

Edgar Filing: AEHR TEST SYSTEMS - Form 10-Q

AEHR TEST SYSTEMS  
Form 10-Q  
April 13, 2007

FORM 10-Q  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

/X/ QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended February 28, 2007.

OR

/ / TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_.

Commission file number: 000-22893.

AEHR TEST SYSTEMS  
(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

CALIFORNIA

94-2424084

-----  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

-----  
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

400 KATO TERRACE  
FREMONT, CA

94539

-----  
(Address of principal  
executive offices)

-----  
(Zip Code)

(510) 623-9400

-----  
(REGISTRANT'S TELEPHONE NUMBER, INCLUDING AREA CODE)

FORMER NAME, FORMER ADDRESS AND FORMER FISCAL YEAR, IF CHANGED SINCE  
LAST REPORT.

N/A

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports  
required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of  
1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period as the  
Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to  
such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

(Item 1)        YES    X        NO  
                      ---        ---

(Item 2)        YES    X        NO  
                      ---        ---

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated  
filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of  
"accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange  
Act (Check one):

Large accelerated filer      Accelerated filer      Non-accelerated filer    X

Edgar Filing: AEHR TEST SYSTEMS - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

YES                      NO    X  
---                      ---

1

Number of shares of Common Stock, \$0.01 par value, outstanding at March 31, 2007 was 7,799,582.

2

FORM 10-Q

FOR THE QUARTER ENDED FEBRUARY 28, 2007

INDEX

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

    Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of  
        February 28, 2007 and May 31, 2006 . . . . . 4

    Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for the three  
        months and nine months ended February 28, 2007 and 2006. 5

    Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the  
        nine months ended February 28, 2007 and 2006 . . . . . 6

    Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. . . . . 7

ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition  
        and Results of Operations. . . . . 16

ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risks. . 22

ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures. . . . . 23

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. Legal Proceedings . . . . . 23

ITEM 1A. Risk Factors . . . . . 23

ITEM 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds. . 26

ITEM 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities . . . . . 26

ITEM 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders . . . . . 26

ITEM 5. Other Information . . . . . 26

Edgar Filing: AEHR TEST SYSTEMS - Form 10-Q

ITEM 6. Exhibits . . . . . 26

SIGNATURE PAGE . . . . . 27

Index to Exhibits . . . . . 28

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

AEHR TEST SYSTEMS  
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS  
(in thousands, except per share data)  
(Unaudited)

	February 28, 2007	May 31, 2006
	-----	-----
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents . . . . .	\$ 6,799	\$ 9,405
Short-term investments . . . . .	3,312	1,600
Accounts receivable, net of allowances for doubtful accounts of \$78 and \$70 at February 28, 2007 and May 31, 2006, respectively . . . . .	6,073	4,531
Inventories . . . . .	7,079	7,242
Prepaid expenses and other . . . . .	432	357
	-----	-----
Total current assets . . . . .	23,695	23,135
Property and equipment, net . . . . .	1,261	959
Goodwill . . . . .	274	274
Other assets . . . . .	523	525
	-----	-----
Total assets . . . . .	\$25,753	\$24,893
	=====	=====
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable . . . . .	\$ 1,416	\$ 1,130
Accrued expenses . . . . .	2,369	2,347
Deferred revenue . . . . .	239	2,335
	-----	-----
Total current liabilities . . . . .	4,024	5,812
Deferred lease commitment . . . . .	233	264
	-----	-----
Total liabilities . . . . .	4,257	6,076
	-----	-----
Shareholders' equity:		
Common stock, \$0.01 par value:		

## Edgar Filing: AEHR TEST SYSTEMS - Form 10-Q

Issued and outstanding: 7,798 shares and		
7,630 shares at February 28, 2007 and		
May 31, 2006, respectively. . . . .	78	76
Additional paid-in capital. . . . .	39,267	38,081
Accumulated other comprehensive income. . . . .	1,273	1,291
Accumulated deficit . . . . .	(19,122)	(20,631)
	-----	-----
Total shareholders' equity . . . . .	21,496	18,817
	-----	-----
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity. . . . .	\$25,753	\$24,893
	=====	=====

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

4

AEHR TEST SYSTEMS  
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME  
(in thousands, except per share data)  
(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended February 28,		Nine Months Ended February 28,	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Net sales. . . . .	\$5,687	\$6,318	\$19,072	\$16,781
Cost of sales. . . . .	2,369	3,780	9,542	9,350
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Gross profit . . . . .	3,318	2,538	9,530	7,431
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Operating expenses:				
Selling, general and administrative. . . . .	1,619	1,328	4,773	4,322
Research and development . . . . .	1,634	1,015	4,543	3,055
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Total operating expenses . . . . .	3,253	2,343	9,316	7,377
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Income from operations . . . . .	65	195	214	54
Interest income . . . . .	134	77	390	157
Other income, net . . . . .	70	102	937	116
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Income before income tax expense . . . . .	269	374	1,541	327
Income tax expense . . . . .	4	14	32	45
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Net income . . . . .	\$ 265	\$ 360	\$ 1,509	\$ 282
	=====	=====	=====	=====
Net income per share - basic . . . . .	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.04
Net income per share - diluted . . . . .	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.18	\$ 0.04

## Edgar Filing: AEHR TEST SYSTEMS - Form 10-Q

Shares used in per share calculations:

Basic. . . . .	7,765	7,508	7,732	7,495
Diluted. . . . .	8,115	7,550	8,228	7,507

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

5

### AEHR TEST SYSTEMS CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (in thousands) (Unaudited)

	Nine Months Ended February 28,	
	2007	2006
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income . . . . .	\$1,509	\$ 282
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:		
Stock compensation expense. . . . .	521	--
Provision for doubtful accounts. . . . .	8	(38)
Loss on disposal of property and equipment. . . . .	41	64
Depreciation and amortization. . . . .	233	280
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable. . . . .	(1,542)	(295)
Inventories. . . . .	163	(712)
Deferred lease commitment . . . . .	(31)	(14)
Accounts payable. . . . .	268	296
Accrued expenses and deferred revenue. . . . .	(2,077)	875
Prepaid expenses and other. . . . .	(72)	227
	(979)	965
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Purchase of investments. . . . .	(12,408)	(7,190)
Net proceeds from sales and maturity of investments. . . . .	10,697	9,212
Purchase of property and equipment . . . . .	(584)	(91)
	(2,295)	1,931
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Proceeds from issuance of common stock and exercise of stock options. . . . .	666	71

Edgar Filing: AEHR TEST SYSTEMS - Form 10-Q

Net cash provided by financing activities.....	666	71
Effect of exchange rates on cash.....	2	(164)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents.....	(2,606)	2,803
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period..	9,405	4,952
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period.....	\$6,799	\$7,755
Supplementary disclosure of non-cash item: Transfer of inventory to property and equipment.	\$ --	\$ 231

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

AEHR TEST SYSTEMS  
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(Unaudited)

1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial information has been prepared by Aehr Test Systems, without audit, pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") and therefore does not include all information and footnotes necessary for a fair presentation of financial position, results of operations and cash flows in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In the opinion of management, the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements for the interim periods presented reflect all adjustments, consisting of only normal recurring adjustments, necessary for a fair presentation of the condensed consolidated financial position and results of operations as of and for such periods indicated. These unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and notes thereto should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's 2006 Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended May 31, 2006 filed with the SEC on August 29, 2006 and the Company's Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for the quarterly periods ended August 31, 2006 and November 30, 2006 filed with the SEC on October 13, 2006 and January 16, 2007, respectively. Results for the interim periods presented herein are not necessarily indicative of results which may be reported for any other interim period or for the entire fiscal year.

