

DELTA AIR LINES INC /DE/
Form 10-K
February 05, 2016

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT
OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015

Or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
ACT OF 1934

Commission File Number 001-5424

DELTA AIR LINES, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

58-0218548

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

Post Office Box 20706

Atlanta, Georgia

30320-6001

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (404) 715-2600

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class

Name of each exchange on which registered

Common Stock, par value \$0.0001 per share

New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Edgar Filing: DELTA AIR LINES INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of June 30, 2015 was approximately \$32.7 billion.

On January 31, 2016, there were outstanding 778,508,621 shares of the registrant's common stock.

This document is also available on our website at <http://ir.delta.com/>.

Documents Incorporated By Reference

Part III of this Form 10-K incorporates by reference certain information from the registrant's definitive Proxy Statement for its Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Table of Contents | |
| <u>Forward-Looking Statements</u> | Page 1 |
| PART I | |
| <u>ITEM 1. BUSINESS</u> | 2 |
| <u>General</u> | 2 |
| <u>Fuel</u> | 4 |
| <u>Frequent Flyer Program</u> | 5 |
| <u>Other Businesses</u> | 5 |
| <u>Distribution and Expanded Product Offerings</u> | 5 |
| <u>Competition</u> | 6 |
| <u>Regulatory Matters</u> | 7 |
| <u>Employee Matters</u> | 10 |
| <u>Executive Officers of the Registrant</u> | 11 |
| <u>Additional Information</u> | 11 |
| | |
| <u>ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS</u> | 12 |
| <u>Risk Factors Relating to Delta</u> | 12 |
| <u>Risk Factors Relating to the Airline Industry</u> | 17 |
| | |
| <u>ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS</u> | 18 |
| | |
| <u>ITEM 2. PROPERTIES</u> | 19 |
| <u>Flight Equipment</u> | 19 |
| <u>Ground Facilities</u> | 20 |
| | |
| <u>ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS</u> | 21 |
| | |
| <u>ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES</u> | 21 |
| | |
| PART II | |
| | |
| <u>ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES</u> | 22 |
| | |
| <u>ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA</u> | 24 |
| | |
| <u>ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATION</u> | 26 |
| <u>Financial Highlights - 2015 Compared to 2014</u> | 26 |
| <u>Company Initiatives</u> | 26 |
| <u>Results of Operations - 2015 Compared to 2014</u> | 29 |
| <u>Results of Operations - 2014 Compared to 2013</u> | 32 |
| <u>Non-Operating Results</u> | 35 |
| <u>Income Taxes</u> | 35 |
| <u>Refinery Segment</u> | 35 |
| <u>Financial Condition and Liquidity</u> | 36 |
| <u>Contractual Obligations</u> | 39 |

| | |
|---|-----------|
| <u>Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates</u> | <u>40</u> |
| <u>Supplemental Information</u> | <u>46</u> |
| <u>Glossary of Defined Terms</u> | <u>49</u> |
| | |
| <u>ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK</u> | <u>50</u> |
| | |
| <u>ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA</u> | <u>52</u> |
| | |
| <u>ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE</u> | <u>95</u> |
| | |
| <u>ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES</u> | <u>95</u> |

| | Page |
|--|------------|
| <u>ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION</u> | <u>97</u> |
| PART III | |
| <u>ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE OF THE REGISTRANT</u> | <u>97</u> |
| <u>ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u> | <u>97</u> |
| <u>ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS</u> | <u>97</u> |
| <u>ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE</u> | <u>97</u> |
| <u>ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES</u> | <u>98</u> |
| PART IV | |
| <u>ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES</u> | <u>98</u> |
| <u>SIGNATURES</u> | <u>99</u> |
| <u>EXHIBIT INDEX</u> | <u>101</u> |

Table of Contents

Unless otherwise indicated, the terms “Delta,” “we,” “us,” and “our” refer to Delta Air Lines, Inc. and its subsidiaries.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Statements in this Form 10-K (or otherwise made by us or on our behalf) that are not historical facts, including statements about our estimates, expectations, beliefs, intentions, projections or strategies for the future, may be “forward-looking statements” as defined in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from historical experience or our present expectations. Known material risk factors applicable to Delta are described in “Risk Factors Relating to Delta” and “Risk Factors Relating to the Airline Industry” in “Item 1A. Risk Factors” of this Form 10-K, other than risks that could apply to any issuer or offering. All forward-looking statements speak only as of the date made, and we undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances that may arise after the date of this report.

Table of Contents

Part I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

General

We provide scheduled air transportation for passengers and cargo throughout the United States ("U.S.") and around the world. Our global route network gives us a presence in every major domestic and international market. Our route network is centered around a system of hub, international gateway and key airports that we operate in Amsterdam, Atlanta, Boston, Detroit, London-Heathrow, Los Angeles, Minneapolis-St. Paul, New York-LaGuardia, New York-JFK, Paris-Charles de Gaulle, Salt Lake City, Seattle and Tokyo-Narita. Each of these operations includes flights that gather and distribute traffic from markets in the geographic region surrounding the hub or gateway to domestic and international cities and to other hubs or gateways. Our network is supported by a fleet of aircraft that is varied in size and capabilities, giving us flexibility to adjust aircraft to the network.

Other important characteristics of our route network include:

- our international joint ventures, particularly our transatlantic joint venture with Air France-KLM and Alitalia as well as our joint venture with Virgin Atlantic;

- our alliances with other foreign airlines, including Aeroméxico, GOL and China Eastern and our membership in SkyTeam, a global airline alliance; and

- agreements with multiple domestic regional carriers, which operate as Delta Connection®.

We are incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware. Our principal executive offices are located at Hartsfield-Jackson Atlanta International Airport in Atlanta, Georgia. Our telephone number is (404) 715-2600 and our Internet address is www.delta.com. Information contained on our website is not part of, and is not incorporated by reference in, this Form 10-K.

International Alliances

Our international alliance relationships with foreign carriers are an important part of our business as they improve our access to international markets and enable us to market globally integrated air transportation services. In general, these arrangements include reciprocal codesharing and frequent flyer program participation and airport lounge access arrangements, and with some carriers may also include joint sales and marketing coordination, co-location of airport facilities and other commercial cooperation arrangements. These alliances also may present opportunities in other areas, such as airport ground handling arrangements, aircraft maintenance insourcing and joint procurement.

Joint Venture Agreements. We currently operate three joint ventures with foreign carriers. These arrangements, for which we have received antitrust immunity from the U.S. Department of Transportation ("DOT"), provide for joint commercial cooperation with our partners within the geographic scope of those arrangements, including the sharing of revenues and/or profits and losses generated by the parties on the joint venture routes, as well as joint marketing and sales, coordinated pricing and revenue management, network planning and scheduling and other coordinated activities with respect to the parties' operations on joint venture routes. The three joint ventures are:

- A transatlantic joint venture with Air France and KLM, both of which are subsidiaries of the same holding company, and Alitalia, which generally covers routes between North America and Europe.

A transatlantic joint venture with Virgin Atlantic Airways with respect to operations on non-stop routes between the United Kingdom and North America. In addition to the joint venture, we own a non-controlling 49% equity stake in Virgin Atlantic Limited, the parent company of Virgin Atlantic Airways.

A transpacific joint venture with Virgin Australia Airlines and its affiliated carriers with respect to operations on transpacific routes between North America and Australia/New Zealand.

Table of Contents

Enhanced Commercial Agreements with Foreign Carriers. We have separate strategic equity investments in Grupo Aeroméxico, S.A.B. de C.V., the parent company of Aeroméxico, and in GOL Linhas Aéreas Inteligentes, S.A., the parent company of VRG Linhas Aéreas (operating as GOL), and an exclusive commercial relationship with each air carrier. We invested in Aeroméxico and GOL because they operate in Latin America's two largest markets, Mexico and Brazil, respectively. Our commercial agreements with each of these carriers separately provide for expansion of reciprocal codesharing and frequent flyer program participation, airport lounge access arrangements, improved passenger connections and joint sales cooperation.

We intend to further expand our relationship with Aeroméxico through a joint venture on flights between the U.S. and Mexico that will allow us to compete more effectively on these routes. We are currently seeking approvals from governmental authorities for this joint venture. In addition to our commercial cooperation arrangements for passenger service with Aeroméxico, we and Aeroméxico have established a joint venture relating to an airframe maintenance, repair and overhaul operation located in Queretaro, Mexico. We have also announced our intention to commence a tender offer for additional capital stock of Grupo Aeroméxico (the parent company of Aeroméxico) that would result in us owning up to 49% of the outstanding shares.

We have also acquired shares of China Eastern and entered into a commercial agreement with them to expand our relationship and better connect the networks of the two airlines. The expanded commercial agreement is designed to allow the carriers to compete more effectively on routes between the U.S. and China, provide more travel options for customers in both countries and make joint investments in the customer experience.

SkyTeam. In addition to our marketing alliance agreements with individual foreign airlines, we are a member of the SkyTeam global airline alliance. The other members of SkyTeam are Aeroflot, Aerolíneas Argentinas, Aeroméxico, Air Europa, Air France, Alitalia, China Airlines, China Eastern, China Southern, CSA Czech Airlines, Garuda Indonesia, Kenya Airways, KLM, Korean Air, Middle East Airlines, Saudi Arabian Airlines, Tarom, Vietnam Airlines and Xiamen Airlines. Through alliance arrangements with other SkyTeam carriers, Delta is able to link its network with the route networks of the other member airlines, providing opportunities for increased connecting traffic while offering enhanced customer service through reciprocal codesharing and frequent flyer arrangements, airport lounge access programs and coordinated cargo operations.

Domestic Alliances

We have reciprocal codesharing and frequent flyer program participation and airport lounge access arrangements with both Alaska Airlines and Hawaiian Airlines.

Regional Carriers

We have air service agreements with domestic regional air carriers that feed traffic to our route system by serving passengers primarily in small and medium-sized cities. These arrangements enable us to better match capacity with demand in these markets. Approximately 17% of our passenger revenue in 2015 was related to flying by these regional air carriers.

Through our regional carrier program, Delta Connection, we have contractual arrangements with regional carriers to operate aircraft using our "DL" designator code. We have contractual arrangements with:

ExpressJet Airlines, Inc. and SkyWest Airlines, Inc., both subsidiaries of SkyWest, Inc.;

Shuttle America Corporation ("Shuttle America"), a subsidiary of Republic Airways Holdings, Inc.;

Compass Airlines, LLC ("Compass") and GoJet Airlines, LLC, both subsidiaries of Trans States Holdings, Inc. ("Trans States"); and

Endeavor Air, Inc., which is a wholly-owned subsidiary of ours.

Our contractual agreements with regional carriers primarily are capacity purchase arrangements, under which we control the scheduling, pricing, reservations, ticketing and seat inventories for the regional carriers' flights operating under our "DL" designator code. We are entitled to all ticket, cargo, mail, in-flight and ancillary revenues associated with these flights. We pay those airlines an amount, as defined in the applicable agreement, which is based on a determination of their cost of operating those flights and other factors intended to approximate market rates for those services. These capacity purchase agreements are long-term agreements, usually with initial terms of at least 10 years, which grant us the option to extend the initial term. Certain of these agreements provide us the right to terminate the entire agreement, or in some cases remove some of the aircraft from the scope of the agreement, for convenience at certain future dates.

SkyWest Airlines operates some flights for us under a revenue proration agreement. This proration agreement establishes a fixed dollar or percentage division of revenues for tickets sold to passengers traveling on connecting flight itineraries.

3

Table of Contents

Fuel

Our results of operations are significantly impacted by changes in the price and availability of aircraft fuel. The following table shows our aircraft fuel consumption and costs.

| Year | Gallons Consumed ⁽¹⁾ (in millions) | Cost ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ (in millions) | Average Price Per Gallon ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | Percentage of Total Operating Expense ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | |
|------|--|--------------------------------------|--|---|---|
| 2015 | 3,988 | \$7,579 | \$1.90 | 23.0 | % |
| 2014 | 3,893 | \$13,512 | \$3.47 | 35.4 | % |
| 2013 | 3,828 | \$11,464 | \$3.00 | 33.3 | % |

⁽¹⁾ Includes the operations of our regional carriers operating under capacity purchase agreements.

⁽²⁾ Includes the impact of fuel hedge activity and refinery segment results.

General

We purchase most of our aircraft fuel under contracts that establish the price based on various market indices and therefore do not provide material protection against price increases or assure the availability of our fuel supplies. We also purchase aircraft fuel on the spot market, from off-shore sources and under contracts that permit the refiners to set the price.

Monroe Energy

Our wholly-owned subsidiaries, Monroe Energy, LLC and MIPC, LLC (collectively, "Monroe") operate the Trainer refinery and related assets located near Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. The facilities include pipelines and terminal assets that allow the refinery to supply jet fuel to our airline operations throughout the Northeastern U.S., including our New York hubs at LaGuardia and JFK. These companies are distinct from us, operating under their own management teams and with their own boards of managers.

We own Monroe as part of our strategy to mitigate the cost of the refining margin reflected in the price of jet fuel, as well as to maintain sufficiency of supply to our New York operations. The U.S. government recently reversed a ban on crude oil exports from the U.S. This policy reversal will place pressure on refiners in the Northeastern U.S. The possibility that some refineries in the Northeastern U.S. may close reinforces the reasons for Monroe to operate the Trainer refinery.

Refinery Operations. The facility is capable of refining 195,000 barrels of crude oil per day. In addition to jet fuel, the refinery's production consists of gasoline, diesel and other refined products ("non-jet fuel products"). Monroe sources domestic and foreign crude oil supply from a variety of providers.

Strategic Agreements. Monroe exchanges substantially all the non-jet fuel products the refinery produces with third parties for jet fuel consumed in our airline operations.

Segments. Because the products and services of Monroe's refinery operations are discrete from our airline services, segment results are prepared for our airline segment and our refinery segment. Financial information on our segment reporting can be found in Note 14 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Fuel Hedging Program

We actively manage our fuel price risk through a hedging program intended to reduce the financial impact from changes in the price of jet fuel. We utilize different contract and commodity types in this program and frequently test their economic effectiveness against our financial targets. We closely monitor the hedge portfolio and rebalance the portfolio based on market conditions, which may result in locking in gains or losses on hedge contracts prior to their settlement dates.

Table of Contents

Fuel Supply Availability

We are currently able to obtain adequate supplies of aircraft fuel, including fuel produced by Monroe or procured through the exchange of non-jet fuel products the refinery produces, and crude oil for Monroe's operations. However, it is impossible to predict the future availability or price of aircraft fuel and crude oil. Weather-related events, natural disasters, political disruptions or wars involving oil-producing countries, changes in government policy concerning aircraft fuel production, transportation or marketing, changes in refining capacity, environmental concerns and other unpredictable events may result in future fuel supply shortages and fuel price increases.

Frequent Flyer Program

Our SkyMiles[®] frequent flyer program ("SkyMiles program") is designed to retain and increase traveler loyalty by offering incentives to customers to increase travel on Delta. The SkyMiles program allows program members to earn mileage credit for travel awards by flying on Delta, its regional carriers and other participating airlines. Mileage credit may also be earned by using certain services offered by program participants, such as credit card companies, hotels and car rental agencies. In addition, individuals and companies may purchase mileage credits. Miles do not expire, but are subject to the program rules. We reserve the right to terminate the program with six months advance notice, and to change the program's terms and conditions at any time without notice.

SkyMiles program mileage credits can be redeemed for air travel on Delta and participating airlines, for membership in our Delta Sky Clubs[®] and for other program participant awards. Mileage credits are subject to certain transfer restrictions and travel awards are subject to capacity-controlled seating. In 2015, program members redeemed more than 312 billion miles in the SkyMiles program for 13.3 million award redemptions. During this period, 7.2% of revenue miles flown on Delta were from award travel.

Other Businesses

Cargo

Through our global network, our cargo operations are able to connect all of the world's major freight gateways. We generate cargo revenues in domestic and international markets through the use of cargo space on regularly scheduled passenger aircraft. We are a member of SkyTeam Cargo, a global airline cargo alliance, whose other members are Aeroflot, Aerolíneas Argentinas, Aeroméxico Cargo, Air France-KLM Cargo, Alitalia Cargo, China Airlines Cargo, China Cargo Airlines, China Southern Cargo, Czech Airlines Cargo and Korean Air Cargo. SkyTeam Cargo offers a global network spanning six continents.

Delta TechOps, Delta Global Services, MLT Vacations and Delta Private Jets

We have several other businesses arising from our airline operations, including aircraft maintenance, repair and overhaul ("MRO"), staffing and other services, vacation wholesale operations and our private jet operations. In 2015, the total revenue from these businesses was approximately \$1 billion.

In addition to providing maintenance and engineering support for our fleet of over 900 aircraft, our MRO operation, known as Delta TechOps, serves aviation and airline customers from around the world.

Delta Global Services provides services to us and to third parties, including staffing services, aviation solutions, professional security and training services.

Our vacation wholesale business, MLT Vacations, provides vacation packages to third-party consumers.

Our private jet operations, Delta Private Jets, provides aircraft charters, aircraft management and programs allowing members to purchase flight time by the hour.

Distribution and Expanded Product Offerings

Our tickets are sold through various distribution channels including digital channels such as delta.com and mobile, telephone reservations and traditional "brick and mortar" and online travel agencies. An increasing number of our tickets are sold through Delta digital channels, which reduces our distribution costs and gives us improved and direct, personalized interaction with our customers.

Table of Contents

We are transforming distribution to a more retail oriented merchandised approach by introducing well-defined and differentiated products available to our customers. We will offer five distinct travel experiences, which include premium amenities and services in Delta One™, First Class and Delta Comfort+™ while Main Cabin and Basic Economy options allow customers to match the level of service with their preferences. We expect that these merchandising initiatives, implemented across all of Delta's distribution channels, will allow customers to better understand our product offerings, make it easier to buy the products they desire and increase customer satisfaction. This merchandising effort is best experienced on Delta's digital channels where customers can compare all product options in a single, easy to understand display.

Competition

The airline industry is highly competitive, marked by significant competition with respect to routes, fares, schedules (both timing and frequency), services, products, customer service and frequent flyer programs. The industry is going through a period of transformation through consolidation, both domestically and internationally, and changes in international alliances. Consolidation in the airline industry, the rise of well-funded government sponsored international carriers, changes in international alliances and the creation of immunized joint ventures have altered, and will continue to alter, the competitive landscape in the industry, resulting in the formation of airlines and alliances with increased financial resources, more extensive global networks and competitive cost structures.

Domestic

Our domestic operations are subject to competition from traditional network carriers, including American Airlines and United Airlines, national point-to-point carriers, including Alaska Airlines, JetBlue Airways and Southwest Airlines, and discount carriers, some of which may have lower costs than we do and provide service at low fares to destinations served by us. Point-to-point, discount and ultra low-cost carriers, including Spirit Airlines and Allegiant Air, place significant competitive pressure on network carriers in the domestic market. In particular, we face significant competition at our domestic hub and gateway airports either directly at those airports or at the hubs of other airlines that are located in close proximity to our hubs and gateways. We also face competition in smaller to medium-sized markets from regional jet operations of other carriers.

International

Our international operations are subject to competition from both foreign and domestic carriers. Competition is increasing from government owned and funded carriers in the Gulf region, including Emirates, Etihad Airways and Qatar Airways. These carriers have large numbers of international widebody aircraft on order and are increasing service to the U.S. from their hubs in the Middle East. Several of these carriers, along with carriers from China, India and Southeast Asia, are government subsidized, which has allowed them to grow quickly, reinvest in their product and expand their global presence at the expense of U.S. airlines.

Through alliance and other marketing and codesharing agreements with foreign carriers, U.S. carriers have increased their ability to sell international transportation, such as services to and beyond traditional European and Asian gateway cities. Similarly, foreign carriers have obtained increased access to interior U.S. passenger traffic beyond traditional U.S. gateway cities through these relationships. In particular, alliances formed by domestic and foreign carriers, including SkyTeam, the Star Alliance (among United Airlines, Lufthansa German Airlines, Air Canada and others) and the oneworld alliance (among American Airlines, British Airways, Qantas and others) have altered competition in international markets.

In addition, several joint ventures among U.S. and foreign carriers, including our transatlantic and transpacific joint ventures, have received grants of antitrust immunity allowing the participating carriers to coordinate schedules,

pricing, sales and inventory. Other joint ventures that have received antitrust immunity include a transatlantic alliance among United Airlines, Air Canada and Lufthansa German Airlines, a transpacific joint venture between United Airlines and All Nippon Airways, a transatlantic joint venture among American Airlines, British Airways and Iberia and a transpacific joint venture between American Airlines and Japan Air Lines.

Table of Contents

Regulatory Matters

The DOT and the Federal Aviation Administration (the “FAA”) exercise regulatory authority over air transportation in the U.S. The DOT has authority to issue certificates of public convenience and necessity required for airlines to provide domestic air transportation. An air carrier that the DOT finds fit to operate is given authority to operate domestic and international air transportation (including the carriage of passengers and cargo). Except for constraints imposed by regulations regarding “Essential Air Services,” which are applicable to certain small communities, airlines may terminate service to a city without restriction.

The DOT has jurisdiction over certain economic and consumer protection matters, such as unfair or deceptive practices and methods of competition, advertising, denied boarding compensation, baggage liability and disabled passenger transportation. The DOT also has authority to review certain joint venture agreements between major carriers and engages in regulation of economic matters such as slot transactions. The FAA has primary responsibility for matters relating to the safety of air carrier flight operations, including airline operating certificates, control of navigable air space, flight personnel, aircraft certification and maintenance and other matters affecting air safety.

Authority to operate international routes and international codesharing arrangements is regulated by the DOT and by the governments of the foreign countries involved. International certificate authorities are also subject to the approval of the U.S. President for conformance with national defense and foreign policy objectives.

The Transportation Security Administration and the U.S. Customs and Border Protection, each a division of the Department of Homeland Security, are responsible for certain civil aviation security matters, including passenger and baggage screening at U.S. airports and international passenger prescreening prior to entry into or departure from the U.S.

Airlines are also subject to various other federal, state, local and foreign laws and regulations. For example, the U.S. Department of Justice has jurisdiction over airline competition matters. The U.S. Postal Service has authority over certain aspects of the transportation of mail. Labor relations in the airline industry, as discussed below, are generally governed by the Railway Labor Act. Environmental matters are regulated by various federal, state, local and foreign governmental entities. Privacy of passenger and employee data is regulated by domestic and foreign laws and regulations.

Fares and Rates

Airlines set ticket prices in all domestic and most international city pairs with minimal governmental regulation, and the industry is characterized by significant price competition. Certain international fares and rates are subject to the jurisdiction of the DOT and the governments of the foreign countries involved. Many of our tickets are sold by travel agents, and fares are subject to commissions, overrides and discounts paid to travel agents, brokers and wholesalers.

Route Authority

Our flight operations are authorized by certificates of public convenience and necessity and also by exemptions and limited-entry frequency awards issued by the DOT. The requisite approvals of other governments for international operations are controlled by bilateral agreements (and a multilateral agreement in the case of the U.S. and the European Union) with, or permits or approvals issued by, foreign countries. Because international air transportation is governed by bilateral or other agreements between the U.S. and the foreign country or countries involved, changes in U.S. or foreign government aviation policies could result in the alteration or termination of such agreements, diminish the value of our international route authorities or otherwise affect our international operations. Bilateral agreements between the U.S. and various foreign countries served by us are subject to renegotiation from time to time. The U.S.

government has negotiated “Open Skies” agreements with many countries, which allow unrestricted access between the U.S. and the foreign markets. These agreements include separate agreements with the European Union and Japan.

Certain of our international route authorities are subject to periodic renewal requirements. We request extension of these authorities when and as appropriate. While the DOT usually renews temporary authorities on routes where the authorized carrier is providing a reasonable level of service, there is no assurance this practice will continue in general or with respect to a specific renewal. Dormant route authorities may not be renewed in some cases, especially where another U.S. carrier indicates a willingness to provide service.

Table of Contents

Airport Access

Operations at four major domestic airports and certain foreign airports served by us are regulated by governmental entities through allocations of “slots” or similar regulatory mechanisms which limit the rights of carriers to conduct operations at those airports. Each slot represents the authorization to land at or take off from the particular airport during a specified time period.

In the U.S., the FAA currently regulates the allocation of slots, slot exemptions, operating authorizations, or similar capacity allocation mechanisms at Reagan National in Washington, D.C. and LaGuardia, JFK and Newark in the New York City area. Our operations at these airports generally require the allocation of slots or analogous regulatory authorizations. Similarly, our operations at Tokyo's Narita and Haneda airports, London's Heathrow airport and other international airports are regulated by local slot coordinators pursuant to the International Air Transport Association's Worldwide Scheduling Guidelines and applicable local law. We currently have sufficient slots or analogous authorizations to operate our existing flights, and we have generally been able to obtain the rights to expand our operations and to change our schedules. There is no assurance, however, that we will be able to do so in the future because, among other reasons, such allocations are subject to changes in governmental policies.

Environmental Matters

Emissions. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (the “EPA”) is authorized to regulate aircraft emissions and has historically implemented emissions control standards adopted by the International Civil Aviation Organization (“ICAO”). Our aircraft comply with existing EPA standards as applicable by engine design date. The ICAO has adopted two additional aircraft engine emissions standards, the first of which is applicable to engines certified after December 31, 2007, and the second of which is applicable to engines certified after December 31, 2013. In June 2012, the EPA published a final rulemaking for new emission standards for oxides of nitrogen (NOx), adopting ICAO's additional standards. Included in the rule are two new tiers of more stringent emission standards for NOx. These standards, referred to as the Tier 6 standards, became effective for newly-manufactured aircraft engines beginning in 2013.

Concern about aviation environmental issues, including climate change and greenhouse gases, has led to taxes on our operations in the United Kingdom and in Germany, both of which have levied taxes directly on our customers. We may face additional regulation of aircraft emissions in the U.S. and abroad and become subject to further taxes, charges or additional requirements to obtain permits or purchase allowances or emission credits for greenhouse gas emissions in various jurisdictions. This could result in taxation or permitting requirements from multiple jurisdictions for the same operations. Ongoing bilateral discussions between the U.S. and other nations may lead to international treaties or other actions focusing on reducing greenhouse gas emissions from aviation. In addition, at the 38th ICAO Assembly that concluded October 4, 2013 in Montreal, the Assembly adopted a climate change resolution committing ICAO to develop a global market-based measure to be finalized at the 2016 ICAO Assembly which would enable the airline industry to achieve carbon-neutral growth by 2020.

The European Union has required its member states to implement regulations including aviation in its Emissions Trading Scheme (“ETS”). Under these regulations, any airline with flights originating or landing in the European Union is subject to the ETS and, beginning in 2012, was required to purchase emissions allowances if the airline exceeds the number of free allowances allocated to it under the ETS. The ETS has been amended to apply only to flights within the European Economic Area from 2013 through 2016. As a result, we operate a limited number of flights that will be subject to the ETS through 2016. After 2016, the ETS would apply to all flights originating or landing in the European Union, unless the EU amends the current legislation following the 2016 ICAO Assembly.

Cap and trade restrictions have also been proposed in the U.S. In addition, other legislative or regulatory action, including by the EPA, to regulate greenhouse gas emissions is possible. In particular, the EPA has found that

greenhouse gases threaten the public health and welfare and further determined that aircraft cause or contribute greenhouse gases, which could result in regulation of greenhouse gas emissions from aircraft. In the event that legislation or regulation is enacted in the U.S. or in the event similar legislation or regulation is enacted in jurisdictions other than the European Union where we operate or where we may operate in the future, it could result in significant costs for us and the airline industry. In addition to direct costs, such regulation may have a greater effect on the airline industry through increases in fuel costs that could result from fuel suppliers passing on increased costs that they incur under such a system. We are monitoring and evaluating the potential impact of such legislative and regulatory developments.

Table of Contents

We seek to minimize the impact of greenhouse gas emissions from our operations through reductions in our fuel consumption and other efforts and have realized reductions in our greenhouse gas emission levels since 2005. We have reduced the fuel needs of our aircraft fleet through the retirement of older, less fuel efficient aircraft and replacement with newer, more fuel efficient aircraft. In addition, we have implemented fuel saving procedures in our flight and ground support operations that further reduce carbon emissions. We are also supporting efforts to develop alternative fuels and efforts to modernize the air traffic control system in the U.S., as part of our efforts to reduce our emissions and minimize our impact on the environment.

Noise. The Airport Noise and Capacity Act of 1990 recognizes the rights of operators of airports with noise problems to implement local noise abatement programs so long as such programs do not interfere unreasonably with interstate or foreign commerce or the national air transportation system. This statute generally provides that local noise restrictions on Stage 3 aircraft first effective after October 1, 1990, require FAA approval. While we have had sufficient scheduling flexibility to accommodate local noise restrictions in the past, our operations could be adversely impacted if locally-imposed regulations become more restrictive or widespread. In addition, foreign governments may allow airports to enact similar restrictions, which could adversely impact our international operations or require significant expenditure in order for our aircraft to comply with the restrictions.

Refinery Matters. Monroe's operation of the Trainer refinery is subject to numerous environmental laws and extensive regulations, including those relating to the discharge of materials into the environment, waste management, pollution prevention measures and greenhouse gas emissions.

Under the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA has adopted Renewable Fuel Standards ("RFS") that mandate the blending of renewable fuels into gasoline and on-road diesel ("Transportation Fuels"). Renewable Identification Numbers ("RINs") are assigned to renewable fuels produced or imported into the U.S. that are blended into Transportation Fuels to demonstrate compliance with this obligation. A refinery may meet its obligation under RFS by blending the necessary volumes of renewable fuels with Transportation Fuels or by purchasing RINs in the open market or through a combination of blending and purchasing RINs. Because the refinery operated by Monroe does not blend renewable fuels, it must purchase its entire RINs requirement in the secondary market or obtain a waiver from the EPA.

Other Environmental Matters. We are subject to various other federal, state and local laws governing environmental matters, including the management and disposal of chemicals, waste and hazardous materials, protection of surface and subsurface waters and regulation of air emissions and aircraft drinking water. We are specifically aware of soil and/or ground water contamination present on our current or former leaseholds at several domestic airports. To address this contamination, we have a program in place to investigate and, if appropriate, remediate these sites. Although the ultimate outcome of these matters cannot be predicted with certainty, we believe that the resolution of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Civil Reserve Air Fleet Program

We participate in the Civil Reserve Air Fleet program (the "CRAF Program"), which permits the U.S. military to use the aircraft and crew resources of participating U.S. airlines during airlift emergencies, national emergencies or times of war. We have agreed to make available under the CRAF Program a portion of our international aircraft during the contract period ending September 30, 2016. The CRAF Program has only been activated twice since it was created in 1951.

Table of Contents

Employee Matters

Railway Labor Act

Our relations with labor unions representing our airline employees in the U.S. are governed by the Railway Labor Act. Under the Railway Labor Act, a labor union seeking to represent an unrepresented craft or class of employees is required to file with the National Mediation Board (the “NMB”) an application alleging a representation dispute, along with authorization cards signed by at least 50% of the employees in that craft or class. The NMB then investigates the dispute and, if it finds the labor union has obtained a sufficient number of authorization cards, conducts an election to determine whether to certify the labor union as the collective bargaining representative of that craft or class. A labor union will be certified as the representative of the employees in a craft or class if more than 50% of votes cast are for that union. A certified labor union would commence negotiations toward a collective bargaining agreement with the employer.

Under the Railway Labor Act, a collective bargaining agreement between an airline and a labor union does not expire, but instead becomes amendable as of a stated date. Either party may request that the NMB appoint a federal mediator to participate in the negotiations for a new or amended agreement. If no agreement is reached in mediation, the NMB may determine, at any time, that an impasse exists and offer binding arbitration. If either party rejects binding arbitration, a 30-day “cooling off” period begins. At the end of this 30-day period, the parties may engage in “self help,” unless the U.S. President appoints a Presidential Emergency Board (“PEB”) to investigate and report on the dispute. The appointment of a PEB maintains the “status quo” for an additional 60 days. If the parties do not reach agreement during this period, the parties may then engage in self help. Self help includes, among other things, a strike by the union or the imposition of proposed changes to the collective bargaining agreement by the airline. Congress and the President have the authority to prevent self help by enacting legislation that, among other things, imposes a settlement on the parties.

Collective Bargaining

As of December 31, 2015, we had approximately 83,000 full-time equivalent employees, approximately 18% of whom were represented by unions. The following table shows our domestic airline employee groups that are represented by unions.

| Employee Group | Approximate Number of Active Employees Represented | Union | Date on which Collective Bargaining Agreement Becomes Amendable |
|--|--|--------|---|
| Delta Pilots | 12,080 | ALPA | December 31, 2015 ⁽¹⁾ |
| Delta Flight Superintendents (Dispatchers) | 400 | PAFCA | March 31, 2018 |
| Endeavor Air Pilots | 1,360 | ALPA | January 1, 2020 |
| Endeavor Air Flight Attendants | 895 | AFA | December 31, 2018 |
| Endeavor Air Dispatchers | 50 | DISTWU | December 31, 2018 |

⁽¹⁾ We are in discussions with representatives of the Delta pilots regarding terms of an amended collective bargaining agreement.

In addition, 209 refinery employees of Monroe are represented by the United Steel Workers under an agreement that expires on February 28, 2019. This agreement is governed by the National Labor Relations Act (“NLRA”), which generally allows either party to engage in self help upon the expiration of the agreement.

Labor unions periodically engage in organizing efforts to represent various groups of our employees, including at our operating subsidiaries, that are not represented for collective bargaining purposes.

Table of Contents

Executive Officers of the Registrant

Richard H. Anderson, Age 60: Chief Executive Officer of Delta since September 1, 2007; Executive Vice President of UnitedHealth Group and President of its Commercial Services Group (December 2006 - August 2007); Executive Vice President of UnitedHealth Group (November 2004 - December 2006); Chief Executive Officer of Northwest Airlines Corporation (“Northwest”) (2001 - November 2004).

On May 2, 2016, Mr. Anderson will retire as Chief Executive Officer and become Executive Chairman of the Delta Board of Directors.

Edward H. Bastian, Age 58: President of Delta since September 1, 2007; President of Delta and Chief Executive Officer Northwest Airlines, Inc. (October 2008 - December 2009); President and Chief Financial Officer of Delta (September 2007 - October 2008); Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Delta (July 2005 - September 2007); Chief Financial Officer, Acuity Brands (June 2005 - July 2005); Senior Vice President-Finance and Controller of Delta (2000 - April 2005); Vice President and Controller of Delta (1998 - 2000).

On May 2, 2016, Mr. Bastian will become Chief Executive Officer of Delta.

Peter W. Carter, Age 52: Executive Vice President - Chief Legal Officer of Delta since July 2015; Partner, Dorsey & Whitney LLP (1999 - 2015), including co-chair of Securities Litigation and Enforcement practice group, chair of Policy Committee and chair of trial department.

Glen W. Hauenstein, Age 55: Executive Vice President - Chief Revenue Officer of Delta since August 2013; Executive Vice President-Network Planning and Revenue Management of Delta (April 2006 - July 2013); Executive Vice President and Chief of Network and Revenue Management of Delta (August 2005 - April 2006); Vice General Director-Chief Commercial Officer and Chief Operating Officer of Alitalia (2003 - 2005); Senior Vice President-Network of Continental Airlines (2003); Senior Vice President-Scheduling of Continental Airlines (2001 - 2003); Vice President Scheduling of Continental Airlines (1998 - 2001).

On May 2, 2016, Mr. Hauenstein will become President of Delta.

