$ 5,477	\$ 2,174	\$ 1,220

The components of income (loss) before income taxes attributable to domestic and foreign operations are as follows (in thousands):

**Years Ended December 31,**

Edgar Filing: INFORMATICA CORP - Form 10-K/A

	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>
Domestic	\$ 52,571	\$ 42,343	\$ (94,384)
Foreign	(10,888)	(6,365)	(8,800)
	\$ 41,683	\$ 35,978	\$ (103,184)

## INFORMATICA CORPORATION

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

A reconciliation of the provision (benefit) computed at the statutory federal income tax rate to the Company's income tax provision is as follows (in thousands):

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2006	2005	2004
Income tax provision (benefit) computed at federal statutory income tax rate	\$ 14,589	\$ 12,592	\$ (36,114)
Federal alternative minimum tax	-	1,062	611
State taxes	715	293	98
Foreign taxes	3,562	1,374	859
Stock-based compensation	1,646	642	1,928
Other	(603)	(554)	(437)
Valuation allowance	(14,432)	(13,235)	34,275
	\$ 5,477	\$ 2,174	\$ 1,220

Significant components of the Company's deferred tax assets are as follows (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2006	2005
Deferred tax assets:		
Net operating loss carryforwards	\$ 7,873	\$ 16,875
Tax credit carryforwards	12,790	12,441
Deferred revenue	5,648	1,576
Reserves and accrued costs not currently deductible	2,960	743
Depreciable assets	15,986	8,416
Accrued restructuring costs	32,949	48,395
Amortization of intangibles	3,227	1,131
Capitalized research and development	1,911	2,329
Stock-based compensation	3,654	-
Other	214	205
Valuation allowance	(82,626)	(91,678)
Total deferred tax assets	4,586	433
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Non-deductible intangible assets	(5,579)	(433)
	\$ (993)	\$ -

SFAS No. 109 provides for the recognition of deferred tax assets if realization of such assets is more likely than not. Based on a number of factors, which includes the Company's historical operating performance and the reported cumulative net losses in prior years, the Company has provided a full valuation allowance against its net deferred tax assets. The valuation allowance decreased by \$9.0 million in 2006 compared to 2005, and by \$11.6 million for 2005 compared to 2004, primarily due to a reduction of deferred tax assets to the extent of tax attributes utilized. The valuation allowance increased by \$52.7 million in 2004 compared to 2003, primarily due to restructuring charges that are not currently deductible.

As of December 31, 2006, approximately \$53.5 million of the valuation allowance for deferred taxes was attributable to the tax benefits of stock option deductions which will be credited to equity when realized. Approximately \$3.2 million of the

## INFORMATICA CORPORATION

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

valuation allowance for deferred tax assets is attributable to acquired companies which will be credited to goodwill when realized.

As of December 31, 2006, the Company has federal net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$20.0 million, federal research and development tax credit carryforwards of approximately \$4.4 million and foreign tax credits carryforwards of approximately \$2.6 million. The net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards will expire at various times beginning in 2011, if not utilized. The federal minimum tax credit carryforwards of \$0.1 million have no expiration dates.

As of December 31, 2006, the Company has state net operating loss and research and development tax credit carryforwards of approximately \$15.8 million and \$8.4 million, respectively. The state net operating loss carryforwards will expire at various times beginning in 2014 and the research and development tax credit carryforwards have no expiration dates. State investment tax credit carryforwards of \$0.2 million will expire at various times beginning in 2007.

Utilization of the Company's net operating loss may be subject to substantial annual limitation due to the ownership change limitations provided by the Internal Revenue Code and similar state provisions. Such an annual limitation could result in the expiration of the net operating loss carryforwards before utilization.

#### 16. Litigation

On November 8, 2001, a purported securities class action complaint was filed in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. The case is entitled *In re Informatica Corporation Initial Public Offering Securities Litigation, Civ. No. 01-9922 (SAS) (S.D.N.Y.)*, related to *In re Initial Public Offering Securities Litigation, 21 MC 92 (SAS) (S.D.N.Y.)*. Plaintiffs' amended complaint was brought purportedly on behalf of all persons who purchased the Company's common stock from April 29, 1999 through December 6, 2000. It names as defendants Informatica Corporation, two of the Company's former officers (the Informatica defendants), and several investment banking firms that served as underwriters of the Company's April 29, 1999 initial public offering and September 28, 2000 follow-on public offering. The complaint alleges liability as to all defendants under Sections 11 and/or 15 of the Securities Act of 1933 and Sections 10(b) and/or 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, on the grounds that the registration statements for the offerings did not disclose that: (1) the underwriters had agreed to allow certain customers to purchase shares in the offerings in exchange for excess commissions paid to the underwriters; and (2) the underwriters had arranged for certain customers to purchase additional shares in the aftermarket at predetermined prices. The complaint also alleges that false analyst reports were issued. No specific damages are claimed.