PRINCIPLES OF CONSOLIDATION. The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Aehr Test Systems and its subsidiaries (collectively, the "Company," "we," "us," and "our"). All

## Edgar Filing: AEHR TEST SYSTEMS - Form 10-Q

significant intercompany balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results may differ from those estimates.

### 2. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

Prior to June 1, 2006, the Company's stock-based employee compensation plans were accounted for under the recognition and measurement provisions of Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees" ("APB 25"), and related interpretations, as permitted by Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation" ("SFAS No. 123"). The Company generally did not recognize stock-based compensation cost in its condensed consolidated statement of operations for periods prior to June 1, 2006 as most options granted had an exercise price equal to or higher than the market value of the underlying common stock on the date of the grant.

The Company adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), "Share-Based Payment" ("SFAS No. 123(R)"), using the modified prospective transition method, which requires the application of the accounting standard as of June 1, 2006, the first day of the Company's fiscal year 2007. SFAS No. 123(R) establishes accounting for stock-based awards exchanged for employee services. Accordingly, stock-based compensation cost is measured at each grant date, based on the fair value of the award, and is recognized as expense over the employee's requisite service period. All of the Company's stock compensation

7

is accounted for as an equity instrument. The Company's condensed consolidated financial statements as of and for the three and nine months ended February 28, 2007 reflect the impact of SFAS No. 123(R). In accordance with the modified prospective transition method, the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements for prior periods have not been restated to reflect, and do not include, the impact of SFAS No. 123(R). See Notes 9 and 10 in the Company's Form 10-K for fiscal 2006 filed on August 29, 2006 for further information regarding the stock option plan and the employee stock purchase plan ("ESPP"). Under the modified prospective transition method, stock compensation cost has been recognized in the three and nine months ended February 28, 2007 in the condensed consolidated statements of income for stock awards granted or modified after May 31, 2006 and for stock awards granted prior to, but unvested as of, June 1, 2006.

Prior to the Adoption of SFAS No. 123(R)

Prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R), the Company provided the disclosures required under SFAS No. 123, as amended by SFAS No. 148, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation - Transition and Disclosure" ("SFAS 148").

The following table illustrates the pro forma effect on our net income (loss) and net income (loss) per share for the three and nine months ended February 28, 2006 if we had applied the fair value recognition provisions of

## Edgar Filing: AEHR TEST SYSTEMS - Form 10-Q

SFAS No. 123 to stock-based employee compensation using the Black-Scholes valuation method (in thousands, except per share data):

	Three Months Ended February 28, 2006	Nine Months Ended February 28, 2006
	-----	-----
Net income, as reported: .....	\$360	\$282
Deduct: Total stock compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all awards, net of related tax effects.....	(203)	(611)
	-----	-----
Pro forma net income (loss).....	\$157	\$(329)
	=====	=====
Net income (loss) per share:		
Basic and diluted, as reported .....	\$0.05	\$ 0.04
	=====	=====
Basic and diluted, pro forma .....	\$0.02	\$(0.04)
	=====	=====

### Impact of the Adoption of SFAS No. 123(R)

The Company elected to adopt the modified prospective application transition method as provided by SFAS No. 123(R), and we recorded \$176,000 and \$521,000 of stock compensation expenses in our unaudited condensed consolidated statements of income for the three and nine months ended February 28, 2007. As required by SFAS No. 123(R), the Company has made an estimate of expected forfeitures and is recognizing compensation costs only for those stock-based compensation awards expected to vest.

The following table summarizes compensation costs related to the Company's stock-based compensation for the three and nine months ended February 28, 2007 (in thousands, except per share data):

	Three Months Ended February 28, 2007	Nine Months Ended February 28, 2007
	-----	-----
Stock-based compensation in the form of employee stock options and ESPP shares, included in:		
Cost of sales . . . . .	\$ 15	\$ 42
Selling, general and administrative . . . . .	97	285
Research and development . . . . .	64	194
	-----	-----
Total stock-based compensation . . . . .	176	521
Tax effect on stock-based compensation	3	3
	-----	-----
Net effect on net income	\$173	\$518
	=====	=====

## Edgar Filing: AEHR TEST SYSTEMS - Form 10-Q

Effect on net income per share:		
Basic	\$0.02	\$0.07
Diluted	\$0.02	\$0.06

As of February 28, 2007, the total compensation cost related to unvested stock-based awards under the Company's 1996 Stock Option Plan and 2006 Equity Incentive Plan, but not yet recognized, was approximately \$1,003,000 which is net of estimated forfeitures of \$74,000. This cost will be amortized on a straight-line basis over a weighted average period of approximately 3.1 years.

During the three and nine months ended February 28, 2007, the Company recorded stock-based compensation related to our ESPP of \$35,000 and \$110,000, respectively. As of February 28, 2007, the total compensation cost related to options to purchase the Company's common shares under the ESPP but not yet recognized was approximately \$124,000. This cost will be amortized on a straight-line basis over a weighted average period of approximately 1.3 years.

### Valuation Assumptions

**Valuation and Amortization Method.** The Company estimates the fair value of stock options granted using the Black-Scholes option valuation method and a single option award approach for options granted after June 1, 2006. The multiple option approach has been used for all options granted prior to June 1, 2006. The fair value under the single option approach is amortized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service periods of the awards, which is generally the vesting period. The fair value under the multiple option approach is amortized on a weighted basis over the requisite service periods of the awards, which is generally the vesting period.

**Expected Term.** The Company's expected term represents the period that the Company's stock-based awards are expected to be outstanding and was determined based on historical experience, giving consideration to the contractual terms of the stock-based awards, vesting schedules and expectations of future employee behavior as evidenced by changes to the terms of its stock-based awards.

**Expected Volatility.** Volatility is a measure of the amounts by which a financial variable such as stock price has fluctuated (historical volatility) or is expected to fluctuate (expected volatility) during a period. The Company uses the historical volatility for the past five years, which matches the term of most of the option grants, to estimate expected volatility. Volatility for each of the ESPP's four time periods of six months, twelve months, eighteen months, and twenty-four months is calculated separately and included in the overall stock-based compensation cost recorded.

**Dividends.** The Company has never paid any cash dividends on our common stock and we do not anticipate paying any cash dividends in the foreseeable future. Consequently, we use an expected dividend yield of zero in the Black-Scholes option valuation method.

**Risk-Free Interest Rate.** The Company bases the risk-free interest rate used in the Black-Scholes option valuation method on the implied yield in effect at the time of option grant on U.S. Treasury zero-coupon issues with a remaining term equivalent to the expected term of the stock awards including the ESPP.

## Edgar Filing: AEHR TEST SYSTEMS - Form 10-Q

Estimated Forfeitures. When estimating forfeitures, the Company considers voluntary termination behavior as well as analysis of actual option forfeitures.

