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## PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## Item 1. Financial Statements

## HORMEL FOODS CORPORATION

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL POSITION

## (In Thousands of Dollars)



[^0]See notes to consolidated financial statements
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| October 30, |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| July 30, | 2006 | 2005 |
| (Unaudited) |  |  |



[^1]See notes to consolidated financial statements
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## HORMEL FOODS CORPORATION

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

## (In Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)

(Unaudited)


[^2]See notes to consolidated financial statements
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## HORMEL FOODS CORPORATION

 CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (In Thousands of Dollars)(Unaudited)


[^3]See notes to consolidated financial statements
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## HORMEL FOODS CORPORATION

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS <br> (In Thousands, Except Per Share and Percentage Amounts) (Unaudited)

## NOTE A GENERAL

## Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements of Hormel Foods Corporation (the Company) have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information, and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the interim period are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the full year. The balance sheet at October 30, 2005, has been derived from the audited financial statements at that date but does not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 30, 2005.

Certain reclassifications of previously reported amounts have been made to conform to the current year presentation and to conform to recent accounting pronouncements and guidance. The reclassifications had no impact on net earnings as previously reported.

## Change in Accounting Principle

In the first quarter of fiscal 2006, the Company changed its method of accounting for the materials portion of turkey products and substantially all inventoriable expenses, packages, and supplies (in total approximately 23.0 percent of total gross inventory at the end of fiscal 2005) that had previously been accounted for utilizing the Last-In First-Out (LIFO) method to the First-In First-Out (FIFO) method. As a result, all inventories are now stated at the lower of cost, determined on a FIFO basis, or market. The change is preferable because it provides a more meaningful presentation of the Company s financial position as it values inventory in a manner which more closely approximates current cost; it provides a consistent and uniform costing method across the Company s operations; FIFO inventory values better represent the underlying commercial substance of selling the oldest products first; it is the prevalent method used by other entities within the Company s industry; and it enhances the comparability of the financial statements with those of our industry peers. As required by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, the change has been reflected in the consolidated statements of financial position, consolidated statements of operations, and consolidated statements of cash flows through retrospective application of the FIFO method. Inventories as of the beginning of fiscal 2006 were increased by the LIFO reserve $(\$ 38,594)$, the net current deferred tax assets were decreased by the tax effect of the change $(\$ 14,440)$ and shareholder s investment was increased by the after-tax effect (\$24,154). Previously reported net earnings for fiscal years 2005, 2004, and 2003 were increased by $\$ 1,144, \$ 1,887$, and $\$ 624$, respectively. For the third quarter and nine months ending July 31,2005 , net earnings were increased by $\$ 329$ and $\$ 647$, respectively, with no impact on diluted earnings per share.

## Income Taxes

The effective tax rate for the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2006 was 32.0 and 32.2 percent, respectively, compared to 36.9 and 37.1 percent for the comparable periods of fiscal 2005. The decrease in the rates compared to fiscal 2005 reflects the benefits from a domestic manufacturing activities tax deduction that was effective for the Company beginning in fiscal 2006. The third quarter rate was further reduced by discrete items, including the impact of settlement of various state and federal tax audits during the quarter. The nine month rate also reflects a discrete item recorded in the first quarter for the tax benefit related to a Medicare subsidy, and a discrete item in the second quarter related to the benefit received from a prior year audit settlement.
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## Stock-Based Compensation

In accordance with the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standard (SFAS) No. 123(R), the Company began expensing stock-based compensation using the modified prospective method in the first quarter of fiscal 2006. Prior to fiscal 2006, the Company accounted for stock-based compensation in accordance with SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation and SFAS No. 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure. Since fiscal 2003, the Company had used the prospective method to recognize stock-based compensation expense.

Pro forma amounts as if the Company had used the fair value method in accounting for all employee stock-based compensation in fiscal year 2005 are as follows:


* Retrospective application of FIFO inventory valuation (see Note A)


## Guarantees

The Company enters into various agreements guaranteeing specified obligations of affiliated parties. Currently, the Company provides a standby letter of credit for obligations of an affiliated party that may arise under worker compensation claims. The Company s guarantees either terminate in one year or remain in place until such time as the Company revokes the agreement. Total guarantees provided by the Company, as of July 30, 2006, amounted to $\$ 1,940$. These potential obligations are not reflected in the Company s consolidated statements of financial position.

## New Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 (FIN 48). FIN 48 prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure, and transition. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006, and therefore the Company expects to adopt FIN 48 at the beginning of fiscal 2008. The Company has not yet determined the impact of this accounting standard.
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In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154, Accounting Changes and Error Corrections a replacement of Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 20 and FASB Statement No. 3. The pronouncement requires that all voluntary changes in accounting principle be reported by retrospectively applying the principle to all prior periods that are presented in the financial statements. The statement is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005, with early adoption permitted for changes made after issuance of the statement. During the first quarter of fiscal 2006, the Company early adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 154 and reported a change in accounting principle for certain inventory items through the required retrospective application. See discussion of the impact on the Company s financial statements in Note A above under Change in Accounting Principle.

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123(R), Share-Based Payment, which amends SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, and supercedes APB Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees. The statement requires that entities measure the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the grant-date fair value of the award, as calculated using an accepted valuation model. In addition, the statement rescinds the use of the prospective transition model for expensing employee stock options and accelerates expensing of new option grants for retirement eligible individuals. The impact of adopting this statement is discussed in Note C.

In November 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 151, Inventory Costs an amendment of Accounting Research Bulletin (ARB) No. 43, Chapter 4. The statement requires that idle facility expense, excessive spoilage, double freight, and rehandling costs be recognized as a current-period charge to earnings. Previous guidance mandated that these costs be charged to earnings on a current basis only under certain circumstances. The statement is effective for annual periods beginning after June 15, 2005, and adoption did not have a material impact on the Company s results of operations or financial position.

## NOTE B ACQUISITIONS

On March 31, 2006, the Company completed the acquisition of Valley Fresh, Inc. (Valley Fresh) for the preliminary purchase price of $\$ 76.5$ million in cash, including related costs. Valley Fresh has the leading market share in the canned ready-to-eat chicken category and distributes more than 50 convenient precooked chicken products on a national basis, primarily under the Valley Fresh brand. Valley Fresh was a privately held company and employs approximately 265 employees at its 90,000 -square-foot manufacturing facility in Turlock, California. Valley Fresh products are expected to further strengthen the portfolio within the Company s Grocery Products segment.

Operating results for Valley Fresh are included in the Company s Consolidated Statement of Operations from the date of acquisition. Pro forma results of operations are not presented, as the effects of the acquisition are not material to the consolidated Company.

On September 6, 2006, subsequent to the end of the third quarter, the Company announced that it entered into a definitive merger agreement, pursuant to which the Company will acquire Provena Foods Inc. (Provena). Provena is a publicly traded company based in Chino, California, and provides pepperoni and pasta to pizza makers and packaged food manufacturers. The proposed acquisition has been approved by the board of directors of each company and is subject to customary closing conditions, including the approval of the Provena shareholders. Shareholders holding approximately 46 percent of the outstanding shares of Provena common stock, as of September 6, 2006, have agreed to vote their shares in favor of the merger agreement and against any competing proposal. The transaction is expected to close by the end of calendar year 2006.

## NOTE C STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

The Company has stock incentive plans for employees and non-employee directors, including stock options and nonvested shares. The Company s policy is to grant options with the exercise price equal to the market price of the common stock on the date of grant. Options vest over periods ranging from six months to four years and expire ten years after the date of the grant. The Company recognizes stock-based compensation expense ratably over the shorter of the requisite service period or vesting period. The fair value of options granted to retirement eligible individuals is expensed at the time of grant.
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The fair value of each option award is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes valuation model using the following weighted-average assumptions. No options were granted in the three month periods ended July 30, 2006, or July 31, 2005.

|  | Nine Months Ended |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | July 30, July 31,    <br>  $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$   <br>  4.5 $\%$ 4.1 $\%$ <br> Risk-Free Interest Rate 1.7 $\%$ 1.7 $\%$ <br> Dividend Yield 21.0 $\%$ 22.0 $\%$ <br> Stock Price Volatility 8 years 8 years   |  |  |  |
| Expected Option Life |  |  |  |  |

As part of the valuation process, the Company reassesses the appropriateness of the inputs into the Black-Scholes model used for option valuation. The Company establishes the risk-free interest rate using stripped U.S. Treasury yields as of the grant date where the remaining term is approximately the expected life of the option. The dividend yield is set based on the dividend rate approved by the Company s Board of Directors and the stock price on the grant date. The expected volatility assumption is set based primarily on historical volatility. As a reasonableness test, implied volatility from exchange traded options is also examined to validate the volatility range obtained from the historical analysis. The expected life assumption is set based on an analysis of past exercise behavior by option holders. In performing the option valuations, the Company has not stratified option holders as exercise behavior has historically been consistent across all employee groups.

