ENTERCOM COMMUNICATIONS CORP Form 10-K March 15, 2010 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

X

0

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission File Number: 001-14461

Entercom Communications Corp.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Pennsylvania

23-1701044

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

401 City Avenue, Suite 809

Bala Cynwyd, Pennsylvania 19004

(Address of principal executive offices and zip code)

(610) 660-5610

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(b) OF THE ACT:

Title of each classClass A Common Stock, par value \$.01 per share

Name of exchange on which registered New York Stock Exchange

SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(g) OF THE ACT:

NONE

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes o No x

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act. Yes o No x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (Section 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). **Yes o No o**

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. **X**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer.

Large accelerated filer 0

Accelerated filer 0

Non-accelerated filer X

Smaller reporting company $\mathbf{0}$

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No x

As of February 28, 2010, the aggregate market value of the Class A common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant was \$36,873,196 based on the June 30, 2009 closing price of \$1.53 on the New York Stock Exchange on such date.

Class A common stock, \$0.01 par value 29,675,448 Shares Outstanding as of February 28, 2010 (Class A Shares Outstanding includes 1,575,891 unvested and vested but deferred restricted stock units).

Class B common stock, \$0.01 par value 7,457,532 Shares Outstanding as of February 28, 2010.

Table of Contents

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Certain information in the registrant s Definitive Proxy Statement for its 2010 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, pursuant to Regulation 14A, is incorporated by reference in Part III of this report, which will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission no later than April 30, 2010.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
<u>PART I</u>		
Item 1.	Business	1
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	7
Item 1B.	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	12
Item 2.	<u>Properties</u>	12
Item 3.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	13
Item 4.	[Reserved]	13
PART II		
<u>Item 5.</u>	Market for Registrant s Common Equity, Related Shareholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	13
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data	18
Item 7.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	21
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	43
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	44
Item 9. Item 9A.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure Controls and Procedures	44 44
Item 9B.	Other Information	46
item /b.	<u>Other information</u>	10
PART III		
<u>Item 10.</u>	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	46
Item 11.	Executive Compensation	46
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Shareholder Matters	46
<u>Item 13.</u>	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence	46
<u>Item 14.</u>	Principal Accounting Fees and Services	46
PART IV		
<u>Item 15.</u>	Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules	47
Signatures		117
	ii	

Table of Contents

CERTAIN DEFINITIONS

Unless the context requires otherwise, all references in this report to Entercom, we, us, our and similar terms refer to Entercom Communication Corp. and its consolidated subsidiaries, which would include any variable interest entities that are required to be consolidated under accounting guidance.

NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report contains, in addition to historical information, statements by us with regard to our expectations as to financial results and other aspects of our business that involve risks and uncertainties and may constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

Forward-looking statements, including certain pro forma information, are presented for illustrative purposes only and reflect our current expectations concerning future results and events. All statements other than statements of historical fact are forward-looking statements for purposes of federal and state securities laws including, without limitation, any projections of earnings, revenues or other financial items; any statements of the plans, strategies and objectives of management for future operations; any statements concerning proposed new services or developments; any statements regarding future economic conditions or performance; any statements of belief; and any statements of assumptions underlying any of the foregoing.

You can identify forward-looking statements by our use of words such as anticipates, believes, continues, expects, intends, likely, may, opportunity, plans, potential, project, will and similar expressions which identify forward-looking statements, whether in the negative or the affirmative. We cannot guarantee that we actually will achieve these plans, intentions or expectations. These forward-looking statements are subject to risks, uncertainties and other factors, some of which are beyond our control, which could cause actual results to differ materially from those forecasted or anticipated in such forward-looking statements. These risks, uncertainties and factors include, but are not limited to, the factors described in Part I, Item 1A, Risk Factors.

The pro forma information reflects adjustments and is presented for comparative purposes only and does not purport to be indicative of what has occurred or indicative of future operating results or financial position.

You should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which reflect our view only as of the date of this report. We undertake no obligation to update these statements or publicly release the result of any revision(s) to these statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this report or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

Tabl	le of	Contents

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

We are one of the five largest radio broadcasting companies in the United States with a nationwide portfolio in excess of 100 stations in 23 markets, including San Francisco, Boston, Seattle, Denver, Portland, Sacramento and Kansas City. We were organized in 1968 as a Pennsylvania corporation.

Our Strategy

Our strategy focuses on providing compelling content in the communities we serve to enable us to offer our advertisers an effective marketing platform to reach a large targeted local audience. The principal components of our strategy are to: (i) build strongly-branded radio stations; (ii) develop market leading station clusters; (iii) focus on creating effective integrated marketing solutions for our customers that incorporate our audio, digital and experiential assets; and (iv) recruit, develop, motivate and retain superior employees.

Source Of Revenue

The primary source of revenues for our radio stations is the sale of advertising time to local, regional and national spot advertisers and national network advertisers. A station s local sales staff generates the majority of its local and regional advertising sales through direct solicitations of local advertising agencies and businesses. We retain a national representation firm to sell national spot commercial airtime on our stations to advertisers outside of our local markets. National spot radio advertising typically accounts for approximately 20% of a radio station s revenues. A growing source of revenues is from station websites and streaming audio which allow for enhanced audience interaction and participation as well as integrated advertising.

We believe that radio is an efficient and effective means of reaching specifically identified demographic groups. Our stations are typically classified by their format, such as news, talk, classic rock, adult contemporary, alternative, country and jazz, among others. A station s format enables it to target specific segments of listeners sharing certain demographics. Advertisers and stations use data published by audience measuring services to estimate how many people within particular geographical markets and demographics listen to specific stations. Our geographically and demographically diverse portfolio of radio stations allows us to deliver targeted messages to specific audiences for advertisers on a local, regional and national basis.

Competition

The radio broadcasting industry is highly competitive. Our stations compete for listeners and advertising revenue with other radio stations within their respective markets. In addition, our stations compete for audiences and advertising revenues with other media such as: broadcast and cable television, newspapers and magazines, outdoor advertising, direct mail, yellow pages, Internet, satellite radio, wireless media alternatives, cellular phones and other forms of advertisement.

The following are some of the factors that are important to a radio station s competitive position: (i) audience ratings; (ii) program content; (iii) management talent and expertise; (iv) sales talent and expertise; (v) audience characteristics; (vi) signal strength; and (vii) the number and characteristics of other radio stations and other advertising media in the market area. We work to improve our competitive position through promotional campaigns aimed at the demographic groups targeted by our stations and sales efforts designed to attract advertisers. Radio station operators are subject to the possibility of another station changing programming formats to compete directly for listeners and advertisers.

We believe owning multiple radio stations in a market allows us to provide our listeners with a more diverse programming selection and a more efficient means for our advertisers to reach those listeners. By owning multiple stations in a market, we are also able to operate our stations with more highly skilled local management teams and eliminate duplicative operating and overhead expenses.

1

m	. 1		c	\sim			
Tal	hl	e	Ωt	(:(าท	te	nts

Our Radio Stations

We operate with a nationwide portfolio of 23 markets, including San Francisco, Boston, Seattle, Denver, Portland, Sacramento, Kansas City, Indianapolis, Milwaukee, Austin, Norfolk, Buffalo, New Orleans, Memphis, Providence, Greensboro, Greenville/Spartanburg, Rochester, Madison, Wichita, Wilkes-Barre/Scranton, Springfield (MA) and Gainesville/Ocala.

Federal Regulation Of Radio Broadcasting

Overview. The radio broadcasting industry is subject to extensive and changing regulation of, among other things, ownership limitations, program content, advertising content, technical operations and business and employment practices. The ownership, operation and sale of radio stations are subject to the jurisdiction of the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) pursuant to the Communications Act of 1934, as amended (the Communications Act). Among other things, the FCC: (i) assigns frequency bands for broadcasting; (ii) determines the particular frequencies, locations, operating power, and other technical parameters of stations; (iii) issues, renews, revokes and modifies station licenses; (iv) determines whether to approve changes in ownership or control of station licenses; (v) regulates equipment used by radio stations; (vi) adopts and implements regulations and policies which directly affect the ownership, operation and business and employment practices of stations; and (vii) regulates certain aspects of other electronic media which compete with the broadcast industry.

The following is a brief summary of certain provisions of the Communications Act and of certain specific FCC regulations and policies. This summary is not a comprehensive listing of all of the regulations and policies affecting radio stations. For further information concerning the nature and extent of federal regulation of radio stations, you should refer to the Communications Act, FCC rules and FCC public notices and rulings.

FCC Licenses. The operation of a radio broadcast station requires a license from the FCC. Certain of our subsidiaries hold the FCC licenses for our stations. The number of radio stations that can operate in a given area or market is limited by the number of AM frequencies permitted to serve a given area and the number of FM frequencies allotted by the FCC to communities in an area or market, based upon considerations of interference to and from other operating or authorized stations. The FCC s multiple ownership rules further limit the number of stations serving the same area that may be owned or controlled by a single individual or entity.

There is no national limit on the number of radio stations that a single entity may own. Radio station ownership is regulated by rules applicable to the number of stations that may be owned in common in an individual local radio market. The FCC ownership rules define a local radio market as comprising all radio stations, both commercial and non-commercial, which are included within a designated Arbitron market or, for stations located outside of Arbitron markets, all stations which have certain overlapping signal contours. Digital radio channels authorized for AM and FM stations do not count as separate stations for purposes of the ownership limitations. The radio ownership rules limit the number of radio stations that can be owned by a single individual or entity in a local radio market as follows:

• in markets with 45 or more commercial and non-commercial radio stations, ownership is limited to eight commercial stations, no more than five of which can be in the same service (that is, AM or FM);

- in markets with 30 to 44 commercial and non-commercial radio stations, ownership is limited to seven commercial stations, no more than four of which can be in the same service;
- in markets with 15 to 29 commercial and non-commercial radio stations, ownership is limited to six commercial stations, no more than four of which can be in the same service; and
- in markets with 14 or fewer commercial and non-commercial radio stations, ownership is limited to the fewer of five commercial stations or not more than 50% of stations in the market, and no more than three of the stations can be in the same service.

Since the number of stations in a local market may fluctuate from time to time, the number of stations that can be owned by a single individual or entity in a given market can vary over time. Once the FCC approves the ownership of a cluster of stations in a market, that owner may continue to hold those stations under the

Table of Contents

grandfathering policies, despite a decrease in the number of stations in the market. Market clusters, such as our stations in Greenville, Kansas City and Wilkes-Barre/Scranton, which had been licensed under the former ownership rules but may exceed the ownership limits when applying the Arbitron-based market standard of the present rule, are considered to be grandfathered. If, at the time of a proposed future transaction, a cluster does not comply with the multiple ownership limitations based upon the number of stations then present in the market, the entire cluster cannot be transferred intact to a single party unless the purchaser qualifies as an eligible entity under specified small business standards and meets certain control tests, or the purchaser promises to file within 12 months following consummation an application to assign the excess stations in the market to an eligible entity or to an irrevocable divestiture trust for ultimate assignment of such stations to an eligible entity.

Classifications. AM stations are classified as Class A, B, C or D depending on the type of channel and size and nature of the area they are designed to serve. Class A stations operate on an unlimited time basis and are designed to render primary and secondary service over an extended area. Class B stations operate on an unlimited time basis and are designed to render service only over a primary service area. Class C stations operate on a local channel and are designed to render service only over a primary service area that may be reduced as a consequence of interference. Class D stations operate either during daytime hours only, during limited times only or on an unlimited time basis with low nighttime power.

The class of an FM station determines the minimum and maximum facilities requirements. Some FM class designations depend upon the geographic zone in which the transmitter site of the FM station is located. In general, commercial FM stations are classified in order of increasing maximum power and antenna height, as follows: Class A, B1, C3, B, C2, C1, C0 and C. Under certain circumstances, Class C FM stations that do not meet the minimum antenna height requirements are subject to an involuntary downgrade to Class C0 to correspond to the existing operating characteristics of the station.

Multiple Ownership Rules. The Communications Act imposes specific limits on the number of commercial radio stations an individual or entity can own in a single market. FCC rules and regulations implement these limitations. On June 2, 2003, the FCC adopted new ownership rules following a comprehensive review of its ownership regulations. The ownership rules adopted in 2003 included: (i) new cross-media limits that in certain markets eliminated the newspaper-broadcast cross-ownership ban and altered the television-radio cross-ownership limitations; and (ii) regulations that revised the manner in which the radio numeric ownership limitations were to be applied, substituting where available geographic markets as determined by Arbitron in place of the former standard and, in areas outside of Arbitron markets, the relevant radio market for purposes of the rules remained determined by overlap of specified signal contours. The former standard was based on certain overlapping signal contours.

The 2003 ownership rules were appealed to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit, which imposed a stay of the rules with the exception that the court allowed: (a) the use of Arbitron markets to define local radio markets where available; (b) the inclusion of non-commercial radio stations in determining the number of stations in a radio market; (c) the attribution of joint sales agreements with in-market stations; and (d) the limitations on the transfers of non-compliant ownership clusters. On December 18, 2007, the FCC adopted an order that modified only the newspaper/broadcast cross-ownership rule to adopt a rebuttable presumption permitting the cross-ownership of one newspaper and one television or radio station in the top 20 television markets under certain circumstances, and establishing a waiver procedure applicable to such combinations in smaller markets. The FCC declined to make changes to any other broadcast ownership rules, and retained the rules as then in effect. This decision was also appealed to the Third Circuit Court of Appeals. The FCC has advised the Court that the December 2007 decision may not represent the majority view of the current Commission, and asked the Court to defer further proceedings contemplated before the FCC. The Court has recently requested that the FCC show cause why the stay of the newspaper/broadcast cross-ownership rule, as adopted in December 2007, should not be lifted and the appeals heard. At this time, the FCC s decision remains subject to both administrative and judicial appeals.

As presently applied, the FCC s newspaper-broadcast cross-ownership rules impose limitations on the circumstances under which the same party may own a broadcast station and a daily newspaper in the same geographic market, as described above. The FCC s radio-television cross-ownership rules limit the number of radio stations that a local owner of television stations may hold. We own no television stations or daily newspapers, but, to the extent these limitations continue to be enforced, these cross-media rules may limit the prospective buyers in the market of any stations we may wish to sell.

Ownership Attribution. In the application of the ownership limitations, the FCC generally only considers attributable ownership interests. Attributable interests generally include: (i) equity and debt interests which when combined exceed 33% of a licensee s or other media s total asset value, if the interest holder supplies

Table of Contents

more than 15% of a station s total weekly programming or has an attributable interest in any same-market media (television, radio, cable or newspaper), with a higher threshold in the case of investments in certain eligible entities acquiring broadcast stations; (ii) a 5% or greater direct or indirect voting stock interest, including certain interests held in trust, unless the holder is a qualified passive investor, in which case the threshold is a 20% or greater voting stock interest; (iii) any equity interest in a limited liability company or a partnership, including a limited partnership, unless properly insulated from management activities; and (iv) any position as an officer or director of a licensee or of its direct or indirect parent.

The FCC also considers the provision by the owner of one radio station of more than 15% of hours of weekly programming or the sale of more than 15% of the weekly advertising of another radio station serving substantially the same area under agreements commonly referred to as time brokerage or joint sales agreements, or otherwise, to represent an attributable interest of the party providing the programming or selling the advertising even though the ownership of the station broadcasting the programming or the advertising is distinct from that of the provider. In instances, as in our case, where there is a single majority voting shareholder, voting stock interests held by other owners in excess of the five percent standard described above are considered to be non-attributable. This exemption remains in effect, but has been under review by the FCC for a number of years.

Alien Ownership Rules. The Communications Act prohibits the issuance to or holding of broadcast licenses by foreign governments or aliens, non-U.S. citizens, whether individuals or entities, including any interest in a corporation which holds a broadcast license if more than 20% of the capital stock is owned or voted by aliens. In addition, the FCC may prohibit any corporation from holding a broadcast license if the corporation is directly or indirectly controlled by any other corporation of which more than 25% of the capital stock is owned of record or voted by aliens if the FCC finds that the prohibition is in the public interest. Our articles of incorporation prohibit the ownership, voting and transfer of our capital stock in violation of the FCC restrictions, and prohibit the issuance of capital stock or the voting rights such capital stock represents to or for the account of aliens or corporations otherwise subject to control by aliens in excess of the FCC limits.

License Renewal. The licenses issued by the FCC for radio stations are renewable authorizations that are ordinarily granted for an eight year term, which is standardized for all stations in a particular state. A station may continue to operate beyond the expiration date of its license if a timely filed license renewal application is pending. During the periods when a renewal application is pending, informal objections to and petitions to deny the renewal application can be filed by interested parties, including members of the public, on a variety of grounds. The FCC is required to renew a broadcast station license if the FCC finds that the station has served the public interest, convenience and necessity; there have been no serious violations by the licensee of the Communications Act or the FCC s rules and regulations; and there have been no other violations by the licensee of the Communications Act or the FCC s rules and regulations that, taken together, constitute a pattern of abuse. In a pending rule-making proceeding, the FCC has sought comments on the adoption of processing guidelines for renewal applications regarding a station s locally-oriented programming performance. The effect of whether and to what extent any such requirements are ultimately adopted and become effective cannot currently be determined.

If a challenge is filed against a renewal application, and, as a result of an evidentiary hearing, the FCC determines that the licensee has failed to meet certain fundamental requirements and that no mitigating factors justify the imposition of a lesser sanction, the FCC may deny a license renewal application. Historically, FCC licenses have generally been renewed. A petition to deny the renewal applications of all of our Sacramento radio stations has been filed and is pending, and the renewal applications of certain other stations remain pending due to listener complaints. Subject to the resolution of open FCC inquiries, we have no reason to believe that our licenses will not continue to be renewed in the ordinary course, although there can be no assurance to that effect. The non-renewal of one or more of our licenses could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Transfer Or Assignment Of Licenses. The Communications Act prohibits the assignment of broadcast licenses or the transfer of control of a broadcast licensee without the prior approval of the FCC. In determining whether to grant such approval, the FCC considers a number of factors

pertaining to the existing licensee and the proposed licensee, including:

- compliance with the various rules limiting common ownership of media properties in a given market;
- the character of the proposed licensee; and

4

Table of Contents

• compliance with the Communications Act s limitations on alien ownership as well as compliance with other FCC regulations and policies.

To obtain FCC consent for the assignment or transfer of control of a broadcast license, appropriate applications must be filed with the FCC. Interested parties may file objections or petitions to deny such applications. When evaluating an assignment or transfer application, the FCC is prohibited from considering whether the public interest might be served by assignment or transfer of the broadcast license to any party other than the one specified in the application. No assignment or transfer application will be granted for any station by the FCC while a renewal application is pending for the station. Once an assignment or transfer application is granted, interested parties have 30 days following public notice of the grant to seek reconsideration of that grant. The FCC usually has an additional ten days within which to set aside the grant on its own motion. The Communications Act permits certain court appeals of a contested grant as well.

Programming And Operation. The Communications Act requires broadcasters to serve the public interest. A licensee is required to present programming that is responsive to issues in the station s community of license and to maintain records demonstrating this responsiveness. The FCC regulates, among other things, political advertising; sponsorship identification; the advertisement of contests and lotteries; the conduct of station-run contests; obscene, indecent and profane broadcasts; certain employment practices; and certain technical operation requirements, including limits on human exposure to radio-frequency radiation. The FCC considers complaints from listeners concerning a station s public service programming, employment practices, or other operational issues when processing a renewal application filed by a station, but the FCC may consider complaints at any time and may impose fines or take other action for violations of the FCC s rules separate from its action on a renewal application.

In recent years, the FCC has received an increasing number of complaints alleging that broadcast stations have carried indecent programming at times when children may be in the audience, in violation of federal criminal law and the FCC s policies which prohibit programming that is deemed to be indecent or profane under FCC decisions and is broadcast during the period between 6:00 am and 10:00 pm; the period between 10:00 pm through 6:00 am is considered to be a safe harbor period and less stringent standards apply to programming carried then. FCC regulations prohibit the broadcast of obscene material at any time. The FCC has greatly intensified its enforcement activities with respect to programming which it considers indecent or profane, including: (i) adopting rules to implement the statutory increase in the maximum fine which may be assessed for the broadcast of indecent or profane programming to \$325,000 for a single violation, up to a maximum of \$3,000,000 for a continuing violation; (ii) imposing fines on a per utterance basis instead of the imposition of a single fine for an entire program; and (iii) repeatedly warning broadcasters that future—serious—violations may result in the commencement of license revocation proceedings. There are a number of outstanding indecency proceedings in which we are defending our stations—conduct, and there may be other complaints of this nature which have been submitted to the FCC of which we have not yet been notified.

In April 2009, the U.S. Supreme Court reversed a decision of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit involving certain aspects of the FCC s indecency rules, upholding the FCC s policies under standards of administrative law, but remanding the case to the Second Circuit for the development of a full record on the constitutional challenge to the rules. In a case involving a separate aspect of the FCC s indecency rules, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit reversed the FCC s finding of indecency and, after the FCC sought review, the U.S. Supreme Court summarily remanded that decision without opinion to the Third Circuit for reconsideration in light of the reversal and remand of the Second Circuit case. Both cases were argued in 2010. For further discussion, please refer to Part I, Item 3, Legal Proceedings, and to the risk factors described in Part I, Item 1A, Risk Factors.

The Communications Act and the FCC rules require that, when it is not evident from the content that any money, goods, services or other valuable consideration has been paid or promised to a station or an employee for the broadcast of certain programming, appropriate sponsorship identification announcement(s) must be given. Following inquiries initiated by the FCC into sponsorship identification practices at several media companies, including us, we and other media companies entered into consent decrees pursuant to which we have adopted certain policies

and procedures regarding our relationships with record labels and artists concerning the broadcast of music on our stations. We admitted no violations of any FCC rules in connection with the investigation, and the FCC found none. We have also entered into a consent judgment terminating a lawsuit brought by the New York Attorney General in which we agreed to adopt a number of business reforms and practices in the future and to make a payment to a non-profit organization to support music education and appreciation. We admitted no liability in this action, and the court found none. The FCC has under consideration rule-making proceedings concerning other sponsorship

Table of Contents

identification issues, such as product placement. We cannot determine if any new regulations will ultimately be adopted, and if adopted, what effect such regulations may have on our operations.

The FCC rules prohibit employment discrimination by broadcast stations on the basis of race, religion, color, national origin and gender. These rules require broadcasters generally to: (i) refrain from discrimination in hiring and promotion; (ii) widely disseminate information about all full-time job openings to all segments of the community to ensure that all qualified applicants have sufficient opportunity to apply for the job; (iii) send job vacancy announcements to recruitment organizations and others in the community indicating an interest in all or some vacancies at the station; and (iv) implement a number of specific recruitment outreach efforts, such as job fairs, internship programs, and interaction with educational and community groups from among a menu of approaches itemized by the FCC. The applicability of these policies to part-time employment opportunities is the subject of a pending further rule-making proceeding. In addition, the FCC bars discrimination with regard to race or gender in station transactions and has other rules designed to enhance the diversification of station ownership.

The FCC has rules which concern the manner in which on-air contests conducted by a station are announced and conducted, requiring in general that the material rules and terms of the contest be broadcast periodically and that the contest be conducted substantially as announced. The FCC has a pending investigation into a contest at one of our stations (see Item 3, Legal Proceedings, for further discussion). We are cooperating in this investigation. In addition, a civil lawsuit arising from this incident has been resolved.

The FCC has adopted procedures that in general require the auction of broadcast spectrum in circumstances when two or more parties have applications for new radio facilities or when applications propose major changes that are mutually exclusive. Such procedures may limit our efforts to build new stations, or to modify or expand the broadcast signals of our existing stations.

Enforcement Authority. The FCC has the power to impose penalties for violations of its rules under the Communications Act, including the imposition of monetary fines, the issuance of short-term licenses, the imposition of a condition on the renewal of a license, the denial of authority to acquire new stations, and the revocation of operating authority. The maximum fine for a single violation of the FCC s rules (other than indecency rules) is currently \$37,500. The maximum fine for a violation of the FCC s indecency rules is \$325,000 for each violation or each day of a continuing violation, with a maximum fine of up to \$3,000,000 for a continuing violation.

Proposed And Recent Changes. Congress, the FCC, and other federal agencies are considering or may in the future consider and adopt new laws, regulations and policies regarding a wide variety of matters that could: (1) affect, directly or indirectly, the operation, ownership and profitability of our radio stations; (2) result in the loss of audience share and advertising revenues for our radio stations; and (3) affect our ability to acquire additional radio stations or to finance those acquisitions. We cannot predict what other matters may be proposed or considered by the FCC or Congress, and we are unable to determine what effect, if any, the adoption of any such restrictions or limitations may have on our operations.

Federal Antitrust Laws. The federal agencies responsible for enforcing the federal antitrust laws, the Federal Trade Commission and the Department of Justice, may investigate certain acquisitions. For an acquisition meeting certain size thresholds, the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976 requires the parties to file Notification and Report Forms with the Federal Trade Commission and the Department of Justice and to observe specified waiting-period requirements before consummating the acquisition.

HD Radio®

The Federal Communications Commission selected In-Band On-Channel (IBOC) as the exclusive technology for introduction of terrestrial digital operations by AM and FM radio stations. The technology, developed by iBiquity Digital Corporation, is also known as HD Radio®. We have a minority equity interest in iBiquity. We currently utilize HD Radio® digital technology on most of our FM stations. We are also a founding member of the HD Digital Radio Alliance Association, which was formed to promote and develop HD Radio® and its digital multicast operations. The advantages of digital audio broadcasting over traditional analog broadcasting technology include improved sound quality, additional channels and the ability to offer a greater variety of auxiliary services. HD Radio® technology permits a station to transmit radio programming in both analog and digital formats.

Table of Contents
Employees
As of March 1, 2010, we had a staff of approximately 1,560 full-time employees and 750 part-time employees. With respect to certain of our stations in our Kansas City and San Francisco markets, we are a party to collective bargaining agreements with the American Federation of Television and Radio Artists. Approximately 19 employees are represented by these collective bargaining agreements. We believe that our relations with our employees are good.
Corporate Governance
Code Of Business Conduct And Ethics. We have adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics that applies to each of our employees including our principal executive officers and senior members of our finance department. Our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics can be found on the Investors tab / Governance sub-page of our website located at www.entercom.com.
Board Committee Charters. Each of our Audit Committee, Compensation Committee and Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee has a committee charter as required by the rules of the New York Stock Exchange. These committee charters can be found on the Investors tab / Governance sub-page of our website located at www.entercom.com.
Corporate Governance Guidelines. New York Stock Exchange rules require our Board of Directors to establish certain Corporate Governance Guidelines. These guidelines can be found on the Investors tab / Governance sub-page of our website located at www.entercom.com.
Environmental Compliance
As the owner, lessee or operator of various real properties and facilities, we are subject to various federal, state and local environmental laws and regulations. Historically, compliance with these laws and regulations has not had a material adverse effect on our business. There can be no assurance, however, that compliance with existing or new environmental laws and regulations will not require us to make significant expenditures of funds.
Seasonality
Seasonal revenue fluctuations are common in the radio broadcasting industry and are due primarily to fluctuations in advertising expenditures. Our revenues are typically lowest in the first calendar quarter.

Internet Address And Internet Access To Periodic And Current Reports

You can find more information about us at our Internet website located at www.entercom.com. Our Annual Report on Form 10-K, our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, our Current Reports on Form 8-K and any amendments to those reports are available free of charge through our Internet website as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC). We will also provide a copy of our annual report on Form 10-K upon any written request.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Many statements contained in this report are forward-looking in nature (see Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements at the beginning of this Form 10-K). These statements are based on current plans, intentions or expectations, and actual results could differ materially as we cannot guarantee that we will achieve these plans, intentions or expectations. Among the factors that could cause actual results to differ are the following:

The Global Economic Crisis Has Affected Our Business And We Cannot Predict Its Future Impact.

Recent economic conditions had a negative impact on our results of operations during 2009 due to reduced demand in the advertising sector. This negative trend appears to be reversing in the first quarter of 2010, primarily due to the strengthening of advertiser demand. As the economy and the advertising environment rebound, we would expect to compare favorably to prior periods. If economic conditions worsen, a number of negative effects on our business could result, including a decrease in our net revenues and profitability and further impairment of our assets.

The capital and credit markets experienced unprecedented volatility and disruption in 2008 and 2009. If the economic crisis were to relapse, there can be no assurance that we will not experience an adverse effect on our ability to access capital, which may be material to our business, financial condition and results of operations. In addition,

Table of Contents

our ability to access the capital markets may be severely restricted at a time when we would like or need to do so, which could have an impact on our flexibility to react to changing economic and business conditions.

We Must Comply With The Covenants In Our Senior Secured Credit Facility, Which Restricts Our Operational Flexibility.

Our senior secured credit facility (the Bank Facility) as amended during March 2010 contains provisions that restrict and under certain circumstances limit our ability to borrow money, make acquisitions, investments or restricted payments including dividends, the repurchase of stock, swap or sell assets, or merge or consolidate with another company. We have pledged substantially all of our assets, including the stock or equity interests of our subsidiaries to secure the debt under our Bank Facility.

Our Bank Facility requires us to maintain compliance with specific financial covenants. Our ability to meet these financial covenants can be affected by operating performance or other events beyond our control, and we cannot be assured that we will meet these covenants. The recent economic crisis reduced demand for advertising in general, including advertising on our radio stations. Our ability to maintain compliance with our covenants will be highly dependent on our results of operations as the economy recovers from the economic downturn. Specifically, our Bank Facility as amended, requires us to comply with certain financial covenants which are defined terms within the agreement, including: (1) a maximum Consolidated Leverage Ratio of Consolidated Funded Indebtedness to Consolidated Operating Cash Flow that cannot exceed seven times in 2010 and which decreases quarterly in increments in 2011 to a ratio of six times; and (2) a minimum ratio of Consolidated Operating Cash Flow to Consolidated Interest Charges of two times.

Failure to comply with our financial covenants or other terms of our Bank Facility and the failure to negotiate and obtain any required relief from our lenders could result in the acceleration of the maturity of our outstanding bank debt and our lenders could proceed against our assets, including the equity interests of our subsidiaries. Under these circumstances, the acceleration of our debt could have a material adverse effect on our business.

We Have Substantial Indebtedness Which Could Have Important Consequences.

Historically, we have carried significant amounts of debt. We have outstanding indebtedness to our bank and bond holders of \$737.2 million (including a \$1.5 million letter of credit) as of December 31, 2009 that could have an impact on us. For example, these obligations:

- increase our vulnerability in an economic downturn, limit our ability to withstand competitive pressures and reduce our flexibility in responding to changing business and economic conditions;
- impair our ability to obtain additional financing for working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions and general corporate or other purposes;

- require us to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to debt service, thereby reducing the availability of cash flow for other purposes; and
- limit or prohibit our ability to pay dividends and make other distributions.

The undrawn amount of the Bank Facility's revolving credit (Revolver) was \$289.5 million as of December 31, 2009. The amount of the Revolver available to us is a function of covenant compliance at the time of borrowing. Based on our financial covenant analysis as of December 31, 2009, we would be limited to borrowings significantly less than the undrawn limit unless such borrowings were used to repay indebtedness or for transactions that increase cash flow for purposes of covenant calculation.

Under our Bank Facility, as amended, we have restrictions that under certain circumstances, limit our ability to borrow money, make acquisitions, investments or restricted payments including dividends, the repurchase of stock, swap or the sale of assets, or the merger or consolidation with another company. If our Consolidated Leverage Ratio exceeds six times, then we have further restrictions on the use of the Revolver, including but not limited to, the elimination of any funding for dividends and share repurchases. In addition to cash flow from operations, we plan to use the Revolver to fund the amortization of the term loan (Term Loan), which was \$370.0 million outstanding as of December 31, 2009.

Table of Contents

Notwithstanding the foregoing, we may from time-to-time seek to amend our existing Bank Facility or obtain other funding or additional financing, which may result in higher interest rates. Amending our Bank Facility or obtaining other funding or additional financing prior to the expiration of the current agreement will be essential as the Revolver will be due as a balloon payment upon the expiration of the Bank Facility on June 30, 2012. Failure to refinance upon maturity could result in default and have a material adverse impact on our Company.

We Face Many Unpredictable Business Risks, Both General And Specific To The Radio Broadcasting Industry, Which Could Have A Material Adverse Effect On Our Future Operations.

Our future operations are subject to many business risks, including those risks that specifically influence the radio broadcasting industry, which could have a material adverse effect on our business including:

- economic conditions, both generally and relative to the radio broadcasting industry;
- shifts in population, demographics or audience tastes;
- the level of competition for advertising revenues with other radio stations and other advertising- supported media;
- technological changes and innovations;
- new laws and regulations;
- the imposition by law or regulation of new or increased fees on radio broadcasting and related activities; and
- changes in governmental regulations and policies, and actions of federal regulatory bodies, including the FCC, the Department of Justice and the Federal Trade Commission.

Given the inherent unpredictability of these variables, we cannot predict with any degree of certainty, what effect, if any, these variables will have on our future operations. Generally, advertising tends to decline during economic recession or downturn, as evidenced by the negative impact that the current economic downturn has had on radio advertising. Our advertising revenue is likely to be adversely affected by a

recession or downturn in the United States economy, the economy of an individual geographic market in which we own or operate radio stations, or other events or circumstances that adversely affect advertising activity.

Our Radio Stations May Be Adversely Affected By Competition For Advertising Revenues.

Our radio stations are in a highly competitive business. Our radio stations compete for audiences and advertising revenues within their respective markets directly with other radio stations, as well as with other media, such as newspapers and magazines, Internet, broadcast and cable television, outdoor advertising and direct mail. Audience ratings and market shares are subject to change, and any change in a particular market could have a material adverse effect on the revenue of our stations located in that market. While we already compete in some of our markets with other stations with similar programming formats, if another existing or new radio station in a market were to convert its programming format to a format similar to one of our stations or if an existing competitor were to strengthen its operations, our stations could suffer a reduction in ratings and/or advertising revenue and could incur increased promotional and other expenses. We cannot be assured that any of our stations will be able to maintain or increase their current audience ratings and advertising revenues.

We Must Respond To The Increased Competition For Audio Distribution And The Rapid Changes In Technology, Services And Standards That Characterize Our Industry In Order To Remain Competitive.

The radio broadcasting industry is subject to rapid technological change, evolving industry standards and the emergence of new media technologies and services. These technologies and services, some of which are commercial free, include the following:

9

personal digital audio devices (e.g., iPods®, mp3® players, audio via WiFi, mobile phones and WiMAX);

Table of Contents

We Are	Dependent On Federally Issued Licenses To Operate Our Radio Stations And Are Subject To Extensive Federal Regulation.
	not predict the effect, if any, that competition arising from new technologies or regulatory changes may have on the radio broadcasting or on our financial condition and results of operations.
•	low-power FM radio, which could result in additional FM radio broadcast outlets.
• current	HD Radio®, which provides multi-channel, multi-format digital radio services in the same bandwidth ly occupied by traditional AM and FM radio services; and
•	satellite-delivered digital audio radio services;
•	Internet radio services such as Pandora;

The radio broadcasting industry is subject to extensive regulation by the FCC under the Communications Act of 1934 (see, for example, the discussion of FCC regulations contained in Part I, Item 1, Business, of this Form 10-K). We are required to obtain licenses from the FCC to operate our radio stations. Licenses are normally granted for a term of eight years and are renewable. Although the vast majority of FCC radio station licenses are routinely renewed, we cannot be assured that the FCC will approve our future renewal applications or that the renewals will not include conditions or qualifications. A number of our applications to renew our station licenses have been objected to by third parties and remain pending before the FCC. The non-renewal, or renewal with substantial conditions or modifications, of one or more of our licenses could have a material adverse effect on us.

We must comply with extensive FCC regulations and policies in the ownership and operation of our radio stations. FCC regulations limit the number of radio stations that a licensee can own in a market, which could restrict our ability to consummate future transactions and in certain circumstances could require us to divest some radio stations. The FCC s rules governing our radio station operations impose costs on our operations, and changes in those rules could have an adverse effect on our business. The FCC also requires radio stations to comply with certain technical requirements to limit interference between two or more radio stations. If the FCC relaxes these technical requirements, it could impair the signals transmitted by our radio stations and could have a material adverse effect on us. Moreover, these FCC regulations and others may change over time, and we cannot be assured that changes would not have a material adverse effect on us. The FCC has a pending investigation into a contest at one of our stations where a contestant died after participating in the contest. We are currently the subject of several other investigations by the FCC.