Fair Value. The fair values of the Company's stock options granted to employees and ESPP shares for the three and nine months ended February 28, 2007 were estimated using the following weighted average assumptions in the Black-Scholes valuation method consistent with the provisions of SFAS No. 123(R), Securities and Exchange Commission Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107 and the Company's prior pro forma disclosures of net income (loss), including stock-based compensation (determined under a fair value method as prescribed by SFAS No. 123).

The fair value of our stock options granted to employees for the three months and nine months ended February 28, 2007 and 2006 was estimated using the following weighted-average assumptions:

	Three Months Ended February 28,		Nine Months Ended February 28,	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
Option Plan Shares				
Expected Term (in years).....	5	5	5	5
Expected Volatility.....	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75
Expected Dividend.....	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Risk-free Interest Rates.....	4.66%	4.44%	4.76%	4.22%
Estimated Forfeiture Rate.....	4%	0%	4%	0%
Weighted Average Fair Value.....	--	\$2.66	\$5.19	\$2.09

The fair value of our ESPP shares for the three months and nine months ended February 28, 2007 and 2006 was estimated using the following weighted-average assumptions:

	Three Months Ended February 28,		Nine Months Ended February 28,	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
Employee Stock Purchase Plan Shares				
Expected Term (in years).....	0.5-2.0	0.5-2.0	0.5-2.0	0.5-2.0
Expected Volatility.....	0.61-0.74	0.69-0.80	0.61-0.74	0.69-0.80
Expected Dividend.....	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Risk-free Interest Rates.....	4.5%-5.1%	3.4%-4.4%	4.5%-5.1%	3.4%-4.4%
Estimated Forfeiture Rate.....	4%	0%	4%	0%
Weighted Average Fair Value.....	\$4.89	\$5.04	\$5.04	\$5.04

## Edgar Filing: AEHR TEST SYSTEMS - Form 10-Q

The following table summarizes the stock option transactions during the nine months ended February 28, 2007 (in thousands, except per share data):

	Outstanding Options			
	Available Shares	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Balances, May 31, 2006.....	334	1,269	\$3.81	
Options granted.....	(145)	145	\$8.54	
Options exercised.....	--	(91)	\$4.11	
<hr style="border-top: 1px dashed black;"/>				
Balances, August 31, 2006.....	189	1,323	\$4.31	\$7,496
Additional shares reserved..	600	--		
Options granted.....	(39)	39	\$6.43	
Options exercised.....	--	(6)	\$3.81	
<hr style="border-top: 1px dashed black;"/>				
Balances, November 30, 2006...	750	1,356	\$4.37	\$802
Options exercised.....	--	(2)	\$2.85	
Options cancelled.....	1	(1)	\$6.00	
<hr style="border-top: 1px dashed black;"/>				
Balances, February 28, 2007...	751	1,353	\$4.37	\$2,504
<hr style="border-top: 1px dashed black;"/>				
Options exercisable and expected to be exercisable at February 28, 2007		1,291	\$4.37	\$2,384
<hr style="border-top: 1px dashed black;"/>				

The options outstanding and exercisable at February 28, 2007 were in the following exercise price ranges (in thousands, except per share data):

Range of Exercise Prices	Options Outstanding at February 28, 2007			Options Exercisable at February 28, 2007			
	Number Outstanding Shares	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years)	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number Exer- cisable Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
\$2.49-\$3.63	577	4.52	\$3.09	345	\$3.10	4.24	
\$3.66-\$4.08	278	3.13	\$3.91	264	\$3.91	3.05	
\$4.25-\$4.95	211	2.45	\$4.50	187	\$4.52	2.22	
\$5.25-\$6.25	135	2.23	\$5.89	105	\$5.83	1.52	
\$8.45-\$9.30	152	6.29	\$8.51	22	\$8.52	6.32	
<hr style="border-top: 1px dashed black;"/>							
\$2.49-\$9.30	1,353	3.88	\$4.37	923	\$4.06	3.23	\$1,783

Edgar Filing: AEHR TEST SYSTEMS - Form 10-Q

=====

=====

The total intrinsic value of options exercised for the three and nine months ended February 28, 2007 was \$3,000 and \$392,000, respectively. The weighted average contractual life of the options exercisable and expected to be exercisable was 3.83 years.

11

3. EARNINGS PER SHARE

Earnings per share is computed based on the weighted average number of common and common equivalent shares (common stock options and ESPP shares) outstanding, when dilutive, during each period using the treasury stock method.

	Three Months Ended February 28,		Nine Months Ended February 28,	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
	(in thousands, except per share amounts)			
Numerator: Net income .....	\$ 265	\$ 360	\$1,509	\$ 282
Denominator for basic net income per share:				
Weighted-average shares outstanding .....	7,765	7,508	7,732	7,495
Shares used in basic per share calculation.	7,765	7,508	7,732	7,495
Effect of dilutive securities.....	350	42	496	12
Denominator for diluted net income per share.....	8,115	7,550	8,228	7,507
Basic net income per share .....	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.04
Diluted net income per share .....	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.18	\$ 0.04

Stock options to purchase 248,938 and 1,180,787 shares of common stock were outstanding on February 28, 2007 and February 28, 2006, respectively, but not included in the computation of diluted income per share, because the inclusion of such shares would be anti-dilutive.

4. INVENTORIES

Inventories are comprised of the following (in thousands):

February 28,            May 31,

Edgar Filing: AEHR TEST SYSTEMS - Form 10-Q

	2007 -----	2006 -----
Raw materials and sub-assemblies	\$3,630	\$3,039
Work in process	3,438	2,978
Finished goods	11	1,225
	-----	-----
	\$7,079	\$7,242
	=====	=====

5. SEGMENT INFORMATION

The Company operates in one reportable segment: the design, manufacture and marketing of advanced test and burn-in products to the semiconductor manufacturing industry.

The following presents information about the Company's operations in different geographic areas (in thousands):

12

	United States -----	Asia -----	Europe -----	Adjust- ments -----	Total -----
Three months ended February 28, 2007:					
Net sales.....	\$ 4,773	\$ 541	\$746	\$ (373)	\$ 5,687
Portion of U.S. net sales from export sales.....	1,172	--	--	--	1,172
Income (loss) from operations..	(226)	(4)	199	96	65
Identifiable assets.....	34,464	1,388	997	(11,096)	25,753
Property and equipment, net....	1,170	78	13	--	1,261
Nine months ended February 28, 2007:					
Net sales.....	\$17,276	\$2,503	\$891	\$ (1,598)	\$19,072
Portion of U.S. net sales from export sales.....	6,045	--	--	--	6,045
Income (loss) from operations..	47	174	(5)	(2)	214
Identifiable assets.....	34,464	1,388	997	(11,096)	25,753
Property and equipment, net....	1,170	78	13	--	1,261
Three months ended February 28, 2006:					
Net sales.....	\$ 5,747	\$ 550	\$ 556	\$ (535)	\$ 6,318
Portion of U.S. net sales from export sales.....	4,119	--	--	--	4,119
Income (loss) from operations..	190	(39)	43	1	195
Identifiable assets.....	31,249	1,773	988	(11,205)	22,805
Property and equipment, net....	859	139	30	--	1,028
Nine months ended February 28, 2006:					
Net sales.....	\$15,054	\$2,110	\$1,135	\$ (1,518)	\$16,781
Portion of U.S. net sales from export sales.....	12,059	--	--	--	12,059
Income (loss) from operations..	--	(36)	86	4	54

Edgar Filing: AEHR TEST SYSTEMS - Form 10-Q

Identifiable assets.....	31,249	1,773	988	(11,205)	22,805
Property and equipment, net....	859	139	30	--	1,028

The Company's foreign operations are primarily those of its Japanese and German subsidiaries. Substantially all of the sales of the subsidiaries are made to unaffiliated Japanese or European customers. Net sales and income (loss) from operations from outside the United States include the operating results of Aehr Test Systems Japan K.K. and Aehr Test Systems GmbH. Adjustments consist of intercompany eliminations. Identifiable assets are all assets identified with operations in each geographic area.

