COEUR D ALENE MINES CORP Form 10-Q August 08, 2008

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, DC 20549

## FORM 10-Q

[X] Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2008

Tor the quarterly pe	Tiou chieu guile 20, 2000
	OR
Exchang	o Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities ge Act of 1934 iod from to
Commission f	file number 1-8641
COEUR D ALENE	MINES CORPORATION
(Exact name of registra	nt as specified in its charter)
Idaho	82-0109423
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
PO Box I, 505 Front Ave. Coeur d Alene, Idaho	83816
(Address of principal executive offices)	(Zip Code)
(208)	667-3511
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all rep	h shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports),
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerate reporting company. See definitions of large accelerated filer, a Exchange Act.	red filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the
Large accelerated filer  X  Accelerated filer  _  Non-accelerated filer  _  Smaller reporting compa Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a shell company (as def	• =
	es outstanding of each of the Issuer s classes of common stock, as of the 551,917,299 shares were issued and outstanding as of August 5, 2008.

# <u>INDEX</u>

		Page No.
Part I.	Financial Information	
Item 1.	Financial Statements Consolidated Balance Sheets - Unaudited June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007	3
	Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income - Unaudited Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 and 2007	5
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows - Unaudited Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 and 2007	6
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements - Unaudited	7
Item 2.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	30
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	54
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	54
Part II.	Other Information	55
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	55
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	55
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	60
Item 6.	Exhibits	61

2

# COEUR D ALENE MINES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Unaudited)

	June 3 2008		December 31, 2007		
ASSETS	(1	(In thousands)			
CURRENT ASSETS					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 97,8	42 \$	98,671		
Short-term investments	88,6	65	53,039		
Receivables	76,5	56	56,121		
Ore on leach pad	19,2	19	25,924		
Metal and other inventory	28,4	39	18,918		
Deferred tax assets	2,7	45	3,573		
Prepaid expenses and other	8,2	.93	7,821		

	June 30, 2008	December 31, 2007
	321,759	264,067
PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT		
Property, plant and equipment	415,563	322,733
Less accumulated depreciation	(80,074)	(69,937)
	335,489	252,796
MINING PROPERTIES		
Operational mining properties	217,921	143,324
Less accumulated depletion	(128,002)	(124,401)
	89,919	18,923
Mineral interests	1,758,226	1,731,715
Less accumulated depletion	(13,978)	(11,639)
	1,744,248	1,720,076
Non-producing and development properties	299,019	311,469
	2,133,186	2,050,468
OTHER ASSETS		
Ore on leach pad, non-current portion	15,520	24,995
Restricted assets	25,926	25,760
Receivables, non-current	22,021	18,708
Debt issuance costs, net	13,122	4,848
Deferred tax assets	775	1,109
Other	8,905	8,943
	86,269	84,363
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 2,876,703	\$ 2,651,694

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

3

# COEUR D ALENE MINES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Unaudited)

(Unaudited)				
	June 30, 2008	De	cember 31, 2007	
	(In thousands, except share data)			
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY				
CURRENT LIABILITIES Accounts payable Accrued liabilities and other	\$ 52,950 9,687	\$	49,642 9,072	

	June 30, 2008	December 31, 2007
Accrued income taxes	7,512	7,547
Accrued payroll and related benefits	7,469	9,342
Accrued interest payable	3,144	1,060
Credit facility, current portion of long-term debt and capital lease obligations	27,055	30,831
Current portion of reclamation and mine closure	4,909	4,183
	112,726	111,677
LONG-TERM LIABILITIES		
3 1/4% Convertible Senior Notes due March 2028	230,000	
1 1/4% Convertible Senior Notes due January 2024	180,000	180,000
Reclamation and mine closure	29,970	30,629
Deferred income taxes	568,750	573,681
Obligations under capital leases	20,648	23,661
Other long-term liabilities	6,391	4,679
	1,035,759	812,650
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES		
(See Notes F, I, J, K, L, M, N and O)		
SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY		
Common Stock, par value \$1.00 per share; authorized 750,000,000 shares in 2008 and 2007, issued 551,923,705 shares in 2008 and		
551,512,230 shares in 2007 (1,059,211 shares held in treasury)	551,924	551,512
Additional paid-in capital	1,609,160	1,607,737
Accumulated deficit	(419,987)	(419,331)
Shares held in treasury	(13,190)	(13,190)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	311	639
	1,728,218	1,727,367
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY	\$ 2,876,703	\$ 2,651,694

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Δ

# COEUR D ALENE MINES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (Unaudited)

		Three Months Ended June 30,				Six Months Ended June 30,		
	_	2008		2007	_	2008		2007
REVENUES	(In thousands except per share data)							
Sales of metal	\$	50,024	\$	51,664	\$	107,310	\$	102,524
COSTS AND EXPENSES Production costs applicable to sales Depreciation and depletion		24,873 6,306		26,740 5,753		50,158 11,969		47,760 12,774

		Six Months Ended June 30,						
Administrative and general Exploration Pre-development Litigation settlement		7,032 4,725 10,657		5,710 2,549  		15,557 8,468 16,441 		11,884 5,430  507
Total cost and expenses		53,593		40,752		102,593		78,355
OPERATING INCOME (LOSS)		(3,569)		10,912		4,717		24,169
OTHER INCOME AND EXPENSE Interest and other income		177		4,316		1,507		8,866
Interest expense, net of capitalized interest		(867)		(83)		(1,687)		(170)
Total other income and expense		(690)		4,233		(180)		8,696
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes Income tax provision		(4,259) (1,118)		15,145 (3,227)		4,537 (5,193)		32,865 (6,928)
NET INCOME (LOSS) Other comprehensive income (loss)		(5,377) (1,040)		11,918 688		(656) (328)		25,937 516
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)	\$	(6,417)	\$	12,606	\$	(984)	\$	26,453
BASIC AND DILUTED INCOME (LOSS) PER SHARE								
Basic	\$	(0.01)	\$	0.04	\$	0.00	\$	0.09
Diluted	\$	(0.01)	\$	0.04	\$	0.00	\$	0.09
Weighted average number of shares of common stock Basic Diluted The accompanying notes are an integral part o	of these cou	550,096 550,096 asolidated	finan	277,763 302,240 cial statem	ents.	550,030 550,030		277,720 302,205
managemy mg notes are an integral part o								

5

# COEUR D ALENE MINES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)

Three Months Ended June 30, 2008 2007 Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 2007

Three Months

Ended June 30,

Six Months

Ended June 30,

(In thousands) CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES: \$ 11,918 Net income (loss) (5,377) \$ \$ (656) \$ 25,937 Add (deduct) non-cash items: Depreciation and depletion 6,306 5.753 11.969 12,774 Deferred taxes (2,972)901 (3.900)1,274 Unrealized loss on embedded derivative, net 4,698 3,524 1,090 1,125 Share based compensation 297 1,044 1,888 1,606 (830)(252)496 (231)Changes in Operating Assets and Liabilities: Receivables and other assets (26,659)(12,178)(1,780)5,784 Prepaid and other current assets 257 (3,004)440 (3,160)2,062 (404)6,659 Inventories (5,446)Accounts payable and accrued liabilities 5,162 (3,757)(3,985)(5,417)CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES (2,575)11,544 (10,224)34.211 CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES: Capital expenditures (104,127)(57,701)(168,636)(99,704)Purchases of short-term investments (153,943)(17,267)(245,622)(50,578)Proceeds from sales of short-term investments 157,911 22,101 209,709 82,261 Other 427 (89)(41)(38)CASH USED IN INVESTING ACTIVITIES (100,248)(52,908)(67,594)(204,587)CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES: Proceeds from issuance of convertible notes 230,000 Repayment of credit facility, long-term debt and capital leases (778)(5.338)(392)(7,826)Proceeds from short-term borrowings 694 (166)Payment of debt issuance costs (8,550)Common stock repurchased (277)(372)(9) Other 36 (2) CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) FINANCING ACTIVITIES (5,513)(392)213,982 (1,057)DECREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS (108,336)(41,756)(829)(34,440)277,988 Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period 206,178 98,671 270,672 Cash and cash equivalents at end of period 97,842 \$ 236,232 97,842 236,232

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

6

#### NOTE A BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial information and the instructions for Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the three and six-month period ended June 30, 2008 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2008. The balance sheet at December 31, 2007 has been derived from the audited financial statements at that date. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in the Coeur d. Alene Mines Corporation (Coeur or the Company) Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ending December 31, 2007.

#### NOTE B SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Principles of Consolidation: The consolidated financial statements include the wholly-owned subsidiaries of the Company, the most significant of which are Coeur Rochester, Inc., Coeur Alaska, Inc., CDE Cerro Bayo Ltd., Coeur Argentina, CDE Australia, Empressa Minera Manquiri S.A., Bolnisi Gold N.L. and Palmarejo Silver and Gold Corporation. The consolidated financial statements also include all entities in which voting control of more than 50% is held by the Company. The Company has no investments in entities in which it has greater than 50% ownership interest accounted for using the equity method. Intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. Investments in corporate joint ventures where the Company has ownership of 50% or less and funds its proportionate share of expenses are accounted for under the equity method. The Company has no investments in entities in which it has a greater than 20% ownership interest accounted for using the cost method.

Revenue Recognition: Pursuant to guidance in Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 104, Revenue Recognition for Financial Statements, revenue is recognized, net of treatment and refining charges, from a sale when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred, the price is fixed or determinable, no obligations remain and collectability is probable. The passing of title to the customer is based on the terms of the sales contract. Product pricing is determined at the point revenue is recognized by reference to active and freely traded commodity markets, for example the London Bullion Market for both gold and silver, in an identical form to the product sold.

Under our concentrate sales contracts with third-party smelters, final gold and silver prices are set on a specified future quotational period, typically one to three months, after the shipment date based on market metal prices. Revenues and production costs applicable to sales are recorded on a gross basis under these contracts at the time title passes to the buyer based on the forward price for the expected settlement period. The contracts, in general, provide for a provisional payment based upon provisional assays and quoted metal prices. Final settlement is based on the average applicable price for a specified future period, and generally occurs from three to six months after shipment. Final sales are settled using smelter weights, settlement assays (average of assays exchanged and/or umpire assay results) and are priced as specified in the smelter contract. The Company s provisionally priced sales contain an embedded derivative that is required to be separated from the host contract for accounting purposes. The host contract is the receivable from the sale of concentrates measured at the forward price at the time of sale. The embedded derivative does not qualify for hedge accounting. The embedded derivative is recorded as a derivative asset, in prepaid expenses and other assets or as a derivative liability in accrued liabilities and other on the balance sheet and is adjusted to fair value through revenue each period until the date of final gold and silver settlement. The form of the material being sold, after deduction for smelting and refining is in an identical form to that sold on the London Bullion Market. The form of the product is metal in flotation concentrate, which is the final process for which the Company is responsible.

7

Coeur d Alene Mines Corporation and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) - (Continued)

(dollars in thousands, except per share, per ounce amounts)

The effects of forward sales contracts are reflected in revenue at the date the related precious metals are delivered or the contracts expire. Third party smelting and refining costs of \$3.2 million and \$2.0 million and \$5.7 million and \$3.7 million during the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, were recorded as a reduction of revenue.

At June 30, 2008, the Company had outstanding provisionally priced sales of \$63.4 million, consisting of 2.7 million ounces of silver and 16,700 ounces of gold. For each one cent per ounce change in realized silver price, revenue would vary (plus or minus) approximately \$27,000; and for each one dollar per ounce change in realized gold price, revenue would vary (plus or minus) approximately \$16,700. At June 30, 2007, the Company had outstanding provisionally priced sales of \$73.1 million, consisting of 3.8 million ounces of silver and 34,159 ounces of gold. For each one cent per ounce change in realized silver price, revenue would vary (plus or minus) approximately \$38,300; and for each one dollar per ounce change in realized gold price, revenue would vary (plus or minus) approximately \$34,200.

Short-term Investments: Short-term investments principally consist of highly-liquid United States, foreign government, and corporate securities and all are classified as available-for-sale and are reported at fair value with maturities that range from three months to forty years. Unrealized gains and losses on these investments are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income as a separate component of shareholders—equity. Any decline in market value considered to be other than temporary is recognized in determining net income/loss. Realized gains and losses from the sale of these investments are included in determining net income/loss. The Company maintains a pledge of collateral agreement to reserve \$1.0 million against the investment portfolio to cover credit exposure related to ACH transactions.

Ore on Leach Pad: The heap leach process is a process of extracting silver and gold by placing ore on an impermeable pad and applying a diluted cyanide solution that dissolves a portion of the contained silver and gold, which are then recovered in metallurgical processes.

The Company uses several integrated steps to scientifically measure the metal content of ore placed on the leach pads. As the ore body is drilled in preparation for the blasting process, samples are taken of the drill residue which is assayed to determine estimated quantities of contained metal. The Company estimates the quantity of ore by utilizing global positioning satellite survey techniques. The Company then processes the ore through crushing facilities where the output is again weighed and sampled for assaying. A metallurgical reconciliation with the data collected from the mining operation is completed with appropriate adjustments made to previous estimates. The crushed ore is then transported to the leach pad for application of the leaching solution. As the leach solution is collected from the leach pads, it is continuously sampled for assaying. The quantity of leach solution is measured by flow meters throughout the leaching and precipitation process. After precipitation, the product is converted to dorè, which is the final product produced by the mine. The inventory is stated at lower of cost or market, with cost being determined using a weighted average cost method.

The Company reported ore on leach pad of \$34.7 million as of June 30, 2008. Of this amount, \$19.2 million was reported as a current asset and \$15.5 million was reported as a non-current asset. The distinction between current and non-current is based upon the expected length of time necessary for the leaching process to remove the metals from the broken ore. The historical cost of the metal that is expected to be extracted within twelve months is classified as current and the historical cost of metals contained within the broken ore that will be extracted beyond twelve months is classified as non-current. Inventories of ore on leach pad are valued based on actual production costs incurred to produce and place ore on the leach pad, adjusted for effects on monthly production of costs of abnormal production levels, less costs allocated to minerals recovered through the leach process.

8

Coeur d Alene Mines Corporation and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) - (Continued)

(dollars in thousands, except per share, per ounce amounts)

The estimate of both the ultimate recovery expected over time and the quantity of metal that may be extracted relative to the time the leach process occurs requires the use of estimates which are inherently inaccurate since they rely upon laboratory testwork. Testwork consists of 60 day leach columns from which the Company projects metal recoveries up to five years in the future. The quantities of metal contained in the ore are based upon actual weights and assay analysis. The rate at which the leach process extracts gold and silver from the crushed ore is based upon laboratory column tests and actual experience occurring over approximately twenty years of leach pad operations at the Rochester Mine. The assumptions used by the Company to measure metal content during each stage of the inventory conversion process includes estimated recovery rates based on laboratory testing and assaying. The Company periodically reviews its estimates compared to actual experience and revises its estimates when appropriate. In August 2007, the Company terminated mining and crushing operations at the Rochester mine as ore reserves were fully mined. Residual heap leach activities are expected to continue through 2011.

Metal and Other Inventory: Inventories include concentrate ore, dorè, ore in stockpiles and operating materials and supplies. The classification of inventory is determined by the stage at which the ore is in the production process. To the extent there is work in process inventories at the Endeavor and Broken Hill mines, such amounts will be carried as inventories. Inventories of ore in stock piles are sampled for gold and silver content and are valued based on the lower of actual costs incurred or estimated net realizable value based upon the period ending prices of gold and silver. Material that does not contain a minimum quantity of gold and silver to cover estimated processing expense to recover the contained gold and silver is not classified as inventory and is assigned no value. All inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market, with cost being determined using a weighted average cost method. Concentrate and dorè inventory includes product at the mine site and product held by refineries and are also valued at lower of cost or market value. Concentrate inventories associated with the Endeavor and Broken Hill mines are held by third parties. Metal inventory costs include direct labor, materials, depreciation, depletion and amortization as well as administrative overhead costs relating to mining activities.

<u>Property, Plant, and Equipment:</u> Expenditures for new facilities, assets acquired pursuant to capital leases, new assets or expenditures that extend the useful lives of existing facilities are capitalized and depreciated using the straight-line method at rates sufficient to depreciate such costs over the shorter of estimated productive lives of such facilities or the useful life of the individual assets. Productive lives range from 7 to 31 years for buildings and improvements, 3 to 13 years for machinery and equipment and 3 to 7 years for furniture and fixtures. Certain mining equipment is depreciated using the units-of-production method based upon estimated total proven and probable reserves. Maintenance and

repairs are expensed as incurred.

Operational Mining Properties and Mine Development: Capitalization of mine development costs that meet the definition of an asset begins once all operating permits have been secured, mineralization is classified as proven and probable reserves and a final feasibility study has been completed. Mine development costs include engineering and metallurgical studies, drilling and other related costs to delineate an ore body, the removal of overburden to initially expose an ore body at open pit surface mines and the building of access ways, shafts, lateral access, drifts, ramps and other infrastructure at underground mines. Costs incurred during the start-up phase of a mine are expensed as incurred. Costs incurred before mineralization is classified as proven and probable reserves are expensed and classified as Exploration or Pre-development expense. All capitalized costs are amortized using the units of production method over the estimated life of the ore body based on recoverable ounces to be mined from proven and probable reserves. Interest expense allocable to the cost of developing mining properties and to construct new facilities is capitalized until assets are ready for their intended use. Gains or losses from sales or retirements of assets are included in other income or expense.

9

Coeur d Alene Mines Corporation and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) - (Continued)

(dollars in thousands, except per share, per ounce amounts)

Drilling and related costs incurred at our operating mines are expensed as incurred as exploration expense, unless we can conclude with a high degree of confidence, prior to the commencement of a drilling program, that the drilling costs will result in the conversion of a mineral resource into proven and probable reserves. Our assessment is based on the following factors: results from previous drill programs; results from geological models; results from a mine scoping study confirming economic viability of the resource; and preliminary estimates of mine inventory, ore grade, cash flow and mine life. In addition, the Company must have all permitting and/or contractual requirements necessary to have the right to and/or control of the future benefit from the targeted ore body. The costs of a drilling program that meet these criteria are capitalized as mine development costs. All other drilling and related costs, including those beyond the boundaries of the development and production stage properties, are expensed as incurred.

Drilling and related costs of approximately \$0.3 million and \$1.1 million, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 and \$0.8 million and \$1.7 million, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, met the criteria for capitalization listed above at our properties that are in the development and production stages.

The cost of removing overburden and waste materials to access the ore body at an open pit mine prior to the production phase are referred to as pre-stripping costs. Pre-stripping costs are capitalized during the development of an open pit mine. Stripping costs incurred during the production phase of a mine are variable production costs that are included as a component of inventory to be recognized in production costs applicable to sales in the same period as the revenue from the sale of inventory.

Mineral Interests: Significant payments related to the acquisition of the land and mineral rights are capitalized as incurred. Prior to acquiring such land or mineral rights, the Company generally makes a preliminary evaluation to determine that the property has significant potential to develop an economic ore body. The time between initial acquisition and full evaluation of a property s potential is variable and is determined by many factors including: location relative to existing infrastructure, the property s stage of development, geological controls and metal prices. If a mineable ore body is discovered, such costs are amortized when production begins using the units-of-production method based on recoverable ounces to be mined from proven and probable reserves. If no mineable ore body is discovered, such costs are expensed in the period in which it is determined the property has no future economic value. The Company amortizes its mineral interests in the Endeavor and Broken Hill mines using the units of production method.

Asset Impairment: The Company follows Statement of Financial Accounting Standard (SFAS) No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets, to evaluate the recoverability of its assets. Management reviews and evaluates its long-lived assets for impairment when events and changes in circumstances indicate that the related carrying amounts of its assets may not be recoverable. Impairment is considered to exist if total estimated future cash flows or probability-weighted cash flows on an undiscounted basis, are less than the carrying amount of the assets, including property plant and equipment, mineral property, development property, and any deferred costs. An impairment loss is measured and recorded based on the difference between book value and discounted estimated future cash flows or the application of an expected present value technique to estimate fair value in the absence of a market price. Future cash flows include estimates of recoverable ounces, gold and silver prices (considering current and historical prices, price trends and related factors), production levels and capital, all based on life-of-mine plans and projections. Assumptions underlying future cash flow estimates are subject to risks and uncertainties. If the assets are impaired, a calculation of fair value is performed and if the fair value is lower than the carrying value of the assets, the assets are reduced to their fair market value. Any differences between significant assumptions and market conditions and/or the Company s operating performance could have a material effect on the Company s determination of ore reserves, or its ability to recover the carrying amounts of its long-lived assets resulting in impairment charges. In estimating future cash flows, assets are grouped at the lowest level for which there are identifiable cash flows that are largely independent of cash flows from other asset groups. Generally, in estimating future cash flows, all assets

are grouped at a particular mine for which there is identifiable cash flow.