Paul A. Jacobson, Age 44: Executive Vice President - Chief Financial Officer of Delta since August 2013; Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Delta (March 2012 - July 2013); Senior Vice President and Treasurer for Delta (December 2007 - March 2012); Vice President and Treasurer (August 2005 - December 2007).

Steven M. Sear, Age 50: President, International and Executive Vice President - Global Sales of Delta since February 2016; Senior Vice President - Global Sales of Delta (December 2011 - February 2016); Vice President - Global Sales of Delta (October 2008 - December 2011); Vice President - Sales & Customer Care of Northwest Airlines (June 2005 - October 2008).

Joanne D. Smith, Age 57: Executive Vice President and Chief Human Resources Officer of Delta since October 2014; Senior Vice President - In-Flight Service of Delta (March 2007 - September 2014); Vice President - Marketing of Delta (November 2005 - February 2007); President of Song (January 2005 - October 2005); Vice President - Marketing and Customer Service of Song (November 2002 - December 2004).

W. Gil West, Age 55: Senior Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of Delta since February 2016; Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of Delta (March 2014 - February 2016); Senior Vice President - Airport Customer Service and Technical Operations of Delta (February 2012 - February 2014); Senior Vice President - Airport Customer Service of Delta (March 2008 - January 2012); President and Chief Executive Officer of Laidlaw

Transit Services (2006 - 2007).

Additional Information

We make available free of charge on our website our Annual Report on Form 10-K, our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, our Current Reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports as soon as reasonably practicable after these reports are filed with or furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission. Information on our website is not incorporated into this Form 10-K or our other securities filings and is not a part of those filings.

Table of Contents

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Risk Factors Relating to Delta

Terrorist attacks, geopolitical conflict or security events may adversely affect our business, financial condition and operating results.

Potential terrorist attacks, geopolitical conflict or security events, or fear of such events, may reduce demand for air travel and cause increases in costs and declines in revenue. The attacks of September 11, 2001 and the aftermath materially impacted the business, financial condition and operating results of our company, as it did for the rest of the airline industry. Despite significantly heightened security measures at airports and airlines, the airline industry remains a high profile target for terrorist groups. Terrorist activity, such as the attacks in Paris in November 2015, generally can also impact our industry by discouraging air travel. We constantly monitor threats from terrorist groups and individuals, including from violent extremists in the U.S., both with respect to direct threats against our operations and in ways not directly related to the airline industry. In addition, the impact on our operations of avoiding areas of the world, including airspace, in which there are geopolitical conflicts and the targeting of commercial aircraft by parties to those conflicts can be significant. Security events, whether from external or internal sources, also pose a significant risk to our operations.

Terrorist attacks, geopolitical conflict or security events, or fear of such events, even if not made directly on or involving the airline industry, could have significant negative impact on us by discouraging passengers from flying and requiring changes in our operations. For example, heightened terrorist activity or geopolitical conflict may discourage air travel and reduce ticket purchases. In addition to losing revenue from decreased ticket sales and increased refunds, potential costs include increased security costs, impacts from avoiding flight paths over areas in which conflict is occurring, reputational harm and other costs. If any or all of these types of events occur, they could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our business and results of operations are dependent on the price of aircraft fuel. High fuel costs or cost increases, including in the cost of crude oil, could have a material adverse effect on our operating results.

Our operating results are significantly impacted by changes in the price of aircraft fuel. Over the last decade, fuel prices have increased substantially at times and have been highly volatile during the last several years. In 2015, our average fuel price per gallon, including the impact of fuel hedges, was \$1.90, a 45.2% decrease from our average fuel price in 2014. In 2014, our average fuel price per gallon was \$3.47, a 15.7% increase from our average fuel price in 2013. In 2013, our average fuel price per gallon was \$3.00, which was significantly higher than fuel prices just a few years earlier. Fuel costs represented 23.0%, 35.4% and 33.3% of our operating expense in 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Our ability to pass along rapidly increasing fuel costs to our customers may be affected by the competitive nature of the airline industry. At times in the past, we often were not able to increase our fares to offset fully the effect of increases in fuel costs and we may not be able to do so in the future. This is particularly the case when fuel prices increase rapidly. Because passengers often purchase tickets well in advance of their travel, a significant rapid increase in fuel price may result in the fare charged not covering that increase.

We acquire a significant amount of jet fuel from our wholly-owned subsidiary, Monroe, and through strategic agreements that Monroe has with third parties. The cost of the fuel we purchase under these arrangements remains subject to volatility in the cost of crude oil and jet fuel. In addition, we continue to purchase a significant amount of aircraft fuel in addition to what we obtain from Monroe. Our aircraft fuel purchase contracts alone do not provide material protection against price increases as these contracts typically establish the price based on industry standard market price indices.

Our fuel hedging activities are intended to manage the financial impact of the volatility in the price of jet fuel. The effects of rebalancing our hedge portfolio and mark-to-market adjustments may have a negative effect on our financial results.

We actively manage our fuel price risk through a hedging program intended to reduce the financial impact from changes in the price of jet fuel. We utilize different contract and commodity types in this program and frequently test their economic effectiveness against our financial targets. We closely monitor the hedge portfolio and rebalance the portfolio based on market conditions, which may result in locking in gains or losses on hedge contracts prior to their settlement dates. In addition, we record mark-to-market adjustments (“MTM adjustments”) on our fuel hedges. MTM adjustments are based on market prices at the end of the reporting period for contracts settling in future periods. Losses from rebalancing or MTM adjustments (or both) may have a negative impact on our financial results.

Table of Contents

Our fuel hedge contracts contain margin funding requirements. The margin funding requirements may require us to post margin to counterparties or may cause counterparties to post margin to us as market prices in the underlying hedged items change. If fuel prices decrease significantly from the levels existing at the time we enter into fuel hedge contracts, we may be required to post a significant amount of margin, which could have a material impact on the level of our unrestricted cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments.

Significant extended disruptions in the supply of aircraft fuel, including from Monroe, could have a material adverse effect on our operations and operating results.

Weather-related events, natural disasters, political disruptions or wars involving oil-producing countries, changes in governmental policy concerning aircraft fuel production, transportation, taxes or marketing, environmental concerns and other unpredictable events may impact crude oil and fuel supply and could result in shortages in the future.

Shortages in fuel supplies could have negative effects on our results of operations and financial condition.

Because we acquire a large amount of our jet fuel from Monroe, the disruption or interruption of production at the refinery could have an impact on our ability to acquire jet fuel needed for our operations. Disruptions or interruptions of production at the refinery could result from various sources including a major accident or mechanical failure, interruption of supply or delivery of crude oil, work stoppages relating to organized labor issues, or damage from severe weather or other natural or man-made disasters, including acts of terrorism. If the refinery were to experience an interruption in operations, disruptions in fuel supplies could have negative effects on our results of operations and financial condition. In addition, the financial benefits from the operation of the refinery could be materially adversely affected (to the extent not recoverable through insurance) because of lost production and repair costs.

Under a strategic agreement that Monroe has with a significant counterparty, Monroe is exchanging non-jet fuel products for jet fuel for use in our airline operations. Monroe is required to deliver specified quantities of non-jet fuel products to the counterparty and the counterparty is required to deliver specified quantities of jet fuel to us. If either party does not have the specified quantity or type of product available, that party is required to procure any such shortage to fulfill its obligation under the agreement. If the refinery experiences a significant interruption in operations, Monroe may be required to expend substantial amounts to purchase the products it is required to deliver, which could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial results of operations.

In addition, the strategic agreements utilize market prices for the products being exchanged. If Monroe's cost of producing the non-jet fuel products that it is required to deliver under these agreements exceeds the value it receives for those products, the financial benefits we expect to achieve through the ownership of the refinery and our consolidated results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

Our significant investments in airlines in other parts of the world and the commercial relationships that we have with those carriers may not produce the returns or results we expect.

An important part of our strategy to expand our global network has been to make significant investments in airlines in other parts of the world and expand our commercial relationships with these carriers. We expect to continue exploring similar non-controlling investments in, and entering into joint ventures and strategic alliances with, other carriers as part of our global business strategy. These transactions and relationships involve significant challenges and risks, including that we may not realize a satisfactory return on our investment, that they may distract management from our operations or that they may not generate the expected revenue synergies. These events could have a material adverse effect on our operating results or financial condition.

In addition, we are dependent on these other carriers for significant aspects of our network in the regions in which they operate. While we work closely with these carriers, we do not have control over their operations or business methods. We may be subject to consequences from any improper behavior of joint venture partners, including for failure to comply with anti-corruption laws such as the United States Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. To the extent that the operations of any of these carriers are disrupted over an extended period of time or their actions subject us to the consequences of failure to comply with laws and regulations, our results of operations may be adversely affected.

Table of Contents

We are at risk of losses and adverse publicity stemming from a serious accident involving our aircraft. An aircraft crash or other serious accident could expose us to significant liability. Although we believe that our insurance coverage is appropriate, we may be forced to bear substantial losses from an accident in the event that the coverage was not sufficient. In addition, any accident involving an aircraft that we operate or an aircraft that is operated by an airline that is one of our regional carriers or codeshare partners could create a negative public perception about safety, which could harm our reputation, resulting in air travelers being reluctant to fly on our aircraft and therefore harm our business.

Agreements governing our debt, including credit agreements, include financial and other covenants. Failure to comply with these covenants could result in events of default.

Our credit facilities have various financial and other covenants that require us to maintain, depending on the particular agreement, minimum fixed charge coverage ratios, minimum liquidity and/or minimum collateral coverage ratios. The value of the collateral that has been pledged in each facility may change over time due to appraisals of collateral required by our credit agreements and indentures. These changes could result from factors that are not under our control. A decline in the value of collateral could result in a situation where it may be difficult to maintain the collateral coverage ratio. In addition, the credit facilities contain other negative covenants customary for such financings. These covenants are subject to important exceptions and qualifications. If we fail to comply with these covenants and are unable to remedy or obtain a waiver or amendment, an event of default would result.

The credit facilities also contain other events of default customary for such financings. If an event of default were to occur, the lenders could, among other things, declare outstanding amounts due and payable. In addition, an event of default or declaration of acceleration under any of the credit facilities could also result in an event of default under other of our financing agreements. The acceleration of significant amounts of debt could require us to renegotiate, repay or refinance the obligations under the credit facilities or other financing arrangements.

Employee strikes and other labor-related disruptions may adversely affect our operations.

Our business is labor intensive, utilizing large numbers of pilots, flight attendants, aircraft maintenance technicians, ground support personnel and other personnel. As of December 31, 2015, approximately 18% of our workforce, primarily pilots, was unionized. Relations between air carriers and labor unions in the United States are governed by the Railway Labor Act, which provides that a collective bargaining agreement between an airline and a labor union does not expire, but instead becomes amendable as of a stated date. The Railway Labor Act generally prohibits strikes or other types of self help actions both before and after a collective bargaining agreement becomes amendable, unless and until the collective bargaining processes required by the Railway Labor Act have been exhausted. Monroe's relations with unions representing its employees are governed by the NLRA, which generally allows self help after a collective bargaining agreement expires.

If we or our subsidiaries are unable to reach agreement with any of our unionized work groups on future negotiations regarding the terms of their collective bargaining agreements or if additional segments of our workforce become unionized, we may be subject to work interruptions or stoppages, subject to the requirements of the Railway Labor Act or the NLRA, as the case may be. Strikes or labor disputes with our unionized employees may adversely affect our ability to conduct business. Likewise, if third-party regional carriers with whom we have contract carrier agreements are unable to reach agreement with their unionized work groups in current or future negotiations regarding the terms of their collective bargaining agreements, those carriers may be subject to work interruptions or stoppages, subject to the requirements of the Railway Labor Act, which could have a negative impact on our operations.

Extended interruptions or disruptions in service at one of our hub, gateway or key airports could have a material adverse impact on our operations.

Our business is heavily dependent on our operations at the Atlanta airport and at our other hub, gateway or key airports in Amsterdam, Boston, Detroit, London-Heathrow, Los Angeles, Minneapolis-St. Paul, New York-LaGuardia, New York-JFK, Paris-Charles de Gaulle, Salt Lake City, Seattle and Tokyo-Narita. Each of these

operations includes flights that gather and distribute traffic from markets in the geographic region surrounding the hub or gateway to other major cities and to other Delta hubs and gateways. A significant extended interruption or disruption in service at one of our hubs or gateways could have a material impact on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

14

Table of Contents

Breaches or lapses in the security of our technology systems and the data we store could compromise passenger or employee information and expose us to liability, possibly having a material adverse effect on our business.

As a regular part of our ordinary business operations, we collect and store sensitive data, including personal information of our passengers and employees and information of our business partners. The secure operation of the networks and systems on which this type of information is stored, processed and maintained is critical to our business operations and strategy.

Our information systems are subject to an increasing threat of continually evolving cybersecurity risks. Unauthorized parties may attempt to gain access to our systems or information through fraud or other means of deception. Hardware or software we develop or acquire may contain defects that could unexpectedly compromise information security. The methods used to obtain unauthorized access, disable or degrade service or sabotage systems are constantly evolving, and may be difficult to anticipate or to detect for long periods of time. We regularly review and update procedures and processes to prevent and protect against unauthorized access to our systems and information and inadvertent misuse of data. However, the constantly changing nature of the threats means that we may not be able to prevent all data security breaches or misuse of data. The compromise of our technology systems resulting in the loss, disclosure, misappropriation of, or access to, customers', employees' or business partners' information could result in legal claims or proceedings, liability or regulatory penalties under laws protecting the privacy of personal information, disruption to our operations and damage to our reputation, any or all of which could adversely affect our business.

Disruptions of our information technology infrastructure could interfere with our operations, possibly having a material adverse effect on our business.

Disruptions in our information technology network could result from a technology error or failure impacting our internal systems, whether hosted internally at our data centers or externally at third-party locations, or large scale external interruption in technology infrastructure support on which we depend, such as power, telecommunications or the internet. The operation of our technology systems and the use of related data may also be vulnerable to a variety of other sources of interruption, including natural disasters, terrorist attacks, computer viruses, hackers and other security issues. A significant individual, sustained or repeated failure of our network, including third-party networks we utilize and on which we depend, could impact our customer service and result in increased costs. While we have in place, and continue to invest in, initiatives to prevent disruptions and disaster recovery plans, these measures may not be adequate to prevent a business disruption and its adverse financial and reputational consequences to our business.

Failure of our technology to perform reliably could have an adverse effect on our business.

We have become increasingly dependent on technology initiatives to enhance customer service, reduce costs and increase operational effectiveness in order to compete in the current business environment. For example, we have made and continue to make significant investments in delta.com, mobile device applications, check-in kiosks and related initiatives, including security for these initiatives. The performance, reliability and security of the technology are critical to our ability to attract and retain customers and our ability to compete effectively. If our technology does not perform reliably, our business and operations could be negatively affected.

Our results can fluctuate due to the effects of weather, natural disasters and seasonality.

Our results of operations are impacted by severe weather, natural disasters and seasonality. Severe weather conditions and natural disasters can significantly disrupt service and create air traffic control problems. These events decrease revenue and can also increase costs. In addition, increases in the frequency, severity or duration of thunderstorms, hurricanes, typhoons or other severe weather events, including from changes in the global climate, could result in increases in delays and cancellations, turbulence-related injuries and fuel consumption to avoid such weather, any of which could result in loss of revenue and higher costs. In addition, demand for air travel is typically higher in the June and September quarters, particularly in international markets, because there is more vacation travel during these periods than during the remainder of the year. The seasonal shifting of demand causes our financial results to vary on a seasonal basis. Because of fluctuations in our results from weather, natural disasters and seasonality, operating results for a historical period are not necessarily indicative of operating results for a future period and operating results for an interim period are not necessarily indicative of operating results for an entire year.

Table of Contents

An extended disruption in services provided by our third-party regional carriers could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

We utilize the services of third parties in a number of areas in support of our operations that are integral to our business, including third-party carriers in the Delta Connection program. While we have agreements with these providers that define expected service performance, we do not have direct control over their operations. In particular, some third-party regional carriers are facing a shortage of qualified pilots due to government mandated increases in flight experience required for pilots working for airlines. If this shortage becomes more widespread, third-party regional carriers may not be able to comply with their obligations to us. To the extent that a significant disruption in our regional operations occurs because any of these providers are unable to perform their obligations over an extended period of time, our revenue may be reduced or our expenses may be increased resulting in a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

The failure or inability of insurance to cover a significant liability related to an environmental or other incident associated with the operation of the Monroe refinery could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial results.

Monroe's refining operations are subject to various hazards unique to refinery operations, including explosions, fires, toxic emissions and natural catastrophes. Monroe could incur substantial losses, including cleanup costs, fines and other sanctions and third-party claims, and its operations could be interrupted, as a result of such an incident. Monroe's insurance coverage does not cover all potential losses, costs or liabilities and Monroe could suffer losses for uninsurable or uninsured risks or in amounts greater than its insurance coverage. In addition, Monroe's ability to obtain and maintain adequate insurance may be affected by conditions in the insurance market over which it has no control. If Monroe were to incur a significant liability for which it is not fully insured or for which insurance companies do not or are unable to provide coverage, this could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial results of operations or consolidated financial position.

The operation of the refinery by Monroe is subject to significant environmental regulation. Failure to comply with environmental regulations or the enactment of additional regulation could have a negative impact on our consolidated financial results.

Monroe's operations are subject to extensive environmental, health and safety laws and regulations, including those relating to the discharge of materials into the environment, waste management, pollution prevention measures and greenhouse gas emissions. Monroe could incur fines and other sanctions, cleanup costs and third-party claims as a result of violations of or liabilities under environmental, health and safety requirements, which if significant, could have a material adverse effect on our financial results. In addition, the enactment of new environmental laws and regulations, including any laws or regulations relating to greenhouse gas emissions, could significantly increase the level of expenditures required for Monroe or restrict its operations.

In particular, under the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the EPA has adopted RFS that mandate the blending of renewable fuels into Transportation Fuels. RINs are assigned to renewable fuels produced or imported into the U.S. that are blended into Transportation Fuels to demonstrate compliance with this obligation. A refinery may meet its obligation under RFS by blending the necessary volumes of renewable fuels with Transportation Fuels or by purchasing RINs in the open market or through a combination of blending and purchasing RINs.

Because the refinery operated by Monroe does not blend renewable fuels, it must purchase its entire RINs requirement in the secondary market or obtain a waiver from the EPA. As a result, Monroe is exposed to the market price of RINs. Market prices for RINs have been volatile, marked by periods of sharp increases. We cannot predict the future prices of RINs. Purchasing RINs at elevated prices could have a material impact on our results of operations and cash flows. Existing laws or regulations could change and the minimum volumes of renewable fuels that must be blended with refined petroleum products may increase. Increases in the volume of renewable fuels that must be blended into Monroe's products could limit the refinery's production if sufficient numbers of RINs are not available for purchase or relief from this requirement is not obtained, which could have an adverse effect on our consolidated financial results.

If we lose senior management personnel and other key employees and they are not replaced by individuals with comparable skills, our operating results could be adversely affected.

We are dependent on the experience and industry knowledge of our officers and other key employees to design and execute our business plans. If we experience a substantial turnover in our leadership and other key employees, and these persons are not replaced by individuals with comparable skills, our performance could be materially adversely impacted. Furthermore, we may be unable to attract and retain additional qualified executives as needed in the future.

Table of Contents

Risk Factors Relating to the Airline Industry

The global airline industry is highly competitive and, if we cannot successfully compete in the marketplace, our business, financial condition and operating results will be materially adversely affected.

The airline industry is highly competitive, marked by significant competition with respect to routes, fares, schedules (both timing and frequency), services, products, customer service and frequent flyer programs. Consolidation in the airline industry, the rise of well-funded government sponsored international carriers, changes in international alliances and the creation of immunized joint ventures have altered and will continue to alter the competitive landscape in the industry by resulting in the formation of airlines and alliances with increased financial resources, more extensive global networks and competitive cost structures.

Our domestic operations are subject to competition from traditional network carriers, including American Airlines and United Airlines, national point-to-point carriers, including Alaska Airlines, JetBlue Airways and Southwest Airlines, and discount carriers, some of which may have lower costs than we do and provide service at low fares to destinations served by us. Point-to-point, discount and ultra low-cost carriers, including Spirit Airlines and Allegiant Air, place significant competitive pressure on network carriers in the domestic market. As a result, we face significant competition at our domestic hub and gateway airports either directly at those airports or at the hubs of other airlines that are located in close proximity to our hubs and gateways. We also face competition in smaller to medium-sized markets from regional jet operations of other carriers. Our ability to compete in the domestic market effectively depends, in part, on our ability to maintain a competitive cost structure. If we cannot maintain our costs at a competitive level, then our business, financial condition and operating results could be materially adversely affected.

Our international operations are subject to competition from both foreign and domestic carriers. Competition is increasing from government-owned and -funded carriers in the Gulf region, including Emirates, Etihad Airways and Qatar Airways. These carriers have large numbers of international widebody aircraft on order and are increasing service to the United States from their hubs in the Middle East. Several of these carriers, along with carriers from China, India and Southeast Asia, are government-subsidized, which has allowed them to grow quickly, reinvest in their product and expand their global presence at the expense of U.S. airlines.

Through alliance and other marketing and codesharing agreements with foreign carriers, U.S. carriers have increased their ability to sell international transportation, such as services to and beyond traditional European and Asian gateway cities. Similarly, foreign carriers have obtained increased access to interior U.S. passenger traffic beyond traditional U.S. gateway cities through these relationships.

In addition, several joint ventures among U.S. and foreign carriers have received grants of antitrust immunity allowing the participating carriers to coordinate schedules, pricing, sales and inventory.

Increased competition in both the domestic and international markets may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and operating results.

The airline industry is subject to extensive government regulation, and new regulations may increase our operating costs.

Airlines are subject to extensive regulatory and legal compliance requirements that result in significant costs. For instance, the FAA from time to time issues directives and other regulations relating to the maintenance and operation of aircraft that necessitate significant expenditures. We expect to continue incurring expenses to comply with the FAA's regulations.

Other laws, regulations, taxes and airport rates and charges have also been imposed from time to time that significantly increase the cost of airline operations or reduce revenues. The industry is heavily taxed. For example, the

Aviation and Transportation Security Act mandates the federalization of certain airport security procedures and imposes security requirements on airports and airlines, most of which are funded by a per ticket tax on passengers and a tax on airlines. Additional taxes and fees, if implemented, could negatively impact our results of operations.

Table of Contents

Proposals to address congestion issues at certain airports or in certain airspace, particularly in the Northeast U.S., have included concepts such as “congestion-based” landing fees, “slot auctions” or other alternatives that could impose a significant cost on the airlines operating in those airports or airspace and impact the ability of those airlines to respond to competitive actions by other airlines. In addition, the failure of the federal government to upgrade the U.S. air traffic control system has resulted in delays and disruptions of air traffic during peak travel periods in certain congested markets. The failure to improve the air traffic control system could lead to increased delays and inefficiencies in flight operations as demand for U.S. air travel increases, having a material adverse effect on our operations. Failure to update the air traffic control system in a timely manner, and the substantial funding requirements of an updated system that may be imposed on air carriers, may have an adverse impact on our financial condition and results of operations.

Future regulatory action concerning climate change, aircraft emissions and noise emissions could have a significant effect on the airline industry. For example, the European Commission adopted an emissions trading scheme applicable to all flights operating in the European Union, including flights to and from the U.S. While enforcement of the scheme has been deferred until April 2017, we expect that this system would impose additional costs on our operations in the European Union if fully implemented. Other laws or regulations such as this emissions trading scheme or other U.S. or foreign governmental actions may adversely affect our operations and financial results, either through direct costs in our operations or through increases in costs for jet fuel that could result from jet fuel suppliers passing on increased costs that they incur under such a system.

We and other U.S. carriers are subject to domestic and foreign laws regarding privacy of passenger and employee data that are not consistent in all countries in which we operate. In addition to the heightened level of concern regarding privacy of passenger data in the U.S., certain European government agencies are reviewing airline privacy practices. Compliance with these regulatory regimes is expected to result in additional operating costs and could impact our operations and any future expansion.

Prolonged periods of stagnant or weak economic conditions could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and operating results.

As a result of the discretionary nature of air travel, the airline industry has been cyclical and particularly sensitive to changes in economic conditions. Because we operate globally, with almost 35% of our revenues from operations outside of the U.S., our business is subject to economic conditions throughout the world. During periods of unfavorable or volatile economic conditions in the global economy, demand for air travel can be significantly impacted as business and leisure travelers choose not to travel, seek alternative forms of transportation for short trips or conduct business through videoconferencing. If unfavorable economic conditions occur, particularly for an extended period, our business, financial condition and results of operations may be adversely affected. In addition, significant or volatile changes in exchange rates between the U.S. dollar and other currencies, and the imposition of exchange controls or other currency restrictions, may have a material adverse effect on our liquidity, financial conditions and results of operations.

The rapid spread of contagious illnesses can have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

The rapid spread of a contagious illness, or fear of such an event, can have a material adverse effect on the demand for worldwide air travel and therefore have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations. Moreover, our operations could be negatively affected if employees are quarantined as the result of exposure to a contagious illness. Similarly, travel restrictions or operational issues resulting from the rapid spread of contagious illnesses in a part of the world in which we operate may have a materially adverse impact on our business and results of operations.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

Flight Equipment

Our operating aircraft fleet, commitments and options at December 31, 2015 are summarized in the following table:

| Aircraft Type | Current Fleet ⁽¹⁾ | | | Total | Average Age | Commitments | |
|---------------|------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|-------|-------------|-------------------------|-------|
| | Owned | Capital Lease | Operating Lease | | | Purchase ⁽²⁾ | Lease |
| B-717-200 | — | 13 | 74 | 87 | 14.3 | — | 1 |
| B-737-700 | 10 | — | — | 10 | 6.9 | — | — |
| B-737-800 | 73 | — | — | 73 | 14.9 | — | — |
| B-737-900ER | 31 | — | 19 | 50 | 1.3 | 70 | — |
| B-747-400 | 4 | 5 | — | 9 | 23.7 | — | — |
| B-757-200 | 81 | 18 | 11 | 110 | 20.0 | — | — |
| B-757-300 | 16 | — | — | 16 | 12.8 | — | — |
| B-767-300 | 13 | — | 1 | 14 | 24.7 | — | — |
| B-767-300ER | 54 | 4 | — | 58 | 19.8 | — | — |
| B-767-400ER | 21 | — | — | 21 | 14.8 | — | — |
| B-777-200ER | 8 | — | — | 8 | 15.9 | — | — |
| B-777-200LR | 10 | — | — | 10 | 6.7 | — | — |
| B-787-8 | — | — | — | — | — | 18 | — |
| A319-100 | 55 | — | 2 | 57 | 13.9 | — | — |
| A320-200 | 58 | — | 11 | 69 | 20.8 | — | — |
| A321-200 | — | — | — | — | — | 45 | — |
| A330-200 | 11 | — | — | 11 | 10.8 | — | — |
| A330-300 | 25 | — | — | 25 | 8.8 | 6 | — |
| A330-900neo | — | — | — | — | — | 25 | — |
| A350-900 | — | — | — | — | — | 25 | — |
| E190-100 | — | — | — | — | — | 19 | — |
| MD-88 | 83 | 33 | — | 116 | 25.4 | — | — |
| MD-90 | 59 | 6 | — | 65 | 18.9 | — | — |
| Total | 612 | 79 | 118 | 809 | 17.1 | 208 | 1 |

(1) Excludes certain aircraft we own or lease, which are operated by regional carriers on our behalf shown in the table below.

(2) Our purchase commitment for the 18 B-787-8 aircraft provides for certain aircraft substitution rights, including for our current orders of B-737-900ER aircraft.

The following table summarizes the aircraft fleet operated by our regional carriers on our behalf at December 31, 2015:

| Carrier | Fleet Type | | | Embraer 145 | Embraer 170 | Embraer 175 | Total |
|-----------------------------------|------------|---------|---------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------|
| | CRJ-200 | CRJ-700 | CRJ-900 | | | | |
| Endeavor Air, Inc. ⁽¹⁾ | 36 | — | 81 | — | — | — | 117 |
| ExpressJet Airlines, Inc. | 41 | 41 | 28 | — | — | — | 110 |
| SkyWest Airlines, Inc. | 58 | 19 | 36 | — | — | — | 113 |
| Compass Airlines, LLC | — | — | — | — | 6 | 36 | 42 |
| Shuttle America | — | — | — | 41 | 14 | 16 | 71 |
| GoJet Airlines, LLC | — | 22 | 7 | — | — | — | 29 |

| | | | | | | | |
|-------|-----|----|-----|----|----|----|-----|
| Total | 135 | 82 | 152 | 41 | 20 | 52 | 482 |
|-------|-----|----|-----|----|----|----|-----|

⁽¹⁾ Endeavor Air, Inc. is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Delta.

19

Table of Contents

Aircraft Purchase Commitments

Our purchase commitments for additional aircraft at December 31, 2015 are detailed in the following table:

| Aircraft Purchase Commitments | Delivery in Calendar Years Ending | | | | Total |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------|------|------------|-------|
| | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | After 2018 | |
| B-737-900ER | 19 | 20 | 18 | 13 | 70 |
| B-787-8 | — | — | — | 18 | 18 |
| A321-200 | 15 | 15 | 15 | — | 45 |
| A330-300 | 4 | 2 | — | — | 6 |
| A330-900neo | — | — | — | 25 | 25 |
| A350-900 | — | 6 | 9 | 10 | 25 |
| E190-100 | 19 | — | — | — | 19 |
| Total | 57 | 43 | 42 | 66 | 208 |

Ground Facilities

Airline Operations

We lease most of the land and buildings that we occupy. Our largest aircraft maintenance base, various computer, cargo, flight kitchen and training facilities and most of our principal offices are located at or near the Atlanta airport, on land leased from the City of Atlanta. We own our Atlanta reservations center, other real property in Atlanta, real property located near the Minneapolis-St. Paul International Airport and reservations centers in Minot, North Dakota and Chisholm, Minnesota. We also own a 1.3-acre property in downtown Tokyo and a 33-acre land parcel containing a 512-room hotel and other buildings located near Tokyo's Narita International Airport.

We lease ticket counter and other terminal space, operating areas and air cargo facilities in most of the airports that we serve. At most airports, we have entered into use agreements which provide for the non-exclusive use of runways, taxiways and other improvements and facilities; landing fees under these agreements normally are based on the number of landings and weight of aircraft. These leases and use agreements generally run for periods of less than one year to 30 years or more, and often contain provisions for periodic adjustments of lease rates, landing fees and other charges applicable under that type of agreement. We also lease aircraft maintenance and air cargo facilities at several airports. Our facility leases generally require us to pay the cost of providing, operating and maintaining such facilities, including, in some cases, amounts necessary to pay debt service on special facility bonds issued to finance their construction. We also lease marketing, ticketing and reservations offices in certain locations for varying terms.

Refinery Operations

Our wholly-owned subsidiaries, Monroe and MIPC, own and operate the Trainer refinery and related assets in Pennsylvania. The facility includes pipelines and terminal assets that allow the refinery to supply jet fuel to our airline operations throughout the Northeastern U.S., including our New York hubs at LaGuardia and JFK.

Table of Contents

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

First Bag Fee Antitrust Litigation

In 2009, a number of purported class action antitrust lawsuits were filed against Delta and AirTran Airways (“AirTran”), alleging that Delta and AirTran engaged in collusive behavior in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act in November 2008 based upon certain public statements made in October 2008 by AirTran's CEO at an analyst conference concerning fees for the first checked bag, Delta's imposition of a fee for the first checked bag on November 4, 2008 and AirTran's imposition of a similar fee on November 12, 2008. The plaintiffs sought to assert claims on behalf of an alleged class consisting of passengers who paid the first bag fee after December 5, 2008 and seek injunctive relief and unspecified treble damages. All of these cases have been consolidated for pre-trial proceedings and remain pending in the Northern District of Georgia. A motion for class certification has been filed, but no class has been certified to date. Delta believes the claims in these cases are without merit and is vigorously defending these lawsuits. The defendants' motions for summary judgment are also pending.

Capacity Antitrust Litigation

In July 2015, a number of purported class action antitrust lawsuits were filed alleging that Delta, American, United and Southwest had conspired to restrain capacity. The lawsuits were filed in the wake of media reports that the U.S. Department of Justice had served civil investigative demands upon these carriers seeking documents and information relating to this subject. The lawsuits have been consolidated into a single Multi-District Litigation proceeding in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia. Delta believes the claims in these cases are without merit and is vigorously defending these lawsuits.

For a discussion of certain environmental matters, see “Business-Regulatory Matters-Environmental Matters” in Item 1.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

Table of Contents

Part II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Market Information

Our common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE"). The following table sets forth for the periods indicated the highest and lowest sales price for our common stock as reported on the NYSE and dividends declared during these periods.

| | Common Stock | | Cash Dividends Declared (per share) |
|----------------|--------------|---------|---|
| | High | Low | |
| Fiscal 2015 | | | |
| Fourth Quarter | \$52.77 | \$43.35 | \$0.135 |
| Third Quarter | \$48.30 | \$34.61 | \$0.135 |
| Second Quarter | \$47.98 | \$38.97 | \$0.09 |
| First Quarter | \$51.06 | \$42.60 | \$0.09 |
| Fiscal 2014 | | | |
| Fourth Quarter | \$50.16 | \$30.12 | \$0.09 |
| Third Quarter | \$40.97 | \$34.59 | \$0.09 |
| Second Quarter | \$42.66 | \$30.54 | \$0.06 |
| First Quarter | \$35.85 | \$27.26 | \$0.06 |

Holders

As of January 31, 2016, there were approximately 2,940 holders of record of our common stock.

Dividends

In the September 2013 quarter, our Board of Directors initiated a quarterly dividend program of \$0.06 per share. In the September 2014 quarter, the Board increased the quarterly dividend payment to \$0.09 per share. In the September 2015 quarter, the Board increased the quarterly dividend payment to \$0.135 per share. Our ability to pay future dividends is subject to compliance with covenants in several of our credit facilities. In addition, any future determination to pay cash dividends will be at the discretion of the Board of Directors, subject to applicable limitations under Delaware law, and will be dependent upon our results of operations, financial condition, cash requirements, future prospects and other factors deemed relevant by the Board of Directors.

Table of Contents

Stock Performance Graph

The following graph compares the cumulative total returns during the period from December 31, 2010 to December 31, 2015 of our common stock to the Standard & Poor's 500 Stock Index and the NYSE ARCA Airline Index. The comparison assumes \$100 was invested on December 31, 2010 in each of our common stock and the indices and assumes that all dividends were reinvested.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The following table presents information with respect to purchases of common stock we made during the December 2015 quarter. The total number of shares purchased includes shares repurchased pursuant to our \$5 billion share repurchase program, which was publicly announced on May 13, 2015 ("the 2015 Repurchase Program"). The 2015 Repurchase Program will terminate no later than December 31, 2017. Some purchases were made pursuant to a trading plan meeting the requirements of Rule 10b5-1 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

In addition, the table includes shares withheld from employees to satisfy certain tax obligations due in connection with grants of stock under the Delta Air Lines, Inc. 2007 Performance Compensation Plan (the "2007 Plan"). The 2007 Plan provides for the withholding of shares to satisfy tax obligations. It does not specify a maximum number of shares that can be withheld for this purpose. The shares of common stock withheld to satisfy tax withholding obligations may be deemed to be "issuer purchases" of shares that are required to be disclosed pursuant to this Item.

| Period | Total Number of Shares Purchased | Average Price Paid Per Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs | Approximate Dollar Value (in millions) of Shares That May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plan or Programs |
|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|--|---|
| October 2015 | 3,394,359 | \$48.35 | 3,394,359 | \$4,211 |
| November 2015 | 4,668,397 | \$49.63 | 4,668,397 | \$3,979 |
| December 2015 | 641,682 | \$46.96 | 641,682 | \$3,950 |
| Total | 8,704,438 | | 8,704,438 | |

Table of Contents

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following tables are derived from our audited Consolidated Financial Statements, and present selected financial and operating data for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014, 2013, 2012 and 2011.