6. PRODUCT WARRANTIES

The Company provides for the estimated cost of product warranties at the time the products are shipped. While the Company engages in extensive product quality programs and processes, including actively monitoring and evaluating the quality of its component suppliers, the Company's warranty obligation is affected by product failure rates, material usage and service delivery costs incurred in correcting a product failure. Should actual product failure rates, material usage or service delivery costs differ from the Company's estimates, revisions to the estimated warranty liability would be required.

Following is a summary of changes in the Company's liability for product warranties during the three months and nine months ended February 28, 2007 and 2006 (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended February 28,		Nine Months Ended February 28,	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
Balance at the beginning of the period . .	\$137	\$119	\$169	\$213
Accruals for warranties issued during the period . . . . .	26	92	201	148
Reversals of warranties issued during the period . . . . .	(21)	--	(70)	(52)
Settlement made during the period (in cash or in kind) . . . . .	(26)	(88)	(184)	(186)
Balance at the end of the period . . . . .	\$116	\$123	\$116	\$123

The accrued warranty balance is included in accrued expenses on the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets.

7. OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

Other comprehensive income, net of tax is comprised of the following (in thousands):

Edgar Filing: AEHR TEST SYSTEMS - Form 10-Q

	Three Months Ended February 28,		Nine Months Ended February 28,	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
Net income . . . . .	\$265	\$360	\$1,509	\$282
Foreign currency translation adjustments expense. . . . .	9	(27)	(18)	(91)
Unrealized holding gains (losses) arising during period. . . . .	(1)	3	--	7
Comprehensive income . . . . .	\$273	\$336	\$1,491	\$198

8. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

In addition to the Company's 1996 Stock Option Plan and the 1997 Employee Stock Purchase Plan discussed in Notes 9 and 10 in the Company's 2006 Form 10-K, the Company maintains the equity incentive plan and employee benefit plans under which its equity securities are authorized for issuance to the Company's employees, directors and consultants.

The purpose of these plans is to provide equity ownership and compensation opportunities in the Company by attracting and retaining the services of qualified and talented persons to serve as employees, directors and/or consultants of the Company. Those plans were approved by the Company's shareholders.

In October 2006, the Company's 2006 Equity Incentive Plan and the 2006 Employee Stock Purchase Plan ("2006 Plans") were approved by the shareholders. The 2006 Plans respectively replace the Company's Amended and Restated 1996 Stock Option Plan, which would otherwise have expired in 2006; and the Company's 1997 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, which would have otherwise expired in 2007. The Amended and Restated 1996 Stock Option Plan will continue to govern awards previously granted under that plan.

As of February 28, 2007, out of the 2,104,050 shares authorized for grant under the 1996 Stock Option Plan and 2006 Equity Incentive Plan, approximately 1,353,395 shares had been granted. As of February 28, 2007, no shares had been issued from the 200,000 shares authorized for grant under the 2006 Employee Stock Purchase Plan. All shares authorized by the 1997 Employee Stock Purchase Plan had been granted.

9. RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In March 2006, FASB Emerging Issues Task Force issued Issue 06-03 ("EITF 06-03"), "How Sales Taxes Collected From Customers and Remitted to Governmental Authorities Should Be Presented in the Income Statement." A consensus was reached that entities may adopt a policy of presenting sales taxes in the income statement on either a gross or net basis. If taxes are significant, an entity should disclose its policy of presenting taxes and the amounts of taxes. The guidance is effective for periods beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company presents sales net of sales taxes, which are

## Edgar Filing: AEHR TEST SYSTEMS - Form 10-Q

not considered material. As such, EITF 06-03 will not impact the method for recording these sales taxes in the condensed consolidated financial statements.

14

In June 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48 "Accounting for Uncertain Tax Positions - An Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109" ("FIN 48"). FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial statements in accordance with FASB Statement No. 109 "Accounting for Income Taxes". It prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure, and transition. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company is currently evaluating the impact, if any, of FIN 48 to its financial position and results of operations.

In September 2006, the SEC released Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108, "Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements" ("SAB 108"). SAB 108 requires that public companies utilize a "dual-approach" to assessing the quantitative effects of financial misstatements. This dual approach includes both an income statement focused assessment and a balance sheet focused assessment. The guidance in SAB 108 must be applied to annual financial statements for fiscal years ending after November 15, 2006. The Company is currently assessing the impact of adopting SAB 108, but the Company does not expect that it will have a material effect on its financial position or results of operations.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements" ("SFAS 157"). SFAS 157 establishes a common definition for fair value to be applied to U.S. GAAP guidance requiring use of fair value. Also, SFAS 157 establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and expands disclosure about such fair value measurements. SFAS 157 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. The Company is currently assessing the impact, if any, of SFAS 157 on its condensed consolidated financial statements.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 158, "Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans - an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106 and 132(R)" ("SFAS 158"). SFAS 158 requires that employers recognize on a prospective basis the funded status of their defined benefit pension and other postretirement plans on their balance sheet and recognize as a component of other comprehensive income, net of tax, the gains or losses and prior service costs or credits that arise during the period but are not recognized as components of net periodic benefit cost. SFAS 158 also requires additional disclosures in the notes to financial statements. SFAS 158 is effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2006. The Company is currently evaluating the impact, if any, of SFAS 158 on its condensed consolidated financial statements.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities - Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115" ("SFAS 159"). SFAS 159 provides companies with an option to report selected financial assets and liabilities at fair value. SFAS 159's objective is to reduce both complexity in accounting for financial instruments and the volatility in earnings caused by measuring related assets and liabilities differently. SFAS 159 is effective as of the beginning of an entity's first fiscal year beginning after November 15, 2007. The Company is

## Edgar Filing: AEHR TEST SYSTEMS - Form 10-Q

currently evaluating the potential impact, if any, that the adoption of SFAS 159 will have on its condensed consolidated financial statements.

15

### Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion of the financial condition and results of operations of the Company should be read in conjunction with the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and the related notes that appear elsewhere in this document and with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended May 31, 2006 and the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto.

In addition to historical information, this report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Act of 1934. These statements typically may be identified by the use of forward-looking words or phrases such as "believe," "expect," "intend," "anticipate," "should," "planned," "estimated," and "potential," among others and include, but are not limited to, statements concerning our expectations regarding our operations, business, strategies, prospects, revenues, expenses, costs and resources. These forward-looking statements are subject to certain risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual results to differ materially from the anticipated results or other expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to, those discussed in this report and other factors beyond our control, and in particular, the risks discussed in Part II, Item 1A. Risk Factors and those discussed in other documents we file with the Securities and Exchange Commission. All forward-looking statements included in this document are based on our current expectations, and we undertake no obligation to revise or publicly release the results of any revision to these forward-looking statements. Given these risks and uncertainties, readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on such forward-looking statements.

### CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The Company's discussion and analysis of its financial condition and results of operations are based upon the Company's unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these financial statements requires the Company to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an ongoing basis, the Company evaluates its estimates, including those related to customer programs and incentives, product returns, bad debts, inventories, investments, intangible assets, income taxes, financing operations, warranty obligations, long-term service contracts, and contingencies and litigation. The Company bases its estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. For a discussion of the critical accounting policies, see "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Critical Accounting Policies" in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended May 31, 2006.

## Edgar Filing: AEHR TEST SYSTEMS - Form 10-Q

During the first quarter of fiscal 2007, the Company implemented the following new critical accounting policy:

STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION EXPENSE. Beginning on June 1, 2006, the Company began accounting for stock options and ESPP shares under the provisions of SFAS No. 123(R), "Share-Based Payment," which requires the recognition of the fair value of stock-based compensation. Accordingly, stock-based compensation expense for all stock-based compensation awards granted after June 1, 2006 is measured at grant date, based on the fair value of the award which is computed using the Black-Scholes option valuation model, and is recognized as expense over the requisite service period for the employee. This methodology requires

16

the use of subjective assumptions in implementing SFAS No. 123(R), including expected stock price volatility and estimated life of each award.

Prior to the implementation of SFAS No. 123(R), the Company accounted for stock options and ESPP share under the provisions of APB Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," and related interpretations, and provided pro forma disclosures as required by SFAS No. 148, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation - Transition and Disclosure -An Amendment of FASB Statement No. 123," which amended SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation." The Company elected to adopt the modified prospective transition method as provided by SFAS No. 123(R). Accordingly, during the three and nine months ended February 28, 2007, the Company recorded stock compensation cost totaling the amount that would have been recognized had the fair value method been applied since the effective date of SFAS No. 123. We did not restate previously reported amounts.

### RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following table sets forth items in the Company's unaudited condensed consolidated statements of income as a percentage of net sales for the periods indicated.

	Three Months Ended February 28,		Nine Months Ended February 28,	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
Net sales . . . . .	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %
Cost of sales . . . . .	41.7	59.8	50.0	55.7
Gross profit . . . . .	58.3	40.2	50.0	44.3
Operating expenses:				
Selling, general and administrative . . .	28.5	21.0	25.0	25.8
Research and development . . . . .	28.7	16.1	23.8	18.2
Total operating expenses . . . . .	57.2	37.1	48.8	44.0
Income from operations . . . . .	1.1	3.1	1.2	0.3
Interest income . . . . .	2.4	1.2	2.0	1.0
Other income, net . . . . .	1.3	1.6	4.9	0.7

## Edgar Filing: AEHR TEST SYSTEMS - Form 10-Q

Income before income tax expense . . . . .	4.8	5.9	8.1	2.0
Income tax expense . . . . .	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.3
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Net income . . . . .	4.7 %	5.7 %	7.9 %	1.7 %
	=====	=====	=====	=====

THREE MONTHS ENDED FEBRUARY 28, 2007 COMPARED TO THREE MONTHS ENDED FEBRUARY 28, 2006

NET SALES. Net sales decreased to \$5.7 million in the three months ended February 28, 2007 from \$6.3 million in the three months ended February 28, 2006, a decrease of 10.0%. The decrease in net sales in the three months ended February 28, 2007 resulted primarily from a decrease in net sales of the Company's MTX products, partially offset by an increase in sales of the Company's wafer/die level products. Net sales of the Company's MTX products for the three months ended February 28, 2007 were \$0.4 million, and decreased approximately \$3.1 million from the three months ended February 28, 2006. Net sales of the Company's wafer/die level products for the three months ended February 28, 2007 were \$2.8 million, and increased approximately \$2.7 million from the three months ended February 28, 2006. The Company expects net sales and net income to increase in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2007, exceeding the levels of the fourth quarter of fiscal 2006.

17

GROSS PROFIT. Gross profit consists of net sales less cost of sales. Cost of sales consists primarily of the cost of materials, assembly and test costs, and overhead from operations. Gross profit increased to \$3.3 million in the three months ended February 28, 2007 from \$2.5 million in the three months ended February 28, 2006, an increase of 30.7%. As a percentage of net sales, gross profit margin increased to 58.3% in the three months ended February 28, 2007 from 40.2% in the three months ended February 28, 2006. The increase in gross profit margin was primarily the result of lower material costs as a percentage of net sales. Over the next few quarters, the Company believes that its gross margin will be in the high 40% to low 50% range.

SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE. Selling, general and administrative ("SG&A") expenses consist primarily of salaries and related costs of employees, commission expenses to independent sales representatives, product promotion and other professional services. SG&A expenses of \$1.6 million in the three months ended February 28, 2007 increased from \$1.3 million in the three months ended February 28, 2006, an increase of 21.9%. The increase in SG&A expense for the three months ended February 28, 2007 was primarily attributable to a reduction in bad debt reserves of approximately \$141,000 in fiscal 2006 and stock-based compensation expense of approximately \$97,000 associated with the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R) in fiscal 2007. As a percentage of net sales, SG&A expenses increased to 28.5% in the three months ended February 28, 2007 from 21.0% in the three months ended February 28, 2006.

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT. Research and development ("R&D") expenses consist primarily of salaries and related costs of employees engaged in ongoing research, design and development activities, costs of engineering materials and supplies, and professional consulting expenses. R&D expenses increased to \$1.6 million in the three months ended February 28, 2007 from \$1.0 million in the three months ended February 28, 2006, an increase of 61.0%. This increase was primarily due to an increase in project related

## Edgar Filing: AEHR TEST SYSTEMS - Form 10-Q

expenses of \$340,000, project related professional service expenses of \$116,000 and stock compensation expense of approximately \$64,000. As a percentage of net sales, R&D expenses increased to 28.7% in the three months ended February 28, 2007 from 16.1% in the three months ended February 28, 2006.

**INTEREST INCOME.** Interest income increased to \$134,000 in the three months ended February 28, 2007 from \$77,000 in the three months ended February 28, 2006, an increase of 74.0%. The increase was primarily related to higher invested balances.

**OTHER INCOME, NET.** Other income, net decreased to \$70,000 in the three months ended February 28, 2007 from \$102,000 in the three months ended February 28, 2006. The decrease in other income, net was primarily due to a decrease in the dividend received from ESA Electronics Pte Ltd., a Singapore company in which the Company holds a minority ownership position.

**INCOME TAX EXPENSE.** Income tax expense decreased to \$4,000 in the three months ended February 28, 2007 from \$14,000 in the three months ended February 28, 2006. The income tax expense in the three months ended February 28, 2007 and the income tax expense in the three months ended February 28, 2006 related primarily to the tax expense recorded as a result of income earned in the Company's German subsidiary. The Company's U.S. operations and its Japanese subsidiary have experienced significant cumulative losses and thus generated certain net operating losses available to offset future taxes payable in the U.S. and Japan. As a result of the cumulative operating losses in the Company's U.S. operations and its Japanese subsidiary, a valuation allowance was established for the full amount of its net deferred tax assets for both its U.S. operations and its Japanese subsidiary.