The FCC Has Engaged In Vigorous Enforcement Of Its Indecency Rules Against The Broadcast Industry, Which Could Have A Material Adverse Effect On Our Business.

FCC regulations prohibit the broadcast of obscene material at any time and indecent or profane material between the hours of 6:00 a.m. and 10:00 p.m. In the last several years, the FCC has enhanced its enforcement efforts relating to the regulation of indecency and has threatened on more than one occasion to initiate license revocation proceedings against a broadcast licensee who commits a serious indecency violation. Congress has dramatically increased the penalties for broadcasting obscene, indecent or profane programming, and these penalties may potentially subject broadcasters to license revocation, renewal or qualification proceedings in the event that they broadcast such material. In addition, the FCC s heightened focus on the indecency regulatory scheme, against the broadcast industry generally, may encourage third parties to oppose our license renewal applications or applications for consent to acquire broadcast stations. Several of our stations are currently subject to indecency-related inquiries and/or proposed fines at the FCC s Enforcement Bureau as well as objections to our license renewals based on such inquiries and proposed fines, and we may in the future become subject to additional inquiries or proceedings related to our stations broadcast of obscene, indecent or profane material. To the extent that these inquiries or other proceedings result in the imposition of fines, a settlement with the FCC, revocation of any of our station licenses or denials of license renewal applications, our results of operation and business could be materially adversely affected.

Table of Contents

Because Of Our Holding Company Structure, We Depend On Our Subsidiaries For Cash Flow, And Our Access To This Cash Flow Is Restricted.

We operate as a holding company. All of our radio stations are currently owned and operated by our subsidiaries. Entercom Radio, LLC (Radio), our 100% owned finance subsidiary, is the borrower under our Bank Facility and was the issuer of our Senior Subordinated Notes (Notes) (the Notes were redeemed at par on March 8, 2010). All of our station-operating subsidiaries and FCC license subsidiaries are subsidiaries of Radio. Further, we guaranteed Radio s obligations under the Bank Facility on a senior secured basis and under the Notes on an unsecured basis, junior to our Bank Facility. Radio s subsidiaries are all full and unconditional guarantors jointly and severally under the Bank Facility.

As a holding company, our only source of cash to pay our obligations, including corporate overhead and other accounts payable, are distributions from our subsidiaries. We currently expect that the net earnings and cash flow of our subsidiaries will be retained and used by them in their operations, including servicing their debt obligations. Even if our subsidiaries elect to make distributions to us, we cannot be assured that applicable state law and contractual restrictions, including the dividend covenants contained in our Bank Facility, would permit such dividends or distributions.

We Have Incurred Losses Over The Past Several Years And We May Incur Future Losses.

We have reported net losses in our consolidated statement of operations over the past several years, primarily as a result of recording non-cash write-downs of our broadcasting licenses and goodwill. In 2009, 2008 and 2007, we recorded impairments to our broadcasting licenses and goodwill of \$67.7 million, \$835.7 million (excluding impairments recorded during the first quarter of 2008 to assets held for sale) and \$84.0 million, respectively. As of December 31, 2009, our broadcasting licenses and goodwill comprise 79.8% of our total assets. If events occur or circumstances change that would reduce the fair value of the broadcasting licenses and goodwill below the amount reflected on the balance sheet, we may be required to recognize impairment charges, which may be material, in future periods.

Our Common Stock May Cease To Be Listed On Its Current Stock Exchange.

Our common stock is currently listed on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) under the symbol ETM . In the future, we may not be able to meet the continued listing requirements of the NYSE, which require, among other things, (i) a minimum closing price of our common stock; (ii) a minimum market capitalization; and (iii) a minimum stockholders equity and market capitalization. If we are unable to satisfy the NYSE criteria for continued listing, our common stock would be subject to delisting, which could negatively impact us by, among other things, reducing the liquidity and market price of our common stock. (See Management s Discussion and Analysis for a discussion of the NYSE continued listing requirements.)

Our Chairman Of The Board And Our President And Chief Executive Officer Effectively Control Our Company And Own A Substantial Equity Interest In Us. Their Interests May Conflict With Your Interest.

As of February 28, 2010, Joseph M. Field, our Chairman of the Board, beneficially owned 2,651,170 shares of our Class A common stock and 6,408,282 shares of our Class B common stock, representing approximately 64.7% of the total voting power of all of our outstanding common stock. As of February 28, 2010, David J. Field, our President and Chief Executive Officer, one of our directors and the son of Joseph M. Field, beneficially owned 2,549,747 shares of our Class A common stock and 749,250 shares of our outstanding Class B common stock, representing approximately 10.2% of the total voting power of all of our outstanding common stock. Collectively, Joseph M. Field and David J. Field and other members of the Field family beneficially own all of our outstanding Class B common stock. Other members of the Field family and Trusts for their benefit also own shares of Class A common stock.

Shares of Class B common stock are transferable only to Joseph M. Field, David J. Field, certain of their family members or trusts for any of their benefit. Upon any other transfer, shares of our Class B common stock automatically convert into shares of our Class A common stock on a one-for-one basis. Shares of our Class B common stock are entitled to ten votes only when Joseph M. Field or David J. Field vote them, subject to certain exceptions when they are restricted to one vote. Joseph M. Field generally is able to control the vote on all matters submitted to a vote of shareholders and, therefore, is able to direct our management and policies, except with respect to those matters when the shares of our Class B common stock are only entitled to one vote and those matters

Table of Contents

requiring a class vote under the provisions of our articles of incorporation, bylaws or applicable law, including, without limitation, the election of the two Class A directors. Without the approval of Joseph M. Field, we will be unable to consummate transactions involving an actual or potential change of control, including transactions in which investors might otherwise receive a premium for their shares over then current market prices.

Future Sales By Joseph M. Field Could Adversely Affect The Price Of Our Class A Common Stock.

The price for our Class A common stock could fall substantially if Joseph M. Field sells in the public market or transfers large amounts of shares, including any shares of our Class B common stock (as described in the above paragraph) which are automatically converted to Class A common stock when sold. These sales, or the possibility of such sales, could make it more difficult for us to raise capital by selling equity or equity-related securities in the future.

The Difficulties Associated With Any Attempt To Gain Control Of Our Company Could Adversely Affect The Price Of Our Class A Common Stock.

Joseph M. Field controls the decision as to whether a change in control will occur for our Company. There are also provisions contained in our articles of incorporation, by-laws and Pennsylvania law that could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire control of our Company. In addition, FCC approval for transfers of control of FCC licenses and assignments of FCC licenses are required. These restrictions and limitations could adversely affect the trading price of our Class A common stock.

We Depend On Selected Market Clusters Of Radio Stations.

For the year ended December 31, 2009, we generated in excess of 50% of our net revenues in six of our 23 markets (Boston, San Francisco, Portland, Seattle, Denver and Kansas City). Accordingly, we may have greater exposure to adverse events or conditions that affect the economy in any of these markets, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial position and results of operations.

Our Stock Price And Trading Volume Could Be Volatile.

Our Class A common stock has been publicly traded since January 29, 1999. The market price of our Class A common stock and our trading volume has been subject to fluctuations since the date of our initial public offering. The stock market has from time to time experienced price and volume fluctuations that have affected the market prices of public equities. As a result, the market price of our Class A common stock could experience volatility, regardless of our operating performance.

The Loss Of Key Personnel Could Have A Material Adverse Effect On Our Business.

Our business depends upon the continued efforts, abilities and expertise of our executive officers and other key executives. We believe that the loss of one or more of these individuals could have a material adverse effect on our business.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

The types of properties required to support each of our radio stations include offices, studios and transmitter/antenna sites. We typically lease our studio and office space, although we do own some of our facilities. Most of our studio and office space leases contain lease terms with expiration dates of five to 15 years. A station s studios are generally housed with its offices in downtown or business districts. We own many of our AM main transmitter/antenna sites and several of our FM main transmitter/antenna sites. For those sites that we lease, we have entered into leases with lease terms that generally range from five to 30 years. In addition, we also entered into a transaction that covers multiple tower sites that were treated as finance method lease obligations (for further discussion, see Note 9, Finance Method Lease Obligations, in the accompanying notes to the financial statements).

Table of Contents

The transmitter/antenna site for each station is generally located so as to provide maximum market coverage. In general, we do not anticipate difficulties in renewing facility or transmitter/antenna site leases or in leasing additional space or sites if required. We have approximately \$11.8 million in aggregate annual minimum rental commitments under real estate leases. Many of these leases contain clauses such as defined contractual increases or cost of living adjustments.

Our principal executive offices are located at 401 City Avenue, Suite 809, Bala Cynwyd, Pennsylvania 19004, in 10,678 square feet of leased office space. The lease on these premises expires October 31, 2011.

We own substantially all of our other equipment, consisting principally of transmitting antennae, transmitters, studio equipment and general office equipment. The towers, antennae and other transmission equipment used by our stations are generally in good condition. We generally consider our facilities to be suitable and of adequate size for our current and intended purposes.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We currently and from time to time are involved in litigation incidental to the conduct of our business. In the opinion of management, any potential liability of ours that may arise out of or with respect to these matters will not materially adversely affect our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

During January 2007, a suit seeking various damages was filed against us relating to an on-air contest. The claims, which were settled on October 29, 2009, were fully covered by our insurance policies. In connection with the settled claim, as of December 31, 2009 we recorded an insurance claim receivable from our insurance company of \$16.5 million along with a claim payable to the plaintiff of \$16.5 million. In January 2010, the plaintiff acknowledged full satisfaction of the judgment by our insurance carrier.

Our agreements with Broadcast Music, Inc. (BMI) and American Society of Composers, Authors and Publishers (ASCAP) each expired as of December 31, 2009. In January 2010, The Radio Music License Committee, of which we are a participant, filed motions in the New York courts against ASCAP and BMI on behalf of the radio industry, seeking interim fees and a determination of fair and reasonable industry-wide license fees.

We could face increased costs in the form of fines and a greater risk that we could lose any one or more of our broadcasting licenses if the FCC concludes that programming broadcast by our stations was obscene, indecent or profane and such conduct warrants license revocation. The FCC s authority to impose a fine for the broadcast of such material is \$325,000 for a single incident, with a maximum fine of up to \$3.0 million for a continuing violation. In the past, the FCC has issued Notices of Apparent Liability and a Forfeiture Order with respect to several of our stations proposing fines for certain programming which the FCC deemed to have been indecent. These cases are the subject of pending administrative appeals. The FCC has also investigated other complaints from the public that some of our stations broadcast indecent programming. These investigations remain pending. For a further discussion, please refer to the risk factors described in Part I, Item 1A, Risk Factors.

ITEM 4. [RESERVED]

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Market Information For Our Common Stock

Our Class A common stock, \$0.01 par value, is listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol ETM. The table below shows, for the quarters indicated, the reported high and low trading prices of our Class A common stock on The New York Stock Exchange.

Table of Contents

	Price Range				
		High		Low	
Calendar Year 2009					
First Quarter	\$	1.67	\$	0.83	
Second Quarter	\$	3.14	\$	1.09	
Third Quarter	\$	5.58	\$	1.36	
Fourth Quarter	\$	9.85	\$	5.02	
Calendar Year 2008					
First Quarter	\$	13.75	\$	9.46	
Second Quarter	\$	11.50	\$	6.96	
Third Quarter	\$	8.10	\$	4.89	
Fourth Quarter	\$	5.42	\$	0.52	

There is no established trading market for our Class B common stock, \$0.01 par value.

Holders

As of March 1, 2010, there were approximately 312 shareholders of record of our Class A common stock. This number does not include the number of shareholders whose shares are held of record by a broker or clearing agency but does include each such brokerage house or clearing agency as one record holder. Based upon available information, we believe we have approximately 3,693 beneficial owners of our Class A common stock. There are four shareholders of record of our Class B common stock, \$0.01 par value, and no shareholders of record of our Class C common stock, \$0.01 par value.

Dividends

Previously, we used a portion of our capital resources to pay dividends on a quarterly basis effective with the first quarter of 2006 and continuing through the third quarter of 2008. Our Board of Directors has not declared any dividends since that time. Any future dividends will be at the discretion of the Board of Directors based upon the relevant factors at the time of such consideration. For a description of the restrictions on our ability to declare and pay dividends pursuant to our Bank Facility, as amended, see Liquidity under Item 7, Management s Discussion And Analysis Of Financial Condition And Results Of Operation and Note 8 in the notes to the accompanying financial statements.

Share Repurchases

Our most recent share repurchase program expired on June 30, 2009.

Prior to the expiration of the repurchase authorization, in 2009 we repurchased 0.7 million shares for an aggregate amount of \$0.9 million at an average price of \$1.34 per share and during 2008, we repurchased 2.1 million shares for an aggregate amount of \$13.9 million at an average

	061		
price	of \$6.	.72 ner	share.

The following table provides information on our repurchases during the quarter ended December 31, 2009:

14

Table of Contents

Period	(a) Total Number Of Shares Purchased	(b) Average Price Paid Per Share		(c) Total Number Of Shares Purchased As Part Of Publicly Announced Plans Or Programs	(d) Maximum Approximate Dollar Value Of Shares That May Yet Be Purchased Under The Plans Or Programs
October 1, 2009 - October 31, 2009 (1)	659	\$	7.78	659	\$
November 1, 2009 - November 30, 2009 (1)		\$			\$
December 1, 2009 - December 31, 2009 (1)	462	\$	6.62	462	\$
Total	1.121			1.121	

⁽¹⁾ In connection with employee tax obligations related to the vesting of restricted stock during the three months ended December 31, 2009 and in accordance with elections by certain employees, we are deemed to have purchased the shares withheld to satisfy employees tax obligations of 659 shares at an average price of \$7.78 per share in October 2009 and 462 shares at an average price of \$6.62 per share in December 2009. These shares are included in the table above.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table sets forth, as of December 31, 2009, the number of securities outstanding under our equity compensation plans, the weighted average exercise price of such securities and the number of securities available for grant under these plans:

Equity Compensation Plan Information as of December 31, 2009

Plan Category	(a) Number Of Shares To Be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights	(b) Weighted Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights	(c) Number Of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Column (a))
Equity Compensation Plans Approved by Shareholders:			
Entercom Equity Compensation Plan (1)	1,103,032	\$ 3.20	969,627
Employee Stock Purchase Plan (2)			
Equity Compensation Plans Not Approved by Shareholders:			
None			
Total	1,103,032		969,627

(1) Under the Entercom Equity Compensation Plan (the Plan), the Company is authorized to issue up to 11.5 million shares of Class A common stock, which amount included an increase on January 1, 2009 of 1.5 million shares. The shares authorized are increased by 1.5 million shares on January 1 of each year, or a lesser number as may be determined by the Company s Board of Directors. On January 1, 2010, the shares authorized under the Plan were automatically increased by 1.5 million shares to 13.0 million shares. As of December 31, 2009, 1.0 million shares were available for future grant.

15

Tah	le	οf	Con	tents
1 au	ı	OI.	\sim	wiito

(2) Our Employee Stock Purchase Plan expired March 31, 2009.

For a description of our equity compensation plans, please refer to Note 15 in the accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Performance Graph

The following Comparative Stock Performance Graph shall not be deemed incorporated by reference by any general statement incorporating by reference this Form 10-K into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, except to the extent that we specifically incorporate this information by reference. This Comparative Stock Performance Graph is being furnished with this Form 10-K and shall not otherwise be deemed filed under such acts.

The following line graph compares the cumulative 5-year total return provided to shareholders on our Class A common stock relative to the cumulative total returns of: (i) the S & P 500 index; and (ii) a peer group index consisting of Citadel Broadcasting Corp., Cumulus Media Inc. and Emmis Communications Corp. Last year Cox Radio, Inc. was included in our peer group. In 2009, however, Cox Radio, Inc. ceased to be a public company. An investment of \$100 (with reinvestment of all dividends) is assumed to have been made in our Class A common stock and in each of the indices on December 31, 2004 and its relative performance is tracked through December 31, 2009.

Cumulative Five-Year Return Index Of A \$100 Investment

	1	12/31/04	12/31/05	12/31/06	12/31/07	12/31/08	12/31/09
Entercom Communications							
Corp.	\$	100.00	\$ 82.67	\$ 83.11	\$ 43.31	\$ 4.16	\$ 23.90

S&P 500	\$ 100.00 \$	104.91 \$	121.48 \$	128.16 \$	80.74 \$	102.11
Peer Group	\$ 100.00 \$	88.70 \$	66.92 \$	28.11 \$	4.24 \$	3.63

Table of Contents

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The selected financial data below as of and for the years ended December 31, 2005 through 2009 were derived from our audited consolidated financial statements. The selected financial data for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 and balance sheets as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 are qualified by reference to, and should be read in conjunction with, the corresponding audited consolidated financial statements, and the notes thereto, and Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included elsewhere in this annual report. The selected financial data for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 and the balance sheets as of December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 are derived from financial statements not included herein. Our financial results are not comparable from year to year due to our acquisitions and dispositions of radio stations. We acquired several radio stations in 2008, 2007 and 2005 (none in 2009 and one in 2006). Also, in years 2009, 2008 and 2007, we incurred impairment losses of \$67.7 million, \$835.7 million and \$84.0 million, respectively, in connection with our review of goodwill and broadcasting licenses under accounting guidance (please refer to Note 3 in the accompanying notes to the financial statements for further discussion of the contributing factors to the impairment loss).

Table of Contents

SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

(amounts in thousands, except per share data)

		2009	Years Ended December 2008 2007			· 31,		2005		
Operating Data:										
Net revenues	\$	372,432	\$	438,822	\$	468,351	\$	439,629	\$	431,799
Operating (income) expenses:	Ψ	0,2,.02	Ψ	.50,022	Ψ	.00,001	<u> </u>	.02,02	Ψ	.01,75
Station operating expenses, including non-cash										
compensation expense		254,042		276,187		283,541		259,630		247,647
Depreciation and amortization		16,600		20,442		16,631		15,812		16,660
Corporate G & A expenses, including non-cash		-,		-,		-,		- ,-		-,
compensation expense		22,875		26,917		28,888		33,794		18,868
Impairment loss		67,676		835,716		84,037				
Net time brokerage agreement fees (income)		(2)		(233)		14,001		2,766		(13)
Net (gain) loss on sale of assets		420		(9,899)		(647)		1,280		(5,873)
Expenses related to a natural disaster				, , ,		, ,				1,697
Total operating expenses		361,611		1,149,130		426,451		313,282		278,986
Operating income (loss)		10,821		(710,308)		41,900		126,347		152,813
Other (income) expense:										
Interest expense, including amortization of										
deferred financing costs		31,229		45,040		51,183		44,173		29,925
Interest income and dividend income from										
investments		(58)		(323)		(740)		(823)		(396)
Other income		(380)		(3,339)		(895)				
(Gain) loss on early extinguishment of debt		(20,805)		(6,949)		458				
Net (gain) loss on investments		966		469		(245)				(2,819)
Net gain on derivative instruments				(34)		(162)		(446)		(1,327)
Total other expense		10,952		34,864		49,599		42,904		25,383
Income (loss) from continuing operations before										
income taxes (benefit)		(131)		(745,172)		(7,699)		83,443		127,430
Income taxes (benefit)		(5,529)		(232,600)		695		35,596		49,164
Income (loss) from continuing operations		5,398		(512,572)		(8,394)		47,847		78,266
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net										
of taxes (benefit)				(4,079)		37		134		95
Net income (loss)	\$	5,398	\$	(516,651)	\$	(8,357)	\$	47,981	\$	78,361

Table of Contents

SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

(amounts in thousands, except per share data)

				Vear	re En	ded December	31			
		2009		2008	i y Lii	2007	J1,	2006		2005
Operating Data (continued):										
Net Income (Loss) Per Common Share - Basic:										
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$	0.15	\$	(13.94)	\$	(0.22)	\$	1.20	\$	1.70
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net	•			(- 11)	•	()			·	
of taxes (benefit)				(0.11)						
Net income (loss) per common share - basic	\$	0.15	\$	(14.05)	\$	(0.22)	\$	1.20	\$	1.70
r (111) r	•			(1117)	•	()			·	
Net Income (Loss) Per Common Share - Diluted:										
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$	0.15	\$	(13.94)	\$	(0.22)	\$	1.19	\$	1.70
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net				(- 11)		(31)				
of taxes (benefit)				(0.11)						
Net income (loss) per common share - diluted	\$	0.15	\$	(14.05)	\$	(0.22)	\$	1.19	\$	1.70
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				(,,,,,		(·	
Weighted average shares - basic		35,321		36,782		38,230		39,973		46,045
Weighted average shares - diluted		36,403		36,782		38,230		40,205		46,221
6		,		,		,		,		- /
Cash Flows Data:										
Cash flows related to:										
Operating activities	\$	73,902	\$	130,617	\$	93,492	\$	101,583	\$	136,552
Investing activities		(2,062)		31,944		(273,876)		(45,146)		(38,618)
Financing activities		(65,373)		(169,222)		180,534		(61,713)		(93,709)
Other Data:										
Dividends declared and paid per Class A and										
Class B common share	\$		\$	0.58	\$	1.52	\$	1.52	\$	
		2009		2008		2007		2006		2005
Balance Sheet Data:										
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	10,75	1 \$	4,284	\$	10,945	\$	10,795	\$	16,071
Intangibles and other assets		754,80	6	825,404		1,452,598		1,524,018		1,491,812
Investment in deconsolidated subsidiaries and assets	S									
held for sale						250,936				
Total assets		934,53	6	996,734		1,919,352		1,733,258		1,697,758
Senior debt, including current portion		729,17	3	750,197		823,718		526,239		427,259
Senior subordinated notes and other		19,18	9	83,500		150,000		150,000		150,000
Deferred tax liabilities and other long-term liabilitie	S	28,89	7	30,489		249,499		237,621		199,846
Total shareholders equity		113,95	2	100,257		660,767		777,092		885,715
			20							

Table of Contents

ITEM 7.	MANAGEMENT	S DISCUSSION AND	ANALYSIS OF	FINANCIAL	CONDITION A	ND RESULTS OF
OPERATIONS						

Overview

We are one of the five largest radio broadcasting companies in the United States, with a nationwide portfolio in excess of 100 stations in 23 markets, including San Francisco, Boston, Seattle, Denver, Portland, Sacramento and Kansas City.

A radio broadcasting company derives its revenues primarily from the sale of broadcasting time to local and national advertisers. Net revenues are gross revenues less advertising agency commissions. The revenues are determined by the advertising rates charged and the number of advertisements broadcast. We maximize our revenues by managing the inventory of advertising spots available for broadcast, which can vary throughout the day, but is consistent over time. Advertising rates are primarily based on four factors:

- a station s audience share in the demographic groups targeted by advertisers as measured principally by periodic reports issued by The Arbitron Ratings Company;
- the number of radio stations in the market competing for the same demographic groups;
- the supply of, and demand for, radio advertising time, both nationally and in the regions in which the station operates; and
- the market s size based upon available radio advertising revenue.

In 2009, we generated 78% of our net revenues from local advertising, which is sold primarily by each individual local radio station—s sales staff, and 20% from national advertising, which is sold by an independent advertising sales representative. Local and national revenues include advertising on our stations, websites, the sale of advertising during audio streaming of our radio stations over the Internet, promotional activities and e-commerce. We generated the balance of our 2009 revenues principally from network compensation and rental income from owned tower sites.

Our most significant station operating expenses are employee compensation, programming and promotional expenses. Other significant expenses that impact our profitability are interest and depreciation and amortization expense.

Our performance is based upon the aggregate performance of our radio stations. The following are some of the factors that impact a radio station s performance at any given time: (i) audience ratings; (ii) program content; (iii) management talent and expertise; (iv) sales talent and expertise; (v) audience characteristics; (vi) signal strength; and (vii) the number and characteristics of other radio stations and other advertising media in the market area.

In the radio broadcasting industry, seasonal revenue fluctuations are common and are due primarily to variations in advertising expenditures by local and national advertisers. Typically, revenues are lowest in the first calendar quarter of the year.

As opportunities arise, we may, on a selective basis, change or modify a station s format due to changes in listeners tastes or changes in a competitor s format. This could have an immediate negative impact on a station s ratings and/or revenues, and there are no guarantees that the modification or change will be beneficial at some future time. Our management is continually focused on these opportunities as well as the associated risks and uncertainties. We strive to develop compelling content and strong brand images to maximize audience ratings that are crucial to our stations financial success.

You should read the following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this report. The following results of operations include a discussion of the year ended December 31, 2009 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2008 and a discussion of the year ended December 31, 2008 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2007. Our results of operations for the relevant periods represent the operations of the radio stations: (1) owned and operated by us; or (2) operated by us pursuant to time brokerage agreements (TBA); and exclude those owned by us but operated by others pursuant to TBAs.

Table of Contents

Under the heading Same Station Considerations, we evaluate net revenues, station operating expenses and operating income by comparing the performance of stations owned or operated by us throughout a relevant year to the performance of those same stations in the prior year whether or not owned or operated by us. In addition, another party commenced operation of certain tower sites as part of our plan to exit the operation of non-strategic tower sites, which also impacted same station considerations when comparing the years 2009 with 2008. We use these comparisons to assess the effect of acquisitions and dispositions on our operations throughout the periods measured.

Results Of Operations

Year ended December 31, 2009 compared to the year ended December 31, 2008

The following significant factors affected our results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2009 as compared to the prior year:

Acquisition

• On March 14, 2008, we acquired through an exchange agreement three radio stations in San Francisco, California, that in 2008 increased our depreciation and amortization expense as we acquired certain intangible assets with lives of a short duration.

Dispositions

- During 2009, another party commenced operation of certain tower sites as part of our plan to exit the operation of non-strategic tower sites, which decreased net revenues (tower rental income) and station operating expenses for same station considerations, but had marginal impact to our reported results of operations.
- On July 14, 2008, we sold three of our eight Rochester, New York, radio stations for net cash proceeds of \$12.2 million, which the buyer began operating on May 1, 2008 under a TBA with us. The results for these stations were reflected in discontinued operations.
- On March 14, 2008, we sold, through an exchange agreement, three of our seven Seattle, Washington, radio stations that in 2008 resulted in a \$10.0 million gain on sale of assets.

•	On January	15, 2008	, we sold an	Austin, T	Texas, radio	station for	\$20.0 million in ca	ısh.
---	------------	----------	--------------	-----------	--------------	-------------	----------------------	------

Financing

- During the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, we repurchased \$76.9 million and \$66.5 million, respectively, of Notes and recognized a net gain on extinguishment of debt of \$20.8 million and \$6.9 million, respectively.
- Our interest expense decreased due to: (i) a decrease in interest rates; (ii) a decrease in our outstanding debt; and (iii) the redemption of a portion of our Notes that had a higher interest rate than the average rate under our senior debt.
- During the fourth quarter of 2009, another party commenced operating certain of our tower facilities and we received \$12.6 million in cash that was reflected as finance method lease obligations due to our ability to participate in future profits from these towers.

Other

• The income tax benefit in 2009 was favorably impacted by the passage of federal tax legislation during the fourth quarter of 2009 that allowed us to carryback our 2008 net operating loss for five years rather than for two years. As a result, we reversed the federal portion of our valuation allowance for deferred tax assets associated with a net operating loss carry forward as we now expect to recover \$7.0 million of previously paid taxes.

Table of Contents

- During the years ended 2009, 2008 and 2007, we recorded an impairment loss of \$67.7 million, \$835.7 million and \$84.0 million, respectively, in connection with our review of goodwill and broadcasting licenses. Please refer to Note 3 in the accompanying notes to the financial statements for further discussion of the contributing factors to the impairment loss.
- In 2008, our income tax benefit on loss from continuing operations was negatively impacted by an increase to our valuation allowance of \$59.4 million to fully reserve our net deferred tax assets. Our deferred tax assets were also fully reserved in 2009. The increase in the valuation allowance was primarily due to the cumulative losses incurred by us since 2006, which caused uncertainty as to the realization of the deferred tax assets in future years.
- During 2008, we recovered \$3.6 million from our insurance company for damages resulting from Hurricane Katrina.
- During the first quarter of 2008, we reviewed our carrying amount for the Rochester assets then held for sale and determined that an impairment loss of \$6.7 million was necessary as a result of the status of our then ongoing divestiture process.

Net Revenues

		Years Ended					
	Decemb	December 31, 2009					
		(dollars in millions)					
Net Revenues	\$	372.4	\$	438.8			
Amount of Change	\$	(66.4)					
Percentage Change		(15.1)%					

The recent economic downturn reduced demand for advertising in general, including advertising on our radio stations during 2009. Net revenues declined in most of the markets where we operate stations. Those markets that were impacted the most by the decline in radio station net revenue dollars were the Boston, Seattle, Sacramento, San Francisco and Portland markets.

Economic conditions sequentially improved throughout 2009 and, based upon early indications for 2010, this negative trend appears to be reversing, primarily due to the strengthening of advertiser demand. As the economy and the advertising environment rebound, we would expect to compare favorably to prior periods.

Same Station Considerations:

- Net revenues (tower rental income) in 2009 would have been lower by \$1.0 million if we had adjusted net revenues for the transfer of tower operations to a third party as of the beginning of the period.
- Net revenues (tower rental income) in 2008 would have been lower by \$0.9 million if we had adjusted net revenues for the transfer of tower operations to a third party as of the beginning of the period.

Station Operating Expenses

		Years Ended						
	Decem	December 31, 2009						
		(dollars in millions)						
Station Operating Expenses	\$	254.0	\$	276.2				
Amount of Change	\$	(22.2)						
Percentage Change		(8.0)%						

The decrease in station operating expenses was primarily due to: (1) a decrease in net revenues as described under Net Revenues as certain variable expenses decrease with a corresponding decrease in net revenues; and (2)

Table of Contents

cost reduction initiatives that commenced during the fourth quarter of 2008, including but not limited to reductions in workforce, the elimination of the 401(k) employer matching contribution and other cost reduction actions.

Management anticipates that station operating expenses will increase in 2010 as net revenues increase and as most cost-cutting measures were fully realized in early 2009.

Same Station Considerations:

- Station operating expenses in 2009 would have been lower by \$0.2 million if we had adjusted station operating expenses for the transfer of tower operations to a third party as of the beginning of the period.
- Station operating expenses in 2008 would have been lower by \$0.2 million if we had adjusted station operating expenses for the transfer of tower operations to a third party as of the beginning of the period.

Depreciation And Amortization Expense

$\frac{\text{Years Ended}}{\text{December 31, 2009}} \frac{\text{December 31, 2008}}{\text{December 31, 2008}}$ $\frac{\text{(dollars in millions)}}{\text{Depreciation And Amortization Expense}} \qquad \qquad \$ \qquad 16.6 \qquad \$ \qquad 20.4$ $\text{Amount of Change} \qquad \qquad \$ \qquad (3.8)$ $\text{Percentage Change} \qquad \qquad (18.6)\%$

Depreciation and amortization expense decreased in 2009 as the expense in 2008 was higher due to acquisitions during the first quarter of 2008 and fourth quarter of 2007, which included certain amortizable assets with lives of a short duration.

Corporate General And Administrative Expenses

	Years Ended				
	December 31, 2009	December 31, 2008			
	(dollars in m	nillions)			
Corporate General And Administrative Expenses	\$ 22.9	\$ 26.9			
Amount of Change	\$ (4.0)				
Percentage Change	(14.9)%				

Corporate general and administrative expenses decreased primarily due to: (1) a decrease in non-cash compensation expense of \$2.5 million as a result of a decrease in the fair value of equity awards issued; and (2) a decrease in legal expense of \$1.3 million associated with certain legal proceedings during 2008 which did not recur in 2009. The decrease in corporate general and administrative expense was offset by an increase in deferred compensation expense of \$1.6 million as our deferred compensation liability generally tracks movements in the stock market.

Operating Income (Loss)

		Years Ended						
	D	ecember 31, 2009	De	December 31, 2008				
		(dollars in millions)						
Operating Income (Loss)	\$	10.8	\$	(710.3)				
Amount of Change	\$	721.1						
Percentage Change		101.5%						

The increase in operating income was primarily due to a decrease in impairment loss of \$768.0 million in connection with our review of broadcasting licenses and goodwill in 2009 as compared to 2008. The decrease was partially offset by: (1) a decrease in net revenues for the reasons described above under Net Revenues, net of a

Table of Contents

decrease in station operating expenses for the reasons described under Station Operating Expenses; and (2) a decrease in net gain on sale or disposal of assets of \$10.0 million as a result of the sale in 2008 of three of our Seattle stations.

Same Station Considerations:

- Operating income in 2009 would have been lower by \$0.8 million if we had adjusted operating income for the transfer of tower operations to a third party as of the beginning of the period.
- Operating loss in 2008 would have been higher by \$0.7 million if we had adjusted operating loss for the transfer of tower operations to a third party as of the beginning of the period.

Interest Expense

	Years Ended					
	December 31, 2009	De	cember 31, 2008			
	(dollars in millions)					
Interest Expense	\$ 31.2	\$	45.0			
Amount of Change	\$ (13.8)					
Percentage Change	$(30.6)^{\circ}$	%				

The decrease in interest expense was primarily due to: (1) a decrease in interest rates on outstanding debt in 2009 as compared to 2008; (2) a decline in outstanding debt upon which interest is computed; and (3) the repurchase of our Notes, which have a higher interest rate than the interest rate on the borrowings under our Bank Facility used to repurchase our Notes.

We anticipate a reduction in interest expense associated with an expected reduction in outstanding debt in 2010 and a reduction in January 2010 of \$75.0 million in notional value of an existing 3.03% fixed interest rate swap agreement. This reduction could be offset by the impact of an increase in interest rates and fees associated with the Amendment to our Bank Facility in the first quarter of 2010.

Loss From Continuing Operations Before Income Tax Benefit

	Years Ended					
December 3	December 31, 2009 December 31, 20					
	(dollars in millions)					
\$	(0.1)	\$	(745.2)			

Loss From Continuing Operations Before Income Tax Benefit	
Amount of Change	\$ 745.1
Percentage Change	100.0%

The net change was primarily attributable to: (1) an increase in operating income for the reasons as described above under Operating Income (Loss); (2) a \$13.9 million increase in gain on the retirement of our Notes; and (3) a decrease in our interest expense of \$13.8 million for the reasons described above under Interest Expense.

Income Tax Benefit

	Years Ended			
	December 31, 2009	De	ecember 31, 2008	
	(dollars	in millions)		
Income Tax Benefit	\$ (5.5)	\$	(232.6)	
Amount of Change	\$ 227.1			
Percentage Change	97.6%			

The income tax benefit in 2009 was favorably impacted by the passage of tax legislation during the fourth quarter of 2009 that allows us to carryback our 2008 net operating loss for five years rather than for two years. As a

Table of Contents

result, we reversed the federal portion of our valuation allowance for deferred tax assets as we now expect to recover \$7.0 million of previously paid taxes.

The income tax benefit in 2008 primarily resulted from the elimination of deferred tax liabilities associated with intangible assets due to the recording of an impairment loss in 2008 of \$835.7 million. The income tax benefit was offset by an increase to our valuation allowance to fully reserve our net deferred tax assets, as the cumulative losses incurred by us over the past several years caused uncertainty about our ability to realize our deferred tax assets.

The Company s income tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2009 was not numerically relevant. For the year ended December 31, 2008, our income tax rate was 31.2%. The tax rates for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 were impacted by a decrease to the valuation allowance of \$6.7 million and an increase to the valuation allowance of \$59.4 million, respectively.

We estimate that our annual tax rate for 2010, which may fluctuate from quarter to quarter, will be in the low 40% range (before any necessary adjustment to the valuation allowance). We estimate that our rate in 2010 will be affected primarily by: (1) changes in the level of income in any of our taxing jurisdictions; (2) adding facilities in states that on average have different income tax rates than states in which we currently operate and the resulting effect on previously reported temporary differences between the tax and financial reporting bases of our assets and liabilities; (3) the effect of recording changes in our liabilities for uncertain tax positions; (4) taxes in certain states that are dependent on factors other than taxable income; and (5) the limitations on the deduction of cash and certain non-cash compensation expense for certain key employees. Our annual effective tax rate may also be materially impacted by: (i) regulatory changes in certain states in which we operate; (ii) changes in the expected outcome of tax audits; (iii) changes in the estimate of expenses that are not deductible for tax purposes; and (iv) changes in the deferred tax valuation allowance.