A reconciliation of the number of options outstanding and exercisable as of July 30, 2006, and changes during the nine months then ended, is as follows:

|  | Shares | Weighted- <br> Average <br> Exercise Price | Weighted-Average <br> Remaining <br> Contractual Term | Aggregate <br> Intrinsic Value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Outstanding at 10/30/05 | 8,381 | $\$$ | 22.75 |  |
| Granted | 1,229 | 32.77 |  |  |
| Exercised | $(728$ | 15.11 |  |  |
| Forfeited | $(5$ | 29.48 | 6.2 years | $\$$ |
| Outstanding at $7 / 30 / 06$ | 8,877 | 24.76 | 5.0 years | $\$$ |
| Exercisable at $7 / 30 / 06$ | 5,636 | 21.86 |  | 90,015 |

The weighted-average grant-date fair value of options granted during the first nine months of 2006 was $\$ 9.25$ and the total intrinsic value of options exercised during the same period was $\$ 14,317$.

The Company s nonvested shares vest after five years or upon retirement. A reconciliation of the nonvested shares as of July 30, 2006, and changes during the first nine months of 2006, is as follows:

|  | Shares | Weighted- <br> Average Grant- <br> Date Fair Value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nonvested at 10/30/05 | 134 | $\$$ |
| Granted | 76 | 33.35 |
| Vested | $(102$ | 29.06 |
| Nonvested at $7 / 30 / 06$ | 108 | 31.86 |

During the third quarter and nine months ended July 30, 2006, the total stock-based compensation expense for all compensation related to share-based plans was $\$ 2,098$ and $\$ 16,595$, respectively, which includes $\$ 9,200$ of stock option expense recorded under SFAS 123(R), primarily due to executive retirements and expensing of new option grants to retirement eligible individuals in the first quarter. The total income tax benefit recognized in net income related to this expense was $\$ 786$ and $\$ 6,221$ for the quarter and nine months ended July 30, 2006, respectively. In the quarter and nine months ended July 31, 2005, the Company recognized stock-based
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compensation expense of $\$ 2,393$ and $\$ 6,826$, respectively, with related income tax benefits of $\$ 930$ and $\$ 2,654$, respectively. At July 30, 2006, there was $\$ 12,721$ of total unrecognized compensation cost from stock-based compensation arrangements granted under the plans, which is related to nonvested option awards. This compensation is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of approximately 2.6 years. During the quarter and nine months ended July 30, 2006, cash received from stock option exercises was $\$ 1,435$ and $\$ 3,661$, respectively. The total tax benefit to be realized for tax deductions from these option exercises was $\$ 1,695$ for the third quarter and $\$ 6,581$ for the first nine months, including $\$ 4,149$ of excess tax benefits which are included in Other under financing activities on the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows (with an offsetting amount in other operating activities).

Shares issued for option exercises may be either authorized but unissued shares, or shares of treasury stock acquired in the open market or otherwise. Nonvested shares issued are shares of treasury stock.

## NOTE D GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLE ASSETS

The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for the three and nine month periods ended July 30, 2006, are presented in the tables below. Goodwill acquired relates to the acquisition of Valley Fresh, while the purchase adjustments relate primarily to reclassifying the intangible assets identified for Valley Fresh and to finalizing the purchase accounting for the acquisition of Mark-Lynn Foods Inc. (Mark-Lynn).


|  | Grocery Products |  | Refrigerated Foods |  | JOTS |  | Specialty <br> Foods |  | Other |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance as of October 30, 2005 | 79,421 |  | \$ | 25,880 | \$ | 203,214 | \$ | 191,240 |  | \$ | 2,352 | \$ | 502,107 |
| Goodwill acquired | 61,334 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 334 |
| Purchase adjustments | (21,736 | ) | 0 |  | 0 |  | (159 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 895 |
| Balance as of July 30, 2006 | \$ 119,019 |  | \$ | 25,880 | \$ | 203,214 | \$ | 191,081 |  | \$ | 2,352 | \$ | 541,546 |

The gross carrying amount and accumulated amortization for definite-lived intangible assets are presented below. The increase in gross carrying amount of $\$ 14,750$ in the third quarter represents the preliminary valuation of intangible assets acquired for Valley Fresh.
$\left.\begin{array}{llllll} & \begin{array}{l}\text { July 30, 2006 } \\ \text { Gross } \\ \text { Corrying }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { October 30, 2005 }\end{array} \\ \text { Amount }\end{array}\right)$
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Amortization expense was $\$ 3,078$ and $\$ 8,035$ for the three and nine months ended July 30, 2006, respectively, compared to $\$ 2,869$ and $\$ 7,092$ for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2005. Estimated annual amortization expense for the five fiscal years after October 30, 2005, is as follows:

| 2006 | $\$ 10,768$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2007 | 11,148 |
| 2008 | 8,220 |
| 2009 | 6,559 |
| 2010 | 5,779 |

The carrying amounts for indefinite-lived intangible assets are presented in the table below. The increase of $\$ 6,210$ in the third quarter represents the preliminary valuation of Valley Fresh trademarks.

|  | July 30, 2006 |  | October 30, 2005 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Brands/tradenames/trademarks | $\$$ | 91,959 | 85,741 |  |
| Other intangibles | 7,984 |  | 7,984 |  |
| Total | $\$$ | 99,943 | $\$$ | 93,725 |

## NOTE E SHIPPING AND HANDLING COSTS

Shipping and handling costs are recorded as selling and delivery expenses. Shipping and handling costs were $\$ 110,021$ and $\$ 309,707$ for the three and nine months ended July 30, 2006, respectively, compared to $\$ 90,054$ and $\$ 258,632$ for the three and nine months ended July 31, 2005.

## NOTE F EARNINGS PER SHARE DATA

The following table sets forth the denominator for the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share:

|  | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Three Months Ended } \\ \text { July 31, } \\ \text { July 30, } \\ \text { 2005 }\end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Nine Months Ended } \\ \text { July 30, } \\ \mathbf{2 0 0 6}\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| July 31, |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 |  |  |  |  |$]$

## NOTE G COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

Components of comprehensive income, net of taxes, are:


* Retrospective application of FIFO inventory valuation (see Note A)


## NOTE H INVENTORIES

Principal components of inventories are:

|  | July 30, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | October 30, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ <br> Restated* |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Finished products | $\$$ | 323,233 | $\$$ |
| Raw materials and work-in-process | 145,005 | 284,341 |  |
| Materials and supplies | 111,662 | 147,968 |  |
| Total | $\$$ | 579,900 | $\$$ |
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* Retrospective application of FIFO inventory valuation (see Note A)


## NOTE I DERIVATIVES AND HEDGING

The Company uses hedging programs to manage price risk associated with commodity purchases and foreign currency transactions. These programs utilize futures contracts and swaps to manage the Company s exposure to price fluctuations in the commodities markets and fluctuations in foreign currencies.

Cash Flow Hedge: The Company from time to time utilizes corn and soybean meal futures to offset the price fluctuation in the Company s future direct grain purchases. The Company has also entered into various NYMEX-based swaps to hedge the purchase of natural gas at certain plant locations. The financial instruments are designated and accounted for as cash flow hedges, and the Company measures the effectiveness of the hedges on a regular basis. The Company has determined its hedges to be highly effective. In the third quarter of fiscal 2005 and 2006, the Company recorded an immaterial amount of ineffectiveness to earnings. Effective gains or losses related to these cash flow hedges are reported as other comprehensive income (loss) and reclassified into earnings, through cost of products sold (commodity positions) in the period or periods in which the hedged transactions affect earnings. The Company typically does not hedge its grain exposure beyond 12 months and its natural gas exposure beyond 36 months.
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As of July 30, 2006, the Company had included in Accumulated other comprehensive loss, hedging losses of $\$ 538$ (net of tax) relating to its positions. The Company expects to recognize the majority of these losses over the next 12 months. Losses of $\$ 397$ and gains of $\$ 1,582$, before tax, were reclassified into earnings in the three and nine months ended July 30, 2006, respectively, compared to gains/(losses) of \$223 and $\$(3,414)$ in the same periods of fiscal 2005.

Fair Value Hedge: The Company utilizes futures to minimize the price risk assumed when forward priced contracts are offered to the Company s commodity suppliers. The intent of the program is to make the forward priced commodities cost nearly the same as cash market purchases at the date of delivery.

The futures contracts are designated and accounted for as fair value hedges, and the Company measures the effectiveness of the hedges on a regular basis. The Company has determined its hedge programs to be highly effective. In the third quarter of fiscal 2005 and 2006, the Company recorded an immaterial amount of ineffectiveness to earnings. Changes in the fair value of the futures contracts, along with the gain or loss on the hedged purchase commitment, are marked-to-market through earnings and are recorded on the statement of financial position as a current asset and liability, respectively. Gains or losses related to these fair value hedges are recognized through costs of products sold in the period or periods in which the hedged transactions affect earnings.

As of July 30, 2006, the fair value of the Company s open futures contracts included on the statement of financial position was $\$(761)$. Gains/(losses) on closed futures contracts in the amount of $\$(665)$ and $\$ 1,620$, before tax, were recognized in earnings during the three and nine months ended July 30, 2006, respectively, compared to gains of \$910 and \$2,339 in the same periods of fiscal 2005.