10

Coeur d Alene Mines Corporation and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) - (Continued) (dollars in thousands, except per share, per ounce amounts)

Restricted Cash and Cash Equivalents: The Company, under the terms of its lease, self insurance, and bonding agreements with certain banks, lending institutions and regulatory agencies, is required to collateralize certain portions of the Company's obligations. The Company has collateralized these obligations by assigning certificates of deposit that have maturity dates ranging from three months to a year, to the respective institutions or agency. At June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, the Company held certificates of deposit and cash under these agreements of \$25.9 million and \$25.8 million, respectively, restricted for this purpose. The ultimate timing for the release of the collateralized amounts is dependent on the timing and closure of each mine. In order to release the collateral, the Company must seek approval from certain government agencies responsible for monitoring the mine closure status. Collateral could also be released to the extent the Company was able to secure alternative financial assurance satisfactory to the regulatory agencies. The Company believes there is a reasonable probability that the collateral will remain in place beyond a twelve-month period and has therefore classified these investments as long-term.

Reclamation and Remediation Costs: The Company follows SFAS No. 143, Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations , which addresses financial accounting and reporting for obligations associated with the retirement of tangible long-lived assets and the associated asset retirement costs. The standard applies to legal obligations associated with the retirement of long-lived assets that result from the acquisition, construction, development and normal use of the asset. SFAS No. 143 requires that the fair value of a liability for an asset retirement obligation be recognized in the period in which it is incurred if a reasonable estimate of fair value can be made. The fair value of the liability is added to the carrying amount of the associated asset and this additional carrying amount is depreciated over the life of the asset. An accretion cost, representing the increase over time in the present value of the liability, is recorded each period in depreciation, depletion and amortization expense. As reclamation work is performed or liabilities are otherwise settled, the recorded amount of the liability is reduced.

Future remediation costs for inactive mines are accrued based on management s best estimate at the end of each period of the undiscounted costs expected to be incurred at the site. Such cost estimates include, where applicable, ongoing care and maintenance and monitoring costs. Changes in estimates are reflected in earnings in the period an estimate is revised.

<u>Foreign Currency:</u> Substantially all assets and liabilities of foreign subsidiaries are translated at exchange rates in effect at the end of each period. Revenues and expenses are translated at the average exchange rate for the period. Foreign currency transaction gains and losses are included in the determination of net income.

11

Coeur d Alene Mines Corporation and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) - (Continued)

(dollars in thousands, except per share, per ounce amounts)

<u>Derivative Financial Instruments</u>: The Company accounts for derivative financial instruments in accordance with SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, (as amended by SFAS No. 137) and SFAS No. 138, Accounting for Certain Derivative Instruments and Certain Hedging Activities. These Statements require recognition of all derivatives as either assets or liabilities on the balance sheet and measurement of those instruments at fair value. Appropriate accounting for changes in the fair value of derivatives held is dependent on whether the derivative instrument is designated and qualifies as an accounting hedge and on the classification of the hedge transaction.

For derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as cash flow hedges, the effective portions of changes in fair value of the derivative are recorded in other comprehensive income (loss), and are recognized in the Statement of Consolidated Operations when the hedged item affects net income (loss) for the period. Ineffective portions of changes in the fair value of cash flow hedges are recognized currently in earnings. Refer to Note J Derivative Financial Instruments and Fair Value of Financial Instruments.

Stock-based Compensation Plans: Effective January 1, 2006, the Company began recording compensation expense associated with awards of equity instruments in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123(R), Share-Based Payment. Prior to January 1, 2006, the Company accounted for awards of equity instruments according to the provisions of SFAS No. 123 and related

interpretations, and therefore no related compensation expense was recorded for awards granted with no intrinsic value. The Company adopted the modified prospective transition method provided for under SFAS No. 123(R), and, consequently, has not retroactively adjusted results from prior periods. Under this transition method, compensation cost associated with awards of equity instruments recognized includes: 1) amortization related to the remaining unvested portion of all awards granted for the fiscal years 1995 to 2005, based on the grant date fair value, estimated in accordance with the original provisions of SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation; and 2) amortization related to all equity instrument awards granted subsequent to December 31, 2005, based on the grant-date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123(R). The compensation costs are included in administrative and general expenses, production costs applicable to sales and the cost of self-constructed property, plant and equipment as deemed appropriate.

The Company continues to estimate the fair value of each stock option award using the Black-Scholes option valuation model. In addition, the Company estimates the fair value of performance share grants using a Monte Carlo simulation valuation model. The Company estimates forfeitures of stock based awards on historical data and periodically adjusts the forfeiture rate. The adjustment of the forfeiture rate will result in a cumulative adjustment in the period the forfeiture estimate is changed.

Income Taxes: The Company computes income taxes in accordance with SFAS No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. SFAS No. 109 requires an asset and liability approach which results in the recognition of deferred tax liabilities and assets for the expected future tax consequences or benefits of temporary differences between the financial reporting basis and the tax basis of assets and liabilities, as well as operating loss and tax credit carryforwards, using enacted tax rates in effect in the years in which the differences are expected to reverse.

In assessing the realizability of deferred tax assets, management considers whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. Management considers the scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income and tax planning strategies in making this assessment. A valuation allowance has been provided for the portion of the Company s net deferred tax assets for which it is more likely than not that they will not be realized.

12

Coeur d Alene Mines Corporation and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) - (Continued)

(dollars in thousands, except per share, per ounce amounts)

During the second quarter of 2008, the Company provided liabilities for uncertain tax positions in accordance with the requirements of FASB Interpretation No. 48, or FIN 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes. FIN 48 requires that we evaluate positions taken or expected to be taken in our income tax filings under jurisdictions where we are required to file tax returns and provide liability for any positions that do not meet a more likely than not likelihood of being sustained if examined by tax authorities. At June 30, 2008, the Company recorded an accrual of \$0.7 million to correct for immaterial errors related to uncertain tax positions, and we expect this liability to increase by approximately \$0.1 million by December 31, 2008. The Company has substantially concluded all U.S. Federal Income tax matters for years through 1999. Federal Income tax returns for 2000 through 2007 are subject to examination. The Company recognizes potential incurred interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in income tax expense. The Company and its subsidiaries are subject to U.S. federal income tax as well as income tax of multiple state and foreign jurisdictions.

<u>Comprehensive Income</u>: Comprehensive income includes net income as well as changes in stockholders equity that result from transactions and events other than those with stockholders. Items of comprehensive income include the following:

Net income (loss)
Unrealized gain (loss) on marketable securities
Change in fair value of cash flow hedges,
net of settlements
Other

Three Mo Ended Jur	7110110	Six Months Ended June 30,					
2008	2007	2008	2007				
\$ (5,377) \$ (21)	11,918 66	\$ (656) \$ (115)	25,937 161				
 (1,019)	622	 (213)	349 6				
\$ (6,417) \$	12,606	\$ (984) \$	26,453				

<u>Net Income (Loss) Per Share:</u> The Company follows SFAS No. 128, Earnings Per Share, which requires the presentation of basic and diluted earnings per share. Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing net income (loss) available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during each period. Diluted earnings per share reflect the potential dilution that could

occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock. The effect of potentially dilutive stock options and convertible Senior Notes outstanding in the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 are as follows:

	_	Three Months Ended June 30, 2008				Six Months Ended June 30, 2008				
		Income (umerator)	Shares (Denominator)	Per-Share Amount	Income (Numerator)	Shares (Denominator)	Per-Share Amount			
Basic EPS Net income (loss) available to common stockholders Effect of Dilutive Securities Equity awards 1.25% Convertible Notes	\$	(5,377)	550,096  	\$ (0.01)	\$ (656)	550,030	\$  			
<b>Diluted EPS</b> Net income (loss) available to common stockholders	\$	(5,377)	550,096	\$ (0.01)	\$ (656)	550,030	\$			

13

Coeur d Alene Mines Corporation and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) - (Continued) (dollars in thousands, except per share, per ounce amounts)

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2008					Six Months Ended June 30, 2008					
(In thousands except for EPS)		Income Jumerator)	Shares (Denominator)	_	Per-Share Amount	(1	Income Numerator)	Shares (Denominator)		Per-Share Amount	
Basic EPS  Net income available to common stockholders	\$	11,918	277,763	\$	0.04	\$	25,937	277,720	\$	0.09	
Effect of Dilutive Securities Equity awards 1.25% Convertible Notes	_	 74	793 23,684				148	801 23,684			
<b>Diluted EPS</b> Net income available to common stockholders	\$	11,992	302,240	\$	0.04	\$	26,085	302,205	\$	0.09	

Potentially dilutive shares (23,684,211 shares attributed to the 1.25% Convertible Senior Notes and 3,124,062 shares attributed to outstanding options and non-vested shares) have been excluded from the earnings per share calculation for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 as their effect was anti-dilutive. The 3 ¼% Convertible Senior Notes were not included in the computation of diluted EPS because there is no excess conversion value over the principal amount of the notes.

<u>Debt Issuance Costs:</u> Costs associated with the issuance of debt are included in other noncurrent assets and are amortized over the term of the related debt.

<u>Use of Estimates:</u> The preparation of the Company s consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in their consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. The areas requiring the use of management s estimates and assumptions relate to recoverable ounces from proven and probable reserves that are the basis of future cash flow estimates and units-of-production depreciation and

amortization calculations; useful lives utilized for depreciation, depletion and amortization; estimates of future cash flows for long lived assets; estimates of recoverable gold and silver ounces in ore on leach pad; the amount and timing of reclamation and remediation costs; valuation allowance for deferred tax assets; and other employee benefit liabilities.

Reclassifications: Certain reclassifications of prior year balances have been made to conform to the current year presentation. These reclassifications had no impact on the Company s consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows for the periods presented. On June 27, 2008 the Company reclassified approximately \$71.8 million from non-producing and development properties to operational mining properties related to the commencement of operations at the San Bartolomé mine.

#### Recent Accounting Pronouncements and Developments:

Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments

In May 2008, the FASB issued FSP No. APB 14-a Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments That May Be Settled in Cash upon Conversion (Including Partial Cash Settlement) (the FSP). The FSP applies to convertible debt instruments that, by their stated terms, may be settled in cash (or other assets) upon conversion, including partial cash settlement, unless the embedded conversion option is required to be separately accounted for as a derivative under FASB Statement No. 133. Convertible debt instruments within the scope of the FSP are not addressed by the existing APB 14. The FSP would require that the liability and equity components of convertible debt instruments within the scope of the FSP shall be separately accounted for in a manner that reflects the entity is nonconvertible debt borrowing rate. This will require an allocation of the convertible debt proceeds between the liability component and the embedded conversion option (i.e., the equity component). The difference between the principal amount of the debt and the amount of the proceeds allocated to the liability component would be reported as a debt discount and subsequently amortized to earnings over the instrument is expected life using the effective interest method. FSP APB 14-1 is effective for the Company is fiscal year beginning January 1, 2009 and will be applied retrospectively to all periods presented. The Company estimates that approximately \$140.1 million of debt discount will be recorded and the effective interest rate on the Company is 3 1/4% Convertible Senior Notes will increase by approximately 7.6 percentage points to 10.8% for the amortization of the debt discount.

14

Coeur d Alene Mines Corporation and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) - (Continued) (dollars in thousands, except per share, per ounce amounts)

## NOTE C- RECENTLY ADOPTED ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

On January 1, 2008, the Company adopted SFAS No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities (SFAS 159). SFAS 159 permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other assets and liabilities at fair value on an instrument-by-instrument basis (fair value option) with changes in fair value reported in earnings. The Company already records marketable securities at fair value in accordance with SFAS No. 115, Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities, and derivative instruments and hedging activities at fair value in accordance with SFAS 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, as amended (SFAS 133). The adoption of SFAS 159 had no impact on the financial statements as management did not elect the fair value option for any other financial instruments or certain other assets and liabilities.

On January 1, 2008, the Company adopted SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements as it relates to financial assets and financial liabilities. In February 2008, the FASB staff issued Staff Position No. 157-2 Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157" (FSP FAS 157-2). FSP FAS 157-2 delayed the effective date of SFAS 157 for nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, except for items that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually). The provisions of FSP FAS 157-2 are effective for the Company s fiscal year beginning January 1, 2009. SFAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements.

SFAS 157 defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. This standard is now the single source in GAAP for the definition of fair value, except for the fair value of leased property as defined in SFAS 13. SFAS 157 establishes a fair value hierarchy that distinguishes between (1) market participant assumptions developed based on market data obtained from independent sources (observable inputs) and (2) an entity s own assumptions about market participant assumptions developed based on the best information available in the circumstances (unobservable inputs). The fair value hierarchy consists of three broad levels, which gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy under SFAS 157 are described below:

Level 1

Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for identical, unrestricted assets or liabilities.

Level 2 Inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, including quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active; inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability (e.g., interest rates); and inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means.

15

Coeur d Alene Mines Corporation and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) - (Continued)

(dollars in thousands, except per share, per ounce amounts)

Level 3 Inputs that are both significant to the fair value measurement and unobservable.

The following table sets forth the Company s financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value by level within the fair value hierarchy. As required by SFAS 157, assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

Egin Value of June 20, 2009

	Fair Value at June 30, 2008								
	Total		Level 1		Level 2		Level 3		
Assets:									
Cash equivalents \$	40,929	\$	40,929	\$		\$			
Marketable equity securities	701		701						
Marketable debt securities	86,847		86,847						
Asset-backed commercial paper	5,289						5,289		
Derivative instruments, net	(1,351)				(1,351)				
\$	132,415	\$	128,477	\$	(1,351)	\$	5,289		
Liabilities:									
1.25% debentures \$	151,650	\$	151,650	\$		\$			
3.25% debentures	187,450		187,450						
\$	339,100	\$	339,100	\$		\$			

The Company s cash equivalents are classified within Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy because they are valued using quoted market prices. The cash equivalents that are valued based on quoted market prices in active markets are primarily comprised of commercial paper and U.S. Treasury securities.

The Company s marketable debt and equity securities are valued using quoted market prices in active markets and as such are classified within Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy. The fair value of the marketable equity securities is calculated as the quoted market price of the marketable equity security multiplied by the quantity of shares held by the Company.

The asset backed commercial paper falls within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy because there are no observable market quotes. For these instruments, management uses significant other observable inputs adjusted for various factors such as liquidity or management s best estimate of fair value.

The Company s derivative instruments are valued using quoted market prices and other significant observable inputs. Such financial instruments consist of foreign currency contracts and commodities. Such instruments are typically classified within Level 2 of the fair value

hierarchy.

The Company s outstanding debentures are classified within level 1 of the fair value hierarchy because they are valued using quoted market prices in active markets.

#### NOTE D- INVESTMENTS AND OTHER MARKETABLE SECURITIES

The Company classifies its investment securities as available-for-sale securities. Pursuant to SFAS 115, Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities , such securities are measured at fair market value in the financial statements with unrealized gains or losses recorded in other comprehensive income. At the time securities are sold or otherwise disposed of, gains or losses are included in net income. The following is a summary of available-for-sale securities (In thousands):

16

Coeur d Alene Mines Corporation and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) - (Continued) (dollars in thousands, except per share, per ounce amounts)

#### Available-For-Sale Securities

	Gros Unreali Cost Losse		l	Gross Unrealized Gains	Estimated Fair Value	
As of June 30, 2008 U.S. Corporate	\$ 35,175	\$ (9	) \$	3 2	\$ 35,168	
U.S. Government	53,497				53,497	
Total current available for sale securities	 88,672	(9	)	2	88,665	
ABCP	5,286			3	5,289	
Equity securities	 99	(1	) _	603	701	
Total available for sale securities	\$ 94,057	\$ (10	) \$	608	\$ 94,655	
As of December 31, 2007			_			
U.S. Corporate U.S. Government	\$ 2,000 51,031	\$	· \$	8	\$ 2,000 51,039	
Total current available for sale securities	53,031			8	53,039	
ABCP	5,286				5,286	
Equity securities	99		•	702	801	
	\$ 58,416	\$	\$	710	\$ 59,126	

Gross realized gains and losses are based on the carrying value (cost, net of discount or premium) of short-term investments sold or adjusted for other than temporary decline in market value. Short-term investments mature at various dates. There were no realized gains or losses in the 2008 or 2007 periods.

Asset-Backed Commercial Paper ( ABCP )

The Company acquired certain asset-backed securities in connection with the Bolnisi and Palmarejo acquisition. Palmarejo has investments in non-bank sponsored ABCP, of which \$6.3 million is invested in Apsley Trust Class E and \$0.5 million in Aurora Trust Class A.

Since a portion of the assets supporting the commercial paper was invested in U.S. sub-prime residual mortgage-backed securities, the Company determined the fair value of the investments based on the best available market data for such investments at the date of acquisition.

The Company recorded these investments at the date of the Palmarejo acquisition, as a non-current asset, at their estimated fair value of \$5.3 million. No impairments beyond the value initially recorded were deemed necessary at June 30, 2008. The fair value reported may change materially in subsequent periods.

#### NOTE E METAL AND OTHER INVENTORIES

Inventories consist of the following:

	_	June 30, 2008	]	December 31, 2007	
		(in	thou	ısands)	
Concentrate and dore inventory Supplies	\$	15,449 12,990	\$	11,221 7,697	
Metal and other inventories	\$	28,439	\$	18,918	

#### NOTE F STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION PLANS

The Company has an Annual Incentive Plan, a Long-Term Incentive Plan (the 2003 Long-Term Incentive Plan ) and the 2005 Non-Employee Directors Equity Incentive Plan (2005 Non-Employee Directors Plan ). Total employee compensation expense charged to operations and capital projects under these Plans was \$1.1 million and \$3.8 million and \$1.7 million and \$3.1 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

17

Coeur d Alene Mines Corporation and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) - (Continued) (dollars in thousands, except per share, per ounce amounts)

#### Annual Incentive Plan

Under the Annual Incentive Plan, the Board of Directors may annually approve cash-based awards to the executive officers and key management employees based on certain Company and employee performance measures. Cash payments for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, amounted to \$2.6 million and \$2.2 million, respectively, and relate to accruals for the years 2007 and 2006, respectively.

## 1989 Long-Term Incentive Plan

Under the 1989 Long-Term Incentive Plan, as amended by shareholders in 1995, the Company may grant non-qualified and incentive stock options that are exercisable at prices equal to the fair market value of the shares on the date of grant and vest cumulatively at an annual rate of one third during the three-year period following the date of grant. In addition to stock options, the Company s 1989 Long-Term Incentive Plan provides for grants of stock appreciation rights (SARs), restricted stock, restricted stock units, performance shares, performance units, cash based awards, and stock based awards.

The number of shares authorized to be issued under this plan was 2.9 million shares. There were 0.6 million shares reserved for issuance under this plan at June 30, 2008 for stock options previously awarded. No further awards will be made under this plan.

#### 2003 Long-Term Incentive Plan

The 2003 Long-Term Incentive Plan (the LTIP) was approved by our shareholders on May 20, 2003, and replaced our prior 1989 Long-Term Incentive Plan. Under the plan, we may grant nonqualified stock options, incentive stock options, SARs, restricted stock, restricted stock units, performance shares, performance units, cash-based awards and other stock-based awards to our executive officers and other key employees.

The number of shares authorized for grant under this plan was 6.8 million shares. There were 5.4 million shares reserved for issuance under this plan at June 30, 2008. Of the 5.4 million shares, 3.6 million shares can be issued for future grants. There were 1.3 million options and 0.5 million performance shares outstanding under this plan at June 30, 2008.

Non-Employee Directors Plan

On June 3, 2005, the Company s shareholders approved the 2005 Non-Employee Directors Equity Incentive Plan and authorized 500,000 shares of common stock for issuance under the plan. During the six months ended June 30, 2008 and June 30, 2007, 55,782 and 59,476 shares were issued in lieu of \$0.3 million and \$0.3 million, respectively, of Directors fees. At June 30, 2008, 0.3 million shares were reserved for issuance under this plan.

Under the previous Directors plan, options were granted only in lieu of annual directors fees. At June 30, 2008, 0.4 million shares are reserved for issuance under this plan for stock options previously awarded. No further grants of options will be made under this plan.

18

Coeur d Alene Mines Corporation and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) - (Continued) (dollars in thousands, except per share, per ounce amounts)

As of June 30, 2008 and 2007, options to purchase 2,355,816 shares and 2,467,561 shares of common stock, respectively, were outstanding under the Long-Term and the Directors Plans described above. The options are exercisable at prices ranging from \$0.74 to \$7.09 per share.