Consolidated Summary of Operations

| (in millions, except share data) | Year Ended December 31, | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Operating revenue | \$40,704 | \$40,362 | \$37,773 | \$36,670 | \$35,115 |
| Operating expense | 32,902 | 38,156 | 34,373 | 34,495 | 33,140 |
| Operating income | 7,802 | 2,206 | 3,400 | 2,175 | 1,975 |
| Non-operating expense, net | (645) | (1,134) | (873) | (1,150) | (1,206) |
| Income before income taxes | 7,157 | 1,072 | 2,527 | 1,025 | 769 |
| Income tax (provision) benefit | (2,631) | (413) | 8,013 | (16) | 85 |
| Net income | \$4,526 | \$659 | \$10,540 | \$1,009 | \$854 |
| Basic earnings per share | \$5.68 | \$0.79 | \$12.41 | \$1.20 | \$1.02 |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$5.63 | \$0.78 | \$12.29 | \$1.19 | \$1.01 |
| Cash dividends declared per share | \$0.45 | \$0.30 | \$0.12 | \$— | \$— |

Special Items

| (in millions) | Year Ended December 31, | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| MTM adjustments and settlements | \$1,301 | \$(2,346) | \$276 | \$27 | \$(26) |
| Restructuring and other | (35) | (716) | (424) | (452) | (242) |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt | — | (268) | — | (118) | (68) |
| Virgin Atlantic MTM adjustments | 26 | (134) | — | — | — |
| Release of tax valuation allowance and intraperiod income tax allocation | — | — | 7,989 | — | — |
| Total income (loss) | \$1,292 | \$(3,464) | \$7,841 | \$(543) | \$(336) |

Consolidated Balance Sheet Data

| (in millions) | December 31, | | | | |
|--|--------------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Total assets | \$53,134 | \$54,005 | \$52,104 | \$43,933 | \$42,879 |
| Long-term debt and capital leases (including current maturities) | \$8,329 | \$9,661 | \$11,194 | \$12,555 | \$13,632 |
| Stockholders' equity (deficit) | \$10,850 | \$8,813 | \$11,643 | \$(2,131) | \$(1,396) |

Table of Contents

Other Financial and Statistical Data (Unaudited)

| Consolidated ⁽¹⁾ | Year Ended December 31, | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | |
| Revenue passenger miles (in millions) | 209,625 | 202,925 | 194,988 | 192,974 | 192,767 | |
| Available seat miles (in millions) | 246,764 | 239,676 | 232,740 | 230,415 | 234,656 | |
| Passenger mile yield | 16.59 | ¢ 17.22 | ¢ 16.89 | ¢ 16.46 | ¢ 15.70 | ¢ |
| Passenger revenue per available seat mile | 14.10 | ¢ 14.58 | ¢ 14.15 | ¢ 13.78 | ¢ 12.89 | ¢ |
| Operating cost per available seat mile | 13.33 | ¢ 15.92 | ¢ 14.77 | ¢ 14.97 | ¢ 14.12 | ¢ |
| Passenger load factor | 84.9 | % 84.7 | % 83.8 | % 83.8 | % 82.1 | % |
| Fuel gallons consumed (in millions) | 3,988 | 3,893 | 3,828 | 3,769 | 3,856 | |
| Average price per fuel gallon ⁽²⁾ | \$1.90 | \$3.47 | \$3.00 | \$3.25 | \$3.06 | |
| Full-time equivalent employees, end of period | 82,949 | 79,655 | 77,755 | 73,561 | 78,392 | |

(1) Includes the operations of our regional carriers under capacity purchase agreements. Full-time equivalent employees exclude employees of regional carriers that we do not own.

(2) Includes the impact of fuel hedge activity and refinery segment results.

Table of Contents

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Financial Highlights - 2015 Compared to 2014

Our pre-tax income for 2015 was \$7.2 billion, representing a \$6.1 billion increase compared to the prior year predominantly as a result of lower fuel prices and strong non-fuel cost controls. Pre-tax income, adjusted for special items (a non-GAAP financial measure) increased \$1.3 billion, or 29.3%, to \$5.9 billion. Special items in 2015 were primarily related to fuel hedge MTM adjustments and settlements, which totaled \$1.3 billion.

Revenue. Our operating revenue increased \$342 million compared to the prior year primarily resulting from our Branded Fares initiative and our agreement with American Express. Passenger revenue per available seat mile ("PRASM") decreased 3.3% on 3.0% higher capacity. The decrease in PRASM was largely driven by competitive pressure in the current low fuel price environment and the impact of U.S. dollar strength on tickets sold in international markets, which are predominantly priced in local currency.

Operating Expense. Total operating expense decreased \$5.3 billion from 2014 driven by lower fuel prices, partially offset by fuel hedge losses, higher salaries and related costs and profit sharing. During 2015, Brent crude oil averaged \$52 per barrel and closed the year below \$40 per barrel, which is significantly lower than the average of \$99 per barrel during 2014. Salaries and related costs were higher as a result of increases in pilot and flight attendant block hours due to higher capacity and pay rate increases implemented in 2015.

Our consolidated operating cost per available seat mile ("CASM") for 2015 decreased 16.3% to 13.33 cents from 15.92 cents in 2014, on a 3.0% increase in capacity, primarily due to lower fuel prices. Non-fuel unit costs ("CASM-Ex," a non-GAAP financial measure) were flat in 2015 compared to 2014 at 9.17 cents due to strong non-fuel cost controls and the strength of the U.S. dollar. Our 2015 unit cost performance was in-line with our goal of limiting non-fuel unit cost growth to below 2% annually.

The non-GAAP financial measures for pre-tax income, adjusted for special items and CASM-Ex used in this section and return on invested capital ("ROIC") and adjusted net debt, used in the section below, are defined and reconciled in "Supplemental Information" below.

Company Initiatives

Running a reliable, customer-focused airline has produced a higher ROIC (a non-GAAP financial measure), which increased from 20.7% in 2014 to 28.3% in 2015. This improved financial performance has allowed us to improve our balance sheet by reducing adjusted net debt (a non-GAAP financial measure) to \$6.7 billion, increase funding of our defined benefit pension plans and increase the amount of capital returned to our shareholders. We are focused on delivering additional value for shareholders in the future through revenue growth, cost productivity and prudent deployment of cash flows.

Driving Revenue Growth

Operational Reliability

A key driver of our revenue growth is higher customer satisfaction resulting from strong operational reliability. We have significantly invested in our business since 2010 to improve our operational performance, which consistently ranks first among the major U.S. carriers. During 2015, we operated 161 days with zero mainline canceled flights, a 69% improvement over our 2014 performance. In addition, our reported completion factor of 99.6% and on-time

arrival rate of 85.9% places us at the top among the major global U.S. carriers. This operational excellence resulted in a four point increase in our domestic net promoter score compared to 2014. Accordingly, our corporate ticket volume increased 4% in 2015, reflecting our reliable service and high-quality product.

Global Strategy

We are expanding our global network. Under this strategy, we are strengthening our presence in major and developing markets around the world in an effort to increase and diversify our network into high revenue and high growth markets. The growth of our global presence will enable customers to seamlessly connect to more places while enjoying a consistent, high-quality travel experience. We are deploying this strategy through investments in Europe, Asia and Latin America.

Table of Contents

Europe. Alongside our 49% equity investment in Virgin Atlantic, we implemented an antitrust immunized joint venture with the U.K.-based carrier. This joint venture has significantly improved our presence in London, one of the largest revenue markets from the U.S., while also enhancing our transatlantic network including our existing joint venture relationship with Air France-KLM and Alitalia. We have also moved senior decision-making functions to Amsterdam for closer coordination with our joint venture airlines. We anticipate the co-location of these management functions will optimize the benefits of our joint ventures.

Asia. As part of the strategy to improve our Pacific operations, we are increasing our presence in China, the largest transpacific market from the U.S. To this end, during 2015, we acquired shares of China Eastern for \$450 million, which provides us with a 3.5% stake in the airline. In conjunction with this transaction, we and China Eastern entered into a new commercial agreement to expand our relationship and better connect the networks of the two airlines. China Eastern is one of the largest airlines in China with a route network covering more than 200 destinations in over 25 countries. In addition, we are restructuring our Pacific fleet by removing less efficient B-747-400 aircraft and replacing them with smaller-gauge, widebody aircraft to better match capacity with demand in an effort to improve our margins.

Latin America. We are focused on maximizing the value of our relationships while deriving value from the recent investments in our network, product and service. Our capacity growth has been focused on higher performing markets such as Mexico and the Caribbean, while we have reduced capacity in markets challenged by weakening currencies and slowing economies such as Brazil.

During the December 2015 quarter, we announced a plan to acquire additional capital stock of Grupo Aeroméxico through a cash tender offer. Following the completion of the tender offer, when combined with our existing share ownership, we expect to own up to 49% of Grupo Aeroméxico's outstanding shares. We have submitted an application for an antitrust immunized joint venture with Aeroméxico, which we expect will be approved in 2016, and is intended to allow us to benefit from an improved level of coordination.

Also, during 2015, we acquired additional preferred shares of GOL's parent company for \$50 million, increasing our ownership to 9.5% of its outstanding capital stock, as part of our long-term strategy to strengthen the opportunity we see in Brazil. In conjunction with our investment, we and GOL agreed to extend our existing commercial agreements.

Cost Productivity

We continue to focus on maintaining the rate of non-fuel unit cost growth at less than 2% annually. An important component of this effort relates to maximizing the benefits of scale throughout the network. Domestic fleet upgauging allows us to increase capacity on fewer departures, thereby improving our unit cost performance. In addition, we are leveraging our expertise in supply chain and aircraft maintenance to improve our productivity. Finally, we are investing in technology projects to improve the customer experience in a cost-efficient manner.

The Delta pilots collective bargaining agreement became amendable as of December 31, 2015. We are in discussions with representatives of the Delta pilots to amend the collective bargaining agreement. Our employees, including pilots, are an important part of Delta's success and the underpinning of the quality and operational reliability that has become part of Delta's brand. We expect to continue to pay our employees industry leading total compensation that will enable Delta's continued success.

Prudent Deployment of Cash Flows

As part of our long-term plan, we target \$7-8 billion in annual operating cash flow and \$4-5 billion in annual free cash flow. We use approximately 50% of our operating cash flow to reinvest in the business for long-term growth

opportunities. The remaining free cash flow is used to further strengthen the balance sheet by reducing debt levels, make incremental pension contributions and return capital to shareholders through dividends and share repurchases.

Strengthened Balance Sheet

A key tenet of our strategy is to operate the company with investment grade financial metrics. Since beginning our balance sheet improvement strategy in 2009, we have reduced our adjusted net debt by over \$10 billion, which has significantly reduced our annual interest expense. In addition, we have a significant net underfunded pension liability. We have been making pension contributions above the minimum amount required, with a goal of achieving 80% funded status for the pension plan by 2020. Because of statutory pension funding relief that applies to us, we have until 2031 to fully fund our pension plans.

Table of Contents

Increased Capital Returns to Owners

While we have been reducing our debt levels and investing in the business, we have been increasing our capital returns to shareholders, returning a total of \$2.6 billion in 2015 through dividends and share repurchases. Since first implementing our quarterly dividend in 2013, we have increased the dividend per share by 50% annually, and paid \$712 million in total dividends, including \$359 million in 2015. Through dividends and share repurchases, we have returned over \$4 billion to shareholders since 2013, while reducing outstanding shares by approximately 9% compared to the beginning of 2013.

Table of Contents

Results of Operations - 2015 Compared to 2014

Operating Revenue

| (in millions) | Year Ended December | | Increase (Decrease) | % Increase (Decrease) | |
|-------------------------|---------------------|----------|------------------------|--------------------------|----|
| | 31, 2015 | 2014 | | | |
| Passenger: | | | | | |
| Mainline | \$28,898 | \$28,688 | \$210 | 0.7 | % |
| Regional carriers | 5,884 | 6,266 | (382) | (6.1) |)% |
| Total passenger revenue | 34,782 | 34,954 | (172) | (0.5) |)% |
| Cargo | 813 | 934 | (121) | (13.0) |)% |
| Other | 5,109 | 4,474 | 635 | 14.2 | % |
| Total operating revenue | \$40,704 | \$40,362 | \$342 | 0.8 | % |

Passenger Revenue

| (in millions) | Year Ended December 31, 2015 | Increase (Decrease) vs. Year Ended December 31, 2014 | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|------------------------------------|---|----------------|--------------------|----------------------------|-------|-------------|------|--|
| | | Passenger Revenue | RPMs (Traffic) | ASMs (Capacity) | Passenger Mile Yield | PRASM | Load Factor | | |
| Mainline | \$17,933 | 5.4 | % 6.7 | % 6.1 | % (1.2) |)(0.6 |)(0.5 | pts | |
| Regional carriers | 5,884 | (6.1) |)%(2.2 |)% (4.0) |)% (4.0) |)(2.1 |)(1.5 | pts | |
| Domestic | 23,817 | 2.3 | % 5.1 | % 4.1 | % (2.6) |)(1.8 |)(0.8 | pts | |
| Atlantic | 5,548 | (4.8) |)%0.6 | % 3.2 | % (5.3) |)(7.7 |)(2.1 |)pts | |
| Pacific | 3,002 | (12.2) |)%(2.3 |)% (5.0) |)% (10.2) |)(7.6 |)(2.3 | pts | |
| Latin America | 2,415 | (0.4) |)%5.1 | % 5.7 | % (5.3) |)(5.8 |)(0.5 |)pts | |
| Total | \$34,782 | (0.5) |)%3.3 | % 3.0 | % (3.7) |)(3.3 |)(0.2 | pts | |

Passenger revenue decreased \$172 million over the prior year. PRASM decreased 3.3% and passenger mile yield decreased 3.7% on 3.0% higher capacity. Load factor was 0.2 points higher than the prior year at 84.9%.

Unit revenues of the mainline domestic region decreased 0.6%, resulting from weaker yields in certain markets, which were nearly offset by the strong performance in Atlanta, New York, Seattle and Los Angeles.

Regional carriers passenger revenue decreased 6.1% on a 4.0% reduction in capacity. During 2015, we removed thirty 50-seat regional aircraft as part of our strategy to restructure our domestic fleet.

Revenues related to our international regions decreased 6.0% year-over-year primarily due to the impact of the strong U.S. dollar and reductions in international fuel surcharges. These challenges have been addressed through capacity reductions implemented in the December 2015 quarter.

In the Atlantic region, unit revenue declines in Africa, the Middle East and Russia were partially offset by strength in core European markets. Unit revenue declines in the Pacific primarily resulted from the strength of the U.S. dollar and lower international fuel surcharges. We continue to optimize the Pacific region in order to improve margins by reducing our winter capacity, including the cancellation of our Seattle to Tokyo-Haneda route in the June 2015 quarter and downgauging via the continued retirement of the B-747-400 fleet during the second half of 2015. In addition, we have made a strategic investment in, and established a long-term commercial relationship with, China Eastern. Latin America saw capacity growth of 5.7%, the majority of which occurred during the first half of 2015. In the December 2015 quarter, we continued to invest in higher performing markets such as Mexico and the Caribbean, while reducing

capacity in Brazil due to its challenging economic environment.

Other Revenue. Other revenue increased \$635 million, or 14.2%, primarily due to loyalty program revenues including our agreement with American Express, sales of non-jet fuel products to third parties by our oil refinery and maintenance sales to third parties by our MRO services business.

Table of Contents

Operating Expense

| (in millions) | Year Ended December 31, | | Increase (Decrease) | Increase (Decrease) | |
|--|-------------------------|----------|------------------------|------------------------|------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | | (Decrease) | (Decrease) |
| Salaries and related costs | \$8,776 | \$8,120 | \$656 | 8.1 | % |
| Aircraft fuel and related taxes | 6,544 | 11,668 | (5,124) | (43.9) | % |
| Regional carriers expense | 4,241 | 5,237 | (996) | (19.0) | % |
| Aircraft maintenance materials and outside repairs | 1,848 | 1,828 | 20 | 1.1 | % |
| Contracted services | 1,848 | 1,749 | 99 | 5.7 | % |
| Depreciation and amortization | 1,835 | 1,771 | 64 | 3.6 | % |
| Passenger commissions and other selling expenses | 1,672 | 1,700 | (28) | (1.6) | % |
| Landing fees and other rents | 1,493 | 1,442 | 51 | 3.5 | % |
| Profit sharing | 1,490 | 1,085 | 405 | 37.3 | % |
| Passenger service | 872 | 810 | 62 | 7.7 | % |
| Aircraft rent | 250 | 233 | 17 | 7.3 | % |
| Restructuring and other | 35 | 716 | (681) | NM ⁽¹⁾ | |
| Other | 1,998 | 1,797 | 201 | 11.2 | % |
| Total operating expense | \$32,902 | \$38,156 | \$(5,254) | (13.8) | % |

Due to the nature of amounts recorded within restructuring and other, a year-over-year comparison is not meaningful. For a discussion of charges recorded in restructuring and other, see Note 15 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Salaries and Related Costs. The increase in salaries and related costs is primarily due to higher pilot and flight attendant block hours and pay rate increases implemented in both the first half of 2015 and December 2015. Base pay rates increased 14.5% for eligible merit, ground and flight attendant employees effective December 1, 2015.

Aircraft Fuel and Related Taxes. Including our regional carriers, fuel expense decreased \$5.9 billion compared to the prior year due to a 43.5% decrease in the market price per gallon of fuel, an increase in Monroe's profitability and reduced hedge losses, partially offset by a 2.4% increase in consumption. The table below presents fuel expense, including our regional carriers:

| (in millions, except per gallon data) | Year Ended December 31, | | Increase (Decrease) | Increase (Decrease) | |
|---|-------------------------|----------|------------------------|------------------------|------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | | (Decrease) | (Decrease) |
| Aircraft fuel and related taxes ⁽¹⁾ | \$6,544 | \$11,668 | \$(5,124) | | |
| Aircraft fuel and related taxes included within regional carriers expense | 1,035 | 1,844 | (809) | | |
| Total fuel expense | \$7,579 | \$13,512 | \$(5,933) | (43.9) | % |

⁽¹⁾ Includes the impact of fuel hedging and refinery results described further in the table below.

The table below shows the impact of hedging and the refinery on fuel expense and average price per gallon, adjusted (non-GAAP financial measures):

| (in millions, except per gallon data) | Year Ended December 31, | | | Average Price Per Gallon | | |
|--|-------------------------|----------|------------------------|------------------------------|--------|------------------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | Increase (Decrease) | Year Ended December 31, 2015 | 2014 | Increase (Decrease) |
| Fuel purchase cost ⁽¹⁾ | \$6,934 | \$11,350 | \$(4,416) | \$1.74 | \$2.91 | \$(1.17) |
| Airline segment fuel hedge losses ⁽²⁾ | 935 | 2,258 | (1,323) | 0.23 | 0.58 | (0.35) |
| Refinery segment impact ⁽²⁾ | (290) | (96) | (194) | (0.07) | (0.02) | (0.05) |

Edgar Filing: DELTA AIR LINES INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | |
|--|---------|----------|------------|--------|---------|-----------|
| Total fuel expense | \$7,579 | \$13,512 | \$(5,933) | \$1.90 | \$3.47 | \$(1.57) |
| MTM adjustments and settlements ⁽³⁾ | 1,301 | (2,346) | 3,647 | 0.33 | (0.60) | 0.93 |
| Total fuel expense, adjusted | \$8,880 | \$11,166 | \$(2,286) | \$2.23 | \$2.87 | \$(0.64) |

(1) Market price for jet fuel at airport locations, including related taxes and transportation costs.

Includes the impact of pricing arrangements between the airline and refinery segments with respect to the refinery's

(2) inventory price risk. For additional information regarding the refinery segment impact, see "Refinery Segment" below.

MTM adjustments and settlements include the effects of the derivative transactions discussed in Note 4 of the

(3) Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements. For additional information and the reason for adjusting fuel expense, see "Supplemental Information" below.

Table of Contents

Regional Carriers Expense. The reduction in regional carriers expense is primarily due to lower fuel cost from a decrease in the price per gallon of fuel, lower capacity and reduced maintenance expenses. During 2015, we removed thirty 50-seat regional aircraft as part of our strategy to restructure our domestic fleet.

Contracted Services. The increase in contracted services expense predominantly related to costs associated with the 3.0% increase in capacity and additional temporary staffing.

Depreciation and Amortization. Depreciation and amortization expense increased year-over-year primarily due to investments in new A330-300, B-737-900ER and CRJ-900 aircraft and aircraft modifications that upgraded aircraft interiors and enhanced our product offering.

Landing Fees and Other Rents. Landing fees and other rents increased principally due to increased number of departures and higher rental rates.

Profit Sharing. The increase in profit sharing is driven by an increase in full year pre-tax income compared to the prior year. Our broad-based employee profit sharing program provides that, for each year in which we have an annual pre-tax profit, as defined by the terms of the program, we will pay a specified portion of that profit to employees. In determining the amount of profit sharing, the program defines profit as pre-tax profit adjusted for profit sharing and certain other items. For years prior to 2016, our profit sharing program paid 10% to employees for the first \$2.5 billion of annual profit and 20% of annual profit above \$2.5 billion.

Beginning with 2016 pre-tax profit (to be paid out in 2017), the profit sharing formula will be adjusted to pay 10% of annual pre-tax profit (as defined by the terms of the program) and, if we exceed our prior-year results, the program will pay 20% of the year-over-year increase in pre-tax profit to eligible employees. The profit sharing program for pilots remains unchanged and will continue in 2016 under its terms.

Passenger Service. Passenger service expense includes the costs for onboard food and beverage, cleaning and supplies. This expense increased year-over-year primarily due to costs associated with enhancements to our onboard product offering and the 3.3% increase in traffic.

Other. Other operating expense increased primarily due to costs associated with sales of non-jet fuel products to third parties by our oil refinery.

Table of Contents

Results of Operations - 2014 Compared to 2013

Operating Revenue

| (in millions) | Year Ended December | | Increase | % Increase | |
|-------------------------|---------------------|----------|----------|------------|----|
| | 31, 2014 | 2013 | | | |
| Passenger: | | | | | |
| Mainline | \$28,688 | \$26,534 | \$2,154 | 8.1 | % |
| Regional carriers | 6,266 | 6,408 | (142) | (2.2) |)% |
| Total passenger revenue | 34,954 | 32,942 | 2,012 | 6.1 | % |
| Cargo | 934 | 937 | (3) | (0.3) |)% |
| Other | 4,474 | 3,894 | 580 | 14.9 | % |
| Total operating revenue | \$40,362 | \$37,773 | \$2,589 | 6.9 | % |

Passenger Revenue

| (in millions) | Year Ended December 31, 2014 | Increase (Decrease) vs. Year Ended December 31, 2013 | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|------------------------------------|---|----------------|--------------------|----------------------------|----------|-------------|--|------|
| | | Passenger Revenue | RPMs (Traffic) | ASMs (Capacity) | Passenger Mile Yield | PRASM | Load Factor | | |
| Mainline | \$17,017 | 11.9 | % 6.5 | % 4.1 | % 5.1 | % 7.5 | % 2.0 | | pts |
| Regional carriers | 6,266 | (2.2) |)% (1.5) |)% (3.8) |)% (0.7) |)% 1.7 | % 1.9 | | pts |
| Domestic | 23,283 | 7.7 | % 5.0 | % 2.4 | % 2.6 | % 5.2 | % 2.4 | | pts |
| Atlantic | 5,826 | 3.0 | % (0.1) |)% 0.9 | % 3.0 | % 2.1 | % (0.8) | |)pts |
| Pacific | 3,421 | (4.0) |)% (1.2) |)% (0.2) |)% (2.8) |)% (3.8) |)% (0.9) | |)pts |
| Latin America | 2,424 | 14.8 | % 16.7 | % 17.7 | % (1.6) |)% (2.5) |)% (0.7) | |)pts |
| Total consolidated | \$34,954 | 6.1 | % 4.1 | % 3.0 | % 2.0 | % 3.0 | % 0.9 | | pts |

Passenger revenue increased \$2.0 billion, or 6.1%, over prior year. PRASM increased 3.0% and passenger mile yield increased 2.0% on 3.0% higher capacity. Load factor was 0.9 points higher than prior year at 84.7%.

Our geographic regions generally performed well compared to the prior year, with the domestic region leading year-over-year unit revenue improvement. Unit revenues of the domestic region rose 5.2% led by strong performances from our hubs in Atlanta, New York-LaGuardia and Seattle.

Revenues related to our international regions increased 3.0% year-over-year primarily due to 17.7% capacity growth in the Latin America region as a result of our efforts to improve connections with GOL and Aeroméxico. GOL and Aeroméxico contributed a significant portion of the traffic from the U.S. to Brazil and into key Mexico markets, respectively. Despite these contributions, Latin America unit revenues declined 2.5% as a result of the capacity increase, some business demand weakness associated with the FIFA World Cup in Brazil and economic concerns in Venezuela. Atlantic unit revenue increased 2.1% driven by yield improvements. While our joint venture with Virgin Atlantic reflected solid revenue growth, especially in London-Heathrow, Atlantic yields experienced pressure from the impact of geopolitical and health concerns related to service to Africa, the Middle East and Russia. Our Pacific region experienced a 3.8% decline in unit revenues driven by lower yield, primarily due to the weakening of the Japanese yen.

Other Revenue. Other revenue increased \$580 million, or 14.9%, primarily due to an increase in sales of SkyMiles, settlements associated with our transatlantic joint venture agreements and sales of non-jet fuel products to third parties by our oil refinery.

Table of Contents

Operating Expense

| (in millions) | Year Ended December 31, | | Increase (Decrease) | % Increase (Decrease) | |
|--|-------------------------|----------|------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| | 2014 | 2013 | | | |
| Salaries and related costs | \$8,120 | \$7,720 | \$400 | 5.2 | % |
| Aircraft fuel and related taxes | 11,668 | 9,397 | 2,271 | 24.2 | % |
| Regional carriers expense | 5,237 | 5,669 | (432) | (7.6) | % |
| Aircraft maintenance materials and outside repairs | 1,828 | 1,852 | (24) | (1.3) | % |
| Contracted services | 1,749 | 1,665 | 84 | 5.0 | % |
| Depreciation and amortization | 1,771 | 1,658 | 113 | 6.8 | % |
| Passenger commissions and other selling expenses | 1,700 | 1,603 | 97 | 6.1 | % |
| Landing fees and other rents | 1,442 | 1,410 | 32 | 2.3 | % |
| Profit sharing | 1,085 | 506 | 579 | NM | |
| Passenger service | 810 | 762 | 48 | 6.3 | % |
| Aircraft rent | 233 | 209 | 24 | 11.5 | % |
| Restructuring and other | 716 | 402 | 314 | NM ⁽¹⁾ | |
| Other | 1,797 | 1,520 | 277 | 18.2 | % |
| Total operating expense | \$38,156 | \$34,373 | \$3,783 | 11.0 | % |

Due to the nature of amounts recorded within restructuring and other, a year-over-year comparison is not ⁽¹⁾ meaningful. For a discussion of charges recorded in restructuring and other, see Note 15 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Salaries and Related Costs. The increase in salaries and related costs is primarily due to investments in our employees and an increase in pilot and flight attendant block hours, partially offset by lower pension expense.

Fuel Expense. Compared to the prior year, consolidated fuel expense increased \$2.0 billion due to unfavorable MTM adjustments on fuel hedges resulting from the significant decrease in crude oil prices during the year (from a high of \$115 per barrel in June to a low of \$57 per barrel at December 31, 2014) and a 1.7% increase in consumption, partially offset by a 7.8% decrease in fuel market price per gallon and increased profitability at Monroe. The table below presents fuel expense, gallons consumed and our average price per gallon, including the impact of hedging and the refinery:

| (in millions, except per gallon data) | Year Ended December 31, | | Increase (Decrease) | % Increase (Decrease) | |
|---|-------------------------|----------|------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| | 2014 | 2013 | | | |
| Aircraft fuel and related taxes ⁽¹⁾ | \$11,668 | \$9,397 | \$2,271 | | |
| Aircraft fuel and related taxes included within regional carriers expense | 1,844 | 2,067 | (223) | | |
| Total fuel expense | \$13,512 | \$11,464 | \$2,048 | 17.9 | % |

⁽¹⁾ Includes the impact of fuel hedging and refinery results described further in the table below.

The table below shows the impact of hedging and the refinery on fuel expense and average price per gallon, adjusted:

| (in millions, except per gallon data) | Year Ended December 31, | | Increase (Decrease) | Average Price Per Gallon | | Increase (Decrease) |
|--|-------------------------|----------|------------------------|--------------------------|--------|------------------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | | Year Ended December 31, | | |
| | | | | 2014 | 2013 | |
| Fuel purchase cost ⁽¹⁾ | \$11,350 | \$11,792 | \$(442) | \$2.91 | \$3.09 | \$(0.18) |
| Airline segment fuel hedge losses (gains) ⁽²⁾ | 2,258 | (444) |)2,702 | 0.58 | (0.12) |)0.70 |

| | | | | | | | |
|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|--------|---------|---|
| Refinery segment impact ⁽²⁾ | (96 |) 116 | (212 |) (0.02 |) 0.03 | (0.05 |) |
| Total fuel expense | \$13,512 | \$11,464 | \$ 2,048 | \$3.47 | \$3.00 | \$0.47 | |
| MTM adjustments ⁽³⁾ | (2,346 |) 276 | (2,622 |) (0.60 |) 0.07 | (0.67 |) |
| Total fuel expense, adjusted | \$11,166 | \$11,740 | \$ (574 |) \$2.87 | \$3.07 | \$(0.20 |) |

(1) Market price for jet fuel at airport locations, including related taxes and transportation costs.

Includes the impact of pricing arrangements between the airline and refinery segments with respect to the refinery's

(2) inventory price risk. For additional information regarding the refinery segment impact, see "Refinery Segment" below.

MTM adjustments include the effects of the derivative transactions discussed in Note 4 of the Notes to the

(3) Consolidated Financial Statements. For additional information and the reason for adjusting fuel expense, see "Supplemental Information" below.

Table of Contents

Regional Carriers Expense. The reduction in regional carriers expense is primarily due to lower fuel expense from both a decrease in the cost of fuel per gallon and a 3.8% decrease in capacity and fewer required maintenance events. During 2014, we removed thirty-five 50-seat regional aircraft from our fleet as part of our strategy to restructure our domestic fleet.

Aircraft Maintenance Materials and Outside Repairs. Aircraft maintenance materials and outside repairs consists of costs associated with maintenance of aircraft used in our operations and costs associated with maintenance sales to third parties by our MRO services business. The reduction in aircraft maintenance materials and outside repairs is due primarily to lower engine maintenance volume and a contract settlement charge in 2013, partially offset by a higher volume of cost of sales from our MRO business.

Contracted Services. Contracted services expense increased year-over-year due primarily to costs associated with the 3.0% increase in capacity.

Depreciation and Amortization. Depreciation and amortization expense increased year-over-year primarily due to our investment in new B-737-900ER and CRJ-900 aircraft, the purchase of aircraft off-lease and aircraft modifications that upgraded aircraft interiors and enhanced our product offering.

Passenger Commissions and Other Selling Expenses. Passenger commissions and other selling expenses increased on higher passenger revenue.

Profit Sharing. The increase in profit sharing is driven by an increase in full year pre-tax income, excluding profit sharing and certain other items, compared to the prior year.

Aircraft Rent. Aircraft rent increased year-over-year due primarily to the addition of leased B-717-200 and B-737-900ER aircraft delivered during the year, partially offset by the retirement of certain B-747-400 aircraft.

Other. Other operating expense increased primarily due to costs associated with sales of non-jet fuel products to third parties by our oil refinery.

Table of Contents

Non-Operating Results

| (in millions) | Year Ended December 31, | | | Favorable (Unfavorable) | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|---------|-------------------------|------------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2015 vs. 2014 | 2014 vs. 2013 |
| Interest expense, net | \$(481) | \$(650) | \$(852) |) \$169 |) \$202 |
| Miscellaneous, net | (164) | (484) | (21) |) 320 | (463) |
| Total non-operating expense, net | \$(645) | \$(1,134) | \$(873) |) \$489 | \$(261) |

The decline in interest expense, net results from reduced levels of debt and unamortized debt discounts and from the refinancing of debt obligations at lower interest rates. The principal amount of debt and capital leases has declined from \$13.2 billion at the beginning of 2013 to \$8.5 billion at December 31, 2015.

Miscellaneous, net is favorable primarily due to a reduction in debt extinguishment losses compared to 2014. The debt extinguishment losses primarily related to unamortized debt discounts resulting from fair value adjustments recorded in the 2008 purchase accounting of Northwest Airlines. We did not early extinguish any debt in 2013. Also contributing to the increase is our proportionate share of earnings from our equity investment in Virgin Atlantic. The gain from Virgin Atlantic primarily results from year-over-year profit and improvements in MTM adjustments on fuel hedges.

Income Taxes

Our effective tax rate for 2015 was 36.8%. We expect our annual effective tax rate to be between 35% and 36% for 2016. The expected reduction in our rate from prior years is primarily related to differences in our global tax rates. In certain periods we may have adjustments to our deferred tax assets as a result of changes in prior year estimates and tax laws enacted during the period, which will impact the effective tax rate for that period. At December 31, 2015, we had approximately \$9.5 billion of U.S. federal pre-tax net operating loss carryforwards, which do not begin to expire until 2024. Accordingly, we believe we will not pay any cash federal income taxes before 2018. See Note 11 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for more information.

We released substantially all of our valuation allowance against our net deferred tax assets on December 31, 2013. The release of the allowance primarily resulted in a net tax benefit of \$8.0 billion that was recorded in income tax (provision) benefit in our Consolidated Statement of Operations.

Refinery Segment

The refinery primarily produces gasoline, diesel and jet fuel. Monroe exchanges substantially all the non-jet fuel products the refinery produces with third parties for jet fuel consumed in our airline operations. The jet fuel produced and procured through exchanging gasoline and diesel fuel produced by the refinery provided approximately 171,000 barrels (approximately seven million gallons) per day for use in our airline operations during 2015. We believe that the increase in jet fuel supply due to the refinery's operation has reduced the overall market price of jet fuel, and thus lowered our cost of jet fuel.

A refinery is subject to annual EPA requirements to blend renewable fuels into the gasoline and on-road diesel fuel it produces. Alternatively, a refinery may purchase renewable energy credits, called RINs, from third parties in the secondary market. Because the refinery operated by Monroe does not blend renewable fuels, it must purchase its entire RINs requirement in the secondary market or obtain a waiver from the EPA. We recognized \$75 million, \$111 million and \$64 million of expense related to the RINs requirement in 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively. We are in possession of the RINs needed to satisfy our 2013 obligation and a portion of our 2014 and 2015 obligations.