Deferred tax liabilities primarily relate to differences between book and tax bases of certain of our indefinite-lived intangibles (broadcasting licenses and goodwill). Under accounting guidance, we do not amortize our indefinite-lived intangibles for financial statement purposes, but instead test them annually for impairment. The amortization of our indefinite-lived assets for tax purposes but not for book purposes creates deferred tax liabilities. A reversal of deferred tax liabilities may occur when indefinite-lived intangibles: (1) become impaired; or (2) are sold for cash, which would typically only occur in connection with the sale of the assets of a station or groups of stations or the entire company in a taxable transaction. Due to the amortization for tax purposes of our indefinite-lived intangible assets, we expect to generate deferred tax liabilities in future periods (without consideration for any impairment loss in future periods).

Income (Loss) From Continuing Operations

	Years Ended			
	December 31, 2009	ember 31, 2008		
	(dollars in	millions)		
Income (Loss) From Continuing Operations	\$ 5.4	\$	(512.6)	
Amount of Change	\$ 518.0			
Percentage Change	101.1%			

The change is primarily due to the reasons described above under Loss From Continuing Operations Before Income Tax Benefit, net of income tax benefit.

Table of Contents

Loss From Discontinued Operations, Net Of Tax Benefit

	Years Ended			
	December 31, 2009 December			nber 31, 2008
		(dollars in m	illions)	
Loss From Discontinued Operations, Net Of Tax Benefit	\$		\$	(4.1)
Amount of Change	\$	4.1		
Percentage Change		100.0%		

The net change was primarily due to a non-cash impairment loss of \$6.7 million (before income tax benefit) in the first quarter of 2008 for the Rochester assets that were held for sale and that were subsequently disposed of during the third quarter of 2008.

Net Income (Loss)

		Years Ended			
	Decen	December 31, 2009 December			
	(dollars in millions)				
Net Income (Loss)	\$	5.4	\$	(516.7)	
Amount of Change	\$	522.1			
Percentage Change		101.1%			

The net change was primarily attributable to the reasons described above under Loss From Continuing Operations Before Income Tax Benefit and under Income Tax Benefit.

Results Of Operations

Year ended December 31, 2008 compared to the year ended December 31, 2007

The following significant factors affected our results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2008 as compared to the prior year:

Acquisitions

- On March 14, 2008, we acquired through an exchange agreement three radio stations in San Francisco, California. We began operating these stations on February 26, 2007 under a TBA that in 2008 increased our net revenues, station operating expenses and depreciation and amortization expense.
- On December 10, 2007, we acquired WVEI-FM (formerly WBEC-FM), a station in Springfield, Massachusetts, for \$5.8 million in cash. We began operating this station on February 10, 2006 under a TBA by simulcasting the format of WEEI-AM (a radio station owned and operated by us in the Boston, Massachusetts, market). The impact to 2008 was an increase in our depreciation and amortization expense and interest expense.
- On November 30, 2007, we acquired four radio stations in Austin, Texas, and three radio stations in Memphis, Tennessee, for \$101.0 million in cash. We began operating these stations on November 1, 2006 under a TBA. The impact to 2008 was an increase in our depreciation and amortization expense and interest expense and a decrease in our TBA expense.
- On November 30, 2007, we acquired four radio stations in Cincinnati, Ohio, for \$119.0 million in cash. From November 1, 2006 through February 25, 2007, we operated three of these stations under a TBA. On February 26, 2007, a buyer began operating the same three stations under a TBA with us. The impact to 2008 was a decrease in our net revenues, station operating expenses and TBA fees and an increase to our interest expense.

Table of Contents

• On November 30, 2007, we acquired four stations in Rochester, New York, for \$42.0 million in cash. Of the four stations acquired, two stations were reflected in continuing operations and two stations were reflected in discontinued operations. For the two stations reflected in continuing operations, the impact to 2008 was an increase to our net revenues, station operating expenses, depreciation and amortization expense and interest expense.

Dispositions

- On July 14, 2008, we sold three of our eight Rochester, New York, radio stations for net cash proceeds of \$12.2 million, which the buyer began operating on May 1, 2008 under a TBA with us. The results for these stations were reflected in discontinued operations.
- On March 14, 2008, we sold, through an exchange agreement, three of our seven Seattle, Washington, radio stations which a buyer began operating on February 26, 2007 under a TBA with us. The impact to 2008, due to the cessation of operation by us of these stations on February 26, 2007, was a decrease in our net revenues, station operating expenses and depreciation and amortization expense.
- On March 14, 2008, we sold, through an exchange agreement, four Cincinnati, Ohio, radio stations we acquired during the fourth quarter of 2007 under two separate transactions. Pursuant to two TBA agreements, we operated these stations from November 1, 2006 through February 25, 2007. On February 26, 2007, a buyer began operating these stations under a TBA with us. The impact to 2008, due to the cessation of operation by us of these stations on February 26, 2007, was a decrease in our net revenues and station operating expenses.
- On January 15, 2008, we sold a station in Austin, Texas, for \$20.0 million in cash. The buyer began operating this station under a TBA on February 26, 2007 (a station we began operating on November 1, 2006 under a TBA agreement with another party). The impact to 2008, due to the cessation of operation of this station by us on February 26, 2007 and the sale on January 15, 2008, was a decrease in our net revenues, station operating expenses and interest expense.

Financing

• Our interest expense decreased due to: (i) a decrease in interest rates; and (ii) the redemption of a portion of our Notes that had a higher interest rate than the rate under our senior debt. This decrease was offset by: (1) increased borrowings used to finance: (a) acquisitions during the fourth quarter of 2007 in the amount of \$268.3 million; (b) the payment of cash dividends to our shareholders of \$21.6 million in 2008 and \$58.0 million in 2007; and (c) stock

repurchases during 2008 of \$13.9 million and during 2007 of \$55.0 million; and (2) interest expense of \$0.9 million related to the resolution of certain litigation.

- During the year ended December 31, 2008, we repurchased \$66.5 million of our Notes and recognized a net gain on extinguishment of debt of \$6.9 million.
- On June 18, 2007, we entered into a new credit facility that resulted in the recognition of a \$0.5 million loss on the early extinguishment of debt related to the write-off of deferred financing costs during the second quarter of 2007.

Other

- During the years ended 2008 and 2007, we recorded an impairment loss of \$835.7 million and \$84.0 million, respectively, in connection with our review of goodwill and broadcasting licenses. Please refer to Note 3 in the accompanying notes to the financial statements for further discussion of the contributing factors to the impairment loss.
- In 2008, our income tax benefit on loss from continuing operations was negatively impacted by an increase to our valuation allowance of \$59.4 million to fully reserve our net deferred tax assets. The increase was primarily due to the cumulative losses incurred by us since 2006, which caused uncertainty as to the realization of the deferred tax assets in future years.

Table of Contents

- During 2008, we recovered \$3.6 million from our insurance company for damages resulting from Hurricane Katrina.
- During the first quarter of 2008, we reviewed our carrying amount for the Rochester assets then held for sale and determined that an impairment loss of \$6.7 million was necessary as a result of the status of our then ongoing divestiture process.
- During the first quarter of 2007, we recorded a discrete income tax expense adjustment of \$2.9 million as we commenced operations in 2007 in states which on average have higher income tax rates than in states in which we had previously operated.

Net Revenues

		Years Ended			
	Decemb	December 31, 2008		December 31, 2007	
		(dollars in	millions)		
Net Revenues	\$	438.8	\$	468.4	
Amount of Change	\$	(29.6)			
Percentage Change		(6.3)%			

Our decrease in net revenues was primarily due to: (1) weak demand for advertising in general that contributed to an overall decline in total market revenues in most of the markets where we operate stations; and (2) the commencement of operations by other parties under TBAs on: (a) February 26, 2007 for three of our seven Seattle radio stations; (b) February 26, 2007 for four radio stations in the Cincinnati market; and (c) February 26, 2007 for one radio station in the Austin market. Our decrease in net revenues was offset by: (i) the acquisition on November 30, 2007 of four radio stations in the Rochester market of which two radio stations were reflected in continuing operations; (ii) the commencement by us of operations under a TBA on February 26, 2007 of three radio stations in the San Francisco market; and (iii) increases in net revenues for our radio stations in markets such as Buffalo, Madison and Milwaukee.

Same Station Considerations:

- Net revenues in 2008 were not impacted by any acquisitions or dispositions of radio stations as of the beginning of the period.
- Net revenues in 2007 would have been higher by \$3.3 million if we had adjusted net revenues to give effect to acquisitions or dispositions of radio stations as of the beginning of the period.

Station Operating Expenses

	Years Ended				
	Decembe	December 31, 2008 December 31, 20			
	(dollars in millions)				
Station Operating Expenses	\$	276.2	\$	283.5	
Amount of Change	\$	(7.3)			
Percentage Change		(2.6)%			

The decrease in station operating expenses was primarily due to the factors leading to the decrease in net revenues as described above as certain variable expenses decrease with a corresponding decrease in net revenues, offset by the effects of inflation.

In the fourth quarter of 2008, we initiated several cost reduction initiatives, including certain station operating expense and personnel reductions and the cessation of our Company s voluntary employee benefits matching programs. While the impact of these initiatives was not material in this quarter, future periods will have benefited from these actions and any other cost reduction actions.

Table of Contents

Same Station Considerations:

- Station operating expenses in 2008 were not impacted by any acquisitions or dispositions of radio stations as of the beginning of the period.
- Station operating expenses in 2007 would have been higher by \$1.9 million if we had adjusted station operating expenses to give effect to acquisitions or dispositions of radio stations as of the beginning of the period.

Depreciation And Amortization Expense

		Years Ended			
	Decemb	er 31, 2008	Dece	ember 31, 2007	
	(dollars in millions)				
Depreciation And Amortization Expense	\$	20.4	\$	16.6	
Amount of Change	\$	3.8			
Percentage Change		22.9%			

Depreciation and amortization expense increased due to the acquisitions of radio station assets in the first quarter of 2008 and in the fourth quarter of 2007 (other than those assets in Rochester, New York, that were acquired and held for sale or those assets in Cincinnati, Ohio, which were acquired and reflected as an investment in deconsolidated subsidiaries), which included certain amortizable assets with lives of a short duration.

Corporate General And Administrative Expenses

	Years Ended			
	December 31, 2008 December 31, 2			
		(dollars in	millions)	
Corporate General And Administrative Expenses	\$	26.9	\$	28.9
Amount of Change	\$	(2.0)		
Percentage Change		(6.9)%		

Corporate general and administrative expenses decreased primarily due to: (1) a decrease in legal expenses of \$1.4 million primarily associated with certain legal proceedings in the year ended December 31, 2007 which did not recur in 2008; and (2) a deferred compensation expense reduction of \$1.0 million as a result of a decrease in the value of the unfunded obligation as the deferred compensation liability generally tracks the movements in the stock market.

The decrease in corporate general and administrative expense was offset by an increase in non-cash compensation expense of \$1.5 million due to: (a) the cumulative effect of equity awards issued over multiple years, including 2008, with the awards vesting over periods of up to four years; and (b) the acceleration of vesting of equity awards for a key officer.

Operating Income (Loss)

		Years Ended			
	Decemb	December 31, 2008		ember 31, 2007	
		(dollars in	millions)		
Operating Income (Loss)	\$	(710.3)	\$	41.9	
Amount of Change	\$	(752.2)			
Percentage Change		NM			

The decrease in operating income to an operating loss was primarily due to an increase in an impairment loss of \$751.7 million in connection with our review of broadcasting licenses and goodwill during the fourth quarter of 2008 and our review of goodwill during the second quarter of 2008 (see Note 3 in the accompanying notes to the financial statements), which loss was primarily due to: (1) an increase in the discount rate used; (2) a decrease in

Table of Contents

station transaction multiples; and (3) a decrease in advertising revenue growth projections for the broadcasting industry. This decrease in operating income was offset by: (i) a decrease in time brokerage agreement fees of \$14.2 million, primarily due to the cessation of a TBA on November 30, 2007; and (ii) an increase in net gain on sale or disposal of assets of \$9.3 million primarily related to our sale of three radio stations in Seattle, Washington, in connection with an exchange agreement that was completed during the first quarter of 2008.

Same Station Considerations:

- Operating loss in 2008 was not impacted by any acquisitions or dispositions of radio stations as of the beginning of the period.
- Operating income in 2007 would have been higher by \$1.4 million if we had adjusted operating income to give effect to acquisitions or dispositions of radio stations as of the beginning of the period.

Interest Expense

		Years Ended			
	December	31, 2008	Dece	mber 31, 2007	
		(dollars i	n millions)		
Interest Expense	\$	45.0	\$	51.2	
Amount of Change	\$	(6.2)			
Percentage Change		(12.1)%			

The decrease in interest expense was primarily due to: (i) a decline in interest rates during the year ended December 31, 2008 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2007; and (ii) the repurchase during 2008 of \$66.5 million of our Notes which have a higher interest rate than the replacement debt. This decrease was offset by: (1) higher average outstanding debt under our senior credit agreement used to finance: (a) the acquisition of radio station assets in several markets in the amount of \$268.3 million during the fourth quarter of 2007; (b) dividend payments of \$21.6 million in 2008 and \$58.0 million in 2007; and (c) the repurchase of our common stock in the amount of \$13.9 million during 2008; and (2) higher interest expense of \$0.9 million related to the resolution of certain litigation.

Loss From Continuing Operations Before Income Taxes (Benefit)

	Years Ended			
	Decei	mber 31, 2008	Dec	ember 31, 2007
		(dollars in 1	nillions)	
Loss From Continuing Operations Before Income Taxes				
(Benefit)	\$	(745.2)	\$	(7.7)
Amount of Change	\$	(737.5)		

Percentage Change NM

The net change was primarily attributable to an increase in impairment loss of \$751.7 million due to the reasons as described above under Operating Income (Loss). The increase in loss from continuing operations before income tax provision (benefit) was offset by: (1) a \$6.9 million gain on the retirement of our Notes; (2) a decrease in our interest expense of \$6.1 million for the reasons described above under Interest Expense; and (3) a \$2.4 million increase in other income related to an insurance recovery.

31

Table of Contents

Income Taxes (Benefit)

	Years Ended			
	December 31, 2008		December 31, 2007	
	(dollars in millions)			
Income Taxes (Benefit)	\$	(232.6)	\$	0.7
Amount of Change	\$	(233.3)		
Percentage Change		NM		

The net change in income tax provision (benefit) was primarily the result of the net change as described above under Loss From Continuing Operations Before Income Tax Provision (Benefit). In addition, we recorded discrete items of tax of: (1) \$59.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 primarily due to an increase to our valuation allowance to fully reserve our net deferred tax assets; and (2) \$2.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 resulting from the commencement of operations in 2007 in states which on average have higher income tax rates than in states in which we previously operated and the resulting effect on previously reported temporary differences between the tax and financial reporting bases of our assets and liabilities.

For the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, our income tax rate was 31.2% and 9.0%, respectively. Included in the tax rate for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 were discrete items of tax (as described above) in the amount of \$59.4 million and \$2.9 million, respectively.

For the year ended December 31, 2008, the income tax benefit was \$232.6 million, which resulted from a reduction in deferred tax liabilities primarily due to the recording of an impairment loss of \$835.7 million. For the year ended December 31, 2007, the income tax expense of \$0.7 million was comprised of a current tax credit of \$8.9 million and a deferred tax expense of \$9.6 million.

Our net non-current deferred tax liabilities were eliminated as of December 31, 2008 primarily due to the deferred tax benefit associated with the \$835.7 million impairment loss to our indefinite-lived intangible assets. Our net non-current deferred tax liabilities as of December 31, 2007 were \$235.6 million. The deferred tax liabilities primarily relate to differences between book and tax bases of certain of our indefinite-lived intangibles (broadcasting licenses and goodwill). We do not amortize our indefinite-lived intangibles for financial statement purposes, but instead test them annually for impairment. The amortization of our indefinite-lived assets for tax purposes but not for book purposes creates deferred tax liabilities. A reversal of deferred tax liabilities may occur when: (1) indefinite-lived intangibles become impaired; or (2) indefinite-lived intangibles are sold for cash, which would typically only occur in connection with the sale of the assets of a station or groups of stations or the entire company in a taxable transaction.

Loss From Continuing Operations

	Years Ended			
	December 31, 2008		December 31, 2007	
	(dollars in millions)			
Loss From Continuing Operations	\$	(512.6)	\$	(8.4)
Amount of Change	\$	(504.2)		
Percentage Change		NM		

The increase in loss from continuing operations is primarily due to the reasons described above under Loss From Continuing Operations Before Income Tax Provision (Benefit), net of income taxes (benefit).

Table of Contents

Income (Loss) From Discontinued Operations, Net Of Taxes (Benefit)

	Years Ended			
	Dece	mber 31, 2008	December 31, 2007	
	(dollars in millions)			
Income (Loss) From Discontinued Operations, Net Of				
Taxes (Benefit)	\$	(4.1)	\$	
Amount of Change	\$	(4.1)		
Percentage Change		NM		

The net change was primarily due to a non-cash impairment loss of \$4.6 million (net of an income tax benefit of \$2.1 million) in the first quarter of 2008 for the Rochester assets which were then held for sale and were subsequently disposed of during the third quarter of 2008.

Net Loss

		Years Ended			
	Decemb	er 31, 2008	Dec	ember 31, 2007	
		(dollars in millions)			
Net Loss	\$	(516.7)	\$	(8.4)	
Amount of Change	\$	(508.3)			
Percentage Change		NM			

The net change was primarily attributable to the reasons described above under Loss From Continuing Operations Before Income Tax Provision (Benefit) and under Income Tax Provision (Benefit).

Future Impairments

We may determine that it will be necessary to take impairment charges in future periods for various reasons, including if the economic downturn worsens and/or continues for an extended period of time. Although the annual impairment test of our broadcasting licenses and goodwill was performed in the second quarter of 2009, we may be required to retest prior to our next annual evaluation. Any such impairment could be material. As of December 31, 2009, no interim impairment test was required during 2009 for our broadcasting licenses and goodwill.

Liquidity And Capital Resources

Liquidity

We have used a significant portion of our capital resources to repurchase most of our Notes, acquire radio station assets, repurchase shares of our Class A common stock and pay dividends to our shareholders. Generally, our cash requirements are funded from one or a combination of the following sources: (1) internally generated cash flow; (2) borrowings under our credit agreement; and (3) the sales of assets.

Historically, we have carried significant amounts of debt. As of December 31, 2009, we had outstanding \$749.8 million, including: (1) \$729.2 million under our Bank Facility; (2) \$12.6 million in finance method lease obligations; (3) \$6.6 million in Notes; and (4) \$1.5 million in a letter of credit. As of December 31, 2009, we had \$10.8 million in cash and cash equivalents. During the year ended December 31, 2009, we decreased our outstanding debt by \$85.3 million (which included a discount of \$21.6 million on the repurchase of our Notes). During the year ended December 31, 2008, we decreased our outstanding debt by \$140.0 million (which included a \$7.9 million discount on the repurchase of our Notes) due in part to the cash available from the sale of a station in Austin, Texas, for \$20.0 million, \$12.2 million net cash proceeds made available from the sale of three radio stations in our Rochester, New York, market as well as the receipt of \$14.6 million in income tax refunds.

Our Credit Agreement, As Amended

During March 2010, we amended (the Amendment) our Bank Facility with certain key terms, as defined within the Bank Facility, revised as follows:

33

Table of Contents

- Depending on the Consolidated Leverage Ratio (Consolidated Funded Indebtedness to Consolidated Operating Cash Flow), we may elect a rate equal to a choice of: (1) the Eurodollar Rate plus fees that can range from 0.5% to 2.5%; or (2) the Base Rate plus fees that can range from 0.0% to 1.5%, where the Base Rate is the highest of: (a) the Federal Funds Rate plus 0.5%; (b) the Eurodollar Rate plus 1.0%; and (c) the Prime Rate;
- During periods when the Consolidated Leverage Ratio exceeds six times, we are restricted in our ability to take certain actions, including but not limited to, the payment of dividends and the repurchase of our stock;
- Certain restrictions and limitations on acquisitions and investments; and
- A maximum Consolidated Leverage Ratio that cannot exceed seven times in 2010 and which ratio decreases quarterly in 2011 to a ratio of six times.

As of December 31, 2009, we have \$3.3 million of unamortized deferred financing expenses outstanding related to the Bank Facility and we expect to incur estimated additional costs of approximately \$5.2 million in connection with the Amendment.

Our Bank Facility with a syndicate of 33 lenders as of December 31, 2009, is comprised of: (i) a Revolver of \$650 million, of which \$359.0 million is outstanding as of December 31, 2009; and (ii) a Term Loan of \$400 million, of which \$370.0 million is outstanding as of December 31, 2009. The Term Loan reduces (from and after September 30, 2009) in quarterly amounts starting at \$15 million and ultimately increasing to \$60 million. The Revolver provides us with funds for working capital and general corporate purposes including capital expenditures, and, except as limited under the Amendment, any one or more of the following: repurchases of Class A common stock, investments, dividends and acquisitions. The Bank Facility is secured by a pledge of 100% of the capital stock and other equity interest in all of our wholly owned subsidiaries. In addition, the Bank Facility, as amended, is secured by a lien on all of our assets, other than our real property.

As of December 31, 2009, the undrawn amount of the Revolver was \$289.5 million. The amount available under the Revolver is a function of covenant compliance at the time of borrowing. Based on our financial covenant analysis as of December 31, 2009, we would be limited to borrowings significantly less than the undrawn limit unless such borrowings were used to repay indebtedness or for transactions that increase cash flow for purposes of covenant calculation. We plan to use the Revolver and cash flow from operations to fund the amortization of the Term Loan.

The Bank Facility, as amended, requires us to comply with certain financial covenants which are defined terms within the agreement, including: (1) a maximum Consolidated Leverage Ratio that cannot exceed seven times in 2010 and which decreases quarterly in 2011 to a ratio that will not exceed six times (prior to the Amendment, the Consolidated Leverage Ratio could not exceed six times); and (2) a minimum ratio of Consolidated Operating Cash Flow to Consolidated Interest Charges of two times. Management believes we are in compliance with all financial covenants and leverage ratios and all other terms of the Bank Facility as of December 31, 2009.

We believe that over the next 12 months we will continue to maintain our compliance with these covenants. We believe that cash on hand and cash from operating activities, together with available borrowings under the Revolver will be sufficient to permit us to meet our liquidity requirements over the next 12 months, including our debt repayments. While our operating cash flow has been reduced from prior periods during the recent economic downturn, it has remained positive and adequate to fund our operating needs. As a result, we have not been required to rely upon, and we do not anticipate having to rely upon, our Revolver to fund our operations

Our ability to maintain compliance with our covenants will be highly dependent on our results of operations as the economy recovers from the recent economic downturn and, to the extent necessary, our ability to implement remedial measures such as further reductions in operating costs. If we were to enter into an agreement with our lenders for covenant compliance relief, such relief could result in higher interest expense.

Failure to comply with our financial covenants or other terms of our Bank Facility and the failure to negotiate and obtain any required relief from our lenders could result in the acceleration of the maturity of all outstanding debt. Under these circumstances, the acceleration of our debt could have a material adverse effect on our business. Notwithstanding the foregoing, we may from time-to-time seek to amend our existing Bank Facility or obtain other funding or additional financing, which may result in higher interest rates. Amending our Bank Facility or obtaining other funding or additional financing prior to the expiration of the current agreement will be essential as the Revolver will be due as a balloon payment upon the expiration of the Bank Facility on June 30, 2012.

Table of Contents

The recent economic downturn reduced demand for advertising in general, including advertising on our radio stations during 2009. Economic conditions sequentially improved throughout 2009 and, based upon early indications for 2010, this negative trend appears to be reversing, primarily due to the strengthening of advertiser demand. We anticipate that revenue trends will be positive in 2010 as the economy and the advertising environment rebound and we compare favorably to prior periods.

Operating Activities

Net cash flows provided by operating activities were \$73.9 million and \$130.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The decrease was mainly attributable to: (1) a decrease in 2009 of \$44.2 million in net revenues, net of station operating expenses; and (2) a decrease in 2009 of \$21.4 million in prepaid and refundable taxes as we received \$14.6 million of state and federal tax refunds in 2008 and we expect to receive \$7.0 million in federal tax refunds in 2010.

Net cash flows provided by operating activities were \$130.6 million and \$93.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The increase in 2008 was mainly attributable to a net decrease in working capital requirements of \$42.0 million, primarily due to: (1) a \$22.2 million reduction in prepaid and refundable income taxes as we received income tax refunds in 2008 of \$14.6 million; (2) a \$13.8 million reduction in outstanding accounts receivable; and (3) an increase in accounts payable and accrued liabilities of \$6.7 million primarily due to \$8.3 million that was accrued as of December 31, 2006, paid in 2007, and that related to a settlement with the New York Attorney General and an investigation by the FCC.

Investing Activities

For the year ended December 31, 2009, net cash flows used in investing activities of \$2.1 million primarily reflect additions to property and equipment of \$2.5 million.

For the year ended December 31, 2008, net cash flows provided by investing activities of \$31.9 million primarily reflect \$20.0 million in net cash proceeds from the sale of a station in Austin, Texas, and \$12.2 million in net cash proceeds from the sale of three stations in Rochester, New York, offset by cash used in investing activities for additions to property and equipment of \$8.6 million.

For the year ended December 31, 2007, net cash flows used in investing activities of \$273.9 million primarily reflect: (1) the purchase of radio station assets of \$268.3 million; and (2) additions to property and equipment of \$9.3 million, offset by: (i) \$2.9 million from the sale of investments; (ii) \$1.8 million from insurance recovery proceeds; and (iii) \$1.4 million from the reduction of station acquisition deposits and costs.

Financing Activities

Net cash flows (used in) provided by financing activities were \$(65.4) million, \$(169.2) million and \$180.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. For the year ended December 31, 2009, the cash flows used in financing activities primarily reflects the net repurchase of our Notes (after a discount of \$21.6 million).

For the year ended December 31, 2008, the cash flows used in financing activities primarily reflect the net repayment of debt (including the repurchase of our Notes) of \$132.2 million, the payment of dividends of \$21.6 million and the repurchase of our common stock of \$13.9 million.

For the year ended December 31, 2007, net cash flows provided by financing activities primarily reflect a net increase in outstanding indebtedness of \$297.5 million, offset by: (1) the payment of \$58.0 million in dividends; (2) the repurchase of \$55.0 million of our Class A common stock; and (3) deferred financing expense of \$4.7 million related to our new credit facility.

Our Former Credit Agreement

Our former credit agreement (Former Facility) consisted of a five-year \$900 million (as amended) senior secured revolving credit agreement with a syndicate of banks that was to mature on August 11, 2009. The Former Facility was secured by a pledge of 100% of the capital stock and other equity interest in all of our 100% owned

Table	of	Contents	

subsidiaries and required us to comply with certain financial covenants and leverage ratios, which were defined terms within the agreement.

Income Taxes

During the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, we paid a nominal amount in income taxes as we have benefited from the tax deductions available on acquired assets, which are primarily intangible assets such as broadcasting licenses and goodwill. We anticipate that it will not be necessary to make any additional quarterly estimated federal, and most state, income tax payments for 2010, based upon projected quarterly taxable income and our ability to utilize federal net operating loss carryforwards beginning with 2009 and certain state net operating loss carryforwards beginning with 2006.

Income Tax Refunds

As a result of tax legislation during the fourth quarter of 2009 that allowed us to carryback our 2008 net operating loss for five years rather than for two years, we recorded \$7.0 million under prepaid and refundable income taxes as we expect to recover this amount in the first quarter of 2010 for income taxes we previously paid.

In 2008, we received \$14.6 million in income tax refunds that was primarily comprised of: (1) \$8.4 million in previously paid federal income taxes as a result of a 2007 net operating loss carryback; and (2) \$6.2 million in refunds related to federal and state estimated tax prepayments.

Dividends

Previously, we have used a portion of our capital resources to pay dividends on a quarterly basis effective beginning with the first quarter of 2006 and ending with and including the third quarter of 2008. Our Board of Directors has not declared any dividends since that time.

Pursuant to our Bank Facility as amended during March 2010, during periods when our Consolidated Leverage Ratio exceeds six times, we will be prohibited from paying dividends.

Any future dividends will be at the discretion of the Board of Directors based upon the relevant factors at the time of such consideration.

Share Repurchase Programs

Our most recent share repurchase program, which had \$25.4 million remaining in authorization for repurchases, expired on June 30, 2009. Depending on market conditions and other factors, new share repurchase programs could be commenced or suspended at any time or from time to time without prior notice.

Over the past several years, our Board of Directors authorized several programs to repurchase our Class A common stock. Under these repurchase programs, we repurchased and immediately retired: (1) in 2009, 0.7 million shares for an aggregate of \$0.9 million at an average price of \$1.34 per share; (2) in 2008, 2.1 million shares for an aggregate of \$13.9 million at an average price of \$6.72 per share; and (3) in 2007, 2.2 million shares for an aggregate of \$55.0 million at an average price of \$25.28 per share.

2009 Option Exchange Program

In February 2009, our Board of Directors approved an amendment to the Entercom Equity Compensation Plan to permit a one-time Option Exchange Program (2009 OEP), which amendment was approved at the May 2009 shareholders meeting. On April 13, 2009, we commenced the 2009 OEP (subject to shareholder approval) by making an exchange offer to our eligible employees and non-employee directors. We offered such persons the opportunity to make an election to exchange all of their outstanding stock options with exercise prices equal to or greater than \$11.80 per share for a lesser number of restricted stock units. The exchange ratio under the 2009 OEP was as follows:

Table of Contents

Option	Exchange Ratio
Strike Price	(Options For RSUs)
At least \$11.80, but less than \$30.00	2.25 for 1
\$30.00 or more	4.5 for 1

On May 15, 2009, following the May 14, 2009 expiration of our 2009 OEP, we granted 0.7 million restricted stock units in exchange for 2.1 million options. As a result of the 2009 OEP, the number of restricted stock units that can be issued under the Plan was effectively increased by 0.7 million as all restricted stock units granted did not count against the restricted stock sublimit. In addition, the number of awards that can be issued under the Plan was effectively reduced by 2.1 million as all options that were exchanged will not be available for re-grant under the Plan.

Senior Subordinated Note Repurchases

On March 5, 2002, we issued \$150.0 million of Notes due March 1, 2014. As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, we had outstanding \$6.6 million and \$83.5 million, respectively, as we repurchased and retired, during the years 2009 and 2008, \$76.9 million and \$66.5 million of the Notes, respectively.

On March 8, 2010, we redeemed at par all of the remaining \$6.6 million Notes outstanding.

Interest on the Notes, which were in denominations of \$1,000 each, accrued at the rate of 7.625% per annum and were payable semi-annually in arrears on March 1 and September 1. Effective March 1, 2010, the Notes were callable at a redemption price of 100.0% of their principal amount plus accrued interest. The Notes were unsecured and ranked junior to our senior indebtedness. Our Notes also required us to comply with certain covenants that limited, among other things, our ability to incur indebtedness and to make certain payments.

Capital Expenditures

Capital expenditures for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 were \$2.5 million, \$8.6 million and \$9.3 million, respectively. We anticipate that capital expenditures in 2010 will be between \$4.0 million and \$5.0 million.

Credit Rating Agencies

Effective for 2009, we elected to discontinue our subscription to such ratings services. On a continuing basis, credit rating agencies such as Moody s Investor Services and Standard and Poor s may evaluate our debt in order to assign a credit rating. Any future significant downgrade in our credit rating could adversely impact our future liquidity by limiting or eliminating our ability to obtain debt financing.

Contractual Obligations

The following table reflects a summary of our contractual obligations as of December 31, 2009:

Contractual Obligations:	Total		Less Than 1 Year Payments Due By Period 1 To 3 Years (amounts in thousands)					3 To 5 Years	More Than 5 Years	
Long-term debt obligations (1)	\$	779,554	\$	103,085	\$	669,193	\$	7,233	\$	43
Operating lease obligations		67,274		11,837		20,985		14,699		19,753
Finance method lease obligations (2)		31,588		706		1,477		14,177		15,228
Purchase obligations (3)		235,133		63,330		90,316		46,487		35,000
Other long-term liabilities (4)		29,415		1,362		13,665		1,129		13,259
Total	\$	1,142,964	\$	180,320	\$	795,636	\$	83,725	\$	83,283

⁽¹⁾ Our Bank Facility had outstanding debt in the amount of \$729.0 million as of December 31, 2009. The maturity under our Bank Facility could be accelerated if we do not maintain compliance with certain covenants.

Under our Notes, the maturity could be accelerated if we do not maintain compliance with certain covenants or

Table of Contents

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

could be repaid in cash by us at our option prior to the due date of the Notes. On March 8, 2009, we redeemed at par all of the remaining \$6.6 million Notes outstanding.
(c) The above table includes projected interest expense under the remaining term of our Bank Facility and our Notes.
Finance lease obligations outstanding include principal and interest expense. When our continuing involvement in this transaction ceases over a remaining period of 42 months in the year 2013, we expect we will discontinue finance accounting and instead treat our obligations under the accounting guidance for capital and operating leases.
Also, we will recognize additional obligations included in the above table that cover lease payments for the period subsequent to the 42 month period of continuing involvement. The minimum term for each of the leases is 20 years, which covers the period of continuing involvement and the period subsequent to continuing involvement. For further discussion, see Note 9, Financing Method Lease Obligations in the accompanying notes to the financial statements.
(3) (a) We have purchase obligations of \$233.6 million including contracts primarily for on-air personalities, sports programming rights, ratings services, music licensing fees, equipment maintenance and certain other operating contracts.
(b) In addition to the above, we have \$1.5 million in liabilities primarily related to our obligation to provide a letter of credit.
Included within total other long-term liabilities of \$29.4 million are liabilities for unrecognized tax positions of \$5.3 million, which have been reflected in the above table in the column labeled as More Than 5 Years as it is impractical to determine whether there will be a cash impact to an individual year. Please see Note 7, Income Taxes, in the accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements for a discussion of deferred tax liabilities, including liabilities for unrecognized tax positions.

We utilize letters of credit to back certain payment and performance obligations. Letters of credit are subject to limits based on amounts outstanding under our credit facility. We had an outstanding letter of credit of \$1.5 million as of December 31, 2009.

We enter into interest rate contracts (collars and swaps) to hedge a portion of our variable rate debt. See Note 10 in the accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements for a detailed discussion of our derivative instruments.

We do not have any relationships with unconsolidated entities or financial partnerships, such as entities often referred to as structured finance or special purpose entities, which would have been established for the purpose of facilitating off-balance sheet financial arrangements or other contractually narrow or limited purposes at December 31, 2009. Accordingly, we are not materially exposed to any financing, liquidity, market or credit risk that could arise if we had engaged in such relationships.

As of December 31, 2009, we had no other off-balance sheet arrangements.

Tabl	e of	Contents
1 au	U OI	Contents

Market Capitalization

As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, our total market capitalization was \$263.1 million and \$45.6 million, respectively, which was \$149.1 million more and \$54.6 million less, respectively, than our equity book value. As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, our stock price was \$7.07 per share and \$1.23 per share, respectively. The difference in market capitalization versus equity book value can be attributed to: (i) a partial recovery in our stock price as compared to recent historical averages; and (ii) a reduction in equity book value due to impairments to broadcasting licenses and goodwill during the past several years (please refer to Note 3, Intangible Assets And Goodwill in the accompanying notes to the financial statements for a discussion of impairment losses in 2009, 2008 and 2007).

Intangibles

As of December 31, 2009, approximately 79.8% of our total assets consisted of radio broadcast licenses and goodwill, the value of which depends significantly upon the operational results of our business. We could not operate our radio stations without the related FCC license for each station. FCC licenses are subject to renewal every eight years; consequently, we continually monitor the activities of our stations to ensure they comply with all regulatory requirements. Subject to delays in processing by the FCC, historically, all of our licenses have been renewed at the end of their respective eight-year periods, and we expect that all licenses will continue to be renewed in the future. (See Part I, Item 1A, Risk Factors, for a discussion of the risks associated with the renewal of licenses.)

Inflation

In 2009, we were not significantly impacted by the effects of inflation on our radio station operating expenses, including wages and equipment, although the exact impact cannot be reasonably determined.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements Not Yet Adopted

In June, 2009, the accounting standards were amended for determining whether to consolidate a variable interest entity. These amended standards eliminate a mandatory quantitative approach to determine whether a variable interest provides the entity with a controlling financial interest in a variable interest entity in favor of a qualitatively focused analysis, and require an ongoing reassessment of whether an entity is the primary beneficiary. These amended standards are effective January 1, 2010. We do not anticipate the adoption of these amendments to have any effect on our results of operations, cash flows or financial position.