Stock options granted under the Company s incentive plans vest over three years and are exercisable over a period not to exceed ten years from the grant date. Exercise prices are equal to the fair market value of the shares on the date of the grant. The value of each option award is estimated on the date of the grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model.

Restricted stock grants are recorded based on the fair value of the underlying shares on the date of grant and vest in equal installments annually over three years. Holders of the restricted stock are entitled to vote the shares and to receive any dividends declared on the shares.

Performance share grants are valued using a Monte Carlo simulation valuation model on the date of grant. Compensation costs ultimately recognized are equal to the grant date fair value. Vesting is contingent on meeting certain market conditions based on relative total shareholder return. The performance shares vest at the end of the three-year service period if the market conditions are met and the employee remains an employee of the Company. The existence of a market condition requires recognition of compensation cost over the requisite period regardless of whether the market condition is ever satisfied.

The compensation expense recognized in the Company s consolidated financial statements for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 for awards of equity instruments was \$0.2 million and \$1.9 million and \$1.1 million and \$1.7 million, respectively. As of June 30, 2008, there was \$2.2 million of total unrecognized compensation cost (net of estimated forfeitures) related to unvested stock options, restricted stock grants and performance share grants which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average vesting period of 2.3 years.

The weighted average fair value of stock options on the date of grant, and the assumptions used to estimate the fair value of the stock options using the Black-Scholes option valuation model were as follows:

	Six Mont	hs Ended	
	June 30,		
	2008	2007	
Weighted average fair value of options granted	\$2.55	\$2.35	
Expected volatility	56.2%	58.9%	
Expected life	6 years	6 years	
Risk-free interest rate	3.0%	4.5%	
Expected dividend yield			

The expected volatility of the option is determined using historical volatilities based on historical stock prices. The Company estimated the expected life of options granted using the midpoint between the vesting date and the original contractual term. The risk free rate was determined using the yield available on U.S. Treasury Zero-coupon issues with a remaining term equal to the expected life of the option. The Company has not paid dividends on its common stock since 1996.

The following table summarizes stock option activity during the six months ended June 30, 2008:

19

Coeur d Alene Mines Corporation and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) - (Continued) (dollars in thousands, except per share, per ounce amounts)

	Shares	A	eighted verage cise Price
Stock options outstanding at December 31, 2007	2,281,595	\$	3.42
Granted	418,486		4.62
Exercised	(9,053)		3.92
Canceled/expired	(335,212)		4.95
Stock options outstanding at June 30, 2008	2,355,816	\$	3.42

Options to purchase 1,766,895 shares were exercisable at June 30, 2008 at a weighted average exercise price of \$3.06.

As of June 30, 2008, the total future compensation cost related to non-vested options not yet recognized in the statement of income was \$0.4 million and the weighted average period over which these awards are expected to be recognized was 2.3 years.

The following table summarizes restricted stock activity during the six months ended June 30, 2008:

		Weig	hted
	Number of	Average	Grant
	Shares	Date Fair	r Value
Outstanding at December 31, 2007	603,195	\$	4.20
Granted	493,366		4.40
Vested	(265,705)		4.22
Forfeited	(119,548)		4.41
Outstanding at June 30, 2008	711,308	\$	4.29

As of June 30, 2008, there was \$1.1 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to restricted awards to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.4 years.

The following table summarizes performance shares activity during the six months ended June 30, 2008:

		We	Weighted		
	Number of	Avera	age Grant		
	Shares	Date F	air Value		
Outstanding at December 31, 2007	420,543	\$	4.45		
Granted	214,847		6.27		
Forfeited	(141,520)		5.02		
Outstanding at June 30, 2008	493,870	\$	5.08		

As of June 30, 2008, there was \$0.7 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to performance shares to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.1 years.

#### **NOTE G- INCOME TAXES**

The Company computes income taxes in accordance with SFAS No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. SFAS No. 109 requires an asset and liability approach which results in the recognition of deferred tax liabilities and assets for the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between the carrying amounts and the tax basis of those assets and liabilities, as well as net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards, using enacted tax rates in effect in the years in which the differences are expected to reverse. The Company has U.S. net

operating loss carryforwards which expire in 2011 through 2025. Net operating losses in foreign countries generally have an indefinite carryforward period, however, Mexico has a 10 year carryforward period and carryforwards expire from 2016 through 2018.

2.0

Coeur d Alene Mines Corporation and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) - (Continued) (dollars in thousands, except per share, per ounce amounts)

The following table summarizes the components of the Company s income tax provision for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007:

	Three Mo	nths e 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2008		2007	2008		2007
Current:						
United States - Alternative minimum tax	\$ (988)	\$	(76)	\$ (988)	\$	(309)
United States - Foreign withholding	(227)		(283)	(404)		(666)
Argentina	(846)		(1,308)	(2,939)		(2,906)
Australia	(1,563)		(659)	(4,291)		(1,773)
Mexico	(17)			(22)		
Canada	(20)			(20)		
Bolivia	(669)			(669)		
Deferred:						
United States	1,073			1,547		
Argentina	62		(349)	371		(174)
Australia	(375)		(461)	135		(361)
Bolivia	(388)			(388)		
Chile	(211)		(91)	(1,348)		(739)
Mexico	 3,051			 3,823		
Income tax provision	\$ (1,118)	\$	(3,227)	\$ (5,193)	\$	(6,928)

The income tax provision for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 varies from the statutory rate primarily because of differences in tax rates for the Company s foreign operations and changes in valuation allowances for net deferred tax assets.

## NOTE H- SEGMENT REPORTING

Operating segments are defined as components of an enterprise about which separate financial information is available that is evaluated regularly by the chief operating decision maker, or decision-making group, in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. The Company s chief operating decision-making group is comprised of the Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, the Senior Vice President of Operations and the President of South America.

The operating segments are managed separately because each segment represents a distinct use of Company resources which contribute to Company cash flows in its respective geographic area. The Company's reportable operating segments include the Rochester, Cerro Bayo, Martha, San Bartolomé, Kensington, Palmarejo, Endeavor and Broken Hill mining properties. All operating segments are engaged in the discovery and/or mining of gold and silver and generate the majority of their revenues from the sale of these precious metal concentrates and/or refined precious metals. The Cerro Bayo and Martha mines sell precious metal concentrates, typically under long-term contracts, to smelters located in Japan (Dowa Mining Ltd.), Mexico (Met-Mex Penoles) and Germany (Nordeutsche). Refined gold and silver produced by the Rochester mine is principally sold on a spot basis to precious metals trading banks such as Standard Bank and Mitsui. Concentrates produced at the Endeavor and Broken Hill mines are sold to Nystar (formerly Zinifex), an Australia smelter. The Company's exploration programs are reported as other. The other segment also includes the corporate headquarters, elimination of intersegment transactions and other items necessary to reconcile to the consolidated amounts. The accounting policies of the operating segments are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies above. The Company evaluates performance and allocates resources based on profit or loss.

Coeur d Alene Mines Corporation and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) - (Continued) (dollars in thousands, except per share, per ounce amounts)

Financial information relating to the Company s segments is as follows:

Segment Reporting

	Rochester Mine	Cerro Bayo Mine	Martha Mine	Endeavor Mine	Broken Hill Mine	San Bartolome Mine	Kensington Project	Palmarejo Project	Other	Total
Three Months Ended June 30, 2008										
Total net sales of metals	\$ 16,045 \$	13,968 \$	5 10,271	\$ 3,443	\$ 6,297	\$	\$ \$		\$	\$ 50,024
Productions costs applicable to sales Depreciation and	11,003	8,009	4,897	197	826	(59)				24,873
depletion Exploration expense Other operating expenses	583 41 	2,214 629 879	1,344 1,250 76	552  	677  	59 148 	11 1,159	733 1,680 9,612	144 966 5,963	6,306 4,725 17,689
Interest and other income Interest expense	 	(1,716)	110 69	 	 	(1)	15	484 1,054	1,285 (256)	177 867
Income tax (benefit) expense		211	784			1,057		(3,051)	2,117	1,118
Profit (loss)	\$ 4,418 \$	310 \$	5 1,961	\$ 2,694	\$ 4,794	\$ (1,206)	\$ (1,155)	(9,544)	\$ (7,649)	\$ (5,377)
Segment assets (A) Capital expenditures	\$ 48,044 \$ \$ 151 \$			\$ 43,023 \$ 26,511	\$ 28,427 \$	\$ 248,601 \$ 30,999	\$ 319,906 \$ 9,782	\$ 1,819,768 \$ 31,279		\$ 2,616,701 \$ 104,127
	Rocheste: Mine	Cerro r Bayo Mine	Marth Mine			ll Bartol	ome Kensingt		Total	
Three Months Ended June 30, 2007										
Total net sales of metals	\$ 24,835	\$ 9,987	\$ 10,053	3 \$ 1,49	95 \$ 5,2	94 \$	\$	- \$	\$ 51,664	
Productions costs applicable to sales Depreciation and depletion Exploration expense Other operating expenses	13,093 2,764 	25,651 1,411 721 3	4,200 401 824	1 1: 4		79 34 	(1 53 53	- 121 3 951	) 26,740 5,753 2,549 5,710	
Interest and other income Interest expense Income tax expense	73	282 8 91	,	-	  	  	(2 (10	- 75		
Profit (loss)	\$ 9,051	\$ (17,616)	\$ 2,902	2 \$ 1,2	41 \$ 3,4	81 \$	\$ (44	\$ 12,903	\$ 11,918	
Segment assets (A) Capital expenditures	\$ 85,035 \$ 92	\$ 49,464 \$ 3,815				\$ 98,33 12 \$ 16,40			\$ 570,748 \$ 57,701	
	Rochester Mine	Cerro Bayo Mine	Martha Mine	Endeavor Mine	Broken Hill Mine	San Bartolome Mine	Kensington Project	Palmarejo Project	Other	Total

	Rochester Mine	Cerro Bayo Mine	Martha Mine	Endeavor Mine	Broken Hill Mine	San Bartolome Mine	Kensington Project	Palmarejo Project	Other	Total
Six Months Ended June 30, 2008										
Total net sales of metals	\$ 36,030	\$ 30,925	\$ 19,018	\$ 8,634	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 107,310
Productions costs applicable to sales Depreciation and	23,793	16,065	8,253	595	1,511	(59)				50,158
depletion	1,173	5,032	2,253	979	1,361	59		839	273	11,969
Exploration expense	84	1,492	2,249			173	33	2,682	1,755	8,468
Other operating expenses		883	85				1,309	15,397	14,324	31,998
Interest and other income	5	(394)	(62)			(1)	37	(78)	2,000	1,507
Interest expense Income tax (benefit)			69					1,054	564	1,687
expense		1,348	2,568			(1057)		(3,823)	4,043	5,193
Profit (loss)	\$ 10,985	\$ 5,711	\$ 3,479	\$ 7,060	\$ 9,831	\$ (1,231)	\$ (1,305)	\$ (16,227)	\$ (18,959)	\$ (656)
Segment assets (A) Capital expenditures	\$ 48,044 \$ 161	\$ 56,524 \$ 4,136	\$ 42,214 \$ 3,668	\$ 43,023 \$ 26,511	\$ 28,427 \$ 22	\$ 248,601 \$ 67,459	\$ 319,906 \$ 19,430	\$ 1,819,768 \$ 46,605	\$ 10,194 \$ 666	\$ 2,616,701 \$ 168,636

Coeur d Alene Mines Corporation and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) - (Continued) (dollars in thousands, except per share, per ounce amounts)

	Rochester Mine	Cerro Bayo Mine	Martha Mine	Endeavor Mine	Broken Hill Mine	San Bartolome Mine	Kensington Project	Other	Total
Six Months Ended June 30, 2007									
Total net sales of metals	\$ 52,297	\$ 19,768	\$ 18,065	3,374	9,020				\$ 102,524
Productions costs applicable									
to sales	24,849	29,895	8,481	288	1,462		(1)	(17,214)	47,760
Depreciation and depletion	7,180	2,802	751	279	1,528			234	12,774
Exploration expense		1,415	2,034				196	1,785	5,430
Other operating expenses		34	21				22	12,314	12,391
Interest and other income	126	432	(68)				1	8,375	8,866
Interest expense		19						151	170
Income tax expense		739	3,161				10	3,018	6,928
Profit (loss)	\$ 20,394	\$ (14,704)	\$ 3,549	2,807	6,030		(226)	8,087	\$ 25,937
Segment assets (A)	\$ 85,035	\$ 49,464	\$ 13,250	16,341	28,425	98,353	266,582	13,298	\$ 570,748
Capital expenditures	\$ 1,105	\$ 5,758	\$ 3,630	2,112	212	27,704	58,631	552	\$ 99,704

<sup>(</sup>A) Segment assets consist of receivables, prepaids, inventories, property, plant and equipment, and mining properties

Revenues from silver sales were \$37.5 million and \$35.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Revenues from gold sales were \$12.5 million and \$16.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Revenues from silver sales were \$80.9 million and \$70.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Revenues from gold sales were \$26.4 million and \$32.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

June 30,

	June 30,					
	2008	2007				
	-					
Assets						
Total assets for reportable						
segments	\$ 2,616,701 \$	570,748				
Cash and cash equivalents	97,842	236,232				
Short-term investments	88,665	36,270				
Other assets	73,495	40,662				
Total consolidated assets	\$ 2,876,703 \$	883,912				

23

Coeur d Alene Mines Corporation and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) - (Continued) (dollars in thousands, except per share, per ounce amounts)

## Geographic Information

Three Months Ended June 30, 2008	_	Revenues	]	Long-Lived Assets
United States Australia Chile Argentina Bolivia Mexico Other Foreign Countries	\$	16,045 9,740 13,968 10,271 	\$	324,972 66,941 27,500 20,011 221,738 1,807,341 172
Total	\$	50,024	\$	2,468,675
Three Months Ended June 30, 2007		Revenues	]	Long-Lived Assets
United States Australia Chile Argentina Bolivia Other Foreign Countries	\$	24,835 6,789 9,987 10,053 	\$	271,737 44,774 23,546 6,575 88,636 197
Total	\$	51,664	\$	435,465
Six Months Ended June 30, 2008	_	Revenues	] 	Long-Lived Assets

			_	
United States	\$	36,030	\$	324,972
Australia		21,337		66,941
Chile		30,925		27,500
Argentina		19,018		20,011
Bolivia				221,738
Mexico				1,807,341
Other Foreign Countries				172
Total	\$	107,310	\$	2,468,675
			I	ong-Lived
C. M. d. E. I. I.		Revenues	I	Long-Lived Assets
Six Months Ended		Revenues	I	Long-Lived Assets
Six Months Ended June 30, 2007		Revenues	I	-
	<u> </u>	Revenues 52,297		-
June 30, 2007				Assets
June 30, 2007 United States		52,297		Assets 271,737
June 30, 2007 United States Australia		52,297 12,394		Assets 271,737 44,774
June 30, 2007 United States Australia Chile		52,297 12,394 19,768		Assets  271,737 44,774 23,546
June 30, 2007 United States Australia Chile Argentina		52,297 12,394 19,768		271,737 44,774 23,546 6,575
June 30, 2007 United States Australia Chile Argentina Bolivia		52,297 12,394 19,768		271,737 44,774 23,546 6,575 88,636

### NOTE I- RECLAMATION AND REMEDIATION

Reclamation and remediation costs are based principally on legal and regulatory requirements. Management estimates costs associated with reclamation of mining properties as well as remediation cost for inactive properties. The Company uses assumptions about future costs, mineral prices, mineral processing recovery rates, production levels and capital and reclamation costs. Such assumptions are based on the Company s current mining plan and the best available information for making such estimates. On an ongoing basis, management evaluates its estimates and assumptions; however, actual amounts could differ from those based on such estimates and assumptions.

24

Coeur d Alene Mines Corporation and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) - (Continued) (dollars in thousands, except per share, per ounce amounts)

Changes to the Company s asset retirement obligations are as follows:

		Three Ended				Six l Ended		
	_	2008	_	2007		2008	2007	
				(in the	ousai	nds)		
Asset retirement obligation - Beginning Balance Accretion Settlements	\$	33,446 629 (638)	\$	30,168 565 (86)	\$	33,135 1,258 (956)	\$	29,909 1,130 (392)
Asset retirement obligation - Ending Balance	\$	33,437	\$	30,647	\$	33,437	\$	30,647

In addition, the Company has accrued \$1.4 million and \$1.6 million as of June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, for reclamation liabilities related to former mining activities. These amounts are also included in reclamation and mine closure liabilities.

#### NOTE J DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS AND FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The Company enters into derivative instruments to manage the Company s exposure to foreign currency exchange rates and market prices associated with changes in gold and silver commodity prices. The Company accounts for its derivative contracts in accordance with SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, as amended. Accordingly, unrealized gains and losses related to the change in fair market value of derivative contracts, which qualify and are designated as cash flow hedges, are recorded as other comprehensive income or loss and such amounts are recognized into earnings as the associated contracts are settled.

#### **Forward Foreign Exchange Contracts**

The Company, from time to time, enters into forward foreign currency exchange contracts to reduce the foreign exchange risk associated with forecasted Chilean peso operating costs for 2008 at its Cerro Bayo mine. The contracts require the Company to exchange U.S. dollars for Chilean pesos at a weighted average exchange rate of 496 pesos to each U.S. dollar. At June 30, 2008, the Company had foreign exchange contracts of \$6.0 million in U.S. dollars. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, the Company recorded a realized gain of \$0.1 million and \$0.3 million, and less than \$0.1 million and less than \$0.1 million, respectively, in connection with its foreign currency hedging program. As of June 30, 2008, the fair value of the foreign exchange contracts was a liability of \$0.3 million. Change in gains (losses) accumulated in other comprehensive income (loss) for cash flow hedging contracts are as follows:

		Three Ended.				Six Mo Ended Ju	
		2008		2007		2008	2007
					ousa	nds)	
Beginning balance Reclassification to earnings Change in fair value	\$	724 (71) (948)	\$	(333) (49) 671	\$	(82) S (306) 93	(60) (26) 375
Ending balance	\$	(295)	\$	289	\$	(295)	289
	25						

Coeur d Alene Mines Corporation and Subsidiaries

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) - (Continued)

(dollars in thousands, except per share, per ounce amounts)

#### **Concentrate Sales Contracts**

The Company enters into concentrate sales contracts with third-party smelters. The contracts, in general, provide for a provisional payment based upon provisional assays and quoted metal prices and the provisionally priced sales contain an embedded derivative that is required to be separated from the host contract for accounting purposes. The host contract is the receivable from the sale of concentrates at the forward price at the time of sale. The embedded derivative, which is the final settlement price based on a future price, does not qualify for hedge accounting. These embedded derivatives are recorded as derivative assets (in prepaid expenses and other), or derivative liabilities (in other current liabilities), on the balance sheet and are adjusted to fair value through earnings each period until the date of final settlement.

At June 30, 2008, the Company had outstanding provisionally priced sales of \$63.4 million, consisting of 2.7 million ounces of silver and 16,700 ounces of gold, which had a fair value of approximately \$62.4 million, including the embedded derivative. At June 30, 2007 the Company had outstanding receivables for provisionally priced sales of \$73.1 million, consisting of 3.8 million ounces of silver and 34,159 ounces of gold, which had a fair value of approximately \$73.1 million, including the embedded derivative.

### **Commodity Derivatives**

The Company has occasionally entered into forward metal sales contracts to manage the price risk associated with a portion of its cash flows against fluctuating gold prices. As of June 30, 2008, the Company had no outstanding forward sales contracts for either gold or silver.

## NOTE K- LONG-TERM DEBT

#### 3 1/4% Convertible Senior Notes

On March 18, 2008, the Company completed an offering of \$230 million in aggregate principal amount of Convertible Senior Notes due 2028. The notes are unsecured and bear interest at a rate of 31/4% per year, payable on March 15 and September 15 of each year, beginning on September 15, 2008. The notes mature on March 15, 2028, unless earlier converted, redeemed or repurchased by the Company.

Each holder of the notes may require that the Company repurchase some or all of the holder s notes on March 15, 2013, March 15, 2015, March 15, 2018 and March 15, 2023 at a repurchase price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the notes to be repurchased, plus accrued and unpaid interest, in cash, shares of common stock or a combination of cash and shares of common stock, at the Company s election. Holders will also have the right, following certain fundamental change transactions, to require the Company to repurchase all or any part of their notes for cash at a repurchase price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the notes to be repurchased plus accrued and unpaid interest. The Company may redeem the notes for cash in whole or in part at any time on or after March 22, 2015 at 100% of the principal amount of the notes to be redeemed plus accrued and unpaid interest.

The notes provide for net share settlement of any conversions. Pursuant to this feature, upon conversion of the notes, the Company (1) will pay the note holder an amount in cash equal to the lesser of the conversion obligation or the principal amount of the notes, and (2) will settle any excess of the conversion obligation above the notes principal amount in the Company s common stock, cash or a combination thereof, at the Company s election.