The refinery recorded a profit of \$290 million in 2015, compared to \$96 million in 2014 and a loss of \$116 million recorded in 2013. The refinery's profitability improved year-over-year despite lower revenues resulting from a decline in refined fuel market prices. The refinery's increase in profits was attributable to higher product crack spreads and higher throughput as a result of enhanced operational reliability, logistical improvements and utilization of intermediates (partially refined fuels). For more information regarding the refinery's results, see Note 14 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

Financial Condition and Liquidity

We expect to meet our cash needs for the next 12 months from cash flows from operations, cash and cash equivalents, short-term investments and financing arrangements. As of December 31, 2015, we had \$5.6 billion in unrestricted liquidity, consisting of \$3.4 billion in cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments and \$2.2 billion in undrawn revolving credit facilities. During 2015, we generated \$7.9 billion in cash from operating activities, which we used to fund capital expenditures of \$2.9 billion, return \$2.6 billion to shareholders, reduce the principal on our debt and capital lease obligations by \$1.5 billion and fund equity investments of \$500 million, while maintaining a solid liquidity position.

Sources of Liquidity

Operating Activities

Cash flows from operating activities continue to provide our primary source of liquidity. We generated positive cash flows from operations of \$7.9 billion in 2015, \$4.9 billion in 2014 and \$4.5 billion in 2013. We also expect to generate positive cash flows from operations in 2016.

Our operating cash flows can be impacted by the following factors:

Seasonality of Advance Ticket Sales. We sell tickets for air travel in advance of the customer's travel date. When we receive a cash payment at the time of sale, we record the cash received on advance sales as deferred revenue in air traffic liability. The air traffic liability increases during the winter and spring as advanced ticket sales grow prior to the summer peak travel season and decreases during the summer and fall months.

Fuel and Fuel Hedge Margins. Including our regional carriers, fuel expense represented 23.0% of our total operating expenses for 2015. The market price for jet fuel is highly volatile, which can impact the comparability of our cash flows from operations from period to period.

As part of our fuel hedging program, we may be required to post margin to counterparties when our portfolio is in a loss position. Conversely, if our portfolio with counterparties is in a gain position, we may receive margin. Our future cash flows are impacted by the nature of our derivative contracts and the market price of the commodities underlying those derivative contracts. Our hedge contracts were in a net loss position at December 31, 2015 and 2014, resulting in \$119 million and \$925 million, respectively, of margin postings to counterparties.

Timing of SkyMiles Sales. In December 2011, we amended our American Express agreements and agreed to sell \$675 million of unrestricted SkyMiles to American Express in each December from 2011 through 2014. Pursuant to the December 2011 amendment, American Express purchased \$675 million of unrestricted SkyMiles in each of those years with the final payment in 2014. The SkyMiles purchased in December 2014 were utilized by American Express in 2015. There were no advanced sales of SkyMiles in 2015.

Pension Contributions. We sponsor defined benefit pension plans for eligible employees and retirees. These plans are closed to new entrants and are frozen for future benefit accruals. Our funding obligations for these plans are governed by the Employee Retirement Income Security Act, as modified by the Pension Protection Act of 2006. In 2015, we contributed \$1.2 billion to our qualified defined benefit pension plans. As a result of these contributions, we satisfied, on an accelerated basis, our 2015 required contributions for our defined benefit plans including nearly \$600 million above the minimum funding requirements. We contributed \$917 million and \$914 million in 2014 and 2013, respectively, including \$250 million above the minimum funding levels in each of those years. We estimate our funding under these plans will total at least \$1.0 billion in 2016, including \$500 million above the minimum funding

requirements.

Profit Sharing. Our broad-based employee profit sharing program provides that, for each year in which we have an annual pre-tax profit, as defined by the terms of the program, we will pay a specified portion of that profit to employees. In determining the amount of profit sharing, the program defines profit as pre-tax profit adjusted for profit sharing and certain other items. For years prior to 2016, our profit sharing program paid 10% to employees for the first \$2.5 billion of annual profit and 20% of annual profit above \$2.5 billion.

36

Table of Contents

We made profit sharing payments of \$756 million, \$821 million and \$372 million in 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively, in recognition of our employees' contributions toward meeting our financial goals. During the year ended December 2015, we recorded \$1.5 billion in profit sharing expense based on 2015 pre-tax profit, which will be paid to employees in February 2016.

Beginning with 2016 pre-tax profit (to be paid out in 2017), the profit sharing formula will be adjusted to pay 10% of annual pre-tax profit (as defined by the terms of the program) and, if we exceed our prior-year results, the program will pay 20% of the year-over-year increase in pre-tax profit to eligible employees. The profit sharing program for pilots remains unchanged and will continue in 2016 under its terms.

Investing Activities

Capital Expenditures. Our capital expenditures were \$2.9 billion in 2015, \$2.2 billion in 2014 and \$2.5 billion in 2013. Our capital expenditures during 2015 were primarily related to the purchase of B-737-900ER aircraft to replace a portion of our older B-757-200 aircraft, purchases of A330-300 aircraft, advance deposit payments on A321-200 aircraft and seat density projects for our domestic fleet. Our capital expenditures during 2014 and 2013 were primarily for the purchase of aircraft and modifications to upgrade aircraft interiors that enhance our product offering.

We have committed to future aircraft purchases that will require significant capital investment and have obtained long-term financing commitments for a substantial portion of the purchase price of these aircraft. We expect that we will invest approximately \$3 billion in 2016 primarily for (1) aircraft, including deliveries of B-737-900ERs, A321-200s and A330-300s, along with advance deposit payments for these and our new A330-900neo and A350-900 orders and used E190-100 orders, as well as for (2) aircraft modifications, the majority of which relate to increasing the seat density and enhancing the cabins on our domestic fleet. We expect that the 2016 investments will be funded primarily through cash flows from operations.

Equity Investments. Our equity investments during 2015 were comprised of \$450 million for China Eastern shares and \$50 million for preferred shares in GOL's parent company. For additional information regarding these investments, see Note 3 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

During the December 2015 quarter, we announced a plan to acquire additional capital stock of Grupo Aeroméxico through a cash tender offer. Following the completion of the tender offer, when combined with our existing share ownership, we expect to own up to 49% of Grupo Aeroméxico's outstanding shares. This approximately \$750 million capital investment is subject to regulatory approvals and the tender offer would likely commence in the June 2016 quarter.

Financing Activities

Debt and Capital Leases. The principal amount of debt and capital leases has declined from \$13.2 billion at the beginning of 2013 to \$8.5 billion at December 31, 2015. Since December 31, 2009, we have reduced our principal amount of debt and capital leases by \$9.8 billion. These debt reductions also serve to reduce our total future interest expense. We have focused on reducing our total debt in recent years as part of our strategy to strengthen our balance sheet. As a result, we received upgrades to our credit rating by all three major rating agencies during 2015, to the following ratings:

| Rating Agency | Current Rating | Outlook |
|-------------------|----------------|----------|
| Standard & Poor's | BB+ | Stable |
| Moody's | Ba2 | Positive |
| Fitch | BB+ | Positive |

Continued improvement in our credit ratings to investment grade would likely result in lower costs of borrowing, among other things.

In connection with the retirement and termination of the outstanding loans under our existing \$2.5 billion Senior Secured Credit Financing Facilities (due April 2016 and April 2017), we completed refinancing transactions in August 2015 with new debt consisting of the Senior Secured Credit Facilities (\$2.0 billion) and the 2015-1 EETC (\$500 million). The Senior Secured Credit Facilities consist of a \$1.5 billion Revolving Credit Facility and a \$500 million Term Loan Facility.

Table of Contents

Capital Returns to Shareholders. Since 2013, our Board of Directors has implemented three programs to return capital to shareholders through quarterly dividends and share repurchases. Since first implementing our quarterly dividend in 2013, we have increased the dividend per share by 50% annually, and paid \$712 million in total dividends, including \$359 million in 2015. Through dividends and share repurchases, we have returned over \$4 billion to shareholders since 2013, while reducing outstanding shares by approximately 9% compared to the beginning of 2013. During 2015 alone, we repurchased and retired 48.4 million shares at a cost of \$2.2 billion.

| (in millions, except dividends per share) | Dividends per Share | Share Repurchase Authorization | Average Repurchase Price | Completion Date | Authorization Remaining |
|---|---------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|
| May 2013 Program | \$0.060 | \$500 | \$28.43 | June 30, 2016 | Completed June 2014 |
| May 2014 Program | \$0.090 | \$2,000 | \$42.86 | December 31, 2016 | Completed June 2015 |
| May 2015 Program | \$0.135 | \$5,000 | \$45.77 | December 31, 2017 | \$3,950 |

On February 3, 2016, the Board of Directors declared a \$0.135 per share dividend for shareholders of record as of February 17, 2016.

Fuel Hedge Restructuring. During 2015, we effectively deferred settlement of a portion of our hedge portfolio until 2016 by entering into fuel derivative transactions that, excluding market movements from the date of inception, would settle and provide approximately \$300 million in cash receipts during the second half of 2015 and require approximately \$300 million in cash payments in 2016. We early terminated certain of these deferral transactions in 2015. As a result, we reported \$429 million in cash receipts and \$71 million in cash payments associated with these deferral transactions.

Undrawn Lines of Credit

We have \$2.2 billion available in undrawn revolving lines of credit. Our credit facilities have covenants, including minimum collateral coverage ratios. If we are not in compliance with these covenants, we may be required to repay amounts borrowed under the credit facilities or we may not be able to draw on them. We currently have a substantial amount of unencumbered assets available to pledge as collateral.

Covenants

We were in compliance with the covenants in our financing agreements at December 31, 2015.

Table of Contents

Contractual Obligations

The following table summarizes our contractual obligations at December 31, 2015 that we expect will be paid in cash. The table does not include amounts that are contingent on events or other factors that are uncertain or unknown at this time, including legal contingencies, uncertain tax positions and amounts payable under collective bargaining arrangements, among others. In addition, the table does not include expected significant cash payments representing obligations that arise in the ordinary course of business that do not include contractual commitments.

The amounts presented are based on various estimates, including estimates regarding the timing of payments, prevailing interest rates, volumes purchased, the occurrence of certain events and other factors. Accordingly, the actual results may vary materially from the amounts presented in the table.

| (in millions) | Contractual Obligations by Year ⁽¹⁾ | | | | | | Thereafter Total |
|---|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------|------------------|
| | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | | |
| Long-term debt (see Note 6) | | | | | | | |
| Principal amount | \$1,442 | \$869 | \$2,061 | \$1,189 | \$456 | \$2,081 | \$8,098 |
| Interest payments | 339 | 294 | 253 | 166 | 110 | 294 | 1,456 |
| Capital lease obligations (see Note 7) | | | | | | | |
| Principal amount | 148 | 94 | 44 | 35 | 26 | 36 | 383 |
| Interest payments | 35 | 20 | 12 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 79 |
| Operating lease payments (see Note 7) | 1,583 | 1,440 | 1,307 | 1,158 | 1,053 | 6,220 | 12,761 |
| Aircraft purchase commitments (see Note 10) | 2,140 | 2,500 | 2,510 | 1,500 | 1,900 | 3,010 | 13,560 |
| Contract carrier obligations (see Note 10) | 2,160 | 2,270 | 2,110 | 1,960 | 1,650 | 2,170 | 12,320 |
| Employee benefit obligations (see Note 9) | 692 | 632 | 674 | 899 | 755 | 8,301 | 11,953 |
| Other obligations | 680 | 330 | 280 | 160 | 70 | 500 | 2,020 |
| Total | \$9,219 | \$8,449 | \$9,251 | \$7,073 | \$6,023 | \$22,615 | \$62,630 |

⁽¹⁾ For additional information, see the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements referenced in the table above.

Long-Term Debt, Principal Amount. Represents scheduled principal payments on long-term debt.

Long-Term Debt, Interest Payments. Represents estimated interest payments under our long-term debt based on the interest rates specified in the applicable debt agreements. Interest payments on variable interest rate debt were calculated using London interbank offered rates ("LIBOR") at December 31, 2015.

Operating Lease Payments. Represents our minimum rental commitments under noncancelable operating leases (including certain aircraft flown by regional carriers).

Aircraft Purchase Commitments. Represents our commitments to purchase 70 B-737-900ER, 45 A321-200, 25 A330-900neo, 25 A350-900, 19 E190-100, 18 B-787-8 and six A330-300 aircraft. Our purchase commitment for the 18 B-787-8 aircraft provides for certain aircraft substitution rights, including for our current orders of B-737-900ER aircraft.

Contract Carrier Obligations. Represents our estimated minimum fixed obligations under capacity purchase agreements with third-party regional carriers. The reported amounts are based on (1) the required minimum levels of flying by our contract carriers under the applicable agreements and (2) assumptions regarding the costs associated with such minimum levels of flying.

Employee Benefit Obligations. Represents primarily (1) our estimated minimum required funding for our qualified defined benefit pension plans based on actuarially determined estimates and (2) projected future benefit payments from our unfunded postretirement and postemployment plans. For additional information about our employee benefit obligations, see “Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates.”

Other Obligations. Represents estimated purchase obligations under which we are required to make minimum payments for goods and services, including, but not limited to, insurance, marketing, maintenance, technology, sponsorships and other third-party services and products.

Table of Contents

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our critical accounting policies and estimates are those that require significant judgments and estimates. Accordingly, the actual results may differ materially from these estimates. For a discussion of these and other accounting policies, see Note 1 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Frequent Flyer Program

Our SkyMiles Program offers incentives to travel on Delta. This program allows customers to earn mileage credits by flying on Delta, Delta Connection and airlines that participate in the SkyMiles Program, as well as through participating companies such as credit card companies, hotels and car rental agencies. We sell mileage credits to non-airline businesses, customers and other airlines. Effective January 1, 2015, the SkyMiles program was modified from a model in which customers earn redeemable mileage credits based on distance traveled to a model based on ticket price. This award change did not affect the way we account for the program.

The SkyMiles Program includes two types of transactions that are considered revenue arrangements with multiple deliverables. As discussed below, these are (1) passenger ticket sales earning mileage credits and (2) the sale of mileage credits to participating companies with which we have marketing agreements. Mileage credits are a separate unit of accounting as they can be redeemed by customers in future periods for air travel on Delta and participating airlines, membership in our Sky Club and other program awards.

Passenger Ticket Sales Earning Mileage Credits. Passenger ticket sales earning mileage credits under our SkyMiles Program provide customers with two deliverables: (1) mileage credits earned and (2) air transportation. We value each deliverable on a standalone basis. Our estimate of the selling price of a mileage credit is based on an analysis of our sales of mileage credits to other airlines and customers, which is re-evaluated at least annually. We use established ticket prices to determine the estimated selling price of air transportation. We allocate the total amount collected from passenger ticket sales between the deliverables based on their relative selling prices.

We defer revenue for the mileage credits related to passenger ticket sales when the credits are earned and recognize it as passenger revenue when miles are redeemed and services are provided. We record the air transportation portion of the passenger ticket sales in air traffic liability and recognize these amounts in passenger revenue when we provide transportation or when the ticket expires unused. A hypothetical 10% increase in our estimate of the standalone selling price of a mileage credit would decrease passenger revenue by approximately \$48 million, as a result of an increase in the amount of revenue deferred from the mileage component of passenger ticket sales.

Sale of Mileage Credits. Customers may earn mileage credits through participating companies such as credit card companies, hotels and car rental agencies with which we have marketing agreements to sell mileage credits. Our contracts to sell mileage credits under these marketing agreements have multiple deliverables, as defined below.

Our most significant contract to sell mileage credits relates to our co-brand credit card relationship with American Express. In December 2014, we amended our marketing agreements with American Express which increased the value we receive under the agreements and extended the term to 2022. The amended agreements became effective January 1, 2015. The deliverables under the amended agreements are substantially similar to the previous agreement. We account for the amended agreements consistent with the accounting method adopted in September 2013 that allocates the consideration received to the individual products and services delivered based on their relative selling prices (discussed below). The increased value received under the amended agreements increases the amount of deferred revenue for the travel component and increases the value of the other deliverables, which are recognized in other revenue as they are provided.

In September 2013, we modified our marketing agreements with American Express, which required us to change the accounting method for recording SkyMiles sold. Under the previous method, the embedded premium or discount was allocated to the residual products or services in a combined transaction. The new method allocates consideration received based on the relative selling price of each product or service. We determined our best estimate of the selling prices by considering discounted cash flow analysis using multiple inputs and assumptions, including: (1) the expected number of miles awarded and number of miles redeemed, (2) the rate at which we sell mileage credits to other airlines, (3) published rates on our website for baggage fees, discounted access to Delta Sky Club lounges and other benefits while traveling on Delta and (4) brand value. The impact of adopting the relative selling price method re-allocated a portion of the embedded discount to the travel component, lowering the deferral rate we use to record miles sold under the agreements and increasing revenue recognized on the remaining deliverables.

Table of Contents

We recognize revenue as we deliver each sales element. We defer the travel deliverable (mileage credits) as part of frequent flyer deferred revenue and recognize passenger revenue as the mileage credits are used for travel. The revenue allocated to the remaining deliverables is recorded in other revenue. We recognize the revenue for these services as they are performed.

Breakage. For mileage credits that we estimate are not likely to be redeemed (“breakage”), we recognize the associated value proportionally during the period in which the remaining mileage credits are expected to be redeemed. Management uses statistical models to estimate breakage based on historical redemption patterns. A change in assumptions as to the period over which mileage credits are expected to be redeemed, the actual redemption activity for mileage credits or the estimated fair value of mileage credits expected to be redeemed could have a material impact on our revenue in the year in which the change occurs and in future years. At December 31, 2015, the aggregate deferred revenue balance associated with the SkyMiles Program was \$3.9 billion. A hypothetical 1% change in the number of outstanding miles estimated to be redeemed would result in a \$29 million impact on our deferred revenue liability at December 31, 2015.

Goodwill and Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets

We apply a fair value-based impairment test to the carrying value of goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets on an annual basis (as of October 1) and, if certain events or circumstances indicate that an impairment loss may have been incurred, on an interim basis. We assess the value of our goodwill and indefinite-lived assets under either a qualitative or quantitative approach. Under a qualitative approach, we consider various market factors, including the key assumptions listed below. We analyze these factors to determine if events and circumstances have affected the fair value of goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets. If we determine that it is more likely than not that the asset may be impaired, we use the quantitative approach to assess the asset's fair value and the amount of the impairment. Under a quantitative approach, we calculate the fair value of the asset using the key assumptions listed below. If the asset's carrying value exceeds its fair value calculated using the quantitative approach, we will record an impairment charge for the difference in fair value and carrying value.

When we evaluate goodwill for impairment using a quantitative approach, we estimate the fair value of the reporting unit by considering both market capitalization and projected discounted future cash flows (an income approach). When we perform a quantitative impairment assessment of our indefinite-lived intangible assets, fair value is estimated based on (1) recent market transactions, where available, (2) the royalty method for the Delta tradename (which assumes hypothetical royalties generated from using our tradename) or (3) projected discounted future cash flows (an income approach).

Key Assumptions. The key assumptions in our impairment tests include: (1) forecasted revenues, expenses and cash flows, (2) terminal period revenue growth and cash flows, (3) an estimated weighted average cost of capital, (4) assumed discount rates depending on the asset and (5) a tax rate. These assumptions are consistent with those hypothetical market participants would use. Since we are required to make estimates and assumptions when evaluating goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment, actual transaction amounts may differ materially from these estimates. In addition, we consider the amount by which the intangible assets' fair values exceeded their respective carrying values in the most recent fair value measurements calculated using a quantitative approach.

Changes in certain events and circumstances could result in impairment. Factors which could cause impairment include, but are not limited to, (1) negative trends in our market capitalization, (2) reduced profitability resulting from lower passenger mile yields or higher input costs (primarily related to fuel and employees), (3) lower passenger demand as a result of weakened U.S. and global economies, (4) interruption to our operations due to a prolonged employee strike, terrorist attack, or other reasons, (5) changes to the regulatory environment (e.g., diminished slot

restrictions or additional Open Skies agreements), (6) competitive changes by other airlines and (7) strategic changes to our operations leading to diminished utilization of the intangible assets.

We assessed each of the above assumptions in our most recent impairment analyses. The combination of our most recently completed annual results and our projected revenues, expenses and cash flows more than offset any negative events and circumstances. The stabilizing operating environment for U.S. airlines has resulted in annual yields increasing along with load factors, leading to improved financial results.

Goodwill. Our goodwill balance, which is related to the airline segment, was \$9.8 billion at December 31, 2015. Based upon our quantitative assessment of all relevant factors, including applicable factors noted in "Key Assumptions" above, we determined that the fair value of goodwill significantly exceeded the carrying value and, therefore, there was no indication that goodwill was impaired.

Table of Contents

Identifiable Intangible Assets. Our identifiable intangible assets, which are related to the airline segment, had a net carrying amount of \$4.9 billion at December 31, 2015, of which \$4.7 billion related to indefinite-lived intangible assets. Indefinite-lived assets are not amortized and consist primarily of routes, slots, the Delta tradename and assets related to SkyTeam and collaborative arrangements. Definite-lived assets consist primarily of marketing and maintenance service agreements.

In 2015, we performed quantitative assessments, including applicable factors noted in "Key Assumptions" above, of our indefinite-lived intangible assets (excluding six London-Heathrow slot pairs we acquired for \$276 million during the December 2015 quarter) and determined that there was no indication that the assets were impaired as the fair values ranged from approximately 130% to 280% of the carrying values.

We obtained the Pacific routes and slots asset as part of the acquisition of Northwest Airlines in 2008 and is composed of Pacific route authorities and takeoff and landing rights ("slots") at capacity-constrained airports in Asia, including Tokyo-Narita International Airport ("Narita"). This intangible asset supports Delta's Narita hub activities and is essential to Delta's entire Pacific network. Changes in key inputs and assumptions, including (1) new or enhanced joint ventures or alliances, (2) foreign currency exchange rates, (3) fuel costs, legislative or regulatory changes, including changes in access to Tokyo-Haneda airport and (4) Pacific region profitability, could impact the value of this asset in the future.

Long-Lived Assets

Our flight equipment and other long-lived assets have a recorded value of \$23.0 billion at December 31, 2015. This value is based on various factors, including the assets' estimated useful lives and salvage values. We record impairment losses on flight equipment and other long-lived assets used in operations when events and circumstances indicate the assets may be impaired and the estimated future cash flows generated by those assets are less than their carrying amounts. Factors which could cause impairment include, but are not limited to, (1) a decision to permanently remove flight equipment or other long-lived assets from operations, (2) significant changes in the estimated useful life, (3) significant changes in projected cash flows, (4) permanent and significant declines in fleet fair values and (5) changes to the regulatory environment. For long-lived assets held for sale, we discontinue depreciation and record impairment losses when the carrying amount of these assets is greater than the fair value less the cost to sell.

To determine whether impairments exist for aircraft used in operations, we group assets at the fleet-type level (the lowest level for which there are identifiable cash flows) and then estimate future cash flows based on projections of capacity, passenger mile yield, fuel costs, labor costs and other relevant factors. If an impairment occurs, the impairment loss recognized is the amount by which the fleet's carrying amount exceeds its estimated fair value. We estimate aircraft fair values using published sources, appraisals and bids received from third parties, as available.

Income Tax Valuation Allowance

We periodically assess whether it is more likely than not that we will generate sufficient taxable income to realize our deferred income tax assets. We establish valuation allowances if it is not likely we will realize our deferred income tax assets. In making this determination, we consider all available positive and negative evidence and make certain assumptions. We consider, among other things, projected future taxable income, scheduled reversals of deferred tax liabilities, the overall business environment, our historical financial results and tax planning strategies. In evaluating the likelihood of utilizing our net deferred income tax assets, the significant factors that we consider include (1) our recent history of profitability, (2) growth in the U.S. and global economies, (3) forecast of airline revenue trends, (4) estimate of future fuel prices and (5) future impact of taxable temporary differences.

We recorded a full valuation allowance in 2004 due to our cumulative loss position at that time. During 2013, after considering all positive and negative evidence, we concluded that our deferred income tax assets are more likely than not to be realized. Accordingly, we released substantially all of the valuation allowance against our net deferred income tax assets. We recognized an \$8.0 billion benefit in our provision for income taxes, primarily related to the valuation allowance release.

At the end of 2015 our net deferred tax asset balance was \$5.0 billion, against which we maintained a \$56 million valuation allowance, primarily related to state net operating losses, state credits and unrealized losses on investments, which have limited expiration periods.

Table of Contents

Defined Benefit Pension Plans

We sponsor defined benefit pension plans for eligible employees and retirees. These plans are closed to new entrants and frozen for future benefit accruals. As of December 31, 2015, the unfunded benefit obligation for these plans recorded on our Consolidated Balance Sheet was \$11.2 billion. During 2015, we contributed \$1.2 billion to these plans and recorded \$240 million of expense in salaries and related costs on our Consolidated Statement of Operations. In 2016, we estimate we will contribute at least \$1.0 billion to these plans, including \$500 million of contributions above the minimum funding requirements, and that our expense will be approximately \$250 million. The most critical assumptions impacting our defined benefit pension plan obligations and expenses are the discount rate, the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets and life expectancy.

Weighted Average Discount Rate. We determine our weighted average discount rate on our measurement date primarily by reference to annualized rates earned on high-quality fixed income investments and yield-to-maturity analysis specific to our estimated future benefit payments. We used a weighted average discount rate to value the obligations of 4.57% and 4.14% at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Our weighted average discount rate for net periodic pension benefit cost in each of the past three years has varied from the rate selected on our measurement date, ranging from 4.10% to 4.99% between 2013 and 2015.

Expected Long-Term Rate of Return. Our expected long-term rate of return on plan assets is based primarily on plan-specific investment studies using historical market return and volatility data. Modest excess return expectations versus some public market indices are incorporated into the return projections based on the actively managed structure of the investment programs and their records of achieving such returns historically. We also expect to receive a premium for investing in less liquid private markets. We review our rate of return on plan assets assumptions annually. Our annual investment performance for one particular year does not, by itself, significantly influence our evaluation. The investment strategy for our defined benefit pension plan assets is to earn a long-term return that meets or exceeds our annualized return target while taking an acceptable level of risk and maintaining sufficient liquidity to pay current benefits and other cash obligations of the plan. This is achieved by investing in a globally diversified mix of public and private equity, fixed income, real assets, hedge funds and other assets and instruments. Our expected long-term rate of return on assets for net periodic pension benefit cost for the year ended December 31, 2015 was 9%.

The impact of a 0.50% change in these assumptions is shown in the table below:

| Change in Assumption | Effect on 2016 Pension Expense | Effect on Accrued Pension Liability at December 31, 2015 |
|---|--------------------------------|--|
| 0.50% decrease in weighted average discount rate | -\$1 million | +\$1.3 billion |
| 0.50% increase in weighted average discount rate | -\$2 million | -\$1.2 billion |
| 0.50% decrease in expected long-term rate of return on assets | +\$50 million | — |
| 0.50% increase in expected long-term rate of return on assets | -\$50 million | — |

Life Expectancy. We have historically utilized the Society of Actuaries' ("SOA") published mortality data in developing a best estimate of life expectancy. During 2014, the SOA published updated mortality tables for U.S. plans and an updated improvement scale, which both reflect improved longevity. Based on an evaluation of these new tables and our perspective of future longevity, we updated the mortality assumptions in 2014 for purposes of measuring pension and other postretirement and postemployment benefit obligations. The improvement in life expectancy increases our benefit obligations and future expense as benefit payments are paid over an extended period of time. In 2015, we reviewed the mortality assumptions and concluded that the assumptions used in 2014 continue to represent our best estimate of long-term life expectancy. We will continue to review our assumptions on an annual basis.

Funding. Our funding obligations for qualified defined benefit plans are governed by the Employee Retirement Income Security Act. The Pension Protection Act of 2006 allows commercial airlines to elect alternative funding rules (“Alternative Funding Rules”) for defined benefit plans that are frozen. Delta elected the Alternative Funding Rules under which the unfunded liability for a frozen defined benefit plan may be amortized over a fixed 17-year period and is calculated using an 8.85% discount rate. In addition, because of statutory pension funding relief that applies to us, we have until 2031 to fully fund our pension plans.

Table of Contents

While the Pension Protection Act makes our funding obligations for these plans more predictable, factors outside our control continue to have an impact on the funding requirements. Estimates of future funding requirements are based on various assumptions and can vary materially from actual funding requirements. Assumptions include, among other things, the actual and projected market performance of assets, statutory requirements and demographic data for participants. For additional information, see Note 9 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Recent Accounting Standards

Revenue from Contracts with Customers

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2014-09, "Revenue from Contracts with Customers." Under the new standard, revenue is recognized at the time a good or service is transferred to a customer for the amount of consideration received for that specific good or service. Entities may use a full retrospective approach or report the cumulative effect as of the date of adoption.

In August 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-14, "Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606): Deferral of the Effective Date," that deferred the effective date by one year to December 15, 2017 for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after that date. The FASB permitted early adoption of the standard, but not before the original effective date of December 15, 2016. We are currently evaluating how the adoption of this standard will impact our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs

During 2015, the FASB issued ASU Nos. 2015-03 and 2015-15, related to simplifying the presentation of debt issuance costs. These standards amend existing guidance to require the presentation of debt issuance costs in the balance sheet as a deduction from the carrying amount of the related debt liability instead of as a deferred charge. It is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2015, but early adoption is permitted. We early adopted these standards in the December 2015 quarter, which we accounted for as a change in accounting principle and applied the guidance retrospectively. This change results in a reclassification of \$32 million from prepaid expenses and other to current maturities of long-term debt and capital leases and \$84 million from other noncurrent assets to long-term debt and capital leases as of December 31, 2014.

Disclosures for Investments in Certain Entities That Calculate Net Asset Value per Share

In May 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-07, "Disclosures for Investments in Certain Entities That Calculate Net Asset Value per Share (or Its Equivalent)." Under the new standard, investments for which fair value is measured at net asset value per share (or its equivalent) using the practical expedient will no longer be categorized in the fair value hierarchy. It is effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2015, but early adoption is permitted. We will adopt this standard effective January 1, 2016. We are currently assessing how the adoption of this standard will impact our Consolidated Financial Statements as our pension plan has a significant number of investments measured at net asset value.

Balance Sheet Classification of Deferred Taxes

In November 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-17, "Balance Sheet Classification of Deferred Taxes." This standard requires that deferred tax liabilities and assets be classified as noncurrent on the balance sheet. It is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2016, but early adoption is permitted. We early adopted this standard in the December 2015 quarter, which we accounted for as a change in accounting principle and applied the guidance retrospectively. This change results in the reclassification of \$3.3 billion from current assets to deferred

income taxes, net in other assets on our December 31, 2014 Consolidated Balance Sheet.

Financial Instruments

In January 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-01, "Financial Instruments—Overall (Subtopic 825-10)." This standard makes several modifications to Subtopic 825-10 including the elimination of the available-for-sale classification of equity investments, and requires equity investments with readily determinable fair values to be measured at fair value with changes in fair value recognized in net income. It is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2017.

Table of Contents

Our investments in the parent companies of Aeroméxico and GOL are currently accounted for as available-for-sale with changes in fair value recognized in other comprehensive income. At the time of adoption, any amounts in accumulated other comprehensive income/(loss) ("AOCI") related to equity investments would be reclassified to non-operating expense/income. As of December 31, 2015, a net unrealized loss of \$66 million related to these investments was recorded in AOCI on our Consolidated Balance Sheet.

Table of Contents

Supplemental Information

We sometimes use information ("non-GAAP financial measures") that is derived from the Consolidated Financial Statements, but that is not presented in accordance with GAAP. Under the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission rules, non-GAAP financial measures may be considered in addition to results prepared in accordance with GAAP, but should not be considered a substitute for or superior to GAAP results.

The following table shows a reconciliation of pre-tax income (a GAAP measure) to pre-tax income, adjusted for special items (a non-GAAP financial measure). We adjust pre-tax income for the following items to determine pre-tax income, adjusted for special items, for the reasons described below:

MTM adjustments and settlements. MTM adjustments are defined as fair value changes recorded in periods other than the settlement period. Such fair value changes are not necessarily indicative of the actual settlement value of the underlying hedge in the contract settlement period. Settlements represent cash received or paid on hedge contracts settled during the period. These items adjust fuel expense to show the economic impact of hedging, including cash received or paid on hedge contracts during the period. Adjusting for these items allows investors to better understand and analyze our core operational performance in the periods shown.

Restructuring and other. Because of the variability in restructuring and other, the adjustment for this item is helpful to investors to analyze our recurring core performance in the periods shown.

Loss on extinguishment of debt. We adjusted for loss on extinguishment of debt in 2014 to assist investors with their analysis of our recurring core financial performance.

Virgin Atlantic MTM adjustments. We record our proportionate share of earnings from our equity investment in Virgin Atlantic in other expense. We adjust for Virgin Atlantic's MTM adjustments to allow investors to better understand and analyze our core financial performance in the periods shown.

| (in millions) | Year Ended December 31, | |
|--|-------------------------|---------|
| | 2015 | 2014 |
| Pre-tax income | \$7,157 | \$1,072 |
| Adjusted for: | | |
| MTM adjustments and settlements | (1,301 |) 2,346 |
| Restructuring and other | 35 | 716 |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt | — | 268 |
| Virgin Atlantic MTM adjustments | (26 |) 134 |
| Pre-tax income, adjusted for special items | \$5,865 | \$4,536 |

Table of Contents

The following table shows a reconciliation of CASM (a GAAP measure) to CASM-Ex (a non-GAAP financial measure). We adjust CASM for the following items to determine CASM-Ex for the reasons described below:

Aircraft fuel and related taxes. The volatility in fuel prices impacts the comparability of year-over-year financial performance. The adjustment for aircraft fuel and related taxes (including our regional carriers) allows investors to better understand and analyze our non-fuel costs and year-over-year financial performance.

Profit sharing. We adjust for profit sharing because this adjustment allows investors to better understand and analyze our recurring cost performance and provides a more meaningful comparison of our core operating costs to the airline industry.

Restructuring and other. Because of the variability in restructuring and other, the adjustment for this item is helpful to investors to analyze our recurring core performance in the periods shown.

Other expenses. Other expenses include aircraft maintenance and staffing services we provide to third parties, our vacation wholesale operations and refinery cost of sales to third parties. Because these businesses are not related to the generation of a seat mile, we adjust for the costs related to these sales to provide a more meaningful comparison of the costs of our airline operations to the rest of the airline industry.

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|---------|---|
| | 2015 | 2014 | |
| CASM | 13.33 | ¢ 15.92 | ¢ |
| Adjusted for: | | | |
| Aircraft fuel and related taxes | (3.07 |) (5.64 |) |
| Profit sharing | (0.60 |) (0.45 |) |
| Restructuring and other | (0.01 |) (0.30 |) |
| Other expenses | (0.48 |) (0.37 |) |
| CASM-Ex | 9.17 | ¢ 9.16 | ¢ |

Table of Contents

The following table shows a reconciliation of ROIC. We present ROIC as management believes this metric is helpful to investors in assessing our ability to generate returns using its invested capital. ROIC is operating income, adjusted, divided by adjusted average invested capital. We adjust operating income for MTM adjustments and settlements and restructuring and other for the same reasons discussed above for pre-tax income. All adjustments to calculate ROIC are intended to provide a more meaningful comparison of our results to the airline industry and other high-quality industrial companies.

| (in millions, except % of return) | Year Ended December 31, | |
|--|-------------------------|----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 |
| Operating income | \$7,802 | \$2,206 |
| Adjusted for: | | |
| MTM adjustments and settlements | (1,301) | 2,346 |
| Restructuring and other | 35 | 716 |
| 7x annual interest expense included in aircraft rent | 172 | 149 |
| Amortization of retirement actuarial loss | 230 | 112 |
| Operating income, adjusted | \$6,938 | \$5,529 |
| Adjusted book value of equity | \$17,564 | \$18,518 |
| Average adjusted net debt | 6,970 | 8,215 |
| Adjusted average invested capital ⁽¹⁾ | \$24,534 | \$26,733 |
| ROIC | 28.3 | % 20.7 |

⁽¹⁾ Adjusted average invested capital represents the sum of the average book value of equity at the end of the last five quarters, adjusted for pension and fuel hedge impacts within other comprehensive income. Average adjusted net debt is calculated using amounts as of the end of the last five quarters.