## NOTE J PENSION AND OTHER POSTRETIREMENT BENEFIT PLANS

Net periodic benefit cost for pension and other postretirement benefit plans consists of the following:

|  | Pension Benefits Three Months Ended July 30, 2006 | July 31, 2005 |  | Nine Months Ended July 30, 2006 | July 31, 2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Service cost | \$ 5,365 | \$ 4,580 |  | \$ 16,360 | \$ 13,740 |
| Interest cost | 10,063 | 9,956 |  | 30,275 | 29,869 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (12,791 | (11,937 | ) | (38,374 | (35,812 |
| Amortization of prior service cost | 229 | 228 |  | 685 | 683 |
| Recognized actuarial loss | 2,358 | 2,641 |  | 7,236 | 7,923 |
| Settlement charge | 4,897 | 0 |  | 12,183 | 0 |
| Net periodic cost | \$ 10,121 | \$ 5,468 |  | \$ 28,365 | \$ 16,403 |
|  | Other Postretirement Three Months Ended July 30, 2006 | Benefits <br> July 31, 2005 |  | Nine Months Ended July 30, 2006 | July 31, 2005 |
| Service cost | \$ 874 | \$ 806 |  | \$ 2,624 | \$ 2,418 |
| Interest cost | 5,409 | 5,604 |  | 16,226 | 16,812 |
| Amortization of prior service cost | 1,414 | 1,414 |  | 4,241 | 4,241 |
| Recognized actuarial loss | 883 | 960 |  | 2,650 | 2,881 |
| Net periodic cost | \$ 8,580 | \$ 8,784 |  | \$ 25,741 | \$ 26,352 |

The Company recognized an additional settlement charge of $\$ 4,897$ in the third quarter on non-qualified plans resulting from executive retirements. For the first nine months of fiscal 2006, settlement charges of $\$ 12,183$ have been recognized.
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## NOTE K SEGMENT REPORTING

The Company develops, processes, and distributes a wide array of food products in a variety of markets. Under the criteria set forth by the accounting standard SFAS No. 131, Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information, the Company reports its results in the following five segments: Grocery Products, Refrigerated Foods, Jennie-O Turkey Store, Specialty Foods, and All Other.

The Grocery Products segment consists primarily of the processing, marketing, and sale of shelf-stable food products sold predominantly in the retail market. This segment also includes the results of Valley Fresh, acquired in the second quarter of fiscal 2006, and Arriba Foods, Inc. (Mexican Accent), acquired in the second quarter of fiscal 2005.

The Refrigerated Foods segment includes the Meat Products and Foodservice business units. This segment consists primarily of the processing, marketing, and sale of branded and unbranded pork products for retail, foodservice, and fresh product customers. This segment also includes the Precept Foods, LLC operation, which offers fresh, case-ready, branded pork and beef products to its retail customers. Precept Foods, LLC is a 51 percent owned joint venture between Hormel Foods Corporation and Cargill Meat Solutions Corporation (formerly Excel Corporation), a wholly owned subsidiary of Cargill, Incorporated. Clougherty Packing Company (Farmer John), which was acquired in December 2004, is included as an operating segment within Refrigerated Foods, and the Meat Products business unit includes the results of operations for Lloyd s Barbecue Company (Lloyd s), which was acquired in April 2005.

The Jennie-O Turkey Store segment consists primarily of the processing, marketing, and sale of branded and unbranded turkey products for retail, foodservice, and fresh product customers.

The Specialty Foods segment includes the Diamond Crystal Brands, Century Foods International, and Hormel Specialty Products operating segments. This segment consists of the packaging and sale of various sugar and sugar substitute products, salt and pepper products, dessert mixes, gelatin products, and private label canned meats to retail and foodservice customers. This segment also includes the processing, marketing, and sale of nutritional food products and supplements to hospitals, nursing homes, and other marketers of nutritional products. Diamond Crystal Brands includes the results of operations for Mark-Lynn, which was acquired in March 2005.

The All Other segment includes the Dan s Prize and Hormel Foods International operating segments. These businesses produce, market, and sell beef products and manufacture, market, and sell Company products internationally. This segment also includes various miscellaneous corporate sales.

Intersegment sales are recorded at prices that approximate cost and are eliminated in the consolidated statements of operations. Equity in earnings of affiliates is included in segment profit; however, the Company does not allocate investment income, interest expense, and interest income to its segments when measuring performance. The Company also retains various other income and unallocated expenses at corporate. These items are included below as Net interest and investment income and General corporate expense when reconciling to earnings before income taxes.

Sales and operating profits for each of the Company s business segments and reconciliation to earnings before income taxes are set forth below. The Company is an integrated enterprise, characterized by substantial intersegment cooperation, cost allocations, and sharing of assets. Therefore, the Company does not represent that these segments, if operated independently, would report the operating profit and other financial information shown below.
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[^4]Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (In Thousands of Dollars, Except Per Share Amounts)
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As discussed in Note A in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in this Form 10-Q, the Company changed its accounting method for certain inventory items from Last-In First-Out (LIFO) to First-In First-Out (FIFO) effective with the first quarter of fiscal 2006. All prior year information has been restated for the retrospective application of this change in accounting principle. The effect on fiscal 2005 third quarter and nine month net earnings was $\$ 329$ and $\$ 647$, respectively, with no impact on diluted earnings per share.

There have been no other material changes in the Company s Critical Accounting Policies, as disclosed in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended October 30, 2005.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS
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## Overview

The Company is a processor of branded and unbranded food products for retail, foodservice, and fresh product customers. It operates in five segments as described in Note K in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in this Form 10-Q.

The Company earned $\$ .43$ per diluted share in the third quarter of fiscal 2006, compared to $\$ .37$ per diluted share in the third quarter of fiscal 2005. Significant factors impacting the quarter were:

- Volatility in pork markets negatively impacted margins in Refrigerated Foods, particularly in the fresh pork and sliced bacon categories.
- Grocery Products reported top and bottom line growth, driven by the acquisition of Valley Fresh and continued distribution of microwave trays.
- Jennie-O Turkey Store experienced lower operating profits in the quarter primarily due to higher energy costs and lower returns on thigh meat.
- A gain on litigation of $\$ 6,218$ pre-tax, net of related expenses ( $\$ 0.03$ after-tax per diluted share) was recognized during the quarter.
- An additional charge of $\$ 4,897$ ( $\$ 0.02$ after-tax per diluted share) was recognized in the quarter related to non-qualified plan settlements due to executive retirements.
- The Company s effective tax rate was reduced due to a $\$ 2,826$ ( $\$ 0.02$ per diluted share) discrete tax benefit in the quarter.


## Consolidated Results

Net earnings for the third quarter of fiscal 2006 increased 15.0 percent to $\$ 59,551$ compared to $\$ 51,762$ in the same quarter of 2005. Diluted earnings per share for the quarter increased to $\$ .43$ from $\$ .37$ last year. Net earnings for the first nine months of 2006 increased 13.8 percent to $\$ 196,135$ from $\$ 172,373$ in 2005. Diluted earnings per share for the same period increased to $\$ 1.41$ from $\$ 1.23$ in the prior year.

Net earnings comparisons are impacted by several transactions recorded in fiscal 2006. Pretax earnings for the third quarter included $\$ 4,897$ ( $\$ 0.02$ after-tax per diluted share) for expenses relating to non-qualified plan settlements due to executive retirements that occurred at the beginning of the fiscal year, and a $\$ 6,218$ ( $\$ 0.03$ after-tax per diluted share) gain on litigation. Pretax earnings for the nine months include approximately $\$ 12,200$ ( $\$ .06$ after-tax per diluted share) for expenses relating to non-qualified plan settlements due to executive retirements, $\$ 9,200$ ( $\$ .04$ after-tax per diluted share) of stock option expense recorded under SFAS $123(\mathrm{R})$, primarily due to executive retirements and expensing of new option grants to retirement eligible individuals, and the $\$ 6,218$ litigation gain noted above

Net sales for the third quarter of fiscal 2006 increased 3.8 percent to $\$ 1,406,894$ from $\$ 1,355,021$ in 2005. Tonnage volume increased 2.0 percent to 1,060 million lbs. for the third quarter compared to 1,039 million lbs. in the same quarter of last year. Net sales for the first nine months of fiscal 2006 increased 6.4 percent to $\$ 4,188,172$ from
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$\$ 3,936,089$ in the first nine months of fiscal 2005. Tonnage volume for the nine months increased 5.9 percent over the comparable period of 2005. Net sales and tonnage volume comparisons were positively impacted by the 2006 acquisition of Valley Fresh, which contributed $\$ 12,174$ of net sales and $7,082 \mathrm{lbs}$. of tonnage volume to the third quarter results and $\$ 15,646$ of net sales and $10,393 \mathrm{lbs}$. of tonnage volume to the nine month results. Year-to-date results are also impacted by the 2005 acquisitions of Farmer John, Mexican Accent, Mark-Lynn, and Lloyd s. Excluding the impact of these acquisitions, net sales and tonnage volume showed increases of 2.9 percent and 1.3 percent, respectively, compared to the third quarter of fiscal 2005 and increases of 2.2 percent and 1.1 percent, respectively, compared to the first nine months of last year.