26

Coeur d Alene Mines Corporation and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) - (Continued) (dollars in thousands, except per share, per ounce amounts)

The notes will be convertible under certain circumstances, at the holder s option, at an initial conversion rate of 176.0254 shares of the Company s common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of notes, which is equivalent to an initial conversion price of approximately \$5.68 per share of common stock, subject to adjustment in certain circumstances.

The fair value of the 3¼% Convertible Senior Notes is determined by market transactions on or about June 30, 2008. The fair value of the 3¼% Senior Convertible Notes as of June 30, 2008 was \$187.5 million.

## 1 1/4% Convertible Senior Notes

The \$180.0 million principal amount of 1 1/4% Convertible Senior Notes due January 2024 outstanding at June 30, 2008 are convertible into shares of common stock at the option of the holder on January 15, 2011, 2014 and 2019, unless previously redeemed, at a conversion price of \$7.60 per share, subject to adjustment in certain circumstances.

The Company is required to make semi-annual interest payments. The notes are redeemable at the option of the Company before January 18, 2011, if the closing price of the Company s common stock over a specified number of trading days has exceeded 150% of the conversion price, and anytime thereafter. Before January 18, 2011, the redemption price is equal to 100% of the principal amount of the notes plus an amount equal to 8.75% of the principal amount of the notes, less the amount of any interest actually paid on the notes on or prior to the redemption date. The notes are due at maturity on January 15, 2024.

The fair value of the 1 ¼% notes is determined by market transactions on or about June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, respectively. The fair value of the notes as of June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007 was \$151.7 million and \$156.6 million, respectively.

#### **Bridging Debt Facility**

On October 22, 2007, Bolnisi entered into a \$20 million credit facility with Macquarie Bank Limited to fund further exploration and development of the Palmarejo silver/gold project, the exploration and development of the Yecora gold/silver project and the El Realito gold/silver project and for working capital purposes. The credit facility was extended to September 30, 2008, and bears interest at a variable rate (LIBOR) plus a margin of 2.45%. As of June 30, 2008, the Company had \$20 million outstanding under the facility which bears interest at 5.175% and is included in current portion of long-term debt and capital lease obligations.

#### **Bank Loan**

On August 30, 2007, Palmarejo entered into a temporary credit facility of \$2.0 million secured by the Company s investments in asset-backed commercial paper, to fund working capital requirements. The facility was amended on October 9, 2007. On June 3, 2008, the Company paid off the outstanding credit facility balance.

27

Coeur d Alene Mines Corporation and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) - (Continued)
(dollars in thousands, except per share, per ounce amounts)

#### NOTE L- DEFINED CONTRIBUTION, 401(k), DEFINED BENEFIT AND POST-RETIREMENT MEDICAL PLANS

### Defined Contribution Plan and 401(k) Plan

The Company provides a noncontributory defined contribution retirement plan for all eligible U.S. employees. Total plan expenses recognized in the Company s consolidated financial statements were \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, and \$0.4 million and \$0.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

The Company maintains a savings plan (which qualifies under Section 401(k) of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code) covering all eligible U.S. employees. Under the plan, employees may elect to contribute up to 100% of their cash compensation, subject to ERISA limitations. Under the matching formula in this plan, the Company matches 100% of the employee s deferral contribution to a maximum of 3% and matches 50% of the employee s deferral contribution to a maximum of an additional 1% of the employee s compensation. Employees have the option of investing in twelve different types of investment funds. Total plan expenses recognized in the Company s consolidated financial statements were \$0.1 million and \$0.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, and \$0.4 million and \$0.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

As a result of the sale of Coeur Silver Valley, the Company no longer maintains a post-retirement medical and/or defined benefit pension plans.

### NOTE M COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

#### **Labor Union Contracts**

The Company maintains two labor agreements in South America, consisting of a labor agreement with Syndicato de Trabajadores de Compañía Minera Cerro Bayo Ltd. at its Cerro Bayo mine in Chile and with Associacion Obrera Minera Argentina at its Martha mine in Argentina. The agreement at Cerro Bayo is effective from December 24, 2007 to December 21, 2010 and the agreement at Mina Martha is effective from June 30, 2008 to June 30, 2010. Additionally certain employees at San Bartolomé are covered by a labor agreement that became effective October 11, 2007. This Bolivian labor agreement does not have a fixed term. As of June 30, 2008, the Company had approximately 40% of its worldwide labor force covered by collective bargaining agreements.

## **Termination Benefits**

In September 2005, the Company established a one-time termination benefit program at the Rochester mine as the mine approaches the end of its mine life. The employees will be required to render service until they are terminated in order to be eligible for benefits. Approximately 84% of the workforce was severed by the end of the first quarter of 2008, while the remaining 16% are expected to stay on for residual leaching and reclamation activities. As of June 30, 2008, the total amount expected to be incurred under this plan is approximately \$4.9 million. The liability is recognized ratably over the minimum future service period. The amount accrued as of June 30, 2008 was \$0.5 million.

28

Coeur d Alene Mines Corporation and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) - (Continued) (dollars in thousands, except per share, per ounce amounts)

Three Months Six Months Ended June 30, Ended June 30,

Termination Benefits 26

	_	2008		2007	•	2008	2007
Designing Delance	_	(in the	ousar \$	ids) 2,009	-	(in the	,
Beginning Balance Accruals Payments		(2)	Ф	502 (330)		100 (387)	\$ 1,959 641 (419)
Ending Balance	\$	533	\$	2,181	\$	533	\$ 2,181

The Company does not have a written severance plan for any of its foreign operations including Chile, Argentina, Bolivia and Mexico. However, laws in these foreign jurisdictions require payment of certain minimum statutory termination benefits. Accordingly, in situations where minimum statutory termination benefits must be paid to the affected employees, the company records employee severance costs in accordance with SFAS No. 112, Employers Accounting for Postemployment Benefits. The Company has accrued obligations for postemployment benefits in these locations of approximately \$3.5 million as of June 30, 2008.

### **Kensington Production Royalty**

On July 7, 1995, Coeur, through its wholly-owned subsidiary, Coeur Alaska, Inc. (Coeur Alaska), acquired the 50% ownership interest of Echo Bay Exploration Inc. (Echo Bay) in the Kensington property from Echo Bay and Echo Bay Alaska, Inc. (collectively the Sellers), giving Coeur 100% ownership of the Kensington property. The property is located on the east side of Lynn Canal between Juneau and Haines, Alaska. Coeur Alaska is obligated to pay Echo Bay a scaled net smelter return royalty on 1.0 million ounces of future gold production after Coeur Alaska recoups the \$32.5 million purchase price and its construction and development expenditures incurred after July 7, 1995 in connection with placing the property into commercial production. The royalty ranges from 1% at \$400 gold prices to a maximum of 2½% at gold prices above \$475, with the royalty to be capped at 1.0 million ounces of production.

#### NOTE N- SIGNIFICANT CUSTOMERS

The Company markets its metals products and concentrates primarily to bullion trading banks and five third party smelters. These customers then sell the metals to end users for use in industry applications such as electronic circuitry, jewelry and silverware production and the manufacture and development of photographic film. Sales of metals to bullion trading banks amounted to approximately 33.6% and 51.0% of total metals sales for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Generally, the loss of a single bullion trading bank customer would not adversely affect the Company in view of the liquidity of the markets and availability of alternative trading banks.

The Company currently markets its silver and gold concentrates to third party smelters in Japan, Mexico, Australia and Germany. Sales of metals concentrates to third party smelters amounted to approximately 66.4% and 49.0% of metals sales for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The loss of any one smelter customer could have a material adverse effect in the event of the possible unavailability of alternative smelters.

## NOTE O- LITIGATION AND OTHER EVENTS

## Federal Court (Alaska) Kensington Project Permit Challenge

On September 12, 2005, three environmental groups ( Plaintiffs ) filed a lawsuit in Federal District Court in Alaska against the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers ( Corps of Engineers ) and the U.S. Forest Service ( USFS ) seeking to invalidate the permit issued to Coeur Alaska, Inc. for the Company s Kensington mine. The Plaintiffs claim the Clean Water Act ( CWA ) Section 404 permit issued by the Corps of Engineers authorizing the deposition of mine tailings into Lower Slate Lake conflicts with the CWA. They additionally claim the USFS s approval of the Amended Plan of Operations is arbitrary and capricious because it relies on the 404 permit issued by the Corps of Engineers. Following the District Court s remand of the Section 404 permit to the Corps of Engineers for further review, the Corps reinstated the Company s permit on March 29, 2006. The lawsuit challenging the permit was re-opened on April 6, 2006; Coeur Alaska filed its answer to the Amended Complaint; and Coeur Alaska, the State of Alaska, and Goldbelt, Inc., a local native corporation, were granted Defendant-Intervenor status to join the agencies in their defense of the permit.

29

On August 4, 2006, the Federal District Court in Alaska dismissed the Plaintiffs challenge and upheld the Section 404 permit. On August 7, 2006, the Plaintiffs filed a Notice of Appeal of the decision to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals (Ninth Circuit Court) and on August 9, 2006 the Plaintiffs additionally filed a Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal with the Ninth Circuit Court. The Ninth Circuit Court granted a

temporary injunction pending appeal on August 24, 2006, enjoining certain activities relating to the lake tailings facility.

On May 22, 2007, the Ninth Circuit Court reversed the District Court is August 4, 2006 decision which had upheld the Company is 404 permit and issued its opinion that remanded the case to the District Court with instructions to vacate the Company is 404 permit as well as the USFS Record of Decision approving the general tailings disposal plan and the Goldbelt 404 permit to construct the Cascade Point Marine Facility. On August 20, 2007, Coeur Alaska filed a Petition for Rehearing En Banc with the Ninth Circuit Court, as did the State of Alaska and Goldbelt, Inc. The Department of Justice, on behalf of the Corps of Engineers, and USFS additionally filed a limited Petition for Rehearing with the Ninth Circuit Court panel seeking reconsideration of the mandate of the May 22, 2007 panel decision. On October 29, 2007, the Ninth Circuit Court denied the Petitions for Rehearing En Banc. On November 14, 2007, the Ninth Circuit Court granted a stay of the mandate pending further appeal to the Supreme Court, subject to the development of a reclamation plan for the lake area. The Company and the State of Alaska filed Petitions for Certiorari to the Supreme Court of the United States on January 28, 2008. On June 27, 2008, the Supreme Court of the United States granted the State of Alaska and Coeur Alaska is Petitions for a writ of certiorari to review the decision of the Ninth Circuit Court. The Company cannot predict if it will prevail in this appeal.

#### Item 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (MD&A) is designed to provide a reader of our financial statements with a narrative from the perspective of management on our financial condition, results of operations, liquidity and other factors that may affect our future results. We believe it is important to read our MD&A in conjunction with our Annual Report on SEC Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007, as well as other publicly available information.

This document contains numerous forward-looking statements relating to the Company s gold and silver mining business. The United States Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 provides a safe harbor for certain forward-looking statements. Operating, exploration and financial data, and other statements in this document are based on information the Company believes reasonable, but involve significant risks and uncertainties, including the risks set forth under Part II Item 1A below, as to future gold and silver prices, costs, ore grades, estimation of gold and silver reserves, mining and processing conditions, changes that could result from the Company s future acquisition of new mining properties or businesses, the risks and hazards inherent in the mining business (including environmental hazards, industrial accidents, weather or geologically related conditions), regulatory and permitting matters, and risks inherent in the ownership and operation of, or investment in, mining properties or businesses in foreign countries. Actual results and timetables could vary significantly from the estimates presented. Readers are cautioned not to put undue reliance on forward-looking statements. The Company disclaims any intent or obligation to update publicly these forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

30

The MD&A includes references to total cash costs per ounce of silver produced both on an individual mine basis and on a consolidated basis. Total cash costs per ounce represent a non- U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ( GAAP ) measurement that management uses to monitor and evaluate the performance of its mining operations. A reconciliation of total cash costs per ounce to U.S. GAAP Production Expenses is also provided herein and should be referred to when reading the total cash costs per ounce measurement.

## **General**

The results of the Company s operations are significantly affected by the market prices of silver and gold, which may fluctuate widely and are affected by many factors beyond the Company s control, including, without limitation, interest rates, expectations regarding inflation, currency values, governmental decisions regarding the disposal of precious metals stockpiles, global and regional political and economic conditions, and other factors.

The average price of silver (Handy & Harman) and gold (London Final) for the six months ended June 30, 2008 was \$17.41 and \$911.36 per ounce, respectively. The market price of silver and gold on August 5, 2008 was \$16.68 per ounce and \$882.00 per ounce, respectively.

Coeur d Alene Mines Corporation is a large primary silver producer located in North America and is engaged, through its subsidiaries, in the operation and/or ownership, development and exploration of silver and gold mining properties and companies located primarily within South America (Chile, Argentina and Bolivia), the United States (Nevada and Alaska), Australia (New South Wales), Mexico (Chihuahua) and Africa (Tanzania). Coeur d Alene Mines Corporation and its subsidiaries are hereinafter referred collectively as Coeur or the Company.

The Company s business strategy is to capitalize on the ore reserve/mineralized material bases located at its operating mines and the expertise of its management team to continue as a leading primary silver production company through long-term, cash flow generating growth. The principal elements of the Company s business strategy are to: (i) increase the Company s silver production and reserves; (ii) decrease cash costs and increase production at the Company s existing silver mining operations; (iii) transform development-stage properties into producing mines; (iv) acquire operating mines, mineral interests and exploration and/or development properties with a view to reducing the Company s cash

and total costs, providing short-term positive cash flow return and expanding its silver production base and reserves; and (v) continue to explore for new silver and gold discoveries primarily near its existing mine sites and evaluate new opportunities to expand its production through acquisitions and exploration.

In addition to the matters discussed above with respect to the key factors of the Company s business strategy, the most important matters on which management is focused include:

San Bartolomé Mine. The Company commenced commercial production on June 27, 2008. Should silver production be lower than expected or if operating costs prove to be higher than currently estimated, the Company s results of operations will be adversely affected. Other uncertainties associated with the mine include logistical and other risks associated with mining in Bolivia.

<u>Palmarejo Project</u>. In December 2007, Coeur completed the acquisition of the Palmarejo project located in Mexico. Capital expenditures for this property are currently estimated to be approximately \$200 million during 2008 and construction activities there are underway. The Company completed the final feasibility study in June 2008 and now considers the mine to be in the development stage. As a result, it has begun to capitalize mine development expenditures at Palmarejo. The Company currently expects to commence commercial production during the first half of 2009. Any significant increase in the capital expenditures and/or delay in the commencement of production would adversely affect the Company s costs and liquidity.

31

Kensington Mine. The Company has continued in its effort to enable the Kensington Mine to commence production, including the completion of Kensington s mill, related surface facilities and a tunnel connecting the Kensington and Jualin properties. In addition, the Company continues to cooperate with environmental conservation groups with respect to the use of an alternative site for the construction of the mine s tailings disposal facility. On June 27, 2008, the Supreme Court of the United States granted the State of Alaska and Coeur Alaska s Petitions for a writ of certiorari to review the decision of the Ninth Circuit Court vacating the Company s 404 permit for its tailing facility. Further delay in the commencement of production at the Kensington Mine would adversely affect the Company s costs and liquidity.

Rochester Mine. As scheduled, mining activities at Rochester ceased in August 2007 as the mine entered its residual leaching phase, which is expected to continue through 2011. The Company continues to explore its options with respect to this property, including possible recommencement of operating activities related to the Company s ongoing exploration program and due to higher silver and gold prices. The absence of production being experienced in the residual leaching stage, and ultimate cessation of activities there, will result in a reduction in net sales.

Cerro Bayo Mine. The Company s top priority with respect to this mine is to reduce operating costs and continue to successfully add new ore reserves through exploration activities. As part of the ongoing operational improvement program at the Cerro Bayo mine, in April 2008, the Company announced a temporary suspension of mining operations at this mine, expected to last approximately six weeks, in order to upgrade its electrical infrastructure. On May 5, 2008, the Company announced that the electrical upgrade was completed and the mine has resumed operations ahead of schedule. The continued successful implementation of the improvement program at Cerro Bayo along with successful exploration activities will be keys to continuing to generate cash flow from this operation.

#### **Operating Highlights and Statistics**

## **South American Operations**

Cerro Bayo Mine:

In late 2007, the Company implemented a recovery plan at Cerro Bayo to address the higher costs and lower productivity experienced at the mine during the second half of 2007. Key components of this plan include increased underground mine development to provide more operational flexibility, reducing the size of the workforce, improving workforce training using more efficient mining methods, an organizational restructuring, and a cost improvement program. On April 8, 2008, the Company announced that, as part of its ongoing operational improvement program, the mine would be upgrading its electrical infrastructure in both the surface and underground facilities in order to improve operational reliability and performance, resulting in a temporary suspension of mining operations. On May 5, 2008, the Company announced the resumption of operating activities at Cerro Bayo and that the electrical upgrades had been completed ahead of schedule.

Silver production was 342,856 ounces and gold production was 6,593 ounces in the second quarter of 2008 compared to 369,500 ounces of silver and 10,218 ounces of gold in the second quarter of 2007. The silver production decrease was primarily due to a 32.3% decrease in tons mined as a result of the temporary four-week suspension of operations which occurred in April 2008 to allow for the upgrading of the mine s

electrical infrastructure. In addition, silver production was impacted by lower than expected stope tonnages and grades. Total cash costs per ounce of silver in the second quarter of 2008 were \$7.62 per ounce compared to \$7.16 per ounce in 2007.

32

Silver production was 776,886 ounces and gold production was 16,722 ounces six months ended June 30, 2008, compared to 721,448 ounces of silver and 19,646 ounces of gold in the six months ended June 30, 2007. The silver production increase was primarily due to a 9% increase in silver ore grades as a result of the recovery plan initiated late last year to improve mine productivity, grade control and organizational efficiency. Total cash costs per ounce of silver for the six months ended June 30, 2008 were \$4.06 per ounce compared to \$4.26 per ounce in 2007.

#### Martha Mine:

Commissioning of a new, standalone 260 ton per day processing facility took place at Martha during the first half of 2008. As a result, production has been hampered by mill start-up related issues and a shortage of available spare parts to address these issues. However, the Company believes these start-up related issues have now been addressed and operational results continue to improve each month.

Silver production was 614,442 ounces and gold production was 815 ounces in the second quarter of 2008, compared to 809,026 ounces of silver and 1,089 ounces of gold in the second quarter of 2007. Total cash costs per ounce for the three months ended June 30, 2008 were \$9.66 per ounce, compared to \$5.18 per ounce in 2007. The decrease in silver production was primarily due to lower than planned mill tonnages due to mill start-up related issues and lower silver ore grades as planned as compared to ore grades in the second quarter of 2007. The increase in cash costs per ounce were primarily due to the lower silver ounce production and higher costs of labor, taxes and increased royalties resulting from higher realized metal prices in the three months ended June 30, 2008 compared to 2007.

Silver production was 1,265,078 ounces in the six months ended June 30, 2008 compared to 1,432,124 ounces in 2007 respectively. The decrease in silver production was primarily due to lower planned mill tonnages due to mill start-up related issues and lower silver ore grades as planned as compared to the first half of 2007. Total cash costs per ounce for the six months ended June 30, 2008 were \$8.12 per ounce, compared to \$5.59 per ounce in 2007. The increase in total cash cost per ounce was primarily due to higher costs of labor, taxes and increased royalties resulting from higher realized metal prices in the six months ended June 30, 2008 compared to 2007.

#### San Bartolomé Mine:

The San Bartolomé Mine commercial production on June 27, 2008. Silver production during June, 2008 was 21,856 ounces of silver. Due to start-up delays the mine now expects production during the remainder of 2008 to be approximately 3.2 million ounces of silver.

#### **North American Operations**

## Rochester Mine:

In August of 2007, the Company terminated mining and crushing operations at the Rochester mine as ore reserves were fully mined. Residual heap leaching activities are now ongoing and are expected to continue through 2011. Consequently, silver production was 898,837 ounces and gold production was 6,062 ounces during the second quarter of 2008 compared to 1,227,233 ounces of silver and 14,146 ounces of gold in the second quarter of 2007. Total cash costs per ounce decreased to \$(0.89) from \$2.54 in the second quarter of 2007. The decrease in cash cost per ounce is primarily due to (i) only processing costs are being incurred now that mining activities have ceased; and (ii) by-product credits from the gold produced exceeded operating costs in the 2008 period.