The following table shows a reconciliation of adjusted net debt. We use adjusted total debt, including aircraft rent, in addition to long-term adjusted debt and capital leases, to present estimated financial obligations. We reduce adjusted debt by cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments and hedge margin receivable, resulting in adjusted net debt, to present the amount of assets needed to satisfy the debt. Management believes this metric is helpful to investors in assessing the company's overall debt profile. Management has reduced adjusted debt by the amount of hedge margin receivable, which reflects cash posted to counterparties, as we believe this removes the impact of current market volatility on our unsettled hedges and is a better representation of the continued progress we have made on our debt initiatives.

| (in millions) | December 31, 2015 | December 31, 2009 |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|
| Debt and capital lease obligations | \$8,329 | \$17,198 |
| Plus: unamortized discount, net and debt issuance costs | 152 | 1,123 |
| Adjusted debt and capital lease obligations | \$8,481 | \$18,321 |
| Plus: 7x last twelve months' aircraft rent | 1,750 | 3,360 |
| Adjusted total debt | 10,231 | 21,681 |
| Less: cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments | (3,437) | (4,678) |
| Less: hedge margin (receivable) payable | (119) | 10 |
| Adjusted net debt | \$6,675 | \$17,013 |

Table of Contents

Glossary of Defined Terms

ASM - Available Seat Mile. A measure of capacity. ASMs equal the total number of seats available for transporting passengers during a reporting period multiplied by the total number of miles flown during that period.

CASM - (Operating) Cost per Available Seat Mile. The amount of operating cost incurred per ASM during a reporting period.

CASM-Ex - The amount of operating cost incurred per ASM during a reporting period, adjusted for aircraft fuel and related taxes, profit sharing, restructuring and other and other expenses, including aircraft maintenance and staffing services we provide to third parties, our vacation wholesale operations and refinery cost of sales to third parties.

Passenger Load Factor - A measure of utilized available seating capacity calculated by dividing RPMs by ASMs for a reporting period.

Passenger Mile Yield or Yield - The amount of passenger revenue earned per RPM during a reporting period.

PRASM - Passenger Revenue per ASM. The amount of passenger revenue earned per ASM during a reporting period. PRASM is also referred to as "unit revenue."

RPM - Revenue Passenger Mile. One revenue-paying passenger transported one mile. RPMs equal the number of revenue passengers during a reporting period multiplied by the number of miles flown by those passengers during that period. RPMs are also referred to as "traffic."

Table of Contents

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We have market risk exposure related to aircraft fuel prices, interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates. Market risk is the potential negative impact of adverse changes in these prices or rates on our Consolidated Financial Statements. In an effort to manage our exposure to these risks, we enter into derivative contracts and may adjust our derivative portfolio as market conditions change. We expect adjustments to the fair value of financial instruments to result in ongoing volatility in earnings and stockholders' equity.

The following sensitivity analysis does not consider the effects of a change in demand for air travel, the economy as a whole or actions we may take to seek to mitigate our exposure to a particular risk. For these and other reasons, the actual results of changes in these prices or rates may differ materially from the following hypothetical results.

Aircraft Fuel Price Risk

Changes in aircraft fuel prices materially impact our results of operations. We actively manage our fuel price risk through a hedging program intended to reduce the financial impact from changes in the price of jet fuel. We utilize different contract and commodity types in this program and frequently test their economic effectiveness against our financial targets. We closely monitor the hedge portfolio and rebalance the portfolio based on market conditions, which may result in locking in gains or losses on hedge contracts prior to their settlement dates.

Our fuel hedge portfolio consists of options, swaps and futures. The hedge contracts include crude oil, diesel fuel and jet fuel, as these commodities are highly correlated with the price of jet fuel that we consume. Our fuel hedge contracts contain margin funding requirements. The margin funding requirements may cause us to post margin to counterparties or may cause counterparties to post margin to us as market prices in the underlying hedged items change. If fuel prices change significantly from the levels existing at the time we enter into fuel hedge contracts, we may be required to post a significant amount of margin. We may adjust our hedge portfolio from time to time in response to margin posting requirements.

For the year ended December 31, 2015, aircraft fuel and related taxes, including our regional carriers, accounted for \$7.6 billion, or 23.0%, of our total operating expense. We recognized \$741 million of fuel hedge losses during the year ended December 31, 2015, due to unfavorable MTM adjustments.

During the December 2015 quarter, we entered into hedges designed to offset and effectively terminate our existing hedge positions for the March 2016 quarter. In January 2016, we continued this process for substantially all of our positions with contract settlement dates through December 31, 2016. As a result, we have both neutralized our hedge portfolio and locked in cash payments of approximately \$725 million in 2016.

The following table shows the projected cash impact to fuel cost assuming 20% and 40% increases or decreases in fuel prices for the period from February 1, 2016 to December 31, 2016. As a result of effectively terminating our hedge positions as discussed above, the impact of our hedge portfolio due to changes in fuel prices during 2016 is not significant.

| (in millions, except for percentages) | (Increase) Decrease ⁽¹⁾ |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| + 40% | \$(1,630) |
| + 20% | (820) |
| - 20% | 820 |
| - 40% | 1,630 |

(1)

Projections based upon the (increase) decrease to unhedged fuel cost as compared to the jet fuel price per gallon of \$1.01, excluding transportation costs and taxes, at January 31, 2016 and estimated fuel consumption of 3.7 billion gallons for the period from February 1, 2016 to December 31, 2016.

Table of Contents

Interest Rate Risk

Our exposure to market risk from adverse changes in interest rates is primarily associated with our long-term debt obligations. Market risk associated with our fixed and variable rate long-term debt relates to the potential reduction in fair value and negative impact to future earnings, respectively, from an increase in interest rates.

At December 31, 2015, we had \$4.0 billion of fixed-rate long-term debt and \$4.1 billion of variable-rate long-term debt. An increase of 100 basis points in average annual interest rates would have decreased the estimated fair value of our fixed-rate long-term debt by \$160 million at December 31, 2015 and would have increased the annual interest expense on our variable-rate long-term debt by \$39 million, exclusive of the impact of our interest rate hedge contract. We have one interest rate hedge contract with a notional value of \$384 million.

Foreign Currency Exchange Risk

We are subject to foreign currency exchange rate risk because we have revenue and expense denominated in foreign currencies with our primary exposures being the Japanese yen and Canadian dollar. To manage exchange rate risk, we execute both our international revenue and expense transactions in the same foreign currency to the extent practicable. From time to time, we may also enter into foreign currency option and forward contracts. At December 31, 2015, we had open foreign currency forward contracts totaling a \$94 million asset position. We estimate that a 10% depreciation or appreciation in the price of the Japanese yen and Canadian dollar in relation to the U.S. dollar would change the projected cash settlement value of our open hedge contracts by a \$38 million gain or \$46 million loss, respectively, for the year ending December 31, 2016.

Table of Contents

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

| | Page |
|---|-----------|
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | <u>53</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets - December 31, 2015 and 2014</u> | <u>54</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013</u> | <u>55</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013</u> | <u>56</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013</u> | <u>57</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity (Deficit) for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013</u> | <u>58</u> |
| <u>Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | <u>59</u> |
| <u>Note 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies</u> | <u>59</u> |
| <u>Note 2 - Fair Value Measurements</u> | <u>67</u> |
| <u>Note 3 - Investments</u> | <u>68</u> |
| <u>Note 4 - Derivatives and Risk Management</u> | <u>70</u> |
| <u>Note 5 - Intangible Assets</u> | <u>73</u> |
| <u>Note 6 - Long-Term Debt</u> | <u>74</u> |
| <u>Note 7 - Lease Obligations</u> | <u>76</u> |
| <u>Note 8 - American Express Relationship</u> | <u>78</u> |
| <u>Note 9 - Employee Benefit Plans</u> | <u>78</u> |
| <u>Note 10 - Commitments and Contingencies</u> | <u>84</u> |
| <u>Note 11 - Income Taxes</u> | <u>87</u> |
| <u>Note 12 - Equity and Equity Compensation</u> | <u>89</u> |
| <u>Note 13 - Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss</u> | <u>90</u> |
| <u>Note 14 - Segments and Geographic Information</u> | <u>90</u> |
| <u>Note 15 - Restructuring and Other</u> | <u>92</u> |
| <u>Note 16 - Earnings Per Share</u> | <u>93</u> |
| <u>Note 17 - Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)</u> | <u>94</u> |

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of Delta Air Lines, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Delta Air Lines, Inc. (the Company) as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income (loss), cash flows, and stockholders' equity (deficit) for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2015. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Delta Air Lines, Inc. at December 31, 2015 and 2014, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2015, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Delta Air Lines, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (2013 framework) and our report dated February 5, 2016 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

Atlanta, Georgia
February 5, 2016

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Table of ContentsDELTA AIR LINES, INC.
Consolidated Balance Sheets

| (in millions, except share data) | December 31, | |
|--|--------------|----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 |
| ASSETS | | |
| Current Assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$1,972 | \$2,088 |
| Short-term investments | 1,465 | 1,217 |
| Accounts receivable, net of an allowance for uncollectible accounts of \$9 and \$11 at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively | 2,020 | 2,297 |
| Hedge margin receivable | 119 | 925 |
| Fuel inventory | 379 | 534 |
| Expendable parts and supplies inventories, net of an allowance for obsolescence of \$114 and \$127 at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively | 318 | 318 |
| Hedge derivatives asset | 1,987 | 1,078 |
| Prepaid expenses and other | 796 | 701 |
| Total current assets | 9,056 | 9,158 |
| Property and Equipment, Net: | | |
| Property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation and amortization of \$10,871 and \$9,340 at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively | 23,039 | 21,929 |
| Other Assets: | | |
| Goodwill | 9,794 | 9,794 |
| Identifiable intangibles, net of accumulated amortization of \$811 and \$793 at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively | 4,861 | 4,603 |
| Deferred income taxes, net | 4,956 | 7,595 |
| Other noncurrent assets | 1,428 | 926 |
| Total other assets | 21,039 | 22,918 |
| Total assets | \$53,134 | \$54,005 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Current Liabilities: | | |
| Current maturities of long-term debt and capital leases | \$1,563 | \$1,184 |
| Air traffic liability | 4,503 | 4,296 |
| Accounts payable | 2,743 | 2,622 |
| Accrued salaries and related benefits | 3,195 | 2,266 |
| Hedge derivatives liability | 2,581 | 2,772 |
| Frequent flyer deferred revenue | 1,635 | 1,580 |
| Other accrued liabilities | 1,306 | 2,127 |
| Total current liabilities | 17,526 | 16,847 |
| Noncurrent Liabilities: | | |
| Long-term debt and capital leases | 6,766 | 8,477 |
| Pension, postretirement and related benefits | 13,855 | 15,138 |
| Frequent flyer deferred revenue | 2,246 | 2,602 |
| Other noncurrent liabilities | 1,891 | 2,128 |
| Total noncurrent liabilities | 24,758 | 28,345 |

Commitments and Contingencies

Stockholders' Equity:

| | | |
|--|----------|------------|
| Common stock at \$0.0001 par value; 1,500,000,000 shares authorized, 799,850,675 and 845,048,310 shares issued at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively | — | — |
| Additional paid-in capital | 10,875 | 12,981 |
| Retained earnings | 7,623 | 3,456 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (7,275 |) (7,311) |
| Treasury stock, at cost, 21,066,684 and 19,790,077 shares at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively | (373 |) (313) |
| Total stockholders' equity | 10,850 | 8,813 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$53,134 | \$54,005 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

DELTA AIR LINES, INC.

Consolidated Statements of Operations

| (in millions, except per share data) | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Operating Revenue: | | | |
| Passenger: | | | |
| Mainline | \$28,898 | \$28,688 | \$26,534 |
| Regional carriers | 5,884 | 6,266 | 6,408 |
| Total passenger revenue | 34,782 | 34,954 | 32,942 |
| Cargo | 813 | 934 | 937 |
| Other | 5,109 | 4,474 | 3,894 |
| Total operating revenue | 40,704 | 40,362 | 37,773 |
| Operating Expense: | | | |
| Salaries and related costs | 8,776 | 8,120 | 7,720 |
| Aircraft fuel and related taxes | 6,544 | 11,668 | 9,397 |
| Regional carriers expense | 4,241 | 5,237 | 5,669 |
| Aircraft maintenance materials and outside repairs | 1,848 | 1,828 | 1,852 |
| Contracted services | 1,848 | 1,749 | 1,665 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 1,835 | 1,771 | 1,658 |
| Passenger commissions and other selling expenses | 1,672 | 1,700 | 1,603 |
| Landing fees and other rents | 1,493 | 1,442 | 1,410 |
| Profit sharing | 1,490 | 1,085 | 506 |
| Passenger service | 872 | 810 | 762 |
| Aircraft rent | 250 | 233 | 209 |
| Restructuring and other | 35 | 716 | 402 |
| Other | 1,998 | 1,797 | 1,520 |
| Total operating expense | 32,902 | 38,156 | 34,373 |
| Operating Income | 7,802 | 2,206 | 3,400 |
| Non-Operating Expense: | | | |
| Interest expense, net | (481) | (650) | (852) |
| Miscellaneous, net | (164) | (484) | (21) |
| Total non-operating expense, net | (645) | (1,134) | (873) |
| Income Before Income Taxes | 7,157 | 1,072 | 2,527 |
| Income Tax (Provision) Benefit | (2,631) | (413) | 8,013 |
| Net Income | \$4,526 | \$659 | \$10,540 |
| Basic Earnings Per Share | \$5.68 | \$0.79 | \$12.41 |
| Diluted Earnings Per Share | \$5.63 | \$0.78 | \$12.29 |
| Cash Dividends Declared Per Share | \$0.45 | \$0.30 | \$0.12 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

DELTA AIR LINES, INC.

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss)

| (in millions) | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|------------|----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Net Income | \$4,526 | \$659 | \$10,540 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss): | | | |
| Net (loss) gain on foreign currency and interest rate derivatives | (82) | 3 | 482 |
| Net change in pension and other benefits | 163 | (2,194) | 2,984 |
| Net (loss) gain on investments | (45) | 10 | (19) |
| Total Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) | 36 | (2,181) | 3,447 |
| Comprehensive Income (Loss) | \$4,562 | \$(1,522) | \$13,987 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

DELTA AIR LINES, INC.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

| (in millions) | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Cash Flows From Operating Activities: | | | |
| Net income | \$4,526 | \$659 | \$10,540 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 1,835 | 1,771 | 1,658 |
| Hedge derivative contracts | (1,366) | 2,186 | (86) |
| Deferred income taxes | 2,581 | 414 | (7,991) |
| Pension, postretirement and postemployment payments greater than expense | (1,013) | (723) | (624) |
| Restructuring and other | 35 | 758 | 285 |
| Extinguishment of debt | 22 | 268 | — |
| Equity investment (earnings) loss | (35) | 106 | (24) |
| SkyMiles used pursuant to advance purchase under American Express Agreements | — | — | (333) |
| Changes in certain assets and liabilities: | | | |
| Receivables | (56) | (302) | 90 |
| Restricted cash and cash equivalents | 7 | 62 | 231 |
| Fuel inventory | 155 | 172 | (87) |
| Hedge margin | 806 | (922) | 14 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | (102) | 58 | 28 |
| Air traffic liability | 207 | 174 | 426 |
| Frequent flyer deferred revenue | (301) | (238) | (121) |
| Profit sharing | 734 | 264 | 133 |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | (201) | (36) | 80 |
| Other, net | 93 | 276 | 285 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 7,927 | 4,947 | 4,504 |
| Cash Flows From Investing Activities: | | | |
| Property and equipment additions: | | | |
| Flight equipment, including advance payments | (2,223) | (1,662) | (2,117) |
| Ground property and equipment, including technology | (722) | (587) | (404) |
| Purchase of equity investments | (500) | — | (360) |
| Purchase of short-term investments | (998) | (1,795) | (959) |
| Redemption of short-term investments | 739 | 1,533 | 1,117 |
| Acquisition of London-Heathrow slots | (276) | — | (47) |
| Other, net | 25 | 48 | 14 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (3,955) | (2,463) | (2,756) |
| Cash Flows From Financing Activities: | | | |
| Payments on long-term debt and capital lease obligations | (2,558) | (2,928) | (1,461) |
| Repurchase of common stock | (2,200) | (1,100) | (250) |
| Cash dividends | (359) | (251) | (102) |
| Fuel card obligation | (340) | (41) | 147 |
| Payments on hedge derivative contracts | (71) | — | — |
| Proceeds from hedge derivative contracts | 429 | — | — |
| Proceeds from long-term obligations | 1,038 | 1,020 | 268 |
| Other, net | (27) | 60 | 78 |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (4,088) | (3,240) | (1,320) |

| | | | |
|--|---------|---------|---------|
| Net (Decrease) Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents | (116 |) (756 |) 428 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 2,088 | 2,844 | 2,416 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$1,972 | \$2,088 | \$2,844 |
| Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Paid for Interest | \$452 | \$560 | \$698 |
| Non-Cash Transactions: | | | |
| Flight equipment under capital leases | \$111 | \$28 | \$67 |
| Build-to-suit leased facilities | 5 | 7 | 114 |
| American Express advance purchase of restricted SkyMiles | — | — | 285 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

DELTA AIR LINES, INC.

Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity (Deficit)

| (in millions, except per share data) | Common Stock | | Additional Paid-In Capital | Retained Earnings (Accumulated Deficit) | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss | Treasury Stock | | Total |
|--|--------------|--------|----------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|----------------|--------|------------|
| | Shares | Amount | | | | Shares | Amount | |
| Balance at January 1, 2013 | 868 | \$— | \$ 14,069 | \$ (7,389 |) \$ (8,577 |) 16 | \$(234 |) \$(2,131 |
| Net income | — | — | — | 10,540 | — | — | — | 10,540 |
| Dividends declared | — | — | — | (102 |) — | — | — | (102 |
| Other comprehensive income | — | — | — | — | 3,447 | — | — | 3,447 |
| Shares of common stock issued and compensation expense associated with equity awards (Treasury shares withheld for payment of taxes, \$14.97 ⁽¹⁾ per share) | 5 | — | 90 | — | — | 2 | (24 |) 66 |
| Stock options exercised | 6 | — | 73 | — | — | — | — | 73 |
| Stock purchased and retired | (10 |) — | (250 |) — | — | — | — | (250 |
| Balance at December 31, 2013 | 869 | — | 13,982 | 3,049 | (5,130 |) 18 | (258 |) 11,643 |
| Net income | — | — | — | 659 | — | — | — | 659 |
| Dividends declared | — | — | — | (252 |) — | — | — | (252 |
| Other comprehensive loss | — | — | — | — | (2,181 |) — | — | (2,181 |
| Shares of common stock issued and compensation expense associated with equity awards (Treasury shares withheld for payment of taxes, \$31.46 ⁽¹⁾ per share) | 3 | — | 81 | — | — | 2 | (55 |) 26 |
| Stock options exercised | 2 | — | 18 | — | — | — | — | 18 |
| Stock purchased and retired | (29 |) — | (1,100 |) — | — | — | — | (1,100 |
| Balance at December 31, 2014 | 845 | — | 12,981 | 3,456 | (7,311 |) 20 | (313 |) 8,813 |
| Net income | — | — | — | 4,526 | — | — | — | 4,526 |
| Dividends declared | — | — | — | (359 |) — | — | — | (359 |
| Other comprehensive income | — | — | — | — | 36 | — | — | 36 |
| Shares of common stock issued and compensation expense associated with equity awards (Treasury shares withheld for payment of taxes, \$46.83 ⁽¹⁾ per share) | 1 | — | 76 | — | — | 1 | (60 |) 16 |
| Stock options exercised | 2 | — | 18 | — | — | — | — | 18 |
| Stock purchased and retired | (48 |) — | (2,200 |) — | — | — | — | (2,200 |
| Balance at December 31, 2015 | 800 | \$— | \$ 10,875 | \$ 7,623 | \$ (7,275 |) 21 | \$(373 |) \$10,850 |

(1) Weighted average price per share

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of Presentation

Delta Air Lines, Inc., a Delaware corporation, provides scheduled air transportation for passengers and cargo throughout the United States (“U.S.”) and around the world. Our Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of Delta Air Lines, Inc. and our wholly-owned subsidiaries and have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S. (“GAAP”). We do not consolidate the financial statements of any company in which we have an ownership interest of 50% or less. We are not the primary beneficiary of, nor do we have a controlling financial interest in, any variable interest entity. Accordingly, we have not consolidated any variable interest entity.

We have marketing alliances with other airlines to enhance our access to domestic and international markets. These arrangements may include codesharing, reciprocal frequent flyer program benefits, shared or reciprocal access to passenger lounges, joint promotions, common use of airport gates and ticket counters, ticket office co-location and other marketing agreements. We have received antitrust immunity for certain marketing arrangements, which enables us to offer a more integrated route network and develop common sales, marketing and discount programs for customers. Some of our marketing arrangements provide for the sharing of revenues and expenses. Revenues and expenses associated with collaborative arrangements are presented on a gross basis in the applicable line items on our Consolidated Statements of Operations.

We reclassified certain prior period amounts to conform to the current period presentation. Unless otherwise noted, all amounts disclosed are stated before consideration of income taxes.

Use of Estimates

We are required to make estimates and assumptions when preparing our Consolidated Financial Statements in accordance with GAAP. These estimates and assumptions affect the amounts reported in our Consolidated Financial Statements and the accompanying notes. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates.

Recent Accounting Standards

Revenue from Contracts with Customers

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) No. 2014-09, “Revenue from Contracts with Customers.” Under the new standard, revenue is recognized at the time a good or service is transferred to a customer for the amount of consideration received for that specific good or service. Entities may use a full retrospective approach or report the cumulative effect as of the date of adoption.

In August 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-14, “Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606): Deferral of the Effective Date,” that deferred the effective date by one year to December 15, 2017 for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after that date. The FASB permitted early adoption of the standard, but not before the original effective date of December 15, 2016. We are currently evaluating how the adoption of this standard will impact our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs

During 2015, the FASB issued ASU Nos. 2015-03 and 2015-15, related to simplifying the presentation of debt issuance costs. These standards amend existing guidance to require the presentation of debt issuance costs in the balance sheet as a deduction from the carrying amount of the related debt liability instead of as a deferred charge. It is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2015, but early adoption is permitted. We early adopted these standards in the December 2015 quarter, which we accounted for as a change in accounting principle and applied the guidance retrospectively. This change results in a reclassification of \$32 million from prepaid expenses and other to current maturities of long-term debt and capital leases and \$84 million from other noncurrent assets to long-term debt and capital leases as of December 31, 2014.

Table of Contents

Disclosures for Investments in Certain Entities That Calculate Net Asset Value per Share

In May 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-07, "Disclosures for Investments in Certain Entities That Calculate Net Asset Value per Share (or Its Equivalent)." Under the new standard, investments for which fair value is measured at net asset value per share (or its equivalent) using the practical expedient will no longer be categorized in the fair value hierarchy. It is effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2015, but early adoption is permitted. We will adopt this standard effective January 1, 2016. We are currently assessing how the adoption of this standard will impact our Consolidated Financial Statements as our pension plan has a significant number of investments measured at net asset value.

Balance Sheet Classification of Deferred Taxes

In November 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-17, "Balance Sheet Classification of Deferred Taxes." This standard requires that deferred tax liabilities and assets be classified as noncurrent on the balance sheet. It is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2016, but early adoption is permitted. We early adopted this standard in the December 2015 quarter, which we accounted for as a change in accounting principle and applied the guidance retrospectively. This change results in the reclassification of \$3.3 billion from current assets to deferred income taxes, net in other assets on our December 31, 2014 Consolidated Balance Sheet.

Financial Instruments

In January 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-01, "Financial Instruments—Overall (Subtopic 825-10)." This standard makes several modifications to Subtopic 825-10 including the elimination of the available-for-sale classification of equity investments, and requires equity investments with readily determinable fair values to be measured at fair value with changes in fair value recognized in net income. It is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2017.

Our investments in the parent companies of Aeroméxico and GOL are currently accounted for as available-for-sale with changes in fair value recognized in other comprehensive income. At the time of adoption, any amounts in accumulated other comprehensive income/(loss) ("AOCI") related to equity investments would be reclassified to non-operating expense/income. As of December 31, 2015, a net unrealized loss of \$66 million related to these investments was recorded in AOCI on our Consolidated Balance Sheet.

Cash and Cash Equivalents and Short-Term Investments

Short-term, highly liquid investments with maturities of three months or less when purchased are classified as cash and cash equivalents. Investments with maturities of greater than three months, but not in excess of one year, when purchased are classified as short-term investments. Investments with maturities beyond one year when purchased may be classified as short-term investments if they are expected to be available to support our short-term liquidity needs. All short-term investments are classified as either available-for-sale or held-to-maturity and realized gains and losses are recorded using the specific identification method.

Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable primarily consist of amounts due from credit card companies from the sale of passenger airline tickets, customers of our aircraft maintenance and cargo transportation services and other companies for the purchase of mileage credits under our frequent flyer program (the "SkyMiles Program"). We provide an allowance for uncollectible accounts equal to the estimated losses expected to be incurred based on historical chargebacks, write-offs, bankruptcies and other specific analyses. Bad debt expense was not material in any period presented.

Inventories

Spare Parts. Inventories of expendable parts related to flight equipment, which cannot be economically repaired, reconditioned or reused after removal from the aircraft, are carried at moving average cost and charged to operations as consumed. An allowance for obsolescence is provided over the remaining useful life of the related fleet. We also provide allowances for parts identified as excess or obsolete to reduce the carrying costs to the lower of cost or net realizable value. These parts are assumed to have an estimated residual value of 5% of the original cost.

Table of Contents

Refinery. Refined product, feedstock and blendstock inventories, all of which are finished goods, are carried at recoverable cost. We use jet fuel produced by the refinery and procured through the exchange with third parties of gasoline, diesel and other refined products ("non-jet fuel products") the refinery produces in our airline operations. Cost is determined using the first-in, first-out method. Costs include the raw material consumed plus direct manufacturing costs (such as labor, utilities and supplies) as incurred and an applicable portion of manufacturing overhead.

Accounting for Refinery Related Buy/Sell Agreements

To the extent that we receive jet fuel for non-jet fuel products exchanged under buy/sell agreements, we account for these transactions as nonmonetary exchanges. We have recorded these nonmonetary exchanges at the carrying amount of the non-jet fuel products transferred within aircraft fuel and related taxes on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Derivatives

Changes in aircraft fuel prices, interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates impact our results of operations. In an effort to manage our exposure to these risks, we enter into derivative contracts and adjust our derivative portfolio as market conditions change. We recognize derivative contracts at fair value on our Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Not Designated as Accounting Hedges. We do not designate our fuel derivative contracts as accounting hedges. We record changes in the fair value of our fuel hedges in aircraft fuel and related taxes. These changes in fair value include settled gains and losses as well as mark-to-market adjustments ("MTM adjustments"). MTM adjustments are defined as fair value changes recorded in periods other than the settlement period. Such fair value changes are not necessarily indicative of the actual settlement value of the underlying hedge in the contract settlement period.

Designated as Cash Flow Hedges. For derivative contracts designated as cash flow hedges (interest rate contracts and foreign currency exchange contracts), the effective portion of the gain or loss on the derivative is reported as a component of AOCI and reclassified into earnings in the same period in which the hedged transaction affects earnings. The effective portion of the derivative represents the change in fair value of the hedge that offsets the change in fair value of the hedged item. To the extent the change in the fair value of the hedge does not perfectly offset the change in the fair value of the hedged item, the ineffective portion of the hedge is immediately recognized in other expense.

Designated as Fair Value Hedges. For derivative contracts designated as fair value hedges (interest rate contracts), the gain or loss on the derivative is reported in earnings and an equivalent amount is reflected as a change in the carrying value of long-term debt and capital leases, with an offsetting loss or gain recognized in current earnings. We include the gain or loss on the hedged item in the same account as the offsetting loss or gain on the related derivative contract, resulting in no impact to our Consolidated Statements of Operations.

The following table summarizes the risk each type of derivative contract is hedging and the classification of related gains and losses on our Consolidated Statements of Operations:

| Derivative Type | Hedged Risk | Classification of Gains and Losses |
|-------------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| Fuel hedge contracts | Increases in jet fuel prices | Aircraft fuel and related taxes |
| Interest rate contracts | Increases in interest rates | Interest expense, net |
| Foreign currency exchange contracts | Fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates | Passenger revenue |

The following table summarizes the accounting treatment of our derivative contracts:

| Accounting Designation | Impact of Unrealized Gains and Losses | |
|---------------------------------|--|--|
| | Effective Portion | Ineffective Portion |
| Not designated as hedges | Change in fair value of hedge is recorded in earnings | |
| Designated as cash flow hedges | Market adjustments are recorded in AOCI | Excess, if any, over effective portion of hedge is recorded in other expense |
| Designated as fair value hedges | Market adjustments are recorded in long-term debt and capital leases | Excess, if any, over effective portion of hedge is recorded in other expense |

Table of Contents

We perform, at least quarterly, an assessment of the effectiveness of our derivative contracts designated as hedges, including assessing the possibility of counterparty default. If we determine that a derivative is no longer expected to be highly effective, we discontinue hedge accounting prospectively and recognize subsequent changes in the fair value of the hedge in earnings. We believe our derivative contracts that continue to be designated as hedges, consisting of interest rate and foreign currency exchange contracts, will continue to be highly effective in offsetting changes in fair value or cash flow, respectively, attributable to the hedged risk.

Cash flows associated with purchasing and settling hedge contracts generally are classified as operating cash flows. However, if a hedge contract includes a significant financing element at inception, cash flows associated with the hedge contract are recorded as financing cash flows.

Hedge Margin. In accordance with our fuel, interest rate and foreign currency hedge contracts, we may require counterparties to fund the margin associated with our gain position and/or counterparties may require us to fund the margin associated with our loss position on these contracts. The amount of the margin, if any, is periodically adjusted based on the fair value of the hedge contracts. The margin requirements are intended to mitigate a party's exposure to the risk of contracting party default. We do not offset margin funded to counterparties or margin funded to us by counterparties against fair value amounts recorded for our hedge contracts.

The hedge margin we receive from counterparties is recorded in cash and cash equivalents or prepaid expenses and other, with the offsetting obligation in accounts payable. The hedge margin we provide to counterparties is recorded in hedge margin receivable.

Passenger Tickets

We record sales of passenger tickets in air traffic liability. Passenger revenue is recognized when we provide transportation or when the ticket expires unused, reducing the related air traffic liability. We periodically evaluate the estimated air traffic liability and record any adjustments in our Consolidated Statements of Operations. These adjustments relate primarily to refunds, exchanges, transactions with other airlines and other items for which final settlement occurs in periods subsequent to the sale of the related tickets at amounts other than the original sales price.

Passenger Taxes and Fees

We are required to charge certain taxes and fees on our passenger tickets, including U.S. federal transportation taxes, federal security charges, airport passenger facility charges and foreign arrival and departure taxes. These taxes and fees are assessments on the customer for which we act as a collection agent. Because we are not entitled to retain these taxes and fees, we do not include such amounts in passenger revenue. We record a liability when the amounts are collected and reduce the liability when payments are made to the applicable government agency or operating carrier.

Frequent Flyer Program

Our SkyMiles Program offers incentives to travel on Delta. This program allows customers to earn mileage credits by flying on Delta, Delta Connection and airlines that participate in the SkyMiles Program, as well as through participating companies such as credit card companies, hotels and car rental agencies. We sell mileage credits to non-airline businesses, customers and other airlines. Effective January 1, 2015, the SkyMiles program was modified from a model in which customers earn redeemable mileage credits based on distance traveled to a model based on ticket price. This award change did not affect the way we account for the program.

The SkyMiles Program includes two types of transactions that are considered revenue arrangements with multiple deliverables. As discussed below, these are (1) passenger ticket sales earning mileage credits and (2) the sale of

mileage credits to participating companies with which we have marketing agreements. Mileage credits are a separate unit of accounting as they can be redeemed by customers in future periods for air travel on Delta and participating airlines, membership in our Sky Club and other program awards.

Passenger Ticket Sales Earning Mileage Credits. Passenger ticket sales earning mileage credits under our SkyMiles Program provide customers with two deliverables: (1) mileage credits earned and (2) air transportation. We value each deliverable on a standalone basis. Our estimate of the selling price of a mileage credit is based on an analysis of our sales of mileage credits to other airlines and customers, which is re-evaluated at least annually. We use established ticket prices to determine the estimated selling price of air transportation. We allocate the total amount collected from passenger ticket sales between the deliverables based on their relative selling prices.

Table of Contents

We defer revenue for the mileage credits related to passenger ticket sales when the credits are earned and recognize it as passenger revenue when miles are redeemed and services are provided. We record the air transportation portion of the passenger ticket sales in air traffic liability and recognize these amounts in passenger revenue when we provide transportation or when the ticket expires unused.

Sale of Mileage Credits. Customers may earn mileage credits through participating companies such as credit card companies, hotels and car rental agencies with which we have marketing agreements to sell mileage credits. Our contracts to sell mileage credits under these marketing agreements have multiple deliverables, as defined below.

Our most significant contract to sell mileage credits relates to our co-brand credit card relationship with American Express. Our agreements with American Express provide for joint marketing, grant certain benefits to Delta-American Express co-branded credit card holders ("Cardholders") and American Express Membership Rewards Program participants and allow American Express to market using our customer database. Cardholders earn mileage credits for making purchases using co-branded cards, may check their first bag for free, are granted discounted access to Delta Sky Club lounges and receive other benefits while traveling on Delta. These benefits that we provide in the form of separate products and services under the SkyMiles agreements are referred to as "deliverables." Additionally, participants in the American Express Membership Rewards program may exchange their points for mileage credits under the SkyMiles Program. As a result, we sell mileage credits at agreed-upon rates to American Express for provision to their customers under the co-brand credit card program and the Membership Rewards program.

In December 2014, we amended our marketing agreements with American Express which increased the value we receive under the agreements and extended the term to 2022. The amended agreements became effective January 1, 2015. The deliverables under the amended agreements are substantially similar to the previous agreement. We account for the amended agreements consistent with the accounting method adopted in September 2013 that allocates the consideration received to the individual products and services delivered based on their relative selling prices (discussed below). The increased value received under the amended agreements increases the amount of deferred revenue for the travel component and increases the value of the other deliverables, which are recognized in other revenue as they are provided.

In September 2013, we modified our marketing agreements with American Express, which required us to change the accounting method for recording SkyMiles sold. Under the previous method, the embedded premium or discount was allocated to the residual products or services in a combined transaction. The new method allocates consideration received based on the relative selling price of each product or service. We determined our best estimate of the selling prices by considering discounted cash flow analysis using multiple inputs and assumptions, including: (1) the expected number of miles awarded and number of miles redeemed, (2) the rate at which we sell mileage credits to other airlines, (3) published rates on our website for baggage fees, discounted access to Delta Sky Club lounges and other benefits while traveling on Delta and (4) brand value. The impact of adopting the relative selling price method re-allocated a portion of the embedded discount to the travel component, lowering the deferral rate we use to record miles sold under the agreements and increasing revenue recognized on the remaining deliverables.

We recognize revenue as we deliver each sales element. We defer the travel deliverable (mileage credits) as part of frequent flyer deferred revenue and recognize passenger revenue as the mileage credits are used for travel. The revenue allocated to the remaining deliverables is recorded in other revenue. We recognize the revenue for these services as they are performed.