18

NINE MONTHS ENDED FEBRUARY 28, 2007 COMPARED TO NINE MONTHS ENDED FEBRUARY 28, 2006

**NET SALES.** Net sales increased to \$19.1 million in the nine months ended February 28, 2007 from \$16.8 million in the nine months ended February 28, 2006, an increase of 13.7%. The increase in net sales in the nine months ended February 28, 2007 resulted primarily from an increase in net sales of the Company's wafer/die level products, partially offset by decreases in net sales of the Company's MTX products and dynamic burn-in products. Net sales of the Company's wafer/die level products for the nine months ended February 28, 2007 were \$7.1 million, and increased approximately \$6.7 million from the nine months ended February 28, 2006. Net sales of the Company's MTX products for the nine months ended February 28, 2007 were \$2.6 million, and decreased approximately \$3.1 million from the nine months ended February 28, 2006. Net sales of the Company's dynamic burn-in products for the nine months ended February 28, 2007 were \$9.4 million, and decreased approximately \$1.2 million from the nine months ended February 28, 2006.

**GROSS PROFIT.** Gross profit increased to \$9.5 million in the nine months ended February 28, 2007 from \$7.4 million in the nine months ended February 28, 2006, an increase of 28.2%. Gross profit margin increased to 50.0% in the nine months ended February 28, 2007 from 44.3% in the nine months ended February 28, 2006. The increase in gross profit margin was primarily related to manufacturing efficiencies resulting from increased production levels.

**SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE.** SG&A expenses increased to \$4.8 million in the nine months ended February 28, 2007 from \$4.3 million in the nine months ended February 28, 2006, an increase of 10.4%. The increase in

## Edgar Filing: AEHR TEST SYSTEMS - Form 10-Q

SG&A expenses was primarily due to stock compensation expense of approximately \$285,000. As a percentage of net sales, SG&A expenses decreased slightly to 25.0% in the nine months ended February 28, 2007 from 25.8% in the nine months ended February 28, 2006.

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT. R&D expenses increased to \$4.5 million in the nine months ended February 28, 2007 from \$3.1 million in the nine months ended February 28, 2006, an increase of 48.7%. The increase in R&D expenses was primarily due to increases in project material expenses of \$587,000, project related professional service expenses of \$449,000 and stock compensation expense of approximately \$194,000. As a percentage of net sales, R&D expenses increased to 23.8% in the nine months ended February 28, 2007 from 18.2% in the nine months ended February 28, 2006, reflecting the previously detailed expense increases.

INTEREST INCOME. Interest income increased to \$390,000 in the nine months ended February 28, 2007 from \$157,000 in the nine months ended February 28, 2006, an increase of 148.4%. The increase in interest income was primarily the result of higher invested balances.

OTHER INCOME, NET. Other income, net increased to \$937,000 in the nine months ended February 28, 2007 from \$116,000 in the nine months ended February 28, 2006. The increase in other income, net was primarily due to the recognition of an earn-out payment of \$644,000 included in the consideration received on the 2003 sale of a portion of the Company's ownership in ESA Electronics, a Singapore company. The Company does not anticipate any further gains from this earn-out transaction.

INCOME TAX EXPENSE. Income tax expense decreased to \$32,000 in the nine months ended February 28, 2007, from \$45,000 in the nine months ended February 28, 2006. The income tax expense in the nine months ended February 28, 2007 was primarily attributable to alternative minimum tax requirements on the Company's U.S. operations. The income tax expense in the nine months ended February 28, 2006 was primarily related to the tax expense recorded as a result of income earned in the Company's German subsidiary.

19

### LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Net cash used in operating activities was approximately \$979,000 for the nine months ended February 28, 2007 and net cash provided by operating activities was approximately \$965,000 for the nine months ended February 28, 2006. For the nine months ended February 28, 2007, net cash used in operating activities was primarily due to a decrease in accrued expenses and deferred revenue of \$2.1 million, and an increase in accounts receivable of \$1.5 million, partially offset by net income of \$1.5 million and stock compensation expense of \$521,000. Accrued expenses and deferred revenue decreased primarily due to revenue recognized from deferrals made in prior periods which were earned in this nine month period. The increase in accounts receivable in the nine months period was primarily a timing issue as many of the Company's shipments were made closer to the end of the quarter in 2007. The Company recorded stock compensation expense of approximately \$521,000 for the nine months ended February 28, 2007, associated with the adoption of SFAS No. 123 (R) in fiscal 2007. For the nine months ended February 28, 2006, net cash provided by operating activities was primarily due to an increase in accrued expenses and deferred revenues of \$875,000. This increase was primarily related to an increase in deferred revenue.

Net cash used in investing activities was approximately \$2.3 million for the nine months ended February 28, 2007 and net cash provided by investing

## Edgar Filing: AEHR TEST SYSTEMS - Form 10-Q

activities was approximately \$1.9 million for the nine months ended February 28, 2006. The net cash used in investing activities during the nine months ended February 28, 2007 was primarily attributable to \$12.4 million in purchases of investments, partially offset by \$10.7 million in net proceeds from sales and maturities of investments. The net cash provided by investing activities during the nine months ended February 28, 2006 was primarily due to the net proceeds from sales and maturity of investments, partially offset by the purchase of investments.

Financing activities provided cash of approximately \$666,000 in the nine months ended February 28, 2007 and \$71,000 in the nine months ended February 28, 2006. Net cash provided by financing activities during the nine months ended February 28, 2007 and 2006 was due to proceeds from issuance of common stock from the exercise of stock options.

As of February 28, 2007, the Company had working capital of \$19.7 million. Working capital consists of cash and cash equivalents, short-term investments, accounts receivable, inventory and other current assets, less current liabilities.

The Company announced in August 1998 that its board of directors had authorized the repurchase of up to 1,000,000 shares of its outstanding common shares. The Company may repurchase the shares in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions, from time to time, subject to market conditions. The number of shares of common stock actually acquired by the Company will depend on subsequent developments and corporate needs, and the repurchase program may be interrupted or discontinued at any time. Any such repurchase of shares, if consummated, may use a portion of the Company's working capital. As of May 31, 2006, the Company had repurchased 523,700 shares at an average price of \$3.95. Shares repurchased by the Company are cancelled. During the nine months ended February 28, 2007, the Company did not repurchase any of its outstanding common stock.

The Company leases most of its manufacturing and office space under operating leases. The Company entered into a non-cancelable operating lease agreement for its United States manufacturing and office facilities, which commenced in December 1999 and expires in December 2009. Under the lease agreement, the Company is responsible for payments of utilities, taxes and insurance.

From time to time, the Company evaluates potential acquisitions of businesses, products or technologies that complement the Company's business. Any such transactions, if consummated, may use a portion of the Company's

20

working capital or require the issuance of equity. The Company has no present understandings, commitments or agreements with respect to any material acquisitions.

The Company anticipates that the existing cash balance together with cash provided by operations, if any, are adequate to meet its working capital and capital equipment requirements through calendar year 2007. After calendar year 2007, depending on its rate of growth and profitability, the Company may require additional equity or debt financing to meet its working capital requirements or capital equipment needs. There can be no assurance that additional financing will be available when required, or, if available, that such financing can be obtained on terms satisfactory to the Company.

OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

## Edgar Filing: AEHR TEST SYSTEMS - Form 10-Q

The Company has not entered into any off-balance sheet financing arrangements and has not established any variable interest entities.

### OVERVIEW OF CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

There have been no material changes in the composition, magnitude or other key characteristics of the Company's contractual obligations or other commitments as disclosed in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended May 31, 2006.

### RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In March 2006, FASB Emerging Issues Task Force issued Issue 06-03 ("EITF 06-03"), "How Sales Taxes Collected From Customers and Remitted to Governmental Authorities Should Be Presented in the Income Statement." A consensus was reached that entities may adopt a policy of presenting sales taxes in the income statement on either a gross or net basis. If taxes are significant, an entity should disclose its policy of presenting taxes and the amounts of taxes. The guidance is effective for periods beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company presents sales net of sales taxes, which are not considered material. As such, EITF 06-03 will not impact the method for recording these sales taxes in the condensed consolidated financial statements.

In June 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48 "Accounting for Uncertain Tax Positions - An Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109" ("FIN 48"). FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial statements in accordance with FASB Statement No. 109 "Accounting for Income Taxes". It prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure, and transition. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company is currently evaluating the impact, if any, of FIN 48 to its financial position and results of operations.

In September 2006, the SEC released Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108, "Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements" ("SAB 108"). SAB 108 requires that public companies utilize a "dual-approach" to assessing the quantitative effects of financial misstatements. This dual approach includes both an income statement focused assessment and a balance sheet focused assessment. The guidance in SAB 108 must be applied to annual financial statements for fiscal years ending after November 15, 2006. The Company is currently assessing the impact of adopting SAB 108, but the Company does not expect that it will have a material effect on its financial position or results of operations.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements" ("SFAS 157"). SFAS 157 establishes a common definition for fair value to be

applied to U.S. GAAP guidance requiring use of fair value. Also, SFAS 157 establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and expands disclosure about such fair value measurements. SFAS 157 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. The Company is currently assessing the

## Edgar Filing: AEHR TEST SYSTEMS - Form 10-Q

impact, if any, of SFAS 157 on its condensed consolidated financial statements.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 158, "Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans - an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106 and 132(R)" ("SFAS 158"). SFAS 158 requires that employers recognize on a prospective basis the funded status of their defined benefit pension and other postretirement plans on their balance sheet and recognize as a component of other comprehensive income, net of tax, the gains or losses and prior service costs or credits that arise during the period but are not recognized as components of net periodic benefit cost. SFAS 158 also requires additional disclosures in the notes to financial statements. SFAS 158 is effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2006. The Company is currently evaluating the impact, if any, of SFAS 158 on its condensed consolidated financial statements.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities - Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115" ("SFAS 159"). SFAS 159 provides companies with an option to report selected financial assets and liabilities at fair value. SFAS 159's objective is to reduce both complexity in accounting for financial instruments and the volatility in earnings caused by measuring related assets and liabilities differently. SFAS 159 is effective as of the beginning of an entity's first fiscal year beginning after November 15, 2007. The Company is currently evaluating the potential impact, if any, that the adoption of SFAS 159 will have on its condensed consolidated financial statements.

### Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISKS

The Company considered the provisions of Financial Reporting Release No. 48, "Disclosures of Accounting Policies for Derivative Financial Instruments and Derivative Commodity Instruments, and Disclosures of Quantitative and Qualitative Information about Market Risk Inherent in Derivative Commodity Instruments." The Company had no holdings of derivative financial or commodity instruments at February 28, 2007.

The Company is exposed to financial market risks, including changes in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates. The Company invests excess cash in a managed portfolio of corporate and government bond instruments with maturities of 18 months or less. The Company does not use any financial instruments for speculative or trading purposes. Fluctuations in interest rates would not have a material effect on the Company's financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

A majority of the Company's revenue and capital spending is transacted in U.S. dollars. The Company, however, enters into transactions in other currencies, primarily Japanese Yen. Substantially all sales to Japanese customers are denominated in Yen. Since the price is determined at the time a purchase order is accepted, the Company is exposed to the risks of fluctuations in the Yen-U.S. dollar exchange rate during the lengthy period from purchase order to ultimate payment. This exchange rate risk is partially offset to the extent that the Company's Japanese subsidiary incurs expenses payable in Yen. To date, the Company has not invested in instruments designed to hedge currency risks. In addition, the Company's Japanese subsidiary typically carries debt or other obligations due to the Company that may be denominated in either Yen or U.S. dollars. Since the Japanese subsidiary's financial statements are based in Yen and the Company's financial statements are based in U.S. dollars, the Japanese subsidiary and the Company recognize foreign exchange gain or loss in any period in which the value of the Yen rises or falls in relation to the U.S. dollar. A 10% decrease or increase in

the value of the Yen as compared with the U.S. dollar would not be expected to result in a significant change in the Company's net income or loss.

Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures. Our management evaluated, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Based on this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information we are required to disclose in reports that we file or submit under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms.

Changes in internal controls over financial reporting. There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

None.

Item 1A. RISK FACTORS

Set forth below and elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and in other documents we file with the Securities and Exchange Commission, including without limitation our most recently filed Form 10-K, are risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from the results contemplated by the forward-looking statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. We believe that these risks and uncertainties are the principal material risks facing the Company as of the date of this Form 10-Q. In the future, we may become subject to additional risks that are not currently known to us. If any of these risks actually occur, our business, financial condition and operating results could be seriously harmed. As a result, the trading price of our common stock could decline, and you could lose all or part of the value of your investment.

CUSTOMER CONCENTRATION. The semiconductor manufacturing industry is highly concentrated, with a relatively small number of large semiconductor manufacturers and contract assemblers accounting for a substantial portion of the purchases of semiconductor equipment. Sales to the Company's five largest customers accounted for approximately 82.9% and 73.1% of its net sales in fiscal 2006 and 2005, respectively. Sales to the Company's five largest customers accounted for approximately 70.7% of its net sales in the nine months ended February 28, 2007. During fiscal 2006, Texas Instruments Incorporated and Spansion Inc. (formerly FASL LLC) accounted for 47.9% and 24.9% of the Company's net sales, respectively. During fiscal 2005, Spansion Inc. and Texas Instruments Incorporated accounted for 43.1% and 16.9% of the Company's net sales, respectively. No other customers represented more than

## Edgar Filing: AEHR TEST SYSTEMS - Form 10-Q

10% of the Company's net sales for any of such periods. The Company expects that sales of its products to a limited number of customers will continue to account for a high percentage of net sales for the foreseeable future. In addition, sales to particular customers may fluctuate significantly from quarter to quarter. The loss of or reduction or delay in an order or orders from a significant customer, or a delay in collecting or failure to collect accounts receivable from a significant customer could adversely affect the Company's business, financial condition and operating results.