Similar allegations were made in other lawsuits challenging over 300 other initial public offerings and follow-on offerings conducted in 1999 and 2000. The cases were consolidated for pretrial purposes. On February 19, 2003, the Court ruled on all defendants' motions to dismiss. The Court denied the motions to dismiss the claims under the Securities Act of 1933. The Court denied the motion to dismiss the Section 10(b) claim against Informatica and 184 other issuer defendants. The Court denied the motion to dismiss the Section 10(b) and 20(a) claims against the Informatica defendants and 62 other individual defendants.

The Company accepted a settlement proposal presented to all issuer defendants. In this settlement, plaintiffs will dismiss and release all claims against the Informatica defendants, in exchange for a contingent payment by the insurance companies collectively responsible for insuring the issuers in all of the IPO cases, and for the assignment or surrender of control of certain claims the Company may have against the underwriters. The Informatica defendants will not be required to make any cash payments in the settlement, unless the pro rata amount paid by the insurers in the settlement exceeds the amount of the insurance coverage, a circumstance which the Company does not believe will occur. The settlement will require approval of the Court, which cannot be assured, after class members are given the opportunity to object to the settlement or opt out of the settlement.

In September 2005, the Court granted preliminary approval of the settlement. The Court held a hearing to consider final approval of the settlement on April 24, 2006, and took the matter under submission. The court will resume consideration of

## INFORMATICA CORPORATION

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

whether to grant final approval to the settlement following further appellate review, if any, of the decision in *In re Initial Public Offering Securities Litigation*, \_\_\_ F.3d \_\_\_, 2006 WL 3499937 (2d Cir. Dec. 5, 2006).

On July 15, 2002, we filed a patent infringement action in U.S. District Court in Northern California against Acta Technology, Inc. ( Acta ), now known as Business Objects Data Integration, Inc. ( BODI ), asserting that certain Acta products infringe on three of our patents: U.S. Patent No. 6,014,670, entitled Apparatus and Method for Performing Data Transformations in Data Warehousing , U.S. Patent No. 6,339,775, entitled Apparatus and Method for Performing Data Transformations in Data Warehousing (this patent is a continuation in part of and claims the benefit of U.S. Patent No. 6,014,670), and U.S. Patent No. 6,208,990, entitled Method and Architecture for Automated Optimization of ETL Throughput in Data Warehousing Applications. On July 17, 2002, we filed an amended complaint alleging that Acta products also infringe on one additional patent: U.S. Patent No. 6,044,374, entitled Object References for Sharing Metadata in Data Marts. In the suit, we are seeking an injunction against future sales of the infringing Acta/BODI products, as well as damages for past sales of the infringing products. We have asserted that BODI s infringement of our patents was willful and deliberate. On September 5, 2002, BODI answered the complaint and filed counterclaims against us seeking a declaration that each patent asserted is not infringed and is invalid and unenforceable. BODI has not made any claims for monetary relief against us and has not filed any counterclaims alleging that we have infringed any of BODI s patents. The parties presented their respective claim constructions to the Court on September 24, 2003, and on August 1, 2005, the Court issued its claims construction order. We believe that the issued claims construction order is favorable to our position on the infringement action. On October 11, 2006, in response to the parties cross-motions for summary judgment, the Court ruled that U.S. Patent No. 6,044,374 was not infringed as a matter of law. However, the Court found that there remain triable issues of fact as to infringement and validity of the three remaining patents. On February 26, 2007, as stipulated by both parties, the Court dismissed the infringement claims on U.S. Patent No. 6,208,990 as well as BODI s counterclaims on this patent. Informatica is preparing for trial, which has been set for March 12, 2007, on the remaining two patents (U.S. Patent No. 6,014,670 and U.S. Patent No. 6,339,775) originally asserted in 2002. In the suit, the Company is seeking an injunction against future sales of the infringing Acta/BODI products, as well as damages for past sales of the infringing products. The Company has asserted that BODI s infringement of the Informatica patents was willful and deliberate.

The Company is also a party to various legal proceedings and claims arising from the normal course of business activities.

Based on current available information, the Company does not expect that the ultimate outcome of these unresolved matters, individually or in the aggregate, will have a material adverse effect on its results of operations, cash flows, or financial position.

#### 17. Related Party Transaction

Mark A. Bertelsen, a director of Informatica since September 2002, serves as a member of Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati ( WSGR ), our principal outside legal counsel. Fees paid by the Company to WSGR for legal services rendered for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004 were \$0.7 million, \$0.3 million, and \$0.5 million, respectively. The Company believes that the services rendered by WSGR were provided on terms no more or less favorable than those with unrelated parties.