Silver production was 1,579,347 ounces and gold production was 11,912 ounces during the six months ended June 30, 2008 compared to 2,410,029 ounces of silver and 28,435 ounces of gold in the six months ended June 30, 2007. Total cash costs per ounce decreased to \$(1.05) from \$3.71 in the six months ended June 30, 2007. The decrease in cash cost per ounce is primarily due to (i) only processing costs are being incurred now that mining activities have ceased; and (ii) by-product credits from the gold produced exceeded operating costs in the 2008 period.

#### **Australian Operations**

**Endeavor Mine:** 

Silver production at the Endeavor mine in the second quarter of 2008 was 228,792 ounces of silver compared to 124,441 ounces of silver in the second quarter of 2007. This 83.9% increase in silver production was primarily due to a 39.8% increase in silver ore grades as compared to the second quarter of 2007. Total cash costs per ounce of silver produced were \$2.59 in the second quarter of 2008 compared to \$2.91 in the second quarter of 2007. The decrease in cash cost per ounce is primarily due to the increase in the ounces of silver produced during the period.

Silver production at the Endeavor mine for the six months ended June 30, 2008 was 457,291 ounces of silver compared to 284,718 ounces of silver in the six months ended June 30, 2007. This 60.6% increase in silver production was primarily due to a 59.4% increase in silver ore grades as compared to the second quarter of 2007. Total cash costs per ounce of silver produced were \$2.47 in the six months ended June 30, 2008 compared to \$3.06 in the six months ended June 30, 2007. The decrease in cash cost per ounce is primarily due to the increase in ounces of silver produced during the period as compared to the first half of 2007.

On May 23, 2005, the Company acquired all of the silver production and reserves, up to a maximum of 17.7 million payable ounces, contained at the Endeavor Mine in Australia, which is owned and operated by Cobar Operations Pty. Limited ( Cobar ), a wholly-owned subsidiary of CBH Resources Ltd. ( CBH ), for \$43.7 million. The Endeavor Mine is located 720 km northwest of Sydney in New South Wales and has been in production since 1983. Under the terms of the original agreement, CDE Australia, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Coeur, paid Cobar \$15.4 million of cash at the closing. In addition, CDE Australia will pay Cobar approximately \$26.2 million upon the receipt of a report confirming that the reserves at the Endeavor mine are equal to or greater than the reported ore reserves for 2004. In January 2008, the mine met the criteria for payment of the additional \$26.2 million and was capitalized to mineral interests. On April 1, 2008, the Company paid this amount plus accrued interest at a rate of 7.5% per annum from January 24, 2008. In addition to these upfront payments, Coeur originally committed to pay Cobar an operating cost contribution of \$1.00 for each ounce of payable silver plus a further increment when the silver price exceeds \$5.23 per ounce. This further increment was to have begun on the second anniversary of this agreement and is 50% of the amount by which the silver price exceeds \$5.23 per ounce. A cost contribution of \$0.25 per ounce is also payable by Coeur in respect of new ounces of proven and probable silver reserves as they are discovered. During the first quarter of 2007, \$2.0 million was paid for additional ounces of proven and probable silver reserves under the terms of the contract. The amount was capitalized as a cost of the mineral interest acquired and is being amortized using the units of production method.

On March 28, 2006, CDE Australia Pty, Ltd. (CDE Australia) reached an agreement with CBH Resources Ltd. to modify the terms of the original silver purchase agreement. Under the modified terms, CDE Australia owns all silver production and reserves up to a maximum of 20.0 million payable ounces, up from 17.7 million payable ounces in the original agreement. The conditions relating to the second payment were also modified and tied to certain paste fill plant performance criteria and mill throughput tests. The Company has received approximately 1.8 million payable ounces to date and the current ore reserve contains approximately 14.4 million payable ounces based on current metallurgical recovery and current smelter contract terms. Expansion of the ore reserve will be required to achieve the maximum payable ounces of silver production as set forth in the modified contract. It is expected that future expansion to the ore reserve will occur as a result of the conversion of portions of the property s existing inventory of mineralized material and future exploration discoveries. CBH conducts regular exploration to discover new mineralization and to define reserves from surface and underground drilling platforms. The silver price-sharing provision is deferred until such time as Coeur has received approximately 2 million cumulative ounces of silver from the mine or June 2007, whichever is later. In addition, the silver price-sharing threshold increased to US\$7.00 per ounce, from the previous level of US\$5.23 per ounce. The Endeavor mine is a primary zinc and lead producer. During 2008, the prices for zinc and lead have declined which could impact CBH s ability to further expand the ore reserves.

34

As of June 30, 2008, the Company has recovered approximately 36.9% of the transaction consideration consisting of 1.8 million payable ounces, or 9.0%, of the 20 million maximum payable silver ounces to which the Company is entitled under the terms of the silver sale and purchase agreement. No assurances can be made that the mine will achieve its 20 million payable silver ounce target to which the Company is entitled under the terms of the silver sale and purchase agreement.

Broken Hill Mine:

Silver production at the Broken Hill Mine in the second quarter of 2008 was 382,349 ounces compared to 476,494 ounces in the second quarter of 2007. The decrease in silver production is primarily due to a decrease in silver ore grades and recoveries, partially offset by an increase in tons mined. Total cash costs per ounce of silver production were \$3.66 in the second quarter of 2008 compared to \$3.20 in the second quarter of 2007. The increase is primarily due to higher smelting, refining and transportation costs.

Australian Operations 31

Silver production at the Broken Hill Mine in the six months ended June 30, 2008 was 768,829 ounces compared to 779,342 ounces in the six months ended June 30, 2007. The decrease in silver production is primarily due to a 15.6% decrease in silver ore grades. Total cash costs per ounce of silver production were \$3.69 in the six months ended June 30, 2008 compared to \$3.19 in the 2007. The increase is primarily due to higher smelting, refining and transportation costs.

On September 8, 2005, the Company acquired all of the silver production and reserves, up to a maximum of 17.2 million payable ounces (24.5 million contained ounces), contained at the Broken Hill mine in Australia, which is owned and operated by Perilya Broken Hill Ltd. (PBH) for \$36.9 million. In addition, CDE Australia pays PBH an operating cost contribution of approximately \$2.00 for each ounce of payable silver. Under the terms of the agreement, PBH may earn up to US\$0.75 million per year of additional consideration by meeting certain silver production thresholds. No additional payments pursuant to production thresholds were made during the second quarter of 2008.

As of June 30, 2008, the Company has recovered approximately 109.8% of the transaction consideration consisting of 4.9 million payable ounces, or 28.3%, of the 17.2 million payable silver ounces to which the Company is entitled to under the terms of the silver sale agreement. No assurances can be made that the mine will achieve its 17.2 million payable silver ounce target to which the Company is entitled under the terms of the silver sale and purchase agreement.

35

#### **Operating Statistics From Continuing Operations**

The following table presents information by mine and consolidated sales information for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2008 and 2007:

	Three Months End	led June 30,	Six Months Ended	l June 30,		
	2008	2007	2008	2007		
Rochester						
Tons processed		2,065,481		4,148,753		
Ore grade/Ag oz		0.59		0.67		
Ore grade/Au oz		.006		.007		
Recovery/Ag oz (A)		100.7%		86.2%		
Recovery/Au oz (A)		117.7%		103.6%		
Silver production ounces	898,837	1,227,233	1,579,347	2,410,029		
Gold production ounces	6,062	14,146	11,912	28,435		
Cash cost/oz	\$ (.89) \$	2.54 \$	(1.05) \$	3.71		
Total cost/oz	\$ (.24) \$	5.21 \$	(.24) \$	6.96		
Cerro Bayo						
Tons milled	67,063	99,095	158,581	157,545		
Ore grade/Ag oz	5.45	3.92	5.25	4.82		
Ore grade/Au oz	.126	.110	.124	.133		
Recovery/Ag oz	93.8%	95.1%	93.3%	95.0%		
Recovery/Au oz	77.7%	93.4%	84.8%	93.7%		
Silver production ounces	342,856	369,500	776,886	721,448		
Gold production ounces	6,593	10,218	16,722	19,646		
Cash cost/oz	\$ 7.62 \$	7.16 \$	4.06 \$	4.26		
Total cost/oz	\$ 13.96 \$	10.91 \$	10.44 \$	8.07		
Martha Mine						
Tons milled	13,170	9,663	22,147	17,864		
Ore grade/Ag oz	49.26	89.12	59.47	84.77		
Ore grade/Au oz	.065	.122	.071	.115		
Recovery/Ag oz	94.7%	93.9%	96.0%	94.6%		
Recovery/Au oz	95.3%	92.5%	92.8%	93.3%		
Silver production ounces	614,442	809,026	1,265,078	1,432,124		
Gold production ounces	815	1,089	1,469	1,924		
Cash cost/oz	\$ 9.66 \$	5.18 \$	8.12 \$	5.59		
Total cost/oz	\$ 11.84 \$	5.57 \$	10.12 \$	6.00		
Endeavor						
Tons milled	281,991	251,785	529,153	537,865		
Ore grade/Ag oz	1.44	1.03	1.53	0.96		
Recovery/Ag oz	56.5%	48.2%	56.6%	55.1%		
Silver production ounces	228,791	124,441	457,290	284,718		
Cash cost/oz	\$ 2.59 \$	2.91 \$	2.47 \$	3.06		
Total cost/oz	\$ 5.00 \$	3.89 \$	4.61 \$	4.04		
Broken Hill						

		Three Month	s Ende	ed June 30,		Six Months	June 30,		
Tons milled		526,197		447,771		1,027,167		749,388	
Ore grade/Ag oz		1.02		1.28		1.03		1.22	
Recovery/Ag oz		71.0%	,	83.2%	,	72.6%	)	85.0%	
Silver production ounces		382,349		476,494		768,829		779,342	
Cash cost/oz	\$	3.66	\$	3.20	\$	3.69	\$	3.19	
Total cost/oz	\$	5.43	\$	5.16	\$	5.46	\$	5.15	
San Bartolomé (B)									
Tons milled		17,078				17,078			
Ore grade/Ag oz		8.15				8.15			
Recovery/Ag oz		15.7%	,			15.7%	)		
Silver production ounces		21,856				21,856			
Cash cost/oz	\$	13.15			\$	13.15			
Total cost/oz	\$	15.85			\$	15.85			
CONSOLIDATED PRODUCTION TOTALS									
Silver ounces		2,489,131		3,006,694		4,869,286		5,627,661	
Gold ounces		13,470		25,453		30,103		50,005	
Cash cost per oz/silver	\$	4.03	\$	3.93	\$	3.29	\$	4.15	
Total cost/oz	\$	6.19	\$	5.94	\$	5.58	\$	6.45	
CONSOLIDATED SALES TOTALS(C)									
Silver ounces sold		2,270,588		2,805,479		4,682,905		5,481,913	
Gold ounces sold		15,168		25,520		29,930		50,152	
Realized price per silver ounce	\$	18.84	\$	13.47	\$	18.64	\$	13.60	
Realized price per gold ounce	\$	987.70	\$	664.57	\$	976.68	\$	655.06	
	36								

- (A) The leach cycle at Rochester requires 5 to 10 years to recover gold and silver contained in the ore. The Company estimates the ultimate recovery to be approximately 61.5% for silver and 93% for gold. However, ultimate recoveries will not be known until leaching operations cease, which is currently estimated for 2011. Current recovery may vary significantly from ultimate recovery. In August 2007, mining and crushing activities were terminated and ore reserves were fully mined. See Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates Ore on Leach Pad.
- (B) San Bartolomé commenced start-up activities on June 27, 2008. Mine statistics do not represent normal operating results. It is expected that the Company will achieve normal operating levels by the end of 2008.
- (C) Units sold at realized metal prices will not match reported metal sales due primarily to the effects on revenues of mark-to-market adjustments on embedded derivatives in the Company s provisionally priced sales contracts.

Cash Costs per Ounce are calculated by dividing the cash costs computed for each of the Company s mining properties for a specified period by the amount of gold ounces or silver ounces produced by that property during that same period. Management uses cash costs per ounce as a key indicator of the profitability of each of its mining properties. Gold and silver are sold and priced in the world financial markets on a US dollar per ounce basis.

Cash Costs are costs directly related to the physical activities of producing silver and gold, and include mining, processing and other plant costs, third-party refining and smelting costs, marketing expense, on-site general and administrative costs, royalties, in-mine drilling expenditures that are related to production and other direct costs. Sales of by-product metals are deducted from the above in computing cash costs. Cash costs exclude depreciation, depletion and amortization, corporate general and administrative expense, exploration, interest, and pre-feasibility costs and accruals for mine reclamation. Cash costs are calculated and presented using the Gold Institute Production Cost Standard applied consistently for all periods presented.

Total cash costs per ounce is a non-GAAP measurement and investors are cautioned not to place undue reliance on it and are urged to read all GAAP accounting disclosures presented in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying footnotes. In addition, see the reconciliation of cash costs to production costs set forth below.

The following tables present a reconciliation between non-GAAP cash costs per ounce to GAAP production costs applicable to sales reported in the Statement of Operations:

#### THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2008

(In thousands except ounces and per ounce costs)

	R	Rochester		erro Bayo	Martha		Endeavor		Broken Hill		San Bartolomé		Total		
Production of Silver (ounces) Cash Costs per ounce	\$	898,837 (0.89)	\$	342,856 7.62	\$	614,442 9.66	\$	228,791 2.59	\$	382,349 3.66	\$	21,856 13.15	\$	,489,131 4.03	
Total Cash Costs	\$	(799)	\$	2,613	\$	5,934	\$	592	\$	1,400	\$	287	\$	10,027	

Add/Subtract:								
Third party smelting costs			(1,163)	(1,089)	(369)	(653)		(3,274)
By-product credit		5,437	5,894	729				12,060
Other adjustments		(3)		116				113
Change in inventory		6,365	665	(793)	(26)	79	(346)	5,944
Depreciation, depletion and amortization		583	2,173	1,226	551	677	59	5,269
Production costs applicable to sales	\$ 1	1,583	\$ 10,182	\$ 6,123	\$ 748	\$ 1,503	\$ 	\$ 30,139

37

(In thousands except ounces and per ounce costs)	I	Rochester	C	erro Bayo		Martha	_1	Endeavor	В	roken Hill	]	San Bartolomé		Total
Production of Silver (ounces) Cash Costs per ounce	1	,579,347 (1.05)	\$	776,886 4.06	\$	,265,078	\$	457,290 2.47	\$	768,829 3.69	\$	21,856 13.15	4 \$	,869,286
Total Cash Costs	\$	(1,654)	\$	3,157	\$	10,274	\$	1,130	\$	2,836	\$	287	\$	16,030
Add/Subtract:				(2.105)		(4.460)		(600)		(1.001)				(5.004)
Third party smelting costs		10.020		(2,407)		(1,463)		(680)		(1,331)				(5,881)
By-product credit Other adjustments		10,830 100		15,359		1,341 470								27,530 570
Change in inventory		14,515		(43)		(2,369)		145		6		(346)		11,908
Depreciation, depletion and amortization		1,173		4,951		2,063		979		1,361		59		10,586
Production costs applicable to sales	\$	24,964	\$	21,017	\$	10,316	\$	1,574	\$	2,872	\$		\$	60,743
THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2007 (In thousands except ounces and per ounce costs)	] -	Rochester	_	Cerro Bayo	·	Martha	<u>]</u>	Endeavor	B	roken Hill		Total		
Production of Silver (ounces) Cash Costs per ounce	1 \$	,227,233	\$	369,500 7.16	\$	809,026 5.18	\$	124,441 2.91	\$	476,494 3.20	\$	3,006,694 3.93		
•														
Total Cash Costs (Non-GAAP) Add/Subtract:	\$	3,112	\$	2,645	\$	4,190	\$	362	\$	1,525	\$	11,834		
Third party smelting costs				(845)		(464)		(249)		(600)		(2,158)		
By-product credit (1)		9,420		6,776		726						16,922		
Other adjustments Change in inventory		511 205		(546)				 19		 (47)		511 (369)		
Depreciation, depletion and amortization		2,765		1,384		313		122		934		5,518		
Production costs applicable to sales, including depreciation,	Φ.	16.012		0.414	Φ.	A 77.5	Ф	254	ф	1.010	Φ.	22.250		
depletion and amortization (GAAP)	\$	16,013	\$	9,414	\$	4,765	\$	254	\$	1,812	\$	32,258		
SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2007 (In thousands except ounces and per ounce costs)														
•	I	Rochester	C	erro Bayo	_	Martha		Endeavor	В	roken Hill		Total		
Production of Silver (ounces)	2	,410,029		721,448	1	,432,124		284,718	_	779,342		5,627,661		

Cash Costs per ounce	\$	3.71	\$	4.26	\$ 5.59	\$ 3.06	\$	3.19	\$ 4.15
Total Cash Costs (Non-GAAP)	\$	8,934	\$	3,071	\$ 7,999	\$ 873	\$	2,483	\$ 23,360
Add/Subtract:									
Third party smelting costs				(1,452)	(982)	(616)		(968)	(4,018)
By-product credit (1)		18,696		12,914	1,271				32,881
Other adjustments		650							650
Change in inventory		(3,276)		(2,333)	518	32		(54)	(5,113)
Depreciation, depletion and amortization		7,181		2,749	584	279		1,528	12,321
Production costs applicable to sales, including depreciation,									
depletion and amortization (GAAP)	\$	32,185	\$	14,949	\$ 9,390	\$ 568	\$	2,989	\$ 60,081
	_		_		 	 	_		 

38

### **Exploration Activity**

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, the Company incurred costs of approximately \$5.1 and \$9.6 million, respectively, related to its global exploration program including drilling and related costs of \$0.3 million and \$1.1 million, respectively, capitalized as mine development. The majority of this was devoted to exploration around its large operating properties.

#### Cerro Bayo Mine (Chile)

Exploration at Cerro Bayo during the second quarter of 2008 focused on reserve development/delineation drilling and discovery of new mineralization at the Coigues Este area, which occurs about one kilometer east of the mill facility. In addition, exploratory drilling was also conducted in the Cascada and Marcela Sur areas in the southern and eastern parts of the Cerro Bayo district. Approximately 15,250 meters (50,000 feet) were drilled in the two programs. Positive results were received from both programs and are expected to result in additional reserves and mineralized material.

## Martha Mine (Argentina)

At Martha, 3,550 meters (11,600 feet) of drilling was completed during the second quarter of 2008 to expand reserves and discover new mineralization. The focus of this work was at the Martha mine and the new Betty and Isabel vein systems to the north of the mine. Drilling will continue throughout the year on these and other targets in the Martha mine district.

In addition to its exploration program near the Martha mine, the Company also conducts exploration in other parts of the Santa Cruz province of Argentina. In the second quarter of 2008, the Company focused this effort on the fully owned Lejano property, and on the Joaquin, Sol de Mayo and Satelite properties. Joaquin is one of two properties on which the Company has an option to acquire a joint venture interest with Mirasol Resources Ltd. Sol de Mayo and Satelite are controlled by the Company under separate options to purchase with private Argentina business interests. At Lejano, the Company completed 542 meters (1,777 feet) of drilling in the second quarter before onset of winter conditions.

### Palmarejo (Mexico)

Exploration is underway at the Company s new Palmarejo property in the Sierra Madre Occidental of northern Mexico. The focus of this work was drilling on the Guadalupe deposit designed to expand the size of the known silver and gold mineralization. A total of 1,138 meters (4,390 feet) of drilling was completed in the quarter. The Company is planning to increase its drilling activities in the second half of the year with the main focus to be on the Guadalupe and Palmarejo zones.

#### Tanzania (Africa)

In the second quarter of 2008, the Company continued exploration on its properties in the Lake Victoria Goldfields District of northern Tanzania, consisting of additional mapping, sampling, structural geology studies and data modeling to refine the next phase of drilling. Drilling sites were prepared at Kiziba Hill and core drilling commenced in July, 2008.

Exploration Activity 35

#### **Development Projects:**

San Bartolomé (Bolivia)

During 2004, the Company completed an updated feasibility study, obtained all required permits and commenced construction of the San Bartolomé mine. The Company estimates the total capital cost (excluding political risk insurance premiums and capitalized interest) at San Bartolomé to be approximately \$228 million, of which \$188.4 million has been incurred as of June 30, 2008. The Company estimates initial operating costs, once the plant achieves full scale operations, of \$4.10 per silver ounce, excluding insurance, royalties and production taxes of \$2.03 per ounce. The Company commenced commercial production at San Bartolomé on June 27, 2008. Due to start-up related delays, the Company now expects production during the remainder of the year to be approximately 3.2 million ounces of silver. San Bartolomé is expected to produce approximately 9 million ounces of silver during 2009. No assurances can be made that the project will achieve its production targets and cost estimates discussed above.

39

The San Bartolomé project involves risks that are inherent in any mining venture, as well as particular risks associated with the location of the project. Also, managing mining projects in the altiplano area of Bolivia, where Cerro Rico is located, presents logistical challenges. The political and cultural differences of Bolivia may also present challenges.