For a discussion of recent accounting pronouncements which impacted 2009, please see Note 2 in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of the financial statements and the amount of reported revenues and expenses during the reporting period. We base our estimates on historical experience and various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily available from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different circumstances or by using different assumptions.

We consider the following policies to be important in understanding the judgments involved in preparing our financial statements and the uncertainties that could affect our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Revenue Recognition

We recognize revenue from the sale of commercial broadcast time to advertisers when the commercials are broadcast, subject to meeting certain conditions such as persuasive evidence that an arrangement exists, the price is fixed and determinable and collection is reasonably assured. These criteria are generally met at the time an

Table of Contents

advertisement is broadcast, and the revenue is recorded net of advertising agency commission. Based upon past experience, the use of these criteria has been a reliable method to recognize revenues.

Allowance For Doubtful Accounts

We record an allowance for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from our customers—failure to make payments to us. We specifically review historical write-off activity by market, large customer concentrations, customer creditworthiness, the economic conditions of the customer—s industry, and changes in our customer payment practices when evaluating the adequacy of the allowance for doubtful accounts. Our historical estimates have been a reliable method to estimate future allowances. Our historical reserves have generally ranged between 3% and 5% of our outstanding receivables. Due to the recent economic downturn and its effect on our business and our customer base, our accounts receivable reserve has been in the higher range with a reserve of 4.6% as of December 31, 2009 and higher than usual in the prior year with a reserve of 5.6% as of 2008. If the financial condition of our customers or markets were to deteriorate, resulting in an impairment of their ability to make payments, then additional allowances could be required. Our net income would be negatively impacted by \$0.02 per share (basic and diluted), assuming a 1% increase in our outstanding accounts receivable allowance of \$0.7 million as of December 31, 2009 (assuming a full valuation allowance against any tax benefit).

Contingencies And Litigation

On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our exposure related to contingencies and litigation and record a liability when available information indicates that a liability is probable and estimable. We also disclose significant matters that are reasonably possible to result in a loss or are probable but not estimable.

Estimation Of Our Tax Rates

We must make certain estimates and judgments in determining income tax expense for financial statement purposes. These estimates and judgments must be used in the calculation of certain tax assets and liabilities because of differences in the timing of recognition of revenue and expense for tax and financial statement purposes.

We must assess the likelihood that we will be able to recover our deferred tax assets. In 2009 and 2008, we recorded full valuation allowances for our net deferred tax assets primarily due to our cumulative losses over the past three years. As changes occur in our assessments regarding our ability to recover our deferred tax assets, our tax provision is decreased in any period in which we determine that the recovery is probable.

In addition, the calculation of our tax liabilities requires us to account for uncertainties in the application of complex tax regulations. We recognize liabilities for uncertain tax positions based on the two-step process prescribed within the interpretation of accounting for uncertain tax positions. The first step is to evaluate the tax position for recognition by determining if the weight of available evidence indicates that it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained on audit based upon its technical merits, including resolution of related appeals or litigation processes, if any. The second step requires us to estimate and measure the tax benefit as the largest amount that has greater than a 50%

likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement. It is inherently difficult and subjective to estimate such amounts, as this requires us to determine the probability of various possible outcomes. We reevaluate these uncertain tax positions, and review whether any new uncertain tax positions have arisen, on a quarterly basis. This evaluation is based on factors including, but not limited to, changes in facts or circumstances, changes in tax law, effectively settled issues under audit, historical experience with similar tax matters, guidance from our tax advisors, and new audit activity. A change in recognition or measurement would result in the recognition of a tax benefit or an additional charge to the tax provision in the period in which the change occurs.

We believe our estimates of the value of our tax contingencies and valuation allowances are critical accounting estimates, as they contain assumptions based on past experiences and judgments about potential actions by taxing jurisdictions. It is reasonably likely that the ultimate resolution of these matters may be greater or less than the amount that we have currently accrued. Excluding discrete items of tax, changes in our valuation allowance and impairment losses, our tax rate over the past several years has varied between a range of high 30% to low 40%. The effect of a 1% increase in our estimated tax rate as of December 31, 2009, would be minimal as the 1% tax would be applied to a marginal loss for the year.

Table of Contents

Valuation Of Share-Based Compensation

We determine the fair value of our employee stock options at the date of grant using a Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The Black-Scholes option-pricing model was developed for use in estimating the value of exchange-traded options that have no vesting restrictions and are fully transferable. Our employee stock options have characteristics significantly different from these traded options. In addition, option-pricing models require the input of highly subjective assumptions, including the expected stock price volatility and expected term of the options granted.

We determine the fair value of restricted stock units with service and market conditions using a Monte Carlo simulation model. The fair value is based on the use of certain assumptions regarding a number of highly complex and subjective variables. If other reasonable assumptions were used, the results could differ.

Radio Broadcasting Licenses And Goodwill

We have made acquisitions in the past for which a significant amount of the purchase price was allocated to broadcasting licenses and goodwill assets. As of December 31, 2009, we have recorded approximately \$746.0 million in radio broadcasting licenses and goodwill, which represents 79.8% of our total assets at that date. We must conduct impairment testing at least annually, or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the asset might be impaired, and charge to operations an impairment expense only in the periods in which the recorded value of these assets is more than their fair value. After an impairment expense is recognized, the recorded value of these assets will be reduced by the impairment recognized and this shall be the assets new accounting basis. In 2009 and 2008, we recorded impairment losses of \$67.7 million and \$835.7 million, respectively, for radio broadcasting licenses and goodwill.

We believe our estimate of the value of our radio broadcasting licenses and goodwill assets is a critical accounting estimate as the value is significant in relation to our total assets, and our estimate of the value uses assumptions that incorporate variables based on past experiences and judgments about future performance of our stations. These variables include but are not limited to: (1) the risk-adjusted discount rate used in the valuation of fair value; (2) market share and profit margin of an average station within a market based upon market size and station type; (3) the forecast growth rate of each radio market, including assumptions regarding each market s population, household income, retail sales and other factors that would influence advertising expenditures; (4) estimated capital start-up costs and losses incurred during the early years; (5) the likely media competition within the market area; (6) an effective tax rate assumption; and (7) future terminal values. Changes in our estimates of the fair value of these assets could result in material future period write-downs in the carrying value of our broadcasting licenses and goodwill assets.

During the second quarter of 2009, we completed the impairment test for broadcasting licenses and determined that the fair value of the broadcasting licenses was less than the amount reflected in the balance sheet for each of our markets, other than Seattle, and recorded an impairment loss of \$60.8 million. The prolonged economic downturn negatively impacted the radio broadcasting industry as advertising revenues continued to decline and expectations for growth over the next year were reduced. The projected growth levels for the industry and for us were less than those originally forecasted for 2009, which was the primary reason for further impairment to broadcasting licenses in the second quarter. As revenues decline, profitability levels are also negatively impacted as fixed costs represent a large component of a radio station s operating expenses. As a result, the asset base is particularly sensitive to the impact of continued declining revenues.

The methodology we use in determining our key estimates and assumptions for broadcasting licenses was applied consistently to each market (each market s broadcasting licenses are a single unit of accounting). As a result, the analysis for each market is the same. Of the seven variables identified above, we believe that the first three (in clauses (1) through (3)) are the most important to the determination of value. The following table reflects these key estimates and assumptions since the most recent impairment test for broadcasting licenses in the fourth quarter of 2008. The table also depicts the range of operating profit margin and market long-term revenue growth rates used for determining the fair value of our broadcasting licenses. In general, when comparing between the second quarter of 2009 and the fourth quarter of 2008: (1) the market specific operating profit margin range declined; and (2) the market long-term revenue growth rates were consistent; however, current period revenues were less than previously projected for 2009.

Table of Contents

	Second Quarter 2009	Fourth Quarter 2008
Discount rates	10.6%	10.6%
Operating profit margin ranges	21.0% to 44.0%	21.0% to 46.7%
Market long-term revenue growth rates	1.0% to 2.5%	1.0% to 2.0%

We believe all of our markets broadcasting licenses, except for one, are at risk for future impairment. The methodology used in determining our key estimates and assumptions was applied consistently to each market. While we believe we have made reasonable estimates and assumptions to calculate the fair value of our broadcasting licenses, these estimates and assumptions could be materially different. If actual results for the industry are not consistent with our estimates and assumptions, then we could incur additional impairments.

Operating profit margins are defined as profit before interest, depreciation and amortization, income tax and corporate allocation charges. Operating profits are then divided by broadcast revenues, net of agency and national representative commissions, to compute the operating profit margins. Operating profit margins are projected based upon industry operating profit norms, which reflect market size and station type. This assumption is not specific to the performance of our stations in a market, but is predicated on the expectation that a new entrant into the market could reasonably be expected to perform at a level similar to a typical competitor. If the outlook for the industry s growth declines further, then operating profit margins would be negatively impacted, which would further impair the value of our broadcasting licenses.

The long-term market growth rates are estimated from information available from industry sources, using historical and expected performance, which could be different in each of our markets. Each market s revenues were determined based upon this data and market revenues were forecast over a ten-year projection period to reflect the expected long-term growth rates for the radio broadcast industry and for each of our markets. If the estimates used for the industry s growth are less than forecasted, then our broadcasting licenses could be further impaired.

The discount rate to be used by a typical market participant reflects the risk inherent in future cash flows for the broadcast industry and the same discount rate was used for each of our markets. The discount rate is calculated by weighting the required returns on interest-bearing debt and common equity capital in proportion to their estimated percentages in an expected capital structure. The capital structure was estimated based upon data available for publicly traded companies in the broadcast industry. The discount rate could be negatively impacted by reductions in the industry s forecasted growth rates that directly impact future cash flows.

If we were to assume a 100 basis point change in our three key assumptions (a reduction in the long-term revenue growth rate, a reduction in the operating performance cash flow and an increase in the weighted average cost of capital) used to determine the fair value of our broadcasting licenses and goodwill during the second quarter of 2009, the following would be the incremental impact:

Sensitivity Analysis Of 100 Basis Point Change

	Loi R	esults Of ng-Term evenue Growth Rate ecrease	O _l Per Ca D	Results Of Operating Performance Cash Flow Decrease (amounts in millions)		Results Of Weighted Average Cost Of Capital Increase
Broadcasting Licenses						
Impairment assumption sensitivity result	\$	127.6	\$	84.0	\$	159.7
Impairment recorded during the second quarter of 2009		60.8		60.8		60.8
Incremental broadcasting licenses impairment		66.8		23.2		98.9
·						
<u>Goodwill</u>						
Impairment assumption sensitivity result		2.6		6.6		5.0
Impairment recorded during the second quarter of 2009		6.9		6.9		6.9
Incremental goodwill impairment (decrease)		(4.3)		(0.3)		(1.9)
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		, í		, i		
Total incremental impairment from sensitivity analysis	\$	62.5	\$	22.9	\$	97.0

Please refer to Note 3, Intangible Assets And Goodwill, in the accompanying notes to the financial statements for a discussion of several key assumptions used in the fair value estimate of our broadcasting licenses and goodwill during the second quarter of 2009 as compared to the fourth quarter 2008 interim impairment test.

For a more comprehensive list of our accounting policies, please see Note 2, Significant Accounting Policies, accompanying the consolidated financial statements included in our latest annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements included with Form 10-K contains several other policies, including policies such as governing the timing of revenue recognition, that are important to the preparation of our consolidated financial statements, but do not meet the SEC s definition of critical accounting policies because they do not involve subjective or complex judgments. In addition, for further discussion of new accounting policies that were effective for us on January 1, 2009, please refer to the new accounting standards under Note 2 to the accompanying notes to the financial statements.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We are exposed to market risk from changes in interest rates on our variable rate Bank Facility. From time to time, we may seek to limit our exposure to interest rate volatility through the use of derivative rate hedging instruments. If the borrowing rates under our LIBOR loans were to increase 1% above the rates as of December 31, 2009, our interest expense under our Bank Facility would increase by approximately \$2.5 million on an annual basis, including any interest benefit or interest expense associated with the use of outstanding derivative rate hedging instruments.

During the year ended December 31, 2009, the following derivative rate hedging transactions to fix interest on our variable rate debt were outstanding in the aggregate notional amount of \$550.0 million. These rate hedging transactions are tied to the one-month LIBOR interest rate.

Table of Contents

Type Of Hedge	Ai (ai	otional mount mounts millions)	Effective Date		Collar	Fixed LIBOR Rate		Expiration Date	Effective Date That Notional Amount Decreases	Notional Amount After Decrease (amounts (in millions)
Swap	\$	225.0	January 28, 2008		n/a	3.)3%	January 28, 2011	January 28, 2010	\$ 150.0
Collar		100.0	February 28, 2008	[Cap Floor		00% 14%] February 28, 2011	n/a	n/a
Swap		125.0	March 28, 2008		n/a	2.	91%	September 28, 2011	n/a	n/a
Swap		100.0	May 28, 2008		n/a	3.	52%	May 28, 2012	n/a	n/a
Total	\$	550.0								

The net fair value (based upon current market rates) of the rate hedging transactions is included as derivative instruments in long-term liabilities, which is based upon the maturity dates. Our rate hedging transactions are tied to the one-month LIBOR interest rate, which may fluctuate significantly on a daily basis. The fair value of the hedging transaction is affected by a combination of several factors, including the change in the one-month LIBOR rate and the forward interest rate to maturity. Any increase in the one-month LIBOR rate and/or the forward interest rate to maturity results in a more favorable valuation, while any decrease in the one-month LIBOR rate and/or forward interest rate to maturity results in a less favorable valuation. The fair value of our derivative instruments outstanding as of December 31, 2009 was a liability of \$13.4 million, which was a \$1.8 million decrease in the liability as compared to the balance as of December 31, 2008. This positive change was primarily due to the effect of a decrease in the remaining period of each derivative instrument as the forward interest rate to maturity remained relatively flat.

Our credit exposure under our hedging agreements, or similar agreements we may enter into in the future, is the cost of replacing such agreements in the event of non-performance by our counter-party. To minimize this risk, we select high credit quality counter-parties. We do not anticipate nonperformance by such counter-parties, and no material loss would be expected in the event of the counter-parties nonperformance.

Our cash equivalents are money market instruments consisting of short-term government securities and repurchase agreements that are fully collateralized by government securities. We do not believe that we have any material credit exposure with respect to these assets.

Our credit exposure related to our accounts receivable does not represent a significant concentration of credit risk due to the quantity of advertisers, the minimal reliance on any one advertiser, the multiple markets in which we operate and the wide variety of advertising business sectors.

See also additional disclosures regarding liquidity and capital resources made under Part II, Item 7 above.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Our consolidated financial statements, together with related notes and the report of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm, are set forth on the pages indicated in Part IV, Item 15.

ITEM 9.	CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTIN	NG AND
FINANCL	AL DISCLOSURE	

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation Of Controls And Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Exchange Act) that are designed to ensure that: (1) information required to be disclosed in our Exchange Act reports

44

Table of Contents

is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission s rules and forms; and (2) such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating our disclosure controls and procedures, our management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and our management is required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

We carried out an evaluation, under the supervision of and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2009. Based on the foregoing, our President/Chief Executive Officer and Executive Vice President - Operations/Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of December 31, 2009, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level.

Changes In Internal Controls

There has been no change in the Company s internal controls over financial reporting during the Company s most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company s internal controls over financial reporting.

Management s Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Internal control over financial reporting refers to the process designed by, or under the supervision of, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, and effected by our board of directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and includes those policies and procedures that:

- pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company;
- provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Company; and
- provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Internal control over financial reporting cannot provide absolute assurance of achieving financial reporting objectives because of its inherent limitations. Internal control over financial reporting is a process that involves human diligence and compliance and is subject to lapses in judgment and breakdowns resulting from human failures. Internal control over financial reporting also can be circumvented by collusion or improper management override. Because of such limitations, there is a risk that material misstatements may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis by internal control over financial reporting. However, these inherent limitations are known features of the financial reporting process. Therefore, it is possible to design into the process safeguards to reduce, though not eliminate, this risk. Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting for the Company.

Management has used the framework set forth in the report entitled Internal Control - Integrated Framework published by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations (COSO) of the Treadway Commission to evaluate the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting. Based on this evaluation, management has concluded that the Company s internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2009. The effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009 has been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report which appears herein.

David J. Field, President and Chief Executive Officer

Stephen F. Fisher, Executive Vice President - Operations and Chief Financial Officer

45

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

In connection with the Amendment to our Bank Facility on March 11, 2010, we wrote off certain expenses incurred as of December 31, 2009. As a result, our financial results for the quarter and year ended December 31, 2009, as released on February 23, 2010, have been adjusted to reflect an additional expense of \$0.3 million relating to the Amendment. Upon the filing of this Annual Report on From 10K, the Company is replacing the Selected Financial Data Non-GAAP Disclosures and Covenant Analysis disclosures on its website, originally posted February 23, 2010 and March 11, 2020, respectively, with updated disclosures to reflect this subsequent event. See Item 8, Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, which includes updated fourth quarter summarized financial data.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The information required by this Item 10 is incorporated in this report by reference to the applicable information set forth in our proxy statement for the 2010 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which we expect to file with the Securities Exchange Commission prior to April 30, 2010.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required by this Item 11 is incorporated in this report by reference to the applicable information set forth in our proxy statement for the 2010 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which we expect to file with the Securities Exchange Commission prior to April 30, 2010.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS

The information required by this Item 12 is incorporated in this report by reference to the applicable information set forth in our proxy statement for the 2010 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which we expect to file with the Securities Exchange Commission prior to April 30, 2010.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The information required by this Item 13 is incorporated in this report by reference to the applicable information set forth in our proxy statement for the 2010 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which we expect to file with the Securities Exchange Commission prior to April 30, 2010.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

The information required by this Item 14 is incorporated in this report by reference to the applicable information set forth in our proxy statement for the 2010 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which we expect to file with the Securities Exchange Commission prior to April 30, 2010.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a) The following documents are filed as part of this Report:

Document		Page
Consolidated Financial Statements	Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	50
Consolidated Financial Statements		
	Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008	51
	Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007	52
	Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the Years Ended December 31, 2009,	53
	2008 and 2007	
	Statements of Shareholders Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and	54
	<u>2007</u>	
	Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007	56
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	58
Index to Exhibits		118

47

Table of Contents

(b) Exhibits

Number Description	
3.01 Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of the Entercom Communications Corp, as amended on December 1	9, 2007. (1)
3.02 Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Entercom Communications Corp. (2)	
4.01 Indenture dated as of March 5, 2002 by and among Entercom Radio, LLC and Entercom Capital, Inc., as co-issuers,	he
Guarantors named therein and HSBC Bank USA, as trustee. (3) (Originally filed as Exhibit 4.02)	
4.02 First Supplemental Indenture dated as of March 5, 2002 by and among Entercom Radio, LLC and Entercom Capital,	Inc., as
co-issuers, the Guarantors named therein and HSBC Bank USA, as trustee. (3) (Originally filed as Exhibit 4.03)	
10.01 Employment Agreement, dated July 1, 2007, between Entercom Communications Corp. and David J. Field. (4)	
10.02 First Amendment To Employment Agreement, dated December 15, 2008, between Entercom Communications Corp.	and David J.
Field. (5) (Originally filed as Exhibit 10.02)	
10.03 Employment Agreement, dated July 1, 2007, between Entercom Communications Corp. and Joseph M. Field. (6)	
10.04 First Amendment To Employment Agreement, dated December 15, 2008, between Entercom Communications Corp.	and Joseph
M. Field. (5) (Originally filed as Exhibit 10.04)	
10.05 Employment Agreement, dated December 19, 2007, between Entercom Communications Corp. and Stephen F. Fishe	r. (7)
10.06 First Amendment To Employment Agreement, dated December 15, 2008, between Entercom Communications Corp.	and Stephen
F. Fisher. (5) (Originally filed as Exhibit 10.06)	
10.07 Employment Agreement, dated December 17, 1998, between Entercom Communications Corp. and John C. Donlevic	e. (8)
10.08 Entercom Non-employee Director Compensation Policy. (9)	
10.09 Amended and Restated Entercom Equity Compensation Plan. (10)	
10.10 Entercom Annual Incentive Plan. (11)	
10.11 Credit Agreement dated as of June 18, 2007 among Entercom Radio, LLC, as the Borrower, Entercom Communicati	ons Corp., as
the Parent, Bank of America, N.A. as Administrative Agent and L/C Issuer, JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A. as Syndica	tion Agent,
BMO Capital Markets, Corp., BNP Paribas, Mizuho Corporate Bank, LTD., Suntrust Bank as Co-Documentation Ag	ents and The
Other Lenders Party Hereto. (12)	
21.01 Information Regarding Subsidiaries of Entercom Communications Corp. (13)	
23.01 Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP. (13)	
31.01 Certification of President and Chief Executive Officer required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a), as created by S	ection 302 of
the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. (13)	
31.02 Certification of Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a),	as created by
Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. (13)	
32.01 Certification of President and Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as created by Section 906 of the	
Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. (14)	
32.02 Certification of Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as created by Sec	ction 906 of
the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. (14)	

⁽¹⁾ Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.01 to our Amendment to Registration Statement on Form S-1, as filed on January 27, 1999 (File No. 333-61381), Exhibit 3.1 of our Current Report on Form 8-K as filed on December 21, 2007 and Exhibit 3.02 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2009, as filed on August 5, 2009.

⁽²⁾ Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.01 to our Current Report on Form 8K filed on February 21, 2008.

⁽³⁾ Incorporated by reference to an exhibit (as indicated above) to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2002, as filed on May 13, 2002.

Table of Contents

- (4) Incorporated by reference to as Exhibit 10.01 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2007, as filed on November 9, 2007.
- (5) Incorporated by reference to an exhibit (as indicated above) to our Annual Report on Form 10-Q for the year ended December 31, 2008, as filed on February 26, 2009.
- (6) Incorporated by reference to as Exhibit 10.02 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A for the quarter ended September 30, 2007, as filed on November 21, 2007.
- (7) Incorporated by reference to as Exhibit 10.03 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007, as filed on February 22, 2008.
- (8) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.03 to our Amendment to Registration Statement on Form S-1, as filed on January 6, 1999. (File No. 333-61381).
- (9) Incorporated by reference to Item 1.01 to our Current Report on Form 8K filed on February 19, 2008.
- (10) Incorporated by reference to Appendix A to our Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A filed on March 23, 2009.
- (11) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.02 to our Current Report on Form 8K filed on May 19, 2008.
- (12) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.01 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2007, as filed on August 2, 2007.
- (13) Filed herewith.
- (14) These exhibits are submitted as accompanying this Annual Report on Form 10-K and shall not be deemed to be filed as part of such Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders

of Entercom Communications Corp.:

In our opinion, the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income (loss), shareholders equity and cash flows present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Entercom Communications Corp. and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2009 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company s management is responsible for these financial statements, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in Management s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting appearing under Item 9A. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements and on the Company s internal control over financial reporting based on our integrated audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

As discussed in Note 7 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company changed the manner in which it accounts for uncertain tax positions in 2007.

A company s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

March 15, 2010

50

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS OF ENTERCOM COMMUNICATIONS CORP.

ENTERCOM COMMUNICATIONS CORP.

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(amounts in thousands, except share data)

	DECEM		
	2009		2008
ASSETS:			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 10,751	\$	4,284
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$3,299 in 2009 and \$4,476			
in 2008	68,319		75,354
Prepaid expenses and deposits, other	4,701		4,824
Prepaid and refundable federal and state income taxes	7,628		628
Insurance claim receivable	16,500		
Total current assets	107,899		85,090
Investments	391		1,376
Net property and equipment	71,740		84,864
Radio broadcasting licenses	707,852		768,646
Goodwill	38,168		45,050
Deferred charges and other assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$23,354 in 2009 and			
\$21,675 in 2008	8,486		11,708
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 934,536	\$	996,734
LIABILITIES:			
Accounts payable	\$ 477	\$	352
Accrued expenses	13,152		15,231
Accrued compensation and other current liabilities	12,678		16,708
Insurance claim payable	16,500		
Long-term debt, current portion	80,024		30,023
Total current liabilities	122,831		62,314
Long-term debt, net of current portion	655,728		803,674
Financing method lease obligations	12,610		
Other long-term liabilities	29,415		30,489
Total long-term liabilities	697,753		834,163
Total liabilities	820,584		896,477
CONTINGENCIES AND COMMITMENTS			
SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY:			
Preferred stock \$0.01 par value; authorized 25,000,000 shares; no shares issued and			
outstanding			
Class A common stock \$0.01 par value; voting; authorized 200,000,000 shares; issued and			
outstanding 29,755,161 in 2009 and 29,479,188 in 2008	297		295
Class B common stock \$0.01 par value; voting; authorized 75,000,000 shares; issued and			
outstanding 7,457,532 in 2009 and 7,607,532 in 2008	75		76
Class C common stock \$0.01 par value; nonvoting; authorized 50,000,000 shares; no			
shares issued and outstanding			
Additional paid-in capital	588,622		582,325
1 1 1			

Accumulated deficit	(461,610)	(467,177)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(13,432)	(15,262)
Total shareholders equity	113,952	100,257
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY	\$ 934,536	\$ 996,734

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

ENTERCOM COMMUNICATIONS CORP.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(amounts in thousands, except shares and per share data)

		Y 2009	EARS EN	DED DECEMBER 2008	31,	2007
NET REVENUES	\$	372,432	\$	438,822	\$	468,351
OPERATING (INCOME) EXPENSE:						
` ,						
Station operating expenses, including non-cash compensation expense of \$1,976 in 2009, \$2,552 in 2008 and \$2,374 in 2007		254,042		276,187		283,541
Depreciation and amortization expense		16,600		20,442		16,631
Corporate general and administrative expenses, including non-cash		10,000		20,442		10,031
expense of \$4,912 in 2009, \$7,304 in 2008 and \$5,834 in 2007		22.875		26.917		28.888
Impairment loss		67,676		835,716		84,037
Net time brokerage agreement (income) fees		(2)		(233)		14,001
Net (gain) loss on sale or disposal of assets		420		(9,899)		(647)
Total operating expense		361.611		1,149,130		426,451
OPERATING INCOME (LOSS)		10,821		(710,308)		41,900
OF ERATING INCOME (LOSS)		10,621		(710,308)		41,900
OTHER (INCOME) EXPENSE:						
Interest expense, including amortization of deferred financing						
expense of \$1,518 in 2009, \$1,647 in 2008 and \$1,681 in 2007		31,229		45,040		51,183
Interest and dividend income		(58)		(323)		(740)
Net (gain) loss on extinguishment of debt		(20,805)		(6,949)		458
Net gain on derivative instruments				(34)		(162)
Net (gain) loss on investments		966		469		(245)
Other income		(380)		(3,339)		(895)
TOTAL OTHER EXPENSE		10,952		34,864		49,599
LOSS FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS						
BEFORE INCOME TAXES (BENEFIT)		(131)		(745,172)		(7,699)
INCOME TAXES (BENEFIT)		(5,529)		(232,600)		695
INCOME (LOSS) FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS		5,398		(512,572)		(8,394)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes (benefit)		3,370		(4,079)		37
NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$	5,398	\$	(516,651)	\$	(8,357)
(2002)	т.	2,22	· ·	(0.10,00.1)		(0,221)
NET INCOME (LOSS) PER SHARE - BASIC AND DILUTED						
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$	0.15	\$	(13.94)	\$	(0.22)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes (benefit)	-	0.00	-	(0.11)	-	(**==)
NET INCOME (LOSS) PER SHARE - BASIC AND						
DILUTED	\$	0.15	\$	(14.05)	\$	(0.22)
DIVIDENDS DECLARED AND PAID PER COMMON						
SHARE	\$		\$	0.58	\$	1.52
WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES:						
Basic		35,321,431		36,782,166		38,229,695
Diluted		36,402,800		36,782,166		38,229,695
Dilucu		30,402,800		30,762,100		30,447,093

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

ENTERCOM COMMUNICATIONS CORP.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

(amounts in thousands)

	2009	YEARS I	ENDED DECEMBER 2008	31,	2007
NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$ 5,398	\$	(516,651)	\$	(8,357)
OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS), NET OF TAXES (BENEFIT):					
Net unrealized gain (loss) on investments, net of taxes of \$86 in 2008 and a tax benefit of \$145 in 2007			132		(276)
Net unrealized gain (loss) on derivatives, net of taxes (benefit) of \$0 in 2009 and \$0 in 2008	1,830		(15,262)		
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)	\$ 7,228	\$	(531,781)	\$	(8,633)

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

ENTERCOM COMMUNICATIONS CORP.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2009, 2008 AND 2007

(amounts in thousands, except share data)

	Clas	Common	Stock Clas	ss B	Additional Paid-in	Retained Earnings (Accumulated	Other Comprehensive	
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Capital	Deficit)	Income (Loss)	Total
Balance, December 31, 2006 Net loss Conversion of Class B common stock to Class A common stock	32,379,345	\$ 324	8,046,805	\$ 80	\$ 641,889	\$ 134,655 (8,357		\$ 777,092 (8,357)
Accounting change, net of taxes Compensation expense	139,273	·	(132,213)	(1)		(1,850)	(1,850)
related to granting of stock options					212			212
Compensation expense related to granting of restricted stock units	488,677	5			9,059	1		9,064
Issuance of common stock related to an incentive plan Exercise of stock options	22,525				465			465
(including tax benefits) Common stock repurchase	21,585 (2,176,039)	(22)			559 (54,979			559 (55,001)
Purchase of vested employee restricted stock units	(42,666)	(=2)			(1,290			(1,290)
Payments of dividends of \$1.52 per share Net dividend equivalents on						(57,992)	(57,992)
restricted stock units (net of forfeitures and payments) Net unrealized loss on						(1,859		(1,859)
investments Balance, December 31, 2007 Net loss	31,132,700	311	7,607,532	76	595,915	64,597 (516,651	` /	(276) 660,767 (516,651)
Compensation expense related to granting of stock options					425	, ,)	425
Compensation expense related to granting of restricted stock units	478,075	5			8,236			8,241
Issuance of common stock related to an incentive plan	72,092	1			328			329
Common stock repurchase Purchase of vested employee	(2,073,518)	(21)			(13,923			(13,944)
restricted stock units	(130,161)	(1)			(1,374	.)		(1,375)

ENTERCOM COMMUNICATIONS CORP.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2009, 2008 AND 2007

(amounts in thousands, except share data)

	Class	Common Stock Class A Class B		Additional Paid-in					
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Capital	Deficit)	(Loss)	Total	
Payments of dividends of \$0.58 per share Net dividend equivalents on					(7,282)	(14,301)		(21,583)	
restricted stock units (net of forfeitures and payments)						(822)		(822)	
Net unrealized loss on derivatives							(15,262)	(15,262)	
Net unrealized gain on investments							132	132	
Balance, December 31, 2008	29,479,188	295	7,607,532	76	582,325	(467,177)	(15,262)	100,257	
Net income						5,398		5,398	
Conversion of Class B									
common stock to Class A common stock	150,000	1	(150,000)	(1)					
Compensation expense related to granting of					40.7			40.7	
stock options Compensation expense					485			485	
related to granting of									
restricted stock units	796,824	8			6,380			6,388	
Issuance of common	·				ŕ			,	
stock related to an									
incentive plan	74,369				97			97	
Common stock	(662,664)	(7)			(000)			(880)	
repurchase Purchase of vested	(662,664)	(7)			(882)			(889)	
employee restricted									
stock units	(82,556)				(104)			(104)	
Net dividend									
equivalents on									
restricted stock units									
(net of forfeitures and						160		160	
payments) Realization of tax					321	169		169 321	
benefit for dividend					321			321	

equivalent payments								
Net unrealized gain on								
derivatives							1,830	1,830
Balance, December 31,								
2009	29,755,161	\$ 297	7,457,532	\$ 75 \$	588,622 \$	(461,610) \$	(13,432) \$	113,952

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

ENTERCOM COMMUNICATIONS CORP.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(amounts in thousands)

		YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,				
	2	2009		2008		2007
OPERATING ACTIVITIES:						
Net income (loss)	\$	5,398	\$	(516,651)	\$	(8,357)
Income from discontinued operations before impairment loss, net						
of taxes				(520)		(37)
Impairment loss from discontinued operations				6,675		
Deferred tax benefit from discontinued operations				(2,076)		
Income (loss) from continuing operations		5,398		(512,572)		(8,394)
Adjustments to reconcile income (loss) from continuing operations						
to net cash provided by continuing operating activities:						
Depreciation and amortization		16,600		20,442		16,634
Amortization of deferred financing costs		1,518		1,647		1,681
Deferred taxes (benefit) and other		999		(232,600)		9,628
Tax benefit on exercise of options						29
Provision for bad debts		2,708		4,594		3,322
Net (gain) loss on sale or disposal of assets		420		(9,899)		(647)
Non-cash stock-based compensation expense		6,888		9,856		8,208
Net (gain) loss on investments		966		469		(245)
Net gain on derivatives				(34)		(162)
Deferred rent		(9)		694		178
Unearned revenue - long-term		(739)		460		(38)
Net (gain) loss on extinguishment of debt		(20,805)		(6,949)		458
Deferred compensation		1,596		903		952
Tax benefit for vesting of restricted stock unit awards				(474)		(1,199)
Impairment loss		67,676		835,716		84,037
Other income		(380)		(3,590)		(895)
Changes in assets and liabilities (net of effects of acquisitions and dispositions in all years and the effect of deconsolidation activities in 2008):						
Accounts receivable		4,324		10,365		(3,442)
Prepaid expenses and deposits		(16,397)		777		(988)
Prepaid and refundable income taxes		(7,000)		14,431		(7,734)
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities		12,874		(1,775)		(8,465)
Accrued interest expense		(1,944)		(2,073)		(258)
Accrued expenses - long-term		(577)				577
Prepaid expenses - long-term		(214)		200		200
Net cash provided by continuing operating activities		73,902		130,588		93,437
Net cash provided by discontinued operating activities				29		55
Net cash provided by operating activities		73,902		130,617		93,492
INVESTING ACTIVITIES:						
Additions to property and equipment		(2,467)		(8,553)		(9,281)
Proceeds from sale of property, equipment, intangibles and other						
assets		106		33,991		97
Purchases of radio station assets				(374)		(268,308)

Deferred charges and other assets	(120)	(1,110)	(2,436)
Purchases of investments			(68)
Proceeds from investments	39	251	2,857

Table of Contents

ENTERCOM COMMUNICATIONS CORP.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(amounts in thousands)

		YE 2009	ARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2008	2007
INVESTING ACTIVITIES (CONTINUED):		2009	2008	2007
Proceeds from termination of radio station contract		380		
Proceeds from insurance recovery		200	3,590	1,844
Station acquisition deposits and costs			4,149	1,419
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities		(2,062)	31,944	(273,876)
FINANCING ACTIVITIES:				
Deferred expenses related to bank facility and finance method lease		(2.42)		44 6045
obligations		(342)		(4,691)
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt		39,000	56,000	933,500
Proceeds from the financing method of lease obligations		12,610		
Payments of long-term debt		(60,023)	(129,522)	(636,021)
Retirement of senior subordinated notes		(55,272)	(58,633)	
Purchase of the Company s common stock		(889)	(13,944)	(55,001)
Proceeds from issuance of employee stock plan		82	278	396
Proceeds from the exercise of stock options				530
Purchase of vested restricted stock units		(104)	(1,375)	(1,290)
Payment of dividend equivalents on vested restricted stock units		(756)	(917)	(96)
Realization of tax benefit for dividend equivalents payments		321		
Payment of dividends			(21,583)	(57,992)
Tax benefit for vesting of restricted stock unit awards			474	1,199
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities		(65,373)	(169,222)	180,534
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH				
EQUIVALENTS		6,467	(6,661)	150
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, BEGINNING OF YEAR		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10.945	
	\$	4,284	\$ 4.284 \$	10,795
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, END OF YEAR	Þ	10,751	\$ 4,284 \$	10,945
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW				
INFORMATION:				
Cash paid during the period for:				
Interest	\$	31,643	\$ 44,612 \$	49,752
Income taxes	\$	192	\$ 22 \$	497
Dividends	\$			

SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES ON NON-CASH INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES:

During the year ended December 31, 2009, the Company issued 1.1 million restricted stock units (including 0.7 million restricted stock units under a 2009 Option Exchange Program and 0.2 million for modified market conditioned restricted stock units) and will increase its additional paid-in capital by \$1.7 million, before forfeitures, over the vesting period of the restricted stock units. Due to an unusually high number of forfeitures in 2009, additional paid-in-capital will be reduced by \$2.6 million over the vesting period of the restricted stock units.