**18. Significant Customer Information and Segment Information**

The Company operates solely in one segment, the development and marketing of enterprise data integration software. The Company markets its products and services in the United States and in foreign countries through its direct sales force and indirect distribution channels. No customer accounted for more than 10% of revenue in 2006, 2005, and 2004. At December 31, 2006 and 2005, no single customer accounted for more than 10% of the accounts receivable balance. North America revenues include the United States and Canada. Revenue from international customers (defined as those customers outside of North America) accounted for 30%, 31%, and 29% of total revenue in 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively.

**INFORMATICA CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

The following tables represent geographic information (in thousands):

	<b>Years Ended December 31,</b>		
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>
Revenues:			
North America	\$ 226,731	\$ 185,118	\$ 156,565
Europe	80,117	73,398	54,951
Other	17,750	8,915	8,165
	\$ 324,598	\$ 267,431	\$ 219,681

	<b>December 31,</b>	
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>
Long-lived assets (excluding assets not allocated):		
North America	\$ 27,995	\$ 21,708
Europe	\$ 1,984	\$ 2,571
Other	\$ 1,023	\$ 910
	\$ 31,002	\$ 25,189

The Company's revenues are derived from software licenses, maintenance, consulting and education services, and customer support. It is impracticable to disaggregate software license revenue by product. The Company's disaggregated revenue information is as follows (in thousands):

	<b>For the Years Ended December 31,</b>		
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>
License	\$ 146,092	\$ 120,182	\$ 97,941
Maintenance	124,955	103,573	87,470
Consulting and education	53,551	43,676	34,270
	\$ 324,598	\$ 267,431	\$ 219,681



## INFORMATICA CORPORATION

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## 19. Selected Quarterly Financial Information (Unaudited)

	<b>Three Months Ended</b>			
	<b>December 31, 2006</b>	<b>September 30, 2006</b>	<b>June 30, 2006</b>	<b>March 31, 2006</b>
	(In thousands, except per share data)			
Total revenues	\$ 91,801	\$ 78,930	\$ 80,810	\$ 73,057
Gross profit	72,008	63,321	63,874	57,897
Facilities restructuring charges	(174)	1,108	1,129	1,149
Income from operations	12,382	7,403	6,021	4,054
Net income	13,925	9,384	7,629	5,268
Net income per common share:				
Basic	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.06
Diluted	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.06
Shares used in computing basic net income per common share:				
Basic	86,168	86,187	85,860	87,566
Diluted	103,176	92,412	93,062	97,147

Net income and net income per share includes the impact of SFAS 123(R) stock-based compensation. Diluted net income per common share is calculated according to SFAS 128, *Earning per Share*, which requires the dilutive effect of convertible securities to be reflected in the diluted net income per share by application of the *if-converted* method. This method assumes an add back of interest and issuance cost amortization, net of income taxes to net income if the securities are converted. The company determined that for the 12 months period ended December 31, 2006, the convertible securities did have an anti-dilutive effect on net income per share, and as such, it excluded them from the dilutive net income per share calculation.

	<b>Three Months Ended</b>			
	<b>December 31, 2005</b>	<b>September 30, 2005</b>	<b>June 30, 2005</b>	<b>March 31, 2005</b>
	(In thousands, except per share data)			
Total revenues	\$ 79,838	\$ 64,997	\$ 64,205	\$ 58,391
Gross profit	64,469	52,360	51,450	46,964
Facilities restructuring charges	781	1,274	70	1,558
Income from operations	11,131	6,804	6,851	4,648
Net income	13,553	8,301	7,641	4,309
Net income per common share:				
Basic	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.05
Diluted	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.05

Shares used in computing basic net income per  
common share:

Basic	87,651	87,568	86,876	86,886
Diluted	94,163	93,571	89,760	89,284

The Company believes that period-to-period comparisons of the Company's consolidated financial results should not be relied upon as an indication of future performance. The operating results of the Company reflect seasonal trends experienced by many software companies and are subject to fluctuation due to other factors, and the Company's business, financial condition, and results of operations may be affected by such factors in the future.

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, Redwood City, State of California on this 28th day of January 2008.