Gross profit for the third quarter and nine months of fiscal 2006 was $\$ 322,154$ and $\$ 1,006,628$, respectively, compared to $\$ 301,273$ and $\$ 916,287$ for the same periods last year. Gross profit as a percentage of net sales for the third quarter and nine months increased slightly to 22.9 and 24.0 percent, respectively, in 2006, from 22.2 and 23.3 percent for the comparable quarter and nine months of fiscal 2005. During the third quarter, the Company s margins benefited from the recognition of a $\$ 6,218$ gain on litigation. However, an unexpected spike in hog prices in the middle of the quarter caused margin pressure on certain items in the Refrigerated Foods segment. As expected, Jennie-O Turkey Store faced a difficult comparison due to a very strong third quarter in fiscal 2005, but managed to maintain relatively flat margins due to value added growth. Margin improvements also continued in the Specialty Foods segment reflecting improved product mix and additional tonnage volume, and in the All Other segment primarily due to improved margins from Dan s Prize and on pork exports.

Selling and delivery expenses for the third quarter and nine months of fiscal 2006 were $\$ 187,387$ and $\$ 566,886$, respectively, compared to $\$ 173,869$ and $\$ 511,060$ last year. This increase is primarily due to increases in shipping and handling costs of $\$ 19,967$ and $\$ 51,075$ for the quarter and nine months ended July 30, 2006, respectively, over the same periods in fiscal 2005, which represents increased tonnage volume, the impact of acquisitions made in fiscal years 2006 and 2005, and the continuation of significantly higher freight and warehousing costs. Throughout fiscal 2006, the Company has been unable to cover these higher costs with proportionate price increases, resulting in selling and delivery expenses as a percentage of net sales increasing to 13.3 and 13.5 percent for the fiscal 2006 third quarter and nine months, respectively, compared to 12.8 and 13.0 percent of net sales in the comparable periods of 2005. Approximately $\$ 4,200$ has also been reflected in selling and delivery expense for the nine months of fiscal 2006 related to settlements on non-qualified plans resulting from executive retirements. The Company expects selling and delivery expenses, as a percent of net sales, to approximate 13.4 percent for the full fiscal year.

Administrative and general expenses were $\$ 40,028$ and $\$ 138,062$ for the third quarter and nine months of fiscal 2006, respectively, compared to $\$ 41,817$ and $\$ 123,675$ last year. As a percentage of net sales, administrative and general expenses for the fiscal 2006 third quarter and nine months were 2.8 and 3.3 percent, respectively, compared to 3.1 percent for both the comparable quarter and nine months in fiscal 2005. During the third quarter of fiscal 2006, administrative and general expense of approximately $\$ 2,500$ related to non-qualified plan settlements resulting from executive retirements was offset by a gain of $\$ 2,286$ on the sale of a Company airplane and overall reduced corporate expenses compared to fiscal 2005. Administrative and general expenses for the year-to-date period remain higher compared to last year as a result of certain expenses recognized in the first nine months of fiscal 2006. In the first quarter, the Company recognized $\$ 9,200$ of stock option expense recorded under SFAS $123(\mathrm{R})$, primarily due to executive retirements and expensing of new option grants to retirement eligible individuals. Approximately $\$ 6,300$ has also been recognized for expenses related to the settlements on non-qualified plans resulting from executive retirements. The Company expects administrative and general expenses to approximate 3.2 percent of net sales for fiscal 2006.

Equity in earnings of affiliates was $\$ 10$ and $\$ 3,710$ for the third quarter and nine months of fiscal 2006, respectively, compared to $\$ 899$ and $\$ 4,826$ last year. The decrease is primarily due to weakened performance at the Company s 40.0 percent owned Philippine joint venture, Purefoods-Hormel Company (down $\$ 805$ and $\$ 1,118$ for the third quarter and nine months, respectively). For the nine months, equity in earnings of affiliates is also down $\$ 294$ for the Company s 50.0 percent owned joint venture, Herdez Corporation. Additionally, the Company recorded a minority interest gain of $\$ 461$ in the third quarter of fiscal 2005 related to its ownership position in the Beijing Hormel Foods Corporation. Excluding this gain, minority interest showed increased income of $\$ 342$ and $\$ 742$ for the third quarter and nine months of fiscal 2006, respectively.
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The effective tax rate for the third quarter and first nine months of fiscal 2006 was 32.0 and 32.2 percent, respectively, compared to 36.9 and 37.1 percent for the comparable periods of fiscal 2005. The decrease in the rates compared to fiscal 2005 reflects the benefits from a domestic manufacturing activities tax deduction that was effective for the Company beginning in fiscal 2006. The third quarter rate was further reduced by discrete items, including the impact of settlement of various state and federal tax audits during the quarter. The nine month rate also reflects a discrete item recorded in the first quarter for the tax benefit related to a Medicare subsidy, and a discrete item in the second quarter related to the benefit received from a prior year audit settlement. The Company expects an effective rate for the full year between 33.35 and 33.60 percent.

## Segment Results
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Net sales and operating profits for each of the Company s segments are set forth below. Additional segment financial information can be found in Note K of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

|  | Three Months Ended July 30, 2006 |  | July 31, <br> 2005 <br> Restated* |  | \% <br> Change | Nine Months Ended <br> July 30, <br> 2006 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July 31, } \\ & 2005 \\ & \text { Restated* } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | \% Change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net Sales |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grocery Products | \$ 199,545 |  | \$ 182,029 |  | 9.6 | \$ | 604,457 |  | \$ | 566,490 |  | 6.7 |  |
| Refrigerated Foods | 742,014 |  | 725,883 |  | 2.2 |  | 87,805 |  |  | 2,166 |  | 6.1 |  |
| Jennie-O Turkey Store | 267,754 |  | 266,055 |  | 0.6 |  | ,407 |  |  | , 031 |  | 1.6 |  |
| Specialty Foods | 146,583 |  | 133,403 |  | 9.9 |  | ,761 |  |  | ,323 |  | 21.5 |  |
| All Other | 50,998 |  | 47,651 |  | 7.0 |  | 1,742 |  |  | , 079 |  | (2.8 | ) |
| Total | \$ 1,406,894 |  | \$ 1,355,021 |  | 3.8 | \$ | 4,188,172 |  | \$ | 3,936,089 |  | 6.4 |  |
| Segment Operating Profit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grocery Products | \$ 27,525 |  | \$ 23,089 |  | 19.2 | \$ | 90,909 |  | \$ | 86,523 |  | 5.1 |  |
| Refrigerated Foods | 25,278 |  | 29,712 |  | (14.9 |  | 154 |  |  |  |  | 3.4 |  |
| Jennie-O Turkey Store | 25,715 |  | 26,936 |  | (4.5 |  | 034 |  |  |  |  | (2.6 | ) |
| Specialty Foods | 10,989 |  | 7,569 |  | 45.2 |  | 534 |  |  |  |  | 76.4 |  |
| All Other | 7,652 |  | 4,719 |  | 62.2 |  | 539 |  |  |  |  | 56.9 |  |
| Total segment operating profit | \$ 97,159 |  | \$ 92,025 |  | 5.6 | \$ | 332,170 |  | \$ | 304,843 |  | 9.0 |  |
| Net interest and investment income | (7,216 | ) | (4,425 | ) | (63.1 |  | ,959 | ) |  | ,414 | ) | (28.6 | ) |
| General corporate expense | (2,410 | ) | (5,539 | ) | 56.5 |  | ,780 | ) |  | 465 | ) | (45.0 | ) |
| Earnings before income taxes | \$ 87,533 |  | \$ 82,061 |  | 6.7 | \$ | 289,431 |  | \$ | 273,964 |  | 5.6 |  |

[^5]
## Grocery Products

The Grocery Products segment consists primarily of the processing, marketing, and sale of shelf-stable food products sold predominantly in the retail market. This segment also includes the results of Valley Fresh, acquired in the second quarter of fiscal 2006, and Mexican Accent, acquired in the second quarter of fiscal 2005.

Grocery Products net sales increased 9.6 percent for the third quarter and 6.7 percent for the nine months compared to the comparable fiscal 2005 periods. Sales tonnage volume was up 7.6 percent for the quarter and 8.2 percent for the nine months compared to the prior year. Segment profit for Grocery Products increased 19.2 percent for the third quarter and 5.1 percent for the nine months compared to the comparable fiscal 2005 periods.

## Edgar Filing: HORMEL FOODS CORP /DE/ - Form 10-Q

Increases to all measures for the third quarter were driven by new product lines (Valley Fresh and Chi-Chi schips and tortillas) and growth in the Hormel microwave line of products and Hormel chili. Cost of goods sold, freight/warehousing, and selling expenses all increased over the prior year, which impacted segment profitability. Decreases in pork raw materials for the SPAM family of products and bacon bits were offset by increases in imported beef and increased production expenses driven by a shift in production to higher cost items and scheduled maintenance shut-downs at some facilities.

The integration of the Valley Fresh acquisition into grocery products marketing and consumer products sales was completed in the third quarter, and the Company is currently moving production of its Hormel branded chunk chicken out of a third party facility into the Valley Fresh facility. This acquisition contributed $\$ 11,342$ to net sales and 6.3 million lbs. to sales tonnage volume for the third quarter, and $\$ 14,814$ to net sales and 9.6 million lbs. to the nine month results. Excluding the impact of this acquisition, net sales and tonnage volume for the segment increased 3.4 percent and 1.7 percent, respectively, compared to the prior year third quarter.