During the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, the Company issued 0.5 million and \$0.5 million restricted stock units (net of forfeitures), respectively, and will increase its paid-in capital by \$6.0 million and \$12.3 million, respectively, over the vesting period of the restricted stock units.

On March 14, 2008, the Company completed an exchange of radio station assets with another party and as a result the Company: (1) received \$220.0 million in assets, including cash of \$1.0 million; (2) provided assets with a basis of \$210.0 million (including transaction costs); and (3) recorded a gain of \$10.0 million.

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

ENTERCOM COMMUNICATIONS CORP.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2009, 2008 AND 2007

1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND ORGANIZATION

Nature Of Business Entercom Communications Corp. (the Company) is one of the five largest radio broadcasting companies in the United States with a nationwide portfolio in excess of 100 stations in 23 markets, including San Francisco, Boston, Seattle, Denver, Portland, Sacramento and Kansas City.

2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Principles Of Consolidation The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its subsidiaries, all of which are 100% owned. All inter-company transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation. The Company also considers the applicability of any variable interest entities (VIE) that are required to be consolidated by the primary beneficiary. From time to time, the Company enters into time brokerage agreements (TBA) in connection with pending acquisitions or dispositions of radio stations and the requirements to consolidate a VIE may apply, depending on the facts and circumstances related to each transaction. As of December 31, 2009, there are no pending acquisitions or dispositions.

Reportable Segment - The Company operates under one reportable business segment, radio broadcasting, for which segment disclosure is consistent with the management decision-making process that determines the allocation of resources and the measuring of performance.

Management s Use Of Estimates The preparation of consolidated financial statements, in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, requires the Company to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities, as of the date of the consolidated financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Significant estimates and assumptions are used for, but not limited to: (1) asset impairments, including broadcasting licenses and goodwill; (2) income tax valuation allowances; (3) uncertain tax positions; (4) allowance for doubtful accounts; (5) self-insurance reserves; (6) fair value of equity awards; (7) estimated lives for tangible and intangible assets; (8) fair value measurements for financial instruments such as interest rate hedging transactions; and (9) contingency and litigation reserves. The Company s accounting estimates require the use of judgment as future events and the effect of these events cannot be predicted with certainty. The accounting estimates will change as new

events occur, as more experience is acquired and as more information is obtained. The Company will evaluate and update assumptions and estimates on an ongoing basis and may use outside experts to assist in the Company s evaluation, as considered necessary. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Income Taxes The Company applies the liability method of accounting for deferred income taxes. Deferred income taxes are recognized for all temporary differences between the tax and financial reporting bases of the Company s assets and liabilities based on enacted tax laws and statutory tax rates applicable to the periods in which the differences are expected to affect taxable income. A valuation allowance is recorded for a net deferred tax asset balance when it is more likely than not that the benefits of the tax asset will not be realized. The Company continues to assess the need for its deferred tax asset valuation allowance in the jurisdictions in which it operates. Any adjustment to the deferred tax asset valuation allowance would be recorded in the income statement of the period that the adjustment is determined to be required. The Company also accounts for uncertain tax positions that a company has taken or expects to take on a tax return. Please see Note 7, Income Taxes, for a further discussion.

Property And Equipment Property and equipment are carried at cost. Major additions or improvements are capitalized, while repairs and maintenance are charged to expense when incurred. Upon sale or retirement, the related cost and accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts, and any gain or loss is recognized in the statement of operations. The Company reviews on a continuing basis the carrying value of property and equipment. If events or changes in circumstances were to indicate that an asset s carrying value is not recoverable, a write-down of the asset would be recorded through a charge to operations.

Depreciation expense on property and equipment is determined on a straight-line basis. The estimated useful lives for depreciation are as follows:

Table of Contents

Property Type	Period Of Depreciation
Land Improvements	10-15 years
Building	20 years
Equipment	3-20 years
Furniture and fixtures	5-10 years
Leasehold improvements	shorter of economic life or lease term

For the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, the depreciation expense for property and equipment was \$15.1 million, \$16.3 million and \$15.3 million, respectively.

As of December 31, 2009, the Company had no construction commitments outstanding.

As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, the following is a summary of the categories of property and equipment:

	December 31,				
	2009	2008			
	(amounts in thousands)				
Property And Equipment:					
Land, land easements and land improvements	\$ 16,500	\$	16,486		
Buildings	20,935		20,964		
Equipment	123,362		122,986		
Furniture and fixtures	15,504		15,029		
Leasehold improvements	21,091		21,129		
	197,392		196,594		
Accumulated depreciation	(126,399)		(113,036)		
	70,993		83,558		
Capital improvements in progress	747		1,306		
Net property and equipment	\$ 71,740	\$	84,864		

Revenue Recognition Revenue from the sale of commercial broadcast time to advertisers is recognized when the commercials are broadcast. Revenues presented in the financial statements are reflected on a net basis, after the deduction of advertising agency fees by the advertising agencies, usually at a rate of 15% of gross revenues. Promotional fees are recognized as services are rendered. Advertiser payments received in advance of when the commercials are broadcast are recorded as unearned revenue.

As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company recorded current unearned revenues in the amounts of \$0.8 million and \$1.4 million, respectively, which amounts are included in the balance sheets under accrued compensation and other current liabilities. As of December 31, 2008, the Company recorded long-term unearned revenues in the amount of \$0.7 million, which amount is included in the balance sheet under other long-term liabilities.

Comprehensive Income (Loss) The Company s comprehensive income (loss) consists of net income (loss) and other items recorded directly to the equity accounts. The Company recorded to the statements of comprehensive income (loss): (1) for the year ended December 31, 2009, an unrealized gain on derivatives of \$1.8 million (a valuation allowance was reduced by \$1.2 million against the income taxes on the gain); (2) for the year ended December 31, 2008, (a) an unrealized loss on derivatives of \$15.3 million (a valuation allowance of \$6.0 million was fully reserved against the income tax benefit); and (b) an unrealized gain on investments of \$0.2 million; and (3) for the year ended December 31, 2007, an unrealized loss on investments of \$0.4 million. As of December 31, 2009, the Company s other comprehensive loss of \$13.4 million consisted of a net unrealized loss from interest rate derivative transactions.

Concentration Of Risk For the year ended December 31, 2009, six of the Company s 23 market clusters (Boston, Denver, Kansas City, Portland, San Francisco and Seattle) generated in excess of 50% of the Company s net revenues. For the year ended December 31, 2008, six of the Company s 23 market clusters (Boston, Kansas City,

Table of Contents

Portland, Sacramento, San Francisco and Seattle) generated in excess of 50% of the Company s net revenues. For the year ended December 31, 2007, six of the Company s 23 market clusters (Boston, Kansas City, Portland, Sacramento, San Francisco and Seattle) generated in excess of 50% of the Company s net revenues.

Concentration Of Credit Risk The Company s revenues and accounts receivable relate primarily to the sale of advertising within its radio stations broadcast areas. Credit is extended based on an evaluation of the customers financial condition and, generally, collateral is not required. Credit losses are provided for in the financial statements and consistently have been within management s expectations. The Company also maintains deposit accounts with financial institutions. At times, such deposits may exceed FDIC insurance limits.

Long-Lived Assets The Company evaluates the recoverability of its long-lived assets, which include property and equipment, broadcasting licenses (subject to an eight-year renewal cycle, which renewal information for each of the Company s radio stations is available at the website of the Federal Communications Commission, www.FCC.gov), goodwill, deferred charges, and other assets (see Note 3). The determination and measurement of an impairment loss require the use of significant judgments and estimates. Future events may impact these judgments and estimates.

Debt Issuance Costs The costs related to the issuance of debt are capitalized and accounted for as interest expense over the lives of the related debt. For the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, the Company recognized interest expense related to amortization of debt issuance costs, excluding the expense for the early extinguishment of debt, of \$1.5 million, \$1.6 million and \$1.7 million, respectively, which amounts were included in interest expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

Extinguishment Of Debt For the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company recorded a gain of \$20.8 million (net of a \$0.8 million write-off of deferred financing costs) and \$6.9 million (net of a \$0.9 million write-off of deferred financing costs), respectively, to the statement of operations on the partial extinguishment of the Company s 7.625% Senior Subordinated Notes (the Notes). For the year ended December 31, 2007, the Company recorded a loss on extinguishment of debt of \$0.5 million to the statement of operations as the Company entered into a new senior credit facility that replaced the Company s existing credit facility (see Note 8). At such time as the Company amends, appends or replaces, in part or in full, its credit agreement, the Company reviews its unamortized financing costs, to determine the amount subject to extinguishment under the accounting provisions for changes in line-of-credit or revolving-debt arrangements.

Corporate General And Administrative Expense Corporate general and administrative expense consists of corporate overhead costs and non-cash compensation expense. Included in corporate general and administrative expenses are those costs not specifically allocable to any of the Company s individual business properties.

Time Brokerage Agreement (TBA) (Income) Fees TBA (income) fees consist of fees paid or received under agreements which permit an acquirer to program and market stations prior to acquisition. The Company sometimes enters into such agreements prior to the consummation of station acquisitions and dispositions. For those radio stations operated by the Company prior to acquisition, for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, the Company recorded: (1) TBA fees of under \$0.1 million and \$15.3 million, respectively; (2) net revenues of \$6.1 million and \$53.4 million, respectively; and (3) station operating expenses of \$3.6 million and \$32.7 million, respectively. For those radio stations operated by a buyer prior to disposition by the Company, for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, the Company recorded TBA income of \$0.2 million and \$1.3 million, respectively.

Barter Transactions The Company provides advertising broadcast time in exchange for certain products, supplies and services. The terms of the exchanges generally permit the Company to preempt such broadcast time in favor of advertisers who purchase time on regular terms. The Company includes the value of such exchanges in both broadcasting net revenues and station operating expenses. Barter valuation is based upon management s estimate of the fair value of the products, supplies and services received. For the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, amounts reflected under barter transactions were: (1) barter revenues of \$4.3 million, \$4.1 million and \$4.3 million, respectively; and (2) barter expenses of \$4.2 million, \$4.2 million and \$4.2 million, respectively.

Business Combinations Accounting guidance for business combinations provides the criteria to recognize intangible assets apart from goodwill. Other than goodwill, the Company uses a direct value method to determine the fair value of all intangible assets required to be recognized for business combinations. See Note 2, Recent Accounting Standards, for a discussion of a change to the accounting guidance for business combinations that was effective for the Company as of January 1, 2009. For a discussion of impairment testing of those assets acquired in a business combination, including goodwill, see Note 3.

Table of Contents

Leases The Company recognizes: (1) escalated rents, including any rent holidays, on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease for those lease agreements where the Company receives the right to control the use of the entire leased property at the beginning of the lease term; (2) amortization expense over the shorter of the economic lives of the leasehold assets or the lease term, excluding any lease renewals unless the lease renewals are reasonably assured; (3) landlord incentive payments to the Company as deferred rent that is amortized as reductions to lease rent expense over the lease term; and (4) rental costs associated with ground or building operating leases, that are incurred during a construction period, as rental expense. As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, the deferred rent liabilities were \$3.4 million for each year and are included in other under long-term liabilities. Please refer to Note 9, Finance Method Lease Obligations, for a discussion of certain leases that could be capitalized at a future time.

For those leasehold improvements acquired in a business combination or acquired subsequent to lease inception, the amortization period is based on the lesser of the useful life of the leasehold improvements or the period of the lease including all renewal periods that are reasonably assured of exercise at the time of the acquisition.

Asset Retirement Obligations The Company reasonably estimates the fair value of an asset retirement obligation. For an asset retirement obligation that is conditional (uncertainty about the timing and/or method of settlement), the Company factors into its fair value measurement a probability factor as the obligation is conditional on a future event that may or may not be within the control of the Company.

Accrued Compensation Certain types of employee compensation are paid in subsequent periods. As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company recorded accrued compensation in the amounts of \$6.8 million and \$7.5 million, respectively, which amounts are included in the balance sheets under accrued compensation and other current liabilities.

Cash And Cash Equivalents Cash and cash equivalents consist primarily of amounts held on deposit with financial institutions, including investments held in financial institutions in immediately available money market accounts and all highly liquid debt instruments with initial maturities of three months or less.

Accounts Receivable And Related Allowance For Doubtful Accounts Accounts receivable are primarily attributable to advertising that has aired on the Company s radio stations but for which payment has not been collected, net of agency commissions, and an estimated allowance for doubtful accounts. Advertisers are generally invoiced for the advertising after the advertisements are aired. An allowance for doubtful accounts is recorded using several factors including management s judgment of the collectability of the accounts receivable, historical information, relative improvements or deteriorations in the age of the accounts receivable and changes in current economic conditions.

The accounts receivable balance and reserve for doubtful accounts, as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, are presented in the following table:

		Decem	ber 31,		
	:	2009		2008	
		(amounts in	thousand	s)	
Accounts receivable	\$	71,618	\$	79,830	

Allowance for doubtful accounts

Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts

As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company has recorded accounts receivable credits in the amounts of \$1.8 million and \$1.9 million, respectively, which amounts as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 are included in the balance sheets under accrued compensation and other current liabilities.

\$

(3,299)

68,319

\$

(4,476)

75,354

The following table presents the changes in the allowance for doubtful accounts for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007:

Table of Contents

Year Ended	Balance At Beginning Of Year		Additions Charged To Costs And Expenses		Deductions From Reserves]	Balance At End Of Year
		(amounts in thousands)					
December 31, 2009	\$ 4,476	\$	2,708	\$	(3,885)	\$	3,299
December 31, 2008	2,746		4,594		(2,864)		4,476
December 31, 2007	3,009		3,322		(3,585)		2,746

Derivative Financial Instruments The Company follows accounting guidance for its derivative financial instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts, and hedging activities (for further discussion, see Note 10).

Share-Based Compensation The Company records compensation expense for all share-based payment awards made to employees and directors, including employee stock options and employee stock purchase plan purchases at estimated fair values. The Company also uses the simplified method in developing an estimate of the expected term of certain stock options. For further discussion of share-based compensation, please see Note 15).

Investments For those investments in which the Company has the ability to exercise significant influence over the operating and financial policies of the investee, the investment is accounted for under the equity method. For those investments in which the Company does not have a significant influence, the Company applies the accounting guidance for certain investments in debt and equity securities. An investment is classified into one of three categories: held-to-maturity, available-for-sale, or trading securities, and, depending upon the classification, is carried at fair value based upon quoted market prices or historical cost when quoted market prices are unavailable.

The Company also provides certain quantitative and qualitative disclosures for those investments that are impaired (other than temporary) at the balance date and for which an impairment has not been recognized. Please see Note 5, Investments, for further discussion.

Advertising And Promotion Costs Costs of media advertising and associated production costs are expensed when incurred.

Insurance And Self-Insurance Liabilities The Company uses a combination of insurance and self-insurance mechanisms to provide for the potential liabilities for workers compensation, general liability, property, director and officers liability, vehicle liability and employee health care benefits. Liabilities associated with the risks that are retained by the Company are estimated, in part, by considering claims experience, demographic factors, severity factors, outside expertise and other actuarial assumptions. For any legal costs expected to be incurred in connection with a loss contingency, the Company recognizes the expense as incurred.

Recognition Of Insurance Recoveries The Company recognizes insurance recoveries when all of the contingencies related to the insurance claims have been satisfied.

Sports Programming Costs Programming costs which are for a specified number of events are amortized on an event-by-event basis, and programming costs which are for a specified season are amortized over the season on a straight-line basis. The Company allocates that portion of sports programming costs that are related to sponsorship and marketing activities to sales and marketing expenses on a straight-line basis over the term of the agreement.

Reclassifications Certain reclassifications have been made to the prior years financial statements to conform to the presentation in the current year.

Recent Accounting Standards

Variable Interest Entities

In June, 2009, the accounting standards were amended for determining whether to consolidate a variable interest entity. These amended standards eliminate a mandatory quantitative approach to determine whether a variable interest provides the entity with a controlling financial interest in a variable interest entity in favor of a

Table of Contents

qualitatively focused analysis, and require an ongoing reassessment of whether an entity is the primary beneficiary. These amended standards are effective January 1, 2010. The Company does not anticipate that the adoption of these standards will have any effect on the Company s results of operations, cash flows or financial position.

Subsequent Events

In May 2009, an accounting standard on subsequent events was approved, which sets forth the period, circumstances and disclosure after the balance sheet date during which management shall evaluate events or transactions that may occur for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements. This standard, which was effective for the Company for the period ended June 30, 2009, did not have an effect on the Company s result of operations, cash flows or financial position.

Accounting Standards Codification

In June 2008, an accounting standards codification was approved as a single source of authoritative nongovernmental U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) that was effective for the Company in the third quarter of 2009. The codification does not change current U.S. GAAP, but is intended to simplify user access to all authoritative literature related to a particular topic in one place. The Company s adoption of this codification did not have an impact on the Company s results of operations, cash flows or financial position.

Determination Of The Useful Life Of Intangible Assets

In April 2008, an accounting standard was issued regarding the determination of the useful life of intangible assets. This standard amends the factors that should be considered in developing the renewal or extension assumptions used to determine the useful life of recognized intangible assets. This standard requires expanded disclosure regarding the determination of intangible asset useful lives and also improves the consistency between the useful life of a recognized intangible asset and the period of expected cash flows used to measure the fair value of the asset. This standard was effective for the Company on January 1, 2009. The impact to the Company will be limited to the application of this standard to future acquisitions.

Disclosures About Derivative Instruments And Hedging Activities

In March 2008, disclosure requirements for derivative instruments and hedging activities were changed. Entities are required to provide enhanced disclosures about: (1) how and why an entity uses derivative instruments; (2) how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under U.S. GAAP and its related interpretations; and (3) how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity s financial position, financial performance and cash flows. The Company has included the relevant disclosures herein under Note 10, Derivatives And Hedging Activities.

Table of Contents

3. INTANGIBLE ASSETS AND GOODWILL

(A) Indefinite-Lived Intangibles

The Company does not amortize its goodwill and certain intangible assets. The Company has concluded that its acquired broadcasting licenses are treated as an indefinite-lived intangible asset and, similar to goodwill, these assets are reviewed at least annually for impairment. At the time of each review, if the recorded value of goodwill and certain intangibles (such as broadcasting licenses) is more than their fair value, then a charge is recorded to the results of operations.

The Company may only write down the carrying value of its indefinite-lived intangibles, but is not permitted to increase the carrying value if the fair value of these assets subsequently increases.

Change In Annual Testing Period For Broadcasting Licenses

In 2009, the Company changed the period when it performs its annual impairment test for broadcasting licenses from the first quarter to the second quarter of each year, in line with its annual impairment test for goodwill. An interim impairment test for broadcasting licenses was performed during the fourth quarter of 2008.

(1) Broadcasting Licenses

The Company performs its broadcasting license impairment test by evaluating its broadcasting licenses for impairment at the market level using the direct method. Indefinite-lived intangible assets are combined into a single unit of accounting for purposes of testing impairment. Since the broadcasting licenses in each of the markets are operated as a single asset, each market s broadcasting licenses are a single unit of accounting. The Company determines the fair value of the broadcasting licenses in each of its markets by relying on a discounted cash flow approach (a 10-year income model) assuming a start-up scenario in which the only assets held by an investor are broadcasting licenses. The Company s fair value analysis contains assumptions incorporating variables that are based on past experiences and judgments about future performance using industry normalized information for an average station within a certain market. These variables include, but are not limited to: (1) the risk-adjusted discount rate used in the valuation of fair value; (2) market share and profit margin of an average station within a market based upon market size and station type; (3) the forecast growth rate of each radio market, including assumptions regarding each market s population, household income, retail sales and other factors that would influence advertising expenditures; (4) estimated capital start-up costs and losses incurred during the early years; (5) the likely media competition within the market area; (6) an effective tax rate assumption; and (7) future terminal values.

Broadcasting License Impairment For The Year Ended December 31, 2009

During the second quarter of 2009, the Company completed the impairment test for broadcasting licenses and determined that the fair value of the broadcasting licenses was less than the amount reflected in the balance sheet for each of the Company s markets, other than Seattle, and recorded an impairment loss of \$60.8 million. The prolonged economic downturn negatively impacted the radio broadcasting industry as advertising revenues continued to decline and expectations for growth over the next year were reduced. The projected growth levels for the industry and the Company were less than those originally forecasted for 2009, which was the primary reason for further impairment to broadcasting licenses in the second quarter. As revenues decline, profitability levels are also negatively impacted as fixed costs represent a large component of a radio station s operating expenses. As a result, the asset base is particularly sensitive to the impact of continued declining revenues.

The methodology used by the Company in determining its key estimates and assumptions was applied consistently to each market. As a result, the analysis for each market is the same. Of the seven variables identified above, the Company believes that the first three (in clauses (1) through (3)) are the most important to the determination of fair value. The following table reflects these estimates and assumptions since the most recent impairment test of broadcasting licenses in the fourth quarter of 2008. The table also depicts the range of operating profit margin and market long-term revenue growth rates used for determining the fair value of the Company s broadcasting licenses. In general, when comparing between the second quarter of 2009 and the fourth quarter of 2008: (1) the market specific operating profit margin range declined; and (2) the market long-term revenue growth rates were consistent; however, current period revenues were less than previously projected for 2009.

Table of Contents

	Second Quarter 2009	Fourth Quarter 2008
Discount rates	10.6%	10.6%
Operating profit margin ranges	21.0% to 44.0%	21.0% to 46.7%
Market long-term revenue growth rates	1.0% to 2.5%	1.0% to 2.0%

The following table presents, in thousands, the changes in broadcasting licenses for each of the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007:

	Carrying Amount (amounts in thousands)
Balance at December 31, 2006	\$ 1,351,389
Acquisitions	240,384
Deconsolidated entities	(109,419)
Assets held for sale	(126,685)
Loss on impairment	(38,686)
Balance at December 31, 2007	1,316,983
Acquisitions	210,358
Reversal of assets held for sale	3,650
Loss on impairment	(762,345)
Balance at December 31, 2008	768,646
Loss on impairment	(60,794)
Balance at December 31, 2009	\$ 707,852

If actual market conditions are less favorable than those projected by the industry or the Company, or if events occur or circumstances change that would reduce the fair value of the Company s broadcasting licenses below the amount reflected in the balance sheet, the Company may be required to recognize impairment charges, which may be material, in future periods.

Broadcasting Licenses Impairment For The Year Ended December 31, 2008

During the fourth quarter of 2008, the Company determined that the fair value of the broadcasting licenses was less than the amount reflected in the balance sheet for each of its markets, other than Seattle, and recorded an impairment loss of \$645.3 million. The impairment loss was indicative of a trend in the broadcast industry and was not unique to the Company. Factors that contributed to the impairment loss were changes in estimates and assumptions since the most recent annual test in the first quarter of 2008, including but not limited to: (1) a decrease of up to 50% in advertising revenue growth projections to the low single digits for the broadcasting industry; (2) a decrease in operating profit margins of up to 4 percentage points; and (3) an increase in the cost of capital by 25% to the low double digits from the high single digits.

During the second quarter of 2008, the Company determined that the fair value of several of its markets broadcasting licenses was impaired under the second step of its annual goodwill analysis. As a result, the Company recorded an impairment loss in the Denver, Greenville, Indianapolis and Memphis markets on an aggregate basis of \$117.0 million and reduced its carrying value of broadcasting licenses. Contributing factors to the impairment were a decline in the available advertising dollars in these markets and its effect on the Company s operations, coupled with changes in the anticipated growth of these markets.

Except as otherwise described herein, during the first quarter of 2008, the Company completed the non-amortizing intangible asset impairment test for broadcasting licenses and determined that the fair value of the broadcasting licenses was equal to or greater than the amount reflected in the balance sheet for each of the Company s markets. Based upon these results, no impairment charges were recorded.

Table of Contents

During the first quarter of 2008, the Company reviewed its carrying amount for the Rochester assets held for sale at that time and determined that an aggregate impairment loss for broadcasting licenses of \$6.4 million was necessary due to: (1) the continued decline in advertising revenues; (2) the filing of an application with the FCC to place these stations into a disposition trust; and (3) the potential for a forced sale by the disposition trustee (for further discussion, see Note 17, Discontinued Operations). The impairment loss was reflected in the statement of operations as discontinued operations.

Broadcasting Licenses Impairment For The Year Ended December 31, 2007

The Company completed the non-amortizing intangible asset impairment test for broadcasting licenses and determined that the fair value of the broadcasting licenses was less than the amount reflected in the balance sheet for several of the markets tested, including New Orleans, Greensboro and Norfolk. As a result, the Company recorded an impairment loss of \$38.7 million. Contributing factors to the impairment loss were a decline in the available advertising dollars in these markets and its effect on the Company s operations, coupled with changes in the anticipated growth of these markets. In addition, the broadcasting licenses in New Orleans were impacted by the longer-than-expected economic recovery of the region after Hurricane Katrina.

(2) Goodwill

The Company performs its annual impairment test on its goodwill during the second quarter of each year by comparing the fair value for each reporting unit with the amount reflected on the balance sheet. The Company has determined that a radio market is a reporting unit and, in total for 2009, the Company assessed goodwill at 22 separate reporting units. If the fair value for any reporting unit is less than the amount reflected in the balance sheet, an indication exists that the amount of goodwill attributed to a reporting unit may be impaired, and the Company is required to perform a second step of the impairment test. In the second step, the Company compares, to the amount reflected in the balance sheet, the implied fair value of the reporting unit s goodwill, determined by allocating the reporting unit s fair value to all of its assets and liabilities in a manner similar to a purchase price allocation.

To determine the fair value, the Company uses an income and market approach for each reporting unit. The market approach compares recent sales and offering prices of similar properties. The income approach uses the subject property s income generated over a specified time and capitalized at an appropriate market rate to arrive at an indication of the most probable selling price.

Goodwill Impairment For The Year Ended December 31, 2009

In step one of the Company s goodwill analysis during the second quarter, the Company considered the results of the market approach and the income approach in computing the fair value of the Company s reporting units. In the market approach, the Company applied an estimated market multiple of six times (consistent with the multiple used in the fourth quarter of 2008) to each reporting unit s operating performance to calculate the fair value. The Company applied the same market multiple consistently across all reporting units. In the income approach, the Company utilized the discounted cash flow method to calculate the fair value of the reporting unit (key estimates and assumptions are included in the table below). The results of step one indicated that it was necessary to perform the second step analysis in seven of the 22 markets (each market is a reporting unit). The fair values for two of the seven markets were marginally above book value. Management believes that these approaches are commonly used methodologies for valuing broadcast radio stations and that a six times multiple is an appropriate measurement

given the recent fall in market valuations of broadcast radio stations together with a historically low level of market transactions in recent months. The marginal stations were included in the Company s step two impairment testing due to the subjective nature of the step one analysis (unobservable inputs) and the sensitivities inherent in these calculations. Factors contributing to the determination of the reporting unit s operating performance were historical performance and/or management s estimates of future performance.

Under the second step, the Company determined that the fair value of the Company s goodwill was less than the amount reflected in the balance sheet for the seven markets tested, which were Austin, Greensboro, Greenville, Indianapolis, Kansas City, Memphis and Wichita, and recorded an impairment loss of \$6.9 million during the second quarter of 2009. Contributing factors to the impairment were a decline in the advertising dollars in these markets and its effect on the Company s operations, coupled with changes in the anticipated growth and profitability of these markets.

Table of Contents

The prolonged economic downturn negatively impacted the radio broadcasting industry as advertising revenues continued to decline and expectations for growth over the next year also declined. The projected revenue growth levels for the industry and the Company were less than those originally forecasted for 2009, which caused further goodwill impairment in the second quarter of 2009. As revenues decline, profitability levels are also negatively impacted as fixed costs represent a large component of a radio station s operating expenses. As a result, the asset base is particularly sensitive to the impact of declining revenues.

Goodwill reflected in the balance sheet as of December 31, 2009 was \$38.2 million. If actual market conditions are less favorable than those projected by the industry or the Company, or if an event occurs or circumstances change that would reduce the fair value of the Company s goodwill below the amount reflected in the balance sheet, the Company may be required to recognize impairment charges in future periods.

The following table reflects the Company s three key estimates and assumptions since the most recent impairment test in the fourth quarter of 2008. The table also depicts the range of operating profit margin and market long-term revenue growth rates for the Company s markets. In general, when comparing between the second quarter of 2009 and the fourth quarter of 2008: (1) the market specific operating profit margin range declined; and (2) the market long-term revenue growth rates were consistent; however, current period revenues were less than previously projected for 2009.

	Second Quarter 2009	Fourth Quarter 2008
Discount rates	10.6%	10.6%
Operating profit margin ranges	21.0% to 41.0%	21.0% to 44.0%
Market long-term revenue growth rates	1.0% to 2.5%	1.0% to 2.0%

The following table presents the changes in goodwill for each of the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007:

	2009	l Carrying Amount 2008 nts in thousands)	2007
Goodwill balance before cumulative loss on impairment as of			
January 1,	\$ 163,783	\$ 160,976	\$ 157,242
Accumulated loss on impairment as of January 1,	(118,733)	(45,362)	
Goodwill balance after cumulative loss on impairment as of			
January 1,	45,050	115,614	157,242
Loss on impairment during year	(6,882)	(73,371)	(45,353)
Assets held for sale			(237)
Deconsolidated entities			(3,771)
Acquisitions		2,807	7,733
Goodwill balance as of December 31,	\$ 38,168	\$ 45,050	\$ 115,614
Goodwill balance before cumulative loss on impairment as of			
December 31,	\$ 163,783	\$ 163,783	\$ 160,967
Accumulated loss on impairment as of December 31,	(125,615)	(118,733)	(45,353)
Goodwill balance as of December 31,	\$ 38,168	\$ 45,050	\$ 115,614

During the fourth quarter of 2008, the Company performed an interim impairment test of its goodwill and determined under the second step that the fair value of the Company s goodwill was less than the amount reflected in the balance sheet for three of the markets tested, including Greenville, Indianapolis and Memphis, and recorded an impairment loss of \$5.8 million. Factors that contributed to the impairment loss were changes in estimates and assumptions since the most recent annual test in the second quarter of 2008, including but not limited to: (1) a

Table of Contents

decrease of up to 50% in advertising revenue growth projections to the low single digits for the broadcasting industry; (2) a decrease in operating profit margins of up to seven percentage points; and (3) an increase in the cost of capital by 25% to the low double digits from the high single digits.

In determining which markets to test prior to the second step as described above, the Company computed the enterprise value by applying an estimated market multiple of mid to higher single digits (which was a decrease from the market multiple of low double digits that was used in the test during the second quarter of 2008) to the operating performance of each reporting unit. The Company applied the same market multiple consistently across all reporting units and determined that 15 of 23 markets tested may be impaired. Factors contributing to the determination of the reporting unit s operating performance were historical performance and/or management s estimates of future performance.

During the second quarter of 2008, the Company performed its annual impairment test and, as a result, the Company recorded an impairment loss of \$67.6 million on an aggregate basis for the Denver and Indianapolis markets. Contributing factors to the impairment were a decline in the available advertising dollars in these markets and its effect on the Company s operations, coupled with changes in the anticipated growth of the broadcasting industry and their impact on prices paid for radio stations.

During the first quarter of 2008, the Company reviewed its carrying amount for the Rochester assets held for sale at that time and determined that an impairment loss for goodwill of \$0.3 million was necessary due to: (1) the continued decline in advertising revenues; (2) the filing of an application with the FCC to place these stations into a disposition trust; and (3) the potential for a forced sale by the disposition trustee (for further discussion, see Note 17, Discontinued Operations). The impairment loss was reflected in the statement of operations as discontinued operations.

Goodwill Impairment For The Year Ended December 31, 2007

The Company performed its annual impairment test during the second quarter of 2007 and determined that it was necessary to record an impairment loss of \$45.3 million for the Denver market. A contributing factor to the impairment was a decline in the advertising dollars in the Denver market and its effect on the Company s operations.

(B) Definite-Lived Intangibles

The Company has definite-lived intangible assets that consist of advertiser lists and customer relationships, and acquired advertising contracts. These assets are amortized over the period for which the assets are expected to contribute to the Company's future cash flows and are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. For the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, the Company reviewed the carrying value and the useful lives of these assets and determined they were appropriate. The amount of the amortization expense for definite-lived intangible assets was \$0.2 million, \$2.9 million and \$0.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

As of December 31, 2009, 2008, the Company reflected \$0.8 million and \$0.9 million, respectively, in unamortized definite-lived assets, which amounts are as follows and are included in deferred charges and other assets on the balance sheet. For a listing of the assets comprising deferred charges and other assets, refer to Note 6, Deferred Charges And Other Assets.

Table of Contents

	December 31, 2009				
	Gross Carrying Amount	Am	cumulated ortization s in thousands)	N	let Amount
Advertiser lists and customer relationships	\$ 656	\$	615	\$	41
Acquired advertising contracts	6,352		6,352		
Permits, patents and trademarks	13		11		2
Deferred contracts and other agreements	1,618		875		743
Total	\$ 8,639	\$	7,853	\$	786

	December 31, 2008				
	Gross Carrying Amount	A	ccumulated mortization nts in thousands)		Net Amount
Advertiser lists and customer relationships	\$ 656	\$	575	\$	81
Acquired advertising contracts	6,352		6,352		
Permits, patents and trademarks	13		9		4
Deferred contracts and other agreements	1,618		761		857
Total	\$ 8,639	\$	7,697	\$	942

The following table presents the Company s estimate of amortization expense for definite-lived assets for each of the five succeeding years ended December 31:

	Definite- Lived Assets (amounts in thousands)
Years ending December 31,	
2010	\$ 124
2011	84
2012	81
2013	53
2014	49
Thereafter	395
Total	\$ 786

4. ACQUISITIONS, DIVESTITURES, OTHER EVENTS AND PRO FORMA SUMMARY

The Company consummated acquisitions of radio stations under the purchase method of accounting, and the purchase price, including transaction costs for acquisitions prior to 2009, was allocated to the assets based upon their respective fair values as determined as of the purchase date.

Table of Contents

Acquisitions And Dispositions For The Year Ended December 31, 2009

There were no acquisitions during the above period (other than as disclosed under Note 9, Financing Method Lease Obligations and Note 12, Contingencies, Commitments and Guarantor Arrangements), during the year ended December 31, 2009.

Acquisition For The Year Ended December 31, 2008

Acquisition Of Three Stations In San Francisco, California, In Exchange For Four Stations In Cincinnati, Ohio, And Three Stations In Seattle, Washington

On March 14, 2008, the Company completed an exchange transaction to acquire three radio stations in San Francisco, California, and \$1.0 million in cash for four radio stations in Cincinnati, Ohio, and three radio stations in Seattle, Washington. The fair value of the assets acquired in exchange for the assets disposed was accounted for under purchase price accounting, which resulted in a fair value of \$220.0 million.

As a result of the exchange transaction, the Company recorded \$2.8 million of goodwill which is fully deductible for income tax purposes. The exchange transaction provided the Company with entry into the San Francisco market, where management believes it can increase the market share of the acquired stations. Upon completion of the transactions described herein, the Company: (1) owns and operates three stations in San Francisco; (2) continues to own and operate four radio stations in the Seattle market; and (3) exited the Cincinnati market. The Company reported a gain on sale or disposal of assets of \$10.0 million, which was primarily attributable to the disposition of the three radio stations in the Seattle market.

The following is a summary of those radio stations that were included in the exchange on March 14, 2008:

Markets	Radio Stations	Transactions
San Francisco, CA	KDFC-FM; KBWF-FM; and KOIT-FM	Company acquired
Seattle, WA	KBSG-FM; KIRO-AM; and KTTH-AM	Company disposed
Cincinnati, OH	WKRQ-FM; WSWD-FM; WUBE-FM; and WYGY-FM	Company disposed

On February 26, 2007, each party to the exchange transaction commenced operations of the counter-party s respective radio stations pursuant to a TBA. During the period of the TBA, the Company: (1) included net revenues and station operating expenses associated with operating the San Francisco stations in the Company s financial statements; and (2) excluded net revenues and station operating expenses associated with operating the Cincinnati stations and three of the Seattle stations in the Company s consolidated financial statements. TBA income and TBA fees were equal in amount under the TBA agreements (other than the first three months when TBA income exceeded TBA expense by \$0.3 million).

During the period subsequent to the acquisition of the Cincinnati stations by the Company and prior to the disposition of the Cincinnati stations by the Company (November 30, 2007 through March 14, 2008), there were no revenues or station operating expenses reported by the Company,

as the counter-party to the exchange agreement operated these stations pursuant to a TBA.