**INFORMATICA CORPORATION**

By: /s/ Earl E. Fry

Earl E. Fry  
*Chief Financial Officer and Executive Vice President*

**INFORMATICA CORPORATION**

**EXHIBITS TO FORM 10-K ANNUAL REPORT**

**For the year ended December 31, 2006**

<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Document</b>
2.1**	Share Purchase Agreement for the sale and purchase of the entire issued share capital of Similarity Systems Limited dated January 26, 2006.
3.1	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Informatica Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Amendment No. 1 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Commission File No. 333-72677) filed on April 8, 1999).
3.2	Certificate of Amendment to the Company's Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation to increase the aggregate number of shares of the Company's common stock authorized for issuance from 100,000,000 to 200,000,000 shares (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.4 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on August 14, 2000, Commission File No. 0-25871).
3.3	Certificate of Designation of the Rights, Preferences and Privileges of Series A Participating Preferred Stock of Informatica Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.5 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form 8-A filed on November 6, 2001, Commission File No. 0-25871).
3.4	Bylaws, as amended, of Informatica Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.4 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-Q filed on February 28, 2006, Commission File No. 0-25871).
4.1	Reference is made to Exhibits 3.1 through 3.4.
4.2	Preferred Stock Rights Agreement, dated as of October 17, 2001, between Informatica Corporation and American Stock Transfer & Trust Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form 8-A filed on November 6, 2001, Commission File No. 0-25871).
4.3	Indenture, dated March 13, 2006, between the Company and U.S. Bank National Association (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 14, 2006, Commission File No. 0-25871).
4.4	Form of 3% Convertible Senior Note due 2026 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 14, 2006, Commission File No. 0-25871).
4.5	Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of March 13, 2006, between the Company and UBS Securities LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 14, 2006, Commission File No. 0-25871).
10.1*	Company's 2000 Employee Stock Incentive Plan, as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on August 8, 2001, Commission File No. 0-25871).
10.2*	Form of Indemnification Agreement between the Company and each of its executive officers and directors (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Amendment No. 1 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Commission File No. 333-72677) filed on April 8, 1999).
10.3*	Company's 1996 Flexible Stock Incentive Plan, including forms of agreements thereunder (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Commission File No. 333-72677) filed on February 19, 1999).
10.4*	Company's 1999 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (Commission File No. 333-66754) filed on August 3, 2001).
10.5*	Company's 1999 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, as amended, including forms of agreements thereunder (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on May 9, 2006, Commission File No. 0-25871).

Edgar Filing: INFORMATICA CORP - Form 10-K/A

- 10.6\* Company's 1999 Non-Employee Director Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13 to Amendment No. 1 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Commission File No. 333-72677) filed on April 8, 1999).
- 10.7 Lease Agreement regarding Building 1 Lease, dated as of February 22, 2000, by and between the Company and Pacific Shores Center LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 30, 2000, Commission File No. 0-25871).
- 10.8 Lease Agreement regarding Building 2 Lease, dated as of February 22, 2000, by and between the Company and Pacific Shores Center LLC (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 30, 2000, Commission File No. 0-25871).
- 10.9\* Description of management arrangement with Earl E. Fry (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on November 13, 2002, Commission File No. 0-25871).
- 10.10\* Amendment to 1999 Non-Employee Director Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.21 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on August 7, 2003, Commission File No. 0-25871).



Exhibit Number	Document
10.11*	Agreement on the Forgiveness of Employee Loan dated September 13, 2001, by and between the Company and Earl E. Fry (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to Amendment No. 1 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-3 (Commission File No. 333-109683) filed on December 24, 2003).
10.12*	Employment Agreement dated July 19, 2004 by and between Company and Sohaib Abbasi (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.26 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on August 5, 2004, Commission File No. 0-25871).
10.13	Lease Agreement dated as of October 7, 2004, by and between the Company and Seaport Plaza Associates, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.28 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 8, 2005, Commission File No. 0-25871).
10.14*	Form of Executive Severance Agreement dated November 15, 2004 by and between the Company and each of Earl E. Fry and Girish Pancha (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.29 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 8, 2005, Commission File No. 0-25871).
10.15*	Offer Letter dated January 4, 2005, by and between the Company and Paul J. Hoffman (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.31 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on May 9, 2005, Commission File No. 0-25871).
10.16*	Executive Severance Agreement dated January 4, 2005 by and between the Company and Paul J. Hoffman (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.31 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on May 9, 2005, Commission File No. 0-25871).
10.17*	2005 Cash Bonus Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.31 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on May 9, 2005, Commission File No. 0-25871).
10.18*	Summary of amended standard director cash compensation arrangements (incorporated by reference to Item 1.01 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 20, 2005, Commission File No. 0-25871).
10.19*	Offer Letter dated February 22, 2006 by and between the Company and Brian Gentile (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed May 9, 2006, Commission File No. 0-25871).
10.20*	Executive Severance Agreement dated February 22, 2006 by and between the Company and Brian Gentile (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed May 9, 2006, Commission File No. 0-25871).
21.1**	List of Subsidiaries.
23.1	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

\* Indicates management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

\*\* Incorporated by reference to the identically numbered exhibit to the Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 28, 2007, to which this amendment applies.