The Company obtained a political risk insurance policy from the Overseas Private Insurance Corporation (OPIC) and another private insurer. The policy is in the amount of \$155 million and covers 85% of any loss arising from expropriation, political violence or currency inconvertibility. The policy is expected to cost approximately \$3.4 million per year, which is capitalized during the development and construction phases and included as a cost of inventory produced (at approximately \$0.21 per ounce of silver produced) when the project commences commercial production.

Palmarejo (Mexico)

On December 21, 2007, the Company acquired all of the outstanding stock of Bolnisi Gold NL (Bolnisi), an Australian company listed on the Australian Stock Exchange, and Palmarejo Silver and Gold Corporation (Palmarejo) a Canadian company listed on the TSX Venture Exchange. The principal asset of Bolnisi was its ownership of 72.8% of the outstanding common shares of Palmarejo, which was actively exploring the Palmarejo project and other exploration stage properties in Mexico. Coeur is currently constructing the Palmarejo project and expects to commence commercial production in the first half of 2009.

The Palmarejo project is located in the state of Chihuahua in northern Mexico. The Palmarejo projects consists of approximately 12,115 hectares covered by mining concessions, of which about 11,817 hectares are owned outright by Planet Gold, a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, with an additional 226 hectares held by means of leases and options to purchase, which agreements are summarized below. In addition, Planet Gold has obtained the rights to, but has not yet made all payments to complete, the purchase of 72 additional hectares. In addition to the Palmarejo project, the Company also acquired the Yecora exploration-stage property located in Sonora, on the border with Chihuahua and the El Realito and La Guitarra exploration-stage properties in Chihuahua.

The Palmarejo project contains a number of mineralized zones or areas of interest. The most important of these to date is the Palmarejo zone in the far north of the concessions based on the northwest-southeast trending La Prieta and La Blanca gold-silver bearing structures. In addition to Palmarejo, mineralized vein and alteration systems have been identified in the district, roughly sub-parallel to the Palmarejo zone. The most significant of these additional targets are the Guadalupe (including Animas) and La Patria vein systems in the southern part of the property and are currently under investigation by the Company s exploration teams.

On June 10, 2008, Coeur announced the results of a feasibility study for the Palmarejo silver/gold open pit/underground project. The feasibility study reflects results from geologic, engineering and economic analyses for only the Palmarejo deposit. The Company expects to update the results of this study later this year to reflect results from its ongoing exploration and development work this year, which it expects will further increase ore reserves, mineralized material, production levels, mine life and cash flow.

40

This study established the first proven and probable mineral reserve for the Palmarejo project. This initial ore reserve consists of 62.4 million silver ounces and 0.75 million gold ounces.

Capital costs to achieve commercial production at Palmarejo were also updated as part of the feasibility study. These capital costs are expected to be approximately \$200 million in 2008 and the Company expects to spend approximately \$63 million in 2009 to achieve

commercial production. Production is expected to commence during the first half of 2009, with silver production anticipated to be 5.1 million ounces and gold production estimated to be 67,000 ounces during this initial year of operations. This feasibility study based on \$11/oz silver prices and \$600/oz gold prices anticipates an initial mine life of nine years. On average, cash costs per ounce of silver are expected to be negative over the life of the mine as a result of the gold by-product credit. No assurances can be made that the project will achieve its schedule, production or cost estimates.

The Company has budgeted over \$8.0 million for exploration at the Palmarejo District in 2008, its first year of exploration since completion of the acquisition, in an attempt to discover new silver and gold mineralization and define new ore reserves.

#### Kensington (Alaska)

The Kensington property, which contains the project s reserves, consists of over 6,100 acres of patented (750 acres) and unpatented federal mining claims and state claims. The adjacent Jualin property to the south consists of 9,236 acres of patented and unpatented federal mining claims and state claims.

On July 7, 1995, Coeur, through its wholly-owned subsidiary, Coeur Alaska, Inc. (Coeur Alaska), acquired the 50% ownership interest of Echo Bay Exploration Inc. (Echo Bay) in the Kensington property from Echo Bay and Echo Bay Alaska, Inc. (collectively the Sellers), giving Coeur 100% ownership of the Kensington property. The property is located on the east side of Lynn Canal between Juneau and Haines, Alaska. Coeur Alaska is obligated to pay Echo Bay a scaled net smelter return royalty on 1.0 million ounces of future gold production after Coeur Alaska recoups the \$32.5 million purchase price and its construction and development expenditures incurred after July 7, 1995 in connection with placing the property into commercial production. The royalty ranges from 1% at \$400 gold prices to a maximum of 2½% at gold prices above \$475, with the royalty to be capped at 1.0 million ounces of production.

On September 12, 2005, three environmental groups ( Plaintiffs ) filed a lawsuit in Federal District Court in Alaska against the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers ( Corps of Engineers ) and the U.S. Forest Service ( USFS ) seeking to invalidate the permit issued to Coeur Alaska, Inc. for the Company s Kensington mine. The Plaintiffs claim the Clean Water Act ( CWA ) Section 404 permit issued by the Corps of Engineers authorizing the deposition of mine tailings into Lower Slate Lake conflicts with the CWA. They additionally claim the USFS s approval of the Amended Plan of Operations is arbitrary and capricious because it relies on the 404 permit issued by the Corps of Engineers. Following the District Court s remand of the Section 404 permit to the Corps of Engineers for further review, the Corps reinstated the Company s permit on March 29, 2006. The lawsuit challenging the permit was re-opened on April 6, 2006; Coeur Alaska filed its answer to the Amended Complaint; and Coeur Alaska, the State of Alaska, and Goldbelt, Inc., a local native corporation, were granted Defendant-Intervenor status to join the agencies in their defense of the permit.

On August 4, 2006, the Federal District Court in Alaska dismissed the Plaintiffs challenge and upheld the Section 404 permit. On August 7, 2006, the Plaintiffs filed a Notice of Appeal of the decision to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals (Ninth Circuit Court) and on August 9, 2006 the Plaintiffs additionally filed a Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal with the Ninth Circuit Court. The Ninth Circuit Court granted a temporary injunction pending appeal on August 24, 2006, enjoining certain activities relating to the lake tailings facility.

41

On May 22, 2007, the Ninth Circuit Court reversed the District Court is August 4, 2006 decision which had upheld the Company is 404 permit and issued its opinion that remanded the case to the District Court with instructions to vacate the Company is 404 permit as well as the USFS Record of Decision approving the general tailings disposal plan and the Goldbelt 404 permit to construct the Cascade Point Marine Facility. On August 20, 2007, Coeur Alaska filed a Petition for Rehearing En Banc with the Ninth Circuit Court, as did the State of Alaska and Goldbelt, Inc. The Department of Justice, on behalf of the Corps of Engineers, and USFS additionally filed a limited Petition for Rehearing with the Ninth Circuit Court panel seeking reconsideration of the mandate of the May 22, 2007 panel decision. On October 29, 2007, the Ninth Circuit Court denied the Petitions for Rehearing En Banc. On November 14, 2007, the Ninth Circuit Court granted a stay of the mandate pending further appeal to the Supreme Court, subject to the development of a reclamation plan for the lake area. The Company and the State of Alaska filed Petitions for Certiorari to the Supreme Court of the United States on January 28, 2008. On June 27, 2008, the Supreme Court of the United States granted the State of Alaska and Coeur Alaska is Petitions for a writ of certiorari to review the decision of the Ninth Circuit Court.

The Company cannot predict if it will prevail in this appeal. In the event that the Company does not prevail, the Company has identified an alternate site for the tailings disposal facility which it believes can be permitted and has submitted a modified plan of operations to the USFS. As a part of the modified plan of operations, the Company entered into a Memorandum of Understanding in April 2008 with Goldbelt Incorporated, an Alaska Native corporation, focusing on development of an alternative transportation plan that would transport mine workers from Juneau to Yankee Cove, and then by boat from Yankee Cove to the Kensington mine site.

The Kensington litigation has contributed to an increase in capital costs. The Company has expended approximately \$277.7 million (excluding capitalized interest) as of June 30, 2008. Total expenditures by the Company at the Kensington property in the three months ended June 30, 2008 were \$9.8 million and \$19.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008. Such expenditures were used to continue the

permitting and development activities. The Company plans to spend approximately \$20.7 million on the project during the remainder of 2008. Based upon the Company s current estimates, an impairment writedown could be necessary should the expectation of the long-term price for gold decrease below approximately \$625 per ounce. As of June 30, 2008, the carrying value of the Kensington project s long-lived assets was \$317.3 million.

The Company believes that commercial production could commence in late 2009, subject to successful resolution of the permitting and litigation issues described above and completion of associated construction of the tailings facility. No assurances can be made that the project will achieve its schedule and cost estimates as discussed above. Further, no assurance can be given as to whether or when regulatory permits and approvals granted to the Company may be further challenged, appealed or contested by third parties or issuing agencies, or as to whether the Company will ultimately place the Kensington project into commercial production.

### **Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

Management considers the following policies to be most critical in understanding the judgments that are involved in preparing the Company s consolidated financial statements and the uncertainties that could impact its results of operations, financial condition and cash flows. Our consolidated financial statements are impacted by the accounting policies used and the estimates and assumptions made by management during their preparation. We have identified the policies below as critical to our business operations and the understanding of our results of operations. Management s Discussion and Analysis of our Financial Condition and Results of Operations are based on our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (GAAP). The preparation of these statements requires that we make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of our financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. We base these estimates on historical experience and on assumptions that we consider reasonable under the circumstances; however, reported results could differ from those based on the current estimates under different assumptions or conditions. The impact and any associated risks related to these policies on our business operations are discussed throughout Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. The areas requiring the use of management s estimates and assumptions relate to recoverable ounces from proven and probable reserves that are the basis of future cash flow estimates and units-of-production depreciation and amortization calculations; useful lives utilized for depreciation, depletion, amortization and accretion of future cash flows for long lived assets; estimates of recoverable gold and silver ounces in ore on leach pad; reclamation and remediation costs; valuation allowance for deferred tax assets; and post-employment and other employee benefit liabilities. For a detailed discussion on the application of these and other accounting policies, see Note B in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of this Form 10-Q.

42

**Revenue Recognition**. Pursuant to guidance in Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 104, Revenue Recognition for Financial Statements, revenue is recognized when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred, the price is fixed or determinable, no obligations remain and collectability is probable. The passing of title to the customer is based on the terms of the sales contract. Product pricing is determined at the point revenue is recognized by reference to active and freely traded commodity markets, for example the London Bullion Market for both gold and silver, in an identical form to the product sold.

Under our concentrate sales contracts with third-party smelters, final gold and silver prices are set on a specified future quotational period, typically one to three months, after the shipment date based on market metal prices. Revenues are recorded under these contracts at the time title passes to the buyer based on the forward price for the expected settlement period. The contracts, in general, provide for a provisional payment based upon provisional assays and quoted metal prices. Final settlement is based on the average applicable price for a specified future period, and generally occurs from three to six months after shipment. Final sales are settled using smelter weights, settlement assays (average of assays exchanged and/or umpire assay results) and are priced as specified in the smelter contract. The Company s provisionally priced sales contain an embedded derivative that is required to be separated from the host contract for accounting purposes. The host contract is the receivable from the sale of concentrates at the forward price at the time of sale. The embedded derivative does not qualify for hedge accounting. The embedded derivative is recorded as a derivative asset in prepaid expenses and other, or a derivative liability on the balance sheet and is adjusted to fair value through revenue each period until the date of final gold and silver settlement. The form of the material being sold, after deduction for smelting and refining, is in an identical form to that sold on the London Bullion Market. The form of the product is metal in flotation concentrate, which is the final process for which the Company is responsible.

The effects of forward sales contracts are reflected in revenue at the date the related precious metals are delivered or the contracts expire. Third party smelting and refining costs are recorded as a reduction of revenue.

At June 30, 2008, the Company had outstanding provisionally priced sales of \$63.4 million, consisting of 2.7 million ounces of silver and 16,700 ounces of gold, which had a fair value of approximately \$62.4 million including the embedded derivative. For each one cent per ounce change in realized silver price, revenue would vary (plus or minus) approximately \$27,000 and for each one dollar per ounce change in realized gold price, revenue would vary (plus or minus) approximately \$16,700. At June 30, 2007, the Company had outstanding provisionally priced sales of \$73.1 million consisting of 3.8 million ounces of silver and 34,159 ounces of gold. For each one cent per ounce change in realized silver

price, revenue would vary (plus or minus) approximately \$38,300; and for each one dollar per ounce change in realized gold price, revenue would vary (plus or minus) approximately \$34,200.

43

Estimates. The preparation of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amount of assets and liabilities, disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of our financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. There can be no assurance that actual results will not differ from those estimates. The most critical accounting principles upon which the Company s financial status depends are those requiring estimates of recoverable ounces from proven and probable reserves and/or assumptions of future commodity prices. There are a number of uncertainties inherent in estimating quantities of reserves, including many factors beyond our control. Ore reserves estimates are based upon engineering evaluations of samplings of drill holes and other openings. These estimates involve assumptions regarding future silver and gold prices, the geology of our mines, the mining methods we use and the related costs we incur to develop and mine our reserves. Changes in these assumptions could result in material adjustments to our reserve estimates. We use reserve estimates in determining the units-of-production depreciation and amortization expense, as well as in evaluating mine asset impairments.

We review and evaluate our long-lived assets for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the related carrying amounts may not be recoverable. We utilize the methodology set forth in Statement of Financial Accounting Standard (SFAS) No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets, to evaluate the recoverability of its assets. An impairment is considered to exist if total estimated future cash flows or probability-weighted cash flows on an undiscounted basis is less than the carrying amount of the assets, including property, plant and equipment, mineral property, development property, and any deferred costs. The accounting estimates related to impairment are critical accounting estimates because the future cash flows used to determine whether an impairment exists is dependent on reserve estimates and other assumptions, including silver and gold prices, production levels, and capital and reclamation costs, all of which are based on detailed engineering life-of-mine plans. An impairment loss exists when estimated undiscounted cash flows expected to result from the use of the asset and its eventual disposition are less than its carrying amount. Any impairment loss recognized represents the excess of the asset s carrying value as compared to its estimated fair value. The Company reviews the carrying value of its assets whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of its assets may not be fully recoverable. The Company did not record any write-downs during the periods ended June 30, 2008 and 2007.

We depreciate our property, plant and equipment, mining properties and mine development using the units-of-production method over the estimated life of the ore body based on our proven and probable recoverable reserves or on a straight-line basis over the useful life, whichever is shorter. The accounting estimates related to depreciation and amortization are critical accounting estimates because 1) the determination of reserves involves uncertainties with respect to the ultimate geology of our reserves and the assumptions used in determining the economic feasibility of mining those reserves and 2) changes in estimated proven and probable reserves and useful asset lives can have a material impact on net income.

**Ore on leach pad.** The Rochester Mine utilizes the heap leach process to extract silver and gold from ore. The heap leach process is a process of extracting silver and gold by placing ore on an impermeable pad and applying a diluted cyanide solution that dissolves a portion of the contained silver and gold, which are then recovered in metallurgical processes.

The key stages in the conversion of ore into silver and gold are (i) the blasting process in which the ore is broken into large pieces; (ii) the processing of the ore through a crushing facility that breaks it into smaller pieces; (iii) the transportation of the crushed ore to the leach pad where the leaching solution is applied; (iv) the collection of the leach solution; (v) subjecting the leach solution to the precipitation process, in which gold and silver is converted back to a fine solid; (vi) the conversion of the precipitate into dorè; and (vii) the conversion by a third party refinery of the dorè into refined silver and gold bullion.

44

We use several integrated steps to scientifically measure the metal content of ore placed on the leach pads during the key stages. As the ore body is drilled in preparation for the blasting process, samples of the drill residue are assayed to determine estimated quantities of contained metal. We estimate the quantity of ore by utilizing global positioning satellite survey techniques. We then process the ore through a crushing facility where the output is again weighed and sampled for assaying. A metallurgical reconciliation with the data collected from the mining operation is completed with appropriate adjustments made to previous estimates. We then transport the crushed ore to the leach pad for application of the leaching solution. As the leach solution is collected from the leach pads, we continuously sample for assaying. We measure the quantity of leach solution by flow meters throughout the leaching and precipitation process. After precipitation, the product is converted to dorè, which is the final product produced by the mine. We again sample and assay the dorè. Finally, a third party smelter converts the dorè into refined silver and gold bullion. At this point we are able to determine final ounces of silver and gold available for sale. We then review this end result and reconcile it to the estimates we had used and developed throughout the production process. Based on this review, we adjust our estimation procedures when appropriate.

Our reported inventories include metals estimated to be contained in the ore on leach pad of \$34.7 million as of June 30, 2008. Of this amount, \$19.2 million is reported as a current asset and \$15.5 million is reported as a non-current asset. The distinction between current and non-current is based upon the expected length of time necessary for the leaching process to remove the metals from the broken ore. The historical cost of the metal that is expected to be extracted within twelve months is classified as current and the historical cost of metals contained within the broken ore that will be extracted beyond twelve months is classified as noncurrent. The ore on leach pad inventory is stated at actual production costs incurred to produce and place ore on the leach pad during the current period, adjusted for the effects on monthly production costs of abnormal production levels.

The estimate of both the ultimate recovery expected over time, and the quantity of metal that may be extracted relative to such twelve month period, requires the use of estimates which are inherently inaccurate since they rely upon laboratory testwork. Testwork consists of 60-day leach columns from which we project metal recoveries into the future. The quantities of metal contained in the ore are based upon actual weights and assay analysis. The rate at which the leach process extracts gold and silver from the crushed ore is based upon laboratory column tests and actual experience occurring over approximately twenty years of leach pad operation at the Rochester Mine. The assumptions we use to measure metal content during each stage of the inventory conversion process includes estimated recovery rates based on laboratory testing and assaying. We periodically review our estimates compared to actual experience and revise our estimates when appropriate. However, the ultimate recovery will not be known until leaching operations cease, which is currently estimated for 2011.

When we began operations at the Rochester mine in 1986, based solely on laboratory testing, we estimated the ultimate recovery of silver and gold at 50% and 80%, respectively. Since 1986, we have adjusted the expected ultimate recovery 3 times (once in each of 1989, 1997 and 2003) based upon actual experience gained from leach operations. In 1989, we increased our estimated recoveries for silver and gold to 55% and 85%, respectively. The change was accounted for prospectively as a change in estimate, which had the effect of increasing the estimated recoverable ounces of silver and gold contained in the heap by 1.6 million ounces and 10,000 ounces, respectively. In 1997, we revised our estimated recoverable ounces of silver and gold contained in the heap by 4.7 million ounces and 39,000 ounces, respectively. Finally, in 2003, we revised our estimated recoveries for silver and gold to 61.5% and 93%, respectively, which increased the estimated recoverable ounces of silver and gold contained in the heap by 1.8 million ounces and 41,000 ounces, respectively.

45

If our estimate of ultimate recovery requires adjustment, the impact upon our inventory valuation and upon our income statement would be as follows:

		Positive/Negative Change in Silver Recovery					Positive/Negative Change in Gold Recovery					
	_	1%		2%		3%		1%		2%		3%
Quantity of recoverable		1.7		3.5		5.2						
ounces		million		o.s million		3.2 million		13,240		26,480		39,720
Positive impact on future cost of production per silver equivalent ounce for increases in recovery rates	\$	2.65	\$	4.06	\$	4.94	\$	1.29	\$	ŕ	\$	2.99
Negative impact on future cost of production per silver equivalent ounce for decreases in recovery	Ψ	2.03	Ψ	4.00	Ψ	4.24	Ψ	1.27	Ψ	2.23	Ψ	2.77
rates	\$	(3.94)	\$	(3.94)	\$	(3.94)	\$	(1.84)	\$	(4.66)	\$	(9.53)

Inventories of ore on leach pads are valued based upon actual production costs incurred to produce and place such ore on the leach pad during the current period, adjusted for the effects on monthly production of costs of abnormal production levels, less costs allocated to minerals recovered through the leach process. The costs consist of those production activities occurring at the mine site and include the costs, including depreciation, associated with mining, crushing and precipitation circuits. In addition, refining is provided by a third party refiner to place the metal extracted from the leach pad in a saleable form. These additional costs are considered in the valuation of inventory.

**Reclamation and remediation costs.** Reclamation and remediation costs are based principally on legal and regulatory requirements. Management estimates costs associated with reclamation of mining properties as well as remediation cost for inactive properties. Such costs related to active mines are accrued and charged over the expected operating lives of the mines using the units-of-production method.