For the three radio stations acquired in San Francisco, the aggregate purchase price, including transaction costs of \$0.4 million, was allocated as follows:

70

Table of Contents

Description	(in	Amount n thousands)	Useful Lives
Leasehold improvements	\$	1,919	7 years
Furniture and equipment		173	5 years
Equipment		2,032	3 to 5 years
Total tangible assets		4,124	
Advertiser lists and customer relationships		56	3 years
Acquired advertising contracts		2,039	less than 1 year
Broadcasting licenses		210,358	non-amortizing
Goodwill		2,807	non-amortizing
Total intangible assets		215,260	
Total assets		219,384	
Asset retirement liabilities		(10)	7 years
Net assets acquired	\$	219,374	

These assets were reviewed for impairment as described in Note 3, Intangible Assets And Goodwill.

Dispositions For The Year Ended December 31, 2008

Rochester, New York

On July 14, 2008, the Company completed the sale of three radio stations, WRMM-FM, WZNE-FM and WFKL-FM, for \$12.2 million in net cash proceeds. Pursuant to a TBA and an agreement of sale dated April 28, 2008, the buyer commenced operation of these stations on May 1, 2008. During the third quarter of 2008, the Company recorded a \$0.7 million gain on the disposition, which amount was recorded as discontinued operations. Upon completion of this transaction, the Company continues to own and operate five radio stations in the Rochester market.

As background on this disposition, on November 30, 2007, the Company purchased the assets of four radio stations serving the Rochester radio market. In connection with certain regulatory requirements, the Company agreed to divest three radio stations, two of which were radio stations that were acquired on November 30, 2007.

Austin, Texas

On January 15, 2008, the Company sold the radio station assets of KLQB-FM, formerly KXBT-FM, in Austin, Texas, for \$20.0 million in cash. The buyer had operated this station under a TBA since February 26, 2007. The Company believes that the divestiture of this station will not alter the competitive position of the remaining three stations the Company currently operates in this market. No gain or loss was reported on the sale.

As background on this disposition, on November 30, 2007, the Company purchased the assets of four radio stations serving the Austin radio market.

Acquisitions For The Year Ended December 31, 2007

Springfield, Massachusetts, Acquisition

On December 10, 2007, the Company acquired the radio station assets of WVEI-FM (formerly WBEC-FM), serving the Springfield, Massachusetts, radio market, for \$5.8 million in cash, of which \$2.3 million was paid as a deposit and \$3.5 million was paid from funds borrowed under the Company senior credit facility. The Company commenced operations under a TBA on October 26, 2006 and included the net revenues, station operating expenses and TBA fees associated with operating this station in the Company second consolidated financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006. The Company recorded less than \$0.1 million of goodwill, which amount is fully deductible for income tax purposes. Under the asset purchase agreement and the TBA, the Company did not assume any advertising contracts nor hire any employees. The proximity of this market to the stations that the

Table of Contents

Company currently operates in the Boston radio market has allowed for certain synergies in programming, sales and administration. The Company does not currently own or operate any other radio stations in this market.

For this acquisition, the aggregate purchase price, including transaction costs of less than \$0.1 million, was allocated as follows:

Description	Amount (in thousands)		Useful Lives
Equipment	\$	50	5 years
Total tangible assets		50	
Broadcasting licenses		5,700	non-amortizing
Goodwill		17	non-amortizing
Total intangible assets		5,717	
Total purchase price	\$	5,767	

Cincinnati, Ohio, Exchange

On December 5, 2007, the Company completed a transaction to exchange WGRR-FM, a radio station included in the acquisition described below, for WSWD-FM and certain other intellectual property. WSWD-FM, with a frequency of 94.9 on the FM band, had several call letter changes (in most recent order, formerly WYGY-FM and WPRV-FM). Each of the stations included in the exchange, WGRR-FM and WSWD-FM, serves the Cincinnati, Ohio, radio market. Concurrently with entering into the asset exchange agreement, the Company also entered into reciprocal TBAs. Pursuant to these TBAs, on November 1, 2006, each party to the transaction commenced operations of their respective radio station. The Company included the net revenues and station operating expenses associated with operating WSWD-FM in the Company s consolidated financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006. Under the asset purchase agreement and the TBA, there were very few employees hired and a minimal number of contracts assumed.

The fair value of the assets acquired in exchange for the assets disposed, resulted in a fair value of \$31.0 million. WSWD-FM, together with the other acquired Cincinnati radio station assets as described below, was disposed of on March 14, 2008, in connection with the exchange transaction described above. The WSWD-FM assets acquired were not amortized during the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 as these assets were part of a disposal group.

For this acquisition and disposition, the aggregate purchase price was allocated as follows:

	Assets Acquired (amounts in	Assets Disposed s)
Equipment	\$ 208	\$ 206
Total tangible assets	208	206

Edgar Filing: ENTERCOM COMMUNICATIONS CORP - Form 10-K

Acquired advertising contracts		5
Broadcasting licenses	30,787	30,787
Goodwill	5	2
Total intangible assets	30,792	30,794
Total purchase price	\$ 31,000	\$ 31,000

Table of Contents

Austin, Texas; Cincinnati, Ohio; And Memphis, Tennessee, Acquisition

On November 30, 2007, the Company acquired the assets of 11 radio stations serving the Memphis, Austin and Cincinnati radio markets for a purchase price of \$220.0 million in cash. The funds used to complete this transaction were borrowed under the Company senior credit facility. The Company recorded \$2.8 million of goodwill, which amount is fully deductible for income tax purposes. On November 1, 2006, the Company commenced operations of these radio stations (other than WGRR-FM as described in the exchange transaction above) under a TBA. The net revenues, station operating expenses and TBA fees associated with operating these stations were included in the consolidated financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006. With this acquisition, the Company entered into two new radio markets: Austin and Cincinnati (the Company exited the Cincinnati market in February 2007). In Memphis, the acquisition of three radio stations added to the three radio stations that the Company currently owns and operates in this market. The Company believes that this acquisition provided: (1) an opportunity to increase the market share of the Memphis radio stations; (2) an improvement in the Company s geographical diversity; and (3) an improvement in the Company s ability to compete more effectively on a national basis.

For this acquisition, the aggregate purchase price, including transaction costs of \$0.4 million, was allocated as follows:

Description	Amount (in thousands)		Useful Lives	
Land	\$	1,374	non-depreciating	
Building		191	2 to 20 years	
Leasehold improvements		837	2 to 4 years	
Equipment		5,416	5 to 15 years	
Furniture and fixtures		236	5 years	
Total tangible assets		8,054		
Acquired income leases		855	over the life of each lease	
Favorable leases		424	over the life of each lease	
Advertiser lists and customer relationships	tionships 36 3		3 years	
Acquired advertising contracts		966	less than one year	
Broadcasting licenses		69,203	non-amortizing	
Goodwill		2,849	non-amortizing	
Total intangible assets 74		74,333		
Assets contained in the deconsolidated subsidiary		119,250	non amortizing	
Assets acquired and held for sale		20,065	non amortizing	
Total assets		221,702		
Asset retirement liability		51	less than one year	
Unfavorable lease liabilities 1,283 10		10 to 23 years		
Total purchase price	\$	220,368		

Included in the above acquisition were: (1) assets of \$119.3 million contained in a deconsolidated subsidiary that comprised four radio stations in Cincinnati; and (2) assets of \$20.1 million acquired and held for sale for one station in Austin, KLQB-FM (formerly KXBT-FM). These assets were not amortized during the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 as these assets were part of a disposal group in accordance with accounting guidelines.

Rochester, New York, Acquisition

On November 30, 2007, the Company acquired the assets of four radio stations serving the Rochester radio market for a purchase price of \$42.0 million in cash. The funds used to complete this transaction were borrowed under the Company senior credit facility. The Company recorded \$0.7 million of goodwill, which amount is fully

73

Table of Contents

deductible for income tax purposes. The acquisition of these four radio stations added to the four radio stations that the Company currently owns and operates in this market.

The FCC imposes specific limits on the number of commercial radio stations an entity can own in a single market. Due to these restrictions, the Company cannot own or operate more than five FM radio stations in this market. In addition, the Company is required to meet certain requirements under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976, as amended. In connection therewith, the Company agreed with the U.S. Department of Justice to divest three FM radio stations in this market. See Note 4 for a discussion of the disposition in Rochester, New York, on July 14, 2008. Upon the divestiture of three radio stations, the Company owns and operates five radio stations in the Rochester, New York, market. The Company believes that this acquisition, net of the disposition, provides enhanced revenue opportunities and operating efficiencies for the Rochester radio station cluster.

For this acquisition, the aggregate purchase price, including transaction costs of \$0.1 million, was allocated as follows:

Description	 Amount (in thousands)	
Equipment	\$ 1,557	3 to 15 years
Furniture and equipment	122	5 years
Total tangible assets	1,679	
Advertiser lists and customer relationships	27	3 years
Acquired advertising contracts	209	less than 1 year
Broadcasting licenses	21,387	non-amortizing
Goodwill	704	non-amortizing
Total intangible assets	22,327	
Assets acquired and held for sale	18,116	non amortizing
Total assets	42,122	
Asset retirement liability	25	less than 1 year
Total purchase price	\$ 42,097	

The following is a summary of the transactions that affected the Rochester market:

Radio Stations	Transaction
WCMF-FM; WPXY-FM; WRMM-FM and WZNE-FM	Company acquired on November 30, 2007
WFKL-FM; WRMM-FM and WZNE-FM	Company disposed on July 14, 2008

Supplemental Pro Forma Information

The following unaudited supplemental pro forma information presents the consolidated results of operations as if any acquisitions, which occurred during the period as of January 1, 2009 and as of January 1, 2008, had all occurred as of the beginning of each period presented, after

giving effect to certain adjustments, including depreciation and amortization of assets and interest expense on any debt incurred to fund the acquisitions which would have been incurred had such acquisitions occurred as of January 1, 2008. For purposes of this presentation, the data does not reflect on a pro forma basis dispositions of radio stations (other than the disposition of: (1) a radio station in Cincinnati (WGRR-FM) as the Company never operated this station; and (2) the disposition of radio stations in Seattle and Cincinnati as this disposition was in exchange for the assets in San Francisco as described in Note 4). In addition, the tables reflect, on a pro forma basis, information as if the discontinued operations in Rochester were not discontinued. These unaudited pro forma results have been prepared for comparative purposes only and do not purport to be indicative of what would have occurred had the acquisitions been made as of that date or results which may occur in the future.

Table of Contents

Years Ended December 31, 2009 2008 (amounts in thousands, except per share data)

	Actual	(unaudited) Proforma
Net revenues	\$ 372,432	\$ 440,262
Net income (loss)	\$ 5,398	\$ (526,801)
Net income (loss) per common share - basic and diluted	\$ 0.15	\$ (14.32)

5. INVESTMENTS

The Company s investment strategy is to seek long-term strategic investments to enhance its core business. The investments, noted in the table below, are comprised primarily of equity securities for which the Company does not have the ability to exercise significant influence over the operating and financial policies of the investee. These investments are classified as available-for-sale and are carried at fair value based upon quoted market prices or historical cost when quoted market prices are unavailable. The net unrealized gain or loss, net of taxes (benefit), on these investments is reported in the statements of comprehensive income (loss) and as a separate component of shareholders—equity. Any net realized gains or losses are reported in the statements of operations.

The following table summarizes the activities of the Company s investments for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007:

	(amo	tments unts in sands)
Balance at December 31, 2006	\$	4,867
Additional investment		68
Recovery of investment		(8)
Divestiture of investment		(2,605)
Fair value or cost adjustments		(421)
Balance at December 31, 2007		1,901
Additional investment		6
Recovery of investment		(9)
Divestiture of investment		(345)
Fair value or cost adjustments		(154)
Balance at December 31, 2008		1,399
Recovery of investment		(19)
Divestiture of investment		(20)
Fair value or cost adjustments		(966)
Balance at December 31, 2009	\$	394

Table of Contents

For those investments carried at cost, the Company does not estimate fair value unless events or circumstances arise that indicate a change in the fair value of the investment. At December 31, 2009, in conjunction with the preparation of its financial statements, the Company concluded it had an event that required an assessment of its investment carried at cost. As a result of this assessment, the Company recorded an impairment of \$1.0 million to its consolidated statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2009. In the statements of comprehensive income (loss), for the year ended December 31, 2008, the Company recorded an unrealized gain of \$0.1 million (net of an income tax benefit of \$0.1 million) and for the year ended December 31, 2007, the Company recorded an unrealized loss of \$0.3 million (net of an income tax benefit of \$0.1 million).

Unrealized Gains And Losses As Of December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

The following chart reflects the aggregate related fair value of investments, with unrealized gains and or losses that were segregated by the time period over which the investments were in an unrealized gains and/or losses position, including those cost method investments not evaluated for impairment:

				December 31, 200	9		
				(amounts in thousan	ds)		
				Aggregate Fair	Value Of Ir	ivestments	
	To	otal		With Unrealized	Gains And/	Or (Losses)	
	Aggı	regate	Unrealized Gains			Unrealized	(Losses)
	Fair V	alue Of	Less Than	1 year	Les	s Than	1 year
	Inves	tments	1 Year	Or Greater	1	Year	Or Greater
Investments carried at fair value	\$		\$	\$	\$		\$
Investments at cost where fair value was							
not estimated		394				(966)	
Investments reflected under the equity							
method							
	Ф	204	¢	¢	¢	(066)	¢

	December 31, 2008 (amounts in thousands) Aggregate Fair Value Of Investments Total With Unrealized Gains And/Or (Losses)						
	Aggreg	_	_	ealized Gains		Unrealized	(Losses)
	Fair Val Investm		Less Than 1 Year	1 year Or Greate	Less '		1 year Or Greater
Investments carried at fair value	\$		\$	\$	\$		\$
Investments at cost where fair value was not estimated		1,399				(154)	
Investments reflected under the equity method							
	\$	1,399	\$	\$	\$	(154)	\$

December 31, 2007
(amounts in thousands)

Aggregate Fair Value Of Investments

Total With Unrealized Gains And/Or (Losses)

Aggregate Unrealized Gains Unrealized (Losses)

Fair Value Of Less Than 1 year Less Than 1 year

	Invest	ments	1 Year	Or Grea	ater	1 Year	Or Greater
Investments carried at fair value	\$	345	\$	\$	203	\$ (421)	\$
Investments at cost where fair value was							
not estimated		1,556					
Investments reflected under the equity							
method							
	\$	1,901	\$	\$	203	\$ (421)	\$
			76				

Table of Contents

6. DEFERRED CHARGES AND OTHER ASSETS

Deferred charges and other assets, including definite-lived intangible assets, consist of the following as of December 31, 2009 and 2008:

		December 2009 (amounts in	,	2008 ads)	Period Of Amortization
Debt issuance costs less accumulated amortization of \$6,762 in	Φ.	2.554	ф		T 65.1
2009 and \$6,623 in 2008	\$	3,756	\$	5,775	Term of Debt
Software costs less accumulated amortization of \$7,712 in 2009 and \$6,418 in 2008		1,280		2,454	3 years
Deferred contracts and other agreements less accumulated amortization of \$876 in 2009 and \$761 in 2008		743		857	Term of contracts
Leasehold premium less accumulated amortization of \$1,026 in 2009 and \$937 in 2008		706		795	Term of Lease
Advertiser lists and customer relationships less accumulated amortization of \$615 in 2009 and \$575 in 2008		41		81	3 Years
Permits, patents and trademarks less accumulated amortization					
of \$11 in 2009 and \$9 in 2008		2		4	5 years
Prepaid assets - long-term		1,421		1,600	Not applicable
Deposits - long-term		393		,	Not applicable
Note receivable - long-term		144		142	Not applicable
Total	\$	8,486	\$	11,708	

The amounts of the amortization expense for deferred charges and other assets, including amortization expense of definite-lived intangible assets as discussed in Note 3 and deferred financing expense, were \$3.1 million, \$5.8 million and \$3.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Amortization expense includes the amortization of computer software costs with an amortization period of three years. The amortization of software costs was \$1.3 million, \$1.2 million and \$0.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The amortization of deferred financing costs was charged to interest expense for all periods presented.

The following table presents the Company s estimate of amortization expense, for each of the five succeeding years ended December 31, for deferred charges and other assets:

Years ending December 31,	(amou thous	ints in ands)
2010	\$	2,743
2011		1,833
2012		881
2013		162
2014		109
Thereafter		800
Total	\$	6,528

7. INCOME TAXES (BENEFIT)

Expected And Reported Income Taxes (Benefit)

Income tax expense (benefit) computed using the United States federal statutory rates is reconciled to the reported income tax expense (benefit) as follows:

77

Table of Contents

	2009	Ended December 31, 2008 unts in thousands)	2007
Federal statutory income tax rate	35%	35%	35%
Computed tax expense (benefit) at federal statutory rates on			
income (loss) before income taxes (benefit)	\$ 59	\$ (260,810)	\$ (2,695)
State income tax expense (benefit), net of federal benefit	176	(32,042)	435
Increase (decrease) in valuation allowance (federal and state)		59,366	
Change in state income tax rates			2,910
Decrease in valuation allowance for change in federal net			
operating loss carryback rules	(6,696)		
Nondeductible expenses and other	932	886	539
State income tax credit associated with the relocation of studio			
facilities			(494)
Income taxes (benefit) from continuing operations	\$ (5,529)	\$ (232,600)	\$ 695

Effective Tax Rate (Benefit)

The Company s tax benefit for the year ended December 31, 2009 as a percentage of pre-tax income was not numerically relevant. The Company s tax rate (benefit) for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, was (31.2)% and 9.0%, respectively. The differences between the federal statutory tax rate of 35% and the effective tax rates are primarily due to: (1) valuation allowances; (2) state tax provisions; and (3) permanent differences that are not fully deductible for tax purposes such as meals and entertainment.

For the year ended December 31, 2009, the effective income tax benefit of \$5.5 million primarily resulted from a change in tax legislation during the fourth quarter of 2009 that allowed net operating losses to be carried back for up to five years rather than two years. As a result, the Company applied for a \$7.0 million tax refund using its 2008 net operating loss. Included in the \$7.0 million tax refund was the realization of \$0.3 million in dividend equivalent payments, which were recorded as an increase to paid-in-capital. Due to the expected refund from the Internal Revenue Service, the Company s full valuation allowance against this deferred tax asset was removed. The Company also recorded the expected refund from the Internal Revenue Service as prepaid and refundable income taxes.

For the year ended December 31, 2008, the effective income tax benefit was negatively impacted by an increase of \$59.4 million in the valuation allowance. The effective income tax rate in 2007 was negatively impacted by a discrete item of tax of \$2.9 million due to the commencement of operations in 2007 in states which on average have higher income tax rates than in states in which the Company previously operated and the resulting effect on previously reported temporary differences between the tax and financial reporting bases of the Company s assets and liabilities.

For all years, the effective income tax rate (benefit) was impacted by: (1) the effect of permanent differences between income subject to income tax for book and tax purposes; (2) limits on the deduction of certain compensation expense; (3) income taxes in certain states where the states current taxable income is dependent on factors other than the Company's consolidated net income; and (4) the effect of recording changes in the Company's liabilities for uncertain tax position. The effective tax rate in 2007 was favorably impacted by a deferred tax asset of \$0.5 million (net of a valuation allowance of \$0.7 million) from a state income tax credit that resulted from the relocation of certain studio facilities in that state.

The fluctuations in the effective annual rate for these years was also due to: (1) changes in the level of income or loss in any of the Company s taxing jurisdictions; (2) changes in the statutes and rules applicable to taxable income in the jurisdictions in which the Company operates; (3) changes in the expected outcome of tax audits; (4) changes in the estimate of expenses that are not deductible for tax purposes; and (5) additional states in which the Company conducts business.

Table of Contents

An impairment loss will result in an income tax benefit (before any valuation allowance) during the period incurred as the amortization of broadcasting licenses and goodwill is deductible for income tax purposes. For the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, the Company recorded an income tax benefit of \$26.7 million, \$328.4 million and \$33.0 million, respectively. The Company recorded a full valuation allowance against the income tax benefit in 2009 and 2008.

Income Tax Expense

Income tax expense (benefit) from continuing operations for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 is summarized as follows:

	2009	nded December 31, 2008 nts in thousands)	2007
From continuing operations:			
Current:			
Federal	\$ (5,800)	\$	\$ (8,549)
State	271		(384)
Total current	(5,529)		(8,933)
Deferred:			
Federal		(183,304)	8,575
State		(49,296)	1,053
Total deferred		(232,600)	9,628
Total income taxes (benefit) from continuing operations	\$ (5,529)	\$ (232,600)	\$ 695

Income tax expense (benefit) from discontinued operations for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 is summarized as follows:

	2009	Years Ended Dec 2008 (amounts in tho	,	2007	
From discontinued operations:					
Current:					
Federal	\$	\$	\$		8
State					1
Total current					9
Deferred:					
Federal			(1,450)		
State			(392)		
Total deferred			(1,842)		
Total income taxes (benefit) from discontinued operations	\$	\$	(1,842) \$		9

Income Tax Payments, Refunds

The Company made income tax payments of 0.2 million, less than 0.1 million and 0.5 million, respectively, for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007.

Table of Contents
Income Tax Refunds
As a result of tax legislation during the fourth quarter of 2009 that allows the Company to carryback its 2008 net operating loss for five years rather than for two years, the Company recorded \$7.0 million under prepaid and refundable income taxes as the Company expects to recover th amount in the first half of 2010 for income taxes previously paid.
In 2008, the Company received \$14.6 million in income tax refunds that was primarily comprised of: (1) \$8.4 million in previously paid taxes a result of a 2007 federal net operating loss carryback; and (2) \$6.2 million in refunds related to federal and state estimated tax prepayments.
Net Operating Loss Carryforwards
As of December 31, 2009, the Company has: (1) federal net operating loss carryforwards of \$48.0 million, which expires in 2029; and (2) state net operating loss carryforwards of \$51.1 million, which expire between 2016 and 2029. In addition the Company has: (1) federal and state capital loss carryforwards of \$5.2 million, which expire between 2010 and 2013; and (2) a state tax income tax credit of \$1.2 million that expire in 2017. The tax loss carryforwards presented above exclude \$0.8 million of windfall tax benefits that will be recorded to paid-in-capital when realized. Utilization in future years of the net operating loss carryforwards may be subject to limitations due to the changes in ownership provisions under Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code and similar state provisions.
Deferred Tax Assets And Deferred Tax Liabilities
The income tax accounting process, to determine the deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities, involves estimating all temporary difference between the tax and financial reporting bases of the Company s assets and liabilities based on tax laws and statutory tax rates applicable to the period in which the differences are expected to affect taxable income.
The tax effects of significant temporary differences that comprise the net deferred tax assets and liabilities are as follows:
80

Table of Contents

	Decem 2009	,	2008
	(amounts in		2008
Deferred tax assets:	(Millounis II	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Employee benefits \$	1,380	\$	1,518
Deferred compensation	494		550
Provision for doubtful accounts	1,300		1,759
Deferred income	310		534
Other	84		
Total current deferred tax assets before valuation allowance	3,568		4,361
Valuation allowance	(3,568)		(4,201)
Total current deferred tax assets - net			160
Broadcasting licenses and goodwill	23,489		43,371
Share-based compensation	4,536		5,099
Investments - impairments	2,040		2,038
Lease rental obligations	1,353		1,353
Deferred compensation	2,092		1,460
State income tax credits	1,248		1,248
Federal and state income tax loss carryforwards	20,131		8,830
Deferred income			291
Derivative financial instruments	5,292		5,998
Other	591		435
Total non-current deferred tax assets before valuation allowance	60,772		70,123
Valuation allowance	(47,347)		(63,248)
Total non-current deferred tax assets - net	13,425		6,875
Total deferred tax assets \$	13,425	\$	7,035
Deferred tax liabilities:			
Other \$		\$	(160)
Total current deferred tax liabilities			(160)
Deferral of gain recognition on the extinguishment of debt	(8,197)		
Property, equipment and certain intangibles (other than broadcasting licenses and goodwill)	(5,228)		(6,875)
Total non-current deferred tax liabilities	(13,425)		(6,875)
Total deferred tax liabilities \$	(13,425)	\$	(7,035)
Total net deferred tax assets (liabilities) \$		\$	

Valuation Allowance For Deferred Tax Assets

As a result of incurring cumulative losses by the Company over the past several years, the Company established a full valuation allowance (effective since the third quarter of 2008) against total net deferred tax assets. As of December 31, 2009, the valuation allowance of \$50.9 million includes \$5.3 million for income tax benefits recorded in other comprehensive income (loss).

The Company increased its valuation allowance by \$65.8 million to \$67.4 million as of December 31, 2008 from \$1.6 million as of December 31, 2007, to reflect a valuation allowance for the full amount of the total net deferred tax assets. The valuation allowance as of December 31, 2008 includes \$6.0 million for an income tax benefit recorded in other comprehensive income (loss) and \$0.5 million for an income tax benefit recorded from an additional paid-in capital pool.

As of December 31, 2007, the Company had a valuation allowance of \$1.6 million primarily due to: (1) a ten-year limitation for tax purposes on the ability of the Company to realize an income tax credit in a certain state;

Table of Contents

and (2) a five-year limitation for tax purposes of utilizing a loss on investments for federal and state income tax purposes, as only investment gains can be used to offset these losses.

The Company has certain non-current deferred tax assets, subject to a valuation allowance, that are related to the issuance of awards under the Entercom Equity Compensation Plan (the Plan) as described under Note 15, Share-Based Compensation. The Company adjusts the deferred tax assets when the share-based award expires. The Company may not fully realize the deferred tax assets upon the expiration of awards as the price of the Company s Class A common stock may be less at the time the award vests or is exercised, as compared to the price of the award at the time the award was granted.

The Company has also considered future taxable income and ongoing prudent and feasible tax-planning strategies in assessing the need for the valuation allowance. On a quarterly basis, management assesses whether it remains more likely than not that the deferred tax assets will not be realized. In the event the Company determines at a future time that it could realize its deferred tax assets in excess of the net amount recorded, the Company will reduce its deferred tax asset valuation allowance and decrease income tax expense in the period when the Company makes such determination.

The following table presents the changes in the deferred tax asset valuation allowance for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007:

Year Ended	Be	lance At ginning Year	(I () ()	additions Deletions) Charged/ Credited) Fo Costs And Expenses	(D C (C	dditions eletions) harged/ redited) To Other	F	eductions From Reserves	ılance At End Of Year
				(8	amount	s in thousands))		
December 31, 2009	\$	67,449	\$	(6,696)	\$	(1,181)	\$	(8,657)	\$ 50,915
December 31, 2008		1,610		59,366		6,473			67,449
December 31, 2007		845		765					1,610

Liabilities For Uncertain Tax Positions

The Company provides for the financial statement recognition, measurement, presentation and disclosure of uncertain tax positions that the Company has taken or expects to take on tax returns. Financial statements should reflect expected future tax consequences of such positions presuming the taxing authorities have full knowledge of the position and all relevant facts.

The Company reevaluates these uncertain tax positions on a quarterly basis or when new information becomes available to management. These reevaluations are based on factors including, but not limited to, changes in facts or circumstances, changes in tax law, successfully settled issues under audit, expirations due to statutes, and new audit activity. Such a change in recognition or measurement could result in the recognition of a tax benefit or an increase to the tax accrual.

The Company classifies interest related to income tax liabilities as income tax expense, and penalties are recognized as a component of income tax expense. The income tax liabilities and accrued interest and penalties are presented as noncurrent liabilities as payments are not anticipated within one year of the balance sheet date. These noncurrent income tax liabilities are recorded in other long-term liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets.

As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company s liabilities for uncertain tax positions were \$5.3 million (includes interest and penalties of \$1.8 million) and \$4.3 million (includes interest and penalties of \$1.5 million), respectively, which amounts were recorded as long-term liabilities in the balance sheets. For the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, the expense for uncertain tax positions (including interest and penalty expense) was \$1.0 million, \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million, respectively, which amounts were reflected in the consolidated statements of operations as an increase to income tax expense. The interest and penalties included in the above expense for uncertain tax positions for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 was \$0.3 million, \$0.1

Table of Contents

million and \$0.2 million, respectively. The Company reviews its estimates on a quarterly basis and any change in its liabilities for uncertain tax positions will result in an adjustment to its income tax expense in the statement of operations in each period measured.

On January 1, 2007, upon adoption of accounting guidance for uncertain tax positions, the Company recorded \$1.8 million in expense that was charged to retained earnings (net of taxes) as a cumulative effect of an accounting change to the Company s retained earnings. Of this amount, \$1.1 million (net of taxes) represented interest and penalties. Together with the previously recorded tax contingencies of \$2.2 million as of December 31, 2006, the Company s liabilities upon adoption were \$4.0 million as of January 1, 2007.

The following table presents the gross amount of changes in unrecognized tax benefits for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007:

	2009	2007		
Beginning of year balance	\$ (3,406)	\$ (3,360) \$	(3,516)	
Prior year positions				
Gross Increases	(1,185)	(79)		
Gross Decreases		33	63	
Current year positions				
Gross Increases	(308)		(95)	
Gross Decreases			188	
Settlements with tax authorities				
Reductions due to statue lapse	398			
End of year balance	\$ (4,501)	\$ (3,406) \$	(3,360)	

The gross amount of the Company s unrecognized tax benefits as of December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 was \$4.5 million, \$3.4 million and \$3.4 million, respectively, which, if recognized, would impact the Company s effective income tax rate in the period of recognition. The above table includes a liability of \$0.3 million as of December 31, 2009 that was reflected as an offset to a deferred tax asset. The total amount of unrecognized tax benefits could increase or decrease within the next twelve months for a number of reasons including the expiration of statutes of limitations, audit settlements, tax examination activities. As of December 31, 2009, there are certain unrecognized tax benefits of \$1.2 million that over the next twelve months are subject to the expiration of various statutes of limitation. The Company believes that the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits will significantly decrease over the next twelve months.

Federal And State Income Tax Audits

The Company is subject to federal and state income tax audits from time to time that could result in proposed assessments. The Company cannot predict with certainty how these audits will be resolved and whether the Company will be required to make additional tax payments, which may or may not include penalties and interest. The Company is currently under audit by the Internal Revenue Service for the tax years of 2004 through 2008. For most states where the Company conducts business, the Company is subject to examination for the preceding three to six years. In certain states, the period could be longer.

Management believes that the Company has provided sufficient tax provisions for tax periods that are within the statutory period of limitations not previously audited and that are potentially open for examination by the taxing authorities. Potential liabilities associated with these years will be resolved when an event occurs to warrant closure, primarily through the completion of audits by the taxing jurisdictions. To the extent audits or other events result in a material adjustment to the accrued estimates, the effect would be recognized during the period of the event. There can be no assurance, however, that the ultimate outcome of audits will not have a material adverse impact on the Company s financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Table of Contents

8. LONG-TERM DEBT

Long-term debt, including finance method lease obligations, was comprised of the following at December 31, 2009 and 2008:

		December 31,			
		2009		2008	
		(amounts in	thousan	ids)	
Revolver, due June 30, 2012 (A)	\$	359,000	\$	350,000	
Term Loan, with quarterly principal payments beginning September 2009 (A)	Ψ	370,000	Ψ	400,000	
Senior Subordinated Notes, due March 1, 2014 (B)		6,579		83,500	
Finance Method Lease Obligations (C)		12,610			
Other		173		197	
Total		748,362		833,697	
Current amount of long-term debt		(80,024)		(30,023)	
Total long-term debt	\$	668,338	\$	803,674	

(A) Senior Debt

Credit Agreement (the Bank Facility)

In June 2007, the Company entered into a credit agreement with a syndicate of lenders (currently 33 different lenders as of December 31, 2009) for a \$1,050 million senior secured credit facility that matures on June 30, 2012. The Bank Facility is comprised of \$650 million in revolving credit (the Revolver) and a \$400 million term loan (Term Loan). The Company is required to make payments of the Term Loan (from and after September 30, 2009) in quarterly amounts starting at \$15 million and ultimately increasing to \$60 million.

During March 2010, the Company amended (the Amendment) its Bank Facility with certain key terms, as defined within the Bank Facility, revised as follows:

- Depending on the Consolidated Leverage Ratio (Consolidated Funded Indebtedness to Consolidated Operating Cash Flow), the Company may elect a rate equal to a choice of: (1) the Eurodollar Rate plus fees that can range from 0.5% to 2.5%; or (2) the Base Rate plus fees that can range from 0.0% to 1.5%, where the Base Rate is the highest of: (a) the Federal Funds Rate plus 0.5%; (b) the Eurodollar Rate plus 1.0%; and (c) the Prime Rate;
- During periods when the Consolidated Leverage Ratio exceeds six times, the Company is restricted in its ability to take certain actions, including but not limited to, the payment of dividends and the repurchase of its stock;
- Certain restrictions and limitations on acquisitions and investments; and

• A maximum Consolidated Leverage Ratio that cannot exceed seven times in 2010 and which ratio decreases quarterly in increments in 2011 to a ratio of six times.

As of December 31, 2009, the undrawn amount of the Revolver was \$289.5 million. The amount of the Revolver available to the Company is a function of covenant compliance at the time of borrowing. Based on the Company s financial covenant analysis as of December 31, 2009 when the Consolidated Leverage Ratio as calculated under the Amendment was 5.9x, the Company would be limited to borrowings significantly less than the undrawn limit unless such borrowings were used to repay indebtedness or for transactions that increase cash flow for purposes of covenant calculation. The Company plans to use the Revolver and cash flow from operations to fund the amortization of the Term Loan.

Management believes that over the next 12 months the Company will continue to maintain its compliance with these covenants. Management also believes that cash on hand and cash from operating activities, together with available borrowings under the Revolver, will be sufficient to permit the Company to meet its liquidity requirements over the next 12 months, including the Company s scheduled debt repayments. While the Company s operating cash flow has been reduced from prior periods during the recent economic downturn, it has remained positive and adequate to fund the Company s operating needs. As a result, the Company has not been required to rely upon, and the Company does not anticipate having to rely upon, it s Revolver to fund the Company s operations.

The Bank Facility is secured by a pledge of 100% of the capital stock and other equity interest in all of the Company s wholly owned subsidiaries. In addition, the Bank Facility as amended is secured by a lien on all of the

Table of Contents

Company s assets, other than real property. The Bank Facility requires the Company to comply with certain financial covenants and leverage ratios (defined terms within the agreement), which are as follows:

- a maximum Consolidated Leverage Ratio that was revised under the Amendment and is described above (prior to the Amendment, the Consolidated Leverage Ratio consisted of Consolidated Funded Indebtedness to not exceed six times Consolidated Operating Cash Flow); and
- a minimum Consolidated Interest Coverage Ratio of Consolidated Operating Cash Flow to Consolidated Interest Charges of two times.

The Company s ability to maintain compliance with its covenants will be highly dependent on the Company s results of operations as the economy recovers from the recent economic downturn and, to the extent necessary, the Company s ability to implement remedial measures such as further reductions in operating costs. If the Company were to enter into an agreement with its lenders for covenant compliance relief, or obtain other funding or additional financing, such relief or additional financing could result in higher interest expense. Amending the Company s Bank Facility or obtaining other funding or additional financing prior to the expiration of the current agreement will be essential as the Revolver will be due as a balloon payment upon the expiration of the Bank Facility on June 30, 2012.

In addition, based on the Company s Consolidated Leverage Ratio, the interest rate under the Bank Facility was revised under the Amendment as described above. Prior to the Amendment the interest rate was: (i) the Eurodollar rate plus a rate that ranges from 0.50% to 1.13%; or (ii) the greater of prime rate plus a rate that ranges from 0.0% to 0.13% or the federal funds rate plus a rate that ranges from 0.50% to 0.63%. The Company also pays a commitment fee that varies, depending on the Company s Consolidated Leverage Ratio and the amount of the unused commitment, to a maximum of 0.50% per year (prior to the Amendment, it was a maximum of 0.35% per year) on the average unused balance of the Revolver.

The weighted average interest rate under the senior debt as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, before taking into account the subsequent year s impact of the Company s outstanding derivative interest rate instruments, was 1.4% and 1.5%, respectively.

As of December 31, 2009, the Company had \$3.3 million of unamortized deferred financing expenses outstanding related to the Bank Facility and the Company expects to incur estimated additional costs of approximately \$5.2 million in connection with the Amendment.