Other key product categories contributing to the tonnage volume and profit increases in Grocery Products compared to the prior year third quarter include Hormel chili (up $3,114,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. or 16.1 percent) and the microwave line of products (up $1,070,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. or 7.5 percent). Growth in the microwave category has been driven by a change in product mix from microwave cups to trays. The Company has added additional capacity to meet the increased consumer demand for trays, which will allow for more aggressive marketing of the product in upcoming quarters. Offsetting these gains were decreases in the tonnage volume of Dinty Moore canned products (down 1,424,000 lbs. or 16.0 percent) and the SPAM family of products (down $682,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. or 3.9 percent), both reflecting a third to fourth quarter business shift between fiscal 2005 and 2006.

Hormel chili continued to show market share and tonnage volume gains during the third quarter after the Company converted all 15 ounce Tetra recart test markets back to the can. The Company also began converting Stagg chili from the Tetra container to an easy open can during the quarter, which impacted volume as customer shipments were intentionally light during the conversion. The Company has experienced great retailer acceptance from the conversion of both brands, and expects further increases in the fourth quarter due to increased distribution in primary markets.

## Refrigerated Foods

The Refrigerated Foods segment includes the Meat Products and Foodservice business units. This segment consists primarily of the processing, marketing, and sale of branded and unbranded pork products for retail, foodservice, and fresh product customers. This segment also includes the Precept Foods, LLC operation, which offers fresh, case-ready, branded pork and beef products to its retail customers. Precept Foods, LLC is a 51 percent owned joint venture between Hormel Foods Corporation and Cargill Meat Solutions Corporation (formerly Excel Corporation), a wholly owned subsidiary of Cargill, Incorporated. Farmer John, which was acquired in December 2004, is included as an operating segment within Refrigerated Foods, and the Meat Products business unit includes the results for Lloyd s, which was acquired in April 2005.

Net sales by the Refrigerated Foods segment increased 2.2 percent for the third quarter and 6.1 percent for the nine months of fiscal 2006 , compared to the same periods of fiscal 2005. Sales tonnage volume increased 2.1 percent and 7.7 percent for the third quarter and nine months, respectively, compared to last year. Net sales and tonnage volume comparisons for the nine months were positively impacted by the fiscal 2005 acquisitions of Farmer John and Lloyd s. These acquisitions contributed a combined $\$ 402,522$ of net sales and 358.4 million lbs. of tonnage volume to the nine month results. Excluding the comparative impact of these acquisitions, net sales and tonnage volume increased 0.2 percent and 1.8 percent, respectively, for the nine months compared to fiscal 2005.

Segment profit for Refrigerated Foods decreased 14.9 percent for the third quarter and increased 3.4 percent for the nine months of fiscal 2006, compared to the prior year. During third quarter, volatility of key primal markets impacted margin performance in fresh pork and sliced bacon. Loin markets rose in the quarter, when this period typically remains stable or trends down. An unexpected spike in belly prices also impacted segment profits during the quarter, as value-added business units were not able to pass along price increases fast enough to maintain margin levels. Higher freight, warehouse, and utility expenses also continued to lower profitability for the 2006 third quarter and nine months. Partially offsetting these expenses was a $\$ 3,109$ gain on litigation
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recognized in the third quarter. The Company expects hog prices during the fourth quarter to fall below third quarter levels, as seasonality brings more hogs to the market. However, an offsetting factor to these projected price decreases is that pork exports are strong due to bird flu fears, and increased demand for U.S. pork around the world is helping put upward pressure on prices. Continued higher freight and utility expenses will also likely impact operating profits during the last quarter of fiscal 2006.

The Company s hog processing for the current quarter increased 4.0 percent to $2,267,000$ hogs from $2,179,000$ hogs in the comparable period last year. For the first nine months of fiscal 2006, hog processing increased 7.9 percent to $6,797,000$ hogs from $6,297,000$ hogs in the comparable period of fiscal 2005. Excluding Farmer John, hog processing increased 3.7 percent in the first nine months of fiscal 2006, as compared to the prior year.

The Meat Products business unit reported notable tonnage volume increases over the prior year third quarter on convenience bacon (up 338,000 lbs. or 15.0 percent) and retail sliced pepperoni (up $536,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. or 12.4 percent). In the deli category, key product lines posting growth over the prior year third quarter include Hormel party trays (up $665,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. or 58.2 percent) and DiLusso Deli Company products (up 280,000 lbs. or 25.1 percent). The national rollout of Hormel Natural Choice lunchmeats continued successfully throughout the third quarter, contributing an additional $2,128,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. for the quarter and $3,790,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. for the nine months. Hormel Natural Choice deli hams and roast beef were also introduced during third quarter. Increased marketing to support these product launches will continue into the fourth quarter.

The Foodservice business unit delivered another strong operating profit quarter, although branded tonnage growth slowed slightly to 2.9 percent for the third quarter ( 4.7 percent for the nine months). Seven of the fifteen product categories within this unit exceeded the prior year third quarter results, led by premium pork (up $680,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. or 17.5 percent), BBQ/café $h$ (up $603,000 \mathrm{lbs}$ or 16.1 percent), and pizza toppings (up $1,189,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. or 14.1 percent). Branded margins were negatively impacted due to the spike in belly markets noted above, particularly in the bacon category.

The Precept Foods, LLC joint venture also maintained strong growth during the third quarter of fiscal 2006. Tonnage volume for case-ready beef and pork products increased $2,508,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. or 44.6 percent compared to the prior year third quarter, and expansion is expected to continue during the fourth quarter.

## Jennie-O Turkey Store

The Jennie-O Turkey Store (JOTS) segment consists primarily of the processing, marketing, and sale of branded and unbranded turkey products for retail, foodservice, and fresh product customers.

JOTS net sales increased 0.6 percent for the third quarter and 1.6 percent for the nine months ended July 30 , 2006, versus the comparable periods of fiscal 2005. Sales tonnage decreased 5.6 percent for the third quarter and 5.0 percent for the nine months, compared to fiscal 2005 results. The third quarter tonnage reductions are primarily due to a shift in the timing of whole bird sales to fourth quarter in 2006 from third quarter in 2005, while reductions for the first nine months also reflect fewer live birds purchased from independent producers and fewer poult placements at the beginning of fiscal 2006. Flock livabilities, while improved, also continue to be a challenge during 2006. The impact of reduced livabilities compared to fiscal 2005 has been offset by better feed conversions and weights. Net sales grew despite the decreases in tonnage due to value added tonnage gains in all three of the Retail, Deli, and Foodservice business units. Combined tonnage in these units is up 8.9 percent for the quarter and 9.7 percent for nine months, compared to fiscal 2005. Commodity pricing was mixed for the quarter, with breast meat above prior year levels but thigh meat trailing last year s levels. Although volumes were down, whole bird pricing and profitability were improved from the prior year.

Segment profit for JOTS decreased 4.5 percent for the third quarter and 2.6 percent for the first nine months of fiscal 2006 compared to the prior year. The decrease for the quarter is due primarily to lower returns on thigh meat and increased energy-related costs of approximately $\$ 3,000$ driven by higher propane costs and freight expenses compared to fiscal 2005. There was also a decrease in revenues generated from the sale of by-products. Inflationary pressures experienced in packaging and seasoning, stretched capacities in value-added plants, and increased outside cold storage costs, also contributed to the decreased segment profit compared to 2005. Partially offsetting these decreases was a $\$ 3,109$ gain on litigation recognized in the third quarter.
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The Company s value added business units continued to increase their overall operating profit contribution through new distribution of existing product lines and continued success in new product development. In the retail division, product lines reflecting strong third quarter increases over the prior year were Jennie-O Turkey Store branded fresh whole birds (up $605,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. or 52.2 percent) and Jennie-O Turkey Store turkey frozen burgers (up 1,235,000 lbs. or 24.2 percent). Jennie-O Turkey Store Oven Ready turkey products were also up 164,000 lbs. for the third quarter, as the introduction of a breast product extended the line s sales activity beyond the holiday season. In the second quarter of fiscal 2006, the Company also launched four flavor varieties of the Jennie-O Turkey Store tub luncheon meat, which added 710,000 lbs. to retail volume in the third quarter.

In the deli division, growth continued for the Jennie-O Turkey Store rotisserie category, up $771,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. or 23.3 percent compared to the prior year third quarter. Growth was also noted on deli premium products, including Jennie-O Turkey Store Homestyle line (up 803,000 lbs. or 25.0 percent), and the Jennie-O Turkey Store Grand Champion line (up $451,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. or 9.0 percent). Additionally, the Company enhanced the Natural Choice deli products under the Jennie-O Turkey Store brand, and relaunched them during the third quarter.

Moving into the fourth quarter, egg set and poult placement production numbers still indicate that increased supply will be coming to market over the next several quarters, which may impact pricing of commodity meats and whole birds. However, lower livability and summer heat waves have slowed production. Combining those factors with continued higher expected energy costs, the Company anticipates this segment will have a difficult comparison to a strong prior year fourth quarter.