Breakage. For mileage credits that we estimate are not likely to be redeemed ("breakage"), we recognize the associated value proportionally during the period in which the remaining mileage credits are expected to be redeemed. Management uses statistical models to estimate breakage based on historical redemption patterns. A change in assumptions as to the period over which mileage credits are expected to be redeemed, the actual redemption activity

for mileage credits or the estimated fair value of mileage credits expected to be redeemed could have a material impact on our revenue in the year in which the change occurs and in future years.

Table of Contents

Regional Carriers Revenue

Our regional carriers include both our contract carrier agreements with third-party regional carriers ("contract carriers") and our wholly-owned subsidiary, Endeavor. In May 2013, Endeavor emerged from bankruptcy and we became its sole owner pursuant to a confirmed plan of reorganization.

Our contract carrier agreements are structured as either (1) capacity purchase agreements where we purchase all or a portion of the contract carrier's capacity and are responsible for selling the seat inventory we purchase or (2) revenue proration agreements, which are based on a fixed dollar or percentage division of revenues for tickets sold to passengers traveling on connecting flight itineraries. We record revenue related to our contract carriers and Endeavor in regional carriers passenger revenue and the related expenses in regional carriers expense.

Cargo Revenue

Cargo revenue is recognized when we provide the transportation.

Other Revenue

Other revenue is primarily comprised of (1) the non-travel components of the sale of mileage credits discussed above, (2) baggage fee revenue, (3) other miscellaneous service revenue, including ticket change fees, (4) revenue from ancillary businesses, such as the aircraft maintenance and repair and staffing services we provide to third parties and (5) sales of non-jet fuel products to third parties by our oil refinery.

Long-Lived Assets

The following table summarizes our property and equipment:

| (in millions, except for estimated useful life) | Estimated Useful Life | December 31, | |
|--|--|--------------|----------|
| | | 2015 | 2014 |
| Flight equipment | 20-32 years | \$26,057 | \$24,313 |
| Ground property and equipment | 3-40 years | 5,862 | 5,198 |
| Flight and ground equipment under capital leases | Shorter of lease term or estimated useful life | 1,112 | 1,141 |
| Advance payments for equipment | | 879 | 617 |
| Less: accumulated depreciation and amortization ⁽¹⁾ | | (10,871) | (9,340) |
| Total property and equipment, net | | \$23,039 | \$21,929 |

⁽¹⁾ Includes accumulated amortization for flight and ground equipment under capital leases in the amount of \$782 million and \$767 million at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

We record property and equipment at cost and depreciate or amortize these assets on a straight-line basis to their estimated residual values over their estimated useful lives. The estimated useful life for leasehold improvements is the shorter of lease term or estimated useful life. Depreciation and amortization expense related to our property and equipment was \$1.8 billion, \$1.7 billion and \$1.6 billion for each of the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Residual values for owned aircraft, engines, spare parts and simulators are generally 5% to 10% of cost.

We capitalize certain internal and external costs incurred to develop and implement software, and amortize those costs over an estimated useful life of three to seven years. Included in the depreciation and amortization expense discussed above, we recorded \$148 million, \$129 million and \$110 million for amortization of capitalized software for the years

ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The net book value of these assets totaled \$420 million and \$411 million at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

We record impairment losses on flight equipment and other long-lived assets used in operations when events and circumstances indicate the assets may be impaired and the estimated future cash flows generated by those assets are less than their carrying amounts. Factors which could cause impairment include, but are not limited to, (1) a decision to permanently remove flight equipment or other long-lived assets from operations, (2) significant changes in the estimated useful life, (3) significant changes in projected cash flows, (4) permanent and significant declines in fleet fair values and (5) changes to the regulatory environment. For long-lived assets held for sale, we discontinue depreciation and record impairment losses when the carrying amount of these assets is greater than the fair value less the cost to sell.

Table of Contents

To determine whether impairments exist for aircraft used in operations, we group assets at the fleet-type level (the lowest level for which there are identifiable cash flows) and then estimate future cash flows based on projections of capacity, passenger mile yield, fuel costs, labor costs and other relevant factors. If an impairment occurs, the impairment loss recognized is the amount by which the fleet's carrying amount exceeds its estimated fair value. We estimate aircraft fair values using published sources, appraisals and bids received from third parties, as available.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Our goodwill and identifiable intangible assets relate to the airline segment. We apply a fair value-based impairment test to the carrying value of goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets on an annual basis (as of October 1) and, if certain events or circumstances indicate that an impairment loss may have been incurred, on an interim basis. We assess the value of our goodwill and indefinite-lived assets under either a qualitative or quantitative approach. Under a qualitative approach, we consider various market factors, including the key assumptions listed below. We analyze these factors to determine if events and circumstances have affected the fair value of goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets. If we determine that it is more likely than not that the asset may be impaired, we use the quantitative approach to assess the asset's fair value and the amount of the impairment. Under a quantitative approach, we calculate the fair value of the asset using the key assumptions listed below. If the asset's carrying value exceeds its fair value calculated using the quantitative approach, we will record an impairment charge for the difference in fair value and carrying value.

We value goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets primarily using market capitalization and income approach valuation techniques. These measurements include the following key assumptions: (1) forecasted revenues, expenses and cash flows, (2) terminal period revenue growth and cash flows, (3) an estimated weighted average cost of capital, (4) assumed discount rates depending on the asset and (5) a tax rate. These assumptions are consistent with those hypothetical market participants would use. Since we are required to make estimates and assumptions when evaluating goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment, actual transaction amounts may differ materially from these estimates.

Changes in certain events and circumstances could result in impairment. Factors which could cause impairment include, but are not limited to, (1) negative trends in our market capitalization, (2) reduced profitability resulting from lower passenger mile yields or higher input costs (primarily related to fuel and employees), (3) lower passenger demand as a result of weakened U.S. and global economies, (4) interruption to our operations due to a prolonged employee strike, terrorist attack, or other reasons, (5) changes to the regulatory environment (e.g., diminished slot restrictions or additional Open Skies agreements), (6) competitive changes by other airlines and (7) strategic changes to our operations leading to diminished utilization of the intangible assets.

Goodwill. When we evaluate goodwill for impairment using a quantitative approach, we estimate the fair value of the reporting unit by considering both market capitalization and projected discounted future cash flows (an income approach). If the reporting unit's fair value exceeds its carrying value, no further testing is required. If, however, the reporting unit's carrying value exceeds its fair value, we then determine the amount of the impairment charge, if any. We recognize an impairment charge if the carrying value of the reporting unit's goodwill exceeds its estimated fair value.

Identifiable Intangible Assets. Indefinite-lived assets are not amortized and consist of routes, slots, the Delta tradename and assets related to SkyTeam. Definite-lived intangible assets consist primarily of marketing agreements and are amortized on a straight-line basis or under the undiscounted cash flows method over the estimated economic life of the respective agreements. Costs incurred to renew or extend the term of an intangible asset are expensed as incurred.

We assess our indefinite-lived assets under a qualitative or quantitative approach. We analyze market factors to determine if events and circumstances have affected the fair value of the indefinite-lived intangible assets. If we determine that it is more likely than not that the asset value may be impaired, we use the quantitative approach to assess the asset's fair value and the amount of the impairment. We perform the quantitative impairment test for indefinite-lived intangible assets by comparing the asset's fair value to its carrying value. Fair value is estimated based on (1) recent market transactions, where available, (2) the royalty method for the Delta tradename (which assumes hypothetical royalties generated from using our tradename) or (3) projected discounted future cash flows (an income approach). We recognize an impairment charge if the asset's carrying value exceeds its estimated fair value.

Table of Contents

Income Taxes

We account for deferred income taxes under the liability method. We recognize deferred tax assets and liabilities based on the tax effects of temporary differences between the financial statement and tax basis of assets and liabilities, as measured by current enacted tax rates. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recorded net as noncurrent deferred income taxes. A valuation allowance is recorded to reduce deferred tax assets when necessary.

Manufacturers' Credits

We periodically receive credits in connection with the acquisition of aircraft and engines. These credits are deferred until the aircraft and engines are delivered, and then applied as a reduction to the cost of the related equipment.

Maintenance Costs

We record maintenance costs to aircraft maintenance materials and outside repairs. Maintenance costs are expensed as incurred, except for costs incurred under power-by-the-hour contracts, which are expensed based on actual hours flown. Power-by-the-hour contracts transfer certain risk to third-party service providers and fix the amount we pay per flight hour to the service provider in exchange for maintenance and repairs under a predefined maintenance program. Modifications that enhance the operating performance or extend the useful lives of airframes or engines are capitalized and amortized over the remaining estimated useful life of the asset or the remaining lease term, whichever is shorter.

Advertising Costs

We expense advertising costs in passenger commissions and other selling expenses in the year incurred. Advertising expense was approximately \$225 million for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Commissions

Passenger sales commissions are recognized in operating expense when the related revenue is recognized.

Table of Contents

NOTE 2. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

Fair value is defined as an exit price, representing the amount that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. Fair value is a market-based measurement that is determined based on assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability.

Level 1. Observable inputs such as quoted prices in active markets;

Level 2. Inputs, other than quoted prices in active markets, that are observable either directly or indirectly; and

Level 3. Unobservable inputs in which there is little or no market data, which require the reporting entity to develop its own assumptions.

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value are based on the valuation techniques identified in the tables below. The valuation techniques are as follows:

- (a) Market approach. Prices and other relevant information generated by market transactions involving identical or comparable assets or liabilities; and
- (b) Income approach. Techniques to convert future amounts to a single present amount based on market expectations (including present value techniques, option-pricing and excess earnings models).

Assets (Liabilities) Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis⁽¹⁾

| (in millions) | December 31, 2015 | | | Valuation Technique |
|---|-------------------|---------|---------|------------------------|
| | Total | Level 1 | Level 2 | |
| Cash equivalents | \$1,543 | \$1,543 | \$— | (a) |
| Short-term investments | | | | |
| U.S. government and agency securities | 151 | 74 | 77 | (a) |
| Asset- and mortgage-backed securities | 380 | — | 380 | (a) |
| Corporate obligations | 896 | — | 896 | (a) |
| Other fixed income securities | 38 | — | 38 | (a) |
| Restricted cash equivalents and investments | 49 | 49 | — | (a) |
| Long-term investments | 155 | 130 | 25 | (a) |
| Hedge derivatives, net | | | | |
| Fuel hedge contracts | (672) | 65 | (737) | (a)(b) |
| Interest rate contract | (3) | — | (3) | (a)(b) |
| Foreign currency exchange contracts | 94 | — | 94 | (a) |
| (in millions) | December 31, 2014 | | | Valuation Technique |
| | Total | Level 1 | Level 2 | |
| Cash equivalents | \$1,612 | \$1,612 | \$— | (a) |
| Short-term investments | | | | |
| U.S. government securities | 59 | — | 59 | (a) |
| Asset- and mortgage-backed securities | 392 | — | 392 | (a) |
| Corporate obligations | 749 | — | 749 | (a) |
| Other fixed income securities | 17 | — | 17 | (a) |
| Restricted cash equivalents and investments | 37 | 37 | — | (a) |
| Long-term investments | 118 | 90 | 28 | (a) |
| Hedge derivatives, net | | | | |
| Fuel hedge contracts | (1,848) | (167) | (1,681) | (a)(b) |

| | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|----|----|----|----------|
| Interest rate contract | (7 |)— | (7 |) (a)(b) |
| Foreign currency exchange contracts | 73 | — | 73 | (a) |

(1) See Note 9, "Employee Benefit Plans," for fair value of benefit plan assets.

Table of Contents

Cash Equivalents and Restricted Cash Equivalents and Investments. Cash equivalents generally consist of money market funds. Restricted cash equivalents and investments generally consist of money market funds and time deposits, which primarily support letters of credit that relate to certain projected self-insurance obligations and airport commitments. The fair value of these investments is based on a market approach using prices and other relevant information generated by market transactions involving identical or comparable assets.

Short-Term Investments. The fair values of short-term investments are based on a market approach using industry standard valuation techniques that incorporate observable inputs such as quoted market prices, interest rates, benchmark curves, credit ratings of the security and other observable information.

Long-Term Investments. Our long-term investments that are measured at fair value primarily consist of equity investments in Grupo Aeroméxico, the parent company of Aeroméxico, and GOL Linhas Aéreas Inteligentes, the parent company of VRG Linhas Aéreas (operating as GOL). Shares of the parent companies of Aeroméxico and GOL are traded on public exchanges and we have valued our investments based on quoted market prices. The investments are classified in other noncurrent assets.

Hedge Derivatives. A portion of our derivative contracts are negotiated over-the-counter with counterparties without going through a public exchange. Accordingly, our fair value assessments give consideration to the risk of counterparty default (as well as our own credit risk). Such contracts are classified as Level 2 within the fair value hierarchy. The remainder of our hedge contracts are comprised of futures contracts, which are traded on a public exchange. These contracts are classified within Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy.

Fuel Contracts. Our fuel hedge portfolio consists of options, swaps and futures. The hedge contracts include crude oil, diesel fuel and jet fuel, as these commodities are highly correlated with the price of jet fuel that we consume. Option contracts are valued under an income approach using option pricing models based on data either readily observable in public markets, derived from public markets or provided by counterparties who regularly trade in public markets. Volatilities used in these valuations ranged from 31% to 53% depending on the maturity dates, underlying commodities and strike prices of the option contracts. Swap contracts are valued under an income approach using a discounted cash flow model based on data either readily observable or provided by counterparties who regularly trade in public markets. Discount rates used in these valuations vary with the maturity dates of the respective contracts and are based on the London interbank offered rate ("LIBOR"). Futures contracts and options on futures contracts are traded on a public exchange and valued based on quoted market prices.

Interest Rate Contract. Our interest rate derivative is a swap contract, which is valued based on data readily observable in public markets.

Foreign Currency Exchange Contracts. Our foreign currency derivatives consist of Japanese yen and Canadian dollar forward contracts and are valued based on data readily observable in public markets.

NOTE 3. INVESTMENTS

Short-Term Investments

The estimated fair values of short-term investments, which approximate cost at December 31, 2015, are shown below by contractual maturity. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because issuers of the securities may have the right to retire our investments without prepayment penalties.

(in millions)

Available-

| | For-Sale |
|--|----------|
| Due in one year or less | \$344 |
| Due after one year through three years | 905 |
| Due after three years through five years | 116 |
| Due after five years | 100 |
| Total | \$1,465 |

Table of Contents

Long-Term Investments

We have developed strategic relationships with certain airlines through equity investments and other forms of cooperation and support. These strategic relationships are important to us as they improve coordination with these airlines and enable our customers to seamlessly reach more destinations.

Aeroméxico. In order to expand our economic interest in Aeroméxico, we entered into a derivative contract for 58.9 million shares of Aeroméxico's parent company. Through the interest in the derivative, we will participate in the increases and decreases in value of the shares and record those changes in other expense on the Consolidated Statements of Operations. At the maturity date of the derivative contract, we may acquire all or a portion of the shares or settle in cash. If the derivative term is not extended, the derivative will mature in May 2016. We have also announced our intention to commence a tender offer for additional capital stock of Grupo Aeroméxico (the parent company of Aeroméxico) that would result in us owning up to 49% of the outstanding shares.

- GOL. During 2015, we acquired preferred shares of GOL's parent company for \$50 million, increasing our ownership to 9.5% of GOL's outstanding capital stock. Additionally, GOL entered into a \$300 million five-year term loan facility with third parties, which we have guaranteed. Our guaranty is primarily secured by GOL's ownership interest in Smiles, GOL's publicly-traded loyalty program. As GOL remains in compliance with the terms of its loan facility, we have not recorded a liability on our Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2015. In conjunction with these transactions, we and GOL agreed to extend our existing commercial agreements.

GOL's financial performance is closely linked with the Brazilian economy, which has recently experienced GDP contraction, high inflation and a weakening of the Brazilian Real. These challenges and GOL's recent financial performance have caused the fair value of our investment in GOL's parent company shares to decline to \$21 million with the \$84 million loss recorded in AOCI at December 31, 2015. As GOL's shares have traded below our cost basis for the majority of the last year, we evaluated whether the investment was other-than-temporarily impaired. We considered the recent conditions and outlook for both GOL and the broader Brazilian economy and the nature of our investment in GOL. As a result, we determined that the investment was not impaired as GOL's management is implementing plans to maximize operational and network efficiency and control costs, which we anticipate will improve GOL's financial performance. In addition, similar to our investments in other international airlines, GOL is a strategic investment for Delta, which operates as an extension of our global network. We have the intent and ability to hold our investment in GOL for a period of time sufficient to allow for the recovery of its market value.

China Eastern. During 2015, we acquired shares of China Eastern for \$450 million, which provides us with a 3.5% stake in the airline as of December 31, 2015. In conjunction with this transaction, we and China Eastern entered into a new commercial agreement to expand our relationship and better connect the networks of the two airlines. As the investment agreement restricts our sale or transfer of these shares for a period of three years, we will account for the investment at cost during this period. Although China Eastern shares are actively traded on a public exchange, it is not practicable to estimate the fair value of the investment due to the restriction on our ability to sell or transfer the shares.

We have, however, evaluated whether the recent decline in the value of China Eastern's shares would impair our investment. We considered the recent conditions and outlook for both China Eastern and the broader Chinese economy, as well as the nature of our investment in China Eastern. As a result, we determined that the investment was not impaired as the share price decline primarily results from turmoil in the Chinese equity markets and is not specific to China Eastern's financial performance. In addition, similar to our investments in other international airlines, China Eastern is a strategic investment for Delta, which operates as an extension of our global network. We have the intent and ability to hold our investment in China Eastern for a period of time sufficient to allow for the recovery of its market value.

Equity Method Investment

Virgin Atlantic. In June 2013, we purchased a non-controlling 49% equity stake in Virgin Atlantic Limited, the parent company of Virgin Atlantic Airways, for \$360 million. In addition, effective January 1, 2014 we began an antitrust immunized joint venture with Virgin Atlantic, which allows for joint marketing and sales, coordinated pricing and revenue management, network planning and scheduling and other coordinated activities with respect to operations on routes between North America and the United Kingdom. As a result of this relationship, our customers have increased access and frequencies to London's Heathrow airport from points in the U.S., primarily from our hub at New York's JFK airport.

Table of Contents

We account for the investment under the equity method of accounting and recognize our portion of Virgin Atlantic's financial results in other expense in our Consolidated Statements of Operations. As part of the equity method of accounting, we allocated the investment in Virgin Atlantic to (1) our portion of their equity, (2) adjustments in the fair market value of assets and liabilities and (3) implied goodwill.

NOTE 4. DERIVATIVES AND RISK MANAGEMENT

Changes in aircraft fuel prices, interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates impact our results of operations. In an effort to manage our exposure to these risks, we enter into derivative contracts and adjust our derivative portfolio as market conditions change.

Aircraft Fuel Price Risk

Changes in aircraft fuel prices materially impact our results of operations. We actively manage our fuel price risk through a hedging program intended to reduce the financial impact from changes in the price of jet fuel. We utilize different contract and commodity types in this program and frequently test their economic effectiveness against our financial targets. We closely monitor the hedge portfolio and rebalance the portfolio based on market conditions, which may result in locking in gains or losses on hedge contracts prior to their settlement dates. During the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, we recorded fuel hedge losses of \$741 million and \$2.0 billion, respectively.

During 2015, we effectively deferred settlement of a portion of our hedge portfolio until 2016 by entering into fuel derivative transactions that, excluding market movements from the date of inception, would settle and provide approximately \$300 million in cash receipts during the second half of 2015 and require approximately \$300 million in cash payments in 2016. By effectively deferring settlement of a portion of the original derivative transactions, the restructured hedge portfolio provided additional time for the fuel market to stabilize. We early terminated certain of these deferral transactions in 2015. As a result, we reported \$429 million in cash receipts and \$71 million in cash payments associated with these deferral transactions as cash flows from financing activities on our Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the year ended December 31, 2015.

Due to the continued volatility in the fuel market, during January and February 2016, we entered into additional deferral transactions to further defer settlement of a portion of our hedge portfolio until 2017. These deferral transactions, excluding market movements from the date of inception, will settle and provide approximately \$300 million in cash receipts during the second half of 2016 and require approximately \$300 million in cash payments in 2017. We will report the cash receipts and cash payments associated with these deferral transactions as cash flows from financing activities on our Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

During the December 2015 quarter, we entered into hedges designed to offset and effectively terminate our existing hedge positions for the March 2016 quarter. In January 2016, we continued this process for substantially all of our positions with contract settlement dates through December 31, 2016. As a result, we have both neutralized our hedge portfolio and locked in cash payments of approximately \$725 million in 2016, including the deferral transactions discussed above.

Interest Rate Risk

Our exposure to market risk from adverse changes in interest rates is primarily associated with our long-term debt obligations. Market risk associated with our fixed and variable rate long-term debt relates to the potential reduction in fair value and negative impact to future earnings, respectively, from an increase in interest rates.

In an effort to manage our exposure to the risk associated with our variable rate long-term debt, we periodically enter into interest rate swaps. We designate interest rate contracts used to convert the interest rate exposure on a portion of our debt portfolio from a floating rate to a fixed rate as cash flow hedges, while those contracts converting our interest rate exposure from a fixed rate to a floating rate are designated as fair value hedges. During 2014, we terminated our remaining interest rate swap agreements designated as cash flow hedges in connection with the extinguishment of the underlying debt.

We also have exposure to market risk from adverse changes in interest rates associated with our cash and cash equivalents and benefit plan obligations. Market risk associated with our cash and cash equivalents relates to the potential decline in interest income from a decrease in interest rates. Pension, postretirement, postemployment and worker's compensation obligation risk relates to the potential increase in our future obligations and expenses from a decrease in interest rates used to discount these obligations.

Table of Contents

Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Risk

We are subject to foreign currency exchange rate risk because we have revenue and expense denominated in foreign currencies with our primary exposures being the Japanese yen and Canadian dollar. To manage exchange rate risk, we execute both our international revenue and expense transactions in the same foreign currency to the extent practicable. From time to time, we may also enter into foreign currency option and forward contracts. These foreign currency exchange contracts are designated as cash flow hedges.

During 2014, we restructured certain foreign currency exchange contracts by re-hedging exposures at current market levels, resulting in an unrealized gain of \$150 million. The gain on these contracts was recognized during 2015 in accordance with their original contract settlement dates.

Hedge Position as of December 31, 2015

| (in millions) | Volume | | Final Maturity Date | Hedge Derivatives Asset | Other Noncurrent Assets | Hedge Derivatives Liability | Other Noncurrent Liabilities | Hedge Derivatives, net |
|---|--------|--|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|
| Designated as hedges | | | | | | | | |
| Interest rate contract (fair value hedge) | 384 | U.S. dollars | August 2022 | \$ 4 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ (7) | \$ (3) |
| Foreign currency exchange contracts | 46,920 | Japanese yen | July 2018 | 76 | 20 | (1) | (1) | 94 |
| | 395 | Canadian dollars | | | | | | |
| Not designated as hedges | | | | | | | | |
| Fuel hedge contracts | 887 | gallons - crude oil, diesel and jet fuel | November 2017 | 1,907 | 4 | (2,580) | (3) | (672) |
| Total derivative contracts | | | | \$ 1,987 | \$ 24 | \$ (2,581) | \$ (11) | \$ (581) |

Hedge Position as of December 31, 2014

| (in millions) | Volume | | Final Maturity Date | Hedge Derivatives Asset | Other Noncurrent Assets | Hedge Derivatives Liability | Other Noncurrent Liabilities | Hedge Derivatives, net |
|---|--------|--|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|
| Designated as hedges | | | | | | | | |
| Interest rate contract (fair value hedge) | 416 | U.S. dollars | August 2022 | \$ 5 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ (12) | \$ (7) |
| Foreign currency exchange contracts | 77,576 | Japanese yen | October 2017 | 25 | 49 | (1) | — | 73 |
| | 511 | Canadian dollars | | | | | | |
| Not designated as hedges | | | | | | | | |
| Fuel hedge contracts | 3,286 | gallons - crude oil, diesel and jet fuel | December 2016 | 1,048 | 3 | (2,771) | (128) | (1,848) |
| Total derivative contracts | | | | \$ 1,078 | \$ 52 | \$ (2,772) | \$ (140) | \$ (1,782) |

Offsetting Assets and Liabilities

We have master netting arrangements with all of our counterparties giving us the right of setoff. We have elected not to offset the fair value positions recorded on our Consolidated Balance Sheets. The following table shows the potential net fair value positions had we elected to offset.

| (in millions) | Hedge Derivatives | Other Noncurrent | Hedge Derivatives | Other Noncurrent | Hedge Derivatives, |
|---------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|--------------------|
|---------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|--------------------|

Edgar Filing: DELTA AIR LINES INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

| | Asset | Assets | Liability | Liabilities | Net |
|--------------------------|--------|--------|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| December 31, 2015 | | | | | |
| Net derivative contracts | \$ 143 | \$ 21 | \$(737 |)\$(8 |)\$(581 |
| December 31, 2014 | | | | | |
| Net derivative contracts | \$ 29 | \$ 49 | \$(1,723 |)\$(137 |)\$(1,782 |

71

Table of Contents

Designated Hedge Gains (Losses)

Gains (losses) related to our designated hedge contracts during the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 are as follows:

| (in millions) | Effective Portion Reclassified from AOCI to Earnings | | | Effective Portion Recognized in Other Comprehensive (Loss) Income | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|--------|-------|---|------|-------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Interest rate contracts | \$— | \$(31) | \$— | \$— | \$38 | \$28 |
| Foreign currency exchange contracts | 198 | 158 | 135 | (130) | (34) | 133 |
| Total designated | \$198 | \$127 | \$135 | \$(130) | \$4 | \$161 |

As of December 31, 2015, we have recorded \$75 million of net gains on cash flow hedge contracts in AOCI, which are scheduled to settle and be reclassified into earnings within the next 12 months.

Credit Risk

To manage credit risk associated with our aircraft fuel price, interest rate and foreign currency hedging programs, we evaluate counterparties based on several criteria including their credit ratings and limit our exposure to any one counterparty.

Our hedge contracts contain margin funding requirements. The margin funding requirements may cause us to post margin to counterparties or may cause counterparties to post margin to us as market prices in the underlying hedged items change. Due to the fair value position of our hedge contracts, we posted margin of \$119 million and \$925 million as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Our accounts receivable are generated largely from the sale of passenger airline tickets and cargo transportation services, the majority of which are processed through major credit card companies. We also have receivables from the sale of mileage credits under our SkyMiles Program to participating airlines and non-airline businesses such as credit card companies, hotels and car rental agencies. The credit risk associated with our receivables is minimal.

Self-Insurance Risk

We self-insure a portion of our losses from claims related to workers' compensation, environmental issues, property damage, medical insurance for employees and general liability. Losses are accrued based on an estimate of the aggregate liability for claims incurred, using independent actuarial reviews based on standard industry practices and our historical experience.

Table of Contents

NOTE 5. INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets

| (in millions) | Carrying Value at December 31, | |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|
| | 2015 | 2014 |
| International routes and slots | \$2,563 | \$2,287 |
| Delta tradename | 850 | 850 |
| SkyTeam-related assets | 661 | 661 |
| Domestic slots | 622 | 622 |
| Total | \$4,696 | \$4,420 |

International Routes and Slots. Our international routes and slots primarily relate to Pacific route authorities and slots at Tokyo-Narita and London-Heathrow airports. During the December 2015 quarter, we acquired six London-Heathrow slot pairs for \$276 million we previously leased from Air France-KLM.

Domestic Slots. Our domestic slots relate to our slots at New York-LaGuardia and Washington-Reagan National airports.

Changes to our operations could result in an impairment charge or a change from indefinite-lived to definite-lived in the period in which the changes occur or are projected to occur.

Definite-Lived Intangible Assets

| (in millions) | December 31, 2015 | | December 31, 2014 | |
|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|
| | Gross Carrying Value | Accumulated Amortization | Gross Carrying Value | Accumulated Amortization |
| Marketing agreements | \$730 | \$(658) | \$730 | \$(648) |
| Contracts | 193 | (100) | 193 | (92) |
| Other | 53 | (53) | 53 | (53) |
| Total | \$976 | \$(811) | \$976 | \$(793) |

Amortization expense was \$18 million, \$55 million and \$70 million for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively. We estimate that we will incur approximately \$17 million of amortization expense annually through 2020.

Table of Contents

NOTE 6. LONG-TERM DEBT

The following table summarizes our long-term debt:

| (in millions) | Maturity Dates | Interest Rate(s) Per Annum at | | December 31, | |
|--|----------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|---------|
| | | December 31, 2015 | | 2015 | 2014 |
| Pacific Facilities ⁽¹⁾ : | | | | | |
| Pacific Term Loan B-1 ⁽²⁾ | October 2018 | 3.25% | variable ⁽⁴⁾ | \$1,067 | \$1,078 |
| Pacific Term Loan B-2 ⁽²⁾ | April 2016 | 2.67% | variable ⁽⁴⁾ | 388 | 392 |
| Pacific Revolving Credit Facility | 2017 to 2018 | undrawn | variable ⁽⁴⁾ | — | — |
| 2015 Credit Facilities ⁽¹⁾ : | | | | | |
| Term Loan Facility ⁽²⁾ | August 2022 | 3.25% | variable ⁽⁴⁾ | 499 | — |
| Revolving Credit Facility | August 2020 | undrawn | variable ⁽⁴⁾ | — | — |
| 2011 Credit Facilities: | | | | | |
| Term Loan Facility | n/a | n/a | n/a | — | 1,327 |
| Revolving Credit Facility | n/a | n/a | n/a | — | — |
| Financing arrangements secured by aircraft: | | | | | |
| Certificates ⁽³⁾ | 2016 to 2027 | 3.63% | to 9.75% | 3,264 | 3,226 |
| Notes ⁽³⁾ | 2016 to 2027 | 0.83% | to 6.76% | 2,564 | 2,988 |
| Other financings ⁽³⁾⁽⁵⁾ | 2016 to 2031 | 2.24% | to 8.75% | 316 | 458 |
| Other revolving credit facilities ⁽¹⁾ | 2016 to 2017 | undrawn | variable ⁽⁴⁾ | — | — |
| Total secured and unsecured debt | | | | 8,098 | 9,469 |
| Unamortized discount and debt issue cost, net | | | | (152) | (206) |
| Total debt | | | | 7,946 | 9,263 |
| Less: current maturities | | | | (1,415) | (1,075) |
| Total long-term debt | | | | \$6,531 | \$8,188 |

(1) Guaranteed by substantially all of our domestic subsidiaries (the "Guarantors").

(2) Borrowings must be repaid annually in an amount equal to 1% per year of the original principal amount (paid in equal quarterly installments), with the balance due on the final maturity date.

(3) Due in installments.

(4) Interest rate equal to LIBOR (generally subject to a floor) or another index rate, in each case plus a specified margin. Additionally, certain aircraft and other financings are comprised of variable rate debt.

(5) Primarily includes loans secured by certain accounts receivable and real estate.

2015 Debt Refinancing Transaction

In connection with the retirement and termination of the outstanding loans under our existing Senior Secured Credit Facilities ("2011 Credit Facilities"), in the September 2015 quarter, we issued new debt consisting of the new Senior Secured Credit Facilities ("2015 Credit Facilities"), described below, and the 2015-1 pass through certificates ("2015-1 EETC").

2015 Credit Facilities

During 2015, we entered into the 2015 Credit Facilities to borrow up to \$2.0 billion. The 2015 Credit Facilities consist of a \$1.5 billion first-lien revolving credit facility (the "Revolving Credit Facility") and a \$500 million first-lien term loan facility (the "Term Loan Facility"). These transactions coincided with the retirement of \$1.3 billion from the 2011 Term Loan Facility and \$1.2 billion from the 2011 Revolving Credit Facility.

Table of Contents

2015-1 EETC

The details of the 2015-1 EETC, which is secured by 15 aircraft, are shown in the table below:

| (in millions) | Total Principal | Fixed Interest Rate | Issuance Date | Final Maturity Date |
|------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|---------------|---------------------|
| 2015-1 Class AA Certificates | \$313 | 3.625% | August 2015 | July 2027 |
| 2015-1 Class A Certificates | 69 | 3.875% | August 2015 | July 2027 |
| 2015-1 Class B Certificates | 118 | 4.250% | August 2015 | July 2023 |
| Total | \$500 | | | |

Key Financial Covenants

2015 Credit Facilities. Our obligations under the 2015 Credit Facilities are secured by liens on certain of our and the Guarantors' assets, including accounts receivable, aircraft, spare engines, non-Pacific international routes, domestic slots and certain investment property. These assets also secure \$187 million of certain fuel hedging obligations on a pari passu basis (i.e., on equal priority) with the Revolving Credit Facility and the Term Loan Facility. The 2015 Credit Facilities include affirmative, negative and financial covenants that may restrict our ability to, among other things, make investments, sell or otherwise dispose of assets if not in compliance with the collateral coverage ratio tests, pay dividends or repurchase stock. These covenants require us to maintain:

Minimum unrestricted liquidity

| | |
|--|---------------|
| Unrestricted cash, permitted investments and undrawn revolving credit facilities | \$2.0 billion |
|--|---------------|

| | |
|--|--------|
| Minimum collateral coverage ratio ⁽¹⁾ | 1.60:1 |
|--|--------|

(1) Defined as the ratio of (a) certain of the collateral that meet specified eligibility standards to (b) the sum of the aggregate outstanding obligations under the 2015 Credit Facilities and certain other obligations.

Under the 2015 Credit Facilities, if the Minimum Collateral Coverage Ratio is not maintained, we must either provide additional collateral to secure our obligations, or we must reduce the secured obligations under the facilities by an amount necessary to maintain compliance with the collateral coverage ratio. The 2015 Credit Facilities contain events of default customary for similar financings, including cross-defaults to other material indebtedness and certain change of control events. Upon the occurrence of an event of default, the outstanding obligations under the 2015 Credit Facilities may be accelerated and become due and payable immediately.

Pacific Facilities. Our obligations under the Pacific Facilities are secured by a first lien on our Pacific route authorities and certain related assets. The Pacific Facilities include affirmative, negative and financial covenants that could restrict our ability to, among other things, make investments, sell or otherwise dispose of collateral if we are not in compliance with the collateral coverage ratio tests described below, pay dividends or repurchase stock.

| | |
|--|--------|
| Minimum fixed charge coverage ratio ⁽¹⁾ | 1.20:1 |
|--|--------|

Minimum unrestricted liquidity

| | |
|--|---------------|
| Unrestricted cash, permitted investments and undrawn revolving credit facilities | \$2.0 billion |
|--|---------------|

| | |
|--|--------|
| Minimum collateral coverage ratio ⁽²⁾ | 1.60:1 |
|--|--------|

(1) Defined as the ratio of (a) earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, amortization and aircraft rent and other adjustments to net income to (b) the sum of gross cash interest expense (including the interest portion of our capitalized lease obligations) and cash aircraft rent expense, for the 12-month period ending as of the last day of each fiscal quarter.

(2) Defined as the ratio of (a) certain of the collateral that meet specified eligibility standards to (b) the sum of the aggregate outstanding obligations and certain other obligations.

We were in compliance with the covenants on our financing agreements at December 31, 2015.