Former Credit Agreement (the Former Facility)

The Former Facility (as amended) provided for a \$900 million Revolver that was secured by a pledge of 100% of the capital stock and other equity interest in all of the Company s 100% owned subsidiaries. The Former Facility required the Company to comply with certain financial covenants and leverage ratios which were defined terms within the agreement, including: (1) Consolidated Total Debt to Consolidated Operating Cash Flow; (2) Consolidated Operating Cash Flow to Consolidated Interest Expense; and (3) Consolidated Operating Cash Flow to Consolidated Fixed Charges. Upon the occurrence of certain events, the Company s borrowing costs could increase to a maximum of: (i) the Eurodollar rate plus 1.50%; or (ii) the greater of the prime rate plus 0.5% or the federal funds rate plus 1.0%. The interest payable on the Eurodollar rate was payable at the end of the selected duration. The Company also paid a commitment fee that varied, depending on certain financial covenants and

the amount of the unused commitment, to a maximum of 0.375% per annum on the average unused balance of the Former Facility.

Deferred Financing Expenses For The Year Ended December 31, 2007

In connection with the replacement of the Former Facility with the Bank Facility, the Company: (1) recorded \$0.5 million of the Former Facility s unamortized deferred financing costs as a loss on early extinguishment of debt in other expense to the statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2007; (2) deferred \$2.2 million of the Former Facility s unamortized deferred financing expenses that will be amortized under the effective interest rate method over the life of the Bank Facility; and (3) recorded \$4.6 million of deferred financing expenses related to the Bank Facility that will be amortized under the effective interest rate method over the life of the Bank Facility.

Table of Contents

(B) 7.625% Senior Subordinated Notes

During the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company repurchased \$76.9 million and \$66.5 million, respectively, of its \$150.0 million Notes due March 1, 2014. For the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company recorded in the statements of operations a gain on the extinguishment of debt of \$20.8 million and \$6.9 million, respectively, net of write-offs of deferred financing costs of \$0.8 million and \$0.9 million, respectively. The Notes outstanding as of December 31, 2009 were \$6.6 million.

On March 8, 2010, the Company redeemed at par all of the remaining \$6.6 million Notes outstanding.

In March 2002, the Company issued \$150.0 million of these Notes and received net proceeds of \$145.7 million. There was approximately \$4.3 million in deferred offering costs recorded in connection with the sale, which is amortized to interest expense over the life of the Notes using the effective interest rate method. Interest on the Notes accrues at the rate of 7.625% per annum and is payable semi-annually in arrears on March 1 and September 1. Effective March 1, 2010, the Notes may be redeemed at a redemption price of 100.0% of their principal amount plus accrued interest. The Notes are unsecured and rank junior to the Company s senior indebtedness. In addition to the parent, Entercom Communications Corp., all of the Company s other subsidiaries have fully and unconditionally guaranteed jointly and severally these Notes (the Subsidiary Guarantors) (see Note 8 (G) Guarantor Financial Information). Under certain covenants, the Subsidiary Guarantors are restricted from paying dividends or distributions in excess of amounts defined under the Notes, and the Subsidiary Guarantors cannot incur additional indebtedness under certain restrictive covenants.

(C) Finance Method Lease Obligations

In September 2009, the Company entered into an agreement to sell certain tower facilities and lease back most of these tower sites for use by the Company s radio stations. This transaction is accounted for under the finance method as described more fully under Note 9, Financing Method Lease Obligations.

(D) Interest Rate Transactions

The Company enters into interest rate transactions with different lenders to diversify its risk associated with interest rate fluctuations against the variable rate debt under the Bank Facility. See Note 10 for the accounting for these transactions. Under these transactions, the Company agrees with other parties (participating members of the Company s Bank Facility) to exchange, at specified intervals, the difference between fixed rate and floating rate interest amounts calculated by reference to an agreed notional principal amount against the variable debt.

The Company s credit exposure under these hedging agreements, or similar agreements the Company may enter into in the future, is the cost of replacing such agreements in the event of non-performance by the Company s counter-party. To minimize this risk, the Company selects high credit quality counter-parties. For those interest rate transactions with the same counterparty, a master netting agreement exists which, under certain circumstances, allows the Company and the counterparty to settle financial assets and liabilities on a net basis.

Aggregate principal maturities on the Company s outstanding debt are as follows:

86

Table of Contents

	Senior Debt	Su	Senior bordinated Debt (amounts in	Finance Method Lease Obligations nds)	Total
Years ending December 31:					
2010	\$ 80,024	\$			\$ 80,024
2011	170,027				170,027
2012	479,029				479,029
2013	30			12,610	12,640
2014	28		6,579		6,607
Thereafter	35				35
Total	\$ 729,173	\$	6,579	\$ 12,610	\$ 748,362

(F) Outstanding Letters Of Credit

The Company is required to maintain a letter of credit, primarily in connection with insurance coverage as described in Note 12. As of December 31, 2009, the amount of the outstanding letter of credit was \$1.5 million.

(G) Guarantor and Non-Guarantor Financial Information

Entercom Radio, LLC (Radio), which is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Entercom Communications Corp., holds the ownership interest in various subsidiary companies that own the operating assets, including broadcasting licenses, permits, authorizations and cash royalties. Radio (1) is the borrower of the Company s senior debt under the Bank Facility, described in Note 8(A); and (2) was the borrower of the Company s Notes, described in Note 8(B). Entercom Communications Corp. and each of its direct and indirect subsidiaries (other than Radio) is a guarantor under its Bank Facility and Entercom Communications Corp. and each of its direct and indirect subsidiaries (other than Radio) was a guarantor under its Notes.

Separate condensed consolidating financial information is not included as Entercom Communications Corp. does not have independent assets or operations, Radio is a 100% owned finance subsidiary of Entercom Communications Corp., and all guarantees by Entercom Communications Corp. and its subsidiaries are full, unconditional, joint and several under its Bank Facility and were full, unconditional, joint and several under its Notes.

Under the Bank Facility, Radio is permitted to: (i) make distributions to Entercom Communications Corp. in amounts as defined, which are required to pay Entercom Communications Corp. s reasonable overhead costs, including income taxes and other costs associated with conducting the operations of Radio and its subsidiaries; and (ii) make certain investments in unrestricted subsidiaries. Under the Company s Notes, Radio is permitted to make distributions to Entercom Communications Corp. in amounts, as defined, that are required to pay Entercom Communications Corp s overhead costs and other costs associated with conducting the operations of Radio and its subsidiaries.

9. FINANCING METHOD LEASE OBLIGATIONS

In September 2009, the Company entered into an agreement to sell certain tower facilities for up to \$12.6 million in cash and lease back most of these tower sites for use by the Company s radio stations. In addition, the agreement provides for an earn-out whereby the Company can receive additional cash consideration for up to \$2.0 million after 42 months, depending if the buyer meets certain revenue targets. This transaction did not qualify as a sale for accounting purposes as the Company s ability to share in future earn-outs is considered continuing involvement under accounting guidance. As a result, the Company classified this transaction as financing. Under the financing method: (1) the assets and accumulated depreciation remain on the consolidated balance sheet and continue to be depreciated; (2) no gain is recognized; (3) proceeds of \$12.6 million received by the Company as of December 31, 2009 from these transactions are recorded as financing method lease obligations; and (4) transaction costs of \$0.3 million as of December 31, 2009 are recorded as deferred financing expense, which will be amortized over 42 months. Payments under these leases over the partial lease term of 42 months are applied as payments of imputed interest at an approximate interest rate of 5.5%. Once the Company has no continuing involvement, the Company

Table of Contents

expects to apply the accounting provisions for sale and leaseback accounting. The earn-out component of this transaction will enable the Company to participate in the upside potential of these sites as the new owner (whose primary business is managing tower sites) is better suited to maximize the value of these sties through new third party tenants.

As of December 31, 2009, the Company received \$12.6 million in cash that covered all of the tower facilities. For the year ended December 31, 2009, the Company recognized \$0.1 million as interest expense associated with financing method lease obligations as lease payments commenced in October 2009.

The following table presents future minimum payments due under financing method leases as of December 31, 2009:

	December 31, 2009 Principal Interest (amounts in thousands)				Total	
Years ending December 31,						
2010	\$		\$	706	\$	706
2011				727		727
2012				749		749
2013		12,610		386		12,996
Total financing method lease obligations		12,610		2,568		15,178
Less current portion				706		706
Long term financing method lease obligations, net	\$	12,610	\$	1,862	\$	14,472

The following table presents property and equipment held under financing method leases, by major category, which represent components of property and equipment included in the balance sheet under property and equipment, as of December 31, 2009:

	December 31, 2009 (amounts in thousands)
Land and land improvements	\$ 843
Building	358
Leasehold improvements	32
Equipment	3,863
Leasehold premium	885
Total	5,981
Less accumulated depreciation	(4,180)
Property and equipment held under financing method leases, net	\$ 1,801

The portion of depreciation expense attributable to assets held under financing method leases was less than \$0.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2009.

10. DERIVATIVE AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES

The Company from time to time enters into derivative financial instruments, including interest rate hedging transactions, to manage its exposure to fluctuations in interest rates under the Company s variable rate credit facility.

Accounting For Derivative Instruments And Hedging Activities

The Company recognizes at fair value all derivatives, whether designated in hedging relationships or not, in the balance sheet as either assets or liabilities. The accounting for changes in the fair value of a derivative, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts, depends on the intended use of the derivative and the resulting designation. If the derivative is designated as a fair value hedge, the changes in the fair value of the derivative and the hedged item are recognized in the statement of operations. If the derivative is designated as a cash

Table of Contents

flow hedge, changes in the fair value of the derivative are recorded in other comprehensive income (loss) and are recognized in the statement of operations when the hedged item affects net income (loss). If a derivative does not qualify as a hedge, it is marked to fair value through the statement of operations. Any fees associated with these derivatives are amortized over their terms. Under these derivatives, the differentials to be received or paid are recognized as an adjustment to interest expense over the life of the contract.

The Company formally documents all relationships between hedging instruments and hedged items, as well as its risk-management objective and strategy for undertaking various hedge transactions. This process includes relating all derivatives that are designated as fair value or cash flow hedges to specific assets and liabilities on the balance sheet or to specific firm commitments or forecasted transactions. The Company s derivative activities, all of which are for purposes other than trading, are initiated within the guidelines of corporate risk-management policies. The Company reviews the correlation and effectiveness of its derivatives on a periodic basis.

Hedge Accounting Treatment

During the year ended December 31, 2008, the Company entered into interest rate derivative transactions in the aggregate notional amount of \$550.0 million, which were designated as a hedge against the Company s variable rate senior debt. During the period of the hedging relationship, the Company s variable rate debt is expected to be greater than the notional amount of the derivative rate hedging transactions. These transactions are tied to the one-month LIBOR interest rate. Under a fixed rate swap, the Company pays a fixed rate on a notional amount to the lender, and the lender pays to the Company a variable rate on the notional amount equal to the Company s Eurodollar borrowing rate. A collar establishes two separate agreements: an upper limit, or Cap, and a lower limit, or Floor, for the Company s Eurodollar borrowing rate.

As of December 31, 2009, the Company had the following derivatives outstanding:

Type Of Hedge	Ai (ai	otional mount mounts millions)	Effective Date	Coll	Fixed LIBOR ar Rate	Expiration Date	Effective Date That Notional Amount Decreases	Notional Amount After Decrease (amounts n millions)
Swap	\$	225.0	January 28, 2008	n/a	3.03%	January 28, 2011	January 28, 2010	\$ 150.0
Collar		100.0	February 28, 2008	[Ca _j		February 28, 2011	n/a	n/a
Swap		125.0	March 28, 2008	n/a	2.91%	September 28, 2011	n/a	n/a
Swap		100.0	May 28, 2008	n/a	3.62%	May 28, 2012	n/a	n/a
Total	\$	550.0						

For the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company recorded the net change in the fair value of these derivatives as a gain of \$1.8 million (net of a taxes of \$1.2 million that was fully offset by a reduction in the valuation allowance as of December 31, 2009) and a loss of \$15.3 million (net of a tax benefit of \$6.0 million that was fully reserved as of December 31, 2008), respectively, to the statement of comprehensive income (loss) as these derivatives qualified for hedged accounting treatment. The fair value of these derivatives was determined using observable market-based inputs (a level two measurement) and the impact of the credit risk on a derivative s fair value (the creditworthiness of the transaction s counterparty for assets and the creditworthiness of the Company for liabilities). The Company does not expect to reclassify any portion of this amount to the statement of operations over the next twelve months.

During tl	ne vear ended	December 31	. 2007.	the Comp	any had no	derivatives that	qualified for heds	e accounting treatment.

The following table presents the fair value of the derivatives outstanding as of December 31, 2009 and 2008:

89

Table of Contents

		Fair Value						
	Balance Sheet Location	Decembe 2009	,	• /				
Designated Derivatives								
Interest rate hedge transactions	Other Long Term Liabilities	\$	(13.432)	\$	(15,262)			

The following is a summary of the gains (losses) related to the Company s cash flow hedges for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007:

The following table presents the accumulated derivative gain (loss) recorded in the statements of other comprehensive income (loss) for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007:

Year Ended December 31, 2009

Type Of Derivative Designated As A Cash Flow Hedge	Gair Recog C Comp Incon ((ame	ount Of n (Loss) gnized In other rehensive ne (Loss) OCI) ounts in usands)	Location Of Gain (Loss) Reclassified From OCI To Statement Of Operations	Amount Of Gain (Loss) Reclassified From OCI To Statement Of Operations (amounts in thousands)	Location Of Gain (Loss) In Statement Of Operations	Amount Of Gain (Loss) In Statement Of Operations Due To Ineffectiveness (amounts in thousands)	
Interest Rate	\$	1,830	Interest Expense	\$	Interest Expense	\$	
Type Of Derivative Designated		ount Of	Location Of Gain (Loss) Reclassified	Amount Of Gain (Loss) Reclassified	Location Of Gain (Loss)	Amount Of Gain (Loss) In Statement	
As A Cash Flow		n (Loss) gnized In	From OCI To Statement Of	From OCI To Statement Of	In Statement Of	Of Operations Due To	
Hedge	Ò	OCI ounts in	Operations	Operations (amounts in	Operations	Ineffectiveness (amount in	
	· · ·	isands)		thousands)		thousands)	
Interest Rate	\$	(15,262)	Interest Expense	\$	Interest Expense	\$	34

Year Ended December 31, 2007

Type Of Derivative Designated As A Cash Flow Hedge	Amount Of Gain (Loss) Recognized In OCI (amounts in thousands)	Location Of Gain (Loss) Reclassified From OCI To Statement Of Operations	Amount Of Gain (Loss) Reclassified From OCI To Statement Of Operations (amounts in thousands)	Location Of Gain (Loss) In Statement Of Operations	Amount Of Gain (Loss) In Statement Of Operations Due To Ineffectiveness (amount in thousands)	
Interest Rate	\$	Interest Expense	\$	Interest Expense	\$ 1	162

Table of Contents



Non-Hedge Accounting Treatment

The Company had a derivative rate transaction with a notional amount of \$30.0 million and an initial term of ten years that expired in February 2008. This interest rate transaction effectively fixed the interest at a rate of 5.8% on borrowing equal to the total notional amount. For the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, the Company recorded to the consolidated statement of operations, gains of less than \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million, respectively, under net gain on derivative instruments. In addition, the Company has reflected these amounts in the consolidated statement of cash flows under net cash provided by operating activities.

11. FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

Fair Value Of Financial Instruments Subject To Fair Value Measurements

The Company has determined the financial assets and liabilities subject to fair value measurement are: (1) available for sale securities as described under Note 5, Investments; (2) interest rate derivative transactions as described under Note 10, Derivative And Hedging Activities; (3) certain tangible and intangible assets subject to impairment testing as described in Note 3, Intangible Assets And Goodwill; and (4) financial instruments as described in Note 8, Long-Term Debt.

The fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or be paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date (exit price). The Company utilizes market data or assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability, including assumptions about risk and the risks inherent in the inputs to the valuation technique. These inputs can be readily observable, market corroborated, or generally unobservable. The Company utilizes valuation techniques that maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. The fair value hierarchy prioritizes the inputs used to measure fair value. The hierarchy assigns the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (level 1 measurement) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (level 3 measurement). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are as follows:

Level 1 Quoted prices are available in active markets for identical assets or liabilities as of the reporting date.

Level 2 Pricing inputs are other than quoted prices in active markets included in level 1, which are either directly or indirectly observable as of the reported date.

Level 3 Pricing inputs include significant inputs that are generally less observable from objective sources. These inputs may be used with internally developed methodologies that result in management s best estimate of fair value. At each balance sheet date, the Company performs an analysis of all instruments and includes in level 3 all of those whose fair value is based on significant unobservable inputs.

Recurring Fair Value Measurements

The following table sets forth, by level within the fair value hierarchy, the Company s financial assets and liabilities that were accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2009 and 2008. The financial assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. The Company s assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement requires judgment and may affect the valuation of fair value assets and liabilities and their placement within the fair value hierarchy levels.

Table of Contents

Description	Total	As Of December 31, 2009 Value Measurements At Reporting Date Usi Quoted Prices In Active Markets For Significant Identical Other Assets Or Observable Liabilities Inputs (Level 1) (Level 2) Assets (Liabilities) (amounts in thousands)		ng Date Using gnificant Other oservable Inputs Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)		
Assets							
Available For Sale Securities	\$ 391	\$	\$		\$	391	
Liabilities							
Interest Rate Cash Flow Hedges	\$ (13,432)	\$	\$	(13,432)	\$		

	Total	As Of Decer Value Measurements A Quoted Prices In Active Markets For Identical Assets Or Liabilities (Level 1)	At Reporti Si Ol	ng Date Using gnificant Other oservable Inputs Level 2)	Unol I	nificant bservable nputs evel 3)
Description		(amounts i	Liabilities) n thousand			
Assets						
Available For Sale Securities	\$ 1,399	\$	\$		\$	1,399
Liabilities						
Interest Rate Cash Flow Hedges	\$ (15,262)	\$	\$	(15,262)	\$	

For the Company s interest rate hedges, the Company pays a fixed rate and receives a variable interest rate that is observable based upon a forward interest rate curve and is therefore considered a level 2 item (based on London Interbank Offered rate, or LIBOR). The Company factors into the fair value of its interest rate hedges an adjustment for a non-performance risk by either the Company and/or by the Company s counterparty.

For the Company s assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant, unobservable inputs (level 3), the following is a reconciliation of the activity during the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008:

Table of Contents

	Total Available For Sale Securities	A	Balance Sheet Location Current Assets Investmen		
Assets					
Balance as of December 31, 2007	\$ 1,556	\$		\$	1,556
Purchases	6				6
Impairment of investment value	(154)				(154)
Recovery of investment value	(9)				(9)
Reclassification to current assets			23		(23)
Balance as of December 31, 2008	1,399		23		1,376
Divestiture of investment	(20)		(20)		
Recovery of investment	(19)				(19)
Impairment of investment value	(966)				(966)
Balance as of December 31, 2009	\$ 394	\$	3	\$	391

Non-Recurring Fair Value Measurements

The Company has certain assets that are measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis under the circumstances and events described in Note 3, Intangible Assets And Goodwill, and are adjusted to fair value only when the carrying values are more than the fair values. As part of our step two goodwill impairment analysis, the Company reviewed the fair value of net property and equipment and other intangibles, net, and concluded that these assets were not impaired as the fair value of these assets equaled or exceeded their carrying values. The categorization of the framework used to price the assets is considered a level 3, due to the subjective nature of the unobservable inputs used to determine the fair value (see Note 3, for the disclosure of certain key assumptions used to develop the unobservable inputs).

Included in the following table are the major categories of assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis for the year ended December 31, 2009 along with the fair value measurement of the impairment loss recognized for the year ended December 31, 2009:

Non-Recurring Assets Subject To Fair Value Measurement

Description	Total	ded December 31, 2009 easurements Using Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) (amounts in thousan	S Ur	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)		For The Year Ended December 31, 2009 Impairment Loss	
Net property and equipment	\$ 70,527	\$ \$	\$	70,527	\$		
Other intangibles, net	722			722			
Goodwill	38,168			38,168		6,882	
Radio broadcasting licenses	707,852			707,852		60,794	
Total	\$ 817,269	\$ \$	\$	817,269	\$	67,676	

Fair Value Of Financial Instruments Subject To Disclosures

The estimated fair value of financial instruments is determined using the best available market information and appropriate valuation methodologies. Considerable judgment is necessary, however, in interpreting market data

93

Table of Contents

to develop the estimates of fair value. Accordingly, the estimates presented are not necessarily indicative of the amo	unts that the Company
could realize in a current market exchange, or the value that ultimately will be realized upon maturity or disposition.	The use of different market
assumptions may have a material effect on the estimated fair value amounts.	

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of financial instruments:

- (1) Cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable and accounts payable, including accrued liabilities: The carrying amount of these assets and liabilities approximates fair value because of the short maturity of these instruments.
- (2) Senior debt under the Bank Facility: As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, the fair value of the Company s senior debt was \$675.8 million and \$700.3 million, respectively, and the carrying value was \$729.0 million and \$750.0 million, respectively. The Company s determination of the fair value was based on a risk adjusted rate.
- (3) 7.625% Senior Subordinated Notes: The fair value of the Company s Notes as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, was \$6.0 million and \$45.8 million, respectively, which was based on available market prices. As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, the carrying value of the Notes was \$6.6 million and \$83.5 million, respectively.
- (4) Outstanding Letters of Credit: The Company had a letter of credit outstanding in the amount of \$1.5 million as of December 31, 2009 and 2008. The Company does not believe it is practicable to estimate the fair value of this financial instrument and does not expect any material loss from the resolution since performance is not likely to be required. During February 2010, the letter of credit was reduced to \$0.7 million.

12. CONTINGENCIES, COMMITMENTS AND GUARANTOR ARRANGEMENTS

Contingencies

The Company is subject to various outstanding claims that arose in the ordinary course of business and to other legal proceedings as described below. In the opinion of management, any potential liability of the Company that may arise out of or with respect to these matters will not materially adversely affect the financial position, results of operations or cash flows of the Company.

The Company uses a combination of insurance and self-insurance mechanisms to provide for the potential liabilities for workers compensation, general liability, property, director and officers liability, vehicle liability and employee health care benefits. Liabilities associated with the risks that are retained by the Company are estimated, in part, by considering claims experience, demographic factors, severity factors, outside expertise and other actuarial assumptions. Under one of these policies, the Company is required to maintain a letter of credit in the amount of \$1.5 million (as referred to in Note 8, Long-Term Debt).

During January 2007, a suit seeking various damages was filed against the Company relating to an on-air contest. The claims, which were fully settled on October 29, 2009, were fully covered by the Company s insurance policies. In connection with the settled claim, as of December 31, 2009, the Company recorded an insurance claim receivable from its insurance company of \$16.5 million, along with a claim payable to the plaintiff of \$16.5 million. In January 2010, the plaintiff acknowledged full satisfaction of the judgment by the Company s insurance carrier.

The Company could face increased costs in the form of fines and a greater risk that the Company could lose any one or more of its broadcasting licenses if the FCC concludes that programming broadcast by a Company station was obscene, indecent or profane and such conduct warrants license revocation. The FCC s authority to impose a fine for the broadcast of such material is \$325,000 for a single incident, with a maximum fine of up to \$3.0 million for a continuing violation. In the past, the FCC has issued Notices of Apparent Liability and a Forfeiture Order with respect to several of the Company s stations proposing fines for certain programming which the FCC deemed to have been indecent. These cases are the subject of pending administrative appeals. The FCC has also investigated other complaints from the public that some of the Company s stations broadcast indecent programming. These investigations remain pending. The FCC has also initiated an investigation into the suit related to the on-air contest as noted above.

Table of Contents

The Company has filed on a timely basis renewal applications for those radio stations with radio broadcasting licenses that are subject to renewal with the FCC. The Company s costs to renew its licenses with the FCC are minimal and are expensed as incurred rather than capitalized. Certain licenses were not renewed prior to the renewal date, which is not unusual. The Company continues to operate these radio stations under their existing licenses until the licenses are renewed. The FCC may delay the renewal pending the resolution of open inquiries. The affected stations are, however, authorized to continue operations until the FCC acts upon the renewal application.

The Company s agreements with Broadcast Music, Inc. (BMI) and American Society of Composers, Authors and Publishers (ASCAP) each expired as of December 31, 2009. In January 2010, the Radio Music Licensing Committee, of which the Company is a participant, has filed motions in the New York courts against BMI and ASCAP on behalf of the radio industry, seeking interim fees and a determination of fair and reasonable industry-wide license fees.

Commitments

Disposition Of Multiple Tower Sites

In September 2009, the Company entered into an agreement to sell multiple tower sites for up to \$12.6 million in cash at closing and a contingent earn-out for up to \$2.0 million in cash after 42 months. Concurrently with entering into this agreement, the Company agreed to lease space at many of these tower sites for certain of the Company s broadcasting operations.

The Company completed closing in 2009 on all of the tower sites (for further discussion, see Note 9, Financing Method Lease Obligations).

Termination Of Pending Disposition Of Radio Station In Portland, Oregon

In January 2009, the Company received \$0.4 million as liquidated damages from a buyer as a result of the termination by the buyer of an asset purchase agreement and related TBA with the Company for the sale of KTRO-AM (formerly KKSN-AM).

Other

Rental expense is incurred principally for office and broadcasting facilities. Rental expense during the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 was approximately \$12.4 million, \$12.4 million and \$12.0 million, respectively. Certain of the leases contain clauses that provide for contingent rental expense based upon defined events such as cost of living adjustments and/or maintenance costs in excess of pre-defined amounts. Rental expense does not include any payments made in connection with finance method lease obligations as described under Note 9.

The Company also has various commitments under the following types of contracts: (1) operating leases; (2) sports programming; (3) on-air talent; (4) music royalty fees; and (5) other contracts with aggregate minimum annual commitments as of December 31, 2009 as follows:

Table of Contents

	(Operating Leases	Sports Programming		On-Air Talent (amounts in thousands		Other Contracts nds)		Total
Years ending December 31:									
2010	\$	11,837	\$	17,612	\$	30,097	\$	14,151	\$ 73,697
2011		11,091		16,266		19,879		14,775	62,011
2012		9,894		15,084		12,950		11,362	49,290
2013		8,341		16,000		6,875		3,624	34,840
2014		6,358		17,000		2,767		221	26,346
Thereafter		19,753		35,000					54,753
	\$	67,274	\$	116,962	\$	72,568	\$	44,133	\$ 300,937

Guarantor Arrangements

The Company recognizes, at the inception of a guarantee, a liability for the fair value of the obligation undertaken by issuing the guarantee. The following is a summary of agreements that the Company has determined is within the scope of guaranter arrangements:

- The Company enters into indemnification agreements in the ordinary course of business. Under these agreements, the Company typically indemnifies, holds harmless, and agrees to reimburse the indemnified party for losses suffered or incurred by the indemnified party. The maximum potential amount of future payments the Company could be required to make under these indemnification agreements is unlimited. The Company believes the estimated fair value of these agreements is minimal. Accordingly, the Company has not recorded liabilities for these agreements as of December 31, 2009.
- Under the Company s Bank Facility, the Company is required to reimburse lenders for any increased costs that they may incur in an event of a change in law, rule or regulation resulting in their reduced returns from any change in capital requirements. The Company cannot estimate the potential amount of any future payment under this provision, nor can the Company predict if such an event will ever occur.
- In connection with many of the Company s acquisitions, the Company enters into time brokerage agreements, or local marketing agreements for specified periods of time, usually six months or less, whereby the Company typically indemnifies the owner and operator of the radio station, their employees, agents and contractors from liability, claims and damages arising from the activities of operating the radio station under such agreements. The maximum potential amount of any future payments the Company could be required to make for any such previous indemnification obligations is indeterminable at this time. The Company has not, however, previously incurred any significant costs to defend lawsuits or settle claims relating to any such indemnification obligation.

Financial Statements Of Parent As Guarantor

The condensed parent company s financial data has been prepared in accordance with Rule 12-04 of Regulation S-X. The parent company s financial data includes the financial data of Entercom Communications Corp., excluding all subsidiaries (the Parent Company).

The Parent Company s condensed financial data (other than the statements of shareholders equity as these statements are not condensed) has been prepared using the same accounting principles and policies described in the notes to the financial statements, with the only exception that Parent Company accounts for its investment in its subsidiaries using the equity method.

Entercom Radio, LLC (Radio), which is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Parent Company, holds the ownership interest in various subsidiary companies that own the operating assets, including broadcasting licenses, permits, authorizations and cash royalties. Radio (1) is the borrower of the Company s senior credit agreement (Bank Facility) as described in Note 8(A); and was the borrower of the Company s Senior Subordinated 7.625%

Table of Contents

Notes (Notes), described in Note 8(B). The Parent Company and each of its direct and indirect subsidiaries (other than Radio) is a guarantor of such debt.

Under the Bank Facility and Notes, Radio is permitted to make distributions to Parent Company in amounts, as defined, which are required to pay Parent Company s reasonable overhead costs, including income taxes and other costs associated with conducting the operations of Radio and its subsidiaries.

The following tables set forth the condensed financial data (other than the statements of shareholders equity as these statements are not condensed) of Parent Company:

- the balance sheets as of December 31, 2009 and 2008;
- the statements of operations for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007;
- the statements of comprehensive income (loss) for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007;
- the statements of shareholders equity for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007; and
- the statements of cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007.

ENTERCOM COMMUNICATIONS CORP.

CONDENSED PARENT COMPANY BALANCE SHEETS

(amounts in thousands)

	2009	2008
ASSETS		
Current Assets	\$ 17,713	\$ 1,607
Property And Equipment - Net	739	890
Deferred Charges And Other Assets - Net	2,545	3,696
Investment In Subsidiaries	131,309	111,050
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 152,306	\$ 117,243
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY:		
Current Liabilities	\$ 27,649	\$ 6,277
Long Term Liabilities	10,705	10,709
Total Liabilities	38,354	16,986
Shareholders Equity:		
Class A, B and C Common Stock	372	371
Additional Paid-In Capital	588,622	582,325
Accumulated Deficit	(461,610)	(467,177)
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	(13,432)	(15,262)
Total shareholders equity	113,952	100,257
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY	\$ 152,306	\$ 117,243

ENTERCOM COMMUNICATIONS CORP.

CONDENSED PARENT COMPANY INCOME STATEMENTS

(amounts in thousands)

		2009	EARS EN	DED DECEMBER 3 2008	31,	2007
NET REVENUES	\$	632	\$	626	\$	560
OPERATING (INCOME) EXPENSE:						
Depreciation and amortization expense		1,389		1,255		641
Corporate general and administrative expenses		22,733		26,763		28,782
Net (gain) loss on sale or disposal of assets		(5)				19
Total operating expense		24,117		28,018		29,442
OPERATING LOSS		(23,485)		(27,392)		(28,882)
OTHER (INCOME) EXPENSE:						
Interest expense		16				1
Interest and dividend income		(1)		(1)		(5)
Other income		(380)		(3,339)		(895)
(Gain) loss from equity investment in subsidiaries		(22,989)		725,199		(20,321)
TOTAL OTHER (INCOME) EXPENSE		(23,354)		721,859		(21,220)
LOSS BEFORE INCOME TAXES (BENEFIT)		(131)		(749,251)		(7,662)
INCOME TAXES (BENEFIT)		(5,529)		(232,600)		695
NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$	5,398	\$	(516,651)	\$	(8,357)
	99					

ENTERCOM COMMUNICATIONS CORP.

PARENT COMPANY STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

(amounts in thousands)

		2009	Y	EARS ENI	DED DECEMBER 3 2008	31,	2007
NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$		5,398	\$	(516,651)	\$	(8,357)
OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS), NET OF TAXES (BENEFIT):							
Net unrealized gain (loss) on investments, net of taxes of \$86 in 2008 and a tax benefit of \$145 in 2007					132		(276)
Net unrealized gain (loss) on derivatives, net of taxes (benefit) of \$0 in 2009 and \$0 in 2008			1,830		(15,262)		
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)	\$		7,228	\$	(531,781)	\$	(8,633)
	100						

ENTERCOM COMMUNICATIONS CORP.

PARENT COMPANY STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2009, 2008 AND 2007

(amounts in thousands, except share data)

	Class	Commor s A	n Stock Class	s B	Additional Paid-in	Retained Earnings (Accumulated	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Capital	Deficit)	(Loss)	Total
Balance, December 31, 2006 Net loss	32,379,345	\$ 324	8,046,805	\$ 80	\$ 641,889	\$ 134,655 (8,357		777,092 (8,357)
Conversion of Class B common	420.252		(420, 252)	(1)				
stock to Class A common stock Accounting change, net of taxes	439,273	4	(439,273)	(4)		(1,850)	(1,850)
Compensation expense related to						(1,000)	,	(1,050)
granting of stock options					212			212
Compensation expense related to	400 (77	~			0.050			0.064
granting of restricted stock units Issuance of common stock	488,677	5			9,059			9,064
related to an incentive plan	22,525				465			465
Exercise of stock options	,,-							
(including tax benefits)	21,585				559			559
Common stock repurchase	(2,176,039)	(22)			(54,979)			(55,001)
Purchase of vested employee								
restricted stock units	(42,666)				(1,290)			(1,290)
Payments of dividends of \$1.52 per share						(57,992)	\	(57,992)
Net dividend equivalents on						(31,992)	(31,992)
restricted stock units (net of								
forfeitures and payments)						(1,859))	(1,859)
Net unrealized loss on								
investments							(276)	(276)
Balance, December 31, 2007	31,132,700	311	7,607,532	76	595,915	64,597	(132)	660,767
Net loss						(516,651))	(516,651)
Compensation expense related to granting of stock options					425			425
Compensation expense related to					423			723
granting of restricted stock units	478,075	5			8,236			8,241
Issuance of common stock								
related to an incentive plan	72,092	1			328			329
Common stock repurchase	(2,073,518)	(21)			(13,923)			(13,944)
Purchase of vested employee	(120.161)	,			(1.0= 0			(1.055)
restricted stock units	(130,161)	(1)			(1,374)			(1,375)
			101					

ENTERCOM COMMUNICATIONS CORP.

PARENT COMPANY STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2009, 2008 AND 2007

(amounts in thousands, except share data)

	Class Shares	Common	n Stock Clas Shares	ss B Amount		dditional Paid-in Capital	Retained Earnings (Accumulated Deficit)	Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Total
Payments of dividends of									
\$0.58 per share						(7,282)	(14,301)		(21,583)
Net dividend equivalents on restricted stock units (net of forfeitures and						(7,202)	(11,001)		(21,000)
payments)							(822)		(822)
Net unrealized loss on derivatives								(15,262)	(15,262)
Net unrealized gain on investments								132	132
Balance, December 31,								132	132
2008 Net income	29,479,188	295	7,607,532	76)	582,325	(467,177) 5,398	(15,262)	100,257
Conversion of Class B							3,396		5,398
common stock to Class A									
common stock	150,000	1	(150,000)	(1)				
Compensation expense	130,000	1	(130,000)	(1)				
related to granting of stock options						485			485
Compensation expense						703			703
related to granting of									
restricted stock units	796,824	8				6,380			6,388
Issuance of common stock	770,02	· ·				0,000			0,500
related to an incentive plan	74,369					97			97
Common stock repurchase	(662,664)	(7)				(882)			(889)
Purchase of vested employee restricted stock									
units	(82,556)					(104)			(104)
Net dividend equivalents	(02,550)					(101)			(101)
on restricted stock units									
(net of forfeitures and									
payments)							169		169
Realization of tax benefit									
for dividend equivalent									
payments						321			321
Net unrealized gain on									
derivatives								1,830	1,830
	29,755,161	\$ 297	7,457,532	\$ 75	\$	588,622	\$ (461,610)	\$ (13,432) \$	113,952

Balance, December 31, 2009

ENTERCOM COMMUNICATIONS CORP.

CONDENSED PARENT COMPANY STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(amounts in thousands)

YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2009 2007 **OPERATING ACTIVITIES:** Net cash used in operating activities \$ (26,769)(16,073)(17,901)\$ INVESTING ACTIVITIES: (449)278 Additions to property and equipment (209)Deferred charges and other assets (106)(1,935)(1,072)Proceeds from investments in subsidiaries 83,563 92,046 74,751 Net cash provided by investing activities 83,248 90,525 73,094 FINANCING ACTIVITIES: Retirement of senior subordinated notes (55,272)(58,633)Purchase of the Company s common stock (889)(13.944)(55,001)Proceeds from issuance of employee stock plan 82 278 396 Proceeds from the exercise of stock options 530 Purchase of vested restricted stock units (104)(1,375)(1,290)Payment of dividend equivalents on vested restricted stock units (756)(96)(917)Realization of tax benefit for dividend equivalents payments 321 Net cash used in financing activities (56,618)(74,591)(55,461)NET DECREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS (139)(139)(268)CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, BEGINNING OF YEAR 505 644 912 \$ CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, END OF YEAR 366 \$ 505 \$ 644

Accounting Policies

The Parent Company follows the accounting policies as described in Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements of Entercom Communications Corp.