23

DEPENDENCE ON MARKET ACCEPTANCE OF FOX SYSTEM. One element of the Company's business strategy is to capture an increasing share of the test equipment market through sales of its FOX wafer-level test and burn-in systems. The FOX systems are newly designed to simultaneously burn-in and/or test all of the die on a wafer. The market for the FOX systems is in the very early stages of development. The FOX-14 full wafer contact burn-in and parallel test system was introduced in July 2001 and the FOX-1 full wafer parallel test system was introduced in June 2005. The Company's strategy depends, in part, upon its ability to persuade potential customers that the FOX system can successfully contact and functionally test all of the die on a wafer simultaneously, and that this method of testing is cost-effective for the customer. There can be no assurance that the Company's strategy will be successful. The failure of the FOX system to achieve market acceptance would have a material adverse effect on the Company's future operating results and long-term prospects. The Company's stock price may also decline.

Market acceptance of the FOX systems are subject to a number of risks. The Company must complete development of the FOX system and the manufacturing processes used to build it. Before a customer will incorporate the FOX system into a production line, lengthy qualification and correlation tests must be performed. The Company anticipates that potential customers may be reluctant to change their procedures in order to transfer burn-in and test functions to the FOX system. Initial purchases by new customers are expected to be limited to systems used for these qualifications and for engineering studies. Market acceptance of the FOX system also may be affected by a reluctance of IC manufacturers to rely on relatively small suppliers such as the Company. As is common with new complex products incorporating leading-edge technologies, the Company may encounter reliability, design and manufacturing issues as it begins volume production and initial installations of FOX systems at customer sites. While the Company places a high priority on addressing these issues as they arise, there can be no assurance that they can be resolved to the customer's satisfaction or that the resolution of such problems will not cause the Company to incur significant development costs or warranty expenses or to lose significant sales opportunities.

INTENSE COMPETITION. In each of the markets it serves, the Company faces competition from established competitors and potential new entrants, many of which have greater financial, engineering, manufacturing and marketing resources than the Company. The Company expects its competitors will continue to improve the performance of their current products and to introduce new products with improved price and performance characteristics. In addition, continuing consolidation in the semiconductor equipment industry, and potential future consolidation, could adversely affect the ability of smaller companies, such as the Company, to compete with larger, integrated competitors. New product introductions by the Company's competitors or by new market entrants could cause a decline in sales or loss of market acceptance of the Company's existing products. Increased competitive pressure could also lead to intensified price-based competition, resulting in lower prices which could adversely affect the Company's business, financial condition and

## Edgar Filing: AEHR TEST SYSTEMS - Form 10-Q

operating results. The Company believes that to remain competitive it must invest significant financial resources in new product development and expand its customer service and support worldwide. There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to compete successfully in the future.

The semiconductor equipment industry is intensely competitive. Significant competitive factors in the semiconductor equipment market include price, technical capabilities, quality, delivery lead-time, flexibility, automation, cost of ownership, reliability, throughput, product availability and customer service. In each of the markets it serves, the Company faces competition from established competitors and potential new entrants, many of which have greater financial, engineering, manufacturing and marketing resources than the Company.

Because the Company's MTX system performs burn-in and many of the functional tests performed by traditional memory testers, the MTX system faces

24

intense competition from burn-in system suppliers and traditional memory tester suppliers. The market for burn-in systems is highly fragmented, with many domestic and international suppliers. Some users of such systems, such as independent test labs, build their own burn-in systems, while others, particularly large IC manufacturers in Asia, acquire burn-in systems from captive or affiliated suppliers. Competing suppliers of burn-in and functional test systems include Advantest Corporation and Dong-Il Corporation.

The Company's MAX monitored burn-in systems have faced and are expected to continue to face increasingly severe competition, especially from several regional, low-cost manufacturers and from systems manufacturers that offer higher power dissipation per device under test.

The Company's FOX full wafer contact systems are expected to face competition from larger systems manufacturers that have sufficient technological know-how and manufacturing capability. Competing suppliers of full wafer contact systems include Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd. and Delta V Instruments, Incorporated.

The Company expects that its DiePak products will face significant competition. The Company believes that several companies have developed or are developing products which are intended to enable test and burn-in of bare die. As the bare die market develops, the Company expects that other competitors will emerge. The DiePak products also face severe competition from other alternative test solutions. The Company expects that the primary competitive factors in this market will be cost, performance, reliability and assured supply. Competing suppliers of DiePak products include Yamaichi Electronics Co., Ltd.

The Company's test fixture products face numerous regional competitors. There are limited barriers to entry into the burn-in board ("BIB") market, and as a result, many companies design and manufacture BIBs, including BIBs for use with the Company's MAX system. The Company's strategy is to provide only certain high performance BIBs, and the Company generally does not compete to supply low cost, low performance BIBs. The Company has granted royalty-bearing licenses to several companies to make performance test boards for use with the Company's MTX systems and BIBs for use with the Company's MAX4 systems, in order to assure customers of a second source of supply, and the Company may grant additional licenses as well. Sales of PTBs and MAX4 BIBs by licensees result in royalties to the Company.

## Edgar Filing: AEHR TEST SYSTEMS - Form 10-Q

The Company expects its competitors to continue to improve the performance of their current products and to introduce new products with improved price and performance characteristics. New product introductions by the Company's competitors or by new market entrants could cause a decline in sales or loss of market acceptance of the Company's products. The Company has observed price competition in the systems market, particularly with respect to its less advanced products. Increased competitive pressure could also lead to intensified price-based competition, resulting in lower prices which could adversely affect the Company's operating margins and results. The Company believes that to remain competitive it must invest significant financial resources in new product development and expand its customer service and support worldwide. There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to compete successfully in the future.

DEPENDENCE ON SUBCONTRACTORS; SOLE OR LIMITED SOURCES OF SUPPLY. The Company relies on subcontractors to manufacture many of the components or subassemblies used in its products. The Company's FOX, MAX, and MTX systems and DiePak carriers contain several components, including environmental chambers, power supplies, wafer and die contactors, signal distribution substrates and certain ICs, which are currently supplied by only one or a limited number of suppliers. The Company's reliance on subcontractors and single source suppliers involves a number of significant risks, including the loss of control over the manufacturing process, the potential absence of adequate capacity and reduced control over delivery schedules, manufacturing yields, quality and costs. In the event that any significant subcontractor or

25

single source supplier was to become unable or unwilling to continue to manufacture subassemblies, components or parts in required volumes, the Company would have to identify and qualify acceptable replacements. The process of qualifying subcontractors and suppliers could be lengthy, and no assurance can be given that any additional sources would be available to the Company on a timely basis. Any delay, interruption or termination of a supplier relationship could have a material and adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and operating results.

### Item 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

None.

### Item 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

### Item 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

None.

### Item 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

### Item 6. EXHIBITS

## Edgar Filing: AEHR TEST SYSTEMS - Form 10-Q

The Exhibits listed on the accompanying "Index to Exhibits" are filed as part hereof, or incorporated by reference into, the report.

26

### SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Aehr Test Systems  
(Registrant)

Date: April 13, 2007

/s/ RHEA J. POSEDEL  
-----

Rhea J. Posedel  
Chief Executive Officer and  
Chairman of the Board of Directors

Date: April 13, 2007

/s/ GARY L. LARSON  
-----

Gary L. Larson  
Vice President of Finance and  
Chief Financial Officer

27

### AEHR TEST SYSTEMS INDEX TO EXHIBITS

Exhibit No. -----	Description -----
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as adopted pursuant to Section 302(a) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as adopted pursuant to Section 302(a) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32	Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

28