## Specialty Foods

The Specialty Foods segment includes the Diamond Crystal Brands (DCB), Century Foods International (CFI), and Hormel Specialty Products (HSP) operating units. This segment consists of the packaging and sales of various sugar and sugar substitute products, salt and pepper products, dessert mixes, gelatin products, and private label canned meats to retail and foodservice customers. This segment also includes the processing, marketing, and sale of nutritional food products and supplements to hospitals, nursing homes, and other marketers of nutritional products. Mark-Lynn, which was acquired in March 2005, is also included in the results of operations for DCB.

Specialty Foods net sales increased 9.9 percent for the third quarter and 21.5 percent for the first nine months of fiscal 2006, compared to the same periods of fiscal 2005. Sales tonnage volume increased 8.3 and 18.0 percent for the quarter and nine months, respectively, compared to the prior year. Net sales and tonnage volume comparisons for the nine months were positively impacted by the fiscal 2005 acquisition of Mark-Lynn. Excluding the comparative impact of this acquisition, net sales and tonnage volume increased 15.0 percent and 9.6 percent, respectively, for the nine months compared to fiscal 2005.

Top line increases were noted in all three business units. Canned meat, refinery, and cheese ingredients drove the increases for HSP. DCB experienced growth in core packet sales of sugar substitutes, sugar, savory, and nutritional products, and also benefited from new dessert and drink business during the quarter. CFI results improved for the quarter compared to fiscal 2005 as additional ready-to-drink, nutritional pouches, and nutritional blends offset reductions in nutritional jars. Ready-to-drink production improvements completed in the third quarter should also accommodate additional volume expected in the fourth quarter. CFI net sales for the nine months increased 14.3 percent over fiscal 2005 due to volume gains.

Specialty Foods segment profit increased 45.2 percent in the third quarter and 76.4 percent for the nine months, compared to 2005 results. Gross margin dollars for all three business units have increased during fiscal 2006 due to gains in key product categories and additional production volume. HSP gross margins increased 25.0 percent and 28.1 percent for the third quarter and nine months of fiscal 2006, respectively, compared to the prior year. DCB s gross margins increased 11.5 percent for the third quarter and 27.0 percent for the nine months, compared to fiscal 2005. The largest gains have been noted in CFI, with gross margins up 76.5 percent for the third quarter and 47.6 percent for the nine months, compared to 2005 results.
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#### Abstract

All Other

The All Other segment includes the Dan s Prize and Hormel Foods International (HFI) operating segments. These businesses produce, market, and sell beef products and manufacture, market, and sell Company products internationally. This segment also includes various miscellaneous corporate sales.


All Other net sales increased 7.0 percent for the quarter and decreased 2.8 percent for the first nine months of fiscal 2006, compared to the comparable fiscal 2005 periods. Segment profit increased 62.2 and 56.9 percent for the quarter and nine months, respectively, compared to prior year results.

HFI overall net sales increased 9.1 percent in third quarter and declined 1.4 percent during the first nine months of fiscal 2006, which reflects a planned reduction in picnic sales in 2006. Operating profit increased 120.5 percent for the nine months compared to 2005 , attributable to higher gross margins on exports of pork and offal due to favorable market conditions. Improved margins on the SPAM family of products and Stagg chili also contributed to the increase in operating profit. Nine month comparisons to fiscal 2005 results are impacted by the reduction in the financial reporting lag on HFI s joint ventures and wholly owned Australian subsidiary to one month. These entities were previously reported on a two to three month lag, and the adjustment increased tonnage (up $4,900,000$ lbs.), net sales (up $\$ 5,600$ ), and operating profit (up $\$ 242$ ) for the first quarter in fiscal 2005. Including the effects of the lag reductions, export tonnage decreased 5.8 percent for the nine months to $68,530,000$ lbs. Increases in export tonnage of the SPAM family of products were offset by larger reductions in fresh pork exports, as more picnics were used internally compared to fiscal 2005.

Although overall China continues to be in a loss position, the joint venture operations continue to make progress. Margins per hundredweight have improved 27.0 percent and 65.7 percent for the third quarter and nine months of fiscal 2006, respectively, compared to fiscal 2005. However, hog costs are expected to rise in the fourth quarter.

Dan s Prize, the Company s wholly owned marketer and seller of beef products, showed net sales up 3.0 percent for the third quarter, with tonnage volume down 1.4 percent from fiscal year 2005. However, operating profit increased 64.4 percent and 20.5 percent for the quarter and nine months respectively, compared to fiscal 2005. Operating profit improvement in the third quarter is driven by raw material declines, pricing discipline, and overhead cost controls. However, current conditions indicate a tightening in sub primal supplies which may increase costs during the fourth quarter.

## Unallocated Income and Expenses

The Company does not allocate investment income, interest expense, and interest income to its segments when measuring performance. The Company also retains various other income and unallocated expenses at corporate. These items are included in the segment table for the purpose of reconciling segment results to earnings before income taxes.

Net interest and investment income for the third quarter and nine months of fiscal 2006 was a net expense of $\$ 7,216$ and $\$ 15,959$, respectively, compared to a net expense of $\$ 4,425$ and $\$ 12,414$ for the comparable quarter and nine months of fiscal 2005. The higher expense primarily reflects lower returns on assets held by the Company s rabbi trust for supplemental executive retirement plans and deferred income plans of $\$ 3,377$ and $\$ 2,856$ for the third quarter and nine months, respectively. Write downs on the Company s investments of $\$ 687$ and $\$ 2,360$ were also taken during the fiscal 2006 third quarter and nine months. Interest expense of $\$ 6,555$ for the third quarter decreased from $\$ 7,323$ in the prior year. The Company anticipates that interest expense will remain at this level in future quarters and will approximate $\$ 25,000$ for fiscal 2006.
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General corporate expense for the third quarter and nine months was $\$ 2,410$ and $\$ 26,780$, respectively, compared to $\$ 5,539$ and $\$ 18,465$ for the comparable periods of fiscal 2005. Decreases primarily represent a $\$ 2,286$ gain recorded on the sale of a Company airplane during the third quarter, and favorable medical accrual adjustments of approximately $\$ 1,300$ and $\$ 1,800$ for the third quarter and nine month periods, respectively. Nine month results include $\$ 9,200$ of stock option expense recorded in the first quarter under SFAS 123(R), primarily due to executive retirements and expensing of new option grants to retirement eligible individuals, and approximately $\$ 12,200$ for expenses related to settlements on non-qualified plans resulting from executive retirements. Of these amounts, approximately $\$ 14,400$ was reflected in general corporate expense in the first nine months of fiscal 2006.

## Related Party Transactions

Following the end of the third quarter, the Company agreed to purchase 295,680 shares of common stock from the Hormel Foundation under its approved share repurchase program. The shares became available for sale upon termination of a Hormel family trust, and were purchased by the Company at $\$ 36.6867$ per share, which represents the average closing price for the three days of August 30, August 31, and September 1, 2006. Settlement took place on September 5, 2006.

There has been no other material change in the information regarding Related Party Transactions that was disclosed in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended October 30, 2005.

## LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Selected financial ratios at the end of the third quarter of fiscal years 2006 and 2005 are as follows:

|  | End of Quarter 3rd Quarter 2006 |  | 3rd Quarter 2005 <br> Restated* |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Liquidity Ratios |  |  |  |  |
| Current ratio | 1.9 |  | 1.8 |  |
| Receivables turnover | 18.9 |  | 19.4 |  |
| Days sales in receivables | 19.0 |  | 18.7 |  |
| Inventory turnover | 7.6 |  | 8.0 |  |
| Days sales in inventory | 49.8 |  | 49.6 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Leverage Ratio |  |  |  |  |
| Total debt to equity | 23.0 | \% | 24.2 | \% |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Ratios |  |  |  |  |
| Return on equity | 15.6 | \% | 15.5 | \% |
| Return on assets | 9.0 | \% | 8.6 | \% |

[^6]
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non-qualified pension plans triggered by executive retirements. In accordance with plan provisions, the final payments under these lump sum obligations were made during the third quarter of 2006. In the first quarter of fiscal 2006, the Company also prefunded its Voluntary Employee Benefit Account (VEBA) for 2006 with $\$ 57,000$. The VEBA is used to fund the Company s portion of employee benefit expenses during the year, and prefunding is done annually at the Company s discretion. A third quarter contribution of $\$ 17,650$ was also made to the Company s salaried defined benefit pension plan.

Cash flow from operating activities provides the Company with its principal source of liquidity. The Company does not anticipate a significant risk to cash flow from this source in the foreseeable future because it operates in a stable industry and has strong products across several product lines.

Cash used in investing activities decreased to $\$ 145,234$ from $\$ 309,250$ in the first nine months of fiscal 2005. The decrease is largely attributable to cash outflows associated with the acquisitions of Farmer John (\$197,531), Mexican Accent (\$47,994), Mark-Lynn (\$43,181), and Lloyd s $(\$ 50,457)$ made during the first nine months of fiscal 2005. In April 2006, the Company announced the acquisition of Valley Fresh, with a preliminary purchase price (including related costs) of $\$ 76,528$. The change in cash flows for acquired businesses is partially offset by changes in marketable securities balances held in the Company s available-for-sale portfolio. Fixed asset expenditures were $\$ 107,678$ for the first nine months of fiscal 2006 compared to $\$ 78,212$ in the comparable period of fiscal 2005 . The purchase of a new company airplane during the quarter contributed $\$ 10,000$ to the rise in fixed asset expenditures. The Company estimates its fiscal 2006 fixed asset expenditures to be approximately $\$ 130,000$.