Debt For a discussion of debt obligations of the Company, refer to Note 8 in the audited consolidated statements included elsewhere herein.

Commitments and Contingencies For a discussion of the commitments and contingencies of the Company, refer to this note.

For further information, references should be made to the notes to the consolidated financial statements of the Company.

13. SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

Company Share Repurchase Programs

The Company s Board of Directors has authorized in the past, and may authorize in the future, share repurchase programs for the purchase of Class A common stock over a defined period of time. Such authorizations may be limited by an Amendment to the Company s Bank Facility that was entered into during March 2010 (please refer to Note 8 for further discussion).

Any repurchases under these programs may be made in the open market, through block trades or otherwise. Depending on market conditions and other factors, these repurchases may be commenced or suspended at any time

103

Table of Contents

or from time to time without prior notice. All shares repurchased are immediately restored to authorized but unissued status and any excess of cost over par value is charged entirely to paid-in capital.

Expired Share Repurchase Program

The Company s \$100.0 million share repurchase program, which was extended several times and had \$25.4 million remaining as authorized for repurchase, expired on June 30, 2009.

For the year ended December 31, 2009, the Company repurchased 0.7 million shares in the amount of \$0.9 million at an average price of \$1.34 per share and for the year ended December 31, 2008, the Company repurchased 2.1 million shares in the amount of \$13.9 million at an average price of \$6.72 share.

Conversion Of Class B Common Stock

Shares of Class B common stock are transferable only to Joseph M. Field, David J. Field, certain of their family members or trusts for any of their benefit. Upon any other transfer, shares of Class B common stock automatically convert into shares of Class A common stock on a one-for-one basis.

During the year ended December 31, 2009, Joseph M. Field contributed to charitable entities 150,000 shares. Upon the transfer of the stock, the shares were automatically converted to shares of Class A common stock.

Dividends

The Company s Board of Directors has not declared a dividend since the third quarter of 2008. Pursuant to the Company s Bank Facility as amended during March 2010, during periods when the Company s Consolidated Leverage Ratio exceeds six times, the Company will be prohibited from paying dividends.

Any future dividends will be at the discretion of the Board of Directors based upon the relevant factors at the time of such consideration.

The following table presents a summary of the Company s dividend activity for the period from January 1, 2007 through December 31, 2009:

Payment Date		Amount Per Common Share
September 26, 2008	\$	0.10
June 27, 2008	\$	0.10
March 28, 2008	\$	0.38
December 17, 2007	\$	0.38
September 28, 2007	\$	0.38
June 28, 2007	\$	0.38
March 28, 2007	\$	0.38
	Date September 26, 2008 June 27, 2008 March 28, 2008 December 17, 2007 September 28, 2007 June 28, 2007	Payment Date September 26, 2008 \$ June 27, 2008 \$ March 28, 2008 \$ December 17, 2007 \$ September 28, 2007 \$ June 28, 2007 \$

Dividend Equivalents

The Company s grants of restricted stock units (RSUs) include the right, upon vesting, to receive a cash payment equal to the aggregate amount of dividends, if any, that a holder would have received on the shares of common stock underlying their RSUs if such RSUs had been vested during the period. The long-term dividend equivalent amount, accrued and unpaid on unvested RSUs, was \$0.8 million and \$1.1 million as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and is included under other long-term liabilities in the balance sheet. The short-term dividend equivalent amount, accrued and unpaid on unvested RSUs, was \$1.0 million and \$1.6 million as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and is included under other current liabilities in the balance sheet.

Table of Contents

The amounts paid to the holders of RSUs that vested during the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 were \$0.8 million and \$0.9 million, respectively. The amount paid is considered a windfall tax benefit that will be recorded to paid-in-capital when realized. Due to the expected realization of the federal net operating loss carryback for 2008 (please refer to Note 7, Income Taxes (Benefit) for further discussion), the Company recorded to paid-in-capital a \$0.3 million tax benefit for the \$0.9 million paid to the holders of RSUs that vested during the year ended December 31, 2008.

Repurchases Of Vested RSUs

Upon vesting, unless an employee elects to pay the tax withholding obligation in cash, the Company withholds shares of stock in an amount sufficient to cover the employee s tax withholding obligations. As a result, during the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company was deemed to have repurchased 0.1 million and 0.1 million shares of stock, respectively. In connection with such repurchases, the Company recorded \$0.1 million and \$1.4 million as a financing activity in the consolidated statements of cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

14. EMPLOYEE SAVINGS AND BENEFIT PLANS

Employee Stock Purchase Plan

The Company s Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the Purchase Plan) expired on March 31, 2009 and was not renewed. The Purchase Plan allowed for the participants to purchase shares of the Company s Class A common stock at 85% of the market value of such shares on the purchase date. Under the Purchase Plan, the purchase of stock was limited to the lesser of an amount not to exceed ten percent of an employee s annual gross earnings or an annual maximum limitation of \$25,000 per employee. Pursuant to this Purchase Plan, the Company did not record compensation expense on the difference between the market value and the purchase price, as this Purchase Plan was designed to meet the requirements of Section 423(b) of the Internal Revenue Code. During the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, employees purchased 81 thousand shares, 71 thousand shares and 23 thousand shares of Class A common stock, respectively.

401(k) Savings Plan

The Company has a retirement plan which is intended to be qualified under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code. The retirement plan is a defined contribution plan, available to all eligible employees, and allows participants to contribute up to the legal maximum of their eligible compensation, not to exceed the maximum tax-deferred amount allowed by the Internal Revenue Service. The retirement plan also allows the Company to make discretionary matching contributions, which may be reduced, suspended or reinstated at any given time. During the fourth quarter of 2008, the Company suspended its discretionary matching contributions. The Company contributed \$1.8 million and \$2.0 million under the 401(k) plan for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

The Company provides certain of its employees and the Board of Directors with an opportunity to defer a portion of their compensation on a tax-favored basis. The obligations by the Company to pay these benefits under the deferred compensation plans represent unsecured general obligations that rank equally with the Company s other unsecured indebtedness. As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, \$5.3 million and \$3.7 million, respectively, were deferred under these deferred compensation plans and were included in other long-term liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets. For the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, the Company recorded to deferred compensation expense an increase of \$1.1 million; a decrease of \$1.4 million and an increase of \$0.2 million, respectively. Any change in the deferred compensation liability is recorded to general and administrative expense and to station operating expenses in the statements of operations.

15. SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION

Equity Compensation Plan

Under the Entercom Equity Compensation Plan (the Plan), the Company is authorized to issue share-based compensation awards to key employees, directors and consultants. The RSUs and options that have been

105

Table of Contents

issued generally vest over periods of up to four years. The options expire ten years from the date of grant. The Company issues new shares of Class A common stock upon the exercise of stock options and the later of vesting or issuance of restricted stock (or RSUs).

On January 1 of each year, the number of shares of Class A common stock authorized under the Plan is automatically increased by 1.5 million, or a lesser number as may be determined by the Company s Board of Directors. On January 1, 2010, the shares available for grant automatically increased by 1.5 million to 2.5 million shares.

At the shareholders meeting on May 13, 2008, the Company s shareholders approved certain amendments to the Plan including: (1) an increase in the sub-limit for RSUs from 2.0 million to 3.0 million shares; and (2) the addition of certain performance criteria for purposes of satisfying expense deduction requirements for income tax purposes.

Accounting For Share-Based Compensation

The measurement and recognition of compensation expense, for all share-based payment awards made to employees and directors, is based on estimated fair values. The Company estimates the fair value of share-based payment awards on the date of grant using an option-pricing model. The value of the portion of the award that is ultimately expected to vest is recognized as expense over the requisite service periods in the Company s consolidated statements of operations.

The Company used the straight-line single option method for recognizing compensation expense, which was reduced for estimated forfeitures based on awards ultimately expected to vest. Estimated forfeitures are revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates.

RSU Activity

During the year ended December 31, 2009, the Company issued 0.2 million RSUs at a weighted average fair value of \$1.76 per share and will increase its additional paid-in capital by \$0.3 million over the vesting period of the RSUs. These RSUs exclude the impact of: (1) an unusually high number of forfeitures during the year which will reduce paid-in capital by \$2.6 million; (2) the 2009 Option Exchange Program of \$1.2 million as described below; and (3) modified RSUs with market conditions of \$0.2 million.

During the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, the Company issued in each year 0.5 million RSUs (net of forfeitures) at a weighted average fair value of \$10.72 and \$25.17, respectively, and will increase its additional paid-in capital by \$5.1 million and \$12.3 million, respectively, over the vesting period of the RSUs. These amounts include a fair value adjustment for RSUs with service and market-based conditions that were issued in 2008 and in 2007.

As of December 31, 2009, there was \$6.6 million of unamortized compensation expense, net of estimated forfeitures, related to unvested RSUs, which is expected to be recognized over a remaining weighted average recognition period of 2.3 years. During the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, 0.3 million units, 0.5 million units and 0.2 million units, respectively, of restricted stock were both vested and released.

A summary of the changes in RSUs under the Company s Plan during the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 is as follows:

106

Table of Contents

	Period Ended	Number Of Restricted Stock Units	Weighted Average Purchase Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term	Aggregate Intrinsic Value As Of December 31, 2009
RSUs outstanding as of:	December 31, 2008	1,421,985			
RSUs awarded		176,596			
RSUs issued in exchange for options		711,985			
RSUs released		(301,276)			
RSUs forfeited		(91,757)			
RSUs outstanding as of:	December 31,				
	2009	1,917,533	\$	1.3	\$ 13,556,958
RSUs vested and expected to vest		1,753,230	\$	1.2	\$ 11,929,629
RSUs exercisable (vested and deferred)		65,871	\$		\$ 465,708
Weighted average remaining recognition period in years		2.3			

RSUs With Service And Market Conditions

As of December 31, 2009, none of the Company s 0.1 million RSUs with service and market conditions vested, as the applicable milestones had not been reached. The compensation expense is recognized even if the market conditions are not satisfied and are only reversed in the event the service period is not fulfilled.

During the first quarter of 2009 and the fourth quarter of 2007, market conditions were removed for 0.2 million and less than 0.1 million RSUs, respectively, which resulted in additional non-cash compensation expense of \$0.2 million and \$0.5 million, respectively. These RSUs, which were originally issued during the year ended December 31, 2006, will be expensed over the remaining service period or a new service period.

During the year ended December 31, 2007, the Company issued 0.1 million RSUs with service and market conditions. The vesting of these shares is based upon the performance of the Company s stock as compared to certain other companies over a three-year measurement period. The market conditions allow for vesting of portions of the award if certain shareholder performance targets are met.

Valuation Of RSUs

The fair value of RSUs with service conditions is estimated based on the market value stock price on the date of the grant, and the fair value of RSUs with service and market conditions is estimated using a lattice model as described below.

To determine the fair value of RSUs with service and market conditions, the Company used the Monte Carlo simulation lattice model. The Company s determination of the fair value was based on the number of shares granted, the Company s stock price on the date of grant and certain

assumptions regarding a number of highly complex and subjective variables. If other reasonable assumptions were used, the results could differ. The Company made assumptions with respect to the following when applying the Monte Carlo simulation model:

Expected Volatility Term Structure - The Company estimated the volatility term structure using: (1) the historical volatility of its stock; and (2) the implied volatility provided by its traded options from a trailing month s average of the closing bid-ask price quotes.

107

Table of Contents

Risk-Free Interest Rate - The Company estimated the risk-free interest rate based upon the implied yield available on U.S. Treasury issues using a constant maturity Treasury bond rate as of the date of grant.

Expected Dividend Yield - The Company calculated the expected dividend yield at the time of grant based upon the Company s most recent quarterly dividend, if any, and the Company s stock price on the date of grant.

The weighted average fair value of granted RSUs with service and market conditions was \$8.70 per share for the year ended December 31, 2007 and is amortized over a period of three years. The specific assumptions used for this valuation are as follows:

Year
Ended
December 31
2007

Expected Volatility Structure	23% to 27%
Risk Free Interest Rate	4.6% to 5.1%
Expected Dividend Yield	7.2%

Options

Option Activity

For the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, the Company issued non-qualified options to purchase 1.1 million, 0.2 million and 0.6 million shares, respectively, of its Class A common stock at prices per share ranging from \$1.34 to \$6.62, \$2.76 to \$12.31 and \$14.83 to \$23.87, respectively, of which all options were issued at market value on the date of grant. These options generally vest over a four-year period and expire ten years from the date of grant.

For the year ended December 31, 2007, the total intrinsic value of options exercised was \$0.1 million (no options were exercised for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008). For the year ended December 31, 2007, cash received from stock option exercises was \$0.5 million and the income tax benefit from stock option exercises was less than \$0.1 million.

As of December 31, 2009, \$1.0 million of accumulated unrecognized compensation costs related to unvested stock options, net of forfeitures, are expected to be amortized in future periods over a weighted average period of 3.1 years.

The following table presents the option activity during the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 under the Company s stock option plan:

Table of Contents

	Period Ended	Number of Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term]	Intrinsic Value As of December 31, 2009
Options outstanding as of:	December 31, 2008	2,493,930	\$ 28.33			
Options granted		1,086,750	\$ 1.42			
Options forfeited		(70,155)	\$ 2.62			
Options exchanged for RSUs		(2,084,518)	\$ 29.02			
Options expired		(322,975)	\$ 24.70			
Outstanding as of:	December 31, 2009	1,103,032	\$ 3.20	8.8	\$	5,808,683
Options vested and expected to vest as of:	December 31, 2009	989,693	\$ 3.38	8.8	\$	5,183,473
Options vested and exercisable as of:	December 31, 2009	50,542	\$ 35.29	3.3	\$	8,081
Weighted average remaining recognition period in years:		3.1				

The following table summarizes significant ranges of outstanding and exercisable options as of December 31, 2009:

Exercise Prices			Number Of Options Outstanding December 31, 2009	Options Outstanding Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life		Weighted Average Exercise Price	Options Number Of Options Exercisable December 31, 2009	able Weighted Average Exercise Price	
\$	1.34	\$	1.34	995,750	9.1	\$	1.34		\$
\$	1.57	\$	11.69	58,782	8.9	\$	7.11	8,042	\$ 9.46
\$	11.78	\$	11.78	8,000	8.1	\$	11.78	2,000	\$ 11.78
\$	27.75	\$	42.88	23,500	2.0	\$	37.02	23,500	\$ 37.02
\$	45.03	\$	48.21	17,000	2.3	\$	47.90	17,000	\$ 47.90
				1,103,032	8.8	\$	3.20	50,542	\$ 35.29

Valuation Of Options

The Company s determination of the fair value of share-based payment awards on the date of grant using an option-pricing model is affected by the Company s stock price, as well as assumptions regarding a number of highly complex and subjective variables. These variables include, but are not limited to, the Company s expected stock price volatility over the term of the awards, and actual and projected employee stock option exercise behaviors. Option-pricing models were developed for use in estimating the value of traded options that have no vesting or hedging restrictions and are fully transferable. The Company s stock options have certain characteristics that are different from traded options, and changes in the subjective assumptions could affect the estimated value.

The weighted average fair value of each option granted for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 was \$0.78, \$0.77 and \$2.41, respectively.

For options granted during the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, the Company used the Black-Scholes option-pricing model and determined: (1) the term by using the simplified plain-vanilla method as the Company s employee exercise history may not be indicative for estimating future exercises; (2) a historical volatility over a period commensurate with the expected term, with the observation of the volatility on a daily basis; (3) a risk-free interest rate that was consistent with the expected term of the stock options and based on the U.S. Treasury yield

Table of Contents

curve in effect at the time of the grant; and (4) an annual dividend yield based upon the Company s most recent quarterly dividend at the time of grant.

The fair value of each option grant was estimated on the date of grant using the following weighted average assumptions:

		Years Ended December 31,	
	2009	2008	2007
Expected life (years)	6.3	6.3	6.3
Expected volatility factor (%)	54.9% to 68.0%	30.9% to 39.3%	31.0%
Risk-free interest rate (%)	2.2% to 3.3%	2.7% to 3.3%	3.8% to 4.8%
Expected dividend yield (%)	0.0%	0.0% to 14.6%	6.4% to 10.2%

Recognized Non-Cash Compensation Expense

The following table summarizes recognized stock-based compensation expense related to awards of RSUs, employee stock options and purchases under the employee stock purchase plan for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007:

	2009	ded December 31, 2008 ats in thousands)	2007
Station operating expenses	\$ 1,976	\$ 2,552	\$ 2,374
Corporate general and administrative expenses	4,912	7,304	5,834
Stock-based compensation expense included in operating expenses	6,888	9,856	8,208
Income tax benefit (net of a fully reserved valuation allowance)		(3,075)	(2,791)
Total stock-based compensation expense	\$ 6,888	\$ 6,781	\$ 5,417

For the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, stock-based compensation expense consisted primarily of awards for RSUs.

2009 Option Exchange Program

In February 2009, the Company s Board of Directors approved an amendment to the Plan to permit a one-time Option Exchange Program (2009 OEP), which amendment was approved at the May 2009 shareholders meeting. On April 13, 2009, the Company commenced the 2009 OEP (subject to shareholder approval) by making an offer to exchange to the Company s eligible employees and non-employee directors. The Company offered such persons the opportunity to make an election to exchange all of their outstanding stock options with exercise prices equal to or greater than \$11.80 per share for a lesser number of RSUs. The exchange ratios were as follows:

Option	Exchange Ratio
Strike Price	(Options For RSUs)
At least \$11.80, but less than \$30.00	2.25 for 1
\$30.00 or more	4.5 for 1

On May 15, 2009, following the May 14, 2009 expiration of the Company s 2009 OEP, the Company granted 0.7 million RSUs in exchange for 2.1 million options. As a result of the 2009 OEP, the number of RSUs that can be issued under the Plan was effectively increased by 0.7 million as all RSUs granted did not count against the restricted stock sublimit in the Plan. In addition, the number of awards that can be issued under the Plan was effectively reduced by 2.1 million as all options that were exchanged will not be available for re-grant under the Plan.

The Company applied modification accounting for the 2009 OEP and will recognize share-based compensation expense of \$1.2 million on a straight-line basis over the four-year vesting period of the RSUs. Under modification accounting, the fair value of the new shares immediately prior to the exchange was greater by \$1.2

Table of Contents

million than the fair value of the surrendered options. In addition, under the bifurcated method, share-based compensation expense of \$0.9 million associated with any unvested options exchanged and cancelled as of the modification date will be recognized over the remaining original option term, and the expense will only be reversed if the original service period is not met.

Tax Benefit, Or Additional Paid-In Capital Pool

For the year ended December 31, 2008, the Company utilized its paid-in-capital pool of \$1.7 million (including the \$0.5 million as described below) that resulted from tax benefits recorded in this period and in prior periods.

In connection with the vesting of RSUs issued under the Company s 2006 Option Exchange Program, the Company received tax deductions in excess of previously recorded tax benefits. As a result, the Company recorded a windfall tax benefit of \$0.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, which was classified as a financing cash inflow in the condensed consolidated statements of cash flows.

16. NET INCOME (LOSS) PER COMMON SHARE

Net income (loss) per common share is calculated as basic net income (loss) per share and diluted net income (loss) per share. Basic net income (loss) per share excludes dilution and is computed by dividing net income (loss) available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted net income (loss) per share is computed in the same manner as basic net income (loss) after assuming issuance of common stock for all potentially dilutive equivalent shares, which includes the potential dilution that could occur: (1) if all the Company s outstanding stock options that are in-the-money were exercised (using the treasury stock method); (2) if the RSUs with service conditions were fully vested (using the treasury stock method); (3) if the RSUs with service and market conditions were considered contingently issuable; and (4) if the participation by employees in the Employee Stock Purchase Plan, which expired on March 31, 2009, is considered as an option (using the treasury stock method). Anti-dilutive instruments are not considered in this calculation.

The Company considered the allocation of undistributed net income for multiple classes of common stock and determined that it was appropriate to allocate undistributed net income between the Company s Class A and Class B common stock on an equal basis. For purposes of making this determination, the Company s charter provides that the holders of Class A and Class B common stock have equal rights and privileges except with respect to voting on most matters voted by Joseph Field or David Field.

Computation Of Diluted Net Income (Loss) Per Share

The effect of stock options and RSUs in the calculation of net income (loss) per share, using the treasury stock method, was dilutive for the year ended December 31, 2009 and anti-dilutive for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007. The computations are reflected as follows:

Table of Contents

Computations For The Year Ended December 31, 2009

Year Ended December 31, 2009 (amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)

	I	Net Income	Shares	•	Net Income Per Share
Basic net income per common share:					
Income from continuing operations	\$	5,398	35,321,431	\$	0.15
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes (benefit)					
Net income	\$	5,398	35,321,431	\$	0.15
Impact of equity awards			1,081,369		
Diluted net income per common share:					
Income from continuing operations	\$	5,398	36,402,800	\$	0.15
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes (benefit)					
Net income	\$	5,398	36,402,800	\$	0.15

In computing the incremental shares under the treasury stock method, 0.8 million RSUs (including 0.1 million RSUs with market and service conditions as half of the RSUs with market conditions were not satisfied as of December 31, 2009) and options to purchase 0.9 million shares of common stock at a range of \$3.46 to \$48.21, were excluded from the computation as they were anti-dilutive.

Computations For The Year Ended December 31, 2008

Year Ended December 31, 2008 (amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)

	Net Loss	Shares	Net Loss Per Share
Basic net loss per common share:			
Loss from continuing operations	\$ (512,572)	36,782,166	\$ (13.94)
Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax benefit	(4,079)		(0.11)
Net loss	\$ (516,651)	36,782,166	\$ (14.05)
Impact of equity awards			
Diluted net loss per common share:			
Loss from continuing operations	\$ (512,572)	36,782,166	\$ (13.94)
Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax benefit	(4,079)		(0.11)
Net loss	\$ (516,651)	36,782,166	\$ (14.05)

The Company did not include less than 0.1 million incremental shares in the diluted net loss per common share computation as the shares were anti-dilutive when reporting a net loss per share.

In computing the incremental shares under the treasury stock method, 1.4 million RSUs (including 0.3 million RSUs with market and service conditions as the market conditions were not satisfied as of December 31, 2008) and options to purchase 2.5 million shares of common stock at a range of \$6.98 to \$52.05, were excluded from the computation as they were anti-dilutive.

Table of Contents

Computations For The Year Ended December 31, 2007

Year Ended December 31, 2007 (amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)

]	Net Loss	Shares	Net Loss Per Share
Basic net loss per common share:				
Loss from continuing operations	\$	(8,394)	38,229,695	\$ (0.22)
Income from discontinued operations, net of taxes		37		
Net loss	\$	(8,357)	38,229,695	\$ (0.22)
Impact of equity awards				
Diluted net loss per common share:				
Loss from continuing operations	\$	(8,394)	38,229,695	\$ (0.22)
Income from discontinued operations, net of taxes		37		
Net loss	\$	(8,357)	38,229,695	\$ (0.22)

The Company did not include 0.3 million incremental shares in the diluted net loss per common share as the shares were anti-dilutive when reporting a net loss per share.

In computing the incremental shares under the treasury stock method, 0.6 million RSUs (including 0.3 million RSUs with market and service conditions as the market conditions were not satisfied as of December 31, 2007) and options to purchase 1.8 million shares of common stock at a range of \$13.70 to \$52.05, were excluded from the computation as they were anti-dilutive.

17. DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

The Company applied the accounting guidance for the disposition of long-lived assets to: (1) the disposition of a building on November 24, 2008; (2) the disposition of radio broadcasting assets in the Rochester, New York, market on July 14, 2008; (3) the disposition of radio broadcasting assets in the Cincinnati, Ohio market on March 14, 2008; (4) the disposition of radio broadcasting assets in the Seattle market on March 14, 2008; and (5) the disposition of radio broadcasting assets in the Austin market on January 15, 2008. Accounting guidance requires that in a period in which a component of an entity has been disposed of or is classified as held for sale, the income statement of a business enterprise for current and prior periods shall report the results of operations of the component, including any gain or loss recognized, in discontinued operations.

The Company reported the disposition of radio broadcasting assets in the Rochester, New York, market as discontinued operations, but did not report, however, the discontinued operations for the following dispositions which remain classified in income (loss) from continuing operations:

• The radio stations in Cincinnati, Ohio, as there was no activity in this market by the Company since the Company s acquisition of these stations during the fourth quarter of 2007 (the stations were operated by a third party pursuant to a TBA for the period from February 26, 2007 through March 14, 2008); and

• The radio station in the Austin market, the three radio stations in the Seattle market and a building, as these operations did not meet the criteria for the reclassification of operating results to discontinued operations due to the expected migration of cash flows from the disposed stations and property to other Company-owned radio stations.

Table of Contents

Selected financial information related to discontinued operations in the Rochester market for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 is as follows:

	Years Ended I 2008	December	· 31, 2007
Net broadcast revenues	\$ 1,440	\$	929
Station operating expenses	1,456		865
Depreciation and amortization			18
Time brokerage agreement income	(45)		
Gain on disposition of assets	(725)		
Impairment loss	6,675		
Total operating expense	7,361		883
Income (loss) before income taxes (benefit)	(5,921)		46
Income taxes (benefit)	1,842		(9)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of			
income taxes (benefit)	\$ (4,079)	\$	37

During the first quarter of 2008, the Company reviewed its carrying amount for the Rochester assets held for sale at that time and determined that an aggregate impairment loss for broadcasting licenses and goodwill of \$6.7 million was necessary due to: (1) the continued decline in advertising revenues; (2) the filing of an application with the FCC to place these stations into a disposition trust; and (3) the potential for a forced sale by the disposition trustee.

In accordance with the accounting guidance for the allocation of interest expense to a discontinued operation, the Company did not allocate any interest expense for the periods presented, as no debt was required to be repaid under the Company s Bank Facility as a result of the disposition of these radio station assets.

18. SUMMARIZED QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (Unaudited)

The following table presents unaudited operating results for each quarter within the two most recent years. The Company believes that all necessary adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, have been included in the amounts stated below to present fairly the following quarterly results when read in conjunction with the financial statements included elsewhere in this report. Results of operations for any particular quarter are not necessarily indicative of results of operations for a full year. The Company s financial results are also not comparable from quarter due to the Company s acquisitions and dispositions of radio stations as described in Note 4 and due to the seasonality of revenues, with revenues usually the lowest in the first quarter of each year.

Table of Contents

			Quarters Ended						
	De	ecember 31	September 30 (amounts in thousands, excep			June 30 (2) ept per share data)		March 31	
2009									
Net revenues	\$	95,996	\$	99,765	\$	101,300	\$	75,371	
Operating income (loss)	\$	24,260	\$	23,421	\$	(43,654)	\$	6,794	
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$	23,700	\$	18,275	\$	(41,913)	\$	5,336	
Net income (loss)	\$	23,700	\$	18,275	\$	(41,913)	\$	5,336	
Basic income (loss) from continuing									
operations per common share (1)	\$	0.67	\$	0.52	\$	(1.19)	\$	0.15	
Basic net income (loss) per common share (1)	\$	0.67	\$	0.52	\$	(1.19)	\$	0.15	
Weighted average basic common shares									
outstanding		35,295		35,292		35,290		35,394	
Diluted income (loss) from continuing									
operations per common share (1)	\$	0.64	\$	0.50	\$	(1.19)	\$	0.15	
Diluted net income (loss) per common share									
(1)	\$	0.64	\$	0.50	\$	(1.19)	\$	0.15	
Weighted average diluted common and									
common equivalent shares outstanding		37,040		36,621		35,290		35,482	
-									

				Quarters	s Ende	d		
	Dec	ember 31 (3)		eptember 30		June 30 (3)		March 31 (3)
2000			(amo	ounts in thousands,	except	t per share data)		
2008	Φ.	104.005	Φ.	115 555	Φ.	122 700	Φ.	05.200
Net revenues	\$	104,097	\$	115,555	\$	123,780	\$	95,390
Operating income (loss)	\$	(622,337)	\$	32,809	\$	(147,862)	\$	27,082
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$	(429,222)	\$	3,774	\$	(96,293)	\$	9,169
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	\$	(582)	\$	480	\$	(33)	\$	(3,944)
Net income (loss)	\$	(429,804)	\$	4,254	\$	(96,326)	\$	5,225
Basic income (loss) from continuing								
operations per common share (1)	\$	(11.89)	\$	0.11	\$	(2.60)	\$	0.24
Basic income (loss) from discontinued								
operations per common share (1)	\$	(0.02)	\$	0.01	\$		\$	(0.10)
Basic net income (loss) per common share (1)	\$	(11.91)	\$	0.12	\$	(2.60)	\$	0.14
Weighted average basic common shares								
outstanding		36,095		36,367		36,966		37,636
Diluted income (loss) from continuing								
operations per common share (1)	\$	(11.89)	\$	0.11	\$	(2.60)	\$	0.24
Diluted income (loss) from discontinued								
operations per common share (1)	\$	(0.02)	\$	0.01	\$		\$	(0.10)
Diluted net income (loss) per common share								
(1)	\$	(11.91)	\$	0.12	\$	(2.60)	\$	0.14
Weighted average diluted common and		·						
common equivalent shares outstanding		36,095		36,375		36,966		37,736
1								
Dividends declared and paid per common								
share	\$		\$	0.10	\$	0.10	\$	0.38
	Ψ		Ψ	3.10	Ψ	0.10	Ψ	3.50

Table of Contents

- Income (loss) from continuing operations per share, income (loss) from discontinued operations per share and net income (loss) per share is computed independently for each quarter and the full year based upon respective average shares outstanding. Therefore, the sum of the quarterly per share amounts may not equal the annual per share amounts reported.
- During the second quarter of 2009, the Company recognized an impairment loss of \$67.7 million that was comprised of: (1) a broadcasting license impairment loss of \$60.8 million that affected each of the Company s radio markets, other than Seattle; and (2) a goodwill impairment loss of \$6.9 million for seven of its 22 markets.
- During the fourth quarter of 2008, the Company recognized an impairment loss of \$651.1 million that was comprised of: (1) a broadcasting license impairment loss of \$645.3 million that affected each of the Company s radio markets, other than Seattle; and (2) a goodwill impairment loss of \$5.8 million for three of its markets. During the second quarter of 2008, the Company recognized a goodwill and broadcasting license impairment loss of \$184.6 million that affected the Company s Denver, Greenville, Indianapolis and Memphis radio markets. During the first quarter of 2008, the Company reduced its assets held for sale in the Rochester market and recorded an impairment loss of \$6.7 million to income (loss) from discontinued operations.

116

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, in Bala Cynwyd, Pennsylvania, on March 15, 2010.

ENTERCOM COMMUNICATIONS CORP.

By: /s/ DAVID J. FIELD

David J. Field, President, Chief Executive Officer

(principal executive officer)

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed by the following persons in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

SIGNATURE	CAPACITY	DATE
Principal Executive Officer:		
/s/ DAVID J. FIELD David J. Field	President, Chief Executive Officer and a Director	March 15, 2010
Principal Financial Officer:		
/s/ STEPHEN F. FISHER Stephen F. Fisher	Executive Vice President Operations and Chief Financial Officer	March 15, 2010
Principal Accounting Officer:		
/s/ EUGENE D. LEVIN Eugene D. Levin	Vice President, Treasurer and Controller	March 15, 2010
Directors:		
/s/ JOSEPH M. FIELD Joseph M. Field	Chairman of the Board	March 15, 2010
/s/ DAVID J. BERKMAN David J. Berkman	Director	March 15, 2010
/s/ JOHN C. DONLEVIE John C. Donlevie	Executive Vice President, Secretary, General Counsel and a Director	March 15, 2010
/s/ DANIEL E. GOLD Daniel E. Gold	Director	March 15, 2010
/s/ ROBERT S. WIESENTHAL Robert S. Wiesenthal	Director	March 15, 2010

/s/ MICHAEL J WOLF Michael J. Wolf Director

March 15, 2010

117

Table of Contents

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

Exhibit	
Number	Description
3.01	Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of the Entercom Communications Corp, as amended on December 19, 2007. (1)
3.02	Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Entercom Communications Corp. (2)
4.01	Indenture dated as of March 5, 2002 by and among Entercom Radio, LLC and Entercom Capital, Inc., as co-issuers, the Guarantors named therein and HSBC Bank USA, as trustee. (3) (Originally filed as Exhibit 4.02)
4.02	First Supplemental Indenture dated as of March 5, 2002 by and among Entercom Radio, LLC and Entercom Capital, Inc., as co-issuers, the Guarantors named therein and HSBC Bank USA, as trustee. (3) (Originally filed as Exhibit 4.03)
10.01	Employment Agreement, dated July 1, 2007, between Entercom Communications Corp. and David J. Field. (4)
10.02	First Amendment To Employment Agreement, dated December 15, 2008, between Entercom Communications Corp. and David J. Field. (5) (Originally filed as Exhibit 10.02)
10.03	Employment Agreement, dated July 1, 2007, between Entercom Communications Corp. and Joseph M. Field. (6)
10.04	First Amendment To Employment Agreement, dated December 15, 2008, between Entercom Communications Corp. and Joseph M. Field. (5) (Originally filed as Exhibit 10.04)
10.05	Employment Agreement, dated December 19, 2007, between Entercom Communications Corp. and Stephen F. Fisher. (7)
10.06	First Amendment To Employment Agreement, dated December 15, 2008, between Entercom Communications Corp. and Stephen F. Fisher. (5) (Originally filed as Exhibit 10.06)
10.07	Employment Agreement, dated December 17, 1998, between Entercom Communications Corp. and John C. Donlevie. (8)
10.08	Entercom Non-employee Director Compensation Policy. (9)
10.09	Amended and Restated Entercom Equity Compensation Plan. (10)
10.10	Entercom Annual Incentive Plan. (11)
10.11	Credit Agreement dated as of June 18, 2007 among Entercom Radio, LLC, as the Borrower, Entercom Communications Corp., as the Parent, Bank of America, N.A. as Administrative Agent and L/C Issuer, JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A. as Syndication Agent, BMO Capital Markets, Corp., BNP Paribas, Mizuho Corporate Bank, LTD., Suntrust Bank as Co-Documentation Agents and The Other Lenders Party Hereto. (12)
21.01	Information Regarding Subsidiaries of Entercom Communications Corp. (13)
23.01	Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP. (13)
31.01	Certification of President and Chief Executive Officer required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a), as created by Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. (13)
31.02	Certification of Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a), as created by Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. (13)
32.01	Certification of President and Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as created by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. (14)
32.02	Certification of Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as created by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. (14)

⁽¹⁾ Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.01 to our Amendment to Registration Statement on Form S-1, as filed on January 27, 1999 (File No. 333-61381), Exhibit 3.1 of our Current Report on Form 8-K as filed on December 21, 2007 and Exhibit 3.02 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2009, as filed on August 5, 2009.

⁽²⁾ Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.01 to our Current Report on Form 8K filed on February 21, 2008.

⁽³⁾ Incorporated by reference to an exhibit (as indicated above) to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2002, as filed on May 13, 2002.

Table of Contents

- (4) Incorporated by reference to as Exhibit 10.01 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2007, as filed on November 9, 2007.
- (5) Incorporated by reference to an exhibit (as indicated above) to our Annual Report on Form 10-Q for the year ended December 31, 2008, as filed on February 26, 2009.
- (6) Incorporated by reference to as Exhibit 10.02 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A for the quarter ended September 30, 2007, as filed on November 21, 2007.
- (7) Incorporated by reference to as Exhibit 10.03 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007, as filed on February 22, 2008.
- (8) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.03 to our Amendment to Registration Statement on Form S-1, as filed on January 6, 1999. (File No. 333-61381).
- (9) Incorporated by reference to Item 1.01 to our Current Report on Form 8K filed on February 19, 2008.
- (10) Incorporated by reference to Appendix A to our Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A filed on March 23, 2009.
- (11) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.02 to our Current Report on Form 8K filed on May 19, 2008.
- (12) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.01 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2007, as filed on August 2, 2007.
- (13) Filed herewith.
- (14) These exhibits are submitted as accompanying this Annual Report on Form 10-K and shall not be deemed to be filed as part of such Annual Report on Form 10-K.