The estimated undiscounted cash flows generated by our assets and the estimated liabilities for reclamation and remediation are determined using the Company s assumptions about future costs, mineral prices, mineral processing recovery rates, production levels and capital and reclamation costs. Such assumptions are based on the Company s current mining plan and the best available information for making such estimates. On an ongoing basis, management evaluates its estimates and assumptions; however, actual amounts could differ from those based on such estimates and assumptions.

Income taxes. In June 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes , (FIN 48) an Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes . FIN 48 prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of tax positions taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. FIN 48 requires that the Company recognize in its financial statements the impact of a tax position, if that tax position is more likely than not of being sustained on audit, based on the technical merits of the position. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification of interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods and disclosure. The provisions of FIN 48 were effective January 1, 2007. The adoption of FIN 48 did not have a material effect on the Company s financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

The Company and its subsidiaries are subject to U.S. federal income tax as well as income tax of multiple state and foreign jurisdictions. The Company has substantially concluded all U.S. federal income tax matters for years through 1999. Federal income tax returns for 2000 through 2006 are subject to examination. The Company s continuing practice is to recognize interest and/or penalties related to income tax matters in income tax expense.

46

#### RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Three Months Ended June 30, 2008 Compared to Three Months Ended June 30, 2007

#### Revenues

Sales of metal from continuing operations in the second quarter of 2008 decreased by \$1.6 million, or 3.2%, from the second quarter of 2007 to \$50.0 million. The decrease in sales of metal was primarily due to a decrease in the quantity of silver and gold ounces sold which was offset by increased metal prices realized. In the second quarter of 2008, the Company sold 2.3 million ounces of silver and 15,168 ounces of gold compared to 2.8 million ounces of silver and 25,520 ounces of gold for the same period in 2007. Realized silver and gold prices were \$18.84 and \$987.70 per ounce, respectively, in the second quarter of 2008, compared to \$13.47 and \$664.57 per ounce, respectively, in the comparable quarter of 2007.

Included in revenues is the by-product revenue associated with by-product metal sales consisting of gold. During the second quarter of 2008, by-product revenues totaled \$12.5 million compared to \$16.4 million in the second quarter of 2007. The decrease is due to a decrease in the quantity of gold sold in the second quarter of 2008. The Company believes that presentation of these revenue streams as by-products from its current operations will continue to be appropriate in the future.

In the second quarter of 2008, the Company produced a total of 2.5 million ounces of silver and 13,470 ounces of gold, compared to 3.0 million ounces of silver and 25,453 ounces of gold in the second quarter of 2007. The decrease in silver and gold production is primarily due to decreased production at the Rochester mine.

### Costs and Expenses

Production costs applicable to sales from continuing operations in the second quarter of 2008 decreased by \$1.9 million, or 7.0%, from the second quarter of 2007 to \$24.9 million. The decrease in production costs in the second quarter of 2008 is primarily due to lower costs incurred at the Rochester mine and by-product credits from gold produced which exceeded operating costs at Rochester, which was offset by higher costs of labor, fuel, power and other consumables at the other mining operations.

Depreciation and depletion increased by \$0.6 million as compared to the second quarter of 2007. The increase is due to depreciation expense from the Palmarejo project.

Administrative and general expenses increased by \$1.3 million, or 23.2%, as compared to the second quarter of 2007. The increase is primarily due to compensation costs associated with the Company s annual incentive plan, other corporate expenses, and non-operating expenses at the Cerro Bayo Mine related to the temporary suspension of production activities to upgrade the electrical infrastructure which was completed in May 2008.

Exploration expenses increased by \$2.2 million to \$4.7 million in the second quarter of 2008 compared to \$2.5 million in the same period of 2007 as a result of an expanded exploration budget primarily due to the addition of the Palmarejo project.

A total of \$10.6 million of pre-development costs at the Palmarejo project were recorded as expenses during the second quarter of 2008. The Company completed its final feasibility study for the Palmarejo project on June 10, 2008 and will commence capitalizing its mine development expenditures for the remainder of 2008. No pre-development expenses were recorded in the second quarter of 2007.

47

#### Other Income and Expenses

Interest and other income in the second quarter of 2008 decreased by \$4.1 million to \$0.2 million compared with the second quarter of 2007. The decrease was primarily due to lower levels of invested cash and short-term investments and lower interest rates earned on the Company s cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments.

Interest expense increased to \$0.9 million in the second quarter of 2008 compared to \$0.1 million in the second quarter of 2007 due to increased short-term borrowings related to capital lease obligations at the Palmarejo project. Capitalized interest was \$2.9 million in the second quarter of 2008 compared to \$0.6 million in the prior year s second quarter.

#### Income Taxes

For the three months ended June 30, 2008, the Company reported an income tax provision of approximately \$1.1 million compared to an income tax provision of \$3.2 million in the second quarter of 2007. The following table summarizes the components of the Company s income tax provision for the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007.

		Three Mo Jun		
		2008		2007
Current:				
United States - Alternative minimum tax	\$	(988)	\$	(76)
United States - Foreign withholding		(227)		(283)
Argentina		(846)		(1,308)
Australia		(1,563)		(659)
Mexico		(17)		
Canada		(20)		
Bolivia		(669)		
Deferred:				
United States		1,073		(349)
Argentina		62		(461)
Australia		(375)		(91)
Bolivia		(388)		
Chile		(211)		
Mexico	_	3,051		
Income tax provision	\$	(1,118)	\$	(3,227)

During the three months ended June 30, 2008, the Company recognized a current provision in certain foreign jurisdictions primarily related to higher metal prices, inflationary adjustments on non-monetary assets and unrealized foreign exchange gains on U.S. dollar denominated liabilities in Mexico and Bolivia. Further, the Company accrued foreign withholding taxes of approximately \$0.2 million on inter-company transactions between the U.S. parent and subsidiaries operating in Argentina and Australia. Finally, the Company recognized a net \$3.2 million deferred tax benefit for the recognition of deferred taxes on deductible temporary differences and net operating loss carryforwards in the various jurisdictions.

During the three months ended June 30, 2007, due to higher metals prices and additional proven and probable reserves, the Company recognized a current provision in the U.S. and certain foreign operating jurisdictions. Further, the Company accrued foreign withholding taxes of

approximately \$0.3 million on inter-company transactions between the U.S. parent and subsidiaries operating in Argentina and Australia. Finally, the Company recognized a \$0.1 million deferred tax provision in Chile as projections of future pre-tax income were previously sufficient to utilize all remaining net operating loss carry-forwards and a \$0.8 million provision in other foreign jurisdictions as a result of the reversal of deferred taxes on deductible temporary differences.

48

### Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2007

### Revenues

Sales of metal from continuing operations in the six months ended June 30, 2008 increased by \$4.8 million, or 4.7%, over the same period of 2007 to \$107.3 million. The increase in sales of metal was primarily attributable to higher realized metal prices, partially offset by a decrease in the quantity of ounces sold during the six months ended June 30, 2008 compared to the same period in 2007. In the six months ended June 30, 2008, the Company sold 4.7 million ounces of silver and 29,930 ounces of gold, compared to 5.5 million ounces of silver and 50,152 ounces of gold for the same period in 2007. Realized silver and gold prices were \$18.64 and \$976.68 per ounce, respectively, in the six months ended June 30, 2008 compared to \$13.60 and \$655.06, respectively, in the comparable period of 2007.

Included in revenues is the by-product revenue associated with by-product metal sales consisting of gold. In the six months ended June 30, 2008, by-product revenues totaled \$26.4 million compared to \$32.2 million for the same period of 2007. The decrease in by-product revenues is primarily due to a decrease in the number of gold ounces sold offset by an increase in the realized prices for gold. The Company believes that presentation of these revenue streams as by-products will continue to be appropriate in the future.

In the six months ended June 30, 2008, the Company s continuing operations produced a total of 4.9 million ounces of silver and 30,103 ounces of gold, compared to 5.6 million ounces of silver and 50,005 ounces of gold in the same period of 2007. The decrease in silver production is primarily due to decreased production from the Martha, Broken Hill and Rochester mines, offset by increased production from the Cerro Bayo and Endeavor mines.

#### Costs and Expenses

Production costs applicable to sales from continuing operations in the six months ended June 30, 2008 increased by \$2.4 million, or 5.0%, from the same period of 2007 to \$50.2 million. The increase in the six months ended June 30, 2008 is primarily due to higher costs of labor, fuel, power and other consumables at the Company s operations.

Depreciation and depletion decreased by \$0.8 million, or 6.3%, for the first six months of 2008 compared to the first six months of 2007 primarily due to lower depletion expense from the Rochester mine.

Administrative and general expenses increased by \$3.7 million, or 30.9%, in the six months ended June 30, 2008 compared to the same period in 2007 primarily due to compensation cost associated with the Company s annual incentive plan increases, other corporate expenses and non-operating expenses at the Cerro Bayo Mine related to the temporary suspension of production activities to upgrade the electrical infrastructure which was completed in May 2008.

Exploration expenses increased by \$3.0 million in the six months ended June 30, 2008 compared to the same period in 2007 as a result of an expanded exploration budget primarily due to the addition of the Palmarejo project since last year s second quarter.

A total of \$16.4 million of pre-development costs at the Palmarejo project were recorded as expenses during the first six months of 2008. The Company completed its final feasibility study for the Palmarejo project on June 10, 2008 and will commence capitalizing its mine development expenditures for the remainder of 2008. No pre-development expenses were recorded during the first six months of 2007.

49

During the first quarter of 2007, the Company accrued the final \$0.5 million royalty to the U.S. Government called for under the May 2001 settlement agreement relating to the federal natural resources action commenced against the Company in March 1996. The final payment was made early in the second quarter of 2007.

### Other Income and Expenses

Interest and other income in the six months ended June 30, 2008 decreased by \$7.4 million to \$1.5 million compared with the same period of 2007. The decrease was primarily due to lower levels of invested cash and short-term investments and lower interest rates earned during the six months ended June 30, 2008 compared to the same period in 2007.

Interest expense was \$1.7 million in the six months ended June 30, 2008 compared to \$0.2 million in the six months ended June 30, 2007 due to increased short-term borrowings related to capital lease obligations at the Palmarejo project. Capitalized interest was \$3.9 million in the six months ended June 30, 2008 compared to \$1.1 million in the same period of 2007.

#### **Income Taxes**

For the six months ended June 30, 2008, the Company reported an income tax provision of approximately \$5.2 million compared to an income tax provision of \$6.9 million in the same period of 2007. The following table summarizes the components of the Company s income tax provision for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007.

		Six Mon Jun		
	'	2008		2007
Current:				
United States - Alternative minimum tax	\$	(988)	\$	(309)
United States - Foreign withholding		(404)		(666)
Argentina		(2,939)		(2,906)
Australia		(4,291)		(1,773)
Mexico		(22)		
Canada		(20)		
Bolivia		(669)		
Deferred:				
United States		1,547		
Argentina		371		(174)
Australia		135		(361)
Bolivia		(388)		
Chile		(1,348)		(739)
Mexico	_	3,823		
Income tax provision	\$	(5,193)	\$	(6,928)

During the six months ended June 30, 2008, the Company recognized a current provision in certain foreign jurisdictions primarily related to higher metals prices, inflationary adjustments on non-monetary assets and unrealized foreign exchange gains on U.S. dollar denominated liabilities in Mexico and Bolivia. Further, the Company accrued foreign withholding taxes of approximately \$0.4 million on inter-company transactions from the U.S. parent to the Argentina and Australia subsidiaries. Finally, the Company recognized a \$5.9 million deferred tax benefit for the recognition of deferred taxes on deductible temporary differences in various foreign jurisdiction offset by a deferred tax provision of \$1.7 million from future taxable temporary differences and utilization of tax carry-forwards in Chile.

During the six months ended June 30, 2007, due to significantly higher metals prices, the Company recognized a current provision in the U.S. and certain foreign operating jurisdictions. Further, the Company recognized a \$0.7 million deferred tax provision in Chile as projections of future pre-tax income were previously sufficient to utilize all remaining net operating loss carry-forwards and a \$0.5 million provision in the foreign jurisdiction as a result of reversal of deferred taxes on deductible temporary differences.

50

### LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Working Capital; Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company s working capital (defined as current assets less current liabilities) at June 30, 2008, increased by \$56.6 million to approximately \$209.0 million compared to \$152.4 million at December 31, 2007. The increase in working capital was primarily a result of the issuance on March 18, 2008 of the Company s 31/4% Convertible Senior Notes due March 2028 in the aggregate principal amount of \$230

million. The ratio of current assets to current liabilities was 2.9 to 1 at June 30, 2008, compared to 2.4 to 1 at December 31, 2007.

Net cash used in operating activities in the three months ended June 30, 2008 was \$2.6 million compared to net cash provided by operating activities of \$11.5 million in the three months ended June 30, 2007. The decrease of \$14.1 million in cash flow from operations is primarily due to lower net income, and changes in inventories and receivables related to accrued metal sales and increases in recoverable value added taxes in Bolivia in connection with the San Bartolomé project. Net cash used in investing activities in the second quarter of 2008 was \$100.3 million compared to net cash used in investing activities of \$52.9 million in the prior year s comparable period. The increase of \$47.3 million in cash used in investing activities is primarily due to an increase in capital expenditures related to the construction activities at the San Bartolomé, Palmarejo and Kensington projects. Net cash used in financing activities was \$5.5 million in the second quarter of 2008, compared to \$0.4 million net cash used in the second quarter of 2007. The increase was primarily due to the retirement of short-term borrowings.

Net cash used in operating activities in the six months ended June 30, 2008 was \$10.2 million compared to net cash provided by operating activities of \$34.2 million in the six months ended June 30, 2007. The decrease of \$44.4 million in cash flow from operations is primarily due to lower net income, and changes in inventories and receivables related to accrued metal sales and increases in recoverable value added taxes in Bolivia in connection with the San Bartolomé project. Net cash used in investing activities in the six months ended June 30, 2008 was \$204.6 million compared to net cash used in investing activities of \$67.6 million in the prior year s comparable period. The increase of \$137.0 million in cash used in investing activities is primarily due to an increase in capital expenditures related to the construction activities at the San Bartolomé, Palmarejo and Kensington projects and purchases of short-term investments. Net cash provided by financing activities was \$214.0 million in the six months ended June 30, 2008, compared to \$1.1 million net cash used in the six months ended June 30, 2007. The increase was due to cash proceeds from the issuance on March 18, 2008 of the Company s 3 1/4% Convertible Senior Notes due 2028 in the aggregate principal amount of \$230 million.

At June 30, 2008, the Company had \$186.5 million of cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments. Management believes that its existing and available cash and short-term investments and cash flow from operations will allow it to meet its obligations for the next twelve months. The Company estimates approximately \$185.0 million to \$215.0 million will be spent in the remainder of 2008 on capital expenditures at its operating mines and development-stage properties.

#### Capital Expenditures

During the second quarter of 2008, the Company expended \$104.1 million in capital expenditures consisting of \$31.0 million at San Bartolome, \$31.3 million at Palmarejo, \$9.8 million at Kensington, \$1.9 million at the Martha mine, \$2.9 million at the Cerro Bayo mine, \$26.5 million at Endeavor and \$0.7 million at corporate and other.

51

During the first half of 2008, the Company expended \$168.6 million in capital expenditures consisting of \$67.5 million at San Bartolomé, \$46.6 million at Palmarejo, \$19.4 million at Kensington, \$3.7 million at the Martha Mine, \$4.1 million at the Cerro Bayo Mine, \$26.5 million at Endeavor and \$0.8 million at corporate and other.

Debt and Capital Resources

3.25% Convertible Senior Notes Due 2028

On March 18, 2008, the Company issued \$230 million in aggregate principal amount of Senior Convertible Notes due 2028 issued under an effective shelf registration statement on file with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission.

The notes are unsecured and bear interest at a rate of 3 1/4% per year, payable on March 15 and September 15 of each year, beginning on September 15, 2008. The notes will mature on March 15, 2028, unless earlier converted, redeemed or repurchased by the Company.

Each holder of the notes may require that the Company repurchase some or all of the holder s notes on March 15, 2013, March 15, 2015, March 15, 2018 and March 15, 2023 at a repurchase price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the notes to be repurchased, plus accrued and unpaid interest, in cash, shares of common stock or a combination of cash and shares of common stock, at the Company s election. Holders will also have the right, following certain fundamental change transactions, to require the Company to repurchase all or any part of their notes for cash at a repurchase price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the notes to be repurchased plus accrued and unpaid interest. The Company may redeem the notes for cash in whole or in part at any time on or after March 22, 2015 at 100% of the principal amount of the notes to be redeemed plus accrued and unpaid interest.

The notes provide for net share settlement of any conversions, which limits the number of shares of common stock to be issued in the future. Pursuant to this feature, upon conversion of the notes, the Company (1) will pay the note holder an amount in cash equal to the lesser of

the conversion obligation or the principal amount of the notes, and (2) will settle any excess of the conversion obligation above the notes principal amount in the Company s common stock, cash or a combination thereof, at the Company s election.

The notes will be convertible under certain circumstances, at the holder s option, at an initial conversion rate of 176.0254 shares of the Company s common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of notes, which is equivalent to an initial conversion price of approximately \$5.68 per share of common stock (representing a 30% conversion premium based on the closing price of \$4.37 per share of the Company s common stock on March 12, 2008), subject to adjustment in certain circumstances.

The Company intends to use the proceeds of this offering to complete the construction of the San Bartolomé silver project in Bolivia and fund construction of the Palmarejo silver/gold project in Mexico. Any additional remaining proceeds may be used to repay borrowings under the Company s bridge loan facility and bank facility and for general corporate purposes.

Acquisitions of Bolnisi and Palmarejo

On December 21, 2007, the Company completed its acquisition of all the shares of Bolnisi Gold NL and Palmarejo Gold and Silver Corporation in exchange for a total of approximately 272 million shares of Coeur common stock, a total cash payment of approximately \$1.1 million and the assumption of liabilities of \$0.7 billion. Coeur issued 0.682 shares of Coeur common stock (or, at the election of the Bolnisi shareholder, CHESS Depositary Interests representing Coeur shares) and A\$0.004 in cash (or approximately US\$1.0 million in the aggregate) for each Bolnisi ordinary share, and 2.715 shares of Coeur common stock and C\$0.004 in cash (or approximately US \$0.1 million in the aggregate) for each Palmarejo common share. The total consideration paid amounted to \$1.1 billion and the total liabilities assumed were \$0.7 billion.

52

### Bridging Debt Facility

On October 22, 2007, Bolnisi entered into a \$20 million credit facility with Macquarie Bank Limited to fund further exploration and development of the Palmarejo silver/gold project, the exploration and development of the Yecora gold/silver project and the El Realito gold/silver project and for working capital purposes. The credit facility was extended to September 30, 2008, and bears interest at a variable rate (LIBOR) plus a margin of 2.45%. As of June 30, 2008, the Company had \$20 million outstanding under the facility which bears interest at 5.175% and is included in current portion of long-term debt and capital lease obligations in the balance sheet. The Company expects to pay the balance of \$20 million in the third quarter of 2008.

Bank Loan

On August 30, 2007 Palmarejo entered into a temporary credit facility of \$2.0 million secured by the Company s investments in Asset-Backed Commercial Paper, to fund working capital requirements. The facility was amended on October 9, 2007. On June 3, 2008, the Company paid off the outstanding credit facility balance.

Litigation and Other Events

### Federal Court of Alaska Kensington Project Permit Challenge

Reference is made to the discussion set forth in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations under Development Projects Kensington (Alaska) for a detailed description of the Kensington project permit legal challenge. On June 27, 2008, the Supreme Court of the United States granted the State of Alaska and Coeur Alaska's Petitions for a writ of certiorari to review the May 22, 2007 decision of the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals with respect to the permit legal challenge. The Company is pursuing an alternate site for a tailings disposal facility in the event the Company does not prevail in the litigation.

No assurance can be given as to whether or when regulatory permits and approvals granted to the Company may be further challenged, appealed or contested by third parties or issuing agencies, or as to whether the Company will ultimately place the Kensington project into commercial production.

### States of Maine, Idaho and Colorado Superfund Sites Related to Callahan Mining Corporation

During 2001, the United States Forest Service (USFS) made a formal request for information regarding the Deadwood Mine Site located in central Idaho. Callahan Mining Corporation had operated at this site during the 1940 s. The USFS believes that some cleanup action is required at the location. However, Coeur d Alene Mines Corporation did not acquire Callahan until 1991, more than 40 years after Callahan disposed of its

interest in the Deadwood property. The Company did not make any decisions with respect to generation, transport or disposal of hazardous waste at the site. Therefore, it is believed that the Company is not liable for any cleanup, and if Callahan might be liable, it has no substantial assets with which to satisfy any such liability. To date no claim has been made by the United States for any cleanup costs against either the Company or Callahan.