Cash used in financing activities was $\$ 22,003$ in the first nine months of fiscal 2006 compared to $\$ 11,722$ in the same period of fiscal 2005. The variance in cash flow is due to higher net proceeds from short-term debt of $\$ 20,000$ in fiscal 2005 compared to the current year. In the second quarter of fiscal 2006, the Company borrowed $\$ 40,000$ to finance the acquisition of Valley Fresh using its existing line of short-term credit. The Company repaid this debt during the third quarter, but later borrowed an additional $\$ 40,000$ to meet working capital requirements. This debt is expected to be paid by the end of the fiscal year. The Company used $\$ 12,809$ for common stock repurchases in first nine months of fiscal 2006, compared to $\$ 22,592$ in the prior year. During the first nine months of fiscal 2006, the Company repurchased 390,800 shares of its common stock under the repurchase plan approved by the Company s Board of Directors in October 2002. For additional information pertaining to the Company s share repurchase plans or programs, see Part II, Item 2 Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

Cash dividends paid to the Company s shareholders also continues to be a significant financing activity for the Company. Dividends paid in the first nine months of 2006 were $\$ 56,515$ compared to $\$ 51,469$ in the comparable period of fiscal 2005 , reflecting a 7.7 percent increase in the dividend rate over 2005. The Company has paid dividends for 312 consecutive quarters and expects to continue doing so.

The Company is required, by certain covenants in its debt agreements, to maintain specified levels of financial ratios and balance sheet position. At the end of the third quarter of fiscal 2006, the Company was in compliance with all of these debt covenants.

## Contractual Obligations and Commercial Commitments

During the third quarter, the Company contracted to purchase a new corporate airplane that will be completed in fiscal 2007. During the third quarter, $\$ 10,000$ of the purchase price was paid. An additional $\$ 3,000$ will be paid during the first quarter of fiscal 2007, and a final payment of $\$ 780$ is due upon delivery.

There has been no other material change in the information regarding the Company s future contractual financial obligations that was disclosed in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended October 30, 2005.
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## Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

The Company currently provides a revocable standby letter of credit for $\$ 1,940$ to guarantee obligations that may arise under workers compensation claims of an affiliated party. This potential obligation is not reflected on the Company s consolidated statements of financial position.

## FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report contains forward-looking information within the meaning of the federal securities laws. The forward-looking information may include statements concerning the Company s outlook for the future as well as other statements of beliefs, future plans, strategies, or anticipated events and similar expressions concerning matters that are not historical facts. Forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed in, or implied by, the statements. Factors that may affect the operating results of the Company are discussed in Part II, Item 1A of this report on Form 10-Q.

The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (the Reform Act) provides a safe harbor for forward-looking statements to encourage companies to provide prospective information. The Company is filing this cautionary statement in connection with the Reform Act. When used in the Company s Annual Report to Stockholders, in filings by the Company with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the Commission), in the Company s press releases and in oral statements made by the Company s representatives, the words or phrases should result, believe, intend, plan, are expected to, targeted, will continue, will approximate, is anticipated, estimate, project, or similar expressions are intended forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Reform Act. Such statements are subject to certain risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from historical earnings and those anticipated or projected.

In connection with the safe harbor provisions of the Reform Act, the Company is identifying risk factors that could affect financial performance and cause the Company s actual results to differ materially from opinions or statements expressed with respect to future periods. The discussion of risk factors in Part II, Item 1A of this report on Form 10-Q contains certain cautionary statements regarding the Company s business, which should be considered by investors and others. Such risk factors should be considered in conjunction with any discussions of operations or results by the Company or its representatives, including any forward-looking discussion, as well as comments contained in press releases, presentations to securities analysts or investors, or other communications by the Company.

In making these statements, the Company is not undertaking, and specifically declines to undertake, any obligation to address or update each or any factor in future filings or communications regarding the Company s business or results, and is not undertaking to address how any of these factors may have caused changes to discussions or information contained in previous filings or communications. Though the Company has attempted to list comprehensively these important cautionary risk factors, the Company wishes to caution investors and others that other factors may in the future prove to be important in affecting the Company s business or results of operations.

The Company cautions readers not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements, which represent current views as of the date made. Forward-looking statements are inherently at risk to any changes in the national and worldwide economic environment, which could include, among other things, economic conditions, political developments, currency exchange rates, interest and inflation rates, accounting standards, taxes, and laws and regulations affecting the Company and its markets.
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## Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

(In Thousands of Dollars)

Hog Markets. The Company s earnings are affected by fluctuations in the live hog market. To minimize the impact on earnings, and to ensure a steady supply of quality hogs, the Company has entered into contracts with producers for the purchase of hogs at formula-based prices over periods of up to 15 years. Contract formulas are based on hog production costs, hog futures, hog primal values, or industry reported hog markets. Purchased hogs under contract accounted for 80 percent and 64 percent of the total hogs purchased by the Company through the first nine months of fiscal 2006 and 2005, respectively. The Company has been actively converting to market-based formulas in order to better match input costs with customer pricing. Therefore, a hypothetical 10 percent change in the cash market would have had an immaterial effect on the Company s results of operations.

Certain procurement contracts allow for future hog deliveries (firm commitments) to be forward priced. The Company generally hedges these firm commitments by purchasing hog futures contracts. These futures contracts are designated and accounted for as fair value hedges. The change in the market value of such futures contracts has historically been, and is expected to continue to be, highly effective at offsetting changes in price movements of the hedged item. Changes in the fair value of the futures contracts, along with the gain or loss on the firm commitment, are marked-to-market through earnings and are recorded on the statement of financial position as a current asset and liability, respectively. The fair value of the Company s open futures contracts as of July 30, 2006, was $\$(1,326)$.

The Company measures its market risk exposure on its hog futures contracts using a sensitivity analysis, which considers a hypothetical 10 percent change in market prices. A 10 percent increase in market prices would have negatively impacted the fair value of the Company s July 30 , 2006, open contracts by $\$ 3,735$, which in turn would have lowered the Company s cost of purchased hogs by a similar amount.

Live Production. The Company contracts with turkey growers and also raises a portion of its live turkeys and hogs. The related production costs are subject to fluctuations in feed grain prices, and to a lesser extent, fuel costs. To reduce the Company s exposure to changes in grain prices, the Company utilizes a hedge program to offset the fluctuation in the Company s future direct grain purchases. This program utilizes corn and soybean meal futures, and these contracts are accounted for under cash flow hedge accounting. The open contracts are reported at their fair value of $\$(637)$, before tax, on the statement of financial position as of July 30, 2006.

The Company measures its market risk exposure on its grain futures contracts using a sensitivity analysis, which considers a hypothetical 10 percent change in the market prices for grain. A 10 percent decrease in the market price for grain would have negatively impacted the fair value of the Company s July 30 , 2006, open grain contracts by $\$(1,898)$, which in turn would have lowered the Company s cost on purchased grain by a similar amount.

Natural Gas. Production costs at the Company s plants and feed mills are also subject to fluctuations in fuel costs. To reduce the Company s exposure to changes in natural gas prices, the Company utilizes a hedge program to offset the fluctuation in the Company s future natural gas purchases. This program utilizes natural gas swaps, and these contracts are accounted for under cash flow hedge accounting. The open contracts are reported at their fair value of $\$(152)$, before tax, on the statement of financial position as of July 30, 2006.

The Company measures its market risk exposure on its natural gas contracts using a sensitivity analysis, which considers a hypothetical 10 percent change in the market prices for natural gas. A 10 percent decrease in the market price for natural gas would have negatively impacted the fair value of the Company s July 30 , 2006, open natural gas contracts by $\$ 3,914$, which in turn would have lowered the Company s cost on natural gas purchases by a similar amount.
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Long-Term Debt. A principal market risk affecting the Company is the exposure to changes in interest rates on the Company s fixed-rate, long-term debt. Market risk for fixed-rate, long-term debt is estimated as the potential increase in fair value, resulting from a hypothetical 10 percent decrease in interest rates, and amounts to approximately $\$ 9,703$. The fair values of the Company s long-term debt were estimated using discounted future cash flows based on the Company $s$ incremental borrowing rates for similar types of borrowing arrangements.

International. While the Company does have international operations and operates in international markets, it considers its market risk in such activities to be immaterial.

## Item 4. Controls and Procedures

## (a) Disclosure Controls and Procedures.

As of the end of the period covered by this report (the Evaluation Date), the Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of its disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended [the Exchange Act]). In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives. Based on that evaluation, the Company s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of the Evaluation Date, the Company s disclosure controls and procedures were effective to provide reasonable assurance that information the Company is required to disclose in reports it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to the Company s management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.
(b) Internal Controls. During the third quarter of fiscal year 2006, there has been no change in the Company s internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company $s$ internal control over financial reporting.
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## PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

## Item 1. Legal Proceedings

The Company is a party to various legal proceedings related to the on-going operation of its business. On May 12, 2006, the Company received payment of a judgment arising out of antitrust litigation relating to a patent and a settlement of related attorneys fees. This litigation was commenced on February 23, 2001, when the Company s subsidiary Jennie-O Foods, Inc. (now known as Jennie-O Turkey Store, Inc.) and Unitherm Food Equipment Systems (Unitherm) filed a lawsuit in the Federal District Court of Oklahoma against Swift Eckrich, Inc. A decision in favor of the Company and Unitherm was affirmed by the United States Supreme Court. The gain on the litigation (net of related expenses) of $\$ 6,218$ pretax was recognized in the third quarter of fiscal 2006. The resolution of any other currently known matters is not expected to have a material effect on the Company s financial condition, results of operations, or liquidity.