75

Table of Contents

Availability Under Revolving Credit Facilities

The table below shows availability under revolving credit facilities, all of which were undrawn, as of December 31, 2015:

| | |
|--|---------|
| (in millions) | |
| Revolving Credit Facility | \$1,500 |
| Pacific Revolving Credit Facility | 450 |
| Other revolving credit facilities | 257 |
| Total availability under revolving credit facilities | \$2,207 |

Future Maturities

The following table summarizes scheduled maturities of our debt for the years succeeding December 31, 2015:

| | | | |
|---------------|------------|---|---------|
| (in millions) | Total Debt | Amortization of Debt Discount and Debt Issue Cost, net | |
| 2016 | \$1,442 | \$(40 |) |
| 2017 | 869 | (39 |) |
| 2018 | 2,061 | (35 |) |
| 2019 | 1,189 | (22 |) |
| 2020 | 456 | (4 |) |
| Thereafter | 2,081 | (12 |) |
| Total | \$8,098 | \$(152 |) |
| | | | \$7,946 |

Fair Value of Debt

Market risk associated with our fixed- and variable-rate long-term debt relates to the potential reduction in fair value and negative impact to future earnings, respectively, from an increase in interest rates. The fair value of debt, shown below, is based primarily on reported market values, recently completed market transactions and estimates based on interest rates, maturities, credit risk and underlying collateral. Long-term debt is principally classified as Level 2 within the fair value hierarchy.

| | | |
|---|--------------|---------|
| (in millions) | December 31, | |
| | 2015 | 2014 |
| Total debt at par value | \$8,098 | \$9,469 |
| Unamortized discount and debt issue cost, net | (152 |)(206 |
| Net carrying amount | \$7,946 | \$9,263 |
| Fair value | \$8,400 | \$9,800 |

NOTE 7. LEASE OBLIGATIONS

We lease aircraft, airport terminals, maintenance facilities, ticket offices and other property and equipment from third parties. Rental expense for operating leases, which is recorded on a straight-line basis over the life of the lease term, totaled \$1.2 billion for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 and \$1.1 billion for the year ended December 31, 2013. Amounts due under capital leases are recorded as liabilities, while assets acquired under capital leases are recorded as property and equipment. Amortization of assets recorded under capital leases is included in depreciation and amortization expense. Our airport terminal leases include contingent rents, which vary based upon facility usage, enplanements, aircraft weight and other factors. Many of our aircraft, facility and equipment leases include rental

escalation clauses and/or renewal options. Our leases do not include residual value guarantees and we are not the primary beneficiary in or have other forms of variable interest with the lessor of the leased assets. As a result, we have not consolidated any of the entities that lease to us.

Table of Contents

The following tables summarize our minimum rental commitments under capital leases and noncancelable operating leases (including certain aircraft flown by regional carriers) with initial or remaining terms in excess of one year for the years succeeding December 31, 2015:

Capital Leases

| | |
|--|--------|
| (in millions) | Total |
| 2016 | \$183 |
| 2017 | 114 |
| 2018 | 56 |
| 2019 | 41 |
| 2020 | 29 |
| Thereafter | 39 |
| Total minimum lease payments | 462 |
| Less: amount of lease payments representing interest | (79) |
| Present value of future minimum capital lease payments | 383 |
| Less: current obligations under capital leases | (148) |
| Long-term capital lease obligations | \$235 |

Operating Leases

| | | | |
|------------------------------|--|--|----------|
| (in millions) | Delta Lease Payments ⁽¹⁾ | Contract Carrier Aircraft Lease Payments ⁽²⁾ | Total |
| 2016 | \$1,258 | \$325 | \$1,583 |
| 2017 | 1,105 | 335 | 1,440 |
| 2018 | 993 | 314 | 1,307 |
| 2019 | 899 | 259 | 1,158 |
| 2020 | 814 | 239 | 1,053 |
| Thereafter | 5,839 | 381 | 6,220 |
| Total minimum lease payments | \$10,908 | \$1,853 | \$12,761 |

⁽¹⁾ Includes payments accounted for as construction obligations.

Represents the minimum lease obligations under our contract carrier agreements with Compass Airlines, LLC,

⁽²⁾ ExpressJet Airlines, Inc., GoJet Airlines, LLC, Shuttle America Corporation (“Shuttle America”) and SkyWest Airlines, Inc.

JFK Construction Obligation

In 2015, we completed our redevelopment project at New York-JFK's Terminal 4 to facilitate convenient connections for our passengers and improve coordination with our SkyTeam alliance partners. Terminal 4 is operated by JFK International Air Terminal LLC (“IAT”), a private party, under its lease with the Port Authority of New York and New Jersey (“Port Authority”). In December 2010, we entered into a 33-year agreement with IAT (“Sublease”) to sublease space in Terminal 4. Also, in 2010, the Port Authority issued approximately \$800 million principal amount of special project bonds to fund the majority of the project.

We managed the project and bore the construction risk, including cost overruns. We recorded an asset for project costs (e.g., design, permitting, labor and other general construction costs), regardless of funding source, and a construction obligation equal to project costs funded by parties other than us. Our rental payments reduce the construction obligation and result in the recording of interest expense, calculated using the effective interest method. As of

December 31, 2015, we have recorded \$748 million as a fixed asset and a related construction obligation, respectively.

We have an equity-method investment in the entity which owns IAT, our sublessor at Terminal 4. The Sublease requires us to pay certain fixed management fees. We determined the investment is a variable interest entity and assessed whether we have a controlling financial interest in IAT. Our rights under the Sublease, with respect to management of Terminal 4, are consistent with rights granted to an anchor tenant under a standard airport lease. Accordingly, we do not consolidate the entity in which we have an investment in our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

NOTE 8. AMERICAN EXPRESS RELATIONSHIP

General. Our agreements with American Express provide for joint marketing, grant certain benefits to Delta-American Express Cardholders and American Express Membership Rewards Program participants and allow American Express to market using our customer database. Cardholders earn mileage credits for making purchases using co-branded cards, may check their first bag for free, are granted access to Delta Sky Club lounges and receive other benefits while traveling on Delta.

During the December 2014 quarter, we amended our agreements with American Express resulting in a modification of the terms of these agreements. The multi-year extended agreements became effective January 1, 2015. Previously, during 2013, we amended our agreements with American Express, which modified the products and services provided under the agreements. The amendments changed certain mileage award redemptions and access to Sky Clubs, among other things. For a description of how these amendments changed our accounting, see Note 1 under Frequent Flyer Program.

Annual Sale of Unrestricted SkyMiles. In December 2011, we amended our American Express agreements to sell to American Express \$675 million of unrestricted SkyMiles in each of the four years ending December 31, 2014. The December 2011 amendment also extended the period over which Cardholders could check their first bag for free on Delta flights. The SkyMiles purchased pursuant to the December 2011 amendment could have been used immediately by American Express. The usage of these SkyMiles was not restricted in any way. These annual purchases of SkyMiles were recorded as deferred revenue within current liabilities. The portion of each purchase of SkyMiles related to mileage credits redeemable for future travel was classified within frequent flyer deferred revenue and the portion related to the marketing component was classified within other accrued liabilities. The December 2011 amendment did not change the number of miles that we expected American Express to purchase from us over the four-year period; it only impacted the timing of those purchases.

Fuel Card Obligation. We have a purchasing card with American Express for the purpose of buying jet fuel and crude oil. The card currently carries a maximum credit limit of \$612 million and must be paid monthly. At December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, we had \$221 million and \$561 million, respectively, outstanding on this purchasing card, which was classified as a fuel card obligation within other accrued liabilities.

NOTE 9. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

We sponsor defined benefit and defined contribution pension plans, healthcare plans and disability and survivorship plans for eligible employees and retirees and their eligible family members.

Defined Benefit Pension Plans. We sponsor defined benefit pension plans for eligible employees and retirees. These plans are closed to new entrants and frozen for future benefit accruals. The Pension Protection Act of 2006 allows commercial airlines to elect alternative funding rules (“Alternative Funding Rules”) for defined benefit plans that are frozen. Delta elected the Alternative Funding Rules under which the unfunded liability for a frozen defined benefit plan may be amortized over a fixed 17-year period and is calculated using an 8.85% discount rate. We estimate our funding under these plans will total at least \$1.0 billion in 2016, including \$500 million of contributions above the minimum funding requirements.

Defined Contribution Pension Plans. Delta sponsors several defined contribution plans. These plans generally cover different employee groups and employer contributions vary by plan. The cost associated with our defined contribution pension plans is shown in the Net Periodic Cost table below.

Postretirement Healthcare Plans. We sponsor healthcare plans that provide benefits to eligible retirees and their dependents who are under age 65. We have generally eliminated company-paid post age 65 healthcare coverage, except for (1) subsidies available to a limited group of retirees and their dependents and (2) a group of retirees who retired prior to 1987. Benefits under these plans are funded from current assets and employee contributions.

Postemployment Plans. We provide certain other welfare benefits to eligible former or inactive employees after employment but before retirement, primarily as part of the disability and survivorship plans. Substantially all employees are eligible for benefits under these plans in the event of death and/or disability.

Table of Contents

Benefit Obligations, Fair Value of Plan Assets and Funded Status

| (in millions) | Pension Benefits | | Other Postretirement and Postemployment Benefits | |
|--|------------------|-------------|--|------------|
| | December 31, | | December 31, | |
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 |
| Benefit obligation at beginning of period | \$21,856 | \$19,060 | \$3,487 | \$3,205 |
| Service cost | — | — | 62 | 52 |
| Interest cost | 884 | 928 | 141 | 155 |
| Actuarial (gain) loss | (1,061 |)2,923 | (88 |)338 |
| Benefits paid, including lump sums and annuities | (1,059 |) (1,055 |) (302 |) (307 |
| Participant contributions | — | — | 36 | 44 |
| Settlements | (9 |)— | — | — |
| Benefit obligation at end of period ⁽¹⁾ | \$20,611 | \$21,856 | \$3,336 | \$3,487 |
| Fair value of plan assets at beginning of period | \$9,355 | \$8,937 | \$982 | \$1,043 |
| Actual (loss) gain on plan assets | (132 |)556 | (25 |)57 |
| Employer contributions | 1,219 | 917 | 210 | 160 |
| Participant contributions | — | — | 36 | 44 |
| Benefits paid, including lump sums and annuities | (1,059 |) (1,055 |) (319 |) (322 |
| Settlements | (9 |)— | — | — |
| Fair value of plan assets at end of period | \$9,374 | \$9,355 | \$884 | \$982 |
| Funded status at end of period | \$(11,237 |) \$(12,501 |) \$(2,452 |) \$(2,505 |

⁽¹⁾ At the end of each year presented, our accumulated benefit obligations for our pension plans are equal to the benefit obligations shown above.

During 2015, net actuarial gains decreased our benefit obligation by \$1.1 billion. This decrease is primarily due to the increase in discount rates from 2014 to 2015. These gains are recorded in AOCI and reflected in the table below. During 2014, net actuarial losses increased our benefit obligation by \$3.3 billion. The increase in benefit obligation is primarily due to the decrease in discount rates from 2013 to 2014 and changes in life expectancy assumptions. These losses are recorded in AOCI and reflected in the table below. For additional information about life expectancy assumptions, see “Life Expectancy” below.

A net actuarial loss of \$231 million will be amortized from AOCI into net periodic benefit cost in 2016. Amounts are generally amortized from AOCI over the expected future lifetime of plan participants.

Balance Sheet Position

| (in millions) | Pension Benefits | | Other Postretirement and Postemployment Benefits | |
|------------------------|------------------|-------------|--|------------|
| | December 31, | | December 31, | |
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 |
| Current liabilities | \$(27 |) \$(28 |) \$(139 |) \$(139 |
| Noncurrent liabilities | (11,210 |) (12,473 |) (2,313 |) (2,366 |
| Total liabilities | \$(11,237 |) \$(12,501 |) \$(2,452 |) \$(2,505 |
| Net actuarial loss | \$(8,124 |) \$(8,409 |) \$(458 |) \$(465 |
| Prior service credit | — | — | 109 | 135 |

| | | | | |
|---|-------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|
| Total accumulated other comprehensive loss, pre-tax | \$ (8,124) | \$ (8,409) | \$ (349) | \$ (330) |
|---|-------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|

Table of Contents

Net Periodic Cost

| (in millions) | Pension Benefits | | | Other Postretirement and Postemployment Benefits | | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------|-------|--|-------|-------|
| | Year Ended December 31, | | | Year Ended December 31, | | |
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Service cost | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$62 | \$52 | \$49 |
| Interest cost | 884 | 928 | 861 | 141 | 155 | 143 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (879 |)(829 |)(734 |)(81 |)(84 |)(84 |
| Amortization of prior service credit | — | — | — | (26 |)(26 |)(26 |
| Recognized net actuarial loss | 232 | 134 | 221 | 24 | 4 | 25 |
| Settlements | 3 | — | 6 | — | — | — |
| Net periodic cost | \$240 | \$233 | \$354 | \$120 | \$101 | \$107 |
| Defined contribution plan costs | 592 | 551 | 490 | — | — | — |
| Total cost | \$832 | \$784 | \$844 | \$120 | \$101 | \$107 |

Assumptions

We used the following actuarial assumptions to determine our benefit obligations and our net periodic cost for the periods presented:

| Benefit Obligations ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|-------|---------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | |
| Weighted average discount rate | 4.57 | %4.14 | % |
| Net Periodic Cost ⁽²⁾ | Year Ended December 31, | | |
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Weighted average discount rate - pension benefit | 4.13 | %4.99 | %4.10 % |
| Weighted average discount rate - other postretirement benefit ⁽³⁾ | 4.13 | %4.88 | %4.00 % |
| Weighted average discount rate - other postemployment benefit | 4.13 | %5.00 | %4.13 % |
| Weighted average expected long-term rate of return on plan assets | 8.94 | %8.94 | %8.94 % |
| Assumed healthcare cost trend rate ⁽⁴⁾ | 7.00 | %7.00 | %7.00 % |

(1) Our 2015 and 2014 benefit obligations are measured using a mortality table projected to 2022.

(2) Future compensation levels do not impact our frozen defined benefit pension plans or other postretirement plans and impact only a small portion of our other postemployment liability.

(3) Our assumptions reflect various remeasurements of certain portions of our obligations and represent the weighted average of the assumptions used for each measurement date.

(4) Assumed healthcare cost trend rate at December 31, 2015 is assumed to decline gradually to 5.00% by 2024 and remain level thereafter.

Healthcare Cost Trend Rate. Assumed healthcare cost trend rates have an effect on the amounts reported for the other postretirement benefit plans. A 1% change in the healthcare cost trend rate used in measuring the accumulated plan benefit obligation for these plans, which provide benefits to eligible retirees and their dependents who are under age 65, at December 31, 2015, would have the following effects:

| (in millions) | 1% Increase | 1% Decrease |
|--|-------------|-------------|
| Increase (decrease) in total service and interest cost | \$1 | \$(1) |
| Increase (decrease) in the accumulated plan benefit obligation | 12 | (25) |

Table of Contents

Expected Long-Term Rate of Return. Our expected long-term rate of return on plan assets is based primarily on plan-specific investment studies using historical market return and volatility data. Modest excess return expectations versus some public market indices are incorporated into the return projections based on the actively managed structure of the investment programs and their records of achieving such returns historically. We also expect to receive a premium for investing in less liquid private markets. We review our rate of return on plan assets assumptions annually. Our annual investment performance for one particular year does not, by itself, significantly influence our evaluation. The investment strategy for our defined benefit pension plan assets is to earn a long-term return that meets or exceeds our annualized return target while taking an acceptable level of risk and maintaining sufficient liquidity to pay current benefits and other cash obligations of the plan. This is achieved by investing in a globally diversified mix of public and private equity, fixed income, real assets, hedge funds and other assets and instruments. Our expected long-term rate of return on assets for net periodic pension benefit cost for the year ended December 31, 2015 was 9%.

Life Expectancy. We have historically utilized the Society of Actuaries' ("SOA") published mortality data in developing a best estimate of life expectancy. During 2014, the SOA published updated mortality tables for U.S. plans and an updated improvement scale, which both reflect improved longevity. Based on an evaluation of these new tables and our perspective of future longevity, we updated the mortality assumptions in 2014 for purposes of measuring pension and other postretirement and postemployment benefit obligations. The improvement in life expectancy increases our benefit obligations and future expense as benefit payments are paid over an extended period of time. In 2015, we reviewed the mortality assumptions and concluded that the assumptions used in 2014 continue to represent our best estimate of long-term life expectancy. We will continue to review our assumptions on an annual basis.

Benefit Payments

Benefit payments in the table below are based on the same assumptions used to measure the related benefit obligations. Actual benefit payments may vary significantly from these estimates. Benefits earned under our pension plans and certain postemployment benefit plans are expected to be paid from funded benefit plan trusts, while our other postretirement benefits are funded from current assets.

The following table summarizes, the benefit payments that are scheduled to be paid in the years ending December 31:

| (in millions) | Pension Benefits | Other Postretirement and Postemployment Benefits |
|---------------|------------------|---|
| 2016 | \$1,154 | \$286 |
| 2017 | 1,158 | 281 |
| 2018 | 1,180 | 271 |
| 2019 | 1,199 | 267 |
| 2020 | 1,219 | 268 |
| 2021-2025 | 6,343 | 1,335 |

Plan Assets

We have adopted and implemented investment policies for our defined benefit pension plans that incorporate strategic asset allocation mixes intended to best meet the plans' long-term obligations, while maintaining an appropriate level of risk and liquidity. These asset portfolios employ a diversified mix of investments, which are reviewed periodically. Active management strategies are utilized where feasible in an effort to realize investment returns in excess of market indices. Derivatives in the plans are primarily used to manage risk and gain asset class exposure while still maintaining liquidity. As part of these strategies, the plans are required to hold cash collateral associated with certain derivatives. Our investment strategies target a mix of 40-50% growth-seeking assets, 20-30%

income-generating assets and 25-30% risk-diversifying assets. Risk diversifying assets include hedged mandates implementing long-short, market neutral and relative value strategies that invest primarily in publicly-traded equity, fixed income, foreign currency and commodity securities and derivatives and are used to improve the impact of active management on the plans.

Table of Contents

Benefit Plan Assets Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

Benefit Plan Assets. Benefit plan assets relate to our defined benefit pension plans and certain of our postemployment benefit plans that are funded through trusts. The following table shows our benefit plan assets by asset class. These investments are presented net of the related benefit obligation in pension, postretirement and related benefits on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. See Note 2 for a description of the levels within the fair value hierarchy and associated valuation techniques used to measure fair value.

| (in millions) | December 31, 2015 | | | | December 31, 2014 | | | | Valuation Technique |
|---|-------------------|---------|---------|----------|-------------------|---------|---------|----------|---------------------|
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total | |
| Equities and equity-related instruments | \$2,067 | \$50 | \$101 | \$2,218 | \$699 | \$1,486 | \$— | \$2,185 | (a) |
| Fixed income and fixed income-related instruments | | | | | | | | | |
| Sovereign fixed income | 8 | 78 | — | 86 | — | — | — | — | (a)(b) |
| Credit-related fixed income | — | 761 | 97 | 858 | — | 470 | 124 | 594 | (a)(b) |
| Other fixed income | 8 | 143 | 23 | 174 | 18 | 617 | — | 635 | (a)(b) |
| Private equity | — | — | 1,030 | 1,030 | — | — | 1,213 | 1,213 | (a)(b) |
| Real assets | — | — | 635 | 635 | — | — | 663 | 663 | (a)(b) |
| Hedge funds | — | 19 | 2,971 | 2,990 | 31 | — | 2,214 | 2,245 | (a)(b) |
| Cash equivalents | — | 1,700 | — | 1,700 | 4 | 2,428 | — | 2,432 | (a) |
| Other | — | 2 | 337 | 339 | — | — | 384 | 384 | (a)(b) |
| Total benefit plan assets | \$2,083 | \$2,753 | \$5,194 | \$10,030 | \$752 | \$5,001 | \$4,598 | \$10,351 | |

Equities and Equity-Related Instruments. Investments include common stock, commingled funds invested in common stock and equity-related instruments. Common stock is valued at the closing price reported on the active market on which the individual securities are traded. Commingled funds are valued using the net asset value divided by the number of shares outstanding, which is based on quoted market prices of the underlying assets owned by the fund. Equity-related instruments include investments in securities traded on exchanges, including listed futures and options, which are valued at the last reported sale prices on the last business day of the year or, if not available, the last reported bid prices. Over-the-counter securities are valued at the bid prices or the average of the bid and ask prices on the last business day of the year from published sources or, if not available, from other sources considered reliable, generally broker quotes.

Fixed Income and Fixed Income-Related Instruments. Investments include corporate bonds, government bonds, collateralized mortgage obligations and other asset-backed securities. These investments are generally valued at the bid price or the average of the bid and ask price. Prices are based on pricing models, quoted prices of securities with similar characteristics or broker quotes. Fixed income-related instruments include investments in securities traded on exchanges, including listed futures and options, which are valued at the last reported sale prices on the last business day of the year or, if not available, the last reported bid prices. Over-the-counter securities are valued at the bid prices or the average of the bid and ask prices on the last business day of the year from published sources or, if not available, from other sources considered reliable, generally broker quotes.

Private Equity and Real Assets. Real assets include real estate, energy, timberland and agriculture. The valuation of private equity and real assets requires significant judgment due to the absence of quoted market prices as well as the inherent lack of liquidity and the long-term nature of these assets. Investments are valued based on valuation models where one or more of the significant inputs into the model cannot be observed and which require the development of assumptions. We also assess the potential for adjustment to the fair value of these investments due to the lag in the availability of data. In these cases, we solicit preliminary valuation updates from the investment managers and use that information and corroborating data from public markets to determine any needed adjustments to estimate fair value.

Hedge Funds. Our hedge fund investments are primarily made through shares of limited partnerships or similar structures for which a liquid secondary market does not exist. Hedge funds are primarily considered Level 3 assets. Hedge funds are typically valued monthly by third-party administrators that have been appointed by the funds' general partners.

Cash Equivalents. These investments primarily consist of high-quality, short-term obligations that are a part of institutional money market mutual funds. The funds' market-based net asset value per share is calculated using current market quotations or an appropriate substitute that reflects current market conditions.

82

Table of Contents

Other. Primarily globally-diversified, risk-managed commingled funds consisting mainly of equity, fixed income and commodity exposures.

Changes in Level 3. The following table shows the changes in our benefit plan assets classified in Level 3:

| (in millions) | Private Equity | Real Estate | Hedge Funds | Fixed Income | Other | Total |
|--|-------------------|-------------|----------------|-----------------|-------|---------|
| Balance at January 1, 2014 | \$1,366 | \$688 | \$552 | \$59 | \$— | \$2,665 |
| Actual return on plan assets: | | | | | | |
| Related to assets still held at the reporting date | (116 |)(39 |)167 | (17 |)(9 |)(14 |
| Related to assets sold during the period | 107 | 37 | 38 | 1 | — | 183 |
| Purchases, sales and settlements, net | (144 |)(23 |)1,457 | 81 | 393 | 1,764 |
| Balance at December 31, 2014 | 1,213 | 663 | 2,214 | 124 | 384 | 4,598 |
| Actual return on plan assets: | | | | | | |
| Related to assets still held at the reporting date | (148 |)(50 |)22 | (22 |)(39 |)(237 |
| Related to assets sold during the period | 247 | 69 | 33 | 9 | 1 | 359 |
| Purchases, sales and settlements, net | (282 |)(47 |)702 | 9 | 92 | 474 |
| Balance at December 31, 2015 | \$1,030 | \$635 | \$2,971 | \$120 | \$438 | \$5,194 |

Other

We also sponsor defined benefit pension plans for eligible employees in certain foreign countries. These plans did not have a material impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements in any period presented.

Profit Sharing Program

Our broad-based employee profit sharing program provides that, for each year in which we have an annual pre-tax profit, as defined by the terms of the program, we will pay a specified portion of that profit to employees. In determining the amount of profit sharing, the program defines profit as pre-tax profit adjusted for profit sharing and certain other items. For years prior to 2016, our profit sharing program paid 10% to employees for the first \$2.5 billion of annual profit and 20% of annual profit above \$2.5 billion. For the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, we recorded expenses of \$1.5 billion, \$1.1 billion and \$506 million under the profit sharing program, respectively.

During the September 2015 quarter, we announced certain changes to employee compensation. Beginning with 2016 pre-tax profit (to be paid out in 2017), the profit sharing formula will be adjusted to pay 10% of annual pre-tax profit (as defined by the terms of the program) and, if we exceed our prior-year results, the program will pay 20% of the year-over-year increase in pre-tax profit to eligible employees. The profit sharing program for pilots remains unchanged and will continue in 2016 under its terms.

Table of Contents

NOTE 10. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Aircraft Purchase and Lease Commitments

At December 31, 2015, future aircraft purchase commitments totaled approximately \$13.6 billion and included 70 B-737-900ER, 45 A321-200, 25 A330-900neo, 25 A350-900, 19 E190-100, 18 B-787-8 and six A330-300 aircraft. We have obtained, but are under no obligation to use, long-term financing commitments for a substantial portion of the purchase price of all of these aircraft, except for the 18 B-787-8 aircraft. Our purchase commitment for the 18 B-787-8 aircraft provides for certain aircraft substitution rights, including for our current orders of B-737-900ER aircraft.

| (in millions) | Total |
|---------------|----------|
| 2016 | \$2,140 |
| 2017 | 2,500 |
| 2018 | 2,510 |
| 2019 | 1,500 |
| 2020 | 1,900 |
| Thereafter | 3,010 |
| Total | \$13,560 |

In addition, we have agreements with Southwest Airlines and The Boeing Company to lease one additional B-717-200 aircraft, which will be delivered during the March 2016 quarter and completes the 88 B-717-200 aircraft we agreed to lease.

Contract Carrier Agreements

We have contract carrier agreements with regional carriers expiring from 2016 to 2024.

Capacity Purchase Agreements. Most of our contract carriers operate for us under capacity purchase agreements. Under these agreements, the contract carriers operate some or all of their aircraft using our flight designator codes, and we control the scheduling, pricing, reservations, ticketing and seat inventories of those aircraft and retain the revenues associated with those flights. We pay those airlines an amount, as defined in the applicable agreement, which is based on a determination of their cost of operating those flights and other factors intended to approximate market rates for those services.

The following table shows our minimum fixed obligations under our existing capacity purchase agreements with third-party regional carriers. The obligations set forth in the table contemplate minimum levels of flying by the contract carriers under the respective agreements and also reflect assumptions regarding certain costs associated with the minimum levels of flying such as the cost of fuel, labor, maintenance, insurance, catering, property tax and landing fees. Accordingly, our actual payments under these agreements could differ materially from the minimum fixed obligations set forth in the table below.

| (in millions) | Amount ⁽¹⁾ |
|---------------|-----------------------|
| 2016 | \$2,160 |
| 2017 | 2,270 |
| 2018 | 2,110 |
| 2019 | 1,960 |
| 2020 | 1,650 |
| Thereafter | 2,170 |
| Total | \$12,320 |

These amounts exclude contract carrier payments accounted for as operating leases of aircraft, which are described⁽¹⁾ in Note 7. The contingencies described below under “Contingencies Related to Termination of Shuttle America Agreements” are also excluded from this table.

Revenue Proration Agreement. As of December 31, 2015, a portion of our contract carrier agreement with SkyWest Airlines, Inc. is structured as a revenue proration agreement. This revenue proration agreement establishes a fixed dollar or percentage division of revenues for tickets sold to passengers traveling on connecting flight itineraries.

Table of Contents

Contingencies Related to Termination of Shuttle America Agreements

We have two capacity purchase agreements with Shuttle America, one that relates to its operation of Embraer 145s and one that relates to its operation of Embraer 170/175 aircraft. The Embraer 145 aircraft were operated by Chautauqua Airlines at December 31, 2014 and assigned with our consent to Shuttle America in January 2015. By providing required advance notice, we may terminate the Embraer 145 agreement and/or the Embraer 170/175 agreement without cause at any time. If we terminate either of the agreements without cause, Shuttle America has the right to (1) assign to us certain leased aircraft that the airline operates for us, provided we are able to continue the leases on the same terms the airline had prior to the assignment and (2) require us to purchase or lease certain of the aircraft the airline owns and operates for us at the time of the termination. If we are required to purchase aircraft owned by Shuttle America, the purchase price would be equal to the amount necessary to (1) reimburse Shuttle America for the equity it provided to purchase the aircraft and (2) repay in full any debt outstanding at such time that is not being assumed in connection with such purchase. If we are required to lease aircraft owned by Shuttle America, the lease would have (1) a rate equal to the aircraft-related debt payments of Shuttle America as if 90% of the aircraft was financed by Shuttle America and (2) other specified terms and conditions. Because these contingencies depend on our termination of the agreements without cause prior to their expiration dates, no obligation exists unless such termination occurs.

We estimate that the total fair values, determined as of December 31, 2015, of the aircraft Shuttle America could assign to us or require that we purchase if we terminate without cause our contract carrier agreements are approximately \$90 million with respect to the Embraer 145 aircraft and \$184 million with respect to the Embraer 170/175 aircraft. The actual amount we may be required to pay in these circumstances may be materially different from these estimates. If Shuttle America exercises this right, we must also pay Shuttle America 10% interest (compounded monthly) on the equity it provided when it purchased the aircraft.

Venezuelan Currency Devaluation

During the December 2015 quarter, we devalued the unrestricted cash held in Venezuela based upon the prevailing market exchange rate of 200 bolivars per U.S. dollar as we will not be able to repatriate those funds at the previous original exchange rate. As a result of the devaluation, we recorded a \$72 million charge in miscellaneous, net within non-operating expense, related to sales in 2013 and 2014. As of December 31, 2015, the remaining balance of Venezuelan bolivars recorded in unrestricted cash on our Consolidated Balance Sheet is de minimis. We now only accept U.S. dollars as payment for tickets on Venezuela flights.

Legal Contingencies

We are involved in various legal proceedings related to employment practices, environmental issues, antitrust matters and other matters concerning our business. We record liabilities for losses from legal proceedings when we determine that it is probable that the outcome in a legal proceeding will be unfavorable and the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated. Although the outcome of the legal proceedings in which we are involved cannot be predicted with certainty, management believes that the resolution of current matters will not have a material adverse effect on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Credit Card Processing Agreements

Our VISA/MasterCard and American Express credit card processing agreements provide that no cash reserve ("Reserve") is required, and no withholding of payment related to receivables collected will occur, except in certain circumstances, including when we do not maintain a required level of liquidity as outlined in the merchant processing agreements. In circumstances in which the credit card processor can establish a Reserve or withhold payments, the

amount of the Reserve or payments that may be withheld would be equal to the potential liability of the credit card processor for tickets purchased with VISA/MasterCard or American Express credit cards, as applicable, that had not yet been used for travel. We did not have a Reserve or an amount withheld as of December 31, 2015 and 2014.

Table of Contents

Other Contingencies

General Indemnifications

We are the lessee under many commercial real estate leases. It is common in these transactions for us, as the lessee, to agree to indemnify the lessor and the lessor's related parties for tort, environmental and other liabilities that arise out of or relate to our use or occupancy of the leased premises. This type of indemnity would typically make us responsible to indemnified parties for liabilities arising out of the conduct of, among others, contractors, licensees and invitees at, or in connection with, the use or occupancy of the leased premises. This indemnity often extends to related liabilities arising from the negligence of the indemnified parties, but usually excludes any liabilities caused by either their sole or gross negligence or their willful misconduct.

Our aircraft and other equipment lease and financing agreements typically contain provisions requiring us, as the lessee or obligor, to indemnify the other parties to those agreements, including certain of those parties' related persons, against virtually any liabilities that might arise from the use or operation of the aircraft or other equipment.

We believe that our insurance would cover most of our exposure to liabilities and related indemnities associated with the commercial real estate leases and aircraft and other equipment lease and financing agreements described above. While our insurance does not typically cover environmental liabilities, we have certain insurance policies in place as required by applicable environmental laws.

Certain of our aircraft and other financing transactions include provisions that require us to make payments to preserve an expected economic return to the lenders if that economic return is diminished due to certain changes in law or regulations. In certain of these financing transactions, we also bear the risk of certain changes in tax laws that would subject payments to non-U.S. lenders to withholding taxes.

We cannot reasonably estimate our potential future payments under the indemnities and related provisions described above because we cannot predict (1) when and under what circumstances these provisions may be triggered and (2) the amount that would be payable if the provisions were triggered because the amounts would be based on facts and circumstances existing at such time.

Employees Under Collective Bargaining Agreements

At December 31, 2015, we had approximately 83,000 full-time equivalent employees. Approximately 18% of these employees were represented by unions. The following table shows our domestic airline employee groups that are represented by unions.

| Employee Group | Approximate Number of Active Employees Represented | Union | Date on which Collective Bargaining Agreement Becomes Amendable |
|--|---|--------|--|
| Delta Pilots | 12,080 | ALPA | December 31, 2015 ⁽¹⁾ |
| Delta Flight Superintendents (Dispatchers) | 400 | PAFCA | March 31, 2018 |
| Endeavor Air Pilots | 1,360 | ALPA | January 1, 2020 |
| Endeavor Air Flight Attendants | 895 | AFA | December 31, 2018 |
| Endeavor Air Dispatchers | 50 | DISTWU | December 31, 2018 |

⁽¹⁾ We are in discussions with representatives of the Delta Pilots regarding terms of an amended collective bargaining agreement.

In addition, 209 refinery employees of Monroe are represented by the United Steel Workers under an agreement that expires on February 28, 2019. This agreement is governed by the National Labor Relations Act, which generally allows either party to engage in self help upon the expiration of the agreement.

Other

We have certain contracts for goods and services that require us to pay a penalty, acquire inventory specific to us or purchase contract-specific equipment, as defined by each respective contract, if we terminate the contract without cause prior to its expiration date. Because these obligations are contingent on our termination of the contract without cause prior to its expiration date, no obligation would exist unless such a termination occurs.

Table of Contents

NOTE 11. INCOME TAXES

Income Tax (Provision) Benefit

Our income tax (provision) benefit consisted of the following:

| (in millions) | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|----------|-----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Current tax (provision) benefit: | | | |
| Federal | \$(23 |)\$21 | \$24 |
| State and local | (25 |) (9 |) (3 |
| International | (2 |) (11 |) 1 |
| Deferred tax (provision) benefit: | | | |
| Federal | (2,409 |) (424 |) 7,197 |
| State and local | (172 |) 10 | 794 |
| Income tax (provision) benefit | \$(2,631 |) \$(413 |) \$8,013 |

The following table presents the principal reasons for the difference between the effective tax rate and the U.S. federal statutory income tax rate:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | |
|--|-------------------------|--------|----------|----|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | |
| U.S. federal statutory income tax rate | 35.0 | % 35.0 | % 35.0 | % |
| State taxes, net of federal benefit | 1.8 | 2.0 | 3.0 | |
| Decrease in valuation allowance | (0.2 |) (2.4 |) (367.5 |) |
| Income tax allocation | — | — | 12.7 | |
| Other | 0.2 | 3.9 | (0.4 |) |
| Effective income tax rate | 36.8 | % 38.5 | % (317.2 |)% |

Deferred Taxes

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effect of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting and income tax purposes. The following table shows significant components of our deferred tax assets and liabilities:

| (in millions) | December 31, | |
|--|--------------|----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 |
| Deferred tax assets: | | |
| Net operating loss carryforwards | \$3,838 | \$4,782 |
| Pension, postretirement and other benefits | 5,444 | 6,033 |
| Fuel derivatives MTM adjustments | 282 | 777 |
| AMT credit carryforward | 379 | 357 |
| Deferred revenue | 1,522 | 1,824 |
| Other | 1,047 | 659 |
| Valuation allowance | (56 |) (46 |
| Total deferred tax assets | \$12,456 | \$14,386 |
| Deferred tax liabilities: | | |
| Depreciation | \$5,490 | \$4,663 |
| Intangible assets | 1,681 | 1,684 |
| Other | 329 | 444 |
| Total deferred tax liabilities | \$7,500 | \$6,791 |

| | | |
|-------------------------|---------|---------|
| Net deferred tax assets | \$4,956 | \$7,595 |
|-------------------------|---------|---------|

87

Table of Contents

At December 31, 2015, we had \$379 million of federal alternative minimum tax credit carryforwards, which do not expire, and \$9.5 billion of federal pre-tax net operating loss carryforwards, which will not begin to expire until 2024.