53

During 2002, the EPA made a formal request for information regarding a Callahan mine site in the State of Maine. Callahan operated there in the late 1960 s, shut the operations down in the early 1970 s and disposed of the property. The EPA contends that some cleanup action is warranted at the site, and listed it on the National Priorities List in late 2002. The Company believes that because it made no decisions with respect to generation, transport or disposal of hazardous waste at this location, it is not liable for any cleanup costs. If Callahan might have liability, it has no substantial assets with which to satisfy such liability. To date, no claim has been made for any cleanup costs against either the Company or Callahan.

In January 2003, the USFS made a formal request for information regarding a Callahan mine site in the State of Colorado known as the Akron Mine Site. Callahan operated there in approximately the late 1930s through the 1940s, and to the Company s knowledge, disposed of the property. The Company is not aware of what, if any, cleanup action the Forest Service is contemplating. However, the Company did not make decisions with respect to generation, transport or disposal of hazardous waste at this location, and therefore believes it is not liable for any cleanup costs. If Callahan might have liability, it has no substantial assets with which to satisfy such liability. To date, no claim has been made for any cleanup costs against either the Company or Callahan.

#### Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk

The Company is exposed to various market risks as a part of its operations. In an effort to mitigate losses associated with these risks, the Company may, at times, enter into derivative financial instruments. These may take the form of forward sales contracts, foreign currency exchange contracts and interest rate swaps. The Company does not actively engage in the practice of trading derivative securities for profit. This discussion of the Company s market risk assessments contains forward looking statements that contain risks and uncertainties. Actual results and actions could differ materially from those discussed below.

The Company s operating results are substantially dependent upon the world market prices of silver and gold. The Company has no control over silver and gold prices, which can fluctuate widely and are affected by numerous factors, such as supply and demand and investor sentiment. In order to mitigate some of the risk associated with these fluctuations, the Company will at times, enter into forward sale contracts. The Company continually evaluates the potential benefits of engaging in these strategies based on current market conditions. The Company may be exposed to nonperformance by counterparties as a result of its hedging activities. This exposure would be limited to the amount that the market price of the metal falls short of the contract price. The Company has historically sold silver and gold produced by our mines pursuant to forward contracts and at spot prices prevailing at the time of sale. Since 1999, the Company has not engaged in any silver hedging activities and is currently not engaged in any gold hedging activities.

The Company enters into concentrate sales contracts with third-party smelters. The contracts, in general, provide for a provisional payment based upon provisional assays and quoted metal prices and the provisionally priced sales contain an embedded derivative that is required to be separated from the host contract for accounting purposes. The host contract is the receivable from the sale of concentrates at the forward price at the time of sale. The embedded derivative, which is the final settlement price based on a future price, does not qualify for hedge accounting. These embedded derivatives are recorded as derivative assets (in Prepaid expenses and other), or derivative liabilities (in Accrued liabilities and other), on the balance sheet and are adjusted to fair value through earnings each period until the date of final settlement.

At June 30, 2008, the Company had outstanding provisionally priced sales of \$63.4 million, consisting of 2.7 million ounces of silver and 16,700 ounces of gold, which had a fair value of approximately \$62.4 million including the embedded derivative. For each one cent per ounce change in realized silver price, revenue would vary (plus or minus) approximately \$27,000; and for each one dollar per ounce change in realized gold price, revenue would vary (plus or minus) approximately \$16,700.

54

The Company operates in several foreign countries, specifically Bolivia, Chile, Argentina and Mexico, which exposes it to risks associated with fluctuations in the exchange rates of the currencies involved. As part of its program to manage foreign currency risk, from time to time, the Company enters into foreign currency forward exchange contracts. These contracts enable the Company to purchase a fixed amount of foreign currencies. Gains and losses on foreign exchange contracts that are related to firm commitments are designated and effective as hedges and are deferred and recognized in the same period as the related transaction. All other contracts that do not qualify as hedges are marked to market and the resulting gains or losses are recorded in income. The Company continually evaluates the potential benefits of entering into these contracts to mitigate foreign currency risk and proceeds when it believes that the exchange rates are most beneficial. The Company entered into forward

foreign currency exchange contracts to reduce the foreign exchange risk associated with forecasted Chilean peso operating costs for 2008 at its Cerro Bayo mine. The contracts require the Company to exchange U.S. dollars for Chilean pesos at a weighted average exchange rate of 496 pesos to each U.S. dollar. At June 30, 2008, the Company had foreign exchange contracts of \$6.0 million in U.S. dollars. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, the Company recorded a realized gain of approximately \$0.1 million and \$0.3 million and less than \$0.1 million and less than \$0.1 million, respectively, in connection with its foreign currency hedging program. As of June 30, 2008, the fair value of the foreign exchange contracts was a liability of \$0.3 million.

All of the Company s long-term debt at June 30, 2008, is fixed-rate based. The fair value of the Company s long-term debt at June 30, 2008 was \$151.7 million and \$187.5 million related to its 1 \( \frac{1}{4}\% \) and 3 \( \frac{1}{4}\% \) Senior Convertible Notes, respectively. The fair value was estimated based upon bond market closing prices on or about June 30, 2008.

#### **Item 4. Controls and Procedures**

#### (a) Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company s disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by it in its periodic reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the Commission s rules and forms. Based on an evaluation of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures conducted by the Company s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, such officers concluded at June 30, 2008, that the Company s disclosure controls and procedures were effective at a reasonable level.

### (b) Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Based on an evaluation by the Company s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, such officers concluded that there was no change in the Company s internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ending June 30, 2008 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

#### PART II. Other Information

#### **Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

### Federal Court of Alaska Kensington Project Permit Challenge

Reference is made to the discussion set forth in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations under Development Projects Kensington (Alaska) for a detailed description of the Kensington project permit legal challenge. On June 27, 2008, the Supreme Court of the United States granted the State of Alaska and Coeur Alaska s Petitions for a writ of certiorari to review the May 22, 2007 decision of the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals with respect to the permit legal challenge. The Company is pursuing an alternate site for a tailings disposal facility in the event the Company does not prevail in the litigation.

55

No assurance can be given as to whether or when regulatory permits and approvals granted to the Company may be further challenged, appealed or contested by third parties or issuing agencies, or as to whether the Company will ultimately place the Kensington project into commercial production.

#### Item 1A. Risk Factors

Item 1A (Risk Factors) of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007 sets forth information relating to important risks and uncertainties that could materially adversely affect the Company s business, financial condition or operating results. Those risk factors continue to be relevant to an understanding of the Company s business, financial condition and operating results. Certain of those risk factors have been updated in this Form 10-Q to provide updated information, as set forth below. References to we, our and us in these risk factors refer to the Company.

The market prices of silver and gold are volatile. If we experience low silver and gold prices it may result in decreased revenues and decreased net income or losses, and may negatively affect our business.

Silver and gold are commodities. Their prices fluctuate, and are affected by many factors beyond our control, including interest rates, expectations regarding inflation, speculation, currency values, governmental decisions regarding the disposal of precious metals stockpiles,

Item 1A. Risk Factors 48

global and regional demand and production, political and economic conditions and other factors. Because we currently derive approximately 76% of our revenues from continuing operations from sales of silver, our earnings are primarily related to the price of this metal.

The market prices of silver (Handy & Harman) and gold (London Final) on August 5, 2008 were \$16.68 and \$882.00 per ounce, respectively. The prices of silver and gold may decline in the future. Factors that are generally understood to contribute to a decline in the price of silver include sales by private and government holders, and a general global economic slowdown.

Coeur may also suffer from declines in mineral prices. Since 1999, Coeur has not engaged in any silver hedging activities and is currently not engaged in any gold hedging activities. Accordingly, Coeur has no protection from declines in mineral prices or currency fluctuations.

If the prices of silver and gold are depressed for a sustained period and our net losses resume, we may be forced to suspend mining at one or more of our properties until the prices increase, and to record additional asset impairment write-downs. Any lost revenues, continued or increased net losses or additional asset impairment write-downs would adversely affect our results of operations.

### We may have to record write-downs, which could negatively impact our results of operations.

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets (SFAS 144) established accounting standards for impairment of the value of long-lived assets such as mining properties. SFAS 144 requires a company to review the recoverability of the cost of its assets by estimating the future undiscounted cash flows expected to result from the use and eventual disposition of the asset. Impairment, measured by comparing an asset s carrying value to its fair value, must be recognized when the carrying value of the asset exceeds these cash flows, and recognizing impairment write-downs could negatively impact our results of operations.

If silver or gold prices decline or we fail to control production costs or realize the minable ore reserves at our mining properties, we may be required to recognize asset write-downs. We also may record other types of additional mining property charges in the future to the extent a property is sold by us for a price less than the carrying value of the property, or if reclamation liabilities have to be increased in connection with the closure and reclamation of a property. Additional write-downs of mining properties could negatively impact our results of operations.

56

The Kensington property has been the subject of litigation involving a permit required to complete construction of a required tailings facility. Reference is made to the discussion set forth in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations under Development Projects Kensington (Alaska) for a detailed description of the Kensington project permit legal challenge, including the possibility of an impairment writedown in the event that the expectation of the long-term price for gold decreases below approximately \$625 per ounce.

No assurance can be given as to whether or when regulatory permits and approvals granted to the Company may be further challenged, appealed or contested by third parties or issuing agencies, or as to whether the Company will ultimately place the Kensington project into commercial production.

The estimation of ore reserves is imprecise and depends upon subjective factors. Estimated ore reserves may not be realized in actual production. Our operating results may be negatively affected by inaccurate estimates.

The ore reserve figures presented in our public filings are estimates made by our technical personnel. Reserve estimates are a function of geological and engineering analyses that require us to make assumptions about production costs and silver and gold market prices. Reserve estimation is an imprecise and subjective process and the accuracy of such estimates is a function of the quality of available data and of engineering and geological interpretation, judgment and experience. Assumptions about silver and gold market prices are subject to great uncertainty as those prices have fluctuated widely in the past. Declines in the market prices of silver or gold may render reserves containing relatively lower grades of ore uneconomic to exploit, and we may be required to reduce reserve estimates, discontinue development or mining at one or more of our properties, or write down assets as impaired. Should we encounter mineralization or geologic formations at any of our mines or projects different from those we predicted, we may adjust our reserve estimates and alter our mining plans. Either of these alternatives may adversely affect our actual production and operating results.

We based our ore reserve determinations as of December 31, 2007 on a long-term silver price average of \$11 per ounce, with the exception of the Endeavor mine which uses \$15 per ounce and the Broken Hill mine which uses \$13.50 per ounce of silver, and a long-term gold price average of \$600 per ounce for all properties with the exception of the Kensington property which used a gold price of \$550 per ounce. The market prices of silver (Handy & Harman) and gold (London Final) on August 5, 2008 were \$16.68 and \$882.00 per ounce, respectively.

The estimation of the ultimate recovery of metals contained within the Rochester heap leach pad inventory is inherently inaccurate and subjective and requires the use of estimation techniques. Actual recoveries can be expected to vary from estimations.

Item 1A. Risk Factors 49

The Rochester mine utilizes the heap leach process to extract silver and gold from ore. The heap leach process is a process of extracting silver and gold by placing ore on an impermeable pad and applying a diluted cyanide solution that dissolves a portion of the contained silver and gold, which are then recovered in metallurgical processes.

The key stages in the conversion of ore into silver and gold are (i) the blasting process in which the ore is broken into large pieces; (ii) the processing of the ore through a crushing facility that breaks it into smaller pieces; (iii) the transportation of the crushed ore to the leach pad where the leaching solution is applied; (iv) the collection of the leach solution; (v) subjecting the leach solution to the precipitation process, in which gold and silver is converted back to a fine solid; (vi) the conversion of the precipitate into doré; and (vii) the conversion by a third party refinery of the doré into refined silver and gold bullion.

57

We use several integrated steps to scientifically measure the metal content of ore placed on the leach pads during the key stages. As the ore body is drilled in preparation for the blasting process, samples of the drill residue are assayed to determine estimated quantities of contained metal. We estimate the quantity of ore by utilizing global positioning satellite survey techniques. We then process the ore through a crushing facility where the output is again weighed and sampled for assaying. A metallurgical reconciliation with the data collected from the mining operation is completed with appropriate adjustments made to previous estimates. We then transport the crushed ore to the leach pad for application of the leaching solution. As the leach solution is collected from the leach pads, we continuously sample for assaying. We measure the quantity of leach solution with flow meters throughout the leaching and precipitation process. After precipitation, the product is converted to doré, which is the final product produced by the mine. We again weigh, sample and assay the doré. Finally, a third party smelter converts the doré and determines final ounces of silver and gold available for sale. We then review this end result and reconcile it to the estimates we developed and used throughout the production process. Based on this review, we adjust our estimation procedures when appropriate.

Our reported inventories include metals estimated to be contained in the ore on leach pad of \$34.7 million as of June 30, 2008. Of this amount, \$19.2 million was reported as a current asset and \$15.5 million was reported as a noncurrent asset. The distinction between current and noncurrent is based upon the expected length of time necessary for the leaching process to remove the metals from the crushed ore. The historical cost of the metal that is expected to be extracted within twelve months is classified as current and the historical cost of metals contained within the crushed ore that will be extracted beyond twelve months is classified as noncurrent. The ore on leach pad inventory is stated at actual production costs incurred to produce and place ore on the leach pad during the current period, adjusted for the effects on monthly production costs of abnormal production levels.

The estimate of both the ultimate recovery expected over time, and the quantity of metal that may be extracted relative to such twelve month period, requires the use of estimates which are inherently inaccurate since they rely upon laboratory test work. Test work consists of 60 day leach columns from which we project metal recoveries into the future. The quantities of metal contained in the ore are based upon actual weights and assay analysis. The rate at which the leach process extracts gold and silver from the crushed ore is based upon laboratory column tests and actual experience occurring over approximately nineteen years of leach pad operation at the Rochester mine. The assumptions we use to measure metal content during each stage of the inventory conversion process includes estimated recovery rates based on laboratory testing and assaying. We periodically review our estimates compared to actual experience and revise our estimates when appropriate. The length of time necessary to achieve our currently estimated ultimate recoveries of between 59% and 61.5% for silver, depending on the area being leached, and 93% for gold is estimated to be between 5 and 10 years. In August 2007, the Company terminated mining and crushing operations as ore reserves were fully mined. Residual heap leach activities are expected to continue through 2011.

When we began leach operations in 1986, based solely on laboratory testing, we estimated the ultimate recovery of silver and gold at 50% and 80%, respectively. Since 1986, we have adjusted the expected ultimate recovery three times (once in each of 1989, 1997 and 2003) based upon actual experience gained from leach operations. In 2003, we increased our estimated recoveries for silver and gold, respectively, to between 59% and 61.5% for silver, depending on the area being leached, and 93% for gold. The leach cycle at the Rochester Mine requires leaching to approximately the year 2011 for all recoverable metal to be recovered.

58

If our estimate of ultimate recovery requires adjustment, the impact upon our inventory valuation and upon our income statement would be as follows:

	ositive/Negati ge in Silver Re			Positive/Negative Change in Gold Recovery						
1%	2%	3%	1%	2%	3%					

Quantity of recoverable

Item 1A. Risk Factors 50

		Positive/Negative Change in Silver Recovery						Positive/Negative Change in Gold Recovery				
ounces	-	1.7 million		3.5 million		5.2 million	_	13,240		26,480		39,720
Positive impact on future cost of production per silver equivalent ounce for increases in recovery rates	\$	2.65	\$	4.06	\$	4.94	\$	1.29	\$	2.25	\$	2.99
Negative impact on future cost of production per silver equivalent ounce for decreases in recovery		<b>2.0</b> 0		<b>200</b>		200		4.00		4460		(0.50)
rates	\$	(3.94)	\$	(3.94)	\$	(3.94)	\$	(1.84)	\$	(4.66)	\$	(9.53)

Inventories of ore on leach pads are valued based upon actual production costs incurred to produce and place such ore on the leach pad during the current period, adjusted for the effects on monthly production costs of abnormal production levels, less costs allocated to minerals recovered through the leach process. The costs consist of those production activities occurring at the mine site and include the costs, including depreciation, associated with mining, crushing and precipitation circuits. In addition, refining is provided by a third party refiner to place the metal extracted from the leach pad in a saleable form. These additional costs are considered in the valuation of inventory. Negative changes in our inventory valuations and correspondingly on our income statement would have an adverse impact on our results of operations, financial position and cash flows.

Our revenues and income (or loss) from our interest in the Endeavor and Broken Hill mines are dependent in part upon the performance of the operators of the mine.

In May and September 2005, we acquired silver production and reserves at the Endeavor and Broken Hill mines in Australia, respectively. These are primarily zinc and lead mines that are owned and operated by other mining companies. The Company s revenues and income (or loss) from its interest in the silver production at these mines are dependent upon the performance of those operators and mines as well as upon zinc and lead prices that are sufficient to maintain sustainable operations.

Significant construction and other risks are associated with our development projects that could result in delays and/or higher than anticipated costs in connection with the completion of construction and commencement of mining operations there.

Our ability to estimate the time and costs associated with the completion of construction of mining and processing facilities at our development properties, such as San Bartolomé, Kensington and Palmarejo, is subject to the inherent uncertainties associated with construction, commissioning and start-up activities of such facilities and commencement of operations.

Recently enacted and proposed laws in Bolivia may have an impact on our financial results at the San Bartolomé mine.

The Bolivian government has enacted and proposed various changes to applicable mining taxes and property rights laws that could affect the San Bartolomé mine. The proposed laws may have an impact on the financial results of the San Bartolomé mine.

#### Our business depends on good relations with our employees.

The Company could experience labor disputes, work stoppages or other disruptions in production that could adversely affect us. As of June 30, 2008, unions represented approximately 39.6% of our worldwide workforce. On that date, the Company had 268 employees at its Cerro Bayo mine and 123 employees at its Martha mine who were working under a collective bargaining agreement. The agreement covering the Cerro Bayo mine expires on December 21, 2010 and a collective bargaining agreement covering the Martha mine expires on June 30, 2010. Additionally, the Company had 122 employees at its San Bartolomé project working under a labor agreement which does not have a fixed term.

59

## Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

The annual meeting of shareholders of the Company was held on May 13, 2008 (at which time a quorum was present or represented by proxy). Of the Company s total 550,825,760 shares of common stock outstanding on March 18, 2008 (the record date), 361,909,271 shares (or 65.7% of the outstanding shares of common stock) were represented in person or by proxy at the annual meeting.

The first proposal was the election of directors of the Company. The following persons were nominated and elected by the votes indicated to serve as members of the Board of Directors for one year or until their successors are elected and qualified: Dennis E. Wheeler (296,852,213 shares for, 52,035,627 shares against and 13,712,268 shares abstaining), James J. Curran (297,721,830 shares for, 50,790,977 shares against and 13,399,965 shares abstaining), John H. Robinson (297,817,724 shares for, 50,790,977 shares against and 13,987,549 shares abstaining), Robert E. Mellor (335,929,736 shares for, 8,248,422 shares against and 18,416,728 shares abstaining), Timothy R. Winterer (340,354,447 shares for, 8,247,058 shares against and 13,989,489 shares abstaining), J. Kenneth Thompson (340,322,530 shares for, 8,244,836 shares against and 14,028,884 shares abstaining), Andrew Lundquist (339,768,299 shares for, 8,248,950 shares against and 14,576,131 shares abstaining), Alex Vitale (297,696,998 shares for, 50,791,835 shares against and 13,998,489 shares abstaining) and Sebastian Edwards (340,334,701 shares for, 8,247,058 shares against and 14,009,383 shares abstaining).

The second proposal was the proposed ratification of the appointment of KPMG LLP as the Company s independent accountants. The proposal was approved by the holders of more than the required majority of the shares of common stock voting at the meeting. The proposal was approved by a vote of 349,545,205 shares for, 6,297,502 shares against with 3,203,684 shares abstaining.

60

#### Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibits.

- Employment Agreement (as amended and restated) between the Registrant and Dennis E. Wheeler. (Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 19, 2008).
- 31.1 Certification of the CEO
- 31.2 Certification of the CFO
- 32.1 Certification of the CEO (18 U.S.C. Section 1350)
- 32.2 Certification of the CFO (18 U.S.C. Section 1350)

61

### **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Item 6. Exhibits 52

## COEUR D ALENE MINES CORPORATION

(Registrant)

Dated August 8, 2008 /s/ Dennis E. Wheeler
DENNIS E. WHEELER

Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer

Dated August 8, 2008 /s/ Mitchell J. Krebs

MITCHELL J. KREBS Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Dated August 8, 2008 /s/ Tom T. Angelos

TOM T. ANGELOS Senior Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer

62

Item 6. Exhibits 53