## Item 1A. Risk Factors

## Fluctuations in commodity prices of pork, poultry and feed ingredients could harm the Company s earnings.

The Company s results of operations and financial condition are largely dependent upon the cost and supply of pork, poultry and feed grain as well as the selling prices for many of our products, which are determined by constantly changing market forces of supply and demand over which we have limited or no control.

The live pork industry has recently evolved to very large, vertically integrated, year-round confinement operations operating under long-term supply agreements. This has resulted in fewer hogs being available on the cash spot market. The decrease in the supply of live hogs on the cash spot market could severely diminish the utilization of slaughter facilities and increase the cost of the raw materials they produce. The Company uses long-term supply contracts to ensure a stable supply of raw materials while minimizing extreme fluctuations in costs over the long-term. This may result, in the short term, in costs for live hogs that are higher than the cash spot market depending on the relationship of the cash spot market to contract prices, and these higher costs could adversely affect our short-term financial results.

Jennie-O Turkey Store contracts with turkey growers to supplement the turkeys it raises to meet its raw material requirements for whole birds and processed turkey products. Jennie-O Turkey Store results are affected by the cost and supply of feed grains, which fluctuate due to climate conditions, production forecasts, and supply and demand conditions at local, regional, national, and worldwide levels. The Company attempts to manage some of its short-term exposure to fluctuations in feed prices by purchasing futures contracts.

## Outbreaks of disease among livestock and poultry flocks could harm the Company s revenues and operating margins.

The Company is subject to risks associated with the outbreak of disease in pork and beef livestock, and poultry flocks, including Bovine Spongiform Encephalopathy (BSE), pneumo-virus, and Avian Influenza (AI). The outbreak of disease could adversely affect the Company s supply of raw materials, increase the cost of production, and reduce operating margins. Additionally, the outbreak of disease may hinder the Company s ability to market and sell products both domestically and internationally. The Company has developed business continuity plans for various disease scenarios and will continue to update these plans as necessary.
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## Market demand for the Company sproducts may fluctuate due to competition from other producers.

The Company faces competition from producers of other meats and protein sources, especially beef, chicken, and fish. The bases on which the Company competes include:

- price;
- product quality;
- brand identification;
- breadth of product line; and
- customer service.

Demand for the Company s products also is affected by competitors promotional spending and the effectiveness of the Company s advertising and marketing programs. The Company may be unable to compete successfully on any or all of these bases in the future.

## The Company s operations are subject to the general risks of the food industry.

The food products manufacturing industry is subject to the risks posed by:

- food spoilage or food contamination;
- evolving consumer preferences and nutritional and health-related concerns;
- federal, state, and local food processing controls;
- consumer product liability claims;
- product tampering; and
- the possible unavailability and/or expense of liability insurance.

If one or more of these risks were to materialize, the Company $s$ revenues could decrease, costs of doing business could increase, and the Company s operating results could be adversely affected.

## Deterioration of economic conditions could harm the Company sbusiness.

The Company s business may be adversely affected by changes in national or global economic conditions, including inflation, interest rates, availability of capital markets, consumer spending rates, energy availability and costs (including fuel surcharges), and the effects of governmental initiatives to manage economic conditions. If a high pathogenic H5N1 strain of avian influenza developed in the United States, it may negatively impact the national economy and/or the demand for poultry products, and the Company s financial results could suffer. The Company has developed contingency plans to address infectious disease scenarios and the potential impact on its operations, and will continue to update these plans as necessary.

## The Company soperations are subject to the general risks associated with acquisitions.

The Company has made several acquisitions in recent years and regularly reviews opportunities for strategic growth through acquisitions. The success of these recent acquisitions and any future acquisitions by the Company will depend substantially on its ability to integrate the acquired operations successfully with existing operations. If the Company is unable to integrate new operations successfully, financial results and
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business reputation could suffer. Additional risks associated with acquisitions are the diversion of management s attention from other business concerns, the potential loss of key employees and customers of the acquired companies, the possible assumption of unknown liabilities, potential disputes with the sellers, and the inherent risks in entering markets or lines of business in which the Company has limited or no prior experience. In addition, acquisitions outside the U.S. may present unique challenges and increase the Company $s$ exposure to the risks associated with foreign operations.
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## The Company s operations are subject to the general risks of litigation.

The Company is involved on an ongoing basis in litigation arising in the ordinary course of business. Trends in litigation may include class actions involving consumers, shareholders or injured persons, and claims relating to labor, employment or environmental matters. Litigation trends and the outcome of litigation cannot be predicted with certainty and adverse litigation trends and outcomes could adversely affect the Company s financial results.

## Government regulation, present and future, exposes the Company to potential sanctions and compliance costs that could adversely affect the Company s business.

The Company s operations are subject to extensive regulation by the U.S. Department of Agriculture, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration and other state and local authorities that oversee food safety standards and the processing, packaging, storage, distribution, advertising, and labeling of the Company s products. The Company s manufacturing facilities and products are subject to constant inspection by federal, state, and local authorities. Claims or enforcement proceedings could be brought against the Company in the future. Additionally, the Company is subject to new or modified laws, regulations, and accounting standards. The Company s failure or inability to comply with such requirements could subject the Company to civil remedies, including fines, injunctions, recalls or seizures, as well as potential criminal sanctions.

## The Company is subject to stringent environmental regulation and potentially subject to environmental litigation, proceedings and investigations.

The Company s past and present business operations and ownership and operation of real property are subject to extensive and increasingly stringent federal, state, and local environmental laws and regulations pertaining to the discharge of materials into the environment and the handling and disposition of wastes (including solid and hazardous wastes) or otherwise relating to protection of the environment. Compliance with these laws and regulations, and the ability to comply with any modifications to these laws and regulations, is material to the Company s business. New matters or sites may be identified in the future that will require additional investigation, assessment, or expenditures. In addition, some of the Company s facilities have been in operation for many years and, over time, the Company and other prior operators of these facilities may have generated and disposed of wastes that now may be considered hazardous. Future discovery of contamination of property underlying or in the vicinity of the Company s present or former properties or manufacturing facilities and/or waste disposal sites could require the Company to incur additional expenses. The occurrence of any of these events, the implementation of new laws and regulations, or stricter interpretation of existing laws or regulations, could adversely affect the Company s financial results.

## The Company sforeign operations pose additional risks to the Company sbusiness.

The Company operates its business and markets its products internationally. The Company s foreign operations are subject to the risks described above, as well as risks related to fluctuations in currency values, foreign currency exchange controls, compliance with foreign laws, and other economic or political uncertainties. International sales are subject to risks related to general economic conditions, imposition of tariffs, quotas, trade barriers and other restrictions, enforcement of remedies in foreign jurisdictions and compliance with applicable foreign laws, and other economic and political uncertainties. All of these risks could result in increased costs or decreased revenues, which could adversely affect the Company s financial results.

## Deterioration of labor relations or increases in labor costs could harm the Company sbusiness.

The Company has approximately 17,600 employees, of which approximately 5,670 are represented by labor unions, principally the United Food and Commercial Workers Union. A significant increase in labor costs or a deterioration of labor relations at any of the Company s facilities that results in work slowdowns or stoppages could harm the Company s financial results. Union contracts at the Company s Rochelle, Illinois and Stockton, California plants expire during fiscal 2006, covering 533 and 92 employees, respectively. During the second quarter, a new four year union contract was negotiated with employees at the Rochelle facility. Following the end of the third quarter, a new three year union contract was also negotiated with employees at the Stockton facility.

## Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities in the Third Quarter of Fiscal 2006

(1) Shares repurchased during the quarter, other than through publicly announced plans or programs, represent purchases pursuant to the Company s employee awards program.
(2) On October 2, 2002, the Company announced that its Board of Directors had authorized the Company to repurchase up to $10,000,000$ shares of common stock with no expiration date.

## Item 6. Exhibits

31.1 Certification Required Under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2 Certification Required Under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1 Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
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Date: September 8, 2006
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Vice President and Controller
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    Cash, cash equivalents, and short-term marketable securities were $\$ 139,299$ at the end of the third quarter of fiscal year 2006 compared to $\$ 134,893$ at the end of the comparable fiscal 2005 period.

    Cash provided by operating activities was $\$ 168,380$ in the first nine months of fiscal 2006 compared to $\$ 275,984$ in the same period of fiscal 2005. Higher earnings for the first nine months of fiscal 2006 were offset by changes in working capital and employee benefit plan payments and funding. During the first nine months of fiscal 2006, the Company made payments of approximately $\$ 36,300$ to settle lump sum payment obligations under