Valuation Allowance

We periodically assess whether it is more likely than not that we will generate sufficient taxable income to realize our deferred income tax assets. We establish valuation allowances if it is not likely we will realize our deferred income tax assets. In making this determination, we consider all available positive and negative evidence and make certain assumptions. We consider, among other things, projected future taxable income, scheduled reversals of deferred tax liabilities, the overall business environment, our historical financial results and tax planning strategies. We recorded a full valuation allowance in 2004 due to our cumulative loss position at that time, compounded by the negative industry-wide business trends and outlook.

At December 31, 2013, we released substantially all of the valuation allowance against our net deferred tax assets, resulting in an \$8.3 billion benefit in our provision for income taxes. During 2014 and 2015, we continued our trend of sustained profitability. After considering all available positive and negative evidence, we released additional valuation allowances related to net operating losses and capital loss carryovers in each of those years. As a result, at December 31, 2014 and 2015, we retained valuation allowances of \$46 million and \$56 million, respectively, primarily related to state net operating losses, state credits and unrealized losses on investments, which have limited expiration periods.

The following table shows the balance of our valuation allowance and the associated activity:

| (in millions) | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
|--|------|-------|-----------|
| Valuation allowance at beginning of period | \$46 | \$177 | \$10,963 |
| Income tax provision | — | (9 |)(975) |
| Other comprehensive income tax benefit (provision) | 24 | (3 |)(1,186) |
| Expirations | (4 |)(91 |)— |
| Release of valuation allowance | (10 |)(28 |)(8,310) |
| Other | — | — | (315) |
| Valuation allowance at end of period | \$56 | \$46 | \$177 |

Income Tax Allocation

We consider all income sources, including other comprehensive income, in determining the amount of tax benefit allocated to continuing operations. At the end of 2013, we released our tax valuation allowance, as discussed above, and settled all of our fuel derivatives designated as accounting hedges. As a result, an income tax benefit of \$1.9 billion related to our valuation allowance release and an income tax expense of \$321 million related to settlement of our fuel derivative was recognized in our Consolidated Statement of Operations for the year ended December 31, 2013. Income tax expense of \$1.9 billion remains in AOCI, primarily related to pension obligations. This tax expense will not be recognized in net income until the pension obligations are fully extinguished.

Uncertain Tax Positions

The amount of, and changes to, our uncertain tax positions were not material in any of the years presented. The amount of unrecognized tax benefits at December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 was \$32 million, \$40 million and \$37 million, respectively. We accrue interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in interest expense and operating expense, respectively. Interest and penalties are not material in any period presented.

We are currently under audit by the IRS for the 2015, 2014 and 2013 tax years.

Table of Contents

NOTE 12. EQUITY AND EQUITY COMPENSATION

Equity

We are authorized to issue 2.0 billion shares of capital stock, of which up to 1.5 billion may be shares of common stock, par value \$0.0001 per share, and up to 500 million may be shares of preferred stock.

Preferred Stock. We may issue preferred stock in one or more series. The Board of Directors is authorized (1) to fix the descriptions, powers (including voting powers), preferences, rights, qualifications, limitations and restrictions with respect to any series of preferred stock and (2) to specify the number of shares of any series of preferred stock. We have not issued any preferred stock.

Treasury Stock. We generally withhold shares of Delta common stock to cover employees' portion of required tax withholdings when employee equity awards are issued or vest. These shares are valued at cost, which equals the market price of the common stock on the date of issuance or vesting. The weighted average cost of shares held in treasury was \$17.70 and \$15.82 as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Equity-Based Compensation

Our broad-based equity and cash compensation plan provides for grants of restricted stock, stock options, performance awards, including cash incentive awards and other equity-based awards (the "2007 Plan"). Shares of common stock issued under the 2007 Plan may be made available from authorized, but unissued, common stock or common stock we acquire. If any shares of our common stock are covered by an award that is canceled, forfeited or otherwise terminates without delivery of shares (including shares surrendered or withheld for payment of the exercise price of an award or taxes related to an award), such shares will again be available for issuance under the 2007 Plan. The 2007 Plan authorizes the issuance of up to 157 million shares of common stock. As of December 31, 2015, there were 27 million shares available for future grants.

We make long-term incentive awards annually to eligible employees under the 2007 Plan. Generally, awards vest over time, subject to the employee's continued employment. Equity compensation expense for these awards is recognized in salaries and related costs over the employee's requisite service period (generally, the vesting period of the award) and totaled \$76 million, \$81 million and \$90 million for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively. We record expense on a straight-line basis for awards with installment vesting. As of December 31, 2015, unrecognized costs related to unvested shares and stock options totaled \$61 million. We expect substantially all unvested awards to vest.

Restricted Stock. Restricted stock is common stock that may not be sold or otherwise transferred for a period of time and is subject to forfeiture in certain circumstances. The fair value of restricted stock awards is based on the closing price of the common stock on the grant date. As of December 31, 2015, there were 2.5 million unvested restricted stock awards.

Stock Options. Stock options are granted with an exercise price equal to the closing price of Delta common stock on the grant date and generally have a 10-year term. We determine the fair value of stock options at the grant date using an option pricing model. As of December 31, 2015, there were 5.3 million outstanding stock option awards with a weighted average exercise price of \$15.05, and 4.6 million were exercisable.

Performance Shares. Performance shares are long-term incentive opportunities, which are payable in common stock and/or cash, and are generally contingent upon our achieving certain financial goals.

Other. There was no tax benefit recognized in equity in 2015, 2014 or 2013 related to equity-based compensation as our excess tax benefits have not reduced taxes payable. Therefore, we will not recognize an income tax benefit related to equity compensation until we exhaust our net operating losses.

Table of Contents

NOTE 13. ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE LOSS

The following table shows the components of accumulated other comprehensive loss:

| (in millions) | Pension and Other Benefits Liabilities ⁽²⁾ | Derivative Contracts ⁽³⁾ | Investments | Total |
|--|--|--|-------------|--------------|
| Balance at January 1, 2013 | \$(8,307 |)\$ (263 |)\$ (7 |)\$ (8,577) |
| Changes in value (net of tax effect of \$0) | 2,760 | 296 | (19 |) 3,037 |
| Reclassification into earnings (net of tax effect of \$321) ⁽¹⁾ | 224 | 186 | — | 410 |
| Balance at December 31, 2013 | (5,323 |) 219 | (26 |) (5,130) |
| Changes in value (net of tax effect of \$1,276) | (2,267 |) 83 | 10 | (2,174) |
| Reclassification into earnings (net of tax effect of \$4) ⁽¹⁾ | 73 | (80 |) — | (7) |
| Balance at December 31, 2014 | (7,517 |) 222 | (16 |) (7,311) |
| Changes in value (net of tax effect of \$41) | 10 | 43 | (45 |) 8 |
| Reclassification into earnings (net of tax effect of \$16) ⁽¹⁾ | 153 | (125 |) — | 28 |
| Balance at December 31, 2015 | \$(7,354 |) \$ 140 | \$ (61 |) \$(7,275) |

Amounts reclassified from AOCI for pension and other benefits are recorded in salaries and related costs in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Amounts reclassified from AOCI for derivative contracts designated as foreign currency cash flow hedges and interest rate cash flow hedges are recorded in passenger revenue and interest expense, net, respectively, in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

(1) Includes \$1.9 billion of deferred income tax expense, primarily related to pension obligations, that will not be recognized in net income until the pension obligations are fully extinguished.

Included \$321 million of deferred income tax expense that remained in AOCI until December 2013 when all

(3) amounts in AOCI that related to derivative contracts designated as fuel cash flow hedges were recognized in the Consolidated Statement of Operations.

NOTE 14. SEGMENTS AND GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION

Operating segments are defined as components of an enterprise about which separate financial information is available that is evaluated regularly by the chief operating decision maker, or decision making group, and is used in resource allocation and performance assessments. Our chief operating decision maker is considered to be our executive leadership team. Our executive leadership team regularly reviews discrete information for our two operating segments, which are determined by the products and services provided: our airline segment and our refinery segment.

Airline Segment

Our airline segment is managed as a single business unit that provides scheduled air transportation for passengers and cargo throughout the U.S. and around the world and other ancillary airline services. This allows us to benefit from an integrated revenue pricing and route network. Our flight equipment forms one fleet, which is deployed through a single route scheduling system. When making resource allocation decisions, our chief operating decision maker evaluates flight profitability data, which considers aircraft type and route economics, but gives no weight to the financial impact of the resource allocation decision on an individual carrier basis. Our objective in making resource allocation decisions is to optimize our consolidated financial results.

Refinery Segment

In June 2012, our wholly-owned subsidiaries, Monroe Energy, LLC, and MIPC, LLC (collectively, “Monroe”), acquired the Trainer oil refinery and related assets located near Philadelphia, Pennsylvania for \$180 million as part of our strategy to mitigate the cost of the refining margin reflected in the price of jet fuel. The acquisition included pipelines and terminal assets that allow the refinery to supply jet fuel to our airline operations throughout the Northeastern U.S., including our New York hubs at LaGuardia and JFK. Monroe received a \$30 million grant from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

We accounted for the refinery acquisition as a business combination. The refinery, pipelines and terminal assets acquired were recorded at \$180 million in property and equipment, net based on their respective fair values on the closing date of the transaction.

Table of Contents

Our refinery segment operates for the benefit of the airline segment by providing jet fuel to the airline segment from its own production and through jet fuel obtained through agreements with third parties. The refinery's production consists of jet fuel, as well as non-jet fuel products. We use several counterparties to exchange the non-jet fuel products produced by the refinery for jet fuel consumed in our airline operations. The gross fair value of the products exchanged under these agreements during the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 was \$3.1 billion, \$5.1 billion and \$5.4 billion, respectively.

Segment Reporting

Segment results are prepared based on our internal accounting methods described below, with reconciliations to consolidated amounts in accordance with GAAP. Our segments are not designed to measure operating income or loss directly related to the products and services included in each segment on a stand-alone basis.

| (in millions) | Airline | Refinery | Intersegment Sales/Other | Consolidated |
|--|----------|----------|-----------------------------|------------------|
| Year Ended December 31, 2015 | | | | |
| Operating revenue: | \$40,398 | \$4,741 | | \$40,704 |
| Sales to airline segment | | | \$(990) |) ⁽¹⁾ |
| Exchanged products | | | (3,108) |) ⁽²⁾ |
| Sales of refined products to third parties | | | (337) |) ⁽³⁾ |
| Operating income ⁽⁴⁾ | 7,512 | 290 | | 7,802 |
| Interest expense, net | 481 | — | | 481 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 1,805 | 30 | | 1,835 |
| Total assets, end of period | 51,785 | 1,349 | | 53,134 |
| Capital expenditures | 2,853 | 92 | | 2,945 |
| Year Ended December 31, 2014 | | | | |
| Operating revenue: | \$40,217 | \$6,959 | | \$40,362 |
| Sales to airline segment | | | \$(1,313) |) ⁽¹⁾ |
| Exchanged products | | | (5,104) |) ⁽²⁾ |
| Sales of refined products to third parties | | | (397) |) ⁽³⁾ |
| Operating income ⁽⁴⁾ | 2,110 | 96 | | 2,206 |
| Interest expense, net | 650 | — | | 650 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 1,745 | 26 | | 1,771 |
| Total assets, end of period | 52,896 | 1,109 | | 54,005 |
| Capital expenditures | 2,184 | 65 | | 2,249 |
| Year Ended December 31, 2013 | | | | |
| Operating revenue: | \$37,773 | \$7,003 | | \$37,773 |
| Sales to airline segment | | | \$(1,156) |) ⁽¹⁾ |
| Exchanged products | | | (5,352) |) ⁽²⁾ |
| Sales of refined products to third parties | | | (495) |) ⁽³⁾ |
| Operating income (loss) ⁽⁴⁾ | 3,516 | (116) |) | 3,400 |
| Interest expense, net | 852 | — | | 852 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 1,641 | 17 | | 1,658 |
| Total assets, end of period | 50,932 | 1,172 | | 52,104 |
| Capital expenditures | 2,516 | 52 | | 2,568 |

Represents transfers, valued on a market price basis, from the refinery to the airline segment for use in airline

⁽¹⁾ operations. We determine market price by reference to the market index for the primary delivery location, which is New York Harbor, for jet fuel from the refinery.

⁽²⁾

Represents value of products delivered under our exchange agreements, as discussed above, determined on a market price basis.

- (3) Represents sales of refined products to third parties. These sales were at or near cost; accordingly, the margin on these sales is de minimis.
- (4) Includes the impact of pricing arrangements between the airline and refinery segments with respect to the refinery's inventory price risk.

Table of Contents

Geographic Information

Operating revenue for the airline segment is recognized in a specific geographic region based on the origin, flight path and destination of each flight segment. The majority of the revenues of the refinery, consisting of fuel sales to the airline, have been eliminated in the Consolidated Financial Statements. The remaining operating revenue for the refinery segment is included in the domestic region.

Our operating revenue by geographic region (as defined by the DOT) is summarized in the following table:

| (in millions) | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---------------|-------------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Domestic | \$27,884 | \$26,898 | \$24,857 |
| Atlantic | 6,505 | 6,757 | 6,446 |
| Pacific | 3,503 | 3,948 | 4,086 |
| Latin America | 2,812 | 2,759 | 2,384 |
| Total | \$40,704 | \$40,362 | \$37,773 |

Our tangible assets consist primarily of flight equipment, which is mobile across geographic markets. Accordingly, assets are not allocated to specific geographic regions.

NOTE 15. RESTRUCTURING AND OTHER

The following table shows amounts recorded in restructuring and other on our Consolidated Statements of Operations:

| (in millions) | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|-------|-------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Fleet and other | \$35 | \$758 | \$402 |
| Severance and related costs | — | 71 | — |
| Settlements | — | (113) |)— |
| Total restructuring and other | \$35 | \$716 | \$402 |

Fleet and Other. We continue to restructure our domestic fleet by replacing a portion of our 50-seat regional fleet with more efficient and customer preferred CRJ-900 and B-717-200 aircraft and replacing older, less cost effective B-757-200 aircraft with B-737-900ER aircraft. The restructuring charges recorded during 2015 include remaining lease payments and lease return costs for permanently grounded aircraft. In previous years, the restructuring charges also included impairments, the acceleration of aircraft depreciation and related equipment disposals.

During 2014, we decided to retire our fleet of 16 B-747-400 aircraft over the next three years. As part of the accelerated retirement, we recorded an impairment charge for the owned and capital leased aircraft. This impairment charge was calculated using Level 3 fair value inputs based primarily upon recent market transactions and existing market conditions. Also, we recorded a lease restructuring charge for the three B-747-400 aircraft under operating leases that were retired during 2014.

Severance and Related Costs. During 2015, we announced a voluntary retirement program for eligible U.S. employees and an involuntary merit restructuring initiative. We recognized a \$51 million charge in salaries and related costs in our Consolidated Statement of Operations in connection with these programs. During 2014, we announced a voluntary retirement program for eligible U.S. employees. We recorded a \$71 million charge in restructuring and other in our Consolidated Statement of Operations in connection with this program and other programs related to our Pacific strategy.

Settlements. During 2014, we settled outstanding litigation resulting in a favorable settlement of \$67 million and received an unrelated insurance settlement of \$46 million.

Table of Contents

The following table shows the balances and activity for restructuring charges:

| (in millions) | Severance and Related Costs | | | Lease Restructuring | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|------|------|---------------------|-------|-------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Liability at beginning of period | \$42 | \$— | \$49 | \$462 | \$168 | \$77 |
| Additional costs and expenses | 51 | 71 | — | 41 | 349 | 114 |
| Payments | (41 |)(29 |)(46 |) (86 |)(55 |)(18 |
| Other | — | — | (3 |) (2 |)— | (5 |
| Liability at end of period | \$52 | \$42 | \$— | \$415 | \$462 | \$168 |

NOTE 16. EARNINGS PER SHARE

We calculate basic earnings per share by dividing the net income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding, excluding restricted shares. We calculate diluted earnings per share by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding plus the dilutive effect of outstanding share-based awards, including stock options and restricted stock awards. Antidilutive common stock equivalents excluded from the diluted earnings per share calculation are not material. The following table shows our computation of basic and diluted earnings per share:

| (in millions, except per share data) | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|--------|----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Net income | \$4,526 | \$659 | \$10,540 |
| Basic weighted average shares outstanding | 797 | 836 | 849 |
| Dilutive effect of share-based awards | 7 | 9 | 9 |
| Diluted weighted average shares outstanding | 804 | 845 | 858 |
| Basic earnings per share | \$5.68 | \$0.79 | \$12.41 |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$5.63 | \$0.78 | \$12.29 |

Table of Contents

NOTE 17. QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (UNAUDITED)

The following table summarizes our unaudited results of operations on a quarterly basis. The quarterly earnings (loss) per share amounts for a year will not add to the earnings per share for that year due to the weighting of shares used in calculating per share data.

| (in millions, except per share data) | Three Months Ended, | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|----------|--------------|-------------|
| | March 31 | June 30 | September 30 | December 31 |
| 2015 | | | | |
| Operating revenue | \$9,388 | \$10,707 | \$11,107 | \$9,502 |
| Operating income | 1,398 | 2,474 | 2,213 | 1,717 |
| Net income | 746 | 1,485 | 1,315 | 980 |
| Basic earnings per share | \$0.91 | \$1.85 | \$1.67 | \$1.26 |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$0.90 | \$1.83 | \$1.65 | \$1.25 |
| 2014 | | | | |
| Operating revenue | \$8,916 | \$10,621 | \$11,178 | \$9,647 |
| Operating income (loss) | 620 | 1,579 | 835 | (828) |
| Net income (loss) | 213 | 801 | 357 | (712) |
| Basic earnings (loss) per share | \$0.25 | \$0.95 | \$0.43 | \$(0.86) |
| Diluted earnings (loss) per share | \$0.25 | \$0.94 | \$0.42 | \$(0.86) |
| Special Items | | | | |
| (in millions) | | | | |
| 2015 | | | | |
| MTM adjustments and settlements | \$589 | \$720 | \$(99) | \$91 |
| Restructuring and other | (10) | (25) | — | — |
| Virgin Atlantic MTM adjustments | 13 | 31 | (13) | (5) |
| Total income (loss) | \$592 | \$726 | \$(112) | \$86 |
| 2014 | | | | |
| MTM adjustments and settlements | \$(34) | \$1 | \$(347) | \$(1,966) |
| Restructuring and other | (49) | (30) | (570) | (67) |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt | (18) | (111) | (134) | (5) |
| Virgin Atlantic MTM adjustments | (8) | — | (7) | (119) |
| Total loss | \$(109) | \$(140) | \$(1,058) | \$(2,157) |

Table of Contents

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, performed an evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures, which have been designed to permit us to record, process, summarize and report, within time periods specified by the SEC's rules and forms, information required to be disclosed. Our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, concluded that the controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2015 to ensure that material information was accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in Internal Control

During the three months ended December 31, 2015, we did not make any changes in our internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Our internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies may deteriorate.

Management conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015 using the criteria issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission ("COSO") in the 2013 Internal Control-Integrated Framework. Based on that evaluation, management believes that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2015.

The effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015 has been audited by Ernst & Young LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, which also audited our Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended December 31, 2015. Ernst & Young LLP's report on our internal control over financial reporting is set forth below.

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of
Delta Air Lines, Inc.

We have audited Delta Air Lines, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (2013 framework) (the COSO criteria). Delta Air Lines, Inc.'s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Delta Air Lines, Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Delta Air Lines, Inc. as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income (loss), cash flows and stockholders' equity (deficit) for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2015 and our report dated February 5, 2016 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

Atlanta, Georgia
February 5, 2016

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Table of Contents

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE OF THE REGISTRANT

Information required by this item is set forth under the headings “Governance Matters,” “Proposal 1 - Election of Directors - Certain Information About Nominees” and “Other Matters - Section 16 Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance” in our Proxy Statement to be filed with the Commission related to our 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (“Proxy Statement”), and is incorporated by reference. Pursuant to instruction 3 to paragraph (b) of Item 401 of Regulation S-K, certain information regarding executive officers is contained in Part I of this Form 10-K.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Information required by this item is set forth under the headings “Governance Matters - Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation,” “Executive Compensation” and “Director Compensation” in our Proxy Statement and is incorporated by reference.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

The following table provides information about the number of shares of common stock that may be issued under Delta's equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2015.

| Plan Category | (a) No. of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights ⁽¹⁾ | (b) Weighted-Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights ⁽²⁾ | (c) No. of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in Column (a)) ⁽³⁾ |
|--|---|--|---|
| Equity compensation plans approved by securities holders | 6,559,843 | \$ 12.09 | 26,839,417 |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by securities holders | — | — | — |
| Total | 6,559,843 | \$ 12.09 | 26,839,417 |

(1) Includes a maximum of 1,293,023 shares of common stock that may be issued upon the achievement of certain performance conditions under outstanding performance share awards as of December 31, 2015.

(2) Includes performance share awards, which do not have exercise prices. The weighted average exercise price of options is \$15.05.

(3) Reflects shares remaining available for issuance under Delta's 2007 Performance Compensation Plan. If any shares of our common stock are covered by an award under the 2007 Plan that is canceled, forfeited or otherwise terminates without delivery of shares (including shares surrendered or withheld for payment of the exercise price of an award or taxes related to an award), then such shares will again be available for issuance under the 2007 Plan except for (i) any shares tendered in payment of an option, (ii) shares withheld to satisfy any tax withholding

obligation with respect to the exercise of an option or stock appreciation right ("SAR"), or (iii) shares covered by a stock-settled SAR or other awards that were not issued upon the settlement of the award. Because 2,489,492 shares of restricted stock remain unvested and subject to forfeiture, these shares could again be available for issuance.

Other information required by this item is set forth under the heading "Beneficial Ownership of Securities" in our Proxy Statement and is incorporated by reference.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

Information required by this item is set forth under the headings "Governance Matters," "Executive Compensation - Post-Employment Compensation - Other Benefits - Pre-Existing Medical Benefits Agreement with Northwest" and "Proposal 1 - Election of Directors" in our Proxy Statement and is incorporated by reference.

Table of Contents

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

Information required by this item is set forth under the heading “Proposal 3 - Ratification of the Appointment of Independent Auditors” in our Proxy Statement and is incorporated by reference.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a) (1). The following is an index of the financial statements required by this item that are included in this Form 10-K:

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
Consolidated Balance Sheets—December 31, 2015 and 2014
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity (Deficit) for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(2). The schedule required by this item is included in Notes 11 and 15 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. All other financial statement schedules are not required or are inapplicable and therefore have been omitted.

(3). The exhibits required by this item are listed in the Exhibit Index to this Form 10-K. The management contracts and compensatory plans or arrangements required to be filed as an exhibit to this Form 10-K are listed as Exhibits 10.10(a) through 10.26 in the Exhibit Index.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, on the 5th day of February 2016.

DELTA AIR LINES, INC.

By: /s/ Richard H. Anderson
Richard H. Anderson
Chief Executive Officer

Table of Contents

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below on the 5th day of February 2016 by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities indicated.

| Signature | Title |
|--|---|
| /s/ Richard H. Anderson Richard H. Anderson | Chief Executive Officer and Director (Principal Executive Officer) |
| /s/ Paul A. Jacobson Paul A. Jacobson | Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer) |
| /s/ Craig M. Meynard Craig M. Meynard | Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer (Principal Accounting Officer) |
| /s/ Edward H. Bastian Edward H. Bastian | President and Director |
| /s/ Francis S. Blake Francis S. Blake | Director |
| /s/ Roy J. Bostock Roy J. Bostock | Director |
| /s/ John S. Brinzo John S. Brinzo | Director |
| /s/ Daniel A. Carp Daniel A. Carp | Chairman of the Board |
| /s/ David G. DeWalt David G. DeWalt | Director |
| /s/ Thomas E. Donilon Thomas E. Donilon | Director |
| /s/ William H. Easter III William H. Easter III | Director |
| /s/ Mickey P. Foret Mickey P. Foret | Director |
| /s/ Shirley C. Franklin Shirley C. Franklin | Director |
| /s/ David R. Goode David R. Goode | Director |
| /s/ George N. Mattson George N. Mattson | Director |

/s/ Douglas R. Ralph
Douglas R. Ralph Director

/s/ Sergio A.L. Rial
Sergio A.L. Rial Director

/s/ Kathy N. Waller
Kathy N. Waller Director

/s/ Kenneth B. Woodrow
Kenneth B. Woodrow Director

100

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

Note to Exhibits: Any representations and warranties of a party set forth in any agreement (including all exhibits and schedules thereto) filed with this Annual Report on Form 10-K have been made solely for the benefit of the other party to the agreement. Some of those representations and warranties were made only as of the date of the agreement or such other date as specified in the agreement, may be subject to a contractual standard of materiality different from what may be viewed as material to stockholders, or may have been used for the purpose of allocating risk between the parties rather than establishing matters as facts. Such agreements are included with this filing only to provide investors with information regarding the terms of the agreements, and not to provide investors with any other factual or disclosure information regarding the registrant or its business.

3.1(a) Delta's Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation (Filed as Exhibit 3.1 to Delta's Current Report on Form 8-K as filed on April 30, 2007).*

3.1 Amendment to Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation (Filed as Exhibit 3.1 to Delta's Current Report on Form 8-K as filed on June 27, 2014).*

3.2 Delta's By-Laws (Filed as Exhibit 3.1 to Delta's Current Report on Form 8-K as filed on June 27, 2014).*

Delta is not filing any instruments evidencing any indebtedness because the total amount of securities authorized under any single such instrument does not exceed 10% of the total assets of Delta and its subsidiaries on a consolidated basis. Copies of such instruments will be furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission upon request.

10.1 Credit and Guaranty Agreement, dated as of August 24, 2015, among Delta Air Lines, Inc., as Borrower, the subsidiaries of the Borrower named as Guarantors, each of the several Lenders from time to time party thereto, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent for the Lenders, Barclays Bank PLC, Bank of America, N.A., Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. and U.S. Bank National Association, as Co-Syndication Agents, BBVA Compass and Fifth Third Bank, as Co-Documentation Agents, J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, Barclays Bank PLC, Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, BNP Paribas Securities Corp., Citigroup Global Markets Inc., BBVA Compass, Credit Agricole Corporate and Investment Bank, Credit Suisse AG, Cayman Islands Branch, Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., Fifth Third Bank, Goldman Sachs Lending Partners LLC, Morgan Stanley Senior Funding, Inc., Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, Natixis, New York Branch, U.S. Bank National Association and UBS Securities LLC, as Revolving Facility Joint Lead Arrangers and Revolving Facility Joint Bookrunners and Barclays Bank PLC, J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, BNP Paribas Securities Corp., Citigroup Global Markets Inc., BBVA Compass, Credit Agricole Corporate and Investment Bank, Credit Suisse AG, Cayman Islands Branch, Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., Fifth Third Bank, Goldman Sachs Lending Partners LLC, Wells Fargo Securities, LLC and U.S. Bank National Association, as Term Loan Joint Lead Arrangers and Term Loan Joint Bookrunners (Filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Delta's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2015).*

10.2 Credit and Guaranty Agreement, dated as of October 18, 2012, among Delta Air Lines, Inc., as Borrower, the subsidiaries of the Borrower named as Guarantors, each of the several Lenders party thereto, Barclays Bank PLC, as administrative agent, Wilmington Trust, National Association, as Collateral Trustee, Deutsche Bank Securities Inc. and UBS Securities LLC, as Co-Syndication Agents, Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated and Citigroup Global Markets Inc., as co-documentation agents, Barclays Bank PLC, Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, Citigroup Global Markets Inc., Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., and UBS Securities LLC, as joint lead arrangers, and Barclays Bank PLC, BNP Paribas Securities Corp, Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, Citigroup Global Markets Inc., Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC, Deutsche

Edgar Filing: DELTA AIR LINES INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

Bank Securities Inc., Goldman Sachs Bank USA, J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, Morgan Stanley Senior Funding, Inc. and UBS Securities LLC, as joint bookrunners (Filed as Exhibit 10.2 to Delta's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012).*

Transaction Framework Agreement among Delta, Delta Master Executive Council, Northwest Master Executive Council and Air Line Pilots Association, International dated as of June 26, 2008 (Filed as Exhibit 10 to Delta's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2008).*

Letter Agreement, dated April 14, 2008, by and among Delta Air Lines, Inc., the Master Executive Council of Delta, and Air Line Pilots Association, International dated April 14, 2008 (Filed as Exhibit 10.2 to Delta's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2008).*

Table of Contents

Anchor Tenant Agreement dated as of December 9, 2010 between JFK International Air Terminal LLC and Delta Air Lines, Inc. (Filed as Exhibit 10.4 to Delta's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010).*

Supplemental Agreement No. 13 to Purchase Agreement Number 2022, dated August 24, 2011, between The Boeing Company and Delta relating to Boeing Model 737NG Aircraft ("Supplemental Agreement 13") (Filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Delta's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2011).*/**

Supplemental Agreement No. 17 to Purchase Agreement Number 2022, dated December 16, 2015, between The Boeing Company and Delta relating to Boeing Model 737NG Aircraft ("Supplemental Agreement 17").**

Letter Agreements, dated August 24, 2011, relating to Supplemental Agreement 13 (Filed as Exhibit 10.2 to Delta's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2011).*/**

Letter Agreements, dated December 16, 2015, relating to Supplemental Agreement 17.**

Aircraft General Terms Agreement, dated October 21, 1997, between Boeing and Delta (Filed as Exhibit 10.6 to Delta's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 1997).*/**

Letter Agreement, dated August 24, 2011, relating to Revisions to Aircraft General Terms Agreement dated October 21, 1997 and Supplemental Agreement 13 (Filed as Exhibit 10.3(b) to Delta's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2011).*/**

Letter Agreement, dated December 16, 2015, relating to Revisions to Aircraft General Terms Agreement dated October 21, 1997 and Supplemental Agreement 17.**

Airbus A330-900neo Aircraft and A350-900 Aircraft Purchase Agreement dated as of November 24, 2014 between Airbus S.A.S and Delta Air Lines, Inc. (Filed as Exhibit 10.9 to Delta's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014).*/**

Delta Air Lines, Inc. 2007 Performance Compensation Plan (Filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Delta's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 22, 2007).*

First Amendment to the Delta Air Lines, Inc. 2007 Performance Compensation Plan (Filed as Exhibit 10.12(b) to Delta's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008).*

Second Amendment to the Delta Air Lines, Inc. 2007 Performance Compensation Plan (Filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Delta's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2015).*

Form of Delta 2007 Performance Compensation Plan Award Agreement for Officers (Filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Delta's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 30, 2007).*

Delta Air Lines, Inc. Officer and Director Severance Plan, as amended and restated as of January 2, 2009, as further amended October 20, 2009 (Filed as Exhibit 10.11(a) to Delta's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009).*

Amendment to the Delta Air Lines, Inc. Officer and Director Severance Plan, as amended and restated as of January 2, 2009, as further amended October 20, 2009 (Filed as Exhibit 10.11(b) to Delta's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009).*

Amendment to the Delta Air Lines, Inc. Officer and Director Severance Plan, dated as of February 10, 2010
10.11(c) (Filed as Exhibit 10.3(a) to Delta's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2015).*

10.11(d) Amendment to the Delta Air Lines, Inc. Officer and Director Severance Plan, dated as of August 20, 2015
(Filed as Exhibit 10.3(b) to Delta's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2015).*

10.12 Description of Certain Benefits of Members of the Board of Directors and Executive Officers (Filed as Exhibit 10.2 to Delta's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2015).*

Table of Contents

10.13(a) Delta Air Lines, Inc. 2013 Long Term Incentive Program (Filed as Exhibit 10.14 to Delta's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012).*

10.13(b) Model Award Agreement for the Delta Air Lines, Inc. 2013 Long Term Incentive Program (Filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Delta's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2013).*

10.14(a) Delta Air Lines, Inc. 2014 Long Term Incentive Program (Filed as Exhibit 10.15 to Delta's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013).*

10.14(b) Model Award Agreement for the Delta Air Lines, Inc. 2014 Long Term Incentive Program (Filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Delta's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2014).*

10.15(a) Delta Air Lines, Inc. 2015 Long Term Incentive Program (Filed as Exhibit 10.16 to Delta's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014).*

10.15(b) Model Award Agreement for the Delta Air Lines, Inc. 2015 Long Term Incentive Program (Filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Delta's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2015).*

10.16 Delta Air Lines, Inc. 2016 Long Term Incentive Program.

10.17 Delta Air Lines, Inc. 2015 Management Incentive Plan (Filed as Exhibit 10.18 to Delta's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014).*

10.18 Delta Air Lines, Inc. 2016 Management Incentive Plan.

10.19(a) Delta Air Lines, Inc. Transition Award Program (Filed as Exhibit 10.17 to Delta's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012).*

10.19(b) Model Award Agreement for the Delta Air Lines, Inc. Transition Award Program (Filed as Exhibit 10.2 to Delta's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2013).*

10.20 Letter Agreement dated as of June 11, 2008 between counsel for and on behalf of Mickey P. Foret and Aviation Consultants, LLC, and counsel for and on behalf of Northwest Airlines, Inc. (Filed as Exhibit 10.22 to Delta's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008).*

10.21(a) Northwest Airlines, Inc. Excess Pension Plan for Salaried Employees (2001 Restatement) (Filed as Exhibit 10.28 to Northwest's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006).*

10.21(b) First Amendment of Northwest Airlines Excess Pension Plan for Salaried Employees (2001 Restatement) (Filed as Exhibit 10.3 to Northwest's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2005).*

10.21(c) Third Amendment of Northwest Airlines Excess Pension Plan for Salaried Employees (2001 Restatement) (Filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Northwest's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2008).*

10.22 Delta Air Lines, Inc. Restoration Long Term Disability Plan (Filed as Exhibit 10.24 to Delta's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011).*

10.23

Edgar Filing: DELTA AIR LINES INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

Letter Agreement, dated February 2, 2012 between Delta Air Lines, Inc. and Richard H. Anderson (Filed as Exhibit 10.25 to Delta's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011).*

10.24 Letter Agreement, dated February 2, 2012 between Delta Air Lines, Inc. and Richard B. Hirst (Filed as Exhibit 10.26 to Delta's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011).*

10.25 Terms of 2014 Restricted Stock Awards for Non-Employee Directors (Filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Delta's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2014).*

10.26 Terms of 2015 Restricted Stock Awards for Non-Employee Directors (Filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Delta's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2015).*

Table of Contents

12.1 Statement regarding computation of ratio of earnings to fixed charges for each fiscal year in the five-year period ended December 31, 2015.

21.1 Subsidiaries of the Registrant.

23.1 Consent of Ernst & Young LLP.

31.1 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer.

31.2 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer.

³² Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act 2002.

101.INSXBRL Instance Document

101.SCHXBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document

101.CALXBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document

101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document

101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Labels Linkbase Document

101.PREXBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

*Incorporated by reference.

** Portions of this exhibit have been omitted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to requests for confidential treatment.

104